EATON VANCE MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST Form N-CSRS July 27, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form N-CSR

CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED

MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES

Investment Company Act File Number: 811-09141

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Maureen A. Gemma

Two International Place, Boston, Massachusetts 02110

(Name and Address of Agent for Services)

(617) 482-8260

(Registrant s Telephone Number)

November 30

Date of Fiscal Year End

May 31, 2016

Date of Reporting Period

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders

Municipal Income Trust (EVN)

Semiannual Report

May 31, 2016

Commodity Futures Trading Commission Registration. Effective December 31, 2012, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) adopted certain regulatory changes that subject registered investment companies and advisers to regulation by the CFTC if a fund invests more than a prescribed level of its assets in certain CFTC-regulated instruments (including futures, certain options and swap agreements) or markets itself as providing investment exposure to such instruments. The Fund has claimed an exclusion from the definition of the term—commodity pool operator—under the Commodity Exchange Act. Accordingly, neither the Fund nor the adviser with respect to the operation of the Fund is subject to CFTC regulation. Because of its management of other strategies, the Fund s adviser is registered with the CFTC as a commodity pool operator and a commodity trading advisor.

Fund shares are not insured by the FDIC and are not deposits or other obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Shares are subject to investment risks, including possible loss of principal invested.

Semiannual Report May 31, 2016

Eaton Vance

Municipal Income Trust

Table of Contents

Performance	2
Fund Profile	2
Endnotes and Additional Disclosures	3
Financial Statements	4
Annual Meeting of Shareholders	21
Board of Trustees Contract Approval	22
Officers and Trustees	25
Important Notices	26

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Performance^{1,2}

Portfolio Manager Cynthia J. Clemson

% Average Annual Total Returns	Inception Date	Six Months	One Year	Five Years	Ten Y	Years
Fund at NAV	01/29/1999	10.57%	16.34%	13.99%		6.83%
Fund at Market Price		10.13	15.74	11.28		6.88
Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index		5.35%	8.60%	7.51%		5.49%
% Premium/Discount to NAV ³						
" I Tellium/Discount to IVA V						+2.43%
						T2.43 /0
Distributions ⁴						
Total Distributions per share for the period					\$ (0.408
Distribution Rate at NAV					Ψ	5.37
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at NAV						9.49
Distribution Rate at Market Price						5.24
Taxable-Equivalent Distribution Rate at Market Price						9.26
1 1						
% Total Leverage ⁵						
Auction Preferred Shares (APS)						2.62%
Institutional MuniFund Preferred (iMTP) Shares						12.05
Residual Interest Bond (RIB) Financing					2	26.47
Fund Profile						

Credit Quality (% of total investments)^{6,7}

See Endnotes and Additional Disclosures in this report.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value (NAV) or market price (as applicable) with all distributions reinvested and include management fees and other expenses. Fund performance at market price will differ from its results at NAV due to factors such as changing perceptions about the Fund, market conditions, fluctuations in supply and demand for Fund shares, or changes in Fund distributions. Investment return and principal value will fluctuate so that shares, when sold, may be worth more or less than their original cost. Performance less than or equal to one year is cumulative. Performance is for the stated time period only; due to market volatility, current Fund performance may be lower or higher than the quoted return. For performance as of the most recent month-end, please refer to eatonvance.com.

Eaton Vance

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Endnotes and Additional Disclosures

- ¹ Barclays Long (22+) Year Municipal Bond Index is an unmanaged index of municipal bonds traded in the U.S. with maturities of 22 years or more. Unless otherwise stated, index returns do not reflect the effect of any applicable sales charges, commissions, expenses, taxes or leverage, as applicable. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.
- ² Performance results reflect the effects of leverage. Performance since inception for an index, if presented, is the performance since the Fund s or oldest share class inception, as applicable. Included in the average annual total return at NAV is the impact of the tender and repurchase of a portion of the Fund s APS at 94.5% of its APS per share liquidation preference. Had this transaction not occurred, the total return at NAV would be lower.
- ³ The shares of the Fund often trade at a discount or premium from their net asset value. The discount or premium of the Fund may vary over time and may be higher or lower than what is quoted in this report. For up-to-date premium/discount information, please refer to http://eatonvance.com/closedend.
- ⁴ The Distribution Rate is based on the Fund s last regular distribution per share in the period (annualized) divided by the Fund s NAV or market price at the end of the period. The Fund s distributions may be comprised of amounts characterized for federal income tax purposes as tax-exempt income, qualified and non-qualified ordinary dividends, capital gains and nondividend distributions, also known as return of capital. For additional information about nondividend distributions, please refer to Eaton Vance Closed-End Fund Distribution Notices (19a) posted on our website, eatonvance.com. The Fund will determine the federal income tax character of distributions paid to a shareholder after the end of the calendar year. This is reported on the IRS form 1099-DIV and provided to the shareholder shortly after each year- end. For information about the tax character of distributions made in prior calendar years, please refer to Performance-Tax Character of Distributions on the Fund s webpage available at eatonvance. com. The Fund s distributions are determined by the investment adviser based on its current assessment of the Fund s long-term return potential. Fund distributions may be affected by numerous factors including changes in Fund performance, the cost of financing for leverage, portfolio holdings, realized and projected returns, and other factors. As portfolio and market conditions change, the rate of distributions paid by the Fund could change. Taxable-equivalent performance is based on the highest combined federal and state income tax rates, as applicable. Lower tax rates would result in lower tax-equivalent performance. Actual tax rate(s) will vary depending on your income, exemptions and deductions. Rates do not include local taxes.
- Fund employs RIB financing and/or APS and iMTP Shares leverage. The leverage created by RIB investments, APS and iMTP Shares provides an opportunity for increased income but, at the same time, creates special risks (including the likelihood of greater price volatility). The cost of leverage rises and falls with changes in short- term interest rates. See Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held in the notes to the financial statements for more information about RIB financing. RIB leverage represents the amount of Floating Rate Notes outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS, iMTP Shares and Floating Rate Notes. APS leverage represents the liquidation value of the Fund s iMTP Shares outstanding at period end as a percentage of Fund net assets applicable to common shares plus APS, iMTP Shares and Floating Rate Notes. The Fund may be required to maintain prescribed asset coverage for its leverage and may be required to reduce its leverage at an inopportune time.
- 6 Ratings are based on Moody s, S&P or Fitch, as applicable. If securities are rated differently by the ratings agencies, the higher rating is applied. Ratings, which are subject to change, apply to the creditworthiness of the issuers of the underlying securities and not to the Fund or its shares. Credit ratings measure the quality of a bond based on the issuer s creditworthiness, with ratings ranging from AAA, being the highest, to D, being the lowest based on S&P s measures. Ratings of BBB or higher by S&P or Fitch (Baa or higher by Moody s) are considered to be investment- grade quality. Credit ratings are based largely on the ratings agency s analysis at the time of rating. The rating assigned to any particular security is not necessarily a reflection of the issuer s current financial condition and does not necessarily reflect its assessment of the volatility of a security s market value or of the liquidity of an investment in the security. Holdings designated as Not Rated are not rated by the national ratings agencies stated above.

⁷ The chart includes the municipal bonds held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds, consistent with the Portfolio of Investments.

Fund profile subject to change due to active management.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited)

Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 163.8%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Cogeneration 0.0%) Northampton County Industrial Development Authority, PA, (Northampton Generating), 5.00%, 12/31/23 ⁽²⁾	\$ 252	\$	111,874
		\$	111,874
Education 7.9% Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Boston University), 6.00%, 5/15/59 Massachusetts Health and Educational Facilities Authority, (Harvard University), 5.00%, 10/1/38 ⁽³⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (Cornell University), 5.00%, 7/1/39 ⁽³⁾ New York Dormitory Authority, (The New School), 5.75%, 7/1/50	\$ 5,580 2,490 10,500 4,000	11	7,381,391 2,634,047 1,753,385 4,584,440
		\$ 20	6,353,263
Electric Utilities 4.3% Apache County Industrial Development Authority, AZ, (Tucson Electric Power Co.), 4.50%, 3/1/30 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaiian Electric Co.), 6.50%, 7/1/39 Indiana Financing Authority, (Duke Energy Indiana, Inc.), 6.00%, 8/1/39 South Carolina Public Service Authority, 5.50%, 12/1/54	\$ 1,685 2,540 1,000 7,000	1	1,876,332 2,891,028 1,107,170 3,291,150 4,165,680
Escrowed / Prerefunded 1.1% Onondaga Civic Development Corp., NY, (St. Joseph s Hospital Health Center), Prerefunded to 7/1/22, 5.00%, 7/1/42 St. Paul, Housing and Redevelopment Authority, MN, (HealthPartners Obligated Group), Prerefunded to 11/15/16, 5.25%, 5/15/36	\$ 1,675 1,500	1	2,031,457 1,532,265 3,563,722
General Obligations 14.0% Frisco, Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 8/15/37 ⁽³⁾ Illinois, 5.00%, 5/1/33 Klein, Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 5.00%, 2/1/36 ⁽³⁾ Leander, Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/39 New York, 5.00%, 2/15/34 ⁽³⁾ Security	\$ 9,000 5,000 3,250 17,900 2,500	3	0,463,850 5,417,400 3,740,685 7,156,599 2,914,625 Value

	Principal Amount s omitted)	
General Obligations (continued) Port of Houston Authority of Harris County, TX, (AMT), 5.625%, 10/1/38 ⁽³⁾ Wylie Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/36 Wylie Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/37 Wylie Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/38 Wylie Independent School District, TX, (PSF Guaranteed), 0.00%, 8/15/39	\$ 2,340 7,980 7,500 8,500 6,035	\$ 2,566,980 4,015,297 3,605,025 3,864,950 2,618,888
		\$ 46,364,299
California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Providence Health System), 5.50%, 10/1/39 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (St. Joseph Health System), 5.00%, 7/1/37 California Health Facilities Financing Authority, (Sutter Health Obligation Group), 5.00%, 8/15/52 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (John Muir Health), 5.00%, 8/15/34 Camden County Improvement Authority, NJ, (Cooper Health System), 5.75%, 2/15/42 Hawaii Department of Budget and Finance, (Hawaii Pacific Health Obligated Group), 5.50%, 7/1/40 Illinois Finance Authority, (Provena Healthcare), 7.75%, 8/15/34 Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Children s Hospital), 5.00%, 10/1/46 ⁽¹⁾⁽⁴⁾ Massachusetts Development Finance Agency, (Tufts Medical Center), 6.75%, 1/1/36 Monroe County Hospital Authority, PA, (Pocono Medical Center), 5.25%, 1/1/43 New York Dormitory Authority, (Orange Regional Medical Center), 6.125%, 12/1/27 North Carolina Medical Care Commission, (North Carolina Baptist Hospital), 5.25%, 6/1/29 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ South Lake County Hospital District EL (South Lake Hospital)), 6.25%, 4/1/39	\$ 10,000 440 10,000 3,255 1,335 1,870 3,280 10,000 1,535 2,715 1,465 2,930 11,400	\$ 11,390,200 516,608 11,660,000 3,286,541 1,582,816 2,099,187 3,908,317 11,812,100 1,816,181 2,773,888 1,600,762 3,202,871 12,913,008
South Lake County Hospital District, FL, (South Lake Hospital), 6.25%, 4/1/39 Southeastern Ohio Port Authority, (Memorial Health System Obligated Group), 5.00%, 12/1/43 Southeastern Ohio Port Authority, (Memorial Health System Obligated Group), 5.50%, 12/1/43 West Virginia Hospital Finance Authority, (West Virginia United Health System Obligated Group), 5.375%, 6/1/38	1,570 785 670 1,000	1,770,693 824,352 746,923 1,170,620
	, , , , ,	, -,-

4

See Notes to Financial Statements.

\$ 73,075,067

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value	÷
Housing 1.8% Centerline Equity Issuer Trust, TN, 6.00%, 10/31/52 ⁽⁵⁾ New Hope Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (CHF-Collegiate Housing Stephenville III, LLC - Tarleton State University), 5.00%, 4/1/47 Texas Student Housing Corp., (University of Northern Texas), 6.75%, 7/1/16 Texas Student Housing Corp., (University of Northern Texas), 6.85%, 7/1/31	\$	4,000 555 755 180	\$ 4,455,640 603,834 754,811 178,735	1
Total State 11 Total Grant Control of Trol and Total State 1 Total State		100	\$ 5,993,020	
Industrial Development Revenue 5.9% Clayton County Development Authority, GA, (Delta Air Lines, Inc.), 8.75%, 6/1/29 Denver City and County, CO, (United Airlines), (AMT), 5.75%, 10/1/32 Essex County Improvement Authority, NJ, (Covanta), (AMT), 5.25%, 7/1/45 ⁽⁵⁾ Luzerne County Industrial Development Authority, PA, (Pennsylvania-American Water Co.), 5.50%, 12/1/39 Maine Finance Authority, (Casella Waste Systems, Inc.), (AMT), 5.125% to 8/1/25 (Put Date), 8/1/35 ⁽⁵⁾ Maine Finance Authority, (Casella Waste Systems, Inc.), (AMT), 6.25% to 2/1/17 (Put Date), 1/1/25 ⁽⁵⁾ New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), (AMT), 5.25%, 9/15/29 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), Series 2000A, (AMT), 5.625%, 11/15/30 New Jersey Economic Development Authority, (Continental Airlines), Series 2000B, (AMT), 5.625%, 11/15/30	\$	400 1,370 4,390 1,600 1,075 1,660 4,680 1,005 1,715	\$ 493,092 1,436,034 4,494,306 1,806,224 1,238,228 1,703,509 5,204,955 1,158,142 1,976,332	1 3 3 3 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
Insured Electric Utilities 0.6% Puerto Rico Electric Power Authority, (AGM), 0.939%, 7/1/29(6)	\$	3,000	2,163,120 2,163,120	
Insured General Obligations 1.0% Arcadia, CA, Unified School District, (Election of 2006), (AGM), 0.00%, 8/1/38	\$	10,000	\$ 3,429,900 3,429,900)
Insured Hospital 4.7% Iowa Finance Authority, Health Facilities, (Iowa Health System), (AGC), Prerefunded to 8/15/19, 5.625%, 8/15/37 Maryland Health and Higher Educational Facilities Authority, (LifeBridge Health), (AGC), 4.75%, 7/1/47 ⁽³⁾ Security		2,625 2,500 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$ 3,012,660 2,558,925 Value	5

Insured Hospital (continued) New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Meridian Health System), Series I, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/38 ⁽³⁾	\$ 9,510		10,161,719 15,733,304
Insured Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 1.0% San Diego County Water Authority, CA, Certificates of Participation, (AGM), 5.00%, 5/1/38 ⁽³⁾	\$ 3,000		3,236,190 3,236,190
Insured Other Revenue 0.6% Harris County-Houston Sports Authority, TX, (AGM), (NPFG), 0.00%, 11/15/34	\$ 4,210	\$ \$	2,131,902 2,131,902
Insured Special Tax Revenue 7.8% Miami-Dade County, FL, Professional Sports Franchise Facilities, (AGC), 0.00%, 10/1/37 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/43 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/45 Puerto Rico Sales Tax Financing Corp., (NPFG), 0.00%, 8/1/46	\$ 31,900 29,510 10,510 30,000		14,582,766 5,211,761 1,633,780 4,375,200 25,803,507
Insured Student Loan 2.0% Maine Educational Loan Authority, (AGC), 5.625%, 12/1/27 Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.35%, 1/1/30 Massachusetts Educational Financing Authority, (AMBAC), (AMT), 4.70%, 1/1/33 New Jersey Higher Education Student Assistance Authority, (AGC), (AMT), 6.125%, 6/1/30	\$ 1,880 350 2,600 1,440	\$	2,059,446 367,385 2,604,264 1,547,107 6,578,202
Insured Transportation 14.5% Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, CA, (NPFG), 0.00%, 10/1/33 Chicago, IL, (O Hare International Airport), (AGM), 5.50%, 1/1/43 Clark County, NV, (Las Vegas-McCarran International Airport), (AGM), 5.25%, 7/1/39 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), (AGM), (AMT), 5.25%, 10/1/41	\$ 12,425 935 3,850 1,990	\$	6,955,888 1,087,629 4,323,126 2,150,653

5

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Transportation (continued) North Carolina Turnpike Authority, (AGC), 0.00%, 1/1/34 Ohio, (Portsmouth Gateway Group, LLC), (AGM), (AMT), 5.00%, 12/31/39 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGC), (CIFG), 5.25%, 7/1/41 Puerto Rico Highway and Transportation Authority, (AGM), 5.50%, 7/1/31 San Jose, CA, Airport Revenue, (AGM), (AMBAC), (BHAC), (AMT), 5.00%, 3/1/37 San Jose, CA, Airport Revenue, (AMBAC), (AMT), 5.50%, 3/1/32	\$	15,000 700 9,820 2,370 2,425 8,805		8,429,250 801,591 10,118,430 2,553,130 2,497,071 9,098,823 48,015,591
Insured Water and Sewer 11.8% DeKalb County, GA, Water and Sewerage Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/35 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ Jefferson County, AL, Sewer Revenue, (AGM), 0.00%, 10/1/34 Jefferson County, AL, Sewer Revenue, (AGM), 0.00%, 10/1/35 Jefferson County, AL, Sewer Revenue, (AGM), 0.00%, 10/1/36 Jefferson County, AL, Sewer Revenue, (AGM), 5.00%, 10/1/44 Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.00%, 7/1/28 ⁽⁷⁾ Puerto Rico Aqueduct and Sewer Authority, (AGC), 5.125%, 7/1/47	\$	17,985 6,000 6,680 7,000 3,750 3,640 2,000		22,130,363 2,340,780 2,423,972 2,431,800 4,215,825 3,758,882 2,039,140 39,340,762
Lease Revenue / Certificates of Participation 2.8% Hudson Yards Infrastructure Corp., NY, 5.75%, 2/15/47 Mohave County Industrial Development Authority, AZ, (Mohave Prison LLC), 8.00%, 5/1/25 New Jersey Health Care Facilities Financing Authority, (Hospital Asset Transformation Program), 5.75%, 10/1/31	\$	2,135 4,400 1,735	\$ \$	2,511,699 4,932,180 1,938,377 9,382,256
Other Revenue 3.2% Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., NY, (Barclays Center), 6.00%, 7/15/30 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., NY, (Barclays Center), 6.25%, 7/15/40 Brooklyn Arena Local Development Corp., NY, (Barclays Center), 6.375%, 7/15/43 Central Falls Detention Facility Corp., RI, 7.25%, 7/15/35(8) Security	\$	785 880 480 1,925 Principal Amount s omitted)	\$	900,544 1,012,862 553,829 478,016
Other Revenue (continued) Otero County, NM, Jail Project Revenue, 5.75%, 4/1/18	\$	115	\$	117,130
Salt Verde Financial Corp., AZ, Senior Gas Revenue, 5.00%, 12/1/37		5,000		6,257,100

White Earth Band of Chippewa Indians, MN, 6.375%, 12/1/26⁽⁵⁾ 1,184,302

\$ 10,503,783

S	Senior	Living /	Life (Care	5.9%

Douglas County Hospital Authority No. 2, NE, (Immanuel Obligated Group), 5.50%, 1/1/30 ⁽⁷⁾	\$ 535	\$ 593,45	54
Douglas County Hospital Authority No. 2, NE, (Immanuel Obligated Group), 5.625%, 1/1/40	1,075	1,186,52	21
Logan County, CO, (TLC Care Choices, Inc.), 6.875%, 12/1/23(8)	3,109	759,94	43
Multnomah County Hospital Facilities Authority, OR, (Mirabella at South Waterfront), 5.40%, 10/1/44	1,480	1,649,94	48
Palm Beach County Health Facilities Authority, FL, (Sinai Residences of Boca Raton), 7.25%, 6/1/39	740	904,64	43
Palm Beach County Health Facilities Authority, FL, (Sinai Residences of Boca Raton), 7.50%, 6/1/49	3,650	4,511,2	17
Savannah Economic Development Authority, GA, (Marshes Skidaway), 7.125%, 1/1/38	4,960	5,779,78	39
Tarrant County Cultural Education Facilities Finance Corp., TX, (Trinity Terrace), 5.00%, 10/1/49	2,500	2,796,8	75
Tempe Industrial Development Authority, AZ, (Friendship Village of Tempe), 6.00%, 12/1/32	335	366,5	77
Tempe Industrial Development Authority, AZ, (Friendship Village of Tempe), 6.25%, 12/1/42	985	1,079,70	98

\$ 19,628,675

Special Tax Revenue 15.0%

Special Tax Revenue 15.0%			
New River Community Development District, FL, (Capital Improvements), 5.00%, 5/1/13 ⁽⁹⁾	\$ 90	\$	0
New River Community Development District, FL, (Capital Improvements), 5.35%, 5/1/38 ⁽⁹⁾	35		0
New River Community Development District, FL, (Capital Improvements), Series 2010A-2, 5.75%, 5/1/38	155		154,999
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, NY, Future Tax Revenue, 5.00%, 8/1/39 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	12,400	14,	838,584
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, NY, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35	1,500	1,	785,405
New York City Transitional Finance Authority, NY, Future Tax Revenue, 5.50%, 11/1/35 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	5,000	5,	951,350
New York Dormitory Authority, Personal Income Tax Revenue,			
5.00%, 3/15/34 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	10,000	12,	110,500
Southern Hills Plantation I Community Development District, FL, Series A1, 5.80%, 5/1/35	258		257,629

6

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	(000	Principal Amount) s omitted)	Value
Special Tax Revenue (continued) Southern Hills Plantation I Community Development District, FL, Series A2, 5.80%, 5/1/35 Sterling Hill Community Development District, FL, 6.20%, 5/1/35 Texas Transportation Commission, 5.00%, 4/1/33(3)(4) Virgin Islands Public Finance Authority, 6.75%, 10/1/37	\$	185 580 10,000 1,780	\$ 171,007 405,994 12,044,300 2,007,947
			\$ 49,727,715
Transportation 28.7% Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, 5.00%, 1/1/40 Central Texas Regional Mobility Authority, Prerefunded to 1/1/21, 5.75%, 1/1/31 ⁽⁷⁾ Chicago, IL, (Midway International Airport), (AMT), 5.00%, 1/1/41 Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/30 Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), 5.25%, 11/1/31 Dallas and Fort Worth, TX, (Dallas/Fort Worth International Airport), (AMT), 5.00%, 11/1/38 Los Angeles Department of Airports, CA, (Los Angeles International Airport), (AMT), 5.375%, 5/15/33 Memphis-Shelby County Airport Authority, TN, (AMT), 5.75%, 7/1/24 Miami-Dade County, FL, (Miami International Airport), 5.50%, 10/1/36 New Jersey Transportation Trust Fund Authority, (Transportation Program), 1.60%, 12/15/21 (Put Date), 6/15/34 ⁽⁶⁾ New York Liberty Development Corp., (1 World Trade Center Port Authority Construction), 5.00%, 12/15/41 ⁽³⁾ New York Transportation Development Corp., (LaGuardia Airport Terminal B Redevelopment), (AMT), 4.00%, 7/1/41 ⁽¹⁰⁾ New York Transportation Development Corp., (LaGuardia Airport Terminal B Redevelopment), (AMT), 5.00%, 7/1/46 ⁽¹⁰⁾ North Texas Tollway Authority, Prerefunded to 1/1/18, 5.75%, 1/1/38 Pennsylvania Economic Development Financing Authority, (Amtrak), (AMT), 5.00%, 11/1/41 Pennsylvania Turnpike Commission, 5.375%, (0.00% until 12/1/17), 12/1/38 Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 4.00%, 9/1/33 ⁽³⁾	\$	1,395 435 10,000 1,030 1,735 3,200 1,000 400 3,715 7,250 7,880 1,730 1,155 1,385 1,515 3,910 2,500 7,200 Principal	\$ 1,623,794 522,896 11,246,200 1,248,721 2,100,547 3,574,816 1,076,770 458,192 4,232,425 6,828,557 9,285,477 1,795,342 1,306,409 1,560,244 1,632,367 4,305,692 3,101,500 7,843,392
Security	(000)	Amount s omitted)	Value
Transportation (continued) Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 4.50%, 4/1/37 ⁽³⁾ Port Authority of New York and New Jersey, (AMT), 5.75%, 3/15/35 ⁽³⁾ Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp., (LBJ Express Managed Lanes Project), 7.00%, 6/30/34 Texas Private Activity Bond Surface Transportation Corp., (North Tarrant Express Managed Lanes Project), 6.875%, 12/31/39 Triborough Bridge and Tunnel Authority, NY, 5.00%, 11/15/37 ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	\$	8,500 7,290 1,885 1,725 9,300	\$ 9,282,000 7,851,913 2,260,549 2,033,033 10,021,959

\$ 95,192,795

Water and Sewer 7.2%		
Atlanta, GA, Water and Wastewater Revenue, 5.00%, 11/1/40 ⁽³⁾	\$ 10,000	\$ 11,890,100
Detroit, MI, Sewage Disposal System, 5.25%, 7/1/39	1,860	2,080,112
Detroit, MI, Water Supply System, 5.25%, 7/1/41	4,730	5,237,576
Michigan Finance Authority, (Detroit Water and Sewerage Department), 5.00%, 7/1/34	4,130	4,747,642
		\$ 23,955,430
		, , ,
Total Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities 163.8%		
(identified cost \$485,324,909)		\$ 543,960,879
(ποιπιτίου τους φτιους, συτή, πους)		ψ 545,200,072

Taxable Municipal Securities 4.8%

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)	Value
Cogeneration 0.0%) Northampton County Industrial Development Authority, PA, (Northampton Generating), 5.00%, 12/31/23 ⁽²⁾	\$ 11	\$ 4,928
		\$ 4,928
General Obligations 2.5% Atlantic City, NJ, 7.50%, 3/1/40 Chicago, IL, 7.75%, 1/1/42	\$ 5,000 2,885	\$ 5,317,000 2,896,713
		\$ 8,213,713
Hospital 2.0% California Statewide Communities Development Authority, (Loma Linda University Medical Center), 6.00%, 12/1/24	\$ 6,000	\$ 6,685,500
		\$ 6,685,500

7

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Portfolio of Investments (Unaudited) continued

Security	Principal Amount s omitted)		Value
Insured Transportation 0.3% Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, CA, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/1/32 Alameda Corridor Transportation Authority, CA, (AMBAC), 0.00%, 10/1/33	\$ 1,285 1,000	\$	545,521 396,090
		\$	941,611
Total Taxable Municipal Securities 4.8% (identified cost \$14,571,978)		\$	15,845,752
Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares 0.9%			
Security Nuveen Texas Quality Income Municipal Fund, (AMT), 0.92%, 11/1/18 ⁽⁵⁾⁽¹¹⁾	Shares 600	\$	Value 3,017,310
Total Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares 0.9% (identified cost \$3,000,000)		\$	3,017,310
Total Investments 169.5% (identified cost \$502,896,887)		\$	562,823,941
Auction Preferred Shares Plus Cumulative Unpaid Dividends (4.5)%		\$	(14,776,182)
Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at Liquidation Value (20.5)%		\$	(68,000,000)
Other Assets, Less Liabilities (44.5)%		\$(147,915,493)
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares 100.0%		\$	332,132,266

The percentage shown for each investment category in the Portfolio of Investments is based on net assets applicable to common shares.

At May 31, 2016, the concentration of the Trust s investments in the various states and territories, determined as a percentage of total investments, is as follows:

New York

PSF

Permanent School Fund

Texas California Others, re	a	13.2% 10.8% 55.6%
The Trust invests economic develop total investments	primarily in debt securities issued by municipalities. The ability of the issuers of the debt securities to meet their obligations means a specific industry or municipality. In order to reduce the risk associated with such economic developments, at May are backed by bond insurance of various financial institutions and financial guaranty assurance agencies. The aggregate percertial institution or financial guaranty assurance agency ranged from 0.4% to 10.4% of total investments.	31, 2016, 26.2% of
(1) Amount is le	ss than 0.05%.	
(2) Represents a	payment-in-kind security which may pay interest in additional principal at the issuer s discretion.	
(3) Security repr	resents the municipal bond held by a trust that issues residual interest bonds (see Note 1H).	
(4) Security (or a	a portion thereof) has been pledged as collateral for residual interest bond transactions. The aggregate value of such collateral is	s \$45,637,364.
reliance on a	mpt from registration pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. These securities may be sold in cern exemption from registration (normally to qualified institutional buyers). At May 31, 2016, the aggregate value of these securities are trust as net assets applicable to common shares.	
(6) Variable rate	security. The stated interest rate represents the rate in effect at May 31, 2016.	
(7) Security (or a	a portion thereof) has been segregated to cover payable for when-issued securities.	
(8) Security is in	default and making only partial interest payments.	
(9) Defaulted sec	curity. Issuer has defaulted on the payment of interest and/or principal.	
(10) When-issued	security.	
	security. The stated dividend rate represents the rate in effect at May 31, 2016. Maturity date represents the mandatory redempents \$5,000 par value.	otion date. Each
AGC AGM AMBAC AMT BHAC CIFG NPFG	Assured Guaranty Corp. Assured Guaranty Municipal Corp. AMBAC Financial Group, Inc. Interest earned from these securities may be considered a tax preference item for purposes of the Federal Alternative Minimu Berkshire Hathaway Assurance Corp. CIFG Assurance North America, Inc. National Public Finance Guaranty Corp.	m Tax.

20.4%

8

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Statement of Assets and Liabilities (Unaudited)

Assets Investments, at value (identified cost, \$502,896,887) Interest receivable Deferred debt issuance costs Deferred offering costs Total assets	May 31, 2016 \$ 562,823,941 6,618,118 31,809 552,264 \$ 570,026,132
Liabilities Payable for floating rate notes issued Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares, at liquidation value Payable for when-issued securities Due to custodian Payable to affiliates: Investment adviser fee Administration fee Trustees fees Interest expense and fees payable Accrued expenses Total liabilities Auction preferred shares at liquidation value plus cumulative unpaid dividends Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 149,365,000 68,000,000 4,638,442 350,905 232,354 78,102 4,380 363,147 85,354 \$ 223,117,684 \$ 14,776,182 \$ 332,132,266
Sources of Net Assets Common shares, \$0.01 par value, unlimited number of shares authorized Additional paid-in capital Accumulated net realized loss Accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income Net unrealized appreciation Net assets applicable to common shares	\$ 237,745 315,519,651 (43,192,695) (359,489) 59,927,054 \$ 332,132,266
Auction Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding (Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)	591
Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares Issued and Outstanding (Liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share)	2,720
Common Shares Outstanding	23,774,503
Net Asset Value Per Common Share Net assets applicable to common shares ÷ common shares issued and outstanding	\$ 13.97

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Statement of Operations (Unaudited)

Investment Income	May	Ionths Ended 31, 2016
Interest	\$	12,797,098
Dividends		14,914
Total investment income	\$	12,812,012
Expenses		
Investment adviser fee	\$	1,423,802
Administration fee		468,741
Trustees fees and expenses		13,157
Custodian fee		55,999
Transfer and dividend disbursing agent fees		8,754
Legal and accounting services		105,653
Printing and postage		22,390
Amortization of offering costs		51,672
Interest expense and fees		929,446
Auction preferred shares service fee		35,693
Miscellaneous		69,458
Total expenses	\$	3,184,765
Total expenses	Ψ	3,104,703
Net investment income	\$	9,627,247
Realized and Unrealized Gain (Loss)		
Net realized gain (loss)		
Investment transactions	\$	1,541,157
Extinguishment of debt	Ψ	(18)
Net realized gain	\$	1,541,139
9	Φ	1,341,139
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	¢.	15 446 022
Investments	\$	15,446,032
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation)	\$	15,446,032
Net realized and unrealized gain	\$	16,987,171
Distributions to auction preferred shareholders		
From net investment income	\$	(129,015)
Discount on redemption and repurchase of auction preferred shares	•	5,795,625
······································		-,,
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	32,281,028

10

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Statements of Changes in Net Assets

	Six	Year Ended				
	Ma	Icai Enucu				
Increase (Decrease) in Net Assets	(Un	Nov	ember 30, 2015			
From operations						
Net investment income	\$	9,627,247	\$	21,038,679		
Net realized gain from investment transactions and extinguishment of debt		1,541,139		5,546,757		
Net change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) from investments		15,446,032		(3,709,038)		
Distributions to auction preferred shareholders						
From net investment income		(129,015)		(163,059)		
Discount on redemption and repurchase of auction preferred shares		5,795,625				
Net increase in net assets from operations	\$	32,281,028	\$	22,713,339		
Distributions to common shareholders						
From net investment income	\$	(9,704,258)	\$	(21,170,242)		
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(9,704,258)	\$	(21,170,242)		
Capital share transactions						
Proceeds from shelf offering, net of offering costs (see Note 7)	\$		\$	369,167		
Reinvestment of distributions to common shareholders		201,081		368,409		
Net increase in net assets from capital share transactions	\$	201,081	\$	737,576		
Net increase in net assets	\$	22,777,851	\$	2,280,673		
Net Assets Applicable to Common Shares						
At beginning of period	\$	309,354,415	\$	307,073,742		
At end of period	\$	332,132,266	\$	309,354,415		
Accumulated distributions in excess of net investment income						
included in net assets applicable to common shares						
At end of period	\$	(359,489)	\$	(153,463)		

See Notes to Financial Statements.

11

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Statement of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Six M	Ionths Ended
	May	31, 2016
Cash Flows From Operating Activities Net increase in net assets from operations Distributions to auction preferred shareholders Discount on redemption and repurchase of auction preferred shares	(Una \$	udited) 32,281,028 129,015 (5,795,625)
Net increase in net assets from operations excluding distributions to auction preferred shareholders and discount on redemption and repurchase of auction preferred shares Adjustments to reconcile net increase in net assets from operations to net cash provided by operating activities:	\$	26,614,418
Investments purchased Investments sold Net amortization/accretion of premium (discount) Amortization of deferred debt issuance costs Amortization of offering costs on Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares Decrease in interest receivable Decrease in payable to affiliate for investment adviser fee Increase in payable to affiliate for administration fee Increase in payable to affiliate for Trustees fees		(10,102,205) 42,944,752 (1,352,596) 1,680 51,672 496,854 (4,134) 565
Increase in justice to Armate to Trustees Tees Increase in interest expense and fees payable Decrease in accrued expenses Net change in unrealized (appreciation) depreciation from investments Net realized gain from investments Net realized loss on extinguishment of debt		103,417 (82,461) (15,446,032) (1,541,157)
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$	41,684,808
Cash Flows From Financing Activities Distributions paid to common shareholders, net of reinvestments Cash distributions paid to auction preferred shareholders Liquidation of auction preferred shares Proceeds from Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares issued Payment of offering costs on Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares Repayment of secured borrowings Increase in due to custodian Net cash used in financing activities	\$ \$	(9,503,177) (130,317) (99,579,375) 68,000,000 (603,936) (3,555,000) 350,905 (45,020,900)
Net decrease in cash	\$	(3,336,092)
Cash at beginning of period	\$	3,336,092
Cash at end of period	\$	
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: Noncash financing activities not included herein consist of: Reinvestment of dividends and distributions Cash paid for interest and fees on floating rate notes issued and Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares	\$	201,081 824,349

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Financial Highlights

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

	Six Months Ended Year Ended November 30, May 31, 2016						
	(Unaud	*	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Net asset value Beginning of period (Common shares)	\$	13.020	\$ 12.950	\$ 10.540	\$ 13.360	\$ 10.710	\$ 11.080
Income (Loss) From Operations							
Net investment income ⁽¹⁾	\$	0.405	\$ 0.886	\$ 0.886	\$ 0.885	\$ 0.905	\$ 0.988
Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) Distributions to APS shareholders ⁽¹⁾		0.714	0.082	2.423	(2.778)	2.715	(0.352)
From net investment income Discount on redemption and repurchase of APS ⁽¹⁾		(0.005) 0.244	(0.007)	(0.005)	(0.009)	(0.013)	(0.016)
1			_				
Total income (loss) from operations	\$	1.358	\$ 0.961	\$ 3.304	\$ (1.902)	\$ 3.607	\$ 0.620
Less Distributions to Common Shareholders							
From net investment income	\$	(0.408)	\$ (0.892)	\$ (0.900)	\$ (0.930)	\$ (0.957)	\$ (0.990)
Total distributions to common shareholders	\$	(0.408)	\$ (0.892)	\$ (0.900)	\$ (0.930)	\$ (0.957)	\$ (0.990)
Premium from common shares sold through shelf							
offering (see Note 7) ⁽¹⁾	\$		\$ 0.001	\$ 0.006	\$ 0.012	\$	\$
Net asset value	\$	13.970	\$ 13.020	\$ 12.950	\$ 10.540	\$ 13.360	\$ 10.710
Market value End of period (Common shares)	\$	14.310	\$ 13.390	\$ 13.350	\$ 10.530	\$ 14.310	\$ 12.270
Total Investment Return on Net Asset $Value^{(2)}$		$10.57\%^{(3)(4)}$	7.60%	32.67%	(14.69)%	34.28%	5.66%
Total Investment Return on Market Value ⁽²⁾		10.13% (3)	7.42%	36.79%	(20.43)%	25.54%	11.96%

See Notes to Financial Statements.

13

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Financial Highlights continued

Selected data for a common share outstanding during the periods stated

	Six Months Ended May 31, 2016			ded Year Ended November 30,								
Ratios/Supplemental Data	(Unaudited)			2015		2014		2013		2012		2011
Net assets applicable to common shares, end of period												
(000 s omitted)	\$	332,132	\$	309,354	\$	307,074	\$:	246,295	\$	304,726	\$:	243,936
Ratios (as a percentage of average daily net assets												
applicable to common shares):(5)												
Expenses excluding interest and fees ⁽⁶⁾		1.40%(7)		1.43%		1.55%		1.63%		1.68%		1.88%
Interest and fee expense ⁽⁸⁾		$0.58\%^{(7)}$		0.33%		0.30%		0.33%		0.34%		0.39%
Total expenses ⁽⁶⁾		1.98%(7)		1.76%		1.85%		1.96%		2.02%		2.27%
Net investment income		$5.97\%^{(7)}$		6.84%		7.49%		7.49%		7.44%		9.46%
Portfolio Turnover		$2\%^{(3)}$		21%		36%		42%		25%		15%
Senior Securities:												
Total preferred shares outstanding ⁽⁹⁾		3,311		4,806		4,806		4,806		4,806		4,806
Asset coverage per preferred share ⁽¹⁰⁾	\$	125,312	\$	89,369	\$	88,894	\$	76,248	\$	88,406	\$	75,757
Involuntary liquidation preference per preferred												
share ⁽¹¹⁾	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000
Approximate market value per preferred share ⁽¹¹⁾	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000	\$	25,000

⁽¹⁾ Computed using average common shares outstanding.

⁽²⁾ Returns are historical and are calculated by determining the percentage change in net asset value or market value with all distributions reinvested. Distributions are assumed to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Trust s dividend reinvestment plan.

⁽³⁾ Not annualized.

⁽⁴⁾ The total return based on net asset value reflects the impact of the tender and repurchase by the Trust of a portion of its APS at 94.5% of the per share liquidation preference. Absent this transaction, the total return based on net asset value would have been 8.67%.

⁽⁵⁾ Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to APS shareholders.

 $^{^{(6)}}$ Excludes the effect of custody fee credits, if any, of less than 0.005%.

⁽⁷⁾ Annualized.

- (8) Interest and fee expense relates to the liability for floating rate notes issued in conjunction with residual interest bond transactions (see Note 1H) and, for the six months ended May 31, 2016, Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares issued to redeem a portion of the Trust s APS (see Note 3).
- (9) Preferred shares represent Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares and APS as of May 31, 2016 and APS as of November 30, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011.
- (10) Calculated by subtracting the Trust s total liabilities (not including the preferred shares) from the Trust s total assets, and dividing the result by the number of preferred shares outstanding.
- (11) Plus accumulated and unpaid dividends.

Ratios based on net assets applicable to common shares plus preferred shares (Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares and APS, as applicable) are presented below. Ratios do not reflect the effect of dividend payments to APS shareholders and exclude the effect of custody fee credits, if any. Ratios for periods less than one year are annualized.

	Six Months Ended May 31, 2016			Year Ended November 30,			
	(Unaudited)	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011	
Expenses excluding interest and fees	1.11%	1.04%	1.08%	1.13%	1.17%	1.25%	
Interest and fee expense	0.46%	0.23%	0.21%	0.23%	0.24%	0.26%	
Total expenses	1.57%	1.27%	1.29%	1.36%	1.41%	1.51%	
Net investment income	4.75%	4.92%	5.23%	5.18%	5.19%	6.28%	
APS Auction Preferred Shares							

14

See Notes to Financial Statements.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1 Significant Accounting Policies

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust (the Trust) is a Massachusetts business trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), as a diversified, closed-end management investment company. The Trust seeks to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax.

The following is a summary of significant accounting policies of the Trust. The policies are in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP). The Trust is an investment company and follows accounting and reporting guidance in the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification Topic 946.

A Investment Valuation The following methodologies are used to determine the market value or fair value of investments.

Debt Obligations. Debt obligations (including short-term obligations with a remaining maturity of more than sixty days) are generally valued on the basis of valuations provided by third party pricing services, as derived from such services—pricing models. Inputs to the models may include, but are not limited to, reported trades, executable bid and asked prices, broker/dealer quotations, prices or yields of securities with similar characteristics, benchmark curves or information pertaining to the issuer, as well as industry and economic events. The pricing services may use a matrix approach, which considers information regarding securities with similar characteristics to determine the valuation for a security. Short-term obligations purchased with a remaining maturity of sixty days or less are generally valued at amortized cost, which approximates market value.

Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares. Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares are valued in the same manner as debt obligations described above.

Fair Valuation. Investments for which valuations or market quotations are not readily available or are deemed unreliable are valued at fair value using methods determined in good faith by or at the direction of the Trustees of the Trust in a manner that fairly reflects the security—s value, or the amount that the Trust might reasonably expect to receive for the security upon its current sale in the ordinary course. Each such determination is based on a consideration of relevant factors, which are likely to vary from one pricing context to another. These factors may include, but are not limited to, the type of security, the existence of any contractual restrictions on the security—s disposition, the price and extent of public trading in similar securities of the issuer or of comparable entities, quotations or relevant information obtained from broker/dealers or other market participants, information obtained from the issuer, analysts, and/or the appropriate stock exchange (for exchange-traded securities), an analysis of the entity—s financial condition, and an evaluation of the forces that influence the issuer and the market(s) in which the security is purchased and sold.

B Investment Transactions and Related Income Investment transactions for financial statement purposes are accounted for on a trade date basis. Realized gains and losses on investments sold are determined on the basis of identified cost. Interest income is recorded on the basis of interest accrued, adjusted for amortization of premium or accretion of discount. Dividends on Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares are accrued daily based on rates that reset weekly.

C Federal Taxes The Trust s policy is to comply with the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code applicable to regulated investment companies and to distribute to shareholders each year substantially all of its taxable, if any, and tax-exempt net investment income, and all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains. Accordingly, no provision for federal income or excise tax is necessary. The Trust intends to satisfy conditions which will enable it to designate distributions from the interest and dividend income generated by its investments in non-taxable municipal securities, which are exempt from regular federal income tax when received by the Trust, as exempt-interest dividends. The portion of such interest, if any, earned on private activity bonds issued after August 7, 1986, may be considered a tax preference item to shareholders.

As of May 31, 2016, the Trust had no uncertain tax positions that would require financial statement recognition, de-recognition, or disclosure. The Trust files a U.S. federal income tax return annually after its fiscal year-end, which is subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service for a period of three years from the date of filing.

D Expense Reduction State Street Bank and Trust Company (SSBT) serves as custodian of the Trust. Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT receives a fee that may be reduced by credits, which are determined based on the average daily cash balance the Trust maintains with SSBT. All credit balances, if any, used to reduce the Trust s custodian fees are reported as a reduction of expenses in the Statement of Operations. Effective September 1, 2015, SSBT began imposing fees on certain uninvested cash balances and discontinued credits on cash deposit balances.

E Legal Fees Legal fees and other related expenses incurred as part of negotiations of the terms and requirement of capital infusions, or that are expected to result in the restructuring of, or a plan of reorganization for, an investment are recorded as realized losses. Ongoing expenditures to protect or enhance an investment are treated as operating expenses.

F Use of Estimates The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of income and expense during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

G Indemnifications Under the Trust's organizational documents, its officers and Trustees may be indemnified against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of the performance of their duties to the Trust. Under Massachusetts law, if certain conditions prevail, shareholders of a Massachusetts business trust (such as the Trust) could be deemed to have personal liability for the obligations of the Trust. However, the Trust's Declaration of Trust contains an

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

express disclaimer of liability on the part of Trust shareholders and the By-laws provide that the Trust shall assume the defense on behalf of any Trust shareholders. Moreover, the By-laws also provide for indemnification out of Trust property of any shareholder held personally liable solely by reason of being or having been a shareholder for all loss or expense arising from such liability. Additionally, in the normal course of business, the Trust enters into agreements with service providers that may contain indemnification clauses. The Trust s maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown as this would involve future claims that may be made against the Trust that have not yet occurred.

H Floating Rate Notes Issued in Conjunction with Securities Held The Trust may invest in residual interest bonds, also referred to as inverse floating rate securities, whereby the Trust may sell a variable or fixed rate bond for cash to a Special-Purpose Vehicle (the SPV), (which is generally organized as a trust), while at the same time, buying a residual interest in the assets and cash flows of the SPV. The bond is deposited into the SPV with the same CUSIP number as the bond sold to the broker by the Trust, and which may have been, but is not required to be, the bond purchased from the Trust (the Bond). The SPV also issues floating rate notes (Floating Rate Notes) which are sold to third-parties. The residual interest bond held by the Trust gives the Trust the right (1) to cause the holders of the Floating Rate Notes to generally tender their notes at par, and (2) to have the Bond held by the SPV transferred to the Trust, thereby terminating the SPV. Should the Trust exercise such right, it would generally pay the SPV the par amount due on the Floating Rate Notes and exchange the residual interest bond for the underlying Bond. Pursuant to generally accepted accounting principles for transfers and servicing of financial assets and extinguishment of liabilities, the Trust accounts for the transaction described above as a secured borrowing by including the Bond in its Portfolio of Investments and the Floating Rate Notes as a liability under the caption Payable for floating rate notes issued in its Statement of Assets and Liabilities. The Floating Rate Notes have interest rates that generally reset weekly and their holders have the option to tender their notes to the SPV for redemption at par at each reset date. Accordingly, the fair value of the payable for floating rate notes issued approximates its carrying value. If measured at fair value, the payable for floating rate notes would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 9) at May 31, 2016. Interest expense related to the Trust s liability with respect to Floating Rate Notes is recorded as incurred. The SPV may be terminated by the Trust, as noted above, or by the occurrence of certain termination events as defined in the trust agreement, such as a downgrade in the credit quality of the underlying Bond, bankruptcy of or payment failure by the issuer of the underlying Bond, the inability to remarket Floating Rate Notes that have been tendered due to insufficient buyers in the market, or the failure by the SPV to obtain renewal of the liquidity agreement under which liquidity support is provided for the Floating Rate Notes up to one year. Structuring fees paid to the liquidity provider upon the creation of an SPV have been recorded as debt issuance costs and are being amortized as interest expense to the expected maturity of the related trust. Unamortized structuring fees related to a terminated SPV are recorded as a realized loss on extinguishment of debt. At May 31, 2016, the amounts of the Trust s Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the related collateral were \$149,365,000 and \$221,055,652, respectively. The range of interest rates on the Floating Rate Notes outstanding at May 31, 2016 was 0.41% to 0.72%. For the six months ended May 31, 2016, the Trust s average Floating Rate Notes outstanding and the average interest rate (annualized) including fees and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs were \$154,832,225 and 0.78%, respectively.

In certain circumstances, the Trust may enter into shortfall and forbearance agreements with brokers by which the Trust agrees to reimburse the broker for the difference between the liquidation value of the Bond held by the SPV and the liquidation value of the Floating Rate Notes, as well as any shortfalls in interest cash flows. The Trust had no shortfalls as of May 31, 2016.

The Trust may also purchase residual interest bonds in a secondary market transaction without first owning the underlying bond. Such transactions are not required to be treated as secured borrowings. Shortfall agreements, if any, related to residual interest bonds purchased in a secondary market transaction are disclosed in the Portfolio of Investments.

The Trust s investment policies and restrictions expressly permit investments in residual interest bonds. Such bonds typically offer the potential for yields exceeding the yields available on fixed rate bonds with comparable credit quality and maturity. These securities tend to underperform the market for fixed rate bonds in a rising long-term interest rate environment, but tend to outperform the market for fixed rate bonds when long-term interest rates decline. The value and income of residual interest bonds are generally more volatile than that of a fixed rate bond. The Trust s investment policies do not allow the Trust to borrow money except as permitted by the 1940 Act. Management believes that the Trust s restrictions on borrowing money and issuing senior securities (other than as specifically permitted) do not apply to Floating Rate Notes issued by the SPV and included as a liability in the Trust s Statement of Assets and Liabilities. As secured indebtedness issued by an SPV, Floating Rate Notes are distinct from the borrowings and senior securities to which the Trust s restrictions apply. Residual interest bonds held by the Trust are securities exempt from registration under Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933.

On December 10, 2013, five U.S. federal agencies published final rules implementing section 619 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Volcker Rule). The Volcker Rule prohibits banking entities from engaging in proprietary trading of certain instruments and limits such entities

investments in, and relationships with, covered funds (such as SPVs), as defined in the rules. The compliance date for the Volcker Rule for certain covered funds was July 21, 2015 while for other covered funds the compliance date is July 21, 2017, as announced on July 7, 2016. The Volcker Rule precludes banking entities and their affiliates from (i) sponsoring residual interest bond programs and (ii) continuing relationships with or services for existing residual interest bond programs. As a result, residual interest bond trusts were or will be restructured to comply with the Volcker Rule as of the applicable compliance dates. The effects of the Volcker Rule may make it more difficult for the Trust to maintain current or desired levels of leverage and may cause the Trust to incur additional expenses to maintain its leverage.

Legal and restructuring fees incurred in connection with the restructuring of residual interest bond trusts have been recorded as interest expense.

I When-Issued Securities and Delayed Delivery Transactions The Trust may purchase or sell securities on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis. Payment and delivery may take place after the customary settlement period for that security. At the time the transaction is negotiated, the price of the

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

security that will be delivered is fixed. The Trust maintains cash and/or security positions for these commitments such that sufficient liquid assets will be available to make payments upon settlement. Securities purchased on a delayed delivery or when-issued basis are marked-to-market daily and begin earning income on settlement date. Losses may arise due to changes in the market value of the underlying securities or if the counterparty does not perform under the contract.

J Statement of Cash Flows The cash amount shown in the Statement of Cash Flows of the Trust is the amount included in the Trust s Statement of Assets and Liabilities and represents the unrestricted cash on hand at its custodian and does not include any short-term investments.

K Interim Financial Statements The interim financial statements relating to May 31, 2016 and for the six months then ended have not been audited by an independent registered public accounting firm, but in the opinion of the Trust s management, reflect all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for the fair presentation of the financial statements.

2 Auction Preferred Shares

The Trust issued 2,620 Series A and Series B Auction Preferred Shares (APS) on March 1, 1999 in a public offering. The Trust issued 806 Series C APS on May 28, 2009 in connection with the acquisition of Eaton Vance National Municipal Income Trust. Dividends on the APS, which accrue daily, are cumulative at rates which are reset every seven days by an auction, unless a special dividend period has been set. If the APS auctions do not successfully clear, the dividend payment rate over the next period for the APS holders is set at a specified maximum applicable rate until such time as the APS auctions are successful. The maximum applicable rate on the APS is 110% (150% for taxable distributions) of the greater of the 1) AA Financial Composite Commercial Paper Rate or 2) Taxable Equivalent of the Short-Term Municipal Obligation Rate on the date of the auction. The stated spread over the reference benchmark rate is determined based on the credit rating of the APS. Series of APS are identical in all respects except for the reset dates of the dividend rates.

The number of APS issued and outstanding as of May 31, 2016 was as follows:

	Outstanding
eries A	349
· B	105

 Series A
 349

 Series B
 185

 Series C
 57

The APS are redeemable at the option of the Trust at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, on any dividend payment date. The APS, with the Trust s other preferred shares (see Note 3), are also subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, if the Trust is in default for an extended period on its asset maintenance requirements, as defined in the Trust s By-laws and the 1940 Act, with respect to the preferred shares. The APS have a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends. The Trust pays an annual fee up to 0.15% of the liquidation value of the APS to broker/dealers as a service fee if the auctions are unsuccessful; otherwise, the annual fee is 0.25%.

On December 21, 2015, the Trust announced a tender offer to purchase up to 100% of its outstanding APS at a price per share equal to 94.5% of the APS liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share (or \$23,625 per share), plus any accrued but unpaid APS dividends. The tender offer expired on February 23, 2016. The number of APS accepted for repurchase pursuant to the tender offer was 1,651, 1,815 and 749 for Series A, Series B and Series C, respectively, and their liquidation preference was \$39,004,875, \$42,879,375 and \$17,695,125, respectively. There were no other transactions in APS during the six months ended May 31, 2016.

3 Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares

APS Issued and

On February 26, 2016, the Trust issued Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares (iMTP Shares) in a private offering to partially finance the tender offer for its outstanding APS (see Note 2). The number of new iMTP Shares issued was less than the APS accepted for payment pursuant to the tender offer, resulting in a reduction of its total leverage of approximately 4% of its total assets. The number of iMTP Shares issued and outstanding at May 31, 2016 was 2,720.

The iMTP Shares are a form of preferred shares that represent stock of the Trust. The iMTP Shares have a par value of \$0.01 per share, a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, and a mandatory redemption date of September 1, 2019, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased by the Trust. Dividends on the iMTP Shares are determined weekly based upon the Securities Industry and Financial Markets Association (SIFMA) Municipal Swap Index Rate plus a spread. Such spread to the SIFMA Municipal Swap Index Rate is determined based on the current credit rating of the iMTP Shares. At May 31, 2016, the spread to the SIFMA Municipal Swap Index Rate was 1.50%.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

The iMTP Shares are subject to optional and mandatory redemption in certain circumstances. After February 28, 2017, the iMTP Shares are redeemable at the option of the Trust at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends, plus an optional redemption premium. The iMTP Shares are also subject to mandatory redemption at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share, plus accumulated and unpaid dividends (mandatory redemption price), if the Trust is in default for an extended period on its asset maintenance requirements with respect to its preferred shares. For so long as the iMTP Shares are outstanding, the Trust s effective leverage ratio is not permitted to exceed 45%. In order to comply with this requirement, the Trust may have to redeem all or a portion of its iMTP Shares and APS at the mandatory redemption price.

The holders of the iMTP Shares, APS and common shares have equal voting rights of one vote per share except that the holders of the iMTP Shares and APS, voting as a class, are entitled to elect two Trustees of the Trust. If the dividends on the iMTP Shares and APS remain unpaid in an amount equal to two full years dividends, the holders of the iMTP Shares and APS voting as a class have the right to elect a majority of the Trust s Trustees.

For financial reporting purposes, the liquidation value of the iMTP Shares is presented as a liability on the Statement of Assets and Liabilities and unpaid dividends are included in interest expense and fees payable. Dividends accrued on iMTP Shares are treated as interest payments for financial reporting purposes and are included in interest expense and fees on the Statement of Operations. Costs incurred by the Trust in connection with its offering of iMTP Shares were capitalized as deferred offering costs and are being amortized to the mandatory redemption date of September 1, 2019.

The carrying amount of the iMTP Shares at May 31, 2016 represents its liquidation value, which approximates fair value. If measured at fair value, the iMTP Shares would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 9) at May 31, 2016.

The average liquidation preference of the iMTP Shares during the portion of the six months ended May 31, 2016 in which iMTP Shares were outstanding was \$68,000,000.

4 Distributions to Shareholders and Income Tax Information

The Trust intends to make monthly distributions of net investment income to common shareholders, after payment of any dividends on any outstanding APS and iMTP Shares. In addition, at least annually, the Trust intends to distribute all or substantially all of its net realized capital gains (reduced by available capital loss carryforwards from prior years). Distributions to common shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. Distributions to APS and iMTP shareholders are recorded daily and are payable at the end of each dividend period. The dividend rates for the APS at May 31, 2016, and the amount of dividends accrued (including capital gains, if any) to APS shareholders, average APS dividend rates (annualized), and dividend rate ranges for the six months then ended were as follows:

	APS Dividend Rates at May 31, 2016	Accr	dends rued to APS reholders	Average APS Dividend Rates	Dividend Rate Ranges (%)	
Series A	0.49%	\$	57,296	0.40%	0.17 - 0.49	
Series B	0.43		51,140	0.39	0.13 - 0.49	
Series C	0.43		20.579	0.40	0.13 - 0.49	

Beginning February 13, 2008 and consistent with the patterns in the broader market for auction-rate securities, the Trust s APS auctions were unsuccessful in clearing due to an imbalance of sell orders over bids to buy the APS. As a result, the dividend rates of the APS were reset to the maximum applicable rates. The table above reflects such maximum dividend rate for each series as of May 31, 2016.

The dividend rate for iMTP Shares at May 31, 2016, and the amount of dividends accrued to iMTP shareholders and average iMTP dividend rate (annualized) for the six months then ended were as follows:

iMTP Dividend Rate at May 31, 2016 Dividends Accrued to iMTP Shareholders Average iMTP Dividend Rate 1.90% \$ 320,232

1.80%

Distributions to shareholders are determined in accordance with income tax regulations, which may differ from U.S. GAAP. As required by U.S. GAAP, only distributions in excess of tax basis earnings and profits are reported in the financial statements as a return of capital. Permanent differences between book and tax accounting relating to distributions are reclassified to paid-in capital. For tax purposes, distributions from short-term capital gains are considered to be from ordinary income.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

At November 30, 2015, the Trust, for federal income tax purposes, had capital loss carryforwards of \$46,651,781 which would reduce its taxable income arising from future net realized gains on investment transactions, if any, to the extent permitted by the Internal Revenue Code, and thus would reduce the amount of distributions to shareholders, which would otherwise be necessary to relieve the Trust of any liability for federal income or excise tax. Such capital loss carryforwards will expire on November 30, 2016 (\$1,310,414), November 30, 2017 (\$19,113,316), November 30, 2018 (\$195,807) and November 30, 2019 (\$26,032,244) and their character is short-term. Under tax regulations, capital losses incurred in taxable years beginning after December 2010 are considered deferred capital losses and are treated as arising on the first day of the Trust s next taxable year, retaining the same short-term or long-term character as when originally deferred. Deferred capital losses are required to be used prior to capital loss carryforwards, which carry an expiration date. As a result of this ordering rule, capital loss carryforwards may be more likely to expire unused.

The cost and unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments of the Trust at May 31, 2016, as determined on a federal income tax basis, were as follows:

Aggregate cost\$ 352,108,359Gross unrealized appreciation\$ 66,892,827Gross unrealized depreciation(5,542,245)Net unrealized appreciation\$ 61,350,582

5 Investment Adviser Fee and Other Transactions with Affiliates

The investment adviser fee is earned by Eaton Vance Management (EVM) as compensation for investment advisory services rendered to the Trust. The fee is computed at an annual rate of 0.595% (0.610% prior to May 1, 2016) of the Trust s average weekly gross assets and is payable monthly. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement between the Trust and EVM that commenced on May 1, 2010, the annual adviser fee rate is reduced by 0.015% every May 1 thereafter for the next nineteen years. The fee reduction cannot be terminated or reduced without the approval of a majority vote of the Trustees of the Trust who are not interested persons of EVM or the Trust and by the vote of a majority of shareholders. Average weekly gross assets include the principal amount of any indebtedness for money borrowed, including debt securities issued by the Trust, and the amount of any outstanding preferred shares issued by the Trust. Pursuant to a fee reduction agreement with EVM, average weekly gross assets are calculated by adding to net assets the liquidation value of the Trust s APS and iMTP Shares then outstanding and the amount payable by the Trust to floating rate note holders, such adjustment being limited to the value of the APS outstanding prior to any APS redemptions by the Trust. The administration fee is earned by EVM for administering the business affairs of the Trust and is computed at an annual rate of 0.20% of the Trust s average weekly gross assets. For the six months ended May 31, 2016, the investment adviser fee and administration fee were \$1,423,802 and \$468,741, respectively.

Trustees and officers of the Trust who are members of EVM s organization receive remuneration for their services to the Trust out of the investment adviser fee. Trustees of the Trust who are not affiliated with EVM may elect to defer receipt of all or a percentage of their annual fees in accordance with the terms of the Trustees Deferred Compensation Plan. For the six months ended May 31, 2016, no significant amounts have been deferred. Certain officers and Trustees of the Trust are officers of EVM.

6 Purchases and Sales of Investments

Purchases and sales of investments, other than short-term obligations, aggregated \$11,245,175 and \$41,726,974, respectively, for the six months ended May 31, 2016.

7 Common Shares of Beneficial Interest and Shelf Offering

Common shares issued by the Trust pursuant to its dividend reinvestment plan for the six months ended May 31, 2016 and the year ended November 30, 2015 were 14,831 and 28,557, respectively.

Pursuant to a registration statement filed with the SEC and declared effective in 2013, the Trust is authorized to issue up to an additional 2,281,789 common shares through an equity shelf offering program (the shelf offering). Under the shelf offering, the Trust, subject to market conditions, may raise additional capital from time to time and in varying amounts and offering methods at a net price at or above the Trust s net asset value per common share. During the six months ended May 31, 2016, there were no shares sold by the Trust pursuant to its shelf offering. During the year ended November 30, 2015, the Trust sold 27,404 common shares and received proceeds (net of offering costs) of \$369,167 through its shelf offering. The net proceeds in excess of the net asset value of the shares sold were \$13,452.

On November 11, 2013, the Board of Trustees of the Trust authorized the repurchase by the Trust of up to 10% of its then currently outstanding common shares in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value. The repurchase program does not obligate the Trust to purchase a specific amount of shares. There were no repurchases of common shares by the Trust for the six months ended May 31, 2016 and the year ended November 30, 2015.

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Notes to Financial Statements (Unaudited) continued

8 Overdraft Advances

Pursuant to the custodian agreement, SSBT may, in its discretion, advance funds to the Trust to make properly authorized payments. When such payments result in an overdraft, the Trust is obligated to repay SSBT at the current rate of interest charged by SSBT for secured loans (currently, the Federal Funds rate plus 2%). This obligation is payable on demand to SSBT. SSBT has a lien on the Trust s assets to the extent of any overdraft. At May 31, 2016, the Trust had a payment due to SSBT pursuant to the foregoing arrangement of \$350,905. Based on the short-term nature of these payments and the variable interest rate, the carrying value of the overdraft advances approximated its fair value at May 31, 2016. If measured at fair value, overdraft advances would have been considered as Level 2 in the fair value hierarchy (see Note 9) at May 31, 2016. The Trust s average overdraft advances during the six months ended May 31, 2016 were not significant.

9 Fair Value Measurements

Under generally accepted accounting principles for fair value measurements, a three-tier hierarchy to prioritize the assumptions, referred to as inputs, is used in valuation techniques to measure fair value. The three-tier hierarchy of inputs is summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, prepayment speeds, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including a fund s own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

In cases where the inputs used to measure fair value fall in different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level disclosed is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

At May 31, 2016, the hierarchy of inputs used in valuing the Trust s investments, which are carried at value, were as follows:

Asset Description	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Tax-Exempt Municipal Securities	\$	\$ 543,960,879	\$	\$ 543,960,879
Taxable Municipal Securities		15,845,752		15,845,752
Institutional MuniFund Term Preferred Shares		3,017,310		3,017,310
Total Investments	\$	\$ 562,823,941	\$	\$ 562,823,941

The Trust held no investments or other financial instruments as of November 30, 2015 whose fair value was determined using Level 3 inputs. At May 31, 2016, there were no investments transferred between Level 1 and Level 2 during the six months then ended.

Eaton Vance

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Unaudited)

The Trust held its Annual Meeting of Shareholders on March 24, 2016. The following action was taken by the shareholders:

Item 1. The election of Scott E. Eston, Thomas E. Faust Jr. and Ralph F. Verni as Class II Trustees of the Trust for a three-year term expiring in 2019. Mr. Verni was elected solely by APS shareholders.

Nominees for Trustee Number of Shares

 Elected by All Shareholders
 For
 Withheld

 Scott E. Eston
 20,457,867
 643,940

 Thomas E. Faust Jr.
 20,477,282
 624,525

Nominees for Trustee Number of Shares

Elected by APS Shareholders For Withheld Ralph F. Verni 2,601 598

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Board of Trustees Contract Approval

Overview of the Contract Review Process

The Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), provides, in substance, that each investment advisory agreement between a fund and its investment adviser will continue in effect from year to year only if its continuation is approved at least annually by the fund s board of trustees, including by a vote of a majority of the trustees who are not interested persons of the fund (Independent Trustees), cast in person at a meeting called for the purpose of considering such approval.

At a meeting of the Boards of Trustees (each a Board) of the registered investment companies advised, administered and/or distributed by Eaton Vance Management or its affiliates (the Eaton Vance Funds) held on April 26, 2016, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of existing investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements for the Eaton Vance Funds for an additional one-year period. In voting its approval, the Board relied upon the affirmative recommendation of its Contract Review Committee, which is a committee comprised exclusively of Independent Trustees. Prior to making its recommendation, the Contract Review Committee reviewed information furnished by each adviser to the Eaton Vance Funds (including information specifically requested by the Board) for a series of meetings of the Contract Review Committee held between February and April 2016. The Contract Review Committee also considered information received at prior meetings of the Board and its committees, as relevant to its annual evaluation of the investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements.

The information that the Board considered included, among other things, the following (for funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolio(s), references to each fund in this section may include information that was considered at the portfolio-level):

Information about Fees, Performance and Expenses

A report from an independent data provider comparing the advisory and related fees paid by each fund with fees paid by comparable funds as identified by the independent data provider (comparable funds);

A report from an independent data provider comparing each fund s total expense ratio and its components to comparable funds;

A report from an independent data provider comparing the investment performance of each fund (including, where relevant, yield data, Sharpe ratios and information ratios) to the investment performance of comparable funds over various time periods;

Data regarding investment performance in comparison to benchmark indices and customized groups of peer funds identified by the adviser in consultation with the Board;

For each fund, comparative information concerning the fees charged and the services provided by each adviser in managing other accounts (including mutual funds, other collective investment funds and institutional accounts) using investment strategies and techniques similar to those used in managing such fund;

Profitability analyses for each adviser with respect to each fund;

Information about Portfolio Management and Trading

Descriptions of the investment management services provided to each fund, including the investment strategies and processes it employs;
The procedures and processes used to determine the fair value of fund assets and actions taken to monitor and test the effectiveness of such procedures and processes;
Information about each adviser s policies and practices with respect to trading, including each adviser s processes for monitoring best execution of portfolio transactions;
Information about the allocation of brokerage transactions and the benefits received by each adviser as a result of brokerage allocation, including information concerning the acquisition of research through client commission arrangements and policies with respect to soft dollars;
Data relating to portfolio turnover rates of each fund; formation about each Adviser
Reports detailing the financial results and condition of each adviser;
Descriptions of the qualifications, education and experience of the individual investment professionals whose responsibilities include portfolio management and investment research for the funds, and information relating to their compensation and responsibilities with respect to managing other mutual funds and investment accounts;
The Code of Ethics of each adviser and its affiliates, together with information relating to compliance with and the administration of such codes;
Policies and procedures relating to proxy voting and the handling of corporate actions and class actions;
Information concerning the resources devoted to compliance efforts undertaken by each adviser and its affiliates (including descriptions of various compliance programs) and their record of compliance;
Information concerning the business continuity and disaster recovery plans of each adviser and its affiliates;
A description of Eaton Vance Management s procedures for overseeing third party advisers and sub-advisers, including with respect to regulatory and compliance issues, investment management and other matters;

22

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

Other Relevant Information

Information concerning the nature, cost and character of the administrative and other non-investment advisory services provided by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates;

Information concerning management of the relationship with the custodian, subcustodians and fund accountants by each adviser or the funds administrator; and

The terms of each investment advisory agreement.

Over the course of the twelve-month period ended April 30, 2016, with respect to one or more funds, the Board met ten times and the Contract Review Committee, the Audit Committee, the Governance Committee, the Portfolio Management Committee and the Compliance Reports and Regulatory Matters Committee, each of which is a Committee comprised solely of Independent Trustees, met seven, sixteen, four, nine and eleven times, respectively. At such meetings, the Trustees participated in investment and performance reviews with the portfolio managers and other investment professionals of each investment adviser relating to each fund, and considered various investment and trading strategies used in pursuing each fund s investment objective, such as the use of derivative instruments, as well as risk management techniques. The Board and its Committees also evaluated issues pertaining to industry and regulatory developments, compliance procedures, fund governance and other issues with respect to the funds, and received and participated in reports and presentations provided by Eaton Vance Management and other fund advisers with respect to such matters. In addition to the formal meetings of the Board and its Committees, the Independent Trustees hold regular teleconferences in between meetings to discuss, among other topics, matters relating to the continuation of investment advisory and sub-advisory agreements.

For funds that invest through one or more underlying portfolios, the Board considered similar information about the portfolio(s) when considering the approval of investment advisory agreements. In addition, in cases where the fund s investment adviser has engaged a sub-adviser, the Board considered similar information about the sub-adviser when considering the approval of any sub-advisory agreement.

The Contract Review Committee was assisted throughout the contract review process by Goodwin Procter LLP, independent legal counsel for the Independent Trustees. The members of the Contract Review Committee relied upon the advice of such counsel and their own business judgment in determining the material factors to be considered in evaluating each investment advisory and sub-advisory agreement and the weight to be given to each such factor. The conclusions reached with respect to each investment advisory and sub-advisory agreement were based on a comprehensive evaluation of all the information provided and not any single factor. Moreover, each member of the Contract Review Committee may have placed varying emphasis on particular factors in reaching conclusions with respect to each investment advisory and sub-advisory agreement. In evaluating each investment advisory and sub-advisory agreement, including the specific fee structures and other terms of the agreements, the Contract Review Committee was informed by multiple years of analysis and discussion among the Independent Trustees and the Eaton Vance Funds advisers and sub-advisers.

Results of the Process

Based on its consideration of the foregoing, and such other information as it deemed relevant, including the factors and conclusions described below, the Contract Review Committee concluded that the continuation of the investment advisory agreement of Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust (the Fund) with Eaton Vance Management (the Adviser), including its fee structure, is in the interests of shareholders and, therefore, the Contract Review Committee recommended to the Board approval of the agreement. The Board accepted the recommendation of the Contract Review Committee based on the material factors considered and conclusions reached by the Contract Review Committee with respect to the agreement. Accordingly, the Board, including a majority of the Independent Trustees, voted to approve continuation of the investment advisory agreement for the Fund.

Nature, Extent and Quality of Services

In considering whether to approve the investment advisory agreement of the Fund, the Board evaluated the nature, extent and quality of services provided to the Fund by the Adviser.

The Board considered the Adviser s management capabilities and investment process with respect to the types of investments held by the Fund, including the education, experience and number of its investment professionals and other personnel who provide portfolio management, investment research, and similar services to the Fund, including recent changes to such personnel. In particular, the Board considered, where relevant, the abilities and experience of such investment professionals in analyzing factors such as credit risk, tax efficiency, and special considerations relevant to investing in municipal bonds. The Board considered the Adviser s municipal bond team, which includes portfolio managers and credit specialists who provide services to the Fund. The Board also took into account the resources dedicated to portfolio management and other services, as well as the compensation methods of the Adviser and other factors, such as the reputation and resources of the Adviser to recruit and retain highly qualified research, advisory and supervisory investment professionals. In addition, the Board considered the time and attention devoted to the Eaton Vance Funds, including the Fund, by senior management, as well as the infrastructure, operational capabilities and support staff in place to assist in the portfolio management and operations of the Fund, including the provision of administrative services.

The Board considered the compliance programs of the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof. Among other matters, the Board considered compliance and reporting matters relating to personal trading by investment professionals, selective disclosure of portfolio holdings, late trading, frequent trading, portfolio

Municipal Income Trust

May 31, 2016

Board of Trustees Contract Approval continued

valuation, business continuity and the allocation of investment opportunities. The Board also considered the responses of the Adviser and its affiliates to requests in recent years from regulatory authorities such as the Securities and Exchange Commission and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority.

The Board considered shareholder and other administrative services provided or managed by Eaton Vance Management and its affiliates, including transfer agency and accounting services. The Board evaluated the benefits to shareholders of investing in a fund that is a part of a large fund complex offering exposure to a variety of asset classes and investment disciplines.

After consideration of the foregoing factors, among others, the Board concluded that the nature, extent and quality of services provided by the Adviser, taken as a whole, are appropriate and consistent with the terms of the investment advisory agreement.

Fund Performance

The Board compared the Funds investment performance to that of comparable funds and appropriate benchmark indices and assessed the Funds performance on the basis of total return and current income return. The Boards review included comparative performance data for the one-, three-, five- and ten-year periods ended September 30, 2015 for the Fund. The Board considered, among other things, the Advisers efforts to generate competitive levels of tax-exempt current income over time through investments that focus on higher quality municipal bonds that often have longer maturities. The Board concluded that the performance of the Fund was satisfactory.

Management Fees and Expenses

The Board considered contractual fee rates payable by the Fund for advisory and administrative services (referred to collectively as management fees). As part of its review, the Board considered the Fund s management fees and total expense ratio for the one year period ended September 30, 2015, as compared to those of comparable funds, before and after giving effect to any undertaking to waive fees or reimburse expenses. The Board also considered certain Fund specific factors that had an impact on Fund expense ratios relative to comparable funds, as identified by management in response to inquiries from the Contract Review Committee. Additionally, the Board took into account the financial resources committed by the Adviser in structuring the Fund at the time of its initial public offering and the waiver of fees provided by the Adviser for the first five years of the Fund s life. The Board also considered that, at the request of the Contract Review Committee, the Adviser had implemented a series of permanent reductions in management fees beginning in May 2010, which include a further fee reduction effective May 1, 2016.

After considering the foregoing information, and in light of the nature, extent and quality of the services provided by the Adviser, the Board concluded that the management fees charged for advisory and related services are reasonable.

Profitability and Other Fall-Out Benefits

The Board considered the level of profits realized by the Adviser and relevant affiliates thereof in providing investment advisory and administrative services to the Fund and to all Eaton Vance Funds as a group. The Board considered the level of profits realized without regard to marketing support or other payments by the Adviser and its affiliates to third parties in respect of distribution services. The Board also considered other direct or indirect fall-out benefits received by the Adviser and its affiliates in connection with their relationships with the Fund, including the benefits of research services that may be available to the Adviser as a result of securities transactions effected for the Fund and other investment advisory clients.

The Board concluded that, in light of the foregoing factors and the nature, extent and quality of the services rendered, the profits realized by the Adviser and its affiliates are deemed not to be excessive.

Economies of Scale

In reviewing management fees and profitability, the Board also considered the extent to which the Adviser and its affiliates, on the one hand, and the Fund, on the other hand, can expect to realize benefits from economies of scale as the assets of the Fund increase. The Board acknowledged the difficulty in accurately measuring the benefits resulting from economies of scale, if any, with respect to the management of any specific fund or group of funds. The Board reviewed data summarizing the increases and decreases in the assets of the Fund and of all Eaton Vance Funds as a group over various time periods, and evaluated the extent to which the total expense ratio of the Fund and the profitability of the Adviser and its affiliates may have been affected by such increases or decreases. Based upon the foregoing, the Board concluded that the Fund currently shares in any benefits from economies of scale. The Board also considered the fact that the Fund is not continuously offered and that the Fund s assets are not expected to increase materially in the foreseeable future. The Board concluded that, in light of the level of the Adviser s profits with respect to the Fund, the implementation of breakpoints in the advisory fee schedule is not warranted at this time.

Municipal Income Trust May 31, 2016 Officers and Trustees

Officers of Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust

Payson F. Swaffield

President

Maureen A. Gemma

Vice President, Secretary and Chief Legal Officer

James F. Kirchner

Treasurer

Paul M. O Neil

Chief Compliance Officer

Trustees of Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust

William H. Park

Chairperson

Scott E. Eston

Thomas E. Faust Jr.*

Cynthia E. Frost

Eaton Vance Funds

IMPORTANT NOTICES

Privacy. The Eaton Vance organization is committed to ensuring your financial privacy. Each of the financial institutions identified below has in effect the following policy (Privacy Policy) with respect to nonpublic personal information about its customers:

Only such information received from you, through application forms or otherwise, and information about your Eaton Vance fund transactions will be collected. This may include information such as name, address, social security number, tax status, account balances and transactions.

None of such information about you (or former customers) will be disclosed to anyone, except as permitted by law (which includes disclosure to employees necessary to service your account). In the normal course of servicing a customer s account, Eaton Vance may share information with unaffiliated third parties that perform various required services such as transfer agents, custodians and broker-dealers.

Policies and procedures (including physical, electronic and procedural safeguards) are in place that are designed to protect the confidentiality of such information.

We reserve the right to change our Privacy Policy at any time upon proper notification to you. Customers may want to review our Privacy Policy periodically for changes by accessing the link on our homepage: www.eatonvance.com.

Our pledge of privacy applies to the following entities within the Eaton Vance organization: the Eaton Vance Family of Funds, Eaton Vance Management, Eaton Vance Investment Counsel, Eaton Vance Distributors, Inc., Eaton Vance Trust Company, Eaton Vance Management (International) Limited, Eaton Vance Management is Real Estate Investment Group and Boston Management and Research. In addition, our Privacy Policy applies only to those Eaton Vance customers who are individuals and who have a direct relationship with us. If a customer is account (i.e., fund shares) is held in the name of a third-party financial advisor/broker-dealer, it is likely that only such advisor is privacy policies apply to the customer. This notice supersedes all previously issued privacy disclosures. For more information about Eaton Vance is Privacy Policy, please call 1-800-262-1122.

Delivery of Shareholder Documents. The Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) permits funds to deliver only one copy of shareholder documents, including prospectuses, proxy statements and shareholder reports, to fund investors with multiple accounts at the same residential or post office box address. This practice is often called householding and it helps eliminate duplicate mailings to shareholders. Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, may household the mailing of your documents indefinitely unless you instruct Eaton Vance, or your financial advisor, otherwise. If you would prefer that your Eaton Vance documents not be householded, please contact Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122, or contact your financial advisor. Your instructions that householding not apply to delivery of your Eaton Vance documents will be effective within 30 days of receipt by Eaton Vance or your financial advisor.

Portfolio Holdings. Each Eaton Vance Fund and its underlying Portfolio(s) (if applicable) will file a schedule of portfolio holdings on Form N-Q with the SEC for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year. The Form N-Q will be available on the Eaton Vance website at www.eatonvance.com, by calling Eaton Vance at 1-800-262-1122 or in the EDGAR database on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov. Form N-Q may also be reviewed and copied at the SEC s public reference room in Washington, D.C. (call 1-800-732-0330 for information on the operation of the public reference room).

Proxy Voting. From time to time, funds are required to vote proxies related to the securities held by the funds. The Eaton Vance Funds or their underlying Portfolios (if applicable) vote proxies according to a set of policies and procedures approved by the Funds and Portfolios Boards. You may obtain a description of these policies and procedures and information on how the Funds or Portfolios voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the most recent 12-month period ended June 30, without charge, upon request, by calling 1-800-262-1122 and by accessing the SEC s website at www.sec.gov.

Share Repurchase Program. The Fund s Board of Trustees has approved a share repurchase program authorizing the Fund to repurchase up to 10% of its outstanding common shares as of the approved date in open-market transactions at a discount to net asset value. The repurchase program does not obligate the Fund to purchase a specific amount of shares. The Fund s repurchase activity, including the number of shares purchased, average price and average discount to net asset value, is disclosed in the Fund s annual and semi-annual reports to shareholders.

Additional Notice to Shareholders. If applicable, a Fund may also redeem or purchase its outstanding preferred shares in order to maintain compliance with regulatory requirements, borrowing or rating agency requirements or for other purposes as it deems appropriate or necessary.

Closed-End Fund Information. Eaton Vance closed-end funds make fund performance data and certain information about portfolio characteristics available on the Eaton Vance website shortly after the end of each month. Other information about the funds is available on the website. The funds net asset value per share is readily accessible on the Eaton Vance website. Portfolio holdings for the most recent month-end are also posted to the website approximately 30 days following the end of the month. This information is available at www.eatonvance.com on the fund information pages under Individual Investors Closed-End Funds .

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

This Page Intentionally Left Blank

Investment Adviser and Administrator

Eaton Vance Management

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

Custodian

State Street Bank and Trust Company

State Street Financial Center, One Lincoln Street

Boston, MA 02111

Transfer Agent

American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC

6201 15th Avenue

Brooklyn, NY 11219

Fund Offices

Two International Place

Boston, MA 02110

7695 5.31.16

Item 2. Code of Ethics

Not required in this filing.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert

Not required in this filing.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

Rule 2-01(c)(1)(ii)(A) of Regulation S-X (the Loan Rule) prohibits an accounting firm, such as the Fund s principal accountant, Deloitte & Touche LLP (D&T), from having certain financial relationships with their audit clients and affiliated entities. Specifically, the Loan Rule provides, in relevant part, that an accounting firm generally would not be independent if it receives a loan from a lender that is a record or beneficial owner of more than ten percent of the audit client s equity securities. Based on information provided to the Audit Committee of the Board of Trustees (the Audit Committee) of the Eaton Vance Family of Funds by D&T, certain relationships between D&T and its affiliates (Deloitte Entities) and its lenders who are record owners of shares of one or more funds (the Funds) within the Eaton Vance Funds investment company complex implicate the Loan Rule, calling into question D&T s independence with respect to the Funds. The Funds are providing this disclosure to explain the facts and circumstances as well as D&T s conclusions concerning D&T s objectivity and impartiality with respect to the audits of the Funds.

D&T advised the Audit Committee that it believes that, in light of the facts surrounding its lending relationships, its ability to exercise objective and impartial judgment on all issues encompassed within D&T s audit engagement has not been impaired. D&T has advised the Audit Committee that this conclusion is based in part on the following considerations: (1) Deloitte Entity personnel responsible for managing the lending relationships have had no interactions with the audit engagement team; (2) the lending relationships are in good standing and the principal and interest payments are up-to-date; (3) the lending relationships are not significant to the Deloitte Entities or to D&T.

On June 20, 2016, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) issued no-action relief to another mutual fund complex (see Fidelity Management & Research Company et al., No-Action Letter (June 20, 2016)) related to the auditor independence issue described above. In that letter, the SEC indicated that it would not recommend enforcement action against the fund group if the auditor is not in compliance with the Loan Rule provided that: (1) the auditor has complied with PCAOB Rule 3526(b)(1) and 3526(b)(2); (2) the auditor is non-compliance under the Loan Rule is with respect to certain lending relationships; and (3) notwithstanding such non-compliance, the auditor has concluded that it is objective and impartial with respect to the issues encompassed within its engagement as auditor of the funds. Based on information provided by D&T, the requirements of the no-action letter appear to be met with respect to D&T is lending relationships described above. The SEC has indicated that the no-action relief will expire 18 months from its issuance.

Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants

Not required in this filing.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments

Please see schedule of investments contained in the Report to Stockholders included under Item 1 of this Form N-CSR.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies

Not required in this filing.

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies

Not required in this filing.

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-End Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers

No such purchases this period.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

No material changes.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures

- (a) It is the conclusion of the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer that the effectiveness of the registrant s current disclosure controls and procedures (such disclosure controls and procedures having been evaluated within 90 days of the date of this filing) provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time period specified in the Commission s rules and forms and that the information required to be disclosed by the registrant has been accumulated and communicated to the registrant s principal executive officer and principal financial officer in order to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.
- (b) There have been no changes in the registrant s internal controls over financial reporting during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits

- (a)(1) Registrant s Code of Ethics Not applicable (please see Item 2).
- (a)(2)(i) Treasurer s Section 302 certification.
- (a)(2)(ii) President s Section 302 certification.
- (b) Combined Section 906 certification.

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Eaton Vance Municipal Income Trust

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield

Payson F. Swaffield

President

Date: July 18, 2016

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

By: /s/ James F. Kirchner

James F. Kirchner

Treasurer

Date: July 18, 2016

By: /s/ Payson F. Swaffield

Payson F. Swaffield

President

Date: July 18, 2016