BofI Holding, Inc. Form 10-Q April 29, 2010 Table of Contents

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the Quarterly Period ended March 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period from ______ to _____

Commission file number 000-51201

BofI HOLDING, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of

33-0867444 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

12777 High Bluff Drive, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92130

(Address of principal executive offices and zip code)

(858) 350-6200

(Registrant s telephone number and area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter Period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes **x** No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes "No"

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer "

Accelerated filer "

Non-accelerated filer "

Smaller reporting company x

(Do not check if a smaller

reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes "No x

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant s common stock on the last practicable date: 10,051,649 shares of common stock as of April 28, 2010.

BofI HOLDING, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page 3
ITEM 1. Financial Statements	3
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited) at March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (unaudited) for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholder s Equity and Comprehensive Income (unaudited) for the nine months ended March	3 4
31, 2010 Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	5 6 7
ITEM 2. Management s Discussion And Analysis Of Financial Condition And Results Of Operations	26
Selected Consolidated Financial Information Results of Operations Financial Condition Liquidity Contractual Obligations and Commitments Capital Resources and Requirements Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	27 29 37 42 42 43 44
ITEM 3: Quantitative And Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks	45
ITEM 4: Controls And Procedures	45
PART II OTHER INFORMATION	47
ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings	47
ITEM 1A. Risk Factors	47
ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales Of Equity Securities And Use Of Proceeds	50
ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities	50
ITEM 4. Submission Of Matters To A Vote Of Security Holders	50
ITEM 5. Other Information	50
ITEM 6. Exhibits	51

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	March 3 2010	1, June 30, 2009
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$ 3,5	69 \$ 3,441
Federal funds sold	3,5	4,965
Total cash and cash equivalents	7,1	04 8,406
Securities:	.,-	3,100
Trading	4,4	16 5,445
Available for sale	248.0	
Held to maturity	332,8	- , ,
Stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank, at cost	18,8	
Loans held for sale	5,3	
Loans net of allowance for loan loses of \$5,610 in March 2010; \$4,754 in June 2009	750,1	
Accrued interest receivable	6,1	,
Furniture, equipment and software net		38 436
Deferred income tax	5,8	
Cash surrender value of life insurance	4,8	
Other real estate owned and repossessed vehicles	3,3	,
Other assets	13,6	
TOTAL	\$ 1,401,1	43 \$1,302,208
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY		
Deposits:		
Non-interest bearing	\$ 7,4	29 \$ 3,509
Interest bearing	962,9	46 645,015
Total demonits	970,3	75 649 524
Total deposits Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	130,0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank	181,9	
		,
Federal Reserve Discount Window and other borrowings	5,1 1,8	,
Accrued interest payable		,
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	3,2	4,498
Total Liabilities	1,292,6	1,213,269
STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:		
Preferred stock 1,000,000 shares authorized:		
Series A \$10,000 stated value; 515 (March 2010) and 515 (June 2009) shares issued and outstanding	5,0	5,063
Series B \$1,000 stated value; 4,790 (March 2010) and 4,790 (June 2009) shares issued and outstanding	4,7	4,767

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Common stock \$0.01 par value; 25,000,000 shares authorized; 8,915,913 shares issued and 8,293,683 shares outstanding (March 2010); 8,706,075 shares issued and 8,082,768 shares outstanding (June 2009) 89 87 Additional paid-in capital 63,330 61,320 Accumulated other comprehensive income net of tax 3,560 1,926 Retained earnings 35,277 19,365 Treasury stock (3,603)(3,589)Total stockholders equity 108,483 88,939 TOTAL \$ 1,401,143 \$1,302,208

See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.

${\bf BofI\ HOLDING, INC.\ AND\ SUBSIDIARY}$

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Dollars in thousands, except earnings per share)

(Unaudited)

	Three Mor		Nine Mon	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME:				
Loans, including fees	\$ 11,238	\$ 10,907	\$ 31,848	\$ 31,141
Investments	9,969	7,634	33,002	26,084
Total Interest and dividend income	21,207	18,541	64,850	57,225
INTEREST EXPENSE:				
Deposits	5,331	6,051	16,160	18,646
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank	1,817	2,545	6,033	9,027
Other borrowings	1,450	1,470	4,507	4,496
Total interest expense	8,598	10,066	26,700	32,169
Net interest income	12.609	8,475	38,150	25,056
Provision for loan losses	1,250	1,200	4,850	2,830
Net interest income, after provision for loan losses	11,359	7,275	33,300	22,226
NON-INTEREST INCOME:				
Realized gain (loss) on securities:				
Sale of FNMA preferred stock				(7,902)
Sale of mortgage-backed securities	5,947		12,493	6
Total realized gain (loss) on securities	5,947		12,493	(7,896)
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities:				
Total impairment losses	(17)		(6,802)	
Loss recognized in other comprehensive loss	(518)		829	
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings	(535)		(5,973)	
Fair value loss on trading securities	(554)	(350)	(1,025)	(695)
Total unrealized loss on securities	(1,089)	(350)	(6,998)	(695)
Prepayment penalty fee income	38	5	86	50
Mortgage banking income	662	528	1,448	583
Banking service fees and other income	117	117	388	348
Total non-interest income (loss)	5,675	300	7,417	(7,610)
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE:				
Salaries, employee benefits and stock-based compensation	1,858	1,402	5,044	3,976
Professional services	378	281	1,216	980
Occupancy and equipment	94	108	298	325
- · · ·				

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Data processing and internet	198	213	639	580
Advertising and promotional	118	202	294	454
Depreciation and amortization	60	43	170	125
Real estate owned and repossessed vehicles	937	237	2,021	435
FDIC and OTS regulatory fees	434	296	1,221	633
Other general and administrative	628	408	1,571	1,167
Total non-interest expense	4,705	3,190	12,474	8,675
•	,	,	,	,
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	12,329	4,385	28,243	5,941
INCOME TAXES	5,154	1,791	11,812	2,403
NET INCOME	\$ 7,175	\$ 2,594	\$ 16,431	\$ 3,538
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO COMMON STOCK	\$ 7,002	\$ 2,421	\$ 15,912	\$ 3,021
	+ 1,**-	+ -,	+ ,	+ -,
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 4,822	\$ 3,896	\$ 18,065	\$ 4,228
	Ψ 1,022	+ 2,000	÷ 10,000	,==0
Basic earnings per share	\$ 0.82	\$ 0.30	\$ 1.89	\$ 0.36
Diluted earnings per share	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.29	\$ 1.79	\$ 0.37

See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.

BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

$(Dollars\ in\ thousands)$

(Unaudited)

				Common	Stock		Additional	((umulateo Other prehensi			
	Preferr	ed Stock	N	Number of Shares			Paid in	Retained		ncome, Net of	Treasury		
	Shares	Amount	Issued	Treasury	Outstanding	Amount	Capital	Earnings		Tax	Stock	7	Total
BALANCE July 1, 2009	5,305	\$ 9,830	8,706,075	(623,307)	8,082,768	\$ 87	\$ 61,320	\$ 19,365	\$	1,926	\$ (3,589)	\$	88,939
Comprehensive income:													
Net income								16,431					16,431
Net unrealized gain from investment securities net of income tax expense										1,634			1,634
Total comprehensive income												\$	18,065
Cash dividends on preferred stock								(519)					(519)
Stock-based compensation expense							591						591
Restricted stock grants			4,709	3,140	7,849		32				1		33
Stock option exercises and tax effect of equity compensation			205,129	(2,063)	203,066	2	1,387				(15)		1,374
BALANCE March 31, 2010	5,305	\$ 9,830	8,915,913	(622,230)	8,293,683	\$ 89	\$ 63,330	\$ 35,277	\$	3,560	\$ (3,603)	\$ 1	08,483

See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.

${\bf BofI\ HOLDING, INC.\ AND\ SUBSIDIARY}$

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOW

$(Dollars\ in\ thousands)$

(Unaudited)

	Nine Mon Marc	
	2010	2009
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income (loss)	\$ 16,431	\$ 3,538
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Amortization (accretion) of premiums (discounts) on securities	(19,073)	(9,267)
Amortization (accretion) of premiums (discounts) and deferred loan fees	(3,195)	(1,199)
Amortization of borrowing costs	11	14
Stock-based compensation expense	591	425
Net loss (gain) on sale of investment securities	(12,493)	7,896
Valuation of financial instruments carried at fair value	1,025	695
Impairment charge on securities held to maturity	5,973	
Provision for loan losses	4,850	2,830
Deferred income taxes	(3,771)	(175)
Originations of loans held for sale	(90,360)	(40,102)
Net gain on sales of loans held for sale	(1,448)	(583)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	89,672	39,384
Depreciation and amortization	170	125
Stock dividends from the Federal Home Loan Bank		(464)
Net changes in assets and liabilities which provide (use) cash:		,
Accrued interest receivable	(235)	7
Other assets	7,512	(4,856)
Accrued interest payable	(260)	(107)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(6,938)	(4,747)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	(11,538)	(6,586)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchases of investment securities and time deposits	(129,442)	(126,796)
Proceeds from sale of mortgage-backed securities	77,787	1,170
Proceeds from repayments of securities and time deposits	115,800	73,772
Purchases of stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank	,	(2,068)
Proceeds from redemption of stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank		3,079
Origination of loans	(41,754)	(31,432)
Proceeds from sales of repossessed assets	5,624	2,689
Purchases of loans, net of discounts and premiums	(156,059)	(51,862)
Principal repayments on loans	56,703	77,239
Purchases of furniture, equipment and software	(272)	(105)
/ 1 1		. ,
Net cash provided (used) in investing activities	(71,613)	(54,314)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	321,851	123,279
Proceeds from the Federal Home Loan Bank advances		105,000
	135,000	(193,000)
Repayments of the Federal Home Loan Bank advances	(216,000)	(193,000)

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Proceeds from borrowing at the Fed Discount Window		125,000		65,000
Repayments of borrowing at the Fed Discount Window	((285,000)		(45,000)
Purchases of treasury stock				(994)
Proceeds from exercise of common stock options		965		
Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock Series B				1,017
Tax benefit from exercise of common stock options		456		(26)
Cash dividends paid on convertible preferred stock		(423)		(421)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities		81,849		54,855
r		- ,		,,,,,,,
NET CHANGE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		(1,302)		(6,045)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS Beginning of period		8,406		16,264
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS End of period	\$	7,104	\$	10,219
	7	.,	_	,
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:				
Interest paid on deposits and borrowed funds	\$	26,947	\$	32,261
Income taxes paid	\$	15,720	\$	5,639
Transfers to real estate owned	\$	4,800	\$	8,365
Adoption of fair value securities transferred from held to maturity to trading portfolio	\$		\$	11,055
Securities transferred from held-to-maturity to available for sale portfolio	\$	1,245	\$	
Preferred stock dividends declared but not paid	\$	96	\$	96

See condensed notes to consolidated financial statements.

BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2010 AND 2009

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of BofI Holding, Inc. and its wholly owned subsidiary, Bank of Internet USA (the Bank and collectively with BofI Holding Inc., the Company). All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying interim condensed consolidated financial statements, presented in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (GAAP), are unaudited and reflect all adjustments which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair statement of financial condition and results of operations for the interim periods. All adjustments are of a normal and recurring nature. Results for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the year as a whole. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted. The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes for the year ended June 30, 2009 included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior-period financial statements to conform to the current period presentation.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Securities. We classify investment securities as either trading, available for sale or held to maturity. Trading securities are those securities for which we have elected fair value accounting in accordance with SFAS No. 159, see footnote 3. Trading securities are recorded at fair value with changes in fair value recorded in earnings each period. Securities available for sale are reported at estimated fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of the related tax effects, excluded from operations and reported as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. The fair values of securities traded in active markets are obtained from market quotes. If quoted prices in active markets are not available, we determine the fair value from our internal pricing models. Securities that management has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held to maturity and recorded at amortized cost. Amortization of purchase premiums and accretion of discounts on securities are recorded as yield adjustments on such securities using the effective interest method. The specific identification method is used for purposes of determining cost in computing realized gains and losses on investment securities sold.

At each reporting date, we monitor our available for sale and held to maturity securities for other-than-temporary impairment.

Other-than-temporary impairment losses are recognized in noninterest income with a corresponding reduction in the carrying value of the investment.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is maintained at a level estimated to provide for probable incurred losses in the loan portfolio. Management determines the adequacy of the allowance based on reviews of individual loans and pools of loans, recent loss experience, current economic conditions, the risk characteristics of the various categories of loans and other pertinent factors. This evaluation is inherently subjective and requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as more information becomes available. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is charged against current period operating results and recoveries of loans previously charged-off. The allowance is decreased by the amount of charge-offs of loans deemed uncollectible.

Under the allowance for loan loss policy, impairment calculations are determined based on general portfolio data for general reserves and loan level data for specific reserves. Specific loans are evaluated for impairment and are generally classified as nonperforming or in foreclosure when they are 90 days or more delinquent. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Bank will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by

management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if repayment of the loan is expected primarily from the sale of collateral.

General loan loss reserves for real estate loans are calculated by grouping each loan by collateral type and by grouping the loan-to-value ratios of each loan within the collateral type. An estimated allowance rate for each loan-to-value group within each type of loan is multiplied by the total principal amount in the group to calculate the required general reserve attributable to that group. Management uses an allowance rate that provides a larger loss allowance for loans with greater loan-to-value ratios. General loss reserves for consumer loans are calculated by grouping each loan by credit score (e.g., FICO) at origination and applying and estimated allowance to each group. Specific reserves are calculated when an internal asset review of a loan identifies a significant adverse change in the financial position of the borrower or the value of the collateral. The specific reserve is based on discounted cash flows, observable market prices or the estimated value of underlying collateral.

New Accounting Pronouncements. On July 1, 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board s (FASB) GAAP Codification became effective as the sole authoritative source of US GAAP. This codification reorganizes current GAAP for non-governmental entities into a topical index to facilitate accounting research and to provide users additional assurance that they have referenced all related literature pertaining to a given topic. Existing GAAP prior to the Codification was not altered in compilation of the GAAP Codification. The GAAP Codification encompasses all FASB Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS), Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) statements, FASB Staff Positions (FSP), FASB Interpretations (FIN), FASB Derivative Implementation Guides (DIG), American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) Statement of Positions (SOPs), Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinions and Accounting Research Bulletins (ARBs) along with the remaining body of GAAP effective as of June 30, 2009. Financial Statements issued for all interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009 will need to reference accounting guidance embodied in the FASB Codification as opposed to referencing the previously authoritative pronouncements. Accounting literature included in the FASB Codification is referenced by Topic, Subtopic, Section and Paragraph.

In June 2008, the FASB issued new guidance impacting Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 260, Earnings Per Share, related to determining whether instruments granted in share-based payment transactions are participating securities. This new guidance addresses whether these types of instruments are participating prior to vesting and, therefore need to be included in the earning allocation in computing earnings per share under the two class method described in ASC Topic 260. All prior-period earnings per share data presented shall be adjusted retrospectively. The Company adopted this new guidance on July 1, 2009, which had the effect of treating the Company s unvested restricted stock awards as participating in the earnings allocation when computing earnings per share. The adoption of this new guidance did not have a significant impact on the Company s earnings per share for any period presented.

In August 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2009-05 (ASU 2009-05), which provides amendments to ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, for the fair value measurement of liabilities. ASU 2009-05 provides clarification that in circumstances in which a quoted price in an active market for the identical liability is not available, a reporting entity is required to measure fair value using one or more of the following techniques: a valuation technique that uses the quoted price of the identical liability when traded as an asset or a quoted price for a similar liability when traded as an asset, or another valuation method that is consistent with the principles of ASC Topic 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. ASU 2009-05 also provides clarification that when estimating the fair value of a liability, a reporting entity is not required to include a separate input or adjustment to other inputs relating to the existence of a restriction that prevents the transfer of the liability. The effective date is the first reporting period beginning after issuance. The Company adopted the provisions of ASU 2009-05 on October 1, 2009. The impact of the adoption was not material.

In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC Topic 860-10-65, Accounting for the Transfer of Financial Assets and Amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 Instruments (SFAS 166). ASC Topic 860-10-65 removes the concept of a special purpose entity (SPE) from Statement 140 and removes the exception of applying FASB Interpretation 46 Variable Interest Entities, to Variable Interest Entities that are SPEs. It limits the circumstances in which a transferor derecognizes a financial asset. ASC Topic 860-10-65 amends the requirements for the transfer of a financial asset to meet the requirements for sale accounting. The statement is effective for all interim and annual periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company adopted ASC Topic 860-10-65 on January 1, 2010. The impact of the adoption was not material.

Table of Contents 13

8

In June 2009 the FASB issued ASC Topic 810-10, Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R) (SFAS 167). ASC Topic 810-10 amends Interpretation 46(R) to require an enterprise to perform an analysis to determine whether the enterprise s variable interest give it a controlling financial interest in the variable interest entity. ASC Topic 810-10 is effective for all interim and annual periods beginning after November 15, 2009. The Company adopted ASC Topic 810-10 on January 1, 2010. The impact of the adoption was not material.

3. FAIR VALUE

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. ASC Topic 820 also establishes a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

- Level 1: Quoted prices in active markets for *identical* assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. treasury, other U.S. Government and agency mortgage-backed debt securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.
- **Level 2:** Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for *similar* assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets include securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.
- **Level 3:** Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models such as discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation.

When available, the Company generally uses quoted market prices to determine fair value. In some cases where a market price is available, the Company will make use of acceptable practical expedients (such as matrix pricing) to calculate fair value, in which case the items are classified in Level 2. The Company considers relevant and observable market prices in its valuations where possible. The frequency of transactions, the size of the bid-ask spread and the nature of the participants are some of the factors the Company uses to help determine whether a market is active and orderly or inactive and not orderly. Price quotes based upon transactions that are not orderly are not considered to be determinative of fair value and should be given little, if any, weight in measuring fair value.

If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internally developed valuation techniques that use, where possible, current market-based or independently sourced market parameters, such as interest rates, credit spreads, housing value forecasts, etc. Items valued using such internally generated valuation techniques are classified according to the lowest level input or value driver that is significant to the valuation. Thus, an item may be classified in Level 3 even though there may be some significant inputs that are readily observable.

9

The following section describes the valuation methodologies used by the Company to measure various financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair-value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified:

Securities trading. Trading securities are recorded at fair value. The trading portfolio consists of two different issues of floating-rate debt securities collateralized by pools of bank trust preferred securities. Recent liquidity and economic uncertainty have made the market for collateralized debt obligations less active or inactive. As quoted market prices are not available, the Level 3 fair values for these securities are determined by the Company utilizing industry-standard tools to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows available to the securities from the underlying assets. The Company s expected cash flows are calculated for each security and include the impact of actual and forecasted bank defaults within each collateral pool as well as structural features of the security s tranche such as lock outs, subordination and overcollateralization. The forecast of underlying bank defaults in each pool is based upon a quarterly financial update including the trend in non-performing assets, the allowance for loan loss and the underlying bank s capital ratios. Also a factor is the Company s loan loss experience in the local economy in which the bank operates. At March 31, 2010, the Company s forecast of cash flows for both securities includes actual and forecasted defaults totaling 37.5% of all banks in the collateral pools, up from 19.9% of the banks actually in default. The expected cash flows reflect the Company s best estimate of all pool losses which are then applied to the overcollateralization reserve and the subordinated tranches to determine the cash flows. The Company selects a discount rate margin based upon the spread between U.S. Treasury rates and the market rates for active credit grades for financial companies. The discount margin when added to the U.S. Treasury rate determines the discount rate, reflecting primarily market liquidity and interest rate risk since expected credit loss is included in the cash flows. At March 31, 2010, the Company used a weighted average discount margin of 350 basis points above U.S. Treasury rates to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows and the fair value of its trading securities.

The Level 3 fair values determined by the Company for its trading securities rely heavily on management s assumptions as to the future credit performance of the collateral banks, the impact of the global and regional recession, the timing of forecasted defaults and the discount rate applied to cash flows. The fair value of the trading securities at March 31, 2010 is sensitive to an increase or decrease in the discount rate. An increase in the discount margin of 100 basis points would have reduced the total fair value of the trading securities and decreased net income before income tax by \$560. A decrease in the discount margin of 100 basis points would have increased the total fair value of the trading securities and increased net income before income tax by \$672.

Securities available for sale and held to maturity. Available for sale securities are recorded at fair value and consist of residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and debt securities issued by U.S. agencies as well as RMBS issued by non-agencies. Held to maturity securities are recorded at amortized cost and consist of RMBS issued by U.S. agencies as well as RMBS issued by non-agencies. Fair value for U.S. agency securities is generally based on quoted market prices of similar securities used to form a dealer quote or a pricing matrix. The market for RMBS issued by non-agencies continued to deteriorate in 2008 and 2009. The significant illiquidity in the market impacted the availability and reliability of transparent pricing. As orderly quoted market prices are not available, the Level 3 fair values for these securities are determined by the Company utilizing industry-standard tools to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows available to the securities from the underlying mortgage assets. The Company computes Level 3 fair values for each non-agency RMBS in the same manner (as described below) whether available for sale or held to maturity.

To determine the performance of the underlying mortgage loan pools, the Company estimates prepayments, defaults, and loss severities based on a number of macroeconomic factors, including housing price changes, unemployment rates, interest rates and borrower attributes such as credit score and loan documentation at the time of origination. The Company inputs for each security a projection of monthly default rates, loss severity rates and voluntary prepayment rates for the underlying mortgages for the remaining life of the security to determine the expected cash flows. The projections of default rates are derived by the Company from the historic default rate observed in the pool of loans collateralizing the security, increased by (and decreased by) the forecasted increase or decrease in the national unemployment rate. The projections of loss severity rates are derived by the Company from the historic loss severity rate observed in the pool of loans, increased by (and decreased by) the forecasted decrease or increase in the national home price appreciation (HPA) index. The largest factor influencing the Company s modeling of the monthly default rate is unemployment. The most updated unemployment rate reported in February 2010 was 9.7%, down from the high of 10.1% in October 2009. Consensus estimates for unemployment are that the rate will continue to decline. Going forward, the Company is projecting lower monthly default rates. The Company projects severities to begin improving in early 2011.

To determine the discount rates used to compute the present value of the expected cash flows for these non-agency RMBS securities, the Company separates the securities by the borrower characteristics in the underlying pool. Specifically, prime securities generally have borrowers with higher FICO scores and better documentation of income. Alt-A

securities generally have borrowers with a little lower FICO and a little less documentation of income. Pay-option ARMs are Alt-A securities with borrowers that tend to pay the least amount of principal (or increase their loan balance through negative amortization). The Company calculates separate discount rates for prime, Alt-A and Pay-option ARM non-agency RMBS securities using market-participant assumptions for risk, capital and return on equity.

Impaired Loans. The fair value of impaired loans with specific write-offs is generally based on recent real estate appraisals or other third-party valuations and analysis of cash flows. These appraisals and analyses may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and income approaches. Adjustments are routinely made in the process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data available. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in a Level 3 classification for the inputs for determining fair value.

Other Real Estate Owned. Nonrecurring adjustments to certain commercial and residential real estate properties classified as other real estate owned (OREO) are measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less costs to sell. Fair values are generally based on third party appraisals of the property, resulting in a Level 3 classification. In cases where the carrying amount exceeds the fair value, less costs to sell, an impairment loss is recognized.

The following table sets forth the Company s financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement:

	Quoted Prices Active Markets for	in					
	Identical Assets (Level 1)	tical Significant Other Significa ets Observable Unobserv vel Inputs Input		,	e Fair Value		
ASSETS:							
Securities trading: Collateralized debt obligations	\$	\$		\$	4,416	\$	4,416
Securities available for sale:							
Agency debt	\$	\$	66,943	\$		\$	66,943
Agency RMBS			51,981				51,981
Non-agency RMBS					129,105		129,105
Total securities available for sale	\$	\$	118,924	\$	129,105	\$	248,029
			June	e 30, 20	09		
ASSETS:							
Securities trading: Collateralized debt obligations	\$	\$		\$	5,445	\$	5,445
Securities available for sale:							
Agency debt	\$	\$	59,001	\$		\$	59,001
Agency RMBS			81,047				81,047
Non-agency RMBS					125,759		125,759
Total securities available for sale	\$	\$	140,048	\$	125,759	\$	265,807

The following table presents additional information about assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis and for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

	Trading Securities (Dollars	Sale	railable for e Securities sands)
ASSETS			
Beginning Balance July 1, 2009	\$ 5,445	\$	125,759
Total gains/(losses) (realized/unrealized):			
Included in earnings	(1,025)		11,907
Included in other comprehensive income			54
Purchases, issuances, and settlements	(4)		(17,157)
Transfers into Level 3			8,542
Ending balance March 31, 2010	\$ 4,416	\$	129,105

Impaired loans measured for impairment on a non-recurring basis using the fair value of the collateral for collateral-dependent loans has a carrying amount of \$4,215 after a write-off of \$1,518, resulting in an additional provision for loan losses of \$608 during the three months ended March 31, 2010.

Other real estate owned which is measured at the lower of carrying or fair value less costs to sell, had a net carrying amount of \$2,764, which is made up of the outstanding balance of \$3,857, net of a valuation allowance of \$1,093 at March 31, 2010.

Held to maturity securities measured for impairment on a non-recurring basis have a carrying amount of \$46,867 after a charge to income for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 of \$5,973 and recoveries from other comprehensive income of \$3,038. These held to maturity securities are valued using Level 3 inputs.

12

Fair value of Financial Instruments. Carrying amount and estimated fair values of financial instruments at March 31, 2010 and at the year-ended June 30, 2009 were as follows:

	March 3 Carrying Amount	Fair Value	June 3 Carrying Amount thousands)	0, 2009 Fair Value
Financial assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,104	\$ 7,104	\$ 8,406	\$ 8,406
Securities held to maturity	332,841	342,192	350,898	344,612
Stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank	18,848	N/A	18,848	N/A
Loans held for sale	5,326	5,326	3,190	3,190
Loans held for investment net	750,118	777,849	615,463	626,588
Accrued interest receivable	6,103	6,103	5,868	5,868
Financial liabilities:				
Deposits	970,375	976,047	648,524	636,479
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	130,000	142,097	130,000	141,660
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank	181,995	188,711	262,984	270,893
Federal Reserve Discount Window and other borrowings	5,155	5,155	165,155	165,155
Accrued interest payable	1,848	1,848	2,108	2,108

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, interest bearing deposits, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. Fair value of loans held for sale is based on market quotes. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco (FHLB) stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance sheet items is not considered material.

4. SECURITIES

The amortized cost or carrying amount, the unrealized gains, unrealized losses and the fair value for the major categories of investment securities available for sale and held to maturity were:

	Trading	Amortized	Un	Availab realized				Carrying	Uni	Held to recognized		turity recognized		
	Fair Value	e Cost		Gains	Ι	Losses	Fair Value March 31, (Dollars in the			Gains	Losses			air Value
Mortgage-backed securities (RMBS):							`	ŕ						
U.S. agencies ¹	\$	\$ 50,906	\$	1,075	\$		\$ 51,981	\$ 38,332	\$	517	\$	(1,863)	\$	36,986
Non-agency ²		114,912		14,298		(105)	129,105	294,509		19,322		(8,625)		305,206
Total RMBS		165,818		15,373		(105)	181,086	332,841		19,839		(10,488)		342,192
Other debt securities:														
U.S. agencies ¹		66,945		3		(5)	66,943							
Non-agency	4,416													
Total other debt securities	4,416	66,945		3		(5)	66,943							
Total debt securities	\$ 4,416	\$ 232,763	\$	15,376	\$	(110)	\$ 248,029	\$ 332,841	\$	19,839	\$	(10,488)	\$	342,192
							June 30,	2009						
							(Dollars in th	ousands)						
Mortgage-backed securities (RMBS):														
U.S. agencies ¹	\$	\$ 79,579	\$	1,471	\$	(3)	\$ 81,047	\$ 18,928	\$	538	\$	(5)	\$	19,461
Non-agency ²		111,620		14,139			125,759	331,970		18,248		(25,067)		325,151
Total RMBS		191,199		15,610		(3)	206,806	350,898		18,786		(25,072)		344,612
Other debt securities:														
U.S. agencies ¹		59,018				(17)	59,001							
Non-agency	5,445													
Total other debt securities	5,445	59,018				(17)	59,001							
Total debt securities	\$ 5,445	\$ 250,217	\$	15,610	\$	(20)	\$ 265,807	\$ 350,898	\$	18,786	\$	(25,072)	\$	344,612

The Company s non-agency RMBS available for sale portfolio with a total fair value of \$129,105 at March 31, 2010 consists of 32 different issues of super senior securities with a fair value of \$90,273; two senior structured whole loan securities with a fair value of \$37,794 and 10 mezzanine z-tranche securities with a fair value of \$1,038 collateralized by seasoned prime and Alt-A first-lien mortgages. The Company acquired its mezzanine z-tranche securities in fiscal 2009 and accounts for them by measuring the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the purchase price (accretable yield) and recognizes interest income over the remaining life of the security. In November 2009, the

¹ U.S. government-backed or government sponsored enterprises including Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac and Ginnie Mae.

² Private sponsors of securities collateralized primarily by pools of 1-4 family residential first mortgages . Primarily supersenior securities secured by prime, Alt-A or pay-option ARM mortgages.

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Company transferred all of the mezzanine z-tranche securities with \$1,245 of carrying value out of the held-to-maturity portfolio and into the available for sale portfolio in response to significant deterioration of credit performance of the residential mortgage loans underlying the securities. The Company concluded that the deterioration was significant for each security based upon 1) the rapid increase in the percent of the loan pool composed of loans 60 days or more past due, plus those in foreclosure or bankruptcy plus those repossessed and 2) the rapid decrease in percent of credit enhancement covering the total calculated in 1). All of the transferred mezzanine z-tranche securities were showing deterioration at a rate of at least 22% annually based on the statistical change since acquisition. The unrealized gain at the time of the transfer was \$7,297. The Company has sold 34 of the 44 securities that were transferred resulting in a realized gain on sale of \$11,907. Of these 34 securities sold, 16 were sold in the quarter ended December 31, 2009 with a realized gain on sale of \$5,960 and 18 were sold in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 with a realized gain on sale of \$5,947.

The non-agency RMBS held-to-maturity portfolio with a carrying value of \$294,509 at March 31, 2010 consists of 83 different issues of super senior securities totaling \$288,004, one senior-support security with a carrying value of \$3,835 and one other security with a carrying value of \$2,670. Debt securities with evidence of credit quality deterioration since issuance and for which it is probable at purchase that the Company will be unable to collect all of the par value of the security are accounted for under ASC Topic 310, Accounting for Certain Loans or Debt Securities Acquired in a Transfer (ASC Topic 310). Under ASC Topic 310, the excess of cash flows expected at acquisition over the purchase price is referred to as the accretable yield and is recognized in interest income over the remaining life of the security. The Company has one senior support security that it acquired at a significant discount that evidenced credit deterioration at acquisition and

14

is accounted for under ASC Topic 310. For a cost of \$17,740, the Company acquired the senior support security with a contractual par value of \$30,560 and accretable and non accretable discounts that were projected to be \$9,015 and \$3,805, respectively. Since acquisition, repayments from the security have been received more rapidly than projected at acquisition, but expected total payments have declined, resulting in a determination that the security was other than temporarily impaired and the recognition of a \$1,216 impairment loss during fiscal 2009 and \$5,114 during the nine months ended March 31, 2010, of which \$0 was recognized in the quarter ended March 31, 2010. At March 31, 2010, the security had a remaining contractual par value of \$8,052 and accretable and non-accretable discount are currently projected to be \$0 and \$3,982, respectively. The current face amounts of debt securities available for sale and held to maturity that were pledged to secure borrowings at March 31, 2010 and 2009 were \$533,616 and \$566,732 respectively.

The securities with unrealized losses, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position were as follows:

	Less Than 12 Months Gross Unrealized			le for sale securities in loss po More Than 12 Months Gross Unrealized					To	Uni	Gross ealized
	Fair Value	1	Losses		· Value March : ollars in	31, 201		Fair	Value	L	osses
RMBS:											
U.S. agencies	\$	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	
Non-agencies	5,937		(105)						5,937		(105)
Total RMBS securites	5,937		(105)						5,937		(105)
Other debt: U.S. agencies	44,976		(5)					4	4,976		(5)
Total	\$ 50,913	\$	(110)	\$		\$			0,913	\$	(110)
				(De	June 3						
RMBS: U.S. agencies	\$	\$		\$	840	\$	(3)	\$	840	\$	(3)
Other debt: U.S. agencies	53,033	¢.	(17)	¢	940	ø	(2)		3,033	¢	(17)
Total	\$ 53,033	\$	(17)	\$	840	\$	(3)	3.5	3.873	\$	(20)

		Held to maturity securities in loss position for More Than 12					
	Less Than	12 Months	Months	Total			
		Gross	Gross	Gross			
		Unrealized	Unrealized	Unrealized			
	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value Losses	Fair Value Losses			
			March 31, 2010 (Dollars in thousands)				
RMBS:			(Donars in inousunus)				
U.S. agencies	\$ 20,083	\$ (1,863)	\$ \$	\$ 20,083 \$ (1,863)			
Non-agency	44,555	(3,230)	79,505 (5,395)	124,060 (8,625)			
Tvon-agency	77,333	(3,230)	17,505 (5,575)	124,000 (0,023)			
T-4-1 DMDCi4	¢ (4.629	¢ (5.002)	¢ 70 505 ¢ (5 205)	¢ 144 142 ¢ (10 499)			
Total RMBS securites	\$ 64,638	\$ (5,093)	\$79,505 \$ (5,395)	\$ 144,143 \$ (10,488)			
Other debt:							
U.S. agencies							
Total	\$ 64,638	\$ (5,093)	\$ 79,505 \$ (5,395)	\$ 144,143 \$ (10,488)			
			June 30, 2009				
			(Dollars in thousands)				
RMBS:			(Donars in mousulus)				
U.S. agencies	\$	\$	\$ 1,026 \$ (5)	\$ 1,026 \$ (5)			
Non-agency	121,735	(16,509)	61,468 (8,558)	183,203 (25,067)			
		, , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
Total RMBS securites	\$ 121,735	\$ (16,509)	\$ 62,494 \$ (8,563)	\$ 184,229 \$ (25,072)			

There were 19 securities that were in a continuous loss position at March 31, 2010 for a period of more than 12 months. There were 22 securities that were in a continuous loss position at June 30, 2009 for a period of more than 12 months.

The following table summarizes amounts of anticipated credit loss recognized in the income statement through other-than-temporary impairment charges which reduced non-interest income:

	For the three months ended March 31, 2010	m e Ma	the nine nonths ended arch 31, 2010
Beginning balance	\$ 6,892	\$	1,454
Amounts related to credit loss for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized Additions/Subtractions	220		2,040
Increases to the amount related to the credit loss for which other-than-temporary was previously recognized Ending balance	315 \$ 7,427	\$	3,933 7,427

At March 31, 2010, non-agency RMBS with a total carrying amount of \$47,479 were determined to have a cumulative other-than-temporary impairment of \$7,427 of which \$535 was recognized in earnings during the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and \$5,973 was recognized in earnings during the nine months ended March 31, 2010. This quarter s other-than-temporary impairment of \$535 is related to eight non-agency RMBS with a total carrying amount of \$16,116 accounted for under ASC Topic 320, Accounting for Certain Investments. In accordance with ASC Topic 320-10-65-65.1, Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments, the Company measures its non-agency RMBS in an unrealized loss position at the end of the reporting period for other-than-temporary impairment by comparing the present value of the cash flows currently expected to be collected from the security with its amortized cost basis. If the calculated present value is lower than the amortized cost, the difference is the credit component of an other-than-temporary impairment of its debt securities. The difference between the present value and the fair value of the security (if any) is the noncredit component only if the Company does not intend to sell the security and will not be required to sell the security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. The credit component of the other-than-temporary-impairment is recorded as a loss in earnings and the noncredit component as a charge to other comprehensive income, net of the related income tax benefit.

To determine the cash flow expected to be collected and to calculate the present value for purposes of testing for other-than -temporary impairment, the Company utilizes the same industry-standard tool and the same cash flows as those calculated for Level 3 fair values as discussed in footnote 3. The Company computes cash flows based upon the cash flows from underlying mortgage loan pools. The Company estimates prepayments, defaults, and loss severities based on a number of macroeconomic factors, including housing price changes, unemployment rates, interest rates and borrower attributes such as credit score and loan documentation at the time of origination. The Company inputs for each security a projection of monthly default rates, loss severity rates and voluntary prepayment rates for the underlying mortgages for the remaining life of the security to determine the expected cash flows. The projections of default rates are derived by the Company from the historic default rate observed in the pool of loans collateralizing the security, increased by (and decreased by) the forecasted increase or decrease in the national unemployment rate. The projections of loss severity rates are derived by the Company from the historic loss severity rate observed in the pool of loans, increased by (and decreased by) the forecasted decrease or increase in the national home price appreciation (HPA) index. The largest factor influencing the Company s modeling of the monthly default rate is unemployment. The most updated unemployment rate reported in February 2010 was 9.7%, down from the high of 10.1% in October 2009. Consensus estimates for unemployment are that the rate will continue to decline. Going forward, the Company is projecting lower monthly default rates. The Company projects severities to begin improving in early 2011.

In accordance with ASC Topic 320-10-65-65.1, the discount rates used to compute the present value of the expected cash flows for purposes of testing for the credit component of the other-than-temporary impairment are either the implicit rate calculated in each of the Company s securities at acquisition (as prescribed by ASC Topic 310 Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan) or the last accounting yield (as prescribed in ASC Topic 325-40). For securities recorded under ASC Topic 320, the Company calculates the implicit rate at acquisition based on the contractual terms of the security, considering scheduled payments (and minimum payments in the case of pay-option ARMs) without prepayment assumptions. Once the discount rate (or discount margin in the case of floating rate securities) is calculated as described above, the discount is used in the industry-standard model to calculate the present value of the cash flows.

17

The gross gains and losses realized through earnings upon the sale of available for sale securities were as follows:

	For the three months ended for the nine months ended					
	March 31, March 31,					
		(Dollar	s in t	thousands)		
	2010	2009		2010		2009
Proceeds	\$ 20,506	\$	\$	77,787	\$	1,170
Gross realized gains	\$ 5,947	\$	\$	12,493	\$	6
Gross realized loss						(7,902)
Net gain (loss) on securities	\$ 5,947	\$	\$	12,493	\$	(7,896)

At March 31, 2010 and June 30, 2009, the Company had recorded unrealized gains and unrealized losses in accumulated other comprehensive income as follows:

	March 31, 2010 (Dollars in	June 30, 2009 thousands)
Available for sale debt securities net unrealized gains	\$ 15,266	\$ 15,590
Held to maturity debt securities other-than-temporary impairment loss under FSP FAS 115-2	(9,339)	(12,377)
Subtotal	5,927	3,213
Tax expense	(2,367)	(1,287)
Net unrealized gain on investment securities in other comprehensive income	\$ 3,560	\$ 1,926

The expected maturity distribution of the Company s mortgage-backed securities and the contractual maturity distribution of the Company s other debt securities classified as available for sale and held to maturity at March 31, 2010 were:

		March 31, 2010			
	Trading Available for sale				maturity
	Fair	Amortized	Fair	Carrying	Fair
	Value	Cost	Value	Amount	Value
D1 77 0 1 1		(De	ollars in thous	sands)	
RMBS U.S. agencies!:	_				
Due within one year	\$	\$ 12,326	\$ 12,582	\$ 10,402	\$ 9,838
Due one to five years		20,867	21,296	16,761	15,935
Due five to ten years		9,146	9,331	5,307	5,210
Due after ten years		8,567	8,772	5,862	6,003
Total RMBS U.S. agencies		50,906	51,981	38,332	36,986
RMBS Non-agency:					
Due within one year		27,165	30,413	42,526	44,994
Due one to five years		67,624	76,305	82,889	89,080
Due five to ten years		18,439	20,470	44,945	47,096
Due after ten years		1,684	1,917	124,149	124,036
Total RMBS Non-agency		114,912	129,105	294,509	305,206
Other debt:					
Due within one year		66,945	66,943		
Due one to five years					
Due five to ten years					
Due after ten years	4,416				
Total other debt	4,416	66,945	66,943		
	,	,	,		
Total	\$ 4,416	\$ 232,763	\$ 248,029	\$ 332,841	\$ 342,192

5. ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSS

We are committed to maintaining the allowance for loan losses at a level that is considered to be commensurate with estimated probable incurred credit losses in the portfolio. Although the adequacy of the allowance is reviewed quarterly, management performs an ongoing assessment of the risks inherent in the portfolio. While the Company believes that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at March 31, 2010, future additions to the allowance will be subject to continuing evaluation of estimated and known, as well as inherent, risks in the loan portfolio.

The assessment of the adequacy of the Company s allowance for loan losses is based upon a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including levels and trends of past due and nonaccrual loans, change in volume and mix of loans, collateral values and charge-off history.

The Company provides general loan loss reserves for its RV and auto loans based upon the borrower credit score at the time of origination and our loss experience to date. The Company provides general loan loss reserves for mortgage loans based upon the size and type of the mortgage loan and the loan-to-value ratio. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 charge-offs decreased 23.4% from the previous quarter, however for the nine months ended March 31, 2010, the Company has experienced increased charge-offs compared to the previous year. If the Company continues to experience an increase in charge-offs relative to the loan portfolio size, it may be required to increase the loan loss provisions in the future to provide a larger loss allowance.

Residential mortgage-backed security (RMBS) distributions include impact of expected prepayments and other timing factors.

19

The following table summarizes activity in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended March 31, 2010:

	Single Family	Home Equity	Multi- family	Comm Re Esta and I	al ate _and	Ve A	eational hicles and utos	O	ther	Total
Balance at July 1, 2009	\$ 1,113	\$ 280	\$ 1,680	\$	179	\$	1,475	\$	27	\$ 4,754
Provision for loan loss	1,271	25	636		31		2,881		6	4,850
Charge-offs	(944)	(105)	(519)				(2,426)			(3,994)
Recoveries										
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 1,440	\$ 200	\$ 1,797	\$	210	\$	1,930	\$	33	\$ 5,610

Impaired Loans and Nonperforming Loans. At March 31, 2010, \$10,208 of impaired loans had no specific allowance allocations. The average carrying value of impaired loans was \$8,585 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and \$2,953 for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The interest income recognized during the periods of impairment is insignificant for those loans impaired at March 31, 2010. Loans past due 90 days or more which were still accruing were \$3,539 at March 31, 2010 and \$4,715 at June 30, 2009. For loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing, the Company has received principal and interest from the servicer, even though the borrower is delinquent. The Company considers the servicer s recovery of such advances in evaluating whether such loans should continue to accrue. A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors that the Company considers in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays and payment shortfalls generally are not classified as impaired. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis by either the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate or the fair value of the collateral if repayment of the loan is expected from the sale of collateral. Nonperforming loans are comprised of loans past due 90 days or more on nonaccrual status and other nonaccrual loans. Other nonaccrual loans include loans classified as troubled debt restructurings, which are non-accruing until the borrower demonstrates over time (typically six months) that they can make payments. At March 31, 2010, the Company s nonperforming loans totaled \$10,208, or 1.34% of total gross loans and total nonperforming asset

Nonperforming loans consisted of the following:

	March 31, 2010 (Dollars in t	June 30, 2009 (housands)
Nonaccrual loans:		
Loans secured by real estate:		
Single family	\$ 5,308	\$ 1,502
Home equity loans	97	9
Multifamily	3,723	1,171
Commercial		
Total nonaccrual loans secured by real estate	9,128	2,682
RV/Auto	1,074	158
Other	6	
Total nonperforming loans	\$ 10,208	\$ 2,840
Total nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans	1.34%	0.45%

6. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Compensation cost is recognized for stock options and restricted stock awards issued to employees and directors, based on the fair value of these awards at the date of grant. A Black-Scholes model is utilized to estimate the fair value of stock options, while the market price of the Company s common stock at the date of grant is used for restricted stock awards. Compensation cost is recognized over the required service period, generally defined as the vesting period. For awards with graded vesting, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

The Company has two equity incentive plans, the 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (2004 Plan) and the 1999 Stock Option Plan (1999 Plan, and, together with the 2004 Plan, the Plans), which provide for the granting of non-qualified and incentive stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and other awards to employees, directors and consultants. The Company terminated the 1999 Plan in November 2007 and no new option awards will be made under the 1999 Plan.

Agreement with Certain Directors to Exchange Fair Value of Options for Restricted Stock. On May 21, 2009, the Company approved a form of Exchange Agreement available to five directors of the Company who in 1999 were issued non-qualified stock option contracts for a total of 179,457 shares, each with an expiration date of August 13, 2009 and an exercise price of \$4.19 per share. The Exchange Agreement allows these fully vested options to be exchanged for a smaller number of fully vested restricted stock shares under the conditions set forth in the 2004 Plan. The 2004 Plan allows each director to receive fewer restricted stock shares (net settle) and use the surrendered shares to fund income tax liabilities. On May 28, 2009, each of the five directors, entered into the Exchange Agreement and selected a future date to cancel their 1999 fully-vested stock option contracts and receive a fully-vested restricted stock grant under the 2004 Plan based upon the fair value of the option contracts cancelled.

As of the year ended June 30, 2009, three of the directors had made the conversion surrendering a total of 81,973 options and received a total of 27,935 shares of restricted common stock with a fair value of \$179 (including \$73 income tax benefit). The remaining two directors made the exchange in August 2009, surrendering 97,482 options and received 40,349 shares of restricted common stock with a fair value of \$289 (including \$118 income tax benefit).

Stock Options. The Company s income before income taxes for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 included stock option compensation expense of \$9 and \$13, with a total income tax benefit of \$4 and \$5, respectively. For the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 stock option compensation expense was \$38 and \$162, with a total income benefit of \$16 and \$66, respectively. At March 31, 2010, unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested stock option grants aggregated \$12 which is expected to be recognized in future periods as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Con	ck Award npensation Expense
Fiscal year remainder:		
2010	\$	10
2011		2
2012		
2013		
Total	\$	12

21

A summary of stock option activity under the Plans during the period July 1, 2008 to March 31, 2010 is presented below:

	Number of Shares	Exerc	verage cise Price · Share
Outstanding July 1, 2008	906,244	\$	7.09
Converted	(81,973)	\$	4.19
Forfeitures	(63,900)	\$	8.06
Outstanding June 30, 2009	760,371	\$	7.32
Converted	(97,482)	\$	4.19
Exercised	(164,780)	\$	5.85
Forfeitures	(260)	\$	7.35
Outstanding March 31, 2010	497,849	\$	8.43
Options exercisable June 30, 2009	743,213	\$	6.96
Options exercisable March 31, 2010	493,699	\$	8.43

The following table summarizes information as of March 31, 2010 concerning currently outstanding and exercisable options:

	Options Outstanding		Options Exe	rcisable
		Weighted-		
		Average		
	N Y . 1	Remaining	N 1	
Exercise	Number	Contractual Life	Number	Exercise
Prices	Outstanding	(Years)	Exercisable	Price
\$ 4.19	64,588	0.8	64,588	\$ 4.19
\$ 7.35	117,700	6.3	113,550	\$ 7.35
\$ 8.50	7,500	5.7	7,500	\$ 8.50
\$ 9.20	7,500	5.4	7,500	\$ 9.20
\$ 9.50	161,000	5.3	161,000	\$ 9.50
\$10.00	138,561	3.1	138,561	\$ 10.00
\$11.00	1,000	2.3	1,000	\$ 11.00
\$ 8.43	497,849	4.3	493,699	\$ 8.43

The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and options exercisable under the Plans at March 31, 2010 were \$2,740 and \$2,713, respectively.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units. Under the 2004 Plan, employees and directors are eligible to receive grants of restricted stock and restricted stock units. The Company determines stock-based compensation expense using the fair value method required by ASC Topic 718, Share-based Payment. In accordance with ASC Topic 718, the fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units is equal to the closing sale price of the Company s common stock on the date of grant.

During the quarters ended March 31, 2010, December 31, 2009 and September 30, 2009 the Company granted 8,531, 97,324 and 36,340 restricted stock units respectively, to employees and directors. Restricted stock unit (RSU) awards granted during these quarters vest over three years, one-third on each anniversary date, except the 80,000 units granted to our CEO in the quarter ended December 31, 2009, which vest one-third on each fiscal year end.

22

The Company s income before income taxes for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 included restricted stock compensation expense of \$222 and \$87, respectively, with a total income tax benefit of \$93 and \$36, respectively. For the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 restricted stock compensation expense was \$553 and \$263, with a total income benefit of \$231 and \$106, respectively. The Company recognizes compensation expense based upon the grant-date fair value divided equally across the service periods between each vesting date. At March 31, 2010, unrecognized compensation expense related to non-vested grants aggregated \$1,490 and is expected to be recognized in future periods as follows:

(Dollars in thousands)	Stock A Compens Exper	sation
Fiscal year remainder:	·	
2010	\$	228
2011		778
2012		431
2013		53
Total	\$	1,490

The following table presents the status and changes in non-vested restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants from July 1, 2008 through March 31, 2010:

Non-vested belongs at July 1, 2009	Restricted Stock and restricted stock unit 127,071	Gra	ed-Average nt-Date r Value 7.13
Non-vested balance at July 1, 2008	127,071	Ф	7.15
Granted Vested	95,335 (61,502)	\$ \$	6.03 7.09
Forfeitures	(7,800)	\$	6.57
Non-vested balance at June 30, 2009	153,104	\$	6.49
Granted	142,195	\$	8.40
Vested	(32,358)	\$	6.58
Forfeitures		\$	
Non-vested balance at March 31, 2010	262,941	\$	7.51

2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. In October 2004, the Company s Board of Directors and stockholders approved the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which is intended to qualify as an Employee Stock Purchase Plan under Section 423 of the Internal Revenue Code. An aggregate of 500,000 shares of the Company s common stock have been reserved for issuance and will be available for purchase under the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan. At March 31, 2010, there have been no shares issued under the 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

23

7. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Effective July 1, 2009, the Company implemented new guidance impacting ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share, which clarifies that unvested stock-based compensation awards containing non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (collectively, dividends) are participating securities and should be included in the EPS calculation using the two-class method. The Company grants restricted stock and RSUs to certain directors and employees under its Plans, which entitle the recipients to receive non-forfeitable dividends during the vesting period on a basis equivalent to the dividends paid to holders of common stock. These unvested awards meet the FSP s definition of participating securities. Under the two class method, all earnings (distributed and undistributed) are allocated to each class of common stock and participating securities, based on their respective rights to receive dividends. EPS data for the prior periods were revised as required by the FSP.

The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted EPS:

	Three Months Ended March 31, (Dollars in thousands,							
		2010		2009		2010		2009
Earnings Per Common Share						4 5 40 4		2.720
Net income	\$	7,175	\$	2,594	\$	16,431	\$	3,538
Preferred stock dividends		173		173		519		517
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$	7,002	\$	2,421	\$	15,912	\$	3,021
Average common shares issued and outstanding	8	3,261,724	8,	028,754	8	,185,190	8	,170,354
Average unvested Restricted stock grant and RSU shares		261,487		139,895		217,275		141,934
Total qualifying shares	8	3,523,211	8,	168,649	8	,402,465	8	,312,288
Earnings per common share	\$	0.82	\$	0.30	\$	1.89	\$	0.36
Diluted Earnings Per Common Share								
Net income attributable to common shareholders	\$	7,002	\$	2,421	\$	15,912	\$	3,021
Preferred stock dividends to dilutive convertible preferred		96		96		287		285
Dilutive net income attributable to common shareholders	\$	7,098	\$	2,517	\$	16,199	\$	3,306
Average common shares issued and outstanding	8,523,211		8,168,649		8,402,465		8,312,288	
Dilutive effect of stock options	131,093		33,756		97,143		54,714	
Dilutive effect of convertible preferred stock	531,690		531,690		531,690		526,051	
Total dilutive common shares issued and outstanding	9	0,185,994	8,	734,095	9	,031,298	8	,893,053
Diluted earnings per common share	\$	0.77	\$	0.29	\$	1.79	\$	0.37

Stock options of zero and 492,594 shares for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, were not included in determining diluted earnings per share, as they were anti-dilutive.

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Credit-Related Financial Instruments. The Company is a party to credit-related financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. At March 31, 2010, the Company had \$29.5 million in commitments to originate

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

or purchase loans and investment securities and \$18.9 million in commitments to sell loans.

24

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

In the ordinary course of business, the Company has granted related party loans collateralized by real property to officers, directors and their affiliates. There were six new related party loans granted during the nine months ended March 31, 2010, totaling \$8,466 and no new loans granted during the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

10. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Common Stock Issuance. On April 5, 2010, the Company completed its public offering of 1,226,276 shares of its common stock, as disclosed in the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 6, 2010.

The Company entered into an underwriting agreement on March 30, 2010, with B. Riley & Co., LLC, (the Underwriter) as sole underwriter for the offer and sale in a firm commitment underwritten public offering of 1,066,327 shares of the Company's common stock, at a price to the public of \$13.00 per share (\$12.45 per share, net of underwriting discounts) (the Offering). On April 1, 2010, the Underwriter gave notice to the Company that it was exercising its over-allotment option to purchase an additional 159,949 shares of the Company's common stock.

The net proceeds to the Company from the Offering, after underwriting discounts and estimated transaction expenses, were \$15,200. The Company intends to use the net proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes.

Preferred Stock Converted into Common Stock. On April 14, 2010 the Company completed the mandatory conversion of the Company s Series B 8% Cumulative Convertible Nonparticipating Perpetual Preferred Stock (the Series B Preferred Stock) into the Company s common stock in accordance with the terms of the Certificate of Designation for the Series B Preferred Stock, as disclosed in the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 3, 2010.

There were issued and outstanding 4,790 shares of Series B Preferred Stock, with a face value of \$4,790, which were converted into 531,690 shares of the Company s common stock. There is no impact on the diluted earnings per share of the Company as a result of the consummation of the mandatory conversion since the effect of the mandatory conversion has already been assumed in the diluted earnings per share calculations reported for prior quarters.

25

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS The following discussion provides information about the results of operations, financial condition, liquidity, off balance sheet items, contractual obligations and capital resources of BofI Holding, Inc. and subsidiary. This information is intended to facilitate the understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our financial condition and the results of our operations. This

understanding and assessment of significant changes and trends related to our financial condition and the results of our operations. This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial information in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2009, and the interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in this report.

Some matters discussed in this report may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), and as such, may involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of terminology such as estimate, project, anticipate, expect, intends, believe, will, or the negative thereof or other thereon or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, expectations of the environment in which the Company operates and projections of future performance. Forward-looking statements are inherently unreliable and actual results may vary. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from these forward-looking statements include economic conditions, changes in the interest rate environment, changes in the competitive marketplace, risks associated with credit quality and other risk factors summarized in Part II, Item 1A under the heading Risk Factors in this report, and discussed in greater detail under the heading Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Factors That May Affect Our Performance in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2009, which has been filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All written and oral forward-looking statements made in connection with this report, which are attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the foregoing information.

General

Our company, BofI Holding, Inc., is the holding company for Bank of Internet USA, a consumer-focused, nationwide savings bank operating primarily over the Internet. We offer loans and deposits in all 50 states to our customers directly through our websites, including www.BankofInternet.com, www.BofI.com, and www.Apartmentbank.com. We are a unitary savings and loan holding company and, along with Bank of Internet USA, are subject to primary federal regulation by the Office of Thrift Supervision, or OTS.

Using online applications on our websites, our customers apply for deposit products, including time deposits, interest-bearing demand accounts (including interest-bearing checking accounts) and savings accounts (including money market savings accounts). We originate small- to medium-size multifamily and single-family mortgage loans and secured consumer loans, primarily home equity and vehicle loans. More recently, we increased our efforts to purchase single family and multifamily loans. We also purchase mortgage-backed securities. We manage our cash and cash equivalents based upon our need for liquidity, and we seek to minimize the assets we hold as cash and cash equivalents by investing our excess liquidity in higher yielding assets such as mortgage loans or mortgage-backed securities.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these consolidated financial statements requires us to make a number of estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and assumptions based upon historical experience and various factors and circumstances. We believe that our estimates and assumptions are reasonable under the circumstances. However, actual results may differ significantly from these estimates and assumptions that could have a material effect on the carrying value of assets and liabilities at the balance sheet dates and our results of operations for the reporting periods.

Our significant accounting policies and practices are described in greater detail in Note 1 to our June 30, 2009 audited consolidated financial statements and under the caption Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Critical Accounting Policies contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

26

Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth certain selected financial data concerning the periods indicated:

BofI HOLDING, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Selected Balance Sheet Data:			
Total assets	\$ 1,401,143	\$ 1,302,208	\$ 1,249,189
Loans net of allowance for loan losses	750,118	615,463	627,472
Loans held for sale	5,326	3,190	1,301
Allowance for loan losses	5,610	4,754	3,956
Securities trading	4,416	5,445	6,818
Securities available for sale	248,029	265,807	177,875
Securities held to maturity	332,841	350,898	377,374
Total deposits	970,375	648,524	693,983
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	130,000	130,000	130,000
Advances from the FHLB	181,995	262,984	310,980
Federal Reserve Discount Window and other borrowings	5,155	165,155	25,155
Total Stockholders equity	108,483	88,939	85,153

27

${\bf BofI\ HOLDING, INC.\ AND\ SUBSIDIARY}$

SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

	1	At or for the Three Months Ended March 31,			At or for the Nine M Ended March 31			
		2010		2009		2010		2009
Selected Income Statement Data:								
Interest and dividend income	\$	21,207	\$	18,541	\$	64,850	\$	57,225
Interest expense		8,598		10,066		26,700		32,169
Net interest income		12,609		8,475		38,150		25,056
Provision for loan losses		1,250		1,200		4,850		2,830
110 vision for foun fosses		1,230		1,200		1,050		2,030
Net interest income after provision for loan losses		11,359		7,275		33,300		22,226
Non-interest income (loss)		5,675		300		7,417		(7,610)
Non-interest expense		4,705		3,190		12,474		8,675
Income before income tax expense		12,329		4,385		28,243		5,941
Income tax expense		5,154		1,791		11,812		2,403
Net income	\$	7,175	\$	2,594	\$	16,431	\$	3,538
	Φ.	7 000	Φ.	2 121	Φ.	15.010	Φ.	2.021
Net income attributable to common stock	\$	7,002	\$	2,421	\$	15,912	\$	3,021
Per Share Data:								
Net income:	¢	0.92	¢.	0.20	¢	1.00	¢	0.26
Basic	\$	0.82	\$	0.30	\$	1.89	\$	0.36
Diluted Pack value men common share	\$ \$	0.77 11.89	\$ \$	0.29 9.37	\$ \$	1.79 11.89	\$ \$	0.37 9.37
Book value per common share Tangible book value per common share	\$	11.89	\$	9.37	\$	11.89	\$	9.37
Weighted average number of shares outstanding:	Ф	11.89	Ф	9.37	Ф	11.89	Ф	9.57
Basic	Q	,523,211	Q	,168,649	Q	,402,465	Q	,312,288
Diluted		,185,994		,734,095		,031,298		
Common shares outstanding at end of period		,293,683		,035,997		,293,683	8,893,053	
Common shares issued at end of period		,915,913		,642,723		,915,913	8,035,997 8,642,723	
Performance Ratios and Other Data:	O.	,915,915	0,	,042,723	O	,915,915	O	,042,723
Loan originations for investment	\$	6,671	\$	3,590	\$	41,754	\$	31,432
Loan originations for sale	Ψ	34,669	Ψ	33,376	Ψ	90,360	Ψ	40,102
Loan purchases		100,095		2,237		156,059		51,862
Return on average assets		2.11%		0.85%		1.64%		0.39%
Return on average stockholders equity		28.40%		13.18%		23.74%		5.61%
Interest rate spread ¹		3.63%		2.61%		3.73%		2.59%
Net interest margin ²		3.81%		2.82%		3.90%		2.83%
Efficiency ratio ³		25.73%		36.35%		27.38%		49.72%
Capital Ratios:								
Equity to assets at end of period		7.74%		6.82%		7.74%		6.82%
Tier 1 leverage (core) capital to adjusted tangible assets ⁴		8.43%		6.89%		8.43%		6.89%
Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ⁴		17.26%		14.50%		17.26%		14.50%
Total risk-based capital ratio ⁴		18.08%		15.17%		18.08%		15.17%
Tangible capital to tangible assets ⁴		8.43%		6.89%		8.43%		6.89%
Asset Quality Ratios:								
Net annualized charge-offs to average loans outstanding		0.64%		0.10%		0.83%		0.25%

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Nonperforming loans to total loans	1.34%	0.30%	1.34%	0.30%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	0.97%	0.61%	0.97%	0.61%
Allowance for loan losses to total loans at end of period	0.74%	0.63%	0.74%	0.63%
Allowance for loan losses to nonperforming loans	54.96%	2.1X	54.96%	2.1X

Interest rate spread represents the difference between the annualized weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.

Net interest margin represents annualized net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

Efficiency ratio represents noninterest expense as a percentage of the aggregate of net interest income and noninterest income. For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, without the loss of \$7.902 million in noninterest income due to the loss on sale of FNMA preferred stock, the efficiency ratio would have been 34.22%.

⁴ Reflects regulatory capital ratios of Bank of Internet USA only.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS Comparison of the Three Months and the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, we had net income of \$7,175,000 compared to net income of \$2,594,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2009. Net income attributable to common stock holders was \$7,002,000 or \$0.77 per diluted share compared to net income of \$2,421,000 or \$0.29 per diluted share for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Other key comparisons between our operating results for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 are:

Net interest income increased \$4,134,000 in the 2010 quarter due to a 10.1% increase in average earning assets primarily from purchases of mortgage-backed securities. In addition, our net interest margin increased 99 basis points in the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared to March 31, 2009, as the rates paid on deposits and borrowings decreased 78 basis points.

The loan loss provision was \$1,250,000 for the March 31, 2010 quarter compared to \$1,200,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The increased loan loss provision was due primarily to the general declines in housing values and increased charge-offs on RV loans.

Non-interest income increased \$5,375,000 for the March 31, 2010 quarter compared to the quarter ended March 31, 2009. During the March 31, 2010 quarter, we sold securities for a gain of \$5,947,000, recorded other-than-temporary impairment (OTTI) expense of \$535,000 experienced a fair value loss to our trading securities of \$554,000 and had \$662,000 in gains on the sale of single family first mortgages.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, we had net income of \$16,431,000 compared to net income of \$3,538,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009. Net income attributable to common stockholders was \$15,912,000 or \$1.79 per diluted share compared to net income of \$3,021,000 or \$0.37 per diluted share for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2010, net income included an after-tax increase of \$2,827,000 for the realized gains on sales, net of the unrealized losses on securities. Excluding the net gain, net income would have been \$4,348,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, an increase of 55.2% compared to adjusted net income for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, excluding unrealized loss on securities of \$207,000 after tax.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 totaled \$12.6 million, a 48.2% increase compared to net interest income of \$8.5 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

Total interest and dividend income during the quarter ended March 31, 2010 increased 14.6% to \$21.2 million, compared to \$18.5 million during the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The increase in interest and dividend income for the quarter was attributable primarily to growth in average earning assets from purchases of investment securities. The average balance of investment securities (primarily mortgage-backed securities) increased 15.3% when compared for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. The increase in interest income was also the result of higher rates earned on new non-agency mortgage-backed securities purchased. The loan portfolio yield for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 decreased 20 basis points and the investment security portfolio yield increased 76 basis points. The net growth in average earning assets for the three-month period was funded largely by increased demand and savings accounts. Total interest expense decreased 14.0% to \$8.6 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared with \$10.0 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The average funding rate decreased by 78 basis points while average interest-bearing liabilities grew 9.3%. Contributing to the decrease in the average funding rate were decreases in the average rates for time deposits of 84 basis points and decreases in the average funding rates of demand and savings accounts of 72 basis points, partially offset by an increase in the average rates of FHLB advances of 54 basis points when comparing the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. Net interest margin, defined as net interest income divided by average earning assets, increased by 99 basis points to 3.81% for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared with 2.82% for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

29

For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, net interest income was \$38.2 million, a 52.2% increase compared to net interest income of \$25.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009. The increase in interest and dividend income for the nine months was attributable primarily to growth in average earning assets from purchases of investment securities. The average balance of investment securities (primarily mortgage-backed securities) increased 19.9% when compared for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. Total interest expense decreased 17.1% to \$26.7 million for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2010 compared with \$32.2 million for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2009. The average funding rate decreased by 96 basis points while the average interest-bearing liabilities incurred a 10.2% growth in average balances. Contributing to the decrease in the average funding rate were decreases in the average rates for time deposits of 85 basis points, decreases in the average funding rates of demand and savings accounts of 124 basis points offset by an increase in the average rates of FHLB advances of 46 basis points when compared for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009. Net interest margin, net interest income divided by average earning assets, increased by 107 basis points to 3.90% for the nine months ended March 31, 2010, compared with 2.83% for the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

The improvement in the net interest margin has resulted from specific actions we have taken to manage our assets and liabilities, as well as general changes in the U.S. Treasury yield curve and loan risk premiums. Our specific actions include selling our agency mortgage-backed securities and replacing them with higher yielding loans and non-agency mortgage backed securities. In addition, we have lowered our deposit offering rates in an effort to take advantage of lower borrowing rates tied to U.S. Treasury rates. Since March of 2008, the Federal Open Market Committee has reduced the short-term Fed funds rate by 200 basis points, to a range of 0.00 to 0.25% as of March 31, 2010. The rate cuts have reduced and will likely continue to reduce our cost of funding through lower term deposit rates and will reduce our interest income on certain loans and securities, transitioning from a fixed rate to an adjustable rate.

30

Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid

The following table presents information regarding (i) average balances; (ii) the total amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the weighted average yields on such assets; (iii) the total amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the weighted average rates paid on such liabilities; (iv) net interest income; (v) interest rate spread; and (vi) net interest margin for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009:

		For the Three Months Ended March 31,						
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Rates Earned/ Paid ¹ (Dollars in t	Average Balance housands)	Interest Income/ Expense	Rates Earned/ Paid ¹		
<u>Assets</u>								
Loans 2, 3	\$ 685,846	\$11,238	6.55%	\$ 646,251	\$ 10,907	6.75%		
Federal funds sold	11,643	3	0.10%	3,711	1	0.11%		
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	237		0.00%	6,800	4	0.24%		
Investment securities ^{3,4}	607,487	9,953	6.55%	526,668	7,629	5.79%		
Stock of the FHLB, at cost	18,848	13	0.28%	18,854	,	0.00%		
Total interest-earning assets	1,324,061	21,207	6.41%	1,202,284	18,541	6.17%		
Non-interest earning assets	33,694	,		22,353	,			
Total assets	\$ 1,357,755			\$ 1,224,637				
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity								
Interest-bearing demand and savings	\$ 494,721	\$ 1,788	1.45%	\$ 223,376	\$ 1,212	2.17%		
Time deposits	412,812	3,543	3.43%	453,094	4,839	4.27%		
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	130,000	1,414	4.35%	130,000	1,405	4.32%		
Advances from the FHLB	193,219	1,817	3.76%	315,933	2,545	3.22%		
Other borrowings	6,283	36	2.29%	9,560	65	2.72%		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,237,035	8,598	2.78%	1,131,963	10,066	3.56%		
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	5,849			4,036				
Other interest-free liabilities	6,406			5,358				
Stockholders equity	108,465			83,280				
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,357,755			\$ 1,224,637				
Net interest income		\$ 12,609			\$ 8,475			
		,						
Net interest spread ⁵			3.63%			2.61%		
Net interest margin ⁶			3.81%			2.82%		

¹ Annualized.

3

Loans include loans held for sale, loan premiums and unearned fees.

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Interest income includes reductions for amortization of loan and investment securities premiums and earnings from accretion of discounts and loan fees. Loan fee income is not significant. The rate earned on loans does not include loan prepayment penalty income, which is classified as non-interest

- ⁴ All investments are taxable.
- ⁵ Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average rate paid on interest-bearing liabilities.
- ⁶ Net interest margin represents net interest income annualized as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

31

Average Balances, Net Interest Income, Yields Earned and Rates Paid

The following table presents information regarding (i) average balances; (ii) the total amount of interest income from interest-earning assets and the weighted average yields on such assets; (iii) the total amount of interest expense on interest-bearing liabilities and the weighted average rates paid on such liabilities; (iv) net interest income; (v) interest rate spread; and (vi) net interest margin for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009:

		For the 2010	e Nine Month	s Ended March	31, 2009	
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Rates Earned/ Paid ¹ (Dollars in t	Average Balance housands)	Interest Income/ Expense	Rates Earned/ Paid ¹
Assets						
Loans 2, 3	\$ 643,266	\$ 31,848	6.60%	\$ 636,253	\$31,141	6.53%
Federal funds sold	21,327	22	0.14%	3,433	20	0.78%
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions	273		0.00%	5,754	26	0.60%
Investment securities ^{3, 4}	619,129	32,928	7.09%	516,380	25,844	6.67%
Stock of the FHLB, at cost	18,848	52	0.37%	19,098	194	1.35%
Total interest-earning assets	1,302,843	64,850	6.64%	1,180,918	57,225	6.46%
Non-interest earning assets	29,546			19,358		
Total assets	\$ 1,332,389			\$ 1,200,276		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	d 426 000	Φ 5.005	1.550	A. 167.160	Φ 2.521	2.016
Interest-bearing demand and savings	\$ 426,089	\$ 5,025	1.57%	\$ 167,162	\$ 3,521	2.81%
Time deposits	398,730	11,135	3.72%	441,000	15,125	4.57%
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase Advances from the FHLB	130,000 209,837	4,296 6,033	4.41% 3.83%	130,000 357,489	4,257 9,027	4.37% 3.37%
		211	0.49%		239	2.51%
Other borrowings	57,089	211	0.49%	12,718	239	2.31%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	1,221,745	26,700	2.91%	1,108,369	32,169	3.87%
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	4,981			4,088		
Other interest-free liabilities	6,460			6,266		
Stockholders equity	99,203			81,553		
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 1,332,389			\$ 1,200,276		
Net interest income		\$ 38,150			\$ 25,056	
Net interest spread ⁵			3.73%			2.59%
Net interest margin ⁶			3.90%			2.83%

¹ Annualized.

3

Loans include loans held for sale, loan premiums and unearned fees.

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Interest income includes reductions for amortization of loan and investment securities premiums and earnings from accretion of discounts and loan fees. Loan fee income is not significant. The rate earned on loans does not include loan prepayment penalty income, which is classified as non-interest income.

- ⁴ All investments are taxable.
- ⁵ Interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average rate paid on interest- bearing liabilitities.
- ⁶ Net interest margin represents net interest income annualized as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

32

Analysis of Changes in Net Interest Income

Changes in net interest income are a function of changes in rates and volumes of both interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The following table presents information regarding changes in interest income and interest expense for the periods indicated. The total change for each category of interest-earning asset and interest-bearing liability is segmented into the change attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate), the change attributable to variations in interest rates (changes in rates multiplied by old volume) and the change attributable to changes in rate/volume (change in rate multiplied by the change in volume):

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 vs 2009 Increase (decrease) due to Rate / Increase				,						vs 2009 crease) due to		31,		
	Vo	olume	Rate	Vo	olume	(D	ecrease) (Dollars in		olume usands)	I	Rate	Vo	lume	(D	ecrease)
Increase / (decrease) in interest income:															
Loans	\$	668	\$ (318)	\$	(19)	\$	331	\$	343	\$	360	\$	4	\$	707
Federal funds sold		2					2		104		(16)		(86)		2
Interest-bearing deposits in other financial															
institutions		(4)	(4)		4		(4)		(25)		(26)		25		(26)
Mortgage-backed securities		1,171	1,000		153		2,324		5,143		1,619		322		7,084
Stock of the Federal Home Loan Bank			13				13		(3)		(141)		2		(142)
	\$:	1,837	\$ 691	\$	138	\$	2,666	\$	5,562	\$	1,796	\$	267	\$	7,625
Increase / (decrease) in interest expense:		Í					ŕ		ĺ		ĺ				ŕ
Interest-bearing demand and savings	\$:	1,472	\$ (405)	\$	(491)	\$	576	\$	5,454	\$ (1,550)	\$ (2,400)	\$	1,504
Time deposits		(430)	(950)		84		(1,296)	(1,450)	(2,810)		270		(3,990)
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase			9				9				39				39
Advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank		(989)	426		(165)		(728)	(3,728)		1,251		(517)		(2,994)
Other borrowings		(22)	(10)		3		(29)		834		(192)		(670)		(28)
	\$	31	\$ (930)	\$	(569)	\$	(1,468)	\$	1,110	\$ (3,262)	\$ (3,317)	\$	(5,469)

Provision for Loan Losses

The loan loss provision was \$1,250,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, compared to \$1,200,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, loan loss provisions totaled \$4,850,000 compared to \$2,830,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009. The increased provision for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was the result of the nationwide decline in housing values and higher unemployment which has negatively impacted consumer credit, continued changes in portfolio mix and higher estimated losses from our recreational vehicle portfolio and real estate loan portfolios. Provisions for loan losses are charged to income to bring the allowance for loan losses to a level deemed appropriate by management based on the factors discussed under Financial Condition-Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Losses.

Non-Interest Income

The following table sets forth information regarding our non-interest income for the periods shown:

	For the T Mont Ended Ma 2010	ths arch 31, 2009	For the Nin Ended M 2010 a thousands)	
Realized gain (loss) on securities:		(= 4	,,	
Sale of FNMA preferred stock	\$	\$	\$	\$ (7,902)
Sale of mortgage-backed securities	5,947		12,493	6
	ĺ		ĺ	
Total realized gain (loss) on securities	5,947		12,493	(7,896)
Unrealized gain (loss) on securities:	2,5 1.		, ., .	(1,020)
Total impairment losses	(17)		(6,802)	
Loss recognized in other comprehensive earnings	(518)		829	
	, ,			
Net impairment loss recognized in earnings	(535)		(5,973)	
Fair value loss on trading securities	(554)	(350)	(1,025)	(695)
			, ,	
Total unrealized loss on securities	(1,089)	(350)	(6,998)	(695)
Prepayment penalty fee income	38	5	86	50
Mortgage banking income	662	528	1,448	583
Banking service fees and other income	117	117	388	348
Total non-interest income (loss)	\$ 5,675	\$ 300	\$ 7,417	\$ (7,610)

The \$5.7 million in non-interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was the result of gain on sale of securities of \$5.9 million, a gain of \$817,000 in first mortgages originated for sale and other fees, partially offset by an OTTI loss of \$535,000 and a fair value loss on collateralized debt obligations (CDO s) of \$554,000. The income for the three months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of a gain of \$650,000 in first mortgages originated for sale and other fees, partially offset by a fair value loss on CDO s of \$350,000. For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, non-interest income was \$7.4 million, the result of gain on sale of securities of \$12.5 million, a gain of \$1.9 million in first mortgages originated for sale and other fees, partially offset by an OTTI loss of \$6.0 million and a fair value loss on CDO s of \$1.0 million. The loss of \$7.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of selling \$9.1 million in FNMA preferred stock resulting in a loss of \$7.9 million and recording a fair value adjustment to our trading securities for a loss of \$695,000, partially offset by of a gain of \$981,000 in first mortgages originated for sale and other fees.

Non-Interest Expense

The following table sets forth information regarding our non-interest expense for the periods shown:

	For the Three						
	Mon	ths	For the Nine	e Months			
	Ended Ma	arch 31,	Ended Ma	rch 31,			
	2010	2009	2010	2009			
		(Dollars in	thousands)				
Salaries, employee benefits and stock-based compensation	\$ 1,858	\$ 1,402	\$ 5,044	\$ 3,976			
Professional services	378	281	1,216	980			
Occupancy and equipment	94	108	298	325			
Data processing and internet	198	213	639	580			
Advertising and promotional	118	202	294	454			
Depreciation and amortization	60	43	170	125			
Real estate owned and repossessed vehicles	937	237	2,021	435			
FDIC and OTS regulatory fees	434	296	1,221	633			
Other general and administrative	628	408	1,571	1,167			
Total noninterest expenses	\$ 4,705	\$ 3,190	\$ 12,474	\$ 8,675			
Efficiency Ratio ¹	25.73%	36.35%	27.38%	49.72%			
Non-interest expense as annualized % of average assets	1.39%	1.04%	1.25%	0.96%			

Efficiency ratio represents noninterest expense as a percentage of the aggregate of net interest income and noninterest income. For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, without the loss of \$7.902 million in noninterest income due to the loss on sale of FNMA preferred stock, the efficiency ratio would have been 34.2%.

Non-interest expense, which is comprised primarily of compensation, data processing and internet expenses, occupancy and other operating expenses, was \$4.7 million and \$12.5 million for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2010, respectively, up from \$3.2 million and \$8.7 million for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009, respectively.

Total salaries, benefits and stock-based compensation increased \$456,000 to \$1,858,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 compared to \$1,402,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2009. For the nine-months ended March 31, 2010, compensation increased \$1,068,000 to \$5,044,000 compared to \$3,976,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009. Total compensation increased primarily related to staffing changes in the lending business and a 3.6% average increase in salaries and wages. The Bank s staff increased from 51 to 76 full-time equivalents between March 31, 2009 and 2010.

Professional services, which include accounting and legal fees, increased \$97,000 for the quarter and \$236,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2010, compared to the three-month and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2009. The increase in professional services for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was primarily due to contract underwriters used in connection with loan pool purchases, set-up of first mortgage and multifamily loan products and accounting fees. For the nine months ended March 31, 2010, the increase was primarily due contract underwriters and accounting fees offset by a reduction in legal and ratings fees compared to the fees paid for the re-securitization of our non-agency mortgage backed securities during the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

Advertising and promotional expense decreased \$84,000 and \$160,000 for the three-month and nine-month periods ending March 31, 2010, respectively, compared to the same periods ended March 31, 2009. The change for the three and nine month periods was primarily due a decrease in lead acquisitions for our home equity loan origination program and deposits offset by an increase in advertising for our multifamily origination program.

Data processing and internet expense decreased \$15,000 for the three-month period and increased \$59,000 for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2010 compared to the three and nine months ended March 31, 2009. The changes in the three and nine month periods were primarily due to a fluctuation in the number of customer accounts and fees for special enhancements to the Bank s core processing system.

The costs and losses associated with the upkeep and disposal of the real estate owned property (REOs) and repossessed RV s increased \$700,000 for the three-month period ending March 31, 2010 compared to the three-months ended March 31, 2009. For the nine-months ended March 31, 2010, these costs increased \$1,586,000 compared to the nine-months ended March 31, 2009. This increase in the three and nine months was due to increased property taxes and maintenance expenses and additional write-downs and losses on sales of the REOs.

The cost of our FDIC and OTS standard regulatory charges increased \$138,000 for the three-month period and \$588,000 for the nine-months ending March 31, 2010, compared to the three month and nine month periods ended March 31, 2009. This was due to higher average deposit and borrowing balances and higher assessment rates for the period ended March 31, 2010. As an FDIC-insured institution, the Bank is required to pay deposit insurance premiums to the FDIC.

Other general and administrative expense increased \$220,000 during the quarter and \$404,000 for the nine-months ended March 31, 2010 compared to the same periods in 2009, primarily due to an increase in deposit, loan and other general expenses related to the increase in deposit and loan volume and the number of employees.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective income tax rates (income tax provision divided by net income before income tax) for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 were 41.80% and 40.84%, respectively. Our effective income tax rates for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 were 41.82% and 40.45%, respectively.

36

FINANCIAL CONDITION

Balance Sheet Analysis

Our total assets increased \$98.9 million, or 7.6%, to \$1,401.1 million, as of March 31, 2010, up from \$1,302.2 million at June 30, 2009. The increase in total assets was primarily due to an increase of \$134.7 million in loans held for investment offset by a decrease of \$36.9 million in investment securities. Total liabilities increased a total of \$79.4 million, primarily due to an increase in deposits of \$321.9 million offset with a decrease in borrowings of \$160.0 million from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Discount Window and a decrease of \$81.0 million in borrowing from the Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco.

Loans

Net loans held for investment increased to \$750.1 million at March 31, 2010 from \$615.5 million at June 30, 2009. The increase in the loan portfolio was due to loan originations and purchases of \$200.1 million, offset by loan repayments of \$56.7 million, transfers to foreclosed real estate of \$4.8 million, amortization of \$3.1 million and a net increase in the allowance of \$856,000 during the nine months ended March 31, 2010.

The following table sets forth the composition of the loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

	March 31	, 2010 (Dollars in t	June 30, housands)	2009	
Residential real estate loans:	Amount	Percent	Amount	Percent	
Single family (one to four units)	\$ 251,839	33.0%	\$ 165,405	26.3%	
Home equity	24,133	3.2%	32,345	5.1%	
Multifamily (five units or more)	359,344	47.0%	326,938	52.0%	
Commercial real estate and land loans	33,493	4.4%	30,002	4.8%	
Consumer Recreational vehicle	41,681	5.5%	50,056	8.0%	
Other	52,758	6.9%	23,872	3.8%	
Total loans held for investment	\$ 763,248	100.0%	\$ 628,618	100.0%	
	(5.610)		(4.554)		
Allowance for loan losses	(5,610)		(4,754)		
Unamortized premiums/discounts,net of deferred loan fees	(7,520)		(8,401)		
Net loans held for investment	\$ 750,118		\$ 615,463		

The Bank originates and purchases mortgage loans with terms that may include repayments that are less than the repayments for fully amortizing loans, including interest only loans, option adjustable-rate mortgages, and other loan types that permit payments that may be smaller than interest accruals. Through March 31, 2010, the net amount of deferred interest on these loan types was not material to the financial position or operating results of the Company.

Asset Quality and Allowance for Loan Loss

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming loans are comprised of loans past due 90 days or more on nonaccrual status and other nonaccrual loans. Nonperforming assets include nonperforming loans plus other foreclosed real estate and repossessed assets. At March 31, 2010, our nonperforming loans totaled \$10,208,000, or 1.34% of total gross loans and our total nonperforming assets totaled \$13,569,000, or 0.97% of total assets.

Nonperforming loans and foreclosed assets or nonperforming assets consisted of the following as of the dates indicated:

	March 31, 2010 (Dollars in t	June 30, 2009 housands)
Nonperforming assets:		
Nonaccrual loans:		
Loans secured by real estate:		
Single family	\$ 5,308	\$ 1,502
Home equity loans	97	9
Multifamily	3,723	1,171
Commercial		
Total nonaccrual loans secured by real estate	9,128	2,682
RV/Auto	1,074	158
Other	6	
Total nonperforming loans	10,208	2,840
Foreclosed real estate	2,764	5,334
Repossessed vehicles	597	317
•		
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 13,569	\$ 8,491
Total holiperforming assets	Ψ 13,307	Ψ 0,121
Total nonperforming loans as a percentage of total loans	1.34%	0.45%
Town nonperforming found as a performage of found found	1.5 170	3.1370
Total nonperforming assets as a percentage of total assets	0.97%	0.65%

Total nonperforming assets increased a net \$5,078,000 between June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2010. The increase was due primarily to two delinquent multifamily loans, one in Houston Texas for \$2.1 million, and one in Knoxville, Tennessee for \$0.9 million, eleven RV loans for an aggregate of \$1.0 million which are not delinquent, but considered troubled debt restructurings and on nonaccrual. The balance of the increase was due to increased single-family delinquents, partially offset by reduced foreclosed real estate. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, we sold \$3.3 million in foreclosed and repossessed property, including a \$1.8 million multifamily property in Ohio and a \$0.8 million single-family property in Washington. The increased level of nonperforming real estate loans reflects the nationwide downturn in real estate values. The increased level of nonperforming RV loans reflects the current nationwide recession. If real estate values continue to decline and consumer job losses continue to increase, we are likely to experience continued growth of our nonperforming assets.

An analysis of impaired loans is as follows:

	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2009
	(Dollars in t	housands)
Nonperforming loans non accrual	\$ 9,027	\$ 2,840
Troubled debt restructuring non accrual	1,181	
Other impaired loans		
•		
Total impaired loans	\$ 10,208	\$ 2,840

At March 31, 2010, the carrying value of impaired loans is net of write-offs of \$1,518,000 and there are no specific allowance allocations. The average carrying value of impaired loans was \$8,585,000 and \$2,953,000 for the quarters ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The interest income recognized during the periods of impairment is insignificant for those loans impaired at March 31, 2010 or 2009. Loans past due 90 days or more which were still accruing interest were \$3,539,000 at March 31, 2010 and \$4,715,000 at June 30, 2009. Loans past due 90 days and still accruing interest are certain single family mortgages from which we both received advanced payments from the loan servicing company

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

and we determined that such loans are not impaired based upon a current fair value analysis of the collateral.

The Bank has other loans which have been modified but are not considered a troubled debt restructuring. A troubled debt restructuring is a concession made to a borrower experiencing financial difficulties, typically permanent modifications of principal and interest payments or an extension of maturity dates. After a loan is classified as a troubled debt

38

restructuring it doesn t start accruing interest until the borrower demonstrates over time (typically six months) that they can make payments. On occasion, the Bank has made temporary modifications to a borrower s loan terms, such as a temporary reduction in a borrower s interest rate to match lower market interest rates or other temporary changes in terms. At March 31, 2010, the Bank had non-impaired loan modifications on mortgage loans and RV loans with outstanding balances totaling \$7,773,000 and \$2,941,000, respectively, with borrowers currently making monthly payments under a temporary loan modification.

Allowance for Loan Losses

We are committed to maintaining the allowance for loan losses at a level that is considered to be commensurate with estimated and known risks in the portfolio. Although the adequacy of the allowance is reviewed quarterly, our management performs an ongoing assessment of the risks inherent in the portfolio. While we believe that the allowance for loan losses is adequate at March 31, 2010, future additions to the allowance will be subject to continuing evaluation of estimated and known, as well as inherent, risks in the loan portfolio.

The assessment of the adequacy of our allowance for loan losses is based upon a number of quantitative and qualitative factors, including levels and trends of past due and nonaccrual loans, change in volume and mix of loans, collateral values and charge-off history.

We provide general loan loss reserves for our RV and auto loans based upon the borrower credit score at the time of origination and our loss experience to date. We provide general loan loss reserves for our mortgage loans based upon the size and type of the mortgage loan and the loan-to-value ratio. For the period ended March 31, 2010, we have experienced increased charge-offs of RV loans due to the nationwide recession. Our Bank s portfolio of RV loans is expected to decrease in the future as the Bank no longer originates RV loans. The amount of RV charge-offs for the quarter ended March 31, 2010, declined 38% compared to the quarter ended December 31, 2009. Given the uncertainties surrounding the improvement of the U.S. economy, we may experience an increase in the relative amount of charge-offs and may be required to increase our loan loss provisions in the future to provide a larger loss allowance for one or more of our loan types.

The following table summarizes activity in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended March 31, 2010:

	Single	Home	Multi-	R	nercial eal tate	Ve	eational chicles and			
	Family	Equity	family	and	Land	A	utos	Ot	her	Total
			(Da	ollars in	thousa	nds)				
Balance at July 1, 2009	\$ 1,113	\$ 280	\$ 1,680	\$	179	\$	1,475	\$	27	\$ 4,754
Provision for loan loss	1,271	25	636		31		2,881		6	4,850
Charge-offs	(944)	(105)	(519)				(2,426)			(3,994)
Recoveries										
Balance at March 31, 2010	\$ 1,440	\$ 200	\$ 1,797	\$	210	\$	1,930	\$	33	\$ 5,610

39

The following table reflects management s allocation of the allowance for loan losses by loan category and the ratio of each loan category to total loans as of the dates indicated:

	March	31, 2010	June 30, 2009		
	Amount of Allowance	Allocation as a % of Allowance (Dollars in a	Amount of Allowance thousands)	Allocation as a % of Allowance	
Single family	\$ 1,440	25.67%	\$ 1,113	23.41%	
Home equity	200	3.57%	280	5.89%	
Multifamily	1,797	32.03%	1,680	35.34%	
Commercial real estate and land	210	3.74%	179	3.76%	
Consumer - Recreational vehicles	1,930	34.40%	1,475	31.03%	
Other	33	0.59%	27	0.57%	
Total	\$ 5,610	100.00%	\$ 4,754	100.00%	

The loan loss provision was \$1,250,000 and \$1,200,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increased provision for the quarter ended March 31, 2010 was the result of the nationwide decline in housing values and higher unemployment which has negatively impacted consumer credit, continued changes in portfolio mix and higher estimated losses from our RV portfolio and real estate loan portfolios.

Investment Securities

Total investment securities were \$585.3 million as of March 31, 2010, compared with \$622.2 million at June 30, 2009. During the nine months ended March 31, 2010, we purchased \$38.7 million of mortgage-backed securities and \$44.9 million in Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (FHLMC) discount notes available for sale, had sales / matured bonds of \$77.8 million, and received principal repayments of approximately \$41.9 million. We also purchased \$25.0 million of mortgage-backed securities and \$20.8 million in FNMA bonds held to maturity, and received principal repayments of approximately \$53.0 million and the balance of the change is equal to accretion and other activities. We currently classify agency mortgage-backed and debt securities as held to maturity or available for sale at the time of purchase based upon small issue size and based on issue features, such as callable terms.

Deposits

Deposits increased a net \$321.9 million, or 49.6%, to \$970.4 million at March 31, 2010, from \$648.5 million at June 30, 2009. Our deposit gain composition was a 117.8% increase in interest bearing demand and savings accounts along with a 5.4% increase in time deposit accounts. Our increase in deposit accounts was the result of increased promotion and competitive pricing during the nine months ended March 31, 2010.

The following table sets forth the composition of the deposit portfolio as of the dates indicated:

	March 31 Amount	, 2010 Rate* (Dollars in t	June 30, 2009 Amount Rate*	
Non-interest bearing:	\$ 7,429	0.00%	\$ 3,509	0.00%
Interest bearing:				
Demand	56,533	0.89%	59,151	1.22%
Savings	492,204	1.37%	192,781	1.94%
Time deposits:				
Under \$100,000	179,615	3.57%	191,021	4.39%
\$100,000 or more	234,594	3.29%	202,062	3.85%
Total time deposits	414,209	3.41%	393,083	4.11%
Total interest bearing	962,946	2.22%	645,015	3.20%
Total deposits	\$ 970,375	2.20%	\$ 648,524	3.18%

^{*} Based on weighted-average stated interest rates at end of period.

The following table sets forth the number of deposit accounts by type as of the date indicated:

	March 31, 2010	June 30, 2009	March 31, 2009
Checking and savings accounts	17,830	10,685	12,840
Time deposits	9,585	12,757	11,806
Total number of deposits accounts	27,415	23,442	24,646

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase

Since November 2006, we have sold securities under various agreements to repurchase for total proceeds of \$130.0 million. The repurchase agreements have interest rates between 3.24% and 4.75% and scheduled maturities between January 2012 and December 2017. Under these agreements, we may be required to repay the \$130.0 million and repurchase our securities before the scheduled maturity if the issuer requests repayment on scheduled quarterly call dates. The weighted-average remaining contractual maturity period is 4.61 years and the weighted average remaining period before such repurchase agreements could be called is 0.45 years.

FHLB Advances

We regularly use advances from the FHLB to manage our interest rate risk and, to a lesser extent, manage our liquidity position. Generally, FHLB advances with terms between three and ten years have been used to fund the purchase of single family and multifamily mortgages and to provide us with interest rate risk protection should rates rise. At March 31, 2010, a total of \$52.0 million of FHLB advances include agreements that allow the FHLB, at its option, to put the advances back to us after specified dates. The weighted-average remaining contractual maturity period of the \$52.0 million in advances is 2.50 years and the weighted average remaining period before such advances could be put to us is 0.53 years.

Stockholders Equity

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Stockholders equity increased \$19.6 million to \$108.5 million at March 31, 2010 compared to \$88.9 million at June 30, 2009. The increase was the result of our net income for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 of \$16.4 million, a \$1.6 million unrealized gain from our available for sale securities and \$1.4 million from the conversion and exercise of stock options.

41

Liquidity

During the nine months ended March 31, 2010, we had net cash outflows from operating activities of \$11.5 million compared to outflows of \$6.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009. Net operating cash outflows for the periods ended were primarily due to the originations of loans held for sale.

Net cash outflows from investing activities totaled \$71.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2010, while outflows totaled \$54.3 million for the same period in 2009. This was primarily due to increased loan pools purchased offset by increased residential mortgage-backed securities sales and repayments of loans and residential mortgage-backed securities in the 2010 period compared to the same period in the prior year.

Our net cash provided by financing activities totaled \$81.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2010, while inflows totaled \$54.9 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009. Net cash provided by financing activities increased primarily from the increase in deposits offset by the repayment of borrowings for the nine months ended March 31, 2010 compared to March 31, 2009. During the nine months ended March 31, 2010, the Bank could borrow up to 40.0% of its total assets from the FHLB. Borrowings are collateralized by the pledge of certain mortgage loans and investment securities to the FHLB. At March 31, 2010, the Company had \$155.3 million available immediately and an additional \$359.2 million available with additional collateral. At March 31, 2010, we also had a \$10.0 million unsecured federal funds purchase line with a bank under which no borrowings were outstanding.

The Bank has the ability to borrow short-term from the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco Discount Window. At March 31, 2010, the Bank did not have any borrowings outstanding and the amount available from this source was \$225.4 million. These borrowings are collateralized by consumer loans, and mortgage-backed securities.

In an effort to expand our Bank s liquidity options, we have issued brokered deposits, with \$53.7 million outstanding at March 31, 2010. We believe our liquidity sources to be stable and adequate for our anticipated needs and contingencies. We believe we have the ability to increase our level of deposits and borrowings to address our liquidity needs for the foreseeable future.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMITMENTS

At March 31, 2010, we had commitments to originate or purchase loans and investment securities of \$29.5 million, and \$18.9 million in commitments to sell loans. Time deposits due within one year of March 31, 2010 totaled \$237.2 million. We believe the large percentage of time deposits that mature within one year reflects customers hesitancy to invest their funds long term. If these maturing deposits do not remain with us, we may be required to seek other sources of funds, including other time deposits and borrowings. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on deposits and borrowings than we currently pay on time deposits maturing within one year. We believe, however, based on past experience, a significant portion of our time deposits will remain with us. We believe we have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting interest rates offered.

42

The following table presents certain of our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2010:

		Payments Due by Period ¹ Three To				
	Total	Less Than One To Five One Year Three Years Years (Dollars in thousands)			More Than Five Years	
Long-term debt obligations ²	\$ 813,962	\$ 316,999	\$ 235,735	\$ 124,680	\$ 136,548	
Operating lease obligations ³	813	342	471			
Total	\$ 814,775	\$ 317,341	\$ 236,206	\$ 124,680	\$ 136,548	

- Our contractual obligations include lon-term debt, time deposits and operating leases as shown. We had no capitalized leases or material commitments for capital expenditures at March 31, 2010
- ² Amounts include principal and interest due to recipient.
- Payments are for a lease of real property.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND REQUIREMENTS

Bank of Internet USA is subject to various regulatory capital requirements set by the federal banking agencies. Failure by our Bank to meet minimum capital requirements could result in certain mandatory and discretionary actions by regulators that could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, our Bank must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of our Bank s assets, liabilities and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. Our Bank s capital amounts and classifications are also subject to qualitative judgments by regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation require our Bank to maintain certain minimum capital amounts and ratios. Regulations of the Office of Thrift Supervision requires our Bank to maintain minimum ratios of tangible capital to tangible assets of 1.5%, core capital to tangible assets of 4.0% and total risk-based capital to risk-weighted assets of 8.0%. At March 31, 2010, our Bank met all the capital adequacy requirements to which it was subject. At March 31, 2010, our Bank was well capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be well capitalized, our Bank must maintain minimum leverage, tier 1 risk-based and total risk-based capital ratios of at least 5.0%, 6.0% and 10.0%, respectively. No conditions or events have occurred since that date that management believes would materially adversely change the Bank s capital classification. From time to time, we may need to raise additional capital to support our Bank s further growth and to maintain its well capitalized status.

The Bank s capital amounts, capital ratios and capital requirements at March 31, 2010 were as follows:

					To	be Well (Capitalized
			For Capi	al Adequacy	Uno	ler Promt (Corrective
	Actua	Actual Purposes			Action Regulations		
	Amount	Ratio	Amoun	t Ratio	A	Amount	Ratio
			(Dollars	in thousands)			
Tier 1 leverage (core) capital to adjusted tangible assets	\$ 117,918	8.43%	\$ 55,9	69 4.00%	\$	69,962	5.00%
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 117,918	17.26%	N	/A N/A	\$	40,993	6.00%
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	\$ 123,528	18.08%	\$ 54,6	57 8.00%	\$	68,322	10.00%
Tangible capital (to tangible assets)	\$ 117,918	8.43%	\$ 20,9	88 1.50%		N/A	N/A

QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We measure interest rate sensitivity as the difference between amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities that mature or contractually re-price within a given period of time. The difference, or the interest rate sensitivity gap, provides an indication of the extent to which an institution s interest rate spread will be affected by changes in interest rates. A gap is considered positive when the amount of interest rate sensitive assets exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities and negative when the amount of interest rate sensitive liabilities exceeds the amount of interest rate sensitive assets. In a rising interest rate environment, an institution with a positive gap would be in a better position than an institution with a negative gap to invest in higher yielding assets or to have its asset yields adjusted upward, which would cause the yield on its assets to increase at a faster pace than the cost of its interest-bearing liabilities. During a period of falling interest rates, however, an institution with a positive gap would tend to have its assets reprice at a faster rate than one with a negative gap, which would tend to reduce the growth in its net interest income. The following table sets forth the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities at March 31, 2010:

	Term to Repricing, Repayment, or Maturity at March 31, 2010 Over One Over One Year			
	Year or Less	Through Five Years (Dollars in	Over Five Years thousands)	Total
Interest-earning assets:		,	,	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 7,104	\$	\$	\$ 7,104
Securities ¹	461,646	44,151	79,489	585,286
Stock of the FHLB, at cost	18,848	,	,	18,848
Loans net of allowance for loan loss ²	334,066	147,242	268,810	750.118
Loans held for sale	5,326	• ,	,	5,326
Total interest-earning assets	826,990	191,393	348,299	1,366,682
Non-interest earning assets		,,,,,,	,	34,461
Total assets	\$ 826,990	\$ 191,393	\$ 348,299	\$ 1,401,143
Interest-bearing liabilities:				
Interest-bearing deposits ³	\$ 785,941	\$ 128,459	\$ 48,546	\$ 962,946
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase		95,000	35,000	130,000
Advances from the FHLB ⁴	57,000	95,000	29,995	181,995
Other borrowed funds	5,155			5,155
Total interest-bearing liabilities	848,096	318,459	113,541	1,280,096
Other noninterest-bearing liabilities				12,564
Stockholders equity				108,483
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 848,096	\$ 318,459	\$ 113,541	\$ 1,401,143
Net interest rate sensitivity gap	\$ (21,106)	\$ (127,066)	\$ 234,758	\$ 86,586
Cumulative gap	\$ (21,106)	\$ (148,172)	\$ 86,586	\$ 86,586
Net interest rate sensitivity gap as a % of interest earning assets	-2.55%	-66.39%	67.40%	6.34%
Cumulative gap as % of cumulative interest earning assets	-2.55%	-14.55%	6.34%	6.34%

Comprised of U.S. government securities and mortgage-backed securities, which are classified as held to maturity, available for sale and trading. The table reflects contractual re-pricing dates.

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

- The table reflects either contractual re-pricing dates or maturities.
- The table assumes that the principal balances for demand deposit and savings accounts will re-price in the first year.
- The table reflects either contractual repricing dates or maturities and does not estimate prepayments or puts.

 Although gap analysis is a useful measurement device available to management in determining the existence of interest rate exposure, its static focus as of a particular date makes it necessary to utilize other techniques in measuring exposure to changes in interest rates. For example, gap analysis is limited in its ability to predict trends in future earnings and makes no assumptions about changes in prepayment tendencies, deposit or loan maturity preferences or repricing time lags that may occur in response to a change in the interest rate environment.

44

We attempt to measure the effect market interest rate changes will have on the net present value of assets and liabilities, which is defined as market value of equity. The market value of equity for these purposes is not intended to refer to the trading pricing of our common stock. We analyze the market value of equity sensitivity to an immediate parallel and sustained shift in interest rates derived from the current treasury and LIBOR yield curves. For rising interest rate scenarios, the industry market interest rate forecast was increased by 100, 200 and 300 basis points. For the falling interest rate scenarios, we used a 100 basis points decrease due to limitations inherent in the current rate environment. The following table indicates the sensitivity of market value of equity to the interest rate movement described above at March 31, 2010:

(Dollars in thousands)	Net Present Value	Percentage Change from Base	Net Present Value as a Percentage of Assets
Up 300 basis points	\$ 107,880	-9.90%	7.95%
1 1			
Up 200 basis points	114,629	-4.30%	8.29%
Up 100 basis points	119,214	-0.50%	8.47%
Base	119,779		8.37%
Down 100 basis points	122,456	2.20%	8.41%

The computation of the prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes is based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of interest rates, asset prepayments, runoffs in deposits and changes in repricing levels of deposits to general market rates, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Furthermore, these computations do not take into account any actions that we may undertake in response to future changes in interest rates.

ITEM 3: QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISKS

For quantitative and qualitative disclosures regarding market risks in our portfolio, see, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Consolidated Financial Condition and Results of Operations Ouantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

ITEM 4: CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

The Company s management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer along with our Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms.

There were no changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation referred to above that occurred during the quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

The Company s size dictates that it conducts business with a minimal number of financial and administrative employees, which inherently results in a lack of documented controls and segregation of duties within the Company. Management will continue to evaluate the employees involved and the controls procedures in place, the risks associated with such lack of segregation and whether the potential benefits of adding employees to clearly segregate duties justifies the expense associated with such added personnel. In addition, management is aware that many of the internal controls that are in place at the Company are undocumented controls.

The Company believes that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control are met and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected.

46

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are not involved in any material legal proceedings. From time to time we may be a party to a claim or litigation that arises in the ordinary course of business, such as claims to enforce liens, claims involving the origination and servicing of loans, and other issues related to the business of the Bank.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

We face a variety of risks that are inherent in our business and our industry. These risks are described in more detail under Risk Factors in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2009. We encourage you to read these risk factors in their entirety. Moreover, other factors may also exist that we cannot anticipate or that we currently do not consider to be significant based on information that is currently available.

The following describes additional significant risk factors that could affect our business and our results of operations:

Recent negative developments in the financial institutions industry and credit markets, as well as the economy in general, may continue to adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

The recent negative events in the housing market, including significant and continuing home price reductions coupled with the upward trends in delinquencies and foreclosures, have resulted and will likely continue to result in poor performance of mortgage and construction loans and in significant asset write-downs by many financial institutions. This has caused and will likely continue to cause many financial institutions to seek additional capital, to merge with larger and stronger institutions and, in some cases, to seek government assistance or bankruptcy protection. Bank failures and liquidations or sales by the FDIC as receiver have also increased.

Reduced availability of commercial credit and increasing unemployment have further contributed to deteriorating credit performance of commercial and consumer loans, resulting in additional write-downs. Financial market and economic instability has caused many lenders and institutional investors to severely restrict their lending to customers and to each other. This market turmoil and credit tightening has exacerbated commercial and consumer deficiencies, and has contributed to a lack of consumer confidence, market volatility and widespread reduction in general business activity. Financial institutions also have experienced decreased access to deposits and borrowings. As of March 31, 2010, we had \$237.2 million of time deposits maturing within one year, which is a significant portion of our total time deposits and reflects our customers hesitancy to invest their funds long term. If these maturing deposits do not remain with us upon maturity, we may be required to seek other sources of funds.

These negative economic trends and developments are being experienced on national and international levels, as well as within the state of California where the Company s business is concentrated. It is difficult to predict how long these economic conditions will exist, which of our markets and loan products will ultimately be most affected, and whether our actions will effectively mitigate these external factors. The current economic pressure on consumers and businesses and the lack of confidence in the financial markets has adversely affected and may continue to adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and stock price. For example, we have recently experienced an increase in our nonperforming assets and if real estate values continue to decline and consumer job losses continue to increase, we are likely to experience continued growth in nonperforming assets.

We do not believe these conditions are likely to improve in the near future. As a result of the challenges presented by these general economic and industry conditions, we face the following risks, among others:

The number of our borrowers unable to make timely repayments of their loans, the potential increase in the volume of problem assets and foreclosures and/or decreases in the value of real estate collateral securing the payment of such loans and/or decreases in the demand for our products and services could continue to rise, resulting in additional credit losses, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results.

47

The potential for increased regulation of our industry, including heightened legal standards and regulatory requirements, as well as expectations imposed in connection with recent and proposed legislation. Compliance with such additional regulation will likely increase our operating costs and may limit our ability to pursue business opportunities.

The process we use to estimate losses inherent in our credit exposure requires difficult, subjective and complex judgments, including forecasts of economic conditions and how these economic conditions might impair the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans. The level of uncertainty concerning economic conditions may adversely affect the accuracy of our estimates which may, in turn, impact the reliability of the process.

Further disruptions in the capital markets or other events, which may result in an inability to borrow on favorable terms or at all from other financial institutions.

Recent legislative and regulatory initiatives to address difficult market and economic conditions may not stabilize the U.S. banking system.

We are subject to changing government laws and regulations, which could adversely affect our operations.

The U.S. government s monetary policies or changes in those policies could have a major effect on our operating results, and we cannot predict what those policies will be or any changes in such policies or the effect of such policies on us.

Current levels of market volatility are unprecedented.

The actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions could affect our ability to engage in routine funding transactions

Declines in the value of our securities may negatively affect earnings.

Our results of operations could vary as a result of the methods, estimates, and judgments that we use in applying our accounting policies.

We may elect to seek additional capital but it may not be available when it is needed and this could limit our ability to execute our strategic plan.

Changes in interest rates could adversely affect our income.

Many of our mortgage and consumer loans, particularly recreational vehicle loans and home equity loans are generally unseasoned, and defaults on such loans would harm our business.

If our allowance for loan losses is not sufficient to cover actual loan losses, our earnings, capital adequacy and overall financial condition may suffer materially.

Edgar Filing: Bofl Holding, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Declining real estate values, particularly in California, could reduce the value of our loan portfolio and impair our profitability and financial condition.

We purchase and originate loans in bulk or pools. We may experience lower yields or losses on loans because the assumptions we use may not always prove correct.

Our success depends in large part on the continuing efforts of a few individuals. If we are unable to retain these personnel or attract, hire and retain others to oversee and manage our company, our business could suffer.

We have risks of systems failure and security risks, including hacking and identity theft.

Prospective Impact of Proposed Legislation.

In December 2009, the U.S. House of Representatives passed H.R. 4173, the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2009. The U.S. Senate is currently considering a similar bill proposed by Senate Banking Committee Chairman, Mr. Dodd, named the Restoring American Financial Stability Act (Dodd s bill). Among numerous provisions, H.R. 4173 contains a provision for the creation of a new federal government agency, the National Bank Supervisor (NBS) that would charter and supervise all federally-chartered depository institutions, and all federal branches and agencies of foreign banks. It is proposed that the NBS take over the responsibilities of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, which currently charters and supervises nationally-chartered banks, and responsibility for the institutions currently supervised by the Office of Thrift Supervision, which supervises federally-chartered thrift and thrift holding companies, such as BofI Holding, Inc. and Bank of Internet USA. In addition, H.R. 4173 eliminates the thrift charter,

48

under which Bank of Internet USA is organized. Unlike H.R. 4173, Dodd s bill does not eliminate the savings and loan holding company or expressly provide that savings and loan holding companies will be regulated as bank holding companies.

In light of the vagaries of the political process, we cannot predict whether, to what extent or in what form the provisions of H.R. 4173 or provisions of Dodd s bill may be enacted into law or, if it is enacted, what its terms may provide or how the terms of any legislation adopted may affect our operations going forward. The Company will continue to be subject to legislation and regulations which may be proposed and enacted from time to time and which may impact the Company and its operations and profitability in ways that cannot now be foreseen.

Increases in deposit insurance premiums and special FDIC assessments will affect our earnings.

Beginning in late 2008, the economic environment caused higher levels of bank failures, which dramatically increased FDIC resolution costs and led to a significant reduction in the federal deposit insurance fund. As a result, the FDIC has significantly increased the initial base assessment rates paid by financial institutions for deposit insurance. The base assessment rate was increased by seven basis points (seven cents for every \$100 of deposits) for the first quarter of 2009. Effective April 1, 2009, initial base assessment rates were changed to range from 12 basis points to 45 basis points across all risk categories with possible adjustments to these rates based on certain debt-related components. These increases in the base assessment rate have increased our deposit insurance costs and negatively impacted our earnings. In addition, in May 2009, the FDIC imposed a special assessment on all insured institutions due to recent bank and savings association failures. The emergency assessment amounted to five basis points on each institution s assets minus Tier 1 capital as of June 30, 2009, subject to a maximum equal to 10 basis points times the institution s assessment base. This special assessment resulted in an addition expense in the prior fiscal year of \$610,000, and our FDIC deposit insurance expense for the current fiscal year is higher by \$450,000 compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

In addition, the FDIC may impose additional emergency special assessments of up to five basis points per quarter on each institution s assets minus Tier 1 capital, if necessary, to maintain public confidence in federal deposit insurance or as a result of deterioration in the deposit insurance fund reserve ratio due to institution failures. Additionally, as an alternative to the special assessments, in September 2009, the FDIC adopted a rule that required financial institutions to prepay its estimated quarterly risk-based assessment for the fourth quarter of 2009 and for all of 2010, 2011 and 2012. This new rule did not immediately impact our earnings as the prepayment will be expensed over time. Any additional emergency special assessment imposed by the FDIC will negatively impact our earnings.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

The table below sets forth information regarding the Company s common stock repurchase plans. Purchases made relate to the stock repurchase plan of 414,991 shares that was originally approved by the Company s Board of Directors on July 5, 2005, plus an additional 500,000 shares approved on November 20, 2008. Stock repurchased under this plan will be held as treasury shares.

	Total number of shares purchased	age price per share	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans
Shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs:			
Balance at July 1, 2009 ¹	595,700	\$ 5.72	319,291
Total number of shares purchase as part of publicly announced plans or			
programs December 31, 2009	595,700	\$ 5.72	319,291
Shares purchased as part of a net-settlement of employees restricted stock units:			
Balance at July 1, 2009	27,607		
July 1, 2009 to July 31, 2009			
August 1, 2009 to August 30, 2009	2,847		
September 1, 2009 to September 30, 2009			
October 1, 2009 to October 31, 2009			
November 1, 2009 to November 30, 2009			
December 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009	(6,232)		
January 1, 2010 to January 31, 2010	• 0 < 1		
February 1, 2010 to February 28, 2010	2,064		
March 1, 2010 to March 31, 2010	244		
Total net-settlement shares purchased	26,530		
Total treasury shares	622,230		

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

No shares were purchased between July 1 and March 31, 2010.

50

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit	Document
1.1	Underwriting Agreement dated March 30, 2010, between the registrant and B. Riley & Co., LLC ¹
10.1	Employment Agreement dated April 22, 2010, between Bank of Internet USA and Andrew J Micheletti ²
31.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.1	Chief Executive Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Chief Financial Officer Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

⁽¹⁾ Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 1.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 000-51201) filed by the Company on April 5, 2010

51

⁽²⁾ Incorporated by reference from Exhibit 10.1 to the Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 000-51201) filed by the Company on April 28, 2010

SIGNATURE

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BofI Holding, Inc.

Dated: April 29, 2010

By: /s/ Gregory Garrabrants Gregory Garrabrants President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)

52