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## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1.FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$7,933 | \$5,820 |
| Federal funds sold | 17,925 | 3,232 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 25,858 | 9,052 |
| Securities: |  |  |
| Trading | 5,983 | 5,053 |
| Available for sale | 170,397 | 145,671 |
| Held to maturity (fair value \$349,725 as of March 2012, \$387,286 as of June 2011) | 328,528 | 370,626 |
| Stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank, at cost | 16,873 | 15,463 |
| Loans held for sale, carried at fair value | 44,286 | 20,110 |
| Loans held for sale, lower of cost or fair value | 45,329 | - |
| Loans-net of allowance for loan losses of \$8,355 (March 2012) and \$7,419 (June 2011) | 1,595,704 | 1,325,101 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 7,599 | 6,577 |
| Furniture, equipment and software-net | 4,065 | 3,153 |
| Deferred income tax | 9,475 | 9,719 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 5,221 | 5,087 |
| Other real estate owned and repossessed vehicles | 1,364 | 9,604 |
| Other assets | 17,348 | 14,871 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$2,278,030 | \$1,940,087 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing | \$11,363 | \$7,369 |
| Interest bearing | 1,564,110 | 1,332,956 |
| Total deposits | 1,575,473 | 1,340,325 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 120,000 | 130,000 |
| Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank | 359,000 | 305,000 |
| Subordinated debentures and other borrowings | 5,155 | 7,655 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,940 | 2,237 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 13,223 | 7,104 |
| Total liabilities | 2,074,791 | 1,792,321 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 8) |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY: |  |  |
| Preferred stock-\$0.01 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; |  |  |
| Series A—\$10,000 stated value and liquidation preference per share; 515 (March 2012) an 515 (June 2011) shares issued and outstanding | 5,063 | 5,063 |
| Series B- $\$ 1,000$ stated value and liquidation preference per share; 22,000 shares authorized; 20,132 (March 2012) shares issued and outstanding | 19,439 | - |
| Common stock- $\$ 0.01$ par value; $25,000,000$ shares authorized; $12,174,770$ shares issued and 11,430,145 shares outstanding (March 2012); 11,151,963 shares issued and |  | 112 |


| 10,436,332 shares outstanding (June 2011); |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Additional paid-in capital | 104,487 | 88,343 |  |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss—net of tax | $(696$ | $)$ | $(971$ |
| Retained earnings | 80,170 | 60,152 |  |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 744,625 shares (March 2012) and 715,631 shares (June 2011) | $(5,346$ | ) | $(4,933$, |
| Total stockholders' equity | 203,239 | 147,766 |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | $\$ 2,278,030$ | $\$ 1,940,087$ |  |

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.
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BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (Dollars in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)

INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME:
Loans, including fees
Investments
Total interest and dividend income
INTEREST EXPENSE:
Deposits
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank
Other borrowings
Total interest expense
Net interest income
Provision for loan losses
Net interest income, after provision for loan losses
NON-INTEREST INCOME:
Realized gain on securities:
Sale of mortgage-backed securities
Total realized gain on securities
Other-than-temporary loss on securities:
Total impairment losses
Loss recognized in other comprehensive income (loss)
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings
Fair value gain on trading securities
Total unrealized loss on securities
Prepayment penalty fee income
Mortgage banking income
Banking service fees and other income
Total non-interest income
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:
Salaries, employee benefits and stock-based compensation
Professional services
Occupancy and equipment
Data processing and internet
Advertising and promotional
Depreciation and amortization
Real estate owned and repossessed vehicles
FDIC and regulator fees
Other general and administrative
Total non-interest expense
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES
INCOME TAXES
NET INCOME
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCK

| Three Months Ended March 31, |  | Nine Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| \$22,898 | \$15,811 | \$65,503 | \$42,900 |
| 6,450 | 8,117 | 20,226 | 24,703 |
| 29,348 | 23,928 | 85,729 | 67,603 |
| 6,179 | 5,716 | 19,277 | 16,258 |
| 1,451 | 1,459 | 4,506 | 4,828 |
| 1,383 | 1,450 | 4,348 | 4,417 |
| 9,013 | 8,625 | 28,131 | 25,503 |
| 20,335 | 15,303 | 57,598 | 42,100 |
| 2,000 | 1,150 | 5,963 | 4,350 |
| 18,335 | 14,153 | 51,635 | 37,750 |
| - | 1,478 | - | 1,960 |
| - | 1,478 | - | 1,960 |
| (1,211 | ) $(1,504$ | ) $(2,643$ | ) $(4,733$ |
| - | 1,331 | 120 | 3,678 |
| (1,211 | ) (173 | ) $(2,523$ | ) $(1,055$ |
| 305 | 42 | 930 | 67 |
| (906 | ) (131 | ) $(1,593$ | ) $(988$ |
| 189 | 25 | 315 | 1,025 |
| 4,399 | 444 | 12,215 | 3,630 |
| 174 | 108 | 475 | 346 |
| 3,856 | 1,924 | 11,412 | 5,973 |
| 5,270 | 3,833 | 14,952 | 10,240 |
| 365 | 525 | 1,542 | 1,544 |
| 301 | 257 | 856 | 606 |
| 666 | 216 | 1,649 | 693 |
| 788 | 261 | 1,852 | 592 |
| 347 | 181 | 977 | 364 |
| (25 | ) 796 | 2,003 | 1,248 |
| 422 | 559 | 1,088 | 1,474 |
| 1,056 | 801 | 3,027 | 2,107 |
| 9,190 | 7,429 | 27,946 | 18,868 |
| 13,001 | 8,648 | 35,101 | 24,855 |
| 5,283 | 3,373 | 14,190 | 9,819 |
| \$7,718 | \$5,275 | \$20,911 | \$15,036 |
| \$7,331 | \$5,198 | \$20,018 | \$14,804 |

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | $\$ 6,139$ | $\$ 3,437$ | $\$ 21,186$ | $\$ 10,270$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Basic earnings per share | $\$ 0.62$ | $\$ 0.48$ | $\$ 1.72$ | $\$ 1.38$ |
| Diluted earnings per share | $\$ 0.58$ | $\$ 0.48$ | $\$ 1.68$ | $\$ 1.37$ |
| See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements. |  |  |  |  |
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BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(Dollars in thousands)
(Unaudited)

|  | Convertible Preferred Stock | Common Stock <br> Number of Shares |  |  |  |  |  | Accumulated Other |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Shares | Amount | Issued | Treasury | Outstandin | Amo | Additiona Paid-in C | alRetained apiamings | Compr <br> Loss <br> Net of <br> Tax | ећепавижу <br> Stock <br> Income | Compre Income |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BALANCE-July }_{515} \\ & 1,2011 \end{aligned}$ | \$5,063 | 11,151,963 | $(715,631)$ | 10,436,332 | \$112 | \$88,343 | \$60,152 | \$(971) | \$ $(4,933)$ |  |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | - | - | 20,911 | - | - | \$20,91 |
| Net unrealized gain from investment securities-net of income tax expense | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 275 | - | 275 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$21,180 |
| Cash dividends on preferred stock | - | - | - | - | - | - | (893 | ) - | - |  |
| Issuance of <br> convertible <br> preferred stock 20,182 | 19,487 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Issuance of common stock | - | 862,500 | - | 862,500 | 9 | 13,335 | - | - | - |  |
| Convert preferred stock to common (50 stock | ) (48 | ) 3,096 |  | 3,096 | 1 | 47 |  |  |  |  |
| Stock-based compensation expense | - | - | - | - | - | 1,850 | - | - | - |  |
| Restricted stock grants | - | 87,889 | (28,994 | 58,895 | - | 167 | - | - | (413 |  |
| Stock option exercises and tax benefits of equity compensation | - | 69,322 | - | 69,322 | - | 745 | - | - | - |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { BALANCE—March, } 647 \\ & 31,2012 \end{aligned}$ | \$24,502 | 12,174,770 | $(744,625)$ | 11,430,145 | \$ 122 | \$ 104,487 | \$80,170 | \$(696) | \$(5,346) |  |

See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.
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BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Dollars in thousands)
(Unaudited)

CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:
Net income
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:
Accretion of discounts on securities
Net accretion of discounts on loans
Amortization of borrowing costs
Stock-based compensation expense
Valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value
Net gain on sale of investment securities
Impairment charge on securities
Provision for loan losses
Deferred income taxes
Origination of loans held for sale
Unrealized gain on loans held for sale
Gain on sales of loans held for sale
Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale
Loss on sale of other real estate and foreclosed assets
Depreciation and amortization of furniture, equipment and software
Net changes in assets and liabilities which provide (use) cash:
Accrued interest receivable
Other assets
Accrued interest payable
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities
Net cash provided by (used) in operating activities
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:
Purchases of investment securities
Proceeds from sale of available for sale mortgage-backed-securities
Proceeds from repayment of securities
Purchase of stock of Federal Home Loan Bank
Proceeds from redemption of stock of Federal Home Loan Bank
Origination of loans, net
Proceeds from sale of loans held for investment
Proceeds from sales of repossessed assets
Purchases of loans, net of discounts and premiums
Principal repayments on loans
Net purchases of furniture, equipment and software
Net cash used in investing activities
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:
Net increase in deposits
Proceeds from the Federal Home Loan Bank advances
Repayment of the Federal Home Loan Bank advances
Repayment of other borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase


| Proceeds from exercise of common stock options | 676 | 573 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 13,344 | 2 |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | 19,487 | - |
| Tax benefit from exercise of common stock options and vesting of restricted stock | 236 | 357 |
| grants | $(591$ | $)(232$ |
| Cash dividends on preferred stock | 309,800 | 301,317 |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 16,806 | $(7,524$ |
| NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 9,052 | 18,205 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS-Beginning of year | $\$ 25,858$ | $\$ 10,681$ |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS—End of period | $\$ 28,427$ | $\$ 25,595$ |
| SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION: | $\$ 12,039$ | $\$ 1,293$ |
| Interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds | $\$ 846$ | $\$ 10,356$ |
| Income taxes paid | $\$ 85,825$ | $\$-$ |
| Transfers to other real estate and repossessed vehicles | $\$ 4,796$ | $\$-$ |
| Transfers from loans held for investment to loans held for sale | $\$ 302$ | $\$-$ |
| Transfers from loans held for sale to loans held for investment |  |  |
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## BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE THREE AND NINE MONTH PERIODS ENDED MARCH 31, 2012 AND 2011
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)
(Unaudited)

## 1.BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BofI Holding, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, BofI Federal Bank (formerly Bank of Internet USA, the "Bank" and collectively with BofI Holding, Inc., the "Company"). All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.
The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements, presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), are unaudited and reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of financial condition and results of operations for the interim periods. All adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Results for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the year as a whole. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the audited annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") with respect to interim financial reporting. The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes for the year ended June 30, 2011 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.
Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior-period financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

## 2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Securities. We classify investment securities as either trading, available for sale or held to maturity. Trading securities are those securities for which we have elected fair value accounting. Trading securities are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in earnings each period. Securities available for sale are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of the related tax effects, excluded from operations and reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. The fair values of securities traded in active markets are obtained from market quotes. If quoted prices in active markets are not available, we determine the fair value from our internal pricing models. Securities that management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as "held to maturity" and recorded at amortized cost. Amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of discounts on securities are recorded as yield adjustments on such securities using the effective interest method. The specific identification method is used for purposes of determining cost in computing realized gains and losses on investment securities sold.
At each reporting date, we monitor our available for sale and held to maturity securities for other-than-temporary impairment. Other-than-temporary credit impairment losses are recognized in non-interest income and non-credit impairment losses are recognized through other comprehensive income, with a corresponding reduction in the carrying value of the investment.
Loans Held for Sale. Loans originated and intended for sale in the secondary market are carried at fair value and lower of cost or fair value. For loans held at fair value net unrealized gains and losses are recognized through the income statement. Loans carried at fair value are identified on a loan level basis while loans held for sale at lower of cost or market are identified as a percentage of the origination pool. Non-agency mortgage loans originated are pooled by collateral type, loan terms, interest rates and loan-to-values. Pools are selected for percentage allocation during the quarter. Individual loan sales are applied to pool allocations based on loan characteristics until the allocation is reduced to zero or a portion remains unsold. A percentage of these originations is allocated to held for sale lower of cost or fair value based upon the loan to value of the original appraised value, interest rate and months to re-price on adjustable rate loans. The Bank generally sells its loans with the servicing released to the buyer. Gains and losses on
loan sales are recorded as income, based on the difference between sales proceeds and carrying value.
Loans that were originated with the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future (loans held in portfolio) but which have been subsequently designated as being held for sale for risk management or liquidity needs are carried at the lower of cost or fair value calculated on an individual loan by loan basis and unrealized losses are recognized through the income statement.
There may be times when loans have been classified as held for sale and for some reason cannot be sold. Loans transferred to a long-term-investment classification from held for sale are transferred at the lower of cost or fair value value on
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the transfer date. Transfers may be made in the form of an individual loan or as an unsold portion of a pool. Any difference between the carrying amount of the loan and its outstanding principal balance is recognized as an adjustment to yield by the interest method. A loan cannot be classified as a long-term investment unless the Bank has both the ability and the intent to hold the loan for the foreseeable future or until maturity.
Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level to provide for probable incurred losses within the loan portfolio based on evaluating known and inherent risks in the loans held for investment and upon management's continuing analysis of the factors underlying the quality of the loans held for investment. Management determines the adequacy of the allowance based on reviews of individual loans and pools of loans, recent loss experience, current economic conditions, the risk characteristics of the various categories of loans and other pertinent factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective and requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available. Future adjustments to the allowance for loan losses may be necessary and results of operations could be significantly and adversely affected as a result of economic, operating, regulatory, and other conditions beyond the control of the Bank.
The allowance for loan loss includes specific and general reserves. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is charged against current period operating results and recoveries of loans previously charged-off. The allowance is decreased by the amount of charge-offs of loans deemed uncollectible. Specific reserves are provided for impaired loans considered TDRs, RV's and auto that are greater than 90 days past due and other limited circumstances. All other impaired loans are written down through charge-offs to the fair value of collateral, less estimated selling cost, and no specific or general reserve is provided. A loan is impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Loans for which terms have been modified resulting in a concession and for which the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties are considered TDRs and classified as impaired. A loan is measured for impairment generally two different ways. If the loan is primarily dependent upon the borrower to make payments, then impairment is calculated by comparing the present value of the expected future payments discounted at the loan's effective rate at inception to the carrying value of the loan. If the loan is collateral dependent, the net proceeds from the sale of the collateral is compared to the carrying value of the loan. If the calculated amount is less than the carrying value of the loan, the loan has impairment.
A general reserve is included in the allowance for loan loss and is determined by adding the results of a quantitative and a qualitative analysis to all other loans not measured for impairment at the reporting date. The quantitative analysis determines the Bank's actual annual historic charge-off rates and applies the average historic rates to the outstanding loan balances in each pool, the product of which is the general reserve amount. The qualitative analysis considers one or more of the following factors: changes in lending policies and procedures, changes in economic conditions, changes in the content of the portfolio, changes in lending management, changes in the volume of delinquency rates, changes to the scope of the loan review system, changes in the underlying collateral of the loans, changes in credit concentrations and any changes in the requirements to the credit loss calculations. A loss rate is estimated and applied to those loans affected by the qualitative factors.
General loan loss reserves are calculated by grouping each loan by collateral type and by grouping the loan-to-value ratios of each loan within the collateral type. An estimated allowance rate for each loan-to-value group within each type of loan is multiplied by the total principal amount in the group to calculate the required general reserve attributable to that group. Management uses an allowance rate that provides a larger loss allowance for loans with greater loan-to-value ratios. General loan loss reserves for consumer loans are calculated by grouping each loan by credit score (e.g. according to the model of the Fair Isaac Corporation or "FICO" score) at origination and applying an estimated allowance rate to each group. In addition to credit score grading, general loan loss reserves are increased for all consumer loans determined to be 90 days or more past due. Specific reserves or direct charge-offs are calculated when an internal asset review of a loan identifies a significant adverse change in the financial position of the borrower or the value of the collateral. The specific reserve or direct charge-off is based on discounted cash flows, observable market prices or the estimated value of underlying collateral. Specific loan charge-offs on impaired loans are recorded as a write-off and a decrease to the allowance in the period the impairment is identified. A loan is classified as a TDR when management determines that an existing borrower is in financial distress and the borrower's loan terms are
modified to provide the borrower a financial concession (e.g. lower payment) that would not otherwise be provided by another lender based upon borrower's current financial condition. TDRs are separately identified for impairment disclosures and are measured at the present value of estimated future cash flows using the loan's effective rate at inception. If a troubled debt restructuring is considered to be a collateral dependent loan, the loan is reported, net, at the fair value of the collateral. For troubled debt restructurings that subsequently default, the Company determines the amount of reserve in accordance with the accounting policy for the allowance for loan losses.
If the present value of estimated cash flows under the modified terms of a TDR discounted at the original loan effective rate is less than the book value of the loan before the TDR, the excess is specifically allocated to the loan in the allowance for loan losses.
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Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements. In April 2011, the FASB issued an ASU No. 2011-02 (Topic 310), "A Creditor's Determination of whether a Restructuring is a Troubled Debt Restructuring." This updated guidance is designed to assist creditors with determining whether or not a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. In particular, additional guidance has been added to help creditors determine whether a concession has been granted and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. Both of these conditions are required to be met for a restructuring to constitute a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in the update are effective for the first interim period beginning on or after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. The adoption of the ASU had no material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-03, "Reconsideration of Effective Control for Repurchase Agreements." ASU No. 2011-03 modifies the criteria for determining when repurchase agreements would be accounted for as a secured borrowing rather than as a sale. Currently, an entity that maintains effective control over transferred financial assets must account for the transfer as a secured borrowing rather than as a sale. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-03 removes from the assessment of effective control the criterion requiring the transferor to have the ability to repurchase or redeem the financial assets on substantially the agreed terms, even in the event of default by the transferee. The FASB believes that contractual rights and obligations determine effective control and that there does not need to be a requirement to assess the ability to exercise those rights. ASU No. 2011-03 does not change the other existing criteria used in the assessment of effective control. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-03 are effective prospectively for transactions, or modifications of existing transactions, that occur on or after January 1, 2012. As the Company accounts for all of its repurchase agreements as collateralized financing arrangements, the adoption of this ASU did not have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRSs." ASU No. 2011-04 results in a consistent definition of fair value and common requirements for measurement of and disclosure about fair value between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The changes to U.S. GAAP as a result of ASU No. 2011-04 are as follows: (1) The concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise are only relevant when measuring the fair value of nonfinancial assets (that is, it does not apply to financial assets or any liabilities); (2) U.S. GAAP currently prohibits application of a blockage factor in valuing financial instruments with quoted prices in active markets. ASU No. 2011-04 extends that prohibition to all fair value measurements; (3) an exception is provided to the basic fair value measurement principles for an entity that holds a group of financial assets and financial liabilities with offsetting positions in market risks or counterparty credit risk that are managed on the basis of the entity's net exposure to either of those risks. This exception allows the entity, if certain criteria are met, to measure the fair value of the net asset or liability position in a manner consistent with how market participants would price the net risk position; (4) Aligns the fair value measurement of instruments classified within an entity's shareholders' equity with the guidance for liabilities; and (5) Disclosure requirements have been enhanced for recurring Level 3 fair value measurements to disclose quantitative information about unobservable inputs and assumptions used, to describe the valuation processes used by the entity, and to describe the sensitivity of fair value measurements to changes in unobservable inputs and interrelationships between those inputs. In addition, entities must report the level in the fair value hierarchy of items that are not measured at fair value in the statement of condition but whose fair value must be disclosed. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-04 are effective for the Company's interim period beginning on or after December 15, 2011. The provisions of ASU No. 2011-04 did not have to have a material impact on the Company's financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.

Future Application of Accounting Pronouncements. In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-05, "Presentation of Comprehensive Income." The provisions of ASU No. 2011-05 allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income, and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices,
an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income with a total for other comprehensive income, a total amount for comprehensive income. The statement(s) are required to be presented with equal prominence as the other primary financial statements. ASU No. 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders' equity but does not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. The provision of ASU No. 2011-05 are effective for the Company's interim reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2011, with retrospective application required. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 is expected to result in presentation changes to the Company's statements of income and the addition of a statement of comprehensive income. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-05 will have no impact on the Company's financial condition, cash flows, or results of operations.
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## 3.FAIR VALUE

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability Level to access as of the measurement date. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities that are 1: actively traded in an exchange or over-the-counter market and are highly liquid, such as, among other assets and securities, certain U.S. treasury and other U.S Government and agency mortgage-backed debt.
Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market Level data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets include securities with quoted prices 2: that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.
Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of Level the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined 3: using pricing models such as discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

When available, the Company generally uses quoted market prices to determine fair value, in which case the items are classified in Level 1. In some cases where a market price is available, the Company will make use of acceptable practical expedients (such as matrix pricing) to calculate fair value, in which case the items are classified in Level 2.

The Company considers relevant and observable market prices in its valuations where possible. The frequency of transactions, the size of the bid-ask spread and the nature of the participants are some of the factors the Company uses to help determine whether a market is active and orderly or inactive and not orderly. Price quotes based upon transactions that are not orderly are not considered to be determinative of fair value and should be given little, if any, weight in measuring fair value.
If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed valuation techniques that use, where possible, current market-based or independently sourced market parameters, such as interest rates, credit spreads, housing value forecasts, etc. Items valued using such internally generated valuation techniques are classified according to the lowest level input or value driver that is significant to the valuation. Thus, an item may be classified in Level 3 even though there may be some significant inputs that are readily observable.
The following section describes the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure various financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair-value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified:
Securities-trading. Trading securities are recorded at fair value. The trading portfolio consists of two different issues of floating-rate debt securities collateralized by pools of bank trust preferred securities. Recent liquidity and economic uncertainty have made the market for collateralized debt obligations less active or inactive. As quoted market prices are not available, the Level 3 fair values for these securities are determined by the Company utilizing industry-standard tools to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows available to the securities from the underlying assets. The Company's expected cash flows are calculated for each security and include the impact of actual and forecasted bank defaults within each collateral pool as well as structural features of the security's tranche such as lock outs, subordination and overcollateralization. The forecast of underlying bank defaults in each pool is based upon a quarterly financial update including the trend in non-performing assets, the allowance for loan loss and the
underlying bank's capital ratios. Also a factor is the Company's loan loss experience in the local economy in which the bank operates. At March 31, 2012, the Company's forecast of cash flows for both securities includes actual and forecasted defaults totaling $34.70 \%$ of all banks in the collateral pools, compared to $28.55 \%$ of the banks actually in default. The expected cash flows reflect the Company's best estimate of all pool losses which are then applied to the overcollateralization reserve and the subordinated tranches to determine the cash flows. The Company selects a discount rate margin based upon the spread between U.S. Treasury rates and the market rates for active credit grades for financial companies. The discount margin when added to the U.S. Treasury rate determines the discount rate, reflecting primarily market liquidity and interest rate risk since expected credit loss is included in the cash flows. At March 31, 2012, the Company used a weighted average discount margin of 425 basis points above U.S. Treasury rates to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows and the fair value of its trading securities.
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The Level 3 fair values determined by the Company for its trading securities rely heavily on management's assumptions as to the future credit performance of the collateral banks, the impact of the global and regional recession, the timing of forecasted defaults and the discount rate applied to cash flows. The fair value of the trading securities at March 31, 2012 is sensitive to an increase or decrease in the discount rate. An increase in the discount margin of 100 basis points would have reduced the total fair value of the trading securities and decreased net income before income tax by $\$ 740$. A decrease in the discount margin of 100 basis points would have increased the total fair value of the trading securities and increased net income before income tax by $\$ 880$.
Securities-available for sale and held to maturity. Available for sale securities are recorded at fair value and consist of residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and debt securities issued by U.S. agencies as well as RMBS issued by non-agencies. Held to maturity securities are recorded at amortized cost and consist of RMBS issued by U.S. agencies as well as RMBS issued by non-agencies. Fair value for U.S. agency securities is generally based on quoted market prices of similar securities used to form a dealer quote or a pricing matrix. There continues to be significant illiquidity in the market for RMBS issued by non-agencies, impacting the availability and reliability of transparent pricing. As orderly quoted market prices are not available, the Level 3 fair values for these securities are determined by the Company utilizing industry-standard tools to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows available to the securities from the underlying mortgage assets. The Company computes Level 3 fair values for each non-agency RMBS in the same manner (as described below) whether available for sale or held to maturity. To determine the performance of the underlying mortgage loan pools, the Company estimates prepayments, defaults, and loss severities based on a number of macroeconomic factors, including housing price changes, unemployment rates, interest rates and borrower attributes such as credit score and loan documentation at the time of origination. The Company inputs for each security a projection of monthly default rates, loss severity rates and voluntary prepayment rates for the underlying mortgages for the remaining life of the security to determine the expected cash flows. The projections of default rates are derived by the Company from the historic default rate observed in the pool of loans collateralizing the security, increased by and decreased by the forecasted increase or decrease in the national unemployment rate. The projections of loss severity rates are derived by the Company from the historic loss severity rate observed in the pool of loans, increased by (and decreased by) the forecasted decrease or increase in the national home price appreciation (HPA) index. The largest factor influencing the Company's modeling of the monthly default rate is unemployment. The most updated national unemployment rate announced prior to the end of the period covered by this report (reported in February 2012) was $8.3 \%$, down from the high of $10.1 \%$ in October 2009. Consensus estimates for unemployment are that the rate will continue to decline. Going forward, the Company is projecting lower monthly default rates. The range of loss severity rates applied to each default used in the Company's projections at March 31, 2012 are from $22.43 \%$ up to $78.12 \%$ based upon individual bond historical performance. The default rates and the severities are projected for every non-agency RMBS security held by the Company and will vary monthly based upon the actual performance of the security and the macroeconomic factors discussed above. To determine the discount rates used to compute the present value of the expected cash flows for these non-agency RMBS securities, the Company separates the securities by the borrower characteristics in the underlying pool. Specifically, "prime" securities generally have borrowers with higher FICO scores and better documentation of income. "Alt-A" securities generally have borrowers with a little lower FICO and a little less documentation of income. "Pay-option ARMs" are Alt-A securities with borrowers that tend to pay the least amount of principal (or increase their loan balance through negative amortization). The Company calculates separate discount rates for prime, Alt-A and Pay-option ARM non-agency RMBS securities using market-participant assumptions for risk, capital and return on equity. The range of annual default rates used in the Company's projections at March 31, 2012 are from $1.5 \%$ up to $24.08 \%$ with prime securities tending toward the lower end of the range and Alt-A and Pay-option ARMs tending toward the higher end of the range. The Company applies its discount rates to the projected monthly cash flows which already reflect the full impact of all forecasted losses using the assumptions described above. When calculating present value of the expected cash flows at March 31, 2012, the Company computed its discount rates as a spread between 222 and 368 basis points over the LIBOR Index using the LIBOR forward curve with prime securities tending toward the lower end of the range and Alt-A and Pay-option ARMs tending toward the higher end of the range.

Loans Held for Sale. Loans held for sale at fair value are primarily single-family and multi-family residential loans. The fair value of loans held for sale is determined, by pricing for comparable assets or by existing forward sales commitment prices with investors.
Impaired Loans. Impaired loans are loans which are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the borrowers or of the collateral pledged and the accrual of interest income has been discontinued. The impaired loans are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. The Bank assesses loans individually and identifies impairment when the loan is classified as impaired or been restructured or management has serious doubts about the future collectibility of principal and interest, even though the loans may currently be performing. The fair value of an impaired loan is determined based on an observable market price or current appraised value of the underlying collateral. The fair value of impaired loans with specific write-offs or allocations of the allowance for loan and lease losses are generally based on recent real estate appraisals or other third-party valuations and analysis of cash flows. These appraisals and analysis may utilize a
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single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and income approaches. Adjustments are routinely made in the process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. These adjustments to the estimated fair value of non-performing loans may result in increases or decreases to the provision for loan losses recorded in current earnings. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification for the inputs for determining fair value.
Other Real Estate Owned. Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized. Mortgage Banking Derivatives. Level 3 fair values for mortgage banking derivatives are either based upon prices in active secondary markets for identical securities or based on quoted market prices of similar assets used to form a dealer quote or a pricing matrix. If no such quoted price exists, the fair value of a commitment is determined by quoted prices for a similar commitment or commitments, adjusted for the specific attributes of each commitment. These fair values are then adjusted for items such as fallout and estimated costs to originate the loan.

The Company's valuation methodologies may produce a fair value calculation that may not be indicative of net realizable value or reflective of future fair values. While management believes the Company's valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistent with or, in some cases, more conservative than other market participants, the use of different methodologies or assumptions to determine the fair value of certain financial instruments could result in a different estimate of fair value at the relevant reporting date.
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The following table sets forth the Company's financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:
Quoted Prices in

| Active | Significant Other Significant |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Markets | Observable | Unobservable | Total |
| for Identical | Inputs | Inputs |  |
| Assets | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |  |
| (Level 1) |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |

ASSETS:

| Securities—Trading: Collateralized Debt Obligation\$- | $\$-$ | $\$ 5,983$ | $\$ 5,983$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Securities—Available for Sale: | - |  |  |  |
| Agency Debt | - | 10,037 | - | 10,037 |
| Agency RMBS | - | 62,497 | - | 62,497 |
| Non-Agency RMBS | - | - | 90,419 | 90,419 |
| Non-Agency Other | $\$-$ | 7,444 | - | 7,444 |
| Total—Securities—Available for Sale | $\$-$ | $\$ 79,978$ | $\$ 90,419$ | $\$ 170,397$ |
| Loans Held for Sale | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-286$ | $\$ 971$ |
| Other assets—Derivative instruments |  |  | $\$ 971$ |  |
|  |  | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |

June 30, 2011
ASSETS:
Securities—Trading: Collateralized Debt Obligation\$— \$- \$5,053 \$5,053
Securities-Available for Sale:

| Agency Debt | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Agency RMBS | - | 61,919 | - | 61,919 |
| Non-Agency RMBS | - | - | 83,752 | 83,752 |
| Total—Securities-Available for Sale | $\$-$ | $\$ 61,919$ | $\$ 83,752$ | $\$ 145,671$ |
| Loans Held for Sale | $\$-$ | $\$ 20,110$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 20,110$ |
| Other assets-Derivative instruments | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 543$ | $\$ 543$ |
| LIABILITIES: |  |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities-Derivative instruments | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 125$ | $\$ 125$ |
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The following table presents additional information about assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

For the three month period ended
March 31, 2012

| Available for | Trading |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sale Securities: | Securities | Derivative |  |
| Other | Instruments, | Total |  |
| RMBS | Debt Securities: | net |  |
| Non-Agency | Non-Agency |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |

Assets:

| Opening Balance | \$95,409 | \$ 5,678 | \$ 1,094 |  | \$ 102,181 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transfers into Level 3 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | - | - | - |  | - |
| Total gains or losses for the period: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings-Sale of mortgage-back securities |  | - | - |  | - |
| Included in earnings-Fair value gain on trading securities | - | 305 | - |  | 305 |
| Included in earnings-Mortgage banking | - | - | (123 | ) | (123 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 2,678 | - | - |  | 2,678 |
| Purchases, issues, sales and settlements: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases | - | - | - |  | - |
| Issues | - | - | - |  | - |
| Sales | - | - | - |  | - |
| Settlements | (7,404 | - | - |  | (7,404 |
| Other than temporary impairment | (264 | - | - |  | (264 |
| Closing balance | \$90,419 | \$ 5,983 | \$971 |  | \$97,373 |

Change in unrealized gains or losses for the period included in earnings for assets held at the end of the reporting period
\$—
\$ 305
\$(123
\$182

For the nine month period ended
March 31, 2012
Available for Trading
Sale Securities: Securities Derivative
RMBS Other Instruments, Total

Non-Agency
Debt Securities: net
Non-Agency
(Dollars in thousands)
Assets:
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Opening Balance } & \$ 83,752 & \$ 5,053 & \$ 418 & \$ 89,223 \\ \text { Transfers into Level 3 } & - & - & - & - \\ \text { Transfers out of Level 3 } & - & - & - & - \\ \text { Total gains or losses for the period: } & & - & - & - \\ \text { Included in earnings-Sale of mortgage-back securities } & - & - & 930 & -\end{array}$

Included in earnings-Fair value gain on trading securities

| Included in earnings-Mortgage banking | - |  | - | 553 | 553 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Included in other comprehensive income | 909 |  | - | - | 909 |
| Purchases, issues, sales and settlements: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases | 19,999 |  | - | - | 19,999 |
| Issues | - |  | - | - | - |
| Sales | - |  | - | - | - |
| Settlements | (13,765 | ) | - | - | (13,765 |
| Other than temporary impairment | (476 | ) | - | - | (476 |
| Closing balance | \$90,419 |  | \$ 5,983 | \$971 | \$97,373 |
| Change in unrealized gains or losses for the period included in earnings for assets held at the end of the reporting period | \$- |  | \$ 930 | \$553 | \$1,483 |
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| Opening Balance | \$110,548 | \$ 4,428 | \$786 | \$115,762 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transfers into Level 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Total gains or losses for the period: |  |  |  |  |
| Included in earnings-Sale of mortgage-back securi | es, 308 | - | - | 1,308 |
| Included in earnings-Fair value gain on trading securities | - | 41 | - | 41 |
| Included in earnings-Mortgage banking | - | - | 488 | 488 |
| Included in other comprehensive income | (1,732 | - | - | (1,732 |
| Purchases, issues, sales and settlements: |  |  |  |  |
| Purchases | - | - | - | - |
| Issues | - | - | - | - |
| Sales | (6,938 | - | - | (6,938 |
| Settlements | (7,162 | ) - | - | (7,162 |
| Other than temporary impairment | (716 | ) - | - | (716 |
| Closing balance | \$95,308 | \$ 4,469 | \$1,274 | \$ 101,051 |
| Change in unrealized gains or losses for the period included in earnings for assets held at the end of the reporting period | \$- | \$ 41 | \$488 | \$529 |

For the nine month period ended
March 31, 2011

| Available for | Trading |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sale Securities: | Securities | Derivative |  |
| Other | Instruments, | Total |  |
| RMBS | Debt Securities: | net |  |
| Non-Agency | Non-Agency |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |

Assets:

| Opening Balance | $\$ 123,186$ | $\$ 4,402$ | $\$ 199$ | $\$ 127,787$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Transfers into Level 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Transfers out of Level 3 | - | - | - | - |
| Total gains or losses for the period: | - | - | 1,790 |  |
| Included in earnings—Sale of mortgage-back securities 1,790 | - | - | 67 |  |

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q
Included in earnings-Mortgage banking

| - | - | 78 | 78 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $(3,870$ | $)-$ | - | $(3,870$ | $)$ |
| - | - | - | - |  |
| $\overline{-}$ | - | - | - |  |
| $(7,110$ | $)$ | - | $(7,110$ | $)$ |
| $(17,017$ | $)$ | - | $(17,017$ | $)$ |
| $(1,671$ | $)$ | - | $(1,671$ | $)$ |
| $\$ 95,308$ | $\$ 4,469$ | $\$ 277$ | $\$ 100,054$ |  |

Change in unrealized gains or losses for the period included in earnings for assets held at the end of the reporting period
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The Table below summarizes the quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements at the periods indicated:

|  | Fair Value at March 31, 2012 (Dollars in thousands) | Valuation <br> Technique(s) | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Securities - Trading | \$5,983 | Discounted Cash Flow | Total projected defaults | 29 to 40\% (33.3\%) |
| Securities - Non agency MBS | $\$ 90,419$ | Discounted Cash Flow | Constant Prepayment Rate, Constant Default Rate, Loss Severity | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \text { to } 69.5 \%(15.2 \%) \\ & 1.5 \text { to } 50.6 \%(12.5 \%) \\ & 1.6 \text { to } 78.1 \%(56.1 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Derivative Instruments | \$971 | Sales Comparison <br> Approach | Projected Sales Profit of Underlying Loans | 0.5 to $1.5 \%$ |
|  | Fair Value at June 30, 2011 (Dollars in thousands) | Valuation <br> Technique(s) | Unobservable Input | Range (Weighted Average) |
| Securities - Trading | \$5,053 | Discounted Cash Flow | Projected Total Default | 31 to 46\% (35.0\%) |
| Securities - Non agency MBS | \$83,752 | Discounted Cash Flow | Constant Prepayment Rate, Constant Default Rate, Loss Severity | $\begin{aligned} & 2.5 \text { to } 62.7 \%(14.0 \%) \\ & 0.7 \text { to } 22.1 \%(10.4 \%) \\ & 1.6 \text { to } 76.1 \%(56.2 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| Derivative Instruments | \$418 | Sales Comparison Approach | Projected Sales Profit of Underlying Loans | 0.5 to $1.5 \%$ |

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the Company's residential mortgage-backed securities are prepayment rates, probability of default, and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases (decreases) in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower (higher) fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

The Table below summarizes changes in unrealized gains and losses and interest income recorded in earnings for level 3 assets and liabilities that are still held at the periods indicated:

|  | For the Three Months Ended March | For the Nine Months Ended <br> March 31, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 31, | 2011 | 2012 |

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q
Fair value adjustment
305
42
930
67
Total
\$368
\$70
\$1,052
\$158
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The Table below summarizes assets measured for impairment on a non-recurring basis was as follows:
Fair Value Measurements Using
Quoted Prices in

| Active | Significant Other Significant |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Markets | Observable | Unobservable | Balance |
| for Identical | Inputs | Inputs |  |
| Assets | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |  |
| (Level 1) |  |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |
| March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |


| Impaired Loans: |  |  |  | $\$ 5,983$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single Family | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | 1,731 | 1,731 |
| Multifamily | - | - | 279 | 279 |
| Commercial | - | - | 644 | 644 |
| RV/Auto | - | - | 8,637 | 8,637 |
| Total | - | - | 737 | 737 |
| Other real estate owned and foreclosed assets: | - | - | - | 627 |
| Single Family | - | - | 627 | 627 |
| Multifamily | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 1,364$ | $\$ 1,364$ |
| RV/Auto | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 115,781$ | $\$ 115,781$ |

June 30, 2011

| Impaired Loans: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Single Family | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 3,812$ | $\$ 3,812$ |
| Multifamily | - | - | 611 | 611 |
| Total | - | - | 4,423 | 4,423 |
| Other real estate owned and foreclosed assets: | - | - | 1,779 | 1,779 |
| Single Family | - | - | 5,899 | 5,899 |
| Multifamily | - | - | 1,926 | 1,926 |
| RV/Auto | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 9,604$ | $\$ 9,604$ |
| Total | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 108,354$ | $\$ 108,354$ |
| HTM Securities-Non Agency MBS |  |  |  |  |

Impaired loans measured for impairment on a non-recurring basis using the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans have a carrying amount of $\$ 8,637$ after a charge-off of $\$ 3,220$, and a no valuation allowance at March 31, 2012, resulting in an additional provision for loan losses of $\$ 2,405$ during the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and $\$ 865$ for the nine months ended March 31, 2011. At June 30, 2011, our collateral-dependent loans had a carrying amount of $\$ 4,423$ after a charge-off of $\$ 1,207$.
Other real estate owned and foreclosed assets, which is measured at the lower of carrying or fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of $\$ 1,364$, with a valuation allowance of $\$ 62$ and $\$ 77$ for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012. Our other real estate owned and foreclosed assets had a net carrying amount of 8,700 after a valuation allowance of $\$ 387$ and $\$ 437$ during the three and nine months ended March 31, 2011.

Held to maturity securities measured for impairment on a non-recurring basis had a carrying amount of $\$ 115,781$ at March 31, 2012, after net impairment charge to income of $\$ 1,211$ and other comprehensive loss of zero during the three months ended March 31, 2012. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, the Company recognized a net impairment charge to income of $\$ 2,523$ and other comprehensive income of $\$ 120$. These held to maturity securities are valued using Level 3 inputs.

## Table of Contents

The following table presents quantitative information about level 3 fair value measurements for financial instruments measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at the periods indicated:

Fair Value at
March 31, 2012 Valuation Technique(s) Unobservable Input
(Dollars in thousands)
Impaired loans:

comparable sales and adjustments for differences in net operating income expectations Capitalization rate Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales and

| Commercial | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| RV/Auto | $\$-$ |

Other real estate owned:

| Single Family | \$1,779 | Sales comparison approach | differences between the comparable sales | $\begin{aligned} & -18.3 \text { to } 15.4 \% \\ & (-9.8 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multifamily | \$5,899 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | $\begin{aligned} & -34.3 \text { to } 4.9 \% \\ & (-20.7 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
| RV/Auto | \$1,926 | Sales comparison approach | Adjustment for differences between the comparable sales | $\begin{aligned} & -29.1 \text { to } 27.5 \% \\ & (-2.3 \%) \end{aligned}$ |
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Fair value of Financial Instruments
The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of financial instruments at the periods indicated:
March 31, 2012

Fair Value

| Carrying | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amount | Value |  |  |  |

Financial assets:
Cash and cash equivalents
Securities trading
Securities available for sale
Securities held to maturity
Stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank
Loans held for sale, at fair value
Loans held for sale, at lower of cost or market
Loans held for investment-net
Accrued interest receivable
Financial liabilities:
Time deposits and savings
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank
Subordinated debentures and other borrowings
Accrued interest payable
(Dollars in Thousands)

| $\$ 25,858$ | $\$ 25,858$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 25,858$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 5,983 | - | - | 5,983 | 5,983 |
| 170,397 | - | 79,978 | 90,419 | 170,397 |
| 328,528 | - | 114,936 | 234,790 | 349,726 |
| 16,873 | - | - | - | N/A |
| 44,286 | - | 44,286 | - | 44,286 |
| 45,329 | - | - | 46,925 | 46,925 |
| $1,595,704$ | - | - | $1,640,520$ | $1,640,520$ |
| 7,599 | - | 7,599 | - | 7,599 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $1,575,473$ | 587,638 | $1,003,233$ | - | $1,590,871$ |
| 120,000 | - | 132,093 | - | 132,093 |
| 359,000 | - | 368,721 | - | 368,721 |
| 5,155 | - | 5,155 | - | 5,155 |
| 1,940 | - | 1,940 | - | 1,940 |
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Carrying amount and estimated fair values of financial instruments at period-end were as follows:

|  | March 31, 2012 <br> Carrying |  | Fair <br> Amount <br> (Dollars in Thousands) | June 30, <br> Carrying <br> Amount |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Financial assets: |  |  | Fair |  |
| Value |  |  |  |  |

The methods and assumptions, not previously presented, used to estimate fair value are described as follows:
Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, interest bearing deposits, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of FHLB stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance sheet items is not considered material.
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## 4.SECURITIES

The amortized cost, carrying amount and fair value for the major categories of securities trading, available for sale, and held to maturity at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011 were:

Trading Available for sale
Fair Amortized UnrealizedUnrealizeFFair Value Cost Gains Losses Value (Dollars in Thousands) March 31, 2012

Mortgage-backed
securities
(RMBS) :
$\begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { U.S. agencies }{ }^{1} & \$- & \$ 60,820 & \$ 1,847 & \$(170 & ) & \$ 62,497 & \$ 69,914 & \$ 3,655\end{array} \$-\quad \$ 73,569$
Non-agency ${ }^{2} \quad-\quad 80,303 \quad 10,208 \quad(92 \quad) 90,419 \quad 222,407 \quad 17,664 \quad(5,282) 234,789$
Total
mortgage-backed - $\quad 141,123 \quad 12,055 \quad(262 \quad) 152,916 \quad 292,321 \quad 21,319 \quad(5,282 \quad) 308,358$ securities
Other debt
securities:

| U.S. agencies ${ }^{1}$ | - | 10,038 | - | (1 | ) | 10,037 | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - |  | - | 36,207 | 5,160 | - | 41,367 |
| Non-agency | 5,983 | 7,444 | - | - |  | 7,444 | - | - | - | - |
| Total other debt securities | \$5,983 | 17,482 | - | (1 | ) | 17,481 | 36,207 | 5,160 | - | 41,367 |
| Total debt | \$5,983 | \$ 158,605 | \$12,055 | \$(263 | ) | \$170,397 | \$328,528 | \$ 26,479 | \$ (5,282 | \$349,725 |

June 30, 2011
Mortgage-backed
securities
(RMBS) :
U.S. agencies ${ }^{1} \quad \$-\quad \$ 60,212 \quad \$ 1,707 \quad \$-\quad \$ 61,919 \quad \$ 77,941 \quad \$ 2,317 \quad \$(196 \quad) \$ 80,062$

Non-agency ${ }^{2} \quad-\quad 74,545 \quad 9,406 \quad(199 \quad) 83,752 \quad 246,455 \quad 15,851 \quad(2,625 \quad) 259,681$
Total
mortgage-backed - $\quad 134,757 \quad 11,113 \quad(199 \quad) 145,671 \quad 324,396 \quad 18,168 \quad(2,821 \quad) 339,743$ securities
Other debt
securities:

| U.S. agencies ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | - | - | 9,976 | - | $(149$ | $)$ | 9,827 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Municipal | - | - | - | - | - | 36,254 | 1,517 | $(55$ | $)$ | 37,716 |
| Non-agency | 5,053 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Total other debt | 5,053 | - | - | - | - | 46,230 | 1,517 | $(204$ | $) 47,543$ |  |

securities
Total debt
securities
\$5,053 \$134,757 \$11,113 \$(199 ) \$145,671 \$370,626 \$ 19,685 \$ (3,025 ) \$387,286

[^1]Private sponsors of securities collateralized primarily by pools of 1-4 family residential first mortgages . Primarily supersenior securities secured by prime, Alt-A or pay-option ARM mortgages.

The Company's non-agency RMBS available for sale portfolio with a total fair value of \$90,419 at March 31, 2012 consists of twenty-five different issues of super senior securities with a fair value of $\$ 59,290$; two senior structured whole loan securities with a fair value of $\$ 31,111$ and three mezzanine $z$-tranche securities with a fair value of $\$ 18$ collateralized by seasoned prime and Alt-A first-lien mortgages. The Company acquired its mezzanine z-tranche securities in fiscal 2009 and accounts for them by measuring the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the purchase price (accretable yield) and recognizes interest income over the remaining life of the security. The non-agency RMBS held-to-maturity portfolio with a carrying value of $\$ 222,407$ at March 31, 2012 consists of eighty-one different issues of super senior securities totaling $\$ 218,201$, one senior-support security with a carrying value of $\$ 3,576$ and one other security with a carrying value of $\$ 629$. Debt securities with evidence of credit quality deterioration since issuance and for which it is probable at purchase that the Company will be unable to collect all of the par value of the security are accounted for under ASC Topic 310, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer (ASC Topic 310). Under ASC Topic 310, the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the purchase price is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the security. The Company has one senior support security that it acquired at a significant discount that
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evidenced credit deterioration at acquisition and is accounted for under ASC Topic 310. For a cost of $\$ 17,740$ the Company acquired the senior support security with a contractual par value of $\$ 30,560$ and accretable and non-accretable discounts that were projected to be $\$ 9,015$ and $\$ 3,805$, respectively. Since acquisition, repayments from the security have been received more rapidly than projected at acquisition, but expected total payments have declined, resulting in a determination that the security was other than temporarily impaired and the recognition of a $\$ 5,114$ impairment loss during fiscal 2010 and zero during fiscal 2011. At March 31, 2012 the security had a remaining contractual par value of zero and amortizable and non-amortizable premium are currently projected to be zero and $\$ 3,724$, respectively. For the nine months ended March 31, 2012 the security had not experienced additional impairments.
The current face amounts of debt securities available for sale and held to maturity that were pledged to secure borrowings at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011 were $\$ 369,625$ and $\$ 420,042$ respectively.
The securities with unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position were as follows:

Available for sale securities in loss position for

|  | More |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less Than | Than | Total | Less Than | More Than | Total |
| 12 Months | 12 | 12 Months | 12 Months |  |  |


|  | Gross Far Gross | Gross | Gross | Gross | Gross |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| air | Unrealized Fair Unreair ${ }^{\text {Faized }}$ | Unrealized Fair | Unrealized Fair | Unrealized Fair | Unrealized |
| Value | Losses Value Losses | Losses Value | Losses Value | Losses Value | os |

(Dollars in Thousands)
March 31, 2012
RMBS:
U.S.
agencies
\$29,048 \$ (171) \$-\$-\$29,048 \$(171) \$- \$- \$- \$- \$-
Non-agency 15,276 (92 ) —— 15,276 (92 ) 20,887 (1,175 ) 40,743 (4,107 ) 61,630 (5,282 )
Total
RMBS 44,324 (263 ) ———44,324 (263 ) 20,887 (1,175 ) 40,743 (4,107 ) 61,630 (5,282 )
securities
Other Debt:
U.S.
agencies
Total Other $\qquad$
Debt
Total debt
securities
$\$ 44,324$ \$ 263 ) \$—\$—\$44,324 \$(263) \$20,887 \$(1,175) \$40,743 \$(4,107) \$61,630 \$(5,282)

June 30, 2011
RMBS:
U.S.
agencie
\$- \$

Total
RMBS 2,674 (199 ) ——— 2,674 (199 ) 28,849 (458 ) 46,665 (2,363 ) 75,514 (2,821 ) securities

Other Debt:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}\text { U.S. } \\ \begin{array}{lllllllll}\text { agencies }\end{array} & - & - & - & - & 9,828 & (149 & ) & - & - & 9,828 & (149 & ) \\ \text { Municipal } & - & - & - & - & - & 5,567 & (55 & ) & & - & 5,567 & (55\end{array}\right)$

There were 7 securities that were in a continuous loss position at March 31, 2012 for a period of more than 12 months. There were 8 securities that were in a continuous loss position at June 30,2011 for a period of more than 12 months.
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The following table summarizes amounts of credit loss recognized in the income statement through other-than-temporary impairment charges which reduced non-interest income:

Beginning balance
For the Three Months Ended March 31,
20122011
(Dollars in thousands)
\$10,345 \$8,374
Additions for the amounts related to credit loss for which an other-than- temporary impairment was not 81 previously recognized
Increases to the amount related to the credit loss for which other-than-temporary was previously $\quad 1,130$ recognized
Ending balance
\$11,556
\$8,547

For the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012

2011
\$9,033
\$7,492
250
856

At March 31, 2012, 46 non-agency RMBS with a total carrying amount of $\$ 112,869$ were determined to have cumulative credit losses of $\$ 11,556$ of which $\$ 2,452$ was recognized in earnings during the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and $\$ 1,541$ was recognized in earnings during fiscal 2011. This quarter's other-than-temporary impairment of $\$ 1,211$ is related to 20 non-agency RMBS with a total carrying amount of $\$ 68,042$. The Company measures its non-agency RMBS in an unrecognized loss position at the end of the reporting period for other-than-temporary impairment by comparing the present value of the cash flows currently expected to be collected from the security with its amortized cost basis. If the calculated present value is lower than the amortized cost, the difference is the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of its debt securities. The excess of present value over the fair value of the security (if any) is the noncredit component only if the Company does not intend to sell the security and will not be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. The credit component of the other-than-temporary-impairment is recorded as a loss in earnings and the noncredit component as a charge to other comprehensive income, net of the related income tax benefit.
To determine the cash flow expected to be collected and to calculate the present value for purposes of testing for other-than -temporary impairment, the Company utilizes the same industry-standard tool and the same cash flows as those calculated for Level 3 fair values as discussed in footnote 3. The Company computes cash flows based upon the cash flows from underlying mortgage loan pools. The Company estimates prepayments, defaults, and loss severities based on a number of macroeconomic factors, including housing price changes, unemployment rates, interest rates and borrower attributes such as credit score and loan documentation at the time of origination. The Company inputs for each security a projection of monthly default rates, loss severity rates and voluntary prepayment rates for the underlying mortgages for the remaining life of the security to determine the expected cash flows. The projections of default rates are derived by the Company from the historic default rate observed in the pool of loans collateralizing the security, increased by (or decreased by) the forecasted increase or decrease in the national unemployment rate. The projections of loss severity rates are derived by the Company from the historic loss severity rate observed in the pool of loans, increased by (or decreased by) the forecasted increase or decrease in the national home price appreciation (HPA) index. The largest factor influencing the Company's modeling of the monthly default rate is unemployment. The most updated unemployment rate announced prior to the end of the period covered by this report (reported in February 2012) was $8.3 \%$, down from the high of $10.1 \%$ in October 2009. Consensus estimates for unemployment are that the rate will continue to decline. The discount rates used to compute the present value of the expected cash flows for purposes of testing for the credit component of the other-than-temporary impairment are either the implicit rate calculated in each of the Company's securities at acquisition or the last accounting yield. The Company calculates the implicit rate at acquisition based on the contractual terms of the security, considering scheduled payments (and minimum payments in the case of pay-option ARMs) without prepayment assumptions. Once the discount rate (or discount margin in the case of floating rate securities) is calculated as described above, the discount is used in the
industry-standard model to calculate the present value of the cash flows.
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The gross gains and losses realized through earnings upon the sale of available for sale securities for periods indicated:

|  | For the Nine <br> For the Three Months Ended Months Ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, |  | March 31, |  |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
|  | (Doll | housands |  |  |
| Proceeds | \$- | \$8,256 | \$- | \$8,910 |
| Gross realized gains | - | 1,478 | - | 1,960 |
| Gross realized loss | - | - | - | - |
| Net gain on securities | \$- | \$1,478 | \$- | \$1,960 |

The Company had recorded unrealized gains and unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive loss as follows:

Available for sale debt securities-net unrealized gains
March 31, June 30, 2012 2011
(Dollars in Thousands)
Held to maturity debt securities-non credit related
\$11,792 \$10,914
Subtotal
(12,957 ) (12,538 )
Tax benefit
(1,165 ) (1,624 )
Net unrealized loss on investment securities in accumulated other comprehensive loss

469
653
\$(696 ) \$(971

The expected maturity distribution of the Company's mortgage-backed securities and the contractual maturity distribution of the Company's other debt securities classified as available for sale and held to maturity at March 31, 2012 were:

RMBS—U.S. agencies
Due within one year
Due one to five years
Due five to ten years
Due after ten years
Total RMBS—U.S. agencies
RMBS—Non-agency:
Due within one year
Due one to five years
Due five to ten years
Due after ten years
Total RMBS—Non-agency
March 31, 2012

| Available for sale | Held to maturity |  | Trading |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Amortized | Fair | Carrying | Fair | Fair |
| Cost | Value | Amount | Value | Value |
| (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |

Other debt:
Due within one year
Due one to five years
Due five to ten years

| $\$ 3,013$ | $\$ 3,095$ | $\$ 2,764$ | $\$ 2,887$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 11,759 | 12,067 | 10,498 | 10,969 | - |
| 13,937 | 14,277 | 11,739 | 12,270 | - |
| 32,111 | 33,058 | 44,913 | 47,443 | - |
| 60,820 | 62,497 | 69,914 | 73,569 | - |
| 26,887 | 28,370 | 30,182 | 31,376 | - |
| 24,420 | 27,499 | 62,520 | 67,377 | - |
| 15,162 | 17,845 | 32,817 | 35,916 | - |
| 13,834 | 16,705 | 96,888 | 100,120 | - |
| 80,303 | 90,419 | 222,407 | 234,789 | - |
| 11,642 | 11,642 | - | - | - |
| 5,840 | 5,839 | 36 | 38 | - |
| - | - | 1,705 | 1,831 | - |

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q
Due after ten years
Total other debt
Total

| - | - | 34,466 | 39,498 | 5,983 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17,482 | 17,481 | 36,207 | 41,367 | 5,983 |
| $\$ 158,605$ | $\$ 170,397$ | $\$ 328,528$ | $\$ 349,725$ | $\$ 5,983$ |

1. Residential mortgage-backed security (RMBS) distributions include impact of expected prepayments and other timing factors.
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## 5. LOANS \& ALLOWANCE FOR <br> LOAN LOSS

The following table sets forth the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

|  | March 31, <br> 2012 <br> (Dollars in | June 30, <br> Thonands) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Mortgage loans on real estate: |  |  |
| Residential single family (one to four units) | $\$ 732,901$ | $\$ 517,637$ |
| Home equity | 30,795 | 36,424 |
| Residential multifamily (five units or more) | 655,742 | 647,381 |
| Commercial real estate and land | 36,939 | 37,985 |
| Consumer-Recreational vehicle | 25,733 | 30,406 |
| Commercial secured and other | 129,509 | 66,582 |
| Total gross loans | $1,611,619$ | $1,336,415$ |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(8,355$ | $(7,419$ |
| Unaccreted discounts and loan fees | $(7,560$ | $)$ |
| Net loans | $\$ 1,595,704$ | $\$ 1,325,101$ |

Allowance for Loan Loss. The Company's goal is to maintain the allowance for loan losses (sometimes referred to as the allowance) at a level that is considered to be commensurate with estimated probable incurred credit losses in the portfolio. Although the adequacy of the allowance is reviewed quarterly, management performs an ongoing assessment of the risks inherent in the portfolio. While the Company believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at March 31, 2012, future additions to the allowance will be subject to continuing evaluation of estimated and known, as well as inherent, risks in the loan portfolio.
Allowance for Credit Loss Disclosures-The assessment of the adequacy of the Company's allowance for loan losses is based upon a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including levels and trends of past due and nonaccrual loans, change in volume and mix of loans, collateral values and charge-off history.
The Company provides general loan loss reserves for its recreational vehicle ("RV") and auto loans based upon the borrower credit score at the time of origination and the Company's loss experience to date. The allowance for loan loss for the RV and auto loan portfolio at March 31, 2012 was determined by classifying each outstanding loan according to the original FICO score and providing loss rates. The Company had $\$ 23,500,000$ of RV and auto loan balances subject to general reserves as follows: FICO greater than or equal to 770 : $\$ 6,642,000 ; 715-769: \$ 7,565,000 ; 700-714$ : $\$ 1,558,000 ; 660-699: \$ 3,897,000$ and less than 660: $\$ 3,838,000$.
The Company provides general loan loss reserves for mortgage loans based upon the size and class of the mortgage loan and the loan-to-value ratio (LTV) at date of origination. The allowance for each class is determined by dividing the outstanding unpaid balance for each loan by the loan-to-value and applying a loss rates. The LTV groupings for each significant mortgage class are as follows:
The Company had $\$ 718,328,000$ of single family mortgage portfolio loan balances subject to general reserves as follows: LTV less than or equal to $60 \%$ : $\$ 520,001,000 ; 61 \%-70 \%$ : $\$ 159,916,000 ; 71 \%-80 \%$ : $\$ 30,132,000$; and greater than $80 \%$ : $\$ 8,279,000$.
The Company had $\$ 651,573,000$ of multifamily mortgage portfolio loan balances subject to general reserves as follows: LTV less than or equal to $55 \%$ : $\$ 308,037,000 ; 56 \%-65 \%$ : $\$ 215,014,000 ; 66 \%-75 \%$ : $\$ 112,669,000 ; 76 \%-$ $80 \%$ : $\$ 9,817,000$ and greater than $80 \%$ : $\$ 6,036,000$. During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the Company divided the LTV analysis into two classes, separating the purchased loans from the loans underwritten directly by the Company. Based on historical performance, the Company concluded that originated multifamily loans require lower estimated loss rates.
The Company had $\$ 34,952,000$ of commercial real estate loan balances subject to general reserves as follows: LTV less than or equal to $50 \%$ : $\$ 20,405,000 ; 51 \%-60 \%: \$ 9,047,000 ; 61 \%-70 \%$ : $\$ 4,588,000$; and $71 \%-80 \%$ : $\$ 912,000$.

The Company's commercial secured portfolio consists of business loans well-collateralized by residential real estate. The Company's other portfolio consists of receivables factoring for businesses and consumers. The Company allocates its allowance for loan loss for these asset types based on qualitative factors which consider the value of the collateral and the financial position of the issuer of the receivables.
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The following table summarizes activity in the allowance for loan losses by portfolio classes for the periods indicated:
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012


For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011


For the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012


For the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2011

| (Dollars in Thousands) | Single <br> Family | Home Equity |  | Multifamily |  | Commercial Real Estate and Land |  | Recreational Vehicles and Autos | Commercial |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Secured and Other | Total |  |  |  |
| Balance at July 1, 2010 | \$1,721 |  | \$205 |  |  |  | \$1,860 |  | \$213 | \$ 1,859 | \$35 | \$5,893 |
| Provision for loan loss | 1,399 |  | 42 |  | 1,226 |  | (43 | 1,699 | 27 | 4,350 |
| Charge-offs | (905 | ) | (104 | ) | (906 | ) | - | (1,632 | (27 ) | (3,574 |
| Transfers to held for sale | - |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | - | - |
| Recoveries | - |  | - |  | 223 |  | - | - | - | 223 |
| Balance at March 31, 201 | \$2,215 |  | \$143 |  | \$2,403 |  | \$ 170 | \$ 1,926 | \$35 | \$6,892 |
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The following table presents our loans evaluated individually for impairment by class at:
March 31, 2012
Recorded Unpaid Principal Related Investment ${ }^{1}$ Balance Allowance (Dollars in Thousands)
With no related allowance recorded:
Single Family:

| In-house originated | \$- | \$- | \$- |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchased | 5,983 | 7,858 | - |
| Multifamily: |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | - | - | - |
| Purchased | 1,731 | 2,203 | - |
| Home Equity: |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | - | - | - |
| Purchased | - | - | - |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 279 | 374 | - |
| Purchased | - | - | - |
| RV / Auto | 644 | 1,422 | - |
| Commercial secured and other | - | - | - |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |
| Single Family: |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | \$20 | \$20 | \$- |
| Purchased | 5,363 | 5,327 | 152 |
| Multifamily: |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | - | - | - |
| Purchased | 4,088 | 4,234 | 21 |
| Home Equity: |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 83 | 82 | - |
| Purchased | - | - | - |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 1,708 | 1,708 | 4 |
| Purchased | - | - | - |
| RV / Auto | 1,645 | 1,608 | 666 |
| Commercial secured and other | - | - | - |
| Total | \$21,544 | \$24,836 | \$843 |
| As a \% of total gross loans | 1.34 | \% 1.54 | \% 0.06 |

1. The recorded investment in impaired loans also includes $\$ 71$ of accrued interest receivable and unaccreted discounts and loan fees.
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With no related allowance recorded:
Single Family:


[^2]
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The following table presents the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method at:

March 31, 2012

| Single | Home | Multi- | Commercial <br> Recreational <br> Real Estate <br> Vamicles <br> and Land | Commercial <br> and | Secured <br> and Other | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Family | Equity | family |  |  |  |  |

Allowance for loan losses:
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllllll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Individually evaluated for } \\ \text { impairment }\end{array} & \$ 152 & \$- & \$ 21 & \$ 4 & \$ 666 & \$- \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Collectively evaluated for } \\ \text { impairment }\end{array} & 2,888\end{array}\right)$

Loans:

| Loans individually evaluated for impairment ${ }^{1}$ | \$11,330 | \$82 | \$5,964 | \$ 1,987 | \$ 2,252 | \$- | \$21,615 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans collectively evaluated for impairment | 721,571 | 30,713 | 649,778 | 34,952 | 23,481 | 129,509 | 1,590,004 |
| Principal loan balance | \$732,901 | \$30,795 | \$655,742 | \$36,939 | \$ 25,733 | \$ 129,509 | \$ 1,611,619 |
| Unaccreted discounts and loan fees | (214 | 49 | (1,128 | ) (75 | ) 539 | (6,733 | ) $(7,562$ |
| Accrued interest receivable | 2,403 | 158 | 2,614 | 144 | 124 | 762 | 6,205 |
| Total recorded investment in loans | \$735,090 | \$31,002 | \$657,228 | \$37,008 | \$ 26,396 | \$ 123,538 | \$1,610,262 |

${ }^{1 .}$ Loans evaluated for impairment include TDRs that have been performing for more than six months.
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June 30, 2011

| Single | Home | Multi- | Commercial <br> Real Estate | Rehicles <br> and <br> and Land | Commercial <br> Samecured <br> and Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Total

Allowance for loan losses:
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:

| Individually evaluated for <br> impairment | $\$ 274$ | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 756$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Collectively evaluated for <br> impairment | 2,003 | 156 | 2,303 | 163 | 1,685 | 50 |
| Total ending allowance <br> balance | $\$ 2,277$ | $\$ 158$ | $\$ 2,326$ | $\$ 167$ | $\$ 2,441$ | $\$ 50$ |
| Loans: <br> Loans individually <br> evaluated for impairment | $\$ 8,147$ | $\$ 214$ | $\$ 4,919$ | $\$ 1,748$ | $\$ 2,563$ | $\$-$ |
| Loans collectively <br> evaluated for impairment | 509,490 | 36,210 | 642,462 | 36,237 | 27,843 | 66,582 |

[^3]Credit Quality Disclosures. Nonperforming loans are comprised of loans past due 90 days or more on nonaccrual status. Nonperforming loans consisted of the following at:

March 31, June 30,
20122011
(Dollars in Thousands)
Loans secured by real estate:
Single family:
In-house originated \$20 \$796
Purchased $\quad 9,251 \quad 5,790$
Home equity loans:
In-house originated
59
157
Purchased
Multifamily:
In-house originated

-     - 

$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Purchased } & \text { 2,684 }\end{array}$
Commercial:
In-house originated
Purchased

| Total nonaccrual loans secured by real estate | 15,297 | 9,487 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RV/Auto | 727 | 125 |  |
| Commercial secured and other | - | - |  |
| Total nonperforming loans 1,2 | $\$ 16,024$ | $\$ 9,612$ |  |
| Nonperforming loans to total loans | 0.99 | $\%$ | 0.72 |

1. Includes a $\$ 2.2$ million multifamily TDR mortgage loan placed on non accrual as a result of stale borrower financial statements. The borrower was current at March 31, 2012 and is expected to resolve the financial statement issue. Without this $\$ 2.2$ million multifamily loan, non performing loans to total loans would have been 86 basis points at March 31, 2012.

28

## Table of Contents

2. Excludes $\$ 2.2$ million in accruing or performing serviced by other loans over 90 days delinquent at March 31, 2012, for which the bank continues to receive scheduled monthly payments and recent valuations supporting the underlying collateral exceeds that of the book balance.
The following table provides the outstanding unpaid balance of loans that are performing and nonperforming by portfolio class at:

|  | March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Single <br> Family | Home Equity | Multifamily | Commercial Real Estate and Land | Recreational Vehicles and Autos | Commercial Secured and Other | Total |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Performing | \$723,630 | \$30,736 | \$650,054 | \$36,660 | \$25,006 | \$129,509 | \$ 1,595,595 |
| Nonperforming | 9,271 | 59 | 5,688 | 279 | 727 | - | 16,024 |
| Total | \$732,901 | \$30,795 | \$655,742 | \$36,939 | \$25,733 | \$129,509 | \$1,611,619 |

June 30, 2011

|  | Single <br> Family | Home <br> Equity | Multi- <br> family | Commercial <br> Real Estate <br> and Land | Recreational <br> Vehicles <br> and Autos | Commercial <br> Secured and <br> Other | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The Company divides loan balances when determining general loan loss reserves between purchases and originations as follows at:

|  | March 31, 2012 <br> Single Family <br> OriginatiorPurchase Total <br> (Dollars in Thousands) | Multifamily <br> OriginatiorPurchase | Total |  | Commercial <br> Originatidłurchase | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Approximately $19 \%$ and $12 \%$ of our non-performing loans at March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, were considered troubled debt restructurings (TDRs). Certain TDRs are considered non-performing for at least six months. Generally, after six months of timely payments, those TDRs are reclassified from the non-performing loan category to performing and any previously deferred interest income is recognized. From time to time the Company modifies loan terms temporarily for borrowers who are experiencing financial stress. These loans are performing and accruing and will generally return to the original loan terms after the modification term expires. The Company classifies these loans
as performing TDRs that consisted of the following at:
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March 31, 2012

|  | Single <br> Family <br> (Dollars | Home <br> Equity <br> Thousands) | Multifamily | Commercial Real Estate and Land | Recreational Vehicles and Autos | Commercia Secured and Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performing loans temporarily modified as TDR | \$2,059 | \$23 | \$276 | \$ 1,708 | \$ 1,525 | - | \$5,591 |
| Non performing loans | 9,271 | 59 | 5,688 | 279 | 727 | - | \$16,024 |
| Total impaired loans ${ }^{1}$ | \$11,330 | \$82 | \$5,964 | \$ 1,987 | \$ 2,252 | \$- | \$21,615 |

1. The recorded investment in impaired loans also includes $\$ 79$ of accrued interest receivable and unaccreted discounts and loan fees.

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

|  | Single <br> Family <br> (Dollars in Thousands) | Home <br> Equity | Multi- <br> family | Commercial <br> Real Estate <br> and Land | Vehicles <br> and Autos | Secured <br> and Other | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Interest income recognized <br> on performing TDRs | $\$ 24$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 5$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$ 31$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 83$ |
| Average balances of <br> performing TDRs | $\$ 2,061$ | $\$ 23$ | $\$ 1,718$ | $\$ 1,712$ | $\$ 1,555$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 7,069$ |
| Average balances of <br> impaired loans | $\$ 10,048$ | $\$ 113$ | $\$ 5,936$ | $\$ 2,055$ | $\$ 2,393$ | $\$ 4$ | $\$ 20,549$ |

For the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012

| Single | Home | Multi- | Commercial <br> Real <br> family | Recreational <br> Estate <br> and Land | Vehicles <br> and Autos | Secured <br> and Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Total

(Dollars in Thousands)

| Interest income recognized <br> on performing TDRs | $\$ 72$ | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 15$ | $\$ 70$ | $\$ 92$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 250$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Average balances of <br> performing TDRs | $\$ 1,652$ | $\$ 38$ | $\$ 2,110$ | $\$ 1,726$ | $\$ 1,843$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 7,369$ |
| Average balances of <br> impaired loans | $\$ 8,886$ | $\$ 146$ | $\$ 6,095$ | $\$ 1,840$ | $\$ 2,396$ | $\$ 1$ | $\$ 19,364$ |
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For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011

|  | Single Family (Dollar | Home Equity Thousan | Multifamily | Commercia Real Estate and Land | Recreational Vehicles and Autos | Commercial Secured and Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income recognized on performing TDRs | \$13 | \$1 | \$42 | \$- | \$ 52 | \$- | \$108 |
| Average balances of performing TDRs | \$1,338 | \$58 | \$2,465 | \$- | \$ 2,944 | \$- | \$6,805 |
| Average balances of impaired loans | \$6,797 | \$94 | \$3,510 | \$ 1,765 | \$ 1,198 | \$ 1 | \$13,365 |

For the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2011

| Single | Home | Multi- | Commercial Recreational <br> Real Estate | Vehicles <br> Family | Equity | family |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | | Secured |
| :--- |
| and Land | and Autos | and Other |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | Total (Dollars in Thousands)


| Interest income recognized <br> on performing TDRs <br> Average balances of | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| performing TDRs | $\$ 1,094$ | $\$ 45$ | $\$ 1,259$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 3,021$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 5,419$ |
| Average balances of <br> impaired loans | $\$ 5,248$ | $\$ 113$ | $\$ 6,269$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 3,748$ | $\$ 2$ | $\$ 15,380$ |

The Company's loan modifications included Single Family, Multifamily and Commercial loans of which included one or a combination of the following: a reduction of the stated interest rate or delinquent property taxes that were paid by the Bank and either repaid by the borrower over a one year period or capitalized and amortized over the remaining life of the loan. The Company's loan modifications also included RV loans in which borrowers were able to make interest-only payments for a period of six months to a year which then reverted back to fully amortizing.

The following table sets forth the loans modified as TDRs as of the dates indicated:
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For the three months ended March 31, 20122011 (Dollars in Thousands)

Loans secured by real estate:
Single family:

| In-house originated | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\quad$ Purchased | - | 302 | 742 | 1,045 |
| Home equity loans: | - | - | - | 124 |
| $\quad$ In-house originated | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Purchased | - | - | - | - |
| Multifamily: | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ In-house originated | - | - | - |  |
| $\quad$ Purchased | - | - | - | 1,169 |
| Commercial: | - | - | 402 |  |
| $\quad$ In-house originated | - | - | - |  |
| $\quad$ Purchased | - | - | $\$ 802$ | $\$ 1,571$ |

The following table presents loans by class modified as troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the nine months ended March 31, 2012:

| Pre-Modification | Post-Modification |
| :--- | :--- |
| Outstanding Recorded | Outstanding Recorded |
| Investment | Investment |
| (Dollars in Thousands) |  |

Troubled Debt Restructurings:
Single family:

| In-house originated | - | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Purchased | 1 | 742 | 740 |
| RV/Auto <br> In-house originated <br> Purchased | - | - | - |
| Total | 3 | 58 | 60 |

The Company had no loans modified as TDRs within the previous twelve months for which there was a payment default for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011. The Company defines a payment default as 90 days past due.
Credit Quality Indicators
The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings.
$\qquad$
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Pass. Loans classified as pass are well protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or by the fair value, less cost to acquire and sell, of any underlying collateral in a timely manner.
Special Mention. Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management's close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution's credit position at some future date.
Substandard. Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.
Doubtful. Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.
The Company reviews and grades loans following a continuous loan review process, featuring coverage of all loan types and business lines at least quarterly. Continuous reviewing provides more effective risk monitoring because it immediately tests for potential impacts caused by changes in personnel, policy, products or underwriting standards.
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The following table presents the composition of our loan portfolio by credit quality indicators at:


| Single Family: <br> $\quad$ In-house originated <br> Purchased | $\$ 457,560$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 21$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 457,581$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Home equity loans: | 192,124 | 177 | 10,998 | - | 203,299 |
| $\quad$ In-house originated | 11,304 | 7 | 285 | - | 11,596 |
| $\quad$ Purchased | 21,210 | - | - | - | 21,210 |
| Multifamily: | 373,500 | 3,048 | - | - | 376,548 |
| $\quad$ In-house originated | 269,849 | 6,040 | 5,884 | - | 281,773 |
| $\quad$ Purchased | 8,647 | - | - | - | 8,647 |
| Commercial real estate and land: | 26,328 | 2,829 | - | - | 29,157 |
| $\quad$ In-house originated | 25,437 | 241 | 1,261 | - | 26,939 |
| $\quad$ Purchased | 123,359 | - | - | - | 123,359 |
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| Single Family: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-house originated ${ }^{1}$ | \$391,729 | \$- | \$733 | \$- |  | \$392,462 |
| Purchased | 199,854 | 922 | 11,210 | - |  | 211,986 |
| Home equity loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 12,890 | 43 | 136 | - |  | 13,069 |
| Purchased | 21,812 | - | - | - |  | 21,812 |
| Multifamily: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 351,829 | 2,183 | - | - |  | 354,012 |
| Purchased | 274,538 | 8,052 | 4,884 | - |  | 287,474 |
| Commercial real estate and land: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 6,891 | 1,735 | - | - |  | 8,626 |
| Purchased | 27,043 | 2,191 | - | - |  | 29,234 |
| Consumer-RV/Auto: | 27,393 | 554 | 406 | - |  | 28,353 |
| Commercial secured and other: | 108,448 | - | - | - |  | 108,448 |
| Total | \$ 1,422,427 | \$15,680 | \$17,369 | \$- |  | \$ 1,455,476 |
| As a \% of total gross loans | 97.7 | \% 1.1 | \% 1.2 | \% - | \% | 100.0 |

June 30, 2011

|  | Special | Substandard | Doubtful | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| (Dollars in | Mention | Thousands) |  |  |


| Single Family: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| In-house originated | \$292,319 | \$- | \$25 | \$- | \$292,344 |
| Purchased | 214,924 | 4,459 | 5,910 | - | 225,293 |
| Home equity loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 14,256 | - | 157 | - | 14,413 |
| Purchased | 22,011 | - | - | - | 22,011 |
| Multifamily: |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 347,087 | 2,189 | - | - | 349,276 |
| Purchased | 289,528 | 5,833 | 2,744 | - | 298,105 |
| Commercial real estate and land: |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-house originated | 7,897 | 1,807 | - | - | 9,704 |
| Purchased | 26,082 | 2,199 | - | - | 28,281 |
| Consumer-RV/Auto: | 29,395 | 657 | 354 | - | 30,406 |
| Commercial secured and other: | 66,582 | - | - | - | 66,582 |
| Total | \$1,310,081 | \$17,144 | \$9,190 | \$- | \$ 1,336,415 |
| As a \% of total gross loans | 98.0 | \% 1.3 | \% 0.7 | \% - | \% 100.0 |

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. The Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of its loans. The following table provides the outstanding unpaid balance of loans that are past due 30 days or more by portfolio class at:
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December 31, 2011
30-59 Days Past Due 60-89 Days Past Due 90+ Days Past Due Total (Dollars in Thousands)
Single Family:
In-house originated ${ }^{1}$ \$4,824 $\quad \$$ - \$4,824
Purchased 1,177
Multifamily:
In-house originated ${ }^{1}$ 2,183 - $\quad$ - $\quad$ 2,183
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Purchased } & 1,013 & 488 & 4,065 & 5,566\end{array}$
Home Equity:
In-house originated 56
$56-\cdots$

| Purchased | - | - | - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Commercial:
In-house originated 37
Purchased
RV / Auto 74
Commercial Secured
and Other
Total
As a \% of total gross loans 0.68

| $\$-$ | - | $\$ 4,824$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2,797 | 9,425 | 13,399 |
| - | - | 2,183 |
| 488 | 4,065 | 5,566 |
| 45 | - | 101 |
| - | - | - |
| - | - | 374 |
| - | - | 1,585 |
| 334 | - | 6 |
| 5 | $\$ 13,994$ | $\$ 28,038$ |
| $\$ 3,669$ | 0.24 |  |

[^4]
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|  | September 30, 2011 <br> 30-59 Days Past Due <br> (Dollars in Thousands) |  | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90+ Days Past Due |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | Total
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## 6.STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has two equity incentive plans, the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan ("2004 Plan") and the 1999 Stock Option Plan ("1999 Plan"), which provide for the granting of non-qualified and incentive stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and other awards to employees, directors and consultants.
1999 Stock Option Plan. In July 1999, the Company's Board of Directors approved the 1999 Stock Option Plan and in August 2001, the Company's shareholders approved an amendment to the 1999 Plan such that $15 \%$ of the outstanding shares of the Company would always be available for grants under the 1999 Plan. The 1999 Plan is designed to encourage selected employees and directors to improve operations and increase profits, to accept or continue employment or association with the Company through participation in the growth in the value of the common stock. The 1999 Plan requires that option exercise prices be not less than fair market value per share of common stock on the option grant date for incentive and nonqualified options. The options issued under the 1999 Plan generally vest in between three and five years. Option expiration dates are established by the plan administrator but may not be later than 10 years after the date of the grant.
In November 2007, the shareholders of the Company approved the termination of the 1999 Plan. No new option awards will be made under the 1999 Plan and the outstanding awards under the 1999 Plan will continue to be subject to the terms and conditions of the 1999 Plan.
2004 Stock Incentive Plan. In October 2004, the Company's Board of Directors and the stockholders approved the 2004 Plan. In November 2007, the 2004 Plan was amended and approved by the Company's stockholders. The maximum number of shares of common stock available for issuance under the 2004 Plan is $14.8 \%$ of the Company's outstanding common stock measured from time to time. In addition, the number of shares of the Company's common stock reserved for issuance will also automatically increase by an additional $1.5 \%$ on the first day of each of four fiscal years starting July 1, 2007. At March 31, 2012, there were a maximum of 2,099,632 shares available for issuance under the limits of the 2004 Plan.
Stock Options. The Company's income before income taxes and net income for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 included stock option compensation cost of zero and $\$ 3$, respectively. The total income tax benefit was zero and $\$ 1$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. At March 31, 2012, expense related to stock option grants has been fully recognized.
A summary of stock option activity under the Plans during the period July 1, 2010 to March 31, 2012 is presented below:

Outstanding-July 1, 2010
Granted
Exercised
Cancelled
Outstanding-June 30, 2011
Granted
Exercised
Cancelled
Outstanding-March 31, 2012
Options exercisable-June 30, 2011
Options exercisable-March 31, 2012

| Number of <br> Shares | Weighted-average <br> Exercise Price <br> Per Share |
| :--- | :--- |
| 395,920 | $\$ 8.52$ |
| - | $\$-$ |
| $(128,381$ | $)$ |
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The following table summarizes information as of March 31, 2012 concerning currently outstanding and exercisable options:

Options Outstanding

| Exercise | Number | Weighted-Average <br> Remaining | Number <br> Prices | Outstanding |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable under the Plans at March 31, 2012 was \$1,590.
Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. Under the 2004 Plan, employees and directors are eligible to receive grants of restricted stock and restricted stock units. The Company determines stock-based compensation expense using the fair value method. The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units is equal to the closing sale price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant.
During the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company granted 14,068 and 9,315 restricted stock units respectively, to employees and directors. Restricted stock unit ("RSU") awards granted during these quarters vest over three years, one-third on each anniversary date, except for any RSUs granted to our CEO, vest one-third on each fiscal year end.
The Company's income before income taxes and net income for the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 included stock award expense of $\$ 669$ and $\$ 604$, respectively. The income tax benefit was $\$ 268$ and $\$ 236$, respectively. For the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, stock award expense was $\$ 1,850$ and $\$ 1,536$, with total income tax benefit of $\$ 740$ and $\$ 606$, respectively. The Company recognizes compensation expense based upon the grant-date fair value divided by the vesting and the service period between each vesting date. At March 31, 2012, unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested awards aggregated to $\$ 4,024$ and is expected to be recognized in future periods as follows:

For the fiscal year remainder:
2012 \$ 658
2013
2,287
2014 950
2015 129
Total
\$ 4,024
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The following table presents the status and changes in restricted stock grants from July 1, 2010 through March 31, 2012:

Non-vested balance at July 1, 2010
Granted
Vested
Cancelled
Non-vested balance at June 30, 2011
Granted
Vested
Cancelled
Non-vested balance at March 31, 2012

| Restricted Stock <br> and Restricted | Weighted-Average <br> Grant-Date |
| :--- | :--- |
| Stock Unit Shares |  | Fair Value

The total fair value of shares vested for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 46$ and $\$ 1,311$, respectively.
2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. In October 2004, the Company’s Board of Directors and stockholders approved the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which is intended to qualify as an "Employee Stock Purchase Plan" under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. An aggregate total of 500,000 shares of the Company's common stock has been reserved for issuance and will be available for purchase under the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. At March 31, 2012, there have been no shares issued under the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
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## 7.EARNINGS PER SHARE ("EPS")

Basic EPS excludes dilution and is computed by dividing net income or loss available to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if stock options or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted to common stock that would then share in our earnings.
The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted EPS:

Earnings Per Common Share
Net income
Preferred stock dividends
Net income attributable to common shareholders
Average common shares issued and outstanding
Average unvested Restricted stock grant and RSU shares
Total qualifying shares
Earnings per common share
Diluted Earnings Per Common Share
Net income attributable to common shareholders
Preferred stock dividends to dilutive convertible preferred
Dilutive net income attributable to common shareholders
Average common shares issued and outstanding
Dilutive effect of Stock Options
Dilutive effect of convertible preferred stock
Total dilutive common shares issued and outstanding
Diluted earnings per common share

| Three Months Ended March 31, |  | Nine Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | arch 31, |  |
| 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| (Dollars in Thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |
| \$7,718 | \$5,275 | \$20,911 | \$15,036 |
| (387 | ) (77 | ) $(893$ | ) (232 |
| \$7,331 | \$5,198 | \$20,018 | \$ 14,804 |
| 11,448,404 | 10,310,743 | 11,163,025 | 10,259,510 |
| 470,901 | 506,168 | 449,862 | 438,895 |
| 11,919,305 | 10,816,911 | 11,612,887 | 10,698,405 |
| \$0.62 | \$0.48 | \$1.72 | \$1.38 |
| \$7,331 | \$5,198 | \$20,018 | \$14,804 |
| 302 | - | 653 |  |
| \$7,633 | \$5,198 | \$20,671 | \$14,804 |
| 11,919,305 | 10,816,911 | 11,612,887 | 10,698,405 |
| 58,507 | 106,944 | 60,353 | 101,364 |
| 1,249,091 | - | 629,888 | - |
| 13,226,903 | 10,923,855 | 12,303,128 | 10,799,769 |
| \$0.58 | \$0.48 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.37 |

## 8.COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Credit-Related Financial Instruments. The Company is a party to credit-related financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments are commitments to extend credit. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.
The Company's exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. The Company follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance-sheet instruments.
At March 31, 2012, the Company had commitments to originate loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of $\$ 100.9$ million. At March 31, 2012, the Company also had commitments to sell loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of $\$ 94.8$ million.
Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer so long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. The commitments for equity lines of credit may expire without being drawn upon.
Therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Company, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer.
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## 9.RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has granted related party loans collateralized by real property to officers, directors and their affiliates that are considered to be insiders by regulation. There were no refinances of existing related party loans or new loans granted under the provisions of the employee loan program during the nine months ended March 31, 2012, and no refinances of existing loans during the nine months ended March 31, 2011.

## 10. STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

On September 7, 2011, October 5, 2011, and November 2, 2011, the Company completed offerings of 12,117 shares, 1,065 shares, and 7,000 shares, respectively, of our $6.0 \%$ Series B Non-Cumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series B preferred stock"). Gross proceeds were $\$ 12,117, \$ 1,065$, and $\$ 7,000$ with net proceeds after expenses of approximately $\$ 11,477, \$ 1,058$ and $\$ 7,000$, respectively. The Series B preferred stock has a liquidation preference of $\$ 1,000$ per share over shares of common stock and other junior securities. In the event of liquidation, the Series B preferred stock ranks pari passu with the Series A preferred stock. Each share of the Series B preferred stock may be converted at any time, at the option of the holder, into 61.92 shares of our common stock (which reflects an approximate initial conversion price of $\$ 16.15$ per share of our common stock) plus cash in lieu of fractional shares, subject to anti-dilution and other adjustments. The conversion rate will be subject to certain anti-dilution and other adjustments. In addition, if the closing price of our common stock exceeds $\$ 20.50$ for 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) during any period of 30 consecutive trading days, we may at our option cause some or all of the Preferred Stock to be automatically converted into common stock at the then prevailing conversion rate.

On December 9, 2011, the Company completed an offering of 862,500 shares of our common stock, at a price per share of $\$ 16.00$, to institutional and retail investors. Gross proceeds were $\$ 13,800$ with net proceeds after expenses of approximately $\$ 13,300$.

## Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion provides information about the results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, off balance sheet items, contractual obligations and capital resources of BofI Holding, Inc. and subsidiary. This information is intended to facilitate the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our financial condition and the results of our operations. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial information in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011, and the interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in this report.
Some matters discussed in this report may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), and as such, may involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as "estimate," "project," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "believe," "will," or the negative thereof or other variations thereon or comparable terminolog or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, expectations of the environment in which we operate and projections of future performance.
Forward-looking statements are inherently unreliable and actual results may vary. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from these forward-looking statements include economic conditions, changes in the interest rate environment, changes in the competitive marketplace, risks associated with credit quality and other risk factors discussed under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Our Performance" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011, which has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All written and oral forward-looking statements made in connection with this report, which are attributable to us or persons acting
on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing information.
General
Our company, BofI Holding, Inc., is the holding company for BofI Federal Bank (formerly Bank of Internet USA), a nationwide branchless bank that provides financing for single and multifamily residential properties, small-to-medium size businesses in target sectors, and selected specialty finance receivables. BofI Federal Bank provides consumer and business deposit products through its low-cost distribution channels and affinity partners. Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market under the symbol "BOFI".
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BofI Federal Bank is a federal savings bank wholly-owned by our company and regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency. The parent company, BofI Holding, Inc., is a unitary savings and loan holding company regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
We originate small- to medium-size multifamily and single-family mortgage loans. We also purchase loans and mortgage-backed securities. We source our deposit products, including time deposits and interest bearing demand and savings accounts from low-cost channels including; direct retail over the internet, affinity and affiliate programs and wholesale programs. We manage our cash and cash equivalents based upon our need for liquidity, and we seek to minimize the assets we hold as cash and cash equivalents by investing our excess liquidity in higher yielding assets such as mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities.
Critical Accounting Policies
Our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions based upon historical experience and various factors and circumstances. We believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances. However, actual results may differ significantly from these estimates and assumptions that could have a material effect on the carrying value of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and our results of operations for the reporting periods.
Our significant accounting policies and practices are described in greater detail in Note 1 to our June 30, 2011 audited consolidated financial statements and under the caption "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies" contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for the fiscal year end June 30, 2011.

Use of Non-GAAP Financial Measures
In addition to the results presented in accordance with GAAP, this report includes non-GAAP financial measures such as core earnings. Core earnings exclude realized and unrealized gains and losses associated with our securities portfolios. Excluding these gains and losses provides investors with an understanding of our Bank's core lending and mortgage banking business. Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. Readers should be aware of these limitations and should be cautious as their use of such measures. Although we believe the non-GAAP financial measures disclosed in this report enhance investors' understanding of its business and performance, these non-GAAP measures should not be consider in isolation, or as a substitute for GAAP basis financial measures.
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## SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following tables set forth certain selected financial data concerning the periods indicated:
BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | March 31, <br> 2012 | June 30, <br> 2011 | March 31, <br> 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Selected Balance Sheet Data: |  |  |  |
| Total assets | $\$ 2,278,030$ | $\$ 1,940,087$ | $\$ 1,736,178$ |
| Loans—net of allowance for loan losses | $1,595,704$ | $1,325,101$ | $1,113,813$ |
| Loans held for sale, at fair value | 44,286 | 20,110 | 3,652 |
| Loans held for sale, lower of cost or market | 45,329 | - | - |
| Allowance for loan losses | 8,355 | 7,419 | 6,892 |
| Securities-trading | 5,983 | 5,053 | 4,469 |
| Securities—available for sale | 170,397 | 145,671 | 158,983 |
| Securities-held to maturity | 328,528 | 370,626 | 381,711 |
| Total deposits | $1,575,473$ | $1,340,325$ | $1,265,797$ |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 120,000 | 130,000 | 130,000 |
| Advances from the FHLB | 359,000 | 305,000 | 186,000 |
| Subordinated debentures and other borrowings | 5,155 | 7,655 | 5,155 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 203,239 | 147,766 | 142,184 |
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BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY
SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

Selected Income Statement Data:
Interest and dividend income
Interest expense
Net interest income
Provision for loan losses
Net interest income after provision for loan losses
Non-interest income
Non-interest expense
Income before income tax expense
Income tax expense
Net income
Net income attributable to common stock
Per Share Data:
Net income:
Basic
Diluted
Book value per common share
Tangible book value per common share
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:
Basic
Diluted
Common shares outstanding at end of period
Common shares issued at end of period
Performance Ratios and Other Data:
Loan originations for investment
Loan originations for sale
Loan purchases
Return on average assets
Return on average common stockholders' equity
Interest rate spread ${ }^{1}$
Net interest margin ${ }^{2}$
Efficiency ratio
Capital Ratios:
Equity to assets at end of period
Tier 1 leverage (core) capital to adjusted tangible assets ${ }^{3}$
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ${ }^{3}$
Total risk-based capital ratio ${ }^{3}$
Tangible capital to tangible assets ${ }^{3}$
Asset Quality Ratios:
Net annualized charge-offs to average loans outstanding $0.43 \quad \% \quad 0.43 \quad \% \quad 0.41 \quad \% \quad 0.51 \quad \%$
Nonperforming loans to total loans
0.99

| 11,919,305 |  | 10,816,91 | ,000 | 11,612,887 |  | 10,698 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13,226,903 |  | 10,923,85 | ,000 | 12,303,128 |  | 10,799 |  |
| 11,430,145 |  | 10,351,83 | ,000 | 11,430,145 |  | 10,351 |  |
| 12,174,770 |  | 11,003,606 | ,000 | 12,174,770 |  | 11,003 |  |
| \$145,312 |  | \$ 152,290 |  | \$530,091 |  | \$ 361, |  |
| 179,399 |  | 23,306 |  | 497,578 |  | 162,99 |  |
| - |  | 6,922 |  | - |  | 110,68 |  |
| 1.38 | \% | 1.25 | \% | 1.30 | \% | 1.28 | \% |
| 16.80 | \% | 15.35 | \% | 16.64 | \% | 15.02 | \% |
| 3.57 | \% | 3.56 | \% | 3.50 | \% | 3.48 | \% |
| 3.72 | \% | 3.71 | \% | 3.66 | \% | 3.66 | \% |
| 37.99 | \% | 43.12 | \% | 40.50 | \% | 39.25 | \% |
| 8.92 | \% | 8.19 | \% | 8.92 | \% | 8.19 | \% |
| 8.42 | \% | 8.11 | \% | 8.42 | \% | 8.11 | \% |
| 13.47 | \% | 12.97 | \% | 13.47 | \% | 12.97 | \% |
| 14.05 | \% | 13.61 | \% | 14.05 | \% | 13.61 | \% |
| 8.42 | \% | 8.11 | \% | 8.42 | \% | 8.11 | \% |
| 0.43 | \% | 0.43 | \% | 0.41 | \% | 0.51 | \% |
| 0.99 | \% | 0.94 | \% | 0.99 | \% | 0.94 | \% |


| Nonperforming assets to total assets | 0.76 | $\%$ | 1.11 | $\%$ | 0.76 | $\%$ | 1.11 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of period | 0.52 | $\%$ | 0.61 | $\%$ | 0.52 | $\%$ | 0.61 | $\%$ |
| Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans | 52.14 | $\%$ | 64.93 | $\%$ | 52.14 | $\%$ | 64.93 | $\%$ |

[^5]
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## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the Three and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011
For the three months ended March 31, 2012, we had net income of $\$ 7,718,000$ compared to net income of $\$ 5,275,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Net income attributable to common stockholders was $\$ 7,331,000$ or $\$ 0.58$ per diluted share compared to net income attributable to common shareholders of $\$ 5,198,000$ or $\$ 0.48$ per diluted share for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2010, respectively.

Other key comparisons between our operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 are:
Net interest income increased $\$ 5,032,000$ in the quarter ended March 31, 2012 due to a $32.7 \%$ increase in average earning assets primarily from loan originations. Our net interest margin increased 1 basis points in the quarter ended March 31, 2012 compared to March 31, 2011, as the earning rates on loans decreased 42 basis points and rates on securities decreased 65 basis points, partially offset by a decrease in the rates paid on deposits and borrowings of 45 basis points.
Non-interest income increased $\$ 1,932,000$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The increase in non-interest income was primarily the result of a $\$ 3,955,000$ increase in gain on sale of loans held for sale and fair value gains from trading securities of $\$ 305,000$, offset by impairment on investment securities of $\$ 1,211,000$.
Non-interest expense increased $\$ 1,761,000$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2011 primarily due to a $\$ 1,437,000$ increase in compensation attributed to increased staffing and office expansion.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2012, we had net income of \$20,911,000 compared to net income of $\$ 15,036,000$ for the same period last year. Net income attributable to common stockholders was $\$ 20,018,000$ or $\$ 1.68$ per diluted share compared to net income attributable to common shareholders of $\$ 14,804,000$ or $\$ 1.37$ per diluted share for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

We define net income without the after-tax impact of realized and unrealized securities gains and losses as adjusted earnings ("core earnings") which we believe provides useful information about the Bank's operating performance. Core earnings for the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, were $\$ 8,256,000$ and $\$ 4,453,000$, respectively. For the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, core earnings were $\$ 21,861,000$ and $\$ 14,449,000$, respectively.

Below is a reconciliation of net income to core earnings:

Net Income
Realized securities gains
Unrealized securities losses
Tax provision
Core Earnings
Net Interest Income
Net interest income for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 totaled $\$ 20.3$ million, an increase of $32.7 \%$ compared to net interest income of $\$ 15.3$ million for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.
Total interest and dividend income during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 increased $22.6 \%$ to $\$ 29.3$ million, compared to $\$ 23.9$ million during the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The increase in interest and dividend income for the 2012 quarter was attributable primarily to growth in average earning assets from origination of loans. The average
balance of loans increased $55.9 \%$ when compared to the three-month period ended March 31, 2011. The increase in interest income was partially offset by lower rates earned on loans and mortgage-backed securities. The loan portfolio yield for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 decreased 42 basis points and the investment security portfolio yield decreased 65 basis points from the 2011 period. The net growth in average earning assets for the three-month period was funded largely by increased deposits and to a lesser extent borrowings.
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Total interest expense was $\$ 9.0$ million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, an increase of $\$ 0.4$ million or $4.7 \%$ as compared with the same period in 2011. The average funding rate decreased by 45 basis points while average interest-bearing liabilities grew $30.3 \%$. Contributing to the decrease in the average funding rate were decreases in the average rates for time deposits of 28 basis points and FHLB advances of 109 basis points partially offset by an increase in the average funding rates of demand and savings accounts of 5 basis points. Other borrowing costs increased 15 basis points when comparing the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. Net interest margin, defined as net interest income divided by average earning assets, increased by 1 basis points to $3.72 \%$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, compared with $3.71 \%$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2011.
For the nine months ended March 31, 2012 , net interest income was $\$ 57.6$ million, a $36.8 \%$ increase compared to net interest income of $\$ 42.1$ million for the nine months ended March 31, 2011. The increase in interest and dividend income for the 2012 period was attributable primarily to growth in average earning assets from origination of loans. The average balance of loans increased $65.6 \%$ when compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2011. The increase in interest income was partially offset by lower rates earned on loans and mortgage-backed securities. The loan portfolio yield for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 decreased 47 basis points and the investment security portfolio yield decreased 56 basis points from the 2011 period. The net growth in average earning assets for the nine-month period was funded largely by increased deposits and to a lesser extent borrowings.
Total interest expense was $\$ 28.1$ million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, an increase of $\$ 2.6$ million or $10.2 \%$ as compared with the same period in 2011. The average funding rate decreased by 46 basis points while average interest-bearing liabilities grew $36.7 \%$. Contributing to the decrease in the average funding rate was a decrease in the average rate for time deposits of 50 basis points and FHLB advances of 109 basis points partially offset by an increase in the average funding rate of demand and savings accounts of 6 basis points. Other borrowing costs decreased zero basis points when comparing the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. Our net interest margin remained unchanged at $3.66 \%$ for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, compared with $3.66 \%$ for the same period last year.
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Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid
The following table presents information regarding (i) average balances; (ii) the total amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the weighted average yields on such assets; (iii) the total amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the weighted average rates paid on such liabilities; (iv) net interest income; (v) interest rate spread; and (vi) net interest margin for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

For the three month period ended
March 31,
20122011
(Dollars in thousands)

| Average | Interest | Average Yields | Average | Interest | Average Yields |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Balance ${ }^{2}$ | Income/ | Earned/Rates | Ealance ${ }^{2}$ | Income/ | Earned/Rates |
|  | Expense | Paid $^{1}$ |  | Expense | Paid $^{1}$ |

Assets:

| Loans $^{3,4}$ | $\$ 1,653,455$ | $\$ 22,898$ | 5.54 | $\%$ | $\$ 1,060,438$ | $\$ 15,811$ | 5.96 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Federal funds sold $^{2}$ | 14,763 | 5 | 0.14 | $\%$ | 8,460 | 3 | 0.14 | $\%$ |
| Interest-earning deposits in <br> other financial institutions | 272 | - | - | $\%$ | 849 | - | - | $\%$ |
| Mortgage-backed and other <br> investment securities | 501,676 | 6,426 | 5.12 | $\%$ | 561,906 | 8,102 | 5.77 | $\%$ |
| Stock of the FHLB, at cost | 17,074 | 19 | 0.45 | $\%$ | 16,732 | 12 | 0.29 | $\%$ |
| Total interest-earning assets | $2,187,240$ | 29,348 | 5.37 | $\%$ | $1,648,385$ | 23,928 | 5.81 | $\%$ |
| Non-interest-earning assets | 46,755 |  |  |  | 42,929 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | $\$ 2,233,995$ |  |  |  | $\$ 1,691,314$ |  |  |  |

Liabilities and Stockholders'
Equity:

| Interest-bearing demand and savings | \$567,136 | \$1,294 | 0.91 | \% | \$317,733 | \$681 | 0.86 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time deposits | 969,011 | 4,885 | 2.02 | \% | 875,017 | 5,035 | 2.30 | \% |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 123,235 | 1,346 | 4.37 | \% | 130,000 | 1,415 | 4.35 | \% |
| Advances from the FHLB | 337,261 | 1,451 | 1.72 | \% | 207,873 | 1,459 | 2.81 | \% |
| Other borrowings | 5,155 | 37 | 2.87 | \% | 5,156 | 35 | 2.72 | \% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 2,001,798 | 9,013 | 1.80 | \% | 1,535,779 | 8,625 | 2.25 | \% |
| Non-interest-bearing demand deposits | 16,697 |  |  |  | 6,844 |  |  |  |
| Other non-interest-bearing liabilities | 16,473 |  |  |  | 8,188 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity | 199,027 |  |  |  | 140,503 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$2,233,995 |  |  |  | \$ 1,691,314 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$20,335 |  |  |  | \$15,303 |  |  |
| Interest rate spread ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | 3.57 | \% |  |  | 3.56 | \% |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{7}$ |  |  | 3.72 | \% |  |  | 3.71 | \% |

[^6]Reinvestment Act loans which are taxed at a reduced rate.
5. Includes $\$ 5.5$ million of municipal securities which are taxed at a reduced rate.
6. Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
7. Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
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The following table presents information regarding (i) average balances; (ii) the total amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the weighted average yields on such assets; (iii) the total amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the weighted average rates paid on such liabilities; (iv) net interest income; (v) interest rate spread; and (vi) net interest margin for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

For the nine month period ended
March 31, 20122011
(Dollars in thousands)

|  | Interest | Average Yield |  | Interest | Average Yie |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Income/ | Earned/Rates | ge | Income/ | Earned/Rates |
| Balance ${ }^{2}$ | Expense | Paid ${ }^{1}$ | Balance ${ }^{2}$ | Expense | Paid ${ }^{1}$ |

Assets:

| Loans $^{3,4}$ | $\$ 1,562,549$ | $\$ 65,503$ | 5.59 | $\%$ | $\$ 943,302$ | $\$ 42,900$ | 6.06 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Federal funds sold | 13,269 | 9 | 0.09 | $\%$ | 9,188 | 9 | 0.13 | $\%$ |
| Interest-earning deposits in <br> other financial institutions | 264 | - | - | $\%$ | 407 | - | - | $\%$ |
| Mortgage-backed and other <br> investment securities | 508,398 | 20,176 | 5.29 | $\%$ | 561,588 | 24,644 | 5.85 | $\%$ |
| Stock of the FHLB, at cost | 15,982 | 41 | 0.34 | $\%$ | 17,210 | 50 | 0.39 | $\%$ |
| Total interest-earning assets | $2,100,462$ | 85,729 | 5.44 | $\%$ | $1,531,695$ | 67,603 | 5.88 | $\%$ |
| Non-interest-earning assets | 45,577 |  |  |  | 37,923 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | $\$ 2,146,039$ |  |  |  | $\$ 1,569,618$ |  |  |  |

Liabilities and Stockholders'
Equity:

| Interest-bearing demand and savings | \$462,032 | \$3,197 | 0.92 | \% | \$346,861 | \$2,248 | 0.86 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time deposits | 1,025,647 | 16,080 | 2.09 | \% | 720,689 | 14,010 | 2.59 | \% |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | 127,745 | 4,237 | 4.42 | \% | 130,000 | 4,306 | 4.42 | \% |
| Advances from the FHLB | 316,953 | 4,506 | 1.90 | \% | 215,157 | 4,828 | 2.99 | \% |
| Other borrowings | 5,155 | 111 | 2.87 | \% | 5,160 | 111 | 2.87 | \% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 1,937,532 | 28,131 | 1.94 | \% | 1,417,867 | 25,503 | 2.40 | \% |
| Non-interest-bearing demand deposits | 14,903 |  |  |  | 8,098 |  |  |  |
| Other non-interest-bearing liabilities | 15,023 |  |  |  | 7,194 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity | 178,581 |  |  |  | 136,459 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$2,146,039 |  |  |  | \$ 1,569,618 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$57,598 |  |  |  | \$42,100 |  |  |
| Interest rate spread ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | 3.50 | \% |  |  | 3.48 | \% |
| Net interest margin ${ }^{7}$ |  |  | 3.66 | \% |  |  | 3.66 | \% |

[^7]Reinvestment Act loans which are taxed at a reduced rate.
5. Includes $\$ 5.5$ million of municipal securities which are taxed at a reduced rate.
6. Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
7. Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

## Table of Contents

Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid
The following table sets forth the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income. Information is provided with respect to (i) effects on interest income and interest expense attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate); (ii) effects on interest income and interest expense attributable to changes in rate (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume); and (iii) changes in rate/volume (change in rate multiplied by change in volume) for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

| For the Three Months Ended March 31, | For the Nine Months Ended March 31, |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2012 vs 2011 | 2012 vs 2011 |
| Increase (Decrease) Due to | Increase (Decrease) Due to | (Dollars in thousands)

Total

Volume Rate $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
Rate/Volumdncrease <br>
(Decrease)

 Volume $\quad$ Rate $\quad$

Total <br>
\end{tabular}

Increase/(decrease) in interest income:
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\text { Loans } & 8,836 & (1,113 & ) & (636 & ) & 7,087 & 28,145 & (3,325 & ) & (2,217 & ) \\ \text { Federal funds sold } & 2 & - & - & 2 & 4 & (3) & (1) & - \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Interest-earning deposits in }\end{array} & - & - & - & - & - & - & - \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { other financial institutions }\end{array} & & & & & & & \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Mortgage-backed and other } \\ \text { investment securities }\end{array}(869 & )(913 & ) & 106 & (1,676 & ) & (2,334 & ) & (2,359 & ) & 225 & (4,468\end{array}\right)$

Increase/(decrease) in
interest expense:

| Interest-bearing demand and savings | \$536 | \$40 |  | \$ 37 |  | \$ 613 |  | \$743 | \$156 |  | \$ 50 |  | \$949 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time deposits | 540 | (613 | ) | (77 | ) | (150 | ) | 5,924 | (2,703 |  | (1,151 | ) | 2,070 |
| Securities sold under agreements to repurchase | (74 | 7 |  | (2 | ) | (69 | ) | (75 | ) - |  | 6 |  | (69 |
| Advances from the FHLB | 909 | (566 | ) | (351 | ) | (8 | ) | 2,283 | (1,759 |  | (846 | ) | (322 |
| Other borrowings | - | 2 |  | - |  | 2 |  | - | - |  | - |  | - |
|  | \$1,911 | \$(1,1 |  | \$ (393 | ) | \$388 |  | \$8,875 | \$(4,306 |  | \$ (1,941 | ) | \$2,628 |

## Provision for Loan Losses

The loan loss provision was $\$ 2,000,000$ and $\$ 1,150,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011. For the nine months ended March 31, 2012, loan loss provision was $\$ 5,963,000$ compared to $\$ 4,350,000$ for the nine months ended March 31, 2011. The increases in the provision for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2012 was a result of higher charge offs of single family and multifamily loans and overall increase in the loan portfolio. Provisions for loan losses are charged to income to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management based on the factors discussed under "Financial Condition-Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses."
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Non-Interest Income
The following table sets forth information regarding our non-interest income for the periods shown:

Realized gain on securities:
Sale of mortgage-backed securities $\quad \$-\quad \$ 1,478 \quad \$(1,478)-\quad 1,960 \quad \$(1,960)$
Total realized gain on securities $\quad-\quad 1,478 \quad(1,478)$
Other-than-temporary loss on securities:
Total impairment losses
Loss recognized in other comprehensive loss
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings
Fair value gain on trading securities
Total unrealized loss on securities
Prepayment penalty fee income
Mortgage banking income
Banking service fees and other income
Total non-interest income

| For the Three Months Ended |  |  | For the Nine Months Ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 31, |  |  | March 31, |  |  |
| 2012 | 2011 | Inc (Dec) | 2012 | 2011 | Inc (Dec) |
| (Dollars in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$- | \$1,478 | \$(1,478 ) | - | 1,960 | \$ 1,960 |
| - | 1,478 | (1,478 ) | - | 1,960 | (1,960 |

Non-interest income increased $\$ 2.0$ million to $\$ 3.9$ million from $\$ 1.9$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. The increase was primarily the result of higher mortgage banking income of $\$ 4.0$ million, fair value gain on trading securities of $\$ 305,000$, partially offset by impairment of securities of $\$ 1.2$ million. The increase in mortgage banking income includes gains on sale of loans of $\$ 4.4$ million and $\$ 444,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, due to an increase in origination volume of loans held for sale to $\$ 179.4$ million from $\$ 23.3$ million. Non-interest income increased $\$ 5.4$ million to $\$ 11.4$ million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily the result of an increase in mortgage banking income of $\$ 8.6$ million, fair value gains on trading securities of $\$ 930,000$, partially offset by impairment of securities of $\$ 2.5$ million. The decline of $\$ 710,000$ in prepayment penalty income is attributable to one specialty loan which the commercial borrower elected to repay early during 2011.
Non-Interest Expense
The following table sets forth information regarding our non-interest expense for the periods shown:

Salaries, employee benefits and stock-based compensation
Professional services
Occupancy and equipment
Data processing and internet
Advertising and promotional
Depreciation and amortization
Real estate owned and repossessed vehicles
FDIC and primary federal regulator fees
Other general and administrative

| For the Three Months Ended March 31, |  |  | For the Nine Months Ended March 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2012 | 2011 | Inc (Dec) | ) 2012 | 2011 | Inc (Dec) |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$5,270 | \$3,833 | \$1,437 | \$14,952 | \$ 10,240 | \$4,712 |
| 365 | 525 | (160 ) | 1,542 | 1,544 | (2 |
| 301 | 257 | 44 | 856 | 606 | 250 |
| 666 | 216 | 450 | 1,649 | 693 | 956 |
| 788 | 261 | 527 | 1,852 | 592 | 1,260 |
| 347 | 181 | 166 | 977 | 364 | 613 |
| (25 | ) 796 | (821 ) | ) 2,003 | 1,248 | 755 |
| 422 | 559 | (137 ) | ) 1,088 | 1,474 | (386 |
| 1,056 | 801 | 255 | 3,027 | 2,107 | 920 |
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Non-interest expense, which is comprised primarily of compensation, data processing and internet expenses, occupancy and other operating expenses, was $\$ 9.2$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012, up from $\$ 7.4$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2011.
Total salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation increased $\$ 1,437,000$ to $\$ 5,270,000$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 3,833,000$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. Total compensation increased approximately $5 \%$ for commissions and loan production bonuses paid to employees, $18 \%$ due to additional staffing in mortgage lending, and $77 \%$ due to all other staffing. For the nine months ended March 31, 2012 , compensation increased $\$ 4,712,000$ to $\$ 14,952,000$ compared to $\$ 10,240,000$ for the same period last year. Total compensation increased approximately $24 \%$ for commissions and loan production bonuses paid to employees, $20 \%$ due to additional staffing in mortgage lending, and $56 \%$ due to all other staffing. The Bank's staff increased to 209 from 170 full-time equivalents between March 31, 2012 and 2011.
Professional services, which include accounting and legal fees, decreased $\$ 160,000$ and $\$ 2,000$ for the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The decreases were primarily due to legal fees related to loan acquisition contracts and foreclosed assets.
Advertising and promotional expense increased $\$ 527,000$ and $\$ 1,260,000$ for the three and nine month periods ending March 31, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods ended March 31, 2011. The increases were primarily due to increases in lead generation costs for our single family loan origination program as a result of higher mortgage refinance volume.
Data processing and internet expense increased $\$ 450,000$ and $\$ 956,000$ for the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The increases were primarily due to growth in the number of customer accounts and fees for special enhancements to the Bank's core processing system.
The costs and losses associated with the maintenance and sale of REO and repossessed RV's decreased $\$ 821,000$ and increased $\$ 755,000$ for the three and nine month periods ending March 31, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The decrease in REO expense for the quarter was largely attributable to the liquidation of two REO properties for which the Bank was remitted all funds due and recognized a gain on sale of $\$ 230,000$. The Bank also took a valuation charge of $\$ 62,000$ on it's two current single family REO properties and repossessed RV portfolio. The Bank does not anticipate incurring any more charges to these properties.
The cost of our Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation or "FDIC" and "OCC" standard regulatory charges decreased $\$ 137,000$ and $\$ 386,000$ for the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The decreases were due to a rate reduction as a result of changes in the FDIC insurance premium cost calculation. As an FDIC-insured institution, the Bank is required to pay deposit insurance premiums to the FDIC.
Other general and administrative expense increased $\$ 255,000$ and $\$ 920,000$ for the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2012, respectively, compared to the same periods last year. The increases were primarily due to loan and other general expenses related to the growth in loan volume and the number of employees.
Provision for Income Taxes
Our effective income tax rates (income tax provision divided by net income before income tax) for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were $40.64 \%$ and $39.00 \%$, respectively. Our effective tax rates for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were $40.43 \%$ and $39.51 \%$, respectively. The increase in the tax rate is the result of changes in state tax allocations.
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## FINANCIAL CONDITION

## Balance Sheet Analysis

Our total assets increased $\$ 337.9$ million, or $17.4 \%$, to $\$ 2,278.0$ million, as of March 31,2012 , up from $\$ 1,940.1$ million at June 30, 2011. The increase in total assets was primarily due to an increase of $\$ 270.6$ million in loans held for investment. Total liabilities increased a total of $\$ 282.5$ million, primarily due to an increase in deposits of $\$ 235.1$ million and an increase in borrowings of $\$ 54.0$ million from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (the "FHLB"). Our deferred income taxes increased $\$ 0.2$ million to $\$ 9.5$ million primarily due to the impairment in our securities portfolio, loan loss provision, and state taxes.
Loans
Net loans held for investment increased $20.4 \%$ to $\$ 1,595.7$ million at March 31, 2012 from $\$ 1,325.1$ million at June 30, 2011. The increase in the loan portfolio was due to loan originations and purchases of $\$ 530.1$ million, offset by loan repayments of $\$ 172.9$ million, transfers to our held for sale portfolio of $\$ 85.8$ million and to foreclosed real estate of $\$ 0.8$ million, and a net increase in the allowance of $\$ 0.9$ million during the nine months ended March 31, 2012.

The following table sets forth the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

|  | March 31, 2012 <br> (Dollars in |  |  | June 30, 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

The Bank originates and purchases mortgage loans with terms that may include repayments that are less than the repayments for fully amortizing loans, including interest only loans, option adjustable-rate mortgages, and other loan types that permit payments that may be smaller than interest accruals. The Bank's lending guidelines for interest only loans are adjusted for the increased credit risk associated with these loans by requiring borrowers with such loans to borrow at LTVs that are lower than standard amortizing ARM loans and by calculating debt to income ratios for qualifying borrowers based upon a fully amortizing payment, not the interest only payment. The Company's Internal Asset Review Committee monitors and performs reviews of interest only loans. Adverse trends reflected in the Company's delinquency statistics, grading and classification of interest only loans would be reported to management and the Board of Directors. As of March 31, 2012, the Company had $\$ 314.6$ million of interest only loans and $\$ 8.1$ million of option adjustable-rate mortgage loans. Through March 31, 2012, the net amount of deferred interest on these loan types was not material to the financial position or operating results of the Company.
During fiscal 2011, the Bank changed its growth strategy to originate more mortgage loans rather than purchasing loans.
Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Loss
Nonperforming Assets
Nonperforming loans are comprised of loans past due 90 days or more on nonaccrual status and other nonaccrual loans. Nonperforming assets include nonperforming loans plus other foreclosed real estate and repossessed assets. At

March 31, 2012, our nonperforming loans totaled $\$ 16,024,000$, or $0.99 \%$ of total gross loans and our total nonperforming assets totaled $\$ 17,388,000$, or $0.76 \%$ of total assets.
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Nonperforming loans and foreclosed assets or "nonperforming assets" consisted of the following as of the dates indicated:

| March 31, $\quad$ June 30, | Inc (Dec) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2012 | 2011 |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |

Nonperforming assets:
Non-accrual loans:
Loans secured by real estate:

| Single family | $\$ 9,271$ | $\$ 6,586$ | $\$ 2,685$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Home equity loans | 59 | 157 | $(98$ |
| Multifamily | 5,688 | 2,744 | 2,944 |
| Commercial | 279 | - | 279 |
| Total nonaccrual loans secured by real estate | 15,297 | 9,487 | 5,810 |
| RV / Auto | 727 | 125 | 602 |
| Total nonperforming loans | 16,024 | 9,612 | 6,412 |
| Foreclosed real estate | 737 | 7,678 | $(6,941$ |
| Repossessed—vehicles | 627 | 1,926 | $(1,299$ |
| Total nonperforming assets | $\$ 17,388$ | $\$ 19,216$ | $\$(1,828)$ |
| Total nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans ${ }^{1}$ | 0.99 | $\%$ | 0.72 |
| Total nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets ${ }^{1}$ | 0.76 | $\%$ | 0.99 |

[^8]A troubled debt restructuring is a concession made to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties, typically permanent or temporary modifications of principal and interest payments or an extension of maturity dates. When a loan is delinquent and classified as a troubled debt restructuring no interest is accrued until the borrower demonstrates over time (typically six months) that it can make payments. When a loan is considered a troubled debt restructuring and is on nonaccrual, it is considered non-performing and included in the table above. The Bank had performing troubled debt restructurings on mortgage loans and RV loans with outstanding balances totaling $\$ 5.6$ million at March 31, 2012 and $\$ 7.7$ million at June 30, 2011.
Allowance for Loan Losses
We are committed to maintaining the allowance for loan losses at a level that is considered to be commensurate with estimated and known risks in the portfolio. Although the adequacy of the allowance is reviewed quarterly, our management performs an ongoing assessment of the risks inherent in the portfolio. While we believe that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at March 31, 2012, future additions to the allowance will be subject to continuing evaluation of estimated and known, as well as inherent, risks in the loan portfolio.

The assessment of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses is based upon a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including levels and trends of past due and nonaccrual loans, change in volume and mix of loans, collateral values and charge-off history.
We provide general loan loss reserves for our RV and auto loans based upon the borrower credit score at the time of origination and the Company's loss experience to date. The allowance for loan loss for the RV and auto loan portfolio at March 31, 2012 was determined by classifying each outstanding loan according to the original FICO score and providing loss rates. The Company had $\$ 23,500,000$ of RV and auto loan balances subject to general reserves as follows: FICO greater than or
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equal to 770: $\$ 6,642,000 ; 715-769: \$ 7,565,000 ; 700-714: \$ 1,558,000 ; 660-699: \$ 3,897,000$ and less than 660 : \$3,838,000.
Over the last two years, we have experienced increased charge-offs of RV loans due to the nationwide recession. Our portfolio of RV loans is expected to decrease in the future because the Bank ceased originating RV loans in fiscal 2009.

The Company provides general loan loss reserves for mortgage loans based upon the size and class of the mortgage loan and the loan-to-value ratio (LTV) at date of origination. The allowance for each class is determined by dividing the outstanding unpaid balance for each loan by the loan-to-value and applying a loss rates. The LTV groupings for each significant mortgage class are as follows:
The Company had $\$ 718,328,000$ of single family mortgage portfolio loan balances subject to general reserves as follows: LTV less than or equal to $60 \%$ : $\$ 520,001,000 ; 61 \%-70 \%$ : $\$ 159,916,000 ; 71 \%-80 \%$ : $\$ 30,132,000$; and greater than $80 \%$ : $\$ 8,279,000$.
The Company had $\$ 651,573,000$ of multifamily mortgage portfolio loan balances subject to general reserves as follows: LTV less than or equal to $55 \%$ : $\$ 308,037,000 ; 56 \%-65 \%$ : $\$ 215,014,000 ; 66 \%-75 \%$ : $\$ 112,669,000 ; 76 \%-$ $80 \%$ : $\$ 9,817,000$ and greater than $80 \%$ : $\$ 6,036,000$. During the quarter ended March 31, 2011, the Company divided the LTV analysis into two classes, separating the purchased loans from the loans underwritten directly by the Company. Based on historical performance, the Company concluded that originated multifamily loans require lower estimated loss rates.
The Company had $\$ 34,952,000$ of commercial real estate loan balances subject to general reserves as follows: LTV less than or equal to $50 \%$ : $\$ 20,405,000 ; 51 \%-60 \%$ : $\$ 9,047,000 ; 61 \%-70 \%$ : $\$ 4,588,000$; and $71 \%-80 \%$ : $\$ 912,000$. The weighted average LTV percentage for our entire real estate loan portfolio was $54 \%$ at March 31, 2012. We believe that this percentage is lower and more conservative than most banks. This has resulted in lower average mortgage loan charge-offs when compared to many other comparable banks.
While we anticipate that such level of charge-offs will continue into the future, given the uncertainties surrounding the improvement of the U.S. economy, we may experience an increase in the relative amount of charge-offs and we may be required to increase our loan loss provisions in the future to provide a larger loss allowance for one or more of our loan types.
The following table summarizes impaired loans as of:

|  | March 31, | June 30, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |
| Nonperforming loans-90+ days past due plus other non-accrual loans | $\$ 12,920$ | $\$ 8,417$ |
| Troubled debt restructuring loans-non-accrual | 3,104 | 1,195 |
| Troubled debt restructuring loans-performing | 5,591 | 7,748 |
| Total impaired loans | $\$ 21,615$ | $\$ 17,360$ |

The following table reflects management's allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category and the ratio of each loan category to total loans as of the dates indicated:

March 31, 2012

| Amount <br> of | Allocation <br> as a $\%$ of | Amount <br> Allowance <br> of <br> (Dollars in | Allowance | Allowance <br> as a $\%$ of <br> Allowance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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| Consumer—Recreational vehicles | 2,560 | 30.64 | $\%$ | 2,441 | 32.90 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Other | 243 | 2.91 | $\%$ | 50 | 0.67 | $\%$ |
| Total | $\$ 8,355$ | 100.00 | $\%$ | $\$ 7,419$ | 100.00 | $\%$ |

The loan loss provision was $\$ 2,000,000$ and $\$ 1,150,000$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011, 55
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respectively. We believe that the lower average LTV in the Bank's loan portfolio will continue to result in the future in lower average mortgage loan charge-offs when compared to many other comparable banks. Our general loan loss reserves are based upon historical losses and expected future trends. The resolution of the Bank's existing REO and nonperforming loans should not have a significant adverse impact on our operating results.
Investment Securities
Total investment securities were $\$ 504.9$ million as of March 31, 2012, compared with $\$ 521.4$ million at June 30, 2011. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, we had $\$ 30$ million in purchases of mortgage-backed securities and $\$ 35$ million in U.S. government/agency debt and Collateralized Loan Obligations, had $\$ 25$ million in sales and maturity of bonds, and received principal repayments of approximately $\$ 24$ million in our available for sale portfolio. In our held to maturity portfolio, we had zero purchases of mortgage-backed securities, municipal bonds, or agency debt, and received principal repayments of $\$ 37$ million with the balance attributable to accretion and other activities. We had $\$ 10$ million of agency debt called during the nine months ended March 31, 2012. We currently classify agency mortgage-backed and debt securities as held to maturity or available for sale at the time of purchase based upon small issue size and based on issue features, such as callable terms.
Deposits
Deposits increased a net $\$ 235.1$ million, or $17.5 \%$, to $\$ 1,575.5$ million at March 31, 2012, from $\$ 1,340.3$ million at June 30, 2011. Our deposit growth composition was the result of a $17.1 \%$ increase in interest- bearing demand accounts and an $81.2 \%$ increase in savings accounts as a result of increased promotion and competitive pricing of savings accounts during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.
The following table sets forth the composition of the deposit portfolio as of the dates indicated:

|  | March 31, 2012 |  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Rate ${ }^{1}$ |  | Amount | Rate ${ }^{1}$ |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-interest bearing: | \$ 11,363 | - | \% | \$7,369 | - | \% |
| Interest bearing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand | 89,930 | 0.68 | \% | 76,793 | 0.75 | \% |
| Savings | 486,391 | 0.83 | \% | 268,384 | 0.93 | \% |
| Time deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$100,000 | 445,518 | 2.40 | \% | 337,937 | 2.24 | \% |
| \$100,000 or more | 542,271 | 1.53 | \% | 649,842 | 2.15 | \% |
| Total time deposits ${ }^{2}$ | 987,789 | 1.92 | \% | 987,779 | 2.18 | \% |
| Total interest bearing | 1,564,110 | 1.51 | \% | 1,332,956 | 1.85 | \% |
| Total deposits | \$ 1,575,473 | 1.50 | \% | \$1,340,325 | 1.84 | \% |

${ }^{1}$. Based on weighted-average stated interest rates at end of period.
2. The total includes brokered deposits of $\$ 259.8$ million and $\$ 209.6$ million as of March 31, 2012 and June 30, 2011, respectively, of which $\$ 191.7$ million and $\$ 161.5$ million, respectively, are time deposits.

The following table sets forth the number of deposit accounts by type as of the date indicated:

|  | March 31, | June 30, | March 31, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Checking and savings accounts | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 |
| Time deposits | 19,249 | 16,105 | 15,064 |
| Total number of deposit accounts | 13,584 | 16,793 | 13,997 |
|  | 32,833 | 32,898 | 29,061 |
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Borrowings
The following table sets forth the composition of our borrowings as of the dates indicated:


At March 31, 2012, total borrowings amounted to $\$ 484.2$ million, up from $\$ 442.7$ million or $9.4 \%$ from June 30, 2011 and $\$ 163.0$ million or $50.8 \%$ from March 31, 2011. Total borrowings represented $21.25 \%$ of total assets and had a weighted average cost of $2.2 \%$ at March 31, 2012, compared with $22.8 \%$ of total assets at a weighted average cost of $2.7 \%$ at June 30, 2011 and $18.5 \%$ of total assets at a weighted average cost of $3.5 \%$ at March 31, 2011.
We have sold securities under various agreements to repurchase for total proceeds of $\$ 120.0$ million. The repurchase agreements have interest rates between $3.24 \%$ and $4.75 \%$ and scheduled maturities between October 2012 and December 2017. Under these agreements, we may be required to repay the $\$ 120.0$ million and repurchase our securities before the scheduled maturity if the issuer requests repayment on scheduled quarterly call dates. The weighted-average remaining contractual maturity period is 2.84 years and the weighted average remaining period before such repurchase agreements could be called is 0.23 years.
We regularly use advances from the FHLB to manage our interest rate risk and, to a lesser extent, manage our liquidity position. Generally, FHLB advances with terms between three and ten years have been used to fund the purchase of single family and multifamily mortgages and to provide us with interest rate risk protection should rates rise. At March 31, 2012, a total of $\$ 19.0$ million of FHLB advances include agreements that allow the FHLB, at its option, to put the advances back to us after specified dates. The weighted-average remaining contractual maturity period of the $\$ 19.0$ million in advances is 3.11 years and the weighted average remaining period before such advances could be put to us is 0.37 years.
Stockholders' Equity
Stockholders' equity increased $\$ 55.5$ million to $\$ 203.2$ million at March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 147.8$ million at June 30, 2011. The increase was the result of our net income for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 of $\$ 20.9$ million, issuance of preferred stock of $\$ 19.5$ million, issuance of common stock of $\$ 13.3$ million, vesting and issuance of RSU's and exercise of stock options of $\$ 2.3$ million, a $\$ 0.3$ million unrealized gains from our available for sale securities and $\$ 0.9$ million in dividends paid.

LIQUIDITY
Cash flow information is as follows:

|  | (Dollars in Thousands) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Operating Activities | $\$(47,941$ | ) $\$ 9,796$ |
| Investing Activities | $\$(245,053$ | $)$ |
| Financing Activities | $\$ 309,800$ | $\$ 301,637$ |

During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, we had net cash outflows from operating activities of $\$ 47.9$ million compared to inflows of $\$ 9.8$ million for the for the nine months ended March 31, 2011. Net operating cash inflows for the 2011
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period were primarily due to the proceeds from sale of loans held for sale, while net outflows in 2012 were due to originations of loans held for sale
Net cash outflows from investing activities totaled $\$ 245.1$ million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, while outflows totaled $\$ 318.6$ million for the same period in 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher loan originations offset by reduced loan purchases and increased repayments of loans in the 2012 period compared to the same period in the prior year.
Our net cash provided by financing activities totaled $\$ 309.8$ million for the nine months ended March 31, 2012, while inflows totaled $\$ 301.3$ million for the nine months ended March 31, 2011. Net cash provided by financing activities increased primarily from growth in deposits and issuance of common and preferred stock offset by a net decrease in short term borrowings for the nine months ended March 31, 2012 compared to March 31, 2011. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, the Bank could borrow up to $40.0 \%$ of its total assets from the FHLB. Borrowings are collateralized by the pledge of certain mortgage loans and investment securities to the FHLB. At March 31, 2012, the Company had $\$ 349.8$ million available immediately and an additional $\$ 181.4$ million available with additional collateral. At March 31, 2012, we also had two $\$ 10.0$ million unsecured federal funds purchase lines with two different banks under which no borrowings were outstanding.
The Bank has the ability to borrow short-term from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Discount Window. At March 31, 2012, the Bank did not have any borrowings outstanding and the amount available from this source was $\$ 107.2$ million. These borrowings are collateralized by consumer loans, and mortgage-backed securities.
In an effort to expand our Bank's liquidity options, we have issued brokered deposits, with $\$ 259.8$ million outstanding at March 31, 2012. We believe our liquidity sources to be stable and adequate for our anticipated needs and contingencies. We believe we have the ability to increase our level of deposits and borrowings to address our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future.
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## OFF-BALANCE SHEET COMMITMENTS

At March 31, 2012, we had commitments to originate loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of $\$ 100.9$ million, and commitments to sell loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of $\$ 94.8$ million. We have no commitments to purchase loans, investment securities or any other unused lines of credit.

## CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The Company enters into contractual obligations in the normal course of business primarily as a source of funds for its asset growth and to meet required capital needs. Our time deposits due within one year of March 31, 2012 totaled $\$ 579.9$ million. We believe the large percentage of time deposits that mature within one year reflects customers' hesitancy to invest their funds long term. If these maturing deposits do not remain with us, we may be required to seek other sources of funds, including other time deposits and borrowings. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on deposits and borrowings than we currently pay on time deposits maturing within one year. We believe, however, based on past experience, a significant portion of our time deposits will remain with us. We believe we have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting interest rates offered.
The following table presents certain of our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2012:
Payments Due by Period ${ }^{1}$


Our contractual obligations include long-term debt, time deposits and operating leases as shown. We had no capitalized leases or material commitments for capital expenditures at March 31, 2012.
2. Amounts include principal and interest due to recipient.
3. Payments are for a lease of real property.

## CAPITAL RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS

BofI Federal Bank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements set by the federal banking agencies. Failure by our Bank to meet minimum capital requirements could result in certain mandatory and discretionary actions by regulators that could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, our Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our Bank's assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our Bank's capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.
Quantitative measures established by regulation require our Bank to maintain certain minimum capital amounts and ratios. Regulations of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (OCC) require our Bank to maintain minimum ratios of tangible capital to tangible assets of $1.5 \%$, core capital to tangible assets of $4.0 \%$ and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of $8.0 \%$. At March 31, 2012, our Bank met all the capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject. At March 31, 2012, our Bank was "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be "well capitalized," our Bank must maintain minimum leverage, tier 1 risk-based and total risk-based capital ratios of at least $5.0 \%, 6.0 \%$ and $10.0 \%$, respectively. Management believes that no conditions or events have occurred since March 31, 2012 that management believes would materially adversely change the Bank's capital classification. From time to time, we may need to raise additional capital to support our Bank's further growth and to maintain its "well capitalized" status.
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The Bank's capital amounts, capital ratios and capital requirements at March 31, 2012 were as follows:

|  | Actual |  |  | For Capita Purposes | Adequacy |  | To be "Well Capitalized" Under Prompt Corrective Action Regulations |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount (Dollars in | Ratio housands) |  | Amount | Ratio |  | Amount | Ratio |  |
| Tier 1 leverage (core) capital to adjusted tangible assets | \$191,978 | 8.42 | \% | \$91,216 | 4.00 | \% | \$114,020 | 5.00 | \% |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 191,978 | 13.47 | \% | N/A | N/A |  | 85,534 | 6.00 | \% |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | 200,333 | 14.05 | \% | 114,045 | 8.00 | \% | 142,556 | 10.00 | \% |
| Tangible capital (to tangible assets) | 191,978 | 8.42 | \% | 34,206 | 1.50 | \% | N/A | N/A |  |

## QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We measure interest rate sensitivity as the difference between amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that mature or contractually re-price within a given period of time. The difference, or the interest rate sensitivity gap, provides an indication of the extent to which an institution's interest rate spread will be affected by changes in interest rates. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities and negative when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive assets. In a rising interest rate environment, an institution with a positive gap would be in a better position than an institution with a negative gap to invest in higher yielding assets or to have its asset yields adjusted upward, which would cause the yield on its assets to increase at a faster pace than the cost of its interest-bearing liabilities. During a period of falling interest rates, however, an institution with a positive gap would tend to have its assets reprice at a faster rate than one with a negative gap, which would tend to reduce the growth in its net interest income. The following table sets forth the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities at March 31, 2012:
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Interest-earning assets:
Cash and cash equivalents
Securities ${ }^{1}$
Stock of the FHLB, at cost
Loans-net of allowance for loan loss
Loans held for sale
Total interest-earning assets
Non-interest earning assets
Total assets
Interest-bearing liabilities:
Interest-bearing deposits ${ }^{3}$
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase
Advances from the FHLB ${ }^{4}$
Other borrowed funds
Total interest-bearing liabilities
Other non-interest-bearing liabilities
Stockholders' equity
Total liabilities and equity
Net interest rate sensitivity gap
Cumulative gap
Net interest rate sensitivity gap-as a \% of interest earning assets
Cumulative gap-as \% of cumulative interest earning assets

| Term to Repricing, Repayment, or Maturity at |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| Over One | Over One | Over Five |  |
| Year or | Year Through | Years |  |
| Less | Five Years |  |  |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |


| \$25,857 |  | \$- |  | \$- |  | \$25,857 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 281,138 |  | 37,735 |  | 186,036 |  | 504,909 |  |
| 16,873 |  | - |  | - |  | 16,873 |  |
| 354,936 |  | 753,820 |  | 486,947 |  | 1,595,703 |  |
| 89,615 |  | - |  | - |  | 89,615 |  |
| 768,419 |  | 791,555 |  | 672,983 |  | 2,232,957 |  |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | 45,073 |  |
| \$768,419 |  | \$791,555 |  | \$672,983 |  | \$2,278,030 |  |
| \$ 1,147,723 |  | \$300,914 |  | \$115,473 |  | \$1,564,110 |  |
| 10,000 |  | 75,000 |  | 35,000 |  | 120,000 |  |
| 191,000 |  | 113,000 |  | 55,000 |  | 359,000 |  |
| 5,155 |  | - |  | - |  | 5,155 |  |
| 1,353,878 |  | 488,914 |  | 205,473 |  | 2,048,265 |  |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | 26,526 |  |
| - |  | - |  | - |  | 203,239 |  |
| \$ 1,353,878 |  | \$488,914 |  | \$205,473 |  | \$2,278,030 |  |
| \$ (585,459 | ) | \$302,641 |  | \$467,510 |  | \$184,692 |  |
| \$(585,459 | ) | \$ 282,818 | ) | \$184,692 |  | \$184,692 |  |
| (76.19 | )\% | 38.23 | \% | 69.47 | \% | 8.27 | \% |
| (76.19 |  | (18.13 | )\% | 8.27 | \% | 8.27 | \% |

[^9]
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| (Dollars in thousands) | Net Present Value | Percentage Change from Base |  | Net |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Present |  |
|  |  |  |  | Value as a |  |
|  |  |  |  | Percentage of Assets |  |
| Up 300 basis points | \$161,213 | (32.7 | )\% | 7.41 | \% |
| Up 200 basis points | 185,563 | (22.5 | )\% | 8.32 | \% |
| Up 100 basis points | 213,115 | (11.0 | )\% | 9.31 | \% |
| Base | 239,555 | - | \% | 10.19 | \% |
| Down 100 basis points | 258,710 | 8.0 | \% | 10.77 | \% |
| Down 200 basis points | 283,735 | 18.4 | \% | 11.66 | \% |

The computation of the prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes is based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of interest rates, asset prepayments, runoffs in deposits and changes in repricing levels of deposits to general market rates, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Furthermore, these computations do not take into account any actions that we may undertake in response to future changes in interest rates.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures regarding market risks in our portfolio, see, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk."

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer along with our Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that the Company's internal controls will prevent or detect all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of internal controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, have been detected. Also, any evaluation of the effectiveness of controls in future periods are subject to the risk that those internal controls may become inadequate because of changes in business conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.
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## PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS
We are not involved in any material legal proceedings. From time to time we may be a party to a claim or litigation that arises in the ordinary course of business, such as claims to enforce liens, claims involving the origination and servicing of loans, and other issues related to the business of the Bank.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We face a variety of risks that are inherent in our business and our industry. These risks are described in more detail under "MD\&A - Factors That May Affect Our Performance," in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2011. We encourage you to read these factors in their entirety. Moreover, other factors may also exist that we cannot anticipate or that we currently do not consider to be significant based on information that is currently available.
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## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The table below sets forth information regarding the Company's common stock repurchase plans. Purchases made relate to the stock repurchase plan of 414,991 shares that was originally approved by the Company's Board of Directors on July 5, 2005, plus an additional 500,000 shares approved on November 20, 2008. Stock repurchased under this plan will be held as treasury shares.

| Period | Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Shares | Total Number of <br> Shares <br> Purchased as Part <br> Publicly <br> Announced <br> Plans or Programs | Maximum <br> Number of f Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stock Repurchases |  |  |  |  |
| Shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Balance at July 1, 2011 | 595,700 | \$5.72 | 595,700 | 319,291 |
| Ending Balance at March 31, 2012 | 595,700 | \$5.72 | 595,700 | 319,291 |
| Stock Retained in Net Settlement |  |  |  |  |
| Beginning Balance at July 1, 2011 | 119,931 |  |  |  |
| July 1, 2011 to July 31, 2011 | 1,372 |  |  |  |
| August 1, 2011 to August 31, 2011 | 9,269 |  |  |  |
| September 1, 2011 to September 30, 2011 | 11,140 |  |  |  |
| October 1, 2011 to October 31, 2011 | 2,420 |  |  |  |
| November 1, 2011 to November 30, 2011 | - |  |  |  |
| December 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011 | 3,188 |  |  |  |
| January 1, 2012 to January 31, 2012 | 691 |  |  |  |
| February 1, 2012 to February 29, 2012 | 228 |  |  |  |
| March 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012 | 686 |  |  |  |
| Ending Balance at March 31, 2012 | 148,925 |  |  |  |
| Total Treasury Shares at March 31, 2012 | 744,625 |  |  |  |
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ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

| Exhibit | Document |
| :--- | :--- |
| 31.1 | Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1 | Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to <br> Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2 | Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to <br> Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instant Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Definition Document |
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## SIGNATURE

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: May 3, 2012

Dated: May 3, 2012

Dated: May 3, 2012

BofI Holding, Inc.
By: /s/ Gregory Garrabrants
Gregory Garrabrants
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)
By: /s/ Michael R. Sisk
Michael R. Sisk Senior Vice President and Chief
Accounting Officer
(Principal Accounting Officer)
By: /s/ Andrew J. Micheletti
Andrew J. Micheletti Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)
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[^0]:    See accompanying notes to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

[^1]:    1. U.S. government-backed or government sponsored enterprises including Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae. 2.
[^2]:    1. The recorded investment in impaired loans also includes $\$ 56$ of accrued interest receivable and unaccreted discounts and loan fees.
[^3]:    1. Loans evaluated for impairment include TDRs that have been performing for more than six months.
[^4]:    1. Borrowers in these categories made payments after December 31, 2011. Without these three loans the delinquent total would have been $1.37 \%$ of total loans.
[^5]:    1. Interest rate spread represents the difference between the annualized weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average
    rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
    2. Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
    ${ }^{3 .}$ Reflects regulatory capital ratios of BofI Federal Bank.
[^6]:    1. Annualized.
    2. Average balances are obtained from daily data.
    3. Loans include loans held for sale, loan premiums and unearned fees.
    4. Interest income includes reductions for amortization of loan and investment securities premiums and earnings from accretion of discounts and loan fees. Loan fee income is not significant. Also, includes $\$ 33.5$ million of Community
[^7]:    1. Annualized.
    2. Average balances are obtained from daily data.
    3. Loans include loans held for sale, loan premiums and unearned fees.
    4. Interest income includes reductions for amortization of loan and investment securities premiums and earnings from accretion of discounts and loan fees. Loan fee income is not significant. Also, includes $\$ 33.5$ million of Community
[^8]:    1. Includes a $\$ 2.2$ million multifamily TDR mortgage loan placed on non accrual as a result of stale borrower financial statements. The borrower was current at March 31, 2012 and is expected to resolve the financial statement issue. Without this $\$ 2.2$ million multifamily loan, non performing loans to total loans would have been 86 basis and non performing assets to total assets would have been 61 basis points at March 31, 2012.

    Total nonperforming assets decreased from $\$ 19.2$ million at June 30, 2011 to $\$ 17.4$ million at March 31, 2012. The nonperforming assets decrease of $\$ 1.8$ million, or 23 basis points on assets, was the net result of a decrease in foreclosed real estate of $\$ 6.9$ million, a decrease in repossessed vehicles of $\$ 1.3$ million, which was offset by an increase in nonperforming loans of $\$ 6.4$ million. During the nine months ended March 31, 2012, there were more sales of foreclosed real estate and repossessed vehicles than there were new foreclosures and repossessions. Currently, more delinquent loans are being resolved through short sale rather than through foreclosure and bank sale. The result is an increase in delinquent non performing loans and a reduction in foreclosed real estate.

[^9]:    ${ }^{1 .}$ Comprised of U.S. government securities and mortgage-backed securities, which are classified as held to maturity, available for sale and trading. The table reflects contractual re-pricing dates.
    2. The table reflects either contractual re-pricing dates or maturities.
    3. The table assumes that the principal balances for demand deposit and savings accounts will re-price in the first year.
    4. The table reflects either contractual repricing dates or maturities and does not estimate prepayments or puts.

    Although "gap" analysis is a useful measurement device available to management in determining the existence of interest rate exposure, its static focus as of a particular date makes it necessary to utilize other techniques in measuring exposure to changes in interest rates. For example, gap analysis is limited in its ability to predict trends in future earnings and makes no assumptions about changes in prepayment tendencies, deposit or loan maturity preferences or repricing time lags that may occur in response to a change in the interest rate environment.
    We attempt to measure the effect market interest rate changes will have on the net present value of assets and liabilities, which is defined as market value of equity. The market value of equity for these purposes is not intended to refer to the trading pricing of our common stock. We analyze the market value of equity sensitivity to an immediate parallel and sustained shift in interest rates derived from the current treasury and LIBOR yield curves. For rising interest rate scenarios, the industry market interest rate forecast was increased by 100, 200 and 300 basis points. The following table indicates the sensitivity of market value of equity to the interest rate movement described above at March 31, 2012:

