

SM&A  
Form 10-K  
March 14, 2007

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission file number 0-23585

## SM&A

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**33-0080929**  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**4695 MacArthur Court,  
8th Floor, Newport Beach, CA**  
(Address of principal  
executive offices)

**92660**  
(Zip Code)

**(949) 975-1550**  
(Telephone number)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

## Edgar Filing: SM&A - Form 10-K

**Common Stock, \$0.0001 par value**

**(Title of class)**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$68,413,202 as of June 30, 2006.

Number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: Common Stock, 18,392,260 shares outstanding at January 31, 2007. Preferred Stock, no shares issued or outstanding at January 31, 2007.

### **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Portions of the registrant's 2007 Proxy Statement are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

---

**FORM 10-K**

**For the Year Ended December 31, 2006**

**INDEX**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>PART I</b>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Business</u> 4
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u> 13
<u>Item 1B.</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> 15
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Properties</u> 15
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u> 15
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u> 15
<b>PART II</b>	
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Market for the Registrant's Common Stock, Related Stockholder Matters, and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> 16
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u> 19
<u>Item 7.</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> 20
Item 7A.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u> 27
<u>Item 8.</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> 27
<u>Item 9.</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> 28
<u>Item 9A.</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u> 28
<u>Item 9B.</u>	<u>Other Information</u> 31
<b>PART III</b>	
<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> 32
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u> 32
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u> 32
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> 33
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> 33
<b>PART IV</b>	
<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules and Reports on Form 8-K</u> F-1
<u>Signatures</u>	F-21
<u>Index to Exhibits</u>	F-22

- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, BDO Seidman, LLP
- 23.2 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Ernst & Young LLP
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer under Section 906

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT RELATED TO FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

*This Annual Report on Form 10-K includes certain forward-looking statements as defined within Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, relating to revenue, revenue composition, earnings, projected plans, performance, contract procurement, demand trends, future expense levels, trends in average headcount and gross margins, and the level of expected capital expenditures. Such forward-looking statements are based on the beliefs of, estimates made by, and information currently available to SM&A management and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions. Any statements contained herein (including without limitation statements to the effect that the Company or management estimates, expects, anticipates, plans, believes, projects, continues, may, will, could, or would or statements concerning potential or opportunity or variations thereof or comparable terminology or the negative thereof) that are not statements of historical fact should be construed as forward-looking statements. The actual results of SM&A may vary materially from those expected or anticipated in these forward-looking statements. The realization of such forward-looking statements may be impacted by certain important unanticipated factors including those discussed in Risk Factors under Item 1A, and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, at pages 13-15. Because of these and other factors that may affect SM&A's operating results, past performance should not be considered as an indicator of future performance, and investors should not use historical results to anticipate results or trends in future periods. The Company undertakes no obligation to publicly release the results of any revisions to these forward-looking statements that may be made to reflect events or circumstances after the date hereof or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. Readers should carefully review the risk factors described in this and other documents that SM&A files from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), including subsequent Current Reports on Form 8-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Annual Reports on Form 10-K.*

## HOW TO OBTAIN SM&A SEC FILINGS

*All reports filed by SM&A with the SEC are available free of charge via EDGAR through the SEC website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). In addition, the public may read and copy materials filed by the Company with the SEC at the SEC's public reference room located at 450 Fifth St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20549. SM&A also provides copies of its Forms 8-K, 10-K, 10-Q, Proxy and Annual Report at no charge to investors upon request and makes electronic copies of its most recently filed reports available through its website at [www.smawins.com](http://www.smawins.com) as soon as reasonably practicable after filing such material with the SEC.*

## PART I

### ITEM 1—BUSINESS

*As used in this report, SM&A, the Company, we, our and similar terms include SM&A and its subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.*

#### **Overview Development of Business**

SM&A is the world's leading provider of Competition Management (business capture and proposal development) services, and a leading provider of Program Services (post-award risk mitigation and profit maximizing) services. Under these two service lines, our approximately 330 employees and consultants provide strategy, proposal management, program management, systems engineering, program planning, and other high-value technical support to major industrial customers in the defense, homeland security, aerospace, systems integration/information technology, and engineering sectors.

We were founded as a California corporation in 1982 and reincorporated in the state of Delaware in 2006. After sixteen years of consistent revenue and profit growth, we completed an initial public offering in January 1998 and embarked on an acquisition program to broaden our service and product offerings. Due to the underperformance of the acquired businesses during 2000 and 2001, we discontinued, liquidated or sold all of the acquired businesses and, in early 2002, retired our debt.

#### **Business Strategy**

We support our clients by providing a full array of high-value services that either adds to our clients' top line revenue through the more effective management of their proposals, and/or we improve their bottom line earnings by applying high value technical and management leadership to their awarded programs. While the Company operates in one business segment, our business strategy is to classify the services we offer under the following two categories:

*Competition Management.* Represents consulting services which provide project leadership to help our clients strategically position themselves, identify business opportunities, and formulate and prepare competitive bids. We are the largest provider of Competition Management services in the world; and

*Program Services.* Represents consulting services that assist our clients in keeping their programs on schedule and under budget while increasing their probability of successful program delivery.

#### **Competition Management**

We provide Competition Management services through proprietary proposal management strategies and processes. In conjunction with these processes, we typically assume a leadership role and place dedicated teams at client facilities to manage all aspects of the competitive proposal development effort. Since 1982, we have managed more than 1,000 proposals worth more than \$340 billion for our clients and have achieved an 85% win rate on awarded contracts. The combination of our high win rate and reputation has contributed to our dominant market share of Competition Management services outsourced by government contractors, while also leading to an increase in the amount of business from firms interested in winning commercial projects. The phases of our Competition Management services include:

*The Pursuit Phase.* Our team assists the client in the creation of a winning strategy that leads to the selection of sub-contractors, an investment plan, a technical baseline, and a program implementation plan. We typically deliver this support in the form of a small, very high-value team.

*The Proposal Phase.* Our team manages a client team, typically 10 to 200 engineers, information technology specialists and managers, providing full time, hands-on execution of the process from strategy



formulation, through all phases of proposal preparation and review, to post-submittal responses to the government's questions. The proposal process typically requires three to twelve months of intense activity at the client's site.

*The Evaluation Phase.* After the proposal is submitted, the proposal team's interaction with the U.S. Government is a critical part of our winning process. Because our clients typically reassign key personnel to other projects after proposal submittal, we have an opportunity to support our clients with a small core team to answer formal questions from the government and prepare the best and final offer. Another area of our action during the government's proposal evaluation period is working with the client's team in preparation for winning the award. Many proposals include a very aggressive start-up phase that requires the delivery of significant products within the first 30 to 60 days after the contract award. We provide management support, program planners and schedulers, systems engineers, and other specialists to assist the client's team to meet early post-award commitments. This support leads naturally into the provision of program support services.

*Proposal Management Functions and Skills.* We provide our clients with the full range of skills and functions they need to prepare winning proposals. As we have grown, we have provided a greater selection of services to our clients as they have concurrently grown more accustomed to outsourcing various proposal management functions.

**The following provides some description of the types of services we deliver in support of Competition Management**

*Win Strategist.* Early in the competitive process, we help our clients position themselves to win. Win Strategists are typically senior SM&A associates with high-level executive backgrounds. Our account executives frequently participate in win strategy sessions for our clients.

*Proposal Manager.* The proposal manager is responsible for the timely execution of a client's proposal. He or she reports to an SM&A account executive. All SM&A and client personnel working on the proposal report to the SM&A proposal manager. SM&A proposal managers have strong technical and programmatic backgrounds and generally have had senior level responsibilities, such as vice presidents, general managers, program managers, or chief engineers before coming to work for us. SM&A only assigns individuals as proposal managers who have had significant prior experience with SM&A and our processes.

*Executive Summary Author.* When the proposal requires an executive summary, we often supply our clients with an author capable of fusing a wide array of topics into a compelling short story that explains "why us?" to the customer. These authors often have exceptional presentation expertise, and domain knowledge of the client's industry.

*Technical Volume/Book Leader.* The technical volume can be the most difficult part of a proposal because it forms the foundation of the client's offering, and usually involves the greatest number of client personnel. SM&A technical volume leaders have similar experience profiles to those of proposal managers.

*Management Volume/Book Leader.* Management volumes usually have fewer authors than technical volumes, but are of critical importance as they describe how the client will be organized to accomplish what has been proposed, who will actually lead the effort, and will determine the use of facilities, tools and processes, etc. As a result, SM&A management volume leaders may write more of this volume themselves. Management volume leaders are usually experienced industry senior managers.

*Cost Volume/Book Leader.* The cost volume leader often works with a large group of client accounting and cost estimating personnel. This task is challenging because the cost volume leader must understand both cost accounting and engineering principles.





*Price-to-Win Support.* This function often supports Win Strategy formulation as well as competitive pricing. Skills used include derivative pricing, parametric pricing, and competitive analysis.

*Integrated Master Plan/Integrated Master Schedule Specialist.* This specialist integrates the entire program: engineering, management, risk, schedule, and staffing. This skill is also in high demand in the program support services side of the business.

*Orals Coach.* If the proposal submittal has an oral presentation component, we will often support our client with intensive training and preparation. Orals coaches are highly experienced communications experts.

*Production Leader.* The production leader coordinates the physical creation of the proposal product, either in hard copy and/or in electronic format. The production leader is an experienced graphics artist with exceptional organizational and leadership skills.

*Production Support.* During times of intense proposal activity, clients are often understaffed in the area of graphics and word processing personnel. SM&A has a cadre of very experienced and high-quality production support personnel capable of working more quickly and to a higher level than can be obtained through temporary employment agencies or often the clients' own staff.

*Red Team Leader.* Proposals will typically undergo one or more major reviews to ensure quality and consistency. Red Team leaders are senior level managers capable of organizing a very intense two- to three-day review process.

#### **Program Services**

Program Services comprise all aspects of successful program delivery. Since past performance (i.e., a company's contract performance) is a large part of the graded score in competitive federal procurements, our clients especially value our support in this area. Some notable programs for which we provided our services in 2006 include Accenture LTD's U.S. Visit, The Boeing Company's Future Combat Systems and Ground-based Midcourse Defense, Lockheed Martin Corporation's Joint Strike Fighter, and The Raytheon Company's Exo-atmospheric Kill Vehicle. The types of services we provide fall into two basic categories, Program Management Consulting and High Value Program Management Solutions. These two categories include:

##### *Program Management Consulting*

*Leadership and Technical Services.* Our Leadership and Technical Services provide program management and systems engineering leadership to enhance our clients' program performance. SM&A supports the needs of clients' program teams that range from surge support when on-boarding a program, to mentoring inexperienced teams of more junior personnel. SM&A can provide the needed personnel for short periods or for the duration of the program.

*Integrated Staffing.* Integrated Staffing is uniquely positioned to serve our clients' program support needs. As a division of SM&A, we bring industry knowledge and experience to the execution of complex, technically challenging programs. Our experience across all program phases from planning through execution, coupled with our client-focus and commitment, provide a strong foundation for success. Integrated Staffing offers program support skills critical to the startup, re-plan, or ongoing execution of programs: Systems Engineering, Project Management, Scheduling/Planning, and Business Specialists. Our Associates bring hands-on experience and integrate into client teams to contribute to program success starting from day one. We combine our experience with client processes and tools to accomplish program activities, at your direction and under your management.



*High Value Program Management Solutions*

*AwardFee* . Award fee is a contractual approach used by the buyer to motivate the contractor's performance areas deemed critical to the program's success (e.g. technical, logistics support, cost, and schedule) and is subjectively and quantitatively measured and evaluated. Our solution focuses resources according to the categories, weightings and criteria identified in the contract. Our approach is adapted from our Competition Management process to develop a winning strategy for each award fee evaluation period, improve program implementation, and ensure that every meeting, deliverable and customer communication reinforces the case for maximal award fee.

*Milestone Success* . The Milestone Success solution helps clients to properly complete Program Reviews and ensure that the program performs on-schedule, remains on-cost and operates at optimum levels. In addition, Milestone Success is designed to increase customer satisfaction through the clear communication of the program's expectations and successes. Our solution provides a strategy-driven process implemented by highly skilled associates with extensive systems engineering and Technical Review experience.

**Markets for Competition Management Services**

We assist companies seeking to identify, win and implement new business. Since 1982, we have helped clients win contracts, a service that generated about 63% and 58% of our revenue in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our major clients' core businesses are in providing various defense and aerospace goods and services to the federal government and represent about 75% and 71% of our proposal management activity in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Our reputation in the market is based on our ability to help our clients win consistently. This reputation for winning provides us with an opportunity to provide new and existing clients with services beyond Competition Management.

We provide our clients with a full service strategic consulting and proposal management capability. The need for this specialized capability can be found wherever companies have a requirement to produce a competitive proposal in response to a request for proposal from a corporate, federal, state, local or international entity. We have also been marketing with increasing success to companies pursuing non-federal business. The largest single portion of our business is derived from supporting companies engaged in the pursuit of competitively awarded federal contracts, mainly from the Department of Defense (DoD).

*Supporting Proposals in Response to Federal Procurements.* According to the Government Electronics and Information Technology Association (GEITA), the federal government will obligate approximately \$165 billion in fiscal year 2007 to acquire goods and services from large prime contractors, of which approximately two-thirds to three-quarters will be obligated by the DoD. Federal spending of this nature is expected to remain level in 2007 and may continue at this rate for another two to three years due to resources constrained by the global war on terror, current operations in Iraq and Afghanistan, and current federal deficit pressures. A significant portion of these funds will be spent on information technology goods and services. Much of this procurement spending will be awarded competitively. Companies generally spend from \$500,000 to \$2 million to prepare a proposal for a must-win program. Federal law and policy encourages this spending and allows companies to seek reimbursement for about 50% of these expenses. Some portion of the money spent to bid on a program is often outsourced and it is this outsourcing for proposal services that represents the majority of our traditional source of revenue. Furthermore, the spending cited above is happening during a time of extreme demographic pressures in the defense and aerospace industry. The defense contractor workforce is retiring in record numbers by 2010, it is projected that approximately 70,000 defense engineers within the aerospace workforce will become eligible for retirement (Source: Aviation Week & Space Technology, February 2007). To meet new demand and replace retiring workers, we believe the defense industry will have to hire about 255,000 knowledge workers through 2007. For this reason alone we can expect continued outsourcing demand from

our clients. Finally, as part of our support to clients in leading the development of a competitive proposal, we often provide competitive strategy services and management consulting services.

*Supporting Proposals in Response to State and Local Procurements.* According to the National Associate of State Budget Officers (December 2006), state and local governments will spend approximately \$630 billion on goods and services in 2007. State and local service-based projects are generally smaller and less complex than federal projects, thus making the potential market for our services smaller in the state and local market than in the federal market. As with non-DoD federal procurements, the larger and more complex state and local procurements often involve information technology and we have provided significant support to our clients in assisting the creation of their proposals for state and local government contracts.

*Supporting Proposals in Response to International Government Procurements.* Large international competitions, especially for defense goods among America's allies, are, in many ways, structured similarly to procurements managed by the DoD. We have enjoyed a significant degree of success and involvement with these overseas competitions over the years, especially with our established client base.

*Supporting Proposals in Response to Corporate Procurements.* We believe that large and technically complex corporate procurements have increasingly used a formal request for proposal rather than relationship selling to aid in determining the winning bidder. The processes and tools we developed for helping clients win government contracts are largely applicable in this market as well.

We project that the U.S. market for our proposal services exceeds \$1 billion annually. This assessment is derived from our ongoing analysis of several recognized industry source documents and includes revenues we expect to be generated from DoD, civil, state and local government procurement awards for U.S. based large business concerns (excludes dollars set aside for small business firms).

#### **Factors Driving Growth in Competition Management Services**

We believe the growth of the market for outsourced proposal management is dependent on a number of factors, including but not limited to:

*An Increase in the Defense Spending Budget.* The defense budget is growing in some areas as the need for modernization of aging equipment becomes more pressing, and America's war on terrorism continues. The latter factor will drive defense spending for the foreseeable future. Furthermore, while defense spending appears likely to increase for the next few years, it is important to understand the distinction between spending on ongoing operations and spending on new systems and research and development—the former type of spending is not as beneficial to us as it does not generally result in new competitive procurements.

The ongoing expansion of Department and Homeland Security responsibilities and its role in the Global War on Terror (GWOT) should also continue to drive increasing need for proposal management services on Federal procurement opportunities.

*An Increase in the Importance of Proposal Management Services.* We believe that various factors in the aerospace and defense industries are contributing to an increased need to win projects. The ongoing consolidation activity in these industries has resulted in fewer, larger firms as well as an increased disparity between the resources of these larger firms and the remaining relatively smaller firms. The large consolidated firms are more motivated to win programs to support their operations and the smaller firms have an even greater need to access the resources necessary to compete with larger firms for programs. The U.S. Government has also conducted a number of "winner-take-all" competitions in which the government chose a single winner from two or more large aerospace suppliers that had historically supplied the products or services. The winners received multi-billion dollar contracts while the losers were



either allocated a program subcontract or required to shut down their production facilities and re-assign or lay off several thousand workers. Consequently, proposal management services and a winning outcome have become increasingly crucial to all competitors.

*A Decrease in Internal Competitive Proposal Capabilities of Client Community.* We believe that the internal proposal capabilities of the clients we have targeted for selling services to have decreased over the last several years due to fiscal pressures exerted on these companies. This trend is expected to accelerate as the pace of retirements within our client community peaks in the next five years creating additional opportunities for proposal related services.

#### **Markets for Program Services**

*The access to key industry decision makers provided by the successful conclusion of a proposal often gives us the ability to provide Program Services to our clients. The early stages of a large or complex program are often the most critical. Our familiarity with the program, developed during the proposal phase, can compel our clients to hire us to ensure a rapid and successful program start. Services provided include systems engineering, program management, and project integration.*

We offer our Program Services as a direct result of client requests to provide such services beginning in the mid-1990s. This service generated about 37% and 42% of our revenue in 2006 and 2005, respectively. The size of this market is in the billions of dollars annually, much of it in support of DoD prime contractors executing research, development, test and evaluation (RDT&E) contracts. According to DoD, in fiscal year 2006, \$58 billion was in support of RDT&E programs (see: [www.dod.mil/comptroller/defbudget/fy2006/fy2006\\_rl.xls](http://www.dod.mil/comptroller/defbudget/fy2006/fy2006_rl.xls)). Typically, prime contractors subcontract between 40-70% of the work share on such efforts. NASA, the Department of Energy and large federal and state information technology programs provided added value to this market numbering in the billions of dollars annually.

One prime entry point into these markets is through established clients with whom we have built a solid relationship based on winning important programs and trust. Several times a year we help our clients win new business. This often provides us with an opportunity to grow a successful business relationship from delivering proposal support services to delivering a wider range of services for our clients.

#### **Factors Driving Growth in Program Services**

Strong client relations developed and maintained through program support, management consulting, and competitive strategy services also enhance our ability to provide proposal management services to our clients. We believe we can successfully compete in these markets by using our reputation for winning to access potential clients, and then provide those clients with a wide range of services based on our experienced workforce, disciplined processes, and understanding of complex systems.

As previously mentioned, our client base is under demographic pressures. Increased demand for goods and services for defense and homeland security purposes factored in with the accelerating pace of retirements in the industry indicates a shortfall of some 255,000 knowledge workers from 2003 through 2007. About half of this shortfall is due to retirements and half is due to increased demand. We primarily recruit new workers from the ranks of the best and brightest of the newly retired aerospace and information technology community. We believe the industry's experienced personnel challenge is our opportunity. Further analysis can be found in the February 2007 issue of Aviation Week & Space Technology. The article *Vanishing Act* states, in part, "If current trends hold, the industry will be able to replace only about half of the military engineers that are expected to retire by 2010. And that doesn't take into account the additional engineers that will be needed to accommodate even modest growth in U.S military spending. The bottom line: a potential shortfall of up to 90,000 defense engineers by 2010." This

issue is further exacerbated by the difficulty experienced by foreign nations in obtaining the required security clearances to work in the defense and homeland security industries.

### Sales and Marketing

Our account executives market our services directly to senior executives of major corporations, supported as necessary by our leadership and staff. Our account executives are experienced industry experts who have successfully managed proposal development efforts and/or have extensive sales experience in Program Services. They spend the majority of their time on-site with our clients, working with them to capture and implement new business. Relationship selling based on this on-site presence along with our excellent reputation drives most of our revenue.

Specifically addressing our currently targeted client base, SM&A has developed a tracking process that now includes more than 130 major business units of our core defense as well as other associated firms. These firms represent where we derive our existing revenues as well as where we are targeting additional growth. Of these 130 plus major business units, we estimate that our services are only made available in 15 to 20 percent of the competitive bidding opportunities. Even at this service level, actual revenue as a percent of targeted revenue from these businesses has been increasing. Furthermore, as we continue to strategically manage the addition of experienced Account executives and focus on specific targeted client business units, we expect increased opportunities for revenue generation at current clients will occur and development of new client revenue opportunities will develop.

A significant portion of new business arises from prior client engagements. Clients frequently expand the scope of engagements during delivery to add complementary activities. Also, our on-site presence affords our team the opportunity to become aware of, and to help define, additional project opportunities as they are identified by the client. The strong client relationships arising out of many engagements facilitate our ability to market additional services to our clients in the future. In addition, our senior management team is actively involved in meeting with companies that have not yet engaged our services, and newly appointed senior managers in existing clients who might not be thoroughly knowledgeable of our previous assistance to them.

In addition to relationship selling, we employ a variety of business development and marketing techniques to communicate directly with current and prospective clients, including making on-site presentations, advertising in trade publications, attending industry seminars often featuring presentations by our personnel, attending trade shows and authoring articles and other publications about the industry and our methodologies, processes and technologies.

### Major Clients

We provide our high-end systems engineering and integrated proposal management services to numerous Fortune 100 clients. Our largest clients by percent of revenue received for the year ended December 31, 2006 are:

The Boeing Company	27.0 %
Accenture LTD	14.8
Raytheon	13.5
	55.3 %

This revenue is a result of various engagements by several business units of these companies. Although such business units are affiliated with the parent entities, our experience indicates that particular engagements are subject to the discretion of each individual business unit.

### **Pipeline and Backlog Process**

Generally, our contracts are terminable by the client on short notice or without notice. Accordingly, we do not believe it is appropriate to characterize these contracts as backlog. Normally if a client terminates a project, the client remains obligated to pay for commitments we have made to third parties in connection with the project, services performed and reimbursable expenses incurred by us through the date of termination.

We estimate our future performance based on pipeline. Pipeline is defined as measuring specific opportunities in our marketplace and assigning an estimated percentage of obtaining the opportunities. These internal estimates, though useful for planning purposes, are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties, and our actual results may frequently be materially different from our pipeline estimates set from time to time.

### **Competition**

We are a niche player providing high-value strategic services that, in most cases, would not be otherwise outsourced. As a result, we most frequently compete with our clients' internal organizations. We believe our core clients continue to labor under demographic pressures and, as a result, their ability to internally staff their requirements for high-value resources will diminish. In addition to our clients' internal capability, we have competitors in our markets for both Competition Management and Program Services. Competitors in the Competition Management services are made up of very small consultancies and individual consultants focusing primarily on proposal development. The largest of these is approximately one-fifth our size. We are able to offer greater depth of capability, a more diversified array of capabilities, and more efficient, better structured processes. We continue to focus on the strategic issues driving our clients' ability to win and high quality execution of their proposals. Competitors for Program Services are by contrast generally very large companies and may have greater marketing, technical, and financial resources than ours. However, our goal is not to be the high volume provider of journeyman technical support, but rather to be the premium provider of high-value strategic support services. Our focus is on delivering very high quality, something that is very difficult for high volume providers to deliver.

*Competition Management Services.* Our biggest competitor in this market is our clients' internal Competition Management capability. Many of our clients maintain internal business acquisition teams that are designed to handle the procurement of government contracts. The number of such in-house departments has been decreasing in recent years and, therefore, these clients have a limited ability to react to sudden increases in procurement activity or to must-win situations. If the client is open to outsourcing, then we are the largest provider of outsourced Competition Management services and principally compete with numerous smaller companies in this highly specialized industry. Shipley Associates is our largest competitor with 27% of our revenue in 2005, according to Dunn & Bradstreet.

*Program Services.* The program management, systems engineering and program integration markets are highly competitive and include a large number of highly capable firms in the United States with internal resources of the larger prime contractors being the primary competition. The market is also highly fragmented. We, however, have found increasing opportunities to work with clients who have previously retained us for proposal management services. In doing so, we seek to provide high-value strategic services as opposed to simply providing engineering job shop services as our competitors usually do. Some of our competitors include Booz Allen Hamilton and Volt Information Technology.

*Principal Competitive Factors.* We believe the principal competitive factors in the professional business services market includes, in priority order: industry and program knowledge, rapidly deployable skilled personnel, responsiveness, reputation, credibility, reliability, and price. Proposal management competitive factors are similar but in a different priority, they include: reputation, the level of experience



and skill of staff professionals, credibility, reliability, industry expertise, quality of service, responsiveness, and procurement success rate. The need to provide efficient and cost-effective service is of even greater importance where the cost of proposal development is likely to be a larger percentage of the contract amount than with a large program.

#### **Development of New Services or Techniques**

In 2006, we introduced one new solution titled Milestone Success and one new service offering titled Integrated Staffing. We plan to continue to develop, sell and deliver new complementary service line extensions that will service our existing and new customers. We plan to rollout these service lines extensions throughout 2007 and we will continue to evaluate additional opportunities as they are identified.

In addition, we are investing in hiring personnel capable of rapidly setting up and working in collaborative computer networks increasingly valuable skills needed to support our clients. Furthermore, as proposals have more commonly become electronic rather than paper, we have developed techniques to improve quality and the ease at which the proposal can be evaluated by the government.

#### **Employees**

As of December 31, 2006, we had approximately 330 employees and consultants. Approximately 83% of the employees are Competition Management and Program Services consulting professionals, 6% are account executives and marketing professionals and the remaining 11% are involved in enterprise management which comprises finance, management information systems, human resources and other administrative functions. We believe that our success depends significantly upon attracting, retaining and motivating talented, innovative and experienced professionals. For this reason, our employees are comprised of experienced program managers, engineers and skilled technicians, tested in some of the largest and most complex military, commercial and government programs of the past 30 years. The typical employee has more than 20 years of applicable experience in industry, government and/or the military and a majority of our employees possess advanced degrees in science, engineering or information technology fields.

We have a recruitment and training program to help acquire and ensure retention of high quality personnel and to enable us to respond to expanding customer needs. The performance of each of our employees is continuously evaluated both by the team with whom the employee is working and by the client who has engaged us. Our executives are always on call to discuss any and all personnel issues. We have maintained the highest standards of performance to ensure client satisfaction. We also attract and motivate our professional and administrative staff by offering competitive packages of base salary and incentive compensation and benefits.

Our employees are not represented by any labor union and we have never experienced a work stoppage. We believe that our relations with our employees are good.

## ITEM 1A—RISK FACTORS

### ADDITIONAL FACTORS THAT MAY AFFECT FUTURE RESULTS

*In addition to the other information in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, the following factors should be considered carefully in evaluating our business and prospects.*

***Our business depends substantially on the defense industry.***

Our Competition Management and Program Services business depends substantially on U.S. Government expenditures for defense products. Any decline in the future defense, information technology or homeland security procurement expenditures could affect the opportunities available to our clients and, indirectly, our business. A number of factors could contribute to such a decline in opportunities, including:

- Loss of political support for current or increased levels of spending;
- Changes of presidential administration, particularly changes from one political party to another, that typically result in a mass reordering of priorities that reduce new proposal activity for up to a year;
- Threat scenarios evolving away from global conflicts to regional conflicts;
- Spending for ongoing operations, such as the war on terrorism, the occupation of Iraq, downward pressure on spending for procurement of new systems and research and development spending; and
- Cancellation of programs or emphasis on government shifting programs.

In the event expenditures for products of the type manufactured by our clients are reduced and not offset by other new programs or products, there will be a reduction in the volume of contracts or subcontracts to be bid upon by our clients and, as a result, a reduction in the volume of proposals we manage. Unless offset, such reductions could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

***We rely on a relatively limited number of clients.***

We derive a significant portion of revenue from continuing operations from a relatively limited number of clients. Our seven largest customers accounted for 77% and 80% of our revenue for 2006 and 2005, respectively. Clients typically retain our services as needed on an engagement basis rather than pursuant to long-term contracts, and a client can usually terminate the engagement at any time without a significant penalty. Moreover, there can be no assurance that existing clients will continue to engage us for additional assignments or do so at the same revenue levels. The loss of any significant client could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the level of services required by an individual client may diminish over the life of the relationship, and there can be no assurance we will be successful in establishing relationships with new clients as this occurs.

***We cannot guarantee that acquisitions, mergers or investments in other companies will be successful.***

We have acquired a company that we believe will complement, enhance and expand our current business. We have not fully integrated the acquired company. There can be no assurance that the new business can be integrated successfully and profitably into our operations. This acquisition and investment may also entail the following risks:

- the diversion of our management's attention from our existing business while evaluating acquisitions, investments and other prospective business combinations and thereafter while assimilating the operations and personnel of the new business;
- adverse short-term effects on our operating results;



- the inability to successfully and rapidly integrate the new businesses, personnel and products with our existing business, including financial reporting, management and information technology systems;
- higher than anticipated costs of integration;
- unforeseen operating difficulties and expenditures;
- the need to manage a significantly larger business;
- potential dilution to our shareholders to the extent we use our common stock as currency for an acquisition;
- the assumption of liabilities;
- the use of a substantial amount of our available cash to consummate an acquisition;
- difficulties inherent in the implementation and application of the provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 to the operations of a privately-held entity acquired by the Company; and
- loss of employees of an acquired business, including employees who may have been instrumental to the success or growth of that business.
- We may not be able to successfully integrate or operate profitably any new business we acquire and we cannot assure you that any other investments we make, or strategic alliances we enter into, will be successful.

***The markets in which we operate are highly competitive.***

The market for Competition Management services in the procurement of government and commercial contracts for aerospace and defense work is a niche market with a number of competitors. We are the largest provider of such services and principally compete with the in-house capability of our clients. In addition, numerous smaller proposal management companies compete in this highly specialized industry. With sufficient resources in the form of money and excellent talent with current security clearances, our competitors could erode our current market share and such a reduction could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

***We rely heavily upon our ability to recruit and maintain skilled professionals.***

Our business involves the delivery of Program Services and is highly labor-intensive. Our success depends largely on our general ability to attract, develop, motivate and retain highly skilled professionals. The loss of some or a significant number of our professionals or the inability to attract, hire, develop, train and retain additional skilled personnel could have a serious negative effect on us, including our ability to obtain and successfully complete important engagements and thus maintain or increase our revenue.

***Quarterly results may fluctuate.***

We may experience fluctuations in future quarterly operating results due to a number of factors, including the size, timing and duration of client engagements.

***Our stock price is subject to significant volatility.***

Our common stock was first publicly traded on January 29, 1998 after our initial public offering at \$12.00 per share. Between January 29, 1998 and December 31, 2006, the closing sale price has ranged from a high of \$31.13 per share to a low of \$0.75 per share. The market price of our common stock could continue to fluctuate substantially due to a variety of factors, including:

- Quarterly fluctuations in results of operations;



- Adverse circumstances affecting the introduction, or market acceptance of new services we offer;
- Announcements of new services by competitors;
- Announcements of poor operating results by us or our competitors;
- Loss of key employees;
- Changes in the regulatory environment or market conditions affecting the defense and aerospace industry;
- Changes in earnings estimates and ratings by analysts;
- Lack of market liquidity resulting from a relatively small amount of public stock float;
- Changes in generally accepted accounting principles;
- Sales of common stock by existing holders;
- The announcement of proposed acquisitions and dispositions; and
- The repurchase of common shares under the share repurchase plan.

*Principal stockholder has significant control.*

At December 31, 2006, Steven S. Myers, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, beneficially owned or controlled approximately 18% of our outstanding common stock and will have the ability to control or significantly influence the election of directors and the results of other matters submitted to a vote of stockholders. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control and may adversely affect the ability of other holders of our common stock to pass stockholder resolutions and control our actions.

**ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 2 PROPERTIES**

We do not own any real estate. We lease our principal corporate offices adjacent to the John Wayne Airport in Newport Beach, California. We have approximately 31,000 square feet of office space in this location and the term of our lease expires in June 2012. We believe our offices are adequate for our present and anticipated near-term need.

Included in discontinued operations is one office lease totaling 48,000 square feet which has been subleased under non-cancelable leases. Both the lease and related subleases expire in June 2007.

**ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are involved in routine litigation incidental to the conduct of our business. There are currently no material pending litigation proceedings to which we are a party or to which any of our property is subject.

**ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

Not applicable.



**PART II****ITEM 5 MARKET FOR THE REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS****Price Range of Common Stock**

Our common stock trades on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol WINS. The following table sets forth, for the quarters indicated, the high and low closing sale prices as reported on NASDAQ.

	2006		2005	
	High	Low	High	Low
First Quarter Ending March 31	\$ 8.51	\$ 6.35	\$ 8.65	\$ 7.54
Second Quarter Ending June 30	\$ 6.55	\$ 5.73	\$ 9.19	\$ 8.03
Third Quarter Ending September 30	\$ 6.48	\$ 5.75	\$ 9.99	\$ 8.49
Fourth Quarter Ending December 31.	\$ 6.42	\$ 5.44	\$ 9.10	\$ 8.03

As of January 31, 2007, there were approximately 78 stockholders of record of our common stock. The closing sale price of our common stock on the NASDAQ National Market on January 31, 2007 was \$6.30 per share.

**Dividends**

We have not declared or paid cash dividends to stockholders of our common stock. We do not anticipate paying cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. The payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon, among other things, future earnings, capital requirements, and our general financial condition.

**Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities**

None.

**Shares Reserved for Future Issuance**

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2006 about our Common Stock that may be issued upon the exercise of options, warrants and rights under all of our existing equity compensation plans.

Plan Category	(a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(b) Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	(c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	1,851,537	\$ 7.43	1,556,605
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total	1,851,537	\$ 7.43	1,556,605

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

In May 2004, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a plan to repurchase the Company's common stock. The Company intends to repurchase shares from time to time, at prevailing prices, in the open market. The timing and amount of the share repurchases will be at the discretion of management and





Edgar Filing: SM&A - Form 10-K

will be based on such factors as the stock price, general economic and market conditions, and other factors. The share repurchase plan may be suspended or discontinued at any time.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under The Plan
<b>Beginning Balance</b>	<b>1,813,166</b>	<b>\$ 8.10</b>	<b>1,813,166</b>	
January				
February	1,700	6.93	1,814,866	
March	204,100	6.67	2,018,966	
April	199,800	6.41	2,218,766	
May	575,600	6.21	2,794,366	
June	172,700	6.00	2,967,066	
July				
August				
September				
October				
November	120,892	5.57	3,087,958	
December	127,485	5.83	3,215,443	
<b>2006 Total</b>	<b>1,402,277</b>	<b>\$ 6.19</b>		
<b>Ending Balance</b>	<b>3,215,433</b>	<b>\$ 7.27</b>	<b>3,215,433</b>	<b>\$ 6,639,411</b>

Information about securities purchased under the Company's share repurchase program is incorporated by reference to Note 9 to the Company's annual report to security holders and the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements contained herein in Item 15 of Part IV.

**Performance Graph**

The following graph presents a comparison of the cumulative total stockholder return since December 31, 2001 of the Company's Common Stock, the SIC Code for Management Consulting Services and the NASDAQ Market Index. The graph assumes an initial investment of \$100 at December 31, 2001 and reinvestment of all dividends.

**COMPARISON OF CUMULATIVE FIVE-YEAR TOTAL RETURN**

	Years Ending December 31,					
	2001	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
SM&A	100.00	142.47	451.74	329.38	317.76	223.94
Management Consulting Services	100.00	62.60	85.52	90.49	97.40	117.22
NASDAQ Market Index	100.00	69.75	104.88	113.70	116.19	128.12

**ITEM 6 SELECTED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and the information contained herein in Item 7 of Part II, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. Historical results are not necessarily indicative of future results. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 123(R).

(in thousands, except per share data)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
<b>Consolidated Statements of Operations Data:</b>					
Revenue	\$ 71,788	\$ 76,711	\$ 68,954	\$ 75,593	\$ 55,057
Gross margin	28,786	32,008	31,193	34,060	22,998
Operating income	5,485	10,436	14,298	19,731	10,629
Interest (income) expense, net	(773 )	(586 )	(192 )	(73 )	859
Income from continuing operations	3,631	7,081	9,435	13,268	6,518
Extraordinary loss from early extinguishment of debt, net of tax					(2,499 )
Net income	\$ 3,631	\$ 7,081	\$ 9,435	\$ 13,268	\$ 4,019
<b>Net income (loss) per share:</b>					
Basic					
Continuing operations	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.33
Extraordinary loss from early extinguishment of debt	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (0.13 )
Net income	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.66	\$ 0.21
Diluted					
Continuing operations	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.32
Extraordinary loss from early extinguishment of debt	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ (0.12 )
Net income	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.62	\$ 0.20
Shares used to calculate net income per share:					
Basic	19,098	20,271	20,428	19,991	19,535
Diluted	19,281	20,797	21,521	21,428	20,452

(in thousands)	Years Ended December 31,				
	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
<b>Consolidated Balance Sheet Data:</b>					
Cash	\$ 15,143	\$ 19,103	\$ 22,148	\$ 17,712	\$ 5,956
Investments		4,950			
Working capital	28,387	32,824	31,860	23,833	7,917
Total assets	35,626	38,742	37,383	31,803	18,404
Stockholders' equity	31,596	34,860	32,691	24,433	8,388

**ITEM 7 MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

**Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

SM&A's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon SM&A's consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Note that preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K requires SM&A to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of its financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. SM&A bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily derived from other sources. There can be no assurance that actual amounts will not differ from those estimates.

SM&A has identified the policies below as critical to its business operations and the understanding of its results of operations.

*Revenue Recognition.* We recognize revenue from services rendered when the following four revenue recognition criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable, and collection is reasonably assured. The majority of our services are provided under time and expenses billing arrangements and revenue is recognized on the basis of hours worked plus other reimbursable contract costs incurred during the period. Revenue is directly related to the total number of hours billed to clients and the associated hourly billing rates. A limited amount of revenue is also derived from success fees, offered to clients as a pricing option, and recorded as revenue only upon attainment of the specified incentive criteria. Success fees are not billable and revenue is not recorded until the client wins a contract.

*Use of Estimates.* The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. Estimates made in preparing the financial statements include the allowance for doubtful accounts, reserves for discontinued operations, and income tax valuation allowances.

*Stock-Based Compensation.* We recognize stock-based compensation expense in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. Prior to 2006, we accounted for stock awards under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and related interpretations. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 107, *Share-Based Payment* relating to SFAS 123(R). We did not recognize stock-based compensation expense in its statement of operations for periods prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R) unless options granted had an exercise price greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. The fair value for each option granted is estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model. We estimate the expected life, expected volatility and expected forfeitures of the stock options based upon historical data.

*Expected Life.* The expected life of options granted represents the period of time for which the options are expected to be outstanding.

*Expected Volatility.* The estimated expected volatility is measured on historical realized volatility.

*Risk-Free Interest Rate.* The risk-free interest rate is derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the date of grant.

*Dividends.* The Company does not currently anticipate paying any cash dividends on its common stock. Consequently, the Company uses an expected dividend yield of zero in the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model.

*Forfeitures.* SFAS 123(R) requires the Company to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. To determine an expected forfeiture rate, the Company examined the historical employee turnover rate since inception. Forfeitures are based on historical data specific to employee characteristics. For purposes of calculating pro forma information under SFAS No. 123 for periods prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

## Overview

SM&A is the world's leading provider of Competition Management (business capture and proposal development) services, and a leading provider of Program Services (post-award risk mitigation and profit maximizing) services. Under these two service lines, our approximately 330 employees and consultants provide strategy, proposal management, program management, systems engineering, program planning, and other high-value technical support to major industrial customers in the defense, homeland security, aerospace, information technology, and engineering sectors.

One of our initiatives over the past three years has been to reduce our customer concentration risk by penetrating new markets and providing our services to new customers within our existing markets. As the table below reveals, our strategy of mitigating our customer concentration risk has worked as our concentration on our top clients has been declining. It should still be noted that a loss of one or more of these major clients, a decrease in orders by one or more of these clients or a change in the combination of services purchased by one or more these clients could adversely affect our revenues, net income and margins.

(in millions)	2006	% of Total Revenue	2005	% of Total Revenue	2004	% of Total Revenue
<b>Revenues by Top Clients</b>						
Revenue from top three customers	\$ 39.8	55.3 %	\$ 48.0	62.6 %	\$ 47.2	68.5 %
Revenue from top five customers	\$ 50.4	70.2 %	\$ 56.4	73.6 %	\$ 53.0	76.8 %
Revenue from top seven customers	\$ 55.3	77.1 %	\$ 61.6	80.3 %	\$ 57.6	83.5 %
Revenue from new customers	\$ 4.4	6.2 %	\$ 6.0	7.8 %	\$ 6.2	9.0 %

Project concentration risk is defined as having a significant amount of the Company's revenue being generated on one Program Services project. A recent example was when one of our current Program Services projects, which we staffed with more than 35 of our associates and generated approximately 15% of our total revenue in 2005. While we continue to provide our services to this program, our client unexpectedly reduced our services over a three month period which led to a reduction in our services by approximately \$7.8 million in fiscal 2006 compared to fiscal 2005. While this risk is not evident today, the possibility to provide a significant volume of our solutions and services on any one given program has a high probability to occur.

Our effort to expand into new markets over the past few years has also improved. As the Revenues by Market Vertical table below reveals, our revenues in our less mature non-aerospace and defense vertical realized a decline in revenue after four years of year-over-year growth. This decline was due to concentration risk at our largest client in this vertical. This one client, for which we provide our Competition Management services through a business process outsourcing arrangement, reduced our services by approximately \$5.1 million due to their internal budget pressures. We are working on

diversifying our risk within this one client by providing our services across multiple divisions so that no one division can have a significant impact on the total services being provided.

(in millions)	2006	% Growth	2005	% Growth	2004	% Growth
<b>Revenues by Market Vertical</b>						
Aerospace and Defense (A&D)	\$ 53.5	(1.2 )%	\$ 54.1	4.7 %	\$ 51.7	(18.6 )%
Non-Aerospace and Defense (Non A&D)	18.3	(19.0 )%	22.6	31.0 %	17.3	42.5 %
Total	\$ 71.8	(6.4 )%	\$ 76.7	11.2 %	\$ 69.0	(8.8 )%

We also realize that our heritage, brand name awareness, and value proposition has historically been tied to our Competition Management services. We have helped our clients win over 1,000 proposals worth more than \$330 billion with an 85% win rate on dollars awarded. We are executing towards a strategy that will establish our reputation as not only providing valuable Competition Management services, but also our ability to provide a broad range of high value solutions and leadership on those programs which our clients have been awarded. We feel that we are uniquely positioned to provide our senior leadership and solutions throughout the entire life cycle of a program; from the initial strategy development to the delivery of the product by our client to their customer. Our end-to-end solutions and senior leadership and experience will help our clients not only win more business, but increase their profitability on their programs through the efficient and effective execution of their program.

During the past two years we have developed new solutions and consulting services under our Program Services business in order to broaden our offerings. While we have had success in the development of these services and solutions internally, the Company is continuing to search for niche solution providers that complement our existing offering. The following table summarizes our Program Services revenue breakdown.

(in millions)	2006	% Growth	2005	% Growth	2004	% Growth
<b>Program Services Revenue Breakdown</b>						
Award Fee	\$ 0.3	(76.4 )%	\$ 1.2	*	\$	
Integrated Staffing	1.6	*				
Leadership & Technical Services	24.5	(21.7 )%	31.3	4.3 %	30.0	(15.3 )%
Milestone Success	0.2	*				
Total	\$ 26.6	(18.3 )%	\$ 32.5	8.7 %	\$ 30.0	(15.3 )%

\* Denotes percent increase of initial year of service offering.

Lastly, we have spent the last few years building our infrastructure to support our expected growth. We started fiscal 2004 by hiring our first Executive Vice President of Sales & Marketing who in turn has hired the most senior and professional sales force our Company has ever had. This senior relationship based professional sales force has not experienced any turnover in the past 12 months. We invested in marketing and new solutions and services collateral; we invested in the creation of numerous training courses and quality initiatives to make sure we were delivering a consistent quality service or solution; we invested in the implementation of an Oracle enterprise resource planning software system and a knowledge management software system; we invested in our recruiting capabilities in order to source quality candidates to provide our broad array of services; and lastly we invested in the development of our new solutions and service offerings. We now believe that we have invested in what is required to manage our expected growth and therefore we are likely to save a targeted \$2.0 million in selling, general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2007.

On February 9, 2007, the Company completed an acquisition of Project Planning, Inc. ( PPI ). The acquisition continues our focus on adding capabilities to provide end-to-end customer solutions. During 2006, PPI generated approximately \$7.5 million in revenue.

We feel confident that our strategy of providing end-to-end high value solutions and services to our customers across the program life cycle is the correct growth strategy. Our planned fiscal year 2007 growth coupled with our targeted reduction in costs of approximately \$2.0 million in fiscal 2007 and our continuing share repurchase program should lead to increasing stockholder value.

## Results of Operations

We present the table below to show how the operating results have changed over the past three years. Next to each year's results of operations, we provide the relevant percentage of total revenues so that you can make comparisons about the relative change in revenues and expenses.

(in millions)	Year Ended December 31,					
	2006		2005		2004	
Revenue:						
Competition Management	\$ 45.2	63.0 %	\$ 44.2	57.6 %	\$ 39.0	56.5 %
Program Services	26.6	37.0	32.5	42.4	30.0	43.5
Total revenue	71.8	100.0	76.7	100.0	69.0	100.0
Cost of revenue	43.0	59.9	44.7	58.3	37.8	54.8
Gross margin	28.8	40.1	32.0	41.7	31.2	45.2
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23.3	32.5	21.6	28.1	16.9	24.5
Operating income	5.5	7.7	10.4	13.6	14.3	20.7
Interest income	0.8	1.1	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.2
Income taxes	2.6	3.6	3.9	5.1	5.1	7.3
Net income	\$ 3.7	5.2 %	\$ 7.1	9.2 %	\$ 9.4	13.7 %

## Comparison of Fiscal Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004

*Revenue.* Revenue decreased \$4.9 million or 6.4% to \$71.8 million in fiscal 2006, compared to \$76.7 million for 2005. The decrease in our revenue was realized in our non-aerospace and defense business and due primarily to one client who reduced our service levels due to their internal budget pressures. We have increased our service levels with this client at the end of the third quarter of 2006 as the customer entered their new fiscal year. This reduction accounted for a decrease of \$5.1 million, or 32.3% compared to the prior year. Total non-aerospace and defense revenue declined \$4.3 million, or 19% to \$18.3 million as compared to \$22.6 million in the prior year. Total aerospace and defense revenue declined 1.2% to \$53.5 million compared to \$54.1 million for fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. During 2006, revenues from our Competition Management and Program Services service lines were 63.0% and 37.0%, respectively, as compared to 57.6% and 42.4% in 2005. During the second half of 2005 we experienced a significant reduction in services on a Program Services project. The reduction resulted from a client who is experiencing budget pressure on one of their programs. This budget pressure continued throughout 2006 which impacted our ability to continue to service the client at the levels maintained during the first nine months of fiscal 2005. This reduction was offset by an increase in new solution and offering revenue of \$1.2 million. We added 22 and 27 new customers in fiscal 2006 and 2005, respectively. These new customers accounted for 6% and 8% of our total revenues in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Revenue from success fees remained consistent at \$900,000 in 2006 and 2005.

Revenue increased \$7.7 million or 11.2% to \$76.7 million in fiscal 2005, compared to \$69.0 million for 2004. Through our sales and marketing efforts, we achieved a 5% growth in our traditional aerospace and defense markets and 31% in our non-aerospace and defense markets. As displayed above, revenues from



our Competition Management and Program Services service lines was 57.6% and 42.4%, respectively, as compared to 56.5% and 43.5% in 2004. We added 27 and 29 new customers in fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively. Of the 27 and 29 new customers, they accounted for 8% and 9% of our total revenues in 2005 and 2004, respectively. Revenue from success fees decreased to \$900,000 in 2005 as compared to \$1.8 million in 2004. The timing and amounts recorded are dependent on award announcements and the mix of our business which utilizes this pricing model. During the second half of 2005 we experienced a significant reduction in services on a Program Services project. The reduction resulted from a client who is experiencing budget pressure on one of their programs. This budget pressure continued throughout the quarter and impacted our ability to continue to service the client at the levels maintained during the first nine months of fiscal 2005.

*Gross Margin.* Gross margin decreased \$3.2 million, or 10.0% to \$28.8 million for fiscal 2006 compared to \$32.0 million for 2005. As a percentage of revenue, fiscal 2006 gross margin declined to 40.1% as compared to 41.7% in 2005. The decrease in gross margin as a percentage of revenue was due to our mix of services, increased benefit costs, and some moderate increases in some labor rates paid to our associates. We expect that our future gross margins will be consistent with current year.

Gross margin increased \$815,000, or 2.6% to \$32.0 million for fiscal 2005 compared to \$31.2 million for 2004. As a percentage of revenue, fiscal 2005 gross margin declined to 41.7% as compared to 45.2% in 2004. The decrease in gross margin as a percentage of revenue was due to two factors. First, in fiscal 2005 we made a planned change to our sales incentive compensation program which increased our cost of sales as a percentage of revenue by 200 basis points. We expect that our future gross margins will be consistent with current year. Second, in fiscal 2005 we received \$900,000 in success fees compared to approximately \$1.8 million in 2004. The timing and amounts recorded are dependent on award announcements and the mix of our business which utilizes this pricing model.

*Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.* Selling, general and administrative expenses consist principally of salary and benefit costs for our executives, sales and administrative personnel, Program Services and other general corporate activities. Selling, general and administrative expenses in fiscal 2006 increased \$1.7 million, or 7.9%, to \$23.3 million, as compared to \$21.6 million for the same period of the prior year. As a percentage of revenue, our selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 32.5% for fiscal 2006, compared to 28.1% for 2005. The \$1.7 million increase was due to a \$1.2 million planned expense related to the development of new solutions for our Program Services business. In addition, we completed the implementation of our Oracle ERP system which included expenses of approximately \$600,000 in 2006. The Company announced that it has targeted an expense reduction of approximately \$2.0 million in 2007 as our investments in new solutions and ERP system implementation costs concluded in 2006. In addition, a small reduction in head count in late 2006 is expected to contribute to the decline in payroll costs in fiscal 2007.

For fiscal 2005, selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$4.7 million, or 27.8%, to \$21.6 million, as compared to \$16.9 million for the same period of the prior year. As a percentage of revenue, our selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 28.1% for fiscal 2005, compared to 24.5% for 2004. The \$4.7 million increase was due to a \$1.3 million increase in our sales and marketing expense related to the increase in hiring of our account executives, including their travel related expenses. In addition, we increased our internal training expenses by \$600,000 for our employees delivering our services, and we incurred approximately \$800,000 in expenses relating to an employee all hands offsite event held in 2005, but not in 2004. Lastly, in fiscal 2005, we recorded a non-cash stock-based compensation expense charge of \$2.2 million related to the exercise of stock options, as required under accounting guidance EITF 00-23.

*Operating Income.* Operating income for fiscal 2006 decreased by \$4.9 million, or 47.1%, to \$5.5 million compared to \$10.4 million for 2005. As a percentage of revenue for fiscal 2006, operating

income decreased to 7.7% compared to 13.6% for 2005. The decrease in amount and as a percentage of revenue in fiscal 2006 was due to the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as described above, offset by the increase in gross margin dollars.

For fiscal 2005, operating income decreased by \$3.9 million, or 27.0%, to \$10.4 million compared to \$14.3 million for 2004. As a percentage of revenue for fiscal 2005, operating income decreased to 13.6% compared to 20.7% for 2004. The decrease in amount and as a percentage of revenue in fiscal 2005 was due to the increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as described above, offset by the increase in gross margin dollars.

*Interest Income.* Interest income in fiscal 2006 and 2005 increased by \$187,000 and \$394,000, or 31.9% and 205.2%, to \$773,000 and \$586,000, respectively. This increase is attributable to interest earned on our increased cash and cash equivalents and investment balances.

*Income Tax Expense.* Our effective income tax rate for fiscal 2006 was 42.0% compared to 35.8% in 2005. During 2006, the Company recorded an increase to income tax expense of approximately \$40,000, which related to an increase in tax expense from an income tax examination.

Our effective income tax rate for fiscal 2005 was 35.8% compared to 34.9% in 2004. During 2005, the Company recorded a reduction of income tax expense of approximately \$160,000, which related to the reduction of a federal income tax liability that was reversed due to the expiration of a statute of limitations.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

A key element of the Company's cash flows for the last three years follows:

(in thousands)	2006	2005	2004
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 1.4	\$ 12.2	\$ 8.3
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	3.2	(6.8 )	(0.7 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(8.2 )	(8.1 )	(2.5 )
Net cash used in discontinued operations	(0.4 )	(0.3 )	(0.6 )
Net (decrease) increase in cash	\$ (4.0 )	\$ (3.0 )	\$ 4.5

Net decrease in net cash provided by operating activities in fiscal 2006 as compared to 2005 and 2004 was due primarily to the change in accounts receivable of \$5.3 million in fiscal 2006. Accounts receivable increased due to the increase in sales in the fourth quarter. In 2005 we improved on our timing of our collection cycle which generated \$2.8 million compared to an outflow of cash of \$1.0 million in fiscal 2004. The decrease in fiscal 2006 was offset by an increase in prepaid income taxes of \$675,000 and a reduction of accounts payable of \$429,000.

Net cash provided by investing activities was \$3.2 million in 2006, up from the cash used of \$6.8 million from 2005 and 718,000 from 2004. Most of the increase in cash used in investing activities was a result of \$5.0 million cash inflows from the disposal of short term investments in marketable securities. The remaining outflow in 2006 of \$1.8 million was for additional implementation costs of our Enterprise Resource Planning software program.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$8.2 million in fiscal 2006 compared to \$8.1 million and \$2.5 million in 2005 and 2004, respectively. We received cash proceeds from the issuance of common stock of \$519,000, \$2.0 million and \$2.1 million in fiscal 2005 and 2004, respectively. This increase was offset by cash outflows of \$8.7 million, \$10.1 million and 4.6 million in fiscal 2006, 2005 and 2004 related to management's share repurchase program.

In May 2004, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a plan to repurchase up to \$7.0 million of the Company's common stock. In April and October 2005, the Company's Board of Directors authorized

an increase of an additional \$5.0 million and \$8.0 million, respectively, increasing the total authorization to repurchase the Company's common stock to \$20.0 million. In January 2006, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an increase of an additional \$10.0 million increasing the total authorization to repurchase the Company's common stock to \$30.0 million. The Company intends to repurchase shares from time to time, at prevailing prices, in the open market. The timing and amount of the share repurchases will be at the discretion of management and will be based on such factors as the stock price, general economic and market conditions, and other factors. The share repurchase plan may be suspended or discontinued at any time. Shares repurchased under the plan prior to our reincorporation in the state of Delaware were cancelled. After the date of reincorporation (November 30, 2006), the shares are included in treasury shares. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company repurchased 1,402,277 shares at a total cost of \$8.7 million. Since the inception of the share repurchase plan, the Company has repurchased 3,215,443 shares at a total cost of \$23.4 million. The Company currently has approximately \$6.6 million remaining in share repurchase authorization.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased \$4.0 million during the year to \$15.1 million at the end of 2006. Based on projected cash flows from operations and existing credit facilities, management believes the Company has available sufficient liquidity to fund operations and capital requirements for at least the next twelve months.

The Company has a revolving credit agreement which allows for borrowings up to \$10.0 million at the prime rate minus one half of one percent (-0.50%) per annum or LIBOR plus two and one quarter percent (2.25%) per annum. The revolving credit agreement is renewable annually on April 30th of each year. Borrowings under the revolving credit agreement are unsecured. The agreement requires the Company to comply with certain financial covenants pertaining to its tangible net worth, ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth, and ratio of current assets to current liabilities (as defined in the agreement). The agreement also contains certain negative covenants which, among other things, restrict the Company's ability to incur additional indebtedness of more than \$1.0 million in excess of the \$10.0 million limit set forth in the credit agreement and make capital expenditures in excess of \$3.0 million without the prior written approval of the lender. At December 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with its covenants and had no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit, the bank had issued a letter of credit for \$64,000 and \$9.9 million was available.

On February 9, 2007, the Company completed an acquisition of Project Planning, Inc. (PPI). At closing, SM&A paid total consideration valued at approximately \$5.5 million, including \$3.5 million in cash, and would pay up to an additional \$9.5 million over a three year period upon satisfaction of certain revenue goals for SM&A's Project Planning division.

We believe we have sufficient working capital available under the line of credit and cash generated by continuing operations will be sufficient to fund operations for at least the next twelve months.

### Contractual Obligations

The payments due on our contractual obligations at December 31, 2006 are as follows:

(in thousands)	Total	1 Year or Less	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	After 5 Years
Operating leases	\$ 5,816	\$ 1,085	\$ 2,124	\$ 2,075	\$ 532
Operating leases related to discontinued operations, net of subleases	42	42			
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 5,858</b>	<b>\$ 1,127</b>	<b>\$ 2,124</b>	<b>\$ 2,075</b>	<b>\$ 532</b>

Included in discontinued operations is one office lease totaling 48,000 square feet which has been subleased under non-cancelable leases. Both the lease and related subleases expire in June 2007.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

None.

#### **Recently Issued Financial Accounting Standards**

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* ( FIN 48 ). FIN 48 is an interpretation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in an enterprise's tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, and disclosure related to uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company will be required to adopt FIN 48 in the first quarter of 2007. The Company is still evaluating the impact that the adoption of FIN 48 will have, if any, on its consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. However, the Company does not expect the adoption of FIN 48 to have a material effect on its financial position or operating results.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The changes to current practice resulting from the application of this Statement relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. The Statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company will adopt SFAS 157 in 2007 and does not expect these provisions to have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, to permit all entities to choose to elect, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value. An entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date, and recognize upfront costs and fees related to those items in earnings as incurred and not deferred. SFAS 159 applies to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted for an entity that has also elected to apply the provisions of SFAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. An entity is prohibited from retrospectively applying SFAS 159, unless it chooses early adoption. SFAS 159 also applies to eligible items existing at November 15, 2007 (or early adoption date). The Company will adopt SFAS 159 in 2007 and does not expect these provisions to have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

#### **ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Our primary objective in managing our cash balances is preservation of principal and maintenance of liquidity to meet our operating needs. Our excess cash balances are invested in money market accounts and short-term municipal bonds in which there is minimal interest rate risk.

#### **ITEM 8 CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTAL DATA**

Our Consolidated Financial Statements are annexed to this Report as pages F-3 through F-14. An index to such materials appears on page F-1.

## **ITEM 9 CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

On June 21, 2006, the Company engaged BDO Seidman, LLP ( BDO ), as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, replacing Ernst & Young LLP ( EY ), which resigned as our independent accountants effective May 18, 2006. The change in independent accountants was approved by the Company's board of directors upon the recommendation of the audit committee.

The audit reports of EY on the consolidated financial statements for the Company as of and for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 did not contain an adverse opinion or a disclaimer of opinion, or were qualified or modified as to uncertainty, audit scope, or accounting principles. As noted below, these financial statements were restated in an Amendment No. 1 to Form 10-K/A on May 15, 2006.

During the audits of the Company's financial statements for the two years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 and in connection with EY's review of the subsequent interim period through May 18, 2006, there have been no disagreements with EY on any matter of accounting principles or practices, financial statement disclosure, or auditing scope or procedure, which disagreements, if not resolved to the satisfaction of EY, would have caused it to make reference to the subject matter of the disagreement in connection with its report, except for the following:

- In March 2006, the Company received a comment letter from the Securities and Exchange Commission staff in connection with the staff's review of the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 that, among other things, asked the Company to explain the accounting for certain share repurchase transactions. Following receipt of the comment letter, the Company's management, the Chairman of the Company's Audit Committee of its Board of Directors, and EY had numerous and lengthy discussions, and disagreed regarding possible errors in accounting for transactions that occurred in 2004 and 2005. The Company believed that these share repurchases were not compensatory and EY supported a contrary opinion. At no time did EY assert that the Company failed to provide its auditors all relevant facts with respect to the transactions during the completion of the audits for fiscal years 2004 and 2005. Ultimately, the SEC staff orally stated to the Company that the staff believed that the transactions were compensatory and requested that the Company restate its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2005, and to review and consider whether the Company should restate its financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2004. Subsequently, the Company restated its consolidated financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2005 and 2004 in Amendment No.1 to Form 10-K/A filed on May 15, 2006. EY issued an opinion with respect to the restated financial statements without qualification as stated above.

The Company has authorized EY to respond fully to the inquiries of the Company's subsequent accountants concerning the subject matter of the disagreement referenced above. In the registrant's two most recent fiscal years and in the subsequent interim period through May 18, 2006, there were no reportable events, as defined in Item 304(a)(1)(v) of Regulation S-K, except as follows:

- EY advised the Company that the internal controls necessary to develop reliable financial statements did not exist as of December 31, 2005, because of a material weakness consisting of inadequate levels of review of complex accounting issues, resulting in a restatement of historical financial statements to record additional stock compensation charges.

## **ITEM 9A CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

### **Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in

Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act ), as of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-K. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

#### **Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) or 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act.

Internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and includes those policies and procedures that:

- pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the issuer;
- provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and
- provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management (under the supervision and with the participation of the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer) has conducted an evaluation of its internal control over financial reporting based on the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006. Based on this evaluation, management has determined that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2006.

BDO Seidman, LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the Company's financial statements included in the Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, which is included below.

### **Changes in Internal Control**

The Company began using a new enterprise accounting system in the second quarter of 2006. The implementation of the new accounting system required us to modify and add numerous internal controls and processes. There have been no other changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K that have materially affected, or are likely to materially effect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

### **Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

#### **Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

SM&A

Newport Beach, CA

We have audited management's assessment, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, that SM&A maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). SM&A's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on management's assessment and an opinion on the effectiveness of the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, evaluating management's assessment, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, management's assessment that SM&A maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, is fairly stated, in all material respects, based on the COSO

criteria. Also, in our opinion, SM&A maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2006, and the related consolidated statement of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2006 of SM&A and our report dated March 12, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO Seidman LLP  
Costa Mesa, California  
March 12, 2007

**ITEM 9B OTHER INFORMATION**

None.

31

---



**PART III****ITEM 10 DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement with respect to the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC in April 2007, pursuant to Regulation 14A.

**Code of Business Conduct**

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct applicable to all employees. This Code is applicable to Senior Financial Executives including the principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer of the Company. SM&A's Code of Business Conduct is available on the Company's Web site at [www.smawins.com](http://www.smawins.com) under Investor Relations > Corporate Governance. The Company intends to post on its web site any amendments to, or waivers from its Code of Business Conduct applicable to Senior Financial Executives. A free copy is available upon request.

**ITEM 11 EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement with respect to the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC in April 2007, pursuant to Regulation 14A.

**ITEM 12 SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT**

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of a Publicly Announced Plan	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under The Plan
<b>Beginning Balance</b>	<b>1,813,166</b>	<b>\$ 8.10</b>	<b>1,813,166</b>	
January				
February	1,700	6.93	1,814,866	
March	204,100	6.67	2,018,966	
April	199,800	6.41	2,218,766	
May	575,600	6.21	2,794,366	
June	172,700	6.00	2,967,066	
July				
August				
September				
October				
November	120,892	5.57	3,087,958	
December	127,485	5.83	3,215,443	
<b>2006 Total</b>	<b>1,402,277</b>	<b>\$ 6.19</b>		
<b>Ending Balance</b>	<b>3,215,433</b>	<b>\$ 7.27</b>	<b>3,215,433</b>	<b>\$ 6,639,411</b>

In May 2004, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a plan to repurchase the Company's common stock. The Company intends to repurchase shares from time to time, at prevailing prices, in the open market. The timing and amount of the share repurchases will be at the discretion of management and will be based on such factors as the stock price, general economic and market conditions, and other factors. The share repurchase plan may be suspended or discontinued at any time.

Information about securities purchased under the Company's share repurchase program is incorporated by reference to Note 9 to the Company's annual report to security holders and the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements contained herein in Item 15 of Part IV.

Additional information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement with respect to the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC in April 2007, pursuant to Regulation 14A.

**ITEM 13 CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS**

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement with respect to the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC in April 2007, pursuant to Regulation 14A.

**ITEM 14 PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

Information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the registrant's Definitive Proxy Statement with respect to the 2007 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC in April 2007, pursuant to Regulation 14A.

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15 EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K**

(a) Documents filed as part of this report:

(1) Index to Consolidated Financial Statements

<u>Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, BDO Seidman, LLP.</u>	F-2
<u>Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Ernst &amp; Young LLP.</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2006 and 2005.</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.</u>	F-7
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.</u>	F-8

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts for the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004.</u>	F-20
--	------

All other financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required, or the information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or notes thereto.

F-1

---

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders  
SM&A  
Newport Beach, CA

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of SM&A as of December 31, 2006 and the related consolidated statement of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended. Our audit also included the financial statement schedule for the year ended December 31, 2006 listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of SM&A at December 31, 2006, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the year then ended, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Also, in our opinion, the consolidated financial statement schedule presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein for the year ended December 31, 2006.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R) Share-based Payment.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of SM&A's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2006, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 12, 2007 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ BDO Seidman, LLP

Costa Mesa, California

March 12, 2007

F-2

---

**REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders  
SM&A

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of SM&A as of December 31, 2005, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2005. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of SM&A at December 31, 2005, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the two years in the period ended December 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Orange County, California  
February 20, 2006

F-3

---

SM&A  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(in thousands, except share data)

	December 31,	
	2006	2005
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 15,143	\$ 19,103
Investments		4,950
Accounts receivable, net of allowance of \$200	15,759	10,435
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	341	380
Prepaid income taxes	286	924
Deferred tax asset - current	244	319
Total current assets	31,773	36,111
Fixed assets, net	3,446	2,571
Deferred tax asset	354	
Other assets	53	60
	\$ 35,626	\$ 38,742
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,232	\$ 762
Accrued compensation and related benefits	2,112	2,129
Net liabilities of discontinued operations	42	396
Total current liabilities	3,386	3,287
Deferred tax liability	91	56
Other liabilities	553	539
Total liabilities	4,030	3,882
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.0001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; issued and outstanding shares were 18,616,014 and 18,502,827 at December 31, 2006, respectively, and 19,818,443 at December 31, 2005	2	2
Additional paid-in capital	39,893	46,124
Treasury stock, at cost	(664)	)
Accumulated deficit	(7,635)	(11,266)
Total stockholders' equity	31,596	34,860
	\$ 35,626	\$ 38,742

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**SM&A****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(in thousands, except per share data)

	<b>Years Ended December 31,</b>		
	<b>2006</b>	<b>2005</b>	<b>2004</b>
Revenue	\$ 71,788	\$ 76,711	\$ 68,954
Cost of revenue	43,002	44,703	37,761
Gross margin	28,786	32,008	31,193
Selling, general and administrative expenses	23,301	21,572	16,895
Operating income	5,485	10,436	14,298
Interest income	773	586	192
Income before income taxes	6,258	11,022	14,490
Income tax expense	2,627	3,941	5,055
Net income	\$ 3,631	\$ 7,081	\$ 9,435
Net income per share:			
Basic	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.46
Diluted	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.44
Shares used in calculating net income per share:			
Basic	19,098	20,271	20,428
Diluted	19,281	20,797	21,521

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-5

## SM&amp;A

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(in thousands)

	Common Stock		Additional	Treasury Stock		Accumulated	Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital Deficit	Shares	Amount	Deficit	Stockholders Equity
Balances at December 31, 2003	20,336	\$ 52,215	\$		\$	\$ (27,782 )	\$ 24,433
Shares purchased under repurchase program	(626 )	(4,623 )					(4,623 )
Shares issued upon exercise of options	419	1,355					1,355
Stock based compensation expense, net of tax		257					257
Income tax effect from exercise of stock options		1,088					1,088
Shares issued for employee stock purchase plan	89	746					746
Net income and comprehensive income						9,435	9,435
Balances at December 31, 2004	20,218	51,038				(18,347 )	32,691
Shares purchased under repurchase program	(1,188 )	(10,060 )					(10,060 )
Shares issued upon exercise of options	711	1,365					1,365
Stock based compensation expense, net of tax		1,346					1,346
Income tax effect from exercise of stock options		1,846					1,846
Shares issued for employee stock purchase plan	77	591					591
Net income and comprehensive income						7,081	7,081
Balances at December 31, 2005	19,818	46,126				(11,266 )	34,860
Reincorporation reclassification		(46,124 )	46,124				
Shares purchased under repurchase program	(1,289 )		(8,014 )	(113 )	(664 )		(8,678 )
Shares issued upon exercise of options	7		10				10
Stock based compensation expense			1,267				1,267
Income tax effect from exercise of stock options			(3 )				(3 )
Shares issued for employee stock purchase plan	80		509				509
Net income and comprehensive income						3,631	3,631
Balances at December 31, 2006	18,616	\$ 2	\$ 39,893	(113 )	\$ (664 )	\$ (7,635 )	\$ 31,596

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



## SM&amp;A

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2006	2005	2004
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net income	\$ 3,631	\$ 7,081	\$ 9,435
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	888	570	368
Loss on disposal of fixed assets			36
Deferred income taxes	(244 )	34	410
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation		1,846	1,088
Stock based compensation expense	1,267	1,346	257
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts receivable	(5,324 )	2,763	(1,020 )
Prepaid expense and other assets	46	21	(23 )
Prepaid income taxes	638	(924 )	
Accounts payable	470	(430 )	509
Accrued compensation and related benefits	(17 )	336	(1,844 )
Income taxes payable		(565 )	(875 )
Other liabilities	14	145	(33 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,369	12,223	8,308
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Proceeds from disposal of marketable securities	4,950		
Purchases of marketable securities		(4,950 )	
Purchases of fixed assets	(1,763 )	(1,883 )	(718 )
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	3,187	(6,833 )	(718 )
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	519	1,956	2,101
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(3 )		
Payment for repurchase of shares	(8,678 )	(10,060 )	(4,623 )
Net cash used in financing activities	(8,162 )	(8,104 )	(2,522 )
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents from continuing operations	(3,606 )	(2,714 )	5,068
Net cash used in discontinued operations by operating activities	(354 )	(331 )	(632 )
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(3,960 )	(3,045 )	4,436
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	19,103	22,148	17,712
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 15,143	\$ 19,103	\$ 22,148
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CASH PAID FOR:</b>			
Interest	\$	\$	\$
Income taxes	\$ 2,239	\$ 4,436	\$ 5,222
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NONCASH INVESTING ACTIVITIES:</b>			
Net change in tenant leasehold improvement incentive	\$	\$ 221	\$

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**SM&A**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004

*As used herein, SM&A, Company, its, and similar terms include SM&A and subsidiaries, unless the context indicates otherwise.*

**Note 1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Description of Business.* SM&A is a leading provider of Competition Management (business capture and proposal development) services, and a leading provider of Program Services (post-award risk mitigation and profit maximizing) services. Under these two service lines, our approximately 330 employees and consultants provide strategy, proposal management, program management, systems engineering, program planning, and other high-value technical support to major industrial customers in the defense, homeland security, aerospace, systems integration/information technology, and engineering sectors. The Company operates in one business segment and conducts substantially all of its business in the United States.

*Principles of Consolidation.* The consolidated financial statements include accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

*Reclassifications.* In 2005 and 2004 amounts have been reclassified out of common stock to additional paid-in capital to conform to the current period \$0.0001 common stock par value presentation in accordance with the Company's reincorporating in Delaware. In addition, all references to shareholders have been changed to stockholders in accordance with the reincorporation in Delaware.

*Use of Estimates.* The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates. Estimates made in preparing the financial statements include the allowance for doubtful accounts, reserves for discontinued operations, and income tax valuation allowances.

*Cash and Cash Equivalents.* The Company considers all highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

*Investments.* Securities investments that the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity are classified as held-to-maturity securities. Investments held to maturity mature between one and twelve months and are reported at amortized cost.

*Revenue Recognition.* The Company recognizes revenue from services rendered when the following four revenue recognition criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. The majority of the Company's services are provided under time and expenses billing arrangements and revenue is recognized on the basis of hours utilized, and other reimbursable contract costs incurred during the period. Revenue is directly related to the total number of hours billed to clients and the associated hourly billing rates. A limited amount of revenue is also derived from success fees offered to clients as a pricing option, and recorded as revenue only upon the attainment of the specified incentive criteria. Success fees are not billable and revenue is not recorded until the customer wins a contract.



*Concentrations of Credit Risk and Major Customers.* Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist primarily of accounts receivable. The Company's accounts receivable are derived from revenue earned from customers primarily in the United States. The majority of the Company's receivables are from large companies in the aerospace and defense industries. The Company controls credit risk through credit approvals and monitoring procedures and, generally, does not require collateral or other security to support financial instruments subject to credit risk. Management must make estimates regarding the collection of its accounts receivable. Management specifically analyzes accounts receivable and analyzes historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends, and changes in its customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Credit losses have historically been within management's expectations. Included in accounts receivable at December 31, 2006 and 2005, is \$2.0 million and \$209,000, respectively, of unbilled receivables related to earned revenues for which invoices have not yet been sent to clients.

Customers representing more than 10% of the Company's revenue and accounts receivable are as follows:

	Revenue Years Ended December 31,			Accounts Receivable at December 31,
	2006	2005	2004	2006
The Boeing Company	27.0 %	27.4 %	30.1 %	24.8 %
Accenture LTD	14.8	20.5	15.7	10.1
Lockheed Martin Corporation	*	14.6	22.7	*
Raytheon	13.5	*	*	*
Total	55.3 %	62.5 %	68.5 %	34.9 %

\* *Did not meet 10% criteria.*

*Fair Value of Financial Instruments.* **The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, payables, and accrued liabilities are measured at cost and approximate their respective fair values because of the short maturities of these instruments.**

*Long-Lived Assets.* Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be recoverable. The Company does not perform a periodic assessment of assets for impairment in the absence of such information or indicators. Conditions that would necessitate an impairment assessment include a significant decline in the observable market value of an asset, a significant change in the extent or manner in which an asset is used, or a significant adverse change that would indicate that the carrying amount of an asset or group of assets is not recoverable. For long-lived assets to be held and used, the Company measures fair value based on quoted market prices or based on discounted estimates of future cash flows. Long-lived assets to be disposed of are carried at fair value less costs of sale.

*Fixed Assets.* Fixed assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation, and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally three to five years. Leasehold improvements are being amortized over the shorter of the useful life or lease term.

*Marketing and Advertising Expense.* Marketing expenses consist of advertising, promotional and public relations expenditures. The Company expenses general media advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense and other promotional costs were \$291,000, \$435,000 and \$406,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

*Stock-Based Compensation.* Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 123(R), *Share-Based Payment*. Prior to 2006, we accounted for



stock awards under the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board ( APB ) Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees* and related interpretations. In March 2005, the SEC issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ( SAB ) No. 107, *Share-Based Payment* relating to SFAS 123(R). The Company did not recognize stock-based compensation expense in its statement of operations for periods prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R) unless options granted had an exercise price greater than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. However, we modified the stock option grants of a former officer and director upon their respective resignation and retirement from SM&A, which resulted in combined compensation expense of \$2.2 million and \$0.4 million during 2005 and 2004, respectively. The pro forma effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS 123 to stock-based employee compensation for all periods presented:

(in thousands except for per share information)	Years Ended	
	December 31, 2005	2004
Net income as reported	\$ 7,081	\$ 9,435
Share-based compensation expense included in reported net income, net of related tax effect	1,346	257
Total share-based compensation expense, net of related tax effect	(1,923 )	(928 )
Net income pro forma	\$ 6,504	\$ 8,764
Basic income per share as reported	\$ 0.35	\$ 0.46
Basic income per share pro forma	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.43
Diluted income per share as reported	\$ 0.34	\$ 0.44
Diluted income per share pro forma	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.41

The fair value for each option granted was estimated at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model, assuming no expected dividends and the following weighted average assumptions:

	2006		2005		2004	
Stock price volatility	81.33	%	84.12	%	91.14	%
Risk-free interest rate	4.79	%	4.37	%	3.28	%
Expected life (in years)	3.20		2.99		3.19	
Forfeiture rate	11.92	%	N/A		N/A	
Stock dividend yield	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Weighted-average fair value of options granted	\$ 3.95		\$ 5.00		\$ 5.98	

The expected life of options granted represents the period of time for which the options are expected to be outstanding. The estimated expected volatility is measured on historical realized volatility. The risk-free interest rate is derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the date of grant. The Company does not currently anticipate paying any cash dividends on its common stock. Consequently, the Company uses an expected dividend yield of zero in the Black-Scholes-Merton option valuation model. SFAS 123(R) requires the Company to estimate forfeitures at the time of grant and revise those estimates in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. To determine an expected forfeiture rate, the Company examined the historical employee turnover rate since inception. Forfeitures are based on historical data specific to employee characteristics. For purposes of calculating pro forma information under SFAS No. 123 for periods prior to January 1, 2006, the Company accounted for forfeitures as they occurred.

For the year ended December 31, 2006, \$1.3 million was recognized as stock-based compensation expense under SFAS 123(R). Unrecognized compensation costs related to stock options as of December 31, 2006 was \$2.3 million and the weighted-average life of these options is approximately 4 years.

On June 8, 2005, the Board of Directors (the Board) of SM&A (the Company), upon recommendation of the Board's Audit and Compensation Committees, approved the accelerated vesting of certain unvested and out-of-the-money options held by current employees, officers and directors (the Acceleration). The options accelerated were granted under the Company's Second Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan (the Plan).

As a result of the Acceleration, the affected unvested options are those that had exercise prices of greater than \$8.87 per share. The closing sales price of the Company's common stock on the NASDAQ National market on June 8, 2005, the effective date of the Acceleration, was \$8.87. Pursuant to the Acceleration, options granted under the Plan to purchase approximately 403,000 shares of the Company's common stock that would otherwise have vested at various times within the next four years became fully vested. Of the 403,000 options, 200,000 options were granted to current Directors, 100,000 were granted to current Officers, and the remaining 103,000 options were granted to current employees. The options have a range of exercise prices of \$9.07 to \$12.66. As a result of the Acceleration, each agreement for options subject to the Acceleration is deemed to be amended to reflect the Acceleration as of the effective date, but all other terms and conditions of each such option agreement remain in full force and effect.

The decision to initiate the Acceleration under the Plan was made to reduce compensation expense that would be expected to be recorded in future periods following the Company's adoption of SFAS 123(R). As a result of the Acceleration under the Plan, the Company effectively reduced its aggregate compensation expense related to the accelerated options by approximately \$1.8 million before taxes over the remaining vesting period for the accelerated options (approximately four years). This estimate is based on approximated value calculations using the Black-Scholes-Merton methodology.

Prior to adopting SFAS 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. SFAS 123(R) requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of recognized compensation expense to be reported as a financing cash flow. As a result of adopting SFAS 123(R), excess tax benefits for the year ended December 31, 2006 have been classified as a financing cash outflow.

*Income Taxes.* The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. As such, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates. The Company provides a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

*Net Income Per Share.* Basic net income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods presented. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the periods presented, assuming the exercise of all in-the-money stock options. Common stock equivalent shares have not been included where inclusion would be anti-dilutive.

The following table illustrates the number of shares used in the computation of basic and diluted net income per share:

(in thousands)	2006	2005	2004
Denominator for basic income per common share-weighted average shares	19,098	20,271	20,428
Incremental common shares attributable to dilutive outstanding stock options	183	526	1,093
Denominator for diluted income per common share	19,281	20,797	21,521
Anti-dilutive options excluded from the diluted income per share reconciliation	1,355	545	526

*Comprehensive Income.* SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, establishes standards for reporting and display of comprehensive income, its components and accumulated balances. Comprehensive income is defined to include all changes in equity except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Among other disclosures, SFAS 130 requires that all items that are required to be recognized under current accounting standards as components of comprehensive income be reported in a financial statement that is displayed with the same prominence as other financial statements. For the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, comprehensive income equaled net income.

*Recent Accounting Pronouncements.* In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* ( FIN 48 ). FIN 48 is an interpretation of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in an enterprise's tax return. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, and disclosure related to uncertain tax positions. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company will be required to adopt FIN 48 in the first quarter of 2007. The Company is still evaluating the impact that the adoption of FIN 48 will have, if any, on its consolidated financial statements and notes thereto. However, the Company does not expect the adoption of FIN 48 to have a material effect on its financial position or operating results.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS 157 establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The changes to current practice resulting from the application of this Statement relate to the definition of fair value, the methods used to measure fair value, and the expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. The Statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company will adopt SFAS 157 in 2007 and does not expect these provisions to have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities*, to permit all entities to choose to elect, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial instruments at fair value. An entity shall report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date, and recognize upfront costs and fees related to those items in earnings as incurred and not deferred. SFAS 159 applies to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, with early adoption permitted for an entity that has also elected to apply the provisions of SFAS 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. An entity is prohibited from retrospectively applying SFAS 159, unless it chooses early adoption. SFAS 159 also applies to eligible items existing at November 15, 2007 (or early adoption date). The Company will adopt SFAS 159 in 2007 and does not expect these provisions to have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.



**Note 2. Fixed Assets**

A summary of fixed assets follows at December 31:

(in thousands)	2006	2005
Computer equipment	\$ 1,800	\$ 1,835
ERP System	2,790	1,095
Furniture and equipment	373	389
Leasehold improvements	711	711
	5,674	4,030
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(2,228 )	(1,459 )
	\$ 3,446	\$ 2,571

In January 2005, the Company capitalized tenant improvement incentives of \$255,000, which is included in leasehold improvements. Amortization expense for this addition was \$34,000 and \$34,000 in 2006 and 2005, respectively. Depreciation expense was \$888,000, \$604,000 and \$368,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively, of which \$51,000, \$64,000 and \$43,000 are included in cost of sales, and \$836,000, \$540,000 and \$325,000 are included in selling, general and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

**Note 3. Related Party Transactions**

The Company periodically leases aircraft from SummitJets, Inc., which is owned by the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The lease rate was determined through a review of prevailing market rates for such services. During the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, the Company recorded an expense of \$149,000, \$121,000 and \$139,000, respectively. The expense is included in selling, general and administrative expenses. Of the amount expensed in 2006, no balance remained in accounts payable as of December 31, 2006.

In March 2005, the Company facilitated the exercising of stock options upon the retirement of a former member of the Board of Directors. Upon the exercising of the options, the Company repurchased 15,000 shares for a total discounted cost of \$117,000. In April 2005, the Company facilitated the exercising of stock options upon the retirement of a former member of the Board of Directors. Upon the exercising of the options, the Company repurchased 200,000 shares for a total discounted cost of \$1.7 million. In April 2005, the Company facilitated the exercising of stock options upon the resignation of the former President and Chief Operating Officer. Upon the exercising of the options, the Company repurchased 150,625 shares for a total discounted cost of \$1.2 million. The Company purchased all the shares at a 3.6% discount. The Company recorded \$2.2 million of stock compensation expenses for these transactions as a result of guidance in Emerging Issues Task Force No. 00-23, *Issues Related to the Accounting for Stock Compensation under APB Opinion No. 25 and FASB Interpretation No. 44* ( EITF 00-23 ).

During 2004, the Company facilitated the exercising of stock options upon the retirement of a former member of the Board of Directors. Upon the exercising of the options, the Company repurchased 87,500 shares for a total discounted cost of \$595,000. The Company recorded \$424,000 of stock compensation expense for this transaction as a result of guidance in EITF 00-23.

Two officers of the Company serve on the Board of Directors of two not-for-profit agencies. The Company has provided charitable donations to these two not-for-profit agencies in the amount of \$18,000, \$18,000 and \$28,000 in 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

#### Note 4. Investments in Marketable Securities

In November 2005, the Company purchased short-term state issued marketable securities for \$5.0 million. In September 2006, the Company disposed of its marketable securities. There were no realized gains or losses upon disposal. Interest income was \$97,000 and \$21,000 in 2006 and 2005, respectively.

#### Note 5. Revolving Line of Credit

The Company has a revolving credit agreement which allows for borrowings up to \$10.0 million at the prime rate minus one half of one percent (-0.50%) per annum or LIBOR plus two and one quarter percent (2.25%) per annum. The revolving credit agreement is renewable annually on April 30th of each year. Borrowings under the revolving credit agreement are unsecured. The agreement requires the Company to comply with certain financial covenants which includes tangible net worth, ratio of total liabilities to tangible net worth, and ratio of current assets to current liabilities (as defined in the agreement). The agreement also contains certain negative covenants which, among other things, restrict the Company's ability to incur additional indebtedness of more than \$1.0 million in excess of the \$10.0 million limit set forth in the credit agreement and make capital expenditures in excess of \$3.0 million without the prior written approval of the lender. At December 31, 2006, the Company was in compliance with its covenants and had no outstanding borrowings under the line of credit, the bank had issued a letter of credit for \$64,000 and \$9.9 million was available.

#### Note 6. Discontinued Operations

Prior to fiscal year 2004, the Company sold and dissolved two of its business segments. The balance accrued at December 31, 2006 of \$42,000 represents the remaining office lease commitment, net of subleases, over the remaining term of the lease. During the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company paid \$354,000, net of sublease receipts, related to the leased property.

#### Note 7. Income Taxes

Significant components of income tax expense attributable to income from continuing operations consist of:

(in thousands)	Current	Deferred	Total
Year ended December 31, 2006:			
Federal	\$ 2,360	\$ (213 )	\$ 2,147
State	511	(31 )	480
	\$ 2,871	\$ (244 )	\$ 2,627
Year ended December 31, 2005:			
Federal	\$ 3,061	\$ 32	\$ 3,093
State	846	2	848
	\$ 3,907	\$ 34	\$ 3,941
Year ended December 31, 2004:			
Federal	\$ 4,180	\$ 337	\$ 4,517
State	465	73	538
	\$ 4,645	\$ 410	\$ 5,055

## Edgar Filing: SM&A - Form 10-K

The following is a reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate:

	2006	2005	2004
Income taxes at statutory federal rates	34.0 %	35.0 %	35.0 %
State taxes, net of federal income tax benefit	4.9	3.1	2.3
Addition (reduction) of tax liabilities based on tax examination effects	0.6	(2.4 )	(3.6 )
Other rate changes	2.5	0.1	1.2
	42.0 %	35.8 %	34.9 %

Significant components of deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

(in thousands)	2006	2005
<b>Deferred tax assets:</b>		
Accrued expenses	\$ 70	\$ 40
Allowance for doubtful accounts	79	91
Capital loss carryover		1,537
Discontinued operations accrual	17	188
Stock-based compensation	281	
Depreciation	110	
Other	41	
Total deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	598	1,856
Valuation allowance		(1,537 )
Total deferred tax assets	598	319
<b>Deferred tax liabilities:</b>		
Prepaid expenses	(91 )	(39 )
Depreciation		(6 )
Other		(11 )
Total deferred tax liabilities	(91 )	(56 )
Net deferred tax assets	\$ 507	\$ 263

In assessing the viability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income over the periods in which the deferred tax assets are deductible, management believes that it is more likely than not the Company will realize the benefits of these deductible differences. The amount of deferred tax assets considered realizable, however, could be reduced in the near term if estimates of future taxable income are reduced.

During 2004, the Company received a federal income tax refund related to the 1998 IRS audit of one of its discontinued operations, which was higher than previously accrued for. During 2003, the Internal Revenue Service completed their examination related to the years 1998 through 2001. Based on the favorable conclusion of their examination, the Company recorded a reduction in its tax contingency of \$1.5 million.

During 2004, the Company completed and filed its federal and state income tax returns for the calendar year ended December 31, 2003. Based on the income tax returns filed, the Company recorded an

adjustment to its state effective tax rate in the second quarter resulting in a reduction of income tax expense of \$182,000. This reduction of \$182,000, coupled with a current year state tax provision rate adjustment of \$125,000, resulted in a reduction of income tax expense of approximately \$307,000.

During 2005, the Company recorded a reduction of income tax expense of approximately \$160,000, which related to the reduction of a federal income tax liability that was reversed due to the expiration of a statute of limitations. During 2006, the Company's capital loss carryover of approximately \$3.5 million expired. The Company recorded the expired deferred tax asset against the valuation allowance.

**Note 8. Stock-Based Compensation and Employee Benefit Plans**

*Stock Option Plan.* In 1997, the Company adopted the 1997 Stock Option Plan, later amended and restated (the Option Plan), under which incentive and non-statutory stock options to acquire shares of the Company's common stock may be granted to officers, employees, and consultants. The Option Plan is administered by the Board of Directors and permits the issuance of up to 5,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Incentive stock options must be issued at an exercise price not less than the fair market value of the underlying shares on the date of grant. Options granted under the Option Plan vest over various terms up to ten years and are exercisable over a period of time, not to exceed ten years, and are subject to other terms and conditions specified in each individual employee option agreement. A summary of employee stock options is as follows:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Fair Value of Options Granted	Options Exercisable
Outstanding as of December 31, 2003	1,891,142	\$ 3.10		847,628
Granted	753,500	9.71	\$ 5.88	
Exercised	(424,652 )	3.21		
Cancelled, Forfeited or Expired	(172,569 )	4.07		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2004	2,047,421	\$ 5.43		878,438
Granted	533,000	8.37	\$ 5.00	
Exercised	(705,467 )	1.93		
Cancelled, Forfeited or Expired	(336,056 )	7.66		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2005	1,538,898	\$ 7.56		913,872
Granted	365,139	6.96	\$ 3.95	
Exercised	(6,750 )	1.49		
Cancelled, Forfeited or Expired	(45,750 )	8.86		
Outstanding as of December 31, 2006	1,851,537	\$ 7.43		1,145,724

The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005, and 2004 were \$38,000, \$4.5 million, and \$2.4 million, respectively.

The following table summarizes information concerning stock options outstanding at December 31, 2006:

Range of Exercise Prices	Number of Options Outstanding	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number of Options Exercisable	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value of Options Exercisable
\$ 0.0000 - 2.8625	126,848	4.3	\$ 1.49	126,848	\$ 1.49	
\$ 2.8626 - 5.7250	237,512	5.4	3.29	225,974	3.27	
\$ 5.7251 - 8.5875	926,827	8.5	7.60	261,802	7.92	
\$ 8.5876 - 11.4500	377,350	7.4	9.54	348,100	9.61	
\$11.4501 - 14.3125	183,000	6.9	11.75	183,000	11.75	
	1,851,537	7.4	\$ 7.43	1,145,724	\$ 7.42	\$ 8,496,000



*Employee Stock Purchase Plan.* In 1999, the Company adopted an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (the ESPP), later amended and restated. Under the ESPP, shares of the Company's common stock may be purchased at three-month intervals at 95% of the lower of the fair market value on the first or the last day of each three-month period. Employees may elect to have a maximum of 15% of their gross bi-weekly compensation withheld during any offering period. The total authorized shares currently allocated to the ESPP reserve are 1,500,000 and as of December 31, 2006, of which 1,337,170 shares have been distributed to participants.

*Defined Contribution Plans.* The SM&A 401(k) Plan and Trust (the Plan) is a defined contribution plan. The Plan includes a tax-deferred 401(k) provision and applies to all employees. Contributions are made to the Plan by employees and the Company. The Plan permits employee contributions up to 20% of eligible compensation with Company matching, supplemental contributions for certain classes of employees based on performance criteria, and profit sharing under certain conditions. The Company's matching contribution was \$348,000, \$318,000 and \$360,000 for the years ended December 31, 2006, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

*Shares Reserved for Future Issuance.* At December 31, 2006, the Company had reserved 1,555,605 shares for future issuance under the stock option plan and 162,830 shares under the employee stock purchase plan.

#### **Note 9. Stockholders' Equity**

In May 2004, the Company's Board of Directors authorized a plan to repurchase up to \$7.0 million of the Company's common stock. In April and October 2005, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an increase of an additional \$5.0 million and \$8.0 million, respectively, increasing the total authorization to repurchase the Company's common stock to \$20.0 million. In January 2006, the Company's Board of Directors authorized an increase of an additional \$10.0 million increasing the total authorization to repurchase the Company's common stock to \$30.0 million. The Company intends to repurchase shares from time to time, at prevailing prices, in the open market. The timing and amount of the share repurchases will be at the discretion of management and will be based on such factors as the stock price, general economic and market conditions, and other factors. The share repurchase plan may be suspended or discontinued at any time. Shares repurchased under the plan prior to our reincorporation in the state of Delaware were cancelled. After the date of reincorporation (November 30, 2006), the shares are included in treasury shares. For the year ended December 31, 2006, the Company repurchased 1,402,277 shares at a total cost of \$8.7 million. Since the inception of the share repurchase plan, the Company has repurchased 3,215,443 shares at a total cost of \$23.4 million. The Company currently has approximately \$6.6 million remaining in share repurchase authorization.

On November 30, 2006, SM&A, a California corporation (SM&A-California), consummated a merger (the Reincorporation) with and into its wholly owned subsidiary, SM&A, a Delaware corporation (SM&A-Delaware or the Company). As a result of the Reincorporation, the Company is now a Delaware corporation. As provided by the Merger Agreement, each outstanding share of SM&A-California common stock was automatically converted into one share of SM&A-Delaware common stock, \$0.0001 par value. Each stock certificate representing issued and outstanding shares of SM&A-California common stock continues to represent the same number of shares of SM&A-Delaware common stock. In accordance with Rule 12g-3(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), the Common Stock, \$0.0001 per share, of SM&A-Delaware, are deemed to be registered under Section 12(b) of the Exchange Act. Following the Reincorporation, SM&A-Delaware common stock continues to be quoted on the NASDAQ National Market under the same symbol (WINS) as the shares of SM&A-California common stock had been traded. Stockholders do not need to exchange share certificates based upon the reincorporation. The Company's reincorporating in Delaware had no impact on the Company's financial statements.

**Note 10. Commitments and Contingencies***Commitments.*

*Leases.* The Company currently leases office facilities and property and equipment under non-cancelable operating leases, which include escalation clauses. Rental expense under operating lease agreements relating to continuing operations for 2006, 2005 and 2004 was \$1.0, \$1.3 and \$0.7 million, respectively. The aggregate future minimum rental to be received under non-cancelable subleases relating to discontinued operations as of December 31, 2006 was \$330,000. Future minimum lease payments are as follows for the years ending December 31:

<b>(in thousands)</b>	<b>Continuing Operations</b>	<b>Discontinued Operations, net of subleases</b>
2007	\$ 1,085,000	\$ 42,000
2008	1,070,000	
2009	1,054,000	
2010	1,029,000	
2011	1,046,000	
Thereafter	532,000	
	\$ 5,816,000	\$ 42,000

*Contingencies.*

From time to time, the Company may be involved in legal proceedings and claims that arise in the ordinary course of business. The Company is currently unaware of any legal proceedings or claims against it that management believes will have, individually or in the aggregate, a materially adverse effect on its business, financial condition, or operating results.

The Company entered into employment agreements with its Chairman and Chief Executive Officer and its President and Chief Operating Officer and into benefit agreements with other executives of the Company (collectively *Agreements*). Under the terms of each of the *Agreements*, the Company may be obligated to pay a severance payment ranging from nine months to two years of the respective employee's base salary, depending of the date of termination, if the employment is terminated by the Company without cause. In addition, the *Agreements* have change of control provisions that may require the Company to pay up to twelve months of current annual base salary and up to eighteen months of health and life insurance benefits.

*Indemnifications*

*The Company has agreements whereby its officers and directors are indemnified for certain events or occurrences while the officer or director is, or was, serving at our request in such capacity. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could be required to make under these indemnification agreements is unlimited. The Company believes the estimated fair value of these indemnification agreements is minimal and has no liabilities recorded for these agreements as of December 31, 2006.*

**Note 11. Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)**

The following summarized unaudited quarterly financial data has been prepared using the Company's consolidated financial statements. Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 123(R).

(in thousands except per share data)	First	Second	Third	Fourth	Total
<b>2006</b>					
Revenue	\$ 17,715	\$ 18,277	\$ 17,025	\$ 18,771	\$ 71,788
Gross margin	\$ 7,141	\$ 8,009	\$ 6,380	\$ 7,256	\$ 28,786
Net income	\$ 1,179	\$ 1,280	\$ 418	\$ 754	\$ 3,631
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.19
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.02	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.19
<b>Shares used in calculating net income per share:</b>					
Basic	19,819	19,170	18,730	18,691	19,098
Diluted	20,043	19,372	18,910	18,870	19,281
<b>2005</b>					
Revenue	\$ 20,245	\$ 20,261	\$ 19,678	\$ 16,527	\$ 76,711
Gross margin	\$ 8,722	\$ 8,678	\$ 8,207	\$ 6,401	\$ 32,008
Net income	\$ 2,537	\$ 1,190	\$ 2,558	\$ 796	\$ 7,081
Net income per share basic	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.13	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.35
Net income per share diluted	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.04	\$ 0.34
<b>Shares used in calculating net income per share:</b>					
Basic	20,263	20,370	20,432	20,020	20,271
Diluted	21,085	20,879	20,870	20,365	20,797

**Note 12. Subsequent Events**

On February 9, 2007, the Company acquired privately held Project Planning Inc. ( PPI ), a leading provider of consulting, education, implementation and support services for enterprise project management solutions. Under the terms of the agreement with PPI, SM&A acquired all of PPI for cash and common shares. On February 9, 2007, the Board of Directors of SM&A unanimously approved the terms of the transaction.

The Stock Purchase Agreement by and among PPI, Richard Bowe, its Shareholder, and SM&A, entered into as of January 31, 2007, was subject to customary closing conditions, including the continued employment of key personnel and the absence of a material adverse change in PPI's business. At closing, SM&A paid total consideration valued at approximately \$5.5 million, consisting of cash of \$3.5 million and 333,333 shares of the Company stock, and could pay up to an additional \$9.5 million over a three year period upon satisfaction of certain revenue goals for SM&A's Project Planning division. Richard Bowe and other key personnel provided SM&A with covenants not to compete against the acquired business. SM&A was indemnified by the seller for breaches of representations, warranties and covenants, subject to certain limitations more fully set forth in the Stock Purchase Agreement.

At closing, Richard Bowe, the President of PPI, entered into an employment agreement with SM&A and became President of SM&A's Project Planning division, which will deploy resources from both the acquired business and SM&A's current base of associates.

On March 13, 2007, the Company announced that Steven S. Myers, who is currently Chairman of the Board of Directors and CEO, will retire on March 31, 2007. Mr. Myers will also retire from the Company's Board of Directors. Cynthia A. Davis-Sailar has been named Chief Executive Officer effective April 1, 2007 and will also join the SM&A Board of Directors. Dwight Hanger, a member of the SM&A Board of Directors since 2005, was elected to become Chairman of the Board, effective April 1, 2007. In addition, Cathy L. McCarthy, current President and Chief Operating Officer, will be leaving SM&A to pursue a new business venture after a transition period that will be completed by year end.



**SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS**

(in thousands)	Balance at the Beginning of the Period	Additions Charge to Bad Debts Expense	Recoveries and Other	Deductions/ Write-Offs and Other	Balance at the End of the Period
<b>Allowance for Doubtful Accounts</b>					
2006	\$ 200	\$	\$	\$	\$ 200
2005	\$ 200	\$ 99	\$ 30	\$ (129 )	\$ 200
2004	\$ 387	\$ (140 )	\$	\$ (47 )	\$ 200
<b>Income Tax Valuation Allowance</b>					
2006	\$ 1,537	\$	\$	\$ (1,537 )	\$
2005	\$ 1,537	\$	\$	\$	\$ 1,537
2004	\$ 1,543	\$	\$	\$ (6 )	\$ 1,537

The Company specifically analyzes accounts receivable, historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit-worthiness, current economic trends, and changes in its customer payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. Based on this analysis, no adjustment to the allowance for doubtful accounts was required in 2006 or 2005, and the Company did reduce the allowance for doubtful accounts by \$140,000 in 2004.

During 2006, the Company's capital loss carryover of approximately \$3.5 million expired. The Company recorded the expired deferred tax asset against the valuation allowance.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**SM&A**

By:

/s/ STEVEN S. MYERS  
 Steven S. Myers  
 Chairman and Chief Executive Officer  
 Dated: March 13, 2007

**POWER OF ATTORNEY**

KNOWN ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Steven S. Myers and Steve D. Handy his attorneys-in-fact, each with the power of substitution, for him in any and all capacities, to sign any amendments to this Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with Exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith with the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming all that each of said attorneys-in-fact, or substitute or substitutes, may do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

<b>Name</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Date</b>
/s/ STEVEN S. MYERS Steven S. Myers	Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	March 13, 2007
/s/ STEVE D. HANDY Steve D. Handy	Chief Financial Officer and Secretary (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer)	March 13, 2007
/s/ WILLIAM c. BOWES William C. Bowes	Director	March 13, 2007
/s/ DWIGHT I. HANGER Dwight L. Hanger	Director	March 13, 2007
/s/ J. CHRISTOPHER LEWIS J. Christopher Lewis	Director	March 13, 2007
/s/ JOSEPH b. REAGAN Joseph B. Reagan	Director	March 13, 2007
/s/ ROBERT RODIN Robert Rodin	Director	March 13, 2007
/s/ JOHN p. STENBIT John P. Stenbit	Director	March 13, 2007
/s/ ROBERT j. UNTRACHT Robert J. Untracht	Director	March 13, 2007

**INDEX TO EXHIBITS**

**Exhibits (numbered in accordance with item 601 of Regulation S-K).**

- 2.1 Stock Purchase and Sale Agreement, by and among the Registrant, Steven Myers Holding Inc. and L-3 Communications Corporation.(1)
  - 2.2 Amendment No.1 to Stock Purchase and Sale Agreement, by and among the Registrant, Steven Myers Holding Inc. and L-3 Communications Corporation.(2)
  - 3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation.(3)
  - 3.2 Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Registrant.(4)
  - 3.3 Certificate of Incorporation of SM&A, a Delaware corporation.(5)
  - 3.4 Bylaws of SM&A, a Delaware corporation.(6)
  - 3.5 Agreement and Plan of Merger, between SM&A, a California corporation, and SM&A, a Delaware corporation.(7)
  - 10.1 Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Option Plan and related form of Stock Option Agreement.(8)
  - 10.2 Second Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Plan.(9)
  - 10.3 Amended and Restated Employee Stock Purchase Plan.(10)
  - 10.4 Office Facility Lease.(11)
  - 10.5 Amendment No. 1 to Office Facility Lease.(12)
  - 10.6 Employment Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(13)
  - 10.7 Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(14)
  - 10.8 Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(15)
  - 10.9 Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(16)
  - 10.10 Amendment No. 4 to Employment Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(17)
  - 10.11 Amendment No. 5 to Employment Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(18)
  - 10.12 Amendment No. 6 to Employment Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(19)
  - 10.13 Amendment No. 7 to Employment Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(20)
  - 10.14 Retirement Agreement of Steven S. Myers.(21)
  - 10.15 Employment Agreement of Cathy L. Wood.(22)
  - 10.16 Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement of Cathy L. Wood.(23)
  - 10.17 Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement of Cathy L. Wood.(24)
  - 10.18 Amendment No. 3 to Employment Agreement of Cathy L. Wood.(25)
  - 10.19 Amendment No. 4 to Employment Agreement of Cathy L. Wood.(26)
  - 10.20 Amendment No. 5 to Employment Agreement of Cathy L. McCarthy (formerly Wood).(27)
  - 10.21 Amendment No. 6 to Employment Agreement of Cathy L. McCarthy.(28)
- F-22
-

- 10.22 Employment Agreement of Cynthia Davis-Sailor.(29)
- 10.24 Accounts Receivable Loan Agreement dated January 10, 2002, by and between the Registrant and City National Bank, a national banking association.(30)
- 10.25 Commercial Guaranty dated January 10, 2002, executed by Steven Myers & Associates, Inc. in favor of City National Bank, a national banking association.(31)
- 10.26 Revolving Loan Agreement dated October 14, 2003, by and between the registrant and City National Bank, a national association.(32)
- 10.27 Revolving Note dated April 10, 2003, executed by SM&A, in favor of City National Bank.(33)
- 10.28 Renewal of Revolving Note dated April 27, 2004, executed by SM&A, in favor of City National Bank.(34)
- 10.29 Renewal of Revolving Note dated April 29, 2005, executed by SM&A, in favor of City National Bank.(35)
- 10.30 Renewal of Revolving Note dated April 24, 2006, executed by SM&A, in favor of City National Bank.(36)
- 10.31 Consultant Agreement of Bowes Enterprises.(37)
- 10.32 Consultant Agreement of Joseph B. Reagan.(38)
- 10.33 Stock Purchase Agreement.(39)
- 10.34 Indemnification Agreements.(40)
- 10.35 Employment Agreement of Richard Bowe.(41)
- 21.1 Subsidiaries of the Registrant.(42)
- 23.1 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, BDO Seidman, LLP.(43)
- 23.2 Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, Ernst & Young LLP.(44)
- 31.1 Certificate of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(45)
- 31.2 Certificate of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(46)
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(47)

---

*Footnote#*

(1) Filed on November 27, 2001 as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(2) Filed on December 14, 2001 as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(3) Filed on March 15, 2002 as Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(4) Filed on May 3, 2002 as Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: SM&A - Form 10-K

(5) Filed on December 6, 2006 as Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(6) Filed on December 6, 2006 as Exhibit 3.3 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(7) Filed on December 6, 2006 as Exhibit 2.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(8) Filed on April 17, 2001 as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(9) Filed on February 24, 2006, as Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(10) Filed on April 29, 2002 as Exhibit C to the registrant's Annual Proxy Statement on Form 14A and incorporated herein by reference.

(11) Filed on November 21, 1997 as Exhibit 10.3 to the registrant's Registration Statement 333-4075 on Form S-1 (Registration No. 333-4075) and incorporated herein by reference.

(12) Filed on October 22, 2004 as Exhibit 10.25 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.

(13) Filed on April 17, 2001 as Exhibit 10.17 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(14) Filed on March 15, 2002 as Exhibit 10.7 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(15) Filed on May 3, 2002 as Exhibit 10.8 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.

(16) Filed on March 11, 2003 as Exhibit 10.7 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(17) Filed on February 6, 2004 as Exhibit 10.8 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(18) Filed on October 22, 2004 as Exhibit 10.21 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.

(19) Filed on April 21, 2006 as Exhibit 99.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(20) Filed on December 20, 2006 as Exhibit 99.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: SM&A - Form 10-K

(21) Filed on March 13, 2007 as Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(22) Filed on March 15, 2002 as Exhibit 10.8 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(23) Filed on November 4, 2002 as Exhibit 10.10 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.

(24) Filed on March 11, 2003 as Exhibit 10.10 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

F-24

---

Edgar Filing: SM&A - Form 10-K

- (25) Filed on February 6, 2004 as Exhibit 10.12 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- (26) Filed on October 22, 2004 as Exhibit 10.22 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.
- (27) Filed on April 21, 2006 as Exhibit 99.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- (28) Filed on August 23, 2006 as Exhibit 99.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- (29) Filed on March 13, 2007 as Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- (30) Filed on January 25, 2002 as Exhibit 99.2 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- (31) Filed on January 25, 2002 as Exhibit 99.3 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- (32) Filed on February 6, 2004 as Exhibit 10.18 to the registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- (33) Filed on July 31, 2003 as Exhibit 10.16 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.
- (34) Filed on July 21, 2004 as Exhibit 10.20 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.
- (35) Filed on July 14, 2005 as Exhibit 10.25 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.
- (36) Filed on May 15, 2006 as Exhibit 10.29 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.
- (37) Filed on October 22, 2004 as Exhibit 10.23 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.
- (38) Filed on October 22, 2004 as Exhibit 10.24 to the registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and incorporated herein by reference.
- (39) Filed on February 12, 2007 as Exhibit 99.2 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.
- (40) Filed on December 6, 2006 as Exhibits 10.1 through 10.10 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: SM&A - Form 10-K

(41) Filed on February 12, 2007, as Exhibit 99.3 to the registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

(42) Filed herewith.

(43) Filed herewith.

(44) Filed herewith.

(45) Filed herewith.

(46) Filed herewith.

(47) Filed herewith.

F-25

---