

CORELOGIC, INC.
Form DEF 14A
June 19, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as Permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under § 240.14a-12

CORELOGIC, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other than the Registrant)

Payment of filing fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing fee for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

Table of Contents

(4)Date Filed:

Table of Contents

June 18, 2013

Dear Fellow Stockholder,

You are cordially invited to attend our annual meeting of stockholders at 2:00 p.m., Pacific time, on Tuesday, July 30, 2013, at the executive offices of CoreLogic, Inc., located at 40 Pacifica, Irvine, California 92618.

Details regarding admission to the meeting and the business to be conducted are described in the accompanying notice of annual meeting and proxy statement. We have also made available a copy of our 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders with this proxy statement. We encourage you to read our Annual Report. It includes our audited financial statements and provides information about our business.

This year we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet by mailing our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice"). The Notice provides information on how stockholders may obtain paper copies of our proxy materials if they so choose. This method expedites the receipt of your proxy materials, lowers the costs of our annual meeting and supports conservation of natural resources. If you would like more information, please see the Questions and Answers section of this proxy statement or visit the annual meeting section of our Investor Relations website.

Thank you very much for your continued interest in CoreLogic.

D. Van Skilling

Chairman of the Board

Anand K. Nallathambi

President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To be Held on July 30, 2013

The annual meeting of stockholders of CoreLogic, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), will be held at 2:00 p.m., Pacific time, on Tuesday, July 30, 2013, at the executive offices of CoreLogic, Inc., located at 40 Pacifica, Irvine, California 92618, for the following purposes:

1. To elect the ten persons named in the accompanying proxy statement to serve on the Company's board of directors until the next annual meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company's named executive officers;
3. To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on June 4, 2013 are entitled to notice of the annual meeting and an opportunity to vote at the annual meeting.

If you have questions or require assistance with voting your shares, or if you need additional copies of the proxy materials, please contact:

ALLIANCE ADVISORS, LLC

200 Broadacres Drive, 3rd Floor

Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003

Stockholders May Call Toll-Free: 855-325-6671

Banks & Brokers May Call Collect: 973-873-7721

We hope you will be able to attend the annual meeting. However, even if you plan to attend the meeting, please submit your proxy as soon as possible, so that your shares can be voted at the meeting in accordance with your instructions. If you are a stockholder of record, you may submit your proxy (1) over the Internet, (2) by telephone, or (3) by mail. If your shares are held by a broker, bank or other nominee, please follow the instructions you receive from your broker, bank or other nominee to instruct how your shares are to be voted. You may still vote in person at the annual meeting even if you have previously voted by proxy. For specific instructions, please refer to the questions and answers commencing on page 2 of the proxy statement and the instructions on the proxy card or Notice of Internet Availability you receive.

Stergios Theologides

Senior Vice President, General Counsel

and Secretary

Irvine, California

June 18, 2013

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS	
<u>Questions and Answers</u>	<u>2</u>
<u>Proposal 1</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>Proposal 2</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Proposal 3</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Corporate Governance and Board Matters</u>	<u>17</u>
<u>Executive Officers</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>2012 Summary Compensation Table</u>	<u>41</u>
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2012</u>	<u>44</u>
<u>Employment Agreements</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End for 2012</u>	<u>47</u>
<u>Pension Restoration Plan</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Pension Benefits for 2012</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Executive Supplemental Benefit Plan</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for 2012</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Director Compensation for 2012</u>	<u>63</u>
<u>Report of the Audit Committee</u>	<u>64</u>
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>Stockholder Proposals</u>	<u>65</u>
<u>General Information</u>	<u>66</u>

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

Solicitation of Proxies by the Board of Directors

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE STOCKHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON JULY 30, 2013

The board of directors (the “Board” or the “Board of Directors”) of CoreLogic, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“CoreLogic,” the “Company,” “we,” or “us”) is soliciting proxies from holders of our shares of common stock for use at the annual meeting of stockholders to be held on Tuesday, July 30, 2013, at 2:00 p.m. Pacific time. The meeting will be held at the executive offices of CoreLogic, located at 40 Pacifica, Irvine, California 92618. We have included a map and directions to our executive offices on the inside back cover of the proxy statement for your convenience. This proxy statement and form of proxy are first being sent or made available to our stockholders on or about June 18, 2013. As permitted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”), we are providing most stockholders with access to our proxy materials over the Internet rather than in paper form. Accordingly, we are mailing to most of our stockholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the “Notice”) containing instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet. We are mailing printed copies of the full set of proxy materials to the rest of our stockholders. If you receive the Notice by mail, you will not receive a printed copy of the proxy materials in the mail unless you follow the instructions contained on the Notice for requesting such materials. The Notice instructs you on how to access and review all of the important information contained in this proxy statement and our 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders over the Internet. The Notice also instructs you on how to submit your proxy via the Internet. In lieu of a proxy, holders of shares held in “street name” through a bank, broker or other nominee will be asked to submit voting instructions to their bank, broker or other nominee. As used herein, references to “proxy” or “proxy card” also refer to the "voting instruction" or "voting instruction form" that “street name” holders will be asked to provide to vote their shares at the annual meeting. The date of this proxy statement is June 18, 2013.

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Why have I been sent a notice regarding the availability of proxy materials on the Internet?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the SEC, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials over the Internet. Accordingly, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") to most of our stockholders. All stockholders will have the ability to access the proxy materials on the website referred to in the Notice or request to receive a printed set of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a printed copy may be found in the Notice.

What proposals will be voted on at the meeting?

1. The election of the ten persons named in this proxy statement to serve on the Board until the next annual meeting and until their successors are duly elected and qualified;
2. The approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers;
3. The ratification of the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013; and
4. Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponements or adjournments thereof.

Our management and the Board are not aware of any other matters to be presented at the annual meeting other than those set forth in this proxy statement and in the notice accompanying this proxy statement, nor have we received notice of any matter by the deadline prescribed by Rule 14a-4(c) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Without limiting our ability to apply the advance notice provisions in our Amended and Restated Bylaws (the "Bylaws") with respect to the procedures which must be followed for a matter to be properly presented at an annual meeting, if other matters should properly come before the meeting, the proxy holders will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

Who may attend the annual meeting?

Only stockholders of the Company and their invited guests may attend the annual meeting of stockholders. If you are a stockholder of record, you must bring proof of identification. If you hold your shares through a broker, bank or other nominee, you will need to provide proof of ownership—for example, a copy of a brokerage statement showing your share ownership—and proof of identification.

Who is entitled to vote?

Stockholders of record as of the close of business on June 4, 2013, the record date, or those with a valid proxy from a broker, bank or other nominee that held our shares on the record date are entitled to vote on the matters to be considered at the annual meeting.

Who is a stockholder of record?

A stockholder of record is a person or entity whose name appears as an owner of one or more shares of our common stock on the records of our transfer agent as of its close of business on the record date.

How can I vote my shares in person at the annual meeting?

If you hold shares as a stockholder of record, you have the right to vote those shares in person at the annual meeting.

If you choose to do so, you can vote using the ballot provided at the meeting or, if you received a printed set of the proxy materials by mail, by submitting at the meeting the proxy card enclosed with the proxy materials you received. Since a beneficial holder is not the stockholder of record, if you are a beneficial holder of shares, you may not vote those shares in person at the annual meeting unless you obtain a "legal proxy" from the broker, bank or other nominee that holds your shares, giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting using the ballot provided at the meeting. Please note that participants in the Company's 401(k) plan may not vote their plan shares in person at the annual meeting. See "How are my shares in the Company's 401(k) plan voted" below for more information.

Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting, we recommend that you vote your shares in advance as described below so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the annual meeting.

Table of Contents

How many shares are entitled to vote at the meeting?

As of the record date, 95,545,910 shares of our common stock were issued, outstanding and entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

How many votes do I have?

Each share of CoreLogic common stock, excluding treasury shares, is entitled to one vote on each on each of the ten director nominees and on each other proposal to be voted on at the annual meeting.

How many directors can I vote for?

Ten. At the annual meeting, stockholders may vote for up to ten nominees for director.

Who are the director nominees?

The ten director nominees are:

J. David Chatham

Thomas C. O'Brien

Douglas C. Curling

Jaynie Miller Studenmund

John C. Dorman

D. Van Skilling

Paul F. Folino

David F. Walker

Anand K. Nallathambi

Mary Lee Widener

What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?

Proposal 1 - Election of Directors: Because the number of director nominees timely nominated for election at the annual meeting does not exceed the number of directors to be elected at the meeting, our Bylaws provide that each nominee for director will be elected if he or she receives the majority of votes cast with respect to such director. A "majority of votes cast" means that the number of votes "FOR" a director nominee must exceed the number of votes "AGAINST" that director nominee.

Proposal 2 - Approval, on an advisory basis, of the Compensation of CoreLogic's Named Executive Officers: The vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of CoreLogic's named executive officers requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority in voting power of the common stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting, present in person or represented by proxy.

Proposal 3 - Ratification of the Selection of PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013: The selection of PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 will be ratified if the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority in voting power of the common stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, is received.

If you hold your shares in "street name" through a broker and do not provide your broker with voting instructions, your shares may constitute broker non-votes and may affect the outcome of certain matters (see "What is a 'broker non-vote' and how is it treated?" below).

How do I vote?

If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote on matters that properly come before the meeting in one of four ways:

You may vote over the Internet.

You do this by following the instructions provided either in the Notice or on the proxy card accompanying the proxy statement if you received a printed set of the proxy materials. If you submit your proxy over the Internet, your shares will be voted as you instruct. You do not have to separately mail in your proxy card.

You may vote by mail.

If you received a printed set of the proxy materials, you do this by signing and dating the proxy card accompanying the proxy statement and mailing it in the enclosed, prepaid and addressed envelope within the required time. If you mark your voting instructions on the proxy card, your shares will be voted as you instruct.

Table of Contents

You may vote by telephone.

You do this by following the instructions provided either in the Notice or on the proxy card accompanying the proxy statement if you received a printed set of the proxy materials. If you submit your proxy by telephone, your shares will be voted as you instruct. You do not have to separately mail in your proxy card. Some stockholders may not be able to submit their proxy by telephone.

You may vote in person at the meeting.

You can vote your shares in person at the meeting, unless such shares are held through the Company's 401(k) plan (as described below under "How are my shares in the Company's 401(k) plan voted?"). However, if you hold your shares in "street name" (in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee), you must request and receive, prior to the meeting, a legal proxy from the record owner of your shares giving you the right to vote your shares at the meeting and you must present the legal proxy at the meeting in order to vote your shares.

If you hold your shares in "street name," you will receive instructions from your broker, bank or other nominee that you must follow in order to instruct how your shares are to be voted at the annual meeting.

How are my shares in the Company's 401(k) plan voted?

For those stockholders who hold shares pursuant to the Company's 401(k) Savings Plan (the "401(k) Plan"), Fidelity Management Trust Company ("Fidelity") acts as trustee for shares held in the 401(k) Plan. The governing documents of the 401(k) Plan require Fidelity, as trustee, to vote the shares as directed by the plan participants for whose benefit the shares are held. Fidelity will use an independent third party to tabulate the voting directions of all participants who provide such directions to Fidelity. Neither the tabulator nor Fidelity will provide the individual or aggregate participant voting directions to the Company, unless otherwise required by law. Shares for which no direction is received by Fidelity from the participants by July 25, 2013 at 5:00 p.m., Eastern time, are voted in the same proportion as are the shares for which directions are received by that time.

How will my shares be voted if I do not provide specific voting instructions in the proxy I submit?

Anand K. Nallathambi, President and Chief Executive Officer, or Stergios Theologides, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, will vote your shares in the manner recommended by the Company's Board.

Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy?

You have the power to change or revoke your proxy at any time before the polls close at the meeting. Only your latest-dated proxy counts. You may do this by:

• submitting an authorized proxy bearing a later date using one of the alternatives described above under "How do I vote?";

• if you are a stockholder of record, submitting written notice of your revocation to Stergios Theologides, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary, at our mailing address on the cover page of this proxy statement; or voting in person at the meeting, provided that if your shares are held in "street name" (in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee), you have obtained a legal proxy from your bank, broker or nominee giving you the right to vote your shares at the meeting. Attendance at the meeting will not by itself constitute revocation of a proxy. Who will count the votes?

A representative of Alliance Advisors, LLC ("Alliance Advisors") will serve as inspector of elections and will tabulate the votes cast at the annual meeting and certify the results.

How can I obtain an additional proxy card?

If you lose, misplace or otherwise need to obtain a proxy card, and you are a stockholder of record, please contact our proxy solicitor, Alliance Advisors, toll-free at 1-855-325-6671. If you are a beneficial owner of shares held indirectly through a broker, bank or other nominees, please contact your account representative at that organization.

What constitutes a "quorum?"

A "quorum" refers to the number of shares that must be represented at a meeting in order to lawfully conduct business. Holders of a majority in voting power of all issued and outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum at the meeting. Without a quorum, no business

Table of Contents

may be transacted at the annual meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes (as described below) are counted as present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum.

What is a "broker non-vote" and how is it treated?

If you are a beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" by a broker and you do not submit voting instructions to your broker, your broker may vote your shares at the annual meeting only on "routine matters" (as defined by NYSE rules) on which it has discretion to vote. The NYSE currently considers only the proposal to ratify the selection of PwC as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 to be a "routine matter." The following proposals are considered "non-routine matters" under the NYSE rules:

- the election to the Board of the ten nominees named in this proxy statement; and
- the proposal to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers.

Accordingly, if your shares are held in "street name" and your broker has not received voting instructions from you, your broker may exercise its discretion to vote your shares on the proposal to ratify the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm, but will not be permitted to vote your shares on any of the other proposals at the annual meeting. If your broker exercises this discretion, your shares will be treated as present and entitled to vote at the annual meeting for purposes of establishing the presence or absence of a quorum and voted on the proposal to ratify the selection of PwC in the manner directed by the broker, but will constitute "broker non-votes" on each of the other proposals at the annual meeting. These broker non-votes will not be counted in determining the outcome of the election of director nominees but will have the effect of a vote against the proposal regarding the advisory vote on executive compensation.

How are abstentions treated?

For the election of directors, you may vote "FOR," "AGAINST," or "ABSTAIN" with respect to each director nominee. If you elect to "ABSTAIN" from the election of directors, the abstention will not have any effect on the election of directors. In determining the voting results for the election of directors, only "FOR" and "AGAINST" votes count.

For purposes of the proposal regarding the vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers and the ratification of the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, abstentions are treated as present and entitled to vote. Therefore, with respect to determining whether these two proposals are approved, abstentions are treated as votes "AGAINST."

What percentage of stock do the directors and executive officers own?

As of the record date, our directors and executive officers owned approximately one percent of our shares of common stock in the aggregate that are entitled to vote at the annual meeting. See pages 15 through 16 for more details.

When are stockholder proposals for our next annual meeting due in order to be included in the proxy statement?

We will consider proposals submitted by stockholders pursuant to Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act for inclusion in the proxy statement for the annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2014 if they are received no later than February 18, 2014. This date assumes that the date of our next annual meeting will not be advanced or delayed by more than 30 calendar days from the one year anniversary of the date of the current annual meeting. See page 65 for more details.

Who is paying the cost of preparing, assembling and mailing the notice of the annual meeting of stockholders, proxy statement and form of proxy, and the solicitation of the proxies?

The Company will pay the costs associated with the preparation, assembly and mailing of the notice of annual meeting of stockholders, proxy statement and form of proxy, as well as the cost of soliciting proxies relating to the annual meeting. We will also pay brokers, banks and other nominees for the reasonable expenses of forwarding solicitation materials to their customers who own shares of our common stock. In addition to this proxy statement, our directors, officers and other regular administrative employees may solicit proxies. None of them will receive any additional compensation for such solicitation. The Board may also solicit stockholders by telephone, through press releases issued by the Company, advertisements in periodicals or postings on the Company's website at www.corelogic.com. We have also retained Alliance Advisors to assist in the solicitation of proxies and related services, for a fee estimated to be approximately \$11,000 plus an amount to cover expenses. In addition, the Company has agreed to indemnify

Alliance Advisors against certain liabilities arising out of or in connection with the engagement.

5

Table of Contents

How will solicitors contact me?

People soliciting proxies may contact you in person, by mail, by telephone, by e-mail or by facsimile.

How do I obtain a separate set of proxy materials if I share an address with other stockholders?

To reduce expenses, in some cases, we are delivering one set of proxy materials to certain stockholders who share an address, unless otherwise requested. A separate proxy card is included in the proxy materials for each of these stockholders. If you reside at a shared address and wish to receive a separate copy of the proxy materials, including our 2012 Annual Report, you may choose one of the following methods to make your request:

BY INTERNET: www.proxyvote.com

BY TELEPHONE: 1-800-579-1639

BY E-MAIL*: sendmaterial@proxyvote.com

If requesting materials by e-mail, please send a blank e-mail with the control number that is printed in the Notice.

*Requests, instructions and other inquiries sent to this e-mail address will NOT be forwarded to your investment adviser. Please make the request as instructed above on or before July 16, 2013 to facilitate timely delivery.

Does our Board have any recommendations with respect to the listed proposals?

Our Board recommends you vote "FOR": (1) the Board's ten nominees for director; (2) the approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers; and (3) the ratification of the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013.

Where can I find the voting results of the Annual Meeting?

We will announce preliminary voting results as soon as possible after the annual meeting. If final voting results are not available to us in time to file a Current Report on Form 8-K with the SEC within four business days after the annual meeting, we intend to file with the SEC a Current Report on Form 8-K to disclose preliminary voting results and, within four business days after the final results are known, we will file an amendment to the Form 8-K to disclose the final voting results.

Whom can I contact if I have questions or need assistance in voting my shares, or if I need additional copies of the proxy materials?

Please contact Alliance Advisors, the firm assisting the Board in the solicitation of proxies, at:

ALLIANCE ADVISORS, LLC

200 Broadacres Drive, 3rd Floor

Bloomfield, New Jersey 07003

Stockholders May Call Toll-Free: 855-325-6671

Banks & Brokers May Call Collect: 973-873-7721

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1. Election of Directors

The Company's Bylaws require that directors be elected annually, and the Company's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation provides that the Board shall consist of such number of directors as is determined from time to time exclusively by resolution adopted by the affirmative vote of a majority of the directors then in office. At present, our Board consists of ten directors. The Board has nominated the ten individuals below for election at the meeting, to serve until the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and until the directors' respective successors are elected and qualified.

Voting Standard

Under our Bylaws, in an uncontested election, each director nominee will be elected to the Board to serve until the next annual meeting and as soon thereafter as their successors are duly elected and qualified, if the nominee receives a majority of votes cast with respect to such director nominee's election (that is, if the number of votes "FOR" the director nominee exceeds the number of votes "AGAINST" the director nominee). In a contested election, where the number of nominees for director exceeds the number of directors to be elected, directors are elected by a plurality of shares represented in person or by proxy at any such meeting and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Under the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines, if a nominee for director who was in office prior to the election is not elected by a majority of votes cast, the director must promptly tender his or her resignation from the Board, and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board (the "Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee") will make a recommendation to the Board about whether to accept or reject the resignation, or whether to take other action. The Board will act on the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee within 90 days from the date the election results are certified and thereafter promptly disclose its decision in a Current Report on Form 8-K.

Unless otherwise specified by you in the proxy you submit, the proxies solicited by our Board will be voted "FOR" the election of these nominees. All of the director nominees listed below have consented to being named in this proxy statement and to serve as directors if elected. If any nominee should become unable or unwilling to serve as a director, the proxies will be voted for such substitute nominee(s) as shall be designated by our Board. Our Board currently has no knowledge that any of the nominees will be unable or unwilling to serve.

Nominees

Set forth below is information concerning each person nominated and recommended to be elected by our Board. All of the nominees currently serve as directors of the Company and were previously elected to the present term of office by the Company's stockholders.

See the section entitled "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management," beginning on page 15, for information pertaining to stock ownership of the nominees. There are no family relationships among any of the nominees or any of the executive officers of the Company.

The Company originally appointed Thomas C. O'Brien for election to the Board pursuant to an agreement with Highfields Capital Management LP, Highfields GP LLC, Highfields Associates LLC, Highfields Capital I LP, Highfields Capital II LP, and Highfields Capital III L.P. ("Highfields") dated April 10, 2008, as discussed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 10, 2008. D. Van Skilling was originally appointed to the Company's Board pursuant to an earlier contract that required the Company to recommend one nominee of Experian Information Solutions, Inc. to the nominating committee as a candidate for election to the Board. Our agreement with Experian terminated in December 2009. Douglas C. Curling, John C. Dorman and Jaynie Miller Studenmund were originally nominated for election to the Board pursuant to an agreement with Highfields and Jonathon S. Jacobson (together, the "Highfields Entities") dated June 11, 2012, as discussed in the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated June 12, 2012. Our agreement with the Highfield Entities terminated in March 2013.

There are no other arrangements or understandings between any director and any other person pursuant to which any director was or is to be selected as a director.

Table of Contents

Biographical Descriptions

Name	Biography	Age
J. David Chatham	<p>Mr. Chatham has served as a member of our Board since 1989. Since 1991, Mr. Chatham has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Chatham Holdings Corporation and the Chatham family of real estate businesses, which specialize in real estate development, building, brokerage, asset management, mortgage lending and other associated industries. From 2003 until its acquisition by the Company in late 2009, Mr. Chatham served on the board of directors of First Advantage Corporation (“FADV”), a former NASDAQ-listed company and former subsidiary of the Company that provides screening analytics and identity solutions. Through his experience in the real estate arena a real estate developer, Mr. Chatham enhances our understanding of the residential and commercial real estate markets.</p>	63
Douglas C. Curling	<p>Mr. Curling has served as a member of our Board since July 2012. Since March 2010, Mr. Curling has been a principal and managing director of New Kent Capital LLC, a family-run investment business, and a principal at New Kent Consulting LLC, a consulting business that he founded. From 1997 until September 2008, Mr. Curling held various executive positions at ChoicePoint Inc., a provider of identification and credential verification services that was sold to Reed Elsevier, including serving as President from April 2002 to September 2008, as Chief Operating Officer from 1999 to September 2008 and as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer from 1997 to May 1999. Mr. Curling also served as a director of ChoicePoint Inc. from May 2000 to September 2008. Prior to joining ChoicePoint Inc., Mr. Curling served in various financial roles at Equifax, Inc., a credit bureau, from 1989 to 1997. In addition to his experience operating a data business, Mr. Curling provides insight on data monetization and growth strategies to our Board.</p>	59
John C. Dorman	<p>Mr. Dorman has served as a member of our Board since July 2012. Mr. Dorman served on the board of directors of Online Resources Corporation, a developer and supplier of electronic payment services, from May 2009 until it was sold to ACI Worldwide, Inc. in March 2013, and as its chairman of the board from June 2010 until the sale. Mr. Dorman previously served as co-chairman of Online Resources Corporation from January 2010 to June 2010, and as interim Chief Executive Officer from April 2010 to June 2010. From October 1998 to August 2003, he served as chief executive officer of Digital Insight Corporation, a provider of software-as-a-service for online banking and bill payment for financial institutions, and served on the board of directors of Digital Insight until the company was acquired in 2007 by Intuit, Inc. Mr. Dorman served as senior vice president of the Global Financial Services Division of Oracle Corporation from August 1997 to October 1998; and chairman and chief executive officer of Treasury Services Corporation, a provider of modeling and analysis software for financial</p>	62

institutions, from 1983 to 1997. Mr. Dorman also serves on the board of directors for two privately-held corporations - DataDirect Networks, Inc. and DeepDyve, Inc. Mr. Dorman's prior experience as chief executive officer of a technology service provider during a period of rapid growth and expansion, and his board experience, allows him to provide insights into CoreLogic's operational, technology and growth strategies.

Table of Contents

Paul F. Folino Mr. Folino has served as a member of our Board since July 2011. Mr. Folino was executive chairman of the board of directors of Emulex Corporation, an information technology product manufacturer specializing in servers, network and storage devices for data centers, from 2006 until his retirement in 2011, and remains an Emulex board member. Previously, he had served as a director of Emulex since 1993, as chairman from 2002 to 2006, and as chief executive officer from 1993 to 2002. Mr. Folino also serves on the boards of Microsemi Corporation, a provider of semiconductor solutions, Commercial Bank of California, and Lantronix, Inc., a provider of device networking and remote access products for remote IT management, as well as numerous charitable organizations. Mr. Folino brings significant expertise regarding information technology and intellectual property. In addition, as a seasoned CEO, Mr. Folino provides valued input on a variety of leadership, strategy and organizational matters. 68

Anand K. Nallathambi Mr. Nallathambi is our President and Chief Executive Officer and has served as a member of our Board since June 2010. From November 2009 until the spin-off of our financial services business in June 2010 (the “Separation”), Mr. Nallathambi served as president and chief operating officer of the information solutions group of our predecessor, The First American Corporation (“FAC”). From March 2007 to November 2009, Mr. Nallathambi served as chief executive officer of FADV and from 2005 to March 2007 served as its president. From 2007 to 2009, Mr. Nallathambi was also a member of the board of directors of FADV. Prior to joining FADV, from 1996 to 1998, Mr. Nallathambi served as president of FAC's credit information group and as president of First American Appraisal Services, a real-estate appraisal company. Mr. Nallathambi has worked with us in various capacities for nearly 22 years and brings unique insight into our management practices and has a deep understanding of our history and culture. Respected for his vision in the consumer data industry and his leadership as former chairman of the Consumer Data Industry Association, Mr. Nallathambi's strategic perspectives on combining property and consumer information have helped drive innovative product development initiatives at the Company. 51

Thomas C. O'Brien Mr. O'Brien was originally appointed to our Board in April 2008 pursuant to an agreement with Highfields, as discussed in our Current Report on Form 8-K dated April 10, 2008. The 2008 agreement with Highfields expired in December 2009. Mr. O'Brien has served as the chief executive officer and president of Insurance Auto Auctions Inc., a provider of specialized services for automobile insurance, since 2000. Mr. O'Brien also serves as a director of KAR Auction Services, Inc., a provider of vehicle auction services in North America. As a result of his experience as a chief executive officer, Mr. O'Brien provides valued insight into corporate governance and our management practices, in particular with respect to the relationship between performance and compensation. 59

Table of Contents

D. Van Skilling	<p>Mr. Skilling has served as a member of our Board since 1998 and as Chairman of the Board since May 2011. Mr. Skilling served as chairman and chief executive officer of Experian Information Solutions, Inc. (“Experian”) from 1996 to 1999 and was originally appointed to our Board pursuant to an agreement with Experian which required that we nominate an Experian designee as a candidate for election to our Board. Our agreement with Experian terminated in December 2009. Mr. Skilling has served as the president of Skilling Enterprises, a private investment firm, since 1999. Mr. Skilling also serves as chairman of the board of ONVIA, Inc. and as a director of American Business Bank. Previously, he served as a director of FADV, The Lamson & Sessions Co. and McData Corporation. Mr. Skilling, who was responsible for businesses that Experian contributed to a joint venture between Experian and our Company (which is now wholly owned by us), provides our Company with insight into the development of these businesses as well as strategies for managing them. Mr. Skilling has extensive experience as a director of publicly-traded companies and a strong executive background including extensive executive experience in corporate finance and strategic planning, corporate governance and public company executive compensation.</p>	79
Jaynie Miller Studenmund	<p>Ms. Studenmund has served as a member of our Board since July 2012. From January 2001 to January 2004, Ms. Studenmund was chief operating officer of Overture Services, Inc., the creator of paid search advertising, acquired by Yahoo, Inc. in 2004. From February 2000 to January 2001, Ms. Studenmund was president and chief operating officer of PayMyBills.com, a leading online bill management company. Prior to this, Ms. Studenmund held senior positions in the financial services industry, serving as executive vice president and head of retail banking at Great Western Bank and then Home Savings Bank (both are now part of JPMorgan Chase) from 1996 to 1998, and as executive vice president and head of retail banking and chief marketing officer at First Interstate Bank (now part of Wells Fargo) from 1985 to 1996. Ms. Studenmund has served as a director of Orbitz Worldwide, Inc., an online travel company, since 2007; as a director of Pinnacle Entertainment, Inc., an owner, operator and developer of casinos and related hospitality and entertainment facilities, since March 2012; as director for several public funds as well as other funds for Western Asset, a major fixed income fund, since 2004; and as a director of several private companies, including Forest Lawn Memorial Parks, an industry-leading memorial parks provider, since 2002. She is also a director of Huntington Memorial Hospital, a regional teaching hospital in Pasadena, California. Ms. Studenmund has more than 30 years of executive management and operational experience across a diverse group of businesses in financial services and the online media and communications sector. She is also a seasoned director, having guided the growth and development of several technology and Internet companies. With her background, Ms. Studenmund brings to our Board broad operational expertise and strong insights into growth strategies, particularly through technology, software and the Internet.</p>	59

Table of Contents

David F. Walker	<p>Mr. Walker has served as a member of our Board since May 2010. Mr. Walker served as the director of the Program of Accountancy at the University of South Florida in St. Petersburg from 2002 through June 2009. From 1986 to 2002, Mr. Walker was a partner with Arthur Andersen LLP, an accounting firm, having led the firm's assurance and business advisory practice for the Florida Caribbean Region, from 1999 through 2002. Mr. Walker also serves on the boards of CommVault Systems, Inc., a data and information management software company, and Chico's FAS, Inc., a women's specialty retailer. Mr. Walker previously served as a director of Technology Research Corporation, Inc. and FADV. Mr. Walker's extensive experience in public accounting and on corporate boards, including as a past and present chair of other audit committees, contributes to the Board's oversight of the Company's financial reporting, controls and risk management.</p>	59
Mary Lee Widener	<p>Ms. Widener has served as a member of our Board since 2006. Ms. Widener is a community investment consultant. From 1974 until her retirement in 2009, Ms. Widener was president and chief executive officer of Neighborhood Housing Services of America, Inc., a nonprofit housing agency. Ms. Widener also serves on the board of The PMI Group, Inc. Ms. Widener has been involved in her community throughout her career and was instrumental in development of a degree program in support of the community development field at the University of San Francisco College of Professional Studies. Given her extensive experience with organizations dedicated to revitalizing neighborhoods and increasing homeownership opportunities, Ms. Widener brings to our Company a valuable perspective on housing policy and a strong understanding of the opportunities we have to improve homeownership in underserved communities and the challenges residents face in purchasing homes in those communities.</p>	74

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2. Approval, on an Advisory Basis, of the Compensation of our Named Executive Officers

The Company is providing its stockholders with the opportunity to cast a non-binding vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the SEC's executive compensation disclosure rules and set forth in this proxy statement (including in the compensation tables and narratives accompanying those tables as well as in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis).

As described more fully in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis", beginning on page 27, the Board of Directors believes that the Company's long-term success depends in large measure on the talents of the Company's employees. The Company's executive compensation program is designed to enhance stockholder value by ensuring that a large part of compensation is performance-based variable and equity-based compensation aligned to the Company's performance. The Company's executive compensation program is designed to reflect the Compensation Committee's compensation philosophy of:

- paying for performance;
- attracting, motivating and retaining highly-qualified executive officers critical to our long-term success;
- aligning the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders;
- providing reasonable and competitive compensation levels in line with the Company's peer group members, as determined by the Compensation Committee from time to time;
- rewarding executive officers for achieving pre-defined stretch goals and objectives, including objectives that may not yield current-period financial results but that we believe will position the Company for enhanced results in future periods; and
- encouraging strategic long-term development and investment in the business.

In furtherance of these goals, the Compensation Committee has designed guiding principles focused on pay for performance and competitiveness of the Company's compensation programs with the Company's peer group. The Company's executive compensation program includes a number of features intended to reflect best practices in the market and help ensure that the program reinforces stockholder interests. These features are described in more detail in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and include the following:

- Target compensation is positioned at approximately market median levels.

Compensation and pay policies and practices are reviewed regularly; the Compensation Committee has identified a comparator group of companies with whom we compete for talent and are of a generally comparable size. For 2013, we updated the comparator group by adding three new peers whose inclusion helps further align our revenue with the peer median revenue.

No changes were made to the named executive officer base salaries for 2012. We have also not made any increases to the named executive officer base salaries for 2013 despite our strong performance during 2012.

Annual incentive bonuses are critical components of the named executive officer compensation program, rewarding executive officers primarily based on annual performance of the Company. The performance measures for 2012 were based on revenue, adjusted EBITDA, adjusted EBITDA margin and free cash flow, which was a new performance measure for 2012. No bonus is earned for performance below the threshold amount, and bonuses are capped at 200% of each executive's target. The threshold performance levels for 2012 were set at levels that represented our actual 2011 performance in order to ensure that bonuses would only become payable in respect of a particular measure if our performance improved over the prior year.

The Company's long-term incentive compensation program emphasizes achievement of long-term operating objectives and stockholder value creation through a focus on stock options (which have value only if the Company's stock price increases over the price at the time of grant), restricted stock units (the value of which is also directly linked to the Company's stock price) and performance-based restricted stock units. For 2012, long-term equity incentive compensation represented the largest component of the total named executive officer compensation package. For 2012, we increased the weighting of the performance-based restricted stock units so that these awards represented 50% of the grant value of each named executive officer's long-term incentive award.

The Compensation Committee retains and, in setting the Company's executive compensation philosophies, regularly seeks the advice of an independent compensation consultant.

The Company has adopted a formal policy prohibiting executive officer, director and employee transactions in put options, call options or other derivative securities, on an exchange or in any other organized market as well as holding Company securities in a margin account or otherwise pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan.

Table of Contents

The Company maintains a variety of policies that align compensation with stockholder interests. These policies include executive stock ownership and retention guidelines requiring our executive officers to hold a fixed amount of Company stock and incentive recoupment provisions which enable the Company to recover performance-based compensation to the extent we later determine that performance goals were not actually achieved. Policies include non-compete clawbacks in termination agreements for all named executive officers.

As required by Section 14A of the Exchange Act (which was added by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act) and the related rules of the SEC, the Board of Directors will request your advisory vote to approve the following resolution at the annual meeting:

“RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K (which disclosure includes the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the compensation tables and the narrative discussion that accompanies the compensation tables), is hereby APPROVED.”

This proposal to approve the compensation paid to our named executive officers is advisory only and will not be binding upon the Company or the Board of Directors, and will not be construed as overruling a decision by the Company or the Board of Directors or creating or implying any additional fiduciary duty for the Company or our Board of Directors. However, the Compensation Committee, which is responsible for designing and administering our executive compensation program, values the opinions expressed by stockholders in their vote on this proposal and will consider the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation arrangements.

Our current policy is to provide stockholders with an annual opportunity to approve the compensation of the named executive officers. It is expected that we will include an advisory vote on executive compensation on an annual basis at least until the next stockholder advisory vote on the frequency of such votes.

Approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers requires the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority in voting power of the common stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting, present in person or represented by proxy.

OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE “FOR” APPROVAL OF THE NON-BINDING ADVISORY RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE COMPENSATION PAID TO OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE SEC'S EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE RULES.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 3. Ratification of Selection of Independent Auditor**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Audit Committee") has selected PwC to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. PwC has audited our historical consolidated financial statements for all annual periods since 1954. We expect that representatives of PwC will be present at the annual meeting, will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Selection of our independent registered public accounting firm is not required to be submitted for stockholder approval by our Bylaws, but the Audit Committee is seeking ratification of its selection of PwC from our stockholders as a matter of good corporate governance. If the stockholders do not ratify this selection, the Audit Committee will reconsider its selection of PwC and will either continue to retain PwC or appoint a new independent registered public accounting firm. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee may, in its discretion, appoint a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the Company's and our stockholders' best interests.

To ratify the selection of PwC as our Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the 2013 fiscal year, the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority in voting power of the common stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting, present in person or represented by proxy, is required.

Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The aggregate fees billed for each of the last two fiscal years for professional services rendered by PwC, the Company's principal independent registered public accounting firm, in the four categories of service set forth in the table below are as follows:

Aggregate fees billed in year	2012	2011
Audit Fees	\$2,594,389	\$4,390,703
Audit-Related Fees ⁽¹⁾	375,155	234,500
Tax Fees ⁽²⁾	344,222	933,163
All Other Fees ⁽³⁾	4,500	26,625

(1) These fees were incurred primarily for procedures performed for SSAE 16 Report, the SEC comment letter process and Regulation AB audits.

(2) These fees were incurred for tax advice, compliance and planning, including tax basis studies and tax advice and planning in connection with the acquisition and disposition of certain businesses.

(3) These fees were incurred primarily for services related to software licensing and regulatory capital requirement advice.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Nonaudit Services of Independent Auditor

The Audit Committee's policy is to pre-approve all engagements of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for audit and nonaudit services. The Audit Committee's pre-approval policy identifies specific services and assigns pre-approved spending thresholds for each group of nonaudit services. This policy works in conjunction with the Company's independent registered public accounting firm's annual audit services fee schedule, which is also approved by the Audit Committee. Any services not pre-approved or not covered by the policy or the audit services fee schedule are submitted to the Audit Committee's chairman, as the Audit Committee's designee, for review and approval and are subsequently ratified by the Audit Committee.

All services provided by PwC during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were approved or ratified by the Audit Committee in accordance with the foregoing policy.

OUR BOARD RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE "FOR" THE FOREGOING PROPOSAL TO RATIFY THE SELECTION OF PwC AS OUR COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

The following table sets forth information regarding the ownership of our common stock as of June 4, 2013 (except where another date is indicated) of the persons or groups of stockholders who are known to us to be the beneficial owners of 5% or more of our shares of common stock. The information regarding beneficial owners of 5% or more of our shares of common stock is based solely on public filings made by such owners with the SEC.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership	Percent of Class
BlackRock, Inc. ⁽¹⁾	7,415,943	7.8%
Samana Capital, L.P. and related entities ⁽²⁾	5,621,063	5.9%
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. ⁽³⁾	5,614,615	5.9%
The Vanguard Group ⁽⁴⁾	5,540,622	5.8%

(1) According to a Schedule 13G filed January 30, 2013, as of December 31, 2012, BlackRock, Inc. is a parent holding company with sole voting power and sole dispositive power with respect to 7,415,943 shares, reporting on behalf of certain related subsidiaries. The address of the principal business office of the reporting entity is 40 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10022.

(2) According to a Schedule 13G/A filed February 14, 2013, as of February 6, 2013, these securities are owned of record by Samana Capital, L.P. ("SC") and various partnerships for which Morton Holdings, Inc. ("MH") is the general partner, and each of MH and Philip B. Korsant may be deemed to beneficially own the reported securities as a result of the direct or indirect power to vote or dispose of such securities or as a result of certain rights retained by SC under an investment management agreement. The Schedule 13G/A reports that SC has shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 5,421,063 shares, and each of MH and Philip B. Korsant have shared voting power and shared dispositive power with respect to 5,621,063 shares. The address of the principal business office of the reporting entities is 35 Ocean Reef Drive, Suite 142, Key Largo, Florida 33037.

(3) According to a Schedule 13G/A filed February 7, 2013, as of December 31, 2012, these securities are owned by various individual and institutional investors for which T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. ("Price Associates") serves as investment adviser with power to direct investments and/or sole power to vote the securities. The Schedule 13G/A reports that, for purposes of the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, Price Associates is deemed to be a beneficial owner of such securities and that it has sole voting power with respect to 928,900 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,614,615 shares; however, Price Associates expressly disclaims that it is, in fact, the beneficial owner of such securities. The address of the principal business office of the reporting entity is 100 East Pratt Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202.

(4) According to a Schedule 13G filed February 12, 2013, as of December 31, 2012, these securities are owned by The Vanguard Group and two wholly-owned subsidiaries, Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company ("VTFC") and Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd. ("VIA"), as investment managers of collective trust accounts and investment offerings. The Schedule 13G reports that VTFC is the beneficial owner of 69,057 shares and VIA is the beneficial owner of 4,400 shares. The Vanguard Group is a registered investment advisor and has sole voting power with respect to 73,457 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 5,471,565 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 69,057 shares. The address of the principal business office of the reporting entity is 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, PA 19355.

Security Ownership of Management

The following table sets forth the total number of shares of our common stock beneficially owned and the percentage of the shares so owned as of June 4, 2013 by:

each director;

each executive officer named in the "Summary Compensation Table" on page 44 (each, a "named executive officer");
and

all directors and current executive officers as a group.

15

Table of Contents

Unless otherwise indicated in the notes following the table, the persons listed in the table below are the beneficial owners of the listed shares with sole voting and investment power (or, where applicable, shared power with such individual's spouse and subject to community property laws) over the shares listed. Shares subject to rights exercisable within 60 days after June 4, 2013 are treated as outstanding when determining the amount and percentage beneficially owned by a person or entity.

Name	Number of Shares of Common Stock ⁽¹⁾	Percent if greater than 1%
Directors		
J. David Chatham	53,582	—
Douglas C. Curling	24,835	—
John C. Dorman	14,835	—
Paul F. Folino	14,469	—
Anand K. Nallathambi	907,935	—
Thomas C. O'Brien	24,580	—
D. Van Skilling (1)	85,028	—
Jaynie Miller Studenmund	11,645	
David F. Walker	22,417	—
Mary Lee Widener	13,682	—
Current named executive officers who are not directors		
Frank D. Martell	100,868	—
George S. Livermore	221,586	—
Barry M. Sando	425,731	—
Stergios Theologides	52,203	—
All directors and current executive officers as a group (15 persons)	1,986,156	2.0

The shares set forth in the table above include shares that the following directors and named executive officers, as well as directors and current executive officers as a group, have the right to acquire within 60 days of June 4, 2013 in the amounts set forth below:

J. David Chatham	8,752
Douglas C. Curling	4,835
John C. Dorman	4,835
Anand K. Nallathambi	772,344
D. Van Skilling	8,752
Jaynie Miller Studenmund	4,835
David F. Walker	635
Frank D. Martell	60,056
George S. Livermore	176,837
Barry M. Sando	342,522
Stergios Theologides	44,981
Directors and current executive officers as a group	1,440,019

Includes 5,265 shares held by a nonprofit corporation for which Mr. Skilling serves as a director and officer. In his capacity as an officer, Mr. Skilling has the power, acting alone, to direct the voting and disposition of those shares.
⁽¹⁾ Also includes 11,110 shares held in two trusts for which Mr. Skilling serves as the trustee. In his capacity as trustee, Mr. Skilling has the power to direct the voting and disposition of those shares.

Table of Contents

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

The Company currently maintains two equity compensation plans: the 2011 Incentive Compensation Plan (“2011 Plan”) and the 2012 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (“2012 ESPP”). The 2006 Incentive Compensation Plan (the “2006 Plan”) was terminated and replaced by the 2011 Plan. The Company currently has outstanding options under the FAC 1996 Option Plan (“1996 Option Plan”), the FAC 1997 Directors' Stock Plan (“1997 Directors' Stock Plan”), the 2006 Plan and the 2011 Plan. Each of the 2011 Plan, the 2006 Plan, the 1996 Option Plan and the 1997 Directors' Stock Plan was approved by the Company's stockholders.

The following table sets forth, for each of the Company's equity compensation plans, the number of shares of common stock subject to outstanding awards, the weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, and the number of shares remaining available for future award grants as of December 31, 2012.

Plan category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights(1)		Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights(1)(4)		Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding shares reflected in column (a))(1)	
	(a)		(b)		(c)	
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders	5,171,430	(2)(3)	\$ 18.39	(3)	16,052,982	(4)
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders	449,635	(5)	\$ 22.38		N/A	
Total	5,621,065		\$ 18.97		16,052,982	

(1) In connection with the Separation on June 1, 2010, all outstanding stock options and unvested RSUs granted to the Company's employees prior to the Separation were adjusted in a manner designed to preserve the intrinsic value of the outstanding stock options and unvested RSUs.

(2) Of these shares, 703,545 were subject to options then outstanding under the 2011 Plan, 1,390,530 (which count as 4,588,749 under the 2011 Plan (3.3 shares for each award issued)) were subject to stock unit awards then outstanding under the 2011 Plan, 1,232,988 were subject to options then outstanding under the 2006 Plan, 1,126,152 were subject to stock unit awards then outstanding under the 2006 Plan, 700,711 were subject to options then outstanding under the 1996 Option Plan, and 17,504 were subject to options then outstanding under the 1997 Directors' Stock Plan. Of the 2,516,682 shares subject to stock unit awards under the plans as described above, 625,242 shares are subject to performance-based awards assuming that the maximum level of performance with respect to such awards is achieved. Note that the actual number of shares to be issued with respect to these performance-based awards will vary depending on the applicable level of performance achieved, with such number ranging from zero to the maximum level indicated above. This amount does not include those shares that were subject to options then outstanding under the First Advantage 2003 Incentive Compensation Plan, which were assumed by the Company in connection with the Company's acquisition of FADV in November 2009. As of December 31, 2012, these assumed options covered 659,986 shares of the Company's common stock and had a weighted-average exercise price per share of \$25.84. This amount does not include 332,990 shares that were subject to stock unit awards issued by Dorado Network Systems Corporation (“Dorado”) and assumed by the Company in connection with the acquisition of Dorado in 2011. The Company's authority to grant new awards under (i) the 2006 Plan terminated on May 19, 2011; (ii) the 1996 Option Plan terminated on May 18, 2006; and (iii) the 1997 Directors' Stock Plan terminated on May 18, 2006.

(3)

(4) This weighted-average exercise price does not reflect the shares that will be issued upon the payment of outstanding restricted stock units and is calculated solely with respect to outstanding unexercised stock options. Represents 14,052,982 shares available for future issuance under the 2011 Plan, and 2,000,000 shares available for future issuance under the 2012 ESPP, including shares that were subject to purchase during the purchase period ending December 31, 2012. Shares available under the 2011 Plan may be used for any type of award authorized in that plan (subject to certain limitations of the plan) including stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock units, restricted stock, performance-based awards, stock bonuses and other awards payable in shares of Company common stock.

(5) Consists of an inducement award of stock options issued outside of the Company's existing plans. These stock options were granted to Frank McMahon, the former chief executive officer of the information solutions group, pursuant to the terms of his employment agreement and are fully vested. The stock options have a remaining maximum contractual term of three years.

Table of Contents

Corporate Governance and Board Matters

Committees of the Board of Directors

There are currently four standing committees of the Board: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Acquisition Committee. In addition to the four standing committees, the Board may approve, and has from time to time approved, the creation of special committees to act on behalf of the Board.

During 2012, each member of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was determined by the Board to be independent, as defined in the corporate governance rules of the NYSE for listed companies and in accordance with the categorical standards of independence included in our corporate governance guidelines as discussed below. The Board further determined that each member of the Audit Committee met the additional independence standards applicable to those committees. Please see the section entitled "Independence of Directors" below for more information.

Audit Committee

The Company has a standing Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. The current members of the Audit Committee are Messrs. Walker (Chairman), Chatham, Dorman, Skilling and Ms. Widener. Mr. Dorman joined the Audit Committee upon his election to the Company's Board on July 26, 2012.

Our Board has determined that each of Messrs. Walker and Skilling is an "audit committee financial expert" within the meaning of the SEC's rules and regulations and that each of the members of our Audit Committee is "independent" under applicable SEC rules and the listing standards of the NYSE and "financially literate" under the listing standards of the NYSE.

The functions performed by this committee include, but are not limited to:

- overseeing the integrity of the Company's financial reporting processes in consultation with the independent auditor, management and the Company's internal audit function;
- reviewing internal auditing procedures and results;
- selecting our independent registered public accounting firm;
- engaging with our compliance and risk management executives to review the state of enterprise risk management and compliance programs with a view to understanding the steps management has taken to monitor and control the Company's major risk exposures;
- reviewing with internal counsel the state of litigation, claims and regulatory matters and overseeing the Company's compliance with legal and regulatory matters;
- discussing with management, internal audit and external advisors the state of internal controls and management tone;
- directing and supervising investigations into matters within the scope of its duties; and
- reviewing with the independent registered public accounting firm the plan and results of its audit and determining the nature of other services to be performed by, and fees to be paid to, such firm.

During 2012, our Audit Committee met eight times. The committee's charter is posted on the Investors section of our web site under corporate governance at www.corelogic.com.

Compensation Committee

The current members of the Compensation Committee are Messrs. Folino (Chairman), Chatham, O'Brien, Skilling and Ms. Studenmund. Ms. Studenmund joined the Compensation Committee upon her election to the Company's Board on July 26, 2012.

The functions of this committee include, but are not limited to:

- establishing and reviewing the compensation philosophy of the Company;
- reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the Company's chief executive officer, including annual performance objectives and evaluating the Company's chief executive officer in light of those objectives;
- establishing the Company's compensation policies and procedures with respect to our executive officers, including bonus awards, monitoring our incentive and equity compensation plans and making recommendations to the Board regarding director compensation;

Table of Contents

• monitoring compliance with the rules and guidelines of the Company's equity-based plans;
• reviewing and monitoring the Company's employee retirement and benefit plans; and
• reviewing the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in the Company's proxy statements and preparing the Compensation Committee Report for inclusion in the Company's proxy statements.

During 2012, the Compensation Committee met 10 times. The committee's charter is posted on the Investors section of our Web site under corporate governance at www.corelogic.com.

The Compensation Committee has the authority to delegate responsibilities to a subcommittee of one or more members of the Compensation Committee, who must regularly report on their activities to the Compensation Committee as a whole. The Compensation Committee has not delegated any of its authority to a subcommittee. The Compensation Committee has retained Steven Hall & Partners ("Steven Hall") as its independent compensation consultant to advise on the compensation of our executive officers and directors. The Compensation Committee also seeks input from our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Senior Vice President, Human Resources and our General Counsel when making decisions regarding compensation matters. During 2012, Steven Hall attended nine Compensation Committee meetings.

During 2012, Steven Hall provided:

• advice on the selection of a peer group of companies for executive compensation comparison purposes;
• comparative market data on board of director compensation practices and programs of peer companies and competitors;
• guidance on industry best practices and emerging trends and developments in executive and board of director compensation;
• analysis of pay survey data; and
• advice on determining the total compensation of each of our executive officers and the material elements of total compensation, including (1) annual base salaries, (2) target cash bonus amounts and (3) long-term incentive awards. Steven Hall performed no additional services for the Company, and the Compensation Committee does not believe that the services performed by Steven Hall raised any conflict of interest.

In addition, the Company has engaged Mercer LLC ("Mercer") to provide certain compensation-related services. In 2012, Mercer assisted the Company with the selection of a peer group of companies, advised on industry best practices and emerging trends in executive compensation, prepared pay survey data and made recommendations on the structuring of compensation programs. In connection with its engagement, Mercer attended one meeting of the Compensation Committee. Mercer performed no additional services for the Company, and the Compensation Committee does not believe that the services performed by Mercer raised any conflict of interest.

Additional information concerning the executive compensation policies and objectives established by the Compensation Committee, the Compensation Committee's processes and procedures for consideration and determination of executive compensation, and the role of executive officers and the Company's and the Compensation Committee's compensation consultants in determining executive compensation is included in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, beginning on page 27. Additional information concerning the Compensation Committee's processes and procedures and consideration and determination of non-employee director compensation is included in the section entitled Director Compensation for 2012, beginning on page 63.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The current members of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee are Messrs. O'Brien (Chairman), Chatham, Curling and Skilling. Mr. Chatham served as committee chair until June 6, 2012 when Mr. O'Brien was appointed to serve as committee chair. Mr. Curling joined the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee upon his election to the Company's Board on July 26, 2012.

This committee is responsible for:

• identifying individuals qualified to become directors of our Company;
• recommending to the Board candidates for election at annual meetings by the stockholders and candidates to fill vacancies and newly created directorships;
• overseeing the evaluation of the Board; and

Table of Contents

developing, recommending to the Board and periodically reviewing the corporate governance principles applicable to our Company.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held 15 meetings during 2012. The committee's charter is posted on the Investors section of our Web site under corporate governance at www.corelogic.com. The committee has adopted procedures by which certain stockholders of the Company may recommend director nominees to the Board. In particular, the committee has established a policy whereby it will accept and consider, in its discretion, director recommendations from any stockholder holding in excess of 5% of the Company's outstanding common stock. Such recommendations must include the name and credentials of the recommended nominee and should be submitted to the secretary of the Company at our address indicated on the first page of this proxy statement. The committee will evaluate director candidates recommended by stockholders for election to our Board in the same manner and using the same criteria as used for any other director candidate (as described below). If the committee determines that a stockholder-recommended candidate is suitable for membership on our Board, it will include the candidate in the pool of candidates to be considered for nomination upon the occurrence of the next vacancy on our Board or in connection with the next annual meeting of stockholders.

While the committee has no specific minimum qualifications in evaluating a director candidate, the committee takes into account all factors it considers appropriate in identifying and evaluating candidates for membership on our Board, including some or all of the following: strength of character, an inquiring and independent mind, practical wisdom, mature judgment, career specialization, relevant industry experience, relevant technical skills, reputation in the community, diversity and the extent to which the candidate would fill a present need on the Board. The committee makes recommendations to the full Board as to whether or not incumbent directors should stand for re-election. However, if our Company is legally required by contract or otherwise to provide third parties with the ability to nominate directors, the committee may adjust its evaluation process for the designated candidates to reflect the Company's contractual obligations with respect to their nomination. The committee conducts all necessary and appropriate inquiries into the background and qualifications of possible candidates and may engage a search firm to assist in identifying potential candidates for nomination.

The Company does not have a formal policy for the consideration of diversity in identifying nominees for director. However, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee recognizes the benefits associated with a diverse board and, as indicated above, considers diversity as a factor when identifying and evaluating candidates for membership on our Board. The committee utilizes a broad conception of diversity, including professional and educational background, prior experience on other boards of directors (both public and private), political and social perspectives as well as race, gender and national origin. Utilizing these factors, and the factors described above, the committee makes recommendations, as the committee deems appropriate, regarding the composition and size of the Board. The priorities and emphasis of the committee and of the Board may change from time to time to take into account changes in business and other trends and the portfolio of skills and experience of current and prospective Board members.

In March 2012, at the Board's direction, the committee engaged Spencer Stuart to conduct a comprehensive search to identify and assist the Company in the selection of additional candidates for election to the Company's Board. In consultation with the Board, the search focused on identifying candidates who could complement the Board's existing skill sets and backgrounds, including by possessing significant data and technology industry expertise, strong operational backgrounds and director experience. In connection with the search, Spencer Stuart also sought input from the Company's largest stockholders. On June 6, 2012, the Board nominated Ms. Studenmund and Messrs. Curling and Dorman for election at the annual meeting of stockholders following the unanimous recommendation of the committee.

Acquisition Committee

The current members of the Acquisition Committee are Messrs. Skilling (Chairman), Folino, Nallathambi and Walker. The Acquisition Committee has the authority to review, authorize and approve certain acquisition, divestiture

and investment transactions proposed by the Company's management which are below a certain size and which do not involve equity of the Company. This committee held no meetings during 2012.

Independence of Directors

Pursuant to corporate governance rules of the NYSE, a majority of the Board must be independent. A director will not qualify as independent unless the Board affirmatively determines that the director has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company). To assist in its determination of director independence, the Board has adopted categorical director independence standards, which are contained in the Company's Corporate Governance Guidelines. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are available to stockholders on the Investors section of our Web site under Corporate Governance at www.corelogic.com.

Table of Contents

In accordance with the NYSE rules, the Board has affirmatively determined that each of Messrs. Chatham, Curling, Dorman, Folino, O'Brien, Skilling, Walker, and Ms. Studenmund and Ms. Widener is "independent" as that term is defined in the corporate governance rules of the NYSE for listed companies. Mr. Nallathambi is considered an inside director because he is employed by the Company as a senior executive.

Mr. Curling disclosed to the Company that (i) he currently serves on an advisory board for Decision Insight Information Group, the parent company of DataQuick, which is one of the Company's data resellers and also competes with certain of the Company's businesses, and (ii) he currently is a principal in New Kent Consulting LLC, a firm whose other professionals may from time to time perform consulting services for clients in areas that compete with the Company's current or planned businesses. The Board evaluated these potential conflicts of interest and considered appropriate processes to mitigate them. Based on this evaluation and the Board's perception of the value that Mr. Curling's service would provide to the Company and its stockholders, the Board elected to waive certain provisions of the Company's Code of Ethics and Conduct that could be implicated by Mr. Curling's relationships with Decision Insight Information Group and New Kent Consulting LLC.

Board Leadership Structure; Meetings of Independent Directors

The offices of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman are separate. Mr. Skilling was appointed as Chairman in May 2011. Our Board believes that the separation of the offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer is appropriate at this time as it allows our Chief Executive Officer to focus primarily on his management responsibilities and the Chairman to oversee and manage the Board and its functions.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board shall annually elect a lead director by a majority vote of the independent directors unless the Chairperson of the Board is an independent director, in which case the Chairperson of the Board will perform the functions of a lead director and no lead director shall be elected.

Mr. Skilling, an independent director, is the current Chairman and as a result we do not currently have a lead director. The Board feels that having an independent Chairman promotes the independence of our Board and provides appropriate oversight of management and ensures free and open discussion and communication among the non-management members of our Board, which are those directors who are not officers of the Company. In 2012, the non-management directors met 10 times in executive session without management present. The Chairman chairs and coordinates the agenda for these executive sessions of the non-management directors.

In June 2012, Mr. Skilling announced his intention to retire from the board immediately prior to the 2014 annual meeting. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is overseeing the selection of a new Chairman from among the remaining Independent Directors.

Risk Oversight

To maximize long-term stockholder value, the Board's responsibilities in overseeing the Company's businesses include oversight of the Company's key risks and management's processes and controls to regulate them appropriately. The Company's management, in turn, is responsible for the day-to-day management of risk and implementation of appropriate risk management controls and procedures.

Although risk oversight permeates many elements of the work of the full Board and the committees, the Audit Committee has the most direct and systematic responsibility for overseeing risk management. The Audit Committee Charter provides for a variety of regular and recurring responsibilities relating to risk, including:

- having responsibility for the internal audit function, with that function reporting directly to the committee;
- overseeing the independent registered public accounting firm;
- receiving reports from management and the internal audit function regarding the adequacy and effectiveness of various internal controls;
- reviewing periodically with counsel legal and regulatory matters that could have a significant impact on the Company and could indicate emerging areas of risk;
- overseeing the Company's compliance program with respect to legal and regulatory requirements and risks; and
- discussing with management and the independent auditor the Company's guidelines and policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management, including the Company's major risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures.

In performing these functions, the Audit Committee regularly receives reports from management and internal and external auditors regarding the Company's enterprise risk management program, compliance program, information security and business continuity programs, extraordinary claims and losses and significant litigation.

Table of Contents

Separately, the Compensation Committee oversees the Company's compensation policies and practices and has assessed whether the Company's compensation policies encourage excessive risk taking. The Compensation Committee has concluded that these policies and practices are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In arriving at that conclusion, the Compensation Committee considered, among other factors, the metrics used to determine variable compensation; the portion of variable compensation paid in equity, which are either time vested or tied to the achievement of long-term Company objectives; the amount of compensation paid as sales commissions and the number of people to whom such compensation is paid; and controls, such as pricing limits, a recoupment policy and financial reconciliation processes for sales crediting, quality checks that the Company employs and the approval process for certain compensation-related activities.

Anti-Hedging and Pledging Policy

The Company maintains a policy that prohibits executive officer and director transactions in put options, call options or other derivative securities or otherwise pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan.

Board Meetings and Attendance

Our Board held 12 meetings during 2012. Each director attended 75% or more of the total number of meetings of the Board and meetings of the committees (if any) on which the director served during his or her respective tenure on the Board. From time to time, our Board also acts by unanimous written consent as permitted by the Company's Bylaws and the Delaware General Corporation Law.

Code of Ethics

The Board has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the Company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, and persons performing similar functions. A copy of this code of ethics is posted on the Investors section of the Company's Web site under Corporate Governance at www.corelogic.com. The Board also has adopted a broader code of ethics and conduct, applying to all employees, officers and directors, which also has been posted under "Investors--Corporate Governance" on the Web site at the address stated above. If the Company waives or amends any provisions of these codes of ethics that apply to the Company's directors and executive officers, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and persons performing similar functions, it will disclose such waivers or amendments on the Company's Web site, at the address and location specified above, to the extent required by applicable SEC and NYSE Rules.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines which have been posted on the Investors section of our Web site under Corporate Governance at www.corelogic.com. In addition to stating the standards that the Board applies in determining whether or not its members are independent, these guidelines state the qualifications and responsibilities of our directors and describe fundamental aspects of our Board and certain of its committees.

Director Attendance at Annual Meetings

We encourage our directors to attend the annual meetings of our stockholders. Each of the Company's directors nominated for election in 2012 attended the 2012 annual meeting, with the exception of Ms. Studenmund and Mr. Walker.

Stockholder and Interested Party Communications with Directors

Stockholders and other interested parties may communicate directly with members of the Board, including the lead director (if any) or any of the other non-management or non-executive directors of our Company (individually or as a group) by writing to such director(s) at the business addresses provided on the Investors section of our Web site under each director's name in the corporate governance section at www.corelogic.com. Directors receiving such communications will respond as such directors deem appropriate, including the possibility of referring the matter to management of our Company, to the full Board or to an appropriate committee of the Board.

The Audit Committee has established procedures to receive, retain and address complaints regarding accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, and for the submission by our employees or third parties of concerns regarding questionable accounting or auditing matters or other ethics and compliance-related matters. Our 24-hour, toll-free hotline is available for the submission of such concerns or complaints at 1-888-632-5395 or may also be reported online at <https://corelogic.alertline.com>. To the extent required by applicable law, individuals wishing to remain anonymous or to otherwise express their concerns or complaints confidentially are permitted to do so.

Table of Contents

Transactions with Management and Others

The Board has adopted a written policy regarding transactions with related persons that requires the approval or ratification by the Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of any transaction exceeding \$120,000 in any consecutive 12-month period in which the Company is a participant and any related person has a direct or indirect material interest. A related person includes a director, nominees for election as a director, executive officer, persons controlling over 5% of the Company's common stock and the immediate family members of each of these individuals. Once a transaction has been determined to require approval, the transaction will be reviewed and approved by either the Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review and consider the terms, business purpose and benefits of the transaction to the Company and related person.

If a related party transaction is not pre-approved, then it must be brought to the Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for ratification as promptly as possible. No member of the Board or the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may participate in the review or approval of a related party transaction in which he or she has a direct or indirect interest, unless the Chairman of the Board or the chairperson of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee requests such individual to participate.

The following types of transactions do not require pre-approval:

- compensatory arrangements for service as an officer or director of the Company, provided such compensation is approved by the Compensation Committee;
- transactions between the Company and its affiliates (other than directors and officers);
- transactions involving a related person with only an indirect interest resulting solely from ownership of less than 10% of, or being a director of, the entity entering into a transaction with the Company;
- ordinary course transactions involving annual payments of \$100,000 or less; or
- transactions involving indebtedness between the Company and a beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company's common stock or an immediate family member of such beneficial owner, provided that the beneficial owner or family member is not an executive officer, director or director nominee of the Company or an immediate family member thereof.

The Company has entered into the transactions discussed below, which have been approved or ratified in accordance with our related party transactions policy.

In 2012, First American Financial Corporation ("FAFC") beneficially owned greater than 5% of our common stock and was therefore a related party. As of July 26, 2012 (the date of the last 13D/A filed by FAFC), FAFC owned less than five percent of our common stock and therefore ceased to be a related party. We participated in the following transactions with FAFC during the period FAFC was a related party:

In 2010, in connection with the Separation, we issued shares of our common stock to FAFC and FATICO that resulted in FAFC directly or indirectly owning 12,933,265 shares of our common stock immediately following the Separation. On April 11, 2011, we repurchased 4 million of these shares of common stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$75,800,000. As of July 24, 2012 (the date of the last 13D/A filed by FAFC), FAFC beneficially owned approximately 4.7% of our common stock and FAFC therefore ceased to be a related party. On August 23, 2012, we repurchased 4,933,265 shares of our common stock, representing all remaining shares owned by FAFC as shown on the last 13 D/A filed by FAFC, for an aggregate purchase price of \$70,115,160.

The Company and FAFC entered into various agreements in order to complete the Separation. Specifically, the Company entered into a Separation and Distribution Agreement (the "Separation and Distribution Agreement") with FAFC that governs the rights and obligations of the Company and FAFC in connection with the distribution of all outstanding shares of FAFC to the holders of FAC's common stock. The Separation and Distribution Agreement also governs the relationship between the Company and FAFC subsequent to the completion of the Separation and provides for allocation between the Company and FAFC of FAC's assets and liabilities. In the Separation and Distribution Agreement, the Company and FAFC agreed to share equally in the cost of resolution of a small number of corporate-level lawsuits, including a consolidated securities lawsuit. Responsibility to manage each case has been assigned to either FAFC or the Company, with the managing party required to update the other party regularly and consult with the other party prior to certain important decisions, such as settlement. The managing party will also have

primary responsibility for determining the ultimate total liability, if any, related to the cases. The amount of any potential liability under this agreement cannot be determined.

In connection with the Separation, the Company and FAFC also entered into a Tax Sharing Agreement. Pursuant to the terms of the Tax Sharing Agreement, FAFC is contingently liable for certain tax liabilities incurred in connection with the

Table of Contents

Separation. The Company recorded a receivable from FAFC of \$52.5 million and \$34.4 million as of December 31, 2012, and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company also issued a promissory note to FAFC, in the principal amount of \$19,900,000, relating to certain pension liabilities arising out of the Company's former non-qualified pension plan that was assumed by FAFC in connection with the Separation. The promissory note was repaid in full in September 2011.

During 2012, the Company leased its corporate headquarters at 4 First American Way, Santa Ana, California 92707 from FAFC pursuant to lease agreements that expired in December 2012. Rental expense associated with these properties totaled \$4.4 million in 2012.

In June 2010, the Company and FAFC entered into several license agreements and statements of work. The Company and FAFC also entered into a cross-sell agreement whereby First American Title Insurance Company, a division of FAFC, will market certain of the Company's services. In addition, during 2011, we entered into various commercial transactions with affiliates of FAFC. The revenue associated with the license agreements, cross-sell agreements and the various commercial transactions totaled \$19.1 million in 2012. The expenses related to these transactions, which primarily related to purchase of sales of data and other settlement services, totaled \$1.6 million in 2012. We cannot estimate the future amounts payable under these agreements since the amounts payable are dependent on services requested.

In 2012, Highfields beneficially owned greater than 5% of our common stock and was therefore a related party. During 2012, Highfields purchased approximately \$175,000 of data, analytics and other Company products pursuant to a contract entered into on an arm's-length basis. As of December 31, 2012 (the date of the last 13G/A filed by Highfields), Highfields had disposed of all of our common stock, Highfields ceased to be a related party.

T. Rowe Price beneficially owns greater than 5% of our common stock and is therefore a related party. During 2012, T. Rowe Price purchased approximately \$214,000 of data, analytics and other Company products pursuant to a contract entered into on an arm's-length basis.

Executive Officers

The following provides information regarding the Company's current executive officers.

Name	Position(s) Held	Age
Anand K. Nallathambi	President and Chief Executive Officer	51
Frank D. Martell	Chief Financial Officer	53
George S. Livermore	Group Executive and Executive Vice President, Global Sales and Client Strategy	52
Barry M. Sando	Group Executive and Executive Vice President for Mortgage Origination Services and Asset Management and Processing Solutions	53
Stergios Theologides	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	46
James L. Balas	Senior Vice President, Finance and Controller	42

Officers of the Company are appointed annually by the Board.

▲Anand K. Nallathambi's biography is set forth under the heading Proposal 1 - Election of Directors above.

✦Frank D. Martell has served as the Company's Chief Financial Officer since August 2011. From July 2010 to August 2011, Mr. Martell was president and chief executive officer for Western Institutional Review Board, a leading provider of review, approval and oversight for clinical research studies involving human subjects. Mr. Martell has served as a director of Western Institutional Review Board since December 2010. Previously, Mr. Martell served as chief financial officer from October 2009 to June 2010 for Advantage Sales and Marketing, a retail merchandising and marketing services company. From January 2007 to September 2009, Mr. Martell served as executive vice president and chief financial officer for Information Services Group, Inc., a technology insight, market intelligence and advisory services company, where he was responsible for global financial management, investor and rating agency relations and information technology operations. From 1996 to 2006, Mr. Martell held a number of leadership positions for ACNielsen Corporation, including vice president and treasurer, as well as chief financial officer, chief operating officer and president of Asia Pacific & Emerging Markets, executive vice president, marketing information

group, and chief operating officer of ACNielsen and president Europe, Middle East & Africa.

24

Table of Contents

George S. Livermore has served as the Company's Group Executive and Executive Vice President, Global Sales and Client Strategy, with overall responsibility for sales and marketing and delivering on the Company's enterprise growth strategy since January 2013. From June 2010 through January 2013, he served as Group Executive and Executive Vice President for the Company's data and analytics segment. From September 2005 to June 2010, Mr. Livermore was president of FAC's property information and services group within the information solutions company. Additionally, he served as president of First American Real Estate Solutions L.P. since its formation in 1998.

Barry M. Sando has served as the Company's Group Executive and Executive Vice President for the mortgage origination services and asset management and processing solutions segments since December 2012, and previously served in this position for the mortgage origination services and default services segments between December 2011 and December 2012, and for the business and information services segment of the Company from June 2010 to December 2011. From 1997 to June 2010, Mr. Sando was president of the information and outsourcing solutions business segment of FAC. He also served as president of FAC's flood zone certification subsidiary during 1997, served as its executive vice president from 1995 to 1997 and was employed by FAC's tax service subsidiary from 1991 to 1995.

Stergios Theologides has served as the Company's Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary since June 2010. Mr. Theologides served as senior vice president and general counsel of the information solutions group of FAC from November 2009 until June 2010. Mr. Theologides served as the executive vice president and general counsel of Morgan Stanley's U.S. residential mortgage business from 2007 to 2009, overseeing legal, compliance, operational risk, fraud prevention, quality assurance and consumer and community affairs for Morgan Stanley's mortgage origination and servicing platforms. From 1998 to 2007, Mr. Theologides was the executive vice president and general counsel of New Century Financial Corporation ("New Century"). At New Century, Mr. Theologides oversaw legal, compliance, privacy, security, consumer relations and government affairs. New Century filed for bankruptcy protection in April 2007 and was ultimately liquidated. Mr. Theologides began his career as a corporate and securities lawyer at O'Melveny & Myers, LLP.

James L. Balas has served as the Company's Senior Vice President, Finance and Controller since September 2012. Mr. Balas joined the Company as Senior Vice President and Controller in March 2011. From April 2009 to March 2011, Mr. Balas was the vice president and corporate controller for Ameron International, an international manufacturer of products and materials for the chemical, industrial, energy, transportation and infrastructure markets. From 2008 to 2009, Mr. Balas served as chief financial officer of Solar Integrated Technologies, a provider of commercial solutions for the production of solar electric power, and as vice president of finance from 2006 to 2008. From 2003 to 2006, Mr. Balas served as the director of finance and corporate development for Keystone Automotive Industries, Inc., a distributor of aftermarket automotive parts and accessories. From 1998 to 2003, Mr. Balas was with Ernst & Young LLP's consulting division (acquired in May 2000 by Cap Gemini S.A.) where he served as senior manager, corporate development beginning in 2000.

Table of Contents

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

This discussion and analysis of the compensation program for our named executive officers should be read in conjunction with the tables and text contained elsewhere in this Item 11 that describe the compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to the named executive officers in 2012.

Our Compensation Discussion and Analysis (“CD&A”) describes the Compensation Committee's (the “Committee's”) compensation philosophy, objectives, policies and compensation decisions made for “named executive officers” for 2012 listed below:

Named Executive Officer	Position as of December 31, 2012
Anand K. Nallathambi	President and Chief Executive Officer
Frank D. Martell	Chief Financial Officer
George S. Livermore	Group Executive and Executive Vice President for Data and Analytics*
Barry M. Sando	Group Executive and Executive Vice President for Mortgage Origination Services and Asset Management and Processing Solutions
Stergios Theologides	Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary

On January 10, 2013, Mr. Livermore was appointed to Group Executive and Executive Vice President, Global Sales *and Client Strategy with overall responsibility for sales and marketing and delivering on the Company's enterprise growth strategy.

Executive Summary

The Company achieved strong financial results in 2012 with record levels of revenue, operating and net income from continuing operations. These record results were due to higher mortgage origination volumes primarily from refinancing activity coupled with the transformation of our cost structure which resulted in productivity increases. In addition, we generated strong cash flows which allowed us to return capital to shareholders and reduce our debt levels. During the course of 2012, we experienced a significant increase in the price of our common shares. The Company began the year with a stock price of \$12.93 and ended 2012 with a stock price of \$26.92, which represents an increase of 108%. We believe the Company's ongoing efforts have also positioned us well for the future.

Financial Results

The Company delivered strong financial results in 2012. Demand in our most important business driver—residential mortgage origination volume—was up by an estimated 32% in 2012 compared to 2011 based on statistics published by the Mortgage Bankers Association and data from significant mortgage originators. Furthermore, execution of our business plan allowed us to capitalize on the demand growth and deliver even greater improvement in profitability levels and margins. 2012 revenue was up 17% over 2011, while adjusted EBITDA, which is used as one of the performance targets under our annual incentive plan, grew by over 50% compared to 2011. The mortgage origination services segment and asset management and processing services segment results outperformed their respective markets, while data and analytics demonstrated strong year over year growth.

Operational Improvements, Strategic Initiatives and Accomplishments

In 2011, our executive leadership team implemented aggressive actions to sharpen our focus on our core businesses, transform our cost structure, drive productivity, and better position us to capitalize on our competitive strengths. 2012 was a year of executing on these objectives, which we believe resulted in driving significant increases in stockholder value during the year. Key actions included:

- Restructuring the business into three core segments: data and analytics, mortgage origination services and asset management and processing solutions to drive focus and improve capability alignment;
- Implementing a cost reduction initiative which resulted in lowering our 2012 corporate functions costs by \$62.2 million;
- Launching our Technology Transformation Initiative which is an extension of our cost reduction initiatives and which we expect will drive future operational efficiencies;
- Reducing our debt by \$115.9 million, which reduced gross leverage to less than 2 times adjusted EBITDA;

Table of Contents

Returning capital to stockholders by repurchasing 10 million shares of common stock;
 Focusing on growing free cash flow, one of the performance targets under our annual incentive plan, which totaled \$277.8 million in 2012 compared to \$99.4 million generated in 2011; and
 Scaling up our geo-spatial business which included our acquisition of CDS Business Mapping, LLC.

Executive Compensation Program Rewards Strong Financial Results

Our Company's primary objective is to achieve sustainable profitable growth with high near- and long-term stockholder returns within a culture of prudent risk management. To sustain our financial performance, we believe that we should closely link executive compensation to Company performance.

The Company's underlying pay-for-performance compensation approach is intended to recognize both below- and above-expected performance results. For example, in 2011 our CEO and the majority of our other named executives for 2011 received regular annual cash incentive awards that were below (and in some cases significantly below) targeted levels as a result of our performance in 2011. For 2012, CoreLogic exceeded target performance across all four defined financial metrics under our annual incentive bonus plan, as illustrated below:

Financial Performance Metric	Budget (In millions, except percentages)	Actual 2012 Results (In millions, except percentages)	Percentage Achieved	
2012 Corporate Revenue	\$1,410	\$1,567.6	111	%
2012 Corporate adjusted EBITDA	\$360	\$450.5	125	%
2012 Corporate adjusted EBITDA margin	25.5	% 28.7	% 113	%
2012 Corporate adjusted Free Cash Flow	\$180	\$277.8	154	%

As a result of our performance in 2012 and as described in more detail below, our CEO and other named executives received annual cash incentive awards for 2012 that were above targeted levels pursuant to the terms of our bonus plan.

Key Elements of Executive Compensation Program and Strategy

In setting the mix of target total compensation for our named executive officers, the Committee sought to provide a combination of compensation elements heavily weighted to variable performance-based pay. The Committee has taken the following actions which we believe met this objective and which concretely demonstrate our commitment to a pay-for-performance compensation philosophy:

Table of Contents

Program or Policy	2012 Summary
Rewards Strategy	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maintained a rewards strategy that links total compensation to Company's operating results and share price performance • Positioned target compensation at approximately market median levels
Peer Group	<p>Set compensation and pay policies and practices following a comparison against a market peer group that includes companies with whom we compete for talent and are of a generally comparable size</p> <p>For 2013, updated the peer group by adding three new peers whose inclusion helps further align CoreLogic with the peer median revenue</p>
Base Salaries	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Made no changes to named executive officer salaries in light of our 2011 performance to reinforce paying for performance <p>For 2013 continued with no changes to named executive officer salaries despite strong performance in 2012</p>
Annual Incentive Bonus (Incentive Compensation Plan, or ICP)	<p>Added free cash flow as a metric to drive cash conversion and increased the weighting of Company financial performance to 80%</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • of the annual incentive bonus opportunity for all named executive officers to emphasize team alignment and the importance of Company financial performance
Long-Term Incentives (LTI)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Continued to emphasize LTI compensation as the majority of total target compensation for named executive officers <p>Placed greater emphasis on performance-based awards in 2012,</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • increasing performance-based awards to 50% of each executive's target 2012 LTI award value (up from 40% in 2011) <p>For 2012 performance-based awards identified stretch earnings per share target</p> <p>For 2013, the Company is taking several actions to reinforce long-term, stockholder-aligned performance incentives, including setting earnings per share (or "EPS") targets for performance-based</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • awards for a 3-year performance period and including a relative performance measure that will adjust the shares earned based on the performance of CoreLogic's total stockholder returns relative to its peers
Retirement Programs	<p>The Company's overall plans are aligned with the market. The</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • supplemental executive retirement program that the Committee froze in 2010 remains closed to new participants
Executive Perquisites	<p>The Company provides limited perquisites, which include executive life insurance. This program provides the participant with up to two times their annualized base salary (up to a maximum of \$1 million) in group universal life insurance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •
Governance / Other	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The Company adopted stock ownership guidelines and share retention requirements for named executive officers •

The Company does not offer any gross-ups for change in control compensation

Company policy prohibits executive officer transactions in put options, call options or other derivative securities or otherwise pledging Company securities as collateral for a loan

- The Company completes an annual risk assessment of compensation plans, which is reported to the Committee, to ensure that incentive compensation plans do not create an incentive for participants to take excess risks for the business

Table of Contents

Our Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

We believe our compensation philosophy plays a vital role in achieving our commitment to stockholders. Superior execution by highly competent senior leadership is critical to achieving and maintaining consistent, outstanding annual and long-term financial performance. The Committee has designed our named executive officer compensation program to enhance stockholder value by ensuring that a large part of compensation is variable and equity based compensation aligned to the Company's performance. The target pay mix for the Company's Chief Executive Officer and the next three highest paid named executive officers in 2012 is displayed in the chart below:

In addition, a named executive officer's rewards are also partially influenced by (i) the performance of the officer's business unit or function and (ii) a subjective analysis of the individual named executive officer's performance.

The Committee's executive compensation decisions reflected its compensation philosophy of:

• Paying for performance;

• Attracting, motivating and retaining highly-qualified executive officers critical to our long-term success;

• Aligning the interests of our executive officers with the interests of our stockholders;

Rewarding executive officers for achieving pre-defined stretch goals and objectives, including objectives that may not yield current-period financial results but that we believe will position the Company for enhanced results in future periods; and

• Encouraging strategic long-term development and investment in the business.

Our Compensation Program Governance Practices

In making compensation decisions for our named executive officers, the Committee operates within a governance structure that provides for annual review of our executive compensation programs to ensure they support our compensation philosophy and ultimately serve the best interests of our stockholders. Key attributes of our compensation program governance are:

• Evaluation of Company and business line performance compared to target performance;

• Establishing annual target performance levels that challenge management to continue to improve our revenue, profitability and cash flow;

• Peer group analysis;

• Evaluation of individual performance;

• Risk management;

• Analysis and adoption of emerging best practices in compensation and governance;

• Independent compensation consultant advice; and

• Exercise of Committee discretion,

Table of Contents

With respect to the adoption of best practices in compensation and governance, among the practices we employ are the following:

• We regularly compare our practices to our peer group with respect to our rewards programs to ensure that these are in line with current best practices

• We have performance-based vesting conditions in grants of restricted stock units (50% of overall grant value)

• We provide limited perquisites

• We have recoupment provisions in our annual and long-term incentive plan award agreements

• We have stock ownership and retention guidelines for our executive officers

• We do not provide tax gross-ups for compensation paid due to a change in control

• We do not provide single-trigger severance payments under our executive employment agreements or change in control agreements; and

• We have an independent Chairman.

We believe that each element of our executive compensation program helps us achieve one or more of our compensation objectives and that the relative combination of executive compensation program elements helps us achieve all of our compensation objectives. The following table lists each material element of our executive compensation program and the compensation program objectives that it is designed to achieve. The following table also illustrates how our compensation philosophy guided the Committee's 2012 compensation actions.

	Pay for Performance	Attract, Motivate & Retain Highly Qualified Executives with Competitive Pay	Align Executives' Interests with Stockholders	Encouraging Strategic Long-Term Investment in the Business
Peer Group		ü	ü	
Base Salaries/Merit Increases	ü	ü		
Annual Incentive Compensation Plan	ü	ü	ü	
Long-Term Incentives	ü	ü	ü	ü
Retirement Plans		ü	ü	

2012 Say-on-Pay Votes on Compensation Decisions

Our stockholders are provided with an opportunity to cast an annual advisory vote on our executive compensation program through the say-on-pay proposal. At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, we held our stockholder advisory vote on compensation of our named executive officers, pursuant to which approximately 84% of the votes cast supported our say-on-pay proposal. The Committee considered this to be a strong vote outcome in support of the Company's pay programs in light of the fact that ISS, a shareholder advisory firm, recommended against CoreLogic's say on pay for 2012 based on what CoreLogic considers to be inappropriate criticism of the Company's pay programs. Glass Lewis, the other major shareholder advisory firm, recommended a vote in favor of our say-on-pay proposal. The Committee considered the input of shareholders and advisory firms in the design of its 2013 compensation programs. Further modifications made for 2012 and 2013 performance years continue to strengthen the pay for performance relationship and focus on building stockholder value. The Committee will continue to consider the outcome of the Company's say-on-pay proposals when making future compensation decisions for our named executive officers.

Role of the Compensation Committee and the Chief Executive Officer

The Committee is composed of independent members of our Board. The Committee reviews and approves named executive officer base salaries, annual incentive bonus programs, long-term incentive compensation and other

incentive and executive benefit plans. The Committee, in consultation with its independent compensation consultant, analyzes the reasonableness of named executive officer compensation, in part by reviewing compensation data from comparable companies and from relevant surveys.

30

Table of Contents

Decisions regarding compensation of the Chief Executive Officer are made solely by the Committee based on its deliberations with input from its independent compensation consultant. Decisions regarding other named executive officers are made by the Committee after considering recommendations from the Chief Executive Officer and certain other named Executive officers, as appropriate, as well as input from the Committee's independent compensation consultant. No executive officer controls his or her own compensation. The Company's Chairman, Chief Executive Officer, and, as appropriate, its General Counsel, Chief Financial Officer and Chief Human Resources Officer, may attend the portion of the Committee's meetings where individual named executive officer performance is discussed. Only Committee members may vote on named executive officer compensation decisions. The Committee meets in executive session with its independent compensation consultant at most meetings.

Role of Independent Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has retained Steven Hall & Partners ("Steven Hall") as its independent compensation consultant to advise on the compensation of our named executive officers. The Committee's independent compensation consultant generally advises the Committee on the appropriateness of the Company's compensation philosophy, peer group selection and general executive compensation program design. During 2012, as part of its engagement with the Committee, Steven Hall:

- advised on the selection of a peer group of companies for executive compensation comparison purposes;
- provided guidance on industry best practices and emerging trends and developments in executive officer compensation;
- analyzed pay survey data; and
- advised on determining the total compensation of each of our named executive officers and the material elements of total compensation, including (1) annual base salaries, (2) target cash bonus amounts, and (3) long-term incentive awards.

The Committee retained its independent compensation consultant directly, although in carrying out assignments, the independent compensation consultant also interacted with Company management to the extent necessary and appropriate. The independent compensation consultant performed no additional services for the Company, and the Committee does not believe the independent compensation consultant's work has raised any conflict of interest. The Committee has the sole authority to select, retain, and terminate the independent compensation consultant, as the Committee deems appropriate.

Commitment to Pay for Performance as Reflected in Compensation Actions for Named Executives

The guiding principle of our executive compensation philosophy is to "pay for performance." This philosophy forms the basis for our executive compensation program design, performance target setting, and the Committee's determination of compensation levels. To ensure responsible levels of executive compensation, the Committee evaluates the performance of the individual and the Company as a whole when determining incentive pay for executive officers. We believe this approach aligns compensation decisions with the long-term interests of the Company and its stockholders. One example of the Company's commitment to paying for performance is that salaries were frozen in 2012 after a challenging 2011. Despite strong 2012 performance, executive officer salaries will remain unchanged for 2013. CoreLogic's revenue and adjusted EBITDA margin performance exceeded goals by approximately 11% and 13%, respectively. Adjusted EBITDA results were 125% of target, while free cash flow was over 150% of goal. As a result of these achievements, annual Incentive Compensation Plan ("ICP") awards for named executive officers were 177.5% of target. Our CEO received a 2012 annual cash bonus of \$1,774,600.

CoreLogic's long-term incentive plans are also aligned to the pay-for-performance approach. The Company's primary equity vehicles are performance-based long-term incentives and stock options, which require executive leadership to drive financial performance and stockholder return to earn target levels of long-term incentive award payouts. With the significant increase in the Company stock price in 2012, the value of previously awarded long-term incentives has increased. 2012 performance resulted in an adjusted EBITDA/share of \$4.33, which resulted in the vesting of the first 25% of the 2010 performance based staking award that was provided to the then-sitting named executive officers upon CoreLogic's separation from First American Financial as an incentive to drive performance for the new organization. As described in more detail below, 2012 EPS performance also resulted in achievement of 150% of the 2012

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Unit (PBRSU) award, which will vest at the end of 2014 in order to encourage management to sustain and further grow the stock price in the coming two years.

31

Table of Contents

The PBRsUs granted in 2011 are tied to aggressive long-term EPS and EBITDA per share goals that are to be measured at the end of 2013 (i.e., a 3-year performance cycle measured at the end of the third year), and therefore are at risk of forfeiture absent sustained strong performance in earnings and EBITDA in 2013. The 2013 targets require more than an 85% increase in adjusted EPS in 2013 compared to 2010 levels and a 29% increase in adjusted EBITDA per share for the same period. The performance emphasis in the LTI structure, as well as strong alignment with stockholder value, is demonstrated by the change in the CEO's historical LTI award value since our separation from First American Financial based on our performance and increases or decreases in our stock price. When the stock price was \$12.93 as of December 30, 2011 and based on our estimated performance against the applicable performance targets through that date, the CEO's award value was 35% of the targeted grant value. With the increase in stock price to \$26.92 as of December 31, 2012 and based on our estimated performance against the applicable performance targets through that date, the CEO's LTI value is 155% of the targeted grant value.

Pay Levels and Benchmarking

The Committee determines overall named executive officer compensation levels based on several factors, including each individual's role and responsibility within the Company, each individual's experience and expertise, the compensation levels for peers within the Company, compensation levels in the marketplace for similar positions and performance of the individual and the Company as a whole.

In order to determine competitive compensation practices, the Committee relies primarily upon data compiled from public filings of selected companies ("comparator companies") that it considers appropriate comparators for these purposes. The comparator companies used by the Committee for 2012 compensation are identified below. In addition, the Company considers nationally-recognized survey data published by various consulting firms, such as Towers Watson and Mercer. The Committee considers compensation survey data that is scoped to a comparable revenue size for the Company, and is primarily general industry survey data. However, high technology segment survey data may be used periodically.

The Committee selected the comparator companies based on similarities of business lines and comparable financial measures such as revenues, market capitalization and margins. The Committee used the comparator companies in CoreLogic's 2012 peer group as a market reference point for March 2012 compensation decisions.

For 2013, the Committee reassessed the comparator companies and further refined its peer group based on the following principles:

- CoreLogic's most direct business and talent competitors should be included;
and
- The overall peer group should be constructed to be generally comparable to our size.

Table of Contents

The 2012 and 2013 peer groups consist of the following companies:

	Peer Revenues as of Dec 31, 2012	
	(\$)	
2012 Peer Group	2012 Peers	2013 Peers
Acxiom	1,109	1,109
Alliance Data Systems	3,641	3,641
Broadridge Financial Solutions	2,336	2,336
Ciber	884	884
DST Systems	2,577	2,577
Dun & Bradstreet	1,663	1,663
Equifax	2,160	2,160
Fair Isaac	696	696
Fidelity National Info Services	5,808	5,808
Fiserv	4,482	4,482
Gartner	1,616	1,616
Henry (Jack) & Associates	1,073	1,073
IHS	1,530	1,530
Lender Processing Services	1,998	1,998
Verisk Analytics	1,534	1,534
2013 Peer Group Additions		
Sapient Corporation	—	1,162
CSG Systems International, Inc.	—	757
Syntel, Inc.	—	724
Summary Statistics		
75 th Percentile	2,456	2,292
50 th Percentile	1,663	1,575
25 th Percentile	1,320	1,082
CoreLogic	1,568	1,568
Percent rank	38.6%	49.4%

After considering the data collected on competitive compensation levels and relative compensation within the executive officer group, the Committee determined each individual named executive officer's target total compensation opportunity based on Company and individual performance and the need to attract, motivate and retain an experienced and effective management team. The Committee primarily examines the relationship of each named executive officer's base salary, target annual incentive bonus opportunity and long-term incentive opportunity to market median data. The Committee does not believe, however, that compensation opportunities should be structured toward a uniform relationship to median market data. Accordingly, total compensation for specific individuals or roles will vary based on Company and individual performance, scope of responsibilities, tenure, experience, comparisons with other executives within the firm, institutional knowledge, external market compensation data, and/or difficulty in recruiting a replacement executive officer. For 2012, the aggregate target total compensation for CoreLogic's named executive officers was aligned with the market median.

Compensation Structure

The Company's named executive officer compensation program consists of three main elements, which are discussed in more detail below:

Base Salary: fixed pay that takes into account an individual's role and responsibilities, experience, expertise and performance and is designed to compensate individuals for their expected day-to-day performance;

33

Table of Contents

Annual Incentive Bonus: cash-based variable pay designed to reward named executive officers primarily based on annual Company performance; and

Long-Term Incentives: stock-based awards that are designed to align our executive officers' incentives with the long-term performance of the Company.

Pay Mix

The Committee has designed the Company's compensation structure to focus our named executive officers on total Company performance and has weighted their pay mix heavily on performance-based incentive pay. The Committee believes that the overall pay mix balance and emphasis on long-term incentives, together with our stock ownership guidelines and retention requirements, limits concerns of taking excessive business risks to enhance short-term reward outcomes. By following this balanced approach, the Committee endeavors to provide our named executive officers with a measure of security with respect to the minimum level of compensation to be received through base salaries, while motivating our named executive officers to focus on the business metrics that we believe will produce a high level of performance for the Company with corresponding increases in stockholder value. The Committee also seeks to provide an incentive for performance, while simultaneously reducing the risk of loss of top executive talent to competitors.

Base Salary

The Committee sets named executive officer base salaries based on the individual's position and current and sustained individual performance. Base salaries are paid in cash, reflect the executive officer's experience and level of responsibility, and together with annual incentive awards, are intended to be competitive with annual compensation for comparable positions with comparator companies and/or the broader market. The Committee reviews base salaries annually and adjusts them, if appropriate, based on factors such as the Company's, the business unit's and the individual executive's overall performance, changes to the executive officer's roles and responsibilities, the executive officer's length of service, and his or her base salary relative to those of similar individuals in peer companies or the broader market.

The Committee does not specifically weigh any one factor in setting base salaries, but makes a subjective judgment based on a consideration of various factors. Although the Committee generally targets base salaries at market median or below based on the Company's peer group and relevant compensation survey data, the Committee also takes into account the factors described above, as well as the named executive officer's potential as a key contributor and the potential cost of replacing the executive officer.

Other than for new hires, the Committee generally determines named executive officer base salaries in the first quarter of each year. The Committee may increase these amounts in its discretion. Following a market compensation assessment and in light of our performance during 2011, in March 2012 the Committee chose to maintain base salaries for the named executive officers at the 2011 levels in order to reinforce the need to perform to receive additional rewards. The Committee determined to maintain base salaries for the named executive officers for 2013 despite strong performance in 2012. The base salaries of the named executive officers from 2011, 2012 and 2013 are as follows:

Named Executive Officer	2011 Base Salary	2012 Base Salary	2013 Base Salary	Percent Change
Anand K. Nallathambi	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	\$ 800,000	—
Frank D. Martell	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000	\$ 550,000	—
George S. Livermore	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	—
Barry M. Sando	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000	—
Stergios Theologides	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000	—

Annual Incentive Bonus

The annual incentive bonus is a critical component of the named executive officer compensation program, rewarding executive officers primarily based on annual performance of the Company. When considered in combination with

other compensation components, the annual incentive bonus ensures balanced emphasis on growth initiatives and prudent risk taking, while remaining consistent with the Company's emphasis on long-term incentives as opposed to short-term cash payouts.

Table of Contents

As part of the rewards strategy, the Committee first establishes target bonus opportunities at levels generally aligned with market median annual incentive opportunities, except where performance warrants a different amount or the change represents a dramatic shift in cash opportunity available to the named executive officer. Next, the Committee awards performance units under the 2011 Plan in order to permit the Company to deduct for tax purposes the entire amount of the annual bonus under Section 162(m) of the Code. Unlike PBRsUs or RSUs, the performance units are not equity-based awards, but are instead used as a vehicle to determine the amount of each named executive officer's annual bonus in a manner intended to comply with Section 162(m) of the Code. The number of performance units awarded to each named executive officer is established at twice the target bonus opportunity that is payable to the named executive officer if specified performance measures are achieved. Then, after the year has ended and the Committee determines the actual bonus for each named executive officer, the appropriate number of performance units is converted into cash and paid to the executive officer, with the remaining units being canceled. No award is payable unless the Company's 2012 adjusted net income exceeded the performance threshold of \$25 million established in the performance unit award agreement at the time of grant for purposes of preserving deductibility under Section 162(m) of the Code. If this initial performance hurdle is satisfied then, in order for any bonus to be paid in respect of a particular performance measure, the Company must have also achieved a threshold performance level of 86% of budgeted performance for a particular performance measure. The 86% threshold performance level for each performance measure represented our actual 2011 performance, and this threshold level was selected in order to ensure that bonuses would only become payable in respect of a particular measure if our performance improved over the prior year. At this threshold performance level, 50% of the target bonus amount allocable to the performance measure is payable; at the target performance level, 100% of the target bonus amount allocable to the performance measure is payable; and at the superior performance level of 120% of budgeted performance, 200% of the target bonus amount allocable to the performance measure is payable. No bonus is earned with respect to a performance measure for performance below the threshold amount.

Total cash payable under the performance units is capped at 200% of target. Notwithstanding the annual cash bonus program design, the Committee retains the discretion to decrease the actual annual cash bonus.

Details of the 2012 annual cash bonus targets are as follows:

Named Executive Officer	2012 Target Incentive (% of Base Salary)	
Anand K. Nallathambi	125	%
Frank D. Martell	125	%
George S. Livermore	100	%
Barry M. Sando	100	%
Stergios Theologides	80	%

The Committee established performance measures based on Revenue, adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA margin, as well as a new measure for 2012, Free Cash Flow (which is defined for purposes of our financial statements as net cash provided by continuing operating activities less capital expenditures for purchases of property and equipment, capitalized data and other intangible assets). As contemplated in the award design, adjusted EBITDA and adjusted EBITDA margin are determined without regard to (a) asset write-downs, (b) litigation or claim judgments or settlements, (c) the effect of changes in tax laws, accounting principles, or other laws or provisions affecting reported results, (d) any reorganization and restructuring programs, (e) extraordinary, unusual and/or nonrecurring items of gain or loss, (f) foreign exchange gains and losses and (g) the effects of a stock dividend, stock split or reverse stock split. The Committee selected these measures in order to provide a balanced focus on performance across several key metrics aligned with growth, profitability, and cash management. In addition, the Committee believes these measures drive stockholder value. The incentive opportunities were weighted 80% to Company performance goals and 20% to MBOs. The portion of the incentive opportunities weighted to MBOs is also dependent on the level of achievement of the Company performance goals, and the amounts that may become payable based on attainment of the MBOs will increase or decrease in direct correlation to the level of the achievement of the Company performance goals. For example, if none of the performance goals is achieved at the threshold level, no MBO opportunity will be eligible to become payable. However, if each performance goal is achieved at the target level, the MBO opportunity will also be

eligible to become payable at 100% of the target level, while if each performance goal is achieved at the maximum level, the MBO opportunity will be eligible to become payable at 200% of the target level.

A named executive officer's MBOs are stretch goals aligned to growth objectives, which are critical to the Company's short and long-term performance that are otherwise not measurable through the financial performance metrics. The MBOs included a combination of the following objectives: achievement of revenue growth targets, operational efficiencies and cost

Table of Contents

reductions as part of Project 30, innovation and business specific focus areas, and leadership and organizational effectiveness. The weighting, targets, and actual performance for the respective measures are outlined in the table below.

Financial Performance Metric	Percentage of Total Incentive Award (1)	Budget (In millions, except percentages)	Actual 2012 Results (In millions, except percentages)	Percentage Achieved
2012 Corporate Revenue	30%	\$ 1,410	\$ 1,567.6	111%
2012 Corporate adjusted EBITDA	25%	\$ 360	\$ 450.4	125%
2012 Corporate adjusted EBITDA margin	25%	25.5 %	28.7 %	113%
2012 Corporate Free Cash Flow	20%	\$ 180	\$ 277.8	154%

As discussed above, a portion of the bonus is also subject to satisfying the MBO criteria.

For each financial performance metric, achievement of budget performance yields a payout at target. Threshold performance was defined as 86% of budget for 2012, and equates to a 50% of target award. Maximum performance is defined as 120% of budget, and equates to a 200% of target award. The table below summarizes the target and actual incentive bonus amounts for each named executive officer. Differences among the named executives' actual bonus amounts as a percentage of their target bonus amounts are the result of differing levels of achievement of the MBOs by the named executives.

Named Executive Officer	2012 Target Incentive (% of Base Salary)		2012 Actual Incentive earned (% of Base Salary)		2012 Actual Annual Incentive Earned
Anand K. Nallathambi	125	%	222	%	\$ 1,774,600
Frank D. Martell	125	%	222	%	\$ 1,220,100
George S. Livermore	100	%	162	%	\$ 809,900
Barry M. Sando	100	%	177	%	\$ 887,300
Stergios Theologides	80	%	138	%	\$ 482,500

Long-Term Incentives

The Company's long-term incentive compensation program emphasizes achievement of long-term operating objectives and stockholder value creation through a focus on RSUs, PBRsUs and stock options. The Committee believes that utilizing a portfolio of long-term incentive vehicles with majority weighting on performance-based vehicles (PBRsUs and stock options) balances the need to reward superior performance with the desire to align our named executive officers with stockholders through equity ownership. When considered in combination with other Company compensation components and our new stock ownership guidelines and retention requirements, long-term incentives ensure balanced emphasis on growth initiatives and appropriate risk taking.

For 2012, long-term equity incentive compensation represented the largest component of the total named executive officer compensation. In order to increase the performance emphasis of the Company's long-term incentive approach, CoreLogic increased the weighting on grant value of PBRsUs to 50%, while options were at 24% for Mr. Nallathambi, 30% for Messrs. Martell, Livermore, Sando and Theologides and RSUs at 26% for Mr. Nallathambi and 20% for Messrs. Martell, Livermore, Sando and Theologides. In determining the amounts of the equity compensation awarded, the Committee considered a variety of factors including: individual performance, competencies, skills, prior experiences, scope of responsibility and accountability within the organization, and our desired mix of fixed vs. performance-based pay. For 2013, the Committee has maintained the 2012 equity vehicle mix at 50% PBRsUs, 30% stock options and 20% RSUs for all of the named executive officers (including Mr. Nallathambi).

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units

For the PBRsUs granted in March 2012, CoreLogic used a performance metric of adjusted EPS. This metric was selected because it measures bottom line profitability, is a commonly used investor metric, provides a balance to the measures used in the annual incentive plan, and is aligned with stockholder value creation. In order to avoid using similar performance metrics

Table of Contents

for both our long-term PBRsUs and our annual incentive plan, in 2012 we determined to use adjusted EPS as the sole performance metric instead of having a portion of the PBRsUs subject to an adjusted EBITDA measure as they were in 2011. Given the dynamic market environment and related degree of volatility in CoreLogic's business and broad market demand, the Company elected to measure the adjusted EPS results achieved at the end of 2012 to determine the number of shares that may ultimately become earned if the additional time-based vesting requirements are satisfied. The 2012 PBRsU grants have a maximum payout equal to 150% of the target award, rather than a 200% maximum payout as was in place for 2011 PBRsU grants. The Committee determined to decrease the "upside leverage" for the 2012 PBRsU grants in connection with establishing the adjusted EPS targets for 2012. The PBRsUs do not vest for another two years (i.e., the end of 2014), so there is a significant ongoing incentive to sustain and improve company long-term profitability and stockholder value. The Committee determined target performance levels based on stretch performance goals. The target 2012 adjusted EPS level was set at 142% of the Company's 2011 actual adjusted EPS. The goals and actual performance for the 2012 PBRsU grants are outlined below. Given the strong business performance achieved, the 2012 PBRsU grants attained the maximum award level of 150% of target, and will be payable to the named executive officers at the end of 2014 pending continued employment.

2012 PBRsU Grant Performance Targets, Payout Opportunities, and Achievements

Item	Threshold	Target	Maximum	Actual
2012 adjusted EPS Goals	\$0.85	\$1.04	\$1.25	\$1.58
Implied Payouts Upon Achievement	50 %	100 %	150 %	150 %

For 2013, CoreLogic refined the PBRsU vehicle to incorporate additional key design features that provide greater long-term focus and alignment with stockholders. The adjusted EPS performance metric will be measured over a 3-year horizon (i.e., through 2015), and the awards also include a relative performance measure based on our relative total shareholder return. The final award value earned based on adjusted EPS performance is subject to up or down modification based on CoreLogic's total shareholder return performance versus its peer group over the 3-year measurement period.

Stock Options

Thirty percent (24% for Mr. Nallathambi) of the March 2012 award grant value was in the form of stock options vesting in three equal installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date. The stock option awards have an exercise price that is equal to the closing price of our common stock on the date of grant. Thus, these awards provide an incentive to grow overall stockholder value as they provide a reward to the named executive officers if the Company's stock price appreciates above the exercise price.

Restricted Stock Units

Twenty percent (26% for Mr. Nallathambi) of the March 2012 award grant value was in the form of RSUs vesting in three equal installments on the first, second, and third anniversaries of the grant date. These awards encourage executive retention as the vesting condition is continuous employment by the executive officer following the grant date in addition to aligning the interest of the named executive officers with those of stockholders as the value increases or decreases in conjunction with the Company's stock price.

In 2012, we positioned our target long-term incentive award value at grant at approximately the market median. This positioning enables us to be competitive in overall compensation, while allowing for additional value to be earned if performance is strong. Details of the 2012 grant awards are presented in the table below.

Named Executive Officer	March 2012 RSUs Granted(1)	March 2012 Stock Options Granted	March 2012 PBRsUs Granted(2)
Anand K. Nallathambi	57,266	156,774	109,524
Frank D. Martell	23,870	63,870	35,483
George S. Livermore	20,653	43,548	24,193
Barry M. Sando	20,653	43,548	24,193
Stergios Theologides	13,386	25,403	14,112