AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC Form 10-Q August 05, 2013

Table of Contents

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# **FORM 10-Q**

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2013

**Commission File Number 1-8787** 

# American International Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware13-2592361(State or other jurisdiction of<br/>incorporation or organization)(I.R.S. Employer<br/>Identification No.)

180 Maiden Lane, New York, New York10038(Address of principal executive offices)(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 770-7000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes b No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer b Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o Smaller reporting company o

(Do not check if a smaller reporting

company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

As of July 31, 2013, there were 1,476,350,909 shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock.

# AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### **FORM 10-Q**

**Item Number Description Page** PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION Item 1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements Note 1. Basis of Presentation 7 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies Note 2. 8 Segment Information <u>10</u> Note 3. Note 4. <u>12</u> Held-For-Sale Classification and Discontinued Operations Note 5. Fair Value Measurements 14 <u>33</u> Note 6. Investments 41 Note 7. Lending Activities Note 8. Variable Interest Entities <u>42</u> Note 9. Derivatives and Hedge Accounting <u>44</u> <u>50</u> Note 10. Contingencies, Commitments and Guarantees <u>59</u> Note 11. Equity Note 12. Noncontrolling Interests <u>64</u> Note 13. Earnings Per Share <u>65</u> Note 14. Employee Benefits <u>66</u> Note 15. Income Taxes <u>67</u> Note 16. Information Provided in Connection with Outstanding Debt <u>69</u> Note 17. Subsequent Events <u>75</u> Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations <u>76</u> Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Information <u>76</u> <u>79</u> Use of Non-GAAP Measures 80 **Executive Overview** Results of Operations 90 Liquidity and Capital Resources 139 155 Investments Enterprise Risk Management 171 Critical Accounting Estimates 179 Regulatory Environment 183 Glossary 185 Acronyms 188 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Item 3 189 Item 4 Controls and Procedures 189 PART II OTHER INFORMATION Legal Proceedings 190 Item 1 Item 1A **Risk Factors** 190 Mine Safety Disclosures <u> 191</u> Item 4 Other Information <u>192</u> Item 5 Item 6 **Exhibits** 192 **SIGNATURES** 193

# PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

# ITEM 1. / FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (unaudited)

(in millions, except for share data)		June 30, 2013	De	ecember 31, 2012
Assets:				
Investments:				
Fixed maturity securities:	Φ	261 220	¢	260.050
Bonds available for sale, at fair value (amortized cost: 2013 \$248,694; 2012 \$246,149) Bond trading securities, at fair value	\$	261,229 23,789	\$	269,959 24,584
Equity securities:		23,109		24,364
Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value (cost: 2013 \$1,590; 2012 \$1,640)		3,153		3,212
Common and preferred stock trading, at fair value		758		662
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance (portion measured at fair value: 2013 \$59; 2012				
\$134)		19,857		19,482
Other invested assets (portion measured at fair value: 2013 \$7,871; 2012 \$7,056)		29,206		29,117
Short-term investments (portion measured at fair value: 2013 \$6,099; 2012 \$8,056)		20,215		28,808
Total investments		358,207		375,824
Cash		1,762		1.151
Accrued investment income		2,916		3,054
Premiums and other receivables, net of allowance		14,203		13,989
Reinsurance assets, net of allowance		26,506		25,595
Deferred income taxes		20,044		17,466
Deferred policy acquisition costs		8,770		8,182
Derivative assets, at fair value		2,805		3,671
Other assets, including restricted cash of \$922 in 2013 and \$1,878 in 2012 (portion measured at fair				
value: 2013 \$582; 2012 \$696)		9,298		10,399
Separate account assets, at fair value		61,759		57,337
Assets held for sale		31,168		31,965
Total assets	\$	537,438	\$	548,633
Liabilities:				
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense	\$	84,054	\$	87,991
Unearned premiums		23,578		22,537
Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts		39,844		40,523
Policyholder contract deposits (portion measured at fair value: 2013 \$586; 2012 \$1,257)		121,439		122,980
Other policyholder funds		5,400		6,267
Derivative liabilities, at fair value  Other liabilities (nortion measured at fair value, 2012, \$867, 2012, \$1,080)		3,124		4,061
Other liabilities (portion measured at fair value: 2013 \$867; 2012 \$1,080) Long-term debt (portion measured at fair value: 2013 \$7,013; 2012 \$8,055)		30,895 42,614		32,068 48,500
Separate account liabilities \$7,013; 2012 \$8,033)		61,759		48,300 57,337
separate account nationales		01,737		31,331

Liabilities held for sale	26,496	27,366
Total liabilities	439,203	449,630
Contingencies, commitments and guarantees (see Note 10)		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests (see Note 12)	80	334
AIG shareholders' equity: Common stock, \$2.50 par value; 5,000,000,000 shares authorized; shares issued:		
2013 1,906,613,772 and 2012 1,906,611,680	4,766	4,766
Treasury stock, at cost; 2013 430,265,761; 2012 430,289,745 shares of common stock Additional paid-in capital	(13,923) 80,468	(13,924) 80,410
Retained earnings	19,113	14,176
Accumulated other comprehensive income	7,039	12,574
Total AIG shareholders' equity	97,463	98,002
Non-redeemable noncontrolling interests (including \$100 associated with businesses held for sale)	692	667
Total equity	98,155	98,669
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 537,438	\$ 548,633

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# ITEM 1. / FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (unaudited)

	Three Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,				
(dollars in millions, except per share							
data)	2013	2012	2013	2012			
Revenues:							
Premiums	\$ 9,200	\$ 9,629	\$ 18,572	\$ 19,099			
Policy fees	623	567	1,238	1,151			
Net investment income	3,844	4,481	8,008	11,586			
Net realized capital gains:							
Total other-than-temporary							
impairments on available for sale							
securities	(17)	(99)	(57)	(267)			
Portion of other-than-temporary	, ,	, ,	` '	, ,			
impairments on available for sale fixed							
maturity securities recognized in Other							
comprehensive income (loss)	(10)	(51)	(11)	(336)			
1		(- )	,	()			
Net other-than-temporary impairments							
on available for sale securities							
recognized in net income	(27)	(150)	(68)	(603)			
Other realized capital gains	1,618	549	1,959	751			
r a sa raine	,		,				
Total net realized capital gains	1,591	399	1,891	148			
Other income	2,057	1,145	3,494	1,734			
	, , ,	, -	-, -	,,,			
Total revenues	17,315	16,221	33,203	33,718			
Benefits, claims and expenses:							
Policyholder benefits and claims							
incurred	8,090	7,789	14,818	14,908			
Interest credited to policyholder							
account balances	972	1,054	1,989	2,116			
Amortization of deferred acquisition							
costs	1,353	1,472	2,639	2,819			
Other acquisition and insurance							
expenses	2,245	2,264	4,483	4,522			
Interest expense	535	567	1,112	1,132			
Loss on extinguishment of debt	38	9	378	9			
Other expenses	935	1,397	1,805	2,077			
Total benefits, claims and expenses	14,168	14,552	27,224	27,583			

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Income from continuing operations before income tax expense Income tax expense (benefit)		3,147 422		1,669 (491)		5,979 1,116		6,135 590
Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued		2,725		2,160		4,863		5,545
operations, net of income tax expense		33		179		126		243
Net income		2,758		2,339		4,989		5,788
Less: Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests: Nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests Other		27		7		52		208 40
		27		,		<i>32</i>		-10
Total net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests		27		7		52		248
Net income attributable to AIG	\$	2,731	\$	2,332	\$	4,937	\$	5,540
Income per common share attributable to AIG: Basic:								
Income from continuing operations	\$	1.83	\$	1.23	\$	3.26	\$	2.92
Income from discontinued operations	\$	0.02	\$	0.10	\$	0.08	\$	0.13
Net Income attributable to AIG	\$	1.85	\$	1.33	\$	3.34	\$	3.05
Diluted:	ф	1.00	ф	1.22	ф	2.25	Φ.	2.02
Income from continuing operations	\$	1.82	\$	1.23	\$	3.25	\$	2.92
Income from discontinued operations	\$	0.02	\$	0.10	\$	0.08	\$	0.13
Net Income attributable to AIG	\$	1.84	\$	1.33	\$	3.33	\$	3.05
Weighted average shares outstanding:								
Basic		1,476,512,720		1,756,689,067		1,476,491,719	1	,816,331,019
Diluted		1,482,246,618		1,756,714,475		1,479,462,612	1	,816,358,625

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# ITEM 1. / FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,			Si	x Month June	
(in millions)	2013		2012		2013	2012
Net income	\$ 2,758	\$	2,339	\$	4,989	\$ 5,788
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity investments on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were taken	(87)		17		195	630
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of all other investments	(4,446)		1,305		(5,234)	2,286
Change in foreign currency translation adjustments Change in net derivative gains arising from cash flow hedging activities	(305)		(427) 1		(578)	(336) 23
Change in retirement plan liabilities adjustment	17		14		61	32
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(4,821)		910		(5,556)	2,635
Comprehensive income (loss) Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling nonvoting, callable,	(2,063)		3,249		(567)	8,423
junior and senior preferred interests Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to other noncontrolling interests	6		(1)		31	208 37
Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	6		(1)		31	245
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ (2,069)	\$	3,250	\$	(598)	\$ 8,178

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### ITEM 1. / FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY (unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (in millions)		nmon Stock	A easury Stock	]	litional Paid-in Capital	ta <b>Good</b> p	rehei	ther	h	Total A <b>F&amp;</b> d Share- olde <b>xs</b> on Equityl	] ntro	Non- lling	Total Equity
Balance, beginning of year	\$	4,766	\$ (13,924)	\$	80,410	\$ 14,176	\$ 1	2,574	\$	98,002	\$	667	\$ 98,669
Net income attributable to AIG or other	r												
noncontrolling interests*						4,937				4,937		48	4,985
Other comprehensive loss							(	(5,535)		(5,535)		(4)	(5,539)
Deferred income taxes					(7)					(7)			(7)
Net increase due to deconsolidation												1	1
Contributions from noncontrolling													
interests												13	13
Distributions to noncontrolling interest	s											(31)	(31)
Other			1		65					66		(2)	64
Balance, end of period	\$	4,766	\$ (13,923)	\$	80,468	\$ 19,113	\$	7,039	\$	97,463	\$	692	\$ 98,155

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes gains of \$4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 attributable to redeemable noncontrolling interests. See Note 12 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# ITEM 1. / FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

# AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30, (in millions)		2013	2012
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	\$	4,989	\$ 5,788
Income from discontinued operations	•	(126)	(243)
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: Noncash revenues, expenses, gains and losses included in income:			
Net gains on sales of securities available for sale and other assets		(1,665)	(1,812)
Net losses on extinguishment of debt		378	(1,812)
Unrealized gains in earnings net		(2,367)	(4,096)
Equity in income from equity method investments, net of dividends or distributions		(792)	(395)
Depreciation and other amortization		2,439	2,558
Impairments of assets		282	957
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		202	751
Property casualty and life insurance reserves		775	(639)
Premiums and other receivables and payables net		(518)	515
Reinsurance assets and funds held under reinsurance treaties		(544)	(365)
Capitalization of deferred policy acquisition costs		(2,953)	(2,863)
Current and deferred income taxes net		907	286
Other, net		(486)	506
		( /	
Total adjustments		(4,544)	(5,339)
Net cash provided by operating activities continuing operations		319	206
Net cash provided by operating activities discontinued operations		1,355	1,426
		,	•
Net cash provided by operating activities		1,674	1,632
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from (payments for)			
Sales or distribution of:			
Available for sale investments		19,164	21,402
Trading securities		2,850	5,671
Other invested assets		2,959	7,718
Maturities of fixed maturity securities available for sale		12,517	10,728
Principal payments received on and sales of mortgage and other loans receivable		1,602	1,372
Purchases of:			
Available for sale investments		(35,522)	(22,644)
Trading securities		(1,763)	(8,743)
Other invested assets		(2,423)	(2,163)

Mortgage and other loans receivable Net change in restricted cash Net change in short-term investments Other, net	(2,143) 956 8,524 (417)	(1,402) (284) (859) 123
Net cash provided by investing activities continuing operations  Net cash used in investing activities discontinued operations	6,304 (233)	10,919 (48)
Net cash provided by investing activities	6,071	10,871
Cash flows from financing activities:  Proceeds from (payments for)	( 757	6 900
Policyholder contract deposits	6,757 (8,066)	6,809 (7,077)
Policyholder contract withdrawals Issuance of long-term debt	(8,000) 486	4,045
Repayments of long-term debt	(5,403)	(5,271)
Repayment of Department of the Treasury SPV Preferred Interests	(3,403)	(8,636)
Purchase of Common Stock		(5,000)
Other, net	290	2,599
Net cash used in financing activities continuing operations	(5,936)	(12,531)
Net cash used in financing activities discontinued operations	(1,119)	(190)
Net cash used in financing activities	(7,055)	(12,721)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(70)	(24)
Net increase (decrease) in cash	620	(242)
Cash at beginning of period	1,151	1,474
Change in cash of businesses held for sale	(9)	
Cash at end of period	\$ 1,762	\$ 1,232

# **Supplementary Disclosure of Condensed Consolidated Cash Flow Information**

# Cash paid during the period for:

Interest	\$ 2,408	\$ 2,088
Taxes	\$ 209	\$ 206
Non-cash investing/financing activities:		
Interest credited to policyholder contract deposits included in financing activities	\$ 1,980	\$ 2,186

See accompanying Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 1/NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) is a leading international insurance organization serving customers in more than 130 countries. AIG companies serve commercial, institutional and individual customers through one of the most extensive worldwide property-casualty networks of any insurer. In addition, AIG companies are leading providers of life insurance and retirement services in the United States. AIG Common Stock, par value \$2.50 per share (AIG Common Stock), is listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE: AIG) and the Tokyo Stock Exchange. Unless the context indicates otherwise, the terms "AIG," "we," "us" or "our" mean American International Group, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and the term "AIG Parent" means American International Group, Inc. and not any of its consolidated subsidiaries.

These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements do not include all disclosures that are normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) and should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2012 Annual Report). The condensed consolidated financial information as of December 31, 2012 included herein has been derived from audited consolidated financial statements in the 2012 Annual Report.

Certain of our foreign subsidiaries included in the condensed consolidated financial statements report on different fiscal-period bases. The effect on our condensed consolidated financial condition and results of operations of all material events occurring at these subsidiaries through the date of each of the periods presented in these condensed consolidated financial statements has been recorded. In the opinion of management, these condensed consolidated financial statements contain normal recurring adjustments, including eliminations of material intercompany accounts and transactions, necessary for a fair statement of the results presented herein.

Interim period operating results may not be indicative of the operating results for a full year. We evaluated the need to recognize or disclose events that occurred subsequent to June 30, 2013 and prior to the issuance of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

#### **Presentation Changes**

Advisory fee income, and the related commissions and advisory fee expenses of AIG Life and Retirement's broker dealer business, are now being presented on a gross basis within Other income and Other expenses, respectively. Previously, these amounts were included on a net basis within Policy fees in AIG's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and in AIG Life and Retirement's segment results.

In addition, policyholder benefits related to certain payout annuities, primarily with life contingent features, are now being presented in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as Future policy benefits for life and accident and health insurance contracts instead of as Policyholder contract deposits.

Prior period amounts were conformed to the current period presentation. These changes did not affect Income from continuing operations before income tax expense, Net income attributable to AIG or Total liabilities.

#### **Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires the application of accounting policies that often involves a significant degree of judgment. Accounting policies that are most dependent on the application of estimates and assumptions are considered our critical accounting estimates and are related to the determination of:

classification of International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC) as held for sale;

insurance liabilities, including property casualty and mortgage guaranty unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses and future policy benefits for life and accident and health contracts;

income tax assets and liabilities, including recoverability of our net deferred tax asset and the predictability of future tax operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the net deferred tax asset;

recoverability of assets, including reinsurance assets;

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

estimated gross profits for investment-oriented products;

other-than-temporary impairments of financial instruments;

liabilities for legal contingencies; and

fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities.

These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions about matters, some of which are highly uncertain at the time of estimation. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions used, our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows could be materially affected.

#### 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

**Accounting Standards Adopted During 2013** 

Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an accounting standard that allows a company, as a first step in an impairment review, to assess qualitatively whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset is impaired. We are not required to calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset and perform a quantitative impairment test unless we determine, based on the results of the qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not the asset is impaired.

The standard became effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. We adopted the standard on its required effective date of January 1, 2013. The adoption of this standard had no material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In January 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard that clarifies the scope of transactions subject to disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. The standard applies to derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are offset either in accordance with specific criteria contained in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification or subject to a master netting arrangement or similar agreement.

The standard became effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. We adopted the standard on its required effective date of January 1, 2013 and applied it retrospectively to all comparative periods presented. The adoption of this standard had no material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Reporting of Amounts in Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard requiring us to disclose the effect of reclassifying significant items out of Accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items of net income or to provide a cross-reference to other disclosures required under GAAP.

The standard became effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. We adopted the standard on its required effective date of January 1, 2013. The adoption of this standard had no effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Future Application of Accounting Standards** 

Certain Obligations Resulting from Joint and Several Liability Arrangements

In February 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires us to measure obligations resulting from joint and several liability arrangements for which the total amount of the obligation is fixed at the reporting date as the sum of (i) the amount we agreed to pay on the basis of our arrangement among our co-obligors and (ii) any additional amount we expect to pay on behalf of our co-obligors.

The standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013, but earlier adoption is permitted. Upon adoption, the standard should be applied retrospectively to all prior periods presented. We plan to adopt the standard on its required effective date of January 1, 2014 and do not expect the adoption of the standard to have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Parent's Accounting for the Cumulative Translation Adjustment upon Derecognition of an Investment within a Foreign Entity or of an Investment in a Foreign Entity

In March 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard addressing whether consolidation guidance or foreign currency guidance applies to the release of the cumulative translation adjustment into net income when a parent sells all or a part of its investment in a foreign entity or no longer holds a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary or net assets that are a business (other than a sale of in-substance real estate) within a foreign entity. The guidance also resolves the diversity in practice for the cumulative translation adjustment treatment in business combinations achieved in stages involving foreign entities.

Under this standard, the entire amount of the cumulative translation adjustment associated with the foreign entity should be released into earnings when there has been: (i) a sale of a subsidiary or group of net assets within a foreign entity and the sale represents a complete or substantially complete liquidation of the foreign entity in which the subsidiary or the net assets had resided; (ii) a loss of a controlling financial interest in an investment in a foreign entity; or (iii) a change in accounting method from applying the equity method to an investment in a foreign entity to consolidating the foreign entity.

The standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013, and will be applied prospectively. We plan to adopt the standard on its required effective date of January 1, 2014 and do not expect the adoption of the standard to have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Investment Company Guidance

In June 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard that amends the criteria a company must meet to qualify as an investment company, clarifies the measurement guidance, and requires new disclosures for investment companies. An entity that is regulated by the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act) qualifies as an investment company. Entities that are not regulated under the 1940 Act must have certain fundamental characteristics and must consider other characteristics to determine whether they qualify as investment companies. An entity's purpose and design should be considered when making the assessment.

The standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013. Earlier adoption is prohibited. An entity that no longer meets the requirements to be an investment company as a result of this standard should present the change in its status as a cumulative-effect adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. An entity that is an investment company should apply the guidance prospectively as an adjustment to opening net assets as of the effective date. The adjustment to net assets represents both the difference between the fair value and the carrying amount of the entity's investments and any amount previously recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income. We plan to adopt the standard on its required effective date of January 1, 2014 and do not expect the adoption of the standard to have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Inclusion of the Federal Funds Effective Swap Rate as a Benchmark Interest Rate for Hedge Accounting Purposes

In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard that permits the Federal Funds Effective Swap Rate (or Overnight Index Swap Rate) to be used as a U.S. benchmark interest rate for hedge accounting purposes in addition to U.S. Treasury rates and LIBOR. The standard also removes the prohibition on the use of differing benchmark rates when entering into similar hedging relationships. The standard is effective on a prospective basis for qualifying new or redesignated hedging relationships entered into on or after July 17, 2013. We do not expect the adoption of the standard to have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

#### Presentation of Unrecognized Tax Benefits

In July 2013, the FASB issued an accounting standard that requires a liability related to unrecognized tax benefits to be presented as a reduction to the related deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward or a tax credit carryforward (the Carryforwards). When the Carryforwards are not available at the reporting date under the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction or the tax law of the applicable jurisdiction does not require, and the entity does not intend to use, the deferred tax asset for such purpose, the unrecognized tax benefit will be presented in the financial statements as a liability and will not be combined with the related deferred tax assets.

The standard is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2013, but earlier adoption is permitted. Upon adoption, the standard should be applied prospectively to unrecognized tax benefits that existed at the effective date. Retrospective application is permitted. We plan to adopt the standard prospectively on its required effective date of January 1, 2014 and are assessing the effect of adopting the standard on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

#### 3. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We report the results of our operations through two reportable segments: AIG Property Casualty and AIG Life and Retirement. We evaluate performance based on revenues and pre-tax income (loss), excluding results from discontinued operations, because we believe this provides more meaningful information on how our operations are performing.

#### **AIG Property Casualty Investment Income Allocation**

Investment income is allocated to the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance operating segments based on an internal investment income allocation model. The model estimates investable funds based primarily on loss reserves and allocated capital. Commencing in the first quarter of 2013, AIG Property Casualty began applying similar duration and risk-free yields (plus an illiquidity premium) to the allocated capital of Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance as is applied to reserves.

#### **AIG Life and Retirement Operating Segment Change**

In 2012, AIG Life and Retirement announced several key organizational structure and management changes intended to better serve the organization's distribution partners and customers. Key aspects of the new structure include distinct product manufacturing divisions, shared annuity and life operations platforms and a unified all-channel distribution organization with access to all AIG Life and Retirement products.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 3. SEGMENT INFORMATION

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

AIG Life and Retirement fully implemented these changes during the first quarter of 2013 and now presents its operating results in the following two operating segments:

**Retail** - product lines include life insurance and accident and health (A&H), fixed annuities, retirement income solutions (including variable and indexed annuities), brokerage services and retail mutual funds.

**Institutional** - product lines include group retirement, group benefits and institutional markets. The institutional markets product line consists of stable value wrap products, structured settlement and terminal funding annuities, private placement variable life and annuities, guaranteed investment contracts (GICs), and corporate and bank-owned life insurance.

These changes align financial reporting with the manner in which AIG's chief operating decision makers review the business to assess performance and to allocate resources. Prior period amounts have been revised to reflect the new structure, which did not affect previously reported pre-tax income from continuing operations for AIG Life and Retirement. Prior to the first quarter of 2013, AIG Life and Retirement was presented as two operating segments: Life Insurance and Retirement Services.

#### The following table presents AIG's operations by reportable segment:

Three Months Ended June 30, (in millions)	Total Revenues	2013	Pre-tax Income (Loss) from continuing operations	Total Revenues	201	Pre-tax Income (Loss) from continuing operations
			-			
AIG Property Casualty Commercial Insurance Consumer Insurance Other	\$ 5,696 3,347 723	\$	535 91 542	\$ 6,051 3,564 405	\$	745 192 24
Total AIG Property Casualty	9,766		1,168	10,020		961
AIG Life and Retirement Retail Institutional Total AIG Life and Retirement	3,439 2,609 6,048		1,177 542 1,719	2,501 1,927 4,428		341 436 777
Total 7110 Die und Rethemen	0,040		1,715	1,120		,,,
Other Operations Mortgage Guaranty Global Capital Markets Direct Investment book Retained Interests	243 232 815		75 175 720	224 10 584 813		48 (25) 485 813
Corporate & Other	411		(701)	251		(1,435)
Consolidation and elimination	(10)	)	1	(13)		(2)
Total Other Operations	1,691		270	1,869		(116)
AIG Consolidation and elimination	(190)	)	(10)	(96)		47

Total AIG Consolidated \$ 17,315 \$ 3,147 \$ 16,221 \$ 1,669

#### **ITEM 1 / NOTE 3. SEGMENT INFORMATION**

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30, (in millions)	Total Revenues	2013	Pre-tax Income (Loss) from continuing operations	Total Revenues	2012	Pre-tax Income (Loss) from continuing operations
AIG Property Casualty Commercial Insurance Consumer Insurance Other	\$ 11,469 6,853 1,403	\$	1,576 244 952	\$ 11,944 7,176 698	\$	1,390 426 55
Total AIG Property Casualty	19,725		2,772	19,818		1,871
AIG Life and Retirement Retail Institutional	6,442 4,346		2,173 1,116	4,900 3,430		825 814
Total AIG Life and Retirement	10,788		3,289	8,330		1,639
Other Operations Mortgage Guaranty Global Capital Markets Direct Investment book Retained Interests	474 505 1,226		119 402 1,032	424 170 928 3,860		56 63 733 3,860
Corporate & Other	813		(1,699)	513		(2,093)
Consolidation and elimination	(19)	)	2	(23)		1
Total Other Operations	2,999		(144)	5,872		2,620
AIG Consolidation and elimination	(309)	)	62	(302)		5
Total AIG Consolidated	\$ 33,203	\$	5,979	\$ 33,718	\$	6,135

#### 4. HELD-FOR-SALE CLASSIFICATION AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

International Lease Finance Corporation Sale

On December 9, 2012, American International Group, Inc. (AIG Parent), AIG Capital Corporation (Seller), a wholly-owned direct subsidiary of AIG Parent and the sole shareholder of International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC), and Jumbo Acquisition Limited (Purchaser) entered into a definitive agreement (the Share Purchase Agreement) for the sale of 80.1 percent of the common stock of ILFC for approximately \$4.2 billion in cash (the ILFC Transaction). The Share Purchase Agreement permits the Purchaser to elect to purchase an additional 9.9 percent of the common stock of ILFC for \$522.5 million (the Option). On June 15, 2013, AIG, Seller and Purchaser entered into an amendment (the Amendment) to the Share Purchase Agreement, as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated May 10, 2013. The Amendment extended to July 31, 2013, the date on which any of AIG Parent, Seller or Purchaser may terminate the Share Purchase Agreement, as amended, if the closing of the ILFC Transaction has not yet occurred. Under the Amendment, AIG Parent and Seller may pursue (but not enter into definitive documentation for, or consummate) other offers for ILFC and may continue to pursue (but not engage in widespread solicitation of orders for, or request effectiveness of) the alternative of a public offering.

On July 15, 2013, the Purchaser delivered notice that it intended to exercise the Option, raising the size of the total purchase to 90 percent of the common stock of ILFC.

As of August 5, 2013, the closing of the ILFC Transaction has not occurred. AIG continues to consider ILFC as a non-core business and is continuing to pursue other options including a sale or initial public offering. We determined ILFC met the criteria for held for sale and discontinued operations accounting at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

#### ITEM 1/NOTE 4. HELD-FOR-SALE CLASSIFICATION AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following table summarizes the components of assets and liabilities, all of which consist of ILFC, held-for-sale:

(in millions)	June 30, 2013	Dece	ember 31, 2012
Assets:			
Equity securities	\$ 2	\$	1
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net	118		117
Flight equipment primarily under operating leases, net of accumulated depreciation	34,948		34,468
Short-term investments	1,521		1,861
Cash	73		63
Premiums and other receivables, net of allowance	334		308
Other assets	2,062		1,864
Assets of businesses held for sale	39,058		38,682
Less: Loss accrual	(7,890)		(6,717)
Total assets held for sale	\$ 31,168	\$	31,965
Liabilities:			
Other liabilities	\$ 3,222	\$	3,043
Long-term debt	23,274		24,323
Total liabilities held for sale	\$ 26,496	\$	27,366

The following table summarizes income from discontinued operations:

		Three M End June	led		Six Months Ended June 30,					
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013	2012			
Revenues: Aircraft leasing revenue	\$	1,115	\$	1,123	\$	2,193 \$	2,279			
Net realized capital gains (losses)	•	_,	Ť	(2)	_	(1)	(1)			
Other income		(4)		(4)		(7)	(9)			
Total revenues		1,111		1,117		2,185	2,269			
Benefits, claims and expenses, excluding Aircraft leasing expenses Aircraft leasing expenses		383 90		389 646		771 180	798 1,271			
Income from discontinued operations		638		82		1,234	200			

Gain (loss) on sale	(591)	(8)	(1,027)	12
Income from discontinued operations, before income tax expense	47	74	207	212
Income tax (benefit) expense	14	(105)	81	(31)
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax	\$ 33 \$	179 \$	126 \$	243

We recorded a \$4.4 billion after-tax loss on the sale of ILFC for the year ended December 31, 2012. In the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, we recorded an additional \$619 million and \$1.2 billion pre-tax loss, respectively, on the sale of ILFC, largely offsetting ILFC operating results for such periods. ILFC operating results did not include depreciation and amortization expense as a result of its classification as held for sale, as depreciation and amortization expense is not recorded on the assets of a business after the business is classified as held-for-sale.

#### **ALICO**

In connection with the sale of American Life Insurance Company (ALICO) to MetLife, Inc. (MetLife), we recognized pre-tax gains of \$28 million and \$145 million, in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively,

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 4. HELD-FOR-SALE CLASSIFICATION AND DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

primarily attributable to refunds of taxes, interest and penalties after a successful appeal to the Japanese tax authorities related to the deduction of unrealized foreign exchange losses on certain bond securities held by ALICO prior to its sale to MetLife in 2010.

#### 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### Fair Value Measurements on a Recurring Basis

We carry certain of our financial instruments at fair value. We define the fair value of a financial instrument as the amount that would be received from the sale of an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. You should read the following in conjunction with Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for a complete discussion of our accounting policies and procedures regarding fair value measurements.

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are classified in accordance with a fair value hierarchy consisting of three "levels" based on the observability of inputs available in the marketplace used to measure the fair values as discussed below:

**Level 1:** Fair value measurements based on quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that AIG has the ability to access for identical assets or liabilities. Market price data generally is obtained from exchange or dealer markets. We do not adjust the quoted price for such instruments.

**Level 2:** Fair value measurements based on inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, and inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, such as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3: Fair value measurements based on valuation techniques that use significant inputs that are unobservable. Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3. The circumstances for using these measurements include those in which there is little, if any, market activity for the asset or liability. Therefore, we must make certain assumptions as to the inputs a hypothetical market participant would use to value that asset or liability. In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In those cases, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety falls is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents information about assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and indicates the level of the fair value measurement based on the observability of the inputs used:

7 00 0040			~ .			
June 30, 2013			Counterpa		ash	
(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3Netting	g( <b>6</b> )ollateral	<b>l(b)</b>	Total
Assets:						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 266 \$	- / -		\$	\$	3,530
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions		31,783	945			32,728
Non-U.S. governments	562	23,047	20			23,629
Corporate debt		142,323	1,634			143,957
RMBS		23,288	13,694			36,982
CMBS		5,226	5,455			10,681
CDO/ABS		3,580	6,142			9,722
		225	<b>~=</b> ~~			A / 4
Total bonds available for sale	828	232,511	27,890			261,229
Bond trading securities:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	164	5,897				6,061
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions		181				181
Non-U.S. governments		2				2
Corporate debt		1,098				1,098
RMBS		1,404	782			2,186
CMBS		881	820			1,701
CDO/ABS		3,588	8,972			12,560
Total bond trading securities	164	13,051	10,574			23,789
Equity securities available for sale:						
Common stock	2,817		76			2,893
Preferred stock		33	48			81
Mutual funds	170	9				179
Total equity securities available for sale	2,987	42	124			3,153
Equity securities trading	676	82				758
Mortgage and other loans receivable		59				59
Other invested assets	11	2,221	5,639			7,871
Derivative assets:						
Interest rate contracts	8	4,109	961			5,078
Foreign exchange contracts		117				117
Equity contracts	137	55	73			265
Commodity contracts		123	1			124
Credit contracts			56			56

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Other contracts Counterparty netting and cash collateral		1	36	5	(2,011)	(861)	37 (2,872)
Tabl Janisation contr	145	4 405	1 100	7	(2.011)	(9(1)	2 905
Total derivative assets	145	4,405	1,127		(2,011)	(861)	2,805
Short-term investments	215	5,884					6,099
Separate account assets	58,796	2,963					61,759
Other assets		582					582
Total	\$ 63,822 \$	261,800	\$ 45,354	\$	(2,011) \$	(861) \$	368,104
Liabilities:							
Policyholder contract deposits	\$ \$		\$ 586	5 \$	\$	\$	586
Derivative liabilities:							
Interest rate contracts		4,359	182	2			4,541
Foreign exchange contracts		155					155
Equity contracts		104	3	3			107
Commodity contracts		127					127
Credit contracts			1,650				1,650
Other contracts		26	141	L			167
Counterparty netting and cash collateral					(2,011)	(1,612)	(3,623)
Total derivative liabilities		4,771	1,976	ó	(2,011)	(1,612)	3,124
Long-term debt		6,594	419	)			7,013
Other liabilities	116	751					867
Total	\$ 116 \$	12,116	\$ 2,981	\$	(2,011) \$	(1,612) \$	11,590

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

December 31, 2012				ounterparty	Cash	
(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting(A)ollate	ral(b)	Total
Assets:						
Bonds available for sale:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$	\$ 3,483		\$ \$	\$	
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	1.004	34,681	1,024 14			35,705 26,800
Non-U.S. governments Corporate debt	1,004	25,782 149,625	1,487			151,112
RMBS		22,730	11,662			34,392
CMBS		5,010	4,905			9,915
CDO/ABS		3,492	5,060			8,552
Total bonds available for sale	1,004	244,803	24,152			269,959
Bond trading securities:						
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	266	6,528				6,794
Non-U.S. governments		2				2
Corporate debt		1,320				1,320
RMBS		1,331	396			1,727
CMBS CDO/ABS		1,424	803			2,227
CDO/ABS		3,969	8,545			12,514
Total bond trading securities	266	14,574	9,744			24,584
Equity securities available for sale:						
Common stock	3,002	3	24			3,029
Preferred stock	0.2	34	44			78
Mutual funds	83	22				105
Total equity securities available for sale	3,085	59	68			3,212
Equity securities trading	578	84				662
Mortgage and other loans receivable	105	134	<b>5.200</b>			134
Other invested assets	125	1,542	5,389			7,056
Derivative assets:	2	5,521	956			6,479
Interest rate contracts Foreign exchange contracts	2	104	930			104
Equity contracts	104	63	54			221
Commodity contracts	101	144	1			145
Credit contracts			60			60
Other contracts			38			38
Counterparty netting and cash collateral				(2,467)	(909)	(3,376)
Total derivative assets	106	5,832	1,109	(2,467)	(909)	3,671
Short-term investments	285	7,771				8,056
Separate account assets	54,430	2,907				57,337
Other assets		696				696

Total	\$ 59,879	\$ 278,402	\$ 40,462	\$ (2,467)	\$ (909) \$	375,367
Liabilities:						
Policyholder contract deposits	\$	\$	\$ 1,257	\$	\$ \$	1,257
Derivative liabilities:						
Interest rate contracts		5,582	224			5,806
Foreign exchange contracts		174				174
Equity contracts		114	7			121
Commodity contracts		146				146
Credit contracts			2,051			2,051
Other contracts		6	200			206
Counterparty netting and cash collateral				(2,467)	(1,976)	(4,443)
Total derivative liabilities		6,022	2,482	(2,467)	(1,976)	4,061
Long-term debt Other liabilities	30	7,711 1,050	344			8,055 1,080
Total	\$ 30	\$ 14,783	\$ 4,083	\$ (2,467)	\$ (1,976) \$	14,453

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents netting of derivative exposures covered by a qualifying master netting agreement.

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents cash collateral posted and received. Securities collateral posted for derivative transactions that is reflected in Fixed maturity securities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, and collateral received, not reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, was \$1.4 billion and \$143 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013 and \$1.9 billion and \$299 million, respectively, at December 31, 2012.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Transfers of Level 1 and Level 2 Assets and Liabilities

Our policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. Assets are transferred out of Level 1 when they are no longer transacted with sufficient frequency and volume in an active market. Conversely, assets are transferred from Level 2 to Level 1 when transaction volume and frequency are indicative of an active market. During the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, we transferred \$318 million and \$557 million of securities issued by Non-U.S. government entities from Level 1 to Level 2, respectively, as they are no longer considered actively traded. For similar reasons, during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, we transferred \$93 million of securities issued by the U.S. government and U.S. government-sponsored entities from Level 1 to Level 2. We had no material transfers from Level 1 to Level 2 for U.S. government-sponsored entities for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013. We had no material transfers from Level 2 to Level 1 during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013.

During the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, we transferred \$135.9 million of investments in securities issued by Non-U.S. governments from Level 1 to Level 2, as they were no longer considered actively traded. We had no material transfers from Level 2 to Level 1 during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Changes in Level 3 Recurring Fair Value Measurements** 

The following tables present changes during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 in Level 3 assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis, and the realized and unrealized gains (losses) related to the Level 3 assets and liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 and 2012:

(in millions)	Begi	Fair Value nning of iod(a)	Realize ar Unrealize Gai	nd ed ns es)C ed	ompr	Other ehensive Income (Loss)	Ι	Purchases, Sales, ssues and ttlements, Net	Tr	Gross ransfers in	Tra	Gross ansfers out	Fair Value End of Period	1	Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income on astruments Held at End of Period
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Assets: Bonds available for sale: Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions Non-U.S. governments Corporate debt	\$	1,019 18 1,449	\$	24 (1)	\$	(145)		69 4 8	\$	256	\$	(22) \$ (1) (59)	945 20 1,634	\$	
RMBS CMBS CDO/ABS		12,096 5,315 5,577		04 72		(144) (121) (76)	) )	1,529 263 381		9 7 198		(9) (10)	13,694 5,455 6,142		
CDOMBS		3,377		12		(10)		301		170		(10)	0,142		
Total bonds available for sale		25,474	2	99		(506)		2,254		470		(101)	27,890		
Bond trading securities: RMBS		730	(	<b>12</b> )				64					782		(12)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

CMBS CDO/ABS	776 8,842	(1) <b>569</b>		(41) (572)	93 133	(7)	820 8,972	(16) 336
Total bond trading securities	10,348	556		(549)	226	(7)	10,574	308
Equity securities available for sale: Common stock Preferred stock	22 49	(9)	6 (1)	57			76 48	
Total equity securities available for sale	71	(9)	5	57			124	
Other invested assets	5,467	108	23	42	218	(219)	5,639	
Total	\$ 41,360 \$	954 \$	(478) \$	1,804 \$	914 \$	(327) \$	44,227 \$	308
Liabilities: Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net:	\$ (1,047) \$	410 \$	\$	51 \$	\$	\$	(586) \$	637
Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net: Interest rate contracts	\$ (1,047) \$ 756	410 \$	\$	51 \$	\$	\$	(586) \$ 779	637
Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net: Interest rate contracts Equity contracts Commodity	\$ 756 66		\$		\$	\$	779 70	
Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net: Interest rate contracts Equity contracts Commodity contracts Credit	\$ 756 66 1	3 8	\$	20 (6)		\$	779 70 1	(7) (15)
Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net: Interest rate contracts Equity contracts Commodity contracts	\$ 756 66	3	\$ 8	20		\$	779 70	(7)
Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net: Interest rate contracts Equity contracts Commodity contracts Credit contracts Other	\$ 756 66 1 (1,775)	3 8 138		20 (6) 43		\$	779 70 1 (1,594)	(7) (15) (181)
Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net: Interest rate contracts Equity contracts Commodity contracts Credit contracts Other contracts  Total derivative	\$ 756 66 1 (1,775) (139)	3 8 138 13	8	20 (6) 43 13	2	\$	779 70 1 (1,594) (105)	(7) (15) (181) 10

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

(in millions)	Fair Value Beginning of Period(a)	Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (LossesCon Included in Income	Other nprehensive Income (Loss)	Purchases, Sales, Issues and Settlements, Net	Gross Transfers in	Gross Transfers out	Fair Value End of Period	Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income on Instruments Held at End of Period
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Assets: Bonds available for sale: Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions Non-U.S. governments Corporate debt RMBS CMBS CDO/ABS	\$ 1,024 14 1,487 11,662 5,124 4,841	\$ 25 \$ (4) 408 11 97	(150) (14) 339 20	\$ 205 6 30 1,266 188 1,020	\$ 1 332 19 161 379	\$ (159) \$ (1) (197) (49) (195)	945 20 1,634 13,694 5,455 6,142	\$
Total bonds available for sale	24,152	537	195	2,715	892	(601)	27,890	
Bond trading securities: RMBS CMBS CDO/ABS	396 812 8,536	10 11 853		138 (140) (1,009)		(114) (28)	782 820 8,972	(40) (42) 217
Total bond trading securities  Equity securities available for	9,744	874		(1,011)	1,109	(142)	10,574	135

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

sale:									
Common									
stock		24		5	47			76	
Preferred									
stock		44		4				48	
Stock		••		•				10	
T. ( 1									
Total equity									
securities									
available for									
sale		68		9	47			124	
Other invested									
assets		5,389	169	10	40	344	(313)	5,639	
assets		3,307	107	10	40	344	(313)	3,037	
m . 1	ф	20.252 A	1 <b>5</b> 00	214 0	1 501 6	2.245	(1.05C) A	44.225 Ф	125
Total	\$	39,353 \$	1,580 \$	214 \$	1,791 \$	2,345 \$	(1,056) \$	44,227 \$	135
Liabilities:									
Policyholder									
contract									
deposits	\$	(1,257) \$	615 \$	\$	56 \$	\$	\$	(586) \$	664
Derivative			·	·		·	·		
liabilities, net:									
Interest rate									
		732	14		33			779	(0)
contracts		132	14		33			119	(9)
Equity					(4.4)				(0-7)
contracts		47	36		(14)	1		70	(27)
Commodity									
contracts		1			(1)		1	1	1
Credit									
contracts		(1,991)	313		84			(1,594)	(396)
Other									
contracts		(162)	21	8	30	(2)		(105)	23
		(===)				(-)		(===)	
Total									
derivative		(4.000)	20.4		400	245		(0.40)	(400)
liabilities, net		(1,373)	384	8	132	(1)	1	(849)	(408)
Long-term									
debt <sup>(b)</sup>		(344)	(95)		22	(2)		(419)	22
		(- )							
Total	\$	(2.074) \$	904 \$	8 \$	210 \$	(2) ¢	1 \$	(1 954) ¢	278
Total	Þ	(2,974) \$	904 \$	9 9	210 \$	(3) \$	1 3	(1,854) \$	2/8

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

(in millions)		Fair Value ginning of riod(a)	Un	Net Realized and realized Gains (Losses)C Included	omp	Other orehensive Income (Loss)		Purchases, Sales, Issues and ettlements, Net	Т	Gross ransfers In	Tra	Gross ansfers Out	1	Fair Value End of Period	1	Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income on astruments Held at End of Period
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Assets: Bonds available for sale: Obligations of states, municipalities and political	ď	1.054	¢	21	ď.	(6)		<b>6</b> (6)		Ф 45	ď	(40)	¢	1.012	¢.	
subdivisions Non-U.S.	\$	1,054	\$	31	\$	(5)	) 5	\$ (63)	)	\$ 45	\$	(49)	\$	1,013	\$	
governments		15				(7)				5				13		
Corporate debt		1,323		(1)		(7)	)	5		55		(69)		1,306		
RMBS		13,240		195		10		(616)	)	7		(2,348)		10,488		
CMBS		4,173		2		14		492		12		(50)		4,643		
CDO/ABS		4,882		26		89		(91)	)	168				5,074		
Total bonds available for sale		24,687		253		94		(273)	)	292		(2,516)		22,537		
Bond trading securities:																
Corporate debt		5						(2)	)					3		
RMBS		314		(5)				(19)						290		(7)
CMBS		433		16				13		4		(9)		457		78
CDO/ABS		8,416		1,444				4,787				. ,		14,647		1,462
Total bond trading																
securities		9,168		1,455				4,779		4		(9)		15,397		1,533

Equity securities available for sale: Common stock Preferred	50	9	(21)	(19)	1		41	
stock	106		(31)	61	3		139	
Total equity securities available for sale	156	9	(31)	42	4		180	
Mortgage and other loans receivable Other invested	1						1	
assets	7,186	(32)	66	(68)	18	(121)	7,049	
Total	\$ 41,198	\$ 1,685	\$ 129	\$ 4,480	\$ 318	\$ (2,646)	\$ 45,164	\$ 1,533
Liabilities: Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net:	\$ (782)	\$ (408)	\$	\$ 2	\$	\$	\$ (1,188)	\$ 244
Interest rate contracts	778	46		(63)			761	10
Equity contracts	40	(23)		11			28	
Commodity contracts Credit	2			(2)		2	2	(1)
contracts Other	(2,705)	344		(226)			(2,587)	(122)
contracts	(37)	422	(7)	(490)	(42)		(154)	(15)
Total derivatives liabilities, net	(1,922)	789	(7)	(770)	(42)	2	(1,950)	(128)
Long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>	(575)	(268)		22		414	(407)	(25)
Total	\$ (3,279)	\$ 113	\$ (7)	\$ (746)	\$ (42)	\$ 416	\$ (3,545)	\$ 91

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

(in millions)	Fair Value Beginning of Period(a)	Net Realized and Unrealized Gains (Losses)Co Included in Income	Other omprehensive Income (Loss)	Issues and Settlements,	Gross Transfers In	Gross Transfers Out	Fair Value End of Period	Changes in Unrealized Gains (Losses) Included in Income on Instruments Held at End of Period
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Assets: Bonds available for sale: Obligations of states, municipalities								
and political subdivisions	\$ 960	\$ 32	\$ 11	\$ 37	\$ 45	\$ (72)	\$ 1,013	\$
Non-U.S. governments Corporate debt RMBS CMBS CDO/ABS	9 1,935 10,877 3,955 4,220	(17) 125 (67) 40	1 69 803 301 266	710 503	346 355 43	(1,029) (2,382) (92) (37)	13 1,306 10,488 4,643 5,074	
Total bonds available for sale	21,956	113	1,451	1,229	1,400	(3,612)	22,537	
Bond trading securities:	21,230	113	1,131	1,227	1,100	(3,012)	22,557	
Corporate debt RMBS CMBS CDO/ABS	7 303 554 8,432	28 49 3,065		(4) (38) (122) 3,150		(3) (60)	3 290 457 14,647	18 83 2,816
Total bond trading securities	9,296	3,142		2,986	36	(63)	15,397	2,917
Equity securities								

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

available for sale: Common stock Preferred stock	57 99	23	(12) (23)	(33) 69	6	(11)	41 139	
Total equity securities available for sale	156	25	(35)	36	9	(11)	180	
Mortgage and other loans receivable Other invested assets	1 6,618	(179)	276	33	760	(459)	1 7,049	
Total	\$ 38,027	\$ 3,101	\$ 1,692	\$ 4,284	\$ 2,205	\$ (4,145)	\$ 45,164	\$ 2,917
Liabilities: Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net: Interest rate contracts	\$ (918) 785	\$ (269) 46	\$	\$ (1)	\$	\$	\$ (1,188)	\$ 101
Foreign exchange contracts Equity contracts	2 28	(11)		(2) 13	(2)		28	
Commodity contracts	2			(2)		2	2	(3)
Credit contracts	(3,273)	201		485			(2,587)	(642)
Other contracts	33	12	2	(78)	(123)		(154)	24
Total derivatives liabilities, net	(2,423)	248	2	346	(125)	2	(1,950)	(659)
Long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>	(508)	(378)	(77)	136		420	(407)	54
Total	\$ (3,849)	\$ (399)	\$ (75)	\$ 481	\$ (125)	\$ 422	\$ (3,545)	\$ (504)

<sup>(</sup>a) Total Level 3 derivative exposures have been netted in these tables for presentation purposes only.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes guaranteed investment agreements (GIAs), notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Net realized and unrealized gains and losses related to Level 3 items shown above are reported in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income as follows:

(in millions)	Net stment ncome	Net Re C Gains (L	apital	other come	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Bonds available for sale Bond trading securities Equity securities available for sale Other invested assets Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net Long-term debt	\$ 239 (5) 107 15	\$	6 (9) (22) 410 (5)	\$ 54 561 23 152 (15)	\$ 299 556 (9) 108 410 162 (15)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Bonds available for sale Bond trading securities Equity securities available for sale Other invested assets Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net Long-term debt	\$ 234 1,290 5	\$	(9) 9 (41) (408) 72	\$ 28 165 4 717 (268)	\$ 253 1,455 9 (32) (408) 789 (268)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Bonds available for sale Bond trading securities Equity securities available for sale Other invested assets Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net Long-term debt	\$ 449 28 154 15	\$	13 (28) 615 17	\$ 75 846 43 352 (95)	\$ 537 874 169 615 384 (95)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Bonds available for sale Bond trading securities Equity securities available for sale Other invested assets Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net Long-term debt	\$ 465 2,839 (9) (1)	\$	(384) 25 (173) (269) 61	\$ 32 303 3 188 (378)	\$ 113 3,142 25 (179) (269) 248 (378)

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following tables present the gross components of purchases, sales, issues and settlements, net, shown above:

(in millions)	Pur	chases		Sales	Settlements	Purchases, Sales, Issues and Settlements, Net(a)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013						
Assets: Bonds available for sale:						
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	150	\$	(81)	\$	\$ 69
Non-U.S. governments	,	5	,	(-)	(1)	4
Corporate debt		211		(114)	(89)	8
RMBS		2,110			(581)	1,529
CMBS CDO (A DS		320		(18)	(39)	263
CDO/ABS		673			(292)	381
Total bonds available for sale		3,469		(213)	(1,002)	2,254
Total bolids dvallable for sale		0,107		(210)	(1,002)	2,201
Bond trading securities:						
RMBS		108			(44)	64
CMBS					(41)	(41)
CDO/ABS		129			(701)	(572)
Total bond trading securities		237			(786)	(549)
Total bolid duding securities		201			(700)	(545)
Equity securities available for sale		58		(1)		57
Other invested assets		205		(16)	(147)	42
Total assets	\$	3,969	\$	(230)	\$ (1,935)	\$ 1,804
T CALIBRATIA						
Liabilities: Policyholder contract deposits	\$		\$	(6)	\$ 57	\$ 51
Derivative liabilities, net	Ψ	2	Ψ	3	65	70
Long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>		_			3	3
Total liabilities	\$	2	\$	(3)	\$ 125	\$ 124
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Assets:						
Bonds available for sale: Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	97	\$	(158)	\$ (2)	\$ (63)
Non-U.S. governments	Ф	1	Φ	(138)	φ (2)	ψ (03)
Corporate debt		80		(52)	(23)	5
RMBS		198		(268)	(546)	(616)
CMBS		596		(69)	(35)	492
CDO/ABS		203			(294)	(91)

Total bonds available for sale	1,175	(548)	(900)	(273)
Bond trading securities: Corporate debt RMBS CMBS CDO/ABS	70 5,025	(49)	(2) (19) (8) (238)	(2) (19) 13 4,787
Total bond trading securities	5,095	(49)	(267)	4,779
Equity securities available for sale Other invested assets	56 134	(19) (29)	5 (173)	42 (68)
Total assets	\$ 6,460	\$ (645)	\$ (1,335)	\$ 4,480
Liabilities: Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net Long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>	\$	\$ (8)	\$ 10 (770) 22	\$ 2 (770) 22
Total liabilities	\$	\$ (8)	\$ (738)	\$ (746)

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

(in millions)	Pur	chases		Sales	Settlement		Purchases, Sales, Issues and Settlements, Net(a)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013							
Assets:							
Bonds available for sale:	ф	200	ф	(4.02)	ф	ф	207
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions Non-U.S. governments	\$	308	\$	(103)		<b>\$</b> (2)	205
Corporate debt		308		(114)	(16		30
RMBS		2,712		(231)	(1,21		1,266
CMBS		693		(164)	(34		188
CDO/ABS		1,471		(159)	(29	2)	1,020
Total bonds available for sale		5,500		(771)	(2,01	4)	2,715
Total bolids available for sale		2,200		(111)	(2,01	• • )	2,710
Bond trading securities:							
RMBS		213				5)	138
CMBS		19		(58)	(10		(140)
CDO/ABS		318			(1,32	7)	(1,009)
Total bond trading securities		550		(58)	(1,50	3)	(1,011)
C				, ,	, ,		, , ,
Equity securities available for sale		59		(11)		1)	47
Other invested assets		448		(46)	(36	<b>(2)</b>	40
Total assets	\$	6,557	•	(886)	\$ (3.88	(0) \$	1,791
Total assets	Ψ	0,337	Ψ	(000)	φ (3,00	<b>υ</b> ) φ	1,791
Liabilities:							
Policyholder contract deposits	\$		\$	(12)		8 \$	56
Derivative liabilities, net		5		(1)	12		132
Long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>					2	2	22
Total liabilities	\$	5	\$	(13)	\$ 21	8 \$	210
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012							
Assets:							
Bonds available for sale: Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions	\$	205	\$	(166)	\$	2) \$	37
Non-U.S. governments	Ψ	1	Ψ	(3)	Ψ	<i></i>	(2)
Corporate debt		141		(53)	(8)	6)	2
RMBS		2,110		(362)	(1,03		710
CMBS		722		(133)		6)	503
CDO/ABS		520		(4)	(53	7)	(21)

Total bonds available for sale	3,699	(721)	(1,749)	1,229
Bond trading securities: Corporate debt RMBS CMBS CDO/ABS	183 5,025	(106) (310)	(4) (38) (199) (1,565)	(4) (38) (122) 3,150
Total bond trading securities	5,208	(416)	(1,806)	2,986
Equity securities Other invested assets	67 400	(33) (33)	2 (334)	36 33
Total assets	\$ 9,374	\$ (1,203)	\$ (3,887)	\$ 4,284
Liabilities: Policyholder contract deposits Derivative liabilities, net Other long-term debt <sup>(b)</sup>	\$ 2	\$ (14)	\$ 13 344 136	\$ (1) 346 136
Total liabilities	\$ 2	\$ (14)	\$ 493	\$ 481

<sup>(</sup>a) There were no issuances during the three-and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair values of positions classified in Level 3 in the tables above. As a result, the unrealized gains (losses) on instruments held at June 30, 2013 and 2012 may include changes in fair value that were attributable to both observable (e.g., changes in market interest rates) and unobservable inputs (e.g., changes in unobservable long-dated volatilities).

Transfers of Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

We record transfers of assets and liabilities into or out of Level 3 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value. As a result, the Net realized and unrealized gains (losses) included in income or other comprehensive income as shown in the table above excludes \$17 million of net gains and \$55 million of net losses related to assets and liabilities transferred into Level 3 during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and includes \$10 million and \$12 million of net gains related to assets and liabilities transferred out of Level 3 during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

#### Transfers of Level 3 Assets

During the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, transfers into Level 3 assets included certain investments in private placement corporate debt, residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), collateralized debt obligations (CDO)/asset-backed securities (ABS), and investments in hedge funds and private equity funds.

The transfers of investments in RMBS, CMBS and CDO and certain ABS into Level 3 assets were due to decreases in market transparency and liquidity for individual security types.

Transfers of private placement corporate debt and certain ABS into Level 3 assets were primarily the result of limited market pricing information that required us to determine fair value for these securities based on inputs that are adjusted to better reflect our own assumptions regarding the characteristics of a specific security or associated market liquidity.

Certain investments in hedge funds were transferred into Level 3 as a result of limited market activity due to fund-imposed redemption restrictions.

Certain private equity fund investments were transferred into Level 3 due to these investments being carried at fair value and no longer being accounted for using the equity method of accounting, consistent with the changes in our ownership and the lack of ability to exercise more than minor influence over the respective investments.

Assets are transferred out of Level 3 when circumstances change such that significant inputs can be corroborated with market observable data. This may be due to a significant increase in market activity for the asset, a specific event, one or more significant input(s) becoming observable or a long-term interest rate significant to a valuation becoming short-term and thus observable. In addition, transfers out of Level 3 assets also occur when investments are no longer carried at fair value as the result of a change in the applicable accounting methodology, given changes in the nature and extent of our ownership interest.

During the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, transfers out of Level 3 assets primarily related to certain investments in municipal securities, private placement corporate debt, CMBS, CDO/ABS and investments in hedge funds.

Transfers of certain investments in municipal securities, CMBS and CDO/ABS out of Level 3 assets were based on consideration of market liquidity as well as related transparency of pricing and associated observable inputs for these investments.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Transfers of private placement corporate debt out of Level 3 assets were primarily the result of using observable pricing information that reflects the fair value of those securities without the need for adjustment based on our own assumptions regarding the characteristics of a specific security or the current liquidity in the market.

The removal or easing of fund-imposed redemption restrictions resulted in the transfer of certain hedge fund investments out of Level 3 assets.

#### Transfers of Level 3 Liabilities

There were no significant transfers of derivative or other liabilities into or out of Level 3 for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013.

We use various hedging techniques to manage risks associated with certain positions, including those classified within Level 3. Such techniques may include the purchase or sale of financial instruments that are classified within Level 1 and/or Level 2. As a result, the realized and unrealized gains (losses) for assets and liabilities classified within Level 3 presented in the table above do not reflect the related realized or unrealized gains (losses) on hedging instruments that are classified within Level 1 and/or Level 2.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Quantitative Information About Level 3 Fair Value Measurements** 

The table below presents information about the significant unobservable inputs used for recurring fair value measurements for certain Level 3 instruments, and includes only those instruments for which information about the inputs is reasonably available to us, such as data from pricing vendors and from internal valuation models. Because input information with respect to certain Level 3 instruments may not be reasonably available to us, balances shown below may not equal total amounts reported for such Level 3 assets and liabilities:

	Fair Value at			
(in millions)	June 30, 2013	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input(a)	Range (Weighted Average)(a)
Assets:				
Corporate debt	\$ 1,090	Discounted cash flow	Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	4.09% 10.93% (7.51%)
RMBS	12,119	Discounted cash flow	Constant prepayment rate <sup>(c)</sup>	0.00% 9.85% (4.61%)
			Loss severity <sup>(c)</sup>	42.79% 76.74% (59.76%)
			Constant default rate <sup>(c)</sup>	4.23% 12.92% (8.57%)
			Yield <sup>(c)</sup>	2.88% 7.41% (5.14%)
Certain CDO/ABS <sup>(d)</sup>	5,894	Discounted cash flow	Constant prepayment rate <sup>(c)</sup>	5.20% 14.00% (9.40%)
			Loss severity <sup>(c)</sup>	42.20% 64.60% (53.50%)
			Constant default rate <sup>(c)</sup>	3.20% 15.80% (8.30%)
			Yield <sup>(c)</sup>	5.60% 11.70% (9.50%)
Commercial mortgage backed securities	4,328	Discounted cash flow	Yield <sup>(b)</sup>	0.00% 17.11% (6.83%)
CDO/ABS Direct		Binomial Expansion	Recovery rate <sup>(b)</sup>	4.00% 63.00% (21.00%)
Investment Book	487	Technique (BET)	Diversity score <sup>(b)</sup>	5 41 (14)
			Weighted average life(b)	1.21 10.10 years (5.26 years)
Liabilities:				
Policyholder contract deposits GMWB	586	Discounted cash flow	Equity implied volatility <sup>(b)</sup>	6.00% 39.00%
			Base lapse rates(b)	1.00% 40.00%
			Dynamic lapse rates <sup>(b)</sup>	0.20% 60.00%
			Mortality rates <sup>(b)</sup>	0.50% 40.00%
			Utilization rates <sup>(b)</sup>	0.50% 25.00%
Derivative Liabilities Credit contracts	1,190	BET	Recovery rates <sup>(b)</sup>	4.00% 34.00% (17.00%)

Diversity score<sup>(b)</sup> 9 37 (14) Weighted average life<sup>(b)</sup> 4.82 10.10 years (5.99 years)

- (a) The unobservable inputs and ranges for the constant prepayment rate, loss severity and constant default rate relate to each of the individual underlying mortgage loans that comprise the entire portfolio of securities in the RMBS and CDO securitization vehicles and not necessarily to the securitization vehicle bonds (tranches) purchased by us. The ranges of these inputs do not directly correlate to changes in the fair values of the tranches purchased by us because there are other factors relevant to the specific tranches owned by us including, but not limited to, purchase price, position in the waterfall, senior versus subordinated position and attachment points.
- (b) Represents discount rates, estimates and assumptions that we believe would be used by market participants when valuing these assets and liabilities.
- (c) Information received from independent third-party valuation service providers.
- (d) Yield was the only input available for \$285 million of total fair value at June 30, 2013.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The ranges of reported inputs for Corporate debt, RMBS, CDO/ABS, and CMBS valued using a discounted cash flow technique consist of plus/minus one standard deviation in either direction from the value-weighted average. The preceding table does not give effect to our risk management practices that might offset risks inherent in these investments.

Sensitivity to Changes in Unobservable Inputs

We consider unobservable inputs to be those for which market data is not available and that are developed using the best information available to us about the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing the asset or liability. Relevant inputs vary depending on the nature of the instrument being measured at fair value. The following is a general description of sensitivities of significant unobservable inputs along with interrelationships between and among the significant unobservable inputs and their impact on the fair value measurements. The effect of a change in a particular assumption in the sensitivity analysis below is considered independently of changes in any other assumptions. In practice, simultaneous changes in assumptions may not always have a linear effect on the inputs. Interrelationships may also exist between observable and unobservable inputs. Such relationships have not been included in the discussion below. For each of the individual relationships described below, the inverse relationship would also generally apply.

Corporate Debt

Corporate debt securities included in Level 3 are primarily private placement issuances that are not traded in active markets or that are subject to transfer restrictions. Fair value measurements consider illiquidity and non-transferability. When observable price quotations are not available, fair value is determined based on discounted cash flow models using discount rates based on credit spreads, yields or price levels of publicly-traded debt of the issuer or other comparable securities, considering illiquidity and structure. The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of corporate debt is the yield. The yield is affected by the market movements in credit spreads and U.S. Treasury yields. In addition, the migration in credit quality of a given security generally has a corresponding effect on the fair value measurement of the securities. For example, a downward migration of credit quality would increase spreads. Holding U.S. Treasury rates constant, an increase in corporate credit spreads would decrease the fair value of corporate debt.

RMBS and Certain CDO/ABS

The significant unobservable inputs used in fair value measurements of RMBS and certain CDO/ABS valued by third-party valuation service providers are constant prepayment rates (CPR), constant default rates (CDR), loss severity, and yield. A change in the assumptions used for the probability of default will generally be accompanied by a corresponding change in the assumption used for the loss severity and an inverse change in the assumption used for prepayment rates. In general, increases in yield, CPR, CDR, and loss severity, in isolation, would result in a decrease in the fair value measurement. Changes in fair value based on variations in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship between the directional change of each input is not usually linear.

**CMBS** 

The significant unobservable input used in fair value measurements for CMBS is the yield. Prepayment assumptions for each mortgage pool are factored into the yield. CMBS generally feature a lower degree of prepayment risk than RMBS because commercial mortgages generally contain a penalty for prepayment. In general, increases in the yield would decrease the fair value of CMBS.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

CDO/ABS Direct Investment book

The significant unobservable inputs used for certain CDO/ABS securities valued using the BET are recovery rates, diversity score, and the weighted average life of the portfolio. An increase in recovery rates and diversity score will have a directionally similar corresponding impact on the fair value of the portfolio. An increase in the weighted average life will decrease the fair value.

Policyholder contract deposits

The significant unobservable inputs used for embedded derivatives in policyholder contract deposits measured at fair value, mainly guaranteed minimum withdrawal benefits (GMWB) for variable annuity products, are equity implied volatility, base and dynamic lapse rates, mortality rates and utilization rates. Mortality, lapse and utilization rates may vary significantly depending upon age groups and duration. In general, increases in volatility and utilization rates will increase the fair value of the liability associated with GMWB, while increases in lapse rates and mortality rates will decrease the fair value of the liability.

Derivative liabilities credit contracts

The significant unobservable inputs used for Derivatives liabilities — credit contracts are recovery rates, diversity scores, and the weighted average life of the portfolio. Our non-performance risk is also considered in the measurement of those liabilities. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for a discussion of our accounting policies and procedures regarding incorporation of our credit risk in fair value measurements.

An increase in recovery rates and diversity score will decrease the fair value of the liability. An increase in the weighted average life will increase the fair value measurement of the liability.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Investments in Certain Entities Carried at Fair Value Using Net Asset Value Per Share

The following table includes information related to our investments in certain other invested assets, including private equity funds, hedge funds and other alternative investments that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent). For these investments, which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis, we use the net asset value per share as a practical expedient to measure fair value.

(in millions)	Investment Category Includes	June 30, Fair Value Using Net Asset Value Per Share (or its equivalent) C	Unfunded	Fair Value Using Net Asset Value Per Share (or its	Unfunded
Investment Category Private equity funds: Leveraged buyout	Debt and/or equity investments made as part of a transaction in which assets of mature companies are acquired from the current shareholders, typically with the use of financial leverage	\$ 2,671	\$ 630	\$ 2,529	\$ 669
Real Estate / Infrastructure	Investments in real estate properties and infrastructure positions, including power plants and other energy generating facilities	286	40	251	52
Venture capital	Early-stage, high-potential, growth companies expected to generate a return through an eventual realization event, such as an initial public offering or sale of the company	144	14	157	16
Distressed	Securities of companies that are already in default, under bankruptcy protection, or troubled	191	41	184	36
Other	Includes multi-strategy and mezzanine strategies	126	182	112	100
Total private equity funds		3,418	907	3,233	873
Hedge funds: Event-driven	Securities of companies undergoing material structural changes, including	908	2	788	2

Long-short	Securities that the manager believes are undervalued, with corresponding short	1,492		1,3	18	
	positions to hedge market risk					
Macro	Investments that take long and short positions in financial instruments based on a top-down view of certain economic and capital market conditions	480		3	20	
Distressed	Securities of companies that are already in default, under bankruptcy protection or troubled	460	20	3	16	
Emerging markets	Investments in the financial markets of developing countries	221				
Other	Includes multi-strategy and relative value strategies	45			66	
Total hedge funds		3,606	22	2,8	08	2
Total		\$ 7,024	\$ 929	\$ 6,0	41	\$ 875

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Private equity fund investments included above are not redeemable, as distributions from the funds will be received when underlying investments of the funds are liquidated. Private equity funds are generally expected to have 10-year lives at their inception, but these lives may be extended at the fund manager's discretion, typically in one or two-year increments. At June 30, 2013, assuming average original expected lives of 10 years for the funds, 65 percent of the total fair value using net asset value or its equivalent above would have expected remaining lives of less than three years, 33 percent between three and seven years and 2 percent between seven and 10 years.

Under contractual terms, hedge fund investments included above are redeemable monthly (16 percent), quarterly (39 percent), semi-annually (23 percent) and annually (22 percent), with redemption notices ranging from one day to 180 days. At June 30, 2013, however, investments representing approximately 74 percent of the total fair value of the hedge fund investments cannot be redeemed, either in whole or in part, because the investments include various restrictions. The majority of these restrictions, which may have been put in place at a fund's inception or thereafter, have pre-defined end dates and are generally expected to be lifted by the end of 2015. The restrictions that do not have stated end dates were primarily put in place prior to 2009. The partial restrictions relate to certain hedge funds that hold at least one investment that the fund manager deems to be illiquid.

#### **Fair Value Option**

The following table presents the gains or losses recorded related to the eligible instruments for which AIG elected the fair value option\*:

	Gain (Los Mor Ended J	ths		Gain (Loss) Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in millions)	2013		2012	2013		2012	
Assets:							
Mortgage and other loans receivable	\$ 1	\$	9 \$	2	\$	31	
Bonds and equity securities	256		263	632		907	
Trading ML II interest						246	
Trading ML III interest			1,306			2,558	
Retained interest in AIA			(493)			1,302	
Alternative Investments <sup>(a)</sup>	122			206			
Other, including Short-term investments	2		9	5		13	
Liabilities:							
Long-term debt(b)	313		(218)	322		(664)	
Other liabilities	(2)		26	(6)		(22)	
Total gain (loss) <sup>(c)</sup>	\$ 692	\$	902 \$	1,161	\$	4,371	

- (a) Includes hedge funds, private equity funds, affordable housing partnerships and other investment partnerships.
- (b) Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.
- (c) Excludes discontinued operations.

\* We are required to carry other instruments such as derivatives, trading securities and certain other invested assets at fair value with changes in fair value recorded through Net income. We recognized gains of \$606 million and \$605 million for the three-and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and losses of \$13 million and gains of \$554 million for the three-and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively, related to these financial instruments.

See Notes 6 and 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for additional information about AIG's policies for electing the fair value option and for recognizing, measuring, and disclosing interest and dividend income and interest expense.

We recognized gains of \$19 million and losses of \$15 million during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and gains of \$63 million and losses of \$495 million during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively, attributable to the observable effect of changes in credit spreads on our own liabilities for which the fair value option was elected. We calculate the effect of these credit spread changes using discounted

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

cash flow techniques that incorporate current market interest rates, our observable credit spreads on these liabilities and other factors that mitigate the risk of nonperformance such as cash collateral posted.

The following table presents the difference between fair values and the aggregate contractual principal amounts of mortgage and other loans receivable and long-term debt for which the fair value option was elected:

		une 30, 2013 Outstanding			December 31, 2012 Outstanding									
(in millions)	Fair Value	Principal Amount	D	ifference		Fair Value		Principal Amount	Di	fference				
Assets: Mortgage and other loans receivable	\$ 59	\$ 58	\$	1	\$	134	\$	141	\$	(7)				
Liabilities: Long-term debt*	\$ 7,013	\$ 5,297		1,716	\$	8,055	\$	5,705	\$	2,350				

<sup>\*</sup> Includes GIAs, notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable.

There were no mortgage or other loans receivable for which the fair value option was elected that were 90 days or more past due or in non-accrual status at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

#### FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS ON A NON-RECURRING BASIS

The following table presents assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis at the time of impairment and the related impairment charges recorded during the periods presented:

		Assets at Non-Recu			7	Impairment Charges Three Months Ended June 30,Six Months Ended June 30,												
(in millions)	Level 1	Level 2	Leve	3	Total		2013		2012		2013		2012					
June 30, 2013 Alternative investments Other assets	\$	\$ 9 \$ 9		74 \$ 59	1,774 68 1,842		80 11 91	\$	83		159 24 183	\$	176 8					
December 31, 2012 Alternative investments Other assets	\$	\$	\$ 2,0	62 \$ 18	2,062 21													

Total \$ \$ 3 \$ 2,080 \$ 2,083

#### FAIR VALUE INFORMATION ABOUT FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS NOT MEASURED AT FAIR VALUE

The following table presents the carrying value and estimated fair value of our financial instruments not measured at fair value and indicates the level in the fair value hierarchy of the estimated fair value measurement based on the observability of the inputs used:

(in millions)	Level	1	Level 2		Level 3		Total		arrying Value	
June 30, 2013										
Assets:										
Mortgage and other loans receivable	\$	\$	522	\$	19,846	\$	20,368	\$	19,798	
Other invested assets			63		3,583		3,646		4,862	
Short-term investments			14,116				14,116		14,116	
Cash	1,7	62					1,762		1,762	
Liabilities:										
Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type			4.55		110.220		110.40		102 201	
contracts			157		118,328		118,485		103,391	
Other liabilities			5,319		752		6,071		6,074	
Long-term debt			37,385		1,983		39,368		35,601	
D 1 21 2012										
December 31, 2012 Assets:										
Mortgage and other loans receivable	\$	9	823	\$	19,396	\$	20,219	\$	19,348	
Other invested assets	φ	4	237	φ	3,521	φ	3,758	φ	4,932	
Short-term investments			20,752		3,321		20,752		20,752	
Cash	1,1:	51	20,732				1,151		1,151	
Liabilities:	-,						1,101		1,101	
Policyholder contract deposits associated with investment-type										
contracts			245		123,860		124,105		105,979	
Other liabilities			3,981		818		4,799		4,800	
Long-term debt			43,966		1,925		45,891		40,445	

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### 6. INVESTMENTS

#### Securities Available for Sale

The following table presents the amortized cost or cost and fair value of our available for sale securities:

(in millions)		ortized Cost or Cost	Gro Unrealiz Gai	ed	Unr	Gross realized Losses		Fair Value	Tei Impa	er-Than- mporary nirments AOCI(a)
June 30, 2013										
Bonds available for sale:										
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$	3,346	<b>\$</b> 2	19	\$	(35)	\$	3,530	\$	
Obligations of states, municipalities and political	Ψ	0,010	_		Ψ	(60)	Ψ	0,000	Ψ	
subdivisions		31,481	1,5			(318)		32,728		2
Non-U.S. governments		22,885	1,0			(297)		23,629		0.0
Corporate debt  Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:		137,184	9,2	85		(2,512)		143,957		82
RMBS		34,199	3,2	28		(445)		36,982		1,618
CMBS		10,437		91		(347)		10,681		43
CDO/ABS		9,162	7	<b>'02</b>		(142)		9,722		81
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized		53,798	4,5	21		(934)		57,385		1,742
Conateranzeu		33,190	4,5	041		(934)		31,303		1,742
Total bonds available for sale <sup>(b)</sup>		248,694	16,6	31		(4,096)		261,229		1,826
								ĺ		
Equity securities available for sale:										
Common stock		1,356	1,5			(24)		2,893		
Preferred stock		55		26 11		(11)		81 179		
Mutual funds		179		11		(11)		1/9		
Total equity securities available for sale		1,590	1,5	98		(35)		3,153		
		_,				(00)		0,200		
Total	\$	250,284	\$ 18,2	29	\$	(4,131)	\$	264,382	\$	1,826
December 31, 2012 Bonds available for sale: U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$	3,161	\$ 3	323	\$	(1)	\$	3,483	\$	
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions		33,042	2,6	685		(22)		35,705		2

Non-U.S. governments	25,449	1,395	(44)	26,800	
Corporate debt	135,728	15,848	(464)	151,112	115
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:					
RMBS	31,330	3,379	(317)	34,392	1,330
CMBS	9,449	770	(304)	9,915	(79)
CDO/ABS	7,990	806	(244)	8,552	82
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and					
collateralized	48,769	4,955	(865)	52,859	1,333
Total bonds available for sale(b)	246,149	25,206	(1,396)	269,959	1,450
	,	,,	(-,-,-,	,	-,
Equity securities available for sale:					
Common stock	1,492	1,574	(37)	3,029	
Preferred stock	55	23		78	
Mutual funds	93	12		105	
Total equity securities available for sale	1,640	1,609	(37)	3,212	
Total	\$ 247,789	\$ 26,815	\$ (1,433)	\$ 273,171	\$ 1,450

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents the amount of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive income. Amount includes unrealized gains and losses on impaired securities relating to changes in the value of such securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

<sup>(</sup>b) At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, bonds available for sale held by us that were below investment grade or not rated totaled \$31.0 billion and \$29.6 billion, respectively.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Securities Available for Sale in a Loss Position

The following table summarizes the fair value and gross unrealized losses on our available for sale securities in a loss position, aggregated by major investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position:

Fair Unrealized Value   Fair Unrealized Value   Fair Unrealized Value   Losses   Value   Losses
June 30, 2013         Bonds available for sale:         U.S. government and government sponsored entities       \$ 889 \$ 33 \$ 10 \$ 2 \$ 899 \$ 35         Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions       4,623 298 88 20 4,711 318         Non-U.S. governments       3,995 273 159 24 4,154 297         Corporate debt       34,380 2,274 2,247 238 36,627 2,512         RMBS       7,164 272 1,048 173 8,212 445
Bonds available for sale: U.S. government and government sponsored entities \$ 889 \$ 33 \$ 10 \$ 2 \$ 899 \$ 35  Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions \$ 4,623 \$ 298 \$ 88 \$ 20 \$ 4,711 \$ 318  Non-U.S. governments \$ 3,995 \$ 273 \$ 159 \$ 24 \$ 4,154 \$ 297  Corporate debt \$ 34,380 \$ 2,274 \$ 2,247 \$ 238 \$ 36,627 \$ 2,512  RMBS \$ 7,164 \$ 272 \$ 1,048 \$ 173 \$ 8,212 \$ 445
U.S. government and government sponsored entities \$ 889 \$ 33 \$ 10 \$ 2 \$ 899 \$ 35  Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions \$ 4,623 \$ 298 \$ 88 \$ 20 \$ 4,711 \$ 318  Non-U.S. governments \$ 3,995 \$ 273 \$ 159 \$ 24 \$ 4,154 \$ 297  Corporate debt \$ 34,380 \$ 2,274 \$ 2,247 \$ 238 \$ 36,627 \$ 2,512  RMBS \$ 7,164 \$ 272 \$ 1,048 \$ 173 \$ 8,212 \$ 445
entities \$ 889 \$ 33 \$ 10 \$ 2 \$ 899 \$ 35  Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions \$ 4,623 \$ 298 \$ 88 \$ 20 \$ 4,711 \$ 318  Non-U.S. governments \$ 3,995 \$ 273 \$ 159 \$ 24 \$ 4,154 \$ 297  Corporate debt \$ 34,380 \$ 2,274 \$ 2,247 \$ 238 \$ 36,627 \$ 2,512  RMBS \$ 7,164 \$ 272 \$ 1,048 \$ 173 \$ 8,212 \$ 445
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions       4,623       298       88       20       4,711       318         Non-U.S. governments       3,995       273       159       24       4,154       297         Corporate debt       34,380       2,274       2,247       238       36,627       2,512         RMBS       7,164       272       1,048       173       8,212       445
political subdivisions       4,623       298       88       20       4,711       318         Non-U.S. governments       3,995       273       159       24       4,154       297         Corporate debt       34,380       2,274       2,247       238       36,627       2,512         RMBS       7,164       272       1,048       173       8,212       445
Non-U.S. governments     3,995     273     159     24     4,154     297       Corporate debt     34,380     2,274     2,247     238     36,627     2,512       RMBS     7,164     272     1,048     173     8,212     445
Corporate debt         34,380         2,274         2,247         238         36,627         2,512           RMBS         7,164         272         1,048         173         8,212         445
RMBS 7,164 272 1,048 173 8,212 445
CDO/ABS 1,691 35 1,038 107 2,729 142
Total bonds available for sale 55,259 3,394 5,696 702 60,955 4,096
7,000
Equity securities available for sale:
Common stock 116 22 7 2 123 24
Preferred stock 5
Mutual funds 132 11 132 11
Total equity securities available for sale 253 33 7 2 260 35
Total \$ 55,512 \$ 3,427 \$ 5,703 \$ 704 \$ 61,215 \$ 4,131
December 31, 2012
Bonds available for sale:
U.S. government and government sponsored
entities \$ 153 \$ 1 \$ \$ 153 \$ 1
Obligations of states, municipalities and
political
subdivisions 692 11 114 11 806 22
Non-U.S. governments 1,555 19 442 25 1,997 44
Corporate debt 8,483 201 3,229 263 11,712 464
RMBS 597 28 1,661 289 2,258 317
CMBS 404 8 1,481 296 1,885 304
CDO/ABS 393 3 1,624 241 2,017 244

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Total bonds available for sale	12,277	271	8,551	1,125	20,828	1,396
Equity securities available for sale: Common stock Mutual funds	247 3	36	18	1	265 3	37
Total equity securities available for sale	250	36	18	1	268	37
Total	\$ 12,527	\$ 307	\$ 8,569	\$ 1,126	\$ 21,096	\$ 1,433

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

At June 30, 2013, we held 6,313 and 125 individual fixed maturity and equity securities, respectively, that were in an unrealized loss position, of which 713 individual fixed maturity securities were in a continuous unrealized loss position for longer than 12 months. We did not recognize the unrealized losses in earnings on these fixed maturity securities at June 30, 2013 because we neither intend to sell the securities nor do we believe that it is more likely than not that we will be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Furthermore, we expect to recover the entire amortized cost basis of these securities. In performing this evaluation, we considered the recovery periods for securities in previous periods of broad market declines. For fixed maturity securities with significant declines, we performed fundamental credit analyses on a security-by-security basis, which included consideration of credit enhancements, expected defaults on underlying collateral, review of relevant industry analyst reports and forecasts and other available market data.

Contractual Maturities of Securities Available for Sale

The following table presents the amortized cost and fair value of fixed maturity securities available for sale by contractual maturity:

L 20, 2012		Total Fixed I Securit Available f	ie	S	Fixed Maturity Securities in a Loss Position Available for Sale Amortized Fair					
June 30, 2013		Amortized				Amortizea		rair		
(in millions)		Cost	F	'air Value		Cost		Value		
Due in one vises or less	\$	10 279	¢	10.572	¢	506	¢	512		
Due in one year or less	Þ	10,378	ф	- /	ф	526	ф			
Due after one year through five years		51,826		54,692		7,290		7,112		
Due after five years through ten years		70,605		73,791		18,414		17,515		
Due after ten years		62,087		64,789		23,323		21,252		
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized		53,798		57,385		15,498		14,564		
Total	\$	248,694	\$	261,229	\$	65,051	\$	60,955		

Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because certain borrowers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The following table presents the gross realized gains and gross realized losses from sales or redemptions of our available for sale securities:

		Thre	Three Months Ended June 30,								Six Months Ended June 30,							
		20	13		2012				20		2012							
		Gross	Gross		Gross		Gross		Gross		Gross		Gross		Gross			
	R	ealized	Realized	R	Realized	R	<b>Realized</b>	1	Realized	1	Realized	R	ealized	R	ealized			
(in millions)		Gains	Losses		Gains		Losses		Gains		Losses		Gains		Losses			
Fixed maturity securities Equity securities	\$	1,329 46	\$ 56 6	\$	875 14	\$	23 1	\$	1,700 83	\$	127 9	\$	1,365 465	\$	39 4			

Total \$ 1,375 \$ 62 \$ 889 \$ 24 \$ 1,783 \$ 136 \$ 1,830 \$ 43

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, the aggregate fair value of available for sale securities sold was \$12.2 billion and \$19.2 billion, respectively, which resulted in net realized capital gains of \$1.3 billion and \$1.6 billion, respectively.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, the aggregate fair value of available for sale securities sold was \$10.6 billion and \$21.5 billion, respectively, which resulted in net realized capital gains of \$0.9 billion and \$1.8 billion, respectively.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

# **Trading Securities**

The following table presents the fair value of our trading securities:

	June 30,	2013	December	31, 2012
(in millions)	Fair Value	Percent of Total	Fair Value	Percent of Total
Fixed maturity securities:				
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$ 6,061	25%	\$ 6,794	27%
Obligations of states, territories and political subdivisions	181	1		
Non-U.S. governments	2		2	
Corporate debt	1,098	4	1,320	5
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:				
RMBS	2,186	9	1,727	7
CMBS	1,701	7	2,227	9
CDO/ABS and other collateralized(a)	12,553	51	12,506	50
Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	16,440	67	16,460	66
Other	7		8	
Total fixed maturity securities	23,789	97	24,584	98
Equity securities	758	3	662	2
Total(b)	\$ 24,547	100%	\$ 25,246	100%

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes \$0.8 billion of U.S. Government agency backed ABS.

#### **Net Investment Income**

The following table presents the components of Net investment income:

<b>Three Months</b>	<b>Six Months Ended</b>
Ended	<b>June 30,</b>

<sup>(</sup>b) Securities presented herein are measured at fair value based on our election of the fair value option.

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

(in millions)		2013	2012	2013	2	2012
Fixed maturity securities, including short-term investments	\$	2,919	\$ 3,180	\$ 5,964	\$ 6	,284
Change in fair value of ML II						246
Change in fair value of ML III			1,306		2	,558
Change in fair value of AIA securities including realized gain			(493)		1	,302
Equity securities		<b>(12)</b>	21	25		32
Interest on mortgage and other loans		<b>290</b>	264	<b>570</b>		529
Alternative investments*		738	350	1,604		855
Real estate		36	32	<b>67</b>		58
Other investments		28	(22)	81		1
Total investment income		3,999	4,638	8,311	11	,865
Investment expenses		155	157	303		279
Net investment income	\$	3,844	\$ 4,481	\$ 8,008	\$ 11	,586

<sup>\*</sup> Includes hedge funds, private equity funds, affordable housing partnerships and other investment partnerships.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Net Realized Capital Gains and Losses** 

The following table presents the components of Net realized capital gains (losses):

	Three Months Ended					Six Months Ended						
	June 30,				June 30,							
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013		2012				
Sales of fixed maturity securities	\$	1,273	\$	852	\$	1,573	\$	1,326				
Sales of equity securities Other-than-temporary impairments:		40		13		74		461				
Severity		(3)		(10)		(5)		(14)				
Change in intent				(2)		(3)		(22)				
Foreign currency declines				(1)				(6)				
Issuer-specific credit events		(82)		(202)		(145)		(788)				
Adverse projected cash flows		(1)		(1)		<b>(7)</b>		(4)				
Provision for loan losses		(2)		24		(5)		26				
Foreign exchange transactions		82		185		411		(47)				
Derivative instruments		288		(397)		17		(659)				
Other		(4)		(62)		(19)		(125)				
Net realized capital gains	\$	1,591	\$	399	\$	1,891	\$	148				

#### **Change in Unrealized Appreciation of Investments**

The following table presents the increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation of our available for sale securities:

	T	hree Months June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in millions)		2013	2012	2013	2012	
Increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation of investments:						
Fixed maturities	\$	(10,123) \$	2,026 \$	(11,275) \$	5,013	
Equity securities		(16)	(30)	(9)	(590)	
Other investments		55	84	7	368	

Total Increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation of investments \$ (10,084) \$ 2,080 \$ (11,277) \$ 4,791

**Evaluating Investments for Other-Than-Temporary Impairments** 

For a discussion of our policy for evaluating investments for other-than-temporary impairments, see Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### Credit Impairments

The following table presents a rollforward of the cumulative credit loss component of other-than-temporary impairments recognized in earnings for our available for sale fixed maturity securities, and includes structured, corporate, municipal and sovereign fixed maturity securities:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,				
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013		2012	
Balance, beginning of period Increases due to:	\$	4,603	\$	6,464	\$	5,164	\$	6,504	
Credit impairments on new securities subject to impairment losses		10		35		27		172	
Additional credit impairments on previously impaired securities Reductions due to:		12		69		30		376	
Credit impaired securities fully disposed for which there was no prior intent or requirement to sell		(167)		(248)		(558)		(518)	
Accretion on securities previously impaired due to credit* Other		(222)		(231)		(427)		(453) 9	
Balance, end of period	\$	4,236	\$	6,090	\$	4,236	\$	6,090	

<sup>\*</sup> Represents both accretion recognized due to changes in cash flows expected to be collected over the remaining expected term of the credit impaired securities and the accretion due to the passage of time.

Purchased Credit Impaired (PCI) Securities

Since 2011, we have purchased certain RMBS securities that have experienced deterioration in credit quality since their issuance. We determined, based on our expectations as to the timing and amount of cash flows expected to be received, that it was probable at the date of acquisition that we would not collect all contractually required payments for these PCI securities, including both principal and interest after considering the effects of prepayments. At acquisition, the timing and amount of the undiscounted future cash flows expected to be received on each PCI security was determined based on our best estimate using key assumptions, such as interest rates, default rates and prepayment speeds. At acquisition, the difference between the undiscounted expected future cash flows of the PCI securities and the recorded investment in the securities represents the initial accretable yield, which is to be accreted into net investment income over their remaining lives on a level-yield basis. Additionally, the difference between the contractually required payments on the PCI securities and the undiscounted expected future cash flows represents the non-accretable difference at acquisition. The accretable yield and the non-accretable difference will change over time, based on actual payments received and changes in estimates of undiscounted expected future cash flows, which are discussed further below.

On a quarterly basis, the undiscounted expected future cash flows associated with PCI securities are re-evaluated based on updates to key assumptions. Declines in undiscounted expected future cash flows due to further credit deterioration as well as changes in the expected timing of the cash flows can result in the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge, as PCI securities are subject to our policy for evaluating investments for other-than-temporary impairment. Changes to undiscounted expected future cash flows due solely to the changes in the contractual benchmark interest rates on variable rate PCI securities will change the accretable yield prospectively. Significant increases in undiscounted expected future cash flows for reasons other than interest rate changes are recognized prospectively as adjustments to the accretable yield.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following tables present information on our PCI securities, which are included in bonds available for sale:

(in millions)	At Date of Acquisition
Contractually required payments (principal and interest)	\$ 23,138
Cash flows expected to be collected*	18,213
Recorded investment in acquired securities	11,920

<sup>\*</sup> Represents undiscounted expected cash flows, including both principal and interest.

(in millions)	June	2 30, 2013	December 31, 2012			
Outstanding principal balance	\$	14,266	\$	11,791		
Amortized cost		9,676		7,718		
Fair value		10,801		8,823		

The following table presents activity for the accretable yield on PCI securities:

	Three Months Ended June 30,					Six Months Ended June 30,				
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013		2012		
Balance, beginning of period Newly purchased PCI securities	\$	5,114 761	\$	5,146 196	\$	4,766 1,106	\$	4,135 1,418		
Disposals				(121)		(60)		(168)		
Accretion Effect of changes in interest rate indices Net reclassification from non-accretable difference, including effects of		(170) 22		(177) (133)		(330) 106		(345) (161)		
prepayments		174		39		313		71		
Balance, end of period	\$	5,901	\$	4,950	\$	5,901	\$	4,950		

#### **Pledged Investments**

We enter into financing transactions whereby certain securities are transferred to financial institutions in exchange for cash or other liquid collateral. Securities transferred by us under these financing transactions may be sold or repledged by the counterparties. As collateral for the securities transferred by us, counterparties transfer assets to us, such as cash or high quality fixed maturity securities. Collateral levels are monitored daily and are generally maintained at an agreed-upon percentage of the fair value of the transferred securities during the life of the transactions. Where we receive fixed maturity securities as collateral, we do not have the right to sell or repledge the collateral unless an event of default occurs by the counterparties. At the termination of the transactions, we and our counterparties are obligated to return the collateral provided and the securities transferred, respectively. We treat these transactions as secured financing arrangements.

Secured financing transactions also include securities sold under agreements to repurchase (repurchase agreements), in which we transfer securities in exchange for cash, with an agreement by us to repurchase the same or substantially similar securities. In the majority of these repurchase agreements, the securities transferred by us may be sold or repledged by the counterparties. Repurchase agreements entered into by our Direct Investment book (DIB) are carried at fair value based on market-observable interest rates. All other repurchase agreements are recorded at their contracted repurchase amounts plus accrued interest.

## ITEM 1/NOTE 6. INVESTMENTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following table presents the fair value of securities pledged to counterparties under secured financing transactions:

(in millions)	June	30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Securities available for sale	\$	4,291	\$ 8,180
Trading securities		2,804	2,985

We also enter into agreements in which we purchase securities under agreements to resell (reverse repurchase agreements), which are accounted for as secured financing transactions and reported as short-term investments or other assets, depending on their terms. These agreements are recorded at their contracted resale amounts plus accrued interest, other than those that are accounted for at fair value. Such agreements entered into by the DIB are carried at fair value based on market observable interest rates. In all reverse repurchase transactions, we take possession of or obtain a security interest in the related securities, and we have the right to sell or repledge the collateral received.

The following table presents information on the fair value of securities pledged to us under reverse repurchase agreements:

(in millions)	June	30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Securities collateral pledged to us Amount repledged by us	\$	8,548 7	\$ 11,039 33

Insurance Statutory and Other Deposits

Total carrying values of cash and securities deposited by our insurance subsidiaries under requirements of regulatory authorities or other insurance-related arrangements, including certain annuity-related obligations and certain reinsurance treaties, were \$6.9 billion and \$8.9 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Other Pledges

Certain of our subsidiaries are members of Federal Home Loan Banks (FHLBs) and such membership requires the members to own stock in these FHLBs. We owned an aggregate of \$64 million and \$84 million of stock in FHLBs at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. To the extent we borrow from the FHLB, our ownership interest in the stock of FHLBs will be pledged to the FHLB. In addition, we have pledged securities available for sale with a fair value of \$75 million and \$341 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, associated with advances from the FHLBs.

Certain GIAs have provisions that require collateral to be posted or payments to be made by us upon a downgrade of our long-term debt ratings. The actual amount of collateral required to be posted to the counterparties in the event of such downgrades, and the aggregate amount of payments that we could be required to make, depend on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions and other factors prevailing at and after the time of the downgrade. The fair value of securities pledged as collateral with respect to these obligations

approximated \$4.3 billion and \$4.4 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. This collateral primarily consists of securities of the U.S. government and government sponsored entities and generally cannot be repledged or resold by the counterparties.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 7. LENDING ACTIVITIES

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### 7. LENDING ACTIVITIES

The following table presents the composition of Mortgage and other loans receivable:

(in millions)	June	2 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Commercial mortgages* Life insurance policy loans Commercial loans, other loans and notes receivable	\$	14,673 2,865 2,700	\$ 13,788 2,952 3,147
Total mortgage and other loans receivable Allowance for losses		20,238 (381)	19,887 (405)
Mortgage and other loans receivable, net	\$	19,857	\$ 19,482

<sup>\*</sup> Commercial mortgages primarily represent loans for office, retail and industrial properties, with exposures in California and New York representing the largest geographic concentrations (aggregating approximately 20 percent and 17 percent at June 30, 2013, respectively, and approximately 22 percent and 15 percent at December 31, 2012, respectively). Approximately 99 percent of the commercial mortgages held at such respective dates were current as to payments of principal and interest.

The following table presents the credit quality indicators for commercial mortgages:

June 30, 2013 (dollars in	Number of						Cl	lass	8					Percent of
millions)		par	tments	C	Offices	]	Retail	Iı	ndustrial	Hotel	(	Others	Total	Total \$
Credit Quality Indicator: In good standing Restructured <sup>(a)</sup> 90 days or less delinquent >90 days delinquent or	993 6 3	\$	2,264 49	\$	4,642 188	\$	2,873 7	\$	1,689	\$ 1,223	\$	1,659 22	\$ 14,350 266	98% 2
in process of foreclosure	8				31		26						57	
Total <sup>(b)</sup>	1,010	\$	2,313	\$	4,861	\$	2,906	\$	1,689	\$ 1,223	\$	1,681	\$ 14,673	100%
Valuation allowance		\$	3	\$	81	\$	24	\$	20	\$ 1	\$	41	\$ 170	1%

- (a) Loans that have been modified in troubled debt restructurings and are performing according to their restructured terms. For additional discussion of troubled debt restructurings see Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report.
- (b) Does not reflect valuation allowances.

#### Allowance for Credit Losses

See Note 8 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for a discussion of our accounting policy for evaluating mortgage and other loans receivable for impairment.

The following table presents a rollforward of the changes in the allowance for losses on Mortgage and other loans receivable:

			20	)13			2012							
Six Months Ended June 30, (in millions)	Commercial Mortgages			Other Loans		Total	Commercial Mortgages			Other Loans	Total			
(in millions)	1410	nigages		Loans		Total	141	ioi igages		Loans		otai		
Allowance, beginning of year	\$	159	\$	246	\$	405	\$	305	\$	435	\$	740		
Loans charged off				(26)		(26)		(5)		(5)		(10)		
Recoveries of loans previously charged off		3		2		5		4				4		
Net charge-offs		3		(24)		(21)		(1)		(5)		(6)		
Provision for loan losses		8		(6)		2		(42)		20		(22)		
Other				(5)		(5)				(4)		(4)		
Activity of discontinued operations										(24)		(24)		
Allowance, end of period	\$	170*	\$	211	\$	381	\$	262*	\$	422	\$	684		

<sup>\*</sup> Of the total allowance at the end of the period, \$58 million and \$70 million relates to individually assessed credit losses on \$131 million and \$382 million of commercial mortgage loans at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

No significant loans were modified in a troubled debt restructuring during the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 8. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### 8. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

We enter into various arrangements with variable interest entities (VIEs) in the normal course of business. Our involvement with VIEs is primarily through our insurance companies as a passive investor in debt securities (rated and unrated) and equity interests issued by VIEs. Our exposure is generally limited to those interests held.

For VIEs with attributes consistent with that of an investment company or a money market fund, the primary beneficiary is the party or group of related parties that absorbs a majority of the expected losses of the VIE, receives the majority of the expected residual returns of the VIE, or both.

For all other VIEs, the primary beneficiary is the entity that has both (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly affect the VIE's economic performance and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits that could be potentially significant to the VIE. While also considering these factors, the consolidation conclusion depends on the breadth of our decision-making ability and our ability to influence activities that significantly affect the economic performance of the VIE.

#### **Exposure to Loss**

AIG's total off-balance sheet exposure associated with VIEs, primarily consisting of commitments to real estate and investment funds, was \$0.2 billion at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

The following table presents the total assets and total liabilities associated with our variable interests in consolidated VIEs:

		VIE	Assets	(a)	<b>VIE Liabilities</b>						
	Ju	ne 30,	Dece	mber 31,	Ju	ne 30,	Dece	mber 31,			
(in billions)		2013		2012		2013		2012			
ALICO SPV(b)	\$		\$	0.6	\$	0.1	\$	0.1			
Real estate and investment funds(c)		1.0		1.4		0.1		0.2			
Securitization vehicles		4.9		2.4		0.1					
Structured investment vehicles		1.5		1.7		0.1		0.1			
Affordable housing partnerships		2.2		2.3		0.3		0.2			
Other		3.2		3.3		0.8		1.3			
Total	\$	12.8	\$	11.7	\$	1.5	\$	1.9			

- (a) The assets of each VIE can be used only to settle specific obligations of that VIE.
- (b) On May 1, 2013, escrowed funds totaling \$547 million were released in accordance with the ALICO stock purchase agreement. See Note 10 for additional information.
- (c) At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, off-balance sheet exposure with respect to real estate and investment funds was \$50.9 million and \$48.7 million, respectively.

We calculate our maximum exposure to loss to be (i) the amount invested in the debt or equity of the VIE, (ii) the notional amount of VIE assets or liabilities where we have also provided credit protection to the VIE with the VIE as the referenced obligation, and (iii) other commitments and guarantees to the VIE. Interest holders in VIEs sponsored by us generally have recourse only to the assets and cash flows of the VIEs and do not have recourse to us, except in limited circumstances when we have provided a guarantee to the VIE's interest holders.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 8. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following table presents total assets of unconsolidated VIEs in which we hold a variable interest, as well as our maximum exposure to loss associated with these VIEs:

		osure to l	re to Loss					
(in billions)	Total VIE Assets	On	-Balance Sheet	Off	-Balance Sheet	Total		
June 30, 2013 Real estate and investment funds Affordable housing partnerships Other	\$ 17.7 0.5 1.1	\$	2.2 0.5 0.1	\$	0.2	\$	2.4 0.5 0.1	
Total	\$ 19.3	\$	2.8	\$	0.2	\$	3.0	
December 31, 2012 Real estate and investment funds Affordable housing partnerships Other	\$ 16.7 0.5 1.0	\$	1.8 0.5 0.1	\$	0.2	\$	2.0 0.5 0.1	
Total	\$ 18.2	\$	2.4	\$	0.2	\$	2.6	

# **Balance Sheet Classification**

AIG's interests in the assets and liabilities of consolidated and unconsolidated VIEs were classified in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

		Consol	idated	<b>VIEs</b>	<b>Unconsolidated VIEs</b>						
(in billions)	Ju	ne 30, 2013	Dece	mber 31, 2012	June 30, 2013		Dece	mber 31, 2012			
Assets:											
Available for sale securities	\$	5.3	\$	2.9	\$		\$				
Trading securities		0.9		1.0		0.1		0.1			
Mortgage and other loans receivable		0.3		0.4							
Other invested assets		4.0		4.4		2.7		2.3			
Other assets		2.3		3.0							
Total assets	\$	12.8	\$	11.7	\$	2.8	\$	2.4			

Liabilities:

Long-term debt Other liabilities	\$ 0.7 0.8	\$ 0.7 1.2	\$ \$
Total liabilities	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.9	\$ \$

See Note 11 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for additional information on VIEs.

## ITEM 1/NOTE 9. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGE ACCOUNTING

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### 9. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGE ACCOUNTING

We use derivatives and other financial instruments as part of our financial risk management programs and as part of our investment operations. See Note 12 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for a discussion of our accounting policies and procedures regarding derivatives and hedge accounting.

The following table presents the notional amounts and fair values of AIG's derivative instruments:

			June 3	2013	<b>December 31, 2012</b>													
		Gross Do		ative		Gross Derivative Gross Derivative Gr Liabilities Assets								Gross Derivative Liabilities				
	]	Notional		Fair		Notional		Fair	N	Notional		Fair	1	Notional		Fair		
(in millions)		Amount	7	/alue(a)		Amount		Value(a)	1	Amount	V	alue(a)		Amount	V	alue(a)		
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: Foreign exchange																		
contracts	\$	226	\$	2	\$	971	\$	57	\$		\$		\$		\$			
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:																		
Interest rate contracts <sup>(b)</sup>		56,436		5,078		61,714		4,596		63,463		6,479		63,482		5,806		
Foreign exchange																		
contracts		4,259		115		2,279		98		8,325		104		10,168		174		
Equity contracts <sup>(c)</sup>		5,606		265		28,294		708		4,990		221		25,626		1,377		
Commodity contracts		615		124		610		127		625		145		622		146		
Credit contracts		70		56		15,526		1,650		70		60		16,244		2,051		
Other contracts <sup>(d)</sup>		22,256		37		1,317		167		20,449		38		1,488		206		
Total derivatives not designated as hedging instruments		89,242		5,675		109,740		7,346		97,922		7,047		117,630		9,760		
Total derivatives, gross	\$	89,468	\$	5,677	\$	110,711	\$	7,403	\$	97,922	\$	7,047	\$	117,630	\$	9,760		

- (a) Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.
- (b) Includes cross-currency swaps.
- (c) Notional amount of derivative liabilities and fair value of derivative liabilities include \$25.9 billion and \$.6 billion, respectively, at June 30, 2013, and \$23 billion and \$1.3 billion, respectively, at December 31, 2012, related to bifurcated embedded derivatives. A bifurcated embedded derivative is generally presented with the host contract in

the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

(d) Consists primarily of contracts with multiple underlying exposures.

The following table presents the fair values of derivative assets and liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

				<b>December 31, 2012</b>												
					-	Deriv	⁄e		Deriv	Deriv	rivative					
	L	<b>e</b> rivati	ve A	Assets		Liabi	litie	es		Ass	set	S		Liabi	liti	ies
	N	otional		Fair		Notional		Fair	No	otional		Fair	N	otional		Fair
(in millions)	A	Amount		Value		Amount		Value	A	mount		Value	A	mount		Value
Global Capital Markets derivatives: AIG Financial Products AIG Markets	\$	54,970 8,051	\$	3,982 853	\$	60,806 15,642	\$	4,204 1,576	\$	59,854 14,028	\$	4,725 1,308	\$	66,717 18,774	\$	5,506 1,818
Total Global Capital Markets derivatives Non-Global Capital Markets derivatives <sup>(a)</sup>		63,021 26,447		4,835 842		76,448 34,263		5,780 1,623		73,882 24,040		6,033 1,014		85,491 32,139		7,324 2,436
Total derivatives, gross	\$	89,468		5,677	\$	110,711		7,403	\$	97,922		7,047	\$	117,630		9,760
Counterparty netting <sup>(b)</sup> Cash collateral <sup>(c)</sup>				(2,011) (861)				(2,011) (1,612)				(2,467) (909)				(2,467) (1,976)
Total derivatives, net				2,805				3,780				3,671				5,317
Less: Bifurcated embedded derivatives								656								1,256
Total derivatives on consolidated balance sheet			\$	2,805			\$	3,124			\$	3,671			\$	4,061

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents derivatives used to hedge the foreign currency and interest rate risk associated with insurance operations as well as embedded derivatives included in insurance contracts. Liabilities include bifurcated embedded derivatives, which are recorded in Policyholder contract deposits in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

<sup>(</sup>b) Represents netting of derivative exposures covered by a qualifying master netting agreement.

<sup>(</sup>c) Represents cash collateral posted and received that is eligible for netting.

# ITEM 1/NOTE 9. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGE ACCOUNTING

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### Collateral

We engage in derivative transactions directly with unaffiliated third parties, which generally provide for collateral postings to such unaffiliated third parties or (in the case of derivative transactions subject to clearing) centralized clearing organizations at various ratings and threshold levels. The collateral posting provisions are generally contained in Credit Support Annexes (CSAs) included in International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA) agreements or (in the case of derivative transactions subject to clearing) clearing agreements with futures commission merchants.

Collateral posted by us to third parties for derivative transactions was \$3.5 billion and \$4.5 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. In the case of collateral posted under derivative transactions that are not subject to clearing, this collateral can generally be repledged or resold by the counterparties. Collateral provided to us from third parties for derivative transactions was \$1.1 billion and \$1.4 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. We generally can repledge or resell this collateral to the extent it is posted under derivative transactions that are not subject to clearing.

### **Offsetting**

We have elected to present all derivative receivables and derivative payables, and the related cash collateral received and paid, on a net basis on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets when a legally enforceable master netting agreement exists between us and our derivative counterparty. A master netting agreement is an agreement between two counterparties who have multiple derivative contracts with each other that provides for the net settlement of all contracts, as well as cash collateral, through a single payment, in a single currency, in the event of default on or upon termination of any one contract.

# **Hedge Accounting**

We designated certain derivatives entered into by Global Capital Markets (GCM) with third parties as fair value hedges of available-for-sale investment securities held by our insurance subsidiaries. The fair value hedges include foreign currency forwards designated as hedges of the change in fair value of foreign currency denominated available-for-sale securities attributable to changes in foreign exchange rates.

We use foreign currency denominated debt and cross-currency swaps as hedging instruments in net investment hedge relationships to mitigate the foreign exchange risk associated with our non-U.S. dollar functional currency foreign subsidiaries. We assess the hedge effectiveness and measure the amount of ineffectiveness for these hedge relationships based on changes in spot exchange rates. For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, we recognized gains (losses) of \$(35) million and \$95 million, respectively, and for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, we recognized gains of \$147 million and \$56 million, respectively, included in Foreign currency translation adjustment in Accumulated other comprehensive income related to the net investment hedge relationships.

A qualitative methodology is utilized to assess hedge effectiveness for net investment hedges, while regression analysis is employed for all other hedges.

# ITEM 1/NOTE 9. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGE ACCOUNTING

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following table presents the gain (loss) recognized in earnings on our derivative instruments in fair value hedging relationships in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:

	Three M End June	ed	Six Month June	
(in millions)	2013	2012	2013	2012
Interest rate contracts:(a)				
Loss recognized in earnings on derivatives	\$	\$	\$	\$ (2)
Hedged items <sup>(b)</sup>	23	48	53	80
Foreign exchange contracts:(a)				
Derivatives	(35)		(40)	
Hedged items	43		47	
Amount excluded from effectiveness testing	8		7	

- (a) Gains and losses recognized in earnings for the ineffective portion and amounts excluded from effectiveness testing, if any, are recorded in Net realized capital gains.
- (b) Represents the amortization of debt basis adjustment recorded in Other income and Net realized capital gains (losses) following the discontinuation of hedge accounting.

**Derivatives Not Designated as Hedging Instruments** 

The following table presents the effect of AIG's derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:

	G	lains (I	Los	ses)				
	R	Recogni	izec	l in		Gains (I	JOS	ses)
		Earn	ing	S		Recogni	izec	l in
	Т	,,	<b>T</b>	41	C.	Earn	O	
	_	hree N			51	ix Month		
	E	nded J	une			June	30	,
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013		2012
By Derivative Type:								
Interest rate contracts <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	(69)	\$	598	\$	(285)	\$	12
Foreign exchange contracts Equity contracts <sup>(b)</sup>		(8) 468		21 (207)		147 512		90 (395)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Commodity contracts Credit contracts Other contracts	(2) 138 16	(1) 63 (81)	(2) 313 60	(2) 214 (52)
Total	\$ 543	\$ 393	\$ 745	\$ (133)
By Classification: Policy fees Net investment income Net realized capital gains (losses) Other income Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	\$ 48 5 276 219 (5)	\$ 37 (423) 779	\$ 93 29 631 (8)	\$ 73 1 (660) 453
Total	\$ 543	\$ 393	\$ 745	\$ (133)

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes cross currency swaps.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes embedded derivative gains of \$505 million and \$760 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and embedded derivative losses of \$368 million and \$193 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 9. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGE ACCOUNTING

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### **Global Capital Markets Derivatives**

GCM enters into derivatives to mitigate market risk in its exposures (interest rates, currencies, commodities, credit and equities) arising from its transactions. At June 30, 2013, GCM has entered into credit derivative transactions with respect to \$66 million of securities to economically hedge its credit risk. In most cases, GCM has not hedged its exposures related to the credit default swaps it has written.

GCM follows a policy of minimizing interest rate, currency, commodity, and equity risks associated with investment securities by entering into offsetting positions, thereby offsetting a significant portion of the unrealized appreciation and depreciation.

Super Senior Credit Default Swaps

Credit default swap transactions were entered into with the intention of earning revenue on credit exposure. In the majority of these transactions, we sold credit protection on a designated portfolio of loans or debt securities. Generally, we provided such credit protection on a "second loss" basis, meaning we would incur credit losses only after a shortfall of principal and/or interest, or other credit events, in respect of the protected loans and debt securities, exceeded a specified threshold amount or level of "first losses."

The following table presents the net notional amount, fair value of derivative (asset) liability and unrealized market valuation gain (loss) of the super senior credit default swap portfolio, including credit default swaps written on mezzanine tranches of certain regulatory capital relief transactions, by asset class:

	Ne	et Notional		, ,	Ι		iability at(b)	V Month	nrealized Maluation G	ain(c) Six Moi Ende	d
(in		June 30,	Dec	ember 31,		June 30, 1	December 31,	June 3	0,	June 3	30,
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013	2012	2013	2012	2013	2012
Regulatory Capital: Prime residential mortgages Other	\$	11	\$	97	\$		\$	\$ \$	\$ (3)		\$ 3
Total		11		97					(3)		3
Arbitrage: Multi-sector CDOs <sup>(d)</sup> Corporate		3,575		3,944		1,547	1,910	126	68	281	194
debt/CLOs <sup>(e)</sup>		11,590		11,832		39	60	5	(6)	21	11

Total	15,165	15,776	1,586	1,970	131	62	302	205	
Mezzanine tranches						(2)		(11)	
Total	\$ 15,176	\$ 15,873	\$ 1,586	\$ 1,970	\$ 131	\$ 57	\$ 302	\$ 197	

- (a) Net notional amounts presented are net of all structural subordination below the covered tranches. The decrease in the total net notional amount from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013 was primarily due to amortization of \$523 million, foreign exchange rate movement of \$110 million and a combination of terminations and maturities of \$64 million.
- (b) Fair value amounts are shown before the effects of counterparty netting adjustments and offsetting cash collateral.
- (c) Includes credit valuation adjustment gains of \$1 million and \$2 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and losses of \$1 million and \$24 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, representing the effect of changes in AIG's credit spreads on the valuation of the derivatives liabilities.

## ITEM 1 / NOTE 9. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGE ACCOUNTING

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

- (d) During the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, we paid \$82 million to counterparties with respect to multi-sector CDOs. Upon payment, a \$82 million loss, which was previously included in the fair value of the derivative liability as an unrealized market valuation loss, was realized. Multi-sector CDOs also include \$3.1 billion and \$3.4 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written with cash settlement provisions at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Collateral postings with regards to multi-sector CDOs were \$1.3 billion and \$1.6 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.
- (e) Corporate debt/Collateralized Loan Obligations (CLOs) include \$1.0 billion and \$1.2 billion in net notional amount of credit default swaps written on the super senior tranches of CLOs at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Collateral postings with regards to corporate debt/CLOs were \$383 million and \$420 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

The expected weighted average maturity of the super senior credit derivative portfolios as of June 30, 2013 was less than one year for the regulatory capital prime residential mortgage portfolio, 6 years for the multi-sector CDO arbitrage portfolio and 3 years for the corporate debt/CLO portfolio.

Given the current performance of the underlying portfolios, the level of subordination of the credit protection written and the assessment of the credit quality of the underlying portfolio, as well as the risk mitigants inherent in the transaction structures, we do not expect that we will be required to make payments pursuant to the contractual terms of those transactions providing regulatory relief.

Because of long-term maturities of the CDS in the arbitrage portfolio, we are unable to make reasonable estimates of the periods during which any payments would be made. However, the net notional amount represents the maximum exposure to loss on the super senior credit default swap portfolio.

Written Single Name Credit Default Swaps

We have also entered into credit default swap contracts referencing single-name exposures written on corporate, index and asset-backed credits with the intention of earning spread income on credit exposure. Some of these transactions were entered into as part of a long-short strategy to earn the net spread between CDS written and purchased. At June 30, 2013, the net notional amount of these written CDS contracts was \$389 million, including ABS CDS transactions purchased from a liquidated multi-sector super senior CDS transaction. These exposures have been partially hedged by purchasing offsetting CDS contracts of \$50 million in net notional amount. The net unhedged position of \$339 million represents the maximum exposure to loss on these CDS contracts. The average maturity of the written CDS contracts is 4 years. At June 30, 2013, the fair value of the derivative liability (which represents the carrying value) of the portfolio of CDS was \$36 million.

Upon a triggering event (e.g., a default) with respect to the underlying credit, we would have the option to either settle the position through an auction process (cash settlement) or pay the notional amount of the contract to the counterparty in exchange for a bond issued by the underlying credit obligor (physical settlement).

These CDS contracts were written under ISDA Master Agreements. The majority of these ISDA Master Agreements include CSAs that provide for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels. At June 30, 2013, collateral posted by us under these contracts was \$57 million prior to offsets for other transactions.

#### **All Other Derivatives**

Our businesses, other than GCM, also use derivatives and other instruments as part of their financial risk management. Interest rate derivatives (such as interest rate swaps) are used to manage interest rate risk associated with embedded derivatives contained in insurance contract liabilities, fixed maturity securities, outstanding medium- and long-term notes as well as other interest rate sensitive assets and liabilities. Foreign exchange derivatives (principally foreign exchange forwards and options) are used to economically mitigate risk associated with non-U.S. dollar denominated debt, net capital exposures, and foreign currency transactions. Equity derivatives are used to mitigate financial risk embedded in certain insurance liabilities. The derivatives are effective economic hedges of the exposures that they are meant to offset.

## ITEM 1 / NOTE 9. DERIVATIVES AND HEDGE ACCOUNTING

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

In addition to hedging activities, we also enter into derivative instruments with respect to investment operations, which include, among other things, credit default swaps and purchasing investments with embedded derivatives, such as equity-linked notes and convertible bonds.

### **Credit Risk-Related Contingent Features**

The aggregate fair value of our derivative instruments that contain credit risk-related contingent features that were in a net liability position at June 30, 2013, was approximately \$3 billion. The aggregate fair value of assets posted as collateral under these contracts at June 30, 2013, was \$3.4 billion.

We estimate that at June 30, 2013, based on our outstanding financial derivative transactions, a one-notch downgrade of our long-term senior debt ratings to BBB+ by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC, a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. (S&P), would permit counterparties to make additional collateral calls and permit certain counterparties to elect early termination of contracts, resulting in a negligible amount of corresponding collateral postings and termination payments; a one-notch downgrade to Baa2 by Moody's Investors' Service, Inc. (Moody's) and an additional one-notch downgrade to BBB by S&P would result in approximately \$78 million in additional collateral postings and termination payments, and a further one-notch downgrade to Baa3 by Moody's and BBB- by S&P would result in approximately \$121 million in additional collateral postings and termination payments.

Additional collateral postings upon downgrade are estimated based on the factors in the individual collateral posting provisions of the CSA with each counterparty and current exposure as of June 30, 2013. Factors considered in estimating the termination payments upon downgrade include current market conditions, the complexity of the derivative transactions, historical termination experience and other observable market events such as bankruptcy and downgrade events that have occurred at other companies. Our estimates are also based on the assumption that counterparties will terminate based on their net exposure to us. The actual termination payments could significantly differ from our estimates given market conditions at the time of downgrade and the level of uncertainty in estimating both the number of counterparties who may elect to exercise their right to terminate and the payment that may be triggered in connection with any such exercise.

### **Hybrid Securities with Embedded Credit Derivatives**

We invest in hybrid securities (such as credit-linked notes) with the intent of generating income, and not specifically to acquire exposure to embedded derivative risk. As is the case with our other investments in RMBS, CMBS, CDOs and ABS, our investments in these hybrid securities are exposed to losses only up to the amount of our initial investment in the hybrid security. Other than our initial investment in the hybrid securities, we have no further obligation to make payments on the embedded credit derivatives in the related hybrid securities.

We elect to account for our investments in these hybrid securities with embedded written credit derivatives at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized in Net investment income and Other income. Our investments in these hybrid securities are reported as Bond trading securities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The fair value of these hybrid securities was \$6.6 billion at June 30, 2013. These securities have a current par amount of \$14.1 billion and have remaining stated maturity dates that extend to 2052.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

In the normal course of business, various contingent liabilities and commitments are entered into by AIG and our subsidiaries. In addition, AIG Parent guarantees various obligations of certain subsidiaries.

Although we cannot currently quantify our ultimate liability for unresolved litigation and investigation matters, including those referred to below, it is possible that such liability could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition or our consolidated results of operations or consolidated cash flows for an individual reporting period.

# **Legal Contingencies**

Overview. In the normal course of business, AIG and our subsidiaries are, like others in the insurance and financial services industries in general, subject to litigation, including claims for punitive damages. In our insurance and mortgage guaranty operations, litigation arising from claims settlement activities is generally considered in the establishment of our liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense. However, the potential for increasing jury awards and settlements makes it difficult to assess the ultimate outcome of such litigation. AIG is also subject to derivative, class action and other claims asserted by its shareholders and others alleging, among other things, breach of fiduciary duties by its directors and officers and violations of insurance laws and regulations, as well as federal and state securities laws. In the case of any derivative action brought on behalf of AIG, any recovery would accrue to the benefit of AIG.

Various regulatory and governmental agencies have been reviewing certain public disclosures, transactions and practices of AIG and our subsidiaries in connection with industry-wide and other inquiries into, among other matters, our liquidity, compensation paid to certain employees, payments made to counterparties, and certain business practices and valuations of current and former operating insurance subsidiaries. We have cooperated, and will continue to cooperate, in producing documents and other information in response to subpoenas and other requests.

AIG's Subprime Exposure, AIGFP Credit Default Swap Portfolio and Related Matters

AIG, AIGFP and certain directors and officers of AIG, AIGFP and other AIG subsidiaries have been named in various actions relating to our exposure to the U.S. residential subprime mortgage market, unrealized market valuation losses on AIGFP's super senior credit default swap portfolio, losses and liquidity constraints relating to our securities lending program and related disclosure and other matters (Subprime Exposure Issues).

Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation. Between May 21, 2008 and January 15, 2009, eight purported securities class action complaints were filed against AIG and certain directors and officers of AIG and AIGFP, AIG's outside auditors, and the underwriters of various securities offerings in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the Southern District of New York), alleging claims under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), or claims under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). On March 20, 2009, the Court consolidated all eight of the purported securities class actions as In re American International Group, Inc. 2008 Securities Litigation (the Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation).

On May 19, 2009, the lead plaintiff in the Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation filed a consolidated complaint on behalf of purchasers of AIG Common Stock during the alleged class period of March 16, 2006 through September 16, 2008, and on behalf of purchasers of various AIG securities offered pursuant to AIG's shelf registration statements. The consolidated complaint alleges that defendants made statements during the class period in press releases, AIG's quarterly and year-end filings, during conference calls, and in various registration statements and prospectuses in connection with the various offerings that were materially false and misleading and that artificially inflated the price of AIG

Common Stock. The alleged false and misleading statements relate to, among other things, the Subprime Exposure Issues. The consolidated complaint alleges violations of Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Exchange Act and Sections 11, 12(a)(2), and 15 of the Securities Act. On August 5, 2009, defendants

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

filed motions to dismiss the consolidated complaint, and on September 27, 2010, the Court denied the motions to dismiss.

On April 1, 2011, the lead plaintiff in the Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation filed a motion to certify a class of plaintiffs. On November 2, 2011, the Court terminated the motion without prejudice to an application for restoration. On March 30, 2012, the lead plaintiff filed a renewed motion to certify a class of plaintiffs.

On April 26, 2013, the Court granted a motion for judgment on the pleadings brought by the defendants. The Court's order dismissed all claims against the outside auditors in their entirety, and it also reduced the scope of the Securities Act claims against AIG and defendants other than the outside auditors. We have accrued our estimate of probable loss with respect to this litigation.

On November 18, 2011, January 20, 2012, June 11, 2012, August 8, 2012 and May 17, 2013, five separate, though similar, securities actions were brought by the Kuwait Investment Authority, various Oppenheimer Funds, eight foreign funds and investment entities led by the British Coal Staff Superannuation Scheme, Pacific Life Funds and Pacific Select Fund and the Teachers Retirement System of the State of Illinois against AIG and certain directors and officers of AIG and AIGFP (the action by the British Coal Staff Superannuation Scheme also names as defendants AIG's outside auditors and the underwriters of various securities offerings). The parties have agreed to stay discovery in these actions until the earlier of (i) the Court deciding the motion for class certification pending in the Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation following 30 days' notice from any party in their respective action, (ii) the preliminary approval of any settlement in the Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation, (iii) December 27, 2013, or (iv) such earlier or other date as the Court may order.

As of August 5, 2013, no discussions concerning potential damages have occurred and the plaintiffs have not formally specified an amount of alleged damages in their respective actions. As a result, we are unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from these litigations.

ERISA Actions Southern District of New York. Between June 25, 2008, and November 25, 2008, AIG, certain directors and officers of AIG, and members of AIG's Retirement Board and Investment Committee were named as defendants in eight purported class action complaints asserting claims on behalf of participants in certain pension plans sponsored by AIG or its subsidiaries. The Court subsequently consolidated these eight actions as In re American International Group, Inc. ERISA Litigation II. On September 4, 2012, lead plaintiffs' counsel filed a second consolidated amended complaint. The action purports to be brought as a class action under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (ERISA), on behalf of all participants in or beneficiaries of certain benefit plans of AIG and its subsidiaries that offered shares of AIG Common Stock. In the consolidated amended complaint, plaintiffs allege, among other things, that the defendants breached their fiduciary responsibilities to plan participants and their beneficiaries under ERISA, by continuing to offer the AIG Stock Fund as an investment option in the plans after it allegedly became imprudent to do so. The alleged ERISA violations relate to, among other things, the defendants' purported failure to monitor and/or disclose certain matters, including the Subprime Exposure Issues.

On November 20, 2012, defendants filed motions to dismiss the consolidated amended complaint. On May 24, 2013, the parties informed the Court of a mediation scheduled for August 21-22, 2013, and requested that the Court defer consideration of defendants' motions pending the outcome of the mediation. On the same day, the Court granted the parties' request, terminating defendants' motions without prejudice to reinstatement on request following the August mediation, if necessary.

As of August 5, 2013, plaintiffs have not formally specified an amount of alleged damages, discovery is ongoing, and the Court has not determined if a class action is appropriate or the size or scope of any class. As a result, we are unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

Canadian Securities Class Action Ontario Superior Court of Justice. On November 12, 2008, an application was filed in the Ontario Superior Court of Justice for leave to bring a purported class action against AIG, AIGFP, certain directors and officers of AIG and Joseph Cassano, the former Chief Executive Officer of AIGFP, pursuant to the Ontario Securities Act. If the Court grants the application, a class plaintiff will be permitted to file a

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

statement of claim against defendants. The proposed statement of claim would assert a class period of March 16, 2006 through September 16, 2008 and would allege that during this period defendants made false and misleading statements and omissions in quarterly and annual reports and during oral presentations in violation of the Ontario Securities Act.

On April 17, 2009, defendants filed a motion record in support of their motion to stay or dismiss for lack of jurisdiction and forum non conveniens. On July 12, 2010, the Court adjourned a hearing on the motion pending a decision by the Supreme Court of Canada in a pair of actions captioned Club Resorts Ltd. v. Van Breda 2012 SCC 17 (Van Breda). On April 18, 2012, the Supreme Court of Canada clarified the standard for determining jurisdiction over foreign and out-of-province defendants, such as AIG, by holding that a defendant must have some form of "actual," as opposed to a merely "virtual," presence in order to be deemed to be "doing business" in the jurisdiction. The Supreme Court of Canada also suggested that in future cases, defendants may contest jurisdiction even when they are found to be doing business in a Canadian jurisdiction if their business activities in the jurisdiction are unrelated to the subject matter of the litigation. The matter has been stayed pending further developments in the Consolidated 2008 Securities Litigation.

In plaintiff's proposed statement of claim, plaintiff alleged general and special damages of \$500 million and punitive damages of \$50 million plus prejudgment interest or such other sums as the Court finds appropriate. As of August 5, 2013 the Court has not determined whether it has jurisdiction or granted plaintiff's application to file a statement of claim, no merits discovery has occurred and the action has been stayed. As a result, we are unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

Starr International Litigation

On November 21, 2011, Starr International Company, Inc. (SICO) filed a complaint against the United States in the United States Court of Federal Claims (the Court of Federal Claims), bringing claims, both individually and on behalf of the classes defined below and derivatively on behalf of AIG (the SICO Treasury Action). The complaint challenges the government's assistance of AIG, pursuant to which AIG entered into a credit facility with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the FRBNY and such credit facility, the FRBNY Credit Facility) and the United States received an approximately 80 percent ownership in AIG. The complaint alleges that the interest rate imposed on AIG and the appropriation of approximately 80 percent of AIG's equity was discriminatory, unprecedented, and inconsistent with liquidity assistance offered by the government to other comparable firms at the time and violated the Equal Protection, Due Process, and Takings Clauses of the U.S. Constitution.

On November 21, 2011, SICO also filed a second complaint in the Southern District of New York against the FRBNY bringing claims, both individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated and derivatively on behalf of AIG (the SICO New York Action). This complaint also challenges the government's assistance of AIG, pursuant to which AIG entered into the FRBNY Credit Facility and the United States received an approximately 80 percent ownership in AIG. The complaint alleges that the FRBNY owed fiduciary duties to AIG as our controlling shareholder, and that the FRBNY breached these fiduciary duties by "divert[ing] the rights and assets of AIG and its shareholders to itself and favored third parties" through transactions involving Maiden Lane III LLC (ML III), an entity controlled by the FRBNY, and by "participating in, and causing AIG's officers and directors to participate in, the evasion of AIG's existing Common Stock shareholders' right to approve the massive issuance of the new Common Shares required to complete the government's taking of a nearly 80 percent interest in the Common Stock of AIG." SICO also alleges that the "FRBNY has asserted that in exercising its control over, and acting on behalf of, AIG it did not act in an official, governmental capacity or at the direction of the United States," but that "[t]o the extent the proof at or prior to trial shows that the FRBNY did in fact act in a governmental capacity, or at the direction of the United States, the improper conduct . . . constitutes the discriminatory takings of the property and property rights of AIG without due process or just compensation."

On January 31, 2012 and February 1, 2012, amended complaints were filed in the Court of Federal Claims and the Southern District of New York, respectively.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

In rulings dated July 2, 2012, and September 17, 2012, the Court of Federal Claims largely denied the United States' motion to dismiss in the SICO Treasury Action. Discovery is proceeding.

On November 19, 2012, the Southern District of New York granted the FRBNY's motion to dismiss the SICO New York Action. On December 21, 2012, SICO filed a notice of appeal in the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, which appeal is still pending.

In both of the actions commenced by SICO, the only claims naming AIG as a party (as a nominal defendant) are derivative claims on behalf of AIG. On September 21, 2012, SICO made a pre-litigation demand on our Board demanding that we pursue the derivative claims in both actions or allow SICO to pursue the claims on our behalf. On January 9, 2013, our Board unanimously refused SICO's demand in its entirety and on January 23, 2013, counsel for the Board sent a letter to counsel for SICO describing the process by which our Board considered and refused SICO's demand and stating the reasons for our Board's determination.

On March 11, 2013, SICO filed a second amended complaint in the SICO Treasury Action alleging that its demand was wrongfully refused. On June 26, 2013, the Court of Federal Claims granted motions by AIG and the United States to dismiss SICO's derivative claims in the SICO Treasury Action.

On March 11, 2013, the Court of Federal Claims in the SICO Treasury Action granted SICO's motion for class certification of two classes with respect to SICO's non-derivative claims: (1) persons and entities who held shares of AIG Common Stock on or before September 16, 2008 and who owned those shares on September 22, 2008; and (2) persons and entities who owned shares of AIG Common Stock on June 30, 2009 and were eligible to vote those shares at AIG's June 30, 2009 annual meeting of shareholders. SICO has provided notice of class certification to potential members of the class, who, pursuant to a court order issued on April 25, 2013, must "opt in" to the class if they wish to join the class by September 16, 2013.

The United States has alleged, as an affirmative defense in its answer, that AIG is obligated to indemnify the FRBNY and its representatives, including the Federal Reserve Board of Governors and the United States (as the FRBNY's principal), for any recovery in the SICO Treasury Action, and seeks a contingent offset or recoupment for the value of net operating loss benefits the United States alleges that we received as a result of the government's assistance. The FRBNY has also requested indemnification in connection with the SICO New York Action from AIG under the FRBNY Credit Facility and from ML III under the Master Investment and Credit Agreement and the Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of ML III.

## Other Litigation Related to AIGFP

On September 30, 2009, Brookfield Asset Management, Inc. and Brysons International, Ltd. (together, Brookfield) filed a complaint against AIG and AIGFP in the Southern District of New York. Brookfield seeks a declaration that a 1990 interest rate swap agreement between Brookfield and AIGFP (guaranteed by AIG) terminated upon the occurrence of certain alleged events that Brookfield contends constituted defaults under the swap agreement's standard "bankruptcy" default provision. Brookfield claims that it is excused from all future payment obligations under the swap agreement on the basis of the purported termination. At June 30, 2013, the estimated present value of expected future cash flows discounted at LIBOR was \$1.5 billion, which represents our maximum contractual loss from the alleged termination of the contract. It is our position that no termination event has occurred and that the swap agreement remains in effect. A determination that a termination event has occurred could result in a loss of our entitlement to all future payments under the swap agreement and result in a loss to us of the full value at which we are carrying the swap agreement.

Additionally, a determination that AIG triggered a "bankruptcy" event of default under the swap agreement could also, depending on the Court's precise holding, affect other AIG or AIGFP agreements that contain the same or similar default provisions. Such a determination could also affect derivative agreements or other contracts between third parties, such as credit default swaps under which AIG is a reference credit, which could affect the trading price of AIG securities. During the third quarter of 2011, beneficiaries of certain previously repaid AIGFP guaranteed investment agreements brought an action against AIG Parent and AIGFP making "bankruptcy" event of default

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

allegations similar to those made by Brookfield. The Court subsequently issued a decision dismissing that action, which decision was affirmed on appeal by the Delaware Supreme Court on April 29, 2013.

Employment Litigation against AIG and AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corporation

On December 9, 2009, AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corporation's (AIGGRE) former President, Kevin P. Fitzpatrick, several entities he controls, and various other single purpose entities (the SPEs) filed a complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County against AIG and AIGGRE (the Defendants). The case was removed to the Southern District of New York, and an amended complaint was filed on March 8, 2010. The amended complaint asserts that the Defendants violated fiduciary duties to Fitzpatrick and his controlled entities and breached Fitzpatrick's employment agreement and agreements of SPEs that purportedly entitled him to carried interest arising out of the sale or disposition of certain real estate. Fitzpatrick has also brought derivative claims on behalf of the SPEs, purporting to allege that the Defendants breached contractual and fiduciary duties in failing to fund the SPEs with various amounts allegedly due under the SPE agreements. Fitzpatrick has also requested injunctive relief, an accounting, and that a receiver be appointed to manage the affairs of the SPEs. He has further alleged that the SPEs are subject to a constructive trust. Fitzpatrick also has alleged a violation of ERISA relating to retirement benefits purportedly due. Fitzpatrick has claimed that he is currently owed damages totaling approximately \$196 million, and that potential future amounts owed to him are approximately \$78 million, for a total of approximately \$274 million. Fitzpatrick further claims unspecified amounts of carried interest on certain additional real estate assets of AIG and its affiliates. He also seeks punitive damages for the alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. Defendants assert that Fitzpatrick has been paid all amounts currently due and owing pursuant to the various agreements through which he seeks recovery. On February 26, 2013, the Court granted in part and denied in part the parties' cross-motions for partial summary judgment, reserving most issues for trial but finding that summary judgment was appropriate as to one group of properties and that those properties were potentially eligible for carried interest (subject to the resolution of other issues at trial). On June 26, 2013, the Court granted Defendants' motion for reconsideration of that ruling, finding that the jury should decide whether those properties are potentially eligible for carried interest. The Court also ruled that Fitzpatrick may amend his complaint to plead a claim for past due carried interest payments arising out of SPEs created for the purpose of allowing Fitzpatrick and others to invest their own capital in real estate ventures alongside AIG, its affiliates or co-venturers. On March 26, 2013, Fitzpatrick filed a motion for leave to amend his complaint to assert those claims, to add certain additional SPEs as derivative plaintiffs, to clarify and conform to his employment agreement allegations in support of an existing claim for declaratory judgment related to the vesting of carried interest and to assert a claim for declaratory judgment and specific performance, and the court granted that motion on April 25, 2013. Defendants answered and filed their affirmative defenses to that complaint on June 13, 2013. On June 24, 2013, the Court set November 4, 2013 as the first day of trial. On July 17, 2013, Fitzpatrick moved for reconsideration of the June 26, 2013 order granting Defendants' motion for reconsideration. As set forth above, the possible range of our loss is \$0 to \$274 million, although Fitzpatrick claims that he is also entitled to additional unspecified amounts of carried interest and punitive damages.

False Claims Act Complaint

On February 25, 2010, a complaint was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of California by two individuals (Relators) seeking to assert claims on behalf of the United States against AIG and certain other defendants, including Goldman Sachs and Deutsche Bank, under the False Claims Act. Relators filed a first amended complaint on September 30, 2010, adding certain additional defendants, including Bank of America and Société Générale. The first amended complaint alleged that defendants engaged in fraudulent business practices in respect of their activities in the over-the-counter market for collateralized debt obligations, and submitted false claims to the United States in connection with the FRBNY Credit Facility and Maiden Lane II LLC (ML II) and ML III entities (the Maiden Lane Interests) through, among other things, misrepresenting AIG's ability and intent to repay amounts drawn on the FRBNY Credit Facility, and misrepresenting the value of the securities that the Maiden Lane Interests acquired from AIG and certain of its counterparties. The first amended complaint sought unspecified damages pursuant to the False Claims Act in the amount of three times the damages allegedly sustained by the United States as well as interest, attorneys' fees, costs and expenses. The complaint and the first amended complaint were initially

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

filed and maintained under seal while the United States considered whether to intervene in the action. On or about April 28, 2011, after the United States declined to intervene, the District Court lifted the seal, and Relators served the first amended complaint on us on July 11, 2011. On April 19, 2013, the Court granted AIG's motion to dismiss, dismissing the first amended complaint in its entirety, without prejudice, giving the Relators the opportunity to file a second amended complaint. On May 24, 2013, the Relators filed a second amended complaint, which attempts to plead the same claims as the prior complaints and does not specify an amount of alleged damages. As a result of the absence of a statement of damages and the early stage of this litigation, we are unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

Litigation Matters Relating to AIG's Insurance Operations

Caremark. AIG and certain of its subsidiaries have been named defendants in two putative class actions in state court in Alabama that arise out of the 1999 settlement of class and derivative litigation involving Caremark Rx, Inc. (Caremark). The plaintiffs in the second-filed action intervened in the first-filed action, and the second-filed action was dismissed. An excess policy issued by a subsidiary of AIG with respect to the 1999 litigation was expressly stated to be without limit of liability. In the current actions, plaintiffs allege that the judge approving the 1999 settlement was misled as to the extent of available insurance coverage and would not have approved the settlement had he known of the existence and/or unlimited nature of the excess policy. They further allege that AIG, its subsidiaries, and Caremark are liable for fraud and suppression for misrepresenting and/or concealing the nature and extent of coverage.

The complaints filed by the plaintiffs and the intervenors request compensatory damages for the 1999 class in the amount of \$3.2 billion, plus punitive damages. AIG and its subsidiaries deny the allegations of fraud and suppression, assert that information concerning the excess policy was publicly disclosed months prior to the approval of the settlement, that the claims are barred by the statute of limitations, and that the statute cannot be tolled in light of the public disclosure of the excess coverage. The plaintiffs and intervenors, in turn, have asserted that the disclosure was insufficient to inform them of the nature of the coverage and did not start the running of the statute of limitations.

On August 15, 2012, the trial court entered an order granting plaintiffs' motion for class certification. AIG and the other defendants have appealed that order to the Alabama Supreme Court, and the case in the trial court will be stayed until that appeal is resolved. General discovery has not commenced and AIG is unable to reasonably estimate the possible loss or range of losses, if any, arising from the litigation.

# **Regulatory and Related Matters**

Our life insurance companies have received and responded to industry-wide regulatory inquiries, including a multi-state audit and market conduct examination covering compliance with unclaimed property laws and a directive from the New York Insurance Department regarding claims settlement practices and other related state regulatory inquiries. The inquiries concern the use of the Social Security Death Master File (SSDMF) to identify potential claims not yet presented to us in the normal course of business. In connection with the resolution of the multi-state examination relating to these matters in the third quarter of 2012, we paid an \$11 million regulatory assessment to the various state insurance departments that are parties to the regulatory settlement to defray costs of their examinations and monitoring. Although we have enhanced our claims practices to include use of the SSDMF, it is possible that the settlement remediation requirements, remaining inquiries, other regulatory activity or litigation could result in the payment of additional amounts. AIG has also received a demand letter from a purported AIG shareholder requesting that the Board of Directors investigate these matters, and bring appropriate legal proceedings against any person identified by the investigation as engaging in misconduct. AIG believes it has adequately reserved for such claims, but there can be no assurance that the ultimate cost will not vary, perhaps materially, from its estimate.

In connection with the previously disclosed multi-state examination of certain accident and health products, including travel products, issued by National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa. (National Union), Chartis Inc., on behalf of itself, National Union, and certain of Chartis Inc.'s insurance and non-insurance companies (collectively, the Chartis parties) entered into a Regulatory Settlement Agreement with regulators from 50 U.S. jurisdictions

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

effective November 29, 2012. Under the agreement, and without admitting any liability for the issues raised in the examination, the Chartis parties (i) paid a civil penalty of \$50 million, (ii) entered into a corrective action plan describing agreed-upon specific steps and standards for evaluating the Chartis parties' ongoing compliance with laws and regulations governing the issues identified in the examination, and (iii) agreed to pay a contingent fine in the event that the Chartis parties fail to satisfy certain terms of the corrective action plan. National Union and other AIG companies are also currently subject to civil litigation relating to the conduct of their accident and health business, and may be subject to additional litigation relating to the conduct of such business from time to time in the ordinary course. There can be no assurance that any regulatory action resulting from the issues identified will not have a material adverse effect on our ongoing operations of the business subject to the agreement, or on similar business written by other AIG carriers.

Industry-wide examinations conducted by the Minnesota Department of Insurance and the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) on captive reinsurance practices by lenders and mortgage insurance companies, including UGC, have been ongoing for several years. In 2011, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) assumed responsibility for violations of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act from HUD, and assumed HUD's aforementioned ongoing investigation. In June 2012, the CFPB issued a Civil Investigative Demand (CID) to UGC and other mortgage insurance companies, requesting the production of documents and answers to written questions. The CFPB agreed to toll the deadlines associated with the CID pending discussions that could resolve the investigation. UGC and the CFPB reached a settlement, entered on April 8, 2013 by the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida, where UGC consented to discontinue its remaining captive reinsurance practices and to pay a civil monetary penalty of \$4.5 million to the CFPB. The settlement includes a release for all liability related to UGC's captive reinsurance practices and resolves the CFPB's investigation. UGC has received a proposed consent order from the Minnesota Commissioner of Commerce (the MN Commissioner) which alleges that UGC violated the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act, the Fair Credit Reporting Act and other state and federal laws in connection with its practices with captive reinsurance companies owned by lenders. UGC engaged in discussions with the MN Commissioner with respect to the terms of the proposed consent order. UGC cannot predict if or when a consent order may be entered into or, if entered into, what the terms of the final consent order will be. UGC is also currently subject to civil litigation relating to its placement of reinsurance with captives owned by lenders, and may be subject to additional litigation relating to the conduct of such business from time to time in the ordinary course.

## **Other Contingencies**

Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense

Although we regularly review the adequacy of the established Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense, there can be no assurance that our loss reserves will not develop adversely and have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Estimation of ultimate net losses, loss expenses and loss reserves is a complex process, particularly for long-tail casualty lines of business, which include, but are not limited to, general liability, commercial automobile liability, environmental, workers' compensation, excess casualty and crisis management coverages, insurance and risk management programs for large corporate customers and other customized structured insurance products, as well as excess and umbrella liability, directors and officers and products liability. Generally, actual historical loss development factors are used to project future loss development. However, there can be no assurance that future loss development patterns will be the same as in the past.

Moreover, any deviation in loss cost trends or in loss development factors might not be identified for an extended period of time subsequent to the recording of the initial loss reserve estimates for any accident year. There is the potential for reserves with respect to a number of years to be significantly affected by changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors that were relied upon in setting the reserves. These changes in loss cost trends or loss development factors could be attributable to changes in economic conditions in the United States and abroad, changes in the legal, regulatory, judicial and social environment, changes in medical cost trends (inflation, intensity and utilization of medical services), underlying policy pricing, terms and conditions, and claims handling practices.

**Table of Contents** 

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

Commitments

Flight Equipment Related to Business Held for Sale

At June 30, 2013, ILFC had committed to purchase 281 new aircraft, which include 13 aircraft through sale-leaseback transactions with airlines, deliverable from 2013 through 2022. ILFC had also committed to purchase three used aircraft and nine new spare engines. Subsequent to June 30, 2013, ILFC contracted with Embraer S.A. to purchase 50 E-Jets E2 aircraft with rights to purchase an additional 50 such aircraft, and also entered into agreement with Airbus for the purchase of up to 15 A321 aircraft, which are committed for lease to a single airline upon their delivery, bringing the aggregate estimated total remaining payments to approximately \$22.3 billion for a total of 346 new and three used aircraft. ILFC will be required to find lessees for any aircraft acquired and to arrange financing for a substantial portion of the purchase price. These commitments are related to discontinued operations. See Note 4 for a discussion of the ILFC transaction.

#### **Other Commitments**

In the normal course of business, we enter into commitments to invest in limited partnerships, private equity funds and hedge funds and to purchase and develop real estate in the U.S. and abroad. These commitments totaled \$2.3 billion at June 30, 2013.

#### Guarantees

#### Subsidiaries

We have issued unconditional guarantees with respect to the prompt payment, when due, of all present and future payment obligations and liabilities of AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Trading Group Inc. and their respective subsidiaries (collectively, AIGFP) and of AIG Markets, Inc. (AIG Markets) arising from transactions entered into by AIG Markets.

In connection with AIGFP's business activities, AIGFP has issued, in a limited number of transactions, standby letters of credit or similar facilities to equity investors in an amount equal to the termination value owing to the equity investor by the lessee in the event of a lessee default (the equity termination value). The total amount outstanding at June 30, 2013 was \$306 million. In those transactions, AIGFP has agreed to pay such amount if the lessee fails to pay. The amount payable by AIGFP is, in certain cases, partially offset by amounts payable under other instruments typically equal to the present value of scheduled payments to be made by AIGFP. In the event that AIGFP is required to make a payment to the equity investor, the lessee is unconditionally obligated to reimburse AIGFP. To the extent that the equity investor is paid the equity termination value from the standby letter of credit and/or other sources, including payments by the lessee, AIGFP takes an assignment of the equity investor's rights under the lease of the underlying property. Because the obligations of the lessee under the lease transactions are generally economically defeased, lessee bankruptcy is the most likely circumstance in which AIGFP would be required to pay.

Asset Dispositions

#### General

We are subject to financial guarantees and indemnity arrangements in connection with the completed sales of businesses pursuant to our asset disposition plan. The various arrangements may be triggered by, among other things, declines in asset values, the occurrence of specified business contingencies, the realization of contingent liabilities, developments in litigation or breaches of representations, warranties or covenants provided by us. These arrangements are typically subject to various time limitations, defined by the contract or by operation of law, such as statutes of limitation. In some cases, the maximum potential obligation is subject to contractual limitations, while in other cases such limitations are not specified or are not applicable.

#### **Table of Contents**

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 10. CONTINGENCIES, COMMITMENTS AND GUARANTEES

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

We are unable to develop a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential payout under certain of these arrangements. Overall, we believe that it is unlikely we will have to make any material payments related to completed sales under these arrangements, and no material liabilities related to these arrangements have been recorded in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

ALICO Sale

Pursuant to the terms of the ALICO stock purchase agreement, we have agreed to provide MetLife with certain indemnities. The most significant remaining indemnities include:

Indemnifications related to specific product, investment, litigation and other matters that are excluded from the general representations and warranties indemnity. These indemnifications provide for various deductible amounts, which in certain cases are zero, and maximum exposures, which in certain cases are unlimited, and may extend for various periods after the completion of the sale.

Tax indemnifications related to insurance reserves that extend for taxable periods ending on or before December 31, 2013 and that are limited to an aggregate of \$200 million, and certain other tax-related representations and warranties that extend to the expiration of the statute of limitations and are subject to an aggregate deductible of \$50 million.

In connection with the indemnity obligations described above, approximately \$20 million of proceeds from the sale of ALICO remained in escrow as of June 30, 2013, following the release to us from this escrow of approximately \$547 million of proceeds on May 1, 2013.

Other

See Note 8 for commitments and guarantees associated with VIEs.

See Note 9 for disclosures about derivatives.

See Note 16 for additional disclosures about guarantees of outstanding debt.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 11. TOTAL EQUITY

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

## 11. EQUITY

#### **Shares Outstanding**

## The following table presents a rollforward of outstanding shares:

	Common	Treasury	Outstanding
	Stock Issued	Stock	Shares
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Shares, beginning of year Issuances	1,906,611,680	(430,289,745)	1,476,321,935
	2,092	23,984	26,076
Shares, end of period	1,906,613,772	(430,265,761)	1,476,348,011

Dividends and Repurchases of AIG Common Stock

Payment of future dividends to our shareholders depends in part on the regulatory framework that we are currently subject to and that will ultimately be applicable to us, including as a savings and loan holding company and a systemically important financial institution under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank). In addition, dividends will be payable on AIG Common Stock only when, as and if declared by our Board of Directors in its discretion, from funds legally available therefor. In considering whether to pay a dividend or purchase shares of AIG Common Stock, our Board of Directors will take into account such matters as the performance of our businesses, our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and liquidity, available capital, the existence of investment opportunities, contractual, legal and regulatory restrictions on the payment of dividends by our subsidiaries, rating agency considerations, including the potential effect on our debt ratings, and such other factors as our Board of Directors may deem relevant. We did not pay any cash dividends in the first half of 2013.

See Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for a discussion of restrictions on payments of dividends to AIG Parent by its subsidiaries.

On August 1, 2013, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock and authorized the repurchase of AIG Common Stock. See Note 17 herein for further discussion.

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income** 

The following table presents a rollforward of Accumulated other comprehensive income:

(	Depreciation Maturity lend Which Control Tempo Impair	ppreciation on) of Fixed Investments Other-Than- rary Credit( ments Were Recognized	App Dep of		Tr	Ga Foreign A Currency anslation	t Derivative ins (Losses) Arising from Cash Flow Hedging Activities	Ca Re	tirement Plan iabilities	Total
Balance, December 31, 2012, net of tax	\$	575	\$	13,446	\$	(403)	\$	\$	(1,044) \$	12,574
Change in unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of investments Change in deferred acquisition costs adjustment and other Change in future policy benefits Change in foreign currency translation adjustments Net actuarial gain Prior service credit Change in deferred tax asset (liability)		355 (87) 49		(11,632) 630 2,491 3,277		(566) (12)			104 (27) (16)	(11,277) 543 2,540 (566) 104 (27) 3,127
Total other comprehensive income (loss) Noncontrolling interests		195		(5,234) (16)		(578) (5)			61	(5,556) (21)
Balance, June 30, 2013, net of tax	\$	770	\$	8,228	\$	(976)	\$	\$	(983) \$	7,039

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following table presents the other comprehensive income reclassification adjustments for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

<b>(I</b>	Deprecia Maturit 1 Which Tem	Appreciation (tion) of Fixed y Investments Other-Than- porary Credit(l irments Were Recognized	Ap <sub>l</sub> Dep of	reciation)	C Tra	Ga Foreign A Surrency Inslation	ins Tisi Ca	erivative (Losses) Chang ng from Retirem ash Flow F Hedging Liabili activitiesAdjustm	ent Plan ities	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Unrealized change arising during period Less: Reclassification adjustments included in net income	\$	(102) 6	\$	(6,854) 152	\$	(273)	\$	\$	8 (26)	\$ (7,221)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before income tax expense (benefit) Less: Income tax expense (benefit)	į.	(108) (21)		(7,006) (2,560)		(273)			34 17	(7,353) (2,532)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax expense (benefit)	\$	(87)	\$	(4,446)	\$	(305)	\$	\$	17	\$ (4,821)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Unrealized change arising during period Less: Reclassification adjustments included in net income	\$	26 (2)	\$	2,149 317	\$	(512)	\$	\$ (4)	4 (13)	\$ 1,667 298
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before income tax expense (benefit) Less: Income tax expense (benefit)	i.	28 11		1,832 527		(512) (85)		4 3	17	1,369 459
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of income tax expense (benefit)	\$	17	\$	1,305	\$	(427)	\$	1 \$	14	\$ 910

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

	Depreci Maturi on Whic Ten	d Appreciation ation) of Fixed ty Investments h Other-Than- nporary Credit airments Were Recognized	App Dep of	reciation) All Other	C Tra	Ga Foreign A Surrency Inslation	ain: Ari: C	Derivative s (Losses) Chang sing from Retirem Cash Flow F Hedging Liabili ActivitiesAdjustm	nent Plan ities	Total
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Unrealized change arising duri period Less: Reclassification adjustments included in net income	ng \$	372 55	\$	(8,132)	\$	(566)	\$	\$	26 (51)	\$ (8,300)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before income t expense (benefit) Less: Income tax expense (benefit)	ax	317 122		(8,511) (3,277)		(566) 12			77 16	(8,683) (3,127)
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of income ta expense (benefit)	x \$	195	\$	(5,234)	\$	(578)	\$	\$	61	\$ (5,556)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Unrealized change arising duriperiod Less: Reclassification adjustments included in net income	ng \$	1,027	\$	4,472 1,277	\$	(425)	\$	(1) \$ (9)	4 (42)	\$ 5,077 1,222
Total other comprehensive income (loss), before income texpense (benefit)  Less: Income tax expense (benefit)	ax	1,031 401		3,195 909		(425) (89)		8 (15)	46 14	3,855 1,220
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of income ta expense (benefit)	x \$	630	\$	2,286	\$	(336)	\$	23 \$	32	\$ 2,635

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

The following table presents the effect of the reclassification of significant items out of Accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income:

(in millions)	Othe T	Accum r Com Inco three onths nded	nula npr om	ehensive	Affected Line Item in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of fixed maturity investments on which other-than-temporary credit impairments were recognized					
Investments	\$	6	\$	55	Other realized capital gains
Total		6		55	
Unrealized appreciation (depreciation) of all other investments					
Investments		1,306			Other realized capital gains
Deferred acquisition costs adjustment Future policy benefits		(37) (1,117)			Amortization of deferred acquisition costs Policyholder benefits and claims incurred
ruture poncy benefits		(1,11/)		(1,219)	Folicyholder beliefits and cianns incurred
Total		152		379	
2000		102		0.7	
Change in retirement plan liabilities adjustment					
Prior-service costs		10		22	*
Actuarial gains/(losses)		(36)		(73)	*
T . 1		(2.0		(#d)	
Total		(26)		(51)	
Total reclassifications for the period	\$	132	\$	383	

<sup>\*</sup> These Accumulated other comprehensive income components are included in the computation of net periodic pension cost. See Note 14 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 12. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

### 12. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

The following table presents a rollforward of noncontrolling interests:

	Redeemable												
		Noncont	rol	ling inte	rests	S							
		Held by		0									
	Do	partment					No	n-redeemable					
	De	-											
		of					N	oncontrolling					
(in millions)		Treasury		Other		Total		interests					
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013													
Balance, beginning of year	\$		\$	334	\$	334	\$	667					
Contributions from noncontrolling interests				48		48		13					
Distributions to noncontrolling interests				(144)		(144)		(31)					
Consolidation (deconsolidation) Comprehensive income (loss):				(145)		(145)		1					
Net income				4		4		48					
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: Unrealized losses on investments				(15)		(15)							
Foreign currency translation adjustments				(2)		(2)		(4)					
1 oreign currency translation adjustments				(2)		(2)		(4)					
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax				(17)		(17)		(4)					
Total comprehensive income (loss)				(13)		(13)		44					
Other								(2)					
Balance, end of period	\$		\$	80	\$	80	\$	692					
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012													
Balance, beginning of year	\$	8,427	\$	96	\$	8,523	\$	855					
Repayment to Department of the Treasury		(8,635)				(8,635)							
Contributions from noncontrolling interests		(0,000)		23		23		46					
Distributions to noncontrolling interests								(100)					
Consolidation (deconsolidation)				(4)		(4)		(100)					
Comprehensive income (loss):				( )		( - )							
Net income (loss)		208		(3)		205		43					
Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax:				. ,									
Unrealized gains on investments								2					
Foreign currency translation adjustments								(5)					
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax								(3)					
1								(-)					

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GF	ROUP INC - Form 10-Q
---	----------------------

Total comprehensive income (loss)	208	(3)	205	40
Other				(21)
Balance, end of period	\$	\$ 112	\$ 112	\$ 820

### ITEM 1 / NOTE 13. EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE (EPS)

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

### 13. EARNINGS PER SHARE (EPS)

Basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits. Diluted EPS is based on those shares used in basic EPS plus shares that would have been outstanding assuming issuance of common shares for all dilutive potential common shares outstanding, adjusted to reflect all stock dividends and stock splits.

### The following table presents the computation of basic and diluted EPS:

(dollars in millions, except per share data)	Three Mon June 2013		d 2012	Six Mont June 2013		
Numerator for EPS: Income from continuing operations Less: Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling	\$ 2,725		2,160	\$ 4,863	\$	5,545
interests: Nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests Other	27		7	52		208 40
Total net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	27		7	52		248
Income attributable to AIG from continuing operations	2,698		2,153	4,811		5,297
Income attributable to AIG from discontinued operations	33		179	126		243
Net income attributable to AIG	\$ 2,731		2,332	\$ 4,937	\$	5,540
<b>Denominator for EPS:</b> Weighted average shares outstanding basic Dilutive shares	1,476,512,720 5,733,898	1,75	56,689,067 25,408	1,476,491,719 2,970,893		1,816,331,019 27,606
Weighted average shares outstanding diluted*	1,482,246,618	1,75	56,714,475	1,479,462,612		1,816,358,625
Income per common share attributable to AIG: Basic:						
Income from continuing operations	\$ 1.83	\$	1.23	\$ 3.26	\$	2.92

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Income from discontinued operations Net Income attributable to AIG	\$ \$	0.02 1.85	\$ \$	0.10 1.33	<b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	0.08 3.34	\$ \$	0.13 3.05
Diluted:								
Income from continuing operations	\$	1.82	\$	1.23	\$	3.25	\$	2.92
Income from discontinued operations	\$	0.02	\$	0.10	\$	0.08	\$	0.13
Net Income attributable to AIG	\$	1.84	\$	1.33	\$	3.33	\$	3.05

<sup>\*</sup> Dilutive shares are calculated using the treasury stock method and include dilutive shares from share-based employee compensation plans, and a pro-rata portion of the warrants issued to the Department of the Treasury in 2008 and 2009, all of which warrants were purchased by AIG in the first quarter of 2013. The number of shares excluded from diluted shares outstanding were 75 million and 76 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and 78 million for both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, respectively, because the effect of including those shares, warrants, and options in the calculation would have been anti-dilutive.

### ITEM 1 / NOTE 14. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

### 14. EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

The following table presents the components of net periodic benefit cost with respect to pensions and other postretirement benefits:

			P	ension Non-U.S.					ostr	retirement Non-U.S.		
(in millions)	U.S.	Plans		Plans	1	Total	U.S	S. Plans		Plans		Γotal
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013												
Components of net periodic benefit cost:												
Service cost	\$	44	\$	12	\$	56	\$	2	\$	1	\$	3
Interest cost		49		7		56		2		1		3
Expected return on assets		(64)		(5)		(69)		(2)				(2)
Amortization of prior service (credit) cost		(9)		2		(9)		(2)				(2)
Amortization of net (gain) loss		33		3		36						
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	53	\$	17	\$	70	\$	2	\$	2	\$	4
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012												
Components of net periodic benefit cost:												
Service cost	\$	39	\$	13	\$	52	\$	2	\$		\$	2
Interest cost		50		9		59		2		1		3
Expected return on assets		(60)		(5)		(65)						
Amortization of prior service (credit) cost		(9)		(1)		(10)		(2)				(2)
Amortization of net (gain) loss		29		3		32						
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	49	\$	19	\$	68	\$	2	\$	1	\$	3
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013												
Components of net periodic benefit cost:												
Service cost	\$	88	\$	24	\$	112	\$	3	\$	2	\$	5
Interest cost		98		15		113		4		1		5
Expected return on assets		(129)		(10)		(139)		/ <b>-</b> >				/=×
Amortization of prior service (credit) cost		(17)		(1)		(18)		(5)				(5)
Amortization of net (gain) loss		66		6		72		1				1
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	106	\$	34	\$	140	\$	3	\$	3	\$	6
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012												
Components of net periodic benefit cost:	Φ.		Φ.	26	Φ.	100	Φ.		Φ.		Φ.	
Service cost	\$	76	\$	26	\$	102	\$	3 5	\$	1	\$	4
Interest cost		100		17		117		5		1		6
Expected return on assets  A mortization of prior service (credit) cost		(120)		(10)		(130)		(5)				(5)
Amortization of prior service (credit) cost Amortization of net (gain) loss		(17) 58		(2) 7		(19) 65		(5)				(5)
Amortization of het (gain) loss		36		1		0.5						
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	97	\$	38	\$	135	\$	3	\$	2	\$	5

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, we contributed \$64 million to our U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans and estimate that we will contribute an additional \$36 million for the remainder of 2013. These estimates are subject to change because contribution decisions are affected by various factors, including our liquidity, market performance and management discretion.

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 1 / NOTE 15. INCOME TAXES

### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

15. INCOME TAXES

#### **Interim Tax Calculation Method**

We use the estimated annual effective tax rate method in computing our interim tax provision. Certain items, including those deemed to be unusual, infrequent or that cannot be reliably estimated, are excluded from the estimated annual effective tax rate. In these cases, the actual tax expense or benefit is reported in the same period as the related item. Certain tax effects are also not reflected in the estimated annual effective tax rate, primarily certain changes in the realizability of deferred tax assets and uncertain tax positions.

### **Interim Tax Expense (Benefit)**

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, the effective tax rate on pretax income from continuing operations was 13.4 percent and 18.7 percent, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, attributable to continuing operations differs from the statutory tax rate of 35 percent primarily due to tax effects associated with tax exempt interest income and a decrease in the life-insurance-business capital loss carryforward valuation allowance primarily attributable to the actual and projected gains on sales of AIG Life and Retirement's available-for-sale securities. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, these items were partially offset by changes in uncertain tax positions.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, the effective tax rate on pretax income from continuing operations was (29.4) percent and 9.6 percent, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, attributable to continuing operations differs from the statutory tax rate of 35 percent primarily due to tax effects associated with tax exempt interest income and investments in partnerships and a decrease in the life-insurance-business capital loss carryforward valuation allowance primarily attributable to the actual and projected gains on sales of AIG Life and Retirement's available-for-sale securities. These items were partially offset by changes in uncertain tax positions.

#### Assessment of Deferred Tax Asset Valuation Allowance

The evaluation of the recoverability of our deferred tax asset and the need for a valuation allowance requires us to weigh all positive and negative evidence to reach a conclusion that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax asset will not be realized. The weight given to the evidence is commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified. The more negative evidence that exists, the more positive evidence is necessary and the more difficult it is to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed.

Our framework for assessing the recoverability of the deferred tax asset requires us to consider all available evidence, including:

the nature, frequency, and amount of cumulative financial reporting income and losses in recent years;

the sustainability of recent operating profitability of our subsidiaries;

the predictability of future operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the net deferred tax asset;

the carryforward period for the capital loss carryforwards, including the effect of reversing taxable temporary differences; and

prudent and feasible actions and tax planning strategies that would be implemented, if necessary, to protect against the loss of the deferred tax asset.

As a result of sales in the ordinary course of business to manage the investment portfolio and the application of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies, during the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, we determined that an additional portion of the life insurance business capital loss carryforwards will more-likely-than-not be realized prior to their expiration.

### ITEM 1 / NOTE 15. INCOME TAXES

#### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

We released an additional \$0.5 billion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with the life insurance business capital loss carryforwards during the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, substantially all of which was allocated to income from continuing operations. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, we released \$1.4 billion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with the life insurance business capital loss carryforwards, of which \$1.3 billion was allocated to income from continuing operations and \$0.1 billion to other comprehensive income. Additional life insurance business capital loss carryforwards may be realized in the future if and when other prudent and feasible tax planning strategies are identified. Changes in market conditions, including rising interest rates above our projections, may result in a reduction in projected taxable gains and reestablishment of a valuation allowance.

During the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, we released \$0.2 billion of the deferred tax asset valuation allowance associated with state and local jurisdictions, primarily attributable to the ability to demonstrate profits within a specific state and local jurisdiction over relevant carryforward periods.

#### **Tax Examinations and Litigation**

On March 29, 2013, the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, denied our motion for partial summary judgment related to the disallowance of foreign tax credits associated with cross border financing transactions. On April 17, 2013, we initiated a request for certification of the court's decision for immediate appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. We will vigorously defend our position, and continue to believe that we have adequate reserves for any liability that could result from the IRS actions.

#### **Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes**

At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, were \$4.9 billion and \$4.4 billion, respectively. The increase in our unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, was primarily due to foreign tax credits associated with cross border financing transactions. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, our unrecognized tax benefits included \$0.2 billion related to tax positions that if recognized would not affect the effective tax rate because they relate to the timing, rather than the permissibility, of the deduction. Accordingly, at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the amounts of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would favorably affect the effective tax rate were \$4.7 billion and \$4.2 billion, respectively.

Interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits are recognized in income tax expense. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, we accrued \$1.0 billion and \$935 million, respectively, for the payment of interest (net of the federal benefit) and penalties. For the six month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, we recognized \$80 million and \$108 million, respectively, of income tax expense (benefit) for interest net of the federal benefit (expense) and penalties.

We regularly evaluate adjustments proposed by taxing authorities. At June 30, 2013, such proposed adjustments would not have resulted in a material change to our consolidated financial condition, although it is possible that the effect could be material to our consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period. Although it is reasonably possible that a change in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits may occur within the next 12 months, based on the information currently available, we do not expect any change to be material to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations.

### ITEM 1 / NOTE 16. INFORMATION PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH OUTSTANDING DEBT

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

#### 16. INFORMATION PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH OUTSTANDING DEBT

The following condensed consolidating financial statements reflect the results of AIG Life Holdings, Inc. (AIGLH), a holding company and a wholly owned subsidiary of AIG. AIG provides a full and unconditional guarantee of all outstanding debt of AIGLH.

### **Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets**

	Inter Gro	merican mational oup, Inc. (As	Other									
(in millions)	Gu	arantor)	P	AIGLH	Su	bsidiaries	E	liminations		AIG		
June 30, 2013 Assets:												
Short-term investments Other investments <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	10,141 7,189	\$		\$	12,080 330,803	\$	(2,006)	\$	20,215 337,992		
Total investments Cash		17,330 107		31		342,883 1,624		(2,006)		358,207 1,762		
Loans to subsidiaries <sup>(b)</sup> Investment in consolidated subsidiaries <sup>(b)</sup> Other assets, including current and deferred income taxes	S	32,394 68,722 23,635		39,770 140		1,446 121,902		(33,840) (108,492) 624		146,301		
Assets held for sale						31,168				31,168		
Total assets	\$	142,188	\$	39,941	\$	499,023	\$	(143,714)	\$	537,438		
Liabilities:												
Insurance liabilities Long-term debt	\$	31,787	\$	1,393	\$	274,433 9,434	\$	(118)	\$	274,315 42,614		
Other liabilities, including intercompany balances <sup>(a)(c)</sup> Loans from subsidiaries <sup>(b)</sup>		11,807 1,131		149 350		85,099 32,360		(1,277) (33,841)		95,778		
Liabilities held for sale						26,496				26,496		
Total liabilities		44,725		1,892		427,822		(35,236)		439,203		
Redeemable noncontrolling interests (see Note 12)						80				80		
Total AIG shareholders' equity Non-redeemable noncontrolling interests		97,463		38,049		70,429 692		(108,478)		97,463 692		
Total equity		97,463		38,049		71,121		(108,478)		98,155		

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Total liabilities and equity	\$ 142,188	\$ 39,941	\$ 499,023	\$ (143,714)	\$ 537,438
December 31, 2012					
Assets:					
Short-term investments	\$ 14,764	\$	\$ 18,323	\$ (4,279)	\$ 28,808
Other investments <sup>(a)</sup>	3,902		345,706	(2,592)	347,016
Total investments	18,666		364,029	(6,871)	375,824
Cash	81	73	997		1,151
Loans to subsidiaries <sup>(b)</sup>	35,064		5,169	(40,233)	
Investment in consolidated subsidiaries <sup>(b)</sup>	70,781	43,891	(28,239)	(86,433)	
Other assets, including current and deferred income taxes	23,153	150	121,345	(4,955)	139,693
Assets held for sale			31,965		31,965
Total assets	\$ 147,745	\$ 44,114	\$ 495,266	\$ (138,492)	\$ 548,633
Liabilities:					
Insurance liabilities	\$	\$	\$ 280,533	\$ (235)	\$ 280,298
Long-term debt	36,366	1,638	10,197	299	48,500
Other liabilities, including intercompany balances <sup>(a)(c)</sup>	12,375	261	89,976	(9,146)	93,466
Loans from subsidiaries <sup>(b)</sup>	1,002	472	41,754	(43,228)	
Liabilities held for sale			27,366		27,366
Total liabilities	49,743	2,371	449,826	(52,310)	449,630
Redeemable noncontrolling interests (see Note 12)			192	142	334
Total AIG shareholders' equity	98,002	41,743	44,955	(86,698)	98,002
Non-redeemable noncontrolling interests			293	374	667
Total equity	98,002	41,743	45,248	(86,324)	98,669
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 147,745	\$ 44,114	\$ 495,266	\$ (138,492)	\$ 548,633

<sup>(</sup>a) Includes intercompany derivative positions, which are reported at fair value before credit valuation adjustment.

<sup>(</sup>b) Eliminated in consolidation.

<sup>(</sup>c) For June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, includes intercompany tax payables of \$6.3 billion and \$6.1 billion, respectively, and intercompany derivative liabilities of \$527 million and \$602 million, respectively, for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) and intercompany tax receivables for \$82 million and \$120 million, respectively, for AIGLH.

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 16. INFORMATION PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH OUTSTANDING DEBT

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Condensed Consolidating Statements of Income** 

(in millions)	Intern Grou	nerican ational ip, Inc. rantor)	AIGLE	[Sub	Other	classifications andC Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013							
<b>Revenues:</b> Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries <sup>(a)</sup> Other income <sup>(b)</sup>	\$	2,374 673	\$ 754	\$	16,726	\$ (3,128) (84)	\$ 17,315
Total revenues		3,047	754	ı	16,726	(3,212)	17,315
Total revenues		3,047	154	•	10,720	(3,212)	17,515
Expenses: Interest expense <sup>(c)</sup> Loss on extinguishment of debt Other expenses		482 38 335	32	2	36 13,317	(15) (57)	535 38 13,595
Total expenses		855	32	)	13,353	(72)	14,168
1 our expenses		000			10,000	(12)	11,100
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income expense (benefit) Income tax expense (benefit)	tax	2,192 (538)	<b>72</b> 2		3,373 967	(3,140) (4)	3,147 422
Income (loss) from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations, net of income		2,730	725	5	2,406	(3,136)	2,725
taxes		1			32		33
Net income (loss) Less: Net income from continuing operations attributable to	)	2,731	725	5	2,438	(3,136)	2,758
noncontrolling interests					27		27
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$	2,731	\$ 725	5 \$	2,411	\$ (3,136)	\$ 2,731
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Revenues: Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	1,126	\$ 84	·		\$ (1,210)	\$
Change in fair value of ML III Other income <sup>(b)</sup>		1,306 50			14,930	(65)	1,306 14,915
Total revenues		2,482	84	ļ	14,930	(1,275)	16,221
Expenses: Interest expense <sup>(c)</sup>		525	44	ļ	62	(64)	567

Net loss on extinguishment of debt Other expenses	9 926		13,050		9 13,976
Total expenses	1,460	44	13,112	(64)	14,552
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expense (benefit) Income tax expense (benefit)	1,022 (1,310)	40 463	1,818 356	(1,211)	1,669 (491)
Income (loss) from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes	2,332	(423)	1,462 179	(1,211)	2,160 179
Net income (loss) Less: Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests: Other	2,332	(423)	1,641 7	(1,211)	2,339
Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests			7		7
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$ 2,332	\$ (423)	\$ 1,634	\$ (1,211)	\$ 2,332

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 16. INFORMATION PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH OUTSTANDING DEBT

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

	Intern	nerican ational up, Inc.			Re Other	classifica	tions andConso	lidated
(in millions)	(As Gua	rantor)	AI	GLHS	Subsidiaries	Elimina	tions	AIG
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013								
<b>Revenues:</b> Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries <sup>(a)</sup> Other income <sup>(b)</sup>	\$	4,265 967	\$	1,424	\$ 32,389		(5,689) \$ (153)	33,203
Total revenues		5,232		1,424	32,389		(5,842)	33,203
Expenses: Interest expense <sup>(c)</sup> Loss on extinguishment of debt Other expenses		1,010 307 593		68 71	118 71 25,127		(84)	1,112 378 25,734
Total expenses		1,910		139	25,316		(141)	27,224
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income texpense (benefit) Income tax expense (benefit)	ax	3,322 (1,618)		1,285 (14)	7,073 2,752		(5,701) (4)	5,979 1,116
Income (loss) from continuing operations Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of inco taxes	ome	4,940		1,299	4,321 129		(5,697)	4,863 126
Net income (loss) Less:		4,937		1,299	4,450		(5,697)	4,989
Net income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests					52			52
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$	4,937	\$	1,299	\$ 4,398	\$	(5,697) \$	4,937
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Revenues: Equity in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	3,946	\$	136	\$	\$	(4,082) \$	
Change in fair value of ML III Other income <sup>(b)</sup>	φ	1,957 701	Φ	49	601 30,679		(269)	2,558 31,160
Total revenues		6,604		185	31,280		(4,351)	33,718
Expenses: Interest expense <sup>(c)</sup> Net loss on extinguishment of debt Other expenses		1,169 9 1,105		98	133 25,337		(268)	1,132 9 26,442
Total expenses		2,283		98	25,470		(268)	27,583

Income (loss) from continuing operations before income tax										
expense (benefit)		4,321		87		5,810		(4,083)		6,135
Income tax expense (benefit)		(1,219)		463		1,346				590
Income (loss) from continuing operations		5,540		(376)		4,464		(4,083)		5,545
Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes						243				243
Net income (loss)		5,540		(376)		4,707		(4,083)		5,788
Less:										
Net income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests:										
Nonvoting, callable, junior and senior preferred interests								208		208
Other						40				40
Net income from continuing operations attributable to										
noncontrolling interests						40		208		248
NAT A NATIONAL AND	ф	5.540	ф	(27.6)	Ф	1.667	ф	(4.201)	ф	5.540
Net income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$	5,540	\$	(376)	\$	4,667	\$	(4,291)	\$	5,540

<sup>(</sup>a) Eliminated in consolidation.

<sup>(</sup>b) Includes intercompany income of \$74 million and \$60 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$140 million and \$131 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor).

<sup>(</sup>c) Includes intercompany interest expense of \$2 million and \$3 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$5 million and \$136 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, for American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor).

# ITEM 1 / NOTE 16. INFORMATION PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH OUTSTANDING DEBT

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Condensed Consolidating Statements of Comprehensive Income** 

(in millions)	Intern	p, Inc.	AIGLH	Su	Other ibsidiaries	Red	classifications and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Net income (loss) Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	2,731 (4,800)	\$ 725 (3,351)	\$	2,438 (4,707)	\$	(3,136) 8,037	\$ 2,758 (4,821)
Comprehensive income (loss) Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(2,069)	(2,626)		(2,269)		4,901	(2,063)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$	(2,069)	\$ (2,626)	\$	(2,275)	\$	4,901	\$ (2,069)
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Net income (loss) Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	2,332 918	\$ (423) 934	\$	1,641 1,720	\$	(1,211) (2,662)	\$ 2,339 910
Comprehensive income (loss) Total comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests		3,250	511		3,361 (1)		(3,873)	3,249
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$	3,250	\$ 511	\$	3,362	\$	(3,873)	\$ 3,250
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Net income (loss) Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	4,937 (5,535)	\$ 1,299 (3,990)	\$	4,450 (5,627)	\$	(5,697) 9,596	\$ 4,989 (5,556)
Comprehensive income (loss) Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests		(598)	(2,691)		(1,177)		3,899	(567)
Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AIG	\$	(598)	\$ (2,691)	\$	(1,208)	\$	3,899	\$ (598)
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Net income (loss) Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$	5,540 2,638	\$ (376) 1,759	\$	4,707 3,695	\$	(4,083) (5,457)	\$ 5,788 2,635
Comprehensive income (loss)		8,178	1,383		8,402 37		(9,540) 208	8,423 245

Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests

Comprehensive income (loss)

attributable to AIG \$ 8,178 \$ 1,383 \$ 8,365 \$ (9,748) \$ 8,178

### ITEM 1 / NOTE 16. INFORMATION PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH OUTSTANDING DEBT

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows** 

(in millions)	American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor)	AIGLH	Other Subsidiaries and Eliminations	Consolidated AIG
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013  Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities continuing operations  Net cash provided by operating activities discontinued operations	\$ 698	\$ 1,809	\$ (2,188) 1,355	\$ 319 1,355
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	698	1,809	(833)	1,674
Cash flows from investing activities: Sales of investments Purchase of investments Loans to subsidiaries net Contributions to subsidiaries net Net change in restricted cash Net change in short-term investments Other, net	646 (4,179) 2,427 (86) 422 4,129 205	(1)	38,446 (37,672) (2,427) 87 534 4,395 (622)	39,092 (41,851) 956 8,524 (417)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities continuing operations  Net cash (used in) investing activities discontinued operations	3,564	(1)	2,741 (233)	6,304 (233)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	3,564	(1)	2,508	6,071
Cash flows from financing activities: Issuance of long-term debt Repayments of long-term debt Intercompany loans net Cash dividends paid Other, net	(4,107) 128 (257)	(245) (123) (1,482)	486 (1,051) (5) 1,482 (762)	486 (5,403) (1,019)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities continuing operations  Net cash (used in) financing activities discontinued operations	(4,236)	(1,850)	150 (1,119)	(5,936) (1,119)
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(4,236)	(1,850)	(969)	(7,055)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash			(70)	(70)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Change in cash Cash at beginning of period Reclassification to assets held for sale	26 81	(42) 73	636 997 (9)	620 1,151 (9)
Cash at end of period	\$ 107	\$ 31	\$ 1,624	\$ 1,762
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012  Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities continuing operations	\$ (272)	\$ 2,290	\$ (1,812)	\$ 206
Net cash provided by operating activities discontinued operations			1,426	1,426
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(272)	2,290	(386)	1,632
Cash flows from investing activities: Sales of investments Purchase of investments Loans to subsidiaries net Contributions to subsidiaries net	1,055 (526) 3,410 (106)		45,836 (34,426) (3,410) 106	46,891 (34,952)
Net change in restricted cash Net change in short-term investments Other, net	(370) 2,898 342		86 (3,757) (219)	(284) (859) 123
Net cash provided by investing activities continuing operations Net cash (used in) investing activities discontinued operations	6,703		4,216 (48)	10,919 (48)
Net cash provided by investing activities	6,703		4,168	10,871
Cash flows from financing activities: Issuance of long-term debt Repayments of long-term debt Intercompany loans net Purchase of common stock Other, net	3,504 (2,981) (2,014) (5,000) (44)	(2,303)	541 (2,290) 4,317 (6,261)	4,045 (5,271) (5,000) (6,305)
Net cash (used in) financing activities continuing operations Net cash (used in) financing activities discontinued operations	(6,535)	(2,303)	(3,693) (190)	(12,531) (190)
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(6,535)	(2,303)	(3,883)	(12,721)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash			(24)	(24)
Change in cash Cash at beginning of period	(104) 176	(13) 13	(125) 1,285	(242) 1,474
Cash at end of period	\$ 72	\$	\$ 1,160	\$ 1,232

### ITEM 1 / NOTE 16. INFORMATION PROVIDED IN CONNECTION WITH OUTSTANDING DEBT

# NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

**Supplementary Disclosure of Condensed Consolidating Cash Flow Information** 

(in millions)	Inte Gı	American rnational roup, Inc. (As uarantor)	AIO	GLH		Other osidiaries and ninations	Cor	nsolidated AIG
Cash (paid) received during the six month period ended								
June 30, 2013 period for: Interest:								
Third party	\$	(1,117)	¢	(57)	¢	(1,234)	¢	(2,408)
Intercompany	φ	(5)	φ	(14)	φ	19	Ψ	(2,400)
Taxes:		(3)		(14)		17		
Income tax authorities	\$	(6)	\$		\$	(203)	\$	(209)
Intercompany		501		(78)		(423)		, , ,
Cash (paid) received during the six month period ended								
June 30, 2012 period for:								
Interest:								
Third party	\$	(1,136)	\$	(64)	\$	(888)	\$	(2,088)
Intercompany		(128)		(47)		175		
Taxes:		_				(20-		(205)
Income tax authorities	\$	2	\$	(41)	\$	(208)	\$	(206)
Intercompany		605		(41)		(564)		

American International Group, Inc. (As Guarantor) supplementary disclosure of non-cash activities:

Six Months Ended June 30, (in millions)	2013	2012
Intercompany non-cash financing and investing activities:		
Capital contributions		
in the form of bond available for sale securities	3	\$ 959
to subsidiaries through forgiveness of loans	341	
Return of capital and dividend received		
in the form of cancellation of intercompany loan		9,303
in the form of bond trading securities		3,320
Other capital contributions net	245	339

### **Table of Contents**

### ITEM 1. / NOTE 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

### NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

### 17. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

#### **Dividend Declared**

On August 1, 2013, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.10 per share, payable on September 26, 2013 to shareholders of record on September 12, 2013. The payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on various factors, including the regulatory framework applicable to us, as discussed further in Note 11 herein.

### **Authorized Share Repurchase**

On August 1, 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of shares of AIG Common Stock, with an aggregate purchase price of up to \$1.0 billion, from time to time in the open market, private purchases, through forward, derivative, accelerated repurchase or automatic repurchase transactions or otherwise. The timing of such repurchases will depend on market conditions, our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and other factors.

#### **International Lease Finance Corporation Sale**

As of August 5, 2013, the closing of the ILFC Transaction has not occurred. See Note 4 herein for further discussion.

# ITEM 2 / MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### GLOSSARY AND ACRONYMS OF SELECTED INSURANCE TERMS AND REFERENCES

Throughout this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A), we use certain terms and abbreviations that are defined in the Glossary and Acronyms on pages 185 and 188, respectively.

American International Group, Inc. (AIG) has incorporated into this discussion a number of cross-references to additional information included elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to assist readers seeking additional information related to a particular subject.

In this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, unless otherwise mentioned or unless the context indicates otherwise, we use the terms "AIG," the "Company," "we," "us" and "our" to refer to American International Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation, and its consolidated subsidiaries. We use the term "AIG Parent" to refer solely to American International Group, Inc., and not to any of its consolidated subsidiaries.

### CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and other publicly available documents may include, and officers and representatives of AIG may from time to time make, projections, goals, assumptions and statements that may constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These projections, goals, assumptions and statements are not historical facts but instead represent only AIG's belief regarding future events, many of which, by their nature, are inherently uncertain and outside AIG's control. These projections, goals, assumptions and statements include statements preceded by, followed by or including words such as "believe," "anticipate," "expect," "intend," "plan," "view," "target" or "estimate." These projections, goals, assumptions and statements may address, among other things:

the monetization of AIG's interests in International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC), including whether AIG's proposed sale of up to 90 percent of ILFC will be completed and if completed, the timing and final terms of such sale:

AIG's generation of deployable capital;

AIG's exposures to subprime mortgages, monoline insurers, the residential and commercial real estate markets, state and municipal

AIG's return on equity and earnings per share long-term aspirational goals;

bond issuers and sovereign bond issuers;

AIG's strategies to grow net investment income, efficiently manage capital and reduce expenses;

AIG's exposure to European governments and European financial institutions;

AIG's strategies for customer retention, growth, product development, market position, financial results and reserves; and

AIG's strategy for risk management;

the revenues and combined ratios of AIG's subsidiaries.

### Table of Contents

It is possible that AIG's actual results and financial condition will differ, possibly materially, from the results and financial condition indicated in these projections, goals, assumptions and statements. Factors that could cause AIG's actual results to differ, possibly materially, from those in the specific projections, goals, assumptions and statements include:

changes in market conditions;	judgments concerning the recognition of deferred tax assets; and
the occurrence of catastrophic events, both natural and man-made;	such other factors discussed in:
significant legal proceedings;	this Part I, Item 2. MD&A and Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q;
the timing and applicable requirements of any new regulatory framework to which AIG is subject as a savings and loan holding company (SLHC), as a systemically important financial institution (SIFI) and as a global systemically important insurer (G-SII);	Part I, Item 2. MD&A of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013; and
concentrations in AIG's investment portfolios;	Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors and Part II, Item 7. MD&A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (2012 Annual Report).
actions by credit rating agencies;	
judgments concerning casualty insurance underwriting and insurance liabilities; AIG is not under any obligation (and expressly disclaims any obligation statements, whether written or oral, that may be made from time to time	

# The MD&A is organized as follows:

# **INDEX TO ITEM 2**

	Page
USE OF NON-GAAP MEASURES	<u>79</u>
EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW	
	80
RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	90
	<u></u>
Segment Results	92
AIG Property Casualty Operations	97
<u>Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense</u>	<u>113</u>
AIG Life and Retirement Operations	<u>118</u>
Other Operations	<u>130</u>
Discontinued Operations	<u>137</u>
Consolidated Comprehensive Income (Loss)	<u>137</u>
LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES	<u>139</u>
<u>Overview</u>	<u>139</u>
Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash	<u>141</u>
Liquidity and Capital Resources of AIG Parent and Subsidiaries	<u>142</u>
Credit Facilities	<u>147</u>
Contingent Liquidity Facilities	<u>148</u>
Contractual Obligations	<u>148</u>
Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments	<u>150</u>
<u>Debt</u>	<u>151</u>
<u>Credit Ratings</u>	<u>153</u>
<u>Dividends and Repurchases of AIG Common Stock</u>	<u>154</u>
INVESTMENTS	155
Market Conditions	<u>155</u>
<u>Investment Strategies</u>	<u>155</u>
Investment Highlights	<u>156</u>
<u>Impairments</u>	<u>166</u>
ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT	
	<u>171</u>
<u>Overview</u>	<u>171</u>
Credit Risk Management	<u>171</u>
Market Risk Management	176
Liquidity Risk Management	178
CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES	
	<u>179</u>
REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT	
	<u>183</u>

# **GLOSSARY**

	<u>185</u>
ACRONYMS	<u>188</u>
78	

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 2 / USE OF NON-GAAP MEASURES

Throughout this MD&A, we present our financial condition and results of operations in the way we believe will be most meaningful, representative and most transparent. Some of the measurements we use are "non-GAAP financial measures" under SEC rules and regulations. GAAP is the acronym for "accounting principles generally accepted in the United States." The non-GAAP financial measures we present may not be comparable to similarly-named measures reported by other companies.

Book Value Per Common Share Excluding Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) (AOCI) is used to show the amount of our net worth on a per-share basis. We believe Book Value Per Common Share Excluding AOCI is useful to investors because it eliminates the effect of non-cash items that can fluctuate significantly from period to period, including changes in fair value of our available for sale portfolio and foreign currency translation adjustments. Book Value Per Common Share Excluding AOCI is derived by dividing Total AIG shareholders' equity, excluding AOCI, by Total common shares outstanding. The reconciliation to book value per common share, the most comparable GAAP measure, is presented below in the Executive Overview section of this MD&A.

We use the following operating performance measures because we believe they enhance understanding of the underlying profitability of continuing operations and trends of AIG and our business segments. We believe they also allow for more meaningful comparisons with our insurance competitors. When we use these measures, reconciliations to the most comparable GAAP measure are provided in the Results of Operations section of this MD&A.

AfG After-tax operating income (loss) attributable to AIG is derived by excluding the following items from net income (loss) attributable to AIG: income (loss) from discontinued operations, net loss (gain) on sale of divested businesses, income from divested businesses, legacy tax adjustments primarily related to certain changes in uncertain tax positions and other tax adjustments, legal reserves (settlements) related to "legacy crisis matters," deferred income tax valuation allowance (releases) charges, changes in fair value of AIG Life and Retirement securities designated to hedge living benefit liabilities, changes in benefit reserves and deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), value of business acquired (VOBA), and sales inducement assets (SIA) related to net realized capital (gains) losses, (gain) loss on extinguishment of debt, net realized capital (gains) losses, non-qualifying derivative hedging activities, excluding net realized capital (gains) losses, and bargain purchase gain. "Legacy crisis matters" include favorable and unfavorable settlements related to events leading up to and resulting from our September 2008 liquidity crisis and legal fees incurred by AIG as the plaintiff in connection with such legal matters.

#### AIG Property Casualty

Operating income (loss): includes both underwriting income (loss) and net investment income, but excludes net realized capital (gains) losses, other (income) expense, legal settlements related to legacy crisis matters described above and bargain purchase gain. Underwriting income (loss) is derived by reducing net premiums earned by claims and claims adjustment expense, acquisition expense and general operating expense.

Ratios: AIG Property Casualty, along with most property and casualty insurance companies, uses the loss ratio, the expense ratio and the combined ratio as measures of underwriting performance. These ratios are relative measurements that describe, for every \$100 of net premiums earned, the amount of claims and claims adjustment expense, and the amount of other underwriting expenses that would be incurred. A combined ratio of less than 100 indicates underwriting income and a combined ratio of over 100 indicates an underwriting loss. The underwriting environment varies across countries and products, as does the degree of litigation activity, all of which affect such ratios. In addition, investment returns, local taxes, cost of capital, regulation, product type and competition can have an effect on pricing and consequently on profitability as reflected in underwriting income and associated ratios.

**Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted:** the loss ratio excluding catastrophe losses and related reinstatement premiums, prior year development, net of premium adjustments, and the impact of reserve discounting. Catastrophe losses are generally weather or seismic events having a net impact on AIG

Property Casualty in excess of \$10 million each.

### ITEM 2 / USE OF NON-GAAP MEASURES

**Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted:** the combined ratio excluding catastrophe losses and related reinstatement premiums, prior year development, net of premium adjustments, and the impact of reserve discounting.

#### AIG Life and Retirement

**Operating income (loss):** is derived by excluding the following items from net income (loss): legal settlements related to legacy crisis matters described above, changes in fair values of fixed maturity securities designated to hedge living benefit liabilities (net of interest expense), net realized capital (gains) losses, and changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA, and SIA related to net realized capital (gains) losses. We believe that Operating income (loss) is useful because excluding these volatile items permits investors to better assess the operating performance of the underlying business by highlighting the results from ongoing operations.

**Premiums and deposits:** includes life insurance premiums and deposits on annuity contracts, guaranteed investment contracts (GICs) and mutual funds.

Other Operations Operating income (loss): income (loss) excluding certain legal reserves (settlements) related to legacy crisis matters described above, (gain) loss on extinguishment of debt, Net realized capital (gains) losses, net (gains) losses on sale of divested businesses and properties, and income from divested businesses.

Results from discontinued operations are excluded from all of these measures.

### **Executive Overview**

This overview of management's discussion and analysis highlights selected information and may not contain all of the information that is important to current or potential investors in AIG's securities. You should read this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, together with the 2012 Annual Report, in its entirety for a complete description of events, trends, uncertainties, risks and critical accounting estimates affecting AIG and its subsidiaries.

We report our results of operations as follows:

AIG Property Casualty AIG Property Casualty offers property and casualty insurance products and services to businesses and individuals worldwide. Commercial insurance products for large and small businesses are primarily distributed through insurance brokers. Major lines of business include casualty, property, financial and specialty (including aerospace, environmental, surety, marine, trade credit and political risk insurance). Consumer insurance products are distributed to individual consumers or groups of consumers through insurance brokers, agents, and on a direct-to-consumer basis. Consumer insurance products include accident & health (A&H) and personal insurance. In addition, Fuji Fire & Marine Insurance Company Limited (Fuji) in Japan offers life insurance products through Fuji Life Insurance Company (Fuji Life), which are included in A&H.

AIG Life and Retirement AIG Life and Retirement offers a comprehensive suite of products and services to individuals and groups, including term life, universal life, A&H, fixed and variable deferred annuities, fixed payout annuities, mutual funds and financial planning. AIG Life and Retirement offers its products and services through a diverse, multi-channel distribution network that includes banks, national, regional and independent broker-dealers, affiliated financial advisors, independent marketing organizations, independent and career insurance agents, structured settlement brokers, benefit consultants and direct-to-consumer platforms. During the first quarter of 2013, AIG Life and Retirement completed its previously announced reporting structure changes and now presents its results in the following two operating segments: Retail and Institutional. See Segment Results

for additional information.

Other Operations AIG's Other operations include results from Mortgage Guaranty operations (conducted through United Guaranty Corporation (UGC)), Global Capital Markets (GCM) operations (consisting of the operations of AIG Markets, Inc. (AIG Markets) and the remaining derivatives portfolio of AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Trading Group Inc. and their respective subsidiaries (collectively, AIGFP)), Direct Investment book (including the Matched Investment Program (MIP) and certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP), Retained Interests and Corporate & Other operations (after allocations to AIG's business segments).

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

#### We continued our positive financial and operational performance in 2013:

AIG Property Casualty reported operating income in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 of \$1.1 billion and \$2.7 billion, respectively, compared to \$936 million and \$2.0 billion in the same periods in the prior year. Underwriting performance improved in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, as evidenced by the accident year combined ratio, as adjusted, which declined to 96.5 and 97.0, respectively, compared to 98.3 and 99.3 in the same periods in the prior year. Net investment income in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 also benefited from the strong performance of alternative investments and gains on fair value option securities.

**AIG Life and Retirement** reported growth in assets under management since December 31, 2012 from robust sales of variable annuities and retail mutual funds and appreciation due to higher equity markets. Operating income improved from effective spread management, higher net investment income and growth in fee income.

**Mortgage Guaranty** reported a 63 percent increase in new insurance written in both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012 and has experienced improving market conditions including increasing home values.

Our investment portfolio performance, excluding gains recognized in 2012 from our previous investments in Maiden Lane II LLC (ML II), Maiden Lane III LLC (ML III) and AIA Group Limited (AIA), improved in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012 through yield enhancement initiatives, including the reduction of our concentration in lower-yielding tax-exempt municipal securities and the purchase of higher-yielding securities. The investment portfolio performance also improved due to an increase in alternative investment income primarily as a result of favorable equity market performance in the six months ended June 30, 2013.

**Realized capital gains** improved in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012 because certain assets in unrealized gain positions were sold as part of a program to utilize capital loss carryforwards.

We reduced our debt in the first half of 2013 as a result of maturities, repayments and purchases of \$5.6 billion of debt, including:

the redemption of \$1.1 billion aggregate principal amount of our 7.70% Series A-5 Junior Subordinated Debentures and the redemption of \$750 million aggregate principal amount of our 6.45% Series A-4 Junior Subordinated Debentures and;

our purchase, in cash tender offers, of an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$1.0 billion, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.3 billion, of our junior subordinated debentures, capital securities issued by three statutory trusts controlled by AIG Life Holdings, Inc. (AIGLH) and senior debentures we had assumed that were originally issued by SunAmerica Inc.

we also made other repayments of approximately \$2.7 billion.

As a result of the redemptions and cash tender offers, we expect annual interest expense to decrease by approximately \$213 million.

We maintained financial flexibility in the first half of 2013 through \$792 million in cash dividends from AIG Property Casualty subsidiaries and \$1.9 billion in cash dividends and loan repayments from AIG Life and Retirement subsidiaries.

On August 1, 2013, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.10 per share, payable on September 26, 2013 to shareholders of record on September 12, 2013.

On August 1, 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of shares of AIG Common Stock, with an aggregate purchase price of up to \$1.0 billion, from time to time in the open market, private purchases, through forward, derivative, accelerated repurchase or automatic repurchase transactions or otherwise.

# **ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

**Our Performance** Selected Indicators

	Th	ree Mon June	 Ended	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in millions, except per share data and ratios)		2013	2012	2013		2012	
Results of operations data:							
Total revenues	\$	17,315	\$ 16,221	\$ 33,203	\$	33,718	
Income from continuing operations		2,725	2,160	4,863		5,545	
Net income attributable to AIG		2,731	2,332	4,937		5,540	
Net income per common share attributable to AIG (diluted)		1.84	1.33	3.33		3.05	
After-tax operating income attributable to AIG		1,655	1,678	3,637		4,724	
Key metrics:							
AIG Property Casualty combined ratio		102.6	102.4	100.0		102.3	
AIG Property Casualty accident year combined ratio, as adjusted		96.5	98.3	97.0		99.3	
AIG Life and Retirement premiums and deposits	\$	6,765	\$ 5,434	\$ 12,345	\$	10,994	
AIG Life and Retirement assets under management				293,665		267,754	
Mortgage Guaranty new insurance written		13,979	8,576	24,637		15,139	

(in millions, except per share data)	June 30, 2013	Dec	ember 31, 2012
Balance sheet data:			
Total assets	\$ 537,438	\$	548,633
Long-term debt	42,614		48,500
Total AIG shareholders' equity	97,463		98,002
Book value per common share	66.02		66.38
Book value per common share excluding AOCI	61.25		57.87

The following table presents a reconciliation of Book value per common share to Book value per common share, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), which is a non-GAAP measure. See Use of Non-GAAP Measures for additional information.

(in millions, except per share data)	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Total AIG shareholders' equity Accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 97,463 7,039	\$ 98,002 12,574
Total AIG shareholders' equity, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income	\$ 90,424	\$ 85,428

Total common shares outstanding		1,476,348,011		1,476,321,935
Book value per common share Book value per common share, excluding accumulated other comprehensive income	<b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>	66.02 61.25	\$ \$	66.38 57.87

# ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

# Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q Table of Contents ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

# **Presentation Changes**

Prior period revenues and expenses were conformed to the current period presentation. These changes did not affect Income from continuing operations before income tax expense or Net income attributable to AIG. Also, the presentation of the operating segments within the AIG Life and Retirement segment was revised in the first quarter of 2013 to reflect two new operating segments: Retail and Institutional. For further discussion, see Notes 1 and 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Net investment income decreased 31 percent to \$8.0 billion in the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period of 2012, primarily due to fair value gains in 2012 on our investments in ML II, ML III and AIA, which were liquidated during 2012. The overall credit rating of our fixed maturity portfolio was largely unchanged.

Our insurance operations achieved a \$407 million increase in net investment income due to higher alternative investment income for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Net investment income improved due to higher alternative investment income, driven primarily by equity market gains, which was partially offset by the absence of ML II gains in the first half of 2013 compared to 2012. While corporate debt securities represented the core of new investment allocations, we continued to make investments in structured securities and fixed income securities with attractive and appropriate risk versus return characteristics to improve yields and increase net investment income.

#### Table of Contents

## **ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

Net unrealized gains in our available for sale portfolio declined to approximately \$14 billion as of June 30, 2013 from approximately \$25 billion as of December 31, 2012 due to increases in interest rates and the realization of over \$1.5 billion in gains.

Other-than-temporary impairments were significantly lower during the first six months of 2013 than the prior year, in part driven by favorable developments in the housing sector that led to strong performance in our structured products portfolios.

#### **Industry Trends**

Our business is affected by industry and economic factors such as interest rates, credit and equity market conditions, catastrophic claims events, regulation, tax policy, competition, and general economic, market and political conditions. We continue to operate under difficult market conditions in 2013, characterized by factors such as low interest rates, instability in the global markets due to the European debt crisis and slow growth in the U.S. economy.

Low interest rates in the U.S. fixed income market relative to historic levels have significantly affected our industry by reducing investment returns. In addition, current market conditions may not necessarily permit insurance companies to increase pricing across all our product lines.

AIG remains focused on the following priorities for 2013:

Strengthen and improve the operating performance of our core businesses;

Consummate the sale of up to 90 percent of our interest in ILFC;

Enhance the yield on our investments while maintaining focus on credit quality;

Manage our capital and interest expense more efficiently by improving our capital structure and redeploying excess capital in areas that promote profitable growth;

Work with the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (the FRB) in its capacity as our principal regulator; and

Reduce operating expenses by leveraging our scale and driving increased standardization through investments in infrastructure.

The outlook for each of our businesses and management initiatives to improve growth and performance in 2013 and over the longer term is summarized below. See our 2012 Annual Report for additional information concerning strategic initiatives and opportunities for each of our businesses.

#### AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY OUTLOOK

We expect that the current low interest rate environment and ongoing uncertainty in global economic conditions will continue to challenge the growth of net investment income and limit growth in some markets through at least the end of 2013. Due to these conditions, coupled with overcapacity in the property casualty insurance industry, we have sought to tighten terms and conditions, shed unprofitable business and develop advanced data analytics to improve profitability.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

We have observed improving trends in certain key indicators that may offset the effect of current economic challenges. Commencing in the second quarter of 2011, and continuing since, we have benefitted from favorable pricing trends, particularly in our U.S. commercial business. The property casualty insurance industry is experiencing modest growth as a result of this positive rate trend and an increase in overall exposures in some markets. We expect that expansion in certain growth economies will occur at a faster pace than in developed countries, although at levels lower than those previously expected due to revised economic assumptions.

As part of our strategy to expand our consumer operations in emerging markets, on May 29, 2013, we entered into a joint venture agreement with PICC Life Insurance Company Limited (PICC Life), a subsidiary of The People's Insurance Company (Group) of China Limited (PICC Group), to form an agency distribution company in China. Products under consideration to be distributed by the joint venture company include jointly developed life and retirement insurance products, existing PICC Life products, PICC Property & Casualty Company Limited (PICC P&C) insurance products, AIG Property Casualty products, as well as other products aimed at meeting the needs of this developing market. We will own 24.9 percent of the joint venture company with PICC Life holding the remaining 75.1 percent. Our participation in the joint venture will be managed by AIG Property Casualty. The joint venture is planned to commence operations by the first quarter of 2014 subject to regulatory approval.

On June 6, 2013, we also exercised rights to purchase shares in connection with the rights offering of PICC P&C, a subsidiary of the PICC Group, for a purchase price of approximately \$93 million. Immediately prior to and after the rights offering, we owned 9.9 percent of the outstanding shares in PICC P&C.

#### **AIG Property Casualty Strategic Initiatives and Opportunities**

#### **Business Mix Shift**

Continue shifting toward higher value business to increase profitability.

Expand in attractive growth economies, specifically in Asia Pacific, Central Europe, the Middle East, Africa and Latin America.

**Underwriting Excellence** Enhance risk selection and pricing to earn returns commensurate to the risk assumed.

Claims Best Practices Implement improved claims practices and advanced technology to lower the loss ratio.

**Operating Expense Discipline** Apply operating expense discipline and increase efficiencies by taking full advantage of our global footprint.

**Capital Efficiency** Continue to streamline our legal entity structure to enhance transparency with regulators and optimize capital and tax efficiency.

**Investment Strategy** Continue to execute our investment strategy, which includes increased asset diversification and yield-enhancement opportunities that meet our liquidity, risk and return objectives.

Capital Efficiency

We continue to execute capital management initiatives by enhancing broad-based risk tolerance guidelines for our operating units, implementing underwriting strategies to increase return on equity by line of business and reducing exposure to businesses with inadequate pricing and increased loss trends. In addition, we remain focused on enhancing our global reinsurance strategy to improve capital efficiency.

We continue to streamline our legal entity structure to enhance transparency with regulators and optimize capital and tax efficiency. In the six months ended June 30, 2013, we completed 19 legal entity and branch restructuring transactions. We continue to implement restructuring plans in various jurisdictions. Our overall legal entity restructuring is expected to be mostly completed by the end of 2014, subject to regulatory approvals in those jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

## **ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

On July 16, 2013, we announced the planned merger of AIU Insurance Company Ltd. (AIU) and Fuji Fire and Marine Insurance Company, Ltd. (FFM), scheduled to take place in the second half of 2015 or later, subject to regulatory approvals. The merger is consistent with our growth strategy for the Japan market, and is intended to combine the expertise and experience of these companies to meet our customers' and partners' needs and provide products and services which will target higher levels of customer satisfaction in a cost-effective manner.

We continued the strategy, adopted in 2010, to improve the allocation of our reinsurance between traditional reinsurance markets and capital markets. On July 9, 2013, we entered into a five-year catastrophe bond transaction, which will provide \$125 million of indemnity protection against U.S., Caribbean and Gulf of Mexico named storms, and U.S. and Canadian earthquakes. The transaction provides us with fully collateralized coverage against losses from the events described above on a per-occurrence basis through June 2018. In addition, effective May 31, 2013, we modified certain reinsurance arrangements from our Japan entities to simplify operations and facilitate efficient capital allocation.

#### AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT OUTLOOK

AIG Life and Retirement's businesses and the life and annuity industry continue to be affected by the current economic environment of low interest rates and volatile equity markets. Continued low interest rates put pressure on long-term investment returns, negatively affect sales of interest rate sensitive products and reduce future profits on certain existing products. Products such as payout annuities and traditional life insurance that are not rate-adjustable may require increases in reserves if future investment yields fall to a level that results in expected future losses. Also, low interest rates can affect the recoverability and accelerate the amortization of DAC assets held with respect to our variable annuity, fixed annuity and universal life businesses. Equity market volatility may result in higher reserves for variable annuity guarantee features, and can affect the recoverability and amortization rate of DAC assets.

AIG Life and Retirement has implemented a number of actions to address the impact of low interest rates. These actions include a disciplined approach to pricing new business related to interest sensitive products (e.g. fixed annuities) and active management of renewal crediting rates. We have filed new life insurance and annuity products with reduced minimum rate guarantees.

Although the low interest rate environment still persists, the recent increase in interest rates has improved our outlook for our interest rate sensitive businesses. An increase in interest rates will negatively affect the fair value of our investment portfolio, but rising interest rates will increase the rate earned on new investment purchases and, over time, should positively affect overall yields. Steadily increasing interest rates accompanied by a steepening in the yield curve are also favorable for fixed annuity sales as fixed annuities become more competitive in the marketplace compared to alternatives such as bank deposits. In addition, increases in interest rates will positively affect our retirement income solutions business results, as increases in interest rates generally reduce the value of embedded derivative liabilities contained in guaranteed benefit features of variable annuities. Rapid and significant increases from current levels of interest rates, however, could result in elevated surrender activity in our interest rate sensitive products, primarily fixed annuities with lower guaranteed minimum interest rates. The extent of the increase in surrender activity, if any, will depend on the relationship of minimum guarantees embedded in certain in-force contracts relative to the pace and extent of interest rate increases. Approximately \$22.5 billion, or 24 percent, of our fixed annuity account values have minimum rate guarantees greater than 3 percent.

Table of Contents

## **ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

## AIG Life and Retirement Strategic Initiatives and Opportunities

**Assets Under Management** Fully leverage a unified distribution organization to increase sales of profitable products across all channels. Capitalize on the growing demand for income solutions and on AIG Life and Retirement's capital base, risk controls, innovative product designs, expanded distribution initiatives and financial discipline to grow the variable annuity business. Pursue selected institutional market opportunities where AIG Life and Retirement's scale and capital base provide a competitive advantage.

**Product Innovation** Develop innovative life offerings through consumer-focused research that delivers superior, differentiated product solutions.

**Operational Efficiencies** Consolidate life insurance and annuity platforms, operations and administrative systems to create a more efficient, cost-competitive and agile operating model.

**Return on Equity** We completed the merger of six life insurance operating legal entities into American General Life Insurance Company effective December 31, 2012. We are leveraging our streamlined legal entity structure to enhance financial strength and durability, more efficiently allocate capital and enhance the ease of doing business with us. We have also made organizational changes to achieve greater operational leverage across our businesses.

**Investment Strategy** Consistent with AIG's worldwide insurance investment policy, AIG Life and Retirement places primary emphasis on investments in fixed maturity securities issued by corporations, municipalities and other governmental agencies, structured securities collateralized by, among others, residential and commercial real estate, commercial mortgage loans and, to a lesser extent, private equity, hedge funds, other alternative investments, and common and preferred stocks.

Our fundamental investment strategy is to maintain primarily a diversified, high quality portfolio of fixed maturity securities with the intent to match established duration targets based on the characteristics of our liabilities. In addition, we enhance our returns through investments in a diversified portfolio of private equity funds, hedge funds and affordable housing partnerships. Although these investments are subject to periodic volatility, they have historically achieved yields in excess of the fixed income portfolio yields. Our expectation is that these alternative investments will continue to outperform the fixed income portfolio yields over the long-term while providing important diversification to our investment portfolio.

We continue to make investments in structured securities and fixed income securities with attractive risk versus return characteristics to improve yields and increase net investment income. Overall our yields have been declining since the latter half of 2012 as investment purchases have been made at yields lower than the weighted average yield of the existing portfolio. We would expect this trend to continue as long as reinvestment rates are below the weighted average yield of the investment portfolio. Although interest rates continue to be low relative to historic levels, the recent increase in interest rates has improved our reinvestment outlook.

#### OTHER OPERATIONS OUTLOOK

Mortgage Guaranty

**Mortgage Guaranty Strategic Initiatives and Opportunities** 

The improving U.S. mortgage market has resulted in increasing levels of mortgage originations, house value appreciation and tight mortgage underwriting standards which combined with improving U.S. macroeconomic conditions, have had a favorable impact on our operating results, most notably on the volume and quality of new business written. UGC is a leading provider of mortgage insurance and has differentiated itself from competitors through its risk-based pricing strategy initiated in 2009. This pricing strategy provides UGC's customers with mortgage insurance products that are priced commensurate with underwriting risk, which we believe has resulted in an appropriately priced high quality book of business. UGC plans to continue to execute this strategy during the remainder of 2013. The new business generated since 2009 accounts for approximately 50 percent of net premiums earned for the six months ended June 30, 2013.

#### Table of Contents

## **ITEM 2 / EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

During the first half of 2013, low interest rates on mortgages resulted in increased refinancing activity. These low rates, along with housing price appreciation and an improvement in the overall economy have also resulted in increased home purchase activity. If interest rates were to continue to rise in the future, we would expect refinancing activities to decline. However, a decline could be offset by any increases in mortgage activity related to an increase in home sales. Additionally, in a rising interest rate environment mortgage insurance is likely to see higher persistency generating revenue over longer periods in the future.

#### Global Capital Markets

AIG Markets acts as the derivatives intermediary between AIG and its subsidiaries and third parties to provide hedging services for AIG entities. The derivative portfolio of AIG Markets consists primarily of interest rate and currency derivatives.

The remaining derivatives portfolio of AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Trading Group, Inc. and their respective subsidiaries (collectively, AIGFP) consists primarily of hedges of the assets and liabilities of the DIB and a portion of the legacy hedges for AIG and its subsidiaries. AIGFP's derivatives portfolio consists primarily of interest rate, currency, credit, commodity and equity derivatives. Additionally, AIGFP has a credit default swap portfolio being managed for economic benefit and with limited risk. The AIGFP portfolio continues to be wound down and is managed consistent with our risk management objectives. Although the portfolio may experience periodic fair value volatility, it consists predominantly of transactions that we believe are of low complexity, low risk or currently not economically appropriate to unwind based on a cost versus benefit analysis.

#### Direct Investment Book

The DIB portfolio is being wound down and is managed with the objective of ensuring that at all times it maintains the liquidity we believe is necessary to meet all its liabilities, as they come due, even under stress scenarios, and to maximize return consistent with our risk management objectives. We are focused on meeting the DIB's liquidity needs, including the need for contingent liquidity arising from collateral posting for debt positions of the DIB, without relying on resources beyond the DIB. As part of this program management, we may from time to time access the capital markets, including issuing and repurchasing debt and selling assets on an opportunistic basis, in each case subject to market conditions.

From time to time, we may utilize cash allocated to the DIB that is not required to meet the risk target for general corporate purposes unrelated to the DIB.

Certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of the DIB are accounted for under the fair value option and thus operating results are subject to periodic market volatility. The overall hedging activity for the assets and liabilities of the DIB is executed by GCM. The value of hedges related to the non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP in the DIB is included within the assets and liabilities and operating results of GCM and is not included within the DIB operating results, assets or liabilities.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

# **Results of Operations**

The following section provides a comparative discussion of our Results of Operations on a reported basis for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Factors that relate primarily to a specific business segment are discussed in more detail within that business segment. For a discussion of the Critical Accounting Estimates that affect the Results of Operations, see the Critical Accounting Estimates section herein and in Part II. Item 7. MD&A, in the 2012 Annual Report.

The following table presents AIG's condensed consolidated results of operations:

	Thre	ee Mon June	Ended	Percentage	Six Months Ended Percentage June 30,				
(in millions)		2013	2012	Change	2013		2012	Change	
Revenues:									
Premiums	\$	9,200	\$ 9,629	(4)% \$	18,572	\$	19,099	(3)%	
Policy fees		623	567	10	1,238		1,151	8	
Net investment income		3,844	4,481	(14)	8,008		11,586	(31)	
Net realized capital gains		1,591	399	299	1,891		148	NM	
Other income		2,057	1,145	80	3,494		1,734	101	
Total revenues		17,315	16,221	7	33,203		33,718	(2)	
Benefits, claims and expenses:									
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred Interest credited to policyholder account		8,090	7,789	4	14,818		14,908	(1)	
balances		972	1,054	(8)	1,989		2,116	(6)	
Amortization of deferred acquisition			ĺ		,		,		
costs		1,353	1,472	(8)	2,639		2,819	(6)	
Other acquisition and insurance expenses		2,245	2,264	(1)	4,483		4,522	(1)	
Interest expense		535	567	(6)	1,112		1,132	(2)	
Net loss on extinguishment of debt		38	9	322	378		9	NM	
Other expenses		935	1,397	(33)	1,805		2,077	(13)	
Total benefits, claims and expenses		14,168	14,552	(3)	27,224		27,583	(1)	
Income from continuing operations									
before income tax expense (benefit)		3,147	1,669	89	5,979		6,135	(3)	
Income tax expense (benefit)		422	(491)	NM	1,116		590	89	
Income from continuing operations Income from discontinued operations,		2,725	2,160	26	4,863		5,545	(12)	
net of income tax expense (benefit)		33	179	(82)	126		243	(48)	
Net income		2,758	2,339	18	4,989		5,788	(14)	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests		27	7	286	52		248	(79)	
Net income attributable to AIG	\$	2,731	\$ 2,332	17% \$	4,937	\$	5,540	(11)%	

#### Table of Contents

# **ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

Income from continuing operations before income taxes was \$3.1 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$1.7 billion for the same period in 2012, and reflected the following:

# **Results of Operations Highlights**

pre-tax income from insurance operations of \$2.9 billion and \$1.7 billion in the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively;

aggregate pre-tax income from GCM and DIB of \$895 million and \$460 million in the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively;

underwriting improvements, and posted strong net investment income performance.

AIG Property Casualty improved its accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, due to

net investment income in 2012 included a decrease in fair value of AIG's interest in AIA ordinary shares of \$493 million;

AIG Life and Retirement continued to actively manage spread income in a continuing low interest rate environment and realized higher returns on alternative investments.

net investment income in 2012 reflected an increase in fair value of AIG's interest in ML III of \$1.3 billion based in part on sales of ML III assets by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (the FRBNY) in the second quarter of 2012; and

Our investment portfolio performance, excluding gains recognized in 2012 from our previous investments in ML II, ML III and AIA, improved due to higher returns on alternative investments, driven primarily by equity market gains.

pre-tax income in 2012 included an increase in estimated litigation liability of approximately \$719 million based on developments in several actions.

Income from continuing operations before income taxes was \$6.0 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$6.1 billion for the same period in 2012, and reflected the following:

pre-tax income from insurance operations of \$6.1 billion and \$3.5 billion in the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively;

aggregate pre-tax income from GCM and DIB of \$1.4 billion and \$796 million in the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively;

loss on extinguishment of debt of \$378 million resulting from redemptions of, and cash tender offers for, certain securities in the six months ended June 30, 2013;

net investment income in 2012 reflected an increase in fair value of AIG's interests in AIA ordinary shares and ML III of \$1.3 billion and \$2.6 billion; respectively; and

pre-tax income in 2012 included an increase in estimated litigation liability of approximately \$727 million based on developments in several actions.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, the effective tax rate on pre-tax income from continuing operations was 13.4 percent and 18.7 percent, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, attributable to continuing operations differs from the statutory tax rate of 35 percent primarily due to tax effects associated with tax exempt interest income and a decrease in the life-insurance-business capital loss carryforward valuation allowance primarily attributable to the actual and projected gains on sales by AIG Life and Retirement of available-for-sale securities. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, these items were partially offset by changes in uncertain tax positions.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, the effective tax rate on pre-tax income from continuing operations was (29.4) percent and 9.6 percent, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, attributable to continuing operations differs from the statutory tax rate of 35 percent primarily due to tax effects associated with tax exempt interest income and investments in partnerships, and a decrease in the life-insurance-business capital loss carryforward valuation allowance primarily attributable to the actual and projected gains on sales by AIG Life and Retirement of available-for-sale securities. These items were partially offset by changes in uncertain tax positions.

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table presents a reconciliation of net income attributable to AIG to after-tax operating income attributable to AIG:

	Th	nded				
(in millions)		2013	2012	2013		2012
Net income attributable to AIG	\$	2,731	\$ 2,332	\$ 4,937	\$	5,540
Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax expense Net losses on sale of divested businesses Uncertain tax positions and other tax adjustments		(33) 31 64	(179)	(126) 31 690		(243) 2 331
Legal reserves (settlements) related to legacy crisis matters		(257)	473	(321)		477
Deferred income tax valuation allowance releases Changes in fair value of AIG Life and Retirement securities designated to		(752)	(1,283)	(1,538)		(1,576)
hedge living benefit liabilities Change in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized		45	(45)	64		(33)
capital gains		835	359	889		336
Loss on extinguishment of debt		25	6	246		6
Net realized capital gains Non-qualifying derivative hedging gains, excluding net realized capital gains		(1,034)	(302) (14)	(1,235)		(103) (13)
After-tax operating income attributable to AIG	\$	1,655	\$ 1,678	\$ 3,637	\$	4,724

After-tax operating income attributable to AIG was flat in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. After-tax operating income attributable to AIG decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012, primarily due to fair value gains on AIG's previously held interests in AIA ordinary shares, ML II, and ML III, discussed above, partially offset by increases in income from insurance operations.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the effective tax rate on pre-tax operating income was 31.8 percent and 31.7 percent, respectively. The significant factors that contributed to the difference from the statutory rate included tax benefits resulting from tax exempt interest income and other permanent tax items, and the impact of discrete tax benefits.

For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the effective tax rate on pre-tax operating income was 30.8 percent and 30.7 percent, respectively. The significant factors that contributed to the difference from the statutory rate included tax benefits resulting from tax exempt interest income and other permanent tax items, and the impact of discrete tax benefits.

AIG reports the results of its operations through two reportable segments: AIG Property Casualty and AIG Life and Retirement. The Other operations category consists of businesses and items not allocated to our reportable segments.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table summarizes the operations of each reportable segment. See also Note 3 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

	Th	ree Mon June			Percentage	Six Months June 3	Percentage		
(in millions)		2013	ĺ	2012	Change	2013	2012	Change	
Total revenues: AIG Property Casualty AIG Life and Retirement	\$	9,766 6,048	\$	10,020 4,428	(3)% 37	\$ 19,725 10,788	19,818 8,330	% 30	
Total reportable segments Other Operations Consolidation and eliminations		15,814 1,691 (190)		14,448 1,869 (96)	9 (10) (98)	30,513 2,999 (309)	28,148 5,872 (302)	8 (49) (2)	
Total	\$	17,315	\$	16,221	7	\$ 33,203	33,718	(2)	
Pre-tax income (loss): AIG Property Casualty AIG Life and Retirement	\$	1,168 1,719	\$	961 777	22 121	\$ 2,772 S 3,289	1,871 1,639	48 101	
Total reportable segments Other Operations Consolidation and eliminations		2,887 270 (10)		1,738 (116) 47	66 NM NM	6,061 (144) 62	3,510 2,620 5	73 NM NM	
Total	\$	3,147	\$	1,669	89	\$ 5,979	6,135	(3)	

TOTAL REVENUES

(in millions)

A discussion of significant items affecting pre-tax segment income follows. Factors that affect operating income for a are discussed in the detailed business segment analysis.	specific business segment
	93

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

AIG Property Casualty Pre-tax income increased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily as a result of improved net investment income in both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012 due to the strong performance of alternative investments and income associated with the PICC P&C shares. The accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, improved due to the continued shift to higher value business, enhanced risk selection and improved pricing.

AIG Life and Retirement Pre-tax income increased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily due to higher net investment income driven by higher returns on alternative investments, increased fee income from growth in our variable annuity account value, continued active spread management related to our interest rate sensitive businesses and income from legal settlements. This increase was partially offset by the absence in 2013 of the fair value gains on ML II that were recognized in the prior-year period. Net realized capital gains increased due to gains from sales activity in connection with utilizing capital loss carryforwards, which were partially offset by the triggering of a loss recognition reserve, reflected in Policyholder benefits and claims incurred, from the subsequent reinvestment of the proceeds from these sales at lower yields.

Other Operations Other operations recorded pre-tax income in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to a pre-tax loss in the same period of 2012 due to increased pre-tax income from GCM and DIB. The pre-tax loss in the same period in 2012 included an increased estimated litigation liability. Other Operations recorded a pre-tax loss in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to a pre-tax gain in the same period in 2012 due to a loss on extinguishment of debt resulting from the redemption of and cash tender offers for certain securities in 2013 and fair value and realized gains in 2012 from our previously held interests in AIA ordinary shares and ML III.

Mortgage Guaranty's operating income increased in the three- and six-month periods of June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods of 2012 due to higher net premiums earned in the first-lien business and settlements and commutations.

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table presents reconciliations of pre-tax income (loss) to operating income (loss) by reportable segment and after-tax operating income attributable to AIG, which are non-GAAP measures. See Use of Non-GAAP Measures for additional information.

(in millions)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 2012					Six Month June 2013			
AIC Book of Comple									
AIG Property Casualty Pre-tax income	\$	1,168	\$	961	\$	2,772	\$	1,871	
Net realized capital (gains) losses	Ψ	(73)	Ψ	(23)	Ψ	(85)	Ψ	112	
Other (income) expense net		(10)		(2)		(13)		(4)	
Operating income	\$	1,085	\$	936	\$	2,674	\$	1,979	
AIG Life and Retirement									
Pre-tax income	\$	1,719	\$	777	\$	3,289	\$	1,639	
Legal settlements*		(359)				(467)			
Changes in fair value of fixed maturity securities designated to hedge living				( <b>=</b> 0)		00			
benefit liabilities, net of interest expense		69		(70)		98		(51)	
Net realized capital (gains) losses Changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA and SIA related to net realized		(1,430)		(326)		(1,586)		140	
capital (gains) losses		1,152		552		1,211		516	
Operating income	\$	1,151	\$	933	\$	2,545	\$	2,244	
Other Operations									
Pre-tax income (loss)	\$	270	\$	(116)	\$	(144)	\$	2,620	
Net realized capital (gains) losses		(124)		61		(211)		(356)	
Net (gains) losses on sale of divested businesses		47				47		3	
Legal reserves		14		728		25		734	
Legal settlements*		(46)		0		(48)		0	
Loss on extinguishment of debt		38		9		378		9	
Operating income	\$	199	\$	682	\$	47	\$	3,010	
Total									
Operating income of reportable segments and other operations	\$	2,435	\$	2,551	\$	5,266	\$	7,233	
Consolidations, eliminations and other adjustments		33		(87)		63		(57)	
Pre-tax operating income		2,468		2,464		5,329		7,176	
Income tax expense		(786)		(779)		(1,640)		(2,204)	
Non-controlling interest		(27)		(7)		(52)		(248)	
After-tax operating income attributable to AIG	\$	1,655	\$	1,678	\$	3,637	\$	4,724	

<sup>\*</sup> Reflects income in the first half of 2013 from settlements with financial institutions that participated in the creation, offering and sale of RMBS from which AIG realized losses during the financial crisis.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

PRE-TAX INCOME (LOSS)

(in millions)

#### **Table of Contents**

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

**OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)** 

(in millions)

AIG Property Casualty presents its financial information in two operating segments Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance as well as an Other category.

**Commercial Insurance** provides insurance solutions for large and small businesses. Commercial lines products are distributed through a network of independent retail and wholesale brokers, branches, and through an independent agency network in the Asia Pacific and EMEA regions.

**Consumer Insurance** provides personal insurance solutions for individuals, organizations and families. Consumer Insurance products are distributed through agents and brokers, as well as through direct marketing, partner organizations and the internet.

The Other category consists primarily of certain run-off lines of business, including excess workers' compensation written on a stand-alone basis, reserves for asbestos and environmental (1986 and prior) claims, and certain environmental liability businesses written prior to 2004. It also includes a portion of AIG Property Casualty expenses relating to global corporate initiatives, expense allocations from AIG Parent not attributable to the Commercial Insurance or Consumer Insurance operating segments, unallocated net investment income, net realized capital

gains and losses, and other income (expense).

See Part 1. Item 1. Business AIG Property Casualty in AIG's 2012 Annual Report for further discussion of AIG Property Casualty's products and geographic regions where it distributes its products.

We assess the performance of our operating segments based on operating income (loss), loss ratio, acquisition ratio, general operating expense ratio and combined ratio.

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

We are developing new value-based metrics that provide management with measures to evaluate our profitability, such as a risk-adjusted profitability model. Along with underwriting results, this risk-adjusted profitability model incorporates elements of capital allocations, costs of capital and net investment income. We believe that such performance measures will allow us to better assess the true economic returns of our business.

Net premiums written increased by two percent for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to the growth of new business, rate increases and the impact of a change in the timing of recognizing the excess of loss ceded premiums written discussed below, which were partially offset by the effect of foreign exchange as a result of the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Japanese yen. In the first quarter of 2013, we began to recognize ceded premiums written under excess of loss reinsurance agreements at the inception of the contract rather than ratably over the contract period. The negative impact on net premiums written from this change in the timing of recognizing these ceded premiums in the first quarter of 2013 began to reverse in the second quarter of 2013 and will continue to reverse throughout the rest of 2013. Excluding the impact of this change and the effect of foreign exchange, Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance net premiums written increased by approximately 4 percent and 5 percent, respectively, in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year.

Net premiums written decreased by one percent for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to the impact of a change in the timing of recognizing the excess of loss ceded premiums written and the effect of the Japanese yen on foreign exchange, which was partially offset by growth of new business and rate increases. Excluding the impact of excess of loss ceded premiums written and the effect of foreign exchange, Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance net premiums written increased by approximately 3 percent and 4 percent, respectively, in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year.

The loss ratio improved by 0.9 points for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the benefit from positive pricing trends and the continued effect of the execution of our strategic initiatives, which we began implementing in the second half of 2011. This improvement was partially offset by an increase in net adverse prior year development, including related premium adjustments, primarily from Storm Sandy of \$142 million. Net adverse prior year development, including related premium adjustments, during the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 totaled \$154 million compared to \$137 million for the same period in the prior year. Additionally, the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 benefitted from an increase in reserve discount.

The loss ratio improved by 2.9 points for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the benefit from positive pricing trends, the continued effect of the execution of our strategic initiatives, which we began implementing in the second half of 2011, decreases in net adverse prior year development and lower catastrophe losses. Net adverse prior year development, including related premium adjustments, during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 was \$102 million, which included \$142 million of adverse development from Storm Sandy, compared to \$184 million for the same period in the prior year. Additionally, the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, benefitted from an increase in reserve discount.

The acquisition ratio increased by 0.4 points for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 and was unchanged for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same periods in the prior year due in both cases to the change in business mix and direct marketing costs in Consumer Insurance, which were partially offset by decreases in the Commercial Insurance acquisition ratio related to the change in business mix and insurance-related assessments.

The general operating expense ratio increased by 0.7 points and 0.6 points for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year. The increase in the cost of our employee incentive plans was offset by a decrease in bad debt expense and reduced costs for infrastructure projects. The lower net premiums earned base contributed approximately 0.7 points and 0.5 points, respectively, to the general operating expense ratio.

**Net investment income** increased by 14 percent and 12 percent for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to the strong performance of alternative investments and income associated with the PICC P&C shares.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

AIG Property Casualty Results

The following table presents AIG Property Casualty results:

(in millions)	Th	ree Months June 30 2013		Percentage Change	Percentage Change		
Commercial Insurance							
Underwriting results:							
Net premiums written	\$	<b>5,876</b> \$	5,568	6% \$	10,779	\$ 10,791	%
Increase in unearned premiums		(803)	(228)	(252)	(578)	(289)	(100)
Net premiums earned Claims and claims adjustment		5,073	5,340	(5)	10,201	10,502	(3)
expenses incurred		3,685	3,776	(2)	7,014	7,506	(7)
Acquisition expenses		829	920	(10)	1,667	1,848	(10)
General operating expense		647	610	6	1,212	1,200	1
Underwriting income (loss)		(88)	34	NM	308	(52)	NM
Net investment income		623	711	(12)	1,268	1,442	(12)
Operating income	\$	535 \$	745	(28)%\$	1,576	\$ 1,390	13%
Consumer Insurance							
Underwriting results:							
Net premiums written	\$	3,390 \$	3,528	(4)%\$	6,922	\$ 7,125	(3)%
Increase in unearned premiums		(135)	(79)	(71)	(259)	(180)	(44)
Net premiums earned Claims and claims adjustment		3,255	3,449	(6)	6,663	6,945	(4)
expenses incurred		1,916	2,043	(6)	3,885	4,073	(5)
Acquisition expenses		842	812	4	1,692	1,641	3
General operating expense		498	517	(4)	1,032	1,036	
Underwriting income (loss)		(1)	77	NM	54	195	(72)
Net investment income		92	115	(20)	190	231	(18)
Operating income	\$	91 \$	192	(53)%\$	244	\$ 426	(43)%
Other							
Underwriting results:							
Net premiums written	\$	(3) \$	(1)	(200)%\$	(1)	\$ (1)	%

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Decrease in unearned premiums	22	32	(31)	42	62	(32)
Net premiums earned Claims and claims adjustment	19	31	(39)	41	61	(33)
expenses incurred	<b>78</b>	260	(70)	193	409	(53)
Acquisition expenses		1	NM		1	NM
General operating expense	77	98	(21)	204	191	7
Underwriting loss	(136)	(328)	59	(356)	(540)	34
Net investment income	595	327	82	1,210	703	72
Operating income (loss)	459	(1)	NM	854	163	424
Net realized capital gains (losses)	73	23	217	85	(112)	NM
Other income net	10	2	400	13	4	225
Pre-tax income	\$ 542	\$ 24	NM% \$	952	\$ 55	NM%
Total AIG Property Casualty						
Underwriting results:						
Net premiums written	\$ 9,263	\$ 9,095	2% \$	17,700	\$ 17,915	(1)%
Increase in unearned premiums	(916)	(275)	(233)	(795)	(407)	(95)
Net premiums earned Claims and claims adjustment	8,347	8,820	(5)	16,905	17,508	(3)
expenses incurred	5,679	6,079	(7)	11,092	11,988	(7)
Acquisition expenses	1,671	1,733	(4)	3,359	3,490	(4)
General operating expense	1,222	1,225	(.)	2,448	2,427	1
Underwriting income (loss)	(225)	(217)	(4)	6	(397)	NM
Net investment income	1,310	1,153	14	2,668	2,376	12
Operating income	1,085	936	16	2,674	1,979	35
Net realized capital gains (losses)	73	23	217	85	(112)	NM
Other income net	10	2	400	13	4	225
Pre-tax income	\$ 1,168	\$ 961	22% \$	2,772	\$ 1,871	48%

Table of Contents	Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q									
	ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY									

\* The operations reported as part of Other do not have meaningful levels of Net premiums written.

# AIG Property Casualty Quarterly Results

Operating income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, due to an increase in net investment income, which was partially offset by a slight increase in underwriting loss. Net investment income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, due to the strong performance of alternative investments and income associated with the PICC P&C shares. The asset diversification strategies that we executed during 2012 enabled us to maintain similar yields in the portfolio despite the continued low interest rate environment in 2013. Adverse development on Storm Sandy increased our underwriting loss in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013.

See Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense for further discussion of prior year development.

**Acquisition expenses** decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to a decrease in Commercial Insurance related to the change in business mix, which was partially offset by an increase in Consumer Insurance due to the change in business mix and direct marketing costs.

**General operating expenses** decreased slightly in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to decreases in bad debt expense and reduced costs for infrastructure projects. This was partially offset by an increase in the cost of our employee incentive plans of \$77 million, primarily due to the alignment of employee performance with the overall performance of the organization, including our stock

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

performance. Bad debt expense and infrastructure project expenses, in the aggregate, decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 by \$49 million from \$121 million in the same period in the prior year.

## AIG Property Casualty Year-to-Date Results

Operating income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to an increase in both net investment income and underwriting income. We generated underwriting income in the first half of 2013 compared to an underwriting loss in the same period in the prior year reflecting improved current accident year losses, decreases in net adverse prior year development as well as lower catastrophe losses. Net investment income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to the strong performance of alternative investments and income associated with the PICC P&C shares. The asset diversification strategies that we executed during 2012 enabled us to maintain similar yields in the portfolio despite the continued low interest rate environment in 2013.

**Acquisition expenses** decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the timing of certain guaranty funds and other assessments in Commercial Insurance, which were partially offset by an increase in acquisition expenses in Consumer Insurance due to the change in business mix and direct marketing costs.

General operating expenses increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, due to an increase in the cost of our employee incentive plans of \$111 million and a \$42 million increase due to the implementation of a voluntary early retirement plan in Japan. The increase in the cost of our employee incentive plans was primarily due to the alignment of employee performance with the overall performance of the organization, including our stock performance, and accelerated vesting provisions for retirement-eligible individuals in the 2013 share-based plan. This was partially offset by decreases in bad debt expense and reduced costs for infrastructure projects. Bad debt expense and infrastructure project expenses decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 by \$111 million, compared to \$197 million in the same period in the prior year.

# Commercial Insurance Quarterly Results

Operating income decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to an underwriting loss in the second quarter of 2013, compared to underwriting income in the second quarter of 2012, and a reduction in allocated net investment income due to a decrease in risk-free rates. The underwriting loss resulted from an increase in net prior year adverse loss development and higher catastrophe losses. This was partially offset by improvement in our current accident year losses, reflecting rate increases and enhanced risk selection, as well as lower acquisition expenses. Net prior year adverse development, including related premium adjustments, was \$187 million in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$2 million in the same period in the prior year. The three-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes approximately \$164 million of adverse development from Storm Sandy. This development resulted from higher severities on a small number of existing large and complex commercial claims. These increased severities were driven by a number of factors, including the extensive damage caused to properties in the downtown New York metropolitan area. In the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, catastrophe losses were \$307 million compared to \$288 million in the same period in 2012.

**Acquisition expenses** decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to the timing of certain guaranty funds and other assessments and the change in business mix.

**General operating expenses** increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to an increase in employee incentive plan expense previously discussed, which was partially offset by a decrease in bad debt expense.

#### Commercial Insurance Year-to-Date Results

**Operating income** increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to underwriting income in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to an underwriting loss in the same period of 2012, partially offset by a decrease in allocated net investment income as a result of a decrease in risk-free rates. Commercial Insurance generated underwriting income in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to an underwriting loss in the same period of the prior year, primarily due to improved current accident year losses, rate increases, enhanced risk selection, and slightly lower catastrophe losses, which were partially offset by an increase in net prior year adverse loss development. In the six-month period ended

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

June 30, 2013, catastrophe losses were \$340 million compared to \$364 million in the same period in 2012. Net prior year adverse development, including related premium adjustments was \$116 million in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, which includes \$164 million of adverse development related to Storm Sandy, compared to \$30 million in the prior year.

**Acquisition expenses** decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to the timing of guaranty funds and other assessments, as well as change in business mix.

General operating expenses increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the increase in employee incentive plan expense previously discussed, which was partially offset by a decrease in bad debt expense.

#### Consumer Insurance Quarterly Results

Operating income decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to a decrease in both underwriting income and allocated net investment income. Underwriting income decreased due to increases in acquisition expenses, investment in strategic business expansion in growth economy nations, and the change in business mix towards higher value products, offset in part by lower catastrophe losses and higher net prior year favorable development. Allocated net investment income decreased due to a decrease in risk-free rates. Catastrophe losses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 were \$9 million, compared to \$40 million during the same period in the prior year. Net prior year favorable development was \$53 million in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$36 million in the prior year. The second quarter of 2013 includes approximately \$22 million of favorable development for Storm Sandy driven primarily by the reduction of reserves for excess flood policies indicated from completed property inspections and lower than expected severity on certain other policy claims.

Acquisition expenses increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the change in business mix and direct marketing costs in growth-targeted lines of business. Direct marketing expenses, excluding commissions, for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 were \$118 million, compared to \$103 million during the same period in the prior year. These expenses, while not deferrable, are expected to generate business that has an average expected overall persistency of approximately 5 years and, in Japan, approximately 9 years.

General operating expenses decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to reduced costs for infrastructure projects.

## Consumer Insurance Year-to-Date Results

Operating income decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to a decrease in both underwriting income and allocated net investment income. Underwriting income decreased due to increases in acquisition expenses, investment in strategic business expansion in growth economy nations, and the change in business mix towards higher value products, offset in part by lower catastrophe losses and higher net prior year favorable development. Allocated net investment income decreased due to a decrease in risk-free rates. Catastrophe losses for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 were \$17 million, compared to \$44 million during the same period in the prior year. Net prior year favorable development was \$95 million in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, compared to \$50 million in the prior year period. The first half of 2013 included approximately \$43 million of favorable development from Storm Sandy.

**Acquisition expenses** increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year primarily due to the change in business mix and direct marketing costs in growth targeted lines of business, particularly in the life and A&H businesses. Direct marketing expenses, excluding commissions, for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 were \$225 million, compared to \$209 million during the same period in the prior year.

**General operating expenses** decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to reduced costs for infrastructure projects, which were partially offset by the increase in employee incentive plan expense previously discussed and the strategic expansion in growth economy nations.

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

AIG Property Casualty Net Premiums Written

The following table presents AIG Property Casualty net premiums written by major line of business:

	Th	ree Mon June			Percentage	Six Mont			Percentage
(in millions)		2013	,	2012	Change	2013	,	2012	Change
Commercial Insurance									
Casualty	\$	2,110	\$	2,181	(3)%\$	4,354	\$	4,533	(4)%
Property		1,770		1,447	22	2,453		2,419	1
Specialty		882		864	2	1,854		1,854	
Financial lines		1,114		1,076	4	2,118		1,985	7
Total net premiums written	\$	5,876	\$	5,568	6% \$	10,779	\$	10,791	%
Consumer Insurance									
Accident & Health	\$	1,645	\$	1,696	(3)%\$	3,438	\$	3,503	(2)%
Personal lines		1,745		1,832	(5)	3,484		3,622	(4)
Total net premiums written	\$	3,390	\$	3,528	(4)%\$	6,922	\$	7,125	(3)%
Other		(3)		(1)	(200)	(1)		(1)	
Total AIG Property Casualty net premiums written	\$	9,263	\$	9,095	2% \$	17,700	\$	17,915	(1)%

#### Table of Contents

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

#### Commercial Insurance Net Premiums Written

During the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, Commercial Insurance continued to focus on the execution of its strategic objectives.

Casualty net premiums written decreased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to the execution of our strategy to improve loss ratios as well as to increase specific reinsurance purchases to better manage our exposures. Changes in reinsurance strategy decreased net premiums written by \$78 million and \$135 million in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year. We implemented rate increases in retained business, especially in the U.S., that partially offset these premium decreases.

**Property** net premiums written increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to growth in new business, increases in coverage limits and changes to our per-risk reinsurance program to retain more favorable risks, while continuing to manage aggregate exposure. The increase in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 also reflected a \$197 million benefit from the impact of a change in the timing of recognizing the excess of loss ceded premiums written described below, as well as a \$102 million benefit from non-renewal of certain reinsurance programs. Catastrophe-exposed business in the Americas also benefitted from rate increases.

Effective January 1, 2013, we began to recognize the annual ceded premiums written under excess of loss reinsurance agreements at the inception of the contract rather than ratably over the contract period. Previously, we recognized ceded premiums written on these agreements based on the quarterly contractual remittance requirements, and recorded an adjustment at the end of the contract term to reflect the actual ceded premiums written amounts. This change resulted in the acceleration of ceded premiums written to earlier quarters, but had only a de minimis effect on net premiums earned. As a result of this change, ceded premiums written for the three month period ended June 30, 2013 decreased by \$197 million compared to the same period in the prior year. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, ceded premiums written increased by \$201 million compared to the same period in the prior year. The impact on net premiums written from this change will continue to reverse throughout the rest of 2013.

Net premiums written for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, also reflected the timing of a catastrophe bond issuance. We have continued our strategy, adopted in 2010, of improving the allocation of our reinsurance between traditional reinsurance markets and capital markets. During the first quarter of 2013, as part of this strategy, we secured a three-year catastrophe bond providing for up to \$400 million in protection against U.S. hurricanes and earthquakes to the extent both industry losses and our actual losses exceed specific thresholds. The bond transaction reduced net premiums written by \$96 million in the first six months of 2013. Our previous catastrophe bond issuance occurred in the fourth quarter of 2011.

**Specialty** net premiums written increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to rate increases in small-and medium-sized enterprise markets in the Americas region and in the environmental business, as well as the restructuring of our reinsurance program to retain more favorable risks while continuing to manage aggregate exposure, which increased net premiums written by \$25 million compared to the same period in the prior year.

Specialty net premiums written were unchanged for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in prior year.

**Financial lines** net premiums written increased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, reflecting growth in new business related to targeted growth products, particularly in the EMEA region as well as an improved rate environment globally. Global professional indemnity net premiums written increased by \$25 million and \$60 million in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, due to the restructuring of our reinsurance program, as part of our decision to retain more favorable risks while continuing to manage aggregate exposure, as well as by improved rates and strong new business growth.

### Consumer Insurance Net Premiums Written

Consumer Insurance net premiums written decreased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to the impact of foreign exchange as the U.S. dollar strengthened against the Japanese yen. The decreases for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

also reflected the effect of a change in the timing of recognizing the excess of loss reinsurance ceded written premiums discussed above. Excluding these items, net premiums written in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 increased compared to the same periods in the prior year as the business continued to build momentum through multiple distribution channels and continuing focus on direct marketing. In the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, excluding the impact of foreign exchange, net premiums written generated by direct marketing increased by approximately 5.0 percent and 6.7 percent, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year, and accounted for approximately 16.2 percent and 16.4 percent, respectively, of Consumer Insurance net premiums written.

**A&H** net premiums written, excluding foreign exchange, increased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior year as a result of our focus on the growth of Fuji Life products, direct marketing, and individual A&H in Asia Pacific. In addition, travel business continued to increase in most geographies across the globe. Americas continued its strategy of repositioning U.S. direct marketing operations and maintaining our pricing discipline and underwriting targets. As a result, several programs in the group personal accident business were not renewed in the first half of 2013.

Personal lines net premiums written, excluding foreign exchange, increased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior year as a result of growth in automobile products and the continued execution of our strategic initiative to grow higher value lines of business in non-automobile products. Automobile products reported growth in EMEA as our market share continued to improve over local competition in key countries. Non-automobile products also reported continued growth, including increases in Europe specialty products and personal property in Asia Pacific due to our focus on diversifying the global product mix. For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, the increases were partially offset by an increase from the impact of a change in the timing of recognizing the excess of loss ceded premiums written described above of \$38 million and \$85 million, respectively. In addition, the timing of the catastrophe bond issuance in the first quarter of 2013 reduced net premiums written by \$20 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the prior year period.

#### AIG Property Casualty Net Premiums Written by Region

The following table presents AIG Property Casualty's net premiums written by region:

	Three M Ended J		Percentage Change in U.S.	Percentage Change in Original	S	ix Montl June		Percentage Change in U.S.	Ch	centage ange in Original
(in millions)	2013	2012	dollars	Currency		2013	2012	dollars	Cı	ırrency
Commercial Insurance: Americas Asia Pacific EMEA	\$ 4,201 516 1,159	\$ 3,966 538 1,064	6% (4) 9	6% 4 11	\$	7,079 1,017 2,683	\$ 7,045 990 2,756		%	1% 9 (2)
Total net premiums written	\$ 5,876	\$ 5,568	6%	6 7%	\$	10,779	\$ 10,791		%	1%
Consumer Insurance: Americas	\$ 930	\$ 947	(2)	% (1)%	<b>%\$</b>	1,905	\$ 1,975	(4)	%	(3)%

Edgar Filing:	<b>AMERICAN</b>	INTERNATIONAL	GROUP	INC -	Form	10-Q

Asia Pacific EMEA	1,985 475	2,154 427	(8) 11	3 14	3,958 1,059	4,177 973	(5) 9	4 10
Total net premiums written	\$ 3,390	\$ 3,528	(4)%	4% \$	6,922	\$ 7,125	(3)%	3%
Other: Americas Asia Pacific	\$ (3)	\$ (3) 2	% NM	NM% \$ NM	(1)	\$ (3)	67% NM	NM% NM
Total net premiums written	\$ (3)	\$ (1)	(200)%	%	(1)	\$ (1)	%	NM%
Total AIG Property Casualty: Americas Asia Pacific EMEA	\$ 5,128 2,501 1,634	\$ 4,910 2,694 1,491	4% (7) 10	5% \$ 3 12	8,983 4,975 3,742	\$ 9,017 5,169 3,729	% (4)	% 5 1
Total net premiums written	\$ 9,263	\$ 9,095	2%	6% \$	17,700	\$ 17,915	(1)%	2%

T	Т		78	/	-	,	n	T	71	d	T T	т	n	n	n		۸T		-		n		T	•	A	1	1	N.T	C		,	A	T		٠.	n	n		\T	n		n	1	T	7	A		T	T	A .	т	18	7
		н.		<b>/</b> ■	- /	 /	к	Œ	1.5				. 1	1.5	•	•	"	н	•	•	Р	TК	. њ	< .	Д			N		. /	-	А	ш	C -	-	Р	к	•	)	יי	н.,	к		Y	′ (	А	٧,٧	٩I	. 1.	Д	ш.	 Y	1

The Americas net premiums written increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to a \$61 million benefit from the impact of the change in the timing of recognizing excess of loss ceded premiums written discussed above, rate increases in Commercial Insurance and continued growth in the personal property and private client group in Consumer Insurance. The Americas net premiums written decreased slightly in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to the effect of the increase in ceded premiums written under excess of loss reinsurance agreements discussed above of approximately \$149 million, and approximately \$120 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, due to the timing of a catastrophe bond issuance. These items, which affected both Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance, were partially offset by rate increases in Commercial Insurance and continued growth in the personal property and private client group in Consumer Insurance.

Asia Pacific net premiums written decreased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Japanese yen. Excluding foreign exchange, net premiums written increased primarily due to an increase in Consumer Insurance, reflecting growth of Fuji Life products and direct marketing business in Japan. The expansion of business in Asia Pacific countries outside of Japan also continued in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, supported by growth in individual personal accident insurance, direct marketing and personal lines products. Commercial Insurance increased in the Asia Pacific region primarily due to organic growth and rate increases in Property, Specialty, and Casualty. In addition, our decision to retain more favorable risks in Property and Financial lines increased net premiums written during the three- and six-month periods ended June 30,

**EMEA** net premiums written increased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior year, reflecting Commercial Insurance growth due to new business growth, a change in reinsurance strategies to retain more favorable risks in Property and Financial lines, and rate improvements on retained business, as well as growth in Consumer Insurance travel, warranty, and specialty personal lines products. Additionally, for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, the change in timing of recognizing ceded premiums written under excess of loss reinsurance agreements, discussed above, increased net premiums written by approximately \$57 million, while for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, it decreased net premiums written by approximately \$173 million.

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

AIG Property Casualty Underwriting Ratios

The following table presents the AIG Property Casualty combined ratios based on GAAP data and reconciliation to the accident year combined ratio, as adjusted:

	Three Months I June 30, 2013	Ended 2012	Increase (Decrease)	Six Months June 30 2013		Increase (Decrease)
Commercial Insurance Loss ratio Catastrophe losses and reinstatement	72.6	70.7	1.9	68.8	71.5	(2.7)
premiums	(6.0)	(5.4)	(0.6)	(3.4)	(3.5)	0.1
Prior year development net of premium adjustments Change in discount	(4.4)	0.1 1.9	(4.5) (1.9)	(1.6)	(0.2) 0.9	(1.4) (0.9)
Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted	62.2	67.3	(5.1)	63.8	68.7	(4.9)
Acquisition ratio General operating expense ratio	16.3 12.8	17.2 11.4	(0.9) 1.4	16.3 11.9	17.6 11.4	(1.3) 0.5
Expense ratio	29.1	28.6	0.5	28.2	29.0	(0.8)
Combined ratio Catastrophe losses and reinstatement	101.7	99.3	2.4	97.0	100.5	(3.5)
premiums Prior year development net of	(6.0)	(5.4)	(0.6)	(3.4)	(3.5)	0.1
premium adjustments Change in discount	(4.4)	0.1 1.9	(4.5) (1.9)	(1.6)	(0.2) 0.9	(1.4) (0.9)
Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted	91.3	95.9	(4.6)	92.0	97.7	(5.7)
Consumer Insurance Loss ratio Catastrophe losses and reinstatement	58.9	59.2	(0.3)	58.3	58.6	(0.3)
premiums Prior year development net of	(0.3)	(1.1)	0.8	(0.2)	(0.6)	0.4
premium adjustments	1.6	1.0	0.6	1.4	0.7	0.7
Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted	60.2	59.1	1.1	59.5	58.7	0.8
Acquisition ratio General operating expense ratio	25.9 15.3	23.5 15.0	2.4 0.3	25.4 15.5	23.6 14.9	1.8 0.6

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Expense ratio	41.2	38.5	2.7	40.9	38.5	2.4
Combined ratio Catastrophe losses and reinstatement	100.1	97.7	2.4	99.2	97.1	2.1
premiums Prior year development net of	(0.3)	(1.1)	0.8	(0.2)	(0.6)	0.4
premium adjustments	1.6	1.0	0.6	1.4	0.7	0.7
Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted	101.4	97.6	3.8	100.4	97.2	3.2
<b>Total AIG Property Casualty</b>						
Loss ratio	68.0	68.9	(0.9)	65.6	68.5	(2.9)
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums Prior year development net of	(3.7)	(3.7)		(2.1)	(2.4)	0.3
premium adjustments	(2.3)	(1.5)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(1.0)	0.1
Change in discount	(0.1)	1.1	(1.2)		0.4	(0.4)
Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted	61.9	64.8	(2.9)	62.6	65.5	(2.9)
Acquisition ratio	20.0	19.6	0.4	19.9	19.9	
General operating expense ratio	14.6	13.9	0.7	14.5	13.9	0.6
Expense ratio	34.6	33.5	1.1	34.4	33.8	0.6
Combined ratio	102.6	102.4	0.2	100.0	102.3	(2.3)
Catastrophe losses and reinstatement premiums Prior year development net of	(3.7)	(3.7)		(2.1)	(2.4)	0.3
premium adjustments	(2.3)	(1.5)	(0.8)	(0.9)	(1.0)	0.1
Change in discount	(0.1)	1.1	(1.2)		0.4	(0.4)
Accident year combined ratio, as						
adjusted	96.5	98.3	(1.8)	<b>97.0</b>	99.3	(2.3)



ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

Table of Contents	Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q
ITEM 2 / RESULT	S OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY
loss ratio, acquisition ration	ines of business and the expenses included in Other, we have determined that the traditional underwriting measures of io, general operating expense ratio and combined ratio do not provide an appropriate measure of underwriting these ratios are not separately presented for Other.

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

The following table presents AIG Property Casualty accident year catastrophe losses by region:

	# of		<b>2013</b> Asia				# of		2012 Asia		
(in millions)	Events	Americas	Pacific	EMEA		Total	<b>Events</b>	Americas	Pacific	<b>EMEA</b>	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, Flooding Tornadoes and hailstorms Windstorms	4 4	\$ 158 111	\$	\$ 47	\$	205 111	1	\$ 242	\$	\$ 20	\$ 20 308
Claims and claim expenses Reinstatement premiums		269		47		316		242	66	20	328
Total catastrophe-related charges	8	\$ 269	\$	\$ 47	\$	316	10	\$ 242	\$ 66	\$ 20	\$ 328
Commercial Insurance Consumer Insurance		\$ 262 \$ 7	<b>\$</b> <b>\$</b>		<b>\$</b>	307 9		\$ 231 \$ 11		\$ 20 \$	\$ 288 \$ 40
Total severe losses	3				\$	38	5				\$ 81
Six Months Ended June 30, Flooding Tornadoes and hailstorms Windstorms	5 4 2	\$ 158 111 31	\$ 10	\$ 47	\$	215 111 31	1	\$ 322	\$ 66	\$ 20	\$ 20 388
Claims and claim expenses Reinstatement premiums		300	10	47		357		322	66	20	408
Total catastrophe-related charges	11	\$ 300	\$ 10	\$ 47	\$	357	10	\$ 322	\$ 66	\$ 20	\$ 408
Commercial Insurance Consumer Insurance		\$ 286 \$ 14			\$ \$	340 17		\$ 307 \$ 15		\$ 20 \$	\$ 364 \$ 44
Total severe losses	6				\$	98	7				\$ 123

<sup>\*</sup> Events shown in the above table are catastrophic insured events having a net impact in excess of \$10 million each. Severe losses are defined as non-catastrophe individual first party losses greater than \$10 million, net of related reinsurance.

Commercial Insurance Quarterly and Year-to-Date Ratios

The improvement in the accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 reflects the realization of benefits from the continued execution of our multi-faceted strategy to enhance risk selection, pricing discipline, exposure management and claims processing. Although the execution of these strategies resulted in a reduction of Casualty net premiums written, it also improved the accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, as we continued to remediate our primary and excess Casualty books in both the Americas and EMEA regions. Financial lines improved due to rate strengthening, restructuring and re-underwriting of certain products. Severe losses in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 represented approximately 0.7 point and 1.0 point, respectively, compared to 1.3 points and 1.0 point in the same periods in the prior year, respectively, and are included in the accident year loss ratio, as adjusted. Net prior year adverse development including related premium adjustments represents 4.4 points and 1.6 points of the loss ratio in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to net prior year (favorable)/adverse development of (0.1) point and 0.2 points in the respective prior-year periods. Adverse development on Storm Sandy added 3.2 points and 1.8 points, respectively, to the loss ratios for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013.

The acquisition ratio decreased by 0.9 points and 1.3 points in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to change in business mix and timing of insurance-related assessments.

The general operating expense ratio increased by 1.4 points and 0.5 points, respectively, in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior year. The increase in employee incentive compensation plan expense, previously discussed, was slightly offset by decreases in bad debt expenses. The lower net premiums earned base contributed approximately 0.7 points and 0.4 points, respectively, to the general operating

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

expense ratio. Employee incentive plan expense contributed approximately 1.1 points and 0.8 points, respectively, to the increase in the general operating expense ratio. Bad debt expenses in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 represent a decrease of approximately 0.4 points and 0.8 points, respectively, over the same periods in 2012.

#### Consumer Insurance Quarterly and Year-to-Date Ratios

The accident year loss ratio, as adjusted, increased by 1.1 points and 0.8 points in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to higher losses associated with a warranty retail program, which increased the loss ratio by 1.5 points and 0.8 points, respectively. This was partially offset by improvements in Personal lines, primarily automobile, as a result of rate and underwriting actions taken in current and prior years in Japan, as well as from improvements in the U.S. private client group, Japan property and Asia Pacific A&H business. Storm Sandy favorable development reduced the loss ratio by 0.7 points and 0.6 points in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

The acquisition ratio increased by 2.4 points and 1.8 points in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year, primarily due to the change in business mix and direct marketing costs in growth-targeted lines of business. Direct marketing spend, excluding commissions, increased by approximately 15 percent and 8 percent in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year.

The general operating expense ratio increased by 0.3 points and 0.6 points in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in the prior year due to the increase in employee incentive compensation plan expense previously discussed, which was partially offset by a decrease of 0.1 points and 0.2 points in infrastructure project costs, respectively. The lower net premiums earned base contributed approximately 0.9 points and 0.6 points, respectively, to the general operating expense ratio.

## Other Category

We incurred lower general operating expenses in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to reduced costs for infrastructure projects that are not specific to either Commercial Insurance or Consumer Insurance. This contributed approximately 0.2 points to the decrease to the AIG Property Casualty general operating expense ratio in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year. We continue to invest in a number of infrastructure projects, including the implementation of global finance and information systems, compliance with the regulatory requirements of the FRB, legal entity restructuring, and underwriting and claims improvement initiatives.

We incurred higher general operating expenses in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily related to our implementation of a voluntary early retirement plan in Japan, which contributed approximately 0.2 points in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 to the AIG Property Casualty general operating expense ratio.

AIG Property Casualty Investing and Other Results

## The following table presents AIG Property Casualty's investing and other results:

	Th	ree Mon June	 Ended	S Percentage	ix Montl June	 	Percentage
(in millions)		2013	2012	Change	2013	2012	Change
Net investment income							
Commercial Insurance	\$	623	\$ 711	(12)%\$	1,268	\$ 1,442	(12)%
Consumer Insurance		92	115	(20)	190	231	(18)
Other		595	327	82	1,210	703	72

Total net investment income	1,310	1,153	14	2,668	2,376	12
Net realized capital gains (losses)	73	23	217	85	(112)	NM
Other income net	10	2	400	13	4	225
Investing and other results	\$ 1.393 \$	1.178	18% \$	2,766	\$ 2.268	22%

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG PROPERTY CASUALTY

We manage and account for our invested assets on a legal entity basis in conformity with regulatory requirements. Within a legal entity, invested assets are available to pay claims and expenses of both Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance operating segments as well as the Other category. Invested assets are not segregated or otherwise separately identified for the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance operating segments.

Investment income is allocated to the Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance operating segments based on an internal investment income allocation model. The model estimates investable funds based primarily on loss reserves, unearned premiums and a capital allocation for each segment. The investment income allocation is calculated based on the estimated investable funds and risk-free yields (plus an illiquidity premium) consistent with the approximate duration of the liabilities. The actual yields in excess of the allocated amounts and the investment income from the assets not attributable to the Commercial Insurance and the Consumer Insurance operating segments are assigned to the Other category. Commencing in the first quarter of 2013, we began applying similar duration and risk-free yields (plus an illiquidity premium) to the allocated capital of Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance as is applied to loss reserves.

Net realized capital gains (losses) and Other income (expense) net are not allocated to Commercial Insurance and Consumer Insurance, but are reported as part of the Other category.

#### Quarterly and Year-to-Date Net Investment Income

Net investment income is influenced by a number of factors, including the amounts and timing of inward and outward cash flows, the interest rate environment and changes in overall asset allocation. Certain of our structured securities and our additional investment in PICC P&C shares acquired in the PICC P&C rights offering are accounted for under the fair value option, which may also increase the volatility of our net investment income. The increase in the fair value of structured securities in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 was offset by a decrease in interest income from available for sale securities. Net investment income increased by \$157 million, or 14 percent, and \$292 million, or 12 percent, in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012, primarily due to the strong performance in alternative investments.

Corporate debt securities continues to be our largest asset category. We continued to reduce our concentration in lower yielding tax-exempt municipal bond holdings and focus on risk-weighted opportunistic investments in higher yielding assets such as structured securities. This asset diversification has achieved an increase in average yields while the overall credit ratings of our fixed maturity investments were largely unchanged. We expect to continue to execute our investment strategy in 2013 to meet our liquidity, duration and credit quality objectives as well as current risk-return and tax objectives.

Net investment income from investment categories other than debt securities increased by \$180 million and \$343 million in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2012. In the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, approximately \$129 million and \$256 million, respectively, were attributed to the strong performance of alternative investments, following an approximate 2 percent and 18 percent increase in the S&P 500 Index in the respective periods. Investment income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 includes a \$58 million gain related to the PICC P&C shares. Income from the life settlement portfolios also increased by approximately \$40 million during the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

#### Quarterly and Year-to-Date Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

Net realized capital gains in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 were driven by gains recognized on the sale of fixed maturity and equity securities of \$88 million and \$159 million in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, partially offset by impairment charges on life settlement contracts of approximately \$38 million and \$87 million in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, as a result of decreases in their estimated fair value and other-than-temporary impairment charges of \$9 million and \$27 million in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

Net realized capital gains for the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 were primarily driven by gains recognized on the sale of fixed maturity and equity securities in the amount of \$165 million. This was partially offset by other-than-temporary impairments of \$96 million, primarily attributable to publicly traded and privately held equity securities in the Japan portfolios and a decrease in recoverable values for structured securities. In addition, impairment charges of \$56 million related to life settlement contracts were recorded during the period.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE

Net realized capital losses for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 were primarily driven by other-than-temporary impairments of \$299 million, primarily attributable to a decrease in recoverable values for structured securities, and partnership investments and equity securities in an unrealized loss position for more than 12 months. In addition, impairment charges of \$114 million related to life settlement contracts were recorded during the period. These items were partially offset by gains recognized on the sale of fixed maturity securities of \$329 million.

## Liability for Unpaid Claims and Claims Adjustment Expense

The following discussion of the consolidated liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses (loss reserves) presents loss reserves for AIG Property Casualty as well as the loss reserves pertaining to the Mortgage Guaranty reporting unit, which is reported in Other operations.

The following table presents the components of AIG's gross loss reserves by major lines of business on a U.S. statutory basis\*:

	J	June 30,	Dec	ember 31,
(in millions)		2013		2012
Other liability occurrence	\$	20,538	\$	21,533
International		16,105		17,453
Workers' compensation (net of discount)		17,052		17,319
Other liability claims made		11,209		11,443
Property		4,201		4,961
Auto liability		3,079		3,060
Products liability		2,177		2,195
Medical malpractice		1,652		1,651
Mortgage guaranty / credit		1,614		1,957
Accident and health		1,569		1,518
Commercial multiple peril		1,359		1,310
Aircraft		1,160		1,065
Fidelity/surety		569		647
Other		1,770		1,879
Total	\$	84,054	\$	87,991

<sup>\*</sup> Presented by lines of business pursuant to statutory reporting requirements as prescribed by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners.

AIG's gross loss reserves represent the accumulation of estimates of ultimate losses, including estimates for IBNR and loss expenses, less applicable discount for future investment income. We regularly review and update the methods and assumptions used to determine loss reserve estimates and to establish the resulting reserves. Any adjustments resulting from this review are reflected in operating income. Because loss reserve estimates are subject to the outcome of future events, changes in estimates are unavoidable given that loss trends vary and time is often required for changes in trends to be recognized and confirmed. Reserve changes that increase prior years' estimates of ultimate cost are referred to as unfavorable or adverse development or reserve strengthening. Reserve changes that decrease prior years' estimates of ultimate cost are referred to as favorable development.

The net loss reserves represent loss reserves reduced by reinsurance recoverable, net of an allowance for unrecoverable reinsurance, less applicable discount for future investment income.

The following table classifies the components of net loss reserves by business unit:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

(in millions)	J	une 30, 2013	Dece	ember 31, 2012
AIG Property Casualty:				
Commercial Insurance	\$	54,000	\$	56,462
Consumer Insurance		5,388		5,592
Other		4,662		4,895
Total AIG Property Casualty		64,050		66,949
Other operations Mortgage Guaranty		1,542		1,833
Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense	\$	65,592	\$	68,782

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE

## **Discounting of Reserves**

The following table presents the components of AIG Property Casualty's loss reserve discount included above:

(in millions)	Ju	ine 30, 2013	,			
U.S. workers' compensation:						
Tabular	\$	801	\$	801		
Non-tabular		2,394		2,394		
Asbestos		41		51		
Total	\$	3,236	\$	3,246		

The following table presents the net reserve discount benefit (charge):

	Th	ree Mon June		Six Months Ende June 30,				
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013		2012
Change in loss reserve discount Change in loss reserve discount Prior year development	<b>\$</b> nt	71	\$	85 100	\$	142	\$	170 87
Accretion of reserve discount		(76)		(91)		(152)		(182)
Net reserve discount benefit (charge)	\$	(5)	\$	94	\$	(10)	\$	75

## **Quarterly Reserving Conclusion**

AIG net loss reserves represent our best estimate of our liability for net losses and loss expenses as of June 30, 2013. While we regularly review the adequacy of established loss reserves, there can be no assurance that our ultimate loss reserves will not develop adversely and materially exceed our loss reserves as of June 30, 2013. In our opinion, such adverse development and resulting increase in reserves are not likely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, although such events could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations for an individual reporting period.

## The following table presents the rollforward of net loss reserves:

	Th	ree Mon June	 Ended	,	Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in millions)		2013	2012		2013	2012		
Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of period	\$	66,825	\$ 69,873	\$	68,782 \$	70,825		
Foreign exchange effect		(393)	(701)		(909)	(611)		
Other, including dispositions		<b>(79)</b>			<b>(79)</b>			
Change due to NICO reinsurance transaction		22	24		66	33		

Losses and loss expenses incurred:						
Current year, undiscounted		5,380		6,062	10,791	11,902
Prior years, undiscounted*		213		72	160	111
Change in discount		5		(94)	10	(75)
Losses and loss expenses incurred		5,598		6,040	10,961	11,938
•		,		,	,	,
Losses and loss expenses paid		6,381		6.871	13,229	13,820
Dosses and loss expenses para		0,001		0,071	10,225	15,020
Net liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of						
period	\$	65,592	¢	68.365 <b>\$</b>	65,592 \$	68,365
period	φ	03,372	φ	00,505	03,392 ф	00,505

<sup>\*</sup> See tables below for details of prior year development by business unit, accident year and major class of business.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE

The following tables summarize development, (favorable) or unfavorable, of incurred losses and loss expenses for prior years, net of reinsurance:

		Three N End June	led	Six Months Ended June 30,						
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013		2012		
Prior accident year development by accident year:  Accident Year 2012	\$	72	\$		\$	34	\$			
2011	Ψ	(10)	Ψ	(167)	Ψ	(37)	Ψ	(324)		
2010		9		(25)		(10)		(75)		
2009		15		12		(16)		17		
2008		13		(34)		28		(27)		
2007		27		25		26		18		
2006		14		(6)		22		(7)		
2005		36		23		40		58		
2004		1		18		(12)		(15)		
2003 and prior		36		226		85		466		
Total	\$	213	\$	72	\$	160	\$	111		

For certain categories of claims (e.g., construction defect claims and environmental claims), losses may sometimes be reclassified to an earlier or later accident year as more information about the date of occurrence becomes available to AIG. These reclassifications are shown as development in the respective years in the table above.

	Three N End June	led	Six Months Ended June 30,					
(in millions)	2013		2012		2013		2012	
Prior accident year development by major class of business: <b>Commercial Insurance:</b>								
Excess casualty U.S. Environmental (post 1986 ongoing) U.S.	\$ 13	\$	23 63	\$	(12)	\$	129 107	
Healthcare U.S.			45				45	
Property U.S. Primary casualty U.S.:	(8)		1		(62)		(62)	
Loss-sensitive	70		(25)		80		(6)	
Other	13		20		59		76	
Natural catastrophes:					4.00			
U.S.	165		(71)		179		(155)	
International	(10)		(38)		(23)		(89)	
All other, net: U.S.	45		(31)		8		11	

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

International	(31)	(5)	(33)	(40)
Total all other, net	14	(36)	(25)	(29)
<b>Total Commercial Insurance</b>	257	(18)	196	16
<b>Total Consumer Insurance</b>	(53)	(36)	(95)	(51)
Other Asbestos and environmental (1986 and prior) U.S. International	18 2	45 5	33 11	70 5
Total asbestos and environmental Environmental (1987 2003) U.S. All other, net	20	50 121	44 37	75 142 (12)
Total Other	20	171	81	205
<b>Total AIG Property Casualty</b>	224	117	182	170
Other operations Mortgage Guaranty	(11)	(45)	(22)	(59)
Total	\$ 213 \$	72 \$	160 \$	111

#### Table of Contents

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE

#### Quarterly and Year-to-Date Net Loss Development

In determining the loss development from prior accident years, we analyze and evaluate the change in estimated ultimate loss for each accident year by class of business. For example, if loss emergence for a class of business is different than expected for certain accident years, we examine the indicated effect such emergence would have on the reserves of that class of business. In some cases, the higher or lower than expected emergence may result in no clear change in the ultimate loss estimate for the accident years in question, and no adjustment would be made to the reserves for the class of business for prior accident years. In other cases, the higher or lower than expected emergence may result in a larger change, either favorable or unfavorable. As appropriate, we make adjustments for the difference between the actual and expected loss emergence. As part of our reserving process, we also consider notices of claims received with respect to emerging and/or evolving issues, such as those related to the U.S. mortgage and housing market.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, the net adverse development was driven by reserve increases on claims in the Commercial Insurance operating segment and Other that was partially offset by net favorable development in the Consumer Insurance operating segment. The net adverse development in Commercial Insurance was primarily attributable to domestic property exposures mostly due to the increase in reserves for Storm Sandy, and by adverse development in the primary Casualty lines, primarily from loss-sensitive business that was entirely offset by additional premiums and higher than expected legal costs on claims for construction defects policies covering artisan contractors from accident years 2004 and prior. The adverse development on those classes was partially offset by case reductions on some large claims and favorable development on other short-lived classes. The adverse development in Other for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 included adverse development on legacy asbestos and environmental exposures (1986 and prior). In addition, the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 included adverse development on run-off environmental exposures (1987 2003).

The favorable development in Consumer Insurance was due to lower than expected losses in Personal lines, including decreases in reserves for Storm Sandy, and non-catastrophe losses.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, the net adverse development was driven by reserve increases on claims in Commercial Insurance and Other, which was partially offset by net favorable development in reserves in Consumer Insurance. The net adverse development in Commercial Insurance was primarily attributable to the increase in reserves on large excess casualty cases, primarily casualty and environmental business (policies written after 1987), partially offset by favorable development in domestic property exposures and catastrophe losses. The favorable development in Consumer Insurance was due to lower than expected losses on natural catastrophe losses in Personal lines. The adverse development in Other included adverse development on run-off environmental exposures (1987 2003).

AIG Property Casualty recognized additional premiums on loss-sensitive business of \$70 million and \$80 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to returned premiums of \$20 million and \$14 million in the same periods in the prior year, respectively. In addition, we incurred reinstatement premiums of \$14 million and \$25 million for the three-and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, associated with the development on prior years' catastrophes.

See AIG Property Casualty Results herein and Other Operations Other Operations Results Mortgage Guaranty for further discussion of net loss development.

### **Asbestos and Environmental Reserves**

The estimation of loss reserves relating to asbestos and environmental claims on insurance policies written many years ago is subject to greater uncertainty than other types of claims due to inconsistent court decisions as well as judicial interpretations and legislative actions that in some cases have tended to broaden coverage beyond the original intent of such policies and in others have expanded theories of liability.

As described more fully in the 2012 Annual Report, AIG's reserves relating to asbestos and environmental claims reflect a comprehensive ground-up analysis performed periodically. In the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, AIG increased its gross asbestos reserves by \$23 million and its net asbestos reserves by \$13 million to reflect a small amount of uncollectible reinsurance and accretion of discount. In the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, AIG increased its gross environmental reserves by \$61 million and its net environmental reserves by \$38 million as a result of recent actuarial analyses performed as well as development on one large account.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / LIABILITY FOR UNPAID CLAIMS AND CLAIMS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSE

In addition to the U.S. asbestos and environmental reserve amounts shown in the tables below, AIG Property Casualty also has asbestos reserves relating to foreign risks written by non-U.S. entities of \$127 million gross and \$104 million net as of June 30, 2013. The asbestos reserves relating to non-U.S. risks written by non-U.S. entities were \$140 million gross and \$116 million net as of December 31, 2012.

The following table provides a summary of reserve activity, including estimates for applicable IBNR, relating to asbestos and environmental claims:

As of or for the Six Months Ended June 30,	2013		2012	
(in millions)	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Asbestos: Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year Change in net loss reserves due to retroactive reinsurance: Paid losses recoverable under retroactive reinsurance contracts Re-estimation of amounts recoverable under retroactive reinsurance contracts	\$ 4,896 \$	427 \$ 69 (3)	5,226 \$	537 50 (17)
Change in net loss reserves due to retroactive reinsurance		66		33
Dispositions Loss and loss expenses incurred: Undiscounted	(12)	(12) 6		
Change in discount	23	10	57	26
Losses and loss expenses incurred <sup>(b)</sup>	23	16	57	26
Losses and loss expenses paid <sup>(b)</sup>	(252)	(114)	(230)	(116)
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of period	\$ 4,655 \$	383 \$	5,053 \$	480
Environmental: Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year Dispositions Losses and loss expenses incurred	\$ 309 \$ (1) 61	163 \$ (1) 38	204 \$ 150	119 74
Losses and loss expenses paid	(58)	(33)	(22)	(15)
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of period	\$ 311 \$	167 \$	332 \$	178
Combined: Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at beginning of year Change in net loss reserves due to retroactive reinsurance: Paid losses recoverable under retroactive reinsurance contracts	\$ 5,205 \$	590 \$	5,430 \$	656 50
Re-estimation of amount recoverable under retroactive reinsurance contracts		(3)		(17)
Change in net loss reserves due to retroactive reinsurance		66		33
Dispositions	(13)	(13)		

Losses and loss expenses incurred:				
Undiscounted	61	44	150	74
Change in discount	23	10	57	26
Losses and loss expenses incurred	84	54	207	100
Losses and loss expenses paid	(310)	(147)	(252)	(131)
Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense at end of period	\$ 4,966 \$	<b>550</b> \$	5,385 \$	658

<sup>(</sup>a) Re-estimation of amounts recoverable under retroactive reinsurance contracts includes effect of changes in reserve estimates and changes in discount.

<sup>(</sup>b) These amounts exclude benefit from retroactive reinsurance.

#### Table of Contents

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

The results of AIG Life and Retirement for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 reflected the following:

Disciplined spread management, primarily through crediting rate changes and opportunistic investments to enhance yields resulted in improvements in base net investment spreads for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in the prior year. Private equity and hedge fund investment income in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 increased \$265 million, or 156 percent, compared to the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 and \$473 million or 104 percent for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the prior year period, primarily due to favorable equity market conditions and income recorded on several large redemptions from hedge funds.

A decrease in the fair value of our investment in PICC Group of \$84 million and \$53 million in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

Continued strong sales in retirement income solutions primarily driven by increased variable annuity sales as deposits for the threeand six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 increased by \$878 million and \$1.1 billion, an increase of 65 percent and 45 percent, respectively, over the prior year periods.

In 2012, AIG Life and Retirement announced several key organizational structure and management changes intended to better serve the organization's distribution partners and customers. Key aspects of the new structure are distinct product divisions, shared annuity and life operations platforms and a unified all-channel distribution organization with access to all AIG Life and Retirement products. During the first quarter of 2013, AIG Life and Retirement fully implemented these changes to reflect its new structure and now presents its operating results in the two operating segments described below. All prior period amounts presented have been revised to reflect the new structure.

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

#### Retail

#### Institutional

The Retail operating segment product lines include life insurance and accident and health (A&H), fixed annuities, retirement income solutions, brokerage services and retail mutual funds. These products are marketed under the following brands American General, AGLA, Western National and SunAmerica.

**Life Insurance and A&H:** Primary products include term life insurance, universal life insurance and A&H products. Life insurance and A&H products are primarily distributed through independent marketing organizations and independent insurance agents under the American General brand. Career agents distribute Life Insurance and A&H products under the AGLA brand. AIG Direct is a proprietary direct-to-consumer distributor of term life insurance and A&H products. The Life Insurance and A&H product line will continue to focus on innovative product development and delivering differentiated life insurance solutions to producers and customers.

**Fixed Annuities:** Products are primarily marketed under the Western National brand and include single and flexible premium deferred fixed annuities and single premium immediate annuities. The Fixed Annuities business line maintains its leading industry position in the bank distribution channel by designing products in cooperation with banks and offering an efficient and flexible administration platform.

**Retirement Income Solutions:** Primary products include variable and fixed index annuities that provide asset accumulation and lifetime income through innovative design and hedging strategies. Marketed under the SunAmerica Retirement Markets brand, variable annuities are distributed through banks and national, regional and independent broker-dealer firms. Fixed index annuities are distributed through banks under the Western National brand and through career and independent insurance agents under the American General brand.

**Brokerage Services:** Includes the operations of Advisor Group, which is one of the largest networks of independent financial advisors in the U.S. Brands include Royal Alliance, SagePoint, FSC Securities and, since its acquisition in November 2012, Woodbury Financial Services, Inc. (Woodbury Financial).

Retail Mutual Funds: Includes the mutual fund and related administration and servicing operations of SunAmerica Asset Management.

The Institutional operating segment product lines include group retirement, group benefits and institutional markets. These products and services are marketed through a variety of brands described below.

**Group Retirement:** Products are marketed under the Variable Annuity Life Insurance Company (VALIC) brand and include fixed and variable group annuities, group mutual funds, and group administrative and compliance services. VALIC career financial advisors and independent financial advisors provide retirement plan participants with enrollment support and comprehensive financial planning services.

**Group Benefits:** In 2012, AIG Life and Retirement and AIG Property Casualty combined their U.S. group benefits businesses, and the combined business now operates as AIG Benefit Solutions. This business will continue to market a wide range of insurance and benefits products for employees (both employer-paid and voluntary) and affinity groups. Primary product offerings include life insurance, accidental death, business travel accident, disability income, medical excess (stop loss), dental, vision and worksite universal life, critical illness and accident.

**Institutional Markets:** Products primarily include stable value wrap products, structured settlement and terminal funding annuities, private placement variable life and annuities, corporate- and bank-owned life insurance and guaranteed investment contracts. These products are marketed under the American General brand through independent marketing organizations and structured settlement brokers. Institutional Markets has a disciplined and opportunistic approach to growth in these product lines.

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

AIG Life and Retirement Results

## The following table presents AIG Life and Retirement results:

	7	Three N End	ed			Six Mo	led	ed			
		June	30	*	ercentage	June	31	*	ercentage		
(in millions)		2013		2012	Change	2013		2012	Change		
Retail:											
Revenue:											
Premiums	\$	389	\$	411	(5)%\$	747	\$	801	(7)%		
Policy fees		491		453	8	978		916	7		
Net investment income		1,510		1,460	3	3,167		3,131	1		
Other income		382		286	34	747		565	32		
Operating expenses:											
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred		689		665	4	1,324		1,317	1		
Interest credited to policyholder account balances		584		651	(10)	1,195		1,302	(8)		
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs		177		198	(11)	340		376	(10)		
Other acquisition and insurance expenses		652		561	16	1,289		1,122	15		
Operating income		670		535	25	1,491		1,296	15		
Legal settlements		221			NM	297			NM		
Changes in fair value of fixed maturity securities designated											
to hedge living benefit liabilities, net of interest expense		(69)		70	NM	(98)		51	NM		
Net realized capital gains (losses)		515		(179)	NM	604		(564)	NM		
Changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA and				, ,				, ,			
SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses)		(160)		(85)	(88)	(121)		42	NM		
Pre-tax income	\$	1,177	\$	341	245% \$	2,173	\$	825	163%		
Institutional:											
Revenue:											
Premiums	\$	260	\$	221	18% \$	522	\$	445	17%		
Policy fees		132		114	16	260		235	11		
Net investment income		1,127		1,061	6	2,347		2,275	3		
Other income		37		26	42	65		51	27		
Operating expenses:											
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred		494		428	15	957		863	11		
Interest credited to policyholder account balances		387		403	(4)	793		814	(3)		
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs		25		31	(19)	50		58	(14)		
Other acquisition and insurance expenses		169		162	4	340		323	5		
Operating income		481		398	21	1,054		948	11		
Legal settlements		138			NM	170			NM		
Net realized capital gains (losses)		915		505	81	982		424	132		
Changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA and											
SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses)		(992)		(467)	(112)	(1,090)		(558)	(95)		

Pre-tax income	\$ 542	\$ 436	24% \$	1,116	\$ 814	37%
Total AIG Life and Retirement:						
Revenue:						
Premiums	\$ 649	\$ 632	3% \$	1,269	\$ 1,246	2%
Policy fees	623	567	10	1,238	1,151	8
Net investment income	2,637	2,521	5	5,514	5,406	2
Other income	419	312	34	812	616	32
Operating expenses:						
Policyholder benefits and claims incurred	1,183	1,093	8	2,281	2,180	5
Interest credited to policyholder account balances	971	1,054	(8)	1,988	2,116	(6)
Amortization of deferred acquisition costs	202	229	(12)	390	434	(10)
Other acquisition and insurance expenses	821	723	14	1,629	1,445	13
Operating income	1,151	933	23	2,545	2,244	13
Legal settlements	359		NM	467		NM
Changes in fair value of fixed maturity securities designated						
to hedge living benefit liabilities, net of interest expense	(69)	70	NM	(98)	51	NM
Net realized capital gains (losses)	1,430	326	339	1,586	(140)	NM
Changes in benefit reserves and DAC,						
VOBA and SIA related to net realized capital gains (losses)	(1,152)	(552)	(109)	(1,211)	(516)	(135)
Pre-tax income	\$ 1,719	\$ 777	121% \$	3,289	\$ 1,639	101%

Table of Contents

## ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

Prior period amounts were conformed to the current period presentation. These changes did not affect operating income or pre-tax income. See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

#### **AIG Life and Retirement Quarterly Operating Income**

Operating income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily driven by higher net investment income, increased fee income from growth in our variable annuity account value, and continued active spread management related to our interest rate sensitive businesses, offset in part by an increase in policyholder benefits and claims incurred.

Premiums increased slightly in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year as higher structured settlement and terminal funding annuity premiums were partially offset by lower term insurance and immediate annuity premiums.

Policy fees increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year as a result of growth in variable annuity assets under management from higher net flows and positive separate account performance, driven in large part by higher equity markets.

Net investment income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 as higher returns on alternative investments and higher call and tender income were partially offset by fair value losses of \$84 million on our investment in PICC Group and lower base yields of 15 basis points in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012. Recent investment purchases have been made at yields lower than the weighted average yield of the existing portfolio.

Other income primarily consists of commission revenues earned by affiliated broker dealers from the sale of investment products and life insurance. The increase in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 was primarily as a result of the acquisition of Woodbury Financial in November 2012.

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred increased compared to the three-month period ended June 30, 2012 due to higher structured settlement and terminal funding annuity premiums.

Interest credited to policyholder account balances decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to active crediting rate management actions, which included lowering renewal crediting rates and maintaining disciplined new business pricing.

Amortization of deferred acquisition costs decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to the impact of favorable separate account performance compared to the same period in the prior year.

Other acquisition and insurance expenses increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to higher commission expenses associated with brokerage activities as a result of the acquisition of Woodbury Financial in November 2012.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

### AIG Life and Retirement Year-To-Date Operating Income

Operating income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the corresponding period in 2012, primarily driven by higher net investment income, increased fee income from growth in our variable annuity account value, and continued active spread management related to our interest rate sensitive businesses.

Premiums increased slightly in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year as higher structured settlement and terminal funding annuity premiums were partially offset by lower term insurance and immediate annuity premiums.

Policy fees increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the corresponding period in 2012 as a result of growth in variable annuity assets under management from higher net flows and positive separate account performance, driven in large part by higher equity markets in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013.

Net investment income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 as higher returns on alternative investments and higher call and tender income were partially offset by fair value losses of \$53 million on our investment in PICC Group, the absence of ML II fair value gains of \$246 million that were recognized in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 and lower base yields of 18 basis points in 2013 compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2012. The decline in base yields is due to the impact of the current low interest rate environment.

Other income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 primarily as a result of the acquisition of Woodbury Financial in November 2012.

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to higher structured settlement and terminal funding annuity premiums.

Interest credited decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to active crediting rate management actions, which included lowering renewal crediting rates and maintaining disciplined new business pricing.

Amortization of deferred acquisition costs decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year consistent with lower fixed annuity reserves and lower amortization in our term life lines of business.

Other acquisition and insurance expenses increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 primarily due to higher commission expenses associated with brokerage activities as a result of the acquisition of Woodbury Financial in November 2012.

# **Retail Quarterly Operating Income**

Retail operating income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, primarily driven by higher net investment income, increased fee income from growth in our variable annuity account value, and continued active spread management related to our interest rate sensitive businesses.

Premiums decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to lower term insurance and immediate annuity premiums.

Policy fees increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year as a result of growth in variable annuity assets under management due to higher net flows and separate account performance driven in large part by higher equity markets.

Net investment income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 as higher returns on alternative investments and higher call and tender income were partially offset by fair value losses on our investment in PICC Group and lower base yields in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013.

Other income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 primarily as a result of the acquisition of Woodbury Financial in November 2012.

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred increased slightly due to higher universal life claims, almost entirely offset by a favorable impact of separate account performance on our guaranteed minimum death benefits reserves in our retirement income solutions line of business compared to the three-month period ended June 30, 2012.

Interest credited decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to active crediting rate management actions that included lowering renewal crediting rates and maintaining disciplined new business pricing.

#### Table of Contents

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

Amortization of deferred acquisition costs decreased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, consistent with lower fixed annuity reserves and due to the impact of favorable separate account performance in the variable annuity business.

Other acquisition and insurance expenses increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to higher commission expenses associated with brokerage activities as a result of the acquisition of Woodbury Financial in November 2012.

#### **Retail Year-To-Date Operating Income**

Retail operating income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, primarily driven by increased fee income from growth in our variable annuity account value, continued active spread management related to our interest rate sensitive businesses and higher net investment income.

Premiums decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to lower term insurance and immediate annuity premiums.

Policy fees increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year as a result of growth in variable annuity assets under management from higher net flows and separate account performance, driven in large part by higher equity markets in 2013.

Net investment income increased slightly in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 as higher returns on alternative investments and higher call and tender income were offset by the absence of ML II fair value gains of \$153 million that were recognized in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 and lower base yields in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013.

Other income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 primarily as a result of the acquisition of Woodbury Financial in November 2012.

Interest credited decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to active crediting rate management actions that included lowering renewal crediting rates and maintaining disciplined new business pricing.

Amortization of deferred acquisition costs decreased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year, consistent with lower fixed annuity reserves. The favorable separate account returns in our retirement income business resulted in lower amortization in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013. If favorable equity market performance continues for the remainder of 2013, an unlocking of assumptions of estimated gross profits used to amortize DAC, VOBA and SIA could occur. However, such positive unlocking, if any, is not expected to be significant to AIG Life and Retirement's operating results.

Other acquisition and insurance expenses increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 due to higher commission expenses associated with brokerage activities as a result of the acquisition of Woodbury Financial in 2012.

#### **Institutional Quarterly Operating Income**

Institutional operating income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, primarily driven by higher net investment income, increased fee income from growth in our group retirement variable annuity account value, and continued active spread management related to our interest sensitive businesses.

Premiums increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to higher structured settlement and terminal funding annuity premiums.

Policy fees increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year as a result of growth in group retirement variable annuity assets under management due to separate account performance driven in large part by higher equity markets.

Net investment income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 as higher returns on alternative investments and higher call and tender income were partially offset by fair value losses on our investment in PICC Group.

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred increased primarily due to higher structured settlement and terminal funding annuity premiums.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

Interest credited to policyholder account balances decreased slightly in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year as a result of ongoing actions to actively manage interest crediting rates on new and renewal Group Retirement business and maintaining disciplined new business pricing.

Other acquisition and insurance expenses increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to higher compensation related expenses.

#### **Institutional Year-To-Date Operating Income**

Institutional operating income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, primarily driven by higher net investment income, increased fee income from growth in our group retirement variable annuity account value, and continued active spread management related to our interest sensitive businesses.

Premiums increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to higher structured settlement and terminal funding annuity premiums.

Policy fees increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year as a result of growth in group retirement variable annuity assets under management due to separate account performance driven in large part by higher equity markets in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013.

Net investment income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 as higher returns on alternative investments and higher call and tender income were partially offset by the absence of ML II fair value gains of \$93 million that were recognized in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

Policyholder benefits and claims incurred increased primarily due to higher structured settlement and terminal funding annuity premiums

Other acquisition and insurance expenses increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in the prior year due to higher compensation related expenses.

# **Legal Settlements**

In the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, we recorded income of \$359 million from settlements with financial institutions that participated in the creation, offering and sale of RMBS from which AIG and its subsidiaries realized losses during the financial crisis. For the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, we recorded income of \$467 million related to these legal settlements.

#### Changes in Fair Value of Fixed Maturity Securities Designated to Hedge Living Benefit Liabilities

AIG Life and Retirement has a dynamic hedging program designed to manage economic risk exposure associated with changes in equity markets, interest rates and volatilities related to embedded derivative liabilities contained in guaranteed benefit features of variable annuities. We substantially hedge our exposure to equity markets. However, due to regulatory capital considerations, a portion of our interest rate exposure is unhedged. In the first quarter of 2012, we began purchasing U.S. Treasury bonds as a capital-efficient strategy to reduce our interest rate risk exposure over time. As a result of increases in interest rates on U.S. Treasury securities, the fair value of the U.S. Treasury securities used for hedging, net of financing costs, decreased by \$69 million and \$98 million in the three-month and six-month period ended June 30, 2013, respectively, compared to increases of \$70 million and \$51 million, respectively in the prior-year periods.

# **Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)**

Net realized capital gains were \$1.4 billion in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to gains of \$326 million in the same period of the prior year. The increase is due to gains from investment sales activity in connection with utilizing capital loss carryforwards as well as \$180 million of fair value gains on variable annuity embedded derivatives, net of hedges. The embedded derivative gains in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 were primarily due to increases in long-term interest rates.

Net realized capital gains were \$1.6 billion for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to losses of \$140 million in the same period of the prior year. The improvement from the prior year is due to higher gains from sales activity, lower other than temporary impairments and fair value gains on variable annuity embedded derivatives of \$131 million compared to losses of \$490 million in the six-month period ended June 30, 2012. The embedded

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

derivative gains in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 were primarily due to increases in long-term interest rates in 2013.

# Changes in Benefit Reserves and DAC, VOBA and SIA Related to Net Realized Capital Gains (Losses)

In connection with utilizing capital loss tax carryforwards, we sold investments in both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012. These and other sales with subsequent reinvestment at lower yields triggered loss recognition on certain long-term payout annuity contracts of \$1.1 billion in the three-month period ended June 2013, and \$460 million in the same period of 2012, which effectively transferred shadow loss recognition from unrealized losses (included in AOCI) to actual loss recognition (included in Policyholder benefits and claims incurred) and transferred related shadow DAC (included in AOCI) to Amortization of deferred acquisition costs. We recognized a loss of \$1.2 billion and \$488 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Additional sales of such securities are contemplated in the remainder of 2013, which could result in additional loss recognition. Assumptions related to investment yields, mortality experience and expenses are reviewed periodically and updated as appropriate, which could also result in additional loss recognition reserves. In addition, due to the reinvestment of the assets at lower yields, earnings related to this payout annuity block of business are expected to decline in the remainder of 2013.

The following table summarizes the major components of the changes in AIG Life and Retirement DAC/VOBA:

Six Months Ended June 30, (in millions)	2013	2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 5,672	\$ 6,502
Acquisition costs deferred Amortization expense Change in net unrealized gains on securities Other	409 (390) 469 (4)	395 (462) (353)
Balance, end of period	\$ 6,156	\$ 6,082

Because AIG Life and Retirement operates in various markets, the estimated gross profits used to amortize DAC and VOBA are subject to differing market returns and interest yield assumptions in any single period. The combination of market returns and interest rates may lead to acceleration of amortization in some products and simultaneous deceleration of amortization in other products.

DAC and VOBA for insurance-oriented, investment-oriented and group retirement products are reviewed for recoverability, which involves estimating the future profitability of current business. This review involves significant judgment. See Note 10 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for additional information on DAC and VOBA recoverability.

#### **Premiums**

Premiums represent amounts received on traditional life insurance policies, group benefit policies and deposits on life-contingent payout annuities. Premiums and deposits is a non-GAAP financial measure that includes life insurance premiums and deposits on annuity contracts, GICs and mutual funds.

The following table presents a reconciliation of premiums and deposits to GAAP premiums:

	Three Months	Ended	Six Months Ended				
	June 30	,	<b>June 30</b> ,				
(in millions)	2013	2012	2013	2012			

Premiums and deposits Deposits Other	\$ 6,765 \$ (5,957) (159)	5,434 \$ (4,617) (185)	12,345 \$ (10,761) (315)	10,994 (9,412) (336)
Premiums	\$ 649 \$	632 \$	1,269 \$	1,246

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

The following table presents the components of premiums and deposits by line of business for AIG Life and Retirement:

	Three N	Mon	ths					
	Enc	led			nded			
	June	30,	)	Percentage	June	e 30,		Percentage
(in millions)	2013	ĺ	2012	Change	2013	ŕ	2012	Change
Premiums and deposits:								
Retail								
Life Insurance & A&H	\$ 840	\$	850	(1)%	\$ 1,675	\$	1,682	%
Fixed Annuities	355		465	(24)	731		1,049	(30)
Retirement Income Solutions	2,233		1,355	65	3,646		2,514	45
Retail Mutual Funds	1,216		619	96	2,049		1,368	50
Closed Blocks	22		40	(45)	51		79	(35)
Total Retail	\$ 4,666	\$	3,329	40%	\$ 8,152	\$	6,692	22%
Institutional								
Group Retirement	\$ 1,705	\$	1,738	(2)%	\$ 3,445	\$	3,582	(4)%
Institutional Markets	223		194	15	404		375	8
Group Benefits	171		173	(1)	344		345	
Total Institutional	2,099		2,105		4,193		4,302	(3)
Total premiums and deposit	\$ 6,765	\$	5,434	24%	\$ 12,345	\$	10,994	12%

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

Total premiums and deposits increased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 due to significant increases in retirement income solutions and retail mutual fund deposits.

Retirement income solutions deposits increased, primarily driven by increased variable annuity sales that benefitted from innovative product enhancements, expanded distribution as well as a more favorable competitive environment. Retail mutual fund sales increased in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, principally driven by SunAmerica Asset Management Corp.'s Focused Dividend Strategy product offerings. Fixed annuity deposits continued to be negatively affected by the sustained low interest rate environment as consumers are reluctant to purchase these products at the relatively low crediting rates currently offered. Group retirement deposits (which include deposits into mutual funds and fixed options within variable annuities sold in group retirement markets) decreased due to lower levels of individual rollover deposits and periodic deposits in 2013, partially offset by higher mutual fund deposits. Premiums from life insurance products were essentially flat for both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013.

#### **Net Flows**

The following table summarizes net flows for fixed annuities, retirement income solutions, retail mutual funds and group retirement:

	T	hree Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,				
(in millions)		2013		2012		2013		2012
Net flows*								
Fixed annuities	\$	(1,264)	\$	(1,009)	\$	(2,263)	\$	(1,827)
Retirement income solutions		1,292		588		1,863		923
Retail mutual funds		688		209		989		579
Group retirement		(299)		238		(415)		465
Total net flows	\$	417	\$	26	\$	174	\$	140

<sup>\*</sup> Annuities net flows represent premiums and deposits less death, surrender and other withdrawal benefits. Net flows on retail mutual funds are deposits less withdrawals. Net flows presented excludes net flows activity on certain closed blocks of fixed and variable annuities reserves totaling \$6 billion.

Overall net flows increased in both the three- and six month-periods ended June 30, 2013 due to improvements in net flows for retirement income solutions, primarily driven by variable annuity sales and increased retail mutual funds sales, partially offset by lower net flows for fixed annuities and group retirement products. Group retirement net flows declined in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, primarily driven by an increase in large group surrenders and, to a lesser extent, higher individual surrenders. Net flows for fixed annuities also declined in both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, due to lower fixed annuity deposits resulting from the low interest rate environment and increased surrenders due to higher levels of in-force business reaching the end of the surrender charge period.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

The following table presents AIG Life and Retirement insurance reserves and mutual funds:

	T	hree Month June 3		Six Months Ended June 30,					
(in millions)		2013	2012	2013	2012				
Retail									
Balance at beginning of period, gross Premiums and deposits	\$	126,705 \$ 4,666	3,329 122,726	\$ 123,699 8,152	\$ 120,396 6,692				
Surrenders and withdrawals		(2,571)	(2,245)	(5,068)	(4,616)	ļ			
Death, and other contract benefits		(979)	(946)	(1,774)	(1,839)	í			
Subtotal Change in fair value of underlying assets and reserve accretion, net of		1,116	138	1,310	237				
policy fees Cost of funds		(102) 551	(869) 609	1,643 1,132	1,042 1,221				
Other reserve changes		(243)	(338)	243	(630)	,			
Balance at end of period Reserves related to unrealized investment appreciation		128,027 84	122,266 407	128,027 84	122,266 407				
Reinsurance ceded		(1,502)	(1,522)	(1,502)	(1,522)	,			
Total insurance reserves and mutual funds	\$	126,609	121,151	\$ 126,609	\$ 121,151				
Institutional Balance at beginning of period, gross	\$	112,602	5 106,824	\$ 110,494	\$ 103,315				
Premiums and deposits	Ψ	2,099	2,105	4,193	4,302				
Surrenders and withdrawals		(2,086)	(1,471)	(5,098)	(3,923)	,			
Death, and other contract benefits		(496)	(485)	(973)	(983)				
Subtotal Change in fair value of underlying assets and reserve accretion, net of		(483)	149	(1,878)	(604)	ŕ			
policy fees		522	(1,155)	3,615	2,774				
Cost of funds Other reserve changes		380 836	403 322	778 848	813 245				
Other reserve changes		030	322	040	243				
Balance at end of period Reserves related to unrealized investment appreciation		113,857 215	106,543 1,958	113,857 215	106,543 1,958				
Reinsurance ceded		(217)	(245)	(217)	(245)				
Total insurance reserves and mutual funds	\$	113,855	5 108,256	\$ 113,855	\$ 108,256				
Total AIG Life and Retirement:									
Balance at beginning of period, gross Premiums and deposits	\$	239,307 \$ 6,765	5 229,550 5,434	\$ 234,193 12,345	\$ 223,711 10,994				
Surrenders and withdrawals		(4,657)	(3,716)	(10,166)	(8,539)	,			
Death, and other contract benefits		(1,475)	(1,431)	(2,747)	(2,822)	,			

Subtotal Change in fair value of underlying assets and reserve accretion, net of	633	287	(568)	(367)
policy fees	420	(2,024)	5,258	3,816
Cost of funds	931	1,012	1,910	2,034
Other reserve changes	593	(16)	1,091	(385)
Balance at end of period	241,884	228,809	241,884	228,809
Reserves related to unrealized investment appreciation	299	2,365	299	2,365
Reinsurance ceded	(1,719)	(1,767)	(1,719)	(1,767)
Total insurance reserves and mutual funds	\$ 240,464 \$	229,407 \$	240,464 \$	229,407

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

The following table presents selected reserves by surrender charge category and surrender rates:

At June 30, (in millions)	 Group tirement roducts*	In	2013 Idividual Fixed Annuities	R	Retirement Income Solutions		Group frement oducts*		2012 dividual Fixed nnuities	irement Income olutions
No surrender charge 0% 2% Greater than 2% 4% Greater than 4% Non-surrenderable	\$ 57,574 1,552 1,412 5,466 343	\$	28,756 2,767 3,595 19,462 2,566	\$	1,660 16,065 2,023 14,442 384	\$	54,636 1,336 1,209 4,516 682	\$	24,506 3,886 4,305 24,719 3,098	\$ 1,884 14,386 2,297 9,173 1,262
Total reserves	\$ 66,347	\$	57,146	\$	34,574	\$	62,379	\$	60,514	\$ 29,002
Surrender rates	9.3%	,	6.8%	6	9.79	%	8.2%	)	6.3%	10.8%

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes mutual funds of \$13.0 billion and \$10.7 billion at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

# **Low Interest Rate Environment**

A variety of factors affect AIG Life and Retirement's businesses, and the life insurance and annuity industry in general, during a prolonged low interest rate environment. Declining interest rates result in higher fair values of assets backing insurance and annuity liabilities and may result in improved persistency of certain lines of business. A sustained low interest rate environment may also result in lower sales of fixed annuities and other products and lower net investment spreads as portfolio cash flows are reinvested at lower rates (spread compression). We have taken a number of actions to mitigate these impacts, as discussed below.

Opportunistic investment in structured securities to increase yields

Continued disciplined approach to new business pricing

Active management of renewal crediting rates

Re-priced certain life insurance and annuity products to reflect current low rate environment

Re-filed certain products to continue lowering minimum rate guarantees

Included product limits where appropriate to minimize exposure to low interest rates

As a result of these actions, we estimate that the effect of interest rates remaining at or near current levels through the end of 2013 on pre-tax operating income would not be material, and would be modestly more significant with respect to 2014 results. In addition, the recent increase in interest rates has improved our outlook for our interest rate sensitive businesses as a rising interest rate environment will increase the rate earned on new investment purchases and, over time, will positively affect overall yields. Steadily increasing interest rates accompanied by a steepening in the yield curve are also favorable for fixed annuity sales as fixed annuities become more competitive in the marketplace compared to alternatives such as bank deposits.

**Opportunistic Investments:** The majority of assets backing insurance and annuity liabilities consist of intermediate- and long-term fixed maturity securities. We generally purchase assets with the intent of matching expected maturities of the insurance liabilities. An extended low interest rate environment may result in a lengthening of liability maturities from initial estimates, primarily due to lower lapses. Opportunistic investments in structured securities, private placement corporate debt securities and commercial mortgage loans continue to be made to improve yields, increase net investment income and help to offset the impact of the lower interest rate environment.

**Disciplined New Business Pricing:** New fixed annuity sales have declined in the first six months of 2013 relative to the same period in 2012, due to the relatively low crediting rates offered as a result of our disciplined approach to new business. However, even in the current interest rate environment, we continue to pursue new sales of life and annuity products at targeted net investment spreads. New sales of fixed annuity products generally have minimum

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

interest rate guarantees of 1 percent. Universal life insurance interest rate guarantees are generally 2 to 3 percent on new non-indexed products and 1 percent on new indexed products, and are designed to be sufficient to meet targeted net investment spreads.

Active Management of Renewal Crediting Rates: The contractual provisions for renewal of crediting rates and guaranteed minimum crediting rates included in our products may have the effect, in a continued low interest rate environment, of reducing our spreads and thus reducing future profitability. Although we partially mitigate this interest rate risk through our asset-liability management process, product design elements and crediting rate strategies, a prolonged low interest rate environment may negatively affect future profitability. Our annuity and universal life products were designed with contractual provisions that allow crediting rates to be reset at pre-established intervals subject to minimum crediting rate guarantees. We have adjusted, and will continue to adjust, crediting rates to maintain targeted net investment spreads on both new business and in-force business where crediting rates are above minimum guarantees. In addition to annuity and universal life products, certain traditional long-duration products for which we do not have the ability to adjust interest rates, such as payout annuities, are exposed to reduced earnings and potential reserve increases in a prolonged low interest rate environment.

As indicated in the table below, approximately 74 percent of our annuity and universal life account values are at their minimum crediting rates as of June 30, 2013, an increase from 61 percent at December 31, 2012. These products have minimum guaranteed interest rates as of June 30, 2013 ranging from 1 percent to 5.5 percent, with the higher rates representing guarantees on older products.

June 30, 2013	<b>Current Crediting Rates</b>											
				<b>1-50 Basis</b>		More than 50						
				<b>Points</b>		Basis						
<b>Contractual Minimum Guaranteed</b>		At Contractual		Above		<b>Points Above</b>						
<b>Interest Rate Account Values</b>		Minimum		Minimum		Minimum						
(in millions)		Guarantee		Guarantee		Guarantee		Total				
(**************************************		Guarantee		Guarantee		Guaranee		10001				
Universal life insurance												
1%	\$	37	\$		\$	5	\$	42				
> 1% 2%		9		39		236		284				
> 2% 3%		340		267		1,301		1,908				
> 3% 4%		2,117		325		1,397		3,839				
> 4% 5%		4,285		185		4		4,474				
> 5% 5.5%		322						322				
Subtotal	\$	7,110	\$	816	\$	2,943	\$	10,869				
Fixed annuities												
1%	\$	2,943	\$	3,817	\$	5,279	\$	12,039				
> 1% 2%		13,117		3,418		5,905		22,440				
> 2% 3%		32,470		253		4,151		36,874				
> 3% 4%		13,967		105		90		14,162				
> 4% 5%		8,127				6		8,133				
> 5% 5.5%		236				5		241				
Subtotal	\$	70,860	\$	7,593	\$	15,436	\$	93,889				
Total	\$	77,970	\$	8,409	\$	18,379	\$	104,758				
Percentage of total		74%	6	8%	6	189	6	100%				

**Effective Product Management:** AIG Life and Retirement has a dynamic product management process designed to ensure that new business product offerings appropriately reflect the current low interest rate environment. To the extent that we cannot achieve targeted net investment spreads on new business, products are re-priced or no longer sold. Additionally, current products with higher minimum rate guarantees have been re-filed with lower rates as permitted under state insurance product regulations.

AIG's Other operations include results from Mortgage Guaranty, GCM, DIB, Retained Interests and Corporate & Other (after allocations to AIG's business segments) as presented below.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / AIG LIFE AND RETIREMENT

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / OTHER OPERATIONS

Mortgage Guaranty (United Guaranty Corporation or UGC) offers private residential mortgage guaranty insurance, which protects mortgage lenders and investors from loss due to borrower default and loan foreclosure. The coverage we provide which is called mortgage guaranty insurance, mortgage insurance, or simply "MI" enables borrowers to purchase a house with a modest down payment by protecting lenders against the increased risk of borrower default related to high loan-to-value (LTV) mortgages those with less than 20 percent equity.

Global Capital Markets consists of the operations of AIG Markets and the remaining derivatives portfolio of AIGFP. AIG Markets acts as the derivatives intermediary between AIG and its subsidiaries and third parties to provide hedging services for AIG entities. The AIGFP portfolio continues to be wound down and is managed consistent with AIG's risk management objectives. Although the portfolio may experience periodic fair value volatility, it consists predominantly of transactions that AIG believes are of low complexity, low risk, or are currently not economically appropriate to unwind based on a cost versus benefit analysis.

**Direct Investment Book** consists of a portfolio of assets and liabilities held directly by AIG Parent in the MIP and certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP. The management of the DIB portfolio is focused on an orderly wind down to maximize returns consistent with AIG's risk management objectives. Certain non-derivative assets and liabilities of the DIB are accounted for under the fair value option and thus operating results are subject to periodic market volatility.

Retained Interests includes the fair value gains or losses, prior to their sale in 2012, of the AIA ordinary shares retained following the AIA initial public offering and the fair value gains or losses, prior to the FRBNY liquidation of ML III assets in 2012, on the retained interest in ML III.

Corporate & Other consists primarily of interest expense, consolidation and eliminations, expenses of corporate staff not attributable to specific reportable segments, certain expenses related to internal controls and the financial and operating platforms, corporate initiatives, certain compensation plan expenses, corporate level net realized capital gains and losses, certain litigation-related charges and credits, the results of AIG's real estate investment operations and net gains and losses on sale of divested businesses and properties that did not meet the criteria for discontinued operations accounting treatment.

Other Operations Results

### The following table presents AIG's Other operations results:

	Th	ree Mon June		Ended	Si Percentage	Percentage		
(in millions)		2013	. 50,	2012	Change	June 2013	2012	Change
Mortgage Guaranty	\$	73	\$	43	70% \$	114	\$ 51	124%
Global Capital Markets		175		(25)	NM	402	67	NM
Direct Investment book		591		434	36	920	278	231
Retained interests:								
Change in fair value of AIA securities,								
including realized gain in 2012				(493)	NM		1,302	NM
Change in fair value of ML III				1,306	NM		2,558	NM
Corporate & Other:								
Other interest expense		(353)		(392)	10	(750)	(773)	3
Corporate expenses, net		(253)		(224)	(13)	(514)	(397)	(29)
Real estate and other non-core businesses		(35)		35	NM	(127)	(77)	(65)
Total Corporate & Other operating loss		(641)		(581)	(10)	(1,391)	(1,247)	(12)

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Consolidation and eliminations	1	(2)	NM	2	1	100
Total Other operations operating income	199	682	(71)	47	3,010	(98)
Legal reserves	(14)	(728)	98	(25)	(734)	97
Legal settlements*	46		NM	48		NM
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(38)	(9)	(322)	(378)	(9)	NM
Net realized capital gains	124	(61)	NM	211	356	(41)
Net loss on sale of divested businesses	(47)		NM	(47)	(3)	NM
Total Other operations pre-tax income (loss) \$	270 \$	(116)	NM% <b>\$</b>	(144) \$	2,620	NM%

<sup>\*</sup> Reflects income in the first half of 2013 from settlements with financial institutions that participated in the creation, offering and sale of RMBS from which AIG and its subsidiaries realized losses during the financial crisis.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / OTHER OPERATIONS

# **Mortgage Guaranty**

# The following table presents Mortgage Guaranty results:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Percentage	ided	Percentage		
(dollars in millions)		2013	50,	2012	Change	June 2013	. 50,	2012	Change
Underwriting results:									
Net premiums written	\$	275	\$	212	30% \$	521	\$	403	29%
Increase in unearned premiums		(67)		(33)	(103)	(119)		(55)	(116)
Net premiums earned Claims and claims adjustment		208		179	16	402		348	16
expenses incurred		119		126	(6)	250		271	(8)
Underwriting expenses		49		50	(2)	105		97	8
Underwriting income (loss)		40		3	NM	47		(20)	NM
Net investment income		33		40	(18)	67		71	(6)
Operating income		73		43	70	114		51	124
Net realized capital gains		2		5	(60)	5		5	
Pre-tax income	\$	75	\$	48	56%\$	119	\$	56	113%
<b>Key metrics:</b> New insurance written	\$	13,979	\$	8,576	63% \$	24,637	\$	15,139	63%

Domestic first-lien:		
Risk in force	\$ 32,349	\$ 26,608
60+ day delinquency ratio on primary		
loans <sup>(a)</sup>	7.1%	10.3%
Domestic second-lien:		
Risk in force <sup>(b)</sup>	\$ 1,158	\$ 1,366

- (a) Based on number of policies.
- (b) Represents the full amount of second-lien loans insured reduced for contractual aggregate loss limits on certain pools of loans, usually 10 percent of the full amount of loans insured in each pool. Certain second-lien pools have reinstatement provisions, which will expire as the loan balances are repaid.

# Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q <u>Table of Contents</u> ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / OTHER OPERATIONS

# **Mortgage Guaranty Quarterly Results**

Mortgage Guaranty recorded an increase in operating income in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to:

a \$29 million increase in net premiums earned, reflecting an \$18 million increase in first-lien premiums earned due to growth of the book of business over the last several quarters and an \$11 million increase in net premiums earned due to a settlement of a second-lien contract that accelerated earned premiums in the period;

a \$7 million decrease in claims and claims adjustment expenses, which includes a \$15 million net decrease from settlements and commutations in first-lien, second-lien, and international businesses, partially offset by less favorable prior year loss development; and

a \$2 million benefit in underwriting expenses as a result of a settlement agreement on remedy claims. Remedy claims represent the indemnification for losses incurred by lenders arising from obligations contractually assumed by UGC as a result of underwriting services provided to lenders during times of high loan origination activity.

In addition to the total \$28 million positive impact to quarterly results from settlements and commutations cited above, UGC released \$21 million in reserves during the period.

Table of Contents

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / OTHER OPERATIONS

#### Mortgage Guaranty Year-to-Date Results

Mortgage Guaranty recorded an increase in operating income in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to:

a \$54 million increase in net premiums earned, reflecting a \$64 million increase due to growth in first-lien insurance written, partially offset by a decline on second-lien and international businesses, both of which were placed into run-off during 2008; and

a \$21 million decrease in claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred, primarily due to a \$36 million decline in second-lien, student loan and international claims and claims adjustment expenses, partially offset by a \$15 million increase in first-lien claims and claims adjustment expense. Declines in the second-lien and student loan businesses reflect a decrease in net claims and claims adjustment expenses as collections of recoveries on prior paid losses continued and more contracts reached their respective stop loss limits; the decline in international claims and claims adjustment expenses reflects improving loss experience during the year and the de-risking of the international business through commutations as noted above. First-lien claims and claims adjustment expenses increased, primarily reflecting lower favorable loss development.

New insurance written, which represents the original principal balance of the insured mortgages, increased due to the market acceptance of UGC's risk-based pricing model by an increasing number of lenders as well as the addition and expansion of distribution channels. See Outlook Other Operations Mortgage Guaranty for further discussion.

#### **Global Capital Markets Operations**

# **Global Capital Markets Quarterly Results**

GCM reported pre-tax and operating income in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to pre-tax and operating losses in the same period in 2012, primarily due to improvement in net credit valuation adjustments on derivative assets and liabilities and improvement in unrealized market valuations related to the super senior credit default swap (CDS) portfolio.

Net credit valuation adjustment gains of \$81 million were recognized in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013, compared to net credit valuation losses of \$54 million for the same period in 2012. The improvement resulted primarily from gains on derivative assets for the second quarter of 2013 due to the tightening of counterparty credit spreads compared to losses on those assets for the second quarter of 2012 due to the widening of such spreads. These gains were partially offset by losses on derivative liabilities for the second quarter of 2013 due to the tightening of AIG's credit spreads compared to gains on those liabilities for the second quarter of 2012 due to the widening of such spreads.

Unrealized market valuation gains of \$131 million and \$57 million, were recognized in the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The improvement resulted primarily from CDS transactions written on multi-sector collateralized debt obligations (CDOs) driven by amortization and price movements within the CDS portfolio.

# **Global Capital Markets Year-to-Date Results**

GCM's pre-tax and operating income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to improvement in net credit valuation adjustments on derivative assets and liabilities and improvement in unrealized market valuations related to the CDS portfolio.

Net credit valuation adjustment gains of \$134 million were recognized in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013, compared to net credit valuation losses of \$76 million for the same period in 2012. The improvement resulted primarily from higher gains on derivative assets due to more significant tightening of counterparty credit spreads in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2012 and lower losses on derivative liabilities due to less significant tightening of AIG's credit spreads in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

Unrealized market valuation gains of \$302 million and \$197 million, were recognized in the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The improvement resulted primarily from CDS transactions written on multi-sector CDOs driven by amortization and price movements within the CDS portfolio.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / OTHER OPERATIONS

#### **Direct Investment Book Results**

#### The following table presents Direct Investment book results:

	Tl	nree Mon June		nded	Percentage	Percentage			
(in millions)		2013	,	2012	Change	June 2013	,	2012	Change
Operating income	\$	591	\$	434	36% \$	920	\$	278	231%
Legal settlements		27			NM	27			NM
Loss on extinguishment of debt					NM	(4)			NM
Net realized capital gains		102		51	100	89		455	(80)
Pre-tax income	\$	720	\$	485	48% \$	1,032	\$	733	41%

# **Direct Investment Book Quarterly Results**

DIB pre-tax income and operating income increased in the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to fair value appreciation on asset-backed security (ABS) CDOs that were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2012, partially offset by less significant net credit valuation adjustments on assets and liabilities for which the fair value option was elected. The improvement in pre-tax income was also driven by unrealized gains on interest rate swap hedge positions related to ABS CDO investments.

Fair value appreciation on ABS CDOs was \$478 million for the three-month period ended June 30, 2013 driven primarily by improved collateral pricing due to improvements in home price indices and amortization of the underlying collateral. The unrealized gains on interest rate swap hedge positions were the result of interest rate increases late in the second quarter of 2013.

Net credit valuation adjustment gains of \$67 million and \$321 million were recognized for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decrease resulted primarily from a decline in the portfolio size due to sales and maturities as well as reduced counterparty spread narrowing compared to the prior year quarter.

#### **Direct Investment Book Year-to-Date Results**

DIB pre-tax income and operating income increased in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012 primarily due to fair value appreciation on ABS CDOs that were acquired in the fourth quarter of 2012 and improvement in net credit valuation adjustments on assets and liabilities for which the fair value option was elected. The improvement in pre-tax income was partially offset by lower Net realized capital gains.

Fair value appreciation on ABS CDOs was \$586 million for the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 driven primarily by improved collateral pricing due to improvements in home price indices and amortization of the underlying collateral.

Net credit valuation adjustment gains of \$293 million and \$130 million were recognized for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The improvement resulted primarily from lower losses on liabilities, partially offset by lower gains on assets due to less significant tightening of both AIG's credit spreads and counterparty credit spreads in the six-month period ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same period in 2012.

The change in Net realized capital gains was driven by a \$426 million gain on the sale of 35.7 million common units of The Blackstone Group L.P. in the first quarter of 2012, partially offset by unrealized gains on interest rate swap hedge positions as a result of interest rate increases late in the second quarter of 2013.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / OTHER OPERATIONS

The following table presents credit valuation adjustment gains (losses) for the DIB (excluding intercompany transactions):

	Th	ree Mon June		Six Months Ended June 30,			
(in millions)		2013		2012	2013		2012
Counterparty Credit Valuation Adjustment on Assets:							
Bond trading securities	\$	49	\$	248 \$	299	\$	602
Loans and other assets		(1)		10	9		23
Increase in assets		48		258	308		625
AIG's Own Credit Valuation Adjustment on Liabilities:							
Notes and bonds payable		(4)		44	(40)		(399)
Guaranteed Investment Agreements		23		17	28		(73)
Other liabilities				2	(3)		(23)
Decrease (increase) in liabilities		19		63	(15)		(495)
Net increase to operating income	\$	67	\$	321 \$	293	\$	130

#### **Retained Interests**

# Change in Fair Value of AIA Securities Prior to Their Sale

On March 7, 2012, AIG sold approximately 1.72 billion ordinary shares of AIA and recognized a gain of \$0.6 billion. The fair value of AIG's remaining interest in AIA securities decreased \$493 million for the three month period ended June 30, 2012 and increased \$0.7 billion for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012.

# Change in Fair Value of ML III Prior to Liquidation

The gains attributable to AIG's interest in ML III for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were based in part on sales of ML III assets by the FRBNY.

#### Corporate & Other

#### Quarterly and Year-to-Date Corporate & Other Results

Corporate & Other reported an increase in operating losses in both the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, compared to the same periods in 2012 primarily due to higher incentive compensation costs due to performance relative to incentive targets in 2013 offset by reductions in interest expense from overall reduced debt.

# **Legal Reserves**

Legal reserves in 2012 relate to increased estimated litigation liability during the second quarter of 2012 based on developments in several actions.

# **Net Loss on Sale of Divested Businesses**

On May 15, 2013, we entered into an arrangement to sell certain non-core insurance subsidiaries, which we expect, subject to customary closing conditions, will close before the end of the year. We recognized a pre-tax loss in connection with this sale of approximately \$47 million during the second quarter of 2013.

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

# Income (loss) from Discontinued Operations is comprised of the following:

		Three M End June	led		Si	x Month June		
(in millions)		2013		2012	2013		2012	
ILFC	\$	638	\$	82	\$	1,234	\$ 200	
Net gain (loss) on sale		(591)		(8)		(1,027)	12	
Income from discontinued operations		47		74		207	212	
Income tax expense (benefit)		14		(105)		81	(31)	
Income from discontinued operations, net of tax	\$	33	\$	179	\$	126	\$ 243	

Significant items affecting the comparison of results from discontinued operations in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 included pre-tax income of \$638 million and \$1.2 billion, respectively, largely offset by a pre-tax loss on the sale of ILFC of \$619 million and \$1.2 billion for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, both reflecting the absence of depreciation and amortization expense because ILFC is classified as held for sale, and a pre-tax gain of \$28 million and \$145 million for the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, respectively, in connection with the sale of American Life Insurance Company (ALICO) mostly attributable to refund of taxes, interest and penalties, recognized in the first quarter of 2013.

See Note 4 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of discontinued operations.

# The following table presents AIG's consolidated comprehensive income (loss):

	Three M End June	ed		Percentage	nded	Percentage		
(in millions)	2013		2012	Change	2013		2012	Change
Net income	\$ 2,758	\$	2,339	18%\$	4,989	\$	5,788	(14)%
Change in unrealized appreciation								
(depreciation) of investments	(10,084)		2,080	NM	(11,277)		4,791	NM
Change in deferred acquisition costs								
adjustment and other	854		(119)	NM	543		(498)	NM
Change in future policy benefits	2,116		(101)	NM	2,540		(67)	NM
Change in foreign currency translation								
adjustments	(273)		(512)	47	(566)		(425)	(33)
Change in net derivative gains arising from								
cash flow hedging activities			4	NM			8	NM
Change in retirement plan liabilities								
adjustment	34		17	100	77		46	67

Deferred tax asset (liability)	2,532	(459)	NM	3,127	(1,220)	NM
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(4,821)	910	NM	(5,556)	2,635	NM
Comprehensive income (loss)	(2,063)	3,249	NM	(567)	8,423	NM
Total comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	6	(1)	NM	31	245	(87)
Comprehensive income attributable to AIG	\$ (2,069) \$	3,250	NM% \$	(598) \$	8,178	NM%

#### Table of Contents

# ITEM 2 / RESULTS OF OPERATIONS / CONSOLIDATED COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

Change in Unrealized Appreciation of Investments

The increases in unrealized depreciation of investments in 2013 were primarily attributable to depreciation in bonds available for sale due to an increase in interest rates on investment grade fixed maturity securities, particularly during the second quarter of 2013, partially offset by narrowing spreads of high yield securities.

The increases in unrealized appreciation of investments in 2012 were primarily attributable to appreciation in bonds available for sale due to continued improvements in financial market conditions and significant spread tightening partially offset by higher U.S. treasury rates.

Change in Deferred Acquisition Costs Adjustment and Other

The increases in DAC in 2013 compared to 2012 were primarily the result of decreases in the unrealized appreciation of investments supporting interest-sensitive products.

Change in Future Policy Benefits

The change in future policy benefits reserves in 2013 is due to loss reserve recognition in net income resulting from sales of securities in unrealized gain positions as well as decreases in unrealized gains resulting from increases in long term rates. The change in future policy benefits reserves in 2012 was driven by declines in interest rates during the three-month period ended June 30, 2012. Changes in unrealized appreciation of investments result in changes to future policy benefits which are recorded through Other comprehensive income. This change in future policy benefits assumes that the securities underlying certain traditional long-duration products are sold at their stated aggregate fair value and reinvested at current yields.

Change in Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments

Foreign currency translation adjustments decreased for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Japanese yen.

Foreign currency translation adjustments decreased for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the euro and Japanese yen.

Change in Net Derivative Gains (Losses) Arising from Cash Flow Hedging Activities

The decline in net derivative gains in 2013 primarily reflects the de-designation of all derivatives receiving cash flow hedging treatment in 2012.

Change in Retirement Plan Liabilities Adjustment

The increases in retirement plan liabilities adjustment for the three- and six-months periods ended June 30, 2013 compared to the same periods in 2012 were primarily due to the strengthening of the U.S. dollar against the Japanese yen.

# Deferred Taxes on Other Comprehensive Income

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, the effective tax rates on pre-tax Other comprehensive loss were 34.4 percent and 36.0 percent, respectively. The effective tax rates differ from the statutory 35 percent rate primarily due to a decrease in the valuation allowance and the effect of foreign operations.

For the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012, the effective tax rates on pre-tax Other comprehensive income were 33.5 percent and 31.7 percent, respectively. The effective tax rates differ from the statutory 35 percent rate primarily due to a decrease in the valuation allowance and the effect of foreign operations.

#### Table of Contents

# ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Overview

Liquidity refers to the ability to generate sufficient cash resources to meet our payment obligations. It is defined as cash and unencumbered assets that can be monetized in a short period of time at a reasonable cost. We manage our liquidity prudently through various risk committees, policies and procedures, and a stress testing and liquidity framework established by Enterprise Risk Management (ERM). Our liquidity framework is designed to measure both the amount and composition of our liquidity to meet financial obligations in both normal and stressed markets. See Part II, Item 7. MD&A Enterprise Risk Management Risk Appetite, Identification, and Measurement in the 2012 Annual Report and Enterprise Risk Management Liquidity Risk Management below for additional information.

Capital refers to the long-term financial resources available to support the operation of our businesses, fund business growth, and cover financial and operational needs that arise from adverse circumstances. Our primary source of ongoing capital generation is the profitability of our insurance subsidiaries. We and our insurance subsidiaries must comply with numerous constraints on our minimum capital positions. These constraints drive the requirements for capital adequacy for both AIG and the individual businesses and are based on internally-defined risk tolerances, regulatory requirements, rating agency and creditor expectations and business needs. Actual capital levels are monitored on a regular basis, and using ERM's stress testing methodology, we evaluate the capital impact of potential macroeconomic, financial and insurance stresses in relation to the relevant capital constraints of both the AIG and our insurance subsidiaries.

We believe that we have sufficient liquidity and capital resources to satisfy future requirements and meet our obligations to policyholders, customers, creditors and debt-holders, including reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events.

Nevertheless, some circumstances may cause our cash or capital needs to exceed projected liquidity or capital resources. Additional collateral calls, deterioration in investment portfolios or reserve strengthening affecting statutory surplus, higher surrenders of annuities and other policies, downgrades in credit ratings, or catastrophic losses may result in significant additional cash or capital needs, loss of some sources of liquidity or capital, or both. In addition, regulatory, and other legal restrictions could limit our ability to transfer funds freely, either to or from our subsidiaries.

Depending on market conditions, regulatory and rating agency considerations and other factors, we may take various liability and capital management actions. Liability management actions may include, but are not limited to repurchasing or redeeming outstanding debt, issuing new debt or engaging in debt exchange offers. Capital management actions may include, but are not limited to, paying dividends to our shareholders, share purchases and acquisitions.

# ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Sources

#### AIG Parent Funding from Subsidiaries

During the first six months of 2013, we collected \$792 million in cash dividends from AIG Property Casualty subsidiaries and approximately \$1.9 billion in cash dividends and loan repayments from AIG Life and Retirement subsidiaries.

#### **ALICO Escrow Release**

On May 1, 2013, \$547 million held in escrow to secure indemnifications provided to MetLife under the ALICO stock purchase agreement was released to AIG.

# <u>Uses</u>

#### Debt Reduction

During the first six months of 2013, we repaid approximately \$5.6 billion of debt as follows:

We redeemed \$1.1 billion aggregate principal amount of our 7.70% Series A-5 Junior Subordinated Debentures and \$750 million aggregate principal amount of our 6.45% Series A-4 Junior Subordinated Debentures, in each case, for a redemption price of 100 percent of the principal amount plus accrued interest.

We purchased, in cash tender offers, for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1.3 billion, an aggregate principal amount of approximately \$1.0 billion of our junior subordinated debentures, capital securities issued by three statutory trusts controlled by AIGLH and senior debentures we had assumed that were originally issued by SunAmerica Inc.

We also made other repayments of approximately \$2.7 billion, which include repayments by AIG Parent of \$1.4 billion.

# Purchase of Warrants

We paid approximately \$25 million in the first quarter of 2013 to purchase warrants issued in 2008 and 2009 to the Department of the Treasury.

See Liquidity and Capital Resources of AIG Parent and Subsidiaries AIG Parent Sources and Uses of Liquidity and Capital Resources of AIG Parent herein for further discussion.

# ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

**Analysis of Sources and Uses of Cash** 

The following table presents selected data from AIG's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:

Six Months Ended June 30, (in millions)	2013	2012
Sources:		
Net cash provided by operating activities  Net cash provided by operating activities  discontinued operations	\$ 319 1,355	\$ 206 1,426
Net cash provided by changes in restricted cash	956	, -
Net cash provided by other investing activities	5,115	11,155
Issuance of long-term debt	486	4,045
Net cash provided by other financing activities		2,409
Total sources	8,231	19,241
Uses:		
Changes in restricted cash		(284)
Changes in policyholder contract balances	(1,309)	(268)
Repayments of long-term debt	(5,403)	(5,271)
Repayment of Department of Treasury SPV Preferred Interests		(8,636)
Purchases of AIG Common Stock		(5,000)
Net cash used in other financing activities	(829)	
Total uses	(7,541)	(19,459)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(70)	(24)
Increase (decrease) in cash	\$ 620	\$ (242)

The following table presents a summary of AIG's Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:

Six Months Ended June 30, (in millions)	2013	2012
Summary:		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	\$ ,-	\$ 1,632
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	6,071	10,871
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(7,055)	(12,721)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(70)	(24)
Increase (decrease) in cash	620	(242)
Cash at beginning of year	1,151	1,474

Change in cash of businesses held for sale (9)

Cash at end of period \$ 1,762 \$ 1,232

Operating Cash Flow Activities

Interest payments totaled \$2.4 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to \$2.1 billion in the first six months of 2012. Excluding interest payments, we generated positive operating cash flow of \$4.1 billion and \$3.7 billion in the first six months of 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Insurance companies generally receive most premiums in advance of the payment of claims or policy benefits. The ability of insurance companies to generate positive cash flow is affected by the frequency and severity of losses under their insurance policies, policy retention rates and operating expenses.

Cash used in operating activities of AIG Property Casualty was \$0.4 billion for the first six months of 2013 compared to cash provided of \$0.5 billion in the first six months of 2012, primarily reflecting the timing of the payments related to catastrophe losses.

#### Table of Contents

### ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash provided by operating activities of AIG Life and Retirement was \$0.9 billion for the first six months of 2013 compared to \$1.0 billion in the first six months of 2012. These cash flows reflected operating performance that was generally consistent for AIG Life and Retirement in both periods.

Cash provided by operating activities of discontinued operations was \$1.4 billion for both the first six months of 2013 and 2012.

**Investing Cash Flow Activities** 

Net cash provided by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2013 includes approximately \$1.3 billion of cash collateral received in connection with the securities lending program launched during 2012 by AIG Life and Retirement.

Net cash provided by investing activities in the first six months of 2012 includes the following items:

approximately \$6.0 billion in gross proceeds from the sale of 1.72 billion AIA ordinary shares;

approximately \$1.9 billion of cash collateral received in connection with the securities lending program launched during 2012 by AIG Life and Retirement; and

approximately \$1.6 billion in distributions from the sale of the underlying assets held by ML II.

### Financing Cash Flow Activities

Net cash used in financing activities for the first six months of 2013 includes:

approximately \$1.3 billion in the aggregate to purchase, in cash tender offers, junior subordinated debentures we issued, capital securities issued by three statutory trusts controlled by AIGLH and senior debentures we had assumed that were originally issued by SunAmerica Inc;

approximately \$1.1 billion to redeem our 7.70% Series A-5 Junior Subordinated Debentures; and

approximately \$750 million to redeem our 6.45% Series A-4 Junior Subordinated Debentures.

Net cash used in financing activities during the first six months of 2012 includes:

\$8.6 billion pay down of the Department of the Treasury's AIA SPV preferred interests; and

total payments of approximately \$5.0 billion for the purchase of approximately 169 million shares of AIG Common Stock.

### Liquidity and Capital Resources of AIG Parent and Subsidiaries

#### AIG Parent

As of June 30, 2013, AIG Parent had approximately \$14.7 billion in liquidity resources. AIG Parent's liquidity resources are held in the form of cash, short-term investments and publicly traded, investment grade, fixed maturity securities. Fixed maturity securities consist of U.S. government and government sponsored entity securities, U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, and corporate and municipal bonds. AIG Parent actively manages its assets and liabilities in terms of products, counterparties and tenor. During the first six months of 2013, upon an assessment of its immediate and longer-term funding needs, AIG Parent purchased publicly traded, intermediate term, investment grade, fixed maturity securities that can be readily monetized through sales or repurchase agreements. These securities allow us to diversify sources of liquidity while reducing the cost of maintaining sufficient liquidity. AIG Parent liquidity resources are monitored through the use of various internal liquidity risk measures. AIG Parent's primary sources of liquidity are dividends, distributions, loans, and other payments from subsidiaries, as well as credit and contingent liquidity facilities. AIG Parent's primary uses of liquidity are for debt service, capital and liability management, operating expenses and subsidiary capital needs.

AIG Parent's primary sources of capital include dividends and distributions from subsidiaries. AIG Parent has unconditional capital maintenance agreements (CMAs) in place with certain AIG Property Casualty, AIG Life and Retirement and Mortgage Guaranty subsidiaries to facilitate the transfer of capital and liquidity within AIG. We expect

### ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

these CMAs to continue to enhance AIG's capital management practices, and help manage the flow of capital between AIG Parent and these subsidiaries. We have entered into CMAs with certain other insurance subsidiaries in 2013. See AIG Property Casualty, AIG Life and Retirement and Other Operations Mortgage Guaranty below for additional information. Nevertheless, regulatory and other legal restrictions could limit our ability to transfer capital freely, either to or from our subsidiaries.

We believe that we have sufficient liquidity and capital resources to satisfy future requirements and meet our obligations to our creditors, debt-holders and insurance company subsidiaries. We expect to access the debt markets from time to time to meet funding requirements as needed.

#### The following table presents AIG Parent's liquidity sources:

(In millions)	June	As of 2013
Cash and short-term investments <sup>(a)(b)</sup> Unencumbered fixed maturity securities <sup>(c)</sup>	\$	8,123 2,920
Total AIG Parent liquidity		11,043
Available capacity under syndicated credit facility $^{(d)}$ Available capacity under contingent liquidity facility $^{(e)}$		3,127 500
Total AIG Parent liquidity sources	\$	14,670

- (a) Cash and short-term investments include reverse repurchase agreements totaling \$6.3 billion as of June 30, 2013.
- (b) \$5.4 billion of cash and short-term investments are allocated toward future maturities of liabilities and contingent liquidity stress needs of DIB and GCM as of June 30, 2013.
- (c) Unencumbered securities consist of publicly traded, intermediate-term investment grade rated fixed maturity securities. Fixed maturity securities consist of U.S. government and government sponsored entities securities, U.S. agency mortgage-backed securities, and corporate and municipal bonds.
- (d) For additional information relating to this syndicated credit facility, see Credit Facilities below.
- (e) For additional information relating to the contingent liquidity facility, see Contingent Liquidity Facilities below.

#### Table of Contents

### ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

#### Sources

During the first six months of 2013 we collected \$792 million in cash dividends from AIG Property Casualty subsidiaries and approximately \$1.9 billion in cash dividends and loan repayments from AIG Life and Retirement subsidiaries.

On May 1, 2013, \$547 million held in escrow to secure indemnifications provided to MetLife under the ALICO stock purchase agreement was released to AIG.

#### Uses

During the first six months of 2013, we:

redeemed \$1.1 billion aggregate principal amount of our 7.70% Series A-5 Junior Subordinated Debentures and \$750 million aggregate principal amount of our 6.45% Series A-4 Junior Subordinated Debentures, in each case for a redemption price of 100 percent of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest;

purchased, in cash tender offers:

for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$1 billion, approximately 77 million British pounds aggregate principal amount of our 8.625% Series A-8 Junior Subordinated Debentures, approximately 182 million Euro aggregate principal amount of our 8.000% Series A-7 Junior Subordinated Debentures, approximately \$79 million aggregate principal amount of our 6.25% Series A-1 Junior Subordinated Debentures and approximately \$366 million aggregate principal amount of our 8.175% Series A-6 Junior Subordinated Debentures;

for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$211 million, approximately \$19 million liquidation amount of 8½% Capital Trust Pass-Through Securities, approximately \$114 million liquidation amount of 7.57% Capital Securities, Series A and approximately \$29 million liquidation amount of 8½% Capital Securities, Series B, all of which were issued by statutory trusts controlled by AIGLH; and

for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$61 million, approximately \$62 million aggregate principal amount of 5.60% Senior Debentures we had assumed that were originally issued by SunAmerica Inc.;

repaid \$1.4 billion of debt, including \$181 million of MIP long-term debt, and made interest payments totaling \$1.1 billion; and

paid approximately \$25 million in the aggregate to purchase a warrant issued to the Department of the Treasury in 2008 that provided the right to purchase approximately 2.7 million shares of AIG Common Stock at \$50.00 per share and a warrant issued to the Department of Treasury in 2009 that provided the right to purchase up to 150 shares of AIG Common Stock at \$0.00002 per share.

### **AIG Property Casualty**

We expect that AIG Property Casualty subsidiaries will be able to continue to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet their obligations, including those arising from reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events, through cash from operations and, to the extent necessary, monetization of invested assets. AIG Property Casualty subsidiaries' liquidity resources are held in the form of cash, short-term investments and

publicly traded, investment grade, fixed maturity securities. These securities are eligible to be pledged to a Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) in the event liquidity levels are insufficient to meet obligations.

AIG Property Casualty paid \$792 million in cash dividends to AIG Parent in the first six months of 2013.

AIG Parent could be required to provide additional funding to AIG Property Casualty subsidiaries to meet capital or liquidity needs under certain circumstances, including:

large catastrophes that may require AIG to provide additional support to our affected operations;

## ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

downgrades in AIG's credit ratings that could put pressure on the insurer financial strength ratings of AIG's subsidiaries which could result in non-renewals or cancellations by policyholders and adversely affect the subsidiary's ability to meet its own obligations;

increases in market interest rates that may adversely affect the financial strength ratings of our subsidiaries, as rating agency capital models may reduce the amount of available capital relative to required capital; and

other potential events that could cause a liquidity strain, including economic collapse of a nation or region significant to our operations, nationalization, catastrophic terrorist acts, pandemics or other events causing economic or political upheaval.

AIG Parent, AIG Property Casualty Inc. and certain AIG Property Casualty domestic insurance subsidiaries are parties to a consolidated CMA. Among other things, the CMA provides that AIG Parent will maintain the total adjusted capital of these AIG Property Casualty insurance subsidiaries, measured as a group (the Fleet), at or above the specified minimum percentage of the Fleet's projected total authorized control level Risk-Based Capital (RBC). In addition, the CMA provides that if the total adjusted capital of the Fleet exceeds that same specified minimum percentage of the Fleet's total authorized control level RBC, subject to approval by their respective boards, and compliance with applicable insurance laws, the AIG Property Casualty insurance subsidiaries would declare and pay ordinary dividends to their respective equity holders up to an amount necessary to reduce the Fleet's projected or actual total adjusted capital to a level equal to or not materially greater than such specified minimum percentage. As of June 30, 2013, the specified minimum percentage was 325 percent.

For the first six months of 2013, cash dividends of approximately \$480 million were paid pursuant to the CMA and AIG Parent was not required to make any capital contributions pursuant to the CMA.

On May 17, 2013, Lexington Insurance Company (Lexington) purchased stock in the FHLB of Boston, thereby becoming a member of the FHLB of Boston. Additionally, National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh, Pa. (NUFI) is a member of the FHLB of Pittsburgh and Chartis Specialty Insurance Company (CSI) is a member of the FHLB of Chicago. FHLB membership provides participants with access to various services, including access to low-cost advances through pledging of certain mortgage-backed securities, government and agency securities and other qualifying assets. These advances may be used to provide an additional source of liquidity for balance sheet management or contingency funding purposes. As of June 30, 2013, there were no FHLB advances outstanding for NUFI, CSI or Lexington.

On April 29 2013, we entered into a new \$625 million Ascot Corporate Name Limited (ACNL) letter of credit facility, which replaced the prior \$725 million ACNL letter of credit facility. Under the new facility, AIG Parent replaced AIG Property Casualty Inc. as a direct obligor. ACNL, as a member of the Lloyd's of London insurance syndicate (Lloyd's), is required to hold capital at Lloyd's, known as Funds at Lloyds (FAL). Under the new facility, which supports the 2013, 2014 and 2015 years of account, the entire FAL requirement of \$564 million, as of April 29, 2013, was satisfied with a letter of credit issued under the facility.

#### AIG Life and Retirement

We expect that AIG Life and Retirement subsidiaries will be able to continue to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet their obligations, including those arising from reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events, through cash from operations and, to the extent necessary, monetization of invested assets. AIG Life and Retirement subsidiaries' liquidity resources are held in the form of cash, short-term investments and publicly traded, investment grade, fixed maturity securities. These securities are eligible to be pledged to a FHLB in the event liquidity levels are insufficient to meet obligations.

In the first six months of 2013, AIG Life and Retirement provided \$1.9 billion of liquidity to AIG Parent, which was funded by the payment of dividends from AIG Life and Retirement's insurance subsidiaries.

The need to fund product surrenders, withdrawals and maturities creates a significant potential liquidity requirement for AIG Life and Retirement's insurance subsidiaries. We believe that because of the size and liquidity of our investment portfolios, AIG Life and Retirement does not face a significant liquidity risk due to normal deviations from projected claim or surrender experience. Furthermore, AIG Life and Retirement's products contain certain features that mitigate surrender risk, including surrender charges. As part of its risk management

framework, AIG Life and Retirement continues to evaluate and, where appropriate, pursue strategies and programs to improve its liquidity

Table of Contents

### ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

position and facilitate AIG Life and Retirement's ability to maintain a fully invested asset portfolio. AIG Life and Retirement also has developed a robust contingent liquidity plan to address any unforeseen liquidity needs.

AIG Life and Retirement executes programs, which began in 2012, that lend securities from its investment portfolio to supplement liquidity or for other uses as deemed appropriate by management. Under these programs, AIG Life and Retirement insurance subsidiaries lend securities to financial institutions and receive collateral equal to 102 percent of the fair value of the loaned securities. Reinvestment of cash collateral received is restricted to liquid investments. Additionally, the aggregate amount of securities that an AIG Life and Retirement insurance company may lend under its program at any time is limited to five percent of its general account admitted assets. AIG Life and Retirement's liability to the borrower for collateral received was \$4.4 billion as of June 30, 2013. In addition, certain AIG Life and Retirement insurance subsidiaries are members of the FHLBs in their respective districts. As of June 30, 2013, AIG Life and Retirement had outstanding borrowings of \$50 million from the FHLBs. Borrowings from the FHLBs are used to supplement liquidity or for other general corporate purposes.

AIG Parent is party to CMAs with certain AIG Life and Retirement insurance subsidiaries. Among other things, the CMAs provide that AIG Parent will maintain the total adjusted capital of each of these AIG Life and Retirement insurance subsidiaries at or above a specified minimum percentage of the subsidiary's projected company action level RBC.

In addition, the CMAs provide that if the total adjusted capital of these AIG Life and Retirement insurance subsidiaries is in excess of that same specified minimum percentage of their respective total company action level RBC, subject to approval by their respective boards and compliance with applicable insurance laws, the subsidiaries would declare and pay ordinary dividends to their respective equity holders up to an amount necessary to reduce projected or actual total adjusted capital to a level equal to or not materially greater than such specified minimum percentage. As of June 30, 2013, the specified minimum percentage was 385 percent, except for the CMA with AGC Life Insurance Company, where the specified minimum percentage is 250 percent.

In the first six months of 2013, approximately \$1.9 billion was distributed under the CMAs and AIG Parent was not required to make any capital contributions under the CMAs.

Other Operations

Mortgage Guaranty

We expect that Mortgage Guaranty subsidiaries will be able to continue to satisfy future liquidity requirements and meet their obligations, including those arising from reasonably foreseeable contingencies or events, through cash from operations and, to the extent necessary, monetization of invested assets. Mortgage Guaranty's liquidity resources are held in the form of cash, short-term investments and publicly traded, investment grade, fixed maturity securities. These securities could be monetized in the event liquidity levels are insufficient to meet obligations.

On July 1, 2013, AIG Parent entered into a CMA with a Mortgage Guaranty insurance subsidiary. Among other things, the CMA provides that AIG Parent will maintain capital and surplus of this Mortgage Guaranty insurance subsidiary at or above a specified minimum required capital based on a specified risk-to-capital ratio. In addition, the CMA provides that if capital and surplus of this Mortgage Guaranty insurance subsidiary is in excess of that same specified minimum required capital, subject to board approval and compliance with applicable insurance laws, this Mortgage Guaranty insurance subsidiary would declare and pay ordinary dividends to its equity holders up to an amount necessary to reduce projected or actual capital and surplus to a level equal to or not materially greater than such specified minimum required capital.

As structured, the CMA contemplates that the specified minimum required capital would be reviewed and agreed upon at least annually. The initial specified minimum required capital is based on a risk-to-capital ratio of 21 to 1.

Global Capital Markets

GCM acts as the derivatives intermediary between AIG and its subsidiaries and third parties to provide hedging services for AIG entities. Commencing June 10, 2013, GCM is required to clear certain derivatives transactions through central regulated clearing organizations pursuant to Dodd-Frank. To the extent a derivatives transaction is subject to a clearing obligation, GCM is required to post collateral in amounts determined by the relevant clearing

### ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

organization and GCM's clearing agreements with its futures commission merchants. To the extent a derivatives transaction is not subject to a clearing obligation, these derivative trades are governed by bilateral master agreements, the form of which is published by the International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc. (ISDA). These agreements, primarily between GCM and third party financial institutions, require collateral postings. Many of GCM's transactions with AIG and its subsidiaries also include collateral posting requirements the purpose of which are to provide collateral to GCM, which in turn is used to satisfy posting requirements with third parties, including the margin requirements of clearing organizations and futures commission merchants. Most of GCM's CDS are subject to collateral posting provisions. The collateral posting provisions contained in the ISDA agreements and related transaction documents with respect to CDSs differ among counterparties and asset classes. The amount of future collateral posting requirements for super senior CDSs is a function of our credit ratings, the rating of the relevant reference obligations and the market value of the relevant reference obligations, with the latter being the most significant factor. We estimate the amount of potential future collateral postings associated with the super senior CDS using various methodologies. The contingent liquidity requirements associated with such potential future collateral postings are incorporated into our liquidity planning assumptions.

As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, GCM had total assets of \$8.6 billion and \$8.0 billion and total liabilities of \$3.8 billion and \$4.9 billion. GCM's assets consist primarily of cash, short-term investments, other receivables, net of allowance, and unrealized gains on swaps, options and forwards. GCM's liabilities consist primarily of trade payables and unrealized losses on swaps, options and forwards. Collateral posted by GCM to third parties was \$3.3 billion and \$4.2 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. GCM obtained collateral from third parties totaling \$543 million and \$846 million at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. The collateral amounts reflect counterparty netting adjustments available under master netting agreements and are inclusive of collateral that exceeded the fair value of derivatives as of the reporting date.

Direct Investment Book

The DIB is managed so that it maintains the liquidity that we believe is necessary to meet all of the DIB liabilities as they come due, even under stress scenarios, without having to liquidate DIB assets or rely on additional liquidity from AIG Parent. If the DIB's risk target is breached, we expect to take appropriate actions to increase the DIB's liquidity sources or reduce liquidity requirements to maintain the risk target, although no assurance can be given that this can be achieved under then-prevailing market conditions. Any additional liquidity shortfalls would need to be funded by AIG Parent.

The DIB's assets consist primarily of cash, short-term investments, fixed maturity securities issued by U.S. government and government sponsored entities, mortgage and asset backed securities and, to a lesser extent, bank loans and mortgage loans. The DIB's liabilities consist primarily of notes and other borrowings supported by assets as well as other short-term financing obligations. As of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, the DIB had total assets of \$25.7 billion and \$28.5 billion and total liabilities of \$21.8 billion and \$23.8 billion.

The overall hedging activity for the assets and liabilities of the DIB is executed by GCM. The value of hedges related to the non-derivative assets and liabilities of AIGFP in the DIB is included within the assets and liabilities and operating results of GCM and are not included within the DIB operating results, assets or liabilities.

Collateral posted by operations included in the DIB to third parties was \$4.3 billion at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. This collateral primarily consists of securities of the U.S. government and government sponsored entities and generally cannot be repledged or resold by the counterparties.

#### **Credit Facilities**

We maintain a committed revolving four-year syndicated credit facility (the Four-Year Facility) as a potential source of liquidity for general corporate purposes. The Four-Year Facility also provides for the issuance of letters of credit. We currently expect to replace or extend the Four-Year Facility on or prior to its expiration in October 2016, although no assurance can be given that the Four-Year Facility will be replaced on favorable terms or at all.

The Four-Year Facility provides for \$4.0 billion of unsecured revolving loans, which includes a \$2.0 billion letter of credit sublimit. As of June 30, 2013, a total of approximately \$3.1 billion remains available under the Four-Year Facility, of which approximately \$1.1 billion remains available for letters of credit. Our ability to borrow under the Four-Year Facility is not contingent on our credit ratings. However, our ability to borrow under the Four-Year Facility

### ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

is conditioned on the satisfaction of certain legal, operating, administrative and financial covenants and other requirements contained in the Four-Year Facility. These include covenants relating to our maintenance of a specified total consolidated net worth and total consolidated debt to total consolidated capitalization. Failure to satisfy these and other requirements contained in the Four-Year Facility would restrict our access to the Four-Year Facility and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. We expect to borrow under the Four-Year Facility from time to time, and may use the proceeds for general corporate purposes.

#### **Contingent Liquidity Facilities**

AIG Parent has access to a contingent liquidity facility of up to \$500 million as a potential source of liquidity for general corporate purposes. Under this facility, we have the unconditional right, prior to December 15, 2015, to issue up to \$500 million in senior debt to the counterparty, based on a put option agreement between AIG Parent and the counterparty.

Our ability to borrow under this facility is not contingent on our credit ratings.

### **Contractual Obligations**

The following table summarizes contractual obligations in total, and by remaining maturity:

June 30, 2013			Re	emainder	2014-	ents	2016-	Per			
(in millions)	Pa	yments		of 2013	2015		2017		2018	']	Thereafter
Insurance operations Loss reserves Insurance and investment contract	\$	87,291	\$	22,559	\$ 24,981	\$	12,897	\$	4,192	\$	22,662
liabilities Borrowings		230,869 1,621		8,388 6	25,655 46		24,930 8		11,622		160,274 1,558
Interest payments on borrowings Other long-term obligations		2,856 26		57 4	225 12		225 7		113		2,236
Total	\$	322,663	\$	31,014	\$ 50,919	\$	38,067	\$	15,933	\$	186,730
Other											
Borrowings <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	62,426 38,284	\$	3,453 1,678	\$ 11,638 6,460	\$	15,570 5,109	\$	9,945 1,779	\$	21,820
Interest payments on borrowings Aircraft purchase commitments		22,328		895	5,117		7,432		4,381		23,258 4,503
Other long-term obligations		199		19	89		3		,		88
Total	\$	123,237	\$	6,045	\$ 23,304	\$	28,114	\$	16,105	\$	49,669
Consolidated		07.401			• 4 004	4	40.00		4.400		
Loss reserves Insurance and investment contract	\$	87,291	\$	22,559	\$ 24,981	\$	12,897	\$	4,192	\$	22,662
liabilities		230,869		8,388	25,655		24,930		11,622		160,274
Borrowings <sup>(a)</sup>		64,047		3,459	11,684		15,578		9,948		23,378
Interest payments on borrowings Aircraft purchase commitments		41,140 22,328		1,735 895	6,685 5,117		5,334 7,432		1,892 4,381		25,494 4,503

Other long-term obligations <sup>(b)</sup>	225	23	101	10	3	88
Total <sup>(c)</sup>	\$ 445,900 \$	37,059 \$	74,223 \$	66,181 \$	32,038 \$	236,399

- (a) Includes \$23.3 billion of borrowings related to ILFC which is reported as discontinued operations.
- (b) Primarily includes contracts to purchase future services and other capital expenditures.
- (c) Does not reflect unrecognized tax benefits of \$4.9 billion (\$4.6 billion excluding ILFC), the timing of which is uncertain.

#### Table of Contents

## ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Insurance and Investment Contract Liabilities

Insurance and investment contract liabilities, including GIC liabilities, relate to AIG Life and Retirement businesses. These liabilities include various investment-type products with contractually scheduled maturities, including periodic payments of a term certain nature. These liabilities also include benefit and claim liabilities, of which a significant portion represents policies and contracts that do not have stated contractual maturity dates and may not result in any future payment obligations. For these policies and contracts (i) we are currently not making payments until the occurrence of an insurable event, such as death or disability, (ii) payments are conditional on survivorship or (iii) payment may occur due to a surrender or other non-scheduled event out of our control.

We have made significant assumptions to determine the estimated undiscounted cash flows of these contractual policy benefits. These assumptions include mortality, morbidity, future lapse rates, expenses, investment returns and interest crediting rates, offset by expected future deposits and premiums on in-force policies. Due to the significance of the assumptions, the periodic amounts presented could be materially different from actual required payments. The amounts presented in this table are undiscounted and exceed the future policy benefits and policyholder contract deposits included in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

We believe that AIG Life and Retirement subsidiaries have adequate financial resources to meet the payments actually required under these obligations. These subsidiaries have substantial liquidity in the form of cash and short-term investments. In addition, AIG Life and Retirement businesses maintain significant levels of investment-grade fixed maturity securities, including substantial holdings in government and corporate bonds, and could seek to monetize those holdings in the event operating cash flows are insufficient. We expect liquidity needs related to GIC liabilities to be funded through cash flows generated from maturities and sales of invested assets.

Loss reserves relate to the AIG Property Casualty and the Mortgage Guaranty businesses, and represent future loss and loss adjustment expense payments estimated based on historical loss development payment patterns. Due to the significance of the assumptions used, the payments by period presented above could be materially different from actual required payments. We believe that AIG Property Casualty and Mortgage Guaranty subsidiaries maintain adequate financial resources to meet the actual required payments under these obligations.

#### **Borrowings**

Our borrowings exclude those incurred by consolidated investments and include hybrid financial instrument liabilities recorded at fair value. We expect to repay the long-term debt maturities and interest accrued on borrowings by AIG through maturing investments and dispositions of invested assets, future cash flows from operations, cash flows generated from invested assets, future debt issuance and other financing arrangements.

## ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments

The following table summarizes Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements and Commercial Commitments in total, and by remaining maturity:

		T-4-1		A	\m	ount of	Co	mmitme	ent	Expiri	ng	
June 30, 2013 (in millions)		Total Amounts Committed	R	emainder of 2013		2014- 2015		2016- 2017		2018	Т	hereafter
Insurance operations												
Guarantees: Standby letters of credit	\$	1,063	\$	274	\$	148	\$	564	\$	77	¢	
Guarantees of indebtedness	Ψ	185	Ψ	2/4	Ψ	140	Ψ	304	Ψ	,,	Ψ	185
All other guarantees(a)		15				7		1				7
Commitments:		1 001		1 514		269		99				
Investment commitments <sup>(b)</sup> Commitments to extend credit		1,881 523		1,514 427		268 96		99				
Letters of credit		10		727		10						
Other commercial commitments(c)		652										652
Total <sup>(e)</sup>	\$	4,329	\$	2,215	\$	529	\$	664	\$	77	\$	844
Other												
Guarantees:												
Liquidity facilities <sup>(d)</sup>	\$	101	\$	200	\$		\$	•	\$		\$	101
Standby letters of credit All other guarantees <sup>(a)</sup>		306 384		298		6 186		2 71		31		96
Commitments:		304				100		/1		31		70
Investment commitments(b)		459		322		39		26				72
Commitments to extend credit		103		100		3						
Letters of credit Other commercial commitments <sup>(c)</sup>		20 32		20 30		2						
Other commercial communents		34		30		2						
Total <sup>(e)(f)</sup>	\$	1,405	\$	770	\$	236	\$	99	\$	31	\$	269
Consolidated												
Guarantees:												
Liquidity facilities <sup>(d)</sup>	\$	101	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	101
Standby letters of credit		1,369		572		154		566		77		105
Guarantees of indebtedness All other guarantees <sup>(a)</sup>		185 399				193		72		31		185 103
Commitments:		373				173		12		31		103
Investment commitments(b)		2,340		1,836		307		125				72
Commitments to extend credit		626		527		99						
Letters of credit Other commercial commitments(c)		30 684		20 30		10 2						652
Other commercial commitments <sup>(c)</sup>		084		30								052
Total <sup>(e)(f)</sup>	\$	5,734	\$	2,985	\$	765	\$	763	\$	108	\$	1,113

- (a) Includes residual value guarantees associated with aircraft and AIG Life and Retirement construction guarantees connected to affordable housing investments. Excludes potential amounts for indemnification obligations included in asset sales agreements. See Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further information on indemnification obligations.
- (b) Includes commitments to invest in private equity funds, hedge funds and mutual funds and commitments to purchase and develop real estate in the United States and abroad. The commitments to invest in private equity funds, hedge funds and other funds are called at the discretion of each fund, as needed for funding new investments or expenses of the fund. The expiration of these commitments is estimated in the table above based on the expected life cycle of the related fund, consistent with past trends of requirements for funding. Investors under these commitments are primarily insurance and real estate subsidiaries.
- (c) Excludes commitments with respect to pension plans. The remaining pension contribution for 2013 is expected to be approximately \$36 million for U.S. and non-U.S. plans.
- (d) Primarily represents liquidity facilities provided in connection with certain municipal swap transactions and collateralized bond obligations.
- (e) Does not include guarantees, capital maintenance agreements or other support arrangements among AIG consolidated entities.
- (f) Includes \$337 million attributable to ILFC, which is reported as discontinued operations.

### ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Arrangements with Variable Interest Entities

While AIG enters into various arrangements with variable interest entities (VIEs) in the normal course of business, our involvement with VIEs is primarily as a passive investor in fixed maturity securities (rated and unrated) and equity interests issued by VIEs. We consolidate a VIE when we are the primary beneficiary of the entity. For a further discussion of our involvement with VIEs, see Note 8 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

#### Indemnification Agreements

We are subject to financial guarantees and indemnity arrangements in connection with our sales of businesses. These arrangements may be triggered by declines in asset values, specified business contingencies, the realization of contingent liabilities, litigation developments, or breaches of representations, warranties or covenants provided by us. These arrangements are typically subject to time limitations, defined by the contract or by operation of law, such as by prevailing statutes of limitation. Depending on the specific terms of the arrangements, the maximum potential obligation may or may not be subject to contractual limitations. For additional information regarding our indemnification agreements, see Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

We have recorded liabilities for certain of these arrangements where it is possible to estimate them. These liabilities are not material in the aggregate. We are unable to develop a reasonable estimate of the maximum potential payout under some of these arrangements. Overall, we believe that it is unlikely we will have to make any material payments under these arrangements.

#### **Debt**

### The following table provides the rollforward of AIG's total debt outstanding:

Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 (in millions)	Balance at December 31, 2012 Issuances			and ayments	Effect of Foreign Exchange	1	Other Changes	В	alance at June 30, 2013
Debt issued or guaranteed by AIG: AIG general borrowings: Notes and bonds payable Subordinated debt Junior subordinated debt Loans and mortgages payable AIGLH notes and bonds payable Liabilities connected to trust preferred	\$	14,084 250 9,416 79 298	\$	\$ (1,062) (2,831) (2)	\$ (14 (11	5) 5	3 1 1	\$	12,892 250 6,478 78 299
stock <sup>(a)</sup> Total AIG general borrowings		1,339 25,466		(245) (4,140)	(25	5)	20		1,094 21,091
AIG borrowings supported by assets: <sup>(b)</sup> MIP notes payable Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable		9,296 3,544		(181) (33)	(20	9)	(27) (16)		8,879 3,495

GIAs, at fair value Notes and bonds payable, at fair value	6,501 1,554		(471) (513)		(463) <sup>(c)</sup> 138 <sup>(c)</sup>	5,816 1,197
Total AIG borrowings supported by assets	20,895	267	(1,198)	(209)	(368)	19,387
Total debt issued or guaranteed by AIG	46,361	267	(5,338)	(464)	(348)	40,478
Debt not guaranteed by AIG: Other subsidiaries notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable	325	99	(134)	(21)	27	296
Debt of consolidated investments <sup>(d)</sup>	1,814	120	(86)	(44)	36	1,840
Total debt not guaranteed by AIG	2,139	219	(220)	(65)	63	2,136
Total debt <sup>(e)</sup>	\$ 48,500	\$ 486	\$ (5,558)	\$ (529)	\$ (285)	\$ 42,614

- (a) On July 11, 2013, AIGLH junior subordinated debentures with the same terms as the trust preferred securities were distributed to holders of the trust preferred securities, and the trust preferred securities were cancelled.
- (b) AIG Parent guarantees all DIB debt, except for MIP notes payable and Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds payable, which are direct obligations of AIG Parent.
- (c) Primarily represents adjustments to the fair value of debt.
- (d) At June 30, 2013, includes debt of consolidated investments primarily held through AIG Global Real Estate Investment Corp., AIG Credit Corp. and AIG Life and Retirement of \$1.5 billion, \$149 million and \$194 million, respectively.
- (e) Excludes \$23.3 billion related to ILFC as it is classified as a held for sale business at June 30, 2013.

### Table of Contents

## ITEM 2/LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The decrease in total debt outstanding as of June 30, 2013, compared to December 31, 2012, was due to maturities and repayments of debt, including cash tender offers, redemptions and repurchases of certain securities discussed above.

#### **Debt Maturities**

The following table summarizes maturing debt at June 30, 2013 of AIG (excluding \$1.8 billion of borrowings of consolidated investments) for the next four quarters:

(in millions)	Third uarter 2013	Fourth Quarter 2013	(	First Quarter 2014	Second Quarter 2014	Total
AIG general borrowings AIG borrowings supported by assets	\$ 77 875	\$ 469 98	\$	500 379	\$ 514	\$ 1,046 1,866
Other subsidiaries notes, bonds, loans and mortgages payable	5					5
Total	\$ 957	\$ 567	\$	879	\$ 514	\$ 2,917

AIG borrowings supported by assets consisted of debt under the DIB. At June 30, 2013, all of the debt maturities in the DIB through June 30, 2014 are supported by short-term investments and maturing investments.

## ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The following table presents maturities of long-term debt (including unamortized original issue discount, hedge accounting valuation adjustments and fair value adjustments, when applicable), excluding \$1.8 billion in borrowings of consolidated investments:

June 30, 2013 (in		Remai	Year Ending										
millions)	Total	of	2013	2014		2015		2016		2017	2018	Thereafter	
General borrowings: Notes and bonds payable Subordinated	\$ 12,892	\$	469	\$ 500	\$	999	\$	1,725	\$	1,383	\$ 2,494	\$ 5,322	
debt Junior subordinated	250					250							
debt Loans and mortgages	6,478											6,478	
payable AIGLH notes and bonds	78		77			1							
payable Liabilities connected to	299											299	
trust preferred stock <sup>(a)</sup>	1,094											1,094	
AIG general borrowings	\$ 21,091	\$	546	\$ 500	\$	1,250	\$	1,725	\$	1,383	\$ 2,494	\$ 13,193	
Borrowings supported by assets: MIP notes payable Series AIGFP matched notes and bonds	8,879		694	1,584		999		1,260		3,898	444		
payable GIAs, at fair	3,495										3,241	254	
value Notes and bonds	5,816		179	593		594		310		249	647	3,244	
payable, at fair value	1,197		100	29		238		215		123	158	334	
AIG borrowings supported by assets	19,387		973	2,206		1,831		1,785		4,270	4,490	3,832	

Other								
subsidiaries								
notes, bonds,								
loans and								
mortgages								
payable	296	5	1	45	2	6	3	234
Total	\$ 40,774 \$	1,524 \$	2,707 \$	3,126 \$	3,512 \$	5,659 \$	6,987 \$	17,259

(a) On July 11, 2013, AIGLH junior subordinated debentures with the same terms as the trust preferred securities were distributed to holders of the trust preferred securities and the trust preferred securities were cancelled.

#### **Credit Ratings**

Credit ratings estimate a company's ability to meet its obligations and may directly affect the cost and availability of financing to that company. The following table presents the credit ratings of AIG and certain of its subsidiaries as of July 31, 2013. Figures in parentheses indicate the relative ranking of the ratings within the agency's rating categories; that ranking refers only to the major rating category and not to the modifiers assigned by the rating agencies.

	Short-Te	erm Debt	Senior Long-Term Debt						
	Moody's	S&P	Moody's(a)	<b>S&amp;P</b> (b)	Fitch(c)				
AIG	P-2 (2nd of 3) Stable Outlook	A-2 (2nd of 8)	Baa 1 (4th of 9) Stable Outlook	A- (3rd of 8) Negative Outlook	BBB (4th of 9) Stable Outlook				
AIG Financial Products Corp. <sup>(d)</sup>	P-2 Stable Outlook	A-2	Baa 1 Stable Outlook	A- Negative Outlook					
AIG Funding, Inc. (d)	P-2 Stable Outlook	A-2							

- (a) Moody's appends numerical modifiers 1, 2 and 3 to the generic rating categories to show relative position within the rating categories.
- (b) S&P ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.
- (c) Fitch ratings may be modified by the addition of a plus or minus sign to show relative standing within the major rating categories.
- (d) AIG guarantees all obligations of AIG Financial Products Corp. and AIG Funding, Inc.

#### Table of Contents

### ITEM 2 / LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

These credit ratings are current opinions of the rating agencies. They may be changed, suspended or withdrawn at any time by the rating agencies as a result of changes in, or unavailability of, information or based on other circumstances. Ratings may also be withdrawn at our request.

We are party to some agreements that contain "ratings triggers". Depending on the ratings maintained by one or more rating agencies, these triggers could result in (i) the termination or limitation of credit availability or require accelerated repayment, (ii) the termination of business contracts or (iii) requirement to post collateral for the benefit of counterparties.

In the event of adverse actions on our long-term debt ratings by the major rating agencies, AIGFP would be required to post additional collateral under some derivative transactions, or to permit termination of the transactions. Such transactions could adversely affect our business, our consolidated results of operations in a reporting period or our liquidity. In the event of a further downgrade of AIG's long-term senior debt ratings, AIGFP would be required to post additional collateral, and certain of AIGFP's counterparties would be permitted to terminate their contracts early.

The actual amount of collateral that we would be required to post to counterparties in the event of such downgrades, or the aggregate amount of payments that we could be required to make, depend on market conditions, the fair value of outstanding affected transactions and other factors prevailing at the time of the downgrade.

For a discussion of the effects of downgrades in the financial strength ratings of our insurance companies or our credit ratings, see Note 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein and Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors Liquidity, Capital and Credit in the 2012 Annual Report.

Dividends and Repurchases of AIG Common Stock

On August 1, 2013, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend on AIG Common Stock of \$0.10 per share, payable on September 26, 2013 to shareholders of record on September 12, 2013. The payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend on various factors, including the regulatory framework applicable to us, as discussed further in Note 11 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

On August 1, 2013, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of shares of AIG Common Stock, with an aggregate purchase price of up to \$1.0 billion, from time to time in the open market, private purchases, through forward, derivative, accelerated repurchase or automatic repurchase transactions or otherwise. The timing of such repurchases will depend on market conditions, our financial condition, results of operations, liquidity and other factors.

Payments of dividends to AIG by its insurance subsidiaries are subject to certain restrictions imposed by regulatory authorities. See Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for additional discussion of restrictions on payments of dividends by AIG's subsidiaries.

#### Table of Contents

#### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

#### **OVERVIEW**

Our investment strategies are tailored to the specific business needs of each operating unit. The investment objectives are driven by the respective business models for AIG Property Casualty, AIG Life and Retirement and AIG Parent including the DIB. The primary objectives are generation of investment income, preservation of capital, liquidity management and growth of surplus to support the insurance products. The majority of assets backing our insurance liabilities consist of intermediate and long duration fixed maturity securities.

#### **Market Conditions**

Our investments and investment strategies were affected by the following conditions in the second quarter of 2013:

The Federal Reserve's potential tapering of its quantitative easing bond purchase program led to a selloff in the bond market in June. Slowing growth in China and continued challenges in Europe added to the uncertainty in the bond market.

Although June ended seven months of positive performance in the domestic equity markets, the second quarter performance was muted, at approximately 2 percent. U.S. equity markets reached record high levels during the quarter before retreating in the last half of June.

Bond yields increased sharply during the second quarter of 2013, with the ten-year U.S. Treasury rates increasing 64 basis points to end the quarter at 2.49 percent. In addition, spreads on investment grade and high yield securities widened during the quarter.

The performance of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies was mixed during the quarter as it strengthened 5 percent against the Yen, weakened 1 percent against the Euro, and was flat against the British Pound.

## **Investment Strategies**

At the local operating unit level, investment strategies are based on considerations that include the local market, general market conditions, liability duration and cash flow characteristics, rating agency and regulatory capital considerations, legal investment limitations, tax optimization and diversification.

In the case of AIG Life and Retirement, as well as in the DIB, our fundamental investment strategy is to match the duration characteristics of the liabilities with assets of comparable duration, to the extent practicable.

Fixed maturity securities held by the domestic insurance companies included in AIG Property Casualty historically have consisted primarily of laddered holdings of tax-exempt municipal bonds, which provided attractive after-tax returns and limited credit risk. To meet the current risk-return and tax objectives of AIG Property Casualty, cash flows from the investment portfolio and insurance operations are generally being reinvested by the domestic property and casualty companies in taxable instruments which meet the companies' liquidity, duration and credit quality objectives as well as current risk-return and tax objectives.

Outside of the U.S., fixed maturity securities held by AIG Property Casualty companies consist primarily of intermediate duration high-grade securities generally denominated in the currencies of the countries in which we operate.

AIG Parent's liquidity resources are held in the form of cash, short-term investments and publicly traded, investment grade, fixed maturity securities. AIG Parent actively manages its assets and liabilities in terms of products, counterparties and tenor. During the first half of 2013, upon an assessment of its immediate and longer-term funding needs, AIG Parent purchased publicly traded, intermediate term, investment grade fixed maturity securities that can be readily monetized through sales or repurchase agreements. These securities allow us to diversify sources of liquidity while reducing the cost of maintaining sufficient liquidity.

#### Table of Contents

### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

#### **Investment Highlights**

The following is an overview of investment activities during the second quarter of 2013:

An increase in rates and widening of investment grade and high yields spreads resulted in net unrealized losses in the investment portfolio. Net unrealized gains in our available-for-sale portfolio declined to approximately \$14 billion as of June 30, 2013 from approximately \$25 billion as of December 31, 2012 due to increases in interest rates and the realization of over \$1.5 billion in gains.

We continued to make investments in structured securities and other fixed maturity securities with attractive and appropriate risk versus return characteristics to improve yields and increase net investment income.

Net investment income benefited from higher returns on alternative investments primarily due to the performance of equity markets.

Blended investment yields on new AIG Life and Retirement and AIG Property Casualty investments were lower than blended rates on investments that were sold, matured or called.

Other-than-temporary-impairments remained at low levels, with a small portion attributable to structured securities.

### Credit Ratings

At June 30, 2013, approximately 89 percent of fixed maturity securities were held by our domestic entities. Approximately 17 percent of such securities were rated AAA by one or more of the principal rating agencies, and approximately 15 percent were rated below investment grade or not rated. Our investment decision process relies primarily on internally generated fundamental analysis and internal risk ratings. Third-party rating services' ratings and opinions provide one source of independent perspective for consideration in the internal analysis.

A significant portion of our foreign entities' fixed maturity securities portfolio is rated by Moody's, S&P or similar foreign rating services. Rating services are not available for some foreign issued securities. Our Credit Risk Management department closely reviews the credit quality of the foreign portfolio's non-rated fixed maturity securities. At June 30, 2013, approximately 16 percent of such investments were either rated AAA or, on the basis of our internal analysis, were equivalent from a credit standpoint to securities rated AAA, and approximately 3 percent were rated below investment grade or not rated. Approximately 49 percent of the foreign entities' fixed maturity securities portfolio is comprised of sovereign fixed maturity securities supporting policy liabilities in the country of issuance.

With respect to our fixed maturity investments, the credit ratings in the table below and in subsequent tables reflect: (a) a composite of the ratings of the three major rating agencies, or when agency ratings are not available, the rating assigned by the NAIC Securities Valuations Office (SVO) (over 99 percent of total fixed maturity investments), or (b) our equivalent internal ratings when these investments have not been rated by any of the major rating agencies or the NAIC. The "Non-rated" category in those tables consists of fixed maturity securities that have not been rated by any of the major rating agencies, the NAIC or us. For a further discussion of the NAIC designations of our fixed maturity securities, see NAIC Designations below.

See Enterprise Risk Management herein for a discussion of credit risks associated with Investments.

# ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS

The following table presents the composite AIG credit ratings of our fixed maturity securities calculated on the basis of their fair value:

	Available for Sale			Trading					Total			
	June 30,	De	cember 31,		June 30,		cember 31,		June 30,	De	ecember 31,	
	2013		2012		2013		2012		2013		2012	
Rating: Other fixed maturity securities												
AAA	\$ 18,948	\$	21,433	\$	3,959	\$	6,047	\$	22,907	\$	27,480	
AA	42,275		44,224		2,166		636		44,441		44,860	
A	59,574		62,824		451		588		60,025		63,412	
BBB	73,724		78,554		426		468		74,150		79,022	
Below investment grade	9,269		9,775		340		265		9,609		10,040	
Non-rated	54		290				112		54		402	
Total	\$ 203,844	\$	217,100	\$	7,342	\$	8,116	\$	211,186	\$	225,216	
Mortgage-backed, asset- backed and collateralized												
AAA	\$ 22,296	\$	21,151	\$	2,878	\$	2,843	\$	25,174	\$	23,994	
AA	3,524		3,162		2,767		2,889		6,291		6,051	
A	6,321		5,533		1,132		928		7,453		6,461	
BBB	3,613		3,497		688		807		4,301		4,304	
Below investment grade	21,616		19,390		8,923		8,957		30,539		28,347	
Non-rated	15		126		59		44		74		170	
Total	\$ 57,385	\$	52,859	\$	16,447	\$	16,468	\$	73,832	\$	69,327	
Total												
AAA	\$ 41,244	\$	42,584	\$	6,837	\$	8,890	\$	48,081	\$	51,474	
AA	45,799		47,386		4,933		3,525		50,732		50,911	
A	65,895		68,357		1,583		1,516		67,478		69,873	
BBB	77,337		82,051		1,114		1,275		78,451		83,326	
Below investment grade	30,885		29,165		9,263		9,222		40,148		38,387	
Non-rated	69		416		59		156		128		572	
Total	\$ 261,229	\$	269,959	\$	23,789	\$	24,584	\$	285,018	\$	294,543	

### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

### **Investments by Segment**

The following tables summarize the composition of AIG's investments by reportable segment:

	Reportable AIG	e Se	gment AIG Life			onsolidation			
(in millions)	Property Casualty	R	and etirement	Ol	Other perations	E	and diminations		Total
June 30, 2013 Fixed maturity securities: Bonds available for sale, at fair value Bond trading securities, at fair value Equity securities:	\$ 100,039 2,092	\$	154,753 2,522	\$	10,426 19,369	\$	(3,989) \$ (194)		261,229 23,789
Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value Common and preferred stock trading, at fair	3,035		104		14				3,153
value Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of allowance	151 1,433		508 18,984		99 1,394		(1,954)		758 19,857
Other invested assets Short-term investments	13,100 5,016		12,755 6,798		3,397 9,238		(46) (837)		29,206 20,215
Total investments* Cash	124,866 1,063		196,424 401		43,937 298		(7,020)		358,207 1,762
Total invested assets	\$ 125,929	\$	196,825	\$	44,235	\$	(7,020) \$		359,969
December 31, 2012 Fixed maturity securities:									
Bonds available for sale, at fair value Bond trading securities, at fair value Equity securities:	\$ 102,563 1,597	\$	163,550 1,856	\$	6,580 21,362	\$	(2,734) (231)	\$	269,959 24,584
Common and preferred stock available for sale, at fair value Common and preferred stock trading, at fair	3,093		111		8				3,212
value Mortgage and other loans receivable, net of			562		100				662
allowance Other invested assets Short-term investments	2,839 12,720 7,935		18,755 12,737 7,392		2,015 3,280 14,432		(4,127) 380 (951)		19,482 29,117 28,808
Total investments* Cash	130,747 649		204,963 297		47,777 205		(7,663)		375,824 1,151
Total invested assets	\$ 131,396	\$	205,260	\$	47,982	\$	(7,663)	\$	376,975

<sup>\*</sup> At June 30, 2013 approximately 89 percent and 11 percent of investments were held by domestic and foreign entities, respectively, compared to approximately 88 percent and 12 percent, respectively, at December 31, 2012.

### **AIG Property Casualty**

In our property casualty business, the duration of liabilities for long-tail casualty lines is greater than that for other lines. As differentiated from the life insurance and retirement services companies, the focus is not on asset-liability matching, but on preservation of capital and growth of surplus.

Fixed maturity securities of AIG Property Casualty domestic operations, with an average duration of 4.1 years, are currently comprised primarily of tax-exempt securities, which provide attractive risk-adjusted after-tax returns as well as taxable municipal bonds, government and agency bonds, and corporate bonds. The majority of these high quality investments are rated A or higher based on composite ratings.

#### Table of Contents

#### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

Fixed maturity securities held in AIG Property Casualty foreign operations are of high quality and short to intermediate duration, averaging 4.2 years.

While invested assets backing reserves are primarily invested in conventional fixed maturity securities in AIG Property Casualty domestic operations, a modest portion of surplus is allocated to alternative investments, including private equity and hedge funds. These investments have provided a combination of added diversification and attractive long-term returns over time.

#### AIG Life and Retirement

With respect to AIG Life and Retirement, we use asset-liability management as a tool to determine the composition of the invested assets. Our objective is to maintain a matched asset-liability structure, although we may occasionally determine that it is economically advantageous to be temporarily in an unmatched position. To the extent that we have maintained a matched asset-liability structure, the economic effect of interest rate fluctuations is partially mitigated.

Our investment strategy for AIG Life and Retirement is to produce cash flows greater than maturing insurance liabilities. There exists a future investment risk associated with certain policies currently in-force which will have premium receipts in the future. That is, the investment of these future premium receipts may be at a yield below that required to meet future policy liabilities.

AIG Life and Retirement frequently reviews its interest rate assumptions and actively manages the crediting rates used for its new and in force business. Business strategies continue to evolve to maintain profitability of the overall business in a low interest rate environment.

The investment of insurance cash flows and reinvestment of the proceeds of matured securities and coupons requires active management of investment yields while maintaining satisfactory investment quality and liquidity.

A number of guaranteed benefits, such as living benefits and guaranteed minimum death benefits, are offered on certain variable and indexed annuity products. The fair value of these benefits is measured based on actuarial and capital market assumptions related to projected cash flows over the expected lives of the contracts. We manage our exposure resulting from these long-term guarantees through reinsurance or capital market hedging instruments. We actively review underlying assumptions of policyholder behavior and persistency related to these guarantees. We have taken positions in certain derivative financial instruments in order to hedge the impact of changes in equity markets and interest rates on these benefit guarantees. We execute listed futures and options contracts on equity indexes to hedge certain guarantees of variable and indexed annuity products. We also enter into various types of futures and options contracts, primarily to hedge changes in value of certain guarantees of variable and indexed annuities due to fluctuations in interest rates. We use several instruments to hedge interest rate exposure, including listed futures on government securities, listed options on government securities and the purchase of government securities.

With respect to over-the-counter derivatives, we deal with highly rated counterparties and do not expect the counterparties to fail to meet their obligations under the contracts. We have controls in place to monitor credit exposures by limiting transactions with specific counterparties within specified dollar limits and assessing the creditworthiness of counterparties periodically. We generally use ISDA Master Agreements and Credit Support Annexes (CSAs) with bilateral collateral provisions to reduce counterparty credit exposures.

Fixed maturity securities of AIG Life and Retirement, with an average duration of 6.2 years, are comprised of taxable corporate bonds, as well as municipal and government bonds, commercial mortgage loans, and agency and non-agency structured securities. The majority of these investments are held in the available for sale portfolio and are rated investment grade based on our composite ratings.

#### NAIC Designations

The SVO of the NAIC evaluates the investments of U.S. insurers for statutory reporting purposes and assigns fixed maturity securities to one of six categories called 'NAIC Designations.' In general, NAIC Designations of '1' highest quality, or '2' high quality, include fixed maturity securities considered investment grade, while NAIC Designations of '3' through '6' generally include fixed maturity securities referred to as below investment grade. The NAIC

### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

Designations for certain mortgaged-backed, asset-backed and collateralized securities are based on security level expected losses as modeled by an independent third party engaged by the NAIC.

The following table presents the NAIC Designations of the fixed maturity securities in AIG Life and Retirement's fixed maturity security portfolio based on fair value:

At June 30, 2013 (in millions)	Rating Agency Designation	C	Other Fixed Maturity Securities	ortgage-Backed, sset-Backed and Collateralized	Total
Investment grade:					
1	Aaa/Aa/A	\$	47,056	\$ 36,873	\$ 83,929
2	Baa		61,538	1,877	63,415
Subtotal			108,594	38,750	147,344
			·		·
Below investment grade:					
3	Ba		4,054	817	4,871
4	В		2,018	444	2,462
5	Caa and Lower		463	427	890
6	In or near default		128	1,211	1,339
Subtotal			6,663	2,899	9,562
Total*		\$	115,257	\$ 41,649	\$ 156,906

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes \$369 million of fixed maturity securities for which no NAIC rating is available because they are not held in legal entities within AIG Life and Retirement that require a statutory filing.

Available-for-Sale Investments

The following table presents the fair value of AIG's available-for-sale securities:

(* · · · · · )	Fair	Value at June 30,	Value at mber 31,
(in millions)		2013	2012
Bonds available for sale:			
U.S. government and government sponsored entities	\$	3,530	\$ 3,483
Obligations of states, municipalities and political subdivisions		32,728	35,705
Non-U.S. governments		23,629	26,800
Corporate debt		143,957	151,112
Mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized:			
RMBS		36,982	34,392
CMBS		10,681	9,915
CDO/ABS		9,722	8,552

Total mortgage-backed, asset-backed and collateralized	57,385	52,859
Total bonds available for sale $^*$	261,229	269,959
Equity securities available for sale:		
Common stock	2,893	3,029
Preferred stock	81	78
Mutual funds	179	105
Total equity securities available for sale	3,153	3,212
Total	\$ 264,382	\$ 273,171

<sup>\*</sup> At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, bonds available for sale held by us that were below investment grade or not rated totaled \$31.0 billion and \$29.6 billion, respectively.

### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

Investments in Municipal Bonds

At June 30, 2013, the U.S. municipal bond portfolio of AIG Property Casualty was composed primarily of essential service revenue bonds and high-quality tax-backed bonds with over 98 percent of the portfolio rated A or higher.

The following table presents the fair values of our available for sale U.S. municipal bond portfolio by state and municipal bond type:

June 30, 2013 (in millions)	_	State Seneral igation	Ol	Local General bligation	Revenue	Total Fair Value
State:						
California	\$	664	\$	1,236	\$ 2,847	\$ 4,747
Texas		221		2,199	1,958	4,378
New York		22		758	3,428	4,208
Washington		663		216	735	1,614
Massachusetts		834			836	1,670
Illinois		153		673	739	1,565
Florida		329		9	948	1,286
Virginia		88		115	858	1,061
Georgia		475		154	393	1,022
Arizona				155	790	945
Ohio		172		115	503	790
Maryland		427		92	161	680
Pennsylvania		411		65	191	667
All other states		1,419		1,124	5,552	8,095
Total <sup>(a)(b)</sup>	\$	5,878	\$	6,911	\$ 19,939	\$ 32,728

- (a) Excludes certain university and not- for- profit entities that issue their bonds in the corporate debt market. Includes industrial revenue bonds.
- (b) Includes \$8.4 billion of pre-refunded municipal bonds.

Investments in Corporate Debt Securities

The following table presents the industry categories of our available for sale corporate debt securities:

**Industry Category** (in millions)

Fair Value at
June 30,
2013

Fair Value at
December 31,
2012

Financial institutions:

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Money Center /Global Bank Groups	\$ 11,853	\$ 12,300
Regional banks other	778	885
Life insurance	3,843	4,180
Securities firms and other finance companies	569	636
Insurance non-life	5,020	5,429
Regional banks North America	6,789	7,729
Other financial institutions	7,636	7,633
Utilities	23,691	24,993
Communications	11,211	11,744
Consumer noncyclical	16,905	17,307
Capital goods	9,007	9,697
Energy	10,929	11,275
Consumer cyclical	10,750	10,781
Basic	9,172	9,753
Other	15,804	16,770
Total*	\$ 143,957	\$ 151,112

<sup>\*</sup> At both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, approximately 94 percent of these investments were rated investment grade.

# **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

Investments in RMBS

The following table presents AIG's RMBS available for sale investments by year of vintage:

(in millions)	Fa	June 30, 2013		r Value at ember 31, 2012
<b>Total RMBS</b> 2013 2012 2011 2010 2009 2008 and prior*	\$	1,641 2,571 7,025 2,231 289 23,225	\$	1,630 7,545 2,951 378 21,888
Total RMBS	\$	36,982	\$	34,392
Agency 2013 2012 2011 2010 2009 2008 and prior	\$	1,626 2,362 4,966 2,145 223 2,817	\$	1,395 5,498 2,812 321 3,548
<b>Total Agency</b>	\$	14,139	\$	13,574
Alt-A 2010 2008 and prior Total Alt-A	\$	45 9,671	\$	53 7,871
	Ф	9,716	Ф	7,924
Subprime 2008 and prior	\$	2,398	\$	2,151
<b>Total Subprime</b>	\$	2,398	\$	2,151
Prime non-agency 2013 2012 2011 2010 2009 2008 and prior	\$	16 209 2,059 42 66 7,872	\$	235 2,047 86 58 7,910
Total Prime non-agency	\$	10,264	\$	10,336

Total Other housing related \$ 465 \$ 407

<sup>\*</sup> Includes approximately \$10.8 billion and \$8.8 billion at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively of Purchased Credit Impaired securities that were purchased at a significant discount to amortized cost commencing in the second quarter of 2011.

# **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

The following table presents our RMBS available for sale investments by credit rating:

(in millions)	Fair	Value at June 30, 2013		alue at ber 31, 2012
Rating:				
Total RMBS				
AAA	\$	16,656	\$	16,048
AA	*	526	•	795
A		663		411
BBB		765		744
Below investment grade <sup>(a)</sup>		18,372		16,283
Non-rated				111
Total RMBS <sup>(b)</sup>	\$	36,982	\$	34,392
Agency RMBS				
AAA	\$	14,069	\$	13,464
AA		70		110
<b>Total Agency</b>	\$	14,139	\$	13,574
Alt-A RMBS				
AAA	\$	35	\$	57
AA		81		195
A		149		83
BBB		280		314
Below investment grade <sup>(a)</sup>		9,171		7,275
Total Alt-A	\$	9,716	\$	7,924
Subprime RMBS				
AAA	\$	34	\$	38
AA		85		170
A		223		129
BBB		234		185
Below investment grade <sup>(a)</sup>		1,822		1,629
Total Subprime	\$	2,398	\$	2,151
Prime non-agency				
AAA	\$	2,507	\$	2,487
AA		282		317
A		288		196
BBB		210		208
Below investment grade <sup>(a)</sup> Non-rated		6,977		7,017 111
Total prime non-agency	\$	10,264	\$	10,336

Total Other housing related \$ 465 \$

- (a) Commencing in the second quarter of 2011, we began purchasing certain RMBS that had experienced deterioration in credit quality since their origination. See Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, Investments Purchased Credit Impaired (PCI) Securities, for additional discussion.
- (b) The weighted average expected life was 5 years and 6 years at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Our underwriting practices for investing in RMBS, other asset-backed securities and CDOs take into consideration the quality of the originator, the manager, the servicer, security credit ratings, underlying characteristics of the mortgages, borrower characteristics, and the level of credit enhancement in the transaction.

# **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

Investments in CMBS

The following table presents our CMBS available for sale investments:

	Fa	air Value at June 30,		Value at mber 31,
(in millions)		2013	Dece	2012
CMBS (traditional) Agency Other	\$	8,606 1,576 499	\$	7,880 1,486 549
Total	\$	10.681	\$	9.915

The following table presents our CMBS available for sale investments by year of vintage:

	Fair	Value at June 30,	Fair Value at December 31,			
(in millions)		2013		2012		
Year:						
2013	\$	1,534	\$			
2012		1,170		1,427		
2011		1,241		1,347		
2010		656		807		
2009		11		44		
2008 and prior		6,069		6,290		
Total	\$	10,681	\$	9,915		

The following table presents our CMBS available for sale investments by credit rating:

(in millions)	Value at June 30, 2013	Value at mber 31, 2012
Rating:		
AAA	\$ 4,753	\$ 4,276
AA	1,791	1,591
A	806	808
BBB	1,155	1,228
Below investment grade	2,161	1,997

Non-rated 15 15

Total \$ 10,681 \$ 9,915

The following table presents our CMBS available for sale investments by geographic region:

(in millions)	Fair	Value at June 30, 2013	Fair Value at December 31, 2012		
Geographic region:					
New York	\$	2,043	\$	1,833	
California		1,081		923	
Texas		613		574	
Florida		451		395	
New Jersey		366		288	
Virginia		337		319	
Illinois		318		267	
Pennsylvania		220		198	
Hawaii		216		217	
Massachusetts		206		185	
Georgia		203		183	
Arizona		186		127	
All Other*		4,441		4,406	
Total	\$	10,681	\$	9,915	

<sup>\*</sup> Includes Non-U.S. locations.

### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

The following table presents our CMBS available for sale investments by industry:

	Fair	Value at June 30,	Fair Value at December 31,			
(in millions)		2013		2012		
Industry:						
Office	\$	2,812	\$	2,696		
Multi-family*		2,507		2,423		
Retail		2,691		2,409		
Lodging		1,397		1,215		
Industrial		549		552		
Other		725		620		
Total	\$	10,681	\$	9,915		

<sup>\*</sup> Includes Agency-backed CMBS.

The fair value of CMBS holdings remained stable during the second quarter of 2013. The majority of our investments in CMBS are in tranches that contain substantial protection features through collateral subordination. The majority of CMBS holdings are traditional conduit transactions, broadly diversified across property types and geographical areas.

Investments in CDOs

The following table presents our CDO available for sale investments by collateral type:

(in millions)	Fair	yalue at June 30, 2013	value at mber 31, 2012
Collateral Type:			
Bank loans (CLO)	\$	3,546	\$ 2,579
Synthetic investment grade			25
Other		703	643
Subprime ABS			10
Total	\$	4,249	\$ 3,257

The following table presents our CDO available for sale investments by credit rating:

(in millions) Fair Value at June 30, December 31,

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

	2013	2012
Rating:		
AAA	\$ 234	\$ 145
AA	999	543
A	1,765	1,303
BBB	571	524
Below investment grade	680	742
Total	\$ 4,249	\$ 3,257

### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

### **Commercial Mortgage Loans**

At June 30, 2013, we had direct commercial mortgage loan exposure of \$14.7 billion. At that date, over 99 percent of the loans were current.

The following table presents the commercial mortgage loan exposure by location and class of loan based on amortized cost:

June 30,					Cl	ass	S				
2013 (dollars in	Number of									]	Percent of
millions)	Loans	Apartı	nents	Offices	Retails	In	dustrials	Hotels	Others	Total	Total
State:											
California	148	\$	117	\$ 862	\$ 283	\$	632	\$ 391	\$ 649	\$ 2,934	20%
New York	86		649	1,312	174		72	101	146	2,454	17
New Jersey	54		462	337	302		7	17	52	1,177	8
Florida	91		62	172	369		87	20	151	861	6
Texas	57		36	263	158		184	150	29	820	5
Pennsylvania	56		47	98	183		112	16	14	470	3
Connecticut	20		270	144	5		44			463	3
Ohio	50		158	34	95		62	38	3	390	3
Maryland	22		21	142	202		13	4	4	386	3
Arizona	10		40	106	57		35	1	86	325	2
Other states	356		450	1,263	1,078		377	485	433	4,086	28
Foreign	60		1	128			64		114	307	2
Total*	1.010	\$	2.313	\$ 4.861	\$ 2.906	\$	1.689	\$ 1.223	\$ 1.681	\$ 14.673	100%

<sup>\*</sup> Excludes portfolio valuation losses.

See Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for further discussion.

### **Impairments**

### The following table presents impairments by investment type:

	Three I End June	ded		Six M Enc June	led	
(in millions)	2013		2012	2013		2012
Fixed maturity securities, available for sale Equity securities, available for sale	\$ 22 5	\$	105 45	\$ 58 10	\$	554 49

Edgar Filing: AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP INC - Form 10-Q

Private equity funds and hedge funds	59	66	92	231
Subtotal	86	216	160	834
Life settlement contracts <sup>(a)</sup> Alternative investments	38	56	87 1	114
Real estate <sup>(a)</sup>	14		19	7
Total	\$ 138	\$ 272	<b>\$</b> 267	\$ 955

(a) Impairments of investments in Life settlement contracts and Real estate are recorded in Other realized losses.

### **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

Other-Than-Temporary Impairments

To determine other-than-temporary impairments, we use fundamental credit analyses of individual securities without regard to rating agency ratings. Based on this analysis, we expect to receive cash flows sufficient to cover the amortized cost of all below investment grade securities for which credit impairments were not recognized.

The following tables present other-than-temporary impairment charges recorded in earnings on fixed maturity securities, equity securities, private equity funds and hedge funds.

Other-than-temporary impairment charges by reportable segment and impairment type:

	Reportabl	e Se	egment			
(in millions)	AIG Property Casualty	ŀ	AIG Life and Retirement	Ol	Other perations	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Impairment Type: Severity Change in intent Foreign currency declines	\$ 3	\$		\$	\$	3
Issuer-specific credit events Adverse projected cash flows	5 1		77			82 1
Total	\$ 9	\$	77	\$	\$	86
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Impairment Type: Severity Change in intent Foreign currency declines Issuer-specific credit events Adverse projected cash flows	\$ 5 1 90	\$	5 2 107 1	\$	5	10 2 1 202 1
Total	\$ 96	\$	115	\$	5 \$	216
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Impairment Type: Severity Change in intent Foreign currency declines Issuer-specific credit events Adverse projected cash flows	\$ 5 2 20 1	\$	125 6	\$	\$	5 3 145 7
Total	\$ 28	\$	131	\$	1 \$	160

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Impairment Type:

Severity	\$ 9	\$ 5	\$	\$ 14	
Change in intent	2	20		22	
Foreign currency declines	6			6	
Issuer-specific credit events	281	480	27	788	
Adverse projected cash flows	1	3		4	
Total	\$ 299	\$ 508	\$ 27	\$ 834	

# **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

Other-than-temporary impairment charges by investment type and impairment type:

(in millions)	RI	MBS	CD	O/ABS	CI	MBS	Other Fixed Maturity	E	quities/Other Invested Assets*	Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013 Impairment Type: Severity Change in intent	\$		\$		\$		\$	\$	3	\$ 3
Foreign currency declines Issuer-specific credit events Adverse projected cash flows		5 1				15	1		61	82 1
Total	\$	6	\$		\$	15	\$ 1	\$	64	\$ 86
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 Impairment Type: Severity Change in intent Foreign currency declines Issuer-specific credit events Adverse projected cash flows	\$	70 1	\$	2	\$	28	\$ 1 2	\$	10 2 100	\$ 10 2 1 202 1
Total	\$	71	\$	2	\$	28	\$ 3	\$	112	\$ 216
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013 Impairment Type: Severity Change in intent Foreign currency declines Issuer-specific credit events Adverse projected cash flows	\$	9 7	\$	3	\$	28	\$ 1	\$	5 2 95	\$ 5 3 145 7
Total	\$	16	\$	3	\$	28	\$ 11	\$	102	\$ 160
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 Impairment Type: Severity Change in intent Foreign currency declines Issuer-specific credit events Adverse projected cash flows	\$	400 4	\$	5	\$	117	\$ 6 21	\$	14 22 245	\$ 14 22 6 788 4
Total	\$	404	\$	5	\$	117	\$ 27	\$	281	\$ 834

<sup>\*</sup> Includes other-than-temporary impairment charges on private equity funds, hedge funds and direct private equity investments.

# **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

Other-than-temporary impairment charges by investment type and credit rating:

(in millions)	RI	MBS	CDO/A	ABS	CN	MBS		Other Fixed Maturity	Eq	quities/Other Invested Assets*		Total
Three Months Ended June 30, 2013												
Rating: AAA	\$	1	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	1
AA	Ψ	1	Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ		Ψ	1
A												
BBB Below investment grade		5				15		1				21
Non-rated		3				13				64		64
Total	\$	6	\$		\$	15	\$	1	\$	64	\$	86
Three Months Ended June 30, 2012												
Rating:												
AAA	\$		\$		\$		\$	1	\$		\$	1
AA		1										1
A BBB		1								1		1 1
Below investment grade		69		2		28		2				101
Non-rated				_				_		111		111
Total	\$	71	\$	2	\$	28	\$	3	\$	112	\$	216
Six Months Ended June 30, 2013												
Rating:												
AAA	\$	1	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	1
AA												
A BBB												
Below investment grade		15		3		28		10				56
Non-rated								1		102		103
	Φ.				Φ.	•0		4.4	Φ.	100	φ.	4.50
Total	\$	16	\$	3	\$	28	\$	11	\$	102	\$	160
Six Months Ended June 30, 2012												
Rating:												
AAA	\$		\$		\$		\$	1	\$		\$	1
AA		2		_						_		2
A BBB		1 3		1						1		3
Below investment grade		398		4		117		20				5 539
Non-rated		270				11/		6		280		286
Total	\$	404	\$	5	\$	117	\$	27	\$	281	\$	834

\* Includes other-than-temporary impairment charges on private equity funds, hedge funds and direct private equity investments.

We recorded other-than-temporary impairment charges in the three- and six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 related to:

issuer-specific credit events;
securities for which we have changed our intent from hold to sell;
declines due to foreign exchange rates;
adverse changes in estimated cash flows on certain structured securities;
securities that experienced severe market valuation declines; and
other impairments, including equity securities, private equity funds, hedge funds, direct private equity investments, aircraft trusts and investments in life settlement contracts.

#### **Table of Contents**

# **ITEM 2 / INVESTMENTS**

There was no significant impact to our consolidated financial condition or results of operations from other-than-temporary impairment charges for any one single credit. Also, no individual other-than-temporary impairment charge exceeded 0.01 percent and 0.10 percent of total equity at June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In periods subsequent to the recognition of an other-than-temporary impairment charge for available for sale fixed maturity securities that is not foreign-exchange related, we generally prospectively accrete into earnings the difference between the new amortized cost and the expected undiscounted recovery value over the remaining life of the security. The accretion that was recognized for these securities in earnings was \$222 million and \$231 million for the three-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and \$427 million and \$453 million for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. For a discussion of AIG's other-than-temporary impairment accounting policy, see Note 7 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report.

The following table shows the aging of the pre-tax unrealized losses of fixed maturity and equity securities, the extent to which the fair value is less than amortized cost or cost, and the number of respective items in each category:

Than
(b) Total
d Unrealized
sms(e) Cost(c) Loss(d)tems(e)
\$ 48,178 \$ 2,638 4,197
3,961 426 448
0 1 3,579 329 311
0 1 \$ 55,718 \$ 3,393 4,956
υ ι φ εξητο φ εξενεί 4,250
\$ 6,156 \$ 276 909
358 54 46
8 17 2,819 373 402
8 17 \$ 9,333 \$ 703 1,357
\$ 54,334 \$ 2,914 5,106
4,319 480 494
8 18 6,398 702 713
8 18 \$ 65,051 \$ 4,096 6,313
\$ 286 \$ 33 123
9 2 2
(h) dd san 0 0 8 8 8

<sup>(</sup>a) Represents the number of consecutive months that fair value has been less than cost by any amount.

- (b) Represents the percentage by which fair value is less than cost at June 30, 2013.
- (c) For bonds, represents amortized cost.
- (d) The effect on Net income of unrealized losses after taxes will be mitigated upon realization because certain realized losses will result in current decreases in the amortization of certain DAC.
- (e) Item count is by CUSIP by subsidiary.

For the six-month periods ended June 30, 2013, net unrealized gains related to fixed maturity and equity securities decreased by \$11.3 billion primarily due to the increase in interest rates for investment grade fixed maturity securities, which more than offset the narrowing of credit spreads for high yield securities.

See also Note 6 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion of our investment portfolio.

#### Table of Contents

### ITEM 2 / ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

# Enterprise Risk Management

Risk management includes the identification and measurement of various forms of risk, the establishment of risk thresholds and the creation of processes intended to maintain risks within these thresholds while optimizing returns. We consider risk management an integral part of managing our core businesses and a key element of our approach to corporate governance.

#### **OVERVIEW**

We have an integrated process for managing risks throughout our organization in accordance with our firm-wide risk appetite. Our Board of Directors has oversight responsibility for the management of risk. Our Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Department supervises and integrates the risk management functions in each of our business units, providing senior management with a consolidated view of the firm's major risk positions. Within each business unit, senior leaders and executives approve risk-taking policies and targeted risk tolerance within the framework provided by ERM. ERM supports our businesses and management in the embedding of enterprise risk management in all of our key day to day business processes and in identifying, assessing, quantifying, managing and mitigating the risks taken by us and our businesses.

For a complete discussion of AIG's risk management program, see Part II, Item 7. MD&A Enterprise Risk Management in the 2012 Annual Report.

# **Enterprise Risk Management (ERM)**

Our ERM framework provides senior management with a consolidated view of our risk appetite and major risk positions.

In each of our business units, senior leaders and executives approve risk-taking policies and targeted risk tolerance within the ERM framework while working with ERM to mitigate risks across the firm.

Risk management is an integral part of how we manage our core businesses.

### Credit Risk Management

### Overview

Credit risk is defined as the risk that our customers or counterparties are unable or unwilling to repay their contractual obligations when they become due. Credit risk may also result from a downgrade of counterparty's credit ratings.

We devote considerable resources to managing our direct and indirect credit exposures. These exposures may arise from fixed maturity investments, equity securities, deposits, reverse repurchase agreements and repurchase agreements, commercial paper, corporate and consumer loans, leases, reinsurance recoverables, counterparty risk arising from derivatives activities, collateral extended to counterparties, insurance risk cessions to third parties, financial guarantees and letters of credit accepted as collateral.

Our credit risks are managed at the corporate level within ERM. ERM is assisted by credit functions headed by seasoned credit officers in all the business units, whose primary role is to assure appropriate credit risk management relative to our credit risk parameters. Our Chief Credit Officer (CCO) and credit executives are primarily responsible for the development and maintenance of credit risk policies and procedures.

Responsibilities of the CCO and credit executives include:	Responsibilities	of the CCO	and credit	executives	include:
--	------------------	------------	------------	------------	----------

developing and implementing our company-wide credit policies;

approving delegated credit authorities to our credit executives;

#### Table of Contents

### ITEM 2 / ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

managing the approval process for requests for credit limits, program limits and credit transactions above authorities or where concentrations of risk may exist or be incurred;

aggregating globally all credit exposure data by counterparty, country, sector and industry and reporting risk concentrations regularly to and reviewing with senior management;

administering regular portfolio credit reviews of investment, derivative and credit-incurring business units and recommending corrective actions where required;

conducting credit research on countries, sectors and asset classes where risk concentrations may exist;

developing methodologies for quantification and assessment of credit risks, including the establishment and maintenance of our internal risk rating process; and

approving appropriate credit reserves, credit-related other-than-temporary impairments and corresponding methodologies in all credit portfolios.

We monitor and control our company-wide credit risk concentrations and attempt to avoid unwanted or excessive risk accumulations, whether funded or unfunded. To minimize the level of credit risk in some circumstances, we may require third-party guarantees, reinsurance or collateral, such as cash, letters of credit and trust collateral accounts. We treat these guarantees, reinsurance recoverables, letters of credit and trust collateral accounts as credit exposure and include them in our risk concentration exposure data. We identify our aggregate credit exposures to our underlying counterparty risks.

### Largest Credit Concentrations

Our single largest credit exposure, the U.S. Government, was 25 percent of Total equity at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Exposure to the U.S. Government primarily includes credit exposure related to U.S. Treasury and government agency securities and to direct and guaranteed exposures to U.S. government-sponsored entities, primarily the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (Freddie Mac) based upon their U.S. Government conservatorship. Based on our internal risk ratings, at June 30, 2013, our largest below investment grade-rated credit exposure, apart from ILFC leasing arrangements secured by aircraft with airlines having below investment grade ratings, was related to a non-financial corporate counterparty. That exposure was 0.6 percent of Total equity at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Government Credit Concentrations (non-U.S.)

Our total direct and guaranteed credit exposure to non-U.S. governments is \$21.1 billion at June 30, 2013, compared to \$23.7 billion in December 31, 2012. Our single largest concentration in this sector was to the government of Japan in the amount of \$6.5 billion at June 30, 2013. Most of these securities were held in the investment portfolios of our Japanese insurance operations and are yen denominated.

### ITEM 2 / ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

The following table presents our aggregate credit exposures to non-U.S. governments and their agencies, dependent state-owned enterprises, financial institutions and local governments:

(in millions)	•	June 30, 2013	Dece	mber 31, 2012*
-		- A= A		0.400
Japan	\$	6,454	\$	8,109
Canada		2,629		2,718
Germany		1,293		1,446
France		1,119		1,207
China		936		926
Brazil		733		601
South Korea		696		693
Singapore		635		631
United Kingdom		620		816
Mexico		511		552
Other		5,513		6,032
Total	\$	21,139	\$	23,731

<sup>\*</sup> The prior period has been changed to reflect the inclusion of Brazil and the exclusion of Australia.

#### Financial Institution Concentrations

Our single largest industry credit exposure at June 30, 2013 was to the global financial institutions sector as a whole, which includes banks and finance companies, securities firms, and insurance and reinsurance companies, many of which can be highly correlated at times of market stress. As of June 30, 2013, credit exposure to this sector was \$79.1 billion, or 81 percent of Total equity compared to 87 percent at December 31, 2012.

At June 30, 2013:

\$74.8 billion, or 95 percent, of these global financial institution credit exposures were considered investment grade based on our internal ratings.

\$4.3 billion, or 5 percent, were considered non-investment grade based on our internal ratings. Aggregate credit exposure to the ten largest below investment grade-rated financial institutions was \$1.9 billion.

Our aggregate credit exposure to fixed maturity securities of the financial institution sector amounted to \$32.6 billion.

Short-term bank deposit placements, reverse repurchase agreements, repurchase agreements and commercial paper issued by financial institutions (primarily commercial banks), operating account balances with banks and bank-issued commercial letters of credit supporting insurance credit exposures were \$14.0 billion, or 18 percent of the total global financial institution credit exposure.

The remaining credit exposures to this sector were primarily related to reinsurance recoverables, collateral extended to counterparties mostly pursuant to derivative transactions, derivatives, and captive fronting risk management programs for these financial institutions.

European Concentrations

We actively monitor our European credit exposures, especially those exposures to issuers in the Euro-Zone periphery. We use various stress assumptions to identify issuers and securities warranting review by senior management and to determine the need for mitigating actions. As a mitigating action, we typically decide not to renew maturing exposures or, when the opportunity presents itself, to sell or to tender securities. To date, we have not actively used credit default protection. We periodically evaluate the financial condition of issuers and adjust internal risk ratings as warranted.

The result of these continuing reviews has led us to believe that our combined credit risk exposures in the Euro-Zone are manageable risks given the type and size of exposure and the credit quality and size of the issuers.

### ITEM 2 / ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

The following table presents our aggregate United Kingdom and European credit exposures (excluding ILFC) by major sector:

					Jui	ne 30, 201.	3				
						Non-		Structured		Dec	ember 31,
			F	inancial		Financial		Products/			2012
(in millions)	So	vereign		stitution		orporates		Other(a)	Total		Total
Euro-Zone countries:											
France	\$	1,119	\$	2,464	\$	7,118	\$	173	\$ 10,874	\$	10,533
Germany		1,293		4,089		3,886		133	9,401		9,248
Netherlands		235		4,218		2,240		1,645	8,338		8,333
Spain		155		666		2,303		208	3,332		4,067
Italy		90		343		1,908		217	2,558		2,848
Belgium		146		199		854			1,199		1,174
Ireland				97		996			1,093		1,018
Luxembourg				21		616		33	670		666
Austria		141		152		206			499		523
Finland		127		32		299			458		432
Other Euro-Zone		30		19		180		11	240		306
Total Euro-Zone	\$	3,336	\$	12,300	\$	20,606	\$	2,420	\$ 38,662	\$	39,148
Remainder of Europe											
United Kingdom	\$	620	\$	8,410	\$	15,676	\$	4,693	\$ 29,399	\$	30,372
Switzerland		70		5,135		2,845		ŕ	8,050		7,290
Sweden		112		2,517		511			3,140		3,643
Other remainder of Europe		1,007		914		2,375		955	5,251		5,612
Total remainder of Europe	\$	1,809	\$	16,976	\$	21,407	\$	5,648	\$ 45,840	\$	46,917
Total	\$	5,145	\$	29,276	\$	42,013	\$	8,068	\$ 84,502	\$	86,065

(a) Other represents mortgage guaranty insurance risk-in-force (\$411 million), primarily in Italy (\$169 million) and Spain (\$109 million).

Aggregate credit exposure to European governments totaled \$5.1 billion at June 30, 2013, compared to \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2012. Many of the European governments' ratings have been downgraded by one or more of the major rating agencies, occurring mostly in countries in the Euro-Zone periphery where our government credit exposures (Spain, Italy and Portugal) totaled \$248 million at June 30, 2013. The downgrades primarily reflect continued recessionary conditions, large government budget deficits, rising government debt-to-GDP ratios and large financing requirements of these countries. These credit exposures primarily included available-for-sale and trading securities (at fair value) issued by these governments. At June 30, 2013, we had no direct or guaranteed credit exposure to the governments of Greece, Ireland, Cyprus or Slovenia.

Our exposure to European financial institutions at June 30, 2013 included \$17.4 billion of credit exposures to European banks, of which \$16.3 billion were considered investment grade based on our internal ratings. Aggregate below investment grade rated credit exposures to European banks were \$1.1 billion. Our credit exposures to banks domiciled in the Euro-Zone countries totaled \$7.5 billion at June 30, 2013, of which \$4.4 billion were fixed maturity securities. Credit exposures to banks based in the Euro-Zone periphery (Spain, Italy, Ireland, Greece, and Portugal) totaled \$977 million, of which \$729 million were fixed maturity securities. These credit exposures were primarily to the largest banks in Spain and Italy. Credit exposures to banks based in France totaled \$1.5 billion at June 30, 2013, of which \$850 million were fixed maturity securities. Our credit exposures were predominantly to the largest banks in these countries.

In addition, our exposure at June 30, 2013 to European financial institutions included \$11.8 billion of aggregate credit exposure to non-bank institutions, mostly insurers and reinsurers, with \$9.3 billion, or 79 percent, of credit exposure representing reinsurance recoverable balances. Reinsurance recoverables were primarily to highly rated reinsurers based in Switzerland, Germany and the United Kingdom. At June 30, 2013, \$1.5 billion of the aggregate credit exposure to non-banks was fixed maturity securities, of which 96 percent were considered investment grade based on our internal ratings.

### ITEM 2 / ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

Of the \$20.6 billion of non-financial institution corporate exposure to Euro-Zone countries at June 30, 2013, 95 percent was to fixed maturity securities (\$11.2 billion) and insurance-related products (\$8.4 billion), with the majority of the insurance exposures being trade credit insurance (\$3.3 billion), captive fronting programs (\$3.1 billion), and surety bonds (\$1.4 billion). Our exposure to France of \$7.1 billion at June 30, 2013 represented the largest single non-financial corporate country exposure within the Euro-Zone, of which \$2.7 billion were fixed maturity securities. Approximately two-thirds of the French exposures were to issuers in the utilities, oil and gas, and telecommunications industries. Euro-Zone periphery non-financial institution corporate exposures (\$5.4 billion) at June 30, 2013 were heavily weighted towards large multinational corporations or issuers in relatively stable industries, such as regulated utilities (22 percent), telecommunications (15 percent), and oil and gas (9 percent).

Of the \$7.7 billion at June 30, 2013 of United Kingdom and European structured product exposures (largely consisting of residential mortgage-backed, commercial mortgage-backed and other asset-backed securities), United Kingdom structured products accounted for 72 percent, while the Netherlands and Germany comprised 21 percent and 2 percent, respectively. Structured product exposures to the Euro-Zone periphery accounted for 2 percent of the total. Approximately 78 percent of the United Kingdom and European structured products exposures were rated A or better at June 30, 2013 based on external rating agency ratings.

In addition, we had commercial real estate related net equity investments in Europe totaling \$420 million and related unfunded commitments of \$88 million at June 30, 2013.

The following table presents our aggregate United Kingdom and European credit exposures (excluding ILFC) by product type:

			June 30, 20	013			
	Fixed	l Cash and	Insurance				December 31,
(in	Maturity	Short-Term	Credit	Reinsurance			2012
millions)	Securities(a)		Exposures(c)	Recoverables	Other(d)	Total	Total
,	` '		1				
Euro-Zone							
countries:							
France	\$ 4,932				. ,	\$ 10,874	\$ 10,533
Germany	<b>4,57</b> 1	293	1,942	2,530	65	9,401	9,248
Netherlands	5,948		-,	579	24	8,338	8,333
Spain	1,830		<i>)</i>	53	1	3,332	4,067
Italy	1,546	5 2		69	10	2,558	2,848
Belgium	852			3	4	1,200	1,174
Ireland	845					1,093	1,018
Luxembourg	330	8	332	1		671	666
Austria	289			4		498	523
Finland	317	7	131	3		458	432
Other							
Euro-Zone	95	7	137			239	306
Total							
Euro-Zone	\$ 21,555	5 \$ 869	\$ 11,188	\$ 3,808	\$ 1,242	\$ 38,662	\$ 39,148
Euro-Zone	\$ 21,555	) <b>)</b> 009	<b>5</b> 11,100	\$ 3,000	\$ 1,2 <del>4</del> 2	\$ 30,002	\$ 39,146
Remainder of							
Europe							
United							
Kingdom	\$ 16,121	1,236	\$ 8,244	\$ 2,251	<b>\$ 1,547</b>	\$ 29,399	\$ 30,372
Switzerland	3,088	· ·	· ·	3,700	,	8,050	7,290
Sweden	1,550	1,270	311	3		3,140	3,643
Other	-,					-,	- ,
remainder of							
Europe	3,150	515	1,345	26	215	5,251	5,612
	,		,			, -	**

Total remainder of							
Europe	\$ 23,915 \$	3,206 \$	10,977 \$	5,980 \$	1,762 \$	45,840	\$ 46,917
Total	\$ 45,470 \$	4,075 \$	22,165 \$	9,788 \$	3,004 \$	84,502	\$ 86,065

- (a) Fixed maturity securities primarily includes available-for-sale and trading securities reported at fair value of \$42.3 billion (\$42.3 billion amortized cost), and \$3.1 billion (\$3.0 billion amortized cost), respectively.
- (b) Cash and short-term investments include bank deposit placements (\$2.2 billion), collateral posted to counterparties against structured products (\$1.6 billion), operating accounts (\$76 million) and securities purchased under agreements to resell (\$45 million).
- (c) Insurance credit exposures primarily consist of captive fronting management programs (\$10.0 billion), trade credit insurance (\$6.7 billion), surety bonds (\$2.0 billion), commercial letters of credit supporting insurance credit exposures (\$768 million) and mortgage guaranty insurance risk-in-force (\$411 million).
- (d) Other primarily consists of derivative transactions reported at fair value.

#### Table of Contents

#### ITEM 2 / ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

At June 30, 2013, approximately 90 percent of fixed maturity securities in the United Kingdom and European exposures were considered investment grade based on our internal ratings. European financial institution fixed maturity securities exposure was \$9.8 billion, of which \$1.0 billion were covered bonds (debt securities secured by a pool of financial assets sufficient to cover any bondholder claims and that have full recourse to the issuing bank). During the second quarter of 2013, \$4.4 billion of fixed maturity securities were issued by banks domiciled in the Euro-Zone countries. Our subordinated debt holdings and Tier 1 and preference share securities in these banks totaled \$828 million and \$300 million, respectively, at June 30, 2013. These exposures were predominantly to the largest banks in those countries.

Other Credit Concentrations

We have a risk concentration in the U.S. municipal sector, primarily through the investment portfolios of our insurance companies. A majority of these securities were held in available-for-sale portfolios of our domestic property and casualty insurance companies. See Investments Available for Sale Investments herein for further details. We had \$385 million of additional exposure to the municipal sector outside of our insurance company portfolios at June 30, 2013, compared to \$464 million at December 31, 2012. These exposures consisted of derivatives and trading securities (at fair value), and exposure related to other insurance and financial services operations.

We have a risk concentration in the residential mortgage sector in the form of non-agency RMBS, CDO of RMBS as well as our mortgage guaranty insurance business. See Investments Available for Sale Investments herein for further details on RMBS and CDO investments. The net risk-in-force for UGC was \$35.6 billion at June 30, 2013, of which exposure in the United States was \$33.6 billion. At June 30, 2013, UGC had no concentration of exposure in any one state that exceeded 10 percent of UGC's total United States exposure.

We also have a risk concentration in the commercial real estate sector in the form of non-agency CMBS, CDO and CMBS as well as commercial mortgage whole loans and equity investments in commercial real estate. See Investments Available for Sale Investments and Investments Commercial Mortgage Loans herein for further details.

We also monitor our aggregate cross-border exposures by country and region. Cross-border exposure is defined as an underlying risk that is taken within a country or jurisdiction other than the country or jurisdiction in which an AIG business unit taking the risk is domiciled. These cross-border exposures include both aggregated cross-border credit exposures to unrelated third parties and cross-border investments in our own international subsidiaries. Five countries had cross-border exposures in excess of 10 percent of Total equity at both June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Based on our internal risk ratings, at June 30, 2013, three countries were rated AAA and two were rated AA. The two largest cross-border exposures were to the United Kingdom and Bermuda.

We regularly review concentration reports in the categories listed above as well as credit trends by risk ratings and credit spreads. We periodically adjust limits and review exposures for risk mitigation to provide reasonable assurance that we do not incur excessive levels of credit risk and that our credit risk profile is properly calibrated across business units.

#### **Market Risk Management**

Market risk is defined as the potential loss arising from adverse fluctuations in interest rates, foreign currencies, equity and commodity prices, and their levels of volatility. Market risk includes credit spread risk, the potential loss arising from adverse fluctuations in credit spreads of securities or counterparties.

We are exposed to market risks, primarily within our insurance businesses and GCM. In our insurance operations, market risk results primarily from potential mismatches in our asset-liability exposures, rather than speculative positioning. Specifically, our life insurance and retirement businesses collect premiums or deposits from policyholders and invest the proceeds in predominantly long-term, fixed maturity securities. We earn a spread between the asset yield and the cost payable to policyholders. We manage the business so that the cash flows from invested assets are sufficient to meet policyholder obligations when they become due, without the need to sell assets prematurely into a potentially distressed market. In periods of severe market volatility, depressed and illiquid fair values on otherwise performing investments diminish shareholders' equity even without actual credit event related losses.

### ITEM 2 / ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

Our market exposures can be categorized as follows:

**Benchmark interest rates.** Benchmark interest rates are also known as risk-free interest rates and are associated with either the government/treasury yield curve or the swap curve. The fair value of our significant fixed maturity securities portfolio changes as benchmark interest rates change.

**Credit spread or risk premium.** Credit spread risk is the potential for loss due to a change in an instrument's risk premium or yield relative to that of a comparable duration, default-free instrument.

**Equity and alternative investment prices.** We are exposed to equity and alternative investment prices affecting a variety of instruments. These include direct investments in common stock and mutual funds, minimum benefit guarantees embedded in the structure of certain variable annuity and variable life insurance products and other equity-like investments, such as hedge funds and private equity funds, private equity investments, commercial real estate and real estate funds.

**Foreign currency exchange rates.** We are a globally diversified enterprise with significant income, assets and liabilities denominated in, and significant capital deployed in, a variety of currencies.

**Duration/key rate duration.** Duration is the measure of the sensitivities of a fixed-income instrument to the changes in the benchmark yield curve. Key rate duration measures sensitivities to the movement at a given term point on the yield curve.

**Scenario analysis.** Scenario analysis uses historical, hypothetical, or forward-looking macroeconomic scenarios to assess and report exposures. Examples of hypothetical scenarios include a 100 basis point parallel shift in the yield curve or a 10 percent immediate and simultaneous decrease in world-wide equity markets.

**Stress testing.** Stress testing is a special form of scenario analysis in which the scenarios are designed to lead to a material adverse outcome. Examples of such scenarios include the stock market crash of October 1987 or the widening of yields or spread of RMBS or CMBS during 2008.

VaR. Value at Risk (VaR) is a summary statistical measure that uses the estimated volatility and correlation of market factors, and a management-determined level of confidence, to estimate how frequently a portfolio of risk exposures could be expected to lose at least a specified amount. As an example, Federal Reserve's Market Risk Measure specifies a VaR based approach to calculate market risk equivalent assets for capital ratio calculations.

Insurance Operations Portfolio Sensitivities

The following table provides estimates of our sensitivity to changes in yield curves, equity prices and foreign currency exchange rates:

**Exposure** Effect

(dollars in millions)

**Sensitivity Factor** 

	June 30, December 31,				une 30,D	December 31,		
	2013		2012			2013		2012
Yield sensitive assets Equity and alternative	\$ 290,712	\$	305,809	100 bps parallel increase in all yield curves 20% decline in stock prices and value	\$	(16,177)	\$	(16,005)
investments exposure Foreign currency exchange	\$ 27,253	\$	27,131	of alternative investments 10% depreciation of all foreign currency	\$	(5,451)	\$	(5,426)
rates net exposure	\$ 9,669	\$	9,106	exchange rates against the U.S. dollar	\$	(967)	\$	(911)

Exposures to yield curve movements include fixed maturity securities, loans, finance receivables and short-term investments, but exclude consolidated separate account assets. Total yield-sensitive assets decreased 4.9 percent or

**Table of Contents** 

### ITEM 2 / ENTERPRISE RISK MANAGEMENT

approximately \$15.1 billion, compared to December 31, 2012, primarily due to a net decrease in fixed income securities and other fixed assets of \$12.3 billion, and a decrease in cash equivalents of \$2.8 billion.

Exposures to equity and alternative investment prices include investments in common stock, preferred stocks, mutual funds, hedge funds, private equity funds, commercial real estate and real estate funds, but exclude consolidated separate account assets and consolidated managed partnerships and funds. Total exposure in these areas increased 0.5 percent, or approximately \$123 million, compared to December 31, 2012, primarily due to an increase of \$239 million related to alternative investments and an increase in common equity securities of \$37 million. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in other equity investments of \$59 million, a decrease in real estate investments of \$57 million and decrease in mutual fund value of \$37 million.

Exposures to foreign currency exchange rates reflect our consolidated non-U.S. dollar net capital investments on a GAAP basis. Foreign currency exchange rates net exposure increased 6.2 percent, or \$563 million, compared to December 31, 2012. This was primarily due to an increase in Hong Kong dollar exposure of \$592 million resulting from AIG Life and Retirement's investment in PICC Group, an increase in Euro exposure of \$101 million resulting from a reduction in Euro-denominated debt outstanding and a net increase across other currencies of \$259 million. The increase was partially offset by a decrease in Euro exposure of \$202 million at AIG Europe Limited, a decrease in British Pound exposure of \$108 million resulting from British Pound exchange rate decreasing and a decrease in Canadian Dollar exposure of \$108 million resulting from a dividend payment from Chartis Insurance Company of Canada.

For illustrative purposes, we modeled our sensitivities based on a 100 basis point increase in yield curves, a 20 percent decline in equities and alternative assets, and a 10 percent depreciation of all foreign currency exchange rates against the U.S. dollar. This should not be taken as a prediction, but only as a demonstration of the potential effects of such events.

#### **Liquidity Risk Management**

Liquidity risk is defined as the risk that our financial condition will be adversely affected by the inability or perceived inability to meet our short-term cash, collateral or other financial obligations.

The failure to appropriately manage liquidity risk can result in reduced operating flexibility, increased costs, and reputational harm. Because liquidity is critically important, our liquidity governance includes a number of liquidity and funding policies and monitoring tools to address both AIG-specific, broader industry and market related liquidity events.

Sources of Liquidity risk can include, but are not limited to:

financial market movements significant changes in interest rates can provide incentives for policyholders to surrender their policies. Changes in markets can impact collateral posting requirements or limit our ability to sell assets at reasonable values to meet liquidity needs due to unfavorable market conditions, inadequate market depth, or other investors seeking to sell the same or similar assets;

potential reputational events or credit downgrade changes can have an impact on policyholder cancellations and withdrawals or impact collateral posting requirements; and

catastrophic events, including natural and man-made disasters, that can increase policyholder claims.

The principal objective of ERM's liquidity risk framework is to protect AIG's liquidity position and identify a diversity of funding sources available to meet actual and contingent liabilities during both normal and stress periods.

We defined our risk appetite to include a liquidity target. AIG Parent liquidity risk tolerance levels are established for base and stress scenarios over a two-year time horizon designed to ensure that funding needs are met under varying market conditions. If we project that we will breach the tolerance, we will assess and determine the appropriate liquidity management actions. However, the market conditions in effect at

that time may not permit us to achieve an increase in liquidity sources or a reduction in liquidity requirements.

Additionally, each business unit is responsible for managing liquidity within a framework designed for the measurement and monitoring of liquidity risks inherent in that business. Current cash and liquidity positions are reviewed for changes and against minimum liquidity levels. Future cash inflows and outflows are tracked through cash flow forecasting. If the business unit projects a breach of the minimum liquidity levels, the amount of required liquidity resources will be identified and we will determine any actions to be taken. Business unit level key indicators are assessed to provide advance warning of potential liquidity risks.

#### Table of Contents

### ITEM 2 / CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

# **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires the application of accounting policies that often involve a significant degree of judgment.

classification of ILFC as held for sale;

insurance liabilities, including property casualty and mortgage guaranty unpaid claims and claims adjustment expenses and future policy benefits for life and accident and health contracts;

income tax assets and liabilities, including recoverability of our net deferred tax asset and the predictability of future tax operating profitability of the character necessary to realize the net deferred tax asset;

recoverability of assets including reinsurance assets;

estimated gross profits for investment-oriented products;

other-than-temporary impairments of financial instruments;

liabilities for legal contingencies; and

fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities.

See Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

These accounting estimates require the use of assumptions about matters that may be highly uncertain at the time of estimation. To the extent actual experience differs from the assumptions used, our consolidated financial condition and results of operations could be materially affected. The following discussion updates critical accounting estimates included in the 2012 Annual Report. For a complete discussion of AIG's critical accounting estimates, you should read this section in conjunction with Part II, Item 7. MD&A Critical Accounting Estimates in the 2012 Annual Report.

#### Classification of ILFC as Held for Sale

We report a business as held for sale when management has approved or received approval to sell the business and is committed to a formal plan, the business is available for immediate sale, the business is being actively marketed, the sale is anticipated to occur during the next 12 months, which may require significant judgment, and certain other specified criteria are met. A business classified as held for sale is recorded at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying amount of the business exceeds its estimated fair value, a loss is recognized.

On December 9, 2012, American International Group, Inc. (AIG Parent), AIG Capital Corporation (Seller), a wholly-owned direct subsidiary of AIG Parent and the sole shareholder of International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC), and Jumbo Acquisition Limited (Purchaser) entered into a definitive agreement (the Share Purchase Agreement) for the sale of 80.1 percent of the common stock of ILFC for approximately \$4.2 billion in cash (the ILFC Transaction). The Share Purchase Agreement permits the Purchaser to elect to purchase an additional 9.9 percent of the common stock of ILFC for \$522.5 million (the Option). On June 15, 2013, AIG, Seller and Purchaser entered into an amendment (the Amendment) to the Share Purchase Agreement, as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated May 10, 2013. The Amendment extended to July 31, 2013, the date on which any of AIG Parent, Seller or Purchaser may terminate the Share Purchase Agreement, as amended, if the closing of the ILFC Transaction has not yet occurred. Under the Amendment, AIG Parent and Seller may pursue (but not enter into definitive documentation for, or

#### ITEM 2 / CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

consummate) other offers for ILFC and may continue to pursue (but not engage in widespread solicitation of orders for, or request effectiveness of) the alternative of a public offering.

On July 15, 2013, the Purchaser delivered notice that it intended to exercise the Option, raising the size of the total purchase to 90 percent of the common stock of ILFC.

As of August 5, 2013, the closing of the ILFC Transaction has not occurred. AIG continues to consider ILFC as a non-core business and is continuing to pursue other options including a sale or initial public offering. We determined ILFC met the criteria for held for sale and discontinued operations accounting at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

#### **Recoverability of Net Deferred Tax Asset**

The evaluation of the recoverability of our net deferred tax asset and the need for a valuation allowance requires us to weigh all positive and negative evidence to reach a conclusion that it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the net deferred tax asset will not be realized. The weight given to the evidence is commensurate with the extent to which it can be objectively verified. The more negative evidence that exists, the more positive evidence is necessary and the more difficult it is to support a conclusion that a valuation allowance is not needed.

We consider a number of factors in order to reliably estimate future taxable income, so we can determine the extent of our ability to realize net operating losses (NOLs), foreign tax credits (FTCs) and nonlife capital loss carryforwards. These factors include forecasts of future income for each of our businesses and actual and planned business and operational changes, both of which include assumptions about future macroeconomic and AIG-specific conditions and events. We also subject the forecasts to stresses of key assumptions and evaluate the effect on tax attribute utilization. We also apply stresses to our assumptions about the effectiveness of relevant prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. Our income forecasts, coupled with our tax planning strategies and stress scenarios, all resulted in sufficient taxable income to achieve realization of the tax attributes (other than life-insurance-business capital loss carryforwards) prior to their expiration.

Our ability to utilize capital loss carryforwards in AIG Life and Retirement depends, in part, on our ability to sell fixed maturity securities in a gain position. Changes in market conditions, including interest rates rising in excess of our projections, may result in a reduction in projected taxable gains and reestablishment of a valuation allowance.

See Note 15 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for a discussion of AIG's framework for assessing the recoverability of its deferred tax asset.

#### Fair Value Measurements of Certain Financial Assets and Liabilities

See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information about the measurement of fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities and AIG's accounting policy regarding the incorporation of credit risk in fair value measurements.

The following table presents the fair value of fixed maturity and equity securities by source of value determination:

June 30, 2013 (in billions)	Fair Percent Value of Total			
Fair value based on external sources <sup>(a)</sup>	\$	270	93%	
Fair value based on internal sources		19	7	
Total fixed maturity and equity securities <sup>(b)</sup>	\$	289	100%	

- (a) Includes \$25 billion for which the primary source is broker quotes.
- (b) Includes available for sale and trading securities.

Level 3 Assets and Liabilities

Assets and liabilities recorded at fair value in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets are measured and classified in a hierarchy for disclosure purposes consisting of three "levels" based on the observability of inputs

#### ITEM 2 / CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

available in the marketplace used to measure the fair value. See Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

The following table presents the amount of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and classified as Level 3:

(in billions)	Jı	une 30, 2013	Percentage of Total	Dece	ember 31, 2012	Percentage of Total
Assets	\$	45.4	8.4%	\$	40.5	7.4%
Liabilities		3.0	0.7		4.1	0.9

Level 3 fair value measurements are based on valuation techniques that use at least one significant input that is unobservable. We consider unobservable inputs to be those for which market data is not available and that are developed using the best information available about the assumptions that market participants would use when valuing the asset or liability. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment.

We classify fair value measurements for certain assets and liabilities as Level 3 when they require significant unobservable inputs in their valuation, including contractual terms, prices and rates, yield curves, credit curves, measures of volatility, prepayment rates, default rates, mortality rates and correlations of such inputs.

The following paragraphs describe the methods we use to measure fair value on a recurring basis for super senior credit default swaps classified in Level 3. See Note 6 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report for a discussion of the valuation methodologies for other assets classified in Level 3, including certain fixed maturity securities and certain other invested assets, and Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements herein for a discussion of transfers of Level 3 assets and liabilities.

Super Senior Credit Default Swap Portfolio

The entities included in GCM wrote credit protection on the super senior risk layer of collateralized loan obligations (CLOs), multi-sector CDOs and diversified portfolios of corporate debt and prime residential mortgages through 2006. In these transactions, AIG is at risk of credit performance on the super senior risk layer related to such assets.

See Notes 5 and 9 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for information about the Regulatory Capital, Multi-Sector CDO, Corporate Debt/CLO and other portfolios.

AIG utilizes sensitivity analyses that estimate the effects of using alternative pricing and other key inputs on our calculation of the unrealized market valuation loss related to the super senior credit default swap portfolio. For the purposes of estimating sensitivities for the super senior multi-sector CDO credit default swap portfolio, the change in valuation derived using the Binomial Expansion Technique (BET) model is used to estimate the change in the fair value of the derivative liability. Of the total \$3.6 billion net notional amount of CDS written on multi-sector CDOs outstanding at June 30, 2013, a BET value is available for \$2.4 billion net notional amount. No BET value is determined for \$1.2 billion of CDS written on European multi-sector CDOs as prices on the underlying securities held by the CDOs are not provided by collateral managers; instead these CDS are valued using counterparty prices. Therefore, sensitivities disclosed below apply only to the net notional amount of \$2.4 billion.

#### ITEM 2 / CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The following table presents key inputs used in the BET model, and the potential increase (decrease) to the fair value of the derivative liability by ABS category at June 30, 2013 corresponding to changes in these key inputs:

	Average Inputs		Increase (Decrease) to Fair Value of Derivative Liability										
(dollars in millions)	Used at June 30, 2013	Change		Entire tfolio		IBS ime	RME Alt-		RMBS Subprime	CMBS	CDOs	Oth	1er
Bond prices	46 points	Increase of 5 points Decrease of 5 points	\$	(125) 125	\$	(2)	\$	(7) 7	\$ (58) 53	\$ (39) 41	) \$ (10) 9	\$	(9) 13
Weighted average life	5.99 years	Increase of 1 year Decrease of 1 year		9 (14)					6 (9)	3 (4)	ı		(1)
Recovery rates	17%	Increase of 10% Decrease of 10%		(11) 14				(2)	(5) 8	(2)	(2)	1	1
Diversity score <sup>(a)</sup>	14	Increase of 5 Decrease of 5		(5) 8									
Discount curve <sup>(b)</sup>	N/A	Increase of 100bps		3									

<sup>(</sup>a) The diversity score is an input at the CDO level. A calculation of sensitivity to this input by type of security is not possible.

<sup>(</sup>b) The discount curve is an input at the CDO level. A calculation of sensitivity to this input by type of security is not possible. Furthermore, for this input it is not possible to disclose a weighted average input as a discount curve consists of a series of data points.

Table of Contents

#### ITEM 2 / REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

#### Regulatory Environment

Our operations around the world are subject to regulation by many different types of regulatory authorities, including insurance, securities, investment advisory, banking and thrift regulators in the United States and abroad.

Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulation and supervision by the states and jurisdictions in which they do business. The insurance and financial services industries generally have been subject to heightened regulatory scrutiny and supervision in recent years.

In addition to the information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including in Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors, our regulatory status is also discussed in Part I, Item 1. Business Regulation, Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors Regulation, Part II, Item 7. MD&A Liquidity and Capital Resources Regulation and Supervision and Note 20 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in the 2012 Annual Report.

#### **Federal Reserve Supervision**

On July 8, 2013, AIG received notice from the U.S. Treasury that the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council) has made a final determination that AIG should be supervised by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) as a systemically important financial institution (SIFI) pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank). As a SIFI, we will be regulated by the FRB both in that capacity and, for as long as AIG continues to control an insured depository institution, in our capacity as a savings and loan holding company (SLHC). The regulations applicable to SIFIs and to SLHCs, when all have been adopted as final rules, may differ materially from each other. We will also be subject to additional regulatory requirements, including heightened prudential standards. For a description of those standards as currently proposed and a discussion of the potential effects on us as a SIFI, see Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors - Regulation.

#### **Other Regulatory Developments**

As described below, AIG has been designated as a Global Systemically Important Insurer (G-SII).

In addition to the adoption of Dodd-Frank in the United States, regulators and lawmakers around the world are actively reviewing the causes of the financial crisis and taking steps to avoid similar problems in the future. The Financial Stability Board (FSB), consisting of representatives of national financial authorities of the G20 nations, has issued a series of frameworks and recommendations intended to produce significant changes in how financial companies, particularly SIFIs, should be regulated. These frameworks and recommendations address such issues as financial group supervision, capital and solvency standards, systemic economic risk, corporate governance including compensation, and a number of related issues associated with responses to the financial crisis. The FSB has directed the International Association of Insurance Supervisors (the IAIS, headquartered in Basel, Switzerland) to create standards relative to these areas and incorporate them within that body's Insurance Core Principles (ICPs). IAIS's ICPs form the baseline threshold against which countries' financial services regulatory efforts in the insurance sector are measured. That measurement is made by periodic Financial Sector Assessment Program (FSAP) reviews conducted by the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund and the reports thereon spur the development of country-specific additional or amended regulatory changes. Lawmakers and regulatory authorities in a number of jurisdictions in which our subsidiaries conduct business have already begun implementing legislative and regulatory changes consistent with these recommendations, including proposals governing consolidated regulation of insurance holding companies by the Financial Services Agency in Japan, financial and banking regulation adopted in France and compensation regulations proposed or adopted by the financial regulators in Germany and the United Kingdom Prudential Regulation Authority.

The FSB has also charged the IAIS with developing a template for measuring systemic risks posed by insurer groups. The IAIS has requested data from selected insurers around the world to determine which elements of the insurance sector, if any, could materially and adversely impact other parts of the global financial services sector (e.g., commercial and investment banking, securities trading, etc.). The IAIS has provided its assessment template to the FSB. Based on this assessment template, on July 18, 2013, the FSB, in consultation with the IAIS and national

**Table of Contents** 

#### ITEM 2 / REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

authorities, identified an initial list of Global Systemically Important Insurers (G-SIIs), which includes AIG. As a G-SII, AIG will be subject to a policy framework that includes recovery and resolution planning requirements, enhanced group-wide supervision and higher loss absorbency capital requirements. It is expected that the G-SII policy framework will be fully implemented by 2019.

The IAIS is also developing a ComFrame, a Common Framework for the Supervision of Internationally Active Insurance Groups (IAIGs), which includes additional supervisory oversight based on its ICPs but also adds requirements and supervisory processes pertaining to the international business activities of IAIGs. As currently delineated under the ComFrame, AIG meets the parameters set forth to define an IAIG. While we currently do not know when any ComFrame directives will be finalized and become effective, the IAIS will undertake a field testing of the ComFrame, including the possibility of additional capital requirements for IAIGs, which is expected to commence in the latter part of 2013. It is expected that the ComFrame would be fully implemented by 2018.

Legislation in the European Union could also affect our international insurance operations. The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EEC) (Solvency II), which was adopted on November 25, 2009 and is expected to become effective in 2016, reforms the insurance industry's solvency framework, including minimum capital and solvency requirements, governance requirements, risk management and public reporting standards. The impact on us will depend on whether the U.S. insurance regulatory regime is deemed "equivalent" to Solvency II; if the U.S. insurance regulatory regime is not equivalent, then we, along with other insurance companies, could be required to be supervised under Solvency II standards. Whether the U.S. insurance regulatory regime will be deemed "equivalent" is still under consideration by European authorities and remains uncertain, so we are not currently able to predict the impact of Solvency II.

We expect that the regulations applicable to us and our regulated entities will continue to evolve for the foreseeable future.

Table of Contents

#### ITEM 2 / GLOSSARY

#### **GLOSSARY**

Accident year The annual calendar accounting period in which loss events occurred, regardless of when the losses are actually reported, booked or paid.

Accident year combined ratio, as adjusted the combined ratio excluding catastrophe losses and related reinstatement premiums, prior year development, net of premium adjustments, and the impact of reserve discounting. Catastrophe losses are generally weather or seismic events having a net impact on AIG Property Casualty in excess of \$10 million each.

Accident year loss ratio, as adjusted the loss ratio excluding catastrophe losses and related reinstatement premiums, prior year development, net of premium adjustments, and the impact of reserve discounting.

Acquisition ratio acquisition costs divided by net premiums earned. Acquisition costs are those costs incurred to acquire new and renewal insurance contracts and also include the amortization of VOBA. Acquisition costs vary with sales and include, but are not limited to, commissions, premium taxes, direct marketing costs, certain costs of personnel engaged in sales support activities such as underwriting, and the change in deferred acquisition costs. Acquisition costs that are incremental and directly related to successful sales efforts are deferred and recognized over the coverage periods of related insurance contracts. Acquisition costs that are not incremental and directly related to successful sales efforts are recognized as incurred.

AIG After-tax operating income (loss) attributable to AIG is derived by excluding the following items from net income (loss) attributable to AIG: income (loss) from discontinued operations, net loss (gain) on sale of divested businesses, income from divested businesses, legacy tax adjustments primarily related to certain changes in uncertain tax positions and other tax adjustments, legal reserves (settlements) related to "legacy crisis matters," deferred income tax valuation allowance (releases) charges, changes in fair value of AIG Life and Retirement securities designated to hedge living benefit liabilities, changes in benefit reserves and deferred policy acquisition costs (DAC), value of business acquired (VOBA), and sales inducement assets (SIA) related to net realized capital (gains) losses, (gain) loss on extinguishment of debt, net realized capital (gains) losses, non-qualifying derivative hedging activities, excluding net realized capital (gains) losses, and bargain purchase gain. "Legacy crisis matters" include favorable and unfavorable settlements related to events leading up to and resulting from our September 2008 liquidity crisis and legal fees incurred by AIG as the plaintiff in connection with such legal matters.

AIG Life and Retirement Operating income (loss) Operating income (loss) is derived by excluding the following items from net income (loss): legal settlements related to legacy crisis matters, changes in fair values of fixed maturity securities designated to hedge living benefit liabilities (net of interest expense), net realized capital (gains) losses, and changes in benefit reserves and DAC, VOBA, and SIA related to net realized capital (gains) losses.

**AIG Life and Retirement Premiums and deposits** includes life insurance premiums and deposits on annuity contracts, guaranteed investments contracts and mutual funds.

AIG Property Casualty Net premiums written represent the sales of an insurer, adjusted for reinsurance premiums assumed and ceded, during a given period. Net premiums earned are the revenue of an insurer for covering risk during a given period. Net premiums written are a measure of performance for a sales period while Net premiums earned are a measure of performance for a coverage period. From the period in which the premiums are written until the period in which they are earned, the amount is presented as Unearned premium reserves in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

AIG Property Casualty Operating income (loss) includes both underwriting income (loss) and net investment income, but excludes net realized capital (gains) losses, other (income) expense, legal settlements related to legacy crisis matters and bargain purchase gain. Underwriting income (loss) is derived by reducing net premiums earned by claims and claims adjustment expense, acquisition expense and general operating expense.

**BET** *Binomial Expansion Technique* A model that generates expected loss estimates for CDO tranches and derives a credit rating for those tranches.

Book Value Per Common Share Excluding Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (loss) (AOCI) is used to show the amount of our net worth on a per-share basis. Book Value Per Common Share Excluding AOCI is derived by dividing Total AIG shareholders' equity,

excluding AOCI, by Total common shares outstanding.

#### Table of Contents

#### **ITEM 2 / GLOSSARY**

**Casualty insurance** Insurance that is primarily associated with the losses caused by injuries to third persons, i.e., not the insured, and the legal liability imposed on the insured as a result.

**Combined ratio** Sum of the loss ratio and the acquisition and general operating expense ratios.

CSA Credit Support Annex A legal document that provides for collateral postings at various ratings and threshold levels.

**DAC** Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs Deferred costs that are incremental and directly related to the successful acquisition of new business or renewal of existing business.

Expense ratio Sum of acquisition expenses and general operating expenses, divided by net premiums earned.

**First-Lien** Priority over all other subordinate liens or claims on a property in the event of default on a mortgage.

General operating expense ratio general operating expenses divided by net premiums earned. General operating expenses are those costs that are generally attributed to the support infrastructure of the organization and include but are not limited to personnel costs, projects and bad debt expenses. General operating expenses exclude claims adjustment expenses, acquisition expenses, and investment expenses.

**GIC/GIA** *Guaranteed Investment Contract/Guaranteed Investment Agreement* A contract whereby the seller provides a guaranteed repayment of principal and a fixed or floating interest rate for a predetermined period of time.

IBNR Incurred But Not Reported Estimates of claims that have been incurred but not reported to us.

**LAE** Loss Adjustment Expenses The expenses of settling claims, including legal and other fees and the portion of general expenses allocated to claim settlement costs.

Loss Ratio Claims and claims adjustment expenses incurred divided by net premiums earned. Claims adjustment expenses are directly attributed to settling and paying claims of insureds and include, but are not limited to, legal fees, adjuster's fees, and claims department personnel costs.

**Loss reserve development** The increase or decrease in incurred claims and claim adjustment expenses as a result of the re-estimation of claims and claim adjustment expense reserves at successive valuation dates for a given group of claims.

Loss reserves Liability for unpaid claims and claims adjustment expense. The estimated ultimate cost of settling claims relating to insured events that have occurred on or before the balance sheet date, whether or not reported to the insurer at that date.

LTV Loan-to-Value Ratio Principal amount of loan amount divided by appraised value of collateral securing the loan.

**Net premiums written** Represent the sales of an insurer, adjusted for reinsurance premiums assumed and ceded, during a given period. Net premiums earned are the revenue of an insurer for covering risk during a given period. Net premiums written are a measure of performance for a sales period while Net premiums earned are a measure of performance for a coverage period. From the period in which the premiums are written until the period in which they are earned, the amount is presented as Unearned premium reserves in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Noncontrolling interest The portion of equity ownership in a consolidated subsidiary not attributable to the controlling parent company.

Other Operations Operating income (loss): income (loss) excluding certain legal reserves (settlements) related to legacy crisis matters, (gain) loss on extinguishment of debt, Net realized capital (gains) losses, net (gains) losses on sale of divested businesses and properties, and income from divested businesses.

**Policy fees** An amount added to a policy premium, or deducted from a policy cash value or contract holder account, to reflect the cost of issuing a policy, establishing the required records, sending premium notices and other related expenses.

#### Table of Contents

#### **ITEM 2 / GLOSSARY**

**Prior year development** Increase or decrease in estimates of losses and loss expenses for prior years that is included in earnings.

**RBC** Risk-Based Capital A formula designed to measure the adequacy of an insurer's statutory surplus compared to the risks inherent in its business.

**Reinstatement premium** Additional premiums payable to reinsurers to restore coverage limits that have been exhausted as a result of reinsured losses under certain excess of loss reinsurance treaties.

**Reinsurance** The practice whereby one insurer, the reinsurer, in consideration of a premium paid to that insurer, agrees to indemnify another insurer, the ceding company, for part or all of the liability of the ceding company under one or more policies or contracts of insurance which it has issued.

**Rescission** Denial of claims and termination of coverage on loans related to fraudulent or undocumented claims, underwriting guideline violations and other deviations from contractual terms.

Retained Interest Category within AIG's Other operations that includes the fair value gains or losses, prior to their sale, of the AIA ordinary shares retained following the AIA initial public offering and the MetLife, Inc. (MetLife) securities that were received as consideration from the sale of American Life Insurance Company (ALICO) and the fair value gains or losses, prior to the FRBNY liquidation of ML III assets in 2012, on the retained interest in ML III.

Second-lien Subordinate in ranking to the first-lien holder claims on a property in the event of default on a mortgage.

Severe losses Individual non-catastrophe first party losses greater than \$10 million, net of related reinsurance.

**SIA** Sales Inducement Asset Represents amounts that are credited to policyholder account balances related to the enhanced crediting rates that a seller offers on certain of its annuity products.

**SIFI** *Systemically Important Financial Institutions* Financial institutions are deemed systemically important (that is, the failure of the financial institution could pose a threat to the financial stability of the United States) by the Financial Stability Oversight Council (FSOC) based on a three-stage analytical process.

**Solvency II** Legislation in the European Union which reforms the insurance industry's solvency framework, including minimum capital and solvency requirements, governance requirements, risk management and public reporting standards. The Solvency II Directive (2009/138/EEC), was adopted on November 25, 2009 and is expected to become effective in January 2016.

**SSDMF** *Social Security Death Master File* A database of deceased individuals, most of whom were issued a social security number during their lifetimes, maintained by the U.S. Social Security Administration.

**Surrender charge** A charge levied against an investor for the early withdrawal of funds from a life insurance or annuity contract, or for the cancellation of the agreement.

**Unearned premium reserve** Liabilities established by insurers and reinsurers to reflect unearned premiums which are usually refundable to policyholders if an insurance or reinsurance contract is canceled prior to expiration of the contract term.

VOBA Value of Business Acquired Present value of projected future gross profits from in-force policies from acquired businesses.

#### Table of Contents

#### **ITEM 2 / ACRONYMS**

#### **ACRONYMS**

A&H Accident and Health Insurance GMWB Guaranteed Minimum Withdrawal Benefits

ABS Asset-Backed Security ISDA International Swaps and Derivatives Association, Inc.

CDO Collateralized Debt Obligation NAIC National Association of Insurance Commissioners

CDS Credit Default Swap NM Not Meaningful

CLO Collateralized Loan Obligations OTC Over-the-Counter

CMA Capital Maintenance Agreement OTTI Other-Than-Temporary Impairment

CMBS Commercial Mortgage-Backed Securities RMBS Residential Mortgage-Backed Securities

FASB Financial Accounting Standards Board S&P Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC

FRBNY Federal Reserve Bank of New York SEC Securities and Exchange Commission

**GAAP** Accounting principles generally accepted in the United **VIE** Variable Interest Entity States of America

GMDB Guaranteed Minimum Death Benefits

# **ITEM 3.** / QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Included in Part I, Item 2. Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Enterprise Risk Management.

#### **ITEM 4. / CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In connection with the preparation of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, an evaluation was carried out by AIG's management, with the participation of AIG's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of AIG's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, AIG's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that AIG's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2013.

There has been no change in AIG's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2013 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, AIG's internal control over financial reporting.

#### PART II OTHER INFORMATION

#### **ITEM 1 / LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

For a discussion of legal proceedings, see Note 10 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which is incorporated herein by reference.

#### **ITEM 1A. / RISK FACTORS**

In addition to the other information set forth in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, you should carefully consider the factors discussed throughout Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors in AIG's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

#### REGULATION

Our status as a savings and loan holding company and a systemically important financial institution, as well as the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, will subject us to substantial additional federal regulation, which may materially and adversely affect our businesses, results of operations and cash flows. On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (Dodd-Frank), which effects comprehensive changes to the regulation of financial services in the United States, was signed into law. Dodd-Frank directs existing and newly created government agencies and bodies to promulgate regulations implementing the law, an ongoing process anticipated to continue over the next few years.

We cannot predict with certainty the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted or how or whether Dodd-Frank and such regulations will affect our businesses, results of operations or cash flows, or require us to raise additional capital.

We are regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (FRB) and subject to its examination, supervision and enforcement authority and reporting requirements as a saving and loan holding company (SLHC) and as a systemically important financial institution (SIFI).

As a result of our regulation by the FRB as an SLHC:

The FRB exercises general supervisory authority over us.

The FRB, as a prudential matter, may limit our ability to pay dividends and purchase shares of AIG Common Stock.

The FRB is required to impose minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements on us that are not less than those applicable to insured depository institutions.

The FRB, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation have established revised minimum leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to bank holding companies and SLHCs, as well as to insured depository institutions, such as AIG Federal Savings Bank. The final rules do not apply to SLHCs that are substantially engaged in insurance underwriting activities. The Federal Reserve expects to implement a capital framework for SLHCs that are substantially engaged in insurance underwriting activities by the time covered SLHCs must comply with the final rule in 2015.

On July 8, 2013, we received notice from the Department of the Treasury that the Financial Stability Oversight Council (Council) has made a final determination that we should be supervised by the FRB as a SIFI pursuant to Dodd-Frank. As a SIFI, we are subject to the examination, enforcement and supervisory authority of the FRB and will be subject to additional regulatory requirements, including heightened prudential standards, some of which have been proposed but are not yet adopted in final form. As a SIFI, we anticipate we will be subject to:

stress tests to determine whether, on a consolidated basis, we have the capital necessary to absorb losses due to adverse economic conditions;

#### **Table of Contents**

stricter prudential standards, including stricter requirements and limitations relating to risk-based capital, leverage, liquidity and credit exposure, as well as overall risk management requirements, management interlock prohibitions and a requirement to maintain a plan for rapid and orderly dissolution in the event of severe financial distress; and

an early remediation regime process to be administered by the FRB.

Furthermore, if the Council were to make an additional separate determination that AIG poses a "grave threat" to U.S. financial stability, we would be required to maintain a debt-to-equity ratio of no more than 15:1 and the FRB may:

limit our ability to merge with, acquire, consolidate with, or become affiliated with another company;

restrict our ability to offer specified financial products;

require us to terminate specified activities;

impose conditions on how we conduct our activities; and

with approval of the Council, and a determination that the foregoing actions are inadequate to mitigate a threat to U.S. financial stability, require us to sell or otherwise transfer assets or off-balance-sheet items to unaffiliated entities.

In addition, the regulations applicable to SIFIs and to SLHCs, when all have been adopted as final rules, may differ materially from each other.

Further, if we continue to control AIG Federal Savings Bank or another insured depository institution, as of July 21, 2014, we will be required to conform to the "Volcker Rule", which prohibits "proprietary trading" and the sponsoring or investing in "covered funds". The term "covered funds" includes hedge, private equity or similar funds and, in certain cases, issuers of asset backed securities if such securities have equity-like characteristics. These prohibitions could have a substantial impact on our investment portfolios as they are currently managed. Even if we no longer controlled an insured depository institution, Dodd-Frank authorizes the FRB to subject SIFIs to additional capital and quantitative limitations if they engage in activities prohibited for depository institutions by the Volcker Rule. The Volcker Rule, as proposed, contains an exemption for proprietary trading by insurance companies for their general account, but the final breadth and scope of this exemption cannot be predicted.

In addition, Dodd-Frank establishes a new framework for the regulation of over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives, which may significantly alter the cost, type and breadth of obligations associated with derivatives transactions, procedures for the pricing, documenting, monitoring and reporting of swaps, and the general conduct of hedging activities that are subject to its provisions. The scope of this new framework is continuing to be developed pursuant to ongoing rulemaking by both the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the SEC, and its aggregate effect on us is difficult to fully ascertain at this time. Both the CFTC and the SEC have proposed additional rules requiring the posting of collateral for swaps and security-based swaps not subject to clearing, among other requirements. Proposed rules may increase the cost of conducting a hedging program or have other effects materially adverse to us.

We cannot predict the requirements of the regulations ultimately adopted, the level and magnitude of supervision we may become subject to, or how Dodd-Frank and such regulations will affect the financial markets generally or our businesses, results of operations or cash flows. It is possible that the regulations adopted under Dodd-Frank and our regulation by the FRB as an SLHC or as a SIFI could significantly alter our business practices, limit our ability to engage in capital or liability management, require us to raise additional capital, and impose burdensome and costly requirements and additional costs. Some of the regulations may also affect the perceptions of regulators, customers, counterparties, creditors or investors about our financial strength and could potentially affect our financing costs.

See Part I, Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Regulatory Environment for further discussion of these potential regulations.

# **ITEM 4 / MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

Not applicable.

#### **ITEM 5 / OTHER INFORMATION**

On December 9, 2012, American International Group, Inc. (AIG Parent), AIG Capital Corporation (Seller), a wholly-owned direct subsidiary of AIG Parent and the sole shareholder of International Lease Finance Corporation (ILFC), and Jumbo Acquisition Limited (Purchaser) entered into a definitive agreement (the Share Purchase Agreement) for the sale of 80.1 percent of the common stock of ILFC for approximately \$4.2 billion in cash (the ILFC Transaction). The Share Purchase Agreement permits the Purchaser to elect to purchase an additional 9.9 percent of the common stock of ILFC for \$522.5 million (the Option). On June 15, 2013, AIG, Seller and Purchaser entered into an amendment (the Amendment) to the Share Purchase Agreement, as amended by Amendment No. 1, dated May 10, 2013. The Amendment extended to July 31, 2013, the date on which any of AIG Parent, Seller or Purchaser may terminate the Share Purchase Agreement, as amended, if the closing of the ILFC Transaction has not yet occurred. Under the Amendment, AIG Parent and Seller may pursue (but not enter into definitive documentation for, or consummate) other offers for ILFC and may continue to pursue (but not engage in widespread solicitation of orders for, or request effectiveness of) the alternative of a public offering.

On July 15, 2013, the Purchaser delivered notice that it intended to exercise the Option, raising the size of the total purchase to 90 percent of the common stock of ILFC.

As of August 5, 2013, the closing of the ILFC Transaction has not occurred.

#### **ITEM 6 / EXHIBITS**

See accompanying Exhibit Index.

#### Table of Contents

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

#### AMERICAN INTERNATIONAL GROUP, INC.

(Registrant)

/s/ DAVID L. HERZOG

David L. Herzog Executive Vice President Chief Financial Officer Principal Financial Officer

/s/ DON W. CUMMINGS

Don W. Cummings
Vice President
Controller
Principal Accounting Officer

Dated: August 5, 2013

#### Table of Contents

#### **EXHIBIT INDEX**

10	Material Contracts	
	(1) Description of Non-Management Director Compensation*	Incorporated by reference to "Compensation of Directors" in AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated April 4, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
	(2) Description of Named Executive Officer Compensation*	Incorporated by reference to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on April 4, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
	(3) American International Group, Inc. 2013 Omnibus Incentive Plan*	Incorporated by reference to Appendix B in AIG's Definitive Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, dated April 4, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
	(4) American International Group, Inc. 2012 Executive Severance Plan (as amended)*	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to AIG's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
	(5) Amendment No. 1 to the Share Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 10, 2013, among American International Group, Inc., AIG Capital Corporation and Jumbo Acquisition Limited	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 13, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
	(6) Amendment No. 2 to the Share Purchase Agreement, dated as of June 15, 2013, among American International Group, Inc., AIG Capital Corporation and Jumbo Acquisition Limited	Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to AIG's Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the SEC on June 17, 2013 (File No. 1-8787).
	(7) Unconditional Capital Maintenance Agreement, dated as of July 1, 2013, between AIG and United Guaranty Residential Insurance Company	Filed herewith.
11	Statement re: Computation of Per Share Earnings	Included in Note 13 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.
12	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges	Filed herewith.
31	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certifications	Filed herewith.
32	Section 1350 Certifications**	Filed herewith.
101	Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2013, (iv) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 and (vi) the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial	Filed herewith.

Statements.

- \* This exhibit is a management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.
- \*\* This information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.