

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP

Form 10-K

March 02, 2009

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-K

- þ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008
- OR**
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from to

Commission file No. 1-13883

CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
*(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)*
**1720 North First Street,
San Jose, California**
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

77-0448994
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*
95112
(Zip Code)

(408) 367-8200
(Registrant's Telephone Number, including Area Code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class:	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered:
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share	New York Stock Exchange
Preferred Share Purchase Rights	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:
None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 of Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$678.9 million on June 30, 2008, the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter. The valuation is based on the closing price of the registrant's common stock as traded on the New York Stock Exchange.

Common stock outstanding at February 23, 2009, 20,723,952 shares.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the definitive proxy statement for the California Water Service Group 2009 Annual Meeting are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I</u>	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Business</u>	1
<u>Forward-Looking Statement</u>	1
<u>Overview</u>	2
<u>Regulated Business</u>	2
<u>Non-Regulated Business</u>	4
<u>Operating Segment</u>	4
<u>Growth</u>	5
<u>Geographical Service Areas and Number of Customers at Year-end Rates and Regulation</u>	5
<u>Rates and Regulations</u>	6
<u>Seasonal Fluctuations</u>	7
<u>Water Supply</u>	8
<u>Utility Plant Construction</u>	10
<u>Sale of Surplus Properties</u>	11
<u>California Energy Situation</u>	11
<u>Security at Company Facilities</u>	11
<u>Quality of Water Supplies</u>	11
<u>Competition and Condemnation</u>	12
<u>Environmental Matters</u>	12
<u>Employees</u>	12
<u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u>	13
<u>Item 1A</u>	13
<u>Risk Factors</u>	13
<u>Item 1B</u>	25
<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	25
<u>Item 2.</u>	25
<u>Properties</u>	25
<u>Item 3.</u>	26
<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	26
<u>Item 4.</u>	27
<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>	27
<u>PART II</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u>	
<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	28
<u>Item 6.</u>	30
<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	30
<u>Item 7.</u>	
<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	31
<u>Overview</u>	31
<u>Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates</u>	31
<u>Results of Operations</u>	34
<u>Rates and Regulation</u>	38
<u>Water Supply</u>	43
<u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u>	43
<u>Item 7A.</u>	49
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	49
<u>Item 8.</u>	50
<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	50
<u>Item 9.</u>	79
<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	79
<u>Item 9A.</u>	79
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	79
<u>Item 9B.</u>	79
<u>Other Information</u>	79

PART III

<u>Item 10.</u>	<u>Directors and Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	79
<u>Item 11.</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	80
<u>Item 12.</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	80
<u>Item 13.</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	80
<u>Item 14.</u>	<u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	80

PART IV

<u>Item 15.</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	81
	<u>Signatures</u>	82
	<u>Exhibit Index</u>	83

EX-21
EX-23.1
EX-23.2
EX-31.1
EX-31.2
EX-32

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. *Business.*

Forward-Looking Statements

This annual report, including all documents incorporated by reference, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning established by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Forward-looking statements in this annual report are based on currently available information, expectations, estimates, assumptions and projections, and our management's beliefs, assumptions, judgments and expectations about us, the water utility industry and general economic conditions. These statements are not statements of historical fact. When used in our documents, statements that are not historical in nature, including words like expects, intends, plans, believes, may, estimates, assumes, anticipates, projects, predicts, forecasts, should, seeks, or variations of these words or similar expressions are used to identify forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance. They are based on numerous assumptions that we believe are reasonable, but they are open to a wide range of uncertainties and business risks. Consequently, actual results may vary materially from what is contained in a forward-looking statement.

Factors which may cause actual results to be different than those expected or anticipated include, but are not limited to:

- governmental and regulatory commissions' decisions, including decisions on proper disposition of property;
- changes in regulatory commissions' policies and procedures;
- the timeliness of regulatory commissions' actions concerning rate relief;
- changes in the capital markets and access to sufficient capital on satisfactory terms;
- new legislation;
- changes in accounting valuations and estimates;
- changes in accounting treatment for regulated companies, including adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards, if required;
- electric power interruptions;
- increases in suppliers' prices and the availability of supplies including water and power;
- fluctuations in interest rates;
- changes in environmental compliance and water quality requirements;
- acquisitions and the ability to successfully integrate acquired companies;
- the ability to successfully implement business plans;

civil disturbances or terrorist threats or acts, or apprehension about the possible future occurrences of acts of this type;

the involvement of the United States in war or other hostilities;

our ability to attract and retain qualified employees;

labor relations matters as we negotiate with the unions;

implementation of new information technology systems;

restrictive covenants in or changes to the credit ratings on current or future debt that could increase financing costs or affect the ability to borrow, make payments on debt, or pay dividends;

general economic conditions, including changes in customer growth patterns and our ability to collect billed revenue from customers;

Table of Contents

changes in customer water use patterns and the effects of conservation;

the impact of weather on water sales and operating results;

the ability to satisfy requirements related to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act and other regulations on internal controls; and

the risks set forth in **Risk Factors** included elsewhere in this annual report.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, investors are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this annual report or as of the date of any document incorporated by reference in this report, as applicable. When considering forward-looking statements, investors should keep in mind the cautionary statements in this annual report and the documents incorporated by reference. We are not under any obligation, and we expressly disclaim any obligation, to update or alter any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

California Water Service Group is a holding company incorporated in Delaware with six operating subsidiaries: California Water Service Company (Cal Water), New Mexico Water Service Company (New Mexico Water), Washington Water Service Company (Washington Water), Hawaii Water Service Company, Inc. (Hawaii Water), and CWS Utility Services and HWS Utility Services LLC (CWS Utility Services and HWS Utility Services LLC being referred to collectively in this annual report as Utility Services). Cal Water, New Mexico Water, Washington Water, and Hawaii Water are regulated public utilities. The regulated utility entities also provide some non-regulated services. Utility Services provides non-regulated services to private companies and municipalities. Cal Water was the original operating company and began operations in 1926.

Our business is conducted through our operating subsidiaries. The bulk of the business consists of the production, purchase, storage, treatment, testing, distribution and sale of water for domestic, industrial, public and irrigation uses, and for fire protection. We also provide non-regulated water-related services under agreements with municipalities and other private companies. The non-regulated services include full water system operation, billing and meter reading services. Non-regulated operations also include the lease of communication antenna sites, lab services, and promotion of other non-regulated services. Earnings may be significantly affected by the sale of surplus real properties if and when they occur.

During the year ended December 31, 2008, there were no significant changes in the kind of products produced or services rendered or those provided by our operating subsidiaries, or in the markets or methods of distribution.

Our mailing address and contact information is:

California Water Service Group
1720 North First Street
San Jose, California 95112-4598
telephone number: 408-367-8200
www.calwatergroup.com

Annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports are available free of charge through our website. The reports are available on our website on the same day they

appear on the SEC's website.

Regulated Business

California water operations are conducted by the Cal Water and CWS Utility Services entities, which provide service to approximately 463,400 customers in 83 California communities through 26 separate districts. Of these 26 districts, 24 districts are regulated water systems, which are subject to regulation by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). The other 2 districts, the City of Hawthorne and the City of Commerce, are governed through their respective city councils and are outside of the CPUC's jurisdiction. California water operations account for approximately 94% of our total customers and approximately 95% of our total consolidated operating revenue.

Table of Contents

Washington Water provides domestic water service to approximately 15,800 customers in the Tacoma and Olympia areas. Washington Water's utility operations are regulated by the Washington Utilities and Transportation Commission. Washington Water accounts for approximately 3% of our total customers and approximately 2% of our total consolidated operating revenue.

New Mexico Water provides service to approximately 7,600 water and wastewater customers in the Belen, Los Lunas and Elephant Butte areas in New Mexico. New Mexico's regulated operations are subject to the jurisdiction of the New Mexico Public Regulation Commission. New Mexico Water accounts for approximately 2% of our total customers and approximately 1% of our total consolidated operating revenue.

Hawaii Water provides service to approximately 3,700 water and wastewater customers on the islands of Maui and Hawaii, including several large resorts and condominium complexes. Hawaii's regulated operations are subject to the jurisdiction of the Hawaii Public Utilities Commission. Hawaii Water accounts for less than 1% of our total customers and approximately 2% of our total operating revenue. HWS Utility Services LLC was organized in 2007 and began non-regulated operations in January 2008.

The state regulatory bodies governing our regulated operations are referred to as the Commissions in this report. Rates and operations for regulated customers are subject to the jurisdiction of the respective state's regulatory commission. The Commissions require that water and wastewater rates for each regulated district be independently determined. The Commissions are expected to authorize rates sufficient to recover normal operating expenses and allow the utility to earn a fair and reasonable return on invested capital.

We distribute water in accordance with accepted water utility methods. Where applicable, we hold franchises and permits in the cities and communities where we operate. The franchises and permits allow us to operate and maintain facilities in public streets and right-of-ways as necessary.

We operate the City of Hawthorne and the City of Commerce water systems under lease agreements. In accordance with the lease agreements, we receive all revenues from operating the systems and are responsible for paying the operating costs. Rates for the City of Hawthorne and City of Commerce water systems are established in accordance with operating agreements and are subject to ratification by the respective city councils. The City of Hawthorne lease is a 15-year lease and expires in 2011. The City of Commerce lease is a 15-year lease and expires in 2018. The terms of other operating agreements range from one-year to three-year periods with provisions for renewals.

In February 1996, we entered into an agreement to operate the City of Hawthorne water system. The system, which is located near the Hermosa-Redondo district, serves about half of Hawthorne's population. The agreement required us to make an up-front \$6.5 million lease payment to the city that is being amortized over the lease term. Additionally, annual lease payments of \$0.1 million are made to the city and indexed to changes in water rates. Under the lease we are responsible for all aspects of system operation and capital improvements, although title to the system and system improvements reside with the city. At the end of the lease, the city is required to reimburse us for the unamortized value of capital improvements made during the term of the lease. In exchange, we receive all revenue from the water system, which was \$5.2 million, \$5.4 million and \$5.4 million in 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively.

In July 2003, an agreement was negotiated with the City of Commerce to lease and operate its water system. The lease requires us to pay \$0.8 million per year in monthly installments and pay \$200 per acre-foot for water usage exceeding 2,000 acre-feet per year plus a percentage of certain operational savings that may be realized. Under the lease agreement, we are responsible for all aspects of the system's operations. The city is responsible for capital expenditures, and title to the system and system improvements resides with the city. We bear the risks of operation and collection of amounts billed to customers. The agreement includes a procedure to request rate changes for costs changes outside of our control and other cost changes. In exchange, we receive all revenue from the system, which

totaled \$2.0 million in 2008 and \$1.7 million in 2007 and 2006.

The City of Hawthorne and the City of Commerce are governed through their respective city councils and are considered non-regulated because they are outside of the CPUC's jurisdiction. We report revenue and expenses for the City of Hawthorne and City of Commerce leases in operating revenue and operating expenses because we are entitled to retain all customer billings and are generally responsible for all operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Non-Regulated Businesses

Fees for non-regulated activities are based on contracts negotiated between the parties. Under other contract arrangements, we operate municipally owned water systems, privately owned water systems, and recycled water distribution systems, but are not responsible for all operating costs. Non-regulated revenue received from water system operations is generally determined on a fee-per-customer basis.

Non-regulated activities consist primarily of:

- operating water systems, which are owned by other entities;
- providing meter reading and billing services;
- leasing communication antenna sites on our properties;
- operating recycled water systems;
- providing lab services for water quality testing;
- marketing and billing of optional third party insurance program to our residential customers;
- selling surplus property, and
- other services as requested by the client.

The revenue from these activities is not included in operations revenue, and therefore is reported below operating profit on the income statement. Due to the variety of services provided and activities being outside of our core business, the number of customers is not tracked for these non-regulated activities, except customers for the City of Hawthorne and the City of Commerce.

In the first quarter of 2008, the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary HWS Utility Services, LLC, acquired contracts to operate and maintain water and wastewater systems in Hawaii. The purchase price of \$1.3 million was paid with the issuance of the Company's common stock. The purchase price is being amortized over the remaining life of the contracts.

We provide meter reading and customer billing services for several municipalities in California. We also provide sewer and refuse billing services to several municipalities.

We lease antenna sites to telecommunication companies, which place equipment at various Company-owned sites. Lease income totaled \$2.0 million in 2008 and 2007 and \$1.7 million in 2006. The antennas are used in cellular phone and personal communication applications. We continue to negotiate new leases for similar uses.

We provide laboratory services to San Jose Water Company, a 5% stockholder of Cal Water, and Great Oaks Water Company and for the systems under operation and maintenance agreements.

In 2006, we started an Extended Service Protection program (ESP) in California covering certain repairs to residential customer's water line between the meter and the home. The non-regulated program was operated by CWS Utility

Services. Typically the utility is responsible for servicing and maintaining the water line up to and including the meter. The home owner is responsible for the water line from the meter to the house. In late 2007, we contracted with Home Service USA to replace the ESP program with an insurance product. Home Service USA now provides water line protection insurance, sewer line protection insurance, and internal plumbing protection insurance to Cal Water's customers. Cal Water includes charges for these optional non-tariffed services on its bills and CWS Utility Services facilitates marketing these products to its customers.

Operating Segment

We operate primarily in one business segment, the supply and distribution of water and providing water-related utility services.

Table of Contents**Growth**

We intend to continue exploring opportunities to expand our regulated and non-regulated water and wastewater businesses in the western United States. The opportunities could include system acquisitions, lease arrangements similar to the City of Hawthorne and City of Commerce contracts, full service system operation and maintenance agreements, meter reading, billing contracts and other utility-related services. Management believes that a holding company structure facilitates providing non-regulated utility services, which are not subject to any Commission's jurisdiction.

Geographical Service Areas and Number of Customers at Year-end

Our principal markets are users of water within our service areas. Most of the geographical service areas that we service are regulated. In addition, the City of Hawthorne and City of Commerce are included due to similarities in structure and risk of operations. The approximate number of customers served in each district is as follows:

Regulated Customers, City of Hawthorne and City of Commerce Customers at December 31, (rounded to the nearest hundred)

	2008	2007
SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA		
Mid-Peninsula (serving San Mateo and San Carlos)	36,200	36,100
South San Francisco (including Colma and Broadmoor)	16,800	16,800
Bear Gulch (serving portions of Menlo Park, Atherton, Woodside and Portola Valley)	18,100	18,000
Los Altos (including portions of Cupertino, Los Altos Hills, Mountain View and Sunnyvale)	18,600	18,600
Livermore	18,200	18,200
	107,900	107,700
SACRAMENTO VALLEY		
Chico (including Hamilton City)	27,400	27,300
Oroville	3,600	3,600
Marysville	3,700	3,800
Dixon	2,800	2,900
Willows	2,400	2,400
Redwood Valley (Lucerne, Duncans Mills, Guerneville, Dillon Beach, Noel Heights & portions of Santa Rosa)	1,900	2,000
	41,800	42,000
SALINAS VALLEY		
Salinas	27,800	28,000
King City	2,500	2,500
	30,300	30,500

Table of Contents

	2008	2007
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY		
Bakersfield	65,500	65,400
Stockton	41,500	42,200
Visalia	39,200	38,900
Selma	6,100	6,100
Kern River Valley	4,300	4,300
Antelope Valley (Fremont Valley, Lake Hughes, Lancaster & Leona Valley)	1,400	1,400
	158,000	158,300
LOS ANGELES AREA		
East Los Angeles (including portions of the City of Commerce)	26,700	26,600
Hermosa-Redondo (serving Hermosa Beach, Redondo Beach and a portion of Torrance)	26,500	26,300
Dominguez (Carson and portions of Compton, Harbor City, Long Beach, Los Angeles and Torrance)	33,700	33,700
Palos Verdes (including Palos Verdes Estates, Rancho Palos Verdes, Rolling Hills Estates and Rolling Hills)	24,000	24,000
Westlake (a portion of Thousand Oaks)	7,100	7,100
Hawthorne and Commerce (leased municipal systems)	7,400	7,400
	125,400	125,100
CALIFORNIA TOTAL	463,400	463,600
HAWAII	3,700	700
NEW MEXICO	7,600	7,500
WASHINGTON	15,800	15,800
COMPANY TOTAL	490,500	487,600

Rates and Regulation

Our water utility rates and service for the regulated business are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commissions. The Commissions' decisions and the timing of those decisions can have a significant impact on our operations and earnings.

Since our 24 California-regulated operating districts are not physically integrated, rates are set independently for each district as required by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). General office (headquarters) expenses and capital expenditures are considered separately and allocated ratably to the operating districts.

General and Escalation Rate Increases

General rate case (GRC) applications in California address district and general office operating costs and capital requirements for a forward-looking three-year period. GRC decisions typically authorize an immediate rate increase and annual step rate increases for the three-year cycle. Under the CPUC's 2004 rate case processing plan, step rate increases will generally be effective on July 1 of each calendar year through 2010, and are designed to maintain the

return on equity (ROE) authorized in the initial decision in succeeding years. Cal Water is required to file a GRC for each operating district every three years. The CPUC adopted a new rate case plan (RCP) in May 2007. Under this plan, Cal Water is able to recover general office expenses, including employee benefit costs, as well as insurance and other corporate items, for its 24 regulated operating districts in 2008 as determined by the CPUC in the 2007 GRC. The next California GRC will be filed in July 2009 covering all 24 California districts and general office expenses. Rate increases resulting from the 2009 GRC are scheduled to be effective January 1, 2011.

Table of Contents

The CPUC's processing schedule sets an expected effective date of July 1 for Cal Water filings including the 2007 GRC (12 month processing schedule) and a January 1, 2011 effective date for the 2009 GRC (18 month schedule). While we expect future filings to receive decisions on the CPUC's published processing time line, if decisions are delayed, legislation enacted in 2003 gives us protection by establishing an effective date when the decision should have been made. This allows interim rates to be charged typically based upon inflation and surcharge or surcredit, if necessary, once the CPUC renders a decision.

In December 2005, the CPUC approved the California Water Action Plan (the Plan). The Plan identifies and lays out 28 best practices associated with water infrastructure management and rate making that California would like to adopt over time. Among other things, the Plan calls for streamlining the GRC process, development and adoption of a Water Rate Adjustment Mechanism (WRAM), and creating incentives for large water systems to acquire smaller systems. As part of the streamlining process, the CPUC issued its new RCP in May 2007. Cal Water's request for a WRAM and a Modified Cost Balancing Account (MCBA) was approved and implemented in 2008. See Rates and Regulations Section in Item 7 of this report.

Water rates for Washington Water and New Mexico Water regulated operations are set based on historic 12-month data. Applications are filed on an as needed basis and can be submitted annually. Water rates for the regulated operations of Hawaii Water are set based on a combination of historical base and forward-looking methodology and are allowed to be filed annually. In these states, regulatory procedures do not provide for step rate increases or offset increases (see Expense-Balancing and Memorandum Accounts below), except for Hawaii, which allows immediate rate adjustments to reflect changes in purchased power rates.

Expense-Balancing and Memorandum Accounts

Cal Water uses expense-balancing accounts (also referred to as Incremental Cost Balancing Accounts (ICBA)) and memorandum accounts to track suppliers' rate changes for purchased water, purchased power, and pump taxes, and other costs that are not included in customer water rates. The cost changes are referred to as offsetable expenses, because under certain circumstances, they are collectible from customers (or refunded to customers) in future rates designed to offset cost changes from suppliers. We do not record the ICBA and memorandum accounts until the CPUC has authorized a change in customer rates and the customer has been billed. The cumulative net amount in the expense balancing accounts and memorandum accounts as of December 31, 2008, was approximately \$1.5 million. This amount includes certain amounts that have been authorized for recovery through customer surcharges but which have not yet been collected and amounts that have not yet been filed for recovery. Effective July 1, 2008, the ICBA was replaced with the MCBA. With the MCBA, the suppliers' rate changes for purchased water, purchased power, and pump taxes are immediately reflected in the financial statements. (See Rates and Regulation section in Item 7 of this report).

Washington Water, New Mexico Water, and Hawaii Water did not have material amounts in expense balancing or memorandum accounts.

See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Rates and Regulation for more information on rates and regulation.

Seasonal Fluctuations

Our water business is seasonal in nature and weather conditions can have a material effect on customer usage. Customer demand for water generally is lower during the cooler and rainy, winter months. Demand increases in the spring when warmer weather returns and the rains end, and customers use more water for outdoor purposes, such as landscape irrigation. Warm temperatures during the generally dry summer months result in increased demand. Water

usage declines during the fall as temperatures decrease and the rainy season begins.

During years in which precipitation is especially heavy or extends beyond the spring into the early summer, customer demand can decrease from historic normal levels, generally due to reduced outdoor water usage. Likewise, an early start to the rainy season during the fall can cause a decline in customer usage. When summer temperatures are cooler than normal, water usage is generally lower. A warmer than normal summer can result in higher customer usage. In the past, the seasonality of water usage had a significant impact on operating revenues

Table of Contents

and net income. In addition, the promotion of water conservation could also affect our operating revenues and net income. During 2008, Cal Water, after receiving California Public Utilities Commission approval, changed its method of recognizing revenue and production costs with the adoption of the WRAM and MCBA. As a result, customer water usage does not have the same impact as in prior years. This is discussed further in Management's Discussion and Analysis.

Drought can have an impact on the business. When rainfall is below average for consecutive years, drought conditions can develop and certain customers may be required to reduce consumption to preserve available supply. As an example, from 1987 to 1993, California experienced a six-year period when rainfall was below historic average. During that period, some districts issued water-rationing requirements to their customers. In certain districts, penalties were assessed on customers who exceeded monthly allotments, which was approved by the CPUC after local governments enacted ordinances for drought. During 2008, the governor of California declared a drought emergency in the state and Cal Water's water wholesalers requested voluntary reduction in water usage. On January 29, 2009, the California Department of Water Resources indicated that the second snow survey of the winter season indicated that the snow content was 61 percent of normal to date, statewide. The financial impact of a drought, or of water rationing, has been minimized with the adoption of the WRAM and MCBA. Water rationing will present us with challenges including changing our billing system to accommodate any penalty program, responding to customer requirements, and certain operational issues. We are in the process of increasing our water conservation programs to promote water savings.

Water Supply

In California, we obtain our water supply from wells, surface runoff or diversion, and by purchase from public agencies and other wholesale suppliers. Our water supply has been adequate to meet customer demand; however, during periods of drought, some districts have experienced mandatory water rationing. California's rainy season usually begins in November and continues through March with the most rain typically falling in December, January and February. During winter months, reservoirs and underground aquifers are replenished by rainfall. Snow accumulated in the mountains provides an additional water source when spring and summer temperatures melt the snowpack, producing runoff into streams and reservoirs, and also replenishing underground aquifers.

Washington and Hawaii receive rain in all seasons with the majority falling during winter months. Washington Water and Hawaii Water draw all their water supply by pumping from wells. Historically, approximately half of Cal Water's water supply is purchased from wholesale suppliers with the balance pumped from wells. During 2008, approximately 49 percent of the Cal Water supply was obtained from wells, 47 percent was purchased from wholesale suppliers and 4 percent was obtained from surface supplies. Well water is generally less expensive and Cal Water strives to maximize the use of its well sources in districts where there is an option between well or purchased supply sources.

New Mexico Water's rainfall normally occurs in all seasons, but is heaviest in the summer monsoon season. New Mexico Water pumps all of its water supply from wells based on its water rights.

We have six California water treatment plants in the Bakersfield, Bear Gulch, Kernville, Oroville and Redwood Valley districts. Water for operation of the Bakersfield plants, with a combined capacity of 28 million gallons per day, is drawn from the Kern River under a long-term contract with the City of Bakersfield. The other four plants have a capacity of 14 million gallons per day.

During 2008, we produced an estimated 138 billion gallons of water for our customers, down 2% from the estimated 141 billion gallons produced in 2007. The 2008 average daily water production was approximately 377 million gallons. By comparison, in 2007, the average daily water production was approximately 387 million gallons.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the estimated quantity of water purchased and the percentage of purchased water to total water production in each California operating district that purchased water in 2008. Other than noted below, all other districts receive 100% of their water supply from wells.

District	(MG) Water Production Purchased	Percentage Purchased	Source of Purchased Supply
SAN FRANCISCO BAY AREA			
Mid-Peninsula	5,917	100%	San Francisco Water Public Utilities Commission
South San Francisco	2,961	98%	San Francisco Water Public Utilities Commission
Bear Gulch	4,882	97%	San Francisco Water Public Utilities Commission
Los Altos	3,692	73%	Santa Clara Valley Water District
Livermore	2,993	75%	Alameda County Flood Control and Water Conservation District
SACRAMENTO VALLEY			
Oroville	989	74%	Pacific Gas and Electric Co. and County of Butte
Redwood Valley	115	75%	County of Lake
SAN JOAQUIN VALLEY			
Antelope/Kern	61	16%	Antelope Valley-East Kern Water Agency and City of Bakersfield
Bakersfield	4,581	17%	Kern County Water Agency and City of Bakersfield
Stockton	7,424	71%	Stockton East Water District
LOS ANGELES AREA			
East Los Angeles	4,525	73%	Central Basin Municipal Water District
Dominguez	9,938	76%	West Basin Municipal Water District and City of Torrance
City of Commerce	50	7%	Central Basin Municipal Water District
Hawthorne	1,524	100%	West Basin Municipal Water District
Hermosa-Redondo	4,237	93%	West Basin Municipal Water District
Palos Verdes	7,438	100%	West Basin Municipal Water District
Westlake	3,319	100%	Calleguas Municipal Water District

MG = million gallons

The Bear Gulch district obtains a portion of its water supply from surface runoff from the local watershed. In the Oroville and Redwood Valley districts, the water purchased is from a surface supply. The surface sources are processed through our water treatment plants before being delivered to the distribution system. In the Bakersfield and Kern River Valley districts, we purchase surface supply then process the water through our treatment plants. In addition, the Bakersfield district purchases treated water as a component of its water supply.

Edgar Filing: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP - Form 10-K

The Chico, Marysville, Dixon, and Willows districts in the Sacramento Valley, the Salinas and King City districts in the Salinas Valley, and the Selma and Visalia districts in the San Joaquin Valley obtain their entire supply from wells.

In the Salinas district, which solely depends upon ground water, several wells were taken out of service in the last three years, primarily due to poor water quality. We have installed treatment systems on some of these wells to

Table of Contents

meet customer demand. Management believes that water supply issues in the Salinas district will be adequately resolved in the future by seeking additional sources or additional treatment.

Purchases for the Los Altos, Livermore, Oroville, Redwood Valley, Stockton, and Bakersfield districts are pursuant to long-term contracts expiring on various dates after 2011.

The water supplies purchased for the Dominguez, East Los Angeles, Hermosa-Redondo, Palos Verdes, and Westlake districts, and the Hawthorne and Commerce systems are provided by public agencies pursuant to a statutory obligation of continued non-preferential service to purveyors within the agencies' boundaries.

Purchases for the South San Francisco, Mid-Peninsula, and Bear Gulch districts are in accordance with long-term contracts with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) expiring on June 30, 2009, which are in the process of renegotiation.

Management anticipates that we will be able to renew each of the water supply contracts as they expire. The price of wholesale water purchases is subject to pricing changes imposed by the various wholesalers.

Shown below are wholesaler price rates and increases that became effective in 2008 and estimated wholesaler price rates and percent changes for 2009.

District	Effective Month	Unit Cost	2008	Effective Month	Unit Cost	2009
			Percent Change			Percent Change
Antelope	January	\$ 290/af	9.4%	January	\$ 315/af	8.6%
Bakersfield*	July	140/af	2.9%	July	154/af	10.0%
Bear Gulch	July	1.43/ccf	10.0%	July	1.66/ccf	16.1%
Commerce	July	635/af	20.5%	July	768/af	20.9%
Dominguez	January	606/af	5.9%	January	740/af	22.2%
East Los Angeles	July	635/af	20.5%	July	768/af	20.9%
Hawthorne	January	606/af	5.9%	January	740/af	22.2%
Hermosa-Redondo	January	606/af	5.9%	January	740/af	22.2%
Livermore	January	1.575/ccf	8.0%	January	1.84/ccf	16.8%
Los Altos	July	620/af	7.8%	July	670/af	8.1%
Oroville	January	75,000/yr	2.8%	January	75,000/yr	0.0%
Palos Verdes	January	606/af	5.9%	January	740/af	22.2%
Mid-Peninsula	July	1.43/ccf	10.0%	July	1.66/ccf	16.1%
Redwood Valley	May	50/af	4.9%	May	53/af	6.0%
So. San Francisco	July	1.43/ccf	10.0%	July	1.66/ccf	16.1%
Stockton	April	476,350/mo	(2.8%)	April	505,075/mo	6.0%
Westlake	January	657/af	10.1%	January	769/af	17.1%

af = acre foot; ccf = hundred cubic feet; yr = fixed annual cost; mo = fixed monthly cost

* untreated water

We work with all local suppliers and agencies responsible for water supply to insure adequate, long-term supply for each system.

See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Water Supply concerning more information on adequacy of supplies.

Utility Plant Construction

We have continually extended, enlarged, and replaced our facilities as required to meet increasing demands and to maintain the water systems. We obtain construction financing using funds from operations, short-term bank borrowings, long-term financing, advances for construction and contributions in aid of construction that are funded

Table of Contents

by developers. Advances for construction are cash deposits from developers for construction of water facilities or water facilities deeded from developers. These advances are generally refundable without interest over a period of 40 years by equal annual payments. Contributions in aid of construction consist of nonrefundable cash deposits or facilities transferred from developers, primarily for fire protection and relocation projects. We cannot control the amount received from developers. This amount fluctuates from year-to-year as the level of construction activity carried on by developers varies. This activity is impacted by the demand for housing, commercial development, and general business conditions, including interest rates.

See Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources for additional information.

Sale of Surplus Real Properties

When properties are no longer used and useful for public utility purposes, we are no longer allowed to earn a return on our investment in the property in the regulated business. The surplus property is transferred out of the regulated operations. From time to time, some properties have been sold or offered for sale. As these sales are subject to local real estate market conditions and can take several months or years to close, income from the sale of surplus properties may or may not be consistent from year-to-year.

California Energy Situation

The California energy crisis was well publicized. There is still uncertainty about the state's ability to avoid future rolling electric blackouts, although we did not experience any major electric blackouts during 2008 or 2007. We continue to use power efficiently to minimize the power expenses passed on to our customers, and maintain backup power systems to continue water service to our customers if the power companies' supplies are interrupted. Many of our well sites are equipped with emergency electric generators designed to produce electricity to keep the wells operating during power outages. Storage tanks also provide customers with water during blackout periods.

Security at Company Facilities

Due to terrorist and other risks, we have heightened security at our facilities and have taken added precautions to protect our employees and the water delivered to customers. In 2002, federal legislation was enacted that resulted in new regulations concerning security of water facilities, including submitting vulnerability assessment studies to the federal government. We have complied with regulations issued by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to our federal legislation concerning vulnerability assessments and have made filings to the EPA as required. In addition, communication plans have been developed as a component of our procedures. While we do not make public comments on our security programs, we have been in contact with federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies to coordinate and improve our water delivery systems' security.

Quality of Water Supplies

Our operating practices are designed to produce potable water in accordance with accepted water utility practices. Water entering the distribution systems from surface sources is treated in compliance with federal and state Safe Drinking Water Acts (SDWA) standards. Most well supplies are chlorinated or chloraminated for disinfection. Water samples from each water system are analyzed on a regular, scheduled basis in compliance with regulatory requirements. We operate a state-certified water quality laboratory at the San Jose General Office that provides testing for most of our California operations. Certain tests in California are contracted with independent certified labs qualified under the Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program. Local independent state certified labs provide water sample testing for the Washington, New Mexico and Hawaii operations.

In recent years, federal and state water quality regulations have continued to increase water testing requirements. The SDWA continues to be amended to reflect new public health concerns. We monitor water quality standard changes and upgrade our treatment capabilities to maintain compliance with the various regulations.

Table of Contents

Competition and Condemnation

Our principal operations are regulated by the Commission of each state. Under state laws, no privately owned public utility may compete within any service territory that we already serve without first obtaining a certificate of public convenience and necessity from the applicable Commission. Issuance of such a certificate would only be made upon finding that our service is deficient. To management's knowledge, no application to provide service to an area served by us has been made.

State law provides that whenever a public agency constructs facilities to extend a utility system into the service area of a privately owned public utility, such an act constitutes the taking of property and requires reimbursement to the utility for its loss. State statutes allow municipalities, water districts and other public agencies to own and operate water systems. These agencies are empowered to condemn properties already operated by privately owned public utilities. The agencies are also authorized to issue bonds, including revenue bonds, for the purpose of acquiring or constructing water systems. However, if a public agency were to acquire utility property by eminent domain action, the utility would be entitled to just compensation for its loss. In Washington, annexation was approved in February 2008 for property served by us on Orcas Island; however, we continue to serve the customers in the annexed area and do not expect the annexation to impact our operations. To management's knowledge, other than the Orcas Island property, no municipality, water district, or other public agency is contemplating or has any action pending to acquire or condemn any of our systems.

In recent years, consolidation within the water industry has accelerated. A number of publicly traded water companies have been acquired or merged into larger domestic companies. Several acquisitions of publicly traded companies have also been completed by much larger foreign companies. We intend to continue the pursuit of opportunities to expand our business in the western United States, which may include expansion through acquisitions or mergers with other companies.

Environmental Matters

Our operations are subject to environmental regulation by various governmental authorities. Environmental affairs programs have been designed to provide compliance with water discharge regulations, underground and aboveground fuel storage tank regulations, hazardous materials management plans, hazardous waste regulations, air quality permitting requirements, wastewater discharge limitations and employee safety issues related to hazardous materials. Also, we actively investigate alternative technologies for meeting environmental regulations and continue the traditional practices of meeting environment regulations.

For a description of the material effects that compliance with environmental regulations may have on us, see Item 1A.

Risk Factors – Risks Related to Our Regulatory Environment. We expect environmental regulation to increase, resulting in higher operating costs in the future, which may have a material adverse effect on earnings.

Employees

At year-end 2008, we had 929 employees, including 58 at Washington Water, 16 at New Mexico Water and 44 at Hawaii Water. In California, most non-supervisory employees are represented by the Utility Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO, except certain engineering and laboratory employees who are represented by the International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers, AFL-CIO.

Edgar Filing: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2008, there were 595 union employees. In November 2008, we negotiated 2009 wage increases with both of our unions of 3.0%. The current agreement with the unions is effective through 2009. Management believes that it maintains good relationships with the unions.

Employees at Washington Water, New Mexico Water, and Hawaii Water are not represented by unions.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers of the Registrant**

Name	Positions and Offices with California Water Service Group	Age
Peter C. Nelson(1)	President and Chief Executive Officer since February 1, 1996. Formerly Vice President, Division Operations (1994-1995) and Region Vice President (1989-1994), Pacific Gas & Electric Company, a gas and electric public utility	61
Martin A. Kropelnicki(2)	Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since March 13, 2006. Previously Chief Financial Officer of Power Light Corporation (2005-2006), Chief Financial Officer and Executive Vice President of Corporate Services of Hall Kinion and Associates (1997-2004), Deloitte & Touche Consulting (1996-1997), various positions with Pacific Gas & Electric (1989-1996)	42
Lynne P. McGhee(2)	Corporate Secretary since July 25, 2007; Associate Corporate Counsel since May 2003; previously served as a Commissioner legal advisor and staff counsel at the California Public Utilities Commission	44
Calvin L. Breed(3)	Controller, Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer since November 1, 1994; previously Treasurer of TCI International, Inc. (1984-1994); a certified public accountant with Arthur Andersen & Co. (1980-1983)	53

- (1) Holds the same position with California Water Service Company, CWS Utility Services, and Hawaii Water Service Co.; Chief Executive Officer of New Mexico Water Service Company and Washington Water Service Company;
- (2) Holds the same position with California Water Service Company New Mexico Water Service Company, Washington Water Service Company, Hawaii Water Service Company, Inc., and CWS Utility Services;
- (3) Holds the same position with California Water Service Company, Washington Water Service Company, and Hawaii Water Service Company; Assistant Secretary and Assistant Treasurer of New Mexico Water Service Company

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The risks and uncertainties described below are not the only ones facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties that management is not aware of or focused on or that management currently deems immaterial may also impair our business operations. If any of the following risks actually occur, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

Risks Related to Our Regulatory Environment

Our business is heavily regulated by state and federal regulatory agencies and our financial viability depends upon our ability to recover costs from our customers through rates that must be approved by state public utility commissions.

California Water Service Company, New Mexico Water Service Company, Washington Water Service Company and Hawaii Water Service Company, Inc., are regulated public utilities which provide water service to our customers. The rates that we charge our water customers are subject to the jurisdiction of the regulatory commissions in the states in which we operate. These commissions set water rates for each operating district independently because the systems are not interconnected. The commissions authorize us to charge rates which they consider to be sufficient to recover normal operating expenses, to provide funds for adding new or replacing water infrastructure, and to allow us to earn what the commissions consider to be a fair and reasonable return on invested capital.

Our revenues and consequently our ability to meet our financial objectives are dependent upon the rates we are authorized to charge our customers by the commissions and our ability to recover our costs in these rates. Our management uses forecasts, models and estimates in order to set rates that will provide a fair and reasonable return

Table of Contents

on our invested capital. While our rates must be approved by the commissions, no assurance can be given that our forecasts, models and estimates will be correct or that the commissions will agree with our forecasts, models and estimates. If our rates are set too low, our revenues may be insufficient to cover our operating expenses, capital expenditure requirements and desired dividend levels.

We periodically file rate increase applications with the commissions. The ensuing administrative and hearing process may be lengthy and costly. The decisions of the commissions are beyond our control and we can provide no assurances that our rate increase requests will be granted by the commissions. Even if approved, there is no guarantee that approval will be given in a timely manner or at a sufficient level to cover our expenses and provide a reasonable return on our investment. If the rate increase decisions are delayed, our earnings may be adversely affected.

Our evaluation of the probability of recovery of regulatory assets is subject to adjustment by regulatory agencies and any such adjustment could adversely affect our results of operations.

Regulatory decisions may also impact prospective revenues and earnings, affect the timing of the recognition of revenues and expenses and may overturn past decisions used in determining our revenues and expenses. Our management continually evaluates the anticipated recovery of regulatory assets, liabilities, and revenues subject to refund and provides for allowances and/or reserves as deemed necessary. Under Financial Accounting Standard SFAS No. 71 (Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation), we can defer certain costs if we believe it is probable that we will be allowed to recover those costs by future rate increases. If a commission determined that a portion of our assets were not recoverable in customer rates, we may suffer an asset impairment which would require a write down in such asset's valuation which would be recorded through operations.

If our assessment as to the probability of recovery through the ratemaking process is incorrect, the associated regulatory asset or liability would be adjusted to reflect the change in our assessment or any regulatory disallowances. A change in our evaluation of the probability of recovery of regulatory assets or a regulatory disallowance of all or a portion of our cost could have a material adverse effect on our financial results.

Regulatory agencies may disagree with our valuation and characterization of certain of our assets.

If we determine that assets are no longer used or useful for utility operations, we may remove them from our rate base and subsequently sell those assets. If the commission disagrees with our characterization, we could be subjected to penalties. Furthermore, there is a risk that the commission could determine that appreciation in property value should be awarded to the ratepayers rather than our stockholders.

Changes in laws, rules and policies of regulatory agencies can significantly affect our business.

Regulatory agencies may change their rules and policies for various reasons, including as a result of changes in the local political environment. In some states, regulators are elected by popular vote or are appointed by elected officials, and the results of elections may change the rules and policies of an agency. As a result of the political process, long-established rules and policies of an agency can change dramatically. For example, in 2001 regulation regarding recovery of increases in electrical rates changed in California. For over 20 years prior to 2001, the California Public Utilities Commission allowed recovery of electric rate increases under its operating rules. However, in 2003, the commission reinstated its policy to allow utilities to adjust their rates for rate changes by the power companies. The original decision by the commission to change its policy, as well as its subsequent decision to reinstate that policy, affected our business.

We rely on policies and regulations promulgated by the various state commissions in order to recover capital expenditures, maintain favorable treatment on gains from the sale of real property, offset certain production and

operating costs, recover the cost of debt, maintain an optimal equity structure without over-leveraging, and have financial and operational flexibility to engage in non-regulated operations. If any of the commissions with jurisdiction over us implement policies and regulations that do not allow us to accomplish some or all of the items listed above, our future operating results may be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

In addition, legislatures may repeal, relax or tighten existing laws, or enact new laws that impact the regulatory agencies with jurisdiction over our business or affect our business directly. If changes in existing laws or the implementation of new laws limit our ability to accomplish some or all of our business objectives, our future operating results may be adversely affected.

We expect environmental regulation to increase, resulting in higher operating costs in the future.

Our water and wastewater services are governed by various federal and state environmental protection and health and safety laws and regulations. These provisions establish criteria for drinking water and for discharges of water, wastewater and airborne substances. The Environmental Protection Agency promulgates numerous nationally applicable standards, including maximum contaminant levels (MCLs) for drinking water. We believe we are currently in compliance with all of the MCLs promulgated to date but we can give no assurance that we will continue to comply with all water quality requirements. If we violate any federal or state regulations or laws governing health and safety, we could be subject to substantial fines or otherwise sanctioned.

Environmental laws are complex and change frequently. They have tended to become more stringent over time. As new or stricter standards are introduced, they could increase our operating costs. Although we would likely seek permission to recover these costs through rate increases, we can give no assurance that the commissions would approve rate increases to enable us to recover these additional compliance costs.

We are required to test our water quality for certain chemicals and potential contaminants on a regular basis. If the test results indicate that we exceed allowable limits, we may be required either to commence treatment to remove the contaminant or to develop an alternate water source. Either of these results may be costly, and there can be no assurance that the commissions would approve rate increases to enable us to recover these additional compliance costs.

We are party to a toxic contamination lawsuit which could result in our paying damages not covered by insurance.

In 1995, the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) named us as a potential responsible party for cleanup of a toxic contamination plume in the Chico groundwater. In December 2002, we were named along with other defendants in two lawsuits filed by DTSC for the cleanup of the plumes. The toxic spill occurred when cleaning solvents, which were discharged into the city's sewer system by local dry cleaners, leaked into the underground water supply. The DTSC contends that our responsibility stems from our operation of wells in the surrounding vicinity that caused the contamination plumes to spread. While we are cooperating with the clean up, we deny any responsibility for the contamination or the resulting cleanup.

In 2007, the Company entered into Court approved consent decrees (Consent Decrees). The Consent Decrees conditioned the Company's performance upon many factors, including, but not limited to, water pumped and treated by the Company must meet regulatory standards so the Company may distribute to its customers. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Decrees, the Company will incur capital costs of \$1.5 million and future operating costs with a present value of approximately \$2.6 million. In its 2007 general rate case (GRC) settlement negotiations, Division of Ratepayer Advocates have tentatively agreed to track all costs associated with the Consent Decrees including legal costs to pursue insurance coverage for potential future recovery in rates.

In connection with these suits, our insurance carrier has filed a separate lawsuit against us for reimbursement of past defense costs which approximate \$1.5 million. We believe that the insurance carrier has a duty to defend and is not entitled to any defense cost reimbursement. Furthermore, we believe that insurance coverage exists for the Company's claims. However, if our claim is ultimately found to be excludable under insurance policies, we may have to pay damages. Although we consider it probable that we will be able to recover amounts paid for damages through rate

increases, we can give no assurance that we will be able to make such a recovery.

The number of environmental and product-related lawsuits against other water utilities have increased in frequency in recent years. If we are subject to additional environmental or product-related lawsuits, we might incur significant legal costs and it is uncertain whether we would be able to recover the legal costs from ratepayers or other third parties. In addition, if current California law regarding California Public Utilities Commission s

Table of Contents

preemptive jurisdiction over regulated public utilities for claims about compliance with California Department of Health Services and United States Environmental Protection Agency water quality standards changes, our legal exposure may be significantly increased.

Risks Related to Our Business Operations

Wastewater operations entail significant risks.

While wastewater collection and treatment is not presently a major component of our revenues, wastewater collection and treatment involve many risks associated with damage to the surrounding environment. If collection or treatment systems fail or do not operate properly, untreated or partially treated wastewater could discharge onto property or into nearby streams and rivers, causing property damage or injury to aquatic life, or even human life. Liabilities resulting from such damage could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Demand for our water is subject to various factors and is affected by seasonal fluctuations.

Demand for our water during the warmer, dry months is generally greater than during cooler or rainy months due primarily to additional requirements for water in connection with irrigation systems, swimming pools, cooling systems and other outside water use. Throughout the year, and particularly during typically warmer months, demand will vary with temperature and rainfall levels. If temperatures during the typically warmer months are cooler than normal, or if there is more rainfall than normal, the demand for our water may decrease.

In addition, governmental restrictions on water usage during drought conditions may result in a decreased demand for our water, even if our water reserves are sufficient to serve our customers during these drought conditions. However, during the drought of the late 1980's and early 1990's the California Public Utilities Commission beginning in 1992 allowed us to surcharge our customers to collect lost revenues caused by customers' conservation during the drought. Regardless of whether we may surcharge our customers during a conservation period, they may use less water even after a drought has passed because of conservation patterns developed during the drought. Furthermore, our customers may wish to use recycled water as a substitute for potable water. If rights are granted to others to serve our customers recycled water, there will likely be a decrease in demand for our water.

The adequacy of our water supplies depends upon a variety of factors beyond our control. Interruption in the water supply may adversely affect our earnings.

We depend on an adequate water supply to meet the present and future needs of our customers. Whether we have an adequate supply varies depending upon a variety of factors, many of which are partially or completely beyond our control, including:

the amount of rainfall;

the amount of water stored in reservoirs;

underground water supply from which well water is pumped;

changes in the amount of water used by our customers;

water quality;

legal limitations on water use such as rationing restrictions during a drought; and

population growth.

We purchase our water supply from various governmental agencies and others. Water supply availability may be affected by weather conditions, funding and other political and environmental considerations. In addition, our ability to use surface water is subject to regulations regarding water quality and volume limitations. If new regulations are imposed or existing regulations are changed or given new interpretations, the availability of surface water may be materially reduced. A reduction in surface water could result in the need to procure more costly water from other sources, thereby increasing our water production costs and adversely affecting our operating results.

Table of Contents

We have entered into long-term agreements, which commit us to payments whether or not we purchase any water. Therefore, if demand is insufficient to use our required purchases we would have to pay for water we did not receive.

From time to time, we enter into water supply contracts with third parties and our business is dependent upon such agreements in order to meet regional demand. For example, we have entered into a water supply contract with the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission which we rely upon. We can give no assurance that the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission, or any of the other parties from whom we purchase water, will renew our contracts upon expiration, or that we will not be subject to significant price increases under any such renewed contracts.

The parties from whom we purchase water maintain significant infrastructure and systems to deliver water to us. Maintenance of these facilities is beyond our control. If these facilities are not adequately maintained or if these parties otherwise default on their obligations to supply water to us, we may not have adequate water supplies to meet our customers' needs.

If we are unable to access adequate water supplies we may be unable to satisfy all customer demand which could result in rationing. Rationing may have an adverse effect on cash flow from operations. We can make no guarantee that we will always have access to an adequate supply of water that will meet all required quality standards. Water shortages may affect us in a variety of ways. For example, shortages could:

adversely affect our supply mix by causing us to rely on more expensive purchased water;

adversely affect operating costs;

increase the risk of contamination to our systems due to our inability to maintain sufficient pressure; and

increase capital expenditures for building pipelines to connect to alternative sources of supply, new wells to replace those that are no longer in service or are otherwise inadequate to meet the needs of our customers and reservoirs and other facilities to conserve or reclaim water.

We may or may not be able to recover increased operating and construction costs on a timely basis, or at all, for our regulated systems through the ratemaking process. Although we can give no assurance, we may also be able to recover certain of these costs from third parties that may be responsible, or potentially responsible, for groundwater contamination.

Changes in water supply costs impacts our operations

The cost to obtain water for delivery to our customers varies depending on the sources of supply, wholesale suppliers prices and the quantity of water produced to supply customer water usage. Our source of supply varies by operating district. Certain districts obtain all of their supply from wells, some districts purchase all of the supply from wholesale suppliers and other districts obtain the supply from a combination of well and purchased sources. A portion of the supply is from surface sources and processed through company-owned water treatment plants. On average, slightly more than half of the water we deliver to our customers is pumped from wells or received from a surface supply with the remainder purchased from wholesale suppliers. Water purchased from suppliers usually costs us more than surface supplied or well pumped water. During 2008, the cost of purchased water for delivery to customers represented 31.7% of our total operating costs and in 2007 it represented 33.1% of our total operating costs.

Wholesale water suppliers may increase their prices for water delivered to us based on factors that affect their operating costs. Purchased water rate increases are beyond our control. In California, effective July 1, 2008, our ability to recover increases in the cost of purchased water changed with the adoption of the MCBA. With this change, any

price increase will be recorded as an expense but also as revenue. The balance in the MCBA will be collected in the future. There may be a short-term impact to our cash flow from operations, but the impact to earnings has been minimized.

Depending on the degree of heat and lack of rain and other factors that affect the adequacy of our water supply, we may have to purchase higher-cost water to meet customer demand.

Table of Contents

We depend upon an adequate supply of electricity and certain chemicals for the delivery of our water. An interruption in the supply of these inputs or increases in their prices could adversely affect our results of operations.

We rely on purchased electrical power in order to operate the wells and pumps which are needed to supply water to our customers. We have back-up power generators to operate a number of our wells and pumps in emergencies, but an extended interruption in power supply could impact our ability to continue to supply water. In the past, California has been subjected to rolling power blackouts due to insufficient power supplies. We can give no assurance that we will not be subject to power blackouts in the future. In addition, we require sufficient supplies of certain chemicals in order to treat the water which we supply. There are multiple suppliers of these chemicals, but if we were to suffer an interruption of supply we might not be able to adequately treat our water.

Purchased power expense represents electricity purchased to operate the wells and pumps. Purchased power is a significant operating expense. During 2008 and 2007, purchased power expense represented 7.4% of our total operating costs. These costs, which are beyond our control, can and do increase unpredictably. These costs can also increase in substantial amounts, as occurred in California during 2001 when rates we paid for electricity increased 48%. Cash flows between rate filings may be adversely affected until the commission authorizes a rate change. We track the expense differences caused by the rate change as part of our MCBA. Cost of chemicals used in the delivery of water is not an element of the MCBA and therefore any variances in quantity or cost could impact our results of operations.

Our ability to generate new operating contracts is affected by local politics.

Our revenue growth depends upon our ability to generate new as well as to renew operating contracts with cities, other agencies and municipal utility districts. Because we are selling our services in a political environment, we are subject to changing trends and municipal preferences. Recent terrorist acts have affected some political viewpoints relative to outsourcing of water or wastewater utility services. Municipalities own and municipal employees operate the majority of water and wastewater systems. A significant portion of our marketing and sales efforts is spent demonstrating the benefits of contract operations to elected officials and municipal authorities. The existing political environment means that decisions are based on many factors, not just economic factors.

Our business requires significant capital expenditures that are dependent on our ability to secure appropriate funding. If we are unable to obtain sufficient capital or if the rates at which we borrow increase, there would be a negative impact on our results of operations.

The water utility business is capital-intensive. We invest significant funds to add or replace property, plant and equipment. In addition, water shortages may adversely affect us by causing us to rely on more purchased water. This could cause increases in capital expenditures needed to build pipelines to secure alternative water sources. In addition, we require capital to grow our business through acquisitions. We fund our short-term capital requirements from cash received from operations and funds received from developers. We also borrow funds from banks under short-term bank lending arrangements. We seek to meet our long-term capital needs by raising equity through common or preferred stock issues or issuing debt obligations. We cannot give any assurance that these sources will continue to be adequate or that the cost of funds will remain at levels permitting us to earn a reasonable rate of return. In the event we are unable to obtain sufficient capital, our expansion efforts could be curtailed, which may affect our growth and may affect our future results of operations.

Our ability to access the capital markets is affected by the ratings of certain of our debt securities. Standard & Poor's Rating Agency issues a rating on California Water Service Company's ability to repay certain debt obligations. The credit rating agency could downgrade our credit rating based on reviews of our financial performance and projections

or upon the occurrence of other events that could impact our business outlook. Standard & Poor's rating is A+ with a stable outlook. Lower ratings by the agency could restrict our ability to access equity and debt capital. We can give no assurance that the rating agency will maintain ratings which allow us to borrow under advantageous conditions and at reasonable interest rates. A future downgrade by the agency could also increase our cost of capital by causing potential investors to require a higher interest rate due to a perceived risk related to our ability to repay outstanding debt obligations.

Table of Contents

While the majority of our debt is long term at fixed rates, we do have interest rate exposure in our short-term borrowings which have variable interest rates. We are also subject to interest rate risks on new financings. However, if interest rates were to increase on a long-term basis, our management believes that customer rates would increase accordingly, subject to approval by the appropriate commission. We can give no assurance that the commission would approve such an increase in customer rates.

We are obligated to comply with specified debt covenants under certain of our loan and debt agreements. Failure to maintain compliance with these covenants could limit future borrowing, and we could face increased borrowing costs, litigation, acceleration of maturity schedules, and cross default issues. Such actions by our creditors could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

If the national and world-wide financial crisis intensifies, potential disruptions in the financial and real estate markets may adversely affect our business, including by adversely affecting the availability and cost of short-term funds for our liquidity requirements, our ability to meet long-term commitments and our customers' ability to pay for water, which in turn could adversely affect our results of operations, cash flows and financial condition.

We rely on our current credit facilities to fund short-term liquidity needs if internal funds are not available from operations. Specifically, given the seasonal fluctuations in demand for our water we commonly draw on our credit facilities to meet our cash requirements at times in the year when demand is relatively low. We also may occasionally use letters of credit issued under our revolving credit facilities. Disruptions in the capital and credit markets or further deterioration in the strength of financial institutions could adversely affect our ability to draw on our credit facilities. Our access to funds under our credit facilities is dependent on the ability of our bank to meet its funding commitments. At present, our credit facilities are funded by a single bank. Our bank may not be able to meet its funding commitments to us if it experiences shortages of capital and liquidity or if it experiences excessive volumes of borrowing requests from other borrowers within a short period of time.

Longer-term disruptions in the financial markets as a result of uncertainty, changing or increased regulation, reduced capital-raising alternatives, or failures of significant financial institutions or other factors could adversely affect our access to liquidity. Any disruption could require us to take measures to conserve cash until the markets stabilize or until alternative credit arrangements or other funding for business needs can be arranged. Such measures could include deferring capital expenditures, dividend payments or other discretionary uses of cash.

Many of our customers and suppliers also have exposure to risks that their ability to meet their payment and supply commitments are adversely affected by the worldwide financial crisis and recession in the United States and resulting potential disruptions in the financial and real estate markets. We operate in geographic areas that may be particularly susceptible to declines in the price of real property and other consequences of the financial crisis, which could result in significant declines in demand for our products and services in certain of our districts. In the event that any of our significant customers or suppliers, or a significant number of smaller customers and suppliers, are adversely affected by these risks, we may face disruptions in supply, significant reductions in demand for our products and services, inability of customers to pay invoices when due, and other adverse effects that could negatively affect our financial condition, results of operations and/or cash flows.

Our operations and certain contracts for water distribution and treatment depend on the financial capability of state and local governments, and other municipal entities such as water districts. Major disruptions in the financial strength or operations of such entities, such as liquidity limitations, bankruptcy or insolvency, could have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct our business and/or enforce our rights under contracts to which such entities are a party.

We are a holding company that depends on cash flow from our subsidiaries to meet our obligations and to pay dividends on our common stock.

As a holding company, we conduct substantially all of our operations through our subsidiaries and our only significant assets are investments in those subsidiaries. 95% of our revenues are derived from the operations of California Water Service Company. As a result, we are dependent on cash flow from our subsidiaries, and California Water Service Company in particular, to meet our obligations and to pay dividends on our common stock.

Table of Contents

We can make dividend payments only from our surplus (the excess, if any, of our net assets over total paid-in capital) or if there is no surplus, the net profits for the current fiscal year or the fiscal year before which the dividend is declared. In addition, we can pay cash dividends only if after paying those dividends we would be able to pay our liabilities as they become due. Owners of our capital stock cannot force us to pay dividends and dividends will only be paid if and when declared by our board of directors. Our board of directors can elect at any time, and for an indefinite duration, not to declare dividends on our capital stock.

Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and generally have no obligation to pay any amounts due on California Water Service Group's debt or to provide California Water Service Group with funds for dividends. Although there are no contractual or regulatory restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to transfer funds to us, the reasonableness of our capital structure is one of the factors considered by state and local regulatory agencies in their ratemaking determinations. Therefore, transfer of funds from our subsidiaries to us for the payment of our obligations or dividends may have an adverse effect on ratemaking determinations. Furthermore, our right to receive cash or other assets upon the liquidation or reorganization of a subsidiary is generally subject to the prior claims of creditors of that subsidiary. If we are unable to obtain funds from our subsidiaries in a timely manner we may be unable to meet our obligations or pay dividends.

An important element of our growth strategy is the acquisition of water and wastewater systems, including pursuant to operating agreements. Risks associated with potential acquisitions, divestitures or restructurings may adversely affect us.

We may seek to acquire or invest in other companies, technologies, services or products that complement our business. The execution of our growth strategy may expose us to different risks than those associated with our utility operations. We can give no assurance that we will succeed in finding attractive acquisition candidates or investments, or that we would be able to reach mutually agreeable terms with such parties. In addition, as consolidation becomes more prevalent in the water and wastewater industries, the prices for suitable acquisition candidates may increase to unacceptable levels and limit our ability to grow through acquisitions. If we are unable to find acquisition candidates or investments, our ability to grow may be limited.

Acquisition and investment transactions may result in the issuance of our equity securities that could be dilutive if the acquisition or business opportunity does not develop in accordance with our business plan. They may also result in significant write-offs and an increase in our debt. The occurrence of any of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Any of these transactions could involve numerous additional risks. For example, we may incur one or more of the following:

problems integrating the acquired operations, personnel, technologies or products with our existing businesses and products;

diversion of management time and attention from our core business to the acquired business;

failure to retain key technical, management, sales and other personnel of the acquired business;

difficulty in retaining relationships with suppliers and customers of the acquired business; and

difficulty in getting required regulatory approvals.

In addition, the businesses and other assets we acquire may not achieve the sales and profitability expected. The occurrence of one or more of these events may have a material adverse effect on our business. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in overcoming these or any other significant risks encountered.

Table of Contents

We may not be able to increase or sustain our recent growth rate, and we may not be able to manage our future growth effectively.

We may be unable to continue to expand our business or manage future growth. To successfully manage our growth and handle the responsibilities of being a public company, we believe we must effectively:

hire, train, integrate and manage additional qualified engineers for research and development activities, sales and marketing personnel, and financial and information technology personnel;

retain key management and augment our management team;

implement and improve additional and existing administrative, financial and operations systems, procedures and controls;

expand and upgrade our technological capabilities; and

manage multiple relationships with our customers, regulators, suppliers and other third parties.

If we are unable to manage our growth effectively, we may not be able to take advantage of market opportunities, satisfy customer requirements, execute our business plan or respond to competitive pressures.

We have a number of large-volume commercial and industrial customers and a significant decrease in consumption by one or more of these customers could have an adverse effect on our operating results and cash flows.

Our revenues will decrease, and such decrease may be material, if a significant business or industrial customer terminates or materially reduces its use of our water. Approximately \$94.6 million, or 23% of our 2008 water utility revenues was derived from business and industrial customers. If any of our large business or industrial customers reduces or ceases its consumption of our water, we may seek commission approval to increase the rates of our remaining customers to offset decreased revenues. There can be no assurance, however, that the commission would approve such a rate relief request, and even if it did approve such a request, it would not apply retroactively to the date of the reduction in consumption. The delay between such date and the effective date of the rate relief may be significant and could adversely affect our operating results and cash flows.

Our operating cost and costs of providing services may rise faster than our revenues.

Our ability to increase rates over time is dependent upon approval of such rate increases by state commissions, which may be inclined, for political or other reasons, to limit rate increases. However, our costs are subject to market conditions and other factors, which may increase significantly. The second largest component of our operating costs after water production is made up of salaries and wages. These costs are affected by the local supply and demand for qualified labor. Other large components of our costs are general insurance, workers compensation insurance, employee benefits and health insurance costs. These costs may increase disproportionately to rate increases authorized by state commissions and may have a material adverse effect on our future results of operations.

Our non-regulated business operates in a competitive market.

While a majority of our business is regulated, our non-regulated business participates in a competitive market. We compete with several larger companies whose size, financial resources, customer base and technical expertise may restrict our ability to compete successfully for certain operations and maintenance contracts. Due to the nature of our

contract operations business, and to the very competitive nature of the market, we must accurately estimate the cost and profitability of each project while, at the same time, maintaining prices at a level low enough to compete with other companies. Our inability to achieve this balance could adversely impact our results of operations.

Demand for our stock may fluctuate due to circumstances beyond our control.

We believe that stockholders invest in public utility stocks, in part, because they seek reliable dividend payments. If there is an over-supply of stock of public utilities in the market relative to demand by such investors,

Table of Contents

the trading price of our securities could decrease. Additionally, if interest rates rise above the dividend yield offered by our equity securities, demand for our stock, and consequently its market price, may also decrease.

The price of our common stock may be volatile and may be affected by market conditions beyond our control.

The trading price of our common stock may fluctuate in the future because of the volatility of the stock market and a variety of other factors, many of which are beyond our control. Factors that could cause fluctuations in the trading price of our common stock include: regulatory developments; general economic conditions and trends; price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market from time to time; actual or anticipated changes or fluctuations in our results of operations; actual or anticipated changes in the expectations of investors or securities analysts; actual or anticipated developments in our competitors' businesses or the competitive landscape generally; litigation involving us or our industry; major catastrophic events or sales of large blocks of our stock.

Equity markets in general have recently experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations and the market prices of many companies have decreased substantially. Such price and volume fluctuations may continue to adversely affect the market price of our common stock for reasons unrelated to our business or operating results.

Adverse investment returns and other factors may increase our pension liability and pension funding requirements.

A substantial number of our employees are covered by a defined benefit pension plan. At present, the pension plan is underfunded because our projected pension benefit obligation exceeds the aggregate fair value of plan assets. Under applicable law, we are required to make cash contributions to the extent necessary to comply with minimum funding levels imposed by regulatory requirements. The amount of such required cash contribution is based on an actuarial valuation of the plan. The funded status of the plan can be affected by investment returns on plan assets, discount rates, mortality rates of plan participants, pension reform legislation and a number of other factors. There can be no assurance that the value of our pension plan assets will be sufficient to cover future liabilities. Although we have made contributions to our pension plan in recent years, it is possible that we could incur a pension liability adjustment, or could be required to make additional cash contributions to our pension plan, which would reduce the cash available for business and other needs.

Work stoppages and other labor relations matters could adversely affect our operating results.

At December 31, 2008, 595 of our 929 total employees were union employees. Most of our unionized employees are represented by the Utility Workers Union of America, AFL-CIO, except certain engineering and laboratory employees who are represented by the International Federation of Professional and Technical Engineers, AFL-CIO. In November 2008 we negotiated 2009 wage increases with both unions of 3.0%.

We believe our labor relations are good, but in light of rising costs for healthcare and pensions, contract negotiations in the future may be difficult. Furthermore, changes in applicable law or regulations could have an adverse effect on management's negotiating position with respect to our currently unionized employees and/or employees that decide to unionize in the future. We are subject to a risk of work stoppages and other labor relations matters as we negotiate with the unions to address these issues, which could affect our results of operations and financial condition. We can give no assurance that issues with our labor forces will be resolved favorably to us in the future or that we will not experience work stoppages.

We depend significantly on the services of the members of our management team, and the departure of any of those persons could cause our operating results to suffer.

Our success depends significantly on the continued individual and collective contributions of our management team. The loss of the services of any member of our management team could have a material adverse effect on our business as our management team has knowledge of our industry and customers and would be difficult to replace.

Table of Contents

Our operations are geographically concentrated in California and this lack of diversification may negatively impact our operations.

Although we own facilities in a number of states, over 95% of our operations are located in California. As a result, we are largely subject to weather, political, water supply, labor, utility cost, regulatory and economic risks affecting California.

We are also affected by the real property market in California. In order to grow our business, we may need to acquire additional real estate or rights to use real property owned by third parties, the cost of which tends to be higher and more volatile in California relative to other states. The value of our assets in California may decline if there is a decline in the California real estate market which results in a significant decrease in real property values.

In 2007 and 2008 we experienced an increases in uncollectible accounts, which, we believe, were attributable in part to the significant decline in real estate values, experienced by our customers in a number of our districts in California.

The effects of natural disasters, terrorist activity, pandemics, or poor water quality or contamination to our water supply may result in disruption in our services and litigation which could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

We operate in areas that are prone to earthquakes, fires, mudslides and other natural disasters. A significant seismic event in California, where our operations are concentrated, or other natural disaster in California could adversely impact our ability to deliver water and adversely affect our costs of operations. A major disaster could damage or destroy substantial capital assets. The California Public Utilities Commission has historically allowed utilities to establish a catastrophic event memorandum account as another possible mechanism to recover costs. However, we can give no assurance that the CPUC or any other commission would allow any such cost recovery mechanism in the future.

Our water supplies are subject to contamination, including contamination from the development of naturally-occurring compounds, chemicals in groundwater systems, pollution resulting from man-made sources, such as MTBE, sea water incursion and possible terrorist attacks. If our water supply is contaminated, we may have to interrupt the use of that water supply until we are able to substitute the flow of water from an uncontaminated water source. In addition, we may incur significant costs in order to treat the contaminated source through expansion of our current treatment facilities, or development of new treatment methods. If we are unable to substitute water supply from an uncontaminated water source, or to adequately treat the contaminated water source in a cost-effective manner, there may be an adverse effect on our revenues, operating results and financial condition. The costs we incur to decontaminate a water source or an underground water system could be significant and could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition and may not be recoverable in rates. We could also be held liable for consequences arising out of human exposure to hazardous substances in our water supplies or other environmental damage. For example, private plaintiffs have the right to bring personal injury or other toxic tort claims arising from the presence of hazardous substances in our drinking water supplies. Our insurance policies may not be sufficient to cover the costs of these claims.

We operate a dam. If the dam were to fail for any reason, we would lose a water supply and flooding likely would occur. Whether or not we were responsible for the dam's failure, we could be sued. We can give no assurance that we would be able to successfully defend such a suit.

In light of the threats to the nation's health and security ensuing in the wake of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks, we have taken steps to increase security measures at our facilities and heighten employee awareness of threats to our water supply. We have also tightened our security measures regarding the delivery and handling of certain

chemicals used in our business. We have and will continue to bear increased costs for security precautions to protect our facilities, operations and supplies. These costs may be significant. Despite these tightened security measures, we may not be in a position to control the outcome of terrorist events should they occur.

We depend upon our skilled and trained workforce to ensure water delivery. Were a pandemic to occur, we can give no assurance that we would be able to maintain sufficient manpower to ensure uninterrupted service in all of the districts that we serve.

Table of Contents

We retain certain risks not covered by our insurance policies.

We evaluate our risks and insurance coverage annually. Our evaluation considers the costs, risks and benefits of retaining versus insuring various risks as well as the availability of certain types of insurance coverage. In addition, portions of our business are difficult or impracticable to insure. Furthermore, we are also affected by increases in prices for insurance coverage; in particular, we have been, and will continue to be, affected by rising health insurance costs. Retained risks are associated with deductible limits, partial self-insurance programs and insurance policy coverage ceilings. If we suffer an uninsured loss, we may be unable to pass all, or any portion, of the loss on to customers because our rates are regulated by regulatory commissions. Consequently, uninsured losses may negatively affect our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations. There can be no assurance that we will not face uninsured losses pertaining to the risks we have retained.

We rely on our information technology and a number of complex business systems that could malfunction and result in negative impacts on our profitability and cash flow.

Our business is dependent on several complex business systems, certain of which are owned by third parties. The business systems must function reliably in order for us to operate effectively. Among other things, system malfunctions and security breaches could prevent us from operating or monitoring our facilities, billing accurately and timely analysis of financial results. Our profitability and cash flow could be affected negatively in the event these systems do not operate effectively or are circumvented.

The accuracy of our judgments and estimates about financial and accounting matters will impact our operating results and financial condition.

We make certain estimates and judgments in preparing our financial statements regarding, among others:

the useful life of intangible rights;

the number of years to depreciate certain assets;

amounts to set aside for uncollectible accounts receivable, inventory obsolesces and uninsured losses;

our legal exposure and the appropriate accrual for claims, including medical claims and workers' compensation claims;

future costs for pensions and other post-retirement benefits; and

possible tax allowances.

The quality and accuracy of those estimates and judgments will have an impact on our operating results and financial condition.

In addition, we must estimate unbilled revenues and costs as of the end of each accounting period. If our estimates are not accurate, we will be required to make an adjustment in a future period. Accounting rules permit us to use expense balancing accounts and memorandum accounts that include input cost changes to us that are different from amounts incorporated into the rates approved by the commissions. These accounts result in expenses and revenues being recognized in periods other than in which they occurred.

Our controls and procedures may fail or be circumvented.

Management regularly reviews and updates our internal control over financial reporting, disclosure controls and procedures, and corporate governance policies and procedures. Any system of controls and procedures, however well designed and operated, is based in part on certain assumptions and can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurances that the objectives of the system are met. Any failure or circumvention of our controls and procedures or failure to comply with regulations related to controls and procedures could result in lack of compliance with contractual agreements, misstatements in our financial statements in amounts that could be material or could cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, either of which could have a negative effect on the trading price of our stock and may negatively affect our ability to raise future capital.

Table of Contents

Further, if we or our independent registered public accounting firm discover a material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting, the disclosure of that fact, even if quickly remedied, could reduce the market's confidence in our financial statements and harm our stock price. In addition, non-compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could subject us to a variety of administrative sanctions, including the suspension or delisting of our common stock from the New York Stock Exchange and the inability of registered broker-dealers to make a market in our common stock, which would further reduce our stock price.

We may be required to adopt International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), or other accounting or financial reporting standards, the ultimate adoption of which could negatively impact our business, financial condition or results of operations.

We could be required to adopt IFRS or other accounting or financial reporting standards different from GAAP, which is currently applicable to our accounting and financial reporting. In 2008 the SEC released a timetable for the adoption of IFRS according to which we could be required to adopt IFRS by 2016. Under GAAP we are subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation, which, among other things, allows us to defer certain costs if we believe it is probable that we will be allowed to recover those costs by future rate increases. Currently, IFRS does not contain provisions equivalent to SFAS No. 71. The implementation and adoption of new accounting or financial reporting standards could affect our reported performance, which in turn could favorably or unfavorably impact our business, financial condition or results of operations. Furthermore, the transition to and application of new accounting or financial reporting standards could result in increased administrative costs.

Municipalities, water districts and other public agencies may condemn our property by eminent domain action.

State statutes allow municipalities, water districts and other public agencies to own and operate water systems. These agencies are empowered to condemn properties already operated by privately owned public utilities. However, whenever a public agency constructs facilities to extend a utility system into the service area of a privately owned public utility, such an act constitutes the taking of property and requires reimbursement to the utility for its loss. If a public agency were to acquire our utility property by eminent domain action, we would be entitled to just compensation for our loss, but we would no longer have access to the condemned property nor would we be entitled to any portion of revenue generated from the use of such asset going forward.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments.*

None

Item 2. *Properties.*

Our physical properties consist of offices and water facilities to accomplish the production, storage, treatment, and distribution of water. These properties are located in or near the geographic service areas listed above in Item 1 Business Geographical Service Areas and Number of Customers at Year-end. Our headquarters, which houses accounting, engineering, information systems, human resources, purchasing, regulatory, water quality, and executive staff, is located in San Jose, California.

The real properties owned are held in fee simple title. Properties owned by Cal Water are subject to the indenture securing first mortgage bonds of which \$23.7 million remained outstanding at December 31, 2008. Washington Water has long-term bank loans that are secured primarily by utility plant owned by Washington Water. New Mexico Water has a long-term loan which is secured by utility plant owned by New Mexico Water.

Cal Water owns 655 wells and operates 9 leased wells. There are 415 owned storage tanks with a capacity of 487 million gallons, 43 managed storage tanks with a capacity of 35 million gallons, and 3 reservoirs with a capacity of 220 million gallons. Cal Water owns and operates 6 surface water treatment plants with a combined capacity of 42 million gallons per day. There are 5,547 miles of supply and distribution mains in the various systems.

Table of Contents

Washington Water owns 332 wells and manages 85 wells. There are 135 owned storage tanks and 39 managed storage tanks with a storage capacity of 9 million gallons. There are 326 miles of supply and distribution lines.

New Mexico Water owns 17 wells. There are 12 storage tanks with a storage capacity of 4 million gallons. There are 134 miles of supply and distribution lines. New Mexico operates two waste water treatment facilities with a combined capacity to process 358,000 gallons per day. There are 29 miles of sewer collection mains.

Hawaii Water owns 17 wells. There are 6 storage tanks with a storage capacity of 5 million gallons. There are 35 miles of supply and distribution lines. Hawaii Water operates five wastewater treatment facilities with a combined capacity to process approximately 1.2 million gallons per day. There are 29 miles of sewer collection mains.

In the leased City of Hawthorne and City of Commerce systems or in systems that are operated under contract for municipalities or private companies, title to the various properties is held exclusively by the municipality or private company.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings.*

Chico Groundwater/Wausau Insurance Matter

In 1995, the State of California's Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) named us as a potential responsible party for cleanup of toxic contamination plumes, which contain perchloroethylene, also known as tetrachloroethylene (PCE) in the Chico groundwater. In December 2002, we were named along with other defendants in two lawsuits filed by DTSC for the cleanup of the plumes. In 2007, we entered into Court approved consent decrees (Consent Decrees). The Consent Decrees conditioned our performance upon many factors, including, but not limited to, water pumped and treated by us must meet regulatory standards so we may distribute to its customers. Pursuant to the terms of the Consent Decrees, we will incur capital costs of \$1.5 million and future operating costs with a present value of approximately \$2.6 million. In our 2007 general rate case (GRC) settlement negotiations, Division of Ratepayer Advocates have tentatively agreed to track all costs associated with the Consent Decrees, including legal costs to pursue insurance coverage, for potential future recovery in rates.

In connection with these suits, our insurance carrier, Employers Insurance of Wausau (Wausau) filed a separate lawsuit against us for reimbursement of past defense costs which approximate \$1.5 million and a declaratory determination of coverage. On January 23, 2008, the Court heard various parties' motions and on September 25, 2008 issued its rulings that Wausau had a duty to defend; therefore, the Company will not have to reimburse Wausau for previously incurred defense costs. The Court did not find Wausau's actions were intended to harm the Company, so punitive damages will not be recoverable by the Company. However, the Court also found that the issue of policy coverage will be determined at trial. A trial date has been set for May 26, 2009. Based on the Court's rulings, the Company has not recorded any liability associated with reimbursement of costs to defend and expensing the related costs as incurred. We continue to believe that the claims are covered under the insurance policies. However, if our claim is ultimately found to be excludable under the insurance policies, the Company believes that recovery of costs associated with the Consent Decrees are probable from either its equitable indemnity lawsuit against manufacturers and distributors of perchloroethylene, also known as tetrachloroethylene, (PCE) in California; or through rate increases in the future. Therefore, no accrual or contingency has been recorded for this matter.

Other Groundwater Contamination

The Company has been and is involved in litigation against third parties to recover past and future costs related to ground water contamination in our service areas. The cost of litigation is expensed as incurred and any settlement is first offset against such costs. Any settlement in excess of the cost to litigate is accounted for on a case by case basis

based upon the nature of the settlement. It is anticipated that the majority of the settlement will be reflected as a benefit to the rate payers by offsetting future operating or capital costs.

The Company is involved in a lawsuit against major oil refineries regarding the contamination of the ground water as a result of the gas additive MTBE. The Company entered into a partial settlement with the defendants in April of 2008 that represent approximately 70% of the responsible parties (as determined by the Superior Court). Based on the allocation matrix, on October 22, 2008, the Company received \$34.2 million after deducting attorneys

Table of Contents

fees and litigation expenses. The Company is aggressively pursuing legal action against the remaining responsible parties. The Company is in the process of determining with the Commission the appropriate regulatory treatment of the proceeds. It is anticipated that the proceeds will be used by the Company on infrastructure improvements. The Company is in the process of filing with the Internal Revenue Service a request for a private letter ruling regarding the taxability of the proceeds.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company believes the proceeds are non-taxable based upon its intent to reinvest them in qualifying assets. In 2009, when an agreement is reached with the Commission regarding the regulatory treatment, or when the taxability is determined based upon proceedings with the Internal Revenue Service, the Company will adjust the accounting of the settlement accordingly.

As previously reported, Cal Water has filed with the City of Bakersfield, in the Superior Court of California, a lawsuit that names potentially responsible parties, who manufactured and distributed products containing 1,2,3 trichloropropane (TCP) in California. TCP has been detected in the ground water. The lawsuit seeks to recover treatment costs necessary to remove TCP. The Court has now coordinated our action with other water purveyor cases (TCP Cases JCCP 4435) in San Bernardino County. No trial date has yet been set.

The Company has filed in San Mateo County Superior Court a complaint (California Water Service Company v. The Dow Chemical Company, et al. CIV 473093) against potentially responsible parties that manufactured and distributed products, which contained perchloroethylene, also known as tetrachloroethylene (PCE) in California, to recover the past, present, and future treatment costs. No trial date has yet been set.

Other Legal Matters

In the past few years, the Company has been named as a co-defendant in several asbestos related lawsuits. The company has been dismissed without prejudice in two of these cases. In Case No. BC360406, reported in our prior year annual report, the Court has approved a confidential settlement between the Company, the plaintiff and his heirs. The settlement was paid for by our contractor's and our insurance policy carriers. There was no effect on our financial statements. On February 6, 2009, plaintiffs filed in Alameda County William and Barbara Church vs. Asbestos Corporation, LTD et al., Case No. RG09434913, against the Company and numerous other defendants. Plaintiffs' complaint alleges personal injury from his exposure to asbestos. The complaint states negligence, false representations, strict liability, premise/owner liability and loss of consortium causes of actions. The Complaint does not state any amount of damages. The Company does not believe that the plaintiffs have any valid causes of actions against the Company. The Company will vigorously defend itself in this matter. Accordingly, the Company has not recorded an accrual for this matter.

From time to time, the Company is involved in various disputes and litigation matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. We review the status of each significant matter and assess its potential financial exposure. If the potential loss from any claim or legal proceeding is considered probable and the amount of the range of loss can be estimated, we accrue a liability for the estimated loss in accordance with SFAS No 5, Accounting of Contingencies. Legal proceedings are subject to uncertainties, and the outcomes are difficult to predict. Because of such uncertainties, accruals are based on the best information available at the time. While the outcome of these disputes and litigation matters cannot be predicted with any certainty, management does not believe that when taking into account existing reserves that the ultimate resolution of these matters will materially affect our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Item 4. *Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.*

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. *Market for Registrant's Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities***

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock exchange under the symbol CWT. At December 31, 2008, there were 20,723,202 common shares outstanding. There were 2,704 common stockholders of record as of February 11, 2009.

During 2008, we paid a cash dividend of \$1.17 per common share, or \$0.2925 per quarter. During 2007, we paid a cash dividend of \$1.16 per common share, or \$0.2900 per quarter. In January 2009, our Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.2950 per common share payable on February 20, 2009, to stockholders of record on February 9, 2009. This represents our 42nd consecutive year of increasing the annual dividend and marks the 257th consecutive quarterly dividend.

During 2008 and 2007, the common stock market price range and dividends per share were as follows for each quarter

	First	Second	Third	Fourth
2008				
Common stock market price range:				
High	\$ 40.68	\$ 41.04	\$ 40.22	\$ 46.43
Low	33.58	31.69	31.16	29.73
Dividends paid per common share	0.2925	0.2925	0.2925	0.2925

	First	Second	Third	Fourth
2007				
Common stock market price range:				
High	\$ 44.54	\$ 40.85	\$ 43.96	\$ 44.39
Low	36.75	34.46	35.39	35.85
Dividends paid per common share	0.2900	0.2900	0.2900	0.2900

Table of Contents

Five Years Performance Graph

The following performance graph compares the changes in the cumulative shareholder return on California Water Service Group's common stock with the cumulative total return on the Baird Water Utility Index and the Standard & Poor's 500 Index during the last five years ended December 31, 2008. The comparison assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2003, in California Water Service Group's common stock and in each of the forgoing indices and assumes reinvestment of dividends.

Performance Graph Data

The following descriptive data is supplied in accordance with rule 304(d) of Regulations S-T:

	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008
California Water Service Group	100	143	150	163	154	200
S&P 500	100	109	112	128	132	81
Baird Water Utility Index	100	114	156	157	151	136

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the Notes thereto and the information contained in Item 7, Managements Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Historical results are not necessarily indicative of future results.

FIVE YEAR FINANCIAL REVIEW

	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004
	(Dollars in thousands, except common share and customer data)				
Summary of Operations					
Operating revenue					
Residential	\$ 284,913	\$ 253,745	\$ 232,811	\$ 222,634	\$ 221,323
Business	75,620	65,457	60,366	56,962	55,803
Industrial	18,932	17,403	16,286	14,241	13,592
Public authorities	21,042	17,952	15,728	14,965	15,118
Other	9,805	12,525	9,526	11,926	9,731
Total operating revenue	410,312	367,082	334,717	320,728	315,567
Operating expenses	352,843	322,912	294,411	278,903	273,488
Interest expense, other income and expenses, net	17,664	13,011	14,726	14,602	16,053
Net income	\$ 39,805	\$ 31,159	\$ 25,580	\$ 27,223	\$ 26,026
Common Share Data					
Earnings per share diluted	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.34	\$ 1.47	\$ 1.46
Dividend declared	1.17	1.16	1.15	1.14	1.13
Dividend payout ratio	62%	77%	86%	78%	77%
Book value per share	\$ 19.44	\$ 18.66	\$ 18.31	\$ 15.98	\$ 15.66
Market price at year-end	46.43	37.02	40.40	38.23	37.65
Common shares outstanding at year-end (in thousands)	20,723	20,666	20,657	18,390	18,367
Return on average common stockholders equity	10.2%	8.1%	8.2%	9.3%	9.8%
Long-term debt interest coverage	4.72	3.70	3.17	3.61	3.38
Balance Sheet Data					
Net utility plant	\$ 1,112,367	\$ 1,010,196	\$ 941,475	\$ 862,731	\$ 800,305
Total assets	1,418,107	1,184,499	1,165,019	996,945	942,853
Long-term debt including current portion	290,316	291,921	293,592	275,275	275,921
Capitalization ratios:					
Common stockholders equity	58.1%	56.9%	56.0%	51.4%	50.8%
Preferred stock		0.5%	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%

Edgar Filing: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP - Form 10-K

Long-term debt	41.9%	42.6%	43.5%	48.0%	48.6%
Other Data					
Estimated water production (million gallons) Wells and surface supply	72,228	70,708	70,094	67,841	72,279
Purchased	65,529	70,530	62,320	61,612	66,760
Total estimated water production	137,757	141,238	132,414	129,453	139,039
Metered customers	417,208	412,432	407,762	402,191	395,286
Flate-rate customers	73,285	75,123	76,131	76,810	77,869
Customers at year-end **	490,493	487,555	483,893	479,001	473,155
New customers added	2,938	3,662	4,892	5,846	6,733
Revenue per customer	\$ 837	\$ 753	\$ 692	\$ 670	\$ 667
Utility plant per customer	3,228	2,968	2,778	2,578	2,418
Employees at year-end	929	891	869	840	837

** Includes customers of the City of Hawthorne and City of Commerce

Table of Contents

Item 7. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.*

Overview

For 2008, net income was \$39.8 million compared to \$31.2 million in 2007, or an increase of 27.7%. The increase in net income was primarily caused by an increase in revenues to \$410.3 million, or an 11.8% increase from 2007. Net operating income increased \$13.3 million to \$57.5 million, or a 30.1% increase over 2007 levels. Net other income reflected a net decrease of \$4.6 million due primarily to investment losses and no real estate sales in 2008. Diluted earnings per share for 2008 were \$1.90 compared to \$1.50 in 2007, or an increase of 26.7%.

As a result of the 2007 General Rate Case (2007 GRC) and corresponding approved rates which were effective the first of July 2008, we recognized a significant increase in net income. The rate increases authorized additional annual revenues of \$47.1 million (see discussion in the Regulatory Matters section of this report). The 2007 GRC rates include recovery of certain costs that have not yet been incurred. These costs relate primarily to additional employees and their associated benefits. The new employees scheduled in the 2007 GRC were to implement new programs and for administrative positions required for a company our size, additional engineering staff to work on the design of capital projects, and additional field positions that have not kept up with the customer growth in some of our districts. The new programs include, among other things, a routine flushing program and a management training program and other programs needed to maintain and account for our operations.

All of the personnel positions in the 2007 GRC went through a rigorous justification process and we are in the process of hiring for those positions. Therefore we anticipate that once the employees are hired there will be an increase in operating expenses.

In addition the 2007 GRC includes recovery of certain conservation expenses. These costs have begun to be incurred and we anticipate the level of expense to increase to match what is included in rates.

We plan to continue to seek rate relief to recover our operating cost increases and receive reasonable returns on invested capital. We expect to fund our long-term capital needs through a combination of debt, common stock offerings, and cash flow from operations.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

We maintain our accounting records in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and as directed by the Commissions to which our operations are subject. The process of preparing financial statements requires the use of estimates on the part of management. The estimates used by management are based on historic experience and an understanding of current facts and circumstances. A summary of our significant accounting policies is listed in Note 2 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The following sections describe those policies where the level of subjectivity, judgment, and variability of estimates could have a material impact on the financial condition, operating performance, and cash flows of the business.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue includes monthly cycle customer billings for regulated water and wastewater services at rates authorized by regulatory commissions and billings to certain non-regulated customers at rates authorized by contract with government agencies.

Revenue from metered customers includes billings to customers based on monthly meter readings plus an estimate for water used between the customer's last meter reading and the end of the accounting period. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, our unbilled revenue amount was \$13.1 million and \$12.9 million, respectively. The unbilled revenue amount is generally higher during the summer months when water sales are higher. Flat rate customers are billed in advance at the beginning of the service period. The revenue is prorated so that the portion of revenue applicable to the current accounting period is included in that period's revenue, with the balance recorded as unearned revenue on the balance sheet and recognized as revenue when earned in the subsequent accounting period. Our unearned revenue liability was \$2.7 million and \$2.2 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. This liability is included in other accrued liabilities on our consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

Effective July 1, 2008, Cal Water is operating under a Water Rate Adjustment Mechanism (WRAM) approved in February 2008 by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC). Under the WRAM, Cal Water records the adopted level of volumetric revenues as established by the CPUC for metered accounts (adopted volumetric revenues). In addition to volumetric-based revenues, the revenue requirements approved by the CPUC include service charges, flat rate charges, and other items not subject to the WRAM. The adopted volumetric revenue considers the seasonality of consumption of water based upon historical averages. The variance between adopted volumetric revenues and actual billed volumetric revenues for metered accounts is recorded as a component of revenue with an offsetting entry to a current asset or liability balancing account (tracked individually for each Cal Water district). The variance amount may be positive or negative and represents amounts that will be billed or refunded to customers in the future.

Also effective July 1, 2008, Cal Water is operating under a Modified Cost Balancing Account (MCBA). We track authorized expense levels for purchased water, purchased power and pump taxes, as established by the CPUC. Variances (which include the effects of changes in both rate and volume) between adopted and actual purchased water, purchased power, and pump taxes expenses will be recorded as a component of revenue, as the amount of such variances will be recovered from or refunded to our customers at a later date. Any recovery or refund of the MCBA would be netted against the WRAM revenue over- or under-recovery for the corresponding district. The monthly balances accrue interest (payable or receivable) based upon the 90 day commercial paper rate.

The balances in the WRAM and MCBA assets and liabilities will fluctuate on a monthly basis depending upon the level of variance between adopted and actual results. When the net amount for any district achieve a pre-determined level at the end of any calendar year (i.e., at least 2.5 percent over- or under-recovery of the approved revenue requirement), Cal Water will seek approval from the Commission to refund or collect the balance in the accounts. Account balances less than those levels may be refunded or collected in Cal Water's general rate case proceedings or aggregated with future calendar year balances for comparison with the recovery level. As of December 31, 2008, the net aggregated asset is \$4.6 million and the aggregate liability is \$2.6 million and are included in other accounts receivable and accounts payable, respectively.

Expense-Balancing and Memorandum Accounts

The Company has historically used expense-balancing accounts (also referred as Incremental Cost Balancing Accounts (ICBA)) and memorandum accounts to track only suppliers' rate changes for purchased water, purchased power, and pump taxes that are not included in customer water rates. The cost changes were referred to as off-settable expenses because under certain circumstances they were refundable from customers (or refunded to customers) in future rates designed to offset cost changes from suppliers. The balancing and memorandum accounts have not been recorded until the CPUC has authorized a change in customer rates and the customer has been billed. The cumulative net amount in the expense balancing accounts and memorandum accounts as of December 31, 2008, was approximately \$1.5 million. This amount includes certain amounts that have been authorized for recovery through customer surcharges but which have not yet been collected and amounts that have not yet been filed for recovery. See Rates and Regulations below for descriptions of amounts included in this total that have been authorized for recovery.

Modified Cost Balancing Accounts

With the implementation of the WRAM, the existing ICBA expense balancing accounts will be replaced by Modified Cost Balancing Accounts (MCBA) described above. The MCBA will be recorded on the Company's books.

The existing ICBA and the memorandum accounts will not be transferred to the WRAM/MCBA balances. Additions and other adjustments to these balances ended on July 1, 2008. However, interest will continue to accumulate on these balances until they are fully amortized through customer billings.

Washington Water, New Mexico Water, and Hawaii Water do not have any material amounts in expense balancing or memorandum accounts.

Table of Contents

Regulated Utility Accounting

Because we operate extensively in a regulated business, we are subject to the provisions of SFAS No. 71, Accounting for the Effects of Certain Types of Regulation. Regulators establish rates that are designed to permit the recovery of the cost of service and a return on investment. Based upon past practices and decisions by the Commissions, we assess the probability of future recovery from rate payers of certain items, including the probability of return of amounts collected to rate payers. If it is probable that rates will recover an item in the future, a regulatory asset will be reported. If it is probable that rates will reflect a reduction in future rates for an item, a regulatory liability will be reported. We assess the probability of recovery of the regulatory assets and regulatory liabilities in each reporting period. In addition, if a regulatory commission determined that a portion of our assets used in utility operations were not recoverable in customer rates, we would be required to determine if we had suffered an asset impairment that would require a write-down in the assets valuation. There have been no such asset impairments as of December 31, 2008 or December 31, 2007.

Income Taxes

We account for income taxes using the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities at enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. We recognize the effect on the deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rate in the period that includes the enactment date. We must also assess the likelihood that deferred tax assets will be recovered in future taxable income and, to the extent recovery is unlikely, a valuation allowance would be recorded. If a valuation allowance were required, it could significantly increase income tax expense. In management's view, a valuation allowance was not required at December 31, 2008 or December 31, 2007.

We anticipate that future rate action by the regulatory commissions will reflect revenue requirements for the tax effects of temporary differences recognized, which have previously been passed through to customers. The regulatory commissions have granted us rate increases to reflect the normalization of the tax benefits of the federal accelerated methods and available Investment Tax Credits (ITCs) for all assets placed in service after 1980. ITCs are deferred and amortized over the lives of the related properties for book purposes.

Pension Benefits

We incur costs associated with our pension and postretirement health care benefits plans. To measure the expense of these benefits, our management must estimate compensation increases, mortality rates, future health cost increases and discount rates used to value related liabilities and to determine appropriate funding. Different estimates used by our management could result in significant variances in the cost recognized for pension benefit plans. The estimates used are based on historical experience, current facts, future expectations, and recommendations from independent advisors and actuaries. We use an investment advisor to provide advice in managing the plan's investments. We anticipate any increase in funding for the pension and postretirement health care benefits plans will be recovered in future rate filings, thereby mitigating the financial impact.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 158 Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Post Retirement Plans An Amendment of FASB Statement Nos. 87, 88, 106 and 132(R). We adopted SFAS No. 158 as of December 31, 2006 which required the full recognition of the projected benefit obligation over the fair value of plan assets, reflecting the funded status of the benefit plans, on the balance sheet. We believe it is probable that future costs will be recovered in future rates and therefore have recorded a regulatory asset in accordance with SFAS 71.

Workers Compensation, General Liability, and Other Claims

We are self-insured for a portion of workers compensation and general liability claims. Excess amounts are covered by insurance policies. For workers compensation, we work with an independent actuary firm to estimate the discounted liability associated with claims submitted and claims not yet submitted based on historical data. These estimates could vary significantly from actual claims paid, which could impact earnings and cash flows. For

Table of Contents

general liability claims and other claims, management estimates the cost incurred but not yet paid using historical information. Actual costs could vary from these estimates. Management believes actual costs incurred would be allowed in future rates, mitigating the financial impact.

Contingencies

We did not record any provisions relating to the contingencies reported in Note 15 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, as these did not qualify for recording under SFAS No. 5 or other accounting standards. If management's assessment is incorrect, these items could have a material impact on our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows.

Results of Operations***Earnings***

Net income in 2008 was \$39.8 million compared to \$31.2 million in 2007 and \$25.6 million in 2006. Diluted earnings per common share were \$1.90 in 2008, \$1.50 in 2007, and \$1.34 in 2006. The weighted average number of common shares outstanding used in the diluted earnings per share calculation was 20,734,000 in 2008, 20,689,000 in 2007, and 18,925,000 in 2006. The increase in 2008 earnings per share resulted from increased operating income, largely driven by rate increases. Offsetting the increase in revenues were decline in other income as a result of a decline in value of investment assets, amortization of certain start up costs of our operations on the island of Hawaii not covered by rates and no significant property sales this year compared with the prior year.

Dividends

At the January 2009 meeting, the Board of Directors declared the quarterly dividend, increasing it for the 42nd consecutive year. The quarterly dividend was raised from \$0.2925 to \$0.2950 per common share, or an annual rate of \$1.18 per common share. Dividends have been paid for 64 consecutive years. The annual dividends paid per common share in 2008, 2007, and 2006 were \$1.17, \$1.16, and \$1.15, respectively. Earnings not paid as dividends are reinvested in the business for the benefit of stockholders. The dividend payout ratio was 62% in 2008, 77% in 2007, and 86% in 2006, for an average of 75% over the three-year period. Our long-term targeted dividend payout ratio is 60%.

Operating Revenue

Operating revenue in 2008 was \$410.3 million, an increase of \$43.2 million, or 11.8%, over 2007. Operating revenue in 2007 was \$367.1 million, an increase of \$32.4 million, or 9.7%, above 2006. The estimated sources of changes in operating revenue were:

	2008	2007
	Dollars in millions	
Rate increases	\$ 42.1	\$ 15.0
Net revenue increases due to WRAM and MCBA	2.0	
Usage by new customers	4.0	2.7
Change in presentation of Commission fees	(2.7)	
Usage by existing customers and other	(2.2)	14.7

Net change	\$ 43.2	\$ 32.4
------------	---------	---------

The usage by existing customers can materially change based upon current weather patterns, influenced both by temperature and rainfall. However, with the adoption of the WRAM and MCBA on July 1, 2008, the impact of weather on revenue has been minimized.

In 2008, rate relief increased revenues by \$42.1 million. This was a record increase as a significant portion of our corporate costs received rate recovery from all districts effective July 2008, rather than a phased in approach of prior years regulatory treatment. See the Rates and Regulation section of this report for more information on regulatory activity occurring in 2007, 2008, and through February 13, 2009.

Table of Contents

The number of customers in 2008 increased by 2,938 or an increase of 0.6% over 2007 levels. This increase includes 153 customers in New Mexico, 3,025 customers in Hawaii, 46 customers in Washington, and a decline of 299 customers in California. The growth of our customer base resulted from organic growth in our existing service areas with the exception of approximately 3,000 customers who were added through acquisition of systems in Hawaii.

Water Production Expenses

Water production expenses, which consist of purchased water, purchased power, and pump taxes, comprise the largest segment of total operating expenses. Water production costs accounted for 41.5%, 43.0%, and 42.2% of total operating costs in 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. The rates charged for wholesale water supplies, electricity, and pump taxes are established by various public agencies. As such, these rates are beyond our control.

The table below provides the amount of increases (decreases), and percent changes in water production costs during the past two years:

	2008			2007		
	Amount	Change	% Change Dollars in millions	Amount	Change	% Change
Purchased water	\$ 111.7	\$ 5.0	5%	\$ 106.7	\$ 13.3	14%
Purchased power	25.9	1.9	8%	24.0	1.2	5%
Pump taxes	8.9	0.7	9%	8.2	0.1	1%
Total water production expenses	\$ 146.5	\$ 7.6	5%	\$ 138.9	\$ 14.6	12%

Two of the principal factors affecting water production expenses are the amount of water produced and the source of the water. Generally, water from wells costs less than water purchased from wholesale suppliers.

The table below provides the amounts, percentage change, and source mix for the respective years:

	2008		2007		2006	
	MG	% of Total	MG	% of Total	MG	% of Total
Source:						
Wells	67,041	48.7%	65,562	46.4%	64,481	48.7%
% change from prior year	2%		2%		3%	
Purchased	65,529	47.5%	70,530	49.9%	62,320	47.1%
% change from prior year	(7)%		13%		1%	
Surface	5,187	3.8%	5,146	3.7%	5,613	4.2%
% change from prior year	1%		(8)%		11%	

Total	137,757	100.0%	141,238	100.0%	132,414	100.0%
% change from prior year	(2)%		7%		2%	

Purchased water expenses are affected by changes in quantities purchased, supplier prices, and cost differences between wholesale suppliers. For 2008, the \$5.0 million increase in purchased water is due to a 7% decrease in quantities offset by wholesaler water rate increases of between 2.8% and 20.5%. Purchased water was offset by lease of water rights of \$1.6 million in 2008 versus \$2.4 million in 2007. The impact of variation of actual water production expense from the adopted expense, effective July 1, 2008, is recorded as a component of revenue under the MCBA. (See Water Supply in Item 1 of this report). For 2007 the \$13.3 million increase in purchased water is due to a 13% increase in quantities purchased and wholesale water rate increases of between 4.0% and 8.6%, For 2006, the \$5.9 million increase in purchased water costs is due to a 2% increase in quantities purchased, magnified by overall higher wholesale water rates. On an overall blended basis, wholesale water rates increased 12.6% on a cost-per-million-gallon basis in 2008. Purchased power expenses are affected by the quantity of water pumped from wells and moved through the distribution system, rates charged by electric utility companies, and rate structures applied to usage during peak and non-peak times of the day or season. The purchased power expense increase of

Table of Contents

\$1.9 million was primarily due to the combination of increased well production and higher energy costs. Pump taxes increased \$0.7 million in 2008 over 2007.

Administrative and General Expenses

Administrative and general expenses include payroll related to administrative and general functions, all employee benefits charged to expense accounts, insurance expenses, legal fees, regulatory utility commissions expenses, expenses associated with being a public company, and general corporate expenses.

During 2008, administrative and general expenses increased \$5.2 million, or 9.5%, compared to 2007. Pension expense increased \$5.0 million over the prior year and legal expense increased \$0.8 million. Increase in labor expense and other administrative costs were offset by a reduction in recording of expense of fees paid to the Commission of \$2.7 million. (Fees paid to the Commission by our customers were previously recorded as a component of revenue and expense. Effective July 1, 2008, the revenues are recorded net of fees with the adoption of the WRAM). Other expense elements contributed to the balance of the change, but none were individually significant.

During 2007, administrative and general expenses increased \$1.5 million, or 2.8%, compared to 2006. Outside services increased \$0.6 million or 15.4%, due primarily to increased legal expense. Fees to the Commissions (calculated as a percentage of revenue) increased \$0.5 million due to the increased revenue and a change in the rate charged by the Commissions. Liability insurance increased \$0.5 million, or 33.2%, due to higher insurance premiums. Other expense elements contributed to the balance of the change, but none were individually significant.

Other Operations Expenses

The components of other operations expenses include payroll, material and supplies, and contract service costs of operating the regulated water systems, including the costs associated with water transmission and distribution, pumping, water quality, meter reading, billing, and operations of district offices.

For 2008, other operations expenses increased \$4.9 million, or 10.6%, from 2007. The cost of operating the production and distribution systems increased \$4.0 million, or 14.7% over the prior year. The largest increase in this category is the cost of labor. Outside services for water treatment and water quality testing and chemicals increased by \$1.0 million, or 32%. The other major single increase was in conservation expense of \$0.6 million, or an increase of 66% from 2007. Uncollectible accounts, which increased \$0.2 million over the prior year. Other expense elements contributed to the balance of the change, but none were individually significant.

For 2007, other operations expenses increased \$3.4 million, or 7.9%, from 2006. The cost of operating the production and distribution systems increased \$1.6 million, or 6.6% over the prior year. The largest increase in this category is the cost of labor and outside services for water treatment and water quality testing, which increased by \$1.0 million, or 13%. The other major increase is due to additional provision for uncollectible accounts, which increased \$1.1 million, or 122% over the prior year. We believe that the slow down of the economy and the increase in foreclosures of homes is the most important cause of the increase in other operations expenses. Other causes elements contributed to the balance of the increase, but none were individually significant.

Maintenance

Maintenance expenses increased \$0.6 million, or 3.5%, in 2008, compared to 2007 due to increased costs for maintenance of meters, services and pumping equipment. Repairs of mains declined from the prior year. For 2007, maintenance expenses increased \$2.7 million, or 17.6%, compared to 2006, due to repairs of water mains and services.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization increased due to the increased level of our capital expenditures and our use of a higher depreciation rate as authorized by the CPUC.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes

For 2008, income taxes increased \$3.4 million as compared to 2007. For 2007, income taxes increased \$3.9 million as compared to 2006. The increase in income tax for 2008, as compared to 2007, was due to higher pretax income. The effective tax rate was 37.7%, 39.9%, and 39.7% in 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. The effective tax rate was affected by the benefit from an additional tax deduction for the qualified production activity deduction for income attributed to the production of water. The tax rate is also affected by the flow through method of accounting for income taxes which resulted from differences between tax depreciation and book depreciation on both pre-1982 assets, as well as all California assets. The flow through method of accounting is described in the Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. We anticipate the reversal of federal tax depreciation on pre-1982 assets to continue in future years; however, its effect on our tax provision is uncertain due to the offsetting flow-through of state tax depreciation, which continues to increase with capital additions and the impact of cost to remove of pre-1982 assets.

Property and Other Taxes

For 2008, expenses increased \$1.2 million, or 8.5%, compared to 2007. For 2007, expenses increased \$0.8 million, or 6.0% over 2006 levels. The increase in both years is due primarily to increased local franchise tax, which is based upon revenue and property taxes, which is based primarily upon our utility plant in service.

Non-Regulated Revenue and Expense, Net

The major components of non-regulated income are revenue and operating expenses related to the following activities:

operating and maintenance services (O&M) and meter reading and billing services;

antenna site leases;

Extended Service Protection (ESP);

design and construction services;

interest income;

change in cash surrender value of life insurance; and

non-regulated expenses.

For 2008, we experienced a significant decline in the cash surrender value of life insurance contracts associated with our benefit plans. In 2008, the decline was of \$3.8 million, while in 2007 we recognized a gain of \$539. The cash surrender value is determined in part by the market of certain underlining funds, the value of which reflects the changes in the stock market. Due to the continued decline in the stock market during 2008, there was a corresponding impact on the cash surrender value of the life insurance contracts. In addition, we expensed certain acquisition costs associated with some non-regulated operations and maintenance contracts, which are recorded as intangible assets. For 2007, non-regulated income net of expenses increased \$1.0 million, or 29%, compared to 2006. The increase was primarily due to increased non-regulated revenues from our ESP program, interest income, and antenna site leases. See Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information.

Gain on Sale of Non-Utility Property

For 2008, there were no significant non-utility property sales compared to pretax gains of \$2.5 million in 2007 and \$0.3 million for 2006. Earnings and cash flow from these transactions are sporadic and may or may not continue in future periods, depending upon market conditions. The Company has other non-utility properties that may be marketed in the future based on real estate market conditions.

Table of Contents

Interest Expenses

In 2008 interest expenses increased \$0.9 million due to borrowing on our line of credit. In 2007, interest expenses remained flat compared to 2006. Capitalized interest in 2008, 2007, and 2006 was \$3.4 million, \$2.6 million, and \$2.7 million, respectively. See the Liquidity and Capital Resources section for more information.

Rates and Regulation

The state regulatory commissions have plenary powers setting rates and operating standards. As such, state commission decisions significantly impact our revenues, earnings, and cash flows. The amounts discussed herein are generally annual amounts, unless specifically stated, and the financial impact to recorded revenue is expected to occur over a 12-month period from the effective date of the decision. In California, water utilities are required to make several different types of filings. Most filings result in rate changes that remain in place until the next General Rate Case (GRC). As explained below, surcharges and surcredits to recover balancing and memorandum accounts as well as general rate case interim rate catch-up surcharges are temporary rate changes, which have specific time frames for recovery.

GRCs, escalation rate increase filings, and offset filings change rates to amounts that will remain in effect until the next GRC. The CPUC follows a rate case plan, which requires Cal Water to file a GRC for each of its 24 regulated operating districts every three years. In a GRC proceeding, the CPUC not only considers the utility's rate setting requests, but may also consider other issues that affect the utility's rates and operations. Effective in 2004, Cal Water's GRC schedule was shifted from a calendar year to a fiscal year with test years commencing on July 1st of each year. The CPUC is generally required to issue its GRC decision prior to the first day of the test year or authorize interim rates. As such, Cal Water's GRC decisions, prior to 2005, were generally issued in the fourth quarter, and from 2005 through 2009 were generally issued in the third quarter. In accordance with the rate case plan, the Commission would issue a decision on Cal Water's 2009 general rate case filing in the fourth quarter of 2010 with rates effective on January 1, 2011. A decision on the eight GRCs filed in July of 2006 was delayed beyond July 1, 2007. As required by state law, the CPUC authorized interim rates incorporating the last twelve months change in CPI. A final decision on the 2007 GRC was made on July 10, 2008 with final rates billed effective on July 10, 2008. A provision in the final decision allows recovery of the revenue lost due to the delay over a twelve-month period beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008.

Between GRC filings utilities may file escalation rate increases, which allow the utility to recover cost increases, primarily from inflation and incremental investment, during the second and third years of the rate case cycle. However, escalation rate increases are subject to a weather-normalized earnings test. Under the earnings test, the CPUC may reduce the escalation rate increase if, in the most recent twelve month period, this earnings test reflects earnings in excess of authorized for that district.

In addition, utilities are entitled to file offset filings. Offset filings may be filed to adjust revenues for construction projects authorized in GRCs when the plant is placed in service or for rate changes charged to the Company for purchased water, purchased power, and pump taxes (referred to as offsettable expenses). Such rate changes approved in offset filings remain in effect until a GRC is approved.

Surcharges and surcredits to amortize balances in the WRAM and MCBA accounts, which are interest bearing, will be made in March of each year based on the district balances for the last calendar year. Surcharges will be for twelve months. In the event the combined WRAM and MCBA balance for a district is less than 2.5% of revenue, the amount will not be amortized at that time. The WRAM and MCBA amounts are cumulative, so if they are not amortized in a given calendar year, the balance will be rolled forward and reviewed with the following year balance.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of rate filings and the anticipated annual impact on revenues. California decisions and resolutions may be found on the CPUC website at www.cpuc.ca.gov.

Type of Filing	Decision/Resolution	Approval Date	Increase Annual Revenue	CA District/ Subsidiary
GRC, Step Rate and Offset Filings				
City of Hawthorne	Res. 1233(1)	January 2009	\$ 3.0 million	City of Hawthorne
Offset	Various(2)	February 2009	\$ 9.0 million	7 districts
Offset	AL 1878, 1879	August, 2008	\$ 1.8 million	2 districts
Step Rate	Various(3)	August, 2008	\$ 0.6 million	5 districts
GRC 2007 General Office Offset	D.08-07-008	July, 2008	\$ 13.7 million	15 districts
GRC 2007 Final Rates	D.08-07-008(4)	July, 2008	\$ 28.2 million	8 districts
				Kern River
Offset	R. W-4703	September, 2008	\$ 1.0 million	Valley
GRC 2007 Interim Rates	AL 1861	July, 2008	\$ 5.2 million	8 districts
Step Rate	Various(5)	July, 2008	\$ 1.1 million	4 districts
Offset	AL 1849, 1850, 1851	January, 2008	\$ 1.9 million	3 districts
GRC 2006	D.07-12-055(6)	January, 2008	\$ 5.8 million	8 districts
Offset	Various(7)	January, 2008	\$ 2.0 million	3 districts
Offset	AL 1838	November, 2007	\$ 0.8 million	Los Altos
Offset	AL 1828, 1829, 1830	September, 2007	\$ 1.5 million	3 districts
GRC 2006 Interim rates	D.07-06-028	July, 2007	\$ 2.0 million	8 districts
Step Rate	Various(8)	July, 2007	\$ 4.6 million	14 districts
Offset	AL 1805	May, 2007	\$ 1.7 million	Stockton
Offset	AL 1801 and 1804	Feb, 2007	\$ 0.9 million	2 districts
Offset	Various(9)	Jan, 2007	\$ 2.5 million	4 districts
Step Rate	Various(10)	Jan, 2007	\$ 1.8 million	7 districts
Surcharges and Surcredits				
GRC 2007- Lost Revenue Recovery	AL 1887	November, 2008	\$ 0.7 million	8 districts
PBOP Surcharge	D. 08-03-021(11)	April 2008	\$ 0.7 million	24 districts
GRC 2006- Lost Revenue Recovery	AL 1852	February 2008	\$ 2.7 million	8 districts
	AL 1835, 1836, 1837(12)	October, 2007	\$ 2.4 million	3 districts
Balancing GRC 2005-Lost Revenue Recovery	AL 1833	September, 2007	\$ 0.5 million	8 districts

(1) City of Hawthorne Resolution 1233 allows increases of \$0.8 million in February 2009, \$1.0 million in July 2009, and \$1.2 million in January 2010.

(2) Increases result from advice letters 1893, 1894, 1895, 1896, 1899, 1901, and 1902.

- (3) Increases result from advice letters 1867, 1868, 1869, 1870, and 1871.
- (4) The CPUC authorized a \$33.4 million increase, which was inclusive of the \$5.2 million granted in interim rates in July 2008.
- (5) Increases result from advice letters 1857, 1858, 1859, and 1860.
- (6) The CPUC authorized a \$7.8 million increase, which was inclusive of the \$2.0 million granted in interim rates in July 2007.
- (7) Increases result from advice letters 1844, 1845, and 1846.
- (8) Increases were authorized in D.05-07-022 and D.06-08-011.
- (9) Increases result from advice letters 1791, 1797, 1798, and 1799.
- (10) Increases were authorized in D.03-09-021, D.04-04-041, and D.04-09-038.
- (11) The PBOP surcharge, scheduled to be collected over a 15-year period, was included in base rates in D.08-07-008.
- (12) Authorized surcharges are \$2.4 million from October 2007 through September 2008 and \$1.9 million from October 2008 through September 2009.

Table of Contents

The estimated impact of rate changes compared to the prior year is listed in the following table:

	2008	2007	2006
	Dollars in millions		
General Rate Case (GRC)	\$ 31.0	\$ 3.3	\$ 5.7
Step rate increases	3.3	6.6	4.4
Offset (purchased water/pump taxes)	4.9	4.6	3.2
Balancing accounts, net	2.9	0.1	(3.4)
Other		0.4	0.2
Rate increases	\$ 42.1	\$ 15.0	\$ 10.1

Remaining Unrecorded Balances from Previously Authorized Balancing Accounts Recoveries/Refunds

The total net under-collected memorandum and balancing accounts was approximately \$1.5 million as of December 31, 2008. Included in this amount, Cal Water has amounts from districts that are pending further action when balances become large enough to warrant action of either recovery or refund.

Rate Case Plan

In December 2005, the CPUC issued the California Water Action Plan. The plan focuses on four key principles, among other things, including safe, high quality water; highly reliable water supplies; efficient use of water; and reasonable rates and viable utilities. In accordance with the Water Action Plan's objective to streamline regulatory decision-making the CPUC issued R.06-12-016 in December 2006, to address streamlining of its water rate case plan. The CPUC issued D.07-05-062 on May 24, 2007 adopting a new rate case plan. As a result, Cal Water will be filing a company-wide general rate case every three years beginning in July 2009. Rates would be effective approximately 18 months from the filing date or January 1, 2011 in the first cycle. As an interim measure, the CPUC allowed Cal Water to incorporate general operations costs including company benefits in rates for all districts in July 2008 after a decision in its 2007 general rate case. In addition, for the sixteen districts that have a delayed effective date, the CPUC will authorize interim rates from the authorized effective date under the old rate case plan. These interim rates will be subject to adjustment based on a final determination in the 2009 general rate case filing. In addition to general rate case processing, the RCP set a schedule for separate cost of capital applications. Under the RCP, Cal Water must file its cost of capital application every three years. The first application under this procedure was made on May 1, 2008. Cal Water's 2008 cost of capital application was consolidated with applications of two other multi-district Class A water utilities into a combined proceeding.

Conservation Application

Decision 06-08-011 directed Cal Water to file an application to implement conservation rates and a sales decoupling mechanism. On October 23, 2006, Cal Water filed Application 06-10-026 requesting a water revenue balancing account, a conservation memorandum account, and conservation rates. This request was consolidated with applications filed by other water companies in the CPUC's Order Instituting Investigation 07-01-022.

On June 15, 2007, Cal Water and two consumer groups (the Commission's Division of Ratepayer Advocates (DRA) and The Utility Reform Network (TURN)) filed a settlement jointly proposing a program of tiered residential rates, a water revenue adjustment mechanism (WRAM), and a modified balancing account (MCBA) that includes changes in

source mix. Tiered rates have varying charges depending on the overall monthly usage. They are intended to provide a conservation incentive, particularly in high-usage periods. Non-residential rate design under the settlement emphasizes variable charges over service charges. The WRAM and MCBA are intended to negate any impact from customer conservation on Cal Water's earnings.

On February 29, 2008, the Commission adopted the settlement. On July 1, 2008, Cal Water made its tiered rates, WRAM, and MCBA effective in compliance with the Commission's administrative processing rules. The submitted tariffs were administratively approved in the third quarter, effective July 1, 2008.

In the conservation proceeding, the Commission also sought to examine the effect of sales decoupling on appropriate return on equity. On August 21, 2008, the Commission issued its decision 08-08-030 declining to make

Table of Contents

a specific return on equity adjustment and ordering that the issue be considered in connection with the cost of capital applications filed on May 1, 2008.

The conservation proceeding is still open to examine the Commission's non-rate-related conservation policies. We are unable to predict the outcome of the proceeding with respect to these matters at this time.

2008 Regulatory Activity

Cost of Capital Application

On May 1, 2008, as required under the Rate Case Plan, Cal Water filed Application 08-05-002 requesting a review of its authorized rate of return. Cal Water requested a rate of return on rate base of 9.90% reflecting a 12.57% return on common equity versus the current 10.2% return on common equity previously approved by the CPUC. If Cal Water's proposal is adopted, rates would increase \$14.9 million annually or 4.26%. In September, the Commission held three days of evidentiary hearings on the applications of Cal Water, Golden State Water Company, and California-American Water Company. The Commission's Division of Ratepayer Advocates is the only other participating party in the proceeding. All parties filed opening and reply briefs in October, 2008. An Administrative Law Judge has issued a draft decision which will be considered by the Commission in the first quarter of 2009. As the cost of capital was a contested matter, Cal Water cannot predict whether or when this application would change rates, or the magnitude of any potential changes.

2007 GRC Filing

On July 3, 2007, Cal Water filed its 2007 GRC application covering eight districts and general office costs. On July 10, 2008, the CPUC approved a settlement between Cal Water and the Division of Ratepayer Advocates, and authorized annual rate increases for eight districts of \$33.4 million. In its order, the CPUC allowed Cal Water to file immediately to recover its increased general costs in all other districts. As of October 15, 2008, Cal Water had made effective \$13.7 million of additional rate increases in fifteen districts. The CPUC order also allows for additional rate increases, including escalation increases, which may be requested in 2009 and 2010, and offset increases after construction of certain large capital projects. In accordance with state law, Cal Water will also be allowed to recover the difference between interim rates authorized on July 1, 2008 and final rates approved on July 10, 2008.

PBOP Application

In December 2006, Cal Water filed an application to allow it to recover additional funding associated with its postretirement benefit other than pensions (PBOP) or retiree healthcare plan. For the period 1993 through 2005, Cal Water funded and recognized as its PBOP expense at the Internal Revenue Code's (IRC) maximum tax-deductible contribution level (Maximum Contribution Level) using an IRC 401(h) account as the funding mechanism. The excess expense between the Maximum Contribution Level and FAS 106 accrual during the employees' expected service period was recorded as a regulatory asset. As of December 31, 2007, the regulatory asset was approximately \$9.8 million.

On March 13, 2008, the Commission issued D.08-03-021, which granted Cal Water's request to amortize the \$9.8 million regulatory asset over a fifteen year period. Cal Water began a rate surcharge on April 1, 2008 which is expected to collect \$658 annually to recover the regulatory asset. The annual amortization is included in the general allocated costs approved by the Commission in its D.08-07-008, replacing the prior adopted surcharge.

In 2005, Cal Water established two Voluntary Employee Beneficiary Associations (VEBAs) to allow for increased funding, which also permit a current period income tax deduction. Cal Water intends to increase its funding, so the

PBOP plan accrual is fully funded each year during the employee's service period.

Other 2008 Regulatory Proceedings

In December 2007, Cal Water received a decision on its 2006 general rate case filing. The decision allowed an increase of \$7.8 million in rates for eight districts. This increase is inclusive of the \$2.0 million in interim rates approved in July 2007. As a result, in December 2007 Cal Water filed advice letters to implement the adopted rates in January 2008. These advice letters were approved effective in January 2008 as requested.

Table of Contents

In January 2008, Cal Water filed advice letters to offset \$3.9 million of increased purchased water and pump tax rates in six of its regulated districts. These advice letters were approved effective in January 2008 as requested.

In February 2008, Cal Water filed an advice letter to recover \$2.7 million in lost revenue resulting from the delayed effective date of a final decision in its 2006 GRC. Under CPUC advice letter processing rules, Cal Water charges the rates in compliance advice letters to its customers upon filing. These advice letters were approved effective in February 2008 as requested. The lost revenue will be recovered as a surcharge on customer bills for a twelve-month period beginning in February 2008.

In June 2008, Cal Water filed an offset advice letter to increase rates by \$1.0 million in one district to recover the capital requirements for a water treatment and supply project. The Commission had authorized recovery for this project in D.06-08-011 in August 2006. Under the Commission's administrative processing rules, Commission approval was required. On September 18, 2008, the Commission approved Resolution W-4703, which adopted these changes.

In July 2008, Cal Water made effective escalation increases for four districts totaling \$1.1 million in compliance with the Commission's administrative processing rules. These advice letters were approved effective in July 2008 as requested.

In July and August 2008, Cal Water filed, effective in August 2008, escalation rate increases for five districts totaling \$0.6 million. These filings were approved effective in August as requested.

In August 2008, Cal Water filed advice letters to offset increases in purchased water and pump tax charges in two districts totaling \$1.8 million in annual revenue. These advice letters were approved effective in August 2008 as requested. However, expense offsets are dollar-for-dollar increases in revenue to match increased expenses and interact with the WRAM and MCBA mechanisms so that net operating revenue is not affected by an offset increase.

In October 2008, Cal Water filed a request to recover \$0.7 million in lost revenue due to the delay in approving the 2007 general rate case increases from July 1, 2008 until July 10, 2008. The recovery is through a temporary 12-month surcharge on all affected customers. This advice letter was approved in November 2008 as requested.

2009 Regulatory Activity to Date

In January and February 2009, Cal Water filed advice letters to offset increased purchased water and pump tax rates in seven of its regulated districts totaling \$9.0 million in annual revenue. Under CPUC advice letter processing rules, Cal Water charges the rates in expense offset advice letters to its customers upon filing. These rates are subject to refund until approved by the CPUC staff. While these offsets have not been approved as of February 8, 2009, Cal Water expects that they will be approved and effective as filed. However, expense offsets are dollar-for-dollar increases in revenue to match increased expenses and interact with the WRAM and MCBA mechanisms so that net operating revenue is not affected by an offset increase.

In January 2009 the City of Hawthorne approved Cal Water's requested rate increase for its leased water system. The increase will take effect in phases, with a \$0.8 million annual increase in February 2009, a \$1.0 million annual increase in July 2009, and a \$1.2 million annual increase in January 2010.

In January 2009 Cal Water filed an application to the CPUC for approvals and consents related to an anticipated secured debt offering. The application includes, among other things, requests for (i) a waiver of a CPUC policy, which would allow debt offerings by Cal Water of up to \$100 million in principal amount be conducted through a single underwriter and (ii) clarification that complying with the terms of the indenture for the outstanding unsecured notes by

granting the holders a first mortgage security interest upon the issuance of additional first mortgage debt does not use any of the Cal Water's previously used financing authorization.

Throughout the calendar year, Cal Water plans to file advice letters to offset expected increases in purchased water and pump tax charges in some districts. Cal Water cannot predict the exact timing or dollar amount of the changes. However, expense offsets are dollar-for-dollar increases in revenue to match increased expenses and interact with the WRAM and MCBA mechanisms so that net operating revenue is not affected by an offset increase.

Table of Contents

In April 2009, as allowed in the Commission's 2007 Rate Case Plan, Cal Water intends to file advice letters for interim rate increases for eight districts effective in July 2009. Under the Commission's prior rate case plan, these districts would have had rates effective in July 2009. The interim rate changes will be adjusted once the Commission has issued a determination in Cal Water's 2009 GRC, expected in the fourth quarter of 2010.

In May 2009, Cal Water intends to file for step rate increases effective in July for sixteen districts. The CPUC's current practice on approving step rate increases is based partly on inflation through March 2009. Inputs to the weather-adjusted earnings test include recorded information through March 2009. Therefore, Cal Water does not know the amount of its request at this time.

In July 2009, Cal Water is required to file a GRC covering all 24 regulated districts and general expenses. Cal Water expects the CPUC to issue a decision in the proceeding in the fourth quarter of 2010 with rates effective in January 2011. Cal Water cannot predict the magnitude of any potential rate changes at this time.

Water Supply

Our source of supply varies among our operating districts. Certain districts obtain all of their supply from wells; some districts purchase all of their supply from wholesale suppliers; and other districts obtain supply from a combination of wells and wholesale suppliers. A small portion of supply comes from surface sources and is processed through Company-owned water treatment plants. To the best of management's knowledge, we are meeting water quality, environmental, and other regulatory standards for all company-owned systems.

California's normal weather pattern yields little precipitation between mid-spring and mid-fall. The Washington Water service areas receive precipitation in all seasons, with the heaviest amounts during the winter. New Mexico Water's rainfall is heaviest in the summer monsoon season. Hawaii Water receives precipitation throughout the year, with the largest amounts in the winter months. Water usage in all service areas is highest during the warm and dry summers and declines in the cool winter months. Rain and snow during the winter months replenish underground water aquifers and fill reservoirs, providing the water supply for subsequent delivery to customers. To date, snowpack water content and rainfall accumulation during the 2008-2009 water year is 61% of normal (as of January 29, 2009 per the California Department of Water Resources). Precipitation in the prior year was below average. Management believes that supply pumped from underground aquifers and purchased from wholesale suppliers will be adequate to meet customer demand during 2009 and beyond. However, water rationing may be required in 2009, if declared by the state or local jurisdictions. Long-term water supply plans are developed for each of our districts to help assure an adequate water supply under various operating and supply conditions. Some districts have unique challenges in meeting water quality standards, but management believes that supplies will meet current standards using current treatment processes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash flow from Operations

During 2008 we generated cash flow from operations of approximately \$96 million, compared to \$50 million during 2007, and \$61 million in 2006. Cash flow from operations is primarily generated by net income and non-cash expenses for depreciation and amortization and deferred income taxes. Cash generated by operations varies during the year.

The water business is seasonal. Billed revenue is lower in the cool, wet winter months when less water is used compared to the warm, dry summer months when water use is highest. This seasonality results in the possible need for short-term borrowings under the bank lines of credit in the event cash is not sufficient to cover operating and capital

costs during the winter period. The increase in cash flow during the summer allows short-term borrowings to be paid down. Customer water usage can be lower than normal in years when more than normal precipitation falls in our service areas or temperatures are lower than normal, especially in the summer months. The reduction in water usage reduces cash flow from operations and increases the need for short-term bank borrowings. In addition, short-term borrowings are used to finance capital expenditures until long-term financing is arranged.

Table of Contents

Investing Activities

During 2008 we used \$99 million of cash for company-funded capital expenditures and \$24.9 million for acquisitions of new systems. Capital expenditures were budgeted at approximately \$85 million. At December 31, 2008, we had accrued payables of \$11.0 million related to company-funded capital projects. Developer funded cash capital expenditures were \$8.6 in 2008 compared to \$13.5 million in 2007. Developer funded projects vary year-to-year based upon housing markets in our service area.

Included in investing activities was the receipt of \$34.2 million from our MTBE litigations. We are currently reviewing the regulatory treatment with the CPUC and are in process of filing a request for a private letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service regarding the tax treatment. While we have not yet determined the final accounting treatment of the proceeds, we anticipate using the proceeds on infrastructure improvements.

Financing Activities

During 2008 there were no debt or equity offerings. Dividend payments were higher in 2008 from the prior year due to additional shares outstanding and a higher dividend rate in 2008. In August 2008 we redeemed and cancelled all outstanding shares of our Series C Preferred Stock.

Short-Term Financing

Short-term liquidity is provided by credit facilities extended to us and certain of our subsidiaries and by internally generated funds. Long-term financing is accomplished through use of both debt and equity. Short-term bank borrowings were \$40 million at December 31, 2008, and zero at December, 2007. Cash and cash equivalents were \$13.9 million at December 31, 2008, and \$6.7 million at December 31, 2007. Given our ability to access our lines of credit on a daily basis, cash balances are managed to levels required for daily cash needs and excess cash is invested in short-term or cash equivalent instruments. Minimal operating levels of cash are maintained for Washington Water, New Mexico Water, and Hawaii Water.

Cal Water has a \$55 million credit facility agreement that expires April 30, 2012 with Bank of America. The agreement requires debt as a percent of total capitalization to be less than 67%, and an interest coverage ratio of at least 2.5:1.0. As of December 31, 2008, we have met all covenant requirements and are eligible to use the full amount of the commitment. On September 24, 2008, the Cal Water line of credit was amended to allow borrowings up to \$95 million for the period between September 30, 2008 and March 31, 2009. The amendment also provided that at any time the borrowings under the revolving credit facility exceed \$55 million the entire principal amount outstanding of the revolving facility will bear interest annually at the lender's prime rate minus 1.0 percentage points or alternatively at LIBOR plus 0.75 percentage points. If the borrowings do not exceed \$55 million the original interest provisions will apply. In addition to borrowings, the credit facility allows for letters of credit up to \$10 million, which reduces the available amount to borrow when utilized. One letter of credit was outstanding at December 31, 2008, for \$0.5 million related to an insurance policy. Interest is charged on a variable basis and fees are charged for unused amounts.

A separate credit facility also with Bank of America, for \$20 million also exists for use by the Company and its subsidiaries, including Washington Water, New Mexico Water, and Hawaii Water. In addition to borrowings, the credit facility allows for letters of credit up to \$5 million, which would reduce the amount available to borrow. No letters of credit were outstanding at December 31, 2008. Interest is charged on a variable basis and fees are charged for unused amounts.

Credit Ratings

Cal Water's first mortgage bonds are rated by Standard & Poor's (S&P). Since 2004, the credit rating agency has maintained their rating of A+ and characterized us as stable. In the past, the credit agency has been concerned over the rate-setting process and decisions by the CPUC. Also, concerns were raised about our present level of capital expenditures, which will need to be partially financed through long-term borrowings or equity offerings. Management believes we would be able to meet financing needs even if ratings were downgraded, but a rating change could result in a higher interest rate on new debt.

Table of Contents

Long-Term Financing

Long-term financing, which includes senior notes, other debt securities, and common stock, has been used to replace short-term borrowings and fund capital expenditures. Internally generated funds, after making dividend payments, provide positive cash flow, but have not been at a level to meet the needs of our capital expenditure requirements. Management expects this trend to continue given our capital expenditures plan for the next 5 years. Some capital expenditures are funded by payments received from developers for contributions in aid of construction or advances for construction. Funds received for contributions in aid of construction are non-refundable, whereas funds classified as advances in construction are refundable. Management believes long-term financing is available to meet our cash flow needs through issuances in both debt and equity markets.

In September 2004, the CPUC issued a decision granting Cal Water authority to complete up to \$250 million of equity and debt financing through 2010, subject to certain restrictions. Following our issuance of \$79.5 million of common stock in 2006, of which \$73.4 million was contributed to Cal Water, Cal Water has approximately \$176 million of authorized equity and debt financing that it can complete through 2010 without seeking further authorization from the CPUC.

During 2006, we raised approximately \$103 million of capital. Of this amount, \$20 million was raised through privately placed senior unsecured notes. The remaining \$83 million was raised through the issuance of 2.3 million shares of common stock.

In September 2006, we filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC for up to \$150 million in preferred stock and common stock in addition to our prior shelf registration permitting up to \$35.6 million in preferred stock and common stock. On October 12, 2006, we completed an underwritten public offering of 2,250,000 shares of our common stock (including 250,000 shares pursuant to the exercise, in part, by the underwriters of their over-allotment option) at a price per share of \$36.75 to the public, raising approximately \$83 million in gross proceeds. For additional information please reference our Form 8-K, dated October 12, 2006 on file with the SEC. After issuance of these shares, we had approximately \$101 million in remaining securities available for future issuance under our shelf registration.

In 2008, we utilized cash generated from operations and financing activities in 2006. In future periods, management anticipates funding our capital needs through a relatively balanced approach between long term debt and equity.

We did not issue any significant long-term debt or common stock in 2008.

In the first half of 2009 Cal Water anticipates issuing approximately \$100 million first mortgage debt guaranteed by the Company in a public offering. Cal Water's ability to complete the offering is dependent on receiving CPUC approval which it is seeking, and public offering market conditions after it receives the approval.

Additional information regarding the bank borrowings and long-term debt is presented in Notes 8 and 9 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Transactions

We do not utilize off-balance-sheet financing or utilize special purpose entity arrangements for financing. We do not have equity ownership through joint ventures or partnership arrangements.

Table of Contents**Contractual Obligations**

	Total	Less Than 1 Year	1-3 Years (In thousands)	3-5 Years	After 5 Years
Long-term debt	\$ 290,316	\$ 2,818	\$ 15,408	\$ 52,657	\$ 219,433
Interest payments	236,247	17,780	34,626	33,208	150,633
Advances for construction	176,163	6,123	12,027	11,966	146,047
Office leases	2,331	639	578	172	942
System leases	8,349	961	1,825	1,690	3,873
Water supply contracts	495,712	18,743	37,557	37,564	401,848
TOTAL	\$ 1,209,118	\$ 47,064	\$ 102,021	\$ 137,257	\$ 922,776

Our contractual obligations are summarized in the table above. For pension and post retirement benefits other than pension obligations see Note 12 to the Notes to the consolidated Financial Statements. Long-term debt payments include annual sinking fund payments on first mortgage bonds, maturities of long-term debt, and annual payments on other long-term obligations. Advances for construction represent annual contract refunds to developers for the cost of water systems paid for by the developers. The contracts are non-interest bearing, and refunds are generally on a straight-line basis over a 40-year period. System and office leases include obligations associated with leasing water systems and rents for office space.

Cal Water has water supply contracts with wholesale suppliers in 14 of its operating districts and for the two leased systems in Hawthorne and Commerce. For each contract, the cost of water is established by the wholesale supplier and is generally beyond our control. The amount paid annually to the wholesale suppliers is charged to purchased water expense on our statement of income. Most contracts do not require minimum annual payments and vary with the volume of water purchased.

We have a contract with the Santa Clara Water District, which contains minimal purchase provisions. The contract payment varies with the volume of water purchased above the minimal levels. Management plans to continue to purchase and use at least the minimum water requirement under this contract in the future. Total paid under this contract was \$6.7 million in 2008, \$6.2 million in 2007, and \$5.4 million in 2006.

The water supply contract with Stockton East Water District (SEWD) requires a fixed, annual payment and does not vary during the year with the quantity of water delivered by the district. Due to the fixed price arrangement, we utilize as much water as possible from SEWD in order to minimize the cost of operating Company-owned wells used to supplement SEWD deliveries. The total paid under the contract was \$5.7 million in 2008, \$5.5 million in 2007, and \$4.4 million in 2006. Pricing under the contract varies annually. Estimated annual contractual obligations in the above table are based on the same payment level as 2008. Future cost increases by SEWD are expected to be offset by a decline in the allocation of costs to us as more of these costs are expected to be allocated to other SEWD customers due to growth within their service areas.

On September 21, 2005, we entered into an agreement with Kern County Water Agency (Agency) to obtain treated water for our operations. The term of the agreement is to January 1, 2035, or until the Agency's bonds are repaid. The Agency's bonds are described below. Under the terms of the agreement, we were obligated to purchase approximately 11,500 acre feet of treated water in 2008 and an incrementally higher volume of water for each subsequent year until 2017, when we are obligated to purchase 20,500 acre feet of treated water per year. We are obligated to pay a capital

facilities charge and a treated water charge, both of which will be expensed as invoiced, regardless of whether we can use the water in our operation, and we are obligated for these charges even if the Agency cannot produce an adequate amount to supply the 20,500 acre feet in the year. This agreement supersedes a prior agreement with Kern County Water Agency for the supply of 11,500 acre feet of water per year. Total paid, under the prior agreement, was \$4.4 million, \$2.9 million, and \$3.3 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Three other parties, including the City of Bakersfield, are also obligated to purchase a total of 32,500 acre feet per year under separate agreements with the Agency. Further, the Agency has the right to proportionally reduce the water supply provided to all of the participants if it cannot produce adequate supplies. The participation of all parties in the transaction for expansion of the Agency's facilities, including its water purification plant, purchase of the

Table of Contents

water, and payment of interest and principal on the bonds being issued by the Agency to finance the transaction, is required as a condition to the obligation of the Agency to proceed with expansion of the Agency's facilities. If any of the other parties does not use its allocation in a given year, that party is still obligated to pay its contracted amount.

The Agency has issued bonds to fund the project and will use the payments of the capital facilities charges by us and the other contracted parties to meet the Agency's obligations to pay interest and repay principal on the bonds. If any of the parties were to default on making payments of the capital facilities charge, then the other parties are obligated to pay for the defaulting party's share on a pro-rata basis. If there is a payment default by a party and the remaining parties have to make payments, they are also entitled to a pro-rata share of the defaulting party's water allocation.

We expect to use all of its entitled water in our operations every year. If additional treated water is available, all parties have an option to purchase this additional treated water, subject to the Agency's right to allocate the water among the parties. If we were to pay for and receive additional amounts of water due to a default of another participating party, we believe we could use this additional water in our operations without incurring substantial increases in incremental costs.

The total obligation of all parties, excluding us, is approximately \$82.4 million to the Agency. Based on the credit worthiness of the other participants, which are government entities, our management believes it to be highly unlikely that we would be required to assume any other parties' obligations under the contract due to their default. If a party defaults, we would receive entitlement to the additional water for assuming the additional obligation.

Once the project is complete, we are obligated to pay a capital facilities charge and a treated water charge that together total \$6.4 million annually, which equates to \$0.3 per acre foot. Annual payments of \$3.6 million for the capital facilities charge began when the Agency issued bonds to fund the project. Some of the treated water charge of \$2.8 million began July 1, 2007, when a portion of the planned capacity became available. Once the entire expansion project is completed, the full annual payments will be \$6.4 million which will continue through the term of the agreement. As treated water is being delivered, we will also be obligated for our portion of the operating costs; that portion is currently estimated to be \$0.02 per acre foot. The actual amount will vary due to variations from estimates, inflation, and other changes in the cost structure. Our overall estimated cost of \$0.3 per acre foot is less than the estimated cost of procuring untreated water (assuming water rights could be obtained) and then providing treatment.

Capital Requirements

Capital requirements consist primarily of new construction expenditures for expanding and replacing utility plant facilities and the acquisition of water systems. They also include refunds of advances for construction.

Company-funded utility plant expenditures were \$99 million, \$76.0 million, and \$88.4 million in 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. A majority of capital expenditures was associated with mains and water treatment equipment.

For 2009, the Company is estimating its capital expenditures to be between \$100 and \$130 million. We do not expect a decline in annual capital expenditure for the next five years.

Other capital expenditures are funded through developer advances and contributions in aid of construction (non-company funded). The cash expenditure amounts were \$8.6 million, \$13.5 million, and \$16.1 million in 2008, 2007, and 2006, respectively. The changes from year-to-year reflect expansion projects by developers in our service areas.

Management expects us to incur non-company funded expenditures in 2009. These expenditures will be financed by developers through refundable advances for construction and non-refundable contributions in aid of construction.

Developers are required to deposit the cost of a water construction project with us prior to our commencing construction work, or the developers may construct the facilities themselves and deed the completed facilities to us. Funds are generally received in advance of incurring costs for these projects. Advances are normally refunded over a 40-year period without interest. Future payments for advances received are listed under contractual obligations above. Because non-company-funded construction activity is solely at the discretion of developers, we

In August 2006, we acquired the assets of Independent Utility Company, for approximately \$0.5 million in cash in exchange for the assets of the system, including three wells and 340 acre-feet of water rights. Located 15 miles east of Albuquerque, New Mexico, we merged the system and its 400 customers into New Mexico Water Service Company. No goodwill was recorded in the transaction.

Equity Risk

We do not have equity investments and, therefore, do not have equity risks.

adoption of the Water Revenue Adjustment Mechanism (WRAM) and the Modified Cost Balancing Account (MCBA), Cal Water records the difference between what is billed to its regulated customers and that which is authorized by the California Public Utilities Commission (CPUC).

Under the WRAM, Cal Water records the adopted level of volumetric revenues as authorized by the CPUC for metered accounts (adopted volumetric revenues). In addition to volumetric-based revenues, the revenue requirements approved by the CPUC include service charges, flat rate charges, and other items that are not subject to the WRAM. The adopted volumetric revenue considers the seasonality of consumption of water based upon historical

It is anticipated that future rate action by the Commissions will reflect revenue requirements for the tax effects of temporary differences recognized, which have previously been flowed through to customers. The Commissions have granted the Company rate increases to reflect the normalization of the tax benefits of the federal accelerated methods and available Investment Tax Credits (ITC) for all assets placed in service after 1980. ITCs are deferred and amortized over the lives of the related properties for book purposes.

Shares used for dilutive calculation	20,734	20,689	18,925
Earnings per share basic	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.34
Earnings per share diluted	\$ 1.90	\$ 1.50	\$ 1.34

Stock-based Compensation

In 2006, the Company adopted the provisions of the Financial Accounting Standards Board's (FASB) Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 revised 2004 (SFAS 123(R)), Share-Based Payment which replaced Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (SFAS 123), Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation and supersedes APB Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), Business Combinations. The statement applies prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after years beginning after December 15, 2008. SFAS 141(R) significantly changes current practices regarding business combinations. Among the more significant changes, SFAS 141(R) expands the definition of a business and a business combination; requires the acquirer to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed and noncontrolling interests (including goodwill), measured at fair value at the acquisition date; requires acquisition-related expenses and restructuring costs to be recognized separately from the business combination and requires assets acquired and liabilities assumed from contractual and non-contractual contingencies to be recognized at their acquisition date fair

Operating and maintenance services and meter reading and billing services are provided for water and wastewater systems owned by private companies and municipalities. The agreements call for a fee-per-service or a flat-rate amount per month. Leases have been entered into with telecommunications companies for cellular phone antennas placed on the Company's property. Design and construction services are for the design and installation of water mains and other water infrastructure for others outside the Company's regulated service areas.

Total	13	\$ 15,537	\$ 8,406	\$ 7,131	\$ 12,890	\$ 7,022	\$ 5,868
-------	----	-----------	----------	----------	-----------	----------	----------

Unamortized intangible
assets:

Perpetual water rights and other		\$ 2,931		\$ 2,931	\$ 2,911		\$ 2,911
-------------------------------------	--	----------	--	----------	----------	--	----------

For the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007, and 2006, amortization of intangible assets was \$1,838, \$866, and \$853, respectively. Estimated future amortization expense related to intangible assets for the succeeding five years is approximately \$1,260, \$1,276, \$628, \$529, \$483, and \$2,955 thereafter.

The following table represents borrowings under the bank lines of credit:

	2008	2007	2006
Maximum short-term borrowings	\$ 44,000	\$	\$ 30,250
Average amount outstanding	\$ 25,748	\$	\$ 7,237
Weighted average interest rate	3.24%	n/a	6.76%
Interest rate at December 31	1.75%	n/a	n/a

senior notes are held by institutional investors and require interest-only payments until maturity, except series J which has an annual sinking fund payment amount of \$909 annually, and series G and H which have an annual sinking fund requirement of \$1,818 starting in 2012, and Series I which has an annual sinking fund requirement of \$909 starting in 2013. The terms of the unsecured notes require Cal Water to grant a first mortgage security interest upon the issuance of additional first mortgage debt. The California Department of Water Resources (DWR) loans were financed under the California Safe Drinking Water Bond Act. Repayment of principal and interest on the DWR loans is through a surcharge on customer bills. Other long-term debt includes other equipment and system acquisition financing arrangements with financial institutions. Aggregate maturities and sinking fund requirements for each of the succeeding five years (2009 through 2013) are \$2,818, \$12,763, \$2,645, \$6,298, and \$46,359, and \$219,433, thereafter.

Edgar Filing: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP - Form 10-K

Prepaid expense	4,123	2,762
Premium on early retirement of bonds	1,745	1,961
Other	814	15
Total deferred tax liabilities	138,334	131,925
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$ 76,467	\$ 69,712

A valuation allowance was not required at December 31, 2008 and 2007. Based on historical taxable income and future taxable income projections over the period in which the deferred assets are deductible, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of the deductible differences.

service.

The Company also maintains an unfunded, non-qualified, supplemental executive retirement plan. The unfunded supplemental executive retirement plan accumulated benefit obligations were \$15,043 and \$10,340 as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Benefit payments under the supplemental executive retirement plan are paid currently and are included in the preceding paragraph.

The costs of the pension and retirement plans are charged to expense and utility plant. The Company makes annual contributions to fund the amounts accrued for pension cost.

Outstanding at December 31, 2007	90,500	24.94	3.3	90,500
Exercised	(6,500)	25.39		
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	84,000	24.90	2.1	84,000

Equity Incentive Plan

The Equity Incentive Plan, which was approved by shareholders in April 2005, is authorized to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of common stock. In 2008 and 2007, the Company granted Restricted Stock Awards (RSAs) of 16,630 and 10,170 shares, respectively, of common stock both to employees and to directors of the Company. Employee awards vest ratably over 48 months, while independent director awards vest at the end of 12 months. The shares were valued at the weighted average price of \$37.60 and \$38.30 per share, respectively based upon the fair market value of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. In 2008, Stock Appreciation Rights (SARs) equivalent to 47,070 shares were granted to employees, which vest ratably over 48 months and expire at the end of 10 years. The grant-date fair value for SARs was determined by using the Black Scholes model, which arrived at a

discounted cash flow analysis, based on the current rates available to the Company for debt of similar maturities and credit risk. The book value of the long-term debt is \$287 million and \$289 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The fair value of advances for construction contracts is estimated at \$66 million as of December 31, 2008, and \$64 million as of December 31, 2007, based on data of recent market transactions.

each subsequent year until 2017, when the Company is obligated to purchase 20,500 acre feet of treated water per year. The Company is obligated to pay the Capital Facilities Charge and the Treated Water Charge regardless of whether it can use the water in its operation, and is obligated for these charges even if the Agency cannot produce an adequate amount to supply the 20,500 acre feet in the year. (This agreement supersedes a prior agreement with Kern County Water Agency for the supply of 11,500 acre feet of water per year). Total annual cost in 2008 was \$4,369, \$2,871 in 2007, and \$3,301 in 2006.

Three other parties, including the City of Bakersfield, are also obligated to purchase a total of 32,500 acre feet per year under separate agreements with the Agency. Further, the Agency has the right to proportionally reduce the water supply provided to all of the participants if it cannot produce adequate supplies. The participation of all parties in the transaction for expansion of the Agency's facilities, including the Water Purification Plant, purchase of the

Advocates have tentatively agreed to track all costs associated with the Consent Decrees, including legal costs to pursue insurance coverage, for potential future recovery in rates.

In connection with these suits, our insurance carrier, Employers Insurance of Wausau (Wausau) filed a separate lawsuit against us for reimbursement of past defense costs which approximate \$1.5 million and a declaratory determination of coverage. On January 23, 2008, the Court heard various parties' motions and on September 25, 2008 issued its rulings that Wausau had a duty to defend; therefore, the Company will not have to reimburse Wausau for previously incurred defense costs. The Court did not find Wausau's actions were intended to harm the Company,

In the past few years, the Company has been named as a co-defendant in several asbestos related lawsuits. The company has been dismissed without prejudice in two of these cases. In Case No. BC360406, reported in our prior year annual report, the Court has approved a confidential settlement between the Company, the plaintiff and his heirs. The settlement was paid for by our contractor s and our insurance policy carriers. There was no effect on our financial statements. On February 6, 2009, plaintiffs filed in Alameda County William and Barbara Church vs. Asbestos Corporation, LTD et al., Case No. RG09434913, against the Company and numerous other defendants.

The information required by this Item as to directors of the Company and the Company's Audit Committee is contained in the sections captioned Board Structure and Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors of the 2009 Proxy Statement, and is incorporated herein by reference.

Information required by this Item regarding executive officers is included in a separate section captioned Executive Officers of the Registrant contained in Part I of this report.

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence.*

The information required by this Item is contained in the sections captioned *Certain Related Persons Transactions* and *board Structure* of the 2009 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services.*

The information required by this Item is contained in the section captioned *Report of the Audit Committee* and *Relationship with the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm* of the 2009 Proxy Statement and is incorporated herein by reference.

Edgar Filing: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP - Form 10-K

- 4.16 Tenth Supplement dated February 15, 2003, to Note Agreement of March 1, 1999, pertaining to issuance of \$10,000,000, 5.48% Series L Unsecured Senior Notes, due March 1, 2018 (Exhibit 4.18 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2002)
- 4.17 Thirteenth Supplemental Trust Indenture whereby California Water Service Company became the successor to Dominguez Water Corporation in the original trust indenture for Dominguez Water Corporation dated August 1, 1954 (Exhibit 4.13 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000 [included within Exhibit 4.12 to such report])

- year ended December 31, 1992)
- 10.6 Second Amended Contract dated September 25, 1987, among Stockton East Water District, California Water Service Company, the City of Stockton, the Lincoln Village Maintenance District, and the Colonial Heights Maintenance District Providing for the Sale of Treated Water. (Exhibit 10.7 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1987)
- 10.7 Water Supply Contract dated April 19, 1927, and Supplemental Agreement dated June 5, 1953, between Cal Water and Pacific Gas and Electric Company relating to Cal Water's Oroville District. (Exhibit 10.9 to Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1992)

- 10.28 [reserved]
- 10.29 [reserved]
- 10.30 California Water Service Group Equity Incentive Plan (filed as Appendix B of the California Water Service Group proxy statement dated March 25, 2005, for its Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on April 27, 2005, as filed with the SEC on March 22, 2005 (File No. 1-13883))*

Edgar Filing: CALIFORNIA WATER SERVICE GROUP - Form 10-K

- 31.2 Chief Financial Officer certification of financial statements pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32. Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement