ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING INC Form 10-Q August 06, 2010 Table of Contents

(Mark One)

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

X QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number: 000-18805

For the transition period from ______ to ____

ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

94-3086355 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

303 Velocity Way, Foster City, CA 94404

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(650) 357-3500

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). (Check one):

Large accelerated filer " Accelerated filer x Non-accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The number of shares of Common Stock outstanding as of July 30, 2010 was 45,282,767.

Electronics For Imaging, Inc.

INDEX

PART I	Financial Information	Page No.
Item 1.	Financial Statements Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2.	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	20
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	35
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	36
PART II	Other Information	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	36
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	37
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	37
Item 4.	<u>Reserved</u>	37
Item 5.	Other Information	37
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>	38
Signature	S .	39
Exhib	it 3.1	
Exhib	it 3.2	
Exhib	it 12.1	
Exhib	it 31.1	
Exhib	it 31.2	
Exhib	it 32.1	

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1: Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Electronics For Imaging, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

(unaudited)

(in thousands)	June 30, 2010		Decen	nber 31, 2009
Assets	.			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	117,360	\$	106,067
Short-term investments, available for sale		95,879	·	98,134
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of \$11.4 and \$13.1 million, respectively		75,836		80,168
Inventories, net		41,020		48,786
Other current assets		17,319		15,291
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		-, -
Total current assets		347,414		348,446
Property and equipment, net		25,732		28,229
Restricted investments		56,850		56,850
Goodwill		122,270		122,840
Intangible assets, net		48,415		54,449
Deferred tax assets		49,871		47,777
Other assets		1,919		2,590
		1,5 15		2,830
Total assets	\$	652,471	\$	661,181
Total assets	Ψ	032,471	Ψ	001,101
Linkilidian and Charle aldere Famile.				
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity Current liabilities:				
	\$	37,387	¢	35,929
Accounts payable Accrued and other liabilities	Ф	36,593	\$	35,861
Deferred revenue		22,526		23,521
Income taxes payable		443		6,483
		0 < 0.40		101 =01
Total current liabilities		96,949		101,794
Non-current income taxes payable		39,045		36,961
Total liabilities		135,994		138,755
Commitments and contingencies (Note 8)				
Stockholders equity:				
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000 shares authorized; none issued and outstanding				
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 150,000 shares authorized; 73,206 and 72,276 shares issued,				
respectively		732		723
Additional paid-in capital		679,034		668,723
Treasury stock, at cost, 27,924 and 27,788 shares, respectively		(487,238)		(485,618)
Accumulated other comprehensive income		1,617		2,346
Retained earnings		322,332		336,252
Total stockholders equity		516,477		522,426

Total liabilities and stockholders equity

\$ 652,471

\$ 661,181

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Electronics For Imaging, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

(unaudited)

	Three months ended June 30,				Six months 6 June 30					
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2010 2009			2009		2010	2009			
Revenue	\$	119,117	\$	90,110	0 \$ 229,947		\$ 1	186,255		
Cost of revenue (1)		58,154		43,339	1	10,212		86,556		
Gross Profit		60,963		46,771	1	19,735		99,699		
Operating expenses:										
Research and development (1)		24,829		27,799		50,958		57,108		
Sales and marketing (1)		26,144		25,706		51,247		49,893		
General and administrative (1)		8,879		7,855		18,856		16,890		
Restructuring and other (Note 11)		1,010		3,532		3,021		9,960		
Amortization of identified intangibles		2,928		5,482		5,857		12,423		
Total operating expenses		63,790		70,374	1	29,939		146,274		
Loss from operations		(2,827)	(23,603)	((10,204)		(46,575)		
Other income (expense), net:										
Interest and other income (expense), net		(1,813)		2,000		(4,127)		1,523		
Gain on sale of building and land				628				79,991		
Total other income (expense), net		(1,813)		2,628		(4,127)		81,514		
Income (loss) before income taxes		(4,640)	(20,975)	((14,331)		34,939		
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes		2,098		7,666		411		(21,534)		
Net income (loss)	\$	(2,542)	\$(13,309)	\$ ((13,920)	\$	13,405		
Net income (loss) per basic common share	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.27)	\$	(0.31)	\$	0.27		
Net income (loss) per diluted common share	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.27)	\$	(0.31)	\$	0.26		
Shares used in basic per-share calculation		45,173		49,111		44,936		50,284		
Shares used in diluted per-share calculation		,		48,996		48,996		44,936		50,712
(1) Includes stock-based compensation expense as follows:				•						
Cost of rayonya	¢			2009	\$	2010	\$	2009 554		
Cost of revenue	\$	275	\$	303	\$	529	\$	554 2,947		
Research and development Sales and marketing		745 954		1,502	1,632 1,855			2,947		
General and administrative		1,293		1,502		1,907 2,937		2,193		
General and administrative	C.	1,293		1,505		4,931		4,740		

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

4

Electronics For Imaging, Inc.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(unaudited)

	Six months ended June 30,			
(in thousands)	2010	2009		
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net income (loss)	\$ (13,920)	\$ 13,405		
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used for) operating activities:				
Depreciation and amortization	10,329	17,963		
Deferred taxes	(1,937)	(3,924)		
Provision for allowance for bad debts and sales-related allowances	(595)	966		
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(380)			
Gain on sale of building and land		(79,991)		
Provision for inventory obsolescence	3,729	2,237		
Stock-based compensation	7,228	8,440		
Asset impairment	689	2,334		
Other non-cash adjustments	288	985		
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	6,574	22,101		
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	12,005	(15,484)		
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Purchases of short-term investments	(55,585)	(111,652)		
Proceeds from sales and maturities of short-term investments	57,490	27,049		
Purchases, net of proceeds from sales, of property and equipment	(1,395)	(3,463)		
Proceeds from sale of building and land, net of direct transaction costs		135,812		
Contingent consideration related to businesses acquired	(2,397)			
Purchases of other investments		(1,319)		
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(1,887)	46,427		
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	3,090	3,151		
Purchases of treasury stock and net settlement of restricted stock, including transaction costs	(2,236)	(30,284)		
Excess tax benefit from stock-based compensation	380			
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	1,234	(27,133)		
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(59)	(49)		
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	11,293	3,761		
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	106,067	132,152		
Cash and cash equivalents at end of quarter	\$ 117,360	\$ 135,913		

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

5

Electronics For Imaging, Inc.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements (condensed consolidated financial statements) include the accounts of Electronics For Imaging, Inc. and its subsidiaries (EFI or Company). Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

These condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (U.S. GAAP or GAAP) for interim financial information, rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for interim financial statements, and accounting policies, consistent in all material respects, with those applied in preparing our audited annual consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. These condensed consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes should be read in conjunction with our annual consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto for the year ended December 31, 2009, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. In the opinion of management, these condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, including normal recurring adjustments, management considers necessary for a fair presentation of our financial position, operating results, and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The results for the interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire year.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 105-10, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (ASC 105-10). ASC 105-10 establishes the exclusive authoritative reference for U.S. GAAP in financial statements, except for SEC rules and regulations, which are also authoritative U.S. GAAP for SEC registrants. The ASC supersedes all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. We have included the references to the ASC, as appropriate, in these Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued three related staff positions, which modify ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, by providing additional application guidance and enhancing disclosures regarding fair value measurements and impairments of securities.

ASC 820-10-35, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Subsequent Measurement, was amended to provide guidance on determining fair value when there is no active market or when the price inputs being used represent distressed sales and expands required disclosures of major security types.

ASC 825-10-50, Financial Instruments Disclosure, was amended to require disclosure of the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments and ASC 270, Interim Reporting, was amended to require disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments for which it is practicable to estimate fair value, whether or not recognized in our financial statements, for interim as well as annual reporting.

ASC 320, Investments Debt and Equity Securities, was amended to provide operational guidance on determining other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities and expand disclosure requirements. Other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities must be allocated between credit and non-credit components with the non-credit portion recognized as a component of other comprehensive income and the credit portion included in net income (loss). A cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings and accumulated other comprehensive income is required in the period of adoption for other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities recognized in prior periods, which are still held as investments at the date of adoption.

These amendments were adopted beginning in the second quarter of 2009. As a result of ASC 320-10-65-1, Transition Related to FASB Staff Position FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, we recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$0.1 million to retained earnings at the date of adoption.

In January 2010, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-06, Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements, which amends ASC 820 to add two new disclosures: (1) transfers in and out of Level 1 and 2 measurements and the reasons for the transfers, and (2) gross presentation of activity within the Level 3 roll forward. ASU 2010-06 also includes clarifications to existing disclosure requirements on the level of disaggregation and disclosures regarding inputs and valuation techniques. This ASU is effective in the first quarter of 2010, except for the gross presentation of the Level 3 roll forward, which is not effective until the first quarter of 2011. The appropriate disclosures have been included in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

In June 2009, the FASB amended the Variable Interest Entities (VIE) sub-section of ASC 810, Consolidation, effective January 2010. ASC 810 changes how a reporting entity evaluates whether an entity is considered the primary beneficiary of a VIE and is therefore required to consolidate the VIE. ASC 810 requires formal assessment at each reporting period regarding which party within the VIE is considered the primary beneficiary and also requires a number of new disclosures related to VIE s. A qualitative approach is required for identifying the primary beneficiary of a VIE based on the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity and the obligation to absorb losses or right to receive benefits that could be significant to us. The adoption of ASC 810 did not have a material impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

In September 2009, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) consensuses reflected in ASU 2009-13, Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements (ASC 605), and ASU 2009-14, Certain Revenue Arrangements That Include Software Elements (ASC 985). These consensuses shall be applied on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2010 with earlier application permitted. ASU 2009-13 eliminates the residual method of allocation in a multiple deliverable arrangement. When applying the relative selling price method, the selling price for each deliverable shall be determined using vendor-specific objective evidence (VSOE), if it exists. Otherwise, third party evidence of selling price (TPE) shall be used. If neither VSOE nor TPE exists for a deliverable, then the best estimate of the selling price for that deliverable shall be used when applying the relative selling price method. ASU 2009-14 determined that tangible products containing software and non-software components that function together to deliver the product s essential functionality are not required to follow the software revenue recognition guidance in ASC 985, Software Revenue Recognition, as long as the hardware components of the tangible product substantively contribute to its functionality. In addition, hardware components of a tangible product containing software components shall always be excluded from the guidance in ASC 985. We are currently evaluating the impact of these consensuses on our financial condition and results of operations.

2. Stock-based Compensation

We account for stock-based payment awards in accordance with ASC 718, Stock Compensation, which requires stock-based compensation expense to be recognized based on the fair value of such awards on the date of grant. We amortize compensation cost on a graded vesting basis over the vesting period. Stock-based compensation cost is recognized over the requisite service period for each separately vesting tranche of the award as though the award were, in substance, multiple awards.

The following table summarizes stock-based compensation expense related to stock options, employee stock purchases under our employee stock purchase plan, as amended (ESPP), restricted stock units (RSUs), and restricted stock awards under ASC 718 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

Starle based assessment of an arrange by target of arrange	Three months ended June 30,			*		ded		
Stock-based compensation expense by type of award		2010	_	2009		2010	_	2009
Employee stock options	\$	107	\$	505	\$	666	\$	1,166
Non-vested restricted stock awards and restricted stock units		2,632		3,097		5,556		4,730
ESPP		528		1,338		1,006		2,544
Total stock-based compensation		3,267		4,940		7,228		8,440
Tax effect on stock-based compensation		(449)		(802)		(1,166)		(982)
Net effect on net income (loss)	\$	2,818	\$	4,138	\$	6,062	\$	7,458

Valuation Assumptions

We use the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model (BSM) to value stock-based compensation for all equity awards, except market-based awards. Market-based awards are valued using a Monte Carlo valuation model.

Our determination of the fair value of stock-based payment awards on the date of grant using BSM is affected by various assumptions including volatility, expected term, and interest rates. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock over a preceding period commensurate with the expected term of the stock option. The expected term is based upon management s consideration of the historical life of the stock options, the vesting period of the stock options granted, and the contractual period of the stock options granted. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the stock options is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Expected dividend yield was not considered in the option pricing formula since we do not pay dividends and have no current plans to do so in the future.

The estimated per share weighted average fair value of stock options granted and ESPP shares issued and the assumptions used to estimate fair value are shown below for the periods indicated:

		Stock Options						
	Three months	Three months ended June 30, Six month						
BSM assumptions and fair value	2010	2009	2010	2009				
Weighted average fair value per share	\$ 4.59	\$ 3.76	\$ 4.47	\$ 3.54				
Expected volatility	46.3%	44.4%	45.6%	43.5%				
Risk-free interest rate	1.7%	1.7%	1.9%	1.6%				
Expected term (in years)	4.00	4.12	4.00	4.12				

	ESPP							
	Three months ended							
	Jun	e 30,	Six months ended June 3					
BSM assumptions and fair value	2010 2009		2010	2009				
Weighted average fair value per share	\$ 3.46	\$ 3.41	\$ 3.46	\$ 3.41				
Expected volatility	32%-66%	51%-66%	32%-66%	51%-66%				
Risk-free interest rate	0.2%-1.2%	0.4%-0.9%	0.2%-1.2%	0.4%-0.9%				
Expected term (in years)	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0				

A summary of stock options outstanding and exercisable as of June 30, 2010 and activity for the six months ended June 30, 2010 is presented below (in thousands, except for weighted average exercise price and remaining contractual term):

		Six months ended			
	Stock Options	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted average remaining contractual term (years)	Aggregate intrinsic vali	
Options outstanding at January 1, 2010	2,824	\$ 15.90			
Options granted	182	11.95			
Options forfeited and expired	(347)	18.75			
Options granted, net of forfeited and expired Options exercised	(165) (18)	9,59			
	(-0)	,,			
Options outstanding at June 30, 2010	2,641	\$ 15.30	3.73	\$ 13	3
Options vested and expected to vest at June 30, 2010	2,480	\$ 15.55	3.57	\$ 12	2
Options exercisable at June 30, 2010	1,621	\$ 17.28	2.38		2

Aggregate intrinsic value for stock options represents the difference between the closing price per share of our common stock on the last trading day of the fiscal period and the stock option exercise price, multiplied by the number of in-the-money stock options outstanding, vested and expected to vest, and exercisable at June 30, 2010.

A summary of non-vested shares of RSUs and restricted stock awards as of June 30, 2010, and activity for the six months ended June 30, 2010, is presented below (shares in thousands):

Six months ended June 30, 2010

	Restricted Stock Units			Restricted	d Stock	Awards
					W	eighted
		Weighted				age grant
Non-vested shares	Shares	average grant res date fair value Shares		Shares		ate fair value
Non-vested at January 1, 2010	2,707	\$	13.36	112	\$	27.18
Restricted stock granted	550		12.12			
Restricted stock vested	(537)		13.70			
Restricted stock forfeited	(183)		12.77	(11)		26.90
Non-vested at June 30, 2010	2,537	\$	13.08	101	\$	27.21

The grant date fair value of RSUs vested was \$7.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. The aggregate intrinsic value at June 30, 2010 for RSUs expected to vest was \$22.3 million and the remaining weighted average vesting period was 1.1 years. Aggregate intrinsic value for RSUs expected to vest represents the closing price per share of our common stock on the last trading day of the fiscal period, multiplied by the number of RSUs expected to vest at June 30, 2010.

Performance-based Stock Options and RSUs

RSUs granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 include 384,875 performance-based RSUs, which vest when specified performance criteria are met based on 2010 revenue targets and non-GAAP operating income targets; otherwise, they are deemed forfeited. Non-GAAP operating income is defined as operating income determined in accordance with GAAP, as adjusted to remove the impact of certain recurring and non-recurring expenses, and the tax effects of these adjustments. The grant date fair value is estimated to be \$4.7 million, which is being amortized over their service periods of 1.0 year. Achievement of these performance criteria is deemed to be probable. Accordingly, stock-based compensation expense has been recognized. At June 30, 2010, 360,762 performance-based RSUs remain outstanding.

RSUs and stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2009 include 98,000 market-based RSUs and 294,076 market-based stock options. These awards vest when our average closing stock price exceeds defined multiples of the June 18, 2009 or August 28, 2009 closing stock prices for 20 consecutive trading days. If these multiples are not achieved by June 18, 2016 or August 28, 2016, the awards are deemed forfeited. The grant date fair value is estimated to be \$0.9 million for the RSUs and \$1.7 million for the stock options, which are being amortized over their average derived service periods of 4.35 and 4.88 years, respectively. The average derived service period and total fair value was determined using the Monte Carlo valuation model based on our assumptions, which included a risk-free interest rate of 3.5% and 3.1%, respectively, and an implied volatility of 50%. At June 30, 2010, 88,000 market-based RSUs and 238,388 market-based stock options remain outstanding.

Stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2009 include 32,674 performance-based stock options. These performance-based stock options vest when our annual non-GAAP return on equity exceeds defined multiples of the 2008 non-GAAP return on equity. Non-GAAP return on equity is defined as non-GAAP net income divided by stockholders—equity. Non-GAAP net income is defined as net income determined in accordance with GAAP adjusted to remove the impact of certain recurring and non-recurring expenses, and the tax effects of these adjustments. If these multiples are not achieved by August 28, 2016, the stock options are deemed forfeited. The grant date fair value is estimated to be \$0.1 million, which is being amortized over their average derived service periods of 3.71 years, respectively. The performance-based stock options were valued using the BSM valuation model. At June 30, 2010, 26,487 performance-based stock options remain outstanding.

Fair Value Stock Option Exchange

We commenced a fair value stock option exchange on August 31, 2009 to allow employees, other than our named executive officers and members of our Board of Directors, the opportunity to exchange all or a portion of their eligible outstanding stock options for a smaller number of RSUs based on exchange ratios intended to result in the fair value of the newly issued RSUs being equal to the fair value of the stock options that were surrendered or for cash, in certain circumstances. Stock options that were underwater (i.e., those stock options with a per share exercise price that was greater than the per share closing price of our common stock as quoted on the NASDAQ Global Select Market as of the trading day immediately preceding August 31, the date the stock option exchange commenced, or \$10.77 per share), excluding stock options granted six months prior to the commencement of the exchange or stock options expiring within six months after the completion of the exchange, were eligible for exchange.

The offering period closed on September 28, 2009. A total of 1,000 eligible employees participated in the stock option exchange. We accepted for exchange stock options to purchase an aggregate of 2.8 million shares of our common stock, representing 82% of the total stock options eligible at the commencement of the exchange. All surrendered stock options were cancelled and (i) we granted a total of 0.3 million new RSUs under the 2009 Plan, and (ii) we made cash payments in the aggregate amount of \$0.2 million (less applicable withholdings and without interest). The resulting incremental compensation expense was not material to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

3. Comprehensive Income

Other comprehensive income (loss), which includes net income (loss), market valuation adjustments on available-for-sale investments, net of tax, currency translation adjustments, and net deferral of gains (losses) on derivative instruments, consists of the following (in thousands):

		nths ended e 30,	Six month June	
	2010 2009		2010	2009
Net income (loss)	\$ (2,542)	\$ (13,309)	\$ (13,920)	\$ 13,405
Change in market valuation of investments, net of tax	29	540	(77)	825

Change in currency translation adjustments	(494)	636	(639)	(89)
Other	3		(12)	
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (3,004)	\$ (12,133)	\$ (14,648)	\$ 14,141

The activity in accumulated other comprehensive income and related tax effects are as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,			, Six months ended Ju			ıne 30,	
	2	2010	2009		009 2010		2	2009
Net unrealized investment gains (losses):								
Unrealized gains, net of tax provision of \$0 and \$(0.1) million for the three and six								
months ended June 30, 2010 and (\$0.4) and (\$0.6) million for the three and six								
months ended June 30, 2009	\$	58	\$	539	\$	171	\$	847
Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses) included in net income, net of tax								
benefit of \$0 and \$0.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and								
no tax benefit for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009		(29)		1		(248)		(22)
Net unrealized investment gains (losses)		29		540		(77)		825
Currency translation adjustments		(494)		636		(639)		(89)
Other		3				(12)		
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	(462)	\$	1,176	\$	(728)	\$	736

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income was (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	Decem	ber 31, 2009
Net unrealized investment gains	\$ 257	\$	334
Currency translation gains	1,361		2,001
Other	(1)		11
Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 1,617	\$	2,346

4. Investments and Fair Value Measurements

Fixed income debt securities are classified as available-for-sale and carried at fair value, which is determined based on quoted market prices. Net unrealized gains and losses are included in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, except for the credit portion of any other-than-temporary impairment, which is included in net income (loss).

We review investments in debt securities for other-than-temporary impairment whenever the fair value is less than the amortized cost and evidence indicates the investment s carrying amount is not recoverable within a reasonable period of time. To determine whether an impairment is other-than-temporary, we consider whether we have the intent to sell the impaired security or if it will be more likely than not that we will be required to sell the impaired security before a market price recovery and whether evidence indicating the cost of the investment is recoverable outweighs evidence to the contrary. We have determined that gross unrealized losses on short-term investments at June 30, 2010 are temporary in nature because each investment meets our investment policy and credit quality requirements. We have the ability and intent to hold these investments until they recover their unrealized losses, which may not occur until maturity. Evidence that we will recover our investments outweighs evidence to the contrary.

The following tables summarize our available-for-sale securities (in thousands):

		Gross	Gross	
	Amortized cost	unrealized gains	unrealized losses	Fair value
June 30, 2010	Amortized Cost	gams	1035C3	ran value

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING INC - Form 10-Q

U.S. Government securities and sponsored entities	\$ 24,104	\$ 98	\$	\$ 24,202
Corporate debt securities	60,196	258	(63)	60,391
Mortgage-backed securities residential	11,151	143	(8)	11,286
Total short-term investments	\$ 95,451	\$ 499	\$ (71)	\$ 95,879
December 31, 2009				
U.S. Government securities and sponsored entities	\$ 17,717	\$ 8	\$ (19)	\$ 17,706
Foreign government securities	3,400			3,400
Corporate debt securities	61,466	444	(28)	61,882
Mortgage-backed securities residential	14,030	184	(30)	14,184
Money market funds (1)	962			962
Total short-term investments	\$ 97,575	\$ 636	\$ (77)	\$ 98,134

Money market funds of \$1.0 million at December 31, 2009, net of reserves, represented funds in The Reserve Primary Fund (Fund) reclassified from cash and cash equivalents as the Fund had adopted a plan of liquidation. As a result, the Fund is shares were not tradable at December 31, 2009. Our interest in the Fund was \$14.8 million prior to their adoption of the liquidation plan. As of June 30, 2010, we have received \$14.6 million in liquidation of our interest in the Fund, net of reserves, which has been invested in alternative money market funds, all of which are highly liquid and currently tradable at \$1.00 Net Asset Value. Consequently, we have no remaining exposure relative to the Fund.

All fixed income securities with gross unrealized losses as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 mature within 12 months. The fair value of fixed income investments maturing within 12 months with gross unrealized losses is as follows (in thousands):

	Jur	ne 30, 2010		December 31, 2009				
	Fair Value	Unrealize	ed Losses	Fair Value	Unrealiz	zed Losses		
U.S. Government securities and sponsored entities	\$	\$		\$ 6,840	\$	(19)		
Corporate debt securities	15,255		(63)	5,323		(28)		
Mortgage-backed securities residential	1,867		(8)	3,070		(30)		
Total	\$ 17,122	\$	(71)	\$ 15,233	\$	(77)		

For fixed income securities that have unrealized losses as of June 30, 2010, we have determined that we do not have the intent to sell any of these investments and it is not more likely than not that we will be required to sell any of these investments before recovery of the entire amortized cost. In addition, we have evaluated these fixed income securities and determined that no credit losses exist. Accordingly, management has determined that the unrealized losses on our fixed income securities as of June 30, 2010 were temporary in nature.

Amortized cost and estimated fair value of investments at June 30, 2010 is summarized by maturity date as follows (in thousands):

	Amortized cost	Fair value
Mature in less than one year	\$ 75,893	\$ 76,197
Mature in one to three years	19,558	19,682
Total short-term investments	\$ 95,451	\$ 95,879

For the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, net realized gains of \$0 and \$0.1 million, respectively, were recognized in net realized gains on the sale of investments. For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, net realized gains of \$0.3 and \$0.1 million, respectively, were recognized in net realized gains on the sale of investments. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, net unrealized gains of \$0.4 and \$0.6 million, respectively, were included in accumulated other comprehensive income in the accompanying Interim Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820 identifies fair value as the exchange price, or exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy as follows:

Level 1: Inputs that are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date;

Level 2: Inputs that are other than quoted prices included within Level 1, that are either directly or indirectly observable for the asset or liability through correlation with market data at the measurement date for the duration of the instrument s anticipated life or by comparison to similar instruments; and

Level 3: Inputs that are unobservable or inputs that reflect management s best estimate of what market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date. These include management s own judgments about market participant assumptions developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

11

We utilize the market approach to measure fair value of our fixed income securities. The market approach is a valuation technique that uses prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable assets or liabilities. The fair value of our fixed income securities are obtained using readily-available market prices from a variety of industry standard data providers, large financial institutions, and other third-party sources for the identical underlying securities. The fair value of our investments in certain money market funds is expected to maintain a Net Asset Value of \$1 per share and, as such, is priced at the expected market price.

At June 30, 2010, our investments have been presented in accordance with the ASC 820 fair value hierarchy as follows (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting D Quoted Prices						ate using							
			in Active Markets		in Active		in Active		in Active		•	gnificant other		
		Total	Ob	servable			Unol	bservable						
		air Value asurements				Inputs Level 2)		nputs evel 3)						
June 30, 2010														
U.S. Government securities and sponsored entities	\$	24,202	\$	8,114	\$	16,088	\$							
Corporate debt securities		62,033				61,970		63						
Mortgage-backed securities residential		11,286				11,286								
Money market funds		66,510		66,510										
	\$	164,031	\$	74,624	\$	89,344	\$	63						
December 31, 2009														
U.S. Government securities and sponsored entities	\$	17,706	\$	3,700	\$	14,006	\$							
Foreign government securities		3,400				3,400								
Corporate debt securities		61,882				61,800		82						
Mortgage-backed securities residential		14,184				14,184								
Money market funds		68,446		67,484				962						
	\$	165,618	\$	71,184	\$	93,390	\$	1,044						

Included in money market funds is \$66.5 and \$67.5 million and included in corporate debt securities is \$1.6 million and \$0 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, which have been classified as cash equivalents.

Investments are generally classified within Level 1 or Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices or alternative pricing sources with reasonable levels of price transparency. Investments in overnight money market mutual funds have been classified as Level 1 because these securities are valued based upon quoted prices in active markets or because the investments are actively traded at \$1.00 Net Asset Value. There have been no transfers between Level 1 and 2 during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Government agency investments and corporate debt instruments, including investments in asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities, have generally been classified as Level 2 because markets for these securities are less active or valuations for such securities utilize significant inputs, which are directly or indirectly observable.

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, one corporate debt instrument has been classified as Level 3 due to its significantly low level of trading activity. The portion of money market funds, which had been classified as Level 3 at December 31, 2009, consisted of funds placed in the Fund of \$1.0 million, which were repaid after year-end.

12

The following table presents a reconciliation of assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Level 3						
	2	2010		2009			
	Corporate Debt Securities	Money Marke Funds	t Corporate Debt Securities		ey Market Funds		
Balance at December 31,	\$ 82	\$ 962	\$ 199	\$	2,900		
Reclassificaton from Level 2 to Level 3							
Included in interest and other income (expense), net	(3)	33	(73)				
Included in other comprehensive income	(2)		(5)				
Purchases, sales, and maturities	(14)	(995)	(11)		(1,649)		
Balance at June 30,	\$ 63	\$	\$ 110	\$	1,251		
Impairment charges for the six months ended June 30 in other income (expense), net attributable to assets still held as of June 30	\$	\$	\$	\$			

Investments that we currently own could suffer declines in fair value and become other-than-temporarily impaired. We continuously assess the fair value of individual securities as part of our ongoing portfolio management. Our other-than-temporary assessment includes reviewing the length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than amortized cost, the seniority and durations of the securities, adverse conditions related to a security, industry, or sector, historical and projected issuer financial performance, credit ratings, issuer specific news, and other available relevant information. Based on this analysis, we identified one security that was other-than-temporarily impaired at December 31, 2008. As a result of our adoption of ASC 320-10-65-1 effective in the second quarter of 2009, we recorded a cumulative effect adjustment of \$0.1 million, to reduce the cost of the previously identified security and retained earnings. As of December 31, 2009, two Level 2 securities were identified as being other-than-temporarily impaired, resulting in an impairment loss of \$0.2 million, which was recognized in other income (expense), net. There were no further other-than-temporary impairments, including credit-related impairments, during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

In determining whether a credit loss existed, we used our best estimate of the present value of cash flows expected to be collected from each debt security. For asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities, cash flow estimates including prepayment assumptions were based on data from widely accepted third party data sources or internal estimates. In addition to prepayment assumptions, cash flow estimates vary based on assumptions regarding the underlying collateral including default rates, recoveries, and changes in value. Expected cash flows were discounted using the effective interest rate implicit in the securities.

The following table presents the change in accumulated other-than-temporary credit-related impairments charged to retained earnings and other income (expense), net (in thousands):

	Impairments Charged to Retained Earnings	Recog C In	nirments gnized in Other come ense), Net	T(OTAL
Accumulated impairments as of January 1, 2009, net attributable to assets still held at					
June 30, 2010	\$	\$	640	\$	640
Impairments recognized in other income (expense), net			217		217
Cumulative effect adjustment upon adoption of ASC 320-10-65-1 as of April 1, 2009	58				58
Accumulated impairments as of December 31, 2009, net attributable to assets still held at June 30, 2010	\$ 58	\$	857	\$	915
Impairments recognized in other income (expense), net					

Accumulated impairments at June 30, 2010

\$ 58 \$ 857 \$ 915

No other-than-temporary impairments have been recognized related to factors that are not credit-related.

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted the provisions of ASC 820 regarding nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the condensed consolidated financial statements on a nonrecurring basis. The adoption did not materially impact our financial position or results of operations.

We utilize the income approach to measure fair value of our derivative assets and liabilities. The income approach uses pricing models that rely on market observable inputs such as yield curves, currency exchange rates, and forward prices, and are therefore classified as Level 2 measurements. The fair value of our derivative assets and liabilities having notional amounts of \$2.0 and \$1.4 million at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, was not material.

13

5. Earnings Per Share

Net income (loss) per basic common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, excluding non-vested restricted stock. Net income (loss) per diluted common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period. Potential common shares result from the assumed exercise of outstanding common stock options having a dilutive effect using the treasury stock method, non-vested shares of restricted stock using the treasury stock method, shares to be purchased under the ESPP having a dilutive effect, and estimated contingent shares of common stock having a dilutive effect with respect to our 2009 accelerated stock repurchase (ASR) using the reverse treasury stock method. Any potential shares that are anti-dilutive as defined in ASC 260, Earnings per Share, are excluded from the effect of dilutive securities.

Under the ASR agreement, we could receive shares equal to the difference between the minimum number of shares delivered on March 26, 2009 and the number of shares reflecting the Volume Weighted Average Price, as defined in the ASR agreement. Our diluted earnings per share reflect an estimated contingent 115 thousand shares for the three months ended June 30, 2009, but the potential impact of the ASR was anti-dilutive for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The ASR was subsequently settled during the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

The following table presents a reconciliation of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

	Three months ended June 30, 2010 2009			, Six months ende 2010			June 30, 2009	
Basic net income (loss) per share:								
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$	(2,542)	\$	(13,309)	\$	(13,920)	\$	13,405
Weighted average common shares outstanding		45,173		49,111		44,936		50,284
Basic net income (loss) per share	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.27)	\$	(0.31)	\$	0.27
Dilutive net income (loss) per share:								
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders	\$	(2,542)	\$	(13,309)	\$	(13,920)	\$	13,405
Weighted average common shares outstanding		45,173		49,111		44,936		50,284
Dilutive stock options, restricted stock, and estimated contingent ASR shares				(115)				428
Weighted average common shares outstanding for purposes of computing diluted net		45 172		49.007		44.026		50.710
income (loss) per share		45,173		48,996		44,936		50,712
Dilutive net income (loss) per share	\$	(0.06)	\$	(0.27)	\$	(0.31)	\$	0.26

The following table sets forth potential shares of common stock that are not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because to do so would be anti-dilutive for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Three months en	ded June 30,	Six months end	ded June 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Weighted stock options, restricted stock, and ESPP shares	4,884	5,943	5,114	6,115
Estimated contingent ASR shares				(61)
Total potential shares of common stock excluded from the computation of diluted				
earnings per share	4,884	5,943	5,114	6,054

ASC 260-10-45 to 65, Earnings per Share, requires use of the two-class method to calculate earnings per share when non-vested restricted stock awards are eligible to receive dividends (i.e., participating securities), even if we do not intend to declare dividends. The two-class method is not applicable to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and the three months ended June 30, 2009 because net losses were incurred.

Non-vested restricted stockholders do not participate in net losses. Although our non-vested restricted stock awards are eligible to receive dividends, they are not material as compared with total weighted average diluted shares outstanding. Consequently, the impact on our earnings per share presentation in applying the two-class method for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is not material.

14

6. Balance Sheet Details

Inventories

Inventories, net of allowances, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010	Dec	ember 31, 2009
Raw materials	\$ 17,979	\$	26,238
Work in process	4,184		3,070
Finished goods	18,857		19,478
	\$ 41,020	\$	48,786

Product warranty reserves

Product warranty reserve activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	2010	2009
Balance at January 1,	\$ 6,838	\$ 6,791
Additions	5,095	3,486
Settlements	(4,068)	(3,765)
Balance at June 30,	\$ 7,865	\$ 6,512

7. Income taxes

For the second quarter of 2010, we recorded a tax benefit of \$2.1 million compared to \$7.7 million for the same period in 2009. The tax benefit for the second quarter of 2010 included credits of \$0.4 million related to a charge for excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases, \$0.3 million related to restructuring and severance costs, \$0.1 million related to asset impairment charges, and \$0.1 million related to tax deductions resulting from ESPP dispositions. The tax benefit for the second quarter of 2010 also included a charge of \$0.2 million related to potential interest related to future tax assessments. The tax benefit for the second quarter of 2009 included credits of \$1.3 million related to restructuring and severance costs and \$0.1 million related to asset impairment charges. The second quarter 2009 tax benefit also included charges of \$0.3 million related to the gain on sale of building and land and \$0.3 million related to tax shortfalls recorded pursuant to ASC 718-740, Stock Compensation Income Taxes. Without the discrete charges and benefits described above, the decrease in our second quarter s tax benefit in 2010 compared to 2009, is due primarily to the expiration of the federal research and development credit and a decrease in the loss before income taxes.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded a tax benefit of \$0.4 million compared to a tax provision of \$21.5 million for the same period in 2009. For the first two quarters of 2010, the tax benefit included charges of \$2.7 million related to tax shortfalls recorded pursuant to ASC 718-740 and \$0.3 million related to potential interest related to future tax assessments. The tax benefit for the first two quarters of 2010 also included credits of \$0.9 million related to restructuring and severance costs, \$0.4 million related to a charge for excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases, \$0.3 million related to asset impairment charges, and \$0.3 million related to tax deductions resulting from ESPP dispositions. For the first two quarters of 2009, the tax provision included charges of \$32.3 million related to the gain on sale of building and land, \$3.2 million tax shortfalls recorded pursuant to ASC 718-740, and \$0.2 million related to potential interest related to future tax assessments. The tax provision for the first two quarters of 2009 also included credits of \$2.7 million related to restructuring and severance costs, \$1.3 million related to asset impairment charges, and \$0.3 million related to our reassessment of interest payments related to the 2002-2004 IRS audit. The decrease in our tax benefit in 2010 compared to 2009, without the discrete charges and benefits described above, is due primarily to the expiration of the federal research and development credit and a decrease in the loss before income taxes.

Primary differences in 2010 and 2009 between our recorded tax benefit (provision) rate and the US statutory rate of 35% for both periods include tax benefits associated with credits for research and development costs for 2009 and the tax effects of charges related to stock-based compensation recorded pursuant to ASC 718-740, which are non-deductible for tax purposes.

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the total amount of unrecognized benefits was \$39.0 million and \$37.0 million, respectively, which would affect the effective tax rate, if recognized. Over the next twelve months, our existing tax positions will continue to generate an increase in liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits.

We recognize potential accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in benefit (provision) for income taxes. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 we have accrued \$3.3 million and \$2.7 million, respectively, for potential payments of interest and penalties.

15

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we were subject to examination by both the U.S. federal and state tax jurisdictions for the 2005-2009 tax years and the Netherlands for the 2008-2009 tax years.

8. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

We may be involved, from time to time, in a variety of claims, lawsuits, investigations, and proceedings relating to contractual disputes, securities law, intellectual property, employment matters, and other claims or litigation matters relating to various claims that arise in the normal course of our business. We determine whether an estimated loss from a contingency should be accrued by assessing whether a loss is deemed probable and can be reasonably estimated. We assess our potential liability by analyzing our specific litigation and regulatory matters using available information. We develop our views on estimated losses in consultation with inside and outside counsel, which involves a subjective analysis of potential results and outcomes, assuming various combinations of appropriate litigation and settlement strategies. Because of the uncertainties related to both the amount and ranges of possible loss from pending litigation matters, we are unable to predict with certainty the precise liability that could finally result from a range of possible unfavorable outcomes. Taking all of the above factors into account, we reserve an amount that we could reasonably expect to pay for the cases discussed. However, our estimates may be incorrect and we could pay more or less than our current accrual. Litigation can be costly, diverting management s attention, and could, upon resolution, have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

As of June 30, 2010, we were subject to various claims, lawsuits, investigations, or proceedings that arose in the ordinary course of business.

Durst Fototechnik Technology GmbH (Durst) v. Electronics for Imaging, GmbH (EFI GmbH) et al.:

On February 23, 2007, Durst brought a patent infringement action against EFI GmbH in the Mannheim District Court in Germany. On May 10, 2007, EFI GmbH filed its Statement of Defenses. These defenses include lack of jurisdiction, non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability based on Durst s improper actions before the German patent office. EFI filed its Statement of Defense on August 29, 2007. EFI s defenses include those for EFI GmbH, as well as an additional defense for prior use based on EFI s own European patent rights. The Mannheim court conducted a trial on November 30, 2007. At the conclusion of the trial, the court ordered the parties to provide further briefing regarding issues raised by EFI regarding the validity of Durst s patent.

By a decision dated November 27, 2009, the Manheim court entirely dismissed Durst s infringement actions against EFI. Durst has appealed the decision and the appeal is currently pending at the Court of Appeal of Karlsruhe in Germany.

9. Segment Information and Geographic Data

ASC 280, Segment Reporting, requires segment information to be presented based on internal reporting used by the chief operating decision maker to allocate resources and evaluate segment performance. Our enterprise management processes became further refined in 2009 to use financial information that is closely aligned with our three product categories at the gross profit level. Relevant discrete financial information is prepared at the gross profit level for each of our three operating segments for use by the chief operating decision making group to allocate resources and assess the performance of each segment.

Our operating segments are:

Fiery, which consists of our color digital print controller line of products that is primarily sold to original equipment manufacturers (OEMs) for sale to end-users, is comprised of (i) stand-alone print controllers connected to digital copiers and other peripheral devices, (ii) embedded and design-licensed solutions used in digital copiers and multi-functional devices, and (iii) optional software integrated into our controller solutions.

Inkjet, which consists of (i) our VUTEk super-wide format digital inkjet printers and inks that are used by billboard graphics printers, commercial photo labs, large sign shops, graphic screen printers, and digital graphics providers to print billboards, building wraps, banners, art exhibits, point of purchase signage, and other large displays, (ii) our early stage Jetrion industrial inkjet digital printing systems, custom high-performance integration solutions and specialty inks for the converting, packaging, and direct mail industries, and (iii) our early stage Rastek hybrid and flatbed UV wide format graphics printers for the mid-range market sector.

Advanced Professional Print Software (APPS), which consists of print software solutions that enhance printing workflow and make printing operations more powerful, productive, and easier to manage from one centralized user interface. The APPS operating

segment includes our web-based order entry, order management, print management information system (PMIS), and proofing software. Our enterprise resource planning and collaborative supply chain software print management solutions are designed to enable printers and print buyers to improve productivity and customer service while reducing costs.

Our chief operating decision making group evaluates the performance of its operating segments based on net sales and gross profit. Gross profit for each segment includes revenues from sales to third parties and related cost of revenue attributable to the segment. Cost of revenue for each segment excludes certain expenses managed outside the operating segments consisting primarily of stock-based compensation expense. Operating income is not reported by operating segment because operating expenses include significant shared expenses and other costs that are managed outside of the operating segments. Such operating expenses include various corporate expenses such as stock-based compensation expense, corporate sales and marketing expenses, income taxes, various nonrecurring charges, and other separately managed general and administrative expenses.

Summary gross profit information, excluding stock-based compensation expense, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (in thousands):

	Three Mont		Six Months June 3	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Fiery				
Revenue	\$ 54,473	\$ 40,210	\$ 107,460	\$ 89,315
Gross profit	36,368	26,704	71,419	60,358
Gross profit percentages	66.8%	66.4%	66.5%	67.6%
Inkjet				
Revenue	\$ 50,190	\$ 36,461	\$ 94,028	\$ 68,561
Gross profit	14,561	10,948	28,760	20,295
Gross profit percentages	29.0%	30.0%	30.6%	29.6%
APPS				
Revenue	\$ 14,454	\$ 13,439	\$ 28,459	\$ 28,379
Gross profit	10,309	9,422	20,085	19,600
Gross profit percentages	71.3%	70.1%	70.6%	69.1%

A reconciliation of our segment gross profit to the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is as follows (in thousands):

Segment gross profit	\$ 61,238	\$ 47,074	\$ 120,264	\$ 100,253
Stock-based compensation expense	(275)	(303)	(529)	(554)
Gross profit	\$ 60,963	\$ 46,771	\$ 119,735	\$ 99,699

Tangible and intangible assets, net of liabilities, are summarized by operating segment as follows (in thousands):

June 30, 2010	Fiery	Inkjet	APPS
Goodwill	\$ 53,249	\$ 36,508	\$ 32,513
Identified intangible assets, net		38,816	9,600
Tangible assets, net of liabilities	40,808	70,933	3,450
Net tangible and intangible assets	\$ 94,057	\$ 146,257	\$ 45,563
December 31, 2009			
Goodwill	\$ 53,249	\$ 36,508	\$ 33,083

Identified intangible assets, net		43,442	11,007
Tangible assets, net of liabilities	43,333	82,331	1,865
Net tangible and intangible assets	\$ 96,582	\$ 162,281	\$ 45,955

Segment assets exclude corporate assets, such as cash, short-term and long-term investments, and taxes payable.

Information about Geographic Areas

Our revenues originate in the U.S., Netherlands, Germany, and Japan. We report revenues by geographic area based on ship-to destinations. Shipments to some of our OEM customers are made to centralized purchasing and manufacturing locations, which in turn sell through to other locations. As a result of these factors, we believe that sales to certain geographic locations might be higher or lower, as the ultimate destinations are difficult to ascertain.

17

The following is a breakdown of revenues by sales origin for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,			Six months ended June 30,			
		2010		2009	2010		2009
Americas	\$	69,718	\$	52,702	\$ 132,340	\$	109,165
Europe, Middle East and Africa		36,581		27,257	67,989		55,224
Japan		8,854		6,326	21,948		16,018
Other International Locations		3,964		3,825	7,670		5,848
Total Revenue	\$	119,117	\$	90,110	\$ 229,947	\$	186,255

10. Derivatives and Hedging

We are exposed to market risk and foreign currency exchange risk from changes in foreign currency exchange rates, which could affect operating results, financial position, and cash flows. We manage our exposure to these risks through our regular operating and financing activities and, when appropriate, through the use of derivative financial instruments. These derivative financial instruments are used to hedge economic exposures as well as to reduce earnings and cash flow volatility resulting from shifts in market rates. Our objective is to offset gains and losses resulting from these exposures with losses and gains on the derivative contracts used to hedge them, thereby reducing volatility of earnings or protecting fair values of assets and liabilities. We do not have any leveraged derivatives, nor do we use derivative contracts for speculative purposes. ASC 815, Derivatives and Hedging, requires the fair value of all derivative instruments, including those embedded in other contracts, to be recorded as assets or liabilities in our consolidated balance sheet. As permitted, foreign exchange contracts with a notional amount of \$2.0 million and a net asset/liability fair value that is immaterial have been designated for hedge accounting treatment. The related cash flow impacts of our derivative contracts are reflected as cash flows from operating activities.

Our primary exposures are related to non-U.S. dollar-denominated sales in Europe and Japan and operating expenses in Europe, India, and Japan. During the fourth quarter of 2009, we began hedging our operating expense exposure in Indian rupees. As of June 30, 2010, we had not entered into hedges against any other currency exposures, but as these exposures grow we may consider hedging against currency movements in other currencies, as well as adjusting the hedged portion of our Indian rupee exposure.

By their nature, derivative instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of market and credit risk. The market risk associated with these instruments resulting from currency exchange movement is expected to offset the market risk of the underlying transactions, assets, and liabilities being hedged (e.g., operating expense exposure in Indian rupees). We do not believe there is a significant risk of loss from non-performance by the counterparties associated with these instruments because these transactions are executed with a diversified group of major financial institutions. Further, by policy we deal with counterparties having a minimum investment grade or better credit rating. Credit risk is managed through the continuous monitoring of exposures to such counterparties.

Our foreign currency derivative contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges of our Indian rupee operating expense exposure. The changes in fair value of these contracts are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and re-classified to operating expenses in the periods of payment of the hedged operating expenses. The amount of ineffectiveness that was recorded in the consolidated statement of operations for these designated cash flow hedges was immaterial. All components of each derivative s gain or loss were included in the assessment of hedge effectiveness. As of June 30, 2010, the net asset/liability fair value of these contracts was immaterial.

11. Restructuring and Other

We recorded restructuring and other charges of \$1.0 and \$3.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and \$3.5 and \$10.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, primarily consisting of restructuring, severance, asset impairment, and charges to downsize our facilities. Restructuring and severance charges of \$0 and \$1.6 million related to head count reductions of zero and 64 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and \$2.7 million and \$6.3 million related to head count reductions of 92 and 189 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. Severance costs include severance payments, related employee benefits, retention bonuses, and outplacement or relocation costs. Asset impairment of \$0.3 and \$0.7 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and \$0.3 and \$3.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, primarily related to equipment made redundant as a result of abandoned projects in the Inkjet operating segment.

18

Restructuring and other charges includes charges to downsize one facility during the second quarter of 2010, two facilities during the second quarter of 2009, and initial costs incurred to integrate our Radius acquisition (see Note 14 of our Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements). Reserves for facility-related restructuring costs increased by \$0.6 million during the current quarter primarily due to a decrease in estimated sublease income necessitated by continuing weakness in the commercial real estate market where these facilities are located.

In recent quarters, we announced restructuring plans to better align our costs with revenue levels due to the current economic environment. These reductions are one of many cost reduction actions undertaken to lower our quarterly operating expense run rate. The restructuring plans are accounted for in accordance with ASC 420, Exit or Disposal Cost Obligations, and ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which became effective with respect to restructuring-related liabilities initially in the first quarter of 2009.

Restructuring and other reserve activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	Six months en	iaea June 30,
	2010	2009
Reserve balance at January 1,	\$ 2,796	\$ 3,847
Restructuring charges	1,410	5,927
Other charges	1,611	4,033
Non-cash asset impairment	(685)	(2,334)
Cash payments	(2,868)	(7,016)
Reserve balance at June 30,	\$ 2,264	\$ 4,457

12. Common Stock Repurchase Programs

In February 2009, our Board of Directors authorized a repurchase of \$100 million of our outstanding common stock, including a \$30 million ASR, by utilizing a portion of the proceeds from the January 2009 sale of building and land. The purpose of the ASR was to combine the immediate share retirement benefits of a tender offer with the market impact and pricing benefits of a disciplined daily open market stock repurchase program.

On February 18, 2009, we entered into an agreement with UBS AG, London branch, (UBS) to repurchase \$30 million of our outstanding common stock under the ASR program. In March 2009, 2.8 million shares were delivered by UBS representing the minimum number of shares to be delivered under the ASR agreement. Upon the discretion of UBS, the ASR concluded in August 2009 with the delivery of 87 thousand shares. We are not obligated to issue or transfer any shares to UBS or make any payment to UBS beyond the \$30 million payment made in the first quarter of 2009. Transaction costs of \$0.1 million were incurred under the ASR.

In October 2009, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of \$70 million of our common stock through the use of a modified Dutch auction tender offer by utilizing the balance of the previously authorized \$100 million share repurchase program. The tender offer closed on December 24, 2009 resulting in the repurchase of 5.5 million shares at a cost of \$70.6 million, which included \$0.6 million of direct transaction costs. All shares received from the ASR and the tender offer were recorded as additional treasury stock accounted for under the cost method thereby reducing shares outstanding. Our buyback program is limited by SEC regulations and by compliance with our insider trading policy.

Our employees have the option to surrender shares of common stock to satisfy their tax withholding obligations that arise on the vesting of RSUs. Employees surrendered 8 and 136 thousand shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$0.1 and \$1.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, and 3 and 27 thousand shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$0.1 and \$0.2 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. These repurchased shares are recorded as treasury stock and are accounted for under the cost method. None of the shares of common stock that we have repurchased have been cancelled.

13. Sale of Building and Land

On January 29, 2009, we sold a portion of the Foster City, California campus for \$137.5 million to Gilead Sciences, Inc. (Gilead). The property sold included an approximately 163,000 square foot building at 301 Velocity Way as well as approximately 30 acres of land. At March 31, 2009, gain was not recognized with respect to \$0.9 million representing the portion of the sales proceeds that remained in escrow pending the outcome of certain requirements mutually agreed upon at closing. Conditions precedent to the receipt of a portion of the funds held in escrow were

resolved during the second quarter of 2009, resulting in gain recognition of \$0.7 million.

19

Direct transaction costs consist primarily of broker commissions, documentary transfer and title costs, legal fees, and other expenses. The cost of the land, building, and improvements accounted for as assets held for sale at December 31, 2008 were included in the determination of the gain on sale of building and land for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as follows (in millions):

Sales proceeds	\$ 137.3
Assets held for sale at December 31, 2008	55.4
Direct transaction costs	1.9
Gain on sale of building and land	\$ 80.0

14. Subsequent Event

As previously disclosed, we had entered into an agreement to acquire privately held Golflane Limited (Golflane), a UK private limited company, the parent holding company of Radius Solutions Incorporated (Radius) for approximately \$14.4 million in cash, plus an additional future cash earn out contingent on achieving certain performance targets. Radius is a print management software company that provides PMIS solutions for the packaging industry. The transaction closed on July 2, 2010.

Item 2: Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward-looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and the future results of the Company that are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts, and projections about the industry in which the Company operates and the beliefs and assumptions of the management of the Company. Words such as expects, anticipates, targets, goals, projects, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, variations of such words, and similar expressions are intended to identify such forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the current views of the Company and its management with respect to future events and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, the Company s actual results, performance or achievements could differ materially from the results expressed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under the section entitled Risk Factors in Item 1A of Part II and elsewhere, and in other reports the Company files with the SEC. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 and the condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The Company assumes no obligation to revise or update these forward-looking statements to reflect actual results, events or changes in factors or assumptions affecting such forward-looking statements.

Business Overview

We are a world leader in customer-focused digital printing innovation, including color digital print controllers, super-wide and wide format printers and inks, and print management solutions. Our award-winning solutions, integrated from creation to print, deliver increased performance, cost savings, and productivity. Our robust product portfolio includes Fiery digital color print servers (Fiery), Inkjet products (Inkjet) including VUTEk super-wide digital inkjet printers, Jetrion industrial inkjet printing systems, Rastek wide format digital inkjet printers, and inks for each of these product lines, and Advanced Professional Print Software (APPS) consisting of print production workflow and management information software, and corporate printing solutions. Our integrated solutions and award-winning technologies are designed to automate print and business processes, streamline workflow, provide profitable value-added services, and produce accurate digital output.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make judgments, assumptions and estimates that affect the amounts reported. Please see the discussion of critical accounting policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 of our Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a full description of recent accounting pronouncements including the respective expected dates of adoption.

20

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth items in our condensed consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of total revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. These operating results are not necessarily indicative of our results for any future period.

	Three months en	ded June 30,	Six months end	led June 30,
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenue	100%	100%	100%	100%
Gross Profit	51	52	52	54
Operating expenses:				
Research and development	21	31	22	31
Sales and marketing	22	29	22	27
General and administrative	7	8	8	9
Restructuring and other	1	4	1	5
Amortization of identified intangibles	2	6	3	7
Total operating expenses	53	78	56	79
Income (loss) from operations	(2)	(26)	(4)	(25)
Interest and other income (expense), net	(2)	2	(2)	1
Gain on sale of building and land		1		43
Income (loss) before income taxes	(4)	(23)	(6)	19
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	2	8		(12)
-				
Net income (loss)	(2)%	(15)%	(6)%	7%

Revenue

We classify our revenue into three operating segments.

The first segment, Fiery, includes products, services, and technology, which connect digital copiers with computer networks, and is made up of stand-alone and embedded controllers, bundled solutions, and design-licensed solutions primarily for the office market and commercial printing. This segment includes our Fiery series (external print servers and embedded servers), Splash and MicroPress, color and black & white server products, software options for Fiery products, and parts. The Fiery segment also includes server-related revenue comprised of scanning solutions.

The second segment, Inkjet, consists of sales of super-wide and wide format inkjet printers, industrial inkjet printers, ink, parts, and service revenues from the VUTEk, Jetrion, and Rastek businesses.

The third segment, APPS, consists of software technology focused on printing workflow, PMIS, proofing, e-commerce, and job tracking tools.

On a sequential basis, revenue performance in the second quarter of 2010 was \$8.3 million, or 7.5%, higher than first quarter 2010 results. Inkjet revenues increased due to sales of new products as well as increased demand for UV printers due to acceleration of the transition from solvent to UV technology during the quarter.

Revenues by Operating Segment

Our revenues by operating segment for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

	Three months ended June 30,					
		Percent	Percent Percent			e
	2010	of total	2009	of total	\$	%
Fiery	\$ 54,473	46%	\$ 40,210	45%	\$ 14,263	35%
Inkjet	50,190	42	36,461	40	13,729	38
APPS	14,454	12	13,439	15	1,015	8
Total revenue	\$ 119,117	100%	\$ 90,110	100%	\$ 29,007	32%

Fiery Revenues

Fiery revenues increased 35% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 primarily from new products introduced by our OEM customers. We have experienced continued strong demand for our most advanced digital controllers in the high-end production market.

21

Inkjet Revenues

Inkjet revenues increased by 38% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 due to sales of the recently launched GS5000r and GS2000 printers during the quarter and significantly increased UV printer and ink sales. Coming out of the 2009 downturn, the transition from solvent to UV printers has accelerated. The UV ink and service part sales increase reflects the high utilization that UV printers are experiencing in the field, which is partially offset by decreased solvent printer installed base demand, also measured by solvent ink usage and service parts.

APPS Revenues

APPS revenues increased by 8% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 primarily due to increased PMIS revenues attributable to the Monarch and Web-to-Print Direct product lines.

The APPS operating segment includes our management systems software, including Monarch (formerly Hagen), Pace, PSI, Logic, PrintSmith and PrintFlow; our web-based order entry and order management software; and our color proofing software. We sell PrintSmith to small print-for-pay and small commercial print shops, Pace to medium and large commercial print shops, and Monarch to large commercial, publication, and digital print shops.

Our revenues by operating segment for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

		Six months ended June 30,				
		Percent		Percent	Chang	e
	2010	of total	2009	of total	\$	%
Fiery	\$ 107,460	47%	\$ 89,315	48%	\$ 18,145	20%
Inkjet	94,028	41	68,561	37	25,467	37
APPS	28,459	12	28,379	15	80	
Total revenue	\$ 229,947	100%	\$ 186,255	100%	\$ 43,692	23%

Fiery Revenues

Fiery revenues increased by 20% during the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 resulting primarily from new products introduced by our OEM customers.

Inkjet Revenues

Inkjet revenues increased by 37% during the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 due primarily to new printers launched during the year, the accelerating transition from solvent to UV technology, and increased UV ink sales.

APPS

APPS revenues were comparable to the first six months of 2009.

Revenues by Geographic Area

Our revenues by geographic area for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

	Three	months end	ded June 30,		
	Percent		Percent	Change	;
2010	of total	2009	of total	\$	%

Edgar Filing: ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING INC - Form 10-Q

Americas	\$ 69,718	59%	\$ 52,702	58%	\$ 17,016	32%
EMEA	36,581	31	27,257	30	9,324	34
Japan	8,854	7	6,326	7	2,528	40
Other international locations	3,964	3	3,825	5	139	4
Total revenue	\$ 119,117	100%	\$ 90,110	100%	\$ 29,007	32%

Americas revenues increased 32% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, primarily due to increased Inkjet revenues and, to a lesser extent, increased Fiery revenues. Inkjet revenues were bolstered by the solvent to UV transition and new product launches, while Fiery revenues benefited primarily from new OEM product launches.

Europe, Middle East, and Africa (EMEA) revenues increased 34% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, primarily due to increased Fiery revenues and, to a lesser extent, increased Inkjet revenues. Fiery revenues benefited from OEM product launches, while Inkjet benefited from the transition to UV technology, but at a slower pace than in the Americas.

Japan revenues increased 40% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, as a result of improved Fiery revenues, which benefited from new OEM product launches. Fiery constitutes 87% of Japan revenues.

Other international location revenues increased 4% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, primarily due to increased Inkjet revenues.

Fiery product revenues in the second quarter of 2010 represented 39%, 50%, 87%, and 30% of revenue in the Americas, EMEA, Japan, and Other international locations, respectively, compared with 41%, 42%, 91%, and 31% in the same quarter of 2009.

Inkjet product revenues in the second quarter of 2010 represented 43%, 45%, 12%, and 62% of revenue in the Americas, EMEA, Japan, and Other international locations, respectively, compared with 37%, 52%, 8%, and 61% in the same quarter of 2009.

APPS revenues in the second quarter of 2010 represented 18%, 5%, 1%, and 8% of revenue in the Americas, EMEA, Japan, and Other international locations, respectively, compared with 22%, 6%, 1%, and 8% in the same quarter of 2009.

Our revenues by geographic area for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

	Six months ended June 30,					
	Percent		Percent Percent		Chang	e
	2010	of total	2009	of total	\$	%
Americas	\$ 132,340	58%	\$ 109,165	59%	\$ 23,175	21%
EMEA	67,989	30	55,224	30	12,765	23
Japan	21,948	9	16,018	9	5,930	37
Other international locations	7,670	3	5,848	2	1,822	31
Total revenue	\$ 229,947	100%	\$ 186,255	100%	\$ 43,692	23%

Americas accounted for 21% of the overall increase in revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, primarily due to increased Inkjet revenues as this segment benefits from the transition from solvent to UV technology through sales of the GS Series product. Americas revenues benefited to a lesser extent from new OEM product launches in the Fiery segment.

EMEA revenues increased by 23% primarily due to new OEM product launches in the Fiery segment and the impact of the solvent to UV technology shift in the Inkjet segment.

Japan increased 37% in revenue as a result of increased demand for our Fiery products.

Other international locations increased by 31% in revenue primarily due to increased Inkjet revenues.

Shipments to some of our OEM customers are made to centralized purchasing and manufacturing locations, which in turn ship to other locations, making it more difficult to obtain accurate geographical shipment data. Accordingly, we believe that export sales of our products into each region may differ from what is reported. We expect that sales outside of the U.S. will continue to represent a significant portion of our total revenue.

A substantial portion of our revenue over the years has been attributable to sales of products through our OEM customers and independent distributor channels. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, two customers Ricoh and Xerox each provided more than 10% of our revenue

individually and approximately 28% of revenue in the aggregate. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, two customers Canon and Xerox each provided more than 10% of our revenue individually and approximately 26% of revenue in the aggregate.

23

The increasing reliance on revenues from our major OEM partners is attributable to the increase in the Fiery operating segment where most revenue is generated from OEM customers. No assurance can be given that our relationships with these and other significant OEM customers will continue or that we will be successful in increasing the number OEM customers or the size of existing OEM relationships. Several OEM customers have reduced their purchases from us at various times in the past and any customer could do so in the future as there are no contractual obligations with most of our OEMs to purchase our products at all, or in significant amounts. Such reductions have occurred in the past and could in the future have a significant negative impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations. We expect that if we increase our revenues from Inkjet and APPS, the percentage of our revenue that comes from individual OEMs will decrease.

We intend to continue to develop new products and technologies for each of our product lines including new generations of Fiery products, super-wide and wide format printers, and other new product lines, and to distribute those new products to or through current and new OEM customers, distribution partners, and end-users in 2010 and beyond. No assurance can be given that the introduction or market acceptance of current or future products will be successful.

If sales of our products do not grow over time in absolute terms, or if we are not able to meet demand for higher unit volumes, it could have a material adverse effect on our operating results. There can be no assurance that any products that we introduce in the future will successfully compete, be accepted by the market, or otherwise effectively replace the volume of revenue and/or income from our older products. Market acceptance of our software products, products acquired through acquisitions, and other products cannot be assured. In addition, we may experience potential loss of sales, unexpected costs, or adverse impact on relationships with customers or suppliers as a result of acquisitions.

We also believe that in addition to the factors described above, price reductions for our products will affect revenues in the future. We have previously reduced, and in the future will likely change, prices for our products. Depending on the price elasticity of demand for our products, the pricing and quality of competitive products, and other economic and competitive conditions, price changes have had, and may in the future have, an adverse impact on our revenues and profits.

Gross Profit

Gross profits by operating segment, excluding stock-based compensation, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

Th.... M....4h. E., J. J. J..... 20, 2010

		Three M	onths Ended Ju	ne 30, 2010		
	Fierv	Stock-based Compensation Fiery Inkjet APPS Expense				
Revenue	\$ 54,473	\$ 50,190	\$ 14,454	\$	Total \$ 119,117	
Cost of revenue	18,105	35,629	4,145	275	58,154	
Gross profit	\$ 36,368	\$ 14,561	\$ 10,309	\$ (275)	\$ 60,963	
Gross profit percentages	66.8%	29.0%	71.3%		51.2%	
		Three M	onths Ended Ju	Stock-based		
	Fiery	Inkjet	APPS	Compensation Expense	Total	
D	¢ 40 210	¢ 27 471	rt 12 420	φ	¢ 00 110	

	Fiery	Inkjet	APPS	Expense	Total
Revenue	\$ 40,210	\$ 36,461	\$ 13,439	\$	\$ 90,110
Cost of revenue	13,506	25,513	4,017	303	43,339
Gross profit	\$ 26,704	\$ 10,948	\$ 9,422	\$ (303	\$ 46,771
Gross profit percentages	66.4%	30.0%	70.1%		51.9%

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, our gross profit percentage was 51.2% compared to 51.9% for the same period in 2009. The decrease in the overall gross profit percentage was primarily due to the \$2.3 million write-off of excess solvent inventories as a result of the transition

from solvent to UV technology, which has recently proceeded at a faster pace than expected. The gross profit percentage would have been 53.1% if not for this charge. In addition, there was a mix shift toward our lower gross profit Inkjet product lines. Inkjet gross profit percentages are significantly less than Fiery and APPS gross profit percentages.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Fiery gross profit percentage was 66.8% compared to 66.4% for the same period in 2009. The Fiery gross profit percentages on new products launched during the quarter.

24

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Inkjet gross profit percentage was 29.0% compared to 30.0% for the same period in 2009. If not for the charge for excess solvent inventories, the Inkjet gross profit percentage would have increased to 33.6%. The increased Inkjet gross profit percentage was due to fixed manufacturing costs being spread over higher Inkjet revenue and higher selling prices for new products launched in 2010.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the APPS gross profit percentage was 71.3% compared to 70.1% for the same period in 2009. The APPS gross profit percentage improved from the same quarter in 2009 primarily due to higher Monarch and Pace gross profits.

Gross profit by operating segment, excluding stock-based compensation, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were as follows (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2010						
				Stock-bas	sed		
				Compensat	tion		
	Fiery	Inkjet	APPS	Expense	e Total		
Revenue	\$ 107,460	\$ 94,028	\$ 28,459	\$	\$ 229,947		
Cost of revenue	36,041	65,268	8,374	5.	29 110,212		
Gross profit	\$ 71,419	\$ 28,760	\$ 20,085	\$ (52	29) \$ 119,735		
Gross profit percentage	66.5%	30.6%	70.6%		52.1%	ъ	

		Six Mon	ths Ended June	Stock-based Compensation	
	Fiery	Inkjet	APPS	Expense	Total
Revenue	\$ 89,315	\$ 68,561	\$ 28,379	\$	\$ 186,255
Cost of revenue	28,957	48,266	8,779	554	86,556
Gross profit	\$ 60,358	\$ 20,295	\$ 19,600	\$ (554)	\$ 99,699
Gross profit percentage	67.6%	29.6%	69.1%		53.5%

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, our gross profit percentage was 52.1% compared to 53.5% for the same period in 2009. The decrease in the overall gross profit percentage was primarily due to the \$2.3 million write-off of excess solvent inventories as a result of the transition from solvent to UV technology, which has recently proceeded at a faster pace than expected. The gross profit percentage would have been 53.1% if not for this charge. In addition, there was a mix shift toward our lower gross profit Inkjet product lines. Inkjet gross profit percentages are significantly less than Fiery and APPS gross profit percentages.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Fiery gross profit was 66.5% compared to 67.6% for the same period in 2009. The gross profit percentage in the Fiery operating segment declined primarily due to product mix.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Inkjet gross profit percentage was 30.6% compared to 29.6% for the same period in 2009. If not for the charge for excess solvent inventories, the Inkjet gross profit percentage would have increased to 33.0%. The increased Inkjet gross profit percentage was due to fixed manufacturing costs being spread over higher Inkjet revenue and higher selling prices for new products launched in 2010.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the APPS gross profit percentage was 70.6% compared to 69.1% for the same period in 2009. The gross profit percentage in the APPS operating segment improved primarily due to product mix.

If our product mix changes significantly, our gross profits will fluctuate. In addition, gross profits can be impacted by a variety of other factors. These factors include market prices achieved on our current and future products, availability and pricing of key components (including DRAM, processors, ink components, and print heads), subcontractor manufacturing costs, product mix, channel, geographic mix, product transition

results, new product introductions, competition, and general economic conditions in the U.S. and abroad. Gross profits may fluctuate from period to period. In addition to the factors affecting revenue described above, if we reduce prices, gross profits for our products could be lower.

Many of our products and sub-assemblies are manufactured by subcontract manufacturers that purchase most of the necessary components. If our subcontract manufacturers cannot obtain necessary components at favorable prices, we could experience increased product costs. We purchase certain components directly, including processors, memory, certain ASICs, and software licensed from various sources, including Adobe PostScript® software.

Stock-based Compensation

Stock-based compensation expenses were \$3.3 and \$4.9 million, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The decrease in stock-based compensation expense of \$1.6 million, or 33%, was primarily due to less equity awards granted in the current year. As explained in more detail below, amortization of stock-based compensation expense under the graded vesting method results in more significant expense in the initial years of vesting.

Stock-based compensation expenses were \$7.2 and \$8.4 million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The decrease in stock-based compensation expense of \$1.2 million, or 14%, was primarily due to less equity awards granted in the current year. As explained in more detail below, amortization of stock-based compensation expense under the graded vesting method results in more significant expense in the initial years of vesting.

We account for stock-based payment awards in accordance with ASC 718, Stock Compensation, which requires stock-based compensation expense to be recognized based on the fair value of such awards on the date of grant. We amortize compensation cost on a graded vesting basis over the vesting period, after assessing the probability of achieving requisite performance criteria with respect to performance-based awards. Stock-based compensation cost is recognized over the requisite service period for each separately vesting tranche of the award as though the award were, in substance, multiple awards. This has the impact of greater stock-based compensation expense during the initial years of the vesting period.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands) were as follows:

	Three months ended June 30, Change			Six	months ende	ed June 30, Change	÷	
	2010	2009	\$	%	2010	2009	\$	%
Research and development	\$ 24,829	\$ 27,799	\$ (2,970)	(11)%	\$ 50,958	\$ 57,108	\$ (6,150)	(11)%
Sales and marketing	26,144	25,706	438	2	51,247	49,893	1,354	3
General and administrative	8,879	7,855	1,024	13	18,856	16,890	1,966	12
Restructuring and other	1,010	3,532	(2,522)	(71)	3,021	9,960	(6,939)	(70)
Amortization of identified intangibles	2,928	5,482	(2,554)	(47)	5,857	12,423	(6,566)	(53)
Total operating expenses	\$ 63,790	\$ 70,374	\$ (6,584)	(9)%	\$ 129,939	\$ 146,274	\$ (16,335)	(11)%

Operating expenses, including restructuring and other charges, amortization of intangible assets, and stock-based compensation, decreased by \$6.6 million and, as a percentage of revenue, were 53% and 78% for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Operating expenses, including restructuring and other charges, amortization of intangible assets, and stock-based compensation, decreased by \$16.3 million and, as a percentage of revenue, were 56% and 79% for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The decrease in operating expenses was primarily due to significantly lower personnel-related expense due to company-wide reductions in force that occurred throughout 2009, company-wide salary reductions implemented during the second quarter of 2009, temporary suspension of our matching of employee 401(k) contributions, and reduced consulting, partially offset by decreased utilization of vacation balances and increased variable compensation expense consisting primarily of increased commission payments resulting from increased revenues.

Research and Development

Expenses for research and development consist primarily of costs associated with personnel, consulting and prototype materials.

Research and development expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$24.8 million, or 21% of revenue, compared to \$27.8 million, or 31% of revenue, for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$3.0 million, or 11%. Personnel-related expenses decreased by \$1.1 million primarily due to head count, salary, and consulting expense reductions, partially offset by increased travel expense. Stock-based compensation expense decreased by \$0.9 million due to less equity awards issued during the last twelve months amortized under the graded vesting method. Prototypes, non-recurring engineering, and related supplies decreased by \$0.8 million due to a more streamlined design effort. The remaining \$0.2 million reduction in research and development expenses primarily relates to facility downsizing achieved during the last

year and cost reductions achieved by focused efforts on key initiatives in all areas.

Research and development expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$51.0 million, or 22% of revenue, compared to \$57.1 million, or 31% of revenue, for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$6.1 million, or 11%. Personnel-related expenses decreased by \$2.8 million primarily due to head count, salary, and consulting expense reductions, as well as temporary suspension of our matching of employee 401(k) contributions, partially offset by decreased utilization of vacation balances and increased travel expense. Stock-based compensation expense decreased by \$1.1 million due to less equity awards issued during the last twelve months amortized under the graded vesting method. Prototypes, non-recurring engineering, and related supplies decreased by \$1.0 million due to a more streamlined design effort. The remaining \$1.2 million reduction in research and development expenses relates to facility downsizing achieved during the last year and cost reductions achieved by focused efforts on key initiatives in all areas.

We expect that if the U.S. dollar remains volatile against the Indian rupee or other currencies, research and development expenses reported in U.S. dollars could fluctuate, although we have begun to hedge the Indian rupee, which will partially mitigate this risk.

Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expenses include personnel expenses, costs of trade shows, marketing programs and promotional materials, sales commissions, travel and entertainment expenses, depreciation, and costs associated with sales offices in the United States, Europe, and other international locations.

Sales and marketing expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$26.1 million, or 22% of revenue, compared to \$25.7 million, or 29% of revenue, for the three months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$0.4 million, or 2%. Personnel-related expenses increased by \$0.9 million primarily due to increased consulting, as well as increased variable compensation expense consisting of increased commission payments resulting from increased revenues, partially offset by decreased personnel-related expenses due to head count and salary reductions. Travel expenses increased by \$0.2 million primarily due to increased trade show activity. Because the printing industry has begun to recover, we have increased trade show and marketing program spending by \$1.1 million. Stock-based compensation expense decreased by \$0.5 million due to less equity awards issued during the last twelve months amortized under the graded vesting method. The remaining \$1.3 million reduction in sales and marketing expenses relates to facility downsizing achieved during the last year and focused efforts on cost reduction.

Sales and marketing expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$51.2 million, or 22% of revenue, compared to \$49.9 million, or 27% of revenue, for the six months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$1.3 million, or 3%. Personnel-related expenses increased by \$2.0 million primarily due to variable compensation expense consisting of increased commission payments resulting from increased revenues, decreased utilization of vacation balances, and increased consulting, partially offset by decreased personnel-related expenses due to head count and salary reductions and temporary suspension of our matching of employee 401(k) contributions. Travel expenses increased by \$0.4 million primarily due to increased trade show activity. Because the printing industry has begun to recover, we have increased trade show and marketing program spending by \$1.7 million. Stock-based compensation expense decreased by \$0.3 million due to less equity awards issued during the last twelve months amortized under the graded vesting method. The remaining \$2.5 million reduction in sales and marketing expenses relates to facility downsizing achieved during the last year and focused efforts on cost reduction.

Over time, our sales and marketing expenses may increase in absolute terms, if revenues increase in future periods, as we continue to actively promote our products and introduce new products and services. We expect that if the U.S. dollar remains volatile against other currencies, sales and marketing expenses reported in U.S. dollars could fluctuate.

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of costs associated with administrative personnel, legal, and finance expenses.

General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$8.9 million, or 7% of revenue, compared to \$7.9 million, or 8% of revenue, for the three months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$1.0 million, or 13%. Stock-based compensation expense decreased by \$0.2 million due to the timing of equity awards issued during the last twelve months amortized under the graded vesting method. Consistent with the new accounting guidance with respect to business combinations, acquisition-related costs of \$0.5 million were expensed during the three months ended June 30, 2010 in connection with our previously announced acquisition of Radius. Remaining expense increases relate primarily to facilities and travel expenses.

General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$18.9 million, or 8% of revenue, compared to \$16.9 million, or 9% of revenue, for the six months ended June 30, 2009, an increase of \$2.0 million, or 12%. Stock-based compensation expense increased by \$0.2 million due to the increase in equity awards issued during the last twelve months amortized under the graded vesting method. Consistent with the new accounting guidance with respect to business combinations, acquisition-related costs of \$1.1 million were expensed during the six months ended June 30, 2010 in connection with our previously announced acquisition of Radius. Remaining expense increases relate primarily to facilities and travel expenses.

Restructuring and Other

Restructuring and other consists primarily of restructuring, severance, asset impairment, and integration costs.

Restructuring and other for the three months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$1.0 million compared to \$3.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$2.5 million. Restructuring and other included no severance cost during the three months ended June 30, 2010. Severance costs of \$2.7 million related to head count reductions of 92 were incurred during the three months ended June 30, 2009. Severance costs include severance payments, related employee benefits, retention bonuses, and outplacement or relocation costs. Asset impairment costs were incurred of \$0.3 and \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Restructuring and other includes charges to downsize one facility during the second quarter of 2010, two facilities during the second quarter of 2009, and initial costs incurred during the second quarter of 2010 to integrate our Radius acquisition. Reserves for facility-related restructuring costs were increased by \$0.6 million during the second quarter of 2010 primarily due to a decrease in estimated sublease income necessitated by continuing weakness in the commercial real estate market where these facilities are located.

Restructuring and other for the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$3.0 million compared to \$10.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$7.0 million. Restructuring and other charges include severance costs of \$1.6 and \$6.3 million related to head count reductions of 64 and 189 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Severance costs include severance payments, related employee benefits, retention bonuses, and outplacement or relocation costs. Asset impairment of \$0.7 and \$3.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, primarily related to equipment made redundant as a result of abandoned projects in the Inkjet operating segment. Restructuring and other includes charges to downsize one facility during the second quarter of 2010, two facilities during the second quarter of 2009, and initial costs incurred during the second quarter of 2010 to integrate our Radius acquisition. Reserves for facility-related restructuring costs were increased by \$0.6 million during the second quarter of 2010 primarily due to a decrease in estimated sublease income necessitated by continuing weakness in the commercial real estate market where these facilities are located.

Amortization of Identified Intangibles

Amortization of identified intangibles for the three months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$2.9 million, or 2% of revenue, compared to \$5.5 million, or 6% of revenue, for the three months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$2.6 million, or 47%. Amortization of identified intangibles for the six months ended June 30, 2010 totaled \$5.9 million, or 3% of revenue, compared to \$12.4 million, or 7% of revenue, for the six months ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$6.5 million, or 53%.

These decreases are primarily due to several intangible assets that became fully amortized during 2009.

Interest and Other Income (Expense), Net

Interest and other income (expense), net, includes interest income, net, gains and losses from sales from our cash and short-term investments, and net foreign currency transaction gains and losses on our operating activities.

Interest and other income (expense), net, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$(1.8) and \$2.0 million, respectively. Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$0.4 and \$1.0 million, respectively. The decrease in interest income of \$0.6 million was driven by lower interest income on our investments as a result of lower investment balances and interest rates as we sold a substantial portion of our investment portfolio during 2009 to generate cash for the repurchase of our common stock under the ASR during the first quarter of 2009 and the tender offer during the fourth quarter of 2009.

We had net realized investment losses of \$0 and \$0.3 million during the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, consisting of gains on our investments in marketable securities of \$0 and \$0.1 million, offset by losses on our equity method investments of \$0 and \$0.4 million.

Realized and unrealized foreign exchanges gains (losses) were \$(2.2) and \$1.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. This \$3.5 million fluctuation primarily results from deterioration in the value of the Euro and, to a lesser extent, from deterioration in the value of the British pound during the respective periods.

28

Interest and other income (expense), net, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$(4.1) and \$1.5 million, respectively. Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$0.9 and \$2.2 million, respectively. The decrease in interest income of \$1.3 million was driven by lower interest income on our investments as a result of lower investment balances and interest rates as we sold a substantial portion of our investment portfolio during 2009 to generate cash for the repurchase of our common stock under the ASR during the first quarter of 2009 and the tender offer during the fourth quarter of 2009.

We had net realized investment gains of \$0.3 million and net realized investment losses of \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, consisting of gains on our investments in marketable securities of \$0.3 and \$0.1 million, offset by losses on our equity method investments of \$0 and \$0.8 million.

Realized and unrealized foreign exchange gains (losses) were \$(5.3) and \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. This \$5.4 million fluctuation primarily results from deterioration in the value of the Euro and, to a lesser extent, from deterioration in the value of the British pound during the respective periods.

Sale of Building and Land

On January 29, 2009, we sold a portion of the Foster City, California campus for \$137.5 million to Gilead. The property sold included an approximately 163,000 square foot building at 301 Velocity Way as well as approximately 30 acres of land. At March 31, 2009, gain was not recognized with respect to \$0.9 million representing the portion of the sales proceeds that remained in escrow pending the outcome of certain requirements mutually agreed upon at closing. Conditions precedent to the receipt of a portion of the funds held in escrow were resolved during the second quarter of 2009, resulting in gain recognition of \$0.7 million. Direct transaction costs consist primarily of broker commissions, documentary transfer and title costs, legal fees, and other expenses. The cost of the land, building, and improvements accounted for as Assets Held for Sale at December 31, 2008 were included in the determination of the \$80.0 million gain on sale of building and land for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Income (Loss) Before Income Taxes

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, pretax net loss of \$4.6 million includes \$7.9 million of U.S. pretax net loss and \$3.3 million of foreign pretax net income. The pretax net loss attributable to U.S. operations was impacted by the amortization of identified intangibles of \$2.8 million, stock-based compensation expense of \$3.3 million, restructuring and other expenses of \$0.4 million, acquisition-related costs of \$0.5 million, and a charge for excess solvent inventories of \$0.9 million. The pretax net income attributable to foreign operations was impacted by the amortization of identified intangibles of \$0.1 million, restructuring and other expenses of \$0.6 million, and a charge for excess solvent inventories of \$1.4 million.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, pretax net loss of \$14.3 million includes \$12.7 and \$1.6 million of U.S. and foreign pretax net loss, respectively. The pretax net loss attributable to U.S. operations was impacted by amortization of identified intangibles of \$5.7 million, stock-based compensation expense of \$7.2 million, restructuring and other costs of \$2.1 million, acquisition-related costs of \$1.1 million, and a charge for \$0.9 million of excess solvent inventories. The pretax net loss attributable to foreign operations was impacted by the amortization of identified intangibles of \$0.2 million, restructuring and other expenses of \$1.0 million, and a charge for excess solvent inventories of \$1.4 million.

For the three months ended June 30, 2009 pretax net loss of \$21.0 million includes \$18.7 and \$2.3 million of U.S. and foreign pretax net loss, respectively. The pretax net loss attributable to U.S. operations was impacted by \$0.6 million gain on sale of building and land, offset by the amortization of identified intangibles of \$5.3 million, stock-based compensation expense of \$4.9 million, and restructuring and other expenses of \$3.2 million. The pretax net loss attributable to foreign operations was impacted by the amortization of identified intangibles of \$0.2 million and restructuring and other expenses of \$0.3 million.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, pretax net income of \$34.9 million includes \$41.7 million of U.S. pretax net income and \$6.8 million of foreign pretax net loss. The pretax net income attributable to U.S. operations was impacted by the \$80.0 million gain on sale of building and land, offset by the amortization of identified intangibles of \$12.1 million, stock-based compensation expense of \$8.4 million, and restructuring and other expenses of \$9.0 million. The pretax net loss attributable to foreign operations was impacted by the amortization of identified intangibles of \$0.3 million and restructuring and other expenses of \$1.0 million.

Income Taxes

For the second quarter of 2010, we recorded a tax benefit of \$2.1 million compared to \$7.7 million for the same period in 2009. The tax benefit for the second quarter of 2010 included credits of \$0.4 million related to a charge for excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases, \$0.3 million related to restructuring and severance costs, \$0.1 million related to asset impairment charges, and \$0.1 million related to tax deductions resulting from ESPP dispositions. The tax benefit for the second quarter of 2010 also included a charge of \$0.2 million related to potential interest related to future tax assessments. The tax benefit for the second quarter of 2009 included credits of \$1.3 million related to restructuring

and severance costs and \$0.1 million related to asset impairment charges. The second quarter 2009 tax benefit also included charges of \$0.3 million related to the gain on sale of building and land and \$0.3 million related to tax shortfalls recorded pursuant to ASC 718-740. Without the discrete charges and benefits described above, the decrease in our second quarter s tax benefit in 2010 compared to 2009, is due primarily to the expiration of the federal research and development credit and a decrease in the loss before income taxes.

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, we recorded a tax benefit of \$0.4 million compared to a tax provision of \$21.5 million for the same period in 2009. For the first two quarters of 2010, the tax benefit included charges of \$2.7 million related to tax shortfalls recorded pursuant to ASC 718-740 and \$0.3 million related to potential interest related to future tax assessments. The tax benefit for the first two quarters of 2010 also included credits of \$0.9 million related to restructuring and severance costs, \$0.4 million related to a charge for excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases, \$0.3 million related to asset impairment charges, and \$0.3 million related to tax deductions resulting from ESPP dispositions. For the first two quarters of 2009, the tax provision included charges of \$32.3 million related to the gain on sale of building and land, \$3.2 million tax shortfalls recorded pursuant to ASC 718-740, and \$0.2 million related to potential interest related to future tax assessments. The tax provision for the first two quarters of 2009 also included credits of \$2.7 million related to restructuring and severance costs, \$1.3 million related to asset impairment charges, and \$0.3 million related to our reassessment of interest payments related to the 2002-2004 IRS audit. The decrease in our tax benefit in 2010 compared to 2009, without the discrete charges and benefits described above, is due primarily to the expiration of the federal research and development credit and a decrease in the loss before income taxes.

Primary differences in 2010 and 2009 between our recorded tax provision rate and the U.S. statutory rate of 35% for both includes tax benefits associated with credits for research and development costs for 2009 and the tax effects of charges related to stock-based compensation recorded pursuant to ASC 718-740, which are non-deductible for tax purposes.

Unaudited Non-GAAP Financial Information

To supplement our GAAP consolidated financial results, we use non-GAAP measures of net income (loss) and earnings per diluted share, which are GAAP net income (loss) and GAAP earnings per diluted share adjusted to exclude certain recurring and non-recurring costs, expenses, and gains.

We believe that the presentation of non-GAAP net income (loss) and non-GAAP earnings per diluted share provides important supplemental information to management and investors regarding non-cash expenses and significant recurring and non-recurring items that we believe are important to understanding our financial and business trends relating to our financial condition and results of operations. Non-GAAP net income (loss) and non-GAAP earnings per diluted share are among the primary indicators used by management as a basis for planning and forecasting future periods and by management and our Board of Directors to determine whether our operating performance has met specified targets and thresholds. Management uses non-GAAP net income (loss) and non-GAAP earnings per diluted share when evaluating operating performance because it believes the exclusion of the items described below, for which the amounts and/or timing may vary significantly depending upon our activities and other factors, facilitates comparability of our operating performance from period to period. We have chosen to provide this information to investors so they can analyze our operating results in the same way that management does and use this information in their assessment of our business and valuation.

We compute non-GAAP net income (loss) and non-GAAP earnings per diluted share by adjusting GAAP net income (loss) and GAAP earnings per diluted share to remove the impact of recurring amortization of acquisition-related intangibles, recurring stock-based compensation expense, as well as restructuring-related and non-recurring charges and gains and the tax effect of these adjustments. Such non-recurring charges and gains include excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases, project abandonment costs, asset impairment charges, certain legal settlements, our sale of certain real estate assets, acquisition-related transaction costs and legal expenses, and costs to integrate such acquisitions into our business. Examples of these excluded items are:

Recurring charges and gains, including:

- Amortization of acquisition-related intangibles. Intangible assets acquired to date are being amortized on a straight-line basis.
- Stock-based compensation expense is recognized in accordance with ASC 718, Stock Compensation.

Non-recurring charges and gains, including:

- Excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases.
- Acquisition-related transaction costs and legal expenses associated with the acquisition of Golflane, a UK private limited company, the parent holding company of Radius, which closed on July 2, 2010.

30

Restructuring and Other consists of:

Restructuring related charges. We have incurred restructuring charges as we reduce the number and size of our facilities and the size of our workforce.

Asset impairment consisting primarily of equipment and non-cancellable purchase orders incurred relating to a planned product that was cancelled, a facility closure, and the write-off of a private minority investment.

Initial integration expenses required to integrate Radius into our business.

Gain on sale of building and land. On January 29, 2009, we sold a portion of our Foster City, California campus for a final amount of \$137.3 million to Gilead resulting in a gain on sale of approximately \$80.0 million as of June 30, 2009.

Tax effect of these adjustments. After removing the non-GAAP items, we apply the principles of ASC 740, Income Taxes, to estimate the non-GAAP income tax provision in each jurisdiction in which we operate.

These non-GAAP measures are not in accordance with or an alternative to GAAP and may be materially different from other non-GAAP measures, including similarly titled non-GAAP measures, used by other companies. The presentation of this additional information should not be considered in isolation from, as a substitute for, or superior to, net income (loss) or earnings per diluted share prepared in accordance with GAAP. Non-GAAP financial measures have limitations in that they do not reflect certain items that may have a material impact on our reported financial results. We expect to continue to incur expenses of a nature similar to the non-GAAP adjustments described above, and exclusion of these items from our non-GAAP net income (loss) and non-GAAP earnings per diluted share should not be construed as an inference that these costs are unusual, infrequent, or non-recurring.

RECONCILIATION OF GAAP NET INCOME (LOSS) TO NON-GAAP NET INCOME (LOSS)

(unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
(millions, except per share data)	2010	2009	2010	2009
Net income (loss)	\$ (2.5)	\$ (13.3)	\$ (13.9)	\$ 13.4
Excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases	2.3		2.3	
Amortization of identified intangibles	2.9	5.5	5.9	12.4
Stock-based compensation expense	3.3	4.9	7.2	8.4
Acquisition-related transaction costs and legal expenses	0.5		1.1	(0.1)
Restructuring and other	1.0	3.5	3.0	10.0
Gain on sale of building and land		(0.6)		(80.0)
Tax effect on non-GAAP net income (loss)	(3.5)	(6.1)	(1.7)	25.4
Non-GAAP net income (loss)	\$ 4.0	\$ (6.1)	\$ 3.9	\$ (10.5)
Non-GAAP net income (loss) per diluted share	\$ 0.09	\$ (0.12)	\$ 0.08	\$ (0.21)
		. (>)	,	. ()
Shares for purposes of computing diluted non-GAAP net income (loss) per share	46.5	49.0	46.4	50.2

31

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

Cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments increased by \$9.0 million to \$213.2 million as of June 30, 2010 from \$204.2 million as of December 31, 2009. The increase was primarily due to cash provided by operating activities of \$12.0 million, ESPP proceeds of \$2.9 million, and proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$0.2 million, partially offset by payment of contingent consideration related to the Pace acquisition of \$2.4 million, net settlement of RSUs for employee common stock-related tax liabilities of \$1.6 million, capital expenditures of \$1.4 million, and the payment of transaction costs of \$0.6 million related to the tender offer concluded during the fourth quarter of 2009.

(in thousands)	June 30, 2010	De	cember 31, 2009	c	Change
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		φ			0
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 117,360	Ф	106,067	Ф	11,293
Short term investments	95,879		98,134		(2,255)
Total cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments	\$ 213,239	\$	204,201	\$	9,038

	Six months ended June 30,		
(in thousands)	2010	2009	Change
Net cash provided by (used for) operating activities	\$ 12,005	\$ (15,484)	\$ 27,489
Net cash provided by (used for) investing activities	(1,887)	46,427	(48,314)
Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities	1,234	(27,133)	28,367
Effect of foreign exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(59)	(49)	(10)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,293	\$ 3,761	\$ 7,532

Based on past performance and current expectations, we believe that our cash, cash equivalents, short-term investments, and cash generated from operations will satisfy our working capital needs, capital expenditures, investment requirements, stock repurchases, commitments (see Note 8 of the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements), and other liquidity requirements associated with our existing operations through at least the next twelve months. We believe that the most strategic uses of our cash resources include business acquisitions, strategic investments to gain access to new technologies, repurchases of shares of our common stock, and working capital. At June 30, 2010, cash, cash equivalents, and short term investments available totaled \$213.2 million. Despite the current economic environment, we believe that our liquidity position and capital resources continue to remain sufficient to meet our operating and working capital needs.

We previously entered into an agreement to acquire Golflane, a UK private limited company, the parent holding company of Radius for approximately \$14.4 million in cash, plus an additional future cash earn out contingent on achieving certain performance targets. Radius is a print management software company that provides PMIS solutions for the packaging industry. The transaction closed on July 2, 2010.

Operating Activities

During the first six months of 2010, our operating activities provided cash flows of \$12.0 million.

Net loss of \$13.9 million included non-cash charges and credits of \$19.3 million, comprised primarily of \$10.3 million in depreciation and amortization, \$7.2 million of stock-based compensation expense, and \$2.3 million of excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases, offset by \$0.5 million of other non-cash charges, credits, and provisions. The net change in operating assets and liabilities of \$6.6 million consists primarily of decreases in accounts receivable and inventories of \$4.9 and \$3.2 million, respectively, and increases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$4.6 million, offset by increases in other current assets of \$2.1 million and decreases in net taxes payable of \$4.0 million.

Accounts Receivable

Our historical and primary source of operating cash flow is the collection of accounts receivable from our customers and the timing of payments to our vendors and service providers. One measure of the effectiveness of our collection efforts is average days sales outstanding (DSO) of accounts receivable. DSOs were 58 and 65 days at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. We calculate DSO by dividing the net accounts receivable balance at the end of the quarter by revenue recognized during the quarter, multiplied by the total days in the quarter. The decrease in DSO was primarily due to improved collection efforts during the quarter. DSOs will vary from period to period because of changes in quarterly revenue and the effectiveness of our collection efforts. As our percentage of APPS and Inkjet revenues increase, DSOs will trend higher. Our DSOs related to APPS software and Inkjet direct sales are traditionally higher than those related to Fiery OEM customers as, historically, OEMs have paid on a more timely basis.

Inventories, net

Our inventories are procured primarily to support the Inkjet and Fiery operating segments. Inventories decreased from \$48.8 million at December 31, 2009 to \$41.0 million at June 30, 2010. Inkjet inventories decreased by \$7.0 million primarily due to the provision for inventory obsolescence, the write-off of excess solvent inventories and related end-of-life purchases, and raw material utilization. Inkjet raw materials had increased in advance of the new product launches that occurred toward the end of 2009 and the beginning of 2010. Inkjet raw materials have decreased subsequent to the new product launches.

Investing Activities

Investments

Proceeds from sales and maturities of marketable securities, net of purchases, were \$1.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010. We have classified our investment portfolio as available-for-sale and our investments are made with a policy of capital preservation and liquidity as the primary objectives. We may hold investments in corporate bonds and U.S. government agency securities to maturity; however, we may sell an investment at any time if the quality rating of the investment declines, the yield on the investment is no longer attractive, or we have better uses for the cash. Because we invest only in investment securities that are highly liquid with a ready market, we believe the purchase, maturity, or sale of our investments has no material impact on our overall liquidity.

During the second quarter of 2010, we paid \$2.4 million of contingent consideration earned during 2009 under the terms of the Pace acquisition agreement, which had closed during the third quarter of 2008.

Property and Equipment, Net

Net purchases of property and equipment were \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010. Our property and equipment additions have historically been funded from operations. We anticipate that we will continue to purchase property and equipment as necessary in the normal course of our business. The amount and timing of these purchases and the related cash outflows in future periods is difficult to predict and is dependent on a number of factors including the hiring of employees, the rate of change in computer hardware/software used in our business, and our business outlook.

We sold a 163,000 square foot building and 30 acres of related land for net cash proceeds in 2009 of \$135.8 million.

Financing Activities

Financing activities relating to the net settlement of RSUs for employee common stock related tax liabilities and payment of transaction costs related to the tender offer concluded during the fourth quarter of 2009 used \$2.2 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010. We received \$2.9 million related to the ESPP and \$0.2 million related to stock option exercises.

Historically, our recurring cash flows provided by financing activities have been from the receipt of cash from the issuance of common stock from the exercise of stock options and employee stock purchase plans. While we may continue to receive proceeds from these plans in future periods, the timing and amount of such proceeds are difficult to predict and are contingent on a number of factors including the price of our common stock, the number of employees participating in the plans, and general market conditions. We anticipate that cash provided from the exercise of stock options will decline over time as we shift to issuance of RSUs, rather than stock options, and the option pool has decreased as a result of the fair value stock option exchange completed during 2009.

Other Commitments

Fiery inventories consist primarily of raw and finished goods, memory subsystems, processors, and ASICs, which are sold to subcontract manufacturers responsible for manufacturing our products. Inkjet inventories consist of raw and finished goods, print heads, frames, solvent ink, and other components to support our internal manufacturing operations and UV ink, which is purchased from subcontract manufacturers responsible for manufacturing our UV ink. If we decide to purchase components and manufacture our controllers or ink, or purchase and sell components other than the processors, ASICs, and memory subsystems to our subcontract manufacturers, inventories and potentially property and equipment would increase significantly, thereby reducing available cash resources. Further, inventories could become obsolete, thereby negatively impacting our financial condition and results of operations. We are also reliant on several sole source suppliers for certain key components and could experience a significant negative impact on our financial condition and results of operations if such supplies were reduced or not available.

Table of Contents

We may be required to compensate our subcontract manufacturers for components purchased for orders that we subsequently cancelled. We periodically review the potential liability and the adequacy of the related allowance. Our financial condition and results of operations could be negatively impacted if we were required to compensate our subcontract manufacturers in amounts in excess of the related allowance.

Indemnifications

In the normal course of business, we provide indemnifications of varying scope to customers against claims of intellectual property infringement or other claims made by third parties arising from the use of our products. Historically, costs related to these indemnification provisions have been insignificant. We are unable to estimate the maximum potential impact of these indemnification provisions on our future results of operations.

As permitted under Delaware law, pursuant to our bylaws, charter, and indemnification agreements we have entered into with our current and former executive officers, directors, and general counsel, we are required, subject to certain limited qualifications, to indemnify our executive officers and directors for certain events or occurrences while the executive officer, director, or general counsel is or was serving, at our request in such capacity. The indemnification period covers all pertinent events and occurrences during the executive officer s, director s, or general counsel s lifetime, and our indemnification obligations generally extended to derivative stockholder suits and NASDAQ delisting proceedings, if applicable. The maximum potential amount of future payments we may be obligated to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited; however, we have director and officer insurance coverage that limits our exposure and may enable us to recover a portion of any future amounts paid.

Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may be involved in a variety of claims, lawsuits, investigations, and proceedings relating to contractual disputes, securities law, intellectual property, employment matters, and other claims or litigation matters relating to various claims that arise in the normal course of our business. We determine whether an estimated loss from a contingency should be accrued by assessing whether a loss is deemed probable and can be reasonably estimated. We assess our potential liability by analyzing our specific litigation and regulatory matters using available information.

We develop our views on estimated losses in consultation with inside and outside counsel, which involves a subjective analysis of potential results and outcomes, assuming various combinations of appropriate litigation and settlement strategies. Because of the uncertainties related to both the amount and ranges of possible loss on the pending litigation matters, we are unable to predict with certainty the precise liability that could finally result from a range of possible unfavorable outcomes. However, taking all of the above factors into account, we reserve an amount that we could reasonably expect to pay for the cases discussed. Our estimates could be incorrect, and we could pay more or less than our current accrual. Litigation can be costly, diverting management statention and could, upon resolution, have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

Please refer to Part II Other Information, Item 1: Legal Proceedings in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for more information regarding our legal proceedings.

Off-Balance Sheet Financing

Synthetic Lease Arrangements

As of December 31, 2008, we were a party to two synthetic leases covering our Foster City facilities located at 301 and 303 Velocity Way, Foster City, California (the 301 Lease and the 303 Lease, together Leases). These Leases provided a cost effective means of providing adequate corporate office space. The Leases included an option to purchase the facilities during or at the end of the term of the Leases for the amount the lessor expended to purchase the facilities. The funds pledged under the Leases (\$56.9 million for the 303 Lease and \$31.7 million for the 301 Lease at December 31, 2008) were in LIBOR-based interest bearing accounts and were restricted as to withdrawal at all times. We exercised our purchase option in the first quarter of 2009 relating to the 301 Lease in connection with the sale of the building and land and terminated the corresponding synthetic lease. Accordingly, the \$31.7 million of pledged funds have been recognized in the determination of the gain on sale of building and land in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

On January 29, 2009, we sold a portion of the Foster City, California campus for \$137.5 million to Gilead. The property sold included an approximately 163,000 square foot building at 301 Velocity Way as well as approximately 30 acres of land. At March 31, 2009, gain was not recognized with respect to \$0.9 million representing the portion of the sales proceeds that remained in escrow pending the outcome of certain requirements mutually agreed upon at closing. Conditions precedent to the receipt of a portion of the funds held in escrow were resolved during

the second quarter of 2009, resulting in gain recognition of \$0.7 million. Direct transaction costs consist primarily of broker commissions, documentary transfer and title costs, legal fees, and other expenses. The cost of the land, building, and improvements accounted for as assets held for sale at December 31, 2008 were included in the determination of the \$80.0 million gain on sale of building and land for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

34

We retained ownership of the approximately 295,000 square foot building at 303 Velocity Way that we currently occupy along with the related land. In conjunction with the 303 Lease, we leased the land on which the building is located to the lessor of the building. This separate ground lease is for approximately 30 years and will expire in 2014. We are treated as the owner of this building for federal income tax purposes.

Our remaining synthetic lease is \$56.9 million as of June 30, 2010. We have guaranteed the lessor a residual value associated with the building equal to 82% of their funding of the 303 Lease. Under the financial covenants, we must maintain a minimum net worth and a minimum tangible net worth as of the end of each quarter. There is an additional covenant regarding mergers. We were in compliance with all such financial and merger-related covenants as of June 30, 2010. We have assessed our exposure in relation to the first loss guarantees under the 303 Lease and have determined there is no deficiency to the guaranteed value at June 30, 2010. If there is a decline in value, we will record a loss associated with the residual value guarantee. The \$56.9 million pledged under the 303 Lease is in LIBOR-based interest bearing accounts restricted as to withdrawal at all times.

Item 3: Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market Risk

We are exposed to various market risks. Market risk is the potential loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, general credit, foreign currency exchange rate fluctuation, liquidity, and interest rate risks, which may be exacerbated by the volatile global credit markets and economic uncertainty that have affected various sectors of the financial markets and caused credit and liquidity issues. We do not enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. We may enter into financial instrument contracts to manage and reduce the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on earnings and cash flows. The counterparties to such contracts are major financial institutions. During the fourth quarter of 2009, we began hedging our operating expense exposure in Indian rupees. The notional amount of our Indian rupee hedge was \$2.0 million at June 30, 2010.

Interest Rate Risk

Marketable Securities

We maintain an investment portfolio of short-term investments of various holdings, types, and maturities. These short-term investments are generally classified as available for-sale and, consequently, are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. At any time, a sharp rise in interest rates could have a material adverse impact on the fair value of our investment portfolio. Conversely, declines in interest rates could have a material impact on interest earnings for our portfolio. We do not currently hedge these interest rate exposures.

The following table presents the hypothetical change in fair values in the financial instruments held by us at June 30, 2010 that are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The modeling technique used measures the change in fair values arising from selected potential changes in interest rates. Market changes reflect immediate hypothetical parallel shifts in the yield curve of plus or minus 100 basis points over a twelve month time horizon (in thousands):

Valuation of		Valuation of
securities given an	No change	securities given an
interest rate	in	interest rate
decrease	interest	increase
of 100 basis points	rates	of 100 basis points
\$ 100,148	\$ 99,244	\$ 98,339

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

A large portion of our business is conducted in countries other than the U.S. We are primarily exposed to changes in exchange rates for the Euro, British pound, Indian rupee, and Japanese yen. Although the majority of our receivables are invoiced and collected in U.S. dollars, we have exposures from non-U.S. dollar-denominated sales (primarily Euro) and operating expenses (primarily Euro and Indian rupee) in foreign countries. We can benefit from a weaker dollar and we can be adversely affected from a stronger dollar relative to major currencies worldwide. Accordingly, changes in exchange rates may adversely affect our consolidated operating expenses and operating income (loss) as expressed in U.S. dollars. During the fourth quarter of 2009, we began hedging our operating expense exposure in Indian rupees. The notional amount of our

Indian rupee hedge was \$2.0 million at June 30, 2010.

Financial Risk Management

The following discussion about our risk management activities includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements.

As a global concern, we face exposure to adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates. These exposures may change over time as business practices evolve and could have a material adverse impact on our financial results. Our primary exposures are related to non-U.S. dollar denominated sales in Europe and operating expenses in Europe, India, and Japan. During the fourth quarter of 2009, we began hedging our operating expense exposure in Indian rupees. As of June 30, 2010, we had not entered into hedges against any other currency exposures, but we may consider hedging against currency movements in other currencies, as well as adjusting the hedged portion of our Indian rupee exposure in the future.

We maintain investment portfolio holdings of various issuers, types, and maturities, typically U.S. Treasury and sponsored entities securities, corporate debt instruments, asset-backed, and mortgage-backed instruments. These short-term investments are classified as available-for-sale and consequently are recorded in the balance sheet at fair value with unrealized gains and losses reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income. These securities are not leveraged and are held for purposes other than trading.

Item 4: Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the quarter ended June 30, 2010, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial and accounting officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial and accounting officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2010 to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to our management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

During the second quarter of 2010, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting or in other factors that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1: Legal Proceedings

We may be involved, from time to time, in a variety of claims, lawsuits, investigations and proceedings relating to contractual disputes, securities law, intellectual property, employment matters and other claims or litigation matters relating to various claims that arise in the normal course of our business. We determine whether an estimated loss from a contingency should be accrued by assessing whether a loss is deemed probable and can be reasonably estimated. We assess our potential liability by analyzing our specific litigation and regulatory matters using available information. We develop our views on estimated losses in consultation with inside and outside counsel, which involves a subjective analysis of potential results and outcomes, assuming various combinations of appropriate litigation and settlement strategies. Because of the uncertainties related to both the amount and ranges of possible loss on pending litigation matters, we are unable to predict with certainty the precise liability that could finally result from a range of possible unfavorable outcomes. Taking all of the above factors into account, we reserve an amount that we could reasonably expect to pay for the cases discussed. However, our estimates could be incorrect, and we could pay more or less than our current accrual. Litigation can be costly, diverting management s attention and could, upon resolution, have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, financial condition and cash flow.

As of June 30, 2010, the end of the quarterly period covered by this report, we are subject to the various claims, lawsuits, investigations or proceedings discussed below, as well as certain other legal proceedings that have arisen in the ordinary course of business.

36

Durst Fototechnik Technology GmbH (Durst) v. Electronics for Imaging, GmbH (EFI GmbH) et al.:

On February 23, 2007, Durst brought a patent infringement action against EFI GmbH in the Mannheim District Court in Germany. On May 10, 2007, EFI GmbH filed its Statement of Defenses. These defenses include lack of jurisdiction, non-infringement, invalidity, and unenforceability based on Durst s improper actions before the German patent office. EFI filed its Statement of Defense on August 29, 2007. EFI s defenses include those for EFI GmbH, as well as an additional defense for prior use based on EFI s own European patent rights. The Mannheim court conducted a trial on November 30, 2007. At the conclusion of the trial, the court ordered the parties to provide further briefing regarding issues raised by EFI regarding the validity of Durst s patent.

By a decision dated November 27, 2009, the Manheim court entirely dismissed Durst s infringement actions against EFI. Durst has appealed the decision and the appeal is currently pending at the Court of Appeal of Karlsruhe in Germany.

Item 1A: Risk Factors

In addition to information regarding risk factors that appears in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward-looking Statements in Part I, Item 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A and Part II, Items 7 and 7A, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which could materially affect our business, financial condition, or future results. The risks described herein and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, are not the only risks facing the Company. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition, and/or operating results.

Item 2: Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following is a summary of stock repurchases for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 (in thousands, except for per share amounts):

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Total	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased (1)	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d) Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 2010	1	\$ 11.90	Ü	\$
May 2010	7	12.64		
June 2010				
Total	8			\$

(1) Represents shares purchased from employees to satisfy tax withholding obligations that arose on the vesting of shares of RSUs. **Item 3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities**

None

Item 4: Reserved

Item 5: Other Information

Not applicable.

37

Table of Contents

Item 6: Exhibits

No. Description

- 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (1)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Electronics For Imaging, Inc. (as amended August 12, 2009) (2)
- 12.1 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 33-57382) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 17, 2009 (File No. 000-18805) and incorporated herein by reference.

38

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ELECTRONICS FOR IMAGING, INC.

Date: August 6, 2010 /s/ Guy Gecht

Guy Gecht

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 6, 2010 /s/ Gordon Heneweer

Gordon Heneweer

Interim Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

39

EXHIBIT INDEX

Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

No. Description 3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (1) 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of Electronics For Imaging, Inc. (as amended August 12, 2009) (2) 12.1 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 32.1 Chief Executive Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the

- (1) Filed as an exhibit to the Company s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 33-57382) and incorporated herein by reference.
- (2) Filed as an exhibit to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 17, 2009 (File No. 000-18805) and incorporated herein by reference.

40