NATCO GROUP INC Form 10-K March 28, 2002

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 1-15603

NATCO GROUP INC.

(EXACT NAME OF REGISTRANT AS SPECIFIED IN ITS CHARTER)

DELAWARE INCORPORATION OR ORGANIZATION)

22-2906892 (STATE OR OTHER JURISDICTION OF (I.R.S. EMPLOYER IDENTIFICATION NO.)

2950 N. LOOP WEST, 7TH FLOOR, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77092 (ADDRESS OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICES) (ZIP CODE) Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (713) 683-9292

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OF THE ACT:

TITLE OF EACH CLASS

NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH RE

Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share

New York Stock Exchange

SECURITIES REGISTERED PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(q) OF THE ACT: NONE

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes X No _

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. []

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant.

As of March 15, 2002

\$70,884,837

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

As of March 15, 2002 Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share 15,803,797 shares

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the NATCO Group Inc. Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Proxy Statement relating to the 2002 Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which the Registrant intends to file within 120 days of December 31, 2001, are incorporated by reference in Part III of this form.

NATCO GROUP INC. FORM 10-K FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			PAGE NO.
		PART I	
Item	1	Business	3
Tt.em		Properties	17
Tt.em		Legal Proceedings	18
Item		Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders PART II	18
Item	5.	Market for the Registrant's Common Equity and Related	
		Stockholder Matters	18
${\tt Item}$	6.	Selected Financial Data	20
${\tt Item}$	7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition	
		and Results of Operations	21
Item	7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market	
		Risk	32
Item	8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	33
		Consolidated Financial Statements	35
		Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	39
${\tt Item}$	9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting	
		and Financial Disclosure	60
		PART III	
		Information called for by Part III has been omitted as the	
		Registrant intends to file with the Securities and	
		Exchange Commission not later than 120 days after the	
		close of its fiscal year a definitive Proxy Statement	
		pursuant to Regulation 14A.	
		PART IV	
Item	14.	Exhibits, Financial Statements Schedules and Reports on Form	
		8-K	61
Signa	atures		64

ITEM 1. BUSINESS

NATCO Group Inc. (the "Company" or "NATCO") is a leading provider of equipment, systems and services used in the production of crude oil and natural gas, primarily at or near the wellhead, to separate oil and gas within a production stream and to remove contaminants. Our products and services are used in onshore and offshore fields in most major oil and gas producing regions in the world. Separation and decontamination of a production stream is needed at almost every producing well in order to meet the specifications of transporters and end users.

We design and manufacture a diverse line of production equipment including:

- heaters, which prevent solids from forming in gas streams and reduces the viscosity of oil;
- dehydration and desalting units, which remove water and salt from oil and gas;
- separators, which separate wellhead production streams into oil, gas and water;
- gas conditioning units and membrane separation systems, which remove carbon dioxide and other contaminants from gas streams;
- control systems, which monitor and control production equipment; and
- water processing systems, which include systems for water re-injection,
 oily water treatment and other treatment applications.

We offer our products and services as either integrated systems or individual components primarily through three business lines:

- traditional production equipment and services, which provides standardized components, replacement parts and used components and equipment servicing;
- engineered systems, which provides customized, large scale integrated oil and gas production systems; and
- automation and control systems, which provides and services control
 panels and systems that monitor and control the production of oil and
 gas.

We have designed, manufactured and marketed production equipment and systems for 75 years. We operate nine primary manufacturing facilities located in the U.S. and Canada and 41 sales and service facilities, 33 of which are located in the U.S. and Canada, and eight of which are located outside of North America. We believe that, among our competitors, we have the largest installed base of production equipment in the industry. We have achieved our position in the industry by maintaining technological leadership, capitalizing on our strong brand name recognition and offering a broad range of products and services.

INDUSTRY

- levels of production of oil and gas in response to worldwide demand;
- the changing production profiles of existing fields (meaning the mix of oil, gas and water in the production stream and the level of

contaminants);

- the discovery of new oil and gas fields;
- the quality of new hydrocarbon production; and
- investment in exploration and production efforts by oil and gas producers.

3

We believe that the oil and gas production equipment and services market continues to have significant growth potential due to the following:

- Increasing demand for oil and natural gas. According to the U.S. Department of Energy, oil and natural gas consumption is expected to increase at an average rate of 1.5% and 2.0%, respectively, in the United States and 2.3% and 3.2%, respectively, per year word-wide through 2020.
- Long-term increased drilling activity. The number of operating rigs in North America and internationally increased during recent years. The average North American rig count for 2001 was 1,497 versus 1,263 for 2000 as published by Baker Hughes Incorporated. The international rig count as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 was 752 and 705, respectively, as published by Baker Hughes Incorporated. Although rig counts declined in late 2001 and into early 2002, we believe that rig counts will increase over the long-term as demand for oil and gas products and services continues to increase.
- Changing profile of existing production. The production profile of existing fields changes over time, either naturally or due to implementation of enhanced recovery techniques. Consequently, the mix of oil, gas, water and contaminants changes, and the production stream requires additional processing equipment.
- Increasing focus on large-scale projects. Due to the increased demand for oil and gas, oil companies are pursuing larger and more complex development projects that often require specialized production equipment. These projects may be in remote locations, deepwater or harsh environments and may involve complex production profiles and operations.

COMPETITIVE STRENGTHS

We believe that the following are our key competitive strengths:

- Market leadership and industry reputation. We have designed, manufactured and marketed production equipment and systems for 75 years. We believe that, among our competitors, we have the largest installed base of production equipment in the industry. We will continue to enhance our products and services in order to meet the demands of our customers.
- Technological leadership. We believe that we have established a position of global technological leadership by pioneering the development of innovative separation technologies. We continue to be a technological leader in areas such as carbon dioxide separation using membrane technology and oil-water emulsion treatment using dual-polarity electrostatic technology. We hold 161 active U.S. and foreign patents and continue to invest in research and development.
- Extensive line of products and services. We provide a broad range of high quality production equipment and services, ranging from standard

processing and control equipment, to highly specialized engineered systems and fully integrated solutions to our customers around the world. By providing the broadest range of products and services in the industry, we offer our customers the time and cost savings resulting from the use of a single supplier for process engineering, design, manufacturing and installation of production and related control systems.

- Experienced and focused management team. Our management team has extensive experience in our industry with an average of over 20 years of experience. We believe that our management team has successfully demonstrated its ability to manage the growth of our business and the integration of acquisitions. Additionally, our management team has a substantial financial interest in our continued success through equity ownership or incentives.

4

BUSINESS STRATEGY

Our objective is to maximize cash flow by maintaining and enhancing our position as a leading provider of equipment, systems and services used in the production of crude oil and natural gas which we intend to achieve by pursuing the following business strategies:

- Focusing on Customer Relationships. We believe that our customers increasingly prefer to work on a regular basis with a small number of leading suppliers. We believe our size, scope of products, technological expertise and service orientation provide us with a competitive advantage in establishing preferred supplier relationships with customers. We intend to generate growth in revenue and market share by establishing new and further developing existing customer relationships.
- Providing Integrated Systems and Solutions. We believe our integrated design and manufacturing capabilities enable us to reduce our customers' production equipment and systems costs and shorten delivery times. Our strategy is to be involved in projects early, to provide the broadest and most complete scope of equipment and services in our industry and to focus on larger integrated systems.
- Introducing New Technologies and Products. Since our inception, we have developed and acquired leading technologies that enable us to address the global market demand for increasingly sophisticated production equipment and systems. We will continue to pursue new technologies through internal development, acquisitions and licenses.
- Pursuing Complementary Acquisitions. Our industry is highly fragmented and contains many smaller competitors with narrow product lines and geographic scope. We intend to continue to acquire companies that provide complementary technologies, enhance our ability to offer integrated systems or expand our geographic reach.
- Expanding International Presence. We have operated in various international markets for more than 50 years. We intend to continue to expand internationally in targeted geographic regions, such as Southeast Asia, South America and West Africa.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS

A SUBSTANTIAL OR EXTENDED DECLINE IN OIL OR GAS PRICES COULD RESULT IN LOWER EXPENDITURES BY THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY, THEREBY NEGATIVELY AFFECTING OUR REVENUE.

Our business is substantially dependent on the condition of the oil and gas industry and its willingness to spend capital on the exploration for and development of oil and gas reserves. A substantial or extended decline in these expenditures may result in the discovery of fewer new reserves of oil and gas, adversely affecting the market for our production equipment and services. The level of these capital expenditures is generally dependent on the industry's view of oil and gas prices, which have been characterized by significant volatility in recent years. Oil and gas prices are affected by numerous factors, including:

- the level of exploration activity;
- worldwide economic activity;
- interest rates and the cost of capital;
- environmental regulation;
- tax policies;
- political requirements of national governments;
- coordination by the Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries
 ("OPEC");
- the cost of producing oil and gas; and
- technological advances.

5

WE MAY LOSE MONEY ON FIXED-PRICE CONTRACTS.

Some of our projects, including larger engineered systems projects, are performed on a fixed-price basis. We are responsible for all cost overruns, other than any resulting from change orders. Our costs and any gross profit realized on our fixed-price contracts will often vary from the estimated amounts on which these contracts were originally based. This may occur for various reasons, including:

- errors in estimates or bidding;
- changes in availability and cost of labor and material; and
- variations in productivity from our original estimates.

These variations and the risks inherent in engineered systems projects may result in reduced profitability or losses on our projects. Depending on the size of a project, variations from estimated contract performance can have a significant negative impact on our operating results or our financial condition.

WE HAVE RELIED AND WE EXPECT TO CONTINUE TO RELY ON A LIMITED NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS FOR A SIGNIFICANT PORTION OF OUR REVENUES.

There have been and are expected to be periods where a substantial portion of our revenues is derived from a single customer or a small group of customers. We had revenues of \$15.7 million, or 5% of our total revenues, provided by Anadarko and affiliates, \$15.5 million, or 5% of total revenues, provided by ChevronTexaco Corp. and affiliates, and \$13.4 million, or 5% of total revenues provided by BP and affiliates excluding CTOC, for the year ended December 31,

2001. No other customer provided 5% or more of total revenues during fiscal 2001. The CTOC project provided \$10.9 million, or 4% of total revenues, for fiscal 2001. The CTOC project is a \$73.0 million contract awarded in 1999 to supply gas treating and conditioning equipment for a project in Southeast Asia. The project is a joint venture under the control of the Carigali-Triton Operating Company ("CTOC"), which is principally owned by Petronas, the Malaysian national oil company, and by BP. The project is located in the Gulf of Thailand and produced approximately 20% of our revenues in 2000. As of December 31, 2001, the project was approximately 98% complete.

THE LOSS OF ONE OR MORE OF OUR CUSTOMERS COULD MATERIALLY HARM OUR BUSINESS AND EARNINGS.

We expect to continue our practice of entering into relationships with major oil companies and large independent producers. Many of these relationships are non-binding arrangements in which both parties undertake to satisfy the objectives of the relationship. They may be characterized as:

- blanket purchase orders for specified amounts of standardized equipment;
- project-specific integrated relationships; or
- ongoing informal working relationships.

The loss of one or more of these relationships could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

THE DOLLAR AMOUNT OF OUR BACKLOG, AS STATED AT ANY GIVEN TIME, IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF OUR FUTURE CASH FLOW.

Backlog consists of firm customer orders that have satisfactory credit or financing arrangements in place, for which authorization to begin work or purchase materials has been given and for which a delivery date has been established. As of December 31, 2001, we had backlog of \$101.3 million, of which approximately 27% related to ExxonMobil, 11% related to a North Sea consortium and 7% related to Halliburton/KBR.

We cannot assure you that the revenues projected in our backlog will be realized, or if realized, will result in profits. To the extent that we experience significant terminations, suspensions or adjustments in the scope of our projects as reflected in our backlog contracts, we could be materially adversely affected.

6

Occasionally, a customer will cancel or delay a project for reasons beyond our control. In the event of a project cancellation, we are generally reimbursed for our costs but typically have no contractual right to the total revenues expected from such project as reflected in our backlog. In addition, projects may remain in our backlog for extended periods of time. If we were to experience significant cancellations or delays of projects in our backlog, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

OUR ABILITY TO ATTRACT AND RETAIN SKILLED LABOR IS CRUCIAL TO THE PROFITABILITY OF OUR FABRICATION AND SERVICES ACTIVITIES.

Our ability to succeed depends in part on our ability to attract and retain skilled manufacturing workers, equipment operators, engineers and other technical personnel. Our ability to expand our operations depends primarily on our ability to increase our labor force. Demand for these workers is currently high and the supply is limited. A significant increase in the wages paid by

competing employers could result in a reduction in our skilled labor force, increases in the rates of wages we must pay or both. If this were to occur, the immediate effect would be a reduction in our profits and the extended effect would be diminishment of our production capacity and profitability and impairment of our growth potential.

POSTRETIREMENT HEALTH CARE BENEFITS THAT WE PROVIDE TO CERTAIN FORMER EMPLOYEES EXPOSE US TO POTENTIAL INCREASES IN FUTURE CASH OUTLAYS THAT CANNOT BE RECOUPED THROUGH INCREASED PREMIUMS.

We are obligated to provide postretirement health care benefits to a group of former employees who retired before June 21, 1989. For the year ended December 31, 2001, our cash costs related to these benefits were \$1.8 million, net of reimbursement of \$79,000 from the predecessor plan sponsor. At that date, there were 556 retirees and surviving eligible dependents covered by the specified postretirement benefit obligations. As of July 1, 2001, our accumulated pre-tax postretirement benefit obligation was calculated to be approximately \$11.4 million as determined by actuarial calculations. We cannot assure you that the costs of the actual benefits will not exceed those projected or that future actuarial assessments of the extent of those costs will not exceed the current assessment. Inflationary trends in medical costs may outpace our ability to recoup these increases through higher premium charges, benefit design changes or both. As a result, our actual cash costs of providing this benefit may increase in the future and have a negative impact on our future cash flow.

OUR INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS MAY EXPERIENCE INTERRUPTIONS DUE TO POLITICAL AND ECONOMIC RISKS.

We operate our business and market our products and services in oil and gas producing areas throughout the world. We are, therefore, subject to the risks customarily attendant to international operations and investments in foreign countries. These risks increased with the acquisition of Axsia in March 2001, and include:

- nationalization;
- expropriation;
- war and civil disturbances;
- restrictive actions by local governments;
- limitations on repatriation of earnings;
- changes in foreign tax laws; and
- changes in currency exchange rates.

The occurrence of any of these risks could have an adverse effect on regional demand for our products and services or our ability to provide them. An interruption of our international operations could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

The occurrence of some of these risks, such as changes in foreign tax laws and changes in currency exchange rates, may have extended consequences.

7

Axsia Group Limited ("Axsia"), the U.K. company we acquired in March 2001, has made sales (as part of its ongoing business prior to the acquisition) and has informed us that it expects to continue making sales of equipment and

services to customers in certain countries which are subject to U.S. government trade sanctions ("Embargoed Countries"), including sales to the Iraqi national oil companies permitted under the United Nations Oil-for-Food Program. Axsia's sales to customers in Embargoed Countries were approximately 2 1/2% of our consolidated revenue in 2001.

FUTURE ACQUISITIONS MAY BE DIFFICULT TO INTEGRATE, DISRUPT OUR BUSINESS AND ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR OPERATING RESULTS.

We intend to continue our practice of acquiring other companies, assets and product lines that complement or expand our existing business. We cannot assure you that we will be able to successfully identify suitable acquisition opportunities or finance and complete any particular acquisition. Furthermore, acquisitions involve a number of risks and challenges, including:

- the diversion of our management's attention to the assimilation of the operations and personnel of the acquired business;
- possible adverse effects on our operating results during the integration process;
- potential loss of key employees and customers of the acquired companies;
- potential lack of experience operating in a geographic market of the acquired business;
- an increase in our expenses and working capital requirements; and
- the possible inability to achieve the intended objectives of the combination.

Any of these factors could adversely affect our ability to achieve anticipated levels of cash flow from an acquired business or realize other anticipated benefits of an acquisition.

OUR INSURANCE POLICIES MAY NOT COVER ALL PRODUCT LIABILITY CLAIMS.

Some of our products are used in potentially hazardous production applications that can cause:

- personal injury;
- loss of life;
- damage to property, equipment or the environment; and
- suspension of operations.

We maintain insurance coverage against these risks in accordance with standard industry practice. This insurance will not protect us against liability for some kinds of events, including events involving pollution or losses resulting from business interruption or acts of terrorism. We cannot assure you that our insurance will be adequate in risk coverage or policy limits to cover all losses or liabilities that we may incur. Moreover, we cannot assure you that we will be able in the future to maintain insurance at levels of risk coverage or policy limits that we deem adequate. Any future damages caused by our products or services that are not covered by insurance or are in excess of policy limits could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

LIABILITY TO CUSTOMERS UNDER WARRANTIES MAY MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR CASH FLOW.

We typically warrant the workmanship and materials used in the equipment we manufacture. At the request of our customers, we occasionally warrant the operational performance of the equipment we manufacture. Failure of this equipment to operate properly or to meet specifications may increase our costs by requiring additional engineering resources, replacement of parts and equipment or service or monetary reimbursement to a customer. Our warranties are often backed by letters of credit. At December 31, 2001, we had provided to our customers approximately \$5.4 million in letters of credit related to warranties. We have in

8

the past received warranty claims and we expect to continue to receive them in the future. To the extent that we should incur warranty claims in any period substantially in excess of our warranty reserve, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

WE MAY INCUR SUBSTANTIAL COSTS TO COMPLY WITH OUR ENVIRONMENTAL OBLIGATIONS.

In our equipment fabrication and refurbishing operations, we generate and manage hazardous wastes. These include:

- waste solvents;
- waste paint;
- waste oil;
- washdown wastes; and
- sandblasting wastes.

We attempt to identify and address environmental issues before acquiring properties and to utilize industry accepted operating and disposal practices regarding the management and disposal of hazardous wastes. Nevertheless, either others or we may have released hazardous materials on our properties or in other locations where hazardous wastes have been taken for disposal. We may be required by federal or state environmental laws to remove hazardous wastes or to remediate sites where they have been released. We could also be subjected to civil and criminal penalties for violations of those laws. Our costs to comply with these laws may adversely affect our earnings.

OUR QUARTERLY SALES AND CASH FLOW MAY FLUCTUATE SIGNIFICANTLY.

A substantial amount of our revenues is derived from significant contracts that are often performed over periods of two to six or more quarters. As a result, our revenues and cash flow may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter, depending upon our ability to replace existing contracts with new orders and upon the extent of any delays in completing existing projects.

THE LOSS OF ANY MEMBER OF OUR SENIOR MANAGEMENT COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Our success depends heavily on the continued services of our senior management. These are the individuals who possess our bidding, procurement, transportation, logistics, planning, project management, risk management and financial skills. If we lost or suffered an extended interruption in the services of one or more of our senior officers, our results of operations could be adversely affected. Moreover, we cannot assure you that we will be able to attract and retain qualified personnel to succeed members of our senior

management. We do not maintain key man life insurance.

COMPETITION COULD RESULT IN REDUCED PROFITABILITY AND LOSS OF MARKET SHARE.

Contracts for our products and services are generally awarded on a competitive basis, and competition is intense. Historically, the existence of overcapacity in our industry has caused increased price competition in many areas of our business. The most important factors considered by our customers in awarding contracts include:

- the availability and capabilities of our equipment;
- our ability to meet the customer's delivery schedule;
- price;
- our reputation;

9

- our experience; and
- our safety record.

In addition, we may encounter obstacles in our international operations that impair our ability to compete in individual countries. These obstacles may include:

- subsidies granted in favor of local companies;
- import duties and fees imposed on foreign operators;
- taxes imposed on foreign operators;
- lower wage rates in foreign countries; and
- fluctuations in the exchange value of the United States dollar compared with the local currency.

Any or all these factors could adversely affect our ability to compete and thus adversely affect our results of operations.

A FURTHER ECONOMIC DECLINE COULD ADVERSELY AFFECT DEMAND FOR OUR PRODUCTS AND SERVICES.

Economic growth in several of our key markets, including the United States and Southeast Asia, declined during 2001 due to a world-wide recession, which was exacerbated by significant terrorist acts in the United States during September 2001. Current economic indicators and positive actions by the U.S. Federal Reserve, including the lowering of interest rates, support a recovery in the United States. The economic downturn in 2001 affected the economies in other regions of the world and contributed to the decline in the price of oil. If the United States economy were to decline further or if the economies of other nations in which we do business were to experience further material problems, the demand and price for oil and gas and, therefore, for our products and services could decline, which would adversely affect our results of operations.

OUR ABILITY TO COMPETE SUCCESSFULLY IS DEPENDENT ON TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES IN OUR PRODUCTS, AND OUR FAILURE TO RESPOND TIMELY OR ADEQUATELY TO TECHNOLOGICAL ADVANCES IN OUR INDUSTRY MAY ADVERSELY AFFECT OUR RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

Our ability to succeed with our long-term growth strategy is dependent on the technological competitiveness of our products. If we are unable to innovate and implement advanced technology in our products, other competitors may be able to compete more effectively with us, and our business and results of operations may be adversely affected.

OPERATIONS

We offer our products and services as either integrated systems or individual components primarily through three business lines: traditional production equipment and services, engineered systems and automation and control systems.

TRADITIONAL PRODUCTION EQUIPMENT AND SERVICES

Traditional production equipment and services consists of production equipment, replacement parts, and used equipment refurbishing and servicing, which is sold primarily onshore in North America and in the Gulf of Mexico. Through our NATCO Canada subsidiary, we provide traditional production equipment with modifications to operate in a cold weather environment. The equipment built for the North American oil and gas industry are "off the shelf" items or are customized variations of standardized equipment requiring limited engineering. We market our traditional production equipment and services through 31 sales and service centers in the United States, two in Canada, one in Mexico and one in Venezuela.

10

Traditional production equipment includes:

- Separators. Separators are used for the primary separation of a hydrocarbon stream into oil, water and gas. Our separator product line includes:
 - horizontal separators, which are used to separate hydrocarbon streams with large volumes of gas, liquids or foam;
 - vertical separators, which are used to separate hydrocarbon streams containing contaminants including salt and wax;
 - filter separators, which are used to remove particulate contaminants from gas streams;
 - Thermo Pak(TM) Units, which are used for the combined heating and separating of production in cold climates; and
 - Whirly Scrub(TM) V centrifugal separators, which are used as state-of-the-art compact scrubbers.
- Oil Dehydration Equipment. Oil dehydrators are used to remove water from oil. Our oil dehydration product line includes:
 - horizontal PERFORMAX(R) treaters, which separate oil and water mixtures using gravity and proprietary technology;
 - Dual Polarity(R) electrostatic treaters, which dehydrate oil using high voltage electrical coalescence;
 - vertical treaters, which separate oil and water using gravity and heat;

- Vertical Flow Horizontal (VFH(TM)) processors, which combine the advantages of horizontal and vertical vessels to remove gas and water from oil streams; and
- heater-treaters, which use heat to accelerate the dehydration process.
- Heaters. Heaters are used to reduce the viscosity of oil to improve flow rates and to prevent hydrates from forming in gas streams. We manufacture both standardized and customized direct and indirect fired heaters. In each system, heat is transferred to the hydrocarbon stream through a medium such as water, water/glycol, steam, salt or flue gas. Our heater product line includes:
 - indirect fired water bath heaters;
 - vaporizers used to vaporize propane and other liquefied gases;
 - salt bath heaters;
 - steam bath heaters; and
 - Controlled Heat Flux (CHF(TM)) heaters, which use flue gas to create a heat transfer medium.
- Gas Conditioning Equipment. Gas conditioning equipment removes contaminants from hydrocarbon and gas streams. Our gas conditioning equipment includes:
 - Cynara(R) membrane systems, which extract carbon dioxide from gas streams;
 - glycol dehydration equipment, which uses glycol to absorb water vapor from gas streams;
 - amine systems, which use amine to remove acidic gases such as hydrogen sulfide and carbon dioxide from gas streams;
 - Glymine(R) units, which combine the effects of glycol equipment and amine systems;
 - the BTEX-BUSTER(R), which virtually eliminates the emission of volatile hydrocarbons associated with glycol dehydration reboilers; and
 - DESI-DRI(R) Systems, which use highly compressed drying agents to remove water vapor from gas streams.

11

- Gas Processing Equipment. We offer standard and custom processing equipment for the extraction of liquid hydrocarbons to meet feed gas and liquid product requirements. We manufacture several standard mechanical refrigeration units for the recovery of salable hydrocarbon liquids from gas streams. Low Temperature Extractor (LTX(R)) units are mechanical separation systems designed for handling high-pressure gas at the wellhead. These systems remove liquid hydrocarbons from gas streams more efficiently and economically than other methods.
- Carbon Dioxide Field Operations. We also provide gas-processing facilities for the removal of carbon dioxide from hydrocarbon streams. These facilities use our proprietary Cynara(R) membrane technology that provides one of the most effective separation solutions for hydrocarbon

streams containing carbon dioxide. The primary market for these facilities is production from wells such as those located in West Texas in which carbon dioxide injection is used to enhance the recovery of oil and gas reserves.

- Water Treatment Equipment. We offer a complete line of water treatment and conditioning equipment for the removal of contaminants from water extracted during oil and gas production. Our water treatment equipment includes:
 - PERFORMAX(R) Matrix Plate Coalescers, which are used in both primary separation and final skimming applications;
 - TriPack(TM) Corrugated Plate Interceptors, which are used to remove oil and salable hydrocarbons from water;
 - Oilspin AV(TM) and AVi(TM) liquid/liquid hydrocyclones, which are compact centrifugal separation devices used in primary water treatment applications;
 - Tridair(TM) Sparger Gas Flotation units, which are used as secondary water cleanup systems; and
 - PowerClean(TM) Nutshell Filters, which are used where tertiary water cleanup is required.
- Equipment Refurbishment. We source, refurbish and integrate used oil and gas production equipment. Customers that purchase this equipment benefit from reduced delivery times and lower equipment costs relative to new equipment. The used equipment market is focused primarily in North America, both onshore and offshore, although we have observed a growing interest internationally. We have entered into agreements with major, large independent oil companies in both the United States and Canada to evaluate, track and refurbish used production equipment and may act as a broker between another oil company and our customer or may purchase, refurbish and sell used equipment to our customers. We believe that we have one of the largest databases in the North American oil and gas industry of available surplus production equipment. This database, coupled with our extensive refurbishing facilities and experience, enables us to respond to customer requests for refurbished equipment quickly and efficiently.
- Parts, Service and Training. We provide replacement parts for our own equipment and for equipment manufactured by others. Each branch of our marketing network also serves as a local parts and service business. We offer operational and safety training to the oil and gas production industry. We use training programs as a marketing tool for our other products and services.

ENGINEERED SYSTEMS

We design, engineer and manufacture engineered systems for large production development projects throughout the world. We also provide start-up services for our engineered products. Engineered systems typically require a significant amount of technology, engineering and project management.

We market our engineered systems through our direct sales forces based in Houston, Calgary, Camberley (UK), Gloucester (UK), Caracas, Singapore, Tokyo and Villa Hermosa (Mexico), augmented by independent representatives in other countries. We also use the unique oil testing capabilities at our research and

development facilities to market engineered systems. This capability enables us to determine equipment specifications that best suit customers' requirements.

Engineered systems include:

- Integrated Oil and Gas Processing Trains. These consist of multiple units that process oil and gas from primary separation through contaminant removal. For example, we designed and are manufacturing and assembling a module for a production facility situated off the coast of West Africa that is capable of processing 138,000 barrels of oil per day.
- Floating Production Systems. These consist of large skid-mounted processing units used in conjunction with semi-submersible, converted tankers and other floating production vessels. Floating production equipment must be specially designed to overcome the detrimental effects of wave motion on floating vessels. We pioneered and patented the first wave-motion production vessel internals system and continue to advance this technology at our research and development facility using a wave-motion table, which simulates a variety of sea states. We also utilize Computational Fluid Dynamic modeling and Finite Element Analysis to ensure that these facilities are optimally designed and are fabricated to meet the durability requirements at defined sea states.
- Centrifugal Separations Systems. In order to substantially reduce the size and weight of equipment for operators, we utilize our Porta-Test(R) Revolution(TM) centrifugal separator inlet devices and Whirly Scrub(TM) I inline centrifugal scrubbers to eliminate the need for large traditional vessels.
- Dehydration and Desalting Systems. Dehydration and desalting involves the removal of water and salt from an oil stream. Desalting is a specialized form of dehydration. In this process, water is injected into an oil stream to dissolve the salt and the saltwater is then removed from the stream. Large production projects often use electrostatic technology to desalt oil. We believe that we are the leading developer of electrostatic technologies for oil treating and desalting. One of our dehydration and desalting systems, the Electro Dynamic(TM) Desalter, can be used in oil refineries, where stringent desalting requirements have grown increasingly important. These requirements have increased as crude quality has declined and catalysts have become more sensitive and sophisticated, requiring lower levels of contaminants. The reduced number of vessels employed by this system is particularly important in refinery and offshore applications where space is at a premium.
- Large Gas Processing Facilities. We provide large gas processing facilities for the separation, heating, dehydration and removal of liquids and contaminants to produce pipeline-quality natural gas. We also design, manufacture and, in some cases, operate gas-processing facilities that remove carbon dioxide from hydrocarbon and gas streams. These facilities use Cynara(R) membrane technology, which provides the most cost-effective separation solution for gas streams containing more than 20% carbon dioxide. A primary market for this application is production from gas wells, such as those located in Southeast Asia, which have naturally occurring carbon dioxide.
- Water Re-injection Systems. We provide Sulfaject(TM) water re-injection systems that are used both onshore and offshore in enhanced oil recovery techniques. These systems remove contaminants from water to be injected into a reservoir so that the formation or its production characteristics are not adversely affected.

- Oily Water Cleanup Systems. We design and engineer systems that, through the use of liquid/liquid hydrocyclone technology and induced or dissolved gas flotation technology, remove oil and solids from a produced water stream. Oily water cleanup is often required prior to the disposal or re-injection of produced water.
- Downstream Facilities. We offer several technologies that have crossover applications in the refinery and petrochemical sectors. Most involve aspects of oil treating and water treating. We discussed above the use in refineries of one of our dehydration and desalting systems. In addition, we can provide DOX(TM) units to ethylene processors that clean both heavy and light dispersed oil from water.

13

AUTOMATION AND CONTROL SYSTEMS

The primary market for automation and control systems is in offshore applications throughout the world. We market and service these products through a four-branch network primarily located in the Gulf Coast area. These automation and control systems include:

- Control Systems. We design, assemble and install pneumatic, hydraulic, electrical and computerized control panels and systems. These systems monitor and change key parameters of oil and gas production systems. Key parameters include wellhead flow control and emergency shutdown of production and safety systems. A control system consists of a control panel and related tubing, wiring, sensors and connections.
- Engineering and Field Services. We provide start-up support, testing, maintenance, repair, renovation, expansion and upgrade of control systems including those designed or installed by competitors. Our design and engineering staff also provide contract electrical engineering services.
- SCADA Systems. Supervisory control and data acquisition ("SCADA") systems provide remote monitoring and control of equipment, production facilities, pipelines and compressors via radio, cellular phone, microwave and satellite communication links. SCADA systems reduce the number of personnel and frequency of site visits and allow for continued production during periods of emergency evacuation, thereby reducing operating costs.

MANUFACTURING FACILITIES

We operate nine primary manufacturing facilities ranging in size from approximately 8,000 square feet to approximately 130,000 square feet of manufacturing space. We own five of these facilities and lease the other four.

Our major manufacturing facilities are located in:

- Pittsburg, California. We manufacture the membranes for our bulk carbon dioxide membrane separation equipment at this 8,000 square foot facility.
- Covington, Louisiana. We fabricate various types of water treatment equipment as well as low-pressure production vessels at this 51,000 square foot facility.
- Harvey, Louisiana. We fabricate control panels for delivery throughout the world at this 12,000 square foot climate-controlled facility.

- New Iberia, Louisiana. We fabricate packaged production systems for delivery throughout the world at this 60,000 square foot and 16 acre waterfront facility, which can handle large equipment systems. We upgraded and expanded this facility in 2001.
- Electra, Texas. We produce various types of low- and high-pressure production vessels as well as mounted skid packages at this 130,000 square foot facility.
- Houston, Texas. We fabricate control panels for delivery throughout the world at this 8,000 square foot climate-controlled facility.
- Magnolia, Texas. We fabricate various types of low-pressure production vessels as well as skid packages and refurbish used equipment at this 38,000 square foot facility.
- Calgary, Alberta, Canada. We produce heavy wall and cold weather packaged equipment and systems primarily for the Canadian and Alaskan markets at this 68,000 square foot facility.
- Edmonton, Alberta, Canada. We fabricate specialized production vessels and skid packages at this 51,000 square foot facility.

14

Our manufacturing operations are vertically integrated. This means we are able to fabricate, heat treat, assemble, inspect and test our products at each facility. Consequently, we are able to control the quality of our products and the cost and schedule of our manufacturing activities.

Our New Iberia, Electra and Calgary facilities have been certified to ISO 9002 standards. ISO 9002 is an internationally recognized verification system for quality management overseen by the International Standards Organization based in Geneva, Switzerland. The certification is based on a review of our programs and procedures designed to maintain and enhance quality production and is subject to annual review and recertification.

We fabricate to the standards of the American Petroleum Institute, the American Welding Society, the American Society of Mechanical Engineers and specific customer specifications. We use welding and fabrication procedures in accordance with the latest technology and industry requirements. We have instituted training programs to upgrade skilled personnel and maintain high quality standards. We believe that these programs generally enhance the quality of our products and reduce their repair rate.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

We believe we are an industry leader in the development of oil and gas production equipment technology. We pioneered many of the original separation technologies for converting unprocessed hydrocarbon fluids into salable oil and gas. For example, we developed:

- the first high capacity oil and gas separator, which has been enhanced with the development of our centrifugal inlet vortex tubes (Porta-Test(R) Revolution(TM)) and other centrifugal separation technologies (WhirlyScrub(TM) V's and I's);
- the first emulsion treating systems, which have been advanced through the application of our Dual Polarity(TM), TriVolt(TM), TriGrid(TM), TriGridmax(TM) and the EDD(TM) (ElectroDynamic Desalting(TM)) electrostatic oil treaters;

- a PC-based Load Responsive Controller(TM) (LRC(TM)) for controlling electrostatic treaters remotely;
- a composite grid system for use in complex separation applications;
- DOX(TM) and OSX(TM) water filtration systems;
- the Oilspin AV(TM) and the automatic turndown capable AVi(TM) liquid/liquid hydrocyclones;
- the Mozley Sandspin(TM) solid/liquid hydrocyclones and the Mozley Wellspin(TM) wellhead desander;
- the Mozley SandClean(TM) System for cleanup of sand prior to offshore discharge;
- the Tridair(TM) Single Cell VersaFlo(TM) flotation unit;
- the Subfloat (TM) submerged column flotation unit;
- high pressure indirect and Controlled Heat Flux(TM) (CHF(TM)) heaters;
 and
- PERFORMAX(R) oil and water treating systems.

Our wave-motion compensating separator has become the industry standard for floating production applications, and our electrostatic oil treating technology is the most advanced in the industry. As of December 31, 2001, we held 161 active U.S. and foreign patents and numerous U.S. and foreign trademarks. We also have applications pending for fifteen additional U.S. patents. In addition, we are licensed under several patents held by others.

We operate a research and development facility in Tulsa, Oklahoma, at which a number of test devices are used to simulate and analyze oil and gas production processes. At our manufacturing facilities in Pittsburg, California, we are engaged in active, ongoing research and development in the area of membrane technology. We also have research and development operations at our facility in Edmonton, Alberta, Canada.

15

At December 31, 2001, NATCO had approximately 35 employees engaged in research and development or product commercialization activities.

MARKETING

Our products and services are marketed primarily through an internal sales force augmented by technical applications specialists for specific customer requirements. In addition, we maintain agency relationships in most energy producing regions of the world to enhance our efforts in countries where we do not have employees. Our traditional production equipment and services business has 33 operating branches in North America through which we sell production equipment, spare parts and services directly to oil and gas operators. Our engineered systems business typically involves a significant pre-award effort during which we must provide technical qualifications, evaluate the requirements of the specific project, design a conceptual solution that meets the project requirements and estimate our cost to provide the system to the customer in the time frame required. Our automation and control systems business is primarily marketed through our internal sales force.

CUSTOMERS

We devote a considerable portion of our marketing time and effort to developing and maintaining relationships with key customers. Some of these relationships are project specific, such as our participation in several Alaskan projects with BP. However, our customer base ranges from independent operators to major and national oil companies worldwide. In 2001, Anadarko and affiliates, ChevronTexaco Corp. and affiliates, and BP and affiliates excluding CTOC, each provided 5% of our consolidated revenue. No other customer contributed more than 5% of total revenues for the year ended December 31, 2001. Our level of technical expertise, extensive distribution network and breadth of product offerings contributes to the maintenance of good working relationships with our customers.

BACKLOG

Backlog consists of firm customer orders for which satisfactory credit or financing arrangements have been made, authorization has been given to begin work or purchase materials and a delivery date has been scheduled.

Our sales backlogs at December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, were \$101.3 million, \$49.9 million and \$76.5 million, respectively. Backlog at December 31, 2001 included a \$27.4 million booking for ExxonMobil, and an \$11.1 million booking for a North Sea consortium. Backlog at December 31, 2000 included \$12.5 million related to CTOC. Backlogs at December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, less the CTOC project, were \$99.8 million, \$37.4 million and \$18.0 million, respectively. The improvement in backlog for the year ended December 31, 2001, was consistent with our expectations and was due to the award of several projects in fiscal 2001 and the contribution of Axsia, acquired in March 2001.

Occasionally, a customer will cancel or delay a project for reasons beyond our control. In the event of a project cancellation, we generally are reimbursed for costs incurred but typically have no contractual right to the total revenues reflected in our backlog. In addition, projects may remain in our backlog for extended periods of time. If we were to experience significant cancellations or delays of projects in our backlog, our results of operations and financial condition could be materially adversely affected.

COMPETITION

Contracts for our products and services are generally awarded on a competitive basis, and competition is intense. The most important factors considered by customers in awarding contracts include the availability and capabilities of equipment, the ability to meet the customer's delivery schedule, price, reputation, experience and safety record.

16

Historically, the existence of overcapacity in the industry has caused increased price competition in many areas of the business. In addition, we may encounter obstacles in our international operations that impair our ability to compete in individual countries. These obstacles may include:

- subsidies granted in favor of local companies;
- taxes, import duties and fees imposed on foreign operators;
- lower wage rates in foreign countries; and
- fluctuations in the exchange value of the United States dollar compared with the local currency.

Any or all these factors could adversely affect our ability to compete and thus adversely affect results of operations.

Our primary competitors in our traditional production equipment and services business are Hanover Compressor Corp., as well as numerous privately held, mainly regional companies. Competitors in our engineered systems business include Petreco, a private company, Kvaerner Process Systems, UOP, Hanover APS, U.S. Filter and numerous engineering and construction firms. The primary competitors in our automation and control systems business are W Industries, MMR-Radon, SECO and numerous privately held companies operating in the Gulf Coast region.

We believe that we are one of the largest crude oil and natural gas production equipment providers in North America and have one of the leading market shares internationally. We further believe that our size, research and development capabilities, brand names and marketing organization provide us with a competitive advantage over the other participants in the industry.

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

We are subject to environmental regulation by federal, state and local authorities in the United States and in several foreign countries. Although we believe that we are in substantial compliance with all applicable environmental laws, rules and regulations ("laws"), the field of environmental regulation can change rapidly with the enactment or enhancement of laws and stepped up enforcement of these laws, either of which could require us to change or discontinue certain business activities. At present, we are not involved in any material environmental matters of any nature and are not aware of any material environmental matters threatened against us.

EMPLOYEES

At December 31, 2001, we had approximately 1,730 employees. Of these, approximately 110 were represented under collective bargaining agreements that extend through July 2003. We believe that our relationships with our employees are satisfactory.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES

We operate nine primary manufacturing plants ranging in size from approximately 8,000 square feet to approximately 130,000 square feet of manufacturing space. We also own and lease distribution and service centers, sales offices, and warehouses. We lease our corporate headquarters in Houston, Texas. At December 31, 2001, we owned or leased approximately 1.0 million square feet of facility of which approximately 511,000 square feet was leased, and approximately 531,000 square feet was owned. Of the total manufacturing space, approximately 276,000 square feet was located in the United States and approximately 119,000 square feet was located in Canada.

17

The following chart summarizes the number of facilities owned or leased by us by geographic region and business segment.

UNITED STATES CANADA OTHER

North American Operations	37	3	3
Engineered Systems	3		13
Automation and Control Systems	3		1
Corporate and Other	2		
Totals	45	3	17

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are a party to various routine legal proceedings that are incidental to our business activities. We insure against the risk of these proceedings to the extent deemed prudent by our management, but we offer no assurance that the type or value of this insurance will meet the liabilities that may arise from any pending or future legal proceedings related to our business activities. We do not, however, believe the pending legal proceedings, individually or taken together, will have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

There were no matters submitted to a vote of security holders during the fourth quarter of 2001.

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

Our authorized common stock consists of 50,000,000 shares of common stock. Prior to January 1, 2002, our common stock was divided into two classes designated as "Class A common stock" and "Class B common stock." On January 1, 2002, all outstanding shares of Class B common stock were automatically converted into shares of Class A common stock, at which time the authorized common stock reverted to a single class designated as "common stock." There were 15,803,797 shares outstanding as of March 15, 2002. The approximate number of record holders of our common stock was 42 at March 15, 2002. The number of record holders of our common stock does not include the stockholders for whom shares are held in a "nominee" or "street" name. There were 500,000 shares of preferred stock authorized at March 15, 2002, of which none was issued. Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol NTG.

The following table sets forth, for the calendar quarters indicated, the high and low sales prices of our Class A common stock reported by the NYSE. No information is provided for the period prior to the initial public offering of our Class A Common Stock on January 27, 2000.

	CLAS COMMON	SS A STOCK
	HIGH	LOW
2000		
First Quarter	\$14.94	\$10.25
Second Quarter	11.25	7.75
Third Quarter	10.94	7.88
Fourth Quarter	8.88	6.50

First Quarter	\$11.50	\$ 8.06
Second Quarter	13.74	8.80
Third Quarter	9.02	6.82
Fourth Ouarter	8.20	6.00

18

We do not intend to declare or pay any dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future, but rather intend to retain any future earnings for use in the business. Our credit facility limits our ability to pay dividends and other distributions. Since we are a holding company, these restrictions have the practical effect of precluding us from paying dividends on our common stock.

19

ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following summary consolidated historical financial information for the periods and the dates indicated should be read in conjunction with our consolidated historical financial statements. During 1998, we changed our fiscal year-end to December 31 from March 31.

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		NINE MONTHS ENDED DECEMBER 31,	YEAR EN
	2001	2000	1999	1998	1998
Statement of Operations					
Data(1):					
Revenues Cost of goods sold	210,512	\$224,552 162,757	\$169,948 127,609	\$145,611 115,521	161,80
Gross profit Selling, general and administrative	76 , 070	61 , 795	42 , 339	30,090	40 , 22
expense Depreciation and	51,471	39,443	32,437	24,530	28 , 55
amortization expense	8,143	5,111	4,681	1,473	1,32
Unusual charges	1,600	1,528			_
Interest expense Interest cost on postretirement	4,941	1,588	3 , 256	2,215	2 , 99
liability Revaluation (gain) loss on postretirement	888	1,287	1,048	786	1,04
liability			(1,016)	53	15
Interest income	(660)	(181)	(256)	(227)	(14
Other expense, net	429	13			
Income (loss) before					
<pre>income taxes Income tax provision</pre>	9,258	13,006	2,189	1,260	6 , 28
(benefit)	3,895	5,345	1,548	608	1,14

Income (loss) before
 cumulative effect of a

change in accounting					
principle	\$ 5 , 363	\$ 7 , 661	\$ 641	\$ 652	\$ 5,14
	=======	=======	=======	======	======
Basic earnings (loss) per					
share from continuing					
operations	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.6
Diluted earnings (loss)					
per share from					
continuing					
operations	0.34	0.51	0.06	0.07	0.6
Basic earnings per					
share	0.34	0.52	0.07	0.08	0.7
Diluted earnings per					
share	0.34	0.51	0.06	0.07	0.7
Balance Sheet Data (at the					
end of the period)					
Total assets	232,751	153 , 126	106,830	118,412	95 , 41
Stockholders' equity					
(deficit)	88 , 930	86 , 179	28,514	24,190	5,41
Long-term debt	51 , 568	14,959	31,180	41,777	33 , 71
Other long-term					
obligations	14,107	14,589	15,853	15 , 587	15 , 19

(1) In June 1997, we distributed our investment in Process Technology Holdings, Inc. ("PTH") to our then sole stockholder in a tax-free transaction. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we accounted for the results of operations of PTH as discontinued operations for all periods presented. Accordingly, the net income of PTH is excluded from income (loss) before income taxes in the statement of operations data for the periods presented.

20

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of our historical results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

OVERVIEW

We offer products and services as either integrated systems or individual components primarily through three business lines:

- traditional production equipment and services, through which we provide standardized components, replacement parts and used components and equipment servicing;
- engineered systems, through which we provide customized, large scale integrated oil and gas production systems; and
- automation and control systems, through which we provide control panels and systems that monitor and control oil and gas production.

We report three separate business segments: North American operations, engineered systems and automation and control systems.

In January 2000, we completed our initial public offering of common stock, resulting in the issuance of 5,178,807 shares of common stock with net proceeds of \$46.7 million. In July 2000, we changed our presentation of certain assets that were acquired from The Cynara Company in November 1998, and the related operating results, for segment reporting purposes. The majority of the assets were reclassified to the North American operations business segment from the engineered systems business segment. This change has been retroactively reflected in all periods presented.

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (each a "Forward-Looking Statement"). The words "believe," "expect," "plan," "intend," "estimate," "project," "will," "could," "may" and similar expressions are intended to identify Forward-Looking Statements. Forward-Looking Statements in this document include, but are not limited to, discussions regarding synergies and opportunities resulting from recent acquisitions (see "--Acquisitions"), indicated trends in the level of oil and gas exploration and production and the effect of such conditions on the Company's results of operations (see "--Industry and Business Environment"), future uses of and requirements for financial resources (see "--Liquidity and Capital Resources"), and anticipated backlog levels for 2002. Our expectations about our business outlook, customer spending, oil and gas prices and the business environment for our company and the industry in general are only our expectations regarding these matters. No assurance can be given that actual results may not differ materially from those in the Forward-Looking Statements herein for reasons including, but not limited to: market factors such as pricing and demand for petroleum related products, the level of petroleum industry exploration and production expenditures, the effects of competition, world economic conditions, the level of drilling activity, the legislative environment in the United States and other countries, policies of OPEC, conflict in major petroleum producing or consuming regions, the development of technology which could lower overall finding and development costs, weather patterns and the overall condition of capital and equity markets for countries in which we operate.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, related notes and other financial information appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Readers are also urged to carefully review and consider the various disclosures advising interested parties of the factors that affect us, including, without limitation, the disclosures made under the caption "Risk Factors" and the other factors and risks discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and in subsequent reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We expressly disclaim any obligation or undertaking to release publicly any updates or revisions

21

to any Forward-Looking Statement to reflect any change in our expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any Forward-Looking Statement is based.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires us to make certain estimates and assumptions which affect the results reported in our consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. These estimates and assumptions are based on historical experience and on our future expectations that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Note 2 to our

consolidated financial statements contains a summary of our significant accounting policies. We believe the following accounting policy is the most critical in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements:

Revenue Recognition: Percentage-of-Completion Method. We recognize revenues from significant contracts (contracts greater than \$250,000 and longer than four months in duration) and all automation and controls contracts and orders on the percentage of completion method of accounting. Earned revenue is based on the percentage that costs incurred to date relate to total estimated costs of the project, after giving effect to the most recent estimates of total cost. The timing of costs incurred, and therefore when revenues are recognized, could be affected by various internal or external factors including: changes in project scope (change orders), changes in productivity, the cost or scarcity of labor and equipment, governmental regulations, the political environment, weather patterns or the timing of client acceptances and approval at benchmark stages of the project. The cumulative impact of revisions in total cost estimates during the progress of work is reflected in the year in which these changes become known. Earned revenue reflects the original contract price adjusted for agreed claims and change order revenues, if applicable. Losses expected to be incurred on the jobs in progress, after consideration of estimated minimum recoveries from claims and change orders, are charged to income as soon as such losses are known. Customers typically retain an interest in uncompleted projects, and we generally recognize revenue and earnings to which the percentage of completion method applies over a period of two to six quarters. We believe that our operating results should be evaluated over a term of several years to evaluate our performance under long-term contracts, after all change orders, scope changes and cost recoveries have been negotiated and realized. We record revenues and profits on all other sales as shipments are made or services are performed.

ACQUISITIONS

In November 1998, we acquired all the outstanding common stock of The Cynara Company ("Cynara"), a designer and manufacturer of specialized production equipment utilizing membrane technology to separate bulk carbon dioxide from natural gas streams, for approximately \$15.5 million, 500,000 shares of our common stock and the right to receive additional shares of common stock based upon the financial performance of the Cynara assets. Ultimately, we issued 752,501 additional shares.

In January 2000, we acquired all the outstanding common stock of Porta-Test International, Inc. ("Porta-Test"), a manufacturer of centrifugal devices used to enhance the effectiveness of separation equipment, for approximately \$7.0 million and the right to receive additional payments based upon the performance of certain Porta-Test assets. See -- Commitments and Contingencies.

In February 2000, we acquired all the outstanding common stock of Modular Production Equipment, Inc. ("MPE"), a designer and manufacturer of water treatment separation systems specializing in hydrocyclone technology, for approximately \$2.7 million.

In April 2000, we acquired all the outstanding common stock of Engineering Specialties Inc. ("ESI"), a provider of proprietary technologies for oily water treatment and heavy metals removal from production at or near the wellhead, for approximately \$7.1 million.

On March 19, 2001, we acquired all the outstanding share capital of Axsia, a privately held process and design company based in the United Kingdom, for approximately \$42.8 million, net of cash acquired. Axsia specializes in the design and supply of water re-injection systems for oil and gas fields, oily water treatment, oil

22

separation, hydrocyclone technology, hydrogen production and other process equipment systems. This acquisition was financed with borrowings under our term loan and revolving credit facility.

We accounted for each of the above transactions using the purchase method of accounting.

INDUSTRY AND BUSINESS ENVIRONMENT

As a leading provider of wellhead process equipment, systems and services used in the production of oil and gas, our revenues and results of operations are closely tied to demand for oil and gas products and spending by oil and gas companies for exploration and development of oil and gas reserves. These companies generally invest more in exploration and development efforts during periods of favorable oil and gas commodity prices, and invest less during periods of unfavorable oil and gas prices. As supply and demand change, commodity prices fluctuate producing cyclical trends in the industry. During periods of slow-down, revenues for service providers such as NATCO generally decline, as existing projects are completed and new projects are postponed. During periods of recovery, revenues for service providers can lag behind the industry due to the timing of new project awards.

Over the past several years, our business has been impacted by fluctuations in the oil and gas industry in the United States and Canada. In 1998 and throughout 1999, the industry suffered the effects of a significant decline in expenditures due to a sharp decline in hydrocarbon prices. In 2000, energy prices rose significantly and the industry began a recovery. In 2001, energy prices declined again in part as a response to higher prices in 2000, and in part due to a general economic slow-down in the United States. As a consequence of this volatility in energy prices, our business has been affected by several cycles of spending by oil and gas companies over the past few years.

The following table summarizes the price of domestic crude oil per barrel and the wellhead price of natural gas per thousand cubic feet ("mcf"), as published by the U.S. Department of Energy, and the number of rotary drilling rigs in operation, as published by Baker Hughes Incorporated, for the most recent five years:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,				
	2001	2000	1999	1998 	1997
Average price of crude oil per barrel in the U.S	\$21.86	\$26.72	\$15.56	\$10.87	\$17.23
Average wellhead price of natural gas per mcf in the U.S		·	•	\$ 1.96 826	\$ 2.32 943

At December 31, 2001, the price of oil was \$15.49 per barrel, the price of gas had fallen to \$2.38 per mcf, and the U.S. rig count was 887. It is generally expected that spending for exploration and development efforts in the oil and gas industry will continue to trend downward for some time in 2002.

From a longer-term perspective, the U.S. Department of Energy estimates that world-wide demand for petroleum products will grow at an average annual

rate of 2.3% through 2020 and that demand for natural gas will increase at an average annual rate of 3.2% through 2020. As demand continues to grow, and reserves in the United States decline, producers and service providers in the oil and gas industry rely more heavily on global sources of energy and expansion into new markets. Furthermore, the industry continues to seek more innovative and technologically efficient means to extract hydrocarbons from existing fields. Due to depletion and the reworking of existing fields, production profiles are changing, including the mix of oil and gas produced by a field, and the use of enhanced recovery techniques in many fields can result in higher levels of contaminants such as water and carbon dioxide. As a result, additional and more complex equipment may be required to produce oil and gas from these fields. In addition, many new oil and gas fields produce lower quality or contaminated hydrocarbon streams that require more complex production equipment. These trends increase the demand for our products and technology.

The following discussion of our historical results of operations and financial condition should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

23

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

		EAR ENDED DE	
	2001	2000	1999
		IN THOUSANDS	
Statement of Operations Data:			
Revenues	\$286,582	\$224,552	\$169 , 948
Cost of goods sold	210,512	162 , 757	127,609
Gross profit	76 , 070	61 , 795	
Selling, general and administrative expense	51,471	39,443	32,437
Depreciation and amortization expense	8,143	5,111	4,681
Unusual charges	1,600	1,528	
Interest expense	4,941	1,588	3,256
Interest cost on postretirement benefit liability Revaluation (gain) loss on postretirement benefit	888	1,287	1,048
liability			(1.016)
Interest income.		(181)	. , ,
Other expense, net	429	13	
Income from continuing operations before income taxes and			
change in accounting principle	9,258	13,006	2,189
Income tax provision		5,345	1,548
Income before cumulative effect of change in accounting			
principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle (net	5,363	7,661	641
of income taxes of \$7)		10	
Net income	\$ 5,363	\$ 7,671	
	======	======	=======

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000

Revenues. Revenues for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$62.0 million, or 28%, to \$286.6 million, from \$224.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The following table summarizes revenues by business segment for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively:

	FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		CHANGE	
REVENUES:	2001	2000	DOLLARS	PERCENTAGE
	(IN T	HOUSANDS, EX	CEPT PERCI	ENTAGES)
North American Operations	\$149,546	\$123 , 745	\$25 , 801	21%
Engineered Systems	99 , 021	67 , 821	31,200	46
Automation and Control Systems	47 , 693	42,761	4,932	12
Corporate and Eliminations	(9,678)	(9,775)	97	(1)
Total	\$286 , 582	\$224,552	\$62 , 030	28%

Revenues from our North American operations business segment for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$25.8 million, or 21%, to \$149.5 million from \$123.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase was due to an increase in oilfield activity during fiscal 2000 through mid-2001 as a result of favorable oil and gas prices. Although oil and gas prices began to decline in late 2001, demand remained high for our traditional equipment and finished goods. We also experienced increased demand for our domestic and export parts and service business and our carbon dioxide field services business. Partially offsetting these increases was a decline in revenues of \$8.1 million provided by our Canadian affiliate, as large projects were completed in fiscal 2000 and several planned projects for fiscal 2001 were delayed. Inter-company revenues for this business segment were approximately \$5.2 million and \$5.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Revenues from our engineered systems business segment for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$31.2 million, or 46%, to \$99.0 million from \$67.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase was primarily due to the acquisition of Axsia in March 2001, which contributed revenues of

24

\$58.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. This increase was partially offset by a decline in revenues earned under the CTOC project, which contributed \$45.9 million in revenues for the year ended December 31, 2000, as compared to only \$10.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. Excluding the impact of the Axsia acquisition and the CTOC project, revenues for this business segment increased \$8.1 million during fiscal 2001 as compared to fiscal 2000, due primarily to export projects including a number of projects in South America. Engineered systems revenues of \$99.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 included inter-company revenues of \$748,000, as compared to \$286,000 of inter-company revenues for the year ended December 31, 2000.

Revenues from our automation and control systems business segment for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$4.9 million, or 12%, to \$47.7 million from \$42.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The increase was due to higher demand for our control equipment, especially equipment provided for deep-water projects, and an increase in field services performed for our customers. Inter-company revenues declined from \$4.1 million for the year ended

December 31, 2000 to \$3.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2001.

The change in revenues for corporate and eliminations represents the elimination of inter-company revenues as discussed above.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$14.3 million, or 23%, to \$76.1 million from \$61.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. As a percentage of revenue, gross margins declined from 28% for the year ended December 31, 2000 to 27% for the year ended December 31, 2001. The following table summarizes gross profit by business segment for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively:

	DECEM	YEAR ENDED BER 31,	CHANGE		
GROSS PROFIT:	2001	2000	DOLLARS	PERCENTAGE	
	(IN	THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PERCE	NTAGES)	
North American Operations	\$35,475 31,221	\$28,609 24,362	\$ 6,866 6,859	24% 28	
Automation and Control Systems	9,374	8,824	550	6	
Total	\$76,070	\$61,795 ======	\$14,275 ======	23%	

Gross profit from our North American operations business segment for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$6.9 million, or 24%, to \$35.5 million from \$28.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase in margin was primarily due to a 21% increase in revenues from this segment and improved margins on export parts and services and traditional finished goods. As a percentage of revenue, gross margins for the segment were 24% and 23% for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Gross profit from our engineered systems business segment for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$6.9 million, or 28%, to \$31.2 million from \$24.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase was due primarily to the acquisition of Axsia in March 2001, partially offset by lower margin projects included in the sales mix for 2001 as compared to 2000. Excluding the impact of Axsia and the CTOC project, gross margin increased \$1.5 million related primarily to export projects. As a percentage of revenue, gross margins for this segment were 32% and 36% for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

Gross profit from our automation and control systems business segment for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$550,000, or 6%, to \$9.4 million from \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This margin improvement was due to an increase in demand for electrical equipment which resulted in an increase in segment revenues of 12%, partially offset by a shift from higher margin quote jobs to time and materials jobs during fiscal 2001 as compared to fiscal 2000. As a percentage of revenue, gross margins for this segment were 20% and 21% for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

25

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. Selling, general and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$12.0 million, or 30%, to \$51.5 million from \$39.4 million for the year ended December

31, 2000. This increase was largely related to the execution of our business plan and included:

- additional costs associated with the acquisition of Axsia;
- additional costs associated with the start-up of the Singapore and Mexico offices;
- increased spending for technology and product development; and
- additional costs associated with employee medical claims.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$3.0 million, or 59%, to \$8.1 million from \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. Depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$991,000, or 32%, to \$4.1 million from \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase was primarily due to the inclusion of depreciation expense on assets acquired through the purchase of Axsia in March 2001, and depreciation on assets placed in service during fiscal 2001. Amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$2.0 million, or 102%, to \$4.0 million from \$2.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase was primarily due to amortization of goodwill associated with the Axsia acquisition in March 2001.

Unusual Charges. Unusual charges for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$72,000, or 5%, to \$1.6 million from \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. The charge for fiscal 2001 included \$920,000 related to certain restructuring costs to streamline activities and consolidate offices in connection with the acquisition of Axsia in March 2001, and an additional \$680,000 related to our decision to withdraw a private debt offering. The charge for fiscal 2000 was primarily for compensation expense associated with the employment agreement of an executive officer. The terms of the agreement entitled the officer to a sum equal to an outstanding note and accrued interest, totaling \$1.2 million at December 31, 1999, upon the sale of the Company's Class A common stock in an initial public offering. NATCO completed its initial public offering on January 27, 2000, and, pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we recorded compensation expense for the amount of the note and accrued interest, including related payroll burdens, totaling \$1.3 million. In addition, we recorded relocation expenses totaling \$208,000 associated with the consolidation of two facilities following the acquisition of Porta-Test in January 2000.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 increased \$3.4 million, or 211%, to \$5.0 million from \$1.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This increase was due to borrowings of \$50.0 million under a term loan arrangement to finance the purchase of Axsia, additional borrowings under revolving credit facilities during fiscal 2001 as compared to fiscal 2000, an increase in commitment fees under borrowing arrangements and an increase in interest incurred for letter of credit arrangements due to an increase in overall letters of credit outstanding.

Interest Cost on Postretirement Benefit Liability. Interest cost on postretirement benefit liability decreased \$399,000, or 31%, from \$1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 to \$888,000 for the year ended December 31, 2001. This decrease in interest cost was due to an amendment to the plan that provides medical and dental coverage to retirees of a predecessor company. Under the amended plan, retirees will bear more cost for coverages, thereby reducing our projected liability and the related interest cost.

Interest Income. Interest income increased \$479,000, or 265%, from \$181,000 for the year ended December 31, 2000 to \$660,000 for the year ended December 31, 2001. This increase in interest income was primarily due to

interest earned on a federal income tax refund paid during 2001 by the Canadian taxing authorities.

Other Expense, net. Other expense, net of \$429,000 for the year ended December 31, 2001 relates primarily to foreign currency transaction gains and losses incurred primarily at Axsia, and certain costs to exit derivative arrangements acquired with the purchase of Axsia in March 2001.

26

Provision for Income Taxes. Income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2001 decreased \$1.5 million, or 27%, to \$3.9 million from \$5.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This decline in income tax expense was primarily due to a decrease in income before income taxes, which was \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2001 as compared to \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2000. This decrease in income tax expense was partially offset by an increase in the effective tax rate from 41% to 42% for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 2001, respectively, primarily due to the impact of non-deductible goodwill amortization expense.

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 1999

Revenues. Revenues for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$54.6 million, or 32% to \$224.6 million, from \$169.9 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. The following table summarizes revenues by business segment for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively:

	FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31,		CHANGE	
REVENUES:	2000	1999	DOLLARS	PERCENTAGE
	(IN T	HOUSANDS, EX	 CEPT PERCE	CNTAGES)
North American Operations	\$123,745	\$ 82,345	\$41,400	50%
Engineered Systems	67 , 821	52 , 518	15,303	29
Automation and Control Systems	42,761	41,843	918	2
Corporate and Eliminations	(9,775)	(6 , 758)	(3,017)	(45)
Total	\$224,552	\$169 , 948	\$54 , 604	32%

Revenues from our North American operations segment for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$41.4 million, or 50%, to \$123.7 million from \$82.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase was due to an increase in oilfield activity resulting from an overall increase in oil and gas prices in 2000. We experienced increased demand for our production process equipment, as well as in the domestic parts and service business. Also, our Canadian operations provided increased revenues due to the acquisition of Porta-Test in January 2000, and the completion of two significant gas plant projects for Chevron Canada and several projects for Pemex. Partially offsetting these increases was a decline in service revenues of \$2.8 million due to the early termination of a U.S. carbon dioxide gas-processing agreement by a customer in the fourth quarter of 1999. Inter-company revenues for this business segment were approximately \$5.4 million and \$2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Revenues from our engineered systems business segment for the year ended

December 31, 2000 increased \$15.3 million, or 29%, to \$67.8 million from \$52.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase was primarily due to the contribution of one customer, CTOC, which provided revenues of \$45.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 as compared to \$14.6 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. The acquisitions of MPE and ESI in February 2000 and April 2000, respectively, also contributed to the increase in engineered systems revenue. This increase in revenue was partially offset by a decline in other domestic and international engineered systems, consistent with a decrease in project awards by our customers throughout 1999 and early 2000 as a result of lower natural gas prices in 1999. Engineered systems revenues of \$67.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 included inter-company revenues of \$286,000, as compared to \$1.7 million of inter-company revenues for the year ended December 31, 1999.

Revenues from our automation and control systems business segment for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$918,000, or 2%, to \$42.8 million from \$41.8 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. Despite the completion of several large projects in 1999, revenues for this business segment increased due to stable demand for our automation and controls products and an increase in inter-company revenues from \$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1999 to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2000.

The change in revenues for corporate and eliminations represents the elimination of inter-company revenues as discussed above.

27

Gross Profit. Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$19.5 million, or 46%, to \$61.8 million from \$42.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. As a percentage of revenue, gross margins improved to 28% for the year ended December 31, 2000 compared to 25% for the year ended December 31, 1999. The following table summarizes gross profit by business segment for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively:

		YEAR ENDED BER 31,	ED CHANGE		
GROSS PROFIT:	2000	1999	DOLLARS	PERCENTAG	
	(IN :	THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PERCE	INTAGES)	
North American Operations	\$28,609 24,362 8,824	\$19,956 13,490 8,893		43% 81 (1)	
Total	\$61,795	\$42,339	 \$19,456 =====	46%	

Gross profit from our North American operations business segment for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$8.7 million, or 43%, to \$28.6 million from \$20.0 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase in margin was primarily due to a 50% increase in revenues from this segment and improved margins on export parts and services, as well as the contribution of Porta-Test, which was acquired in January 2000. As a percentage of revenue, gross margins for the segment were 23% and 24% for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Gross profit from our engineered systems business segment for the year

ended December 31, 2000 increased \$10.9 million, or 81%, to \$24.4 million from \$13.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase was due primarily to a 29% increase in revenues from this segment and higher margin projects included in the sales mix for 2000 as compared to 1999. As a percentage of revenue, gross margins for this segment were 36% and 26% for the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Gross profit from our automation and control systems business segment remained relatively constant from the year ended December 31, 1999 to the year ended December 31, 2000. Revenues from this business segment increased 2% primarily due to an increase in inter-company sales with little impact on gross margin. As a percentage of revenue, gross margins for this segment were 21% for each of the years ended December 31, 2000 and 1999.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense. Selling, general and administrative expense for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$7.1 million, or 22%, to \$39.5 million from \$32.4 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase was largely related to the execution of our business plan and included:

- additional costs associated with the acquisitions of Porta-Test, MPE and EST:
- increased spending for technology and product development;
- additional expenses related to being a public company; and
- continued investment in pre-order engineering expenses.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$430,000, or 9%, to \$5.1 million from \$4.7 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. Depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2000 decreased \$555,000, or 15%, to \$3.1 million from \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This decrease was primarily due to extending the service life of certain operational assets. This decrease in depreciation expense for the year ended December 31, 2000 as compared to the year ended December 31, 1999, was partially offset by: (1) depreciation on the addition of capital assets during the last four quarters, which included renovations and expansions of existing manufacturing plants such as a drying plant at the Pittsburg, California facility, technological improvements to management information systems and the purchase of computer hardware and software, and acquisitions of and improvements to other equipment used in the Company's business; and

28

(2) depreciation expense due to the inclusion of results from Porta-Test, MPE and ESI, acquired during fiscal 2000. Amortization expense for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$913,000, or 90%, to \$1.9 million from \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase was primarily due to amortization of goodwill associated with the Porta-Test, MPE and ESI acquisitions. Also, amortization expense increased due to an increase in goodwill related to the acquisition of Cynara in November 1998. Pursuant to the Cynara purchase agreement, we issued 325,836 shares and 418,145 shares of our Class B common stock during September 1999 and June 2000, respectively, to Cynara's former shareholders based upon the achievement of certain performance criteria, and the cost of such shares was charged to goodwill.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2000 decreased \$1.7 million, or 52%, to \$1.6 million from \$3.3 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This decrease was due primarily to a reduction of

long-term debt under our term loan and revolving credit facilities from \$31.2 million at December 31, 1999 to \$15.0 million at December 31, 2000. We retired \$27.9 million of long-term debt under our term loan facility during February 2000 with a portion of the proceeds from our initial public offering.

Unusual Charges. Unusual charges for the year ended December 31, 2000 were \$1.5 million. The charge was primarily for compensation expense associated with the employment agreement of an executive officer. The terms of the agreement entitled the officer to a sum equal to an outstanding note and accrued interest, totaling \$1.2 million at December 31, 1999, upon the sale of our Class A common stock in an initial public offering. We completed our initial public offering on January 27, 2000, and, pursuant to the terms of the agreement, we recorded compensation expense for the amount of the note and accrued interest, including related payroll burdens, totaling \$1.3 million. In addition, we recorded relocation expenses totaling \$208,000 associated with the consolidation of two facilities following the acquisition of Porta-Test.

Revaluation Gain on Postretirement Benefit Liability. In December 2000, we changed our method of accounting for gains and losses on our postretirement benefit obligation. Rather than record gains and losses immediately to the income statement, we now amortize gains or losses that exceed 10% of our accumulated postretirement benefit obligation over the expected remaining lives of the participants. Therefore, we did not record a gain or loss on the revaluation of postretirement benefit liability for the year ended December 31, 2000. During the year ended December 31, 1999, a revaluation gain on postretirement benefit liability of \$1.0 million was recorded due to a change in the actuarial discount rate used to calculate the net present value of the underlying liability.

Provision for Income Taxes. Income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2000 increased \$3.8 million, or 245%, to \$5.3 million from \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase in income tax expense was primarily due to an increase in income before income taxes, which was \$13.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2000 as compared to \$2.2 million for the year ended December 31, 1999. This increase in income tax expense was partially offset by a decrease in the effective tax rate from 71% for 1999 to 41% for 2000 primarily due to the impact of non-deductible goodwill amortization expense.

Cumulative Effect of Change in Accounting Principle. A gain of \$10,000, net of tax, was recorded for the year ended December 31, 2000 related to the cumulative effect of a change in the method used to account for gains and losses on our postretirement benefit obligation. In accordance with APB Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes," prior year financial statements were not restated for this change.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

As of February 28, 2002, we had cash and working capital of \$4.0 million and \$38.2 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2001, we had cash and working capital of \$3.1 million and \$37.1 million, respectively, as compared to \$1.0 million and \$49.1 million at December 31, 2000, respectively.

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$19.3 million, (\$6.3) million and \$15.1 million, respectively. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities for fiscal 2001 was primarily due to collection of receivables at Axsia and an increase in advance payments from customers, partially offset by an increase in inventories.

Net cash used in investing activities for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$57.7 million, \$23.6 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. The primary use of funds for the year ended December 31, 2001 was the acquisition of Axsia, which required the use of \$48.3 million, and capital expenditures of \$10.0 million. Funds for the Axsia acquisition were borrowed under a \$50.0 million term loan facility. Capital expenditures for fiscal 2001 were financed with borrowings under our revolving credit facility and cash generated from current operations. The primary use of funds for the year ended December 31, 2000 was the acquisitions of Porta-Test, MPE and ESI, which required the use of \$17.1 million, and capital expenditures of \$8.1 million. These capital expenditures consisted primarily of renovations and expansions of manufacturing plants, technological improvements to management information systems and acquisitions of and improvements to other equipment, including an upgrade to the membrane manufacturing facility in Pittsburg, California, which was completed in the fourth quarter of 2000. Funds for the Porta-Test acquisition in January 2000 were borrowed from our revolving credit facility. These funds were repaid during February 2000 with the proceeds from our initial public offering. Funds for the MPE acquisition in February 2000 were also provided by our initial public offering. The ESI acquisition was financed with net borrowings of \$7.1 million under the revolving credit facilities. The primary use of funds for the year ended December 31, 1999 was capital expenditures of \$3.6 million.

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$41.1 million, \$29.7 million and (\$13.4) million, respectively. The primary source of funds for financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2001, was borrowings of \$50.0 million under the term loan facility, partially offset by principal repayments of \$5.3 million under the term loan facility, net repayments of \$747,000 under the revolving credit facility, payments on postretirement benefit liability of \$1.8 million and repayment of short-term notes of \$1.0 million. The primary source of funds for financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2000 was our initial public offering of common stock, which provided net proceeds of \$46.7 million. These proceeds were used primarily to retire \$27.9 million of outstanding debt under a term loan arrangement, to repay \$3.0 million borrowed under the revolving credit agreement for the purchase of Porta-Test and to repay \$2.9 million of debt assumed in the acquisitions of Porta-Test and MPE. The use of cash for financing activities during 1999 was due primarily to the repayment of long-term debt.

We maintain revolving credit and term loan facilities, as well as a working capital facility for export sales. The term loan provides for up to \$50.0 million of borrowings and the revolving credit facilities provide for up to \$30.0 million of borrowings in the United States, up to \$10.0 million of borrowings in Canada and up to \$10.0 million of borrowings in the United Kingdom, subject to borrowing base limitations. The term loan matures on March 15, 2006, and each of the revolving facilities matures on March 15, 2004. At December 31, 2001, we had borrowings outstanding under the term loan facility of \$44.8 million and borrowings of \$12.8 million outstanding under the revolving credit facility and had issued \$19.0 million in outstanding letters of credit under this facility. Amounts borrowed under the term loan portion of this facility currently bear interest at a rate of 4.25% per annum. Amounts borrowed under the revolving portion of this facility will bear interest as follows:

- until April 1, 2002, at a rate equal to, at our election, either (1) LIBOR plus 2.25% or (2) a base rate plus 0.75%; and
- on and after April 1, 2002, at a rate based upon the ratio of funded debt to EBITDA and ranging from, at our election, (1) a high of LIBOR plus 2.50% to a low of LIBOR plus 1.75% or (2) a high of a base rate plus 1.0% to a low of a base rate plus 0.25%.

We will pay commitment fees of 0.50% per year until April 1, 2002 and 0.30% to 0.50% per year, depending upon the ratio of funded debt to EBITDA, on and after April 1, 2002, in each case on the undrawn portion of the facility.

The revolving credit and term loan facility is guaranteed by all of our domestic subsidiaries and is secured by a first priority lien on all inventory, accounts receivable and other material tangible and intangible assets. In addition, we have pledged 65% of the voting stock of our active foreign subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2001,

30

we were in compliance with all debt covenants. The weighted average interest rate of our borrowings under the term loan and revolving credit agreement on that date was 4.31%.

The export sales credit facility provides for aggregate borrowings of \$10.0 million, subject to borrowing base limitations, of which \$1.1 million was outstanding as of December 31, 2001. In addition, we had issued letters of credit totaling \$361,000 under the export facility as of that date. The export sales credit facility is secured by specific project inventory and receivables and is partially guaranteed by the Export-Import Bank of the United States. The export sales credit facility loans mature in July 2004.

At February 28, 2002, borrowing base limitations reduced our available borrowing capacity under the revolving credit facilities and export sales credit agreement to \$16.8 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We have non-cancelable future commitments under debt and operating lease arrangements over the next five years as follows: 2002-\$10.9 million; 2003-\$10.3 million; 2004-\$23.5 million; 2005-\$7.8 million; and 2006-\$17.5 million.

The Porta-Test purchase agreement, executed in January 2000, contains a provision to calculate a payment to certain former stockholders of Porta-Test Systems, Inc. for a three-year period ended January 24, 2003, based upon sales of a limited number of specified products designed by or utilizing technology that existed at the time of the acquisition. Liability under this arrangement is contingent upon attaining certain performance criteria, including gross margins and sales volumes for the specified products. If applicable, payment is required annually. In April 2001, we paid \$226,000 under this arrangement related to the year ended January 24, 2001. Any future liabilities incurred under this arrangement will result in an increase in goodwill.

We have no special purpose entities or unconsolidated affiliates or partnerships.

We believe that our operating cash flow, supported by our available borrowing capacity, will be adequate to fund operations and non-cancelable future commitments under debt and operating lease arrangements throughout 2002. To the extent that management is successful in identifying additional acquisition opportunities during 2002, the ability to finance these acquisitions with debt and/or equity will be a critical element of the analysis of the opportunities.

RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

We do not own a minority interest in or guarantee obligations for any related party. There are no debt obligations of related parties for which we

have responsibility but were not reported in our balance sheet.

We paid Capricorn Management, G.P., an affiliate company of Capricorn Holdings, Inc., for administrative services, which included office space and parking in Connecticut for our Chief Executive Officer, reception, telephone, computer services and other normal office support relating to that space. Mr. Herbert S. Winokur, Jr., one of our directors, is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Capricorn Holdings, Inc., and directly or indirectly controls approximately 31% of our outstanding common stock. In addition, our Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Gregory, is a non-salaried member in Capricorn Holdings LLC, the general partner of Capricorn Investors II, L.P., a private investment partnership. Capricorn Investors II, L.P. controls approximately 20% of our common stock. Fees paid to Capricorn Management totaled \$85,000, \$75,000 and \$75,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Commencing October 1, 2001, the fee increased to \$28,750 quarterly due primarily to an upward adjustment in Capricorn Management's underlying lease for office space; this increase was reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. As of December 31, 1999, we recorded a receivable from Capricorn Management for \$5,000 related to expenses paid on its behalf. No receivable existed at December 31, 2001 or 2000.

Under the terms of an employment agreement in effect prior to 1999, we loaned our Chief Executive Officer \$1.2 million in July 1999 to purchase 136,832 shares of common stock. During February 2000, after we completed the initial public offering of our Class A common stock, we paid this executive officer a bonus equal

31

to the principal and interest accrued under this note arrangement and recorded compensation expense of \$1.3 million. The officer used the proceeds of this settlement, net of tax, to repay us approximately \$665,000. The remaining loan balance, including accrued interest, was \$651,000 at December 31, 2001, and continues to accrue interest at 6% annually. In addition, on October 27, 2000, our board of directors agreed to provide a full recourse loan to this executive officer to facilitate the exercise of certain outstanding stock options. This loan matures on July 31, 2003, and provides interest stated at our then-current borrowing rate, and principal equal to the cost to exercise the options plus any personal tax burdens that result from the exercise. As of December 31, 2001, the balance of the note (principal and accrued interest) due from this officer under these loan arrangements was \$3.3 million.

INFLATION AND CHANGES IN PRICES

The costs of materials (e.g., steel) for our products rise and fall with their value in the commodity markets. Generally, increases in raw materials and labor costs are passed on to our customers.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") approved Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 141, "Business Combinations." This standard requires that any business combination initiated after June 30, 2001 be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. This standard became effective on July 1, 2001. We adopted this standard on July 1, 2001, with no material effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

The FASB approved SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" in June 2001. This pronouncement requires that intangible assets with indefinite lives, including goodwill, cease being amortized and be evaluated on an

impairment basis. Intangible assets with a defined term, such as patents, would continue to be amortized over the useful life of the asset. This pronouncement becomes effective on January 1, 2002, for companies with a calendar year end. We had net goodwill of \$79.9 million as of December 31, 2001. Goodwill amortization totaled \$3.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2001. We have not yet determined the impact that this pronouncement will have on our financial condition or results of operations. As permitted by the standard, we will determine and quantify our exposure under this pronouncement during fiscal 2002, after completing the required impairment testing.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." This standard provides guidance on reporting and accounting for obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived tangible assets and the associated retirement costs. This standard is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. We have not yet determined the impact that this pronouncement will have on our financial condition or results of operations.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." This statement replaces SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed Of," and standardizes the accounting model to be used for asset dispositions and related implementation issues. This pronouncement becomes effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. We have not yet determined the impact that this pronouncement will have on our financial condition or results of operations.

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our operations are conducted around the world in a number of different countries. Accordingly, our earnings are exposed to changes in foreign currency exchange rates. The majority of our foreign currency transactions relate to operations in Canada and the U.K. At NATCO Canada, most contracts are denominated in Canadian dollars, and most of the costs incurred are in Canadian dollars, thereby mitigating risks associated with currency fluctuations. At Axsia, which is our U.K.-based operation acquired in March 2001, many contracts are denominated in U.S. dollars, and occasionally in euros, whereas most of the costs may be in British pounds sterling. Consequently, we have some currency risk in our U.K. operations. Prior to the date of acquisition, Axsia had entered into certain forward contract arrangements whereby it sold

32

U.S. dollars for future delivery at a specified strike price, in order to hedge exposure to currency fluctuations on contracts denominated in U.S. dollars. During the third and fourth quarters of 2001, we paid approximately \$249,000 to terminate these forward contracts. No forward contracts or other derivative arrangements existed at December 31, 2001, and we do not currently intend to enter into new forward contracts or other derivative arrangements as part of our currency risk management strategy.

Our financial instruments are subject to changes in interest rates, including our revolving credit and term loan facility and our working capital facility for export sales. At December 31, 2001, we had \$44.8 million outstanding under the term loan portion of the revolving credit and term loan facility. At December 31, 2001, outstanding borrowings under our revolving credit agreement totaled \$12.8 million. Borrowings under our revolving credit agreement bear interest at floating rates. As of December 31, 2001, the weighted average interest rate of borrowings under the revolving credit and term loan facility was 4.31%. Borrowings outstanding under the export sales credit facility were \$1.1 million at December 31, 2001, and bore interest at 4.75%.

Based on past market movements and possible near-term market movements, we do not believe that potential near-term losses in future earnings, fair values or cash flows from changes in interest rates are likely to be material. Assuming our current level of borrowings, as of December 31, 2001, a 100 basis point increase in interest rates under the borrowings would decrease our current year net income and cash flow from operations by less than \$350,000. This calculation assumes no action on our part to mitigate our exposure. Furthermore, this calculation does not consider the effects of a possible change in the level of overall economic activity that could exist in such an environment.

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

To follow are our consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, as applicable, along with the Independent Auditors' report:

33

INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

The Board of Directors NATCO Group Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of NATCO Group Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and 2000, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years ended December 31, 2001. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of NATCO Group Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2001 and 2000 and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

As discussed in Note 15 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for postretirement benefits in January 2000.

KPMG LLP

Houston, Texas February 18, 2002

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE DATA)

	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,093	\$ 1,031
2000, respectively	67,922	53,807
Inventories	37 , 517	28 , 677
Deferred income tax assets, net	3,693	1,745
Income tax receivable	993	178
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	2,039	1,042
Total current assets	115,257	86,480
Property, plant and equipment, net	31,003	23,430
Goodwill	79,907	36,534
Deferred income tax assets, net	4,378	5,409
Other assets, net	2,206	1,273
Total assets	\$232 , 751	\$153 , 126
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Current installments of long-term debt	\$ 7,000	\$
Notes payable		1,005
Accounts payable	30,440	23,133
Accrued expenses and other	34,781	12,098
Customer advances	5 , 925	1,163
Total current liabilities	78,146	37,399
Long-term debt, excluding current installments	51,568	14,959
Postretirement and other long-term liabilities	14,107	14,589
Total liabilities	143,821	66,947
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock \$.01 par value. 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares outstanding		
Class A Common stock, \$.01 par value. Authorized 45,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 15,469,078 and 14,977,354 shares as of December 31, 2001 and 2000,		
respectively	155	150
shares as of December 31, 2001 and 2000,		
respectively	3	7
Additional paid-in capital	97 , 223	96,601
Accumulated deficit	4,857	(506)
December 31, 2001, and 2000, respectively	(7,182)	(6,316)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,858)	(1,864)
Note receivable from officer and stockholder	(3,268)	(1,893)
Total stockholders' equity	88,930	86 , 179

Commitments and contingencies		
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$232,751	\$153,126
	=======	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

35

NATCO GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE DATA)

	FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001	FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000	FOR THE YEAR ENDE DECEMBER 3 1999
Revenues	\$286,582 210,512	\$224,552 162,757	\$169,948 127,609
0000 01 90000 0010			
Gross profit	76,070	61,795	42,339
Selling, general and administrative expense	51,471	39,443	32,437
Depreciation and amortization expense	8,143	5,111	4,681
Unusual charges	1,600	1,528	
Interest expense	4,941	1,588	3 , 256
Interest cost on postretirement benefit	888	1,287	1,048
liability Revaluation loss (gain) on postretirement benefit	000	1,20/	1,040
liability			(1,016
Interest income	(660)	(181)	(256
Other expense, net	429	13	
-			
Income from continuing operations before income			
taxes and change in accounting principle	9,258	13,006	2 , 189
Income tax provision	3,895	5,345	1,548
Income before cumulative effect of change in			
accounting principle	5,363	7,661	641
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	3,303	7,001	140
(net of income taxes of \$7)		10	
(
Net income	\$ 5,363	\$ 7,671	\$ 641
	======	======	=======
Earnings per sharebasic:			
Net income before cumulative effect of change in			
accounting principle	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.07
Cumulative effect of change in accounting			
principle			
Net income	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.52	\$ 0.07
nee income	======	======	======
Earnings per sharediluted:			
Net income before cumulative effect of change in			
accounting principle	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.06

principle				
Net income	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.51	\$	0.06
	=======	======	===	
Basic weighted average number of shares of common				
stock outstanding	15,722	14,653		9,302
Diluted weighted average number of shares of common				
stock outstanding	15 , 966	15 , 158		9,953
Pro forma net income (retroactive of change in				
accounting principle):				
Net income			\$	43
Earnings per sharebasic			\$	
Earnings per sharediluted			\$	

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

36

NATCO GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	COMMC STOC SHARE	K S	COMM STOC CLAS	CK SS	ADDITIONAL PAID-IN	ACCUMULATED
	A	В	A 	В	CAPITAL	EARNINGS/ DEFICIT
Balances at December 31, 1998 Issue common stock for	8,650,688	500,000	\$ 86	\$ 5	\$38,888	\$(8,818)
acquisition		325,836		3	3,419	
Stock options repurchased					(237)	
Stock subscription	136,832		2		1,203	
note receivable Comprehensive income						
Net income Foreign currency translation						641
adjustment						
Total comprehensive income						
Balances at December 31, 1999 Issue common stock in connection with initial public	8,787,520	825 , 836	\$ 88	\$ 8	\$43 , 273	\$(8,177)
offering Conversion of Class B shares to	5,532,904	(354,097)	55	(3)	46,632	
Class A shares	190,010	(190,010)	2	(2)		
acquisition		418,145		4	4,073	
director note receivable	(173,050)		(2)			
Treasury shares reacquired	(34,000)					
Issue stock subscription note receivable					1,260	
Interest on stock subscription						

note receivable Receipt for stock subscribed						
note receivable						
plans	673 , 970		7		1,363	
effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in						7,661
accounting principle						10
Foreign currency translation adjustment						
Total comprehensive income						
	14,977,354	699,874	\$150	 \$ 7	\$96,601	\$ (506)
Balances at December 31, 2000 Conversion of Class B shares to	373 , 675	(373,675)	4	(4)		
Class A shares		8,520			85	
acquisition	(118,454)		(1)			
Treasury shares reacquired Issue note receivable to						
stockholder Interest on stock subscription						
note receivable	236,503		2		537	
						5,363
Net income Foreign currency translation adjustment						
Total comprehensive income						
Balances at December 31, 2001	15,469,078	334,719	 \$155	 \$ 3	\$97 , 223	\$ 4,857
	=======	======	====	===	=====	=====
	NOTE RECEIVABLE FROM STOCKHOLDER	TOTAL STOCKHOLI EQUITY (DEFICIT	Z			
Balances at December 31, 1998 Issue common stock for		24,190)			
acquisition		3,422				
Stock options repurchased Stock subscription Interest on stock subscription	(1,205)	(237	•			
note receivable	(37)	(37	7)			
Net income		641	L			

535

Foreign currency translation

adjustment.....

Total comprehensive income		1,176
Balances at December 31, 1999	(1,242)	28,514
Issue common stock in connection		
with initial public offering		46,684
Conversion of Class B shares to		
Class A shares		
acquisition		4,077
director note receivable		(1,525)
Treasury shares reacquired Issue stock subscription note		(243)
receivable Interest on stock subscription	(1,260)	
note receivable	(56)	(56)
note receivable	665	665
Issuances related to benefit plans		1,370
Comprehensive income Income before cumulative		1,070
effect of change in accounting principle		7,661
Cumulative effect of change in		.,
accounting principle		1.0
Foreign currency translation		10
adjustment		(978)
		6,693
Total comprehensive income		
	(1,893)	86 , 179
Balances at December 31, 2000	(, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,
Conversion of Class B shares to		
Class A shares Issue common stock for		85
acquisition		(867)
Treasury shares reacquired		(007)
Issue note receivable to	(1,178)	(1,178)
stockholder Interest on stock subscription note receivable	(197)	(197)
Issuances related to benefit		539
plans Comprehensive income		
Complementative income		5 , 363
Net income		(004)
Foreign currency translation adjustment		(994)
Total compact raises is a second		4,369
Total comprehensive income		
	(3,268)	88,930
Balances at December 31, 2001		
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

37

NATCO GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001	FOR THE YEAR ENDI
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 5,363	\$ 7,671
Deferred income tax provision	(733)	1,611
Depreciation and amortization expense	8 , 143	5,111
Noncash interest income	(197)	(85)
Interest cost on postretirement benefit liability	888	1,287
Gain on sale of property, plant and equipment	(141)	(110)
Gain on revaluation of postretirement benefit		
liability Cumulative effect of change in accounting		
		(10)
principle		(10)
Decrease in restricted cash		
(Increase) decrease in trade accounts receivable	19 , 908	(14,230)
(Increase) decrease in trade accounts receivable	•	
(Increase) decrease in prepaid and other current	(8,004)	(6,647)
assets	141	(482)
Increase (decrease) in other income taxes	(826)	633
(Increase) decrease in long-term assets		418
	(1,935)	
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable Decrease in accrued expenses and other	(1,818) (6,325)	4,221 (819)
Increase (decrease) in customer advances	4,804	(4,819)
increase (decrease) in customer advances	4,004	(4,019)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating		
activities	19,268	(6,250)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures for property, plant and		
equipment	(10,023)	(8,137)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	268	575
Acquisitions, net of working capital acquired	(48, 285)	(17 , 126)
Issuance of related party note receivable	(1,178)	
Repayment of related party note receivable		1,059
Proceeds from claim settlement	1,500	
Net cash used in investing activities	(57,718)	(23,629)
Cook flows from financing activities:		
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net repayments under revolving credit agreements		0.064
Change in bank overdrafts Net borrowing (repayments) under long-term revolving	26	2,864

credit facilities	(747)	8 , 932
Repayment of short-term notes payable	(1,001)	
Borrowings of long-term debt	50,000	
Repayment of long-term debt	(5,250)	(27,858)
Issuance of common stock, net	1	46,894
Net payments on postretirement benefit liability	(1,787)	(1,772)
Receipt as partial payment of the net present value of	, , ,	. , .
postretirement benefit liability of affiliate		600
Receipt of postretirement benefit cost reimbursement		
from predecessor company	79	
· · · ·		(243)
Treasury stock reacquired	(867)	, ,
Other, principally bank and IPO fees	659	285
Not sook associated by (sood in) financias		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing	44 440	00 500
activities	41,113	29 , 702
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash		
equivalents	(601)	(539)
equivarence	(001)	
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	2,062	(716)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	1,031	1,747
outh and outh equivations at beginning of perfour		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 3,093	\$ 1,031
	======	=======
Cash payments for:		
Interest	\$ 3,977	\$ 1,061
Income taxes	\$ 1,791	\$ 1,903
Significant non cash investing and financing activities:	,	1 =/
Issuance of common stock for acquisition	\$ 85	\$ 4,077
-	\$	\$ 2,862
Debt assumed in acquisition	Ş ==	⊋ ∠,00∠
Partial settlement of note arrangement with treasury	<u> </u>	4 1 505
shares	\$	\$ 1,525
Promissory note issued for business acquisition	\$	\$ 1,026
Related party note receivable issued for stock		
subscribed	\$	\$ 1,260

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

38

NATCO GROUP INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) ORGANIZATION

NATCO Group Inc. ("NATCO") was formed in June 1988 by Capricorn Investors, L.P., which led a group of investors who provided capital for the Company to acquire several businesses from Combustion Engineering, Inc. ("C-E"). On June 21, 1989, the Company acquired from C-E all of the outstanding common stock of W.S. Tyler, Incorporated ("Tyler"), and National Tank Company, as well as the net assets of certain foreign affiliates.

During 1992, NATCO contributed its common stock investment in Tyler and \$5.5 million in cash to Process Technology Holdings, Inc. ("PTH") in exchange for all of the issued and outstanding common stock of PTH. In 1992 and 1993, PTH and NATCO sold certain shares of PTH common stock to third parties and, during 1997, the Company completed a tax-free spin off of PTH to its stockholder.

On June 30, 1997, NATCO acquired Total Engineering Services Team, Inc.

("TEST"), and on November 18, 1998, NATCO acquired The Cynara Company ("Cynara"). The Company acquired Porta-Test International, Inc. ("Porta-Test") on January 24, 2000.

On January 27, 2000, the Company completed an initial public offering of 7,500,000 shares of its Class A common stock at a price of \$10.00 per share (4,053,807 shares issued by the Company and 3,446,193 shares issued by selling stockholders). On February 3, 2000, the underwriter exercised its over-allotment option that resulted in the issuance of 1,125,000 additional shares of Class A common stock.

On February 8, 2000 and April 4, 2000, NATCO acquired Modular Production Equipment, Inc. ("MPE") and Engineering Specialties, Inc. ("ESI"), respectively.

On March 19, 2001, NATCO acquired Axsia Group Limited ("Axsia"), a privately held process and design company based in the United Kingdom.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements and all related disclosures include the results of operations of the Company and its majority-owned subsidiaries for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999. Furthermore, certain reclassifications have been made to fiscal 2000 and fiscal 1999 amounts in order to present these results on a comparable basis with amounts for fiscal 2001.

References to "NATCO" and "the Company" are used throughout this document and relate collectively to NATCO Group Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries.

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its majority-owned subsidiaries. Significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Concentration of Credit Risk. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the large number of customers comprising the Company's customer base and their geographic dispersion. For the years ended December 31, 2001 and 1999, no customer provided more than 10% of revenues. However, during fiscal 2000, Carigali-Triton Operating Company, SDN BHD ("CTOC") through its general contractor, Samsung, provided revenues of \$45.9 million or approximately 20% of total revenues, pursuant to a large project awarded in July 1999. No other customer provided more than 10% of revenues for the year ended December 31, 2000. See Note 21, Industry Segments and Geographic Information.

Cash Equivalents. The Company considers all highly liquid investment instruments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

39

Restricted Cash. At December 31, 1998 cash in the amount of \$883,000 was pledged as collateral on outstanding letters of credit related to performance and warranty guarantees, and was classified as restricted cash on the balance sheet. No restricted cash existed at December 31, 2001, 2000 or 1999.

Inventories. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Cost is determined using the last in, first out ("LIFO") method for NATCO domestic inventories, average cost for TEST inventories and the first in, first out ("FIFO") method for all other inventories.

Property, Plant and Equipment. Property, plant and equipment are stated at

cost less an allowance for depreciation. Depreciation on plant and equipment is calculated using the straight-line method over the assets' estimated useful lives. Maintenance and repair costs are expensed as incurred; renewals and betterments are capitalized. Upon the sale or retirement of properties, the accounts are relieved of the cost and the related accumulated depreciation, and any resulting profit or loss is included in income. The carrying values of property, plant and equipment by location are reviewed annually and more often if there are indications that these assets may be impaired.

Goodwill. Goodwill is being amortized on a straight-line basis over periods of 20 to 40 years. The Company assesses the recoverability of this intangible asset by determining whether the amortization over its remaining life can be recovered through undiscounted future operating cash flows. Based on its most recent analysis, the Company's management believes that no material impairment of goodwill exists at December 31, 2001. Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$3.7 million, \$2.0 million and \$739,000, respectively. Accumulated amortization at December 31, 2001 and 2000 was \$6.4 million and \$2.8 million, respectively.

Other Assets, Net. Other assets consist of prepaid pension assets, long-term deposits, deferred financing costs and covenants not to compete. Deferred financing costs and covenants not to compete are being amortized over the term of the related agreements. Amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$932,000, \$554,000 and \$570,000, respectively.

Environmental Remediation Costs. The Company accrues environmental remediation costs based on estimates of known environmental remediation exposure. Such accruals are recorded when the cost of remediation is probable and estimable, even if significant uncertainties exist over the ultimate cost of the remediation. Ongoing environmental compliance costs, including maintenance and monitoring costs, are expensed as incurred.

Revenue Recognition. Revenues from significant contracts (NATCO contracts greater than \$250,000 and longer than four months in duration and all TEST contracts and orders) are recognized on the percentage of completion method. Earned revenue is based on the percentage that incurred costs to date bear to total estimated costs after giving effect to the most recent estimates of total cost. The cumulative impact of revisions in total cost estimates during the progress of work is reflected in the year in which the changes become known. Earned revenue reflects the original contract price adjusted for agreed claims and change order revenues, if any. Losses expected to be incurred on jobs in progress, after consideration of estimated minimum recoveries from claims and change orders, are charged to income as soon as such losses are known. Customers typically retain an interest in uncompleted projects. Other revenues and related costs are recognized when products are shipped or services are rendered to the customer.

Stock-Based Compensation. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation," permits entities to recognize as expense over the vesting period the fair value of all stock-based awards on the date of grant. Alternatively, SFAS No. 123 allows entities to continue to apply the provisions of Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion No. 25 and provide pro forma net income and earnings per share disclosures for employee stock option grants made in 1995 and future years as if the fair-value-based method defined in SFAS No. 123 had been applied. The Company has elected to continue to apply the provision of APB Opinion No. 25 and provide the pro forma disclosure provisions of SFAS No. 123.

Research and Development. Research and development costs are charged to operations in the year incurred. The cost of equipment used in research and development activities, which has alternative uses, is

40

capitalized as equipment and not treated as an expense of the period. Such equipment is depreciated over estimated lives of 5 to 10 years. Research and development expenses totaled \$2.1 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

Warranty Costs. Estimated future warranty obligations related to products are charged to cost of goods sold in the period in which the related revenue is recognized. Additionally, the Company provides some of its customers with letters of credit covering potential warranty claims. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, the Company had \$5.4 million and \$931,000, respectively, in outstanding letters of credit related to warranties.

Income Taxes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the future generation of taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management has considered the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income and tax planning strategies in making this assessment.

Derivative Arrangements. Assets and liabilities associated with and underlying derivative arrangements which do not qualify for hedge value accounting are recorded at fair market value as of the balance sheet date with any changes in fair value charged to income in the current period, in accordance with SFAS No. 133, "Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities." The Company recorded a charge of \$249,000 to exit certain derivative arrangements that were acquired with the purchase of Axsia in March 2001. The Company had no derivative financial instruments as of December 31, 2001, 2000 or 1999.

Translation of Foreign Currencies. Financial statement amounts related to foreign operations are translated into their United States dollar equivalents at exchange rates as follows: (1) balance sheet accounts at year-end exchange rates, and (2) statement of operations accounts at the weighted average exchange rate for the period. The gains or losses resulting from such translations are deferred and included in accumulated other comprehensive loss as a separate component of stockholders' equity. Gains or losses from foreign currency transactions are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations.

Use of Estimates. The Company's management has made estimates and assumptions relating to the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the amounts of revenues and expenses recognized during the period to prepare these financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Earnings per Common Share. Basic earnings per share excludes the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents. The diluted earnings per common and common equivalent share are computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding. For the purposes of

this calculation, outstanding employee stock options are considered common stock equivalents. In conformity with Securities and Exchange Commission requirements, common stock, options and warrants, or other potentially dilutive instruments which have been issued for nominal consideration during the periods covered by the income statements presented, are reflected in earnings per share calculations for all periods presented. Anti-dilutive stock options were excluded from the calculation of common stock equivalents. The impact of these anti-dilutive shares would have been a reduction of 145,000 shares and 36,000 shares for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. There were no anti-dilutive stock options for the year ended December 31, 1999.

41

The following table presents earnings per common share amounts computed using SFAS No. 128:

PERIOD ENDED	NET INCOME	SHARES	PER SHARE AMOUNTS
	(IN THO	DUSANDS, EX PER SHARE A	CEPT SHARE
Year ended December 31, 1999			
Basic EPS Effect of dilutive securities	\$ 641	9,302	\$0.07
Options		651	(0.01)
Diluted EPS	\$ 641 =====	9,953 =====	\$0.06 ====
Year ended December 31, 2000			
Basic EPS Effect of dilutive securities	\$7 , 671	14,653	\$0.52
Options		505	(0.01)
Diluted EPS	\$7,671 =====	15,158 =====	\$0.51 ====
Year ended December 31, 2001			
Basic EPS Effect of dilutive securities	\$5 , 363	15 , 722	\$0.34
Options		244	
Diluted EPS	\$5,363 =====	15 , 966	\$0.34 =====

(3) CAPITAL STOCK

On November 18, 1998, the Company's charter was amended to divide its common stock into two classes: Class A common stock (45,000,000 shares) and Class B common stock (5,000,000 shares). The two classes of common stock have the same relative rights and preferences except the holders of the Class B common stock have the right, voting separately as a class, to elect one member of the Company's board of directors. Class B shares may be converted by the holder to Class A shares at any time, and will automatically convert to Class A shares on January 1, 2002.

On January 27, 2000, the Company completed an initial public offering of 7,500,000 shares of Class A common stock at a price of \$10.00 per share (4,053,807 shares issued by the Company and 3,446,193 shares issued by selling

stockholders). The proceeds to the Company, less underwriting fees, were \$37.7 million. These funds were used to retire debt of \$27.9 million under the term loan facility, to repay borrowings of \$3.0 million under the revolving credit facility used to acquire Porta-Test, to retire \$2.2 million of Porta-Test debt acquired, to pay offering costs of \$1.5 million and to fund other working capital needs. On February 3, 2000, the underwriter exercised its over-allotment option, which resulted in the issuance of 1,125,000 additional shares of Class A common stock and proceeds of \$10.5 million, net of underwriter's fees. Proceeds from the over-allotment were used to complete the acquisition of MPE including the repayment of \$685,000 of debt acquired, and for other working capital needs.

During 1997, the Company provided a loan of \$1.5 million (at an interest rate of 10% per annum) to a director of the Company who is also an affiliate of Capricorn Holdings, Inc. In March 1998, the related promissory note was amended to change the interest rate to 11% per annum. The principal was to be due on the date on which Capricorn Holdings, Inc. distributed its holdings of NATCO's common stock to its partners. During 1998, the Company acquired an option at a cost of approximately \$200,000 to purchase 173,050 shares of NATCO's common stock from the director at a price of \$8.81 per share. At the Company's option, the note provided that the obligation could be repaid with shares of NATCO's common stock. The cost to acquire this option was recorded as treasury stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. During February 2000, the Company exercised its option to acquire 173,050 shares of NATCO's

42

Class A common stock from the director for \$1.5 million, which reduced the note due from the director by the same amount. The shares were recorded as treasury stock at cost in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. The balance of the note due from the director was repaid in June 2000.

In February 2001, June 2000 and September 1999, the Company issued 8,520 Class B shares, 418,145 Class B shares and 325,836 Class B shares, respectively, to the former shareholders of Cynara, in connection with the achievement of certain performance criteria defined in the November 1998 purchase agreement. Goodwill was increased \$85,000 in 2001, \$4.1 million in 2000 and \$3.4 million in 1999, as a result of these transactions.

In October 2000, the Company's board of directors approved a stock repurchase plan under which up to 750,000 shares of the Company's Class A common stock could be acquired. During fiscal 2001, the Company reacquired approximately 118,000 shares of its Class A common stock under this repurchase agreement for \$867,000, an average cost of \$7 per share. During 2000, the Company reacquired 34,000 shares of its Class A common stock under this repurchase plan for \$243,000, an average cost of \$7 per share. The cost to reacquire these shares was recorded as treasury stock at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

(4) ACQUISITIONS

In November 1998, the Company completed the acquisition of Cynara from a group of private investors for \$5.3 million in cash, the assumption of \$10.1 million in Cynara bank debt, and the issuance of 500,000 shares of NATCO Class B common stock valued at \$5.3 million. The purchase agreement also stipulated that NATCO may be required to issue up to an additional 1,400,000 shares of Class B common stock to Cynara's former shareholders based on certain performance criteria defined in the purchase agreement. The Company issued 325,836 Class B shares, 418,145 Class B shares and 8,520 Class B shares in September 1999, June 2000 and February 2001, respectively, as per this agreement, which resulted in an increase in goodwill. See Note 3, Capital Stock. The funds used for the acquisition of Cynara were provided by \$5.3 million of equity and proceeds of

borrowings from a senior credit facility provided by a syndicate of major international banks. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase and the results of Cynara have been included in the consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition. Goodwill at December 31, 2001 and 2000 was \$17.6 million. Accumulated amortization was \$2.3 million and \$1.4 million for the respective periods.

The Company acquired all the outstanding common stock of Porta-Test on January 24, 2000, for approximately \$6.3 million in cash, net of cash acquired, which included payment of specific accrued liabilities of the former company and the purchase of certain proprietary intellectual property of an associated U.S. company, the issuance of a one-year promissory note for \$1.0 million denominated in Canadian dollars and a payment contingent upon certain operating criteria being met. See Note 18, Commitments and Contingencies. This acquisition has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, and results of operations for Porta-Test have been included in NATCO's consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the net assets acquired is being amortized over a twenty-year period. Goodwill and accumulated amortization related to the Porta-Test acquisition were \$5.3 million and \$528,000, respectively, at December 31, 2001.

The Company acquired all the outstanding common stock of MPE on February 8, 2000, for approximately \$2.4 million in cash, net of cash acquired, and the issuance of a one-year promissory note for \$338,000, which accrued interest at 10% per annum. This acquisition has been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, and results of operations for MPE have been included in NATCO's consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the net assets acquired is being amortized over a twenty-year period. Goodwill and accumulated amortization related to the MPE acquisition were \$3.4 million and \$338,000, respectively, at December 31, 2001.

The Company acquired all the outstanding common stock of ESI on April 4, 2000 for approximately \$7.1 million, net of cash and cash equivalents acquired, subject to adjustment. This acquisition, which was financed with borrowings of \$7.1 million under the existing revolving credit facility and borrowings of \$2.6 million under the existing export sales facility, was accounted for using the purchase method of

43

accounting, and results of operations for ESI have been included in NATCO's consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition. The excess of the purchase price over the fair values of the net assets acquired is being amortized over a twenty-year period. Goodwill and accumulated amortization related to the ESI acquisition were \$6.0 million and \$510,000, respectively, at December 31, 2001.

On March 19, 2001, the Company acquired all the outstanding share capital of Axsia, for approximately \$42.8 million, net of cash acquired. Axsia specializes in the design and supply of water reinjection systems for oil and gas fields, oily water treatment, oil separation, hydrocyclone technology, hydrogen production and other process equipment systems. This acquisition was financed with borrowings under NATCO's term loan facility and was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. Results of operations for Axsia have been included in NATCO's consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition. The purchase price of \$45.0 million was allocated as follows: \$2.2 million of cash acquired, \$38.4 million of current assets excluding cash, \$2.0 million of long-term assets excluding goodwill and \$46.0 million of current liabilities. The excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets acquired is being amortized over a twenty-year period. Goodwill and accumulated amortization expense related to the Axsia acquisition were \$48.4

million and \$1.9 million, respectively, at December 31, 2001. Although the Axsia purchase price allocation has not yet been finalized, NATCO's management does not believe that the final purchase price allocation will differ materially from that as of December 31, 2001.

Assuming the Axsia acquisition occurred on January 1 of the respective year, the unaudited pro forma results of the Company for the twelve months ended December 31, 2001, and 2000, respectively, would have been as follows:

PRO	FC	RMA	RES	SULTS
TWELL	7F.	МОИТ	'HS	ENDED

	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 20
	(UNAUDITED)	(UNAUDITED)
Revenues	\$301,529	\$287,403
Income before income taxes and cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	6,540	13,232
Net income per share:	3,428	6,794
Basic	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.46
Diluted	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.45

These pro forma results assume debt service costs associated with the Axsia acquisition, net of tax effect, calculated at the Company's effective tax rate for the applicable period, and nondeductible goodwill amortization. Although prepared on a basis consistent with NATCO's consolidated financial statements, these pro forma results do not purport to be indicative of the actual results which would have been achieved had the acquisition been consummated on January 1 of the respective year, and are not intended to be a projection of future results.

Effective January 8, 2001, the Company entered into a Compromise Settlement Agreement with the former owner of TEST, which resulted in a cash payment of \$1.5 million to NATCO on May 31, 2001, to settle certain contingencies related to NATCO's acquisition of TEST in 1997. The proceeds of this payment, net of related costs, were used to reduce goodwill associated with the TEST acquisition.

(5) UNUSUAL CHARGES

In June 2001, the Company recorded an unusual charge of \$1.6 million. The charge consisted of \$920,000 pursuant to an approved plan to close and merge an existing NATCO office into the operations of Axsia, as well as other streamlining actions associated with the acquisition. This charge included costs for severance, office consolidation and other expenses. Also, the Company withdrew a public debt offering and recorded an unusual charge of \$680,000 for costs incurred related to the proposed offering.

Pursuant to an employment agreement, an executive officer was entitled to a bonus upon the occurrence of any sale or public offering of the Company. The bonus equaled one and one-half percent (1.5%) of the

44

value of all securities owned by stockholders of the Company prior to the sale or offering, including common stock valued at the price per share received in

either the sale or public offering, and any debt held by such stockholders. In July 1999, the Company amended the employment agreement to eliminate the bonus and agreed to loan the officer \$1.2 million to purchase 136,832 shares of common stock. Per the agreement, the officer would receive a bonus equal to the outstanding principal and interest of the note upon the sale or public offering of the Company. During February 2000, after the Company completed an initial public offering of its Class A common stock, NATCO recorded expense of \$1.3 million in settlement of its obligation under this agreement. The officer used the proceeds, net of tax, to repay the Company approximately \$665,000. The outstanding balance of this note at December 31, 2001, was \$651,000. The loan accrues interest at 6% annually.

During the first quarter of 2000, NATCO incurred relocation charges of approximately \$208,000 associated with the consolidation of an existing Company facility with a facility that was acquired in connection with the acquisition of Porta-Test.

(6) INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following amounts:

	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	(IN THO	DUSANDS)
Finished goods	\$ 9,902 13,441 15,242	\$ 7,641 10,403 11,203
Inventories at FIFO Excess of FIFO over LIFO cost	38,585 (1,068)	29,247 (570)
	\$37 , 517	\$28,677 =====

At December 31, 2001 and 2000, inventories valued using the LIFO method and included above amounted to \$29.5 million and \$22.3 million, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 1999, liquidations of LIFO layers resulted in a reduction of cost of sales of \$21,000. There were no reductions in the LIFO layers for the years ended December 31, 2001 and 2000.

(7) COST AND ESTIMATED EARNINGS ON UNCOMPLETED CONTRACTS

Cost and estimated earnings on uncompleted contracts were as follows:

	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	(IN TH	OUSANDS)
Cost incurred on uncompleted contracts Estimated earnings	\$131,702 51,343	\$67,477 34,475
Less billings to date	183,045 169,925	101,952 91,301

	\$ 13 , 120	\$10 , 651
	=======	======
<pre>Included in accompanying balance sheets under the following captions:</pre>		
Trade accounts receivable	\$ 17 , 497	\$10 , 651
Customer advances	(4,377)	
	\$ 13 , 120	\$10 , 651
	=======	======

45

(8) PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, NET

The components of property, plant and equipment, were as follows:

	ESTIMATED USEFUL LIVES (YEARS)	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 2000
		(IN TH	OUSANDS)
Land and improvements		\$ 1 , 977	\$ 1 , 7
Buildings and improvements	20 to 40	14,396	10,4
Machinery and equipment	3 to 12	27,120	22,4
Office furniture and equipment	3 to 12	5,270	4,3
Less accumulated depreciation		(17,760)	(15,5
		\$ 31,003	\$ 23 , 4
		======	=====

Depreciation expense was \$4.1 million, \$3.1 million and \$3.7 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999. The Company leases certain machinery and equipment to its customers, generally for periods of one month to one year. The cost of leased machinery and equipment was \$5.3 million and \$5.1 million, and the related accumulated depreciation was \$3.5 million and \$3.4 million, at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively. Lease and rental income of \$1.2 million, \$581,000 and \$450,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively, were included in revenues.

(9) OTHER ASSETS, NET

Other assets consisted of the following:

	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	(IN THO	DUSANDS)
Deferred financing costs	\$1,691	\$ 433
Covenants not to compete		273
Prepaid pension asset		187
Other	515	380
	\$2,206	\$1,273

======

Deferred financing costs are amortized over the life of the related debt instruments (three and five years). Accumulated amortization was \$1.2 million and \$552,000 at December 31, 2001 and 2000, respectively.

(10) ACCRUED EXPENSES AND OTHER

Accrued expense and other consisted of the following:

	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	(IN THO	DUSANDS)
Accrued compensation and benefits	\$ 8,674	\$ 7,683
Accrued insurance reserves	1,731	1,012
Accrued warranty and product costs	3,053	900
Accrued project costs	11,896	
Taxes	3 , 817	700
Other	5,610	1,803
Totals	\$34,781	\$12,098
	======	======

46

(11) SHORT-TERM DEBT

In conjunction with the purchase of Porta-Test in January 2000, the Company issued a one-year promissory note for \$1 million denominated in Canadian dollars, which accrued interest at 15% per annum. On January 24, 2001, the note was repaid along with accrued interest.

During February 2000, the Company issued a one-year promissory note, face value of \$338,000, with interest payable per annum at 10%, in conjunction with the acquisition of MPE. In February 2001, the Company paid \$206,000 as principal and interest.

(12) LONG-TERM DEBT

The consolidated borrowings of the Company are as follows:

	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	(IN TH	OUSANDS)
BANK DEBT Term loan with variable interest rate (4.25% at December 31, 2001) and quarterly payments of principal (\$1,750) and interest, due March 16, 2006	44,750	
interest, due November 30, 2001		14,959

Revolving credit bank loans with variable interest rate		
(4.52% at December 31, 2001) quarterly payment of		
interest, due March 15, 2004	12,768	
Revolving credit bank loans (Export Sales Facility) with		
variable interest rate (4.75% at December 31, 2001) and		
monthly payment of interest, due July 23, 2004	1,050	
Total	58,568	14,959
Less current installments	(7,000)	
Long-term debt	\$51,568	\$14,959
-	======	======

The aggregate future maturities of long-term debt for the next five years ended December 31 summarize as follows: 2002--\$7.0 million; 2003--\$7.0 million; 2004--\$20.8 million; 2005--\$7.0 million; and 2006--\$16.8 million.

On March 16, 2001, the Company entered into a new credit facility that consisted of a \$50.0 million term loan, a \$35.0 million U.S. revolving facility, a \$10.0 million Canadian revolving facility and a \$5.0 million U.K. revolving facility. The term loan matures on March 15, 2006, and each of the revolving facilities matures on March 15, 2004. In October 2001, the Company amended this revolving credit agreement to reduce the borrowing capacity in the U.S. from \$35.0 million to \$30.0 million, and to increase its borrowing capacity in the U.K. from \$5.0 million to \$10.0 million. No other material modifications were made to the agreement.

Amounts borrowed under the term loan bear interest at a rate of 4.25% per annum as of December 31, 2001. Amounts borrowed under the revolving portion of the facility bear interest as follows:

- until April 1, 2002, at a rate equal to, at the Company's election, either (1) the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 2.25% or (2) a base rate plus 0.75%; and
- on and after April 1, 2002, at a rate based upon the ratio of funded debt to EBITDA (as defined in the credit facility) and ranging from, at the Company's election, (1) a high of LIBOR plus 2.50% to a low of LIBOR plus 1.75% or, (2) a high of a base rate plus 1.0% to a low of a base rate plus 0.25%.

47

NATCO will pay commitment fees of 0.50% per year until April 1, 2002 and 0.30% to 0.50% per year following 2002, depending upon the ratio of funded debt to EBITDA, on and after April 1, 2002, in each case on the undrawn portion of the facility.

The revolving credit facility is guaranteed by all the Company's domestic subsidiaries and is secured by a first priority lien on all inventory, accounts receivable and other material tangible and intangible assets. NATCO has also pledged 65% of the voting stock of its active foreign subsidiaries.

Borrowings of \$50.0 million under the term loan facility were used primarily for the acquisition of Axsia. The remaining borrowings, along with additional borrowings under the revolving credit facility, were used to repay \$16.5 million outstanding under a predecessor revolving credit and term loan facility.

As of December 31, 2001, the Company was in compliance with all restrictive

debt covenants. NATCO had letters of credit outstanding under the revolving credit facilities totaling \$19.0 million at December 31, 2001. These letters of credit constitute contract performance and warranty collateral and expire at various dates through October 2004.

The Company maintains a working capital facility for export sales that provides for aggregate borrowings of \$10.0 million, subject to borrowing base limitations, under which borrowings of \$1.1 million were outstanding at December 31, 2001. Letters of credit outstanding under the export sales credit facility as of December 31, 2001 totaled \$361,000. The export sales credit facility loans are secured by specific project inventory and receivables, are partially quaranteed by the EXIM Bank and mature in July 2004.

The Company had unsecured letters of credit totaling \$944,000\$ at December 31, 2001.

On November 20, 1998, a revolving credit and term loan facility was put into place with a syndicate of major international banks. The credit facility provides for a \$32.0 million revolving credit line (\$22.0 million available in the U.S., \$10.0 million available in Canada) to finance eligible accounts receivable and inventories, and a \$32.5 million term loan. Indebtedness under the credit facility bears interest at a floating rate based, at the Company's option, upon (i) the Base Rate, or Canadian prime rate with respect to Base Rate Loans, plus the Margin Percentage or (ii) the London Interbank Offered Rate for one, two, three or six months, plus the Margin Percentage. The Margin Percentage for Base Rate and Canadian prime rate loans varies from 1.00% to 0.00% depending on the Company's debt to capitalization ratio; and the Margin Percentage for Eurodollar loans varies from 2.50% to 1.00% depending on the Company's debt to capitalization ratio. The term borrowings mature on November 30, 2003. During October 2000, the Company amended the revolving credit and term loan facility to extend the maturity date of the revolving credit facility to January 1, 2003. These agreements contain affirmative covenants including financial requirements related to minimum net worth, debt to capitalization ratio, and fixed charge coverage ratio, as well as restrictions on NATCO making any distributions of any property or cash to the Company in excess of an agreed sum without prior lender approval, and requires commitment fees in accordance with standard banking practices. The loan was collateralized by substantially all the assets of the Company and its subsidiaries, as well as a guarantee by the Company. As of December 31, 2000, the Company was in compliance with all restrictive covenants.

During the first quarter of 2000, NATCO retired all outstanding debt under the term loan facility utilizing the proceeds from the initial public offering of the Company's Class A common stock. In addition, the Company borrowed \$3.0 million under the revolving credit facility to finance the acquisition of Porta-Test, which was repaid during February 2000. The Company borrowed \$7.1 million under the revolving credit facility and \$2.6 million under the facility for export sales during April 2000 to finance the purchase of ESI. In August 2000, the Company retired all outstanding borrowings under the export sales facility. Net borrowings under the revolving credit facility for the year ended December 31, 2000 were \$11.6 million.

Dividend Restrictions. With respect to its credit facilities, NATCO has agreed that it will not make any distributions of any property or cash to the Company or its stockholders' in excess of 50% of net income less excess cash flow beginning in 2001. No dividends were declared or paid during the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999.

Income tax expense (benefit) consisted of the following components:

Current: Federal. \$ (240) \$2,569 \$ State. 190 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 200 206 200 206 200 20		YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001	2000	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 1999
Federal \$ (240) \$2,569 \$ State 190 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 206 200 206 200			(IN THOUSANDS)	
State 190 206 Foreign 4,678 959 4,628 3,734 Federal (524) 1,279 State (9) 167 Foreign (200) 165 (733) 1,611 1, \$3,895 \$5,345 \$1,	Current:			
Foreign. 4,678 959	Federal	\$ (240)	\$2 , 569	\$
Deferred: Federal	State	190	206	240
4,628 3,734 Deferred: Federal (524) 1,279 State (9) 167 Foreign (200) 165 (733) 1,611 1, \$3,895 \$5,345 \$1,	Foreign	4,678	959	201
Federal (524) 1,279 State (9) 167 Foreign (200) 165 (733) 1,611 1, \$3,895 \$5,345 \$1,		4,628	3,734	441
State	Deferred:			
Foreign	Federal	(524)	1,279	912
(733) 1,611 1, 	State	(9)	167	104
\$3,895 \$5,345 \$1,3	Foreign	(200)	165	91
\$3,895 \$5,345 \$1,3				
		(733)	1,611	1,107
===== =================================		\$3 , 895	\$5 , 345	\$1 , 548
		=====	=====	=====

Temporary differences related to the following items that give rise to deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows:

	2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	(IN THOUSANDS)	
Deferred tax assets:		
Postretirement benefit liability	\$ 5,138	\$5,324
Accrued liabilities	3,043	2,585
Net operating loss carry forward	1,851	559
Accounts receivable	298	254
Property, plant and equipment	234	64
Foreign tax credit carry forward	699	
R&D tax credit carry forward	65	
Deferred tax assets	11,328	8,786
Valuation allowance	1,281	
Net deferred tax assets	10,047	8,786
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Inventory	732	871
Property, plant and equipment	1,244	692
Pension assets	·	69
Total deferred tax liabilities	1,976	1,632
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 8,071	\$7 , 154
	======	=====

At December 31, 2001, the Company recorded a valuation allowance of \$1.3 million related to certain deferred tax assets acquired with the purchase of Axsia in March 2001. No valuation allowance was recorded related to the Company's deferred tax assets during fiscal 2000 because it was the opinion of management that future operations will more likely than not generate sufficient taxable income to realize the deferred tax assets. At December 31, 2001, the Company had net operating loss carry-forwards for federal income tax purposes of \$3.1 million that were available to offset future federal income tax through 2021.

49

Income tax expense differs from the amount computed by applying the U.S. federal income tax rate of 34% to income from continuing operations before income taxes as a result of the following:

	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2001	YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2000	YEAR END DECEMBER 1999
		(IN THOUSANDS)	
Income tax expense computed at statutory rate State income tax expense (benefit) net of	\$3,148	\$4,422	\$ 744
federal income tax effect Foreign income tax expense (benefit) net of	116	303	262
federal income tax effect Domestic losses for which no tax benefit is	(635)	75	(204
currently available Foreign losses for which no tax benefit is	134		
currently available Tax benefit of foreign losses not previously	81	137	91
claimed Permanent differences, primarily meals and			(39
entertainment and amortization	1,475	641	390
Deferred state rate adjustment			235
Foreign tax credit refund claims	(307)		
Research and development tax credit	(100)	(150)	
Other	(17)	(83)	69
	\$3 , 895	\$5 , 345	\$1,548
	=====	=====	=====

A provision has not been made for U.S. income taxes that would be payable if undistributed earnings of foreign subsidiaries were distributed to the Company in the form of dividends, since it is management's intention to reinvest such earnings permanently in the related foreign operations.

Federal income tax returns for fiscal years beginning with 1998 are open for review by the Internal Revenue Service.

(14) STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

CEO Stock Options. In connection with the engagement of the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, the Company granted to him options to purchase National Tank Company common stock that were subsequently converted to options

to purchase common stock of the Company. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, these options related to an aggregate of 346,113 shares and 264,363 shares, respectively, of the Company's common stock.

Stock Appreciation Rights. During 1994, NATCO adopted the National Tank Company Stock Appreciation Rights Plan (the National Tank Plan). The National Tank Plan provided for grants to officers and key employees of NATCO of rights to the appreciation in value of a stated number of shares of NATCO common stock. Value was to be determined by a committee of the NATCO Board of Directors. The maximum number of rights issuable under the National Tank Plan was 500,000. Rights vested over a three-year period.

Individual Stock Options. On July 1, 1997, the Board of Directors of the Company approved the exchange of rights outstanding under the National Tank Plan, discussed previously, for individual options to purchase common stock of the Company. Compensation expense was recognized to the extent that the projected fair market value of the stock on the exchange date exceeded the exercise price of the options. Furthermore, additional stock options were granted under this plan with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. Accordingly, no compensation expense was recorded for these additional grants. The individual stock options granted on July 1, 1997 vested ratably over a period of three or four years. The maximum term of these options was 10 years. At December 31, 2001 and 2000, an aggregate of 527,701 and 764,204 stock options, respectively, remained outstanding under this plan.

50

Stock Option Plans. In January 1998 and February 1998, the Company adopted the Directors Compensation Plan and the Employee Stock Incentive Plan. These plans authorize the issuance of options to purchase up to an aggregate of 760,000 shares of Company common stock. The options vest over periods of up to four years. The maximum term under these options is ten years. At December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, options relating to an aggregate of 743,920 shares, 743,953 shares and 455,085 shares, respectively, were outstanding under these plans.

NATCO Group Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan. In November 2000, the Board of Directors of the Company approved and authorized the issuance of up to 300,000 shares of the Company's common stock for the 2000 Employee Stock Option Plan. On May 24, 2001, the Company's stockholders approved the NATCO Group Inc. 2001 Stock Incentive Plan, which superceded and replaced the 2000 Plan in its entirety, and increased the number of shares as to which options or awards may be granted under the plan to a maximum of 1,000,000 shares. At December 31, 2001, options relating to an aggregate of 795,826 shares were outstanding under this plan. No options were outstanding under this plan as of December 31, 2000.

The following table summarizes the transactions of the Company's stock option plans for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

		WEIGHTED
	STOCK OPTIONS	AVERAGE
	SHARES	EXERCISE PRI
Balance at December 31, 1998	1,759,781	\$ 3.48
Granted	194,167	\$ 9.25
Exercised	(143,334)	\$ 1.51
Canceled	(15,417)	\$ 7.58

Balance at December 31, 1999. Granted. Exercised. Canceled	1,795,197 411,035 (674,240) (23,835)	\$ 4.35 \$ 9.14 \$ 2.09 \$ 8.39
Balance at December 31, 2000. Granted. Exercised. Canceled.	1,508,157 815,693 (236,503) (19,900)	\$ 6.83 \$ 9.13 \$ 1.47 \$10.05
Balance at December 31, 2001	2,067,447 ======	\$ 8.31
Price \$2.22 (weighted average remaining contractual life of 1.29 years)	50,001	\$ 2.22
contractual life of 6.62 years)	665,517	\$ 5.57
contractual life of 7.51 years)	635,127	\$ 8.72
contractual life of 8.38 years)	499,302	\$10.09
Price \$12.91 (weighted average remaining contractual life of 9.40 years)	217,500	\$12.91

	STOCK OPTIONS	_
EXERCISABLE OPTIONS	SHARES	EXERCISE PRI
December 31, 1999	1,382,858	\$3.24
December 31, 2000	840,969	\$4.95
December 31, 2001	851 , 872	\$6.95

Pro forma information regarding net income and earnings per share is required by SFAS No. 123, and has been determined by applying the Black-Scholes Single Option--Reduced Term valuation method. This valuation model requires management to make highly subjective assumptions about volatility of NATCO's common stock, the expected term of outstanding stock options, the Company's risk-free interest rate and

51

expected dividend payments during the contractual life of the options. Volatility of stock prices was evaluated based upon historical data from the New York Stock Exchange from the date of the initial public offering, January 28, 2000, to February 1, 2002. Volatility was calculated at 52% as of December 31, 2001, but was stepped-down by 10% per year for the next four years to reflect expected stabilization. The following table summarizes other assumptions used to determine pro forma compensation expense under SFAS No. 123 as of December 31, 2001:

DATE OF GRANT	NUMBER OF OPTIONS	EXPECTED OPTION LIFE	RISK-FREE RATE
Pre-IPO Pre-IPO	715,535 360,469	7 to 7.5 years 5 years	5.97% - 6.40% 5.29% - 6.31%

WEIGHTED

Post-IPO	564 , 950	7 years	4.83% - 6.65%
Post-IPO	426,493	3.5 vears	3.06% - 6.60%

Risk-free rates were determined based upon U.S. Treasury obligations as of the option date and outstanding for a similar term. The Company does not intend to pay dividends on its common stock during the term of the options outstanding as of December 31, 2001.

For the year ended December 31, 1999, the Company accounted for its employee stock options under the minimum value method permitted by SFAS No. 123 under the assumptions of a risk free rate of 5.5% and an expected life of options of 10 years for options issued after March 31, 1998. For options issued prior to March 31, 1998, the risk free rate of return used was 7% and the expected life used was 7.5 years.

For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period. The Company's pro forma net earnings and earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 were as follows:

	YEAR ENDED	YEAR ENDED	YEAR END
	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER 31,	DECEMBER
	2001	2000	1999
	(IN THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PER	SHARE AMOUNTS
Net earningsas reported Net earningspro forma Earnings per shareas reported Earnings per sharepro forma	\$5,363	\$7,671	\$ 641
	\$4,572	\$7,106	\$ 276
	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.51	\$0.06
	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.47	\$0.03

Because SFAS No. 123 requires pro forma amounts for options granted beginning in 1995, the pro forma expense will likely increase in future years as the new option grants become subject to the pricing model.

Preferred Stock Purchase Rights

In May 1998, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a dividend of one preferred share purchase right for each outstanding share of common stock and for each share of common stock thereafter issued prior to the time the rights become exercisable. When the rights become exercisable, each right will entitle the holder to purchase one one-hundredth of one share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock at a price of \$72.50 in cash. Until the rights become exercisable, they will be evidenced by the certificates or ownership of NATCO's common stock, and they will not be transferable apart from the common stock.

The rights will become exercisable following the tenth day after a person or group announces acquisition of 15% or more of the Company's common stock or announces commencement of a tender offer, the consummation of which would result in ownership by the person or group of 15% or more of the Company's common stock. If a person or group were to acquire 15% or more of the Company's common stock, each right would become a right to buy that number of shares of common stock that would have a market value of two times the exercise price of the right. Rights beneficially owned by the acquiring person or group would, however, become void.

At any time prior to the time the rights become exercisable, the board of

directors may redeem the rights at a price of \$0.01 per right. At any time after the acquisition by a person or group of 15% or more but less

52

than 50% of the common stock, the board may redeem all or part of the rights by issuing common stock in exchange for them at the rate of one share of common stock for each two shares of common stock for which each right is then exercisable. The rights will expire on May 15, 2008 unless previously extended or redeemed.

(15) CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING PRINCIPLE

Effective January 1, 2000, NATCO recorded the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle related to gains and losses on postretirement benefit obligation. Prior to December 31, 2000, gains and losses that resulted from experience or assumption changes were recorded as a charge to current income in the period of the change. Under SFAS No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions," NATCO revised its method of accounting for these gains and losses to amortize the net gain or loss that exceeds 10% of the Company's adjusted postretirement benefit obligation over the remaining life expectancy of the plan participants. The newly adopted accounting principle is preferable in the circumstances because the deferral of unrealized gains and losses is more common in practice and results in less volatility in net periodic postretirement benefit cost. A gain of \$10,000, net of tax, was recorded in the consolidated statement of income as of December 31, 2000, as a result of this change in accounting principle. The pro forma impact on earnings of this change for the year ended December 31, 1999 was a reduction of \$598,000. See Note 16, Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits.

(16) PENSION AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

The Company has adopted SFAS No. 132, which revised disclosures about pension and other postretirement benefit plans. Disclosures regarding pension benefits represent the plan for certain union employees of a foreign subsidiary. Disclosures regarding postretirement benefits represent health care and life insurance benefits for employees who were retired when the Company was acquired from C-E.

In December 1999, the Company entered into an agreement with Tyler and Capricorn Investors L.P., through which the Company assumed responsibility for the retired employee health and life insurance obligations of Tyler. The liability accrued with respect to these obligations, as determined by an independent actuarial firm, was \$1.1 million. In consideration of this agreement, Tyler paid the Company \$475,000 in cash and assigned a portion of the federal income tax refund due to Tyler in the amount of approximately \$600,000. Tyler remitted \$600,000 in January 2000 as settlement of this arrangement.

In December 2000, NATCO changed the method used to record gains and losses on its postretirement benefit obligation, which resulted in a gain of \$10,000, net of tax, for the year ended December 31, 2000, and an unrecognized loss of \$1.5 million. See Note 15, Change in Accounting Principle.

On May 1, 2001, the Company amended a postretirement benefit plan that provided medical and dental coverage to retirees of a predecessor company. Under the amended plan, retirees bear additional costs of coverage. Significant plan changes include higher deductibles, prescription coverage under a drug card program and the elimination of dental benefits. As of July 1, 2001, the Company obtained a third-party valuation of its liability under this plan arrangement, as amended. Based upon this valuation, the effect of this amendment was a \$6.4 million reduction in the Company's postretirement benefit liability. As of

December 31, 2001, a cumulative unrecognized loss of \$3.6 million existed related to this postretirement benefit plan. In accordance with SFAS No. 106, "Employers' Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions," the benefit associated with the plan amendment will be amortized to income as a prior service cost adjustment over the remaining life expectancy of the plan participants. Additionally, the cumulative unrecognized loss will be amortized to expense over the remaining life expectancy of the plan participants.

In November 2001, the Company agreed to maintain benefits at pre-amendment levels for a specified class of retirees in exchange for expense reimbursement from the former sponsor of the postretirement benefit plan. The agreement requires reimbursement of \$79,000 per year for each of the four succeeding years. Pursuant to this arrangement, the Company received \$79,000 as reimbursement of postretirement benefit expenses for 2001, and recorded a receivable for the present value of the future benefits of \$291,000.

53

In August 2001, the participants of the Canadian pension plan voted to terminate contributions to the plan and receive actuarially determined cash distributions. As of December 31, 2001, the Company had not formally announced the termination of the pension plan, and continued to fund the plan based upon plan provisions.

The following table sets forth the plan's benefit obligation, fair value of plan assets, and funded status at December 31, 2001 and 2000.

	PENSION BENEFITS		POSTRETIREMENT BE		
	2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBE 200	
		(IN THO	USANDS)		
CHANGE IN BENEFIT OBLIGATION					
Benefit obligation at beginning of the					
period	\$610	\$604	\$ 16,064	\$ 15,	
Cumulative effect of change in					
accounting principle					
Service cost	35	42			
Interest cost	41	47	1,006	1,	
Participant and prior sponsor					
contributions			533		
Actuarial (gain) loss	49	(33)	2,338	1,	
Foreign currency exchange rate					
differences	(38)	(23)			
Contribution from former plan holder					
Plan amendment			(6,422)		
Benefit payments	(18)	(27)	(1,933)	(2,	
Benefit obligation at end of period		 \$610	\$ 11,586	\$ 16,	
	====	====	=======	=====	
CHANGE IN FAIR VALUE OF PLAN ASSETS					
Fair value of plan assets at beginning					
of period	\$732	\$674	\$	\$	
Actual return on plan assets		48			
Foreign currency exchange rate					
differences	(40)	(26)			

Employer contributions Participant and prior sponsor	25	48	1,400	2,
contributions			533	
Experience gain/(loss)	(125)	15		
Benefit payments	(18)	(27)	(1,933)	(2,
Fair value of plan assets at end of period	624	732		
Funded status	 (55)	 122	(11,586)	(16,
Unrecognized loss			3,639	1,
Unrecognized prior service cost			(6,130)	
Unrecognized experience loss	250	89		
Prepaid (accrued) benefit cost	\$195 ====	\$211 ====	\$(14,077)	\$(14,
WEIGHTED AVERAGE ASSUMPTIONS				
Discount rate	6.25%	7.0%	7.5%	
Expected return on plan assets	7.0%	7.0%	N/A	
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Health care trend rates COMPONENTS OF NET PERIODIC BENEFIT COST:			4.5%-8.5%	4.5%- 6
Service cost	\$ 35	\$ 42	\$	\$
Unrecognized prior service cost			(292)	

54

	PENSION	BENEFITS	POSTRETIREM	ENT BENEF	
	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000	DECEMBER 31, 2001	DECEMBE 200	
		(IN THO	USANDS)		
Interest cost Unrecognized loss Recognized gains	41 (49)	47 (38)	1,006 174 	1,	
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ 27 ====	\$ 51 ====	\$ 888 ======	\$ 1, =====	
Effect on interest cost component Effect on the health care component of			1% Increase \$ 82	1% Incr \$	
the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation			\$ 975	\$ 1,	

Defined Contribution Plans. The Company and its subsidiaries each have defined contribution pension plans covering substantially all nonunion hourly and salaried employees who have completed three months of service. Employee contributions of up to 3% of each covered employee's compensation are matched 100% by the Company, with an additional 2% of covered employee's compensation matched at 50%. In addition, the Company may make discretionary contributions as profit sharing contributions. Company contributions to the plan totaled \$1.8 million, \$1.4 million and \$1.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively.

(17) OPERATING LEASES

The Company and its subsidiaries lease various facilities and equipment under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. These leases expire on various dates through September 2006, excluding a lease arrangement for a facility at Axsia that requires lease commitments until the facility is sublet to another party. Future minimum lease payments required under operating leases that have remaining non-cancelable lease terms in excess of one year at December 31, 2001, were as follows: 2002--\$3.9 million, 2003--\$3.3 million, 2004--\$2.7 million, 2005--\$811,000, and 2006--\$674,000. Total expense for operating leases for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 was \$5.3 million, \$4.4 million and \$3.5 million, respectively.

For a discussion of lease and rental income, see Note 7, Property, Plant and Equipment, net.

(18) RELATED PARTIES

The Company paid Capricorn Management, G.P., an affiliate company of Capricorn Holdings, Inc., for administrative services, which included office space and parking in Connecticut for the Company's Chief Executive Officer, reception, telephone, computer services and other normal office support relating to that space. Mr. Herbert S. Winokur, Jr., one of the Company's directors, is the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Capricorn Holdings, Inc., and directly or indirectly controls approximately 31% of the Company's common stock. In addition, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Gregory, is a non-salaried member in Capricorn Holdings LLC, the general partner of Capricorn Investors II, L.P., a private investment partnership. Capricorn Investors II, L.P. controls approximately 20% of the Company's common stock. Fees paid to Capricorn Management totaled \$85,000, \$75,000 and \$75,000 for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999, respectively. Commencing October 1, 2001, the fee increased to \$28,750 per quarter due primarily to upward adjustments in Capricorn Management's underlying lease for office space; this increase was reviewed and approved by the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors. As of December 31, 1999, the Company recorded a receivable from Capricorn Management for \$5,000 related to expenses paid on its behalf. No receivable existed at December 31, 2001 or 2000.

For the year ended December 31, 1999, PTH paid \$84,000 to the Company for tax consulting and analysis services. No receivable from PTH existed at December 31, 2001 or 2000, as the tax consulting arrangement terminated during January 2000.

55

During 1997, the Company loaned \$1.5 million (at a rate of 10% per annum) to a director of the Company who was also an affiliate of Capricorn Holdings Inc. In March 1998, the related promissory note was amended to change the interest rate to 11% per annum. The principal was due on the date on which Capricorn Investors L.P. distributed its holding of NATCO common stock to its partners. During 1998, NATCO acquired an option at a cost of \$200,000 to purchase 173,050 shares of its common stock from the director at a price of \$8.81 per share. At NATCO's option, the note could be repaid with shares of the Company's common stock. The cost to acquire the option was recorded as treasury stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. A note arrangement with a director, recorded as a \$1.9 million current asset at December 31, 1999, was partially settled during February 2000, when the Company exercised an option to purchase 173,050 shares of its common stock from this director at a cost of \$1.5 million. The remaining balance of the note was repaid during June 2000.

Under the terms of an employment agreement in effect prior to 1999, the Company loaned its Chief Executive Officer \$1.2 million in July 1999 to purchase 136,832 shares of common stock. During February 2000, after the Company completed an initial public offering of its Class A common stock, NATCO paid this executive officer a bonus equal to the principal and interest accrued under this note arrangement and recorded compensation expense of \$1.3 million. The officer used the proceeds of this settlement, net of tax, to repay the Company approximately \$665,000. The remaining loan balance and accrued interest was \$651,000 at December 31, 2001, and continues to accrue interest at 6% annually. In addition, on October 27, 2000, the Company's board of directors agreed to provide a full recourse loan to this executive officer to facilitate the exercise of certain outstanding stock options. This loan matures on July 31, 2003, and provides interest stated at the Company's then-current borrowing rate, and principal equal to the cost to exercise the options plus any personal tax burdens that result from the exercise. As of December 31, 2001, the balance of the note (principal and accrued interest) due from this officer under these loan arrangements was \$3.3 million. See Note 5, Unusual Charges.

During December 1999, the Company assumed the postretirement pension liability of a former affiliate, Tyler. In February 2000, the Company received \$600,000 from Tyler as settlement of an agreement entered into between Tyler, Capricorn Investors L.P. and the Company, whereby the Company assumed responsibility for the retired employee health and life insurance obligations of Tyler. See Note 16, Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits.

(19) COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

The Porta-Test purchase agreement, executed in January 2000, contains a provision to calculate a payment to certain former stockholders of Porta-Test Systems, Inc. for a three-year period ended January 24, 2003, based upon sales of a limited number of specified products designed by or utilizing technology that existed at the time of the acquisition. Liability under this arrangement is contingent upon attaining certain performance criteria, including gross margins and sales volumes for the specified products. If applicable, payment is required annually. In April 2001, the Company paid \$226,000 under this arrangement related to the year ended January 24, 2001. Any future liabilities incurred under this arrangement will result in an increase in goodwill.

(20) CHANGE IN ACCOUNTING ESTIMATE

During April 2000, the Company extended the service life of a carbon dioxide gas-processing plant based upon the extension of an agreement to operate the facility. The effect on net income and basic and diluted earnings per share before the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle was an increase of \$305,000 and \$.02, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2000.

(21) LITIGATION

The Company is a party to various routine legal proceedings. These primarily involve commercial claims, products liability claims and workers' compensation claims. We cannot predict the outcome of these lawsuits, legal proceedings and claims with certainty. Nevertheless, we believe that the outcome of all of these

56

proceedings, even if determined adversely, would not have a material adverse effect on our business or financial condition.

(22) INDUSTRY SEGMENTS AND GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

The Company has adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 131, "Disclosures About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information." The Company's business units have separate management teams and infrastructures that offer different products and services. The business units have been aggregated into three reportable segments (described below) since the long-term financial performance of these reportable segments is affected by similar economic conditions.

In the first quarter of 2001, the Company changed the presentation of its reportable segments by combining the traditional production equipment and services business segment with the NATCO Canada business segment, to form the North American Operations business segment. This change has been retroactively reflected in all periods presented.

North American Operations: This segment consists of the U.S. Sales & Service business unit and the Company's Canadian subsidiary. The U.S. Sales & Service business unit designs, engineers, manufactures, and provides start-up services for production equipment, which is generally less complex than those units provided by Engineered Systems, and provides replacement parts, field and shop servicing of equipment, and used equipment refurbishing. NATCO Canada provides design, engineering, manufacturing and start-up services for production equipment, as well as replacement parts, field and shop servicing of equipment and used equipment refurbishing. NATCO Canada also provides selective manufacturing services for the Engineered Systems segment. The principal market for the U.S. Sales & Service business unit is the U.S. onshore and offshore market and the international market. Customers include major multi-national, independent and national or state-owned companies. The principal markets for NATCO Canada are the oil and gas producing regions of Canada. Customers include major multi-national and independent companies.

Engineered Systems: This segment consists of five business units; U.S. Engineered Systems, NTC Technical Services, NATCO Japan, NATCO Venezuela and Axsia, that provide design, engineering, manufacturing and start-up services for engineered process systems. The principal markets for this segment include all major oil and gas producing regions of the world including North America, South America, Europe, the Middle East, Africa and the Far East. Customers include major multi-national, independent and national or state-owned companies.

Automation and Control Systems: TEST is the sole business unit reported in this segment. This unit designs, manufactures, installs and services instrumentation and electrical control systems. The principal markets for this segment include all major oil and gas producing regions of the world including North America, South America, Europe, Kazakhstan, Africa and the Far East. Customers include major multi-national, independent and national or state-owned companies. This segment was formerly named instrumentation and electrical systems.

The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in Note 2. The Company evaluates the performance of its operating segments based on income before net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization expense, accounting changes and nonrecurring items. Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following table.

In July 2000, the Company changed its presentation of certain assets that were acquired from Cynara in November 1998, and the related operating results, for segment reporting purposes. The majority of the assets were reclassified to the traditional production equipment and services business segment from the engineered systems business segment. This change has been retroactively reflected in all periods presented.

Summarized financial information concerning the Company's reportable segments is shown in the following table.

	NORTH AMERICAN OPERATIONS	ENGINEERED SYSTEMS	AUTOMATION & CONTROL SYSTEMS	CORPOR ELIMIN
		(UNA	UDITED, IN TH	HOUSANDS)
DECEMBER 31, 2001				
Revenues from unaffiliated customers	\$144,366	\$ 98,273	\$43,943	
<pre>Inter-company revenues</pre>	\$ 5 , 180	\$ 748	\$ 3,750	\$(9,
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 12 , 589	\$ 11,210	\$ 4,718	\$ (5,
Total assets	\$ 98 , 767	\$104,541	\$17,708	\$11,
Capital expenditures	\$ 5,906	\$ 2,998	\$ 465	\$
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 3,590	\$ 3 , 770	\$ 501	\$
DECEMBER 31, 2000				
Revenues from unaffiliated customers	\$118 , 371	\$ 67 , 535	\$38,646	
Inter-company revenues	\$ 5,374	\$ 286	\$ 4,115	\$(9,
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 7 , 632	\$ 13 , 978	\$ 4,184	\$(4,
Total assets	\$ 88,621	\$ 34,811	\$20,512	\$ 9,
Capital expenditures	\$ 2,323	\$ 5,316	\$ 246	\$
Depreciation and amortization DECEMBER 31, 1999	\$ 2,965	\$ 1,460	\$ 526	\$
Revenues from unaffiliated customers	\$ 79,659	\$ 50,792	\$39,497	
Inter-company revenues	\$ 2,686	\$ 1,726	\$ 2,346	\$(6,
Segment profit (loss)	\$ 3,460	\$ 5,357	\$ 4,577	\$(3,
Total assets	\$ 48,428	\$ 26,128	\$18,438	\$13,
Capital expenditures	\$ 3,168	\$ 152	\$ 295	\$
Depreciation and amortization	\$ 2,914	\$ 1,095	\$ 545	\$

The Company's geographic data for continuing operations for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999 were as follows:

	UNITED STATES	CANADA	UNITED KINGDOM	OTHER	CORPORA ELIMINA	
			(UNAUDITED, IN THO		HOUSANDS)	
DECEMBER 31, 2001						
Revenues from unaffiliated customers	•	•	•	\$16,948	\$	
Inter-company revenues	5 , 263	3,765	650		(9 , 6	
Revenues	\$195 , 297	\$32,511		\$16,948	\$(9 , 6	
Operating income (loss)	\$ 13 , 634	\$ 589		\$ 1 , 525	 \$(5 , 9	
Total assets				\$ 9,266	\$	
Revenues from unaffiliated customers	\$177 , 878	\$36,266	\$1,631	\$ 8 , 777	\$	
Inter-company revenues	5 , 724	4,051 			(9 , 7	
Revenues	\$183 , 602	\$40,317 	\$1,631 	•	\$(9 , 7	
Operating income (loss)	\$ 22,167		\$ (166)		\$(4,9	
Total assets DECEMBER 31, 1999		\$20,792	\$ 295	\$ 2,514	\$	

Revenues from unaffiliated customers Inter-company revenues				\$10,089 491	\$ (6,7
Revenues	\$142 , 900	\$19 , 757	\$3,469	\$10 , 580	 \$(6 , 7
Operating income (loss)	\$ 12,408	\$ 59	\$ 228	\$ 1,255	\$(4,0
Total assets	\$ 86,173	\$15,306	\$1,520	\$ 3,831	\$

Equipment for large international projects is generally manufactured in the U.S. Therefore, revenues and results of operations related to these projects were presented as derived from the United States for purposes of this geographic presentation.

Corporate expenses consist of corporate overhead and research and development expenses.

58

(23) QUARTERLY DATA

The following tables summarize unaudited quarterly information for the years ended December 31, 2001, 2000 and 1999:

	2001				
	FOR THE MARCH 31, JUNE 30,		E QUARTER ENDED	DECEMBER	
	(IN		EXCEPT PER SHARE	E DATA)	
Revenues, net	\$62,910 15,993 \$ 1,376	\$82,559 20,305 \$ 520	\$74,522 20,617 \$ 1,767	\$66,59 19,15 \$ 1,70	
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.11	\$ 0.1	
Fully diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.09		\$ 0.11	\$ 0.1	
Revenues, net	\$51 , 855	\$55,935 16,178 \$ 2,471	•	\$56,51 16,23 \$ 2,35	
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.17	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.1	
Fully diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.01 	\$ 0.16 	\$ 0.18 	\$ 0.1 	
Revenues, net	\$42,142	\$44,019 11,203 \$ 504	10,670		
Basic earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.02) 		\$ 0.01	\$ 0.0	

Fully diluted earnings (loss) per share.... \$ (0.02) \$ 0.05 \$ 0.01

\$ 0.0

(24) OFFICE CLOSURE

Prior to 1997, the Company began winding down the operations of NATCO U.K. Ltd. These activities include transferring the net assets and employees at the Company's parts and service business to a new U.S. subsidiary, NATCO London, Inc., and resolving pending severance, office closure and leasehold issues. During 1999, the Company reached favorable settlements related to various amounts owed to and by customers and vendors related to a number of contracts entered into between 1993 and 1995. An accrual for the costs associated with these various claims had been made during fiscal years 1995 through 1998 based on the best available information at that time. As a result of favorable settlements, the Company revised its previous estimates and reversed \$314,000 of these accruals during the year ended December 31, 1999.

(25) NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") approved SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations." This standard requires that any business combination initiated after June 30, 2001 be accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. This standard became effective on July 1, 2001. The Company does not expect this pronouncement to have a material effect on its financial condition or results of operations.

The FASB approved SFAS No. 142, "Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets" in June 2001. This pronouncement requires that intangible assets with indefinite lives, including goodwill, cease being amortized and be evaluated on an impairment basis. Intangible assets with a defined term, such as patents, would continue to be amortized over the useful life of the asset. This pronouncement becomes effective on January 1,

59

2002, for companies with a calendar year end. The Company had net goodwill of \$80.9 million as of September 30, 2001. Goodwill amortization totaled \$2.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2001. The Company has not yet determined the impact that this pronouncement will have on its financial condition or results of operations. As permitted by the standard, the Company will determine and quantify its exposure under this pronouncement during fiscal 2002, after completing the required impairment testing.

In June 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." This standard provides guidance on reporting and accounting for obligations associated with the retirement of long-lived tangible assets and the associated retirement costs. This standard is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2002. The Company has not yet determined the impact that this pronouncement will have on its financial condition or results of operations.

In October 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets." This statement replaces SFAS No. 121, "Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of," and standardizes the accounting model to be used for asset dispositions and related implementation issues. This pronouncement becomes effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. The Company has not yet determined the impact that this pronouncement will have on its financial condition or results of operations.

(26) SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 1, 2002, all outstanding shares of the Company's Class B common stock, 334,719 shares, were converted to Class A common stock, on a share for share basis, in accordance with the terms under which the Class B shares were issued.

In February 2002, the Company borrowed \$1.5 million from Wells Fargo Bank N.A. under a promissory note arrangement that requires quarterly principal and interest payments over a five-year term beginning May 2002, with interest accruing at a variable rate of LIBOR + 3.25%. This obligation was collateralized by the Magnolia manufacturing facility that was purchased in 2001.

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

There are no changes or disagreements with accountants on accounting and financial disclosure matters during the periods for which consolidated financial statements have been presented within this document.

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information on our directors is set forth in the section entitled "Election of Directors" in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 23, 2002, which section is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information for this item is set forth in the section entitled "Director and Executive Management Compensation" in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 23, 2002, which section is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The information concerning security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is set forth in the sections entitled "Voting Securities and Principal Holders Thereof" and "Security Ownership of Management" in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 23, 2002, which sections are incorporated by reference.

60

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information concerning certain relationships and related transactions is included under the caption "Certain Relationships and Transactions" in the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on May 23, 2002, which sections are incorporated by reference.

PART IV

- ITEM 14. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K
- (a) Index to Financial Statements, Financial Statement Schedules and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{Exhibits}}$

PAGE

(1) Financial Statements

EXHIBIT NUMBER

. 35
. 36
. 37
. 38
. 39
CRIPTION
CRIPTION
ement and Plan of Merger date ective March 26, 1998 among ition Company, National Tank mpany (incorporated by of the Company's Registration Form S-1). dated as of May 7, 1997 among the Group, Inc., National Tank mterra, Inc. (incorporated by of the Company's Registration
•

	1 1
	as amended by Certificate of Amendment dated November
	18, 1998 and Certificate of Amendment dated November
	29, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of
	the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on
	Form $S-1$).
3.2	 Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (incorporated by

3.1 -- Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company,

DESCRIPTION

	reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Registration Statement No. $333-48851$ on Form $S-1$).
3.3	 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the period
4 1	ended March 31, 2000).
4.1	 Specimen Common Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
4.2	 Rights Agreement dated as of May 15, 1998 by and among the Company and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Registration Statement No 333-48851 on Form S-1).
4.3	 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of November 18, 1998 among the Company and Capricorn Investors, L.P. and Capricorn Investors II, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
4.4	 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of November 18, 1998 among the Company and the former stockholders of The Cynara Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Registration Statement No 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.1**	 Directors Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Registration Statemen No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.2**	 Form on Nonemployee Director's Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.3**	 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Registratio Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.4**	 Form of Nonstatutory Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.6	 Service and Reimbursement Agreement dated as of July 1 1997 between the Company and Capricorn Management, G.P (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.7**	 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its officers and directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Registratio Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).

62

EXHIBIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION

10.8 -- Securities Exchange Agreement dated as of March 5, 199 by and among the Company, Capricorn Investors, L.P. an Capricorn Investors II, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).

ar i miig. i ii	
10.10**	 Employment Agreement dated as of July 31, 1997 between the Company and Nathaniel A. Gregory, as amended as of July 12, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.11	 Stockholder's Agreement dated as of November 18, 1998 among the Company, Capricorn Investors, L.P., Capricor Investors II, L.P. and the former stockholders of The Cynara Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.12**	 Change of Control Policy dated as of September 28, 199 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.13**	 Severance Pay Summary Plan Description (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.14	 Loan Agreement (\$22,000,000 U.S. Revolving Loan Facility, \$10,000,000 Canadian Revolving Loan Facility and \$32,500,000 Term Loan Facility) dated as of November 20, 1998 among National Tank Company, NATCO Canada, Ltd., Chase Bank of Texas, National Association, The Bank of Nova Scotia and the other lenders parties thereto and joined in by NATCO Group, Inc., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.15	 International Revolving Loan Agreement dated as of Jun 30, 1997 between National Tank Company and Texas Commerce Bank, National Association, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
18.1	 Letter Regarding Change in Accounting Principle
21.1*	 List of Subsidiaries

63

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or $15\,(d)$ of the Securities Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Houston, State of Texas, on the 28th day of March 2002.

NATCO GROUP INC. (Registrant)

/s/ NATHANIEL A. GREGORY By:

Nathaniel A. Gregory Chief Executive Officer and

^{*} Included herewith

^{**} Management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

Chairman of the Board of Directors

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons in the capacities indicated, on March 28th, 2002.

SIGNATURE	TITLE 		
/s/ NATHANIEL A. GREGORYNathaniel A. Gregory	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)		
/s/ PATRICK M. MCCARTHY	Director and President		
Patrick M. McCarthy			
/s/ J. MICHAEL MAYER	Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)		
J. Michael Mayer	Officer (Filmerpar Financial Officer)		
/s/ RYAN S. LILES	Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)		
Ryan S. Liles	Accounting Officery		
/s/ KEITH K. ALLAN	Director		
Keith K. Allan			
/s/ HOWARD I. BULL	Director		
Howard I. Bull			
/s/ JOHN U. CLARKE	Director		
John U. Clarke			
/s/ GEORGE K. HICKOX, JR.	Director		
George K. Hickox, Jr.			
/s/ HERBERT S. WINOKUR, JR.	Director		
Herbert S. Winokur, Jr.			
64			

64

EXHIBIT INDEX

EXHIBIT NUMBER

0 1	 Amended and Destated Assessment and Discost Manney dated
2.1	 Amended and Restated Agreement and Plan of Merger dated
	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	November 17, 1998 but effective March 26, 1998 among the
	Company, NATCO Acquisition Company, National Tank Company
	company, miros negaroreron company, nacronar rank company

DESCRIPTION

	and The Cynara Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on
2.2	 Form S-1). Stock Purchase Agreement dated as of May 7, 1997 among Enterra Petroleum Equipment Group, Inc., National Tank Company and Weatherford Enterra, Inc. (incorporated by Reference to Exhibit 2.2 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
3.1	 Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, as amended by Certificate of Amendment dated November 18, 1998 and Certificate of Amendment dated November 29, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
3.2	 Certificate of Designations of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
3.3	 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10Q for the period ended March 31, 2000).
4.1	 Specimen Common Stock certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Company's Registration Statement No. $333-48851$ on Form S-1).
4.2	 Rights Agreement dated as of May 15, 1998 by and among the Company and ChaseMellon Shareholder Services, L.L.C., as Rights Agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
4.3	 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of November 18, 1998 among the Company and Capricorn Investors, L.P. and Capricorn Investors II, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
4.4	 Registration Rights Agreement dated as of November 18, 1998 among the Company and the former stockholders of The Cynara Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.1**	 Directors Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.2**	 Form on Nonemployee Director's Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.3**	 Employee Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.4**	 Form of Nonstatutory Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form $S-1$).
10.6	 Service and Reimbursement Agreement dated as of July 1, 1997 between the Company and Capricorn Management, G.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.7**	 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its officers and directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
10.8	 Securities Exchange Agreement dated as of March 5, 1998 by and among the Company, Capricorn Investors, L.P. and Capricorn Investors II, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 of the Company's Registration Statement No.

333-48851 on Form S-1).

EXHI	BIT NUMBER	DESCRIPTION
		
	10.10**	 Employment Agreement dated as of July 31, 1997 between the Company and Nathaniel A. Gregory, as amended as of July 12, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
	10.11	 Stockholder's Agreement dated as of November 18, 1998 among the Company, Capricorn Investors, L.P., Capricorn Investors II, L.P. and the former stockholders of The Cynara Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
	10.12**	 Change of Control Policy dated as of September 28, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
	10.13**	 Severance Pay Summary Plan Description (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 of the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
	10.14	 Loan Agreement (\$22,000,000 U.S. Revolving Loan Facility, \$10,000,000 Canadian Revolving Loan Facility and \$32,500,000 Term Loan Facility) dated as of November 20, 1998 among National Tank Company, NATCO Canada, Ltd., Chase Bank of Texas, National Association, The Bank of Nova Scotia and the other lenders parties thereto and joined in by NATCO Group, Inc., as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
	10.15	 International Revolving Loan Agreement dated as of June 30, 1997 between National Tank Company and Texas Commerce Bank, National Association, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Registration Statement No. 333-48851 on Form S-1).
.1	18	 Letter Regarding Change in Accounting Principle
	21.1*	 List of Subsidiaries

^{*} Included herewith

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\star\star}}$ Management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.