

BSQUARE CORP /WA
Form 10-K
March 17, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 000-27687

BSQUARE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Washington
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

91-1650880
(I.R.S. Employer

Identification Number)

110 110th Avenue NE, Suite 200, Bellevue, Washington 98004

(Address of principal executive offices)

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (425) 519-5900

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|----------------------------|--|
| Common Stock, no par value | The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ Global Market) |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2010 was approximately \$15,866,000 based on the closing price of \$2.18 per share of the registrant's common stock as listed on the NASDAQ Global Market.

The number of shares of common stock outstanding as of February 28, 2011: 10,451,650

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive proxy statement to be delivered to shareholders in connection with the annual meeting of shareholders to be held on June 8, 2011 are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

BSQUARE CORPORATION

FORM 10-K

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1 <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| Item 1A <u>Risk Factors</u> | 12 |
| Item 1B <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 24 |
| Item 2 <u>Properties</u> | 25 |
| Item 3 <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 25 |
| Item 4 <u>(Removed and Reserved)</u> | 25 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5 <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 26 |
| Item 6 <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 26 |
| Item 7 <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 27 |
| Item 7A <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 36 |
| Item 8 <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 37 |
| Item 9 <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 60 |
| Item 9A <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 60 |
| Item 9B <u>Other Information</u> | 61 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10 <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | 62 |
| Item 11 <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 62 |
| Item 12 <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 62 |
| Item 13 <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 62 |
| Item 14 <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 62 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15 <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 63 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 64 |

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business.
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including any information incorporated by reference, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act). In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as may, will, should, expect, plan, intend, forecast, anticipate, believe, estimate, predict, potential, continue, or the negative of these terms or other comparable terms, which when used are meant to signify the statement as forward-looking. These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions and other statements that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and situations that are difficult to predict and that may cause our own, or our industry's, actual results to be materially different from the future results that are expressed or implied by these statements. Accordingly, actual results may differ materially from those anticipated or expressed in such statements as a result of a variety of factors, including those set forth under Item 1A, Risk Factors. Such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to the following:

the development of the smart device market and our ability to address its opportunities and challenges;

the adoption of Microsoft® Windows® CE, Windows XP Embedded, Windows Mobile, Android, Linux and other operating systems that our software offerings, support as the systems of choice for many device hardware and software vendors;

our business plan and our strategy for implementing our plan;

our ability to expand, and capitalize on our strategic relationships with silicon vendors and other hardware and software vendors;

our ability to maintain our relationship with Microsoft Corporation (Microsoft) and expectations related to our distribution of Windows Mobile and other Microsoft embedded operating systems;

our ability to address challenges and opportunities in the international marketplace;

our ability to develop our technology and expand our proprietary software and service offerings; and

our anticipated working capital needs and capital expenditure requirements, including our ability to meet our anticipated cash needs. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date made. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. Readers, however, should carefully review the factors set forth in this and other reports or documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

BUSINESS

Overview

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

As used in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, we, us, our and the Company refer to BSQUARE Corporation. We provide software solutions to companies that develop smart, connected devices and to companies that assist others in developing smart, connected devices. A smart, connected device is a dedicated purpose computing device that typically has a display, runs an operating system (e.g., Microsoft® Windows® CE) and may be connected to a network via a wired or wireless connection. Examples of smart devices include set-top

Table of Contents

boxes, home gateways, point-of-sale terminals, kiosks, voting machines, gaming platforms, tablets, handheld data collection devices, personal media players, smart phones and devices targeted at automotive applications. We primarily focus on smart devices that utilize embedded versions of the Microsoft Windows family of operating systems, specifically Windows CE, Windows XP Embedded and Windows Mobile . However, as a result of acquisitions, coupled with our strategic intent to broaden our market focus, we also provide software solutions to customers developing devices utilizing other operating systems such as Android and Linux.

We have been providing software solutions to the smart device marketplace since our inception. Our customers include world class original equipment manufacturers (OEMs), original design manufacturers (ODMs) and enterprises, as well as silicon vendors (SVs) and peripheral vendors which purchase our software solutions for purposes of facilitating processor and peripheral sales to the aforementioned customer categories. In the case of enterprises, our customers include those which develop, market and distribute smart devices on their own behalf as well as those that purchase devices from OEMs or ODMs and require additional device software or testing. The software solutions we provide are utilized and deployed throughout various phases of our customers' device life cycle, including design, development, customization, quality assurance and deployment.

We were incorporated in the State of Washington in July 1994. Our principal office is located at 110 110th Avenue NE, Suite 200, Bellevue, Washington 98004, and our telephone number is (425) 519-5900.

Industry Background

The increasing opportunity for connectivity and exchange of data, information or multimedia content is driving demand for easy-to-use, cost-effective and customizable methods of electronic communication. Although the personal computer (PC) has been the traditional means of electronically connecting suppliers, partners, customers and consumers, the benefits of smart devices have led to their rapid adoption as a new class of powerful technology.

Smart, connected devices are particularly attractive because they are often less expensive than desktop and laptop computers; have adaptable configurations, including size, weight and shape; and are able to support a variety of customized applications and user interfaces that can be designed for specific tasks. These devices also are often compatible with existing business information systems. These classes of smart, connected devices bring connected computing to very large, rapidly growing markets where desktop and laptop computers might be too expensive for general use.

The smart device industry is characterized by a wide variety of hardware configurations and end-user applications, often designed to address a specific vertical market. To accommodate these diverse characteristics in a cost-effective manner, OEMs and ODMs require operating systems that can be integrated with a diverse set of smart devices and can support an expanding range of industry-specific functionality, content and applications.

The smart device marketplace is being influenced by the following factors:

Growing demand by business professionals and consumers for converged mobile devices, which combine telephony, data (such as email and internet browsing), multimedia and location awareness, is driving new sophisticated smart device designs by our OEM and ODM customers;

Businesses looking to deploy specialized devices into stores, shopping centers, shop floors, etc., or to their employees who work in the field but need connectivity to business systems and data.

The ubiquity of cellular and WLAN wireless networks is driving rapid adoption of smart devices that leverage broadband and high-speed wireless data networks, including Internet Protocol (IP) set-top boxes, voice-over-IP (VoIP) phones, residential gateways, and home networking solutions linking smart devices with PCs;

Table of Contents

The baseline expectation for device functionality continues to grow. Users of smart devices expect to be able to access email and the Internet, synchronize their devices with corporate data sources and deploy multiple applications on their devices;

The adoption of a new generation of devices, such as the Apple iPhone and the Motorola Droid, has increased demand for high-performance, multimedia-capable devices that are able to access, share and play audio, video and application content located on remote computers;

Security is becoming an increasingly important concern as devices are able to access networks and store sensitive information locally such as email, spreadsheets and other documents. Users are demanding that these types of information be protected in the same ways they are protected on the desktop;

Higher bandwidth networks coupled with larger displays and increased processing power found on new devices means that more multimedia content will be available to devices increasing demand for digital rights management, content management and related technologies; and

Increases in device complexity driven by rising user expectations of functionality, complex device interactions with wireless networks and updated versions of embedded operating systems and silicon processors, all of which are driving OEMs and ODMs to continually refresh and update their device designs.

Software Solutions for Smart Device Makers

Our customers include world class OEMs and ODMs, enterprises, Mobile Network Operators, SVs and peripheral vendors which are developing smart devices or assisting others in doing so. Representative customer relationships in 2010 included the following:

Ford Motor Company (Ford) continued to engage us to assist in the development of their next generation in-dash infotainment offering called MyFord Touch. Our initial project with Ford was completed in the third quarter of 2010 where we provided them hardware design and implementation, platform level software development, application level software development, quality assurance services and systems integration services for the MyFord Touch. Since we completed the initial project, we are now primarily focused on developing and integrating new user applications for the MyFord Touch, enhancing existing applications and customizing the MyFord Touch platform for additional vehicle models. Our new agreement with Ford is called the Ford Telematics Competency Center and commits us to providing a certain number of engineering services personnel to Ford for a set fee. Further, we have licensed Adobe Flash Lite to Ford for use in the MyFord Touch system and have also licensed certain of our TestQuest products to Ford in connection with this system;

The Coca-Cola Company (Coca-Cola), continued to engage us to assist in the development of their next generation drink dispensing machine; and

China Mobile Communications Corporation, through several systems integrators, purchased our Countdown automated testing tool, to perform field testing of its devices in 31 provinces within China. Other leading smart device companies such as Motorola, Qualcomm and Siemens also purchased our automated device testing tools.

The software we deliver to our customers as part of our software solutions takes three forms. The first is our own proprietary software products, the second is best-of-class third-party software products and the last is custom software delivered through engineering services. Also, due to the complexity of embedded software, the integration of our own proprietary software and/or third-party software products on customers' devices often requires additional engineering services to accomplish systems integration, customization and/or optimization as well as quality assurance testing. Our goal is to increase the breadth and depth of our software product and engineering service offerings to smart device customers to enhance our position as an overall smart device software solutions provider.

Table of Contents

Proprietary Software Products

Our proprietary software offerings include:

CountDown CountDown is a software testing automation tool we acquired from TestQuest that provides customers with a complete test solution that brings together everything necessary to test smart devices including tools to create and manage test cases, a platform that allows teams to collaborate on test development, an execution environment that enables tests to be executed on the smart device and capture results, and a reporting tool that allows customers to analyze test results;

TestQuest Pro TestQuest Pro is the original TestQuest test automation tool, which we also acquired from TestQuest. TestQuest Pro is widely used to test embedded systems, including digital entertainment, telecom/datacom, military/aerospace and medical devices, as well as automation systems for industry, retail, offices, buildings and homes;

Handset Certification Platform The Handset Certification Platform builds upon our automated testing tool technology to allow mobile operators to implement standardized handset tests across their OEM suppliers;

SDIO Hx SDIO (Secure Digital Input Output) is an industry standard format that allows very small form-factor peripheral and memory cards to be used with smart devices. Our SDIO solution is used by customers who are creating SDIO solutions for smart devices running Microsoft Windows CE and Windows Mobile operating systems; and

TI Windows CE and Windows Mobile BSP We worked with Texas Instruments to create the standard Windows CE Board Support Packages (BSP) for the TI OMAP 35XX and 37XX family of processors and the standard Windows Mobile BSP for the TI OMAP 34XX and 36XX family of processors, both of which are used in a variety of mobile and embedded device designs. We also have several other off-the-shelf BSP products that support other processors.

Third-Party Software Products

We have multiple license and distribution agreements with third-party software vendors. Our ability to resell these third-party software products, whether stand-alone or in conjunction with our own proprietary software and engineering service offerings, provides our customers with a significant solution source for their smart device project needs. Our third-party software offerings include the following:

We are a Microsoft authorized Value-Added Provider (VAP) of Windows Embedded operating systems and toolkits for Windows CE, Windows XP/NT Embedded, Windows XP Professional with Embedded Restrictions, Windows Server with Embedded Restrictions, Windows XP Embedded for Point of Sale and Microsoft Classic operating systems with Embedded restrictions, including DOS and Windows 98/2000/ME/NT. We are authorized to sell Windows Embedded operating systems in North America, including Mexico. 52% of our total revenue in 2010 was generated through the sale of Microsoft Embedded operating systems;

We have been a Microsoft authorized VAP of Windows Mobile operating systems since November 2009. Along with Windows Mobile operating systems, we also now have the ability to sell the Microsoft Office Mobile and several other related products. We generated \$10.2 million (11% of total revenue) in sales of Windows Mobile operating systems in 2010 compared to none in 2009;

We are an authorized distributor for Adobe Flash Lite technology. We have the right to distribute Adobe Flash Lite licenses to OEMs and ODMs and others worldwide;

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

We are an authorized distributor of McAfee's Embedded Security product to OEMs in North America; and

We sub-license and resell other third-party software such as Datalight Inc.'s FlashFX and Reliance products.

Table of Contents

Software revenue for the last two fiscal years was as follows (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Software revenue: | | |
| Third-party software | \$ 63,886 | \$ 32,374 |
| Proprietary software | 5,173 | 4,156 |
| Total software revenue | \$ 69,059 | \$ 36,530 |
| Software revenue as a percentage of total revenue | 71% | 57% |
| Third-party software revenue as a percentage of total software revenue | 93% | 87% |

The sale of Microsoft operating systems has accounted for substantially all of our third-party software revenue historically.

Engineering Service Offerings

Our service offerings include:

Software and hardware design and development services;

Platform development systems integration;

Radio Interface Layer (RIL) development and testing;

Application, middleware and multimedia software development;

Adobe Flash Lite Player porting and Flash User Interface development;

Quality assurance and testing services;

Hardware design, prototype and product development services;

Device solution strategy consulting;

Mechanical and ID design services;

Technical support;

Implementation services; and

Training.

Traditionally, our engineering services have predominantly focused on customers building or deploying devices utilizing some form of Windows Embedded or Windows Mobile operating system. However, our intent is to increasingly provide engineering services to customers building or deploying devices utilizing non-Microsoft operating systems and an increasing amount of our engineering services involves devices running the Android operating system.

Customers utilize our engineering services due to our extensive experience developing new devices and because of our deep experience with embedded and mobile operating systems, particularly Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile. We believe that engaging BSQUARE on a new device design typically results in shorter development cycles and reduced time-to-market, lower overall costs to complete projects, and product robustness and features, which a customer may have been unable to achieve through other means.

Table of Contents

Service revenue for the last two fiscal years was as follows (in thousands):

| | 2010 | 2009 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Total service revenue | \$ 27,715 | \$ 27,849 |
| Service revenue as a percentage of total revenue | 29% | 43% |

Strategy

Our strategy is to continue to enhance our position as a leading provider of smart device software solutions and related services, ultimately becoming a go-to solutions provider for our customers and potential customers. To advance this strategy, we intend to focus on the following areas:

We are expanding our sales footprint in Asia in order to better serve customers in that market. We have added a direct sales presence in Korea and are in the process of expanding our sales footprint in China. We also intend to enhance our sales capabilities in Japan and Taiwan;

We are expanding our development footprint in Asia. During 2010 we expanded our Taiwan development center, and ultimately hope to have up to 65 employees in our Taipei facility. During 2011 we expect to establish a development center in China;

We are working to expand the number of engineering service practice areas that we offer to our customers. Expanding our capabilities around the Android operating system and expanding our ability to create user interfaces and applications on a variety of technologies and operating systems is a key focus for our service group;

We are investing in the mobile and automotive vertical segments in order to put together compelling solutions offerings designed to expand our business in those growing segments;

We are actively searching for additional software products from third party software providers that we can resell. We believe that we have established a premiere reseller channel through our sales of Microsoft Operating Systems, Adobe FlashLite, McAfee Solidcore, and wish to leverage our channel by selling other products;

We are investing in our Handset Certification Platform (HCP) and are attempting to sell our HCP solution to multiple mobile network operators;

We are seeking additional proprietary software products to invest in; and

We are actively looking for companies with compelling technology that we can partner with or acquire. Along these lines, in 2010 we partnered with Qualcomm to resell their Snapdragon Mobile Development Platform.

Relationship with Microsoft and Impact on our Smart Device Solutions Business

We have a long-standing relationship with Microsoft and this relationship is critical to the continuing success of our business. Our credentials as a Microsoft partner include:

We are one of Microsoft's largest distributors of Embedded operating systems (e.g. Windows CE) worldwide although our territory is limited to North America and a few other countries in the Americas;

We are a worldwide distributor of Microsoft's Windows Mobile operating systems (since November 2009);

We are a Windows Embedded Gold-level Systems Integrator;

We are a Microsoft Gold Certified Partner in Microsoft's general partner program;

Table of Contents

We were the Microsoft Enterprise Partner of the Year for 2009;

We were the Microsoft Systems Integrator of the Year for 2008;

We were the Microsoft Distributor of the Year for 2008;

We are a developer and provider of Microsoft Official Curriculum Training for Windows CE and Windows XP Embedded;

We are a Microsoft Auto training partner;

We are a leading systems integrator for Microsoft's Windows Mobile device development projects;

We are a Preferred Provider of Visual Tools for Microsoft;

We are a Gold-level member of Microsoft's Third-Party Tools Provider Program; and

We have been engaged by Microsoft on various service engagements.

We work closely with Microsoft executives, developers, product managers and sales personnel. We leverage these relationships in a variety of ways, including:

We gain early access to new Microsoft embedded software and other technologies;

We are able to leverage co-marketing resources from Microsoft, including market development funds, to support our own marketing and sales efforts;

We participate in Microsoft-sponsored trade shows, seminars, and other events;

We receive sales leads from Microsoft;

We receive rebates based upon predefined objectives; and

We participate in Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile design reviews, enabling early access to product roadmap information wherein we provide important technical and customer feedback.

See Item 1A, Risk Factors, for more information regarding our relationship with Microsoft.

Customers

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Customers of our software solutions including related engineering services, include leading OEMs, ODMs, SVs, peripheral vendors, and other enterprises seeking to leverage the software we provide them, be it our own proprietary software, third-party software or customer software developed via our engineering services, to develop high-quality, full-featured smart devices that meet the requirements of numerous end-markets. Representative customers include Ford, Coca-Cola, Qualcomm Inc., Tyco Electronics Ltd., Honeywell International, Inc., Canon, Inc., Microsoft, Motorola, Inc. and Research In Motion Ltd. Ford accounted for \$12.9 million, or 13%, of total revenue in 2010, compared to \$14.9 million, or 23% of total revenue, in 2009. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of total revenue in 2010 or 2009. Revenue generated from Ford has been declining primarily due to completion of the main MyFord Touch project in August 2011. While we continue to do business with Ford, and expect our business with Ford to continue throughout 2011, we currently do not expect Ford to represent 10% or more of total revenue in 2011.

Sales and Marketing

We market our software solutions and engineering services predominantly through our direct sales and sales support organization located primarily in the United States and Taiwan. We also have minor sales and sales support presences in China, India, Korea, Japan and the United Kingdom. The majority of our sales and marketing personnel are located in the United States, but as part of our growth strategy, we anticipate expanding our international sales, sales support and marketing personnel more rapidly than we expand our North America personnel. Historically, we have not made significant use of resellers, channel partners, representative agents or other indirect channels.

Table of Contents

Key elements of our sales and marketing strategy include direct marketing, trade shows, event marketing, public relations, customer and strategic alliance partner co-marketing programs and a comprehensive website. We rely significantly on lead referral and other marketing support programs from strategic partners, particularly Microsoft.

Research and Development

Our research and development personnel are responsible for the design, development and release of our proprietary software products, the majority of whom focused on development of our TestQuest automated testing tools during 2010, which we expect will continue in 2011. Members of our research and development staff work closely with our sales and marketing departments, as well as with our customers and potential customers, to better understand market needs and requirements. We perform our research and development primarily utilizing engineering staff located in the United States but increasingly have been performing our research and development in locations outside the United States and expect that trend to continue in 2011.

Competition

The market for smart device software and services is extremely competitive. We face competition from the following:

Our current and potential customers' internal research and development departments, which may seek to develop their own proprietary products and solutions that compete with our proprietary software products and engineering services;

Engineering service firms, including off-shore development companies, such as Adeneo, Teleca and Wipro;

ODMs, particularly those in Taiwan and China, which have added or are adding software development capabilities to their offerings;

Contract manufacturers, which have added or are adding software development capabilities to their offerings; and

Microsoft Embedded operating system distributors such as Arrow Electronics, Inc (Arrow) and Avnet, Inc. (Avnet). The companies and products that compete with us in mobile and embedded test automation include DeviceAnywhere, Perfecto Mobile, mVerify, JAMO Solutions, SmartBear Software (AutomatedQA), TestPlant (eggPlant) and Keynote. In this market we also compete against potential customers' internally created tools and against manual testing. Some of our competitors focus on only one aspect of our business or offer complementary products which can be integrated with our products.

As we develop and bring to market new software products and service offerings, particularly offerings focused on specific industries and/or focused on devices utilizing non-Windows Embedded operating systems, we may begin competing with companies with which we have not previously competed. Further, as we expand the geographic markets into which we sell our embedded software solutions and related services, we may expect to increasingly compete with companies with which we have not previously competed. It is also possible that new competitors will enter the market or that our competitors will form alliances, including alliances with Microsoft, that may enable them to rapidly increase their market share. Microsoft has not agreed to any exclusive arrangement with us, nor has it agreed not to compete with us. Microsoft may decide to bring more of the core embedded development services and expertise that we provide in-house, possibly resulting in reduced software and service revenue opportunities for us. The barrier to entering the market as a provider of Windows-based smart device software and services is low. In addition, Microsoft has created marketing programs to encourage systems integrators to work on Windows Embedded operating system software and services. These systems

Table of Contents

integrators are given substantially the same access by Microsoft to the Windows technology as we are. New competitors may have lower overhead than we do and may be able to undercut our pricing. We expect that competition will increase as other established and emerging companies enter the Windows-based smart device market, and as new products and technologies are introduced.

International Operations

During 2010, our international operations outside of North America consisted principally of operations in Taipei, Taiwan. We have a limited sales and/or support presence in China, India, Japan, Korea and the United Kingdom. We also have a partner in India that provides contract engineering services. Because our OEM Distribution Agreement with Microsoft restricts our sale of Microsoft General Embedded operating systems (e.g. Windows CE) to North America, including Mexico, the majority of our revenue continues to be generated from North America. In 2010, revenue generated from customers located outside of North America was 16% of total revenue, compared to 5% in 2009. The increase in non-North American revenue in 2010, as compared to the prior year, was attributable to the sale of Windows Mobile operating systems which accounted for \$6.1 million of non-North American revenue in 2010, compared to none in the prior year. Additionally, service revenue in Asia increased 132% over 2009 largely as a result of improved economic conditions.

See Item 1A, Risk Factors, and Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, for more information regarding our international operations.

Personnel

The following highlights the total number of employees by area:

| | December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Engineering Services | 128 | 130 |
| Research and Product Development | 21 | 23 |
| Sales, Marketing and Administrative | 66 | 67 |
| Total | 215 | 220 |

As of December 31, 2010, we were utilizing the services of 55 contractors, almost all of whom were involved with engineering services, compared to 55 at December 31, 2009. Of the total headcount of 279 at December 31, 2010, 214 were located in North America, 37 were located in Taiwan and 12 were located in India with the remainder located in China, Japan, Korea and the United Kingdom. As conditions necessitate, engineering service employees perform research and development activities and vice versa.

Intellectual Property and Other Proprietary Rights

Our intellectual property is critical to our success. In general, we attempt to protect our intellectual property rights through patent, copyright, trademark and trade secret laws and through contractual arrangements. However, we cannot be certain that our efforts will be effective to prevent the misappropriation of our intellectual property, or to prevent the development and design by others of products or technologies similar to, or competitive with those developed by us.

Additionally, because a significant portion of our revenue relates to the sale of third-party software products, we also rely on our partners, particularly Microsoft, to appropriately protect their own intellectual property.

Table of Contents

We currently have eight issued patents and two pending patents in the United States, and we have a number of registered trademarks in various jurisdictions. We will continue to pursue appropriate protections for our intellectual property.

See Item 1A, Risk Factors, for more information regarding our intellectual property and other proprietary rights.

Available Information

We are a reporting company and file annual, quarterly and current reports and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any materials we file with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You also may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements, and other information we file electronically with the SEC at <http://www.sec.gov>.

Our Internet website is located at www.bsquare.com. We make available, free of charge, through the investor relations section of our website, under SEC Filings, all our filings, including our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to our directors and executive officers as of January 31, 2011:

| Name | Age | Position |
|--------------------------|-----|---|
| Donald B. Bibeault | 69 | Director |
| Brian T. Crowley | 50 | President and Chief Executive Officer, Director |
| Elwood D. Howse, Jr | 71 | Director |
| Elliott H. Jurgensen, Jr | 66 | Chairman of the Board |
| Scot E. Land | 56 | Director |
| William D. Savoy | 46 | Director |
| Kendra A. VanderMeulen | 59 | Director |
| Carey E. Butler | 56 | Vice President, Professional Engineering Services |
| Scott C. Mahan | 46 | Vice President, Finance; Chief Financial Officer; Secretary and Treasurer |
| Mark E. McMillan | 48 | Vice President, Worldwide Sales and Marketing |
| John F.K. Traynor | 45 | Vice President, Products |

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

As discussed under Item 1 of Part I, Business Forward-Looking Statements, our actual results could differ materially from those expressed in our forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently deem immaterial, may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks occur, our business, financial condition, operating results, cash flows and the trading price of our common stock could be materially adversely affected.

Microsoft-Related Risk Factors

Due to the fact that we have historically provided software and services to customers building devices utilizing Microsoft's Windows General Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, as well as the fact

Table of Contents

that a significant portion of our revenue is derived from the sale of Microsoft General Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, Microsoft has a significant direct and indirect influence on our business. The following represent several Microsoft-related risk factors which may negatively impact our business and operating results.

If we do not maintain our OEM and Windows Mobile Distribution Agreements with Microsoft or if Microsoft de-emphasizes or divests itself from these areas of its business, our revenue would decrease and our business would be adversely affected.

We have an OEM Distribution Agreement for Software Products for Embedded Systems (ODA) with Microsoft, which enables us to sell Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems to our customers in the United States, Canada, the Caribbean (excluding Cuba) and Mexico. Software sales under this agreement constitute a significant portion of our revenue. Our existing ODA was effective as of July 1, 2010 and expires on June 30, 2011. We also entered into another distribution agreement (the Winmo ODA) with Microsoft in November 2009 under which we are now licensed to sell Microsoft Windows Mobile operating systems to customers on a worldwide basis. The Winmo ODA is effective through October 31, 2011. We generated \$10.2 million of revenue in 2010 through the Winmo ODA, and expect this revenue stream to continue in 2011. If either or both of these agreements are terminated by Microsoft (which Microsoft can do unilaterally), or not renewed, or if Microsoft decides to no longer invest in or to divest itself from these areas of its business, our software revenue and resulting gross profit would decrease significantly and our operating results would be negatively impacted. Future renewals, if any, could be on less favorable terms, which could also negatively impact our business and operating results.

Effective September 1, 2008, Microsoft changed its pricing structure under the ODA, which it can do at any time, whereby Microsoft generally increased the price of software licenses we pay to Microsoft. Microsoft could change its pricing structure again and, unless we are able to either pass through price increases to our customers, or sign our customers to 12-month purchasing commitments, which lowers the price we pay to Microsoft, our revenue, gross profit and operating results would be negatively impacted. Further, Microsoft currently offers a rebate program in conjunction with our ODA activities in which we earn money for achieving certain predefined objectives. If Microsoft were to eliminate or negatively modify the rebate program, our gross profit and operating results would be negatively impacted.

Microsoft has audited our records under the ODA in the past and will likely audit our records again in the future for both the ODA and the Winmo ODA, and any negative audit results could result in additional charges and/or the termination of the ODA and the Winmo ODA.

There are provisions in the ODA and Winmo ODA that require us to maintain certain internal records and processes for royalty auditing and other reasons. Non-compliance with these and other requirements could result in the termination of the ODA and Winmo ODA. On January 22, 2010, Microsoft concluded an audit of our records pertaining to the ODA, which covered the period from October 2006 to September 2009. There were no material findings. A similar audit conducted in 2007 similarly produced no material findings; however, an audit in 2003 and 2004, covering a period of five years, resulted in a payment to Microsoft of \$310,000. It is possible that future audits could result in additional charges.

If we do not maintain our favorable relationship with Microsoft, we will have difficulty marketing and selling our software and services and may not receive developer releases of Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms. As a result, our revenue and operating results could suffer.

We maintain a strategic marketing relationship with Microsoft. If our relationship with Microsoft deteriorates for any reason, including an increased focus by us on customers building devices utilizing non-Microsoft Embedded operating systems, our efforts to market and sell our software and services to OEMs and others could be adversely affected and our business could be harmed. Microsoft has significant influence over the development plans and buying decisions of OEMs and others utilizing Windows Embedded operating

Table of Contents

systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms for smart devices and these targeted platforms are a significant focus for us. Microsoft provides customer referrals to us. Moreover, Microsoft controls the marketing campaigns related to its operating systems. Microsoft's marketing activities, including trade shows, direct mail campaigns and print advertising, are important to the continued promotion and market acceptance of Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms and, consequently, to our sale of Windows-based software and services. We must maintain a favorable relationship with Microsoft to continue to participate in joint marketing activities with them, which includes participating in partner pavilions at trade shows, listing our services on Microsoft's website, and receiving customer referrals. In the event that we are unable to continue our joint marketing efforts with Microsoft, or fail to receive referrals from them, we would be required to devote significant additional resources and incur additional expenses to market our software products and services directly to potential customers. In addition, we depend on Microsoft for developer releases of new versions of, and upgrades to, Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile software in order to facilitate timely development and delivery of our own software and services. If we are unable to maintain our favorable relationship with Microsoft, our revenue could decline significantly, and/or our costs could increase significantly, thereby negatively impacting our operating results.

Unexpected delays or announcement of delays by Microsoft of Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms product releases could adversely affect our revenue and operating results.

Unexpected delays or announcement of delays in Microsoft's delivery schedule for new versions of its Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms could cause us to delay our product introductions or impede our ability to sell our products and services and/or to complete customer projects on a timely basis. Such delays, or announcements of delays by Microsoft, could also cause our customers to delay or cancel their project development activities or product introductions, which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

If Microsoft adds features to its Windows operating system or develops products that directly compete with products and services we provide, our revenue and operating results could be negatively impacted.

As the developer of Windows, Windows XP Embedded, Windows CE and Windows Mobile, Microsoft could add features to its operating systems which eliminate or reduce our customers' need for our software and services, or Microsoft could develop standalone products and services that compete with the products and services we provide to our customers. The ability of our customers, or potential customers, to obtain products and services directly from Microsoft that compete with our products and services could negatively impact our revenue and operating results. Even if the standard features of future Microsoft operating system software were more limited than our offerings, a significant number of our customers, and potential customers, might elect to accept more limited functionality in lieu of purchasing additional software from us or delay the purchase of our products and services while they perform a comparison of Microsoft's competing offerings. Moreover, the resulting competitive pressures could lead to price reductions for our offerings and reduce our revenue and gross profit accordingly and our operating results could be negatively impacted.

If the markets for Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms fail to develop further, develop more slowly than expected, or decline, our business and operating results may be materially harmed.

Because a significant portion of our revenue to date has been generated by software and services targeted at customers and devices utilizing the Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, if the markets for these systems or platforms fail to develop further, or develop more slowly than expected, or decline, our business and operating results could be negatively impacted. Market acceptance of Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile will depend on many factors, including:

Microsoft's development and support of the Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile markets. As the developer and primary promoter of Windows CE, Windows XP Embedded and Windows Mobile, if

Table of Contents

Microsoft were to decide to discontinue or lessen its support of these operating systems and platforms, potential customers could select competing operating systems, which could reduce the demand for our Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile related software products and engineering services which is our primary focus today;

The ability of the Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile software to compete against existing and emerging operating systems for the smart device market, including: OSx from Apple, Inc., VxWorks and Linux from WindRiver Systems Inc.; Symbian; JavaOS from Sun Microsystems, Inc.; Android from Google Inc.; Blackberry from RIM; and other proprietary operating systems. In particular, in the market for handheld devices, Windows Mobile faces intense competition from the Symbian, RIM, Android and Apple operating systems. In the market for converged devices, Windows Embedded faces intense competition from the Linux operating system and more recently from Android. Windows Embedded operating systems and the Windows Mobile targeted platforms may be unsuccessful in capturing a significant share of these segments of the smart device market, or in maintaining its market share in these segments;

The acceptance by OEMs and consumers of the mix of features and functions offered by Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms; and

The willingness of software developers to continue to develop and expand the applications that run on Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms. To the extent that software developers write applications for competing operating systems that are more attractive to smart device users than those available on Windows Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, potential customers could select competing operating systems over Windows Embedded operating systems and Windows Mobile targeted platforms.

Ford-Related Risk Factors

If we do not obtain additional work from Ford in 2011, or increase our work for new or existing customers, our future revenue and gross profit would decrease and our operating results would be adversely affected.

Revenue from Ford comprised \$12.9 million, or 13%, of our total revenue in 2010. We expect this project work to continue in 2011 under the Ford Telematics Competency Center (FCC) but expect revenue from Ford to be lower in 2011 than in 2010 due to the lower level of personnel resources utilized in the FCC than were utilized in the original project. While we do currently expect to be actively involved in future projects with Ford in 2011 and beyond, if our role in fulfilling Ford's plans is scaled back or eliminated beyond 2011, or if we are unable to increase our work for new or existing customers to compensate for a decrease in Ford-related revenue, our revenue and resulting gross profit would suffer and negatively impact our operating results.

If we breach our Agreement with Ford, fail to comply with the requirements of the Agreement, or are required to perform warranty repairs on any of the Ford vehicles into which our work is incorporated, or if any of those vehicles are recalled due to defects in our work, our revenue, operating results and overall business could be negatively impacted.

Our Agreement with Ford provides customary representations and warranties to Ford and we accept liability for certain damages that are incurred by Ford as a result of our breach or failure to comply with the requirements of the Agreement. These damages may include consequential damages. The agreement also provides that we will indemnify Ford against certain third party claims. In addition, warranty repairs on our work for Ford could require us to devote additional hours that would not be billable. A significant warranty claim could require immediate remediation and consume a large amount of our billable resources until it is fixed, which could negatively impact our revenue, operating results and our business. In addition, if a defect were significant enough to require a vehicle recall, the costs of such a recall could be significant. We have increased our overall insurance amounts, but many claims, including, but not limited to, warranty and recall related issues, may not be covered by insurance.

Table of Contents

General Business-Related Risk Factors

Our marketplace is extremely competitive, which may result in price reductions, lower gross profit margins and loss of market share.

The market for our software and services is extremely competitive. Increased competition may result in lower revenue, price reductions, lower gross profit and margin and loss of customers and market share, which could negatively impact our operating results. We face competition from:

Our current and potential customers' internal research and development departments, which may seek to develop their own proprietary products and solutions that compete with our the software products and engineering services we sell;

Engineering service firms, including off-shore development companies, such as Intrinsic, Adeneo, Teleca and Wipro;

ODMs, particularly those in Taiwan China, which have or are adding software development capabilities to their offerings;

Contract manufacturers, which are adding software development capabilities to their offerings;

Microsoft Embedded operating system distributors such as Arrow and Avnet; and

Companies and products that compete with us in mobile and embedded test automation, including DeviceAnywhere, Perfecto Mobile, mVerify, JAMO Solutions, SmartBear Software (AutomatedQA), TestPlant (eggPlant), and Keynote. In this market we also compete against potential customers' internally created tools and against manual testing. Some of our competitors focus on only one aspect of our business or offer complementary products which can be integrated with our products.

As we develop and bring to market new product and service offerings, particularly offerings focused on specific industries and/or focused on devices utilizing non-Windows Embedded operating systems, we may begin competing with companies with which we have not previously competed. It is also possible that new competitors will enter the market or that our competitors will form alliances, including alliances with Microsoft, that may enable them to rapidly increase their market share. Microsoft has not agreed to any exclusive arrangement with us, nor has it agreed not to compete with us. Microsoft may decide to bring more of the core embedded development services and expertise that we provide in-house, possibly resulting in reduced software and service revenue opportunities for us. In addition, Microsoft has created marketing programs to encourage systems integrators to work on Windows Embedded operating system software and services. These systems integrators are given substantially the same access by Microsoft to the Windows technology as we are. New competitors may have lower overhead than we do and may be able to undercut our pricing. We expect that competition will increase as other established and emerging companies enter the Windows-based smart device market, and as new products and technologies are introduced.

Our ability to maintain or grow our proprietary software revenue is contingent on our ability to bring to market competitive, unique offerings that keep pace with technological changes and needs. If we are not successful in doing so, our business would be negatively impacted.

Proprietary software product sales provide us with much higher gross profit margins than we typically receive from third-party software products and our engineering service offerings and provide other advantages as well. Increasing the number and amount of proprietary products we sell is an important part of our growth strategy. Our ability to maintain and increase the revenue contribution from proprietary software products is contingent on our ability to enhance the features and functionality of our current proprietary products as well as to devise, develop and introduce new products. There can be no assurance that we will be able to maintain or expand the number of proprietary products that we sell, and our failure to do so could negatively impact revenue and our operating results.

Table of Contents

We may experience delays in our efforts to develop new products and services, and these delays could cause us to miss market opportunities which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

The market for our software and services evolves rapidly. As a result, the life cycles of our products and services are difficult to estimate. To be successful, we believe we must continue to enhance our current offerings and provide new software and service offerings with attractive features, prices and terms that appeal to our customers. We have experienced delays in new software and service offering introductions in the past and may do so again in the future. Our revenue and operating results may be negatively impacted if we delay releases of new products, product enhancements and/or new services offerings, or if we fail to accurately anticipate our customers' needs or technical trends and are unable to introduce new products and service offerings into the market successfully. In addition, our customers may defer or forego purchases of our products and/or services if we, Microsoft, our competitors or major hardware, systems or software vendors introduce or announce new products.

Our future success depends upon our customers' ability to successfully sell their products incorporating our technology, and to continue buying our services.

Even if a customer selects us to provide software and services, the customer may not ultimately market and sell its product successfully. A cancellation or change in plans by a customer, whether from lack of market acceptance of its products or otherwise, could cause us to lose revenue that we had anticipated and our revenue and operating results would suffer. Also, our revenue and operating results could suffer if a significant customer reduces or delays orders during our sales cycle or chooses not to release products that contain our technology.

If the market for smart devices grows more slowly than we expect, or declines, our revenue may not grow as anticipated, if at all, and our business would be harmed.

The market for smart devices is still in fluctuation and the potential size of this market and the timing of its development are not known. As a result, our profit potential is uncertain and our revenue may not develop as anticipated. We are dependent upon the broad acceptance and adoption by businesses and consumers of a wide variety of smart devices, which will depend on many factors, including:

The development of content and applications for smart devices;

The willingness of large numbers of businesses and consumers to use devices such as smart phones, PDAs and handheld industrial data collectors to perform functions historically carried out manually, or by traditional PCs, including inputting and sharing data, communicating among users and connecting to the Internet;

The evolution of industry standards or the necessary infrastructure that facilitate the distribution of content over the Internet to these devices via wired and wireless telecommunications systems, satellite or cable; and

The amount of discretionary funds companies and individuals have to spend for this kind of technology.

The success and profitability of our service offerings are contingent on our ability to differentiate these offerings adequately in the marketplace, which is, in turn, contingent on our ability to retain our engineering personnel and defend our billing rate structure against those of our competitors, including those using lower-cost offshore resources. If we are unable to do so successfully, our business could be harmed.

We are a leader in providing engineering services to smart device customers. Our market differentiation is created through several factors, including our experience with a variety of smart device platforms and applications. Our differentiation is contingent, in part, on our ability to attract and retain employees with this

Table of Contents

expertise, a significant portion of which are currently based in the United States. To the extent we are unable to retain critical engineering services talent and/or our competition is able to deliver the same services by using lower-cost offshore resources, our service revenue and operating results could be negatively impacted.

The success and profitability of our service engagements are contingent upon our ability to scope and bid engagements and deliver our services profitably. If we are unable to do so, our service revenue service gross profit margin and operating results could be negatively impacted.

Various factors may cause the total cost of service projects to exceed the original estimate provided to the customer or the contractual maximum in the case of fixed price contracts, including specification changes, customer deliverable delays, inadequate scoping and inefficient service delivery. If we are unable to adequately scope, bid and deliver on service engagements successfully, our service revenue, service gross margin and operating results could be negatively impacted. In addition, depending on the cause of an overrun for a given customer and project, we may also decide to provide pricing concessions to that customer which could negatively impact our service revenue, service gross profit and operating results.

We periodically enter into engineering service agreements in which we have agreed to perform our engineering service work for lower up-front fees, or for no fees, in exchange for future royalties or per unit fees. There is no guarantee that these arrangements will produce the strategic or economic returns as anticipated.

We have entered into contracts that involve reducing or eliminating up-front engineering service fees in return for a per-device/chip royalty or fee that is earned as our customers ship their devices or chips, and we may enter into more such agreements in the future. Because we are delaying revenue past the point where our services are performed, there is a risk that our customers may cancel their projects or that their devices or chips may not be successful in the market, which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

Cooperation and support from silicon vendors is critical for the success of our products and related services that are developed for their particular silicon architecture. Such cooperation cannot be assured.

We have developed, and continue to develop software and service offerings based on certain silicon architectures (e.g. the TI OMAP architecture). Due to the nature of the industry we serve and the products we develop, it is necessary for us to make certain assumptions regarding which silicon vendors will be successful in the various markets we serve and upon which we are making investments. It is therefore important that there is ongoing support from the SVs in the marketplace for these silicon architectures. For example, during the development of a reference design board, Intel made a strategic decision to sell its PXA Xscale division to Marvell which negatively impacted the sale of our Xscale-based reference designs. There can be no assurance that Marvell, TI, or any of the other SVs will continue to pursue and support the markets that we have been targeting. If the SVs do not support our efforts going forward, our revenue and operating results could be negatively impacted.

The long sales cycle of our products and services makes our revenue susceptible to fluctuations.

Our sales cycle is typically three to nine months because the expense and complexity of the software and service offerings we sell generally require a lengthy customer approval process and may be subject to a number of significant risks over which we have little or no control, including:

Customers' budgetary constraints and internal acceptance review procedures;

The approval cycles for our customers may be longer due to current economic uncertainties;

The timing of budget cycles; and

The timing of customers' competitive evaluation processes.

Table of Contents

In addition, to successfully sell our software and engineering service offerings, we must frequently educate our potential customers about the full benefits of our software and services, which can require significant time. If our sales cycle further lengthens unexpectedly, it could adversely affect the timing of our revenue, which could cause our quarterly results to fluctuate.

Erosion of the financial condition of our customers could adversely affect our business.

Our business could be adversely affected if the financial condition of our customers erodes because such erosion could reduce demand from those customers for our software and engineering services. This could also cause them to terminate their relationships with us, and/or could increase the risk that such customers default on their payment obligations to us. Additionally, while we believe that our allowance for doubtful accounts is adequate, current economic trends may cause more companies to default or cease operations, in which case our allowances may not cover actual losses, which could adversely affect our operating results.

We may be subject to product liability claims that could result in significant costs.

Our software license and service agreements with our customers typically contain provisions designed to limit our exposure to potential product liability claims with the notable exception of our project with Ford. It is possible, however, that these provisions may be ineffective under the laws of certain jurisdictions or that our customers may not agree to these limitations. Although we have not experienced any product liability claims to date, the sale and support of our products and services may be subject to such claims in the future. There is a risk that any such claims or liabilities may exceed, or fall outside, the scope of our insurance coverage, and we may be unable to retain adequate liability insurance in the future. A product liability claim brought against us, whether successful or not, could negatively impact our business and operating results.

Continued negative cash flows from our TestQuest products may negatively affect the carrying value of the intangible assets we acquired from TestQuest.

In the fourth quarter of 2008, we purchased certain assets of TestQuest including acquired technology and other intangible assets with a total gross carrying value of \$1.9 million. As of December 31, 2010, these assets had a net carrying value of \$1.0 million, net of accumulated amortization. Although we generated approximately \$582,000 of cash from these assets during the fourth quarter of 2010, and we expect to continue to generate cash from these assets in the future, it is possible that we may be required to reassess the carrying value of these assets in the future, which may lead to a determination that these assets are not recoverable through future cash flows, which may result in an impairment charge that would negatively impact our operating results.

Past acquisitions have proven difficult to integrate, and recent or future acquisitions, if any, could disrupt our business, dilute shareholder value and negatively affect our operating results.

We have acquired the technologies, assets and/or operations of other companies in the past and may acquire or make investments in companies, products, services and technologies in the future as part of our growth strategy. If we fail to properly evaluate, integrate and execute on our acquisitions and investments, our business and prospects may be seriously harmed. To successfully complete an acquisition, we must properly evaluate the technology, market and management team, accurately forecast the financial impact of the transaction, including accounting charges and transaction expenses, integrate and retain personnel, combine potentially different corporate cultures and effectively integrate products and research and development, sales, marketing and support operations. If we fail to do any of these, we may suffer losses and impair relationships with our employees, customers and strategic partners. Additionally, management may be distracted from day-to-day operations. We also may be unable to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies, which are especially critical in light of Sarbanes-Oxley and other corporate governance requirements, and significant demands may be placed on our management and our operations, information services and financial, legal and marketing resources. Finally, acquired businesses sometimes result in unexpected liabilities and contingencies, which may involve compliance with foreign laws, payment of taxes, labor negotiations or other unknown costs and expenses, which could be significant.

Table of Contents

We may not be able to raise additional capital if required to support our business.

If we need to raise additional operating capital, we may find that our access to equity and debt capital markets is limited or blocked entirely. Until recently, our stock has historically traded at low volumes and overall investor confidence in the stock market could falter. This could make it difficult or impossible to sell additional shares on the public markets. In addition, it may be difficult and costly to obtain debt financing and we may be unable to borrow additional funds at acceptable cost, or at all, should the need arise. If we are unable to raise capital as necessary, it may adversely affect our ability to invest in products or fund operations, which would materially harm our business and negatively affect operating results.

We are dependent on our insurance carriers to cover certain risks and a failure of one or more of our carriers, or a tightening of the market that increases our costs or decreases our ability to obtain necessary coverage, could negatively impact our business.

We have added significant additional insurance to cover the additional exposure presented by our work for Ford and other customers. As with the overall financial market, the insurance market is currently volatile. While all of our insurance carriers appeared to be viable as of the date of this Report, if this changes, we are unable to maintain current coverage through viable insurance carriers at reasonable rates, or we are unable to obtain additional coverage that we deem necessary for our business, we may be unable to meet our financial obligations if claims that would otherwise have been covered by this insurance are made which could negatively impact our financial condition and operating results.

Taxing jurisdictions in the United States are becoming more aggressive with tax legislation and tax collection, particularly states facing significant budget deficits, which could expose us to additional tax liability that we have not been subject to in the past.

We make sales in many jurisdictions across the United States, most of which we do not have nexus in and, therefore, are not subject to sales, franchise, income and other state and local taxes. Particularly in light of state revenue deficits, taxing jurisdiction have become more aggressive in defining nexus, among other things, which could result in us achieving nexus, or potentially achieving nexus in significantly more tax jurisdictions. If this occurs and unless we are able to pass through this cost to our customers, our tax expense will increase which will negatively affect our results of operations. Further, because state and local tax laws are becoming increasingly complex, our cost to monitor our state and local tax compliance will increase which will negatively affect our results of operations. Additionally, there can be no assurance that we do not currently have unknown tax exposure in a state or local tax jurisdiction because of recent tax law changes which we are unaware of and the resulting liability could be significant and would negatively affect our results of operations.

There may be restrictions on the use of our net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards due to a tax law ownership change .

Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code restricts the ability of a corporation that undergoes an ownership change to use net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. At December 31, 2010, we had \$59.2 million in net operating loss carryforwards and \$2.4 million of tax credit carryforwards. Under the applicable tax rules, an ownership change occurs if greater than five percent shareholders of an issuer's outstanding common stock collectively increase their ownership percentage by more than 50 percentage points over a rolling three-year period. We have performed analyses of possible ownership changes which included consideration of a third-party study, and do not believe that an ownership change (as defined by this Section) has occurred. However, if a tax law ownership change has occurred of which we are not aware, or if a tax law ownership change occurs in the future, we may have to adjust the valuation of our deferred tax assets, and could be at risk of having to pay income taxes notwithstanding the existence of our sizable carryforwards. Further, to the extent that we have utilized our carryforwards from prior years, the existence of a previous tax law ownership change that we did not account for could result in liability for back taxes, interest, and penalties.

Table of Contents

Our services work provides a disproportionate amount of our gross profit in relation to our overall revenue and a loss of any significant services customer or revenue could disproportionately impact our profitability.

Certain customers who purchase custom engineering services may contribute significantly to our gross profit, while not rising to the level of a significant or material customer based on overall revenue. If the amount of work for these customers decreases or we cease to do work for these customers and we are not able to replace these customers or revenue with similarly profitable engagements, our gross profit and overall results from operations may be negatively impacted.

Intellectual Property-Related Risk Factors

Our software and service offerings could infringe the intellectual property rights of third parties, which could expose us to additional costs and litigation and could adversely affect our ability to sell our products and services or cause shipment delays or stoppages.

It is difficult to determine whether our software and engineering services infringe third-party intellectual property rights, particularly in a rapidly evolving technological environment in which technologies often overlap and where there may be numerous patent applications pending, many of which are confidential when filed. If we were to discover that one of our software or service offerings, or a product based on one of our reference designs, violated a third-party's proprietary rights, we may not be able to obtain a license on commercially reasonable terms, or at all, to continue offering that product or service. Similarly, third parties may claim that our current or future software products and services infringe their proprietary rights, regardless of whether such claims have merit. Any such claims could increase our costs and negatively impact our business and operating results. In certain cases, we have been unable to obtain indemnification against claims that third-party technology incorporated into our software products and services infringe the proprietary rights of others. However, any indemnification we do obtain may be limited in scope or amount. Even if we receive broad third-party indemnification, these entities may not have the financial capability to indemnify us in the event of infringement. In addition, in some circumstances we are required to indemnify our customers for claims made against them that are based on our software products or services. There can be no assurance that infringement or invalidity claims related to the software products and services we provide, or arising from the incorporation by us of third-party technology, and claims for indemnification from our customers resulting from such claims, will not be asserted or prosecuted against us. Some of our competitors have, or are affiliated, with companies with substantially greater resources than we have, and these competitors may be able to sustain the costs of complex intellectual property litigation to a greater degree and for longer periods of time than we could. In addition, we expect that software developers will be increasingly subject to infringement claims as the number of products and competitors in the software industry grows, and as the functionality of products in different industry segments increasingly overlap. Such claims, even if not meritorious, could result in the expenditure of significant financial and managerial resources in addition to potential product redevelopment costs and delays. Furthermore, if we were unsuccessful in resolving a patent or other intellectual property infringement action claim against us, we may be prohibited from developing or commercializing certain of our technologies and products, or delivering services based on the infringing technology, unless we obtain a license from the holder of the patent or other intellectual property rights. There can be no assurance that we would be able to obtain any such license on commercially favorable terms, or at all. If such license is not obtained, we would be required to cease these related business operations, which could negatively impact our business, revenue and operating results.

If we fail to adequately protect our intellectual property rights, competitors may be able to use our technology or trademarks, which could weaken our competitive position, reduce our revenue and increase our costs.

If we fail to adequately protect our intellectual property, our competitive position could be weakened and our revenue and operating results adversely affected. We rely primarily on confidentiality procedures and contractual provisions as well as a combination of patent, copyright, trade secret and trademark laws, to protect

Table of Contents

our intellectual property. These laws and procedures provide only limited protection. It is possible that another party could obtain patents that block our use of some, or all, of our software and services. If that occurred, we would need to obtain a license from the patent holder or design around those patents. The patent holder may or may not choose to make a license available to us at all, or on acceptable terms. Similarly, it may not be possible to design around a blocking patent. In general, there can be no assurance that our efforts to protect our intellectual property rights through patent, copyright, trade secret and trademark laws will be effective to prevent misappropriation of our technology, or to prevent the development and design by others of products or technologies similar to or competitive with those developed by us.

We frequently license the source code of our software products and the source code results of our services to customers. There can be no assurance that customers with access to our source code will comply with the license terms or that we will discover any violations of the license terms or, in the event of discovery of violations, that we will be able to successfully enforce the license terms and/or recover the economic value lost from such violations. To license some of our software products, we rely in part on shrinkwrap and clickwrap licenses that are not signed by the end user and, therefore, may be unenforceable under the laws of certain jurisdictions. As with other software, our software products are susceptible to unauthorized copying and uses that may go undetected, and policing such unauthorized use is difficult. A significant portion of our marks include the word BSQUARE or the preface b. Other companies use forms of BSQUARE or the preface b in their marks alone, or in combination with other words, and we cannot prevent all such third-party uses. We license certain trademark rights to third parties. Such licensees may not abide by our compliance and quality control guidelines with respect to such trademark rights. Any of these outcomes could negatively impact our brand, dilute its recognition in the marketplace, or confuse potential customers, all of which could harm our business.

The computer software market is characterized by frequent and substantial intellectual property litigation, which is often complex and expensive, and involves a significant diversion of resources and uncertainty of outcome. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce our intellectual property or to defend against a claim of infringement or invalidity. Litigation could result in substantial costs and the diversion of resources and could negatively impact our business and operating results.

Our software or hardware products or the third-party hardware or software integrated with our products or delivered as part of our service offerings may suffer from defects or errors that could impair our ability to sell our products and services.

Software and hardware components as complex as those needed for smart devices frequently contain errors or defects, especially when first introduced or when new versions are released. We have had to delay commercial release of certain versions of our products until problems were corrected and, in some cases, have provided product enhancements to correct errors in released products. Some of our contracts require us to repair or replace products that fail to work. To the extent that we repair or replace products our expenses may increase. In addition, it is possible that by the time defects are fixed, the market opportunity may decline which may result in lost revenue. Moreover, to the extent that we provide increasingly comprehensive products and services, particularly those focused on hardware, and rely on third-party manufacturers and suppliers to manufacture these products, we will be dependent on the ability of third-party manufacturers to correct, identify and prevent manufacturing errors. Errors that are discovered after commercial release could result in loss of revenue or delay in market acceptance, diversion of development resources, damage to our reputation and increased service and warranty costs, all of which could negatively impact our business and operating results.

If we are unable to license key software from third parties, our business could be harmed.

We sometimes integrate third-party software with our proprietary software and engineering service offerings or sell such third-party software offerings on a standalone basis (e.g. Embedded operating systems under our ODA with Microsoft). If our relationships with these third-party software vendors were to deteriorate, or be eliminated in their entirety, we might be unable to obtain licenses on commercially reasonable terms, if at all. In the event that we are unable to obtain these third-party software offerings, we would be required to develop this

Table of Contents

technology internally, assuming it was economically or technically feasible, or seek similar software offerings from other third parties assuming there were competing offerings in the marketplace, which could delay or limit our ability to introduce enhancements or new products, or to continue to sell existing products and engineering services, thereby negatively impacting our revenue and operating results.

Governance and Contract-Related Risk Factors

It might be difficult for a third-party to acquire us even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders.

Certain provisions of our articles of incorporation, bylaws and Washington law may discourage, delay or prevent a change in the control of us or a change in our management, even if doing so would be beneficial to our shareholders. Our Board of Directors has the authority under our amended and restated articles of incorporation to issue preferred stock with rights superior to the rights of the holders of common stock. As a result, preferred stock could be issued quickly and easily with terms calculated to delay or prevent a change in control of our company or make removal of our management more difficult. In addition, our Board of Directors is divided into three classes. The directors in each class serve for three-year terms, one class being elected each year by our shareholders. This system of electing and removing directors may discourage a third party from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control of our company because it generally makes it more difficult for shareholders to replace a majority of our directors. In addition, Chapter 19 of the Washington Business Corporation Act generally prohibits a target corporation from engaging in certain significant business transactions with a defined acquiring person for a period of five years after the acquisition, unless the transaction or acquisition of shares is approved by a majority of the members of the target corporation's Board of Directors prior to the time of acquisition. This provision may have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control of our company. The existence of these anti-takeover provisions could limit the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our common stock.

Non-compliance with our lease agreement could have a material adverse impact on our financial position.

Under the terms of our corporate headquarters lease signed in February 2004, if we default under the lease, the landlord has the ability to demand cash payments forgiven in 2004. The amount of the forgiven payments for which the landlord has the ability to demand repayment was \$900,000 at December 31, 2010, and decreases on a straight-line basis over the length of our ten-year headquarters lease. Any breach of or non-compliance with this lease agreement could negatively impact our business, financial condition and operating results.

International Operations-Related Risk Factors

Our international operations, particularly our planned international expansion, expose us to greater intellectual property, management, collections, regulatory and other risks.

Customers outside of North America generated 16% of our total revenue in 2010 and 5% in 2009. We currently have operations outside of North America in Taiwan and also have a limited sales and/or support presence in China, India, Japan, South Korea and the United Kingdom; however, we are in the process of expanding our operations in these countries while also expanding in additional geographies. Our international activities and operations, and our current expansion plans expose us to a number of risks, including the following:

Greater difficulty in protecting intellectual property due to less stringent foreign intellectual property laws and enforcement policies;

Longer collection cycles than we typically experience in North America;

Unfavorable changes in regulatory practices and tariffs;

Compliance with complex regulatory regimes or restrictions on import and export of our goods and services;

Table of Contents

Complex and/or adverse tax laws and/or changes thereto. Additionally, we may be subject to income, withholding and other taxes for which we may realize no current benefit despite the existence of significant net operating losses and tax credits in the U.S.;

Loss or reduction of withholding tax exemptions;

The impact of fluctuating exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies;

General economic and political conditions in international markets which may differ from those in the U.S.;

Increased exposure to potential liability under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;

Added cost and administrative burden associated with creating and operating business structures in other jurisdictions;

Potential labor costs and risks associated with employees and labor laws in other geographies; and

The inherent risks of working in a certain highly regulated and/or controlled economies where relationships between company management and government officials is critical to timely processing of approvals required to conduct business.

These risks could have a material adverse effect on the financial and managerial resources required to operate our foreign offices, as well as on our future international revenue, which could negatively impact our business and operating results.

As we increase the amount of software development conducted in non-U.S. locations, potential delays and quality issues may impact our ability to timely deliver our software and services, potentially impacting our revenue and profitability.

We conduct development activities in non-U.S. locations, primarily India (through a partnership with a local company) and Taiwan, to take advantage of the high-quality, low-cost software development resources found in those countries. Additionally, we have plans to significantly increase development activity in our Taiwan operation and to initiate software development in a major software development center in China. To date, we have limited experience in managing large scale software development outside the United States. Expanding our international software development inherently increases the complexity of managing these programs and may result in delays in introducing new products to market, or delays in completing service projects for our customers, which in turn may adversely impact the revenue we recognize from related software products and services and could also adversely impact the profitability of service engagements employing offshore resources, thereby negatively affecting our operating results.

As our customers seek more cost-effective locations to develop and manufacture their smart devices, particularly overseas locations, our ability to continue to sell these customers our software products and services could be limited, which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

Due to competitive and other pressures, some of our customers have and others may seek to move the development and manufacturing of their smart devices to overseas locations, which may limit our ability to sell these customers our software and services. As an example, under our ODA with Microsoft, we are currently only able to sell Microsoft Embedded operating systems primarily in North America. If our customers, or potential customers, move their manufacturing overseas we may be restricted from reselling these customers Microsoft Embedded operating systems, or our other products and services, which could negatively impact our revenue and operating results.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments.*

Not Applicable

Table of Contents

Item 2. *Properties.*

Our corporate headquarters are located in 43,400 square feet of leased space in a single location in Bellevue, Washington. The underlying lease expires in 2014.

In North America, we also lease office space in San Diego, California; Longmont, Colorado; Boston, Massachusetts; Chanhassen, Minnesota; Akron, Ohio; Dallas, and Texas. We lease office space overseas in Beijing, China, Seoul, Korea, Tokyo, Japan, and Taipei, Taiwan. Our facilities have sufficient capacity to support our current operational needs as well as short-term growth plans.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings.*

Legal Proceedings

IPO Litigation

In Summer and early Fall 2001, four shareholder class action lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against us, certain of our current and former officers and directors (the Individual Defendants), and the underwriters of our initial public offering (the Underwriter Defendants). The complaints were consolidated into a single action and a Consolidated Amended Complaint, which was filed on April 19, 2002. The operative complaint alleged violations of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The suit purported to be a class action filed on behalf of purchasers of our common stock during the period from October 19, 1999 to December 6, 2000. The plaintiffs alleged that the Underwriter Defendants agreed to allocate stock in our initial public offering to certain investors in exchange for excessive and undisclosed commissions and agreements by those investors to make additional purchases of stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. The plaintiffs alleged that the prospectus for our initial public offering was false and misleading in violation of the securities laws because we did not disclose these arrangements. The action sought damages in an unspecified amount. On December 5, 2006, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals vacated a decision by the district court granting class certification in six of the coordinated cases, which are intended to serve as test, or focus cases. The plaintiffs selected these six cases, which do not include us. On April 6, 2007, the Second Circuit denied a petition for rehearing filed by the plaintiffs, but noted that the plaintiffs could ask the district court to certify more narrow classes than those that were rejected.

The parties in the approximately 300 coordinated cases, including the parties in our case, reached a settlement in early 2009. As part of the settlement, the insurers for the issuer defendants will make the entire settlement payment on behalf of the issuers, including us. On October 5, 2009, the district court granted final approval of the settlement. Three objectors filed a petition to the Second Circuit seeking permission to appeal the district court's final approval order on the basis that the settlement class is broader than the class previously rejected by the Second Circuit in its December 5, 2006 order vacating the district court's order certifying classes in the focus cases. Objectors to the settlement are proceeding with two appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Plaintiffs have moved to dismiss both appeals. Following the district court's final approval of the settlement, we determined that it is unlikely that we will be liable for any damages that will not be paid for by our insurance carriers, even if any appeals are successful. As a result, it was determined that an accrued legal fees liability of \$534,000 was no longer probable. Consequently, this liability was reversed, which resulted in a reduction of selling, general and administrative expense during 2009. However, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, subsequent events could affect the assessment of this liability and this disclosure.

Item 4. *(Removed and Reserved)*

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities. Market Information**

Our common stock is traded on the NASDAQ Global Market under the symbol BSQR. The following table sets forth the high and low closing prices for our common stock for the periods indicated, as reported by the NASDAQ Global Market.

| | High | Low |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Year Ended December 31, 2010: | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 2.87 | \$ 2.26 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 2.90 | \$ 2.18 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 3.47 | \$ 2.11 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 9.03 | \$ 3.33 |
| Year Ended December 31, 2009: | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 2.78 | \$ 1.02 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 2.97 | \$ 1.65 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 2.80 | \$ 2.14 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 2.57 | \$ 2.17 |

Holdings

As of February 28, 2011, there were 144 holders of record of our common stock. Because many shares of our common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of shareholders, we are unable to determine the total number of shareholders represented by these holders of record.

Dividends

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock. We currently intend to retain any future earnings to fund future development and growth and, therefore, do not anticipate paying any consistent cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Our Board of Directors has, however, periodically considered implementing a share repurchase program and/or a special one-time cash dividend.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

Not Applicable

Table of Contents

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and related notes. Some statements and information contained in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations are not historical facts but are forward-looking statements. For a discussion of these forward-looking statements, and of important factors that could cause results to differ materially from the forward-looking statements contained in this report, see Item 1 of Part I, Business Forward-Looking Statements and Item 1A of Part I, Risk Factors.

Overview

We provide software solutions to companies that develop smart, connected devices and to companies that assist others in developing smart, connected devices. A smart, connected device is a dedicated purpose computing device that typically has a display, runs an operating system (e.g., Microsoft® Windows® CE) and may be connected to a network via a wired or wireless connection. Examples of smart devices include set-top boxes, home gateways, point-of-sale terminals, kiosks, voting machines, gaming platforms, tablets, handheld data collection devices, personal media players, smart phones and devices targeted at automotive applications. We primarily focus on smart devices that utilize embedded versions of the Microsoft Windows family of operating systems, specifically Windows CE, Windows XP Embedded and Windows Mobile. However, as a result of several acquisitions, coupled with our strategic intent to broaden our market focus, we also provide software solutions to customers developing devices utilizing other operating systems such as Android and Linux.

We have been providing software solutions to the smart device marketplace since our inception. Our customers include world class OEMs, ODMs, and enterprises, as well as SVs and peripheral vendors which purchase our software solutions for purposes of facilitating processor and peripheral sales to the aforementioned customer categories. In the case of enterprises, our customers include those which develop, market and distribute smart devices on their own behalf as well as those that purchase devices from OEMs or ODMs and require additional device software or testing. The software solutions we provide are utilized and deployed throughout various phases of our customers' device life cycle, including design, development, customization, quality assurance and deployment.

Critical Accounting Judgments

Use of Estimates

The preparation of Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosed in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to the allowance for doubtful accounts, percentage of completion on fixed price service contracts, fair values of financial instruments, deferred tax asset reserve, intangible assets, useful lives of intangible assets and property and equipment, fair values of stock-based awards, and income taxes, among others. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from software and engineering service sales when the following four revenue recognition criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred or services have been rendered; the selling price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. Contracts and customer purchase orders are generally used to determine the existence of an arrangement. Shipping documents and time records are generally used to verify delivery. We assess whether the selling price is fixed or

Table of Contents

determinable based on the contract and/or customer purchase order and payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment. We assess collectability based primarily on the creditworthiness of the customer as determined by credit checks and analysis, as well as the customer's payment history. Periodically, we will begin work on engineering service engagements prior to having a signed contract and, in some cases, the contract is signed in a quarter after which service delivery costs are incurred. We do not defer costs associated with these uncontracted engagements.

We recognize software revenue upon shipment provided that no significant obligations remain on our part, substantive acceptance conditions, if any, have been met and the other revenue recognition criteria have been met. Service revenue from time and materials contracts, and training service agreements, is recognized as services are performed. Certain fixed-price service agreements, and time and materials service agreements with capped fee structures, are accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method. We use the percentage-of-completion method of accounting because it is the most accurate method to recognize revenue based on the nature and scope of our fixed-price professional engineering service contracts; it is a better measure of periodic income results than other methods and it better matches revenue recognized with the costs incurred. Percentage of completion is measured based primarily on input measures such as hours incurred to date compared to total estimated hours to complete, with consideration given to output measures, such as contract milestones, when applicable. The estimation of total hours and progress to completion on these arrangements determines the amount of revenue we recognize as well as whether a loss is recognized if one is expected to be incurred for the remainder of the project. Revisions to hour and cost estimates are incorporated in the period in which the facts that give rise to the revision become known.

We also enter into arrangements in which a customer purchases a combination of software licenses, engineering services and post-contract customer support and/or maintenance (PCS). As a result, contract interpretation is sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting, including how the price should be allocated among the deliverable elements if there are multiple elements. PCS may include rights to upgrades, when and if available, telephone support, updates, and enhancements. When vendor specific objective evidence (VSOE) of fair value exists for all elements in a multiple element arrangement, revenue is allocated to each element based on the relative fair value of each of the elements. VSOE of fair value is established by the price charged when the same element is sold separately. Accordingly, the judgments involved in assessing the fair values of various elements of an agreement can impact the recognition of revenue in each period. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between deliverables might impact the timing of revenue recognition, but would not change the total revenue recognized on the contract. When elements such as software and engineering services are contained in a single arrangement, or in related arrangements with the same customer, we allocate revenue to each element based on its relative fair value, provided that such element meets the criteria for treatment as a separate unit of accounting. In the absence of fair value for a delivered element, revenue is first allocated to the fair value of the undelivered elements and then allocated to the residual delivered elements. In the absence of fair value for an undelivered element, the arrangement is accounted for as a single unit of accounting, resulting in a delay of revenue recognition for the delivered elements until the undelivered elements are fulfilled. As a result, contract interpretations and assessments of fair value are sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting.

When engineering services and royalties are contained in a single arrangement, we recognize revenue from engineering services as earned in accordance with the criteria above even though the effective rate per hour may be lower than typical because the customer is contractually obligated to pay royalties on their device shipments. We recognize royalty revenue, classified as software revenue, when the royalty report from the customer is received or when such royalties are contractually guaranteed and the revenue recognition criteria are met, particularly that collectability is reasonably assured.

There are two items involving revenue recognition that require us to make our most difficult and subjective judgments: the determination of VSOE of fair value in multiple element arrangements and the estimation of percentage of completion on fixed-price service contracts. Historically, we have entered into very few

Table of Contents

multiple-element arrangements other than those involving the sale of PCS related to the sale of our TestQuest automated testing tools. Total TestQuest PCS revenue, generally deferred at sale and recognized over the life of the PCS agreement, was \$1.0 million in 2010 and \$472,000 in 2009. We establish VSOE of fair value for TestQuest PCS using the bell-curve approach, based on the price when PCS is sold separately. VSOE of TestQuest PCS has been well established in the past as these products have been sold on a stand-alone basis for a number of years even prior to our acquisition of TestQuest assets in November 2008. If VSOE has not been established for a product, and there is no third-party evidence of selling price, we estimate the proportion of the selling price attributed to each delivered element. Accordingly, the judgments involved in assessing the fair values of various elements of an agreement can impact the recognition of revenue in each period. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between deliverables might impact the timing of revenue recognition, but would not change the total revenue recognized on the contract.

The majority of engineering service contracts we have entered into, and consequently, the majority of service revenue we recognize, is associated with time and materials contracts. However, in the second quarter of 2009, we modified our contract with Ford Motor Company (Ford) from time and materials to fixed-price, which significantly increased the amount of revenue recognized from fixed-price contracts as a percentage of service revenue and as a percentage of total revenue. This contract, as described further elsewhere in this filing, related to engineering services and other software we provided Ford relative to their development of their new in-dash infotainment system MyFord Touch. Upon completion of the primary MyFord Touch development contract in August 2010, we modified our business arrangement with Ford to time and materials under which we continued to provide Ford with engineering services for the remainder of 2010 and expect to for 2011 as well. Service revenue resulting from fixed-price contracts was 44% (\$11.4 million) of service revenue in 2010, 37% (\$9.3 million) in 2009 and 15% (\$3.7 million) in 2008. Ford accounted for \$7.6 million of fixed-price service revenue in 2010, and \$6.6 million in 2009.

As noted above, we primarily measure our estimate of completion on fixed-price contracts, which in turn determines the amount of revenue we recognize, based on actual hours incurred to date and our estimate of remaining hours necessary to complete the contract. The process of estimating the remaining hours on a contract involves detailed estimates of remaining hours by the engineers and project managers involved with the project, factoring in such variables as the remaining tasks, the complexity of the tasks, the contracted quality of the software to be provided, the customer's estimated delivery date, integration of third-party software and quality thereof and other factors. Every fixed-price contract requires various approvals within our Company including our Chief Executive Officer. This approval process takes into consideration a number of factors including the complexity of engineering. We do not enter into fixed bid contracts where we do not have a history of performing any material portion of the contracted engineering tasks successfully. Historically our estimation processes related to fixed-price contracts have been accurate based on the information known at the time of the reporting of our results. However, percentage-of-completion estimates require significant judgment. As of December 31, 2010, we were delivering engineering services under nine fixed-price service contracts. The percentage of completion calculations on these contracts represents management's best estimates based on the facts and circumstances as of the filing of this report. If there are changes to the underlying facts and circumstances, revisions to the percentage-of-completion calculations will be recorded in subsequent reporting periods. If we were 20% under in our estimates of completion on every fixed-bid contract, our revenue would be over-stated by \$490,000 during the twelve months ended December 31, 2010.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We record accounts receivable at the invoiced amount net of an estimated allowance for doubtful accounts to reserve for potentially uncollectible receivables. We review the accounts receivable by amounts due by customers which are past due to identify specific customers with known disputes or collectability issues. In determining the amount of the reserve, we make judgments about the creditworthiness of significant customers based on ongoing credit evaluations.

Table of Contents

Stock-Based Compensation

Our stock-based compensation expense is estimated at the grant date based on the award's fair value as calculated by the Black-Scholes-Merton (BSM) option-pricing model and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period. The BSM model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including expected volatility and option life. If any of the assumptions used in the BSM model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. In addition, we are required to estimate the expected forfeiture rate and only recognize expense for those shares expected to vest. We estimate the forfeiture rate based on historical experience. To the extent our actual forfeiture rate is different from our estimate, stock-based compensation expense is adjusted accordingly.

Incentive Compensation

We make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions regarding the likelihood of our attainment, and the level thereof, of bonuses payable under our annual incentive compensation programs. We accrue bonuses and recognize the resulting expense when the bonus is judged to be reasonably likely to be earned as of year-end and is estimable. The amount accrued, and expense recognized, is the estimated portion of the bonus earned on a year-to-date basis less any amounts previously accrued. These estimates, judgments and assumptions are made quarterly based on available information and take into consideration our year-to-date actual results and expected results for the remainder of the year. Because our attainment estimate factors estimated future results, significant judgment is required. If actual results differ materially from our estimates, the amount of bonus expense recorded in a particular quarter could be significantly over or under estimated.

Taxes

As part of the process of preparing our Consolidated Financial Statements, we are required to estimate income taxes in each of the countries and other jurisdictions in which we operate. This process involves estimating our current tax expense together with assessing temporary differences resulting from the differing treatment of items for tax and accounting purposes. These differences result in deferred tax assets and liabilities. Net operating losses and tax credits, to the extent not already utilized to offset taxable income, also give rise to deferred tax assets. We must then assess the likelihood that any deferred tax assets will be realized from future taxable income, and, to the extent we believe that recovery is not likely, we must establish a valuation allowance. Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities and any valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax assets.

Beginning in 2002 and through the third quarter of 2010, we maintained a full valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and, 2009 we utilized \$5.1 million, and \$0, respectively, of historical net operating losses to offset taxable income in each of those years. At December 31, 2010, we had \$61.7 million of net operating loss carryforward which will begin to expire in 2022, \$54.9 million of which will expire in 2022 and 2023.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined that it was more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income to utilize a portion of our deferred tax assets and, as a result, recorded a \$2.6 million reduction in our deferred tax asset valuation allowance and corresponding income tax benefit. In determining the amount of our deferred tax assets that we would realize as of December 31, 2010, we determined the largest amount of our deferred tax assets which had a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized. The factors we considered in reducing our deferred tax asset allowance included, but were not limited to: i) over the last three years we have generated cumulative pre-tax income of \$3.1 million; ii), our analysis of normalized historical book pre-tax income which excluded those items not expected to occur in the future (e.g. realized losses on auction rate securities) indicated cumulative profitability over the same period; iii) we completed the main fixed-price Ford contract in August 2010 thereby eliminating the overrun risk which had previously significantly negatively affected our results; and iv) our assessment of existing customer contracts and agreements and the expected revenue and gross profit that could be reasonably expected therefrom.

Table of Contents

Because we do business in foreign tax jurisdictions, our sales may be subject to other taxes, particularly withholding taxes. The tax regulations governing withholding taxes are complex, causing us to have to make assumptions about the appropriate tax treatment. Further, we make sales in many jurisdictions across the United States, where tax regulations are increasingly becoming more aggressive and complex. We must therefore continue to analyze our state tax exposure and determine what the appropriate tax treatments are, and make estimates for sales, franchise, income and other state taxes.

Results of Operations

The following table presents certain financial data as a percentage of total revenue for the periods indicated. Our historical operating results are not necessarily indicative of the results for any future period.

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue: | | |
| Software | 71% | 57% |
| Service | 29 | 43 |
| Total revenue | 100 | 100 |
| Cost of revenue: | | |
| Software | 57 | 43 |
| Service | 22 | 36 |
| Total cost of revenue | 79 | 79 |
| Gross profit | 21 | 21 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 13 | 18 |
| Research and development | 4 | 7 |
| Total operating expenses | 17 | 25 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 4 | (4) |
| Interest and other income (expense), net | 0 | 0 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 4 | (4) |
| Income tax benefit | 2 | 0 |
| Net income | 6% | (4)% |

Comparison of the Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009**Revenue**

Our revenue is generated from the sale of software, both our own proprietary software and software of third parties that we resell, and the sale of engineering services. Total revenue increased \$32.4 million, or 50%, to \$96.8 million in 2010, from \$64.4 million in 2009 primarily due to an increase in third-party software sales.

Revenue from customers located outside of North America includes revenue attributable to our foreign operations, as well as software and services delivered to foreign customers from our operations located in North America. During 2010, our international operations outside of North America consisted principally of operations in Taiwan. We also have established relatively minor sales and/or support presences in China, India, Japan, Korea, and the United Kingdom. Revenue from customers located outside of North America increased \$12.5 million to \$15.9

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

million in 2010, or 16% of total revenue, from \$3.4 million, or 5% of total revenue, in 2009. This international growth was primarily attributable to higher software sales including \$4.9 million in sales of

Table of Contents

Microsoft Windows Mobile operating systems in the Asia Pacific (APAC) region in 2010 compared to none in the prior year, given that we entered into the distribution agreement initially in November 2009. To a lesser extent, international growth in 2010 was attributable to an increase in engineering service revenue in Asia and Europe.

Software revenue

Software revenue consists of sales of third-party software and revenue from our own proprietary software products, which include software license sales, royalties from our software products, sales of our software development kits, support and maintenance revenue, as well as royalties from certain engineering service contracts. Software revenue for 2010 and 2009 was as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Software revenue: | | |
| Third-party software | \$ 63,886 | \$ 32,374 |
| Proprietary software | 5,173 | 4,156 |
| Total software revenue | \$ 69,059 | \$ 36,530 |
| Software revenue as a percentage of total revenue | 71% | 57% |
| Third-party software revenue as a percentage of total software revenue | 93% | 89% |

The vast majority of our third-party software revenue is comprised of sales of Microsoft General Embedded operating systems (General Embedded OS) in North America and sales of Microsoft Windows Mobile operating systems (Windows Mobile OS) on a worldwide basis. Third-party software sales increased \$31.5 million, or 97%, in 2010 as compared to the prior year. Primarily this increase is due to 55% higher sales volumes from our top ten General Embedded OS customers, as well as an approximate 29% increase in average sales volumes from our smaller General Embedded OS customers to pre-2009 levels. We attribute much of this sales volume increase to the improved economic conditions in the United States, as well as a change made by Microsoft which now directs sales of certain Microsoft products through their embedded channel rather than other Microsoft channels. Sales of General Embedded OS increased \$20.8 million, or 70%, to \$50.5 million in 2010, from \$29.7 million in 2009. Sales of Windows Mobile OS were \$10.2 million for 2010, compared to none in 2009.

Proprietary software revenue increased \$1.0 million, or 24%, in 2010 as compared to 2009 due primarily to growth in TestQuest product revenue driven by two significant licensing sales closed in the fourth quarter related to China Mobile Communications Corporation (CMCC). These sales benefited the fourth quarter by \$723,000 and were related to CMCC's initiative to monitor their network services by deploying handsets into 31 provinces across China, using our TestQuest Countdown product to test the deployed handsets. While additional opportunities exist for selling TestQuest products to other mobile operators as a network monitoring solution, the timing of such sales are difficult to predict, and will likely happen infrequently, if at all. Further, it should be noted that these TestQuest sales in the fourth quarter of 2010 are separate from our previously announced Handset Certification Platform, in which mobile operators utilize our TestQuest Countdown product to test pre-production handsets. Total Test Quest licensing revenue increased \$322,000 in 2010, compared to the prior year, while total TestQuest revenue, including TestQuest PCS revenue, increased by \$866,000 in 2010 compared to the prior year. Texas Instruments OMAP-related and Qualcomm Snapdragon-related revenue also contributed to the growth.

Table of Contents**Service revenue**

Service revenue for 2010 and 2009 was as follows (dollars in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Service revenue | \$ 27,715 | \$ 27,849 |
| Service revenue as a percentage of total revenue | 29% | 43% |

Service revenue decreased nominally by \$134,000, or 0%, in 2010 as compared to 2009. North American service revenue declined \$1.8 million to \$25.0 million in 2010, from \$26.8 million in 2009, primarily due to a \$2.0 million decrease in service revenue related to Ford, offset by revenue increases related to other customers.

Ford continued to be our largest engineering services customer in 2010, representing 47% of service revenue, compared to 53% of service revenue in 2009. Ford contributed \$12.9 million in service revenue in 2010 compared to \$14.9 million in 2009. We began working with Ford in the second quarter of 2008 assisting in the development of their next generation in-dash infotainment offering called MyFord Touch. Our initial project with Ford ran from the second quarter of 2008 and was completed in the third quarter of 2010. During the initial project we provided hardware design and implementation, platform level software development, application level software development, quality assurance services and systems integration services. Total service revenue recognized on the initial project was \$22.0 million. The contract underlying the initial project began as a time and material contract, and in the second quarter of 2009 it was converted to a fixed-price contract. Since approximately the completion of the initial project, we have performed all services for Ford under a new time and materials contract which contains certain minimum commitments in terms of the number of resources we provide Ford, and amounts they pay us. This new time and materials contract, called the Ford Telematics Competency Center (FCC), commits a certain number of engineering services personnel to Ford for a set fee. The FCC represented \$3.6 million of the 2010 Ford-related service revenue. Since we completed the initial project, the number of engineers working on Ford programs has declined under the FCC because we are now primarily focused on developing and integrating new user applications for the MyFord Touch, enhancing existing applications and customizing the MyFord Touch platform for additional vehicle models. In February 2011, we agreed with Ford, under a contract amendment, to expand the size of the FCC and to extend the term of the agreement to December 31, 2011. Under this new agreement, we expect the FCC to generate \$9.3 million in revenue in 2011.

Service revenue from the APAC region increased \$1.4 million, or 170%, to \$2.4 million in 2010 from \$1.0 million in 2009. The higher revenue was primarily driven by several projects with new customers in Japan as well as higher revenue in the rest of the APAC region due to the improved economy there compared to 2009. Growth in the APAC region coupled with growth in Europe and growth in North America outside of Ford roughly offset the decline in Ford service revenue.

Gross profit and gross margin

Cost of revenue related to software revenue consists primarily of the cost of third-party software as well as the amortization of certain intangible assets related to acquisitions.

Cost of revenue related to service revenue consists primarily of salaries and benefits, contractor costs and re-billable expenses, plus related facilities and depreciation costs. Gross profit on the sale of third-party software products was also positively affected by rebate credits of \$804,000 in 2010 and \$709,000 in 2009 from Microsoft which we earned through the achievement of defined objectives.

Table of Contents

The following table outlines software, services and total gross profit (dollars in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Software gross profit | \$ 13,852 | \$ 8,720 |
| Software gross margin | 20% | 24% |
| Service gross profit | \$ 6,667 | \$ 4,682 |
| Service gross margin | 24% | 17% |
| Total gross profit | \$ 20,519 | \$ 13,402 |
| Total gross margin | 21% | 21% |

Software gross profit and gross margin

Software gross profit increased by \$5.1 million, or 59%, in 2010, as compared to 2009, while software gross margin decreased by four percentage points. The increase in software gross profit, and the decline in gross margin, was primarily due to an increase in sales of our third-party software in 2010, primarily Microsoft General Embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, on which we realize much lower gross margins, and resulting gross profit, than we realize with respect to our proprietary software. Our third-party software margin was 15% in 2010, and 16% in 2009, compared to our proprietary software gross margin of 88% in 2010, and 86% in 2009.

We currently expect third-party software sales to continue to be a significant percentage of our software revenue, and, therefore, our overall software gross margin will likely remain relatively low in the foreseeable future.

Service gross profit and gross margin

Service gross profit increased by \$2.0 million, or 42%, in 2010 as compared to 2009, while service gross margin increased by seven percentage points to 24% in 2010, from 17% in 2009. The increase in service gross profit and gross margin was primarily due to overruns on the Ford project which negatively affected 2009. As the Ford project was accounted for under the percentage of completion method, the project overruns negatively affected the amount of revenue we recognized which, in turn, negatively affected our realized billing rate, gross profit, and gross margins.

Operating expenses***Selling, general and administrative***

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and related benefits, commissions and bonuses for our sales personnel and related facilities and depreciation costs, as well as professional services fees (e.g., consulting, legal and audit).

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$838,000, or 7%, to \$12.9 million in 2010, from \$12.0 million in 2009. Selling, general and administrative expenses represented 13% of our total revenue in 2010 compared to 18% of revenue in 2009. 2009 benefited from the reversal of our securities class action legal reserve in the third quarter of 2009 in the amount of \$534,000. Excluding the impact of this reversal, selling, general and administrative expense increased in 2010 by \$304,000, or 3%, from \$12.5 million in 2009. This increase was driven by \$220,000 in higher commissions and bonuses attributed to increased revenue, gross profit and net income and \$372,000 in higher wage expense primarily due to the hiring of additional sales personnel, offset by decreases in a number of other expense items.

Table of Contents

Research and development

Research and development expenses consist primarily of salaries and benefits for software development and quality assurance personnel, contractor and consultant costs, component costs and related facilities and depreciation costs.

Research and development expenses decreased \$908,000, or 21%, to \$3.4 million in 2010, from \$4.3 million in 2009. Research and development expenses represented 4% of our total revenue in 2010, down from 7% in 2009. The decrease was driven by lower research and development expense associated with our Texas Instruments product initiatives, the majority of which were completed in the first quarter of 2010. Additionally, we reduced research and development headcount during the year.

Interest and other income (expense), net

Other income (expense) consists of interest income on our cash, cash equivalents and investments, gains and losses we may recognize on our investments, as well as gains or losses on foreign exchange transactions. Other expense was \$504,000 in 2010 compared to other income of \$139,000 in 2009, representing a decrease of \$643,000. This increase was primarily due to a \$549,000 other-than-temporary impairment loss on the carrying value of our auction rate security investments (ARS) that was charged to other expense during 2010.

Income tax benefit

Income tax benefit increased by \$2.3 million to \$2.4 million in 2010, from \$76,000 in 2009. This increase was due primarily to a \$2.6 million tax benefit recognized during the three months ended December 31, 2010 when we reevaluated the net carrying value of our deferred tax assets. In prior periods, we had fully reserved our deferred tax assets as we had not been able to determine that it was more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income to realize these assets. Deferred tax assets are evaluated quarterly and our valuation allowance may change in the future based upon our estimate of the probability of future taxable income. Reductions in our valuation allowance will have a positive effect on our income tax provision while increases in our valuation allowance will have a negative effect.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, 2010, we had \$23.1 million of cash, cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments and restricted cash, compared to \$18.0 million at December 31, 2009. These balances are net of a valuation allowance recorded against our ARS investments of \$378,000 at December 31, 2010, and \$686,000 at December 31, 2009. Of the \$23.1 million of cash, investments and restricted cash at December 31, 2010, \$1.0 million was classified as long-term, most of which relates to \$875,000 restricted under the terms of our headquarters operating lease which is also classified as long-term restricted cash, the majority of which will continue to secure that obligation through its expiration in 2014. Also included in long-term investments is an ARS investment with a par value of \$500,000 recorded at estimated fair value of \$122,000. Our working capital was \$20.0 million at December 31, 2010, compared to \$10.3 million at December 31, 2009.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$5.3 million in 2010, primarily attributable to \$6.1 million in net income and \$2.2 in non-cash expenses, offset in part by a negative change in various working capital line items. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$4.7 million in 2009, primarily attributable to a \$3.3 million increase in deferred revenue primarily related to revenue billed but not earned on the Ford project and revenue deferred associated with annual support and maintenance contracts for TestQuest products. Additionally, \$1.8 million of non-cash expenses, and net collections of \$1.5 million on our accounts receivable, contributed to net cash provided by operating activities in 2009. These amounts were offset in part by a net loss of \$2.7 million in 2009.

Table of Contents

Net cash used by investing activities was \$7.7 million in 2010 primarily due to \$19.5 million of purchases of short-term investments offset in part by \$8.2 million in proceeds from the maturity of short-term investments and \$3.8 million in sales of our ARS securities. Net cash provided by investing activities was \$429,000 in 2009, primarily due to \$750,000 in redemptions received on our ARS investments, offset in part by \$278,000 in purchases of equipment and furniture.

Financing activities generated cash of \$383,000 in 2010 and \$10,000 in 2009 as a result of employees' exercise of stock options.

We believe that our existing cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments and long-term investments will be sufficient to meet our needs for working capital and capital expenditures for at least the next 12 months.

Cash Commitments

We have the following future or potential cash commitments:

Minimum rents payable under operating leases of \$1.2 million in 2011, \$1.1 million in 2012, \$1.1 million in 2013, and \$770,000 in 2014; and

Under the terms of our corporate headquarters lease signed in February 2004, the landlord has the ability to demand payment for cash payments forgiven in 2004 if we default under the lease. The amount of the forgiven payments for which the landlord can demand repayment was \$900,000 at December 31, 2010, and decreases on a straight-line basis over the remaining term of the lease, which expires in 2014.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.*

Not Applicable

Table of Contents

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.*

BSQUARE CORPORATION

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 38 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009</u> | 39 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2010 and 2009</u> | 40 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for 2010 and 2009</u> | 41 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for 2010 and 2009</u> | 42 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 43 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders

Bsquare Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Bsquare Corporation (the Corporation) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Corporation's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the auditing standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the consolidated financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Corporation is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall consolidated financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Bsquare Corporation as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Moss Adams LLP

Seattle, Washington

March 17, 2011

Table of Contents**BSQUARE CORPORATION****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | December 31, | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,814 | \$ 12,918 |
| Short-term investments | 11,329 | |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$290 at December 31, 2010 and \$201 at December 31, 2009 | 14,128 | 9,192 |
| Deferred tax assets | 145 | |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 403 | 648 |
| Total current assets | 36,819 | 22,758 |
| Long-term investments | 122 | 4,189 |
| Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements, net | 653 | 823 |
| Intangible assets, net | 1,049 | 1,511 |
| Restricted cash | 875 | 900 |
| Deferred tax assets | 2,495 | |
| Other non-current assets | 83 | 90 |
| Total assets | \$ 42,096 | \$ 30,271 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Third-party software fees payable | \$ 11,132 | \$ 5,235 |
| Accounts payable | 261 | 299 |
| Other accrued expenses | 1,467 | 1,422 |
| Accrued compensation | 2,497 | 1,837 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,417 | 3,693 |
| Total current liabilities | 16,774 | 12,486 |
| Deferred rent | 240 | 311 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 6) | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, no par value: 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding | | |
| Common stock, no par value: 37,500,000 shares authorized; 10,415,541 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2010 and 37,500,000 shares authorized; 10,162,589 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2009 | 124,716 | 123,572 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (445) | (746) |
| Accumulated deficit | (99,189) | (105,352) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 25,082 | 17,474 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 42,096 | \$ 30,271 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

BSQUARE CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue: | | |
| Software | \$ 69,059 | \$ 36,530 |
| Service | 27,715 | 27,849 |
| Total revenue | 96,774 | 64,379 |
| Cost of revenue: | | |
| Software | 55,207 | 27,810 |
| Service | 21,048 | 23,167 |
| Total cost of revenue | 76,255 | 50,977 |
| Gross profit | 20,519 | 13,402 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 12,850 | 12,012 |
| Research and development | 3,379 | 4,287 |
| Total operating expenses | 16,229 | 16,299 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 4,290 | (2,897) |
| Interest and other income (expense), net | (504) | 139 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 3,786 | (2,758) |
| Income tax benefit | 2,377 | 76 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 6,163 | \$ (2,682) |
| Basic income (loss) per share | \$ 0.60 | \$ (0.27) |
| Diluted income (loss) per share | \$ 0.56 | \$ (0.27) |
| Shares used in calculation of income (loss) per share: | | |
| Basic | 10,192 | 10,097 |
| Diluted | 10,912 | 10,097 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

BSQUARE CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)

| | Preferred Stock | | Common Stock | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Accumulated Deficit | Total Shareholders Equity |
|--|-----------------|--------|--------------|------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2008 | | \$ | 10,082,654 | \$ 122,660 | \$ (1,048) | \$ (102,670) | \$ 18,942 |
| Net loss | | | | | | (2,682) | (2,682) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | 42 | | 42 |
| Change of unrealized gain on investments | | | | | 260 | | 260 |
| Comprehensive loss | | | | | | | (2,380) |
| Exercise of stock options | | | 5,023 | 10 | | | 10 |
| Stock-based compensation, including issuance of restricted stock | | | 74,912 | 902 | | | 902 |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | | \$ | 10,162,589 | \$ 123,572 | \$ (746) | \$ (105,352) | \$ 17,474 |
| Net income | | | | | | 6,163 | 6,163 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (7) | | (7) |
| Change of unrealized gain on investments | | | | | 308 | | 308 |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | | | 6,464 |
| Exercise of stock options | | | 158,471 | 383 | | | 383 |
| Stock-based compensation, including issuance of restricted stock | | | 94,481 | 761 | | | 761 |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | | \$ | 10,415,541 | \$ 124,716 | \$ (445) | \$ (99,189) | \$ 25,082 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

BSQUARE CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 6,163 | \$ (2,682) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Realized loss on sale of auction rate securities | 580 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 861 | 945 |
| Stock-based compensation | 761 | 902 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | (2,640) | |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | (4,911) | 1,537 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 264 | 60 |
| Third-party software fees payable | 5,897 | 1,211 |
| Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities | 663 | (571) |
| Deferred revenue | (2,297) | 3,333 |
| Deferred rent | (71) | 2 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 5,270 | 4,737 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchases of equipment and furniture | (218) | (278) |
| Proceeds from maturities of short-term investments | 8,200 | 750 |
| Proceeds from sale of auction rate securities | 3,795 | |
| Purchases of short-term investments | (19,525) | |
| Other | 20 | (43) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (7,728) | 429 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options net cash provided by financing activities | 383 | 10 |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash | (29) | 39 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (2,104) | 5,215 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year | 12,918 | 7,703 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of year | \$ 10,814 | \$ 12,918 |

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

BSQUARE CORPORATION

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business and Accounting Policies

Description of Business

BSQUARE Corporation (BSQUARE) was incorporated in Washington State in July 1994. We and our subsidiaries provide software and engineering services to companies that develop smart devices and to companies that assist others in developing smart devices. A smart device is a dedicated purpose computing device that typically has a display, runs an operating system (e.g., Microsoft® Windows® CE) and may be connected to a network via a wired or wireless connection. Examples of smart devices include set-top boxes, home gateways, point-of-sale terminals, kiosks, voting machines, gaming platforms, tablets, handheld data collection devices, personal media players, smart phones and devices targeted at automotive applications. We primarily focus on smart devices that utilize embedded versions of the Microsoft Windows family of operating systems, specifically Windows CE, Windows XP Embedded and Windows Mobile . However, as a result of acquisitions, coupled with our strategic intent to broaden our market focus, we provide software and engineering services to customers developing devices utilizing other operating systems such as Android and Linux.

Basis of Consolidation

The Consolidated Financial Statements include the accounts of BSQUARE Corporation and our wholly owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Reclassification of Previously Issued Financial Statements

Fees payable to third-party software suppliers, such as Microsoft Corporation (Microsoft), were previously included in accounts payable and other accrued expenses at December 31, 2009. Such fees payable and accrued expenses have been reclassified into a new balance sheet line-item called Third-party software fees payable. There was no impact on total current liabilities as of December 31, 2009. The Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for 2009 was also revised to reflect this reclassification (there was no effect on net cash provided by operating activities).

Use of Estimates

Preparing financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses. Examples include provisions for bad debts and income taxes, estimates of progress on professional engineering service arrangements and bonus accruals. Actual results may differ from these estimates. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

Income (Loss) Per Share

Basic income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, and excludes any dilutive effects of common stock equivalent shares, such as options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and warrants. Restricted stock awards (RSAs) are considered outstanding and included in the computation of basic income per share when underlying restrictions expire and the awards are no longer forfeitable. Restricted stock units (RSUs), which vest over a period of two to four years, are considered outstanding and included in the computation of basic income per share only when vested.

Table of Contents

Diluted income per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and common stock equivalent shares outstanding during the period using the treasury stock and if-converted method in the case of stock options and warrants, respectively. Common stock equivalent shares are excluded from the computation if their effect is anti-dilutive. Unvested but outstanding RSUs and RSAs which are forfeitable but outstanding are included in the diluted income per share calculation as well.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the number of shares used in the calculation of basic and diluted income per share (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|---|----------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for basic income (loss) per share | 10,192 | 10,097 |
| Dilutive effect of common stock equivalent shares | 721 | |
| Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted income (loss) per share | 10,913 | 10,097 |

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments

We invest our excess cash primarily in highly liquid debt instruments of the U.S. government agencies and municipalities, debt instruments issued by foreign government, corporate commercial paper, money market funds, and corporate debt securities. We classify all highly liquid investments with stated maturities of three months or less from date of purchase as cash equivalents and all highly liquid investments with stated maturities of greater than three months as short-term investments.

Short-term investments consist entirely of marketable securities which are all classified as available-for-sale securities and are recorded at their estimated fair value.

We determine the appropriate classification of our investments at the time of purchase and reevaluate such designation at each balance sheet date. We may or may not hold securities with stated maturities greater than 12 months until maturity. As we view these securities as available to support current operations, we classify securities with maturities beyond 12 months as current assets under the caption short-term investments in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. We carry these securities at fair value, and report the unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, as a component of stockholders' equity, except for unrealized losses determined to be other than temporary which are recorded in other expense.

Restricted Cash

Our restricted cash represents time deposits held at a financial institution as security for an outstanding letter of credit expiring in 2014 related to our corporate headquarters lease obligation.

Long-term Investments

Our long-term investments consist of auction rate securities (ARS), which are recorded at their estimated fair value.

Financial Instruments and Concentrations of Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash equivalents, short-term investments, and accounts receivable. Cash equivalents and short-term investments consist primarily of highly liquid debt instruments of the U.S. government agencies and municipalities, debt instruments issued by foreign government, corporate commercial paper, money market funds, and corporate debt securities. The carrying value of these instruments approximates fair value.

Table of Contents

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We record accounts receivable at the invoiced amount net of an estimated allowance for doubtful accounts to reserve for potentially uncollectible receivables. We review customers which are past due to identify specific customers with known disputes or collectability issues. In determining the amount of the reserve, we make judgments about the creditworthiness of significant customers based on ongoing credit evaluations.

Equipment, Furniture and Leasehold Improvements

We account for property and equipment at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. We compute depreciation using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally three years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful lives, ranging from 2 to 10 years. Maintenance and repairs costs are expensed as incurred. When assets are retired or otherwise disposed of, gains or losses are included in the statement of operations. When facts and circumstances indicate that the value of long-lived assets may be impaired, an evaluation of recoverability is performed by comparing the carrying value of the asset to projected undiscounted future cash flows. Upon indication that the carrying value of such assets may not be recoverable, we recognize an impairment loss as a charge against current operations based on the difference between the carrying value of the asset and its fair value.

Intangible Assets

Intangible assets were recorded as a result of asset acquisitions and are stated at estimated fair value at the time of acquisition less accumulated amortization. Amortization is provided on the straight-line method over estimated useful lives as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------|-------------|
| Acquired technology | 2 - 5 years |
| Customer relationships | 8 years |
| Tradenames and trademarks | 4 years |

We review intangible assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. We measure recoverability of these assets by comparing the carrying amounts to the future undiscounted cash flows the assets are expected to generate. If intangible assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized equals the amount by which the carrying value of the asset exceeds its fair market value.

Third-Party Software Fees Payable

We record all fees payable and accrued liabilities related to the sale of third-party software, such as Microsoft general embedded and Windows Mobile operating systems, as third-party software fees payable.

Software Development Costs

No software development costs have been capitalized as the cost has been immaterial.

Research and Development

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred.

Advertising Costs

All costs of advertising, including cooperative marketing arrangements, are expensed as incurred. Advertising expense was \$93,000 in 2010 and \$149,000 in 2009.

Table of Contents**Stock-Based Compensation**

The estimated fair value of stock based awards is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the award, net of estimated forfeitures. We estimate forfeitures of stock based awards based on historical experience and expected future activity. The fair value of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units is determined based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of stock option awards are estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of each vesting tranche as calculated by the Black-Scholes-Merton (BSM) option-pricing model. The BSM model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including expected volatility and option life. If any of the assumptions used in the BSM model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period.

Incentive Compensation

We make certain estimates, judgments and assumptions regarding the likelihood of our attainment, and the level thereof, of bonuses payable under our annual incentive compensation programs. We accrue bonuses and recognize the resulting expense when the bonus is judged to be reasonably likely to be earned as of year-end and is estimable. The amount accrued, and expense recognized, is the estimated portion of the bonus earned on a year-to-date basis less any amounts previously accrued. These estimates, judgments and assumptions are made quarterly based on available information and take into consideration our year-to-date actual results and expected results for the remainder of the year. Because our attainment estimate factors estimated future results, significant judgment is required. If actual results differ materially from our estimates, the amount of bonus expense recorded in a particular quarter could be significantly over or under estimated.

Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) refers to net income (loss) and other revenue, expenses, gains and losses that, under generally accepted accounting principles, are recorded as an element of shareholders' equity but are excluded from the calculation of net income (loss).

The changes in the components of other comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net Income (loss) | \$ 5,111 | \$ (2,682) |
| Changes in unrealized gain (loss) on investments | 308 | 260 |
| Changes in foreign currency translation adjustment | (7) | 42 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 5,412 | \$ (2,380) |

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are as follows (in thousands):

| | As of December 31, | |
|---|--------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Unrealized net losses on investments | | (308) |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (445) | (438) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (445) | \$ (746) |

Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in the U.S. and certain foreign jurisdictions. Significant judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes. We compute income taxes using the asset and liability method,

Table of Contents

under which deferred income taxes are provided for on the temporary differences between the financial reporting basis and the tax basis of our assets and liabilities. Our deferred tax assets are measured using currently enacted tax rates that are expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A valuation allowance is established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amounts expected to be realized.

Beginning in 2002 and through the third quarter of 2010, we maintained a full valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets as we were unable to determine that it was more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income to utilize them.

The majority of our deferred tax assets relate to our net operating loss carryforwards. At December 31, 2010, we had \$59.2 million of net operating loss carryforwards which begin to expire in 2022, of which approximately \$54.9 million will expire in 2022 and 2023. Utilization of these carryforwards may be subject to an annual limitation due to Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code which restricts the ability of a corporation that undergoes an ownership change to use its net operating loss carryforwards. An ownership change occurs if greater than five percent shareholders of an issuer's outstanding common stock collectively increase their ownership percentage by more than 50 percentage points over a rolling three-year period. We have performed analysis of possible ownership changes in the past which included consideration of a third-party study, and do not believe that an ownership change of more than 50 percentage points has occurred. During the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 we utilized approximately \$5.6 million and \$0, respectively, of net operating losses to offset taxable income.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined that it was more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income to utilize a portion of our net operating losses and, as a result, we recorded a \$2.6 million reduction in our deferred tax asset valuation allowance and corresponding income tax benefit. In determining the amount of our net operating losses that we would realize as of December 31, 2010, we determined the largest amount of our deferred tax assets which had a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized. The factors we considered in reducing our deferred tax asset allowance included, but were not limited to: i) over the last three years we have generated cumulative pre-tax income of \$3.1 million; ii) our analysis of normalized historical financial statement book pre-tax income which excluded those items not expected to occur in the future (e.g. realized losses on auction rate securities) indicated cumulative profitability over the same period; iii) we completed the main fixed-price Ford contract in August 2010 thereby eliminating the overrun risk which had previously significantly negatively affected our results; and iv) our assessment of existing customer contracts and agreements and the expected revenue and gross profit that could be reasonably expected therefrom.

Interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions may be classified in the financial statements as either income taxes or interest and another expense classification. We have elected to classify interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as income tax expense. There are no interest and penalties included in income tax benefit in 2010 or 2009.

Foreign Currency

The functional currency of foreign subsidiaries is the local currency. Accordingly, assets and liabilities are translated into U.S. dollars at exchange rates in effect at the balance sheet. Resulting translation adjustments are included in Accumulated other comprehensive loss, a separate component of shareholders' equity. The net gains and losses resulting from foreign currency transactions are recorded in the period incurred and were not significant for any of the periods presented.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from software and engineering service sales when the following four revenue recognition criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; delivery has occurred or services have

Table of Contents

been rendered; the selling price is fixed or determinable; and collectability is reasonably assured. Contracts and customer purchase orders are generally used to determine the existence of an arrangement. Shipping documents and time records are generally used to verify delivery. We assess whether the selling price is fixed or determinable based on the contract and/or customer purchase order and payment terms associated with the transaction and whether the sales price is subject to refund or adjustment. We assess collectability based primarily on the creditworthiness of the customer as determined by credit checks and analysis, as well as the customer's payment history. Periodically, we will begin work on engineering service engagements prior to having a signed contract and, in some cases, the contract is signed in a quarter after which service delivery costs are incurred. We do not defer costs associated with these uncontracted engagements.

We recognize software revenue upon shipment provided that no significant obligations remain on our part, substantive acceptance conditions, if any, have been met and the other revenue recognition criteria have been met. Service revenue from time and materials contracts, and training service agreements, is recognized as services are performed. Fixed-price service agreements, and certain time and materials service agreements with capped fee structures, are accounted for using the percentage-of-completion method. We use the percentage-of-completion method of accounting because it is the most accurate method to recognize revenue based on the nature and scope of these engineering service contracts; it is a better measure of periodic income results than other methods and it better matches revenue recognized with the costs incurred. Percentage of completion is measured based primarily on input measures such as hours incurred to date compared to total estimated hours to complete, with consideration given to output measures, such as contract milestones, when applicable. Significant judgment is required when estimating total hours and progress to completion on these arrangements which determines the amount of revenue we recognize as well as whether a loss is recognized if one is expected to be incurred for the remainder of the project. Revisions to hour and cost estimates are incorporated in the period in which the facts that give rise to the revision become known.

We also enter into arrangements in which a customer purchases a combination of software licenses, engineering services and PCS. As a result, contract interpretation is sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting, including how the price should be allocated among the deliverable elements if there are multiple elements. PCS may include rights to upgrades, when and if available, telephone support, updates, and enhancements. When VSOE of fair value exists for all elements in a multiple element arrangement, revenue is allocated to each element based on the relative fair value of each of the elements. VSOE of fair value is established by the price charged when the same element is sold separately. Accordingly, the judgments involved in assessing the fair values of various elements of an agreement can impact the recognition of revenue in each period. Changes in the allocation of the sales price between deliverables might impact the timing of revenue recognition, but would not change the total revenue recognized on the contract. When elements such as software and engineering services are contained in a single arrangement, or in related arrangements with the same customer, we allocate revenue to each element based on its relative fair value, provided that such element meets the criteria for treatment as a separate unit of accounting. In the absence of fair value for a delivered element, revenue is first allocated to the fair value of the undelivered elements and then allocated to the residual delivered elements. In the absence of fair value for an undelivered element, the arrangement is accounted for as a single unit of accounting, resulting in a delay of revenue recognition for the delivered elements until the undelivered elements are fulfilled. As a result, contract interpretations and assessments of fair value are sometimes required to determine the appropriate accounting.

When engineering services and royalties are contained in a single arrangement, we recognize revenue from engineering services as earned in accordance with the criteria above even though the effective rate per hour may be lower than typical because the customer is contractually obligated to pay royalties on their device shipments. We recognize royalty revenue, classified as software revenue, when the royalty report from the customer is received or when such royalties are contractually guaranteed and the revenue recognition criteria are met, particularly that collectability is reasonably assured.

Table of Contents**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASU 2010-6, *Improving Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements* (ASU 2010-6), which requires reporting entities to make new disclosures about recurring or nonrecurring fair-value measurements including significant transfers into and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair-value measurements and information on purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements on a gross basis in the reconciliation of Level 3 fair-value measurements. ASU 2010-6 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for Level 3 reconciliation disclosures which are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010. The adoption of ASU 2010-6 did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statement disclosures.

2. Cash and Investments

Cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, long-term investments and restricted cash consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash and cash equivalents: | | |
| Cash | \$ 736 | \$ 817 |
| Money market funds | 10,078 | 12,101 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 10,814 | 12,918 |
| Short-term investments: | | |
| U.S. agency securities | 2,250 | |
| Municipal securities | 450 | |
| Corporate commercial paper | 2,749 | |
| Foreign government bonds | 775 | |
| Corporate debt securities | 5,105 | |
| Total short-term investments | 11,329 | |
| Long-term investments auction rate securities | 122 | 4,189 |
| Restricted cash: | | |
| Time deposits | | 900 |
| Money market funds | 875 | |
| Total restricted cash | 875 | 900 |
| Total cash, cash equivalents, investments and restricted cash | \$ 23,140 | \$ 18,007 |

We record our cash equivalents, short-term investments and ARS, at fair value. Fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. A three-tier fair value hierarchy is established as a basis for considering such assumptions and for inputs used in the valuation methodologies in measuring fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Directly or indirectly observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs used in models or other valuation methodologies.

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data. The inputs require significant management judgment or estimation.

Table of Contents

Our short-term investments consist entirely of marketable securities classified as available for sale. All short-term investments were valued based on quoted market prices of similar instruments and other significant inputs derived from, or corroborated by, observable market data.

Our long-term investments consist entirely of ARS. At December 31, 2010 we held \$122,000 of ARS represented by one individual investment. Historically, ARS have provided liquidity through a Dutch auction process that resets the applicable interest rate at pre-determined intervals every 7 to 49 days. However, these auctions began to fail in the first quarter of 2008. The related principal amounts of the ARS will not be accessible until a successful auction occurs, a buyer is found outside of the auction process, the issuer calls the security, or the security matures according to contractual terms. Due to the lack of observable market quotes on our ARS, we have estimated their fair values using inputs including the underlying financial condition and credit quality of the issuer, the maturity of the security, and secondary market bid levels of similar and identical securities. Based on these inputs, we estimated the fair value of our ARS to be \$122,000 as of December 31, 2010, compared to a par value of \$500,000. We have classified our ARS as long-term investments as of December 31, 2010 due to our uncertainty as to when these securities will be sold.

The following table presents a reconciliation for our assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), which entirely consist of ARS (in thousands):

| | Student Loan Backed | Close-end Funds | Corporate Collateral | Total |
|-------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | \$ 3,698 | \$ 369 | \$ 122 | \$ 4,189 |
| Redemptions at par | (75) | | | (75) |
| Sale of securities | (3,399) | (321) | | (3,720) |
| Reversal of unrealized loss, net | 277 | 31 | | 308 |
| Realized loss on sale of securities | (501) | (79) | | (580) |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | \$ | \$ | \$ 122 | \$ 122 |

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009 our cash, cash equivalents, and marketable securities primarily consisted of cash, U.S. and foreign government and agency securities, money market funds and other investment grade securities. Such amounts are recorded at fair value. We had \$1,000 of unrealized losses on our investments as of December 31, 2010, and \$0 at December 31, 2009. The following table summarizes, by major security type, our assets that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis and are categorized using the fair value hierarchy (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2010 | | | Total |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Direct or Indirect Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Cash equivalents: | | | | |
| Money market funds | \$ 10,078 | \$ | \$ | \$ 10,078 |
| Short-term investments: | | | | |
| U.S. agency securities | | 2,250 | | 2,250 |
| Municipal securities | | 450 | | 450 |
| Corporate commercial paper | | 2,749 | | 2,749 |
| Foreign government bonds | | 775 | | 775 |
| Corporate debt securities | | 5,105 | | 5,105 |
| Long-term investments ARS | | | 122 | 122 |
| Restricted cash time deposits | | 875 | | 875 |
| Total | \$ 10,078 | \$ 12,204 | \$ 122 | \$ 22,404 |

Table of Contents

Assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis, other than cash and restricted cash as of December 31, 2009, are summarized below (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2009 | | | Total |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|--|-----------|
| | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Direct or Indirect Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) | |
| Cash equivalents money market funds | \$ 12,101 | \$ | \$ | \$ 12,101 |
| Long-term investments ARS | | | 4,189 | 4,189 |
| Restricted cash time deposits | | 900 | | 900 |
| Total | \$ 12,101 | \$ 900 | \$ 4,189 | \$ 17,190 |

3. Equipment, Furniture and Leasehold Improvements

Equipment, furniture, and leasehold improvements consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Computer equipment and software | \$ 2,224 | \$ 3,452 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 1,297 | 1,321 |
| Leasehold improvements | 631 | 633 |
| Total | 4,152 | 5,406 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (3,499) | (4,583) |
| Equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements, net | \$ 653 | \$ 823 |

Depreciation and amortization expense of equipment, furniture and leasehold improvements was \$399,000 in 2010 and \$384,000 in 2009. During 2010, obsolete equipment with a cost basis of \$1.5 million, and a net book value of \$7,000 was written off.

4. Intangible Assets

Intangible assets relate to customer relationships, tradenames, trademarks and technology that we acquired from TestQuest in November 2008 and from NEC Corporation of America in December 2007. The following table presents the gross carrying value of the acquired intangible assets subject to amortization and accumulated amortization thereof (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Gross carrying value of the acquired intangible assets subject to amortization | \$ 2,158 | \$ 2,158 |
| Accumulated amortization | (1,109) | (647) |
| Intangible assets, net | \$ 1,049 | \$ 1,511 |

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2010, 83% of the gross carrying value represented acquired technology while 76% of the net book value represented acquired technology. Amortization expense was \$463,000 and \$506,000 for 2010 and 2009, respectively. Amortization in future periods is expected to be as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------|-----------------|
| 2011 | \$ 334 |
| 2012 | 330 |
| 2013 | 278 |
| 2014 | 37 |
| 2015 | 36 |
| Thereafter | 34 |
| Total | \$ 1,049 |

5. Income Taxes

Income (loss) before income taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--------------|----------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| U.S. | \$ 3,801 | \$ (2,215) |
| Foreign | (15) | (543) |
| Total | \$ 3,786 | \$ (2,758) |

Income tax benefit consists of the following (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Federal | \$ 2,503 | \$ 26 |
| State and local | (126) | |
| Foreign | | 50 |
| Total | \$ 2,377 | \$ 76 |

The components of net deferred tax assets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Deferred income tax assets: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 1,249 | \$ 1,294 |
| Accrued expenses and reserves | 1,088 | 716 |
| Net operating loss carryforwards | 20,963 | 22,728 |
| Capital loss carryforward | 348 | 135 |
| Research and development credit carryforward | 2,389 | 2,264 |

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|
| Stock-based compensation | 372 | 495 |
| Other | 217 | 110 |
| Gross deferred tax assets | 26,626 | 27,742 |
| Less: valuation allowance | (23,986) | (27,742) |
| Net deferred tax assets | \$ 2,640 | \$ |

Table of Contents

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount of income tax determined by applying the applicable U.S. statutory federal income tax rate to pre-tax income, as a result of the following:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Taxes at the U.S. statutory rate | 34.0% | 34.0% |
| Increase (decrease) resulting from: | | |
| Tax credits | (3.0) | 4.8 |
| State income tax | 3.0 | 1.9 |
| International operations | 0.1 | (0.5) |
| Incentive stock options | 0.4 | (9.1) |
| Valuation allowance | (99.2) | (27.5) |
| Other, net | 1.9 | (0.7) |
| | (62.8)% | 2.9% |

Beginning in 2002 and through the third quarter of 2010, we maintained a full valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets as we were unable to determine that it was more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income for them to be realized. In the fourth quarter of 2010, we determined that it was more likely than not that we would generate sufficient future taxable income to realize a portion of our deferred tax assets, and reduced our valuation allowance accordingly.

At December 31, 2010, we had approximately \$61.7 million of federal and state net operating loss carryforwards, and \$2.4 million of tax credit carryforwards, which begin to expire in 2022, and of which \$54.9 million of the net operating loss carryforwards will expire in 2022 and 2023. Utilization of these carryforward may be subject to an annual limitation due to Section 382 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code which restricts the ability of a corporation that undergoes an ownership change to use its carryforwards. Under the applicable tax rules, an ownership change occurs if greater than five percent shareholders of an issuer's outstanding common stock, collectively, increase their ownership percentage by more than 50 percentage points over a rolling three-year period. We have performed analyses of possible ownership changes in the past, which included consideration of a third-party study, and do not believe that an ownership change of more than 50 percentage points has occurred.

We are no longer subject to U.S. federal tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007, and with a few immaterial exceptions, we are also no longer subject to U.S. state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2007.

6. Commitments and Contingencies

Contractual Commitments

Our principal commitments consist of obligations outstanding under operating leases, which expire through 2014. We have lease commitments for office space in Bellevue, Washington; San Diego, California; Longmont, Colorado; Chanhassen, Minnesota; Dallas, Texas; Taipei, Taiwan; Beijing, China and Nishi-Shinjuku, Japan. We also lease office space in Akron, Ohio on a month-to-month basis. Subsequent to December 31, 2010, we also signed a one-year lease for office space in Seoul, Korea.

Under the terms of our corporate headquarters lease signed in February 2004, if we default under the lease, the landlord has the ability to demand payment for cash payments forgiven in 2004. The amount of the forgiven payments for which the landlord can demand repayment was \$900,000 at December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Rent expense was \$1.5 million in 2010 and \$1.5 million in 2009.

As of December 31, 2010, we had \$875,000 pledged as collateral for a bank letter of credit under the terms of our headquarters facility lease. The pledged cash supporting the outstanding letter of credit is recorded as restricted cash.

Operating lease commitments at December 31, 2010 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------------------|----------|
| 2011 | \$ 1,208 |
| 2012 | 1,144 |
| 2013 | 1,089 |
| 2014 | 770 |
| Thereafter | |
| Total commitments | \$ 4,211 |

Legal Proceedings*IPO Litigation*

In Summer and early Fall 2001, four shareholder class action lawsuits were filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York against the Company, certain of the Company's current and former officers and directors (the Individual Defendants), and the underwriters of the Company's initial public offering (the Underwriter Defendants). The complaints were consolidated into a single action and a Consolidated Amended Complaint, which was filed on April 19, 2002. The operative complaint alleged violations of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The suit purported to be a class action filed on behalf of purchasers of the Company's common stock during the period from October 19, 1999 to December 6, 2000. The plaintiffs alleged that the Underwriter Defendants agreed to allocate stock in the Company's initial public offering to certain investors in exchange for excessive and undisclosed commissions and agreements by those investors to make additional purchases of stock in the aftermarket at pre-determined prices. The plaintiffs alleged that the prospectus for the Company's initial public offering was false and misleading in violation of the securities laws because the Company did not disclose these arrangements. The action sought damages in an unspecified amount. On December 5, 2006, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals vacated a decision by the district court granting class certification in six of the coordinated cases, which are intended to serve as test, or "focus" cases. The plaintiffs selected these six cases, which do not include the Company. On April 6, 2007, the Second Circuit denied a petition for rehearing filed by the plaintiffs, but noted that the plaintiffs could ask the district court to certify more narrow classes than those that were rejected.

The parties in the approximately 300 coordinated cases, including the parties in the Company's case, reached a settlement in early 2009. As part of the settlement, the insurers for the issuer defendants will make the entire settlement payment on behalf of the issuers, including the Company. On October 5, 2009, the district court granted final approval of the settlement. Three objectors filed a petition to the Second Circuit seeking permission to appeal the district court's final approval order on the basis that the settlement class is broader than the class previously rejected by the Second Circuit in its December 5, 2006 order vacating the district court's order certifying classes in the focus cases. Objectors to the settlement are proceeding with two appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Plaintiffs have moved to dismiss both appeals. Following the district court's final approval of the settlement, the Company determined that it is unlikely that the Company will be liable for any damages that will not be paid for by the Company's insurance carriers, even if any appeals are successful. As a result, it was determined that an accrued legal fees liability of \$534,000 was no longer probable. Consequently, this liability was reversed, which resulted in a reduction of selling, general and administrative expense during 2009. However, due to the inherent uncertainties of litigation, subsequent events could affect the assessment of this liability and this disclosure.

Table of Contents**7. Shareholders Equity****Stock Options**

We have a stock plan (the Plan) under which stock options may be granted with a fixed exercise price that is typically fair market value on the date of grant. These options have a term of up to 10 years and vest over a predetermined period, generally four years. Incentive stock options granted under the Plan may only be granted to our employees, have a term of up to 10 years, and shall be granted with an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our stock on the date of grant. The Plan also allows for awards of stock appreciation rights, restricted and unrestricted stock awards, and restricted stock units. The Plan allows for an automatic annual increase in the number of shares reserved for issuance under the Plan. Such automatic annual increase is in an amount equal to the lesser of: (i) four percent of our outstanding shares at the end of the previous fiscal year, (ii) an amount determined by our Board of Directors, or (iii) 375,000 shares.

Stock-Based Compensation

The estimated fair value of stock based awards is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period of the award, net of estimated forfeitures. We estimate forfeitures of stock based awards based on historical experience and expected future activity. The fair value of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units is determined based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The fair value of stock option awards are estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of each vesting tranche as calculated by the Black-Scholes-Merton (BSM) option-pricing model. The BSM model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including expected volatility and option life. If any of the assumptions used in the BSM model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially in the future from that recorded in the current period. The fair values of our equity awards, primarily stock option grants, were estimated with the following weighted average assumptions:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|-------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Dividend yield | 0% | 0% |
| Expected life | 4.2 years | 4.4 years |
| Expected volatility | 72% | 72% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.6% | 1.8% |

The impact on our results of operations of recording stock-based compensation expense for years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 was as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|----------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cost of revenue service | \$ 295 | \$ 321 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 439 | 534 |
| Research and development | 27 | 47 |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | \$ 761 | \$ 902 |
| Per diluted share | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.09 |

Table of Contents**Stock Option Activity**

The following table summarizes stock option activity under the Plan for 2009 and 2010:

| Stock Options | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|--|-------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|
| Balance at January 1, 2009 | 2,029,796 | \$ 4.27 | | |
| Granted at fair value | 249,400 | 2.37 | | |
| Exercised | (5,023) | 2.05 | | |
| Forfeited | (54,403) | 3.38 | | |
| Expired | (48,300) | 15.53 | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 2,171,470 | \$ 3.83 | 5.62 | \$ 213,000 |
| Granted at fair value | 182,788 | 2.83 | | |
| Exercised | (158,471) | 2.41 | | |
| Forfeited | (103,439) | 3.80 | | |
| Expired | (149,625) | 6.35 | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 1,942,723 | \$ 3.66 | 4.91 | \$ 10,195,000 |
| Vested and expected to vest at December 31, 2010 | 1,861,614 | \$ 3.69 | 4.81 | \$ 9,719,000 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2010 | 1,517,840 | \$ 3.85 | 4.38 | \$ 7,735,000 |

At December 31, 2010, total compensation cost related to stock options granted to employees under the Plan but not yet recognized was \$291,000, net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized on the straight-line method over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.5 years.

The following table summarizes certain information about stock options for 2010 and 2009:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Weighted average grant-date fair value for options granted during the year | \$ 1.83 | \$ 1.30 |
| Options in-the-money at December 31 | 1,480,789 | 595,831 |
| Aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised | \$ 373,348 | \$ 2,005 |

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the difference between the exercise price of the underlying options and the quoted price of our common stock for the number of options that were in-the-money at year end. We issue new shares of common stock upon exercise of stock options.

Table of Contents**Restricted Stock Award Activity**

The following table summarizes restricted stock award activity under the Plan for 2010:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|--|---------------------|---|
| Unvested at December 31, 2009 | 42,000 | \$ 2.19 |
| Granted | 42,500 | 3.38 |
| Vested | (42,500) | 2.19 |
| Forfeited | | |
| Unvested at December 31, 2010 | 42,000 | \$ 3.38 |
| Expected to vest after December 31, 2010 | 36,120 | \$ 3.38 |

At December 31, 2010, total compensation cost related to restricted stock awards granted under the Plan but not yet recognized was \$74,000, net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized on the straight-line method over a period of approximately 0.5 years. Currently, only our Board of Directors receives restricted stock awards.

Restricted Stock Unit Activity

The following table summarizes restricted stock unit activity under the Plan for 2010:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--|---------------------|--|
| Unvested at December 31, 2009 | 107,474 | \$ 3.64 |
| Granted | 182,900 | 5.64 |
| Vested | (54,231) | 3.68 |
| Forfeited | (14,347) | 3.84 |
| Unvested at December 31, 2010 | 221,776 | \$ 5.27 |
| Expected to vest after December 31, 2010 | 188,612 | \$ 5.35 |

At December 31, 2010, total compensation cost related to restricted stock units granted under the Plan but not yet recognized was \$1,016,000, net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized on the straight-line method over a period of approximately 1.2 years.

Common Stock Reserved for Future Issuance

The following table summarizes our shares of common stock reserved for future issuance at December 31, 2010 and 2009:

| | December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Stock options outstanding | 1,942,723 | 2,171,470 |
| Restricted stock units outstanding | 221,776 | 111,397 |

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Stock options available for future grant | 540,419 | 321,543 |
| Common stock reserved for future issuance | 2,704,918 | 2,604,410 |

Table of Contents**8. Employee Benefit Plan*****Profit Sharing and Deferred Compensation Plan***

We have a Profit Sharing and Deferred Compensation Plan (Profit Sharing Plan) under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. Substantially all full-time employees are eligible to participate in the Profit Sharing Plan. We typically elect to match the participants contributions to the Profit Sharing Plan up to a certain amount, however we suspended such matching between February 2009 and August 2010. Participants will receive their share of the value of their investments, and any applicable vested match, upon retirement or termination. We made matching contributions of \$153,000 in 2010 and \$51,000 in 2009.

9. Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information (in thousands)

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 230 | \$ 72 |

All other significant non-cash financing activities are described elsewhere in the financial statements or the notes thereto.

10. Significant Risk Concentrations***Significant Customer***

The Ford Motor Company (Ford) accounted for \$12.9 million, or 13%, of total revenue in 2010. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of total revenue in 2010. Ford accounted for \$14.9 million, or 23%, of total revenue in 2009. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of total revenue in 2009.

No customer accounted for 10% or more of total accounts receivable as of December 31, 2010. Ford had an accounts receivable balance of \$2.4 million, or 26% of total accounts receivable, as of December 31, 2009. No other customer accounted for 10% or more of total accounts receivable as of December 31, 2009.

Significant Supplier

We have an OEM Distribution Agreement with Microsoft which enables us to sell Microsoft Windows Embedded operating systems to our customers in the United States, Canada, the Caribbean (excluding Cuba) and Mexico. Software sales under this agreement constitute a significant portion of our revenue. The agreement is typically renewed annually or semi-annually, however there is no automatic renewal provision in the agreement. This agreement will expire on June 30, 2011. Microsoft currently offers a rebate program in conjunction with this agreement in which we earn money for achieving certain predefined objectives. Under this rebate program we earned \$804,000 in 2010 and \$709,000 in 2009 which is accounted for as a reduction in software cost of revenue.

We signed an additional distribution agreement with Microsoft, in November 2009, which enables us sell Microsoft Windows Mobile operating systems and related applications such as Microsoft s Office Mobile on a worldwide basis. This agreement will expire in November 2011.

11. Information about Geographic Areas

Our chief operating decision-makers (i.e., chief executive officer, and certain of his direct reports) review financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenues by geographic region for purposes of allocating resources and evaluating financial performance. There are no segment managers who are held accountable by our chief operating decision-makers, or anyone else, for operations, operating results, and planning for levels or components below the consolidated unit level. Accordingly, we consider ourselves to be in a single reporting segment and operating unit structure.

Table of Contents

Revenues by geography are based on the billing address of the customer. The following table sets forth revenues and long-lived assets by geographic area (in thousands):

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|----------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Total revenue: | | |
| North America | \$ 80,856 | \$ 60,948 |
| Asia | 14,196 | 2,787 |
| Other foreign | 1,722 | 644 |
| Total revenue | \$ 96,774 | \$ 64,379 |

| | December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Long-lived assets: | | |
| North America | \$ 1,608 | \$ 2,231 |
| Asia | 94 | 103 |
| Total long-lived assets | \$ 1,702 | \$ 2,334 |

12. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)

Following is a summary of unaudited quarterly financial information for 2010 and 2009:

| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations | | | |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2010 | | | |
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| | (in thousands, except per share data) | | | |
| Revenue | \$ 16,945 | \$ 26,905 | \$ 25,338 | \$ 27,586 |
| Gross profit | 1,182 | 7,776 | 5,041 | 6,520 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (2,861) | 3,993 | 995 | 2,163 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,440) | \$ 3,973 | \$ 936 | \$ 4,694 |
| Basic income (loss) per share | \$ (0.34) | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.46 |
| Diluted income (loss) per share | \$ (0.34) | \$ 0.39 | \$ 0.09 | \$ 0.42 |
| Shares used in calculation of income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic | 10,127 | 10,157 | 10,196 | 10,288 |
| Diluted | 10,127 | 10,287 | 10,390 | 11,072 |

| | Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations | | | |
|--|---|----|----|----|
| | 2009 | | | |
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 |
| | (in thousands, except per share data) | | | |

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Revenue | \$ 16,677 | \$ 16,114 | \$ 16,399 | \$ 15,189 |
| Gross profit | 4,432 | 4,405 | 3,402 | 1,163 |
| Income (loss) from operations | (244) | 384 | 70 | (3,107) |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (90) | \$ 348 | \$ 71 | \$ (3,011) |
| Basic income (loss) per share | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.30) |
| Diluted income (loss) per share | \$ (0.01) | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.01 | \$ (0.30) |
| Shares used in calculation of income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Basic | 10,086 | 10,110 | 10,126 | 10,106 |
| Diluted | 10,086 | 10,191 | 10,265 | 10,106 |

Table of Contents

| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | | | |
|--|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | 2010 | | | |
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 10,983 | \$ 7,477 | \$ 12,474 | \$ 10,814 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 10,138 | 16,781 | 11,899 | 14,128 |
| Total current assets | 22,278 | 32,747 | 32,184 | 36,819 |
| Long-term investments | 3,493 | 843 | 828 | 122 |
| Total assets | 28,870 | 36,480 | 35,739 | 42,096 |
| Third-party software fees payable | 7,166 | 9,824 | 10,634 | 11,132 |
| Accounts payable | 498 | 395 | 185 | 261 |
| Other accrued expenses | 1,482 | 940 | 1,234 | 1,467 |
| Accrued compensation | 1,705 | 2,091 | 1,789 | 2,497 |
| Total current liabilities | 14,065 | 17,594 | 15,785 | 16,774 |
| Common stock | 123,740 | 123,886 | 124,050 | 124,716 |
| Accumulated deficit | (108,792) | (104,819) | (103,883) | (99,189) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 14,511 | 18,610 | 19,696 | 25,082 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 28,870 | 36,480 | 35,739 | 42,096 |

| | Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets | | | |
|--|--|----------------|---------------------|--------------------|
| | 2009 | | | |
| | March 31 | June 30 | September 30 | December 31 |
| | (in thousands) | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 9,302 | \$ 6,210 | \$ 10,475 | \$ 12,918 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 10,123 | 14,285 | 12,092 | 9,192 |
| Total current assets | 20,177 | 21,057 | 23,242 | 22,758 |
| Long-term investments | 4,621 | 4,692 | 4,199 | 4,189 |
| Total assets | 28,570 | 29,355 | 30,866 | 30,271 |
| Third-party software fees payable | 3,712 | 4,078 | 5,512 | 5,235 |
| Accounts payable | 634 | 649 | 586 | 299 |
| Other accrued expenses | 1,484 | 1,399 | 1,190 | 1,422 |
| Accrued compensation | 2,056 | 1,795 | 1,592 | 1,837 |
| Total current liabilities | 9,108 | 9,171 | 10,372 | 12,486 |
| Common stock | 122,892 | 123,105 | 123,292 | 123,572 |
| Accumulated deficit | (102,760) | (102,412) | (102,341) | (105,352) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 19,147 | 19,861 | 20,165 | 17,474 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | 28,570 | 29,355 | 30,866 | 30,271 |

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.
None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.
Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In connection with the preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our CEO and CFO, as of December 31, 2010, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act. Based upon this evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act and includes those policies and procedures that: (a) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (b) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (c) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements. All internal controls, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Our management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in *Internal Control Integrated Framework*.

Based on its assessment, our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2010, our internal control over financial reporting was effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during our fourth quarter of 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.*

Certain information required by this Item regarding our directors and executive officers is set forth in Part I of this report under Item 1, Business Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant and is incorporated herein by this reference.

The information required by this Item regarding compliance by our directors, executive officers and holders of ten percent of a registered class of our equity securities with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance and is incorporated herein by this reference.

The remaining information required by this Item is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption Corporate Governance and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation.*

The information required by this Item is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the captions Corporate Governance and Executive Officer Compensation and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.*

The information required by this Item regarding security ownership is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption Security Ownership of Principal Shareholders, Directors and Management and is incorporated herein by this reference.

The information required by this Item regarding equity compensation plan information is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption Equity Compensation Plan Information and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.*

The information required by this Item is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the captions Corporate Governance and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services.*

The information required by this Item with respect to principal accountant fees and services is included in our definitive proxy statement for our 2011 annual meeting of shareholders to be filed with the SEC under the caption The Company's Independent Auditors and is incorporated herein by this reference.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) Financial Statements and Schedules

1. *Financial Statements.*

The following consolidated financial statements are filed as part of this report under Item 8 of Part II, Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.

- A. Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.
- B. Consolidated Statements of Operations for 2010 and 2009.
- C. Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for 2010 and 2009.
- D. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for 2010 and 2009.

2. *Financial Statement Schedules.*

Financial statement schedules not included herein have been omitted because they are either not required, not applicable, or the information is otherwise included herein.

3. *Exhibits.*

Exhibits are incorporated herein by reference or are filed with this report as indicated below (numbered in accordance with Item 601 of Regulation S-K).

(b) Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed with this report or incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BSQUARE CORPORATION

Date: March 17, 2011

By: /s/ **BRIAN T. CROWLEY**
Brian T. Crowley

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 17, 2011

By: /s/ **SCOTT C. MAHAN**
Scott C. Mahan

Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer

POWER OF ATTORNEY

Each person whose individual signature appears below hereby authorizes and appoints Brian T. Crowley and Scott C. Mahan, and each of them, with full power of substitution and resubstitution and full power to act without the other, as his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent to act in his name, place and stead and to execute in the name and on behalf of each person, individually and in each capacity stated below, and to file, any and all amendments to this report, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in fact and agents, and each of them, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing, ratifying and confirming all that said attorneys-in-fact and agents or any of them or their or his substitute or substitutes may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on March 17, 2011, on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated.

| Signature | Title |
|--|---|
| /s/ BRIAN T. CROWLEY Brian T. Crowley | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer) |
| /s/ SCOTT C. MAHAN Scott C. Mahan | Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) |
| /s/ ELLIOTT H. JURGENSEN, JR. Elliott H. Jurgensen, Jr. | Chairman of the Board |
| /s/ DONALD B. BIBEALT Donald B. Bibeault | Director |
| /s/ ELWOOD D. HOWSE, JR. Elwood D. Howse, Jr. | Director |
| /s/ SCOT E. LAND | Director |

Edgar Filing: BSQUARE CORP /WA - Form 10-K

Scot E. Land

/s/ WILLIAM D. SAVOY

Director

William D. Savoy

/s/ KENDRA VANDERMEULEN

Director

Kendra VanderMeulen

Table of Contents**BSQUARE CORPORATION****INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

| Exhibit | | Incorporated by Reference | | | | |
|----------------|---|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Number | Description | Filed Herewith | Form | Filing Date | Exhibit | File No. |
| 3.1 | Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation | | S-1 | 8/17/1999 | 3.1(a) | 333-85351 |
| 3.1(a) | Articles of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation | | 10-Q | 8/7/2000 | 3.1 | 000-27687 |
| 3.1(b) | Articles of Amendment to Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation | | 8-K | 10/11/2005 | 3.1 | 000-27687 |
| 3.2 | Bylaws and all amendments thereto | | 10-K | 3/19/2003 | 3.2 | 000-27687 |
| 10.1* | Third Amended and Restated Stock Plan | | 10-K | 2/19/2008 | 10.1 | 000-27687 |
| 10.1(a)* | Form of Stock Option Agreement | | 10-K | 3/11/2005 | 10.1(e) | 000-27687 |
| 10.1(b)* | Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement | | 10-K | 2/19/2008 | 10.1(f) | 000-27687 |
| 10.1(c)* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Agreement | | 10-K | 2/19/2008 | 10.1(g) | 000-27687 |
| 10.2* | 401(k) Plan | | S-1/A | 10/19/1999 | 10.3 | 333-85351 |
| 10.3* | Form of Indemnification Agreement | | S-1 | 8/17/1999 | 10.4 | 333-85351 |
| 10.4 | Office Lease Agreement between Seattle Office Associates, LLC and BSQUARE Corporation dated March 24, 1997 (for Suite 100) | | S-1/A | 10/19/1999 | 10.10 | 333-85351 |
| 10.5 | Sunset North Corporate Campus Lease Agreement between WRC Sunset North and BSQUARE Corporation | | S-1/A | 10/19/1999 | 10.11 | 333-85351 |
| 10.6 | First Amendment to Office Lease Agreement between WRC Sunset North LLC and BSQUARE | | 10-K | 3/2/2000 | 10.6 | 000-27687 |
| 10.7 | Single-Tenant Commercial Space Lease among One South Park Investors, Paul Enterprises and FKLM as Landlord and BSQUARE as Tenant | | S-1/A | 9/14/2000 | 10.14 | 333-45506 |
| 10.7(a) | Lease cancellation, termination, and release agreement among One South Park Investors, Partnership as Landlord and BSQUARE as Tenant | | 10-Q | 8/14/2003 | 10.14(a) | 000-27687 |
| 10.8 | Single-Tenant Commercial Space Lease (NNN), dated as of August 30, 2000, by and between One South Park Investors, Partnership and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-K | 3/26/2001 | 10.15 | 000-27687 |
| 10.9 | Fourth Amendment to Office Lease Agreement between WRC Sunset North LLC and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-Q | 11/14/2002 | 10.16 | 000-27687 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | | |
|-------------------|--|---------------------------|------|-------------|----------|-----------|
| | | Filed Herewith | Form | Filing Date | Exhibit | File No. |
| 10.9(a) | Fifth Amendment to Office Lease Agreement between WA Sunset North Bellevue LLC and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-K | 3/30/2004 | 10.16(a) | 000-27687 |
| 10.9(b) | Rent Deferral Agreement between WA Sunset North Bellevue, L.L.C and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-K | 3/30/2004 | 10.16(b) | 000-27687 |
| 10.10++ | Microsoft OEM Distribution Agreement for Software Products for Embedded Systems between Microsoft Licensing, GP and BSQUARE Corporation, effective as of July 1, 2010 | | 10-Q | 08/12/2010 | 10.10(b) | 000-27687 |
| 10.11 | Office lease Agreement between WA 110 Atrium Place, LLC and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-K | 3/30/2004 | 10.19 | 000-27687 |
| 10.12* | Employment Agreement between Scott C. Mahan and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-K | 3/30/2004 | 10.20 | 000-27687 |
| 10.13* | Employment Agreement between Carey E. Butler and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-K | 3/30/2004 | 10.21 | 000-27687 |
| 10.14* | Employment Agreement between Brian T. Crowley and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-Q | 5/12/2005 | 10.23 | 000-27687 |
| 10.15* | Employment offer letters, as amended, between Larry Stapleton and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-K | 3/10/2006 | 10.25 | 000-27687 |
| 10.16* | Employment offer letter between Rajesh Khera and BSQUARE Corporation | | 10-K | 2/19/2008 | 10.26 | 000-27687 |
| 10.17++ | Asset Purchase Agreement between TestQuest, Inc. and BSQUARE Corporation dated November 18, 2009 | | 10-Q | 8/6/2009 | 10.18 | 000-27687 |
| 10.18++ | Hardware Design and Systems Integration Services Global Terms and Conditions, and Statements of Work #1 and #2 thereunder, between Ford Motor Company and BSQUARE Corporation, entered into on and effective as of December 30, 2009 (the Ford Agreement) | | 10-K | 03/25/2010 | 10.18 | 000-27687 |
| 10.18(a)++ | Amendment #1 to the Ford Agreement, entered into on and effective as of June 29, 2010 | | 10-Q | 08/12/2010 | 10.18(a) | 000-27687 |
| 10.18(b)++ | Statement of Work #3 under the Ford Agreement, entered into on and effective as of June 29, 2010 | | 10-Q | 08/12/2010 | 10.18(b) | 000-27687 |

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description | Filed Herewith | Incorporated by Reference | | | |
|-------------------|--|-------------------|---------------------------|-------------|---------|----------|
| | | | Form | Filing Date | Exhibit | File No. |
| 10.18(c)+ | Amendment #1 to Statement of Work #3 under the Ford Agreement, entered into on October 21, 2010 and effective as of September 30, 2010 | X | | | | |
| 10.18(d)+ | Amendment #2 to Statement of Work #3 under the Ford Agreement, entered into on October 14, 2010 and effective as of September 30, 2010 | X | | | | |
| 10.18(e)+ | Amendment #3 to Statement of Work #3 under the Ford Agreement, entered into on February 6, 2011 and effective as of January 1, 2011 | X | | | | |
| 10.19+ | Microsoft OEM Windows Mobile Distribution Agreement between Microsoft Licensing, GP and BSQUARE Corporation, effective as of November 1, 2009 (the Distribution Agreement), as amended by Amendment #1 to the Distribution Agreement dated as of September 1, 2010 and by Amendment #2 to the Distribution Agreement dated as of November 1, 2010 | X | | | | |
| 21.1 | Subsidiaries of the registrant | X | | | | |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | X | | | | |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 | X | | | | |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 | X | | | | |
| 32.1 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X | | | | |
| 32.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | X | | | | |

+ Confidential treatment has been requested with respect to the redacted portions of the referenced exhibit.

++ Confidential treatment has previously been granted by the SEC for certain portions of the referenced exhibit.

* Indicates a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

Includes a schedule of three additional agreements, as amended, with Microsoft Licensing, GP that are substantially identical in all material respects to the Distribution Agreement, except as identified in such schedule, and are not being filed herewith per Instruction 2 to Item 601 of Regulation S-K.