

AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2010

FORM 10-Q

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-898

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION

Pennsylvania
(State of Incorporation)

25-1117717
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

600 Grant Street, Suite 4600
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15219
(Address of principal executive offices)

(412)456-4400
(Registrant's telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter periods that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer____ Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer ____Smaller reporting company ____

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes____ No

On May 10, 2010, 10,246,827 common shares were outstanding.

- 1 -

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION

INDEX

Part I - Financial Information:		Page No.
Item 1 -	Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets – March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009	4
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009	5
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
Item 2 -	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	15
Item 3 -	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	17
Item 4 -	Controls and Procedures	17
Part II – Other Information:		
Item 1 -	Legal Proceedings	18
Item 1A -	Risk Factors	18
Item 6 -	Exhibits	18
	Signatures	20
	Exhibit Index	21
	Exhibits	
	Exhibit 31.1	
	Exhibit 31.2	
	Exhibit 32.1	

Exhibit 32.2

- 2 -

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION
 AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (UNAUDITED)

	March 31, 2010	December 31, 2009
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 61,824,900	\$ 66,440,864
Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$406,296 in 2010 and \$428,074 in 2009	48,629,330	39,620,966
Inventories	68,256,689	69,974,934
Insurance receivable – asbestos	20,000,000	20,000,000
Other current assets	15,342,449	13,789,664
Total current assets	214,053,368	209,826,428
Property, plant and equipment, net	128,173,520	119,940,117
Insurance receivable - asbestos	92,322,697	95,430,060
Investments in joint ventures	14,741,991	14,867,317
Deferred tax assets	24,961,961	25,953,111
Other noncurrent assets	5,578,699	5,808,024
	\$ 479,832,236	\$ 471,825,057
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 18,946,614	\$ 15,798,457
Accrued payrolls and employee benefits	9,574,034	10,497,091
Industrial Revenue Bond debt	13,311,000	13,311,000
Asbestos liability – current portion	30,000,000	30,000,000
Other current liabilities	24,718,054	19,897,991
Total current liabilities	96,549,702	89,504,539
Employee benefit obligations	52,346,922	52,372,460
Asbestos liability	142,741,105	147,093,191
Other noncurrent liabilities	2,613,629	3,652,411
Total liabilities	294,251,358	292,622,601
Commitments and contingent liabilities (Note 6)		
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock - par value \$1; authorized 20,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 10,246,827 shares in 2010 and 10,245,927 in 2009	10,246,827	10,245,927
Additional paid-in capital	118,053,361	116,396,355
Retained earnings	123,171,078	116,804,408
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(65,890,388)	(64,244,234)
Total shareholders' equity	185,580,878	179,202,456
	\$ 479,832,236	\$ 471,825,057

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 3 -

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009
Net sales	\$ 82,325,868	\$ 85,754,988
Operating costs and expenses:		
Costs of products sold (excluding depreciation)	56,840,920	59,776,062
Selling and administrative	11,594,103	10,621,633
Depreciation	2,324,728	1,816,089
Gain on disposition of assets	(97,890)	-
Total operating expenses	70,661,861	72,213,784
Income from operations	11,664,007	13,541,204
Other income (expense):		
Investment-related income	20,789	82,607
Interest expense	(73,283)	(69,364)
Other – net	709,177	(1,777,775)
	656,683	(1,764,532)
Income before income taxes and equity losses in Chinese joint venture	12,320,690	11,776,672
Income tax provision	(4,066,000)	(4,458,000)
Equity losses in Chinese joint venture	(43,591)	-
Net income	\$ 8,211,099	\$ 7,318,672
Net income per common share:		
Basic	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.72
Dilutive	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.72
Cash dividends declared per share	\$ 0.18	\$ 0.18
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding:		
Basic shares	10,246,211	10,177,497
Dilutive shares	10,257,368	10,178,276

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 4 -

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2010	2009
Net cash flows provided by operating activities	\$ 9,498,555	\$ 13,720,260
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(11,584,554)	(6,157,271)
Investment in Chinese joint venture	-	(4,410,000)
Collateral for outstanding foreign exchange contracts	-	(4,326,000)
Purchases of long-term marketable securities	(219,125)	(90,630)
Proceeds from sale of long-term marketable securities	208,657	50,446
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	96,756	-
Net cash flows used in investing activities	(11,498,266)	(14,933,455)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Dividends paid	(1,844,267)	(1,831,949)
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock	9,731	-
Excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options	4,013	-
Net cash flows used in financing activities	(1,830,523)	(1,831,949)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(785,730)	(78,978)
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(4,615,964)	(3,124,122)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	66,440,864	81,606,793
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 61,824,900	\$ 78,482,671
Supplemental information:		
Income tax payments	\$ -	\$ 707,427

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-Q

Interest payments	\$ 73,635	\$ 72,910
Non-cash investing activities:		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment included in accounts payable	\$ 2,020,043	\$ 1,929,700

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 (UNAUDITED)

1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2010, the condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 have been prepared by Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation (the Corporation) without audit. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, consisting of only normal and recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented, have been made. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results expected for the full year.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in the annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued new guidance replacing the quantitative-based risks and rewards calculation with a more qualitative approach for determining which enterprise, if any, has a controlling financial interest in a variable-interest entity. The new guidance also adds an additional reconsideration event for determining whether an entity is a variable-interest entity and ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary. The new guidance became effective on January 1, 2010 and did not impact the operating results, financial position or liquidity of the Corporation.

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13, Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements, which addresses the accounting and revenue recognition of sales contracts with multiple products and/or services when such products and/or services are provided to the customer at different points in time or over different time periods. ASU 2009-13 requires the sales consideration to be allocated, at the inception of the arrangement, to each deliverable and/or service using the relative selling price method. ASU 2009-13 will be effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified on or after June 15, 2010.

2. Inventories

At March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, approximately 65% of the inventories were valued on the LIFO method with the remaining inventories valued on the FIFO method. Inventories were comprised of the following:

	March 31, 2010	(in thousands) December 31, 2009
Raw materials	\$ 18,650	\$ 18,274
Work-in-process	36,082	33,178

Edgar Filing: AMPCO PITTSBURGH CORP - Form 10-Q

Finished goods	3,916	8,075
Supplies	9,609	10,448
	\$ 68,257	\$ 69,975

- 6 -

3. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment were comprised of the following:

	March 31, 2010	(in thousands) December 31, 2009
Land and land improvements	\$ 4,765	\$ 4,766
Buildings	36,751	31,387
Machinery and equipment	186,602	155,528
Construction-in-progress	18,365	45,188
Other	7,402	7,417
	253,885	244,286
Accumulated depreciation	(125,711)	(124,346)
	\$ 128,174	\$ 119,940

4. Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities were comprised of the following:

	March 31, 2010	(in thousands) December 31, 2009
Customer-related liabilities	\$ 9,345	\$ 10,111
Foreign currency exchange contracts	1,118	1,171
Accrued sales commissions	2,577	1,852
Accrued income taxes payable	4,146	-
Dividend payable	1,844	1,844
Other	5,688	4,920
	\$ 24,718	\$ 19,898

Included in customer-related liabilities are costs expected to be incurred with respect to product warranties. Changes in the liability for product warranty claims consisted of the following:

	2010	(in thousands) Three Months Ended March 31, 2009
Balance at beginning of the period	\$ 4,929	\$ 4,724
Satisfaction of warranty claims	(480)	(269)
Provision for warranty claims	645	452
Impact from changes in foreign currency exchange rates	(138)	(7)
Balance at end of the period	\$ 4,956	\$ 4,900

5. Pension and Other Postretirement Benefits

Contributions for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 were as follows:

	2010	(in thousands) 2009
U.S. pension benefits plans	\$ -	\$ 5,000
U.K. pension benefits plan	\$ 359	\$ 329
Other postretirement benefits (e.g. net payments)	\$ 161	\$ 121
U.K. defined contribution plan	\$ 76	\$ 64

Net periodic pension and other postretirement costs include the following components:

U.S. Pension Benefits	Three Months Ended 2010	(in thousands) March 31, 2009
Service cost	\$ 784	\$ 712
Interest cost	2,159	2,058
Expected return on plan assets	(2,254)	(2,522)
Amortization of prior service cost	164	158
Amortization of actuarial loss	781	432
Net expense	\$ 1,634	\$ 838

Foreign Pension Benefits	Three Months Ended 2010	(in thousands) March 31, 2009
Interest cost	\$ 627	\$ 534
Expected return on plan assets	(476)	(340)
Amortization of actuarial loss	117	106
Net expense	\$ 268	\$ 300

Other Postretirement Benefits	Three Months Ended 2010	(in thousands) March 31, 2009
Service cost	\$ 113	\$ 109
Interest cost	226	210
Amortization of prior service cost	21	22
Amortization of actuarial loss	-	1
Net expense	\$ 360	\$ 342

6. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

Outstanding standby and commercial letters of credit as of March 31, 2010 approximated \$21,065,000, a major portion of which serves as collateral for the Industrial Revenue Bond debt.

In connection with the sale of a segment in 2003, the Corporation provided typical warranties to the buyer (such as those relating to income taxes, intellectual property, legal proceedings, product liabilities and title to property, plant and equipment) which primarily expire with the statutes of limitations. Losses suffered by the buyer as a result of the Corporation's breach of warranties are reimbursable by the Corporation up to approximately \$2,000,000. No amount has been paid to date and, based on experience while owning the segment, the Corporation expects that no amounts will become due.

See also Note 12 regarding litigation and Note 13 for environmental matters.

- 8 -

7. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The Corporation's comprehensive income (loss) consisted of:

	(in thousands)	
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	2009
Net income	\$ 8,211	\$ 7,319
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(2,862)	93
Unrecognized components of employee benefit plans	688	458
Unrealized holding gains (losses) on marketable securities	74	(46)
Change in the fair value of cash flow hedge derivatives	454	1,286
Comprehensive income	\$ 6,565	\$ 9,110

8. Foreign Currency Exchange and Futures Contracts

Certain of the Corporation's operations are subject to risk from exchange rate fluctuations in connection with sales in foreign currencies. To minimize this risk, foreign currency sales contracts are entered into which are designated as cash flow or fair value hedges and are recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as either an asset or a liability measured at their fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of a derivative depends on the use of the derivative. To the extent that a derivative is designated and effective as a cash flow hedge of an exposure to future changes in value, the change in fair value of the derivative is deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any portion considered to be ineffective, including that arising from the unlikelihood of an anticipated transaction to occur, is reported as a component of earnings (other income/expense) immediately. Upon occurrence of the anticipated transaction, the derivative designated and effective as a cash flow hedge is de-designated as a fair value hedge and the change in fair value previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reclassified to earnings (net sales) with subsequent changes in fair value recorded as a component of earnings (other income/expense). To the extent that a derivative is designated and effective as a hedge of an exposure to changes in fair value, the change in the derivative's fair value will be offset in the condensed consolidated statement of operations by the change in the fair value of the item being hedged and is recorded as a component of earnings (other income/expense).

No portion of the existing cash flow hedges is considered to be ineffective, including any ineffectiveness arising from the unlikelihood of an anticipated transaction to occur. Additionally, no amounts have been excluded from assessing the effectiveness of the hedge.

As of March 31, 2010, approximately \$47,080,000 of anticipated foreign-denominated sales has been hedged of which \$16,857,000 is covered by cash flow contracts settling at various dates through June 2012 and the remaining \$30,223,000 is covered by fair value contracts settling at various dates through September 2013. As of March 31, 2010, the fair value of foreign currency sales contracts designated as cash flow hedges expecting to settle within the next 12 months approximated \$837,000 and is recorded as other current assets. The fair value of the remaining cash flow contracts equaled \$308,000 and is recorded as other noncurrent assets. The change in the fair value of the contracts is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and approximated \$630,000, net of income taxes, as of March 31, 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, approximately \$641,000, net of income taxes, was recognized as comprehensive income (loss). The change in the fair value will be reclassified to earnings when the projected sales occur with approximately \$784,000 expected to be released to pre-tax earnings within the next 12 months. During the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$51,000 and \$3,000, respectively, was released to pre-tax earnings.

As of March 31, 2010, the fair value of foreign currency sales contracts designated as fair value hedges expecting to settle within the next 12 months approximated \$1,118,000 and is recorded as other current liabilities. (The fair value of the related hedged item, recorded as other current assets, approximated \$1,120,000.) The fair value of the remaining fair value hedges equaled \$255,000 and is recorded as other noncurrent assets. (The fair value of the related hedged item, recorded as other noncurrent liabilities, approximated \$221,000). The fair value of assets held as collateral as of March 31, 2010 approximated \$2,276,000.

Gains (losses) on foreign exchange transactions approximated \$920,000 and \$(1,387,000) for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, and are included in other income (expense).

- 9 -

The Corporation enters into foreign currency purchase contracts to manage the volatility associated with Euro-denominated progress payments to be made for certain machinery and equipment. The contracts are designated as cash flow hedges and are recorded in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as either an asset or a liability measured at their fair value. To the extent that a derivative is designated and effective as a cash flow hedge of an exposure to future changes in value, the change in fair value of the foreign currency purchase contract is deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any portion considered to be ineffective, including that arising from the unlikelihood of an anticipated transaction to occur, is reported as a component of earnings (other income/expense) immediately. Upon occurrence of the anticipated transaction (i.e., remittance of the progress payment), the foreign currency purchase contract is settled and the change in fair value deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reclassified to earnings (depreciation expense) over the life of the underlying assets.

As of March 31, 2010, approximately \$5,601,000 of anticipated foreign-denominated purchases has been hedged with cash flow contracts settling at various dates through February 2012. As of March 31, 2010, the fair value of the contracts expecting to settle within the next 12 months approximated \$48,000 and is recorded as other current assets. The fair value of the remaining cash flow contracts equaled \$25,000 and is recorded as other noncurrent assets. Additionally, the fair value of the contracts which settled by March 31, 2010 approximated \$601,000 and is recorded with property, plant and equipment, net (specifically, construction-in-progress). The change in the fair value of the contracts (both outstanding and settled) is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and approximated \$406,000, net of income taxes, as of March 31, 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, approximately \$(178,000), net of income taxes, was recognized as comprehensive income (loss). Since the underlying assets have not yet been placed in service, no amounts relating to the settled contracts have been released to earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2010. Additionally, the amount expected to be released to earnings (as an offset to depreciation expense) within the next 12 months is not significant.

At March 31, 2010, the Corporation has purchase commitments covering approximately 71% or \$16,115,000 of anticipated natural gas usage at one of its subsidiaries. The commitments qualify as normal purchases and, accordingly, are not reflected on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Additionally, one of the Corporation's subsidiaries is subject to risk from increases in the price of commodities (copper and aluminum) used in the production of inventory. To minimize this risk, futures contracts are entered into which are designated as cash flow hedges. Changes in fair value of the derivative is deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Any portion considered to be ineffective, including that arising from the unlikelihood of an anticipated transaction to occur, is reported as a component of earnings (other income/expense) immediately. Upon

occurrence of the anticipated transaction, the futures contract is settled and the change in fair value previously deferred in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reclassified to earnings (costs of products sold) when the projected sales occur. At March 31, 2010, approximately 60% or \$1,394,000 of anticipated copper purchases over the next 4 months and 63% or \$868,000 of anticipated aluminum purchases over the next 6 months are hedged. The fair value of these contracts (open and settled) approximated \$181,000 as of March 31, 2010. The change in the fair value of the contracts designated as cash flow hedges is recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) and approximated \$112,000, net of income taxes, as of March 31, 2010. During the three months ended March 31, 2010, approximately \$63,000, net of income taxes, was recognized as comprehensive income (loss). Approximately \$181,000 of the change in fair value is expected to be released to pre-tax earnings over the next 12 months. During the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately \$168,000 and \$(135,000), respectively, was released to pre-tax earnings. The fair value of assets held as collateral as of March 31, 2010 approximated \$253,000.

The Corporation does not enter into derivative transactions for speculative purposes and, therefore, holds no derivative instruments for trading purposes.

9. Stock-Based Compensation

In February 2010, the Compensation Committee granted 325,000 of non-qualified stock options to certain employees. The options have a ten-year life with one-third vesting at the date of grant, one-third vesting on the first anniversary date of the date of grant and one-third vesting on the second anniversary date of the date of grant. The exercise price of \$25.77 was equal to the closing price of the Corporation's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of grant and the fair value of the options was \$10.77 per share.

- 10 -

The fair value of the options as of the date of grant was calculated using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model based on an assumption for the expected life of the options of six years, a risk-free interest rate of 2.98%, an expected dividend yield of 3.00% and an expected volatility of 55.12%. The resultant stock-based compensation expense of \$3,500,000 will be recognized over the requisite service period.

The risk-free interest rate is equal to the yield that was available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues at the date of grant with a remaining term equal to the expected life of the options. The expected life of the options was estimated by considering historical exercise experience of the employee group and the vesting period of the awards. The expected dividend yield was based on a dividend amount giving consideration to the Corporation's future expectations of dividend increases over the expected life of the options. The expected volatility was based on the historical prices of the Corporation's stock and dividend amounts over the past six years, a period equal to the expected life of the stock options.

Stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 equaled \$1,644,000 and \$664,000, respectively. The related income tax benefit recognized in the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the related periods was approximately \$575,000 and \$232,000.

10. Fair Value

The Corporation's financial assets and liabilities that are reported at fair value in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2010 were as follows:

	(in thousands)			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Inputs (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Total
Investments				
Other noncurrent assets	\$ 2,732	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,732
Foreign currency exchange (sales and purchase) contracts				
Other current assets	-	2,005	-	2,005
Other noncurrent assets	-	588	-	588
Other current liabilities	-	1,118	-	1,118
Other noncurrent liabilities	-	221	-	221

11. Business Segments

Presented below are the net sales and income before income taxes for the Corporation's two business segments.

	(in thousands)	
	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010	2009
Net sales:		
Forged and Cast Rolls	\$ 60,148	\$ 57,423
Air and Liquid Processing	22,178	28,332
Total Reportable Segments	\$ 82,326	\$ 85,755
Income before income taxes:		
Forged and Cast Rolls	\$ 13,465	\$ 13,957
Air and Liquid Processing	1,795	2,221
Total Reportable Segments	15,260	16,178
Other expense, including corporate costs – net	(2,939)	(4,401)
Total	\$ 12,321	\$ 11,777

12.Litigation (claims not in thousands)

Litigation

The Corporation and its subsidiaries are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to their businesses. In addition, it is also subject to asbestos litigation as described below.

Asbestos Litigation

Claims have been asserted alleging personal injury from exposure to asbestos-containing components historically used in some products of certain of the Corporation's operating subsidiaries ("Asbestos Liability") and of an inactive subsidiary in dissolution and another former division of the Corporation. Those subsidiaries, and in some cases the Corporation, are defendants (among a number of defendants, typically over 50) in cases filed in various state and federal courts.

Asbestos Claims

The following table reflects approximate information about the claims for Asbestos Liability against the subsidiaries and the Corporation, along with certain asbestos claims asserted against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution and the former division, for the three months ended March 31, 2010:

Approximate open claims at end of period	8,330	(1)
Gross settlement and defense costs (in 000's)	\$3,595	
Approximate claims settled or dismissed	173	

(1) Included as "open claims" are approximately 1,931 claims classified in various jurisdictions as "inactive" or transferred to a state or federal judicial panel on multi-district litigation, commonly referred to as the MDL.

A substantial majority of the settlement and defense costs reflected in the above table were reported and paid by insurers. Because claims are often filed and can be settled or dismissed in large groups, the amount and timing of settlements, as well as the number of open claims, can fluctuate significantly from period to period. In 2006, for the first time, a claim for Asbestos Liability against one of the Corporation's subsidiaries was tried to a jury. The trial resulted in a defense verdict. Plaintiffs appealed that verdict and in 2008 the California Court of Appeals reversed the jury verdict and remanded the case back to the trial court.

Asbestos Insurance

Certain of the Corporation's subsidiaries and the Corporation have an arrangement (the "Coverage Arrangement") with insurers responsible for historical primary and some umbrella insurance coverage for Asbestos Liability (the "Paying Insurers"). Under the Coverage Arrangement, the Paying Insurers accept financial responsibility, subject to the limits of the policies and based on fixed defense percentages and specified indemnity allocation formulas, for a substantial majority of the pending claims for Asbestos Liability. The claims against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution of the Corporation, approximately 324 as of March 31, 2010, are not included within the Coverage Arrangement. The one claim filed against the former division also is not included within the Coverage Arrangement. The Corporation believes that the claims against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution and the former division are immaterial.

The Coverage Arrangement includes an acknowledgement that Howden Buffalo, Inc. ("Howden") is entitled to coverage under policies covering Asbestos Liability for claims arising out of the historical products manufactured or distributed by Buffalo Forge, a former subsidiary of the Corporation (the "Products"). The Coverage Arrangement does not provide for any prioritization on access to the applicable policies or monetary cap other than the limits of the policies, and, accordingly, Howden may access the policies at any time for any covered claim arising out of a Product. In general, access by Howden to the policies covering the Products will erode the coverage under the policies available to the Corporation and the relevant subsidiaries for Asbestos Liability alleged to arise out of not only the Products but also

other historical products of the Corporation and its subsidiaries covered by the applicable policies.

On August 4, 2009, Howden filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania against the Corporation, two insurance companies that allegedly issued policies to Howden that are not relevant to the Corporation, and two other insurance companies that issued excess insurance policies covering certain subsidiaries of the Corporation (the "Excess Policies"), but that are not yet part of the Coverage Arrangement. In the lawsuit, Howden seeks a declaratory judgment from the court as to the respective rights and obligations of Howden, the Corporation and the insurance carriers under the

- 12 -

Excess Policies. One of the excess carriers and the Corporation have filed cross-claims against each other seeking declarations regarding their respective rights and obligations under Excess Policies issued by that carrier. The Corporation's cross-claim also seeks damages for the carrier's failure to pay certain defense and indemnity costs.

Asbestos Valuations

In 2006, the Corporation retained Hamilton, Rabinovitz & Associates, Inc. ("HR&A"), a nationally recognized expert in the valuation of asbestos liabilities, to assist the Corporation in estimating the potential liability for pending and unasserted future claims for Asbestos Liability. HR&A was not requested to estimate asbestos claims against the inactive subsidiary in dissolution or the former division, which the Corporation believes are immaterial. Based on this analysis, the Corporation recorded a reserve for Asbestos Liability claims pending or projected to be asserted through 2013 as at December 31, 2006. HR&A's analysis most recently was updated in 2008, and additional reserves were established by the Corporation as at December 31, 2008 for Asbestos Liability claims pending or projected to be asserted through 2018. The methodology used by HR&A in its projection in 2008 of the operating subsidiaries' liability for pending and unasserted potential future claims for Asbestos Liability, which is substantially the same as the methodology employed by HR&A in the 2006 estimate, relied upon and included the following factors:

- HR&A's interpretation of a widely accepted forecast of the population likely to have been exposed to asbestos; epidemiological studies estimating the number of people likely to develop asbestos-related diseases;

- HR&A's analysis of the number of people likely to file an asbestos-related injury claim against the subsidiaries and the Corporation based on such

- epidemiological data and relevant claims history from January 1, 2006 to September 30, 2008;

- an analysis of pending cases, by type of injury claimed and jurisdiction where the claim is filed;

- an analysis of claims resolution history from January 1, 2006 to September 30, 2008 to determine the average settlement value of claims, by type of

- injury claimed and jurisdiction of filing; and

- an adjustment for inflation in the future average settlement value of claims, at an annual inflation rate based on the Congressional Budget Office's ten year forecast of inflation.

Using this information, HR&A estimated in 2008 the number of future claims for Asbestos Liability that would be filed through the year 2018, as well as the settlement or indemnity costs that would be incurred to resolve both pending and future unasserted claims through 2018. This methodology has been accepted by numerous courts. For purposes of its condensed consolidated financial statements for the three months ended March 31, 2010, the Corporation reviewed its current Asbestos Liability and ultimately utilized the estimate by HR&A completed in 2008, as updated by the Corporation to reflect its Asbestos Liability expenditures through March 31, 2010.

In conjunction with developing the aggregate liability estimate referenced above, the Corporation also developed an estimate of probable insurance recoveries for its asbestos liabilities. In developing the estimate, the Corporation considered HR&A's projection for settlement or indemnity costs for Asbestos Liability and management's projection of associated defense costs (based on current defense cost levels with an annual 5% inflation factor), as well as a number of additional factors. These additional factors included the Coverage Arrangement, self-insured retentions, policy exclusions, policy limits, policy provisions regarding coverage for defense costs, attachment points, prior impairment of policies and gaps in the coverage, policy exhaustions, insolvencies among certain of the insurance carriers, the nature of the underlying claims for Asbestos Liability asserted against the subsidiaries and the Corporation as reflected in the Corporation's asbestos claims database, as well as estimated erosion of insurance limits on account of claims against Howden arising out of the Products. In addition to consulting with the Corporation's outside legal counsel on these insurance matters, the Corporation retained in 2008 a nationally-recognized insurance consulting firm to assist the Corporation with certain policy allocation matters that also are among the several factors considered by the Corporation when analyzing potential recoveries from relevant historical insurance for Asbestos Liabilities. Based upon all of the factors considered by the Corporation, and taking into account the Corporation's analysis of publicly available information regarding the credit-worthiness of various insurers, the Corporation estimated the probable insurance recoveries for Asbestos Liability and defense costs through 2018. Although the Corporation believes that the assumptions employed in the insurance valuation were reasonable and previously consulted with its outside legal counsel and insurance consultant regarding those assumptions, there are other assumptions that could have been employed that would have resulted in materially lower insurance recovery projections.

Based on the analyses described above, the Corporation's reserve at December 31, 2008 for the total costs, including defense costs, for Asbestos Liability claims pending or projected to be asserted through 2018 was \$207,014,000, of which approximately 86% was attributable to settlement costs for unasserted claims projected to be filed through 2018 and future defense costs. The reserve at March 31, 2010 was \$172,741,000. While it is reasonably possible that the

- 13 -

Corporation will incur additional charges for Asbestos Liability and defense costs in excess of the amounts currently reserved, the Corporation believes that there is too much uncertainty to provide for reasonable estimation of the number of future claims, the nature of such claims and the cost to resolve them beyond 2018. Accordingly, no reserve has been recorded for any costs that may be incurred after 2018.

The Corporation's receivable at December 31, 2008 for insurance recoveries attributable to the claims for which the Corporation's Asbestos Liability reserve has been established, including the portion of incurred defense costs covered by the Coverage Arrangement, and the probable payments and reimbursements relating to the estimated indemnity and defense costs for pending and unasserted future Asbestos Liability claims, was \$136,176,000 (\$112,323,000 as of March 31, 2010). The insurance receivable recorded by the Corporation does not assume any recovery from insolvent carriers, and substantially all of the insurance recoveries deemed probable were from insurance companies rated A – (excellent) or better by A.M. Best Corporation. There can be no assurance, however, that there will not be further insolvencies among the relevant insurance carriers, or that the assumed percentage recoveries for certain carriers will prove correct. The \$70,838,000 difference between insurance recoveries and projected costs at December 31, 2008 is not due to exhaustion of all insurance coverage for Asbestos Liability. The Corporation and the subsidiaries have substantial additional insurance coverage which the Corporation expects to be available for Asbestos Liability claims and defense costs the subsidiaries and it may incur after 2018. However, this insurance coverage also can be expected to have gaps creating significant shortfalls of insurance recoveries as against claims expense, which could be material in future years.

The amounts recorded by the Corporation for Asbestos Liabilities and insurance receivables rely on assumptions that are based on currently known facts and strategy. The Corporation's actual expenses or insurance recoveries could be significantly higher or lower than those recorded if assumptions used in the Corporation's or HR&A's calculations vary significantly from actual results. Key variables in these assumptions are identified above and include the number and type of new claims to be filed each year, the average cost of disposing of each such new claim, average annual defense costs, the resolution of coverage issues with insurance carriers, and the solvency risk with respect to the relevant insurance carriers. Other factors that may affect the Corporation's Asbestos Liability and ability to recover under its insurance policies include uncertainties surrounding the litigation process from jurisdiction to jurisdiction and from case to case, reforms that may be made by state and federal courts, and the passage of state or federal tort reform legislation.

The Corporation intends to evaluate its estimated Asbestos Liability and related insurance receivables as well as the underlying assumptions on a regular basis to determine whether any adjustments to the estimates are required. Due to the uncertainties surrounding asbestos litigation and insurance, these regular reviews may result in the Corporation incurring future charges; however, the Corporation is currently unable to estimate such future charges. Adjustments, if any, to the Corporation's estimate of its recorded Asbestos Liability and/or insurance receivables could be material to operating results for the periods in which the adjustments to the liability or receivable are recorded, and to the Corporation's liquidity and consolidated financial position.

13. Environmental Matters

The Corporation is currently performing certain remedial actions in connection with the sale of real estate previously owned and has been named a Potentially Responsible Party at three third-party landfill sites. In addition, as a result of a sale of a segment, the Corporation retained the liability to remediate certain environmental contamination at two of the sold locations, one of which has been completed, and has agreed to indemnify the buyer against third-party claims arising from the discharge of certain contamination from one of these locations, the cost for which was accrued at the time of sale.

Environmental exposures are difficult to assess and estimate for numerous reasons including lack of reliable data, the multiplicity of possible solutions, the years of remedial and monitoring activity required, and identification of new sites. In the opinion of management and in consideration of advice from the Corporation's consultants, the potential liability for all environmental proceedings of approximately \$789,000 at March 31, 2010 is considered adequate based on information known to date.

- 14 -

ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Executive Overview

The Corporation operates in two business segments – Forged and Cast Rolls and Air and Liquid Processing. Business activity for the Forged and Cast Rolls group has begun to show signs of improvement. Additionally, weakness of the British Pound Sterling against most regional currencies is contributing further to the demand for rolling mill rolls for our U.K. operations. Pricing pressures from customers continue however. For the Air and Liquid Processing group, industrial activity is weak and recovery is not expected in the immediate future.

Consolidated Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 and 2009

Net Sales. Net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 were \$82,326,000 and \$85,755,000, respectively. Backlog approximated \$467,796,000 at March 31, 2010 versus \$501,311,000 as of December 31, 2009 and \$618,935,000 as of March 31, 2009. A discussion of sales and backlog for the Corporation's two segments is included below.

Costs of Products Sold. Costs of products sold, excluding depreciation, as a percentage of net sales for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 were comparable and approximated 69.0% and 69.7% for the respective periods.

Selling and Administrative. The increase in selling and administrative expenses is primarily due to the recognition of stock-based compensation costs associated with the February 2010 stock option grant of \$1,264,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Total stock-based compensation expense equaled \$1,644,000 and \$664,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Depreciation. The increase in depreciation expense is associated with the assets placed in service as a result of the major capital investment program that began in 2008 for the Forged and Cast Rolls segment.

Income from Operations. Income from operations for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 approximated \$11,664,000 and \$13,541,000, respectively. A discussion of operating results for the Corporation's two segments is included below.

Forged and Cast Rolls. Sales for the quarter were better than the comparable prior year period attributable to an improvement in the volume of shipments, particularly for the U.K. cast roll operations. Despite the contribution from the additional sales and increased production volumes, operating income was less than the prior year quarter which benefited from significantly higher variable-index surcharge revenues. Backlog approximated \$432,741,000 at March 31, 2010 against \$468,500,000 as of December 31, 2009 and \$566,436,000 as of March 31, 2009. The decline is a result of shipments outpacing new orders. In addition, the Forged and Cast Rolls group has commitments of approximately \$56,000,000 from customers under long-term supply arrangements which will be included in backlog upon receipt of specific purchase orders closer to the requirement dates for delivery. Approximately \$254,000,000 of the current backlog is expected to ship after 2010.

Air and Liquid Processing. Sales and operating income for the segment decreased when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009. Specifically, Buffalo Pumps has been adversely affected by a reduction in the volume of pumps supplied to the energy sector and for the U.S. Navy. With minimal new-construction activity, Buffalo Air Handling continues to operate at a break-even level. Although sales for Aerofin have declined as a result of reduced activity with its utility customers, the impact to operating income was minimized by lower commissions and operating costs. As of March 31, 2010, backlog approximated \$35,055,000 in comparison to \$32,811,000 as of December 31, 2009 and \$52,499,000 as of March 31, 2009. The reduction in backlog from a year ago is reflective of the economic slowdown in its markets and the fall off in new business which began in the latter part of 2009. The majority of the current backlog is expected to ship in 2010.

Other Income (Expense). The fluctuation in other income (expense) is primarily attributable to foreign exchange gains during the current year quarter versus foreign exchange losses in the first quarter of the prior year.

- 15 -

Income Taxes. The decrease in the effective income tax rate between the two periods is partially attributable to a change in the composition of projected net income before income taxes. For 2010, a higher proportion of net income before income taxes is anticipated to be generated by the U.K. operation which is taxed at a statutory rate of 28% versus a statutory federal rate of 35% in the U.S. Additionally, higher beneficial permanent differences and lower state taxes further reduced the estimated effective income tax rate for 2010.

Net Income and Earnings per Common Share. As a result of the above, the Corporation's net income for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009 equaled \$8,211,000 or \$0.80 per common share and \$7,319,000 or \$0.72 per common share, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Net cash flows provided by operating activities decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2010 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2009. The decrease is principally due to an increase in accounts receivables reflective of the higher volume of shipments for the Forged and Cast Rolls group.

The decrease in net cash flows used in investing activities is partially attributable to Union Electric Steel making its final contributions toward its 49% interest in its Chinese joint venture in 2009. Additionally, in the first quarter of 2009, Davy Roll was required to deposit in escrow approximately \$4,326,000 (£3,000,000) to be held as collateral for its outstanding foreign currency exchange contracts. A portion of these monies were returned to Davy Roll in 2009 and no further deposits have been required to date. The increase in capital expenditures is associated with the Forged and Cast Rolls group and its in-process capital investment program. As of March 31, 2010, future capital expenditures approximating \$17,982,000, to be spent over the next 12 – 18 months, have been approved.

The effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents for the three months ended March 31, 2010 is related to the decline in the value of the U.K. pound sterling against the U.S. dollar.

As a result of the above, cash and cash equivalents decreased \$4,616,000 in 2010 and ended the period at \$61,825,000 in comparison to \$66,441,000 at December 31, 2009.

Funds on hand and funds generated from future operations are expected to be sufficient to finance the operational and capital expenditure requirements of the Corporation. The Corporation also maintains short-term lines of credit and an overdraft facility in excess of the cash needs of its businesses. The total available at March 31, 2010 was approximately \$9,000,000 (including £3,000,000 in the U.K. and €400,000 in Belgium).

Litigation and Environmental Matters

See Notes 12 and 13 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Critical Accounting Pronouncements

The Corporation's critical accounting policies, as summarized in its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, remain unchanged.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Forward-Looking Statements

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other sections of the Form 10-Q contain forward-looking statements that reflect the Corporation's current views with respect to future events and financial performance.

Forward-looking statements are identified by the use of the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "projects," "forecasts" and other expressions that indicate future events and trends. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date on which such statements are made, are not guarantees of future performance or expectations and involve risks and uncertainties. For the Corporation, these risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to, those described under Item 1A, Risk Factors, of Part II of this Form 10-Q. In addition, there may be events in the future that the Corporation is not able to accurately predict or

- 16 -

control which may cause actual results to differ materially from expectations expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. The Corporation undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement whether as a result of new information, events or otherwise.

ITEM 3 – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There were no material changes in the Corporation's exposure to market risk from December 31, 2009.

ITEM 4 – CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Disclosure controls and procedures. An evaluation of the effectiveness of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report was carried out under the supervision, and with the participation, of management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer. Disclosure controls and procedures are defined under Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rules as controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required time periods. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by an issuer in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the issuer's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on that evaluation, the Corporation's management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2010.

(c) Changes in internal control over financial reporting. There were no changes in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2010, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, its internal control over financial reporting.

- 17 -

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION

Item 1 Legal Proceedings

The information contained in Note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements (Litigation) is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A Risk Factors

There are no material changes to the Risk Factors contained in Item 1A to Part I of the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.

Items 2-5 None

Item 6 Exhibits

(3) Articles of Incorporation and By-laws

(a) Articles of Incorporation

Incorporated by reference to the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended March 31, 1983, March 31, 1984, March 31, 1985, March 31, 1987 and September 30, 1998.

(b) By-laws

Incorporated by reference to the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarters ended September 30, 1994, March 31, 1996, June 30, 2001 and June 30, 2004.

(10) Material Contracts

(a) 1988 Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

Incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

(b) Severance Agreements between Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation and certain officers and employees of Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation

Incorporated by reference to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

(c) 2008 Omnibus Incentive Plan

Incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement dated March 6, 2008.

(d) Retirement and Consulting Agreement between Ampco-Pittsburgh Corporation and Ernest G. Siddons dated April 30, 2009.

Incorporated by reference to the Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009.

- 18 -

(31.1) Certification of the principal executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(31.2) Certification of the principal financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(32.1) Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(32.2) Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

- 19 -

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION

DATE: May 10, 2010

BY: s/Robert A. Paul
Robert A. Paul
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

DATE: May 10, 2010

BY: s/Marliss D. Johnson
Marliss D. Johnson
Vice President, Controller and Treasurer

- 20 -

AMPCO-PITTSBURGH CORPORATION

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit	(31.1)	Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
	(31.2)	Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
	(32.1)	Certification of principal executive officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
	(32.2)	Certification of principal financial officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

