

SANMINA-SCI CORP  
Form 10-Q  
April 26, 2011

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
Form 10-Q  
(Mark one)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended April 2, 2011  
or

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT  
OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission File Number 0-21272  
Sanmina-SCI Corporation  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

77-0228183  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification Number)

2700 N. First St., San Jose, CA  
(Address of principal executive  
offices)

95134  
(Zip Code)

(408) 964-3500  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (Section 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Non-accelerated filer <input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company <input type="checkbox"/>
			(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Edgar Filing: SANMINA-SCI CORP - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
Yes ☐ No ☒

As of April 15, 2011, there were 80,512,930 shares outstanding of the issuer's common stock, \$0.01 par value per share.

---

---

SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

## INDEX

	PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page
Item 1.	Interim Financial Statements (Unaudited)	<u>3</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	<u>3</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income	<u>4</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	<u>5</u>
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>6</u>
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>24</u>
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>36</u>
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	<u>37</u>
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	<u>38</u>
Item 1A.	Risk Factors Affecting Operating Results	<u>39</u>
Item 6.	Exhibits	<u>51</u>
Signatures		<u>52</u>

## SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	As of April 2, 2011 (Unaudited) (In thousands)	October 2, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$654,745	\$592,812
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$16,410 and \$16,752, respectively	965,216	1,018,612
Inventories	820,117	844,347
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	135,938	134,238
Total current assets	2,576,016	2,590,009
Property, plant and equipment, net	561,249	570,258
Other	125,484	141,529
Total assets	\$3,262,749	\$3,301,796
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$854,364	\$923,038
Accrued liabilities	125,260	140,371
Accrued payroll and related benefits	108,418	122,934
Short-term debt	61,900	65,000
Total current liabilities	1,149,942	1,251,343
Long-term liabilities:		
Long-term debt	1,239,993	1,240,666
Other	141,618	148,186
Total long-term liabilities	1,381,611	1,388,852
Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)		
Stockholders' equity	731,196	661,601
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$3,262,749	\$3,301,796

See accompanying notes.

## SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(Unaudited)			
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Net sales	\$1,569,058	\$1,527,451	\$3,231,509	\$3,005,753
Cost of sales	1,452,227	1,409,974	2,986,631	2,778,589
Gross profit	116,831	117,477	244,878	227,164
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	62,212	63,557	120,683	125,972
Research and development	4,914	3,252	9,080	6,350
Amortization of intangible assets	959	1,059	1,917	2,237
Restructuring and integration costs	4,510	3,871	9,549	7,209
Asset impairment	—	500	85	500
Gain on sales of long-lived assets	(398)	—	(2,025)	—
Total operating expenses	72,197	72,239	139,289	142,268
Operating income	44,634	45,238	105,589	84,896
Interest income	562	597	1,134	978
Interest expense	(26,269)	(26,580)	(52,930)	(53,357)
Other income, net	2,061	120	3,278	39,775
Interest and other, net	(23,646)	(25,863)	(48,518)	(12,604)
Income before income taxes	20,988	19,375	57,071	72,292
Provision for income taxes	7,923	9,284	15,647	2,819
Net income	\$13,065	\$10,091	\$41,424	\$69,473
Net income per share:				
Basic	\$0.16	\$0.13	\$0.52	\$0.88
Diluted	\$0.16	\$0.12	\$0.50	\$0.85
Weighted average shares used in computing per share amounts:				
Basic	80,242	79,001	80,044	78,808
Diluted	83,940	82,782	83,338	81,773

See accompanying notes.

## SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(Unaudited)	
	(In thousands)	
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income	\$41,424	\$69,473
Adjustments to reconcile net income to cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	49,997	42,671
Stock-based compensation expense	7,924	10,004
Provision (benefit) for doubtful accounts, product returns and other net sales adjustments	(543)	) 737
Deferred income taxes	(477)	) (173)
Asset impairment	85	500
Gain on disposals of property, plant and equipment	(2,025)	) —
Other, net	521	(1,925)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	55,902	(154,117)
Inventories	25,723	(54,966)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	5,610	7,819
Accounts payable	(65,774)	) 102,391
Accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities	(13,828)	) (41,584)
Cash provided by (used in) operating activities	104,539	(19,170)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(54,760)	) (35,664)
Proceeds from sales of property, plant and equipment	8,347	779
Cash paid in connection with business combinations	(8,509)	) (2,293)
Cash used in investing activities	(54,922)	) (37,178)
CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Decrease in restricted cash	14,637	2,784
Net repayments of short-term credit facilities	(3,100)	) —
Repayments and repurchases of long-term debt	—	(175,700)
Net proceeds from stock issuances	3,141	2,105
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	14,678	(170,811)
Effect of exchange rate changes	(2,362)	) 970
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	61,933	(226,189)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	592,812	899,151
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$654,745	\$672,962
Cash paid during the period for:		
Interest	\$48,801	\$48,693
Income taxes, net of refunds	\$5,343	\$21,018

See accompanying notes.



## SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

## Note 1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of Sanmina-SCI Corporation (“Company”) have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) have been omitted pursuant to those rules or regulations. The interim condensed consolidated financial statements are unaudited, but reflect all normal recurring and non-recurring adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the year ended October 2, 2010, included in the Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Results of operations for the six months ended April 2, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year.

The Company operates on a 52 or 53 week year ending on the Saturday nearest September 30. Fiscal 2011 and 2010 are each 52-week years. All references to years relate to fiscal years unless otherwise noted.

## Note 2. Inventories

Components of inventories were as follows:

	As of April 2, 2011 (In thousands)	October 2, 2010
Raw materials	\$596,436	\$599,773
Work-in-process	116,184	126,270
Finished goods	107,497	118,304
Total	\$820,117	\$844,347



## Note 3. Fair Value

## Fair Value Option for Long-term Debt

The Company has elected not to record its long-term debt instruments at fair value, but has measured them at fair value for disclosure purposes. The estimated fair values of the Company's long-term debt instruments, based on quoted market prices, were as follows:

	Carrying Amount	Fair Value April 2, 2011 (In thousands)	October 2, 2010
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 ("6.75% Notes")	\$380,000	\$381,189	\$383,800
\$300 Million Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2014 ("2014 Notes")	\$257,410	\$254,836	\$241,965
8.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016	\$600,000	\$618,000	\$619,500

## Assets/Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The Company's primary financial assets and financial liabilities are as follows:

- Money market funds
- Time deposits
- Foreign currency forward contracts
- Interest rate swaps

Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. When determining fair value measurements for assets and liabilities required to be recorded at fair value, the Company considers the principal or most advantageous market in which it would transact and also considers assumptions that market participants would use when pricing an asset or liability.

Inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value are prioritized into three broad levels, as follows:

Level 1: Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs that reflect quoted prices, other than quoted prices included in Level 1, that are observable for the assets or liabilities, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in less active markets; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the measurement of the fair value of assets or liabilities.

The following table presents information as of April 2, 2011 with respect to assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

		Presentation in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet				
	Fair Value Measurements Using Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3	Cash and cash equivalents	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	Accrued liabilities (1)	Other long-term liabilities (1)	
(In thousands)						
Money Market Funds	Level 1	\$5,449	\$—	\$—	\$—	
Time Deposits	Level 1	55,178	—	—	—	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Foreign Currency Forward Contracts and Interest Rate Swaps	Level 2	—	25	(4	) (31,443	)
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Foreign Currency Forward Contracts	Level 2	—	2,622	(3,051	) —	
Total measured at fair value		\$60,627	\$2,647	\$(3,055	) \$(31,443	)

(1) Liabilities, or credit balances, are presented as negative amounts.

The following table presents information as of October 2, 2010 with respect to assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

		Presentation in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet			
	Fair Value Measurements Using Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3	Cash and cash equivalents	Prepaid expenses and other current assets	Accrued liabilities (1)	Other long-term liabilities (1)
(In thousands)					
Money Market Funds	Level 1	\$791	\$—	\$—	\$—
Time Deposits	Level 1	99,110	—	—	—
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Foreign Currency Forward Contracts and Interest Rate Swaps	Level 2	—	10	(42	) (40,296
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under SFAS 133: Foreign Currency Forward Contracts	Level 2	—	8,282	(10,475	) —
Total measured at fair value		\$99,901	\$8,292	\$(10,517	) \$(40,296

(1) Liabilities, or credit balances, are presented as negative amounts.



The Company sponsors deferred compensation plans for eligible employees and non-employee members of its Board of Directors that allow participants to defer payment of part or all of their compensation. The Company's results of operations are not significantly affected by these plans since changes in the fair value of the assets approximate changes in the fair value of the liabilities. As such, assets and liabilities associated with these plans have not been included in the above table. Assets and liabilities associated with these plans of approximately \$12.0 million as of April 2, 2011 and \$10.8 million as of October 2, 2010 are recorded as other non-current assets and other long-term liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Company values derivatives using observable Level 2 market expectations at the measurement date and standard valuation techniques to convert future amounts to a single present value amount assuming that participants are motivated, but not compelled to transact. The Company seeks high quality counterparties for all financing arrangements. For interest rate swaps, Level 2 inputs include LIBOR rates for the first three months, futures contracts on LIBOR beyond three months to the first three years, swap rates beyond three years at commonly quoted intervals, and credit default swap rates for the Company and relevant counterparties. For currency contracts, Level 2 inputs include foreign currency spot and forward rates, interest rates and credit default swap rates at commonly quoted intervals. Mid-market pricing is used as a practical expedient for fair value measurements. ASC Topic 820 requires the fair value measurement of an asset or liability to reflect the nonperformance risk of the entity and the counterparty. Therefore, the counterparty's creditworthiness when in an asset position and the Company's creditworthiness when in a liability position have been considered in the fair value measurement of derivative instruments. The effect of nonperformance risk on the fair value of derivative instruments was not material as of April 2, 2011 or October 2, 2010.

#### Non-Financial Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The Company's assets held-for-sale consist of land and buildings and are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis since these assets are subject to fair value adjustments only when the carrying amount of such assets exceeds the fair value of such assets or such assets have been previously impaired and the fair value exceeds the carrying amount by less than the amount of the impairment that has been recognized. Level 2 inputs consist of independent third party valuations based on market comparables. The carrying value of the Company's assets held-for-sale was \$60.2 million as of April 2, 2011 and is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

#### Note 4. Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company is exposed to certain risks related to its ongoing business operations. The primary risks managed using derivative instruments are interest rate risk and foreign exchange rate risk.

Interest rate swaps are entered into on occasion to manage interest rate risk associated with the Company's borrowings. The Company has \$257.4 million of floating rate notes outstanding as of April 2, 2011 and has entered into interest rate swap agreements with two independent swap counterparties to hedge its interest rate exposure. The swap agreements, with an aggregate notional amount of \$257 million and expiration dates in 2014, effectively convert the variable interest rate obligation to a fixed interest rate obligation and are accounted for as cash flow hedges under ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging. Under the terms of the swap agreements, the Company pays the independent swap counterparties a fixed rate of 5.594% and, in exchange, the swap counterparties pay the Company an interest rate equal to the three-month LIBOR. These swap agreements effectively fix the interest rate at 8.344% through maturity in 2014.

Forward contracts on various foreign currencies are used to manage foreign currency risk associated with forecasted foreign currency transactions and certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies.

The Company's primary foreign currency cash flows are in certain Asian and European countries, Brazil and Mexico. The Company utilizes foreign currency forward contracts to hedge certain operational ("cash flow") exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Such exposures result from forecasted sales denominated in currencies different from those for cost of sales and other expenses. These contracts are typically up to two months in duration and are accounted for as cash flow hedges under ASC Topic 815.

The Company also enters into short-term foreign currency forward contracts to hedge currency exposures associated with certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts typically have maturities of up to two months and are not designated as accounting hedges under ASC Topic 815. Accordingly, these contracts are marked-to-market at the end of each period with unrealized gains and losses recorded in other income, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of income. For the three and six months ended April 2, 2011, the Company recorded gains of \$0.5 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, associated with these forward contracts, which substantially offset losses on the underlying hedged items. For the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, the Company recorded gains of \$11.3 million and \$13.3 million respectively, associated with these forward contracts.

The Company had the following outstanding foreign currency forward contracts that were entered into to hedge foreign currency exposures:

Foreign Currency Forward Contracts	As of April 2, 2011			As of October 2, 2010		
	Number of Contracts	Notional Amount (USD in thousands)		Number of Contracts	Notional Amount (USD in thousands)	
		Designated	Non-designated		Designated	Non-designated
Buy MYR (Malaysian Ringgit)	5	\$8,919	\$ 14,808	5	\$7,752	\$ 8,151
Buy HUF (Hungarian Forint)	7	6,231	4,502	6	5,126	2,941
Buy THB (Thailand Baht)	1	—	3,561	3	3,417	4,804
Buy SGD (Singapore Dollar)	7	14,028	77,912	4	12,387	78,659
Buy MXN (Mexican Peso)	9	24,769	28,631	7	22,176	22,809
Buy ILS (Israel New Shekel)	5	5,469	17,300	5	6,653	13,152
Buy INR (Indian Rupee)	1	—	7,963	1	—	12,908
Buy CAD (Canadian Dollar)	6	10,017	7,308	3	—	6,944
Buy HKD (Hong Kong Dollar)	2	—	4,046	1	—	3,532
Buy JPY (Japanese Yen)	2	—	8,332	2	—	11,756
Buy SEK (Sweden Krona)	1	—	50,360	1	—	43,656
Buy CNY (Chinese Renminbi)	—	—	—	2	8,709	—
Buy EUR (Euro)	2	1,265	—	—	—	—
Sell EUR (Euro)	8	23,910	135,119	6	14,150	49,129
Sell HUF (Hungarian Forint)	—	—	—	1	—	1,253
Sell BRL (Brazilian Real)	1	—	10,824	1	—	7,918
	1	—	31,649	1	—	17,009

Sell CNY (Chinese Renminbi)					
Sell GBP (Great British Pound)	1	—	1,443	2	—
Sell CAD (Canadian Dollar)	1	—	4,732	1	—
Total notional amount		\$94,608	\$ 408,490		\$80,370
					\$ 290,688

For derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as a cash flow hedge, the effective portion of the gain or loss on the derivative is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), an equity account, and reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. Gains and losses on derivative instruments representing hedge ineffectiveness are recognized in current earnings and were not material for any period presented herein. As of April 2, 2011, AOCI related to foreign currency forward contracts was not material and AOCI related to interest rate swaps was a loss of \$30.2 million, of which \$12.9 million is expected to be amortized to interest expense over the next 12 months.

The following table presents the effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of income for the three months ended April 2, 2011:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)  (In thousands)	Location of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) (In thousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$ 152	Interest expense	\$(3,400)
Foreign currency forward contracts	710	Cost of sales	686
Total	\$862		\$(2,714)

The following table presents the effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of income for the three months ended April 3, 2010:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)  (In thousands)	Location of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) (In thousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$(3,998)	Interest expense	\$(3,458)
Foreign currency forward contracts	378	Cost of sales	388
Total	\$(3,620)		\$(3,070)

The following table presents the effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of income for the six months ended April 2, 2011:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion)  (In thousands)	Location of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion)	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) (In thousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$ 1,937	Interest expense	\$(6,815)
Foreign currency forward contracts	935	Cost of Sales	909
Total	\$2,872		\$(5,906)

The following table presents the effect of cash flow hedging relationships on the Company's condensed consolidated statement of income for the six months ended April 3, 2010:

Derivatives in SFAS 133 Cash Flow Hedging Relationship	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative	Location of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into	Amount of Gain/(Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into
--	---	--	--



Edgar Filing: SANMINA-SCI CORP - Form 10-Q

	(Effective Portion)		Income (Effective Portion)	Income (Effective Portion)
	(In thousands)			(In thousands)
Interest rate swaps	\$(3,461	)	Interest expense	\$(6,585
Foreign currency forward contracts	670		Cost of sales	783
Total	\$(2,791	)		\$(5,802

12

---

## Note 5. Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

	As of April 2, 2011 (In thousands)	October 2, 2010
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2013 ("6.75% Notes")	\$380,000	\$380,000
\$300 Million Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2014 ("2014 Notes")	257,410	257,410
8.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016	600,000	600,000
Unamortized Interest Rate Swaps	2,583	3,256
Total long-term debt	\$1,239,993	\$1,240,666

The Company is currently subject to covenants that, among other things, place certain limitations on the Company's ability to incur additional debt, make investments, pay dividends, and sell assets. The Company was in compliance with these covenants as of April 2, 2011. Additionally, as of April 2, 2011, the Company had \$24.2 million in letters of credit outstanding under its loan agreements against an available amount of \$50.0 million.

## Short-term debt

During 2010, one of the Company's subsidiaries in China entered into a \$50 million unsecured working capital loan facility. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at a rate equal to the three month Euro LIBOR plus a spread. The loan facility expires in April 2012 and contains certain negative covenants that, upon default, permit the bank to deny any further advances or extension of credit or to terminate the loan agreement. As of April 2, 2011, \$30 million had been borrowed under this facility and was outstanding and the Company was in compliance with all covenants.

Also, during 2010, one of the Company's subsidiaries in India entered into a \$35 million working capital loan facility that contains no covenants and expires on June 30, 2011. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at a rate equal to Euro LIBOR plus a spread. As of April 2, 2011, \$31.9 million had been borrowed under this facility and was outstanding. During the second quarter of 2011, the collateral requirement associated with this facility was removed and \$10 million of cash collateral was returned to the Company.

## Note 6. Commitments and Contingencies

Litigation and other contingencies. From time to time, the Company is a party to litigation, claims and other contingencies, including environmental matters, employee matters and examinations and investigations by governmental agencies, which arise in the ordinary course of business. The Company records a contingent liability when it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of loss is reasonably estimable in accordance with ASC Topic 450, Contingencies, or other applicable accounting standards. As of April 2, 2011 and October 2, 2010, the Company had reserves of \$19.5 million and \$22.3 million, respectively, for these matters. Such reserves are included in accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Warranty Reserve. The following table presents information with respect to the warranty reserve, which is included in accrued liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets:

	As of April 2, 2011 (In thousands)	April 3, 2010
Beginning balance — end of prior year	\$ 17,752	\$ 15,716
Additions to accrual	3,008	9,071
Utilization of accrual	(5,307 )	(7,025 )
Ending balance — current quarter	\$ 15,453	\$ 17,762

Operating Leases. The Company leases certain of its facilities and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases expiring at various dates through 2040. The Company is responsible for utilities, maintenance, insurance and property taxes under these leases. Future minimum lease payments, net of sublease income, under operating leases are as follows:

	(In thousands)
Remainder of 2011	\$ 15,184
2012	22,222
2013	16,721
2014	8,958
2015	6,983
Thereafter	39,891
Total	\$ 109,959

Note 7. Income Tax

Various factors affect the provision for income tax expense, including the geographic composition of pre-tax income (loss), expected annual pre-tax income (loss), implementation of tax planning strategies and possible outcomes of audits and other uncertain tax positions. Management carefully monitors these factors and timely adjusts the interim income tax rate accordingly.

The provision for income taxes was \$7.9 million and \$15.6 million for the three and six months ended April 2, 2011, compared to \$9.3 million and \$2.8 million for the three and six months ended April 3, 2010 respectively. In the first quarter of 2010, the Company favorably resolved an uncertain tax position, resulting in a tax benefit of \$12.3 million in that period.

As of April 2, 2011, the Company had a long-term liability of \$54.0 million, including interest, for net unrecognized tax benefits. This amount, if resolved favorably, would result in a reduction of the Company's effective tax rate. During the three months ended April 2, 2011, the Company's liability increased \$3.5 million for interest, foreign translation and changes in prior year positions, and decreased \$0.9 million primarily due to favorable conclusions with foreign tax authorities. During the six months ended April 2, 2010, the Company's liabilities increased \$5.1 million for interest, foreign translation and changes in prior year positions, and decreased \$2.7 million primarily due to favorable conclusions with foreign tax authorities. The Company's policy is to classify interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. It is possible that net unrecognized tax benefits as of April 2, 2011 could significantly increase or decrease within the next 12 months based on final determinations by taxing authorities and resolution of any disputes by the Company. However, such changes cannot be reasonably estimated.

In general, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examinations for years before 2003, and to foreign examinations for years prior to 2002 in its major foreign jurisdictions.

## Note 8. Restructuring

Costs associated with restructuring activities are accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations, or ASC Topic 712, Compensation - Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits, as applicable. Pursuant to ASC Topic 712, restructuring costs related to employee severance are recorded when probable and estimable. For restructuring costs other than employee severance accounted for under ASC Topic 712, a liability is recognized in accordance with ASC Topic 420 only when incurred. Costs associated with restructuring activities related to business combinations are accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations.

## 2010 Restructuring Plan

The Company initiated a restructuring plan in 2010 as a result of a business combination. Pursuant to this plan, the Company expects to incur costs of up to \$15.0 million to consolidate certain facilities and eliminate redundant employees, of which \$8.5 million has been incurred to date. The amount of costs ultimately incurred will depend on the Company's ability to recover ongoing lease costs for vacant facilities by subleasing such facilities to third parties. The Company has implemented all actions under this plan. However, the Company expects to incur restructuring costs in future periods associated with leased facilities until such time as those facilities can be subleased to third parties.

Below is a summary of restructuring costs associated with this plan:

	Employee Termination Severance and Related Benefits (In thousands)	Leases and Facilities Shutdown and Consolidation Costs	Total
Balance at October 2, 2010	\$1,962	\$464	\$2,426
Charges to operations	590	1,609	2,199
Charges utilized	(1,122)	(49)	(1,171)
Balance at January 1, 2011	1,430	2,024	3,454
Charges to operations	63	1,282	1,345
Charges utilized	(1,122)	(2,625)	(3,747)
Balance at April 2, 2011	\$371	\$681	\$1,052

In connection with actions taken to date under this plan, the Company has recorded restructuring charges of \$5.1 million for severance and related benefits for approximately 675 terminated employees, which represents all employees expected to be terminated under this plan. For the three months ended April 2, 2011, the Company initiated the closure of one facility.

In addition to restructuring charges, the Company recorded integration costs of \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million in connection with this business combination during the three and six months ended April 2, 2011, respectively.

## Restructuring Plans — 2009 and prior

Due to substantial completion of all actions under restructuring plans initiated prior to 2010 and the immateriality of the remaining accrual balance related to such plans, all such plans have been combined for disclosure purposes. Remaining actions under these plans relate primarily to leases and facility shutdowns.

Below is a summary of restructuring costs associated with facility closures and other consolidation efforts that were implemented in or prior to 2009:

	Employee Termination Severance and Related Benefits (In thousands)	Leases and Facilities Shutdown and Consolidation Costs	Total
Balance at October 2, 2010	\$3,468	\$638	\$4,106
Charges to operations	380	1,889	2,269
Charges utilized	(1,474)	(2,005)	(3,479)
Balance at January 1, 2011	2,374	522	2,896
Charges to operations	296	2,562	2,858
Charges utilized	(274)	(2,196)	(2,470)
Balance at April 2, 2011	\$2,396	\$888	\$3,284

Costs incurred with respect to facilities consist primarily of 1) costs to maintain vacant facilities that are owned until such facilities can be sold and 2) the portion of lease payments that have not been recovered due to the absence of sublease income for leased properties.

#### All Restructuring Plans - All Years

In connection with all of the Company's restructuring plans, restructuring costs of \$4.3 million were accrued as of April 2, 2011. The Company expects to pay the majority of these costs by the end of 2011.

## Note 9. Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted amounts per share are calculated by dividing net income or loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period, as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands, except per share data)			
Numerator:				
Net income	\$13,065	\$10,091	\$41,424	\$69,473
Denominator:				
Weighted average number of shares				
—basic	80,242	79,001	80,044	78,808
—diluted	83,940	82,782	83,338	81,773
Net income per share:				
—basic	\$0.16	\$0.13	\$0.52	\$0.88
—diluted	\$0.16	\$0.12	\$0.50	\$0.85

The following table presents weighted-average dilutive securities that were excluded from the above calculation because their inclusion would have had an anti-dilutive effect:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands)			
Employee stock options	4,914	5,369	4,741	6,105
Restricted stock awards and units	181	4	120	9
Total anti-dilutive shares	5,095	5,373	4,861	6,114

Securities are anti-dilutive because the (1) exercise price is higher than the Company's stock price or (2) application of the treasury stock method results in an anti-dilutive effect.

# Note 10. Comprehensive Income

Other comprehensive income, net of tax as applicable, was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six months ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands)			
Net income	\$13,065	\$10,091	\$41,424	\$69,473
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	7,953	2,537	8,353	1,069
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments	3,576	(550)	8,778	3,011
Minimum pension liability	(65)	(199)	(27)	(273)
Comprehensive income	\$24,529	\$11,879	\$58,528	\$73,280

The net unrealized gain on derivative financial instruments is primarily attributable to changes in the fair market value of the Company's liability under its interest rate swaps. The fair market value of the interest rate swaps changes primarily as a result of movements in the LIBOR yield curve.

Accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax as applicable, consisted of the following:

	As of	
	April 2, 2011	October 2, 2010
	(In thousands)	
Foreign currency translation adjustments	\$113,197	\$104,844
Unrealized holding losses on derivative financial instruments	(30,184)	(38,962)
Unrecognized net actuarial loss and unrecognized transition cost related to pension plans	(11,692)	(11,665)
Total	\$71,321	\$54,217



## Note 11. Business Segment, Geographic and Customer Information

ASC Topic 280, Segment Reporting, establishes standards for reporting information about operating segments, products and services, geographic areas of operations and major customers. Operating segments are defined as components of an enterprise for which separate financial information is available that is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. The Company operates in one reportable segment, Electronic Manufacturing Services.

Information by geographic segment, determined based on the country in which a product is manufactured, was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands)			
Net sales				
Domestic	\$299,614	\$343,908	\$587,523	\$647,097
Mexico	305,870	316,031	650,823	622,642
China	403,187	443,693	870,549	862,255
Other international	560,387	423,819	1,122,614	873,759
Total	\$1,569,058	\$1,527,451	\$3,231,509	\$3,005,753
Operating income (loss)				
Domestic	\$(11,320 )	\$(38,568 )	\$(11,500 )	\$(50,754 )
International	55,954	83,806	117,089	135,650
Total	\$44,634	\$45,238	\$105,589	\$84,896

Except for those countries noted above, no other foreign country's sales exceeded 10% of the Company's total net sales for the periods presented. Additionally, no customer represented more than 10% of the Company's net sales for the three months ended April 2, 2011, and one customer represented more than 10% of the Company's net sales for the six months ended April 2, 2011. One customer represented more than 10% of the Company's net sales during the three and six months ended April 3, 2010.

## Note 12. Stock-Based Compensation

Stock compensation expense by function and type of instrument was as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands)			
Cost of sales	\$1,013	\$2,040	\$2,052	\$4,106
Selling, general and administrative	3,184	3,208	5,790	5,695
Research and development	40	104	82	203
Total	\$4,237	\$5,352	\$7,924	\$10,004

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands)			
Stock options	\$2,661	\$3,565	\$5,411	\$6,692
Restricted stock awards	—	216	—	230
Restricted stock units	1,576	1,571	2,513	3,082
Total	\$4,237	\$5,352	\$7,924	\$10,004

As of April 2, 2011, an aggregate of 16.2 million shares were authorized for future issuance and 2.6 million shares of common stock were available for grant under the Company's stock plans, which include stock options and restricted stock awards and units.

## Stock Options

Assumptions used to estimate the fair value of stock options granted were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
Volatility	82.6 %	81.6 %	82.3 %	81.3 %
Risk-free interest rate	2.1 %	2.4 %	1.7 %	2.4 %
Dividend yield	— %	— %	— %	— %
Expected life of options	5.0 years	5.0 years	5.0 years	5.0 years

Stock option activity in 2011 was as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price (\$)	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value of In-The-Money Options (\$) (In thousands)
	(In thousands)			
Outstanding, October 2, 2010	11,078	14.39	7.44	35,417
Granted	788	11.23		
Exercised/Cancelled/Forfeited/Expired	(388 )	19.17		
Outstanding, January 1, 2011	11,478	14.01	7.38	35,587
Granted	861	15.90		
Exercised/Cancelled/Forfeited/Expired	(743 )	12.95		
Outstanding, April 2, 2011	11,596	14.22	7.32	28,698
Vested and expected to vest, April 2, 2011	10,519	14.79	7.17	25,112
Exercisable, April 2, 2011	6,562	18.49	6.20	11,940

The weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the three and six months ended April 2, 2011 was \$10.52 and \$9.00, respectively. The weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the three and six months ended April 3, 2010 was \$9.51 and \$5.99, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value in the preceding table represents the total pre-tax intrinsic value of in-the-money options that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options at the Company's closing stock price on the date indicated.

As of April 2, 2011, unrecognized compensation expense related to stock options was \$30.0 million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately four years.

#### Restricted Stock Units

The Company grants restricted stock units to executive officers, directors and certain management employees. These units vest over periods ranging from one to four years and are automatically exchanged for shares of common stock at the vesting date. Compensation expense associated with these units is recognized ratably over the vesting period.

As of April 2, 2011, unrecognized compensation expense related to restricted stock units was \$19.7 million, and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of two years.

Activity with respect to the Company's non-vested restricted stock units was as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted- Grant Date Fair Value (\$)	Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$)
	(In thousands)			(In thousands)
Non-vested restricted stock units at October 2, 2010	938	9.78	2.12	10,200
Granted	784	11.23		
Vested/Cancelled	(38 )	13.30		
Non-vested restricted stock units at January 1, 2011	1,684	10.41	2.13	18,744
Granted	445	15.91		
Vested/Cancelled	(44 )	17.77		
Non-vested restricted stock units at April 2, 2011	2,085	11.43	2.04	21,901
Non-vested restricted stock units expected to vest at April 2, 2011	1,425	11.86	2.04	14,963

## Overview

Our strategy is to leverage our comprehensive service offering, vertically integrated manufacturing services, advanced technologies and global capabilities to further penetrate diverse end markets that we believe offer significant growth opportunities and have complex products that require higher value-added services. We believe this strategy differentiates us from our competitors and will drive more sustainable revenue growth and provide opportunities for us to ultimately achieve operating margins that exceed industry standards.

There are many challenges to successfully executing our strategy. For example, we compete with a number of companies in each of our key end markets. This includes companies that are much larger than we are and smaller companies that focus on a particular niche. Although we believe we are well-positioned in each of our key end markets and are continuing to differentiate ourselves from our competitors, competition remains intense. Additionally, growing and leveraging our components manufacturing services to drive vertical integration and improve our operating margins continues to be challenging due to excess capacity and operational inefficiencies. Although improvements were made during the current quarter, we continue to address these challenges on both a short-term and long-term basis.

In late 2008, the business environment became challenging due to adverse global economic conditions. These conditions slowed global economic growth and resulted in recessions in many countries, including the U.S., Europe and certain countries in Asia. These conditions materially and adversely impacted our financial condition and results of operations for 2009. Global economic conditions improved throughout 2010, resulting in a substantial increase in our business volume. Our revenue increased on a quarterly basis throughout 2010, but decreased sequentially in each of the first two quarters of 2011 due to softening demand in each period from customers in the multimedia market, softening demand from communications customers in the second quarter of 2011 and governmental funding uncertainty in the defense market in the second quarter of 2011. Although revenue was down sequentially in each of the last two quarters, revenue levels were up 7.5% in the first half of 2011 over the same period in 2010. Additionally, the second quarter of 2011 was our sixth consecutive profitable quarter, resulting primarily from increased business volume and the realization of benefits from our previous restructuring actions. Profitability in the second quarter of 2011 was negatively impacted by a delay in defense market projects and shipments due to the U.S. Federal budget uncertainty, a recently resolved labor strike in India that delayed certain product shipments, and a short-term inventory correction in the optical market near the end of the period. Additionally, although our results of operations have not been impacted significantly by the recent natural disaster and related nuclear plant situation in Japan, there can be no assurance that future periods will not be significantly impacted. Our quarterly results of operations tend to fluctuate and may not be indicative of results to be expected for any future periods.

A relatively small number of customers have historically generated a significant portion of our net sales. Sales to our ten largest customers represented 48.7% and 48.8% of our net sales for the three and six months ended April 2, 2011, respectively. Sales to our ten largest customers represented 50.3% and 50.5% of our net sales for the three and six months ended April 3, 2010, respectively. Additionally, no customer represented more than 10% of our net sales during the three months ended April 2, 2011, and one customer represented more than 10% of our net sales for the six months ended April 2, 2011. One customer represented more than 10% of our net sales for the three and six months ended April 3, 2010.

We perform a significant portion of our manufacturing in international locations. Sales derived from products manufactured in international operations during the three months ended April 2, 2011 and April 3, 2010 were 80.9% and 77.5%, respectively, of our total net sales. During the six months ended April 2, 2011 and April 3, 2010, 81.8% and 78.5%, respectively, of our total net sales were derived from non-U.S. operations. This stems from a desire on the part of many of our customers to source production in lower cost locations such as Asia and Latin America. We expect this trend to continue.

Historically, we have had substantial recurring sales from existing customers. We typically enter into supply agreements with our major OEM customers. These agreements generally have terms ranging from three to five years and cover the manufacture of a range of products. These agreements generally do not obligate the customer to purchase minimum quantities of products. In some circumstances, our supply agreements with customers provide for cost reduction objectives during the term of the agreement.

## Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. We review the accounting policies used in reporting our financial results on a regular basis. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, net sales and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate the process used to develop estimates for certain reserves and contingent liabilities, including those related to product returns, accounts receivable, inventories, investments, intangible assets, income taxes, warranty obligations, environmental matters, restructuring, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Our actual results may differ materially from these estimates.

For a complete description of our critical accounting policies and estimates, refer to our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 24, 2010.

## Results of Operations

### Key operating results

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands)			
Net sales	\$1,569,058	\$1,527,451	\$3,231,509	\$3,005,753
Gross profit	\$116,831	\$117,477	\$244,878	\$227,164
Operating income	\$44,634	\$45,238	\$105,589	\$84,896
Net income	\$13,065	\$10,091	\$41,424	\$69,473

Net income for the six months ended April 3, 2010 includes other income of \$35.6 million in connection with a legal settlement.

## Net Sales

Net sales increased from \$1.5 billion in the second quarter of 2010 to \$1.6 billion in the second quarter of 2011, an increase of 2.7%. Net sales increased from \$3.0 billion for the six months ended April 3, 2010 to \$3.2 billion for the six months ended April 2, 2011, an increase of 7.5%. Sales by end market were as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Three Months Ended				Six Months Ended					
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	Increase/(Decrease)		April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	Increase/(Decrease)			
Communications	\$740,249	\$532,097	\$208,152	39.1 %	\$1,538,190	\$1,029,934	\$508,256	49.3 %		
Industrial, defense and medical	400,513	401,994	(1,481)	(0.4) %	809,213	797,421	11,792	1.5 %		
Enterprise computing and storage	212,424	278,149	(65,725)	(23.6) %	436,214	587,408	(151,194)	(25.7) %		
Multimedia	215,872	315,211	(99,339)	(31.5) %	447,892	590,990	(143,098)	(24.2) %		
Total	\$1,569,058	\$1,527,451	\$41,607	2.7 %	\$3,231,509	\$3,005,753	\$225,756	7.5 %		

The increase in our communications end market is primarily attributable to increased demand from existing customers, both for established programs and new program wins for new technologies introduced by our customers. Sales to customers in our enterprise computing and storage end market decreased as a result of certain customer programs going end-of-life, the effect of which was not completely offset by new programs. Sales to customers in our multimedia market decreased primarily as a result of reduced demand from existing customer programs.

## Gross Margin

Gross margin decreased from 7.7% for the three months ended April 3, 2010 to 7.4% for the three months ended April 2, 2011, and was 7.6% for the six months ended April 2, 2011 and April 3, 2010. The decrease for the three months ended April 2, 2011 resulted primarily from our EMS operations due to our inability to reduce costs fast enough at certain plants that experienced significant reductions in revenue and unfavorable changes in customer mix. This was partially offset by improved performance in our components manufacturing services.

We expect gross margins to continue to fluctuate based on overall production and shipment volumes and changes in the mix of products demanded by our major customers. Fluctuations in our gross margins may also be caused by a number of other factors, some of which are outside of our control, including (a) greater competition in the EMS industry and pricing pressures from OEMs due to greater focus on cost reduction; (b) provisions for excess and obsolete inventory that we are not able to charge back to a customer or sales of inventories previously written down; (c) changes in operational efficiencies; (d) pricing pressure on electronic components resulting from economic conditions in the electronics industry; and (e) our ability to transition manufacturing and assembly operations to lower cost regions in an efficient manner.

## Operating Expenses

### Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased from \$63.6 million, or 4.2% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2010 to \$62.2 million, or 4.0% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2011. For the six month periods, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased from \$126.0 million in 2010, or 4.2% of net sales, to \$120.7 million, or



3.7% of net sales in 2011. The decrease for both the three and six months ended April 2, 2011 was primarily due to reduced incentive compensation, partially offset by higher personnel costs resulting from increased headcount.

## Research and Development

Research and development expenses increased from \$3.3 million, or 0.2% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2010 to \$4.9 million, or 0.3% of net sales, in the second quarter of 2011. Research and development expenses increased from \$6.4 million, or 0.2% of net sales, for the six months ended April 3, 2010 to \$9.1 million, or 0.3% of net sales, for the six months ended April 2, 2011. The increase for both the three and six month periods was primarily attributable to investments in new projects in multiple business units.

## Restructuring

Costs associated with restructuring activities are accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations, or ASC Topic 712, Compensation - Nonretirement Postemployment Benefits, as applicable. Pursuant to ASC Topic 712, restructuring costs related to employee severance are recorded when probable and estimable. For restructuring costs other than employee severance accounted for under ASC Topic 712, a liability is recognized in accordance with ASC Topic 420 only when incurred. Costs associated with restructuring activities related to business combinations are accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations.

## 2010 Restructuring Plan

We initiated a restructuring plan in 2010 as a result of a business combination. Pursuant to this plan, we expect to incur costs of up to \$15.0 million to consolidate certain facilities and eliminate redundant employees, of which \$8.5 million has been incurred to date. The amount of costs ultimately incurred will depend on our ability to recover ongoing lease costs for vacant facilities by subleasing such facilities to third parties. We have implemented all actions under this plan. However, we expect to incur restructuring costs in future periods associated with leased facilities until such time as those facilities can be subleased to third parties.

Below is a summary of restructuring costs associated with this plan:

	Employee Termination Severance and Related Benefits (In thousands)	Leases and Facilities Shutdown and Consolidation Costs	Total
Balance at October 2, 2010	\$1,962	\$464	\$2,426
Charges to operations	590	1,609	2,199
Charges utilized	(1,122)	(49)	(1,171)
Balance at January 1, 2011	1,430	2,024	3,454
Charges to operations	63	1,282	1,345
Charges utilized	(1,122)	(2,625)	(3,747)
Balance at April 2, 2011	\$371	\$681	\$1,052

In connection with actions taken to date under this plan, we have recorded restructuring charges of \$5.1 million for severance and related benefits for approximately 675 terminated employees, which represents all employees expected to be terminated under this plan. For the three months ended April 2, 2011, we initiated the closure of one facility.

In addition to restructuring charges, we recorded integration costs of \$0.3 million and \$0.9 million in connection with this business combination during the three and six months ended April 2, 2011, respectively.

## Restructuring Plans — 2009 and prior

Due to substantial completion of all actions under restructuring plans initiated prior to 2010 and the immateriality of the remaining accrual balance related to such plans, all such plans have been combined for disclosure purposes. Remaining actions under these plans relate primarily to leases and facility shutdowns.

Below is a summary of restructuring costs associated with facility closures and other consolidation efforts that were implemented in or prior to 2009:

	Employee Termination Severance and Related Benefits (In thousands)	Leases and Facilities Shutdown and Consolidation Costs	Total
Balance at October 2, 2010	\$3,468	\$638	\$4,106
Charges to operations	380	1,889	2,269
Charges utilized	(1,474)	(2,005)	(3,479)
Balance at January 1, 2011	2,374	522	2,896
Charges to operations	296	2,562	2,858
Charges utilized	(274)	(2,196)	(2,470)
Balance at April 2, 2011	\$2,396	\$888	\$3,284

Costs incurred with respect to facilities consist primarily of 1) costs to maintain vacant facilities that are owned until such facilities can be sold and 2) the portion of lease payments that have not been recovered due to the absence of sublease income for leased properties. We have substantially completed our actions under these prior year restructuring plans.

## All Restructuring Plans - All Years

In connection with all of our restructuring plans, restructuring costs of \$4.3 million were accrued as of April 2, 2011. We expect to pay the majority of these costs by the end of 2011.

The recognition of restructuring charges requires us to make judgments and estimates regarding the nature, timing, and amount of costs associated with planned exit activities, including estimating sublease income and the fair values, less selling costs, of property, plant and equipment to be disposed of. Our estimates of future liabilities may change, requiring us to record additional restructuring charges or to reduce the amount of liabilities already recorded.

## Other Income, net

The following table presents the major components of other income, net:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands)			
Foreign exchange gains (losses)	\$1,681	\$(467)	\$2,390	\$183
Loss on extinguishment of debt	—	—	—	(828)
Litigation settlement	—	—	—	35,556
Other, net	380	587	888	4,864
Total other income, net	\$2,061	\$120	\$3,278	\$39,775

We reduce our exposure to currency fluctuations through the use of foreign currency forward contracts. To the extent actual amounts differ from forecasted amounts, or we are otherwise not fully hedged, we will have exposure to currency fluctuations, resulting in foreign exchange gains or losses.

## Provision for Income Taxes

We estimate our annual effective tax rate at the end of each quarterly period. Our estimate takes into account the geographic mix of our pre-tax income (loss), expected total annual pre-tax income (loss), implementation of tax planning strategies and possible outcomes of audits and other uncertain tax positions. To the extent there are fluctuations in any of these variables during a period, our provision for income taxes may vary. Our provision for income taxes was \$7.9 million for the three months ended April 2, 2011, compared to \$9.3 million for the three months ended April 3, 2010, and \$15.6 million for the six months ended April 2, 2011, compared to \$2.8 million for the six months ended April 3, 2010. Despite lower pre-tax income for the six months ended April 2, 2011, the tax provision is more than the amount for the comparable period in 2010 primarily as a result of a favorable resolution of an uncertain tax position in the first quarter of 2010 that resulted in a tax benefit of \$12.3 million in that period.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

	Six Months Ended	
	April 2, 2011	April 3, 2010
	(In thousands)	
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities	\$ 104,539	\$(19,170 )
Investing activities	(54,922 )	(37,178 )
Financing activities	14,678	(170,811 )
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(2,362 )	970
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 61,933	\$(226,189 )

## Key liquidity performance measures

	Three Months Ended		
	April 2, 2011	January 1, 2011	October 2, 2010
Days sales outstanding (1)	56	55	52
Inventory turns (2)	7.0	7.3	7.3
Accounts payable days (3)	53	52	55
Cash cycle days (4)	55	52	47

(1) Days sales outstanding (a measure of how quickly we collect our accounts receivable), or DSO, is calculated as the ratio of average accounts receivable, net, to average daily net sales for the quarter.

(2) Inventory turns (annualized) are calculated as the ratio of four times our cost of sales for the quarter to average inventory.

(3) Accounts payable days (a measure of how quickly we pay our suppliers) is calculated as the ratio of 365 days divided by accounts payable turns, in which accounts payable turns is calculated as the ratio of four times our cost of sales for the quarter to average accounts payable.

(4) Cash cycle days is calculated as the ratio of 365 days to inventory turns, plus days sales outstanding minus accounts payable days.

Cash and cash equivalents were \$654.7 million at April 2, 2011 and \$592.8 million at October 2, 2010. Our cash levels vary during any given quarter depending on the timing of collections from customers and payments to suppliers, borrowings under credit facilities and other factors. Our working capital was \$1.4 billion as of April 2, 2011 and \$1.3 billion as of October 2, 2010.

Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities was \$104.5 million and \$(19.2) million for the six months ended April 2, 2011 and April 3, 2010, respectively. Cash flows from operating activities in 2011 consist of: 1) net inflows of \$96.9 million from net income adjusted to exclude non-cash items such as depreciation and amortization, stock-based compensation expense, etc., and 2) net inflows of \$7.6 million from changes in net operating assets, which are comprised of accounts receivable, inventories, prepaid expenses and other assets, accounts payable, and accrued liabilities and other long-term liabilities.

During the six months ended April 2, 2011, we generated \$7.6 million of cash by reducing our net operating assets, most notably accounts receivable and inventories which decreased \$55.9 million and \$25.7 million, respectively. Although accounts receivable decreased in absolute dollars, our DSO increased to 56 days at April 2, 2011 from 52 days at October 2, 2010. The increase resulted primarily from a change in the composition of accounts receivable from customers with shorter payment terms to customers with longer payment terms as well as increases in payment terms for certain customers. Inventory turns decreased slightly to 7.0 turns during the three months ended April 2, 2011 from 7.3 turns during the three months ended October 2, 2010 as a result of revenue declining faster than inventory could be reduced. Additionally, accounts payable decreased \$65.8 million, primarily due to a change in the linearity of our material purchases. We received a high percentage of our materials requirements early in the quarter such that payments to our suppliers were due prior to the end of our quarter. Because of this, our accounts payable days as of the end of the quarter decreased to 53 days, from 55 days at October 2, 2010. Our working capital metrics tend to fluctuate from quarter-to-quarter based on factors such as the linearity of our shipments and purchases, customer and supplier mix, and the negotiation of payment terms with customers and suppliers. These fluctuations can significantly affect our cash flows from operating activities.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$54.9 million and \$37.2 million for the six months ended April 2, 2011 and April 3, 2010, respectively. During the six months ended April 2, 2011, we used \$54.8 million of cash for capital expenditures, received proceeds of \$8.3 million from asset sales, and used \$8.5 million of cash in connection with a previous business combination. During the six months ended April 3, 2010, we used \$34.9 million of cash for capital expenditures, net of proceeds from asset sales, and used \$2.3 million in connection with business combinations.

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities was \$14.7 million and \$(170.8) million for the six months ended April 2, 2011 and April 3, 2010, respectively. During the six months ended April 2, 2011, we reduced our restricted cash by \$14.6 million. During the six months ended April 3, 2010, we redeemed \$175.7 million of our long-term debt.

#### Other Liquidity Matters.

As of April 2, 2011, we have a liability of \$54.0 million for uncertain tax positions. Our estimate of our liability for uncertain tax positions is based on a number of subjective assessments, including the likelihood of a tax obligation being assessed, the amount of taxes (including interest and penalties), that would ultimately be payable, and our ability to settle any such obligations on favorable terms. Therefore, the amount of future cash flows associated with uncertain tax positions may be significantly higher or lower than our recorded liability.

In connection with our previous acquisition of BreconRidge Corporation, we paid \$9.4 million of purchase consideration in the first six months of 2011 and expect to pay \$8.2 million of purchase consideration between now and November 29, 2011.

We have entered into, and continue to enter into, various transactions that periodically require collateral. These obligations have historically arisen from customs, import/export, VAT, utility services, debt financing, foreign exchange contracts and interest rate swaps. We have collateralized, and may from time to time collateralize, such obligations as a result of counterparty requirements or for economic reasons. As of April 2, 2011, we had collateral of \$15.5 million in the form of cash against certain of our collateralized obligations. Cash used for collateral reduces our cash available for other purposes.

Our debt agreements currently contain a number of restrictive covenants, including prohibitions on incurring additional debt, making investments and other restricted payments, paying dividends and redeeming or repurchasing capital stock and debt, subject to certain exceptions. We were in compliance with these covenants as of April 2, 2011. Our debt agreements do not contain any financial maintenance covenants that are currently applicable to us. We may be required to seek waivers or amendments to certain covenants for our debt instruments if we are unable to comply with the requirements of the covenants in the future. We may not be able to obtain such waivers or amendments on terms acceptable to us or at all, and, in such case, these covenants could materially adversely impact our ability to conduct our business or carry out our restructuring plans.

Our next long-term debt maturity is in 2013. We may, however, consider early redemptions of our debt in future periods, possibly using proceeds from additional debt or equity financings. In addition to our existing covenant requirements, future debt financing may require us to comply with financial ratios and covenants. Equity financing, if required, may result in dilution to existing stockholders.

During 2010, one of our subsidiaries in China entered into a \$50 million unsecured working capital loan facility. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at a rate equal to the three month Euro LIBOR plus a spread. The loan facility expires in April 2012 and contains certain negative covenants that, upon default, permit the bank to deny any further advances or extension of credit or to terminate the loan agreement. As of April 2, 2011, \$30 million had been borrowed under this facility and was outstanding and we were in compliance with all covenants.

Also, during 2010, one of our subsidiaries in India entered into a \$35 million working capital loan facility that contains no covenants and expires on June 30, 2011. Borrowings under the facility bear interest at a rate equal to Euro LIBOR plus a spread. As of April 2, 2011, \$31.9 million had been borrowed under this facility and was outstanding. During the second quarter of 2011, the collateral requirement associated with this facility was removed and \$10 million of cash collateral was returned to us.

As of April 2, 2011, we had short-term borrowings of \$61.9 million outstanding. These borrowings require repayment within the next 12 months. We may, however, consider extending or replacing these credit facilities.



Our liquidity needs are largely dependent on changes in our working capital, including inventory requirements, the extension of trade credit by our suppliers, the degree of alignment of payment terms with our suppliers to payment terms granted to our customers, and restrictions on our ability to move cash between subsidiaries and repatriate cash to the U.S., investments in facilities and equipment, repayments of obligations under outstanding indebtedness and repurchases of our outstanding debt. Our primary sources of liquidity include 1) cash of \$654.7 million; 2) our \$235 million credit facility, of which we were eligible to borrow \$146.3 million as of April 2, 2011 based on the levels of eligible accounts receivable and inventories at that date; 3) short-term borrowing facilities of \$85 million, of which \$23.1 million was available as of April 2, 2011; and 4) cash generated from operations.

We believe our existing cash resources and other sources of liquidity, together with cash generated from operations, will be sufficient to meet our working capital requirements through at least the next 12 months. Should our working capital requirements increase significantly over the next 12 months or we experience increases in delinquent or uncollectible accounts receivable, our cash provided by operations would be adversely impacted.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

#### Interest Rate Risk

Our primary exposure to market risk for changes in interest rates relates to certain of our outstanding debt obligations. Currently, we do not use derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. As of April 2, 2011, we had no short-term investments.

As of April 2, 2011, we had \$1.2 billion of long-term debt, of which \$980.0 million bears interest at a fixed rate and \$257.4 million of variable rate debt has been converted to fixed rate through the use of interest rate swaps. Accordingly, we are not exposed to changes in interest rates on our long-term debt. We also had \$61.9 million of variable-rate short-term borrowings outstanding as of April 2, 2011. Due to the insignificance of the amount, the effect of an immediate 10% change in interest rates would not have a significant impact on our results of operations.

#### Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

We transact business in foreign countries. Our foreign exchange policy requires that we take certain steps to limit our foreign exchange exposures related to certain assets and liabilities and forecasted cash flows. However, our policy does not require us to hedge all foreign exchange exposures. Further, foreign currency hedges are based on forecasted transactions, the amount of which may differ from that actually incurred. As a result, we experience foreign exchange gains and losses in our results of operations.

Our primary foreign currency cash flows are in certain Asian and European countries, Brazil and Mexico. We enter into short-term foreign currency forward contracts to hedge currency exposures associated with certain monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. These contracts typically have maturities of up to two months and are not designated as part of a hedging relationship in accordance with ASC Topic 815. All outstanding foreign currency forward contracts are marked-to-market at the end of the period with unrealized gains and losses included in other income, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of income. As of April 2, 2011, we had outstanding foreign currency forward contracts to exchange various foreign currencies for U.S. dollars in the aggregate notional amount of \$408.5 million.

We also utilize foreign currency forward contracts to hedge certain operational ("cash flow") exposures resulting from changes in foreign currency exchange rates. Such exposures result from forecasted sales denominated in currencies different from those for cost of sales and other expenses. These contracts are typically up to two months in duration and are accounted for as cash flow hedges under ASC Topic 815. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of the contracts is recorded in stockholders' equity as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income and is recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of income when the hedged item affects earnings. We had forward contracts related to cash flow hedges in various foreign currencies in the aggregate notional amount of \$94.6 million as of April 2, 2011. The net impact of an immediate 10% change in exchange rates would not be material to our condensed consolidated financial statements, provided we accurately forecast our foreign currency exposure. If such forecasts are materially inaccurate, we could incur significant gains or losses.

#### Item 4. Controls and Procedures

##### Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended April 2, 2011 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

##### Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining our disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures will prevent all error and all fraud. Disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that their objectives are met. Further, the design of disclosure controls and procedures must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of disclosure controls and procedures must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures can provide absolute assurance that all disclosure control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. Nonetheless, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of April 2, 2011, (1) our disclosure controls and procedures were designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and (2) our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file and submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as and when required, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding its required disclosure.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Please refer to Item 1 of Part II to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 1, 2011. See also Note 6 of Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In addition, from time to time, we may be involved in other routine legal proceedings, as well as demands, claims and threatened litigation, that arise in the normal course of our business. The ultimate outcome of any litigation is uncertain and unfavorable outcomes could have a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition. Regardless of outcome, litigation can have an adverse impact on us as a result of incurrence of defense costs, diversion of management resources and other factors. We record liabilities for legal proceedings when a loss becomes probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated.

Item 1A. Risk Factors Affecting Operating Results

We may experience component shortages or price increases, which could cause us to delay shipments to customers and reduce our sales and net income; the natural disaster in Japan could also reduce our sales and profitability.

We are dependent on certain suppliers, including limited and sole source suppliers, to provide key components we incorporate into our products. We have experienced, and may experience in the future, delays in component deliveries, which in turn could cause delays in product shipments to customers, result in reduced revenue from and have an adverse effect on our relationship with the affected customer, and our reputation generally as a reliable service provider. In addition, component shortages, whether anticipated or not, can increase our cost of goods sold and therefore decrease our gross margin since we may be required to pay higher prices for components in short supply and redesign or reconfigure products to accommodate substitute components. Additionally, we may purchase components in advance of our requirements for those components as a result of a threatened or anticipated shortage. In this event, we may incur additional inventory carrying costs, for which we may not be compensated, and have a heightened risk of exposure to inventory obsolescence, the cost of which may not be recoverable from our customers. Such costs would reduce our margins and net income. Finally, if key components become scarce, we may be required to look to second tier vendors or to procure components through brokers. Such components may be of lesser quality than those otherwise available and could cause us to incur costs to qualify such components or to replace them if they prove to be defective. In some cases, suppliers seek to obtain credit insurance for our or our subsidiaries' payment obligations as a condition to continuing to do business with us. Should such insurance not be available or if we are not otherwise able to guarantee our subsidiaries' payment obligations, our ability to continue to procure components and deliver manufactured products to our customers could be adversely impacted.

In addition, we are evaluating the impact of the March 2011 earthquake and tsunami in Japan on our business and operations. Although we do not have manufacturing facilities in Japan, many of our customers are headquartered there and some of the components sourced for our customers' products are manufactured in Japan. As a result, our customers based in Japan may order reduced amounts of product from us resulting from interruptions in their own businesses and diminished demand from Japanese consumers and we may find it difficult to procure components that are currently sourced from Japanese suppliers, either of which would adversely impact our business. Such tightening of supply could prevent us from building products that require Japanese sourced components or increase our expenses as we are forced to find alternative sources of supply. Should such reduction of demand and tightening supply conditions arise and then continue over an extended period of time, our revenue, margins and net income could be reduced, perhaps significantly.

Adverse market conditions in the electronics industry could reduce our future sales and earnings per share.

We cannot accurately predict future levels of demand for our customers' electronics products. Consequently, our past operating results, earnings and cash flows may not be indicative of our future operating results, earnings and cash flows. During the past two years, adverse worldwide economic conditions led to challenging conditions in the electronics industry. A number of factors, including lower asset values, price instability, geopolitical issues, the availability and cost of credit, high unemployment and concerns about the stability and solvency of financial institutions, financial markets, businesses, and sovereign nations slowed global economic growth and resulted in recessions in many countries, including in the United States, Europe and certain countries in Asia. The conditions resulted in our customers delaying purchases of the products we manufacture for them and our customers placing purchase orders for lower volumes of products than previously experienced or anticipated.

While these conditions have abated somewhat during the past year, a downturn in the economic outlook could result in our customers or potential customers reducing or delaying orders, the insolvency of key suppliers, which could result in production delays, shorter payment terms from suppliers due to reduced availability of credit default insurance in the market, the inability of customers to obtain credit, and the insolvency of one or more customers. Any

of these effects could impact our ability to effectively manage inventory levels and collect receivables, increase our need for cash, and decrease our net revenue and profitability.

Many of the industries to which we provide products have previously experienced significant financial difficulty, with some of the participants filing for bankruptcy. Such significant financial difficulty, if experienced by one or more of our customers, may negatively affect our business due to the decreased demand of these financially distressed customers, the potential inability of these companies to make full payment on amounts owed to us, or both. Customer bankruptcies also entail the risk of potential recovery by the bankruptcy estate of amounts previously paid to us that are deemed a preference under bankruptcy law. We do not carry insurance against the risk of customer default on their payment obligations to us.

We may be unable to obtain sufficient financing to reduce our debt levels or maintain or expand our operations, which may cause our stock price to fall and reduce the business our customers and vendors do with us.

Our liquidity needs are largely dependent on changes in working capital, including inventory requirements, the extension of trade credit by our suppliers, and the degree of alignment of payment terms from our suppliers to payment terms granted to our customers, investments in facilities and equipment, repayments of obligations under outstanding indebtedness and repurchases of our outstanding debt. In connection with the management of our liquidity needs, we entered into a five-year \$135 million asset-backed credit facility in November 2008, which we later increased to \$235 million, under which we could borrow \$146.3 million as of April 2, 2011. We also have \$85 million in foreign short-term financing facilities under which \$23.1 million remained available to be borrowed as of April 2, 2011. Our next long-term debt maturity is in 2013. In the event we need additional capital, whether for working capital, debt repayment or otherwise, there can be no assurance that debt or equity capital will be available on acceptable terms or at all. New financing arrangements, if available, could result in us issuing additional equity securities, which could cause dilution to existing stockholders. If additional or continued financing, including the continued extension of trade credit by our suppliers, is not available when required, our ability to repay, reduce or refinance our debt levels, maintain or increase our rates of production and expand our manufacturing capacity, as well as our overall liquidity, will be harmed, which could cause our stock price to fall and reduce our customers' and vendors' willingness to do business with us.

Our credit arrangements contain covenants which may adversely impact our business and the failure to comply with such covenants could cause our outstanding debt to become immediately payable.

Our debt agreements do not contain financial covenants currently applicable to us, but do include a number of negative covenants, including restrictions on incurring additional debt, making investments and other restricted payments, acquiring new businesses, paying dividends and redeeming or repurchasing capital stock and debt, subject to certain exceptions. These covenants could constrain our ability to grow our business through acquisition or engage in other transactions which the covenants would otherwise restrict, including refinancing our existing debt. In addition, such agreements include affirmative covenants requiring, among other things, that we file quarterly and annual financial statements with the SEC. If we are not able to comply with all of these covenants, for any reason, some or all of our outstanding debt could become immediately due and payable and the incurrence of additional debt under our asset-backed credit facility would not be allowed.

Our early redemptions of debt and repurchases of stock have reduced our working capital and liquidity; debt refinancing can entail higher interest expense, which would lower our net income.

During 2010, we redeemed \$195.7 million of our long-term debt in the open market. Repurchases and redemptions of debt and stock have reduced our working capital. Although redemptions of debt improve our operating results by reducing our interest expense, the redemptions have reduced our liquidity. If we should repurchase or redeem additional debt or equity, our working capital and liquidity would be further reduced. In addition, should we undertake to refinance any of our outstanding long-term debt, the earliest maturity of which is 2013, there can be no assurance that the terms of such refinancing, particularly the interest rate, would be favorable to us. Should we be forced to

replace lower interest rate debt with higher interest rate debt, our net income would be reduced.

We could experience credit problems with our customers, which would reduce our future revenues and net income.

While we seek to mitigate the impact of collection problems with our customers on our financial results by evaluating their creditworthiness on an ongoing basis and by maintaining an allowance for doubtful accounts that is assessed for adequacy quarterly, recent economic conditions have caused certain of our customers to extend or default on their payments, declare bankruptcy or both. For example, one of our customers, Nortel Networks, filed a petition for reorganization under bankruptcy law. As a result of this filing, in the first quarter of 2009, we reversed revenue and recorded an inventory provision in an aggregate amount of \$10 million with respect to this customer. In addition, in the third quarter of 2010, we increased our bad debt reserve significantly due to customer defaults. Should customer defaults increase substantially or exceed the level of our allowance, our revenue, net income and cash position would be reduced, perhaps significantly.



We are subject to intense competition in the EMS industry which could cause us to lose sales and therefore hurt our financial performance.

The EMS industry is highly competitive and the industry has been experiencing a surplus of manufacturing capacity, particularly in light of the recent slowdown in the U.S. and international economies. Our competitors include major global EMS providers such as Celestica, Inc., Flextronics International Ltd., Hon Hai (Foxconn) and Jabil Circuit, Inc., as well as other EMS companies that have a regional, product, service or industry specific focus. Some of those companies have greater manufacturing and financial resources than we do. We also face competition from current and potential OEM customers who may elect to manufacture their own products internally rather than outsourcing to EMS providers.

We may not be able to offer prices as low as some of our competitors because those competitors may have lower operating costs as a result of their geographic location, greater economies of scale or the services they provide or because these competitors are willing to provide EMS services at prices that result in lower gross margins in order to utilize more of their capacity. If we are unable or unwilling to offer prices that are competitive with other EMS companies, our net sales may decline. There can be no assurance that we will not lose business in the future in response to such competitive pricing or other inducements which may be offered by our competitors.

Our operating results are subject to significant uncertainties, which make predictability of our future sales and net income difficult.

Our operating results are subject to significant uncertainties, including:

- conditions in the economy as a whole and in the electronics industry;
- fluctuations in components prices and component shortages caused by high demand, natural disaster or otherwise, which could cause us to be unable to meet customer delivery schedules, increase our costs and potentially decrease our profitability;
- our ability to replace declining sales from end of life programs with new business wins;
- timing of orders from customers and the accuracy of their forecasts;
- inventory levels of customers, which if high relative to their normal sales volume, could cause them to reduce their orders to us;
- timing of expenditures in anticipation of increased sales, customer product delivery requirements and shortages of components or labor;
- mix of products ordered by and shipped to major customers, as high volume and low complexity manufacturing services typically have lower gross margins than more complex and lower volume services;
- degree to which we are able to utilize our available manufacturing capacity;
- our ability to maintain desired plant operating efficiencies, including achieving acceptable yields, effectively planning production and managing our inventory and fixed assets to avoid high carrying costs and excess working capital;
- our ability to effectively plan production and manage our inventory and fixed assets;
- customer insolvencies resulting in bad debt or inventory exposures that are in excess of our reserves;
- our ability to efficiently move manufacturing activities to lower cost regions without adversely affecting customer relationships and while controlling costs related to the closure of facilities and employee severance;
- pricing and other competitive pressures;
- fluctuations in the values of our assets, including real property and assets held for sale, which could result in charges to income;
- political and economic developments in countries in which we have operations;
- timing of new product development by our customers which creates demand for our services;
- levels of demand in the end markets served by our customers;
- volatility of foreign currency exchange rates; and
- changes in our tax provision due to our estimates of pre-tax income in the jurisdictions in which we operate.

A portion of our operating expenses is relatively fixed in nature and planned expenditures are based in part on anticipated orders, which are difficult to predict. If we do not receive anticipated orders as expected, our profitability will decline. Moreover, our ability to reduce our costs as a result of current or future restructuring efforts may be limited because consolidation of operations can be a costly and lengthy process to complete.

Our strategy to pursue higher margin business depends in part on the success of our components business, which if not successful, could cause our future gross margins and operating results to be lower.

Our components business, which includes printed circuit boards, mechanical systems, optical components and cabling manufacturing, is a key part of our strategy to grow our future margins and profitability by expanding our vertical integration capabilities. In order to grow this portion of our business profitably, we must continue to make substantial investments in the development of our components capabilities, research and development activities, test and tooling equipment and skilled personnel. Given the relatively higher fixed cost structure of this business, our success is greatly dependent upon obtaining sufficient orders for our components manufacturing services which is difficult to predict. The success of our components business also depends on our ability to achieve commercially viable production yields and to manufacture components in commercial quantities to the specifications and quality standards

required by customers. In particular, our customers require that all new components used in their products be qualified in advance which can be costly both in terms of time and cost and may not result in the customers' acceptance of our components. Any of these factors could cause components revenue or margins to be less than expected, which would have an overall adverse effect on our revenues and profitability.

If demand for our higher-end, higher margin manufacturing services does not increase, our future gross margins and operating results may be lower than expected.

We typically earn lower gross margins when we provide less complex EMS services. We experience continued pressure from OEMs to reduce prices, and competition remains intense. Pricing pressure is typically more intense for less complex, lower

margin EMS services. This price competition has affected, and could continue to adversely affect, our gross margins. If demand for our higher-end, higher margin manufacturing services does not increase in the future, our gross margins and operating results in future periods may be lower than expected.

We generally do not obtain long-term volume purchase commitments from customers and, therefore, cancellations, reductions in production quantities and delays in production by our customers could reduce our sales and net income.

We generally do not obtain firm, long-term purchase commitments from our customers and our bookings may generally be cancelled prior to the scheduled shipment date. Customers may cancel their orders, reduce production quantities or delay production for a number of reasons, including significant decreases in demand for their products and services. Although the customer is generally liable for finished goods and work-in-process at the time of cancellation, we may be unable or, for other business reasons, choose not to enforce our contractual rights.

Cancellations, reductions or delays of orders by customers would:

- reduce our sales and net income by decreasing the volumes of products that we manufacture for our customers;
- delay or eliminate recovery of our expenditures for inventory purchased in preparation for customer orders; and
- lower our asset utilization, which would result in lower gross margins and lower net income.

In addition, customers sometimes require that we transfer the manufacturing of their products from one facility to another to achieve cost reductions and other objectives. These transfers have resulted in increased costs to us due to facility downtime or less than optimal utilization of our manufacturing capacity. These transfers also have required us to close or reduce operations at certain facilities, particularly those in high cost locations such as the United States, Canada and Western Europe, and as a result we have incurred significant costs for the closure of facilities, employee severance and related matters. We also have encountered occasional delays and complications related to the transition of manufacturing programs to new locations. We may be required to relocate our manufacturing operations in the future and, accordingly, we may incur additional costs that decrease our net income.

Energy price increases may negatively impact our results of operations.

Certain of the components that we use in our manufacturing activities are petroleum-based. In addition, we, along with our suppliers and customers, rely on various energy sources (including oil) in our transportation activities. While significant uncertainty currently exists about the future levels of energy prices, a significant increase is possible. Increased energy prices could cause an increase to our raw material costs and transportation costs. In addition, increased transportation costs of certain of our suppliers could be passed along to us. We may not be able to increase our product prices enough to offset these increased costs. In addition, any increase in our product prices may reduce our future customer orders and profitability.

Adverse changes in the key end markets we target could harm our business by reducing our sales.

We provide EMS services to companies that sell products in the communications networks; industrial, defense and medical; enterprise computing and storage; and multimedia markets. Adverse changes in any of these markets could reduce demand for our customers' products and make these customers more sensitive to the cost of our EMS services, either of which could reduce our sales, gross margins and net income. Factors affecting any of our customers' industries in general, or our customers in particular, have led to reductions in net sales in certain end markets, and such factors could seriously harm our business in the future. These factors include:

- short product life cycles leading to continuing new requirements and specifications for our customers products, the failure of which to meet could cause us to lose business;
- failure of our customers' products to gain widespread commercial acceptance which could decrease the volume of orders customers place with us;
- recessionary periods in our customers' markets which decrease orders from affected customers; and
-

in the case of our defense business, reduced government spending levels resulting from budgetary pressures and constraints or political uncertainty regarding future budgets.

We rely on a relatively small number of customers for a substantial portion of our sales, and declines in sales to these customers would reduce our net sales and net income.

One customer represented more than 10% of our net sales and sales to our ten largest customers represented 48.8% of our net sales during the first six months of 2011. We expect to continue to depend upon a relatively small number of customers for a significant percentage of our sales. Consolidation among our customers may further concentrate our business in a limited

number of customers and expose us to increased risks related to dependence on a small number of customers. In addition, a significant reduction in sales to any of our large customers or significant pricing and margin pressures exerted by such a customer would adversely affect our operating results. In the past, some of our large customers have significantly reduced or delayed the volume of manufacturing services ordered from us as a result of changes demand for their product, consolidations or divestitures or for other reasons. In particular, certain of our customers have from time to time entered into manufacturing divestiture transactions with other EMS companies, and such transactions could adversely affect our revenues with these customers. We cannot assure you that present or future large customers will not terminate their manufacturing arrangements with us or significantly change, reduce or delay the amount of manufacturing services ordered from us, any of which would reduce our net sales and net income.

We are subject to risks arising from our international operations.

We conduct our international operations primarily in Asia, Latin America, Canada and Europe, and we continue to consider additional opportunities to make foreign acquisitions and construct new foreign facilities. We generated 81.8% of our net sales from non-U.S. operations for the six months ended April 2, 2011 and a significant portion of our manufacturing material was provided by international suppliers during this period. As a result of our international operations, we are affected by economic and political conditions in foreign countries, including:

- the imposition of government controls;
- compliance with U.S. and foreign laws concerning trade and employment practices;
- difficulties in obtaining or complying with export license requirements;
- trade restrictions;
- changes in tariffs;
- labor unrest, including strikes, and difficulties in staffing;
- inflexible employee contracts in the event of business downturns;
- coordinating communications among and managing international operations;
- fluctuations in currency exchange rates;
- currency controls;
- increases in duty and/or income tax rates;
- adverse rulings in regards to tax audits;
- excess costs associated with reducing employment or shutting down facilities;
- misappropriation of intellectual property; and
- constraints on our ability to maintain or increase prices.

Our operations in certain foreign locations receive favorable income tax treatment in the form of tax holidays or other incentives. In the event that such tax holidays or other incentives are not extended, are repealed, or we no longer qualify for such programs, our taxes may increase, which would reduce our net income.

Additionally, a significant portion of our worldwide cash reserves are generated by, and therefore held in, foreign jurisdictions. Certain of such jurisdictions restrict the amount of cash that can be transferred to the U.S or impose taxes and penalties on such transfers of cash. To the extent we have excess cash in foreign locations that could be used in, or is needed by, our U.S. operations, we may incur significant taxes to repatriate these funds.

We operate in countries that have experienced labor unrest and political instability, including China, India, Thailand and other countries in Southeast Asia and we have experienced work stoppages and similar disruptions in certain foreign jurisdictions, including India. To the extent such developments prevent us from adequately staffing our plants and manufacturing and shipping products in those jurisdictions, our margins and net income could be reduced and our reputation as a reliable supplier could be negatively impacted.

Our results can be adversely affected by rising labor costs.

There is uncertainty with respect to rising labor costs, in particular within the lower-cost regions in which we operate. Recently, in China, labor disputes and strikes based partly on wages have slowed or stopped production at certain manufacturers. In some cases, employers have responded by significantly increasing the wages of workers at such plants. Any increase in labor costs that we are required to make in order to retain qualified personnel and are unable to recover in our pricing to our customers could adversely impact our operating results.

To respond to competitive pressures and customer requirements, we may further expand internationally in lower cost locations, particularly in Asia, Eastern Europe and Latin America. As we pursue these expansions, we may be required to make

additional capital expenditures. In addition, the cost structure in certain countries that are now considered to be favorable may increase as economies develop or as such countries join multinational economic communities or organizations, causing local wages to rise. As a result, we may need to continue to seek new locations with lower costs and the employee and infrastructure base to support electronics manufacturing. We cannot assure you that we will realize the anticipated strategic benefits of our international operations or that our international operations will contribute positively to our operating results.

As a result of our components ordering policies, and customer-requested ship dates, we may incur carrying costs or not be compensated for components, work-in-process or finished goods, which would decrease our margins and net income.

In order to satisfy customer orders, we are frequently required to order components and other parts in advance of customer payment, particularly for long lead-time items. Furthermore, we may be required to keep additional components, work-in-process and finished goods in inventory in order to meet customer delivery dates. While our supply agreements with our customers generally allocate most of the liability for payment for such items to the customers, we may nonetheless incur additional carrying costs or not ultimately be compensated for these items should the customer default upon its obligations. To the extent we incur any such costs, our gross margins and net income would be reduced.

Our business could be adversely affected by any delays, or increased costs, resulting from issues that our common carriers are dealing with in transporting our materials, our products, or both.

We rely on a variety of common carriers to transport our materials from our suppliers to us, and to transport our products from us to our customers. Problems suffered by any of these common carriers, whether due to a natural disaster, labor problem, increased energy prices or some other issue, could result in shipping delays, increased costs, or some other supply chain disruption, and could therefore have a material adverse effect on our operations.

If we manufacture or design defective products, or if our manufacturing processes do not comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements, we could be subject to damages and fines and lose customers.

We manufacture products to our customers' specifications, and in some cases our manufacturing processes and facilities may need to comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. For example, many of the medical devices that we manufacture, as well as the facilities and manufacturing processes that we use to produce them, are regulated by the United States Food and Drug Administration. In addition, our customers' products and the manufacturing processes that we use to produce them often are highly complex. As a result, products that we design or manufacture may at times contain design or manufacturing defects, and our manufacturing processes may be subject to errors or may not be in compliance with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements. Defects in the products we design or manufacture may result in product recalls, warranty claims by customers, including liability for repair costs, delayed shipments to customers or reduced or cancelled customer orders. If these defects or deficiencies are significant, our business reputation may also be damaged. The failure of the products that we design or manufacture or of our manufacturing processes and facilities to comply with applicable statutory and regulatory requirements may subject us to legal fines or penalties and, in some cases, require us to shut down or incur considerable expense to correct a manufacturing program or facility. In addition, these defects may result in product liability claims against us. The magnitude of such claims may increase as we expand our medical, automotive, and aerospace and defense manufacturing services because defects in medical devices, automotive components and aerospace and defense systems could seriously harm users of these products. Even if our customers are responsible for defects in the design of a product, we could nonetheless be named in a product liability suit over such defects and could be required to expend significant resources defending ourselves.



We also design products on a contract basis or jointly with our customers. The design services that we provide can expose us to different or greater potential liabilities than those we face when providing our regular manufacturing services. For example, we have increased exposure to potential product liability claims resulting from injuries caused by defects in products we design, as well as potential claims that products we design infringe third-party intellectual property rights. Such claims could subject us to significant liability for damages and, regardless of their merits, could be time-consuming and expensive to resolve. Any such costs and damages could be significant and would reduce our net income.

Our key personnel are critical to the continued growth of our business and we cannot assure you that they will remain with us.

Our success depends upon the continued service of our key personnel. Generally, these employees are not bound by employment or non-competition agreements. We cannot assure you that we will retain our key employees, particularly our

highly skilled operations managers and engineers involved in the manufacture of existing products and development of new products and processes. The competition for these employees is intense. In addition, if one or more of our key employees were to join a competitor or otherwise compete directly or indirectly with us or otherwise become unavailable to us, we could lose customers and our sales and gross margins could decrease.

If we are unable to maintain our technological and manufacturing process expertise, our business could be adversely affected.

Improvements to and refinements of our manufacturing processes are necessary to manufacture next generation products for our customers in a cost-efficient manner. As a result, we are continually evaluating the cost-effectiveness and feasibility of new manufacturing processes. In some cases, we will be required to make capital expenditures and incur engineering expense in order to qualify and validate any such new process. Such expenses would reduce our net income. In addition, any delay in the deployment of such new process, or problems commencing volume production using a new process could also reduce our margins and net income and harm our reputation with our customers. Unanticipated changes in our tax rates or exposure to additional income tax liabilities could increase our taxes and decrease our net income.

We are subject to income, sales, value-added and other taxes in the United States and various foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision for taxes and, in the ordinary course of business, there are many transactions and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain. Our effective tax rates could be adversely affected by changes in the mix of earnings in countries with differing statutory tax rates, changes in the valuation of deferred tax assets and liabilities, changes in tax laws and other factors. Our tax determinations are regularly subject to audit by tax authorities and developments in those audits could adversely affect our tax provisions, including through assessment of back taxes, interest and penalties. Although we believe that our tax estimates are reasonable, the final determination of tax audits or tax disputes may be different from what is reflected in our historical tax provisions which could lead to an increase in our taxes payable and a decrease in our net income.

Our international sales are subject to laws relating to trade, export controls and foreign corrupt practices, the violation of which could adversely affect our operations.

We are required to comply with all applicable domestic and foreign export control laws, including the International Traffic in Arms Regulations ("ITAR") and the Export Administration Regulations ("EAR"). Some items manufactured by us are controlled for export by the United States Department of Commerce's Bureau of Industry and Security under the EAR. In addition, we are subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and international counterparts that bar bribes or unreasonable gifts for foreign governments and officials. Violation of any of these laws or regulations could result in significant sanctions, including large monetary penalties and suspension or debarment from participation in future government contracts, which could reduce our future revenue and net income.

We are subject to a number of U.S. governmental procurement rules and regulations, the failure to comply with which could result in damages or reduction of future revenue.

We are subject to a number of laws and regulations relating to the award, administration and performance of U.S. government contracts and subcontracts. Such laws and regulations govern, among other things, price negotiations, cost accounting standards and other aspects of performance under government contracts. These rules are complex and our performance under them is subject to audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency and other government regulators. If an audit or investigation reveals a failure to comply with regulations or other improper activities, we may be subject to civil or criminal penalties and administrative sanctions by either the government or the prime customer, including termination of the contract, payment of fines and suspension or debarment from doing further business with the U.S. government. Any of these actions would increase our expenses, reduce our revenue and damage our reputation as a reliable government supplier.

We can experience losses due to foreign exchange rate fluctuations, which would reduce our net income.

Because we manufacture and sell a substantial portion of our products abroad, our operating costs are subject to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. If the U.S. dollar weakens against the foreign currencies in which we denominate certain of our trade accounts payable, fixed purchase obligations and other expenses, the U.S. dollar equivalent of such expenses would increase. We use financial instruments, primarily short-term foreign currency forward contracts, to hedge certain forecasted foreign currency commitments arising from trade accounts receivable, trade accounts payable and fixed purchase obligations. Our foreign currency hedging activities depend largely upon the accuracy of our forecasts of future sales,

expenses, capital expenditures and monetary assets and liabilities. As such, our foreign currency forward contracts may exceed or not cover our full exposure to exchange rate fluctuations. If these hedging activities are not successful, we may experience significant unexpected expenses from fluctuations in exchange rates. Although we believe our foreign exchange hedging policies are reasonable and prudent under the circumstances, we can provide no assurances that we will not experience losses arising from currency fluctuations in the future, which could be significant.

Consolidation in the electronics industry may adversely affect our business by increasing competition or customer buying power and increasing prices we pay for components.

Consolidation in the electronics industry among our customers, our suppliers and/or our competitors may increase as companies combine to achieve further economies of scale and other synergies. Consolidation in the electronics industry could result in an increasing number of very large electronics companies offering products in multiple sectors of the electronics industry. The significant purchasing and market power of these large companies could increase competitive pressures on us. In addition, if one of our customers is acquired by another company that does not rely on us to provide EMS services either because it has its own production facilities or relies on another provider of similar services, we may lose that customer's business. In addition, consolidation in the electronics industry may also result in excess manufacturing capacity among EMS companies, which could drive our profitability down. Similarly, consolidation among our suppliers could result in a sole or limited source for certain components used in our customers' products. Any such consolidation could cause us to be required to pay increased prices for such components, which would reduce our gross margin and profitability.

Restructuring of our operations could require us to take an accounting charge which would reduce our net income.

We have incurred significant expenses related to restructuring of our operations in the past. For example, we have moved, and may continue to move, our operations from higher-cost to lower-cost locations to meet customer requirements. We have incurred costs related to workforce reductions, work stoppages and labor unrest resulting from the closure of our facilities in higher cost locations. In addition, we have incurred unanticipated costs related to the transfer of operations to lower-cost locations, including costs related to integrating new facilities, managing operations in dispersed locations and realigning our business processes. We also have incurred costs to restructure operations that have been acquired in order to integrate them into our Company. We expect to be required to record additional charges related to restructuring activities in the future, but cannot predict the timing or amount of such charges. Any such charges would reduce our net income.

Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws could adversely affect our business by causing us to pay significant amounts for clean up of hazardous materials or for damages or fines.

We are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign environmental laws and regulations, including those governing the use, storage, discharge and disposal of hazardous substances and wastes in the ordinary course of our manufacturing operations. We also are subject to laws and regulations governing the recyclability of products, the materials that may be included in products, and the obligations of a manufacturer to dispose of these products after end users have finished using them. If we violate environmental laws, we may be held liable for damages and the costs of remedial actions and we may be subject to revocation of permits necessary to conduct our businesses. We cannot assure you that we will not violate environmental laws and regulations in the future as a result of human error, equipment failure or other causes. Although we estimate and regularly reassess our potential liability with respect to violations or alleged violations and accrue for such liability, we cannot assure you that our accruals will be sufficient to cover the actual costs we incur as a result of these violations or alleged violations or that no violations will not occur for which a reserve has not been established. Any increase in existing reserves or establishment of new reserves for environmental liability would reduce our net income. Our failure to comply with applicable environmental laws and regulations could also limit our ability to expand facilities or could require us to acquire costly equipment or to

incur other significant expenses to comply with these laws and regulations.

Asbestos containing materials, or ACM are present at several of our manufacturing facilities. Although the ACM is being managed and controls have been put in place pursuant to ACM operations and maintenance plans, the presence of ACM could give rise to remediation obligations and other liabilities. No governmental or third-party claims relating to ACM have been brought at this time.

Our plants generally operate under environmental permits issued by governmental authorities. For the most part, these permits must be renewed periodically and are subject to revocation in the event of violations of environmental laws. Although we have not experienced any material revocations to date, any such revocation could require us to cease or limit production at one or more of our facilities, thereby having an adverse impact on our results of operations.

Primarily as a result of certain of our acquisitions, we have incurred liabilities associated with environmental

contamination. These liabilities include ongoing investigation and remediation activities at a number of sites, including our facilities located in Irvine, California; Owego, New York; Derry, New Hampshire; Fort Lauderdale, Florida and Phoenix, Arizona. We have been named in a lawsuit alleging operations at our former facility in Santa Ana, California contributed to groundwater contamination. There can be no assurance that any other similar third-party claims will not arise and will not result in material liability to us. In addition, there are some sites, including our acquired facility in Gunzenhausen, Germany, that are known to have groundwater contamination caused by a third-party, and that third-party has provided indemnity to us for the liability.

We have also been named as a potentially responsible party at one contaminated disposal site, operated by another party at the Casmalia Resources site in Southern California, as a result of the past disposal of hazardous waste by companies we have acquired or by our corporate predecessors. Although liabilities for such historical disposal activities have not materially affected our financial condition to date, we cannot assure you that past disposal activities will not result in liability that will materially affect us in the future, nor can we provide assurance that we do not have environmental exposures of which we are unaware and which could adversely affect our operating results.

Over the years, environmental laws have become, and in the future may continue to become, more stringent, imposing greater compliance costs and increasing risks and penalties associated with violations. We operate in several environmentally sensitive locations and are subject to potentially conflicting and changing regulatory agendas of political, business and environmental groups. Changes in or restrictions on discharge limits, emissions levels, permitting requirements and material storage or handling could require a higher than anticipated level of operating expenses and capital investment or, depending on the severity of the impact of the foregoing factors, costly plant relocation.

In addition, the electronics industry became subject to the European Union's RoHS (Restriction of Hazardous Substances) and WEEE (Waste from Electrical and Electronic Equipment) directives which took effect beginning in 2005. Parallel initiatives have been adopted in other jurisdictions, including several states in the United States and the People's Republic of China. RoHS prohibits the use of lead, mercury and certain other specified substances in electronics products and WEEE requires industry OEMs to assume responsibility for the collection, recycling and management of waste electronic products and components. Although we believe we have implemented procedures to make our manufacturing process RoHS compliant, non-compliance could result in significant costs and/or penalties. In the case of WEEE, the compliance responsibility rests primarily with OEMs rather than with EMS companies. However, OEMs may turn to EMS companies for assistance in meeting their WEEE obligations, which could increase our costs.

Failure to comply with employment and related laws could result in the payment of significant damages, which would reduce our net income; employee theft or fraud could result in loss.

We are subject to a variety of domestic and foreign employment laws, including those related to safety, discrimination, whistle-blowing, classification of employees, wages and severance payments. Such laws are subject to change as a result of judicial decisions or otherwise and there can be no assurance that we will not be found to have violated any such laws in the future. Such violations could lead to the assessment of significant fines against us by federal, state or foreign regulatory authorities or to the award of damages claims (including severance payments) against us in judicial proceedings by employees, any of which would reduce our net income. Certain of our employees have access to or signature authority with respect to bank accounts or other company assets, which could expose us to fraud or theft by such employees. In cases of fraud or theft by any such employee, we would incur losses, which may not be recoverable from the employee and which may not be fully covered by insurance.

We may not be successful in implementing and integrating strategic transactions or in divesting non-strategic assets, which could cause our financial results to fail to meet our forecasts.

From time to time, we may undertake strategic transactions that give us the opportunity to access new customers and new end-customer markets, to obtain new manufacturing and service capabilities and technologies, to enter new geographic manufacturing locations, to lower our manufacturing costs and improve the margins on our product mix, and to further develop existing customer relationships. Strategic transactions involve many difficulties and uncertainties, including the following:

- integrating acquired operations and businesses;
- regulatory approvals or other conditions to closing that delay the completing of strategic transactions beyond the time anticipated;
- allocating management resources;
- scaling up production and coordinating management of operations at new sites;
- separating operations or support infrastructure for entities divested;

- managing and integrating operations in geographically dispersed locations;
- maintaining customer, supplier or other favorable business relationships of acquired operations and terminating unfavorable relationships;
- integrating the acquired company's systems into our management information systems;
- satisfying unforeseen liabilities of acquired businesses, including environmental liabilities, which could require the expenditure of material amounts of cash;
- operating in the geographic market or industry sector of the business acquired in which we may have little or no experience;
- improving and expanding our management information systems to accommodate expanded operations; and
- losing key employees of acquired operations.

Any of these factors could prevent us from realizing the anticipated benefits of a strategic transaction, and our failure to realize these benefits could reduce our sales below and increase our costs above our forecasts. Acquisitions may also be dilutive to our earnings per share if our projections and assumptions about the acquired business' future operating results prove to be inaccurate. As a result, although our goal is to improve our business and maximize stockholder value, any transactions that we complete may ultimately fail to increase our sales and net income and stock price.

If we are unable to protect our intellectual property or infringe, or are alleged to infringe, upon intellectual property of others, we could lose sales or be required to pay significant amounts in costs or damages.

We rely on a combination of copyright, patent, trademark and trade secret laws and restrictions on disclosure to protect our intellectual property rights. We cannot be certain that the steps we have taken will prevent unauthorized use of our technology. Any failure to protect our intellectual property rights would diminish or eliminate the competitive advantages that we derive from our proprietary technology. We rely in part upon patents to protect our intellectual property position. However, a number of our patents covering certain aspects of our manufacturing processes or products have expired or will expire in the near future. Such expirations reduce our ability to assert claims against competitors or others who use similar technology.

We may become involved in litigation in the future to protect our intellectual property or because others may allege that we infringe on their intellectual property. These claims and any resulting lawsuits could subject us to significant liability for damages and invalidate our proprietary rights. In addition, these lawsuits, regardless of their merits, likely would be time consuming and expensive to resolve and would divert management's time and attention. Any potential intellectual property litigation alleging our infringement of a third-party's intellectual property also could force us or our customers to:

- stop producing products that use the challenged intellectual property;
- obtain from the owner of the infringed intellectual property, at our expense, a license to sell the relevant technology at an additional cost, which license may not be available on reasonable terms, or at all; or
- redesign those products or services that use the infringed technology.

Any costs we incur from having to take any of these actions could be substantial.

We may not have sufficient insurance coverage for certain of the risks and liabilities we assume in connection with the products and services we provide to our customers, which could leave us responsible for certain costs and damages incurred by our customers.

We carry various forms of business and liability insurance in amounts we believe are reasonable and customary for similarly situated companies in our industry. However, we do not have insurance coverage for all of the risks and



liabilities we assume in connection with the products and services we provide to our customers, such as potential warranty, product liability, intellectual property infringement and product recall claims. As a result, such liability claims may not be covered under our insurance policies. Should we sustain a significant uncovered loss, our net income would be reduced.

Changes in financial accounting standards or policies have affected, and in the future may affect, our reported financial condition or results of operations. Additionally, changes in securities laws and regulations have increased, and are likely to continue to increase, our operating costs.

We prepare our consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP. Our preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the recorded amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of those assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the recorded amounts of expenses during the reporting period. A change in the facts and circumstances surrounding those estimates could result in a change to our estimates and could impact our future operating

results.

In addition, these principles are subject to interpretation by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB), the SEC and various bodies formed to interpret and create accounting policies. A change in those policies can have a significant effect on our reported results and may affect our reporting of transactions which are completed before a change is announced. Accounting policies affecting many other aspects of our business, including rules relating to revenue recognition, off-balance sheet transactions, stock-based compensation, restructurings, acquisition accounting, asset disposals and asset retirement obligations, leases, intangible assets, derivative and other financial instruments and in-process research and development charges, have recently been revised or are under review. Changes to those rules or the questioning of how we interpret or implement those rules may have a material adverse effect on our reported financial results or on the way we conduct business. For example, a preliminary timetable by which U.S. companies would adopt International Financial Reporting Standards has been promulgated by the SEC. Although at a very early stage of consideration by regulatory agencies, adoption of such standards could substantially change our reporting practices in a number of areas, including revenue recognition and recording of assets and liabilities.

Finally, corporate governance, public disclosure and compliance practices continue to evolve based upon continuing legislative action, SEC rulemaking and stockholder advisory group policies. As a result, the number of rules and regulations applicable to us may increase, which would also increase our legal and financial compliance costs and the amount of time management must devote to compliance activities. In turn, these developments could also make it more difficult for us to attract and retain qualified members of our board of directors, particularly to serve on our audit committee, and qualified executive officers in light of an increase in actual or perceived liability for serving in such positions.

Outages, computer viruses, break-ins and similar events could disrupt our operations.

We rely on information technology networks and systems, some of which are owned and operated by third parties, to process, transmit and store electronic information. In particular, we depend on our information technology infrastructure for a variety of functions, including worldwide financial reporting, inventory management, procurement, invoicing and email communications. Any of these systems may be susceptible to outages due to fire, floods, power loss, telecommunications failures, terrorist attacks and similar events. Despite the implementation of network security measures, our systems and those of third parties on which we rely may also be vulnerable to computer viruses, break-ins and similar disruptions. If we or our vendors are unable to prevent such outages and breaches, our operations could be disrupted.

We are subject to risks associated with natural disasters and global events.

We conduct a significant portion of our activities including manufacturing, administration and information technology management in areas that have experienced natural disasters, such as major earthquakes, hurricanes, and tsunamis. Our insurance coverage with respect to damages to our facilities or our customers' products caused by natural disasters is limited and is subject to deductibles and coverage limits. Such coverage may not be adequate or continue to be available at commercially reasonable rates and terms. In the event of a major earthquake or other disaster affecting one or more of our facilities, our operations and management information systems, which control our worldwide procurement, inventory management, shipping and billing activities, could be significantly disrupted. Such events could also delay or prevent product manufacturing and shipment for the time required to transfer production or repair, rebuild or replace the affected manufacturing facilities. This time frame could be lengthy and result in significant expenses for repair and related costs. While we have in place disaster recovery plans, there can be no assurance that such plans will be sufficient to allow our operations to continue in the event of every natural or man-made disaster, pandemic or other extraordinary event. Any extended inability to continue our operations at unaffected facilities following such an event would reduce our revenue and potentially damage our reputation as a reliable supplier.

Our profitability could be adversely impacted by climate change initiatives.

Concern over climate change has led to state, federal and international legislative and regulatory initiatives aimed at reducing carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gas emissions. While we don't expect existing or currently proposed initiatives to directly impact our business operations, these measures could lead to an increase in the cost of energy used in the manufacture of our products as a result of restrictions placed upon power generators and distributors. We can't currently estimate the impact of any such indirect costs. However, should our operating costs in fact rise as a result of any current, proposed or future greenhouse gas initiatives, and we are not able to pass such costs to our customers, our profitability would be reduced.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
10.23 (1) (2)	2009 Incentive Plan, as amended on January 20, 2011.
31.1	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.1 (3)	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
32.2 (3)	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
101.INS (4)	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

(1) Compensatory plan in which an executive officer or director participates.

(2) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.37 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Commission on February 9, 2011.

(3) This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.

(4) XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these Sections.



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the Requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

SANMINA-SCI CORPORATION  
(Registrant)

By: /s/ JURE SOLA  
Jure Sola  
Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

Date: April 25, 2011

By: /s/ ROBERT K. EULAU  
Robert K. Eulau  
Executive Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer)

Date: April 25, 2011

## EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description
10.23 (1) (2)	2009 Incentive Plan, as amended on January 20, 2011
31.1	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
31.2	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (filed herewith).
32.1 (3)	Certification of the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
32.2 (3)	Certification of the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
101.INS (4)	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE (4)	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

---

(1) Compensatory plan in which an executive officer or director participates.

(2) Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.37 to Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Commission on February 9, 2011.

(3) This exhibit shall not be deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that Section, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filings under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filings.

(4) XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, is deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these Sections.

