

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORP  
Form 10-Q  
November 06, 2014  
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended: September 30, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-11590

CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORPORATION  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)  
909 Silver Lake Boulevard, Dover, Delaware 19904  
(Address of principal executive offices, including Zip Code)  
(302) 734-6799  
(Registrant’s telephone number, including area code)

51-0064146  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Accelerated filer	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="checkbox"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input type="checkbox"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Common Stock, par value \$0.4867 — 14,583,221 shares outstanding as of October 31, 2014.



Table of Contents

Table of Contents

<u>PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	<u>1</u>
ITEM 1. <u>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS</u>	<u>1</u>
ITEM 2. <u>MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>28</u>
ITEM 3. <u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	<u>49</u>
ITEM 4. <u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>PART II—OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>51</u>
ITEM 1. <u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>51</u>
ITEM 1A. <u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>51</u>
ITEM 2. <u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	<u>51</u>
ITEM 3. <u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	<u>51</u>
ITEM 5. <u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>51</u>
ITEM 6. <u>EXHIBITS</u>	<u>52</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>53</u>

---

Table of Contents

GLOSSARY OF DEFINITIONS

ASC: Accounting Standards Codification

ASU: Accounting Standards Update

Austin Cox: Austin Cox Home Services, Inc.

BravePoint: BravePoint, Inc., which was our advanced information services subsidiary, headquartered in Norcross, Georgia, prior to the sale on October 1, 2014

CDD: Cooling degree-days, which is the measure of the variation in weather based on the extent to which the daily average temperature (from 10:00 am to 10:00 am) is above 65 degrees Fahrenheit

Chesapeake: Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, its divisions and its subsidiaries, as appropriate in the context of the disclosure

Chesapeake Pension Plan: A defined benefit pension plan sponsored by Chesapeake

Chesapeake Postretirement Plan: An unfunded postretirement health care and life insurance plan sponsored by Chesapeake

Chesapeake SERP: An unfunded supplemental executive retirement pension plan sponsored by Chesapeake

CHP: A combined heat and power plant being constructed by Eight Flags in Nassau County, Florida

Company: Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, its divisions and its subsidiaries, as appropriate in the context of the disclosure

CP: Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

Deferred Compensation Plan: A non-qualified, deferred compensation arrangement under which certain of our executives and members of the Board of Directors are able to defer payment of all or a part of certain specified types of compensation, including executive cash bonuses, executive performance shares, and directors' retainers and fees

Delmarva Peninsula: A peninsula on the east coast of the United States of America occupied by Delaware and portions of Maryland and Virginia

DNREC: Delaware Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control

DSCP: Directors Stock Compensation Plan

Dts/d: Dekatherms per day

Eastern Shore: Eastern Shore Natural Gas Company, a wholly-owned natural gas transmission subsidiary of Chesapeake

EGWIC: Eastern Gas & Water Investment Company, LLC, an affiliate of Eastern Shore Gas Company

Eight Flags: Eight Flags Energy, LLC, a subsidiary of Chesapeake

EPA: United States Environmental Protection Agency

ESG: Eastern Shore Gas Company and its affiliates

FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board

FERC: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, an independent agency of the Federal government that regulates the interstate transmission of electricity, natural gas, and oil

FDEP: Florida Department of Environmental Protection

FDOT: Florida Department of Transportation

FGT: Florida Gas Transmission Company

FPU: Florida Public Utilities Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Chesapeake

FPU Medical Plan: A separate unfunded postretirement medical plan for FPU sponsored by Chesapeake

Table of Contents

FPU Pension Plan: A separate defined benefit pension plan for FPU sponsored by Chesapeake

FRP: Fuel Retention Percentage

GAAP: Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America

Glades: Glades Gas Co., Inc.

GRIP: Gas Reliability Infrastructure Program, which is a surcharge to natural gas customers designed to recover capital and other program-related costs, inclusive of an appropriate return on investment, associated with accelerating the replacement of qualifying distribution mains and services in Florida

Gulf Power: Gulf Power Company

Gulfstream: Gulfstream Natural Gas System, LLC

HDD: Heating degree-days, which is a measure of the variation in weather based on the extent to which the daily average temperature (from 10:00 am to 10:00 am) is below 65 degrees Fahrenheit

MDE: Maryland Department of Environment

MGP: Manufactured gas plant, which is a site where coal was previously used to manufacture gaseous fuel for industrial, commercial and residential use

NAM: Natural Attenuation Monitoring

Note Agreement: Note Purchase Agreement entered into by Chesapeake with Note Holders on September 5, 2013

Note Holders: PAR U Hartford Life & Annuity Comfort Trust, The Prudential Insurance Company of America, The Gibraltar Life Insurance Co., Ltd., The Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company, Thrivent Financial for Lutherans, United of Omaha Life Insurance Company, and Companion Life Insurance Company, which are collectively the lenders that entered into the Note Agreement with Chesapeake on September 5, 2013

Notes: Series A and B unsecured Senior Notes that have been entered into with the Note Holders

OPT ≤ 90 Service: Off Peak ≤ 90 Firm Transportation Service, a new tariff associated with Eastern Shore's firm transportation service that will allow Eastern Shore the right not to schedule service for up to 90 days during the peak months of November through April each year

OTC: Over-the-counter

Peninsula Pipeline: Peninsula Pipeline Company, Inc., our wholly-owned Florida intrastate pipeline subsidiary

PESCO: Peninsula Energy Services Company, Inc., our wholly-owned natural gas marketing subsidiary

PIP: Performance Incentive Plan

PPA: Power Purchase Agreement

PSC: Public Service Commission, which is the state agency that regulates the rates and services provided by Chesapeake's natural gas and electric distribution operations in Delaware, Maryland and Florida and Peninsula Pipeline in Florida

Sandpiper: Sandpiper Energy, Inc.

Sanford Group: FPU and other responsible parties involved with the Sanford environmental site

SEC: Securities and Exchange Commission

Series A Notes: Series A of the unsecured Senior Notes issued on December 16, 2013 pursuant to the Note Agreement

Series B Notes: Series B of the unsecured Senior Notes issued on May 15, 2014 pursuant to the Note Agreement

Sharp: Sharp Energy, Inc., our wholly-owned propane distribution subsidiary

SICP: 2013 Stock and Incentive Compensation Plan, which replaced DSCP and PIP effective May 2, 2013

Table of Contents

TETLP: Texas Eastern Transmission, LP

Xeron: Xeron, Inc., our propane wholesale marketing subsidiary, based in Houston, Texas

---

Table of Contents

## PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## Chesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries

## Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
(in thousands, except shares and per share data)				
Operating Revenues				
Regulated energy	\$59,356	\$55,680	\$223,168	\$192,463
Unregulated energy	27,071	28,262	141,365	119,278
Other	5,192	2,603	13,921	9,678
Total Operating Revenues	91,619	86,545	378,454	321,419
Operating Expenses				
Regulated energy cost of sales	23,040	22,591	102,020	86,321
Unregulated energy and other cost of sales	22,935	21,795	112,702	90,656
Operations	25,365	21,300	76,604	65,878
Maintenance	2,562	2,146	7,168	5,688
Depreciation and amortization	6,774	6,274	20,146	18,071
Other taxes	3,151	3,719	9,942	10,383
Total Operating Expenses	83,827	77,825	328,582	276,997
Operating Income	7,792	8,720	49,872	44,422
Other income (loss), net of other expenses	(32	) 101	380	413
Interest charges	2,495	2,026	6,954	6,114
Income Before Income Taxes	5,265	6,795	43,298	38,721
Income taxes	2,085	2,916	17,303	15,617
Net Income	\$3,180	\$3,879	\$25,995	\$23,104
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:				
Basic	14,574,678	14,438,152	14,539,841	14,424,404
Diluted	14,616,665	14,553,501	14,588,130	14,538,467
Earnings Per Share of Common Stock:				
Basic	\$0.22	\$0.27	\$1.79	\$1.60
Diluted	\$0.22	\$0.27	\$1.78	\$1.59
Cash Dividends Declared Per Share of Common Stock	\$0.270	\$0.257	\$0.797	\$0.757

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of ContentsChesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
(in thousands)				
Net Income	\$3,180	\$3,879	\$25,995	\$23,104
Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax:				
Employee Benefits, net of tax:				
Amortization of prior service cost, net of tax of (\$6), (\$6), (\$18), and (\$18) respectively	(9	) (9	) (26	) (27
Net gain, net of tax of \$27, \$43, \$80 and \$124, respectively	39	64	118	186
Cash Flow Hedges, net of tax:				
Unrealized loss on commodity contract cash flow hedges, net of tax of (\$18), \$0, (\$19) and \$0, respectively.	(27	) —	(28	) —
Total Other Comprehensive Income	3	55	64	159
Comprehensive Income	\$3,183	\$3,934	\$26,059	\$23,263

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.



Table of ContentsChesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Assets		
(in thousands, except shares)		
Property, Plant and Equipment		
Regulated energy	\$730,879	\$691,522
Unregulated energy	80,500	76,267
Other	21,974	21,002
Total property, plant and equipment	833,353	788,791
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(192,515	) (174,148
Plus: Construction work in progress	38,611	16,603
Net property, plant and equipment	679,449	631,246
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	2,285	3,356
Accounts receivable (less allowance for uncollectible accounts of \$1,282 and \$1,635, respectively)	43,270	75,293
Accrued revenue	7,629	13,910
Propane inventory, at average cost	7,303	10,456
Other inventory, at average cost	2,991	4,880
Storage gas prepayments	4,990	4,318
Prepaid expenses	7,887	6,910
Income taxes receivable	2,100	2,609
Mark-to-market energy assets	187	385
Regulatory assets	7,790	2,436
Deferred income taxes	1,700	1,696
Other current assets	201	160
Total current assets	88,333	126,409
Deferred Charges and Other Assets		
Investments, at fair value	3,481	3,098
Regulatory assets	66,241	66,584
Goodwill	4,625	4,354
Other intangible assets, net	2,675	2,975
Receivables and other deferred charges	2,746	2,856
Total deferred charges and other assets	79,768	79,867
Total Assets	\$847,550	\$837,522

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of ContentsChesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

	September 30, 2014	December 31, 2013
Capitalization and Liabilities		
(in thousands, except shares and per share data)		
Capitalization		
Stockholders' equity		
Common stock, par value \$0.4867 per share (authorized 25,000,000 shares)	\$7,095	\$4,691
Additional paid-in capital	155,407	152,341
Retained earnings	136,188	124,274
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,469	) (2,533
Deferred compensation obligation	1,217	1,124
Treasury stock	(1,217	) (1,124
Total stockholders' equity	296,221	278,773
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	165,044	117,592
Total capitalization	461,265	396,365
Current Liabilities		
Current portion of long-term debt	11,113	11,353
Short-term borrowing	71,169	105,666
Accounts payable	33,371	53,482
Accrued compensation	7,269	8,394
Accrued interest	3,347	1,235
Dividends payable	3,936	3,710
Mark-to-market energy liabilities	141	127
Regulatory liabilities	2,797	4,157
Customer deposits and refunds	24,970	26,140
Other accrued liabilities	10,950	7,678
Total current liabilities	169,063	221,942
Deferred Credits and Other Liabilities		
Deferred income taxes	142,507	142,597
Deferred investment tax credits	49	74
Regulatory liabilities	3,772	4,402
Accrued asset removal cost—Regulatory liability	39,851	39,510
Environmental liabilities	9,022	9,155
Other pension and benefit costs	18,246	21,000
Other liabilities	3,775	2,477
Total deferred credits and other liabilities	217,222	219,215
Other commitments and contingencies (Note 6)		
Total Capitalization and Liabilities	\$847,550	\$837,522

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of ContentsChesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013
(in thousands)		
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$25,995	\$23,104
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	20,146	18,071
Depreciation and accretion included in other costs	5,152	4,504
Deferred income taxes, net	(156)	) 9,947
Gain on sale of assets	(436)	) (142)
Unrealized (gain) loss on commodity contracts	67	) (277)
Unrealized (gain) loss on investments	(111)	) 217
Realized gain on sales of investments, net	—	) (702)
Employee benefits	476	708
Share-based compensation	1,519	1,246
Other, net	2	) (84)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Purchase of investments	(272)	) (436)
Accounts receivable and accrued revenue	38,304	) (567)
Propane inventory, storage gas and other inventory	4,137	) (933)
Regulatory assets	(8,237)	) (1,158)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(804)	) (1,361)
Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities	(18,704)	) 8,174
Income taxes receivable	510	3,980
Accrued interest	2,112	1,144
Customer deposits and refunds	(1,169)	) (2,559)
Accrued compensation	(1,242)	) (1,060)
Regulatory liabilities	(1,286)	) 4,688
Other assets and liabilities, net	(1,643)	) (77)
Net cash provided by operating activities	64,360	66,427
Investing Activities		
Property, plant and equipment expenditures	(68,981)	) (68,579)
Proceeds from sales of assets	505	154
Proceeds from sale of investments	—	2,300
Acquisitions	—	) (19,367)
Environmental expenditures	(134)	) (276)
Net cash used in investing activities	(68,610)	) (85,768)
Financing Activities		
Common stock dividends	(10,319)	) (9,716)
Purchase of stock for Dividend Reinvestment Plan	(260)	) (1,001)
Change in cash overdrafts due to outstanding checks	(503)	) (2,692)
Net borrowing (repayment) under line of credit agreements	(33,994)	) 32,790
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	49,975	6,985
Repayment of long-term debt and capital lease obligation	(1,720)	) (8,594)
Net cash provided by financing activities	3,179	17,772
Net Decrease in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(1,071)	) (1,569)

Edgar Filing: CHESAPEAKE UTILITIES CORP - Form 10-Q

Cash and Cash Equivalents—Beginning of Period	3,356	3,361
Cash and Cash Equivalents—End of Period	\$2,285	\$1,792

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

- 5

---

Table of ContentsChesapeake Utilities Corporation and Subsidiaries  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity (Unaudited)

(in thousands, except shares and per share data)	Common Stock			Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Deferred Compensation	Treasury Stock	Total
	Number of Shares <sup>(1)</sup>	Par Value	Additional Paid-In Capital					
Balance at December 31, 2012	14,396,248	\$4,671	\$150,750	\$106,239	\$ (5,062 )	\$ 982	\$(982 )	\$256,598
Net income	—	—	—	32,787	—	—	—	32,787
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	2,529	—	—	2,529
Dividend declared (\$1.013 per share)	—	—	(6 )	(14,752 )	—	—	—	(14,758 )
Conversion of debentures	26,075	8	287	—	—	—	—	295
Share-based compensation and tax benefit <sup>(2) (3)</sup>	35,022	12	1,310	—	—	—	—	1,322
Treasury stock activities	—	—	—	—	—	142	(142 )	—
Balance at December 31, 2013	14,457,345	4,691	152,341	124,274	(2,533 )	1,124	(1,124 )	278,773
Net income	—	—	—	25,995	—	—	—	25,995
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	64	—	—	64
Dividend declared (\$0.797 per share)	18,078	6	790	(11,716 )	—	—	—	(10,920 )
Retirement savings plan	14,751	5	597	—	—	—	—	602
Conversion of debentures	47,313	15	520	—	—	—	—	535
Share-based compensation and tax benefit <sup>(2) (3)</sup>	40,158	13	1,159	—	—	—	—	1,172
Stock split in the form of stock dividend	—	2,365	—	(2,365 )	—	—	—	—
Treasury stock activities	—	—	—	—	—	93	(93 )	—
Balance at September 30, 2014	14,577,645	\$7,095	\$155,407	\$136,188	\$ (2,469 )	\$ 1,217	\$(1,217 )	\$296,221

(1) Includes 52,760 and 51,743 shares at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively, held in a Rabbi Trust related to our Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Plan.

(2) Includes amounts for shares issued for Directors' compensation.

The shares issued under the SICP are net of shares withheld for employee taxes. For the nine months ended

(3) September 30, 2014 and for the year ended December 31, 2013, we withheld 12,687 and 15,617 shares, respectively, for taxes.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

- 6

---

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

1. Summary of Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

References in this document to the “Company,” “Chesapeake,” “we,” “us” and “our” are intended to mean Chesapeake Utilities Corporation, its divisions and/or its subsidiaries, as appropriate in the context of the disclosure.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in compliance with the rules and regulations of the SEC and GAAP. In accordance with these rules and regulations, certain information and disclosures normally required for audited financial statements have been condensed or omitted. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto, included in our latest Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013. In the opinion of management, these financial statements reflect normal recurring adjustments that are necessary for a fair presentation of our results of operations, financial position and cash flows for the interim periods presented.

Due to the seasonality of our business, results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the entire fiscal year. Revenue and earnings are typically greater during the first and fourth quarters, when consumption of energy is highest due to colder temperatures.

Reclassifications

We reclassified certain amounts in the condensed consolidated cash flows statement for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 to conform to the current year's presentation. These reclassifications are considered immaterial to the overall presentation of our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Stock Dividend

On July 2, 2014, our Board of Directors approved a three-for-two stock split of our outstanding common stock to be effected in the form of a stock dividend. Each stockholder as of the close of business on the record date of August 13, 2014 received one additional share of common stock for every two shares of common stock owned. The additional shares were distributed on September 8, 2014. All share and per share data in this Form 10-Q are presented on a post-split basis. As a result of the stock split, we reclassified approximately \$2.4 million from retained earnings to common stock. The \$2.4 million represents \$0.4867 par value per share of the shares issued in the stock split.

Assets and Liabilities Held for Sale

As of September 30, 2014, the following amounts included in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet were held for sale:

Assets and liabilities of BravePoint sold in October 2014 (see Note 3, Acquisitions and Disposition, for further details), which included \$1.8 million of net property, plant and equipment, \$4.8 million of current assets, \$16,000 of other deferred charges, \$2.6 million of current liabilities and \$313,000 of deferred income taxes; and

An office building and land located in Winter Haven, Florida, with \$497,000 of net property, plant and equipment, which are subject to an agreement for them to be sold to an unaffiliated purchaser.

The amounts for these assets and liabilities held for sale at September 30, 2014 were not material, and therefore, they are not presented separately in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

FASB Statements and Other Authoritative Pronouncements

Recent Accounting Standards Yet to be Adopted

Revenue from Contracts with Customers (ASC 606) - In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. This standard provides a single comprehensive revenue recognition model for all contracts with customers to improve comparability within industries, as well as across industries and capital markets. The standard contains principles that entities will apply to determine the measurement of revenue and when it is recognized. ASU 2014-09 is effective for reporting periods (interim and annual) beginning after December 15, 2016. We are currently assessing the impact this standard will have on our financial position and results of operations.





Table of Contents

## Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

Presentation of Financial Statements (ASC 205) and Property Plant and Equipment (ASC 360) - In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity. The new standard limits discontinued operations reporting to disposals of components of an entity that represent strategic shifts that have or will have a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results, and requires additional disclosures related to discontinued operations. Upon adoption of the new standard, fewer disposals are expected to be presented as discontinued operations. We early adopted the provisions of this standard in the third quarter of 2014 and applied them to the sale of BravePoint (see Note 3, Acquisitions and Disposition for additional details on the sale). As a result, BravePoint is not presented as a discontinued operation in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

Income Taxes (ASC 740) - In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists, which requires the netting of certain unrecognized tax benefits against a deferred tax asset for a loss or other similar tax carryforward that would apply upon settlement of an uncertain tax position. ASU 2013-11 became effective for us on January 1, 2014. The adoption of ASU 2013-11 had no material impact on our financial position and results of operations.

## 2. Calculation of Earnings Per Share

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
(in thousands, except shares and per share data)				
Calculation of Basic Earnings Per Share:				
Net Income	\$3,180	\$3,879	\$25,995	\$23,104
Weighted average shares outstanding	14,574,678	14,438,152	14,539,841	14,424,404
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$0.22	\$0.27	\$1.79	\$1.60
Calculation of Diluted Earnings Per Share:				
Reconciliation of Numerator:				
Net Income	\$3,180	\$3,879	\$25,995	\$23,104
Effect of 8.25% Convertible debentures <sup>(1)</sup>	—	11	—	33
Adjusted numerator—Diluted	\$3,180	\$3,890	\$25,995	\$23,137
Reconciliation of Denominator:				
Weighted shares outstanding—Basic	14,574,678	14,438,152	14,539,841	14,424,404
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Share-based Compensation	41,987	39,185	48,289	35,832
8.25% Convertible debentures <sup>(1)</sup>	—	76,164	—	78,231
Adjusted denominator—Diluted	14,616,665	14,553,501	14,588,130	14,538,467
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$0.22	\$0.27	\$1.78	\$1.59

<sup>(1)</sup> As of March 1, 2014, we no longer have any outstanding convertible debentures. See Note 14, Long-term debt for additional information.

As discussed in Note 1, Summary of Accounting Policies, previously reported share and per share amounts have been restated in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes to reflect the stock split effected in the form of a stock dividend.

Table of Contents

## 3. Acquisitions and Disposition

## Eastern Shore Gas Company

On May 31, 2013, the Maryland PSC approved the acquisition of ESG. Upon receiving this approval, we completed the purchase of certain operating assets of ESG, which was not related to, or affiliated with, our interstate natural gas transmission subsidiary, Eastern Shore. We paid approximately \$16.5 million at the closing of the transaction, which was subject to certain adjustments specified in the asset purchase agreement. During the third quarter of 2013, the purchase price was reduced by \$543,000 due to adjustments to property, plant and equipment, propane inventory, accounts receivable and other accrued liabilities. The purchase price included approximately \$726,000 of sales tax related to the transaction. We financed the acquisition using unsecured short-term debt.

Approximately 11,000 residential and commercial underground propane distribution system customers and 500 bulk propane delivery customers acquired in the transaction are being served by our new subsidiary, Sandpiper, and our propane distribution subsidiary, Sharp, respectively. Sandpiper's operations, which cover all of Worcester County, Maryland, are subject to rate and service regulation by the Maryland PSC. We are evaluating the potential conversion of some of the underground propane distribution systems to natural gas distribution and have begun to convert some of the acquired customers. Although most of these customers are currently being served with propane, we classify Sandpiper's operations as natural gas distribution in the Regulated Energy segment.

In connection with this acquisition, we recorded \$12.6 million in property, plant and equipment, \$384,000 in propane inventory, \$2.5 million in accounts receivable and accrued revenue and \$227,000 in other current liabilities, which included the effect of purchase price adjustments in the third quarter of 2013 and the second quarter of 2014. All but insignificant amounts of assets and liabilities are recorded in the Regulated Energy segment. No goodwill or intangible asset was recorded from this acquisition, and the allocation of the purchase price and valuation of assets are final.

The revenue from this acquisition included in our condensed consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$4.4 million and \$18.8 million, respectively. The net income from this acquisition included in our condensed consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 was \$266,000 and \$2.1 million, respectively.

The revenue from this acquisition included in our condensed consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$3.6 million and \$4.6 million, respectively. The net income/loss from this acquisition included in our condensed consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$203,000 of net income and \$204,000 of net loss, respectively.

## Other Acquisitions

On December 2, 2013, we acquired certain operating assets of the City of Fort Meade, Florida, for approximately \$792,000. The purchased assets are used to provide natural gas distribution service in the City of Fort Meade, Florida. In connection with this acquisition, we recorded \$670,000 in property, plant and equipment; \$14,000 in inventory; \$150,000 in goodwill; and \$42,000 in other current liabilities. Valuation of certain property, plant and equipment is preliminary and may be adjusted in the future based upon the final valuation, but no later than one year from the date of acquisition. All of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax purposes. The revenue and net income from this acquisition that were included in our condensed consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 were not material.

On February 5, 2013, we purchased the propane operating assets of Glades for approximately \$2.9 million. The purchased assets are used to provide propane distribution service to approximately 3,000 residential and commercial customers in Okeechobee, Glades and Hendry Counties, Florida. In connection with this acquisition, we recorded \$1.6 million in property, plant and equipment; \$231,000 in propane and other inventory; \$300,000 in an intangible asset related to Glades' customer list, to be amortized over 12 years beginning in February 2013; and \$724,000 in goodwill. All of the goodwill is expected to be deductible for income tax purposes. These amounts reflect an adjustment to the allocation of the purchase price during the first quarter of 2014 based on our final valuation, which decreased the value of propane inventory by \$271,000 and increased goodwill by the same amount. The revenue and net income

from this acquisition that were included in our condensed consolidated statement of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 were not material.

Table of Contents

Disposition of BravePoint

Subsequent to the end of third quarter of 2014, we completed the sale of BravePoint for approximately \$12.0 million in cash. As of September 30, 2014, our investment in BravePoint was approximately \$3.6 million. After deducting various expenses and transaction costs associated with the sale, we expect to record a pre-tax gain of approximately \$6.5 million to \$7.0 million (approximately \$4.0 million after-tax) from this sale in the fourth quarter of 2014. Our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 included \$5.5 million and \$15.1 million of revenue, respectively, and \$268,000 of net income and \$232,000 of net loss, respectively, from BravePoint.

4. Rates and Other Regulatory Activities

Our natural gas and electric distribution operations in Delaware, Maryland and Florida are subject to regulation by their respective PSC; Eastern Shore, our natural gas transmission subsidiary, is subject to regulation by the FERC; and Peninsula Pipeline, our intrastate pipeline subsidiary, is subject to regulation by the Florida PSC. Chesapeake's Florida natural gas distribution division and FPU's natural gas and electric distribution operations continue to be subject to regulation by the Florida PSC as separate entities.

Delaware

There were no significant rates and other regulatory activities in Delaware during the first nine months of 2014.

Maryland

Sandpiper depreciation study: On March 24, 2014, Sandpiper filed a depreciation study with the Maryland PSC regarding the assets purchased in the ESG acquisition. This depreciation study was filed in accordance with the order dated May 29, 2013, which allowed Sandpiper to recommend the proper depreciation rates and accumulated depreciation associated with the acquired assets. After a series of testimonies and discussions, Sandpiper, the Maryland Office of People's Counsel and the technical staff of the Maryland PSC reached a settlement agreement, which, among other things, establishes new depreciation rates and accumulated depreciation for the acquired assets. Under the terms of the settlement agreement, Sandpiper would adopt new depreciation rates, which are lower than the rates currently in place, and decreases accumulated depreciation included in its rate base by approximately \$3.0 million for future rate making purposes. Sandpiper also agrees to file a new depreciation study within five years. The settlement agreement does not change Sandpiper's rates charged to its customers. On September 29, 2014, the Public Utility Law Judge approved the settlement and issued a proposed order, which became a final order of the Maryland PSC on October 30, 2014. The decrease in accumulated depreciation of the acquired assets is for regulatory rate-making purposes and does not change the value of those assets reflected on our condensed consolidated balance sheets, which, pursuant to U.S. GAAP, were originally recorded based on the fair value of those assets on the date of the ESG acquisition.

Florida

Electric rate case: On April 28, 2014, FPU filed a base rate case for its electric distribution operation. FPU requested interim rate relief of approximately \$2.4 million and final rate relief of approximately \$5.9 million. The interim rate relief requested was based on the twelve-month period ended September 30, 2013. At the July 10, 2014 Agenda Conference, the Florida PSC approved interim rate relief of approximately \$2.2 million. The interim rates were effective for meter readings on or after August 10, 2014. On August 29, 2014, FPU and the Florida Office of Public Counsel reached a settlement agreement, which provides, among other things, an increase in annual base rates of approximately \$3.8 million and a rate of common equity return of 10.25 percent. On September 15, 2014, the Florida PSC approved the settlement agreement. New final rates will be effective for all meter reads on or after November 1, 2014.

PPA with Eight Flags: On September 26, 2014, FPU filed a PPA with the Florida PSC pursuant to which FPU proposes to purchase up to 20 megawatts of electricity from its affiliate, Eight Flags, to service its customers in the Northeast division. Eight Flags is pursuing the development and construction of a CHP plant in Nassau County,

Florida. FPU expects the PPA to provide significant savings in fuel costs over its 20-year term, which FPU will pass on to its customers. FPU requested in its filing, approval of the Florida PSC before the end of 2014 in order to avoid any delay in construction of the CHP plant.

Other matters: We also had developments in the following regulatory matters in Florida:

On November 15, 2013, Chesapeake's Florida natural gas distribution division petitioned the Florida PSC for an extension to its surcharge to recover an additional \$381,000 in estimated remaining environmental cleanup costs that have not yet been recovered. The Florida PSC approved the extension of the surcharge and the additional

Table of Contents

amount for recovery at the Agenda Conference on January 7, 2014. This extension is effective for two years, beginning January 1, 2014.

On January 13, 2014, FPU's natural gas distribution divisions and Chesapeake's Florida natural gas distribution division filed a consolidated natural gas depreciation study with the Florida PSC. We also filed for approval to establish a regulatory asset and related amortization to address the costs associated with the development of this study. Depending on the results of this proceeding, we may be required to change the depreciation expense for our Florida natural gas distribution operations. The PSC agenda date for the depreciation study is scheduled for November 25, 2014.

On September 30, 2014, FPU filed for approval with the Florida PSC two contracts with its Peninsula Pipeline affiliate for additional natural gas transportation services in Nassau and Palm Beach Counties, Florida. The PSC agenda date for these cases is scheduled for December 18, 2014.

Eastern Shore

The following are regulatory activities involving FERC orders applicable to Eastern Shore and the expansions of Eastern Shore's transmission system:

**OPT ≤ 90 Service:** On August 7, 2014, Eastern Shore submitted for filing and acceptance tariff records to establish a new OPT ≤ 90 Service. The OPT ≤ 90 Service is designed to allow a customer to contract to receive unrestricted firm service subject to Eastern Shore's right to not schedule service for up to 90 days during the peak months of November through April of each year. In addition, during these peak months, the OPT ≤ 90 Service would have a scheduling priority below that of Firm Transportation Service but above the priority given to all secondary firm and interruptible services. On September 5, 2014, the FERC issued an order accepting Eastern Shore's tariff changes to be made effective September 7, 2014. On October 1, 2014, the FERC accepted and approved Eastern Shore's compliance filing, and no further action is required.

**TETLP Expansion Project:** On January 31, 2014, Eastern Shore submitted to the FERC a request for prior notice authorization regarding a project that included certain improvements at Eastern Shore's existing interconnection with TETLP near Honey Brook, Pennsylvania. This project allows Eastern Shore to increase its capacity to receive natural gas from TETLP by 57,000 Dts/d to a total capacity of 107,000 Dts/d; however, this project does not result in an increase in Eastern Shore's overall system capacity. On April 8, 2014, the FERC approved Eastern Shore's prior notice application, and Eastern Shore made this additional receipt point capacity available to an existing industrial customer.

**White Oak Lateral Project Filing:** On June 13, 2013, Eastern Shore submitted to the FERC an application for a CP, seeking authorization to construct the White Oak lateral project located in Kent County, Delaware. The FERC issued a CP for this project and a notice to allow construction to proceed. Eastern Shore completed construction activities for this project. On September 30, 2014, the FERC authorized Eastern Shore to place the project in service, and the service to an industrial customer commenced on October 1, 2014. The project consisted of installing approximately 5.5 miles of 16-inch diameter pipeline, metering facilities and miscellaneous appurtenances, extending from Eastern Shore's mainline system near its North Dover City Gate Station to the Garrison Oak Technical Park, all located in Dover, Delaware. This project was designed to provide 55,200 Dts/d of delivery lateral firm transportation service to an industrial customer facility that was under construction. The total cost of the project was approximately \$11.5 million.

**Other matters:** On May 30, 2014, Eastern Shore submitted to the FERC a combined filing of its FRP and Cash-Out Refund for a twelve-month period from April 2013 to March 2014. In this filing, Eastern Shore proposed an FRP rate of 0.62 percent. During the period, Eastern Shore experienced an under-recovery of \$494,000 in its Deferred Gas Required for Operations costs and an over-recovery of \$160,000 in its Deferred Cash-Out costs. Eastern Shore proposed to incorporate the Cash-Out Refund into its FRP to mitigate the effect of the increase in the FRP to its customers.

5. Environmental Commitments and Contingencies

We are subject to federal, state and local laws and regulations governing environmental quality and pollution control. These laws and regulations require us to remove or remediate at current and former operating sites the effect on the environment of the disposal or release of specified substances.

We have participated in the investigation and assessment of, and have remediation exposures at, six former MGP sites. Those sites are located in Salisbury, Maryland, and Winter Haven, Key West, Pensacola, Sanford and West Palm Beach, Florida. We have also been in discussions with the MDE regarding a seventh former MGP site located in Cambridge,

- 11

---

Table of Contents

Maryland. We were notified in December of 2013 by the DNREC that it would be conducting a facility evaluation of an eighth former MGP site located in Seaford, Delaware.

As of September 30, 2014, we had approximately \$10.2 million in environmental liabilities, representing our estimate of the future costs associated with all of FPU's MGP sites in Florida, which include the Key West, Pensacola, Sanford and West Palm Beach sites. FPU has approval to recover, from insurance and from customers through rates, up to \$14.0 million of its environmental costs related to all of its MGP sites, approximately \$9.5 million of which has been recovered as of September 30, 2014, leaving approximately \$4.5 million in regulatory assets for future recovery of environmental costs from FPU's customers.

In addition to the FPU MGP sites, we had \$434,000 in environmental liabilities at September 30, 2014, related to Chesapeake's MGP sites in Maryland and Florida, representing our estimate of future costs associated with these sites. As of September 30, 2014, we had approximately \$408,000 in regulatory and other assets for future recovery through Chesapeake's rates. Environmental liabilities for all of our MGP sites are recorded on an undiscounted basis based on the estimate of future costs provided by independent consultants.

We continue to expect that all costs related to environmental remediation and related activities will be recoverable from customers through rates.

The following discussion provides details on MGP sites:

**West Palm Beach, Florida**

Remedial options are being evaluated to respond to environmental impacts to soil and groundwater at, and in the immediate vicinity of, a parcel of property owned by FPU in West Palm Beach, Florida, where FPU previously operated a MGP. FPU is currently implementing a remedial plan approved by the FDEP for the east parcel of the West Palm Beach site, which includes installation of monitoring test wells, sparging of air into the groundwater system and extraction of vapors from the subsurface. It is anticipated that similar remedial actions will ultimately be implemented for other portions of the site. Estimated costs of remediation for the West Palm Beach site range from approximately \$4.5 million to \$15.4 million, including costs associated with the relocation of FPU's operations at this site, which is necessary to implement the remedial plan, and any potential costs associated with future redevelopment of the properties.

**Sanford, Florida**

FPU is the current owner of property in Sanford, Florida, which was a former MGP site that was operated by several other entities before FPU acquired the property. FPU was never an owner or an operator of the MGP at this site. In January 2007, FPU and the Sanford Group signed a Third Participation Agreement, which provides for the funding of the final remedy approved by the EPA for the site. FPU's share of remediation costs under the Third Participation Agreement is set at five percent of a maximum of \$13.0 million, or \$650,000. As of September 30, 2014, FPU has paid \$650,000 to the Sanford Group escrow account for its entire share of the funding requirements.

The total cost of the final remedy is now estimated to be over \$20.0 million, which includes long-term monitoring and the settlement of claims asserted by two adjacent property owners to resolve damages that the property owners allege they have incurred and will incur as a result of the implementation of the EPA-approved remediation. In settlement of these claims, members of the Sanford Group, which in this instance does not include FPU, have agreed to pay specified sums of money to the parties. FPU has refused to participate in the funding of the third-party settlement agreements based on its contention that it did not contribute to the release of hazardous substances at the site giving rise to the third-party claims. FPU has advised the other members of the Sanford Group that it is unwilling at this time to agree to pay any sum in excess of the \$650,000 committed by FPU in the Third Participation Agreement.

As of September 30, 2014, FPU's remaining remediation expenses, including attorneys' fees and costs, are estimated to be \$24,000. However, we are unable to determine, to a reasonable degree of certainty, whether the other members of the Sanford Group will accept FPU's asserted defense to liability for costs exceeding \$13.0 million to implement the final remedy for this site, as provided in the Third Participation Agreement, or will pursue a claim against FPU for a sum in excess of the \$650,000 that FPU has paid under the Third Participation Agreement. No such claims have been made as of September 30, 2014.





Table of Contents

Key West, Florida

FPU formerly owned and operated a MGP in Key West, Florida. Field investigations performed in the 1990s identified limited environmental impacts at the site, which is currently owned by an unrelated third party. In 2010, after 17 years of regulatory inactivity, FDEP observed that some soil and groundwater standards were exceeded and requested implementation of additional soil and groundwater fieldwork. The scope of work is limited to the installation of two additional monitoring wells and periodic monitoring of the new and existing wells. The two new monitoring wells were installed in November 2011, and groundwater monitoring began in December 2011. The first semi-annual report from the monitoring program was issued in May 2012. The data from the June 2012 and September 2012 monitoring events were submitted to the FDEP on October 4, 2012. FDEP responded on October 9, 2012 that, based on the data, NAM appears to be an appropriate remedy for the site.

In October 2012, FDEP issued a Remedial Action Plan approval order which specified that a limited semi-annual monitoring program be conducted. The most recent groundwater-monitoring event was conducted on September 15, 2014. Natural Attenuation Default Criteria were met at all locations sampled. The next semi-annual sampling event is scheduled for March of 2015.

Although the duration of the FDEP-required limited NAM cannot be determined with certainty, it is anticipated that total costs to complete the remedial action will not exceed \$50,000. The annual cost to conduct the limited NAM program is not expected to exceed \$8,000.

Pensacola, Florida

FPU formerly owned and operated a MGP in Pensacola, Florida, which was subsequently owned by Gulf Power. Portions of the site are now owned by the City of Pensacola and the FDOT. In October 2009, FDEP informed Gulf Power that it would approve a conditional No Further Action determination for the site with the requirement for institutional and engineering controls. On September 11, 2014, FDEP issued a draft memorandum of understanding between FDOT and FDEP to implement site closure with approved institutional and engineering controls for the site. It is anticipated that FPU's share of remaining legal and cleanup costs will not exceed \$5,000.

Winter Haven, Florida

The Winter Haven site is located on the eastern shoreline of Lake Shipp, in Winter Haven, Florida. Pursuant to a consent order entered into with FDEP, we are obligated to assess and remediate environmental impacts at this former MGP site. Groundwater monitoring results have shown a continuing reduction in contaminant concentrations from the sparging system, which has been in operation since 2002. On September 12, 2014, FDEP issued a letter approving shut-down of the sparging operations on the northern portion of the site, contingent upon continued semi-annual monitoring.

Groundwater monitoring results on the southern portion of this site indicate that Natural Attenuation Default Criteria continue to be exceeded. We have plans to modify the monitoring network on the southern portion of the site in order to collect additional data to support the development of a remedial plan. Although specific remedial actions have not yet been identified, we estimate that future remediation costs for the subsurface soils and groundwater at the site should not exceed \$443,000, which includes an estimate of \$100,000 to implement additional actions, such as institutional controls, at the site. We continue to believe that the entire amount will be recoverable from customers through rates.

FDEP previously indicated that we could also be required to remediate sediments along the shoreline of Lake Shipp, immediately west of the site. Based on studies performed to date, and our recent meeting with FDEP, we believe that corrective measures for lake sediments are not warranted and will not be required by FDEP. We therefore have not recorded a liability for sediment remediation.

Salisbury, Maryland

We have substantially completed remediation of a site in Salisbury, Maryland, where it was determined that a former MGP caused localized groundwater contamination. In February 2002, the MDE granted permission to permanently decommission the systems used for remediation and to discontinue all on-site and off-site well monitoring, except for one well, which is being maintained for periodic product monitoring and recovery. We anticipate that the remaining costs of the one remaining monitoring well will not exceed \$5,000 annually. We cannot predict at this time when the MDE will grant permission to permanently decommission the one remaining monitoring well.

Other

We are in discussions with the MDE regarding a former MGP site located in Cambridge, Maryland. The outcome of this matter cannot be determined at this time; therefore, we have not recorded an environmental liability for this location.

- 13

---

## Table of Contents

In a letter dated December 5, 2013, the DNREC notified us that it will be conducting a facility evaluation of a former MGP site in Seaford, Delaware. The facility evaluation has not been conducted, and the outcome of this evaluation cannot be determined at this time; therefore, we have not recorded an environmental liability for this location.

### 6. Other Commitments and Contingencies

#### Natural Gas, Electric and Propane Supply

Our natural gas, electric and propane distribution operations have entered into contractual commitments to purchase natural gas, electricity and propane from various suppliers. The contracts have various expiration dates. For our Delaware and Maryland natural gas distribution divisions, we have a contract, which expires on March 31, 2015, with an unaffiliated energy marketing and risk management company to manage a portion of the divisions' natural gas transportation and storage capacity.

In May 2013, Sandpiper entered into a capacity, supply and operating agreement with EGWIC to purchase propane over a six-year term. Sandpiper's current annual commitment is estimated at approximately 6.5 million gallons. Sandpiper has the option to enter into either a fixed per-gallon price for some or all of the propane purchases or a market-based price utilizing one of two local propane pricing indices.

Also in May 2013, Sharp entered into a separate supply and operating agreement with EGWIC. Under this agreement, Sharp has a commitment to supply propane to EGWIC over a six-year term. Sharp's current annual commitment is estimated at approximately 6.5 million gallons. The agreement between Sharp and EGWIC is separate from the agreement between Sandpiper and EGWIC, and neither agreement permits the parties to set off the rights and obligations specified in one against those specified in the other.

Chesapeake's Florida natural gas distribution division has firm transportation service contracts with FGT and Gulfstream. Pursuant to a capacity release program approved by the Florida PSC, all of the capacity under these agreements has been released to various natural gas marketers and other third parties, including PESCO. Under the terms of these capacity release agreements, Chesapeake is contingently liable to FGT and Gulfstream, should any party that acquired the capacity through release fail to pay for the service.

In May 2014, PESCO renewed contracts to purchase natural gas from various suppliers. These contracts expire in May 2015.

FPU's electric fuel supply contracts require FPU to maintain an acceptable standard of creditworthiness based on specific financial ratios. FPU's agreement with JEA requires FPU to comply with the following ratios based on the results of the prior 12 months: (a) total liabilities to tangible net worth less than 3.75 times, and (b) a fixed charge coverage ratio greater than 1.5 times. If either ratio is not met by FPU, it has 30 days to cure the default or provide an irrevocable letter of credit if the default is not cured. FPU's electric fuel supply agreement with Gulf Power requires FPU to meet the following ratios based on the average of the prior six quarters: (a) funds from operations interest coverage ratio (minimum of 2 times), and (b) total debt to total capital (maximum of 65 percent). If FPU fails to meet the requirements, it has to provide the supplier a written explanation of actions taken, or proposed to be taken, to become compliant. Failure to comply with the ratios specified in the Gulf Power agreement could result in FPU having to provide an irrevocable letter of credit. As of September 30, 2014, FPU was in compliance with all of the requirements of its fuel supply contracts.

#### Corporate Guarantees

The Board of Directors has authorized us to issue corporate guarantees securing obligations of our subsidiaries and to obtain letters of credit securing our obligations, including the obligations of our subsidiaries. The maximum authorized liability under such guarantees and letters of credit is \$45.0 million.

We have issued corporate guarantees to certain vendors of our subsidiaries, the largest portion of which is for Xeron and PESCO. These corporate guarantees provide for the payment of propane and natural gas purchases, respectively, in the event that Xeron or PESCO defaults. Neither subsidiary has ever defaulted on its obligations to pay its suppliers. The liabilities for these purchases are recorded when incurred. The aggregate amount guaranteed at September 30, 2014 was \$31.6 million, with the guarantees expiring on various dates through September 2015.

Chesapeake also guarantees the payment of FPU's first mortgage bonds. The maximum exposure under the guarantee is the outstanding principal plus accrued interest balances. The outstanding principal balances of FPU's first mortgage

bonds approximate their carrying values (see Note 14, Long-Term Debt, to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further details).

- 14

---

## Table of Contents

In addition to the corporate guarantees, we have issued a letter of credit for \$1.0 million, which expires on September 12, 2015, related to the electric transmission services for FPU's northwest electric division. We have also issued a letter of credit to our current primary insurance company for \$1.1 million, which expires on December 2, 2014, as security to satisfy the deductibles under our various insurance policies. As a result of a change in our primary insurance company in 2010, we renewed and decreased the letter of credit for \$304,000 to our former primary insurance company, which will expire on June 1, 2015. There have been no draws on these letters of credit as of September 30, 2014. We do not anticipate that the letters of credit will be drawn upon by the counterparties, and we expect that the letters of credit will be renewed to the extent necessary in the future.

We provided a letter of credit for \$2.3 million to TETLP related to the precedent agreement and firm transportation service agreement between our Delaware and Maryland divisions.

On July 25, 2014, we provided a letter to the Florida PSC guaranteeing potential refunds from interim rates to be charged by our Florida electric operation (see Note 4, Rates and Other Regulatory Activities, for further details on the Florida electric rate case). This guarantee expired in October 2014 upon approval of the permanent rate increase by the Florida PSC and determination that no refunds from interim rates were required.

### Tax-related Contingencies

We are subject to various audits and reviews by the federal, state, local and other governmental authorities regarding income taxes and taxes other than income. As of September 30, 2014, we maintained a liability of \$300,000 related to unrecognized income tax benefits and \$848,000 related to contingencies for taxes other than income. As of December 31, 2013, we maintained a liability of \$300,000 related to unrecognized income tax benefits and \$1.0 million related to contingencies for taxes other than income.

### Other

We are involved in certain other legal actions and claims arising in the normal course of business. We are also involved in certain legal and administrative proceedings before various governmental agencies concerning rates. In the opinion of management, the ultimate disposition of these proceedings will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## 7. Segment Information

We use the management approach to identify operating segments. We organize our business around differences in regulatory environment and/or products or services, and the operating results of each segment are regularly reviewed by the chief operating decision maker (our Chief Executive Officer) in order to make decisions about resources and to assess performance. The segments are evaluated based on their pre-tax operating income. Our operations comprise three operating segments:

**Regulated Energy.** The Regulated Energy segment includes natural gas distribution, natural gas transmission and electric distribution operations. All operations in this segment are regulated, as to their rates and services, by the PSC having jurisdiction in each operating territory or by the FERC in the case of Eastern Shore.

**Unregulated Energy.** The Unregulated Energy segment includes propane distribution and wholesale marketing operations, and natural gas marketing operations, which are unregulated as to their rates and services. Also included in this segment are other unregulated energy services, such as energy-related merchandise sales and heating, ventilation and air conditioning, plumbing and electrical services.

**Other.** At September 30, 2014, our "Other" segment consisted primarily of BravePoint, our advanced information services subsidiary. Also included in this segment are our unregulated subsidiaries that own real estate leased to Chesapeake and certain corporate costs not allocated to other operations. On October 1, 2014, we sold BravePoint (see Note 3, Acquisitions and Disposition, for further details).

Table of Contents

The following table presents financial information about our reportable segments:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
(in thousands)				
Operating Revenues, Unaffiliated Customers				
Regulated Energy	\$59,086	\$55,387	\$222,308	\$191,666
Unregulated Energy	27,041	26,103	141,215	115,367
Other	5,492	5,055	14,931	14,386
Total operating revenues, unaffiliated customers	\$91,619	\$86,545	\$378,454	\$321,419
Intersegment Revenues <sup>(1)</sup>				
Regulated Energy	\$270	\$293	\$860	\$797
Unregulated Energy	30	2,159	150	3,911
Other	258	274	760	743
Total intersegment revenues	\$558	\$2,726	\$1,770	\$5,451
Operating Income				
Regulated Energy	\$9,202	\$10,243	\$41,004	\$36,169
Unregulated Energy	(1,972)	(1,803)	8,843	8,013
Other and eliminations	562	280	25	240
Total operating income	7,792	8,720	49,872	44,422
Other income (loss), net of other expenses	(32)	101	380	413
Interest	2,495	2,026	6,954	6,114
Income before Income Taxes	5,265	6,795	43,298	38,721
Income taxes	2,085	2,916	17,303	15,617
Net Income	\$3,180	\$3,879	\$25,995	\$23,104

(1) All significant intersegment revenues are billed at market rates and have been eliminated from consolidated operating revenues.

(in thousands)	September 30,	December 31,
	2014	2013
Identifiable Assets		
Regulated energy	\$744,142	\$708,950
Unregulated energy	75,973	100,585
Other	27,435	27,987
Total identifiable assets	\$847,550	\$837,522

Our operations are almost entirely domestic. BravePoint had infrequent transactions in foreign countries, which were denominated and paid primarily in U.S. dollars. These transactions were immaterial to the consolidated revenues.

Table of Contents

## 8. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Defined benefit pension and postretirement plan items and unrealized gains (losses) of our propane swap agreements, designated as commodity contracts cash flow hedges, are the components of our accumulated comprehensive income (loss). The following tables present the changes in the balance of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss), net of related tax effects, for each component of other comprehensive income for the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013.

	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plan Items	Commodity Contracts Cash Flow Hedges	Total
(in thousands)			
As of December 31, 2013	\$ (2,533	) \$—	\$ (2,533 )
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	—	(28	) (28 )
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	92	—	92
Net current-period other comprehensive income (loss)	92	(28	) 64
As of September 30, 2014	\$ (2,441	) \$ (28	) \$ (2,469 )

	Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plan Items	Commodity Contracts Cash Flow Hedges	Total
(in thousands)			
As of December 31, 2012	\$ (5,062	) \$—	\$ (5,062 )
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications	(6	) —	(6 )
Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss	165	—	165
Net current-period other comprehensive income	159	—	159
As of September 30, 2013	\$ (4,903	) \$—	\$ (4,903 )

The following table presents amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013. The only such amounts for those periods were defined benefit pension and postretirement plan items, which had not occurred in those periods. Deferred gains or losses for our commodity contracts cash flow hedges are recognized in earnings upon settlement.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2014	2013	2014	2013
(in thousands)				
Amortization of defined benefit pension and postretirement plan items:				
Prior service cost <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 15	\$ 15	\$ 44	\$ 45
Net loss <sup>(1)</sup>	(66	) (107	) (198	) (320
Total before income taxes	(51	) (92	) (154	) (275
Income tax benefit	21	37	62	110
Net of tax	\$ (30	) \$ (55	) \$ (92	) \$ (165



(1) These amounts are included in the computation of net periodic costs (benefits). See Note 9, Employee Benefit Plans, for additional details.

- 17

---

Table of Contents

Amortization of defined benefit pension and postretirement plan items is included in operations expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income. The income tax benefit is included in income tax expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

## 9. Employee Benefit Plans

Net periodic benefit costs for our pension and post-retirement benefits plans for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013 are set forth in the following tables:

	Chesapeake Pension Plan		FPU Pension Plan		Chesapeake SERP		Chesapeake Postretirement Plan		FPU Medical Plan	
	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
For the Three Months Ended September 30, (in thousands)										
Interest cost	\$107	\$102	\$647	\$594	\$23	\$21	\$13	\$12	\$17	\$16
Expected return on plan assets	(133 )	(126 )	(773 )	(719 )	—	—	—	—	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost	—	—	—	—	5	5	(19 )	(19 )	—	—
Amortization of net loss	37	57	—	81	12	16	16	18	—	—
Net periodic cost (benefit)	11	33	(126 )	(44 )	40	42	10	11	17	16
Amortization of pre-merger regulatory asset	—	—	191	191	—	—	—	—	2	2
Total periodic cost	\$11	\$33	\$65	\$147	\$40	\$42	\$10	\$11	\$19	\$18
	Chesapeake Pension Plan		FPU Pension Plan		Chesapeake SERP		Chesapeake Postretirement Plan		FPU Medical Plan	
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, (in thousands)	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013	2014	2013
Interest cost	\$320	\$307	\$1,941	\$1,782	\$69	\$62	\$39	\$36	\$50	\$47
Expected return on plan assets	(398 )	(378 )	(2,318 )	(2,156 )	—	—	—	—	—	—
Amortization of prior service cost	—	(1 )	—	—	14	14	(58 )	(58 )	—	—
Amortization of net loss	112	171	—	243	36	48	50	55	—	—
Net periodic cost (benefit)	34	99	(377 )	(131 )	119	124	31	33	50	47
Amortization of pre-merger regulatory asset	—	—	571	571	—	—	—	—	6	6
Total periodic cost	\$34	\$99	\$194	\$440	\$119	\$124	\$31	\$33	\$56	\$53

We expect to record pension and postretirement benefit costs of approximately \$578,000 for 2014. Included in these costs is \$769,000 related to continued amortization of the FPU pension regulatory asset, which represents the portion attributable to FPU's regulated energy operations for the changes in funded status that occurred but were not recognized as part of net periodic benefit costs prior to the merger. This was deferred as a regulatory asset by FPU prior to the merger to be recovered through rates pursuant to a previous order by the Florida PSC. The unamortized balance of this regulatory asset was \$3.8 million and \$4.4 million at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. The amortization included in pension expense is being offset by a net periodic benefit of \$191,000, which will reduce our total expected benefit costs to \$578,000.

Pursuant to a Florida PSC order, FPU continues to record as a regulatory asset a portion of the unrecognized pension and postretirement benefit costs related to its regulated operations after the merger. The portion of the unrecognized

pension and postretirement benefit costs related to FPU's unregulated operations and Chesapeake's operations is recorded to accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). The following table presents the amounts included in the regulatory asset and accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) that were recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

Table of Contents

For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2014	Chesapeake Pension Plan	FPU Pension Plan	Chesapeake SERP	Chesapeake Postretirement Plan	FPU Medical Plan	Total
(in thousands)						
Prior service cost (credit)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5	\$ (19 )	\$ —	(14 )
Net loss	37	—	12	16	—	65
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost	\$ 37	\$ —	\$ 17	\$ (3 )	\$ —	\$ 51
Recognized from accumulated other comprehensive loss <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 37	\$ —	\$ 17	\$ (3 )	\$ —	\$ 51
Recognized from regulatory asset	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 37	\$ —	\$ 17	\$ (3 )	\$ —	\$ 51
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014	Chesapeake Pension Plan	FPU Pension Plan	Chesapeake SERP	Chesapeake Postretirement Plan	FPU Medical Plan	Total
(in thousands)						
Prior service cost (credit)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 14	\$ (58 )	\$ —	(44 )
Net loss	112	—	36	50	—	198
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost	\$ 112	\$ —	\$ 50	\$ (8 )	\$ —	\$ 154
Recognized from accumulated other comprehensive loss <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 112	\$ —	\$ 50	\$ (8 )	\$ —	\$ 154
Recognized from regulatory asset	—	—	—	—	—	—
Total	\$ 112	\$ —	\$ 50	\$ (8 )	\$ —	\$ 154
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2013	Chesapeake Pension Plan	FPU Pension Plan	Chesapeake SERP	Chesapeake Postretirement Plan	FPU Medical Plan	Total
(in thousands)						
Prior service cost (credit)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 5	\$ (19 )	\$ —	(14 )
Net loss	57	81	16	18	—	172
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost	\$ 57	\$ 81	\$ 21	\$ (1 )	\$ —	\$ 158
Recognized from accumulated other comprehensive loss <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 57	\$ 15	\$ 21	\$ (1 )	\$ —	\$ 92
Recognized from regulatory asset	—	66	—	—	—	66
Total	\$ 57	\$ 81	\$ 21	\$ (1 )	\$ —	\$ 158
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	Chesapeake Pension Plan	FPU Pension Plan	Chesapeake SERP	Chesapeake Postretirement Plan	FPU Medical Plan	Total
(in thousands)						
Prior service cost (credit)	\$ (1 )	\$ —	\$ 14	\$ (58 )	\$ —	(45 )
Net loss	171	243	48	55	—	517
Total recognized in net periodic benefit cost	\$ 170	\$ 243	\$ 62	\$ (3 )	\$ —	\$ 472
	\$ 170	\$ 46	\$ 62	\$ (3 )	\$ —	\$ 275

Recognized from accumulated other  
comprehensive loss <sup>(1)</sup>

Recognized from regulatory asset	—	197	—	—	—	197
Total	\$ 170	\$243	\$ 62	\$ (3	) \$—	\$472

<sup>(1)</sup> See Note 8, Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss).

Table of Contents

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, we contributed \$308,000 and \$529,000, respectively, to the Chesapeake Pension Plan and \$1.4 million and \$2.0 million, respectively, to the FPU Pension Plan. We expect to contribute a total of \$709,000 and \$2.6 million to the Chesapeake Pension Plan and FPU Pension Plan, respectively, during 2014, which represent the minimum contribution payments required during the year.

The Chesapeake SERP, the Chesapeake Postretirement Plan and the FPU Medical Plan are unfunded and are expected to be paid out of our general funds. Cash benefits paid under the Chesapeake SERP for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, were \$22,000 and \$67,000, respectively. We expect to pay total cash benefits of approximately \$88,000 under the Chesapeake Pension SERP in 2014. Cash benefits paid for the Chesapeake Postretirement Plan, primarily for medical claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, were \$12,000 and \$57,000, respectively. We have estimated that approximately \$95,000 will be paid for such benefits under the Chesapeake Postretirement Plan in 2014. Cash benefits paid for the FPU Medical Plan, primarily for medical claims for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, were \$43,000 and \$187,000, respectively. We estimate that approximately \$245,000 will be paid for such benefits under the FPU Medical Plan in 2014.

## 10. Investments

The investment balances at September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2013, consist of the Rabbi Trust(s) associated with deferred compensation plan(s). We classify these investments as trading securities and report them at their fair value. For the three months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we recorded a net unrealized loss of \$41,000 and \$259,000, respectively, in other income in the condensed consolidated statements of income related to these investments. For the nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013, we recorded a net unrealized gain of \$111,000 and a net unrealized loss of \$217,000, respectively, in other income in the condensed consolidated statements of income related to these investments. We also have recorded an associated liability, which is included in other pension and benefit costs in the condensed consolidated balance sheets. This liability is adjusted each month for the gains and losses incurred by the Rabbi Trusts.

## 11. Share-Based Compensation

Since May 2, 2013, our non-employee directors and key employees have been granted share-based awards through our SICP. We record these share-based awards as compensation costs over the respective service period for which services are received in exchange for an award of equity or equity-based compensation. The compensation cost is based primarily on the fair value of the shares awarded, using the estimated fair value of each share on the date it was granted and the number of shares to be issued at the end of the service period.

The table below presents the amounts included in net income related to share-based compensation expense for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 and 2013:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2014	2013	September 30, 2014	2013
(in thousands)				
Awards to non-employee directors	\$ 137	\$ 124	\$ 394	\$ 354
Awards to key employees	317	261	1,125	892
Total compensation expense	454	385	1,519	1,246
Less: tax benefit	183	155	612	502
Share-Based Compensation amounts included in net income	\$ 271	\$ 230	\$ 907	\$ 744
Non-employee Directors				

Shares granted to non-employee directors are issued in advance of the directors' service periods and are fully vested as of the date of the grant. We record a prepaid expense equal to the fair value of the shares issued and amortize the expense equally over a service period of one year. In May 2014, each of our non-employee directors received an annual retainer of 1,209 shares of common stock under the SICP. A summary of the stock activity for our

non-employee directors during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 is presented below.

- 20

---

Table of Contents

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Grant date Fair Value
Outstanding - December 31, 2013	—	\$—
Granted	13,299	\$41.33
Vested	13,299	\$41.33
Outstanding - September 30, 2014	—	\$—

At September 30, 2014, there was \$321,000 of unrecognized compensation expense related to these awards. This expense will be recognized over the period ending April 30, 2015, which approximates the expected remaining service period of those directors.

## Key Employees

The table below presents the summary of the stock activity for awards to key employees for the nine months ended September 30, 2014:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Fair Value
Outstanding—December 31, 2013	121,142	\$28.20
Granted	41,442	\$39.99
Vested	39,546	\$26.87
Outstanding—September 30, 2014	123,038	\$32.60

In January and March 2014, the Board of Directors granted awards of 41,442 shares to key employees under the SICP. The awards of 34,800 shares granted in January 2014 are multi-year awards that will vest at the end of the three-year service period ending December 31, 2016. Another award of 6,642 shares granted in March 2014 to one key employee is a multi-year award that will vest at the end of the service period ending December 31, 2015. All of these stock awards are earned based upon the successful achievement of long-term goals, growth and financial results, which comprise both market-based and performance-based conditions or targets. The fair value of each performance-based condition or target is equal to the market price of our common stock on the date each award is granted. For the market-based conditions, we used the Black-Scholes pricing model to estimate the fair value of each market-based award granted.

At September 30, 2014, the aggregate intrinsic value of the SICP awards granted to key employees was \$5.1 million.

## 12. Derivative Instruments

We use derivative and non-derivative contracts to engage in trading activities and manage risks related to obtaining adequate supplies and the price fluctuations of natural gas, electricity and propane. Our natural gas, electric and propane distribution operations have entered into agreements with suppliers to purchase natural gas, electricity and propane for resale to their customers. Purchases under these contracts typically either do not meet the definition of derivatives or are considered “normal purchases and sales” and are accounted for on an accrual basis. Our propane distribution operation may also enter into fair value hedges of its inventory or cash flow hedges of its future purchase commitments in order to mitigate the impact of wholesale price fluctuations. As of September 30, 2014, our natural gas and electric distribution operations did not have any outstanding derivative contracts.

In August 2014, Sharp entered into a call option to protect against an increase in propane prices associated with 630,000 gallons we expect to purchase at market-based prices to supply the demands of our propane price cap program customers. The retail price that we can charge to those customers during the upcoming heating season is capped at a pre-determined level. The call option is exercised if the propane prices rise above the strike price of \$1.0875 per gallon in December 2014 through February of 2015. We will receive the difference between the market price and the strike price during those months. We paid \$52,000 to purchase the call option, and we accounted for it as



a cash flow hedge. As of September 30, 2014, the call option had a fair value of \$35,000. The change in fair value of the call option is recorded as unrealized gain/loss in other comprehensive income (loss).

In May 2014, Sharp entered into swap agreements to mitigate the risk of fluctuations in wholesale propane index prices associated with 630,000 gallons expected to be purchased for the upcoming heating season. Under these swap agreements,

- 21

---

Table of Contents

Sharp receives the difference between the index prices (Mont Belvieu prices in December 2014 through February 2015) and the swap prices of \$1.1350, \$1.0975 and \$1.0475 per gallon for each swap agreement, to the extent the index prices exceed the swap prices. If the index prices are lower than the swap prices, Sharp will pay the difference. These swap agreements essentially fix the price of those 630,000 gallons purchased for the upcoming heating season. We accounted for them as cash flow hedges, and there is no ineffective portion of these hedges. As of September 30, 2014, two swap agreements had a fair value of \$60,000 of liability and one swap agreement had a fair value of \$13,000 of asset. The change in fair value of the swap agreements is recorded as unrealized gain/loss in other comprehensive income (loss).

In May 2014, Sharp also entered into put options to protect against declines in propane prices and related potential inventory losses associated with 630,000 gallons purchased for the propane price cap program in the upcoming heating season. The put options are exercised if propane prices fall below the strike prices of \$0.9475, \$0.9975 and \$1.0350 per gallon, for each option agreement in December 2014 through February 2015, respectively. We will receive the difference between the market price and the strike prices during those months. We paid \$128,000 to purchase the put options. We accounted for them as fair value hedges and there is no ineffective portion of these hedges. As of September 30, 2014, the put options had a fair value of \$56,000. The change in fair value of the put options effectively reduced our propane inventory balance.

In June 2013, Sharp entered into put options to protect against declines in propane prices and related potential inventory losses associated with 1.3 million gallons purchased for the propane price cap program in the upcoming heating season. If exercised, we would have received the difference between the market price and the strike price if propane prices had fallen below the strike prices of \$0.830 per gallon in December 2013 through February of 2014, and \$0.860 per gallon in January through March 2014. We accounted for those options as fair value hedges, and there was no ineffective portion of those hedges. We paid \$120,000 to purchase the put options, which expired without exercise as the market prices exceeded the strike prices.

In May 2013, Sharp entered into a call option to protect against an increase in propane prices associated with 630,000 gallons we expected to purchase at market-based prices to supply the demands of our propane price cap program customers. The program capped the retail price that we could charge to those customers during the upcoming heating season at a pre-determined level. The call option was exercised because propane prices rose above the strike price of \$0.975 per gallon in January through March of 2014. We accounted for this call option as a derivative instrument on a mark-to-market basis with any change in its fair value being reflected in current period earnings. We paid \$72,000 to purchase the call option. In January through March of 2014, we received \$209,000, representing the difference between the market price and the strike price during those months.

Xeron engages in trading activities using forward and futures contracts. These contracts are considered derivatives and have been accounted for using the mark-to-market method of accounting. Under this method, the trading contracts are recorded at fair value, and the changes in fair value of those contracts are recognized as unrealized gains or losses in the statement of income for the period of change. As of September 30, 2014, we had the following outstanding trading contracts, which we accounted for as derivatives:

	Quantity in Gallons	Estimated Market Prices	Weighted Average Contract Prices
At September 30, 2014			
Forward Contracts			
Sale	1,260,000	\$1.0838 - \$1.1400	\$