

IMMUNOMEDICS INC
Form 10-Q
May 09, 2018
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ (X) QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2018

or

☐ () TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 0-12104

Immunomedics, Inc.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-Q

Delaware

61-1009366

(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

incorporation or organization)

300 The American Road, Morris Plains, New Jersey 07950

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(973) 605-8200

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year,

If Changed Since Last Report: Not Applicable

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "accelerated filer", "large accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer

Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company Emerging Growth Company

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-Q

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 7(a)(2)(B) of the Securities Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of May 7, 2018 was 167,346,526.

Table of Contents

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I: FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2017 1

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the Three and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 2

Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 3

Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements 4

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS 31

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK 48

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES 48

PART II: OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS 48

ITEM RISK FACTORS
1A. 53

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS 70

EXHIBIT INDEX 71

SIGNATURES 72

Table of Contents

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(UNAUDITED)

	March 31, 2018	June 30, 2017
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 306,746,267	\$ 43,393,570
Marketable securities	52,046,411	111,508,225
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,941 at March 31, 2018 and \$9,371 at June 30, 2017	195,046	488,723
Inventory	—	580,016
Prepaid expenses	4,276,134	891,284
Other current assets	5,894,556	436,344
Total current assets	369,158,414	157,298,162
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$30,501,227 and \$29,560,955 at March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2017, respectively	10,669,146	5,245,230
Other long-term assets	60,000	30,000
Total Assets	\$ 379,887,560	\$ 162,573,392
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)		
Current Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 23,196,457	\$ 31,366,976
Warrant liabilities	70,331,191	90,706,206
Deferred revenues	93,669	170,967
Total current liabilities	93,621,317	122,244,149
Convertible senior notes – net of unamortized debt issuance costs of \$273,683 at March 31, 2018 and \$1,915,781 at June 30, 2017	19,726,317	98,084,219
Non-recourse debt	182,216,000	—
Accrued interest expense on non-recourse debt	10,626,390	—
Other long-term liabilities	1,878,100	1,708,272
Total Liabilities	308,068,124	222,036,640
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 13)	—	—
Stockholders' Equity (Deficit):		
Convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 10,000,000 shares; no shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2018 and 1,000,000 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2017	—	10,000
Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized 250,000,000 shares; issued 167,255,886 shares and outstanding 167,221,161 shares at March 31, 2018; issued 110,344,643 shares and outstanding 110,309,918 shares at June 30, 2017	1,672,558	1,103,446
Capital contributed in excess of par	750,391,976 (458,370)	462,666,366 (458,370)

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-Q

Treasury stock, at cost: 34,725 shares at March 31, 2018 and at June 30, 2017

Accumulated deficit	(678,519,376)	(521,710,899)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(457,183)	(302,710)
Total Immunomedics, Inc. stockholders' equity (deficit)	72,629,605	(58,692,167)
Noncontrolling interest in subsidiary	(810,169)	(771,081)
Total stockholders' equity (deficit)	71,819,436	(59,463,248)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	\$ 379,887,560	\$ 162,573,392

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF

COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(UNAUDITED)

	Three months ended March 31,		Nine months ended March 31,	
	2018	2017	2018	2017
Revenues:				
Product sales	\$ 450,353	\$ 921,675	\$ 1,500,625	\$ 1,836,157
License fee and other revenues	14,886	257,442	79,956	282,556
Research and development	16,987	144,322	189,419	330,702
Total revenues	482,226	1,323,439	1,770,000	2,449,415
Costs and Expenses:				
Costs of goods sold	46,801	135,585	613,591	437,452
Research and development	28,843,102	12,491,290	71,680,166	39,765,180
Sales and marketing	2,365,975	302,509	3,781,959	703,440
General and administrative	6,853,767	10,514,243	14,346,449	13,969,783
Total costs and expenses	38,109,645	23,443,627	90,422,165	54,875,855
Operating loss	(37,627,419)	(22,120,188)	(88,652,165)	(52,426,440)
Changes in fair market value of warrant liabilities	9,835,441	(28,336,865)	(49,774,638)	(35,567,205)
Warrant related expenses	—	(7,649,395)	—	(7,649,395)
Interest expense	(10,900,381)	(1,369,955)	(13,821,273)	(4,109,866)
Interest and other income, net	1,129,489	64,574	1,927,811	219,536
Other financing expenses	—	—	(13,005,329)	(346,568)
Insurance reimbursement	1,930,233	—	6,296,370	—
Foreign currency transaction gain, net	74,514	91,471	181,659	(117,476)
Loss before income tax benefit	(35,558,123)	(59,320,358)	(156,847,565)	(99,997,414)
Income tax expense	—	—	—	—
Net loss	(35,558,123)	(59,320,358)	(156,847,565)	(99,997,414)
Less: Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interest	(11,895)	(14,340)	(39,088)	(46,164)
Net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. stockholders	\$ (35,546,228)	\$ (59,306,018)	\$ (156,808,477)	\$ (99,951,250)
Loss per common share attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. stockholders (basic and diluted):	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.55)	\$ (1.08)	\$ (0.97)
Weighted average shares used to calculate loss per common share (basic and diluted)	166,054,266	107,839,947	145,119,409	102,756,818

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-Q

Other comprehensive (loss) income,
net of tax:

Foreign currency translation

adjustments	(66,419)	(52,247)	(155,058)	58,569
-------------	----------	----------	-----------	--------

Unrealized gain (loss) on securities

available for sale	10,321	5,467	585	(52,489)
--------------------	--------	-------	-----	----------

Other comprehensive (loss) income,
net of tax:

	(56,098)	(46,780)	(154,473)	6,080
--	----------	----------	-----------	-------

Comprehensive loss	(35,614,221)	(59,367,138)	(157,002,038)	(99,991,334)
--------------------	--------------	--------------	---------------	--------------

Less comprehensive loss

attributable to noncontrolling				
--------------------------------	--	--	--	--

interest	(11,895)	(14,340)	(39,088)	(46,164)
----------	----------	----------	----------	----------

Comprehensive loss attributable to

Immunomedics, Inc. stockholders	\$ (35,602,326)	\$ (59,352,798)	\$ (156,962,950)	\$ (99,945,170)
---------------------------------	-----------------	-----------------	------------------	-----------------

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2018	2017
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net loss	\$ (156,847,565)	\$ (99,997,414)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Changes in fair value of warrant liabilities	49,774,638	35,567,205
Warrant related expense	—	7,649,395
Depreciation and amortization	940,272	633,079
Loss on induced exchanges of debt	13,005,329	—
Amortization of deferred revenue	(77,298)	(43,230)
Amortization of bond premiums	—	205,071
Amortization of debt issuance costs	1,552,785	547,366
Amortization of deferred rent	169,828	18,062
(Gain) loss on sale of marketable securities	—	15,682
Increase (decrease) in allowance for doubtful accounts	(7,430)	(52,561)
Other	(296,096)	467,340
Non-cash expense related to stock compensation	2,673,184	2,593,085
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable – net of reserve	293,677	(306,795)
Other receivables	(30,000)	125,578
Inventories – net of reserve	580,016	(335,091)
Other current assets	(5,458,212)	43,467
Prepaid expenses	(3,384,850)	274,515
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(9,467,734)	4,409,509
Accrued interest on non-recourse debt	10,626,390	—
Net cash used in operating activities	(95,953,066)	(48,185,737)
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of marketable securities	(10,380,182)	(29,160,546)
Proceeds from sales/maturities of marketable securities	69,841,996	42,240,521
Purchases of property and equipment	(4,929,765)	(829,325)
Net cash provided by investing activities	54,532,049	12,250,650
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Sale of common stock and warrants, net of related expenses	123,508,937	43,278,473
Exercise of stock options and warrants	997,208	1,812,780
Proceeds from the issuance of non-recourse debt	182,217,000	—
Debt conversion fees	(530,064)	—
Tax withholding payments for stock compensation	(1,523,183)	(448,625)
Net cash provided by financing activities	304,669,898	44,642,628
Effect of changes in exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents	103,816	(12,698)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	263,352,697	8,694,843

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-Q

Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period	43,393,570	13,203,625
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$ 306,746,267	\$ 21,898,468
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Interest paid	\$ 2,850,000	\$ 4,750,000
Schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Convertible Senior Notes converted to common stock	\$ 80,000,000	\$ —
Accrued capital expenditures	\$ 1,439,090	\$ —
Reclass of warrant liability to capital contributed in excess of par	\$ 70,149,633	\$ —
See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED

FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Reference is made to the Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended on Form 10-K/A of Immunomedics, Inc., a Delaware corporation (“Immunomedics,” the “Company,” “we,” “our” or “us”), for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2017, which contains our audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto.

1. Business Overview and Basis of Presentation

Immunomedics is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company that develops monoclonal antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer and other serious diseases. Our corporate objective is to become a fully-integrated biopharmaceutical company and a leader in the field of antibody-drug conjugates (“ADCs”). To that end, our immediate priority is to commercialize our most advanced ADC product candidate, sacituzumab govitecan (“IMMU-132”), beginning in the U.S., with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (“mTNBC”) as the first indication. We plan to submit a Biologics License Application (“BLA”) to the United States Food and Drug Administration (“FDA”) by the end of May 2018 for accelerated approval of sacituzumab govitecan for the treatment of patients with mTNBC who have received at least two prior therapies for metastatic disease.

The Company has two foreign subsidiaries, Immunomedics B.V. in the Netherlands and Immunomedics GmbH in Rodermark, Germany, that assist the Company in clinical trials in Europe. The accompanying condensed financial statements include results for its two foreign subsidiaries and its majority-owned U.S. subsidiary, IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“IBC”).

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Immunomedics, which incorporate our subsidiaries, have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), for interim financial information and the instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and Regulation S-X. Accordingly, the statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete annual financial statements. With respect to the financial information for the interim periods included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which is unaudited, management believes that all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals), considered necessary for a fair presentation of the results for such interim periods have been included. Operating results for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full fiscal year ending June 30, 2018, or any other period.

Immunomedics is subject to significant risks and uncertainties, including, without limitation, the Company’s inability to further identify, develop and achieve commercial success for new products and technologies; the possibility of delays in the research and development necessary to select drug development candidates and delays in clinical trials; the risk that clinical trials may not result in marketable products; the risk that the Company may be unable to secure regulatory approval of and market its drug candidates; the development or regulatory approval of competing products; the Company’s ability to protect its proprietary technologies; patent-infringement claims; and risks of new, changing and competitive technologies and regulations in the United States and internationally.

Since its inception in 1982, Immunomedics’ principal sources of funds have been the private and public sale of equity and debt securities, and revenues from licensing agreements, including up-front and milestone payments, funding of development programs, and other forms of funding from collaborations. Historically, sources of revenue have included sales of LeukoScan®, grants, and license fees and other

Table of Contents

revenue, however, in order to focus on its ADC business, the Company discontinued the sale of LeukoScan® during February 2018.

As of March 31, 2018 the Company had \$358.8 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. On January 8, 2018, the Company announced that it had agreed to sell tiered, sales-based royalty rights on global net sales of sacituzumab govitecan to RPI Finance Trust (“RPI”) for \$175.0 million. RPI also purchased \$75.0 million in common stock of Immunomedics, at \$17.15 per share, which represented a more than 15% premium over the stock’s 15-day trailing average closing price at that time. The total \$250.0 million funding included in its cash balance as of March 31, 2018, provided Immunomedics with the resources required to support the Company’s next phase of growth as it focuses on developing sacituzumab govitecan in mTNBC, advanced urothelial cancer and other indications of high medical need and on further building its clinical, medical affairs, commercial and manufacturing infrastructure and to fund operations into 2020. During that time the Company plans to file a BLA with the FDA for accelerated approval of sacituzumab govitecan for patients with mTNBC in the U.S., to continue manufacturing sacituzumab govitecan at a large scale to prepare for and supply commercial operations in the U.S., to continue the Phase 3 ASCENT trial of sacituzumab govitecan for mTNBC patients, to invest in further clinical development of sacituzumab govitecan and other pipeline assets, and to launch sacituzumab govitecan as a commercial product in the U.S. initially as a treatment for patients with mTNBC who have received at least two prior therapies for metastatic disease.

The Company will require additional funding in 2020 to complete its clinical trials currently underway or planned, to continue research and new development programs, to expand commercial applications for sacituzumab govitecan into earlier lines of therapy for mTNBC patients and for patients with other types of cancer indications, such as advanced urothelial cancer and other indications with high, unmet medical need, as a mono and combination therapy, and to continue operations. Potential sources of funding include the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing the Company’s full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA’s approval), and potential equity and debt financing.

The Company expects to continue to fund its operations with its current financial resources. In order to meet its cash needs, the Company may also enter into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing the Company’s full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, or the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA’s approval). In 2020, if the Company cannot obtain sufficient funding, it could be required to finance future cash needs through the sale of additional equity and/or debt securities in capital markets. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to raise the additional capital needed to complete its pipeline of research and development programs on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. The capital markets have experienced volatility in recent years, which has resulted in uncertainty with respect to availability of capital and hence the timing to meet an entity’s liquidity needs. The Company’s existing debt may also negatively impact the Company’s ability to raise additional capital. If the Company is unable to raise capital on acceptable terms, its ability to continue its business would be materially and adversely affected.

2.Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

These unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended on Form 10-K/A for the year ended June 30, 2017. The Company adheres to the same accounting policies in preparation of its interim financial statements.

Table of Contents

Principles of Consolidation and Presentation

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Immunomedics and its subsidiaries. Noncontrolling interests in consolidated subsidiaries in the condensed consolidated balance sheets represent minority stockholders' proportionate share of the deficit in such subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Reclassifications

Certain amounts presented on the Company's prior year consolidated balance sheet have been reclassified to conform to current period classification.

Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, other current assets and current liabilities approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of these instruments. The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased to be cash equivalents.

Marketable Securities

Marketable securities, all of which are available-for-sale, consist of corporate debt securities, U.S. bonds, U.S. sponsored agencies and municipal bonds. Corporate debt securities include Eurodollar issues of U.S. corporations, and U.S. dollar denominated issues of foreign corporations. Marketable securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses, net of related income taxes, reported as accumulated other comprehensive loss, except for losses from impairments which are determined to be other-than-temporary. Realized gains and losses, and declines in value judged to be other-than-temporary on available-for-sale securities are included in the determination of net loss and are included in interest and other income (net), at which time the average cost basis of these securities are adjusted to fair value. Fair values are based on quoted market prices at the reporting date. Interest and dividends on available-for-sale securities are included in interest and other income (net).

Inventory

Inventory, which consists of the raw materials, work-in-process and finished product of LeukoScan®, is stated at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. The Company will capitalize inventory costs associated with the Company's product candidate, sacituzumab govitecan, after regulatory approval when, based on management's judgment, future commercialization is considered probable and the future economic benefit is expected to be realized; otherwise, such costs are expensed as research and development. In addition, the Company's product is subject to strict quality control and monitoring which the Company performs throughout the manufacturing process. If certain batches or units of product no longer meet quality specification or become obsolete due to expiration, the Company records a charge to cost of sales sold to write down such unmarketable inventory to zero.

In order to focus on its ADC business, the Company discontinued the sale of LeukoScan® during February 2018. All inventory was sold through as of March 31, 2018.

Table of Contents

Revenue Recognition

The Company has accounted for revenue arrangements that include multiple deliverables as a separate unit of accounting if both of the following criteria are met: a) the delivered item has value to the customer on a standalone basis, and b) if the right of return exists, delivery of the undelivered items is considered probable and substantially in the control of the vendor. If these criteria are not met, the revenue elements must be considered a single unit of accounting for purposes of revenue recognition. The Company allocates revenue consideration, excluding contingent consideration, based on the relative selling prices of the separate units of accounting contained within an arrangement containing multiple deliverables. Relative selling prices are determined using vendor specific objective evidence, if it exists; otherwise third-party evidence or the Company's best estimate of selling price is used for each deliverable.

Payments received under contracts to fund certain research activities are recognized as revenue in the period in which the research activities are performed. Payments received in advance that are related to future performance are deferred and recognized as revenue when the research projects are performed. Upfront nonrefundable fees associated with license and development agreements where the Company has continuing involvement in the agreement are recorded as deferred revenue and recognized over the estimated service period. The Company estimates the period of continuing involvement based on the best evidential matter available at each reporting period. If the estimated service period is subsequently modified, the period over which the upfront fee is recognized is modified accordingly on a prospective basis.

In order to determine the revenue recognition for contingent milestones, the Company evaluates the contingent milestones using the criteria as provided by the Financial Accounting Standards Boards ("FASB") guidance on the milestone method of revenue recognition, as explained in ASU 2010-17, "Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition," at the inception of a collaboration agreement. The criteria requires that (i) the Company determines if the milestone is commensurate with either its performance to achieve the milestone or the enhancement of value resulting from the Company's activities to achieve the milestone, (ii) the milestone be related to past performance, and (iii) the milestone be reasonable relative to all deliverable and payment terms of the collaboration arrangement. If these criteria are met then the contingent milestones can be considered as substantive milestones and will be recognized as revenue in the period that the milestone is achieved. Royalties are recognized as earned in accordance with the terms of various research and collaboration agreements.

Revenue from the sale of diagnostic products is recorded when there is persuasive evidence that an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred, the price is fixed and determinable or collectability is reasonably assured. Allowances, if any, are established for uncollectible amounts, estimated product returns and discounts. Since allowances are recorded based on management's estimates, actual amounts may be different in the future.

Research and Development Costs

Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. Costs incurred for clinical trials for patients and investigators are expensed as services are performed in accordance with the agreements in place with the institutions. Research and development costs include salaries and benefits, costs associated with producing biopharmaceutical compounds, laboratory supplies, the costs of conducting clinical trials, and facilities costs. In addition, the Company uses clinical research organizations (CRO) and contract manufacturing operations (CMO) to outsource portions of our research and development activities.

Advances paid to CROs are capitalized as prepaids until services are performed and total \$3.2 million and \$25 thousand at March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2017, respectively. Similarly, advances paid to CMOs for

Table of Contents

purchases of raw materials are capitalized to other current assets and are expensed as research and development expenses. Amounts capitalized to other current assets related to CMOs at March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2017 were \$5.1 million and \$0, respectively.

Reimbursement of Research & Development Costs

Research and development costs that are reimbursable under collaboration agreements are included as a reduction of research and development expenses. The Company records these reimbursements as a reduction of research and development expenses as the Company's partner in the collaboration agreement has the financial risks and responsibility for conducting these research and development activities.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company utilizes stock-based compensation in the form of stock options, stock appreciation rights, stock awards, stock unit awards, performance shares, cash-based performance units and other stock-based awards, each of which may be granted separately or in tandem with other awards.

The grant-date fair value of stock awards is based upon the underlying price of the stock on the date of grant. The grant-date fair value of stock option awards must be determined using an option pricing model. Option pricing models require the use of estimates and assumptions as to (a) the expected term of the option, (b) the expected volatility of the price of the underlying stock and (c) the risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option. The Company uses the Black-Scholes option pricing formula for determining the grant-date fair value of such awards. The fair value of option awards that vest based on achievement of certain market conditions are determined using a Monte Carlo simulation technique.

The expected term of the option is based upon the contractual term and expected employee exercise and expected post-vesting employment termination behavior. The expected volatility of the price of the underlying stock is based upon the historical volatility of the Company's stock computed over a period of time equal to the expected term of the option. The risk free interest rate is based upon the implied yields currently available from the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of the grant. Pre-vesting forfeiture rates are estimated based upon past voluntary termination behavior and past option forfeitures.

The following table sets forth the weighted-average assumptions used to calculate the fair value of options granted for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017:

	Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2018	2017
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%
Expected option term (years)	3.46 years	5.05 years
Expected stock price volatility	50%	63%
Risk-free interest rate	1.72% - 2.63%	1.16% - 2.15%

The following table sets forth weighted average assumptions used to calculate the fair value of options that vest based upon achievement of certain market conditions for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018. There were no awards that vest based upon achievement of certain market conditions for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

	Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2018	2017
Expected option term (years)	2.10	0
Expected stock price volatility	74%	0%
Risk-free interest rate	1.93%	0.00%

The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. Expected stock price volatility was calculated based on the Company's daily stock trading history. The risk-free rate for periods within the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

Changes in any of these assumptions could impact, potentially materially, the amount of expense recorded in future periods related to stock-based awards.

Common Stock Warrants

In connection with certain financing transactions in October 2016 and February 2017, the Company issued warrants and recorded them as liabilities due to certain net cash settlement provisions. The warrants were recorded at fair value using the Black-Scholes valuation model. The Black-Scholes valuation model takes into account, as of the valuation date, factors including the current exercise price, the term of the warrant, the current price of the underlying stock and its expected volatility, expected dividends on the stock, and the risk-free interest rate for the term of the warrant. These warrants are subject to re-measurement at each balance sheet date until the warrants are exercised or expired, and any change in fair value is recognized as "change in the fair value of warrant liability" in the consolidated statements of operations.

Income Taxes

The Company uses the asset and liability method to account for income taxes, including the recognition of deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities for the anticipated future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statement amounts and their respective tax bases. The Company reviews its deferred tax assets for recovery. A valuation allowance is established when the Company believes that it is more likely than not that its deferred tax assets will not be realized. Changes in valuation allowances from period to period are included in the Company's tax provision in the period of change. The Company has recorded a full valuation allowance against its net deferred tax assets as of March 31, 2018.

At June 30, 2017, the Company has available net operating loss carry forwards for federal income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$371.1 million and for state income tax reporting purposes of approximately \$186.0 million, which expire at various dates between fiscal 2018 and 2037. Pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, the annual utilization of a company's net operating loss and research credit carry forwards may be limited if the Company experiences a change in ownership as defined in Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company's net operating loss carry forwards available to offset future federal taxable income arising before such ownership changes may be limited. Similarly, the Company may be restricted in using its research credit carry forwards arising before such ownership changes to offset future federal income tax expense.

The Company's U.S. operations and foreign jurisdictions reported a net loss for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, resulting in a tax benefit that was fully offset by a valuation allowance.

Table of Contents

The Company has no liability for uncertain tax positions as of March 31, 2018.

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “Act”) was signed into law on December 22, 2017. Among its numerous changes to the Internal Revenue Code, the Act reduces U.S. corporate rates from 35% to 21%. Additionally, the Act limits the use of net operating loss carry backs, however any future net operating losses will instead be carried forward indefinitely. Only 80% of current income will be able to be offset with a net operating loss carryforward, with the remainder of the net operating loss continuing to carry forward. Based on an initial assessment of the Act, the Company believes that the most significant impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements will be reduction of deferred tax assets related to net operating losses and research and development tax credits. Such reduction is expected to be largely offset by changes to the Company’s valuation allowance.

Net Loss Per Share Allocable to Common Stockholders

Net loss per basic and diluted common share allocable to common stockholders is based on the net loss for the relevant period, divided by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period. For purposes of the diluted net loss per common share calculations, the exercise or exchange of all potential common shares is not included because their effect would have been anti-dilutive, due to the net loss recorded for the three and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017.

Net Comprehensive Loss

Net comprehensive loss consists of net loss, unrealized loss on available for sale securities and foreign exchange translation adjustments and is presented in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2018, the FASB issued ASU 2018-05, “Income Taxes”, guidance which addresses the recognition of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and the recognition of deferred tax liabilities and deferred tax assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in an entity’s financial statements or tax returns, the accounting for income taxes upon a change in tax laws or tax rates, and the income tax accounting effect of a change in tax laws or tax rates. The Company is currently assessing ASU 2018-05’s impact.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-09, “Stock Compensation - Scope of Modification Accounting”, guidance that clarifies that all changes to share-based payment awards are not necessarily accounted for as a modification. Under the new guidance, modification accounting is required only if the fair value, the vesting conditions, or the classification of the award changes as a result of the change in terms or conditions. The amendments in this guidance should be applied prospectively in annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those periods, with early adoption permitted. This guidance will apply to any future modifications. The Company is assessing ASU 2017-09’s impact and if applicable, will adopt it when effective.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, “Statement of Cash Flows: Clarification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments”, which eliminates the diversity in practice related to the classification of certain cash receipts and payments in the statement of cash flows, by adding or clarifying guidance on eight specific cash flow issues. ASU 2016-15 is effective for annual and interim reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 and early adoption is permitted. ASU 2016-15 provides for retrospective application for all periods presented. The Company is assessing the impact of ASU 2016-15 and will adopt it when effective.

Table of Contents

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, “Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting” which simplified several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. Public companies are required to adopt this standard in annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. The Company implemented ASU 2016-09 effective July 1, 2017, which did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statement presentation.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, “Leases” and issued subsequent amendments to the initial guidance contained within ASU 2017-13. This standard requires a lessee to record on the balance sheet the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by lease terms of more than 12 months. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years, and early application is permitted. The Company is assessing ASU 2016-02’s impact and will adopt it when effective.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (ASU 2014-09) and has subsequently issued a number of amendments to ASU 2014-09. The new standard, as amended, provides a single comprehensive model to be used in the accounting for revenue arising from contracts with customers and supersedes current revenue recognition guidance, including industry-specific guidance. The standard’s stated core principle is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve this core principle, ASU 2014-09 includes provisions within a five step model that includes identifying the contract with a customer, identifying the performance obligations in the contract, determining the transaction price, allocating the transaction price to the performance obligations, and recognizing revenue when, or as, an entity satisfies a performance obligation. In addition, the standard requires disclosure of the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from contracts with customers.

The new standard will be effective for us beginning July 1, 2018 and permits two methods of adoption: the full retrospective method, which requires the standard to be applied to each prior period presented, or the modified retrospective method, which requires the cumulative effect of adoption to be recognized as an adjustment to opening retained earnings in the period of adoption. We will adopt the standard using the modified retrospective method.

We have made substantial progress in completing our review of the impact of this guidance across our various business arrangements and revenue-related activities, and do not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material quantitative impact on our consolidated financial statements. In limited instances, we may recognize revenue earlier than under the current standard. We will continue to assess new customer contracts through 2018. The new standard will result in additional revenue-related disclosures in the footnotes to our consolidated financial statements. Adoption of this standard will require changes to our business processes, systems and controls to support the additional required disclosures. We are in the process of identifying and designing such changes to ensure our readiness.

Table of Contents

3. Marketable Securities

Immunomedics considers all of its current investments to be available-for-sale. Marketable securities at March 31, 2018 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized (Loss)	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury Bonds	\$ 14,109	\$ —	\$ (26)	\$ 14,083
Certificate of Deposits	8,371	—	—	8,371
U.S. Government Sponsored Agencies	10,882	—	(10)	10,872
Corporate Debt Securities	8,380	—	(20)	8,360
Commercial Paper	10,368	—	(8)	10,360
	\$ 52,110	\$ —	\$ (64)	\$ 52,046

Maturities of debt securities classified as available-for-sale were as follows at March 31, 2018 (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Net Carrying Amount
Due within one year	\$ 47,046	\$ 47,286
Due after one year through five years	5,000	5,000
	\$ 52,046	\$ 52,286

Marketable securities at June 30, 2017 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized (Loss)	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury Bonds	\$ 35,086	\$ —	\$ (24)	\$ 35,062
Certificate of Deposits	15,298	—	—	15,298
U.S. Government Sponsored Agencies	18,357	—	(13)	18,344
Corporate Debt Securities	32,692	—	(33)	32,659
Commercial Paper	10,144	1	—	10,145
	\$ 111,577	\$ 1	\$ (70)	\$ 111,508

Maturities of debt securities classified as available-for-sale were as follows at June 30, 2017 (in thousands):

	Fair Value	Net Carrying Amount
Due within one year	\$ 89,477	\$ 89,728
Due after one year through five years	22,031	22,149
	\$ 111,508	\$ 111,877

4. Debt

Non-Recourse Debt:

On January 7, 2018, the Company, entered into a funding agreement (the “Funding Agreement”) with RPI Finance Trust, a Delaware statutory trust (“RPI”). Pursuant to the Funding Agreement, the Company issued to RPI the right to receive certain royalty amounts, subject to certain reductions, based on the net sales of the antibody-drug conjugate IMMU-132 (sacituzumab govitecan) (the “Products”), for each calendar quarter during the term of the Funding Agreement (“Revenue Participation Right”), in exchange for \$175.0 million in cash (the “Purchase Price”). Specifically, the royalty rate commences at 4.15 percent on net annual

Table of Contents

sales of up to \$2 billion, declining step-wise based on sales tiers to 1.75 percent on net global annual sales exceeding \$6 billion.

In addition, after the seventh anniversary of the First Commercial Sale (as defined in the Funding Agreement) in the United States and following a change of control of the Company, the Company shall have the option (“Call Option”) to repurchase fifty percent (50%) of the Revenue Participation Right from RPI, at the net present value (calculated using a 5% discount rate) of the projected royalty payments based upon the then projected sales of the Product.

On January 7, 2018, in connection with the Funding Agreement, the Company entered into a common stock purchase agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”) with RPI, pursuant to which the Company, in a private placement, issued and sold to RPI 4,373,178 shares (the “Shares”) of the Company’s Common Stock, at a price of \$17.15 per share for gross proceeds to the Company of \$75.0 million before deducting fees and expenses (the “Financing”).

The Shares were offered, issued and sold in reliance upon the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), set forth under Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act relating to sales by an issuer not involving any public offering and in reliance on similar exemptions under applicable state laws. RPI represented that it is an accredited investor and that it acquired the Shares for investment purposes only and not with a view to any resale, distribution or other disposition of such securities in violation of the United States federal securities laws.

The Company concluded that there were two units of value to account for in the transaction. The Company allocated approximately \$67.8 million to the value of the common stock and additional paid-in-capital and \$182.2 million to the non-recourse debt in accordance with ASC 470-10. Interest will be recognized using the effective interest method over a period of 20 years. The effective interest rate under the Funding Agreement, including issuance costs, is approximately 25.646%. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2018, the Company recorded \$10.6 million in non-cash interest expense.

Convertible Senior Notes:

In February 2015, the Company issued \$100.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes (the “Convertible Senior Notes”) (net proceeds of approximately \$96.3 million after deducting the initial purchasers’ fees and offering expenses) in a private offering exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), in reliance upon Rule 144A under the Securities Act (the “Convertible Senior Notes”). The Convertible Senior Notes will mature on February 15, 2020, unless earlier purchased or converted. The debt issuance costs of approximately \$3.7 million, primarily consisting of underwriting, legal and other professional fees, are amortized over the term of the Convertible Senior Notes. The Convertible Senior Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Company. Interest at 4.75% is payable semiannually on February 15 and August 15 of each year. The effective interest rate on the Convertible Senior Note was 5.48% for the period from the date of issuance through March 31, 2018.

The Convertible Senior Notes are convertible at the option of holders into approximately 19.6 million shares of common stock at any time prior to the close of business on the day immediately preceding the maturity date. The exchange rate will initially be 195.8336 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes (equivalent to an initial exchange price of approximately \$5.11 per share of common stock).

If the Company undergoes a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Senior Notes), holders may require Immunomedics to purchase for cash all or part of the

Table of Contents

Convertible Senior Notes at a purchase price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes to be purchased, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to, but excluding, the fundamental change purchase date, subject to certain exceptions. In addition, if certain make-whole fundamental changes (as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Senior Notes) occur, Immunomedics will, in certain circumstances, increase the exchange rate for any Convertible Note converted in connection with such make-whole fundamental change.

The indenture does not limit the amount of debt which may be issued by the Company under the indenture or otherwise, does not contain any financial covenants or restrict the Company from paying dividends, selling or disposing of assets, or issuing or repurchasing its other securities, provided that such event is not deemed to be a fundamental change (as defined in the indenture governing the Convertible Senior Notes). The indenture contains customary terms and covenants and events of default.

If an event of default with respect to the Convertible Senior Notes occurs, holders may, upon satisfaction of certain conditions, accelerate the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes plus premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any. In addition, the principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes plus premium, if any, and accrued and unpaid interest, if any, will automatically become due and payable in the case of certain types of bankruptcy or insolvency events of default involving the Company.

On September 21, 2017, the Company entered into separate, privately negotiated exchange agreements, (the “Exchange Agreements”) with certain holders of the Convertible Senior Notes. Under the Exchange Agreements, such holders agreed to convert an aggregate \$80.0 million of Convertible Senior Notes held by them. The Company initially settled each \$1,000 principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes surrendered for exchange by delivering 176.2502 shares of common stock in three tranches occurring on September 19, 2017 through September 21, 2017. In total, the Company issued an aggregate 16,799,861 in the Exchange Agreements. The shares represent an aggregate of 1,133,173 shares more than the number of shares into which the exchanged Convertible Senior Notes were convertible under their original terms. As a result of the Exchange Agreements, the Company recognized a loss on induced exchanges of debt of \$13.0 million representing the fair value of the incremental consideration paid to induce the holders to exchange their Convertible Senior Notes for equity (i.e., 1,133,173 Common Shares), based on the closing market price of the Company’s Common Stock on the date of the Exchange Agreements.

As a result of the Exchange Agreements, the outstanding aggregate principal amount of the Convertible Senior Notes was reduced to \$20.0 million.

Total interest expense for the Convertible Senior Notes for the three and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 was \$0.2 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, compared to interest expense of \$1.4 million and \$4.1 million for the three and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2017. Included in interest expense is the amortization of debt issuance costs of \$1.6 million (\$1.4 million of which related to the accelerated amortization of debt issuance costs associated with the \$80.0 million exchange of Convertible Senior Notes in September 2017) for the nine-months ended March 31, 2018 and less than \$0.1 million for three-month periods ended March 31, 2018. Included in interest expense is the amortization of debt issuance costs of \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million for the three and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2017, respectively.

5. Warrant Liabilities

In connection with a public offering conducted during October 2016, the Company issued warrants that contain net cash settlement provisions. Additionally, in connection with a stock purchase agreement entered into with Seattle Genetics, Inc. during February 2017 (the “SGEN Warrant”), the Company issued warrants that also have similar net cash settlement provisions. Accordingly, both warrants do not meet the

Table of Contents

criteria for classifications as equity and are recorded as liabilities on the Company's balance sheet. The Company recorded these warrants as liabilities at their fair values as calculated at their respective dates of inception. The change in the fair value of each warrant is measured, and booked as an income or expense to adjust the warrant liability on a periodic basis at the end of each fiscal quarter or upon exercise of the warrants.

On July 18, 2017, and September 1, 2017, warrants to purchase 900,000 and 675,000 shares of the Company's common stock, issued in to the October 2016 public offering were exercised, respectively. The fair value of the warrants exercised increased \$2.6 million from June 30, 2017 to the respective dates of exercise, which has been recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss. The \$11.2 million fair value of the warrants as of the exercise dates was reclassified to Capital Contributed in Excess of Par.

On December 5, 2017, and December 14, 2017, warrants to purchase 575,000 shares of the Company's common stock issued in the October 2016 public offering and the SGEN Warrant to purchase 8,655,804 shares of the Company's common stock issued to Seattle Genetics in the February 2017 Stock Purchase Agreement were exercised, respectively. The fair value of the aggregate warrants exercised increased \$2.2 million from June 30, 2017 to the dates of exercise, which has been recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss. The \$41.1 million fair value of the warrants as of the exercise dates was reclassified to Capital Contributed in Excess of Par.

On February 22, 2018, warrants to purchase 1,400,000 shares of the Company's common stock issued in the October 2016 public offering were exercised. The fair value of the aggregate warrants exercised increased \$10.1 million from June 30, 2017 to the date of exercise, which has been recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss. The \$17.8 million fair value of the warrants as of the exercise dates was reclassified to Capital Contributed in Excess of Par.

The Company uses Level 2 inputs for its valuation methodology for the warrant liabilities. The estimated fair value was determined using a Black-Scholes valuation model based on various assumptions. The warrant liabilities are adjusted to reflect estimated fair value at each period end, with any changes in the fair value being recorded in changes in fair value of warrant liabilities.

The estimated fair value of the warrant liabilities was approximately \$70.3 million and \$90.7 million, as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2017, respectively. The change in fair value of the warrant liabilities for the three and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 resulted in a gain of approximately \$9.8 million and a loss of approximately \$49.8 million, respectively.

6. Estimated Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's financial instruments consist of cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses, warrant liability and Convertible Senior Notes. The carrying amount of accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses are generally considered to be representative of their respective fair values because of the short-term nature of those instruments as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2017.

The Company has categorized its other financial instruments, based on the priority of the inputs to the valuation technique, into a three-level fair value hierarchy as set forth below. If the inputs used to measure the financial instruments fall within different levels of the hierarchy, the categorization is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement of the instrument.

Table of Contents

Financial instruments recorded on the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2017 are categorized based on the inputs to the valuation techniques as follows (in thousands):

- Level 1 – Financial instruments whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market which the company has the ability to access at the measurement date (examples include active exchange-traded equity securities and most U.S. Government and agency securities).
- Level 2 – Financial instruments whose value are based on quoted market prices in markets where trading occurs infrequently or whose values are based on quoted prices of instruments with similar attributes in active markets.
- Level 3 – Financial instruments whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. These inputs reflect management’s own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset.

Cash equivalents and marketable securities:

	(\$ in thousands)			
March 31, 2018	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Money Market Funds Note (a)	\$ 304,589	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 304,589
Marketable Securities:				
U.S. Treasury Bonds	14,083	—	—	14,083
Certificate of Deposits	8,371	—	—	8,371
U.S. Government Sponsored Agencies	10,872	—	—	10,872
Corporate Debt Securities	8,360	—	—	8,360
Commercial Paper	10,360	—	—	10,360
Total	\$ 356,635	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 356,635

	(\$ in thousands)			
June 30, 2017	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Money Market Funds Note (a)	\$ 36,776	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 36,776
Marketable Securities:				
U.S. Treasury Bonds	35,062	—	—	35,062
Certificate of Deposits	15,298	—	—	15,298
U.S. Government Sponsored Agencies	18,344	—	—	18,344
Corporate Debt Securities	32,659	—	—	32,659
Commercial Paper	10,145	—	—	10,145
Total	\$ 148,284	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 148,284

(a) The money market funds noted above are included in cash and cash equivalents.

Table of Contents

Convertible Senior Notes

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values (Level 2) of debt instruments are as follows (in thousands):

	As of March 31, 2018		As of June 30, 2017	
	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value
Convertible Senior Notes	\$ 19,726	\$ 57,087	\$ 98,084	\$ 180,950

The fair value of the Convertible Senior Notes, which differs from their carrying values, is influenced by interest rates, the Company's stock price and stock price volatility and is determined by prices for the Convertible Senior Notes observed in market trading which are Level 2 inputs.

Warrant Liabilities

The Company has determined its warrant liabilities to be a Level 2 fair value measurement and used the Black Scholes valuation model to calculate the fair value as of March 31, 2018 and June 30, 2017:

At the measurement dates, the Company estimated the fair value for the warrants based on Black-Scholes valuation model and using the following assumptions:

	March 31, 2018	June 30, 2017 (1)	June 30, 2017 (2)
Risk-free interest rate	1.93%	1.14%	1.38%
Expected remaining term	0.54 years	0.51 years	1.28 years
Expected volatility	71.43%	69.34%	73.85%
Dividend yield	0%	0%	0%

-
- (1) Represents the fair value assumptions for the warrants issued in connection with February 10, 2017 stock purchase agreement.
- (2) Represents the fair value assumptions for the warrants issued in connection with October 11, 2016 on public offering.

The following table sets forth the changes in the fair value for the warrant liability during the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 (\$ in thousands):

Warrants Level 2

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-Q

Fair value – June 30, 2017	18,655,804	\$ 90,706
Reclass of warrant liability to capital contributed in excess of par due to exercise	(12,205,804)	(70,150)
Change in fair value	—	49,775
Fair value – March 31, 2018	6,450,000	\$ 70,331

7. Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)

At the June 29, 2017 Special Meeting, the Company's stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the Company's Certificate of Incorporation to increase the maximum number of shares of the Company's stock authorized up to 260,000,000 shares of stock consisting of 250,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, (the "Charter Amendment"). Previously the Company's

Table of Contents

Certificate of Incorporation authorized up to 165,000,000 shares of capital stock, consisting of 155,000,000 shares of common stock and 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock.

Preferred Stock

The Certificate of Incorporation of the Company authorizes 10,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$.01 par value per share. The preferred stock may be issued from time to time in one or more series, with such distinctive serial designations, rights and preferences as shall be determined by the Board of Directors.

On May 10, 2017, the Company issued in a private placement 1,000,000 shares (the “Preferred Shares”) of the Company’s Series A-1 Convertible Preferred Stock at a price of \$125 per share for gross proceeds to the Company of \$125.0 million, before deducting fees and expenses. Each Preferred Share was exchanged into 23.10536 shares of common stock (or an aggregate of 23,105,348 shares of common stock). The exchange price per share of common stock was \$5.41.

Following the June 29, 2017 Special Meeting and filing the Charter Amendment with the State of Delaware, the Company had authorized a sufficient number of unreserved shares of common stock to permit the exchange of the Preferred Shares. On July 31, 2017, the Company filed a registration statement on Form S-3 to register for resale the 23,105,348 shares of the Company’s common stock issuable upon the exchange of the Series A-1 Convertible Preferred Stock. The Preferred Shares converted to shares of common stock on August 24, 2017. The registration statement was declared effective on September 19, 2017.

Common stock

On January 8, 2018, the Company announced that it had agreed to sell tiered, sales-based royalty rights on global net sales of sacituzumab govitecan to RPI Finance Trust, a Delaware statutory trust (“RPI”) for \$175.0 million. Simultaneously, the Company also entered into a common stock purchase agreement with RPI pursuant to which the Company, in a private placement, issued and sold to RPI 4,373,178 shares (the “Shares”) of the Company’s Common Stock, at a price of \$17.15 per share for gross proceeds to the Company of \$75.0 million before deducting fees and expenses.

The Shares were offered, issued and sold in reliance upon the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), set forth under Section 4(a)(2) of the Securities Act relating to sales by an issuer not involving any public offering and in reliance on similar exemptions under applicable state laws. RPI represented that it is an accredited investor and that it acquired the Shares for investment purposes only and not with a view to any resale, distribution or other disposition of such securities in violation of the United States federal securities laws.

On December 5, 2017, Seattle Genetics exercised the Warrants they held in full to acquire 8,655,804 shares of Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$42.4 million.

On October 11, 2016, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 10 million shares of its common stock and accompanying warrants to purchase 10 million shares of common stock at a purchase price of \$3.00 per

unit, comprised of one share of common stock and one warrant. The Company received gross and net proceeds of \$30.0 million and approximately \$28.6 million, respectively after deducting the underwriting discounts and commissions and estimated expenses related to the offering payable. The warrants became exercisable nine months following the date of issuance, and will expire on the second anniversary of the date of issuance and have an exercise price of \$3.75. On the date of issuance, the fair value of these warrants was determined to be \$7.3 million and recognized as a liability. The warrants under certain situations require cash settlement by the Company. On July 18, 2017, September 1, 2017, December 14,

Table of Contents

2017, and February 22, 2018, 900,000, 675,000, 575,000, and 1,400,00 warrants were exercised, respectively. The fair value of the 3,550,000 exercised warrants increased \$14.6 million from June 30, 2017 to the dates of exercise which has been recognized in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive loss.

8. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss were as follows (in thousands):

	Currency Translation Adjustments	Net Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Available for-Sale Securities	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income
Balance, July 1, 2017	\$ (234)	\$ (69)	\$ (303)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	(155)	—	(155)
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	—	1	1
Net current-period other comprehensive income	(155)	1	(154)
Balance, March 31, 2018	\$ (389)	\$ (68)	\$ (457)
Balance, July 1, 2016	\$ (172)	\$ 40	\$ (132)
Other comprehensive income before reclassifications	59	(69)	10
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	—	16	16
Net current-period other comprehensive income	59	(53)	6
Balance, March 31, 2017	\$ (113)	\$ (13)	\$ (126)

All components of accumulated other comprehensive loss are net of tax, except currency translation adjustments, which exclude income taxes related to indefinite investments in foreign subsidiaries.

9. Stock Incentive Plan

The Company has a stock incentive plan, the Immunomedics, Inc. 2014 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the “Plan”), that includes a discretionary grant program, a stock issuance program and an automatic grant program. The Plan was established to promote the interests of the Company, by providing eligible persons with the opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company as an incentive to remain with the organization and to align the employee’s interest with our stockholders.

Under the Plan option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant. Those option awards generally vest based on four years of continuous service and have seven-year contractual terms. Option awards that are granted to non-employee Board members under the annual option grant program are granted with an exercise price equal to the closing price of the Company’s common stock on the date of grant, are vested on the first anniversary of the date of grant, provided that such Board members remain Board members on such date, and have seven year contractual terms. At March 31, 2018 there were 13,708,991 shares of common stock reserved for possible future issuance under the Plan, both currently outstanding (5,404,013 shares) and those available to be issued for future grants (8,304,978 shares).

The weighted average fair value at the date of grant for options granted during the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$7.78 and \$1.85 per share, respectively. The Company uses historical data to estimate employee forfeitures for employees, executive officers and outside directors. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and

Table of Contents

the expected stock price volatility is based on the Company's daily stock trading history. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

Information concerning options for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 is summarized as follows:

	Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in 000's)
Outstanding, July 1, 2017	2,893,240	\$ 3.48		
Granted	1,215,668	\$ 12.94		
Exercised	265,642	\$ 3.76		
Cancelled or forfeited	15,595	\$ 3.40		
Outstanding, March 31, 2018	4,390,145	\$ 5.51	4.17	\$ 35,774
Exercisable, March 31, 2018	2,377,863	\$ 3.34	3.14	\$ 26,807

A summary of the Company's non-vested restricted and performance stock units at March 31, 2018, and changes during the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 are presented below:

Outstanding Non-Vested Restricted and Performance Stock Units	Number of Awards	Weighted-Average per Share of Market Value on Grant Date
Non-vested at July 1, 2017	1,500,000	\$ 2.28
Restricted Units Granted	35,366	\$ 8.46
Non-vested at March 31, 2018	1,535,366	\$ 2.83

The Company has 3,287,996 non-vested options, restricted stock units and performance stock units outstanding as of March 31, 2018. As of March 31, 2018, there was \$19.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plan. That cost is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.9 years. The Company recorded \$2.7 million and \$2.6 million for total stock-based compensation expense for employees, executive officers and non-employee Board members for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively.

During the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 the Company awarded 35,366 restricted stock units to certain executive officers of the Company at the closing price on the grant date. The weighted average closing price on those dates was \$8.46 per share. These restricted stock units will vest over a period of one to three years. As of March 31, 2018, there was \$0.1 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to the awards, excluding performance stock units. The cost is being recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.20 years. The Company recorded approximately \$62 thousand and \$168 thousand for stock-based compensation expense for these restricted stock units for the three and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018, respectively.

As part of the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Dr. Goldenberg, the Company's former Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Patent Officer, which became effective July 1, 2015, (see Note 13), Dr. Goldenberg received a grant of 1,500,000 restricted stock units (the "Restricted Stock Units"), which shall

Table of Contents

vest, if at all, after the three (3) year period commencing on the grant date of July 14, 2015, provided the applicable milestones based on achievement of certain market conditions (stock prices) are met and conditioned upon Dr. Goldenberg's continued employment through the vesting period, subject to the terms and conditions of the Restricted Stock Units Notice and the Restricted Stock Units Agreement and such other terms and conditions as set forth in the grant agreement. The Company believes that a change in control occurred on or before May 4, 2017, as defined in Dr. Goldenberg's employment agreement, as a result of the new Board of Directors being seated. According to the terms of his employment agreement and notice of award, the Company believes that these 1.5 million restricted stock units did not vest since at the time of the change in control the actual price per share of the common stock had not achieved the specified target price required to trigger the vesting of the Restricted Stock Units. The Company understands that Dr. Goldenberg contests the Company's interpretation of both the timing of the change in control and the vesting requirements of the Restricted Stock Units upon a change in control. The 1.5 million Restricted Stock Units are the subject of arbitration.

During December 2017, we issued 151,678 non-qualified stock options to our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) with a grant date fair value of \$1.0 million that are subject to vesting only upon the market price of our underlying public stock closing above a certain price target within four years of the date of grant. These non-qualified stock options with market related vesting conditions were valued using a Monte Carlo simulation model. Share-based compensation expense is recognized regardless of the number of awards that are earned based on the market condition and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period of four years. In the event that the Company's underlying public stock achieves the target price of \$23.72 per share based on a 15 day consecutive trading days, any remaining unamortized compensation cost will be recognized.

During December 2017, we issued 168,461 non-qualified stock options to our CEO with a grant date fair value of \$1.1 million that are subject to vesting only upon the market price of our underlying public stock closing above a certain price target within four years of the date of grant. These non-qualified stock options with market related vesting conditions were valued using a Monte Carlo simulation model. Share-based compensation expense is recognized regardless of the number of awards that are earned based on the market condition and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period of four years. In the event that the Company's underlying public stock achieves the target price of \$35.58 per share or higher for the prior 15 day consecutive trading days, any remaining unamortized compensation cost will be recognized.

10. Geographic Segments

Immunomedics manages its operations as one line of business of researching, developing, manufacturing and marketing biopharmaceutical products, particularly antibody-based products for cancer and other serious diseases, and it currently reports as a single industry segment. Immunomedics conducts its research and development activities primarily in the United States. Immunomedics marketed and sold LeukoScan® throughout Europe and in certain other countries outside the United States through February 2018; at which the Company discontinued these sales.

Table of Contents

The following table presents financial information based on the geographic location of the facilities of Immunomedics as of and for the three and nine-months ended March 31, 2018 and 2017, respectively (\$ in thousands):

	As of March 31, 2018	As of June 30, 2017
Total assets:		
United States	\$ 377,791	\$ 161,484
Europe	2,097	1,089
Total	\$ 379,888	\$ 162,573
	As of March 31, 2018	As of June 30, 2017
Property and equipment, net:		
United States	\$ 10,590	\$ 5,166
Europe	79	79
Total	\$ 10,669	\$ 5,245
	For the three months ended March 31, 2018	2017
Revenues:		
United States	\$ 32	\$ 401
Europe	450	922
Total	\$ 482	\$ 1,323
(Loss) income before taxes:		
United States	\$ (35,600)	\$ (59,378)
Europe	42	58
Total	\$ (35,558)	\$ (59,320)
	For the nine months ended March 31, 2017	2018

Edgar Filing: IMMUNOMEDICS INC - Form 10-Q

Revenues:

United States	\$ 269	\$ 613
Europe	1,501	1,836
Total	\$ 1,770	\$ 2,449

(Loss) income before taxes:

United States	\$ (156,937)	\$ (99,812)
Europe	89	(185)
Total	\$ (156,848)	\$ (99,997)

11. Related Party Transactions

Certain of the Company's affiliates, including members of its senior management and Board, as well as their respective family members and other affiliates, have relationships and agreements among themselves as well as with the Company and its affiliates, that create the potential for both real, as well as perceived, conflicts of interest. These include certain companies, with which the Company does business, including the

Table of Contents

Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology (“CMMI”), which has ceased operations, and IBC Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a majority-owned subsidiary.

The Company incurred no legal expenses on behalf of CMMI for patent related matters in the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 and \$3 thousand of legal expenses for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018. The Company incurred no legal expenses on behalf of CMMI for patent related matters in the three-month period ended March 31, 2017 and \$4 thousand of legal expenses for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2017. The Company has first rights to license those patents, and may decide whether or not to support them.

On January 8, 2018 Morris Rosenberg joined the Company as Chief Technology Officer and became a fulltime employee. Between May 5, 2017 and January 7, 2018 Mr. Rosenberg was engaged by the Company as an independent consultant pursuant to a consulting agreement between the Company and Mr. Rosenberg’s consulting company, MRosenberg BioPharma Consulting LLC. The Company paid MRosenberg BioPharma Consulting LLC \$555,099 during this time. From January 8, 2018 through March 31, 2018, the Company paid MRosenberg BioPharma \$517,034 for services agreed upon prior to Mr. Rosenberg becoming a fulltime employee. As part of his employment contract, Mr. Rosenberg is permitted to continue to provide certain limited outside consulting services through MRosenberg BioPharma Consulting LLC based on certain restrictions outlined in the contract. Additionally, during his employment period, except with the prior written consent of the Board, Mr. Rosenberg is not permitted to enter into any contract, agreement or other transaction arrangement to provide goods and or services to the Company through MRosenberg BioPharma Consulting LLC.

12.Collaboration Agreement

The Bayer Group (formerly Algeta ASA)

In fiscal 2013 the Company entered into a collaboration agreement, referred to herein as the Collaboration Agreement, with Algeta ASA (subsequently acquired by The Bayer Group “Bayer”), for the development of epratuzumab to be conjugated with Algeta’s proprietary thorium-227 alpha-pharmaceutical payload. Under the terms of the Collaboration Agreement, the Company manufactured and supplied clinical-grade epratuzumab to Bayer, which has rights to evaluate the potential of a Targeted Thorium Conjugate (TTC), linking thorium-227 to epratuzumab, for the treatment of patients with cancer. Bayer has the right to terminate the Collaboration Agreement with three months prior written notice, subject to certain provisions. Bayer will fund all non-clinical and clinical development costs up to the end of Phase 1 clinical testing. Upon successful completion of Phase 1 testing, the parties shall negotiate terms for a license agreement at Bayer’s request. The Company and Bayer have agreed to certain parameters in the Collaboration Agreement. Under the terms of the Collaboration Agreement, as amended, Immunomedics received an upfront cash payment and other payments aggregating \$6.0 million, which have been recognized in prior periods upon the Company fulfilling its obligations under the Collaboration Agreement.

In January 2017, the Company recorded revenue of \$0.3 million representing an anniversary payment under the agreement. This agreement has been extended to December 30, 2018 and, as amended, provides for the Company to receive a similar anniversary payment of \$0.3 million, which was received in April 2018.

Table of Contents

13.Commitments and Contingencies

Employment Contracts

Dr. David M. Goldenberg

Effective July 1, 2015, the Company entered into the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Dr. Goldenberg pertaining to Dr. Goldenberg's service to the Company as the Company's Chairman of the Board, Chief Scientific Officer and Chief Patent Officer (the "Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement"). The Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement was to continue until July 1, 2020.

On November 2, 2017, a stipulation and agreement of settlement, compromise, and release (the "Settlement Agreement") (see below) was entered into between Dr. Goldenberg and other parties as described below. Effective immediately upon execution of the Settlement Agreement, Dr. Goldenberg resigned from all officer and other positions of the Company and all director, officer and other positions at any of the Company's affiliates (other than Dr. Goldenberg's position as a member of the board of directors of IBC Pharmaceuticals, the Company's majority owned U.S. subsidiary). The Settlement Agreement provides that Dr. Goldenberg will abide by all post-termination covenants and obligations contemplated by the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement. In exchange for a release of claims as required by the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement and subject to compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Dr. Goldenberg is entitled to (i) termination payments in accordance with the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement for a termination without Good Cause after a Change in Control, (ii) accelerated vesting or extension of exercise period for equity awards already earned, pursuant to the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement, (iii) COBRA payments, and (iv) royalties or payment in accordance with existing agreements. The foregoing cash payments, which the Company has paid pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, accumulated to approximately \$2.4 million. Additionally, certain restricted stock units and performance stock units that accelerated or otherwise became vested as set forth in the Settlement Agreement were settled in accordance with the terms of applicable award agreements. An additional cash payment of approximately \$1.8 million is in dispute and the vesting of a grant of 1,500,000 Restricted Stock Units to Dr. Goldenberg under the terms of the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement, is also in dispute.

The Parties to the Settlement Agreement, have agreed to arbitrate these disputes. The Company has agreed to pay the arbitrator in full for such arbitration, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan in connection with any such arbitration, up to a maximum amount of \$650,000 combined. As of March 31, 2018, \$106,053 of expenses have been incurred regarding such arbitration.

Under the Settlement Agreement Dr. Goldenberg is eligible to receive royalty payments on royalties received by the Company. For each fiscal year the Company shall pay Dr. Goldenberg a sum equal to a percentage of the annual royalties the Company receives on each of the products for which Dr. Goldenberg is an Inventor, and all products using, related to or derived from products for which Dr. Goldenberg is an Inventor. The percentage of royalties that the Company will pay to Dr. Goldenberg on each patented product will be determined based on the percentage of royalties that the Company must pay to external third parties, and payments are to continue for the life of the patent, as defined in the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement.

In the event the Company completes a disposition of the Company's undeveloped assets for which Dr. Goldenberg was an Inventor, the Company will pay Dr. Goldenberg a sum equal to at least twenty percent or more of the consideration

the Company receives from each disposition. The Company's obligation to

24

Table of Contents

compensate Dr. Goldenberg upon dispositions of undeveloped assets applies to all dispositions of such assets completed within the contract term or within three years thereafter, even if the Company actually receives the consideration at some time after the three (3) year period elapses.

For the 2017 and 2016 fiscal years, Dr. Goldenberg received the minimum payment under the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement. Dr. Goldenberg also is compensated by IBC Pharmaceuticals as discussed in greater detail below.

Cynthia L. Sullivan

Effective July 1, 2014, the Company entered into the Fifth Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with Cynthia L. Sullivan pertaining to Ms. Sullivan's service to the Company as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer (the "Amended Sullivan Agreement"). The Amended Sullivan Agreement expired in accordance with its terms on July 1, 2017.

On November 2, 2017, the Settlement Agreement was entered into (see below) by Ms. Sullivan and other parties. Immediately upon the execution of the Settlement Agreement, Ms. Sullivan resigned from her position as a director of the Company and to resign from all office and director positions with any of the Company's affiliates, effective as of the date of the Settlement Agreement. The Settlement Agreement provides that Ms. Sullivan will abide by all post-termination covenants and obligations contemplated by the Amended Sullivan Agreement. In exchange for a release of claims as required by the Amended Sullivan Agreement and subject to compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Ms. Sullivan will be entitled to (i) termination payments in accordance with the Amended Sullivan Agreement for a termination without Good Cause after a Change in Control, (ii) accelerated vesting or extension of the exercise period for equity awards already earned, pursuant to the Amended Sullivan Agreement, and (iii) COBRA payments. The foregoing cash payments, which the Company has paid pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, accumulated to approximately \$3.1 million. Additionally, certain restricted stock units and performance stock units that accelerated or otherwise became vested as set forth in the Settlement Agreement were settled in accordance with the terms of applicable award agreements. In addition to this amount, an additional cash payment of \$0.9 million is in dispute.

The Parties to the Settlement Agreement have agreed to arbitrate this dispute. The Company has agreed to pay in full the arbitrator in such arbitration as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan in connection with any such arbitration, up to a maximum amount of \$650,000 combined. As of March 31, 2018, \$106,053 of expenses have been incurred regarding such arbitration.

Change of Control Agreements

Certain employees have Change of Control Agreements, whereby if a majority of a new board of directors is constituted by newly elected board members not endorsed by the Company's current Board of Directors, and if, subsequent to such a change, there is a significant change in the responsibilities or employment status of these executives, then severance provisions included in their Change of Control Agreements could be triggered. These severance provisions could result in accelerated vesting of equity compensation and significant, unbudgeted, cash severance payments.

Table of Contents

Legal Matters

Settlement Agreement

On November 2, 2017 (the “Settlement Date”), the Company, venBio, Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Markison, and Greenhill (collectively the “Parties”), entered into the Settlement Agreement. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement supersede the binding settlement term sheet entered into on May 3, 2017, by and among the Company, venBio, Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison (the “Initial Term Sheet”), and the second term sheet entered into on June 8, 2017, by and among the Company, venBio and Greenhill (the “Greenhill Term Sheet”).

On February 9, 2018, the Court entered an Order approving the partial settlement memorialized in the Settlement Agreement and dismissing Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Markison and Greenhill’s claims with prejudice. In the Order, the Court also granted venBio’s request for an award of attorneys’ fees and expenses in the amount of \$3.4 million. The Order became final on March 12, 2018 (the “Effective Date”).

Resolution of Litigation

The Settlement Agreement includes (i) a mutual release of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Federal Action or in the 225 Action (each as defined in Item 8.01 hereof) and (ii) a comprehensive release of all direct and derivative claims that have been or could be asserted by or on behalf of (a) venBio or the Company, whether known or unknown, against Greenhill, Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison and their affiliates and related persons, (b) Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan or Mr. Markison, whether known or unknown, against venBio or the Company and their affiliates and related persons, and (c) Greenhill, whether known or unknown, against venBio, the Company, Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison and their affiliates and related persons, relating to the Company’s private placement of \$125.0 million of Series A-1 Convertible Preferred Stock, the 2016 Annual Meeting (defined below), the proxy contest waged by venBio in advance of the 2016 Annual Meeting, the engagement of Greenhill, the settlement of the venBio Action, the licensing transaction with Seattle Genetics, Inc. (“Seattle Genetics”), and the Termination Agreement, dated May 4, 2017, between the Company and Seattle Genetics. (the “venBio Action Released Claims”). The release of the venBio Action Released Claims became effective upon the Effective Date. The Settlement Agreement contemplates that the venBio Action will remain stayed and that the Company and venBio will submit the claims that remain pending against the remaining individual defendants (former directors Robert Forrester, Jason Aryeh, Geoff Cox and Bob Oliver) to non-binding mediation.

The Company agreed to reimburse venBio for reasonable fees and expenses it incurred in connection with the proxy contest between venBio and the Company, the venBio Action, the 225 Action, and the Federal Action, and had reimbursed venBio in Fiscal 2017 approximately \$4.9 million for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the Federal Action, the 225 Action, the venBio Action and the proxy contest.

Indemnification

The Settlement Agreement provides that the Company will, to the extent not covered by the Company’s insurance policies, (i) indemnify Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison from attorneys’ fees and expenses or other losses in connection with the Actions, and (ii) reimburse and indemnify Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan for legal fees for actions taken with respect to the Actions and negotiation of the Settlement Agreement. As of the Effective Date, the indemnification agreements entered into between the Company and each of Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison on or about February 9, 2017 were terminated.

Table of Contents

Intellectual Property Assignments

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan have assigned all global intellectual property rights, other than express rights to royalties pursuant to existing agreements with the Company and Dr. Goldenberg's patent and related intellectual property relating to cyber space medicine, to the Company, and have agreed to perform all acts reasonably requested by the Company to perfect title in and to all such assigned intellectual property.

Sullivan Resignation

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, on the Settlement Date, Ms. Sullivan resigned from all director, officer and other positions of the Company and any of its affiliates. The Settlement Agreement provides that Ms. Sullivan will abide by all post-termination covenants and obligations contemplated by her employment agreement with the Company (the "Sullivan Agreement"). In exchange for a release of claims as required by the Sullivan Agreement and subject to compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Ms. Sullivan is entitled to (i) termination payments in accordance with the Sullivan Agreement for a termination without Cause after a Change in Control, (ii) accelerated vesting or extension of the exercise period for equity awards already earned, pursuant to the Sullivan Agreement, and (iii) COBRA payments. The foregoing cash payments which the Company has paid accumulated to approximately \$3.1 million. An additional cash payment of \$0.9 million is in dispute and will be addressed in arbitration. The Company has agreed to pay in full the arbitrator in such arbitration as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan in connection with any such arbitration, up to a maximum amount of \$650,000 combined. As of March 31, 2018, \$106,053 of expenses have been incurred regarding such arbitration.

Goldenberg Resignation

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, on the Settlement Date, Dr. Goldenberg resigned from all officer and other positions of the Company and all director, officer and other positions at any of the Company's affiliates (other than Dr. Goldenberg's position as a member of the board of directors of IBC Pharmaceuticals, the Company's majority owned U.S. subsidiary), but will remain a director of the Company until his successor is elected and qualified or until his earlier resignation or removal. The Settlement Agreement provides that Dr. Goldenberg will abide by all post-termination covenants and obligations contemplated by the Goldenberg Agreement. In exchange for a release of claims as required by the Goldenberg Agreement and subject to compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Dr. Goldenberg is entitled to (i) termination payments in accordance with the Goldenberg Agreement for a termination without Cause after a Change in Control, (ii) accelerated vesting or extension of exercise period for equity awards already earned, pursuant to the Goldenberg Agreement, (iii) COBRA and other welfare payments, and (iv) royalties or payment in accordance with existing agreements. The foregoing cash payments, which the Company has paid pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, accumulated to approximately \$2.4 million. In addition to these amounts an additional cash payment of approximately \$1.8 million is in dispute. Additionally, the vesting of the grant of 1,500,000 Restricted Stock Units to Dr. Goldenberg under the terms of the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement, is also in dispute.

Arbitration of Disputed Matters

The Company, Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan have agreed to arbitrate disputes relating to Dr. Goldenberg's claimed entitlement to certain equity awards and severance payments, and Dr. Goldenberg's and Ms. Sullivan's claimed rights to certain bonus payments. The Company has agreed to pay in full the

Table of Contents

arbitrator in such arbitration as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Dr. Goldenberg and/or Ms. Sullivan in connection with any such arbitration, up to a cap of \$650,000.

Termination of Greenhill Engagement

Upon the Effective Date, the two engagement letters between Greenhill and the Company (the "Greenhill Agreements") were terminated. The Settlement Agreement provides further that Greenhill has agreed to forgo and not seek any and all fees, expenses or indemnification from the Company, except that the Company shall reimburse Greenhill up to \$200,000 for reasonable and documented expenses incurred in connection with Greenhill providing services to the Company pursuant to the Greenhill Agreements, including expenses incurred in connection with the venBio Action.

In addition, the following is a summary of legal matters that are outstanding:

Patent litigation:

Immunomedics filed a first amended complaint on October 22, 2015 and a second amended complaint on January 14, 2016 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, against Roger Williams Medical Center ("RWMC"), Richard P. Junghans, M.D., Ph.D. and Steven C. Katz, M.D., seeking lost profits, unjust enrichment damages and compensatory damages resulting from the infringement of its patents. The second amended complaint alleges that RWMC and Dr. Junghans breached a Material Transfer Agreement ("MTA") through which it provided to them a monoclonal antibody known as MN-14 and related materials. Defendants are alleged to have breached the MTA and to have been negligent by, among other things, using the materials beyond the agreed-upon Research Project, sharing confidential information, failing to provide Immunomedics with a right of first refusal, failing to notify Immunomedics of intended publications prior to publishing, and refusing to return the materials upon request. Immunomedics also asserts defendants' claims of conversion, tortious interference, unjust enrichment, and infringement of three patents owned by Immunomedics. On January 28, 2016, defendants filed an Answer to the Second Amended Complaint. On October 12, 2016, Immunomedics filed a Third Amended Complaint, and further added as defendants Sorrento Therapeutics, Inc. and its subsidiaries TNK Therapeutics, Inc., BDL Products, Inc., and CARgenix Holdings, LLC. Defendants Junghans, Katz, and RWMC subsequently moved to dismiss for failure to state a claim on November 14, 2016, but this motion was denied on January 4, 2017. On December 2, 2016, Sorrento, TNK, BDL, and CARgenix moved to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction over them in New Jersey. The court granted this motion on January 25, 2017. On January 20, 2017, the court held a Markman hearing to construe the claims in the patents in suit. On February 28, 2017, the court issued an opinion and order finding, inter alia, that the term "effective amount" in the patents in suit is not indefinite and should be given its plain and order meaning, as proposed by Immunomedics, of "an amount capable of producing the claim result." On May 11, 2017, the court entered an order referring the matter to mediation and designating Garrett E. Brown, Jr. (ret.) as the mediator. The mediation did not result in a settlement. Discovery in this case is ongoing and no trial date has been set.

Stockholder complaints:

Class Action Stockholder Federal Securities Cases

Two purported class action cases were filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey; namely, *Fergus v. Immunomedics, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:16-cv-03335, filed June 9, 2016; and *Becker v. Immunomedics, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:16-cv-03374, filed June 10, 2016. These cases arise from the same alleged facts and circumstances, and seek class certification on behalf of purchasers of our common stock between April 20, 2016 and June 2, 2016 (with respect to the Fergus matter) and between April 20, 2016 and June 3,

Table of Contents

2016 (with respect to the Becker matter). These cases concern the Company's statements in press releases, investor conference calls, and SEC filings beginning in April 2016 that the Company would present updated information regarding its IMMU-132 breast cancer drug at the 2016 American Society of Clinical Oncology ("ASCO") conference in Chicago, Illinois. The complaints allege that these statements were false and misleading in light of June 2, 2016 reports that ASCO had cancelled the presentation because it contained previously reported information. The complaints further allege that these statements resulted in artificially inflated prices for our common stock, and that the Company and certain of its officers are thus liable under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. An order of voluntarily dismissal without prejudice was entered on November 10, 2016 in the Becker matter. An order granting motion to consolidate cases, appoint lead plaintiff, and approve lead and liaison counsel was entered on February 7, 2017 in the Fergus matter. A consolidated complaint was filed on October 4, 2017. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint on January 26, 2018.

Stockholder Derivative Action in the Superior Court of New Jersey

On October 3, 2016, plaintiff commenced an action captioned *Rosenfeld v. Goldenberg, et al.*, No. L-2200-16, alleging the same underlying facts and circumstances as in the pending federal securities class action, the Fergus matter. Specifically, this action concerns the Company's statements in press releases, investor conference calls, and SEC filings beginning in April 2016 that the Company would present updated information regarding its IMMU-132 breast cancer drug at the 2016 ASCO conference in Chicago, Illinois. The complaint alleges that these statements were false and misleading in light of the June 2, 2016 reports that ASCO had cancelled the presentation because it contained previously reported information. The complaint further alleges that these statements resulted in artificially inflated prices for our common stock, and that certain directors and officers of the Company breached their fiduciary duties to the Company. In addition to monetary damages, the complaint seeks to require the Company to reform its corporate governance and internal procedures. Service was effectuated on all defendants on April 7, 2017. Defendants moved to dismiss the complaint on June 19, 2017. In lieu of responding, an amended complaint was filed on October 13, 2017. John Neff was substituted for plaintiff Seymour Rosenfeld in the amended complaint. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on December 4, 2017 and the Court granted the motion to dismiss by order dated March 29, 2018. The plaintiff has 45 days to appeal.

Stockholder Claim in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware

On February 13, 2017, venBio commenced an action captioned *venBio Select Advisor LLC v. Goldenberg, et al.*, C.A. No. 2017-0108-VCL (Del. Ch.) (the "venBio Action"), alleging that Company's Board breached their fiduciary duties when the Board (i) amended the Company's Amended and Restated By-laws (the "By-Laws") to call for a plurality voting regime for the election of directors instead of majority voting, and providing for mandatory advancement of attorneys' fees and costs for the Company's directors and officers, (ii) rescheduled the Company's 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "2016 Annual Meeting") from December 14, 2016 to February 16, 2017, and then again to March 3, 2017, and (iii) agreed to the proposed Licensing Transaction with Seattle Genetics. venBio also named Seattle Genetics as a defendant and sought an injunction preventing the Company from closing the licensing transaction with Seattle Genetics. On March 6, 2017, venBio amended its complaint, adding further allegations. The Court of Chancery entered a temporary restraining order on March 9, 2017, enjoining the closing of the Licensing Transaction. venBio amended its complaint a second time on April 19, 2017, this time adding Greenhill & Co. Inc. and Greenhill & Co. LLC (together "Greenhill"), the Company's financial advisor on the Licensing Transaction, as an additional defendant. On May 3, 2017, venBio and the Company and individual defendants Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Brian Markison, a director of the Company (collectively, the "Individual Defendants") entered into the Initial Term Sheet. On June 8, 2017, venBio, the Company and

Table of Contents

Greenhill entered into the Greenhill Term Sheet. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, if the Court of Chancery approves the settlement, all claims that were asserted by venBio against the Individual Defendants or Greenhill in the venBio Action will be released. The claims asserted against the remaining individual defendants (former directors Robert Forrester, Jason Aryeh, Geoff Cox and Bob Oliver) will remain stayed pending non-binding mediation. Mediation is scheduled for May 24, 2018.

Other matters:

Immunomedics is also a party to various claims and litigation arising in the normal course of business, which includes some or all of certain of its patents. While it is not possible to determine the outcome of these matters, the Company believes that the resolution of all such matters will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position or liquidity, but could possibly be material to its consolidated results of operations in any one accounting period.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2.MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") encourages companies to disclose forward-looking information so that investors can better understand a company's future prospects and make informed investment decisions. Certain statements that we may make from time to time, including, without limitation, statements contained in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may be made directly in this Quarterly Report, and they may also be made a part of this Quarterly Report by reference to other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which is known as "incorporation by reference."

Words such as "may," "anticipate," "estimate," "expects," "projects," "intends," "plans," "believes" and words and terms of similar substance used in connection with any discussion of future operating or financial performance, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements are management's present expectations of future events and are subject to a number of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements. These risks and uncertainties include, among other things: the risk that we may be unable to obtain additional capital through strategic collaborations, licensing, issuance of convertible debt securities or equity financing in order to continue our research and development activities and secure regulatory approval of and market our drug candidates; our inability to further identify, develop and achieve commercial success for new products and technologies; the possibility of delays in the research and development necessary to select drug development candidates and delays in clinical trials; the risk that clinical trials may not result in marketable products; the risk that we may be unable to secure regulatory approval of and market our drug candidates; our dependence upon pharmaceutical and biotechnology collaborations; uncertainties about our ability to obtain new corporate collaborations and acquire new technologies on satisfactory terms, if at all; the development of competing products; our ability to protect our proprietary technologies; patent-infringement claims and other stockholder litigation; and risks of new, changing and competitive technologies and regulations in the United States and internationally; and other factors discussed under the heading Item 1A "Risk Factors" in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

In light of these assumptions, risks and uncertainties, the results and events discussed in the forward-looking statements contained in this Quarterly Report or in any document incorporated by reference might not occur. You are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report or the date of the document incorporated by reference in this Quarterly Report. We are not under any obligation, and we expressly disclaim any obligation, to update or alter any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as may be required by applicable law. All subsequent forward-looking statements attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. ("Immunomedics," the "Company," "we," "our" or "us"), or to any person authorized to act on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section.

Overview

Immunomedics is a clinical-stage biopharmaceutical company developing monoclonal antibody-based products for the targeted treatment of cancer and other serious diseases. Our advanced proprietary technologies allow us to create humanized antibodies that can be used either alone in unlabeled or "naked" form, or conjugated with radioactive isotopes, chemotherapeutics, cytokines or toxins. Using these technologies, we have built a pipeline of six clinical-stage product candidates.

Table of Contents

We believe that each of our antibodies has therapeutic potential either when administered as a naked antibody or when conjugated with chemotherapeutics, therapeutic radioisotopes (radiolabeled), cytokines or other toxins to create unique and potentially more effective treatment options. The attachment of various compounds to antibodies is intended to allow the delivery of these therapeutic agents to tumor sites with better specificity than conventional chemotherapy or radiation therapy approaches. This treatment method is designed to reduce the total exposure of the patient to the therapeutic agents, which ideally minimizes debilitating side effects.

Our portfolio of investigational products includes antibody-drug conjugates (“ADCs”) that are designed to deliver a specific payload of a chemotherapeutic directly to the tumor while reducing overall toxicities that are usually found with conventional administration of these chemotherapeutic agents. Our most advanced ADCs are sacituzumab govitecan (“IMMU-132”) and labetuzumab govitecan (“IMMU-130”), which are in Phase 2 trials for a number of solid tumors and metastatic colorectal cancer (“CRC”), respectively. Sacituzumab govitecan is our lead product candidate and has received Breakthrough Therapy Designation from the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (the “FDA”) for the treatment of patients with metastatic triple-negative breast cancer (“mTNBC”) who have received at least two prior therapies for metastatic disease.

Our corporate strategy is to bring sacituzumab govitecan to the market on our own in the United States for the benefit of patients with mTNBC and the creation of value for our stockholders. To that end, we plan to submit a Biologics License Application (“BLA”) to the FDA for accelerated approval of sacituzumab govitecan in mTNBC by the end of May 2018. To fulfil part of the accelerated approval requirements, we also initiated and dosed the first patient into the Phase 3 ASCENT trial of sacituzumab govitecan for mTNBC during the fourth quarter of calendar year 2017.

As of March 31, 2018, the Company had \$358.8 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities. On January 8, 2018, the Company announced that it had agreed to sell tiered, sales-based royalty rights on global net sales of sacituzumab govitecan to RPI Finance Trust (“RPI”) for \$175.0 million. RPI also purchased \$75.0 million in common stock of Immunomedics, at \$17.15 per share, which represented a more than 15% premium over the stock’s 15-day trailing average closing price at that time. We believe our financial resources are adequate to support the Company’s next phase of growth as it focuses on developing sacituzumab govitecan in mTNBC, advanced urothelial cancer and other indications of high medical need and on further building its clinical, medical affairs, commercial and manufacturing infrastructure, and to fund operations into 2020. During that time the Company plans to file a BLA with the FDA for accelerated approval of sacituzumab govitecan for patients with mTNBC in the U.S.; to continue manufacturing sacituzumab govitecan at a large scale to prepare for and supply commercial operations in the U.S.; to continue the Phase 3 ASCENT trial of sacituzumab govitecan for mTNBC patients, invest in further clinical development of sacituzumab govitecan and other pipeline assets; and, to launch sacituzumab govitecan as a commercial product in the U.S. initially as a treatment for patients with mTNBC patients who have received at least two prior therapies for metastatic disease.

We believe our current focus on commercializing sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for patients with mTNBC is also the key to opening the door to further potential commercial opportunities in the future including developing sacituzumab govitecan in earlier lines of therapy in mTNBC, as a monotherapy or in combination therapies, as well as expansion of sacituzumab govitecan into other indications beyond mTNBC, such as advanced urothelial cancer (“UC”), advanced castration-resistant prostate cancer (“CRPC”), small-cell lung cancer (“SCLC”), and non-small-cell lung cancer (“NSCLC”). It’s only by proving sacituzumab govitecan in mTNBC that we can explore, expand into, and potentially capitalize on these new opportunities. While our immediate focus is on commercializing sacituzumab govitecan, on our own, in the

Table of Contents

U.S. and potentially European markets, we are alert to opportunities to commercialize sacituzumab govitecan in certain other regional markets, and we are also open to business development opportunities to develop other pipeline assets.

These other product candidates, which target solid tumors and hematologic malignancies, as well as other diseases, are in various stages of clinical and pre-clinical development. They include other ADCs such as labetuzumab govitecan, which binds the CEACAM5 antigen expressed on colorectal and other solid cancers, and IMMU-140 that targets HLA-DR for the potential treatment of liquid cancers; IMMU-114, the parental antibody in IMMU-140 that targets the HLA-DR receptor; combination therapies involving our ADCs; bispecific antibodies targeting cancers and infectious diseases as T-cell redirecting immunotherapies; as well as bispecific antibodies for next-generation cancer disease therapies, created using our patented DOCK-AND-LOCK® (“DNL®”) protein conjugation technology. We believe that our portfolio of intellectual property provides commercially reasonable protection for our product candidates and technologies. In addition, we have a research collaboration with Bayer to study epratuzumab as a thorium-227-labeled antibody and an ongoing collaboration with an independent cancer study group to evaluate epratuzumab in combination with chemotherapy in a large, randomized, Phase 3 trial in children with relapsed acute lymphoblastic leukemia (“ALL”).

The development and commercialization of successful therapeutic products is subject to numerous risks and uncertainties including, without limitation, the following:

- we may be unable to obtain additional capital through strategic collaborations, licensing, issuance of convertible debt securities or equity financing in order to continue our research and secure regulatory approval of and market our drug;
- the type of therapeutic compound under investigation and nature of the disease in connection with which the compound is being studied;
- our ability, as well as the ability of our partners, to conduct and complete clinical trials on a timely basis;
- the time required for us to comply with all applicable federal, state and foreign legal requirements, including, without limitation, our receipt of the necessary approvals of the FDA, if at all;
- the financial resources available to us during any particular period; and
- many other factors associated with the commercial development of therapeutic products outside of our control.

See Risk Factors in Item 1A of this Quarterly Report.

Table of Contents

Research and Development

As of March 31, 2018, we employed 8 professionals in our research and development departments, 30 professionals in our pre-clinical and clinical research departments, and 86 professionals in our operations, manufacturing and quality control departments. In addition to salaries and benefits, the other costs associated with research and development include the costs associated with producing biopharmaceutical compounds, laboratory equipment and supplies, the costs of conducting clinical trials, legal fees and expenses associated with pursuing patent protection, as well as facilities costs.

At any one time our scientists are engaged in the research and development of multiple therapeutic compounds. Because we do not track expenses on the basis of each individual compound under investigation, but rather aggregate research and development costs for accounting purposes, it is not possible for investors to analyze and compare the expenses associated with unsuccessful research and development efforts for any particular fiscal period, with those associated with compounds that are determined to be worthy of further development. This may make it more difficult for investors to evaluate our business and future prospects.

Clinical Pipeline Update

The following is an update of the status of our clinical trials.

Antibody-Drug Conjugates (ADCs)

Our first ADC program, sacituzumab govitecan, is an anti-TROP-2-SN-38 ADC currently being evaluated in patients with a variety of solid tumors, including Phase 3 ASCENT trial for patients with mTNBC who have received at least two prior therapies. Labetuzumab govitecan, the second agent from our ADC program, is an anti-CEACAM5-SN-38 ADC which has been evaluated in Phase I trials for the treatment of metastatic CRC and other solid tumors.

Sacituzumab Govitecan/IMMU-132

Sacituzumab govitecan has been studied in over 500 diverse cancer patients in more than 15 types of solid cancers, with the dose of 10 mg/kg given on days 1 and 8 of repeated 21-day cycles being the established dose regimen. Sacituzumab govitecan received Breakthrough Therapy Designation from the FDA for the treatment of patients with mTNBC who have received at least two prior therapies for metastatic disease. The FDA has also granted sacituzumab govitecan Fast Track designation for the treatment of patients with mTNBC and for patients with SCLC, or NSCLC. Sacituzumab govitecan has also been designated an orphan drug by the FDA for the treatment of patients with SCLC or pancreatic cancer in the U.S. and by the European Medicines Agency ("EMA") for the treatment of patients with pancreatic cancer in the European Union.

Currently, clinical development of sacituzumab govitecan focuses on a number of select types of solid cancers including mTNBC, hormone receptor-positive (HR+) metastatic breast cancer (mBC), advanced UC, advanced CRPC, SCLC, NSCLC, and certain other cancers.

The Company plans to submit a BLA to the FDA for accelerated approval of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line treatment for patients with mTNBC by the end of May 2018. A prerequisite for FDA acceptance of the BLA filing is to have a confirmatory Phase 3 trial to be underway at the time of BLA submission. To that end, we initiated and dosed the first patient in the confirmatory Phase 3 ASCENT study in November 2017, thereby satisfying FDA's requirement.

Table of Contents

In April 2018, FDA agreed to our proposed amendments to the confirmatory ASCENT trial protocol that is under a Special Protocol Assessment (“SPA”). The amendments incorporated recommendations from EU National Health authorities and input and advice from key breast cancer experts. The key protocol changes, amongst others, are:

- A capping of the proportion of patients with stable brain metastasis to no more than 15% of the total enrollment
- An increase of the sample size from 328 to 488 patients, which will allow for progression-free survival (“PFS”) to be analyzed in patients without brain metastasis, while keeping intact the statistical power to analyze PFS in the overall population; and
- Increased power for key secondary endpoints such as overall survival.

Based on strong early enrollment trends, these changes are not expected to meaningfully impact overall study timelines. We believe that FDA’s agreement to this SPA amendment may further increase the probability of success of the study, while leaving key study objectives and endpoints intact.

Another breast cancer indication that is a key strategic focus for the Company is HR+ mBC. An abstract on Phase 2 results of sacituzumab govitecan in patients with treatment-refractory HR+, human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-negative mBC, a patient population with the distinct need for better treatment options, has been selected for oral presentation at the 2018 ASCO Annual Meeting.

In advanced UC, sacituzumab govitecan was found to be active in patients who have relapsed or are refractory to chemotherapies and immune checkpoint inhibitors (“IOs”), as reported by our clinical investigator at the European Society for Medical Oncology Congress held during September 2017.

Sacituzumab govitecan has a predictable and manageable safety profile. Grade 3 or higher adverse events with more than 5% frequency include neutropenia, leukopenia, anemia, diarrhea, and febrile neutropenia. Side effects were managed with supportive medication or dose modifications. Despite repeated dosing, no antibodies to the drug conjugate or its components were detected on serial blood collections and there were no treatment-related deaths. Trop-2 tumor staining was not required for patient selection, due to greater than 80% expression.

The Company’s strategy to broaden the development of sacituzumab govitecan beyond mTNBC and advanced UC includes meeting the high unmet medical need in patients with advanced CRPC. To that end, the Company, through an agreement with The Prostate Cancer Clinical Trials Consortium, is collaborating with the University of Wisconsin Carbone Cancer Center to investigate sacituzumab govitecan in an investigator-sponsored Phase 2 trial to assess whether targeting Trop-2 with sacituzumab govitecan is promising in prostate cancer patients. Approximately 55-60 male patients with CRPC progressing on enzalutamide or abiraterone, objectively or based on prostate-specific antigen level, in either hormone naïve or CRPC settings will be enrolled into the multicenter study, which will be funded by the Company.

We have an extensive intellectual property portfolio protecting sacituzumab govitecan. Specifically, 42 patents were issued in the U.S. and 23 foreign patents were issued covering composition of matter, synthesis and uses. Certain patents relating to the protein sequence of the hRS7 antibody used in sacituzumab govitecan expired in 2017 in the U.S. and will expire in 2023 overseas. Patents to compositions and use of the CL2A linker incorporated in sacituzumab govitecan expire between 2023 and 2029 in the U.S. and overseas. Other patents relating to methods of cancer therapy with the SN-38 conjugated form of hRS7 used in sacituzumab govitecan extend to 2033. Additionally, we are entitled to extend the term of our key patent for

Table of Contents

up to 5 more years. Outside the U.S., patents were issued in Australia, Canada, China, Europe, Israel, Japan, Mexico, South Korea and other key global markets.

Labetuzumab Govitecan/IMMU-130

Our second investigational solid-tumor ADC involves our anti-CEACAM5 antibody labetuzumab, conjugated to SN-38. The agent has been studied in patients with metastatic CRC who had received at least one prior irinotecan-containing regimen and had an elevated blood titer of carcinoembryonic antigen.

Labetuzumab govitecan was well-tolerated, with a manageable toxicity profile. Major toxicities (Grade >3) among all cohorts were neutropenia (16%), leukopenia (11%), anemia (9%), and diarrhea (7%). Anti-drug or anti-antibody antibodies were not detected.

Although certain patents relating to labetuzumab used in labetuzumab govitecan expired in 2016, other patents relating to use of labetuzumab for cancer therapy, including the SN-38 conjugated form of labetuzumab used in labetuzumab govitecan, extend to 2033.

Other Product Candidates

We have additional potential products for the treatment of cancer and autoimmune diseases including epratuzumab, our anti-CD22 antibody; veltuzumab, our anti-CD20 antibody; milatuzumab, our anti-CD74 antibody; and IMMU-114, a humanized anti-HLA-DR antibody.

Epratuzumab

We have a research collaboration with Bayer to study epratuzumab as a thorium-227 labeled antibody. Targeted Thorium Conjugates (“TTCs”) represent a new technology directing the power of the alpha-particle selectively towards tumor cells. The high linear energy transfer of the alpha particle generated by decay of the radionuclide thorium-227 induces double-strand DNA breaks causing cell death in targeted tumor cells.

We also have an ongoing collaboration with the IntReALL, Inter-European study group who is conducting a large, randomized, Phase 3 trial combining epratuzumab with chemotherapy in children with relapsed ALL at clinical sites in Australia, Europe, and Israel. This Phase 3 study, which is partially funded by the European Commission, assesses the efficacy and safety of this combination therapy using event-free survival as the surrogate for survival, the primary endpoint.

Although certain patents to the epratuzumab protein sequence expired in 2014 in the U.S. and in 2015 overseas, other issued patents to therapeutic use of epratuzumab extend to 2018-2023 for cancer and 2020 for autoimmune disease. The method of preparing concentrated epratuzumab for subcutaneous administration is covered by another patent family with expiration in the United States in 2032.

Veltuzumab

Veltuzumab is a humanized monoclonal antibody targeting CD20 receptors on B lymphocytes. The Office of Orphan Products Development of the FDA has granted orphan status for the use of veltuzumab for the treatment of patients with immune thrombocytopenia (“ITP”) and pemphigus. We have completed a Phase 1/2 study evaluating different dosing schedules of a subcutaneous formulation of veltuzumab in patients with ITP. In oncology, we have completed a National Cancer Institute-funded Phase 2 study in patients with aggressive NHL in combination with 90Y-epratuzumab tetraxetan.

Table of Contents

We are currently evaluating various options for further clinical development of veltuzumab in ITP and other autoimmune disease indications, including pemphigus, as well as in oncology, including licensing arrangements and collaborations with outside study groups.

Milatuzumab

Milatuzumab is the first anti-CD74 antibody that has entered into human testing and we have completed initial Phase 1 studies in patients with relapsed multiple myeloma, NHL or chronic lymphocytic leukemia (“CLL”). It has received orphan drug designation from the FDA for the treatment of patients with multiple myeloma or CLL.

The anti-CD74 antibody was also being studied subcutaneously in a Phase 1b study in patients with active systemic lupus erythematosus supported by a three-year research grant from the Department of Defense.

IMMU-114

IMMU-114 is a novel humanized antibody directed against an immune response target, HLA-DR, for the treatment of patients with B-cell and other cancers. HLA-DR is a receptor located on the cell surface whose role is to present foreign objects to the immune system for the purpose of eliciting an immune response. Increased presence of HLA-DR in hematologic cancers has made it a prime target for antibody therapy.

IMMU-140

IMMU-140 is our third ADC that is a SN-38-conjugated form of IMMU-114. As such, IMMU-140 is a dual-therapeutic, combining the signaling functions of the parental antibody, IMMU-114, with the cytotoxicity of SN-38. In preclinical studies, IMMU-140 demonstrated higher potency than naked IMMU-114 in ALL and acute myelocytic leukemia, a disease that, despite having high expression levels of HLA-DR, has proven to be resistant to the antitumor effects of IMMU-114 in vitro, thus warrants further clinical development.

Critical Accounting Policies

For a description of our significant accounting policies, see Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies. Of these policies, the following are considered critical to an understanding of the Company’s Consolidated Financial Statements as they require the application of the most difficult, subjective and complex judgments; (i) Revenue recognition, (ii) Stock-based compensation and (iii) Research and development costs.

Government Regulation

Regulatory Compliance

Our research and development activities, including testing in laboratory animals and in humans, our manufacture of antibodies, as well as the design, manufacturing, safety, efficacy, handling, labeling, storage, record-keeping, advertising, promotion and marketing of the product candidates that we are developing, are all subject to stringent regulation, primarily by the FDA in the U.S. under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act and its implementing regulations, and the Public Health Service Act and its implementing regulations, and by comparable authorities under similar laws and regulations in other countries. If for any

Table of Contents

reason we do not comply with applicable requirements, such noncompliance can result in various adverse consequences, including one or more delays in approval of, or even the refusal to approve, product licenses or other applications, the suspension or termination of clinical investigations, the revocation of approvals previously granted, as well as fines, criminal prosecution, recall or seizure of products, injunctions against shipping products and total or partial suspension of production and/or refusal to allow us to enter into governmental supply contracts.

Product Approval

In the United States, our product candidates are regulated as biologic pharmaceuticals, or biologics. The process required by the FDA before biologic product candidates may be marketed in the United States generally involves the following:

- completion of preclinical laboratory tests and animal studies performed in accordance with the FDA's current Good Laboratory Practices regulations;
- submission to the FDA of an Investigational New Drug Application ("IND") which must become effective before human clinical trials may begin and must be updated annually;
- approval by an independent Institutional Review Board ("IRB") the ethics committee at each clinical site before the trial is initiated.
- performance of adequate and well-controlled clinical trials to establish the safety, purity and potency of the proposed biologic, and the safety and efficacy of the proposed drug for each indication;
- preparation of and submission to the FDA of a BLA for a new biologic, after completion of all pivotal clinical trials;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA Advisory Committee review, if applicable;
- a determination by the FDA within 60 days of its receipt of a BLA to file the application for review;
- satisfactory completion of an FDA pre-approval inspection of the manufacturing facilities to assess compliance with current Good Manufacturing Practice ("cGMP") regulations; and
- FDA review and approval of a BLA for a new biologic, prior to any commercial marketing or sale of the product in the United States.

Preclinical tests assess the potential safety and efficacy of a product candidate in animal models. Clinical trials involve the administration of the investigational product to human subjects under the supervision of qualified investigators in accordance with current Good Clinical Practices ("cGCPs"), which include the requirement that all research subjects provide their informed consent for their participation in any clinical trial. A protocol for each clinical trial and any subsequent protocol amendments must be submitted to the FDA as part of the IND. Additionally, approval must also be obtained from each clinical trial site's IRB before the trials may be initiated, and the IRB must monitor the study until completed. There are also requirements governing the reporting of ongoing clinical trials and clinical trial results to public registries.

Table of Contents

The clinical investigation of a pharmaceutical, including a biologic, is generally divided into three phases. Although the phases are usually conducted sequentially, they may overlap or be combined.

- Phase 1 studies are designed to evaluate the safety, dosage tolerance, metabolism and pharmacologic actions of the investigational product in humans, the side effects associated with increasing doses, and if possible, to gain early evidence on effectiveness.
- Phase 2 includes controlled clinical trials conducted to preliminarily or further evaluate the effectiveness of the investigational product for a particular indication(s) in patients with the disease or condition under study, to determine dosage tolerance and optimal dosage, and to identify possible adverse side effects and safety risks associated with the product.
- Phase 3 clinical trials are generally controlled clinical trials conducted in an expanded patient population generally at geographically dispersed clinical trial sites, and are intended to further evaluate dosage, clinical effectiveness and safety, to establish the overall benefit-risk relationship of the investigational product, and to provide an adequate basis for product approval.

The FDA may place clinical trials on hold at any point in this process if, among other reasons, it concludes that clinical subjects are being exposed to an unacceptable health risk. Trials may also be terminated by IRBs, which must review and approve all research involving human subjects. Side effects or adverse events that are reported during clinical trials can delay, impede or prevent marketing authorization.

The results of the preclinical and clinical testing, along with information regarding the manufacturing of the product and proposed product labeling, are evaluated and, if determined appropriate, submitted to the FDA through a BLA. The application includes all relevant data available from pertinent preclinical and clinical trials, including negative or ambiguous results as well as positive findings, together with detailed information relating to the product's chemistry, manufacturing, controls and proposed labeling, among other things. Once the BLA submission has been accepted for filing, the FDA's standard goal is to review applications within ten months of the filing date or, if the application relates to an unmet medical need in a serious or life-threatening indication, nine months from the filing date. The review process is often significantly extended by FDA requests for additional information or clarification.

The FDA offers certain programs, such as Breakthrough Therapy designation and Fast Track designation, designed to expedite the development and review of applications for products intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening disease or condition. For Breakthrough Therapy designation, preliminary clinical evidence of the product indicates that it may demonstrate substantial improvement over existing therapies on one or more clinically significant endpoints, such as substantial treatment effects observed early in clinical development. If Breakthrough Therapy or Fast Track designation is obtained, the FDA may initiate review of sections of a BLA before the application is complete, and the product may be eligible for accelerated approval. However, receipt of Breakthrough Therapy or Fast Track designation for a product candidate does not ensure that a product will be developed or approved on an expedited basis, and such designation may be rescinded if the product candidate is found to no longer meet the qualifying criteria.

The FDA reviews the BLA to determine, among other things, whether the proposed product is safe, pure and potent, which includes determining whether it is effective for its intended use, and whether the product is being manufactured in accordance with cGMP, to assure and preserve the product's identity, strength, quality, potency and purity. The FDA may refer the application to an advisory committee for review, evaluation and recommendation as to whether the application should be approved. The FDA is not bound by the recommendation of an advisory committee, but it typically follows such recommendations.

Table of Contents

After the FDA evaluates the BLA and conducts inspections of manufacturing facilities, it may issue an approval letter or a Complete Response Letter. An approval letter authorizes commercial marketing of the biologic with specific prescribing information for specific indications. A Complete Response Letter indicates that the review cycle of the application is complete and the application is not ready for approval. A Complete Response Letter may require additional clinical data and/or an additional pivotal Phase 3 clinical trial(s), and/or other significant, expensive and time-consuming requirements related to clinical trials, preclinical studies or manufacturing. Even if such additional information is submitted, the FDA may ultimately decide that the BLA does not satisfy the criteria for approval. The FDA could approve the BLA with a Risk Evaluation and Mitigation Strategy plan to mitigate risks, which could include medication guides, physician communication plans, or elements to assure safe use, such as restricted distribution methods, patient registries and other risk minimization tools. The FDA also may condition approval on, among other things, changes to proposed labeling, development of adequate controls and specifications, or a commitment to conduct one or more post-market studies or clinical trials. Such post-market testing may include Phase 4 clinical trials and surveillance to further assess and monitor the product's safety and effectiveness after commercialization.

The Biologics Price Competition and Innovation Act of 2009 ("BPCIA") created an abbreviated pathway for the approval of biosimilar and interchangeable biologic products. The abbreviated pathway establishes legal authority for the FDA to review and approve biosimilar biologics, including the possible designation of a biosimilar as "interchangeable" based on its similarity to an existing brand product. Under the BPCIA, an application for a biosimilar product cannot be approved by the FDA until 12 years after the original branded product was approved under a BLA. In March 2015, the FDA approved Novartis's Zarxio as a biosimilar product to Amgen's Neupogen. The approval, the first biosimilar product approved for distribution in the United States, could usher in more biosimilar products and lower prices for biologic products from increased competition. Indeed, on February 9, 2016, the Arthritis Advisory Committee of the FDA recommended for approval Pfizer's Inflectra as a biosimilar product to Johnson & Johnson's Remicade.

Expedited Review and Approval

The FDA has four program designations/approval pathways — Fast Track, Breakthrough Therapy, Accelerated Approval, and Priority Review — to facilitate and expedite development and review of new drugs to address unmet medical needs in the treatment of serious or life-threatening conditions. The Fast Track designation provides pharmaceutical manufacturers with opportunities for frequent interactions with FDA reviewers during the product's development and the ability for the manufacturer to do a rolling submission of the BLA. A rolling submission allows completed portions of the application to be submitted and reviewed by the FDA on an ongoing basis. The Breakthrough Therapy designation provides manufacturers with all of the features of the Fast Track designation as well as intensive guidance on implementing an efficient development program for the product and a commitment by the FDA to involve senior managers and experienced review staff in the review. The Accelerated Approval designation allows the FDA to approve a product based on an effect on a surrogate or intermediate endpoint that is reasonably likely to predict a product's clinical benefit and generally requires the manufacturer to conduct required post-approval confirmatory trials to verify the clinical benefit. The Priority Review designation means that the FDA's goal is to take action on the BLA within nine months, compared to ten months under standard review. In February 2016, sacituzumab govitecan was granted Breakthrough Therapy designation from the FDA for the treatment of patients with mTNBC who have received at least two prior therapies for metastatic disease.

Post-Approval Requirements

Any products manufactured or distributed by us or on our behalf pursuant to FDA approvals are subject to continuing regulation by the FDA and certain state agencies, including requirements for record-keeping, reporting of adverse experiences with the biologic, submitting biological product deviation reports to

Table of Contents

notify the FDA of unanticipated changes in distributed products, establishment registration, compliance with cGMP standards (including investigation and correction of any deviations from cGMP), and certain state chain of distribution pedigree requirements. Additionally, any significant change in the approved product or in how it is manufactured, including changes in formulation or the site of manufacture, generally require prior FDA approval. The packaging and labeling of all products developed by us are also subject to FDA approval and ongoing regulation. Noncompliance with any regulatory requirements can result in, among other things, issuance of warning letters, civil and criminal penalties, seizures, and injunctive action. Accordingly, manufacturers must continue to expend time, money and effort in the area of production and quality control to maintain compliance with cGMP and other aspects of regulatory compliance.

Orphan Drug Act

To date, we have successfully obtained Orphan Drug designation by the FDA under the Orphan Drug Act of 1983 for epratuzumab NHL, yttrium-90-labeled clivatuzumab tetraxetan for pancreatic cancer, sacituzumab govitecan for SCLC and pancreatic cancer, labetuzumab for ovarian, pancreatic and SCLCs pancreatic, milatuzumab for multiple myeloma and CLL, and veltuzumab for ITP and pemphigus. Under the Orphan Drug Act, the FDA may grant orphan drug designation to drugs intended to treat a rare disease or condition, which is generally defined as a disease or condition that affects fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States. Orphan drug designation must be requested before submitting a BLA. In the United States, orphan drug designation entitles a party to financial incentives such as opportunities for grant funding towards clinical trial costs, tax advantages, and user-fee waivers. Orphan drug designation does not convey any advantage in, or shorten the duration of, the regulatory review and approval process. The first BLA applicant to receive FDA approval for a particular active ingredient to treat a particular disease with FDA orphan drug designation is entitled to a seven-year exclusive marketing period in the United States for that product, for that indication. During the seven-year exclusivity period, the FDA may not approve any other applications to market the same drug for the same orphan indication, except in limited circumstances, such as a showing of clinical superiority to the product with orphan exclusivity or where the manufacturer of the approved product cannot assure sufficient quantities. As a result, there can be no assurance that our competitors will not receive approval of drugs or biologics that have a different active ingredient for treatment of the diseases for which our products and product candidates are targeted.

Foreign Regulation

In addition to regulations in the United States, we are subject to a variety of foreign regulations governing clinical trials and commercial sales and distribution of our product candidates being developed, and products being marketed outside of the United States. We must obtain approval by the comparable regulatory authorities of foreign countries before we can commence clinical trials or marketing of our products in those countries. The approval process varies from country to country, and the time may be longer or shorter than that required by the FDA for BLA licensure. The requirements governing the conduct of clinical trials, product licensing, pricing and reimbursement vary greatly from country to country. As in the United States, we are subject to post-approval regulatory requirements, such as those regarding product manufacturing, marketing, or distribution.

Other Regulatory Considerations

We are also subject to regulation under the Occupational Safety and Health Act, the Toxic Substances Control Act, the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, The Clean Air Act, New Jersey Department of Environmental Protection and other current and potential future federal, state, or local regulations. Our research and development activities involve the controlled use of hazardous materials, chemicals, biological

Table of Contents

materials and various radioactive compounds. We believe that our procedures comply with the standards prescribed by state and federal regulations; however, the risk of injury or accidental contamination cannot be completely eliminated.

We may also be subject to healthcare regulation and enforcement by the federal government and the states and foreign governments where we may market our products and product candidates, if approved. These laws include, without limitation, state and federal anti-kickback, fraud and abuse, false claims, privacy, and security and physician sunshine laws and regulations.

The federal Anti-Kickback Statute prohibits, among other things, any person from knowingly and willfully offering, soliciting, receiving or providing remuneration, directly or indirectly, to induce either the referral of an individual, for an item or service or the purchasing or ordering of a good or service, for which payment may be made under federal healthcare programs, such as the Medicare and Medicaid programs. The Anti-Kickback Statute is subject to evolving interpretations. In the past, the government has enforced the Anti-Kickback Statute to reach large settlements with healthcare companies, based on sham consulting and other financial arrangements with physicians. A person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation. In addition, the government may assert that a claim, including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the federal False Claims Act. The majority of states also have anti-kickback laws, which establish similar prohibitions and, in some cases, may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers.

Additionally, the civil False Claims Act prohibits knowingly presenting or causing the presentation of a false, fictitious or fraudulent claim for payment to the U.S. government. Actions under the False Claims Act may be brought by the Attorney General or as a qui tam action by a private individual in the name of the government. Violations of the False Claims Act can result in very significant monetary penalties and treble damages. The federal government is using the False Claims Act, and the accompanying threat of significant liability, in its investigation and prosecution of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies throughout the U.S., for example, in connection with the promotion of products for unapproved uses and other sales and marketing practices. The government has obtained multi-million and multi-billion dollar settlements under the False Claims Act in addition to individual criminal convictions under applicable criminal statutes. Given the significant size of actual and potential settlements, it is expected that the government will continue to devote substantial resources to investigating compliance of healthcare providers and manufacturers with applicable fraud and abuse laws.

The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA") also created new federal criminal statutes that prohibit, among other actions, knowingly and willfully executing, or attempting to execute, a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program, including private third-party payors, knowingly and willfully embezzling or stealing from a healthcare benefit program, willfully obstructing a criminal investigation of a healthcare offense, and knowingly and willfully falsifying, concealing or covering up a material fact or making any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement in connection with the delivery of or payment for healthcare benefits, items or services. Similar to the federal Anti-Kickback Statute, a person or entity does not need to have actual knowledge of the statute or specific intent to violate it in order to have committed a violation.

There has also been a recent trend of increased federal and state regulation of payments made to physicians and other healthcare providers. The Affordable Care Act ("ACA") imposes, among other things, new reporting requirements on drug manufacturers for payments made by them to physicians and teaching hospitals, as well as ownership and investment interests held by physicians and their immediate family members. Failure to submit required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up to an aggregate

Table of Contents

of \$150,000 per year (or up to an aggregate of \$1.0 million per year for “knowing failures”), for all payments, transfers of value or ownership or investment interests that are not timely, accurately and completely reported in an annual submission. Drug manufacturers were required to begin collecting data on August 1, 2013 and submit reports to the government by December 31, 2014 and June 30, 2014, and the 90th day of each subsequent calendar year. Certain states also mandate implementation of compliance programs, impose restrictions on drug manufacturer marketing practices and/or require the tracking and reporting of gifts, compensation and other remuneration to physicians.

We may also be subject to data privacy and security regulation by both the federal government and the states in which we conduct our business. HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology and Clinical Health Act (“HITECH”) and their respective implementing regulations, including the final omnibus rule published on January 25, 2013, imposes specified requirements relating to the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information. Among other things, HITECH makes HIPAA’s privacy and security standards directly applicable to “business associates,” defined as independent contractors or agents of covered entities that create, receive, maintain or transmit protected health information in connection with providing a service for or on behalf of a covered entity. HITECH also increased the civil and criminal penalties that may be imposed against covered entities, business associates and possibly other persons, and gave state attorneys general new authority to file civil actions for damages or injunctions in federal courts to enforce the federal HIPAA laws and seek attorney’s fees and costs associated with pursuing federal civil actions. In addition, state laws govern the privacy and security of health information in certain circumstances, many of which differ from each other in significant ways, thus complicating compliance efforts.

We are subject to the U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, which prohibits corporations and individuals from engaging in certain activities to obtain or retain business or to influence a person working in an official capacity. Under this act, it is illegal to pay, offer to pay, or authorize the payment of anything of value to any foreign government official, government staff member, political party or political candidate in an attempt to obtain or retain business or to otherwise influence a person working in an official capacity. Our present and future business has been and will continue to be subject to various other laws and regulations.

Results of Operations

Our results for any interim period, such as those described in the following analysis, are not necessarily indicative of the results for the entire fiscal year or any other future period.

Three-Month Period Ended March 31, 2018 Compared to 2017

Revenues

Revenues for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, a decrease of \$0.8 million primarily due to a decrease in product sales, and to a lesser extent, a decrease in license fee and other revenues. Product sales for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$0.5 million, compared to \$0.9 million for the same period in 2017, a decrease of approximately \$0.4 million, or 51%, due to lower sales volume of LeukoScan® in Europe. The Company discontinued the sale of LeukoScan® during the third quarter, FY 2018 to focus on its ADC business.

Costs and Expenses

Total costs and expenses for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 were approximately \$38.1 million, compared to \$23.4 million for the same period in 2017, an increase of \$14.7 million, or

Table of Contents

approximately 63%, primarily due to an increase in research and development expenses of \$16.3 million and an increase in sales and marketing expenses of \$2.1 million, partially offset by a decrease in general and administrative expenses of \$3.6 million.

Research and development expenses for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$28.8 million, compared to \$12.5 million for the same period in 2017, an increase of \$16.3 million, or approximately 131%, primarily due to increases in clinical trial costs as well as increases in labor related costs in connection with preparations for the regulatory submission and launch of sacituzumab govitecan in the United States for patients with mTNBC.

Sales and marketing expenses for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$2.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, an increase of \$2.1 million primarily due to commercial launch preparation activities.

General and administrative expenses for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$6.9 million and \$10.5 million, respectively, a decrease of \$3.6 million or approximately 35%, primarily due decreases in legal and advisory fees associated with the proxy contest, offset by increases in labor costs associated with the support of commercial launch preparation activities, and consulting services for strategic planning.

Cost of goods sold for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2018 was approximately \$0.1 million and had no change when compared to the same period in 2017.

Changes in Fair Value of Warrant Liabilities

The Company recognized \$9.8 million in non-cash income during the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 as a result of the decrease in fair value of warrant liabilities during the period, compared to non-cash expense of \$28.3 million in the prior year, a \$38.1 million increase in non-cash income from the change in fair value of derivatives. During the three-month period ended March 31, 2018, there were warrant exercises of 1.4 million shares (see Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 5 Warrant Liabilities).

Interest Expense

Total interest expense for the three-month periods ended March 31, 2018 was \$10.9 million, compared to \$1.4 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2017, an increase of approximately \$9.5 million due primarily to increased debt balances as a result of the RPI agreement (see Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 4 Debt).

Insurance Reimbursement

During the three months ended March 31, 2018, the Company received \$1.9 million in insurance reimbursements related to legal costs incurred during the Company's proxy contest.

Net Loss Attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. Stockholders

Net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. common stockholders for the three-month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$35.6 million, or \$0.21 per share, compared to a net loss of \$59.3 million, or \$0.55 per share, for the same period in 2017; an increase of income of \$23.7 million primarily due to changes in the fair value of warrant liabilities.

Table of Contents

Nine-Month Period Ended March 31, 2018 Compared to 2017

Revenues

Revenues for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$1.8 million and \$2.4 million, respectively, a decrease of approximately \$0.6 million primarily due to a decrease in product sales, and to a lesser extent, a decrease in license fee and other revenues. Product sales for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$1.5 million, compared to \$1.8 million for the same period in 2017, a decrease of \$0.3 million, or approximately 18%, due to lower sales volume of LeukoScan® in Europe. The Company discontinued the sale of LeukoScan® during the third quarter, FY 2018 to focus on its ADC business.

Costs and Expenses

Total costs and expenses for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$90.4 million, compared to \$54.9 million for the same period in 2017, an increase of \$35.5 million, or approximately 65%, primarily due to an increase in research and development expenses of \$31.9 million and an increase in sales and marketing expenses of \$3.1 million.

Research and development expenses for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 were \$71.7 million, compared to \$39.8 million for the same period in 2017, an increase of \$31.9 million, or approximately 80% due to increases in clinical trial costs as well as increases in labor related costs in connection with preparations for the regulatory submission and launch of sacituzumab govitecan in the United States for patients with mTNBC.

Sales and marketing expenses for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$3.8 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, an increase of \$3.1 million, primarily due to commercial launch preparation activities.

General and administrative expenses for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 were \$14.3 million and \$14.0 million, respectively, an increase of \$0.3 million primarily due to increased labor expenses in connection with the launch of sacituzumab govitecan in the United States for patients with mTNBC.

The cost of goods sold for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2018 and 2017 was \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, an increase of \$0.2 million, primarily due to a \$0.4 million write down relating to LeukoScan® inventories that were deemed to be unsaleable due to product expiration.

Changes in Fair Value of Warrant Liabilities

The Company recognized \$49.8 million in non-cash expense during the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 as a result of the net increase in fair value of warrant liabilities at March 31, 2018, compared to non-cash expense of \$35.6 million in the prior year. During the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018, there were warrant exercises of approximately 12.2 million shares (see Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 5 Warrant Liabilities).

Loss on Induced Exchanges of Debt

On September 21, 2017, the Company entered into separate, privately negotiated Exchange Agreements with certain holders of the Convertible Senior Notes. As a result of the Agreements, the Company recognized a non-cash loss on induced exchanges of debt of approximately \$13.0 million,

Table of Contents

representing the fair value of the incremental consideration (1,133,173 common shares) paid to induce the holders to exchange their Convertible Senior Notes for equity, based on the closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on the date of the Exchange Agreements. The remaining balance of the Convertible Senior Notes after the exchange is \$19.7 million.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$13.8 million, compared to \$4.1 million for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2017. The \$9.7 million increase was due primarily to increased debt balances as a result of the RPI agreement (see Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 4 Debt).

Insurance Reimbursement

During the nine months ended March 31, 2018, the Company received \$6.3 million in insurance reimbursements related to legal costs incurred during the Company's proxy contest.

Net Loss Attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. Stockholders

Net loss attributable to Immunomedics, Inc. common stockholders for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$156.8 million, or \$1.08 per share, compared to a net loss of approximately \$99.9 million, or \$0.97 per share, for the same period in 2017, an increase in loss of \$56.9 million due primarily to the \$35.5 million increase in costs and expenses, the \$14.2 million increase in the non-cash expense from the increase in the fair value of warrant liabilities, and the \$13.0 million non-cash loss on induced exchanges of debt related to the Convertible Senior Notes offset partially by the receipt of \$6.3 million non-recurring insurance reimbursement related to the proxy contest in fiscal year 2017.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Discussion of Cash Flows

Cash flows from operating activities. Net cash used in operating activities for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$95.9 million, compared to \$48.2 million for the nine-month period ended March 31, 2017, an increase in cash used in operating activities of \$47.7 million. The increase in cash used in operating for the period was primarily due to a \$56.9 million increase in the net loss.

Cash flows from investing activities. Net cash provided by investing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2018 was \$54.5 million, compared to cash provided by investing activities of \$12.3 million for the nine-months ended March 31, 2017; an increase of \$42.2 million, due primarily to an increase of \$27.6 million in proceeds from sales or maturities of marketable securities as well as an \$18.8 million decrease in purchases of marketable securities.

Cash flows from financing activities. Net cash provided by financing activities during the nine-month period ended March 31, 2018 was \$304.7 million, compared to \$44.6 million of cash provided by financing activities during the nine-months ended March 31, 2017. The increase of \$260.1 million was due primarily to the receipt of \$250.0 million of net cash proceeds from the issuance of non-recourse debt and the sale of common stock associated with the Company's agreement with RPI (see Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 4 Debt).

Table of Contents

Working Capital and Cash Requirements

The Company had a working capital surplus of approximately \$275.5 million as of March 31, 2018, an increase of \$240.4 million, compared to a surplus of \$35.1 million as of June 30, 2017. The Company had \$358.8 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities as of March 31, 2018, an increase of \$203.9 million when compared to \$154.9 million as of June 30, 2017. The increase in cash was due primarily to the \$250.0 million in funding received from RPI (see Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements – Note 1 Business Overview and Basis of Presentation and Note 4 Debt).

We believe our financial resources as of March 31, 2018 are sufficient to support the Company's next phase of growth as it focuses on developing sacituzumab govitecan in mTNBC, advanced urothelial cancer and other indications of high medical need and on further building its clinical, medical affairs, commercial and manufacturing infrastructure, as well as provide sufficient cash to fund operations into 2020.

We will require additional funding in 2020 to complete our clinical trials currently underway or planned, continue research and new development programs, and continue operations. Potential sources of funding include the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing our full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA's approval), and potential equity and debt financing.

Until we can generate significant cash through the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing our full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, or the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA's approval), we expect to continue to fund our operations with our current financial resources. In 2020, if we cannot obtain sufficient funding through the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing our full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, or through the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA's approval), we could be required to finance future cash needs through the sale of additional equity and/or debt securities in capital markets. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise the additional capital needed to complete our pipeline of research and development programs on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. The capital markets have experienced volatility in recent years, which has resulted in uncertainty with respect to availability of capital and hence the timing to meet an entity's liquidity needs. Our existing debt may also negatively impact our ability to raise additional capital. If we are unable to raise capital on acceptable terms, our ability to continue our business would be materially and adversely affected. Actual results could differ materially from our expectations as a result of a number of risks and uncertainties, including the risks described in Item 1A Risk Factors, "Factors That May Affect Our Business and Results of Operations," and elsewhere in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended on Form 10-K/A. Our working capital and working capital requirements are affected by numerous factors and such factors may have a negative impact on our liquidity. Principal among these are the success of product commercialization and marketing products, the technological advantages and pricing of our products, the impact of the regulatory requirements applicable to us, and access to capital markets that can provide us with the resources, when necessary, to fund our strategic priorities.

Effects of Inflation

We do not believe that inflation has had a material impact on our business, sales or operating results during the periods presented.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The following discussion about our exposure to market risk of financial instruments contains forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Actual results may differ materially from those described due to a number of factors, including uncertainties associated with general economic conditions and conditions impacting our industry.

We may be exposed to fluctuations in foreign currencies with regard to certain agreements with service providers relating to certain clinical trials that are in process. Depending on the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar, realized and unrealized currency fluctuations could be significant.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

(a) Disclosure Controls and Procedures: We maintain controls and procedures designed to ensure that we are able to collect the information we are required to disclose in the reports we file with the SEC, and to record, process, summarize and disclose this information within the time periods specified in the rules promulgated by the SEC. Our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers are responsible for establishing and maintaining these disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) and, as required by the rules of the SEC, evaluating their effectiveness. Based on their evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, our Chief Executive and Chief Financial Officers believe that these procedures are effective to ensure that we are able to collect, process and disclose the information we are required to disclose in the reports we file with the SEC within the required time periods.

(b) Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting: There were no significant changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act), identified in connection with the evaluation of such internal control that occurred during our last fiscal quarter, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Settlement Agreement

On November 2, 2017 (the “Settlement Date”), the Company, venBio, Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Markison, and Greenhill (collectively the “Parties”), entered into the Settlement Agreement. The terms and conditions of the Settlement Agreement supersede the binding settlement term sheet entered into on May 3, 2017, by and among the Company, venBio, Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison (the “Initial Term Sheet”), and the second term sheet entered into on June 8, 2017, by and among the Company, venBio and Greenhill (the “Greenhill Term Sheet”).

On February 9, 2018, the Court entered an Order approving the partial settlement memorialized in the Settlement Agreement and dismissing Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan, Mr. Markison and Greenhill’s claims with prejudice. In the Order, the Court also granted venBio’s request for an award of attorney’s fees and expenses in the amount of \$3.4 million. The Order became final on March 12, 2018 (the “Effective Date”).

Table of Contents

Resolution of Litigation

The Settlement Agreement includes (i) a mutual release of all claims that were or could have been asserted in the Federal Action or in the 225 Action (each as defined in Item 8.01 hereof) and (ii) a comprehensive release of all direct and derivative claims that have been or could be asserted by or on behalf of (a) venBio or the Company, whether known or unknown, against Greenhill, Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison and their affiliates and related persons, (b) Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan or Mr. Markison, whether known or unknown, against venBio or the Company and their affiliates and related persons, and (c) Greenhill, whether known or unknown, against venBio, the Company, Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison and their affiliates and related persons, relating to the Company's private placement of \$125.0 million of Series A-1 Convertible Preferred Stock, the 2016 Annual Meeting (defined below), the proxy contest waged by venBio in advance of the 2016 Annual Meeting, the engagement of Greenhill, the settlement of the venBio Action, the licensing transaction with Seattle Genetics, Inc. ("Seattle Genetics"), and the Termination Agreement, dated May 4, 2017, between the Company and Seattle Genetics (the "venBio Action Released Claims"). The release of the venBio Action Released Claims became effective upon the Effective Date. The Settlement Agreement contemplates that the venBio Action will remain stayed and that the Company and venBio will submit the claims that remain pending against the remaining individual defendants (former directors Robert Forrester, Jason Aryeh, Geoff Cox and Bob Oliver) to non-binding mediation.

The Company agreed to reimburse venBio for reasonable fees and expenses it incurred in connection with the proxy contest between venBio and the Company, the venBio Action, the 225 Action, and the Federal Action, and had reimbursed venBio in Fiscal 2017 approximately \$4.9 million for fees and expenses incurred in connection with the Federal Action, the 225 Action, the venBio Action and the proxy contest.

Indemnification

The Settlement Agreement provides that the Company will, to the extent not covered by the Company's insurance policies, (i) indemnify Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison from attorneys' fees and expenses or other losses in connection with the Actions, and (ii) reimburse and indemnify Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan for legal fees for actions taken with respect to the Actions and negotiation of the Settlement Agreement. As of the Effective Date, the indemnification agreements entered into between the Company and each of Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Markison on or about February 9, 2017 were terminated and do not apply to acts, transactions, legal fees or expenses incurred after the Effective Date.

Intellectual Property Assignments

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan have assigned all global intellectual property rights, other than express rights to royalties pursuant to existing agreements with the Company and Dr. Goldenberg's patent and related intellectual property relating to cyber space medicine, to the Company, and have agreed to perform all acts reasonably requested by the Company to perfect title in and to all such assigned intellectual property.

Sullivan Resignation

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, on the Settlement Date, Ms. Sullivan resigned from all director, officer and other positions of the Company and any of its affiliates. The Settlement Agreement provides that Ms. Sullivan will abide by all post-termination covenants and obligations contemplated by her employment agreement with the Company (the "Sullivan Agreement"). In exchange for a release of claims as required by the Sullivan Agreement and subject to compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement,

Table of Contents

Ms. Sullivan is entitled to (i) termination payments in accordance with the Sullivan Agreement for a termination without Cause after a Change in Control, (ii) accelerated vesting or extension of the exercise period for equity awards already earned, pursuant to the Sullivan Agreement, and (iii) COBRA payments. The foregoing cash payments which the Company has paid accumulated to approximately \$3.1 million. An additional cash payment of \$0.9 million is in dispute and will be addressed in arbitration. The Company has agreed to pay in full the arbitrator in such arbitration as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan in connection with any such arbitration, up to a maximum amount of \$650,000 combined. As of March 31, 2018, \$106,053 of expenses have been incurred regarding such arbitration.

Goldenberg Resignation

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, on the Settlement Date, Dr. Goldenberg resigned from all officer and other positions of the Company and all director, officer and other positions at any of the Company's affiliates (other than Dr. Goldenberg's position as a member of the board of directors of IBC Pharmaceuticals, the Company's majority owned U.S. subsidiary), but will remain a director of the Company until his successor is elected and qualified or until his earlier resignation or removal. The Settlement Agreement provides that Dr. Goldenberg will abide by all post-termination covenants and obligations contemplated by the Goldenberg Agreement. In exchange for a release of claims as required by the Goldenberg Agreement and subject to compliance with the terms of the Settlement Agreement, Dr. Goldenberg is entitled to (i) termination payments in accordance with the Goldenberg Agreement for a termination without Cause after a Change in Control, (ii) accelerated vesting or extension of exercise period for equity awards already earned, pursuant to the Goldenberg Agreement, (iii) COBRA and other welfare payments, and (iv) royalties or payment in accordance with existing agreements. The foregoing cash payments, which the Company has paid pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, accumulated to approximately \$2.4 million. In addition to these amounts an additional cash payment of approximately \$1.8 million is in dispute. Additionally, the vesting of the grant of 1,500,000 Restricted Stock Units to Dr. Goldenberg under the terms of the Amended and Restated Goldenberg Agreement, is also in dispute.

Arbitration of Disputed Matters

The Company, Dr. Goldenberg and Ms. Sullivan have agreed to arbitrate disputes relating to Dr. Goldenberg's claimed entitlement to certain equity awards and severance payments, and Dr. Goldenberg's and Ms. Sullivan's claimed rights to certain bonus payments. The Company has agreed to pay in full the arbitrator in such arbitration as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses incurred by Dr. Goldenberg and/or Ms. Sullivan in connection with any such arbitration, up to a cap of \$650,000.

Termination of Greenhill Engagement

Upon the Effective Date, the two engagement letters between Greenhill and the Company (the "Greenhill Agreements") were terminated. The Settlement Agreement provides further that Greenhill has agreed to forgo and not seek any and all fees, expenses or indemnification from the Company, except that the Company shall reimburse Greenhill up to \$200,000 for reasonable and documented expenses incurred in connection with Greenhill providing services to the Company pursuant to the Greenhill Agreements, including expenses incurred in connection with the venBio Action.

Patent litigation:

Immunomedics filed a first amended complaint on October 22, 2015 and a second amended complaint on January 14, 2016 in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, against

Table of Contents

Roger Williams Medical Center (“RWMC”), Richard P. Junghans, M.D., Ph.D. and Steven C. Katz, M.D. seeking lost profits, unjust enrichment damages and compensatory damages resulting from the infringement of its patents. The second amended complaint alleges that RWMC and Dr. Junghans breached a Material Transfer Agreement (“MTA”) through which it provided to them a monoclonal antibody known as MN-14 and related materials. Defendants are alleged to have breached the MTA and to have been negligent by, among other things, using the materials beyond the agreed-upon Research Project, sharing confidential information, failing to provide Immunomedics with a right of first refusal, failing to notify Immunomedics of intended publications prior to publishing, and refusing to return the materials upon request. Immunomedics also asserts defendants’ claims of conversion, tortious interference, unjust enrichment, and infringement of three patents owned by Immunomedics. On January 28, 2016, defendants filed an Answer to the Second Amended Complaint. On October 12, 2016, Immunomedics filed a Third Amended Complaint, and further added as defendants Sorrento Therapeutics, Inc. and its subsidiaries TNK Therapeutics, Inc., BDL Products, Inc., and CARgenix Holdings, LLC. Defendants Junghans, Katz, and RWMC subsequently moved to dismiss for failure to state a claim on November 14, 2016, but this motion was denied on January 4, 2017. On December 2, 2016, Sorrento, TNK, BDL, and CARgenix moved to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction over them in New Jersey. The court granted this motion on January 25, 2017. On January 20, 2017, the court held a Markman hearing to construe the claims in the patents in suit. On February 28, 2017, the court issued an opinion and order finding, inter alia, that the term “effective amount” in the patents in suit is not indefinite and should be given its plain and order meaning, as proposed by Immunomedics, of “an amount capable of producing the claim result.” On May 11, 2017, the court entered an order referring the matter to mediation and designating Garrett E. Brown, Jr. (ret.) as the mediator. The mediation did not result in a settlement. Discovery in this case is ongoing and no trial date has been set.

Stockholder complaints:

Class Action Stockholder Federal Securities Cases

Two purported class action cases were filed in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey; namely, *Fergus v. Immunomedics, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:16-cv-03335, filed June 9, 2016; and *Becker v. Immunomedics, Inc., et al.*, No. 2:16-cv-03374, filed June 10, 2016. These cases arise from the same alleged facts and circumstances, and seek class certification on behalf of purchasers of our common stock between April 20, 2016 and June 2, 2016 (with respect to the Fergus matter) and between April 20, 2016 and June 3, 2016 (with respect to the Becker matter). These cases concern the Company’s statements in press releases, investor conference calls, and SEC filings beginning in April 2016 that the Company would present updated information regarding its IMMU-132breast cancer drug at the 2016 American Society of Clinical Oncology (“ASCO”) conference in Chicago, Illinois. The complaints allege that these statements were false and misleading in light of June 2, 2016 reports that ASCO had cancelled the presentation because it contained previously reported information. The complaints further allege that these statements resulted in artificially inflated prices for our common stock, and that the Company and certain of its officers are thus liable under Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. An order of voluntarily dismissal without prejudice was entered on November 10, 2016 in the Becker matter. An order granting motion to consolidate cases, appoint lead plaintiff, and approve lead and liaison counsel was entered on February 7, 2017 in the Fergus matter. A consolidated complaint was filed on October 4, 2017. The Company filed a motion to dismiss the consolidated complaint on January 26, 2018.

Stockholder Derivative Action in the Superior Court of New Jersey

On October 3, 2016, plaintiff commenced an action captioned *Rosenfeld v. Goldenberg, et al.*, No. L-2200-16, alleging the same underlying facts and circumstances as in the pending federal securities class action, the Fergus matter. Specifically, this action concerns the Company’s statements in press releases,

Table of Contents

investor conference calls, and SEC filings beginning in April 2016 that the Company would present updated information regarding its IMMU-132 breast cancer drug at the 2016 ASCO conference in Chicago, Illinois. The complaint alleges that these statements were false and misleading in light of the June 2, 2016 reports that ASCO had cancelled the presentation because it contained previously reported information. The complaint further alleges that these statements resulted in artificially inflated prices for our common stock, and that certain directors and officers of the Company breached their fiduciary duties to the Company. In addition to monetary damages, the complaint seeks to require the Company to reform its corporate governance and internal procedures. Service was effectuated on all defendants on April 7, 2017. Defendants moved to dismiss the complaint on June 19, 2017. In lieu of responding, an amended complaint was filed on October 13, 2017. John Neff was substituted for plaintiff Seymour Rosenfeld in the amended complaint. Defendants are to The Company filed a motion to dismiss the amended complaint on December 4, 2017 and the Court granted the motion to dismiss by order dated March 29, 2018. The plaintiff has 45 days to appeal.

Stockholder Claim in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware

On February 13, 2017, venBio commenced an action captioned venBio Select Advisor LLC v. Goldenberg, et al., C.A. No. 2017-0108-VCL (Del. Ch.) (the “venBio Action”), alleging that Company’s Board breached their fiduciary duties when the Board (i) amended the Company’s Amended and Restated By-laws (the “By-Laws”) to call for a plurality voting regime for the election of directors instead of majority voting, and providing for mandatory advancement of attorneys’ fees and costs for the Company’s directors and officers, (ii) rescheduled the Company’s 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “2016 Annual Meeting”) from December 14, 2016 to February 16, 2017, and then again to March 3, 2017, and (iii) agreed to the proposed Licensing Transaction with Seattle Genetics. venBio also named Seattle Genetics as a defendant and sought an injunction preventing the Company from closing the licensing transaction with Seattle Genetics. On March 6, 2017, venBio amended its complaint, adding further allegations. The Court of Chancery entered a temporary restraining order on March 9, 2017, enjoining the closing of the Licensing Transaction. venBio amended its complaint a second time on April 19, 2017, this time adding Greenhill & Co. Inc. and Greenhill & Co. LLC (together “Greenhill”), the Company’s financial advisor on the Licensing Transaction, as an additional defendant. On May 3, 2017, venBio and the Company and individual defendants Dr. Goldenberg, Ms. Sullivan and Mr. Brian A. Markison, a director of the Company (collectively, the “Individual Defendants”) entered into the Initial Term Sheet. On June 8, 2017, venBio the Company and Greenhill entered into the Greenhill Term Sheet. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, if the Court of Chancery approves the settlement, all claims that were asserted by venBio against the Individual Defendants or Greenhill in the venBio Action will be released. The claims asserted against the remaining individual defendants (former directors Robert Forrester, Jason Aryeh, Geoff Cox and Bob Oliver) will remain stayed pending non-binding mediation. Mediation is scheduled for May 24, 2018.

Other matters:

Immunomedics is also a party to various claims and litigation arising in the normal course of business, which includes some or all of certain of its patents. While it is not possible to determine the outcome of these matters, the Company believes that the resolution of all such matters will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial position or liquidity, but could possibly be material to its consolidated results of operations in any one accounting period.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Factors That May Affect Our Business and Results of Operations

Our business is subject to certain risks and uncertainties, each of which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

Risks Relating to Our Business, Operations and Product Development

We have a long history of operating losses and it is likely that our operating expenses will continue to exceed our revenues for the foreseeable future.

We have incurred significant operating losses since our formation in 1982. As of March 31, 2018, we had an accumulated deficit of approximately \$678.5 million. We continue to spend our cash resources to fund our research and development programs and, subject to adequate funding, we expect these expenses to increase for the foreseeable future. Our only significant sources of revenue in recent years have been derived from our collaboration agreement with Bayer and sales of our LeukoScan® product in certain European countries. There can be no assurance that we will be profitable in future quarters or other periods. Additionally, the only product sales we have earned to date have come from the limited sales of our LeukoScan® diagnostic imaging product for which our patent protection has expired and the sale of LeukoScan® was discontinued during the third quarter of fiscal year 2018. In addition, we have made the strategic decision to de-emphasize sales of our diagnostic product and focus on our therapeutic pipeline. We have never had product sales of any therapeutic product. Although we may have net income from time to time based on the timing and amount of proceeds received under collaborative or licensing agreements, we expect to experience significant operating losses as we invest further in our research and development activities while simultaneously attempting to develop and commercialize our other therapeutic product candidates. In addition, our potential obligation to pay RPI royalties on sacituzumab govitecan revenues pursuant to the Royalty Agreement would also erode profitability of this product. If we are unable to develop commercially viable therapeutic products or to license them to third parties, it is likely that we will never achieve significant revenues or become profitable, either of which would jeopardize our ability to continue as a going concern.

We have significant future capital needs and may be unable to raise capital when needed, which could force us to delay or reduce our clinical development efforts.

As of March 31, 2018 we had \$358.8 million in cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities; We believe our financial resources are adequate to support the Company's next phase of growth as it focuses on developing sacituzumab govitecan in mTNBC, advanced urothelial cancer and other indications of high medical need and on further building its clinical, medical affairs, commercial and manufacturing infrastructure, as well as provide sufficient cash to fund operations into 2020.

We will require additional funding in 2020 to complete our clinical trials currently planned or underway, continue research and new development programs, and continue operations. Potential sources of funding include the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing our full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA's approval), and potential equity and debt financing.

Until we can generate significant cash through the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing our full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, or the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S.

Table of Contents

(pending the submission of the BLA and FDA's approval), we expect to continue to fund our operations with our current financial resources. In 2020, if we cannot obtain sufficient funding through the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing our full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, or through the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA's approval), we could be required to finance future cash needs through the sale of additional equity and/or debt securities in capital markets. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise the additional capital needed to complete our pipeline of research and development programs on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. The capital markets have experienced volatility in recent years, which has resulted in uncertainty with respect to availability of capital and hence the timing to meet an entity's liquidity needs. Our existing debt may also negatively impact our ability to raise additional capital. If we are unable to raise capital on acceptable terms, our ability to continue our business would be materially and adversely affected.

Our most advanced therapeutic product candidates are still only in the clinical development stage, and will require us to raise capital in the future in order to fund further expensive and time-consuming studies before they can even be submitted for final regulatory approval. A failure of a clinical trial could severely harm our business and results of operations.

Clinical trials involve the administration of a product candidate to patients who are already extremely ill, making patient enrollment often difficult and expensive. Moreover, even in ideal circumstances where the patients can be enrolled and then followed for the several months or more required to complete the study, the trials can be suspended, terminated, delayed or otherwise fail for any number of reasons, including:

- later-stage clinical trials may raise safety or efficacy concerns not readily apparent in earlier trials or fail to meet the primary endpoint;
- unforeseen difficulties in manufacturing the product candidate in compliance with all regulatory requirements and in the quantities needed to complete the trial which may become cost-prohibitive;
- we or our collaboration partner may experience delays in obtaining, or be unable to obtain, agreement for the conduct of our clinical trials from the FDA, IRBs, or other reviewing entities at clinical sites selected for participation in our clinical trials;
- while underway, the continuation of clinical trials may be delayed, suspended or terminated due to modifications to the clinical trial's protocols based on interim results obtained or changes required or conditions imposed by the FDA, an IRB, a data and safety monitoring board ("DSMB"), or any other regulatory authority;
- our third-party contractors may fail to meet their contractual obligations to us in a timely manner;
- the FDA or other regulatory authorities may impose a clinical hold, for example based on an inspection of the clinical trial operations or trial sites;
- we or our collaboration partner may suspend or cease trials in our or their sole discretion;
- during the long trial process alternative therapies may become available which make further development of the product candidate impracticable; and
- if we are unable to obtain the additional capital we need to fund all of the clinical trials we foresee, we may be forced to cancel or otherwise curtail such trials and other studies.

Table of Contents

Any substantial delay in successfully completing clinical trials for our sacituzumab govitecan product candidate, could severely harm our business and results of operations.

Moreover, principal investigators for our clinical trials may serve as scientific advisors or consultants to us from time to time and receive compensation in connection with such services. Under certain circumstances, the Company may be required to report some of these relationships to the FDA. The FDA may conclude that a financial relationship between the company and a principal investigator has created a conflict of interest or otherwise affected interpretation of the study. The FDA may therefore question the integrity of the data generated at the applicable clinical trial site and the utility of the clinical trial itself may be jeopardized. This could result in a delay in approval, or rejection, of our marketing applications by the FDA and may ultimately lead to the denial of regulatory approval of one or more of our product candidates.

Our clinical trials may not adequately show that our drugs are safe or effective, and a failure to achieve the planned endpoints could result in termination of product development.

Progression of our drug products through the clinical development process is dependent upon our trials indicating our drugs have adequate safety and efficacy in the patients being treated by achieving pre-determined safety and efficacy endpoints according to the trial protocols. Failure to achieve either of these endpoints could result in delays in our trials; require the performance of additional unplanned trials or termination of any further development of the product for the intended indication.

These factors could result in delays in the development of our product candidates and could result in significant unexpected costs or the termination of programs.

Should the clinical development process be successfully completed, our ability to derive revenues from the sale of therapeutics will depend upon our first obtaining FDA as well as foreign regulatory approvals, all of which are subject to a number of unique risks and uncertainties.

Even if we are able to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of our product candidates in clinical trials, if we fail to gain timely approval to commercialize our product candidates from the FDA and other foreign regulatory authorities, we will be unable to generate the revenues we will need to build our business. These approvals may not be granted on a timely basis, if at all, and even if and when they are granted, they may not cover all the indications for which we seek approval. For example, while we may develop a product candidate with the intention of addressing a large, unmet medical need, the FDA may only approve the use of the drug for indications affecting a relatively small number of patients, thus greatly reducing the market size and our potential revenues. The approvals may also contain significant limitations in the form of warnings, precautions or contraindications with respect to conditions of use, which could further narrow the size of the market. In certain countries, even if the health regulatory authorities approve a drug, it cannot be marketed until pricing for the drug is also approved. Finally, even after approval can be obtained, we may be required to recall or withdraw a product as a result of newly discovered safety or efficacy concerns, either of which would have a materially adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In order to fund future operations, we will need to raise significant amounts of additional capital. Because it can be difficult for a small-cap company like ours to raise equity capital on acceptable terms, we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain the necessary capital when we need it, or on acceptable terms, if at all.

Even if our technologies and product candidates are superior, if we lack the capital needed to bring our future products to market, we will never be successful. We have obtained the capital necessary to fund our research and development programs to date primarily from the following sources:

- upfront payments, milestone payments, and payments for limited amounts of our antibodies received from licensing partners;
- proceeds from the public and private sale of our equity or debt securities; and
- limited product sales of LeukoScan® (which were discontinued during February 2018), licenses, grants and interest income from our investments

Over the long term, we expect to commercialize sacituzumab govitecan in mTNBC in the U.S. and globally, to expand sacituzumab govitecan to treat patients with other solid tumors, including UC, CRPC, SCLC, NSCLC, and other serious cancers, to expand research and development activities to continue to expand and we do not believe we will have adequate cash to continue commercial expansion and development of sacituzumab govitecan, or to complete development of product candidates in line with our pipeline included in our long term corporate strategy. Our capital requirements are dependent on numerous factors, including:

- the rate of progress of commercialization of sacituzumab govitecan in mTNBC and our ability to develop it for other cancers
- the rate at which we progress our research programs and the number of product candidates we have in pre-clinical and clinical development at any one time;
- the cost of conducting clinical trials involving patients in the United States, Europe and possibly elsewhere;
- our need to establish the manufacturing capabilities necessary to produce the quantities of our product candidates we project we will need;
- the time and costs involved in obtaining FDA and foreign regulatory approvals;
- the cost of first obtaining, and then defending, our patent claims and other intellectual property rights; and
- our ability to enter into licensing and other collaborative agreements to help offset some of these costs.

There may be additional cash requirements for many reasons, including, but not limited to, changes in our commercial expansion plans, our research and development plans, the need for unexpected capital expenditures or costs associated with any acquisitions of other businesses, assets or technologies that we may choose to undertake and marketing and commercialization of our product candidates. If we deplete our existing capital resources, we will be required to either obtain additional capital quickly, or significantly

Table of Contents

reduce our operating expenses and capital expenditures, either of which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Until we can generate significant cash through the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing the Company's full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, or the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA's approval), we expect to continue to fund our operations with our current financial resources. These financial resources will not be adequate to sustain our operations beyond 2020. Consequently, if we cannot obtain sufficient funding through the exercise of outstanding warrants, the entrance into various potential strategic partnerships towards advancing and maximizing the Company's full pipeline for mTNBC and beyond, or through the sales and marketing of sacituzumab govitecan as a third-line therapy for mTNBC in the U.S. (pending the submission of the BLA and FDA's approval), we could be required to finance future cash needs through the sale of additional equity and/or debt securities in capital markets. However, there can be no assurance that we will be able to raise the additional capital needed to complete our pipeline of research and development programs on commercially acceptable terms, if at all. The capital markets have experienced volatility in recent years, which has resulted in uncertainty with respect to availability of capital and hence the timing to meet an entity's liquidity needs. The Company's existing debt will also negatively impact the Company's ability to raise additional capital. If the Company is unable to raise capital on acceptable terms, its ability to continue its business would be materially and adversely affected. Having insufficient funds may require us to delay, scale-back, or eliminate some or all of our programs, or renegotiate less favorable terms than we would otherwise choose. Failure to obtain adequate financing also may adversely affect our ability to operate as a going concern.

Additionally, if we raise funds by issuing equity securities, dilution to existing stockholders would result; and if we raise funds by incurring additional debt financing, the terms of the debt may involve future cash payment obligations and/or conversion to equity as well as restrictions that may limit our ability to operate our business.

If we, or our collaboration partner, cannot successfully and efficiently manufacture the compounds that make up our products and product candidates, our ability, and the ability of our collaboration partner, to sell products and conduct clinical trials will be impaired.

Our ability to conduct our pre-clinical and clinical research and development programs depends, in large part, upon our ability to manufacture our proprietary compounds in accordance with the FDA and other regulatory requirements. We have limited historical experience in manufacturing these compounds in significant quantities, and we may not be able to do so in the quantities required to commercialize these products. Any interruption in manufacturing at this site, whether by natural acts or otherwise, could significantly and adversely affect our operations, and delay our research and development programs.

We and our collaboration partner also depend on third parties to provide certain raw materials, manufacturing and processing services. All manufacturers of pharmaceutical products must comply with current Good Manufacturing Practice regulations or cGMPs, required by the FDA and other regulatory agencies. Such regulations address, among other matters, controls in manufacturing processes, quality control and quality assurance requirements and the maintenance of proper records and documentation. The FDA and other regulatory agencies routinely inspect manufacturing facilities. The FDA generally will issue a notice on Form 483 if it finds issues with respect to its inspections. If our manufacturing facility or those facilities of our partner and our respective contract manufacturers or processors do not comply with applicable cGMPs and other regulatory requirements, we may be subject to product liability claims, we may be unable to meet clinical demand for our products, and we could suffer delays in the progress of clinical trials for products under development.

Table of Contents

Although historically we have been a research and development company, we plan to commercialize our lead product candidate internally rather than license such asset. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in developing and expanding commercial operations or balancing our research and development activities with our commercialization activities.

We have historically been engaged primarily in research and development activities, but plan to commercialize our lead product candidate, sacituzumab govitecan, ourselves. There can be no assurance that we will be able to successfully manage the balance of our research and development operations with our planned commercialization activities. Potential investors should be aware of the problems, delays, expenses and difficulties frequently encountered by companies balancing development of product candidates, which can include problems such as unanticipated issues relating to clinical trials and receipt of approvals from the FDA and foreign regulatory bodies, with commercialization efforts, which can include problems relating to managing manufacturing and supply, reimbursement, marketing problems and additional costs. Our product candidates will require significant additional research and clinical trials, and we will need to overcome significant regulatory burdens prior to commercialization in the U.S. and other countries. In addition, we may be required to spend significant funds on building out our commercial operations. Further, our potential obligation to pay RPI royalties on sacituzumab govitecan revenues pursuant to the Royalty Agreement would also erode profitability of this product. There can be no assurance that after the expenditure of substantial funds and efforts, we will successfully develop and commercialize any of our product candidates, generate any significant revenues or ever achieve and maintain a substantial level of sales of our products.

We may not successfully establish and maintain collaborative and licensing arrangements, which could adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize certain of our product candidates. Our future collaboration partners may not adequately perform their responsibilities under our agreement, which could adversely affect our development and commercialization program.

A key element of our business strategy has been to develop, market and commercialize our product candidates through collaborations with more established pharmaceutical companies. To the extent we continue to rely on this business strategy, we may not be able to maintain or expand these licenses and collaborations or establish additional licensing and collaboration arrangements necessary to develop and commercialize any of our product candidates. Even if we are able to maintain or establish licensing or collaboration arrangements, these arrangements may not be on favorable terms and may contain provisions that will restrict our ability to develop, test and market our product candidates. Any failure to maintain or establish licensing or collaboration arrangements on favorable terms could adversely affect our business prospects, financial condition or ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates.

We expect to rely at least in part on third party collaborators to perform a number of activities relating to the development and commercialization of certain of our product candidates, including the manufacturing of product materials, the design and conduct of clinical trials for certain of our product candidates, and potentially the obtaining of regulatory approvals and marketing and distribution of any successfully developed products. Our collaborative partners may also have or acquire rights to control aspects of our product development and clinical programs. As a result, we may not be able to conduct these programs in the manner or on the time schedule we currently contemplate. In addition, if any of these collaborative partners withdraw support for our programs or product candidates or otherwise impair their development, our business could be negatively affected. Our expenses may also increase as a result of our plan to undertake these activities internally to commercialize sacituzumab govitecan.

In addition, our success depends on the performance of our collaborators of their responsibilities under these arrangements. Some potential collaborators may not perform their obligations in a timely fashion or in a manner satisfactory to us. Because such agreements may be exclusive, we may not be able to enter into

Table of Contents

a collaboration agreement with any other company covering the same product field during the applicable collaborative period. In addition, our collaborators' competitors may not wish to do business with us at all due to our relationship with our collaborators. If we are unable to enter into additional product discovery and development collaborations, our ability to sustain or expand our business will be significantly diminished.

Our future success will depend upon our ability to first obtain and then adequately protect our patent and other intellectual property rights, as well as avoiding the infringement of the rights of others.

Our future success will be highly dependent upon our ability to first obtain and then defend the patent and other intellectual property rights necessary for the commercialization of our product candidates. We have filed numerous patent applications on the technologies and processes that we use in the United States and certain foreign countries. Although we have obtained a number of issued U.S. patents to date, the patent applications owned or licensed by us may not result in additional patents being issued. Moreover, these patents may not afford us the protection we need against competitors with similar technologies or products. A number of jurisdictions where we have sought, or may in future choose to seek, intellectual property protection, have intellectual property laws and patent offices which are still developing. Accordingly, we may have difficulty obtaining intellectual property protection in these markets, and any intellectual property protections which we do obtain may be less protective than in the United States, which could have an adverse effect on our operations and financial prospects.

The successful development of therapeutic products frequently requires the application of multiple technologies that may be subject to the patent or other intellectual property rights of third parties. Although we believe it is likely we will need to license technologies and processes from third parties in the ordinary course of our business, we are not currently aware of any material conflict involving our technologies and processes with any valid patents or other intellectual property rights owned or licensed by others. In the event that a third party was to claim such a conflict existed, they could sue us for damages as well as seek to prevent us from commercializing our product candidates. It is possible that a third party could successfully claim that our products infringe on their intellectual property rights. Uncertainties resulting from the litigation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete in the marketplace. Any patent litigation or other proceeding, even if resolved in our favor, would require significant financial resources and management time.

Some of our competitors may be able to sustain these costs more effectively than we can because of their substantially greater financial and managerial resources. If a patent litigation or other proceeding is resolved unfavorably to us, we may be enjoined from manufacturing or selling our products without a license from the other party, in addition to being held liable for significant damages. We may not be able to obtain any such license on commercially acceptable terms, if at all.

In addition to our reliance on patents, we attempt to protect our proprietary technologies and processes by relying on trade secret laws, nondisclosure and confidentiality agreements and licensing arrangements with our employees and other persons who have access to our proprietary information. These agreements and arrangements may not provide meaningful protection for our proprietary technologies and processes in the event of unauthorized use or disclosure of such information. In addition, our competitors may independently develop substantially equivalent technologies and processes or otherwise gain access to our trade secrets or technology, either of which could materially and adversely affect our competitive position.

Expiry of our intellectual property rights could lead to increased competition

Even where we are able to obtain and then defend patent and other intellectual property rights necessary for research, development and commercialization of our product candidates, such intellectual

Table of Contents

property rights will be for a limited term. Where patents which we own or license expire, the technology the subject of the patent may be utilized by third parties in research and development or competing products (for example, biosimilars of a patented product may be manufactured by third parties once the patent expires). While we endeavor to maintain robust intellectual property protection, as our existing issued patents expire it may materially and adversely affect our competitive position.

We face substantial competition in the biotechnology industry and may not be able to compete successfully against one or more of our competitors.

The biotechnology industry is highly competitive, particularly in the area of diagnostic and therapeutic oncology products. In recent years, there have been extensive technological innovations achieved in short periods of time, and it is possible that future technological changes and discoveries by others could result in our products and product candidates quickly becoming uncompetitive or obsolete. A number of companies, including Amgen, AstraZeneca, Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Biogen Idec, Bristol-Myers Squibb, Celgene, Eli Lilly, Genmab, GlaxoSmithKline, Immunogen, Johnson & Johnson, Merck, Merck Serono, Novartis, Pfizer, Roche, and Seattle Genetics, are engaged in the development of therapeutic oncology products. Many of these companies have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources than we do. In addition, many of these companies have more established positions in the pharmaceutical industry and are therefore better equipped to develop, commercialize and market oncology products. Even some smaller competitors may obtain a significant competitive advantage over us if they are able to discover or otherwise acquire patentable inventions, form collaborative arrangements or merge with larger pharmaceutical companies. Further, even if we are able to successfully develop and commercialize products, other manufacturers operating in emerging markets may also have a competitive advantage over us with respect to competing products due to their ability to manufacture with a lower cost base.

We expect to face increasing competition from universities and other non-profit research organizations. These institutions carry out a significant amount of research and development in the field of antibody-based technologies, and they are increasingly aware of the commercial value of their findings. As a result, they are demanding greater patent and other proprietary rights, as well as licensing and future royalty revenues. It is possible that such competition could come from universities with which we have, or have previously had, collaborative research and development relationships, notwithstanding our efforts to protect our intellectual property in the course of such relationships.

We may be liable for contamination or other harm caused by hazardous materials that we use in the operations of our business.

In addition to laws and regulations enforced by the FDA, we are also subject to regulation under various other foreign, federal, state and local laws and regulations. Our manufacturing and research and development programs involve the controlled use of viruses, hazardous materials, chemicals and various radioactive compounds. The risk of accidental contamination or injury from these materials can never be completely eliminated, and if an accident occurs we could be held liable for any damages that result, which could exceed our available resources.

The nature of our business exposes us to significant liability claims, and our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover any future claims.

The use of our compounds in clinical trials and any future sale exposes us to liability claims that could be substantial. These claims might be made directly by healthcare providers, medical personnel, patients, consumers, pharmaceutical companies, and others selling or distributing our compounds. While we currently have product liability insurance that we consider adequate for our current needs, we may not be able

Table of Contents

to continue to obtain comparable insurance in the future at an acceptable cost, if at all. If for any reason we cannot maintain our existing or comparable liability insurance, our ability to clinically test and market products could be significantly impaired. Moreover, the amount and scope of our insurance coverage, as well as the indemnification arrangements with third parties upon which we rely, may be inadequate to protect us in the event of a successful product liability claim. Any successful claim in excess of our insurance coverage could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and operating results.

Certain potential for conflicts of interest, both real and perceived, exist which could result in expensive and time-consuming litigation.

A member of our Board of Directors and certain of our former officers and directors have relationships and agreements, both with us as well as among themselves and their respective affiliates, which create the potential for both real, as well as perceived, conflicts of interest. These include Dr. David M. Goldenberg, a director, and our former Chairman of our Board of Directors, our former Chief Scientific Officer and our former Chief Patent Officer, Ms. Cynthia L. Sullivan, a former director and our former President and Chief Executive Officer (who is also the wife of Dr. Goldenberg), and certain companies with which we do business, including the Center for Molecular Medicine and Immunology and the Garden State Cancer Center (which operated as the clinical arm of CMMI to facilitate the translation of CMMI's research efforts in the treatment of patients), collectively defined as CMMI. For example, Dr. Goldenberg was the President and a Trustee of CMMI, a not-for-profit cancer research center that we used to conduct certain research activities. CMMI has ceased operations. Dr. Goldenberg is also a minority stockholder, director and officer of our majority-owned subsidiary, IBC. Dr. Goldenberg was the primary inventor of new intellectual property for Immunomedics and IBC and was largely responsible for allocating ownership between the two companies. Immunomedics has incurred expenses on behalf of the IBC operations, including interest, over the past thirteen years. As of March 31, 2018, IBC has a liability to Immunomedics Inc. of approximately \$17.1 million, which is eliminated in consolidation. Dr. Goldenberg also had primary responsibility for monitoring the market for incidences of potential infringement of the Company's intellectual property by third parties.

As a result of these and other relationships, the potential for both real and perceived conflicts of interest exists and disputes could arise over the allocation of funds, research projects and ownership of intellectual property rights. In addition, in the event that we become involved in stockholder litigation regarding these potential conflicts, we might be required to devote significant resources and management time defending the company from these claims, which could adversely affect our results of operations.

Given that recent cancer therapeutics for solid cancers such as the ones we are developing can cost approximately in excess of \$12,500 a month, even if our product candidates become available for sale it is likely that federal and state governments, insurance companies and other payers of health care costs will try to first limit the use of these drugs to certain patients, and may be reluctant to provide a level of reimbursement that permits us to earn a significant profit on our investment, if any.

Our ability to successfully commercialize therapeutic products will depend, in significant part, on the extent to which hospitals and physicians can obtain appropriate reimbursement levels for the cost of our products and related treatment. Third-party payers are increasingly challenging the prices charged for diagnostic and therapeutic products and related services. In addition, legislative proposals to reform health care or reduce government insurance programs may result in lower prices or the actual inability of prospective customers to purchase our products. Furthermore, even if reimbursement is available, it may not be available at price levels sufficient for us to realize a positive return on our investment.

Table of Contents

A portion of our funding has come from federal government grants and research contracts. Due to reductions in funding, we may not be able to rely on these grants or contracts as a continuing source of funds.

During the last few years, we have generated revenues from awards made to us by the National Institutes of Health and the Department of Defense to partially fund some of our programs. We cannot rely on grants or additional contracts as a continuing source of funds. Funds available under these grants and contracts must be applied by us toward the research and development programs specified by the government rather than for all our programs generally. The government's obligation to make payments under these grants and contracts is subject to appropriation by the United States Congress for funding in each year. It is possible that Congress or the government agencies that administer these government research programs will continue to scale back these programs or terminate them due to their own budgetary constraints, as they have recently been doing. Additionally, these grants and research contracts are subject to adjustment based upon the results of periodic audits performed on behalf of the granting authority. Consequently, the government may not award grants or research contracts to us in the future, and any amounts that we derive from existing awards may be less than those received to date. In those circumstances, we would need to provide funding on our own, obtain other funding, or scale back or terminate the affected program. In particular, we cannot assure you that any currently-contemplated or future efforts to obtain funding for our product candidate programs through government grants or contracts will be successful, or that any such arrangements which we do conclude will supply us with sufficient funds to complete our development programs without providing additional funding on our own or obtaining other funding. Where funding is obtained from government agencies or research bodies, our intellectual property rights in the research or technology funded by the grant are typically subject to certain licenses to such agencies or bodies, which could have an impact on our utilization of such intellectual property in future.

We face a number of risks relating to the maintenance of our information systems and our use of information relating to clinical trials.

In managing our operations, we rely on computer systems and electronic communications, including systems relating to record keeping, financial information, sourcing, and back-up and the internet ("Information Systems"). Our Information Systems include the electronic storage of financial, operational, research, patient and other data. Our Information Systems may be subject to interruption or damage from a variety of causes, including power outages, computer and communications failures, system capacity constraints, catastrophic events (such as fires, tornadoes and other natural disasters), cyber risks, computer viruses and security breaches. If our Information Systems cease to function properly, are damaged or are subject to unauthorized access, we may suffer interruptions in our operations, be required to make significant investments to fix or replace systems and/or be subject to fines, penalties, lawsuits, or government action. The realization of any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Our clinical trials information and patient data (which may include personally identifiable information) is part of our Information Systems and is therefore subject to all of the risks set forth above, notwithstanding our efforts to code and protect such information.

Risks Related to Government Regulation of our Industry

Legislative or regulatory reform of the healthcare system may affect our ability to sell our products profitably.

In both the United States and certain foreign jurisdictions, there have been a number of legislative and regulatory proposals to change the healthcare system in ways that could impact our ability to sell our future products and profitability. On March 23, 2010, President Obama signed into law the Patient Protection and

Table of Contents

Affordable Care Act, as amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act (collectively, “PPACA”), which includes a number of health care reform provisions and requires most United States citizens to have health insurance. The new law, among other things, imposes a significant annual fee on companies that manufacture or import branded prescription drug products, addresses a new methodology by which rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program are calculated for drugs that are inhaled, infused, instilled, implanted or injected, increases the minimum Medicaid rebates owed by manufacturers under the Medicaid Drug Rebate Program and extends the rebate program to individuals enrolled in Medicaid managed care organizations, and establishes a new Medicare Part D coverage gap discount program, in which manufacturers must agree to offer 50% point-of-sale discounts off negotiated prices of applicable brand drugs to eligible beneficiaries during their coverage gap period, as a condition for the manufacturer’s outpatient drugs to be covered under Medicare Part D. Substantial new provisions affecting compliance also have been added, which may require modification of business practices with health care practitioners.

In the coming years, additional changes could be made to governmental healthcare programs that could significantly impact the success of our future products, and we could be adversely affected by current and future health care reforms.

Our industry and we are subject to intense regulation from the United States Government and such other governments and quasi-official regulatory bodies where our products are and product candidates may be sold.

Both before and after regulatory approval to market a particular product candidate, including our biologic product candidates, the manufacturing, labeling, packaging, adverse event reporting, storage, advertising, promotion, distribution and record keeping related to the product are subject to extensive, ongoing regulatory requirements, including, without limitation, submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration, as well as continued compliance with cGMP requirements and good clinical practice requirements for any clinical trials that we conduct post-approval. As a result, we are subject to a number of governmental and other regulatory risks, which include:

- clinical development is a long, expensive and uncertain process; delay and failure can occur at any stage of our clinical trials;
- our clinical trials are dependent on patient enrollment and regulatory approvals; we do not know whether our planned trials will begin on time, or at all, or will be completed on schedule, or at all;
- the FDA or other regulatory authorities may not approve a clinical trial protocol or may place a clinical trial on hold;
- we rely on third parties, such as consultants, contract research organizations, medical institutions, and clinical investigators, to conduct clinical trials for our drug candidates and if we or any of our third-party contractors fail to comply with applicable regulatory requirements, such as cGCP requirements, the clinical data generated in our clinical trials may be deemed unreliable and the FDA, the EMA or comparable foreign regulatory authorities may require us to perform additional clinical trials;
- if the clinical development process is completed successfully, our ability to derive revenues from the sale of therapeutics will depend on our first obtaining FDA or other comparable foreign regulatory approvals, each of which are subject to unique risks and uncertainties;

Table of Contents

- there is no assurance that we will receive FDA or corollary foreign approval for any of our product candidates for any indication; we are subject to government regulation for the commercialization of our product candidates;
- we have not received regulatory approval in the United States for the commercial sale of any of our biologic product candidates;
- even if one or more of our product candidates does obtain approval, regulatory authorities may approve such product candidate for fewer or more limited indications than we request, may not approve the price we intend to charge for our products, may grant approval contingent on the performance of costly post-marketing clinical trials, or may approve with a label that does not include the labeling claims necessary or desirable for the successful commercialization of that product candidate;
- undesirable side effects caused by our product candidates could cause us or regulatory authorities to interrupt, delay or halt clinical trials and could result in a more restrictive label or the delay or denial of regulatory approval by the FDA or other comparable foreign authorities;
- later discovery of previously unknown problems with a product, including adverse events of unanticipated severity or frequency, or with our third-party manufacturers or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with the regulatory requirements of FDA and other applicable United States and foreign regulatory authorities could subject us to administrative or judicially imposed sanctions;
- although several of our product candidates have received orphan drug designation in the United States and the EU for particular indications, we may not receive orphan drug exclusivity for any or all of those product candidates or indications upon approval, and even if we do obtain orphan drug exclusivity, that exclusivity may not effectively protect the product from competition;
- even if one or more of our product candidates is approved in the United States, it may not obtain the 12 years of exclusivity from biosimilars for which innovator biologics are eligible, and even if it does obtain such exclusivity, that exclusivity may not effectively protect the product from competition;
- the FDA's policies may change and additional government regulations may be enacted that could prevent, limit or delay regulatory approval of our drug candidates, and if we are slow or unable to adapt to changes in existing requirements or the adoption of new requirements or policies, or if we are not able to maintain regulatory compliance, we may lose any marketing approval that we may have obtained; and
- we may be liable for contamination or other harm caused by hazardous materials used in the operations of our business.

In addition, our operations are also subject to various federal and state fraud and abuse, physician payment transparency and privacy and security laws, including, without limitation:

- The federal Anti-Kickback Statute, which prohibits, among other things, soliciting, receiving, offering or providing remuneration intended to induce the purchase or recommendation of an item or service reimbursable under a federal healthcare program, such as the Medicare or Medicaid programs. This statute has been applied to pharmaceutical manufacturer marketing practices, educational programs, pricing policies and relationships with healthcare providers. A person or entity does not

Table of Contents

need to have actual knowledge of this statute or specific intent to violate it to have committed a violation;

- Federal civil and criminal false claims laws and civil monetary penalty laws, including civil whistleblower or qui tam actions that prohibit, among other things, knowingly presenting, or causing to be presented, claims for payment or approval to the federal government that are false or fraudulent, knowingly making a false statement material to an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the federal government or knowingly concealing or knowingly and improperly avoiding or decreasing an obligation to pay or transmit money or property to the federal government. The government may assert that a claim including items or services resulting from a violation of the federal Anti-Kickback Statute constitutes a false or fraudulent claim for purposes of the false claims statutes;
- HIPAA and its implementing regulations, which created federal criminal laws that prohibit, among other things, executing a scheme to defraud any healthcare benefit program or making false statements relating to healthcare matters;
- HIPAA, as amended by the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act, also imposes certain regulatory and contractual requirements regarding the privacy, security and transmission of individually identifiable health information;
- Federal “sunshine” requirements imposed by PPACA on drug manufacturers regarding any “transfer of value” made or distributed to physicians and teaching hospitals, and any ownership and investment interests held by such physicians and their immediate family members. Failure to submit the required information may result in civil monetary penalties of up an aggregate of \$150,000 per year (and up to an aggregate of \$1.0 million per year for “knowing failures”), for all payments, transfers of value or ownership or investment interests not reported in an annual submission, and may result in liability under other federal laws or regulations; and
- State and foreign law equivalents of each of the above federal laws, such as anti-kickback and false claims laws that may apply to items or services reimbursed by any third-party payor, including commercial insurers; state laws that require drug manufacturers to comply with the industry’s voluntary compliance guidelines and the relevant compliance guidance promulgated by the federal government or otherwise restrict payments that may be made to healthcare providers; state laws that require drug manufacturers to report information related to payments and other transfers of value to physicians and other healthcare providers or marketing expenditures; and state laws governing the privacy and security of certain health information, many of which differ from each other in significant ways and often are not preempted by HIPAA.

Because of the breadth of these laws and the narrowness of the statutory exceptions and safe harbors available under such laws, it is possible that some of our business activities, including certain sales and marketing practices and financial arrangements with physicians, could be subject to challenge under one or more of such laws. Any action against us, even if we successfully defend against it, could result in the commencement of civil and/or criminal proceedings, exclusion from governmental health care programs, substantial fines, penalties, and/or administrative remedies, any of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Securities

Our indebtedness and debt service obligations may adversely affect our cash flow.

As of March 31, 2018, our total consolidated indebtedness was \$290.2 million. We intend to fulfill our current debt service obligations, including repayment of the principal from our existing cash and investments, as well as the proceeds from potential licensing agreements and any additional financing from equity or debt transactions. However, our ability to make scheduled payments of the principal of, to pay interest on or to refinance our indebtedness, depends on our future performance, which is subject to economic, financial, competitive and other factors beyond our control. Our business may not generate cash flow from operations in the future sufficient to service our debt and make necessary capital expenditures. If we are unable to generate such cash flow to meet these obligations, we may be required to adopt one or more alternatives, such as selling assets, restructuring debt or obtaining additional equity capital on terms that may be onerous or highly dilutive, or delaying or curtailing research and development programs. Our ability to refinance our indebtedness will depend on the capital markets and our financial condition at such time. We may not be able to engage in any of these activities or engage in these activities on desirable terms, which could result in a default on our debt obligations.

Our common stock may be delisted from the NASDAQ Global Market, or NASDAQ.

If the bid price of our common stock falls below \$1.00 for an extended period, or we are unable to continue to meet NASDAQ's listing maintenance standards for any other reason, our common stock could be delisted from NASDAQ.

If our stock is delisted from NASDAQ, we will make every possible effort to have it listed on the Over the Counter Bulletin Board (the "OTC Bulletin Board"). If our common stock was to be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and related SEC rules would impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers that sell our securities. These rules may adversely affect the ability of stockholders to sell our common stock and otherwise negatively affect the liquidity, trading market and price of our common stock.

If our common stock would not be able to be traded on the OTC Bulletin Board, we would make every effort to have it available for trading on the National Quotation Bureau's Pink Sheets ("the Pink Sheets"). The Pink Sheets market consists of security firms who act as market makers in the stocks, usually, of very small companies. The bid and asked prices are not quoted electronically, but are quoted daily in "hard copy" which is delivered to firms that subscribe. Stocks that trade in the Pink Sheets are usually not as liquid as those that trade in electronic markets and, often time, the difference between the bid and the asked prices are substantial. As a result, if our common stock were traded on the Pink Sheets, there would likely be a further negative affect on the liquidity, trading market and price of our common stock even compared to what we might suffer if we were traded on the OTC Bulletin Board.

As a result of the above, we cannot assure you that our common stock will be listed on a national securities exchange, a national quotation service, the OTC Bulletin Board or the Pink Sheets; or if it is to be listed, whether or not there would be an interruption in the trading of our common stock. We believe that the listing of our stock on a recognized national trading market, such as NASDAQ, is an important part of our business and strategy. Such a listing helps our stockholders by providing a readily available trading market with current quotations. Without that, stockholders may have a difficult time getting a quote for the sale or purchase of our stock, the sale or purchase of our stock would likely be made more difficult and the trading volume and liquidity of our stock would likely decline. The absence of such a listing may adversely affect the acceptance of our common stock as currency or the value accorded it by other parties. In that regard, listing

Table of Contents

on a recognized national trading market will also affect our ability to benefit from the use of its operations and expansion plans, including for use in licensing agreements, joint ventures, the development of strategic relationships and acquisitions, which are critical to our business and strategy and none of which is currently the subject of any agreement, arrangement or understanding, with respect to any future financing or strategic relationship it may undertake. The delisting from NASDAQ would result in negative publicity and would negatively impact our ability to raise capital in the future.

If we were delisted from NASDAQ, we may become subject to the trading complications experienced by “Penny Stocks” in the over-the-counter market.

Delisting from NASDAQ may depress the price of our common stock such that we may become a penny stock. The SEC generally defines a penny stock as an equity security that has a market price of less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to specific exemptions. We continue to be listed on NASDAQ. “Penny Stock” rules require, among other things, that any broker engaging in a purchase or sale of our securities provide its customers with: (i) a risk disclosure document; (ii) disclosure of market quotations, if any; (iii) disclosure of the compensation of the broker and its salespersons in the transaction; and (iv) monthly account statements showing the market values of our securities held in the customers’ accounts.

A broker would be required to provide the bid and offer quotations and compensation information before effecting the transaction. This information must be contained on the customers’ confirmation. Generally, brokers are less willing to effect transactions in penny stocks due to these additional delivery requirements. These requirements may make it more difficult for stockholders to purchase or sell our common stock. Because the broker, not us, prepares this information, we would not be able to assure that such information is accurate, complete or current.

We may add lease lines to finance capital expenditures and may obtain additional long term debt and lines of credit. If we issue other debt securities in the future, our debt service obligations will increase further.

Our indebtedness could have significant additional negative consequences, including, but not limited to:

- requiring the dedication of a substantial portion of our existing cash and marketable securities balances and, if available, future cash flow from operations to service our indebtedness, thereby reducing the amount of our expected cash flow available for other purposes, including capital expenditures;
- increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- limiting our ability to obtain additional financing;
- limiting our ability to sell assets if deemed necessary;
- limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we compete; and
- placing us at a possible competitive disadvantage to less leveraged competitors and competitors that have better access to capital resources.

Table of Contents

Shares eligible for future sale may adversely affect our ability to sell equity securities.

Sales of our common stock in the public market could materially and adversely affect the market price of shares. As of March 31, 2018 we had 167,255,886 shares of common stock issued, plus (1) options to purchase 2,377,863 shares of common stock with a weighted-average exercise price of \$3.34 per share, (2) 355,505 restricted stock units to certain executive officers of the Company, (3) 1,500,000 restricted stock units issued to Dr. Goldenberg as part of the Amended and Restated Employment Agreement and to certain executive officers of the Company, (4) 8,304,978 shares of common stock reserved for potential future issuance under the Plan, (5) warrants to purchase 6,450,000 shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$3.75 and (6) \$20.0 million of principal amount of Convertible Senior Notes convertible into approximately 3,913,894 shares of common stock at the conversion rate of \$5.11 subject to adjustment as described in the indenture. Of the 250,000,000 shares of common stock authorized under our Certificate of Incorporation, there are 64,072,464 shares of common stock that remain available for future issuance.

Our outstanding options and warrants may adversely affect our ability to consummate future equity based financings due to the dilution potential to future investors.

Due to the number of shares of common stock we are obligated to issue pursuant to outstanding options and warrants, potential investors may not purchase our future equity offerings at market price because of the potential dilution such investors may suffer as a result of the exercise of the outstanding options and warrants.

The market price of our common stock has fluctuated widely in the past, and is likely to continue to fluctuate widely based on a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control.

The market price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, highly volatile. Furthermore, the stock market and the market for stocks of relatively small biopharmaceutical companies like ours have from time to time experienced, and likely will again experience, significant price and volume fluctuations that are unrelated to actual operating performance.

From time to time, stock market analysts publish research reports or otherwise comment upon our business and future prospects. Due to a number of factors, we may fail to meet the expectations of securities analysts or investors and our stock price would likely decline as a result. These factors include:

- Announcements by us, our current collaboration partner, any future alliance partners or our competitors of pre-clinical studies and clinical trial results, regulatory developments, technological innovations or new therapeutic products, product sales, new products or product candidates and product development timelines;
- The formation or termination of corporate alliances;
- Developments in patent or other proprietary rights by us or our respective competitors, including litigation;
- Developments or disputes concerning our patent or other proprietary rights, and the issuance of patents in our field of business to others;
- Government regulatory action;
- Period-to-period fluctuations in the results of our operations; and

Table of Contents

· Developments and market conditions for emerging growth companies and biopharmaceutical companies, in general. In addition, Internet “chat rooms” have provided forums where investors make predictions about our business and prospects, oftentimes without any real basis in fact, that readers may trade on.

In the past, following periods of volatility in the market prices of the securities of companies in our industry, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against those companies. Please see Item 3 (“Legal Proceedings”) for a description of such litigation. If we face such litigation in the future, it would result in substantial costs and a diversion of management’s attention and resources, which could negatively impact our business.

Our principal stockholders can significantly influence all matters requiring the approval by our stockholders.

As of March 31, 2018 venBio Select Advisor LLC, (“venBio”) is the beneficial owner of approximately 10.2% of our outstanding common stock. venBio is our largest stockholder, and Dr. Behzad Aghazadeh, the Managing Partner and portfolio manager of the venBio Select Fund, serves as Chairman of our Board of Directors.

As a result of this voting power, venBio has the ability to significantly influence the outcome of substantially all matters that may be put to a vote of our stockholders, including the election of our directors.

There are limitations on the liability of our directors, and we may have to indemnify our officers and directors in certain instances.

Our certificate of incorporation limits, to the maximum extent permitted under Delaware law, the personal liability of our directors for monetary damages for breach of their fiduciary duties as directors. Our bylaws provide that we will indemnify our officers and directors and may indemnify our employees and other agents to the fullest extent permitted by law. These provisions may be in some respects broader than the specific indemnification provisions under Delaware law. The indemnification provisions may require us, among other things, to indemnify such officers and directors against certain liabilities that may arise by reason of their status or service as directors or officers (other than liabilities arising from willful misconduct of a culpable nature), to advance their expenses incurred as a result of certain proceedings against them as to which they could be indemnified and to obtain directors’ and officers’ insurance. Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law provides that a corporation may indemnify a director, officer, employee or agent made or threatened to be made a party to an action by reason of the fact that he or she was a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation or was serving at the request of the corporation, against expenses actually and reasonably incurred in connection with such action if he or she acted in good faith and in a manner he or she reasonably believed to be in, or not opposed to, the best interests of the corporation, and, with respect to any criminal action or proceeding, had no reasonable cause to believe his or her conduct was unlawful. Delaware law does not permit a corporation to eliminate a director’s duty of care and the provisions of our certificate of incorporation have no effect on the availability of equitable remedies, such as injunction or rescission, for a director’s breach of the duty of care.

We believe that our limitation of officer and director liability assists us to attract and retain qualified employees and directors. However, in the event an officer, a director or the board of directors commits an act that may legally be indemnified under Delaware law, we will be responsible to pay for such officer(s) or director(s) legal defense and potentially any damages resulting there from. Furthermore, the limitation on director liability may reduce the likelihood of derivative litigation against directors and may discourage or

Table of Contents

deter stockholders from instituting litigation against directors for breach of their fiduciary duties, even though such an action, if successful, might benefit our stockholders and us. Given the difficult environment and potential for incurring liabilities currently facing directors of publicly-held corporations, we believe that director indemnification is in our and our stockholders' best interests because it enhances our ability to attract and retain highly qualified directors and reduce a possible deterrent to entrepreneurial decision-making.

Nevertheless, limitations of director liability may be viewed as limiting the rights of stockholders, and the broad scope of the indemnification provisions contained in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws could result in increased expenses. Our board of directors believes, however, that these provisions will provide a better balancing of the legal obligations of, and protections for, directors and will contribute positively to the quality and stability of our corporate governance. Our board of directors has concluded that the benefit to stockholders of improved corporate governance outweighs any possible adverse effects on stockholders of reducing the exposure of directors to liability and broadened indemnification rights.

We are exposed to potential risks from legislation requiring companies to evaluate controls under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act requires that we maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures. Among other things, we must perform system and process evaluation and testing of our internal controls over financial reporting to allow management to report on, and our independent registered public accounting firm to attest to, our internal controls over financial reporting, as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act ("Section 404"). Compliance with Section 404 requires substantial accounting expense and significant management efforts. Our testing, or the subsequent review by our independent registered public accounting firm, may reveal deficiencies in our internal controls that would require us to remediate in a timely manner so as to be able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 each year. If we are not able to comply with the requirements of Section 404 in a timely manner each year, we could be subject to sanctions or investigations by the SEC, the NASDAQ Stock Market or other regulatory authorities that would require additional financial and management resources and could adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

We do not intend to pay dividends on our common stock. Until such time as we pay cash dividends our stockholders, must rely on increases in our stock price for appreciation.

We have never declared or paid dividends on our common stock. We intend to retain future earnings to develop and commercialize our product candidates and therefore we do not intend to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Until such time as we determine to pay cash dividends on our common stock, our stockholders must rely on increases in the market price of our common stock for appreciation of their investment.

ITEM 6.EXHIBITS

The exhibits required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are included with this Form 10-Q and are listed on the "Exhibit Index" immediately following the Signatures.

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
10.1*+	<u>Funding Agreement, dated as of January 7, 2018, between the Company and RPI Finance Trust.</u>
10.2*	<u>Common Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 7, 2018, between the Company and RPI Finance Trust.</u>
10.3*	<u>Executive Employment Agreement, dated as of March 27, 2018, between the Company and Robert Iannone.</u>
10.4*	<u>Incentive Stock Option Grant, dated as of April 9, 2018, between the Company and Robert Iannone.</u>
10.5*	<u>Nonqualified Stock Option Grant, dated as of April 9, 2018, between the Company and Robert Iannone.</u>
10.6*	<u>Nonqualified Stock Option Grant, dated as of April 9, 2018, between the Company and Robert Iannone.</u>
31.1*	<u>Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
31.2*	<u>Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
32.1*	<u>Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.</u>
101*	The following financial information from this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2018, formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language) filed electronically herewith: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets; (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss; (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows; and, (iv) the Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

*Filed herewith.

+Confidential treatment has been requested for certain portions of this exhibit. The confidential portions of this exhibit have been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

IMMUNOMEDICS, INC.

May 9, 2018 /s/ Michael Pehl
Michael Pehl
Chief Executive Officer

May 9, 2018 /s/ Michael R. Garone
Michael R. Garone
Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Accounting
Officer)