

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

Form 10-Q

August 03, 2018

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

x Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2018

or

o Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 1-6300

---

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

---

Pennsylvania	23-6216339
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

200 South Broad Street	19102
Philadelphia, PA	
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)
Registrant's telephone number, including area code (215) 875-0700	

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically, and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company" and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	x	Accelerated filer	o
Non-accelerated filer	o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	o
		Emerging growth company	o

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. o

Indicate by check mark whether registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common shares of beneficial interest, \$1.00 par value per share, outstanding at July 30, 2018: 70,452,612

---

Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST

CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited):</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets—June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations—Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income—Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017</u>	4
<u>Consolidated Statement of Equity—Six Months Ended June 30, 2018</u>	5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—Six Months Ended June 30, 2018 and 2017</u>	6
<u>Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
Item 2. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	19
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	41
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	42
<u>PART II—OTHER INFORMATION</u>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	43
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	43
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	43
Item 3. Not Applicable	—
Item 4. Not Applicable	—
Item 5. Not Applicable	—
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	44
<u>Signatures</u>	45

Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

Except as the context otherwise requires, references in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to “we,” “our,” “us,” the “Company” and “PREIT” refer to Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust and its subsidiaries, including our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, L.P. References in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to “PREIT Associates” or the “Operating Partnership” refer to PREIT Associates, L.P.

---

Table of Contents

## Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	June 30, 2018 (unaudited)	December 31, 2017
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
INVESTMENTS IN REAL ESTATE, at cost:		
Operating properties	\$3,156,877	\$3,180,212
Construction in progress	117,503	113,609
Land held for development	5,881	5,881
Total investments in real estate	3,280,261	3,299,702
Accumulated depreciation	(1,144,291 )	(1,111,007 )
Net investments in real estate	2,135,970	2,188,695
INVESTMENTS IN PARTNERSHIPS, at equity:	106,945	216,823
<b>OTHER ASSETS:</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	42,198	15,348
Tenant and other receivables (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$7,491 and \$7,248 at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively)	30,708	38,166
Intangible assets (net of accumulated amortization of \$14,294 and \$13,117 at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively)	17,857	17,693
Deferred costs and other assets, net	122,221	112,046
Assets held for sale	15,874	—
Total assets	\$2,471,773	\$2,588,771
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Mortgage loans payable, net	\$1,056,686	\$1,056,084
Term Loans, net	546,919	547,758
Revolving Facilities	—	53,000
Tenants' deposits and deferred rent	13,742	11,446
Distributions in excess of partnership investments	94,639	97,868
Fair value of derivative liabilities	—	20
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	69,445	61,604
Total liabilities	1,781,431	1,827,780
<b>COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 6):</b>		
<b>EQUITY:</b>		
Series B Preferred Shares, \$.01 par value per share; 25,000 preferred shares authorized; 3,450 Series B Preferred Shares issued and outstanding at each of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017; liquidation preference of \$86,250	35	35
Series C Preferred Shares, \$.01 par value per share; 25,000 preferred shares authorized; 6,900 Series C Preferred Shares issued and outstanding at each of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017; liquidation preference of \$172,500	69	69
Series D Preferred Shares, \$.01 par value per share; 25,000 preferred shares authorized; 5,000 Series D Preferred Shares issued and outstanding at each of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017; liquidation preference of \$125,000	50	50
Shares of beneficial interest, \$1.00 par value per share; 200,000 shares authorized; 70,450 and 69,983 issued and outstanding shares at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively	70,450	69,983
Capital contributed in excess of par	1,667,302	1,663,966
Accumulated other comprehensive income	14,642	7,226

Edgar Filing: PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST - Form 10-Q

Distributions in excess of net income	(1,192,770 )	(1,117,290 )
Total equity—Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust	559,778	624,039
Noncontrolling interest	130,564	136,952
Total equity	690,342	760,991
Total liabilities and equity	\$2,471,773	\$2,588,771

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

1

---

Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2018	2017	June 30, 2018	2017
<b>REVENUE:</b>				
Real estate revenue:				
Base rent	\$55,366	\$56,769	\$111,342	\$114,204
Expense reimbursements	26,231	26,984	53,361	55,081
Percentage rent	161	326	256	630
Lease termination revenue	7,090	1,791	7,121	2,272
Other real estate revenue	2,274	2,540	4,435	4,647
Total real estate revenue	91,122	88,410	176,515	176,834
Other income	851	840	1,740	1,680
Total revenue	91,973	89,250	178,255	178,514
<b>EXPENSES:</b>				
Operating expenses:				
Property operating expenses:				
CAM and real estate taxes	(27,347 )	(28,261 )	(56,743 )	(58,213 )
Utilities	(3,804 )	(4,140 )	(7,713 )	(7,963 )
Other property operating expenses	(2,908 )	(2,825 )	(6,308 )	(6,030 )
Total property operating expenses	(34,059 )	(35,226 )	(70,764 )	(72,206 )
Depreciation and amortization	(33,356 )	(32,928 )	(67,386 )	(64,686 )
General and administrative expenses	(9,396 )	(9,232 )	(19,528 )	(18,273 )
Provision for employee separation expenses	(395 )	(1,053 )	(395 )	(1,053 )
Project costs and other expenses	(139 )	(85 )	(251 )	(397 )
Total operating expenses	(77,345 )	(78,524 )	(158,324 )	(156,615 )
Interest expense, net	(15,982 )	(14,418 )	(30,883 )	(29,756 )
Impairment of assets	(34,286 )	(53,917 )	(34,286 )	(53,917 )
Total expenses	(127,613 )	(146,859 )	(223,493 )	(240,288 )
Loss before equity in income of partnerships, gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee, gains (adjustment to gains) on sales of interests in non operating real estate and gains (losses) on sales of interests in real estate, net	(35,640 )	(57,609 )	(45,238 )	(61,774 )
Equity in income of partnerships	2,571	4,154	5,709	7,890
Gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee	—	—	2,773	—
Gains (adjustment to gains) on sales of interests in non operating real estate	—	486	(25 )	486
Gains (losses) on sales of interests in real estate, net	748	(308 )	748	(365 )
Net loss	(32,321 )	(53,277 )	(36,033 )	(53,763 )
Less: net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	3,400	5,669	3,794	5,721
Net loss attributable to PREIT	(28,921 )	(47,608 )	(32,239 )	(48,042 )
Less: preferred share dividends	(6,844 )	(7,067 )	(13,688 )	(13,272 )
Net loss attributable to PREIT common shareholders	\$(35,765)	\$(54,675)	\$(45,927)	\$(61,314)

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.





Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
(Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Net loss	\$(32,321)	\$(53,277)	\$(36,033)	\$(53,763)
Noncontrolling interest	3,400	5,669	3,794	5,721
Preferred share dividends	(6,844 )	(7,067 )	(13,688 )	(13,272 )
Dividends on unvested restricted shares	(138 )	(88 )	(276 )	(185 )
Net loss used to calculate loss per share—basic and diluted	\$(35,903)	\$(54,763)	\$(46,203)	\$(61,499)
Basic and diluted loss per share:	\$(0.51 )	\$(0.79 )	\$(0.66 )	\$(0.89 )
(in thousands of shares)				
Weighted average shares outstanding—basic	69,747	69,307	69,675	69,263
Effect of common share equivalents <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	—	—
Weighted average shares outstanding—diluted	69,747	69,307	69,675	69,263

The Company had net losses used to calculate earnings per share for all periods presented. Therefore, the effects of common share equivalents of 367 for the three months ended June 30, 2018 and 340 and 57 for the six months <sup>(1)</sup> ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, are excluded from the calculation of diluted loss per share for these periods because they would be antidilutive. There were no common share equivalents for the three months ended June 30, 2017.

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
(Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2018	2017	June 30, 2018	2017
Comprehensive income:				
Net loss	\$(32,321)	\$(53,277)	\$(36,033)	\$(53,763)
Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives	2,929	(432)	7,757	1,278
Amortization of settled swaps	264	213	539	338
Total comprehensive loss	(29,128)	(53,496)	(27,737)	(52,147)
Less: comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	3,061	5,693	2,914	5,548
Comprehensive loss attributable to PREIT	\$(26,067)	\$(47,803)	\$(24,823)	\$(46,599)

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

4

---

Table of ContentsPENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY

Six Months Ended

June 30, 2018

(Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars, except per share amounts)	Total Equity	PREIT Shareholders					Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Distributions in Excess of Net Income	Non- controlling interest
		Preferred Shares \$.01 par Series B	Series C	Series D	Shares of Capital Beneficial Interest, \$1.00 Par	Contributed in Excess of Par			
Balance December 31, 2017	\$760,991	\$35	\$69	\$50	\$69,983	\$1,663,966	\$7,226	\$(1,117,290)	\$136,952
Net loss	(36,033 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	(32,239 )	(3,794 )
Other comprehensive income	8,296	—	—	—	—	—	7,416	—	880
Shares issued under employee compensation plans, net of shares retired	168	—	—	—	467	(299 )	—	—	—
Amortization of deferred compensation	3,635	—	—	—	—	3,635	—	—	—
Distributions paid to common shareholders (\$0.42 per share)	(29,553 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	(29,553 )	—
Distributions paid to Series B preferred shareholders (\$0.9218 per share)	(3,180 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,180 )	—
Distributions paid to Series C preferred shareholders (\$0.90 per share)	(6,210 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	(6,210 )	—
Distributions paid to Series D preferred shareholders (\$0.8594 per share)	(4,298 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	(4,298 )	—
Noncontrolling interests: Distributions paid to Operating Partnership unit holders (\$0.42 per unit)	(3,474 )	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,474 )
Balance June 30, 2018	\$690,342	\$35	\$69	\$50	\$70,450	\$1,667,302	\$14,642	\$(1,192,770)	\$130,564

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of ContentsPENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars)	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2018	2017
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$(36,033)	\$(53,763)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation	61,606	60,126
Amortization	7,134	5,952
Straight-line rent adjustments	(1,132 )	(1,356 )
Provision for doubtful accounts	1,747	854
Non-cash lease termination revenue	(4,200 )	—
Amortization of deferred compensation	3,635	3,119
Adjustment to gains (gains) on sales of interests in non operating real estate	25	(486 )
(Gains) losses on sales of interests in real estate, net	(748 )	365
Equity in income of partnerships	(5,709 )	(7,890 )
Gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee	(2,773 )	—
Cash distributions from partnerships	3,815	6,965
Impairment of assets	34,286	53,917
Change in assets and liabilities:		
Net change in other assets	9,041	8,113
Net change in other liabilities	4,412	(4,753 )
Net cash provided by operating activities	75,106	71,163
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Investments in consolidated real estate acquisitions	(11,400 )	—
Distribution of financing proceeds from equity method investee	123,000	—
Cash proceeds from sales of real estate	1,636	45,922
Cash distributions from partnerships of proceeds from real estate sold	19,727	—
Investments in partnerships	(31,411 )	(38,259 )
Investments in real estate improvements	(17,187 )	(23,214 )
Additions to construction in progress	(22,373 )	(57,389 )
Capitalized leasing costs	(8,941 )	(3,090 )
Additions to leasehold improvements and corporate fixed assets	(31 )	(471 )
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	53,020	(76,501 )
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Net proceeds from issuance of preferred shares	—	166,310
Borrowing from (repayment of) mortgage loans	10,185	(150,000)
Net repayments of revolving facility	(53,000 )	55,000
Dividends paid to common shareholders	(29,553 )	(29,291 )
Dividends paid to preferred shareholders	(13,688 )	(12,687 )
Distributions paid to Operating Partnership unit holders and noncontrolling interest	(3,474 )	(3,491 )
Principal installments on mortgage loans	(9,433 )	(8,118 )
Payment of deferred financing costs	(6,514 )	(71 )
Value of shares of beneficial interest issued	846	1,148
Value of shares retired under equity incentive plans, net of shares issued	(678 )	(1,293 )
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(105,309 )	17,507
Net change in cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash	22,817	12,169
Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash, beginning of period	33,953	29,865

Cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash, end of period	\$56,770	\$42,034
--	----------	----------

See accompanying notes to the unaudited consolidated financial statements.

6

---

Table of Contents

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST  
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
June 30, 2018

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Nature of Operations

Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust (“PREIT” or the “Company”) prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations, although we believe that the included disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Our unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited financial statements and the notes thereto included in PREIT’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017. In our opinion, all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly our consolidated financial position, the consolidated results of our operations, consolidated statements of other comprehensive income, consolidated statements of equity and our consolidated statements of cash flows are included. The results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results for the full year.

PREIT, a Pennsylvania business trust founded in 1960 and one of the first equity real estate investment trusts (“REITs”) in the United States, has a primary investment focus on retail shopping malls located in the eastern half of the United States, primarily in the Mid-Atlantic region. Our portfolio currently consists of a total of 28 properties operating in nine states, including 21 shopping malls, four other retail properties and three development or redevelopment properties. We have one property under redevelopment classified as “retail” (redevelopment of The Gallery at Market East into Fashion District Philadelphia (“Fashion District Philadelphia”). Two properties in our portfolio are classified as under development; however, we do not currently have any activity occurring at these properties. We also have one undeveloped land parcel located in Gainesville, Florida that is classified as held-for-sale as of June 30, 2018.

We hold our interest in our portfolio of properties through our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, L.P. (“PREIT Associates” or the “Operating Partnership”). We are the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of June 30, 2018, we held an 89.5% controlling interest in the Operating Partnership, and consolidated it for reporting purposes. The presentation of consolidated financial statements does not itself imply that the assets of any consolidated entity (including any special-purpose entity formed for a particular project) are available to pay the liabilities of any other consolidated entity, or that the liabilities of any consolidated entity (including any special-purpose entity formed for a particular project) are obligations of any other consolidated entity.

Pursuant to the terms of the partnership agreement of the Operating Partnership, each of the limited partners has the right to redeem such partner’s units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (“OP Units”) for cash or, at our election, we may acquire such OP Units in exchange for our common shares on a one-for-one basis, in some cases beginning one year following the respective issue dates of the OP Units and in other cases immediately. If all of the outstanding OP Units held by limited partners had been redeemed for cash as of June 30, 2018, the total amount that would have been distributed would have been \$90.9 million, which is calculated using our June 29, 2018 (which was the last trading day in the second quarter of 2018) closing price on the New York Stock Exchange of \$10.99 per share multiplied by the number of outstanding OP Units held by limited partners, which was 8,272,636 as of June 30, 2018.

We provide management, leasing and real estate development services through two of our subsidiaries: PREIT Services, LLC (“PREIT Services”), which generally develops and manages properties that we consolidate for financial

reporting purposes, and PREIT-RUBIN, Inc. (“PRI”), which generally develops and manages properties that we do not consolidate for financial reporting purposes, including properties owned by partnerships in which we own an interest and properties that are owned by third parties in which we do not have an interest. PREIT Services and PRI are consolidated. PRI is a taxable REIT subsidiary, as defined by federal tax laws, which means that it is able to offer an expanded menu of services to tenants without jeopardizing our continuing qualification as a REIT under federal tax law.

We evaluate operating results and allocate resources on a property-by-property basis, and do not distinguish or evaluate our consolidated operations on a geographic basis. Due to the nature of our operating properties, which involve retail shopping, we have concluded that our individual properties have similar economic characteristics and meet all other aggregation criteria. Accordingly, we have aggregated our individual properties into one reportable segment. In addition, no single tenant accounts for 10% or more of consolidated revenue, and none of our properties are located outside the United States.

## Table of Contents

### Fair Value

Fair value accounting applies to reported balances that are required or permitted to be measured at fair value under existing accounting pronouncements. Fair value measurements are determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, these accounting requirements establish a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access.

Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs might include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates, and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, and are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. We utilize the fair value hierarchy in our accounting for derivatives (Level 2) and financial instruments (Level 2) and in our reviews for impairment of real estate assets (Level 3) and goodwill (Level 3).

### New Accounting Developments

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The objective of this new standard is to establish a single comprehensive model for entities to use in accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers. The core principle of this new standard is that an entity recognizes revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration that the entity expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No 2016-08, which updates Topic 606 to clarify principal versus agent considerations (reporting revenue gross versus net). The adoption of this new standard did not have a significant impact on our consolidated financial statements. We adopted the standard effective January 1, 2018 using the modified retrospective approach, which requires a cumulative adjustment as of the date of the adoption, if applicable. We did not record any such cumulative adjustment in connection with the implementation of the new pronouncement.

The new revenue recognition standard will not have a material effect on our property revenues, the majority of which are subject to accounting guidance for leases, and will be subject to ASC 2016-02 when we adopt that new standard effective January 1, 2019 (see below). We recognize revenue for property operations when earned. Property operating revenues are disaggregated on the consolidated statement of operations into the categories of base rent, expense reimbursements, percentage rent, lease termination revenue and other real estate revenue, primarily in the amounts that correspond to these different categories as documented in various tenant leases. The types of our revenues that



will be impacted by the new standard include property management revenues for services performed for third-party owned properties and for certain of our joint ventures, and certain billings to tenants for reimbursement of property marketing expenses. We expect that the amount and timing of the revenues that are impacted by this standard will be generally consistent with our previous measurement methodology and pattern of recognition.

Revenue from the reimbursement of marketing expenses, which is recorded in other real estate revenues in the consolidated statement of operations, is generated through tenant leases that require tenants to reimburse a defined amount of property marketing expenses. Our contract performance obligations are fulfilled throughout the calendar year when marketing expenditures are made for each property. Payments from the tenants are made on a regular periodic basis (usually monthly) as agreed upon within the respective leases. We aggregate the tenant payments for each property and defer income recognition if the reimbursements are lower than the aggregate marketing expenditures through that date. Deferred marketing reimbursement income is recorded in tenants' deposits and deferred rent on the consolidated balance sheet, and was \$0.9 million and \$0.3 million as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively. The marketing reimbursements are recognized as revenue at the time that the marketing expenditures occur. Marketing reimbursement revenue was \$0.6 million and \$0.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

Table of Contents

Property management revenue from management and development activities is generated through management contracts with third party owners of real estate properties or with certain of our joint ventures, and is recorded in other income in the consolidated statement of operations. In the case of management fees, our contract performance obligations are fulfilled at the time the management services are performed, which is usually on a monthly basis. Payments from the third party owners or joint ventures are usually made every month and generally not received in advance. Revenue is recognized on a monthly basis. In the case of development fees, these revenues are generated through development agreements with third parties or joint ventures. Our contract performance obligations are fulfilled each month as we perform certain stipulated development activities. Payments are generally made monthly, correspond to the volume of development activity or spending on the respective project and are not usually received in advance. Development fees are recognized as revenue, and are usually based upon spending levels or other activities, as defined in the respective agreements. Property management fee revenue was \$0.2 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, and \$0.3 million for each of the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017. Development fee revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 was \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, and was \$0.4 million for each of the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017.

In February 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-05, Other Income - Gains and Losses from the Derecognition of Nonfinancial Assets (Subtopic 610-20): Clarifying the Scope of Asset Derecognition Guidance. ASU 2017-05 focuses on recognizing gains and losses from the transfer of nonfinancial assets with noncustomers. It provides guidance as to the definition of an “in substance nonfinancial asset,” and provides guidance for sales of real estate, including partial sales. The Company adopted this new guidance effective January 1, 2018. This new guidance did not have a significant impact on our financial statements because all previous property sales were considered to be complete contracts and the related practical expedient was elected. We expect that future sale transactions will likely meet the criteria for full gain recognition on sale if they are structured similarly to previous sale transactions. This treatment is not different from our historical position when selling our entire interest in real estate properties; however, this historical treatment could be different in future partial sale transactions, should they occur.

In August 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-12, Derivatives and Hedging: Targeted Improvements to Accounting for Hedging Activities. The purpose of this updated guidance is to better align a company’s financial reporting for hedging activities with the economic objectives of those activities. ASU 2017-12 is effective for public business entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, with early adoption, including adoption in an interim period, permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2017-12 on January 1, 2018, utilizing a modified retrospective transition method in which the Company recognized the cumulative effect of the change on the opening balance of each affected component of equity in the statement of financial position as of January 1, 2018 (the date of adoption). The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In November 2016 the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230), which provides guidance on the presentation of restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents within the statement of cash flows. Accordingly, amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents should be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. The Company adopted this standard effective January 1, 2018. The adoption of ASU No. 2016-18 changed the presentation of the statement of cash flows for the Company to provide additional details regarding changes in restricted cash and we utilized a retrospective transition method for each period presented within financial statements. In applying the retrospective transition method, net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2017 decreased by \$3.0 million as the change in escrow accounts is now included directly in net change in cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash. See note 5 for details regarding cash and restricted cash as presented within the consolidated statement of cash flows.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. ASU 2016-15 is intended to reduce diversity in the practice of how certain transactions

are classified in the statement of cash flows, including classification guidance for distributions received from equity method investments. The Company adopted this new standard effective January 1, 2018 using the retrospective transition method. The statement of cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2017 has been restated to reflect the adoption of ASU 2016-15. Upon adoption, we changed the prior period presentation of the statement of cash flows for \$7.0 million of cash distributions from partnerships that was previously presented within net cash used in investing activities to now be reflected within net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2017 using the nature of the distribution approach.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments - Credit Losses, which introduces new guidance for an approach based on expected losses to estimate credit losses on certain types of financial instruments, and will affect our accounting for trade receivables and notes receivable. We will adopt this new standard on January 1, 2020. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of the new standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842). ASU 2016-02 will result in lessees recognizing most leased assets and corresponding lease liabilities on the balance sheet. Leases of land and other arrangements where we are the lessee will be recognized on our balance sheet. Lessor accounting for us and for our equity method investments will remain substantially similar to the current accounting. Leasing costs that are eligible to be capitalized as initial direct costs are also limited by ASU 2016-02; such costs totaled approximately \$6.1 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, of which \$0.7 million and \$1.0 million, for December 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively, represented leasing commissions paid to internal personnel for specific lease transactions, the capitalization of which is expected to continue to be permissible under the updated Topic 842. We will adopt ASU 2016-02 on January 1, 2019 using the modified retrospective approach required by the standard. We are currently evaluating the impact that the adoption of the new standard will have on our consolidated financial statements.

**2. REAL ESTATE ACTIVITIES**

Investments in real estate as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 were comprised of the following:

(in thousands of dollars)	As of June 30, 2018	As of December 31, 2017
Buildings, improvements and construction in progress	\$2,801,427	\$ 2,808,622
Land, including land held for development	478,834	491,080
Total investments in real estate	3,280,261	3,299,702
Accumulated depreciation	(1,144,291 )	(1,111,007 )
Net investments in real estate	\$2,135,970	\$ 2,188,695

**Capitalization of Costs**

The following table summarizes our capitalized interest, compensation, including commissions, and real estate taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30, 2018		Six Months Ended June 30, 2017	
<b>Development/Redevelopment Activities:</b>				
Interest	\$ 1,281	\$ 1,538	\$ 2,907	\$ 2,969
Compensation, including commissions	277	348	715	697
Real estate taxes	216	61	380	154

**Leasing Activities:**

Compensation, including commissions	1,769	1,423	3,941	3,090
-------------------------------------	-------	-------	-------	-------

**Acquisitions**

In June 2018, we purchased certain real estate and related improvements at Valley Mall in Hagerstown, Maryland for \$11.4 million.

#### Dispositions

In June 2018, we sold an operating restaurant located on an outparcel at Magnolia Mall in Florence, South Carolina for \$1.7 million. We recorded a gain of \$0.7 million on this sale.

#### Impairment of Assets

In connection with the preparation of our financial statements as of and for the period ended June 30, 2018, we recorded a loss on impairment of assets on Wyoming Valley Mall, in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania of \$32.2 million as we determined that the pending

Table of Contents

closure of two anchor stores at the property (as further discussed in Note 4) was a triggering event, leading us to conduct an analysis of possible impairment at this property. Based upon our estimates, we determined that the estimated undiscounted cash flows, net of capital expenditures for the property, were less than the carrying value of the property, and recorded a loss on impairment of assets after determining that the fair value was less than the carrying value. Our fair value analysis was based on discounted estimated future cash flows at the property, using a discount rate of 10.5% and a terminal capitalization rate of 9.0% for Wyoming Valley Mall, which was determined using management's assessment of property operating performance and general market conditions and were classified in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

In May 2018, we recorded a loss on impairment of assets on a land parcel located in Gainesville, Florida of \$2.1 million in connection with negotiations with the potential buyer of the property. In connection with these negotiations, we determined that the expected proceeds from the sale of the property are expected to be less than the carrying amount, which we concluded was a triggering event, leading us to conduct an analysis of possible impairment at this property. Based upon the negotiations, we determined that the estimated undiscounted cash flows, net of capital expenditures for the property, were less than the carrying value of the property, and recorded a loss on impairment of assets. This land parcel is classified as held-for-sale in our consolidated balance sheet.

### 3. INVESTMENTS IN PARTNERSHIPS

The following table presents summarized financial information of the equity investments in our unconsolidated partnerships as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017:

(in thousands of dollars)	June 30, 2018	December 31, 2017
<b>ASSETS:</b>		
Investments in real estate, at cost:		
Operating properties	\$565,907	\$ 612,689
Construction in progress	370,051	293,102
Total investments in real estate	935,958	905,791
Accumulated depreciation	(204,723 )	(202,424 )
Net investments in real estate	731,235	703,367
Cash and cash equivalents	33,017	26,158
Deferred costs and other assets, net	31,950	34,345
Total assets	796,202	763,870
<b>LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS' INVESTMENT:</b>		
Mortgage loans payable, net	510,820	513,139
FDP Term Loan, net	247,645	—
Other liabilities	41,882	37,971
Total liabilities	800,347	551,110
Net investment	(4,145 )	212,760
Partners' share	(2,330 )	106,886
PREIT's share	(1,815 )	105,874
Excess investment <sup>(1)</sup>	14,121	13,081
Net investments and advances	\$ 12,306	\$ 118,955
Investment in partnerships, at equity	\$ 106,945	\$ 216,823
Distributions in excess of partnership investments	(94,639 )	(97,868 )

Net investments and advances	\$12,306	\$ 118,955
------------------------------	----------	------------

---

Excess investment represents the unamortized difference between our investment and our share of the equity in the  
(1) underlying net investment in the unconsolidated partnerships. The excess investment is amortized over the life of the properties, and the amortization is included in “Equity in income of partnerships.”

11

---

Table of Contents

We record distributions from our equity investments using the nature of the distribution approach.

The following table summarizes our share of equity in income of partnerships for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Real estate revenue	\$23,890	\$29,526	\$49,901	\$57,694
Operating expenses:				
Property operating and other expenses	(7,524 )	(8,413 )	(15,705 )	(17,115 )
Interest expense	(5,834 )	(5,433 )	(11,568 )	(10,806 )
Depreciation and amortization	(4,880 )	(6,800 )	(9,869 )	(12,655 )
Total expenses	(18,238 )	(20,646 )	(37,142 )	(40,576 )
Net income	5,652	8,880	12,759	17,118
Partners' share	(3,089 )	(4,755 )	(6,991 )	(9,246 )
PREIT's share	2,563	4,125	5,768	7,872
Amortization of and adjustments to excess investment, net	8	29	(59 )	18
Equity in income of partnerships	\$2,571	\$4,154	\$5,709	\$7,890

## Dispositions

In February 2018, a partnership in which we hold a 50% ownership share sold its office condominium interest in 907 Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania for \$41.8 million. The partnership recorded a gain on sale of \$5.5 million, of which our share was \$2.8 million, which is recorded in gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. The partnership distributed to us proceeds of \$19.7 million in connection with this transaction.

## Term Loan Activity

In January 2018, we along with The Macerich Company ("Macerich"), our partner in the Fashion District Philadelphia redevelopment project, entered into a \$250.0 million term loan (the "FDP Term Loan"). We own a 50% partnership interest in Fashion District Philadelphia. The FDP Term Loan matures in January 2023, and bears interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 2.00%. PREIT and Macerich have secured the FDP Term Loan by pledging their respective equity interests in the entities that own Fashion District Philadelphia. The entire \$250.0 million available under the FDP Term Loan was drawn during the first quarter of 2018, and we received an aggregate of \$123.0 million as distributions of our share of the draws.

## Mortgage Activity

In February 2018, the mortgage loan secured by Pavilion at Market East in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania was amended and extended to February 2021 and bears interest at a variable rate of LIBOR plus 2.85%. We own a 40% partnership interest in Pavilion at Market East, which owns non-operating land held for development.

In March 2018, the unconsolidated partnership that owns Gloucester Premium Outlets in Blackwood, New Jersey, in which we own a 25% partnership interest, entered into a \$86.0 million interest only mortgage loan secured by the property, with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 1.50% and a maturity date of March 2022, with one option of the



unconsolidated partnership to extend by 12 months. The proceeds were used to repay the existing \$84.1 million mortgage loan plus accrued interest.

Table of Contents

## Significant Unconsolidated Subsidiary

We have a 50% ownership interest in Lehigh Valley Associates L.P. (“LVA”), which met the definition of a significant unconsolidated subsidiary for the year ended December 31, 2016. LVA did not meet the definition of a significant subsidiary as of or for the year ended December 31, 2017. The financial information of LVA is included in the amounts above. Summarized balance sheet information as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, and summarized statement of operations information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 for this entity, which is accounted for using the equity method, are as follows:

(in thousands of dollars)	As of			
	June 30, 2018	December 31, 2017		
Summarized balance sheet information				
Total assets	\$ 50,752	\$ 43,850		
Mortgage loan payable, net	197,139	199,451		
			Three Months Ended June 30,	Six Months Ended June 30,
(in thousands of dollars)	2018	2017	2018	2017
Summarized statement of operations information				
Revenue	\$8,472	\$8,647	\$17,604	\$17,456
Property operating expenses	(2,124 )	(2,582 )	(4,529 )	(4,484 )
Interest expense	(2,052 )	(1,861 )	(4,097 )	(3,731 )
Net income	3,616	3,059	7,642	7,262
PREIT’s share of equity in income of partnership	1,808	1,529	3,821	3,631

## 4. FINANCING ACTIVITY

## Credit Agreements

As of June 30, 2018, we have entered into two credit agreements (collectively, as amended, the “Credit Agreements”): (1) the 2018 Credit Agreement, which, as described in more detail below, includes (a) the 2018 Revolving Facility, and (b) the 2018 Term Loan Facility, and (2) the 2014 7-Year Term Loan. As further discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, as of that date, we had entered into four credit agreements : (1) the 2013 Revolving Facility, (2) the 2014 7-Year Term Loan, (3) the 2014 5-Year Term Loan, and (4) the 2015 5-Year Term Loan. The 2018 Term Loan Facility and the 2014 7-Year Term Loan are collectively referred to as the “Term Loans.”

On May 24, 2018, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement (the “2018 Credit Agreement”) with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, U.S. Bank National Association, Citizens Bank, N.A., and the other financial institutions signatory thereto, for an aggregate \$700.0 million senior unsecured facility consisting of (i) a \$400 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the “2018 Revolving Facility”), which replaced the our previously existing \$400 million revolving credit agreement (the “2013 Revolving Facility”), and (ii) a \$300 million term loan facility (the “2018 Term Loan Facility”), which was used to pay off a previously existing \$150 million five year term loan (the “2014 5-Year Term Loan”), and a second \$150 million five year term loan (the “2015 5-Year Term Loan” and, collectively with the 2014 5-Year Term Loan, the “5-Year Term Loans”). The maturity date of the 2018 Revolving Facility is May 23, 2022, subject to two six-month extensions at our election, and the maturity date of the 2018 Term Loan Facility is May 23, 2023. In connection with this activity, we recorded accelerated amortization of financing costs of \$0.4 million.

On June 5, 2018, we entered into the Fifth Amendment (the “Amendment”) to the 2014 7-Year Term Loan with Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and the other financial institutions signatory to the Amendment. The Amendment was entered into to make certain provisions of the 2014 7-Year Term Loan consistent with the 2018 Credit Agreement. Among other things, the Amendment (i) adds and updates certain definitions and provisions, including tax-related provisions, relating to foreign lenders under the 2014 7-Year Term Loan, (ii) updates the definition of “Existing Credit Agreement” to refer to the 2018 Credit Agreement, which updates the cross defaults between the 2014 7-Year Term Loan and the 2018 Credit Agreement (replacing such cross defaults to the agreements the 2018 Credit Agreement replaced), (iii) adds and amends provisions consistent with those provided in the 2018 Credit Agreement for determining an alternative rate of interest to LIBOR, when and if required, and (iv) adjusts or eliminates some of the covenants

Table of Contents

applicable to the Borrower, as defined therein. The Amendment does not extend the maturity date of the 2014 7-Year Term Loan or change the amounts that can be borrowed thereunder.

As of June 30, 2018, we had borrowed the full \$550.0 million available under the Term Loans in the aggregate, and no amounts were borrowed under the 2018 Revolving Facility (with \$14.8 million pledged as collateral for letters of credit at June 30, 2018, reduced to \$5.1 million in July 2018). The carrying value of the Term Loans on our consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2018 is net of \$3.1 million of unamortized debt issuance costs. Following recent property sales, the net operating income (“NOI”) from our remaining unencumbered properties is at a level such that pursuant to the Unencumbered Debt Yield covenant (as described below), the maximum unsecured amount that was available for us to borrow under the 2018 Revolving Facility as of June 30, 2018 was \$239.6 million.

Amounts borrowed under the Credit Agreements, either under the 2018 Revolving Facility or the Term Loans, which may be either LIBOR Loans or Base Rate Loans, bear interest at the rate specified below per annum, depending on our leverage, unless and until we receive an investment grade credit rating and provide notice to the Administrative Agent, as defined therein (the “Rating Date”), after which alternative rates would apply, as described in the 2018 Credit Agreement. In determining our leverage (the ratio of Total Liabilities to Gross Asset Value), the capitalization rate used to calculate Gross Asset Value is (a) 6.50% for each Property having an average sales per square foot of more than \$500 for the most recent period of 12 consecutive months, and (b) 7.50% for any other Property. The 2018 Revolving Facility is subject to a facility fee, which depends on leverage and was 0.30% as of June 30, 2018, and is recorded in interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

Level	Ratio of Total Liabilities to Gross Asset Value	Applicable Margin			
		Revolving Loans that are LIBOR Loans	Revolving Loans that are Base Rate Loans	Term Loans that are LIBOR Loans	Term Loans that are Base Rate Loans
1	Less than 0.450 to 1.00	1.20%	0.20%	1.35%	0.35%
2	Equal to or greater than 0.450 to 1.00 but less than 0.500 to 1.00	1.25%	0.25%	1.45%	0.45%
3	Equal to or greater than 0.500 to 1.00 but less than 0.550 to 1.00 <sup>(1)</sup>	1.30%	0.30%	1.60%	0.60%
4	Equal to or greater than 0.550 to 1.00	1.55%	0.55%	1.90%	0.90%

<sup>(1)</sup>The initial rate in effect under the 2018 Term Loan Facility and as of June 30, 2018 was 1.60% per annum in excess of LIBOR.

The Credit Agreements contain certain affirmative and negative covenants, including, without limitation, requirements that PREIT maintain, on a consolidated basis: (1) Minimum Tangible Net Worth of \$1,463.2 million, plus 75% of the Net Proceeds of all Equity Issuances effected at any time after March 31, 2018; (2) maximum ratio of Total Liabilities to Gross Asset Value of 0.60:1, provided that it will not be a Default if the ratio exceeds 0.60:1 but does not exceed 0.625:1 for more than two consecutive quarters on more than two occasions during the term; (3) minimum ratio of Adjusted EBITDA to Fixed Charges of 1.50:1; (4) minimum Unencumbered Debt Yield of (a) 11.0% through and including June 30, 2020, (b) 11.25% any time after June 30, 2020 through and including June 30, 2021, and (c) 11.50% any time thereafter; (5) minimum Unencumbered NOI to Unsecured Interest Expense of 1.75:1; (6) maximum ratio of Secured Indebtedness to Gross Asset Value of 0.60:1; and (7) Distributions may not exceed (a) with respect to our preferred shares, the amounts required by the terms of the preferred shares, and (b) with respect to our common shares, the greater of (i) 95.0% of Funds From Operations (FFO) and (ii) 110% of REIT taxable income for a fiscal

year. The covenants and restrictions in the Credit Agreements limit our ability to incur additional indebtedness, grant liens on assets and enter into negative pledge agreements, merge, consolidate or sell all or substantially all of its assets, and enter into transactions with affiliates. The Credit Agreements are subject to customary events of default and are cross-defaulted with one another.

As of June 30, 2018, the Borrower was in compliance with all financial covenants in the Credit Agreements.

We may prepay the amounts due under the Credit Agreements at any time without premium or penalty, subject to reimbursement obligations for the lenders' breakage costs for LIBOR borrowings.

Upon the expiration of any applicable cure period following an event of default (except with respect to bankruptcy as described in the next sentence), the lenders may declare all of the obligations in connection with the Credit Agreements immediately due and payable. Upon the occurrence of a voluntary or involuntary bankruptcy proceeding of PREIT, PALP, PRI, any material subsidiary, any subsidiary that owns or leases an Unencumbered Property or certain other subsidiaries, all outstanding amounts would automatically become immediately due and payable.

Interest expense, deferred financing fee amortization and accelerated financing costs related to the Credit Agreements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017 were as follows:

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
(in thousands of dollars)	2018	2017	2018	2017
Revolving Facilities <sup>(1)</sup>				
Interest expense	\$248	\$645	\$613	\$1,409
Deferred financing amortization	304	199	504	398
Term Loans <sup>(2)</sup>				
Interest expense	4,499	3,712	8,785	6,547
Deferred financing amortization	190	190	381	377
Accelerated financing costs	363	—	363	—

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes the 2018 Revolving Facility and the 2013 Revolving Facility (collectively, the “Revolving Facilities”).

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes the 2018 Term Loan Facility, the 7-Year Term Loan, the 2014 5-Year Term Loan and the 2015 5-Year Term Loan.

## Mortgage Loans

The aggregate carrying values and estimated fair values of mortgage loans based on interest rates and market conditions at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 were as follows:

	June 30, 2018		December 31, 2017	
(in millions of dollars)	Carrying Value	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Fair Value
Mortgage loans <sup>(1)</sup>	\$1,056.7	\$1,012.8	\$1,056.1	\$1,029.7

<sup>(1)</sup> The carrying value of mortgage loans is net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$3.5 million and \$3.4 million as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, respectively.

The mortgage loans contain various customary default provisions. As of June 30, 2018, we were not in default on any of the mortgage loans.

## Mortgage Loan Activity

In January 2018, we extended the \$68.5 million mortgage loan secured by Francis Scott Key Mall in Frederick, Maryland to January 2022, with an additional extension option to January 2023. The rate on the mortgage loan is LIBOR plus 2.60%.

In February 2018, we borrowed an additional \$10.2 million on the mortgage loan secured by Viewmont Mall in Scranton, Pennsylvania. Following this borrowing, this mortgage loan has \$67.2 million outstanding with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 2.35% and a maturity date of March 2021.

As a result of its Chapter 11 bankruptcy filing, the Bon-Ton anchor store at Wyoming Valley Mall in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania is expected to close no later than August 31, 2018. In addition, the Sears store at Wyoming Valley Mall ceased operations on July 15, 2018 and Sears vacated the premises on August 1, 2018, the date its lease expired. We received a notice of transfer of servicing, dated July 9, 2018, from the special servicer to the borrower of the mortgage loan secured by Wyoming Valley Mall. We have not received a notice of default on the loan, which had a balance of \$74.4 million as of June 30, 2018; however, the loan is subject to a cash sweep arrangement as a result of an anchor tenant trigger event.

Interest Rate Risk

We follow established risk management policies designed to limit our interest rate risk on our interest bearing liabilities, as further discussed in note 7 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## 5. CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Cash paid for interest was \$28.9 million (net of capitalized interest of \$2.9 million) and \$27.2 million (net of capitalized interest of \$3.1 million) for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

In our statement of cash flows, we show cash flows on our revolving facility on a net basis. Aggregate borrowings on our Revolving Facilities were \$0.0 million and \$202.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. Aggregate paydowns were \$53.0 million and \$297.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

During the second quarter of 2018, we received the building and improvements formerly occupied by one of our tenants as part of the consideration for the termination of that tenant's lease. We recorded non-cash lease termination income of \$4.2 million in connection with this transaction, which we determined was the fair value of the building and improvements.

Paydowns of the 2014 5-Year Term Loan and the 2015 5-Year Term Loan of \$150.0 million each were made in the six months ended June 30, 2018, which were directly paid from the 2018 Term Loan Facility borrowing and are considered to be non-cash transactions.

The following table provides a summary of cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash reported within the statement of cash flows as of June 30, 2018 and June 30, 2017.

(in thousands of dollars)	June 30,	June 30,
	2018	2017
Cash and cash equivalents	\$42,198	\$19,021
Restricted cash included in other assets	14,572	23,013
Total cash, cash equivalents, and restricted cash shown in the statement of cash flows	\$56,770	\$42,034

Our restricted cash consists of cash held in escrow by banks for real estate taxes and other purposes.

## 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Contractual Obligations

As of June 30, 2018, we had unaccrued contractual and other commitments related to our capital improvement projects and development projects of \$138.5 million, including commitments related to the redevelopment of Fashion District Philadelphia, in the form of tenant allowances and contracts with general service providers and other professional service providers. In addition, our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, has jointly and severally guaranteed the obligations of the joint venture we formed with Macerich to develop Fashion District Philadelphia to commence and complete a comprehensive redevelopment of that property costing not less than \$300.0 million within 48 months after commencement of construction, which was March 14, 2016.

## Provision for Employee Separation Expense

In 2018 and 2017, we terminated the employment of certain employees and officers. In connection with the departure of those employees and officers, we recorded \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million of employee separation expense in the three months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$1.1 million of employee separation



expense in the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively. As of June 30, 2018, we had \$0.7 million of severance accrued and unpaid related to our 2018 and 2017 employee termination related activities.

## 7. DERIVATIVES

In the normal course of business, we are exposed to financial market risks, including interest rate risk on our interest bearing liabilities. We attempt to limit these risks by following established risk management policies, procedures and strategies, including the use of financial instruments such as derivatives. We do not use financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Table of Contents

## Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk

For derivatives that have been designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk, the gain or loss on the derivative is recorded in “Accumulated other comprehensive income” and subsequently reclassified into “Interest expense, net” in the same periods during which the hedged transaction affects earnings. As of June 30, 2018, all of our outstanding derivatives are designated as cash flow hedges. We recognize all derivatives at fair value as either assets or liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

During the next 12 months, we estimate that \$5.1 million will be reclassified as a decrease to interest expense in connection with derivatives. The recognition of these amounts could be accelerated in the event that we repay amounts outstanding on the debt instruments and do not replace them with new borrowings.

## Interest Rate Swaps

As of June 30, 2018, we had interest rate swap agreements outstanding with a weighted average base interest rate of 1.55% on a notional amount of \$798.2 million, maturing on various dates through May 2023, and forward starting interest rate swap agreements with a weighted average interest rate of 2.71% on a notional amount of \$250.0 million, with effective dates from January 2019 to June 2020, and maturity dates in May 2023. We entered into these interest rate swap agreements in order to hedge the interest payments associated with our issuances of variable interest rate long term debt. The interest rate swap agreements are net settled monthly.

Accumulated other comprehensive income as of June 30, 2018 includes a net loss of \$0.2 million relating to forward starting swaps that we cash settled in prior years that are being amortized over 10 year periods commencing on the closing dates of the debt instruments that are associated with these settled swaps through August 2018.

The following table summarizes the terms and estimated fair values of our interest rate swap derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk at June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017 based on the year they mature. The notional values provide an indication of the extent of our involvement in these instruments, but do not represent exposure to credit, interest rate or market risks. In the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, the carrying amount of derivative assets is reflected in “Deferred costs and other assets, net” and the carrying amount of derivative liabilities is reflected in “Accrued expenses and other liabilities.”

Maturity Date	Aggregate			
	Notional Value at June 30, 2018 (in millions of dollars)	Aggregate Fair Value at June 30, 2018 <sup>(1)</sup> (in millions of dollars)	Aggregate Fair Value at December 31, 2017 <sup>(1)</sup> (in millions of dollars)	Weighted Average Interest Rate
Interest Rate Swaps 2018 <sup>(2)</sup>	N/A	N/A	\$ —	
2019	\$ 250.0	\$ 1.0	0.8	1.44 %
2020	100.0	2.7	1.9	1.23 %
2021	398.2	12.6	7.0	1.57 %
2022	—	—	N/A	— %
2023	50.0	0.3	N/A	2.62 %
Forward Starting Swaps				
2023	250.0	0.9	N/A	2.71 %
Total	\$ 1,048.2	\$ 17.5	\$ 9.7	1.83 %

- 
- As of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017, derivative valuations in their entirety were classified in Level 2 of the
- (1) fair value hierarchy and we did not have any significant recurring fair value measurements related to derivative instruments using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3).
  - (2) Three swaps matured in the first six months of 2018. As of December 31, 2017, these swaps had a notional value that totaled \$110.6 million, had a weighted average interest rate of 1.11% and a de minimus fair value.

Table of Contents

The tables below present the effect of derivative financial instruments on accumulated other comprehensive income and on our consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on Derivative Instruments		Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income into Interest Expense		Amount of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Other Comprehensive Income on Derivative Instruments		Amount of Gain or (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income into Interest Expense	
(in millions of dollars)	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships								
Interest rate products	\$3.8	\$(0.9)	\$(0.6)	\$0.7	\$8.9	\$0.1	\$(0.6)	\$1.5

(in millions of dollars)	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Total interest expense presented in the consolidated statements of operations in which the effects of cash flow hedges are recorded	\$(16.0)	\$(14.4)	\$(30.9)	\$(29.8)
Amount of gain (loss) reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into interest expense	\$(0.6)	\$0.7	\$(0.6)	\$1.5

**Credit-Risk-Related Contingent Features**

We have agreements with some of our derivative counterparties that contain a provision pursuant to which, if our entity that originated such derivative instruments defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations. As of June 30, 2018, we were not in default on any of our derivative obligations.

We have an agreement with a derivative counterparty that incorporates the loan covenant provisions of our loan agreement with a lender affiliated with the derivative counterparty. Failure to comply with the loan covenant provisions would result in our being in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

As of June 30, 2018, we did not have any derivatives in a net liability position.

Table of Contents

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following analysis of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our unaudited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included elsewhere in this report.

OVERVIEW

Pennsylvania Real Estate Investment Trust, a Pennsylvania business trust founded in 1960 and one of the first equity real estate investment trusts ("REITs") in the United States, has a primary investment focus on retail shopping malls located in the eastern half of the United States, primarily in the Mid-Atlantic region.

We currently own interests in 28 retail properties, of which 25 are operating properties and three are development or redevelopment properties. The 25 operating properties include 21 shopping malls and four other retail properties, have a total of 20.0 million square feet and are located in nine states. We and partnerships in which we hold an interest own 15.3 million square feet at these properties (excluding space owned by anchors or third parties).

There are 19 operating retail properties in our portfolio that we consolidate for financial reporting purposes. These consolidated properties have a total of 15.9 million square feet, of which we own 12.5 million square feet. The six operating retail properties that are owned by unconsolidated partnerships with third parties have a total of 4.1 million square feet, of which 2.8 million square feet are owned by such partnerships. When we refer to "Same Store" properties, we are referring to properties that have been owned for the full periods presented and exclude properties acquired, disposed of, under redevelopment or designated as non-core during the periods presented. We also have one undeveloped land parcel located in Gainesville, Florida that is classified as held-for-sale as of June 30, 2018.

We have one property under redevelopment classified as "retail" (redevelopment of The Gallery at Market East into Fashion District Philadelphia). We have two properties in our portfolio that are classified as under development; however, we do not currently have any activity occurring at these properties.

Our primary business is owning and operating retail shopping malls, which we do primarily through our operating partnership, PREIT Associates, L.P. ("PREIT Associates" or the "Operating Partnership"). We provide management, leasing and real estate development services through PREIT Services, LLC ("PREIT Services"), which generally develops and manages properties that we consolidate for financial reporting purposes, and PREIT-RUBIN, Inc. ("PRI"), which generally develops and manages properties that we do not consolidate for financial reporting purposes, including properties owned by partnerships in which we own an interest and properties that are owned by third parties in which we do not have an interest. PRI is a taxable REIT subsidiary, as defined by federal tax laws, which means that it is able to offer additional services to tenants without jeopardizing our continuing qualification as a REIT under federal tax law.

Our revenue consists primarily of fixed rental income, additional rent in the form of expense reimbursements, and percentage rent (rent that is based on a percentage of our tenants' sales or a percentage of sales in excess of thresholds that are specified in the leases) derived from our income producing properties. We also receive income from our real estate partnership investments and from the management and leasing services PRI provides.

Net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2018 was \$32.3 million, a decreased loss of \$21.0 million compared to net loss of \$53.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017. Net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2018 was \$36.0 million, a decreased loss of \$17.7 million compared to net loss of \$53.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017. These decreases were primarily due to lower impairment charges recorded in the 2018 periods.

We evaluate operating results and allocate resources on a property-by-property basis, and do not distinguish or evaluate our consolidated operations on a geographic basis. Due to the nature of our operating properties, which involve retail shopping, we have concluded that our individual properties have similar economic characteristics and meet all other aggregation criteria. Accordingly, we have aggregated our individual properties into one reportable segment. In addition, no single tenant accounts for 10% or more of our consolidated revenue, and none of our

properties are located outside the United States.

#### Current Economic and Industry Conditions

Conditions in the economy have caused fluctuations and variations in business and consumer confidence, retail sales, and consumer spending on retail goods. Further, traditional mall tenants, including department store anchors and smaller format retail tenants face

19

---

Table of Contents

significant challenges resulting from changing consumer expectations, the convenience of e-commerce shopping, competition from fast fashion retailers, the expansion of outlet centers, and declining mall traffic, among other factors.

In recent years, there has been an increased level of tenant bankruptcies and store closings by tenants who have been significantly impacted by these factors.

The table below sets forth information related to our tenants in bankruptcy for our consolidated and unconsolidated properties (excluding tenants in bankruptcy at sold properties):

Year	Pre-bankruptcy			Units Closed			
	Number of Tenants (1)	Number of Locations impacted	GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	PREIT's Share of Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(3)</sup> (in thousands)	Number of Locations closed	GLA <sup>(2)</sup>	PREIT's Share of Annualized Gross Rent <sup>(3)</sup> (in thousands)
2018 (Six Months)							
Consolidated properties	5	29	300,374	\$ 4,678	1	7,836	\$ 356
Unconsolidated properties	2	4	4,352	247	—	—	—
Total	5	33	304,726	\$ 4,925	1	7,836	\$ 356
2017 (Full Year)							
Consolidated properties	16	75	341,701	\$ 10,837	27	176,221	\$ 4,809
Unconsolidated properties	9	16	191,538	2,103	9	164,228	1,581
Total	18	91	533,239	\$ 12,940	36	340,449	\$ 6,390

(1) Totals represent number of unique tenants.

(2) Gross Leasable Area ("GLA") in square feet.

(3) Includes our share of tenant gross rent from partnership properties based on PREIT's ownership percentage in the respective equity method investments as of June 30, 2018.

Table of Contents

## Anchor Replacements

In recent years, through property dispositions, proactive store recaptures, lease terminations and other activities, we have made efforts to reduce our risks associated with certain department store concentrations. In December 2016, we acquired the Sears property at Woodland Mall and in 2017 we recaptured the Sears premises at Capital City Mall and Magnolia Mall (we have since re-leased the Capital City Mall and Magnolia Mall spaces). Also in 2017, we purchased the Macy's locations at Moorestown Mall, Valley View Mall and Valley Mall locations. We have entered into a ground lease for the land associated with the Macy's store located at Plymouth Meeting Mall, and are in negotiations with replacement tenants for that location.

The table below sets forth information related to our anchor replacement program:

Property	Former Anchors				Replacement Tenant(s)		
	Name	GLA '000's	Date Store Closed	Decommission Date	Name	GLA '000's	Actual/Targeted Occupancy Date
<b>Completed:</b>							
Exton Square Mall	Kmart	96	Q1 16	Q2 16	Whole Foods	55	Q1 18
Magnolia Mall	Sears	91	Q1 17	Q2 17	Burlington	46	Q3 17
					HomeGoods	22	Q2 18
					Five Below	8	Q2 18
<b>In process:</b>							
Valley Mall	Macy's	120	Q1 16	Q4 17	One Life Fitness	70	Q4 18
					Tilt Studio	48	Q3 18
					BonTon	123	Q1 18
Moorestown Mall	Macy's	200	Q1 17	Q2 17	Belk	123	Q4 18
					Sierra Trading Post	19	Q1 19
					HomeSense	28	Q3 18
					Five Below	9	Q4 18
					TBD	TBD	TBD
Woodland Mall	Sears	313	Q2 17	Q2 17	Von Maur	86	Q4 19
					REI	20	Q2 19
					Restaurants and small shop space	TBD	Q4 19
Plymouth Meeting Mall	Macy's <sup>(1)</sup>	215	Q1 17	Q2 17	Various large format tenants	153	Q4 19
Willow Grove Park	JC Penney	125	Q3 17	Q1 18	Movie theater and entertainment	49	Q3 19
					Restaurant and entertainment space	44	Q4 19

(1) Building and improvements are now owned by us. Property is subject to a ground lease dated June 23, 2017.

In response to anchor store closings and other trends in the retail space, we have been changing the mix of tenants at our properties. We have been reducing the percentage of traditional mall tenants and increasing the share of space dedicated to dining, entertainment, fast fashion, off price, and large format box tenants. Some of these changes may result in the redevelopment of all or a portion of our properties. See “—Capital Improvements, Redevelopment and Development Projects.”



To fund the capital necessary to replace anchors and to maintain a reasonable level of leverage, we expect to use a variety of means available to us, subject to and in accordance with the terms of our Credit Agreements. These steps might include (i) making additional borrowings under our 2018 Revolving Facility, (ii) obtaining construction loans on specific projects, (iii) selling properties or interests in properties with values in excess of their mortgage loans (if applicable) and applying the excess proceeds to fund capital expenditures or for debt reduction, (iv) obtaining capital from joint ventures or other partnerships or arrangements involving our contribution of assets with institutional investors, private equity investors or other REITs, or (v) obtaining equity capital, including through the issuance of common or preferred equity securities if market conditions are favorable, or through other actions.

## Table of Contents

### Capital Improvements, Redevelopment and Development Projects

We might engage in various types of capital improvement projects at our operating properties. Such projects vary in cost and complexity, and can include building out new or existing space for individual tenants, upgrading common areas or exterior areas such as parking lots, or redeveloping the entire property, among other projects. Project costs are accumulated in “Construction in progress” on our consolidated balance sheet until the asset is placed into service, and amounted to \$117.5 million as of June 30, 2018.

In 2014, we entered into a 50/50 joint venture with The Macerich Company (“Macerich”) to redevelop Fashion District Philadelphia. As we redevelop Fashion District Philadelphia, operating results in the short term, as measured by sales, occupancy, real estate revenue, property operating expenses, Net Operating Income (“NOI”) and depreciation, will continue to be affected until the newly constructed space is completed, leased and occupied. Fashion District Philadelphia is scheduled to open in 2019.

In January 2018, we along with Macerich, entered into a \$250.0 million term loan (the “FDP Term Loan”). The initial term of the FDP Term Loan is five years, and bears interest at a variable rate of 2.00% over LIBOR. PREIT and Macerich have secured the FDP Term Loan by pledging their respective equity interests in the entities that own the Fashion District Philadelphia. The entire \$250.0 million available under the FDP Term Loan was drawn during the first quarter of 2018, and we received an aggregate of \$123.0 million as distributions of our share of the draws.

### CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Critical Accounting Policies are those that require the application of management’s most difficult, subjective, or complex judgments, often because of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain and that might change in subsequent periods. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management has made estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management has utilized available information, including our past history, industry standards and the current economic environment, among other factors, in forming its estimates and judgments, giving due consideration to materiality. Management has also considered events and changes in property, market and economic conditions, estimated future cash flows from property operations and the risk of loss on specific accounts or amounts in determining its estimates and judgments. Actual results may differ from these estimates. In addition, other companies may utilize different estimates, which may affect comparability of our results of operations to those of companies in a similar business. The estimates and assumptions made by management in applying Critical Accounting Policies have not changed materially during 2018 or 2017, except as otherwise noted, and none of these estimates or assumptions have proven to be materially incorrect or resulted in our recording any significant adjustments relating to prior periods. We will continue to monitor the key factors underlying our estimates and judgments, but no change is currently expected.

For additional information regarding our Critical Accounting Policies, see “Critical Accounting Policies” in Part II, Item 7 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

### Asset Impairment

Real estate investments and related intangible assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the property might not be recoverable. A property to be held and used is considered impaired only if management’s estimate of the aggregate future cash flows, less estimated capital expenditures, to be generated by the property, undiscounted and without interest charges, are less than the carrying value of the property. This estimate takes into consideration factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of demand, competition and other factors.

The determination of undiscounted cash flows requires significant estimates by management, including the expected course of action at the balance sheet date that would lead to such cash flows. Subsequent changes in estimated undiscounted cash flows arising from changes in the anticipated action to be taken with respect to the property could impact the determination of whether an impairment exists and whether the effects could materially affect our net income. To the extent estimated undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the property, the loss will be measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the property over the estimated fair value of the property. Assessment of our ability to recover certain lease related costs must be made when we have a reason to believe that the tenant might not be able to perform under the terms of the lease as originally expected. This requires us to make estimates as to the recoverability of such costs.

Table of Contents

An other than temporary impairment of an investment in an unconsolidated joint venture is recognized when the carrying value of the investment is not considered recoverable based on evaluation of the severity and duration of the decline in value. To the extent impairment has occurred, the excess carrying value of the asset over its estimated fair value is charged to income.

If there is a triggering event in relation to a property to be held and used, we will estimate the aggregate future cash flows, less estimated capital expenditures, to be generated by the property, undiscounted and without interest charges. In addition, this estimate may consider a probability weighted cash flow estimation approach when alternative courses of action to recover the carrying amount of a long-lived asset are under consideration or when a range of possible values is estimated.

In determining the estimated undiscounted cash flows of the property or properties that are being analyzed for impairment of assets, we take the sum of the estimated undiscounted cash flows, generally assuming a holding period of 10 years, plus a terminal value calculated using the estimated net operating income in the eleventh year and terminal capitalization rates, which through June 30, 2018, ranged from 12.0% to 13.0%.

New Accounting Developments

See note 1 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements for descriptions of new accounting developments.

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We have no material off-balance sheet items other than the unconsolidated partnerships described in note 3 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements and in the “Overview” section above.

Table of Contents

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

## Occupancy

The table below sets forth certain occupancy statistics for our properties as of June 30, 2018 and 2017:

	Occupancy <sup>(1)</sup> at June 30,					
	Consolidated Properties		Unconsolidated Properties <sup>(2)</sup>		Combined <sup>(2)(3)</sup>	
	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
Retail portfolio weighted average:						
Total excluding anchors	90.3 %	90.1 %	92.5 %	90.3 %	90.8 %	90.1 %
Total including anchors	92.7 %	92.7 %	93.9 %	92.1 %	92.9 %	92.7 %
Malls weighted average:						
Total excluding anchors	90.8 %	90.0 %	92.3 %	89.8 %	90.9 %	90.0 %
Total including anchors	93.0 %	92.8 %	94.8 %	93.0 %	93.2 %	92.9 %
Other retail properties	41.9 %	N/A	93.2 %	91.4 %	90.8 %	91.4 %

Occupancy for both periods presented includes all tenants irrespective of the term of their agreements. Fashion

<sup>(1)</sup> District Philadelphia is excluded for 2017 and 2018 because the property is currently partially closed and undergoing a major reconstruction.

We own a 25% to 50% interest in each of our unconsolidated properties, and do not control such properties. Our <sup>(2)</sup> percentage ownership is not necessarily indicative of the legal and economic implications of our ownership interest. See "—Use of Non GAAP Measures" for further details on our ownership interests in our unconsolidated properties.

<sup>(3)</sup> Combined occupancy is calculated by using occupied gross leasable area ("GLA") for consolidated and unconsolidated properties and dividing by total GLA for consolidated and unconsolidated properties.

Table of Contents

## Leasing Activity

The table below sets forth summary leasing activity information with respect to our consolidated and unconsolidated properties for the three months ended June 30, 2018:

		Number	GLA in square feet ("sf")	Term (years)	Initial Rent per square foot ("psf")	Previous Rent psf	Initial Gross Rent Renewal Spread (1)	Gross Rent Spread (2)	Average Rent Renewal Spread (2)	Annualized Tenant Improvements psf (3)
							\$	%	%	
Non Anchor New Leases										
Under 10k square feet ("sf")	Consolidated	30	108,264	6.5	\$49.97					\$ 10.94
	Unconsolidated <sup>(4)</sup>	—	—	—	—					—
Total Under 10k sf		30	108,264	6.5	49.97	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	10.94
Over 10k sf	Consolidated	6	79,598	10.0	36.72	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	6.9
Total New Leases		36	187,862	8.0	\$44.36	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 8.80
Renewal Leases										
Under 10k sf	Consolidated	28	61,585	2.8	\$53.25	\$53.10	\$0.15	0.3 %	7.5 %	\$ —
	Unconsolidated <sup>(4)</sup>	3	15,718	4.9	39.84	42.86	(3.02)	(7.0)%	0.5 %	—
Total Under 10k sf		31	77,303	3.2	\$50.52	\$51.02	\$(0.49)	(1.0)%	6.4 %	\$ —
Total Fixed Rent		31	77,303	3.2	\$50.52	\$51.02	\$(0.49)	(1.0)%	6.4 %	\$ —
Percentage in Lieu	Consolidated	16	30,869	1.5	\$40.28	\$53.70	\$(13.42)	(25.0)%	n/a	—
Total Renewal Leases <sup>(5)</sup>		47	108,172	2.7	\$47.60	\$51.78	\$(4.19)	(8.1)%	6.4 %	\$ —
Total Non Anchor		83	296,034	6.1	\$45.54					

Initial gross rent renewal spread is computed by comparing the initial rent per square foot in the new lease to the final rent per square foot amount in the expiring lease. For purposes of this computation, the rent amount includes

(1) minimum rent, common area maintenance ("CAM") charges, estimated real estate tax reimbursements and marketing charges, but excludes percentage rent. In certain cases, a lower rent amount may be payable for a period of time until specified conditions in the lease are satisfied.

Average rent renewal spread is computed by comparing the average rent per square foot over the new lease term to the final rent per square foot amount in the expiring lease. For purposes of this computation, the rent amount includes minimum rent and fixed CAM charges, but excludes pro rata CAM charges, estimated real estate tax reimbursements, marketing charges and percentage rent.

(2) Tenant improvements and certain other leasing costs are presented as annualized amounts per square foot and are spread uniformly over the initial lease term.

We own a 25% to 50% interest in each of our unconsolidated properties and do not control such properties. Our percentage ownership is not necessarily indicative of the legal and economic implications of our ownership interest. See "—Use of Non-GAAP Measures" for further details on our ownership interests in our unconsolidated properties.

Total renewal leases includes 2 leases and 2,589 square feet of GLA with respect to tenants whose leases were restructured and extended following a bankruptcy filing. Excluding these leases, the initial gross rent renewal spreads were (1.0%) for leases under 10,000 square feet and (6.9%) for all non-anchor leases.



Table of Contents

The table below sets forth summary leasing activity information with respect to our consolidated and unconsolidated properties for the six months ended June 30, 2018:

		Number	GLA in square feet ("sf")	Term (years)	Initial Rent per square foot ("psf")	Previous Rent psf	Initial Gross Rent Renewal Spread <sup>(1)</sup>		Average Rent Renewal Spread <sup>(2)</sup>	Annualized Tenant Improvements psf <sup>(3)</sup>
							\$	%	%	
Non Anchor										
New Leases										
Under 10k square feet ("sf")	Consolidated	48	159,469	6.8	\$46.96					\$ 10.02
	Unconsolidated <sup>(4)</sup>	8	29,429	7.4	39.65					20.94
Total Under 10k sf		56	188,898	6.9	45.82	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	11.85
Over 10k sf	Consolidated	8	102,055	10.0	37.12	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	7.31
Total New Leases		64	290,953	8.0	\$42.77	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	\$ 9.85
Renewal Leases										
Under 10k sf	Consolidated	53	116,042	3.0	\$50.33	\$49.94	\$0.39	0.8 %	6.4 %	\$ —
	Unconsolidated <sup>(4)</sup>	24	60,727	3.5	67.58	69.82	(2.24 )	(3.2 )%	1.8 %	0.58
Total Under 10k sf		77	176,769	3.2	\$56.26	\$56.77	\$(0.51 )	(0.9 )%	4.5 %	\$ 0.22
Over 10k sf	Consolidated	4	109,647	7.3	\$23.82	\$22.68	\$1.14	5.0 %	23.6 %	\$ 4.97
	Unconsolidated <sup>(4)</sup>	1	11,306	1.0	14.15	25.72	(11.57 )	(45.0)%	(45.0)%	—
Total Over 10k sf		5	120,953	6.7	\$22.91	\$22.96	\$(0.05 )	(0.2 )%	15.8 %	\$ 4.91
Total Fixed Rent		82	297,722	4.6	\$42.71	\$43.03	\$(0.33 )	(0.8 )%	7.0 %	\$ 2.99
Percentage in Lieu	Consolidated	30	83,067	1.5	\$32.44	\$42.91	\$(10.47)	(24.4)%	n/a	\$ —
Total Renewal Leases <sup>(5)</sup>		112	380,789	3.9	\$40.47	\$43.01	\$(2.54 )	(5.9 )%	7.0 %	\$ 2.75
Total Non Anchor		176	671,742	5.7	\$41.47					
Anchor										
New Leases										
Renewal Leases	Consolidated	1	102,825	8.0	\$4.73	\$5.38	\$(0.65 )	(12.1)%	n/a	\$ —
Total		1	102,825	8.0	\$4.73					



Table of Contents

- (1) Initial gross rent renewal spread is computed by comparing the initial rent psf in the new lease to the final rent psf amount in the expiring lease. For purposes of this computation, the rent amount includes minimum rent, CAM charges, estimated real estate tax reimbursements and marketing charges, but excludes percentage rent. In certain cases, a lower rent amount may be payable for a period of time until specified conditions in the lease are satisfied.
- (2) Average rent renewal spread is computed by comparing the average rent psf over the new lease term to the final rent psf amount in the expiring lease. For purposes of this computation, the rent amount includes minimum rent and fixed CAM charges, but excludes pro rata CAM charges, estimated real estate tax reimbursements, marketing charges and percentage rent.
- (3) Tenant improvements and certain other leasing costs are presented as annualized amounts per square foot and are spread uniformly over the initial lease term.

- (4) We own a 25% to 50% interest in each of our unconsolidated properties and do not control such properties. Our percentage ownership is not necessarily indicative of the legal and economic implications of our ownership interest. See “—Use of Non-GAAP Measures” for further details on our ownership interests in our unconsolidated properties.

- (5) Total renewal leases includes 7 leases and 11,102 square feet of GLA with respect to tenants whose leases were restructured and extended following a bankruptcy filing. Excluding these leases, the initial gross rent renewal spreads were 0.8% for leases under 10,000 square feet and (4.6%) for all non anchor leases. Excluding these leases, the average rent renewal spreads were 6.4% for leases under 10,000 square feet and 8.6% for all non anchor leases.

Table of Contents

## Overview

Net loss for the three months ended June 30, 2018 was \$32.3 million, a decreased loss of \$21.0 million compared to net loss of \$53.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017. Net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2018 was \$36.0 million, a decreased loss of \$17.7 million compared to net loss of \$53.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017. These decreases were primarily due to lower impairment charges recorded in the 2018 periods.

See “Use of Non-GAAP Measures—Net Operating Income” for the definition and additional discussion about Net Operating Income, a non-GAAP measure.

The following table sets forth our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017.

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended		% Change 2017 to 2018	Six Months Ended		% Change 2017 to 2018
	June 30, 2018	2017		June 30, 2018	2017	
Real estate revenue	\$91,122	\$88,410	3 %	\$176,515	\$176,834	— %
Property operating expenses	(34,059 )	(35,226 )	(3 )%	(70,764 )	(72,206 )	(2 )%
Other income	851	840	1 %	1,740	1,680	4 %
Depreciation and amortization	(33,356 )	(32,928 )	1 %	(67,386 )	(64,686 )	4 %
General and administrative expenses	(9,396 )	(9,232 )	2 %	(19,528 )	(18,273 )	7 %
Provision for employee separation expense	(395 )	(1,053 )	(62 )%	(395 )	(1,053 )	(62 )%
Project costs and other expenses	(139 )	(85 )	64 %	(251 )	(397 )	(37 )%
Interest expense, net	(15,982 )	(14,418 )	11 %	(30,883 )	(29,756 )	4 %
Impairment of assets	(34,286 )	(53,917 )	(36 )%	(34,286 )	(53,917 )	(36 )%
Equity in income of partnerships	2,571	4,154	(38 )%	5,709	7,890	(28 )%
Gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee	—	—	— %	2,773	—	— %
Gains (adjustment to gains) on sales of interest in non operating real estate	—	486	(100 )%	(25 )	486	(105 )%
Gains (losses) on sales of interests in real estate, net	748	(308 )	(343 )%	748	(365 )	(305 )%
Net loss	\$(32,321)	\$(53,277)	(39 )%	\$(36,033)	\$(53,763)	(33 )%

The amounts in the preceding tables reflect our consolidated properties and our unconsolidated properties. Our unconsolidated properties are presented under the equity method of accounting in the line item “Equity in income of partnerships.”

## Real estate revenue

Real estate revenue increased by \$2.7 million, or 3%, in the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017, primarily due to:

an increase of \$5.3 million in same store lease termination revenue, including \$7.1 million from the termination of leases with three tenants during the three months ending June 30, 2018; partially offset by \$1.7 million received from two tenants during the three months ending June 30, 2017; and

an increase of \$0.6 million in same store base rent due to \$1.2 million from net new store openings over the previous twelve months, partially offset by a \$0.4 million decrease related to tenant bankruptcies in 2017 and 2018, as well as a

\$0.2 million decrease related to co-tenancy concessions that resulted from anchor closings; partially offset by

a decrease of \$3.1 million in real estate revenue related to properties sold in 2017; and

a decrease of \$0.3 million in same store common area expense reimbursements, due to a decrease in common area expense (see “—Property Operating Expenses”), as well as lower occupancy at some properties, and rental concessions made to some

Table of Contents

tenants under which the terms of their leases were modified such that they no longer pay expense reimbursements.

Real estate revenue decreased by \$0.3 million, or less than 1%, in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017, primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$6.6 million in real estate revenue related to properties sold in 2017; and

- a decrease of \$0.8 million in same store common area expense reimbursements, due to a decrease in common area expense (see “—Property Operating Expenses”), as well as lower occupancy at some properties and rental concessions made to some tenants under which the terms of their leases were modified such that they no longer pay expense reimbursements; partially offset by

an increase of \$4.9 million in same store lease termination revenue, including \$7.1 million from the termination of leases with three tenants during the six months ending June 30, 2018, partially offset by \$2.1 million received from three tenants during the six months ending June 30, 2017;

an increase of \$1.5 million in same store base rent due to \$2.5 million from net new store openings over the previous twelve months, partially offset by a \$0.6 million decrease related to tenant bankruptcies in 2017 and 2018, as well as a \$0.4 million decrease related to co-tenancy concessions due to anchor closings; and

an increase of \$0.5 million in same store real estate tax reimbursements due to an increase in real estate tax expense (see “—Property Operating Expenses”), partially offset by lower occupancy at some properties and rental concessions made to some tenants under which the terms of their leases were modified such that they no longer pay expense reimbursements.

Property operating expenses

Property operating expenses decreased by \$1.2 million, or 3%, in the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017, primarily due to:

- a decrease of \$1.0 million in property operating expenses related to properties sold in 2017;

- a decrease of \$0.9 million in same store common area maintenance expense, including a decrease of \$0.6 million in housekeeping, maintenance and loss prevention expense due to negotiated rate reductions with the service providers; and

- a decrease of \$0.2 million in same store tenant utility expense due to a combination of lower electric rates and usage compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017; partially offset by

an increase of \$0.9 million in same store real estate tax expense due to a combination of increases in the real estate tax assessment value and the real estate tax rate; and

an increase of \$0.2 million in same store other property expenses, including a \$0.4 million increase in bad debt expense, partially offset by a \$0.3 million decrease in personnel costs. The increase in bad debt expense was due to increased reserves for tenants in bankruptcy and other troubled tenants.

Property operating expenses decreased by \$1.4 million, or 2%, in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017, primarily due to:

• a decrease of \$3.0 million in property operating expenses related to properties sold in 2017; and

a decrease of \$1.0 million in same store common area maintenance expense, including a \$0.7 million decrease in personnel costs and an \$0.8 million decrease in housekeeping, maintenance and loss prevention expense due to negotiated rate reductions with the service providers, partially offset by a \$0.4 million increase in snow removal expense due to higher snow fall amounts across the Mid-Atlantic States, where many of our properties are located; partially offset by

• an increase of \$1.9 million in same store real estate tax expense due to a combination of increases in the real estate tax assessment value and the real estate tax rate; and

## Table of Contents

an increase of \$0.7 million in same store other property expenses, including a \$1.0 million increase in bad debt expense, partially offset by a \$0.5 million decrease in personnel costs. The increase in bad debt expense was due to increased reserves for tenants in bankruptcy and other troubled tenants.

### Depreciation and amortization

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$0.4 million, or 1%, in the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017, primarily due to:

an increase of \$1.1 million due to a higher asset base resulting from capital improvements related to new tenants at our same store properties, as well as accelerated amortization of capital improvements associated with store closings; partially offset by

a decrease of \$0.7 million related to properties sold in 2017.

Depreciation and amortization expense increased by \$2.7 million, or 4%, in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017, primarily due to:

an increase of \$4.2 million due to a higher asset base resulting from capital improvements related to new tenants at our same store properties, as well as accelerated amortization of capital improvements associated with store closings; partially offset by

a decrease of \$1.5 million related to properties sold in 2017.

### General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses increased by \$0.2 million, or 2%, in the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017, and by \$1.3 million, or 7%, in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017, primarily due to increases in short-term incentive compensation expense and long-term deferred compensation amortization, as well as an increase in professional fee expense.

### Interest expense

Interest expense increased by \$1.6 million, or 11%, in the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017. This increase was primarily due to the accelerated financing fees of \$0.4 million recorded June 2018 in connection with the 2018 Credit Agreement and a higher weighted average interest rate, partially offset by greater amounts of capitalized interest in 2018 and lower weighted average debt balances. Our weighted average effective borrowing rate was 4.21% for the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to 3.97% for the three months ended June 30, 2017. Our weighted average debt balance was \$1,606.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2018, compared to \$1,627.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017.

Interest expense increased by \$1.1 million, or 4%, in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017. This increase was primarily due to higher weighted average interest rates and the accelerated amortization of deferred financing fees of \$0.4 million recorded in June 2018 in connection with the 2018 Credit Agreement, partially offset by greater amounts of capitalized interest in 2018 and lower weighted average debt balances. Our weighted average effective borrowing rate was 4.14% for the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to 4.00% for the six months ended June 30, 2017. Our weighted average debt balance was \$1,613.7 million for the six

months ended June 30, 2018, compared to \$1,644.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017.

#### Impairment of Assets

Impairment of assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 consisted of \$32.2 million in connection with Wyoming Valley Mall, Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania and \$2.1 million in connection with sale negotiations with a prospective buyer of an undeveloped land parcel located in Gainesville, Florida.

Impairment of assets for the three and six months ended June 30, 2017 consisted of \$38.4 million in connection with sale negotiations with a prospective buyer of Logan Valley Mall in Altoona, Pennsylvania and \$15.5 million in connection with the marketing for sale of Valley View Mall in La Crosse, Wisconsin.

Table of Contents

Equity in income of partnerships

Equity in income of partnerships decreased by \$1.6 million, or 38%, in the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017, and by \$2.2 million, or 28%, in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017, primarily due to lower rent resulting from the sale of the 801 Market and 907 Market office condominium interests.

Gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee

Gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee was \$2.8 million in the six months ended June 30, 2018, resulting from our 50% share of a \$5.5 million gain on the sale of a condominium interest in 907 Market Street in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania by a partnership in which we hold a 50% ownership interest.

NON-GAAP SUPPLEMENTAL FINANCIAL MEASURES

Overview

The preceding discussion analyzes our financial condition and results of operations in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP, for the periods presented. We also use Net Operating Income (“NOI”) and Funds from Operations (“FFO”) which are non-GAAP financial measures, to supplement our analysis and discussion of our operating performance:

We believe that NOI is helpful to management and investors as a measure of operating performance because it is an indicator of the return on property investment and provides a method of comparing property performance over time. When we use and present NOI, we also do so on a same store (“Same Store NOI”) and non-same store (“Non Same Store NOI”) basis to differentiate between properties that we have owned for the full periods presented and properties acquired, sold, under redevelopment or designated as non-core during those periods. Furthermore, our use and presentation of NOI combines NOI from our consolidated properties and NOI attributable to our share of unconsolidated properties in order to arrive at total NOI. We believe that this is also helpful information because it reflects the pro rata contribution from our unconsolidated properties that are owned through investments accounted for under GAAP as equity in income of partnerships. See “Unconsolidated Properties and Proportionate Financial Information” below.

We believe that FFO is also helpful to management and investors as a measure of operating performance because it excludes various items included in net income that do not relate to or are not indicative of operating performance, such as gains on sales of operating real estate and depreciation and amortization of real estate, among others. In addition to FFO and FFO per diluted share and OP Unit, when applicable, we also present FFO, as adjusted and FFO per diluted share and OP Unit, as adjusted, which we believe is helpful to management and investors because they adjust FFO to exclude items that management does not believe are indicative of operating performance, such as provision for employee separation expense and accelerated amortization of financing costs.

We use both NOI and FFO, or related terms like Same Store NOI and, when applicable, Funds From Operations, as adjusted, for determining incentive compensation amounts under certain of our performance-based executive compensation programs.

NOI and FFO are commonly used non-GAAP financial measures of operating performance in the real estate industry, and we use them as supplemental non-GAAP measures to compare our performance between different periods and to



compare our performance to that of our industry peers. Our computation of NOI, FFO and other non-GAAP financial measures, such as Same Store NOI, Non Same Store NOI, NOI attributable to our share of unconsolidated properties, and FFO, as adjusted, may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures used by our industry peers. None of these measures are measures of performance in accordance with GAAP, and they have limitations as analytical tools. They should not be considered as alternative measures of our net income, operating performance, cash flow or liquidity. They are not indicative of funds available for our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. Please see below for a discussion of these non-GAAP measures and their respective reconciliation to the most directly comparable GAAP measure.

Table of Contents

Unconsolidated Properties and Proportionate Financial Information

The non-GAAP financial measures presented below incorporate financial information attributable to our share of unconsolidated properties. This proportionate financial information is non-GAAP financial information, but we believe that it is helpful information because it reflects the pro rata contribution from our unconsolidated properties that are owned through investments accounted for under GAAP using the equity method of accounting. Under such method, earnings from these unconsolidated partnerships are recorded in our statements of operations prepared in accordance with GAAP under the caption entitled “Equity in income of partnerships.”

To derive the proportionate financial information reflected in the tables below as “unconsolidated,” we multiplied the percentage of our economic interest in each partnership on a property-by-property basis by each line item. Under the partnership agreements relating to our current unconsolidated partnerships with third parties, we own a 25% to 50% economic interest in such partnerships, and there are generally no provisions in such partnership agreements relating to special non-pro rata allocations of income or loss, and there are no preferred or priority returns of capital or other similar provisions. While this method approximates our indirect economic interest in our pro rata share of the revenue and expenses of our unconsolidated partnerships, we do not have a direct legal claim to the assets, liabilities, revenues or expenses of the unconsolidated partnerships beyond our rights as an equity owner in the event of any liquidation of such entity. Our percentage ownership is not necessarily indicative of the legal and economic implications of our ownership interest. Accordingly, NOI and FFO results based on our share of the results of unconsolidated partnerships do not represent cash generated from our investments in these partnerships.

We have determined that we hold a noncontrolling interest in each of our unconsolidated partnerships, and account for such partnerships using the equity method of accounting, because:

Except for two properties that we co-manage with our partner, all of the other entities are managed on a day-to-day basis by one of our other partners as the managing general partner in each of the respective partnerships. In the case of the co-managed properties, all decisions in the ordinary course of business are made jointly.

The managing general partner is responsible for establishing the operating and capital decisions of the partnership, including budgets, in the ordinary course of business.

All major decisions of each partnership, such as the sale, refinancing, expansion or rehabilitation of the property, require the approval of all partners.

Voting rights and the sharing of profits and losses are generally in proportion to the ownership percentages of each partner.

We hold legal title to a property owned by one of our unconsolidated partnerships through a tenancy in common arrangement. For this property, such legal title is held by us and another entity, and each has an undivided interest in title to the property. With respect this property, under the applicable agreements between us and the entity with ownership interests, we and such other entity have joint control because decisions regarding matters such as the sale, refinancing, expansion or rehabilitation of the property require the approval of both us and the other entity owning an interest in the property. Hence, we account for this property like our other unconsolidated partnerships using the equity method of accounting. The balance sheet items arising from this property appear under the caption “Investments in partnerships, at equity.”

For further information regarding our unconsolidated partnerships, see note 3 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

## Net Operating Income (“NOI”)

NOI (a non-GAAP measure) is derived from real estate revenue (determined in accordance with GAAP, including lease termination revenue), minus property operating expenses (determined in accordance with GAAP), plus our pro rata share of revenue and property operating expenses of our unconsolidated partnership investments. NOI excludes other income, general and administrative expenses, employee separation expenses, interest expense, depreciation and amortization, impairment of assets, gains/ adjustment to gains on sale of interest in non operating real estate, gain on sale of interest in real estate by equity method investee, gains/ losses on sales of interests in real estate, net, and project costs and other expenses. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measure to NOI.

Same Store NOI is calculated using retail properties owned for the full periods presented and excludes properties acquired, disposed, under redevelopment or designated as non-core during the periods presented. Non Same Store NOI is calculated using the properties excluded from the calculation of Same Store NOI.

The table below reconciles net loss to NOI of our consolidated properties for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Net loss	\$(32,321)	\$(53,277)	\$(36,033)	\$(53,763)
Other income	(851)	(840)	(1,740)	(1,680)
Depreciation and amortization	33,356	32,928	67,386	64,686
General and administrative expenses	9,396	9,232	19,528	18,273
Employee separation expenses	395	1,053	395	1,053
Project costs and other expenses	139	85	251	397
Interest expense, net	15,982	14,418	30,883	29,756
Impairment of assets	34,286	53,917	34,286	53,917
Equity in income of partnerships	(2,571)	(4,154)	(5,709)	(7,890)
Gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee	—	—	(2,773)	—
(Gains) adjustment to gains on sales of interest in non operating real estate	—	(486)	25	(486)
(Gains) losses on sales of interests in real estate, net	(748)	308	(748)	365
NOI from consolidated properties	\$57,063	\$53,184	\$105,751	\$104,628

The table below reconciles equity in income of partnerships to NOI of our share of unconsolidated properties for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

(in thousands of dollars)	Three Months		Six Months	
	Ended	Ended	Ended	Ended
	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,	June 30,
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Equity in income of partnerships	\$2,571	\$4,154	\$5,709	\$7,890
Other income	(12)	—	(23)	—
Depreciation and amortization	2,145	3,026	4,385	5,592
Interest and other expenses	2,706	2,566	5,378	5,116
NOI from equity method investments at ownership share	\$7,410	\$9,746	\$15,449	\$18,598



Table of Contents

The table below presents total NOI and total NOI excluding lease termination revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

(in thousands of dollars)	Same Store		Non Same Store		Total (non GAAP)	
	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
NOI from consolidated properties	\$55,417	\$49,544	\$1,646	\$3,640	\$57,063	\$53,184
NOI from equity method investments at ownership share	7,354	7,173	56	2,573	7,410	9,746
Total NOI	62,771	56,717	1,702	6,213	64,473	62,930
Less: lease termination revenue	7,095	1,827	—	35	7,095	1,862
Total NOI excluding lease termination revenue	\$55,676	\$54,890	\$1,702	\$6,178	\$57,378	\$61,068

Total NOI increased by \$1.5 million in the three months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2017 primarily due to an increase of \$6.1 million from Same Store Properties offset by a decrease of \$4.5 million in NOI from Non Same Store properties. The increase in Same Store properties is primarily due to an increase of lease termination revenue. The decrease in Non Same Store properties is primarily due to properties sold in 2017. See “—Real Estate Revenue” and “—Property Operating Expenses” above for further information about the factors affecting NOI from our consolidated properties.

The table below presents total NOI and total NOI excluding lease termination revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

(in thousands of dollars)	Same Store		Non Same Store		Total (non GAAP)	
	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017
NOI from consolidated properties	\$102,405	\$97,733	\$3,346	\$6,895	\$105,751	\$104,628
NOI from equity method investments at ownership share	14,929	14,736	520	3,862	15,449	18,598
Total NOI	117,334	112,469	3,866	10,757	121,200	123,226
Less: lease termination revenue	7,356	2,346	21	71	7,377	2,417
Total NOI excluding lease termination revenue	\$109,978	\$110,123	\$3,845	\$10,686	\$113,823	\$120,809

Total NOI decreased by \$2.0 million in the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2017 primarily due to a decrease of \$6.9 million in NOI from Non Same Store properties, partially offset by an increase of \$4.9 million from Same Store properties. The decrease in Non Same Store properties is primarily due to properties sold in 2017. The increase in Same Store properties is primarily due to lease termination revenue, as well as the factors discussed in “—Real Estate Revenue” and “—Property Operating Expenses” above, which provide further information about the factors affecting NOI from our consolidated properties.

### Funds From Operations (“FFO”)

The National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (“NAREIT”) defines FFO, which is a non-GAAP measure commonly used by REITs, as net income (computed in accordance with GAAP) excluding gains and losses on sales of operating properties, plus real estate depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures to reflect funds from operations on the same basis. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by NAREIT, which may not be comparable to FFO reported by other REITs that do not define the term in accordance with the current NAREIT definition, or that interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than we do. NAREIT’s established guidance provides that excluding impairment write downs of depreciable real estate is consistent with the NAREIT definition.

FFO is a commonly used measure of operating performance and profitability among REITs. We use FFO and FFO per diluted share and unit of limited partnership interest in our Operating Partnership (“OP Unit”) in measuring our performance against our peers.

FFO does not include gains and losses on sales of operating real estate assets or impairment write downs of depreciable real estate, which are included in the determination of net income in accordance with GAAP. Accordingly, FFO is not a comprehensive measure of our operating cash flows. In addition, since FFO does not include depreciation on real estate assets, FFO may not be a useful performance measure when comparing our operating performance to that of other non-real estate commercial enterprises. We compensate for these limitations by using FFO in conjunction with other GAAP financial performance measures, such as net income

Table of Contents

and net cash provided by operating activities, and other non-GAAP financial performance measures, such as NOI. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and should not be considered to be an alternative to net income (determined in accordance with GAAP) as an indication of our financial performance or to be an alternative to cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP) as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available for our cash needs, including our ability to make cash distributions. We believe that net income is the most directly comparable GAAP measurement to FFO.

We also present Funds From Operations, as adjusted, and Funds From Operations per diluted share and OP Unit, as adjusted, which are non-GAAP measures, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively, to show the effect of such items as provision for employee separation expense and accelerated amortization of financing costs, which affected our results of operations, but are not, in our opinion, indicative of our operating performance.

The following table presents a reconciliation of net loss determined in accordance with GAAP to FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit, FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders, as adjusted, and FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit, as adjusted for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017:

(in thousands, except per share amounts)	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2018	2017	June 30, 2018	2017
Net loss	\$(32,321)	\$(53,277)	\$(36,033)	\$(53,763)
Depreciation and amortization on real estate:				
Consolidated properties	33,002	32,506	66,664	63,940
PREIT's share of equity method investments	2,145	3,026	4,385	5,592
Gain on sale of real estate by equity method investee	—	—	(2,773)	—
(Gains) losses on sales of interests in real estate, net	(748)	) 308	(748)	) 365
Impairment of assets	34,286	53,917	34,286	53,917
Preferred share dividends	(6,844)	) (7,067)	(13,688)	) (13,272)
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders	29,520	29,413	52,093	56,779
Accelerated amortization of financing costs	363	—	363	—
Provision for employee separation expense	395	1,053	395	1,053
Funds from operations, as adjusted, attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders	\$30,278	\$30,466	\$52,851	\$57,832
Funds from operations attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit	\$0.38	\$0.38	\$0.67	\$0.73
Funds from operations, as adjusted, attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit	\$0.39	\$0.39	\$0.68	\$0.74
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	69,747	69,307	69,675	69,263
Weighted average effect of full conversion of OP Units	8,273	8,313	8,273	8,313
Effect of common share equivalents	367	—	340	57
Total weighted average shares outstanding, including OP Units	78,387	77,620	78,288	77,633

FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders was \$29.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2018, an increase of \$0.1 million, or 0.4%, compared to \$29.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2017.



FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit was \$0.38 for each of the three months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017.

FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders was \$52.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018, a decrease of \$4.7 million, or 8.3%, compared to \$56.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017. This decrease is primarily due to properties sold in 2017.

Table of Contents

FFO attributable to common shareholders and OP Unit holders per diluted share and OP Unit was \$0.67 and \$0.73 for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

This “Liquidity and Capital Resources” section contains certain “forward-looking statements” that relate to expectations and projections that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements reflect our current views about our future liquidity and capital resources, and are subject to risks and uncertainties that might cause our actual liquidity and capital resources to differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Additional factors that might affect our liquidity and capital resources include those discussed herein and in the section entitled “Item 1A. Risk Factors” in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. We do not intend to update or revise any forward-looking statements about our liquidity and capital resources to reflect new information, future events or otherwise.

Capital Resources

We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements, including distributions to shareholders, recurring capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions, but excluding acquisitions and redevelopment and development projects, generally through our available working capital and net cash provided by operations and our 2018 Revolving Facility, subject to the terms and conditions of our 2018 Revolving Facility. We believe that our net cash provided by operations will be sufficient to allow us to make any distributions necessary to enable us to continue to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The aggregate distributions made to preferred shareholders, common shareholders and OP Unit holders for the six months ended June 30, 2018 were \$46.7 million, based on distributions of \$0.9218 per Series B Preferred Share, \$0.9000 per Series C Preferred Share, \$0.8594 per Series D Share, and \$0.42 per common share and OP Unit.

In December 2017, our universal shelf registration statement was filed with the SEC and became effective. We may use the availability under our shelf registration statement to offer and sell common shares of beneficial interest, preferred shares and various types of debt securities, among other types of securities, to the public.

The following are some of the factors that could affect our cash flows and require the funding of future cash distributions, recurring capital expenditures, tenant improvements or leasing commissions with sources other than operating cash flows:

- adverse changes or prolonged downturns in general, local or retail industry economic, financial, credit or capital market or competitive conditions, leading to a reduction in real estate revenue or cash flows or an increase in expenses;
- deterioration in our tenants’ business operations and financial stability, including anchor or non-anchor tenant bankruptcies, leasing delays or terminations, or lower sales, causing deferrals or declines in rent, percentage rent and cash flows;
- inability to achieve targets for, or decreases in, property occupancy and rental rates, resulting in lower or delayed real estate revenue and operating income;
- increases in operating costs, including increases that cannot be passed on to tenants, resulting in reduced operating income and cash flows; and
- increases in interest rates, resulting in higher borrowing costs.

We expect to meet certain of our longer-term requirements, such as obligations to fund redevelopment and development projects, certain capital requirements (including scheduled debt maturities), future property and portfolio acquisitions, renovations, expansions and other non-recurring capital improvements, through a variety of capital sources, subject to the terms and conditions of our Credit Agreements, as further described below.

## Credit Agreements

We have entered into two credit agreements (collectively, as amended, the “Credit Agreements”): (1) the 2018 Credit Agreement, which includes (a) the 2018 Revolving Facility and (b) the 2018 Term Loan Facility and (2) the 2014 7-Year Term Loan. The 2018 Term Loan Facility and the 2014 7-Year Term Loan are collectively referred to as the “Term Loans.”

See note 4 in the notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a description of the identical covenants and common provisions contained in the Credit Agreements.

As of June 30, 2018, we had borrowed \$550.0 million under the Term Loans, no amounts were outstanding under our 2018 Revolving Facility, and \$14.8 million was pledged under the 2018 Revolving Facility as collateral for letters of credit. Pursuant to certain covenants in the 2018 Revolving Facility, the unused portion of the 2018 Revolving Facility that was available to us as of June 30, 2018 was \$239.6 million.

Table of Contents

## Interest Rate Derivative Agreements

As of June 30, 2018, we had interest rate swap agreements outstanding with a weighted average base interest rate of 1.55% on a notional amount of \$798.2 million, maturing on various dates through May 2023 and forward starting interest

rate swap agreements with a weighted average interest rate of 2.71% on a notional amount of \$250.0 million, with effective dates from January 2019 to June 2020, and maturity dates in May 2023.

## Mortgage Loan Activity

In January 2018, we extended the \$68.5 million mortgage loan secured by Francis Scott Key Mall in Frederick, Maryland to January 2022, with an additional extension option to January 2023. The rate on the mortgage loan is LIBOR plus 2.60%.

In February 2018, we borrowed an additional \$10.2 million on the mortgage loan secured by Viewmont Mall in Scranton, Pennsylvania. Following this borrowing, this mortgage loan has \$67.2 million outstanding with an interest rate of LIBOR plus 2.35% and a maturity date of March 2021.

As a result of its Chapter 11 bankruptcy filing, the Bon-Ton anchor store at Wyoming Valley Mall, in Wilkes-Barre, Pennsylvania is expected to close no later than August 31, 2018. In addition, the Sears store at Wyoming Valley Mall ceased operations on July 15, 2018 and Sears vacated the premises on August 1, 2018, the date its lease expired. We received a notice of transfer of servicing, dated July 9, 2018, from the special servicer to the borrower of the mortgage loan secured by Wyoming Valley Mall. We have not received a notice of default on the loan, which had a balance of \$74.4 million as of June 30, 2018; however, the loan is subject to a cash sweep arrangement as a result of an anchor tenant trigger event.

## Mortgage Loans

As of June 30, 2018, our mortgage loans, which are secured by 11 of our consolidated properties, are due in installments over various terms extending to October 2025. Eight of these mortgage loans bear interest at fixed interest rates that range from 3.88% to 5.95% and had a weighted average interest rate of 4.28% at June 30, 2018. Three of our mortgage loans bear interest at variable rates and had a weighted average interest rate of 4.23% at June 30, 2018. The weighted average interest rate of all consolidated mortgage loans was 4.26% at June 30, 2018. Mortgage loans for properties owned by unconsolidated partnerships are accounted for in “Investments in partnerships, at equity” and “Distributions in excess of partnership investments” on the consolidated balance sheets and are not included in the table below.

The following table outlines the timing of principal payments related to our consolidated mortgage loans as of June 30, 2018:

(in thousands of dollars)	Total	Remainder of 2018	2019-2020	2021-2022	Thereafter
Principal payments	\$ 102,150	\$ 9,054	\$ 39,308	\$ 35,082	\$ 18,706
Balloon payments	958,042	—	27,161	599,489	331,392
Total	\$ 1,060,192	\$ 9,054	\$ 66,469	\$ 634,571	\$ 350,098
Less: unamortized debt issuance costs	3,506				
Carrying value of mortgage notes payable	\$ 1,056,686				



Table of Contents

## Contractual Obligations

The following table presents our aggregate contractual obligations as of June 30, 2018 for the periods presented:

(in thousands of dollars)	Total	Remainder of 2018	2019-2020	2021-2022	Thereafter
Mortgage loan principal payments	\$1,060,192	\$9,054	\$66,469	\$634,571	\$350,098
Term Loans	550,000	—	—	250,000	300,000
2018 Revolving Facility <sup>(1)</sup>	—	—	—	—	—
Interest on indebtedness <sup>(2) (3)</sup>	283,725	46,086	124,196	84,867	28,576
Operating leases	3,310	1,032	2,111	167	—
Ground leases	42,071	792	2,568	3,168	35,543
Development and redevelopment commitments <sup>(4)</sup>	138,453	118,500	19,953	—	—
Total	\$2,077,751	\$175,464	\$215,297	\$972,773	\$714,217

<sup>(1)</sup> As of June 30, 2018, no amounts were outstanding under the 2018 Revolving Facility, which has an initial maturity date of May 23, 2022.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes payments expected to be made in connection with interest rate swaps.

<sup>(3)</sup> For interest payments associated with variable rate debt, these amounts are based on the rates in effect on June 30, 2018.

<sup>(4)</sup> The timing of the payments of these amounts is uncertain. We expect that these payments will be made during the remainder of 2018 and in 2019, but cannot provide any assurance that changed circumstances at these projects will not delay the settlement of these obligations. In addition, we included 100% of the obligations of the FDP redevelopment project because our Operating Partnership, PREIT Associates, and Macerich, have jointly and severally guaranteed the obligations of the joint venture we formed with Macerich to develop Fashion District Philadelphia to commence and complete a comprehensive redevelopment of that property costing not less than \$300.0 million within 48 months after commencement of construction, which was March 14, 2016.

## Preferred Share Dividends

Annual dividends on our 3,450,000 7.375% Series B Preferred Shares (\$25.00 liquidation preference), our 6,900,000 7.20% Series C Preferred Shares (\$25.00 liquidation preference) and our 5,000,000 6.875% Series D Preferred Shares (\$25.00 liquidation preference) are expected to be \$6.4 million, \$12.4 million and \$8.6 million, respectively, in the aggregate.

## CASH FLOWS

Net cash provided by operating activities totaled \$75.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to \$71.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017. This increase reflects nominal increases in cash provided from changes in working capital, partially offset by lower distributions from equity method investees.

Cash flows provided by investing activities were \$53.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to cash flows used in investing activities of \$76.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017. Cash flows provided by investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2018 included \$123.0 million of distributions from our new Fashion District Philadelphia term loan and \$19.7 million of proceeds from our share of the sale of the condominium space at 907 Market Street, partially offset by additions to construction in progress of \$22.4 million, investments in partnerships of \$31.4 million (primarily at Fashion District Philadelphia), and real estate improvements of \$17.2 million (primarily related to ongoing improvements at our properties). Investing activities for the first six months of 2017 included additions to construction in progress of \$57.4 million, investments in partnerships of \$38.3 million

(primarily at Fashion District Philadelphia), and real estate improvements of \$23.2 million, primarily related to ongoing improvements at our properties, partially offset by \$45.9 million in proceeds from the sale of two operating properties.

Cash flows used in financing activities were \$105.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2018 compared to cash flows provided by financing activities of \$17.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2017. Cash flows used in financing activities for the first six months of 2018 included \$53.0 million of net repayments on our 2013 Revolving Facility, aggregate dividends and distributions of \$46.7 million, and principal installments on mortgage loans of \$9.4 million, partially offset by \$10.2 million of borrowing on our Viewmont Mall mortgage loan. Cash flows provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2017 included \$166.3

Table of Contents

million of net proceeds from our issuance of our Series C preferred shares and \$55.0 million of net 2013 Revolving Facility borrowings, partially offset by mortgage loan repayments of \$150.0 million, dividends and distributions of \$45.5 million, and principal installments on mortgage loans of \$8.1 million.

ENVIRONMENTAL

We are aware of certain environmental matters at some of our properties. We have, in the past, performed remediation of such environmental matters, and we are not aware of any significant remaining potential liability relating to these environmental matters or of any obligation to satisfy requirements for further remediation. We may be required in the future to perform testing relating to these matters. We have insurance coverage for certain environmental claims up to \$25.0 million per occurrence and up to \$25.0 million in the aggregate. See our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017, in the section entitled “Item 1A. Risk Factors —We might incur costs to comply with environmental laws, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.”

COMPETITION AND TENANT CREDIT RISK

Competition in the retail real estate market is intense. We compete with other public and private retail real estate companies, including companies that own or manage malls, power centers, strip centers, lifestyle centers, factory outlet centers, theme/festival centers and community centers, as well as other commercial real estate developers and real estate owners, particularly those with properties near our properties, on the basis of several factors, including location and rent charged. We compete with these companies to attract customers to our properties, as well as to attract anchor and non-anchor stores and other tenants. We also compete to acquire land for new site development or to acquire parcels or properties to add to our existing properties. Our malls and our other operating properties face competition from similar retail centers, including more recently developed or renovated centers that are near our retail properties. We also face competition from a variety of different retail formats, including internet retailers, discount or value retailers, home shopping networks, mail order operators, catalogs, and telemarketers. Our tenants face competition from companies at the same and other properties and from other retail formats as well, including internet retailers. This competition could have a material adverse effect on our ability to lease space and on the amount of rent and expense reimbursements that we receive.

The existence or development of competing retail properties and the related increased competition for tenants might, subject to the terms and conditions of the Credit Agreements, require us to make capital improvements to properties that we would have deferred or would not have otherwise planned to make and might also affect the total sales, sales per square foot, occupancy and net operating income of such properties. Any such capital improvements, undertaken individually or collectively, would involve costs and expenses that could adversely affect our results of operations. We compete with many other entities engaged in real estate investment activities for acquisitions of malls, other retail properties and prime development sites or sites adjacent to our properties, including institutional pension funds, other REITs and other owner-operators of retail properties. When we seek to make acquisitions, competitors might drive up the price we must pay for properties, parcels, other assets or other companies or might themselves succeed in acquiring those properties, parcels, assets or companies. In addition, our potential acquisition targets might find our competitors to be more attractive suitors if they have greater resources, are willing to pay more, or have a more compatible operating philosophy. In particular, larger REITs might enjoy significant competitive advantages that result from, among other things, a lower cost of capital, a better ability to raise capital, a better ability to finance an acquisition, better cash flow and enhanced operating efficiencies. We might not succeed in acquiring retail properties or development sites that we seek, or, if we pay a higher price for a property and/or generate lower cash flow from an acquired property than we expect, our investment returns will be reduced, which will adversely affect the value of our securities.

We receive a substantial portion of our operating income as rent under leases with tenants. At any time, any tenant having space in one or more of our properties could experience a downturn in its business that might weaken its financial condition. Such tenants might enter into or renew leases with relatively shorter terms. Such tenants might also defer or fail to make rental payments when due, delay or defer lease commencement, voluntarily vacate the



premises or declare bankruptcy, which could result in the termination of the tenant's lease or preclude the collection of rent in connection with the space for a period of time, and could result in material losses to us and harm to our results of operations. Also, it might take time to terminate leases of underperforming or nonperforming tenants and we might incur costs to remove such tenants. Some of our tenants occupy stores at multiple locations in our portfolio, and so the effect of any bankruptcy or store closings of those tenants might be more significant to us than the bankruptcy or store closings of other tenants. See "Item 2. Properties—Major Tenants" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017. In addition, under many of our leases, our tenants pay rent based, in whole or in part, on a percentage of their sales. Accordingly, declines in these tenants' sales directly affect our results of operations. Also, if tenants are unable to comply with the terms of their leases, or otherwise seek changes to the terms, including changes to the amount of rent, we might modify lease terms in ways that are less favorable to us. Given current conditions in the economy, certain industries and the capital markets, in some instances retailers that

## Table of Contents

have sought protection from creditors under bankruptcy law have had difficulty in obtaining debtor-in-possession financing, which has decreased the likelihood that such retailers will emerge from bankruptcy protection and has limited their alternatives.

### SEASONALITY

There is seasonality in the retail real estate industry. Retail property leases often provide for the payment of all or a portion of rent based on a percentage of a tenant's sales revenue, or sales revenue over certain levels. Income from such rent is recorded only after the minimum sales levels have been met. The sales levels are often met in the fourth quarter, during the December holiday season. Also, many new and temporary leases are entered into later in the year in anticipation of the holiday season and a higher number of tenants vacate their space early in the year. As a result, our occupancy and cash flows are generally higher in the fourth quarter and lower in the first and second quarters. Our concentration in the retail sector increases our exposure to seasonality and has resulted, and is expected to continue to result, in a greater percentage of our cash flows being received in the fourth quarter.

### INFLATION

Inflation can have many effects on financial performance. Retail property leases often provide for the payment of rent based on a percentage of sales, which might increase with inflation. Leases might also provide for tenants to bear all or a portion of operating expenses, which might reduce the impact of such increases on us. However, rent increases might not keep up with inflation, or if we recover a smaller proportion of property operating expenses, we might bear more costs if such expenses increase because of inflation.

### FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2018, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by us, contain certain forward-looking statements that can be identified by the use of words such as "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," "project," "intend," "may" or similar expressions. Forward-looking statements relate to expectations, beliefs, projections, future plans, strategies, anticipated events, trends and other matters that are not historical facts. These forward-looking statements reflect our current views about future events, achievements or results and are subject to risks, uncertainties and changes in circumstances that might cause future events, achievements or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements. In particular, our business might be materially and adversely affected by the following:

- changes in the retail and real estate industries, including consolidation and store closings, particularly among anchor tenants;
- current economic conditions and the corresponding effects on tenant business performance, prospects, solvency and leasing decisions;
- our inability to collect rent due to the bankruptcy or insolvency of tenants or otherwise;
- our ability to maintain and increase property occupancy, sales and rental rates;
- increases in operating costs that cannot be passed on to tenants;
- the effects of online shopping and other uses of technology on our retail tenants;
- risks related to our development and redevelopment activities, including delays, cost overruns and our inability to reach projected occupancy or rental rates;
- acts of violence at malls, including our properties, or at other similar spaces, and the potential effect on traffic and sales;
- our ability to sell properties that we seek to dispose of or our ability to obtain prices we seek;
- our substantial debt and the liquidation preference of our preferred shares and our high leverage ratio;
- our ability to refinance our existing indebtedness when it matures, on favorable terms or at all;
- our ability to raise capital, including through sales of properties or interests in properties and through the issuance of equity or equity-related securities if market conditions are favorable; and
- potential dilution from any capital raising transactions or other equity issuances.

Additional factors that might cause future events, achievements or results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by our forward-looking statements include those discussed herein and in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017 in the section entitled “Item 1A. Risk Factors.” We do not intend to update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

The analysis below presents the sensitivity of the market value of our financial instruments to selected changes in market interest rates. As of June 30, 2018, our consolidated debt portfolio consisted of \$1,056.7 million of fixed and variable rate mortgage loans (net of debt issuance costs), \$300.0 million borrowed under our 2018 Term Loan Facility, which bore interest at a rate of 3.58% and \$250.0 million borrowed under our 2014 7-Year Term Loan, which bore interest at a rate of 3.58%. As of June 30, 2018, no amounts were outstanding under our 2018 Revolving Facility.

Our mortgage loans, which are secured by 11 of our consolidated properties, are due in installments over various terms extending to October 2025. Eight of these mortgage loans bear interest at fixed interest rates that range from 3.88% to 5.95%, and had a weighted average interest rate of 4.28% at June 30, 2018. Three of our mortgage loans bear interest at variable rates and had a weighted average interest rate of 4.23% at June 30, 2018. The weighted average interest rate of all consolidated mortgage loans was 4.26% at June 30, 2018. Mortgage loans for properties owned by unconsolidated partnerships are accounted for in “Investments in partnerships, at equity” and “Distributions in excess of partnership investments” on the consolidated balance sheets and are not included in the table below.

Our interest rate risk is monitored using a variety of techniques. The table below presents the principal amounts of the expected annual maturities due in the respective years and the weighted average interest rates for the principal payments in the specified periods:

(in thousands of dollars) For the Year Ending December 31,	Fixed Rate Debt			Variable Rate Debt		
	Principal Payments	Weighted Average Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	%	Principal Payments	Weighted Average Interest Rate <sup>(1)</sup>	%
2018	\$8,214	4.24	%	\$840	3.98	%
2019	17,837	4.25	%	1,680 <sup>(2)</sup>	3.98	%
2020	45,272	5.03	%	1,680 <sup>(2)</sup>	3.98	%
2021	18,602	4.20	%	440,902	3.68	%
2022 and thereafter	708,253	4.21	%	366,912	3.60	%

<sup>(1)</sup> Based on the weighted average interest rates in effect as of June 30, 2018.

<sup>(2)</sup> Includes Term Loan debt balance of \$550.0 million with a weighted average interest rate of 3.58% as of June 30, 2018.

As of June 30, 2018, we had \$812.0 million of variable rate debt. Also, as of June 30, 2018, we had entered into interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate weighted average interest rate of 1.55% on a notional amount of \$798.2 million maturing on various dates through May 2023 and forward starting interest rate swap agreements with a weighted average interest rate of 2.71% on a notional amount of \$250.0 million, with effective dates from January 2019 to June 2020, and maturity dates in May 2023.

Changes in market interest rates have different effects on the fixed and variable rate portions of our debt portfolio. A change in market interest rates applicable to the fixed portion of the debt portfolio affects the fair value, but it has no effect on interest incurred or cash flows. A change in market interest rates applicable to the variable portion of the debt portfolio affects the interest incurred and cash flows, but does not affect the fair value. The following sensitivity analysis related to our debt portfolio, which includes the effects of our interest rate swap agreements, assumes an immediate 100 basis point change in interest rates from their actual June 30, 2018 levels, with all other variables held constant.

A 100 basis point increase in market interest rates would have resulted in a decrease in our net financial instrument position of \$55.5 million at June 30, 2018. A 100 basis point decrease in market interest rates would have resulted in an increase in our net financial instrument position of \$58.4 million at June 30, 2018. Based on the variable rate debt included in our debt portfolio at June 30, 2018, a 100 basis point increase in interest rates would have resulted in an additional \$0.1 million in interest expense annually. A 100 basis point decrease would have reduced interest incurred by \$0.1 million annually.

To manage interest rate risk and limit overall interest cost, we may employ interest rate swaps, options, forwards, caps and floors, or a combination thereof, depending on the underlying exposure. Interest rate differentials that arise under swap contracts are recognized in interest expense over the life of the contracts. If interest rates rise, the resulting cost of funds is expected to be lower than that which would have been available if debt with matching characteristics was issued directly. Conversely, if interest rates fall, the resulting costs would be expected to be, and in some cases have been, higher. We may also employ forwards or purchased options to hedge qualifying anticipated transactions. Gains and losses are deferred and recognized in net income in the same period that the underlying transaction occurs, expires or is otherwise terminated. See note 7 of the notes to our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Because the information presented above includes only those exposures that existed as of June 30, 2018, it does not consider changes, exposures or positions which have arisen or could arise after that date. The information presented herein has limited predictive value. As a result, the ultimate realized gain or loss or expense with respect to interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period, our hedging strategies at the time and interest rates.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

We are committed to providing accurate and timely disclosure in satisfaction of our SEC reporting obligations. In 2002, we established a Disclosure Committee to formalize our disclosure controls and procedures. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2018, and have concluded as follows:

Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that the information that we are required to disclose in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information that we are required to disclose in our Exchange Act reports is accumulated and communicated to management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There was no change in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

## PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

In the normal course of business, we have become and might in the future become involved in legal actions relating to the ownership and operation of our properties and the properties that we manage for third parties. In management's opinion, the resolution of any such pending legal actions is not expected to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the risks that could materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations, which are discussed under the caption "Risk Factors" in Part I, Item 1A of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2017.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The following table shows the total number of shares that we acquired in the three months ended June 30, 2018 and the average price paid per share (in thousands of shares).

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
April 1 - April 30, 2018	—	\$	—	\$
May 1 - May 31, 2018	—	—	—	—
June 1 - June 30, 2018	—	—	—	—
Total	—	\$	—	\$

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS.

10.1\* Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 24, 2018 by and among PREIT Associates, L.P., PREIT-RUBIN, Inc., PREIT and the financial institutions party thereto.

10.2\* Amended and Restated Guaranty dated as of May 24, 2018 in favor of Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, executed by certain direct and indirect subsidiaries of PREIT Associates, L.P.

10.3\* Fifth Amendment to Seven-Year Term Loan Agreement dated as of June 5, 2018 by and among PREIT Associates, L.P., PREIT-RUBIN, Inc., PREIT and the financial institutions party thereto.

31.1\* Certification pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2\* Certification pursuant to Exchange Act Rules 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1\*\* Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.2\*\* Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101\* Pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T, the following financial information from the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2018 is formatted in XBRL interactive data files: (i) Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017; (ii) Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017; (iii) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2018 and December 31, 2017; (iv) Consolidated Statement of Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2018; (v) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2018 and 2017; and (vi) Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.

\* Filed herewith

\*\* Furnished herewith



Table of Contents

SIGNATURE OF REGISTRANT

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PENNSYLVANIA REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT  
TRUST

Date: August 3, 2018

By: /s/ Joseph F. Coradino  
Joseph F. Coradino  
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Robert F. McCadden  
Robert F. McCadden  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

By: /s/ Jonathen Bell  
Jonathen Bell  
Senior Vice President and Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Accounting Officer)