

CIT GROUP INC  
Form 10-K  
February 20, 2015

**Table of Contents**

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014

or

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 001-31369

**CIT GROUP INC.**

*(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)*

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

**65-1051192**

(IRS Employer Identification No.)

**11 West 42nd Street, New York, New York**

(Address of Registrant's principal executive offices)

**10036**

(Zip Code)

**(212) 461-5200**

Registrant's telephone number including area code:

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

**Title of each class**

Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share

**Name of each exchange on which registered**

New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its Corporate Web site, if any, every interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (229.405 of this Chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. | |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (check one)

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer | |

Non-accelerated filer | | Smaller reporting company | |

At February 6, 2015, there were 175,995,263 shares of CIT's common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes | | No

The aggregate market value of voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, based on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Transaction closing price of Common Stock (\$45.76 per share, 184,891,451 shares of common stock outstanding), which occurred on June 30, 2014, was \$8,460,632,798. For purposes of this computation, all officers and directors of the registrant are deemed to be affiliates. Such determination shall not be deemed an admission that such officers and directors are, in fact, affiliates of the registrant.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court.

Yes  No | |

### DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the registrant's definitive proxy statement relating to the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III hereof to the extent described herein.

### Table of Contents

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 1

---

## CONTENTS

Part One		
Item 1.	Business Overview	2
	Where You Can Find More Information	16
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	19
Item 1B.	Unresolved Staff Comments	27
Item 2.	Properties	27
Item 3.	Legal Proceedings	27
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	27
Part Two		
Item 5.	Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities	28
Item 6.	Selected Financial Data	30
Item 7.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	34
Item 7A.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk	34

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Item 8.	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data	86
Item 9.	Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure	149
Item 9A.	Controls and Procedures	149
Item 9B.	Other Information	149
Part Three		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance	150
Item 11.	Executive Compensation	150
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters	150
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence	150
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services	150
Part Four		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules	151
Signatures		156

### Table of Contents

---

### Table of Contents

## 2 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

### PART ONE

---

## Item 1: Business Overview

### BUSINESS DESCRIPTION

CIT Group Inc., together with its subsidiaries (“we”, “our”, “CIT” or the “Company”) has provided financial solutions to its clients since its formation in 1908. We provide financing, leasing and advisory services principally to middle market companies in a wide variety of industries primarily in North America, and equipment financing and leasing solutions to the transportation industry worldwide. We had over \$35 billion of financing and leasing assets at December 31, 2014. CIT became a bank holding company (“BHC”) in December 2008 and a financial holding company (“FHC”) in July 2013.

CIT is regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (“FRB”) and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York (“FRBNY”) under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (“BHC Act”). CIT Bank (the “Bank”), a wholly-owned subsidiary, is a Utah state-chartered bank located in Salt Lake City, UT that offers commercial financing and leasing products, as well as a suite of savings options, and is subject to regulation by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) and the Utah Department of Financial Institutions (“UDFI”). As of December 31, 2014, over 48% of CIT’s financing and leasing assets were in the Bank and essentially all new U.S. business volume is being originated by the Bank.

Each business has industry alignment and focuses on specific sectors, products and markets, with portfolios diversified by client and geography. Our principal product and service offerings include:

#### Products and Services

- Account receivables collection
- Acquisition and expansion financing
- Asset management and servicing
- Asset-based loans
- Credit protection
- Debt restructuring
- Enterprise value and cash flow loans
- Factoring services
- Financial risk management
- Import and export financing
- Insurance services
- Equipment leases

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

- Debt underwriting and syndication
- Debtor-in-possession / turnaround financing
- Deposits
- Letters of credit / trade acceptances
- Mergers and acquisition advisory services ("M&A")
- Secured lines of credit

We source business through marketing efforts directly to borrowers, lessees, manufacturers, vendors and distributors, and through referral sources and other intermediaries. We also buy participations in syndications of loans and lines of credit and periodically purchase finance receivables on a whole-loan basis.

We generate revenue by earning interest on loans and investments, collecting rentals on equipment we lease, and earning commissions, fees and other income for services we provide. We syndicate and sell certain finance receivables and equipment to leverage our origination capabilities, reduce concentrations and manage our balance sheet.

We set underwriting standards for each division and employ portfolio risk management models to achieve desired portfolio demographics. Our collection and servicing operations are organized by business and geography in order to provide efficient client interfaces and uniform customer experiences.

### PENDING ACQUISITION

On July 22, 2014, we announced that we had entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger to acquire IMB Holdco LLC, the parent company of OneWest Bank, N.A. ("OneWest Bank") for approximately \$3.4 billion (the "OneWest Transaction"), subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the merger agreement. The consideration paid will be based upon certain capital levels derived from OneWest Bank's audited June 30, 2014 balance sheet, and is expected to approximate \$2 billion in cash and 31.3 million shares of CIT Group Inc. common stock, which had a value of \$1.4 billion at the time of the announcement, but will vary depending upon the share price at the time of closing. As part of the OneWest Transaction, CIT Bank, CIT's banking subsidiary, will merge with and into OneWest Bank under the "CIT Bank, National Association" name. IMB Holdco is regulated by the FRB and OneWest Bank is regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, U.S. Department of the Treasury ("OCC"). The OneWest Transaction is subject to certain customary closing conditions and regulatory approval by the FRB and the OCC, but not a shareholder vote. On February 6, 2015, the FRB and the OCC announced a joint public meeting on the OneWest Transaction, which will be held on February 26, 2015 at the Los Angeles branch of the Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco.

CIT Group Inc. will continue to be led by John A. Thain, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Following the close of the transaction, Steven T. Mnuchin, Chairman of IMB Holdco LLC, will join CIT Group Inc. as Vice Chairman and will also become a member of its Board of Directors. Alan Frank, an independent director from OneWest Bank will also join the CIT Board, increasing its size from 13 to 15 members. Joseph Otting, President and Chief Executive Officer of OneWest Bank, will join CIT as Co-President of CIT and CEO of CIT Bank, N.A.

Following the closing, based on current definitions and requirements for a systematically important financial institution ("SIFI"), CIT will become subject to the enhanced regulatory standards applicable to bank holding companies at the end of the quarter in which the OneWest Transaction closes, including but not limited to submitting an annual capital plan, undergoing an annual supervisory stress test and two company-run stress tests, enhanced requirements for overall risk management, submitting a resolution plan, implementation of an enhanced compliance program under the Volcker Rule, and payment of additional FRB assessments. The date on which CIT must comply with each SIFI requirement will vary depending on the terms of the particular regulation and timing of deal closing.

---

### Table of Contents

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 3

---

### BUSINESS SEGMENTS

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

In December 2013, we announced organization changes that became effective January 1, 2014. In conjunction with management's plans to (i) realign and simplify its businesses and organizational structure, (ii) streamline and consolidate certain business processes to achieve greater operating efficiencies, and (iii) leverage CIT's operational capabilities for the benefit of its clients and customers, CIT will manage its business and report its financial results in three operating segments: Transportation & International Finance ("TIF"), North American Commercial Finance ("NACF"), and Non-Strategic Portfolios ("NSP") and a fourth non-operating segment, Corporate and Other. See *Note 25 — Business Segment Information* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for additional information relating to the reorganization.

SEGMENT	DIVISIONS	MARKETS AND SERVICES
Transportation & International Finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Aerospace</li> <li>• Rail</li> <li>• Maritime Finance</li> <li>• International Finance</li> </ul>	<p>Large ticket equipment leasing and secured financing to select transportation industries.</p> <p>Equipment finance and secured lending in select international geographies.</p>
North American Commercial Finance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Commercial Services</li> <li>• Corporate Finance</li> <li>• Equipment Finance</li> <li>• Real Estate Finance</li> </ul>	<p>Factoring, receivables management products and secured financing to retail supply chain companies.</p> <p>Lending, leasing and other financial and advisory services to small and middle-market companies across select industries.</p>
Non-Strategic Portfolios		Consists of portfolios that we do not consider strategic.
Corporate and Other		Consists of certain items not allocated to operating segments.

Financial information about our segments and our geographic areas of operation are located in *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data (Note 25 — Business Segment Information)*.

### Item 1: Business Overview

## Table of Contents

### 4 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

#### TRANSPORTATION & INTERNATIONAL FINANCE

TIF is a leading provider of leasing and financing solutions to operators and suppliers in the global aviation and railcar industries, and has a growing maritime business. TIF consists of four divisions: aerospace (commercial air and business air), rail, maritime finance, and international finance, the latter of which includes equipment financing, secured lending and leasing in China and the U.K. The U.K. Equipment Financing Portfolio was included in assets held for sale at December 31, 2014. Revenues generated by TIF primarily include rents collected on leased assets, interest on loans, fees, and gains from assets sold. Aerospace and Rail account for the vast majority of the segment's assets, revenues and earnings. Maritime Finance was launched as a distinct business in the fourth quarter of 2012, although CIT had periodically financed assets within the sector on a small scale.

We achieved leadership positions in transportation finance by leveraging our deep industry experience and core strengths in technical asset management, customer relationship management, and credit analysis. We have extensive experience managing equipment over its full life cycle, including purchasing, leasing, remarketing and selling new and used equipment. TIF is a global business, with aircraft around the world, railcar leasing operations throughout North America and Europe and a growing loan portfolio.

#### *Aerospace*

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

*Commercial Air* provides aircraft leasing, lending, asset management, and advisory services. The division's primary clients include global and regional airlines around the world. Offices are located in the U.S., Europe and Asia. As of December 31, 2014, our commercial aerospace financing and leasing portfolio consists of 350 aircraft, which are placed with about 100 clients in approximately 50 countries.

*Business Air* offers financing and leasing programs for corporate and private owners of business jets. Serving clients around the world, we provide financing that is tailored to our clients unique business requirements. Products include term loans, leases, pre-delivery financing, fractional share financing and vendor / manufacturer financing.

*Rail* offers customized leasing and financing solutions and a highly efficient fleet of railcars and locomotives to railroads and shippers throughout North America and Europe. We expanded our operations to Europe during 2014 through an acquisition. We serve over 650 customers, including all of the U.S. and Canadian Class I railroads (railroads with annual revenues of at least \$250 million), other railroads and non-rail companies, such as shippers and power and energy companies. Our operating lease fleet consists of approximately 120,000 railcars and 390 locomotives. Railcar types include covered hopper cars used to ship grain and agricultural products, plastic pellets, sand, and cement, tank cars for energy products and chemicals, gondolas for coal, steel coil and mill service products, open hopper cars for coal and aggregates, boxcars for paper and auto parts and centerbeams and flat cars for lumber.

*Maritime Finance* offers senior secured loans, sale-leasebacks and bareboat charters to owners and operators of oceangoing cargo vessels, including tankers, bulkers, container ships, car carriers and offshore vessels and drilling rigs.

*International Finance* offers equipment financing, secured lending and leasing to small and middle-market businesses in China and the U.K., the latter of which was included in assets held for sale at December 31, 2014.

The primary asset type held by TIF is equipment (predominantly commercial aircraft and railcars) purchased and leased to commercial end-users. The typical structure for leasing of large ticket transportation assets is an operating lease. TIF also has a loan portfolio consisting primarily of senior, secured loans. The primary source of revenue for TIF is rent collected on leased assets and to a lesser extent interest on loans, gains from assets sold and fees for services provided.

The primary risks for TIF are asset risk (resulting from ownership of the equipment on operating lease) and credit risk. Asset risk arises from fluctuations in supply and demand for the underlying equipment that is leased. TIF invests in long-lived equipment; commercial aircraft have economic useful lives of approximately 20-25 years and railcars/locomotives have economic useful lives of approximately 35-50 years. This equipment is then leased to commercial end-users with lease terms of approximately 3-12 years. CIT is exposed to the risk that, at the end of the lease term, the value of the asset will be lower than expected, resulting in reduced future lease income over the remaining life of the asset or a lower sale value.

Asset risk is generally recognized through changes to lease income streams from fluctuations in lease rates and/or utilization. Changes to lease income occur when the existing lease contract expires, the asset comes off lease, and the business seeks to enter a new lease agreement. Asset risk may also change depreciation, resulting from changes in the residual value of the operating lease asset or through impairment of the asset carrying value, which can occur at any time during the life of the asset.

Credit risk in the leased equipment portfolio results from the potential default of lessees, possibly driven by obligor specific or industry-wide conditions, and is economically less significant than asset risk for TIF, because in the operating lease business, there is no extension of credit to the obligor. Instead, the lessor deploys a portion of the useful life of the asset. Credit losses manifest through multiple parts of the income statement including loss of lease/rental income due to missed payments, time off lease, or lower rental payments than the existing contract either due to a restructuring or re-leasing of the asset to another obligor as well as higher expenses due to, for example, repossession costs to recover, refurbish, and re-lease assets. Credit risk associated with loans relates to the ability of the borrower to repay its loan and the Company's ability to realize the value of the collateral underlying the loan should the borrower default on its obligations.

See "*Concentrations*" section of *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and *Note 21 — Commitments* of *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for further discussion of our aerospace and rail portfolios.

### NORTH AMERICAN COMMERCIAL FINANCE

The NACF segment consists of four divisions: Commercial Services, Corporate Finance, Equipment Finance, and Real Estate Finance. Revenue is generated from interest earned on loans,

---

**Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 5

rents on leases, fees and other revenue from lending activities and capital markets transactions, and commissions earned on factoring activities.

*Commercial Services* provides factoring, receivable management products, and secured financing to businesses (our clients, generally manufacturers or importers of goods) that operate in several industries, including apparel, textile, furniture, home furnishings and consumer electronics. Factoring entails the assumption of credit risk with respect to trade accounts receivable arising from the sale of goods by our clients to their customers (generally retailers) that have been factored (i.e. sold or assigned to the factor). Although primarily U.S.-based, Commercial Services also conducts business with clients and their customers internationally.

*Corporate Finance* provides a range of financing options and offers advisory services to small and medium size companies. Its core products include both loan and fee-based products. Loans offered are primarily senior secured loans collateralized by accounts receivable, inventory, machinery & equipment and/or intangibles that are often used for working capital, plant expansion, acquisitions or recapitalizations. These loans include revolving lines of credit and term loans and, depending on the nature and quality of the collateral, may be referred to as asset-based loans or cash flow loans. We provide financing to customers in a wide range of industries, including Commercial & Industrial, Communications, Media, & Entertainment, Energy, and Healthcare.

*Equipment Finance* provides leasing and equipment loan solutions to small businesses and middle market companies in a wide range of industries on both a private label and direct basis. We provide financing solutions for our borrowers and lessees, and assist manufacturers and distributors in growing sales, profitability and customer loyalty by providing customized, value-added finance solutions to their commercial clients. Our LendEdge platform allows small businesses to access financing through a highly automated credit approval, documentation and funding process. We offer loans and both capital and operating leases.

*Real Estate Finance* provides senior secured commercial real estate loans to developers and other commercial real estate professionals. We focus on stable, cash flowing properties and originate construction loans to highly experienced and well capitalized developers.

Key risks faced by NACF's Corporate Finance, Equipment Finance and Real Estate Finance divisions are credit risk, business risk and asset risk. Credit risks associated with secured financings relate to the ability of the borrower to repay its loan and the value of the collateral underlying the loan should the borrower default on its obligations.

Business risks relate to the demand for NACF's services that is broadly affected by the level of economic growth and is more specifically affected by the level of economic activity in CIT's target industries. If demand for CIT's products and services declines, then new business volume originated by NACF will decline. Likewise, changes in supply and demand of CIT's products and services also affect the pricing CIT can command from the market. Additionally, new business volume in Equipment Finance is influenced by CIT's ability to maintain and develop relationships with its vendor partners. With regard to pricing, NACF is subject to potential threats from competitor activity or disintermediation by vendor partners and other referral sources, which could negatively affect CIT's margins. NACF is also exposed to business risk related to its syndication activity. Under adverse market circumstances, CIT would be exposed to risk arising from the inability to sell loans to other lenders, resulting in lower fee income and higher than expected credit exposure to certain borrowers.

Another risk to which NACF is exposed to in Equipment Finance is asset risk, namely that at the end of the lease term, the value of the asset will be lower than expected, resulting in reduced future lease income over the remaining life of the asset or a lower sale value.

The products and services provided by Commercial Services consist of two types of credit risk: customer and client. A client (typically a manufacturer or importer of goods) is the counterparty to any factoring agreement, financing agreement, or receivables purchasing agreement that has been entered into with Commercial Services. A customer (typically a wholesaler or retailer) is the

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

account debtor and obligor on trade accounts receivable that have been factored with and assigned to the factor.

The largest risk for Commercial Services is customer credit risk in factoring transactions. Customer risk relates to the financial inability of a customer to pay on undisputed trade accounts receivable due from such customer to the factor. While smaller than customer credit exposure, there is also client credit risk in providing cash advances to factoring clients. Client risk relates to a decline in the credit worthiness of a borrowing client, their consequent inability to repay their loan and the possible insufficiency of the underlying collateral (including the aforementioned customer accounts receivable) to cover any loan repayment shortfall. At December 31, 2014, client credit risk accounted for less than 10% of total Commercial Services credit exposure while customer credit risk accounted for the remainder.

Commercial Services is also subject to a variety of business risks including operational, due to the high volume of transactions, as well as business risks related to competitive pressures from other banks, boutique factors, and credit insurers. These pressures create risk of reduced pricing and factoring volume for CIT. In addition, client de-factoring can occur if retail credit conditions are benign for a long period and clients no longer demand factoring services for credit protection.

### NON-STRATEGIC PORTFOLIOS

NSP consisted of portfolios that we no longer consider strategic. At December 31, 2014 these consisted primarily of equipment financing portfolios in Mexico and Brazil. We have separate definitive agreements to sell these businesses and anticipate closing the Mexico transaction in the 2015 first quarter and Brazil in the second half of 2015.

### CORPORATE AND OTHER

Certain items are not allocated to operating segments and are included in Corporate and Other, including unallocated interest expense, primarily related to corporate liquidity costs (Interest Expense), mark-to-market adjustments on non-qualifying derivatives (Other Income), restructuring charges for severance and facilities exit activities, certain legal costs and unallocated expenses (Operating Expenses). Corporate and Other also retains net gains or losses on debt extinguishments.

**Item 1: Business Overview**

---

### Table of Contents

6 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

---

## CIT BANK

CIT Bank (Member FDIC) is a wholly-owned subsidiary of CIT Group Inc. that is regulated by the FDIC and the UDFI. Since its founding in 2000, the Bank has expanded its assets, deposits and product offerings. The Bank continued to grow in 2014, with increased deposits and expanded business activities, which included the acquisition of Direct Capital, a provider of financing to small and mid-sized businesses.

The Bank raises deposits from retail and institutional investors primarily through its online bank ([www.BankOnCIT.com](http://www.BankOnCIT.com)) and through broker channels in order to fund its lending and leasing activities. Its existing suite of deposit products includes Certificates of Deposit, Savings Accounts, and Individual Retirement Accounts.

The Bank's assets are primarily commercial loans and operating lease equipment, which are reported in the respective commercial segment (i.e. NACF and TIF). The Bank's growing operating lease portfolio primarily consists of railcars, with some aircraft added in 2014.

At year-end, CIT Bank remained well capitalized, maintaining Tier 1 and Total capital ratios well above required levels.

---

## DISCONTINUED OPERATION



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

On April 25, 2014, the Company completed the sale of its student lending business resulting in it being reported as a discontinued operation. The business had previously been included in the Non-Strategic Portfolios segment and consisted of a portfolio of U.S. Government-guaranteed student loans. The portfolio was in run-off and had been transferred to assets held for sale (“AHFS”) at the end of 2013. The Company had ceased offering private student loans in 2007 and government-guaranteed student loans in 2008.

See *Note 2 — Acquisition and Disposition Activities of Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for further information.

---

### EMPLOYEES

CIT employed approximately 3,360 people at December 31, 2014. Based upon the location of the Company’s legal entities, approximately 2,680 were employed in the U.S. entities and 680 in non-U.S. entities.

---

### COMPETITION

The markets in which we operate in are competitive, based on factors that vary by product, customer, and geographic region. Our competitors include global and domestic commercial banks, regional and community banks, captive finance companies, and leasing companies. In most of our business segments, we have a few large competitors that have significant market share and many smaller niche competitors.

Many of our competitors are large companies with substantial financial, technological, and marketing resources. Our customer value proposition is primarily based on financing terms, structure, and client service. From time to time, due to highly competitive markets, we may (i) lose market share if we are unwilling to match product structure, pricing, or terms of our competitors that do not meet our credit standards or return requirements or (ii) receive lower returns or incur higher credit losses if we match our competitors’ product structure, pricing, or terms.

To take advantage of opportunities, we must continue to compete successfully with financial institutions that are larger and have better access to low cost funding. As a result, we tend not to compete on price, but rather on industry experience, asset and equipment knowledge, and customer service. The regulatory environment in which we and/or our customers operate also affects our competitive position.

---

### REGULATION

We are regulated by federal and state banking laws, regulations and policies. Such laws and regulations are intended primarily for the protection of depositors, customers and the federal deposit insurance fund (“DIF”), as well as to minimize risk to the banking system as a whole, and not for the protection of our shareholders or non-depository creditors. Bank regulatory agencies have broad examination and enforcement power over bank holding companies (“BHCs”) and their subsidiaries, including the power to impose substantial fines, limit dividends, restrict operations and acquisitions, and require divestitures. BHCs and banks, as well as subsidiaries of both, are prohibited by law from engaging in practices that the relevant regulatory authority deems unsafe or unsound. CIT is a BHC, and elected to become a FHC, subject to regulation and examination by the FRB and the FRBNY under the

---

### Table of Contents

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 7

BHC Act. As an FHC, CIT is subject to certain limitations on our activities, transactions with affiliates, and payment of dividends, and certain standards for capital and liquidity, safety and soundness, and incentive compensation, among other matters. Under the system of “functional regulation” established under the BHC Act, the FRB supervises CIT, including all of its non-bank subsidiaries, as an “umbrella regulator” of the consolidated organization. CIT Bank is chartered as a state bank by the UDFI and is not a member bank of the Federal Reserve System. CIT’s principal regulator is the FRB and CIT Bank’s principal regulators are the FDIC and the

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

UDFI. Both CIT and CIT Bank are regulated by the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (“CFPB”), which regulates consumer financial products. Upon completion of the merger of CIT Bank with and into OneWest Bank, the resulting bank under the CIT Bank NA name, will be a national bank and its principal regulator will be the OCC.

Certain of our subsidiaries are subject to regulation by other domestic and foreign governmental agencies. CIT Capital Securities L.L.C., a Delaware limited liability company, is a broker-dealer licensed by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”), and is subject to regulation by FINRA and the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). CIT also holds a 16% interest in CIT Group Securities (Canada) Inc., a Canadian broker dealer, which is licensed and regulated by the Ontario Securities Commission.

Our insurance operations are primarily conducted through The Equipment Insurance Company, a Vermont corporation; CIT Insurance Company Limited, a Missouri corporation; CIT Insurance Agency, Inc., a Delaware corporation; and Equipment Protection Services (Europe) Limited, an Irish company. Each company is licensed to enter into insurance contracts and is subject to regulation and examination by insurance regulators.

CIT Bank Limited, an English corporation, is licensed as a bank and broker-dealer and is subject to regulation and examination by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority of the United Kingdom. Banco Commercial Investment Trust do Brazil S.A., a Brazilian corporation, is licensed as a bank and is subject to regulation and examination by Banco Central do Brazil. In connection with the restructuring of our international Equipment Finance platform, we have surrendered other banking licenses in France, Germany, and Sweden.

The regulation and oversight of the financial services industry has undergone significant revision in the past several years. In particular, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”), which was enacted in July 2010, made extensive changes to the regulatory structure and environment affecting banks, BHCs, non-bank financial companies, broker dealers, and investment advisory and management firms. The Dodd-Frank Act has resulted in extensive rulemaking by various regulatory agencies, which is ongoing. Although the Dodd-Frank Act has not significantly limited CIT from conducting the activities in which we were previously engaged, a number of regulations have affected and will continue to affect the conduct of a number of our business activities, either directly, through regulation of specific activities or indirectly through regulation of concentration risks, capital, or liquidity or through the imposition of additional compliance requirements. Furthermore, if the OneWest Transaction is approved and completed, we will become subject to additional regulations that are applicable to SIFIs, which generally include financial institutions that have average total consolidated assets for the four most recent consecutive quarters of \$50 billion or more (the “\$50 Billion SIFI Threshold”). We continue to devote significant resources in terms of both increased expenditures and management time to assessing the regulatory changes we are facing and implementing the new regulations.

### **Banking Supervision and Regulation**

#### *Permissible Activities*

CIT is a BHC registered under the BHC Act and elected to become a FHC under the BHC Act, effective July 23, 2013. In general, the BHC Act limits the business of BHCs that are not financial holding companies to banking, managing or controlling banks, performing servicing activities for subsidiaries, and engaging in activities that the FRB has determined, by order or regulation, are so closely related to banking as to be a proper incident thereto. An FHC, however, may engage in other activities, or acquire and retain the shares of a company engaged in activities that are financial in nature or incidental or complementary to activities that are financial in nature as long as the FHC continues to meet the eligibility requirements for FHCs. These requirements include that the FHC and each of its U.S. depository institution subsidiaries maintain their status as “well-capitalized” and “well-managed.”

A depository institution subsidiary is considered to be “well-capitalized” if it satisfies the requirements for this status discussed below under “Prompt Corrective Action.” A depository institution subsidiary is considered “well-managed” if it received a composite rating and management rating of at least “satisfactory” in its most recent examination. An FHC’s status will also depend upon its maintaining its status as “well-capitalized” and “well-managed” under applicable FRB regulations. If an FHC ceases to meet these capital and management requirements, the FRB’s regulations provide that the FHC must enter into an agreement with the FRB to comply with all applicable capital and management requirements. Until the FHC returns to compliance, the FRB may impose limitations or conditions on the conduct of its activities, and the company may not commence any non-banking financial activities permissible for FHCs or acquire a company engaged in such financial activities without prior approval of the FRB. If the company does not return to compliance within 180 days, the FRB may require divestiture of the FHC’s depository institutions. BHCs and banks must also be well-capitalized and well-managed in order to acquire banks located outside their home state. An FHC will also be limited in its ability to commence non-banking financial activities or acquire a company engaged in such financial activities if any of its insured depository institution subsidiaries fails to maintain a “satisfactory” rating under the Community Reinvestment Act, as described below under “Community Reinvestment Act.”

Activities that are “financial in nature” include securities underwriting, dealing and market making, advising mutual funds and investment companies, insurance underwriting and agency, merchant banking, and activities that the FRB, in consultation with the Secretary of the Treasury, determines to be financial in nature or incidental to such financial activity. “Complementary activities” are activities that the FRB determines upon application to be complementary to a financial activity and that do not pose a safety and soundness issue. CIT is primarily engaged in activities that are permissible for a BHC that is not an FHC.

**Item 1: Business Overview**

---

**Table of Contents**

**8 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014**

The Dodd-Frank Act places additional limits on the activities of banks and their affiliates by prohibiting them from engaging in proprietary trading and investing in and sponsoring certain unregistered investment companies (defined as hedge funds and private equity funds). This statutory provision is commonly called the “Volcker Rule”. The statutory provision became effective in July 2012 and required banking entities subject to the Volcker Rule to bring their activities and investments into compliance with applicable requirements by July 2014. In December 2013, the federal banking agencies, the SEC, and the CFTC adopted final rules to implement the Volcker Rule, and the FRB, by order, extended the compliance period to July 2015. In December 2014, the FRB, by order, extended the conformance period to July 2016 for investments in and relationships with so-called legacy covered funds and stated its intention to grant an additional extension through July 2017. The final rules are highly complex and require an extensive compliance program, including an enhanced compliance program applicable to banking entities with more than \$50 billion in consolidated assets. CIT does not currently anticipate that the Volcker Rule will have a material effect on its business and activities, as we have a limited amount of trading activities and fund investments. CIT has sold certain of its fund investments, will incur additional costs to revise its policies and procedures, and will need to upgrade its operating and monitoring systems to ensure compliance with the Volcker Rule. We cannot yet determine the precise financial impact of the rule on CIT and its customers.

*Capital Requirements*

As a BHC, CIT is subject to consolidated regulatory capital requirements administered by the FRB. CIT Bank is subject to similar capital requirements administered by the FDIC. Upon completion of the merger with OneWest Bank, CIT Bank, N.A. would be subject to the capital requirements administered by the OCC. As of December 31, 2014 and prior, the risk-based capital guidelines applicable to CIT were based upon the 1988 Capital Accord (Basel I) of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (the Basel Committee). Effective January 1, 2015, CIT became subject to the risk-based capital guidelines that are based upon the Basel Committee’s final framework for strengthening capital and liquidity regulation, which was released in December 2010 and revised in June 2011 (Basel III).

*General Risk-Based Capital Requirements.* As of December 31, 2014 and prior, CIT computed and reported its risk-based capital ratios in accordance with the general risk-based capital rules set by the U.S. banking agencies that were based upon Basel I. Under these rules, as applicable to CIT, Tier 1 capital generally included common shareholders’ equity, retained earnings, and minority interests in equity accounts of consolidated subsidiaries, less the effect of certain items in accumulated other comprehensive income, goodwill and intangible assets, one-half of the investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries and other adjustments. Tier 1 capital could also include qualifying non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock and a limited amount of trust preferred securities and qualifying cumulative perpetual preferred stock, none of which CIT currently has outstanding. Tier 2 capital consisted of the allowance for credit losses up to 1.25 percent of risk-weighted assets less one-half of the investment in unconsolidated subsidiaries and other adjustments. In addition, Tier 2 capital included perpetual preferred stock not qualifying as Tier 1 capital, qualifying mandatory convertible debt securities, and qualifying subordinated debt, none of which CIT currently has outstanding. The sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital represented our qualifying “total capital,” with Tier 1 capital representing at least half of our qualifying “total capital”.

Under the Basel I capital guidelines of the FRB, assets and certain off-balance sheet commitments and obligations were converted into risk-weighted assets against which regulatory capital was measured. Risk weighted assets were determined by dividing assets and certain off-balance sheet commitments and obligations into risk categories, each of which was assigned a risk weighting, which ranged from 0% (e.g., for U.S. Treasury Bonds) to 100%.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

CIT, like other BHCs, was required to maintain Tier 1 capital and “total capital” equal to at least 4.0% and 8.0%, respectively, of its total risk-weighted assets (including various off-balance sheet items, such as long-term unfunded loan commitments). CIT Bank, like other depository institutions, was required to maintain equivalent capital levels under capital adequacy guidelines. In addition, for a BHC and a depository institution to be considered “well capitalized” its Tier 1 capital and “Total capital” ratios were required to be at least 6.0% and 10.0%, respectively.

CIT and CIT Bank both continued to meet the “well capitalized” thresholds at December 31, 2014. CIT’s Tier 1 capital and Total capital ratios were 14.5% and 15.2%, while CIT Bank’s ratios were 13.0% and 14.2%, respectively.

*Leverage Requirements.* Under Basel I, BHCs and depository institutions were also required to comply with minimum Tier 1 Leverage ratio requirements. The Tier 1 Leverage ratio was the ratio of a banking organization’s Tier 1 capital to its total adjusted quarterly average assets (as defined for regulatory purposes). Under these requirements, BHCs and FDIC-supervised banks that either had the highest supervisory rating or had implemented the appropriate federal regulatory authority’s risk-adjusted measure for market risk were required to maintain a minimum Tier 1 Leverage ratio of 3.0%. All other BHCs and FDIC-supervised banks were required to maintain a minimum Tier 1 Leverage ratio of 4.0%, unless a different minimum was specified by an appropriate regulatory authority. In addition, for a depository institution to be considered “well capitalized” under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action discussed under “*Prompt Corrective Action*” below, its Tier 1 Leverage ratio was required to be at least 5.0%.

At December 31, 2014, CIT’s Tier 1 leverage ratio was 17.4% and CIT Bank’s was 12.2%.

*Basel III and the New Standardized Risk-based Approach.* In December 2010, the Basel Committee released Basel III, its final framework for strengthening capital and liquidity regulation, which was revised in June 2011. In July 2013, the FRB and the FDIC issued a final rule (Basel III Final Rule) that adopted the final Basel III capital framework implementing the revised risk-based capital and leverage requirements for U.S. banking organizations proposed under Basel III. The Company, as well as the Bank, became subject to the Basel III Final Rule effective January 1, 2015.

Among other matters, the Basel III Final Rule: (i) introduces a new capital measure called “Common Equity Tier 1” (“CET1”) and related regulatory capital ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets; (ii) specifies that Tier 1 capital consists of CET1 and “Additional Tier 1 capital” instruments meeting certain revised requirements; (iii) mandates that most deductions/adjustments to regulatory

---

### **Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 9

capital measures be made to CET1 and not to the other components of capital; and (iv) expands the scope of the deductions from and adjustments to capital as compared to existing regulations. For most banking organizations, the most common form of Additional Tier 1 capital is non-cumulative perpetual preferred stock and the most common form of Tier 2 capital is subordinated notes, which will be subject to the Basel III Final Rule specific requirements. The Company does not currently have either of these forms of capital outstanding.

The Basel III Final Rule provides for a number of deductions from and adjustments to CET1. These include, for example, goodwill, other intangible assets, and deferred tax assets (DTAs) that arise from net operating loss and tax credit carryforwards net of any related valuation allowance. Also, mortgage servicing rights, DTAs arising from temporary differences that could not be realized through net operating loss carrybacks and significant investments in non-consolidated financial institutions must be deducted from CET1 to the extent that any one such category exceeds 10% of CET1 or all such items, in the aggregate, exceed 15% of CET1. The non-DTA related deductions (goodwill, intangibles, etc.) may be reduced by netting with any associated deferred tax liabilities (DTLs). As for the DTA deductions, the netting of any remaining DTL must be allocated in portion to the DTAs arising from net operating losses and tax credit carryforwards and those arising from temporary differences.

In addition, under the Basel I general risk-based capital rules, the effects of certain components of accumulated other comprehensive income (“AOCI”) included in shareholders’ equity (for example, mark-to-market of securities held in the

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

available-for-sale (“AFS”) portfolio) under U.S. GAAP are reversed for the purpose of determining regulatory capital ratios. Pursuant to the Basel III Final Rule, the effects of these AOCI items are not excluded; however, non-advanced approaches banking organizations, including the Company and CIT Bank, may make a one-time permanent election to continue to exclude the AOCI items currently excluded under Basel I. Both the Company and CIT Bank will elect to exclude AOCI items from regulatory capital ratios. The Basel III Final Rule also precludes certain hybrid securities, such as trust preferred securities, from inclusion in bank holding companies’ Tier 1 capital. The Company does not have any hybrid securities outstanding at December 31, 2014.

Implementation of some of these deductions to CET1 began on January 1, 2015, and will be phased-in over a 4-year period (beginning at 40% on January 1, 2015 and adding 20% per year thereafter until January 1, 2018).

The Basel III Final Rule prescribed a new approach for risk weightings for BHCs and banks that follow the Standardized approach, which applies to CIT. This approach expands the risk-weighting categories from the current four Basel I-derived categories (0%, 20%, 50% and 100%) to a larger and more risk-sensitive number of categories, depending on the nature of the exposure, ranging from 0% for U.S. government and agency securities, to as high as 1,250% for such exposures as credit-enhancing interest-only strips or unsettled security/commodity transactions. Using the reported exposure balances as of December 31, 2014, and the Basel III Final Rule’s standardized approach as fully phased in at January 1, 2019, the Company’s total risk-weighted assets would increase \$1,598.5 million or 2.9% while CIT Bank’s would increase \$147.3 million or 0.8%. This modest increase is due to the similarity in categorizing the assets and off-balance sheet exposures of CIT and CIT Bank in accordance with the Standardized Approach under the Basel III Final Rule compared to Basel I.

Per the Basel III Final Rule, the minimum capital ratios for CET1, Tier 1 capital, and Total capital are 4.5%, 6.0% and 8.0%, respectively. In addition, the Basel III Final Rule introduces a new “capital conservation buffer”, composed entirely of CET1, on top of these minimum risk-weighted asset ratios. The capital conservation buffer is designed to absorb losses during periods of economic stress. Banking institutions with a ratio of CET1 to risk-weighted assets above the minimum but below the capital conservation buffer will face constraints on dividends, equity repurchases and compensation based on the amount of the shortfall. This buffer will be implemented beginning January 1, 2016 at the 0.625% level and increase by 0.625% on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019.

CIT will be required to maintain risk-based capital ratios at January 1, 2019 as follows:

<b>Minimum Capital Requirements — January 1, 2019</b>			
	<b>Tier 1 Common Equity</b>	<b>Tier 1 Capital</b>	<b>Total Capital</b>
Stated minimum ratios	4.5%	6.0%	8.0%
Capital conservation buffer	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%
Effective minimum ratios	7.0%	8.5%	10.5%

With respect to CIT Bank, the Basel III Final Rule revises the “prompt corrective action” (“PCA”) regulations adopted pursuant to Section 38 of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act, by: (i) introducing a CET1 ratio requirement at each PCA category (other than critically undercapitalized), with the required CET1 ratio being 6.5% for well-capitalized status; (ii) increasing the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio requirement for each category, with the minimum Tier 1 capital ratio for well-capitalized status being 8% (as compared to the current 6%); and (iii) eliminating the current provision that provides that a bank with a composite supervisory rating of 1 may have a 3% leverage ratio and still be adequately capitalized. The Basel III Final Rule does not change the total risk-based capital requirement for any PCA category. Both the Company and CIT Bank are subject to a minimum Tier 1 Leverage ratio of 4%.

As non-advanced approaches banking organizations, the Company and CIT Bank will not be subject to the Basel III Final Rule’s countercyclical buffer or the supplementary leverage ratio.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company and CIT Bank have met all capital requirements under the Basel III Final Rule, including the capital conservation buffer, on a fully phased-in basis as if such requirements were currently effective.

**Item 1: Business Overview**

**Table of Contents****10 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014**

The following table presents a comparison of CIT's and CIT Bank's capital ratios as of December 31, 2014 calculated under the Basel I rules and the fully phased-in Basel III Final Rule — Standardized approach.

**Comparison of Basel I and Basel III Capital Ratios (dollars in millions)**

	As of December 31, 2014			
	Basel I		Basel III Final Rule <sup>(1)</sup>	
	Actual	Requirement	Actual	Requirement
<b>CIT</b>				
Capital				
CET1	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>		\$ 8,242.6	
Tier 1	\$ 8,067.3		8,242.6	
Total	8,412.4		8,624.4	
Risk-weighted assets	55,480.9		57,079.4	
Adjusted quarterly average assets	46,327.3		46,585.9	
Capital ratios				
CET1	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>	14.4%	7.0% <sup>(4)</sup>
Tier 1	14.5%	6.0% <sup>(3)</sup>	14.4%	8.5% <sup>(4)</sup>
Total	15.2%	10.0% <sup>(3)</sup>	15.1%	10.5% <sup>(4)</sup>
Leverage	17.4%	4.0%	17.7%	4.0%
<b>CIT Bank</b>				
Capital				
CET1	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>		\$ 2,536.4	
Tier 1	\$ 2,536.3		2,536.4	
Total	2,781.5		2,783.4	
Risk-weighted assets	19,552.3		19,699.6	
Adjusted quarterly average assets	20,860.9		20,860.9	
Capital ratios				
CET1	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>	N/A <sup>(2)</sup>	12.9%	7.0% <sup>(4)</sup>
Tier 1	13.0%	6.0% <sup>(3)</sup>	12.9%	8.5% <sup>(4)</sup>
Total	14.2%	10.0% <sup>(3)</sup>	14.1%	10.5% <sup>(4)</sup>
Leverage	12.2%	5.0% <sup>(3)</sup>	12.2%	4.0%

(1) Basel III Final Rule calculated under the Standardized Approach on a fully phased-in basis that will be required effective January 1, 2019. These ratios are preliminary estimates based upon our present interpretation of the Basel III Final Rule.

(2) Not applicable as the CET1 ratio was introduced with the Basel III Final Rule.

(3) Basel I minimum requirements for “well capitalized” institution.

(4) Required ratios under the Basel III Final Rule include the post-transition minimum capital conversation buffer effective January 1, 2019.

### *Stress Test and Capital Plan Requirements*

In October 2012, the FRB issued final regulations, commonly referred to as Dodd Frank Act Stress Testing or DFA Stress Testing, detailing stress test requirements for BHCs, savings and loan companies and state member banks with total consolidated assets greater than \$10 billion. Similarly, the FDIC published regulations requiring annual stress tests for FDIC-insured state nonmember banks and FDIC-insured state-chartered savings organizations with total consolidated assets averaging \$10 billion or more for four consecutive quarters.

Both CIT and the Bank are required to conduct annual stress tests using scenarios provided by the FRB and FDIC respectively. The scenarios are typically the same since they have been jointly issued by the agencies. CIT must submit its stress test results to the FRB and the Bank to both the FDIC and the FRB by March 31 of each year. In addition, both CIT and the Bank are required to publicly disclose the summary stress test results in a forum easily accessible to the public, such as CIT's website, between June 15 and June 30 following the submission of the stress tests. The results, at a minimum, must contain certain specific details of the "severely adverse" scenario.

In late 2014, the Federal Reserve and FDIC modified the stress test timelines. As currently applicable to CIT, beginning with the 2016 stress test program, both CIT and the Bank will submit annual stress test results to their respective regulators by July 31 with public disclosure of summary stress test results between October 15 and October 31.

If CIT exceeds the \$50 Billion SIFI Threshold, as is anticipated if the OneWest Transaction is approved and completed, CIT would become subject to the capital plan rule and become a covered company. As such, CIT would be required to participate in the annual Comprehensive Capital Assessment and Review (CCAR)

---

### **Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 11

conducted by the FRB. For CCAR, CIT would submit a capital plan along with the annual company-run stress tests to the FRB. The FRB would conduct a separate supervisory stress test using data submitted by CIT in a format specified by the FRB. Both the FRB and CIT must publish the results of the annual supervisory stress tests and company-run stress tests. From 2016 onward, annual capital plans and company-run stress tests will be submitted by April 5 with publication of results by June 30.

A BHC subject to the capital plan may not pay dividends or take other capital actions, which includes share repurchases, except for those specified in its capital plans and in any event only if the BHC has received a "non-objection" to its capital plan from the FRB.

While CIT is not currently subject to the capital plan rule, the FRB has the authority to require any BHC to submit annual capital plans. Although CIT is currently not required to take part in the CCAR, we produce a capital plan that we believe is aligned with the supervisory expectations for large BHCs, which includes and considers stress test results for supervisory scenarios. Our annual capital plan is subject to review by the FRBNY.

If CIT exceeds the \$50 Billion SIFI Threshold, CIT would also be required to conduct mid-cycle company-run stress tests with company-developed economic scenarios for submission to the FRB. Based on the aforementioned modification to the stress test timeline, the mid-cycle stress tests must be submitted by October 5 each year. Public disclosure of the summary mid-cycle stress test results would be made between October 5 and October 20.

### *Liquidity Requirements*

Historically, regulation and monitoring of bank and BHC liquidity has been addressed as a supervisory matter, without required formulaic measures. The Basel III final framework requires banks and BHCs to measure their liquidity against specific liquidity tests that, although similar in some respects to liquidity measures historically applied by banks and regulators for management and supervisory purposes, going forward will be required by regulation. One test, referred to as the liquidity coverage ratio ("LCR"), is

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

designed to ensure that the banking entity maintains an adequate level of unencumbered high-quality liquid assets equal to the entity's expected net cash outflow for a 30-day time horizon under an acute liquidity stress scenario, with a phased implementation process starting January 1, 2015 and complete implementation by January 1, 2019. The other, referred to as the net stable funding ratio ("NSFR"), is designed to promote more medium- and long-term funding of the assets and activities of banking entities over a one-year time horizon, with an observation period through mid-2016 and, subject to any revisions resulting from the analyses conducted and data collected during the observation period, implemented as a minimum standard by January 1, 2018.

On September 3, 2014, the banking regulators adopted a joint final rule implementing the LCR for certain U.S. banking institutions. The rule applies a comprehensive version of the LCR to large and internationally active U.S. banking organizations, which include banks with total consolidated assets of \$250 billion or more or total consolidated on-balance sheet foreign exposure of \$10 billion or more, or any depository institution with total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more that is a consolidated subsidiary of either of the foregoing. These institutions will be required to hold minimum amounts of high-quality, liquid assets, such as central bank reserves and government and corporate debt that can be converted easily and quickly into cash. Each institution would be required to hold high quality, liquid assets in an amount equal to or greater than its projected cash outflows minus its projected cash inflows capped at 75% of projected cash outflows for a 30-day stress period. The firms must calculate their LCR each business day. The final rule applies a modified version of the LCR requirements to bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of greater than \$50 billion but less than \$250 billion. The modified version of the LCR requirement only requires the LCR calculation to be performed on the last business day of each month and sets the denominator (that is, the calculation of net cash outflows) for the modified version at 70% of the denominator as calculated under the most comprehensive version of the rule applicable to larger institutions. Under the FRB final rule, a BHC with between \$50 billion and \$250 billion in total consolidated assets must comply with the first phase of the minimum LCR requirement at the later of January 1, 2016 or the first quarter after the quarter in which it exceeds the \$50 Billion SIFI Threshold with the LCR requirement going into full-effect on January 1, 2017. CIT anticipates exceeding the \$50 Billion SIFI Threshold if the OneWest Transaction is approved and completed, after which CIT would be required to comply with the modified version of the LCR requirement described below under *Enhanced Standards for Large Bank Holding Companies*.

The U.S. bank regulatory agencies have not issued final rules implementing the NSFR test called for by the Basel III final framework. The Basel Committee released its final standards on the NSFR on October 31, 2014.

### *Prompt Corrective Action*

The Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act of 1991 ("FDICIA"), among other things, establishes five capital categories for FDIC-insured banks: well capitalized, adequately capitalized, undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized. Under regulations in effect through December 31, 2014, a depository institution is deemed to be "well capitalized," the highest category, if it has a total capital ratio of 10% or greater, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6% or greater and a Tier 1 leverage ratio of 5% or greater and is not subject to any order or written directive by any such regulatory authority to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. As noted above, as of January 1, 2015, the standards for "well-capitalized" status under the prompt corrective action regulations changed by, among other things, introducing a CET1 ratio requirement of 6.5% and increasing the Tier 1 capital ratio requirement from 6.0% to 8.0%. The total capital ratio and leverage ratio requirements remain at 10.0% and 5.0%, respectively. CIT Bank's capital ratios were all in excess of minimum guidelines for well capitalized at December 31, 2014 and 2013. Neither CIT nor CIT Bank is subject to any order or written agreement regarding any capital requirements.

FDICIA requires the applicable federal regulatory authorities to implement systems for "prompt corrective action" for insured depository institutions that do not meet minimum requirements. FDICIA imposes progressively more restrictive constraints on operations, management and capital distributions as the capital category of an institution declines. Undercapitalized, significantly undercapitalized and critically undercapitalized depository institutions are required to submit a capital restoration plan to their

**Item 1: Business Overview**

---

### **Table of Contents**

12 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

primary federal regulator. Although prompt corrective action regulations apply only to depository institutions and not to BHCs, the holding company must guarantee any such capital restoration plan in certain circumstances. The liability of the parent holding company under any such guarantee is limited to the lesser of five percent of the bank's assets at the time it became "undercapitalized" or the amount needed to comply. The parent holding company might also be liable for civil money damages for failure to fulfill that guarantee. In the event of the bankruptcy of the parent holding company, such guarantee would take priority over the parent's general unsecured creditors.

Regulators take into consideration both risk-based capital ratios and other factors that can affect a bank's financial condition, including (a) concentrations of credit risk, (b) interest rate risk, and (c) risks from non-traditional activities, along with an institution's ability to manage those risks, when determining capital adequacy. This evaluation is made during the institution's safety and soundness examination. An institution may be downgraded to, or deemed to be in, a capital category that is lower than is indicated by its capital ratios if it is determined to be in an unsafe or unsound condition or if it receives an unsatisfactory examination rating with respect to certain matters.

### *Enhanced Standards for Large Bank Holding Companies*

In February 2014, the FRB approved a final rule to implement certain enhanced prudential standards mandated by Section 165 of the Dodd-Frank Act. The final rule applies to, among others, BHCs with at least \$50 billion in total consolidated assets, based on the average of total consolidated assets for the last four quarters. The final rule implements Section 165's risk management requirements, including requirements, duties, and qualifications for a risk management committee and chief risk officer and liquidity stress testing and buffer requirements. The liquidity buffer under these rules is separate from the LCR described above under "*Liquidity Requirements*". The rule refers to the previously adopted final capital rules, capital plan and stress test requirements, discussed in "*Basel III and the New Standardized Risk-based Approach*" and "*Stress Test and Capital Plan Requirements*" above, as meeting Section 165's requirements for U.S. BHCs. The FRB has not yet issued a final rule establishing single counterparty credit limits. The FRB has discretionary authority to establish additional prudential standards, on its own or at the FSOC's recommendation, regarding contingent capital, enhanced public disclosures, short-term debt limits, and otherwise as it deems appropriate.

Two aspects of the final rules – requirements for annual stress testing of capital under one baseline and two stress scenarios and certain corporate governance provisions requiring, among other things, that each BHC establish a risk committee of its board of directors with a "risk management expert" as one of its members – apply to BHCs with total consolidated assets of \$10 billion or more, including CIT. If the OneWest Transaction is approved and completed, CIT will exceed the \$50 Billion SIFI Threshold and will become subject to other requirements of the final rule as well.

### *Acquisitions*

Federal and state laws impose notice and approval requirements for mergers and acquisitions involving depository institutions or BHCs. The BHC Act requires the prior approval of the FRB for (1) the acquisition by a BHC of direct or indirect ownership or control of more than 5% of any class of voting shares of a bank, savings association, or BHC, (2) the acquisition of all or substantially all of the assets of any bank or savings association by any subsidiary of a BHC other than a bank, or (3) the merger or consolidation of any BHC with another BHC. Prior regulatory approval is also generally required for mergers, acquisitions and consolidations involving other insured depository institutions. In reviewing acquisition and merger applications, the bank regulatory authorities will consider, among other things, the competitive effect of the transaction, financial and managerial issues, including the capital position of the combined organization, convenience and needs factors, including the applicant's record under the Community Reinvestment Act of 1977 ("CRA"), the effectiveness of the subject organizations in combating money laundering activities, and the transaction's effect on the stability of the U.S. banking and financial systems. In addition, an FHC must obtain prior approval of the FRB before acquiring certain non-bank financial companies with assets exceeding \$10 billion.

### *Dividends*

CIT is a legal entity separate and distinct from CIT Bank and CIT's other subsidiaries. CIT provides a significant amount of funding to its subsidiaries, which is generally recorded as intercompany loans or equity investments. Most of CIT's cash inflow is comprised of interest on intercompany loans to its subsidiaries and dividends from its subsidiaries.

The ability of CIT to pay dividends on common stock may be affected by, among other things, various capital requirements, particularly the capital and non-capital standards established for depository institutions under FDICIA, which may limit the ability of CIT Bank to pay dividends to CIT. The right of CIT, its stockholders, and its creditors to participate in any distribution of the assets or earnings of its subsidiaries is further subject to prior claims of creditors of CIT Bank and CIT's other subsidiaries.

Utah state law imposes limitations on the payment of dividends by CIT Bank. A Utah state bank may declare a dividend out of the net profits of the bank after providing for all expenses, losses, interest, and taxes accrued or due from the bank. Furthermore, before declaring any dividend, a Utah bank must provide for not less than 10% of the net profits of the bank for the period covered by the dividend to be carried to a surplus fund until the surplus is equal to the bank's capital stock, defined as the par value of all shares of the bank that have been issued. Utah law may also impose additional restrictions on the payment of dividends if CIT Bank sustains losses in excess of its reserves for loan losses and undivided profits.

If the merger of CIT Bank with OneWest Bank is completed, the OCC's regulations would apply to the combined bank. These regulations limit dividends if the total amount of all dividends (common and preferred) declared in any current year, including the proposed dividend, exceeds the total net income for the current year to date plus any retained net income for the prior two years, less the sum of any transfers required by the OCC and any transfers required to fund the retirement of any preferred stock. If the dividend in either of the prior two years exceeded that year's net income, the excess shall not reduce the net income for the three year period described above, provided the amount of excess

---

## **Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 13

dividends for either of the prior two years can be offset by retained net income in the current year minus three years or the current year minus four years.

It is the policy of the FRB that a BHC generally pay dividends on common stock out of net income available to common shareholders over the past year, only if the prospective rate of earnings retention appears consistent with capital needs, asset quality, and overall financial condition, and only if the BHC is not in danger of failing to meet its minimum regulatory capital adequacy ratios. In the current financial and economic environment, the FRB indicated that BHCs should not maintain high dividend pay-out ratios unless both asset quality and capital are very strong. A BHC should not maintain a dividend level that places undue pressure on the capital of bank subsidiaries, or that may undermine the BHC's ability to serve as a source of strength to its subsidiary bank.

We anticipate that our capital ratios reflected in the stress test calculations required of us and the capital plan that we prepare as described under "*Stress Test and Capital Requirements*", above, will be an important factor considered by the FRB in evaluating whether our proposed return of capital may be an unsafe or unsound practice. Additionally, should our total consolidated assets equal or exceed an average of \$50 billion for the prior four consecutive quarters, as is anticipated if the OneWest Transaction is approved and completed, we would likely also be limited to paying dividends and repurchasing stock only in accordance with our annual capital plan submitted to the FRB under the capital plan rule.

### *Source of Strength Doctrine and Support for Subsidiary Banks*

FRB policy and federal statute require BHCs such as CIT to serve as a source of strength and to commit capital and other financial resources to subsidiary banks. This support may be required at times when CIT may not be able to provide such support without adversely affecting its ability to meet other obligations. If CIT is unable to provide such support, the FRB could instead require the divestiture of CIT Bank and impose operating restrictions pending the divestiture. Any capital loans by a BHC to any of its subsidiary banks are subordinate in right of payment to depositors and to certain other indebtedness of the subsidiary bank. If a BHC commits to a federal bank regulator that it will maintain the capital of its bank subsidiary, whether in response to the FRB's invoking its source of strength authority or in response to other regulatory measures, that commitment will be assumed by the bankruptcy trustee and the bank will be entitled to priority payment in respect of that commitment.

### *Enforcement Powers of Federal Banking Agencies*

The FRB and other U.S. banking agencies have broad enforcement powers with respect to an insured depository institution and its holding company, including the power to (i) impose cease and desist orders, substantial fines and other civil penalties, (ii) terminate deposit insurance, and (iii) appoint a conservator or receiver. Failure to comply with applicable laws or regulations could subject

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

CIT or CIT Bank, as well as their officers and directors, to administrative sanctions and potentially substantial civil and criminal penalties.

### *Resolution Planning*

As required by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FRB and FDIC have jointly issued a final rule that requires certain organizations, including BHCs with consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more, to report periodically to regulators a resolution plan for their rapid and orderly resolution in the event of material financial distress or failure. Such a resolution plan must, among other things, ensure that its depository institution subsidiaries are adequately protected from risks arising from its other subsidiaries. The final rule sets specific standards for the resolution plans, including requiring a detailed resolution strategy, a description of the range of specific actions the company proposes to take in resolution, and an analysis of the company's organizational structure, material entities, interconnections and interdependencies, and management information systems, among other elements. If CIT's total consolidated assets exceed the \$50 Billion SIFI Threshold, as is anticipated if the OneWest Transaction is approved and completed, it would become subject to this requirement.

### *Orderly Liquidation Authority*

The Dodd-Frank Act created the Orderly Liquidation Authority ("OLA"), a resolution regime for systemically important non-bank financial companies, including BHCs and their non-bank affiliates, under which the FDIC may be appointed receiver to liquidate such a company upon a determination by the Secretary of the U.S. Department of the Treasury (Treasury), after consultation with the President, with support by a supermajority recommendation from the FRB and, depending on the type of entity, the approval of the director of the Federal Insurance Office, a supermajority vote of the SEC, or a supermajority vote of the FDIC, that the company is in danger of default, that such default presents a systemic risk to U.S. financial stability, and that the company should be subject to the OLA process. This resolution authority is similar to the FDIC resolution model for depository institutions, with certain modifications to reflect differences between depository institutions and non-bank financial companies and to reduce disparities between the treatment of creditors' claims under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code and in an orderly liquidation authority proceeding compared to those that would exist under the resolution model for insured depository institutions.

An Orderly Liquidation Fund will fund OLA liquidation proceedings through borrowings from the Treasury and risk-based assessments made, first, on entities that received more in the resolution than they would have received in liquidation to the extent of such excess, and second, if necessary, on BHCs with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more, any non-bank financial company supervised by the FRB, and certain other financial companies with total consolidated assets of \$50 billion or more. If an orderly liquidation is triggered, CIT, if it exceeds the \$50 Billion SIFI Threshold, as is anticipated if the OneWest Transaction is approved and completed, could face assessments for the Orderly Liquidation Fund. We do not yet have an indication of the level of such assessments. Furthermore, were CIT to become subject to the OLA, the regime may also require changes to CIT's structure, organization and funding pursuant to the guidelines described above.

### *FDIC Deposit Insurance*

Deposits of CIT Bank are insured by the FDIC Deposit Insurance Fund ("DIF") up to applicable limits and are subject to premium assessments.

The current assessment system applies different methods to small institutions with assets of less than \$10 billion, which are

**Item 1: Business Overview**

---

## **Table of Contents**

**14** CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

classified as small institutions, and large institutions with assets of greater than \$10 billion for more than four consecutive quarters. CIT Bank is an FDIC-insured state nonmember bank with total assets of \$21 billion as of December 31, 2014, and is considered a large institution.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

For larger institutions, the FDIC uses a two scorecard system, one for most large institutions that have had more than \$10 billion in assets as of December 31, 2006 (unless the institution subsequently reported assets of less than \$10 billion for four consecutive quarters) or have had more than \$10 billion in total assets for at least four consecutive quarters since December 31, 2006 and another for (i) “highly complex” institutions that have had over \$50 billion in assets for at least four consecutive quarters and are directly or indirectly controlled by a U.S. parent with over \$500 billion in assets for four consecutive quarters and (ii) certain processing banks and trust companies with total fiduciary assets of \$500 billion or more for at least four consecutive quarters. Each scorecard has a performance score and a loss-severity score that is combined to produce a total score, which is translated into an initial assessment rate. In calculating these scores, the FDIC utilizes a bank’s capital level and CAMELS ratings and certain financial measures designed to assess an institution’s ability to withstand asset-related stress and funding-related stress. The FDIC also has the ability to make discretionary adjustments to the total score, up or down, by a maximum of 15 basis points, based upon significant risk factors that are not adequately captured in the scorecard. The total score translates to an initial base assessment rate on a non-linear, sharply increasing scale. For large institutions, the initial base assessment rate ranges from 5 to 35 basis points on an annualized basis. After the effect of potential base rate adjustments described below (but not including the depository institution debt adjustment), the total base assessment rate could range from 2.5 to 45 basis points on an annualized basis.

The potential adjustments to an institution’s initial base assessment rate include (i) potential decrease of up to 5 basis points for certain long-term unsecured debt (unsecured debt adjustment) and, (ii) except for well capitalized institutions with a CAMELS rating of 1 or 2, a potential increase of up to 10 basis points for brokered deposits in excess of 10% of domestic deposits (brokered deposit adjustment). As the DIF reserve ratio grows, the rate schedule will be adjusted downward. Additionally, an institution must pay an additional premium (the depository institution debt adjustment) equal to 50 basis points on every dollar above 3% of an institution’s Tier 1 capital of long-term, unsecured debt held that was issued by another insured depository institution (excluding debt guaranteed under the Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program).

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (“FDIA”), the FDIC may terminate deposit insurance upon a finding that the institution has engaged in unsafe and unsound practices, is in an unsafe or unsound condition to continue operations, or has violated any applicable law, regulation, rule, order or condition imposed by the FDIC.

### *Transactions with Affiliates*

Transactions between CIT Bank and its subsidiaries, and CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates, are regulated by the FRB and the FDIC pursuant to Sections 23A and 23B of the Federal Reserve Act. These regulations limit the types and amounts of transactions (including loans due and credit extensions from CIT Bank or its subsidiaries to CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates) as well as restrict certain other transactions (such as the purchase of existing loans or other assets by CIT Bank or its subsidiaries from CIT and its other subsidiaries and affiliates) that may otherwise take place and generally require those transactions to be on an arms-length basis and, in the case of extensions of credit, be secured by specified amounts and types of collateral. These regulations generally do not apply to transactions between CIT Bank and its subsidiaries.

All transactions subject to Sections 23A and 23B between CIT Bank and its affiliates are done on an arms-length basis. During 2014, CIT Bank purchased \$45 million of loans from affiliates, subject to Section 23A, and received \$33 million of loans transferred in the form of capital infusions from CIT. In 2013, the Bank purchased \$272 million of loans from BHC affiliates, subject to Section 23A and received \$67 million of loans transferred in the form of capital infusions from the BHC. Furthermore, to ensure ongoing compliance with Sections 23A and 23B, CIT Bank maintains sufficient collateral in the form of cash deposits and pledged loans to cover any extensions of credit to affiliates.

The Dodd-Frank Act significantly expanded the coverage and scope of the limitations on affiliate transactions within a banking organization and changes the procedure for seeking exemptions from these restrictions. For example, the Dodd-Frank Act expanded the definition of a “covered transaction” to include derivatives transactions and securities lending transactions with a non-bank affiliate under which a bank (or its subsidiary) has credit exposure (with the term “credit exposure” to be defined by the FRB under its existing rulemaking authority). Collateral requirements will apply to such transactions as well as to certain repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements.

### *Safety and Soundness Standards*

FDICIA requires the federal bank regulatory agencies to prescribe standards, by regulations or guidelines, relating to internal controls, information systems and internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate risk exposure, asset growth, asset quality, earnings, stock valuation, compensation, fees and benefits, and such other operational and managerial standards as the agencies deem appropriate. Guidelines adopted by the federal bank regulatory agencies establish general standards relating to internal controls and information systems, internal audit systems, loan documentation, credit underwriting, interest rate exposure, asset growth and compensation, fees and benefits. In general, the guidelines require, among other things, appropriate systems and practices to identify and manage the risk and exposures specified in the guidelines. The guidelines

prohibit excessive compensation as an unsafe and unsound practice and describe compensation as excessive when the amounts paid are unreasonable or disproportionate to the services performed by an executive officer, employee, director or principal stockholder. In addition, the agencies adopted regulations that authorize, but do not require, an agency to order an institution that has been given notice by an agency that it is not satisfying any of such safety and soundness standards to submit a compliance plan. If, after being so notified, an institution fails to submit an acceptable compliance plan or

---

## **Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 15

fails in any material respect to implement an acceptable compliance plan, the agency must issue an order directing action to correct the deficiency and may issue an order directing other actions of the types to which an undercapitalized institution is subject under the "prompt corrective action" provisions of the FDIA. See "*Prompt Corrective Action*" above. If an institution fails to comply with such an order, the agency may seek to enforce such order in judicial proceedings and to impose civil monetary penalties.

### *Insolvency of an Insured Depository Institution*

If the FDIC is appointed the conservator or receiver of an insured depository institution, upon its insolvency or in certain other events, the FDIC has the power:

- to transfer any of the depository institution's assets and liabilities to a new obligor without the approval of the depository institution's creditors;
- to enforce the terms of the depository institution's contracts pursuant to their terms; or
- to repudiate or disaffirm any contract or lease to which the depository institution is a party, the performance of which is determined by the FDIC to be burdensome and the disaffirmance or repudiation of which is determined by the FDIC to promote the orderly administration of the depository institution.

In addition, under federal law, the claims of holders of deposit liabilities, including the claims of the FDIC as the guarantor of insured depositors, and certain claims for administrative expenses against an insured depository institution would be afforded priority over other general unsecured claims against such an institution, including claims of debt holders of the institution, in the liquidation or other resolution of such an institution by any receiver. As a result, whether or not the FDIC ever seeks to repudiate any debt obligations of CIT Bank, the debt holders would be treated differently from, and could receive, if anything, substantially less than CIT Bank's depositors.

### *Consumer Financial Protection Bureau Supervision ("CFPB")*

The CFPB is authorized to interpret and administer federal consumer financial laws, as well as to directly examine and enforce compliance with those laws by depository institutions with assets over \$10 billion, such as CIT Bank.

### *Community Reinvestment Act ("CRA")*

The CRA requires depository institutions like CIT Bank to assist in meeting the credit needs of their market areas consistent with safe and sound banking practice by, among other things, providing credit to low- and moderate-income individuals and communities. The CRA does not establish specific lending requirements or programs for depository institutions nor does it limit an institution's discretion to develop the types of products and services that it believes are best suited to its particular community, consistent with the CRA. Depository institutions are periodically examined for compliance with the CRA and are assigned ratings, which are made available to the public. Failure to receive at least a "Satisfactory" rating could inhibit a depository institution or its holding company from undertaking certain activities, including engaging in activities permitted as a financial holding company under the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act ("GLBA"). Furthermore, banking regulators take into account CRA ratings when considering approval of

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

applications to acquire, merge, or consolidate with another banking institution or its holding company, to establish a new branch office that will accept deposits or to relocate an office, and such record may be the basis for denying the application. CIT Bank received a rating of "Satisfactory" on its most recent CRA examination by the FDIC.

### *Incentive Compensation*

The Dodd-Frank Act requires the federal bank regulatory agencies and the SEC to establish joint regulations or guidelines prohibiting incentive-based payment arrangements at specified regulated entities, such as CIT and CIT Bank, having at least \$1 billion in total assets that encourage inappropriate risks by providing an executive officer, employee, director or principal shareholder with excessive compensation, fees, or benefits or that could lead to material financial loss to the entity. In addition, these regulators must establish regulations or guidelines requiring enhanced disclosure to regulators of incentive-based compensation arrangements. The agencies proposed such regulations in April 2011, but these regulations have not yet been finalized. If the regulations are adopted in the form initially proposed, they will impose limitations on the manner in which CIT may structure compensation for its executives.

In June 2010, the FRB and the FDIC issued comprehensive final guidance intended to ensure that the incentive compensation policies of banking organizations do not undermine the safety and soundness of such organizations by encouraging excessive risk-taking. The guidance, which covers all employees that have the ability to materially affect the risk profile of an organization, either individually or as part of a group, is based upon the key principles that a banking organization's incentive compensation arrangements should (i) provide incentives that do not encourage risk-taking beyond the organization's ability to effectively identify and manage risks, (ii) be compatible with effective internal controls and risk management, and (iii) be supported by strong corporate governance, including active and effective oversight by the organization's board of directors. These three principles are incorporated into the proposed joint compensation regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act discussed above.

### *Anti-Money Laundering ("AML") and Economic Sanctions*

In the U.S., the Bank Secrecy Act, as amended by the USA PATRIOT Act of 2001, imposes significant obligations on financial institutions, including banks, to detect and deter money laundering and terrorist financing, including requirements to implement AML programs, verify the identity of customers that maintain accounts, file currency transaction reports, and monitor and report suspicious activity to appropriate law enforcement or regulatory authorities. Anti-money laundering laws outside the United States contain similar requirements to implement AML programs. The Company has implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with all applicable AML laws and regulations. The Company has also implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are designed to comply with the regulations and economic sanctions programs administered by the U.S. Treasury's Office of Foreign Assets Control ("OFAC"), which administers and enforces economic and trade sanctions against targeted foreign countries and regimes, terrorists, international narcotics traffickers, those engaged in

## Item 1: Business Overview

---

### **Table of Contents**

16 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

activities related to the proliferation of weapons of mass destruction, and other threats to the national security, foreign policy, or economy of the United States, as well as sanctions based on United Nations and other international mandates.

### **Anti-corruption**

The Company is subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act ("FCPA"), which prohibits offering, promising, giving, or authorizing others to give anything of value, either directly or indirectly, to a non-U.S. government official in order to influence official action or otherwise gain an unfair business advantage, such as to obtain or retain business. The Company is also subject to applicable anti-corruption laws in the jurisdictions in which it operates, such as the U.K. Bribery Act, which generally prohibits commercial bribery, the receipt of a bribe, and the failure to prevent bribery by an associated person, in addition to prohibiting improper payments to foreign government officials. The Company has implemented policies, procedures, and internal controls that are

designed to comply with such laws, rules, and regulations.

### **Protection of Customer and Client Information**

Certain aspects of the Company's business are subject to legal requirements concerning the use and protection of customer information, including those adopted pursuant to GLBA and the Fair and Accurate Credit Transactions Act of 2003 in the U.S., the E.U. Data Protection Directive, and various laws in Asia and Latin America. In the U.S., the Company is required periodically to notify its customers and clients of its policy on sharing nonpublic customer or client information with its affiliates or with third party non-affiliates, and, in some circumstances, allow its customers and clients to prevent disclosure of certain personal information to affiliates and third party non-affiliates. In many foreign jurisdictions, the Company is also restricted from sharing customer or client information with third party non-affiliates.

### **Other Regulation**

In addition to U.S. banking regulation, our operations are subject to supervision and regulation by other federal, state, and various foreign governmental authorities. Additionally, our operations may be subject to various laws and judicial and administrative decisions. This oversight may serve to:

- regulate credit granting activities, including establishing licensing requirements, if any, in various jurisdictions;
- establish maximum interest rates, finance charges and other charges;
- regulate customers' insurance coverages;
- require disclosures to customers;
- govern secured transactions;
- set collection, foreclosure, repossession and claims handling procedures and other trade practices;
- prohibit discrimination in the extension of credit and administration of loans; and
- regulate the use and reporting of information related to a borrower's credit experience and other data collection.

Our Aerospace, Rail, Maritime, and other equipment financing operations are subject to various laws, rules, and regulations administered by authorities in jurisdictions where we do business. In the U.S., our equipment leasing operations, including for aircraft, railcars, ships, and other equipment, are subject to rules and regulations relating to safety, operations, maintenance, and mechanical standards promulgated by various federal and state agencies and industry organizations, including the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Federal Railroad Administration, the Association of American Railroads, the Maritime Administration, the U.S. Coast Guard, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, state agencies regulate some aspects of rail and maritime operations with respect to health and safety matters not otherwise preempted by federal law.

Each of CIT's insurance subsidiaries is licensed and regulated in the states in which it conducts insurance business. The extent of such regulation varies, but most jurisdictions have laws and regulations governing the financial aspects and business conduct of insurers. State laws in the U.S. grant insurance regulatory authorities broad administrative powers with respect to, among other things: licensing companies and agents to transact business; establish statutory capital and reserve requirements and the solvency standards that must be met and maintained; regulating certain premium rates; reviewing and approving policy forms; regulating unfair trade and claims practices, including through the imposition of restrictions on marketing and sales practices, distribution arrangements and payment of inducements; approving changes in control of insurance companies; restricting the payment of dividends and other transactions between affiliates; and regulating the types, amounts and valuation of investments. Each insurance subsidiary is required to file reports, generally including detailed annual financial statements, with insurance regulatory authorities in each of the jurisdictions in which it does business, and its operations and accounts are subject to periodic examination by such authorities.

Changes to laws of states and countries in which we do business could affect the operating environment in substantial and unpredictable ways. We cannot accurately predict whether such changes will occur or, if they occur, the ultimate effect they would have upon our financial condition or results of operations.

---

## WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

A copy of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as well as our Proxy Statement, may be read and copied at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington D.C. 20549. Information on the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>, from which interested parties can electronically access the Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as well as our Proxy Statement.

---

### Table of Contents

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 17

The Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports, as well as our Proxy Statement, are available free of charge on the Company's Internet site at <http://www.cit.com> as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed or furnished with the SEC. Copies of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Charters of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Nominating and Governance Committee, and the Risk Management Committee, and our Code of Business Conduct are available, free of charge, on our internet site at [www.cit.com/investor](http://www.cit.com/investor), and printed copies are available by contacting Investor Relations, 1 CIT Drive, Livingston, NJ 07039 or by telephone at (973) 740-5000.

---

## GLOSSARY OF TERMS

*Accretable / Non-accretable* fresh start accounting adjustments reflect components of the fair value adjustments to assets and liabilities. Accretable adjustments flow through the related line items on the statement of operations (interest income, interest expense, non-interest income and depreciation expense) on a regular basis over the remaining life of the asset or liability. These primarily relate to interest adjustments on loans and leases, as well as debt. Non-accretable adjustments, for instance credit related write-downs on loans, become adjustments to the basis of the asset and flow back through the statement of operations only upon the occurrence of certain events, such as repayment or sale.

*Available-for-sale* ("AFS") is a classification that pertains to debt and equity securities. We classify these securities as AFS when they are neither trading securities nor held-to-maturity securities. Loans and equipment that we classify in assets held for sale ("AHFS") generally pertain to assets we no longer have the intent or ability to hold until maturity.

*Average Earning Assets* ("AEA") is computed using month end balances and is the average of finance receivables (defined below), operating lease equipment, and financing and leasing assets held for sale, less the credit balances of factoring clients. We use this average for certain key profitability ratios, including return on AEA, Net Finance Revenue as a percentage of AEA and operating expenses as a percentage of AEA.

*Average Finance Receivables* ("AFR") is computed using month end balances and is the average of finance receivables (defined below). We use this average to measure the rate of net charge-offs for the period.

*Average Operating Leases* ("AOL") is computed using month end balances and is the average of operating lease equipment. We use this average to measure the rate of return on our operating lease portfolio for the period.

*Delinquent loan* categorization occurs when payment is not received when contractually due. Delinquent loan trends are used as a gauge of potential portfolio degradation or improvement.

*Derivative Contract* is a contract whose value is derived from a specified asset or an index, such as an interest rate or a foreign currency exchange rate. As the value of that asset or index changes, so does the value of the derivative contract. We use



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

derivatives to manage interest rate, foreign currency or credit risks. The derivative contracts we use may include interest-rate swaps, interest rate caps, cross-currency swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, and credit default swaps.

*Economic Value of Equity* (“EVE”) measures the net economic value of equity by assessing the market value of assets, liabilities and derivatives.

*Finance Receivables* include loans, capital lease receivables and factoring receivables. In certain instances, we use the term “Loans” synonymously, as presented on the balance sheet.

*Financing and Leasing Assets* (“FLA”) include finance receivables, operating lease equipment, and AHFS.

*Fresh Start Accounting* (“FSA”) was adopted upon emergence from bankruptcy. FSA recognizes that CIT has a new enterprise value following its emergence from bankruptcy and requires asset values to be remeasured using fair value in accordance with accounting requirements for business combinations. The excess of reorganization value over the fair value of tangible and intangible assets was recorded as goodwill. In addition, FSA also requires that all liabilities, other than deferred taxes, be stated at fair value. Deferred taxes were determined in conformity with accounting requirements for Income Taxes.

*Interest income* includes interest earned on finance receivables, cash balances and dividends on investments.

*Lease – capital* is an agreement in which the party who owns the property (lessor), which is CIT as part of our finance business, permits another party (lessee), which is our customer, to use the property with substantially all of the economic benefits and risks of asset ownership passed to the lessee.

*Lease – operating* is a lease in which CIT retains ownership of the asset, collects rental payments, recognizes depreciation on the asset, and retains the risks of ownership, including obsolescence.

*Lower of Cost or Fair Value* relates to the carrying value of an asset. The cost refers to the current book balance of certain assets, such as held for sale assets, and if that balance is higher than the fair value, an impairment charge is reflected in the current period statement of operations.

*Net Finance Revenue* (“NFR”) is a non-GAAP measurement defined as Net Interest Revenue (defined below) plus rental income on operating lease equipment less depreciation on operating lease equipment and maintenance and other operating lease expenses. When divided by AEA, the product is defined as Net Finance Margin (“NFM”). These are key measures used by management in the evaluation of the financial performance of our business.

*Net Interest Income Sensitivity* (“NII Sensitivity”) measures the impact of hypothetical changes in interest rates on NFR.

*Net Interest Revenue* reflects interest and fees on finance receivables and interest/dividends on investments less interest expense on deposits and long term borrowings.

*Net Operating Loss Carryforward / Carryback* (“NOL”) is a tax concept, whereby tax losses in one year can be used to offset taxable income in other years. For example, a U.S. Federal NOL

**Item 1: Business Overview**

---

### **Table of Contents**

**18** CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

can first be carried-back and applied against taxable income recorded in the two preceding years with any remaining amount being carried-forward for the next twenty years to offset future taxable income. The rules pertaining to the number of years allowed for the carryback or carryforward of an NOL varies by jurisdiction.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

*New business volume* represents the initial cash outlay related to new loan or lease equipment transactions entered into during the period. The amount includes CIT's portion of a syndicated transaction, whether it acts as the agent or a participant, and in certain instances, it includes asset purchases from third parties.

*Non-accrual Assets* include finance receivables greater than \$500,000 that are individually evaluated and determined to be impaired, as well as finance receivables less than \$500,000 that are delinquent (generally for more than 90 days), unless it is both well secured and in the process of collection. Non-accrual assets also include finance receivables maintained on a cash basis because of deterioration in the financial position of the borrower.

*Non-performing Assets* include non-accrual assets (described above) and assets received in satisfaction of loans (repossessed assets).

*Other Income* includes (1) factoring commissions, (2) gains and losses on sales of equipment (3) fee revenues, including fees on lines of credit, letters of credit, capital markets related fees, agent and advisory fees and servicing fees, (4) gains and losses on loan and portfolio sales, (5) recoveries on loans charged-off pre-emergence and loans charged-off prior to transfer to AHFS, (6) gains and losses on investments, (7) gains and losses on derivatives and foreign currency exchange, (8) counterparty receivable accretion, (9) impairment on AHFS, and (10) other revenues. Other income combined with rental income on operating leases is defined as Non-interest income.

*Regulatory Credit Classifications* used by CIT are as follows:

- *Pass* – These assets do not meet the criteria for classification in one of the other categories;
- *Special Mention* – These assets exhibit potential weaknesses that deserve management's close attention and if left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may, at some future date, result in the deterioration of the repayment prospects;
- *Substandard* – These assets are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower, and are characterized by the distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the deficiencies are not corrected;
- *Doubtful* – These assets have weaknesses that make collection or liquidation in full unlikely on the basis of current facts, conditions, and values and
- *Loss* – These assets are considered uncollectible and of little or no value and are generally charged off.

Classified assets are rated as substandard, doubtful and loss and range from: (1) assets that exhibit a well-defined weakness and are inadequately protected by the current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower, and are characterized by the distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the deficiencies are not corrected to (2) assets with weaknesses that make collection or liquidation in full unlikely on the basis of current facts, conditions, and values. Assets in this classification can be accruing or on non-accrual depending on the evaluation of these factors. Classified loans plus special mention loans are considered criticized loans.

*Residual Values* represent the estimated value of equipment at the end of the lease term. For operating leases, it is the value to which the asset is depreciated at the end of its estimated useful life.

*Risk Weighted Assets* ("RWA") is the denominator to which Total Capital and Tier 1 Capital is compared to derive the respective risk based regulatory ratios. RWA is comprised of both on-balance sheet assets and certain off-balance sheet items (for example loan commitments, purchase commitments or derivative contracts), all of which are adjusted by certain risk-weightings as defined by the regulators, which are based upon, among other things, the relative credit risk of the counterparty.

*Syndication and Sale of Receivables* result from originating finance receivables with the intent to sell a portion, or the entire balance, of these assets to other institutions. We earn and recognize fees and/or gains on sales, which are reflected in other income, for acting as arranger or agent in these transactions.

*Tangible Capital* excludes goodwill and intangible assets. We use tangible capital in measuring tangible book value and tangible book value per share.

*Tier 1 Capital and Tier 2 Capital* are regulatory capital as defined in the capital adequacy guidelines issued by the Federal Reserve. Tier 1 Capital is total stockholders' equity reduced by goodwill and intangibles and adjusted by elements of other comprehensive income and other items. Tier 2 Capital consists of, among other things, other preferred stock that does not qualify as Tier 1,

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

mandatory convertible debt, limited amounts of subordinated debt, other qualifying term debt, and allowance for loan losses up to 1.25% of risk weighted assets.

*Total Capital* is the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 Capital, subject to certain adjustments, as applicable.

*Total Net Revenue* is a non-GAAP measurement and is the combination of NFR and other income.

*Total Return Swap* ("TRS") is a swap where one party agrees to pay the other the "total return" of a defined underlying asset (e.g., a loan), usually in return for receiving a stream of LIBOR-based cash flows. The total returns of the asset, including interest and any default shortfall, are passed through to the counterparty. The counterparty is therefore assuming the risks and rewards of the underlying asset.

*Troubled Debt Restructuring* ("TDR") occurs when a lender, for economic or legal reasons, grants a concession to the borrower related to the borrower's financial difficulties that it would not otherwise consider.

*Variable Interest Entity* ("VIE") is a corporation, partnership, limited liability company, or any other legal structure used to conduct activities or hold assets. These entities: lack sufficient equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support from other parties; have equity owners who either do not have voting rights or lack the ability to make significant decisions affecting the entity's operations; and/or have equity owners that do not have an obligation to absorb the entity's losses or the right to receive the entity's returns.

*Yield-related Fees* are collected in connection with our assumption of underwriting risk in certain transactions in addition to interest income. We recognize yield-related fees, which include prepayment fees and certain origination fees, in interest income over the life of the lending transaction.

---

### Table of Contents

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 19

---

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

*The operation of our business, and the economic and regulatory climate in the U.S. and other regions of the world involve various elements of risk and uncertainty. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below before making a decision whether to invest in the Company. This is a discussion of the risks that we believe are material to our business and does not include all risks, material or immaterial, that may possibly affect our business. Any of the following risks, as well as additional risks that are presently unknown to us or that we currently deem immaterial, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.*

### ***Risks Related to Our Strategy and Business Plan***

***If the assumptions and analyses underlying our strategy and business plan, including with respect to market conditions, capital and liquidity, business strategy, and operations are incorrect, we may be unsuccessful in executing our strategy and business plan.***

A number of strategic issues affect our business, including how we allocate our capital and liquidity, our business strategy, our funding models, and the quality and efficiency of operations. We developed our strategy and business plan based upon certain assumptions, analyses, and financial forecasts, including with respect to our capital levels, funding model, credit ratings, revenue growth, earnings, interest margins, expense levels, cash flow, credit losses, liquidity and financing sources, lines of business and scope of our international operations, acquisitions and divestitures, equipment residual values, capital expenditures, retention of key employees, and the overall strength and stability of general economic conditions. Financial forecasts are inherently subject to many uncertainties and are necessarily speculative, and it is likely that one or more of the assumptions and estimates that are the

basis of these financial forecasts will not be accurate. Accordingly, our actual financial condition and results of operations may differ materially from what we have forecast. If we are unable to implement our strategic initiatives effectively, we may need to refine, supplement, or modify our business plan and strategy in significant ways. If we are unable to fully implement our business plan and strategy, it may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***We may not be able to achieve the expected benefits from acquiring a business or assets or from disposing of a business or assets, which may have an adverse effect on our business or results of operations.***

As part of our strategy and business plan, we may consider engaging in business or asset acquisitions or sales to manage our business, the products and services we offer, and our asset levels, credit exposures, or liquidity position. There are a number of risks inherent in acquisition and sale transactions, including the risk that we fail to identify or to complete any of these transactions, that we enter into a transaction, but fail to complete the transaction, that we fail to sell a business or assets that are considered non-strategic or high risk, or that we complete the transaction, but fail to properly integrate the acquired company or to realize the anticipated benefits from the transaction. In 2014, CIT completed two acquisitions, Nacco and Direct Capital, and various sales, the largest being our student lending portfolio. We also entered into an agreement to acquire IMB Holdco LLC and its subsidiary, OneWest Bank, N.A., which is still pending.

If CIT engages in business acquisitions, it may be necessary to pay a premium over book and market values to complete the transaction, which may result in some dilution of our tangible book value and net income per common share. If CIT uses substantial cash or other liquid assets or incurs substantial debt to acquire a business or assets, we could become more susceptible to economic downturns and competitive pressures. Inherent uncertainties exist when integrating the operations of an acquired entity. CIT may not be able to fully achieve its strategic objectives and planned operating efficiencies in an acquisition. CIT may also be exposed to other risks inherent in an acquisition, including potential exposure to unknown or contingent liabilities, changes in our credit, liquidity, interest rate or other risk profiles, exposure to potential asset quality issues, potential disruption of our existing business and diversion of management's time and attention, possible loss of key employees or customers of the acquired business, potential risk that certain items were not accounted for properly by the seller in accordance with financial accounting and reporting standards. In most instances, CIT and any potential acquired company will be operating pursuant to different policies, procedures, and processes, and utilizing different systems, which will require significant time, cost, and effort to integrate. If we fail to realize the expected revenue increases, cost savings, increases in geographic or product presence, and/or other projected benefits from an acquisition, or if we are unable to adequately integrate the acquired business, or experience unexpected costs, changes in our risk profile, or disruption to our business, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

CIT must generally receive regulatory approval before it can acquire a bank or BHC or for any acquisition in which the assets acquired exceeds \$10 billion. We cannot be certain when or if, or on what terms and conditions, any required regulatory approval may be granted. We may be required to sell assets or business units as a condition to receiving regulatory approval. Our proposed acquisition of IMB Holdco LLC and OneWest Bank, N.A. is still subject to regulatory approval. If CIT announces an acquisition, but fails to close the transaction, whether due to a failure to obtain regulatory approvals, failure to obtain shareholder approval, a change in circumstances, or for any other reason, CIT may be exposed to potential disruption of our business, diversion of management's time and attention, risk from a failure to diversify our business and products, and increased expenses without a commensurate increase in revenues.

As a result of economic cycles and other factors, the value of certain asset classes may fluctuate and decline below their historic cost. If CIT is holding such businesses or asset classes, we may not recover our carrying value if we sell such businesses or assets or we may end up with a higher risk exposure to specific customers, industries, asset classes, or geographic regions than we have targeted. In addition, potential purchasers may be unwilling to pay an amount equal to the face value of a loan or lease if the purchaser is concerned about the quality of our credit

**Item 1A: Risk Factors**

---

**Table of Contents**

**20** CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

underwriting. We may not receive adequate consideration for our dispositions. These transactions, if completed, may reduce the size of our business and we may not be able to replace the lending and leasing activity associated with these businesses. As a result, future disposition of assets could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### ***Risks Related to Capital and Liquidity***

***If we fail to maintain sufficient capital or adequate liquidity to meet regulatory capital guidelines, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.***

New and evolving capital and liquidity standards will have a significant effect on banks and BHCs. In July 2013, the FRB and the FDIC approved the Basel III Final Rule, which requires BHCs to maintain more and higher quality capital than in the past. In October 2014, the FRB issued a proposed rule to create a standardized minimum liquidity requirement for large and internationally active banking organizations, referred to as the “liquidity coverage ratio”, or “LCR”. The U.S. bank regulatory agencies are also expected to issue a rule implementing the net stable funding ratio, or “NSFR”, called for by the Basel III Final Framework. If we incur future losses that reduce our capital levels or affect our liquidity, we may fail to maintain our regulatory capital or our liquidity above regulatory minimums and at economically satisfactory levels. Failure to maintain the appropriate capital levels or adequate liquidity would have a material adverse effect on the Company’s financial condition and results of operations, and subject the Company to a variety of formal or informal enforcement actions, which may include restrictions on our business activities, including limiting lending and leasing activities, limiting the expansion of our business, either organically or through acquisitions, requiring the raising of additional capital, which may be dilutive to shareholders, or requiring prior regulatory approval before taking certain actions, such as payment of dividends or otherwise returning capital to shareholders. The new liquidity standards could also require CIT to hold higher levels of short-term investments, thereby reducing our ability to invest in longer-term or less liquid assets. If we are unable to meet any of these capital or liquidity standards, it may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

***If we fail to maintain adequate liquidity or to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our obligations as they come due, whether due to a downgrade in our credit ratings or for any other reasons, it could materially adversely affect our future business operations.***

CIT’s liquidity is essential for the operation of our business. Our liquidity, and our ability to issue debt in the capital markets or fund our activities through bank deposits, could be affected by a number of factors, including market conditions, our capital structure and capital levels, our credit ratings, and the performance of our business. An adverse change in any of those factors, and particularly a downgrade in our credit ratings, could negatively affect CIT’s liquidity and competitive position, increase our funding costs, or limit our access to the capital markets or deposit markets. Further, an adverse change in the performance of our business could have a negative impact on our operating cash flow. CIT’s credit ratings are subject to ongoing review by the rating agencies, which consider a number of factors, including CIT’s own financial strength, performance, prospects, and operations, as well as factors not within our control, including conditions affecting the financial services industry generally. There can be no assurance that we will maintain or increase our current ratings, which currently are not investment grade. If we experience a substantial, unexpected, or prolonged change in the level or cost of liquidity, or fail to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our obligations, it could adversely affect our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

***Our business may be adversely affected if we fail to successfully expand our sources of deposits at CIT Bank.***

CIT Bank currently does not have a branch network and relies on its online bank, brokered deposits, and certain deposit sweep accounts to raise deposits. Our ability to obtain deposit funding and offer competitive interest rates on deposits is dependent on CIT Bank’s capital levels. Federal banking law generally prohibits a bank from accepting, renewing or rolling over brokered deposits, unless the bank is well-capitalized or it is adequately capitalized and obtains a waiver from the FDIC. There are also restrictions on interest rates that may be paid by banks that are less than well capitalized, under which such a bank generally may not pay an interest rate on any deposit of more than 75 basis points over the national rate published by the FDIC unless the FDIC determines that the bank is operating in a high-rate area. Continued expansion of CIT Bank’s retail online banking platform to diversify the types of deposits that it accepts may require significant time, effort, and expense to implement. We have agreed to acquire OneWest Bank, which has a retail branch network, but that transaction is subject to regulatory approval, which may not be obtained. We are likely to face significant competition for deposits from larger BHCs who are similarly seeking larger and more stable pools of funding. If CIT Bank fails to expand and diversify its deposit-taking capability, it could have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

### ***Risks Related to Regulatory Obligations***

***We could be adversely affected by the additional banking regulations imposed on SIFIs when we complete the proposed acquisition of IMB Holdco LLC and OneWest Bank.***

We have agreed to acquire IMB Holdco LLC and its subsidiary, OneWest Bank, a national bank regulated by the OCC, with CIT Bank merging into OneWest Bank, which will be renamed CIT Bank, N.A. If the transaction receives regulatory approval and is completed, CIT will exceed the \$50 billion threshold for designation as a systemically important financial institution (SIFI) in the quarter in which the transaction closes and will become subject to the FRB regulations applicable to SIFIs, generally within four quarters or less of the closing. There are a number of regulations that are applicable to SIFIs (the "SIFI Rules") that are not applicable to smaller banking organizations, including but not limited to enhanced rules on capital plans and stress testing, enhanced governance standards, enhanced liquidity requirements, enhanced reporting requirements, and a requirement to develop a resolution plan. Each of the SIFI Rules will require CIT to dedicate significant time, effort, and expense to comply with the enhanced standards and requirements. If we fail to develop at a reasonable cost the systems and processes necessary to comply

---

## **Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 21

with the enhanced standards and requirements imposed by the SIFI Rules, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

***Our business is subject to significant government regulation and supervision and we could be adversely affected by banking or other regulations, including new regulations or changes in existing regulations or the application thereof.***

The financial services industry, in general, is heavily regulated. We are subject to the comprehensive, consolidated supervision of the FRB, including risk-based and leverage capital requirements and information reporting requirements. In addition, CIT Bank is subject to supervision by the FDIC and UDFI, including risk-based capital requirements and information reporting requirements. This regulatory oversight is established to protect depositors, federal deposit insurance funds and the banking system as a whole, and is not intended to protect debt and equity security holders. If we fail to satisfy regulatory requirements applicable to bank holding companies that have elected to be treated as financial holding companies, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected, and we may be restricted in our ability to undertake certain capital actions (such as declaring dividends or repurchasing outstanding shares) or engage in certain activities or acquisitions. In addition, our banking regulators have significant discretion in the examination and enforcement of applicable banking statutes and regulations, and may restrict our ability to engage in certain activities or acquisitions, or may require us to maintain more capital.

Proposals for legislation to further regulate, restrict, and tax certain financial services activities are continually being introduced in the United States Congress and in state legislatures. The Dodd-Frank Act, which was adopted in 2010, constitutes the most wide-ranging overhaul of financial services regulation in decades, including provisions affecting, among other things, (i) corporate governance and executive compensation of companies whose securities are registered with the SEC, (ii) FDIC insurance assessments based on asset levels rather than deposits, (iii) minimum capital levels for BHCs, (iv) derivatives activities, proprietary trading, and private investment funds offered by financial institutions, and (v) the regulation of large financial institutions. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act established additional regulatory bodies, including the FSOC, which is charged with identifying systemic risks, promoting stronger financial regulation, and identifying those non-bank companies that are "systemically important", and the CFPB, which has broad authority to examine and regulate a federal regulatory framework for consumer financial protection. The agencies regulating the financial services industry periodically adopt changes to their regulations and are still finalizing regulations to implement various provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. In recent years, regulators have increased significantly the level and scope of their supervision and regulation of the financial services industry. We are unable to predict the form or nature of any future changes to statutes or regulation, including the interpretation or implementation thereof. Such increased supervision and regulation could significantly affect our ability to conduct certain of our businesses in a cost-effective manner, restrict the type of activities in which we are permitted to engage, or subject us to stricter and more conservative capital, leverage, liquidity, and risk management standards. Any such action could have a substantial impact on us, significantly increase our costs, limit our growth opportunities, affect our strategies and business operations and increase our capital requirements, and could have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Our Aerospace, Rail, Maritime, and other equipment financing operations are subject to various laws, rules, and regulations administered by authorities in jurisdictions where we do business. In the U.S., our equipment leasing operations, including for aircraft, railcars, ships, and other equipment, are subject to rules and regulations relating to safety, operations, maintenance, and mechanical standards promulgated by various federal and state agencies and industry organizations, including the U.S. Department of Transportation, the Federal Aviation Administration, the Federal Railroad Administration, the Association of American Railroads, the Maritime Administration, the U.S. Coast Guard, and the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. In addition, state agencies regulate some aspects of rail and maritime operations with respect to health and safety matters not otherwise preempted by federal law. Our business operations and our equipment leasing portfolios may be adversely impacted by rules and regulations promulgated by governmental and industry agencies, which could require substantial modification, maintenance, or refurbishment of our aircraft, railcars, ships, or other equipment, or potentially make such equipment inoperable or obsolete. Violations of these rules and regulations can result in substantial fines and penalties, including potential limitations on operations or forfeitures of assets.

The financial services industry is also heavily regulated in many jurisdictions outside of the United States. We have subsidiaries in various countries that are licensed as banks, banking corporations and broker-dealers, all of which are subject to regulation and examination by banking and securities regulators in their home jurisdiction. In certain jurisdictions, including the United Kingdom, the local banking regulators expect the local regulated entity to maintain contingency plans to operate on a stand-alone basis in the event of a crisis. Given the evolving nature of regulations in many of these jurisdictions, it may be difficult for us to meet all of the regulatory requirements, establish operations and receive approvals. Our inability to remain in compliance with regulatory requirements in a particular jurisdiction could have a material adverse effect on our operations in that market and on our reputation generally.

***We could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions.***

CIT's ability to engage in routine funding transactions could be adversely affected by the actions and commercial soundness of other financial institutions. Financial institutions are interrelated as a result of trading, clearing, counterparty, or other relationships. CIT has exposure to many different industries and counterparties, and it routinely executes transactions with counterparties in the financial services industry, including brokers and dealers, commercial banks, investment banks, mutual and hedge funds, and other institutional clients. As a result, defaults by, or even rumors or questions about, one or more financial institutions, or the financial services industry generally, could affect market liquidity and could lead to losses or defaults by us or by other institutions. Many of these transactions could expose CIT to credit risk in the event of default by its counterparty or client. In addition, CIT's credit risk may be impacted if the collateral held by it cannot be realized upon or is liquidated at prices not sufficient to recover the full amount of the financial instrument

### Item 1A: Risk Factors

---

#### **Table of Contents**

22 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

exposure due to CIT. There is no assurance that any such losses would not adversely affect, possibly materially, CIT.

***We may be restricted from paying dividends or repurchasing our common stock.***

CIT is a legal entity separate and distinct from its subsidiaries, including CIT Bank, and relies on dividends from its subsidiaries for a significant portion of its cash flow. Federal banking laws and regulations limit the amount of dividends that CIT Bank can pay. BHCs with assets in excess of \$50 billion must develop and submit to the FRB for review an annual capital plan detailing their plans for the payment of dividends on their common or preferred stock or the repurchase of common stock. Although our assets currently are less than \$50 billion, we will exceed the \$50 Billion SIFI Threshold and become subject to the capital plan requirement if the OneWest Transaction is approved and completed. Once subject to this requirement, if our capital plan were not approved or if we do not satisfy applicable capital requirements, our ability to undertake capital actions may be restricted. Furthermore, we still consult with the FRBNY prior to declaring dividends on our common stock or implementing a plan to repurchase our common stock. We cannot determine whether the FRBNY will object to future capital returns.

#### ***Risks Related to the Operation of Our Businesses***

***Revenue growth from new business initiatives and expense reductions from efficiency improvements may not be achieved.***

As part of its ongoing business, CIT from time to time enters into new business initiatives. In addition, CIT from time to time has targeted certain expense reductions in its business. The new business initiatives may not be successful in increasing revenue, whether due to significant levels of competition, lack of demand for services, lack of name recognition or a record of prior performance, or otherwise, or may require higher expenditures than anticipated to generate new business volume. The expense initiatives may not reduce expenses as much as anticipated, whether due to delays in implementation, higher than expected or unanticipated costs of implementation, increased costs for new regulatory obligations, or for other reasons. If CIT is unable to achieve the anticipated revenue growth from its new business initiatives or the projected expense reductions from efficiency improvements, its results of operations and profitability may be adversely affected.

***Our Commercial Aerospace business is concentrated by industry and any downturn in that industry may have a material adverse effect on our business.***

Most of our business is diversified by customer, industry, and geography. However, although our Commercial Aerospace business is diversified by customer and geography, it is concentrated in one industry and represents 29% of our financing and leasing assets. If there is a significant downturn in commercial air travel, it could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

***If we fail to maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting, it could result in a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements.***

Management of CIT is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with GAAP. If we identify material weaknesses or other deficiencies in our internal controls, or if material weaknesses or other deficiencies exist that we fail to identify, our risk will be increased that a material misstatement to our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Any such potential material misstatement, if not prevented or detected, could require us to restate previously released financial statements and could otherwise have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

***Our allowance for loan losses may prove inadequate.***

The quality of our financing and leasing assets depends on the creditworthiness of our customers and their ability to fulfill their obligations to us. We maintain a consolidated allowance for loan losses on our financing and leasing assets to provide for loan defaults and non-performance. The amount of our allowance reflects management's judgment of losses inherent in the portfolio. However, the economic environment is dynamic, and our portfolio credit quality could decline in the future.

Our allowance for loan losses may not keep pace with changes in the credit-worthiness of our customers or in collateral values. Our credit losses were significantly more severe from 2007 to 2009 than in prior economic downturns, due to a significant decline in real estate values, an increase in the proportion of cash flow loans versus asset based loans in our corporate finance segment, the limited ability of borrowers to restructure their liabilities or their business, and reduced values of the collateral underlying the loans. If the credit quality of our customer base declines, if the risk profile of a market, industry, or group of customers changes significantly, or if the markets for accounts receivable, equipment, real estate, or other collateral deteriorates significantly, our allowance for loan losses may prove inadequate, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

In addition to customer credit risk associated with loans and leases, we are exposed to other forms of credit risk, including counterparties to our derivative transactions, loan sales, syndications and equipment purchases. These counterparties include other financial institutions, manufacturers, and our customers. If our credit underwriting processes or credit risk judgments fail to adequately identify or assess such risks, or if the credit quality of our derivative counterparties, customers, manufacturers, or other parties with which we conduct business materially deteriorates, we may be exposed to credit risk related losses that may negatively impact our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

***If the models that we use in our business are poorly designed, our business or results of operations may be adversely affected.***

We rely on quantitative models to measure risks and to estimate certain financial values. Models may be used in such processes as determining the pricing of various products, grading loans and extending credit, measuring interest rate and other market risks, predicting losses, assessing capital adequacy, and calculating regulatory capital levels, as well as to estimate the value of financial



instruments and balance sheet items. Poorly designed or implemented models present the risk that our business decisions based on information incorporating models will be adversely affected due to the inadequacy of that information. Also, information we provide to the public or to our regulators based on

---

**Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 23

poorly designed or implemented models could be inaccurate or misleading. Some of the decisions that our regulators make, including those related to capital distributions to our shareholders, could be affected adversely due to their perception that the quality of the models used to generate the relevant information are insufficient.

***It could adversely affect our business if we fail to retain and/or attract skilled employees.***

Our business and results of operations will depend in part upon our ability to retain and attract highly skilled and qualified executive officers and management, financial, compliance, technical, marketing, sales, and support employees. Competition for qualified executive officers and employees can be challenging, and CIT cannot ensure success in attracting or retaining such individuals. This competition can lead to increased expenses in many areas. If we fail to attract and retain qualified executive officers and employees, it could materially adversely affect our ability to compete and it could have a material adverse effect on our ability to successfully operate our business or to meet our operations, risk management, compliance, regulatory, funding and financial reporting requirements.

***We may not be able to realize our entire investment in the equipment we lease to our customers.***

Our financing and leasing assets include a significant portion of leased equipment, including but not limited to aircraft, railcars and locomotives, technology and office equipment, and medical equipment. The realization of equipment values (residual values) during the life and at the end of the term of a lease is an important element in the profitability of our leasing business. At the inception of each lease, we record a residual value for the leased equipment based on our estimate of the future value of the equipment at the end of lease term or end of equipment estimated useful life.

If the market value of leased equipment decreases at a rate greater than we projected, whether due to rapid technological or economic obsolescence, unusual wear and tear on the equipment, excessive use of the equipment, recession or other adverse economic conditions, or other factors, it would adversely affect the current values or the residual values of such equipment.

Further, certain equipment residual values, including commercial aerospace residuals, are dependent on the manufacturers' or vendors' warranties, reputation, and other factors, including market liquidity. Residual values for certain equipment, including aerospace, rail, and medical equipment, may also be affected by changes in laws or regulations that mandate design changes or additional safety features. In addition, we may not realize the full market value of equipment if we are required to sell it to meet liquidity needs or for other reasons outside of the ordinary course of business. Consequently, there can be no assurance that we will realize our estimated residual values for equipment.

The degree of residual realization risk varies by transaction type. Capital leases bear the least risk because contractual payments usually cover approximately 90% of the equipment's cost at the inception of the lease. Operating leases have a higher degree of risk because a smaller percentage of the equipment's value is covered by contractual cash flows over the term of the lease. A significant portion of our leasing portfolios are comprised of operating leases, which increase our residual realization risk.

***We are currently involved in a number of legal proceedings, and may from time to time be involved in government investigations and inquiries, related to the conduct of our business, the results of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operation.***

We are currently involved in a number of legal proceedings, and may from time to time be involved in government investigations and inquiries, relating to matters that arise in connection with the conduct of our business (collectively, "Litigation"). We are also at risk when we have agreed to indemnify others for losses related to Litigation they face, such as in connection with the sale of a business or assets by us. It is inherently difficult to predict the outcome of Litigation matters, particularly when such matters are in their early stages or where the claimants seek indeterminate damages. We cannot state with certainty what the eventual outcome of the pending Litigation will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines, or penalties related to each pending matter will be, if any. The actual results of resolving Litigation matters may be substantially higher than the amounts reserved, or judgments may be rendered, or fines or penalties assessed in matters for which we have no reserves. Adverse judgments, fines or penalties in one or more Litigation matters could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

***We and our subsidiaries are party to various financing arrangements, commercial contracts and other arrangements that under certain circumstances give, or in some cases may give, the counterparty the ability to exercise rights and remedies under such arrangements which, if exercised, may have material adverse consequences.***

We and our subsidiaries are party to various financing arrangements, commercial contracts and other arrangements, such as securitization transactions, derivatives transactions, funding facilities, and agreements for the purchase or sale of assets, that give, or in some cases may give, the counterparty the ability to exercise rights and remedies upon the occurrence of certain events. Such events may include a material adverse effect or material adverse change (or similar event), a breach of representations or warranties, a failure to disclose material information, a breach of covenants, certain insolvency events, a default under certain specified other obligations, or a failure to comply with certain financial covenants. The counterparty could have the ability, depending on the arrangement, to, among other things, require early repayment of amounts owed by us or our subsidiaries and in some cases payment of penalty amounts, or require the repurchase of assets previously sold to the counterparty. Additionally, a default under financing arrangements or derivatives transactions that exceed a certain size threshold in the aggregate may also cause a cross-default under instruments governing our other financing arrangements or derivatives transactions. If the ability of any counterparty to exercise such rights and remedies is triggered and we are unsuccessful in avoiding or minimizing the adverse consequences discussed above, such consequences could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

**Item 1A: Risk Factors**

---

**Table of Contents**

**24** CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

***Investment in and revenues from our foreign operations are subject to various risks and requirements associated with transacting business in foreign countries.***

An economic recession or downturn, increased competition, or business disruption associated with the political or regulatory environments in the international markets in which we operate could adversely affect us.

In addition, our foreign operations generally conduct business in foreign currencies, which subject us to foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations. These exposures, if not effectively hedged could have a material adverse effect on our investment in international operations and the level of international revenues that we generate from international financing and leasing transactions. Reported results from our operations in foreign countries may fluctuate from period to period due to exchange rate movements in relation to the U.S. dollar, particularly exchange rate movements in the Canadian dollar, which is our largest non-U.S. exposure.

Foreign countries have various compliance requirements for financial statement audits and tax filings, which are required in order to obtain and maintain licenses to transact business and may be different in some respects from GAAP in the U.S. or the tax laws and regulations of the U.S. If we are unable to properly complete and file our statutory audit reports or tax filings, regulators or tax authorities in the applicable jurisdiction may restrict our ability to do business.

Furthermore, our international operations could expose us to trade and economic sanctions or other restrictions imposed by the United States or other governments or organizations. The U.S. Department of Justice ("DOJ") and other federal agencies and authorities have a broad range of civil and criminal penalties they may seek to impose against corporations and individuals for

violations of trade sanction laws, the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (“FCPA”) and other federal statutes. Under trade sanction laws, the government may seek to impose modifications to business practices, including cessation of business activities in sanctioned countries, and modifications to compliance programs, which may increase compliance costs, and may subject us to fines, penalties and other sanctions. If any of the risks described above materialize, it could adversely impact our operating results and financial condition.

These laws also prohibit improper payments or offers of payments to foreign governments and their officials and political parties for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. We have operations, deal with government entities and have contracts in countries known to experience corruption. Our activities in these countries create the risk of unauthorized payments or offers of payments by one of our employees, consultants, sales agents, or associates that could be in violation of various laws, including the FCPA, even though these parties are not always subject to our control. Our employees, consultants, sales agents, or associates may engage in conduct for which we may be held responsible. Violations of the FCPA may result in severe criminal or civil sanctions, and we may be subject to other liabilities, which could negatively affect our business, operating results, and financial condition.

***We may be adversely affected by significant changes in interest rates.***

In addition to our equity capital, we rely on borrowed money from unsecured debt, secured debt, and deposits to fund our business. We derive the bulk of our income from net finance revenue, which is the difference between interest and rental income on our financing and leasing assets and interest expense on deposits and other borrowings, depreciation on our operating lease equipment and maintenance and other operating lease expenses. Prevailing economic conditions, the trade, fiscal, and monetary policies of the federal government and the policies of various regulatory agencies all affect market rates of interest and the availability and cost of credit, which in turn significantly affects our net finance revenue. Volatility in interest rates can also result in disintermediation, which is the flow of funds away from financial institutions into direct investments, such as federal government and corporate securities and other investment vehicles, which, because of the absence of federal insurance premiums and reserve requirements, generally pay higher rates of return than financial institutions.

Although interest rates are currently lower than usual, any significant decrease in market interest rates may result in a change in net interest margin and net finance revenue. A substantial portion of our loans and other financing products, as well as our deposits and other borrowings, bear interest at floating interest rates. If interest rates increase, monthly interest obligations owed by our customers to us will also increase, as will our own interest expense. Demand for our loans or other financing products may decrease as interest rates rise or if interest rates are expected to rise in the future. In addition, if prevailing interest rates increase, some of our customers may not be able to make the increased interest payments or refinance their balloon and bullet payment transactions, resulting in payment defaults and loan impairments. Conversely, if interest rates remain low, our interest expense may decrease, but our customers may refinance the loans they have with us at lower interest rates, or with others, leading to lower revenues. As interest rates rise and fall over time, any significant change in market rates may result in a decrease in net finance revenue, particularly if the interest rates we pay on our deposits and other borrowings and the interest rates we charge our customers do not change in unison, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, and financial condition.

***We may be adversely affected by deterioration in economic conditions that is general in scope or affects specific industries, products or geographic areas.***

Given the high percentage of our financing and leasing assets represented directly or indirectly by loans and leases, and the importance of lending and leasing to our overall business, weak economic conditions are likely to have a negative impact on our business and results of operations. Prolonged economic weakness or other adverse economic or financial developments in the U.S. or global economies in general, or affecting specific industries, geographic locations and/or products, would likely adversely impact credit quality as borrowers may fail to meet their debt payment obligations, particularly customers with highly leveraged loans. Adverse economic conditions have in the past and could in the future result in declines in collateral values, which also decreases our ability to fund against collateral. This would result in higher levels of nonperforming loans, net charge-offs, provision for credit losses, and valuation adjustments on

---

**Table of Contents**

loans held for sale. The value to us of other assets such as investment securities, most of which are debt securities or other financial instruments supported by loans, similarly would be negatively impacted by widespread decreases in credit quality resulting from a weakening of the economy. Accordingly, higher credit and collateral related losses and decreases in the value of financial instruments could impact our financial position or operating results.

In addition, a downturn in certain industries may result in reduced demand for products that we finance in that industry or negatively impact collection and asset recovery efforts. Decreased demand for the products of various manufacturing customers due to recession may adversely affect their ability to repay their loans and leases with us. Similarly, a decrease in the level of airline passenger traffic or a decline in railroad shipping volumes due to reduced demand for certain raw materials or bulk products may adversely affect our aerospace or rail businesses, the value of our aircraft and rail assets, and the ability of our lessees to make lease payments.

We are also affected by the economic and other policies adopted by various governmental authorities in the U.S. and other jurisdictions in reaction to economic conditions. Changes in monetary policies of the FRB and non-U.S. central banking authorities directly impact our cost of funds for lending, capital raising, and investment activities, and may impact the value of financial instruments we hold. In addition, such changes may affect the credit quality of our customers. Changes in domestic and international monetary policies are beyond our control and difficult to predict.

***Competition from both traditional competitors and new market entrants may adversely affect our market share, profitability, and returns.***

Our markets are highly competitive and are characterized by competitive factors that vary based upon product and geographic region. We have a wide variety of competitors that include captive and independent finance companies, commercial banks and thrift institutions, industrial banks, community banks, leasing companies, hedge funds, insurance companies, mortgage companies, manufacturers and vendors.

We compete on the basis of pricing (including the interest rates charged on loans or paid on deposits and the pricing for equipment leases), product terms and structure, the range of products and services offered, and the quality of customer service (including convenience and responsiveness to customer needs and concerns). The ability to access and use technology is an increasingly important competitive factor in the financial services industry, and it is a critically important component to customer satisfaction as it affects our ability to deliver the right products and services.

If we are unable to address the competitive pressures that we face, we could lose market share. On the other hand, if we meet those competitive pressures, it is possible that we could incur significant additional expense, experience lower returns due to compressed net finance revenue, and/or incur increased losses due to less rigorous risk standards.

***We could be adversely affected by changes in tax laws and regulations or the interpretations of such laws and regulations***

We are subject to the income tax laws of the U.S., its states and municipalities and those of the foreign jurisdictions in which we have business operations. These tax laws are complex and may be subject to different interpretations. We must make judgments and interpretations about the application of these inherently complex tax laws when determining our provision for income taxes, our deferred tax assets and liabilities, and our valuation allowance. Changes to the tax laws, administrative rulings or court decisions could increase our provision for income taxes and reduce our net income.

In all likelihood, changes to the U.S. tax laws and regulations will occur within the next few years. While impossible to predict, governments' need for additional revenue makes it likely that there will be continued proposals to change tax rules in ways that could increase our effective tax rate. In addition, these changes could include a widening of the corporate tax base by including earnings from international operations. Such changes to the tax laws could have a material impact on our income tax expense and deferred tax balances.

Conversely, should these amendments to the tax laws reduce our effective tax rate, the value of our deferred tax asset would decline resulting in a charge to our net income during the period in which the amendment is enacted. In addition, the value assigned to our deferred tax assets is dependent upon our ability to generate future taxable income. If we are not able to do so, we may need to increase our valuation allowance for deferred tax assets with a corresponding charge recorded to net income.

These changes could affect our regulatory capital ratios as calculated in accordance with the Basel III Final Rule that became effective for us on January 1, 2015. The exact impact is dependent upon the effects an amendment has on our net deferred tax assets arising from net operating loss and tax credit carry-forwards, versus our net deferred tax assets related to temporary timing differences, as the former is deduction from capital (the numerator to the ratios), while the latter is included in risk-weighted assets (the denominator). See “*Regulation — Banking Supervision and Regulation — Capital Requirements*” section of *Item 1. Business Overview* and for further discussion regarding the impact of deferred tax assets on regulatory capital.

***We may be exposed to risk of environmental liability or claims for negligence, property damage, or personal injury when we take title to properties or lease certain equipment.***

In the course of our business, we may foreclose on and take title to real estate that contains or was used in the manufacture or processing of hazardous materials, or that is subject to other hazardous risks. In addition, we may lease equipment to our customers that is used to mine, develop, process, or transport hazardous materials. As a result, we could be subject to environmental liabilities or claims for negligence, property damage, or personal injury with respect to these properties or equipment. We may be held liable to a governmental entity or to third parties for property damage, personal injury, investigation, and clean-up costs incurred by these parties in connection with environmental contamination, accidents or other hazardous risks, or may be required to investigate or clean up hazardous or toxic substances or chemical releases at a property. The costs associated with investigation or remediation activities could be substantial. In

**Item 1A: Risk Factors**

---

**Table of Contents**

**26** CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

addition, if we are the owner or former owner of a contaminated site or equipment involved in a hazardous incident, we may be subject to common law claims by third parties based on damages and costs resulting from environmental contamination, property damage, personal injury or other hazardous risks emanating from the property or related to the equipment. If we become subject to significant environmental liabilities or claims for negligence, property damage, or personal injury, our financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

***We rely on our systems, employees, and certain third party vendors and service providers in conducting our operations, and certain failures, including internal or external fraud, operational errors, systems malfunctions, disasters, or terrorist activities, could materially adversely affect our operations.***

We are exposed to many types of operational risk, including the risk of fraud by employees and outsiders, clerical and recordkeeping errors, and computer or telecommunications systems malfunctions. Our businesses depend on our ability to process a large number of increasingly complex transactions. If any of our operational, accounting, or other data processing systems fail or have other significant shortcomings, we could be materially adversely affected. We are similarly dependent on our employees. We could be materially adversely affected if one of our employees causes a significant operational break-down or failure, either as a result of human error or intentional sabotage or fraudulent manipulation of our operations or systems. Third parties with which we do business, including vendors that provide internet access, portfolio servicing, deposit products, or security solutions for our operations, could also be sources of operational and information security risk to us, including from breakdowns, failures, or capacity constraints of their own systems or employees. Any of these occurrences could diminish our ability to operate one or more of our businesses, or cause financial loss, potential liability to clients, inability to secure insurance, reputational damage, or regulatory intervention, which could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We may also be subject to disruptions of our operating systems arising from events that are wholly or partially beyond our control, which may include, for example, electrical or telecommunications outages, natural or man-made disasters, such as fires, earthquakes, hurricanes, floods, or tornados, disease pandemics, or events arising from local or regional politics, including terrorist acts or international hostilities. Such disruptions may give rise to losses in service to clients and loss or liability to us. In addition, there is the risk that our controls and procedures as well as business continuity and data security systems prove to be inadequate.

The computer systems and network systems we and others use could be vulnerable to unforeseen problems. These problems may arise in both our internally developed systems and the systems of third-party hardware, software, and service providers. In addition, our computer systems and network infrastructure present security risks, and could be susceptible to hacking, computer viruses, or identity theft. Any such failure could affect our operations and could materially adversely affect our results of operations by requiring us to expend significant resources to correct the defect, as well as by exposing us to litigation or losses not covered by insurance. The adverse impact of disasters, terrorist activities, or international hostilities also could be increased to the extent that there is a lack of preparedness on the part of national or regional emergency responders or on the part of other organizations and businesses that we deal with, particularly those that we depend upon but have no control over.

***We continually encounter technological change, and if we are unable to implement new or upgraded technology when required, it may have a material adverse effect on our business.***

The financial services industry is continually undergoing rapid technological change with frequent introduction of new technology-driven products and services. The effective use of technology increases efficiency and enables financial institutions to better serve customers and to reduce costs. Our continued success depends, in part, upon our ability to address the needs of our customers by using technology to provide products and services that satisfy customer demands and create efficiencies in our operations. If we are unable to effectively implement new technology-driven products and services that allow us to remain competitive or be successful in marketing these products and services to our customers, it may have a material adverse effect on our business.

***We could be adversely affected by information security breaches or cyber security attacks.***

Information security risks for large financial institutions such as CIT have generally increased in recent years, in part because of the proliferation of new technologies, the use of the Internet and telecommunications technologies to conduct financial transactions, and the increased sophistication and activities of organized crime, hackers, terrorists, activists, and other external parties, some of which may be linked to terrorist organizations or hostile foreign governments. Our operations rely on the secure processing, transmission and storage of confidential information in our computer systems and networks. Our businesses rely on our digital technologies, computer and email systems, software, and networks to conduct their operations. Our technologies, systems, networks, and our customers' devices may become the target of cyber attacks or information security breaches that could result in the unauthorized release, gathering, monitoring, misuse, loss or destruction of CIT's or our customers' confidential, proprietary and other information, or otherwise disrupt CIT's or its customers' or other third parties' business operations.

Recently, there have been several well-publicized attacks on retailers and financial services companies in which the perpetrators gained unauthorized access to confidential information and customer data, often through the introduction of computer viruses or malware, cyber attacks, phishing, or other means. There have also been a series of apparently related denial of service attacks on large financial services companies. In a denial of service attack, hackers flood commercial websites with extraordinarily high volumes of traffic, with the goal of disrupting the ability of commercial enterprises to process transactions and possibly making their websites unavailable to customers for extended periods of time. We recently experienced denial of service attacks that targeted a third party service provider that provides software and customer services with respect to our online deposit taking activities, which resulted in temporary disruptions in customers' ability to perform online banking transactions, although no customer data was lost or compromised. Even if not directed at CIT specifically, attacks on

---

## **Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 27

other entities with whom we do business or on whom we otherwise rely or attacks on financial or other institutions important to the overall functioning of the financial system could adversely affect, directly or indirectly, aspects of our business.

Since January 1, 2012, we have not experienced any material information security breaches involving either proprietary or customer information. However, if we experience cyber attacks or other information security breaches in the future, either the Company or its customers may suffer material losses. Our risk and exposure to these matters remains heightened because of,

among other things, the evolving nature of these threats, the prominent size and scale of CIT and its role in the financial services industry, our plans to continue to implement our online banking channel strategies and develop additional remote connectivity solutions to serve our customers when and how they want to be served, our expanded geographic footprint and international presence, the outsourcing of some of our business operations, and the continued uncertain global economic environment. As cyber threats continue to evolve, we may be required to expend significant additional resources to continue to modify or enhance our protective measures or to investigate and remediate any information security vulnerabilities.

Disruptions or failures in the physical infrastructure or operating systems that support our businesses and customers, or cyber attacks or security breaches of the networks, systems or devices that our customers use to access our products and services could result in customer attrition, regulatory fines, penalties or intervention, reputational damage, reimbursement or other compensation costs, and/or additional compliance costs, any of which could materially adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition.

---

## Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

There are no unresolved SEC staff comments.

---

## Item 2. Properties

CIT primarily operates in North America, with additional locations in Europe, Latin America, and Asia. CIT occupies approximately 1.3 million square feet of space, the majority of which is leased.

---

## Item 3. Legal Proceedings

CIT is currently involved, and from time to time in the future may be involved, in a number of judicial, regulatory, and arbitration proceedings relating to matters that arise in connection with the conduct of its business (collectively, "Litigation"), certain of which Litigation matters are described in *Note 22 — Contingencies of Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*. In view of the inherent difficulty of predicting the outcome of Litigation matters, particularly when such matters are in their early stages or where the claimants seek indeterminate damages, CIT cannot state with confidence what the eventual outcome of the pending Litigation will be, what the timing of the ultimate resolution of these matters will be, or what the eventual loss, fines, or penalties related to each pending matter may be, if any. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, CIT establishes reserves for Litigation when those matters present loss contingencies as to which it is both probable that a loss will occur and the amount of such loss can be reasonably estimated. Based on currently available information, CIT believes that the results of Litigation that is currently pending, taken together, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition, but may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for any particular period, depending in part on its operating results for that period. The actual results of resolving such matters may be substantially higher than the amounts reserved.

For more information about pending legal proceedings, including an estimate of certain reasonably possible losses in excess of reserved amounts, see *Note 22 — Contingencies of Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

---

## Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

**Item 1A: Risk Factors**

---

### Table of Contents

28 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

PART TWO

---

## Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

**Market Information** — CIT's common stock trades on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "CIT."

The following tables set forth the high and low reported closing prices for CIT's common stock.

	2014		2013	
	High	Low	High	Low
<b>Common Stock</b>				
First Quarter	\$52.15	\$45.46	\$44.72	\$39.04
Second Quarter	\$49.89	\$41.52	\$47.56	\$40.88
Third Quarter	\$49.73	\$43.50	\$51.33	\$46.84
Fourth Quarter	\$49.45	\$44.15	\$52.13	\$47.21

**Holders of Common Stock** — As of February 6, 2014, there were 111,113 beneficial holders of common stock.

**Dividends** — We declared the following dividends in 2014:

Declaration Date	Per Share Dividend
January	\$ 0.10
April	\$ 0.10
July	\$ 0.15
October	\$ 0.15

On January 21, 2015, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.15 per share payable on February 27, 2015 to shareholders of record on February 13, 2015. We declared a \$0.10 cash dividend on our common stock during the 2013 fourth quarter. There were no other dividends declared during 2013.

**Shareholder Return** — The following graph shows the annual cumulative total shareholder return for common stock during the period from December 31, 2009 to December 31, 2014. The chart also shows the cumulative returns of the S&P 500 Index and S&P Banks Index for the same period. The comparison assumes \$100 was invested on December 31, 2009. Each of the indices shown assumes that all dividends paid were reinvested.

CIT STOCK PERFORMANCE DATA

[Table of Contents](#)



**Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans** — Our equity compensation plans in effect following the Effective Date were approved by the Bankruptcy Court and do not require shareholder approval. Equity awards associated with these plans are presented in the following table.

	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans
Equity compensation plan approved by the Court	59,095	\$ 31.23	5,185,306*
* Excludes the number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options and 2,293,739 shares underlying outstanding awards granted to employees and/or directors that are unvested and/or unsettled.			

During 2014, we had no equity compensation plans that were not approved by the Court or by shareholders. For further information on our equity compensation plans, including the weighted average exercise price, see *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data, Note 20 — Retirement, Postretirement and Other Benefit Plans*.

**Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities** — In January and April 2014, the Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to \$307 million and \$300 million, respectively, of common stock through December 31, 2014. On July 22, 2014, the Board of Directors approved an additional repurchase of up to \$500 million of common stock through June 30, 2015. Management determined the timing and amount of shares repurchased under the share repurchase authorizations based on market conditions and other considerations. The repurchases were effected via open market purchases and through plans designed to comply with Rule 10b5-1(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The repurchased common stock is held as treasury shares and may be used for the issuance of shares under CIT's employee stock plans.

The following table provides information related to purchases by the Company of its common shares:

	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of the Publicly Announced Program	Total Dollar Amount Purchased Under the Program	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Program
				(dollars in millions)	(dollars in millions)
2013 <sup>(1)</sup>			4,006,941	\$ 193.4	\$ —
2014 – First Quarter Purchases <sup>(2)</sup>			2,905,348	\$ 135.6	
2014 – Second Quarter Purchases <sup>(2)(3)</sup>			9,409,798	\$ 416.3	
2014 – Third Quarter Purchases <sup>(3)</sup>			2,238,147	\$ 105.9	
2014 – Fourth Quarter Purchases <sup>(3)</sup>					
October 1–31, 2014	447,847	\$ 45.76	447,847	\$ 20.5	
November 1–30, 2014	—	\$ —	—	—	
December 1–31, 2014	2,066,508	\$ 46.94	2,066,508	97.0	
	2,514,355	\$ 46.73	2,514,355	\$ 117.5	
Year to date – December 31, 2014 <sup>(4)</sup>			17,067,648	\$ 775.3	\$ 326.6

- (1) Shares repurchased were subject to a \$200 million total that expired on December 31, 2013.
- (2) Shares repurchased were subject to a \$607 million total that expired on December 31, 2014.
- (3) Remaining share repurchases are subject to a \$500 million total that expires on June 30, 2015.

Through January 31, 2015, we repurchased an additional 4.7 million of our shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$212 million. After these purchases, \$114 million remained of the authorized repurchase capacity that expires on June 30, 2015.

**Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities** — There were no sales of common stock during 2014. However, there were issuances of common stock under equity compensation plans and an employee stock purchase plan, both of which are subject to registration statements.

#### Item 5: Market for Registrant's Common Equity

#### Table of Contents

30 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

## Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth selected consolidated financial information regarding our results of operations, balance sheets and certain ratios.

The data presented below is explained further in, and should be read in conjunction with, *Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* and *Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk* and *Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

**Select Data** (dollars in millions)

	At or for the Years Ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<b>Select Statement of Operations Data</b>					
Net interest revenue	\$ 140.3	\$ 194.3	\$ (1,271.7)	\$ (532.3)	\$ 542.6
Provision for credit losses	(100.1)	(64.9)	(51.4)	(269.7)	(802.1)
Total non-interest income	2,398.4	2,278.7	2,515.5	2,739.8	2,760.0
Total other expenses	(1,757.8)	(1,673.9)	(1,607.8)	(1,691.9)	(1,756.4)
Income (loss) from continuing operations	1,077.5	644.4	(535.8)	83.9	502.9
Net income (loss)	1,130.0	675.7	(592.3)	14.8	521.3
<b>Per Common Share Data</b>					
Diluted income (loss) per common share – continuing operations	\$ 5.69	\$ 3.19	\$ (2.67)	\$ 0.42	\$ 2.51
	\$ 5.96	\$ 3.35	\$ (2.95)	\$ 0.07	\$ 2.60

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

At or for the Years Ended December 31,

Diluted income (loss) per common share					
Book value per common share	\$ 50.13	\$ 44.78	\$ 41.49	\$ 44.27	\$ 44.54
Tangible book value per common share	\$ 46.83	\$ 42.98	\$ 39.61	\$ 42.23	\$ 42.17
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.10	—	—	—
Dividend payout ratio	8.4%	3.0%	—	—	—
<b>Performance Ratios</b>					
Return on average common stockholders' equity	12.8%	7.8%	(7.0)%	0.2%	6.0%
Net finance revenue as a percentage of average earning assets	4.25%	4.61%	(0.09)%	2.09%	4.74%
Return on average continuing operations total assets	2.37%	1.56%	(1.38)%	0.21%	1.08%
Total ending equity to total ending assets	18.9%	18.8%	18.9%	19.6%	17.4%
<b>Balance Sheet Data</b>					
Loans including receivables pledged	\$ 19,495.0	\$ 18,629.2	\$ 17,153.1	\$ 15,225.8	\$ 16,612.9
Allowance for loan losses	(346.4)	(356.1)	(379.3)	(407.8)	(416.2)
Operating lease equipment, net	14,930.4	13,035.4	12,411.7	12,006.4	11,155.0
Goodwill and intangible assets, net	571.3	334.6	345.9	345.9	355.6
Total cash and short-term investments	8,223.9	7,532.5	7,477.1	8,264.3	11,070.5
Assets of discontinued operation	—	3,821.4	4,202.6	7,021.8	8,555.1
Total assets	47,880.0	47,139.0	44,012.0	45,263.4	51,453.4
Deposits	15,849.8	12,526.5	9,684.5	6,193.7	4,536.2
Long-term borrowings	18,455.8	18,484.5	18,330.9	21,743.9	29,303.9
Liabilities of discontinued operation	—	3,277.6	3,648.8	4,595.4	4,798.4
Total common stockholders' equity	9,068.9	8,838.8	8,334.8	8,883.6	8,929.0
<b>Credit Quality</b>					
Non-accrual loans as a percentage of finance receivables	0.82%	1.29%	1.92%	4.61%	9.73%
Net charge-offs as a percentage of average finance receivables	0.52%	0.44%	0.46%	1.70%	2.07%
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of finance receivables	1.78%	1.91%	2.21%	2.68%	2.51%
<b>Financial Ratios</b>					
Tier 1 Capital Ratio	14.5%	16.7%	16.2%	18.8%	19.0%
Total Capital Ratio	15.2%	17.4%	17.0%	19.7%	19.9%

**Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 31

**Average Balances<sup>(1)</sup> and Associated Income for the year ended:** (dollars in millions)

	December 31, 2014			December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012	
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest
Interest bearing deposits	\$ 5,343.0	\$ 17.7	0.33%	\$ 5,531.6	\$ 16.6	0.30%	\$ 6,420.1	\$ 21.7
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	242.3	1.3	0.54%	—	—	—	—	—
Investment securities	1,667.8	16.5	0.99%	1,886.0	12.3	0.65%	1,316.7	10.5
Loans (including held for sale) <sup>(2)(3)</sup>								
U.S. <sup>(2)</sup>	16,759.1	905.1	5.88%	14,618.0	855.3	6.40%	12,403.4	953.5
Non-U.S.	3,269.0	285.9	8.75%	4,123.6	371.0	9.00%	4,029.1	408.3
Total loans <sup>(2)</sup>	20,028.1	1,191.0	6.38%	18,741.6	1,226.3	7.01%	16,432.5	1,361.8
Total interest earning assets / interest income <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	27,281.2	1,226.5	4.73%	26,159.2	1,255.2	5.04%	24,169.3	1,394.0
Operating lease equipment, net (including held for sale) <sup>(4)</sup>								
U.S. <sup>(4)</sup>	7,755.0	689.6	8.89%	6,559.0	613.1	9.35%	6,139.0	596.9
Non-U.S. <sup>(4)</sup>	7,022.3	590.9	8.41%	6,197.1	580.6	9.37%	6,299.0	651.3
Total operating lease equipment, net <sup>(4)</sup>	14,777.3	1,280.5	8.67%	12,756.1	1,193.7	9.36%	12,438.0	1,248.2
Total earning assets <sup>(2)</sup>	42,058.5	\$2,507.0	6.16%	38,915.3	\$2,448.9	6.50%	36,607.3	\$2,642.2
Non interest earning assets								
Cash due from banks	945.0			522.1			441.2	
Allowance for loan losses	(349.6)			(367.8)			(405.1)	
All other non-interest earning assets	2,720.5			2,215.3			2,228.2	
Assets of discontinued operation	1,167.2			4,016.3			5,420.7	
<b>Total Average Assets</b>	<b>\$ 46,541.6</b>			<b>\$ 45,301.2</b>			<b>\$ 44,292.3</b>	
<b>Average Liabilities</b>								
Borrowings								
Deposits	\$ 13,955.8	\$ 231.0	1.66%	\$ 11,212.1	\$ 179.8	1.60%	\$ 7,707.9	\$ 152.5
Long-term borrowings <sup>(5)</sup>	18,582.0	855.2	4.60%	18,044.5	881.1	4.88%	19,964.5	2,513.2
Total interest-bearing liabilities	32,537.8	\$1,086.2	3.34%	29,256.6	\$1,060.9	3.63%	27,672.4	\$2,665.7
Credit balances of factoring clients	1,368.5			1,258.6			1,194.4	
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	2,791.7			2,638.2			2,642.7	
Liabilities of discontinued operation	997.2			3,474.2			4,293.8	
Noncontrolling interests	7.0			9.2			5.0	
Stockholders' equity	8,839.4			8,664.4			8,484.0	
<b>Total Average Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity</b>	<b>\$ 46,541.6</b>			<b>\$ 45,301.2</b>			<b>\$ 44,292.3</b>	

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	December 31, 2014		December 31, 2013		December 31, 2012
Net revenue spread		2.82%		2.87%	
Impact of non-interest bearing sources		0.67%		0.82%	
<b>Net revenue/yield on earning assets<sup>(2)</sup></b>	\$ 1,420.8	3.49%	\$ 1,388.0	3.69%	\$ (23.5)

- (1) *The average balances presented are derived based on month end balances during the year. Tax exempt income was not significant in any of the years presented. Average rates are impacted by FSA accretion and amortization.*
- (2) *The rate presented is calculated net of average credit balances for factoring clients.*
- (3) *Non-accrual loans and related income are included in the respective categories.*
- (4) *Operating lease rental income is a significant source of revenue; therefore, we have presented the rental revenues net of depreciation and net of Maintenance and other operating lease expenses.*
- (5) *Interest and average rates include FSA accretion, including amounts accelerated due to redemptions or extinguishments, and accelerated original issue discount on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility.*

Interest income on interest bearing deposits, securities purchased under agreements to resell and investment securities was not significant in any of the years presented. Average interest bearing deposits was down reflecting the investment of cash in various types of investment securities to earn a higher yield. Investments are typically a combination of high quality debt, primarily U.S. Treasury securities, U.S. Government Agency securities, and supranational and foreign government securities that typically mature in 91 days or less. In addition, during 2014 we initiated the investment in securities purchased under agreements to resell.

**Item 6: Selected Financial Data**

**Table of Contents**

**32 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014**

Average rates on loans and operating lease equipment decreased from 2013 and 2012, due to new business yields that are generally lower than maturing loans, sales of higher-yielding portfolios, lower suspended depreciation, lower yield-related fees and lower FSA accretion. While interest income on loans benefited in 2014 from higher balances, interest income was down from 2013 and 2012 reflecting lower FSA accretion, which totaled \$31 million in 2014, \$61 million in 2013 and \$212 million in 2012, change in product mix in NACF and sales of higher-yielding portfolios in NSP.

Net operating lease revenue was primarily generated from the commercial air and rail portfolios. Net operating lease revenue increased in 2014 compared to 2013, as the benefit of increased assets from the growing aerospace and rail portfolios offset lower rental rates on aircraft, higher depreciation expense reflecting asset growth, and increased maintenance and other operating lease expenses. Net operating lease revenue decreased in 2013 from 2012. Higher revenues, from the growth in the aerospace and rail portfolios, were more than offset by the increased depreciation and higher maintenance and operating lease expenses.

Rental income in 2014 increased from 2013 and 2012, reflecting the growing portfolio. On average, lease renewal rates in the rail portfolio were re-pricing slightly higher, while the commercial aircraft portfolio had been re-pricing slightly lower.

Accretion of FSA discounts on long-term borrowings increased interest expense by \$53 million, \$69 million and \$1.5 billion for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in these balances are accelerated amounts related to the repayment of certain debt securities. The 2012 accelerated debt FSA accretion resulted from repayments of \$15 billion of Series A and C Notes that was repaid in the first three quarters and \$1.0 billion of secured debt repaid in the last quarter of 2012.

As a result of our debt redemption activities and the increased proportion of deposits to total funding, we reduced weighted average coupon rates of outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings to 3.11% at December 31, 2014 from 3.33% at December 31, 2013

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

and 3.52% at December 31, 2012.

The weighted average coupon rate of long-term borrowings at December 31, 2014 was 4.32%, compared to 4.47% at December 31, 2013 and 4.45% at December 31, 2012. Long-term borrowings consist of unsecured and secured debt. The weighted average coupon rate of unsecured long-term borrowings at December 31, 2014 was 5.00%, compared to 5.11% at December 31, 2013 and 5.12% at December 31, 2012. The weighted average coupon rate of secured long-term borrowings at December 31, 2014 was 3.10%, compared to 3.12% at December 31, 2013 and 3.23% at December 31, 2012.

Deposits have increased, both in dollars and proportion of total CIT funding to 46% at December 31, 2014, compared to 40% at December 31, 2013 and 35% at December 31, 2012. The weighted average coupon rate of total CIT deposits at December 31, 2014 was 1.69%, compared to 1.65% at December 31, 2013 and 1.75% at December 31, 2012.

The table below disaggregates CIT's year-over-year changes (2014 versus 2013 and 2013 versus 2012) in net interest revenue and operating lease margins as presented in the preceding tables between volume (level of lending or borrowing) and rate (rates charged customers or incurred on borrowings). See "Net Finance Revenue" section for further discussion.

### Changes in Net Finance Revenue (dollars in millions)

	2014 Compared to 2013			2013 Compared to 2012		
	Increase (decrease) due to change in:			Increase (decrease) due to change in:		
	Volume	Rate	Net	Volume	Rate	Net
<b>Interest Income</b>						
Loans (including held for sale)						
U.S.	\$ 125.9	\$ (76.1)	\$ 49.8	\$ 141.7	\$ (239.9)	\$ (98.2)
Non-U.S.	(74.8)	(10.3)	(85.1)	8.5	(45.8)	(37.3)
Total loans	51.1	(86.4)	(35.3)	150.2	(285.7)	(135.5)
Interest bearing deposits	(0.6)	1.7	1.1	(2.7)	(2.4)	(5.1)
Securities purchased under agreements to resell	1.3	—	1.3	—	—	—
Investments	(2.2)	6.4	4.2	3.7	(1.9)	1.8
Interest income	49.6	(78.3)	(28.7)	151.2	(290.0)	(138.8)
Operating lease equipment, net (including held for sale) <sup>(1)</sup>	175.7	(88.9)	86.8	29.7	(84.2)	(54.5)
<b>Interest Expense</b>						
Interest on deposits	45.5	5.7	51.2	56.1	(28.8)	27.3
Interest on long-term borrowings <sup>(2)</sup>	24.7	(50.6)	(25.9)	(93.7)	(1,538.4)	(1,632.1)
Interest expense	70.2	(44.9)	25.3	(37.6)	(1,567.2)	(1,604.8)
Net finance revenue	\$ 155.1	\$ (122.3)	\$ 32.8	\$ 218.5	\$ 1,193.0	\$ 1,411.5

<sup>(1)</sup> Operating lease rental income is a significant source of revenue; therefore, we have presented the net revenues.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes acceleration of FSA accretion resulting from redemptions or extinguishments and accelerated original issue discount on debt extinguishment related to the TRS facility.

**Average Daily Long-term Borrowings Balances and Rates** (dollars in millions)

Unsecured	Years Ended								
	December 31, 2014			December 31, 2013			December 31, 2012		
	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate (%)
Revolving Credit Facility <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ —	\$ 14.1	—	\$ —	\$ 15.6	—	\$ 284.1	\$ 18.6	6.56%
Senior Unsecured Notes	12,382.9	635.0	5.13%	12,107.0	660.0	5.45%	12,957.2	1,613.8	12.45%
Secured borrowings Series A Notes	6,184.0	206.1	3.33%	5,938.8	205.5	3.46%	6,121.9	197.0	3.22%
<b>Total Long-term Borrowings</b>	<b>\$ 18,566.9</b>	<b>\$ 855.2</b>	<b>4.61%</b>	<b>\$ 18,045.8</b>	<b>\$ 881.1</b>	<b>4.88%</b>	<b>\$ 20,219.4</b>	<b>\$ 2,513.2</b>	<b>12.43%</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Interest expense and average rate includes Facility commitment fees and amortization of Facility deal costs.

**Item 6: Selected Financial Data****Table of Contents**

34 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

## Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and

### Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

**BACKGROUND**

CIT Group Inc., together with its subsidiaries ("we", "our", "CIT" or the "Company") has provided financial solutions to its clients since its formation in 1908. We provide financing, leasing and advisory services principally to middle market companies in a wide variety of industries primarily in North America, and equipment financing and leasing solutions to the transportation industry worldwide. We had over \$35 billion of financing and leasing assets at December 31, 2014. CIT became a bank holding company ("BHC") in December 2008 and a financial holding company ("FHC") in July 2013.

CIT is regulated by the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System ("FRB") and the Federal Reserve Bank of New York ("FRBNY") under the U.S. Bank Holding Company Act of 1956. CIT Bank (the "Bank"), a wholly-owned subsidiary, is a Utah state chartered bank located in Salt Lake City that offers commercial financing and leasing products as well as a suite of savings options and is subject to regulation by the Federal Depository Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") and the Utah Department of Financial

Institutions (“UDFI”).

On July 22, 2014, we announced that we had entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger to acquire IMB Holdco LLC, the parent company of OneWest Bank, N.A. (“OneWest Bank”) for approximately \$3.4 billion (the “OneWest Transaction”), consisting of approximately \$2 billion in cash and 31.3 million shares of CIT Group Inc. common stock, which had a value of \$1.4 billion at the time of the announcement, but will vary depending upon the share price at the time of closing. IMB Holdco is regulated by the FRB and OneWest Bank is regulated by the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, U.S. Department of the Treasury (“OCC”). The OneWest Transaction is subject to certain customary closing conditions and regulatory approval by the FRB and the OCC, but not shareholder vote. See *Pending Acquisition* included in Part I *Item 1. Business Overview* for further discussion of the transaction.

The consolidated financial statements include the effects of adopting Fresh Start Accounting (“FSA”) upon the Company’s emergence from bankruptcy on December 10, 2009, based on a convenience date of December 31, 2009, as required by U.S. GAAP. Accretion and amortization of certain FSA adjustments are included in the consolidated Statements of Operations, primarily impacting discussions on Net Finance Revenue, and were more prominent in prior years. See Fresh Start Accounting and *Note 1 – Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for further discussion.

“*Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations*” and “*Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk*” contain financial terms that are relevant to our business and a glossary of key terms used is included in Part I *Item 1. Business Overview*.

Management uses certain non-GAAP financial measures in its analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. See “*Non-GAAP Financial Measurements*” for a reconciliation of these to comparable financial measures based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”).

## SEGMENT REORGANIZATION

In December 2013, we announced organization changes that became effective January 1, 2014. In conjunction with management’s plans to (i) realign and simplify its businesses and organizational structure, (ii) streamline and consolidate certain business processes to achieve greater operating efficiencies, and (iii) leverage CIT’s operational capabilities for the benefit of its clients and customers, CIT manages its business and reports its financial results in three operating segments (the “New Segments”): (1) Transportation & International Finance (“TIF”); (2) North American Commercial Finance (“NACF”); and (3) Non-Strategic Portfolios (“NSP”). See *Note 25 – Business Segment Information* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for additional information relating to the reorganization.

## DISCONTINUED OPERATION

On April 25, 2014, the Company completed the sale of the student lending business, which consisted of a portfolio of U.S. Government-guaranteed student loans that was in run-off, along with certain secured debt and servicing rights. As a result, the student lending business is reported as a discontinued operation and all data included has been adjusted to reflect this presentation. Income from the discontinued operation of \$52 million for 2014 reflected the benefit of proceeds received in excess of the net carrying value of assets and liabilities sold.

The business was previously included in the NSP segment. During the 2013 fourth quarter, management determined that it no longer had the intent to hold these assets until maturity and transferred the portfolio to assets held for sale (“AHFS”). See *Note 2 – Acquisition and Disposition Activities* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for additional information relating to the discontinued operation.

*The following sections reflect the New Segments and discontinued operation. Unless specifically noted, the discussions and data presented throughout the following sections reflect CIT balances on a continuing operations basis.*

## 2014 FINANCIAL OVERVIEW

As discussed below, our 2014 operating results reflected increased business activity that resulted in asset growth, continued credit quality metrics at cyclical lows and strategic business decisions that elevated operating expenses.



**Net income** for 2014 totaled \$1,130 million, \$5.96 per diluted share, compared to \$676 million, \$3.35 per diluted share for 2013 and a net loss of \$592 million for 2012, \$2.95 per diluted share. Income from continuing operations (after taxes) for 2014 totaled \$1,078 million, \$5.69 per diluted share, compared to \$644 million, \$3.19 per diluted share for 2013 and a loss of \$536 million, \$2.67 per diluted share, in 2012.

Net income for 2014 included \$419 million, \$2.21 per diluted share, of income tax benefits associated with partial reversals of valuation allowances on certain domestic and international deferred tax assets. In addition, the tax provision benefited by approximately \$30 million related to the acquisition of Direct Capital. Net income also reflected continued high level of impairment charges related to the progress

## Table of Contents

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 35

made exiting certain portfolios. The net loss in 2012 included \$1.3 billion (pre-tax) of debt redemption charges and OID acceleration, resulting from significant extinguishments of high cost debt.

**Income from continuing operations, before provision for income taxes** totaled \$681 million for 2014, down from \$734 million for 2013 and improved from a pre-tax loss in 2012. As detailed in the following table, adjusted pre-tax income, excluding debt redemption charges and loss on debt extinguishments<sup>(1)</sup>, was down from both 2013 and 2012. The 2014 pre-tax results were dampened by impairment charges on AHFS, mostly related to international assets in the NSP segment, and an increase in the provision for credit losses. The 2013 decline from 2012 reflected a lower benefit from FSA accretion and a decline in other income, partially offset by improved funding costs.

The following table presents pre-tax results adjusted for debt redemption charges, a non-GAAP measurement.

### **Pre-tax Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations Excluding Debt Redemption Charges** (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Pre-tax income/(loss) from continuing operations	\$ 680.8	\$ 734.2	\$ (415.4)
Accelerated FSA net discount/(premium) on debt extinguishments and repurchases	34.7	34.6	1,294.9
Debt related – loss on debt extinguishments	3.5	–	61.2
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	(42.0)	(5.2)	(6.9)
Debt redemption charges and OID acceleration	(3.8)	29.4	1,349.2
Pre-tax income from continuing operations – excluding debt redemption charges and OID acceleration <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 677.0	\$ 763.6	\$ 933.8

**Net finance revenue**<sup>(2)</sup> (“NFR”) was \$1.4 billion in 2014, slightly up from 2013 and up from (\$23) million in 2012. Growth in Average earning assets<sup>(3)</sup> (“AEA”) and improved funding costs increased NFR in 2014 and 2013. The negative NFR for 2012 was driven by the acceleration of FSA discount accretion resulting from extinguishments of over \$15 billion high cost debt. AEA was \$33.4 billion in 2014, up from \$30.1 billion in 2013 and from \$27.6 billion in 2012.

Net Finance Margin (“NFM”) for 2014 was at the high-end of our near-term outlook benefiting from lower funding costs and continued prepayment benefits, which was offset by portfolio re-pricing. NFM excluding debt redemption charges<sup>(4)</sup> was 4.23% for 2014, down

from 4.71% for 2013 and 4.58% in 2012. The reduction from 2013 primarily reflects portfolio re-pricing, the sale of higher-yielding asset portfolios, and declines in net FSA accretion, partially offset by improved funding costs. While other institutions may use net interest margin (“NIM”), defined as interest income less interest expense, we discuss NFM, which includes operating lease rental revenue and depreciation expense, due to their significant impact on revenue and expense. Net operating lease revenue was up modestly from 2013 and 2012, as increased revenue earned on higher average assets and consistently high aircraft and railcar utilization rates offset higher depreciation expense and maintenance and other operating lease expenses and lower aerospace remarketing lease rates.

**Provision for credit losses** for 2014 was \$100 million, up from \$65 million last year and \$51 million in 2012, reflecting lower recoveries and higher non-specific reserves, primarily due to asset growth. The allowance for loan losses as a percent of finance receivables was 1.78%, 1.91% and 2.21% as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

**Other income** of \$305 million decreased from \$381 million in 2013 and \$615 million in 2012, largely due to reduced gains on assets sold and higher losses on derivative and foreign currency exchange.

**Operating expenses** were \$942 million, down from \$970 million in 2013 and up from \$894 million in 2012. Operating expenses excluding restructuring costs<sup>(5)</sup> were \$910 million, \$933 million and \$871 million for 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The decline from 2013 was due to the \$50 million tax agreement settlement charge in that year. Absent that charge, operating expenses excluding restructuring costs increased by 3% from 2013, as a result of integration-related costs and additional employee costs associated with the Direct Capital and Nacco acquisitions, which were partially offset by expense reduction initiatives. Headcount at December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 was approximately 3,360, 3,240, and 3,560, respectively, with the current year increase reflecting the headcount associated with the noted acquisitions.

**Provision for income taxes** was a benefit of \$398 million in 2014 reflecting \$375 million relating to a partial reversal of the U.S. Federal deferred tax asset valuation allowance, approximately \$44 million related to the reversal of valuation allowance for certain international net deferred tax assets, approximately \$30 million benefit related to the acquisition of Direct Capital, and net income tax expense on state and international earnings. Beginning in 2015, the Company expects to report deferred income tax expense on its domestic earnings after the above mentioned partial release of its domestic valuation allowances on net deferred tax assets. Management expects that this will result in a global effective tax rate in the range of 30-35%. The provision for income taxes was \$84 million for 2013 and \$117 million for 2012, as described in “*Income Taxes*” section.

**Total assets** of continuing operations<sup>(6)</sup> at December 31, 2014 were \$47.9 billion, up from \$43.3 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$39.8 billion at December 31, 2012. Financing and leasing assets (“FLA”)

---

<sup>(1)</sup> *Pre-tax income from continuing operations excluding debt redemption charges and loss on debt extinguishments is a non-GAAP measure. See “Non-GAAP Financial Measurements” for reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

<sup>(2)</sup> *Net finance revenue is a non-GAAP measure; see “Non-GAAP Financial Measurements” for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

<sup>(3)</sup> *Average earning assets is a non-GAAP measure; see “Non-GAAP Financial Measurements” for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

<sup>(4)</sup> *Net finance margin excluding debt redemption charges is a non-GAAP measure. See “Non-GAAP Financial Measurements” for reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information. Debt redemption charges include accelerated fresh start accounting debt discount amortization, accelerated original issue discount (“OID”) on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility, and prepayment costs.*

<sup>(5)</sup> *Operating expenses excluding restructuring charges is a non-GAAP measure; see “Non-GAAP Financial Measurements” for reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

<sup>(6)</sup> Total assets from continuing operations is a non-GAAP measure. See "Non-GAAP Measurements" for reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

## Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis

### Table of Contents

#### 36 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

increased to \$35.6 billion, up from \$32.7 billion at December 31, 2013, and \$30.2 billion at December 31, 2012, as new origination volume and business acquisitions more than offset collections and sales. Cash totaled \$7.1 billion, compared to \$6.0 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$6.7 billion at December 31, 2012. Investment securities and securities purchased under resale agreements totaled \$2.2 billion at December 31, 2014, compared to \$2.6 billion and \$1.1 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During February 2015, \$1.2 billion of cash was used to repay maturing unsecured notes.

**Credit metrics** remained at or near cycle lows. Non-accrual balances declined to \$161 million (0.82% of finance receivables) at December 31, 2014 from \$241 million (1.29%) a year ago and \$330 million (1.92%) at December 31, 2012. Net charge-offs in 2014 increased due to lower recoveries and loans transferred to AHFS. Net charge-offs were \$99 million (0.52% of average finance receivables (AFR)) and included \$43 million related to loans transferred to AHFS, compared to \$81 million (0.44%), which included \$39 million related to loans transferred to AHFS, in 2013 and \$74 million (0.46%) in 2012.

### 2014 PRIORITIES

Our priorities in 2014 focused on achieving our profitability targets by growing earning assets and managing expenses, growing CIT Bank assets and deposits, and returning capital to our shareholders.

#### 1. Grow Earning Assets

We grew earning assets, organically and through acquisitions, by focusing on existing products and markets as well as newer initiatives.

- Financing and leasing assets ("FLA") totaled \$35.6 billion, up from \$32.7 billion at December 31, 2013. TIF and NACF comprise the vast majority of the assets and totaled \$35.3 billion, up \$3.9 billion from December 31, 2013, driven by solid origination volumes, supplemented by \$1.2 billion of financing and leasing assets from acquisitions (at the time of the acquisitions). NSP makes up the remaining balance of FLA, which declined \$0.9 billion during 2014, and is expected to continue to decline as portfolios are sold or liquidated.

#### 2. Achieve Profit Targets

The 2014 pre-tax return on AEA was 2.04%, slightly above our near-term outlook of approximately 2.00%.

- NFM of 4.25% was at the high end of our near-term outlook range of 3.75%-4.25%, benefiting from lower funding costs, suspended depreciation, interest recoveries and prepayments, but pressured by portfolio re-pricing.
- Other Income remained within our near-term outlook range of 0.75%-1.00% but was impacted by impairment charges on AHFS.
- Operating expenses were \$942 million, including restructuring charges of \$31 million. Excluding restructuring charges, operating expenses were 2.73% of AEA, above the near-term outlook range of 2.00%-2.50%, but improved from 2013. 2014 included costs associated with our Non-Strategic Portfolios as well as elevated costs from our strategic repositioning, including the Direct Capital and Nacco acquisitions, the OneWest integration planning and international exits.

- We made significant progress exiting low-return portfolios in 2014. We exited all the sub-scale portfolios in Asia, Europe and several in Latin America, as well as our Small Business Lending (“SBL”) and Student Loan (“SLX”) portfolios. In addition, we sold a TIF international loan portfolio in the U.K., and transferred another to AHFS.

### 3. Expand Bank Assets and Funding

CIT Bank funds most of our U.S. lending and leasing volume and continues to expand on-line deposit offerings.

- Total assets were \$21.1 billion at December 31, 2014, up from \$16.1 billion at December 31, 2013, reflecting new business volume and the acquisition of Direct Capital. CIT Bank funded \$7.8 billion of new business volume in 2014, up over 9% from 2013.
- Deposits at year end were \$15.9 billion, up from \$12.5 billion at December 31, 2013. The weighted average rate on outstanding deposits was 1.63% at December 31, 2014, up from 1.55% at December 31, 2013, primarily due to an increase in term deposits with longer maturities. Online deposits grew to 56% of total deposits from 49% in 2013.
- On July 22, 2014, CIT announced that it entered into a definitive agreement and plan of merger with IMB Holdco LLC, the parent company of OneWest Bank, N.A. (“OneWest Bank”), for \$3.4 billion in cash and stock. At December 31, 2014, OneWest Bank had approximately 70 branches in Southern California, with nearly \$22 billion of assets and over \$14 billion of deposits.

### 4. Continue to Return Capital

We continue to prudently deploy our capital, as well as return capital to our shareholders through share repurchases and dividends, which totaled approximately \$870 million in 2014, while maintaining strong capital ratios.

- During 2014, we repurchased over 17 million of our shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$775 million, at an average price of \$45.42. Through January 31, 2015, we repurchased an additional 4.7 million shares for an aggregate purchase price of \$212 million.
- In 2014, the Board of Directors approved share repurchases in aggregate of \$1.1 billion. After the 2015 purchases, \$114 million remained of the authorized repurchase capacity that expires on June 30, 2015.
- We paid dividends of approximately \$95 million in 2014. During 2014 we increased our quarterly dividend by 50% to \$0.15 per share and on January 21, 2015, the Board approved CIT’s quarterly cash dividend of \$0.15 per share, payable in February 2015.

## 2015 PRIORITIES

During 2015, we will focus on continuing to create long term value for shareholders.

Specific business objectives established for 2015 include:

- Expand Our Commercial Banking Franchise — We will work to complete and integrate the OneWest Bank acquisition and enhance our commercial banking operations.

---

## Table of Contents

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

**Maintain Strong Risk Management Practices** — We will continue to maintain credit discipline focused on maintaining appropriate risk-adjusted returns through the business cycle and continue enhancements in select areas for SIFI Readiness.

- **Grow Business Franchises** — We will concentrate our growth on building franchises that meet or exceed our risk adjusted return hurdles and improve profitability by exiting non-strategic portfolios (mainly Mexico and Brazil, and the equipment finance business in the U.K.).
- **Realize embedded value** — We will focus on enhancing our economic returns, which would improve the utilization of our U.S. NOL, thereby reducing the net deferred tax asset, and increase regulatory capital.
- **Return Excess Capital** — We plan to prudently return capital to our shareholders through share repurchases and dividends, while maintaining strong capital ratios.

### PERFORMANCE MEASUREMENTS

The following chart reflects key performance indicators evaluated by management and used throughout this management discussion and analysis:

KEY PERFORMANCE METRICS	MEASUREMENTS
<i>Asset Generation</i> — to originate new business and grow earning assets.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- New business volumes; and</li> <li>- Financing and leasing assets balances.</li> </ul>
<i>Revenue Generation</i> — lend money at rates in excess of cost of borrowing and consistent with risk profile of obligor, earn rentals on the equipment we lease commensurate with the risk, and generate other revenue streams.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Net finance revenue and other income;</li> <li>- Net finance margin;</li> <li>- Asset yields and funding costs; and</li> <li>- Operating lease revenue as a percentage of average operating lease equipment.</li> </ul>
<i>Credit Risk Management</i> — accurately evaluate credit worthiness of customers, maintain high-quality assets and balance income potential with loss expectations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Net charge-offs, balances and as a percentage of AFR;</li> <li>- Non-accrual loans, balances and as a percentage of loans;</li> <li>- Classified assets and delinquencies balances; and</li> <li>- Loan loss reserve, balance and as a percentage of loans.</li> </ul>
<i>Equipment and Residual Risk Management</i> — appropriately evaluate collateral risk in leasing transactions and remarket or sell equipment at lease termination.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Equipment utilization;</li> <li>- Market value of equipment relative to book value; and</li> <li>- Gains and losses on equipment sales.</li> </ul>
<i>Expense Management</i> — maintain efficient operating platforms and related infrastructure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Operating expenses and trends;</li> </ul>

	- Operating expenses as a percentage of AEA; and
	- Gross revenue as a percentage of AEA.
<i>Profitability</i> — generate income and appropriate returns to shareholders.	- Net income per common share (EPS);
	- Net income and pre-tax income, each as a percentage of average earning assets (ROA); and
	- Pre-tax income as a percentage of average tangible common equity (ROTCE).
<i>Capital Management</i> — maintain a strong capital position.	- Tier 1 and Total capital ratios; and
	- Tier 1 capital as a percentage of adjusted average assets; (“Tier 1 Leverage Ratio”).
<i>Liquidity Management</i> — maintain access to ample funding at competitive rates to meet obligations as they come due.	- Levels of cash, securities purchased under resale agreements and certain short term investment securities;
	- Committed and available funding facilities;
	- Debt maturity profile; and
	- Debt ratings.
<i>Manage Market Risk</i> — measure and manage risk to income statement and economic value of enterprise due to movements in interest and foreign currency exchange rates.	- Net Interest Income Sensitivity; and
	- Economic Value of Equity (EVE).
	<b>Item 7:</b> Management’s Discussion and Analysis

**Table of Contents**

**NET FINANCE REVENUE**

The following tables present management’s view of consolidated NFR and NFM and includes revenues from loans and leased equipment, net of interest expense and depreciation, in dollars and as a percent of AEA.

**Net Finance Revenue<sup>(1)</sup> and Net Finance Margin** (dollars in millions)

**Years Ended December 31,**

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Interest income	\$ 1,226.5	\$ 1,255.2	\$ 1,394.0
Rental income on operating leases	2,093.0	1,897.4	1,900.8
Finance revenue	3,319.5	3,152.6	3,294.8
Interest expense	(1,086.2)	(1,060.9)	(2,665.7)
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(615.7)	(540.6)	(513.2)
Maintenance and other operating lease expenses	(196.8)	(163.1)	(139.4)
Net finance revenue	\$ 1,420.8	\$ 1,388.0	\$ (23.5)
Average Earning Assets <sup>(1)(2)</sup> ("AEA")	\$ 33,394.7	\$ 30,122.5	\$ 27,608.6
<b>As a % of AEA:</b>			
Interest income	3.67%	4.16%	5.05%
Rental income on operating leases	6.27%	6.30%	6.88%
Finance revenue	9.94%	10.46%	11.93%
Interest expense	(3.25)%	(3.52)%	(9.66)%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(1.85)%	(1.79)%	(1.86)%
Maintenance and other operating lease expenses	(0.59)%	(0.54)%	(0.50)%
Net finance margin	4.25%	4.61%	(0.09)%

<sup>(1)</sup> NFR and AEA are non-GAAP measures; see "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" sections for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

<sup>(2)</sup> AEA are less than comparable balances displayed later in this document in 'Select Data' (Average Balances) due to the exclusion of deposits with banks and other investments and the inclusion of credit balances of factoring clients.

NFR and NFM are key metrics used by management to measure the profitability of our lending and leasing assets. NFR includes interest and yield-related fee income on our loans and capital leases, rental income and depreciation, maintenance and other operating lease expenses from our operating lease equipment, interest and dividend income on cash and investments, as well as funding costs. Since our asset composition includes a high level of operating lease equipment (43% of AEA for the year ended December 31, 2014), NFM is a more appropriate metric for CIT than net interest margin ("NIM") (a common metric used by other BHCs), as NIM does not fully reflect the earnings of our portfolio because it includes the impact of debt costs on all our assets but excludes the net revenue (rental income less depreciation) from operating leases.

NFR increased modestly from 2013, reflecting higher earning assets, which offset compression on portfolio yields as new business yields are generally lower than yields on maturing loans. The improvements from 2012 to 2013 was largely due to the negative impact of significantly higher debt FSA discount accretion in 2012 that resulted from repayments of high cost debt. The adjustments, accelerated debt FSA accretion and accelerated OID on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility ("accelerated OID accretion"), are referred to as "accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion". As detailed in the following table, absent accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion and prepayment costs, adjusted NFR in 2014 was flat compared to 2013 and up from 2012, benefiting from lower funding costs and higher commercial assets.

The following table reflects NFR and NFM, before and after accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion and prepayment costs.

**Adjusted NFR<sup>(1)</sup> (\$) and NFM<sup>(1)</sup> (%) (dollars in millions)**

	Years Ended December 31,					
	2014		2013		2012	
NFR / NFM	\$ 1,420.8	4.25%	\$ 1,388.0	4.61%	\$ (23.5)	(0.09)%
Accelerated FSA net discount/(premium) on debt extinguishments and	34.7	0.10%	34.6	0.12%	1,294.9	4.69%

# Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Years Ended December 31,

repurchases						
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	(42.0)	(0.12)%	(5.2)	(0.02)%	(6.9)	(0.02)%
Adjusted NFR and NFM	\$ 1,413.5	4.23%	\$ 1,417.4	4.71%	\$ 1,264.5	4.58%

<sup>(1)</sup> Adjusted NFR and NFM are non-GAAP measures; see "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

## Table of Contents

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 **39**

NFM and adjusted NFM declined from 2013 as margin compression and sales of higher yielding assets offset lower debt costs.

- Finance revenue rose in 2014 on increased earning assets. However, the margin trends reflect repricing at lower yields, a decline in benefit from FSA accretion and the sale in 2013 of a higher-yielding Dell Europe portfolio (within NSP), which benefited 2013 primarily from suspended depreciation on operating leases. AEA increased 11% from 2013. FSA accretion totaled \$31 million in 2014 and \$61 million in 2013. The remaining accretable discount was not significant at December 31, 2014. See *Fresh Start Accounting* section later in this document.
- Funding costs declined. Weighted average coupon rate of outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings was 3.11% at December 31, 2014, down from 3.33% at December 31, 2013, as the portion of our funding derived from deposits increased to 46% from 40% at December 31, 2013.
- NFM reflects the mentioned impacts to finance revenue and lower debt costs. During 2014, high levels of interest recoveries and prepayments continued to benefit NFM. NFM also benefited, though at a lower level, from suspended depreciation on operating lease equipment held for sale, as depreciation is not recorded while this equipment is held for sale (detailed further below). As we complete the NSP portfolio sales and aerospace asset sales to TC-CIT Aviation joint venture, the benefit to NFM from suspended depreciation will diminish.

The 2013 NFM was up from 2012, primarily reflecting lower accelerated debt FSA accretion while adjusted NFM improved over the 2012 margin on benefits from lower funding costs, continued high levels of interest recoveries and suspended depreciation, partially offset by lower FSA loan accretion and yield compression on certain assets.

- Lower finance revenue in 2013 reflected pressure on certain renewal lease rates in the commercial air portfolio and the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio, which contained higher-yielding assets. AEA increased 9% from 2012. Interest income was down from 2012 reflecting lower FSA accretion, which totaled \$61 million in 2013 and \$212 million in 2012.
- Interest recoveries, which result from events such as prepayments on or sales of non-accrual assets and assets returning to accrual status, and certain other yield-related fees, were elevated in 2012, and moderated in 2013.
- NFM benefited from suspended depreciation on operating lease equipment held for sale in 2013, since depreciation is not recorded while this equipment is held for sale. This benefit was down from 2012, primarily due to the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio in the third and fourth quarters. (Amounts detailed below).
- Lower funding costs at December 31, 2013 resulted from our liability management actions, which included paying off high cost debt in 2012 and increasing the proportion of deposits in our funding mix, as discussed further below.



## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

- Net FSA accretion (excluding accelerated FSA on debt extinguishments and repurchases noted in the above table) increased NFR by \$212 million in 2013 and \$238 million in 2012.

Accretion of FSA discounts on long-term borrowings increased interest expense by \$53 million, \$69 million and \$1.5 billion for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in these balances are accelerated amounts. The 2014 accelerated debt FSA accretion mostly resulted from the repayment of secured debt under the GSI facility, while the 2013 accelerated debt FSA accretion resulted from the repayment of senior unsecured notes issued under our InterNotes retail note program. The 2012 accelerated debt FSA accretion resulted from repayments of \$15 billion of Series A and C Notes in the first three quarters and \$1.0 billion of secured debt in the last quarter of 2012. At December 31, 2014, the remaining FSA discount on long-term borrowings was not significant.

As a result of our debt redemption activities and the increased proportion of deposits to total funding, we reduced weighted average coupon rates of outstanding deposits and long-term borrowings to 3.11% at December 31, 2014 from 3.33% at December 31, 2013 and 3.52% at December 31, 2012.

The weighted average coupon rate of long-term borrowings at December 31, 2014 was 4.32%, compared to 4.47% at December 31, 2013 and 4.45% at December 31, 2012. Long-term borrowings consist of unsecured and secured debt. The weighted average coupon rate of unsecured long-term borrowings at December 31, 2014 was 5.00%, compared to 5.11% at December 31, 2013 and 5.12% at December 31, 2012. The weighted average coupon rate of secured long-term borrowings at December 31, 2014 was 3.10%, compared to 3.12% at December 31, 2013 and 3.23% at December 31, 2012.

Deposits have increased, both in dollars and proportion of total CIT funding to 46% at December 31, 2014 compared to 40% at December 31, 2013 and 35% at December 31, 2012. The weighted average coupon rate of total CIT deposits at December 31, 2014 was 1.69%, up from 1.65% at December 31, 2013, primarily due to an increase in term deposits with longer maturities, and down from 1.75% at December 31, 2012. Deposits and long-term borrowings are also discussed in Funding and Liquidity.

See *Select Financial Data (Average Balances)* section for more information on Long-term borrowing rates.

### Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis

#### Table of Contents

40 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

The following table depicts select yields and margin related data for our segments, plus select divisions within TIF and NACF.

#### Select Segment and Division Margin Metrics (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b><u>Transportation &amp; International Finance</u></b>			
AEA	\$ 18,243.0	\$ 15,434.6	\$ 14,269.2
Gross yield	12.33%	12.55%	13.21%
NFM	4.84%	4.89%	0.02%
Adjusted NFM	4.80%	4.99%	4.45%
<b>AEA</b>			
Aerospace	\$ 10,467.4	\$ 9,317.9	\$ 9,358.3

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	Years Ended December 31,		
<i>Rail</i>	\$ 5,581.9	\$ 4,332.4	\$ 3,905.3
<i>Maritime Finance</i>	\$ 670.0	\$ 300.1	\$ —
<i>International Finance</i>	\$ 1,523.7	\$ 1,484.2	\$ 1,005.6
<b>Gross yield</b>			
<i>Aerospace</i>	12.00%	12.23%	12.53%
<i>Rail</i>	14.75%	14.69%	14.87%
<i>Maritime Finance</i>	5.18%	7.83%	—
<i>International Finance</i>	8.92%	9.30%	13.01%
<b><u>North American Commercial Finance</u></b>			
AEA	\$ 14,319.5	\$ 12,916.2	\$ 11,362.7
Gross yield	6.49%	7.22%	9.47%
NFM	3.93%	4.44%	2.23%
Adjusted NFM	3.93%	4.50%	6.06%
<b>AEA</b>			
<i>Real Estate Finance</i>	\$ 1,687.6	\$ 1,119.0	\$ 257.5
<i>Corporate Finance</i>	\$ 7,138.2	\$ 6,710.2	\$ 6,229.5
<i>Equipment Finance</i>	\$ 4,526.4	\$ 4,083.3	\$ 3,787.8
<i>Commercial Services</i>	\$ 967.3	\$ 1,003.7	\$ 1,087.9
<b>Gross yield</b>			
<i>Real Estate Finance</i>	4.15%	4.19%	4.01%
<i>Corporate Finance</i>	5.30%	5.80%	8.15%
<i>Equipment Finance</i>	9.53%	10.82%	13.20%
<i>Commercial Services</i>	5.18%	5.47%	5.30%
<b><u>Non-Strategic Portfolios</u></b>			
AEA	\$ 832.2	\$ 1,771.7	\$ 1,976.7
Gross yield	15.16%	15.14%	15.96%
NFM	3.57%	5.97%	1.14%
Adjusted NFM	3.57%	6.27%	3.14%

**Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 41

Gross yields (interest income plus rental income on operating leases as a % of AEA) and NFM in TIF were modestly down from 2013, reflecting lower rental rates on certain aircraft, while the increase in adjusted NFM from 2012 reflect improved funding costs. NACF gross yields and NFM reflect continued pressures within Corporate Finance and Equipment Finance. NSP contains run-off portfolios, which can cause volatility in the gross yield due to the low AEA.

The following table sets forth the details on net operating lease revenues<sup>(7)</sup>.

**Net Operating Lease Revenue as a % of Average Operating Leases** (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Years Ended December 31,

Rental income on operating leases	\$ 2,093.0	14.41%	\$ 1,897.4	15.22%	\$ 1,900.8	15.74%
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(615.7)	(4.24)%	(540.6)	(4.33)%	(513.2)	(4.25)%
Maintenance and other operating lease expenses	(196.8)	(1.35)%	(163.1)	(1.31)%	(139.4)	(1.15)%
Net operating lease revenue and % of AOL	\$ 1,280.5	8.82%	\$ 1,193.7	9.58%	\$ 1,248.2	10.34%
Average Operating Lease Equipment ("AOL")	\$ 14,524.4		\$ 12,463.8		\$ 12,072.9	

Net operating lease revenue was primarily generated from the commercial air and rail portfolios. Net operating lease revenue increased in 2014 compared to 2013, as the benefit of increased assets from the growing aerospace and rail portfolios offset lower rental rates, higher depreciation expense, and increased maintenance and other operating lease expenses. Net operating lease revenue decreased in 2013 from 2012, reflecting increased depreciation, which included residual adjustments, and higher maintenance and operating lease expenses from the rail portfolio growth, along with lower renewal rates.

Rental income in 2014 increased from 2013 and 2012, reflecting the growing portfolio. On average, lease renewal rates in the rail portfolio were re-pricing slightly higher, while the commercial aircraft portfolio has been re-pricing slightly lower.

Commercial aircraft utilization remained strong throughout 2014 with 99% of our portfolio leased or under a commitment to lease, consistent with 2013 and 2012. During 2014, our rail fleet utilization remained strong. Including commitments, rail fleet utilization was 99% at December 31, 2014, up from December 31, 2013 and 2012.

We have 16 new aircraft deliveries scheduled for 2015, substantially all of which have lease commitments with customers. We expect delivery of approximately 7,000 railcars from our order book during 2015, about 90% of which are placed.

Depreciation on operating lease equipment increased from 2013 and 2012, mostly reflecting higher transportation equipment balances. Depreciation expense also includes amounts related to equipment impairments. Depreciation expense is adjusted when projected fair value at the end of the lease term or estimated useful life is below the projected book value at the end of the lease term or estimated useful life. The prior years, 2013 and 2012, benefited from lower depreciation expense, primarily in NSP business, as a result of certain operating lease equipment being recorded as held for sale. Once a long-lived asset is classified as assets held for sale, depreciation expense is no longer recognized, but the asset is evaluated for impairment with any such charge recorded in other income. (See "Non-interest Income — Impairment on assets held for sale" for discussion on impairment charges). Consequently, net operating lease revenue includes rental income on operating lease equipment classified as assets held for sale, but there is no related depreciation expense. NFM continued to benefit from suspended depreciation due to the portfolio sales activity in NSP and aerospace assets held for sale related to the TC-CIT Aviation joint venture. The amount of suspended depreciation on operating lease equipment in assets held for sale totaled \$23 million for 2014, \$73 million for 2013 and \$96 million for 2012. The decrease from 2012 primarily reflects the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio in the third and fourth quarters of 2013.

The increasing maintenance and other operating lease expenses primarily relate to the growing rail portfolio, and to a lesser extent, aircraft re-leasing.

The factors described in rental income, depreciation, and maintenance and other operating lease expenses are driving the decrease in the net operating lease revenue as a percent of AOL, as the higher revenue from the growth in assets is offset by the lower rental rates. The 2014 first quarter European rail acquisition also impacted net yields, as the acquired portfolio's net yields were lower than the overall portfolio.

Operating lease equipment in assets held for sale totaled \$440 million at December 31, 2014, primarily reflecting aerospace assets. Operating lease equipment in assets held for sale totaled \$205 million at December 31, 2013 and \$344 million at December 31, 2012, which included the Dell Europe platform assets that were sold in 2013.

See "Expenses — Depreciation on operating lease equipment" and "Concentrations — Operating Leases" for additional information.

(7) *Net operating lease revenue is a non-GAAP measure. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measurements" for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

## Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis

### Table of Contents

42 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

## CREDIT METRICS

Credit metrics remain at or near cyclical lows, and given current levels, sequential quarterly movements in non-accrual loans and charge-offs are subject to volatility as individual larger accounts migrate in and out of non-accrual status or get resolved.

Non-accrual loans declined to \$161 million (0.82% of finance receivables) from \$241 million (1.29%) at December 31, 2013 and \$330 million (1.92%) at December 31, 2012. The decrease reflects the sale of the Small Business Lending unit, repayments, charge-offs, and returns to accrual status where appropriate.

The provision for credit losses was \$100 million for the current year, up from \$65 million in 2013 and \$51 million in 2012. The 2014 provision reflects lower recoveries and higher non-specific reserves, primarily due to asset growth. The increase in 2013 from 2012 reflected asset growth and charge-offs due to loans transferred to AHFS.

Net charge-offs were \$99 million (0.52% as a percentage of average finance receivables) in 2014, versus \$81 million (0.44%) in 2013 and \$74 million (0.46%) in 2012. Net charge-offs include \$43 million in 2014 and \$39 million in 2013 related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale. Absent AHFS transfer related charge-offs, net charge-offs were 0.29% and 0.23% for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Recoveries have continued to decline, totaling \$28 million in 2014, down from \$58 million in 2013 and \$68 million in 2012, driven by the lower levels of gross charge-offs in recent periods. Gross Charge-offs were \$128 million (0.67%) in 2014 versus \$139 million in 2013 (0.76%) and \$142 million (0.88%) in 2012.

The following table presents detail on our allowance for loan losses, including charge-offs and recoveries and provides summarized components of the provision and allowance:

### **Allowance for Loan Losses and Provision for Credit Losses** (dollars in millions)

	Years ended December 31,				
	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<b>Allowance – beginning of period</b>	\$ 356.1	\$ 379.3	\$ 407.8	\$ 416.2	\$ –
Provision for credit losses <sup>(1)</sup>	100.1	64.9	51.4	269.7	802.1
Change related to new accounting guidance <sup>(2)</sup>	–	–	–	–	68.6
Other <sup>(1)</sup>	(10.7)	(7.4)	(5.8)	(12.9)	(8.2)
Net additions	89.4	57.5	45.6	256.8	862.5
Gross charge-offs <sup>(3)</sup>	(127.5)	(138.6)	(141.7)	(368.8)	(492.0)
Recoveries <sup>(4)</sup>	28.4	57.9	67.6	103.6	45.7

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Years ended December 31,

Net Charge-offs	(99.1)	(80.7)	(74.1)	(265.2)	(446.3)
<b>Allowance – end of period</b>	<b>\$ 346.4</b>	<b>\$ 356.1</b>	<b>\$ 379.3</b>	<b>\$ 407.8</b>	<b>\$ 416.2</b>
<b>Provision for credit losses</b>					
Specific reserves on impaired loans	\$ (18.0)	\$ (14.8)	\$ (9.4)	\$ (66.7)	\$ 121.3
Non-specific reserves	19.0	(1.0)	(13.3)	71.2	234.5
Net charge-offs	99.1	80.7	74.1	265.2	446.3
Total	\$ 100.1	\$ 64.9	\$ 51.4	\$ 269.7	\$ 802.1
<b>Allowance for loan losses</b>					
Specific reserves on impaired loans	\$ 12.4	\$ 30.4	\$ 45.2	\$ 54.6	\$ 121.3
Non-specific reserves	334.0	325.7	334.1	353.2	294.9
Total	\$ 346.4	\$ 356.1	\$ 379.3	\$ 407.8	\$ 416.2
<b>Ratio</b>					
Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of total loans	1.78%	1.91%	2.21%	2.68%	2.51%

- (1) The provision for credit losses includes amounts related to reserves on unfunded loan commitments, unused letters of credit, and for deferred purchase agreements, all of which are reflected in other liabilities, as well as foreign currency translation adjustments. The items included in other liabilities totaled \$35 million, \$28 million, \$23 million, \$22 million and \$12 million at December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.
- (2) Reflects reserves associated with loans consolidated in accordance with 2010 adoption of accounting guidance on consolidation of variable interest entities.
- (3) Gross charge-offs included \$43 million and \$39 million of charge-offs related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Prior year amounts were not significant.
- (4) Recoveries for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2012, 2011 and 2010 do not include \$20 million, \$22 million, \$54 million, \$124 million and \$279 million, respectively, of recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to the transfer to assets held for sale, which are included in Other Income.

**Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 43

The allowance rate reflects the relatively benign credit environment. NSP currently carries no reserves, as the portfolio consists almost entirely of AHFS. The decline in specific allowance is consistent with reduced non-accrual inflows and the reversal of reserves related to the resolution of a small number of larger accounts in NACF.

See Note 1 — Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for discussion on policies relating to the allowance for loan losses, and Note 4 — Allowance for Loan Losses for additional segment related data in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data and Critical Accounting Estimates for further analysis of the allowance for credit losses.

**Segment Finance Receivables and Allowance for Loan Losses** (dollars in millions)

Finance Receivables	Allowance for Loan Losses	Net Carrying Value
---------------------	---------------------------	--------------------

<b>December 31, 2014</b>			
Transportation & International Finance	\$ 3,558.9	\$ (46.8)	\$ 3,512.1
North American Commercial Finance	15,936.0	(299.6)	15,636.4
Non-Strategic Portfolio	0.1	—	0.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 19,495.0</b>	<b>\$ (346.4)</b>	<b>\$ 19,148.6</b>
<b>December 31, 2013</b>			
Transportation & International Finance	\$ 3,494.4	\$ (46.7)	\$ 3,447.7
North American Commercial Finance	14,693.1	(303.8)	14,389.3
Non-Strategic Portfolio	441.7	(5.6)	436.1
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 18,629.2</b>	<b>\$ (356.1)</b>	<b>\$ 18,273.1</b>
<b>December 31, 2012</b>			
Transportation & International Finance	\$ 2,556.5	\$ (44.3)	\$ 2,512.2
North American Commercial Finance	13,084.4	(293.7)	12,790.7
Non-Strategic Portfolio	1,512.2	(41.3)	1,470.9
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 17,153.1</b>	<b>\$ (379.3)</b>	<b>\$ 16,773.8</b>
<b>December 31, 2011</b>			
Transportation & International Finance	\$ 1,848.1	\$ (36.3)	\$ 1,811.8
North American Commercial Finance	11,894.7	(309.8)	11,584.9
Non-Strategic Portfolio	1,483.0	(61.7)	1,421.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 15,225.8</b>	<b>\$ (407.8)</b>	<b>\$ 14,818.0</b>
<b>December 31, 2010</b>			
Transportation & International Finance	\$ 1,754.5	\$ (22.6)	\$ 1,731.9
North American Commercial Finance	13,238.2	(313.7)	12,924.5
Non-Strategic Portfolio	1,620.2	(79.9)	1,540.3
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 16,612.9</b>	<b>\$ (416.2)</b>	<b>\$ 16,196.7</b>

**Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis****Table of Contents**

44 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

The following table presents charge-offs, by class. See *Results by Business Segment* for additional information.

**Charge-offs as a Percentage of Average Finance Receivables by Class** (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,									
	2014		2013		2012		2011		2010	
<b>Gross Charge-offs</b>										
Transportation Finance	\$ 0.7	0.03%	\$ —	—	\$ 0.9	0.08%	\$ 1.1	0.11%	\$ 4.8	0.36%
	44.1	3.34%	26.0	1.76%	14.8	1.50%	16.9	2.48%	33.0	9.08%

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Years Ended December 31,

International Finance										
Transportation & International Finance <sup>(1)</sup>	44.8	1.25%	26.0	0.84%	15.7	0.71%	18.0	1.06%	37.8	2.21%
Corporate Finance	29.7	0.42%	21.9	0.33%	37.8	0.61%	147.9	2.58%	130.4	1.62%
Equipment Finance	35.8	0.84%	32.0	0.82%	52.5	1.44%	125.8	3.03%	126.1	1.66%
Real Estate Finance	—	—	—	—	—	—	6.7	35.14%	24.7	15.16%
Commercial Services	9.7	0.41%	4.4	0.19%	8.6	0.36%	21.1	0.85%	29.8	1.12%
North American Commercial Finance <sup>(2)</sup>	75.2	0.49%	58.3	0.42%	98.9	0.80%	301.5	2.44%	311.0	1.68%
Non-Strategic Portfolio <sup>(3)</sup>	7.5	4.91%	54.3	4.82%	27.1	1.81%	49.3	3.23%	143.2	10.21%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 127.5</b>	<b>0.67%</b>	<b>\$ 138.6</b>	<b>0.76%</b>	<b>\$ 141.7</b>	<b>0.88%</b>	<b>\$ 368.8</b>	<b>2.36%</b>	<b>\$ 492.0</b>	<b>2.28%</b>
<b>Recoveries</b>										
Transportation Finance	\$ 0.2	0.01%	\$ 1.1	0.07%	\$ —	—	\$ 0.1	0.01%	\$ —	—
International Finance	6.9	0.53%	8.0	0.54%	8.7	0.88%	5.8	0.85%	4.2	1.16%
Transportation & International Finance	7.1	0.19%	9.1	0.29%	8.7	0.39%	5.9	0.35%	4.2	0.24%
Corporate Finance	0.5	0.01%	8.0	0.12%	8.3	0.13%	22.4	0.39%	8.2	0.10%
Equipment Finance	16.4	0.38%	24.0	0.61%	30.3	0.83%	42.9	1.03%	16.3	0.22%
Real Estate Finance	—	—	—	—	—	—	4.0	20.89%	0.2	0.18%
Commercial Services	2.1	0.09%	7.8	0.33%	7.8	0.33%	10.9	0.44%	1.2	0.04%
North American Commercial Finance	19.0	0.13%	39.8	0.29%	46.4	0.38%	80.2	0.65%	25.9	0.14%
Non-Strategic Portfolio	2.3	1.44%	9.0	0.81%	12.5	0.83%	17.5	1.15%	15.6	1.11%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 28.4</b>	<b>0.15%</b>	<b>\$ 57.9</b>	<b>0.32%</b>	<b>\$ 67.6</b>	<b>0.42%</b>	<b>\$ 103.6</b>	<b>0.66%</b>	<b>\$ 45.7</b>	<b>0.21%</b>
<b>Net Charge-offs</b>										
Transportation Finance	\$ 0.5	0.02%	\$ (1.1)	(0.07)%	\$ 0.9	0.08%	\$ 1.0	0.10%	\$ 4.8	0.36%
International Finance	37.2	2.81%	18.0	1.22%	6.1	0.62%	11.1	1.63%	28.8	7.92%
Transportation & International Finance <sup>(1)</sup>	37.7	1.06%	16.9	0.55%	7.0	0.32%	12.1	0.71%	33.6	1.97%
Corporate Finance	29.2	0.41%	13.9	0.21%	29.5	0.48%	125.5	2.19%	122.2	1.52%
Equipment Finance	19.4	0.46%	8.0	0.21%	22.2	0.61%	82.9	2.00%	109.8	1.44%
Real Estate Finance	—	—	—	—	—	—	2.7	14.25%	24.5	14.98%
<b>Total</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>0.32%</b>	<b>(3.4)</b>	<b>(0.14)%</b>	<b>0.8</b>	<b>0.03%</b>	<b>10.2</b>	<b>0.41%</b>	<b>28.6</b>	<b>1.08%</b>

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Years Ended December 31,

Commercial Services North American Commercial Finance <sup>(2)</sup>	56.2	0.36%	18.5	0.13%	52.5	0.42%	221.3	1.79%	285.1	1.54%
Non-Strategic Portfolio <sup>(3)</sup>	5.2	3.47%	45.3	4.01%	14.6	0.98%	31.8	2.08%	127.6	9.10%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 99.1</b>	<b>0.52%</b>	<b>\$ 80.7</b>	<b>0.44%</b>	<b>\$ 74.1</b>	<b>0.46%</b>	<b>\$ 265.2</b>	<b>1.70%</b>	<b>\$ 446.3</b>	<b>2.07%</b>

- (1) TIF charge-offs for 2014 and 2013 included approximately \$18 million and \$2 million, respectively, related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale.
- (2) NACF charge-offs for 2014 and 2013 included approximately \$18 million and \$5 million, respectively, related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale.
- (3) NSP charge-offs for 2014 and 2013 included approximately \$7 million and \$32 million, respectively, related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale.

**Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 45

Charge-offs remained at relatively low levels absent the amount related to assets transferred to AHFS. Recoveries are down in amount from prior periods and are expected to continue to decline as the low level of more recent charge-offs afford fewer opportunities for recoveries. Additionally, charge-offs associated with AHFS do not generate future recoveries as the loans are generally sold before recoveries can be realized.

The tables below present information on non-performing loans, which includes non-performing loans related to assets held for sale for each period:

**Non-accrual and Accruing Past Due Loans at December 31** (dollars in millions)

	2014	2013	2012	2011	2010
<b>Non-accrual loans</b>					
U.S.	\$ 71.9	\$ 176.3	\$ 273.1	\$ 623.6	\$ 1,336.5
Foreign	88.6	64.4	57.0	77.8	280.7
Non-accrual loans	160.5	240.7	330.1	701.4	1,617.2
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>					
U.S.	\$ 13.8	\$ 218.0	\$ 263.2	\$ 427.5	\$ 412.4
Foreign	3.4	2.9	25.9	17.7	49.3
Restructured loans	\$ 17.2	\$ 220.9	\$ 289.1	\$ 445.2	\$ 461.7
<b>Accruing loans past due 90 days or more</b>	\$ 10.3	\$ 9.9	\$ 3.4	\$ 2.2	\$ 1.7

**Segment Non-accrual Loans as a Percentage of Finance Receivables at December 31** (dollars in millions)



Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

	2014		2013		2012	
Transportation Finance	\$ 0.1	—	\$ 14.3	0.81%	\$ 31.5	2.36%
International Finance	37.1	5.93%	21.0	1.21%	7.5	0.61%
Transportation & International Finance	37.2	1.05%	35.3	1.01%	39.0	1.52%
Corporate Finance	30.9	0.45%	83.8	1.23%	156.5	2.41%
Equipment Finance	70.0	1.48%	59.4	1.47%	55.3	1.51%
Commercial Services	—	—	4.2	0.19%	6.0	0.26%
North American Commercial Finance	100.9	0.63%	147.4	1.00%	217.8	1.66%
Non-Strategic Portfolio	22.4	NM	58.0	13.14%	73.3	4.85%
Total	\$ 160.5	0.82%	\$ 240.7	1.29%	\$ 330.1	1.92%

NM — not meaningful; Non-accrual loans include loans held for sale. The December 31, 2014 Non-Strategic Portfolios amount reflected non-accrual loans held for sale; since portfolio loans were insignificant, no % is displayed.

Non-accrual loans remained at low levels during 2014. The improvements in 2014 reflect the relatively low levels of new non-accruals, the resolution of a small number of larger accounts in Corporate Finance and the sale of the Small Business Lending unit in NSP. The entire NSP portfolio at December 2014 was classified as held for sale making the percentage of finance receivables not meaningful while the 2013 NSP non-accruals include \$40 million related to accounts in held for sale resulting in an increase of non-accruals as a percentage of finance receivables.

Approximately 54% of our non-accrual accounts were paying currently at December 31, 2014, and our impaired loan carrying value (including FSA discount, specific reserves and charge-offs) to estimated outstanding contractual balances approximated 68%. For this purpose, impaired loans are comprised principally of non-accrual loans over \$500,000 and TDRs.

Total delinquency (30 days or more) improved to 1.7% of finance receivables compared to 2.0% at December 31, 2013, primarily due to an improvement in non-credit (administrative) delinquencies in the Equipment Finance portfolio.

**Foregone Interest on Non-accrual Loans and Troubled Debt Restructurings** (dollars in millions)  
Years Ended December 31

	2014			2013			2012		
	U.S.	Foreign	Total	U.S.	Foreign	Total	U.S.	Foreign	Total
Interest revenue that would have been earned at original terms	\$ 22.8	\$ 12.4	\$ 35.2	\$ 52.9	\$ 12.4	\$ 65.3	\$ 66.5	\$ 12.1	\$ 78.6
Less: Interest recorded	6.7	4.2	10.9	18.4	4.2	22.6	23.7	3.7	27.4
Foregone interest revenue	\$ 16.1	\$ 8.2	\$ 24.3	\$ 34.5	\$ 8.2	\$ 42.7	\$ 42.8	\$ 8.4	\$ 51.2

Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis

**Table of Contents**

The Company periodically modifies the terms of loans/finance receivables in response to borrowers' difficulties. Modifications that include a financial concession to the borrower, which otherwise would not have been considered, are accounted for as troubled debt restructurings ("TDRs"). For those accounts that were modified but were not considered to be TDRs, it was determined that no concessions had been granted by CIT to the borrower. Borrower compliance with the modified terms is the primary measurement that we use to determine the success of these programs.

The tables that follow reflect loan carrying values as of December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 of accounts that have been modified.

**Troubled Debt Restructurings and Modifications at December 31** (dollars in millions)

	2014		2013		2012	
		% Compliant		% Compliant		% Compliant
<b>Troubled Debt Restructurings</b>						
Deferral of principal and/or interest	\$ 6.0	96%	\$ 194.6	99%	\$ 248.5	98%
Debt forgiveness	—	—	2.4	77%	2.5	95%
Interest rate reductions	—	—	—	—	14.8	100%
Covenant relief and other	11.2	83%	23.9	74%	23.3	80%
Total TDRs	\$ 17.2	88%	\$ 220.9	96%	\$ 289.1	97%
Percent non accrual	75%		33%		29%	
<b>Modifications<sup>(1)</sup></b>						
Extended maturity	\$ 0.1	100%	\$ 14.9	37%	\$ 111.5	97%
Covenant relief	70.9	100%	50.6	100%	113.6	100%
Interest rate increase	25.1	100%	21.8	100%	79.6	100%
Other	58.3	100%	62.6	87%	62.4	100%
Total Modifications	\$ 154.4	100%	\$ 149.9	89%	\$ 367.1	99%
Percent non-accrual	10%		23%		25%	

<sup>(1)</sup> Table depicts the predominant element of each modification, which may contain several of the characteristics listed.

The decrease in TDRs from prior years is driven principally by the payoff of a small number of accounts and the disposition of the SBL portfolio.

See Note 3 — Loans in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for additional information regarding TDRs and other credit quality information.

**Table of Contents**

## NON-INTEREST INCOME

## Non-interest Income (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Rental income on operating leases	\$ 2,093.0	\$ 1,897.4	\$ 1,900.8
Other Income:			
Factoring commissions	120.2	122.3	126.5
Gains on sales of leasing equipment	98.4	130.5	117.6
Fee revenues	93.1	101.5	86.1
Gain on investments	39.0	8.2	40.2
Gains on loan and portfolio sales	34.3	48.8	162.3
Recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale	19.8	21.9	54.3
Counterparty receivable accretion	10.7	8.6	88.7
Gains (losses) on derivatives and foreign currency exchange	(37.8)	1.0	(5.7)
Impairment on assets held for sale	(100.7)	(124.0)	(115.1)
Other revenues	28.4	62.5	59.8
Other income	305.4	381.3	614.7
Non-interest income	\$ 2,398.4	\$ 2,278.7	\$ 2,515.5

Non-interest Income includes Rental Income on Operating Leases and Other Income.

*Rental income on operating leases* from equipment we lease is recognized on a straight line basis over the lease term. Rental income is discussed in "Net Finance Revenues" and "Results by Business Segment". See also Note 5 — Operating Lease Equipment in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data for additional information on operating leases.

*Other income* declined in 2014 and 2013 reflecting the following:

*Factoring commissions* declined slightly, reflecting the change in the underlying portfolio mix that offset a modest increase in factoring volume. Factoring volume was \$26.7 billion in 2014, up from \$25.7 billion in 2013 and \$25.1 billion for 2012.

*Gains on sales of leasing equipment* resulted from the sale of approximately \$1.2 billion of leasing equipment in 2014 and 2013 and \$1.3 billion in 2012. Gains as a percentage of equipment sold decreased from the prior year and approximated the 2012 percentage and will vary based on the type and age of equipment sold. Equipment sales for 2014 included \$0.8 billion in TIF and over \$0.3 billion in NACF. In 2014, TIF sold approximately \$330 million of aircraft to TC-CIT Aviation, a joint venture with Century Tokyo Leasing, which resulted in a \$30 million gain. Equipment sales for 2013 included \$0.9 billion in TIF assets and \$0.3 billion in NACF assets. Equipment sales for 2012 included \$0.8 billion in TIF assets and \$0.5 billion in NACF assets.

*Fee revenues* include fees on lines of credit and letters of credit, capital markets-related fees, agent and advisory fees, and servicing fees for the loans we sell but retain servicing, including servicing fees in the small business lending portfolio that was sold in June 2014. Fee revenues generated for servicing the small business lending portfolio totaled approximately \$5 million for 2014 and \$11 million for each of 2013 and 2012. Absent the revenues from this portfolio, fee revenues were relatively consistent with 2013. Fee revenues are mainly driven by our NACF segment, though small business lending fees are in NSP.

*Gains on investments* primarily reflected sales of equity investments that were received as part of a lending transaction or, in some cases, a workout situation. The gains were primarily in NACF. Gains in 2014 included \$16 million from investment securities sold to comply with the Volcker Rule. Gains declined in 2013 from 2012 on fewer transactions.

*Gains on loan and portfolio sales* reflected 2014 sales volume of \$1.4 billion, which included \$0.5 billion in each of TIF and NACF and over \$0.4 billion in NSP. TIF activity was primarily due to the sale of the U.K. corporate lending portfolio (gain of \$11 million)

and NSP sales were primarily due to the SBL sale (gains on which were minimal). The 2013 sales volume totaled \$0.9 billion, which included \$0.6 billion in NSP, and over \$0.1 billion in both NACF and TIF. Over 80% of 2013 gains related to NSP and included gains from the sale of the Dell Europe portfolio. Sales volume was \$0.5 billion in 2012, which was substantially all in NACF with high gains as a percentage of sales from sales of low carrying value loans that were on nonaccrual and included FSA adjustments.

*Recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence and loans charged off prior to transfer to held for sale* reflected repayments or other workout resolutions on loans charged off prior to our emergence from bankruptcy and loans charged off prior to classification as held for sale. These recoveries are recorded as other income, unlike recoveries on loans charged-off after our restructuring, which are recorded as a reduction to the provision for loan losses. The decrease from the prior years reflected a general decline in recoveries of loans charged off pre-emergence as the Company moves further away from its emergence date.

**Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis**

**Table of Contents**

**48** CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

*Counterparty receivable accretion* related to the FSA accretion of a fair value discount on the receivable from Goldman Sachs International ("GSI") related to the GSI Facilities, which are total return swaps (as discussed in Funding and Liquidity and *Note 10 — Long-term Borrowings* and *Note 11 — Derivative Financial Instruments* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*). The discount was accreted into income over the expected term of the payout of the associated receivables, and accreted to zero during 2014.

*Gains (losses) on derivatives and foreign currency exchange* Transactional foreign currency movements resulted in losses of \$(133) million in 2014, driven by the strengthening of the U.S. currency against the Canadian dollar, Euro, Mexican Peso, and U.K. Pound Sterling, losses of \$(14) million in 2013, and gains of \$37 million in 2012. These were partially offset by gains of \$124 million in 2014, similarly impacted by the foreign currency movements noted, gains of \$20 million in 2013, and losses of \$(33) million in 2012 on derivatives that economically hedge foreign currency movements and other exposures. Losses related to the valuation of the derivatives within the GSI facility were \$(15) million for 2014, \$(4) million for 2013 and \$(6) million for 2012. The increase reflected the higher unused portion of the facility due to the sale of the student lending business in 2014. In addition, there were losses of \$(14) million, \$(1) million and \$(4) million in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, on the realization of cumulative translation adjustment ("CTA") amounts from AOCI upon the sale or substantial liquidation of a subsidiary. As of December 31, 2014, there was approximately \$(60) million of CTA losses included in accumulated other comprehensive loss in the Consolidated Balance Sheet related to the Brazil, Mexico, and U.K. portfolios in AHFS. For additional information on the impact of derivatives on the income statement, refer to *Note 11 — Derivative Financial Instruments* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.

*Impairment on assets held for sale* in 2014 included \$70 million for NSP identified as subscale platforms and \$31 million from TIF. TIF charges include over \$19 million related to commercial aircraft operating lease equipment held for sale and the remainder related to the transfer of U.K. portfolios to AHFS. The 2013 amount included \$105 million of charges related to NSP and \$19 million for TIF operating lease equipment (mostly aerospace related). NSP activity included \$59 million of charges related to Dell Europe portfolio operating lease equipment and the remaining 2013 NSP impairment related mostly to the international platform rationalization. When a long-lived asset is classified as held for sale, depreciation expense is suspended and the asset is evaluated for impairment with any such charge recorded in other income. (See *Expenses* for related discussion on depreciation on operating lease equipment.) The 2012 amount included \$81 million for NSP, essentially all of which related to NSP Dell Europe operating lease equipment, and \$34 million related to TIF equipment, mostly aerospace related.

*Other revenues* included items that are more episodic in nature, such as gains on work-out related claims, proceeds received in excess of carrying value on non-accrual accounts held for sale, which were repaid or had another workout resolution, insurance proceeds in excess of carrying value on damaged leased equipment, and also includes income from joint ventures. The 2013 amount included gains on workout related claims of \$19 million in NACF and \$13 million in TIF. The 2012 amount included \$14 million gain on a sale of a platform in NSP, related to the Dell Europe transaction.

**Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 49

**EXPENSES****Other Expenses** (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	\$ 615.7	\$ 540.6	\$ 513.2
Maintenance and other operating lease expenses	196.8	163.1	139.4
Operating expenses:			
Compensation and benefits	533.8	535.4	537.1
Technology	85.2	83.3	81.6
Professional fees	80.6	69.1	63.8
Net occupancy expense	35.0	35.3	36.1
Advertising and marketing	33.7	25.2	36.5
Provision for severance and facilities exiting activities	31.4	36.9	22.7
Other expenses <sup>(1)</sup>	142.1	185.0	116.2
Operating expenses	941.8	970.2	894.0
Loss on debt extinguishments	3.5	–	61.2
Total other expenses	\$ 1,757.8	\$ 1,673.9	\$ 1,607.8
Headcount	3,360	3,240	3,560

<sup>(1)</sup> The year ended December 31, 2013 included \$50 million related to the Tyco tax agreement settlement charge.

*Depreciation on operating lease equipment* is recognized on owned equipment over the lease term or estimated useful life of the asset. Depreciation expense is primarily driven by the TIF operating lease equipment portfolio, which includes long-lived assets such as aircraft and railcars. To a lesser extent, depreciation expense includes amounts on smaller ticket equipment, such as office equipment. Impairments recorded on equipment held in portfolio are reported as depreciation expense. AHFS also impacts the balance, as depreciation expense is suspended on operating lease equipment once it is transferred to AHFS. Depreciation expense is discussed further in “*Net Finance Revenues*,” as it is a component of our asset margin. See “*Non-interest Income*” for impairment charges on operating lease equipment classified as held for sale.

*Maintenance and other operating lease expenses* relate to the TIF operating lease portfolio. The majority of the maintenance expenses are railcar fleet related. CIT Rail provides railcars primarily pursuant to full-service lease contracts under which CIT Rail as lessor is responsible for railcar maintenance and repair. Under our aircraft leases, the lessee is generally responsible for normal maintenance and repairs, airframe and engine overhauls, compliance with airworthiness directives, and compliance with return conditions of aircraft on lease. As a result, aircraft operating lease expenses primarily relate to transition costs incurred in connection with re-leasing an aircraft. The increase in maintenance and other operating lease expenses from 2013 reflects the growing rail portfolio.

*Operating expenses* decreased from 2013, due to the 2013 Tyco International Ltd. (“Tyco”) tax agreement settlement charge of \$50 million, discussed below in *other expenses*. Absent that charge, operating expenses increased by 2%, which includes integration costs and additional employee costs related to the Direct Capital and Nacco acquisitions. Operating expenses rose over 8% from 2012 to 2013, driven by the tax agreement settlement charge, and costs associated with restructuring initiatives. Operating

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

expenses also include Bank deposit-raising costs, which totaled \$59 million in 2014 and \$35 million in each of 2013 and 2012. These are reflected across various expense categories, but mostly within advertising and marketing and in other, reflecting deposit insurance costs. Operating expenses reflect the following changes:

- *Compensation and benefits* decreased in 2014 as progress on various expense initiatives was partly offset by increased costs related to the acquisitions. Expenses were down slightly in 2013 from 2012 as lower salaries and benefit costs from the reduction in employees was partially offset by higher incentive compensation, which includes the amortization of deferred compensation. Headcount at December 31, 2014 was up from a year ago, driven by the Direct Capital and Nacco acquisitions, while down from 2012, resulting from efficiency initiatives. See *Note 20 — Retirement, Postretirement and Other Benefit Plans* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*.
- *Professional fees* include legal and other professional fees such as tax, audit, and consulting services and increased from 2013 reflecting costs associated with acquisitions, the pending OneWest Transaction, and exits of our non-strategic portfolios. The increase from 2012 to 2013 primarily reflected costs associated with our international rationalization efforts, and 2012 also benefited from higher amounts received on favorable legal and tax resolutions.
- *Advertising and marketing* expenses include costs associated with raising deposits. Bank advertising and marketing costs increased in 2014 from 2013, reflecting increased deposits and

### Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis

---

#### Table of Contents

50 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

the termination of a branch under construction. Advertising and marketing costs totaled \$25 million in 2014, \$15 million in 2013, and \$24 million in 2012.

- *Provision for severance and facilities exiting activities* reflects costs associated with various organization efficiency initiatives. Severance costs were \$30 million of the 2014 charges and related to the termination of approximately 150 employees and the associated benefits costs. The facility exiting activities totaled \$1 million. See *Note 27 — Severance and Facility Exiting Liabilities* for additional information.
- *Other expenses* include items such as travel and entertainment, insurance, FDIC costs, office equipment and supplies costs and taxes other than income taxes. Other expenses declined in 2014 primarily due to the 2013 \$50 million Tyco tax agreement settlement charge expense. On December 20, 2013, we reached an agreement with Tyco to settle contract claims asserted by Tyco related to a tax agreement that CIT and Tyco entered into in 2002 in connection with CIT's separation from Tyco. CIT agreed to pay Tyco \$60 million, including \$10 million that had been previously accrued. In 2014, other expenses also include increased Bank deposit insurance costs.

We made significant progress exiting low-returning portfolios in 2014. We exited all the sub-scale portfolios in Asia, Europe and several in Latin America, as well as our Small Business Lending ("SBL") and Student Loan ("SLX") portfolios. Our primary focus is now on exiting Mexico and Brazil and closing several legal entities in Europe and Asia. We have separate agreements to sell the businesses in Mexico and Brazil and anticipate finalizing the Mexico transaction in the 2015 first quarter and Brazil in the second half of 2015. Upon completion of all of our planned exits, we expect to eliminate approximately \$15 million from our quarterly expenses.

*Loss on debt extinguishments* for 2014 primarily related to early extinguishments of unsecured debt maturing in February 2015, while the 2012 amount reflected the write-off of accelerated fees and underwriting costs related to the repayment of the remaining Series A Notes and all of the 7% Series C Notes.

## FRESH START ACCOUNTING

Upon emergence from bankruptcy in 2009, CIT applied Fresh Start Accounting (FSA) in accordance with GAAP. FSA had a significant impact on our operating results in prior years but the impact has significantly lessened. NFR includes the accretion of the FSA adjustments to the loans, leases and debt, as well as to depreciation and, to a lesser extent rental income related to operating lease equipment.

The most significant remaining discount at December 31, 2014, related to operating lease equipment (\$1.3 billion related to rail operating lease equipment and \$0.7 billion to aircraft operating lease equipment). The discount on the operating lease equipment was, in effect, an impairment of the operating lease equipment upon emergence from bankruptcy, as the assets were recorded at their fair value, which was less than their carrying value. The recording of the FSA adjustment reduced the asset balances subject to depreciation and thus decreases depreciation expense over the remaining useful life of the operating lease equipment or until it is sold.

During 2014, the fair value discount on the receivable from GSI accreted to zero and the remaining FSA balances on loans and borrowings and deposits at December 31, 2014 were not significant at less than \$1 million and \$7 million, respectively.

## INCOME TAXES

### Income Tax Data (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
Provision for income taxes, before discrete items	\$ 47.4	\$54.4	\$ 76.2
Discrete items	(445.3)	29.5	40.5
(Benefit) provision for income taxes	\$(397.9)	\$83.9	\$116.7
Effective tax rate	(58.4)%	11.4%	(28.1)%

The Company's 2014 income tax benefit is \$397.9 million. This compares to income tax provisions of \$83.9 million in 2013 and \$116.7 million in 2012. The change from the prior year tax provisions primarily reflects discrete tax benefits relating to the release of certain domestic and international valuation allowances, a reduction in international income tax expense driven by lower international earnings, and changes in certain other discrete tax expense (benefit). Included in the discrete tax benefit of \$445.3 million for the current year is:

- \$375 million reduction to the valuation allowance on the U.S. net federal deferred tax assets,
- \$44 million reduction to the valuation allowances on certain international net deferred tax assets,
- \$30 million reduction to the U.S. federal and state valuation allowances consequent to the acquisition of Direct Capital, and
- Miscellaneous other \$4 million of net tax expense items partially offset the above mentioned tax benefits.

### Table of Contents

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Excluding discrete items, the income tax provisions primarily reflects income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations and state income tax expense in the U.S.

The 2013 income tax provision of \$83.9 million reflected income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations and state income tax expense in the U.S. Included in the 2013 tax provision is approximately \$30 million of net discrete tax expense that primarily related to the establishment of valuation allowances against certain international net deferred tax assets due to certain international platform rationalizations, and deferred tax expense due to the sale of a leverage lease. The discrete tax expense items were partially offset by incremental tax benefits associated with favorable settlements of prior year international tax audits.

The 2012 income tax provision of \$116.7 million reflected income tax expense on the earnings of certain international operations and state income tax expense in the U.S. Included in the 2012 tax provision is \$40.5 million of net discrete tax expense that primarily consisted of incremental taxes associated with international audit settlements and an increase in a U.S. deferred tax liability on certain indefinite life assets that cannot be used as a source of future taxable income in the assessment of the domestic valuation allowance. Also, included in 2012 was a discrete tax benefit of \$146.5 million caused by a release of tax reserves established on an uncertain tax position taken on certain tax losses following a favorable ruling from the tax authorities and a \$98.4 million tax benefit associated with a tax position taken on a prior-year restructuring transaction. Both of these benefits were fully offset by corresponding increases to the domestic valuation allowance.

The change in the effective tax rate each period is impacted by a number of factors, including the relative mix of domestic and international earnings, adjustments to the valuation allowances, and discrete items. The actual year-end 2014 effective tax rate may vary from the near term future periods due to the changes in these factors.

Beginning in 2015, the Company expects to report deferred income tax expense on its domestic earnings after the 2014 partial release of its domestic valuation allowances on net deferred tax assets. Management expects that this will result in a global effective tax rate in the range of 30-35%. However, there will be a minimal impact on cash taxes paid until the related NOL carry-forward is fully utilized. In addition, while GAAP equity increased as a result of the partial recognition of net deferred tax assets corresponding to the partial release of the aforementioned valuation allowances, there was minimal benefit on regulatory capital.

See *Note 19 — Income Taxes* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for detailed discussion on the Company's domestic and foreign reporting entities' net deferred tax assets, inclusive of the deferred tax assets related to the net operating losses ("NOLs") in these entities and their respective valuation allowance analysis.

---

## RESULTS BY BUSINESS SEGMENT

Effective January 1, 2014, Management changed our operating segments, which are now reported in three operating segments: (1) TIF; (2) NACF; and (3) NSP.

See *Note 25 — Business Segment Information* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for additional information relating to the reorganization.

On April 25, 2014, we completed the sale of our student lending business, which had been included in NSP prior to the sale. As a result, the student lending business is reported as a discontinued operation, and all prior periods have been conformed and are consistent with this presentation. See *Note — 2 Acquisition and Disposition Activities* in *Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplementary Data* for additional information.

The following table summarizes the reported pre-tax earnings of each segment, and the impacts of certain debt redemption actions. The pre-tax amounts excluding these actions are used by management to analyze segment results and are Non-GAAP measurements. See *Non-GAAP Financial Measurements* for discussion on the use of non-GAAP measurements.

**Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis**

---



**Impacts of Debt Redemption Charges on Pre-tax Income (Loss) by Segment** (dollars in millions)**Year Ended December 31, 2014**

	<b>Transportation &amp; International Finance</b>	<b>North American Commercial Finance</b>	<b>Non-Strategic Portfolios</b>	<b>Corporate &amp; Other</b>	<b>Total</b>
Income (loss) from continuing operations, before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$612.2	\$ 319.0	\$ (102.1 )	\$(148.3 )	\$680.8
Accelerated FSA net discount on debt extinguishments and repurchases	34.7	–	–	–	34.7
Debt related – loss on debt extinguishments	–	–	–	3.5	3.5
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	(42.0 )	–	–	–	(42.0 )
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations – excluding debt redemptions and OID acceleration	\$604.9	\$ 319.0	\$ (102.1 )	\$(144.8 )	\$677.0

**Year Ended December 31, 2013**

Income (loss) from continuing operations, before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$563.7	\$ 364.7	\$ (62.8 )	\$(131.4 )	\$734.2
Accelerated FSA net discount on debt extinguishments and repurchases	14.5	8.5	10.6	1.0	34.6
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	–	–	(5.2 )	–	(5.2 )
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations – excluding debt redemptions and OID acceleration	\$578.2	\$ 373.2	\$ (57.4 )	\$(130.4 )	\$763.6

**Year Ended December 31, 2012**

Income (loss) from continuing operations, before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$(166.2 )	\$ 267.3	\$ (125.0 )	\$(391.5 )	\$(415.4 )
Accelerated FSA net discount on debt extinguishments and repurchases	638.5	435.9	39.5	181.0	1,294.9
Debt related – loss on debt extinguishments	–	–	–	61.2	61.2
Accelerated OID on debt extinguishments related to the GSI facility	(6.9 )	–	–	–	(6.9 )
Pre-tax income (loss) from continuing operations – excluding debt redemptions and OID acceleration	\$465.4	\$ 703.2	\$ (85.5 )	\$(149.3 )	\$933.8

**Transportation & International Finance (TIF)**

TIF includes several divisions: aerospace (commercial air and business air), rail, maritime finance, and international finance. Revenues generated by TIF include rents collected on leased assets, interest on loans, fees, and gains from assets sold.

*Aerospace — Commercial Air* provides aircraft leasing, lending, asset management, and advisory services for commercial and regional airlines around the world. We own and finance a fleet of 350 aircraft and have about 100 clients in approximately 50 countries.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

*Aerospace* — *Business Air* offers financing and leasing programs for corporate and private owners of business jets.

*Rail* leases railcars and locomotives to railroads and shippers throughout North America, and Europe. Our operating lease fleet consists of approximately 120,000 railcars and 390 locomotives and we serve over 650 customers.

*Maritime Finance* offers secured loans to owners and operators of oceangoing and inland cargo vessels, as well as offshore vessels and drilling rigs.

*International Finance* offers equipment financing, secured lending and leasing to small and middle-market businesses in China and the U.K., the latter of which was included in assets held for sale at December 31, 2014.

### **Table of Contents**

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 53

### **Transportation & International Finance – Financial Data and Metrics** (dollars in millions)

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Earnings Summary</b>			
Interest income	\$ 289.4	\$ 254.9	\$ 218.2
Interest expense	(650.4)	(585.5)	(1,331.5)
Provision for credit losses	(38.3)	(18.7)	(14.5)
Rental income on operating leases	1,959.9	1,682.4	1,666.3
Other income	69.9	82.2	65.8
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(519.6)	(433.3)	(410.9)
Maintenance and other operating lease expenses	(196.8)	(163.0)	(139.3)
Operating expenses	(301.9)	(255.3)	(220.3)
Income (loss) before (provision) benefit for income taxes	\$ 612.2	\$ 563.7	\$ (166.2)
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges and accelerated OID on debt extinguishment related to the GSI facility <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 604.9	\$ 578.2	\$ 465.4
<b>Select Average Balances</b>			
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$ 3,571.2	\$ 3,078.9	\$ 2,204.9
Average operating leases (AOL)	14,255.7	12,195.8	11,853.5
Average earning assets (AEA)	18,243.0	15,434.6	14,269.2
<b>Statistical Data</b>			
Net finance revenue (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense and maintenance and other operating lease expenses) (NFR)	\$ 882.5	\$ 755.5	\$ 2.8
Net finance margin (NFR as a % of AEA)	4.84%	4.89%	0.02%
Operating lease margin as a % of AOL	8.72%	8.91%	9.42%
Pretax return on AEA	3.36%	3.65%	(1.16)%
New business volume	\$ 5,015.0	\$ 3,578.0	\$ 2,825.7

<sup>(1)</sup> *Non-GAAP measurement, see table at the beginning of this section for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.*

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Results reflect strong asset growth, lower funding costs, continued high utilization rates of our aircraft and railcars, and higher charge-offs in the international division. Pre-tax earnings also continued to be modestly impacted by accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion from debt prepayment activities, which increased 2014 results by \$7 million, compared to decreases of \$15 million in 2013 and \$632 million in 2012.

We grew financing and leasing assets during 2014, further expanding our aircraft and railcar fleets, and building our maritime finance portfolio. Financing and leasing assets grew to \$19.0 billion at December 31, 2014, up from \$16.4 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$14.9 billion at December 31, 2012. The 2014 increase from 2013 reflected growth in all transportation divisions, \$1.5 billion increase in Aerospace, \$1.2 billion in Rail, including the Nacco acquisition in the 2014 first quarter, and \$0.6 billion in Maritime, as discussed in the following paragraphs.

*Aerospace* financing and leasing assets grew to \$11.1 billion from \$9.7 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$9.5 billion at December 31, 2012. Our owned commercial portfolio included 279 aircraft at December 31, 2014, up slightly from December 31, 2013 and 2012. Commercial Air assets are primarily originated through orders with manufacturers, but are also supplemented by spot purchases of new and used equipment. At December 31, 2014, we had 152 aircraft on order from manufacturers up from 147 at December 31, 2013, with deliveries scheduled through 2020. During 2014 we placed an order with Boeing for the purchase of 10 787-9 Dreamliner aircraft, with deliveries beginning in 2018 and orders with Airbus for the purchase of 15 A330-900neo (new engine option) aircraft and five A321-200ceo (current engine option) aircraft. Deliveries of the A330-900neo are scheduled to begin in 2018 and deliveries of the A321-200ceo are scheduled to begin in 2015. See *Note 21 — Commitments in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplemental Data and Concentrations* for further aircraft data.

During 2014, the Company formed TC-CIT Aviation Ireland and TC-CIT Aviation U.S. (together TC-CIT Aviation), joint ventures between CIT Aerospace and Century Tokyo Leasing Corporation (“CTL”), a comprehensive financial services company in Japan. Under the terms of the agreements, TC-CIT Aviation will acquire commercial aircraft that will be leased to airlines around the globe. CIT Aerospace agreed to sell 14 commercial aircraft to TC-CIT Aviation in transactions with an aggregate value of approximately \$0.6 billion (nine of which were sold in the fourth quarter of 2014 at a gain of approximately \$30 million, with the remaining five aircraft, with a carrying value of approximately \$225 million in AHFS at December 31, 2014 to be sold in the first quarter of 2015). Under the terms of the joint ventures, CIT will facilitate arranging aircraft acquisitions, negotiating leases, servicing the aircraft and administering the entities. CIT has a 30% equity investment in TC-CIT Aviation. CTL will maintain a majority

### Item 7: Management’s Discussion and Analysis

---

#### Table of Contents

54 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

equity interest in the joint venture and will be a lender to the newly-established companies.

*Rail* financing and leasing assets grew to \$5.8 billion from \$4.6 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$4.2 billion at December 31, 2012. We expanded our owned portfolio to approximately 120,000 railcars at December 31, 2014, from 106,000 and 103,000 at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The current year increase in assets and railcars included the impact of the Nacco acquisition described below. Rail assets are primarily originated through firm orders with manufacturers and are also supplemented by spot purchases. At December 31, 2014, we had approximately 11,000 railcars on order from manufacturers, with deliveries scheduled through 2016. During 2014 we placed orders with manufacturers for approximately 9,000 railcars.

We entered the European rail leasing market with the January 31, 2014 acquisition of Nacco, an independent full service railcar lessor in Europe. The purchase included approximately \$650 million of assets (operating lease equipment), comprised of more than 9,500 railcars, consisting of tank cars, flat cars, gondolas and hopper cars. See *Note 21 — Commitments in Item 8 Financial Statements and Supplemental Data and Concentrations* for further railcar data.

*Maritime Finance* financing and leasing assets more than doubled to \$1.0 billion from \$0.4 billion at December 31, 2013, as we continued to expand this business.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

*International Finance* financing and leasing assets decreased to \$1.0 billion from \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2013 and \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2012, primarily reflecting the sale of a portfolio of corporate loans in the 2014 fourth quarter and collections. Included in the balance at December 31, 2014 were approximately \$400 million of assets held for sale related to a U.K. portfolio of equipment finance assets.

Highlights included:

- NFR was up from 2013 and 2012. Excluding accelerated debt FSA and OID accretion, which had a significant impact in 2012, NFR was \$875 million, up from \$770 million in 2013 and \$634 million in 2012. The increases reflect growth in the portfolios and lower funding costs. Total net FSA accretion increased NFR by \$152 million in 2014 and \$176 million in 2013 and decreased NFR by \$550 million in 2012. The remaining net FSA accretion benefits will primarily be reflected in depreciation expense, and will continue to decline over time. Adjusted Net Finance Margin decreased from 2013 reflecting the lower portfolio yield and increased from 2012 reflecting improved funding costs. See *Select Segment and Division Margin Metrics* table in *Net Finance Revenue* section.
- Financing and leasing assets grew 16% in 2014, primarily reflecting new business volume of \$5.0 billion and the Nacco rail acquisition, partially offset by asset sales, including a UK portfolio and aircraft sold to the TC-CIT Aviation joint venture, equipment depreciation and loan amortization.
- Gross yields (interest income plus rental income on operating leases as a % of AEA) decreased from 2013 and 2012, reflecting lower rental rates on certain aircraft and growth in the loan portfolio.
- Net operating lease revenue (rental income on operating leases less depreciation on operating lease equipment and maintenance and other operating lease expenses), which is a component of NFR, increased as higher rental income from growth in the Aerospace and Rail portfolios and strong utilization offset increased depreciation and maintenance and operating lease expense. The decline from 2013 compared to 2012 reflected pressure on renewal rates on certain aircraft, higher depreciation and higher maintenance and operating lease expense. The decline in the operating lease margin (as a % of average operating lease equipment) primarily reflects pressure on renewal rates on certain aircraft.
- New business volume for 2014 primarily included the delivery of 37 aircraft, approximately 6,000 railcars, with the vast majority of the rail operating lease volume originated by the Bank, and \$2.2 billion of finance receivables. New business volume for 2013 primarily reflected the delivery of 24 aircraft and approximately 5,400 railcars, while new business volume for 2012 reflected the addition of 21 aircraft and approximately 7,000 railcars.
- Equipment utilization remained strong throughout 2014 and ended the year with 99% of commercial air and rail equipment on lease or under a commitment. Rail utilization rates were up from 2013 and 2012, while air utilization remained consistently strong over the 3-year period. We have 16 new aircraft deliveries scheduled for 2015, substantially all of which have lease commitments with customers. Over 80% of all railcars on order have commitments, including about 90% of the approximately 7,000 scheduled railcar deliveries for 2015.
- Other income primarily reflected the following:
  - Gains on asset sales totaled \$78 million on \$1.3 billion of equipment and receivable sales, including a gain of \$30 million on the sale of aircraft to the TC-CIT Aviation joint ventures, compared to \$82 million of gains on \$978 million of asset sales in 2012 and \$70 million of gains on \$750 million of asset sales in 2012.
  - Impairment charges on AHFS totaled \$31 million in 2014, and predominantly related to international portfolios and commercial aircraft, compared to \$19 million in 2013 and \$34 million in 2012, mostly related to commercial aircraft.
    - FSA accretion on counterparty receivable totaled \$2 million, \$1 million and \$15 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. There is no longer any balance to accrete.
- Other income also includes a small amount of fee and periodic items, such as a \$13 million benefit related to a work-out related claim in 2013.
- Non-accrual loans were \$37 million (1.05% of finance receivables) at December 31, 2014, compared to \$35 million (1.01%) at December 31, 2013 and \$39 million (1.52%) at December 31, 2012. The 2014 and 2013 provision for credit losses mostly reflected the credit metric trends and loan portfolio growth. Net charge-offs were \$38 million (1.06% of average finance receivables) in 2014, up from \$17 million (0.55%) and \$7 million (0.32%) in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Essentially all of the

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

charge-offs for 2014, 2013 and 2012 were concentrated in the International portfolio. TIF charge-offs in 2014 included approximately \$18 million related to the transfer of receivables to assets held for sale (amounts for the prior years were not significant).

---

### Table of Contents

CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014 55

- Operating expenses increased in 2014 and 2013 reflecting investments in new initiatives and growth in existing businesses, including the Nacco rail acquisition in the 2014 first quarter.

### **North American Commercial Finance (NACF)**

The NACF segment consists of four divisions: Commercial Services, Corporate Finance, Equipment Finance, and Real Estate Finance. Revenue is generated from interest earned on loans, rents on equipment leased, fees and other revenue from lending and leasing activities and capital markets transactions, and commissions earned on factoring and related activities.

*Commercial Services* provides factoring, receivable management products, and secured financing to businesses (our clients, generally manufacturers or importers of goods) that operate in several industries, including apparel, textile, furniture, home furnishings and consumer electronics. Factoring entails the assumption of credit risk with respect to trade accounts receivable arising from the sale of goods by our clients to their customers (generally retailers) that have been factored (i.e. sold or assigned to the factor). Although primarily U.S.-based, Commercial Services also conducts business with clients and their customers internationally.

*Corporate Finance* provides a range of financing options and offers advisory services to small and medium size companies. Its core products include both loan and fee-based products. Loans offered are primarily senior secured loans collateralized by accounts receivable, inventory, machinery & equipment and/or intangibles that are often used for working capital, plant expansion, acquisitions or recapitalizations. These loans include revolving lines of credit and term loans and, depending on the nature and quality of the collateral, may be referred to as asset-based loans or cash flow loans. We provide financing to customers in a wide range of industries, including Commercial & Industrial, Communications, Media & Entertainment, Energy, and Healthcare.

*Equipment Finance* provides leasing and equipment financing solutions to small businesses and middle market companies in a wide range of industries on both a private label and direct basis. We provide financing solutions for our borrowers and lessees, and assist manufacturers and distributors in growing sales, profitability and customer loyalty by providing customized, value-added finance solutions to their commercial clients. Our LendEdge platform allows small businesses to access financing through a highly automated credit approval, documentation and funding process. We offer both capital and operating leases.

*Real Estate Finance* provides senior secured commercial real estate loans to developers and other commercial real estate professionals. We focus on stable, cash flowing properties and originate construction loans to highly experienced and well capitalized developers.

### **North American Commercial Finance – Financial Data and Metrics (dollars in millions)**

	Years Ended December 31,		
	2014	2013	2012
<b>Earnings Summary</b>			
Interest income	\$ 832.4	\$ 828.6	\$ 976.5
Interest expense	(285.4)	(284.3)	(750.9)
Provision for credit losses	(62.0)	(35.5)	(44.0)

Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

Years Ended December 31,

Rental income on operating leases	97.4	104.0	99.4
Other income	318.0	306.5	555.2
Depreciation on operating lease equipment	(81.7)	(75.1)	(71.9)
Operating expenses	(499.7)	(479.5)	(497.0)
Income before provision for income taxes	\$ 319.0	\$ 364.7	\$ 267.3
Pre-tax income – excluding debt redemption charges <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 319.0	\$ 373.2	\$ 703.2
<b>Select Average Balances</b>			
Average finance receivables (AFR)	\$ 15,397.7	\$ 14,040.4	\$ 12,420.8
Average earning assets (AEA) <sup>(2)</sup>	14,319.5	12,916.2	11,362.7
<b>Statistical Data</b>			
Net finance revenue (interest and rental income, net of interest and depreciation expense) (NFR)	\$ 562.7	\$ 573.2	\$ 253.1
Net finance margin (NFR as a % of AEA)	3.93%	4.44%	2.23%
Pretax return on AEA	2.23%	2.82%	2.35%
New business volume	\$ 6,201.6	\$ 6,244.9	\$ 5,862.9
Factoring volume	\$ 26,702.5	\$ 25,712.2	\$ 25,123.9

<sup>(1)</sup> Non-GAAP measurement, see table at the beginning of this section for a reconciliation of non-GAAP to GAAP financial information.

<sup>(2)</sup> AEA is lower than AFR as it is reduced by the average credit balances for factoring clients.

**Item 7: Management's Discussion and Analysis**

**Table of Contents**

56 CIT ANNUAL REPORT 2014

Pre-tax income for 2014 reflects strong asset growth, offset by higher credit costs, lower yields in certain portfolios and additional costs related to the August 1, 2014 acquisition of Direct Capital. Pre-tax income includes accelerated debt FSA accretion, which reduced profitability in 2013 and 2012 by \$9 million and \$436 million, respectively. Excluding accelerated debt FSA accretion, pre-tax income declined from 2012 to 2013 as the benefit from higher assets and lower funding costs were offset by significantly lower other income, primarily lower gains on asset sales and lower net FSA accretion.

The growth in Financing and Leasing Assets was driven by solid new loan and lease volumes, supplemented by the acquisition of approximately \$540 million of financing and leasing assets in Direct Capital that are reflected in the Equipment Finance division. New business volume was down slightly from 2013, as the decline in Corporate Finance activity offset increases in Equipment Finance and Real Estate Finance.

Financing and leasing assets in Corporate Finance totaled \$6.9 billion at December 31, 2014, up slightly from December 31, 2013 and from \$6.6 billion at December 31, 2012. Equipment Finance assets grew to \$5.0 billion from \$4.3 billion at December 31, 2013, reflecting the Direct Capital acquisition, and from \$3.8 billion at December 31, 2012. Real Estate Finance loans totaled \$1.8 billion at December 31, 2014, up from \$1.6 billion and \$0.6 billion at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Commercial Services factoring receivables and loans of \$2.6 billion were up from \$2.3 billion at each of December 31, 2013 and 2012.

## Edgar Filing: CIT GROUP INC - Form 10-K

CIT Bank originated the vast majority of the U.S. funded loan and lease volume in each of the periods presented. At December 31, 2014, over 75% of this segment's financing and leasing assets were in the Bank.

New business pricing in each of our units remains competitive, and was relatively consistent throughout 2014.

Highlights included:

- NFR was down slightly from 2013 and up from 2012. Because of the significant impact accelerated deb