NATHANS FAMOUS INC
Form 10-K
June 10, 2016
UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

[X] ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended March 27, 2016

or

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 or 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _________to__________

Commission File No. 0-3189

NATHAN'S FAMOUS, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	11-3166443
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Jericho Plaza, Jericho, New York	11753
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:	516-338-8500

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Common Stock – par value $.01	Nasdaq Global Market
(Title of class)	Name of each exchange on which registered

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes __ No X

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes __ No X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No __

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes X No __

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.[X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filer __ Accelerated filer X
Non-accelerated filer __ Smaller reporting company __
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes __ No X

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter – September 27, 2015 - was approximately $120,986,000, which value, solely for the purposes of this calculation excludes shares held by the registrant's officers and directors. Such exclusion shall not be deemed a determination by registrant that all such individuals are, in fact, affiliates of the registrant.

As of June 6, 2016, there were outstanding 4,182,699 shares of Common Stock, par value $.01 per share.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE– The information required by Part III, Items 10, 11, 12 and 13 is incorporated by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement for the 2016 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I		Page
Item 1	Business.	4
Item 1A	Risk Factors.	20
Item 1B	Unresolved Staff Comments.	35
Item 2	Properties.	36
Item 3	Legal Proceedings.	36
Item 4	Mine Safety Disclosures.	36
PART II		
Item 5	Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.	37
Item 6	Selected Financial Data.	40
Item 7	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	43
Item 7A	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	58
Item 8	Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.	59
Item 9	Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.	59
Item 9A	Controls and Procedures.	60
Item 9B	Other Information.	60
PART III		
Item 10.	Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.	62
Item 11.	Executive Compensation.	62
Item 12.	Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.	62
Item 13.	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.	62
Item 14.	Principal Accountant Fees and Services.	63
PART IV		
Item 15.	Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.	64
Signatures		
Index to Financial Statements and Financial Statement Schedule		F-1

PART I

Forward-Looking Statements

This Form 10-K contains “forward-looking statements” that involve risks and uncertainties. You can identify forward-looking statements because they contain words such as “believes”, “expects”, “projects”, “may”, “would”, “should”, “ “approximately”, “intends”, “plans”, “estimates”, “anticipates” or similar expressions that relate to our strategy, plans or intentions. All statements we make relating to our estimated and projected earnings, margins, costs, expenditures, cash flows, growth rates and financial results or to our expectations regarding future industry trends are forward-looking statements. In addition, we, through our senior management, from time to time make forward-looking public statements concerning our expected future operations and performance and other developments. These forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may change at any time, and, therefore, our actual results may differ materially from those that we expected. We derive many of our forward-looking statements from our operating budgets and forecasts, which are based upon many detailed assumptions. While we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, we caution that it is very difficult to predict the impact of known factors, and, of course, it is impossible for us to anticipate all factors that could affect our actual results. All forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K are based upon information available to us on the date of this Form 10-K.

Item 1. **Business.**

As used herein, unless we otherwise specify, the terms “we,” “us,” “our,” “Nathan’s,” “Nathan’s Famous” and the “Company” mean Nathan’s Famous, Inc. and its subsidiaries, including NF Treacher’s Corp. References to the fiscal 2016 period mean the fiscal year ended March 27, 2016 and references to the fiscal 2015 period mean the fiscal year ended March 29, 2015. In addition, references to the Notes or the Senior Secured Notes refer to the $135,000,000 10.000% Senior Secured Notes due 2020.

We are a leading branded licensor, wholesaler and retailer of products marketed under our Nathan’s Famous brand, including our popular Nathan’s World Famous Beef Hot Dogs. What began as a nickel hot dog stand on Coney Island in 1916 has evolved into a highly recognized brand throughout the United States and the world. Our innovative business model seeks to maximize the points of distribution for and the consumption of Nathan’s World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French fries and our other products across a wide-range of grocery retail and foodservice formats. Our products are currently marketed for sale in approximately 55,000 locations, including supermarkets, mass merchandisers and club stores, selected foodservice locations and our Company-owned and franchised restaurants throughout the United States and in fifteen**,** foreign territories and countries. The Company considers itself to be in the foodservice industry, and has pursued co-branding initiatives. Our major channels of distribution are as follows:

Operating quick-service restaurants featuring Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French fries, and a variety of other menu offerings, which operate under the name "Nathan's Famous," the name first used at our original Coney Island restaurant which opened in 1916.

Our franchised restaurant operations are comprised predominately of our *Nathan's Famous* concept, which features a menu consisting of *Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs*, crinkle-cut French fries and beverages as well as other items. We earn royalties on restaurant sales at these franchise locations. In addition to our traditional franchised restaurants, we enable approved foodservice operators to offer a *Nathan's Famous* menu of *Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs*, crinkle-cut French fries, proprietary toppings and a limited menu of other Nathan's products through our Branded Menu Program ("BMP"). We earn royalties on Nathan's products purchased by our BMP franchise operators.

The Branded Product Program provides foodservice operators in a variety of venues the opportunity to capitalize on our *Nathan's Famous* brand by marketing and selling certain *Nathan's Famous* hot dog products. We believe that the program has broad appeal to foodservice operators due to its flexibility to deliver our products to a wide variety of distribution channels. In conjunction with the program, operators are granted a limited use of the *Nathan's Famous* trademark, as well as Nathan's point of purchase materials. Unlike our licensing and franchise programs, we do not generate revenue from royalties, but rather by selling our hot dog products either directly to foodservice operators or to various foodservice distributors who resell the products to foodservice operators.

Our licensing program contracts with certain third parties to manufacture, distribute, market and sell a broad variety of Nathan's Famous branded products including our hot dogs, sausage and corned beef products, frozen French fries and additional products through retail grocery channels and club stores throughout the United States. As of March 27, 2016, packaged Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs continued to be sold in approximately 42,000 supermarkets, mass merchandisers and club stores including Kroger, Publix, ShopRite, Walmart, Target, Sam's Club, Costco and BJ's Wholesale Club located in 50 states. We earn revenue through royalties on products sold by our licensees.

We also own, through our subsidiary NF Treacher's Corp., the Arthur Treacher's brand and trademarks. We use the Arthur Treacher's brand, products and trademarks as a branded seafood menu-line extension for inclusion in certain Nathan's Famous restaurants. During fiscal 2014, we entered into our first multi-unit Branded Menu Program agreement with a qualified foodservice operator for inclusion in non-Nathan's facilities and may seek to further market this program in the future.

As we celebrate our centennial anniversary, our brand is widely recognized by virtue of our long history and broad geographic footprint, which allows us to enjoy high consumer awareness in the United States and abroad and allows us the ability to grow in markets and channels where the brand is known but has not yet achieved optimal market penetration. We believe that our highly visible brand and reputation for high quality products have allowed us to expand our food offerings beyond our signature hot dogs and command a price premium across our portfolio of products. Over time, we have expanded menu options so that our Company-owned restaurants and franchisees can supplement their core menu of Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French fries and beverages with a variety of other quality menu choices. We have also developed a portfolio of licensed products for sale at retail and grocery locations. We seek to maintain the same quality standard with each of our supplemental menu items and licensed products as we do with our core hot dog and French fries menu. We intend to continue to leverage our highly recognized global brand and iconic products to introduce new products into our existing distribution network, open new points of distribution and grow our overall sales. We believe that there is great potential to increase our sales by converting existing sales of non-branded products to Nathan's branded products throughout the foodservice industry.

In recent years, our primary focus has been to expand the market penetration of the Nathan's Famous brand. Specifically, we have sought to increase the number of points of brand representation and grow product sales throughout our various channels of distribution. In this regard, we have concentrated our efforts on:

expanding the number of foodservice locations and distributors participating in the Nathan's Famous Branded Product Program;

expanding the number of domestic franchised Nathan's Famous restaurant units through the opening of new and innovative types of locations, including the Branded Menu Program, as well as continuing to develop master franchising programs in foreign countries;

expanding our licensing programs for packaged Nathan’s Famous products through new product introductions and geographic expansion; and

continuing to profitably operate our iconic Company-owned restaurants, and opportunistically invest in Company-owned restaurant expansion.

As a result of our efforts to expand the Nathan’s Famous brand, as of March 27, 2016:

our Nathan’s Famous restaurant system consisted of 259 franchised units and five Company-owned units (including one seasonal unit) located in 21 states and 11 foreign countries;

our Nathan’s Famous Branded Product Program distributes our Nathan’s World Famous Beef Hot Dogs throughout all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Canada, the US Virgin Islands, Guam and Mexico;

Nathan’s Famous packaged hot dogs and other products continued to be offered for sale within approximately 42,000 supermarkets and club stores in 50 states.

Our revenues are generated primarily from sales of products sold through our Branded Product Program and within our Company-owned restaurants, as well as royalties from our retail licensing activities and the royalties, fees and other sums we earn from our restaurant franchising activities.

We plan to expand the scope and market penetration of our Branded Product and Branded Menu Programs, further develop the restaurant operations of existing Nathan's Famous franchised and Company-owned outlets, open new Nathan's Famous franchised outlets in traditional or captive market environments and expand the Nathan's Famous retail licensing programs. We also plan to further expand our international presence through our franchise, and retail licensing programs. We may also selectively consider opening new Company-owned restaurants.

We were incorporated in Delaware on July 10, 1992 under the name "Nathan's Famous Holding Corporation" to act as the parent of a Delaware corporation then-known as Nathan's Famous, Inc. On December 15, 1992, we changed our name to Nathan's Famous, Inc., and our Delaware subsidiary changed its name to Nathan's Famous Operating Corp. The Delaware subsidiary was organized in October 1989 in connection with its re-incorporation in Delaware from that of a New York corporation named "Nathan's Famous, Inc." The New York Nathan's was incorporated on July 10, 1925, as a successor to the sole-proprietorship that opened the first Nathan's restaurant in Coney Island in 1916. On July 23, 1987, Equicor Group, Ltd. was merged with and into the New York Nathan's in a "going private" transaction. The New York Nathan's, the Delaware subsidiary and Equicor may all be deemed to be our predecessors.

Restaurant Operations

Currently, our restaurant operations are comprised predominantly of Nathan's Famous restaurants, which have been co-branded with Arthur Treacher's and Kenny Rogers Roasters menu items in 47 and 19 units, respectively.

Nathan's Famous Concept and Menus

Our Nathan's Famous concept is scalable, offering a wide range of facility designs and sizes, suitable to a vast variety of locations, featuring a core menu consisting of Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French fries and beverages. Nathan's menu is designed to take advantage of site-specific market opportunities by adding complementary food items to the core menu. The Nathan's concept is suitable to stand-alone or can be co-branded with other nationally recognized brands.

Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs are flavored with its secret blend of spices provided by Ida Handwerker in 1916, which historically have distinguished Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs. Our hot dogs are prepared and

served in accordance with procedures which have not varied significantly since our inception almost 100 years ago in our Company-owned and franchised restaurants. Our signature crinkle-cut French fries, cooked in 100% trans-fat-free oil, are featured at each Nathan's restaurant. We believe the majority of sales in our Company-owned units consist of Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French fries and beverages.

Individual Nathan's restaurants supplement their core menu of Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French fries and beverages with a variety of other quality menu choices including: char-grilled hamburgers, crispy chicken tenders, crispy chicken and char-grilled chicken sandwiches, Philly cheese steaks, selected seafood items, a breakfast menu and assorted desserts and snacks. We use the Arthur Treacher's brand, products and trademarks as a branded seafood menu-line extension for inclusion in certain Nathan's Famous restaurants. While the number of supplemental menu items carried varies with the size of the unit, the specific supplemental menus chosen are tailored to local food preferences and market conditions. Each supplemental menu option consists of a number of variations; for example, the hamburger menu may include char-grilled bacon cheeseburgers, double-burgers and super cheeseburgers. We seek to maintain the same quality standard with each of Nathan's supplemental menus as we do with Nathans' core hot dog and French fries menu. Thus, for example, hamburgers and sandwiches are prepared to order and not pre-wrapped or kept warm under lights. Nathan's also has a "Kids Meal" program in which various menu alternatives are combined with toys designed to appeal to the children's market. Soft drinks, iced tea, coffee and old fashioned lemonade and orangeade are also offered. The Company continually evaluates new products. In the course of its evaluations, the Company seeks to respond to changing consumer trends, including a trend toward perceived "healthier" products. In addition to its well-established, signature products, the Company offers for sale in many of its restaurants up to seven chicken products, six fish products, and five salad and soup products.

Nathan's restaurant designs are available in a range of sizes from 300 to 4,000 square feet. We have also developed various Nathan's carts, kiosks, mobile food carts, trucks and modular units. Our smaller units may not have customer seating areas, although they may often share seating areas with other fast food outlets in food court settings. Other units generally provide seating for 45 to 125 customers. Carts, trucks, kiosks and modular units generally carry only the core menu. This menu is supplemented by a number of other menu selections in our other restaurant types.

We believe that Nathan's carts, kiosks, modular units and food court designs are particularly well-suited for placement in non-traditional sites, such as airports, travel plazas, stadiums, schools, convenience stores, entertainment facilities, military facilities, business and industry foodservice, within larger retail operations and other captive markets. Many of these settings may also be appropriate for our expanding Branded Menu Program or Branded Product Program. All of these units feature the Nathan's logo and utilize a contemporary design.

Arthur Treacher's Fish-n-Chips Concept and Menu

Arthur Treacher's Fish-n-Chips, Inc. was originally founded in 1969. Arthur Treacher's main product is its "Original Fish-n-Chips," consisting of fish fillets coated with a special batter prepared under a proprietary formula, deep-fried golden brown, and served with English-style chips and corn meal "hush puppies." We own all trademarks and other intellectual property relating to Arthur Treacher's and have granted a limited license to the seller for the use of the Arthur Treacher's intellectual property. Full menu restaurants emphasize the preparation and sale of batter-dipped fried seafood and chicken dishes served in a quick-service environment. We use the Arthur Treacher's brand, products and trademarks as a branded seafood menu-line extension for inclusion in certain Nathan's Famous restaurants. During fiscal 2014, we entered into our first multi-unit Branded Menu Program agreement with a qualified foodservice operator for inclusion in non-Nathan's facilities and may seek to further market this program in the future.

Kenny Rogers Roasters

We have the right to use the Kenny Rogers Roasters trademarks for the continued sale of the Kenny Rogers Roasters products in the Nathan's Famous restaurants existing at April 23, 2008, where the Kenny Rogers products had already been introduced.

Franchise Operations

At March 27, 2016, our Nathan's franchise system, including our Branded Menu Program, consisted of 259 units operating in 21 states and 11 foreign countries.

Our franchise system includes among its 146 franchisees such well-known companies as HMS Host, Compass Group USA, Inc., Gourmet Dining Services, Inc., CulinArt, National Amusements, Inc., Hershey Entertainment & Resorts Company, Six Flags Theme Parks and Bruster’s Real Ice Cream. We continue to market our franchising programs to larger, experienced and successful operators with the financial and business capability to develop multiple franchise units, as well as to individual owner-operators with evidence of restaurant management experience, net worth and sufficient capital.

During our fiscal year ended March 27, 2016, no single franchisee accounted for over 10% of our consolidated revenue. At March 27, 2016, HMS Host operated 14 franchised outlets, including 3 units at airports, 10 units within highway travel plazas and one unit within a mall. Additionally, at March 27, 2016, HMS Host operated 48 locations featuring Nathan’s products pursuant to our Branded Product Program. At March 27, 2016, there were also nine Kmart locations and 25 Bruster’s Real Ice Cream shops selling Nathan’s products under our Branded Menu Program.

Nathan's Standard Franchise Program

Franchisees are required to execute a standard franchise agreement prior to opening each Nathan's Famous unit. Our current standard Nathan's Famous franchise agreement provides for, among other things, a one-time $30,000 franchise fee payable upon execution of the agreement, a monthly royalty payment based on 5.5% of restaurant sales and the expenditure of up to 2.0% of restaurant sales on advertising. We may offer alternatives to the standard franchise agreement, having to do with franchise royalties, fees or advertising requirements. The initial term of the typical franchise agreement is 20 years, with a 15-year renewal option by the franchisee, subject to conditions contained in the franchise agreement.

Franchisees are approved on the basis of their business background, evidence of restaurant management experience, net worth and capital available for investment in relation to the proposed scope of the development agreement.

We provide numerous support services to our Nathan's Famous franchisees. We assist in and approve all site selections. Thereafter, we provide architectural plans suitable for restaurants of varying sizes and configurations for use in food court, in-line and free standing locations. We also assist in establishing building design specifications, reviewing construction compliance, equipping the restaurant and providing appropriate menus to coordinate with the restaurant design and location selected by the franchisee. We typically do not sell food, equipment or supplies to our standard franchisees.

We offer various management-training courses for management personnel of Company-owned and franchised Nathan's Famous restaurants. A restaurant manager from each restaurant must successfully complete our mandated management-training program. We also offer additional operations and general management training courses for all restaurant managers and other managers with supervisory responsibilities. We provide standard manuals to each franchisee covering training and operations, products and equipment and local marketing programs. We also provide ongoing advice and assistance to franchisees. We meet with our franchisees to discuss upcoming marketing events, menu development and other topics, each of which is designed to provide individual restaurant and system-wide benefits.

Franchised restaurants are required to be operated in accordance with uniform operating standards and specifications relating to the selection, quality and preparation of menu items, signage, decor, equipment, uniforms, suppliers, maintenance and cleanliness of premises and customer service. All standards and specifications are developed by us to be applied on a system-wide basis. We regularly monitor franchisee operations and inspect restaurants. Franchisees are required to furnish us with monthly sales or operating reports which assist us in monitoring the franchisee's compliance with its franchise agreement. We make both announced and unannounced inspections of restaurants to ensure that our practices and procedures are followed. We have the right to terminate a franchise if a franchisee does not operate and maintain a restaurant in accordance with the requirements of its franchise agreement, including for non-payment of royalties, sale of unauthorized products, bankruptcy or conviction of a felony. During the fiscal 2016

period, franchisees opened 31 new Nathan’s Famous franchised units in the United States (including 22 Branded Menu Program units), and 25 units internationally.

A franchisee who desires to open multiple units in a specific territory within the United States may enter into an area development agreement under which we would expect to receive an area development fee based upon the number of proposed units which the franchisee is authorized to open. With respect to our international development, we generally grant exclusive territorial rights in foreign countries for the development of Nathan’s units based upon compliance with a predetermined development schedule. Additionally, we may further grant exclusive manufacturing and distribution rights in foreign countries, and we may require an exclusivity fee to be conveyed for such exclusive rights.

Nathan's Branded Menu Program

Our Nathan's Famous Branded Menu Program enables qualified foodservice operators to offer a Nathan's Famous menu of Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French fries, proprietary toppings, and a limited menu of other Nathan's products. Under the Branded Menu Program, the operator may use the Nathan's Famous trademarks on signage and as part of its menu boards. Additionally, the operator may use Nathan's Famous paper goods and point of sale marketing materials. Nathan's also provides architectural and design services, training and operation manuals in conjunction with this program. The operator provides Nathan's with a fee and is required to sign a 10-year agreement. Nathan's does not collect a royalty based on the operator's sales and the operator is not required to report sales to Nathan's as required by the standard franchise arrangements. Instead, the Branded Menu Program operator is required to purchase products from Nathan's approved distributors; we earn our royalties from such purchases.

As of March 27, 2016, the Nathan's Branded Menu Program was comprised of 100 outlets, which included 25 Nathan's Famous Branded Products within Bruster's Real Ice Cream shops, a premium ice cream franchisor headquartered in Western Pennsylvania.

Arthur Treacher's

We are the sole owner of all rights to the Arthur Treacher's brand and the exclusive franchisor of the Arthur Treacher's restaurant system (subject to a limited license granted to PAT Franchise Systems, Inc. ("PFSI") in Indiana, Michigan, Ohio, and Pennsylvania, ("the PFSI Markets".) Pursuant to the license, PFSI has no obligation to pay fees or royalties to us in connection with its use of the Arthur Treacher's intellectual property within the PFSI Markets. As a result of PFSI's failure to satisfy the Development Schedules for each of the territories, all future development rights have reverted to Nathan's.

As of March 27, 2016, Arthur Treacher's, as a co-brand, was included within 47 Nathan's Famous restaurants. Our primary intention was to continue including co-branded Arthur Treacher's operations within our Nathan's Famous restaurants and explore alternative distribution channels for Arthur Treacher's products. As of March 27, 2016, seven locations were operating outside of a Nathan's restaurant. We may seek to expand the opportunity for an Arthur Treacher's Branded Menu Program in the future.

Company-owned Nathan's Restaurant Operations

As of March 27, 2016, we operated five Company-owned Nathan's units, including one seasonal location, in New York. Since 2012, we have invested significantly in our Company-owned restaurants. In March 2012, we relocated our seasonal Coney Island Boardwalk restaurant to a more prominent location. Our Coney Island flagship location was rebuilt and re-opened on May 20, 2013 after suffering severe damage as a result of Superstorm Sandy on October 29, 2012. Our Yonkers location was down-sized, relocated and re-opened on November 18, 2013 pursuant to its new lease, after being closed for renovation since November 2012 and our Oceanside restaurant was also relocated and downsized and re-opened on March 25, 2015, after being closed for approximately three months for development. Four of our Company-owned restaurants range in size from approximately 2,650 square feet to 10,000 square feet and have seating to accommodate between 60 and 125 customers. These restaurants are open seven days a week on a year-round basis and are designed to appeal to consumers of all ages. We have established high standards for food quality, cleanliness, and service at our restaurants and regularly monitor the operations of our restaurants to ensure adherence to these standards.

Three of our Company-owned restaurants have contemporary service areas, seating, signage, and general decor. Our Coney Island restaurant, which first opened in 1916, remains unique in its presentation and operations.

Our Company-owned restaurants typically carry a broader selection of menu items than our franchise restaurants and generally attain sales levels higher than the average of our newer franchise restaurants. The items offered at the Company-owned restaurants, other than the core menu, tend to have lower margins than the core menu.

International Development

As of March 27, 2016, Nathan’s Famous franchisees operated 49 units in 11 foreign countries. During the fiscal 2016 period we opened 25 new units internationally, including our first two units in each of Panama and Australia pursuant to new development agreements. Additionally, we opened 17 units in Russia, 2 units in Malaysia, one unit in Costa Rica and one unit in the Dominican Republic pursuant to existing development agreements. We expect to enter into a termination agreement with our Master Developer in Mexico to close the existing restaurants and reclaim the territorial rights for Mexico City and the entire country.

We will seek to continue granting exclusive territorial rights for franchising and for the manufacturing and distribution rights in foreign countries, and we expect to require that an exclusivity fee be conveyed for these rights. We plan to develop the restaurant franchising system internationally through the use of master franchising agreements based upon individual or combined use of our existing restaurant concepts and for the distribution of Nathan’s products.

Following is a summary of our international operations for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014: See Item 1A-“Risk Factors.”

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Total revenue	**$5,235,000**	$3,430,000	$3,531,000
Gross profit (a)	**$1,655,000**	$1,186,000	$1,765,000

(a) Gross profit represents the difference between revenue and cost of sales.

Location Summary

The following table shows the number of our Company-owned and franchised units in operation at March 27, 2016 and their geographical distribution:

Domestic Locations	Company	Franchise (1)	Total (1)
Arizona	-	1	1
California	-	1	1
Connecticut	-	4	4
Florida	-	24	24
Georgia	-	13	13
Illinois	-	1	1
Kentucky	-	3	3
Maryland	-	3	3
Massachusetts	-	6	6
Missouri	-	1	1
Nevada	-	12	12
New Hampshire	-	1	1
New Jersey	-	31	31
New York	5	79	84
North Carolina	-	2	2
Ohio	-	3	3
Pennsylvania	-	12	12
Rhode Island	-	1	1
South Carolina	-	9	9
Texas	-	2	2
Virginia	-	1	1
Domestic Subtotal	5	210	215

International Locations	Company	Franchise (1)	Total (1)
Australia	-	2	2
Costa Rica	-	4	4
Dominican Republic	-	7	7
Egypt	-	1	1
Jamaica	-	2	2
Kuwait	-	9	9
Malaysia	-	3	3
Mexico	-	2	2
Panama	-	2	2
Russia	-	16	16

Turkey	-	1	1
International Subtotal	-	49	49
Grand Total	5	259	264

(1) Amounts include 100 units operated pursuant to our Nathan's and Arthur Treacher's Branded Menu Programs. Units operating pursuant to our Branded Product Program are excluded.

Branded Product Program

Through the Branded Product Program, Nathan's provides qualified foodservice operators in a variety of venues the opportunity to capitalize on Nathan's valued brand by marketing and selling primarily Nathan's Famous hot dog products. We believe that the program is unique in its flexibility and broad appeal. Hot dogs are offered in a variety of sizes and additional specialty products are available to satisfy consumer needs. In conjunction with the program, the operators are granted a limited use of the Nathan's Famous trademark, as well as Nathan's point of purchase materials. We earn income by selling our products either directly to the end users or to various foodservice distributors who resell the products to specific operators.

As of March 27, 2016, the Branded Product Program distributed product in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, Canada, the U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam and Mexico. During the fiscal 2016 period, we continued to open many new locations offering Nathan's branded products. Today, Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs are being offered in national restaurant chains such as Auntie Anne's and Hot Dog On A Stick, national movie theater chains such as Regal Entertainment and National Amusements, casino hotels such as Foxwoods Casino in Connecticut and convenience store chains such as Race Trac, Holiday Station stores, and the Cinemex movie chain in Mexico. The Branded Products Program also continued its representation in professional sports arenas with Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs now being served in stadiums and arenas that host the New York Yankees, New York Mets, Brooklyn Nets, New York Islanders**,** New Jersey Devils, St. Louis Blues and Dallas Cowboys.

Additionally, our products are offered in numerous other foodservice operations including cafeterias, snack bars and vending machines located in many different types of foodservice outlets and venues, including airports, highway travel plazas, colleges and universities, gas and convenience stores, military installations and Veteran's Administration hospitals throughout the country.

Nathan's expects to continue to seek out and evaluate a variety of alternative environments designed to maximize the value of our Branded Product Program.

Expansion Program

We expect that our retail licensing program will continue to grow centered around our new licensing program with John Morrell & Co. John Morrell brings superior sales and marketing resources to our brand through its national scale, broad distribution platform, strong retail relationships and research and development infrastructure capable of developing and introducing attractive new products. As a result of our partnership with John Morrell, we expect *Nathan's Famous* products to further penetrate the grocery, mass merchandising and club channels by expanding points of distribution in targeted, underpenetrated regions and through the development of new products. John Morrell

expects to leverage this relationship with continued full-scale marketing efforts, both inside and outside of stores, highlighted by exciting customer events and brand representation and support at numerous Hot Dog Eating Contest Qualifying Events. Additionally, John Morrell & Co. will continue its mobile marketing tour throughout the year, whereby merchandising trucks will be making over 200 scheduled stops at supermarkets throughout the country and certain Hot Dog Eating Contests to bring the Nathan's / Coney Island experience to new markets.

We expect to continue the growth of our Branded Product Program through the addition of new points of sale. We believe that the flexible design of the Branded Product Progam makes it well-suited for sales to all segments of the broad foodservice industry. We intend to keep targeting sales to a broad line of food distributors, which we believe compliments our continuing focus on sales to various foodservice retailers. We continue to believe that as consumers look to assure confidence in the quality of the food that they purchase, there is great potential to increase our sales by converting existing sales of non-branded products to Nathan's branded products throughout the foodservice industry.

We will seek to market our franchise restaurant program to large, experienced and successful operators with the financial and business capability to develop multiple franchise units, as well as to individual owner-operators with evidence of restaurant management experience, net worth and sufficient capital.

We also expect to continue opening traditional and Branded Menu Nathan's Famous franchised units individually and on a co-branded basis, expanding product distribution through various means such as branded products and retail licensing arrangements, developing master franchising programs in foreign countries and including our Arthur Treacher's signature products both within our restaurant system and as a separate Branded Menu Program. We may selectively consider opening new Company-owned Nathan's units on an opportunistic basis. Existing Company-owned units are located in the New York metropolitan area, where we have extensive experience in operating restaurants. We may consider new opportunities in both traditional and captive market settings.

We believe that our international development efforts will continue to garner a variety of interest as a result of the unique product distribution opportunities that we offer. Because of the scalability of our concept and menu offerings, we believe that there are also opportunities to co-brand our restaurant concept and/or menu items with other restaurant concepts internationally. We believe that in addition to restaurant franchising, we could further increase revenues by continuing to offer master development agreements to qualified persons or entities allowing for the operation of franchised restaurants, sub-franchising of restaurants to others, licensing the manufacture of our signature products, selling our signature products through supermarkets or other retail venues and further developing our Branded Product Program. Qualified persons or entities must have satisfactory foodservice experience managing multiple units, the appropriate infrastructure and the necessary financial resources to support the anticipated development of the business.

Co-branding

We believe that there is a continuing opportunity for co-branding of our restaurant concept and/or menu items with other restaurant concepts, as well as within our restaurant system as new franchise opportunities are developed. Franchisees that have co-branded a Nathan's Famous restaurant with our other brands received a then-current Uniform Franchise Offering Circular ("UFOC") or Franchise Disclosure Document ("FDD") and executed a participation agreement as a rider to their franchise agreement. We initially implemented our co-branding strategy within the Nathan's Famous restaurant system by adding the Arthur Treacher's and Kenny Rogers Roasters brands into Nathan's Famous restaurants. Upon the sale of Kenny Rogers Roasters in April 2008, we discontinued co-branding that brand within new restaurants in the Nathan's Famous system. We have continued our co-branding effort with the Arthur Treacher's brand with new and existing Nathan's Famous franchisees and expect to do so in the future. We may seek to further explore opportunities to co-brand the Arthur Treacher's brand to other multi-unit foodservice operators in the future. At March 27, 2016, the Arthur Treacher's brand was being sold within 47 Nathan's restaurants and the Kenny Rogers Roasters brand was being sold within 19 Nathan's restaurants. We have maintained the right to sell Kenny Rogers products in our Nathan's locations that were existing prior to May 2008 and to receive the revenue from those sales without having to pay royalties.

We believe that our diverse brand offerings complement each other, which has enabled us to market franchises of co-branded units and continue co-branding within our franchised units. We also believe that our various restaurants' products provide us with strong lunch and dinner day-parts as well as snacking occasions.

We believe that a multi-branded restaurant concept offering strong lunch and dinner day-parts is appealing to both consumers and potential franchisees. Such restaurants are designed to allow the operator to increase sales and leverage the cost of real estate and other fixed costs to provide superior investment returns as compared to many restaurants that are single branded. We have successfully co-branded Nathan's with numerous business partners that were not Nathan's franchisees because of our adaptability of our menu, to be limited or extensive, and the uniqueness of our signature hot dog product.

Licensing Program

Commencing March 2, 2014, John Morrell & Co., a subsidiary of Smithfield Foods, Inc., became Nathan's primary licensee. Pursuant to the Agreement, John Morrell & Co., for a term of 18 years has been granted, among other things, (i) the exclusive right and obligation to manufacture, distribute, market and sell "Nathan's Famous" branded hot dog, sausage and corned beef products in refrigerated consumer packages to be resold through retail channels (e.g., supermarkets, groceries, mass merchandisers and club stores) within the United States, (ii) a right of first offer to license any other "Nathan's Famous" branded refrigerated meat products in consumer packages to be resold through retail channels within the United States, on terms to be negotiated in good faith, (iii) the right and obligation to manufacture "Nathan's Famous" branded hot dog and sausage products in bulk for use in the food service industry within the United States, and (iv) the non-exclusive right and obligation to supply "Nathan's Famous" natural casing and skinless hot dogs in bulk for use in the "Nathan's Famous" restaurant system within the United States. The Agreement provides for royalties on packaged products sold to supermarkets, club stores and grocery stores, payable on a monthly basis to the Company equal to 10.8% of net sales, subject to minimum annual guaranteed royalties of at least $10 million in the first year of the term and which minimum guaranteed royalties increase annually throughout the term. Nathan's earned royalties of approximately $16,586,000 in fiscal 2016 and $14,367,000 in fiscal 2015. We believe our future operating results will continue to be beneficially impacted by the terms and conditions of the agreement with John Morrell & Co., but there can be no assurance thereof (See Item 1A - "Risk Factors")**.**

For ten years, John Morrell & Co. has licensed from us the right to manufacture and sell branded hot dogs and sausages to selected foodservice accounts. Pursuant to this arrangement, we earned royalties of $1,389,000 and $1,738,000 during the fiscal 2016 period and fiscal 2015 period, respectively. The majority of these royalties were earned from one account. Effective March 2, 2014, this arrangement is governed by our license/supply agreement with John Morrell & Co. Commencing April 2015, we agreed to reduce the royalty earned by $0.03 per pound in cooperation with John Morrell's sales efforts to gain further retail distribution with that account. As of March 27, 2016, packaged Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs continued to be sold in approximately 42,000 supermarkets, mass merchandisers and club stores including Kroger, Publix, ShopRite, Walmart, Target, Sam's Club, Costco and BJ's Wholesale Club located in 50 states. We believe that the overall exposure of the brand and opportunity for consumers to enjoy the Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dog in their homes helps promote "Nathan's Famous" restaurant patronage. Royalties earned from this agreement were approximately 90.7% of our fiscal 2016 period license revenues.

We license the manufacture of the proprietary spices which are used to produce Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs to Saratoga Specialties. During fiscal 2016 and 2015, we earned $852,000 and $804,000, respectively, from this license. Through this agreement, we are able to control the manufacture of all Nathan's hot dogs.

During fiscal 2016, our licensee ConAgra Foods Lamb Weston, Inc. produced and distributed Nathan's Famous frozen French fries and onion rings for retail sale pursuant to a license agreement. These products were distributed within 37 states, primarily on the East Coast and in the South-West and West Coast during fiscal 2016. During fiscal 2016 and 2015, we earned royalties of $452,000 and $507,000, respectively, under this agreement. For the contract year ended in July 2015, we earned royalties of $136,000 in excess of the annual minimum. ConAgra Foods Lamb Weston, Inc. continues to seek to further expand its market penetration in the Eastern United States and in the Mid-West. ConAgra Foods Lamb Weston, Inc. previously exercised its second option to extend the license agreement through July 2018, pursuant to which the minimum royalties will increase 5% annually.

During fiscal 2016, we continued to license the right to manufacture and sell miniature bagel dogs, franks-in-a-blanket and other hors d'oeuvres through club stores, supermarkets and other retail food stores. Royalties earned under this agreement were approximately $199,000 during fiscal 2016 and $217,000 during fiscal 2015.

We also have licensing agreements with Hermann Pickle Packers, Inc., Gold Pure Food Products Co., Inc. and others. These companies licensed the "Nathan's Famous" or "Arthur Treacher's" name for the manufacture and sale of various products including mustard, salsa, sauerkraut and pickles. These products have been distributed on a limited basis. Fees and royalties earned from all of these products were approximately $288,000 during fiscal 2016 and $309,000 during fiscal 2015.

We have a license agreement with Inventure Foods, Inc. for the manufacture and sale of Nathan's branded potato chips and three other salty snack products. Royalties earned under this agreement were approximately $49,000 during fiscal

2016 and $69,000 during fiscal 2015. The agreement automatically renews until December 31, 2019, unless a non-renewal notice is received prior to expiration on December 31, 2016.

Provisions and Supplies

Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs are primarily manufactured by John Morrell & Co. for sale by our Branded Product Program, our restaurant system, and at retail. Previously, John Morrell & Co. manufactured our proprietary hot dogs in connection with sales pursuant to our Branded Product Program. John Morrell & Co. and other hot dog manufacturers supply the hot dogs for our Company-operated and franchise-operated restaurants. All hot dogs are manufactured in accordance with Nathan's recipes, quality standards and proprietary spice formulations. Nathan's believes that it has reliable sources of supply; however, in the event of any significant disruption in supply, management believes that alternative sources of supply are available. (See Item 1A- "Risk Factors"). Saratoga Specialties produces Nathan's proprietary spice formulations and we have, in the past, engaged Newly Weds Foods, Inc. as an alternative source of supply. Our frozen crinkle-cut French fries have been produced exclusively by ConAgra Foods Lamb Weston, Inc. McCain Foods USA is a secondary source of supply of our frozen French fries for our restaurant system. During fiscal 2016, McCain Foods USA provided approximately 15.6% of our frozen crinkle-cut French fries. Most other Company provisions are purchased from multiple sources to prevent disruption in supply and to obtain competitive prices. We approve all products and product specifications. We negotiate directly with our suppliers on behalf of the entire system for all primary food ingredients and beverage products sold in the restaurants in an effort to ensure adequate supply of high quality items at competitive prices.

We utilize a unified source for the predominant distribution needs of our domestic restaurant system pursuant to a national food distribution contract with US Foodservice, Inc. This agreement enables our restaurant operators to order and receive deliveries for the majority of their food and paper products directly through this distributor. We believe that this arrangement not only ensures availability of product but is more efficient and cost-effective than having multiple distributors for our restaurant system. This agreement expires on July 31, 2018. Our branded products are delivered to our ultimate customers throughout the country by numerous distributors, including US Foodservice, Inc., SYSCO Corporation, Vistar / PFG and McLane.

Marketing, Promotion and Advertising

Nathan’s believes that an integral part of its brand marketing strategy is to continue to build brand awareness through its complimentary points of distribution strategy of selling its signature products through restaurants, the Branded Product Program, the Branded Menu Program, within supermarkets and club stores. We believe that as we continue to build brand awareness and expand our reputation for quality and value, we have further penetrated the markets that we serve and have also entered new markets. We also derive further brand recognition from the Nathan’s Famous Hot Dog Eating Contests. In 2015, we hosted 15 regional contests at a variety of high profile locations such as New York New York Hotel and Casino, Las Vegas, NV, and Citifield, Queens, NY, as well as within the cities of Atlanta, GA, Houston, TX, Nashville, TN, Lincoln, NE, Portsmouth, NH, Cleveland, OH and Sonoma, CA. In 2016, the qualifying tour will stop in four new cities. We are again holding contests at NASCAR events including the annual Speed Street celebration in Charlotte, NC, Long Pond Speedway in the Poconos and Dover International Speedway, Dover, DE. Nathan’s held its’ first-ever qualifier at Busch Stadium prior to a St. Louis Cardinals Game in May 2014, returned in May 2015 and again for the third consecutive year on April 16, 2016. Our first regional contest of 2016 took place in Texas on March 19th and will occur in 11 additional cities. These regional contests culminate on July 4th each year as the regional champions converge at our flagship restaurant in Coney Island, NY, to compete for the coveted “Mustard Yellow Belt.” In 2011, we introduced our first-ever women’s-only Hot Dog Eating Contest which included the top finishing female competitor from each qualifying regional contest. The regional contests typically garner significant amounts of local publicity and the national championship contest that is held on July 4th each year generates significant nationwide publicity. The national championship contest has been broadcast on ESPN since 2004.

Nathan’s and John Morrell & Co. participated together in running 2-week radio campaigns in support of certain promotions in 2015. In 2016, Nathan’s and John Morrell & Co. will run 9-week radio campaigns in support of certain promotions.

Nathan’s Famous continues to look to sports sponsorships as a strategic marketing opportunity to further our brand recognition. In addition to the branded signage opportunity, Nathan’s is given the opportunity to sell its Nathan’s World Famous Beef Hot Dog and crinkle-cut French fries. In many venues, Nathan’s World Famous Beef Hot Dogs and crinkle-cut French fries are sold at Nathan’s Famous trade-dressed concession stands and as menu items that are served in suites and throughout seating areas. Some of Nathans’ current sports sponsorships include:

Professional Baseball: Yankee Stadium-New York Yankees, Citifield-New York Mets;

Professional Hockey and Basketball: The Barclays Center - Brooklyn Nets and NY Islanders; The Prudential Center – New Jersey Devils; and The Scottrade Center – St. Louis Blues; and

Professional Football: MetLife Stadium-New York Giants and New York Jets and AT&T Stadium – Dallas Cowboys.

We believe that the Company's overall sales and exposure have also been complemented by the sales of Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs and other Nathan's products through the publicity generated by our Hot Dog Eating Contests and our affiliation with a number of high profile sports arenas. In addition to marketing our products at these venues, the Nathan's Famous brand has also been televised regionally, nationally and internationally.

We maintain an advertising fund for local, regional and national advertising under the Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. Franchise Agreement. Nathan's Famous franchisees are generally required to spend on local marketing activities or contribute to the advertising fund up to 2.0% of restaurant sales for advertising and promotion. Franchisee contributions to the advertising fund for national marketing support are generally based upon the type of restaurant and its location. The difference, if any, between 2.0% and the contribution to the advertising fund must be expended on local programs approved by us as to form, content and method of dissemination. Certain franchisees, including those operating pursuant to our Branded Menu Program are not obligated to contribute to the advertising fund. Vendors that supply products to our restaurant system also contribute to the advertising fund based upon purchases made by our franchisees and at Company-owned restaurants.

Throughout fiscal 2016, Nathan's primary restaurant marketing emphasis continued to be focused on local store marketing campaigns featuring a value-oriented strategy supplemented with promotional "Limited Time Offers." We anticipate that near-term marketing efforts for Nathan's will continue to emphasize local store marketing activities.

Nathan's celebrates its 100th Year Anniversary in 2016 and has developed specific marketing plans and campaigns celebrating our centennial. Our special public relations campaign is comprised of a general media campaign in addition to targeted efforts emphasizing the restaurant and grocery industries and general business media. These activities include television and radio, newsprint features and social media.

Additionally, on May 28, 2016, we hosted our 100th Year Anniversary celebration at our Flagship Coney Island restaurant by selling 5-cent hot dogs, which was at the heart of Nathan's founding in 1916. On Labor Day weekend, we will also be hosting special events in New York City.

As part of this celebration, we have redesigned our restaurant signage, uniforms and paper products as well as the packaging of our retail packaged products.

Nathan's marketing efforts include the use of free-standing inserts with coupons in Sunday newspapers. During fiscal 2016 and fiscal 2015, our marketing activities continued with the use of free-standing inserts in addition to radio flights and use of a localized newsprint campaigns. These newsprint campaigns typically reach more than eight million homes per insertion in the area surrounding approximately 100 Nathan's company-owned and franchised restaurants. These programs usually feature heavily discounted offers that are designed to attract customers to our

restaurants. We monitor the results of these campaigns and have committed to additional campaigns in fiscal 2017.

The objective of our Branded Product Program has historically been to provide our foodservice operator customers with value-added, high quality products supported with meaningful point of sale materials and other forms of operational support.

During fiscal 2016, Nathan's marketing efforts for the Branded Product Program concentrated primarily on participation in national industry trade shows, and regional, local distributor trade events. We have also advertised our products in distributor and trade periodicals and initiated distributor sales incentive contests. Most of the sales of new restaurant franchises to franchisees are achieved through the direct effort of Company personnel. New arrangements with Branded Product Program points of sale are achieved through the combined efforts of Company personnel and a network of foodservice brokers and distributors who also are responsible for direct sales to national, regional and "street" accounts.

During fiscal 2017, we may seek to further expand our internal marketing resources along with our network of foodservice brokers and distributors. We may attempt to emphasize specific venues as we expand our broker network, focus management and broker responsibilities on a regional basis and expand the use of sales incentive programs. We are currently continuing the process of upgrading our social media platforms by enhancing our corporate website and Facebook page and expanding the use of Twitter.

Government Regulation

We are subject to Federal Trade Commission ("FTC") regulation and several states' laws that regulate the offer and sale of franchises. We are also subject to a number of state laws which regulate substantive aspects of the franchisor-franchisee relationship.

The FTC's "Trade Regulation Rule Concerning Disclosure Requirements and Prohibitions Concerning Franchising and Business Opportunity Ventures" (the "FTC Rule") requires us to disclose certain information to prospective franchisees. Fifteen states, including New York, also require similar disclosure. While the FTC Rule does not require registration or filing of the disclosure document, 14 states require franchisors to register the disclosure document (or obtain exemptions from that requirement) before offering or selling a franchise. The laws of 17 other states require some form of registration (or a determination that a company is exempt or otherwise not required to register) under "business opportunity" laws, which sometimes apply to franchisors such as the Company. These laws have not precluded us from seeking franchisees in any given area.

Laws that regulate one or another aspect of the franchisor-franchisee relationship presently exist in 24 states as well as Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. These laws regulate the franchise relationship by, for example, requiring the franchisor to deal with its franchisees in good faith, prohibiting interference with the right of free association among franchisees, limiting the imposition of standards of performance on a franchisee, and regulating discrimination among franchisees. Although these laws may also restrict a franchisor in the termination of a franchise agreement by, for example, requiring "good cause" to exist as a basis for the termination, advance notice to the franchisee of the termination, an opportunity to cure a default, and repurchase of inventory or other compensation, these provisions have not had a significant effect on our operations. Our international franchise operations are subject to franchise-related and other laws in the jurisdictions in which our franchisees operate. These laws have not precluded us from enforcing the terms of our franchise agreements, and we do not believe that these laws are likely to significantly affect our operations.

We are not aware of any pending franchise legislation in the U.S. that we believe is likely to significantly affect our operations.

Each Company-owned and franchised restaurant is subject to regulation as to operational matters by federal agencies and to licensing and regulation by state and local health, sanitation, safety, fire and other departments.

We are subject to the Federal Fair Labor Standards Act and various other federal and state laws that govern minimum wages, overtime, working conditions, mandatory benefits, health insurance, and other matters. Other regulatory interpretations (such as the NLRB's review of joint employment standards under the National Labor Relations Act, the

Labor Department's review of the Fair Labor Standards Act, the SBA's review of independence standards applicable to reviewing franchisee loan applications, etc.) may have an impact on our overall business as well, although we do not believe that these will significantly affect our operations. We are also subject to federal and state environmental regulations, which have not had a material effect on our operations. More stringent and varied requirements of local governmental bodies with respect to zoning, land use and environmental factors could delay or prevent development of new restaurants in particular locations. In addition, the Federal Americans with Disabilities Act applies with respect to the design, construction and renovation of all restaurants in the United States.

Each company that manufactures, supplies or sells our products is subject to regulation by federal agencies and to licensing and regulation by state and local health, sanitation, safety and other departments.

We are also subject to the requirement that our restaurants post certain calorie content information for standard menu items, pursuant to Section 4205 of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act of 2010. Some of our restaurants are subject to similar requirements that are imposed by certain localities around the country.

Alcoholic beverage control regulations require each restaurant that sells such products to apply to a state authority and, in certain locations, county and municipal authorities, for a license or permit to sell alcoholic beverages on the premises. Typically, licenses must be renewed annually and may be revoked or suspended for cause at any time. Alcoholic beverage control regulations relate to numerous aspects of the daily operations of the restaurants, including minimum age of customers and employees, hours of operation, advertising, wholesale purchasing, inventory control and handling, storage and dispensing of alcoholic beverages. Two of our Company-owned restaurants offer beer or wine coolers for sale. Each of these restaurants has current alcoholic beverage licenses permitting the sale of these beverages. We have never had an alcoholic beverage license revoked.

We may be subject in certain states to "dram-shop" statutes, which generally provide a person injured by an intoxicated person the right to recover damages from an establishment which wrongfully served alcoholic beverages to such person. We carry liquor liability coverage as part of our existing comprehensive general liability insurance and have never been named as a defendant in a lawsuit involving "dram-shop" statutes.

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules promulgated thereunder by the SEC and the Nasdaq Stock Market have imposed substantial new or enhanced regulations and disclosure requirements in the areas of corporate governance (including director independence, director selection and audit, corporate governance and compensation committee responsibilities), equity compensation plans, auditor independence, pre-approval of auditor fees and services and disclosure and internal control procedures. We are committed to industry best practices in these areas.

We believe that we operate in substantial compliance with applicable laws and regulations governing our operations, including the FTC Rule and state franchise laws.

Employees

At March 27, 2016, we had 237 employees, 41 of whom were corporate management and administrative employees, 26 of who were restaurant managers and170 of whom were hourly full-time and part-time foodservice employees. We may also employ approximately 150 – 200 seasonal employees during the summer months. Foodservice employees at three Company-owned locations are currently represented by Local 1102 RWSDU UFCW AFL-CIO, CLC, Retail, Wholesale and Department Store Union, under an agreement that expires on June 30, 2017. Employees at a fourth location are represented by the same union pursuant to a different agreement that expires October 31, 2016. We consider our employee relations to be good and have not suffered any strike or work stoppage for more than 42 years.

We provide a training program for managers and assistant managers of our Company-owned and new franchised restaurants. Hourly food workers are trained on site by managers and crew trainers following Company practices and procedures outlined in our operating manuals.

Trademarks

We hold trademark and/or service mark registrations for NATHAN'S, NATHAN'S FAMOUS, NATHAN'S FAMOUS and design, NATHAN'S and Coney Island design, SINCE 1916 NATHAN'S FAMOUS and design, and THE ORIGINAL SINCE 1916 NATHAN'S FAMOUS and design within the United States, with some of these marks holding corresponding foreign trademark and service mark registrations in 73 international jurisdictions, including

Canada and China. We also hold various related marks, FRANKSTERS, FROM A HOT DOG TO AN INTERNATIONAL HABIT, MORE THAN JUST THE BEST HOT DOG! and design, and Mr. Frankie design, for restaurant services and some food items.

We hold trademark and/or service mark registrations for the marks ARTHUR TREACHER’S (stylized), ARTHUR TREACHER’S FISH & CHIPS (stylized), KRUNCH PUP and ORIGINAL within the United States. We hold service mark registrations for ARTHUR TREACHER’S in China and Japan. We also hold service mark registrations for ARTHUR TREACHER’S FISH & CHIPS and design in Canada and Mexico and ARTHUR TREACHER’S FISH & CHIPS and design in Colombia, Costa Rica, Kuwait, Malaysia, Singapore and the United Arab Emirates.

Our trademark and service mark registrations were granted and expire on various dates. We believe that these trademarks and service marks provide significant value to us and are an important factor in the marketing of our products and services. We believe that we do not infringe on the trademarks or other intellectual property rights of any third parties. We also have licenses to use the Kenny Rogers trademarks and service marks in the then-existing Nathan’s restaurants existing on April 2, 2008.

Seasonality

Our business is affected by seasonal fluctuations, including the effects of weather and economic conditions. Historically, sales from our Company-owned locations, principally at Coney Island, and franchised restaurants from which franchise royalties are earned and the Company's earnings have been highest during our first two fiscal quarters, with the fourth fiscal quarter typically representing the slowest period. This seasonality is primarily attributable to weather conditions in the marketplace for our Company-owned and franchised Nathan's restaurants, which is principally the Northeast. Additionally, revenues from our Branded Product Program and retail licensing program generally follow similar seasonal fluctuations, although not to the same degree. We believe that future revenues and profits will continue to be highest during our first two fiscal quarters, with the fourth fiscal quarter representing the slowest period.

Competition

The fast food restaurant industry is highly competitive and can be significantly affected by many factors, including changes in local, regional or national economic conditions, changes in consumer tastes, consumer concerns about the nutritional quality of quick-service food and increases in the number of, and particular locations of, competing restaurants.

Our restaurant system competes with numerous restaurants and drive-in units operating on both a national and local basis, including major national chains with greater financial and other resources than ours. We also compete with local restaurants and diners on the basis of menu diversity, food quality, price, size, site location and name recognition. There is also active competition for management personnel, as well as for suitable commercial sites for owned or franchised restaurants.

We believe that our emphasis on our signature products and the reputation of these products for taste and quality set us apart from our major competitors. Many fast food companies have adopted "value pricing" and/or deep discount strategies. Nathan's markets our own form of "value pricing," selling combinations of different menu items for a total price lower than the usual sale price of the individual items and other forms of price sensitive promotions. Our value pricing strategy may offer multi-sized alternatives to our value-priced combo meals.

We also compete with many restaurant franchisors and other business concepts for the sale of franchises to qualified and financially capable franchisees.

Our Branded Product Program competes directly with a variety of other nationally-recognized hot dog companies and other food companies; many of these entities have significantly greater resources than we do. Our products primarily compete based upon price, quality and value to the foodservice operator and consumer. We believe that Nathan's reputation for superior quality, along with the ability to provide operational support to the foodservice operator, provides Nathan's with a competitive advantage.

Our retail licensing program for the sale of packaged foods within supermarkets competes primarily on the basis of reputation, flavor, quality and price. In most cases, we compete against other nationally-recognized brands that have significantly greater resources than those at our disposal.

Available Information

We file reports with the SEC, including Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K and a proxy statement on Schedule 14A. The public may read and copy any materials filed by us with the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington D.C., 20549. The public may obtain information about the operation of the SEC's Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The SEC also maintains a website at http://www.sec.gov that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information about issuers such as us that file electronically with the SEC.

In addition, electronic copies of our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, proxy statement on Schedule 14A and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) under the Exchange Act are available free of charge on our website, www.nathansfamous.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC.

The Board of Directors has also adopted, and we have posted in the Investor Relations section of our website, written Charters for each of the Board's standing committees. We will provide without charge a copy of the Charter of any standing committee of the Board upon a stockholder's request to us at Nathan's Famous, Inc., One Jericho Plaza, Second Floor - Wing A, Jericho, NY 11753, Attention: Secretary.

For financial information regarding our results of operations, please see our consolidated financial statements beginning on page F-1.

Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors.</u>

Our business is subject to various risks. Certain risks are specific to each way we do business, such as through Company-owned restaurants, franchised restaurants, branded products and retail, while other risks, such as health-related or economic risks, may affect all of the ways that we do business.

Investors should carefully consider all of the information set forth in this Form 10-K, including the following risk factors, before deciding to invest in any of the Company's securities. The following risk factors are not exhaustive. Additional risks and uncertainties not presently known to the Company may also adversely impact its business. The Company's business, financial condition, results of operations or prospects could be materially adversely affected by any of these risks. In that case, the trading price of the Company's common stock could decline. This Form 10-K also contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. The Company's results could materially differ from those anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including the risks it faces described below and elsewhere. See "Forward-Looking Statements" above.

Our Agreement with John Morrell & Co. has resulted in a significant increase in our royalties compared to the royalties we received in prior years and there can be no assurance that such increases will continue in the future.

We earned license royalties of approximately $16,586,000 in fiscal 2016 as compared to license royalties of $14,367,000 in fiscal 2015. The amount of license royalties we received in fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2016 constitute a significant increase in the amount of royalties we received compared to earlier periods. This increase is primarily due to our agreement with John Morrell as compared to the licensing revenues we received from our predecessor licensee, SMG. There can be no assurance that we will continue to derive the same increase in our license royalties in the future or that our future license royalties will be similar to our fiscal 2016 license royalties.

If (i) our license revenues decrease or increase only by a nominal amount in future years or (ii) we fail to achieve cost savings as a result of the John Morrell agreement, it would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

A significant amount of our licensing and BPP revenue is from a small number of licensees and BPP accounts. The loss of any one or more of those licensees or Branded BPP accounts could harm our profitability and operating results.

John Morrell accounted for approximately 95.0% and 93.9% of our licensing revenue for the fiscal 2016 period and fiscal 2015 period, respectively. John Morrell's business is weighted towards one high volume user who is not sold pursuant to a formal agreement. As a result of the John Morrell Agreement, we expect that most of our license royalties will be earned from John Morrell for the foreseeable future. While our agreement with John Morrell expires in 2032, in the event that, (i) this licensee or any other significant licensee, or its customers, experience financial difficulties, (ii) there is a disruption or termination of this agreement or (iii) there is a significant decrease in our revenue from John Morrell, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

In addition, for the fiscal 2016 period, approximately 74% of our BPP business is from seven accounts, including one account representing approximately 24% of the BPP business, with which we have relatively short-term contracts. In the event that these BPP customers experience financial difficulties or, upon the expiration of their existing agreements are not willing to do business with us in the future on terms acceptable to management, there could be a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Our increase in Adjusted EBITDA in fiscal 2016 compared to fiscal 2015 primarily results from a decrease in expenses.

While our Adjusted EBITDA increased from $22.5 million in fiscal 2015 to $27.2 million in fiscal 2016, such increase was primarily the result of a decrease in total costs and expenses (primarily beef costs) from $79.2 million in fiscal 2015 to $75.9 million in fiscal 2016. Our expenses are and will be impacted by commodity costs and other factors beyond our control, such as recently enacted increases in the minimum wage. Any significant increase in the cost of beef and our other expenses without a corresponding increase in revenues would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Increases in the cost of food and paper products could harm our profitability and operating results.

The cost of the food and paper products we use depends on a variety of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Food and paper products typically represent approximately 25% to 30% of our cost of restaurant sales. We purchase large quantities of beef and our beef costs in the United States represent approximately 80% to 90% of our food costs. The market for beef is particularly volatile and is subject to significant price fluctuations due to seasonal shifts, climate conditions such as the 2012 drought in the Midwest, industry demand and other factors beyond our control. For example, in the past, reduced supply and increased demand in beef resulted in shortages, which required us to pay significantly higher prices for the beef we purchased. After multi-year increases, beginning March 2015, the beef markets stabilized through June 2015 before subsequently declining by as much as 30%. As a result of the decline since June 2015, the market price of hot dogs during the fiscal 2016 period was approximately 11.6% lower than the fiscal 2015 period. The market price of hot dogs during the fiscal 2015 period was approximately 17.1% higher than the fiscal 2014 period**.** As the price of beef or other food products that we use in our operations increases significantly, particularly in the BPP, and we choose not to pass, or cannot pass, these increases on to our customers, our operating margins will decrease.

Fluctuations in weather, supply and demand and economic conditions could adversely affect the cost, availability and quality of some of our critical products, including beef. Our inability to obtain requisite quantities of high-quality ingredients would adversely affect our ability to provide the menu items that are central to our business, and the highly competitive nature of our industry may limit our ability to pass through increased costs to our customers. Continuing increases in the cost of fuel would increase the distribution costs of our prime products thereby increasing the food and paper cost to us and to our franchisees, thus negatively affecting profitability.

We have sought to lock in the cost of a portion of our beef purchases by entering into various commitments to purchase hot dogs during certain periods in an effort to ensure supply of product at a fixed cost of product. However, we may be unable to enter into similar purchase commitments in the future. In addition, we do not have the ability to effectively hedge all of our beef purchases using futures or forward contracts without incurring undue financial cost and risk.

John Morrell currently has three manufacturing facilities producing different Nathan's products and a long-term significant interruption of a primary facility could potentially disrupt our operations.

John Morrell currently has three manufacturing facilities producing different Nathan's products. A temporary closure of any of the three plants could potentially cause a temporary disruption to our source of supply, potentially causing some or all of certain shipments to customers to be delayed. A longer-term significant interruption at any of these production facilities, whether as a result of a natural disaster or other causes, could significantly impair our ability to operate our business on a day-to-day basis while John Morrell determines how to make up for any lost production capabilities, during which time we may not be able to secure sufficient alternative sources of supply on acceptable terms, if at all. In addition, a long-term disruption in supply to our customers could cause our customers to determine not to purchase some or all of their hot dogs from us in the future, which in turn would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. Furthermore, a supply disruption might affect our brand in the eyes of consumers and the retail trade, which damage might negatively impact our overall business in general, which could result in a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

The loss of one or more of our key suppliers could lead to supply disruptions, increased costs and lower operating results.

We have historically relied on one supplier for the majority of our hot dogs and another supplier for a majority of our supply of frozen French fries for our restaurant system. An interruption in the supply of product from either of these suppliers without our obtaining an alternative source of supply on comparable terms could lead to supply disruptions, increased costs and lower operating results.

During fiscal 2013, we entered into a new agreement with a secondary hot dog manufacturer that continues to also supply natural casing hot dogs for our restaurant business.

Additionally, a majority of the frozen crinkle-cut French fries sold through our franchised restaurants have been obtained from one supplier. Beginning in fiscal 2013, we began a relationship with a secondary source of supply of our frozen French fries for our restaurant system. During the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, McCain Foods USA supplied approximately 15.6% and 13.5%, respectively, of the frozen crinkle-cut French fries sold through our franchised restaurants.

In the event that the hot dog or French fry suppliers are unable to fulfill our requirements for any reason, including due to a significant interruption in its manufacturing operations, whether as a result of a natural disaster or for other reasons, such interruption could significantly impair our ability to operate our business on a day-to-day basis.

In the event that we are unable to find one or more alternative suppliers of hot dogs or French fries on a timely basis, there could be a disruption in the supply of product to Company-owned restaurants, franchised restaurants and BPP accounts, which would damage our business, our franchisees and our BPP customers and, in turn, negatively impact our financial results. In addition, any gap in supply to retail customers would result in lost royalty payments to us, which could have a significant adverse financial impact on our results of operations. Furthermore, any gap in supply to retail customers may damage our brand in the eyes of consumers and the retail trade, which damage might negatively impact our overall business in general and impair our ability to continue our retail licensing program.

Additionally, there is no assurance that any supplemental sources of supply would be capable of meeting our specifications and quality standards on a timely and consistent basis or that the financial terms of such supply arrangement will be as favorable as our present terms with our hot dog or French fry supplier, as the case may be.

Any of the foregoing occurrences may cause disruptions in supply of our hot dog or French fry products, as the case may be, damage our franchisees and our BPP customers, adversely impact our financial results and/or damage our brand.

Our earnings and business growth strategy depends in large part on the success of our product licensees and product manufacturers. Our reputation and the reputation of our brand may be harmed by actions taken by our product licensees or product manufacturers that are otherwise outside of our control.

A significant portion of our earnings has come from royalties paid by our product licensees such as SMG, John Morrell and ConAgra Foods Lamb Weston, Inc., Saratoga Food Specialties, Inc., a wholly owned subsidiary of John Morrell, and Perfection Foods. Although our agreements with these licensees contain numerous controls and safeguards, and we monitor the operations of our product licensees, our licensees are independent contractors, and their employees are not our employees. Accordingly, we cannot necessarily control the performance of our licensees under their license agreements, including without limitation, the licensee's continued best efforts to manufacture our products for retail distribution and our foodservice businesses, timely delivery of the licensed products, market the licensed products and assure the quality of the licensed products produced and/or sold by a product licensee. Any shortcoming in the quality, quantity and/or timely delivery of a licensed product is likely to be attributed by consumers to an entire brand's reputation, potentially adversely affecting our business, results of operations and financial condition. In addition, a licensee's failure to effectively market the licensed products may result in decreased sales, which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. Also, to the extent that the terms and conditions of any of these license agreements change or we change any of our product licensees, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially affected.

The quick-service restaurant business is highly competitive, and that competition could lower revenues, margins and market share.

The quick-service restaurant business of the foodservice industry is intensely competitive regarding price, service, location, personnel and type and quality of food. We and our franchisees compete with international, national, regional and local retailers primarily through the quality, variety and value perception of food products offered. Other key competitive factors include the number and location of restaurants, quality and speed of service, attractiveness of facilities, effectiveness of advertising and marketing programs, and new product development. We anticipate competition will continue to focus on pricing. Many of our competitors have substantially larger marketing budgets, which may provide them with a competitive advantage. Changes in pricing or other marketing strategies by these competitors can have an adverse impact on our sales, earnings and growth. For example, many of those competitors have adopted "value pricing" strategies intended to lure customers away from other companies, including our Company. Consequently, these strategies could have the effect of drawing customers away from companies which do not engage in discount pricing and could also negatively impact the operating margins of competitors which attempt to match their competitors' price reductions. Extensive price discounting in the quick-service restaurant business could have an adverse effect on our financial results.

In addition, we and our franchisees compete within the foodservice market and the quick-service restaurant business not only for customers but also for management and hourly employees and qualified franchisees. If we are unable to maintain our competitive position, we could experience downward pressure on prices, lower demand for products, reduced margins, the inability to take advantage of new business opportunities and the loss of market share.

New York State recently passed legislation increasing the minimum hourly wage for fast food workers of restaurant chains with 30 or more locations nationwide.

New York State recently passed legislation increasing the minimum hourly wage for fast food workers of restaurant chains with 30 or more locations nationwide. The increases took effect beginning December 31, 2015 and will be fully phased in by December 31, 2018 in New York City, where we operate three Company-owned restaurants and by December 31, 2021 throughout the rest of New York State which would impact our two remaining Company-owned restaurants and our franchised restaurants that operate in New York State. As a result, we anticipate that our labor costs will increase. If we are unable to pass on these higher costs through price increases, our margins and profitability will be adversely impacted. Additionally, a decrease in profitability at our franchisee's restaurants, the potential loss of new franchisees or the closing of a significant number of existing franchised restaurants could significantly impact our business.

Labor shortages or increases in labor costs could slow our growth or harm our business.

Our success depends in part upon our ability and the ability of our franchisees to continue to attract, motivate and retain regional, operational and restaurant general managers with the qualifications to succeed in our industry and the motivation to apply our core service philosophy. If we or our franchisees are unable to continue to recruit and retain sufficiently qualified managers or to motivate our employees to achieve sustained high service levels, our business and our growth could be adversely affected. Competition for these employees could require the payment of higher wages that could result in higher labor costs.

We must comply with the Fair Labor Standards Act and various federal and state laws governing minimum wages. Increases in the minimum wage or labor regulation could increase labor costs. The state of New York approved legislation which increased the minimum wage beginning December 31, 2013, December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2015. The impact of the New York minimum wage increases on our business amounted to 6.9% average salary increase in 2015 and approximately a 12.2% average increase in 2016 for our employees that are affected. In addition, voters in the state of New Jersey voted to increase the minimum wage in the 2013 general election, and the federal government and a number of other states are evaluating various proposals to increase their respective minimum wage. As minimum wage rates increase, we may need to increase not only the wages of our minimum wage employees but also the wages paid to employees at wage rates that are above minimum wage. In addition, effective April 1, 2014, the City of New York passed legislation extending paid sick leave to all employees, including part-time employees which potentially will increase our labor costs in three of our Company-operated restaurants. We may not be able to anticipate and react to changing costs by adjusting our purchasing practices and prices to sufficiently account for increased wage costs. We may be unable to increase our prices in order to pass these increased labor costs on to our customers, in which case our margins and our franchisees' margins would be negatively affected. In the event that franchisees' margins are adversely affected, it may affect our ability to attract new franchisees which would adversely affect our business, results of operations and financial condition.

22

Changes in economic, market and other conditions could adversely affect us and our franchisees, and thereby our operating results.

The quick-service restaurant business is affected by changes in international, national, regional, and local economic conditions, consumer preferences and spending patterns, demographic trends, consumer perceptions of food safety, weather, traffic patterns, the type, number and location of competing restaurants, and the effects of war or terrorist activities and any governmental responses thereto. Factors such as inflation, higher costs for each of food, labor, benefits and utilities, the availability and cost of suitable sites, fluctuating insurance rates, state and local regulations and licensing requirements, legal claims, and the availability of an adequate number of qualified management and hourly employees also affect restaurant operations and administrative expenses. Our ability and our franchisees' ability to finance new restaurant development, improvements and additions to existing restaurants, and the acquisition of restaurants from, and sale of restaurants to, franchisees is affected by economic conditions, including interest rates and other government policies impacting land and construction costs and the cost and availability of borrowed funds.

Current restaurant locations may become unattractive, and attractive new locations may not be available for a reasonable price, if at all, which may reduce our revenue.

The success of any restaurant depends in substantial part on its location. There can be no assurance that current locations will continue to be attractive as demographic patterns change. Neighborhood or economic conditions where restaurants are located could decline in the future, thus resulting in potentially reduced sales in those locations. If we and our franchisees cannot obtain desirable additional and alternative locations at reasonable prices, our results of operations would be adversely affected.

Any perceived or real health risks related to the food industry could adversely affect our ability to sell our **products.**

We are subject to risks affecting the food industry generally, including risks posed by the following:

- food spoilage or food contamination;
- consumer product liability claims;
- product tampering; and

the potential cost and disruption of a product recall.

Our products are susceptible to contamination by disease-producing organisms, or pathogens, such as listeria monocytogenes, salmonella, campylobacter, hepatitis A, trichinosis and generic E. coli. Because these pathogens are generally found in the environment, there is a risk that these pathogens could be introduced to our products as a result of improper handling at the manufacturing, processing, foodservice or consumer level. Our suppliers' manufacturing facilities and products, as well as our franchisee and Company-operated restaurant operations, are subject to extensive laws and regulations relating to health, food preparation, sanitation and safety standards. Difficulties or failures by these companies in obtaining any required licenses or approvals or otherwise complying with such laws and regulations could adversely affect our revenue that is generated from these companies. Furthermore, we cannot assure you that compliance with governmental regulations by our suppliers or in connection with restaurant operations will eliminate the risks related to food safety. In addition, our beef products are also subject to the risk of contamination from bovine spongiform encephalopathy.

Events reported in the media, such as incidents involving food-borne illnesses or food tampering, whether or not accurate, can cause damage to our brand's reputation and affect sales and profitability. Reports, whether true or not, of food-borne illnesses (such as e-coli, avian flu, bovine spongiform encephalopathy, hepatitis A, trichinosis or salmonella) and injuries caused by food tampering have in the past severely injured the reputations of participants in the quick-service restaurant business and could in the future affect our business as well. Our brand's reputation is an important asset to the business; as a result, anything that damages our brand's reputation could immediately and severely hurt systemwide sales and, accordingly, revenue and profits. If customers become ill from food-borne illnesses or food tampering, we could also be forced to temporarily close some, or all, restaurants. In addition, instances of food-borne illnesses or food tampering, even those occurring solely at the restaurants of competitors, could, by resulting in negative publicity about the restaurant industry, adversely affect system sales on a local, regional or systemwide basis. A decrease in customer traffic as a result of these health concerns or negative publicity, or as a result of a temporary closure of any of our Company-owned restaurants or our franchisees' restaurants, could materially harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Additionally, we may be subject to liability if the consumption of any of our products causes injury, illness, or death. A significant product liability judgment or a widespread product recall may negatively impact our sales and profitability for a period of time depending on product availability, competitive reaction, and consumer attitudes. Even if a product liability claim is unsuccessful or is not fully pursued, the negative publicity surrounding any assertion that our products caused illness or injury could adversely affect our reputation with existing and potential customers and our corporate and brand image. Injury to our brand's reputation would likely reduce revenue and profits.

Negative publicity, including complaints on social media platforms and other internet-based communications, could damage our reputation and harm our guest traffic, and in turn, negatively impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

There has been a marked increase in the use of social media platforms and similar devices, including blogs, social media websites and other forms of internet-based communications that allow individuals to access a broad audience of consumers and other interested persons. Consumers value readily available information concerning goods and services that they have or plan to purchase, and may act on such information without further investigation or authentication. The availability of information on social media platforms is virtually immediate, as is its impact. Many social media platforms immediately publish the content their subscribers and participants can post, often without filters or checks on accuracy of the content posted. The opportunity for dissemination of information, including inaccurate information, is seemingly limitless and readily available. Information concerning our business and products may be posted on such platforms at any time. Information posted may be adverse to our interests or may be inaccurate, each of which may harm our performance, prospects or business. The harm may be immediate without affording us an opportunity for redress or correction. Such platforms could also be used for dissemination of trade secret information, compromising valuable Company assets. In sum, the dissemination of information online, regardless of its accuracy, could harm our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects, as well as our ability to satisfy our obligations under the Notes.

Changing health or dietary preferences may cause consumers to avoid products offered by us in favor of alternative foods.

The foodservice industry is affected by consumer preferences and perceptions. Reports of the use of hormones, antibiotics or pesticides in the production of certain food products may cause consumers to reduce or avoid consumption of such food products. If prevailing health or dietary preferences, perceptions and governmental regulation cause consumers to avoid the products we offer in favor of alternative or healthier foods, demand for our products may be reduced and our business could be harmed.

We are subject to health, employment, environmental and other government regulations, and failure to comply with existing or future government regulations could expose us to litigation, damage our corporate reputation or the reputation of our brands and lower profits.

We and our franchisees are subject to various federal, state and local laws, rules or regulations affecting our businesses. To the extent that the standards imposed by local, state and federal authorities are inconsistent, they can adversely affect popular perceptions of our business and increase our exposure to litigation or governmental investigations or proceedings. We may be unable to manage effectively the impact of new, potential or changing regulations that affect or restrict elements of our business. The successful development and operation of restaurants depends to a significant extent on the selection and acquisition of suitable sites, which are subject to zoning, land use (including the placement of drive-thru windows), environmental (including litter), traffic and other regulations. There can be no assurance that we and our franchisees will not experience material difficulties or failures in obtaining the necessary licenses or approvals for new restaurants which could delay the opening of such restaurants in the future. Restaurant operations are also subject to licensing and regulation by state and local departments relating to health, food preparation, sanitation and safety standards, federal and state labor laws (including applicable minimum wage requirements, overtime, working and safety conditions and citizenship requirements), federal and state laws prohibiting discrimination and other laws regulating the design and operation of facilities, such as the Federal Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990. If we fail to comply with any of these laws, we may be subject to governmental action or litigation, and accordingly our reputation could be harmed.

Injury to us or our brand's reputation would, in turn, likely reduce revenue and profits. In addition, difficulties or failures in obtaining any required licenses or approvals could delay or prevent the development or opening of a new restaurant or renovations to existing restaurants, which would adversely affect our revenue.

In recent years, there has been an increased legislative, regulatory and consumer focus on nutrition and advertising practices in the food industry, particularly among quick-service restaurants. As a result, we may become subject to regulatory initiatives in the area of nutrition disclosure or advertising, such as requirements to provide information about the nutritional content of our food products, which could increase expenses. The operation of our franchise system is also subject to franchise laws and regulations enacted by a number of states and rules promulgated by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission. Any future legislation regulating franchise relationships may negatively affect our operations, particularly our relationship with our franchisees. Failure to comply with new or existing franchise laws and regulations in any jurisdiction or to obtain required government approvals could result in a ban or temporary suspension on future franchise sales. Changes in applicable accounting rules imposed by governmental regulators or private governing bodies could also affect our reported results of operations, which could cause our stock price to fluctuate or decline.

We may not be able to adequately protect our intellectual property, which could decrease the value of our business or the value of our brands and products.

The success of our business depends on the continued ability to use existing trademarks, service marks and other components of each of our brands in order to increase brand awareness and further develop branded products. We may not be able to adequately protect our trademarks, and the use of these trademarks may result in liability for trademark infringement, trademark dilution or unfair competition. All of the steps we have taken to protect our intellectual property may not be adequate.

We have registered or applied to register many of our trademarks and service marks both in the United States and in foreign countries. Because of the differences in foreign trademark laws, our trademark rights may not receive the same degree of protection in foreign countries as they would in the United States. We also cannot assure you that our trademark and service mark applications will be approved. In addition, third parties may oppose our trademark and service mark applications, or otherwise challenge our use of the trademarks or service marks. In the event that our trademarks or service marks are successfully challenged, we could be forced to rebrand our products and services, which could result in loss of brand recognition, and could require us to devote resources advertising and marketing new brands. Further, we cannot assure you that competitors will not infringe our marks, or that we will have adequate resources to enforce our trademarks or service marks.

We also license third party franchisees and other licensees to use our trademarks and service marks. We enter into franchise agreements with our franchisees and license agreements with other licensees which govern the use of our trademarks and service marks. Although we make efforts to police the use of our trademarks and service marks by our

franchisees and other licensees, we cannot assure you that these efforts will be sufficient to ensure that our franchisees and other licensees abide by the terms of the trademark licenses. In the event that our franchisees fail to do so, our trademark and service mark rights could be diluted.

Our earnings and business growth strategy depends in large part on the success of our restaurant franchisees and on new restaurant openings. Our corporate reputation or brand reputation may be harmed by actions taken by restaurant franchisees that are otherwise outside of our control.

A significant portion of our earnings comes from royalties, fees and other amounts paid by our restaurant franchisees. The opening and success of franchised restaurants depends on various factors, including the demand for our franchises and the selection of appropriate franchisee candidates, the availability of suitable restaurant sites, the negotiation of acceptable lease or purchase terms for new locations, permitting and regulatory compliance, the ability to meet construction schedules, the availability of financing and the financial and other capabilities of our franchisees and area developers. We cannot assure you that area developers planning the opening of franchised restaurants will have the business abilities or sufficient access to financial resources necessary to open the restaurants required by their agreements. We cannot assure you that franchisees will successfully participate in our strategic initiatives or operate their restaurants in a manner consistent with our concept and standards. Our franchisees are independent contractors, and their employees are not our employees. We provide training and support to, and monitor the operations of, our franchisees, but the quality of their restaurant operations may be diminished by any number of factors beyond our control. Consequently, the franchisees may not successfully operate their restaurants in a manner consistent with our high standards and requirements, and franchisees may not hire and train qualified managers and other restaurant personnel. Any operational shortcoming of a franchised restaurant is likely to be attributed by consumers to an entire brand or our system, thus damaging our corporate or brand reputation, potentially adversely affecting our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Growth in our restaurant revenue and earnings is significantly dependent on new restaurant openings. Numerous factors beyond our control may affect restaurant openings. These factors include but are not limited to:

- our ability to attract new franchisees;
- the availability of site locations for new restaurants;
- the ability of potential restaurant owners to obtain financing, which has become more difficult due to current market conditions and operating results;
- the ability of restaurant owners to hire, train and retain qualified operating personnel;
- construction and development costs of new restaurants, particularly in highly-competitive markets;
- the ability of restaurant owners to secure required governmental approvals and permits in a timely manner, or at all; and
- adverse weather conditions.

We cannot assure you that franchisees will renew their franchise agreements or that franchised restaurants will remain open. Closings of franchised restaurants are expected in the ordinary course and may cause our royalty revenues and financial performance to decline. Our principal competitors may have greater influence over their respective restaurant systems than we do because of their significantly higher percentage of company restaurants and/or ownership of franchisee real estate and, as a result, may have a greater ability to implement operational initiatives and business strategies, including their marketing and advertising programs.

As our franchisees are independent operators, we have limited influence over their ability to invest in other businesses or incur excessive indebtedness. Some of our franchisees have invested in other businesses, including other restaurant concepts. Such franchisees may use the cash generated by their Nathan’s restaurants to expand their other businesses or to subsidize losses incurred by such businesses. Additionally, as independent operators, franchisees do not require our consent to incur indebtedness. Consequently, our franchisees have in the past, and may in the future, experience financial distress as a result of over-leveraging. To the extent that our franchisees use the cash from their Nathan’s restaurants to subsidize their other businesses or experience financial distress, due to over-leveraging, delayed or reduced payments of royalties, advertising fund contributions and rents for properties we lease to them, or otherwise, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects, as well as our ability to satisfy our obligations under the Notes. In addition, lenders to our franchisees may be less likely to provide current or prospective franchisees necessary financing on favorable terms, or at all, due to current market conditions and operating results.

Changes in franchise regulation laws could impact our ability to obtain or retain licenses or approvals and adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We are also subject to federal statutes and regulations, including the rules promulgated by the U.S. Federal Trade Commission, as well as certain state laws governing the offer and sale of franchises. Many state franchise laws impose substantive requirements on franchise agreements, including limitations on non-competition provisions and on

provisions concerning the termination or non-renewal of a franchise. Some states require that certain materials be filed for a franchisor to be registered and approved, before franchises can be offered or sold in that state. The failure to obtain or retain licenses or approvals to sell franchises could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects, as well as our ability to satisfy our obligations under the Notes.

We rely on the performance of major retailers, wholesalers, specialty distributors and mass merchants for the success of our business, and should they perform poorly or give higher priority to other brands or products, our business could be adversely affected.

We sell our products to retail outlets and wholesale distributors including, traditional supermarkets, mass merchandisers, warehouse clubs, wholesalers, food service distributors and convenience stores. The replacement by or poor performance of our major wholesalers, retailers or chains or our inability to collect accounts receivable from our customers could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, our customers offer branded and private label products that compete directly with our products for retail shelf space and consumer purchases. Accordingly, there is a risk that our customers may give higher priority to their own products or to the products of our competitors. In the future, our customers may not continue to purchase our products or provide our products with adequate levels of promotional support.

The sophistication and buying power of our customers could have a negative impact on profits.

Our customers, such as supermarkets, warehouse clubs, and food distributors, have continued to consolidate, resulting in fewer customers with which to do business. These consolidations and the growth of supercenters have produced large, sophisticated customers with increased buying power and negotiating strength who are more capable of resisting price increases and can demand lower pricing, increased promotional programs, or specialty tailored products. In addition, larger retailers have the scale to develop supply chains that permit them to operate with reduced inventories or to develop and market their own retailer brands. If the larger size of these customers results in additional negotiating strength and/or increased private label or store brand competition, our profitability could decline.

Consolidation also increases the risk that adverse changes in our customers' business operations or financial performance will have a corresponding material adverse effect on us. For example, if our customers cannot access sufficient funds or financing, then they may delay, decrease, or cancel purchases of our products, or delay or fail to pay us for previous purchases.

Failure by third-party manufacturers or suppliers of raw materials to comply with food safety, environmental or other regulations may disrupt our supply of certain products and adversely affect our business.

We rely on third-party manufacturers to produce our products and on other suppliers to supply raw materials. Such manufacturers and other suppliers, whether in the United States or outside the United States, are subject to a number of regulations, including food safety and environmental regulations. Failure by any of our manufacturers or other suppliers to comply with regulations, or allegations of compliance failure, may disrupt their operations. Disruption of the operations of a manufacturer or other suppliers could disrupt our supply of product or raw materials, which could have an adverse effect on our business, consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity. Additionally, actions we may take to mitigate the impact of any such disruption or potential disruption, including increasing inventory in anticipation of a potential production or supply interruption, may adversely affect our business, consolidated financial condition, results of operations or liquidity.

Leasing of real estate exposes us to possible liabilities and losses.

We lease land and/or buildings for certain restaurants, which can include the sub-letting of leased land and/or buildings to franchisees or companies other than our franchisees. Accordingly, we are subject to all of the risks associated with owning, leasing and sub-leasing real estate. We generally cannot cancel these leases. If an existing or future store is not profitable, and we decide to close it, we may nonetheless be committed to perform the obligations under the applicable lease including, among other things, paying the base rent for the balance of the lease term. In

addition, as each of the leases expires, we may fail to negotiate renewals, either on commercially acceptable terms or at all, which could cause us to close stores in desirable locations.

We may evaluate acquisitions, joint ventures and other strategic initiatives, any of which could distract management or otherwise have a negative effect on revenue, costs and stock price.

Our future success may depend on opportunities to buy or obtain rights to other businesses that could complement, enhance or expand our current business or products or that might otherwise offer growth opportunities. In particular, we may evaluate potential mergers, acquisitions, joint venture investments, strategic initiatives, alliances, vertical integration opportunities and divestitures. We have no commitments, agreements or understandings with respect to any of such transactions. In addition, our ability to engage in these transactions may be impacted by the incurrence of debt as a result of our sale of the Notes. Any attempt by us to engage in these transactions may expose us to various inherent risks, including:

- not accurately assessing the value, future growth potential, strengths, weaknesses, contingent and other liabilities and potential profitability of acquisition candidates;
- the potential loss of key personnel of an acquired business;
- the ability to achieve projected economic and operating synergies;
- difficulties in successfully integrating, operating, maintaining and managing newly-acquired operations or employees;
- difficulties maintaining uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies;
- unanticipated changes in business and economic conditions affecting an acquired business;
- the possibility of impairment charges if an acquired business performs below expectations; and
- the diversion of management’s attention from the existing business to integrate the operations and personnel of the acquired or combined business or implement the strategic initiative.

Our annual and quarterly financial results may fluctuate depending on various factors, many of which are beyond our control, and, if we fail to meet the expectations of investors, our share price may decline.

Our sales and operating results can vary from quarter to quarter and year to year depending on various factors, many of which are beyond our control. Certain events and factors may directly and immediately decrease demand for our products. These events and factors include:

- changes in customer demand;
- variations in the timing and volume of Nathan’s sales and franchisees’ sales;
- changes in the terms of our existing license/supply agreements and/or the replacement of existing licenses or suppliers;
- sales promotions by Nathan’s and its competitors;
- changes in average same-store sales and customer visits;
- variations in the price, availability and shipping costs of supplies;
- seasonal effects on demand for Nathan’s products;
- unexpected slowdowns in new store development efforts;
- changes in competitive and economic conditions generally;
- changes in the cost or availability of ingredients or labor;
- weather and acts of God; and
- changes in the number of franchises sold and in franchise agreement renewals.

Our operations are influenced by adverse weather conditions.

Weather, which is unpredictable, can impact our sales. Harsh weather conditions that keep customers from dining out result in lost opportunities for our Company-owned and our franchisees’ restaurants. A heavy snowstorm or a tropical storm or hurricane in the Northeast can shut down an entire metropolitan area, resulting in a reduction in sales in that area at Company-owned and franchised restaurants. For instance, Superstorm Sandy forced the temporary closing of all of our Company-owned restaurants. Our flagship Coney Island restaurant and our Boardwalk restaurant were closed for an extended period of time and re-opened on May 20, 2013 and March 18, 2013, respectively. In addition, 78 franchised restaurants including 18 BMP locations were closed for varying periods of time, one of which has not re-opened. Our fourth quarter includes winter months and historically has a lower level of sales at Company-owned and franchised restaurants. Restaurant sales were significantly impacted due to the harsh winter weather experienced during the fourth quarters of the fiscal 2015 period and the fiscal 2014 period. Additionally, our Company-owned restaurants at Coney Island are heavily dependent on favorable weather conditions during the summer season. Rain during the weekends and/or unseasonably cold temperatures will negatively impact the number of patrons going to the Coney Island beach locations. Because a significant portion of our restaurant operating costs is fixed or semi-fixed in nature, the loss of sales during these periods hurts our operating margins, and can result in restaurant operating losses. For these reasons, a quarter-to-quarter comparison may not be a good indication of our performance or how it may perform in the future.

Due to the concentration of our restaurants in particular geographic regions, our business results could be impacted by the adverse economic conditions prevailing in those regions regardless of the state of the national economy as a whole.

As of March 27, 2016, we and our franchisees (including units operated pursuant to our BMP) operated Nathan’s restaurants in 21 states and 11 foreign countries. As of March 27, 2016, the highest concentration of operating units was in the Northeast, principally in New York and New Jersey. This geographic concentration in the Northeast can cause economic conditions in particular areas of the country to have a disproportionate impact on our overall results of operations. It is possible that adverse economic conditions in states or regions that contain a high concentration of Nathan’s restaurants could have a material adverse impact on our results of operations in the future.

We rely extensively on computer systems, its point of sales system and information technology to manage our business. Any disruption in our computer systems, point of sales system or information technology may adversely affect our ability to run our business.

We are significantly dependent upon our computer systems, point of sales system and information technology to properly conduct our business. A failure or interruption of computer systems, point of sales systems or information technology could result in the loss of data, business interruptions or delays in business operations. Further, despite our considerable efforts and technological resources to secure our computer systems, point of sales systems and information technology, security breaches, such as unauthorized access and computer viruses, may occur resulting in system disruptions, shutdowns or unauthorized disclosure of confidential information. Any security breach of our computer systems, point of sales systems or information technology may result in adverse publicity, loss of sales and profits, penalties or loss resulting from misappropriation of information.

We may be required to recognize additional asset impairment and other asset-related charges.

We have long-lived assets, a cost-method investment, goodwill and intangible assets and have incurred impairment charges in the past with respect to those assets. In accordance with applicable accounting standards, we test for impairment annually, or more frequently, if there are indicators of impairment, such as:

- significant adverse changes in the business climate;
- current period operating or cash flow losses combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with long-lived assets;
- operating or cash flow losses combined with a history of operating or cash flow losses or a projection or forecast that demonstrates continuing losses associated with cost method investment;
- a current expectation that more-likely-than-not (e.g., a likelihood that is more than 50%) long-lived assets will be sold or otherwise disposed of significantly before the end of their previously estimated useful life; and
- a significant drop in our stock price.

Based upon future economic and capital market conditions, future impairment charges could be incurred.

Catastrophic events may disrupt our business.

Unforeseen events, or the prospect of such events, including war, terrorism and other international conflicts, such as a continued interruption in the relationship between the United States and Russia, public health issues such as epidemics or pandemics, labor unrest and natural disasters such as earthquakes, hurricanes or other extreme adverse weather and

climate conditions, whether occurring in the United States or abroad, could disrupt our operations, disrupt the operations of franchisees, suppliers or customers, or result in political or economic instability. These events could negatively impact consumer spending, thereby reducing demand for our products, or the ability to receive products from suppliers. We do not have insurance policies that insure against certain of these risks. To the extent that we do maintain insurance with respect to some of these risks, our receipt of the proceeds of such policies may be delayed or the proceeds may be insufficient to offset our losses fully.

Our international operations are subject to various factors of uncertainty.

Our business outside of the United States is subject to a number of additional factors, including international economic and political conditions, differing cultures and consumer preferences, currency regulations and fluctuations, diverse government regulations and tax systems, uncertain or differing interpretations of rights and obligations in connection with international franchise agreements and the collection of royalties from international franchisees, the availability and cost of land and construction costs, and the availability of appropriate franchisees. In developing markets, we may face risks associated with new and untested laws and judicial systems. Although we believe we have developed the support structure required for international growth, there is no assurance that such growth will occur or that international operations will be profitable.

Our business operations and future development could be significantly disrupted if we lose key members of our management team.

The success of our business continues to depend to a significant degree upon the continued contributions of our senior officers and key employees, both individually and as a group. Our future performance will be substantially dependent, in particular, on our ability to retain and motivate our executive officers, for certain of whom we currently have employment agreements in place. The loss of the services of any of our executive officers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects, as well as our ability to satisfy our obligations under the Notes. If we lose the services of any of these individuals in the foreseeable future; we currently have no effective replacement for any of these individuals due to their experience, reputation in the industry and special role in our operations.

A recent ruling and complaint filed by the general counsel of the National Labor Relations Board could, if upheld, make us liable for violations of overtime, wage or union-organization violations by our franchisees.

General Counsel of the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) issued a statement announcing that McDonald's Corp. might be charged with being jointly liable for labor and wage violations by its franchisees. Subsequently on December 19, 2014, the General Counsel issued complaints alleging that McDonald's Corp. was a "joint employer" with its franchisees at certain franchised locations, under certain fact patterns. McDonald's Corp. and its franchisees are currently in administrative litigation with the NLRB. If the complaints are not dismissed and the NLRB prevails in the administrative proceedings (as well as in related appeals in federal courts that will ensue), and depending upon the facts charged in that case, the "joint employment" principle may be extended more broadly to franchisors other than McDonald's Corp. (such as Nathan's). If that took place, then we might be held partly liable in cases of alleged overtime, wage, or union-organizing violations by our franchisees. Among other things, such an outcome may make it easier to organize our franchisees' staff into unions, provide the staff and their union representatives with bargaining power to request that we have our franchisees raise wages, and make it more expensive and less profitable to operate a Nathan's franchised restaurant. A decrease in profitability or the closing of a significant number of franchised restaurants could significantly impact our business (as well as our franchisees' businesses), and we may also be significantly impacted if the NLRB successfully brought an action against our company alleging that we are a "joint employer" of our franchisees' staffs.

We face risks of litigation and pressure tactics, such as strikes, boycotts and negative publicity from customers, franchisees, suppliers, employees and others, which could divert our financial, and management resources and which may negatively impact our financial condition and results of operations.

Class action lawsuits have been filed, and may continue to be filed, against various quick-service restaurants alleging, among other things, that quick-service restaurants have failed to disclose the health risks associated with high-fat foods and that quick-service restaurant marketing practices have targeted children and encouraged obesity. In addition, we face the risk of lawsuits and negative publicity resulting from injuries, including injuries to infants and children, allegedly caused by our products, toys and other promotional items available in our restaurants or by our playground equipment.

In addition to decreasing our sales and profitability and diverting our management resources, adverse publicity or a substantial judgment against us could negatively impact our business, results of operations, financial condition and brand reputation, hindering our ability to attract and retain franchisees, expand our BPP and otherwise grow our business in the United States and internationally.

In addition, activist groups, including animal rights activists and groups acting on behalf of franchisees, the workers who work for suppliers and others, have in the past, and may in the future, use pressure tactics to generate adverse publicity by alleging, for example, inhumane treatment of animals by our suppliers, poor working conditions or unfair

purchasing policies. These groups may be able to coordinate their actions with other groups, threaten strikes or boycotts or enlist the support of well-known persons or organizations in order to increase the pressure on us to achieve their stated aims. In the future, these actions or the threat of these actions may force us to change our business practices or pricing policies, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Further, we may be subject to employee, franchisee and other claims in the future based on, among other things, mismanagement of the system, unfair or unequal treatment, discrimination, harassment, wrongful termination and wage, rest break and meal break issues, including those relating to overtime compensation. We have been subject to these types of claims in the past, and if one or more of these claims were to be successful or if there is a significant increase in the number of these claims, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be harmed.

General regulation of the restaurant industry could adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

The restaurant industry is subject to extensive federal, state and local governmental regulations, including those relating to the preparation and sale of food and those relating to building and zoning requirements. In recent years, there has been an increased legislative, regulatory and consumer focus on nutrition and advertising practices in the food industry, particularly among restaurants. This focus has resulted in, and may continue to result in, the enactment of laws and regulations that impact the ingredients and nutritional content of our menu offerings. For example, a number of states, counties and cities have enacted menu labeling laws requiring multi-unit restaurant operators to disclose certain nutritional information available to customers, or have enacted legislation restricting the use of certain types of ingredients in restaurants. Furthermore, the 2010 Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act ("PPACA") establishes a uniform, federal requirement for certain restaurants to post nutritional information on their menus. Specifically, the PPACA amended the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act to require chain restaurants with 20 or more locations operating under the same name and offering substantially the same menus to publish the total number of calories of standard menu items on menus and menu boards, along with a statement that puts this calorie information in the context of a total daily calorie intake. The PPACA also requires covered restaurants to provide to consumers, upon request, a written summary of detailed nutritional information for each standard menu item, and to provide a statement on menus and menu boards about the availability of this information.

The PPACA further permits the United States Food and Drug Administration (the "FDA") to require covered restaurants to make additional nutrient disclosures, such as disclosure of trans fat content. On November 25, 2014, the FDA announced its final rules for nationwide nutritional labeling on menus of establishments with at least 20 locations, as well as food trucks, vending machines, movie theaters, pizza parlors, amusement parks, grocery stores and anywhere else where ready-to-eat meals are sold. The nutritional labeling rules require establishments to post calorie counts on all menu items, calorie boards and drive-thru displays throughout the United States. Businesses affected by the new regulations have one year to comply. Compliance with current and future laws and regulations regarding the ingredients and nutritional content of our menu items may be costly and time-consuming.

An unfavorable report on, or reaction to, our menu ingredients, the size of our portions or the nutritional content of our menu items could negatively influence the demand for our offerings. Additionally, if consumer health regulations or consumer eating habits change significantly, we may be required to modify or discontinue certain menu items, and we may experience higher costs associated with the implementation of those changes. Additionally, some government authorities are increasing regulations regarding trans fats and sodium, which may require us to limit or eliminate trans fats and sodium from our menu offerings, switch to higher cost ingredients or may hinder our ability to operate in

certain markets. Failure to comply with these laws or regulations could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and prospects.

We cannot make any assurances regarding our ability to effectively respond to changes in consumer health perceptions or our ability to successfully implement the nutrient content disclosure requirements and to adapt our menu offerings to trends in eating habits. The imposition of menu-labeling laws could have an adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position, as well as the restaurant industry in general.

Our certificate of incorporation and by-laws and other corporate documents include anti-takeover provisions which may deter or prevent a takeover attempt.

Some provisions of our certificate of incorporation, by-laws, other corporate documents and provisions of Delaware law may discourage takeover attempts and hinder a merger, tender offer or proxy contest targeting us, including transactions in which stockholders might receive a premium for their shares. This may limit the ability of stockholders to approve a transaction that they may think is in their best interest. The corporate documents include:

Shareholder Rights Agreement. We adopted a new rights agreement which provided for a dividend distribution of one right for each share to holders of record of common stock on June 17, 2013. The rights become exercisable in the event any person or group accumulates 15% or more of our common stock, or if any person or group announces an offer which would result in it owning 15% or more of our common stock and our management does not approve of the proposed ownership.

Employment Contracts. The employment agreements between us and each of Howard M. Lorber and Eric Gatoff provide that in the event there is a change in control of Nathan's, the employee has the option, exercisable within one year for each of Messrs. Lorber and Gatoff, of his becoming aware of the change in control, to terminate his employment agreement. Upon such termination, Mr. Gatoff has the right to receive a lump sum payment equal to his salary and annual bonus for a one-year period, and Mr. Lorber has the right to receive a lump sum payment equal to the greater of (i) his salary and annual bonuses for the remainder of the employment term or (ii) 2.99 times his salary and annual bonus plus the difference between the exercise price of any exercisable options having an exercise price of less than the then current market price of our common stock and such current market price. Mr. Lorber will also receive a tax gross up payment to cover any excise tax.

Changes in the U.S. healthcare system could increase our cost of doing business.

In March 2010, the federal government passed new legislation to reform the U.S. health care system. As part of the plan, employers will be expected to provide their employees with minimum levels of healthcare coverage or incur certain financial penalties. Our workforce includes numerous part-time workers, which may increase our health care costs and expose us to certain excise taxes, in the event that healthcare is offered to less than 95% of our full-time employees, as defined by the legislation. Additionally, some states and localities have passed state and local laws mandating the provision of certain levels of health benefits by some employers. Increased health care costs could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Changes in tax laws and unfavorable resolution of tax contingencies could adversely affect our tax expense.

Our future effective tax rates could be adversely affected by changes in tax laws, both domestically and internationally. From time to time, the United States Congress and foreign, state and local governments consider legislation that could increase our effective tax rates. If changes to applicable tax laws are enacted, our results of operations could be negatively impacted. Our tax returns and positions (including positions regarding jurisdictional authority of foreign governments to impose tax) are subject to review and audit by federal, state, local and international taxing authorities. An unfavorable outcome to a tax audit could result in higher tax expense, thereby negatively impacting our results of operations.

Risks Related to the Notes

Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our financial health and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations under the Notes and our other debt.

As of March 27, 2016, we had $135.0 million of indebtedness under the Senior Secured Notes. Our substantial indebtedness could have important consequences to you. For example, it could:

- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
- make it more difficult for us to satisfy our other financial obligations, including our obligations relating to the Notes;
- restrict us from making strategic acquisitions or cause us to make non-strategic divestitures;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures and other general corporate purposes;
- make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations to our lenders, resulting in possible defaults on and acceleration of such indebtedness;

- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and
- limit our ability to borrow additional funds or increase our cost of borrowing.

Moreover, because of the interest payments we are required to make, our net income for fiscal 2016 and beyond will have a significant negative impact compared to our reported net income in fiscal 2015 period and prior periods. As we entered into the indenture on March 10, 2015 we were only required to accrue interest expense for 20 days during the fiscal 2015 period. In contrast, the full year impact of interest expense on net income has been reflected in our year end results for the period ended March 27, 2016 and will be reflected in future periods so long as the Notes remain outstanding.

In addition, the terms of the indenture governing the Notes contain restrictive covenants that limit our ability to engage in activities that may be in our long-term best interests. Our failure to comply with those covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of all of our debts, including the Notes. The occurrence of any one of these events could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations, prospects or ability to satisfy our obligations under the Notes.

Despite our current indebtedness level, we may still be able to incur significant additional amounts of debt, which could further exacerbate the risks associated with our substantial indebtedness.

We may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness, including additional Notes and other secured indebtedness, in the future. Although the indenture governing the Notes will contain restrictions on the incurrence of additional indebtedness, these restrictions are subject to a number of significant qualifications and exceptions, and under certain circumstances, the amount of indebtedness that could be incurred in compliance with these restrictions could be substantial. If new debt is added to our existing debt levels, the related risks that we face would intensify and we may not be able to meet all our debt obligations, including the repayment of the Notes. In addition, the indenture governing the Notes will not prevent us from incurring obligations that do not constitute indebtedness under the indenture.

To service our indebtedness, we will require a significant amount of cash. Our ability to generate cash depends on many factors beyond our control. As such, we may not be able to generate sufficient cash to service the Notes or our other indebtedness, and may be forced to take other actions to satisfy our obligations under our indebtedness, which may not be successful.

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness, including the Notes, to fund planned capital expenditures and to maintain sufficient working capital will depend on our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that

are beyond our control.

We cannot assure you that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or future borrowings from other sources in an amount sufficient to enable us to service our indebtedness, including the Notes, or to fund our other liquidity needs. If our cash flows and capital resources are insufficient to allow us to make scheduled payments on our indebtedness, we may need to reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets, seek additional capital or restructure or refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness, including the Notes, on or before the maturity thereof, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness, including the Notes, on commercially reasonable terms or at all, or that the terms of that indebtedness will allow any of the above alternative measures or that these measures would satisfy our scheduled debt service obligations. If we are unable to generate sufficient cash flow to repay or refinance our debt on favorable terms, it could significantly adversely affect our financial condition, the value of our outstanding debt, including the Notes, and our ability to make any required cash payments under our indebtedness, including the Notes. Our ability to restructure or refinance our debt will depend on the condition of the capital markets and our financial condition at that time. Any refinancing of our debt could be at higher interest rates and may require us to comply with more onerous covenants, which could further restrict our business operations. In addition, any future credit facility may be secured by a priority lien on substantially all of our assets. As such, our ability to refinance the Notes or seek additional financing could be impaired as a result of such security interest.

We are subject to a number of restrictive covenants, which may restrict our business and financing activities.

The indenture governing the Notes will impose, and the terms of any future indebtedness may impose, operating and other restrictions on us. Such restrictions will affect, and in many respects limit or prohibit, among other things, our ability to:

- incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue certain preferred stock;
- pay dividends on or make distributions in respect of our equity interests;
- redeem, repurchase or retire our equity interests, unsecured indebtedness or subordinated indebtedness;
- make certain investments;
- transfer or sell assets;
- create or incur certain liens;
- create restrictions on the ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends or make other payments to us;
- merge or consolidate with other companies or sell, transfer or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our and our restricted subsidiaries' assets;
- engage in certain transactions with our affiliates; and
- designate our subsidiaries as unrestricted subsidiaries.

The restrictions in the indenture governing the Notes may prevent us from taking actions that we believe would be in the best interests of our business, and may make it difficult for us to successfully execute our business strategy or effectively compete with companies that are not similarly restricted. We also may incur future debt obligations that might subject us to additional restrictive covenants that could affect our financial and operational flexibility. Our ability to comply with these covenants in future periods will largely depend on the pricing of our products and services, and our ability to successfully implement our overall business strategy. We cannot assure you that we will be granted waivers or amendments to these agreements if for any reason we are unable to comply with these agreements. The breach of any of these covenants and restrictions could result in a default under the indenture governing the Notes, which could result in an acceleration of our indebtedness.

Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments.</u>

None.

Item 2. Properties.

Our principal executive offices consist of approximately 9,300 square feet of leased space in a modern office building in Jericho, NY. The lease commenced on January 1, 2010, has a ten (10) year term, with a five (5) year renewal right. We also own a regional office building consisting of approximately 9,500 square feet in Fort Lauderdale, Florida. We currently own one restaurant property consisting of a 2,650 square foot Nathan's restaurant at 86th Street in Brooklyn, NY, located on a 25,000 square foot lot.

At March 27, 2016, other Company-owned restaurants that were operating were located in leased space with terms expiring as shown in the following table:

Nathan's Restaurants	Location	Current Lease Expiration Date	Approximate Square Footage
Coney Island	Brooklyn, NY	December 2027	10,000
Coney Island Boardwalk	Brooklyn, NY	November 2019 (a)	3,800
Long Beach Road	Oceanside, NY	April 2030 (b)	4,100
Central Park Avenue	Yonkers, NY	December 2023	3,500

(a) Seasonal satellite location.

(b) Reflects the relocated restaurant that opened on March 25, 2015.

At March 27, 2016, in addition to the leases listed above, we were the sub-lessor of one property to a franchisee located within the metropolitan New York area.

Aggregate rental expense, net of sublease income, under all current leases amounted to $1,628,000 in fiscal 2016.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time involved in ordinary and routine litigation. Management presently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position, cash flows or results of operations. Nevertheless, litigation is subject to

inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include money damages and, in such event, could result in a material adverse impact on our results of operations for the period in which the ruling occurs.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Common Stock Prices

Our common stock is quoted on the NASDAQ Global Market ("Nasdaq") under the symbol "NATH." The following table sets forth the high and low closing sales prices per share for the periods indicated without adjustment for the special dividend described below:

	High	Low
Fiscal year ended March 27, 2016		
First quarter	$58.57	$36.26
Second quarter	42.77	30.36
Third quarter	51.42	37.27
Fourth quarter	54.44	42.03
Fiscal year ended March 29, 2015		
First quarter	$56.93	$48.31
Second quarter	65.98	49.71
Third quarter	79.22	66.25
Fourth quarter	82.26	71.63

At June 6, 2016, the closing price per share for our common stock, as reported by Nasdaq, was $44.38.

On March 10, 2015, Nathan's declared a special dividend of $25.00 per share. The record date was March 20, 2015 and the payment date was March 27, 2015. Pursuant to Nasdaq rules, March 30, 2015 (which was in the first quarter of fiscal 2016), was the ex-dividend date for Nathans' $25.00 per share special dividend because the total amount of the dividend was greater than 25% of the Companies' market capitalization. The closing sales prices listed above for the fiscal year ended March 29, 2015, represent the actual closing prices and have not been adjusted to reflect the special dividend.

Performance Graph

The graph below represents the Company's cumulative 5-year total shareholder return on common stock with the cumulative total returns of the S&P 500 index and the S&P Restaurant Index. The graph tracks the performance of a $100 investment in our common stock and in each of our indexes (with the reinvestment of all dividends).

Dividend Policy

On March 10, 2015, Nathan's declared a special dividend of $25.00 per share which was paid on March 27, 2015. We do not anticipate that we will pay any cash dividends in the foreseeable future and our ability to pay future dividends is limited by the terms of the indenture with US Bank National Association, as trustee and collateral trustee. Previously, we had not declared or paid a regular cash dividend on our common stock since our initial public offering. It has been the Board of Directors' policy to return capital to our shareholders primarily through the purchase of stock pursuant to our stock buyback programs. In addition to the terms of the Indenture, the payment of any cash dividends in the future will be dependent upon our earnings and financial requirements.

Shareholders

As of June 6, 2016, we had approximately 492 shareholders of record, excluding shareholders whose shares were held by brokerage firms, depositories and other institutional firms in "street name" for their customers.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Period (A)	Total Number of Shares Purchased (B)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (C)
December 28, 2015 January 24, 2016	**-**	**-**	**-**	**66,074**
January 25, 2016 February 21, 2016	**44,439**	**$48.13**	**44,439**	**221,631**
February 22, 2016 March 27, 2016	**130,761**	**$44.47**	**130,761**	**290,874**
Total	**175,200**	**$45.40**	**175,200**	**290,874**

For the thirteen weeks and fiscal year ended March 27, 2016, the Company repurchased 175,200 shares at a cost of $7,960,000 and 449,070 shares at a cost of $19,231,000, respectively, of its common stock. Since the commencement

of the Company’s stock buyback program in September 2001 through March 27, 2016, Nathan’s has purchased a total of 5,096,757 shares of common stock at a cost of approximately $76,031,000 under all of its stock repurchase programs and two modified Dutch Auction tender offers, which includes the shares purchased during the fiscal year ended March 27, 2016.

On February 1, 2016 and March 11, 2016, the Company’s Board of Directors authorized increases to the sixth stock repurchase plan for the purchase of up to 1,200,000 shares of its common stock on behalf of the Company. As of March 27, 2016, Nathan’s has repurchased 909,126 shares at a cost of $28,369,000 under the sixth stock repurchase plan. At March 27, 2016, there were 290,874 shares remaining to be repurchased pursuant to the sixth stock repurchase plan. The plan does not have a set expiration date. Purchases under the Company’s stock repurchase program may be made from time to time, depending on market conditions, in open market or privately-negotiated transactions, at prices deemed appropriate by management. There is no set time limit on the repurchases.

Item 6. **Selected Financial Data.**

	Fiscal years ended (1)				
	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014	March 31, 2013	March 25, 2012
	(In thousands, except per share amounts)				
Statement of Earnings Data:					
Revenues:					
Sales (3)	**$76,031**	$75,520	$65,521	$56,656	$52,369
License royalties	**19,815**	18,011	8,513	8,571	7,526
Franchise fees and royalties	**5,044**	5,581	5,718	5,842	5,646
Total revenues	**100,890**	99,112	79,752	71,069	65,541
Costs and Expenses:					
Cost of sales	**57,998**	61,951	53,072	44,874	42,106
Restaurant operating expenses	**3,557**	3,747	3,142	2,700	3,115
Depreciation and amortization	**1,255**	1,253	1,157	940	965
General and administrative expenses	**13,117**	12,203	11,460	10,437	9,552
Total costs and expenses	**75,927**	79,154	68,831	58,951	55,738
Income from operations	**24,963**	19,958	10,921	12,118	9,803
Interest expense	**(14,630)**	(816)	(135)	(453)	(477)
Interest and other income, net	**151**	263	401	474	681
Insurance gain	**-**	-	2,774	-	**-**
Impairment charge long-term investment	**(100)**	-	(400)	-	-
Income before provision for income taxes	**10,384**	19,405	13,561	12,139	10,007
Provision for income taxes	**4,288**	7,702	5,234	4,671	3,849
Net income (3)	**$6,096**	$11,703	$8,327	$7,468	$6,158
Income per share:					
Basic (3)	**$1.38**	$2.61	$1.87	$1.70	$1.26
Diluted (3)	**$1.37**	$2.55	$1.81	$1.63	$1.22
Dividends declared per share	**$-**	$25.00	$-	$-	$-
Dividends declared total	**$-**	$116,110	$-	$-	$-
Weighted average shares used in computing net income per share					
Basic	**4,430**	4,486	4,450	4,400	4,906
Diluted	**4,463**	4,588	4,605	4,588	5,049

Balance Sheet Data at End of Fiscal Year:					
Working capital	**$49,779**	$61,328	$35,378	$27,525	$21,989
Total assets	**$71,549**	$84,389	$56,135	$49,662	$44,520
Long-term debt, net (4)	**$130,266**	$129,140	$-	$-	$-
Stockholders' (deficit) equity	**$(72,336)**	$(59,908)	$43,897	$34,148	$28,837
Supplemental Non-GAAP information (5):					
EBITDA (6)	**$26,269**	$21,474	$14,853	$13,532	$11,449
Adjusted EBITDA (7)	**$27,155**	$22,497	$13,350	$14,289	$11,916
Selected Restaurant Operating Data:					
Company-owned restaurant sales (3)	**$16,664**	$15,874	$13,231	$13,403	$13,209
Number of Units Open at End of Fiscal Year:					
Company-owned restaurants (8)	**5**	5	5	5	5
Franchised	**259**	296	324	303	299

Notes to Selected Financial Data

(1) Our fiscal year ends on the last Sunday in March, which results in a 52- or 53-week year. The fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015, March 30, 2014 and March 25, 2012 were each on the basis of a 52-week reporting period whereas the fiscal year ended March 31, 2013 was on the basis of a 53-week reporting period.

(2) See Notes A, B and L of the Consolidated Financial Statements for the fiscal year ended March 27, 2016, for any accounting changes, business combinations or dispositions of business operations that materially affect the comparability of the information reflected in this Item 6.

(3) During the fiscal years ended March 29, 2015, March 30, 2014 and March 31, 2013, the Company-owned restaurant sales were negatively impacted due to temporary closings of the Coney Island restaurant due to Superstorm Sandy since October 29, 2012 and the Yonkers restaurant since November 25, 2012 for renovation. Our Oceanside restaurant was also temporarily closed from January 4, 2015 until March 25, 2015 due to being relocated. During the fiscal year ended March 27, 2016, restaurant operating expenses decreased primarily from the reduction in occupancy and related costs at our new Oceanside restaurant which is smaller and more efficient to operate than our previous Oceanside restaurant.

(4) Represents $135.0 million outstanding debt net of unamortized debt discounts and issuance costs of $4,734 and $5,860 at March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, respectively.

(5) The Company has provided EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA that the Company believes will impact the comparability of its results of operations. The Company believes that EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are useful to investors to assist in assessing and understanding the Company's operating performance and underlying trends in the Company's business because EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are (i) among the measures used by management in evaluating performance and (ii) are frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties as a common performance measure. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are not recognized terms under US GAAP and should not be viewed as alternatives to net income (loss) or other measures of financial performance or liquidity in conformity with US GAAP. Additionally, our definitions of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may differ from other companies. Analysis of results and outlook on a non-US GAAP basis should be used as a complement to, and in conjunction with, data presented in accordance with US GAAP.

(6) EBITDA represents net income adjusted for the reversal of (1) interest expense; (2) provision for income taxes and (3) depreciation and amortization expense.

(7) Adjusted EBITDA represents EBITDA adjusted for the reversal of (1) share-based compensation; (2) amortization of bond premium on available-for-sale securities; (3) insurance gain in fiscal 2014 and (4) impairment charges on long-term investment in fiscal 2016 and 2014.

(8) Included the Coney Island and Yonkers restaurants that were being re-developed on March 31, 2013.

Reconciliation of GAAP and Non-GAAP Measures

The following is provided to supplement certain Non-GAAP financial measures discussed in the Selected Financial Data presented above.

In addition to disclosing results that are determined in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles in the United States of America ("US GAAP"), the Company has provided EBITDA excluding (i) interest expense; (ii) provision for income taxes and (iii) depreciation and amortization expense. The Company has also provided Adjusted EBITDA excluding (i) stock-based compensation; (ii) amortization of bond premium on the Company's available-for sale investments; (iii) insurance gain and (iv) impairment charge on long-term investment that the Company believes will impact the comparability of its results of operations.

The Company believes that EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are useful to investors to assist in assessing and understanding the Company's operating performance and underlying trends in the Company's business because EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are (i) among the measures used by management in evaluating performance and (ii) are frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties as a common performance measure.

EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are not recognized terms under US GAAP and should not be viewed as alternatives to net income (loss) or other measures of financial performance or liquidity in conformity with US GAAP. Additionally, our definitions of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may differ from other companies. Analysis of results and outlook on a non-US GAAP basis should be used as a complement to, and in conjunction with, data presented in accordance with US GAAP.

	Fiscal Year (1)				
(In thousands)	**2016**	2015	2014	2013	2012
Net income	**6,096**	11,703	8,327	7,468	6,158
Interest expense	**14,630**	816	135	453	477
Income taxes	**4,288**	7,702	5,234	4,671	3,849
Depreciation & amortization	**1,255**	1,253	1,157	940	965
EBITDA	**26,269**	21,474	14,853	13,532	11,449
Insurance gain	**-**	-	(2,774)	-	-
Impairment charge long-term investment	**100**	-	400	-	-
Amortization of bond premium	**64**	164	150	130	193
Stock-based compensation	**722**	859	721	627	274

ADJUSTED EBITDA	**27,155**	22,497	13,350	14,289	11,916

(1) Our fiscal year ends on the last Sunday in March which results in a 52- 53-week year. The fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015, March 30, 2014 and March 25, 2012 consisted of 52 weeks. The fiscal year ended March 31, 2013 consisted of 53 weeks.

Item 7. **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

Introduction

On October 29, 2012, the Northeastern United States was hit by Superstorm Sandy which caused significant damage to our Flagship Coney Island location closing the restaurant for repair from October 29, 2012 until May 20, 2013.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2014, Nathan's settled the property claim with its insurance carriers and received approximately $3.4 million, net of fees, and used these proceeds towards the rebuilding of the restaurant. In April 2014, Nathan's settled the business interruption claim with the insurance carrier and received approximately $718,000, net of fees.

On November 25, 2012, we closed the Company-owned restaurant in Yonkers, New York, as a part of a redevelopment of the property into a strip center, which includes a new Nathan's Company-owned restaurant that re-opened on November 18, 2013.

Additionally, our Oceanside restaurant was also temporarily closed from January 4, 2015 until March 25, 2015 due to its relocation.

These three events significantly impacted our results of operations and the comparability of restaurant operations during the fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2014 periods reported.

As a result of the above, Nathan's Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Form 10-K will discuss significant attributes of the closed periods on Company-owned restaurant operations.

We are engaged primarily in the marketing of the "Nathan's Famous" brand and the sale of products bearing the "Nathan's Famous" trademarks through several different channels of distribution. Historically, our business has been the operation and franchising of quick-service restaurants featuring Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, crinkle-cut French-fried potatoes, and a variety of other menu offerings. Our Company-owned and franchised units operate under the name "Nathan's Famous," the name first used at our original Coney Island restaurant opened in 1916. Nathan's product licensing program began in 1978 by selling packaged hot dogs and other meat products to retail customers

through supermarkets or grocery-type retailers for off-site consumption. During fiscal 1998, we introduced our Branded Product Program, which currently enables foodservice retailers and others to sell some of Nathan's proprietary products outside of the realm of a traditional franchise relationship. In conjunction with this program, purchasers of Nathan's products are granted a limited use of the Nathan's Famous trademark with respect to the sale of the purchased products, including Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dogs, certain other proprietary food items and paper goods. During fiscal 2008, we launched our Branded Menu Program, which is a limited franchise program, under which foodservice operators may sell a greater variety of Nathan's Famous menu items than under the Branded Product Program.

Our revenues are generated primarily from selling products under Nathan's Branded Product Program, operating Company-owned restaurants, franchising the Nathan's restaurant concept (including under the Branded Menu Program) and licensing agreements for the sale of Nathan's products within supermarkets and club stores, the manufacture of certain proprietary spices and the sale of Nathan's products directly to other foodservice operators.

The following summary reflects the franchise openings and closings of the Nathan's franchise system for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015, March 30, 2014, March 31, 2013 and March 25, 2012.

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014	March 31, 2013	March 25, 2012
Franchised restaurants operating at the beginning of the period	**296**	324	303	299	264
Franchised restaurants opened during the period	**56**	36	56	40	67
Franchised restaurants closed during the period	**(93)**	(64)	(35)	(36)	(32)
Franchised restaurants operating at the end of the period	**259**	296	324	303	299

At March 27, 2016, our franchise system consisted of 259 Nathan's franchised units located in 21 states, and 11 foreign countries. We also operate five Company-owned Nathan's units, including one seasonal location, within the New York metropolitan area.

As described in Risk Factors and other sections in this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended March 27, 2016, our future results could be impacted by many developments. In March 2014, John Morrell & Co., a subsidiary of Smithfield Foods, Inc. became Nathan's exclusive licensee to manufacture and sell hot dogs, sausage and corned beef at retail. Our future operating results could be negatively impacted if we do not continue to increase our license revenue under the John Morrell Agreement and achieve cost savings. There are also certain risks associated with engaging John Morrell & Co. as exclusive licensee including whether (i) we can maintain or improve the quality and consistency of our products that is expected by our customers, and (ii) John Morrell & Co. will have a sufficient supply of products available for our customers on a timely basis.

Our future operating results could be impacted by supply constraints on beef, as a result of the lingering effect of weather conditions in the Midwest on beef prices.

On March 10, 2015, we consummated a $135 million offering of Notes and subsequently paid a dividend of $25.00 per share (or approximately $116 million in the aggregate). As we consummated the Notes offering on March 10, 2015, we were only required to accrue interest expense for 20 days during fiscal 2015. In contrast, the full year impact of interest expense on net income has been reflected in our year end results for the period ended March 27, 2016. Our future results could also be impacted by our interest obligations under the Notes. As a result of the issuance of the Notes, Nathan's expects to incur interest expense of $13.5 million per annum and annual amortization of debt issuance costs of $1,185,000. The Indenture governing the Notes imposes operating and other restrictions on us.

In the fiscal year ending March 27, 2016, we paid interest of $6,937,500 and $6,750,000 on September 15, 2015 and March 15, 2016, respectively.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements and the notes to our consolidated financial statements contain information that is pertinent to management's discussion and analysis. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. We believe the following critical accounting policies involve additional management judgment due to the sensitivity of the methods, assumptions and estimates necessary in determining the related asset and liability amounts.

Revenue Recognition

Sales by Company-owned restaurants, which are typically paid in cash by the customer, are recognized at the point of sale. Sales are presented net of sales tax.

In connection with its franchising operations, Nathan's receives initial franchise fees, area development fees, royalties, and in certain cases, revenue from sub-leasing restaurant properties to franchisees.

Franchise and area development fees, which are typically received prior to completion of the revenue recognition process, are recorded as deferred revenue. Initial franchise fees, which are non-refundable, are recognized as income when substantially all services to be performed by Nathan's and conditions relating to the sale of the franchise have been performed or satisfied, which generally occurs when the franchised restaurant commences operations. The following services are typically provided by Nathan's prior to the opening of a franchised restaurant:

Approval of all site selections to be developed.
Provision of architectural plans suitable for restaurants to be developed.
Assistance in establishing building design specifications, reviewing construction compliance and equipping the restaurant.
Provision of appropriate menus to coordinate with the restaurant design and location to be developed.
Provision of management training for the new franchisee and selected staff.
Assistance with the initial operations and marketing of restaurants being developed.

Development fees are nonrefundable and the related agreements require the franchisee to open a specified number of restaurants in the development area within a specified time period or the agreements may be canceled by the Company. Revenue from development agreements is deferred and shall be recognized, with an appropriate provision for estimated uncollectible amounts, when all material services or conditions to the sale have been substantially performed by the franchisor. If substantial obligations under the development agreement are not dependent on the number of individual franchise locations to be opened, substantial performance shall be determined using the same criteria applicable to an individual franchise, which is generally the opening of the first location pursuant to the development agreement. If substantial performance is dependent on the number of locations, then the development fee is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreement, as restaurants in the development area commence operations on a pro rata basis to the minimum number of restaurants required to be open, or at the time the development agreement is effectively canceled.

Nathan's recognizes franchise royalties on a monthly basis, which are generally based upon a percentage of sales made by Nathan's franchisees, when they are earned and deemed collectible. Franchise fees and royalties that are not deemed to be collectible are not recognized as revenue until paid by the franchisee, or until collectability is deemed to be reasonably assured.

Nathan's recognizes revenue from its Branded Menu Program either upon its sale of hot dogs or royalty income when it has been determined that other qualifying products have been sold by the manufacturer to Nathan's Branded Menu Program franchisees.

Nathan's recognizes revenue from the Branded Product Program upon delivery to Nathan's customers via third party common carrier to Nathan's customers. Rebates to customers are recorded as a reduction to sales.

Revenue from sub-leasing properties is recognized as income as the revenue is earned and becomes receivable and deemed collectible. Sub-lease rental income is presented net of associated lease costs in the consolidated statements of earnings.

Nathan's recognizes revenue from royalties on the licensing of the use of its intellectual property in connection with certain products produced and sold by outside vendors. The use of the Nathan's intellectual property must be approved by Nathan's prior to each specific application to ensure proper quality and project a consistent image. Revenue from license royalties is recognized on a monthly basis when it is earned and deemed collectible.

In the normal course of business, we extend credit to franchisees and licensees for the payment of ongoing royalties and to trade customers of our Branded Product Program. Accounts and other receivables, net, as shown on our consolidated balance sheets are net of allowances for doubtful accounts. An allowance for doubtful accounts is determined through analysis of the aging of accounts receivable at the date of the financial statements, assessment of collectability based upon historical trends and an evaluation of the impact of current and projected economic conditions. In the event that the collectability of a receivable at the date of the transaction is doubtful, the associated revenue is not recorded until the facts and circumstances change in accordance with the applicable accounting standards. The Company writes off accounts receivable when they are deemed uncollectible.

Impairment of Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets are deemed to have indefinite lives, and accordingly, are not amortized, but are evaluated annually (or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable) for impairment. The most significant assumptions, which are used in this test, are estimates of future cash flows. We typically use the same assumptions for this test as we use in the development of our business plans. If these assumptions differ significantly from actual results, impairment charges may be required in the future. We conducted our annual impairment tests and no goodwill or other intangible assets were determined to be impaired during the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment is measured by comparing the carrying value of the long-lived assets to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from use of the assets and their ultimate disposition. In instances where impairment is determined to exist, the Company writes down the asset to its fair value based on the present value of estimated future cash flows.

Impairment losses are recorded on long-lived assets on a restaurant-by-restaurant basis whenever impairment factors are determined to be present. The Company considers a history of restaurant operating losses to be its primary indicator of potential impairment for individual restaurant locations. As a result of Superstorm Sandy, our Coney Island restaurant sustained significant damage and was considered temporarily impaired for purposes of this analysis. The restaurant was fully repaired and re-opened on May 20, 2013. No other impairment charges on long-lived assets were recorded during the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014.

Impairment of Long-Term Investment

We make judgments regarding the future realizability of this investment based upon the financial information provided to us by the investment’s management. We typically rely on management’s assumptions, of future revenues and cash flows based upon the annual business plans presented. If these assumptions differ significantly from actual results, we consider whether indicators of impairment exist. If an impairment indicator exists, management evaluates the fair value of its investment to determine if an, other than temporary impairment in value has occurred. We have performed our evaluation of whether indicators of impairment existed, and determined that an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred and recorded impairment charges of $100,000 and $400,000 on this investment during the fifty-two week periods ended March 27, 2016 and March 30, 2014, respectively. We have not recognized any impairment on our long-term investments during the fifty-two week period ended March 29, 2015.

Stock-Based Compensation

As discussed in Note L of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, we have one active share-based compensation plan that provides stock options and restricted stock awards for certain employees and non-employee directors to acquire shares of our common stock. We consider the following factors in determining the value of stock-based compensation:

(a) expected option term based upon expected termination behavior;
(b) volatility based upon historical price changes of the Company’s common stock over a period equal to the expected life of the option;
(c) expected dividend yield; and
(d) risk free interest rate on date of grant.

Income Taxes

The Company’s current provision for income taxes is based upon its estimated taxable income in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates, after considering the impact on taxable income of temporary differences resulting from different treatment of items for tax and financial reporting purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and any operating loss or tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income in those periods in which temporary differences become deductible. Should management determine that it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets would be established in the period such determination was made.

Uncertain Tax Positions

Financial Accounting Standards establish guidance for the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. The Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Financial Accounting Standards also provide guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure requirements. (See Note J of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.)

Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new accounting guidance changing the criteria for reporting discontinued operations. The revised definition of a discontinued operation includes those components of an entity or a group of components of an entity representing a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. The guidance eliminates the current requirement to assess continuing cash flow and continuing involvement with the disposal group. The revised definition also includes a business or nonprofit activity that, on acquisition, meets the criteria to be classified as held for sale. A disposal meeting the new definition is required to be reported as discontinued operations when the component of an entity or group of components of an entity meets the held for sale criteria, is actually disposed of by sales, or is disposed of through means other than a sale. The guidance was effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2016 and did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In January 2015, the FASB issued new guidance to simplify the income statement presentation requirements by eliminating the seldom-used concept of extraordinary items. Extraordinary items are events and transactions that are distinguished by their unusual nature and by the infrequency of their occurrence. Eliminating the extraordinary classification simplifies the income statement presentation by no longer segregating such extraordinary items from the ordinary results of operations and separately stating the amount, net of tax along with the effect on earnings per share. This new standard is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods therein, which for Nathan's would be its first quarter of fiscal 2017 beginning March 28, 2016. Early adoption is permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company early adopted this standard beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2016. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In November 2015, the FASB issued new accounting guidance requiring deferred tax assets and liabilities be presented as noncurrent in a classified balance sheet. This accounting principle change will be effective in calendar year 2017 for public entities with calendar year reporting periods. However, early adoption is permitted for any

interim or annual period. Public entities are required to apply the new guidance in the annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within those annual reporting periods. This standard is required to take effect in Nathan's first quarter ending (June 2017) of our fiscal year ending March 25, 2018. However, early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of any interim or annual reporting period. Nathan's may apply the amendment prospectively or retrospectively to all periods presented. In case of a prospective application, Nathan's would disclose in the first interim and annual period of change (i) the nature of and reason for the change in accounting principle, and (ii) a statement that prior periods were not adjusted. If the amendment is applied retrospectively, Nathan's would have to disclose in the first interim and annual period of change (i) the nature of and reason for the change in accounting principle, and (ii) quantitative information about the effects of the accounting change on prior periods. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

New Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In May 2014, the FASB issued a new accounting standard that attempts to establish a uniform basis for recording revenue to virtually all industries financial statements, under U.S. GAAP as amended in March 2016 and April 2016. The FASB issued two updates to the standard clarifying reporting revenue between Principle versus Agent and clarification in determining performance obligations and licenses guidance. The revenue standard's core principle is built on the contract between a vendor and a customer for the provision of goods and services. It attempts to depict the exchange of rights and obligations between the parties in the pattern of revenue recognition based on the consideration to which the vendor is entitled. In order to accomplish this objective, companies must evaluate the following five basic steps: (i) identify the contract with the customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. There are three basic transition methods that are available – full retrospective, retrospective with certain practical expedients, and a cumulative effect approach. Under the third alternative, an entity would apply the new revenue standard only to contracts that are incomplete under legacy U.S. guidance at the date of initial application and recognize the cumulative effect of the new standard as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. Prior years would not be restated and additional disclosures would be required to enable users of the financial statements to understand the impact of adopting the new standard in the current year compared to prior years that are presented under legacy U.S. guidance. Early adoption is prohibited. Public companies were originally expected to apply the new standard for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods therein, which for Nathan's would have been its first quarter of fiscal 2018, beginning on March 27, 2017. On May 12, 2015, the FASB issued a second proposed update to the standard clarifying the distinction between revenue from licenses of intellectual property that represent a promise to deliver a good or service over time versus a promise to be satisfied at a point in time. On July 9, 2015, the FASB agreed to delay the standard's effective date to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 which will now be our first quarter (June 2018) of our fiscal year ending March 31, 2019. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting standard on its consolidated financial position and results of operations. The Company does not believe that the standard will impact its recognition of revenue for its Branded Product Program, Company-operated restaurants or its recognition of royalties from its franchised restaurants or retail licensees, which are based on a percentage of sales. The Company is evaluating the impact the adoption of this standard will have on the recognition of fees received from international development fees from the sales of exclusive territorial right, initial fees from franchisees for new restaurant openings or extended franchise terms.

In August 2014, the FASB issued new guidance that requires management to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. If such conditions exist, management will be required to include disclosures enabling users to understand those conditions and management's plans to alleviate or mitigate those conditions. This new standard is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 16, 2016. This standard will take effect in Nathan's fourth quarter of our fiscal year ending March 26, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting standard on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In July 2015, the FASB updated U.S. accounting guidance to simplify the ways businesses measure inventory. Companies that use the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method or the average cost method will measure inventory at the lower of its cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the normal course of business, minus the cost of completion, disposal, and transportation. Companies will no longer consider replacement cost or net realizable value less a normal profit margin when measuring inventory. This new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 which will be our first quarter (June 2017) of our fiscal year ending March 25, 2018. Nathan's does not expect the adoption of this new guidance to have a material impact on its results of operations or financial position.

In February 2016, the FASB issued a new accounting standard on leases. The new standard, among other changes, will require lessees to recognize a right-of-use asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases. The lease liability will be measured at the present value of the lease payments over the lease term. The right-of-use asset will be measured at the lease liability amount, adjusted for lease prepayments, lease incentives received and the lessee's initial direct costs (e.g. commissions). The new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim reporting periods within those annual reporting periods. This standard is required to take effect in Nathan's first quarter ending (June 2019) of our fiscal year ending March 29, 2020. The adoption will require a modified retrospective approach for leases that exist or are entered into after the beginning of the earliest period presented. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting standard on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In March 2016, The FASB issued new guidance that will change how companies account for certain aspects of its share-based payments to employees. The update simplifies the accounting for a stock payment's tax consequences. It also amends how excess tax benefits and a business's payments to cover the tax bills for the shares' recipients should be classified. The amendments allow companies to estimate the number of stock awards they expect to vest, and they revised the withholding requirements for classifying stock awards as equity. Previously, tax withheld was permitted only at the minimum statutory tax rates, which is being amended to permit higher tax withholding as long as it does not exceed the maximum statutory tax rate for an employee in the applicable jurisdictions. This new standard will be effective for public companies with fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016 which will be Nathan’s first quarter ending (June 2017) of our fiscal year ending in March 2018. However, early application is permitted. Nathan’s will early adopt effective its first fiscal quarter ending June 26, 2016 and is currently completing its evaluation of the effects of this new accounting standard on its financial position and results of operations. Pursuant to the standard, Nathan’s should recognize all excess tax benefits (“windfalls”) and tax deficiencies (“shortfalls”), including tax benefits of dividends on share-based payment awards, as income tax expense or benefit in the income statement. These items shall not be factored into to projected annual income tax rate, but will be treated as discrete items when they occur. Accordingly, this new treatment will add additional volatility in the Company’s effective tax rate.

The Company does not believe that any other recently issued, but not yet effective accounting standards, when adopted, will have a material effect on the accompanying financial statements.

Results of Operations

Fiscal year ended March 27, 2016 compared to fiscal year ended March 29, 2015

Revenues

Total sales were $76,031,000 for the fifty-two weeks ended March 27, 2016 (“fiscal 2016 period”) as compared to $75,520,000 for the fifty-two weeks ended March 29, 2015 (“fiscal 2015 period”). Foodservice sales from the Branded Product Program were $58,545,000 for the fiscal 2016 period as compared to sales of $58,948,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. During the fiscal 2016 period, the volume of business increased by approximately 4.6%. Because of a change in pricing strategy, which is more closely correlated to the cost of beef which declined by approximately 11.6%, our average selling prices were lowered by approximately 3.7% during the fiscal 2016 period as compared to the fiscal 2015 period. Total Company-owned restaurant sales increased 5.0% to $16,664,000 during the fiscal 2016 period compared to $15,874,000 during the fiscal 2015 period due primarily to higher sales at both Coney Island locations. Other sales, primarily to Wal-Mart, also increased by $124,000 during the fiscal 2016 period compared to the fiscal 2015 period.

License royalties were $19,815,000 in the fiscal 2016 period as compared to $18,011,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. Total royalties earned on sales of hot dogs from our license agreement with John Morrell & Co. at retail and foodservice, substantially from sales of hot dogs to Sam's Club, increased by 11.6% to $17,975,000 for the fiscal 2016 period as compared to $16,105,000 during the fiscal 2015 period. The increase is substantially attributable to the organic growth in our consumer packaged hot dog business as a result of more effective sales, marketing and promotional strategies. Royalties earned from all other licensing agreements for the manufacture and sale of Nathan's products decreased by $66,000, during the fiscal 2016 period, compared to the fiscal 2015 period, primarily from lower royalties earned from the sale of French fries, condiments, mini-bagel dogs and franks-in-the-blanket and other hors d'oeuvres and salty snacks.

Franchise fees and royalties were $5,044,000 in the fiscal 2016 period as compared to $5,581,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. Total royalties were $4,293,000 in the fiscal 2016 period as compared to $4,538,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. Royalties earned under the Branded Menu programs were $1,000,000 in the fiscal 2016 period as compared to $957,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. Royalties earned under the Branded Menu Program are based on product purchases rather than a percentage of restaurant sales. Traditional franchise royalties were $3,293,000 in the fiscal 2016 period compared to $3,581,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. Franchise restaurant sales decreased to $73,276,000 in the fiscal 2016 period compared to $80,107,000 in the fiscal 2015 period primarily due to the impact of closed restaurants. Comparable domestic franchise sales (consisting of 99 Nathan's outlets, excluding sales under the Branded Menu Program) were $56,548,000 in the fiscal 2016 period compared to $56,414,000 in the fiscal 2015 period, an increase of 0.2%.

At March 27, 2016, 259 domestic and international franchised or Branded Menu Program franchise outlets were operating as compared to 296 domestic and international franchised or Branded Menu Program franchise outlets at March 29, 2015. Total franchise fee income was $751,000 in the fiscal 2016 period, including $58,000 of cancellation or termination fees compared to $1,043,000 in the fiscal 2015 period including $143,000 of cancellation or termination fees. Domestic franchise fee income was $394,000 in the fiscal 2016 period compared to $276,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. International franchise fee income was $299,000 in the fiscal 2016 period, compared to $624,000 during the fiscal 2015 period. During the fiscal 2016 period, 56 new franchised outlets opened, including 25 international locations, and 22 Branded Menu Program outlets. During the fiscal 2016 period we opened our first two units in Panama and Australia pursuant to new development agreements. Additionally, we opened 17 units in Russia, 2 units in Malaysia, one unit in Costa Rica and one unit in the Dominican Republic. During the fiscal 2015 period, 36 new franchised outlets opened, including 13 international locations, including our first locations in Costa Rica and Malaysia, and 17 Branded Menu Program outlets opened, including six Arthur Treacher's units. Additionally, during the fiscal 2015 period, a master franchisee exercised an option to acquire the rights to develop franchised outlets throughout Mexico.

Costs and Expenses

Overall, our cost of sales decreased by $3,953,000 to $57,998,000 in the fiscal 2016 period compared to $61,951,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. Our gross profit (representing the difference between sales and cost of sales) was $18,033,000 or 23.7% of sales during the fiscal 2016 period as compared to $13,569,000 or 18.0% of sales during the fiscal 2015 period. The margin improvement was primarily due to the impact of the continued reduction in the cost of beef on our product costs since the summer, and the effect of selling price increases implemented in the Company-operated restaurants.

Cost of sales in the Branded Product Program decreased approximately $4,160,000 during the fiscal 2016 period compared to the fiscal 2015 period, primarily as a result of an approximately 11.4% decrease in the average cost per pound of our hot dogs. In anticipation of higher costs beginning 2016, we entered into a purchase commitment for approximately 2.6 million pounds of hot dogs to be purchased after January 1, 2016. The market price remained abnormally low during January and February 2016, resulting in higher costs of approximately $87,000 during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 in connection with our purchase commitment, which we expect will be offset during the first quarter of fiscal 2017. During the fiscal 2016 period, the market cost of our hot dogs was approximately 11.6% lower than during the fiscal 2015 period. During the fiscal 2016 period, approximately 9.7% of our product was purchased pursuant to a purchase commitment. We did not enter any purchase commitments that affected cost of sales during the fiscal 2015 period. We have attempted to enter into sales agreements with our customers that are correlated to our cost of beef, thus reducing our market volatility. Although the cost of beef and beef trimmings have declined, if we were unable to pass on future cost increases through price increases or otherwise reduce any increase in our costs through the use of purchase commitments, our margins will be adversely impacted.

With respect to Company-owned restaurants, our cost of sales during the fiscal 2016 period was $9,153,000 or 54.9% of restaurant sales, as compared to $9,072,000 or 57.2% of restaurant sales in the fiscal 2015 period due primarily to

the impact of lower food and labor costs. Our average hourly labor costs have increased beginning January 2016 as a result of the new minimum wage legislation in New York State. Since the minimum wage increase took effect, we estimate that restaurant average hourly labor costs have increased by approximately 12.2%. We have recently increased certain selling prices to pass on recent cost of sales increases. However, if we are unable to fully offset these and future increases through pricing and operating efficiencies, our margins and profits will be negatively affected.

Restaurant operating expenses were $3,557,000 in the fiscal 2016 period compared to $3,747,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. The decrease in restaurant operating costs results primarily from the reduction of approximately $126,000 in occupancy and related costs at our new Oceanside restaurant which is smaller and more efficient to operate than our previous Oceanside restaurant and lower utility costs of approximately $110,000. Despite the recent reduction in our utility costs, we continue to be concerned about the volatile market conditions for oil and natural gas.

Depreciation and amortization was $1,255,000 in the fiscal 2016 period compared to $1,253,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. This change is primarily attributable to the increased depreciation from the investments made in the Oceanside restaurant. Approximately $94,000 of depreciation expense was in connection with the redevelopment of the relocated Oceanside restaurant that re-opened on March 25, 2015.

General and administrative expenses increased $914,000 or 7.5% to $13,117,000 in the fiscal 2016 period as compared to $12,203,000 in the fiscal 2015 period. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased severance costs of $197,000, legal and other professional fees of $375,000, recruitment fees of $71,000, marketing expenses of $111,000 and relocation expenses of $88,000. We have recently begun a new initiative to target franchising within captive markets by hiring a sales executive with a proven track record in the industry and are developing new menu items specifically for this venue.

Other Items

Interest income was $52,000 in the fiscal 2016 period compared to $176,000 in the fiscal 2015 period, primarily due to lower interest income earned on marketable securities. In July 2015, the Company sold all of its tax-exempt marketable securities and is seeking to re-invest a portion of its cash and cash equivalents in a higher yielding money market account.

Other income of $99,000 in the fiscal 2016 period as compared to $87,000 in the fiscal 2015 period relates primarily to a sublease of a co-branded franchised restaurant.

Interest expense of $14,630,000 in the fiscal 2016 period represents interest of $13,445,000 on the Notes commencing March 10, 2015 and amortization of debt discounts and issuance costs of $1,185,000 during the same period. Interest expense of $816,000 in the fiscal 2015 period represents accrued interest of $750,000 on the Notes and amortization of debt discounts and issuance costs of $66,000 during the same period. As a result of the issuance of the Notes, Nathan's expects to incur interest expense of $13.5 million per annum and annual amortization of debt discounts and issuance costs of $1,185,000.

The Company recognized an, other-than-temporary impairment charge on its long-term investment of $100,000 in the fiscal 2016 period based on management's assessment of the future recoverability of the investments.

Provision for Income Taxes

In the fiscal 2016 period, the income tax provision was $4,288,000 or 41.3% of earnings before income taxes compared to $7,702,000 or 39.7% of income before income taxes in the fiscal 2015 period. Nathan's effective tax rate was reduced by 0.1% during the fiscal 2016 period and reduced by 0.4% during the fiscal 2015 period, due to the differing effects of tax-exempt interest income. During the fiscal 2016 period, Nathan's resolved certain uncertain tax positions, reducing the associated unrecognized tax benefits, along with the related accrued interest and penalties, by approximately $184,000, which lowered the effective tax rate by 1.8%. Additionally, during the fiscal 2015 period, Nathan's resolved certain uncertain tax positions, reducing the associated unrecognized tax benefits, along with the related accrued interest and penalties, by approximately $126,000, which lowered the effective tax rate by 0.6%. During the fiscal 2016 period, Nathan's effective tax rate increased by approximately 1.1% due to limitations associated with its tax exempt investments. Nathan's effective tax rates without these adjustments would have been 42.1% for the fiscal 2016 period and 40.7% for the fiscal 2015 period. The effective tax rate in the fiscal 2016 period reflects higher state taxes in those states that we do business that do not permit the filing of consolidated tax returns. Nathan's estimates that its unrecognized tax benefits including the related accrued interest and penalties could be further reduced by up to $60,000 during fiscal 2017.

Fiscal year ended March 29, 2015 compared to fiscal year ended March 30, 2014

Revenues

Total sales increased by 15.3% to $75,520,000 for the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $65,521,000 for the fifty-two weeks ended March 30, 2014 ("fiscal 2014 period"). Foodservice sales from the Branded Product Program increased by 13.6% to $58,948,000 for the fiscal 2015 period as compared to sales of $51,877,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. This increase was primarily attributable to a higher average selling price due primarily to price increases as compared to the fiscal 2014 period. Total Company-owned restaurant sales increased 20.0% to $15,874,000 during the fiscal 2015 period compared to $13,231,000 during the fiscal 2014 period. This increase was primarily attributed to operating our Coney Island and Yonkers restaurants for the entire fiscal 2015 period. Our Flagship Coney Island restaurant operated for approximately forty-four weeks during the fiscal 2014 period and our Yonkers restaurant operated for nineteen weeks during the fiscal 2014 period. The sales impact while these restaurants were closed was approximately $2,233,000. Additionally, sales at our two Coney Island restaurants during the periods operated during the fiscal 2015 period were approximately $814,000 higher than the periods operated during the fiscal 2014 period due primarily to an increase in customer counts of approximately 5.9%. Additionally, our Oceanside restaurant temporarily closed in early January 2015 for relocation and re-opened on March 25, 2015. We estimate that this closure reduced sales by approximately $260,000. Other sales increased by $285,000 during the fiscal 2015 period compared to the fiscal 2014 period.

License royalties were $18,011,000 in the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $8,513,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. Total royalties earned on sales of hot dogs from our retail and foodservice license agreements increased 138.9% to $16,105,000 for the 2015 fiscal period compared to $6,742,000 during the fiscal 2014 period. Royalties earned from John Morrell & Co., primarily from the retail sale of hot dogs, were $14,367,000 during the fiscal 2015 period resulting mostly from the higher rate earned pursuant to the new agreement. During the fiscal 2014 period, royalties earned during 11 months of the SMG contract, primarily from the retail sale of hot dogs, were $4,600,000. Additionally, during March 2014, we earned royalties of $548,000 from approximately two weeks of sales by John Morrell & Co during the transition period between contracts. Royalties earned from our foodservice license agreement, substantially from sales of hot dogs to Sam's Club, were $1,738,000 during the fiscal 2015 period compared to $1,594,000 during the fiscal 2014 period. License royalties earned from the sale of Nathan's French fries increased by $172,000 to $507,000 during the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $335,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. Royalties earned from all other licensing agreements for the manufacture and sale of Nathan's products decreased by $37,000, during the fiscal 2015 period, compared to the fiscal 2014 period, primarily from lower royalties earned from the sale of mini-bagel dogs and franks-in-the-blanket and other hors d'oeuvres.

Franchise fees and royalties were $5,581,000 in the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $5,718,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. Total royalties were $4,538,000 in the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $4,855,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. Royalties earned under the Branded Menu programs were $957,000 in the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $1,011,000 in the fiscal 2014 period due principally to a fewer number of units operating. Royalties earned under the Branded Menu Program are based on product purchases rather than a percentage of restaurant sales. Traditional

franchise royalties were $3,581,000 in the fiscal 2015 period compared to $3,844,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. Franchise restaurant sales decreased to $80,107,000 in the fiscal 2015 period compared to $85,850,000 in the fiscal 2014 period primarily due to the impact of closed restaurants. Comparable domestic franchise sales (consisting of 93 Nathan's outlets, excluding sales under the Branded Menu Program) were $53,992,000 in the fiscal 2015 period compared to $55,548,000 in the fiscal 2014 period, a decrease of 2.8%.

At March 29, 2015, our franchise system consisted of 296 domestic and international franchised or Branded Menu Program franchise outlets as compared to 324 units at March 30, 2014. Total franchise fee income was $1,043,000 in the fiscal 2015 period, including $143,000 of cancellation or termination fees compared to $863,000 in the fiscal 2014 period including $288,000 of cancellation or termination fees. Domestic franchise fee income was $276,000 in the fiscal 2015 period compared to $370,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. International franchise fee income was $624,000 in the fiscal 2015 period, compared to $205,000 during the fiscal 2014 period. During the fiscal 2015 period, 36 new franchised outlets opened, including 13 international locations, including our first locations in Costa Rica and Malaysia, and 17 Branded Menu Program outlets, including six Arthur Treacher's units. Additionally, during the fiscal 2015 period, a master franchisee exercised an option to acquire the rights to develop franchised outlets throughout Mexico. During fiscal 2014, 56 new franchised outlets opened, including 34 locations in Russia and nine Branded Menu Program outlets, including our first Arthur Treacher's unit.

Costs and Expenses

Overall, our cost of sales increased $8,879,000 to $61,951,000 in the fiscal 2015 period compared to $53,072,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. Our gross profit (representing the difference between sales and cost of sales) was $13,569,000 or 18.0% of sales during the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $12,449,000 or 19.0% of sales during the fiscal 2014 period. The margin decline was primarily due to the impact of a higher average cost per pound of hot dogs for our Branded Product Program during the second and third quarters fiscal 2015.

Cost of sales in the Branded Product Program increased approximately $7,173,000 during the fiscal 2015 period compared to the fiscal 2014 period, primarily as a result of an approximately 17.7% increase in the average cost per pound of our hot dogs. During the fiscal 2015 period, the market cost of our hot dogs was approximately 17.1% higher than during the fiscal 2014 period. During the fiscal 2014 period, our purchase commitments yielded savings of approximately $198,000. During the fiscal 2014 period, approximately 13.4% of our product was purchased pursuant to our purchase commitments. The purchase commitments lowered our costs by approximately $0.011 per pound during the fiscal 2014 period. If the cost of beef and beef trimmings increases and we are unable to pass on these higher costs through price increases or otherwise reduce any increase in our costs through the use of purchase commitments, our margins will be adversely impacted. We have increased our selling prices to pass on these recent cost increases and expect to perform ongoing reviews based on market conditions, but there can be no assurance that we will be able to continue to increase our selling prices.

With respect to Company-owned restaurants, our cost of sales during the fiscal 2015 period was $9,072,000 or 57.2% of restaurant sales, as compared to $7,574,000 or 57.2% of restaurant sales in the fiscal 2014 period due primarily to the impact of higher food costs which were offset from lower labor costs. We have recently increased certain selling prices to pass on recent cost of sales increases.

Restaurant operating expenses were $3,747,000 in the fiscal 2015 period compared to $3,142,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. The increase in restaurant operating costs results primarily from the different number of months that the Coney Island and Yonkers restaurants operated in the two fiscal periods. During the fiscal 2014 period, the Coney Island restaurant operated for approximately forty-four weeks and the Yonkers restaurant operated for approximately nineteen weeks. Incremental costs were approximately $441,000 during the fiscal 2015 period, as compared to the closed periods during the fiscal 2014 period. We also incurred higher operating costs at our two Coney Island locations of approximately $263,000 during the fiscal 2015 period arising from higher occupancy and other expenses. Due to the temporary closing of our Oceanside restaurant for approximately three months, our restaurant operating costs were lower than the fiscal 2014 period by approximately $110,000. In connection with our October 2013 insurance renewal, we incurred a significant increase in insurance costs, primarily property insurance, due to the impact of Superstorm Sandy on the insurance marketplace. Utility costs of the three restaurants operating for comparative periods increased by approximately 38% from the fiscal 2014 period to the fiscal 2015 period. We continue to be concerned about the volatile market conditions for oil and natural gas.

Depreciation and amortization was $1,253,000 in the fiscal 2015 period compared to $1,157,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. This increase is primarily attributable to the increased depreciation from the investments made in the Yonkers and Coney Island restaurants. We also expect to incur approximately $100,000 of depreciation expense per annum in connection with the redevelopment of the relocated Oceanside restaurant that re-opened on March 25, 2015.

General and administrative expenses increased $743,000 or 6.5% to $12,203,000 in the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $11,460,000 in the fiscal 2014 period. The increase in general and administrative expenses was primarily due to increased compensation costs, including stock-based compensation and payroll related taxes of $885,000, higher occupancy costs of $53,000 and higher insurance costs of $29,000 which were partially offset by lower

marketing and associated expenses of $167,000 and lower professional fees of $9,000.

<u>Other Items</u>

Interest income was $176,000 in the fiscal 2015 period compared to $325,000 in the fiscal 2014 period, primarily due to lower interest income earned on marketable securities. As additional marketable securities mature or are called by the issuer and we are unable to earn similar returns upon reinvestment, we would anticipate lower investment income in the future.

The insurance gain of $2,774,000 during the fiscal 2014 period represents the difference between insurance proceeds received and the historical net book value of assets destroyed at our Flagship Coney Island restaurant and demolition costs resulting from Superstorm Sandy (See Note M).

Other income of $87,000 in the fiscal 2015 period as compared to $76,000 in the fiscal 2014 period relates primarily to a sublease of a co-branded franchised restaurant.

Interest expense of $816,000 in the fiscal 2015 period represents accrued interest of $750,000 on the 10.000% Senior Secured Notes commencing March 10, 2015 and amortization of debt discounts and issuance costs of $66,000 during the same period. As a result of the issuance of the 10.000% Senior Secured Notes, Nathan’s expects to incur interest expense of $13.5 million per annum and annual amortization of debt discounts and issuance costs of $1,185,000. Interest expense of $135,000 in the fiscal 2014 period represented accrued interest in connection with Nathan’s appeal of the SMG damages award calculated at the New York State statutory rate of 9% per annum. On July 24, 2013, we satisfied the judgment in full settlement of this matter.

The Company recognized an, other-than-temporary impairment charge on its long-term investment of $400,000 in the fiscal 2014 period based on management’s assessment of the future recoverability of the investment.

Provision for Income Taxes

In the fiscal 2015 period, the income tax provision was $7,702,000 or 39.7% of earnings before income taxes compared to $5,234,000 or 38.6% of income before income taxes in the fiscal 2014 period. Nathan’s effective tax rate was reduced by 0.4% during the fiscal 2015 period and reduced by 0.9% during the fiscal 2014 period, due to the differing effects of tax-exempt interest income. During the fiscal 2015 period, Nathan’s resolved certain uncertain tax positions, reducing the associated unrecognized tax benefits, along with the related accrued interest and penalties, by approximately $126,000, which lowered the effective tax rate by 0.6%. Additionally, during the fiscal 2014 period, Nathan’s resolved certain uncertain tax positions, reducing the associated unrecognized tax benefits, along with the related accrued interest and penalties, by approximately $67,000, which lowered the effective tax rate by 0.5%. Nathan’s effective tax rates without these adjustments would have been 40.7% for the fiscal 2015 period and 40.0% for the fiscal 2014 period. Nathan’s estimates that its unrecognized tax benefits including the related accrued interest and penalties could be further reduced by up to $183,000 during fiscal 2016.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At March 27, 2016, Nathan’s had an open purchase commitment to purchase approximately 645,000 pounds of hot dogs. Nathan’s may continue to enter into additional purchase commitments in the future as favorable market conditions become available. At March 29, 2015, Nathan’s did not have any open purchase commitments.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents at March 29, 2016 aggregated $50,228,000, a $1,165,000 decrease during the fiscal 2016 period compared to cash and cash equivalents of $51,393,000 at March 29, 2015. At March 27, 2016, marketable securities had been converted into cash and cash equivalents as compared to $7,091,000 at March 29, 2015 and net working capital decreased to $49,779,000 from $61,328,000 at March 29, 2015. These decreases are primarily due to interest payments on the notes and stock repurchases, partly offset by income from operations.

On March 10, 2015, the Company completed an offering of $135.0 million aggregate principal amount of Notes. The Company used the net proceeds of the Notes offering to pay a special dividend of $25.00 per share (approximately $116.1 million) to Company stockholders of record and will use the remaining net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including working capital.

The Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture, dated as of March 10, 2015 (the “Indenture”), by and among the Company, certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, a national banking association, as trustee and collateral trustee.

The Indenture contains certain covenants limiting the Company’s ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the Indenture) to, subject to certain exceptions and qualifications: (i) incur additional indebtedness; (ii) pay dividends or make other distributions on, redeem or repurchase, capital stock; (iii) make investments or other restricted payments; (iv) create or incur certain liens; (v) incur restrictions on the payment of dividends or other distributions from its restricted subsidiaries; (vi) enter into certain transactions with affiliates; (vii) sell assets; or (viii) effect a consolidation or merger.

The Indenture also contains customary events of default, including, among other things, failure to pay interest, failure to comply with agreements related to the indenture, failure to pay at maturity or acceleration of other indebtedness, failure to pay certain judgments, and certain events of insolvency or bankruptcy. Generally, if any event of default occurs, the Trustee or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the Notes may declare the Notes due and payable by providing notice to the Company. In case of default arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency, the Notes will become immediately due and payable.

As of March 27, 2016, Nathan's was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Notes.

The Notes mature on March 15, 2020 and bear interest at a rate of 10.000% per annum, payable semi-annually in cash in arrears on March 15 and September 15 of each year, beginning September 15, 2015. The Notes are redeemable under certain circumstances.

The Notes are general senior secured obligations, are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries and rank *pari passu* in right of payment with all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness that is not subordinated, are senior in right of payment to any of the Company's existing and future subordinated indebtedness, are structurally subordinated to any existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities of the Company's subsidiaries that do not guarantee the Notes, and are effectively junior to all existing and future indebtedness that is secured by assets other than the collateral securing the Notes. Pursuant to the terms of a collateral trust agreement, the liens securing the Notes and the guarantees will be contractually subordinated to the liens securing any future credit facility.

The Notes and the guarantees are the Company and the guarantors' senior secured obligations and rank:

- senior in right of payment to all of the Company and the guarantors' future subordinated indebtedness;
- effectively senior to all unsecured senior indebtedness to the extent of the value of the collateral securing the Notes and the guarantees;
- *pari passu* with all of the Company and the guarantors' other senior indebtedness;
- effectively junior to any future credit facility to the extent of the value of the collateral securing any future credit facility and the Notes and the guarantees and certain other assets;
- effectively junior to any of the Company and the guarantors' existing and future indebtedness that is secured by assets other than the collateral securing the Notes and the guarantees to the extent of the value of any such assets; and
- structurally subordinated to the indebtedness of any of the Company's current and future subsidiaries that do not guarantee the Notes.

Cash provided by operations of $12,480,000 in the fiscal 2016 period is primarily attributable to net income of $6,096,000 in addition to other non-cash operating items of $3,307,000, and increased changes in other operating assets and liabilities of $3,077,000. Accounts and other receivables decreased by $740,000 due primarily to Branded Product Program sales of $628,000, and interest receivables of $120,000, partly offset by advances to the Advertising Fund of $46,000. The decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets of $3,189,000 relates primarily to the utilization of prepaid income taxes at March 27, 2016 of $3,380,000 against Nathan's current year estimated income tax payments, including the receipt of a refund of $1,500,000 from the IRS. The decrease in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities of $293,000 is primarily due to decreased accounts payable of $432,000 and decreases of accrued interest of $243,000 and accrued professional fees of $228,000 partly offset by higher accrued rebates and deferred revenue of $203,000. We also paid $375,000 of accrued dividends which is presented as a financing activity below. The decrease in other liabilities of $691,000 is primarily due to decreased dividends payable on restricted stock of $375,000, settlement of certain unrecognized tax benefits of $128,000, reduced deferred rents of $99,000 and development fees of $85,000.

Cash provided by investing activities was $5,989,000 in the fiscal 2016 period. We received cash proceeds of $10,868,000 from the maturity of available-for-sale securities and $133,000 from the disposal of property and equipment. We sold all tax exempt municipal investments with the intent of re-investing in taxable investments. Prior to the sale, we purchased available-for-sale securities of $3,887,000. We also incurred capital expenditures of $1,125,000 primarily in connection with our Branded Product Program and select restaurant improvements.

Cash used in financing activities of $19,634,000 in the fiscal 2016 period relates to the Company's purchase of 449,070 shares of its common stock at a cost of $19,231,000 during the fiscal 2016 period pursuant to our stock repurchase plan and a modified Dutch Auction tender offer, as more fully described below. The Company paid dividends of $375,000 that were previously declared on its restricted stock. Additionally, the Company incurred additional debt issuance costs of $60,000 and paid $285,000 for the payment of withholding tax on the net share settlement of employee stock options. Nathan's expects to realize tax benefits associated with employee stock option exercises of $228,000 and also received proceeds from the exercise of employee stock options of $89,000.

During the period from October 2001 through March 27, 2016, Nathan's purchased 5,096,757 shares of its common stock at a cost of approximately $76,031,000 pursuant to its stock repurchase plans previously authorized by the Board of Directors. Since March 26, 2007, through March 27, 2016, we have repurchased 3,205,657 shares at a total cost of approximately $68,873,000, reducing the number of shares then-outstanding by 53.3%.

On February 1, 2016 and March 11, 2016, the Company's Board of Directors authorized increases to the sixth stock repurchase plan for the purchase of up to 1,200,000 shares of its common stock on behalf of the Company. As of March 27, 2016, Nathan's has repurchased 909,126 shares at a cost of $28,369,000 under the sixth stock repurchase plan. At March 27, 2016, there were 290,874 shares remaining to be repurchased pursuant to the sixth stock repurchase plan. The plan does not have a set expiration date. Purchases under the Company's stock repurchase program may be made from time to time, depending on market conditions, in open market or privately-negotiated transactions, at prices deemed appropriate by management. There is no set time limit on the repurchases.

On March 11, 2016, the Company and Mutual Securities, Inc. ("MSI") entered into an agreement (the "Agreement") pursuant to which MSI has been authorized on the Company's behalf to purchase up to 175,000 shares of the Company's common stock, $.01 par value (the "Common Stock"), commencing on March 21, 2016. The MSI Agreement was adopted under the safe harbor provided by Rule 10b5-1 and Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, to assist the Company in implementing its stock purchase plans.

On December 2, 2015, we purchased 88,672 shares of common stock in a modified Dutch Auction tender offer at a price of $44.00 per share. The total cost was $4,056,000, including fees and expenses related to the modified Dutch Auction tender offer.

Management believes that available cash, marketable securities and cash generated from operations should provide sufficient capital to finance our operations, satisfy our debt service requirements and stock repurchases for at least the next 12 months.

As discussed above, we had cash and cash equivalents at March 27, 2016 aggregating $50,228,000. Our Board routinely monitors and assesses its cash position and our current and potential capital requirements. In March 2015, we completed a dividend recapitalization, to return approximately $116,100,000 to our shareholders and we may continue to return capital to our shareholders through stock repurchases, subject to any restrictions in the Indenture, although there is no assurance that the Company will make any repurchases under its existing stock-repurchase plan. We expect that in the future we will make investments in certain existing restaurants, support the growth of the Branded Product and Branded Menu Programs, service the outstanding debt and continue our stock repurchase programs, funding those investments from our operating cash flow. We may also incur capital and other expenditures or engage in investing activities in connection with opportunistic situations that may arise on a case-by-case basis. Beginning in the fiscal year ending March 27, 2016, we were required to make interest payments of approximately $13.5 million. On September 15, 2015 and March 15, 2016, Nathan's paid interest of $6,937,500 and $6,750,000,

respectively. The September 15, 2015 interest payment included payment for 5 days of interest accrued in the fiscal 2015 period.

At March 27, 2016, we sublet one property to a franchisee from a third party. We remain contingently liable for all costs associated with these properties including: rent, property taxes and insurance. We may incur future cash payments with respect to such properties, consisting primarily of future lease payments, including costs and expenses associated with terminating any of such leases.

On December 1, 2009, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company executed a Guaranty of Lease in connection with its re-franchising of a restaurant located in West Nyack, New York. The Guaranty extended through the fifth Lease Year, as defined in the lease, which has expired. The Guaranty could have been called upon in the event of a default by the tenant/franchisee. Nathan's believes that its franchisee has fulfilled all of its obligations that Nathan's guaranteed and Nathan's has not been required to make any payments pursuant to the Guaranty. In connection with the Nathan's franchise agreement, Nathan's has received a personal guaranty from the franchisee for all obligations under the Guaranty.

The following schedule represents Nathan's cash contractual obligations and commitments by maturity as of March 27, 2016 (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period				
Cash Contractual Obligations	Total	Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	3-5 Years	More than 5 Years
Long term debt (a)	$135,000	$-	$-	$135,000	$-
Employment Agreements	2,571	1,146	1,025	400	-
Purchase Commitment	1,310	1,310	-	-	-
Dividends Payable	625	375	250	-	-
Operating Leases	14,431	1,618	3,299	2,608	6,906
Gross Cash Contractual Obligations	153,937	4,449	4,574	138,008	6,906
Sublease Income	2,728	303	656	641	1,128
Net Cash Contractual Obligations	$151,209	$4,146	$3,918	$137,367	$5,778

a) Represents 10.000% Senior Secured Notes due March 2020.

b) At March 27, 2016, the Company had unrecognized tax benefits of $208,000. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that the unrecognized tax benefits may decrease by $31,000 within the next year. A reasonable estimate of the timing of the remaining liabilities is not practicable.

Inflationary Impact

We do not believe that general inflation has materially impacted earnings since 2006. However, we have experienced significant volatility in our costs for our hot dogs and certain food products, distribution costs and utilities. From 2011 through 2014, we experienced unprecedented increases in the cost of beef. After multi-year increases, beginning March 2015, the beef markets stabilized through June 2015 before subsequently declining by as much as 30%. As a result of the decline since June 2015, the market price of hot dogs during the fiscal 2016 period was approximately 11.6% lower than the fiscal 2015 period. The market price of hot dogs during the fiscal 2015 period was approximately 17.1% higher than the fiscal 2014 period**.** In anticipation of higher costs beginning 2016, we entered into a purchase commitment for approximately 2.6 million pounds of hot dogs to be purchased after January 1, 2016. The market price remained abnormally low during January and February 2016, resulting in higher costs of approximately $87,000 during the fourth quarter fiscal 2016 in connection with our purchase commitment, which we expect will be offset during the first quarter fiscal 2017. In the past, we successfully entered into purchase commitments for a portion of our hot dogs to reduce the impact of increasing market prices. We are unable to predict the future cost of our hot dogs and expect to experience price volatility for our beef products during fiscal 2017. We may attempt to enter into similar purchase arrangements for hot dogs and other products in the future. Additionally, we expect to continue experiencing volatility in oil and gas prices on our distribution costs for our food products and utility costs in the Company-owned restaurants and volatile insurance costs resulting from the uncertainty of the

insurance markets.

In March 2010, the Federal government passed new legislation to reform the U.S. health care system. As part of the plan, employers will be expected to provide their employees that work more than 30 hours per week with minimum levels of healthcare coverage or incur certain financial penalties. As Nathan's workforce includes numerous part-time workers that typically are not offered healthcare coverage, we may be forced to expand healthcare coverage in 2016 or incur new penalties which may increase our health care costs.

From time to time, various Federal and New York State legislators have proposed changes to the minimum wage requirements.

New York State recently passed legislation increasing the minimum hourly wage for fast food workers of restaurant chains with 30 or more locations nationwide. The increase will be phased in differently between New York City and the rest of New York State. Effective December 31, 2015, the minimum wage increased to $10.50 per hour and $9.75 per hour in New York City and outside of New York City, respectively.

In New York City, the hourly rate of pay will increase to:

$12.00 on Dec. 31, 2016; $13.50 on Dec. 31, 2017; and $15.00 on Dec. 31, 2018.

The minimum hourly rate of pay for the remainder of New York State will increase to:

$10.75 on Dec. 31, 2016; $11.75 on Dec. 31, 2017; $12.75 on Dec. 31, 2018; $13.75 on Dec. 31, 2019;

$14.50 on Dec. 31, 2020; and $15.00 on July 1, 2021.

All of Nathan's Company-operated restaurants are within New York State, three of which operate within New York City that have been affected by this new legislation. Our average hourly labor costs have increased beginning January 2016 as a result of the new minimum wage legislation in New York State. We estimate that restaurant average hourly labor costs have increased by approximately 12.2% because of the minimum wage increase.

The Company is further studying the impact on the Company's operations and is developing strategies and tactics, including pricing and potential operating efficiencies, to minimize the effects of these increases. We have recently increased certain selling prices to pass on recent cost of sales increases. However, if we are unable to fully offset these and future increases through pricing and operating efficiencies, our margins and profits will be negatively affected. We believe that these increases in the minimum wage could have a significant financial impact on our financial results and the results of our franchisees that operate in New York State.

Effective April 1, 2014, the City of New York, passed legislation requiring employers to offer paid sick leave to all employees, including part-time employees that work more than 80 hours for the employer. Nathan's operates three restaurants that have been affected by this new legislation.

Continued increases in labor, food and other operating expenses, including health care, could adversely affect our operations and those of the restaurant industry and we might have to further reconsider our pricing strategy as a means to offset reduced operating margins.

The Company's business, financial condition, operating results and cash flows can be impacted by a number of factors, including but not limited to those set forth above in "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," any one of which could cause our actual results to vary materially from recent results or from our anticipated future results. For a discussion identifying additional risk factors and important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated, also see the discussions in "Forward-Looking Statements," "Risk Factors" and "Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements" in this Form 10-K.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We have historically invested our cash and cash equivalents in money market funds or short-term, fixed rate, highly rated and highly liquid instruments which are generally reinvested when they mature. Although these existing investments are not considered at risk with respect to changes in interest rates or markets for these instruments, our rate of return on short-term investments could be affected at the time of reinvestment as a result of intervening events. As of March 27, 2016, Nathan's cash and cash equivalents aggregated $50,228,000. Earnings on these cash and cash equivalents would increase or decrease by approximately $126,000 per annum for each 0.25% change in interest rates.

Marketable Securities

As of March 27, 2016, Nathan's did not have any marketable securities on hand. Nathan's anticipates investing in marketable securities in the future. Marketable securities are considered at risk with respect to interest rates to determine their current market value. Our future rate of return could also be affected at the time of reinvestment as a result of intervening events.

Borrowings

At March 27, 2016, we had $135.0 million of Notes outstanding which are due in March 2020. Upon maturity, we anticipate having to refinance a significant portion of the Notes and such refinancing would be based upon the then-prevailing interest rates. Interest expense on these borrowings would increase or decrease by approximately $338,000 per annum for each 0.25% change in interest rates. We currently do not anticipate entering into interest rate swaps or other financial instruments to hedge our borrowings.

Commodity Costs

The cost of commodities is subject to market fluctuation. From 2011 through 2014, we experienced unprecedented increases in the cost of beef. After multi-year increases, beginning March 2015, the beef markets stabilized through June 2015 before subsequently declining by as much as 30%. As a result of the decline since June 2015, the market price of hot dogs during the fiscal 2016 period was approximately 11.6% lower than the fiscal 2015 period. The market price of hot dogs during the fiscal 2015 period was approximately 17.1% higher than the fiscal 2014 period**.** In anticipation of higher costs beginning 2016, we entered into a purchase commitment for approximately 2.6 million pounds of hot dogs to be purchased after January 1, 2016. The market price remained abnormally low during January and February 2016, resulting in higher costs of approximately $87,000 during the fourth quarter fiscal 2016 in connection with our purchase commitment, which we expect will be offset during the first quarter fiscal 2017. In the past, we have successfully entered into purchase commitments for a portion of our hot dogs to reduce the impact of increasing market prices. We are unable to predict the future cost of our hot dogs and expect to experience price volatility for our beef products during fiscal 2017. We may attempt to enter into similar purchase arrangements for hot dogs and other products in the future. Additionally, we expect to continue experiencing volatility in oil and gas prices on our distribution costs for our food products and utility costs in the Company-owned restaurants and volatile insurance costs resulting from the uncertainty of the insurance markets.

With the exception of purchase commitments, we have not attempted to hedge against fluctuations in the prices of the commodities we purchase using future, forward, option or other instruments. As a result, we expect that the majority of our future commodity purchases will be subject to market changes in the prices of such commodities. We have attempted to enter sales agreements with our customers that are correlated to our cost of beef, thus reducing our market volatility, or have passed through permanent increases in our commodity prices to our customers that are not on formula pricing, thereby reducing the impact of long-term increases on our financial results. A short-term increase or decrease of 10.0% in the cost of our food and paper products for the fifty-two weeks ended March 27, 2016 would have increased or decreased our cost of sales by approximately $5,164,000.

Foreign Currencies

Foreign franchisees and other business partners generally conduct business with us and make payments in United States dollars, reducing the risks inherent with changes in the values of foreign currencies. As a result, we have not purchased future contracts, options or other instruments to hedge against changes in values of foreign currencies and we do not believe fluctuations in the value of foreign currencies would have a material impact on our financial results.

Item 8. **Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

The consolidated financial statements and supplementary data are submitted as a separate section of this report beginning on Page F-1.

Item 9. **Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None

Item 9A. **Controls and Procedures.**

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, as required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based on that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer, and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;

provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and

provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of our system of internal control over financial reporting as of March 27, 2016. In making this assessment, management used the framework in Internal Control — Integrated Framework issued in 2013 by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on our assessment and the criteria set forth by COSO in 2013, management believes that Nathan's maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 27, 2016. The effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of March 27, 2016, has been audited by Grant Thornton LLP, an independent registered public

accounting firm which has also audited our consolidated financial statements, as stated in its attestation report which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the thirteen weeks ended March 27, 2016 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

We believe that a control system, no matter how well designed and operated, cannot provide absolute assurance that the objectives of the control system are met, and no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within a company have been detected. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that such controls and procedures are effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Nathan's Famous, Inc.

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of Nathan's Famous, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of March 27, 2016, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of March 27, 2016, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by COSO.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements of the Company as of and for the year ended March 27, 2016, and our report dated June 10, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

New York, New York

June 10, 2016

PART III

Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</u>

The information required in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the discussions under the captions *Proposal 1 – Election of Directors, Corporate Governance Management and Security Ownership* in our proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Report.

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Financial Officer Code of Ethics applicable to the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and all other members of the Company's Finance Department. This Code of Ethics is posted on the Company's website within a broader Code of Business Conduct and Ethics at www.nathansfamous.com in the Investor Relations section. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 10 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or a waiver from, the provision of our Code of Ethics that applies to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions and that relates to any element of such provision of our Code of Ethics by posting such information on our website within four business days of the date of such amendment or waiver. In the case of a waiver, the nature of the waiver, the name of the person to whom the waiver was granted and the date of the waiver will also be disclosed.

Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation.</u>

The information required in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the discussion under the caption *Executive Compensation*, including the Summary Compensation and other tables, Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation, Risk Consideration in our Compensation Programs and 2016 Director Compensation in our proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Report.

Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.</u>

The information required in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the discussion under the caption *Equity Plan Information* and *Security Ownership* in our proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Report.

Item 13. **Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.**

The information required in response to this Item is incorporated herein by reference from the discussion under the caption *Corporate Governance – Director Independence and Corporate Governance – Certain Relationships* and *Related Persons* transactions in our proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to Regulation 14A, not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Report.

Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services.</u>

Audit Fees

We were billed by Grant Thornton LLP the aggregate amount of approximately $244,000 in respect of fiscal 2016 and $429,000 in respect of fiscal 2015 for fees for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of our financial statements included in our Forms 10-Q. The fiscal 2015 amount includes billings by Grant Thornton LLP of approximately $189,000 for fees for professional services rendered for the review of interim financial information in connection with the issuance of their comfort letter in conjunction with the private placement of the Company's Notes.

Audit-Related Fees

Grant Thornton LLP did not render any audit-related services for fiscal 2016 and 2015 and, accordingly, did not bill for any such services.

Tax Fees

Grant Thornton LLP did not render any tax compliance, tax advice or tax planning services for fiscal 2016 and 2015 and, accordingly, did not bill for any such services.

All Other Fees

Grant Thornton LLP did not render any other services for fiscal 2016 and 2015 and, accordingly, did not bill for any such services.

Pre-Approval Policies

Our Audit Committee has not adopted any pre-approval policies. Instead, the Audit Committee will specifically pre-approve the provision by Grant Thornton LLP of all audit and non-audit services.

Our Audit Committee approved all of the audit services provided by Grant Thornton LLP during 2016 and 2015.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

(a) (1) Consolidated Financial Statements

The consolidated financial statements listed in the accompanying index to the consolidated financial statements and schedule on Page F-1 are filed as part of this Report.

(2) Financial Statement Schedule

The consolidated financial statement schedule listed in the accompanying index to the consolidated financial statements and schedule on Page F-1 is filed as part of this Report.

(3) Exhibits

Certain of the following exhibits were previously filed as exhibits to other reports or registration statements filed by the Registrant under the Securities Act of 1933 or under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are therefrom incorporated by reference.

Exhibit No.	Exhibit
3.1	Certificate of Incorporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33- 56976.)
3.2	Amendment to the Certificate of Incorporation, filed December 15, 1992. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
3.3	By-Laws, as amended. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K dated November 1, 2006.)
4.1	Specimen Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
4.2	

	Specimen Rights Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to Form 8-A/A dated December 10, 1999.)
4.3	Rights Agreement, dated as of June 5, 2013, between Nathan's Famous, Inc. and American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, LLC, as Rights Agent, which includes form of Rights Certificate as Exhibit A and the Summary of Rights to Purchase as Exhibit B. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Current Report filed on Form 8-K dated June 11, 2013.)
4.4	Indenture, dated as of March 10, 2015, by and among Nathan's Famous, Inc., certain of its wholly owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, a National Banking Association, as trustee and collateral trustee (including the form of Note (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Current Report filed on Form 8-K dated March 10, 2015.)
10.1	Leases for premises at Coney Island, New York, as follows: (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
	a) Lease, dated November 22, 1967, between Nathan's Realty Associates and the Company.
	b) Lease, dated November 22, 1967, between Ida's Realty Associates and the Company.
10.2	Form of Standard Franchise Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
10.3	401K Plan and Trust. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Registration Statement on Form S-1 No. 33-56976.)
10.4	***Employment Agreement with Howard M. Lorber, dated as of December 15, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K dated December 15, 2006.)
10.5	***Employment Agreement with Eric Gatoff, dated as of December 15, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K dated December 15, 2006.)
10.6	***Amendment to Employment Agreement with Eric Gatoff dated August 3, 2010. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarter ended June 27, 2010.)

10.7	License Agreement dated April 23, 2008 between Roasters Asia Pacific (Cayman) Limited and Nathan's Famous, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K dated April 23, 2008.)
10.8	Agreement of Lease between One-Two Jericho Plaza Owner LLC and Nathan's Famous Services, Inc. dated September 11, 2009, (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 27, 2009.)
10.9	Guaranty by Nathan's Famous, Inc. of Agreement of Lease with One-Two Jericho Plaza Owner LLC dated September 11, 2009, (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 27, 2009.)
10.10	***2010 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A dated July 23, 2010).
10.11	***Amendment to 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit A to Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A dated July 23, 2012).
10.12	***Amendment to Employment Agreement with Howard M. Lorber, dated November 1, 2012. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 23, 2012.)
10.13	***Restricted Stock Agreement with Howard M. Lorber, dated November 1, 2012. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 23, 2012.)
10.14	First Amendment to Restricted Stock Agreement with Howard M. Lorber, dated as of October 31, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 27, 2015.)
10.15	**Letter agreement dated December 5, 2012 between Nathan's Famous Systems, Inc. and John Morrell & Co. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 23, 2012).
10.16	***Restricted Stock Agreement with Eric Gatoff, dated June 4, 2013. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Form 10-K for the year ended March 31, 2013.)
10.17	Parity Lien Security Agreement dated as of March 10, 2015, by and among Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Other Assignors Identified therein and U.S. Bank National Association as Collateral Trustee. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Form 10-K for the year ended March 29, 2015.)
10.18	***Transition Agreement and Release with Wayne Norbitz dated as of June 10, 2015. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Form 10-K for the year ended March 29, 2015.)
10.19	Consulting Agreement with Wayne Norbitz dated as of June 10, 2015. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Form 10-K for the year ended March 29, 2015.)
10.20	10b5-1 Issuer Repurchase Instructions dated March 11, 2016, between the Company and Mutual Securities, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K dated March 14, 2016.)
21	*List of Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
23	*Consent of Grant Thornton LLP dated June 10, 2016.
31.1	*Certification by Eric Gatoff, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a - 14(a).
31.2	*Certification by Ronald G. DeVos, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a - 14(a).
32.1	*Certification by Eric Gatoff, Chief Executive Officer of Nathan's Famous, Inc., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	*Certification by Ronald G. DeVos, Chief Financial Officer of Nathan's Famous, Inc., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.

*Filed herewith.

**Filed with confidential portions omitted pursuant to request for confidential treatment. The omitted portions have been separately filed with the SEC.

*** Indicates a management plan or arrangement.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on the 10th day of June, 2016.

Nathan's Famous, Inc.

/s/ ERIC GATOFF
Eric Gatoff
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 10th day of June, 2016.

/s/ ERIC GATOFF
Eric Gatoff
Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ HOWARD LORBER
Howard Lorber
Executive Chairman

/s/ RONALD G. DEVOS
Ronald G. DeVos
Vice President - Finance and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

/s/ WAYNE NORBITZ
Wayne Norbitz
Director

/s/ ROBERT J. EIDE
Robert J. Eide
Director

/s/ BARRY LEISTNER
Barry Leistner
Director

/s/ BRIAN GENSON
Brian Genson
Director

/s/ ATTILIO F. PETROCELLI
Attilio F. Petrocelli
Director

/s/ CHARLES RAICH
Charles Raich
Director

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Earnings	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' (Deficit) Equity	F-6 – F-8
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-9
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-10
Schedule II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts	F-41

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Board of Directors and Shareholders

Nathan’s Famous, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Nathan’s Famous, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and subsidiaries (the “Company”) as of March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, and the related consolidated statements of earnings, comprehensive income, stockholders’ (deficit) equity, and cash flows for each of the fifty-two weeks ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015, and March 30, 2014. Our audits of the basic consolidated financial statements included the financial statement schedule listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Nathan’s Famous, Inc. and subsidiaries as of March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the fifty-two weeks ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015, and March 30, 2014 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material aspects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company’s internal control over financial reporting as of March 27, 2016, based on criteria established in the 2013 *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated June 10, 2016 expressed an unqualified opinion.

/s/ GRANT THORNTON LLP

New York, New York

June 10, 2016

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	**$50,228**	$51,393
Marketable securities	**-**	7,091
Accounts and other receivables, net	**8,721**	9,499
Inventories	**687**	822
Prepaid expenses and other current assets (Note F)	**1,343**	4,532
Total current assets	**60,979**	73,337
Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of $7,190 and $6,946, respectively	**9,013**	9,257
Goodwill	**95**	95
Intangible asset	**1,353**	1,353
Other assets	**109**	347
	$71,549	$84,389
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT)		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Accounts payable	**$4,887**	$5,319
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	**6,176**	6,412
Deferred franchise fees	**137**	278
Total current liabilities	**11,200**	12,009
Long-term debt, net of unamortized debt discounts and issuance costs of $4,734 and $5,860, respectively (Note K)	**130,266**	129,140
Other liabilities	**1,706**	2,397
Deferred income taxes	**713**	751
Total liabilities	**143,885**	144,297
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note M)		
STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT)		
Common stock, $.01 par value; 30,000,000 shares authorized; 9,274,066 and 9,252,097 shares issued; and 4,177,309 and 4,604,410 shares outstanding at March 27, 2016 and March 29,	**93**	93

2015, respectively		
Additional paid-in capital	**60,950**	60,196
(Accumulated deficit)	**(57,348)**	(63,444)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	**-**	47
	3,695	(3,108)
Treasury stock, at cost, 5,096,757 and 4,647,687 shares at March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, respectively	**(76,031)**	(56,800)
Total stockholders' (deficit)	**(72,336)**	(59,908)
	$71,549	$84,389

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 27, 2016	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 29, 2015	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 30, 2014
REVENUES			
Sales	**$76,031**	$75,520	$65,521
License royalties	**19,815**	18,011	8,513
Franchise fees and royalties	**5,044**	5,581	5,718
Total revenues	**100,890**	99,112	79,752
COSTS AND EXPENSES			
Cost of sales	**57,998**	61,951	53,072
Restaurant operating expenses	**3,557**	3,747	3,142
Depreciation and amortization	**1,255**	1,253	1,157
General and administrative expenses	**13,117**	12,203	11,460
Total costs and expenses	**75,927**	79,154	68,831
Income from operations	**24,963**	19,958	10,921
Interest expense	**(14,630)**	(816)	(135)
Interest income	**52**	176	325
Insurance gain (Note M.4)	**-**	-	2,774
Impairment charge – long-term investment (Note G)	**(100)**	-	(400)
Other income, net	**99**	87	76
Income before provision for income taxes	**10,384**	19,405	13,561
Provision for income taxes	**4,288**	7,702	5,234
Net income	**$6,096**	$11,703	$8,327
PER SHARE INFORMATION			
Income per share:			
Basic	**$1.38**	$2.61	$1.87
Diluted	**$1.37**	$2.55	$1.81

Cash dividends declared per share	**$-**	$25.00	**$-**
Weighted average shares used in computing income per share:			
Basic	**4,430,000**	4,486,000	4,450,000
Diluted	**4,463,000**	4,588,000	4,605,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Nathan’s Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands)

	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 27, 2016	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 29, 2015	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 30, 2014
Net income	**$ 6,096**	$ 11,703	$ 8,327
Other comprehensive loss, net of deferred income taxes:			
Unrealized losses on marketable securities	**-**	(102)	(180)
Less: Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income	**47**	-	-
Other comprehensive loss	**(47)**	(102)	(180)
Comprehensive income	**$ 6,049**	$ 11,601	$ 8,147

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY

Fifty-two weeks ended March 27, 2016, Fifty-two weeks ended March 29, 2015 and the Fifty-two weeks ended March 30, 2014

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	Common Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock, at Cost Shares	Treasury Stock, at Cost Amount	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance, March 31, 2013	8,958,181	$90	$54,491	$32,636	$329	4,579,563	$(53,398)	$34,148
Shares issued in connection with share-based compensation plans	134,002	1	943	-	-	-	-	944
Withholding tax on net share settlement of share-based compensation plans	-	-	(772)	-	-	-	-	(772)
Repurchase of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	30,463	(1,486)	(1,486)
Income tax benefit on stock option exercises	-	-	2,195	-	-	-	-	2,195
Share-based compensation	-	-	721	-	-	-	-	721
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities, net of deferred income tax benefit of $119	-	-	-	-	(180)	-	-	(180)

Net income	-	-	-	8,327	-	-	-	8,327
Balance, March 30, 2014	9,092,183	$91	$57,578	$40,963	$149	4,610,026	$(54,884)	$43,897

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' (DEFICIT) EQUITY

Fifty-two weeks ended March 27, 2016, Fifty-two weeks ended March 29, 2015 and the Fifty-two weeks ended March 30, 2014

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	Common Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock, at Cost Shares	Treasury Stock, at Cost Amount	Total Stockholders' (Deficit)
Balance, March 30, 2014	9,092,183	$ 91	$ 57,578	$ 40,963	$ 149	4,610,026	$ (54,884)	$ 43,897
Shares issued in connection with share-based compensation plans	159,914	2	880	-	-	-	-	882
Withholding tax on net share settlement of share-based compensation plans	-	-	(3,693)	-	-	-	-	(3,693)
Repurchase of common stock	-	-	-	-	-	37,661	(1,916)	(1,916)
Income tax benefit on stock option exercises	-	-	4,572	-	-	-	-	4,572
Share-based compensation	-	-	859	-	-	-	-	859
Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities, net of deferred income tax benefit of $66	-	-	-	-	(102)	-	-	(102)

Dividends declared				(116,110)				(116,110)
Net income	-	-	-	11,703	-	-	-	11,703
Balance, March 29, 2015	9,252,097	$ 93	$ 60,196	$ (63,444)	$ 47	4,647,687	$ (56,800)	$ (59,908)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Nathan’s Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS’ (DEFICIT) EQUITY

Fifty-two weeks ended March 27, 2016, Fifty-two weeks ended March 29, 2015 and the Fifty-two weeks ended March 30, 2014

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	Common Shares	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	(Accumulated Deficit)	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock, at Cost Shares	Treasury Stock, at Cost Amount	Total Stockholders’ (Deficit)
Balance, March 29, 2015	**9,252,097**	**$ 93**	**$ 60,196**	**$ (63,444)**	**$ 47**	**4,647,687**	**$ (56,800)**	**$ (59,908)**
Shares issued in connection with share-based compensation plans	**21,969**	**-**	**89**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**89**
Withholding tax on net share settlement of share-based compensation plans	**-**	**-**	**(285)**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**(285)**
Repurchase of common stock	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**449,070**	**(19,231)**	**(19,231)**
Income tax benefit on stock option exercises	**-**	**-**	**228**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**228**
Share-based compensation	**-**	**-**	**722**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**722**
Reclassification adjustment for gains included in net income, net of deferred income tax benefit of $25	**-**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**(47)**	**-**	**-**	**(47)**
Net income	**-**	**-**	**-**	**6,096**	**-**	**-**	**-**	**6,096**

Balance, March 27, 2016	**9,274,066**	**$ 93**	**$ 60,950**	**$ (57,348)**	**$ -**	**5,096,757**	**$ (76,031)**	**$ (72,336)**

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 27, 2016	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 29, 2015	Fifty-Two weeks ended March 30, 2014
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net income	**$ 6,096**	$ 11,703	$ 8,327
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities			
Depreciation and amortization	**1,255**	1,253	1,157
Insurance gain	**-**	-	(2,774)
Amortization of bond premium	**64**	164	150
Gain on sale of marketable equity securities	**(26)**	-	-
Gain on sale of property and equipment	**(18)**	-	-
Amortization of debt discounts and issuance costs	**1,185**	66	-
Share-based compensation expense	**722**	859	721
Provision for doubtful accounts	**38**	23	21
Impairment charge – long-term investment	**100**	-	400
Deferred income taxes	**(13)**	111	1,652
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Accounts and other receivables, net	**740**	(2,417)	(927)
Insurance proceeds received for business interruption claim	**-**	718	-
Inventories	**135**	125	99
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	**3,189**	(1,403)	(2,033)
Other assets	**138**	181	30
Accrued litigation	**-**	-	(5,874)
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities	**(293)**	1,779	2,329
Deferred franchise fees	**(141)**	44	(44)
Other liabilities	**(691)**	79	(358)
Net cash provided by operating activities	**12,480**	13,285	2,876
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities	**10,868**	8,020	2,890
Insurance proceeds received for property and equipment (Note M.4)	**-**	-	2,711
Change in restricted cash	**-**	-	(135)
Proceeds from disposal of property and equipment	**133**	-	-

Purchase of property and equipment	**(1,125)**	(1,538)	(4,339)
Purchase of available-for-sale securities	**(3,887)**	(4,258)	(2,219)
Litigation settlement	**-**	-	6,009
Net cash provided by investing activities	**5,989**	2,224	4,917
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	**-**	135,000	-
Debt discounts and issuance costs	**(60)**	(5,926)	-
Dividends paid to stockholders	**(375)**	(115,110)	-
Repurchase of treasury stock	**(19,231)**	(1,916)	(1,486)
Proceeds from the exercise of stock options	**89**	880	944
Income tax benefit on stock option exercises	**228**	4,572	2,195
Payments of withholding tax on net share settlement of share-based compensation plans	**(285)**	(3,693)	(772)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	**(19,634)**	13,807	881
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	**(1,165)**	29,316	8,674
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	**51,393**	22,077	13,403
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	**$ 50,228**	$51,393	$ 22,077
Cash paid during the year for:			
Interest	**$ 13,688**	$-	$ 1,099
Income taxes	**$ 848**	$4,545	$ 3,457

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014

NOTE A - DESCRIPTION AND ORGANIZATION OF BUSINESS

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and subsidiaries (collectively the "Company" or "Nathan's") has historically operated or franchised a chain of retail fast food restaurants featuring the "Nathan's World Famous Beef Hot Dog", crinkle-cut French-fried potatoes and a variety of other menu offerings. Nathan's has also established a Branded Product Program, which enables foodservice retailers to sell select Nathan's proprietary products outside of the realm of a traditional franchise relationship. Nathan's also licenses the manufacture and sale of "Nathan's Famous" packaged hot dogs, crinkle-cut French fries and a number of other products to a variety of third parties for sale to supermarkets, club stores and grocery stores. The Company is also the owner of the Arthur Treacher's brand. Arthur Treacher's main product is its "Original Fish & Chips" product consisting of fish fillets coated with a special batter prepared under a proprietary formula, deep-fried golden brown, and served with English-style chips and corn meal "hush puppies." The Company considers itself to be in the foodservice industry, and has pursued co-branding and co-hosting initiatives.

At March 27, 2016, the Company's restaurant system included five Company-owned units in the New York City metropolitan area and 259 franchised or licensed units, located in 21 states and 11 foreign countries.

NOTE B - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The following significant accounting policies have been applied in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements:

1. Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant inter-company balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

2. Fiscal Year

The Company's fiscal year ends on the last Sunday in March, which results in a 52 or 53-week reporting period. The results of operations and cash flows for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 are on the basis of a 52-week reporting period.

3. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Significant estimates made by management in preparing the consolidated financial statements include revenue recognition, the allowance for doubtful accounts, valuation of stock-based compensation, accounting for income taxes, and the valuation of goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets.

4. Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents amounted to $0 and $1,754 at March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, respectively. Substantially all of the Company’s cash and cash equivalents are in excess of government insurance.

5. Inventories

Inventories, which are stated at the lower of cost or market value, consist primarily of food items and supplies. Cost is determined using the first-in, first-out method.

6. Marketable Securities

The Company determines the appropriate classification of securities at the time of purchase and reassesses the appropriateness of the classification at each reporting date. As of March 27, 2016, the Company had sold all of its marketable securities that had been invested in municipal bonds and the proceeds are included in cash and cash equivalents. At March 29, 2015, all marketable securities held by the Company were classified as available-for-sale and, as a result, were stated at fair value (Note D), with unrealized gains and losses included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Realized gains and losses on the sale of securities are determined on a specific identification basis. Interest income, net of reclassifications out of other comprehensive income is recorded when it is earned and deemed realizable by the Company.

7. *Property and Equipment*

Property and equipment are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Major improvements are capitalized and minor replacements, maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation and amortization are calculated on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the estimated useful life or the lease term of the related asset. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Building and improvements (in years)	5-25
Machinery, equipment, furniture and fixtures (in years)	3-15
Leasehold improvements (in years)	5-20

8. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets consist of (i) goodwill of $95 resulting from the acquisition of Nathan's in 1987; and (ii) trademarks, trade names and other intellectual property of $1,353 in connection with Arthur Treacher's.

The Company's goodwill and intangible assets are deemed to have indefinite lives and, accordingly, are not amortized, but are evaluated for impairment at least annually, but more often whenever changes in facts and circumstances occur which may indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. As of March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, the Company performed its required annual impairment test of goodwill and intangible assets and has determined no impairment is deemed to exist.

9. Long-lived Assets

Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Impairment is measured by comparing the carrying value of the long-lived assets to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to result from use of the assets and their ultimate disposition. In instances where impairment is determined to exist, the Company writes down the asset to its fair value based on the present value of estimated future cash flows.

Impairment losses are recorded on long-lived assets on a restaurant-by-restaurant basis whenever impairment factors are determined to be present. The Company considers a history of restaurant operating losses to be its primary indicator of potential impairment for individual restaurant locations. We relocated our Oceanside restaurant in March 2015 at a total investment of approximately $1,285. As a result of Hurricane Sandy, our Coney Island restaurant sustained significant damage (Note M.4). The restaurant was fully repaired and re-opened on May 20, 2013. No long-lived assets were deemed impaired during the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014.

10. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (an exit price).

The fair value hierarchy, as outlined in the applicable accounting guidance, is based on inputs to valuation techniques that are used to measure fair value that are either observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability based on market data obtained from independent sources while unobservable inputs reflect a reporting entity's pricing based upon their own market assumptions.

The fair value hierarchy consists of the following three levels:

Level 1 - inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices (unadjusted) for an identical asset or liability in an active market

Level 2 - inputs to the valuation methodology include quoted prices for a similar asset or liability in an active market or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset or liability

Level 3 - inputs to the valuation methodology are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement of the asset or liability

The use of observable market inputs (quoted market prices) when measuring fair value and, specifically, the use of Level 1 quoted prices to measure fair value are required whenever possible. The determination of where an asset or liability falls in the hierarchy requires significant judgment. The Company evaluates its hierarchy disclosures quarterly and based on various factors, it is possible that an asset or liability may be classified differently from year to year.

At March 27, 2016, we did not have any marketable securities.

The following table presents assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of March 29, 2015 based upon the valuation hierarchy:

March 29, 2015	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Carrying Value
Marketable securities	$ -	$7,091	$ -	$ 7,091
Total assets at fair value	$ -	$7,091	$ -	$ 7,091

Nathan’s marketable securities, which consisted primarily of municipal bonds, were not actively traded. The valuation of such bonds was based upon quoted market prices for similar bonds currently trading in an active market or model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs are observable for substantially the full term of the asset.

The Company’s long-term debt had a carrying value of $135,000 as of March 27, 2016 and a fair value of $142,425 as of March 27, 2016. The Company estimates the fair value of its long-term debt based upon review of observable

pricing in secondary markets as of the last trading day of the fiscal period. Accordingly, the Company classifies its long-term debt as Level 2.

The carrying amounts of cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate fair value due to the short-term maturity of the instruments.

The majority of the Company’s non-financial assets and liabilities are not required to be carried at fair value on a recurring basis. However, the Company is required on a non-recurring basis to use fair value measurements when analyzing asset impairment as it relates to goodwill and other indefinite-lived intangible assets and long-lived assets. The Company utilized the income approach (Level 3 inputs) which utilized cash flow forecasts for future income and were discounted to present value in performing its annual impairment testing of intangible assets.

11. Start-up Costs

Pre-opening and similar restaurant costs are expensed as incurred.

12. Revenue Recognition - Branded Product Program

The Company recognizes sales from the Branded Product Program and certain products sold from the Branded Menu Program upon delivery to Nathan's customers via third party common carrier. Rebates provided to customers are classified as a reduction to sales.

13. Revenue Recognition - Company-owned Restaurants

Sales by Company-owned restaurants, which are typically paid in cash or credit card by the customer, are recognized at the point of sale. Sales are presented net of sales tax.

14. Revenue Recognition - Franchising Operations

In connection with its franchising operations, the Company receives initial franchise fees, area development fees, royalties, and in certain cases, revenue from sub-leasing restaurant properties to franchisees.

Franchise and area development fees, which are typically received prior to completion of the revenue recognition process, are initially recorded as deferred revenue. Initial franchise fees, which are non-refundable, are recognized as income when substantially all services to be performed by Nathan's and conditions relating to the sale of the franchise have been performed or satisfied, which generally occurs when the franchised restaurant commences operations.

The following services are typically provided by the Company prior to the opening of a franchised restaurant:

- o Approval of all site selections to be developed.

- Provision of architectural plans suitable for restaurants to be developed.
- Assistance in establishing building design specifications, reviewing construction compliance and equipping the restaurant.
- Provision of appropriate menus to coordinate with the restaurant design and location to be developed.
- Provision of management training for the new franchisee and selected staff.
- Assistance with the initial operations of restaurants being developed.

At March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, $137 and $278, respectively, of deferred franchise fees are included in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. For the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, the Company earned franchise fees of $751, $1,043 and $863, respectively, from new unit openings, transfers, co-branding and forfeitures.

Development fees are non-refundable and the related agreements require the franchisee to open a specified number of restaurants in the development area within a specified time period or the agreements may be canceled by the Company. Revenue from development agreements is deferred and shall be recognized, with an appropriate provision for estimated uncollectible amounts, when all material services or conditions to the sale have been substantially performed by the franchisor.

If substantial obligations under the development agreement are not dependent on the number of individual franchise locations to be opened, substantial performance shall be determined using the same criteria applicable to an individual franchise, which is generally the opening of the first location pursuant to the development agreement. If substantial performance is dependent on the number of locations, then the development fee is deferred and recognized ratably over the term of the agreement, as restaurants in the development area commence operations on a pro rata basis to the minimum number of restaurants required to be open, or at the time the development agreement is effectively canceled. At March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, $129 and $214, respectively, of deferred development fee revenue is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

The following is a summary of franchise openings and closings for the Nathan's franchise restaurant system for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Franchised restaurants operating at the beginning of the period	**296**	324	303
New franchised restaurants opened during the period	**56**	36	56
Franchised restaurants closed during the period	**(93)**	(64)	(35)
Franchised restaurants operating at the end of the period	**259**	296	324

The Company recognizes franchise royalties on a monthly basis, which are generally based upon a percentage of sales made by the Company's franchisees, when they are earned and deemed collectible. The Company recognizes royalty revenue from its Branded Menu Program directly from the sale of Nathan's products by its primary distributor or directly from the manufacturers.

Franchise fees and royalties that are not deemed to be collectible are not recognized as revenue until paid by the franchisee or until collectibility is deemed to be reasonably assured.

15. Revenue Recognition – License Royalties

The Company earns revenue from royalties on the licensing of the use of its intellectual property in connection with certain products produced and sold by outside vendors. The use of the Company's intellectual property must be approved by the Company prior to each specific application to ensure proper quality and a consistent image. Revenue from license royalties is recognized on a monthly basis when it is earned and deemed collectible.

16. Business Concentrations and Geographical Information

The Company's accounts receivable consist principally of receivables from franchisees for royalties and advertising contributions, from sales under the Branded Product Program, and from royalties from retail licensees. At March 27, 2016, four Branded Product customers represented 19%, 14%, 9% and 8%, of accounts receivable. At March 29, 2015, three Branded Product customers represented 20%, 17% and 10%, of accounts receivable. One Branded Products customer accounted for 14%, 17% and 17% of total revenue for the years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively. One retail licensee accounted for 19% and 17% of the total revenue for the years ended March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, respectively.

The Company's primary supplier of hot dogs represented 81%, 83% and 75% of product purchases for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively. The Company's distributor of products to its Company-owned restaurants represented 5% of product purchases for each of the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively.

The Company's revenues for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 were derived from the following geographic areas:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Domestic (United States)	**$95,655**	$95,682	$76,221
Non-domestic	**5,235**	3,430	3,531
	$100,890	$99,112	$79,752

The Company’s sales for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 were derived from the following:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Branded Products	**$58,545**	$58,948	$51,877
Company-owned restaurants	**16,664**	15,874	13,231
Other	**822**	698	413
	$76,031	$75,520	$65,521

17. Advertising

The Company administers an advertising fund on behalf of its restaurant system to coordinate the marketing efforts of the Company. Under this arrangement, the Company collects and disburses fees paid by manufacturers, franchisees and Company-owned stores for national and regional advertising, promotional and public relations programs. Contributions to the advertising fund are based on specified percentages of net sales, generally ranging up to 2%. Company-owned store advertising expense, which is expensed as incurred, was $191, $175 and $147, for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively, and have been included within restaurant operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings.

18. Stock-Based Compensation

At March 27, 2016, the Company had one stock-based compensation plan in effect which is more fully described in Note L.

The cost of all share-based payments, including grants of restricted stock and stock options, is recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values measured at the grant date, or the date of any later modification, over the requisite service period. The Company recognizes compensation cost for unvested stock awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite vesting period.

19. Classification of Operating Expenses

Cost of sales consists of the following:

- The cost of food and other products sold by Company-operated restaurants, through the Branded Product Program and through other distribution channels.
- The cost of labor and associated costs of in-store restaurant management and crew.
- The cost of paper products used in Company-operated restaurants.
- Other direct costs such as fulfillment, commissions, freight and samples.

Restaurant operating expenses consist of the following:

- o Occupancy costs of Company-operated restaurants.
- o Utility costs of Company-operated restaurants.
- o Repair and maintenance expenses of Company-operated restaurant facilities.
- o Marketing and advertising expenses done locally and contributions to advertising funds for Company-operated restaurants.
- o Insurance costs directly related to Company-operated restaurants.

20. Income Taxes

The Company's current provision for income taxes is based upon its estimated taxable income in each of the jurisdictions in which it operates, after considering the impact on taxable income of temporary differences resulting from different treatment of items for tax and financial reporting purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and any operating loss or tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the year in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income in those periods in which temporary differences become deductible. Should management determine that it is more likely than not that some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets would be established in the period such determination was made.

Uncertain Tax Positions

The Company has recorded liabilities for underpayment of income taxes and related interest and penalties for uncertain tax positions based on the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements. The Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. Nathan's recognizes accrued interest and penalties associated with unrecognized tax benefits as part of the income tax provision.

21. Adoption of New Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued new accounting guidance changing the criteria for reporting discontinued operations. The revised definition of a discontinued operation includes those components of an entity or a group of components of an entity representing a strategic shift that has (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. The guidance eliminated the current requirement to assess continuing cash flow and continuing involvement with the disposal group. The revised definition also includes a business or nonprofit activity that, on acquisition, meets the criteria to be classified as held for sale. A disposal meeting the new definition is required to be reported as discontinued operations when the component of an entity or group of components of an entity meets the held for sale criteria, is actually disposed of by sales, or is disposed of through means other than a sale. The guidance was effective for the Company beginning in the first quarter of fiscal

2016 and did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In January 2015, the FASB issued new guidance to simplify the income statement presentation requirements by eliminating the seldom-used concept of extraordinary items. Extraordinary items are events and transactions that are distinguished by their unusual nature and by the infrequency of their occurrence. Eliminating the extraordinary classification simplifies the income statement presentation by no longer segregating such extraordinary items from the ordinary results of operations and separately stating the amount, net of tax along with the effect on earnings per share. This new standard is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2015, including interim periods therein, which for Nathan's would be its first quarter of fiscal 2017 beginning March 28, 2016. Early adoption is permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. The Company early adopted this standard beginning in the first quarter of fiscal 2016. The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In November 2015, the FASB issued new accounting guidance requiring deferred tax assets and liabilities be presented as noncurrent in a classified balance sheet. This accounting principle change will be effective in calendar year 2017 for public entities with calendar year reporting periods. However, early adoption is permitted for any interim or annual period. Public entities are required to apply the new guidance in the annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim reporting periods within those annual reporting periods. This standard is required to take effect in Nathan's first quarter ending (June 2017) of our fiscal year ending March 25, 2018. However, early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of any interim or annual reporting period. Nathan's may apply the amendment prospectively or retrospectively to all periods presented. In case of a prospective application, Nathan's would disclose in the first interim and annual period of change (i) the nature of and reason for the change in accounting principle, and (ii) a statement that prior periods were not adjusted. If the amendment is applied retrospectively, Nathan's would have to disclose in the first interim and annual period of change (i) the nature of and reason for the change in accounting principle, and (ii) quantitative information about the effects of the accounting change on prior periods. The Company early adopted this standard in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2016 and applied it retrospectively, which resulted in decreases to current assets of $277 and total liabilities of $277 as of March 29, 2015. (See Note J.) The adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

22. New Accounting Pronouncements Not Yet Adopted

In May 2014, the FASB issued a new accounting standard that attempts to establish a uniform basis for recording revenue to virtually all industries financial statements, under U.S. GAAP as amended in March 2016 and April 2016. The FASB issued two updates to the standard clarifying reporting revenue between Principle versus Agent and clarification in determining performance obligations and licenses guidance. The revenue standard's core principle is built on the contract between a vendor and a customer for the provision of goods and services. It attempts to depict the exchange of rights and obligations between the parties in the pattern of revenue recognition based on the consideration to which the vendor is entitled. In order to accomplish this objective, companies must evaluate the following five basic steps: (i) identify the contract with the customer, (ii) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (iii) determine the transaction price, (iv) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (v) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. There are three basic transition methods that are available – full retrospective, retrospective with certain practical expedients, and a cumulative effect approach. Under the third alternative, an entity would apply the new revenue standard only to contracts that are incomplete under legacy U.S. guidance at the date of initial application and recognize the cumulative effect of the new standard as an adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. Prior years would not be restated and additional disclosures would be required to enable users of the financial statements to understand the impact of adopting the new standard in the current year compared to prior years that are presented under legacy U.S. guidance. Early adoption is prohibited. Public companies were originally expected to apply the new standard for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods therein, which for Nathan's would have been its first quarter of fiscal 2018, beginning on March 27, 2017. On May 12, 2015, the FASB issued a second proposed update to the standard clarifying the distinction between revenue from licenses of intellectual property that represent a promise to deliver a good or service over time versus a promise to be satisfied at a point in time. On July 9, 2015, the FASB agreed to delay the standard's effective date to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017 which will now be our first quarter (June 2018) of our fiscal year ending March 31, 2019. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting standard on its consolidated financial position and results of operations. The Company does not believe that the standard will impact its recognition of revenue for its Branded Product Program, Company-operated restaurants or its recognition of royalties from its franchised restaurants or retail licensees, which

are based on a percentage of sales. The Company is evaluating the impact the adoption of this standard will have on the recognition of fees received from international development fees from the sales of exclusive territorial right, initial fees from franchisees for new restaurant openings or extended franchise terms.

In August 2014, the FASB issued new guidance that requires management to evaluate whether there are conditions or events, considered in the aggregate, that raise substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the date that the financial statements are issued. If such conditions exist, management will be required to include disclosures enabling users to understand those conditions and management's plans to alleviate or mitigate those conditions. This new standard is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 16, 2016. This standard will take effect in Nathan's fourth quarter of our fiscal year ending March 26, 2017. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting standard on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In July 2015, the FASB updated U.S. accounting guidance to simplify the ways businesses measure inventory. Companies that use the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method or the average cost method will measure inventory at the lower of its cost or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the normal course of business, minus the cost of completion, disposal, and transportation. Companies will no longer consider replacement cost or net realizable value less a normal profit margin when measuring inventory. This new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 which will be our first quarter (June 2017) of our fiscal year ending March 25, 2018. Nathan's does not expect the adoption of this new guidance to have a material impact on its results of operations or financial position.

In February 2016, the FASB issued a new accounting standard on leases. The new standard, among other changes, will require lessees to recognize a right-of-use asset and a lease liability on the balance sheet for all leases. The lease liability will be measured at the present value of the lease payments over the lease term. The right-of-use asset will be measured at the lease liability amount, adjusted for lease prepayments, lease incentives received and the lessee's initial direct costs (e.g. commissions). The new standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim reporting periods within those annual reporting periods. This standard is required to take effect in Nathan's first quarter ending (June 2019) of our fiscal year ending March 29, 2020. The adoption will require a modified retrospective approach for leases that exist or are entered into after the beginning of the earliest period presented. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of this new accounting standard on its consolidated financial position and results of operations.

In March 2016, The FASB issued new guidance that will change how companies account for certain aspects of its share-based payments to employees. The update simplifies the accounting for a stock payment's tax consequences. It also amends how excess tax benefits and a Company's payments to cover the tax bills for the shares' recipients should be classified. The amendments allow companies to estimate the number of stock awards they expect to vest, and they revised the withholding requirements for classifying stock awards as equity. Previously, tax withheld was permitted only at the minimum statutory tax rates, which is being amended to permit higher tax withholding as long as it does not exceed the maximum statutory tax rate for an employee in the applicable jurisdictions. This new standard will be effective for public companies with fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016 which will be Nathan’s first quarter ending (June 2017) of our fiscal year ending in March 2018. However, early application is permitted. Nathan’s will early adopt effective its first fiscal quarter ending June 26, 2016 and is currently completing its evaluation of the effects of this new accounting standard on its financial position and results of operations. Pursuant to the standard, Nathan’s should recognize all excess tax benefits (“windfalls”) and tax deficiencies (“shortfalls”), including tax benefits of dividends on share-based payment awards, as income tax expense or benefit in the income statement. These items shall not be factored into the projected annual income tax rate, but will be treated as discrete items when they occur. Accordingly, this new treatment will add additional volatility to the Company’s effective tax rate.

The Company does not believe that any other recently issued, but not yet effective accounting standards, when adopted, will have a material effect on the accompanying financial statements.

NOTE C - INCOME PER SHARE

Basic income per common share is calculated by dividing income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding and excludes any dilutive effect of stock options. Diluted income per common share gives effect to all potentially dilutive common shares that were outstanding during the period. Dilutive common shares used in the computation of diluted income per common share result from the assumed exercise of stock options and warrants, as determined using the treasury stock method.

The following chart provides a reconciliation of information used in calculating the per-share amounts for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively:

	Net Income			Shares			Net income per share		
	2016	2015	2014	**2016**	2015	2014	**2016**	2015	2014
Basic EPS									
Basic calculation	**$6,096**	$11,703	$8,327	**4,430,000**	4,486,000	4,450,000	**$1.38**	$2.61	$1.87
Effect of dilutive employee stock options	**-**	-	-	**33,000**	102,000	155,000	**(.01)**	(.06)	(.06)

Diluted EPS									
Diluted calculation	**$6,096**	$11,703	$8,327	**4,463,000**	4,588,000	4,605,000	**$1.37**	$2.55	$1.81

No options to purchase shares of common stock for the years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share.

NOTE D – MARKETABLE SECURITIES

At March 27, 2016, we did not have any marketable securities.

The cost, gross unrealized gains, gross unrealized losses and fair market value for marketable securities, which consist entirely of municipal bonds that are classified as available-for-sale securities are as follows:

	Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Market Value
March 29, 2015	$7,019	$ 72	$ -	$7,091

Proceeds from the sale of available-for-sale securities and the resulting gross realized gains included in the determination of net income are as follows:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Available-for-sale securities:			
Proceeds	**$10,868**	$8,020	$2,890
Gross realized gains	**$26**	$-	$-

As a result of the sale of all of the marketable securities, all prior unrealized gains have been realized and are included in net income and reclassified in determining other comprehensive income for the year ended March 27, 2016. The reclassification of unrealized gains for the year ended March 27, 2016 was $47, which was net of taxes of $25.

The change in net unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities for the fiscal years ended March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, of $(102) and $(180), respectively, which is net of deferred income taxes, has been included as a component of comprehensive income. Accumulated other comprehensive income is comprised entirely of the net unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities as of March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014.

NOTE E - ACCOUNTS AND OTHER RECEIVABLES, NET

Accounts and other receivables, net, consist of the following:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015
Branded product sales	**$5,689**	$6,317
Franchise and license royalties	**2,592**	2,570
Other	**911**	1,055
	9,192	9,942
Less: allowance for doubtful accounts	**471**	443
Accounts and other receivables, net	**$8,721**	$9,499

Accounts receivable are due within 30 days and are stated at amounts due from franchisees, retail licensees and Branded Product Program customers, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. Accounts outstanding longer than the contractual payment terms are generally considered past due. The Company does not recognize franchise and license royalties that are not deemed to be realizable.

The Company individually reviews each past due account and determines its allowance for doubtful accounts by considering a number of factors, including the length of time accounts receivable are past due, the Company's previous loss history, the customer's current and expected future ability to pay its obligation to the Company, the condition of the general economy and the industry as a whole. Based on management's assessment, the Company provides for estimated uncollectible amounts through a charge to earnings. The Company writes off accounts receivable when they are deemed to be uncollectible against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

Changes in the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 are as follows:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Beginning balance	**$ 443**	$ 433	$ 130
Bad debt expense	**38**	23	21
Uncollectible marketing fund contributions	**-**	-	320
Accounts written off	**(10)**	(13)	(38)
Ending balance	**$ 471**	$ 443	$ 433

NOTE F – PREPAID EXPENSES AND OTHER CURRENT ASSETS

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consist of the following:

March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015

Income taxes	**$211**	$3,525
Insurance	**488**	497
Other	**644**	510
	$1,343	$4,532

NOTE G – LONG-TERM INVESTMENT

In September 2012, Nathan's purchased 351,550 shares of Series A Preferred Stock in a privately-owned corporation for $500. Nathan's investment currently represents a 2.5% equity ownership in the entity and Nathan's does not have the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee. The shares have voting rights on the same basis as the common shareholders and have certain dividend rights, if declared. Nathan's accounts for this investment pursuant to the cost method and recognizes dividends distributed by the investee as income to the extent that dividends are distributed from net accumulated earnings of the investee. There were no dividends declared by the investee during the fifty-two week periods ended March 27, 2016 or March 29, 2015. Each reporting period, management reviews the carrying value of this investment based upon the financial information provided by the investment's management and considers whether indicators of impairment exist. If an impairment indicator exists, management evaluates the fair value of its investment to determine if an, other-than-temporary impairment in value has occurred. We are required to recognize an impairment on the investment if such impairment is considered to be other-than-temporary. We have performed our evaluation of whether indicators of impairment existed, and determined that an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred and recorded impairment charges of $100 and $400 on this investment during the fifty-two week periods ended March 27, 2016 and March 30, 2014, respectively based on the Company's expected inability to recover its remaining investment.

NOTE H - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, NET

Property and equipment consists of the following:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015
Land	**$1,197**	$1,197
Building and improvements	**2,029**	2,067
Machinery, equipment, furniture and fixtures	**5,698**	5,594
Leasehold improvements	**7,124**	6,120
Construction-in-progress	**155**	1,225
	16,203	16,203
Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization	**7,190**	6,946
	$9,013	$9,257

NOTE I – ACCRUED EXPENSES, OTHER CURRENT LIABILITIES AND OTHER LIABILITIES

Accrued expenses and other current liabilities consist of the following:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015
Payroll and other benefits	**$2,919**	$2,847
Accrued rebates	**940**	815
Rent and occupancy costs	**218**	206
Deferred revenue	**679**	601
Construction costs	**183**	269
Interest	**507**	750
Professional fees	**101**	329
Income taxes	**82**	17
Dividend payable	**375**	375
Other	**172**	203
	$6,176	$6,412

F-24

Other liabilities consist of the following:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015
Deferred development fees	**$129**	$214
Reserve for uncertain tax positions (Note J)	**427**	555
Deferred rental liability	**893**	991
Dividend payable	**250**	625
Other	**7**	12
	$1,706	$2,397

NOTE J - INCOME TAXES

The income tax provision consists of the following for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Federal			
Current	**$3,176**	$5,992	$2,664
Deferred	**(11)**	60	1,421
	3,165	6,052	4,085
State and local			
Current	**1,135**	1,599	918
Deferred	**(12)**	51	231
	1,123	1,650	1,149
	$4,288	$7,702	$5,234

The total income tax provision for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 differs from the amounts computed by applying the United States Federal income tax rate of 34%, 35% and 34%, respectively to income before income taxes as a result of the following:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Computed "expected" tax expense	**$3,531**	$6,792	$4,611
State and local income taxes, net of Federal income tax benefit	**826**	1,112	773
Tax-exempt investment earnings	**(9)**	(63)	(110)
Change in uncertain tax positions, net	**(129)**	(62)	(22)
Nondeductible meals and entertainment and other	**69**	(77)	(18)
	$4,288	$7,702	$5,234

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are presented below:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015
Deferred tax assets		
Accrued expenses	**$236**	$145
Allowance for doubtful accounts	**62**	52
Deferred revenue	**393**	432
Deferred stock compensation	**271**	223
Excess of straight line over actual rent	**379**	412
Investment	**151**	152
Other	**119**	140
Total gross deferred tax assets	**$1,611**	$1,556
Deferred tax liabilities		
Deductible prepaid expense	**263**	288
Unrealized gain on marketable securities	**-**	16
Depreciation expense	**1,717**	1,692
Amortization	**344**	311
Total gross deferred tax liabilities	**2,324**	2,307
Net deferred tax (liability)	**$(713)**	(751)

A valuation allowance is provided when it is more likely than not that some portion, or all, of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. We consider the level of historical taxable income, scheduled reversal of temporary differences, tax planning strategies and projected future taxable income in determining whether a valuation allowance is warranted. Based upon these considerations, management believes that it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefit of its gross deferred tax asset.

The following is a tabular reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014.

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Unrecognized tax benefits, beginning of year	**$ 266**	$ 283	$ 296
Decreases of tax positions taken in prior years	**(98)**	(64)	(34)
Increases based on tax positions taken in current year	**43**	47	21

Settlements of tax positions taken in prior years	**(3)**	-	-
Unrecognized tax benefits, end of year	**$ 208**	$ 266	$ 283

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits at March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 were $208, $266 and $283, respectively, all of which would impact Nathan's effective tax rate, if recognized. As of March 27, 2016 and March 29, 2015, the Company had $200 and $289, respectively, accrued for the payment of interest and penalties. For the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 Nathan's recognized interest and penalties in the amounts of $34, $44 and $43, respectively. The Company believes that it is reasonably possible that decreases in unrecognized tax benefits of up to $31 may be recorded within the next year.

In May 2014, Nathan's received notification from the Internal Revenue Service that it is seeking to review its tax return for the year ended March 31, 2013. Subsequent to March 27, 2016, we received confirmation that the review was concluded without adjustment.

In June 2015, Nathan's received notification from the New York State Department of Taxation and Finance that it will review Nathan's tax returns for the period April 1, 2011 through March 31, 2014. Fieldwork has been completed and we are awaiting the final conclusion of the New York State review.

The earliest tax years' that are subject to examination by taxing authorities by major jurisdictions are as follows:

Jurisdiction	**Fiscal Year**
Federal	2013
New York State	2012
New York City	2013

NOTE K – LONG-TERM DEBT

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015
10.000% Senior Secured Notes due 2020	**$135,000**	$135,000
Less: current maturities of long-term debt	**-**	-
Less: unamortized debt discounts and issuance costs	**(4,734)**	(5,860)
	$130,266	$129,140

On March 10, 2015, the Company completed the issuance of $135,000 of 10.000% Senior Secured Notes due 2020 ("the Notes") in a Rule 144A transaction. The Notes were issued pursuant to an indenture, dated as of March 10, 2015 (the "Indenture"), by and among the Company, certain of its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, a national banking association, as trustee and collateral trustee. The Company used the proceeds to pay a special cash dividend of approximately $116,100 (see Note L.1) with the remaining net proceeds for general corporate purposes, including working capital. Debt discounts and issuance costs of approximately $5,926 were incurred which will be amortized into interest expense over the remaining 5-year term of the Notes.

The Notes bear interest at 10.000% per annum, payable semi-annually on March 15th and September 15th with payments of $6,937.5 and $6,750 paid on September 15, 2015 and March 15, 2016, respectively. The Notes have no scheduled principal amortization payments prior to its final maturity on March 10, 2020.

There are no financial maintenance covenants associated with the Notes. As of March 27, 2016, Nathan’s was in compliance with all covenants associated with the Notes.

The Indenture contains certain covenants limiting the Company's ability and the ability of its restricted subsidiaries (as defined in the Indenture) to, subject to certain exceptions and qualifications: (i) incur additional indebtedness; (ii) pay dividends or make other distributions on, redeem or repurchase, capital stock; (iii) make investments or other restricted payments; (iv) create or incur certain liens; (v) incur restrictions on the payment of dividends or other distributions from its restricted subsidiaries; (vi) enter into certain transactions with affiliates; (vii) sell assets; or (viii) effect a consolidation or merger. Certain Restricted Payments which may be made or indebtedness incurred by Nathan's or its Restricted Subsidiaries may require compliance with the following financial ratios:

Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio: the ratio of the Consolidated Cash Flow to the Fixed Charges for the relevant period, currently set at 2.0 to 1.0 in the Indenture. The Fixed Charge Coverage Ratio applies to determining whether additional Restricted Payments may be made, certain additional debt may be incurred and acquisitions may be made.

Priority Secured Leverage Ratio: the ratio of (a) Consolidated Net Debt outstanding as of such date that is secured by a Priority Lien to (b) Consolidated Cash Flow of Nathan's for the Test Period then most recently ended, in each case with such pro forma adjustments as are appropriate; currently set at 0.40 to 1.00 in the Indenture.

Secured Leverage Ratio: the ratio of (a) Consolidated Net Debt outstanding as of such date that is secured by a Lien on any property of Nathan's or any Guarantor to (b) Consolidated Cash Flow of Nathan's for the Test Period then most recently ended, in each case with such pro forma adjustments as are appropriate. The Secured Leverage Ratio under the Indenture is 3.75 to 1.00 and applies if Nathan's wants to incur additional debt on the same terms as the Notes.

The Indenture also contains customary events of default, including, among other things, failure to pay interest, failure to comply with agreements related to the indenture, failure to pay at maturity or acceleration of other indebtedness, failure to pay certain judgments, and certain events of insolvency or bankruptcy. Generally, if any event of default occurs, the Trustee or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the Notes may declare the Notes due and payable by providing notice to the Company. In case of default arising from certain events of bankruptcy or insolvency, the Notes will become immediately due and payable.

The Notes are general senior secured obligations, are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by substantially all of the Company's wholly-owned subsidiaries and rank *pari passu* in right of payment with all of the Company's existing and future indebtedness that is not subordinated, are senior in right of payment to any of the Company's existing and future subordinated indebtedness, are structurally subordinated to any existing and future indebtedness and other liabilities of the Company's subsidiaries that do not guarantee the Notes, and are effectively junior to all existing and future indebtedness that is secured by assets other than the collateral securing the Notes.

Pursuant to the terms of a collateral trust agreement, the liens securing the Notes and the guarantees will be contractually subordinated to the liens securing any future credit facility.

The Notes and the guarantees will be the Company and the guarantors' senior secured obligations and will rank:

senior in right of payment to all of the Company and the guarantors' future subordinated indebtedness;

effectively senior to all unsecured senior indebtedness to the extent of the value of the collateral securing the Notes and the guarantees;

pari passu with all of the Company and the guarantors' other senior indebtedness;

effectively junior to any future credit facility to the extent of the value of the collateral securing any future credit facility and the Notes and the guarantees and certain other assets;

effectively junior to any of the Company and the guarantors' existing and future indebtedness that is secured by assets other than the collateral securing the Notes and the guarantees to the extent of the value of any such assets; and

structurally subordinated to the indebtedness of any of the Company's current and future subsidiaries that do not guarantee the Notes.

Prior to September 15, 2017, the Company has the option to redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the Notes at a redemption price equal to 110% of the principal amount of the Notes redeemed, plus accrued and unpaid interest and any additional interest, with the net cash proceeds of certain equity offerings.

The Company may redeem the Notes in whole or in part prior to September 15, 2017, at a redemption price of 100% of the principal amount of the Notes plus the Applicable Premium, plus accrued and unpaid interest. An Applicable Premium is the greater of 1% of the principal amount of the Notes; or the excess of the present value at such redemption date of (i) the redemption price of the Notes at September 15, 2017 plus (ii) all required interest payments due on the Notes through September 15, 2017 (excluding accrued but unpaid interest to the redemption date), computed using a discount rate equal to the Treasury Rate as of such redemption date plus 50 basis points; over the then outstanding principal amount of the Notes.

On or after September 15, 2017, the Company may redeem some or all of the Notes at a decreasing premium over time, plus accrued and unpaid interest as follows:

YEAR	**PERCENTAGE**
On or after September 15, 2017 and prior to March 15, 2018	105.000%

On or after March 15, 2018 and prior to March 15, 2019	102.500%
On and after March 15, 2019	100.000%

In certain circumstances involving a change of control, the Company will be required to make an offer to repurchase all or, at the holder's option, any part, of each holder's Notes pursuant to the offer described below (the "Change of Control Offer"). In the Change of Control Offer, the Company will be required to offer payment in cash equal to 101% of the aggregate principal amount of Notes repurchased plus accrued and unpaid interest, to the date of purchase.

If the Company sells certain assets and does not use the net proceeds as required, the Company will be required to use such net proceeds to repurchase the Notes at 100% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest and additional interest penalty, if any, to the date of repurchase.

The Notes may be traded between qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A of the Securities Act of 1933. We have recorded the Notes at cost.

NOTE L – STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY, STOCK PLANS AND OTHER EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

1. *Dividend*

On March 10, 2015, the Company's Board of Directors declared a special cash dividend of $25.00 per share payable to shareholders of record as of March 20, 2015 of which approximately $115,100 was paid on March 27, 2015 to the stockholders. The Company also accrued $1,000 for the expected dividends payable on unvested shares pursuant to the terms of the restricted stock agreements. As restricted stock grants, the declared dividend will be paid. We have paid $375 of the accrued dividend and estimate that approximately $375, $125 and $125 will be paid during our fiscal years ending March 26, 2017, March 25, 2018 and March 31, 2019, respectively. The ex-date for the distribution was March 30, 2015 pursuant to NASDAQ regulations for dividend distributions that are greater than 25% of the Company's market capitalization.

2. *Stock Incentive Plans*

On September 14, 2010, the Company's shareholders approved the Nathan's Famous, Inc. 2010 Stock Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan"), which provides for the issuance of nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights and other stock-based awards to directors, officers and key employees. The Company was initially authorized to issue up to 150,000 shares of common stock under the 2010 Plan, together with any shares which had not been previously issued under the Company's previous stock option plans as of July 19, 2010 (171,000 shares), plus any shares subject to any outstanding options or restricted stock grants under the Company's previous stock option plans that were outstanding as of July 19, 2010 and that subsequently expire unexercised, or are otherwise forfeited, up to a maximum of an additional 100,000 shares.

On September 13, 2012, the Company amended the 2010 Plan increasing the number of shares available for issuance by 250,000 shares. Shares to be issued under the 2010 Plan may be made available from authorized but unissued stock, common stock held by the Company in its treasury, or common stock purchased by the Company on the open

market or otherwise. The number of shares issuable and the grant, purchase or exercise price of outstanding awards are subject to adjustment in the amount that the Company's Compensation Committee considers appropriate upon the occurrence of certain events, including stock dividends, stock splits, mergers, consolidations, reorganizations, recapitalizations, or other capital adjustments. In the event that the Company issues restricted stock awards pursuant to the 2010 Plan, each share of restricted stock would reduce the amount of available shares for issuance by either 3.2 shares for each share of restricted stock granted or 1 share for each share of restricted stock granted. As of March 27, 2016, there were up to 223,698 shares available to be issued for future option grants or up to 190,218 shares of restricted stock that may be granted under the 2010 Plan.

In general, options granted under the Company's stock incentive plans have terms of five or ten years and vest over periods of between three and five years. The Company has historically issued new shares of common stock for options that have been exercised and used the Black-Scholes option valuation model to determine the fair value of options granted at the grant date.

During the fiscal year ended March 29, 2015, the Company granted options to purchase 50,000 shares at an exercise price of $53.89 per share, all of which expire five years from the date of grant. All such stock options vest ratably over a four-year period commencing August 6, 2015.

The weighted-average option fair values, as determined using the Black-Scholes option valuation model, and the assumptions used to estimate these values for stock options granted during the year ended March 29, 2015 were as follows:

Weighted-average option fair values	$11.970	
Expected life (years)	4.5	
Interest rate	1.66	%
Volatility	22.77	%
Dividend Yield	0	%

The expected dividend yield is based on historical and projected yields for regular dividends. The Company estimates expected volatility based primarily on historical monthly price changes of the Company's stock equal to the expected life of the option. The risk free interest rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield in effect at the time of the grant. The expected option term is the number of years the Company estimates the options will be outstanding prior to exercise based on expected employment termination behavior.

During the fiscal year ended March 30, 2014, the Company granted 25,000 shares of restricted stock at a fair value of $49.80 per share representing the closing price on the date of grant, which will be fully vested five years from the date of grant. The restrictions on the shares lapse ratably over a five-year period on the annual anniversary of the date of grant. The compensation expense related to this restricted stock award is expected to be $1,245 and will be recognized, commencing on the grant date, over the next five years.

The Company recognizes compensation cost for unvested stock-based incentive awards on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. Compensation cost charged to expense under all stock-based incentive awards is as

follows:

	March 27, 2016	March 29, 2015	March 30, 2014
Stock options	**$ 181**	$ 318	$ 224
Restricted stock	**541**	541	497
	$ 722	$ 859	$ 721

The tax benefit on stock-based compensation expense was $298**,** $350 and $286 for the years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively. As of March 27, 2016, there was $1,081 of unamortized compensation expense related to stock-based incentive awards. The Company expects to recognize this expense over approximately two years and one month, which represents the weighted average remaining requisite service periods for such awards.

A summary of the status of the Company's stock options at March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 and changes during the fiscal years then ended is presented in the tables below:

	2016		2015		2014	
	Shares	**Weighted-Average Exercise Price**	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price
Options outstanding – beginning of year	**142,964**	**$ 24.36**	279,500	$ 15.22	429,500	$ 13.29
Granted	**-**	**-**	50,000	$ 53.89	-	-
Expired	**(3,787)**	**11.72**	-	-	-	-
Exercised	**(15,147)**	**11.72**	(235,125)	14.74	(150,000)	9.71
Options outstanding - end of year	**124,030**	**$ 26.29**	94,375	$ 36.90	279,500	$ 15.22
Options exercisable - end of year	**67,221**	**$ 18.44**	-	$ -	190,750	$ 14.04
Weighted-average fair value of options granted	**-**	**-**	50,000	$ 11.97	**-**	**-**

F-31

During the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, options to purchase 15,147, 235,125 and 150,000 shares were exercised which aggregated proceeds of $89**,** $880 and $944, respectively, to the Company. The aggregate intrinsic values of the stock options exercised during the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 was $486, $13,040 and $6,038, respectively.

The following table summarizes information about outstanding stock options at March 27, 2016:

	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Life	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at March 27, 2016	**124,030**	**$ 26.29**	**2.13**	**$ 1,952**
Options exercisable at March 27, 2016	**67,221**	**$ 18.44**	**1.08**	**$ 1,586**

Exercise prices range from **$11.72 to $35.576**

Replacement stock options:

March 30, 2015, was the ex-dividend date for the Nathan's dividend distribution that was paid on March 27, 2015. Pursuant to the mandatory anti-dilution provisions of the option plan, the Company issued replacement options for the unvested stock options that were outstanding as of March 29, 2015. Nathan's performed its evaluation based on the closing price of its common stock on Friday March 27, 2015 of $73.56 per share, or $48.56 per share excluding the dividend of $25.00 per share. No other terms or conditions of the outstanding options were modified. The anti-dilution provisions of the original awards granted to the 11 optionees were structured to equalize the award's fair value before and after the modification and as a result there was no resulting incremental fair value after the modification to equalize value.

The following table summarizes information about the replacement stock options outstanding after the conversion, effective March 30, 2015:

	Shares	Weighted-	Weighted-	Aggregate

		Average Exercise Price	Average Remaining Contractual Life	Intrinsic Value
Options outstanding at March 30, 2015	**142,964**	**$ 24.36**	**2.87**	**$ 3,460**
Options exercisable at March 30, 2015	**-**	**$ -**	**-**	**$ -**

Exercise prices range from **$11.72 to $35.576**

Restricted stock:

Transactions with respect to restricted stock for the fiscal year ended March 27, 2016 are as follows:

	Shares	**Weighted-Average Grant-date Fair value Per share**
Unvested restricted stock at March 29, 2015	**40,000**	**$ 39.54**
Granted	**-**	**-**
Vested	**(15,000)**	**$ 36.13**
Unvested restricted stock at March 27, 2016	**25,000**	**$ 41.59**

The aggregate fair value of restricted stock vested during the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 was $683, $965 and $533, respectively.

3. Common Stock Purchase Rights

On June 5, 2013, Nathan's adopted a new stockholder rights plan (the "2013 Rights Plan") under which all stockholders of record as of June 17, 2013 received rights to purchase shares of common stock (the "2013 Rights") and the previously existing "New Rights Plan" was terminated.

The 2013 Rights were distributed as a dividend. Initially, the 2013 Rights will attach to, and trade with, the Company's common stock. Subject to the terms, conditions and limitations of the 2013 Rights Plan, the 2013 Rights will become exercisable if (among other things) a person or group acquires 15% or more of the Company's common stock ("triggering event"). Upon such triggering event and payment of the purchase price of $100.00 (the "2013 Right Purchase Price"), each 2013 Right (except those held by the acquiring person or group) will entitle the holder to acquire one share of the Company's common stock (or the economic equivalent thereof) or, if the then-current market price is less than the then current 2013 Right Purchase Price, a number of shares of the Company's common stock which at the

time of the transaction has a market value equal to the then current 2013 Right Purchase Price at a purchase price per share equal to the then current market price of the Company's Common Stock.

The Company's Board of Directors may redeem the 2013 Rights prior to the time they are triggered. Upon adoption of the 2013 Rights Plan, the Company reserved 10,188,600 shares of common stock for issuance upon exercise of the 2013 Rights. The 2013 Rights will expire on June 17, 2018 unless earlier redeemed or exchanged by the Company.

At March 27, 2016, the Company has reserved 10,825,689 shares of common stock for issuance upon exercise of the Common Stock Purchase Rights approved by the Board of Directors on June 5, 2013.

4. Stock Repurchase Programs

On December 13, 2013, the Company and Mutual Securities, Inc. ("MSI") entered into an agreement pursuant to which MSI has been authorized on the Company's behalf to purchase shares of the Company's common stock, $.01 par value having a value of up to an aggregate of five million dollars ($5,000), which purchases could commence on December 23, 2013. The agreement with MSI was adopted under the safe harbor provided by Rule 10b5-1 and Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended in order to assist the Company in implementing its previously announced stock purchase plans described below and provides for the purchase of up to an aggregate of 800,000 shares.

On September 11, 2015, Nathan's Board of Directors authorized the commencement of a modified Dutch Auction tender offer to repurchase up to 500,000 shares of its common stock at a price not less than $33.00 nor greater than $36.00 per share. On November 13, 2015, the Pricing Committee authorized the Company to extend the expiration date of the modified Dutch Auction tender offer until 5:00PM EST on December 2, 2015 and increase the price range of the modified Dutch Auction tender offer to a price per share of not less than $41.00 nor greater than $44.00. Based on the final count by American Stock Transfer and Trust Company, the depositary of the tender, 88,672 shares of common stock were tendered and not withdrawn at or below the final purchase price of $44.00 per share. Since the tender offer was not fully subscribed, no proration was required and all shares validly tendered and not withdrawn were accepted for purchase. All of such shares purchased in the tender offer were purchased at the same price of $44.00 per share, for a total cost of $4,056, including fees and expenses related to the modified Dutch Auction tender offer.

Through March 27, 2016, Nathan's purchased a total of 5,096,757 shares of common stock at a cost of approximately $76,031 pursuant to the various stock repurchase plans previously authorized by the Board of Directors. Of these repurchased shares, 449,070 shares were repurchased at a cost of $19,231 during the year ended March 27, 2016, which includes 88,672 shares of common stock purchased pursuant to the modified Dutch Auction tender offer described above.

On November 9, 2009, Nathan's Board of Directors authorized its sixth stock repurchase plan for the purchase of up to 500,000 shares of its common stock on behalf of the Company. On February 1, 2011, Nathan's Board of Directors increased the authorization to purchase its common stock by an additional 300,000 shares. On February 1, 2016, Nathan's Board of Directors increased the authorization to purchase its common stock by an additional 200,000 shares. On March 11, 2016, Nathan's Board of Directors increased the authorization to purchase its common stock by an additional 200,000 shares increasing the aggregate authorization under the Sixth Securities Repurchase Program to 1.2 million shares. The Company has repurchased 909,126 shares at a cost of $28,369 under the sixth stock repurchase plan through March 27, 2016. An aggregate of 290,874 shares are available to be purchased. On March 11, 2016, the Company and Mutual Securities, Inc. ("MSI") entered into an agreement (the "Agreement") pursuant to which MSI has been authorized on the Company's behalf to purchase up to 175,000 shares of the Company's common stock, $.01 par value, commencing on March 21, 2016. The Agreement was adopted under the safe harbor provided by Rule 10b5-1 and Rule 10b-18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, in order to assist the Company in implementing its stock purchase plans.

Purchases under the existing stock repurchase plan may be made from time to time, depending on market conditions, in open market or privately-negotiated transactions, at prices deemed appropriate by management. There is no set time limit on the repurchases to be made under the stock repurchase plan.

5. Employment Agreements

Effective January 1, 2007, Howard M. Lorber, previously Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, assumed the newly-created position of Executive Chairman of the Board of Nathan’s and Eric Gatoff, previously Vice President and Corporate Counsel, became Chief Executive Officer of Nathan’s.

In connection with the foregoing, the Company entered into an employment agreement with each of Messrs. Lorber (as amended, the “Lorber Employment Agreement”) and Gatoff (as amended, the “Gatoff Employment Agreement”). Under the terms of the Lorber Employment Agreement, Mr. Lorber will serve as Executive Chairman of the Board from January 1, 2007 until December 31, 2012, unless his employment is terminated in accordance with the terms of the Lorber Employment Agreement. On November 1, 2012, the Company amended its employment agreement with Mr. Lorber, extending the term of the employment agreement to December 31, 2017 and increasing the base compensation of Mr. Lorber to $600 per annum. In addition, Mr. Lorber received a grant of 50,000 shares of restricted stock subject to vesting as provided in a Restricted Stock Agreement between Mr. Lorber and the Company. Mr. Lorber will not receive a contractually-required bonus. The Lorber Employment Agreement provides for a three-year consulting period after the termination of employment during which Mr. Lorber will receive a consulting fee of $200 per year in exchange for his agreement to provide no less than 15 days of consulting services per year, provided, Mr. Lorber is not required to provide more than 50 days of consulting services per year.

The Lorber Employment Agreement provides Mr. Lorber with the right to participate in employment benefits offered to other Nathan’s executives. During and after the contract term, Mr. Lorber is subject to certain confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-competition provisions in favor of the Company.

In the event that Mr. Lorber’s employment is terminated without cause, he is entitled to receive his salary and bonus for the remainder of the contract term. The Lorber Employment Agreement further provides that in the event there is a change in control, as defined in the agreement, Mr. Lorber has the option, exercisable within one year after such event, to terminate the agreement. Upon such termination, he has the right to receive a lump sum cash payment equal to the greater of (A) his salary and annual bonuses for the remainder of the employment term (including a prorated bonus for any partial fiscal year), which bonus shall be equal to the average of the annual bonuses awarded to him during the three fiscal years preceding the fiscal year of termination; or (B) 2.99 times his salary and annual bonus for the fiscal year immediately preceding the fiscal year of termination, in each case together with a lump sum cash payment equal to the difference between the exercise price of any exercisable options having an exercise price of less than the then current market price of the Company’s common stock and such then current market price. In addition, Nathan’s will provide Mr. Lorber with a tax gross-up payment to cover any excise tax due.

F-35

In the event of termination due to Mr. Lorber's death or disability, he is entitled to receive an amount equal to his salary and annual bonuses for a three-year period, which bonus shall be equal to the average of the annual bonuses awarded to him during the three fiscal years preceding the fiscal year of termination.

Under the terms of the Gatoff Employment Agreement, Mr. Gatoff initially served as Chief Executive Officer from January 1, 2007 until December 31, 2008, which period automatically extends for additional one-year periods unless either party delivers notice of non-renewal no less than 180 days prior to the end of the term then in effect. Consequently, the Gatoff Employment Agreement is expected to be extended through December 31, 2017, based on the original terms, and no non-renewal notice has been given.

Pursuant to the agreement, Mr. Gatoff will receive a base salary, currently $500 effective June 1, 2016, and an annual bonus based on his performance measured against the Company's financial, strategic and operating objectives as determined by the Compensation Committee. The Gatoff Employment Agreement provides for an automobile allowance and the right of Mr. Gatoff to participate in employment benefits offered to other Nathan's executives. The employment agreement automatically extends for successive one-year periods unless notice of non-renewal is provided in accordance with the agreement. During and after the contract term, Mr. Gatoff is subject to certain confidentiality, non-solicitation and non-competition provisions in favor of the Company. On June 4, 2013, Mr. Gatoff received a grant of 25,000 shares of restricted stock at a fair value of $49.80 per share representing the closing price on the date of grant, subject to vesting as provided in a Restricted Stock Agreement between Mr. Gatoff and the Company. The compensation expense related to this restricted stock award is expected to be $1,245 and will be recognized, commencing of the grant date, over the next five years.

On June 10, 2015, the Company and Wayne Norbitz entered into a Transition Agreement (the "Transition Agreement") relating to the retirement of Mr. Norbitz as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company. Under the Transition Agreement, Mr. Norbitz continued to serve as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company through August 7, 2015 at which time he became a Consultant to the Company pursuant to the terms of a one year Consulting Agreement between him and the Company (the "Consulting Agreement"). The Consulting Agreement provides that Mr. Norbitz will receive a consulting fee of $16.3 per month. The Transition Agreement further provides that Mr. Norbitz will receive a severance payment of $289 and under the terms of the Transition Agreement, the Company purchased from Mr. Norbitz 56,933 shares of the Company's common stock, $.01 par value (the "Common Stock") at a purchase price of $40.28 which was the closing price of the Common Stock as reported on the Nasdaq Global Market on June 10, 2015.

The Company and one employee of Nathan’s entered into a change of control agreement effective May 31, 2007 for annual compensation of $136 per year. The agreement additionally includes a provision under which the employee has the right to terminate the agreement and receive payment equal to approximately three times his annual compensation upon a change in control, as defined.

Each employment agreement terminates upon death or voluntary termination by the respective employee or may be terminated by the Company on up to 30-days’ prior written notice by the Company in the event of disability or “cause,” as defined in each agreement.

6. Defined Contribution and Union Pension Plans

The Company has a defined contribution retirement plan under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code covering all nonunion employees over age 21, who have been employed by the Company for at least one year. Employees may contribute to the plan, on a tax-deferred basis, up to 20% of their total annual salary. Historically, the Company has matched contributions at a rate of $.25 per dollar contributed by the employee on up to a maximum of 3% of the employee’s total annual salary. Employer contributions for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014 were $35**,** $30 and $34, respectively.

The Company participates in a noncontributory, multi-employer, defined benefit pension plan (the “Union Plan”) covering substantially all of the Company’s union-represented employees. The risks of participating in the Union Plan are different from a single-employer plan in the following aspects (a) assets contributed to the Union Plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers; (b) if a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers; and (c) if the Company chooses to stop participating in the Union Plan, the Company may be required to pay the Union Plan an amount based on the underfunded status of the Union Plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability. The Company has no plans or intentions to stop participating in the plan as of March 27, 2016 and does not believe that there is a reasonable possibility that a withdrawal liability will be incurred. Contributions to the Union Plan were $8, $10 and $10 for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively.

7. Other Benefits

The Company provides, on a contributory basis, medical benefits to active employees. The Company does not provide medical benefits to retirees.

NOTE M - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

1. Commitments

The Company's operations are principally conducted in leased premises. The leases generally have initial terms ranging from 5 to 20 years and usually provide for renewal options ranging from 5 to 20 years. Most of the leases contain escalation clauses and common area maintenance charges (including taxes and insurance).

Revenue from sub-leasing properties is recognized in income as the revenue is earned and deemed collectible. Sub-lease rental income is presented net of associated lease costs in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings.

As of March 27, 2016, the Company had non-cancelable operating lease commitments, net of certain sublease rental income, as follows:

	Lease commitments	Sublease income	Net lease commitments
2017	$ 1,618	$ 303	$ 1,315
2018	1,645	327	1,318
2019	1,654	330	1,324
2020	1,545	332	1,213
2021	1,063	309	754
Thereafter	6,906	1,128	5,778
	$ 14,431	$ 2,729	$ 11,702

Aggregate rental expense, net of sublease income, under all current leases amounted to $1,628, $1,617 and $1,391 for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively. Sublease rental income was $270**,** $267 and $265 for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively.

Contingent rental payments on building leases are typically made based on the percentage of gross sales of the individual restaurants that exceed predetermined levels. The percentage of gross sales to be paid and related gross sales level vary by unit. Contingent rental expense, which is inclusive of common area maintenance charges, was approximately $517**,** $489 and $454 for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively.

At March 27, 2016, the Company leases one site which it in turn subleases to a franchisee, which expires in April 2027 exclusive of renewal options. The Company remains liable for all lease costs when property is subleased to a franchisee.

2. *Legal Proceedings*

The Company and its subsidiaries are from time to time involved in ordinary and routine litigation. Management presently believes that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, cash flows or results of operations. Nevertheless, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties and unfavorable rulings could occur. An unfavorable ruling could include money damages and, in such event, could result in a material adverse impact on the Company's results of operations for the period in which the ruling occurs.

3. Guaranty

On December 1, 2009, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company executed a Guaranty of Lease (the “Guaranty”) in connection with its re-franchising of a restaurant located in West Nyack, New York. The Guaranty extended through the fifth Lease Year, as defined in the lease, and shall not exceed an amount equal to the highest amount of the annual minimum rent, percentage rent and any additional rent payable pursuant to the lease and reasonable attorney’s fees and other costs. The Guaranty expired and the Company reversed all previously recorded liabilities in connection with this guaranty. In connection with the Nathan’s Franchise Agreement, Nathan’s also received a personal guaranty from the franchisee for all obligations under the Guaranty. Nathan’s has not been required to make any payments pursuant to the Guaranty.

4. *Hurricane Sandy*

On October 29, 2012, Superstorm Sandy struck the Northeastern United States, which forced the closing of all of the Company-owned restaurants. Our flagship Coney Island restaurant incurred significant damage and re-opened on May 20, 2013. Our Company-owned restaurant in Oceanside, New York was closed for approximately two weeks. Our Coney Island Boardwalk restaurant sustained minor damage and re-opened on March 18, 2013. Seventy-eight franchised restaurants, including 18 Branded Menu locations, were also closed for varying periods of time.

As of March 30, 2014, the Company settled the property damage claim with its insurers and received payments of approximately $3,400, net of fees, from our insurer and used these proceeds towards the rebuilding of the Coney Island restaurant. In connection with the settlement of the property and casualty loss, the Company recognized a gain of approximately $2,774 during the fiscal ended March 30, 2014.

In April 2014, the Company settled its claim for reimbursable on-going business expenses while the restaurant was closed of approximately $718, net of fees, that was included in accounts and other receivables in the balance sheet as of March 30, 2014.

NOTE N - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

An accounting firm of which Charles Raich, who serves on Nathan’s Board of Directors, has been the Founding Partner, received ordinary tax preparation and other consulting fees of $181, $160 and $130 for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively.

A firm to which Mr. Lorber is as an investor (and, prior to January 2012, a consultant), and the firm’s affiliates, received ordinary and customary insurance commissions aggregating approximately $19, $24 and $24 for the fiscal years ended March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014, respectively.

NOTE O - QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

	First Quarter	**Second Quarter**	**Third Quarter**	**Fourth Quarter**
Fiscal Year 2016				
Total revenues	**$30,654**	**$30,619**	**$20,564**	**$19,053**
Gross profit (a)	**4,785**	**6,313**	**3,681**	**3,254**
Income from operations	**7,616**	**8,426**	**4,435**	**4,486**
Net income	**2,310**	**2,847**	**432**	**507**
Per share information				
Net income per share				
Basic (b)	**$.50**	**$.64**	**$.10**	**$.12**
Diluted (b)	**$.50**	**$.64**	**$.10**	**$.12**
Shares used in computation of net income per share				
Basic (b)	**4,584,000**	**4,432,000**	**4,408,000**	**4,297,000**
Diluted (b)	**4,621,000**	**4,449,000**	**4,444,000**	**4,337,000**

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Fiscal Year 2015				
Total revenues	$27,585	$28,872	$22,315	$20,340
Gross profit (a)	4,240	4,716	2,594	2,019
Income from operations	6,779	6,447	3,765	2,967
Net income	4,071	3,854	2,241	1,537
Per share information				
Net income per share				
Basic (b)	$.91	$.86	$.50	$.34
Diluted (b)	$.89	$.84	$.49	$.34
Shares used in computation of net income per share				
Basic (b)	4,471,000	4,472,000	4,482,000	4,521,000
Diluted (b)	4,593,000	4,593,000	4,603,000	4,562,000

(a) Gross profit represents the difference between sales and cost of sales.

(b) The sum of the quarters may not equal the full year per share amounts included in the accompanying consolidated statements of earnings due to the effect of the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the fiscal years as compared to the quarters.

Nathan's Famous, Inc. and Subsidiaries

SCHEDULE II - VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

March 27, 2016, March 29, 2015 and March 30, 2014

(in thousands)

COL. A	COL. B	COL. C		COL. D	COL. E
Description	Balance at beginning of period	Additions charged to costs and expenses	Additions charged to other accounts	Deductions	Balance at end of period
Fifty-two weeks ended March 27, 2016					
Allowance for doubtful accounts - accounts receivable	**$ 443**	**$38**	**$ -**	**$ (10)(b)**	**$ 471**
Fifty-two weeks ended March 29, 2015					
Allowance for doubtful accounts - accounts receivable	$ 433	$23	$ -	$ (13)(b)	$ 443
Fifty-two weeks ended March 30, 2014					
Allowance for doubtful accounts - accounts receivable	$ 130	$21	$ 320 (a)	$ (38)(b)	$ 433

(a) Uncollectible marketing fund contributions.

(b) Uncollectible amounts written off.