CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO Form S-4/A August 14, 2013 Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2013

Registration No. 333-189302

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

AMENDMENT NO. 2

TO

Form S-4

REGISTRATION STATEMENT

UNDER

THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

CONTANGO OIL & GAS COMPANY

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of 1311 (Primary Standard Industrial 95-4079863 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Classification Code Number)

Identification No.)

3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 960

Houston, Texas 77098

(713) 960-1901

(Address, including ZIP code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrant s principal executive offices)

Joseph J. Romano

Contango Oil & Gas Company

3700 Buffalo Speedway, Suite 960

Houston, Texas 77098

(713) 960-1901

(Name, address, including ZIP code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

With Copies to:

Richard A. Shortz	Allan D. Keel	James M. Prince
Richard B. Aldridge	John A. Thomas	Stephen M. Gill
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Crimson Exploration Inc.	Vinson & Elkins LLP
1701 Market Street	717 Texas Ave, Suite 2900	1001 Fannin Street, Suite 2500
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103	Houston, Texas 77002	Houston, Texas 77002
(215) 963-5000	(713) 236-7400	(713) 758-2222
(215) 963-5001 (fax)	(713) 236-4424 (fax)	(713) 758-2346 (fax)

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale of the securities to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective and upon completion of the transactions described in the enclosed joint proxy statement/prospectus.

If the securities being registered on this Form are being offered in connection with the formation of a holding company and there is compliance with General Instruction G, check the following box.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer x

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer" (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)Smaller reporting companyIf applicable, place an X in the box to designate the appropriate rule provision relied upon in conducting this transaction:

" Exchange Act Rule 13e-4(i) (Cross-Border Issuer Tender Offer)

" Exchange Act Rule 14d-1(d) (Cross-Border Third-Party Tender Offer)

The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until the Registration Statement shall become effective on such dates as the Securities and Exchange Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

The information in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not distribute the Contango common stock being registered pursuant to this joint proxy statement/prospectus until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This joint proxy statement/prospectus does not constitute an offer to distribute or a solicitation of an offer to receive any securities in any jurisdiction where an offer or solicitation is not permitted.

PRELIMINARY SUBJECT TO COMPLETION DATED August 14, 2013

JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/ PROSPECTUS

MERGER PROPOSED YOUR VOTE IS VERY IMPORTANT

The board of directors of Contango Oil & Gas Company (Contango) and the board of directors of Crimson Exploration Inc. (Crimson) have each approved an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the merger agreement) which provides for the acquisition of Crimson by Contango. Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango will merge with and into Crimson, with Crimson surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango (the merger).

If the merger is completed, each share of Crimson common stock outstanding immediately before that time (including restricted shares of Crimson common stock that become vested and unrestricted by virtue of the merger) will automatically be converted into the right to receive 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock. This exchange ratio is fixed and will not be adjusted to reflect stock price changes prior to the closing of the merger. Shares of Contango common stock outstanding before the merger is completed will remain outstanding and will not be exchanged, converted or otherwise changed in the merger. Contango common stock and Crimson common stock are currently traded on the NYSE MKT and the NASDAQ Global Market, respectively, under the symbols MCF and CXPO, respectively. We urge you to obtain current market quotations of Contango and Crimson common stock.

We intend for the merger to qualify as a reorganization under United States federal tax law. Accordingly, Crimson stockholders are not expected to recognize any gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes upon the exchange of shares of Crimson common stock for shares of Contango common stock pursuant to the merger, except with respect to cash received in lieu of fractional shares of Contango common stock.

Based on the estimated number of shares of Contango and Crimson common stock that will be outstanding immediately prior to the closing of the merger, we estimate that, upon such closing, existing Contango stockholders will own approximately 79.7% of Contango following the merger and former Crimson stockholders will own approximately 20.3% of Contango following the merger.

At a special meeting of Contango stockholders, Contango stockholders will be asked to vote on the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger. Approval of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote thereon, assuming a quorum. At the special meeting, Contango stockholders will also be asked to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions.

The Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, Brad Juneau and certain of the executive officers of Contango have each entered into an agreement with Crimson under which, subject to the terms and conditions of the agreement, each has agreed to vote all of the Contango shares it holds in favor of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger. As of the date of this document, such parties hold in the aggregate approximately % of the outstanding shares of Contango common stock.

At a special meeting of Crimson stockholders, Crimson stockholders will be asked to vote on the adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Approval of this proposal requires the affirmative vote of a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by the holders of Crimson common stock. At the special meeting, Crimson stockholders will also be asked to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions.

OCM Crimson Holdings, LLC and OCM GW Holdings, LLC, each an affiliate of Oaktree Capital Management, L.P. and each of the executive officers of Crimson have entered into an agreement with Contango under which, subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, each has agreed to vote all of

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

the Crimson shares it holds in favor of the merger. As of the date of this document, such parties hold in the aggregate approximately % of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock.

The Contango board of directors unanimously recommends that the Contango stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, FOR the approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

The Crimson board of directors unanimously recommends that the Crimson stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, FOR the approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

The obligations of Contango and Crimson to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of several conditions. The accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus contains detailed information about Contango, Crimson, the special meetings, the merger agreement and the merger. Contango and Crimson encourage you to read the joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully and in its entirety before voting, including the section entitled <u>Risk Factors</u> beginning on page 32.

We look forward to the successful combination of Contango and Crimson.

Sincerely,

Joseph J. Romano

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

Crimson Exploration Inc.

Allan D. Keel

President and Chief Executive Officer

Contango Oil & Gas Company Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of the securities to be issued under the joint proxy statement/prospectus or determined if the joint proxy statement/prospectus is truthful or complete. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The joint proxy statement/prospectus is dated , 2013.

, 2013 and is first being mailed to Contango stockholders and Crimson stockholders on or about

Contango Oil & Gas Company

3700 Buffalo Speedway

Houston, Texas 77098

(713) 960-1901

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held On , 2013

To the Stockholders of Contango Oil & Gas Company:

We are pleased to invite you to attend the special meeting of stockholders of Contango Oil & Gas Company, a Delaware corporation (Contango), which will be held at 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Second Floor, Houston, Texas 77098, on , 2013, at , local time, for the following purposes:

to vote on a proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock, par value \$0.04 per share, to Crimson Exploration Inc. (Crimson) stockholders in connection with the merger contemplated by the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated April 29, 2013, by and among Contango, Crimson and Contango Acquisition, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango (Merger Sub), as it may be amended from time to time (the merger agreement), a copy of which is included as Annex A to the joint proxy statement/prospectus of which this notice is a part;

to consider and cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions; and

to vote on a proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the first proposal listed above.

Contango will transact no other business at the special meeting except such business as may properly be brought before the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Please refer to the joint proxy statement/prospectus of which this notice is a part for further information with respect to the business to be transacted at the Contango special meeting.

The Contango board of directors has fixed the close of business on , 2013 as the record date for the Contango special meeting. Only Contango stockholders of record at that time are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Contango special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. A complete list of such stockholders will be available for inspection by any Contango stockholder for any purpose germane to the special meeting during ordinary business hours for the ten days preceding the Contango special meeting at Contango s offices at the address on this notice. The eligible Contango stockholder list will also be available at the Contango special meeting for examination by any stockholder present at such meeting.

The Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, Brad Juneau and certain of the executive officers of Contango have each entered into an agreement with Crimson under which, subject to the terms and conditions of the agreement, each has agreed to vote all of the Contango shares it holds in favor of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger. As of the date of this document, such parties hold in the aggregate approximately % of the outstanding shares of Contango common stock.

Completion of the merger is conditioned on approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger. Approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Contango special meeting, assuming a quorum.

The Contango board of directors has unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement and unanimously recommends that Contango stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, FOR the approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares that you own. Whether or not you expect to attend the Contango special meeting in person, to ensure your representation at the Contango special meeting, we urge you to submit a proxy to vote your shares as promptly as possible by (i) accessing the internet site listed on the Contango proxy card, (ii) calling the toll-free number listed on the Contango proxy card or (iii) submitting your Contango proxy card by mail by using the provided self-addressed, stamped envelope. Submitting a proxy will not prevent you from voting in person, but it will help to secure a quorum and avoid added solicitation costs. Any eligible holder of Contango stock who is present at the Contango special meeting may vote in person, thereby canceling any previous proxy. In any event, a proxy may be revoked in writing at any time before the Contango special meeting in the manner described in the accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus. If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee, please follow the instructions on the voting instruction card furnished by such bank, broker or other nominee.

The enclosed joint proxy statement/prospectus provides a detailed description of the merger and the merger agreement and the other matters to be considered at the Contango special meeting. We urge you to carefully read the joint proxy statement/prospectus, including any documents incorporated by reference, and the Annexes in their entirety. If you have any questions concerning the merger or the joint proxy statement/prospectus, would like additional copies or need help voting your shares of Contango common stock, please contact Contango s proxy solicitor:

Georgeson Inc.

480 Washington Boulevard, 26th Floor

Jersey City, NJ 07310

866-856-4733

By Order of the Contango Board of Directors,

Sergio Castro

Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary

Houston, Texas

, 2013

Crimson Exploration Inc.

717 Texas Ave, Suite 2900

Houston, Texas 77002

(713) 236-7400

NOTICE OF SPECIAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held On , 2013

To the Stockholders of Crimson Exploration Inc.:

We are pleased to invite you to attend the special meeting of stockholders of Crimson Exploration Inc., a Delaware corporation (Crimson), which will be held at Crimson s offices at 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 2900, Houston, Texas 77002, on , 2013, at , local time, for the following purposes:

to vote on a proposal to adopt the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 29, 2013, by and among Contango Oil & Gas Company (Contango), Crimson and Contango Acquisition, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango (Merger Sub), as it may be amended from time to time (the merger agreement), a copy of which is included as Annex A to the joint proxy statement/prospectus of which this notice is a part, approve the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement;

to consider and cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions; and

to vote on a proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the first proposal listed above.

Crimson will transact no other business at the special meeting except such business as may properly be brought before the special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. Please refer to the joint proxy statement/prospectus of which this notice is a part for further information with respect to the business to be transacted at the Crimson special meeting.

The Crimson board of directors has fixed the close of business on , 2013 as the record date for the Crimson special meeting. Only Crimson stockholders of record at that time are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Crimson special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. A complete list of such stockholders will be available for inspection by any Crimson stockholder for any purpose germane to the special meeting during ordinary business hours for the ten days preceding the Crimson special meeting at Crimson s offices at 717 Texas Ave, Suite 2900, Houston, Texas 77002. The eligible Crimson stockholder list will also be available at the Crimson special meeting for examination by any stockholder present at such meeting.

OCM Crimson Holdings, LLC and OCM GW Holdings, LLC, each an affiliate of Oaktree Capital Management, L.P., and each of the executive officers of Crimson have entered into an agreement with Contango and Merger Sub under which, subject to the terms and conditions of the agreement, each has agreed to vote all of the Crimson shares it holds in favor of the merger. As of the date of this document, such parties hold in the aggregate approximately % of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock.

Completion of the merger is conditioned on approval and adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement by the Crimson stockholders, which requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Crimson special meeting, assuming a quorum.

The Crimson board of directors has unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement and unanimously recommends that Crimson stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, FOR the approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares that you own. Whether or not you expect to attend the Crimson special meeting in person, to ensure your representation at the Crimson special meeting, we urge you to submit a proxy to vote your shares as promptly as possible by (i) accessing the internet site listed on the Crimson proxy card, (ii) calling the toll-free number listed on the Crimson proxy card or (iii) submitting your Crimson proxy card by mail by using the provided self-addressed, stamped envelope. Submitting a proxy will not prevent you from voting in person, but it will help to secure a quorum and avoid added solicitation costs. Any eligible holder of Crimson stock who is present at the Crimson special meeting may vote in person, thereby canceling any previous proxy. In any event, a proxy may be revoked in writing at any time before the Crimson special meeting in the manner described in the accompanying joint proxy statement/prospectus. If your shares are held in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee, please follow the instructions on the voting instruction card furnished by such bank, broker or other nominee.

The enclosed joint proxy statement/prospectus provides a detailed description of the merger and the merger agreement and the other matters to be considered at the Crimson special meeting. We urge you to carefully read the joint proxy statement/prospectus, including any documents incorporated by reference, and the Annexes in their entirety. If you have any questions concerning the merger or the joint proxy statement/prospectus, would like additional copies or need help voting your shares of Crimson common stock, please contact Crimson s proxy solicitor:

Morrow & Co., LLC

470 West Ave., 3rd Floor

Stamford, CT 06902

Stockholders, please call toll free: (888) 836-9724

Banks and Brokerage Firms, please call collect: (203) 658-9400

By Order of the Crimson Board of Directors,

John A. Thomas

General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Houston, Texas

, 2013

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

This joint proxy statement/prospectus incorporates important business and financial information about Contango and Crimson from other documents that are not included in or delivered with this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This information is available to you without charge upon your request. You can obtain the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus free of charge by requesting them in writing or by telephone from the appropriate company at the following addresses and telephone numbers:

Georgeson Inc.	Morrow & Co., LLC
480 Washington Boulevard, 26th Floor	470 West Avenue, 3rd Floor
Jersey City, NJ 07310	Stamford, CT 06902
(866) 856-4733	Stockholders, please call toll free: (888) 836-9724

Banks and Brokerage Firms, please call collect: (203) 658-9400

Investors may also consult Contango s or Crimson s website for more information about Contango or Crimson, respectively. Contango s website is www.contango.com. Crimson s website is www.crimsonexploration.com. Information included on these websites is not incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

If you would like to request any documents, please do so by

, 2013 in order to receive them before the special meetings.

For a more detailed description of the information incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and how you may obtain it, see Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

ABOUT THIS JOINT PROXY STATEMENT/PROSPECTUS

This joint proxy statement/prospectus, which forms part of a registration statement on Form S-4 filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) by Contango, constitutes a prospectus of Contango under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), with respect to the shares of Contango common stock to be issued to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger. This joint proxy statement/prospectus also constitutes a joint proxy statement for both Contango and Crimson under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). It also constitutes a notice of meeting with respect to the special meeting of Contango stockholders and a notice of meeting with respect to the special meeting of Crimson stockholders.

You should rely only on the information contained in or incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. No one has been authorized to provide you with information that is different from that contained in, or incorporated by reference into, this joint proxy statement/prospectus. This joint proxy statement/prospectus is dated , 2013. You should not assume that the information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus is accurate as of any date other than that date. You should not assume that the information incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus is accurate as of any date other than the date of the incorporated document. Neither our mailing of this joint proxy statement/prospectus to Contango stockholders or Crimson stockholders nor the issuance by Contango of shares of common stock pursuant to the merger agreement will create any implication to the contrary.

This joint proxy statement/prospectus does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to buy, any securities, or the solicitation of a proxy, in any jurisdiction to or from any person to whom it is unlawful to make any such offer or solicitation. Information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus regarding Contango has been provided by Contango and information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus regarding Crimson has been provided by Crimson.

All references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to Contango refer to Contango Oil & Gas Company, a Delaware corporation; all references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to Merger Sub refer to Contango Acquisition, Inc., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Contango formed for the sole purpose of effecting the merger; all references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to Crimson refer to Crimson Exploration Inc., a Delaware corporation; unless otherwise indicated or as the context requires, all references in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to we, our and us refer to Contango and Crimson collectively; and, unless otherwise indicated or as the context requires, all references to the merger agreement refer to the Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 29, 2013, by and among Contango Oil & Gas Company, Contango Acquisition, Inc. and Crimson Exploration Inc., which is incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus and a copy of which is included as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Contango and Crimson, subject to and following completion of the merger, are sometimes referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as the combined company.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS	1
SUMMARY	9
The Companies	9
The Meetings	11
The Merger	15
Summary Selected Consolidated Financial Data	22
Summary Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data of Contango	22
Summary Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data of Crimson	23
Summary Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information	25
Summary Pro Forma Combined Oil and Natural Gas Reserve and Production Data	26
Unaudited Comparative Per Share Data	27
Comparative Market Prices	29
SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	30
RISK FACTORS	32
Risk Factors Relating to Contango and Crimson	32
Risk Factors Relating to the Merger	32
Risk Factors Relating to the Combined Company Following the Merger	36
THE COMPANIES	39
Contango Oil & Gas Company	39
Crimson Exploration Inc.	39
Contango Acquisition, Inc.	39
THE CONTANGO SPECIAL MEETING	40
Date, Time and Place	40
Purpose of the Contango Special Meeting	40
Recommendation of the Contango Board of Directors	40
Contango Record Date; Stockholders Entitled to Vote	40
Voting by Contango s Directors and Executive Officers	41
Quorum	41
Required Vote	41
Voting of Proxies by Holders of Record	42
Shares Held in Street Name	43
Voting in Person	43
Revocation of Proxies	43
Tabulation of Votes	44
Solicitation of Proxies	44
Adjournments	44
THE CRIMSON SPECIAL MEETING	45
Date, Time and Place	45
Purpose of the Crimson Special Meeting	45
Recommendation of the Crimson Board of Directors	45
Crimson Record Date: Stockholders Entitled to Vote	45
Voting by Crimson s Directors and Executive Officers	46
Quorum	46
Required Vote	46
Voting of Proxies by Holders of Record	40
Shares Held in Street Name	47
Voting in Person	48

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

Revocation of Proxies	Page 48
Tabulation of Votes	48
Solicitation of Proxies	49
Adjournments	49
THE MERGER	50
Effects of the Merger	50
Background of the Merger	50
Contango s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Contango Board of Directors	63
Opinion of Contango s Financial Advisor	65
Crimson s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Crimson Board of Directors	77
Opinion of Crimson s Financial Advisor	81
Certain Prospective Unaudited Financial and Operating Information of Contango and Crimson	95
Amended and Restated Bylaws of Contango	99
Interests of Contango Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger	99
Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger	102
Board of Directors and Executive Management Following the Merger	110
Regulatory Clearances Required for the Merger	110
Treatment of Crimson Stock Options and Other Equity-Based Awards	111
Treatment of Contango and Crimson Credit Agreements	111
Contango Dividend Policy	112
Listing of Contango Common Stock	112
De-Listing and Deregistration of Crimson Stock	112
No Appraisal Rights	112
Litigation Related to the Merger	112
THE MERGER AGREEMENT	114
Terms of the Merger: Merger Consideration	114
Completion of the Merger	115
Exchange of Shares in the Merger	115
Representations and Warranties	115
Conduct of Business	117
No Solicitation of Alternative Proposals	120
Changes in Board Recommendations	121
Efforts to Obtain Required Stockholder Votes	122
Efforts to Complete the Merger	122
Governance Matters After the Merger	123
Employee Benefits Matters	124
Treatment of Crimson Stock Options and Other Stock Based Awards and Programs	125
Other Covenants and Agreements	125
Conditions to Completion of the Merger	126
Termination of the Merger Agreement	127
Effect of Termination	128
Termination Fees and Expenses	128
Amendments, Extensions and Waivers	129
No Third Party Beneficiaries	129
Specific Performance	129
Stockholder Support Agreements	130
Registration Rights Agreement	130

TABLE OF CONTENTS

(continued)

	Page
PROPOSALS FOR THE CONTANGO SPECIAL MEETING	131
Contango Proposal 1 Issuance of Shares of Contango Common Stock	131
Contango Proposal 2 Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Compensation	131
Contango Proposal 3 Possible Adjournment of the Contango Special Meeting	132
PROPOSALS FOR THE CRIMSON SPECIAL MEETING	133
Crimson Proposal 1 Merger Agreement	133
Crimson Proposal 2 Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Compensation	133 134
Crimson Proposal 3 Possible Adjournment of the Crimson Special Meeting	
MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES	135
Tax Consequences of the Merger Generally	135 137
Tax Consequences to Contango, Crimson and Merger Sub	
ACCOUNTING TREATMENT	138
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION	139
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED BALANCE SHEET	140
UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS	141
NOTES TO UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	143
COMPARATIVE STOCK PRICE DATA AND DIVIDENDS	148
Stock Prices	148
Dividends	148
COMPARISON OF RIGHTS OF CONTANGO STOCKHOLDERS AND CRIMSON STOCKHOLDERS	149
NO APPRAISAL RIGHTS	159
LEGAL MATTERS	159
EXPERTS	159
Contango	159
Crimson	159
FUTURE STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS	160
Contango	160
Crimson	160
OTHER MATTERS PRESENTED AT THE MEETINGS	162
WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION	163

Annex A Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of April 29, 2013

Annex B Fairness Opinion of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC, dated as of April 29, 2013

Annex C Fairness Opinion of Barclays Capital, Inc., dated as of April 29, 2013

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following are some questions that you, as a Contango stockholder or a Crimson stockholder, may have regarding the merger and the other matters being considered at the special meetings and the answers to those questions. Contango and Crimson urge you to carefully read the remainder of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including any documents incorporated by reference, and the Annexes in their entirety because the information in this section does not provide all of the information that might be important to you with respect to the merger agreement, the merger and the other matters being considered at the special meetings.

Q: Why am I receiving this joint proxy statement/prospectus?

A: Contango and Crimson have agreed to a business combination pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement that is described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. A copy of the merger agreement is included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A. In order to complete the merger, among other conditions:

Contango stockholders must approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger; and

Crimson stockholders must adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Contango and Crimson will hold separate special meetings of their stockholders to obtain these approvals. This joint proxy statement/prospectus, including its Annexes, contains and incorporates by reference important information about Contango and Crimson, the merger and the stockholder meetings of Contango and Crimson. You should read all of the available information carefully and in its entirety.

Q: What effect will the merger have?

A: Contango and Crimson have entered into the merger agreement pursuant to which Crimson will become a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango and Crimson stockholders will become stockholders of Contango.

Following the merger, the stockholders of Contango and Crimson will be the stockholders of the combined company.

Q: What will I receive in the merger?

A: *Contango Stockholders*: Whether or not the merger is completed, Contango stockholders will retain the Contango common stock that they currently own. They will not receive any merger consideration, and they will not receive any additional shares of Contango common stock in the merger.

Crimson Stockholders: If the merger is completed, Crimson stockholders will receive 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock for each share of Crimson common stock that they hold at the effective time of the merger. Crimson stockholders will not receive any fractional shares of Contango common stock in the merger. Instead, Contango will pay cash in lieu of any fractional shares of Contango common stock that a Crimson stockholder would otherwise have been entitled to receive. Crimson stockholders will also be entitled to any dividends declared and paid by Contango with a record date at or after the effective time of the merger after they have surrendered their certificates representing Crimson common stock.

Q: What is the value of the merger consideration?

A: Because Contango will issue 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock in exchange for each share of Crimson common stock, the value of the merger consideration that Crimson stockholders receive will depend on the price per share of Contango common stock at the effective time of the merger. That price will not be known at the time of the special meetings and may be greater or less than the current price or the price at the time of the special meetings. We urge you to obtain current market quotations of Contango common stock and Crimson common stock. See Risk Factors beginning on page 32.

1

Q: When and where will the special stockholders meetings be held?

A: Contango Stockholders: The special meeting of Contango stockholders will be held at 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Second Floor, Houston, Texas 77098, on , 2013, at , local time.
 Crimson Stockholders: The special meeting of Crimson stockholders will be held at Crimson s offices at 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 2900, Houston, Texas 77002, on , 2013, at , local time.

Q: Who is entitled to vote at the special stockholders meetings?

A: *Contango Stockholders*: The record date for the Contango special meeting is , 2013. Only record holders of shares of Contango common stock at the close of business on such date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Contango special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Crimson Stockholders: The record date for the Crimson special meeting is , 2013. Only record holders of shares of Crimson common stock at the close of business on such date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Crimson special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

Q: What constitutes a quorum at the special stockholders meetings?

A: *Contango Stockholders*: Stockholders who hold shares representing at least a majority of the voting power of all outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote at the Contango special meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum. All shares of Contango common stock represented at the Contango special meeting, including shares that are represented but that vote to abstain, will be treated as present for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum. Broker non-votes will not be treated as present for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum.

Crimson Stockholders: Stockholders who hold shares representing at least a majority of the voting power of all outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote at the Crimson special meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum. All shares of Crimson common stock represented at the Crimson special meeting, including shares that are represented but that vote to abstain, will be treated as present for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum. Broker non-votes will not be treated as present for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum.

Additional information on the quorum requirements can be found under the heading Quorum on page 41 with respect to Contango and on page 46 with respect to Crimson.

Q: How do I vote if I am a stockholder of record?

A: *Contango Stockholders*: If you were a record holder of Contango stock at the close of business on the record date for the Contango special meeting, you may vote in person by attending the Contango special meeting or, to ensure that your shares are represented at the Contango special meeting, you may authorize a proxy to vote by:

accessing the internet site listed on the Contango proxy card and following the instructions provided on that site anytime up to 11:59 p.m., eastern time, on , 2013;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

calling the toll-free number listed on the Contango proxy card and following the instructions provided in the recorded message anytime up to 11:59 p.m., eastern time, on , 2013; or

submitting your Contango proxy card by mail by using the provided self-addressed, stamped envelope.

If you hold shares of Contango common stock in street name through a bank, broker or other nominee, please follow the voting instructions provided by your bank, broker or other nominee to ensure that your shares are represented at the Contango special meeting.

2

Crimson Stockholders. If you were a record holder of Crimson stock at the close of business on the record date for the Crimson special meeting, you may vote in person by attending the Crimson special meeting or, to ensure that your shares are represented at the Crimson special meeting, you may authorize a proxy to vote by:

accessing the internet site listed on the Crimson proxy card and following the instructions provided on that site anytime up to 11:59 p.m., eastern time, on , 2013;

calling the toll-free number listed on the Crimson proxy card and following the instructions provided in the recorded message anytime up to 11:59 p.m., eastern time, on , 2013; or

submitting your Crimson proxy card by mail by using the provided self-addressed, stamped envelope.

If you hold Crimson shares in street name through a bank, broker or other nominee, please follow the voting instructions provided by your bank, broker or other nominee to ensure that your shares are represented at the Crimson special meeting.

Q: How many votes do I have?

A: *Contango Stockholders*: With respect to each proposal to be presented at the Contango special meeting, holders of Contango common stock as of the Contango record date are entitled to one vote for each share of Contango common stock owned at the close of business on the Contango record date. At the close of business on the Contango record date, there were shares of Contango common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Contango special meeting.

Crimson Stockholders: With respect to each proposal to be presented at the Crimson special meeting, holders of Crimson common stock as of the Crimson record date are entitled to one vote for each share of Crimson common stock owned at the close of business on the Crimson record date, there were at the Crimson special meeting.

Q: Who will serve on the board of directors of Contango following the completion of the merger?

A: The merger agreement provides that, upon completion of the merger, the board of directors of Contango will consist of eight members, including, (i) five directors chosen by the current Contango directors, which directors will be members of the existing Contango board (at least three of whom will be independent for purposes of the rules of the NYSE MKT), and (ii) three directors chosen by the current Crimson directors, which directors will be members of the existing Crimson board (at least two of whom will be independent for purposes of the NYSE MKT). As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, it is anticipated that Joseph J. Romano, Brad Juneau, B.A. Berilgen, Charles M. Reimer, Steven L. Schoonover, Allan D. Keel, B. James Ford, and Lon McCain will serve as directors of the combined company upon completion of the merger.

Q: Who will serve as executive management of Contango following the completion of the merger?

A: Effective as of, and subject to the occurrence of, the effective time of the merger (i) Joseph J. Romano will continue to serve as the Chairman of the board of directors of the combined company, (ii) Allan D. Keel will become President and Chief Executive Officer of the combined company, (iii) E. Joseph Grady will become the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the combined company,

Table of Contents

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

(iv) Thomas H. Atkins will become the Senior Vice President of Exploration of the combined company, (v) Jay S. Mengle will become the Senior Vice President of Engineering of the combined company, and (vi) A. Carl Isaac will become the Senior Vice President of Operations of the combined company.

Q: What vote is required to approve each proposal?

A: Contango Stockholders: The approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote thereon, assuming a quorum. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.
 The approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote thereon, assuming a quorum.
 Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have no effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

The adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote thereon, regardless of whether there is a quorum. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

Crimson Stockholders: The adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Crimson common stock that are entitled to vote at the special meeting. Failures to vote, broker non-votes and abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

The approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Crimson special meeting, assuming a quorum. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

The adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Crimson special meeting, regardless of whether there is a quorum. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

Q: How does the Contango board of directors recommend that Contango stockholders vote?

A: The Contango board of directors has unanimously determined that the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement (including the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger) are in the best interests of Contango and its stockholders. Accordingly, the Contango board of directors unanimously recommends that Contango stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, FOR the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Q: How does the Crimson board of directors recommend that Crimson stockholders vote?

A: The Crimson board of directors has unanimously adopted the merger agreement and determined that the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are in the best interests of Crimson and its stockholders. Accordingly, the Crimson board of directors unanimously

recommends that Crimson stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, FOR the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Q: My shares are held in street name by my bank, broker or other nominee. Will my bank, broker or other nominee automatically vote my shares for me?

A: No. If your shares are held through a bank, broker or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial holder of the shares held for you in what is known as street name. The record holder of such shares is your bank, broker or other nominee, and not you. If this is the case, this joint proxy statement/prospectus has been forwarded to you by your bank, broker or other nominee. You must provide the record holder of your shares with instructions on how to vote your shares. Otherwise, your bank, broker or other nominee may not vote your shares on any of the proposals to be considered at the Contango special meeting or the Crimson special meeting, as applicable, and a broker non-vote will result.

In connection with the Contango special meeting, broker non-votes will have no effect on (i) the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger (assuming a quorum is present), (ii) the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions or (iii) the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

In connection with the Crimson special meeting, broker non-votes will have (i) the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, (ii) no effect on the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and (iii) no effect on the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Please follow the voting instructions provided by your bank, broker or other nominee so that it may vote your shares on your behalf. Please note that you may not vote shares held in street name by returning a proxy card directly to Contango or Crimson or by voting in person at the special meeting unless you first obtain a legal proxy from your bank, broker or other nominee.

Q: What will happen if I fail to vote or I abstain from voting?

A: *Contango Stockholders*: If you fail to vote it will not have any effect on the vote for the proposals; however, if you attend the Contango special meeting and abstain or mark your proxy or voting instructions to abstain, it will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST (i) the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, (ii) the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions, and (iii) the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Crimson Stockholders: If you fail to vote, it will have (i) the same effect as a vote AGAINST the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, (ii) no effect on the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and (iii) no effect on the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

If you attend the Crimson special meeting and abstain or mark your proxy or voting instructions to abstain, it will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST (i) the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, (ii) the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and (iii) the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Q: What will happen if I return my proxy card without indicating how to vote?

A: *Contango Stockholders*: If you properly complete and sign your proxy card but do not indicate how your shares of Contango common stock should be voted on a proposal, the shares of Contango common stock represented by your proxy will be voted as the Contango board of directors recommends and, therefore, FOR (i) the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, (ii) the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and (iii) the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Crimson Stockholders: If you properly complete and sign your proxy card but do not indicate how your shares of Crimson common stock should be voted on a proposal, the shares of Crimson common stock represented by your proxy will be voted as the Crimson board of directors recommends and, therefore, FOR (i) the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, (ii) the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and (iii) the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Q: Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy after I have returned a proxy or voting instruction card?

A: Yes.

If you are the record holder of either Contango or Crimson stock, you can change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before your proxy is voted at the applicable special meeting. You can do this by:

timely delivering a signed written notice of revocation;

timely delivering a new, valid proxy bearing a later date (including by telephone or through the internet); or

attending the special meeting and voting in person, which will automatically cancel any proxy previously given, or revoking your proxy in person. Simply attending the Contango special meeting or the Crimson special meeting without voting will not revoke any proxy that you have previously given or change your vote.

If you choose either of the first two methods, your notice of revocation or your new proxy must be received by the Secretary of Contango or Crimson, as applicable, no later than the beginning of the applicable special meeting.

Regardless of the method used to deliver your previous proxy, you may revoke your proxy by any of the above methods.

If you hold shares of either Contango or Crimson in street name : If your shares are held in street name, you must contact your bank, broker or other nominee to change your vote.

6

Q: What are the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to U.S. holders of Crimson common stock?

A: The merger is intended to be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). Assuming the merger qualifies as a reorganization, a U.S. holder of Crimson common stock will not recognize any gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes upon the exchange of the holder s shares of Crimson common stock for shares of Contango common stock pursuant to the merger, except with respect to cash received in lieu of fractional shares of Contango common stock. For further information, see Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 132.

The U.S. federal income tax consequences described above may not apply to all holders of Crimson common stock. Your tax consequences will depend on your individual situation. Accordingly, we strongly urge you to consult your independent tax advisor for a full understanding of the particular tax consequences of the merger to you.

Q: When do you expect the merger to be completed?

A: Contango and Crimson hope to complete the merger as soon as reasonably possible and expect the closing of the merger to occur in September or October of 2013. However, the merger is subject to the satisfaction or waiver of other conditions, and it is possible that factors outside the control of Contango and Crimson could result in the merger being completed at an earlier time, a later time or not at all.

Q: Do I need to do anything with my shares of common stock other than vote for the proposals at the special meeting?

A: *Contango Stockholders*: If you are a Contango stockholder, after the merger is completed, you are not required to take any action with respect to your shares of Contango common stock.

Crimson Stockholders: If you are a Crimson stockholder, after the merger is completed, each share of Crimson common stock that you hold will be converted automatically into the right to receive 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock together with cash in lieu of any fractional shares, as applicable. You will receive instructions at that time regarding exchanging your shares for shares of Contango common stock. You do not need to take any action at this time. Please do not send your Crimson stock certificates with your proxy card.

Q: Should I send in my share certificates now?

A: No. If Contango and Crimson complete the merger, former Crimson stockholders will receive written instructions for exchanging their Crimson share certificates. Contango will issue shares of Contango common stock to former holders of Crimson common stock in uncertificated form as a notation on the Contango stockholders register, unless a former Crimson stockholder requests share certificates for shares of Contango common stock to be issued in such stockholder s name, in which case Contango will issue such certificates in accordance with its normal procedure for issuing share certificates to stockholders.

Q: Are stockholders entitled to appraisal rights?

A: No. Neither the stockholders of Contango nor the stockholders of Crimson are entitled to appraisal rights in connection with the merger under Delaware law, under the certificate of incorporation or bylaws of either company or otherwise.

Q: What happens if I sell my shares of Crimson common stock before the Crimson special meeting?

A: The record date for the Crimson special meeting is earlier than the date of the Crimson special meeting and the date that the merger is expected to be completed. If you transfer your Crimson shares after the Crimson record date but before the Crimson special meeting, you will retain your right to vote at the Crimson special meeting, but will have transferred the right to receive the merger consideration in the merger. In order to receive the merger consideration, you must hold your shares through the effective date of the merger.

Q: What does it mean if I receive more than one set of materials?

A: This means you own shares of both Contango and Crimson common stock or you own shares of Contango or Crimson common stock that are registered under different names. For example, you may own some shares directly as a stockholder of record and other shares through a bank, broker or other nominee or you may own shares through more than one bank, broker or other nominee. In these situations, you will receive multiple sets of proxy materials. You must complete, sign and return all of the proxy cards or follow the instructions for any alternative voting procedure on each of the voting instruction forms you receive in order to vote all of the shares of Contango and/or Crimson common stock that you own. Each proxy card you receive will come with its own self-addressed, stamped envelope; if you submit your proxy by mail, make sure you return each proxy card in the return envelope that accompanied that proxy card.

Q: How can I find out more information?

A: For more information about Contango and Crimson, see the section entitled Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

Q: Who can help answer my questions?

A: Contango stockholders who have questions about the merger, the other matters to be voted on at the special meetings or how to submit a proxy, or desire additional copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or additional proxy cards should contact: Georgeson Inc., 480 Washington Boulevard, 26th Floor, Jersey City, NJ 07310, Telephone: (866) 856-4733.

Crimson stockholders who have questions about the merger, the other matters to be voted on at the special meetings or how to submit a proxy, or desire additional copies of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or additional proxy cards should contact: Morrow & Co., LLC, 470 West Avenue, 3rd Floor, Stamford, CT 06902. Stockholders may call toll free at (888) 836-9724. Banks and brokerage firms may call collect at (203) 658-9400.

⁸

SUMMARY

This summary highlights information contained elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and may not contain all the information that is important to you with respect to the merger and the other matters being considered at the Contango and Crimson special meetings. Contango and Crimson urge you to read the remainder of this joint proxy statement/prospectus carefully, including the attached Annexes, and the other documents to which we have referred you. See also the section entitled Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160. We have included page references in this summary to direct you to a more complete description of the topics presented below.

The Companies

Contango Oil & Gas Company

Contango is a Houston, TX-based, independent natural gas and oil company. Contango s core business is to explore, develop, produce and acquire natural gas and oil properties offshore in the Gulf of Mexico in water-depths of less than 300 feet. Contango has 12 operating wells and three production platforms in the Gulf of Mexico. Contango has additional onshore investments in (i) Alta Resources Investments, LLC, whose primary area of focus is the liquids-rich Kaybob Duvernay in Alberta, Canada; (ii) Exaro Energy III LLC (Exaro), which is primarily focused on the development of proved natural gas reserves in the Jonah Field in Wyoming; and (iii) the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale where Contango leases approximately 24,000 net acres. As of March 31, 2013, Contango had estimated proved reserves of 215.5 billion cubic feet equivalent (Bcfe) including 19.6 Bcfe of proved developed reserves attributable to its investment in Exaro. Contango has an active exploration program, and plans to drill two new exploratory wells in the central Gulf of Mexico in 2013. As of March 31, 2013, Contango had no debt and approximately \$100 million of working capital. For the quarter ended March 31, 2013, Contango s average production was approximately 64.6 million cubic feet equivalent per day (Mmcfed).

Contango s common stock is traded on the NYSE MKT under the symbol MCF.

The principal executive offices of Contango are located at 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Houston, Texas 77098, and Contango s telephone number is (713) 960-1901. Additional information about Contango and its subsidiaries is included in documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information on page 160.

Crimson Exploration Inc.

Crimson is a Houston, TX-based independent energy company engaged in the exploitation, exploration, development and acquisition of crude oil and natural gas, primarily in the onshore Gulf Coast regions of the United States. Crimson currently owns approximately 95,000 net acres onshore in Texas, Louisiana, Colorado and Mississippi. Crimson refers to its four corporate areas as (i) Southeast Texas, focusing on the Woodbine, Eagle Ford and Georgetown formations, (ii) South Texas, focusing on the Eagle Ford and Buda formations, (iii) East Texas, focusing on the Haynesville, Mid-Bossier and James Lime formations, and (iv) Rockies and Other, focusing on the Niobrara and D&J Sand formations. Crimson s strategy is to continue to increase crude oil and liquids-rich reserves and production from an extensive inventory of drilling prospects, de-risk unproved prospects in core operating areas, and opportunistically grow reserves through acquisitions complementary to its existing asset base.

As of June 30, 2013, Crimson had estimated proved reserves of 117.1 Bcfe of natural gas equivalents, based on SEC reporting guidelines. For the quarter ended June 30, 2013, Crimson s average production was approximately 44.2 Mmcfed.

Crimson s common stock is traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol CXPO.

The principal executive offices of Crimson are located at 717 Texas Ave., Suite 2900, Houston, Texas 77002, and Crimson s telephone number is (713) 236-7400. Additional information about Crimson and its subsidiaries is included in documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information on page 160.

Contango Acquisition, Inc.

Contango Acquisition, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango, is a Delaware corporation that was formed on March 14, 2013 for the sole purpose of effecting the merger. In the merger, Contango Acquisition, Inc. will be merged with and into Crimson, with Crimson surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango.

10

The Meetings

The Contango Special Meeting (see page 40)

The special meeting of Contango stockholders will be held at 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Second Floor, Houston, Texas 77098, on 2013, at , local time. The special meeting of Contango stockholders is being held to consider and vote on:

a proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger;

an advisory (non-binding) proposal to approve the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions; and

a proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the first proposal listed above. Completion of the merger is conditioned on approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger.

Only record holders of shares of Contango common stock at the close of business on , 2013, the record date for the Contango special meeting, are entitled to receive notice of, and to vote at, the Contango special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. At the close of business on the record date, the only outstanding voting securities of Contango were common stock, and shares of Contango common stock were issued and outstanding, approximately % of which were owned and entitled to be voted by Contango directors and executive officers and the Estate of Mr. Peak, Contango s former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The Contango directors and executive officers and the Estate of Mr. Peak are currently expected to vote their shares in favor of each Contango proposal listed above.

The Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, Brad Juneau and certain of the executive officers of Contango have each entered into an agreement with Crimson whereby, subject to the terms and conditions of that agreement, such stockholder has agreed to vote all of the Contango shares held by such stockholder in favor of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, such parties hold in the aggregate approximately % of the outstanding shares of Contango common stock.

With respect to each Contango proposal listed above, Contango stockholders may cast one vote for each share of Contango common stock that they own as of the Contango record date. The proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote thereon. No business may be transacted at the Contango special meeting unless a quorum is present. If a quorum is not present, or if fewer shares are voted in favor of the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger than is required, to allow additional time for obtaining additional proxies, the special meeting may be adjourned if the requisite stockholder approval to adjourn the meeting is obtained. No notice of an adjourned meeting need be given unless the adjournment is for more than 30 days or, if after the adjournment, a new record date is fixed for the adjourned meeting, in which case a notice of the adjourned meeting shall be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting.

Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Board of Directors of Contango (see page 61)

After careful consideration, the Contango board of directors unanimously determined that the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are advisable and in the best interests of Contango

and its stockholders, approved the merger and the merger agreement and recommended to the holders of Contango common stock the approval of the issuance of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger. For more information regarding the factors considered by the Contango board of directors in reaching its decisions relating to its recommendations, see the section entitled The Merger Contango s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Contango Board of Directors. **The Contango board of directors unanimously recommends that Contango stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, FOR the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary or appropriate to solicit additional proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock.**

Opinion of Contango s Financial Advisor (see page 63)

In connection with the merger, on February 6, 2013, the Contango board of directors retained Petrie Partners Securities, LLC (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Petrie) to act as financial advisor to the Contango board of directors. On April 29, 2013, at a meeting of the Contango board of directors, Petrie rendered its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed by delivery of a written opinion soon after the meeting, that, as of April 29, 2013 and based upon and subject to the factors, procedures, assumptions, qualifications and limitations set forth in its opinion, the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to Contango.

The full text of the written opinion of Petrie, dated as of April 29, 2013, which sets forth, among other things, the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the scope of review undertaken in rendering its opinion, is attached as Annex B to this joint proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated by reference in its entirety into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. You are urged to read the opinion carefully and in its entirety. Petrie s opinion was addressed to, and provided for the information and benefit of, the Contango board of directors (in its capacity as such) in connection with its evaluation of whether the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to Contango. Petrie s opinion does not address the fairness of the proposed merger, or any consideration received in connection with the proposed merger, to the holders of any securities, creditors or other constituencies of Contango, nor does it address the fairness of the amount or nature of any compensation to be paid or payable to any of the officers, directors or employees of Contango, or any class of such persons, whether relative to the exchange ratio or otherwise. Petrie assumed that any modification to the structure of the merger would not vary in any respect material to its analysis. Petrie s opinion does not address the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business transaction or strategic alternative that might be available to Contango, nor does it address the underlying business decision of Contango to engage in the merger. Petrie s opinion does not constitute a recommendation to the Contango board of directors or to any other persons in respect of the merger, including as to how any holder of shares of common stock of Contango should act or vote in respect of any of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. Finally, Petrie did not express any opinion as to the price at which shares of Contango or Crimson common stock will trade at any time.



The Crimson Special Meeting (see page 45)

The special meeting of Crimson stockholders will be held at Crimson s offices at 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 2900, Houston, Texas 77002, on , 2013, at , local time. The special meeting of Crimson stockholders is being held in order to consider and vote on:

a proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, which is further described in the sections titled The Merger and The Merger Agreement, beginning on pages 50 and 111, respectively;

an advisory (non-binding) proposal to approve the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions; and

a proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the foregoing proposal. Only record holders of shares of Crimson common stock at the close of business on _______, 2013, the record date for the Crimson special meeting, are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Crimson special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. At the close of business on the record date, the only outstanding voting securities of Crimson were common stock, and _________ shares of Crimson common stock were issued and outstanding, approximately _______% of which were owned and entitled to be voted by Crimson directors and executive officers and their affiliates are currently expected to vote their shares in favor of each of the Crimson proposals listed above.

OCM GW Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and OCM Crimson Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (collectively, Oaktree) and each executive officer of Crimson have entered into an agreement with Contango and Merger Sub whereby, subject to the terms and conditions of that agreement, such stockholder has agreed to vote all of the Crimson shares held by such stockholder in favor of the adoption of the merger. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, Oaktree holds, in the aggregate, approximately % of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock and the named executive officers of Crimson hold, in the aggregate, approximately % of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock.

With respect to each Crimson proposal listed above, Crimson stockholders may cast one vote for each share of Crimson common stock that they own as of the Crimson record date. The proposal to adopt the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Crimson common stock that are entitled to vote thereon. No business may be transacted at the Crimson special meeting unless a quorum is present. If a quorum is not present, or if fewer shares are voted in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement than is required, to allow additional time for obtaining additional proxies, the special meeting may be adjourned if the requisite stockholder approval to adjourn the meeting is obtained. No notice of an adjourned meeting need be given unless the adjournment is for more than 30 days or, if after the adjournment, a new record date is fixed for the adjourned meeting, in which case a notice of the adjourned meeting shall be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting.

Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Board of Directors of Crimson (see page 76)

After careful consideration, the Crimson board of directors unanimously adopted the merger agreement, determined that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the merger, are advisable and in the best interests of Crimson s stockholders, and recommended that the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement be adopted by Crimson s stockholders. For more information regarding the factors considered by the Crimson board of directors in reaching its decision to recommend the approval of the merger agreement, see the section entitled The Merger Crimson s Reasons

for the Merger; Recommendation of the Crimson Board of Directors. **The Crimson board of directors unanimously recommends that** Crimson stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement at the Crimson special meeting, FOR the proposal to approve on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve and adopt the merger agreement.

Opinion of Crimson s Financial Advisor (see page 79)

In connection with the merger, Crimson s board of directors received a written opinion, dated April 29, 2013, from Barclays Capital Inc. (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Barclays) as to the fairness, as of the date of the opinion, from a financial point of view, to holders of Crimson common stock of the exchange ratio provided for in the merger agreement. The full text of Barclays written opinion, which is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex C, sets forth the assumptions made, procedures followed, matters considered and limitations on the review undertaken. Barclays opinion was provided for the information of Crimson s board of directors (in its capacity as such) in connection with its evaluation of the exchange ratio from a financial point of view and did not address any other aspects or implications of the merger. Barclays expressed no view as to, and its opinion does not address, the underlying business decision of Crimson to effect the merger, the relative merits of the merger as compared to any alternative business strategies that might exist for Crimson or the effect of any other transaction in which Crimson might engage. Barclays opinion is not intended to be and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder as to how such stockholder should vote or act on any matters relating to the proposed merger or otherwise.

14

The Merger

A copy of the merger agreement is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Contango and Crimson encourage you to read the entire merger agreement carefully because it is the principal document governing the merger. For more information on the merger agreement, see the section entitled The Merger Agreement beginning on page 111.

Form of the Merger (see page 111)

Subject to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, at the effective time of the merger, Merger Sub, a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango formed for the sole purpose of effecting the merger, will be merged with and into Crimson. Crimson will survive the merger as a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango.

Merger Consideration (see page 111)

Crimson stockholders will have the right to receive 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock for each share of Crimson common stock they hold at the effective time of the merger (the exchange ratio) and, in lieu of any fractional shares, cash (without interest) in an amount equal to the product of (i) such fractional part of a share of Contango common stock multiplied by (ii) the closing price for a share of Contango common stock as reported on the NYSE MKT on the first trading day following the effective date of the merger. The exchange ratio is fixed and will not be adjusted for changes in the market value of the common stock of Crimson or Contango. As a result, the implied value of the consideration to Crimson stockholders will fluctuate between the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus and the effective date of the merger. Based on the closing price of Contango common stock on the NYSE MKT on April 29, 2013, the last trading day before public announcement of the merger, the exchange ratio represented approximately \$3.19 in value for each share of Crimson common stock. Based on the closing price of Contango contango common stock on the NYSE MKT on , 2013, the latest practicable trading day before the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the exchange ratio represented approximately \$ in value for each share of Crimson common stock.

Treatment of Crimson Stock Options and Other Equity-Based Awards (see page 122)

Stock Options. Upon completion of the merger, each outstanding option to acquire Crimson common stock will be converted into a fully vested and immediately exercisable option to purchase shares of Contango common stock. The number of shares of Contango common stock that will be subject to such Contango stock options will be the number of shares of Crimson common stock subject to each such Crimson stock option multiplied by 0.08288, rounded down to the nearest whole share of Contango common stock. The exercise price per share of Contango common stock for such Contango stock option will equal the exercise price per share of Crimson common stock for such Crimson stock option divided by 0.08288, rounded up to the nearest whole cent.

Restricted Stock. Effective simultaneously with the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of Crimson restricted stock will vest and be converted into an unrestricted share of Crimson common stock with the right to receive 0.08288 fully vested shares of Contango common stock.

Expected Timing of the Merger

Contango and Crimson currently expect the closing of the merger to occur in September or October of 2013. However, the merger is subject to the satisfaction or waiver of conditions as described in the merger agreement, and it is possible that factors outside the control of Contango and Crimson could result in the merger being completed at an earlier time, a later time or not at all.

Conditions to Completion of the Merger (see page 123)

The obligations of Contango, Crimson and Merger Sub to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the following conditions on or prior to the closing date:

adoption of the merger agreement by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock;

approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Contango special meeting;

absence of any laws, temporary restraining orders, preliminary or permanent injunctions or other orders that have the effect of making the merger illegal or otherwise prohibiting consummation of the merger;

the receipt of any approvals required to be obtained for the consummation of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement under any applicable United States federal or state laws, except where the failure to obtain such approvals would not have a material adverse effect on Contango or Crimson;

authorization for the listing on the NYSE MKT of the shares of Contango common stock to be issued, and such other shares to be reserved for issuance, in connection with the merger, subject to official notice of issuance; and

effectiveness of the registration statement on Form S-4 of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus forms a part and the absence of a stop order or proceedings pending before by the SEC for that purpose.

In addition, each of Contango s and Crimson s obligations to effect the merger is subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the following additional conditions:

the representations and warranties of the other party, other than the representations related to the shares of capital stock authorized, issued and outstanding or reserved for issuance and the shares subject to any rights to purchase or receive common stock, (i) if qualified by material adverse effect, will be true and correct in all respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing date, and (ii) if not qualified by material adverse effect, will be true and correct as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing date except where the failure to be true and correct, has not had, or would not reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect (other than, in each case, those representations and warranties that were made only as of an earlier date, which need only be true and correct as of such earlier date subject to the materiality exceptions noted above);

the representations and warranties of Contango relating to the shares of capital stock authorized, issued and outstanding or reserved for issuance and the shares subject to any rights to purchase or receive common stock will be true and correct other than in de minimis respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing date (except to the extent such representations or warranties were made only as of a specified date, in which case, as of such specified date);

the representations and warranties of Crimson relating to the shares of capital stock authorized, issued and outstanding or reserved for issuance and the shares subject to any rights to purchase or receive common stock will be true and correct in all respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing date (except to the extent such representations or warranties were made only as of an earlier date, in which case, as of such earlier date), except where inaccuracies, would result in payment of \$1,000,000 or less of additional merger consideration, in the aggregate;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

the other party having performed or complied with, in all material respects, its material agreements and covenants under the merger agreement required to be performed or complied with on or prior to the closing date;

16

receipt of a certificate executed by the other party s chief executive officer or chief financial officer as to the satisfaction of the conditions described in the preceding four bullets;

receipt by each party of a tax opinion from such party s tax counsel as described in the section titled Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences, including an opinion that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code; and

absence of any event or development that, individually or in the aggregate, has or would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on the other party.

No Solicitation of Alternative Proposals (see page 117)

The merger agreement precludes Contango and Crimson from soliciting or engaging in discussions or negotiations with respect to a proposal regarding an alternative transaction. However, if Contango or Crimson receives an unsolicited acquisition proposal from a third party, and Contango s or Crimson s board of directors, as applicable, among other things, reasonably determines in good faith (after consultation with its outside legal advisors) that such unsolicited proposal is, or is reasonably likely to lead to, a superior proposal to the merger, Contango or Crimson, as applicable, may furnish non-public information to and enter into discussions with, and only with, that third party regarding such acquisition proposal. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement No Solicitation of Alternative Proposals for a discussion of these and other rights of each of Contango and Crimson to terminate the merger agreement.

Termination of the Merger Agreement (see page 124)

Contango and Crimson may mutually agree to terminate the merger agreement at any time, notwithstanding approval of the merger by stockholders. Either company may also terminate the merger agreement if the merger is not consummated by October 31, 2013, subject to certain exceptions. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Termination of the Merger Agreement for a discussion of these and other rights of each of Contango and Crimson to terminate the merger agreement.

Effect of Termination of the Merger Agreement (see page 125)

If the merger agreement is terminated by either party in accordance with its terms, the merger agreement (except for the confidentiality agreement between Contango and Crimson and certain provisions expressly listed in the merger agreement, which will survive such termination) will become void, and neither of the parties nor their affiliates or representatives will have any liability under the merger agreement or in connection with the transactions contemplated thereby, except: (a) with respect to any applicable termination fees and the reimbursement of certain expenses; (b) for willful and material breach of the merger agreement; (c) for fraud; or (d) for breaches of the confidentiality agreement between Contango and Crimson. In the case of clauses (b) and (c), the aggrieved party will be entitled to all rights and remedies available at law or equity; provided that in the case of clause (b), if such breach is of the stockholder meetings provision or the no solicitation provision and the breaching party pays the applicable termination fee, such party and its affiliates or representatives will have no further liability in connection with the merger agreement or such termination. In the case of clause (d), the aggrieved party shall be entitled to all rights and remedies provided in the confidentiality agreement between Contango and Crimson.

Termination Fees and Expenses (see page 125)

Generally, all fees and expenses incurred in connection with the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement will be paid by the party incurring those expenses, subject to the specific exceptions discussed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus where Contango may be required to pay a termination fee of \$28 million or an expense reimbursement of \$4.5 million and Crimson may be required to

pay a termination fee of \$7 million or an expense reimbursement of \$4.5 million. See the section entitled The Merger Agreement Termination Fees and Expenses for a discussion of the circumstances under which such termination fee or expense reimbursement will be required to be paid.

Comparison of Stockholder Rights and Corporate Governance Matters (see page 146)

Crimson stockholders receiving merger consideration will have different rights once they become stockholders of Contango due to differences between the governing corporate documents of Crimson and the governing corporate documents of Contango. These differences are described in detail under the section entitled Comparison of Rights of Contango Stockholders and Crimson Stockholders.

Listing of Shares of Contango Common Stock; De-Listing and Deregistration of Shares of Crimson Common Stock (see page 110)

It is a condition to the completion of the merger that the shares of Contango common stock to be issued to Crimson stockholders and the shares of Contango common stock reserved for issuance pursuant to the merger (including those shares of Contango common stock to be issued upon conversion of the Crimson restricted stock) be authorized for listing on the NYSE MKT at the effective time of the merger, subject to official notice of issuance. Upon completion of the merger, shares of Crimson common stock currently listed on the NASDAQ will cease to be listed on the NASDAQ and will be subsequently deregistered under the Exchange Act.

Interests of Contango Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger (see page 97)

Certain of Contango s directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Contango stockholders generally. As detailed below under The Merger Agreement Stockholder Support Agreements, certain executive officers of Contango along with Brad Juneau and the Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, former Chairman of the Board, have entered into support agreements with Crimson in connection with the execution of the merger agreement.

As detailed below under The Merger Board of Directors and Management, following the Merger, Joseph J. Romano will serve as the Chairman of the board of directors of Contango and certain of the members of Contango s board of directors immediately prior to the merger will serve as directors of Contango upon completion of the merger.

As detailed below under The Merger Interests of Contango Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger, a significant corporate transaction is one of the annual performance measures for Joseph J. Romano, so it is anticipated that Mr. Romano will be entitled to receive a \$4.0 million bonus payment as a result of the closing of the merger, if Mr. Romano has not already earned the bonus payment through satisfaction of other performance measures.

As of , 2013, the record date for the Contango special meeting, the directors and executive officers of Contango beneficially owned and were entitled to vote shares of Contango common stock, collectively representing approximately % of the shares of Contango common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Contango special meeting. In order to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal is required. See The Contango Special Meeting Required Vote below on page 41.

The Contango board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in evaluating the merger and in making its recommendations to Contango stockholders.

Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger (see page 99)

Certain of Crimson s directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Crimson stockholders generally. As detailed below under The Merger Agreement Stockholder Support Agreements, each of Allan D. Keel, E. Joseph Grady, A. Carl Isaac, Jay S. Mengle, Thomas H. Atkins and John A. Thomas have entered into support agreements with Contango in connection with the execution of the merger agreement. Moreover, the parties expect that Crimson s existing executive officers will become executive officers of Contango at or shortly after the consummation of the merger.

As detailed below under The Merger Board of Directors and Management, following the Merger, Allan D. Keel will serve as President and Chief Executive Officer of the combined company, E. Joseph Grady will serve as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the combined company, Thomas H. Atkins will serve as Senior Vice President of Exploration of the combined company, Jay S. Mengle will serve as Senior Vice President of Directors of the combined company, A. Carl Isaac will serve as Senior Vice President of Operations of the combined company, and certain of the members of Crimson s board of directors immediately prior to the merger will continue to serve as directors of the combined company upon completion of the merger. Messrs. Keel, Grady, Atkins, Mengle and Isaac have entered into employment agreements with Contango as discussed below under Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger.

As of , 2013, the record date for the Crimson special meeting, the directors and executive officers of Crimson and their affiliates beneficially owned and were entitled to vote shares of Crimson common stock, collectively representing approximately % of the shares of Crimson common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Crimson special meeting. In order to approve the adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Crimson common stock that are entitled to vote at the special meeting is required. See The Crimson Special Meeting Required Vote below on page 46.

The Crimson board of directors was aware of these interests and considered them, among other matters, in evaluating the merger and in making its recommendations to Crimson stockholders.

Regulatory Clearances Required to Complete the Transactions (see page 108)

Contango and Crimson are not required to file notifications with the Federal Trade Commission and the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice or observe a mandatory pre-merger waiting period before completing the merger under the U.S. Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as the HSR Act). Contango and Crimson cannot assure you, however, that other government agencies or private parties will not initiate actions to challenge the merger before or after it is completed.

Board of Directors and Executive Management Following the Merger (see page 108)

Effective as of, and subject to the occurrence of, the effective time of the merger, the following will occur:

the board of directors of the combined company will consist of eight members, including, (i) five directors chosen by the current Contango directors (at least three of whom will be independent for purposes of the rules of the NYSE MKT), and (ii) three directors chosen by the current Crimson directors (at least two of whom will be independent for purposes of the rules of the NYSE MKT);

as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, it is anticipated that Joseph J. Romano, Brad Juneau, B.A. Berilgen, Charles M. Reimer, Steven L. Schoonover, Allan D. Keel, B. James Ford, and Lon McCain will serve as directors of the combined company;

Joseph J. Romano will continue to serve as the Chairman of the board of directors of the combined company;

Allan D. Keel will become President and Chief Executive Officer of the combined company;

E. Joseph Grady will become the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the combined company;

Thomas H. Atkins will become the Senior Vice President of Exploration of the combined company;

Jay S. Mengle will become the Senior Vice President of Engineering of the combined company;

A. Carl Isaac will become the Senior Vice President of Operations of the combined company; and

the bylaws of Contango will be restated in the form of Exhibit C of Annex A. No Appraisal Rights (see page 110)

Neither the holders of shares of Contango common stock nor the holders of shares of Crimson common stock are entitled to appraisal rights in connection with the merger in accordance with Delaware law, nor do the certificates of incorporation or bylaws of either company confer such appraisal rights.

Exchange of Shares in the Merger (see page 112)

Prior to the effective time of the merger, Contango will appoint an exchange agent to handle the exchange of shares of Crimson common stock for shares of Contango common stock. At the effective time of the merger, shares of Crimson common stock will be converted into the right to receive 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock without the need for any action by the holders of Crimson common stock.

Promptly after the effective time of the merger, but in no event later than three business days after the closing of the merger, Contango will cause the exchange agent to mail to each holder of a Crimson stock certificate a letter of transmittal specifying, among other things, that delivery will be effected, and risk of loss and title to any certificates representing Crimson common stock shall pass, only upon proper delivery of such certificates to the exchange agent. The letter will also include instructions explaining the procedure for surrendering Crimson stock certificates in exchange for shares of Contango common stock. Crimson stockholders should not return Crimson stock certificates with the enclosed proxy card. Holders of uncertificate of a Crimson common stock in book-entry form will automatically receive the merger consideration and will not be required to deliver a certificate or an executed letter of transmittal to the exchange agent.

After the effective time of the merger, shares of Crimson common stock will no longer be outstanding, will be automatically canceled and will cease to exist and each certificate, if any, that previously represented shares of Crimson common stock will represent only the right to receive the merger consideration as described above. With respect to such shares of Contango common stock deliverable upon the surrender of Crimson stock certificates, until holders of such Crimson stock certificates have surrendered such stock certificates to the exchange agent for exchange, those holders will not receive dividends or distributions with respect to such shares of Contango common stock with a record date after the effective time of the merger.

Crimson stockholders will not receive any fractional shares of Contango common stock pursuant to the merger. Instead of any fractional shares, Crimson stockholders will be paid an amount in cash for such fraction of a share calculated by multiplying the fractional share interest to which such holder would otherwise be entitled by the closing price for a share of Contango common stock as reported on the NYSE MKT on the first trading day following the effective date of the merger.

Contango stockholders need not take any action with respect to their stock certificates.

Anticipated Accounting Treatment (see page 135)

Under generally accepted accounting principles, the merger will be accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting with Contango being considered the acquirer of Crimson for accounting purposes. This means that Contango will allocate the purchase price to the fair value of Crimson s tangible and intangible assets and liabilities as of the acquisition date, with the excess purchase price being recorded as goodwill.

Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences (see page 132)

The merger is intended to be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. Assuming the merger qualifies as a reorganization, a U.S. holder of Crimson common stock will not recognize any gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes upon the exchange of the holder s shares of Crimson common stock for shares of Contango common stock pursuant to the merger, except with respect to cash received in lieu of fractional shares of Contango common stock.

As a condition to the completion of the merger, Crimson and Contango will each have received an opinion, dated as of the closing date of the merger, that the merger will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. An opinion of counsel represents such counsel s best legal judgment but is not binding on the Internal Revenue Service (the IRS) or any court, so there can be no certainty that the IRS will not challenge the conclusions reflected in the opinion or that a court would not sustain such a challenge.

The tax opinions regarding the merger will not address any state, local or foreign tax consequences of the merger. The opinions will be based on certain assumptions and representations as to factual matters from Contango and Crimson, as well as certain covenants and undertakings by Contango and Crimson. If any of the assumptions, representations, covenants or undertakings is incorrect, incomplete, inaccurate or violated in any material respect, the validity of the conclusions reached by counsel in their opinions would be jeopardized and the tax consequences of the merger could differ from those described in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Neither Contango nor Crimson is currently aware of any facts or circumstances that would cause the assumptions, representations, covenants and undertakings to be incorrect, incomplete, inaccurate or violated in any material respect.

You are urged to consult your own tax advisor regarding the particular consequences to you of the merger.

Risk Factors (see page 32)

In evaluating the merger, in addition to the other information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, you should carefully consider the risk factors relating to the merger and each of Contango and Crimson beginning on page 32.

Summary Selected Consolidated Financial Data

Summary Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data of Contango

The following selected statement of income data for the years ended June 30, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and selected balance sheet data as of June 30, 2012 and 2011 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Contango contained in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, which is incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference. The selected statement of income data for the years ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 and selected balance sheet data as of June 30, 2010, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from Contango s audited consolidated financial statements for such years, which have not been incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference.

The selected statement of income data for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, and selected balance sheet data as of March 31, 2013 have been derived from Contango s unaudited interim consolidated financial statements contained in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013, which is incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference. The selected balance sheet data as of March 31, 2012 has been derived from Contango s unaudited interim consolidated financial statements contained in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012, which has not been incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference. These financial statements are unaudited, but, in the opinion of Contango s management, contain all adjustments necessary to fairly state Contango s financial position and results of operations for the periods indicated.

You should read this summary financial data together with the financial statements that are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus and their accompanying notes and management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations of Contango contained in such reports. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

	Nine Mont Marcl			Y	/ears Ended June 30,		
	2013	2012	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008 (a)
Income Statement Data			(1	n thousands)			
Total revenues	96,493	139,449	179,272	201,721	159,010	190,656	116,498
Income (loss) from continuing operations (b)	(21,076)	49,875	59,212	64,459	50,166	55,861	83,221
	(21,070)	,	,	· · · · · ·	,	55,801	,
Discontinued operations, net of income taxes		(821)	(824)	574	(480)		173,685
Net income (loss)	(21,076)	49,054	58,389	65,033	49,686	55,861	256,906
Preferred stock dividends							1,548
Net income (loss) attributable to common stock	(21,076)	49,054	58,389	65,033	49,686	55,861	255,358
Net income (loss) per common share:							
Basic	(1.38)	3.18	3.79	4.15	3.14	3.41	15.78
Diluted	(1.38)	3.18	3.79	4.14	3.08	3.35	14.88
Weighted average shares outstanding							
Basic	15,229	15,453	15,423	15,665	15,831	16,363	16,185
Diluted	15,229	15,456	15,425	15,713	16,157	16,690	17,263
Cash dividends per common share (c)	2.00						
Capital expenditures (d)	73,249	18,734	20,847	69,993	97,703	45,742	119,929

	As of Ma	arch 31,			As of June 30),	
	2013	2012	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008 (a)
				In thousands	5)		
Balance Sheet Data							
Current assets	136,859	203,397	175,213	204,878	119,873	96,475	158,749
Total assets	572,939	621,456	624,654	636,930	592,266	517,042	599,974
Current liabilities	37,967	34,437	34,312	78,224	78,488	53,244	128,836
Noncurrent liabilities (excluding long-term debt)	127,174	128,596	126,003	132,083	136,448	114,434	114,140
Long-term debt							15,000
Stockholders equity	407,798	458,423	464,339	426,623	377,330	349,364	341,998
Total proved reserves (MMcfe) (e)(f)	215,495	251,140	256,567	296,729	314,027	355,046	369,076
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows							
(g)			513,932	717,135	712,094	638,091	2,233,918

(a) During the year ended June 30, 2008 Contango sold its Arkansas Fayetteville Shale properties and other properties for \$328.3 million. Results of operations for these properties are included in discontinued operations.

(b) During the nine months ended March 31, 2013, Contango drilled two dry holes resulting in exploration expenses of approximately \$50.0 million, including leasehold costs. Additionally, Contango revised estimated proved reserves at Ship Shoal 263, resulting in non-cash impairment expenses of approximately \$12.0 million. During the year ended June 30, 2010 Contango incurred \$20.2 million exploration expenses related to two dry holes in the Gulf of Mexico.

(c) On November 29, 2012, the Board of Contango declared a one-time special dividend of \$2.00 per share of common stock which was paid on December 17, 2012.

(d) Included in capital expenditures for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and the year ended June 30, 2010 are exploration expenditures of \$42.7 million and \$20.2 million, respectively, related to drilling of wells which were dry holes and are expensed in Contango s statements of operations.

(e) Million cubic feet equivalent, determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one barrel Bbl of crude oil, condensate or natural gas liquids.

(f) The total proved reserves as of March 31, 2013 include 19.6 Bcfe of proved developed reserves attributable to Contango s investment in Exaro.

(g) No standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows information as of March 31, 2013 or March 31, 2012 is available.

Summary Selected Consolidated Historical Financial Data of Crimson

The following selected income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 and selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 have been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements of Crimson contained in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, which is incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference. The selected income statement data for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 have been derived from Crimson s audited consolidated financial statements for such years, which have not been incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference.

The selected income statement data for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, and selected balance sheet data as of June 30, 2013 have been derived from Crimson s unaudited interim consolidated financial statements contained in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013, which is incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference. The selected balance sheet data as of June 30, 2012 has been derived from Crimson s unaudited interim consolidated financial statements contained in its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012, which has not been incorporated into this joint proxy statement/prospectus by reference. These financial statements are unaudited, but, in the opinion of Crimson s management, contain all adjustments necessary to fairly state Crimson s financial position and results of operations for the periods indicated.

You should read this summary selected financial data together with the financial statements that are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus and their accompanying notes and management s discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations of Crimson contained in such reports. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

	Six Months June 3 2013		2012 (1		Years Ended December 31, 2010	2009	2008
Income Statement Data							
Operating revenues	60,893	57,211	115,904	113,636	95,932	111,803	185,680
Income (loss) from operations (a)(b)	5,731	9,792	(98,451)	2,339	(14,346)	(458)	45,835
Net income (loss) (a)(b)(c)	(4,193)	(488)	(91,991)	(15,845)	(30,845)	(34,070)	46,203
Preferred stock dividends						(4,523)	(4,234)
Net income (loss) available to common							
stockholders	(4,193)	(488)	(91,991)	(15,845)	(30,845)	(38,593)	41,969
Net income (loss) per share:							
Basic	(0.09)	(0.01)	(2.08)	(0.35)	(0.78)	(4.91)	7.81
Diluted	(0.09)	(0.01)	(2.08)	(0.35)	(0.78)	(4.91)	4.46
Weighted average shares outstanding							
Basic	44,536	44,056	44,148	44,789	39,397	7,861	5,371
Diluted	44,536	44,485	44,148	44,789	39,397	7,861	10,360
Cash dividends per common share							
Capital expenditures (d)	30,449	60,288	79,334	87,511	54,746	21,893	141,795

	As of June 30,			As	81,		
	2013	2012	2012	2011 In thousands)	2010	2009	2008
Balance Sheet Data			(III tilousalius)			
Current assets	27,607	21,127	24,824	21,072	27,562	24,711	46,348
Total assets	371,198	467,246	368,620	436,326	412,687	424,804	511,546
Current liabilities	54,198	59,024	38,685	67,086	47,370	33,486	84,040
Noncurrent liabilities (excluding long-term debt)	10,303	10,480	10,724	9,692	9,773	15,837	29,243
Long-term Debt	229,926	227,694	239,369	190,042	172,013	192,750	276,640
Stockholders equity	76,771	170,048	79,842	169,506	183,531	182,731	121,623
Total proved reserves (MMcfe) (e)(f)	117,067		117,049	200,369	166,498	97,488	131,947
Standardized measure of discounted future net							
cash flows (g)			296,440	255,332	226,515	176,423	260,902

- (a) Includes non-cash equity-based compensation charges of \$1.4 million, \$1.2 million, \$2.5 million, \$1.9 million, \$1.8 million, \$2.4 million and \$5.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (b) Includes non-cash impairment and abandonment charges of \$1.6 million, \$1.5 million, \$117.5 million, \$15.0 million, \$22.3 million, \$6.7 million and \$43.3 million respectively, related to proved and unproved properties for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (c) Non-cash charges of \$0.8 million, \$2.5 million, \$(2.3) million, \$0.5 million, \$(6.5) million, \$(23.9) million and \$49.4 million related to unrealized gains/(losses) on derivative instruments for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.
- (d) Excludes acquisitions of oil and gas properties.
- (e) Million cubic feet equivalent, determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of crude oil, condensate or natural gas liquids. Information as of June 30, 2013 is based on a reserve report prepared internally by Crimson management and was not reviewed by its independent reserve engineers.
- (f) No reserve information as of June 30, 2012 is available.
- (g) No standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows information as of June 30, 2013 or June 30, 2012 is available.

Summary Selected Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information

The following table presents selected unaudited pro forma combined financial information including Contango s consolidated balance sheet and statements of income, after giving effect to the merger with Crimson. The information under Pro Forma Income Statement Data in the table below gives effect to the merger as if it had been consummated on July 1, 2011. The information under Pro Forma Balance Sheet Data in the table below assumes the merger had been consummated on March 31, 2013. This unaudited pro forma combined financial information was prepared assuming the merger will be accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting with Contango considered the acquirer of Crimson. See Accounting Treatment on page 135.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information represents a current estimate based on currently available information and includes adjustments that are preliminary and will likely be revised. The unaudited pro forma balance sheet data includes adjustments to record the assets and liabilities of Crimson at their estimated fair values and is subject to further adjustment as additional information becomes available and as additional analyses are performed. There can be no assurance that such revisions will not result in material changes. The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is presented for illustrative purposes only and does not indicate the financial results of the combined company.

The information presented below should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements of Contango and Crimson, including the related notes, filed by each of them with the SEC, and with the pro forma condensed combined financial statements of Contango and Crimson, including the related notes, appearing elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160 and Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information beginning on page 136. The unaudited pro forma financial information, while helpful in illustrating the financial characteristics of the combined company under one set of assumptions, does not reflect the impact of possible revenue enhancements, expense efficiencies and asset dispositions, among other factors that may result as a consequence of the merger and, accordingly, does not attempt to predict or suggest future results. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial data are not necessarily indicative of results that actually would have occurred or that may occur in the future had the merger been completed on the dates indicated.

	Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013 (In thousands, exce	Jur	ear Ended ne 30, 2012 hare data)
Pro Forma Statement of Operations Data:			
Oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids sales	\$ 179,281	\$	293,229
Net income (loss) available to common stockholders	(88,857)		91,997
Net income (loss) per share of common stock			
Basic	(4.65)		4.77
Diluted	(4.65)		4.73

Pro Forma Balance Sheet Data:	
Total assets	956,373
Long-term debt	175,000
Total stockholders equity	549,985

Summary Pro Forma Combined Oil and Natural Gas Reserve and Production Data

The following table presents selected unaudited pro forma information regarding Contango s proved reserves as of March 31, 2013, giving effect to the acquisition of Crimson s proved reserves as if they were acquired on March 31, 2013. Contango s reserve disclosures are based on a reserve report prepared by Contango s independent reserve engineer as of March 31, 2013, in accordance with the guidelines established by the SEC. Crimson s reserve information as of March 31, 2013 is based on the internal reserve report as of March 31, 2013 and was not reviewed by any independent reserve engineer.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities and values of proved reserves and in projecting future rates of production and the amount and timing of development expenditures, including many factors beyond the property owner s control. The following reserve data represents estimates only and should not be construed as being precise. The assumptions used in preparing these estimates may not be realized, causing the quantities of oil and gas that are ultimately recovered, the timing of the recovery of oil and gas reserves, the production and operating costs incurred and the amount and timing of future development expenditures to vary from the estimates presented herein. Actual production, revenues and expenditures with respect to reserves will vary from estimates and the variances may be material.

These estimates were calculated using the twelve-month average of the first-day-of-the-month reference prices as adjusted for location and quality differentials. Any significant price changes will have a material effect on the quantity and present value of the reserves shown below. These estimates depend on a number of variable factors and assumptions, including historical production from the area compared with production from other comparable producing areas, the assumed effects of regulations by governmental agencies, assumptions concerning future oil and gas prices, and assumptions concerning future operating costs, transportation costs, severance and excise taxes, development costs and workover and remedial costs.

		March 31, 2013	_
	Contango Historical	Crimson Historical	Contango Pro Forma Combined
Estimated Proved Reserves (a):			
Oil (MBbl)	2,426	6,010	8,436
NGL (MBbl)	4,723	3,078	7,801
Natural Gas (MMcf)	172,601	61,686	234,287
Total (MMcfe) (b)	215,495	116,214	331,709
Estimated Proved Developed Reserves (a):			
Oil (MBbl)	2,417	2,566	4,983
NGL (MBbl)	4,719	1,798	6,517
Natural Gas (MMcf)	172,461	39,411	211,872
Total (MMcfe) (b)	215,277	65,596	280,873
Estimated Proved Undeveloped Reserves:			
Oil (MBbl)	9	3,444	3,453
NGL (MBbl)	4	1,280	1,284
Natural Gas (MMcf)	140	22,275	22,415
Total (MMcfe) (b)	218	50,618	50,836

Estimated Quantities of Reserves as of

- (a) The total proved and proved developed reserves of Contango as of March 31, 2013 include 19.6 Bcfe of proved developed reserves attributable to Contango s investment in Exaro.
- (b) Million cubic feet equivalent, determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of crude oil, condensate or natural gas liquids.

The following table sets forth summary pro forma information with respect to Contango s and Crimson s combined oil and natural gas production for the year ended June 30, 2012 and nine months ended March 31, 2013. This pro forma information gives effect to the merger as if it occurred on July 1, 2011.

The Contango production data presented below was derived from its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2012 and its quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the nine months ended March 31, 2013, which are incorporated by reference in this joint proxy/prospectus.

The Crimson production data for the twelve months ended June 30, 2012 and nine months ended March 31, 2013 was recalculated based on information derived from its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, its quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2013, both incorporated by reference in this registration statement; and quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the three months ended March 31, 2012, not incorporated by reference in this joint proxy/prospectus.

Production for the Year Ended

		June 30, 2012			
	Contango Historical	Crimson Historical	Contango Pro Forma Combined		
Oil (MBbl)	615	568	1,183		
NGL (MBbl)	662	341	1,003		
Natural Gas (MMcf)	23,617	9,554	33,171		
Total (MMcfe) (a)	31.279	15.010	46.289		

Production for the Nine Months Ended

		March 31, 2013		
	Contango Historical	Crimson Historical	Contango Pro Forma Combined	
Oil (MBbl)	290	551	841	
NGL (MBbl)	468	237	705	
Natural Gas (MMcf)	14,230	5,459	19,689	
Total (MMcfe) (a)	18,778	10,188	28,966	

(a) Million cubic feet equivalent, determined using the ratio of six Mcf of natural gas to one Bbl of crude oil, condensate or natural gas liquids.

Unaudited Comparative Per Share Data

The following table sets forth certain historical net income (loss) per share of Contango and Crimson and per share book value information on an unaudited pro forma combined basis after giving effect to the merger.

Historical per share data of Contango for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and the year ended June 30, 2012 was derived from Contango s historical financial statements for the respective periods. Historical per share data of Crimson for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2012 was calculated based on historical financial information included in its financial statements. This information should be read together with the consolidated financial statements and related notes of Contango and Crimson that are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

Unaudited pro forma combined per share data for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and the twelve months ended June 30, 2012 was derived and should be read in conjunction with the unaudited pro forma combined financial data included under Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information

beginning on page 136. The pro forma information is presented for illustrative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the operating results or financial position that would have occurred if the merger had been completed as of the beginning of the period.

	Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2012
Contango Historical		
Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations:		
Basic	\$ (1.38)	\$ 3.84
Diluted	(1.38)	3.84
Book value per share of common stock	26.84	30.36
Cash dividends	2.00	
	Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2012
Crimson Historical		
Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations:		
Basic	(2.17)	(0.11)
Diluted	(2.17)	(0.11)
Book value per share of common stock	1.62	3.69
Cash Dividends		
	Nine Months Ended	Twelve Months Ended
Conton on Unordited Day Former Combined Amounts	March 31, 2013	June 30, 2012
Contango Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Amounts Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations:		
Basic	(4.65)	4.77
Diluted	× /	4.77
Book value per share of common stock	(4.65) 29.20	32.01
Cash dividends	29.20	52.01
	Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013	Twelve Months Ended June 30, 2012
Crimson Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Amounts (1)		
Net income (loss) per share from continuing operations:		
Basic	(0.39)	0.40
Diluted	(0.39)	0.39
Book value per share of common stock Cash dividends	2.42	2.65

(1) The Crimson unaudited pro forma equivalent per share financial information is computed by multiplying the Contango unaudited pro forma combined amounts by the exchange ratio (0.08288 shares of Contango common stock for each share of Crimson common stock) so that the per share amounts are equated to the respective values for one share of Crimson common stock.

Comparative Market Prices

The following table shows the closing sale prices of Contango common stock as reported on the NYSE MKT and Crimson common stock as reported on the NASDAQ as of April 29, 2013, the last trading day before public announcement of the merger, and as of , 2013, the last trading day before the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

			Implied Value for
	Contango	Crimson	Each Share of
	Common	Common	Crimson Common
	Stock	Stock	Stock
April 29, 2013	\$ 38.50	\$ 2.96	\$ 3.19

, 2013

The market price of Contango and Crimson common stock will fluctuate prior to the merger. Contango stockholders and Crimson stockholders are urged to obtain current market quotations for the shares prior to making any decision with respect to the merger.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This joint proxy statement/prospectus and the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws that are not limited to historical facts, but reflect Contango s and/or Crimson s current beliefs, expectations or intentions regarding future events. Words such as may, will, could, should, expect, plan. pro intend, anticipate, believe, estimate, predict, potential, pursue, target, continue, and similar expressions are intended to identify s forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements include, without limitation, Contango s and Crimson s expectations with respect to the synergies, costs and other anticipated financial impacts of the proposed transaction; future financial and operating results of the combined company; the combined company s plans, objectives, expectations and intentions with respect to future operations and services; approval of the proposed transaction by stockholders; the satisfaction of the closing conditions to the proposed transaction; and the timing of the completion of the proposed transaction.

Although Contango and Crimson believe the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, such expectations may not occur. These forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the combined company to be materially different from actual future results expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties also include those set forth under Risk Factors, beginning on page 32, as well as, among others, risks and uncertainties relating to:

Low and/or declining prices for natural gas and oil;

Natural gas and oil price volatility;

Operational constraints, start-up delays and production shut-ins at both operated and non-operated production platforms, pipelines and gas processing facilities;

The risks associated with acting as the operator in drilling deep high pressure and temperature wells in the Gulf of Mexico, including well blowouts and explosions;

The risks associated with exploration, including cost overruns and the drilling of non-economic wells or dry holes, especially in prospects in which the combined company has made a large capital commitment relative to the size of the combined company s capitalization structure;

The timing and successful drilling and completion of natural gas and oil wells;

Availability of capital and the ability to repay indebtedness when due;

Availability of rigs and other operating equipment;

Ability to receive Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement permits on a time schedule that permits the combined company to operate efficiently;

Amounts, timing and types of capital expenditures and operating expenses;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

Ability to raise capital to fund capital expenditures;

Timely and full receipt of sale proceeds from the sale of our production;

The ability to find, acquire, market, develop and produce new natural gas and oil properties;

Interest rate volatility;

Results of borrowing base redeterminations under the revolving credit facility after the merger;

Compliance with covenants under the revolving credit facility after the merger;

Zero or near-zero interest rates;

Uncertainties in the estimation of proved reserves and the net present values of these reserves, and in the projection of future rates of production and timing of development expenditures;

Operating hazards attendant to the natural gas and oil business;

Downhole drilling and completion risks that are generally not recoverable from third parties or insurance;

Expansion and development trends of the oil and gas industry;

Potential mechanical failure or under-performance of significant wells, production facilities, processing plants or pipeline mishaps;

Weather;

Availability and cost of material and equipment;

Delays in anticipated start-up dates;

Actions or inactions of third-party operators of the combined company s properties;

Actions or inactions of third-party operators of pipelines or processing facilities;

The ability to find and retain skilled personnel;

Strength and financial resources of competitors;

Federal and state regulatory developments and approvals;

Environmental risks;

Worldwide economic conditions;

The ability to construct and operate offshore infrastructure, including pipeline and production facilities;

The continued compliance by the combined company with various pipeline and gas processing plant specifications for the gas and condensate produced by the combined company;

Drilling and operating costs, production rates and ultimate reserve recoveries;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

Restrictions on permitting activities;

Expanded rigorous monitoring and testing requirements;

Legislation that may regulate drilling activities and increase or remove liability caps for claims of damages from oil spills;

Ability to obtain insurance coverage on commercially reasonable terms;

Accidental spills, blowouts and pipeline ruptures;

Impact of new and potential legislative and regulatory changes on operating and safety standards for the domestic oil and gas exploration and production industry;

The proposed merger, including the ability to complete the merger in the anticipated timeframe or at all, the diversion of management in connection with the merger and the combined company s ability to realize fully or at all the anticipated benefits of the merger; and

Other financial, operational and legal risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in either Contango s or Crimson s SEC filings. Contango and Crimson caution that the foregoing list of factors is not exclusive. Additional information concerning these and other risk factors is contained in Contango s and Crimson s most recently filed Annual Reports on Form 10-K, subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, recent Current Reports on Form 8-K and other SEC filings. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and, other than as required by law, neither Contango nor Crimson undertake any obligation to update publicly or revise any of these forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements concerning Contango, Crimson, the proposed transaction or other matters and attributable to Contango or Crimson or any person acting on their behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements above.

RISK FACTORS

In addition to the other information included and incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the matters addressed in the section entitled Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, you should carefully consider the following risks before deciding whether to vote for the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, in the case of Contango stockholders, or for the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transaction contemplated by the merger agreement in the case of Crimson stockholders. In addition, you should read and consider the risks associated with each of the businesses of Contango and Crimson because these risks will also affect the combined company following the merger. These risks can be found in the Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012, in the case of Contango stockholders, and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, in the case of Crimson stockholders, as such risks may be updated or supplemented in each company s subsequently filed Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q or Current Reports on Form 8-K, to the extent incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See the section entitled Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

Risk Factors Relating to Contango and Crimson

Contango s and Crimson s businesses are and will be subject to the risks described in Contango s and Crimson s Annual Reports on Form 10-K for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2012, respectively, as such risks may be updated or supplemented in each company s subsequently filed Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q or Current Reports on Form 8-K, to the extent incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

Risk Factors Relating to the Merger

The exchange ratio is fixed and will not be adjusted in the event of any change in either Contango s or Crimson s stock price.

Upon closing of the merger, each share of Crimson common stock will be converted into the right to receive 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock. This exchange ratio will not be adjusted for changes in the market price of either Contango common stock or Crimson common stock between the date of signing the merger agreement and completion of the merger. Changes in the price of Contango common stock prior to the merger will affect the value of Contango common stock that Crimson common stockholders will receive on the date of the merger. The exchange ratio will be adjusted proportionally to reflect the effect of any reclassification, recapitalization, stock split, split-up, combination or exchange of shares or stock dividend or dividend payable in any other securities, with respect to Contango common stock or Crimson common stock between the date of signing the merger agreement and completion of the merger.

The prices of Contango common stock and Crimson common stock at the closing of the merger may vary from their prices on the date the merger agreement was executed, on the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus and on the date of each stockholders meeting. As a result, the value represented by the exchange ratio will also vary, and you will not know or be able to calculate the market value of the merger consideration you will receive upon completion of the merger. For example, based on the range of closing prices of Contango common stock during the period from April 29, 2013, the last trading day before public announcement of the merger, through , 2013, the latest practicable trading date before the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, the exchange ratio represented a value ranging from a high of \$ to a low of \$ for each share of Crimson common stock.

In addition, the merger might not be completed until a significant period of time has passed after the respective special stockholder meetings. Because the exchange ratio will not be adjusted to reflect any changes in

the market value of Contango common stock or Crimson common stock, the market value of the Contango common stock issued in connection with the merger and the Crimson common stock surrendered in connection with the merger may be higher or lower than the values of those shares on earlier dates. Stock price changes may result from, among other things, changes in the business, operations or prospects of Contango or Crimson prior to or following the merger, litigation or regulatory considerations, general business, market, industry or economic conditions and other factors both within and beyond the control of Contango and Crimson. Neither Contango nor Crimson is permitted to terminate the merger agreement solely because of changes in the market price of either company s common stock.

Current Contango and Crimson stockholders will have a reduced ownership and voting interest in the combined company after the merger.

Based on the estimated number of shares of Crimson common stock and Crimson equity awards that will be outstanding immediately prior to the closing of the merger, we estimate that Contango will issue or reserve for issuance approximately shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in the merger (including shares of Contango common stock to be issued in connection with outstanding Crimson equity awards). As a result of these issuances, current Contango and Crimson stockholders are expected to hold approximately 79.7% and 20.3%, respectively, of the combined company s outstanding common stock immediately following completion of the merger.

Contango and Crimson stockholders currently have the right to vote for their respective directors and on other matters affecting the applicable company. When the merger occurs, each Crimson stockholder that receives shares of Contango common stock will become a stockholder of Contango with a percentage ownership of the combined company that will be smaller than the stockholder s percentage ownership of Crimson. Correspondingly, each Contango stockholder will remain a stockholder of Contango with a percentage ownership of the combined company that will be smaller than the stockholder s percentage of Contango prior to the merger. As a result of these reduced ownership percentages, Contango stockholders will have less voting power in the combined company than they now have with respect to Contango, and Crimson stockholders will have less voting power in the combined company than they now have with respect to Crimson.

Uncertainties associated with the merger may cause a loss of management personnel and other key employees, which could adversely affect the future business and operations of the combined company.

Contango and Crimson are dependent on the experience and industry knowledge of their officers and other key employees to execute their business plans. Each company s success until the merger and the combined company s success after the merger will depend in part upon the ability of Contango and Crimson to retain key management personnel and other key employees. Current and prospective employees of Contango and Crimson may experience uncertainty about their roles within the combined company following the merger, which may have an adverse effect on the ability of each of Contango and Crimson to attract or retain key management personnel and other key personnel. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the combined company will be able to attract or retain key management personnel and other key employees of Contango and Crimson to the same extent that Contango and Crimson have previously been able to attract or retain their own employees.

The transactions are subject to conditions, including certain conditions that may not be satisfied, or completed on a timely basis, if at all.

The merger is subject to a number of other conditions beyond Contango s and Crimson s control that may prevent, delay or otherwise materially adversely affect its completion. We cannot predict whether and when these other conditions will be satisfied. Any delay in completing the merger could cause the combined company not to realize some or all of the synergies that we expect to achieve if the merger is successfully completed within its expected time frame. See The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger beginning on page 123.



Failure to complete the merger could negatively impact the future business and financial results of Contango and Crimson.

Neither Contango nor Crimson can make any assurances that it will be able to satisfy all of the conditions to the merger or succeed in any litigation brought in connection with the merger. If the merger is not completed, the financial results of Contango and/or Crimson may be adversely affected and Contango and/or Crimson will be subject to several risks, including but not limited to:

being required to pay a termination fee of \$28 million, in the case of Contango, or \$7 million, in the case of Crimson, or an expense reimbursement of \$4.5 million, under certain circumstances provided in the merger agreement;

payment of costs relating to the merger, such as legal, accounting, financial advisor and printing fees, whether or not the merger is completed;

having had the focus of each company s management on the merger instead of on pursuing other opportunities that could have been beneficial to each company; and

being subject to litigation related to any failure to complete the merger. See The Merger Litigation Relating to the Merger on page 110. If the merger is not completed, Crimson and Contango cannot assure their stockholders that these risks will not materialize and will not materially and adversely affect the business, financial results and stock prices of Crimson or Contango.

Several lawsuits have been filed against Contango, Crimson and other interested parties challenging the merger, and an adverse ruling may prevent the merger from being completed.

Several class action lawsuits have been brought by Crimson stockholders in Delaware Chancery Court challenging the proposed merger and seeking, among other things, injunctive relief to enjoin the defendants from completing the merger on the agreed-upon terms, compensatory damages, and costs and disbursements relating to the lawsuits. Various combinations of Crimson, Contango, Merger Sub, members of Crimson s board of directors, members of Crimson management and Oaktree Capital Management L.P. have been named as defendants in these lawsuits.

These lawsuits have been consolidated into a single action for all purposes referred to as In Re: Crimson Exploration Inc. Stockholder Litigation; C.A. 8541-VCP (the Consolidated Action).

Additionally, on July 13, 2013, a separate and similar complaint was filed in the District Court of Harris County Texas, in the matter of Fisichella Family Trust v. Crimson Exploration Inc. et al. (the Texas Action). It is possible that additional, similar lawsuits may be filed.

The known plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action and the Texas Action appear, based on the most current information of Crimson, to collectively own a very small percentage of the total outstanding shares of Crimson common stock. The lawsuits allege, among other things, that Crimson s board of directors failed to take steps to obtain a fair price, failed to properly value Crimson, failed to protect against alleged conflicts of interest, failed to conduct a reasonably informed evaluation of whether the transaction was in the best interests of stockholders, failed to fully disclose all material information to stockholders, acted in bad faith and for improper motives, engaged in self-dealing, discouraged other strategic alternatives, took steps to avoid competitive bidding, and agreed to allegedly unreasonable deal protection mechanisms, including the no-shop and fiduciary-out provisions and termination fee. The lawsuits seek damages and injunctive relief.

One of the conditions to the closing of the merger is that no order or injunction shall be in effect that prohibits consummation of the merger. Consequently, if a settlement or other resolution is not reached in the lawsuits referenced above and the plaintiffs secure injunctive or other relief prohibiting, delaying or otherwise adversely affecting the defendants ability to complete the merger, then such injunctive or other relief may prevent the merger from becoming effective within the expected timeframe or at all. See The Merger Litigation Related to the Merger beginning on page 110.

The merger agreement contains provisions that limit each party s ability to pursue alternatives to the merger, could discourage a potential competing acquiror of either Contango or Crimson from making a favorable alternative transaction proposal and, in specified circumstances, could require either party to pay a termination fee to the other party.

The merger agreement contains no shop provisions that, subject to limited exceptions, restrict each of Contango s and Crimson s ability to solicit, initiate, or knowingly encourage or knowingly facilitate, directly or indirectly, any inquiry or proposal in respect of a competing third-party proposal for the acquisition of either Contango s or Crimson s stock or assets. In addition, the parties are generally required to negotiate in good faith to modify the terms of the merger in response to any competing acquisition proposals before the board of directors of the company that has received a third-party proposal may withdraw or qualify its recommendation with respect to the merger. In some circumstances, upon termination of the merger agreement, a termination fee or an expense reimbursement of \$4.5 million will be required to be paid from one party to the other. If Contango is required to pay a termination fee, such fee would be \$28 million; if Crimson is required to pay a termination fee, such fee would be \$7 million. See The Merger Agreement No Solicitation of Alternative Proposals beginning on page 117, The Merger Agreement Termination of the Merger Agreement beginning on page 124 and The Merger Agreement Termination Fees and Expenses beginning on page 125.

These provisions could discourage a potential third-party acquiror that might have an interest in acquiring all or a significant portion of Contango or Crimson from considering or proposing that acquisition, even if it were prepared to pay consideration with a higher per share cash or market value than the market value proposed to be received or realized in the merger or might result in a potential third-party acquiror proposing to pay a lower price to the stockholders than it might otherwise have proposed to pay because of the added expense of the termination fee that may become payable in certain circumstances.

If the merger agreement is terminated and either Contango or Crimson determines to seek another business combination, it may not be able to negotiate a transaction with another party on terms comparable to, or better than, the terms of the merger.

Contango s and Crimson s executive officers and directors have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Contango and Crimson stockholders generally.

Contango s and Crimson s executive officers and directors have interests in the merger that may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Contango and Crimson stockholders generally. These interests include, among others, continued service as a director or an executive officer of the combined company, specific employment arrangements for the incoming president and chief executive officer and the incoming chief financial officer, as well as other incoming executive officers, of the combined company and arrangements that provide for severance benefits if the employment of certain executive officers is terminated under certain circumstances following the completion of the merger.

In addition, certain of Crimson s compensation and benefit plans and arrangements provide for payment or accelerated vesting or distribution of certain rights or benefits upon completion of the merger. Executive officers and directors of Crimson will also have rights to indemnification and the benefit of a six-year tail insurance policy covering directors and officers liability. It is also anticipated that Joseph J. Romano will be entitled to receive a \$4.0 million bonus payment as a result of the closing of the merger in accordance with the compensation plan authorized by Contango s board of directors.

Upon completion of the merger, Joseph J. Romano will serve as Chairman of the board of directors of the combined company, Allan D. Keel will serve as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the combined company, and E. Joseph Grady will serve as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the combined company, Thomas H. Atkins will serve as Senior Vice President of Exploration of the combined company, Jay S. Mengle will serve as Senior Vice President of Engineering of the combined company, and A. Carl Isaac will serve as Senior Vice President of Operations of the combined company. Immediately following the effective time of the

merger, the board of directors of the combined company will consist of eight members, including Joseph J. Romano, Brad Juneau, B.A. Berilgen, Charles M. Reimer, Steven L. Schoonover, who are current directors of Contango, and Allan D. Keel, B. James Ford and Lon McCain, who are current directors of Crimson.

Certain executive officers of Contango, along with Brad Juneau and the Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, former Chairman of the Board, have entered into support agreements with Crimson in connection with the execution of the merger agreement. Additionally, certain of Crimson s executive officers, including each of Allan D. Keel, E. Joseph Grady, A. Carl Isaac, Jay S. Mengle, Thomas H. Atkins and John A. Thomas, have entered into support agreements with Contango in connection with the execution of the merger agreement. For more information, see below under The Merger Agreement Stockholder Support Agreements.

The Contango and Crimson boards of directors were aware of these interests at the time each approved the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. These interests may cause Contango s and Crimson s directors and executive officers to view the merger proposal differently and more favorably than you may view it. See The Merger Interests of Contango Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger and The Merger Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger beginning on pages 97 and 99, respectively, for more information.

If the merger does not qualify as a reorganization under Section 368(a) of the Code, the stockholders of Crimson may be required to pay substantial U.S. federal income taxes.

As a condition to the completion of the merger, each of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, counsel to Contango, and Vinson & Elkins LLP, counsel to Crimson, will have delivered the tax opinion described in the section titled The Merger Agreement Conditions to Completion of the Merger, dated as of the closing date of the merger, including an opinion that the merger will be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. These opinions will be based on certain assumptions and representations as to factual matters from Contango and Crimson, as well as certain covenants and undertakings by Contango and Crimson. If any of the assumptions, representations, covenants or undertakings is incorrect, incomplete, inaccurate or violated in any material respect, the validity of the conclusions reached by counsel in their opinions would be jeopardized. In addition, an opinion of counsel represents counsel s best legal judgment but is not binding on the IRS or any court, so there can be no certainty that the IRS will not challenge the conclusions reflected in the opinions or that a court will not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS or a court determines that the merger should not be treated as a reorganization, a holder of Crimson common stock would recognize taxable gain or loss upon the exchange of Crimson common stock for Contango common stock pursuant to the merger. See Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences beginning on page 132.

Completion of the transactions may trigger change in control or other provisions in certain agreements to which Crimson is a party.

The completion of the transactions may trigger change in control or other provisions in certain agreements to which Crimson is a party. If Contango and Crimson are unable to negotiate waivers of those provisions, the counterparties may exercise their rights and remedies under the agreements, potentially terminating the agreements or seeking monetary damages. Even if Contango and Crimson are able to negotiate waivers, the counterparties may require a fee for such waivers or seek to renegotiate the agreements on terms less favorable to Crimson or the combined company.

Risk Factors Relating to the Combined Company Following the Merger

The combined company s debt may limit its financial flexibility.

Contango currently has no amounts outstanding under its credit facility and traditionally has carried minimal balances of long-term debt. Following the merger, it is expected that the combined company will have significantly more long-term debt. In addition, the combined company may incur additional debt from time to time in connection

with the financing of operations, acquisitions, recapitalizations and refinancings. The level of the combined company s debt could have several important effects on future operations, including, among others:

a significant portion of the combined company s income from operations may be applied to the payment of principal and interest on the debt and will not be available for other purposes;

covenants contained in the combined company s existing and future debt arrangements may require the combined company to meet financial tests that may affect its flexibility in planning for and reacting to changes in its business, including possible acquisition opportunities;

the combined company s ability to obtain additional financing for capital expenditures, acquisitions, general corporate and other purposes may be limited or burdened by increased costs or more restrictive covenants;

the combined company may not be able to refinance or extend the term of the existing debt on favorable terms or at all which would have a material effect on its ability to continue operations;

the combined company may be at a competitive disadvantage to similar companies that have less debt;

the combined company s vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions may increase; and

the combined company may face limitations on its flexibility to plan for and react to changes in its business and the industries in which it operates.

The failure to integrate successfully the businesses of Contango and Crimson in the expected timeframe would adversely affect the combined company s future results following the merger.

The merger involves the integration of two companies that currently operate independently. The success of the merger will depend, in large part, on the ability of the combined company to realize the anticipated benefits, including synergies, cost savings, innovation and operational efficiencies, from combining the businesses of Contango and Crimson. To realize these anticipated benefits, the businesses of Contango and Crimson must be successfully integrated. This integration will be complex and time-consuming. The failure to integrate successfully and to manage successfully the challenges presented by the integration process may result in the combined company not achieving the anticipated benefits of the merger.

Potential difficulties that may be encountered in the integration process include the following:

the inability to successfully integrate the businesses of Contango and Crimson in a manner that permits the combined company to achieve the full benefit of synergies, cost savings and operational efficiencies that are anticipated to result from the merger;

complexities associated with managing the larger, more complex combined business;

complexities associated with integrating the workforces of the two companies;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

potential unknown liabilities and unforeseen expenses, delays or regulatory conditions associated with the merger, including one-time cash costs to integrate the two companies that may exceed the anticipated range of such one-time cash costs that Contango and Crimson estimated as of the date of execution of the merger agreement;

difficulty or inability to refinance the debt of the combined company or comply with the covenants thereof;

performance shortfalls at one or both of the companies as a result of the diversion of management s attention caused by completing the merger and integrating the companies operations; and

the disruption of, or the loss of momentum in, each company s ongoing business or inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies.

Any of these difficulties in successfully integrating the businesses of Contango and Crimson, or any delays in the integration process, could adversely affect the combined company s ability to achieve the anticipated benefits of the merger and could adversely affect the combined company s business, financial results, financial condition and stock price. Even if the combined company is able to integrate the business operations of Contango and Crimson successfully, there can be no assurance that this integration will result in the realization of the full

benefits of synergies, cost savings, innovation and operational efficiencies that Contango and Crimson currently expect from this integration or that these benefits will be achieved within the anticipated time frame.

The future results of the combined company will suffer if the combined company does not effectively manage its expanded operations following the merger.

Following the merger, the size of the business of the combined company will increase significantly beyond the current size of either Contango s or Crimson s business. The combined company s future success depends, in part, upon its ability to manage this expanded business, which will pose substantial challenges for management, including challenges related to the management and monitoring of new operations and associated increased costs and complexity. There can be no assurances that the combined company will be successful or that it will realize the expected operating efficiencies, cost savings, revenue enhancements and other benefits currently anticipated from the merger.

The combined company is expected to incur substantial expenses related to the merger and the integration of Contango and Crimson.

The combined company is expected to incur substantial expenses in connection with the merger and the integration of Contango and Crimson. There are a large number of processes, policies, procedures, operations, technologies and systems that must be integrated, including purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, billing, payroll, pricing, revenue management, maintenance, marketing and benefits. While Contango and Crimson have assumed that a certain level of expenses will be incurred, there are many factors beyond their control that could affect the total amount or the timing of the integration expenses. Moreover, many of the expenses that will be incurred are, by their nature, difficult to estimate accurately. These expenses could, particularly in the near term, exceed the savings that the combined company expects to achieve from the elimination of duplicative expenses and the realization of economies of scale and cost savings. These integration expenses likely will result in the combined company taking significant charges against earnings following the completion of the merger, and the amount and timing of such charges are uncertain at present.

The pro forma financial information included in this document is presented for illustrative purposes only and may not be an indication of the combined company s financial condition or results of operations following the merger.

The pro forma financial information contained in this document are presented for illustrative purposes only, are based on various adjustments, assumptions and preliminary estimates and may not be an indication of the combined company s financial condition or results of operations following the merger for several reasons. See Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Financial Information beginning on page 136. The actual financial condition and results of operations of the combined company following the merger may not be consistent with, or evident from, this pro forma financial information. In addition, the assumptions used in preparing the pro forma financial information may not prove to be accurate, and other factors may affect the combined company s financial condition or results of operations following the merger. Any potential decline in the combined company s financial condition or results of privations in the stock price of the combined company.

Uncertainly about the merger and diversion of management could harm the combined company following the merger.

The combined company s success will be dependent upon the experience and industry knowledge of its officers and other key employees. The merger could result in current and prospective employees experiencing uncertainty about their future with the combined company following the merger. These uncertainties may impair the ability of the combined company to retain, recruit or motivate key personnel. In addition, completion of the merger and integrating the companies operations will require a significant amount of time and attention from management of the two companies. The diversion of management s attention away from ongoing operations could adversely affect business relationships of the combined company following the merger.

THE COMPANIES

Contango Oil & Gas Company

Contango is a Houston, TX-based, independent natural gas and oil company. Contango s core business is to explore, develop, produce and acquire natural gas and oil properties offshore in the Gulf of Mexico in water-depths of less than 300 feet. Contango has twelve operating wells and three production platforms in the Gulf of Mexico. Contango has additional onshore investments in (i) Alta Resources Investments, LLC, whose primary area of focus is the liquids-rich Kaybob Duvernay in Alberta, Canada; (ii) Exaro Energy III LLC (Exaro), which is primarily focused on the development of proved natural gas reserves in the Jonah Field in Wyoming; and (iii) the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale, where Contango leases approximately 24,000 net acres. As of March 31, 2013, Contango had estimated proved natural gas reserves of 215.5 Bcfe including 19.6 Bcfe of proved developed reserves attributable to its investment in Exaro. Contango has an active exploration program, and plans to drill two new exploratory wells in the central Gulf of Mexico in 2013. As of March 31, 2013, Contango had no debt and approximately \$100 million of working capital. For the quarter ended March 31, 2013, Contango s average production was approximately 64.6 Mmcfed.

Contango s common stock is traded on the NYSE MKT under the symbol MCF.

The principal executive offices of Contango are located at 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Houston, Texas 77098, and Contango s telephone number is (713) 960-1901. Additional information about Contango and its subsidiaries is included in documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information on page 160.

Crimson Exploration Inc.

Crimson is a Houston, TX-based independent energy company engaged in the exploitation, exploration, development and acquisition of crude oil and natural gas, primarily in the onshore Gulf Coast regions of the United States. Crimson currently owns approximately 95,000 net acres onshore in Texas, Louisiana, Colorado and Mississippi. Crimson refers to its four corporate areas as (i) Southeast Texas, focusing on the Woodbine, Eagle Ford and Georgetown formations, (ii) South Texas, focusing on the Eagle Ford and Buda formations, (iii) East Texas, focusing on the Haynesville, Mid-Bossier and James Lime formations, and (iv) Rockies and Other, focusing on the Niobrara and D&J Sand formations. Crimson's strategy is to continue to increase crude oil and liquids-rich reserves and production from an extensive inventory of drilling prospects, de-risk unproved prospects in core operating areas, and opportunistically grow reserves through acquisitions complementary to its existing asset base.

As of June 30, 2013, Crimson had estimated proved reserves of 117.1 Bcfe of natural gas equivalents, based on SEC reporting guidelines on June 30, 2013. For the quarter ended March 31, 2013, Crimson s average production was approximately 44.2 Mmcfed.

Crimson s common stock is traded on the NASDAQ under the symbol CXPO.

The principal executive offices of Crimson are located at 717 Texas Ave., Suite 2900, Houston, Texas 77002, and Crimson s telephone number is (713) 236-7400. Additional information about Crimson and its subsidiaries is included in documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information on page 160.

Contango Acquisition, Inc.

Contango Acquisition, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango Oil & Gas Company, is a Delaware corporation that was formed on March 14, 2013 for the sole purpose of effecting the merger. In the merger, Contango Acquisition, Inc. will be merged with and into Crimson, with Crimson surviving as a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango.

THE CONTANGO SPECIAL MEETING

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being provided to the Contango stockholders as part of a solicitation of proxies by the Contango board of directors for use at the Contango special meeting to be held at the time and place specified below and at any properly convened meeting following an adjournment or postponement thereof. This joint proxy statement/prospectus provides Contango stockholders with information they need to know to be able to vote or instruct their vote to be cast at the Contango special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The special meeting of Contango stockholders will be held at 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Second Floor, Houston, Texas 77098, on 2013, at a.m., local time.

Purpose of the Contango Special Meeting

At the Contango special meeting, Contango stockholders will be asked to consider and vote on the following:

a proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger;

an advisory (non-binding) proposal to approve the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions; and

a proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the first proposal listed above. Completion of the merger is conditioned on approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger.

Recommendation of the Contango Board of Directors

At a special meeting held on April 29, 2013, the Contango board of directors determined that the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, are in the best interests of Contango and its stockholders. Accordingly, the Contango board of directors unanimously recommends that Contango stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, FOR the approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Contango stockholders should carefully read this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including any documents incorporated by reference, and the Annexes in their entirety for more detailed information concerning the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Contango Record Date; Stockholders Entitled to Vote

The record date for the Contango special meeting is , 2013. Only record holders of shares of Contango common stock at the close of business on such date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Contango special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. At the close of business on the record date, the only outstanding voting securities of Contango were common stock, and shares of Contango common stock were issued and outstanding. A list of the Contango stockholders of record who are entitled to vote at the Contango special meeting will be available for inspection by any Contango stockholder for any

purpose germane to the special meeting during ordinary business hours for the ten days preceding the Contango special meeting at Contango s executive offices at 3700 Buffalo Speedway, Houston, Texas 77098 and will also be available at the Contango special meeting for examination by any stockholder present at such meeting.

Each share of Contango common stock outstanding on the record date of the Contango special meeting is entitled to one vote on each proposal and any other matter coming before the Contango special meeting.

Voting by Contango s Directors and Executive Officers

At the close of business on the record date of the Contango special meeting, Contango directors and executive officers were entitled to vote

shares of Contango common stock or approximately % of the shares of Contango common stock outstanding on that date. The Contango directors, executive officers, and the Estate of Kenneth R. Peak are currently expected to vote their shares in favor of all Contango proposals.

The Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, Brad Juneau and certain of the executive officers of Contango have each entered into an agreement with Crimson under which, subject to the terms and conditions of the agreement, each has agreed to vote all of the Contango shares it holds in favor of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, such parties hold in the aggregate approximately % of the outstanding shares of Contango common stock.

Quorum

No business may be transacted at the Contango special meeting unless a quorum is present. Stockholders who hold shares representing at least a majority of the voting power of all outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote at the Contango special meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum. If a quorum is not present, or if fewer shares are voted in favor of the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger than is required, to allow additional time for obtaining additional proxies, the special meeting may be adjourned if the requisite stockholder approval to adjourn the meeting is obtained. No notice of an adjourned meeting need be given unless the adjourned meeting shall be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting. At any adjourned meeting, all proxies will be voted in the same manner as they would have been voted at the original convening of the special meeting, except for any proxies that have been effectively revoked or withdrawn prior to the adjourned meeting.

All shares of Contango common stock represented at the Contango special meeting, including shares that are represented but that vote to abstain, will be treated as present for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum. Broker non-votes will have no effect on determining the presence or absence or absence of a quorum at the Contango special meeting.

Required Vote

The required votes to approve the Contango proposals are as follows:

The issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal, assuming a quorum. Each share of Contango common stock outstanding on the record date of the Contango special meeting is entitled to one vote on this proposal. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

The approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal, assuming a quorum. Each share of Contango common stock outstanding on the record date of the Contango special meeting is entitled to one vote on this proposal. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

The adjournment of the Contango special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote on the proposal, regardless of whether there is a quorum. Each share of Contango common stock outstanding on the record date of the Contango special meeting is entitled to one vote on this proposal. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

Voting of Proxies by Holders of Record

If you were a record holder of Contango stock at the close of business on the record date of the Contango special meeting, a proxy card is enclosed for your use. Contango requests that you vote your shares as promptly as possible by (i) accessing the internet site listed on the Contango proxy card, (ii) calling the toll-free number listed on the Contango proxy card or (iii) submitting your Contango proxy card by mail by using the provided self-addressed, stamped envelope. Information and applicable deadlines for voting through the internet or by telephone are set forth on the enclosed proxy card. When the accompanying proxy is returned properly executed, the shares of Contango common stock represented by it will be voted at the Contango special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof in accordance with the instructions contained in the proxy card. Your internet or telephone vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you had marked, signed and returned a proxy card.

If a proxy is returned without an indication as to how the shares of Contango common stock represented are to be voted with regard to a particular proposal, the Contango common stock represented by the proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the Contango board of directors and, therefore, FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, FOR the proposal to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

At the date hereof, the Contango board of directors has no knowledge of any business that will be presented for consideration at the Contango special meeting and that would be required to be set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the related proxy card other than the matters set forth in Contango s Notice of Special Meeting of Stockholders. If any other matter is properly presented at the Contango special meeting for consideration, it is intended that the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy and acting thereunder will vote in accordance with their best judgment on such matter.

Your vote is important. Accordingly, if you were a record holder of Contango common stock on the record date of the Contango special meeting, please sign and return the enclosed proxy card or vote via the internet or telephone whether or not you plan to attend the Contango special meeting in person. Proxies submitted through the specified internet website or by phone must be received by 11:59 p.m., eastern time, on , 2013.

Shares Held in Street Name

If you hold shares of Contango common stock through a broker, bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial holder of the shares held for you in what is known as street name. The record holder of such shares is your broker, bank or other nominee, and not you, and you must provide the record holder of your shares with instructions on how to vote your shares. Please follow the voting instructions provided by your broker, bank or other nominee. Please note that you may not vote shares held in street name by returning a proxy card directly to Contango or by voting in person at the Contango special meeting unless you have a legal proxy, which you must obtain from your broker, bank or other nominee. Furthermore, brokers, banks or other nominees who hold shares of Contango common stock on behalf of their customers may not give a proxy to Contango to vote those shares without specific instructions from their customers.

If you are a Contango stockholder and you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee on how to vote your shares, your broker, bank or other nominee may not vote your shares on any of the Contango proposals.

Voting in Person

If you plan to attend the Contango special meeting and wish to vote in person, you will be given a ballot at the special meeting. If you are a registered stockholder, please be prepared to provide proper identification, such as a driver s license, at the Contango special meeting. If your shares are held in street name, you must bring to the special meeting a proxy executed in your favor from the record holder (your broker, bank or other nominee) of the shares authorizing you to vote at the special meeting.

Revocation of Proxies

If you are the record holder of Contango common stock, you can change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before your proxy is voted at the special meeting. You can do this by:

timely delivering a signed written notice of revocation;

timely delivering a new, valid proxy bearing a later date (including by telephone or through the internet); or

attending the Contango special meeting and voting in person, which will automatically cancel any proxy previously given, or revoking your proxy in person. Simply attending the Contango special meeting without voting will not revoke any proxy that you have previously given or change your vote.

A registered stockholder may revoke a proxy by any of these methods, regardless of the method used to deliver the stockholder s previous proxy. Written notices of revocation and other communications with respect to the revocation of proxies should be addressed as follows:

Contango Oil & Gas Company

3700 Buffalo Speedway

Houston, Texas 77098

(713) 960-1901

If your shares are held in street name through a broker, bank or other nominee, you may change your vote by submitting new voting instructions to your broker, bank or nominee in accordance with its established procedures. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee and you decide to change your vote by attending the special meeting and voting in person, your vote in person at the special meeting will not be effective unless you have obtained and present an executed proxy issued in your name from the record holder (your broker, bank or nominee).

Tabulation of Votes

Contango has appointed Computershare, Inc. (Computershare) to serve as the Inspector of Election for the Contango special meeting. Computershare will independently tabulate affirmative and negative votes and abstentions.

Solicitation of Proxies

Contango is soliciting proxies for the Contango special meeting from its stockholders. In accordance with the merger agreement, Contango will pay its own cost of soliciting proxies, including the cost of mailing this joint proxy statement/prospectus, from its stockholders. In addition to solicitation of proxies by mail, proxies may be solicited by Contango s officers, directors and regular employees, without additional remuneration, by personal interview, telephone or other means of communication.

Contango will make arrangements with brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward proxy solicitation materials to beneficial owners of Contango common stock. Contango may reimburse these brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding the proxy materials.

To help assure the presence in person or by proxy of the largest number of stockholders possible, Contango has engaged Georgeson Inc., a proxy solicitation firm (Georgeson), to solicit proxies on Contango s behalf. Contango has agreed to pay Georgeson a proxy solicitation fee not to exceed \$15,000. Contango will also reimburse Georgeson for its reasonable out-of-pocket costs and expenses.

Adjournments

Any adjournment of the Contango special meeting may be made from time to time by the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote thereon, regardless of whether there is a quorum, without further notice other than by an announcement made at the special meeting (unless the adjournment is for more than 30 days or if a new record date is fixed). If a quorum is not present at the special meeting, or if a quorum is present at the special meeting but there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to issue shares of Contango common stock in connection with the merger, then Contango stockholders may be asked to vote on a proposal to adjourn the Contango special meeting so as to permit the further solicitation of proxies.

THE CRIMSON SPECIAL MEETING

This joint proxy statement/prospectus is being provided to the Crimson stockholders as part of a solicitation of proxies by the Crimson board of directors for use at the Crimson special meeting to be held at the time and place specified below and at any properly convened meeting following an adjournment or postponement thereof. This joint proxy statement/prospectus provides Crimson stockholders with information they need to know to be able to vote or instruct their vote to be cast at the Crimson special meeting.

Date, Time and Place

The special meeting of Crimson stockholders will be held at Crimson s offices at 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 2900, Houston, Texas 77002, on , 2013, at a.m., local time.

Purpose of the Crimson Special Meeting

At the Crimson special meeting, Crimson stockholders will be asked to consider and vote on the following:

a proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, which is further described in the sections titled The Merger and The Merger Agreement, beginning on pages 50 and 111, respectively;

an advisory (non-binding) proposal to approve the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions; and

a proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting to a later date or dates, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies in the event there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the first proposal listed above. Completion of the merger is conditioned on the adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Recommendation of the Crimson Board of Directors

At a special meeting held on April 29, 2013, the Crimson board of directors adopted the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement are in the best interests of Crimson and its stockholders. Accordingly, the Crimson board of directors unanimously recommends that Crimson stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, FOR the approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to approve the adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

Crimson stockholders should carefully read this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including any documents incorporated by reference, and the Annexes in their entirety for more detailed information concerning the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Crimson Record Date; Stockholders Entitled to Vote

The record date for the Crimson special meeting is , 2013. Only record holders of shares of Crimson common stock at the close of business on such date are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Crimson special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof. At the close of business on the record date, the only outstanding voting securities of Crimson were common stock, and shares of Crimson common stock were issued and outstanding. A list of the Crimson stockholders of record who are entitled to vote at the Crimson special meeting will be available for inspection by any Crimson stockholder for any purpose germane to the special meeting during ordinary business hours for the ten days preceding the Crimson special meeting at

Crimson s executive offices at 717 Texas Avenue, Suite 2900, Houston, Texas 77002 and will also be available at the Crimson special meeting for examination by any stockholder present at such meeting.

Each share of Crimson common stock outstanding on the record date of the Crimson special meeting is entitled to one vote on each proposal and any other matter coming before the Crimson special meeting.

Voting by Crimson s Directors and Executive Officers

At the close of business on the record date of the Crimson special meeting, Crimson directors and executive officers and their affiliates were entitled to vote shares of Crimson common stock or approximately % of the shares of Crimson common stock outstanding on that date. The Crimson directors and executive officers and their affiliates are currently expected to vote their shares in favor of all Crimson proposals.

Oaktree and each executive officer of Crimson has entered into an agreement with Contango and Merger Sub whereby, subject to the terms and conditions of that agreement, such stockholder has agreed to vote all of the Crimson shares held by such stockholder in favor of the merger. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, Oaktree holds, in the aggregate, approximately % of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock and the executive officers of Crimson hold, in the aggregate, approximately % of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock.

Quorum

No business may be transacted at the Crimson special meeting unless a quorum is present. Stockholders who hold shares representing at least a majority of the voting power of all outstanding shares of capital stock entitled to vote at the Crimson special meeting must be present in person or represented by proxy to constitute a quorum. If a quorum is not present, or if fewer shares are voted in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement than is required, to allow additional time for obtaining additional proxies, the special meeting may be adjourned if the requisite stockholder approval to adjourn the meeting is obtained. No notice of an adjourned meeting need be given unless the date, time and place of the resumption of the meeting are not announced at the adjourned meeting, the adjourned meeting shall be given to each stockholder of record entitled to vote at the meeting. At any adjourned meeting, all proxies will be voted in the same manner as they would have been voted at the original convening of the special meeting, except for any proxies that have been effectively revoked or withdrawn prior to the adjourned meeting.

All shares of Crimson common stock represented at the Crimson special meeting, including shares that are represented but that vote to abstain, will be treated as present for purposes of determining the presence or absence of a quorum. Broker non-votes will have no effect on determining the presence or absence of a quorum at the Crimson special meeting.

Required Vote

The required votes to approve the Crimson proposals are as follows:

The adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of Crimson common stock that are entitled to vote at the special meeting. Each share of Crimson common stock outstanding on the record date of the Crimson special meeting is entitled to one vote on this proposal. Failures to vote, broker non-votes and abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

The approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Crimson special meeting, assuming a quorum.

Each share of Crimson common stock outstanding on the record date for of the Crimson special meeting is entitled to one vote on this proposal. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

The adjournment of the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Crimson special meeting, regardless of whether there is a quorum. Each share of Crimson common stock outstanding on the record date for of the Crimson special meeting is entitled to one vote on this proposal. Failures to vote and broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for this proposal; however, abstentions will have the same effect as a vote AGAINST the approval of such proposal.

Voting of Proxies by Holders of Record

If you were a record holder of Crimson stock at the close of business on the record date of the Crimson special meeting, a proxy card is enclosed for your use. Crimson requests that you vote your shares as promptly as possible by (i) accessing the internet site listed on the Crimson proxy card, (ii) calling the toll-free number listed on the Crimson proxy card or (iii) submitting your Crimson proxy card by mail by using the provided self-addressed, stamped envelope. Information and applicable deadlines for voting through the internet or by telephone are set forth on the enclosed proxy card. When the accompanying proxy is returned properly executed, the shares of Crimson common stock represented by it will be voted at the Crimson special meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof in accordance with the instructions contained in the proxy card. Your internet or telephone vote authorizes the named proxies to vote your shares in the same manner as if you had marked, signed and returned a proxy card.

If a proxy is returned without an indication as to how the shares of Crimson common stock represented are to be voted with regard to a particular proposal, the Crimson common stock represented by the proxy will be voted in accordance with the recommendation of the Crimson board of directors and, therefore, FOR the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement, FOR the proposal to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions and FOR the proposal to adjourn the Crimson special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to permit further solicitation of proxies.

At the date hereof, the Crimson board of directors has no knowledge of any business that will be presented for consideration at the Crimson special meeting and that would be required to be set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus or the related proxy card other than the matters set forth in Crimson s Notice of Special Meeting of Stockholders. If any other matter is properly presented at the Crimson special meeting for consideration, it is intended that the persons named in the enclosed form of proxy and acting thereunder will vote in accordance with their best judgment on such matter.

Your vote is important. Accordingly, if you were a record holder of Crimson common stock on the record date of the Crimson special meeting, please sign and return the enclosed proxy card or vote via the internet or telephone whether or not you plan to attend the Crimson special meeting in person. Proxies submitted through the specified internet website or by phone must be received by 11:59 p.m., eastern time, on , 2013.

Shares Held in Street Name

If you hold shares of Crimson common stock through a broker, bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial holder of the shares held for you in what is known as street name. The record holder of such shares is your broker, bank or other nominee, and not you, and you must provide the record holder of your shares with instructions on how to vote your shares. Please follow the voting instructions provided by your broker, bank or other nominee. Please note that you may not vote shares held in street name by returning a proxy card directly

to Crimson or by voting in person at the Crimson special meeting unless you have a legal proxy, which you must obtain from your broker, bank or other nominee. Furthermore, brokers, banks or other nominees who hold shares of Crimson common stock on behalf of their customers may not give a proxy to Crimson to vote those shares without specific instructions from their customers.

If you are a Crimson stockholder and you do not instruct your broker, bank or other nominee on how to vote your shares, your broker, bank or other nominee may not vote your shares on any of the Crimson proposals.

Voting in Person

If you plan to attend the Crimson special meeting and wish to vote in person, you will be given a ballot at the special meeting. If you are a registered stockholder, please be prepared to provide proper identification, such as a driver s license, at the Crimson special meeting. If your shares are held in street name, you must bring to the special meeting a proxy executed in your favor from the record holder (your broker, bank or other nominee) of the shares authorizing you to vote at the special meeting.

Revocation of Proxies

If you are the record holder of Crimson common stock, you can change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before your proxy is voted at the special meeting. You can do this by:

timely delivering a signed written notice of revocation;

timely delivering a new, valid proxy bearing a later date (including by telephone or through the internet); or

attending the Crimson special meeting and voting in person, which will automatically cancel any proxy previously given, or revoking your proxy in person. Simply attending the Crimson special meeting without voting will not revoke any proxy that you have previously given or change your vote.

A registered stockholder may revoke a proxy by any of these methods, regardless of the method used to deliver the stockholder s previous proxy. Written notices of revocation and other communications with respect to the revocation of proxies should be addressed as follows:

Crimson Exploration Inc.

717 Texas Ave, Suite 2900

Houston, Texas 77002

(713) 236-7400

Attention: Corporate Secretary

If your shares are held in street name through a broker, bank or other nominee, you may change your vote by submitting new voting instructions to your broker, bank or nominee in accordance with its established procedures. If your shares are held in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee and you decide to change your vote by attending the special meeting and voting in person, your vote in person at the special meeting will not be effective unless you have obtained and present an executed proxy issued in your name from the record holder (your broker, bank or nominee).

Tabulation of Votes

Crimson has appointed Broadridge Financial Services (Broadridge) to serve as the Inspector of Election for the Crimson special meeting. Broadridge will independently tabulate affirmative and negative votes and abstentions.

Solicitation of Proxies

Crimson is soliciting proxies for the Crimson special meeting from its stockholders. In accordance with the merger agreement, Crimson will pay its own cost of soliciting proxies, including the cost of mailing this joint proxy statement/prospectus, from its stockholders. In addition to solicitation of proxies by mail, proxies may be solicited by Crimson s officers, directors and regular employees, without additional remuneration, by personal interview, telephone or other means of communication.

Crimson will make arrangements with brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward proxy solicitation materials to beneficial owners of Crimson common stock. Crimson may reimburse these brokerage houses, custodians, nominees and fiduciaries for their reasonable expenses incurred in forwarding the proxy materials.

To help assure the presence in person or by proxy of the largest number of stockholders possible, Crimson has engaged Morrow & Co., LLC, a proxy solicitation firm (Morrow), to solicit proxies on Crimson s behalf. Crimson has agreed to pay Morrow a proxy solicitation fee not to exceed \$9,000. Crimson will also reimburse Morrow for its reasonable out-of-pocket costs and expenses.

Adjournments

Any adjournment of the Crimson special meeting may be made from time to time if the approval of the holders of a majority of the votes cast at the Crimson special meeting is obtained, regardless of whether there is a quorum, without further notice other than by an announcement made at the special meeting (unless date, time and place of the resumed meeting is not announced at the adjourned meeting, the adjournment is for more than 30 days or if a new record date is fixed). If a quorum is not present at the special meeting, or if a quorum is present at the special meeting but there are not sufficient votes at the time of the special meeting to approve the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, then Crimson stockholders may be asked to vote on a proposal to adjourn the Crimson special meeting so as to permit the further solicitation of proxies.

THE MERGER

Effects of the Merger

At the effective time of the merger, Merger Sub, a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango that was formed for the sole purpose of effecting the merger, will merge with and into Crimson. Crimson will survive the merger and become a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango.

In the merger, each outstanding share of Crimson common stock will be converted into the right to receive 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock, with cash paid in lieu of fractional shares. This exchange ratio is fixed and will not be adjusted to reflect stock price changes prior to the closing of the merger. Contango stockholders will continue to hold their existing Contango shares.

Background of the Merger

Contango s board of directors from time to time reviews and assesses with Contango s senior management potential industry and strategic alternatives, including possible business combination transactions, in order to enhance stockholder value. During the past two years, Contango has been actively investing in onshore drilling opportunities to supplement its continued exploration activity in the Gulf of Mexico. In furtherance of this initiative to diversify its assets, during such time, Contango made equity investments in Exaro Energy III LLC (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Exaro) and Alta Resources Investments, LLC (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as

Alta), as well as a direct investment in the Tuscaloosa Marine Shale. Beginning in December 2012, Brad Juneau, the former acting chief executive officer and a member of Contango s board of directors, and Joseph J. Romano, Contango s current president and chief executive officer, met with a number of onshore and offshore oil and gas companies along with a number of investment and commercial bankers to discuss strategic options, including farm-ins, property acquisitions, potential partnering, and merger and divestiture opportunities. Contango s strong balance sheet with significant cash on hand and low debt levels provided a strong position for Contango s management team to seek such potential opportunities at such time. The results of these meetings were all shared with Mr. Kenneth R. Peak, the founder and former Chairman of the Board of Contango.

Much like Contango, Crimson s board of directors from time to time reviews and assesses with Crimson s senior management potential industry and strategic alternatives, including possible business combination transactions, in order to enhance stockholder value. Between 2007 and 2009, Crimson consummated a number of producing property and major leasehold acquisitions targeting primarily natural gas production and reserve growth. Such acquisitions were primarily debt financed. Difficult industry and world-wide economic conditions between 2008 and 2010 precluded Crimson from raising additional equity capital to reduce debt incurred in pursuing such acquisitions. An extended period of low natural gas prices has continued to put pressure on Crimson s financial profile. In 2011, Crimson began to pursue a strategy of deemphasizing natural gas and increasing its exposure to crude oil and liquids opportunities. Crimson was successful in generating an inventory of oil resource plays that, once de-risked and developed, would provide a long-term source of production and reserve growth. The Crimson board of directors and management determined that Crimson s highly leveraged profile, and lack of success in accessing sources of additional capital or deleveraging transactions on prudent terms because of that profile, would continue to limit Crimson s ability to maximize the ultimate potential value of its asset base for its stockholders. Crimson believes that to realize intrinsic value, drilling on its extensive undrilled resource potential would need to be accelerated to a level sufficient to show meaningful production and reserve growth.

With the support of the Crimson board of directors, in recent years Crimson has engaged in numerous exploratory discussions with several independent exploration and production companies, private equity firms, and entities that invest in the oil and gas industry regarding potential joint ventures or other strategic transactions. Crimson management also has frequent interaction with investment banks about potential transaction opportunities, from both the acquisition and the divestiture perspectives. These discussions did not lead to the consummation of a transaction for a variety of reasons, including gaps in relative valuation expectations, Crimson debt levels, financing difficulties, lack of synergies and the existence of other pending or anticipated transactions. In late fourth quarter of 2012, the Crimson board of directors and management decided to obtain an

objective view of various strategic alternatives that might be available to Crimson to improve its chance of maximizing value for its stockholders, to evaluate the potential return for stockholders for each strategy and to identify opportunities that might exist with respect to each strategy. Therefore, the Crimson board of directors authorized management to engage a financial adviser to assist Crimson with a review of strategic alternatives. In early January 2013, the company started working with Barclays Capital Inc. (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Barclays) to review possible strategic alternatives.

In early January 2013, a manager-level employee of Crimson met Mr. Juneau at a youth sporting event and the two discussed, in addition to other topics, their respective businesses. During the course of their conversation Mr. Juneau stated that Contango was looking for domestic, onshore drilling opportunities, and the Crimson employee indicated that Crimson might be interested in a joint venture partner for its Woodbine play in Madison and Grimes Counties, Texas. Upon returning to the office the following workday, the Crimson employee mentioned the conversation to E. Joseph Grady, Crimson s Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, who then discussed it with Allan D. Keel, Crimson s President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Grady then reviewed Contango s publicly available financial information and considered whether a combination of the companies would be a better fit for both companies as it provided each with more benefits than a joint venture. During this timeframe, Messrs. Romano, Juneau and Peak discussed a possible transaction with Crimson, and for the reasons discussed below, Mr. Peak stated that he was in favor of, and would support, such a transaction.

Once introduced to Crimson, and following review of Crimson s public filings, Contango recognized that Crimson possessed an attractive portfolio of quality drillable prospects along with a seasoned management team experienced in evaluating and drilling both onshore and offshore prospects. Contango s management team also recognized that a merger, as opposed to a possible joint venture, with Crimson could provide for a combined company that would both possess a diverse mix of onshore and offshore drilling prospects along with a capital structure that would allow the combined company to quickly enhance value for stockholders as the inventory of prospects could be drilled on a more accelerated basis. In short, the opportunity to diversify Contango s asset mix, to add a seasoned management team and to potentially enhance stockholder value through utilization of Contango s strong balance sheet, made the possibility of a merger with Crimson decidedly worth pursuing.

On January 9, 2013, Mr. Juneau called Mr. Keel to discuss, at a high level, each party s respective general business objectives and future growth plans to determine if an opportunity existed for the two companies to work together in some mutually advantageous fashion. During the conversation, Mr. Juneau indicated that a key objective for Contango was diversification of its asset base while Mr. Keel indicated that a key objective for Crimson was access to growth capital. Mr. Keel and Mr. Juneau agreed that further discussions between the parties respective management teams, including introductory overviews of each company based on public information, would be an appropriate next step.

On January 16, 2013, Messrs. Keel, Grady and Thomas H. Atkins, Crimson's Senior Vice President Exploration, met with Mr. Romano to provide him with an overview of Crimson and gauge Contango's potential interest in discussing a joint venture regarding Crimson's Woodbine play in Madison and Grimes Counties, Texas, or a strategic combination of the two companies to provide Crimson with access to much needed growth capital, and to provide Contango with the ability to diversify its profile through access to lower-risk, onshore oil resource plays. Following this meeting, Crimson concluded that a strategic combination rather than a joint venture better aligned with its goals of accessing growth capital and accelerating drilling on its undrilled resource potential. Also on this date, Mr. Atkins also met with Mr. Juneau to provide him with a high-level overview of Crimson's oil and gas properties.

On January 17, 2013, Mr. Romano advised Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Morgan Lewis), its primary outside counsel, of a potential strategic transaction with Crimson and engaged Morgan Lewis to serve as its legal counsel on the transaction.

With the parties each having interest in conducting more in-depth discussions regarding a possible transaction, representatives of Contango and Crimson negotiated the terms of a mutual confidentiality agreement

to permit the exchange of information on a confidential basis and to ensure that discussions would remain confidential, and on January 21, 2013, the parties executed the confidentiality agreement. Contango engaged W. D. Von Gonten & Co. (Von Gonten) on January 21, 2013 as engineering consultant to assist in Contango s review of Crimson s properties.

On January 23, 2013, members of the Crimson management team met with Mr. Juneau and representatives from Von Gonten to provide them with a detailed technical overview of Crimson s oil and gas properties.

On January 29, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with representatives of Barclays to discuss Barclays progress on its review of Crimson s potential strategic alternatives including the following: (i) refinancing Crimson s current high-cost second lien credit facility, including increasing the size of such debt instrument to provide additional debt capital; (ii) undertaking a private placement of a small amount of equity capital, followed by a refinancing of Crimson s second lien credit facility; (iii) undertaking a major recapitalization through the sale of a significant interest in Crimson to a private equity firm, using a portion of the proceeds to pay down debt and a portion as growth capital; (iv) pursuing a transformational acquisition that could be used as a catalyst to access additional capital through the capital markets; (v) raising capital through the sale of certain Crimson asset packages; or (vi) pursuing a merger or sale of the company. Barclays indicated that much work remained to be done in evaluating each of the potential strategic alternatives. Mr. Keel and Mr. Grady then updated Barclays on several potential transactions being considered by Crimson, including preliminary discussions about a potential strategic transaction with Contango.

On January 30, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with Mr. Romano to discuss the parties respective level of interest in continuing discussions regarding potential transactions. Mr. Romano agreed to set up a technical presentation regarding Contango s oil and gas properties for Crimson s management team. Following the meeting, Mr. Romano began to prepare a net asset valuation analysis of Crimson using publicly available information.

On January 31, 2013, Mr. Grady shared with Contango Crimson s standard net asset valuation template for determining implied potential ranges of total company and per share value, independent of this or any other potential transaction. The companies agreed, however, to begin sharing with each other information necessary to independently undertake reciprocal net asset valuations and relative contribution analyses.

On January 31, 2013, Mr. Grady also had a follow-up meeting with representatives of Barclays regarding the status of their broad-based review of strategic alternatives available to Crimson.

On February 4, 2013, Mr. Romano called a representative of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Petrie) to discuss the potential transactions being considered by Contango and to arrange an overview meeting in Contango s offices in Houston.

On February 5, 2013 Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano discussed by telephone various issues associated with reviewing a possible transaction. Mr. Romano also discussed with Mr. Keel a preliminary equity ownership split between Crimson and Contango, with the existing stockholders of Crimson receiving 20% of the equity in the combined company and the existing stockholders of Contango owning the remaining 80% of the combined company. Mr. Keel and Mr. Romano agreed that the 80/20 split was a good starting point based on the preliminary net asset value (NAV) analyses performed by each company, which were based primarily on public information, as well as the then current market values of each company (which based on the relative market capitalizations of each company at the time supported a 81.3%/18.7% equity split). Both Mr. Keel and Mr. Romano acknowledged that final splits would be subject to both companies due diligence. Specific factors considered in the NAV analyses included risked values for proved reserves and unproved resource potential, working capital positions and contingencies.

On February 6, 2013, Mr. Grady had a telephone conversation with representatives of Oaktree Capital Management, L.P. (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Oaktree Management), an affiliate of Crimson s largest stockholder, regarding Crimson s potential strategic combination. Two members of Crimson s board of directors B. James Ford and Adam C. Pierce are employees of Oaktree Management. Oaktree Management was supportive of maintaining an active dialogue with Contango regarding a possible combination.

On February 7, 2013, Contango received a preliminary report from Von Gonten on Crimson s oil and gas properties in the Woodbine play. On February 7, 2013, Contango s board of directors held a meeting to discuss strategic options, including a potential merger with Crimson. At that meeting, Messrs. Romano and Juneau presented various materials to the board relevant to a potential merger with Crimson, including information on Crimson s reserves.

On February 8, 2013, Contango s board of directors held another meeting regarding the potential merger. Mr. Romano discussed valuation, management, integration and board composition of a combined company. Mr. Juneau provided further information regarding Crimson s reserves and drilling prospects to Contango s board. Contango s board of directors also approved the engagement of Petrie as Contango s financial advisor for the transaction.

On February 8, 2013, Mr. Keel informed Mr. Romano that Crimson had spoken with representatives of Oaktree Management regarding Crimson s discussions with Contango about a potential combination and had had a constructive conversation with Oaktree Management.

On February 11, 2013, Contango filed its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which included financial results for the quarter ended December 31, 2012.

On February 12, 2013, Mr. Grady and John A. Thomas, Crimson s General Counsel, contacted Vinson & Elkins LLP (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Vinson & Elkins), its primary outside counsel on corporate matters, to advise it of a potential strategic transaction with Contango and engaged Vinson & Elkins to serve as its legal counsel on this matter. Also on February 12, 2013, Messrs. Romano and Keel met to discuss various matters related to a potential merger, including specifics regarding Crimson s outstanding debt and whether Oaktree Management would consider conversion of its second lien debt into equity.

On February 13, 2013, members of the Contango and Crimson management teams met along with members of Petrie and representatives of William M. Cobb & Associates, Inc. (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Cobb & Associates), Contango s reserve engineering consultant, to review Contango s Dutch and Mary Rose properties as well as its offshore prospect inventory, interests in its Exaro and Alta joint ventures and its Tuscaloosa Marine Shale properties. Prior to and following this meeting, Messrs. Romano and Juneau provided Petrie with an updated briefing regarding a strategic transaction with Crimson. Contango also officially retained Petrie as its financial advisor.

On February 14, 2013, Mr. Atkins met with Mr. Juneau to gain an understanding of material land contracts and Contango s minority interest investments in various joint ventures.

On February 15, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with Mr. Romano to discuss the status of the parties respective valuation diligence; the parties concluded that their respective valuations appeared consistent and that, on a preliminary basis, an 80/20 equity ownership split appeared appropriate, subject however to both parties completion of due diligence. The parties also discussed various social issues regarding the merger, including employment matters and also toured Crimson s office space. Also on this date, Mr. Grady contacted representatives from Barclays to discuss their possible role as financial advisor for the merger and it was noted that Barclays had commenced preliminary analysis of the merger by virtue of their strategic alternative analysis. Members of Crimson s management team had previously discussed various investment banking firms with Houston offices that had the necessary industry experience to serve as the Crimson s financial advisor in connection with a proposed strategic combination. For various reasons, including Barclays s experience with upstream oil and gas transactions and familiarity with Crimson, it was decided that Crimson management would recommend to its board of directors the retention of Barclays to fill that role.

On February 16, 2013, Crimson released its 2012 year-end reserves and production update.

Beginning on or about February 17, 2013, Contango and Crimson provided due diligence documents to each other and discussed the scope of due diligence information that would be appropriate to share for purposes of evaluating a possible strategic business combination. Between mid-February 2013 and April 29, 2013, both

companies and their respective advisors and consultants conducted due diligence by reviewing documents, participating in meetings and teleconferences and visiting facilities. Representatives of Crimson conducted environmental and regulatory due diligence with respect to Contango s assets and operations. Representatives of Vinson & Elkins reviewed diligence materials at Contango s offices on various dates in March and April. Representatives of Morgan Lewis reviewed diligence materials on various dates during the same time period. During that period, Crimson also retained Opportune LLP (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Opportune) to perform financial due diligence on Contango, Deloitte LLP (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Deloitte) to perform tax advisory services and Tri Energy Asset Management (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as TEAM) to assist with review of title to Contango s oil and gas properties and material oil and gas contracts. During this time, Contango retained Conestoga-Rovers & Associates (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as FOP) to assist in environmental and regulatory due diligence, Frasier Oil Properties (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as FOP) to assist in title review of Crimson s oil and gas properties and material oil and gas contracts and Duff & Phelps) to assist in its financial due diligence on Crimson.

On February 19, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with representatives of Petrie regarding Crimson s corporate organization and history, key producing and undeveloped assets, and a detailed estimated 2013 budget by major development area. On February 20, 2013, Messrs. Atkins and Isaac met with Mr. Juneau regarding Contango s operations and Crimson s James Lime play acreage. Also on this date Mr. Romano, Mr. Juneau, Mr. Mengle, representatives of Netherland, Sewell & Associates, Inc., Crimson s reserve engineering consultant (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as NSAI), a representative of Petrie and representatives of Cobb & Associates met in NSAI s offices in Houston to review Crimson s reserve estimates.

On February 20, 2013, Messrs. Atkins and Isaac met with Mr. Juneau regarding Contango s operations and Crimson s James Lime play acreage. Also on this date, Mr. Mengle, Mr. Juneau, representatives of NSAI and representatives of Cobb & Associates met in NSAI s offices in Houston to review Crimson s reserve estimates.

On February 21, 2013, Messrs. Atkins and Mengle, representatives from NSAI and representatives from Cobb & Associates met in Cobb & Associates offices in Dallas, Texas to discuss certain of Contango s oil and gas properties and reserve estimates relating thereto.

On February 25, 2013, Petrie shared with Crimson prospective financial information of Contango on a standalone basis for the years 2013 through 2017 prepared based on the then current Cobb & Associates proved reserve report and discussions with Contango s management team.

On February 26, 2013, the Crimson board of directors held a special telephonic meeting during which management made various presentations regarding the merger including (1) an overview of the background for the strategic business combination, possible structure for the strategic business combination and profile of Contango, (2) apprising the board of the status of Crimson s due diligence efforts to date, (3) a review of potential financial advisors, (4) a preliminary net asset value comparison, (5) discussion of a possible timeline for a transaction and (6) a brief discussion of the fiduciary duties of directors in transactions such as this. At this meeting, Crimson s board expressed support for continuing negotiations with Contango and authorized management to officially engage Barclays as Crimson s financial advisor for the possible transaction.

On various dates between late February and late April 2013 members of Crimson s management team, primarily Messrs. Keel and Grady, had numerous informal conversations with members of Crimson s board of directors, as well as representatives of Oaktree Management and America Capital Energy Corporation, regarding the status of discussions with Contango regarding the merger, the status of Crimson s due diligence review and the results therefrom, open issues in the merger agreement, the expected timing for the transaction, and other relevant matters. During this time, Mr. Romano also had numerous informal conversations with members of Contango s board of directors regarding the status of discussions with Crimson regarding the merger, the status of Contango s due diligence review and the results therefrom, open issues in the merger agreement, the expected timing for the transaction, open issues in the merger agreement, the expected the results therefrom, open issues in the merger agreement, the expected timing for the transaction, open issues in the merger agreement, the expected timing for the transaction, and other relevant matters.

On February 28, 2013, Messrs. Atkins, Mengle and Isaac met with Mr. Juneau and Kyle Johns of Juneau Exploration L.P. (Juneau Exploration) regarding Contango s Tuscaloosa Marine Shale position and sidetrack opportunities in Contango s Dutch / Mary Rose field.

On March 1, 2013, Morgan Lewis sent an initial draft of a merger agreement and form of support agreement to Vinson & Elkins.

On March 4, 2013, representatives from Morgan Lewis and Contango s land consultant, FOP, met with representatives of Crimson at Crimson s offices to commence their due diligence review of title to Crimson s oil and gas properties and material contracts relating thereto. This review continued for several weeks following this meeting.

On March 5, 2013, Messrs. Keel, Grady and Thomas met with representatives of Vinson & Elkins to discuss the typical process and issues associated with public-company strategic business combinations such as the transaction contemplated by Contango and Crimson as well as the fiduciary duties of the members of Crimson s board of directors in connection therewith.

Also on March 5, 2013, Petrie shared with Crimson and Barclays updated prospective financial information of Contango on a standalone basis for the years 2013 through 2017 prepared based on the then current Cobb & Associates proved reserve report and discussions with Contango s management team. The analysis also included a preliminary analysis of pro forma financial information of the combined company.

On March 6, 2013, Messrs. Atkins, Mengle, Isaac and Grady met with Messrs. Romano and Juneau regarding Contango s interest in the Exaro and Alta joint ventures. At this meeting, Mr. Juneau also provided an update on the status of Contango s ongoing workover of its Vermilion 170 well.

On March 7, 2013, Mr. Thomas and attorneys from Vinson & Elkins and Morgan Lewis met at Vinson & Elkins offices in Houston, Texas, regarding the structuring of the potential transaction, potential timeline, diligence matters and governance. Also on this date, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with representatives of Barclays to continue discussing the proposed strategic business combination with Contango and the timeline associated therewith. During those discussions, Crimson formally engaged Barclays as its financial advisor for the merger.

On March 8, 2013, Mr. Romano met with Jim Ford, a representative of Oaktree Management, and a member of Crimson s board of directors, in Los Angeles, California, to get acquainted. Mr. Ford expressed his support of the senior management of Crimson, his enthusiasm for a potential combination but shared with Mr. Romano his inability to exchange Crimson s second lien debt held by an affiliate of Oaktree Management for equity in the combined company based on structural limitations within the various Oaktree funds.

On March 11, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with Mr. Romano regarding the status of due diligence for each party. Each party s preliminary conclusion was that nothing material was uncovered in diligence conducted to date that would alter the range of preliminary pro forma equity ownership previously discussed.

On March 12, 2013, the Crimson board of directors held a regularly-scheduled quarterly meeting. At this meeting, a representative of Vinson & Elkins provided a detailed presentation to the Crimson board of directors on the duties of the directors in connection with strategic business combinations and other legal considerations relating to the proposed transaction, including the likelihood of litigation challenging the transaction. Members of management made presentations to the Crimson board of directors providing their view of the rationale for the proposed strategic combination with Contango, an overview of Contango s oil and gas properties, reserves and facilities and an overview of Contango s current financial condition and the economics associated with the proposed merger. Representatives of Barclays also attended the meeting and made presentations to the Crimson board of directors regarding the previously-requested review of various possible strategic alternatives for

Crimson, which, as noted above, included (i) refinancing Crimson s current second lien credit facility; (ii) undertaking a private placement of equity capital, followed by a refinancing of Crimson s second lien credit facility; (iii) undertaking a major recapitalization; (iv) pursuing a transformational acquisition; (v) raising capital through the sale of assets or (vi) pursuing a merger or sale of the company. Barclays also presented to the Crimson board of directors their preliminary assessment of the possible merger with Contango as one of those alternatives, including discussion of the rationale for the transaction as the alternative that best met Crimson s strategic objectives, a pro forma view of the combined company and its capitalization, and the relative valuations for each of Crimson and Contango. Barclays advised the Crimson board of directors of its view that Crimson needed significant capital to take advantage of its drilling inventory and accelerate development of its assets, which could be challenging given Crimson s leverage and size. Barclays noted preliminarily that the proposed merger with Contango would provide increased scale, asset diversification, capital to accelerate Crimson s capital program, and a strong pro forma balance sheet. A representative of Vinson & Elkins then provided the Crimson board of directors with an overview of the key terms of the initial draft of the merger agreement and noted provisions thereof which concerned management and Vinson & Elkins.

The Crimson board of directors and management then discussed the strategic alternatives exercise and the proposed Contango merger, and the comments made by Crimson s advisors regarding the same. The Crimson board of directors was also aware of the various acquisition, divestiture, financing and strategic combination discussions management had participated in with third parties in recent years. The Crimson board of directors concluded that a merger of Crimson was an attractive scenario compared to other possible strategic alternatives, and that the proposed Contango merger, on the general preliminary terms previously discussed, would be an excellent outcome for Crimson s stockholders.

The key factors behind Crimson management s recommendation for the proposed merger were: (i) the combined company would be very strong financially and would have access to the capital necessary to realize the intrinsic value of Crimson s asset base, (ii) the merger structure would provide the mechanism for Crimson s existing stockholders to participate in the realization of the value to be derived from the company s asset base, plus participate in the upside of Contango s potentially high impact Gulf of Mexico prospects and (iii) the combination would create a larger organization, with an expanded stockholder base and trading volume, that could contribute to a higher market valuation multiple than either Contango or Crimson might command individually, each of which would enhance stockholder value.

On March 13, 2013, Crimson released its results for the fourth quarter and full year ended December 31, 2012. Also on this date, Messrs. Isaac and Thomas participated in a conference call with representatives from Morgan Lewis to provide Morgan Lewis with an overview of the handling of environmental matters at Crimson.

On March 13, 2013, Contango engaged Longnecker and Associates (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Longnecker), as consultant in connection with compensation issues relating to the merger and the combined company.

On March 14, 2013, representatives of Crimson and TEAM met with representatives of Contango at Contango s offices to begin a diligence review of title to Contango s oil and gas properties and material contracts relating thereto. This review continued for several weeks following this initial meeting. Also on this date, Mr. Isaac and Mr. Mike Autin, Contango s Vice President Production, toured several of Contango s platforms located in the Gulf of Mexico.

On March 15, 2013, Mr. Grady met with representatives of the lead bank of its existing bank group to initiate discussions on potential financing alternatives, including the likelihood of an expanded senior borrowing base for the combined company.

On March 16, 2013, Vinson & Elkins distributed a revised draft merger agreement to Morgan Lewis. Among other things, the revised merger agreement (i) required that certain Contango stockholders enter into

support agreements, (ii) enhanced the scope of the parties representations and warranties, (iii) made several substantive changes to the deal protection provisions including adding more flexibility to the exceptions to the no-solicitation covenant, adding an ability of the boards of directors to change their recommendation for reasons other than a superior proposal and shortening the matching periods, (iv) changed the post-closing governance provisions to provide the combined company more flexibility, (v) changed the termination provisions, including proposing a termination fee of 2.5% of the respective parties equity values for superior proposals and 4% of the respective parties equity values for reasons other than a superior proposal and (vi) added an event-specific material adverse effect provision based on lost reserves or production due to a casualty event.

On March 18, 2013, Mr. Mengle met with representatives from Barclays to discuss reserve information being used by Barclays for its evaluation.

Also on March 18, 2013, Contango s board of directors held a meeting at Contango offices. At the request of Contango s board, representatives from Morgan Lewis and Petrie were also in attendance. In advance of the meeting, on March 15, 2013, the board received materials regarding Crimson as well as information regarding director fiduciary duties, drafts of the merger agreement and support agreement and various analyst reports. At this meeting, Petrie presented a preliminary analysis of the possible transaction, including a discussion of the energy market environment, a profile of Crimson, a summary of illustrative deal terms as of that date, a preliminary reference value analysis summary, and a preliminary pro forma analysis. Mr. Juneau also reported on his review of Crimson s oil and gas reserves. Representatives from Morgan Lewis then presented information regarding the directors fiduciary duties in considering a possible transaction, as well as the timetable for the possible transaction and the diligence efforts in progress to understand possible obligations, liabilities or contingent liabilities of a combined company. Mr. B.A. Berilgen, a member of the Contango board of directors, recommended that Contango engage an environmental consultant to review potential environmental issues and plugging and abandonment responsibilities of Crimson. After discussion, the Contango board of directors agreed that management should continue to examine Crimson and pursue discussions regarding a possible transaction.

On March 19, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with Mr. Romano to discuss staffing of the combined company, possible timing for signing of definitive agreements and certain open key business terms in the merger agreement, including the no-shop provision, the material adverse effect closing condition, post-closing management structure, executive compensation, use of an investment committee and certain deal protection provisions.

On March 20, 2013, Mr. Atkins met with Mr. John Miller of Juneau Exploration to review Contango s offshore prospect inventory.

On March 21, 2013, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano spoke via telephone regarding bonus and equity award plans and bifurcation of 2013 calendar year bonuses into pre-closing and post-closing periods. On this date, Messrs. Grady and Thomas also participated in a conference call with Mr. Romano, representatives from Morgan Lewis and representatives from Longnecker regarding Longnecker s review of compensation issues relating to the merger and the combined company.

On March 22, 2013, Messrs. Atkins, Mengle and Isaac met with Messrs. Romano, Juneau and Johns of Juneau Exploration regarding Contango s Tuscaloosa Marine Shale position. On this date, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano also discussed compensation issues and executive employment agreements. Mr. Grady also met with representatives of Deloitte on this date to discuss tax issues associated with the proposed business combination.

On March 25, 2013, Mr. Grady met with Yaroslava Makalskaya, Contango s Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer, to discuss the transaction timeline and upcoming financial reporting obligations of the parties. On this date, Mr. Grady also met with representatives of Barclays to discuss the status of the valuation analysis being undertaken by Barclays. Mr. Grady and other Crimson representatives also met on this date with

representatives of Opportune regarding diligence matters. On this date, Mr. Isaac, Mr. Thomas, other representatives of Crimson, representatives of Contango and representatives of CRA, met in Crimson s offices to discuss the scope and timing of Contango s environmental due diligence on Crimson s oil and gas properties.

Morgan Lewis provided a further revised draft merger agreement to Crimson and Vinson & Elkins on March 25, 2013. The revised merger agreement, among other things, (i) limited exceptions to the no-solicitation covenant, (ii) lengthened the matching rights periods, (iii) changed the post-closing governance provisions to make them more open, (iv) changed the termination provisions, including proposing a termination fee of 3% of each party s enterprise value and (v) eliminated the casualty event material adverse effect provision proposed by Crimson.

On March 26, 2013, Messrs. Keel, Grady, Atkins, Isaac and Mengle met with various members of the Contango board of directors (including Messrs. Romano, Juneau, Reimer, Berilgen and Schoonover) to become acquainted. Topics discussed included investment philosophies relating to capital spending decisions. On this date, Mr. Grady also met with Mr. Romano regarding bonus plans, equity incentive plans and treatment of existing Crimson equity-based incentive compensation grants in the merger. The Contango board of directors then held a meeting to discuss the status of the merger. At the request of the Contango board, representatives from Morgan Lewis also attended telephonically. The Contango board members expressed favorable viewpoints on the senior officers they had met. Mr. Romano and other members of Contango s operational staff then provided the Contango board with an update on CRA s environmental inspection of Crimson s most valuable well properties. Representatives of Morgan Lewis then reported on the status of due diligence process, including a discussion on title to Crimson s most valuable wells and related oil and gas agreements, pending litigation, employment arrangements and environmental matters. Morgan Lewis also described the draft merger agreement and the key business issues likely to arise under the agreement. Mr. Romano also described the discussions regarding employment for senior executives of Crimson, including arrangements to be approved by the Contango directors at the same time the merger agreement might be approved.

On March 27, 2013, Messrs. Grady and Thomas participated in a conference call with representatives of Morgan Lewis to discuss Crimson s pending litigation. On this date, Mr. Grady also met with Ms. Makalskaya to discuss Contango s retention of Duff & Phelps, LLC, to assist with accounting and tax due diligence and preliminary purchase price allocation for accounting purposes.

From March 27, 2013 through April 3, 2013, members of Crimson s senior management and representatives from Vinson & Elkins and Oaktree Management engaged in numerous discussions regarding the revised draft merger agreement. Vinson & Elkins and Morgan Lewis continued to negotiate the transaction documents, with particular emphasis on which stockholders would execute support agreements, whether either party could terminate absent a superior proposal, the size of termination fees and when those fees would be payable, post-closing governance matters and the casualty event material adverse effect provision.

Between March 28 and April 2, 2013, representatives of Crimson, Vinson & Elkins and Oaktree Management spoke by telephone on multiple occasions to discuss in general terms various provisions of the proposed merger agreement, including, but not limited to, termination fees, material adverse effect provisions, conditions to closing, acceptable limits on pre-closing operating activity, representations and warranties of the parties and post-closing governance of the combined company. The desire for, and the acceptable form of, support agreements for specific stockholders was also discussed.

On March 28, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with Mr. Romano and representatives from Longnecker regarding executive compensation trends for purposes of finalizing post-closing compensation structure for the combined company s officers. On this date, Mr. Grady also had a conference call with Jon Hughes, a representative of Petrie, regarding the number and characteristic of stock options previously granted to Crimson employees. Mr. Grady and other representatives of Crimson also participated in a conference call with representatives of Duff & Phelps to discuss their review of Crimson. Vinson & Elkins and Morgan Lewis

participated in a conference call regarding outstanding issues with the merger agreement and other transaction documents.

On various dates between March 28 and April 9, 2013, a representative of Crimson guided a representative of Contango and/or one or more representatives of CRA on visits to a variety of well locations operated by Crimson.

On April 2, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with Mr. Romano to discuss various outstanding business issues on the merger agreement, including deal protection issues such as the appropriate amounts for termination fees and the range of circumstances when such fees should be payable, social issues such as the composition of the board of the combined company, composition and authority of the investment committee, etc. On this date, a representative of Crimson and a representative of CRA also met to facilitate Contango s continuing review of Crimson s environmental records.

On April 3, 2013, Mr. Grady, Mr. Romano and representatives of Petrie and Barclays met to discuss presentation of the combined company s pro forma financial and operational results and prospects, key terms of the transaction and timing of the transaction. Also on this day, Mr. Grady met with representatives of Opportune regarding on-going due diligence efforts.

On April 3, 2013, Vinson & Elkins distributed a revised draft merger agreement and form of support agreement to Morgan Lewis. Changes to the merger agreement included (i) permitting Contango to terminate for a superior proposal, (ii) a termination fee of \$10 million for Crimson and \$40 million for Contango (which amounts represented approximately 3% of the enterprise value of Crimson and 8% of the enterprise value of Contango, respectively, as of such date), (iii) payment of a termination fee if, in certain instances, a party enters into an alternative transaction within 12 months of termination, including certain buy-side transactions, (iv) requiring the combined company to adopt a bylaw amendment that would require, for one year, a two-thirds majority of the combined company board and at least one Crimson appointee to the combined company board to make certain changes to the agreed-upon post-closing governance and (v) deleting the requirement of a per se casualty event material adverse effect but eliminating a natural disaster exception from the definition of material adverse effect. The form of support agreements provided that Oaktree, Allan D. Keel, Kenneth R. Peak and Brad Juneau would execute support agreements.

On April 4, 2013, members of the management teams of each of Crimson and Contango, as well as representatives from Duff & Phelps and Deloitte participated in a conference call to provide Duff & Phelps with an opportunity to perform a diligence review of Crimson s tax characteristics. On this date Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano also spoke by telephone to discuss staffing needs for the combined company.

On April 8, 2013, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano met to discuss open issues on the employment agreements for Messrs. Keel and Grady (including tax gross-up language in their existing agreements and possible future clawback policies resulting from recent federal legislation) as well as other compensation and staffing issues.

On April 9, 2013, Morgan Lewis provided a further revised draft merger agreement and form of support agreement to Crimson and Vinson & Elkins. Among other things, this draft of the merger agreement (i) provided detail regarding the post-closing salaries and benefits of Contango employees, (ii) changed the termination fee to \$10 million for Crimson and \$20 million for Contango (which amounts represented approximately 3% of the enterprise value of Crimson and 4% of the enterprise value of Contango, respectively, as of such date) and (iii) reinserted the natural disaster exception to the definition of material adverse effect. Contango proposed that Oaktree and all officers and directors of both parties execute support agreements.

On April 8 and 9, 2013, Mr. Isaac met with Contango s regulatory consultants to perform regulatory due diligence.

On April 9, 2013, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano spoke by telephone to discuss open employment agreement issues, compensation matters, the potential timeline for execution of the merger agreement and preparation of a

joint press release and investor presentation for use in announcing the merger. Also on this date, Messrs. Mengle and Isaac met with Mr. Juneau to review updated production information for Contango s Eugene Island 10 field received from Cobb & Associates as well as the status of operations on Contango s Vermillion 170 well.

On April 10 and 11, 2013, Crimson and Contango and their respective legal counsels spoke by telephone multiple times to discuss the merger agreement and the support agreements.

On April 11, 2013, the Crimson board of directors met telephonically with certain members of Crimson s senior management team, as well as with representatives from Vinson & Elkins and Barclays to discuss the status of the transaction, including outstanding issues under the merger agreement and support agreements and the Crimson s preliminary review of the most recent production and pressure test data from certain of Contango s wells, possible implications thereof and the appropriate follow-up. Later in the day on April 11, 2013, Vinson & Elkins sent revised drafts of the merger agreement and form of support agreement to Contango and Morgan Lewis. Among other things, this draft of the merger agreement (i) provided detail regarding the post-closing salaries and benefits of Contango employees, (ii) changed the termination fee to \$7 million for Crimson and \$28 million for Contango (which amounts represented approximately 2% of the enterprise value of Crimson and 6% of the enterprise value of Contango, respectively, as of such date) and (iii) eliminated the natural disaster exception from the definition of material adverse effect. Vinson & Elkins and Morgan Lewis continued to negotiate the merger agreement and ancillary agreements.

On April 11, 2013, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano spoke by telephone to discuss open business points in the merger agreement, including the amount of each party s termination fee, scope of the tail period to which a termination fee would apply, the definition of material adverse effect, board composition of the combined company, and representations and warranties relating to Contango s joint ventures. On this day, Messrs. Keel and Grady also met with Messrs. Romano and Juneau to discuss Contango s reserves in light of recent production data. Messrs. Keel and Grady also met with Mr. Romano on this day to discuss outstanding issues on the employment agreements.

On April 12, 2013, the Contango board of directors held a meeting at Contango s offices to receive an update on due diligence studies performed by various third parties. At the request of the Contango board, representatives from Morgan Lewis and Petrie were also in attendance. Mr. Romano and Mr. Juneau first provided to the directors recent analysis of Contango s reserves at its Dutch Mary Rose property. The Board discussed the analysis and the reserve report provided by Cobb & Associates. The Board heard presentations regarding potential environmental liabilities of Crimson s exploration and production business, accounting and tax assessments of Crimson and the possible combined company, Crimson s outstanding litigation, updated preliminary reference value ranges for Contango and Crimson, the current energy market environment and employment matters relating to the combined company. Morgan Lewis also provided an update to the board on the draft merger agreement, support agreements and amendments to Contango s bylaws to the board.

On April 12, 2013, Vinson & Elkins sent a draft of the support agreement to be executed by Contango s officers to Contango and Morgan Lewis. The draft support agreement was substantially similar to the support agreement to be executed by Crimson s officers, except that such support agreements could not be terminated upon a decrease in merger consideration or a change in the form of merger consideration. Vinson & Elkins, Morgan Lewis and Oaktree Management continued to negotiate the support agreements.

On April 12, 2013, Oaktree Management retained Kirkland & Ellis LLP (referred to in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Kirkland) to negotiate a registration rights agreement with Contango with respect to Oaktree s ownership of Contango common stock and other registerable securities upon closing of the potential merger. Kirkland distributed an initial draft of the registration rights agreement on April 13, 2013. From then until April 25, 2013, Crimson, Contango, Oaktree Management and their legal counsel negotiated the terms of the registration rights agreement.

On April 12, 2013, Crimson received diligence memos from Opportune and Deloitte which did not identify any material issues relating to the proposed merger with Contango. In addition, Mr. Mengle met with a representative of Cobb & Associates in Dallas to discuss recent well data from Contango s Eugene Island 10 field.

On April 13, 2013, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano spoke by telephone several times to discuss the status of Contango s internal review of its reserves following recent production information as well as open issues on the employment agreements for Messrs. Keel and Grady.

On April 15, 2013 Messrs. Grady, Atkins, Mengle and Isaac and Messrs. Romano, Juneau and Deacon Merrick of Cobb & Associates met to discuss recent production data from Contango s Eugene Island 10 field and the potential impact thereof on Cobb & Associates reserve calculations. On this date, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano also met to discuss status and open issues on the merger agreement and employment agreements.

On April 16, 2013, Contango provided Crimson with the revised estimates of its offshore proved reserves as of December 31, 2012. Based upon an analysis of additional pressure data performed by Cobb & Associates, Contango s estimates of gross original gas in place, implied gross recoverable reserves and net proved reserves were adjusted downward.

On April 16, 2013, Morgan Lewis distributed a revised draft of the merger agreement and ancillary agreements to Crimson and Vinson & Elkins. On April 17, 2013, Crimson management, Vinson & Elkins and Morgan Lewis discussed Morgan Lewis revisions to the merger agreement by telephone.

On April 19, 2013, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano met to discuss the adjustments to Contango s reserves resulting from recent production information and any potential changes to the relative value of both companies. Mr. Romano and Mr. Grady agreed that each party s financial advisors should update their work to reflect the adjustments to Contango s reserves, new commodity prices and other additional information. Following the meeting Mr. Grady asked Barclays to update its analysis to include recent reserve adjustments as well as more recent commodity prices and other newly provided valuation information.

On April 20, 2013, Kenneth R. Peak, Contango s founder and Chairman, passed away and the parties temporarily suspended negotiations.

On April 22, 2013, Mr. Grady and Mr. Romano met to discuss outstanding issues that could possibly impact the equity split, including changes in oil and natural gas prices, further due diligence on changes in certain working capital items (including proceeds from a key man life insurance policy), reevaluation of exposure risk on certain contingencies and recent operational activity (including the revised estimates of offshore proved reserves) that impacted previous assumptions and outstanding issues on the merger agreement including, but not limited to, termination fees, material adverse effect provisions, conditions to closing and acceptable limits on pre-closing activity. Mr. Romano indicated that Contango and its advisors were of the opinion that the preliminary 80/20 equity ownership split continued to be appropriate, subject to the completion of final due diligence, as some of the issues raised by Mr. Grady were subjective in nature. In addition, Mr. Romano indicated Contango s confidence that its investments in Alta and Exaro could be worth more than the invested capital reflected on its balance sheet based on discussions with its equity partners, which had not previously been considered in the determination of the equity ownership split. Each party agreed to provide the other with additional supporting documentation following the meeting.

On April 23, 2013, Messrs. Keel and Grady met with Mr. Romano to discuss the equity split, the status of open issues on the merger agreement, employment agreements for Messrs. Keel and Grady and the registration rights agreement between Contango and affiliates of Oaktree Management.

On April 24, 2013, the Contango board of directors held a meeting at Contango s offices. At the request of the Contango board, representatives from Morgan Lewis and Petrie also attended the meeting. The directors

discussed Crimson s drilling program and Contango s updated production results. The directors also received an update on the due diligence process and key remaining issues in the merger documentation.

Also on April 24, 2013, Vinson & Elkins circulated a revised draft of the merger agreement to Contango and Morgan Lewis. Morgan Lewis distributed a further revised draft of the merger agreement and the various ancillary agreements on April 25, 2013.

On April 25, 2013, Mr. Keel met with Mr. Romano to continue their discussion regarding the equity split, and they agreed upon a 79.7/20.3% equity split taking into account a number of factors and information raised by both Crimson and Contango related to due diligence results and recent events, including changes in oil and natural gas prices, further due diligence on changes in certain working capital items (including proceeds from a key man life insurance policy), reevaluation of exposure risk on certain contingencies (including the revised estimates of offshore proved reserves) and recent information on operational activity that impacted previous assumptions (including the revised estimates of offshore proved reserves) and information regarding Contango s investments in Exaro and Alta.

On April 25, 2013, Mr. Romano obtained an order appointing him as the temporary administrator of the Estate of Mr. Peak from a probate court in Harris County, Texas, granting Mr. Romano the authority to execute a support agreement on behalf of the Estate of Mr. Peak.

In the morning of April 27, 2013, representatives of both parties and counsel met telephonically to discuss final issues on the merger agreement and ancillary agreements. Additionally, the parties finalized the ancillary agreements and discussed the remaining steps to be completed prior to signing the merger agreement.

In the afternoon of April 27, 2013, the Crimson board of directors met telephonically with certain members of Crimson s senior management team, as well as with representatives from Vinson & Elkins and Barclays. Management provided members of the board with an update on (1) the status of the transaction including remaining open items including diligence associated with recently identified material contracts, (2) prior discussions regarding recent production and pressure test data from certain of Contango s wells and the implications thereof, (3) Contango s efforts to work-over its Vermillion 170 well and (4) recent discussions between the parties regarding the equity split for the transaction. A representative from Vinson & Elkins then provided members of the board with a reminder regarding their fiduciary duties in connection with the proposed transaction. Representatives of Barclays provided an updated report regarding the proposed merger wherein they reviewed the rationale for the transaction, the benefits to each party s stockholders, an analysis of the proposed exchange ratio and the premium associated therewith, and the various valuation analyses undertaken by Barclays. A representative from Vinson & Elkins also reviewed with the board the terms of the near-final merger agreement, including changes to which the parties had agreed since the board s March 12th meeting. Following these discussions, the Crimson board authorized members of Crimson s senior management to finalize the remaining open items and indicated that, assuming the remaining items could be satisfactorily resolved, they were prepared to approve the transaction.

During the afternoon of April 29, 2013, the Contango board of directors met with certain representatives from Morgan Lewis and Petrie. Mr. Romano provided the board with a status update on the merger agreement and ancillary documents related thereto and indicated that all issues with Crimson had been resolved. In connection with consideration by the Contango board of directors of the proposed strategic combination with Crimson, Petrie delivered its oral opinion that, as of that date, the exchange ratio set forth in the merger agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to Contango (as more fully described under Opinion of Contango s Financial Advisors), Petrie later delivered to Contango its written opinion dated April 29, 2013. Following these discussions, the Contango board of directors determined that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby were fair to, and in the best interests of, Contango and its stockholders and authorized Contango management to execute the merger agreement and ancillary documents on behalf of Contango. In connection with the merger, Contango s board also authorized the registration rights agreement,

support agreements, employment agreements with Messrs. Keel and Grady and amendments to Contango s bylaws as contemplated in the merger agreement.

Also during the afternoon on April 29, 2013, the Crimson board of directors met telephonically with certain members of Crimson s senior management team and representatives from Barclays. Management provided the board with a status update on merger agreement, ancillary documents relating thereto and indicated that all material issues had been resolved. In connection with consideration by the Crimson board of directors of the proposed strategic combination with Contango, Barclays delivered its oral opinion that, as of that date, the exchange ratio set forth in the merger agreement was fair, from a financial point of view, to the holders of shares of Crimson common stock (as more fully described under Opinion of Crimson s Financial Advisors). Following these discussions, the Crimson board adopted the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the merger, were advisable and in the best interests of Crimson and its stockholders and the members present unanimously voted to approve the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby. Mr. Ni Zhaoxing was not present at such board meeting (although his representative did attend as an approved observer), but subsequently ratified the actions taken by the Crimson board of directors. Barclays later delivered to Crimson its written opinion dated April 29, 2013.

In the evening of April 29, 2013, Contango and Crimson senior management and their respective legal counsel, having resolved the remaining open items, finalized the disclosure schedules, ancillary documents and announcement documents, and made certain final, non-substantive corrections to the merger agreement. Shortly thereafter, members of Crimson senior management and Contango senior management, advised by their respective legal counsels, executed the merger agreement and ancillary agreements.

Early in the morning on April 30, 2013, Contango and Crimson issued a joint press release announcing the merger and hosted a joint conference call for the investment community to explain the specific details of the proposed merger.

Contango s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Contango Board of Directors

In approving the merger agreement and recommending approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, the Contango board of directors consulted with members of Contango s management, as well as with Contango s legal, financial and other advisors, and also considered a number of factors that the Contango board of directors viewed as bearing on its decisions. The principal factors that the Contango board of directors viewed as supporting its decisions were:

that Crimson s lower-risk, unconventional development portfolio complements Contango s higher-potential, offshore prospects;

that Contango s liquidity complements Crimson s lower-risk, operated liquids-focused proved drilling portfolio and resource upside;

that the combined company will have over 1 trillion cubic feet equivalents of resource potential in offshore and onshore locations including the Woodbine, Eagle Ford, Buda, James Lime and Niobrara plays;

the opportunity to integrate the well-respected knowledge base, potential transaction flow and execution capabilities of Crimson s management team into that of Contango s, particularly in light of the recent passing of Contango s founder and former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Kenneth R. Peak, and the ensuing succession planning undertaken by the Contango board of directors;

that Crimson s sizeable staff and existing internal systems will allow the combined company to quickly and efficiently handle the increased asset base and operational burdens;

that the merger will be strongly accretive to Contango s cash flow and reserves per share;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

that the net operating loss of approximately \$110 million of Crimson may be utilized by the combined company in future years subject to limitations contained in Section 382 of the Code;

the strong to-date drilling results of Crimson and other operators in the Woodbine and Buda formations;

that the combined company will be significantly larger than Contango is now and as a result should have greater exploration and production strengths, greater liquidity in the market for its securities and better ability to consider future strategic opportunities that might not otherwise be possible;

the expectation that the combined company will be able to accelerate development of additional exploration and development opportunities and achieve exploration and production drilling efficiencies;

the opportunity to double Contango s current oil production and proved oil reserves;

that the combination of Contango and Crimson will preserve significant strategic continuity for both companies and employee continuity for Crimson;

the terms and conditions of the merger agreement, including the commitments by both Contango and Crimson to complete the merger and certain reciprocal provisions that may have the effect of discouraging alternative acquisition proposals involving Crimson or Contango, and the likelihood of completing the merger;

the fact that the merger agreement does not preclude a third party from making an unsolicited proposal for a competing transaction with Contango or Crimson and, that under certain circumstances more fully described in the sections The Merger Agreement No Solicitation of Alternative Proposals beginning on page 117 and The Merger Agreement Changes in Board Recommendations beginning on page 118, Contango or Crimson, as applicable, may furnish non-public information to and enter into discussions with such third party regarding the competing transaction and the Contango or Crimson board, as applicable, may withdraw or modify its recommendations to Contango or Crimson stockholders regarding the merger; and

the opinion of Petrie, dated April 29, 2013, to the Contango board of directors to the effect that, as of that date and based on and subject to various assumptions, qualifications and limitations described in the Petrie opinion included with this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex B, the exchange ratio of 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock to be issued by Contango in exchange for each outstanding share of Crimson common stock pursuant to the merger was fair, from a financial point of view, to Contango, as more fully described below under the caption Opinion of Contango s Financial Advisors Opinion of Petrie Partners, LLC.
 The Contango board of directors weighed the foregoing against a number of potentially negative factors, including:

the restrictions on the conduct of Contango s business during the period between the execution of the merger agreement and the completion of the merger as set forth in the merger agreement;

the costs associated with the completion of the merger, including management s time and energy and potential opportunity cost;

the amount of indebtedness of Crimson and the annual debt service costs of such indebtedness;

the challenges in absorbing the effect of any failure to complete the merger, including potential termination fees and stockholder and market reactions;

the potential earnings dilution to Contango stockholders following the merger;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

the challenges inherent in the combination of two businesses of the size and complexity of Contango and Crimson, including the possible diversion of management attention for an extended period of time;

the risk of not being able to realize all of the anticipated cost savings and operational synergies between Contango and Crimson and the risk that other anticipated benefits might not be realized; and

the risks of the type and nature described under Risk Factors, beginning on page 32 and the matters described under Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements beginning on page 30.

This discussion of the information and factors considered by Contango s board of directors in reaching its conclusions and recommendation includes the principal factors considered by the board, but is not intended to be

exhaustive and may not include all of the factors considered by the Contango board of directors. In view of the wide variety of factors considered in connection with its evaluation of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and the complexity of these matters, the Contango board of directors did not find it useful and did not attempt to quantify, rank or assign any relative or specific weights to the various factors that it considered in reaching its determination to approve the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and to make its recommendation to Contango stockholders. Rather, the Contango board of directors viewed its decisions as being based on the totality of the information presented to it and the factors it considered, including its discussions with, and questioning of, members of Contango s management and outside legal and financial advisors. In addition, individual members of the Contango board of directors may have assigned different weights to different factors.

Certain of Contango s directors and executive officers may have financial interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of Contango s stockholders generally. The Contango board of directors was aware of and considered these potential interests, among other matters, in evaluating the merger and in making its recommendation to Contango stockholders. For a discussion of these interests, see Interests of Contango Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger.

The Contango board of directors unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement and determined that the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger, are in the best interests of Contango and its stockholders. Accordingly, the Contango board of directors unanimously recommends that the Contango stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders pursuant to the merger.

Opinion of Contango s Financial Advisor

Opinion of Petrie Partners Securities, LLC to the Contango Board of Directors

In connection with the merger, on February 13, 2013, the Contango board of directors retained Petrie to act as financial advisor to the Contango board of directors. On April 29, 2013, at a meeting of the Contango board of directors, Petrie rendered its oral opinion, subsequently confirmed by delivery of a written opinion soon after the meeting, that, as of April 29, 2013 and based upon and subject to the factors, procedures, assumptions, qualifications and limitations set forth in its opinion, the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to Contango.

The full text of the written opinion of Petrie, dated as of April 29, 2013, which sets forth, among other things, the procedures followed, assumptions made, matters considered and qualifications and limitations on the scope of review undertaken in rendering its opinion, is attached as Annex B to this proxy statement/prospectus and is incorporated by reference in its entirety into this proxy statement/prospectus. You are urged to read the opinion carefully and in its entirety. Petrie s opinion was addressed to, and provided for the information and benefit of, the Contango board of directors (in its capacity as such) in connection with its evaluation of whether the exchange ratio was fair, from a financial point of view, to Contango. Petrie s opinion does not address the fairness of the proposed merger, or any consideration received in connection with the proposed merger, to the holders of any securities, creditors or other constituencies of Contango, nor does it address the fairness of such persons, whether relative to the exchange ratio or otherwise. Petrie assumed that any modification to the structure of the merger would not vary in any respect material to its analysis. Petrie s opinion does not address the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other alternative business transaction or strategic alternative that might be available to Contango, nor does it address the underlying business decision of Contango to engage in the merger. Petrie s opinion does not constitute a recommendation to the Contango board of directors or to any other persons in respect of the merger, including as to how any holder of shares of voting common stock of Contango should act or vote in respect of any of the transactions contemplated by the merger

agreement. Finally, Petrie did not express any opinion as to the price at which shares of Contango or Crimson common stock will trade at any time.

In connection with rendering its opinion and performing its related financial analysis, Petrie, among other things:

reviewed certain publicly available business and financial information relating to Contango and Crimson, including (i) Annual Reports on Form 10-K and related audited financial statements of Contango and Crimson for the fiscal years ended June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2012, respectively, and (ii) the Quarterly Report for Contango on Form 10-Q and related unaudited financial statements for the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2012;

reviewed certain estimates of Contango s oil and gas reserves, including (i) estimates of offshore proved reserves prepared by Cobb & Associates as of December 31, 2012 and (ii) estimates of the Exaro joint venture proved reserves prepared by Von Gonten as of December 31, 2012 and (iii) estimates of potential resources prepared by the management and staff of Contango as of July 1, 2013;

reviewed certain estimates of Crimson s oil and gas reserves, including (i) estimates of proved reserves prepared by NSAI as of December 31, 2012 and (ii) estimates of probable and possible reserves prepared by the management and staff of Crimson as of December 31, 2012;

reviewed a presentation prepared by representatives of Von Gonten of the potential future well performance and associated reserves for certain Crimson properties located in Crimson s Force area;

analyzed certain historical and projected financial and operating data of Contango and Crimson prepared by the respective managements and staffs of Contango and Crimson;

discussed the current operations and prospects of Contango with the management and staff of Contango, and discussed the current operations and prospects of Crimson with the respective managements and staffs of Contango and Crimson;

reviewed the historical market prices and trading history of Contango common stock and Crimson common stock;

compared recent stock market capitalization indicators for Contango and Crimson with recent stock market capitalization indicators for certain other publicly-traded independent energy companies;

compared the financial terms of the merger with the financial terms of other transactions deemed by Petrie to be relevant;

participated in certain discussions and negotiations among the representatives of Contango, Crimson and their respective financial and legal advisors;

reviewed a draft dated April 29, 2013 of the merger agreement; and

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

reviewed such other financial studies and analyses and performed such other investigations and took into account such other matters as Petrie deemed necessary or appropriate.

The estimates of offshore proved reserves prepared by Cobb & Associates were updated on April 16, 2013, based on additional information made available to Cobb & Associates, and for purposes of its financial analysis, Petrie used such estimates as revised on April 16, 2013.

In connection with its analysis and opinion, Petrie assumed and relied upon, without assuming any responsibility or liability for or independently verifying the accuracy and completeness of, all of the information publicly available and all of the information supplied or otherwise made available to Petrie by Contango and Crimson. Petrie further relied upon the assurances of representatives of the respective managements of Contango and Crimson that they are unaware of any facts that would make the information provided to Petrie incomplete or misleading in any material respect. With respect to the projected financial and operating data relating to Contango and Crimson referred to above, Petrie assumed that such data had been reasonably prepared on bases

reflecting the best currently available estimates and good faith judgments of the managements and staffs of Contango and Crimson relating to the future financial and operational performance of Contango and Crimson, respectively. Petrie expressed no view as to any projected financial data relating to Contango and Crimson or the assumptions on which they were based.

With respect to the estimates of oil and gas reserves and potential resources, Petrie assumed that such data had been reasonably prepared on bases reflecting the best available estimates and good faith judgments of the managements and staffs of Contango and Crimson (and Cobb & Associates, Von Gonten and NSAI, as applicable) relating to the oil and gas properties of Contango and Crimson, respectively. Petrie expressed no view as to any reserve or potential resource data relating to Contango or Crimson or the assumptions on which they were based.

Petrie did not make or assume any responsibility for making any independent valuation or appraisal of the assets or liabilities of Contango or Crimson, nor, except for the estimates of oil and gas reserves, potential resources and prospects referred to above, was Petrie furnished with any such valuations or appraisals, nor did Petrie evaluate the solvency or fair value of Contango or Crimson under any state or federal laws relating to bankruptcy, insolvency or similar matters. Additionally, Petrie did not assume any obligation to conduct, nor did it conduct, any physical inspection of the properties or facilities of Contango or Crimson.

For purposes of rendering its opinion, Petrie assumed, in all respects material to its analysis, that the representations and warranties of each party contained in the merger agreement were true and correct, that each party will perform all of the covenants and agreements required to be performed by it under the merger agreement and that all conditions to the consummation of the merger will be satisfied without material waiver or modification thereof. Petrie further assumed that all governmental, regulatory or other consents, approvals or releases necessary for the consummation of the merger will be obtained without any material delay, limitation, restriction or condition that would have an adverse effect on Contango or Crimson or on the consummation of the merger or would materially reduce the benefits of the merger to Contango.

Petrie s opinion relates solely to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio to Contango. Petrie did not express any view on, and its opinion did not address, the fairness of the proposed merger to, or any consideration received in connection therewith by, the holders of any securities, creditors or other constituencies of Contango, nor as to the fairness of the amount or nature of any compensation to be paid or payable to any of the officers, directors or employees of Contango, or any class of such persons, whether relative to the exchange ratio or otherwise. Petrie assumed that any modification to the structure of the merger would not vary in any material respect from what was assumed in its analysis. Petrie s advisory services and its opinion were provided for the information and benefit of the Contango board of directors in connection with its consideration of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, and its opinion did not constitute a recommendation to any holder of Contango common stock as to how such holder should vote with respect to any of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Petrie s opinion did not address the relative merits of the merger as compared to any alternative business transaction or strategic alternative that might be available to Contango, nor did it address the underlying business decision of Contango to engage in the merger. Petrie did not solicit, nor was it asked to solicit, proposals from other parties to engage in other transactions with Contango. Petrie was not asked to consider, and its opinion did not address, the tax consequences of the merger to any particular stockholder of Contango, or the prices at which Contango common stock or Crimson common stock will actually trade at any time, including following announcement or consummation of the merger. Petrie did not render any legal, accounting, tax or regulatory advice and understood that Contango relied on other advisors as to legal, accounting, tax and regulatory matters in connection with the merger.

Petrie s opinion was rendered on the basis of conditions in the securities markets and the oil and gas markets as they existed and could be evaluated on April 29, 2013 and the conditions and prospects, financial and otherwise, of Contango and Crimson as they were represented to Petrie as of April 29, 2013 or as they were reflected in the materials and discussions described above. Subsequent developments may affect Petrie s opinion, and Petrie does not have any obligation to update, revise or reaffirm its opinion.

Set forth below is a summary of the material financial analyses performed and reviewed by Petrie with the Contango board of directors in connection with rendering its oral opinion on April 29, 2013 and the preparation of its written opinion letter dated April 29, 2013. Each analysis was provided to the Contango board of directors. In connection with arriving at its opinion, Petrie considered all of its analyses as a whole, and the order of the analyses described and the results of these analyses do not represent any relative importance or particular weight given to these analyses by Petrie. Except as otherwise noted, the following quantitative information, to the extent that it is based on market data, is based on market data (including the closing prices for the common stock of Contango and Crimson) that existed on April 25, 2013, and is not necessarily indicative of current market conditions.

The following summary of financial analyses includes information presented in tabular format. These tables must be read together with the text of each summary in order to understand fully the financial analyses performed by Petrie. The tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial analyses performed by Petrie. Considering the tables below without considering the full narrative description of the financial analyses, including the methodologies and assumptions underlying the analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of Petrie s financial analyses.

Reference Value Analyses

Petrie performed a series of analyses to derive a range of implied exchange ratios by utilizing the following methodologies to arrive at per share equity value reference ranges for Contango and Crimson.

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

Petrie performed a discounted cash flow analysis of Contango s and Crimson s respective projected future cash flows to determine indicative reference values of Contango s and Crimson s respective common stock based on the present value of the future after-tax cash flows expected to be generated from, for Contango, Contango s proved and non-proved reserves based on the Cobb & Associates and Von Gonten reserve reports and Contango s estimates for potential resources and, for Crimson, Crimson s proved reserves based on the NSAI reserve report and Crimson s internal estimates for probable and possible reserves.

Petrie evaluated four scenarios in which the principal variable was oil and gas prices. The four price case scenarios represent long-term potential future benchmark prices per barrel of oil and million British thermal units (MMBtu) of natural gas. Adjustments were made to these prices to reflect location and quality differentials. One scenario was based on New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) 5-year strip pricing as of April 25, 2013 for the calendar years 2013 through 2017, escalated annually at the rate of 3% thereafter. Benchmark prices for the other three scenarios were based on \$80.00, \$90.00, and \$100.00 per barrel of oil, respectively, and \$3.50, \$4.50 and \$5.50 per MMBtu for gas, respectively and were escalated annually starting in 2014 at the rate of 3%. Applying various after-tax discount rates ranging from 8.0% to 40.0%, depending on reserve category and geographic location, to the after-tax cash flows of the proved and non-proved reserve estimates, and adjusting for the present value of future estimated general and administrative expenses, commodity derivative and other assets, long-term debt as of December 31, 2012 and net working capital as of December 31, 2012, as appropriate, Petrie determined the following implied equity value reference ranges per share of Contango and Crimson common stock respectively. Using these implied equity value reference ranges, Petrie calculated a range of implied exchange ratios by dividing the lowest implied per share equity value for Crimson by the highest implied per share equity value for Contango and vice versa.

		NYME (April 2 Low	25, 20	•	\$	80.00 Oil Low		50 Gas High		90.00 Oil Low	& \$4.	50 Gas High	•	100.00 Oil Low	& \$	5.50 Gas High
Contango Implied Equity Value \$/Share	\$	39.37	\$	43.96	\$	36.62	\$	40.95	\$	41.36	\$	46.27	\$	46.60	\$	52.18
Crimson Implied Equity Value \$/Share	\$	1.55	\$	4.00	\$	1.03	\$	3.42	\$	2.18	\$	4.85	\$	3.91	\$	7.03
Implied Exchange Ratio	(0.03523	(0.10150	(0.02515	(0.09346	C	0.04706		0.11720	0	0.07498		0.15085

Date

Comparable Transaction Analysis

Petrie reviewed selected publicly-available information for 21 oil and gas transactions announced between March 2010 and March 2013 that included assets in the Gulf of Mexico and had a value greater than \$50 million. Petrie reviewed all transactions with publicly-available information that it deemed to have certain characteristics that are similar to those of Contango, although Petrie noted that none of the reviewed transactions or the selected companies that participated in the selected transactions were directly comparable to the merger or Contango.

Precedent Transactions for Contango

Date		
Announced	Buyer	Seller
03/06/13	Undisclosed	EPL Oil & Gas, Inc.
12/13/12	Talos Energy LLC	Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc.
10/18/12	Northstar Offshore Group, LLC	Undisclosed
09/18/12	W&T Offshore Inc.	Newfield Exploration Company
09/17/12	EPL Oil & Gas, Inc.	Hilcorp Energy Company
12/01/11	Korea National Oil Corporation	Natural Gas Partners
11/18/11	Stone Energy Corporation	BP p.l.c.
10/31/11	North American Energy Partners Inc.	Undisclosed
07/29/11	Dynamic Offshore Resources, LLC	Exxon Mobil Corporation
04/07/11	TRT Energy Holdings, Inc.	TETRA Technologies, Inc.
03/09/11	Undisclosed	Seneca Resources Corp
01/13/11	EPL Oil & Gas, Inc.	Anglo-Suisse Offshore Partners, LLC
12/07/10	BP p.l.c.	Royal Dutch Shell plc
11/21/10	Energy XXI (Bermuda) Limited	Exxon Mobil Corporation
11/04/10	W&T Offshore Inc.	Royal Dutch Shell plc
10/25/10	Marubeni Corporation	BP p.l.c.
09/20/10	McMoRan Exploration Co.	Plains Exploration & Production Company
04/12/10	Apache Corporation	Devon Energy Corporation
04/08/10	W&T Offshore Inc.	Total S.A.
04/08/10	Alta Mesa Holdings, LP	Meridian Resource Company, LLC
03/11/10	BP p.l.c.	Devon Energy Corporation

Based on the multiples implied by these transactions and Petrie s judgment on the comparability of each comparable transaction versus the assets of Contango, Petrie applied relevant transaction multiples to Contango s assets to calculate an implied equity value reference range per share of Contango common stock. With respect to Contango s Gulf of Mexico assets, Petrie applied transaction multiples ranging from \$2.25 to \$3.00 per thousand cubic feet equivalent (Mcfe) of proved reserves and \$5,000 to \$7,000 per thousand cubic feet equivalent of production per day (Mcfepd). Based on the application of these transaction multiples, the estimated value of other assets and adjusting for net working capital as of December 31, 2012, Petrie determined an implied equity value reference range of \$36.64 to \$47.26 per share of Contango common stock.

Petrie reviewed selected publicly-available information for 66 regional oil and gas transactions announced between June 2007 and April 2013 in the Woodbine, onshore Gulf Coast, South Texas, Eagle Ford Shale and Niobrara plays and that had a value greater than \$15 million. Petrie reviewed all transactions with publicly-available information that it deemed to have certain characteristics that are similar to those of Crimson, although Petrie noted that none of the reviewed transactions or the selected companies that participated in the selected transactions were directly comparable to the merger or Crimson.

Precedent Transactions for Crimson

Date

Announced

Buyer

Announced	Buyer	
Woodbine		
09/11/12	Energy & Exploration Partners, Inc.	Chesapeak
06/05/12	Halcón Resources Corporation	JBL Energ
06/01/12	Woodbine Acquisition Corporation	PetroMax
Onshore G	ulf Coast	
03/20/13	Memorial Production Partners LP	Undisclose
12/28/12	QR Energy, LP	Quantum H
12/05/12	Argent Energy Trust	Wapiti Ene
11/05/12	Harbinger Group Inc.	EXCO Res
11/01/12	QR Energy, LP	Undisclose
10/04/12	Undisclosed	Carrizo Oi
10/01/12	Undisclosed	GMX Reso
09/26/12	Arena Energy, LLC	McMoRan
09/18/12	Memorial Production Partners LP	Goodrich I
08/07/12	PetroPoint Energy Partners LP	Rosetta Re
05/15/12	EPL Oil & Gas, Inc.	W&T Offs
01/12/12	Petro Harvester Oil & Gas, LLC	Denbury R
12/12/11	Korea Development Bank	Apache Co
05/14/10	Milagro Oil & Gas, Inc.	Venoco, Ir
04/16/10	Undisclosed	Venoco, Ir
12/03/09	Denbury Resources Inc.	Wapiti Ene
08/03/09	Undisclosed	Chesapeak
South Texa	as	
01/03/13	Hilcorp Energy Company	Forest Oil
03/19/12	Undisclosed	Comstock
03/03/11	Legend Natural Gas IV, LP	Smith Proc
01/18/11	Gulf Coast Energy Resources, LLC	Undisclose
12/10/10	Occidental Petroleum Corporation	Royal Dute
12/15/09	Mariner Energy, Inc	Edge Petro
07/21/09	Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC	Lewis Ene
06/29/09	Encore Acquisition Company	EXCO Res
08/28/08	Swift Energy Company	Crimson E
08/04/08	Undisclosed	Comstock
07/21/08	Vanguard Natural Resources, LLC	Lewis Ene
05/01/08	Crimson Exploration Inc.	Undisclose
04/24/08	Newfield Exploration Company	United Res
04/15/08	EV Energy Partners, L.P.	Undisclose
03/03/08	Plains Exploration & Production Company	Samson Lo
11/26/07	Comstock Resources, Inc.	Royal Dute
08/15/07	Baseline Oil & Gas Corporation	DSX Energy
08/02/07	SM Energy Company	Undisclose
07/12/07	Eagle Rock Energy Partners, LP	Redman E
06/01/07	Comstock Resources, Inc.	Undisclose



Chesapeake Energy Corporation JBL Energy Partners, LLC PetroMax Operating Company, Inc.

sed Resources Management, LLC nergy, LLC esources, Inc. sed Dil & Gas, Inc. sources Inc. in Exploration Co. Petroleum Corporation Resources Operating LP fshore Inc. Resources Inc. Corporation Inc. Inc. nergy, LLC ke Energy Corporation

Corporation Resources, Inc. oduction Inc. sed tch Shell plc roleum Corporation ergy Group esources, Inc. Energy Partners III, L.L.C. Resources, Inc. ergy sed esources, LP sed Lone Star, LLC tch Shell plc rgy Limited, LLP sed Energy Corporation sed

Date

Announced	Buyer	Seller
Eagle Ford		
04/03/13	Penn Virginia Corporation	Magnum Hunter Resources Corporation
03/25/13	Undisclosed	ZaZa Energy Corporation
03/19/13	Sanchez Energy Corp	Hess Corporation
11/10/12	Sabine Oil & Gas LLC	Blue Eagle Energy, LLC
10/24/12	Marathon Oil Corporation	Undisclosed
05/09/12	Marathon Oil Corporation	Paloma Partners II, LLC
06/30/11	Undisclosed	Forest Oil Corporation
06/13/11	Statoil ASA	SM Energy Company
06/01/11	Marathon Oil Corporation	Hilcorp Energy Company
12/31/10	Undisclosed	Escondido Resources II, LLC
12/10/10	Aurora Oil & Gas Limited	Undisclosed
11/29/10	Chesapeake Energy Corporation	Antares Energy Limited
10/10/10	Statoil ASA; Talisman Energy Inc.	Enduring Resources II, LLC
10/05/10	Plains Exploration & Production Company	Dan A. Hughes
03/31/10	Talisman Energy Inc.	Common Resources III, LLC
Niobrara		
10/26/12	Synergy Resources Corporation	Orr Energy, LLC
05/14/12	PDC Energy, Inc.	Merit Energy Company
01/27/12	ConocoPhillips	Colorado State Land Board
07/13/11	Bill Barrett Corporation	Texas American Resources, LLC
04/04/11	Marubeni Corporation	Marathon Oil Corporation
01/31/11	CNOOC Ltd.	Chesapeake Energy Corporation
06/30/10	Rex Energy Corporation	Undisclosed
06/25/10	Chesapeake Energy Corporation	Samson Oil & Gas Ltd.
03/15/10	Fidelity Exploration & Production Company	Undisclosed
02/26/10	Chesapeake Energy Corporation	American Oil & Gas
01/05/10	Noble Energy, Inc.	Suncor Energy, Inc.
Decad on the	multiples implied by these transactions and Patrice sindsmont on the compar	ability of each comparable transaction varsus

Based on the multiples implied by these transactions and Petrie s judgment on the comparability of each comparable transaction versus the assets of Crimson, Petrie applied relevant transaction multiples to Crimson s assets to calculate an implied equity value reference range per share of Crimson common stock. With respect to Crimson s oil and gas assets, Petrie applied transaction multiples ranging from \$2.50 to \$3.25 per Mcfe of proved reserves and \$8,000 to \$10,000 per Mcfepd of production. Based on the application of these transaction multiples, the estimated value of other assets and adjusting for long-term debt and net working capital, in each case as of December 31, 2012, Petrie determined an implied equity value reference range of \$2.41 to \$4.80 per share of Crimson common stock. Finally, Petrie divided the lowest Crimson per share implied equity value by the highest Contango per share implied equity value, and vice versa, to determine a range of implied exchange ratios of 0.05106 to 0.13113 shares of Contango common stock per share of Crimson common stock.

Petrie also reviewed selected transactions with publicly-available information for oil and gas corporate transactions announced between April 2004 and February 2013 with a transaction value between \$100 million and \$6 billion in which the acquired or target company was an exploration and production company with oil and gas assets in the United States, although Petrie noted that none of the selected transactions or the selected companies that participated in the selected transactions were directly comparable to the merger or Contango or Crimson.

Precedent Transactions Oil & Gas Corporate Transactions

Date

Acquiring Company

Announced	Acquiring Company	Target Company				
02/21/13	LinnCo LLC	Berry Petroleum Company				
04/25/12	Halcón Resources Corporation	GeoResources, Inc.				
02/02/12	SandRidge Energy, Inc.	Dynamic Offshore Resources, LLC				
01/17/12	Venoco, Inc.	Tim Marquez				
10/17/11	Statoil ASA	Brigham Exploration Company				
11/09/10	Chevron Corporation	Atlas Energy, Inc.				
04/15/10	Apache Corporation	Mariner Energy, Inc.				
04/04/10	SandRidge Energy, Inc.	Arena Resources, Inc.				
11/01/09	Denbury Resources Inc.	Encore Acquisition Company				
09/15/09	Apollo Management, LP	Parallel Petroleum Corporation				
04/30/08	Stone Energy Corporation	Bois d Arc Energy, Inc.				
07/17/07	Plains Exploration & Production Company	Pogo Producing Company				
01/08/07	Forest Oil Corporation	The Houston Exploration Company				
06/23/06	Anadarko Petroleum Corporation	Western Gas Resources, Inc.				
04/21/06	Petrohawk Energy Corporation	KCS Energy Inc.				
01/23/06	Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc.	Remington Oil & Gas Corporation				
10/13/05	Occidental Petroleum Corporation	Vintage Petroleum Inc.				
09/19/05	North Hydro ASA	Spinnaker Exploration Company				
09/12/05	Mariner Energy, Inc.	Forest Energy Resources, Inc.				
04/04/05	Petrohawk Energy Corporation	Mission Resources Corporation				
01/26/05	Cimarex Energy Company	Magnum Hunter Resources Corporation				
12/16/04	Noble Energy, Inc.	Patina Oil & Gas Corporation				
05/24/04	Forest Oil Corporation	The Wiser Oil Company				
05/04/04	Pioneer Natural Resources Company	Evergreen Resources Inc.				
04/15/04	EnCana Corporation	Tom Brown Inc.				
04/07/04	Kerr-McGee Corporation	Westport Resources Corp.				
For each of the	For each of the precedent corporate transactions, Petrie calculated the following:					

Purchase Price/Current Year Discretionary Cash Flow, which is defined as the total purchase price paid by the acquiring company for the equity of the target (purchase price), divided by discretionary cash flow for the calendar year in which the transaction occurred (current year discretionary cash flow);

Purchase Price/Forward Year Discretionary Cash Flow, which is defined as the purchase price, divided by an estimate of discretionary cash flow for the calendar year following the year in which the transaction occurred (forward year discretionary cash flow);

Total Investment/Current Year EBITDAX, which is defined as the total investment made by the acquiring company including purchase price of common equity, plus the assumption of target company net indebtedness (total investment), divided by estimated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, and exploration expense (EBITDAX), for the calendar year in which the transaction occurred (current year EBITDAX);

Total Investment/Forward Year EBITDAX, which is defined as total investment divided by estimated EBITDAX for the calendar year following the year in which the transaction occurred (forward year EBITDAX);

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

Total Investment/Proved Reserves, which is defined as total investment divided by proved reserves as of the latest published reserve report from the date of the transaction (proved reserves); and

Total Investment/Current Production, which is defined as total investment divided by the most recent publicly available average daily production figure before the date of the transaction (current production).

Petrie applied the relevant multiples to Contango s and Crimson s respective estimated discretionary cash flow for calendar years 2013 and 2014, estimated EBITDAX for calendar years 2013 and 2014, proved reserves as of December 31, 2012 and the latest current net production publicly disclosed prior to April 25, 2013.

The mean and median transaction multiples implied for each benchmark for the precedent transactions are set forth below.

Measure	Mean Multiples	Median Multiples
Purchase Price/Current Year Discretionary Cash Flow (\$MM)	6.8x	5.6x
Purchase Price/Forward Year Discretionary Cash Flow (\$MM)	6.3x	6.1x
Total Investment/Current Year EBITDAX (\$MM)	7.3x	6.7x
Total Investment/Forward Year EBITDAX (\$MM)	6.4x	6.2x
Total Investment/Proved Reserves (\$/Mcfe)	\$ 3.07	\$ 2.59
Total Investment/Current Production (\$/Mcfepd)	\$ 15,227	\$ 10,958

Petrie also evaluated the premiums paid in connection with the above corporate transactions based on the value of the per share consideration received in the transaction relative to the closing stock price of the target company one day, 30 days and 60 days prior to the announcement date of the relevant transaction. The mean and median premiums paid for the precedent transactions are set forth below.

	Mean	Median		
	Premiums	Premiums		
Period	Paid	Paid		
One day prior	23%	21%		
30 days prior	29%	25%		
60 days prior	35%	34%		

Based upon its review of these transactions, Petrie selected purchase price multiple ranges for Contango of 4.5x = 6.0x to estimated current year discretionary cash flow, transaction value multiple ranges of 4.0x = 5.5x to estimated forward year discretionary cash flow, transaction value multiple ranges of 4.0x = 5.5x to estimated forward year EBITDAX, 4.0x = 5.0x to estimated forward year EBITDAX, 82.50 = 33.50 per Mcfe of proved reserves and 88,000

\$10,000 per Mcfepd of current production. Petrie applied relevant premiums ranging from 20% to 35% to the one-day, 30-day and 60-day Contango closing prices prior to April 25, 2013. Based on the application of the above transaction multiples and taking into account the premiums paid analysis, Petrie selected an enterprise value reference range of \$550 million to \$675 million. Petrie then adjusted for net working capital as of December 31, 2012, to determine an implied equity value reference range of \$42.84 to \$51.06 per share of Contango common stock.

For Crimson, Petrie selected purchase price multiple ranges of 4.5x 6.0x to estimated current year discretionary cash flow and 4.0x 5.0x to estimated forward year discretionary cash flow, and transaction value multiple ranges of 4.0x 6.0x to estimated current year EBITDAX, 4.0x 5.0x to estimated forward year EBITDAX, \$3.00 \$4.00 per Mcfe of proved reserves and \$8,000 \$12,500 per Mcfepd of current production. Petrie applied relevant premiums ranging from 25% to 35% to the one-day, 30-day and 60-day Crimson closing prices prior to April 25, 2013. Based on the application of the above transaction multiples and taking into consideration the premiums paid analysis, Petrie selected an enterprise value reference range of \$400 million to \$500 million for Crimson. Petrie then adjusted for long-term debt and net working capital, in each case as of December 31, 2012, to determine an implied equity value reference range of \$3.13 to \$5.10 per share of Crimson common stock. Finally, Petrie divided the lowest Crimson per share implied equity value by the highest Contango per share implied equity value, and vice versa, to determine a range of implied exchange ratios of 0.06122 to 0.11914 shares of Contango common stock per share of Crimson common stock.

Capital Market Comparison Analysis

Petrie performed a capital market comparison analysis of Contango and Crimson by reviewing the market values and trading multiples of the following thirteen publicly-traded companies that Petrie deemed comparable as peer groups for Contango and Crimson, respectively:

Contango Peer Group Energy XXI (Bermuda) Limited	Crimson Peer Group Carrizo Oil & Gas, Inc.
W&T Offshore Inc.	PDC Energy, Inc.
EPL Oil & Gas, Inc.	Swift Energy Company
Stone Energy Corporation	Comstock Resources, Inc.
Callon Petroleum Company	Goodrich Petroleum Corporation
	Matador Resources Company
	PetroQuest Energy, Inc.

Synergy Resources Corporation

Although the peer groups were compared to Contango and Crimson, respectively, for purposes of this analysis, no entity included in the capital market comparison analysis is identical to Contango or Crimson because of differences between the business mixes and other characteristics of the peer groups, on the one hand, and Contango and Crimson, respectively, on the other hand. In evaluating the peer groups, Petrie relied on publicly-available filings and equity research analyst estimates. These estimates are based in part on judgments and assumptions with regard to industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters. Many of these matters are beyond the control of Contango and Crimson, such as the impact of competition on the businesses of Contango and Crimson, as well as on the industry, generally, industry growth and the absence of any adverse material change in the financial condition and prospects of Contango and Crimson or the industry or in the markets generally.

All peer group multiples were calculated using closing stock prices on April 25, 2013. Peer group estimates of discretionary cash flow, EBITDAX and production were based on Factset research analyst consensus estimates as of April 25, 2013. Peer group reserves are as of December 31, 2012 as disclosed in publicly-filed year-end annual reports on Form 10-K. For each of the peer group entities, Petrie calculated the following:

Market Value/2013E Discretionary Cash Flow, which is defined as each company s current common stock share price divided by that company s estimated discretionary cash flow per share for the calendar year 2013 (2013E discretionary cash flow);

Market Value/2014E Discretionary Cash Flow, which is defined as each company s current common stock share price divided by that company s estimated discretionary cash flow per share for the calendar year 2014 (2014E discretionary cash flow);

Enterprise Value/2013E EBITDAX, which is defined as market value of equity, plus debt and preferred stock, less cash (enterprise value), divided by estimated EBITDAX for the calendar year 2013 (2013E EBITDAX);

Enterprise Value/2014E EBITDAX, which is defined as enterprise value divided by estimated EBITDAX for the calendar year 2014 (2014E EBITDAX);

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

Enterprise Value/Proved Reserves, which is defined as enterprise value divided by proved reserves;

Enterprise Value/2013E Production, which is defined as enterprise value divided by projected average daily production for calendar year 2013 (2013E production); and

Enterprise Value/2014E Production, which is defined as enterprise value divided by projected average daily production for calendar year 2014 (2014E production).

The minimum, median and maximum trading multiples for the Contango peer group are set forth below.

Contango Peer Group

Measure	Minir	num	Me	edian	M	aximum
Market Value/2013E Discretionary Cash Flow		1.7x		2.4x		3.0x
Market Value/2014E Discretionary Cash Flow		1.7x		1.9x		2.5x
Enterprise Value/2013E EBITDAX		2.7x		3.4x		4.2x
Enterprise Value/2014E EBITDAX		2.7x		3.1x		3.6x
Enterprise Value/Proved Reserves (\$/Mcfe)	\$	2.06	\$	3.00	\$	4.21
Enterprise Value/2013E Production (\$/Mcfepd)	\$ 6	,389	\$ 1	0,598	\$	14,379
Enterprise Value/2014E Production (\$/Mcfepd)	\$ 5.	,943	\$	8,303	\$	12,365

Based upon its review of the peer group, Petrie selected market value multiple ranges for Contango of 2.5x 3.0x to 2013E discretionary cash flow and 2.0x 2.5x to 2014E discretionary cash flow, enterprise value multiple ranges of 3.0x 4.0x to 2013E EBITDAX, 3.0x 3.5x to 2014E EBITDAX, \$2.50 \$3.50 per Mcfe of proved reserves, \$7,500 \$8,500 per Mcfepd of 2013E production and \$6,000 \$8,000 per Mcfepd of 2014E production.

The minimum, median and maximum trading multiples for the Crimson peer group are set forth below.

Crimson Peer Group

Measure	Minimum	Median	Maximum
Market Value/2013E Discretionary Cash Flow	1.8x	3.6x	7.3x
Market Value/2014E Discretionary Cash Flow	1.6x	2.5x	4.9x
Enterprise Value/2013E EBITDAX	3.3x	5.2x	7.7x
Enterprise Value/2014E EBITDAX	2.3x	4.1x	5.5x
Enterprise Value/Proved Reserves (\$/Mcfe)	\$ 1.28	\$ 2.59	\$ 5.94
Enterprise Value/2013E Production (\$/Mcfepd)	\$ 5,021	\$ 10,086	\$ 27,524
Enterprise Value/2014E Production (\$/Mcfepd)	\$ 4,533	\$ 8,516	\$ 15,440

Based upon its review of the peer group, Petrie selected market value multiple ranges for Crimson of $3.0x ext{ 4.0x}$ to estimated 2013 discretionary cash flow, and $2.5x ext{ 3.0x}$ to estimated 2014 discretionary cash flow, enterprise value multiple ranges of $4.0x ext{ 6.0x}$ to estimated 2013 EBITDAX, $3.5x ext{ 4.5x}$ to estimated 2014 EBITDAX, $$2.00 ext{ $$3.00$ per Mcfe of proved reserves}, $$8,000 ext{ $$11,000$ per Mcfepd of estimated 2013 production and $$6,500 ext{ $$9,000$ per Mcfepd of estimated 2014 production.}$

From the implied enterprise value reference range for each metric, Petrie determined an implied enterprise value reference range of \$400 million to \$500 million for Contango and \$350 million to \$425 million for Crimson. Petrie then adjusted for long-term debt and net working capital, in each case as of December 31, 2012, as appropriate, to determine an implied equity value reference range of \$32.96 to \$39.55 per share of Contango common stock and \$2.07 to \$3.64 per share of Crimson common stock. Finally, Petrie divided the lowest Crimson per share implied equity value by the highest Contango per share implied equity value, and vice versa, to determine an implied exchange ratio of 0.05240 to 0.11041 shares of Contango common stock per share of Crimson common stock.

Going Concern Analysis

Petrie analyzed the potential standalone financial performance of Contango and Crimson, without giving effect to the proposed merger, for the fiscal years 2013-2017. These analyses were prepared using estimates of potential future financial, operating performance and future reserve assumptions provided by Contango s and Crimson s respective managements and staffs and certain assumptions based on discussions with the managements of Contango and Crimson regarding Contango s and Crimson s potential future operating and financial performance, respectively. The analysis was performed under four separate oil and gas pricing scenarios: NYMEX 5-year strip pricing and 2013 oil and gas prices of \$3.50, \$4.50 and \$5.50 per MMBtu of gas, respectively, and \$80.00, \$90.00 and \$100.00 per barrel of oil, respectively, escalated at 3% per year beginning

in 2014. For Contango, Petrie applied terminal discretionary cash flow multiples of 3.5x, 4.5x and 5.5x to estimated 2017 discretionary cash flow and assumed discount rates ranging from 17.5% to 20.0%. From the equity reference values implied by this analysis, Petrie determined a composite equity value reference range of \$40.00 to \$47.50 per share of Contango common stock. For Crimson, Petrie applied terminal discretionary cash flow multiples of 4.0x, 5.0x and 6.0x to estimated 2017 discretionary cash flow and assumed discount rates ranging from 20.0% to 25.0%. From the equity reference values implied by this analysis, Petrie determined a composite equity value reference range of \$3.00 to \$5.25 per share of Crimson common stock. Finally, Petrie divided the lowest Crimson per share implied equity value by the highest Contango per share implied equity value, and vice versa, to determine a range of implied exchange ratios of 0.06316 to 0.13125 shares of Contango common stock.

Miscellaneous

The foregoing summary of certain material financial analyses does not purport to be a complete description of the analyses or data presented by Petrie. In connection with the review of the merger by the Contango board of directors, Petrie performed a variety of financial and comparative analyses for purposes of rendering its opinion. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and is not necessarily susceptible to partial analysis or summary description. Selecting portions of the analyses or of the summary described above, without considering the analyses as a whole, could create an incomplete view of the processes underlying Petrie s opinion. In arriving at its fairness determination, Petrie considered the results of all the analyses and did not draw, in isolation, conclusions from or with regard to any one analysis or factor considered by it for purposes of its opinion. Rather, Petrie made its determination as to fairness on the basis of its experience and professional judgment after considering the results of all the analyses. In addition, Petrie may have given various analyses and factors more or less weight than other analyses and factors and may have deemed various assumptions more or less probable than other assumptions. As a result, the ranges of valuations resulting from any particular analysis or combination of analyses described above should not be taken to be the view of Petrie with respect to the actual value of the common stock of Contango or Crimson. No company or partnership used in the above analyses as a comparison is directly comparable to Contango or Crimson, and no transaction used is directly comparable to the merger. Furthermore, Petrie s analyses involve complex considerations and judgments concerning financial and operating characteristics and other factors that could affect the acquisition, public trading or other values of the companies or transactions used, including judgments and assumptions with regard to industry performance, general business, economic, market and financial conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of Contango and Crimson and their respective advisors.

Petrie prepared these analyses solely for the purpose of providing an opinion to the Contango board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio. These analyses do not purport to be appraisals or to necessarily reflect the prices at which the business or securities actually may be sold. Any estimates contained in these analyses are not necessarily indicative of actual future results, which may be significantly more or less favorable than those suggested by such estimates. Accordingly, estimates used in, and the results derived from, Petrie s analyses are inherently subject to substantial uncertainty, and Petrie assumes no responsibility if future results are materially different from those forecasted in such estimates.

The issuance of the fairness opinion was approved by Petrie s opinion committee.

The exchange ratio pursuant to the merger agreement was determined through arm s-length negotiations between Contango and Crimson and was approved by the Contango board of directors. Petrie provided advice to the Contango board of directors during these negotiations. Petrie did not, however, recommend any specific exchange ratio to the Contango board of directors or Contango or that any specific exchange ratio constituted the only appropriate consideration for the merger. Petrie s opinion to the Contango board of directors was one of many factors taken into consideration by the Contango board of directors in deciding to approve the merger. Consequently, the analyses as described above should not be viewed as determinative of the opinion of the Contango board of directors with respect to the exchange ratio or of whether the Contango board of directors would have been willing to agree to different consideration.

Under the terms of Petrie s engagement letter with the Contango board of directors, Petrie provided the Contango board of directors financial advisory services and a fairness opinion in connection with the merger. Pursuant to the terms of its engagement letter, Contango has agreed to pay Petrie customary fees for its services in connection with its engagement, including a success fee of \$2.75 million, which is payable to Petrie if the merger is consummated. Upon execution of its engagement letter, Petrie received a \$100,000 retainer. Petrie also earned a fairness opinion fee of \$1,000,000 upon delivery of its fairness opinion to the Contango board of directors (and would have earned the opinion fee, regardless of the conclusion regarding fairness reached in the opinion). As a result, the total compensation earned by Petrie prior to the date hereof is \$1,100,000, which will be credited against Petrie s success fee. In addition, the Contango board of directors has agreed to reimburse Petrie for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses (including reasonable legal fees, expenses and disbursements) incurred in connection with its engagement and to indemnify Petrie and its affiliates and their respective directors, officers, employees, agents and controlling persons from and against certain liabilities and expenses arising out of its engagement and any related transaction.

Prior to rendering its fairness opinion, Petrie disclosed to the Contango board of directors that one of Petrie s principals is the beneficial owner of approximately 73,000 shares of Crimson common stock, the beneficial interest in which was acquired in connection with a private placement of equity securities by Crimson in 2005. During 2012 and 2013, certain of Petrie s affiliates provided financial advisory services to Contango ORE, Inc. (CORE), formerly affiliated with Contango, and received fees from CORE in connection with private placements of equity securities by CORE. Otherwise, during the two-year period prior to the date hereof, no material relationship has existed between Petrie and its affiliates, on the one hand, and Contango and Crimson and their respective affiliates, on the other hand, pursuant to which Petrie or any of its affiliates received compensation as a result of such relationship. Additionally, Petrie may provide financial or other services to Contango and Crimson in the future and in connection with any such services Petrie may receive customary compensation for such services.

In the ordinary course of business, Petrie or its affiliates may trade in the debt or equity securities of Contango, as well as securities of Crimson, for its own account and, accordingly, may at any time hold a long or short position in such securities.

The Contango board of directors engaged Petrie to act as a financial advisor based on its qualifications, experience and reputation. Petrie is a nationally recognized investment banking firm and is regularly engaged in the valuation of businesses in connection with mergers and acquisitions, leveraged buyouts, competitive sales processes, private placements and other purposes.

Crimson s Reasons for the Merger; Recommendation of the Crimson Board of Directors

In approving the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and recommending the adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement by Crimson stockholders, the Crimson board of directors consulted with Crimson management, as well as with Crimson s legal and financial advisors, and considered a number of factors. The principal factors that the Crimson board of directors viewed as important are:

Contango s strong track-record of creating stockholder value through the acquisition, divestiture, exploration, development and production of oil and gas properties;

the combination of Crimson and Contango will provide a long-term strategic benefit to Crimson stockholders by creating a more diversified independent oil and gas company, with increased scope and scale;

Contango s cash on hand, low debt level and the cash flow generated from its producing offshore Gulf of Mexico property base should provide the combined company with the financial liquidity required to accelerate the development of, and therefore unlock the yet-to-be realized value in, Crimson s onshore oil and natural gas liquids-focused unconventional resource positions in several prolific plays;

Crimson stockholders ownership of 20.3% of the combined company upon the closing of the merger, and continued participation in the potential appreciation in equity value of the combined company associated with the continued development of Crimson s existing asset base, while also participating in the upside potential associated with Contango s inventory of Gulf of Mexico prospects;

the recognition that, on a standalone basis, Crimson s financial position and capital structure severely limited its ability to raise the significant additional debt and/or equity capital necessary to accelerate the development of its asset base to a pace sufficient to demonstrate the growth in production and reserves required to unlock the value in that asset base for its stockholders;

Contango s relatively small number of employees should minimize redundancy between the companies employee bases and reduce the potential for social issues associated with the merger;

the combined company s enhanced size and scale, modest leverage and more diverse asset base is expected to significantly lower the cost of capital and debt service and provide it with access to segments of the capital markets that would not be available to Crimson on a standalone basis;

the expectation that the combined company will have the ability to implement an estimated \$250 to \$300 million capital program over the two years following the effective date of the merger, funded entirely through internally-generated cash flow, with the expectation that the majority of that capital will be spent on Crimson s resource plays, complemented by spending on exploration prospects from Contango s inventory of Gulf of Mexico prospects;

the expectation that the combined company will have greater financial and operational flexibility to pursue acquisitions and other growth opportunities in Crimson s current areas of focus, and in complementary plays, as compared to Crimson on a standalone basis;

the expectation that the merger will create a combined company that will be more competitive for goods, services and personnel within the upstream oil and gas industry, and on better terms, compared to what Crimson might be able to achieve on a standalone basis;

the expectation that the combined company will deliver predictable, impactful production and reserve growth through its ability to allocate capital across a combined asset portfolio that provides exposure to multiple plays with an increased near-term activity level in several plays;

the recent historical trading prices of Crimson common stock and Contango common stock, including that the exchange ratio represented a 7.8% premium to the closing price of Crimson common stock on April 29, 2013, an 11.6% premium to the average closing price for the five trading days ended April 29, 2013, and a 3.6% premium to the average closing price for the thirty trading days ended April 29, 2013; a result considered far superior to the discount to the current stock price that would have been experienced had Crimson pursued a common equity raise of similar impact that would provide Crimson stockholders the same opportunity to pursue the growth necessary to realize the intrinsic value of its asset base (plus potential value from Contango s unproved opportunities);

the belief that the merger with Contango was a superior opportunity for Crimson s stockholders to ultimately realize the maximum amount of inherent value believed to exist in its asset base, as compared to Crimson pursuing the significant additional development capital needed on a standalone basis;

the benefits of a larger market capitalization, greater trading volume and increased liquidity for holders of shares of common stock of the combined company compared to holders of common stock of Crimson on a standalone basis;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

the opportunity to combine two strong management teams, as described under Board of Directors and Management Following the Merger, with the result that Mr. Keel will serve as president and chief executive officer of the combined company, Mr. Grady will serve as senior vice president and chief financial officer of the combined company, other members of Crimson s management team will serve in similar capacities with the combined company, and the board of directors of the combined company will include three directors from Crimson;

the potential for operational efficiencies and synergies through conducting Crimson s and Contango s operations as part of a single enterprise;

the fact that the merger will qualify for federal income tax purposes as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code, which means that the transaction will be a tax-free transaction for Crimson s stockholders; and

the opinion of Barclays, dated April 29, 2013, to the Crimson board of directors as to the fairness, from a financial point of view as of that date, of the exchange ratio set forth in the merger agreement to holders of Crimson common stock. See Opinion of Crimson s Financial Advisors.

In addition to considering the factors above, Crimson s board of directors also considered the following factors:

the strategic alternatives review process recently performed by company management and Barclays, which was initiated prior to the commencement of serious discussions with Contango;

Crimson s limited options for accessing meaningful development capital in recent years has hindered management s ability to pursue various strategies and opportunities designed to accelerate the development of its unproved asset base necessary to unlock the value believed to exist in that asset base;

the recommendation of the merger by Crimson management;

the support of Oaktree Management, an affiliate of Crimson s largest stockholder, for the merger;

its knowledge of Crimson s business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects, as well as Contango s business, financial condition, results of operation and prospects, taking into account the results of Crimson s due diligence review of Contango;

the fact that the exchange ratio is fixed and will not increase or decrease based upon changes in the market price of Crimson or Contango common stock between the date of the merger agreement and the date of completion of the merger;

the review by the Crimson board of directors, in consultation with Crimson s management and advisors, of the structure of the merger and the terms and conditions of the merger agreement;

the fact that the merger agreement contains reciprocal provisions that may have the effect of discouraging alternative proposals involving Crimson or Contango and thus, increases the likelihood that the merger will be completed;

the fact that the merger agreement does not preclude a third party from making an unsolicited proposal for a competing transaction with Crimson or Contango and, that under certain circumstances more fully described in the sections The Merger Agreement No Solicitation of Alternative Proposals beginning on page 117 and The Merger Agreement Changes in Board Recommendations beginning on page 118, Crimson or Contango, as applicable, may furnish non-public information to and enter into discussions with such third parties regarding an alternative transaction and the Crimson or Contango board, as applicable, may withdraw or modify its recommendations to Crimson or Contango stockholders regarding the merger;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

the fact that certain members of the management teams as well as certain other stockholders of both companies were executing support agreements in support of the merger;

the terms and conditions of the employment agreements entered into between Contango and Mr. Keel and Mr. Grady, as more fully described under Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger Employment and Severance Agreements beginning on page 102;

the expectation that the merger will obtain all necessary regulatory approvals without unacceptable conditions; and

the likelihood of completing the merger on the anticipated schedule.

The Crimson board of directors weighed the foregoing against a number of potentially negative factors, including:

the risks and contingencies relating to the announcement and pendency of the merger (including the likelihood of litigation brought by or on behalf of Crimson or Contango stockholders challenging the transaction) and the risks and costs to Crimson if closing of the merger is not done in a timely manner or if the merger does not close at all, including the diversion of management and employee attention, potential employee attrition, the impact on Crimson s relationships with third parties and the effect termination of the merger agreement may have on the trading price of Crimson s common stock and Crimson s operating results;

certain risks inherent in Contango s business and operations, including the concentration of Contango s offshore Gulf of Mexico properties and the vulnerability of offshore Gulf of Mexico facilities to hurricanes and other weather events;

the challenges inherent in combining the businesses, operations and workforces of an independent onshore natural gas and oil company and an independent offshore natural gas and oil company, including: (i) unforeseen difficulties in integrating operations and systems; and (ii) the possible diversion of management focus and resources from operational matters and other strategic opportunities for an extended period of time;

the risk of not being able to realize all of the anticipated cost savings, operational synergies and other merger benefits, and the potential that Crimson s business could be more profitable to stockholders on a standalone basis going forward than as a combined company if such benefits will not be realized;

the fact that forecasts of future financial and operational results of the combined company are necessarily estimates based on assumptions and may vary significantly from future performance;

the costs to be incurred in connection with the merger, including the costs of integrating the businesses of Crimson and Contango and the transaction expenses associated with the merger;

the potential effect of the merger on Crimson s business relationships with employees, customers, suppliers, regulators and the communities in which it operates;

the terms of the merger agreement, including generally reciprocal covenants relating to the two companies conduct of their respective businesses during the period between the signing of the merger agreement and the completion of the merger, which might have the result of limiting or restricting Crimson s business activities;

the terms of the merger agreement relating to non-solicitation provisions and termination fees, and the potential that such provisions might deter alternative bidders that might have been willing to submit superior proposals to Crimson;

the fact that the interests of Crimson s executive officers and directors with respect to the merger, apart from their interests as Crimson stockholders, including the Crimson officers who have been named to serve as members of the senior management team of the combined company, may be different from, or in addition to, the interests of Crimson stockholders generally, as more fully described under Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger beginning on page 99;

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

the disproportionate percentage of Contango stockholders committed to voting in favor of the merger through support agreements as compared to the percentage of Crimson stockholders similarly committed; and

the risks of the type and nature described under Risk Factors, beginning on page 32, including risks related to operations, environmental matters and potential litigation, and the matters described under Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements beginning on page 30.

This discussion of the information and factors considered by Crimson s board of directors in reaching its conclusions and recommendation includes the principal factors considered by Crimson s board of directors, but it

is not intended to be exhaustive. In view of the wide variety of factors considered in connection with its evaluation of the merger and the complexity of these matters, Crimson s board of directors did not find it practicable to quantify, rank or assign any relative or specific weights to the various factors that it considered in reaching its determination to approve the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and to recommend that Crimson stockholders vote in favor of the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The Crimson board of directors conducted an overall review of the factors described above, including discussions with Crimson s management and legal and financial advisors regarding certain of the matters described above. In considering the factors described above, individual members of the Crimson board of directors may have given differing weights to different factors.

The Crimson board of directors unanimously adopted the merger agreement and determined that the merger agreement and the transactions contemplated thereby, including the merger, are in the best interests of Crimson and its stockholders. The Crimson board of directors unanimously recommends that Crimson stockholders vote FOR the proposal to adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Opinion of Crimson s Financial Advisor

Opinion of Barclays Capital, Inc.

Crimson engaged Barclays to act as a financial advisor with respect to the merger. On April 29, 2013, Barclays rendered its oral opinion (which was subsequently confirmed in writing) to Crimson s board of directors that, as of such date and based upon and subject to the qualifications, limitations and assumptions stated in its opinion, from a financial point of view, the exchange ratio of 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock for each share of Crimson common stock in the merger was fair to Crimson s stockholders.

The full text of Barclays written opinion, dated as of April 29, 2013, is attached as Annex C to this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Barclays written opinion sets forth, among other things, the assumptions made, procedures followed, factors considered and limitations upon the review undertaken by Barclays in rendering its opinion. You are encouraged to read the opinion carefully in its entirety. The following is a summary of Barclays opinion and the methodology that Barclays used to render its opinion. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the opinion.

Barclays opinion, the issuance of which was approved by Barclays Valuation and Fairness Opinion Committee, is addressed to the board of directors of Crimson and addresses only the fairness, from a financial point of view, to Crimson s stockholders of the exchange ratio to be offered to such stockholders in the merger. Barclays was not requested to address, and its opinion does not in any manner address, Crimson s underlying business decision to proceed with or effect the merger or the likelihood of consummation of the merger. Barclays opinion does not address the relative merits of the merger as compared to any other transaction or business strategy in which Crimson might engage. In addition, Barclays expressed no opinion on, and its opinion does not in any manner address, the fairness of the amount or the nature of any compensation to any officers, directors or employees of any parties to the merger, or any class of such persons, relative to the merger consideration to be offered to the Crimson stockholders in the merger. Barclays opinion is not intended to be and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder of Crimson or Contango as to how such stockholder should vote with respect to the merger.

In arriving at its opinion, Barclays reviewed and analyzed, among other things:

the merger agreement and the specific terms of the merger;

publicly available information concerning Crimson and Contango that Barclays believed to be relevant to its analysis, including, without limitation, the Annual Report of Crimson on Form 10-K for the fiscal year

ended December 31, 2012, the Annual Report of Contango on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2012 and the Quarterly Reports of Contango on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarters ended September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2012;

financial and operating information with respect to the businesses, operations and prospects of Crimson furnished to Barclays by Crimson, including prospective financial information of Crimson prepared by management of Crimson (referred to in this section as the Crimson Financials);

financial and operating information with respect to the businesses, operations and prospects of Contango furnished to Barclays by Contango or its advisors, including information concerning estimates of potential future financial and operating performance of Contango prepared by Contango s advisors based on discussions with management of Contango (the Contango Financials);

certain oil and gas reserve reports prepared by Crimson s third-party oil and gas reserves consultants with respect to Crimson s proved oil and gas reserves and certain oil and gas reserve reports prepared by Crimson with respect to Crimson s probable and possible oil and gas reserves (the Crimson Reserve Reports);

certain oil and gas reserve reports prepared by Contango s third-party oil and gas reserves consultants with respect to Contango s proved oil and gas reserves (the Contango Reserve Reports);

the trading history of Crimson common stock from April 29, 2011 to April 29, 2013 and the trading history of Contango common stock over the same period and a comparison of each of their trading histories with each other and with those of other companies that Barclays deemed relevant;

a comparison of the historical financial results and present financial condition of Crimson and Contango with each other and with those of other companies that Barclays deemed relevant;

a comparison of the financial terms of the merger with the financial terms of certain other recent transactions that Barclays deemed relevant;

the pro forma impact of the merger on the current and future financial performance of the combined company, including (i) the cost savings and operating synergies expected by the management of Crimson to result from the merger (the Expected Synergies) and (ii) the strategic benefits expected by the management of Crimson to result from the combination of the assets and prospects of Crimson and Contango;

published estimates by independent research analysts with respect to the future financial performance and price targets of Crimson;

the relative contributions of Crimson and Contango to the historical and future financial performance of the combined company on a pro forma basis taking into consideration respective debt and liquidity levels;

the future capital requirements of the combined company and the combined company s ability to fund such requirements in the future; and

a comparison of Crimson s assets and liabilities with Contango s assets and liabilities on both a standalone and combined basis.

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

In addition, Barclays had discussions with the managements of Crimson and Contango concerning their respective businesses, operations, assets, liabilities, financial conditions and prospects and undertook such other studies, analyses and investigations as Barclays deemed appropriate.

In arriving at its opinion, Barclays assumed and relied upon the accuracy and completeness of the financial and other information used by Barclays without any independent verification of such information (and has not assumed responsibility or liability for any independent verification of such information) and has further relied upon the assurances of the managements of Crimson and Contango that they are not aware of any facts or circumstances that would make such information inaccurate or misleading. With respect to the Crimson Financials, based upon discussions with Crimson, Barclays assumed that such prospective financial information was reasonably prepared on a basis reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of Crimson as to the future financial performance of Crimson and that Crimson would perform

substantially in accordance with such prospective financial information. With respect to the Contango Financials, based upon discussions with Crimson and Contango, Barclays assumed that such prospective financial information was reasonably prepared on a basis reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of Contango as to the future financial performance of Contango and that Contango would perform substantially in accordance with such prospective financial information and, based upon discussions with Crimson, Barclays relied on such prospective financial information in performing its analysis. With respect to the Crimson Reserve Reports, based upon discussions with Crimson, Barclays assumed that such data and analyses were reasonably prepared in good faith on a basis reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of Crimson and Crimson s third-party oil and gas reserves consultants, as applicable, as to the oil and gas reserves and contingent oil and gas resources of Crimson, and were a reasonable basis on which to evaluate Crimson, and Barclays expressed no opinion with respect to such Crimson Reserve Reports or the assumptions upon which they were based. With respect to the Contango Reserve Reports, based upon discussions with Crimson and Contango, Barclays assumed that such data and analyses were reasonably prepared in good faith on a basis reflecting the best currently available estimates and judgments of the management of Contango and Contango s third-party oil and gas reserves consultants, as applicable, as to the oil and gas reserves and contingent oil and gas resources of Contango, and were a reasonable basis on which to evaluate Contango, and Barclays expressed no opinion with respect to such Contango Reserve Reports or the assumptions upon which they were based. Furthermore, based upon discussions with Crimson and Contango, Barclays assumed that the amounts and timing of the Expected Synergies were reasonable and that the Expected Synergies will be realized in accordance with such estimates. Barclays assumed no responsibility for and expressed no view as to any such prospective financial information or estimates or the assumptions on which they were based. In arriving at its opinion, Barclays did not conduct a physical inspection of the properties and facilities of Crimson or Contango and did not make or obtain any evaluations or appraisals of the assets or liabilities of Crimson or Contango. Furthermore, based upon discussions with Crimson and its legal and accounting advisors, Barclays assumed that the merger will qualify as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code, and therefore as a tax-free transaction to the stockholders of Crimson. Barclays opinion necessarily was based upon market, economic and other conditions as they exist on, and could be evaluated as of, the date of its opinion letter. Barclays assumed no responsibility for updating or revising its opinion based on events or circumstances that may occur after the date of its opinion. Barclays expressed no opinion as to the prices at which shares of Crimson common stock would or will trade following the announcement of the merger or shares of Contango common stock would or will trade following the announcement or consummation of the merger. Barclays opinion should not be viewed as providing any assurance that the market value of the shares of Contango common stock to be held by the stockholders of Crimson after the consummation of the merger will be in excess of the market value of the shares of Crimson common stock owned by such stockholders at any time prior to the announcement or consummation of the merger.

In addition, Barclays assumed the accuracy of the representations and warranties contained in the merger agreement and all agreements related thereto. Barclays also assumed, based upon discussions with Crimson, that all material governmental, regulatory and third party approvals, consents and releases for the merger would be obtained within the constraints contemplated by the merger agreement and that the merger will be consummated in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement without waiver, modification or amendment of any material term, condition or agreement thereof. Barclays did not express any opinion as to any tax or other consequences that might result from the merger, nor did its opinion address any legal, tax, regulatory or accounting matters, as to which Barclays understands that Crimson obtained such advice as it deemed necessary from qualified professionals.

In connection with rendering its opinion, Barclays performed certain financial, comparative and other analyses as summarized below. In arriving at its opinion, Barclays did not ascribe a specific range of values to the shares of Crimson common stock but rather made its determination as to fairness, from a financial point of view, to the exchange ratio to be offered to the stockholders of Crimson in the merger on the basis of various financial and comparative analyses. The preparation of a fairness opinion is a complex process and involves

various determinations as to the most appropriate and relevant methods of financial and comparative analyses and the application of those methods to the particular circumstances. Therefore, a fairness opinion is not readily susceptible to summary description.

In arriving at its opinion, Barclays did not attribute any particular weight to any single analysis or factor considered by it but rather made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis and factor relative to all other analyses and factors performed and considered by it and in the context of the circumstances of the particular transaction. Accordingly, Barclays believes that its analyses must be considered as a whole, as considering any portion of such analyses and factors, without considering all analyses and factors as a whole, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying its opinion.

The following is a summary of the material financial, comparative and other analyses used by Barclays in preparing its opinion to Crimson s board of directors. Certain financial, comparative and other analyses summarized below include information presented in tabular format. In order to fully understand the financial, comparative and other analyses used by Barclays, the tables must be read together with the text of each summary, as the tables alone do not constitute a complete description of the financial, comparative and other analyses. In performing its analyses, Barclays made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business and economic conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of Crimson or any other parties to the proposed transaction. None of Crimson, Contango, Barclays or any other person assumes responsibility if future results are materially different from those discussed. Any estimates contained in these analyses are not necessarily indicative of actual values or predictive of future results or values, which may be significantly more or less favorable than as set forth below. In addition, analyses relating to the value of the businesses do not purport to be appraisals or reflect the prices at which the businesses may actually be sold.

Summary of Analyses

The following is a summary of the material financial analysis performed by Barclays with respect to Crimson and Contango in preparing Barclays opinion:

net asset valuation analysis;

comparable company analysis;

comparable transactions analysis;

discounted cash flow analysis; and

in the case of Crimson, equity research target price analysis.

Each of these methodologies (except in the case of Crimson, the equity research target price analysis) was used to generate reference enterprise or equity value ranges for each of Contango and Crimson, respectively. The enterprise value ranges for each company were adjusted for appropriate on-balance sheet and off-balance sheet assets and liabilities to arrive at implied equity value ranges (in aggregate dollars) for each company, including, as applicable without limitation, (i) for Crimson: net debt, litigation expense and net working capital and, solely for the purpose of the net asset valuation analysis, future estimated general and administrative expenses (Future G&A Expenses) and (ii) for Contango: net debt, estimates of future costs for the Vermilion 170 well workover to replace downhole tubing, net working capital and, solely for the purpose of the net asset valuation analysis, Future G&A Expenses and investments in affiliates. The implied equity value ranges for each of Contango and Crimson, respectively, were then divided by diluted shares outstanding, comprised of primary shares and incorporating the dilutive effect of outstanding options and restricted stock, as appropriate, in order to derive implied equity value ranges per share for each company. For the net asset valuation analysis, the comparable company analysis, the comparable transactions analysis and the discounted cash flow analysis, the implied equity value ranges per share of Crimson common stock and per share of Contango common stock were used to derive implied exchange ratios which were then compared to the exchange ratio in the merger.

In addition to analyzing the value of the Crimson common shares and the Contango common shares, Barclays also analyzed and reviewed: (i) the daily historical closing prices of the Crimson common shares and the Contango common shares and the exchange ratios implied by those closing share prices for the period from April 29, 2011 to April 29, 2013; (ii) certain publicly available information related to selected corporate transactions to calculate the amount of premiums paid by the acquirers to the acquired companies stockholders; (iii) the relative contributions of Crimson and Contango to the current and future financial performance of the combined organization for 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 discretionary cash flow (DCF) per share for the combined organization based on Crimson Financials, Contango Financials and pro forma combined prospective financial information provided by Crimson.

In particular, in applying the various valuation methodologies to the particular businesses, operations and prospects of Crimson and Contango, and the particular circumstances of the merger, Barclays made qualitative judgments as to the significance and relevance of each analysis. In addition, Barclays made numerous assumptions with respect to industry performance, general business and economic conditions and other matters, many of which are beyond the control of Crimson and Contango. Such qualitative judgments and assumptions of Barclays were made following discussions with the management of each of Crimson and Contango. Accordingly, the methodologies and the implied exchange ratio ranges derived therefrom must be considered as a whole and in context of the narrative description of the financial analysis, including the assumptions underlying these analyses, could create a misleading or incomplete view of the process underlying, and conclusions represented by, Barclays opinion.

The implied exchange ratios, derived using the various valuation methodologies listed above, supported the conclusion that the exchange ratio of 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock per Crimson share of common stock to be offered to the holders of Crimson common stock was fair, from a financial point of view, to such holders.

Net Asset Valuation Analysis

Barclays estimated the present value of the future after-tax cash flows expected to be generated from the Crimson Reserve Reports and Contango Reserve Reports, in each case as of December 31, 2012, based on reserve, production and capital cost estimates as of December 31, 2012, provided by Crimson and Contango, respectively. The present value of the future after-tax cash flows was determined using a range of discount rates and assuming a tax rate of 35% for both Crimson and Contango. The net asset valuation analysis was performed under three commodity price scenarios (Low, High and Strip), which are described below.

Certain of the natural gas and oil price forecasts employed by Barclays were based on NYMEX price forecasts (Henry Hub, Louisiana delivery for natural gas and West Texas Intermediate, Cushing, Oklahoma delivery for oil) to which adjustments were made to reflect location and quality differentials. NYMEX gas price quotations stated in dollars per MMBtu heating value equivalents. NYMEX oil price quotations are stated in dollars per Bbl, of crude oil.

The following table summarizes the natural gas and oil price forecasts Barclays employed to estimate future after-tax cash flows for each of the reserve categories Barclays considered for Crimson and Contango. The Low and High cases were selected based on the current commodity price environment and Barclays judgment. The Strip case reflects the NYMEX strip as of the close of business on April 29, 2013.

	2013E	2014E	2015E	2016E	2017E
Gas Henry Hub (\$/MMBtu)					
Low	\$ 3.75	\$ 3.75	\$ 3.75	\$ 3.75	\$ 3.75
High	\$ 5.25	\$ 5.25	\$ 5.25	\$ 5.25	\$ 5.25
Strip	\$ 4.49	\$ 4.42	\$ 4.36	\$ 4.38	\$ 4.46
Oil West Texas Intermediate (\$/Bbl)					
Low	\$ 80.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 80.00	\$ 80.00
High	\$ 95.00	\$ 95.00	\$ 95.00	\$ 95.00	\$ 95.00
Strip	\$ 94.15	\$ 90.57	\$ 87.39	\$ 85.66	\$ 84.61

The net asset valuation analyses yielded valuations for Crimson and Contango that implied an exchange ratio range of 0.0078 to 0.0415 Contango shares per share of Crimson for the Low case, an exchange ratio of 0.0962 to 0.1401 Contango shares per share of Crimson for the High case and an exchange ratio of 0.0434 to 0.0900 Contango shares per share of Crimson for the Strip case, in each case as compared to the proposed exchange ratio of 0.08288 Contango shares per share of Crimson. Barclays noted that the proposed exchange ratio was above the range of implied exchange ratios in the Low case, below the range of implied exchange ratios in the High case, and in line with the range of implied exchange ratios in the Strip case, in each case as yielded by Barclays net asset valuation analysis for Crimson and Contango.

Comparable Company Analysis

In order to assess how the public market values shares of similar publicly traded companies, Barclays reviewed and compared specific financial and operating data relating to Crimson and Contango with selected companies that Barclays deemed comparable to Crimson and Contango, based on Barclays experience in the oil and gas exploration and production (referred to in this section as E&P) industry.

With respect to Crimson, Barclays reviewed the public stock market trading multiples for the following companies:

Abraxas Petroleum Corporation

Gastar Exploration Ltd.

Goodrich Petroleum Corporation

Halcon Resources Corporation

Penn Virginia Corporation

Petroquest Energy, Inc.

Using publicly available information, Barclays calculated and analyzed enterprise value multiples of each comparable company s (i) estimated earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization and exploration costs (referred to in this section as EBITDAX) for 2013 and 2014 based on equity analysts consensus estimates (as per Institutional Brokers Estimate System I/B/E/S) as of April 29, 2013, (ii) latest daily production and (iii) proved reserves, and calculated and analyzed equity value multiples of each comparable company s estimated DCF for 2013 and 2014 based on I/B/E/S consensus estimates as of April 29, 2013. The enterprise value of each comparable company was obtained by adding its outstanding debt to the sum of the market value of its common stock, the book value of its preferred stock, the book value of its net working

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

capital and the book value of any minority interest minus its cash balance, as appropriate. Barclays calculated the enterprise value multiples for each of the above items (i), (ii) and (iii) by dividing each company s calculated enterprise value by such item. Barclays calculated the equity value multiples by dividing each company s calculated equity value by its estimated DCF for 2013 and 2014.

The results of the Crimson comparable companies analysis are summarized below:

(\$ in millions)	Multiple Rang	Multiple Range of Comparable Companies of Crimson:				
	Low	Median	High			
Enterprise Value as a Multiple of:						
EBITDAX						
2013E	4.0x	6.2x	7.9x			
2014E	3.1x	4.5x	6.1x			
Latest Daily Production (\$/Mcfe/d)	\$ 5,472	\$ 13,254	\$ 47,764			
Proved Reserves (\$/Mcfe)	\$ 1.65	\$ 2.38	\$ 8.06			
Equity Value as a Multiple of:						
DCF Per Share						
2013E	1.0x	3.6x	5.4x			
2014E	0.8x	2.8x	3.8x			

Barclays selected the comparable companies listed above because their sizes, asset characteristics, and business and operating profiles were reasonably similar to that of Crimson. However, because of the inherent differences between the business, operations and prospects of Crimson and those of the selected comparable companies, Barclays believed that it was inappropriate to, and therefore did not, rely solely on the quantitative results of the selected comparable company analysis. Accordingly, Barclays also made qualitative judgments concerning differences between the business, financial and operating characteristics and prospects of Crimson and the selected comparable companies that could affect the public trading values of each in order to provide a context in which to consider the results of the quantitative analysis. These qualitative judgments related primarily to the differing sizes, growth prospects, profitability levels and degrees of operational risk between Crimson and the selected companies included in the comparable company analysis.

With respect to Contango, Barclays reviewed the public stock market trading multiples for the following companies:

Energy XXI (Bermuda) Limited

EPL Oil & Gas, Inc.

Stone Energy Corporation

W&T Offshore, Inc.

Using publicly available information, Barclays calculated and analyzed enterprise value multiples of each comparable company s (i) estimated EBITDAX for 2013 and 2014 based on I/B/E/S consensus estimates as of April 29, 2013, (ii) latest daily production and (iii) proved reserves, and calculated and analyzed equity value multiples of each comparable company s estimated DCF for 2013 and 2014 based on I/B/E/S consensus estimates as of April 29, 2013. The enterprise value of each comparable company was obtained by adding its outstanding debt to the sum of the market value of its common stock, the book value of its preferred stock, the book value of its net working capital and the book value of any minority interest minus its cash balance, as appropriate. Barclays calculated the enterprise value multiples for each of the above items (i), (ii) and (iii) by dividing each company s calculated enterprise value by such item. Barclays calculated the equity value multiples by dividing each company s calculated discretionary cash flows for 2013 and 2014.

The results of the Contango comparable companies analysis are summarized below:

(\$ in millions)	Multiple Rang	Multiple Range of Comparable Companies of Contango:				
	Low	Median	High			
Enterprise Value as a Multiple of:						
EBITDAX						
2013E	2.7x	3.5x	4.2x			
2014E	2.9x	3.3x	3.8x			
Latest Daily Production (\$/Mcfe/d)	\$ 5,880	\$ 9,132	\$ 15,576			
Proved Reserves (\$/Mcfe)	\$ 2.05	\$ 3.62	\$ 4.49			
Equity Value as a Multiple of:						
DCF Per Share						
2013E	1.7x	2.2x	2.9x			
2014E	1.7x	1.9x	2.6x			

Barclays selected the comparable companies listed above because their sizes, asset characteristics, and business and operating profiles were reasonably similar to that of Contango. However, because of the inherent differences between the business, operations and prospects of Contango and those of the selected comparable companies, Barclays believed that it was inappropriate to, and therefore did not, rely solely on the quantitative results of the selected comparable company analysis. Accordingly, Barclays also made qualitative judgments concerning differences between the business, financial and operating characteristics and prospects of Contango and the selected comparable companies that could affect the public trading values of each in order to provide a context in which to consider the results of the quantitative analysis. These qualitative judgments related primarily to the differing sizes, growth prospects, profitability levels and degrees of operational risk between Contango and the selected companies included in the comparable company analysis.

Based upon Barclays judgments, Barclays comparable company analysis yielded implied exchange ratios ranging from 0.0437 to 0.1411 Contango common shares per Crimson common share. Barclays noted that the exchange ratio to be offered to holders of Crimson common shares of 0.08288 Contango shares per Crimson common share falls within the range of implied exchange ratios as calculated by Barclays comparable company analysis.

Comparable Transactions Analysis

With respect to the comparable transactions analysis for Crimson, Barclays reviewed and compared the purchase prices and financial multiples paid in selected transactions for comparable businesses that Barclays deemed relevant, based on its experience with merger and acquisition transactions. Barclays chose such transactions based on, among other things, the similarity of the applicable target assets in the transaction to Crimson s business with respect to structure, focus, portfolio composition and other characteristics of their businesses. The criteria used in selecting, from the IHS Herold database, the selected transactions analyzed included all of the transactions: (i) announced since January 1, 2008 with upstream value greater than \$100 million and less than \$750 million, (ii) with proved reserves greater than or equal to 50% gas and (iii) excluding transactions in which the target s assets were primarily offshore.

The following table sets forth the transactions analyzed for Crimson based on such characteristics:

Date

3/19/2013 Memorial Resource Development L/C Memorial Production Parfners LP 2/5/2013 Forest Oil Corporation Hilcorp Energy Company 11/5/2012 EXCO Resources, Inc. Harbinger Group Inc. 11/1/2012 Noble Energy Incorporated Unit Corporation 6/4/2012 Anter OResources LLC Vanguard Natural Resources LLC 5/17/2012 Credo Perforem Forestar Group Inc. 6/4/2012 Anter OResources LLC Vanguard Natural Resources Partners, L-P. 3/16/2012 Carrio Oil & Gas Incorporated Allas Resource Partners, L-P. 3/16/2012 Carrio Oil & Gas Incorporated Allas Resource Partners, L-P. 3/16/2012 Carrio Oil & Gas Incorporated Line Energy CLC 1/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Line Energy Partners L.P. 1/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Line Energy Partners L.P. 1/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Line Energy Partners L.P. 1/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Line Energy Partners L.P. 1/14/2010 Conc Creek Energy Inc. Allas Resource Partners, L.P. 1/14/2010 Conc Creek Energy Corporation XTO Energy Partners L.P. 1/14/2010 Conc Creek Energy Inc. Eagrey Reserves LP 1/16/2010 Partnawk E	Announced	Target	Acquirer
25/2013 PDC: Energy Caerus Oil and Gas LLC 11/2/2012 FORCO (Ecoporation Hickorp Energy Company) 11/1/2012 Bill Barrett Corporation Vanguard Natural Resources LLC 11/1/2012 Noble Energy Incorporated Unit Corporation 6/4/2012 Credo Petroleum Forestar Group Inc. 6/4/2012 Credo Petroleum Forestar Group Inc. 6/4/2012 Cartizo Oil & Gas Inc. Vanguard Natural Resources LLC 5/11/2012 Tian Operating, LLC Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Cartizo Oil & Gas Corporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Cartizo Oil & Gas Corporation Core Development Bank 11/4/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company(ies) 11/4/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company Partners, L.P. 11/4/2011 Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation BreitBurn Energy Partners, L.P. 11/4/2011 Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation Teil Burn Energy Partners, L.P. 11/4/2010 Ocabot Oil & Gas, LLC Natas Energy, L.P. 11/4/2010 That Energy Corporation There News, LLA 11/4/2010 Talon Oil & Gas, LLC Energy Partners, L.P. 11/4/2010 Theore Energy Corporation Three Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, L	3/19/2013	8	•
1/3/2013 Forest Oil Corporation Hilcorp Energy Company 11/1/2012 Bill Barrett Corporation Vanguard Natural Resources LLC 7/11/2012 Noble Energy Incorporated Unit Corporation 6/4/2012 Credo Petroleum Forestar Group Inc. 6/4/2012 Cartero Resources LLC Vanguard Natural Resources LLC 7/11/2012 Credo Petroleum Forestar Group Inc. 6/4/2012 Cartizo Oil & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Cartizo Oil & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Southwestern Energy Company Linn Energy, LLC 1/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Unit Corporated 1/14/2011 Charles Corporation Korea Development Bank 1/14/2011 Credo Fethergy Int.C. Atlas Resource Stepp Partners, L.P. 1/14/2011 Credo Fethergy Int.C. Atlas Energy Int. 1/14/2010 Credo Fethergy Int.C. Atlas Energy Int. 1/14/2010 Concho Resources Inc. Atlas Energy Int.P.P. 1/18/2010 Concho Resources Inc. Egazy Reserves LP 1/18/2010 Cancho Resources Inc. Exon Mobil Corporation 6/30/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation Rose Resources Corporation 4/9/2010 Ches	2/5/2013	-	Caerus Oil and Gas LLC
111/2/2012 EXCO Resources, Inc. Harbinger Group Inc. 111/2012 Noble Energy Incorporation Vanguard Natural Resources LLC 0/4/2012 Credo Petroleum Forestar Group Inc. 0/4/2012 Credo Petroleum Forestar Group Inc. 0/4/2012 Cartico Olis & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Cartico Olis & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Southwestern Energy Company Linn Energy, LLC 1/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Linn Energy, LLC 1/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company(ics) 7/27/2011 Cabot Oli & Gas Carporation State Resy Rency Pattners, L.P. 1/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company(ics) 1/14/2011 Cabot Oli & Gas Carporation XTO Energy Incorporated 1/14/2011 Cabot Oli & Gas Calporation Reference Partners, L.P. 1/14/2010 Cabot Oli & Gas Calporation The Energy Incorporated 1/14/2010 Cabot Oli & Gas, LLC Bartners, L.P. 1/18/2010 Concho Resources Inc. Legacy Reserves LP 1/18/2010 <td< td=""><td>1/3/2013</td><td></td><td>Hilcorp Energy Company</td></td<>	1/3/2013		Hilcorp Energy Company
111/2012 Bill Barrett Corporation Vangmard Natural Resources LLC 7/11/2012 Noble Energy Incorporated Forestar Group Inc. 6/4/2012 Antero Resources LLC Wanguard Natural Resources LLC 7/11/2012 Cartizo Oli & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Cartizo Oli & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Cartizo Oli & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Southwestern Energy Company Linn Energy, LLC 1/14/2011 Plans Exploration & Production Co. Linn Energy, LLC 1/14/2011 Plans Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company(ies) 7/21/2011 Cabot Oli & Gas Corporation Atlas Energy Partners, L.P. 1/14/2010 Perohawk Energy Corporation Atlas Energy, Inc. 1/19/2010 Atlas Gasources Inc. Legay Reserves LP 1/19/2010 Cancho Resources Inc. Expan Matters, L.P. 7/21/2010 Elfora Energy Corporation FV Energy Partners, L.P. 7/21/2010 Elfora Energy Corporation Rage Resources Corporation 6/30/2010 Chesapeake Energy Corporation Atla Eneresprese LP.	11/5/2012	-	
7/11/2012 Noble Energy Incorporated Unit Corporation 6/4/2012 Credo Petroleum Forestar Group Inc. 6/4/2012 Antero Resources LLC Vanguard Natural Resources ALC 5/17/2012 Titan Operating, LLC Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/9/2012 Southwestern Energy Company Linn Energy, LLC 1/2/12/2011 Apache Corporation Linn Energy, LLC 1/1/4/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Linn Energy Partners, L.P. 1/1/2/2011 Cabo Oil & Gas Corporation BreilBurn Energy Partners, L.P. 7/12/2011 Cabo Oil & Gas Corporation BreilBurn Energy Partners, L.P. 7/21/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation Atlas Resources IP. 7/21/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation Atlas Energy, IP. 11/8/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation Energy Netroporation 7/21/2010 Concho Resources Inc. Legacy Reserves LP 10/26/2010 Talon Oil & Gas, LLC Energy Inc. 8/10/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation Range Resources Corporation 7/21/2010 Elfors Energy Inc. Energy Inc. 7/21/2010 Bilors Energy Inc. Energy Inc. 7/21/2010 Chesapaeke Energy Corporation Range Resources LLC 7/21/2010 Bilors Energy Inc.<	11/1/2012		
64/2012 Credo Petroleum Forestar Group Inc. 64/2012 Antero Resources LLC Vanguard Natural Resources LLC 51/17/2012 Titan Operating, LLC Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 31/6/2012 Carrizo Oil & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 31/6/2012 Southwestern Energy Company Linn Energy, LLC 12/12/2011 Apache Corporation Korea Development Bank 11/4/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company(ies) 7/27/2011 Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation BreiBurn Energy Partners, L.P. 11/4/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company(ies) 7/27/2011 Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation StrO Energy Inc.P. 11/4/2010 Concho Resources Inc. Legacy Reserves LP 11/8/2010 Concho Resources Inc. Legacy Reserves LP 10/26/2010 Tation Oil & Gas, LLC Enertyes, Ltd. 8/10/2010 Retergy Encyporation Evon Mobil Corporation 4/9/2010 Chesapeake Energy Corporation Rape Resources Corporation 4/9/2010 Chesapeake Energy Corporation Atla Mes Holding LP 4/9/2010 Resources, Inc.			6
64/2012 Antero Resources LLC Vanguard Resources LLC 5117/2012 Titan Operating, LLC Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/16/2012 Carrizo Oil & Gas Incorporated Atlas Resource Partners, L.P. 3/97/012 Southwestern Energy Company Linn Energy, LLC 1/14/2011 Pains Exploration & Production Co. Linn Energy, LLC 1/14/2011 Pains Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company(ics) 7/27/2011 Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation BreitBurn Energy Partners L.P. 7/27/2011 Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation BreitBurn Energy Partners L.P. 7/27/2011 Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation BreitBurn Energy Partners L.P. 7/27/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation Atlas Energy Inc. 1/18/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation Energy Partners, L.P. 1/18/2010 Concho Resources Inc. Egaey Rock Energy Partners, L.P. 1/21/2010 Ellora Energy Inc. Exon Mobil Corporation 6/30/2010 Chesapeake Energy Corporation Range Resources ID 7/21/2010 Ellora Energy Incorporated Atla Metal Mobilings LP 7/21/2010 Blora Energy Incorporation Atla Metal Mobilings LP 7/21/2010 Chesapeake Energy Corporation Atla Metal Mobilings LP 7/21/2010 Blora Energy Incorporated			-
S1/172012 Titan Operating, LLC Attas Resource Partners, L.P. 31/62012 Carizo Oil & Gas Incorporated Attas Resource Partners, L.P. 39/2012 Southwestern Energy Company Linn Energy, LLC 12/12/2011 Apache Corporation Korea Development Bank 11/14/2011 Plains Exploration & Production Co. Undisclosed company(ics) 7/27/2011 Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation BreitBurn Energy Partners L.P. 12/23/2010 Petrohawk Energy InLC; Natural Gas Partners Eagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P. 12/23/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation XTO Energy Incorporated 11/9/2010 Concho Resources Inc. Legacy Reserves LP 10/026/2010 Talon Oil & Gas, LLC Energy Partners, L.P. 7/12/101 Ellora Energy Inc. Exxon Mobil Corporation 6/30/2010 Petrohawk Energy Corporation Range Resources Corporation 4/9/2010 Chesapeake Energy Corporation Arka Resource Holdings LP 3/22/2010 HighMount E&P LLC Linn Energy, LC 3/3/22/2010 HighMount E&P LLC Linn Energy, LC 3/3/22/2010 Range Resources Corporation Three Rivers Natural Resource Holdings LP			
3/16/2012Carrizo Oil & Čas IncorporatedAttas Resource Partners, L.P.3/9/2012Southwestern Energy CompanyLinn Energy, LLC1/1/2/2011Apache CorporationKorea Development Bank1/1/4/2011Plains Exploration & Production Co.Undisclosed company(ics)7/27/2011Cabot Oil & Gas CorporationBreitBurn Energy Partners L.P.1/1/4/2011Crow Creek Energy II LLC; Natural Gas PartnersEagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P.2/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationXTO Energy Incorporated1/1/9/2010Atlas Energy, Inc.Atlas Energy, L.P.1/1/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legacy Reserves LP1/0/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ltd.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationAtlas Mesa Holdings LP4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources ILC4/8/2010Revide K Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC4/8/2010Range Resources CorporationStatergy Partners, L.P.1/3/2/2010Range Resources CorporationWildHorse Resources ILC2/8/2010Range Resources Inc.Evencegy Partners, L.P.1/3/2/2010Bady Resources, Inc.Evencegy Partners, L.P.9/3/2000EXCO Resources, Inc.Evencegy Partners, L.P.9/3/2000EXCO Resources, Inc.Evencegy Partners, L.P.9/3/2000Evency Resources Incorporated <td< td=""><td>5/17/2012</td><td>Titan Operating, LLC</td><td></td></td<>	5/17/2012	Titan Operating, LLC	
39/2012Southwestern Energy CompanyLinn Energy, LLC12/12/2011Apache CorporationKorea Development Bank11/14/2011Plains Exploration & Production Co.Undisclosed company(ics)7/27/2011Cabot Oil & Gas CorporationBreitBurn Energy Partners L.P.12/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationXTO Energy Incorporated11/19/2010Atlas Energy, L.P.Linn Energy, L.P.11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legacy Reserves LP11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Evon Mobil Corporation8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationAtlas Energy, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationAtla Mesa Holdings IP3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationHinh Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationKorea9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Marine Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encre Acquisition Company, LLC9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encre Acquisition Company9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encre Acquisition Company <td></td> <td>· ·</td> <td></td>		· ·	
12/12/2011Apache CorporationKorea Development Bank11/4/2011Plains Exploration & Production Co.Linn Energy, LLC11/4/2011Plains Exploration & Production Co.Undisclosed company(ics)7/27/2011Cabot Oil & Gas CorporationBeritBurn Energy Partners L.P.12/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationBritBurn Energy Partners, L.P.12/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationXTO Energy Incorporated11/9/2010Atlas Energy, Inc.Atlas Energy, I.P.11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legacy Reserves LP10/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ltd.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationAtla Mesa Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAtla Mesa Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encrey Partners, L.P. <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>			
11/4/2011Plains Exploration & Production Co.Linn Energy, ILC11/4/2011Plains Exploration & Production Co.Undisclosed company(ies)7/27/2011Cabot Oil & Gas CorporationBreitBurn Energy Partners LP.4/12/2011Crow Creek Energy II LLC; Natural Gas PartnersEagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P.12/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationXTO Energy Incorporated11/9/2010Atlas Energy, Inc.Atlas Energy, LP.11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legay Reserves LP10/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ld.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationAtlas Kasa Hodings LP2/2/2010HighMourt E&P LLCLinn Energy, ILC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Ero Corporated9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Ero Corporation9/31/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC9/31/2009Energy PartnersEnergy Partners9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Ero Corporation9/31/2009Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners ILP9/31/2009Energy Resour			
11/4/2011Plains Exploration & Production Co.Undisclosed company(ies)7/27/2011Cabot Oil & Gas CorporationBreiBurn Energy Partners L.P.1/2/23/2010Crow Creek Energy II LLC, Natural Gas PartnersEagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P.12/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationXTO Energy Incorporated11/9/2010Atlas Energy, Inc.Legacy Reserves LP10/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ld.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/9/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAtla Kesa Holdings LP3/32/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWidHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated1/2/15/2009Edge Partoleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.			
7/27/2011Cabot Oil & Gas CorporationBreitBurn Energy Partners L.P.4/12/2011Crow Creek Energy II LLC: Natural Gas PartnersEngle Rock Energy Partners, L.P.12/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationXTO Energy Incerporated11/9/2010Atlas Energy, Inc.Atlas Energy, L.P.11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legacy Reserves LP10/26/2010Tation Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ltd.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationExcon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationAtla Mesa Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAtla Mesa Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationWildHorse Resources Corporation3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Range Resources CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationWildHorse Resources Corporated1/5/2010Sancor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Sancor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encrore Acquisition Company9/1/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP9/1/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas Corporated6/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, LP.7/1/2008			
4/12/2011Crow Creek Energy II LLC; Natural Gas PartnersEagle Rock Energy Partners, L.P.12/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationXTO Energy Incorporated11/9/2010Atlas Energy, I.C.Atlas Energy, L.P.11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legacy Reserves LP10/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCENErgy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAtlas Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LC3/15/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedMobile Energy Incorporated9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporatedMerit Management Partners, L.P.8/10/2009Dextores, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company9/30/2009Denbury Resources Incorporated <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
12/23/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationXTO Energy Incorporated11/9/2010Atlas Energy, L.P.Atlas Energy, L.P.11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legacy Reserves LP10/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ltd.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAtla Mesa Holdings LP3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated1/2/15/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Energy Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Company, S/14/20095/14/2009Denbury Resources LCEnergy Partners, L.P.6/11/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2009ExtCO Resources, Inc.Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Kole Energy Partners, L.P.6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners, L.P.6/11/2008E			
11/9/2010Atlas Energy, Inc.Atlas Energy, L.P.11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legacy Reserves LP10/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ltd.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount & EV PLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncore Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Inc.1/5/2010Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encre Acquisition Company6/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009Earcy Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/10/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/10/2009EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners LP6/11/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners LP6/11/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabo Oil & Gas Corporation6/11/2008Crow Horizons Comp			
11/8/2010Concho Resources Inc.Legacy Reserves LP10/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ltd.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP4/9/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated1/1/5/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company, LLC9/11/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationWilliams Companies, Inc.6/12/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/10/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedNoble Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Corporated5/5/2008C			
10/26/2010Talon Oil & Gas, LLCEnerVest, Ltd.8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated1/21/5/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/30/2009Drion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/10/2009Denburg Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/10/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/11/2008Chourg Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Company <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
8/10/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated1/5/2010Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/30/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporatedMariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company, LLC9/31/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC9/31/2009Denbury Resources Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources Inc.Encore Acquisition Company6/11/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Koble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Koble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Enduring Resources LLCQuest Energy Partners, L.P.7/12008EnerVest, Ltd.Koble Energy Incorporated			
7/21/2010Ellora Energy Inc.Exxon Mobil Corporation6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporatedNoble Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated12/15/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encre Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners, LP.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners, LP.6/4/2008Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners, LP.6/4/2008Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners, LP.6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Company4/15/20			
6/30/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationRange Resources Corporation4/9/2010Chesapeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated1/2/15/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/30/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners L LP8/10/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/10/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Ev Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWitting Petroleum Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorpo			
4/9/2010Chesspeake Energy CorporationThree Rivers Natural Resource Holdings II, LLC4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated12/15/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Vilting Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Incorporated6/10/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWitting Petroleum Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration Conpany <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>			
4/8/2010The Meridian Resource CorporationAlta Mesa Holdings LP3/22/2010HighMount & PLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated1/5/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Partners, L.P.6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/11/2008Enduring Resources LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR E	4/9/2010		e i
3/22/2010HighMount E&P LLCLinn Energy, LLC3/15/2010Petrohawk Energy CorporationWildHorse Resources LLC2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated12/15/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners 1LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/11/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/11/2008Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Go Resources IncorporatedExCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy Companit	4/8/2010		
2/8/2010Range Resources CorporationEV Energy Partners, L.P.1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated12/15/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporated4/3/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008PYR Energy CompanionPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production Co.	3/22/2010		
1/5/2010Suncor Energy IncorporatedNoble Energy Incorporated12/15/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production Co.	3/15/2010	Petrohawk Energy Corporation	WildHorse Resources LLC
12/15/2009Edge Petroleum Corp.Mariner Energy, Inc.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/1/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008Enervest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production Co.	2/8/2010	Range Resources Corporation	EV Energy Partners, L.P.
9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.EV Energy Partners, L.P.9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production Co.	1/5/2010	Suncor Energy Incorporated	Noble Energy Incorporated
9/30/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Sheridan Production Company, LLC9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporated4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedFXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production Co.	12/15/2009	Edge Petroleum Corp.	Mariner Energy, Inc.
9/21/2009Petrohawk Energy CorporationMerit Management Partners I LP8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CompanionPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	9/30/2009	EXCO Resources, Inc.	EV Energy Partners, L.P.
8/10/2009Orion Energy PartnersWilliams Companies, Inc.6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	9/30/2009	EXCO Resources, Inc.	Sheridan Production Company, LLC
6/29/2009EXCO Resources, Inc.Encore Acquisition Company5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	9/21/2009	Petrohawk Energy Corporation	Merit Management Partners I LP
5/14/2009Denbury Resources IncorporatedTalon Oil & Gas, LLC8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporated4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	8/10/2009	Orion Energy Partners	Williams Companies, Inc.
8/12/2008EnerVest, Ltd.EV Energy Partners, L.P.7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporation4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	6/29/2009	EXCO Resources, Inc.	Encore Acquisition Company
7/1/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Noble Energy Incorporated6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporation4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	5/14/2009	Denbury Resources Incorporated	Talon Oil & Gas, LLC
6/11/2008Crow Horizons CompanyBerry Petroleum Company6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporation4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	8/12/2008	EnerVest, Ltd.	EV Energy Partners, L.P.
6/10/2008PetroEdge Energy LLCQuest Energy Partners LP6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporation4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	7/1/2008	EnerVest, Ltd.	Noble Energy Incorporated
6/4/2008Enduring Resources LLCCabot Oil & Gas Corporation5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporation4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	6/11/2008	Crow Horizons Company	Berry Petroleum Company
5/5/2008Chicago Energy Associates, LLCWhiting Petroleum Corporation4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	6/10/2008	PetroEdge Energy LLC	Quest Energy Partners LP
4/24/2008United ResourcesNewfield Exploration Company4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production		Enduring Resources LLC	Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation
4/15/2008Linn Energy, LLCXTO Energy Incorporated4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	5/5/2008	Chicago Energy Associates, LLC	Whiting Petroleum Corporation
4/3/2008Southwestern Energy CompanyXTO Energy Incorporated3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	4/24/2008	United Resources	Newfield Exploration Company
3/3/2008PYR Energy CorporationPlains Exploration & Production Co.2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	4/15/2008		
2/20/2008EOG Resources IncorporatedEXCO Resources, Inc.1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	4/3/2008	Southwestern Energy Company	XTO Energy Incorporated
1/8/2008EnerVest, Ltd.Fidelity Exploration & Production	3/3/2008		
		1	
1/2/2008Westside Oil & GasCrusader Energy			
	1/2/2008	Westside Oil & Gas	Crusader Energy

Based on reserve and production reports provided by Crimson, Barclays calculated and analyzed enterprise multiples for Crimson based upon the proved reserves and latest daily production, respectively, for the comparable transactions. The results of the comparable transactions analysis are summarized below:

	Low	Median	High
Proved Reserves (\$/Mcfe)	\$ 0.61	\$ 1.93	\$ 9.34
Latest Daily Production (\$/Mcfe/d)	\$ 4,744	\$ 10,785	\$ 49,486

With respect to the comparable transactions analysis for Contango, Barclays reviewed and compared the purchase prices and financial multiples paid in selected transactions that Barclays deemed relevant, based on its experience with merger and acquisition transactions. Barclays chose such transactions based on, among other things, the similarity of the applicable target assets and companies in the selected transactions to Contango s business with respect to size, focus, portfolio composition and other characteristics of their businesses. The criteria used in selecting, from the IHS Herold database, the selected transactions analyzed included all of the transactions: (i) announced since January 1, 2004 with upstream value greater than \$1,000 million, and (ii) transactions in which the target s assets were primarily offshore.

The following table sets forth the transactions analyzed for Contango based on such characteristics:

Date Annound

Announced	Target
12/13/2012	Energy Resources Technology GOM, Inc.
9/18/2012	Newfield Exploration Company
9/17/2012	Hilcorp Energy Company
9/10/2012	Royal Dutch Shell plc
12/1/2011	Northstar Offshore Energy Partners, LLC
11/18/2011	BP plc
7/29/2011	Exxon Mobil Corporation
1/13/2011	Anglo-Suisse Offshore Partners, LLC
12/7/2010	Royal Dutch Shell plc
11/3/2010	Royal Dutch Shell plc
10/25/2010	BP plc
9/20/2010	Plains Exploration & Production Co.
7/8/2010	Samson Investment Company
4/8/2010	Total E&P U.S.Ajotal S.A.
4/8/2010	The Meridian Resource Corporation
11/23/2009	MitEnergy Upstream LLC
10/14/2009	Bandon Oil and Gas
7/17/2008	Northstar Exploration
2/26/2008	Superior Energy Services
12/28/2007	Statoil ASA
12/24/2007	Apache Corporation
10/11/2007	LLOG Exploration Company, LLC
4/24/2007	Pogo Producing Company
4/2/2007	Range Resources Corporation
8/29/2006	Cabot Oil & Gas Corporation
6/20/2006	BP plc
5/16/2006	Noble Energy Incorporated
4/20/2006	Pogo Producing Company
4/7/2006	The Houston Exploration Company
2/28/2006	The Houston Exploration Company
2/22/2006	Marlin Energy Offshore, LLC

Acquirer Talos Energy LLC W&T Offshore, Inc. EPL Oil & Gas, Inc. Plains Exploration & Production Co. Korea National Oil Corporation Stone Energy Corporation Dynamic Offshore Resources LLC EPL Oil & Gas, Inc. BP plc W&T Offshore, Inc. Marubeni Corporation McMoRan Exploration Company Dynamic Offshore Resources LLC W&T Offshore, Inc. Alta Mesa Holdings LP Energy XXI (Bermuda) Limited Dynamic Offshore Resources LLC Dynamic Offshore Resources LLC Dynamic Offshore Resources LLC Mariner Energy, Inc. W&T Offshore, Inc. Petsec Energy Ltd Energy XXI (Bermuda) Limited **ITOCHU** Corporation Phoenix Exploration Company Stone Energy Corporation Beryl Resources LP Mitsui & Company, Ltd. Merit Energy Company Merit Energy Company Energy XXI (Bermuda) Limited

Date		
Announced	Target	Acquirer
9/1/2005	Cheniere Energy, Inc.	Woodside Petroleum Ltd
6/13/2005	Murphy Oil Corporation	Energy Resources Technology GOM, Inc.
4/4/2005	Mission Resources Corporation	Petrohawk Energy Corporation
4/4/2005	Devon Energy Corporation	Nippon Oil Corporation
2/23/2005	NCX Company II	Sumitomo Corporation
11/3/2004	Anadarko Petroleum Corporation	Stone Energy Corporation
8/20/2004	Anadarko Petroleum Corporation	Apache Corporation
7/21/2004	Denbury Resources Incorporated	Newfield Exploration Company
2/2/2004	Mariner Energy, Inc.	Carlyle/Riverstone Energy Fund

Based on a reserve report provided by Crimson and publicly available data, Barclays calculated and analyzed enterprise multiples for Contango based upon proved reserves and the latest daily production, respectively, for the comparable transactions. The results of the comparable transactions analysis are summarized below:

	Low	Median	High
Proved Reserves (\$/Mcfe)	\$ 1.36	\$ 2.72	\$ 13.82
Latest Daily Production (\$/Mcfe/d)	\$ 1,893	\$ 6,518	\$ 24,818

The reasons for and the circumstances surrounding each of the selected comparable transactions analyzed were diverse and there are inherent differences between the businesses, operations, financial conditions and prospects of each of Crimson s and Contango s businesses and the comparable transactions analysis. Accordingly, Barclays believed that purely quantitative comparable transactions analyses would not be particularly meaningful in the context of considering the transaction. Barclays therefore made qualitative judgments concerning differences between the characteristics of the selected precedent transactions and the proposed transaction, which would affect the acquisition values of the selected target companies and assets and Crimson and Contango.

Based upon Barclays judgments, Barclays comparable transactions analysis yielded implied exchange ratios ranging from 0.0316 to 0.1146 Contango common shares per Crimson common share. Barclays noted that the exchange ratio to be offered to holders of Crimson common shares of 0.08288 Contango shares per Crimson common share falls within the range of implied exchange ratios as calculated by Barclays comparable transactions analysis.

Discounted Cash Flow Analysis

In order to estimate the present values of Crimson common stock and Contango common stock, Barclays performed discounted cash flow analyses of each of Crimson and Contango. A discounted cash flow analysis is a traditional valuation methodology used to derive the valuation of an asset by calculating the present value of estimated future cash flows of the asset. Present value refers to the current value of future cash flows or amounts and is obtained by discounting those future cash flows or amounts by a range of discount rates that takes into account macroeconomic assumptions and estimates of risk, the opportunity cost of capital, expected returns and other appropriate factors.

To calculate the estimated equity value ranges for Crimson, Barclays added (i) projected after-tax unlevered free cash flows for the fiscal years 2013 through 2017 based on the Crimson Financials to (ii) the residual value of Crimson at the end of the forecast period, or terminal value, as of December 31, 2017, and discounted such amounts to their present value using a range of selected discount rates. Specifically, for Crimson, Barclays used a discount rate range of 12.0% to 14.0%. The discount rates were based on Barclays analysis of the weighted average cost of capital for Crimson as well as the weighted average cost of capital for companies with similar

size and asset characteristics. The terminal value of Crimson was estimated by applying equity value multiples ranging from 4.50x to 6.50x to Crimson s 2017 estimate of EBITDAX. Such enterprise value multiples were derived using information from the comparable companies analysis and based on Barclays judgment.

To calculate the estimated equity value ranges of Contango using discounted cash flow analysis, Barclays added (i) projected after-tax unlevered free cash flows for fiscal years 2013 through 2017 based on the Contango Financials to (ii) the terminal value of Contango, as of December 31, 2017, and discounted such amounts to their present value using a range of selected discount rates. Specifically, Barclays used a discount rate range of 11.0% to 13.0%. The discount rates were based on Barclays analysis of the weighted average cost of capital for Contango as well as the weighted average cost of capital for companies with similar size and asset characteristics. The terminal value of Contango was estimated by applying enterprise value multiples ranging from 3.00x to 4.50x to Contango s 2017 estimate of EBITDAX. Such enterprise value multiples were derived using information from the comparable companies analysis and based on Barclays judgment.

Based upon Barclays judgments, the discounted cash flow methodology yielded implied exchange ratios ranging from 0.0264 to 0.0876 Contango common shares per Crimson common share. The prospective financial and operating information of Crimson that was used in Barclays discounted cash flow analysis indvertently understated Crimson s projected cash flow in 2017. For illustrative purposes only, based upon Barclays judgments and the prospective financial information set forth under Certain Prospective Unaudited Financial and Operating Information of Contango and Crimson beginning on page 93, the discounted cash flow methodology would yield, as of the date of Barclays opinion, implied exchange ratios ranging from 0.0353 to 0.0982 Contango common shares per Crimson common share. Barclays noted that the exchange ratio to be offered to holders of Crimson common shares of 0.08288 Contango shares per Crimson common share falls within both of the aforementioned ranges of implied exchange ratios as calculated by the discounted cash flow methodology. The revised implied exchange ratios resulting from the discounted cash flow methodology do not change the ultimate conclusion of Barclays opinion, as of the date that it was delivered, with respect to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio set forth in the merger agreement to Crimson s stockholders.

Barclays discounted cash flow analysis did not rely upon the unaudited prospective financial and operating information of Contango used by the Contango board of directors and Petrie as set forth under Certain Prospective Unaudited Financial and Operating Information of Contango and Crimson. For illustrative purposes only, the discounted cash flow methodology described above would yield, as of the date of Barclays opinion, implied exchange ratios ranging from 0.0373 to 0.1053 based upon the unaudited prospective financial and operating information of Contango provided to the Contango board of directors and Petrie. Barclays noted that the exchange ratio to be offered to holders of Crimson common shares of 0.08288 Contango shares per Crimson common share falls within the range of implied exchange ratios as calculated by the discounted cash flow methodology. The revised implied exchange ratios resulting from the discounted cash flow methodology do not change the ultimate conclusion of Barclays opinion, as of the date that it was delivered, with respect to the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the exchange ratio set forth in the merger agreement to Crimson s stockholders.

Research Analyst Price Targets

Barclays evaluated the publicly available price targets of Crimson published by independent equity research analysts associated with various Wall Street firms. Barclays used these research analyst price targets to calculate implied equity value per share ranges for Crimson. Barclays analysis of equity research analyst price targets for Crimson implied an equity value range for Crimson of \$3.50 to \$5.00 per share, as compared to the proposed merger consideration value of \$3.19 per share based on Contango s closing share price of \$38.50 per Contango share multiplied by the exchange ratio to be offered to holders of Crimson shares of 0.08288 Contango shares per Crimson common share in the merger (the Merger Consideration). Barclays noted that the Merger Consideration was below the implied equity value range per Crimson share vielded by

Merger Consideration). Barclays noted that the Merger Consideration was below the implied equity value range per Crimson share yielded by Barclays research analyst price target analysis for Crimson.

Historical Exchange Ratio Analysis

To provide background information and perspective with respect to the historical share prices of Crimson common shares and Contango common shares, Barclays reviewed the daily historical closing share prices of the Crimson common shares and Contango common shares for the period from April 29, 2011 to April 29, 2013. Barclays analyzed the ratio of the daily closing common share price for Crimson to the corresponding common share price of Contango over the two year period. In addition, Barclays reviewed the implied relative exchange ratio of the closing common share price for Crimson and closing common share price of Contango based on 5-day, 10-day, 30-day, 90-day and one-year, two-year, three-year, five-year averages and 52-week high and low, respectively, as of April 29, 2013. This analysis implied relative exchange ratio to be offered to holders of Crimson common shares of 0.08288 Contango common shares per Crimson common share in all cases except the 52-week high relative exchange ratio to be offered to holders of Crimson common shares.

Premiums Analysis

Barclays reviewed certain publicly available information related to selected corporate transactions that Barclays deemed relevant, based on its experience with merger and acquisition transactions to calculate the amount of the premiums paid by the acquirers to the acquired companies stockholders. Barclays selected from Factset and IHS Herold databases all U.S. corporate oil and gas exploration and production transactions announced since January 1, 1997 with total transaction values in excess of \$100 million and less than \$2 billion and with all stock consideration excluding transactions with irregular stock price movements prior to the public announcement.

The following table sets forth the transactions analyzed based on such characteristics:

Date		
Announced	Target	Acquirer
4/27/2009	Atlas Energy Resources LLC	Atlas America, Inc.
11/8/2005	Castle Energy Corp.	Delta Petroleum Corp.
2/12/2004	Nuevo Energy Co.	Plains Exploration & Production Co.
8/20/2002	Pure Resources, Inc.	Unocal Corp.
5/29/2002	EEX Corporation	Newfield Exploration Company
2/25/2002	Key Production Co., Inc.	Cimarex Energy Co.
6/9/2001	Belco Oil & Gas Corp.	Westport Resources Corp.
10/30/2000	Basin Exploration, Inc.	Stone Energy Corp.
7/10/2000	Forcenergy, Inc.	Forest Oil Corp.
12/13/1999	Titan Exploration Ltd.; Unocal Corp.	Pure Resources Inc.
11/13/1997	Hugoton Energy Corp.	Chesapeake Energy Corp.

For each of precedent transactions analyzed, Barclays calculated the premiums paid by the acquirer by comparing the per share purchase price in each transaction to the historical stock price of the acquired company as of 1 day, 5 days and 30 days prior to the announcement date as well as based upon the 52-week high prior to the announcement date. Barclays compared the premiums paid in the precedent transactions to the premium levels in the proposed merger consideration based on closing prices as of April 29, 2013. The table below sets forth the summary results of the analysis:

	Percentage Premium /(Discount) to the Closing Price Prior to Transaction Announcement					
	1	5	30	52-Week		
	Day	Days	Days	High		
Median	10%	9%	12%	(27)%		
Mean	8%	13%	14%	(28)%		
High	21%	27%	60%	0%		
Low	(3)%	3%	(9)%	(68)%		
Implied premium based on the exchange ratio in the						
proposed merger (as of April 29, 2013 close)	8%	12%	4%	(41)%		

The premiums paid analysis yielded median premiums per share ranging from (27%) to 12%, in each case as compared to the range of implied premiums of (41)% to 12% based on the Merger Consideration value of \$3.19 per share.

Contribution Analysis

Barclays reviewed and analyzed the relative cash flow contribution of Crimson and Contango to the combined company based on 2013 and 2014 financial data based on the Crimson Financials and the Contango Financials, respectively. This analysis was performed prior to the pro forma impact of any merger adjustments. Barclays noted that this analysis indicated that Crimson will contribute 28.8% and 32.6% of the combined company s estimated DCF in 2013 and 2014, respectively. In addition, Barclays noted that this analysis indicated that Crimson will contribute 35.0% and 36.5% of the combined company s estimated EBITDAX in 2013 and 2014, respectively. Barclays also reviewed and analyzed Crimson s contribution of production to the combined company s production for the same periods. Barclays noted that Crimson will contribute 33.0% and 31.2% to the combined company s production in 2013 and 2014, respectively. Barclays also reviewed and analyzed Crimson s contribution of proved reserves and PV-10 adjusted for net debt to the combined company s proved reserves and PV-10 adjusted for net debt. PV-10 at year-end is a non-GAAP financial measure and represents the present value, discounted at 10% per year, of estimated future cash inflows from proved natural gas and crude oil reserves, less future development and production costs using pricing assumptions in effect at the end of the period. PV-10 is used by the industry as a reserve asset value measure to compare against past reserve bases and the reserve bases of other business entities that are not dependent on the taxpaying status of the entity. Barclays noted that Crimson will contribute 36.5% of the combined company s proved reserves and 10.7% of the combined company s PV-10 adjusted for net debt. Barclays noted that Crimson s share of the combined company s total enterprise value and equity value based on closing share prices on April 29, 2013 was 43.1% and 19.1%, respectively. Barclays notes that the primary shortcoming of contribution analysis is that it treats all cash flow, reserves, production and PV-10 the same regardless of capitalization, expected growth rates, upside potential, risk profile or credit profile.

Pro Forma Merger Consequences Analysis

Barclays reviewed and analyzed the pro forma impact of the merger on projected DCF per share for Crimson and Contango, respectively, for each of 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016 using the Crimson Financials, the Contango Financials and pro forma combined prospective financial information provided by Crimson. Barclays noted that pro forma DCF per share would be dilutive to Crimson standalone DCF per share for each of 2013 and 2016, respectively, and accretive to Crimson standalone DCF per share for each of 2014 and 2016, respectively. Barclays noted that pro forma DCF per share for each of 2013, 2014, 2015 and 2016, respectively.

General

Barclays is an internationally recognized investment banking firm and, as part of its investment banking activities, is regularly engaged in the valuation of businesses and their securities in connection with: mergers and acquisitions, investments for passive and control purposes, negotiated underwritings, competitive bids, secondary distributions of listed and unlisted securities, private placements and valuations for estate, corporate and other purposes. The management of Crimson recommended, and the Crimson board of directors determined, to select Barclays because of its familiarity with Contango and Crimson, its historical relationship with Crimson, and because of Barclays qualifications, reputation and experience in the valuation of businesses and securities in connection with mergers and acquisitions generally, knowledge of the industries in which Contango and Crimson operate, as well as substantial experience in transactions comparable to the merger.

Barclays acted as financial advisor to Crimson in connection with the merger. As compensation for its services in connection with the merger, an opinion fee of \$250,000 was earned by Barclays upon the delivery of its fairness opinion. Additionally, an advisory fee of \$2,500,000 will be payable on consummation of the merger.

⁹⁴

In addition, Crimson has agreed to reimburse a portion of Barclays expenses and indemnify Barclays for certain liabilities that may arise out of Barclays engagement. Barclays has performed various investment banking and financial services for Crimson in the past, and expects to perform such services in the future, and has received, and expects to receive, customary fees for such services.

Barclays and its affiliates engage in a wide range of businesses from investment and commercial banking, lending, asset management and other financial and non-financial services. In the ordinary course of its business, Barclays and its affiliates may actively trade and effect transactions in the equity, debt and/or other securities (and any derivatives thereof) and financial instruments (including loans and other obligations) of Crimson and Contango for Barclays own account and for the accounts of Barclays customers and, accordingly, may at any time hold long or short positions and investments in such securities and financial instruments.

The opinion, the issuance of which was approved by the Barclays Valuation and Fairness Opinion Committee, is addressed to the Crimson board of directors, addresses only the fairness, from a financial point of view, of the consideration to be offered to the stockholders of Crimson and does not constitute a recommendation to any stockholder of Crimson as to what form of consideration such stockholder should elect or how such stockholder should vote or act with respect to any other matter relating the merger or any other matter.

Certain Prospective Unaudited Financial and Operating Information of Contango and Crimson

Neither Contango nor Crimson as a matter of course makes public long-term projections as to its future revenues, production, earnings or other results because of, among other reasons, the uncertainty of the underlying assumptions and estimates. However, in connection with the evaluation of the proposed merger, Contango and Crimson are including the following summaries of unaudited prospective financial and operating information, which are included herein because they were reviewed by and/or used in presentation to the Contango board of directors and Petrie and the Crimson board of directors and Barclays in connection with their respective evaluations of the merger. Barclays and Petrie were authorized, by Crimson and Contango, respectively, to rely upon such information for purposes of their respective analyses and opinions. The inclusion of this information should not be regarded as an indication that any of Contango, Crimson, the Contango board of directors, the Crimson board of directors, Petrie or Barclays or any other recipient of this information considered, or now considers, it to be necessarily predictive of actual future results.

The unaudited prospective financial and operating information prepared by the management of Contango and Crimson, respectively, was, in general, prepared solely for their internal use and is subjective in many respects. As a result, there can be no assurance that the prospective results will be realized or that actual results will not be significantly higher or lower than estimated. Since the unaudited prospective financial and operating information covers multiple years, such information by its nature becomes less predictive with each successive year. Contango stockholders and Crimson stockholders are urged to review Contango s and Crimson s SEC filings for a description of risk factors with respect to Contango s business and Crimson s business, respectively, as well as the section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled Risk Factors beginning on page 32.

Neither Contango nor Petrie has made any representations to Crimson, and neither Crimson nor Barclays has made any representations to Contango, concerning prospective information or the estimates or assumptions on which they are based. Contango and Crimson urge all stockholders to review Contango s and Crimson s most recent SEC filings for a description of Contango s and Crimson s reported financial results.

Unaudited Prospective Financial and Operating Information Used by the Contango Board of Directors and Petrie

The Contango board of directors received, in connection with its evaluation of the merger, information concerning estimates of potential future financial and operating performance of each of Contango and Crimson, which estimates were prepared using a range of assumptions that incorporated proved oil and gas reserves and contributions from oil and gas resource potential. This prospective financial and operating information was based

on information provided to Petrie in the preparation of its opinion described in the section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled Opinion of Contango s Financial Advisor, and Petrie was authorized by Contango s management to rely on that data for purposes of its analysis and opinion. The prospective oil and gas production, operating expenses, and capital costs for Contango were based upon the December 31, 2012 revised proved reserve report prepared by Cobb & Associates dated April 16, 2013, and the prospective oil and gas production, operating expenses and capital costs for Crimson were based upon the year-end 2012 reserve report prepared by NSAI. In connection with the preparation of its presentation to the Contango board of directors regarding the Petrie fairness opinion, Petrie organized Contango s prospective financial and operating information into tabular format, which was subsequently confirmed by Contango management.

CONTANGO PROSPECTIVE INFORMATION

	2013E	2014E	2015E (\$ in million	2016E s)	2017E
Daily Production (Mmcfed)	72	82	96	95	95
EBITDAX	\$ 133	\$ 154	\$ 189	\$ 203	\$ 211
Discretionary Cash Flow	\$ 104	\$ 121	\$ 148	\$ 156	\$ 143
	CRIMSON PROSPECTIVE INFORMATION				

	2013E	2014E	2015E (\$ in millions)	2016E	2017E
Daily Production (Mmcfed)	34	38	44	50	54
EBITDAX	\$ 72	\$ 94	\$ 113	\$ 120	\$ 129
Discretionary Cash Flow	\$ 48	\$ 69	\$ 88	\$ 95	\$ 104
Key Assumptions					
Natural Gas (\$/MMbtu)	\$ 4.10	\$ 4.30	\$ 4.33	\$ 4.38	\$ 4.46
WTI Crude Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 92.79	\$ 88.91	\$ 86.53	\$ 85.09	\$ 84.15
Unaudited Prospective Financial and Operating Information Used by the Crim	son Board of	Directors a	nd Barclays		

Chananca Prospective P manenia and Operating Information Osea by the Crimson Dourd of Directors and Dareays

The Crimson board of directors received, in connection with its evaluation of the merger, information concerning estimates of potential future financial and operating performance of each of Contango and Crimson, which estimates were prepared using a range of assumptions that incorporated proved oil and gas reserves and contributions from oil and gas resource potential. This prospective financial and operating information was provided to Barclays, and Barclay s relied upon this information in the preparation of its opinion described in the section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled Opinion of Crimson s Financial Advisor and in connection with its analysis of the merger.

CONTANGO PROSPECTIVE INFORMATION

	2013E	2014E	2015E (\$ in millions)	2016E	2017E
Daily Production (Mmcfed)	72.1	83.8	91.5	92.9	98.0
EBITDAX	\$ 129	\$ 163	\$ 185	\$ 207	\$ 227
Discretionary Cash Flow	\$ 115	\$ 146	\$ 168	\$ 185	\$ 201

CRIMSON PROSPECTIVE INFORMATION

	2013E	2014E	2015E (\$ in millions	2016E	2017E (1)
Daily Production (Mmcfed)	33.6	38.0	44.4	49.7	54.4
EBITDAX	\$ 70	\$ 100	\$ 121	\$ 128	\$ 136
Discretionary Cash Flow	\$ 45	\$ 75	\$97	\$ 104	\$ 112
Key Assumptions					
Natural Gas (\$/MMbtu)	\$ 3.58	\$ 4.08	\$ 4.28	\$ 4.43	\$ 4.59
WTI Crude Oil (\$/Bbl)	\$ 97.31	\$ 95.00	\$91.00	\$ 88.00	\$ 86.09

(1) The prospective financial and operating information of Crimson used in Barclays discounted cash flow analysis inadvertently understated Crimson s estimated 2017 Daily Production Volume at 50.1 Mmcfed, resulting in understated Crimson EBITDAX and Discretionary Cash Flow. The Crimson board of directors has been advised of the aforementioned discrepancy and has affirmed its support of the merger. For more information, see The Merger Opinion of Crimson s Financial Advisor Discounted Cash Flow Analysis, beginning on page 89. To prepare the above prospective financial information, Crimson balanced capital expenditures with discretionary cash flow. This approach is consistent with the methodology Crimson has used in recent years to prepare its operating budget. The prospective financial information prioritizes areas by rate of return when selecting drilling locations. Due to the current commodity price environment, the prioritized areas tend to be characterized by crude oil and liquids-weighted production profiles. Each area targeted for drilling in the prospective financial information is believed to contain producing hydrocarbons based upon activity by Crimson and surrounding operators. Assumptions underlying operating expenses, depreciation, depletion, amortization and general and administrative expenses, were consistent with Crimson s existing asset base at the time such information was prepared. The prospective financial information assumes no hedging contracts. Price differentials varied by corporate area and were calculated based on historical averages that included transportation, processing and other related fees.

Qualifications Regarding Prospective Financial Information of Contango and Crimson

The Contango and Crimson prospective financial and operating information was not prepared with a view toward public disclosure, nor were they prepared with a view toward compliance with the published guidelines of the SEC, the guidelines established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants for preparation and presentation of financial forecasts or generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, which are referred to herein as GAAP. Neither Grant Thornton LLP, which is the independent registered public accounting firm for both Contango and Crimson and is referred to herein as Grant Thornton, nor any other independent accountants, have compiled, examined or performed any procedures with respect to Contango s and Crimson s prospective financial and operating information contained herein, nor have they expressed any opinion or any other form of assurance on such information or its achievability, and assume no responsibility for, and disclaim any association with, the prospective financial and operating information. The Grant Thornton reports incorporated by reference in this joint proxy statement/prospectus relates to historical financial information for Contango and Crimson, respectively. It does not extend to Contango s and Crimson s prospective financial and operating information and should not be read to do so. The summary of Contango s and Crimson s prospective financial and operating information is being included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus not to influence your decision whether to vote for the merger proposal, but because the prospective financial and operating information was made available to the Contango and Crimson board of directors and the respective financial advisors to the Contango and Crimson boards of directors in connection with the merger.

While presented herein with numeric specificity, the information set forth in the summary of Contango s and Crimson s prospective financial and operating information contained herein was based on numerous variables and assumptions that are inherently uncertain and may be beyond the control of Contango s and

Crimson s management, including, among others, oil and gas activity, commodity prices, demand for natural gas and crude oil and the availability of financing to fund the exploration and development costs associated with the respective projected drilling programs. None of this prospective financial and operational information reflects any impact of the merger. In addition, since Contango s and Crimson s prospective financial and operating information cover multiple years, such information by its nature becomes less predictive with each successive year. In addition, the unaudited prospective financial and operating information requires significant estimates and assumptions that make it inherently less comparable to the similarly-titled GAAP measures in the respective historical GAAP financial statements of Contango and Crimson. Both Contango and Crimson believe the assumptions in the prospective financial and operating information was prepared, given the information both Contango and Crimson had at the time. However, important factors that may affect actual results and cause the results reflected in Contango s and Crimson s prospective financial and operating information not to be achieved include, but are not limited to, risks and uncertainties relating to their respective businesses, industry performance, the regulatory environment, general business and economic conditions and other matters described under the section of this joint proxy statement/prospectus entitled Risk Factors. See also Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements and Where You Can Find More Information. The prospective financial and operating information also reflects assumptions as to certain business decisions that are subject to change. As a result, actual results may differ materially from the results reflected in Contango s and Crimson s prospective financial and operating information. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that the results reflected in the prospective financial and operating information will be realized.

The inclusion of Contango s and Crimson s prospective financial and operating information in this joint proxy statement/prospectus should not be regarded as an indication that any of Contango, Crimson or any of their respective affiliates, advisors, officers, directors, partners or representatives considered the prospective financial and operating information to be material or predictive of actual future events, and the prospective financial and operating information should not be relied upon as such. None of Contango, Crimson or any of their respective affiliates, advisors, officers, directors, partners or representatives can give you any assurance that actual results will not differ from the results reflected in the prospective financial and operating information, and none undertakes any obligation to update or otherwise revise or reconcile the prospective financial and operating information to reflect circumstances existing after the dates the prospective financial and operating information was generated or to reflect the occurrence of future events in the event that any or all of the assumptions underlying the prospective financial and operating information are shown to be in error. Contango and Crimson do not intend to make publicly available any update or other revision to the prospective financial and operating information. The projected financial and operational information for Contango and Crimson does not take into account any circumstances or events occurring after the date such information was prepared. Since the preparation of the information, Contango has made publicly available its actual results of operations for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013, and Crimson has made publicly available its actual results of operations for the quarterly periods ended March 31, 2013 and June 30, 2013. Stockholders are urged to read Contango s and Crimson s Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for such quarterly period, which are incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus, to obtain this information. Readers of this joint proxy statement/prospectus are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the prospective financial and operating information set forth above. None of Contango s or Crimson s nor their affiliates, advisors, officers, directors, partners or representatives has made or makes any representation to any stockholder or other person regarding either Contango s or Crimson s ultimate performance compared to the information contained in the prospective financial and operating information or that financial or operating results will be achieved. Crimson has made no representation to Contango, in the merger agreement or otherwise, concerning the Crimson prospective financial and operating information. Similarly, Contango has made no representation to Crimson, in the merger agreement or otherwise, concerning the Contango prospective financial and operating information.

CONTANGO S AND CRIMSON S PROSPECTIVE FINANCIAL INFORMATION DOES NOT REPRESENT PROJECTIONS, BUT RATHER POTENTIAL SCENARIOS BASED ON VARYING DEGREES OF SUCCESS. ACCORDINGLY, RESULTS ARE DEPENDENT ON THE OUTCOME OF FUTURE EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITY, WHICH IS SUBJECT TO SIGNIFICANT RISK AND

UNCERTAINTY. NEITHER CONTANGO NOR CRIMSON INTENDS TO UPDATE OR OTHERWISE REVISE THE PROSPECTIVE FINANCIAL AND OPERATING INFORMATION TO REFLECT CIRCUMSTANCES EXISTING AFTER THE DATE WHEN THE INFORMATION WAS GENERATED OR TO REFLECT THE OCCURRENCE OF FUTURE EVENTS, EVEN IN THE EVENT THAT ANY OR ALL OF THE ASSUMPTIONS UNDERLYING SUCH INFORMATION ARE NO LONGER APPROPRIATE, EXCEPT AS MAY BE REQUIRED BY LAW.

Amended and Restated Bylaws of Contango

The Contango board of directors has approved, subject to completion of the merger, an amendment and restatement of Contango s bylaws to, among other things, (i) increase the maximum number of director positions available on Contango s board of directors from seven to eight, (ii) provide for an investment committee of the board of directors and specify the number of members and the powers and duties of such committee, (iii) provide that, immediately following and until the first anniversary of the effective time of the merger, five of the eight directors will be the individuals selected by the Contango board of directors and three of the eight directors will be those individuals named by the Crimson board of directors, (iv) immediately following and until the first anniversary of the effective time of the merger, require the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the directors then in office, including at least one Crimson director, to increase or decrease the number of directors on the board of directors, remove the Chairman of the board of directors, the chief executive officer or the chief financial officer, designate or replace any member or modify the authority of the investment committee of the board of directors, or amend any provision affecting those items named above and (v) make other clarifying changes deemed appropriate by the Contango board of directors. The bylaws provide that the initial members of the investment committee will be Joseph J. Romano and Allan D. Keel, with Mr. Romano to serve as Chairman. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the form of amended and restated bylaws of Contango will be effective as of the effective time of the merger. In the event the merger is not completed, the amended and restated bylaws of Contango will not become effective.

Interests of Contango Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger

In considering the recommendation of the Contango board of directors that you vote to approve the proposals submitted for the Contango stockholder vote set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, you should be aware that some of Contango s directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of Contango s stockholders generally. The Contango board of directors was aware of and considered these potential interests, among other matters, in evaluating the merger agreement and the merger and in recommending to you that you approve the proposals submitted for the Contango stockholder vote set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Positions with the Combined Company

Following the completion of the merger, it is anticipated that Joseph J. Romano, Brad Juneau, B.A. Berilgen, Charles M. Reimer and Steven L. Schoonover will continue to serve as directors of the combined company, as described under Board of Directors and Management Following the Merger.

Annual Bonuses

Joseph J. Romano, Chief Executive Officer of Contango, is eligible for an annual cash bonus award based on the achievement of performance measures relating to (i) stockholder return, (ii) relative performance against the S&P 600 Small Cap Index or (iii) a transaction with an aggregate value of at least \$150 million. Even if the other performance measures are not met, the merger will satisfy the transactional performance measure, and as a result, Mr. Romano will be entitled to receive a \$4.0 million bonus payment as a result of the closing of the merger, as described under Merger-Related Compensation below. Mr. Romano must continue to provide

services to Contango as Chairman or President through June 30, 2014 in order to receive the bonus. It is anticipated that Mr. Romano will serve as Chairman of Contango through June 30, 2014 and during future periods.

For the period beginning July 1, 2013 and ending on December 31, 2013, Contango employees (other than Mr. Romano) may receive discretionary bonuses as determined by the compensation committee of the combined company. No pro-rata bonus payments will be made to Contango employees upon the closing.

Equity Awards

Since implementing Contango s share repurchase program in September 2008, Contango has issued fewer stock option and restricted stock awards, preferring instead to reward senior executive officers with higher cash bonuses resulting in less dilution for Contango s stockholders. As of June 30, 2012, Contango had no outstanding stock options or other equity-based awards, and no such awards have been granted since that date. As a result, with respect to equity awards, none of Contango s executive officers have financial interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of Contango s stockholders generally.

Annual Salaries

The Merger Agreement requires that, for the one-year period following the closing, the Contango employees who remain employed with Contango or any of its affiliates following the closing of the merger, including any named executive officer, receive base salaries at least equal to the salaries in effect for such employees immediately prior to the closing.

Employment and Severance Agreements

Contango and Marc Duncan entered into a transition agreement on June 6, 2013 pursuant to which Mr. Duncan agreed to continue in employment through the effective date of the merger, on which date Mr. Duncan s employment with Contango will terminate. Provided Mr. Duncan remains employed by Contango thorough the effective date of the merger, and Mr. Duncan executes a general release of claims, Mr. Duncan will receive the following payments upon termination of employment: (i) a severance payment of \$250,000, which is equal to one year s base salary, and (ii) a deferred bonus payment of \$75,000, as described under Merger-Related Compensation below.

Contango has no employment or severance agreement with any executive officer other than Mr. Duncan.

Merger-Related Compensation

Under Contango s compensation arrangements with its named executive officers, other than the payments set forth in the table below, no named executive officers will receive any compensation on account of the Merger.

According to annual bonus performance measures established by the Contango compensation committee, as discussed above, Mr. Romano is eligible to receive an annual cash bonus award of up to \$4.0 million based on the achievement of performance measures relating to (i) stockholder return, (ii) relative performance against the S&P 600 Small Cap Index, or (iii) a significant corporate transaction. Under the transactional performance measure, Mr. Romano is eligible to receive a bonus payment in the amount of \$4.0 million in the event that Contango undergoes a merger, acquisition of stock or assets of another company by Contango, or similar reorganization in one or more transactions with an aggregate value of at least \$150 million during Contango s fiscal year beginning July 1, 2012 or July 1, 2013. Even if the other performance measures are not met, the merger will meet the transactional performance measure, and as a result, Mr. Romano will be entitled to receive a \$4.0 million bonus payment as a result of closing of the merger if Mr. Romano continues to provide services to Contango as Chairman or President through June 30, 2014. Mr. Romano became President and Chief Executive

Officer of Contango in December, 2012, following the departure of Mr. Kenneth R. Peak, Contango s founder and former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, in a medical leave of absence. It is anticipated that Mr. Romano will serve as Chairman of Contango through June 30, 2014 and during future periods. The Contango compensation committee included this metric in Mr. Romano s annual bonus performance measures in order to provide an incentive for Mr. Romano to complete a significant transaction for Contango, which is intended to benefit Contango s stockholders. Contango has not granted any stock options or other equity-based awards to Mr. Romano. If this bonus payment is paid, no other annual bonus will be paid to Mr. Romano for the fiscal year in which the effective time of the merger occurs.

Contango and Mr. Duncan entered into a transition agreement on June 6, 2013 pursuant to which Mr. Duncan agreed to continue in employment with Contango through the effective date of the merger, on which date Mr. Duncan s employment with Contango will terminate. Provided Mr. Duncan remains employed by Contango thorough the effective date of the merger, and Mr. Duncan executes a general release of claims, Mr. Duncan will receive the following payments upon termination of employment: (i) a lump sum cash severance payment in the amount of \$250,000, which is equal to one year of base salary, and (ii) a lump sum cash payment in the amount of \$75,000, representing payment of the deferred bonus that would otherwise have been payable to Mr. Duncan on June 30, 2014 had his employment with Contango continued through such date.

The following table sets forth the information required by Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K regarding certain compensation related to the merger for Contango s named executive officers, assuming the merger is consummated. This compensation is referred to as golden parachute compensation payable by Contango to these individuals is subject to a non-binding advisory vote of Contango stockholders, as described under Proposals for the Contango Special Meeting Contango Proposal 2 Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Compensation on page 128.

The amounts set forth below are payable in connection with the consummation of the Merger, as detailed in the footnotes below.

		Cash (\$)	-	uity \$)	nsion QDC (\$)		Perqu Bene (\$	efits	Reim	ax burse- ent §)	 her \$)		Total (\$)
Joseph J. Romano	\$4	,000,000(1)	\$	0	\$ C)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$4	,000,000
Chairman, President and													
Chief Executive Officer													
Sergio Castro	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0
Vice President, Chief Financial Officer,													
Treasurer and Secretary													
Yaroslava Makalskaya	\$	0	\$	0	\$ C)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0
Vice President, Controller and Chief													
Accounting Officer													
Marc Duncan	\$	325,000(2)	\$	0	\$ 0)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	325,000
Senior Vice President Engineering													
Charles Cambron	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0)	\$	0	\$	0	\$ 0	\$	0
Vice President Drilling													

(1) The amount disclosed represents a cash bonus payment of \$4.0 million under one of the annual bonus performance measures established by the Contango compensation committee, which is to be paid in the event that Contango undergoes a merger, acquisition of stock or assets of another company by Contango, or similar reorganization in one or more transactions with an aggregate value of at least \$150 million. Even if the other performance measures are not met, the merger will meet this requirement, and as a result, Mr. Romano will be entitled to receive the \$4.0 million bonus payment as a result of closing of the merger if he continues in service with Contango through June 30, 2014. The cash bonus payment will be paid in a

lump sum. The bonus payment is considered a double trigger payment, since the bonus payment will be paid as a result of the closing of the merger, but only if Mr. Romano continues to provide services through June 30, 2014. It is anticipated that Mr. Romano will serve as Chairman of Contango through June 30, 2014 and during future periods.

(2) The amount disclosed represents the following payments to be made subject to the terms of Mr. Duncan s transition agreement: (i) a lump sum severance payment in the amount of \$250,000 and (ii) a deferred bonus payment in the amount of \$75,000, which otherwise would have been payable to Mr. Duncan on June 30, 2014 had his employment with Contango continued through such date. These payments are considered single trigger payments, since they will be payable upon termination of employment that will occur on the effective date of the merger.

Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger

In considering the recommendation of the Crimson board of directors that you vote to approve the proposals submitted for the Crimson stockholder vote set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, you should be aware that some of Crimson s directors and executive officers have financial interests in the merger that are different from, or in addition to, those of Crimson s stockholders generally. The Crimson board of directors was aware of and considered these potential interests, among other matters, in evaluating the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and in recommending to you that you approve the proposals submitted for the Crimson stockholder vote set forth in this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Positions with the Combined Company

Following the completion of the merger, it is anticipated that Allan D. Keel, B. James Ford and Lon McCain will serve as directors of the combined company, as described under Board of Directors and Management Following the Merger.

Bonuses

The Crimson named executive officers are eligible to participate in an annual, performance-based cash incentive compensation plan that is designed to reward all employees on the basis of Crimson attaining pre-determined performance measures. For the 2013 year, the five categories of performance measures focused on (i) oil and gas production levels, (ii) earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, amortization and exploration expenses, (iii) replacement of oil and natural gas reserves, (iv) finding and development costs and (v) cash return on invested capital. Amounts potentially earned under the performance based cash incentive plan are set at certain percentages of the participant s base salary for each of the threshold, target and maximum award levels. For the 2013 year, percentages were set at 50%, 85% and 120%, respectively, of the annual base salaries for Messrs. Keel and Grady and 40%, 70% and 100%, respectively, of the annual base salaries for Messrs. Isaac, Mengle and Atkins. This resulted in target awards being set for Messrs. Keel, Grady, Isaac, Mengle and Atkins at \$382,500; \$310,250; \$192,500; \$192,500; and \$192,500, respectively, for the 2013 year.

For the period beginning on the first day of 2013 and ending on the effective date of the merger, the merger agreement provides that the potential awards pursuant to the performance-based cash incentive plan will be determined based upon the attainment of performance goals, and on a pro-rata basis calculated using the number of days that have passed between the beginning of the 2013 calendar year and the effective date of the merger. However, the amounts will not be paid at the closing of the merger. Such amounts will remain subject to forfeiture in the event that the executive does not remain employed by Contango for the remainder of the 2013 calendar year and will not become payable until such time as bonuses are paid to employees generally with respect to the full 2013 calendar year, which is expected to be between January 1, 2014 and March 15, 2014. Potential bonuses are disclosed here due to the fact that the merger will slightly modify the manner in which bonuses are calculated and determined as compared to the way bonuses are typically awarded under Crimson s bonus plan.

Amounts of the performance-based cash incentive plan bonuses for the Crimson named executive officers cannot be calculated until the close of the merger and the analysis of the applicable performance measures can be completed. At this time, the highest estimates of those amounts that can be provided will be a pro-rata payment based on the period from January 1, 2013 until August 31, 2013, and based upon the maximum amount of the performance-based cash incentive plan award set at the beginning of the 2013 calendar year for each individual. Actual amounts paid may be smaller than what is reported below.

	Maximu	Maximum Estimated			
	Pro-R	Pro-Rata Bonus			
Name	Pa	Payment			
Allan D. Keel	\$	360,000			
E. Joseph Grady	\$	292,000			
Jay S. Mengle	\$	183,333			
Thomas H. Atkins	\$	183,333			
Anthony C. Isaac	\$	183,333			

Equity Awards and Related Cash Payments

Crimson has previously granted stock options and restricted stock awards to its executive officers and other employees under the Crimson Stock Incentive Plan. The merger will result in a change in control pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan, resulting in the immediate vesting of all outstanding stock options and restricted stock awards. Estimated values related to the acceleration of equity awards for the Crimson executive officers are detailed below in the sections titled Summary of Compensation Expected to be Paid in Connection with the Merger and Potential Merger-Related Compensation. The named executive officers other than Mr. Isaac also hold unvested cash payments that were granted in connection with the 2011 Crimson stock option exchange program, which will also accelerate and become payable in connection with the merger.

Crimson Stock Options. Upon completion of the merger, each outstanding option to acquire Crimson common stock will be converted into fully vested and immediately exercisable options to purchase shares of Contango common stock. The number of shares of Contango common stock that will be subject to such stock options will be the number of shares of Crimson common stock subject to each such Crimson stock option multiplied by the exchange ratio of .08288, rounded down to the nearest whole share of Contango common stock. The exercise price per share of Contango common stock under such Contango stock options will be equal to the exercise price per share of Crimson common stock for such stock option divided by the exchange ratio of .08288, rounded up to the nearest whole cent. Due to the conversion of both the exercise price and the number of shares by the same conversion factor, the options that are considered underwater at Crimson, meaning that the exercise price is higher than the market price of the underlying common stock, will remain underwater as of the merger date once converted to Contango options. The individuals holding such options will only receive value from these converted option awards in the event that the market price of the underlying Contango common stock increases to an amount that is above the converted exercise price for the options.

The table below shows the number and exercise price of Crimson options held by each current Crimson named executive officer, along with the number and exercise price of the Contango options that they will receive upon the completion of the merger:

	Crimson			
	Crimson	Exercise Price	Contango	Contango Exercise
Name	Options (#)	(\$)	Option (#)	Price (\$)
Allan D. Keel	675,000	5.00	55,944	60.33
E. Joseph Grady	225,000	5.00	18,648	60.33
Jay S. Mengle	45,000	5.00	3,729	60.33
Thomas H. Atkins	38,300	5.00	3,174	60.33
Anthony C. Isaac	100,000	3.31	8,288	39.94
Anthony C. Isaac	75,000	3.45	6,216	41.63

Crimson Restricted Stock. The consummation of the merger will result in the acceleration of vesting for each outstanding Crimson restricted stock award. Each vested share of Crimson common stock will be converted into the right to receive 0.08288 fully vested shares of Contango common stock. Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, Atkins and Isaac will receive 39,146; 21,414; 12,618; 11,341 and 11,874 fully vested shares of Contango common stock, respectively.

Option Exchange Payments. Crimson completed an option exchange program in 2011. The Crimson compensation committee concluded at that time that it would be inappropriate to grant its named executive officers options with an exercise price less than the price at which third parties had recently purchased Crimson common stock. Accordingly, the options awarded pursuant to the exchange program were granted to the named executive officers with an exercise price equal to the price at which third parties had recently purchased Crimson common stock instead of the lower market price at the time of the grant. The named executive officers who participated in the exchange program received deferred cash awards to make up the differential between the grant date value of the options actually awarded pursuant to the option exchange program and the grant date value of those options had the exercise price been the current market price. The deferred cash awards were divided into two tranches, each tranche of which was subject to a three year installment vesting schedule that is fully accelerated upon a change in control.

The first two installments of the first tranche, and the first installment of the second tranche, have already been paid to the executives. The value of the acceleration of the last installment of the first tranche, and the second and third installments of the second tranche, for Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, and Atkins will be \$324,000; \$108,000; \$21,600; and \$18,384, respectively. Mr. Isaac was not employed by Crimson at the time that the option exchange program was initiated.

Employment and Severance Agreements

New Contango Employment Agreements. As contemplated by the merger agreement, Contango entered into an employment agreement with each of Allan D. Keel and E. Joseph Grady, each dated April 29, 2013, which will become effective on the consummation of the merger. Contango has also entered into employment agreements with each of A. Carl Isaac, Jay S. Mengle and Thomas H. Atkins, which will become effective on the consummation of the merger. The employment agreements for each of the executives provide for a term of three years with automatic two-year extensions of the initial term, unless Contango or the executive provides prior notice of intention not to extend the agreement. The employment agreements replace the June 29, 2011 employment agreements between Crimson and Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle and Atkins, and the April 18, 2012 employment agreement between Crimson and Mr. Isaac, except as described below.

Under the new employment agreements, Mr. Keel is entitled to a base salary of \$600,000, Mr. Grady is entitled to a base salary of \$400,000, Mr. Isaac is entitled to a base salary of \$320,000, Mr. Mengle is entitled to a base salary of \$300,000 and Mr. Atkins is entitled to a base salary of \$310,000. Each executive shall participate in Contango s Annual Cash Incentive Bonus Plan (which is referred to as the cash plan) and Annual Long-Term Incentive Equity Plan (which is referred to as the incentive equity plan). With respect to the cash plan, the executives are eligible to receive a cash bonus based upon minimum, target and maximum award levels of not less than 50%, 100% and 150% for Mr. Keel; 50%, 90% and 130% for Mr. Grady; and 50%, 80% and 120% for Messrs. Isaac, Mengle and Atkins, respectively, of such executive s base salary. With respect to the incentive equity plan, the executives are eligible to receive stock option awards, restricted stock awards or a combination of both upon minimum, target and maximum award levels of not less than 75%, 350% and 450% for Mr. Grady; and 75%, 250% and 350% for Messrs. Isaac, Mengle and Atkins, respectively, of such executive s base salary.

Each employment agreement provides for payments in the event Contango terminates the executive s employment without cause or if the executive resigns for good reason, each as defined in each employment agreement.

Except as otherwise described in the following paragraph, if the executive s employment is terminated by Contango without cause or the executive resigns for good reason, the executive will receive (A) a cash amount equal to a specific multiplier times the sum of the then current calendar year s base salary and the prior year s bonus under the cash plan, the multiplier being 2.99 for Messrs. Keel and Grady, and 2 for Messrs. Isaac, Mengle and Atkins, (B) reimbursement of COBRA health insurance premiums for up to 36 months from the termination date for Messrs. Keel and Grady, and for up to 24 months for Messrs. Isaac, Mengle and Atkins, (C) accelerated vesting of all stock, stock option and other equity awards to the extent such awards (other than stock options and stock appreciation rights) are not subject to performance-based vesting for purposes of qualifying as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code and (D) a pro-rated bonus under the cash plan for the year of termination, based on the attainment of the applicable corporate performance goals. For purposes of calculating the severance amount due in (A), if no bonus was paid under the prior year s cash plan, severance would instead be calculated using the greater of the target bonus under the cash plan for the year of termination or the amount of any discretionary bonuses that were paid to the executive in the twelve month period prior to his termination.

For Messrs. Isaac, Mengle and Atkins, if the executive s employment is terminated by Contango without cause or the executive resigns for good reason, in either case, within 12 months after a change in the ownership or control of Contango, the executive will receive (A) a cash amount equal to 2.5 times the sum of the then current calendar year s base salary and the prior year s bonus under the cash plan, (B) reimbursement of COBRA health insurance premiums for up to 30 months from the termination date, (C) accelerated vesting of all stock, stock option and other equity awards to the extent such awards (other than stock options and stock appreciation rights) are not subject to performance-based vesting for purposes of qualifying as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code and (D) a pro-rated bonus under the cash plan for the year of termination, based on the attainment of the applicable corporate performance goals. If no bonus was paid under the prior year s cash plan, the bonus component of the cash severance amount due in (A) will be calculated as described in the last sentence of the preceding paragraph.

If the executive s employment is terminated by Contango without cause or the executive resigns for good reason within 12 months after a change in the ownership or control of Contango, payment of the entire cash severance amount will be made in a lump sum at termination. Otherwise, upon termination by Contango without cause or by the executive for good reason, the executive will receive half of the cash severance amount in a lump sum at termination and half the number of months of health insurance reimbursement. The remainder of the cash severance payment and the second half of health insurance reimbursement will be paid if and when the executive notifies Contango, prior to the conclusion of 50% of the term of the executive s non-competition and non-solicitation obligations, that the executive agrees to comply with the non-competition and non-solicitation obligations for the remainder of the term.

If the executive s employment is terminated due to non-renewal of the employment agreement by the executive or Contango, then no severance is due to the executive under the employment agreement. However, if the employment agreement is not renewed by Contango and a new employment agreement is not entered into with the executive within ten days following the expiration of the employment agreement, the executive will become 100% vested in all stock, stock option and other equity awards then held by the executive to the extent that such awards (other than stock options and stock appreciation rights) are not subject to performance-based vesting for purposes of qualifying as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code.

In the event of the executive s death or disability, the executive officer will be entitled to: (A) pro rata base salary and pro rata target annual cash incentive bonus through the date of termination for the year in which termination occurs, plus a lump sum amount equal to the greater of: (1) the remainder of the base salary that would have been earned by the executive officer under the executive s employment agreement between the date of his death or permanent disability and the expiration of the then current term of the employment agreement, or (2) 12 months of base salary plus the executive s target annual cash incentive bonus for the year of termination; and (B) full acceleration of vesting for all stock, stock option and other equity awards.

The employment agreements contain confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation covenants. In order to receive any severance payments, the executive is required to execute a general release of claims against Contango.

The employment agreements provide that the gross-up payment for any excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Code described in the 2011 employment agreements shall apply with respect to the merger, but not with respect to any subsequent transaction. In the event of a change of control transaction, other than the merger, none of the executives are entitled to gross-up payments for any excise taxes under Section 4999 of the Code. In that event, if payments to any of the executives would otherwise constitute a parachute payment under Section 280G of the Code, then the payments will be limited to the dollar amount that can be paid to the executive without triggering an excise tax under Section 4999 of the Code, unless the net after-tax amount payable to the executive, after taking into account any excise tax incurred under Section 4999, would be greater without a limitation on the payments.

Existing Crimson Employment Agreements. Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, Atkins and Isaac each currently have an employment agreement with Crimson. The Crimson employment agreements are similar to the new Contango employment agreements described above, although compensation levels and incentive targets vary between each executive officer. While the employment relationships of Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, Atkins and Isaac will be governed by the new Contango employment agreements following the consummation of the merger, Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K requires disclosure of all compensation that the current Crimson named executive officers will, may, or could receive in connection with the merger, thus the discussion below summarizes the compensation and benefits that each of the executives could receive pursuant to their current Crimson employment agreements.

Each employment agreement provides for a term of two years with automatic one-year extensions of the initial term, unless Crimson or the executive provides prior notice of intention not to extend the agreement. Under the employment agreements, Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, Atkins and Isaac are entitled to a base salary pursuant to the employment agreements that the Crimson board has set at \$450,000, \$365,000, \$275,000, \$275,000 and \$275,000, respectively, for the 2013 year. Each executive is entitled to participate in Crimson s annual cash incentive bonus plan and Crimson s annual long-term incentive equity plan. With respect to the cash plan, the executives are eligible to receive a cash bonus based upon minimum, target and maximum award levels of not less than 50%, 85% and 120% of Messrs. Keel and Grady s base salary and no less than 40%, 70% and 100% of Messrs. Mengle, Atkins and Isaac s base salary. With respect to the incentive equity plan, the executives are eligible to receive stock option awards, restricted stock awards or a combination of both upon minimum, target and maximum award levels of not less than 75%, 225% and 450% of Mr. Keel s base salary, 75%, 175% and 350% of Mr. Grady s base salary, and 50%, 150% and 300% of Messrs. Mengle, Atkins and Isaac s base salary, and 50%, 150% and 300% of Messrs. Mengle, Atkins and Isaac s base salary s base salary, and 50%, 150% and 300% of Messrs. Mengle, Atkins and Isaac s base salary.

If the executive s employment is terminated by Crimson without cause or the executive resigns for good reason, the executive will receive (A) a cash amount equal to a specific multiplier times the sum of the then current calendar year s base salary and the prior year s bonus under the cash plan, the multiplier being 2.99 for Messrs. Keel and Grady, and two for Messrs. Isaac, Mengle and Atkins, (B) health insurance benefits for a period of 36 months from the termination date for Messrs. Keel and Grady, and for up to 24 months for Messrs. Isaac, Mengle and Atkins, at no cost to the executive, and (C) accelerated vesting of all stock, stock option and other equity awards to the extent such awards (other than stock options and stock appreciation rights) are not subject to performance-based vesting for purposes of qualifying as performance-based compensation for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Code. For purposes of calculating the severance amount due in (A), if no bonus was paid under the prior year s cash plan, severance would instead be calculated using the greater of the target bonus under the cash plan for the year of termination or the amount of any discretionary bonuses that were paid to the executive in the twelve month period prior to his termination. Messrs. Keel and Grady also have the option to resign for any reason during the thirty day period immediately following the one year anniversary of a change in control, and the resignation would be deemed to be a resignation by the executive for good reason. This provision was not carried over to the new Contango employment agreements for Messrs. Keel and Grady.

If the executive s employment is terminated by Crimson without cause or the executive resigns for good reason within 12 months after a change in the ownership or control of Crimson, payment of the entire cash severance amount will be made in a lump sum at termination. Otherwise, upon termination by Crimson without cause or by the executive for good reason, the executive will receive half of the cash severance amount in a lump sum at termination and half the number of months of health insurance benefits. The remainder of the cash severance payment and the second half of health insurance benefits will be paid if and when the executive notifies Crimson, prior to the conclusion of 50% of the term of the executive s non-competition and non-solicitation obligations, that the executive agrees to comply with the non-competition and non-solicitation obligations for the remainder of the term.

In the event of an executive s death or disability, the executive officer will be entitled to: (A) his pro rata base salary and pro rata target annual cash incentive bonus through the date of termination for the year in which termination occurs, plus a lump sum amount equal to the greater of: (1) the remainder of the base salary that would have been earned by the executive officer under the executive s employment agreement between the date of his death or permanent disability and the expiration of the then current term of the employment agreement, or (2) 12 months of base salary plus the executive s target annual cash incentive bonus for the year of termination; and (B) full acceleration of vesting for all stock, stock option and other equity awards.

The employment agreements contain confidentiality, non-competition and non-solicitation covenants. In order to receive any severance payments, the executive is required to execute a general release of claims against Crimson.

If it is determined that any payment, award, benefit or distribution (or an acceleration of any payment, award, benefit or distribution) to an executive officer by Crimson or by another entity in the event of a change of control is subject to the imposition of an excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code, or any interest or penalties are incurred by the executive officer with respect to such excise tax, the employment agreements require that Crimson pay the executive officer an additional payment in an amount equal to that required to result in the executive officer receiving, after application of the excise tax, a net amount that would have been received by the executive officer had the excise tax not applied.

Summary of Compensation Expected to be Paid in Connection with the Merger

The table found in the section immediately below titled Potential Merger-Related Compensation is required to disclose all potential or hypothetical payments that could be paid in connection with the merger pursuant to any agreement that is currently in place between Crimson and the named executive officers, thus it contains values associated with possible terminations of the executives and includes estimated bonus amounts. The table provided in this section shows only those amounts that Crimson expects its named executive officers to receive as a direct result of the merger. The values below assume that: (i) the merger is consummated on September 1, 2013, and (ii) the price per share of the Crimson common stock for purposes of calculating accelerated values for the restricted stock (as the outstanding options are currently underwater) is \$3.15, which is the average closing market price of Crimson s common stock over the first five business days following the first public announcement of the merger. Other benefits that have been described herein, such as the pro-rata performance-based bonus for the 2013 calendar year, may be paid to the executives at a later date, but the table below solely discloses the values that Crimson expects its named executive officers to receive in hand at the time the merger is consummated.

Name	Deferred Option Exchange Payments (\$)	Accelerated Vesting of Restricted Stock (\$)	Total (\$)
Allan D. Keel	324,000	1,487,824	1,811,824
E. Joseph Grady	108,000	813,881	921,881
Jay S. Mengle	21,600	479,588	501,188
Thomas H. Atkins	18,384	431,052	449,436
A. Carl Isaac	N/A	451,278	451,278

Summary of Compensation Expected to be Paid in Connection with the Merger

Potential Merger-Related Compensation

The following table sets forth the information required by Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K regarding certain compensation that is based on or otherwise relates to the merger which the current Crimson named executive officers would receive, assuming that the merger is consummated and their employment is terminated. The values below assume that: (i) the merger is consummated on September 1, 2013, (ii) the price per share of the Crimson common stock for purposes of calculating accelerated equity is \$3.15, which is the average closing market price of Crimson s common stock over the first five business days following the first public announcement of the merger and (iii) although there are no current plans to terminate the employment of any of the Crimson named executive officers, each of the named executive officers incurred a qualifying termination in connection with the merger under their existing respective employment agreements with Crimson (meaning a termination by Crimson without cause or a resignation by the executive for good reason). Pursuant to Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K, the table below sets forth information with respect to the current employment agreements with Crimson, not the new employment agreements with Contango that become effective as of the closing date of the merger. Values shown below do not take into account any increase in compensation that may occur following the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus or following the merger. Some of the assumptions used in the table below are based upon information not currently available and, as a result, the actual amounts to be received by any of the individuals below may differ from the amounts set forth below. This compensation is referred to as golden parachute compensation. The golden parachute compensation payable by Crimson to these individuals is subject to a non-binding advisory vote of Crimson stockholders, as described under Proposals for the Crimson Special Meeting Crimson Proposal 2 Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Compensation on page

Potential Merger-Related Compensation for Crimson Named Executive Officers

Name	Cash (\$) (1)	Equity (\$) (2)	Pension/ NQDC	Perquisites/ Benefits (\$) (3)	Tax Reimburse- ment (\$) (4)	Other (\$)	Total (\$)
Allan D. Keel, Chief Executive Officer and President	3,173,175	1,487,824	0	80,340	1,322,780	0	6,064,119
E. Joseph Grady, Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2,418,998	813,881	0	103,092	1,043,641	0	4,379,612
Jay S. Mengle, Senior Vice President Operations and Engineering	1,139,933	479,588	0	36,508	0	0	1,656,029
Thomas H. Atkins, Senior Vice President Exploration	1,136,717	431,052	0	53,560	472,333	0	2,093,662
A. Carl Isaac, Senior Vice President Operations	1,118,333	451,278	0	46,088	507,488	0	2,123,187

- (1) Cash payments are composed of three elements: hypothetical severance payments, accelerated option exchange payments, and the pro-rata portion of the executive s performance-based cash incentive plan bonuses for Crimson s short 2013 year. The values shown in the table above are based on the existing employment agreements with Crimson. The values would increase if the executive s employment is terminated after the merger based on the terms of the new employment agreements being entered into by the named executive officers and Contango as discussed above under Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger.
 - (a) In the event that the employment of an executive is terminated by Crimson without cause or the executive resigns for good reason, and subject to their observance of certain non-compete and release of liability agreements, Messrs. Keel and Grady would receive a cash severance payment consisting of an amount equal to 2.99 times the sum of the current calendar year s base salary and the target annual cash incentive bonus for the year in which the termination occurs, while Messrs. Mengle, Atkins and Isaac would receive an amount equal to 2 times the sum of the current calendar year s base salary and the target annual cash incentive bonus for the year in which the termination occurs. Cash severance payments would become payable in a single lump sum following a qualifying termination in connection with a change in control, thus, in this situation, the assumption is that both a termination of employment and a change in control have occurred together, resulting in a double-trigger payment. However, Messrs. Keel and Grady could also have a single-trigger payment since, under their current Crimson employment agreements, Messrs. Keel and Grady have the option to resign for any reason during the thirty day period immediately following the one year anniversary of a change in control and receive cash severance payments. This provision is not included in the new Contango employment agreements for Messrs. Keel and Grady or any of the other executives. The severance payments shown here are intended to reflect the hypothetical amount of severance that each of the Crimson named executive officers could be entitled to receive upon a qualifying termination under the current Crimson employment agreements, although Crimson, Contango and the executives do not currently intend to terminate the executives employment. The portion of the cash payment reflected above that is attributable to cash severance payments for Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, Atkins and Isaac are \$2,489,175; \$2,018,998; \$935,000; \$935,000; and \$935,000, respectively. However, under the new employment agreements with Crimson, the portion of the cash payment that would be attributable to cash severance payments for Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, Atkins and Isaac if they terminated the day immediately following the date of the closing of the merger are \$3,588,000; \$2,272,400; \$1,080,000; \$1,116,000; and \$1,152,000, respectively.
 - (b) This cash payment column also reflects the value of the accelerated deferred option exchange payment for each executive. These option exchange payments are considered single-trigger, as such payments will be accelerated in connection with the merger. The portion of the cash payment reflected above that is attributable to the option exchange payments for Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, and Atkins are \$324,000; \$108,000; \$21,600; and \$18,384, respectively.
 - (c) The value of the pro-rata performance-based cash incentive plan bonuses were calculated using the maximum potential amounts payable to each executive for the 2013 calendar year; amounts cannot be calculated with certainty at this time, and they will remain subject to forfeiture in the event that the executive is not employed for the full 2013 calendar year. The values of the pro-rata performance-based cash incentive plan bonuses for Messrs. Keel, Grady, Mengle, Atkins and Isaac could be as high as \$360,000; \$292,000; \$183,333; \$183,333 and \$183,333, respectively, but they could also be lower.
- (2) Each Crimson stock option that the Crimson named executive officers currently hold is underwater, thus the acceleration of those awards will result in no value to the executives at this time. The values in this column reflect the value that each named executive officer will be deemed to receive upon the acceleration of the vesting of his restricted stock, based upon a \$3.15 per share value. The acceleration of the restricted stock is a single-trigger payment, as the executive will become entitled to the acceleration in connection with the merger.
- (3) The values reflected in this column estimate the value of continued health and medical benefits to each of the executives in the event that his employment is terminated by Crimson without cause or the executive



resigns for good reason. For Messrs. Keel and Grady, the amounts reflect continuation of coverage for a 36-month period, and for Messrs. Mengle, Atkins and Isaac, a period of 24 months. These are single-trigger payments as they would become due upon a termination of employment.

(4) Tax reimbursement payments reflect the estimated amount that Crimson would pay to each of Messrs. Keel, Grady and Isaac pursuant to the tax reimbursement provisions in the current employment agreements in the event that any of them are terminated by Crimson without cause or the executive resigned for good reason in connection with the merger. Crimson estimates that Messrs. Mengle and Atkins would not receive tax reimbursements. Tax reimbursements are generally single-trigger payments, as an excise tax imposed by Section 4999 of the Code is triggered by qualifying change in control transactions; however, in the factual situation that is reported here the excise tax would only be triggered in the event that a termination of employment occurred in connection with a change in control, thus the payment would become a double-trigger payment.

A description of the arrangements pursuant to and circumstances in which the amounts set forth in the table above would be payable to the Crimson named executive officers is set forth above under Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger.

Board of Directors and Executive Management Following the Merger

Immediately following the effective time of the merger, the board of directors of the combined company will be expanded from its current size of seven members to eight members, five of whom will be chosen by the current Contango directors, which directors will be members of the board of Contango immediately prior to the merger, (at least three of whom will be independent for purposes of the rules of the NYSE MKT) and three of whom will be chosen by the current Crimson directors, which directors will be members of the board of Crimson immediately prior to the merger, (at least two of whom will be independent for purposes of the rules of the board of Crimson immediately prior to the merger, (at least two of whom will be independent for purposes of the rules of the NYSE MKT). As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, it is anticipated that the following members of Contango s board of directors immediately prior to the merger will continue to serve as directors of the combined company: Joseph J. Romano, Brad Juneau, B.A. Berilgen, Charles M. Reimer and Steven L. Schoonover. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, it is anticipated that the following members of Crimson s board of directors immediately prior to the merger will continue to serve as directors of the combined company: Allan D. Keel, B. James Ford and Lon McCain. Mr. Romano will serve as Chairman of the board of directors of the combined company.

Upon completion of the merger, Mr. Keel, currently President and Chief Executive Officer of Crimson, will serve as President and Chief Executive Officer. The combined company s executive management team is expected to also include E. Joseph Grady, currently Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Crimson, as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the combined company, A. Carl Isaac, currently Senior Vice President of Operations of Crimson, will serve as Senior Vice President of Operations of the combined company, Jay S. Mengle, currently Senior Vice President of Engineering of Crimson, will serve as Senior Vice President of Engineering of the combined company, and Thomas H. Atkins, currently Senior Vice President of Exploration of Crimson, will serve as Senior Vice President of Exploration of the combined company.

Regulatory Clearances Required for the Merger

Contango and Crimson are not required to file notifications with the Federal Trade Commission and the Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice or observe a mandatory pre-merger waiting period before completing the merger under the HSR Act. Contango and Crimson cannot assure you, however, that other government agencies or private parties will not initiate actions to challenge the merger before or after it is completed. Any such challenge to the merger could result in a court order enjoining the merger or in restrictions or conditions that would have a material adverse effect on the combined company following the merger if the merger is completed.

Treatment of Crimson Stock Options and Other Equity-Based Awards

Upon completion of the merger, each outstanding option to acquire Crimson common stock will be converted into fully vested and immediately exercisable options to purchase shares of Contango common stock. The number of shares of Contango common stock which will be subject to such Contango stock options will be the number of shares of Crimson common stock subject to each such Crimson stock option multiplied by 0.08288 (the exchange ratio in the merger), rounded down to the nearest whole share of Contango common stock. The exercise price per share of Contango common stock for such Contango stock option will equal the exercise price per share of Crimson common stock for such Crimson stock for such Crimson stock option divided by 0.08288 (the exchange ratio in the merger), rounded up to the nearest whole cent.

Effective immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of Crimson restricted stock will become a fully vested and unrestricted share of Crimson common stock and will be converted into an unrestricted share of Crimson common stock with the right to receive 0.08288 fully vested shares of Contango common stock.

Treatment of Contango and Crimson Credit Agreements

Contango maintains a secured revolving credit facility with Amegy Bank (the Contango Credit Facility). The Contango Credit Facility currently has a \$40 million hydrocarbon borrowing base available to fund Contango s exploration and development activities, as well as repurchase shares of common stock of Contango and to fund working capital as needed. The Contango Credit Facility is secured by substantially all of the assets of Contango, including Contango s natural gas and oil properties. Borrowings under the Contango Credit Facility bear interest at LIBOR plus 2.5%, subject to a LIBOR floor of 0.75%. The principal is due October 1, 2014, and may be prepaid at any time with no prepayment penalty. An arrangement fee of \$300,000 was paid in connection with the facility and effective November 1, 2011, a commitment fee of 0.125% is owed on unused borrowing capacity. The Contango Credit Facility contains customary covenants including limitations on Contango s current ratio of assets to liabilities and additional indebtedness. As of June , 2013, Contango was in compliance with all covenants and had no amounts outstanding under the Contango Credit Facility. It is currently anticipated that effective as of the effective time of the merger the Contango Credit Facility will be terminated.

Crimson currently maintains a \$400 million senior secured revolving credit facility with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as agent (the Crimson Senior Credit Facility). The borrowing base under the Crimson Senior Credit Facility is currently set at \$100 million. Advances under the Crimson Senior Credit Facility are in the form of either base rate loans or LIBOR loans. The interest rate on the base rate loans fluctuates based upon the higher of the lender s prime rate and the Federal Funds rate. The interest rate on the LIBOR loans fluctuates based upon the rate at which Eurodollar deposits in the LIBOR market are quoted for the maturity selected. The applicable margin ranges between 1.75% and 2.75%, for LIBOR loans, and between 0.75% and 1.75%, for base rate loans. The specific applicable interest margin is determined by, in each case, the percent of the borrowing base utilized at the time of the credit extension. LIBOR loans of one, two, three and nine months may be selected. The commitment fee payable on the unused portion of the borrowing base is between 0.375% and 0.500%, depending on the borrowing base utilization. The Crimson Senior Credit Facility also includes other covenants and restrictions customary for senior secured credit facilities.

It is expected that the Contango Credit Facility and/or the Crimson Senior Credit Facility will be amended, restated or replaced effective as of the effective time of the merger (as amended, restated or replaced, the Combined Company Senior Credit Facility) to reflect the consummation of the merger. It is anticipated the borrowing base under the Combined Company Senior Credit Facility will likely be in the range of \$250 \$275 million which is significantly larger than that under the Crimson Senior Credit Facility and reflects the combined company s proved crude oil and natural gas reserves. It is also expected that the obligations under the Combined Company Senior Credit Facility will be secured by a pledge of the assets of the combined company, including the capital stock of the subsidiaries of the combined company. Although Crimson and Contango have not finalized the terms of any amendment, restatement or replacement of the Contango Credit Facility and/or Crimson Senior

Credit Facility (including with respect to interest rates, restrictive covenants, events of default, guarantees and prepayment provisions) to date, extensive discussions have been held with a number of prospective lenders regarding the Combined Company Senior Credit Facility and lenders appear to view the merger positively and wish to participate in a Combined Company Senior Credit Facility of the size noted above. However, no assurance may be given that a Combined Company Senior Credit Facility may be negotiated and completed.

It is also anticipated that, at or immediately following the effective time of the merger, Crimson's second lien credit facility with Barclays Bank Plc, as agent (the Crimson Second Lien Credit Facility) will be terminated and any indebtedness thereunder repaid. As of June 30, 2013, Crimson had a principal amount of \$175.0 million outstanding under the Crimson Second Lien Credit Facility, with a discount of \$4.1 million using the estimated market value interest rate at the time of issuance, for a net reported balance of \$170.9 million. The prepayment of indebtedness under the Crimson Second Lien Credit Facility will require the payment of a prepayment fee equal to 1% of the principal amount repaid at or immediately following the effective time of the merger. The combined company currently plans to fund the repayment of the indebtedness under the Crimson Second Lien Credit Facility from Contango's existing cash on hand and borrowings under the Combined Company Senior Credit Facility.

Contango Dividend Policy

Contango currently has not declared and does not currently intend to pay cash dividends on its shares of common stock. Contango declared and paid a one-time special dividend on its shares of common stock in December 2012. Any future decisions to pay dividends on Contango common stock will be at the discretion of the Contango board and will depend on the financial condition, results of operations, capital requirements, and other factors that the Contango board may deem relevant. The Contango board currently has no plan to institute a periodic dividend.

Listing of Contango Common Stock

It is a condition to the completion of the merger that the shares of Contango common stock to be issued to Crimson stockholders pursuant to the merger and such other shares of Contango common stock to be reserved for issuance in connection with the merger be authorized for listing on the NYSE MKT, subject to official notice of issuance.

De-Listing and Deregistration of Crimson Stock

Upon completion of the merger, the Crimson common stock currently listed on the NASDAQ will cease to be listed on the NASDAQ and will subsequently be deregistered under the Exchange Act.

No Appraisal Rights

Under Delaware law, as well as the certificates of incorporation and bylaws of each company, neither the holders of Contango capital stock nor the holders of Crimson capital stock, respectively, are entitled to appraisal rights in connection with the merger.

Litigation Related to the Merger

Following the announcement of the merger, stockholders filed purported class action lawsuits in Delaware Chancery Court against Crimson, members of Crimson s board of directors and management team, Contango, Merger Sub and Oaktree Management. These lawsuits have been consolidated into a single action for all purposes referred to as In Re: Crimson Exploration Inc. Stockholder Litigation; C.A. 8574-VCP (the (Consolidated Action).

Additionally, on July 13, 2013, a separate and similar complaint was filed in the District Court of Harris County Texas, in the matter of Fisichella Family Trust v. Crimson Exploration Inc. et al. (the Texas Action). It is possible that additional, similar lawsuits may be filed.

The known plaintiffs in the Consolidated Action and the Texas Action appear, based on the most current information of Crimson, to collectively own a very small percentage of the total outstanding shares of Crimson common stock. The lawsuits allege, among other things, that Crimson s board of directors failed to take steps to obtain a fair price, failed to properly value Crimson, failed to protect against alleged conflicts of interest failed to conduct a reasonably informed evaluation of whether the transaction was in the best interests of stockholders, failed to fully disclose all material information to stockholders, acted in bad faith and for improper motives, engaged in self-dealing, discouraged other strategic alternatives, took steps to avoid competitive bidding, and agreed to allegedly unreasonable deal protection mechanisms, including the no-shop and fiduciary-out provisions and termination fee. The lawsuits seek damages and injunctive relief.

On July 17, 2013, the court entered an order in the Consolidated Action appointing Lead Plaintiffs, Co-Lead Counsel for Plaintiffs, and Liaison Counsel for Plaintiffs. Under the order, the plaintiffs must file a Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint as soon as practicable. Defendants must respond to the Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint within thirty (30) days after plaintiffs serve it.

Crimson believes that these lawsuits are without merit and intends to contest them vigorously.

THE MERGER AGREEMENT

The following section summarizes material provisions of the merger agreement, which is included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A and is incorporated herein by reference in its entirety. The rights and obligations of Contango and Crimson are governed by the express terms and conditions of the merger agreement and not by this summary or any other information contained in this joint proxy statement/prospectus. Contango and Crimson stockholders are urged to read the merger agreement carefully and in its entirety as well as this joint proxy statement/prospectus before making any decisions regarding the merger, including the adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and the approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders pursuant to the merger.

The merger agreement is included in this joint proxy statement/prospectus to provide you with information regarding its terms and is not intended to provide any factual information about Contango or Crimson. The merger agreement contains representations and warranties by each of the parties to the merger agreement. These representations and warranties have been made solely for the benefit of the other parties to the merger agreement and:

may not be intended as statements of fact, but rather as a way of allocating the risk between the parties in the event that the statements therein prove to be inaccurate;

have been qualified by certain disclosures that were made between the parties in connection with the negotiation of the merger agreement, which disclosures are not reflected in the merger agreement itself; and

may apply standards of materiality in a way that is different from what may be viewed as material by you or other investors. Accordingly, the representations and warranties and other provisions of the merger agreement should not be read alone, but instead should be read together with the information provided elsewhere in this joint proxy statement/prospectus and in the documents incorporated by reference into this joint proxy statement/prospectus. See Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the merger agreement.

Terms of the Merger; Merger Consideration

The merger agreement provides that, on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the merger agreement and in accordance with the General Corporation Law of the State of Delaware (the DGCL), at the effective time of the merger, Merger Sub will merge with and into Crimson. Crimson will be the surviving corporation in the merger and will become a wholly owned subsidiary of Contango. At the effective time of the merger, each outstanding share of Crimson common stock (including any Crimson restricted stock, but excluding shares owned by Crimson, Contango or Merger Sub or any subsidiary of Crimson or Contango, which will be canceled and cease to exist) will be converted into the right to receive 0.08288 shares of Contango common stock.

Contango will not issue fractional shares of Contango common stock pursuant to the merger agreement. Instead, each Crimson stockholder who otherwise would have been entitled to receive a fraction of a share of Contango common stock will receive in lieu thereof and, upon surrender of his or her shares of Crimson common stock, an amount in cash for such fraction calculated by multiplying the fractional share interest to which such holder would otherwise be entitled by the closing price for a share of Contango common stock, as reported on the NYSE MKT on the first trading day following the effective date of the merger.

The exchange ratio will be adjusted accordingly to provide Crimson common stockholders the same economic effect as contemplated by the merger agreement prior to any reclassification, recapitalization, stock split, split-up, combination or exchange of shares or a stock dividend or dividend payable in any other securities with respect to the shares of either Contango common stock or Crimson common stock prior to the effective time of the merger.

Completion of the Merger

The closing of the merger will take place on the next business day following the day on which the last condition to the completion of the merger has been satisfied or waived or such other date as Contango and Crimson may agree in writing. The merger will be effective at the date and time the parties file the certificate of merger with the Delaware Secretary of State or at such subsequent time as agreed to and specified in the certificate of merger.

Contango and Crimson currently expect the closing of the merger to occur in September or October of 2013. However, as the merger is subject to the satisfaction or waiver of other conditions described in the merger agreement, it is possible that factors outside the control of Contango and Crimson could result in the merger being completed at an earlier time, a later time or not at all.

Exchange of Shares in the Merger

Prior to the effective time of the merger, Contango will appoint an exchange agent reasonably acceptable to Crimson to handle the exchange of shares of Crimson common stock for shares of Contango common stock. At the effective time of the merger, shares of Crimson common stock will be converted into the right to receive shares of Contango common stock without the need for any action by the holders of Crimson common stock.

Promptly after the effective time of the merger, but in no event later than three business days after the effective time of the merger, Contango will cause the exchange agent to mail to each holder of a Crimson stock certificate a letter of transmittal specifying, among other things, that delivery will be effected, and risk of loss and title to any certificates representing Crimson common stock shall pass, only upon proper delivery of such certificates to the exchange agent. The letter will also include instructions explaining the procedure for surrendering Crimson stock certificates in exchange for shares of Contango common stock. Any uncertificated shares of Crimson common stock in book-entry form will be deemed surrendered to the exchange agent at the effective time of the merger.

After the effective time of the merger, shares of Crimson common stock will no longer be outstanding, will be automatically canceled and will cease to exist and each certificate, if any, that previously represented shares of Crimson common stock will represent only the right to receive the merger consideration as described above, any cash in lieu of fractional shares of Contango common stock and any dividends or other distributions to which the holders of the certificates become entitled upon surrender of such certificates. With respect to such shares of Contango common stock deliverable upon the surrender of Crimson stock certificates, until holders of such Crimson stock certificates have surrendered such stock certificates to the exchange agent for exchange, those holders will not receive dividends or distributions with respect to such shares of Contango common stock with a record date after the effective time of the merger.

Representations and Warranties

The merger agreement contains reciprocal representations and warranties. Each of Contango and Crimson have made representations and warranties regarding, among other things:

organization, good standing and qualification;

capital structure;

ownership of subsidiaries;

corporate authority with respect to the execution, delivery and performance of the merger agreement, and the due and valid execution and delivery and enforceability of the merger agreement;

required regulatory filings and consents and approvals of governmental entities;

absence of conflicts with, or violations of, organizational documents, other contracts, permits and applicable laws;

SEC documents and financial statements;

absence of certain changes and events since the most recent audited balance sheet date;

absence of undisclosed liabilities;

absence of certain litigation;

compliance with laws;

title to and leasehold interests in real properties;

material contracts;

benefits matters and ERISA compliance;

collective bargaining agreements and other labor matters;

tax matters;

oil and gas matters;

intellectual property;

environmental matters;

regulatory matters and permits;

absence of undisclosed interested party transactions;

absence of untrue statements or omissions of material fact in merger related SEC filings;

derivative positions;

inapplicability of state takeover statutes;

no ownership of the other party s common stock;

opinions from financial advisors;

brokers fees payable in connection with the merger; and

related entities.

The merger agreement also contains certain representations and warranties of Contango with respect to its wholly owned subsidiary, Merger Sub, including, without limitation, corporate organization, absence of conflicts with organizational documents, capitalization, and authority with respect to the execution and delivery of the merger agreement.

Many of the representations and warranties in the merger agreement are qualified by a materiality or material adverse effect standard (that is, they will not be deemed to be untrue or incorrect unless their failure to be true or correct, individually or in the aggregate, would, as the case may be, be material or have a material adverse effect). For purposes of the merger agreement, a material adverse effect means, with respect to a party, a material adverse effect on the financial condition, business, assets or results of operations of the party and its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, excluding any effect resulting from, arising out of or relating to:

changes in the financial or securities markets or general economic or political conditions in the United States or elsewhere in the world (except to the extent such effects materially and disproportionately affect such party and its subsidiaries relative to other participants in the industry);

changes or conditions generally affecting the oil and gas exploration, development and/or production industry or industries (including changes in oil, gas or other commodity prices) (except to the extent such effects materially and disproportionately affect such party and its subsidiaries relative to other participants in the industry);

any change in laws or the interpretation thereof or GAAP or the interpretation thereof (except to the extent such effects materially and disproportionately affect such party and its subsidiaries relative to other participants in the industry);

the negotiation, execution, announcement or consummation of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, including any adverse change in customer, distributor, supplier or similar relationships resulting therefrom;

acts of war or terrorism (except to the extent such effects materially and disproportionately affect such party and its subsidiaries relative to other participants in the industry);

any failure by the party or any of its subsidiaries to meet any internal or published industry analyst projections or forecasts or estimates of revenues or earnings for any period (it being understood and agreed that the facts and circumstances that may have given rise or contributed to such failure that are not otherwise excluded from the definition of a material adverse effect may be taken into account in determining whether there has been a material adverse effect);

any change in the price of the party s common stock (it being understood and agreed that the facts and circumstances that may have given rise or contributed to such change (but in no event changes in the trading price of the other party s common stock) that are not otherwise excluded from the definition of a material adverse effect may be taken into account in determining whether there has been a material adverse effect);

disclosures in the party s disclosure letter or the party s SEC documents filed since January 1, 2012 with regard to Crimson, and June 30, 2012 with regard to Contango, but prior to the date of the merger agreement (but excluding any risk factor disclosures contained under the heading Risk Factors or Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements); and

compliance with the terms of, or the taking of any action required by, the merger agreement. **Conduct of Business**

Each of Contango and Crimson has agreed to certain covenants in the merger agreement restricting the conduct of its business between the date of the merger agreement and the effective time of the merger. In general, each of Contango and Crimson has agreed to (i) conduct its business in all material respects in the usual, regular and ordinary course in substantially the same manner as conducted before the date of the merger agreement, and (ii) to the extent consistent with clause (i), use reasonable best efforts to maintain and preserve intact its business organization, employees, advantageous business relationships and permits and retain the services of its key officers and key employees.

In addition, each of Contango and Crimson has agreed to specific restrictions relating to the conduct of its business between the date of the merger agreement and the effective time of the merger, including, but not limited to, the following (subject, in each case, to exceptions specified below and in the merger agreement or previously disclosed in writing to the other party as provided in the merger agreement or as consented to in advance by the other party):

declare, set aside or pay dividends on, make any other distributions in respect of, or enter into any agreement with respect to the voting of, any of its capital stock (other than dividends and distributions by a direct or indirect wholly-owned subsidiary to such party or to another subsidiary of such party);

split, combine or reclassify any of its capital stock or issue or authorize the issuance of any other securities in respect of, in lieu of, or in substitution for, shares of its capital stock, except upon the exercise of stock options or settlement of stock units;

purchase, redeem or otherwise acquire any shares of its or its subsidiaries capital stock or other securities or any rights, warrants, or options to acquire any such shares or other securities (other than the withholding of shares of common stock to satisfy the exercise price or tax withholding upon the exercise of stock options,

vesting of restricted shares or settlement of stock units, in each case that are outstanding as of the date of the merger agreement in accordance with their present terms and such party s practices as of the date of the merger agreement);

issue, deliver, sell, pledge or otherwise encumber or subject to any lien any shares of its capital stock, any other voting securities or any securities convertible into, or any rights, warrants or options to acquire any such shares, voting securities or convertible securities (other than (i) the issuance of such party s common stock upon the exercise of stock options or vesting of restricted shares, in each case that are outstanding as of the date of the merger agreement in accordance with their present terms; (ii) the issuance of up to 50,000 Crimson stock options to new employees in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice; or (iii) the issuance of Crimson common stock under the Crimson Stock Plan pursuant to the Crimson Director Compensation Plan existing on the date of the merger agreement up to a fair market value of \$225,000 in the aggregate);

amend its or its subsidiaries articles of incorporation, bylaws or other comparable organizational documents;

acquire or agree to acquire by merging or consolidating with, or by purchasing any assets or equity securities of, or by any other manner, any business or entity, or otherwise acquire or agree to acquire assets, except oil and gas properties, equipment, inventory or similar assets in the ordinary course of business and acquisitions that do not exceed \$5,000,000 in the aggregate;

sell, assign, transfer, lease, license, mortgage or otherwise encumber or dispose of any assets or properties with a fair market value in excess of \$5,000,000, in the aggregate, other than in the ordinary course of business, consistent with past practice;

other than borrowings under existing credit facilities incurred in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice or indebtedness owed by a wholly-owned subsidiary to such party or another wholly-owned subsidiary, incur, redeem, prepay, defease, cancel or modify the terms of any indebtedness for borrowed money or assume or otherwise become responsible for the obligations of any person other than its subsidiaries;

make any loans or advances to any person other than its wholly-owned subsidiaries or as a result of ordinary advances and reimbursements to employees;

change in any material respect its accounting methods, principles or practices except as required by changes in GAAP or regulatory accounting principles;

make any investment, other than in a wholly-owned subsidiary or related entity, in excess of \$5,000,000 in the aggregate, whether by purchase of stock or securities, contributions to capital, property transfers or entering into a binding agreement with respect to any such investment or acquisition;

make, change or revoke any material tax election, change an annual tax accounting period, adopt or change any material tax accounting method, file any material amended tax return, enter into any closing agreement with respect to a material amount of taxes, settle any material tax claim or assessment or surrender any right to claim a refund of a material amount of taxes;

except as agreed upon by the parties, terminate or waive any material provision of any material contract other than normal renewals of such contracts without materially adverse changes, or enter into or renew any contract containing any restriction on the ability of such party to conduct its business as presently conducted or currently contemplated to be conducted after the merger or any restriction on engaging in any type of activity or business after the merger;

incur any capital expenditures or enter into any contract to make capital expenditures exceeding the amounts set forth in its existing capital plan for 2013 or to the extent not reflected in such plan, capital expenditures not in excess of \$5,000,000 in the aggregate with respect to Crimson and \$10,000,000 in the aggregate with respect to Contango;

alter in any material respect, fail to satisfy or enter into any commitment to alter in any material respect, any interest material to such party in any entity in which it holds any equity;

except as required by the terms of existing benefit plans or employment agreements or as required by applicable law, provided in the merger agreement or in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice:

grant or pay increases in compensation, other than annual or promotional salary or wage increases in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice not to exceed, in the aggregate, 10% of the wage and salary expense for the prior year (except, in the case of Contango, for certain incentive bonuses payable by Contango to the Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, its former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and Joseph J. Romano, its current Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, in accordance with the criteria previously approved by the Contango board);

grant, pay or promise to pay, or enter into any employee benefit plan or employment agreement to pay, any severance, retention, change in control or termination pay or increase in actual or potential severance, retention, change in control or termination pay (except for certain Contango employees who will be employed for six months or less following the effective time in an amount not to exceed \$1,500,000 in the aggregate);

increase the compensation or benefits payable under any benefit plan or employment agreement;

modify the terms of equity-based awards;

make any discretionary contributions or payments with respect to benefits plans or employment agreements to any trust or other funding vehicle;

accelerate the payment or vesting of any payment or benefits pay or otherwise pay any amounts not yet due (except for certain Contango employees who will be employed for six months or less following the effective time);

enter into new or modify existing employment agreements, other than employment agreements for new hires with an annual compensation not exceeding \$250,000 in the aggregate;

establish or modify any benefit plan; or

establish or enter into any collective bargaining agreement;

pay or settle any claims, actions or proceedings, other than (i) those involving solely money damages not in excess of \$5,000,000 in the aggregate, and that does not create binding precedent for other proceedings or (ii) pursuant to the terms of an agreement in effect on the date of the merger agreement;

take any action or knowingly fail to take any action within its control which would be reasonably expected to prevent the merger from qualifying as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code;

adopt or enter into a plan of complete or partial liquidation, dissolution, merger, consolidation, restructuring, recapitalization or other reorganization;

fail to maintain in full force and effect the material insurance properties covering it and its subsidiaries and their respective properties, assets and business in a form and amount consistent with past practice;

enter into any hedging contract not in the ordinary course of business consistent with past practice;

purchase or acquire any equity interests of the other party; or

commit or agree to take any of the foregoing actions.

No Solicitation of Alternative Proposals

Subject to certain exceptions described below, each of Contango and Crimson has agreed that it and its subsidiaries and their respective directors, officers, partners, employees, investment bankers, financing sources, financial advisors, attorneys, accountants or other advisors, agents or other representatives will not, directly or indirectly, (i) solicit, initiate, knowingly encourage or knowingly facilitate, directly or indirectly, (including by way of furnishing non-public information) or take any other action designed to facilitate, any inquiry or proposal regarding an alternative transaction (as defined below) regarding such party or any of its subsidiaries (an acquisition proposal), (ii) participate in any discussions or negotiations regarding an alternative transaction.

An alternative transaction with respect to either party means (i) any transaction pursuant to which any person (or group of persons) other than the other party to the merger agreement or its affiliates, directly or indirectly, acquires or would acquire more than 20% of the outstanding shares of such party, as applicable, or outstanding voting power or of any new series or new class of preferred stock that would be entitled to a class or series vote with respect to the Merger, whether from such party, pursuant to a tender offer or exchange offer or otherwise; (ii) any transaction pursuant to which any person (or group of persons) other than the other party to the merger agreement or its affiliates acquires or would acquire control of assets (including for this purpose the outstanding equity securities of any subsidiaries of such party and securities of the entity surviving any merger or business combination, including any of its subsidiaries) of such party or any of its subsidiaries, taken as a whole, immediately prior to such transaction; or (iii) any other merger, consolidation, business combination, recapitalization or similar transaction involving such party or any of its subsidiaries, other than the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, as a result of which the holders of shares of Contango common stock or Crimson common stock, as applicable, immediately prior to such transaction do not, in the aggregate, own at least 80% of the outstanding voting power of the surviving or resulting entity in such transaction immediately after the consummation thereof in substantially the same proportion as such holders held the shares of Contango common stock or Crimson common stock, as applicable, immediately prior to the consummation thereof.

Notwithstanding the restrictions described above, Contango and Crimson are each permitted, prior to the obtaining of the relevant stockholder approval, and subject to first entering into a confidentiality agreement with the person proposing such acquisition proposal, to (i) furnish information (including non-public information) concerning itself and its subsidiaries to the person making such acquisition proposal and its representatives and afford such person and its representatives access to the business, properties, assets, contracts, officers, employees, books and records of Contango or Crimson, as applicable; and (ii) consider and participate in discussions and negotiations with respect to such acquisition proposal, in each case, if and only to the extent that (A) such acquisition proposal is an unsolicited, bona fide written acquisition proposal made after the date of the merger agreement; (B) such acquisition proposal did not result from a breach of the restrictions described above, and (C) the applicable board of Contango or Crimson reasonably determines in good faith (after consultation with outside legal counsel) that such acquisition proposal is, or is reasonably likely to lead to, a superior proposal (as defined below). The merger agreement requires a party to provide to the other party substantially concurrently with the delivery to such person who has made an acquisition proposal, any non-public information or access that is provided or made available to such person, unless it has been previously provided.

A superior proposal with respect to a party means a bona fide written acquisition proposal (with the references to 20% and 80% included in the definition of alternative transaction changed to 50%) for such party which the board of such party determines in good faith, after consultation with its financial advisors and outside legal counsel, and taking into account such facts as the board of directors of such party considers to be appropriate (including conditions to and expected timing and risks of consummation, the ability of the person making such proposal to obtain financing for such acquisition proposal, and any break-up fees or expense reimbursement provisions), (i) is reasonably capable of being consummated in accordance with its terms, and (ii) if consummated, would result in a transaction more favorable to the holders of common stock of such party than the merger.

The merger agreement requires that the parties notify each other promptly (and in any event within 24 hours) of, among other things, the receipt of any acquisition proposal or request for information that is reasonably likely to lead to an acquisition proposal. Any such notification shall be made orally and in writing, and include the identity of the person making the acquisition proposal or requesting such information and the material terms of any such acquisition proposal. In addition, the merger agreement requires the parties to continue to update each other as fully as reasonably practical on a prompt basis, and in any event within 24 hours, of material changes to any acquisition proposal. The merger agreement also requires both Contango and Crimson to notify the other party, promptly, and in any event within 24 hours, orally and in writing, if it enters into discussions or negotiations concerning any acquisition proposal. In addition, the merger agreement requires both Contango and Crimson to cease, and cause to be terminated, any existing discussions or negotiations with any persons conducted prior to the execution of the merger agreement with respect to any acquisition proposal and request the prompt return or destruction of all confidential information previously furnished in connection therewith.

Changes in Board Recommendations

The board of directors of each of Contango and Crimson has agreed that, other than in accordance with certain sections of the merger agreement relating to changes in the board s recommendations, it will not (i) fail to make the recommendation to its stockholders (a) in the case of Contango, to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock in connection with the merger, and (b) in the case of Crimson, that its stockholders vote in favor of the adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, (ii) withdraw or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to the other party, or publicly propose to withdraw or modify or qualify in a manner adverse to other party, the recommendation by such party s board, (iii) approve, adopt or recommend, or publicly propose to approve, adopt or recommend, any acquisition proposal, (iv) fail to reaffirm the recommendation by such party s board within three business days upon the request of the other party following the public announcement of an acquisition proposal, which request may only be made once for each acquisition proposal, provided, that the other party may make another request each time any material revisions are made to such acquisition proposal or (v) fail to include the recommendation of such party s board in this joint proxy statement/prospectus (any action in (i) through (v) above, a change in recommendation).

At any time prior to obtaining the relevant stockholder approval, the board of directors of Contango or Crimson, as applicable, may make a change in recommendation and/or terminate the merger agreement in connection with a bona fide written acquisition proposal that was not obtained in breach of such party s non-solicitation restrictions contained in the merger agreement if such board determines in good faith (after consultation with its financial advisors and outside legal counsel) that the acquisition proposal constitutes a superior proposal. Prior to taking any such action, the withdrawing party must (a) determine in good faith that such action is necessary in order to comply with the fiduciary duties of its directors under applicable law, (b) inform the other party in writing that its board of directors intends to take such action, specifying the reasons for such action and the material terms and conditions of the superior proposal, and provide a copy of such proposal, (c) during the five business day period following the other party s receipt of such written notice, negotiate in good faith with the non-withdrawing party with respect to any changes to the merger agreement so that such acquisition proposal ceases to constitute a superior proposal, (d) consider any changes to the merger agreement irrevocably offered in writing by the non-withdrawing party and (e) at the end of the five-business day period, determine in good faith that such acquisition proposal continues to constitute a superior proposal even if such revisions proposed by the non-withdrawing party were to be given effect. In the event that any revisions are made to the acquisition proposal following the initial notice given to the non-withdrawing party and the withdrawing party determines in its good faith judgment that such revisions are material, the withdrawing party must deliver a new notice to the non-withdrawing party and a new negotiating period will begin except the five business days will be shortened to two business days. If Contango or Crimson, as applicable, terminate the merger agreement in accordance with the above, such terminating party must pay the applicable termination fee as described in Termination Fees below.

Notwithstanding the restrictions described above, at any time prior to obtaining the relevant stockholder approval, the board of directors of Contango or Crimson, as applicable, may make a change in recommendation (in circumstances not involving or relating to an acquisition proposal) if such board determines in good faith (after consultation with its financial advisors and outside legal counsel) that such action is necessary in order to comply with the fiduciary duties of its directors under applicable law after giving effect to all of the adjustments which may be offered by the other party pursuant to the merger agreement. Prior to taking any such action, the withdrawing party must (a) inform the other party in writing that its board of directors intends to change its recommendation and specifying the reasons for its change in recommendation, (b) during the five business day period following the delivery of such written notice, negotiate in good faith with the non-withdrawing party with respect to any changes to the merger agreement proposed by the non-withdrawing party to make such adjustments to the terms and conditions of the merger agreement irrevocably offered in writing by the non-withdrawing party and (d) at the end of the five-business day period, determine in good faith that a change in recommendation would nevertheless continue to be necessary in order to comply with the fiduciary duties of its directors under applicable law even if such revisions proposed by the non-withdrawing party were to be given effect.

Efforts to Obtain Required Stockholder Votes

Contango has agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to hold a stockholders meeting as soon as reasonably practicable after this Form S-4 is declared effective for purposes of obtaining stockholder approval for the issuance of shares of Contango common stock constituting merger consideration to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger (the Contango Share Issuance). The Contango board of directors has, by resolution, (i) approved and declared advisable the merger agreement, the merger, the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and the Contango Share Issuance, (ii) resolved to recommend approval of the Contango Share Issuance to the Contango stockholders and (iii) directed that the Contango Share Issuance be submitted to the Contango stockholders for approval.

Crimson has also agreed to use its reasonable best efforts to hold a stockholders meeting as soon as reasonably practicable after this Form S-4 is declared effective for purposes of obtaining stockholder approval of the adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement. The board of directors of Crimson has, by resolution, (i) adopted and declared advisable the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, (ii) resolved to recommend adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement to the Crimson stockholders and (iii) directed that the merger agreement be submitted to the Crimson stockholders for adoption.

Efforts to Complete the Merger

Contango and Crimson have each agreed to:

use their reasonable best efforts to take, or cause to be taken, all actions necessary, proper or advisable to comply as promptly as reasonably practicable with all legal requirements that may be imposed on such party or its subsidiaries with respect to the merger, the issuance of Contango common stock as merger consideration and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement (including the furnishing of information for, and the preparation and filing of, all necessary and proper statements, forms, registrations, filings, notices, representation letters, and declarations related to the merger);

use their reasonable best efforts to cause the conditions to closing in the merger agreement to be satisfied and to consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement in a reasonably expeditious manner;

use their reasonable best efforts to obtain (and to cooperate with the other party to obtain) any material consent, authorization, order or approval of, or any exemption or waiver by, any governmental entity and any other third party that is required to be obtained by either party or any of their respective subsidiaries in connection with the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; and

promptly notify each other, and provide each other with a copy, of any communication from any governmental entity that causes the receiving party to believe that there is a reasonable likelihood that any stockholder approval will not be obtained or that the receipt of any required consent or approval may be materially delayed.

In addition, Contango and Crimson have each agreed to use their reasonable best efforts:

to take all actions necessary so that no moratorium, control share, fair price, anti-greenmail, takeover, interested stockholder or similaws are or become applicable to the merger or any of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement; and

if any such law is or becomes applicable to the merger or any of the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement, to take all actions necessary so that the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement may be consummated as promptly as reasonably practicable on the terms contemplated by the merger agreement and otherwise to minimize the effect of such laws on the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Governance Matters After the Merger

Upon completion of the merger, the board of directors of Contango will consist of eight members, including: (i) five directors chosen by the current Contango board, which directors will be members of the board of Contango immediately prior to the merger, who are referred to as Contango directors, and (ii) three directors chosen by the current Crimson board, which directors will be members of the board of Crimson immediately prior to the merger, who are referred to as Crimson directors. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, it is anticipated that the following members of Contango s board of directors immediately prior to the merger will continue to serve as directors of the combined company: Joseph J. Romano, Brad Juneau, B.A. Berilgen, Charles M. Reimer and Steven L. Schoonover. As of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus, it is anticipated that the following members of Crimson s board of directors immediately prior to the merger will continue to serve as directors of the combined company: Allan D. Keel, B. James Ford, and Lon McCain. For a period of no less than one year following the completion of the merger, the board of the combined company shall consist of five Contango directors (at least three of whom shall be independent for purposes of the rules of the NYSE MKT) and three Crimson directors (at least two of whom shall be independent for purposes of the authority and power to allocate, subject to the approval of the entire board of directors of the combined company, the amount and nature of all capital expenditures of Contango and its subsidiaries. The investment committee shall be comprised of two members who shall initially be Joseph J. Romano and Allan D. Keel, with Joseph J. Romano to serve as Chairman.

Upon completion of the merger, (i) Mr. Romano will serve as the Chairman of board of directors of the combined company, (ii) Mr. Keel will serve as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the combined company, and (iii) Mr. E. Joseph Grady will serve as the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of the combined company.

On or prior to the effective time of the merger, the bylaws of Contango will be amended and restated in the form attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Exhibit C of Annex A, as further described in The Merger Amended and Restated Bylaws of Contango beginning on page 96.

Upon completion of the merger, Contango will retain its name, while its headquarters and principal corporate offices will relocate to 717 Texas Avenue, Houston, Texas 77002.

Employee Benefits Matters

Contango and Crimson have agreed that:

to the extent that Contango employees immediately prior to the effective time of the merger remain employees of Contango or any of its affiliates following the merger, each such employee will receive a base salary or hourly rate of pay, as applicable, at least equal to that in effect for such employee immediately prior to the effective time of the merger, for a period of at least one year;

all Contango employees shall continue to be entitled to any deferred bonus previously granted prior to the date of the merger agreement;

with respect to Crimson employees immediately prior to the effective time of the merger who become employees of Contango or its affiliates immediately following the effective time of the merger, each benefit plan in which such employees are eligible to participate after the effective time of the merger shall take into account the service of such employees with Crimson and its affiliates to the same extent as such service was credited by Crimson or its affiliates for purposes of eligibility, vesting and benefit accrual;

with respect to Contango employees immediately prior to the effective time of the merger who remain employees of Contango or its affiliates following the effective time of the merger, each benefit plan in which such employees are eligible to participate shall take into account the service of such employees with Contango or its affiliates to the same extent as such service was credited by Contango or its affiliates for purposes of eligibility, vesting and benefit accrual;

with respect to Contango employees who become eligible to participate in Crimson benefit plans that are health plans, the parties will use commercially reasonable efforts to cause each such plan to (i) waive any preexisting condition limitations to the extent such conditions are covered under the applicable life, disability, medical, health or dental plans, (ii) honor under such plans any deductible, co-payment and out-of-pocket expenses incurred by such employees and their beneficiaries during the portion of the calendar year prior to such participation and (iii) waive any waiting period limitation or evidence of insurability requirement which would otherwise be applicable to such employee on or after the effective time of the merger, for the year in which the effective time of the merger or participation in such plans, as applicable, occurs;

the accounts of Contango employees in the 401(k) savings plan in which such employees participate will be transferred to the Crimson 401(k) savings plan, in accordance with the requirements of the applicable plan and of applicable law;

for the applicable fiscal year in which the effective time of the merger occurs, Contango and Crimson employees will be eligible to receive an annual bonus calculated in accordance with the merger agreement;

following the effective time of the merger, Contango will establish (i) an annual bonus program based substantially on the terms of the Crimson Cash Incentive Bonus Plan and (ii) a revised long term incentive plan based substantially on the terms of the Crimson Long-Term Incentive Plan, with shares to be issued under the Contango 2009 Equity Compensation Plan; and

no party or its affiliates is restricted from modifying or terminating any employee benefit plan that a party or its affiliates may establish or maintain.

Contango has also entered into employment agreements, which will be effective at the effective time of the merger, with each of Messrs. Keel and Grady. The employment agreements are described in the section entitled The Merger Interests of Crimson Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger Employment and Severance Agreements of this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Treatment of Crimson Stock Options and Other Stock Based Awards and Programs

Stock Options.

By virtue of the merger, each option to purchase Crimson common stock granted pursuant to either Crimson s 2005 Stock Incentive Plan or Long-Term Incentive Plan, which are referred to as the Crimson stock plans, whether or not then vested and exercisable, will cease to represent a right to acquire shares of Crimson common stock and will be converted at the effective time of the merger pursuant to the merger agreement into a fully vested and immediately exercisable stock option to purchase shares of Contango common stock on the same terms and conditions as were applicable under such Crimson stock option (but taking into account any changes thereto, including the acceleration thereof, provided for in the Crimson stock plans, the award agreement or in such stock option by reason of the merger agreement or the transactions contemplated thereby). The number of shares of Contango common stock which shall be subject to each such Contango stock option will be determined by multiplying the number of shares of Crimson common stock subject to the Crimson stock option immediately prior to the effective time of the merger by the exchange ratio, and rounding down to the nearest whole share of Contango common stock. The exercise price per share of each such Contango stock option will be determined by dividing the per share exercise price of such stock option by the exchange ratio, and rounding up to the nearest whole cent. Such conversion of the Crimson stock option, however, shall in all cases be effected in a manner consistent with the requirements of Section 424(a) of the Code (as modified by Section 409A of the Code with respect to Crimson stock options that are not intended to qualify as incentive stock options within the meaning of Section 422 of the Code).

Restricted Stock.

By virtue of the merger, each restricted share of Crimson common stock granted to any employee or director of Crimson, any of its subsidiaries or any of its predecessors under the Crimson stock plans that is outstanding immediately prior to the effective time of the merger shall automatically become a fully vested and unrestricted share of Crimson common stock immediately prior to the effective time of the merger.

As soon as practicable following the effective time of the merger, Contango will file a registration statement on Form S-8 (or such other appropriate form), or a post-effective amendment to a registration statement previously filed, with respect to the shares of Contango common stock available for grant and delivery under the Crimson stock plans and shall maintain the effectiveness of such registration statement for so long as such shares are available for grant and delivery under the Crimson stock plans.

Other Covenants and Agreements

The merger agreement contains certain other covenants and agreements, including covenants relating to:

cooperation between Contango and Crimson in the preparation of this joint proxy statement/prospectus;

confidentiality and access by each party to certain information about the other party;

listing on the NYSE MKT of the shares of Contango common stock to be issued in the merger;

the use of each party s reasonable best efforts to cause all of the conditions in the merger agreement to be satisfied and to consummate the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement in a reasonably expeditious manner; and

reporting the merger as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368 of the Code.

Contango has also agreed to maintain officers and directors liability insurance, for six years following the effective time of the merger, for Crimson s current and former directors and officers who are currently covered by the liability insurance coverage currently maintained by Crimson on terms substantially no less advantageous to the indemnified parties than Crimson s existing insurance. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Contango will not be required to pay, and shall not pay, more than 250% per year of coverage of the amount currently expended by Crimson per year of coverage as of the date of the merger agreement.

Conditions to Completion of the Merger

The obligations of Contango, Crimson and Merger Sub to complete the merger are subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the following conditions on or prior to the closing date:

adoption of the merger agreement by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock;

approval of the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger by the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Contango special meeting;

absence of any laws, temporary restraining orders, preliminary or permanent injunctions or other orders that have the effect of making the merger illegal or otherwise prohibiting consummation of the merger;

the receipt of any approvals required to be obtained for the consummation of the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement under any applicable United States federal or state laws, except where the failure to obtain such approvals would not have a material adverse effect on Contango or Crimson;

authorization for the listing on the NYSE MKT of the shares of Contango common stock to be issued and such other shares to be reserved for issuance in connection with the merger, subject to official notice of issuance; and

effectiveness of the registration statement on Form S-4 of which this joint proxy statement/prospectus forms a part and the absence of a stop order or proceedings pending before the SEC for that purpose.

In addition, each of Contango s and Crimson s obligations to effect the merger is subject to the satisfaction or waiver of the following additional conditions:

the representations and warranties of the other party, other than the representations related to the shares of capital stock authorized, issued and outstanding or reserved for issuance and the shares subject to any rights to purchase or receive common stock, (i) if qualified by material adverse effect, will be true and correct in all respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing date, and (ii) if not qualified by material adverse effect, will be true and correct as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing date except where the failure to be true and correct, has not had, or would not reasonably be expected to have, individually or in the aggregate, a material adverse effect (other than, in each case, those representations and warranties that were made only as of an earlier date, which need only be true and correct as of such earlier date subject to the materiality exceptions noted above);

the representations and warranties of Contango relating to the shares of capital stock authorized, issued and outstanding or reserved for issuance and the shares subject to any rights to purchase or receive common stock will be true and correct other than in de minimis respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing date (except to the extent such representations or warranties were made only as of an earlier date, which shall have been true and correct as of such earlier date);

the representations and warranties of Crimson relating to the shares of capital stock authorized, issued and outstanding or reserved for issuance and the shares subject to any rights to purchase or receive common stock will be true and correct in all respects as of the date of the merger agreement and as of the closing date (except to the extent such representations or warranties were made only as of an earlier date, which shall have been true and correct as of such earlier date), except where inaccuracies, would result in payment of \$1,000,000 or less of additional merger consideration, in the aggregate;

the other party having performed or complied with, in all material respects, its material agreements and covenants under the merger agreement required to be performed or complied with on or prior to the closing date;

receipt of a certificate executed by the other party s chief executive officer or chief financial officer as to the satisfaction of the conditions described in the preceding four bullets;

receipt by each party of a tax opinion from such party s tax counsel as described in the section titled Material U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences, including an opinion that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code; and

absence of any event or development that, individually or in the aggregate, has had or would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect.

Termination of the Merger Agreement

The merger agreement may be terminated at any time prior to the effective time of the merger, whether before or after the receipt of the required stockholder approvals, under the following circumstances:

by mutual written consent of Contango and Crimson approved by a vote of a majority of the members of their respective boards of directors;

by either Contango or Crimson:

if the merger is not consummated by October 31, 2013 unless the failure of closing to occur by such date is due to the failure of the terminating party to perform its covenants or agreements under the merger agreement;

if Contango stockholders fail to approve the Contango Share Issuance at the Contango special meeting;

if Crimson stockholders fail to approve and adopt the merger agreement at the Crimson special meeting;

prior to obtaining the requisite stockholder approvals for completion of the merger, in response to a superior proposal involving the terminating party, provided that the terminating party has complied with its obligations described under Changes in Board Recommendation and pays the non-terminating party the termination fee described under Termination Fees ; or

if any governmental entity denies a requisite approval and such denial has become final and nonappealable or issues a final and nonappealable order permanently enjoining or otherwise prohibiting the consummation of the merger provided that such terminating party has complied with all applicable obligations under the merger agreement relating to legal conditions of the merger;

by Contango upon a breach of any covenant or agreement or any representation or warranty on the part of Crimson, which breach individually or in the aggregate, if occurring or continuing on the closing date, would result in the failure of certain conditions to Contango s obligations to complete the merger and such breach is not curable or, if curable, is not cured within 30 days after written notice; provided that Contango will have no right to terminate the merger agreement under this provision if Contango or Merger Sub is then in breach or has failed to perform in any material respect any of their representations, warranties or covenants in the merger agreement;

by Crimson upon a breach of any covenant or agreement or any representation or warranty on the part of Contango, which breach, individually or in the aggregate, if occurring or continuing on the closing date, would result in the failure of certain conditions to Crimson s obligations to complete the merger and such breach is not curable or, if curable, is not cured within 30 days after written notice; provided that Crimson will have no right to terminate the merger agreement under this provision if Crimson is then in breach or has failed to perform in any material respect any of their representations, warranties or covenants in the merger agreement;

by Contango if, prior to obtaining approval of the Crimson stockholders, the board of directors of Crimson makes a change in recommendation;

by Crimson if, prior to obtaining approval of the Contango stockholders, the board of directors of Contango makes a change in recommendation;

by Contango if Crimson has committed a willful and material breach of the stockholder meetings provision or the no solicitation provision of the merger agreement; and

by Crimson if Contango has committed a willful and material breach of the stockholder meetings provision or the no solicitation provision of the merger agreement.

Effect of Termination

If the merger agreement is terminated by either party in accordance with its terms, the merger agreement (except for the confidentiality agreement and certain provisions expressly listed in the merger agreement, which will survive such termination) will become void, and neither of the parties nor their affiliates or representatives will have any liability under the merger agreement or in connection with the transactions contemplated thereby, except: (a) with respect to any applicable termination fees; (b) for willful and material breach of the merger agreement; (c) for fraud; or (d) for breaches of the confidentiality agreement. In the case of clauses (b) and (c), the aggrieved party will be entitled to all rights and remedies available at law or equity; provided, that in the case of clause (b), if such breach is of the stockholder meetings provision or the no solicitation provision and the breaching party pays the applicable termination fee, such party and its affiliates or representatives will have no further liability in connection with the merger agreement or such termination. In the case of clause (d), the aggrieved party shall be entitled to all rights and remedies provided in the confidentiality agreement.

Termination Fees and Expenses

In the following circumstances, a termination fee may be owed either by Contango or Crimson to the other party. Except as otherwise set forth below, if Contango owes a termination fee, the fee will be \$28 million; if Crimson owes a termination fee, the fee will be \$7 million.

In the event that a bona fide acquisition proposal from a third party has been publicly communicated or made publicly known to the stockholders of either Contango or Crimson, and such proposal has not been withdrawn prior to the applicable party s stockholder meeting, and thereafter the merger agreement is terminated due to:

the end date of October 31, 2013 has passed without either Contango or Crimson as applicable, having obtained its stockholder approval;

the failure of either Contango or Crimson, as applicable, to obtain the stockholder approval at their stockholder meeting; or

a breach by the either Contango or Crimson, as applicable, of the covenants and agreements in the merger agreement; and within one year of such termination, the party that received the acquisition proposal consummates an alternative transaction (as defined in the merger agreement) or enters into any definitive agreement related to an alternative transaction, then such party shall pay the other party the applicable termination fee less any expense reimbursement amount (as described below) previously paid by such party.

In the event the merger agreement is terminated by either Contango or Crimson due to a change in recommendation of the other party, then the party whose board changed its recommendation will owe the other party the applicable termination fee.

In the event the merger agreement is terminated by Contango or Crimson as a result of a willful and material breach of the merger agreement by the other party, then the breaching party shall owe the other party the applicable termination fee.

In the event Contango terminates the merger agreement because, prior to obtaining the approval of the Contango stockholders, the board of directors of Contango makes a change in recommendation in connection with a superior proposal, then Contango shall owe Crimson the applicable termination fee.

In the event Crimson terminates the merger agreement because, prior to obtaining the approval of the Crimson stockholders, the board of directors of Crimson makes a change in recommendation in connection with a superior proposal, then Crimson shall owe Contango the applicable termination fee.

In the event the merger agreement is terminated by either Contango or Crimson due to the failure to obtain Contango s stockholder approval, or due to failure to consummate the merger prior to October 31, 2013 and the Contango stockholder approval was not obtained prior to such termination, then Contango shall owe Crimson an expense reimbursement amount equal to \$4,500,000.

In the event the merger agreement is terminated by either Contango or Crimson due to the failure to obtain Crimson s stockholder approval, or due to failure to consummate the merger prior to October 31, 2013 and the Crimson stockholder approval was not obtained prior to such termination, then Crimson shall owe Contango an expense reimbursement amount equal to \$4,500,000. **Amendments, Extensions and Waivers**

The merger agreement may be amended by the parties, by action taken or authorized by the board of directors of Contango and Crimson, at any time before or after receipt of the approvals of the Contango or Crimson stockholders required to consummate the merger. However, after any such stockholder approval, there may not be, without further approval of Contango stockholders and Crimson stockholders, any amendment of the merger agreement which by applicable law requires further stockholder approval. The merger agreement may only be amended by an instrument in writing signed on behalf of each of the parties thereto.

At any time prior to the effective time of the merger, any party may, by action taken or authorized by the board of directors of Contango and Crimson, (i) extend the time for performance of any obligations or other acts of the other party, (ii) waive any inaccuracies in the representations and warranties of the other party contained in the merger agreement and (iii) waive compliance by the other party with any of the agreements or conditions contained in the merger agreement. However, after any stockholder approval, there may not be, without further approval of Contango stockholders and Crimson stockholders, any extension or waiver of provisions in the merger agreement which by applicable law requires further stockholder approval. An agreement on the part of a party to any such extension or waiver shall be valid only if set forth in a written instrument signed on behalf of such party.

No Third Party Beneficiaries

While the merger agreement is not intended to confer upon you or any person other than Contango, Crimson and Merger Sub any rights or remedies, it provides limited exceptions. Crimson s and Contango s directors and officers will continue to have indemnification and liability insurance coverage after the completion of the merger and these rights may be enforced by such officers and directors. Furthermore, each party will have the right, on behalf of its stockholders, to pursue damages and other relief, including equitable relief, for the other party s willful and material breach of any of its covenants and agreements in the merger agreement. However, this right is enforceable on behalf of Crimson stockholders only by Crimson in its sole and absolute discretion or on behalf of Contango stockholders only by Contango in its sole and absolute discretion or on behalf of Crimson common stock or Contango common stock, as applicable, and subsequently trade and transfer with those shares. As a result, any damages, settlements or other amounts recovered by a party with respect to such claims may, in such party s sole and absolute discretion, be (i) distributed, in whole or in part, by such party to its stockholders as of any record date determined by such party or (ii) retained by such party for the use and benefit of such party on behalf of its stockholders in any manner such party deems fit.

Specific Performance

Contango and Crimson acknowledged and agreed in the merger agreement that irreparable damage would occur in the event that any of the provisions of the merger agreement were not performed in accordance with the terms of the merger agreement or were otherwise breached and that monetary damages, even if available, would not be an adequate remedy. In addition, the parties agreed that, prior to a valid termination of the merger agreement, they will be entitled to an injunction or injunctions to prevent breaches of the merger agreement or to enforce specifically the performance of terms and provisions of the merger agreement. The parties further agreed not to assert that a remedy of specific performance is unenforceable, invalid, contrary to law or inequitable for any reason, nor to object to a remedy of specific performance on the basis that a remedy of monetary damages would provide an adequate remedy for any such breach. Each party further acknowledged and agreed that the

agreements relating to specific performance are an integral part of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and that, without these agreements, the other party would not have entered into the merger agreement. Each party further agreed that no other party or any other person will be required to obtain, furnish or post any bond or similar instrument in connection with or as a condition to obtaining any remedy relating to specific performance, and each party irrevocably waived any right it may have to require the obtaining, furnishing or posting of any such bond or similar instrument.

Stockholder Support Agreements

Crimson Support Agreements

In connection with the execution of the merger agreement, Contango and Merger Sub concurrently entered into a Support and Irrevocable Proxy Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2013, with each of (a) OCM Crimson Holdings, LLC and OCM GW Holdings, LLC and (b) Allan D. Keel, E. Joseph Grady, A. Carl Isaac, Jay S. Mengle, Thomas H. Atkins and John A. Thomas, each of whom is an executive officer of Crimson, (collectively, the Crimson Support Agreements). The shares of Crimson common stock outstanding that are beneficially owned by Oaktree and such executive officers of Crimson and are subject to the Crimson Support Agreements represent, in the aggregate, approximately 38.3% of Crimson s outstanding common stock as of April 29, 2013. Under, and subject to the terms of the Crimson Support Agreements, Oaktree and each signatory executive officer of Crimson agrees to vote the shares it beneficially owns (i) in favor of the merger and the merger agreement and (ii) against (a) any other acquisition proposal, (b) any liquidation, dissolution, recapitalization, extraordinary dividend or other significant corporate reorganization of Crimson and (c) any other action, proposal or agreement that would reasonably be expected to interfere with or delay the consummation of the merger. The Crimson Support Agreements terminate at the earliest of the effective time of the merger, the termination of the merger agreement in accordance with its terms or any reduction of the merger consideration.

Contango Support Agreements

In connection with the execution of the merger agreement, Crimson concurrently entered into a Support and Irrevocable Proxy Agreement, dated as of April 29, 2013, with each of the Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, Joseph J. Romano, Brad Juneau, Sergio Castro and Yaroslava Makalskaya (collectively, the Contango Support Agreements) who, in the aggregate, beneficially own approximately 10.6% of Contango s outstanding common stock as of April 29, 2013. Under, and subject to the terms of the Contango Support Agreements, the Estate of Kenneth R. Peak, Brad Juneau, and each signatory executive officer of Contango agrees to vote their shares of Contango s common stock in favor of the Merger and against any other acquisition proposal. The Contango Support Agreements terminate at the earliest of the effective time of the merger, the termination of the merger agreement in accordance with its terms or any reduction of the merger consideration.

Registration Rights Agreement

In connection with the execution of the merger agreement, Contango concurrently entered into a Registration Rights Agreement (the Registration Rights Agreement) with Oaktree, dated as of April 29, 2013. The Registration Rights Agreement will become effective automatically upon, and only upon, the consummation of the merger. Under, and subject to the terms of the Registration Rights Agreement, Contango will prepare and file within 120 days after the closing of the merger, a shelf registration statement covering the resale and distribution of Contango common stock owned by Oaktree. Oaktree can request up to three takedowns pursuant to the shelf registration statement as long as the aggregate value to be sold in each such takedown equals at least \$15 million. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, Oaktree also obtains certain piggyback rights with regard to registrations by Contango.

PROPOSALS FOR THE CONTANGO SPECIAL MEETING

Contango Proposal 1 Issuance of Shares of Contango Common Stock

For a summary and detailed information regarding this proposal, see the information about the merger and issuance of Contango common shares in connection with the merger contained throughout this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the information set forth in the sections entitled The Merger beginning on page 50.

A copy of the merger agreement is attached to this joint proxy statement/prospectus as Annex A.

Under the merger agreement, approval of this proposal is a condition to the completion of the merger. If the proposal is not approved, the transactions will not be completed even if the other proposals related to the transactions are approved.

Under the NYSE MKT rules, the Contango share issuance requires the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the outstanding Contango common shares voted at the Contango special meeting. Under the Contango bylaws, the Contango share issuance requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Contango common stock, present in person or represented by proxy at the Contango special meeting and entitled to vote thereon, assuming a quorum.

Contango s board of directors has unanimously approved the merger and the merger agreement and unanimously recommends that Contango stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the issuance of shares of Contango common stock to Crimson stockholders in connection with the merger.

Contango Proposal 2 Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Compensation

Section 14A of the Exchange Act, which was enacted as part of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, requires that Contango provide its stockholders with the opportunity to vote to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions, as disclosed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the compensation table and the related narrative named executive officer compensation disclosures set forth in The Merger Interests of Contango s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger beginning on page 97. This vote is commonly referred to as a golden parachute say on pay vote. Accordingly, Contango s stockholders are being provided with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on such change of control payments.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon Contango or the board of directors of Contango, and approval of this proposal is not a condition to completion of the proposed transactions.

Accordingly, Contango is seeking approval of the following resolution at the special meeting:

RESOLVED, that Contango s stockholders approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K under the heading The Merger Interests of Contango s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger (which disclosure includes the compensation table and related narrative named executive officer compensation disclosures required pursuant to Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K).

Approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions requires the affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of Contango s common stock present, in person or by proxy, at the special meeting and entitled to vote thereon.

The Contango board unanimously recommends that Contango stockholders vote FOR the approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Contango s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions.

Contango Proposal 3 Possible Adjournment of the Contango Special Meeting

If Contango fails to receive a sufficient number of votes to approve Contango Proposal 1, Contango may propose to adjourn the special meeting, if a quorum is present, for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies to approve Contango Proposal 1. Contango currently does not intend to propose adjournment of the Contango special meeting if there are sufficient votes to approve Contango Proposal 1.

The proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Contango special meeting, regardless of whether there is a quorum.

Contango s board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies.

PROPOSALS FOR THE CRIMSON SPECIAL MEETING

Crimson Proposal 1 Merger Agreement

For a summary and detailed information regarding this proposal, see the information about the merger agreement, the merger and the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement throughout this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the information set forth in sections entitled The Merger Agreement beginning on page 50. A copy of the merger agreement is attached as Annex A to this joint proxy statement/prospectus.

Under the merger agreement, approval of this proposal is a condition to the completion of the merger. If the proposal is not approved, the merger with Contango and the transactions will not be completed even if the other proposals related to the transactions are approved.

Adoption of the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and approval of the transactions contemplated by the merger agreement requires the affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Crimson common stock.

Crimson s board of directors has unanimously adopted the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement and unanimously recommends that Crimson stockholders vote FOR the proposal to approve and adopt the merger agreement, the merger and the other transactions contemplated by the merger agreement.

Crimson Proposal 2 Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Compensation

Section 14A of the Exchange Act, which was enacted as part of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, requires that Crimson provide its stockholders with the opportunity to vote to approve, on a non-binding, advisory basis, the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions, as disclosed in this joint proxy statement/prospectus, including the compensation table and the related narrative named executive officer compensation disclosures set forth in The Merger Interests of Crimson s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger beginning on page 99. This vote is commonly referred to as a golden parachute say on pay vote. Accordingly, Crimson s stockholders are being provided with the opportunity to cast an advisory vote on such change of control payments.

As an advisory vote, this proposal is not binding upon Crimson or the board of directors of Crimson, and approval of this proposal is not a condition to completion of the proposed transactions.

Accordingly, Crimson is seeking approval of the following resolution at the special meeting:

RESOLVED, that Crimson s stockholders approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K under the heading The Merger Interests of Crimson s Directors and Executive Officers in the Merger (which disclosure includes the compensation table and related narrative named executive officer compensation disclosures required pursuant to Item 402(t) of Regulation S-K).

Approval, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise related to the proposed transactions requires the affirmative vote, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of Crimson s common stock present, in person or by proxy, at the special meeting and entitled to vote thereon.

Crimson s board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR approval on an advisory (non-binding) basis, of the compensation that may be paid or become payable to Crimson s named executive officers that is based on or otherwise relates to the proposed transactions.

Crimson Proposal 3 Possible Adjournment of the Crimson Special Meeting

If Crimson fails to receive a sufficient number of votes to approve Crimson Proposal 1, Crimson may propose to adjourn the special meeting, if a quorum is present, for the purpose of soliciting additional proxies to approve Crimson Proposal 1. Crimson currently does not intend to propose adjournment of the Crimson special meeting if there are sufficient votes to approve Crimson Proposal 1.

The proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies requires the approval of a majority of the votes cast at the Crimson special meeting, regardless of whether there is a quorum.

Crimson s board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the proposal to adjourn the special meeting, if necessary or appropriate, to solicit additional proxies.

MATERIAL U.S. FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSEQUENCES

The following discussion addresses the material U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger to holders of Crimson common stock that exchange their shares of Crimson common stock for shares of Contango common stock in the merger.

This discussion addresses only holders of Crimson common stock who hold that stock as a capital asset and are U.S. persons, each as defined for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For these purposes a U.S. person is:

an individual citizen or resident of the United States;

a corporation (or any other entity treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes) created or organized in or under the laws of the United States, any state thereof or the District of Columbia;

an estate the income of which is subject to U.S. federal income taxation regardless of its source; or

a trust that (i) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and one or more U.S. persons have the authority to control all substantial decisions of the trust or (ii) has a valid election in effect under applicable U.S. Treasury regulations to be treated as a U.S. person.

This discussion does not address any non-income taxes (including the unearned income Medicare contribution tax enacted under the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010) or any foreign, state or local tax consequences of the merger. This discussion does not address all aspects of U.S. federal income taxation that may be relevant to a holder of Crimson common stock in light of that holder s particular circumstances or to a holder subject to special rules (such as, for example, dealers or brokers in securities, commodities or foreign currencies, traders in securities that elect to apply a mark-to-market method of accounting, banks and certain other financial institutions, insurance companies, mutual funds, tax-exempt organizations, holders subject to the alternative minimum tax provisions of the Code, partnerships, S corporations or other pass-through entities, regulated investment companies, real estate investment trusts, controlled foreign corporations, passive foreign investment companies, former citizens or residents of the United States, U.S. expatriates, holders whose functional currency is not the U.S. dollar, holders who acquired Crimson common stock as part of a hedge, straddle, constructive sale or conversion transaction or other integrated investment, holders who acquired Crimson common stock). This discussion is based on the Code, applicable Treasury regulations, administrative interpretations and court decisions, each as in effect as of the date of this joint proxy statement/prospectus and all of which are subject to change, possibly with retroactive effect.

If a partnership (or an entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) holds Crimson common stock, the tax treatment of a partner will generally depend on the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. Partners of partnerships holding Crimson common stock should consult their own tax advisors.

This discussion of material U.S. federal income tax consequences is for general information purposes only and is not intended to be, and should not be construed as, tax advice. Holders of Crimson common stock are urged to consult their independent tax advisors with respect to the application of U.S. federal income tax laws to their particular situations as well as any tax consequences arising under the U.S. federal estate or gift tax rules, or under the laws of any state, local, foreign or other taxing jurisdiction or under any applicable tax treaty.

Tax Consequences of the Merger Generally

Crimson and Contango intend for the merger to qualify as a reorganization for U.S. federal income tax purposes within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. It is a condition to the obligation of Crimson to

complete the merger that Crimson receive an opinion from Vinson & Elkins L.L.P., counsel to Crimson, dated as of the closing date of the merger and to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. It is a condition to the obligation of Contango to complete the merger that Contango receive an opinion from Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, counsel to Contango, dated as of the closing date of the merger and to the effect that the merger will be treated as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code. The opinions regarding the merger will not address any state, local or foreign tax consequences of the merger. These opinions will be based on facts and representations contained in representation letters provided by Crimson and Contango and on customary factual assumptions, as well as on certain covenants and undertakings by Contango and Crimson. Neither of the opinions described above will be binding on the IRS or any court. Crimson and Contango have not sought and will not assert, or that a court would not sustain, a position contrary to any of the conclusions set forth below. In addition, if any of the representations, assumptions, covenants or undertakings upon which those opinions are based are inconsistent with the actual facts, the U.S. federal income tax consequences of the merger could be different than set forth in the opinions. Neither Crimson nor Contango is aware of any facts or circumstances that would cause the assumptions, representations, covenants and undertakings to be incorrect, incomplete, inaccurate or violated in any material respect. The following discussion assumes the merger qualifies as a reorganization within the meaning of Section 368(a) of the Code.

Receipt of Contango Common Stock

Each holder of Crimson common stock who exchanges such holder s Crimson common stock for Contango common stock generally will not recognize gain or loss, except with respect to cash received instead of fractional shares of Contango common stock (as discussed below). The aggregate tax basis of the Contango common stock each holder receives in the merger (including any fractional shares deemed received and redeemed for cash as described below) will equal the aggregate adjusted tax basis in the shares of Crimson common stock such holder surrenders in the merger. The holding period for the shares of Contango common stock received in the merger (including any fractional shares deemed received and redeemed for cash as described below) will include the holding period of the shares of Crimson common stock surrendered in the merger. If a holder acquired different blocks of Crimson common stock at different times or at different prices, the Contango common stock such holder receives will be allocated pro rata to each block of Crimson common stock, and the basis and holding period of each block of Contango common stock received will be determined on a block-for-block basis depending on the basis and holding period of the blocks of Crimson common stock.

Receipt of Cash for Fractional Shares

Each holder of Crimson common stock who receives cash in lieu of a fractional share of Contango common stock will be treated as having received such fractional share of Contango common stock pursuant to the merger and then having sold such fractional share of Contango common stock for cash. As a result, such holder of Crimson common stock will recognize gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount of cash received and the basis in the holder s fractional share of Contango common stock as set forth above. Such gain or loss generally will be capital gain or loss and will be long-term capital gain or loss if, as of the effective date of the merger, the holding period for such fractional share (including the holding period of shares of Crimson common stock surrendered therefor) exceeds one year. Long-term capital gains of individuals are generally eligible for reduced rates of taxation. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to limitations.

Backup Withholding and Reporting Requirements

Payments of cash to a holder of Crimson common stock pursuant to the merger may, under certain circumstances, be subject to information reporting and backup withholding (currently at a rate of 28%) unless the holder provides proof of an applicable exemption or, in the case of backup withholding, furnishes its correct

taxpayer identification number and otherwise complies with all applicable requirements of the backup withholding rules. Any amounts withheld from payments to a holder under the backup withholding rules are not additional tax and generally will be allowed as a refund or credit against the holder s U.S. federal income tax liability.

A U.S. holder of Crimson common stock, as a result of having received Contango common stock in the merger, will be required to retain records pertaining to the merger pursuant to Treasury regulation Section 1.368-3(d). In addition, each U.S. holder of Crimson common stock who is a significant holder will be required to file a statement with such holder s U.S. federal income tax return in accordance with Treasury regulation Section 1.368-3(b) setting forth such holder s basis in, and the fair market value of, the Crimson common stock surrendered in the merger, the date of the merger and the name and employer identification number of Contango, Crimson and Merger Sub. A significant holder is a holder of Crimson common stock who, immediately before the merger, owned at least 5% (by vote or value) of the outstanding stock of Crimson or securities of Crimson with a basis for U.S. federal income tax purposes of at least \$1 million.

Tax Consequences to Contango, Crimson and Merger Sub

None of Contango, Crimson or Merger Sub will recognize any gain or loss for U.S. federal income tax purposes as a result of the merger.

ACCOUNTING TREATMENT

Contango prepares its financial statements in accordance with GAAP. The merger will be accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting with Contango being considered the acquirer of Crimson for accounting purposes. This means that Contango will allocate the purchase price to the fair value of Crimson s tangible and intangible assets and liabilities at the acquisition date, with the excess purchase price being recorded as goodwill. Under the acquisition method of accounting, goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment at least annually.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA CONDENSED COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements give effect to the proposed business combination of Contango and Crimson.

The merger will be accounted for using the acquisition method of accounting with Contango identified as the acquirer. Under the acquisition method of accounting, Contango will record all assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their respective acquisition-date fair values at the effective time of closing.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined balance sheet as of March 31, 2013 gives effect to the merger as if such event occurred on March 31, 2013. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined statements of operations for the nine months ended March 31, 2013 and the year ended June 30, 2012 give effect to the merger as if such event occurred as of July 1, 2011.

The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements are provided for illustrative purposes only and are not intended to represent or be indicative of the results of operations or financial position of the combined company that would have been recorded had the merger been completed as of the dates presented and should not be taken as representative of future results of operations or financial position of the combined company. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements do not reflect the impacts of any potential operational efficiencies, asset dispositions, cost savings or economies of scale that the combined company may achieve with respect to the combined operations. Additionally, the pro forma statements of operations do not include non-recurring charges or credits and the related tax effects which result directly from the merger. The unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements should be read in conjunction with the historical consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes contained in Contango s and Crimson s Annual Reports on Form 10-K and Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, which are incorporated in this joint proxy by reference see Where You Can Find More Information beginning on page 160.

Contango Oil and Gas Company

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Balance Sheet

As of March 31, 2013

(In thousands)

	Pro forma Adjustments				
	Contango Historical	Crimson Historical	Acquisition Method Adjustments (a)	Financing & Other Adjustments (b)	Contango Pro Forma Combined
CURRENT ASSETS					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 82,606	\$	\$	\$ (72,163)(vi)	\$ 10,443
Accounts receivable:	47,865	12,216			60,081
Prepaid expenses	3,872	812			4,684
Derivative instruments		23			23
Deferred tax asset	0.516	10,855			10,855
Inventory	2,516				2,516
Total current assets	136,859	23,906		(72,163)	88,602
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT:					
Natural gas and oil properties, successful efforts method of accounting:					
Proved properties	547,100	736,387	(569,180)(ii)		714,307
Unproved properties	36,732	14,036	237,948(ii)		288,716
Other property and equipment	227	3,037	(1,834)(ii)		1,430
Accumulated depreciation, depletion and					
amortization	(208,066)	(454,997)	454,997(ii)		(208,066)
Total property, plant and equipment, net	375,993	298,463	121,931		796,387
OTHER ASSETS					
Investment in affiliates	59,894				59,894
Deferred tax asset, net		43,801	(35,063)(v)		8,738
Other noncurrent assets	193	1,206	(960)(iii)	2,313(vi)	2,752
Total other assets	60,087	45,007	(36,023)	2,313	71,384
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 572,939	\$ 367,376	\$ 85,908	\$ (69,850)	\$ 956,373
CURRENT LIABILITIES					
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 15,761	\$ 41,555	\$ 4,500(iv)	\$ 8,500	\$ 70,316
Royalties and revenue payable	22,206				22,206
Other current liabilities		875			875
Total current liabilities	37,967	42,430	4,500	8,500	93,397
NONCURRENT LIABILITIES					
Long term debt		238,589	6,261(iii)	(69,850)	175,000
Deferred tax liability	117,354				117,354
Asset retirement obligation	9,820	10,237			20,057
Other noncurrent liabilities		580			580

Edgar Filing: CONTANGO OIL & GAS CO - Form S-4/A

Total noncurrent liabilities	127,174	249,406	6,261	(69,850)	312,991
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY					
Common stock	805	47	108		960
Additional paid-in capital	79,024	246,670	(96,138)(i)		229,556
Treasury stock	(117,162)	(1,102)	1,102		(117,162)
Retained earnings (deficit)	445,131	(170,075)	170,075	(8,500)(vii)	436,631
Total stockholders equity	407,798	75,540	75,147	(8,500)	549,985
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	\$ 572,939	\$ 367,376	\$ 85,908	\$ (69,850)	\$ 956,373

See accompanying notes to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements

Contango Oil and Gas Company

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Operations

for the Year Ended June 30, 2012

(In thousands except for per share amounts)

REVENUES Natural gas, oil and liquids sales Total revenues EXPENSES Operating expenses Production and ad valorem taxes Exploration expenses Depreciation, depletion and amortization	\$ 179,272 179,272 25,183 346 49,052	\$ 113,957 113,957 12,826 1,814	\$	\$ 293,229 293,229
Total revenues EXPENSES Operating expenses Production and ad valorem taxes Exploration expenses	179,272 25,183 346	113,957 12,826	\$	
EXPENSES Operating expenses Production and ad valorem taxes Exploration expenses	25,183 346	12,826		293,229
Operating expenses Production and ad valorem taxes Exploration expenses	346	· · ·		
Production and ad valorem taxes Exploration expenses	346	· · ·		
Exploration expenses		1,814		38,009
				1,814
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	49.052	964		1,310
	79,054	58,192	(39,560)(ix)	67,684
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties		7,027		7,027
General and administrative expenses	10,418	19,831		30,249
Gain (loss) on sale of assets		(9)		(9)
Total expenses	84,999	100,645	(39,560)	146,084
OPERATING INCOME	94,273	13,312	39,560	147,145
Loss from investments in affiliates, net of taxes	(449)			(449)
Other income (expense)				
Interest expense		(24,579)	20,352(x)	(4,227)
Other income (expense) and financing costs	(312)	(881)		(1,193)
Unrealized (loss) gain on derivative instruments		5,130		5,130
NET INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING				
OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	93,512	(7,018)	59,912	146,406
Pro forma income tax benefit (provision)	(34,299)	2,057	(22,167)(xi)	(54,409)
NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCK	\$ 59,213	\$ (4,961)	\$ 37,745	\$ 91,997
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS:				
Basic \$	\$ 3.84			\$ 4.77
Diluted \$	\$ 3.84			\$ 4.73
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING				
Basic	15,423		3,869(xii)	19,292
Diluted	15,425		4,006(xii)	19,431

See accompanying notes to the unaudited pro forma condensed combined financial statements

Contango Oil and Gas Company

Unaudited Pro Forma Condensed Combined Statement of Operations

for the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2013

(In thousands except for per share amounts)

	Contango Historical	Crimson Historical (viii)	Pro Forma Adjustments	Contango Pro Forma Combined
REVENUES				
Natural gas, oil and liquids sales	\$ 96,493	\$ 82,788	\$	\$ 179,281
Total revenues	96,493	82,788		179,281
EXPENSES				
Operating expenses	21,220	10,292		31,512
Production and ad valorem taxes	, -	5,261		5,261
Exploration expenses	51,743	61		51,804
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	30,830	42,466	(29,778)(ix)	43,518
Impairment of natural gas and oil properties	14,078	117,225		131,303
General and administrative expenses	8,607	14,671		23,278
(Gain) loss on sale of assets		(6)		(6)
Total expenses	126,478	189,970	(29,778)	286,670
OPERATING INCOME	(29,985)	(107,182)	29,778	(107,389)
Loss from investments in affiliates, net of taxes	(639)			