

Western Gas Equity Partners, LP
Form 10-K
February 23, 2017
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2016

Or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 001-35753

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

46-0967367

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1201 Lake Robbins Drive

77380

The Woodlands, Texas

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

(832) 636-6000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Common Units Representing Limited Partner Interests New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☐ No ☒

Edgar Filing: Western Gas Equity Partners, LP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer ☐ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☒

Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common units representing limited partner interests held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$1.5 billion on June 30, 2016, based on the closing price as reported on the New York Stock Exchange.

At February 21, 2017, there were 218,928,570 common units outstanding.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

None

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| Item | Page |
|--|------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| 1 and 2. <u>Business and Properties</u> | 9 |
| <u>General Overview</u> | 9 |
| <u>Assets and Areas of Operations</u> | 10 |
| <u>Acquisitions and Divestitures</u> | 11 |
| <u>Equity Offerings</u> | 11 |
| <u>Strategy</u> | 12 |
| <u>Competitive Strengths</u> | 12 |
| <u>WES's Relationship with Anadarko Petroleum Corporation</u> | 14 |
| <u>Industry Overview</u> | 15 |
| <u>Properties</u> | 18 |
| <u>Competition</u> | 33 |
| <u>Regulation of Operations</u> | 35 |
| <u>Environmental Matters</u> | 39 |
| <u>Title to Properties and Rights-of-Way</u> | 41 |
| <u>Employees</u> | 41 |
| 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 42 |
| 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 77 |
| 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 77 |
| 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 77 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 78 |
| <u>Market Information</u> | 78 |
| <u>Other Securities Matters</u> | 78 |
| <u>Selected Information From Our Partnership Agreement</u> | 78 |
| 6. <u>Selected Financial and Operating Data</u> | 79 |
| 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 81 |
| <u>Executive Summary</u> | 81 |
| <u>WES's Operations</u> | 83 |
| <u>How WES Evaluates Its Operations</u> | 84 |
| <u>Items Affecting the Comparability of Financial Results</u> | 89 |
| <u>General Trends and Outlook</u> | 93 |
| <u>Results of Operations</u> | 95 |
| <u>Operating Results</u> | 95 |
| <u>Key Performance Metrics</u> | 104 |
| <u>Liquidity and Capital Resources</u> | 105 |
| <u>Contractual Obligations</u> | 114 |
| <u>Critical Accounting Estimates</u> | 115 |
| <u>Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements</u> | 118 |
| <u>Recent Accounting Developments</u> | 118 |
| 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 118 |
| 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 119 |
| 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 165 |

| | | |
|-----|--------------------------------|------------|
| 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>165</u> |
| 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>165</u> |

Table of Contents

| Item | Page |
|---|------------|
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>166</u> |
| 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>172</u> |
| 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>188</u> |
| 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>191</u> |
| 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | <u>199</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>200</u> |

Table of Contents

COMMONLY USED TERMS AND DEFINITIONS

Western Gas Equity Partners, LP (“WGP”) is a Delaware master limited partnership formed by Anadarko Petroleum Corporation to own three types of partnership interests in Western Gas Partners, LP and its subsidiaries (“WES”). For purposes of this Form 10-K, “WGP,” “we,” “us,” “our,” “Western Gas Equity Partners,” or like terms refers to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP in its individual capacity or to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP and its subsidiaries, including the general partner of WES, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, and WES, as the context requires. As used in this Form 10-K, the identified terms and definitions below have the following meanings:

AESC: Anadarko Energy Services Company.

Affiliates: Subsidiaries of Anadarko, excluding us, but including equity interests in Fort Union, White Cliffs, Rendezvous, the Mont Belvieu JV, TEP, TEG, and FRP.

AMH: APC Midstream Holdings, LLC.

AMM: Anadarko Marcellus Midstream, L.L.C.

Anadarko: Anadarko Petroleum Corporation and its subsidiaries, excluding us and WGP GP.

Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest: WES’s 33.75% interest in the Larry’s Creek, Seely and Warrensville gas gathering systems and related facilities located in northern Pennsylvania.

April 2016 Series A units: The 7,892,220 Series A Preferred units WES issued pursuant to the full exercise of the option granted in connection with the issuance of the March 2016 Series A units.

Barrel or Bbl: 42 U.S. gallons measured at 60 degrees Fahrenheit.

Bbls/d: Barrels per day.

Board of Directors or Board: The board of directors of WGP GP.

Btu: British thermal unit; the approximate amount of heat required to raise the temperature of one pound of water by one degree Fahrenheit.

Chipeta: Chipeta Processing, LLC.

Chipeta LLC agreement: Chipeta’s limited liability company agreement, as amended and restated as of July 23, 2009.

Condensate: A natural gas liquid with a low vapor pressure mainly composed of propane, butane, pentane and heavier hydrocarbon fractions.

COP: Continuous offering programs.

Cryogenic: The process in which liquefied gases are used to bring natural gas volumes to very low temperatures (below approximately -238 degrees Fahrenheit) to separate natural gas liquids from natural gas. Through cryogenic processing, more natural gas liquids are extracted than when traditional refrigeration methods are used.

DBJV: Delaware Basin JV Gathering LLC.

DBJV system: A gathering system and related facilities located in the Delaware Basin in Loving, Ward, Winkler and Reeves Counties in West Texas.

Table of Contents

DBM: Delaware Basin Midstream, LLC.

DBM complex: The cryogenic processing plants, gas gathering system, and related facilities and equipment in West Texas that serve production from Reeves, Loving and Culberson Counties, Texas and Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico.

Delivery point: The point where hydrocarbons are delivered by a processor or transporter to a producer, shipper or purchaser, typically the inlet at the interconnection between the gathering or processing system and the facilities of a third-party processor or transporter.

DJ Basin complex: The Platte Valley system, Wattenberg system and Lancaster plant, all of which were combined into a single complex in the first quarter of 2014.

Drip condensate: Heavier hydrocarbon liquids that fall out of the natural gas stream and are recovered in the gathering system without processing.

Dry gas: A gas primarily composed of methane and ethane where heavy hydrocarbons and water either do not exist or have been removed through processing.

EBITDA: Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization. For a definition of “Adjusted EBITDA,” see the caption How WES Evaluates Its Operations under Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

End-use markets: The ultimate users/consumers of transported energy products.

Equity investment throughput: WES’s 14.81% share of average Fort Union throughput, 22% share of average Rendezvous throughput, 10% share of average White Cliffs throughput, 25% share of average Mont Belvieu JV throughput, 20% share of average TEP and TEG throughput and 33.33% share of average FRP throughput.

Exchange Act: The Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

FERC: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Fitch: Fitch Ratings Inc.

Fort Union: Fort Union Gas Gathering, LLC.

Fractionation: The process of applying various levels of higher pressure and lower temperature to separate a stream of natural gas liquids into ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane and natural gasoline for end-use sale.

FRP: Front Range Pipeline LLC.

GAAP: Generally accepted accounting principles in the United States.

Gpm: Gallons per minute, when used in the context of amine treating capacity.

Hydraulic fracturing: The injection of fluids into the wellbore to create fractures in rock formations, stimulating the production of oil or gas.

IDRs: Incentive distribution rights.

Table of Contents

Imbalance: Imbalances result from (i) differences between gas and NGL volumes nominated by customers and gas and NGL volumes received from those customers and (ii) differences between gas and NGL volumes received from customers and gas and NGL volumes delivered to those customers.

Initial assets: The assets and liabilities of Anadarko Gathering Company LLC, Pinnacle Gas Treating LLC (sold by WES in 2015) and MIGC, LLC, which Anadarko contributed to WES concurrently with the closing of WES's IPO in May 2008.

IPO: Initial public offering.

Joule-Thompson (JT): A type of processing plant that uses the Joule-Thompson effect to cool natural gas by expanding the gas from a higher pressure to a lower pressure which reduces the temperature.

LIBOR: London Interbank Offered Rate.

March 2016 Series A units: The 14,030,611 Series A Preferred units WES issued in March 2016 in connection with the acquisition of Springfield.

MBbls/d: One thousand barrels per day.

MGR: Mountain Gas Resources, LLC.

MGR assets: The Red Desert complex and the Granger straddle plant.

MIGC: MIGC, LLC.

MLP: Master limited partnership.

MMBtu: One million British thermal units.

MMcf: One million cubic feet.

MMcf/d: One million cubic feet per day.

Mont Belvieu JV: Enterprise EF78 LLC.

Moody's: Moody's Investors Service Inc.

Natural gas liquid(s) or NGL(s): The combination of ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane and natural gasolines that, when removed from natural gas, become liquid under various levels of higher pressure and lower temperature.

Non-Operated Marcellus Interest: WES's 33.75% interest in the Liberty and Rome gas gathering systems and related facilities located in northern Pennsylvania.

Nuevo: Nuevo Midstream, LLC.

NYSE: New York Stock Exchange.

NYMEX: New York Mercantile Exchange.

OTTCO: Overland Trail Transmission, LLC.

Play: A group of gas or oil fields that contain known or potential commercial amounts of petroleum and/or natural gas.

Table of Contents

Produced water: Byproduct associated with the production of crude oil and natural gas that often contains a number of dissolved solids and other materials found in oil and gas reservoirs.

Receipt point: The point where hydrocarbons are received by or into a gathering system, processing facility or transportation pipeline.

Red Desert complex: The Patrick Draw processing plant, the Red Desert processing plant, associated gathering lines, and related facilities.

Refrigeration: A method of processing natural gas by reducing the gas temperature with the use of an external refrigeration system.

Rendezvous: Rendezvous Gas Services, LLC.

Residue: The natural gas remaining after the unprocessed natural gas stream has been processed or treated.

S&P: Standard and Poor's Financial Services LLC.

SEC: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Springfield: Springfield Pipeline LLC.

Springfield interest: Springfield's 50.1% interest in the Springfield system.

Springfield gas gathering system: A gas gathering system and related facilities located in Dimmit, La Salle, Maverick and Webb Counties in South Texas.

Springfield oil gathering system: An oil gathering system and related facilities located in Dimmit, La Salle, Maverick and Webb Counties in South Texas.

Springfield system: The Springfield gas gathering system and Springfield oil gathering system.

Stabilization: The process of separating very light hydrocarbon gases, methane and ethane in particular, from heavier hydrocarbon components. This process reduces the volatility of the liquids during transportation and storage.

Tailgate: The point at which processed natural gas and/or natural gas liquids leave a processing facility for end-use markets.

TEFR Interests: The interests in TEP, TEG and FRP.

TEG: Texas Express Gathering LLC.

TEP: Texas Express Pipeline LLC.

TEUs: 7.50% Tangible equity units.

Wellhead: The point at which the hydrocarbons and water exit the ground.

WES: Western Gas Partners, LP.

WES GP: Western Gas Holdings, LLC, the general partner of WES.

WES LTIP: Western Gas Partners, LP 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

WES RCF: WES's senior unsecured revolving credit facility.

WGP: Western Gas Equity Partners, LP.

WGP GP or general partner: Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC, the general partner of WGP.

WGP RCF: The WGP senior secured revolving credit facility.

WGP LTIP: Western Gas Equity Partners, LP 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan.

Table of Contents

WGP WCF: The WGP working capital facility.

WGRI: Western Gas Resources, Inc.

White Cliffs: White Cliffs Pipeline, LLC.

2018 Notes: WES's 2.600% Senior Notes due 2018.

2021 Notes: WES's 5.375% Senior Notes due 2021.

2022 Notes: WES's 4.000% Senior Notes due 2022.

2025 Notes: WES's 3.950% Senior Notes due 2025.

2026 Notes: WES's 4.650% Senior Notes due 2026.

2044 Notes: WES's 5.450% Senior Notes due 2044.

\$125.0 million COP: WES's COP contemplated by the registration statement filed with the SEC in August 2012 authorizing the issuance of up to an aggregate of \$125.0 million of WES common units.

\$500.0 million COP: WES's COP contemplated by the registration statement filed with the SEC in August 2014 authorizing the issuance of up to an aggregate of \$500.0 million of WES common units.

Table of Contents

PART I

Items 1 and 2. Business and Properties

GENERAL OVERVIEW

Western Gas Equity Partners, LP. WGP is a Delaware MLP formed by Anadarko to own three types of partnership interests in WES. Our common units are publicly traded on the NYSE under the symbol “WGP.” WGP GP is our general partner and is a wholly owned subsidiary of Anadarko.

We were formed in September 2012 upon the conversion of WGR Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, into a Delaware MLP, and closed our IPO in December 2012. Our only cash-generating assets consist of our partnership interests in WES, which consist of the following: (i) the 1.5% general partner interest through our 100% ownership of WES GP (ii) 100% of the IDRs in WES and (iii) a significant limited partner interest in WES. As of December 31, 2016, we held 50,132,046 WES common units, representing a 29.9% limited partner interest in WES, and through our ownership of WES GP, we indirectly held 2,583,068 general partner units, representing a 1.5% general partner interest in WES, and 100% of the WES IDRs.

Western Gas Partners, LP. WES is an MLP engaged in the business of gathering, compressing, treating, processing and transporting natural gas, and gathering, stabilizing and transporting condensate, NGLs and crude oil. WES is also currently constructing two produced-water disposal systems in West Texas, which are expected to be placed in service during the second quarter of 2017. WES provides these midstream services for Anadarko, as well as for third-party producers and customers. WES’s common units are publicly traded on the NYSE under the symbol “WES.”

Available information. We electronically file our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and other documents with the SEC under the Exchange Act. From time to time, we may also file registration and related statements pertaining to equity or debt offerings.

We provide access free of charge to all of these SEC filings, as soon as reasonably practicable after filing or furnishing such materials with the SEC, on our website located at www.westerngas.com. The public may also read and copy any materials that we file with the SEC at the SEC’s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, DC 20549. The public may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. The public may also obtain such reports from the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Ethics for our Chief Executive Officer and Senior Financial Officers, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics and the charters of the Audit Committee and the Special Committee of our Board of Directors are also available on our website. We will also provide, free of charge, a copy of any of our governance documents listed above upon written request to our general partner’s corporate secretary at our principal executive office. Our principal executive offices are located at 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77380-1046. Our telephone number is 832-636-6000.

Table of Contents

ASSETS AND AREAS OF OPERATION

As of December 31, 2016, WES's assets and its investments consisted of the following:

| | Owned and Operated | Operated Interests | Non-Operated Interests | Equity Interests |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Gathering systems | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Treating facilities | 12 | 12 | — | 3 |
| Natural gas processing plants/trains | 20 | 5 | — | 2 |
| NGL pipelines | 2 | — | — | 3 |
| Natural gas pipelines | 5 | — | — | — |
| Oil pipelines | — | 1 | — | 1 |

These assets and investments are located in the Rocky Mountains (Colorado, Utah and Wyoming), North-central Pennsylvania and Texas. The following table provides information regarding WES's assets by geographic region, as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, excluding Train VI at the DBM complex, which is currently under construction in West Texas (see Assets Under Development within these Items 1 and 2):

| Area | Asset Type | Miles of Pipeline (1) | Approximate Number of Active Receipt Points (1) | Compression (HP) (1) | Processing or Treating Capacity (MMcf/d) | Processing or Treating Capacity (MMbbls/d) (1) | Average Gathering, Processing and Transportation Throughput (MMcf/d) (2) | Average Gathering, Processing and Transportation Throughput (MMbbls/d) (3) |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Rocky Mountains | Gathering, Processing and Treating | 7,726 | 4,624 | 548,078 | 3,377 | — | 2,252 | — |
| | Transportation | 1,726 | 46 | 43,634 | — | — | 95 | 28 |
| North-central Pennsylvania | Gathering | 673 | 404 | 88,300 | — | — | 770 | — |
| Texas | Gathering, Processing and Treating | 1,980 | 891 | 432,804 | 1,260 | 284 | 903 | 87 |
| | Transportation | 1,175 | 13 | 40,895 | — | — | — | 69 |
| Total | | 13,280 | 5,978 | 1,153,711 | 4,637 | 284 | 4,020 | 184 |

(1) All system metrics are presented on a gross basis and include owned, rented and leased compressors at certain facilities. Includes horsepower associated with liquid pump stations.

(2) Includes 100% of Chipeta throughput, 50% of Newcastle and DBJV system throughput, 50.1% of Springfield gas gathering throughput, 22% of Rendezvous throughput and 14.81% of Fort Union throughput, but excludes throughput related to the Hugoton system (44 MMcf/d for the ten months ended October 31, 2016) prior to its divestiture in October 2016 (see Acquisitions and Divestitures within these Items 1 and 2).

(3) Represents total throughput measured in barrels, consisting of throughput on WES's Chipeta NGL pipeline and an NGL line at WES's Brasada complex, a 50.1% share of average Springfield oil gathering system throughput, a 10% share of average White Cliffs throughput, a 25% share of average Mont Belvieu JV throughput, a 20% share of average TEG and TEP throughput and a 33.33% share of average FRP throughput. See Properties below for further descriptions of these systems.

Because we reflect our ownership interest in WES on a consolidated basis and have no independent operations or material assets outside those of WES, our segment analysis and presentation is the same as that of WES. WES's operations are organized into a single operating segment that engages in gathering, compressing, treating, processing and transporting natural gas, and gathering, stabilizing and transporting condensate, NGLs and crude oil. WES is also currently constructing two produced-water disposal systems in West Texas, which are expected to be placed in service during the second quarter of 2017. WES provides these services for Anadarko and third-party producers and customers in the United States. See Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for disclosure of revenues, profits and total assets for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Table of Contents

ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

Acquisitions. On March 14, 2016, WES acquired Anadarko's interest in Springfield, which owns a 50.1% interest in oil and natural gas gathering systems located in Dimmit, La Salle, Maverick and Webb Counties in South Texas for \$750.0 million. WES financed the cash portion of the acquisition through: (i) borrowings of \$247.5 million under WES's RCF, (ii) the issuance of 835,841 of WES common units to us and (iii) the issuance of Series A Preferred units to private investors. See Note 4—Equity and Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information regarding WES's Series A Preferred units. We financed the purchase of the WES common units by borrowing \$25.0 million under the WGP RCF.

Divestitures. In October 2016, the Hugoton system, located in Southwest Kansas and Oklahoma, was sold to a third party, resulting in a net loss on sale of \$12.0 million recorded as Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net in the consolidated statements of operations. See Note 14—Subsequent Events in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Presentation of WES assets. The term "WES assets" refers to the assets indirectly owned and interests accounted for under the equity method (see Note 9—Equity Investments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K) by us through our partnership interests in WES as of December 31, 2016. Because Anadarko controls WES through its control of us, and because we own the entire interest in WES GP, each of WES's acquisitions of WES assets from Anadarko has been considered a transfer of net assets between entities under common control. As such, WES assets acquired from Anadarko were initially recorded at Anadarko's historic carrying value, which did not correlate to the total acquisition price paid by WES (see Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). Further, after an acquisition of WES assets from Anadarko, we (by virtue of our consolidation of WES) and WES may be required to recast our financial statements to include the activities of such WES assets from the date of common control.

EQUITY OFFERINGS

Public equity offerings. In June 2016, Anadarko sold 12,500,000 of its WGP common units to the public through an underwritten offering. We did not receive any proceeds from, or incur any expense in, the public offering.

Tangible equity units. In June 2015, Anadarko completed the public issuance of 9,200,000 7.50% TEUs, including 1,200,000 TEUs pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, at a price to the public of \$50.00 per TEU. Each TEU that Anadarko issued consists of (1) a prepaid equity purchase contract for WGP common units owned by Anadarko (subject to Anadarko's right to elect to deliver shares of its common stock in lieu of such WGP common units) and (2) a senior amortizing note due June 7, 2018. We did not receive any proceeds from, or incur any expense in, the public offering. See Note 10—Tangible Equity Units in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of Anadarko's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (which is not, and shall not be deemed to be, incorporated by reference herein), for a full discussion of the TEUs.

\$500 million COP. WES issued no common units under the \$500.0 million COP during the year ended December 31, 2016.

WES Series A Preferred units. In March 2016, WES issued the March 2016 Series A units to private investors for a cash purchase price of \$32.00 per unit, generating proceeds of \$440.0 million (net of fees and expenses, but including a 2.0% transaction fee paid to the private investors), which were used to fund a portion of the Springfield acquisition. In April 2016, WES issued the April 2016 Series A units pursuant to the full exercise of an option granted in connection with the March 2016 Series A units issuance, generating net proceeds of \$246.9 million, which were used to pay down amounts borrowed under the WES RCF in connection with the Springfield acquisition. See Note 4—Equity

and Partners' Capital and Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

STRATEGY

Our primary business objective is to continue to increase our cash distributions per unit over time, which can only be achieved if WES meets its primary business objective of increasing its cash distributions per unit over time. To accomplish this objective, WES intends to execute the following strategy:

Capitalizing on organic growth opportunities. WES expects to grow certain of its systems organically over time by meeting Anadarko's and its other customers' midstream service needs that result from their drilling activity in its areas of operation. WES continually evaluates economically attractive organic expansion opportunities in existing or new areas of operation that allow it to leverage its infrastructure, operating expertise and customer relationships to meet new or increased demand of its services.

Pursuing accretive acquisitions. WES expects to continue to pursue accretive acquisitions of midstream energy assets from Anadarko and third parties.

Increasing third-party volumes to WES's systems. WES continues to actively market its midstream services to, and pursue strategic relationships with, third-party producers and customers with the intention of attracting additional volumes and/or expansion opportunities.

- Managing commodity price exposure. WES intends to continue limiting its direct exposure to commodity price changes and promote cash flow stability by pursuing a contract structure designed to mitigate exposure to a majority of the commodity price uncertainty through the use of fee-based contracts and fixed-price hedges.

Maintaining investment grade metrics. WES intends to operate at appropriate leverage and distribution coverage levels in line with other partnerships in its sector that maintain investment grade credit ratings. By maintaining investment grade credit metrics, in part through staying within leverage ratios appropriate for investment-grade partnerships, we believe that WES will be able to pursue strategic acquisitions and large growth projects at a lower cost of fixed-income capital, which would enhance their accretion and overall return.

COMPETITIVE STRENGTHS

We believe that WES is well positioned to successfully execute its strategy and achieve its primary business objective because of the following competitive strengths:

Affiliation with Anadarko. We believe Anadarko is motivated to promote and support the successful execution of WES's business plan and to use its relationships throughout the energy industry, including those with producers and customers in the United States, to pursue projects that help to enhance the value of WES's business. See WES's Relationship with Anadarko Petroleum Corporation below.

- Commodity price and volumetric risk mitigation. WES's cash flows are relatively protected from fluctuations caused by commodity price volatility due to (i) the approximately 94% of its Adjusted gross margin attributable to long-term, fee-based agreements and (ii) the commodity price swap agreements that limit its exposure to commodity price changes with respect to a majority of its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts. For the year ended December 31, 2016, 99% of WES's Adjusted gross margin was derived from either long-term, fee-based contracts or from percent-of-proceeds or keep-whole agreements that were hedged with commodity price swap agreements. See How WES Evaluates Its Operations under Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K. On December 1, 2016, WES renewed its commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets through December 31, 2017. See Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated

Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. In addition, WES mitigates volumetric risk by entering into contracts with cost of service or demand charge structures. For the year ended December 31, 2016, and excluding throughput measured in barrels, 54% of WES's throughput was subject to demand charges and 27% of WES's throughput was contracted under a cost of service model.

Table of Contents

Liquidity to pursue expansion and acquisition opportunities. We believe WES's operating cash flows, borrowing capacity, long-term relationships and reasonable access to debt and equity capital markets provide it with the liquidity to competitively pursue acquisition and expansion opportunities and to execute its strategy across capital market cycles. As of December 31, 2016, WES had no outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF and \$4.9 million in outstanding letters of credit issued under the \$1.2 billion WES RCF.

Substantial presence in basins with historically strong producer economics. Certain of WES's systems are in areas, such as the Delaware and DJ Basins, and the Eagleford shale, which have historically seen robust producer activity and are considered to have some of the most favorable producer returns for onshore North America. WES's assets in these areas serve production where the hydrocarbons contain not only natural gas, but also oil, condensate and NGLs. In addition, WES's interest in the Anadarko-Operated Marcellus gathering systems serve dry gas production from the Marcellus shale, which is considered to have some of the most abundant low-cost, dry gas reserves due to the overall scale and quality of the underlying resource. See Properties below for further asset descriptions and Note 14—Subsequent Events in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Well-positioned and well-maintained assets. We believe that WES's asset portfolio, which is located in geographically diverse areas of operation, provides it with opportunities to expand and attract additional volumes to its systems from multiple productive reservoirs. Moreover, WES's portfolio consists of high-quality, well-maintained assets for which it has implemented modern processing, treating, measurement and operating technologies.

Consistent track record of accretive acquisitions. Since WES's IPO in 2008, WES's management team has successfully executed eleven related-party acquisitions and six third-party acquisitions, with an aggregate value of \$5.6 billion (inclusive of the forecasted cash payment of \$56.5 million for the acquisition of DBJV in March 2020, see Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). WES's management team has demonstrated its ability to identify, evaluate, negotiate, consummate and integrate strategic acquisitions and expansion projects, and it intends to use its experience and reputation to continue to grow WES's operations through accretive acquisitions, focusing on opportunities to improve throughput volumes and cash flows.

We believe that WES will effectively leverage its competitive strengths to successfully implement its strategy. However, WES's business involves numerous risks and uncertainties that may prevent it from achieving its primary business objective. For a more complete description of the risks associated with our and WES's business, read Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

WES'S RELATIONSHIP WITH ANADARKO PETROLEUM CORPORATION

WES's operations and activities are managed by its general partner, WES GP, which is our wholly owned subsidiary. Anadarko, which owns our general partner, WGP GP, and an 81.6% limited partner interest in us, is among the largest independent oil and gas exploration and production companies in the world. Anadarko's upstream oil and gas business explores for and produces natural gas, crude oil, condensate and NGLs.

We believe that one of WES's principal strengths is its relationship with Anadarko, and that Anadarko, through its direct economic interest in us and significant indirect economic interest in WES, will continue to be motivated to promote and support the successful execution of WES's business plan and to pursue projects that help to enhance the value of our and WES's business.

As of December 31, 2016, Anadarko held 178,587,365 of our common units, representing an 81.6% limited partner interest in us. In addition, as of December 31, 2016, we held 50,132,046 WES common units, representing a 29.9% limited partner interest in WES, and through our ownership of WES GP, we indirectly held 2,583,068 general partner units, representing a 1.5% general partner interest in WES, and 100% of the WES IDRs. As of December 31, 2016, other subsidiaries of Anadarko collectively held 2,011,380 WES common units and 12,358,123 Class C units, representing an aggregate 8.6% limited partner interest in WES.

In connection with our IPO in December 2012, we entered into an omnibus agreement with our general partner and Anadarko that governs (i) our obligation to reimburse Anadarko for expenses incurred or payments made on our behalf in conjunction with Anadarko's provision of general and administrative services to us, including our public company expenses and general and administrative expenses; (ii) our obligation to pay Anadarko in quarterly installments an administrative services fee of \$250,000 per year (subject to an annual increase as described in the omnibus agreement); and (iii) our obligation to reimburse Anadarko for all insurance coverage expenses it incurs or payments it makes with respect to our assets. In connection with WES's IPO in 2008, WES entered into an omnibus agreement with Anadarko and WES GP that governs its relationship with Anadarko regarding certain reimbursement and indemnification matters.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, production owned or controlled by Anadarko represented (i) 37% of WES's natural gas gathering, treating and transportation throughput (excluding equity investment throughput), (ii) 54% of WES's natural gas processing throughput (excluding equity investment throughput), and (iii) 65% of WES's crude/NGL gathering, treating and transportation throughput (excluding equity investment throughput). In addition, with respect to the Wattenberg, Haley, Helper and Clawson gathering systems, Anadarko has made dedications to WES that will continue to expand as long as additional wells are connected to these gathering systems. In executing its growth strategy, which includes acquiring and constructing additional midstream assets, WES will use the significant experience of Anadarko's management team.

WES has commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko to mitigate exposure to a majority of the commodity price risk inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts at the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets. These commodity price swap agreements are set to expire in December 2017. See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Although we believe WES's relationship with Anadarko provides it with a significant advantage in the midstream energy sector, it is also a source of potential conflicts. For example, neither we nor Anadarko is restricted from competing with WES. Given Anadarko's significant indirect economic interest in WES and in us, we believe it will be in Anadarko's best economic interest for it to transfer additional assets to WES over time. However, Anadarko continually evaluates acquisitions and divestitures and may elect to acquire, construct or dispose of midstream assets in the future without offering WES the opportunity to participate in such transactions. For example, on December 21, 2016, Anadarko announced it had agreed to sell its operated midstream assets in the Marcellus shale to a third party. Should Anadarko choose to pursue additional midstream asset sales, it is under no contractual obligation to offer assets or business opportunities to WES, nor is WES obligated to participate in any such opportunities. We cannot state with any certainty which, if any, opportunities to acquire additional assets from Anadarko may be made available to WES or if WES will elect, or will have the ability, to pursue any such opportunities. See Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence under Part III, Item 13 of

this Form 10-K for more information.

Table of Contents

INDUSTRY OVERVIEW

The midstream industry is the link between the exploration for and production of natural gas, NGLs, and crude oil and the delivery of the resulting hydrocarbon components to end-use markets. Operators within this industry create value at various stages along the midstream value chain by gathering production from producers at the wellhead, separating the produced hydrocarbons into various components and delivering these components to end-use markets, and where applicable, gathering and disposing of produced water.

The following diagram illustrates the primary groups of assets found along the midstream value chain:

Natural Gas Midstream Services

Midstream companies provide services with respect to natural gas that are generally classified into the categories described below.

Gathering. At the initial stages of the midstream value chain, a network of typically smaller diameter pipelines known as gathering systems directly connect to wellheads in the production area. These gathering systems transport raw, or untreated, natural gas to a central location for treating and processing. A large gathering system may involve thousands of miles of gathering lines connected to thousands of wells. Gathering systems are typically designed to be highly flexible to allow gathering of natural gas at different pressures and scalable to allow gathering of additional production without significant incremental capital expenditures.

Stabilization. Stabilization is a process that separates the heavier hydrocarbons (which also serve as valuable commodities) that are sometimes found in natural gas, typically referred to as “liquids-rich” natural gas, from the lighter components by using a distillation process or by reducing the pressure and letting the more volatile components flash.

Compression. Natural gas compression is a mechanical process in which a volume of natural gas at a given pressure is compressed to a desired higher pressure, which allows the natural gas to be gathered more efficiently and delivered into a higher pressure system, processing plant or pipeline. Field compression is typically used to allow a gathering system to operate at a lower pressure or provide sufficient discharge pressure to deliver natural gas into a higher pressure system. Since wells produce at progressively lower field pressures as they deplete, field compression is needed to maintain throughput across the gathering system.

Treating and dehydration. To the extent that gathered natural gas contains water vapor or contaminants, such as carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide, it is dehydrated to remove the saturated water and treated to separate the carbon dioxide and hydrogen sulfide from the gas stream.

Table of Contents

Processing. The principal components of natural gas are methane and ethane, but most natural gas also contains varying amounts of heavier NGLs and contaminants, such as water and carbon dioxide, sulfur compounds, nitrogen or helium. Natural gas is processed to remove unwanted contaminants that would interfere with pipeline transportation or use of the natural gas and to separate those hydrocarbon liquids from the gas that have higher value as NGLs. The removal and separation of individual hydrocarbons through processing is possible due to differences in weight, boiling point, vapor pressure and other physical characteristics.

Fractionation. Fractionation is the process of applying various levels of higher pressure and lower temperature to separate a stream of NGLs into ethane, propane, normal butane, isobutane and natural gasoline for end-use sale.

Storage, transportation and marketing. Once the raw natural gas has been treated or processed and the raw NGL mix has been fractionated into individual NGL components, the natural gas and NGL components are stored, transported and marketed to end-use markets. Each pipeline system typically has storage capacity located both throughout the pipeline network and at major market centers to better accommodate seasonal demand and daily supply-demand shifts. WES does not currently offer storage services.

Crude Oil Midstream Services

Midstream companies provide services with respect to crude oil that are generally classified into the categories described below.

Gathering. Crude oil gathering assets provide the link between crude oil production gathered at the well site or nearby collection points and crude oil terminals, storage facilities, long-haul crude oil pipelines and refineries. Crude oil gathering assets generally consist of a network of small-diameter pipelines that are connected directly to the well site or central receipt points and deliver into large-diameter trunk lines. To the extent there are not enough volumes to justify construction of or connection to a pipeline system, crude oil can also be trucked from a well site to a central collection point.

Stabilization. Crude oil stabilization assets process crude oil to meet vapor pressure specifications. Crude oil delivery points, including crude oil terminals, storage facilities, long-haul crude oil pipelines and refineries, often have specific requirements for vapor pressure and temperature, and for the amount of sediment and water that can be contained in any crude oil delivered to them.

Produced Water Midstream Services

The services provided by WES and other midstream companies with respect to produced water are generally classified into the categories described below.

Gathering. Produced water often accounts for the largest byproduct stream associated with production of crude oil and natural gas. Produced water gathering assets provide the link between well sites or nearby collection points and disposal facilities.

Disposal. As a byproduct of crude oil and natural gas production, produced water must be recycled or disposed of in order to maintain production. Produced water disposal wells and related facilities remove hydrocarbon products and other sediments from the produced water in compliance with applicable regulations and re-inject it into an underground formation.

Table of Contents

Typical Contractual Arrangements

Midstream services, other than transportation, are usually provided under contractual arrangements that vary in the amount of commodity price risk they carry. Three typical contract types, or combinations thereof, are described below:

Fee-based. Under fee-based arrangements, the service provider typically receives a fee for each unit of (i) natural gas, NGLs, or crude oil gathered, treated, processed and/or transported, or (ii) produced water disposed of, at its facilities. As a result, the price per unit received by the service provider does not vary with commodity price changes, minimizing the service provider's direct commodity price risk exposure.

Percent-of-proceeds, percent-of-value or percent-of-liquids. Percent-of-proceeds, percent-of-value or percent-of-liquids arrangements may be used for gathering and processing services. Under these arrangements, the service provider typically remits to the producers either a percentage of the proceeds from the sale of residue gas and/or NGLs or a percentage of the actual residue gas and/or NGLs at the tailgate. These types of arrangements expose the processor to commodity price risk, as the revenues from the contracts directly correlate with the fluctuating price of natural gas and/or NGLs.

Keep-whole. Keep-whole arrangements may be used for processing services. Under these arrangements, the service provider keeps 100% of the NGLs produced, and the processed natural gas, or value of the gas, is returned to the producer. Since some of the gas is used and removed during processing, the processor compensates the producer for the amount of gas used and removed in processing by supplying additional gas or by paying an agreed-upon value for the gas utilized. These arrangements have the highest commodity price exposure for the processor because the costs are dependent on the price of natural gas and the revenues are based on the price of NGLs.

The above midstream services, as well as the transportation of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil, can be performed on a firm or interruptible basis, as described below:

Firm. Firm service requires the reservation of capacity by a customer between certain receipt and delivery points or within a processing facility. Firm customers generally pay a demand or capacity reservation fee based on the amount of capacity being reserved, regardless of whether the capacity is used, plus, in specific cases, a usage fee based on the volumes gathered, processed or transported.

Interruptible. Interruptible service is typically short-term in nature and is generally used by customers that either do not need firm service or have been unable to contract for firm service. These customers pay only for the volume actually gathered, processed or transported. The obligation to provide this service is limited to available capacity not otherwise used by firm customers, and, as such, customers receiving services under interruptible contracts are not assured capacity.

See Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for information regarding WES's recognition of revenue under its contracts.

Table of Contents

PROPERTIES

Our only cash-generating assets consist of our partnership interests in WES. We currently have no independent operations. The following sections describe in more detail the services provided by WES's assets in its areas of operation as of December 31, 2016.

GATHERING, PROCESSING AND TREATING

Overview - Rocky Mountains - Wyoming

18

Table of Contents

| Location | Asset | Type | Processing / Treating Plants | Processing / Treating Capacity (MMcf/d) | Compressors | Compression Horsepower | Gathering Systems | Pipeline Miles |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|---|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Northeast Wyoming | Bison | Treating | 3 | 450 | 9 | 14,620 | — | — |
| Northeast Wyoming | Fort Union ⁽¹⁾ | Gathering & Treating | 3 | 295 | 3 | 5,454 | 1 | 315 |
| Northeast Wyoming | Hilight | Gathering & Processing | 2 | 60 | 40 | 41,919 | 1 | 1,497 |
| Northeast Wyoming | Newcastle ⁽¹⁾ | Gathering & Processing | 1 | 3 | 6 | 2,660 | 1 | 188 |
| Southwest Wyoming | Granger complex ⁽²⁾ | Gathering & Processing | 4 | 500 | 44 | 48,617 | 1 | 834 |
| Southwest Wyoming | Red Desert complex ⁽³⁾ | Gathering & Processing | 1 | 125 | 33 | 58,129 | 1 | 1,122 |
| Southwest Wyoming | Rendezvous ⁽⁴⁾ | Gathering | — | — | 5 | 7,485 | 1 | 338 |
| Total | | | 14 | 1,433 | 140 | 178,884 | 6 | 4,294 |

⁽¹⁾ WES has a 14.81% interest in Fort Union and a 50% interest in Newcastle.

⁽²⁾ The Granger complex includes the “Granger straddle plant,” a refrigeration processing plant.

⁽³⁾ The Red Desert complex includes the Red Desert cryogenic processing plant, which is currently inactive, and the Patrick Draw cryogenic processing plant.

⁽⁴⁾ WES has a 22% interest in the Rendezvous gathering system, which is operated by a third party.

Northeast Wyoming

Bison treating facility

Customers. Throughput at the Bison treating facility was from two third party customers for the year ended December 31, 2016. The largest customer provided 78% of the throughput during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Supply and delivery points. The Bison treating facility treats and compresses gas from coal-bed methane wells in the Powder River Basin of Wyoming. The Bison treating facility is directly connected to Fort Union’s pipeline and the Bison pipeline operated by TransCanada Corporation.

Fort Union gathering system and treating facility

Customers. Western Gas Wyoming, L.L.C., Copano Pipelines/Rocky Mountains, LLC, Crestone Powder River LLC and Powder River Midstream, LLC hold a majority of the firm capacity on the Fort Union system. To the extent capacity on the system is not used by these customers, it is available to third parties under interruptible agreements.

Supply. Substantially all of Fort Union’s gas supply is comprised of coal-bed methane volumes that are either produced or gathered by the customers noted above and their affiliates throughout the Powder River Basin. The Fort Union customers noted above gather gas for delivery to Fort Union under contracts with acreage dedications from multiple producers in the heart of the basin and from the coal-bed methane producing area near Sheridan, Wyoming.

• Delivery points. The Fort Union system delivers coal-bed methane gas to the hub in Glenrock, Wyoming, which has access to the following interstate pipelines:

Colorado Interstate Gas Company LLC's pipeline ("CIG");
Tallgrass Interstate Gas Transmission system's pipeline ("TIGT"); and
Wyoming Interstate Company's pipeline ("WIC").

These pipelines serve gas markets in the Rocky Mountains and Midwest regions of the United States.

Table of Contents

Hilight gathering system and processing plant

Customers. Gas gathered and processed through the Hilight system is from numerous third-party customers, with the six largest producers providing 79% of the system throughput during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Supply. The Hilight gathering system serves the gas gathering needs of several conventional producing fields in Johnson, Campbell, Natrona and Converse Counties, Wyoming.

Delivery points. The Hilight plant delivers residue into WES's MIGC transmission line (see Transportation within these Items 1 and 2). Hilight is not connected to an active NGL pipeline, resulting in all fractionated NGLs being sold locally through truck and rail loading facilities.

Newcastle gathering system and processing plant

Customers. Gas gathered and processed through the Newcastle system is from 11 third-party customers, with the largest three producers providing 79% of the system throughput during the year ended December 31, 2016. The largest producer provided 48% of the throughput during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Supply. The Newcastle gathering system and plant primarily service gas production from the Clareton and Finn-Shurley fields in Weston County, Wyoming. Due to infill drilling and enhanced production techniques, producers have continued to maintain production levels.

- Delivery points. Propane products from the Newcastle plant are typically sold locally by truck, and the butane/gasoline mix products are transported to the Hilight plant for further fractionation. Residue from the Newcastle system is delivered into Black Hills Corporation's intrastate pipeline for transport, distribution and sale.

Southwest Wyoming

Granger gathering system and processing complex

Customers. For the year ended December 31, 2016, 89% of the Granger complex throughput was from five third-party customers and 2% was from Anadarko.

Supply. The Granger complex is supplied by the Moxa Arch and the Jonah and Pinedale Anticline fields. The Granger gas gathering system had 593 active receipt points as of December 31, 2016.

Delivery points. The residue from the Granger complex can be delivered to the following major pipelines:

CIG;

Berkshire Hathaway Energy's Kern River pipeline ("Kern River pipeline") via a connect with Tesoro Logistics LP's ("Tesoro") Rendezvous pipeline ("Rendezvous pipeline");

Questar Pipeline Company's pipeline ("Questar pipeline");

Questar Overthrust Pipeline;

The Williams Companies, Inc.'s Northwest Pipeline ("NWPL");

WES's OTTCO pipeline; and

WES's Mountain Gas Transportation LLC pipeline.

The NGLs have market access to Enterprise Products Partners LP's ("Enterprise") Mid-America Pipeline Company pipeline ("MAPL"), which terminates at Mont Belvieu, Texas, as well as to local markets.

Table of Contents

Red Desert gathering system and processing complex

- Customers. For the year ended December 31, 2016, 61% of the Red Desert complex throughput was from six third-party customers and 7% was from Anadarko.

Supply. The Red Desert complex gathers, compresses, treats and processes natural gas and fractionates NGLs produced from the eastern portion of the Greater Green River Basin, providing service primarily to the Red Desert and Washakie Basins.

Delivery points. Residue from the Red Desert complex is delivered to CIG and WIC, while NGLs are delivered to MAPL, as well as to truck and rail loading facilities.

Rendezvous gathering system

Customers. Tesoro and Anadarko are the only firm customers on the Rendezvous gathering system. To the extent capacity on the system is not used by those customers, it is available to third parties under interruptible agreements.

Supply and delivery points. The Rendezvous gathering system provides high pressure gathering service for gas from the Jonah and Pinedale Anticline fields and delivers to WES's Granger plant, as well as Tesoro's Blacks Fork gas processing plant, which connects to the Questar pipeline, NWPL and the Kern River pipeline via the Rendezvous pipeline.

Overview - Rocky Mountains - Colorado and Utah

| Location | Asset | Type | Processing / Treating Plants | Processing Treating Capacity (MMcf/d) | Compressors | Compression Horsepower | Gathering Systems | Pipeline Miles |
|----------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| Colorado | DJ Basin complex ⁽¹⁾ | Gathering, Processing & Treating | 9 | 919 | 118 | 264,044 | 2 | 3,205 |
| Utah | Chipeta ⁽²⁾ | Processing | 4 | 980 | 18 | 84,007 | — | — |
| Utah | Clawson | Gathering & Treating | 2 | 20 ⁽³⁾ | 5 | 6,310 | 1 | 31 |
| Utah | Helper | Gathering & Treating | 3 | 25 ⁽³⁾ | 11 | 13,475 | 1 | 130 |
| Total | | | 18 | 1,944 | 152 | 367,836 | 4 | 3,366 |

⁽¹⁾ The DJ Basin complex includes the Platte Valley, Fort Lupton, Fort Lupton JT, Lambert JT, and Lancaster Trains I and II processing plants, the Platteville amine treating plant, and the Wattenberg gathering system.

⁽²⁾ WES is the managing member of and owns a 75% interest in Chipeta. Chipeta owns the Chipeta processing complex and the Natural Buttes refrigeration plant.

⁽³⁾ At current carbon dioxide levels and operating conditions.

Table of Contents

Rocky Mountains - Colorado

DJ Basin gathering system, treating facility and processing complex

Customers. Anadarko is the largest customer with 70% of the DJ Basin complex throughput for the year ended December 31, 2016. The balance of the throughput was from various third-party customers, with the largest providing 15% of the throughput.

Supply. There were 2,598 active receipt points connected to the DJ Basin complex as of December 31, 2016. The DJ Basin complex is primarily supplied by the Wattenberg field, in which Anadarko controls 749,000 gross acres. Anadarko drilled 97 wells and completed 204 wells during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Delivery points. As of December 31, 2016, the DJ Basin complex had the following delivery points for gas not processed within the DJ Basin complex:

Anadarko's Wattenberg plant inlet; and

Various interconnections with DCP Midstream LP's ("DCP") gathering and processing system.

The DJ Basin complex is connected to CIG and Xcel Energy's residue pipelines for natural gas residue takeaway and to Overland Pass Pipeline Company LLC's pipeline and FRP's pipeline for NGL takeaway. In addition, the NGL fractionator at the Platte Valley plant and associated truck-loading facility provides access to local NGL markets.

Table of Contents

Rocky Mountains - Utah

Chipeta processing complex

Customers. Anadarko is the largest customer at Chipeta with 77% of the system throughput for the year ended December 31, 2016. The balance of throughput during the year ended December 31, 2016 was from 9 third-party customers.

- Supply. The Chipeta complex is well positioned to access Anadarko and third-party production in the Uinta Basin where Anadarko controls 255,000 gross acres. Chipeta's inlet is connected to Anadarko's Natural Buttes gathering system, the Questar pipeline and Three Rivers Gathering, LLC's system, which is owned by Ute Energy and another third party.

Delivery points. The Chipeta plant delivers NGLs to MAPL, which provides transportation through Enterprise's Seminole pipeline ("Seminole pipeline") and TEP's pipeline in West Texas and ultimately to the NGL fractionation and storage facilities in Mont Belvieu, Texas. The Chipeta plant has residue gas delivery points through the following pipelines delivering to markets throughout the Rockies and Western United States:

CIG;
Questar pipeline; and
WIC.

Table of Contents

Clawson gathering system and treating facility

Customers. Anadarko is the only shipper on the Clawson gathering system.

Supply. The Clawson Springs field covers 7,600 gross acres and produces primarily from the Ferron Coal play.

Delivery points. The Clawson gathering system delivers into the Questar pipeline. The Questar pipeline provides transportation to regional markets in Wyoming, Colorado and Utah and also delivers into the Kern River pipeline, which provides transportation to markets in the Western United States, primarily California.

Helper gathering system and treating facility

Customers. Anadarko is the only shipper on the Helper gathering system.

Supply. The Helper and the Cardinal Draw fields are Anadarko-operated coal-bed methane developments on the southwestern edge of the Uinta Basin that produce from the Ferron Coal play. Anadarko owns 19,000 gross acres in the Helper field and 16,000 gross acres in the Cardinal Draw field.

Delivery points. The Helper gathering system delivers into the Questar pipeline. The Questar pipeline provides transportation to regional markets in Wyoming, Colorado and Utah and also delivers into the Kern River pipeline, which provides transportation to markets in the Western United States, primarily California.

Table of Contents

Overview - North-central Pennsylvania

| Location | Asset | Type | Compressors | Compression Horsepower | Gathering Systems | Pipeline Miles |
|----------------------------|--|-----------|-------------|------------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| North-central Pennsylvania | Non-Operated Marcellus ⁽¹⁾ | Gathering | 31 | 81,400 | 2 | 531 |
| North-central Pennsylvania | Anadarko-Operated Marcellus ⁽²⁾ | Gathering | 5 | 6,900 | 3 | 142 |
| Total | | | 36 | 88,300 | 5 | 673 |

(1) WES owns a 33.75% interest in the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems, with a third party serving as the operator.

(2) WES owns a 33.75% interest in the Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems, with Anadarko serving as the operator.

Marcellus gathering systems

Customers. As of December 31, 2016, in addition to Anadarko, the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems had seven priority shippers on its Rome gathering system and eight priority shippers on its Liberty gathering system. Also as of December 31, 2016, in addition to Anadarko, the Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems had six priority shippers. For the year ended December 31, 2016, Anadarko represented 18% and 43% of throughput on the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems and the Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems, respectively. Capacity not used by priority shippers is available to third parties.

Supply and delivery points. As of December 31, 2016, Anadarko had a working interest in over 533,000 gross acres within the Marcellus shale. On December 21, 2016, Anadarko announced it had agreed to sell its operated and non-operated upstream assets and operated midstream assets (excluding WES's interests) in the Marcellus shale to a third party, including approximately 195,000 net acres. The transaction is expected to close in the first quarter of 2017, subject to standard closing conditions and adjustments. The Non-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems have access to Transcontinental Gas Pipeline Company, LLC's pipeline ("TRANSCO"), Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, LLC's pipeline and Millennium Pipeline Company, LLC's pipeline. The Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems have access to TRANSCO.

Table of Contents

Overview - Texas

| Location | Asset | Type | Processing / Treating Plants | Processing / Treating Capacity (MMcf/d) | Processing / Treating Capacity ⁽¹⁾ (MBbls/d) | Compressors ⁽¹⁾ | Compression Horsepower ⁽¹⁾ | Gathering System | Pipeline Miles |
|-------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|----------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| East Texas | Mont Belvieu JV ⁽²⁾ | Processing | 2 | — | 170 | — | — | — | — |
| South Texas | Brasada complex | Gathering, Processing & Treating | 3 | 200 | 15 | 14 | 30,450 | 1 | 57 |
| South Texas | Springfield system ⁽³⁾ | Gathering and Treating | 3 | — | 75 | 107 | 172,216 | 2 | 811 |
| West Texas | Haley | Gathering | — | — | — | 10 | 15,300 | 1 | 178 |
| West Texas | DBM complex ⁽⁴⁾ | Gathering, Processing & Treating | 5 | 700 | 18 | 84 | 149,000 | 1 | 357 |
| West Texas | DBJV system ⁽⁵⁾ | Gathering & Treating | 9 | 360 | 6 | 50 | 65,838 | 1 | 577 |
| Total | | | 22 | 1,260 | 284 | 265 | 432,804 | 6 | 1,980 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes owned, rented and leased compressors and compression horsepower.

⁽²⁾ WES owns a 25% interest in the Mont Belvieu JV, which owns two NGL fractionation trains. A third party serves as the operator.

⁽³⁾ WES owns a 50.1% interest in the Springfield system and serves as the operator.

⁽⁴⁾ Excludes 1,400 gpm of amine treating capacity at the DBM complex. Train VI is currently under construction. See Assets Under Development below.

⁽⁵⁾ WES owns a 50% interest in the DBJV system and serves as the operator.

East Texas

Table of Contents

Mont Belvieu JV fractionation trains

Customers. The Mont Belvieu JV does not directly contract with customers, but rather is allocated volumes from Enterprise based on the available capacity of the other trains at Enterprise's NGL fractionation complex in Mont Belvieu, Texas.

Supply and delivery points. Enterprise receives volumes at its fractionation complex in Mont Belvieu, Texas via a large number of pipelines that terminate there, including the Seminole pipeline, Skelly-Belvieu Pipeline Company, LLC's pipeline, TEP and Enterprise's Panola Pipeline, in which Anadarko has a 15% equity interest. Individual NGLs are delivered to end users either through customer-owned pipelines that are connected to nearby petrochemical plants or via export terminal.

South Texas

On January 12, 2017, Anadarko announced it agreed to sell its Eagleford shale upstream assets to third parties, including approximately 155,000 net acres. The transaction is expected to close in the first quarter of 2017, subject to customary closing conditions and adjustments.

Brasada gathering system, stabilization and treating facility and processing complex

Customers. Anadarko provides 100% of the throughput to the Brasada complex. Anadarko delivers gas and NGLs to the plant on behalf of itself and its upstream joint interest owners.

Supply. Brasada is supplied from Anadarko's production in the Eagleford shale, in which Anadarko controlled 345,000 gross acres. As noted above, in January 2017, Anadarko announced the sale of its Eagleford shale upstream assets to third parties.

Table of Contents

Delivery points. The facility delivers residue gas into the Eagle Ford Midstream system operated by NET Midstream, LLC. It delivers stabilized condensate into Plains All American Pipeline and NGLs into the South Texas NGL Pipeline System operated by Enterprise.

Springfield gathering system, stabilization facility and storage

Customers. Anadarko's production represented 49% of the Springfield gas gathering system's throughput, and 47% of the Springfield oil gathering system's throughput for the year ended December 31, 2016. The remaining throughput was attributable to three third-party producers for the gas gathering system and the oil gathering system.

- Supply. Supply of gas and oil comes from Anadarko's production in the Eagleford shale, in which Anadarko controlled 345,000 gross acres. As noted above, in January 2017, Anadarko announced the sale of its Eagleford shale upstream assets to third parties.

- Delivery points. The gas gathering system delivers rich gas to WES's Brasada complex and to processing plants operated by Enterprise, Energy Transfer Partners, LP ("ETP") and Kinder Morgan, Inc. The oil gathering system has delivery points to Plains All American Pipeline, Kinder Morgan, Inc.'s Double Eagle Pipeline, Hilcorp Energy Company's Harvest Pipeline and NuStar Energy's Pipeline.

West Texas

Table of Contents

Haley gathering system

Customers. Anadarko's production represented 83% of the Haley gathering system's throughput for the year ended December 31, 2016. The remaining throughput was attributable to two third-party producers.

Supply. As of December 31, 2016, Anadarko held an interest in over 580,000 gross acres in the greater Delaware Basin, a portion of which is gathered by the Haley gathering system.

Delivery points. The Haley gathering system provides both lean and rich gas gathering service. The lean service delivery point is into Enterprise GC, LLC's pipeline for ultimate delivery into ETP's Oasis pipeline (the "Oasis pipeline"). The rich service system delivery point is into a high pressure gathering line (the "Avalon Express pipeline"), which is part of WES's DBJV system. The Avalon Express pipeline can deliver gas into either the Bone Spring Gas Processing plant (the "Bone Spring plant") or the Mi Vida Gas Processing plant (the "Mi Vida plant"), both of which are partially owned by Anadarko. Downstream pipelines at the plant tailgates include the Oasis and Transwestern pipelines at the Bone Spring plant and the Oasis pipeline at the Mi Vida plant. These downstream pipelines provide transportation to both the Waha Hub and Houston Ship Channel markets.

DBM gathering system, treating facility and processing complex. The DBM complex includes 700 MMcf/d of cryogenic processing capacity, 1,400 gpm of amine treating capacity and a 357-mile rich gas gathering system, which has both high and low pressure segments. See Assets Under Development within these Items 1 and 2.

Customers. Gas gathered and processed through the DBM complex is primarily from third-party producers, with the three largest producers providing 46% of the system throughput for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Supply. Supply of gas and NGLs for the complex comes from production from the Delaware Sands, Avalon Shale, Bone Spring and Wolfcamp formations in the Delaware Basin portion of the Permian Basin. Anadarko holds an interest in over 580,000 gross acres within the Delaware Basin.

Delivery points. Residue gas produced at the facility is delivered to an interconnect with the El Paso Natural Gas pipeline. NGL production is delivered into both the Sand Hills pipeline and Lone Star NGL LLC's pipeline.

DBJV gathering and treating facility. The DBJV gathering system consists of 577 miles of low pressure and high pressure gas gathering lines located in Loving, Ward, Winkler and Reeves Counties, Texas.

Customers. Anadarko's production represented 80% of the DBJV system's throughput for the year ended December 31, 2016. The remaining throughput was attributable to one third-party producer.

Supply. The system gathers lean Penn gas, as well as liquids-rich Bone Spring, Avalon and Wolfcamp gas.

Delivery points. Avalon, Bone Spring and Wolfcamp gas is dehydrated, compressed and delivered to both the Bone Spring plant and the Mi Vida plant for processing, while lean Penn gas is delivered into Enterprise GC, LP's pipeline. Residue gas from the Bone Spring and Mi Vida plants is delivered into the Oasis pipeline or Transwestern pipeline.

Table of Contents

TRANSPORTATION

Overview

30

Table of Contents

| Location | Asset | Type | Compressors | Operational Horsepower | Pipeline Miles |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|--------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|
| | | | / Pump Stations | | |
| Northeast Wyoming | MIGC ⁽¹⁾ | Gas | 3 | 6,660 | 240 |
| Southwest Wyoming | OTTCO | Gas | 1 | 3,174 | 217 |
| Utah | GNB NGL ⁽¹⁾ | NGL | — | — | 32 |
| Colorado, Kansas, Oklahoma | White Cliffs ^{(1) (2)} | Oil | 24 | 33,800 | 1,054 |
| Colorado, Oklahoma, Texas | FRP ^{(1) (3)} | NGL | 6 | 12,000 | 447 |
| Texas, Oklahoma | TEG ⁽³⁾ | NGL | 19 | 1,895 | 117 |
| Texas | TEP ^{(1) (3)} | NGL | 12 | 27,000 | 593 |
| Texas | Ramsey Residue Lines ⁽¹⁾ | Gas | — | — | 18 |
| Total | | | 65 | 84,529 | 2,718 |

⁽¹⁾ MIGC, GNB NGL, White Cliffs, FRP, TEP and the Ramsey Residue Lines (at the DBM complex) are regulated by FERC.

⁽²⁾ WES owns a 10% interest in the White Cliffs pipeline, which is operated by a third party.

⁽³⁾ WES owns a 20% interest in TEG and TEP and a 33.33% interest in FRP. All three systems are operated by third parties.

Rocky Mountains - Northeast Wyoming

MIGC transportation system

Customers. Anadarko is the largest firm shipper on the MIGC system, with 89% of the throughput for the year ended December 31, 2016. The remaining throughput on the MIGC system was from 16 third-party shippers. MIGC is certificated for 175 MMcf/d of firm transportation capacity.

Supply. MIGC receives gas from various coal-bed methane gathering systems in the Powder River Basin and the Hilight system, as well as from WBI Energy Transmission, Inc. on the north end of the transportation system.

Delivery points. MIGC volumes can be redelivered to the hub in Glenrock, Wyoming, which has access to the following interstate pipelines:

CIG;
TIGT; and
WIC.

Volumes can also be delivered to Cheyenne Light Fuel & Power and several industrial users.

Rocky Mountains - Southwest Wyoming

OTTCO transportation system

Customers. For the year ended December 31, 2016, 11% of OTTCO's throughput was from Anadarko. The remaining throughput on the OTTCO transportation system was from two third-party shippers. Revenues on the OTTCO transportation system are generated from contract demand charges and volumetric fees paid by shippers under firm and interruptible gas transportation agreements.

Supply and delivery points. Supply points to the OTTCO transportation system include approximately 50 wellheads, the Granger complex and ExxonMobil Corporation's Shute Creek plant, which are supplied by the eastern portion of the Greater Green River Basin, the Moxa Arch and the Jonah and Pinedale Anticline fields. Primary delivery points include the Red Desert complex, two third-party industrial facilities and an inactive interconnection with the Kern River pipeline.

Table of Contents

Rocky Mountains - Utah

GNB NGL pipeline

Customers. Anadarko was the only shipper on the GNB NGL pipeline for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Supply. The GNB NGL pipeline receives NGLs from Chipeta's gas processing facility and Tesoro's Stagecoach/Iron Horse gas processing complex.

Delivery points. The GNB NGL pipeline delivers NGLs to MAPL, which provides transportation through the Seminole pipeline and TEP in West Texas, and ultimately to NGL fractionation and storage facilities in Mont Belvieu, Texas.

Rocky Mountains - Colorado

White Cliffs pipeline

Customers. The White Cliffs pipeline had multiple committed shippers, including Anadarko, during the year ended December 31, 2016. In addition, other parties may ship on the White Cliffs pipeline at FERC-based rates. The White Cliffs dual pipeline system provides 150 MBbls/d of crude takeaway capacity from Platteville, Colorado to Cushing, Oklahoma. White Cliffs is currently undergoing an expansion project that will increase the pipeline's capacity to approximately 215 MBbls/d. This expansion project is scheduled to be completed early in the second quarter of 2017.

Supply. The White Cliffs pipeline is supplied by production from the DJ Basin.

Delivery points. The White Cliffs pipeline delivery point is SemCrude's storage facility in Cushing, Oklahoma, a major crude oil marketing center, which ultimately delivers to Gulf Coast and mid-continent refineries. At the point of origin, it has a 330,000-barrel storage facility adjacent to a truck-unloading facility.

Texas

TEFR Interests

Front Range Pipeline. FRP provides takeaway capacity from the DJ Basin in Northeast Colorado. FRP has receipt points at gas plants in Weld County, Colorado (including WES's Lancaster plant, which is within the DJ Basin complex) (see Rocky Mountains—Colorado and Utah within these Items 1 and 2). FRP connects to TEP near Skellytown, Texas. During the year ended December 31, 2016, FRP had multiple committed shippers, including Anadarko, and provides capacity for other shippers at the posted FERC tariff rate.

Texas Express Gathering. TEG consists of two NGL gathering systems that provide plants in North Texas, the Texas panhandle and West Oklahoma with access to NGL takeaway capacity on TEP. TEG had one committed shipper during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Texas Express Pipeline. TEP delivers to NGL fractionation and storage facilities in Mont Belvieu, Texas. At Skellytown, Texas, TEP is supplied with NGLs from other pipelines including FRP and MAPL. TEP had multiple committed shippers, including Anadarko, during the year ended December 31, 2016 and provides capacity for other shippers at the posted FERC tariff rates.

Ramsey Residue Lines. The Ramsey Residue Lines extend from the DBM complex tailgate to the south and to the north, with both lines connecting with Kinder Morgan Inc.'s interstate pipeline system. These lines transport residue gas from the DBM complex to interstate markets and are FERC-regulated pipelines. See DBM gathering system, treating facility and processing complex within these Items 1 and 2.

Table of Contents

Assets Under Development

In addition to significant expansion projects at the DBJV system, WES currently has the following significant projects scheduled for completion in 2017 and 2018 in West Texas. See Capital expenditures, under Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K.

DBM Train VI: The 200 MMcf/d cryogenic train is under construction and is expected to be completed during the fourth quarter of 2017. The DBM complex will have 900 MMcf/d processing capacity upon completion.

Mentone gas plant: WES has sanctioned a new gas processing plant which will be located in Loving County, Texas. This plant will have connections to the DBJV system in West Texas. Engineering and procurement of equipment has begun and the construction of the initial cryogenic trains (Mentone Trains I and II) is expected to begin during the fourth quarter of 2017.

Produced-water disposal systems: The River Reeves and Silvertip systems located in Reeves County and Loving County, Texas, respectively, are currently under construction with expected in-service dates during the second quarter of 2017. The River Reeves and Silvertip systems are expected to have produced-water disposal capacities of 30 MBbls/d and 60 MBbls/d, respectively. The two facilities currently have contracts in place with a subsidiary of Anadarko.

COMPETITION

The midstream services business is very competitive. WES's competitors include other midstream companies, producers, and intrastate and interstate pipelines. Competition is primarily based on reputation, commercial terms, reliability, service levels, location, available capacity, capital expenditures and fuel efficiencies. However, a substantial portion of the throughput volumes on a majority of its systems are owned or controlled by Anadarko. In addition, Anadarko has dedicated future production to WES from its acreage surrounding the Wattenberg, Haley, Helper and Clawson gathering systems. We believe that WES's assets that are located outside of the dedicated areas are geographically well positioned to retain and attract third-party volumes due to its competitive rates. We believe the primary advantages of WES's assets are their proximity to established and/or future production, and the service flexibility they provide to producers. We believe WES can provide the services that producers and other customers require to connect, gather and process their natural gas efficiently, at competitive and flexible contract terms.

Table of Contents

Gathering Systems and Processing Plants

The following table summarizes the primary competitors for WES's gathering systems and processing plants at December 31, 2016.

| System | Competitor(s) |
|--|---|
| Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems | ETP and National Fuel Gas Midstream Corporation |
| Bison facility | Thunder Creek Gas Services, LLC and Fort Union (treating only) |
| Brasada complex | Enterprise, ETP, Targa Resources, LP, Kinder Morgan, Inc., Plains All American Pipeline and Howard Energy Partners |
| Chipeta complex | Tesoro and Kinder Morgan, Inc. |
| DBJV system | ETP, Outrigger Midstream, Enterprise GC, LP, EagleClaw Midstream Ventures LLC, Enlink Midstream, LP and Vaquero Midstream LLC |
| DBM complex | ETP, Outrigger Midstream, Enterprise GC, LP, EagleClaw Midstream Ventures LLC, Enlink Midstream, LP and Vaquero Midstream LLC |
| DJ Basin complex | DCP and AKA Energy Group, LLC |
| Fort Union | Bison treating facility (carbon dioxide treating services only), MIGC, Thunder Creek Gas Services, LLC and TransCanada Corporation |
| Granger complex | Williams Field Services, Enterprise/Jonah Gas Gathering Company and Tesoro |
| Haley system | ETP, Outrigger Midstream, Enterprise GC, LP |
| Helper and Clawson systems | XTO Energy |
| Hilight system | DCP, ONEOK Gas Gathering Company, Thunder Creek Gas Services, LLC, Crestwood-Access, Tallgrass Energy Partners, LP and Agave Energy Company |
| Mont Belvieu JV | Targa Resources LP, Phillips 66, Lone Star NGL LLC and ONEOK Partners, LP |
| Newcastle system | DCP |
| Non-Operated Marcellus Interest gathering systems | ETP |
| Red Desert complex | Williams Field Services and Tesoro |
| Rendezvous | No significant direct competition |
| Springfield system | Enterprise, ETP, Targa Resources, LP, Kinder Morgan, Inc., Plains All American Pipeline, Southcross Energy Partners, L.P., Williams Field Services and Howard Energy Partners |

Transportation

MIGC competes with other pipelines that service the regional market and transport gas volumes from the Powder River Basin to Glenrock, Wyoming. MIGC competitors seek to attract and connect new gas volumes throughout the Powder River Basin, including certain of the volumes currently being transported on the MIGC pipeline. Competitive factors include commercial terms, available capacity, fuel efficiencies, the interconnected pipelines and gas quality issues. MIGC's major competitors are Thunder Creek Gas Services, LLC, TransCanada Corporation's Bison pipeline and the Fort Union gathering system. The GNB NGL Pipeline's major competitor is Tesoro. The White Cliffs pipeline faces direct competition from the Saddlehorn pipeline, in which Anadarko is a 20% interest owner, and the Grand Mesa pipeline. The Saddlehorn pipeline transports crude oil from the DJ Basin and the broader Rocky Mountain area to Cushing, Oklahoma. White Cliffs pipeline shippers can also sell crude oil in local markets or ship crude via rail services rather than via pipeline to Cushing, Oklahoma. The TEFR Interests compete with the Sand Hills pipeline, West Texas LPG Pipeline LP's pipeline, Lone Star NGL LLC's West Texas System, Overland Pass Pipeline Company LLC's pipeline and the Seminole pipeline. The OTTCO transportation system faces no direct competition. The Ramsey Residue Lines face competition from ETP, Enterprise and Kinder Morgan, Inc.

Table of Contents

REGULATION OF OPERATIONS

Safety and Maintenance

Many of the pipelines WES uses to gather and transport oil, natural gas and NGLs are subject to regulation by the Pipeline and Hazardous Materials Safety Administration (“PHMSA”) of the Department of Transportation pursuant to the Natural Gas Pipeline Safety Act of 1968, as amended (the “NGPSA”), with respect to natural gas and the Hazardous Liquids Pipeline Safety Act of 1979, as amended (the “HLPSA”), with respect to NGLs and oil. The NGPSA and HLPSA govern the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of natural gas, crude oil, NGL and condensate pipeline facilities. Pursuant to these acts, PHMSA has promulgated regulations governing, among other things, pipeline wall thicknesses, design pressures, maximum allowable operating pressures (“MAOP”), pipeline patrols and leak surveys, minimum depth requirements, and emergency procedures, as well as other matters intended to ensure adequate protection for the public and to prevent accidents and failures. Additionally, PHMSA has promulgated regulations requiring pipeline operators to develop and implement integrity management programs for certain gas and hazardous liquid pipelines that, in the event of a pipeline leak or rupture, could affect high consequence areas (“HCAs”), where a release could have the most significant adverse consequences, including high population areas, certain drinking water sources and unusually sensitive ecological areas. Past operation of WES’s pipelines with respect to these NGPSA and HLPSA requirements has not resulted in the incurrence of material costs; however, due to the possibility of new or amended laws and regulations or reinterpretation of existing laws and regulations, future compliance with the NGPSA and HLPSA could result in increased costs that could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial position.

The NGPSA and HLPSA were amended by the Pipeline Safety, Regulatory Certainty and Job Creation Act of 2011 (the “2011 Pipeline Safety Act”), which increased the penalties for safety violations, established additional safety requirements for newly constructed pipelines and required studies of safety issues that could result in the adoption of new regulatory requirements by PHMSA for existing pipelines. More recently, the Protecting Our Infrastructure of Pipelines and Enhancing Safety Act of 2016 (the “2016 Pipeline Safety Act”) empowers PHMSA to address imminent hazards by imposing emergency restrictions, prohibitions and safety measures on owners and operators of gas or hazardous liquid pipeline facilities without prior notice or an opportunity for a hearing.

The adoption of new or amended regulations by PHMSA that result in more stringent or costly pipeline integrity management or safety standards could have a significant adverse effect on our results of operations. For example, in January 2017, PHMSA issued a final rule that significantly extends and expands the reach of certain PHMSA integrity management requirements, such as, for example, periodic assessments, leak detection and repairs, regardless of the pipeline’s proximity to a high consequence area. The final rule also imposes new reporting requirements for certain unregulated pipelines, including all hazardous liquid gathering lines. However, the date of implementation of this final rule by publication in the Federal Register is uncertain given the recent change in presidential administrations. Additionally, in March 2016, PHMSA announced a proposed rulemaking that would impose new or more stringent requirements for certain gas lines and gathering lines including, among other things, expanding certain of PHMSA’s current regulatory safety programs for gas pipelines in newly defined “moderate consequence areas” that contain as few as five dwellings within a potential impact area; requiring gas pipelines installed before 1970 and thus excluded from certain pressure testing obligations to be tested to determine their MAOP; and requiring certain onshore and offshore gathering lines in Class I areas to comply with damage prevention, corrosion control, public education, MAOP limits, line markers and emergency planning standards. Additional requirements proposed by this proposed rulemaking would increase PHMSA’s integrity management requirements for gas pipelines and also require consideration of seismicity in evaluating threats to pipelines. New laws or regulations adopted by PHMSA may impose more stringent requirements applicable to integrity management programs and other pipeline safety aspects of WES’s operations, which could cause WES to incur increased capital and operating costs and operational delays. In addition, while states are largely preempted by federal law from regulating pipeline safety for interstate lines, most are certified by PHMSA to assume responsibility for enforcing federal intrastate pipeline regulations and inspection of intrastate pipelines. In practice, because states can adopt stricter standards for intrastate pipelines than those imposed by the federal

government for interstate lines, states vary considerably in their authority and capacity to address pipeline safety. Historically, WES's intrastate pipeline safety compliance costs have not had a material adverse effect on our operations; however, there can be no assurance that such costs will not be material in the future.

Table of Contents

WES is also subject to a number of federal and state laws and regulations, including the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act, as amended (“OSHA”), and comparable state statutes, the purposes of which are to protect the health and safety of workers, both generally and within the pipeline industry. Furthermore, WES and the entities in which it owns an interest are subject to regulations that (i) require that information be maintained concerning hazardous materials used or produced in WES’s operations and that such information be provided to employees, state and local government authorities and citizens and (ii) are designed to prevent or minimize the consequences of catastrophic releases of toxic, reactive, flammable or explosive chemicals.

See Risk Factor, “Federal and state legislative and regulatory initiatives relating to pipeline safety that require the use of new or more stringent safety controls or result in more stringent enforcement of applicable legal requirements could subject WES to increased capital costs, operational delays and costs of operation” under Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K for further discussion on pipeline safety standards.

Interstate Natural Gas Pipeline Regulation

Regulation of pipeline transportation services may affect certain aspects of WES’s business and the market for its products and services.

The operations of WES’s MIGC pipeline and WES’s Ramsey Residue Lines are subject to regulation by FERC under the Natural Gas Act of 1938 (the “NGA”). Under the NGA, FERC has authority to regulate natural gas companies that provide natural gas pipeline transportation services in interstate commerce. Federal regulation extends to such matters as the following:

- rates, services, and terms and conditions of service;
- types of services that may be offered to customers;
- certification and construction of new facilities;
- acquisition, extension, disposition or abandonment of facilities;
- maintenance of accounts and records;
- internet posting requirements for available capacity, discounts and other matters;
- pipeline segmentation to allow multiple simultaneous shipments under the same contract;
- capacity release to create a secondary market for transportation services;
- relationships between affiliated companies involved in certain aspects of the natural gas business;
- initiation and discontinuation of services;
- market manipulation in connection with interstate sales, purchases or transportation of natural gas and NGLs; and
- participation by interstate pipelines in cash management arrangements.

Natural gas companies are prohibited from charging rates that have been determined not to be just and reasonable by FERC. In addition, FERC prohibits natural gas companies from unduly preferring or unreasonably discriminating against any person with respect to pipeline rates or terms and conditions of service.

Table of Contents

The rates and terms and conditions for WES's interstate pipeline services are set forth in FERC-approved tariffs. Pursuant to FERC's jurisdiction over rates, existing rates may be challenged by complaint or by action of FERC under Section 5 of the NGA, and proposed rate increases may be challenged by protest. The outcome of any successful complaint or protest against WES's rates could have an adverse impact on revenues associated with providing transportation service.

For example, one such matter relates to FERC's policy regarding allowances for income taxes in determining a regulated entity's cost of service. In July 2016, the United States Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit issued its opinion in *United Airlines, Inc., et al. v. FERC*, finding that FERC had acted arbitrarily and capriciously when it failed to demonstrate that permitting an interstate petroleum products pipeline organized as a limited partnership to include an income tax allowance in the cost of service underlying its rates in addition to the discounted cash flow return on equity would not result in the pipeline partnership owners double-recovering their income taxes. The court vacated FERC's order and remanded to FERC to consider mechanisms for demonstrating that there is no double recovery as a result of the income tax allowance. There is not likely to be a definitive resolution of these issues for some time, and the ultimate outcome of this proceeding is not certain and could result in changes going forward to the FERC's treatment of income tax allowances in the cost of service or to the discounted cash flow return on equity. Depending upon the resolution of these issues, the cost of service rates of WES's FERC-regulated interstate pipelines could be affected to the extent new rates or changes to its existing rates are proposed, or if any such rates are subject to complaint or challenged by the FERC, which could cause the rates and revenues for FERC-regulated pipelines to be adversely affected.

Interstate natural gas pipelines regulated by FERC are also required to comply with numerous regulations related to standards of conduct, market transparency, and market manipulation. FERC's standards of conduct regulate the manner in which interstate natural gas pipelines may interact with their marketing affiliates (unless FERC has granted a waiver of such standards). FERC's market oversight and transparency regulations require annual reports of purchases or sales of natural gas meeting certain thresholds and criteria and certain public postings of information on scheduled volumes. FERC's market manipulation regulations make it unlawful for any entity, directly or indirectly in connection with the purchase or sale of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of FERC, or the purchase or sale of transportation services subject to the jurisdiction of FERC, to engage in fraudulent conduct. FERC has authority to impose civil penalties for violations of these statutes and regulations, up to \$1.0 million per day per violation. Should we fail to comply with all applicable FERC-administered statutes, rules, regulations and orders, we could be subject to substantial penalties and fines.

Interstate Liquids Pipeline Regulation

Regulation of interstate liquids pipeline services may affect certain aspects of WES's business and the market for its products and services. WES's GNB NGL Pipeline provides service as a common carrier under the Interstate Commerce Act, the Energy Policy Act of 1992, and related rules and orders. FERC regulation requires that interstate liquid pipeline rates, including rates for transportation of NGLs, be filed with FERC and that these rates be "just and reasonable" and not unduly discriminatory.

Rates of interstate NGL pipelines are currently regulated by FERC primarily through an annual indexing methodology, under which pipelines increase or decrease their rates in accordance with an index adjustment specified by FERC. For the five-year period beginning in 2010, FERC established an annual index adjustment equal to the change in the producer price index for finished goods plus 2.65%. This adjustment is subject to review every five years. Under FERC's regulations, an NGL pipeline can request a rate increase that exceeds the rate obtained through application of the indexing methodology by using a cost-of-service approach, but only after the pipeline establishes that a substantial divergence exists between the actual costs experienced by the pipeline and the rates resulting from application of the indexing methodology.

The Interstate Commerce Act permits interested persons to challenge proposed new or changed rates and authorizes FERC to suspend the effectiveness of such rates for up to seven months and investigate such rates. If, upon completion of an investigation, FERC finds that the new or changed rate is unlawful, it is authorized to require the

pipeline to refund revenues collected in excess of the just and reasonable rate during the term of the investigation. The just and reasonable rate used to calculate refunds cannot be lower than the last tariff rate approved as just and reasonable. FERC may also investigate, upon complaint or on its own motion, rates that are already in effect and may order a carrier to change its rates prospectively. Upon an appropriate showing, a shipper may obtain reparations for charges in excess of a just and reasonable rate for a period of up to two years prior to the filing of a complaint. Finally, the outcome of the FERC policy regarding income tax allowance described above would also apply to WES's pipelines regulated under the Interstate Commerce Act.

Table of Contents

Natural Gas Gathering Pipeline Regulation

Regulation of gathering pipeline services may affect certain aspects of WES's business and the market for its products and services. Natural gas gathering facilities are exempt from the jurisdiction of FERC. We believe that WES's gathering pipelines meet the traditional tests that FERC has used to determine that a pipeline is not subject to FERC jurisdiction, although FERC has not made any determinations with respect to the jurisdictional status of any of WES's pipelines other than MIGC and the Ramsey Residue Lines. The distinction between FERC-regulated transmission services and federally unregulated gathering services, however, has been the subject of substantial litigation, so the classification and regulation of WES's gathering facilities are subject to change based on future determinations by FERC, the courts or Congress. State regulation of gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental and, in some circumstances, nondiscriminatory take requirements and complaint-based rate regulation. FERC makes jurisdictional determinations on a case-by-case basis. WES's natural gas gathering operations could be adversely affected should they be subject to more stringent application of state or federal regulation of rates and services. WES's natural gas gathering operations also may be or become subject to additional safety and operational regulations relating to the design, installation, testing, construction, operation, replacement and management of gathering facilities. Additional rules and legislation pertaining to these matters are considered or adopted from time to time. We cannot predict what effect, if any, such changes might have on WES's operations, but the industry could be required to incur additional capital expenditures and increased costs depending on future legislative and regulatory changes. WES's natural gas gathering operations are subject to ratable take and common purchaser statutes in most of the states in which it operates. These statutes generally require WES's gathering pipelines to take natural gas without undue discrimination as to source of supply or producer. These statutes are designed to prohibit discrimination in favor of one producer over another producer or one source of supply over another source of supply. The regulations under these statutes can have the effect of imposing some restrictions on WES's ability as an owner of gathering facilities to decide with whom it contracts to gather natural gas. The states in which WES operates have adopted a complaint-based regulation of natural gas gathering activities, which allows natural gas producers and shippers to file complaints with state regulators in an effort to resolve grievances relating to gathering access and rate discrimination. We cannot predict whether such a complaint will be filed against WES in the future. Failure to comply with state regulations can result in the imposition of administrative, civil and criminal remedies. To date, there has been no adverse effect to WES's systems due to these regulations. FERC's anti-manipulation rules apply to non-jurisdictional entities to the extent the activities are conducted "in connection with" gas sales, purchases or transportation subject to FERC jurisdiction. The anti-manipulation rules do not apply to activities that relate only to intrastate or other non-jurisdictional sales or gathering, but only to the extent such transactions do not have a "nexus" to jurisdictional transactions. In addition, FERC's market oversight and transparency regulations may also apply to otherwise non-jurisdictional entities to the extent annual purchases and sales of natural gas reach a certain threshold. FERC's civil penalty authority, described above, would apply to violations of these rules.

Intrastate Pipeline Regulation

Regulation of intrastate pipeline services may affect certain aspects of WES's business and the market for its products and services. Intrastate natural gas and liquids transportation is subject to regulation by state regulatory agencies. The basis for intrastate regulation of natural gas transportation and the degree of regulatory oversight and scrutiny given to intrastate pipeline rates and services varies from state to state. Insofar as such regulation within a particular state will generally affect all intrastate pipeline operators within the state on a comparable basis, WES believes that the regulation of intrastate transportation in any states in which it operates will not disproportionately affect its operations. In the event any of WES's intrastate pipelines offer natural gas transportation services under Section 311 of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, such pipelines will be required to meet certain quarterly reporting requirements providing detailed transaction information which could be made public. Such pipelines will also be subject to periodic rate review by FERC. In addition, FERC's anti-manipulation, market oversight, and market transparency regulations may

extend to intrastate natural gas pipelines although they may otherwise be non-jurisdictional, and FERC's civil penalty authority, described above, would apply to violations of these rules.

Financial Reform Legislation

For a description of financial reform legislation that may affect WES's business, financial condition and results of operations, read Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K for more information.

Table of Contents

ENVIRONMENTAL MATTERS

WES's business operations are subject to numerous federal, regional, state, tribal, and local environmental laws and regulations. The more significant of these existing environmental laws and regulations include the following U.S. laws and regulations, as amended from time to time:

- the Clean Air Act, which restricts the emission of air pollutants from many sources, imposes various pre-construction, monitoring, and reporting requirements, which the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (the "EPA") has relied upon as authority for adopting climate change regulatory initiatives relating to greenhouse gas ("GHG") emissions.

the Federal Water Pollution Control Act, also known as the Federal Clean Water Act, which regulates discharges of pollutants from facilities to state and federal waters and establishes the extent to which waterways are subject to federal jurisdiction and rulemakings as protected waters of the United States.

- the Oil Pollution Act of 1990, which subjects owners and operators of onshore facilities and pipelines to liability for removal costs and damages arising from an oil spill in waters of the United States.

the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, which imposes liability on generators, transporters, and arrangers of hazardous substances at sites where hazardous substance releases have occurred or are threatening to occur.

- the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, which governs the generation, treatment, storage, transport, and disposal of solid wastes, including hazardous wastes.

the Safe Drinking Water Act, which ensures the quality of the nation's public drinking water through adoption of drinking water standards and control over the injection of waste fluids into below-ground formations that may adversely affect drinking water sources.

the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act, which requires facilities to implement a safety hazard communication program and disseminate information to employees, local emergency planning committees, and response departments on toxic chemical uses and inventories.

the Endangered Species Act, which restricts activities that may affect federally identified endangered and threatened species or their habitats through the implementation of operating restrictions or a temporary, seasonal, or permanent ban in affected areas.

the National Environmental Policy Act, which requires federal agencies to evaluate major agency actions having the potential to impact the environment and that may require the preparation of Environmental Assessments and more detailed Environmental Impact Statements that may be made available for public review and comment.

Table of Contents

These laws and their implementing regulations, as well as state counterparts, generally restrict the level of pollutants emitted to ambient air, discharges to surface water, and disposals or other releases to surface and below-ground soils and ground water. Failure to comply with these laws and regulations may result in the assessment of sanctions, including administrative, civil, and criminal penalties; the imposition of investigatory, remedial, and corrective action obligations or the incurrence of capital expenditures; the occurrence of delays in the permitting, development or expansion of projects; and the issuance of injunctions restricting or prohibiting some or all of WES's activities in a particular area. See the following risk factors under Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K for further discussion on ozone standards, climate change, including methane or other GHG emissions, hydraulic fracturing and other regulations related to environmental protection: "WES is subject to stringent and comprehensive environmental laws and regulations that may expose WES to significant costs and liabilities," "The adoption of climate change or other air emissions legislation or regulations restricting emissions of GHGs or other air pollutants could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for the gathering, processing, compressing, treating and transporting services WES provides," and "Changes in laws or regulations regarding hydraulic fracturing could result in increased costs, operating restrictions or delays in the completion of oil and natural gas wells, which could decrease the need for WES's gathering and processing services." The ultimate financial impact arising from environmental laws and regulations is neither clearly known nor determinable as new standards continue to evolve.

Many states where WES operates also have, or are developing, similar environmental laws and regulations governing many of these same types of activities. While the legal requirements imposed under state law may be similar in form to U.S. laws and regulations, in some cases the actual implementation of these requirements may impose additional, or more stringent, conditions or controls that can significantly alter or delay the permitting, development or expansion of a project or substantially increase the cost of doing business. In addition, environmental laws and regulations, including new or amended legal requirements that may arise to address potential environmental concerns including air and water impacts, are expected to continue to have an increasing impact on WES's operations.

WES has incurred and will continue to incur operating and capital expenditures, some of which may be material, to comply with environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations. Historically, WES's environmental compliance costs have not had a material adverse effect on its results of operations; however, there can be no assurance that such costs will not have a material adverse effect on its business, financial condition, results of operations, or cash flows in the future, or that new or more stringently applied existing laws and regulations will not materially increase the cost of doing business. Although WES is not fully insured against all environmental and occupational health and safety risks, and WES's insurance does not cover any penalties or fines that may be issued by a governmental authority, WES maintains insurance coverage that it believes is sufficient based on its assessment of insurable risks and consistent with insurance coverage held by other similarly situated industry participants.

Nevertheless, it is possible that other developments, such as stricter and more comprehensive environmental and occupational health and safety laws and regulations, as well as claims for damages to property or persons resulting from WES's operations, could result in substantial costs and liabilities, including administrative, civil, and criminal penalties, to WES.

Table of Contents

TITLE TO PROPERTIES AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY

WES's real property is classified into two categories: (1) parcels that it owns in fee title and (2) parcels in which its interest derives from leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits or licenses from landowners or governmental authorities, permitting the use of such land for WES's operations. Portions of the land on which WES's plants and other major facilities are located are owned by it in fee title, and WES believes that it has satisfactory title to these lands. The remainder of the land on which WES's plant sites and major facilities are located is held by it pursuant to surface leases between WES, as lessee, and the fee owner of the lands, as lessor. WES or its affiliates have leased or owned these lands for many years without any material challenge known to it relating to the title to the land upon which the assets are located, and WES believes that it has satisfactory leasehold estates or fee ownership of such lands. WES has no knowledge of any challenge to the underlying fee title of any material lease, easement, right-of-way, permit or license held by WES or to its title to any material lease, easement, right-of-way, permit or lease, and WES believes that it has satisfactory title to all of its material leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits and licenses. Some of the leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits and licenses transferred to WES by Anadarko required the consent of the grantor of such rights, which in certain instances is a governmental entity. WES GP has obtained sufficient third-party consents, permits and authorizations for the transfer of the assets necessary to enable WES to operate its business in all material respects. With respect to any remaining consents, permits or authorizations that have not been obtained, WES has determined these will not have material adverse effect on the operation of its business should WES fail to obtain such consents, permits or authorization in a reasonable time frame. Anadarko may hold record title to portions of certain assets as WES makes the appropriate filings in the jurisdictions in which such assets are located and obtain any consents and approvals as needed. Such consents and approvals would include those required by federal and state agencies or other political subdivisions. In some cases, Anadarko temporarily holds record title to property as nominee for WES's benefit and in other cases may, on the basis of expense and difficulty associated with the conveyance of title, cause its affiliates to retain title, as nominee for WES's benefit, until a future date. WES anticipates that there will be no material change in the tax treatment of its common units resulting from Anadarko holding the title to any part of such assets subject to future conveyance or as WES's nominee.

EMPLOYEES

We and our general partner have no employees. The officers of our general partner manage our operations and activities under the direction and supervision of our general partner's Board of Directors, and the officers of WES GP manage WES's operations and activities under the direction and supervision of WES GP's Board of Directors. As of December 31, 2016, Anadarko employed 392 people who provided direct support to WES's field operations. All of these employees are deemed jointly employed by Anadarko and WES GP under the services and secondment agreement between WES GP and Anadarko. None of these employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements, and Anadarko considers its employee relations to be good. We and WES have separately contracted with Anadarko under omnibus agreements for general and administrative support.

Table of Contents

Item 1A. Risk Factors

CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We have made in this Form 10-K, and may from time to time make in other public filings, press releases and statements by management, forward-looking statements concerning WES's operations, economic performance and financial condition. These forward-looking statements include statements preceded by, followed by or that otherwise include the words "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," "estimates," "projects," "target," "goal," "plans," "objective," similar expressions or variations on such expressions. These statements discuss future expectations, contain projections of results of operations or financial condition or include other "forward-looking" information.

Although we and our general partner believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are reasonable, neither we nor our general partner can give any assurance that such expectations will prove to have been correct. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from expectations include, but are not limited to, the following:

• our ability to pay distributions to our unitholders;

• our expected receipt of, and the amounts of, distributions from WES;

• WES's and Anadarko's assumptions about the energy market;

• WES's future throughput (including Anadarko production) which is gathered or processed by or transported through WES's assets;

• operating results of WES;

• competitive conditions;

• technology;

• the availability of capital resources to fund acquisitions, capital expenditures and other contractual obligations of WES, and WES's ability to access those resources from Anadarko or through the debt or equity capital markets;

• the supply of, demand for, and price of, oil, natural gas, NGLs and related products or services;

• WES's ability to mitigate exposure to the commodity price risks inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts through the extension of WES's commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko, or otherwise;

• weather and natural disasters;

• inflation;

• the availability of goods and services;

• general economic conditions, internationally, domestically or in the jurisdictions in which WES is doing business;

• federal, state and local laws, including those that limit Anadarko and other producers' hydraulic fracturing or other oil and natural gas operations;

environmental liabilities;

Table of Contents

• legislative or regulatory changes, including changes affecting our or WES's status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes;

• changes in the financial or operational condition of WES or Anadarko;

• the creditworthiness of Anadarko or WES's other counterparties, including financial institutions, operating partners, and other parties;

• changes in WES's or Anadarko's capital program, strategy or desired areas of focus;

• WES's commitments to capital projects;

• WES's ability to use the WES RCF;

• our and WES's ability to repay debt;

• conflicts of interest among WES, WES GP, WGP and WGP GP, and affiliates, including Anadarko;

• WES's ability to maintain and/or obtain rights to operate its assets on land owned by third parties;

• our or WES's ability to acquire assets on acceptable terms from Anadarko or third parties, and Anadarko's ability to generate an inventory of assets suitable for acquisition;

• non-payment or non-performance of Anadarko or WES's other significant customers, including under WES's gathering, processing and transportation agreements and its \$260.0 million note receivable from Anadarko;

• the timing, amount and terms of our or WES's future issuances of equity and debt securities; and

• other factors discussed below and elsewhere in this Item 1A, under the caption Critical Accounting Estimates included under Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K, and in our other public filings and press releases.

The risk factors and other factors noted throughout or incorporated by reference in this Form 10-K could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Common units are inherently different from capital stock of a corporation, although many of the business risks to which we are subject are similar to those that would be faced by a corporation engaged in similar businesses. We urge you to carefully consider the following risk factors together with all of the other information included in this Form 10-K in evaluating an investment in the common units of WES or WGP.

If any of the following risks were to occur, the business, financial condition or results of operations of WES and/or WGP could be materially and adversely affected. In such case, the trading price of the common units could decline and you could lose all or part of your investment.

Table of Contents

RISKS INHERENT IN OUR BUSINESS

Our only cash-generating assets are our ownership interests in WES, and our cash flow is therefore completely dependent upon the ability of WES to make cash distributions to its partners.

The amount of cash that WES can distribute each quarter to its partners, including us, principally depends upon the amount of cash it generates from its operations, which will fluctuate from quarter to quarter based on, among other things:

- the prices of, level of production of, and demand for oil and natural gas;
- the volume of oil and natural gas that WES gathers, compresses, processes, treats and/or transports;
- the volumes and prices of NGLs and condensate that WES retains and sells;
- demand charges and volumetric fees associated with WES's transportation services;
- the level of competition from other midstream energy companies;
- regulatory action affecting the supply of or demand for oil or natural gas, the rates WES can charge, how it contracts for services, its existing contracts, its operating costs or its operating flexibility;
- prevailing economic conditions; and
- our continued success in the guidance, supervision and support of the execution of WES's business strategy.

In addition, the actual amount of cash WES will have available for distribution will depend on other factors, some of which are beyond its control, including:

- the level of capital expenditures it makes;
- the level of its operating and maintenance and general and administrative costs;
- its debt service requirements and other payment obligations;
- fluctuations in its working capital needs;
- its ability to borrow funds and access capital markets;
- its treatment as a flow-through entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes;
- restrictions contained in debt agreements to which it is a party or with respect to any outstanding preferred units; and
- the amount of cash reserves established by WES GP.

Because of these factors, WES may not have sufficient available cash each quarter to pay quarterly distributions at its most recently paid amount of \$0.86 per unit. The amount of cash that WES has available for distribution depends primarily upon its cash flow, including cash flow from operations and working capital borrowings, and is not solely a

function of profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result, WES may be able to make cash distributions when it records losses for financial accounting purposes and may not be able to make cash distributions during periods when it records net income for financial accounting purposes. Read “—Risks Inherent in WES’s Business” for a discussion of risks affecting WES’s ability to generate cash flow.

Table of Contents

WES GP, with our consent but without the consent of our unitholders, may limit or modify the incentive distributions we are entitled to receive from WES, which may reduce cash distributions to you.

We own WES GP, which owns the IDRs in WES that entitle us to receive increasing percentages, up to a maximum of 48.0%, of any cash distributed by WES as certain target distribution levels in excess of \$0.345 per WES unit are reached in any quarter. A growing portion of the cash flow we receive from WES is expected to be provided by these IDRs.

WES, like other publicly traded partnerships, will generally only undertake an acquisition or expansion capital project if, after giving effect to related costs and expenses, the transaction would be expected to be accretive, meaning it would increase cash distributions per unit in future periods. Because WES GP currently participates in the IDRs at all levels, including the highest sharing level of 48.0%, it is more difficult for an acquisition or capital project to show accretion for the common unitholders of WES than if the IDRs received less incremental cash flow. As a result, WES GP may determine, in certain cases, to propose a reduction in the IDRs to facilitate a particular acquisition or expansion capital project. Such a reduction may relate to all of the cash flow on the IDRs or only to the expected cash flow from the transaction and may be either temporary or permanent in nature.

Our partnership agreement authorizes our general partner to approve any waiver, reduction, limitation or modification of or to WES's IDRs without the consent of our unitholders. In determining whether to approve any such waiver or modification, our general partner's Board of Directors or its Special Committee may consider whatever information it believes appropriate in making such determination. Our general partner's Board of Directors or its Special Committee must also believe that any such modification is in the best interest of our partnership. Any determination with respect to such modification could include consideration of one or more financial cases based on a number of business, industry, economic, legal, regulatory and other assumptions applicable to the proposed transaction. Although we expect a reasonable basis will exist for those assumptions, the assumptions will generally involve current estimates of future conditions, which are difficult to predict. Realization of many of the assumptions will be beyond our general partner's control. Moreover, the uncertainty and risk of inaccuracy associated with any financial projection will increase with the length of the forecasted period.

If distributions on the IDRs were reduced for the benefit of the WES common units, the total amount of cash distributions we would receive from WES, and therefore the amount of cash distributions we could pay to our unitholders, would be reduced.

The future growth of our limited partner distributions is primarily driven by our ownership of WES's IDRs. Any change to the structure or timing of IDR payments would therefore affect our limited partner distribution growth rate.

In the fourth quarter of 2016, 31.8% of WES's total distributions (excluding distributions on Series A Preferred and Class C units) were paid to us as a result of our ownership of WES's IDRs. As this percentage grows over time, WES's cost of equity capital will increase. WES therefore may be unable in the future to acquire or construct assets on an accretive basis and further grow its distributions. Any reduction in the rate of growth in WES distributions will disproportionately impact our ability to maintain or grow distributions to our limited partners.

In the future, we may not have sufficient cash to pay distributions at our current rate or to increase distributions.

Because our only source of operating cash flow consists of cash distributions from WES, the amount of distributions we are able to make to our unitholders may fluctuate based on the level of distributions WES makes to its partners, including us. We cannot assure you that WES will continue to make quarterly distributions at its most recently paid level of \$0.86 per unit or any other level, or increase its quarterly distributions in the future. In addition, while we would expect to increase or decrease distributions to our unitholders if WES were to increase or decrease distributions to us, the timing and amount of such changes in distributions, if any, would not necessarily be comparable to the timing and amount of any changes in distributions made by WES to us. Various factors such as reserves established by the Board of Directors may affect the distributions we make to our unitholders. In addition, prior to making any

distributions to our unitholders, we will reimburse our general partner and its affiliates for all direct and indirect expenses incurred by them on our behalf. Our general partner will determine the amount of these reimbursed expenses. The reimbursement of these expenses could adversely affect the amount of distributions we make to our unitholders. We cannot guarantee that in the future we will be able to pay distributions at the current rate or that any distributions WES does pay to us will allow us to pay distributions at or above the current rate. The actual amount of cash that is available for distribution to our unitholders will depend on numerous factors, many of which are beyond our control or the control of our general partner.

Table of Contents

Our rate of growth may be reduced to the extent we purchase additional WES common units, which will reduce the percentage of our cash flow that we receive from the incentive distribution rights.

Our business strategy includes supporting the growth of WES through the use of our capital resources, including by purchasing WES common units or lending funds to WES to finance acquisitions or internal growth projects. To the extent we purchase common units, or securities not entitled to a current distribution from WES, the rate of our distribution growth may be reduced, at least in the short term, because a smaller percentage of our cash distributions will come from our ownership of the WES IDRs, the distributions on which increase at a faster rate than those of the other securities we hold.

Our ability to meet our financial needs may be adversely affected by our cash distribution policy and our lack of operational assets.

Our cash distribution policy, which is consistent with our partnership agreement, requires us to distribute all of our available cash quarterly. Our only cash-generating assets are partnership interests in WES, and we currently have no independent operations separate from those of WES. Moreover, as discussed below, a reduction in WES's distributions will disproportionately affect the amount of cash distributions we receive. Given that our cash distribution policy is to distribute available cash and not retain it and that our only cash-generating assets are partnership interests in WES, we may not have enough cash to meet our needs if any of the following events occur:

- an increase in our operating expenses;
- an increase in our general and administrative expenses;
- an increase in our working capital requirements; or
- an increase in the cash needs of WES or its subsidiaries that reduces WES's distributions.

A reduction in WES's distributions will disproportionately affect the amount of cash distributions to which we are currently entitled.

Our indirect ownership of all the IDRs in WES entitles us to receive specified percentages of total cash distributions made by WES with respect to any particular quarter only in the event that WES distributes more than \$0.345 per unit for such quarter. As a result, the holders of WES's common units have a priority over us to cash distributions by WES up to and including \$0.345 per unit for any quarter.

Because we are currently participating at the 48.0% level on the IDRs, future growth in distributions paid by WES will not result in an increase in our share of incremental cash distributed by WES. Furthermore, a decrease in the amount of distributions by WES to less than \$0.450 per unit per quarter would reduce our percentage of the incremental cash distributions above \$0.375 per common unit per quarter from 48.0% to 23.0%, and a decrease in the amount of distributions by WES to levels below the other established target distribution levels would similarly reduce our percentage of the incremental cash distributions from WES. As a result, any reduction in quarterly cash distributions from WES would have the effect of disproportionately reducing the amount of all distributions that we receive from WES based on our indirect ownership of the IDRs in WES as compared to cash distributions we receive from WES with respect to our indirect general partner interest in WES and our WES common units.

If distributions on our common units are not paid with respect to any fiscal quarter, our unitholders will generally not be entitled to receive such payments in the future.

Our distributions to our unitholders will generally not be cumulative. Consequently, if distributions on our common units are not paid with respect to any fiscal quarter, our unitholders will generally not be entitled to receive such payments in the future.

Table of Contents

Our and WES's cash distribution policies limit our respective abilities to grow.

Because we distribute all of our available cash, our growth may not be as fast as that of businesses that reinvest their available cash to expand ongoing operations. In fact, our growth will initially be completely dependent upon WES's ability to increase its quarterly distribution per unit because currently our only cash-generating assets are partnership interests in WES. If we issue additional units or incur debt, including under our working capital facility, the payment of distributions on those additional units or interest on that debt could increase the risk that we will be unable to maintain or increase our per unit distribution level.

In addition, consistent with the terms of its partnership agreement, WES distributes to its partners all of its available cash each quarter. To the extent WES does not have sufficient cash reserves or is unable to finance growth externally, its cash distribution policy will significantly impair its ability to grow. Further, to the extent WES issues additional units in connection with any acquisitions or expansion capital projects, the payment of distributions on those additional units may increase the risk that WES will be unable to maintain or increase its per unit distribution level, which in turn may impact the available cash that we have to distribute to our unitholders. The incurrence of additional debt to finance its growth strategy would result in increased interest expense to WES, which in turn may reduce the available cash that we have to distribute to our unitholders.

The debt that we incur may limit the distributions that we can pay to our unitholders.

Our payment of principal and interest on any future indebtedness, including under our working capital facility, will reduce our cash available for distribution to our unitholders. We anticipate that any additional credit facility we enter into in the future would limit our ability to pay distributions to our unitholders during an event of default or if an event of default would result from the distributions.

In addition, any future indebtedness may adversely affect our ability to obtain additional financing for future operations or capital needs, limit our ability to pursue other business opportunities, or make our results of operations more susceptible to adverse economic or operating conditions.

Our failure to maintain an adequate system of internal control over financial reporting could adversely affect our ability to accurately report our results.

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Our internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide a reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements in accordance with GAAP. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in the internal control over financial reporting that results in a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of our annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Effective internal controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and deter and detect any material fraud. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent material fraud, our reputation and operating results will be harmed. Our efforts to develop and maintain our internal control and to remediate material weaknesses in our controls may not be successful, and we may be unable to maintain adequate controls over our financial processes and reporting in the future, including future compliance with the obligations under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Any failure to develop or maintain effective controls, or difficulties encountered in their implementation or other effective improvement of our internal controls, could harm our operating results. Ineffective internal controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information.

Table of Contents

Our unitholders do not elect our general partner or vote on our general partner's directors. In addition, Anadarko owns a sufficient number of our common units to allow it to prevent the removal of our general partner.

Unlike the holders of common stock in a corporation, our unitholders have only limited voting rights and, therefore, limited ability to influence management's decisions regarding our business. Our unitholders do not have the ability to elect our general partner or the members of our general partner's Board of Directors. The members of our general partner's Board of Directors, including the independent directors, are chosen by Anadarko, the sole member of our general partner. Furthermore, if our public unitholders are dissatisfied with the performance of our general partner, they have little ability to remove our general partner. Our general partner may not be removed except upon the vote of the holders of at least 80% of the outstanding common units. Because Anadarko owns more than 20% of our outstanding common units, our public unitholders are unable to remove our general partner without Anadarko's consent.

As a result of these provisions, the price at which our common units trade may be lower because of the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

Our general partner may cause us to issue additional common units or other equity securities without your approval, which would dilute your ownership interests.

Our general partner may cause us to issue an unlimited number of additional common units or other equity securities, including securities that rank senior to the common units, without unitholder approval. The issuance of additional common units or other equity securities will have the following effects:

- your proportionate ownership interest in us will decrease;
- the amount of cash available for distribution on each common unit may decrease;
- the relative voting strength of each previously outstanding common unit may be diminished;
- the ratio of taxable income to distributions may increase; and
- the market price of the common units may decline.

The general partner interest in us or the control of our general partner may be transferred to a third party without unitholder consent.

Our general partner may transfer its general partner interest to a third party in a merger or in a sale of all or substantially all of its assets without the consent of our unitholders. Furthermore, Anadarko, the owner of our general partner, may transfer its ownership interest in our general partner to a third party, also without unitholder consent. Our new general partner or the new owner of our general partner would then be in a position to replace the Board of Directors and officers of our general partner and to control the decisions taken by the Board of Directors and officers.

Table of Contents

If WES's unitholders remove WES GP, we would lose our general partner interest and incentive distribution rights in WES and the ability to manage WES.

We currently manage WES through WES GP, our wholly owned subsidiary. WES's partnership agreement, however, gives unitholders of WES the right to remove the general partner of WES upon the affirmative vote of holders of 66 2/3% of WES's outstanding units. If WES GP were to be removed as general partner of WES, it would receive cash or WES common units in exchange for its 1.5% general partner interest and the IDRs and would lose its ability to manage WES. While the WES common units or cash WES GP would receive are intended under the terms of WES's partnership agreement to fully compensate it in the event such an exchange is required, the value of these WES common units or of the investments WES GP makes with the cash over time may not be equivalent to the value of the general partner interest and the IDRs had it retained them. Furthermore, the conversion of the IDRs into WES common units would disproportionately impact the amount of cash distributions to which we are entitled with respect to increases in WES distributions.

In addition, if WES GP is removed as general partner of WES, we would face an increased risk of being deemed an investment company under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "Investment Company Act").

Our ability to sell our partnership interests in WES may be limited by securities law restrictions and liquidity constraints.

WGP owns 50,132,046 WES common units, all of which are unregistered and restricted securities, within the meaning of Rule 144 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. Unless we exercise our registration rights with respect to these common units, we will be limited to selling into the market in any three-month period an amount of WES common units that does not exceed the greater of 1.0% of the total number of WES common units outstanding or the average weekly reported trading volume of the WES common units for the four calendar weeks prior to the sale. In addition, we face contractual limitations under WES's partnership agreement on our ability to sell WES general partner units and the IDRs and the market for such interests is illiquid.

You may not have limited liability if a court finds that unitholder action constitutes control of our business.

Under Delaware law, you could be held liable for our obligations to the same extent as a general partner if a court were to determine that the right or the exercise of the right by our unitholders as a group to remove or replace our general partner, to approve some amendments to our partnership agreement or to take other action under our partnership agreement constituted participation in the "control" of our business. Additionally, the limitations on the liability of holders of limited partner interests for the liabilities of a limited partnership have not been clearly established in many jurisdictions.

Furthermore, Section 17-607 of the Delaware Revised Uniform Limited Partnership Act (the "Delaware Act") provides that, under some circumstances, a unitholder may be liable to us for the amount of a distribution for a period of three years from the date of the distribution.

If in the future we cease to manage and control WES, we may be deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act.

If we cease to manage and control WES and are deemed to be an investment company under the Investment Company Act, we will either have to register as an investment company under the Investment Company Act, obtain exemptive relief from the SEC or modify our organizational structure or our contractual rights to fall outside the definition of an investment company. Registering as an investment company could, among other things, materially limit our ability to engage in transactions with affiliates, including the purchase and sale of certain securities or other property to or from our affiliates, restrict our ability to borrow funds or engage in other transactions involving leverage, require us to add additional directors who are independent of us and our affiliates, and adversely affect the price of our common units.

In addition, if we were required to register under the Investment Company Act, we would be taxed as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

Our partnership agreement restricts the rights of unitholders owning 20% or more of our units.

Our unitholders' voting rights are restricted by a provision in our partnership agreement which provides that any units held by a person that owns 20% or more of any class of units then outstanding, other than our general partner, its affiliates, their transferees and persons who acquired such units with the prior approval of the Board of Directors, cannot be voted on any matter. In addition, our partnership agreement contains provisions limiting the ability of our unitholders to call meetings or to acquire information about our operations, as well as other provisions limiting our unitholders' ability to influence the manner or direction of our management. As a result, the price at which our common units trade may be lower because of the absence or reduction of a takeover premium in the trading price.

WES may issue additional limited partner interests or other equity securities, which may increase the risk that WES will not have sufficient available cash to maintain or increase its cash distribution level.

WES has wide latitude to issue additional limited partner interests on the terms and conditions established by its general partner. We receive cash distributions from WES on the general partner interests, IDRs and limited partner interests that we hold. Because we expect a growing portion of the cash we receive from WES to be attributable to our ownership of the IDRs, payment of distributions on additional WES limited partner interests may increase the risk that WES will be unable to maintain or increase its quarterly cash distribution per unit, which in turn may reduce the amount of incentive distributions we receive and the available cash that we have to distribute to our unitholders.

The holders of WES Series A Preferred units have rights, preferences and privileges that are not held by, and are preferential to the rights of, holders of WES common units, and could dilute or otherwise adversely affect the holders of WES common units.

The holders of WES Series A Preferred units are entitled to certain rights that are senior to the rights of holders of WES common and Class C units, such as rights to distributions and rights upon liquidation of WES. No payment or distribution on any junior equity security of WES, including WES common and Class C units, for any quarter is permitted prior to the payment in full of the WES Series A Preferred unit distribution (including any outstanding arrearages). These preferences could adversely affect the market price for WES's common units, or could make it more difficult for WES to issue and sell common units in the future. In addition, distributions on the WES Series A Preferred units accrue and are cumulative, at a quarterly rate of \$0.68 per unit, and the WES Series A Preferred units are convertible into WES common units by the holders or by WES in certain circumstances. WES's obligation to pay distributions on the WES Series A Preferred units, or on the WES common units issued following the conversion of the WES Series A Preferred units, could impact WES's liquidity and reduce the amount of cash flow available for working capital, capital expenditures, growth opportunities, acquisitions and other general partnership purposes. WES's obligations to the holders of WES Series A Preferred units could also limit its ability to obtain additional financing or increase its borrowing costs, which could have an adverse effect on WES's financial condition. In addition, the holders of WES Series A Preferred units may convert the Series A Preferred units into WES common units on a one-for-one basis at any time after the second anniversary of the issuance date, in whole or in part, subject to certain conversion thresholds. Similarly, WES may convert the WES Series A Preferred units at any time after the third anniversary of the issuance date, in whole or in part, subject to certain conversion thresholds. If a substantial portion of the WES Series A Preferred units are converted into WES common units, WES common unitholders could experience significant dilution. Further, if holders of converted WES Series A Preferred units dispose of a substantial portion of such WES common units in the public market, whether in a single transaction or series of transactions, it could adversely affect the market price for WES common units. These sales, or the possibility that these sales may occur, could make it more difficult for WES to sell its common units in the future.

Table of Contents

If WES GP is not fully reimbursed or indemnified for obligations and liabilities it incurs in managing the business and affairs of WES, its value and, therefore, the value of our common units could decline.

WES GP, as the general partner of WES, may make expenditures on behalf of WES for which it will seek reimbursement from WES. Under Delaware partnership law, WES GP, in its capacity as the general partner of WES, has unlimited liability for the obligations of WES, such as its debts and environmental liabilities, except for those contractual obligations of WES that are expressly made without recourse to the general partner. WES GP has expressly made certain WES indebtedness recourse to it. To the extent WES GP incurs obligations on behalf of WES, it is entitled to be reimbursed or indemnified by WES. If WES is unable or unwilling to reimburse or indemnify WES GP, WES GP may not be able to satisfy those liabilities or obligations, which would reduce its cash flows to us.

The amount of cash distributions that we are able to distribute to our unitholders is reduced by the incremental costs associated with our being a publicly traded partnership, other general and administrative expenses and any reserves that our general partner believes prudent to maintain for the proper conduct of our business and for future distributions.

Before we can pay distributions to our unitholders, we must first pay our expenses, including the costs of being a publicly traded partnership and other operating expenses, and may establish reserves for debt service requirements, if any, for future distributions during periods of limited cash flows or for other purposes. In addition, we may reserve funds to allow our wholly owned subsidiary, WES GP, to make capital contributions to WES when WES issues additional common units.

The market price of our common units could be adversely affected by sales of substantial amounts of our common units in the public or private markets, including sales by Anadarko or other large holders.

We had 218,928,570 common units outstanding as of December 31, 2016. Anadarko currently holds 178,587,365 common units, representing 81.6% of our outstanding common units. Anadarko's shelf registration statement allows for the offer and sale of up to 50 million, or 22.8% as of December 31, 2016, of our common units from time to time. Sales by Anadarko or other large holders of a substantial number of our common units in the public markets, or the perception that such sales might occur, could have a material adverse effect on the price of our common units or could impair our ability to obtain capital through an offering of equity securities. In addition, under our partnership agreement, our general partner and its affiliates, including Anadarko, have registration rights relating to the offer and sale of any units that they hold, subject to certain limitations.

Increases in interest rates may cause the market price of our common units, or WES's common units, to decline.

Interest rates may increase in the future, whether because of inflation, increased yields on U.S. Treasury obligations or otherwise. As is true with other MLPs (the common units of which are often viewed by investors as yield-oriented securities), the price of our and WES's common units are impacted by our and WES's levels of cash distributions and implied distribution yields. The distribution yield is often used by investors to compare and rank yield-oriented securities for investment decision-making purposes. Therefore, changes in interest rates, either positive or negative, may affect the yield requirements of investors who invest in our common units or WES's common units, and a rising interest rate environment could have an adverse impact on our unit price, WES's unit price, and our and WES's ability to make cash distributions at intended levels.

The NYSE does not require a publicly traded partnership like us to comply with certain of its corporate governance requirements.

Because we are a publicly traded partnership, the NYSE does not require our general partner to have a majority of independent directors on its Board of Directors or to establish a compensation committee or a nominating and corporate governance committee. Accordingly, unitholders do not have the same protections afforded to the stockholders of certain corporations that are subject to all of the NYSE corporate governance requirements.

Table of Contents

RISKS RELATED TO CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

WES GP owes duties to WES's unitholders that may conflict with our interests, including in connection with the terms of contractual agreements, the determination of cash distributions to be made by WES, and the determination of whether WES should make acquisitions and on what terms.

Conflicts of interest exist and may arise in the future as a result of the relationships between us and our affiliates, including WES GP, on the one hand, and WES and its limited partners, on the other hand. The directors and officers of WES GP have duties to manage WES in a manner beneficial to us, as WES GP's owner. At the same time, WES GP, as the general partner of WES, has a duty to manage WES in a manner beneficial to WES and its limited partners. The Board of Directors of WES GP or its Special Committee will resolve any such conflict and have broad latitude to consider the interests of all parties to the conflict. The resolution of these conflicts may not always be in our best interest or that of our unitholders.

For example, conflicts of interest may arise in connection with the following:

- the terms and conditions of any contractual agreements between us and our affiliates, including Anadarko, on the one hand, and WES, on the other hand;

- the determination of the amount of cash to be distributed to WES's partners, including us, and the amount of cash to be reserved for the future conduct of WES's business;

- the determination of whether WES should make acquisitions and on what terms;

- the determination of whether WES should use cash on hand, borrow or issue equity to raise cash to finance acquisitions or expansion capital projects, repay indebtedness, meet working capital needs, pay distributions or otherwise;

- any decision we make in the future to engage in business activities independent of WES; and

- the allocation of shared overhead expenses to WES and us.

Potential conflicts of interest may arise among our general partner, its affiliates and us. Our general partner has limited its state law fiduciary duties to us and our unitholders, which may permit it to favor its own interests to the detriment of us and our unitholders.

Anadarko, the owner of our general partner, owns an 81.6% limited partner interest in us. Conflicts of interest may arise among our general partner and its affiliates (including Anadarko), on the one hand, and us and our unitholders, on the other hand. In resolving these conflicts, our general partner may favor its own interests and the interests of its affiliates over the interests of our unitholders. These conflicts include, among others, the following situations:

- our general partner is allowed to take into account the interests of parties other than us in resolving conflicts of interest, which has the effect of limiting its state law fiduciary duty to our unitholders;

- our general partner determines whether or not we incur debt and that decision may affect our or WES's credit ratings;

- our general partner will have limited liability and fiduciary duties under our partnership agreement, which will restrict the remedies available to our unitholders for actions that, without these limitations, might constitute breaches of fiduciary duty. As a result of purchasing common units, our unitholders consent to some actions and conflicts of

interest that might otherwise constitute a breach of fiduciary or other duties under applicable state law;

•our general partner controls the enforcement of obligations owed to us by it and its affiliates;

Table of Contents

- our general partner decides whether to retain separate counsel, accountants or others to perform services for us;
- our partnership agreement gives our general partner broad discretion in establishing financial reserves for the proper conduct of our business. These reserves will affect the amount of cash available for distribution to our unitholders;
- our general partner determines the amount and timing of capital expenditures, borrowings, issuances of additional partnership securities and reserves, each of which can affect the amount of cash that is available for distribution to our unitholders;
- our general partner determines which costs incurred by it and its affiliates are reimbursable by us; and
- our partnership agreement does not restrict our general partner from causing us to pay it or its affiliates for any services rendered on terms that are fair and reasonable to us or entering into additional contractual arrangements with any of these entities on our behalf.

Read Our Relationship with WES and WES GP and Conflicts of Interest under Part II, Item 13 of this Form 10-K.

A reduction in Anadarko's ownership interest in us may negatively impact its incentive to support WES.

As discussed in WES's Relationship with Anadarko Petroleum Corporation in Part I, Items 1 and 2 of this Form 10-K, we believe that one of our principal strengths is our and WES's relationship with Anadarko, and that Anadarko, through its significant indirect economic interest in us and WES, will continue to be motivated to promote and support the successful execution of WES's business plan and to pursue projects that help to enhance the value of WES's business. In 2014, Anadarko began monetizing a portion of its investment in us, including the sale of an aggregate of 20,550,000 WGP common units and 9,200,000 tangible equity units, each of which is in part comprised of a prepaid equity purchase contract that can be settled in WGP common units. To the extent Anadarko's net interest in WES continues to diminish through the sale of its WGP holdings or otherwise, Anadarko may be less incentivized to grow WES's business by offering WES assets or commercial arrangements. For example, a decrease in Anadarko's net holdings in us or WES could diminish Anadarko's incentive to renew WES's commodity price swap agreements on terms as favorable as currently exist or at all. Accordingly, a decrease in Anadarko's net holdings in us or WES could have a material adverse effect on WES's business, results of operations, financial position and ability to grow or make cash distributions to WES's unitholders.

The duties of our general partner's officers and directors may conflict with their duties as officers and directors of WES GP.

Our general partner's officers and directors have duties to manage our business in a manner that is beneficial to us, our unitholders and the owner of our general partner, Anadarko. However, a majority of our general partner's directors and all of its officers are also officers and/or directors of WES GP, which has duties to manage the business of WES in a manner beneficial to WES and WES's unitholders. Consequently, these directors and officers may encounter situations in which their obligations to WES on the one hand, and us, on the other hand, are in conflict. The resolution of these conflicts may not always be in our best interest or that of our unitholders.

In addition, our general partner's officers, who are also the officers of WES GP and certain of whom are officers of Anadarko, will have responsibility for overseeing the allocation of their own time and time spent by administrative personnel on our behalf and on behalf of WES and/or Anadarko. These officers face conflicts regarding these time allocations that may adversely affect our or WES's results of operations, cash flows, and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Anadarko is not limited in its ability to compete with WES and is not obligated to offer WES the opportunity to acquire additional assets or businesses, which could limit WES's ability to grow and could adversely affect our cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

Anadarko is not prohibited from owning assets or engaging in businesses that compete directly or indirectly with WES. In addition, in the future, we or Anadarko may acquire, construct or dispose of additional midstream or other assets and may be presented with new business opportunities, without any obligation to offer WES the opportunity to participate in such transactions. Moreover, while Anadarko may offer WES the opportunity to buy additional assets from it, it is under no contractual obligation to do so, and we are unable to predict whether or when such acquisitions might be completed.

Our partnership agreement replaces our general partner's fiduciary duties to our unitholders.

Our partnership agreement contains provisions that eliminate and replace the fiduciary standards to which our general partner would otherwise be held by state fiduciary duty law. For example, our partnership agreement:

permits our general partner to make a number of decisions in its individual capacity, as opposed to in its capacity as our general partner. This entitles our general partner to consider only the interests and factors that it desires, and it has no duty or obligation to give any consideration to any interest of, or factors affecting, us, our affiliates or any limited partner. Examples of decisions that our general partner may make in its individual capacity include whether to exercise its limited call right, how to exercise its voting rights with respect to any common units it owns, whether to exercise its registration rights and whether to consent to any merger or consolidation of our partnership or amendment to our partnership agreement;

provides that our general partner will not have any liability to us or our unitholders for decisions made in its capacity as a general partner so long as it acted in good faith, meaning it believed the decisions were in the best interests of our partnership;

generally provides that affiliated transactions and resolutions of conflicts of interest not approved by the Special Committee of the Board of Directors and not involving a vote of unitholders must be on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from unrelated third parties or be "fair and reasonable" to us and that, in determining whether a transaction or resolution is "fair and reasonable," our general partner may consider the totality of the relationships among the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly advantageous or beneficial to us;

provides that in resolving conflicts of interest, it will be presumed that in making its decision the general partner acted in good faith, and in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of any limited partner or us, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption; and

provides that our general partner and its officers and directors will not be liable for monetary damages to us, our limited partners or assignees for any acts or omissions unless there has been a final and non-appealable judgment entered by a court of competent jurisdiction determining that the general partner or those other persons acted in bad faith or, in the case of a criminal matter, acted with knowledge that such person's conduct was criminal.

In order to become a limited partner of our partnership, our unitholders are required to agree to be bound by the provisions in our partnership agreement, including the provisions discussed above.

Table of Contents

Our general partner has a call right that may require you to sell your common units at an undesirable time or price.

If at any time more than 95% of our outstanding common units are owned by our general partner and its affiliates, our general partner will have the right, which it may assign to any of its affiliates or to us, but not the obligation, to acquire all, but not less than all, of the remaining units held by unaffiliated persons at a price that is not less than the then-current market price of the common units. As a result, you may be required to sell your common units at an undesirable time or price and may not receive any return on your investment. You may also incur a tax liability upon a sale of your common units. Our general partner's affiliates own 81.6% of our common units.

Our general partner may mortgage, pledge or grant a security interest in our assets without prior approval of our unitholders, and Anadarko may similarly mortgage, pledge or grant a security interest in its assets, including its ownership interests in us.

In March 2016, we entered into the WGP RCF, under which we granted the lenders party thereto a security interest in substantially all of our assets. If we are unable to satisfy our obligation to repay amounts outstanding under the WGP RCF, the lenders could seek to foreclose on our assets. Foreclosure upon, and any resulting sale of, such assets by the lenders would adversely affect our ability to pay distributions and could adversely affect the price of our common units and WES common units and result in our loss of control of WES. Further, Anadarko may mortgage, pledge or grant a security interest in any of its assets, including its general and limited partner interest in us. If Anadarko at any time were to decide to secure any of its obligations or indebtedness with some or all of its ownership interests in us, and if Anadarko were to be unable to satisfy such obligations or repay such indebtedness, the lenders could seek to foreclose on those assets. The lenders could also sell those assets under such foreclosure or other realization upon those encumbrances without prior approval of our unitholders, which would adversely affect the price of our common units and could result in Anadarko's loss of control of us and WES.

RISKS INHERENT IN WES'S BUSINESS

WES is dependent on Anadarko for a substantial portion of the natural gas, crude oil and NGLs that it gathers, treats, processes and/or transports. A material reduction in Anadarko's production that is gathered, treated, processed or transported by WES would result in a material decline in WES's revenues and cash available for distribution.

WES relies on Anadarko for a substantial portion of the natural gas, crude oil and NGLs that it gathers, treats, processes and/or transports. For the year ended December 31, 2016, production owned or controlled by Anadarko represented (i) 37% of WES's natural gas gathering, treating and transportation throughput (excluding equity investment throughput), (ii) 54% of WES's natural gas processing throughput (excluding equity investment throughput), and (iii) 65% of WES's crude/NGL gathering, treating and transportation throughput (excluding equity investment throughput). Anadarko may decrease its production in the areas serviced by WES and is under no contractual obligation to maintain its production volumes dedicated to WES pursuant to the terms of its applicable gathering agreements. The loss of a significant portion of production volumes supplied by Anadarko would result in a material decline in WES's revenues and its cash available for distribution. In addition, Anadarko may determine that drilling activity in areas other than WES's areas of operation is strategically more attractive. For example, Anadarko announced it has agreed to sell its operated midstream assets in the Marcellus shale and its upstream assets in the Eagleford shale. A shift in Anadarko's focus away from WES's areas of operation could result in reduced throughput on its systems and a material decline in its revenues and cash available for distribution.

Table of Contents

Because WES is substantially dependent on Anadarko as its primary customer and the controlling party of its general partner, any development that materially and adversely affects Anadarko's operations, financial condition or market reputation could have a material and adverse impact on WES and us. Material adverse changes at Anadarko could restrict WES's or our access to capital, make it more expensive to access the capital markets or increase the costs of WES's or our borrowings.

WES is substantially dependent on Anadarko as its primary customer and the controlling party of its general partner and expects to derive a substantial majority of its revenues from Anadarko for the foreseeable future. As a result, any event, whether in WES's area of operations or otherwise, that adversely affects Anadarko's production, financial condition, leverage, market reputation, liquidity, results of operations or cash flows may adversely affect WES's and our revenues and cash available for distribution. Accordingly, we and WES are indirectly subject to the business risks of Anadarko, some of which are the following:

- the volatility of oil and natural gas prices, which could have a negative effect on the value of Anadarko's oil and natural gas properties, its drilling programs and its ability to finance its operations;
- the availability of capital on favorable terms to fund Anadarko's exploration and development activities;
- a reduction in or reallocation of Anadarko's capital budget, which could reduce the gathering, transportation and treating volumes available to WES as a midstream operator, limit WES's midstream opportunities for organic growth or limit the inventory of midstream assets WES may acquire from Anadarko;
- Anadarko's ability to replace its oil and natural gas reserves;
- Anadarko's operations in foreign countries, which are subject to political, economic and other uncertainties;
- Anadarko's drilling and operating risks, including potential environmental liabilities;
- transportation capacity constraints and interruptions;
- adverse effects of governmental and environmental regulation;
- shareholder activism with respect to Anadarko's stock or activities by non-governmental organizations to restrict the exploration, development and production of oil and natural gas by Anadarko in order to minimize emissions of carbon dioxide, a GHG; and
- adverse effects from current or future litigation.

Further, WES is subject to the risk of non-payment or non-performance by Anadarko, including with respect to its gathering and transportation agreements, its \$260.0 million note receivable from Anadarko and its commodity price swap agreements. We cannot predict the extent to which Anadarko's business would be impacted if conditions in the energy industry were to deteriorate further, nor can we estimate the impact such conditions would have on Anadarko's ability to perform under its gathering and transportation agreements, note receivable or commodity price swap agreements. Accordingly, any material non-payment or non-performance by Anadarko could reduce WES's ability to make distributions to its partners, including us.

Table of Contents

Also, due to WES's relationship with Anadarko, WES's ability to access the capital markets, or the pricing or other terms of any capital markets transactions, may be adversely affected by any impairments to Anadarko's financial condition or adverse changes in its credit ratings. Read WES's credit rating downgrade could negatively impact its cost of and ability to access capital in these Risk Factors for a further discussion.

Any material limitations on our or WES's ability to access capital as a result of such adverse changes at Anadarko could limit our or WES's ability to obtain future financing on favorable terms, or at all, or could result in increased financing costs in the future. Similarly, material adverse changes at Anadarko could negatively impact our or WES's unit price, limiting our or WES's ability to raise capital through equity issuances or debt financing, or could negatively affect our or WES's ability to engage in, expand or pursue our or its business activities, and could also prevent us or WES from engaging in certain transactions that might otherwise be considered beneficial to us or WES.

See Part I, Item 1A in Anadarko's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (which is not, and shall not be deemed to be, incorporated by reference herein), for a full discussion of the risks associated with Anadarko's business.

Sustained low natural gas, NGL or oil prices could adversely affect WES's business.

Sustained low natural gas, NGL or oil prices impact natural gas and oil exploration and production activity levels and can result in a decline in the production of hydrocarbons over the medium to long term, resulting in reduced throughput on WES's systems. Such a decline also potentially affects the ability of WES's vendors, suppliers and customers to continue operations. As a result, sustained lower natural gas and crude oil prices could have a material adverse effect on WES's business, results of operations, financial condition and its ability to pay cash distributions to its partners, including us.

In general terms, the prices of natural gas, oil, condensate, NGLs and other hydrocarbon products fluctuate in response to changes in supply and demand, market uncertainty and a variety of additional factors that are beyond WES's control. For example, market prices for natural gas have declined substantially from the highs achieved in 2008 and have remained depressed for several years. More recently, uncertain global demand and the increased supply resulting from the rapid development of shale plays throughout North America have contributed significantly to a substantial drop in crude oil prices. Rapid development of the North American shale plays has also increased the supply of natural gas contributing to a substantial drop in natural gas prices. For example, NYMEX West Texas Intermediate oil prices have been volatile and recently ranged from a high of \$107.26 per barrel in June 2014 to a low of \$26.21 per barrel in February 2016. Also, NYMEX Henry Hub natural gas prices have been volatile and recently ranged from a high of \$6.15 per MMBtu in February 2014 to a low of \$1.64 per MMBtu in March 2016. Additional factors impacting commodity prices include the following:

- domestic and worldwide economic and geopolitical conditions;
- weather conditions and seasonal trends;
- the ability to develop recently discovered fields or deploy new technologies to existing fields;
- the levels of domestic production and consumer demand, as affected by, among other things, concerns over inflation, geopolitical issues and the availability and cost of credit;
- the availability of imported, or a market for exported, liquefied natural gas;
- the availability of transportation systems with adequate capacity;
- the volatility and uncertainty of regional pricing differentials, such as in the Rocky Mountains;
- the price and availability of alternative fuels;

the effect of energy conservation measures;

the nature and extent of governmental regulation and taxation; and

the forecasted supply and demand for, and prices of, oil, natural gas, NGLs and other commodities.

Table of Contents

WES generates distributable cash flow from the above-market component of commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko that are scheduled to expire on December 31, 2017.

As discussed in more detail in Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K, for the year ended December 31, 2016, WES had commodity price swap agreements in place with Anadarko related to its activities at the DJ Basin complex and Hugoton system at prices that were significantly higher than those that could have been obtained from third parties on the open market. WES records the above-market component of this swap activity as a cash contribution from Anadarko in the period in which attributable volumes are settled, with all such contributions included in its calculation of distributable cash flows. During 2016, for example, WES recorded \$45.8 million in cash contributions from Anadarko related to these swaps. On December 1, 2016, WES renewed its commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets at significantly higher prices than those that could have been obtained from third parties on the open market. These swap agreements expire on December 31, 2017.

WES may be unable to further renew the swaps with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets on similar terms or at all. If such agreements are renewed with Anadarko, they may be renewed at lower prices than those established in the agreements currently in place. In the event that WES is unable to renew agreements with Anadarko, it may seek to enter into third-party commodity price swap agreements or similar hedging arrangements. Any such market-based hedging arrangement is likely to be significantly less favorable from a commodity pricing perspective and would likely result in a significant decrease in WES's distributable cash flow.

Because of the natural decline in production from existing wells, WES's success depends on its ability to obtain new sources of oil and natural gas, which is dependent on certain factors beyond WES's control. Any decrease in the volumes that WES gathers, processes, treats and transports could adversely affect its business and operating results.

The volumes that support WES's business are dependent on, among other things, the level of production from natural gas and oil wells connected to its gathering systems and processing and treating facilities. This production will naturally decline over time. As a result, WES's cash flows associated with these wells will also decline over time. In order to maintain or increase throughput levels on its systems, WES must obtain new sources of oil and natural gas. The primary factors affecting WES's ability to obtain sources of oil and natural gas include (i) the level of successful drilling activity near its systems, (ii) its ability to compete for volumes from successful new wells, to the extent such wells are not dedicated to its systems, and (iii) its ability to capture volumes currently gathered or processed by Anadarko or third parties.

While Anadarko has dedicated production from certain of its properties to WES, WES has no control over the level of drilling activity in its areas of operation, the amount of reserves associated with wells connected to its systems or the rate at which production from a well declines. In addition, WES has no control over Anadarko or other producers or their drilling or production decisions, which are affected by, among other things, the availability and cost of capital, prevailing and projected commodity prices, demand for hydrocarbons, levels of reserves, geological considerations, governmental regulations, the availability of drilling rigs and other production and development costs. Fluctuations in commodity prices can also greatly affect investments by Anadarko and third parties in the development of new oil and natural gas reserves. Declines in oil and natural gas prices have materially reduced exploration, development and production activity and, if sustained, could lead to a further decrease in such activity. Sustained reductions in exploration or production activity in WES's areas of operation would lead to reduced utilization of its gathering, processing and treating assets.

Because of these factors, even if new oil and natural gas reserves are known to exist in areas served by WES's assets, producers (including Anadarko) may choose not to develop those reserves. Moreover, Anadarko may not develop the acreage it has dedicated to WES. If competition or reductions in drilling activity result in WES's inability to maintain the current levels of throughput on its systems, it could reduce WES's revenue and impair its ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us.

Table of Contents

WES's credit rating downgrade could negatively impact its cost of and ability to access capital.

As of December 31, 2016, WES's long-term debt was rated "BBB-" with a stable outlook by S&P, "BBB-" with a stable outlook by Fitch, and "Ba1" with a stable outlook by Moody's. In February 2016, Moody's downgraded Anadarko's senior unsecured ratings from Baa2 to Ba1, with a negative outlook, and downgraded WES's senior unsecured ratings from Baa3 to Ba1, with a negative outlook, both of which are below investment grade. In September 2016, Moody's changed the outlook for Anadarko and WES from negative to stable. No assurance can be given that WES's credit rating will not be downgraded further. Any further downgrades in WES's credit ratings will adversely affect its ability to raise debt in the public debt markets, which could negatively impact its cost of capital and ability to effectively execute aspects of its strategy.

In addition, downgrades could trigger WES's obligations to provide financial assurance of its performance under certain contractual arrangements. WES may be required to post collateral in the form of letters of credit or cash as financial assurance of its performance under certain contractual arrangements, such as pipeline transportation contracts and NGLs and gas sales contracts. At December 31, 2016, there were \$4.9 million in letters of credit or cash provided as assurance of WES's performance under these type of contractual arrangements with respect to credit-risk-related contingent features. WES does not currently have any contracts that automatically trigger collateral posting requirements upon the loss of investment grade ratings.

WES's strategies to reduce its exposure to changes in commodity prices may fail to protect WES and could negatively impact its financial condition, thereby reducing its cash flows and ability to make distributions to its partners, including us.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, 6% of WES's Adjusted gross margin was generated under percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole arrangements pursuant to which the associated revenues and expenses are directly correlated with the prices of natural gas, oil and NGLs. This percentage may significantly increase as a result of future acquisitions, if any.

WES pursues various strategies to seek to reduce its exposure to adverse changes in the prices for natural gas, condensate and NGLs. These strategies will vary in scope based upon the level and volatility of natural gas, condensate and NGL prices and other changing market conditions. WES currently has in place commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko expiring in December 2017 to manage a majority of the commodity price risk otherwise inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts. To the extent that WES engages in price risk management activities such as the commodity price swap agreements, it may be prevented from realizing the full benefits of price increases above the levels set in those agreements. In addition, WES's commodity price management may expose it to the risk of financial loss in certain circumstances, including if the counterparties to its hedging or other price risk management contracts fail to perform under those arrangements.

On December 1, 2016, WES renewed its commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets through December 31, 2017. Upon the expiration of WES's commodity price swap agreements, it may be unable to renew such agreements with Anadarko on similar terms or at all. If such agreements are renewed with Anadarko, they may be renewed at lower prices than those established in the agreements currently in place. In the event that WES is unable to renew agreements with Anadarko, it may seek to enter into third-party commodity price swap agreements or similar hedging arrangements. Any such market-based hedging arrangement is likely to be significantly less favorable from a commodity pricing perspective and would likely expose WES to volumetric risk to which it is currently not exposed, because WES's current commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko are based on actual WES volumes.

Additionally, if WES is unable to effectively manage the risk associated with its contracts that have commodity price exposure, it could have a material adverse effect on WES's business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us.

Table of Contents

Changes in laws or regulations regarding hydraulic fracturing could result in increased costs, operating restrictions or delays in the completion of oil and natural gas wells, which could decrease the need for WES's gathering and processing services.

While WES does not conduct hydraulic fracturing, its customers do conduct such activities. Hydraulic fracturing is an essential and common practice used by many of WES's oil and natural gas exploration and production customers to stimulate production of natural gas and oil from dense subsurface rock formations such as shales. Hydraulic fracturing is typically regulated by state oil and natural-gas commissions, but several federal agencies have also asserted regulatory authority over certain aspects of the process. For example, in June 2016, the EPA published an effluent limit guideline final rule prohibiting the discharge of wastewater from onshore unconventional oil and gas extraction facilities to publicly owned wastewater treatment plants. Also, the federal Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") published a final rule in March 2015 that established new or more stringent standards relating to hydraulic fracturing on federal and American Indian lands. A Wyoming federal judge struck down this rule in June 2016 finding that the BLM lacked authority to promulgate the rule. That decision is currently being appealed by the federal government. In addition, Congress has from time to time considered the adoption of legislation to provide for federal regulation of hydraulic fracturing. At the state level, a growing number of states have adopted or are considering adopting legal requirements that could impose more stringent disclosure and/or well construction requirements on hydraulic fracturing operations, and states could elect to prohibit high-volume hydraulic fracturing altogether, following the approach taken by the State of New York in 2015. In addition, local governments may seek to adopt ordinances within their jurisdictions regulating the time, place and manner of drilling activities in general or hydraulic fracturing activities in particular. Further, in December 2016, the EPA released its final report on the potential impacts of hydraulic fracturing on drinking water resources. The final report concluded that "water cycle" activities associated with hydraulic fracturing may impact drinking water resources "under some circumstances," noting that the following hydraulic fracturing water cycle activities and local- or regional-scale factors are more likely than others to result in more frequent or more severe impacts: water withdrawals for fracturing in times or areas of low water availability; surface spills during the management of fracturing fluids, chemicals or produced water; injection of fracturing fluids into wells with inadequate mechanical integrity; injection of fracturing fluids directly into groundwater resources; discharge of inadequately treated fracturing wastewater to surface waters; and disposal or storage of fracturing wastewater in unlined pits.

If new or more stringent federal, state or local legal restrictions or prohibitions relating to the hydraulic fracturing process are adopted in areas where WES's oil and natural gas exploration and production customers operate, those customers could incur potentially significant added costs to comply with such requirements and experience delays or curtailment in the pursuit of exploration, development or production activities, which could reduce demand for WES's gathering and processing services. Moreover, increased regulation of the hydraulic fracturing process could also lead to greater opposition to, and litigation over, oil and gas production activities using hydraulic fracturing techniques.

Adverse developments in WES's geographic areas of operation could disproportionately impact WES's business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us.

WES's business and operations are concentrated in a limited number of producing areas. Due to WES's limited geographic diversification, adverse operational developments, regulatory or legislative changes, or other events in an area in which WES has significant operations could have a greater impact on WES's business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us, than they would if WES's operations were more diversified.

If Anadarko were to limit transfers of midstream assets to WES or if WES were to be unable to make acquisitions on economically acceptable terms from Anadarko or third parties, WES's future growth would be limited. In addition, any acquisitions WES makes may reduce, rather than increase, its cash generated from operations on a per-unit basis.

WES's ability to grow depends, in part, on its ability to make acquisitions that increase its cash generated from operations on a per-unit basis. The acquisition component of WES's strategy is based, in large part, on its expectation of ongoing divestitures of midstream energy assets by industry participants, including, most notably, Anadarko. A material decrease in such divestitures would limit WES's opportunities for future acquisitions and could adversely affect its ability to grow its operations and increase its distributions to unitholders.

Table of Contents

If WES is unable to make accretive acquisitions from Anadarko or third parties because, among other things, (i) it is unable to identify attractive acquisition candidates or negotiate acceptable purchase contracts, (ii) it is unable to obtain financing for these acquisitions on economically acceptable terms, (iii) it is outbid by competitors or (iv) Anadarko lacks assets suitable for it to acquire, then its future growth and ability to increase distributions will be limited. Furthermore, even if WES makes acquisitions that it believes will be accretive, these acquisitions may nevertheless result in a decrease in the cash generated from operations on a per-unit basis.

Any acquisition involves potential risks, including the following, among other things:

- mistaken assumptions about volumes or the timing of those volumes, revenues or costs, including synergies;
- an inability to successfully integrate the acquired assets or businesses;
- the assumption of unknown liabilities;
- limitations on rights to indemnity from the seller;
- mistaken assumptions about the overall costs of equity or debt;
- the diversion of management's and employees' attention from other business concerns;
- unforeseen difficulties operating in new geographic areas; and
- customer or key employee losses at the acquired businesses.

If WES consummates any future acquisitions, its capitalization and results of operations may change significantly.

WES may not be able to obtain funding on acceptable terms or at all. This may hinder or prevent WES from meeting its future capital needs.

Global financial markets and economic conditions have been, and continue to be, volatile, especially for companies involved in the oil and gas industry. The repricing of credit risk and the current relatively weak economic conditions have made, and will likely continue to make, it difficult for some entities to obtain funding. In addition, as a result of concerns about the stability of financial markets generally and the solvency of counterparties specifically, the cost of obtaining money from the credit markets generally has increased as many lenders and institutional investors have increased interest rates, enacted tighter lending standards, refused to refinance existing debt at maturity at all or on terms similar to the borrower's current debt, and reduced, or in some cases, ceased to provide funding to borrowers. Further, WES may be unable to obtain adequate funding under the WES RCF if its lending counterparties become unwilling or unable to meet their funding obligations. Due to these factors, WES cannot be certain that funding will be available if needed and to the extent required on acceptable terms. If funding is not available when needed, or is available only on unfavorable terms, WES may be unable to execute its business plans, complete acquisitions or otherwise take advantage of business opportunities or respond to competitive pressures, any of which could have a material adverse effect on WES's financial condition, results of operations, cash flows and ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us.

Table of Contents

Restrictions in the indentures governing WES's publicly traded notes (collectively, "the WES Notes") or the WES RCF may limit WES's ability to capitalize on acquisitions and other business opportunities.

The operating and financial restrictions and covenants in the agreements governing the WES Notes, the WES RCF and any future financing arrangements could restrict WES's ability to finance future operations or capital needs or to expand or pursue business activities associated with WES's subsidiaries and equity investments. The WES RCF contains, and with respect to the second, fourth and fifth bullets below, the indentures governing the WES Notes contain, covenants that restrict or limit WES's ability to do the following:

- incur additional indebtedness or guarantee other indebtedness;

- grant liens to secure obligations other than its obligations under the WES Notes or the WES RCF or agree to restrictions on its ability to grant additional liens to secure its obligations under the WES Notes or the WES RCF;

- engage in transactions with affiliates;

- make any material change to the nature of its business from the midstream energy business;
or

- enter into a merger, consolidate, liquidate, wind up or dissolve.

The WES RCF also contains various customary covenants, customary events of default and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio as of the end of each quarter (which is defined as the ratio of consolidated indebtedness as of the last day of a fiscal quarter to Consolidated EBITDA, as defined in the WES RCF, for the most recent four consecutive fiscal quarters ending on such day) of 5.0 to 1.0, or a consolidated leverage ratio of 5.5 to 1.0 with respect to quarters ending in the 270-day period immediately following certain acquisitions. See Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K for a further discussion of the terms of the WES RCF and WES Notes.

Debt WES owes or incurs in the future may limit its flexibility to obtain financing and to pursue other business opportunities.

WES's indebtedness could have important consequences to WES, including the following:

- its ability to obtain additional financing, if necessary, for working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions or other purposes may be impaired or such financing may not be available on favorable terms;

- its funds available for operations, future business opportunities and distributions to unitholders will be reduced by that portion of its cash flows required to make interest payments on its debt;

- it may be more vulnerable to competitive pressures or a downturn in its business or the economy generally;
and

- its flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions may be limited.

WES's ability to service its debt will depend upon, among other things, its future financial and operating performance, which will be affected by prevailing economic conditions and financial, business, regulatory and other factors, some of which are beyond WES's control. If WES's operating results are not sufficient to service any future indebtedness, it will be forced to take actions such as reducing distributions, reducing or delaying its business activities, acquisitions, investments or capital expenditures, selling assets or seeking additional equity capital. WES may not be able to effect

any of these actions on satisfactory terms or at all.

Table of Contents

WES's business could be negatively affected by security threats, including cybersecurity threats, and other disruptions.

WES faces various security threats, including cybersecurity threats related to the security of its facilities and infrastructure or those of third parties, attempts to gain unauthorized access to sensitive information or to render data or systems unusable and threats from terrorist acts. WES's implementation of various procedures and controls to monitor and mitigate security threats and to increase security for its facilities, infrastructure and information may result in increased costs. Moreover, there can be no assurance that such procedures and controls will be sufficient to prevent security breaches from occurring. Cybersecurity attacks in particular are becoming more sophisticated and include, but are not limited to, malicious software attempts to gain unauthorized access to data and systems and other electronic security breaches that could lead to disruptions in critical systems, unauthorized release of confidential or otherwise protected information and corruption of data. For example, the gathering, processing, treating and transportation of natural gas from WES's gathering systems, processing facilities and pipelines are dependent on communications among WES's facilities and with third-party systems that may be delivering natural gas into or receiving natural gas and other products from WES's facilities. Disruption of those communications, whether caused by cybersecurity attacks or otherwise, may disrupt WES's ability to deliver natural gas and control these assets. There is no assurance that WES will not suffer material losses from cybersecurity attacks in the future, and as such threats continue to evolve, WES may be required to expend additional resources to continue to modify or enhance its protective measures or to investigate or remediate any cybersecurity vulnerabilities. Any terrorist or cybersecurity attack against, or other disruption of, WES assets or computer systems could have a material adverse effect on WES's business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us.

The amount of cash WES has available for distribution to its partners, including us, depends primarily on its cash flows rather than on its profitability. As a result, WES may be prevented from making distributions, even during periods in which it records net income.

The amount of cash WES has available for distribution depends primarily upon its cash flows and not solely on profitability, which will be affected by non-cash items. As a result, WES may make cash distributions for periods in which it records losses for financial accounting purposes and may not make cash distributions for periods in which it records net earnings for financial accounting purposes.

WES typically does not obtain independent evaluations of hydrocarbon reserves connected to its systems. Therefore, in the future, throughput on WES's systems could be less than WES anticipates.

WES typically does not obtain independent evaluations of hydrocarbon reserves connected to its systems. Accordingly, WES does not have independent estimates of total reserves connected to its systems or the anticipated life of such reserves. If the total reserves or estimated life of the reserves connected to WES's systems are less than WES anticipates, or the timeline for the development of reserves is greater than WES anticipates, and WES is unable to secure additional sources of oil and natural gas, there could be a material adverse effect on its business, results of operations, financial condition and ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us.

WES's industry is highly competitive, and increased competitive pressure could adversely affect its business and operating results.

WES competes with similar enterprises in its areas of operation. WES's competitors may expand or construct midstream systems that would create additional competition for the services it provides to its customers. In addition, WES's customers, including Anadarko, may develop their own midstream systems in lieu of using WES's. WES's ability to renew or replace existing contracts with its customers at rates sufficient to maintain current revenues and cash flows could be adversely affected by the activities of its competitors and customers. All of these competitive pressures could have a material adverse effect on WES's business, results of operations, financial condition and ability

to make cash distributions to its partners, including us.

Table of Contents

WES's results of operations could be adversely affected by asset impairments.

If commodity prices decrease, WES may be required to write down the value of its midstream properties if the estimated future cash flows from these properties fall below their net book value. Because WES is an affiliate of Anadarko, the assets WES acquires from Anadarko are recorded at Anadarko's carrying value prior to the transaction. Accordingly, WES may be at an increased risk for impairments because the initial book values of a substantial portion of its assets do not have a direct relationship with, and in some cases could be significantly higher than, the amounts WES paid to acquire such assets. For example, see the discussion of material impairments at WES's Hilight system and Red Desert complex in Note 7—Property, Plant and Equipment in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Further, at December 31, 2016, WES had \$417.6 million of goodwill on its balance sheet. Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price of a business acquired exceeds the fair market value of the tangible and separately measurable intangible net assets. In addition, similar to the carrying value of the assets WES acquired from Anadarko, part of WES's goodwill is an allocated portion of Anadarko's goodwill, which WES recorded as a component of the carrying value of the assets it acquired from Anadarko. As a result, WES may be at increased risk for impairments relative to entities who acquire their assets from third parties or construct their own assets, as the carrying value of WES's goodwill does not reflect, and in some cases is significantly higher than, the difference between the consideration WES paid for its acquisitions and the fair value of the net assets on the acquisition date.

Goodwill is not amortized, but instead must be tested at least annually for impairments, and more frequently when circumstances indicate likely impairments, by applying a fair-value-based test. Goodwill is deemed impaired to the extent that its carrying amount exceeds its implied fair value. Various factors could lead to goodwill impairments, such as WES's inability to maintain throughput on its assets or sustained lower oil and natural gas prices, by reducing the fair value of the associated reporting unit. Prolonged low or further declines in commodity prices and changes to producers' drilling plans in response to lower prices could result in additional impairments in future periods. Future non-cash asset impairments could negatively affect WES's results of operations.

If third-party pipelines or other facilities interconnected to WES's gathering, transportation, treating or processing systems become partially or fully unavailable, or if the volumes WES gathers or transports do not meet the quality requirements of such pipelines or facilities, WES's revenues and cash available for distribution could be adversely affected.

WES's gathering, transportation, treating and processing systems are connected to other pipelines or facilities, the majority of which are owned by third parties. The continuing operation of such third-party pipelines or facilities is not within WES's control. If any of these pipelines or facilities becomes unable to transport, treat or process crude oil, natural gas or NGLs, or if the volumes WES gathers or transports do not meet the quality requirements of such pipelines or facilities, WES's revenues and cash available for distribution could be adversely affected.

WES's interstate natural gas and liquids transportation assets and operations are subject to regulation by FERC, which could have an adverse effect on WES's revenues and WES's ability to make distributions.

The interstate natural gas pipelines owned by WES are subject to regulation by FERC. If WES fails to comply with all applicable FERC-administered statutes, rules, regulations and orders, WES could be subject to substantial penalties and fines. FERC has civil penalty authority to impose penalties for certain violations of up to \$1.0 million per day for each violation. FERC also has the power to order disgorgement of profits from transactions deemed to violate applicable statutes.

Table of Contents

The interstate liquids pipelines owned by WES are common carriers and are also subject to regulation by FERC. FERC regulation requires that common carrier liquid pipeline rates and interstate natural gas pipeline rates be filed with FERC and that these rates be “just and reasonable” and not unduly discriminatory. Interested persons may challenge proposed new or changed rates, and FERC is authorized to suspend the effectiveness of such rates pending an investigation or hearing. FERC may also investigate, upon complaint or on its own motion, rates that are already in effect and may order a carrier to change its rates prospectively. Accordingly, action by FERC could adversely affect WES’s ability to establish reasonable rates that cover operating costs and allow for a reasonable return. An adverse determination in any future rate proceeding brought by or against us could have a material adverse effect on WES’s business, financial condition, results of operations, and cash available for distribution. For example, one such matter relates to FERC’s policy regarding allowances for income taxes in determining a regulated entity’s cost of service. FERC allows regulated companies to recover an allowance for income taxes in rates only to the extent the company or its owners, such as WES’s unitholders, are subject to U.S. income tax. This policy affects whom WES allows to own its units, and if WES is not successful in limiting ownership of its units to persons or entities subject to U.S. income tax, WES’s FERC-regulated rates and revenues for its FERC-regulated gas and liquids pipelines could be adversely affected.

A change in the jurisdictional characterization of some of WES’s assets by federal, state or local regulatory agencies or a change in policy by those agencies could result in increased regulation of its assets, which could cause WES’s revenues to decline and operating expenses to increase.

WES believes that its gathering systems meet the traditional tests FERC has used to determine if a pipeline is a gathering pipeline and is, therefore, not subject to FERC jurisdiction. FERC, however, has not made any determinations with respect to the jurisdictional status of any of these gathering systems. The distinction between FERC-regulated transmission services and federally unregulated gathering services has been the subject of ongoing litigation and, over time, FERC policy concerning which activities it regulates and which activities are excluded from its regulation has changed. State regulation of gathering facilities generally includes various safety, environmental and, in some circumstances, nondiscriminatory take requirements and complaint-based rate regulation. In recent years, FERC has regulated the gathering activities of interstate pipeline transmission companies more lightly, which has resulted in a number of such companies transferring gathering facilities to unregulated affiliates. As a result of these activities, natural gas gathering may begin to receive greater regulatory scrutiny at both the state and federal levels. FERC makes jurisdictional determinations for both natural gas gathering and liquids lines on a case-by-case basis. The classification and regulation of WES’s pipelines are subject to change based on future determinations by FERC, the courts or Congress. A change in the jurisdictional characterization of some of WES’s assets by federal, state or local regulatory agencies or a change in policy by those agencies could result in increased regulation of its assets, which could cause its revenues to decline and operating expenses to increase.

The adoption of climate change or other air emissions legislation or regulations restricting emissions of GHGs or other air pollutants could result in increased operating costs and reduced demand for the gathering, processing, compressing, treating and transporting services WES provides.

Changes in, or reinterpretations of, these laws and regulations that govern areas where WES operates may negatively impact WES’s operations. Examples of recent proposed and final regulations or other regulatory initiatives are included below.

Ground-Level Ozone Standards. In October 2015, the EPA issued a rule under the Clean Air Act, lowering the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (“NAAQS”) for ground-level ozone from 75 parts per billion to 70 parts per billion under both the primary and secondary standards to provide requisite protection of public health and welfare, respectively. The EPA is expected to make final geographical attainment designations and issue final non-attainment area requirements pursuant to this NAAQS rule by late 2017, and any designations or requirements that result in

reclassification of areas or imposition of more stringent standards may make it more difficult to construct new or modified sources of air pollution in newly designated non-attainment areas. Moreover, states are expected to implement more stringent regulations, which could apply to WES's operations. Compliance with this rule could, among other things, require installation of new emission controls on some of WES's equipment, result in longer permitting timelines, and significantly increase WES's capital expenditures and operating costs.

Table of Contents

Reduction of Methane Emissions by the Oil and Gas Industry. In June 2016, the EPA published a final rule establishing new emissions standards for methane and additional standards for volatile organic compounds from certain new, modified, and reconstructed oil and natural gas production and natural gas processing and transmission facilities. The EPA's rule is comprised of New Source Performance Standards, known as Subpart OOOOa, that require certain new, modified, or reconstructed facilities in the oil and natural gas sector to reduce these methane gas and volatile organic compound emissions. These Subpart OOOOa standards will expand previously issued New Source Performance Standards published by the EPA in 2012, known as Subpart OOOO, by using certain equipment specific emissions control practices with respect to, among other things, hydraulically fractured oil and natural gas well completions, fugitive emissions from well sites and compressors, and equipment leaks at natural gas processing plants and pneumatic pumps. Moreover, in November 2016, the EPA issued a final Information Collection Request seeking information about methane emissions from facilities and operators in the oil and natural gas industry. The EPA has indicated that it intended to use the information from this request to develop Existing Source Performance Standards for the oil and gas industry. Compliance with this rule could, among other things, require installation of new emission controls on some of WES's equipment and significantly increase WES's capital expenditures and operating costs.

Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions. The U.S. Congress and the EPA, in addition to some state and regional efforts, have in recent years considered legislation or regulations to reduce emissions of GHGs. These efforts have included consideration of cap-and-trade programs, carbon taxes, GHG reporting and tracking programs, and regulations that directly limit GHG emissions from certain sources. In the absence of federal GHG-limiting legislation, the EPA has determined that GHG emissions present a danger to public health and the environment and has adopted regulations that, among other things, restrict emissions of GHGs under existing provisions of the Clean Air Act and may require the installation of "best available control technology" to limit emissions of GHGs from any new or significantly modified facilities that WES may seek to construct in the future if they would otherwise emit large volumes of GHGs together with other criteria pollutants. Also, certain of WES's operations are subject to EPA rules requiring the monitoring and annual reporting of GHG emissions from specified onshore and offshore production sources. Furthermore, the EPA has passed a rule, known as the Clean Power Plan, to limit GHGs from power plants, but on February 9, 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court stayed this rule while it is being challenged in the federal D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals. If this rule survives legal challenge, then depending on the methods used to implement this rule, it could reduce demand for the oil and natural gas WES's customers produce or increase the cost of electricity for WES's operations. In December 2015, the United States joined the international community at the 21st Conference of the Parties of the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change in Paris, France that requires member countries to review and "represent a progression" in their intended nationally determined contributions, which set GHG emission reduction goals every five years beginning in 2020. Although this international agreement does not create any binding obligations for nations to limit their GHG emissions, it does include pledges to voluntarily limit or reduce future emissions. The adoption and implementation of any federal or state legislation or regulations that restrict emissions of GHGs or other air emissions could result in increased compliance costs or additional operating restrictions, and could have a material adverse effect on WES's business, financial condition, demand for WES's services, results of operations, and cash flows.

Derivatives legislation could have an adverse effect on WES's ability to use derivative instruments to reduce the effect of commodity price, interest rate and other risks associated with its business.

The Dodd-Frank Act, among other things, establishes federal oversight and regulation of the over-the-counter derivatives market and entities, such as us and Anadarko, that participate in that market. The Commodity Futures Trading Commission (the "CFTC") has finalized certain of its regulations under the Dodd-Frank Act, but others remain to be finalized or implemented. It is not possible at this time to predict when this will be accomplished or what the terms of the final rules will be, so the impact of those rules is uncertain at this time.

Table of Contents

The CFTC has designated certain types of swaps (thus far, only certain interest rate swaps and credit default swaps) for mandatory clearing and exchange trading, and may designate other types of swaps for mandatory clearing and exchange trading in the future. To the extent WES engages in such transactions or transactions that become subject to such rules in the future, WES will be required to comply or to take steps to qualify for an exemption to such requirements. Although WES is availing itself of the end-user exception to the mandatory clearing and exchange trading requirements for swaps designed to hedge its commercial risks, the application of the mandatory clearing and trade execution requirements to other market participants, such as swap dealers, may change the cost and availability of the swaps that WES and Anadarko use for hedging. If any of WES's swaps do not qualify for the commercial end-user exception, or if the cost of entering into uncleared swaps becomes prohibitive, WES may be required to clear such transactions or execute them on a derivatives contract market or swap executive facility.

In addition, certain banking regulators and the CFTC have adopted final rules establishing minimum margin requirements for uncleared swaps. Although WES expects to qualify for the end-user exception from margin requirements for swaps to other market participants, such as swap dealers, these rules may change the cost and availability of the swaps WES uses for hedging. If any of WES's swaps do not qualify for the commercial end-user exception, WES could be required to post initial or variation margin, which would impact liquidity and reduce WES's cash. This would in turn reduce WES's ability to execute hedges to reduce risk and protect cash flows.

The Dodd-Frank Act and any new regulations could significantly increase the cost of derivative contracts, materially alter the terms of derivative contracts, reduce the availability of derivatives to protect against risks we encounter and reduce WES's ability to monetize or restructure existing commodity price contracts. If WES reduces the use of commodity price contracts as a result of the legislation and regulations, WES's results of operations may become more volatile and cash flows may be less predictable, which could adversely affect WES's ability to plan for and fund capital expenditures and make cash distributions to unitholders. Further, to the extent WES's revenues are unhedged, they could be adversely affected if a consequence of the Dodd-Frank Act and implementing regulations is to lower commodity prices.

WES may incur significant costs and liabilities resulting from pipeline integrity programs and related repairs.

Pursuant to authority under federal law, PHMSA has promulgated regulations requiring pipeline operators to develop and implement integrity management programs for certain gas and hazardous liquid pipelines that, in the event of a pipeline leak or rupture, could affect HCAs, which are areas where a release could have the most significant adverse consequences, including high population areas, certain drinking water sources and unusually sensitive ecological areas. These regulations require the operators of covered pipelines to: (i) perform ongoing assessments of pipeline integrity; (ii) identify and characterize applicable threats to pipeline segments that could impact HCAs; (iii) improve data collection, integration and analysis; (iv) repair and remediate the pipeline as necessary; and (v) implement preventive and mitigating actions. In addition, states have adopted regulations similar to existing PHMSA regulations for certain intrastate gas and hazardous liquid pipelines. At this time, WES cannot predict the ultimate cost of compliance with these regulations, as the cost will vary significantly depending on the number and extent of any repairs or replacements of pipeline segments found to be necessary as a result of the pipeline integrity testing. The results of these tests could cause WES to incur significant and unanticipated capital and operating expenditures for repairs or replacements of pipeline segments deemed necessary to ensure the safe and reliable operation of its pipelines. Moreover, the adoption of any new legislation or regulations that impose more stringent or costly pipeline integrity management standards could result in a material adverse effect on WES's results of operations or financial position.

Table of Contents

Federal and state legislative and regulatory initiatives relating to pipeline safety that require the use of new or more stringent safety controls or result in more stringent enforcement of applicable legal requirements could subject WES to increased capital costs, operational delays and costs of operation.

In June 2016, PHMSA's statutory mandate regarding pipeline safety was extended through 2019, and PHMSA was given expanded authority to address unsafe pipeline conditions or practices that pose an imminent hazard to life, property, or the environment. The imposition of new safety requirements or any issuance or reinterpretation of guidance by PHMSA or any state agencies with respect thereto could require WES to install new or modified safety controls, pursue additional capital projects or conduct maintenance programs on an accelerated basis, any or all of which tasks could result in WES incurring increased operating costs that could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations or financial position. For example, in January 2017, PHMSA issued a final rule that significantly extends and expands the reach of certain PHMSA integrity management requirements, such as, for example, periodic assessments, leak detection and repairs, regardless of the pipeline's proximity to a high consequence area. The final rule also imposes new reporting requirements for certain unregulated pipelines, including all hazardous liquid gathering lines. However, the date of implementation of this final rule by publication in the Federal Register is uncertain given the recent change in presidential administrations. Additionally, in March 2016, PHMSA announced a proposed rulemaking that would impose new or more stringent requirements for certain natural gas lines and gathering lines including, among other things, expanding certain of PHMSA's current regulatory safety programs for natural gas pipelines in newly defined "moderate consequence areas" that contain as few as five dwellings within a potential impact area; requiring natural gas pipelines installed before 1970 and thus excluded from certain pressure testing obligations to be tested to determine their MAOP; and requiring certain onshore and offshore gathering lines in Class I areas to comply with damage prevention, corrosion control, public education, MAOP limits, line markers and emergency planning standards. Additional requirements proposed by this proposed rulemaking would increase PHMSA's integrity management requirements and also require consideration of seismicity in evaluating threats to pipelines. Additionally, PHMSA and one or more state regulators, including the RRC, have in recent years expanded the scope of their regulatory inspections to include certain in-plant equipment and pipelines found within NGL fractionation facilities and associated storage facilities, to assess compliance with hazardous liquids pipeline safety requirements. To the extent that PHMSA and/or state regulatory agencies are successful in asserting their jurisdiction in this manner, midstream operators of NGL fractionation facilities and associated storage facilities may be required to make operational changes or modifications at their facilities to meet standards beyond current OSHA and EPA requirements, which changes or modifications may result in additional capital costs, possible operational delays and increased costs of operation that, in some instances, may be significant.

Some portions of WES's pipeline systems have been in service for several decades, and WES has a limited ownership history with respect to certain of its assets. There could be unknown events or conditions or increased maintenance or repair expenses and downtime associated with WES's pipelines that could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

Some portions of the pipeline systems that WES operates have been in service for many decades prior to purchase by WES. Consequently, there may be historical occurrences or latent issues regarding WES's pipeline systems that WES's executive management may be unaware of and that may have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations. The age and condition of WES's pipeline systems could also result in increased maintenance or repair expenditures, and any downtime associated with increased maintenance and repair activities could materially reduce WES's revenue. Any significant increase in maintenance and repair expenditures or loss of revenue due to the age or condition of WES's pipeline systems could adversely affect its business and results of operations.

Table of Contents

WES is subject to stringent and comprehensive environmental laws and regulations that may expose WES to significant costs and liabilities.

WES's operations are subject to stringent and comprehensive federal, tribal, state and local environmental laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment or otherwise relate to environmental protection. These environmental laws and regulations may impose numerous obligations that are applicable to WES's operations, including: (i) the acquisition of permits to conduct regulated activities; (ii) restrictions on the types, quantities and concentrations of materials that can be released into the environment; (iii) limitations on the generation, management and disposal of waste; (iv) limitation or prohibition on construction and operating activities in environmentally sensitive areas such as wetlands, urban areas, wilderness regions and other protected areas; (v) requiring capital expenditures to limit or prevent releases of materials from its pipelines and facilities; and (vi) imposition of substantial liabilities for pollution resulting from WES's operations or existing at its owned or operated facilities. Numerous governmental authorities, such as the EPA and analogous state agencies, have the power to enforce compliance with these laws and regulations and the permits issued under them, oftentimes requiring difficult and costly remedial or corrective actions. Failure to comply with these laws, regulations and permits or any newly adopted legal requirements may result in the assessment of sanctions and administrative, civil and criminal penalties, the imposition of investigatory, remedial or corrective action obligations, the incurrence of capital expenditures, the occurrence of delays in the permitting, development or expansion of projects, and the issuance of injunctions limiting or preventing some or all of WES's operations in particular areas.

WES may incur significant environmental costs and liabilities in connection with WES's operations due to WES's handling of natural gas, NGLs and other petroleum products, because of air emissions and discharges related to WES's operations, and as a result of historical industry operations and waste disposal practices. For example, an accidental release as a result of WES's operations could subject WES to substantial liabilities arising from environmental cleanup and restoration costs, claims made by owners of the properties through which WES's gathering or transportation systems pass, neighboring landowners, and other third parties for personal injury, natural resource and property damages, and fines or penalties for related violations of environmental laws or regulations. Joint and several strict liabilities may be incurred, without regard to fault, under certain of these environmental laws and regulations. In addition, stricter laws, regulations or enforcement policies could significantly increase WES's operational or compliance costs and the cost of any remediation that may become necessary, which could have a material adverse effect on WES's results of operations or financial condition. For example, regulatory initiatives targeting the reduction of certain air pollutants, such as ground level ozone or GHGs such as methane, or clarifying federal jurisdiction over waters of the United States that allegedly may broaden such jurisdiction in comparison to previous rulemakings have been proposed and/or adopted by the EPA but are currently subject to various legal impediments, including formalized opposition, lawsuits, and/or court stays. The adoption of these or any other laws, regulations or other legally enforceable mandates could increase WES's oil and natural gas customers' operating and compliance costs as well as reduce the rate of production of oil or natural gas from operators with whom WES has a business relationship, which could have a material adverse effect on WES's results of operations and cash flows.

In addition, the legal requirements related to the disposal of wastewater in underground injection wells are subject to change based on concerns of the public or governmental authorities regarding such disposal activities. One such concern relates to recent seismic events near injection wells used for the disposal of produced water resulting from oil and natural gas activities. In response to concerns regarding induced seismicity, regulators in some states have imposed, or are considering imposing, additional requirements in the permitting of produced water disposal wells or otherwise to assess any relationship between seismicity and the use of such wells. For example, Oklahoma issued new rules for wastewater disposal wells in 2014 that imposed certain permitting and operating restrictions and reporting requirements on disposal wells in proximity to faults and also, from time to time, is developing and implementing plans directing certain wells where seismic incidents have occurred to restrict or suspend disposal well operations. The Texas Railroad Commission adopted similar permitting, operating, and reporting rules for disposal wells in 2014. In addition, ongoing class action lawsuits, to which WES is not currently a party, allege that disposal well operations have caused damage to neighboring properties or otherwise violated state and federal rules regulating waste disposal.

These developments could result in additional regulation and restrictions on the use of injection wells by WES, which could have a material adverse effect on WES's capital expenditures and operating costs, financial condition, and results of operations.

Table of Contents

WES's construction of new assets may not result in revenue increases and will be subject to regulatory, environmental, political, legal and economic risks, which could adversely affect its results of operations and financial condition.

One of the ways WES intends to grow its business is through the construction of new midstream assets. The construction of additions or modifications to WES's existing systems and the construction of new midstream assets involve numerous regulatory, environmental, political and legal uncertainties that are beyond WES's control. These uncertainties could also affect downstream assets which WES does not own or control, but which are critical to certain of WES's growth projects. Delays in the completion of new downstream assets, or the unavailability of existing downstream assets, due to environmental, regulatory or political considerations, could have an adverse impact on the completion or utilization of WES's growth projects. In addition, construction activities could be subject to state, county and local ordinances that restrict the time, place or manner in which those activities may be conducted. Construction projects may also require the expenditure of significant amounts of capital, and financing may not be available on economically acceptable terms or at all. If WES undertakes these projects, they may not be completed on schedule, at the budgeted cost, or at all. In addition, WES's revenues may not increase immediately upon the expenditure of funds on a particular project. For instance, if WES expands a pipeline, the construction may occur over an extended period of time, yet WES will not receive any material increases in revenues until the project is completed. Moreover, WES could construct facilities to capture anticipated future growth in production in a region in which such growth does not materialize. Since WES is not engaged in the exploration for and development of natural gas and oil reserves, WES often does not have access to estimates of potential reserves in an area prior to constructing facilities in that area. To the extent WES relies on estimates of future production in its decision to construct additions to its systems, such estimates may prove to be inaccurate as a result of the numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of future production. As a result, new facilities may not be able to attract enough throughput to achieve WES's expected investment return, which could adversely affect its results of operations and financial condition. In addition, the construction of additions to WES's existing assets may require it to obtain new rights-of-way. WES may be unable to obtain such rights-of-way and may, therefore, be unable to connect new natural gas volumes to its systems or capitalize on other attractive expansion opportunities. Additionally, it may become more expensive for WES to obtain new rights-of-way or to renew existing rights-of-way. If the cost of renewing existing or obtaining new rights-of-way increases, WES's cash flows could be adversely affected.

WES has partial ownership interests in several joint venture legal entities which WES does not operate or control. As a result, among other things, WES may be unable to control the amount of cash it will receive or retain from the operation of these entities, and WES could be required to contribute significant cash to fund its share of their operations, which could adversely affect WES's ability to distribute cash to its partners, including us.

WES's inability, or limited ability, to control the operations and/or management of joint venture legal entities in which it has a partial ownership interest may result in WES receiving or retaining less than the amount of cash it expects. WES also may be unable, or limited in its ability, to cause any such entity to effect significant transactions such as large expenditures or contractual commitments, the construction or acquisition of assets, or the borrowing of money. In addition, for the Fort Union, White Cliffs, Rendezvous and Mont Belvieu JV entities in which WES has a minority ownership interest, WES is unable to control ongoing operational decisions, including the incurrence of capital expenditures or additional indebtedness that WES may be required to fund. Further, Fort Union, White Cliffs, Rendezvous or the Mont Belvieu JV may establish reserves for working capital, capital projects, environmental matters and legal proceedings, that would similarly reduce the amount of cash available for distribution. Any of the above could significantly and adversely impact WES's ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us. Further, in connection with the acquisition of its membership interest in Chipeta, WES became party to the Chipeta LLC agreement. Among other things, the Chipeta LLC agreement provides that to the extent available, Chipeta will distribute available cash, as defined in the Chipeta LLC agreement, to its members quarterly in accordance with those members' membership interests. Accordingly, WES is required to distribute a portion of Chipeta's cash balances, which are included in the cash balances in its consolidated balance sheets, to the other Chipeta member.

Table of Contents

WES does not own all of the land on which its pipelines and facilities are located, which could result in disruptions to WES's operations.

WES does not own all of the land on which its pipelines and facilities have been constructed, and WES is, therefore, subject to the possibility of more onerous terms and/or increased costs to retain necessary land use if WES does not have valid rights-of-way or if such rights-of-way lapse or terminate. WES obtains the rights to construct and operate its pipelines on land owned by third parties and governmental agencies for a specific period of time. WES's loss of these rights, through its inability to renew right-of-way contracts or otherwise, could have a material adverse effect on WES's business, results of operations, financial position and ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us.

WES's business involves many hazards and operational risks, some of which may not be fully covered by insurance. If a significant accident or event occurs for which WES is not fully insured, its operations and financial results could be adversely affected.

WES's operations are subject to all of the risks and hazards inherent in gathering, processing, compressing, treating and transporting natural gas, crude oil and NGLs, including the following:

- damage to pipelines and plants, related equipment and surrounding properties caused by hurricanes, tornadoes, floods, fires and other natural disasters and acts of terrorism;

- inadvertent damage from construction, farm and utility equipment;

- leaks or losses of hydrocarbons as a result of the malfunction of equipment or facilities;

- fires and explosions (for example, see Items Affecting the Comparability of Financial Results, under Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K for a discussion of the incident at WES's DBM complex); and

- other hazards that could also result in personal injury, loss of life, pollution, natural resource damages and/or suspension of operations.

These risks could result in substantial losses due to personal injury and/or loss of life, severe damage to and destruction of property and equipment and pollution or other environmental or natural resource damage. These risks may also result in curtailment or suspension of WES's operations. A natural disaster or other hazard affecting the areas in which WES operates could have a material adverse effect on its operations. WES is not fully insured against all risks inherent in its business. In addition, although WES is insured for environmental pollution resulting from environmental accidents that occur on a sudden and accidental basis, WES may not be insured against all environmental accidents that might occur, some of which may result in toxic tort claims. If a significant accident or event occurs for which WES is not fully insured, it could adversely affect WES's operations and financial condition. Furthermore, WES may not be able to maintain or obtain insurance of the type and amount it desires at reasonable rates. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain of WES's insurance policies may substantially increase. In some instances, certain insurance could become unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. Additionally, WES may be unable to recover from prior owners of its assets, pursuant to certain indemnification rights, for potential environmental liabilities.

Table of Contents

WES is exposed to the credit risk of third-party customers, and any material non-payment or non-performance by these parties, including with respect to WES's gathering, processing and transportation agreements, could reduce WES's ability to make distributions to its partners, including us.

On some of its systems, WES relies on third-party customers for substantially all of its revenues related to those assets. The loss of all or even a portion of the contracted volumes of these customers, as a result of competition, creditworthiness, inability to negotiate extensions, replacements of contracts or otherwise, could reduce WES's ability to make cash distributions to its partners, including us. Further, to the extent any of WES's third-party customers is in financial distress or enters bankruptcy proceedings, the related customer contracts may be renegotiated at lower rates or rejected altogether.

The loss of, or difficulty in attracting and retaining, experienced personnel could reduce WES's competitiveness and prospects for future success.

The successful execution of WES's growth strategy and other activities integral to its operations depends, in part, on WES's ability to attract and retain experienced engineering, operating, commercial and other professionals. Competition for such professionals has historically been intense. If WES cannot retain its technical personnel or attract additional experienced technical personnel, WES's ability to compete could be adversely impacted.

WES is required to deduct estimated future maintenance capital expenditures from operating surplus, which may result in less cash available for distribution to unitholders than if actual maintenance capital expenditures were deducted.

WES's partnership agreement requires it to deduct estimated, rather than actual, maintenance capital expenditures from operating surplus. The amount of estimated maintenance capital expenditures deducted from operating surplus will be subject to review and change by WES's Special Committee of its Board of Directors at least once a year. In years when WES's estimated maintenance capital expenditures are higher than actual maintenance capital expenditures, the amount of cash available for distribution to us will be lower than if actual maintenance capital expenditures were deducted from operating surplus. If WES underestimates the appropriate level of estimated maintenance capital expenditures, it may have less cash available for distribution in future periods when actual capital expenditures begin to exceed its previous estimates. Over time, if WES does not set aside sufficient cash reserves or have sufficient sources of financing available to make the expenditures required to maintain its asset base, WES may be unable to pay distributions at the anticipated level and could be required to reduce its distributions.

Table of Contents

TAX RISKS TO COMMON UNITHOLDERS

Our taxation as a flow-through entity depends on our status as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, as well as our not being subject to a material amount of entity-level taxation by individual states. Likewise, WES's taxation as a flow-through entity depends on its status as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes, as well as WES not being subject to a material amount of entity-level taxation by individual states. If the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") were to treat WES or us as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or if either WES or we become subject to material additional amounts of entity-level taxation for state tax purposes, then our cash available for distribution to our unitholders could be substantially reduced.

The anticipated after-tax economic benefit of an investment in our common units depends largely on each of us and WES being treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Despite the fact that we and WES are organized as limited partnerships under Delaware law, it is possible in certain circumstances for a partnership such as us or WES to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes unless it satisfies a "qualifying income" requirement and is not treated as an investment company. Based upon WES's current operations, we believe that we and WES satisfy the qualifying income requirement, and that we and WES are not treated as investment companies. Failing to meet the qualifying income requirement, being treated as an investment company, a change in our or WES's business activities, or a change in current law could cause us or WES to be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes or otherwise subject us or WES to taxation as an entity. If we were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would pay federal income tax on our taxable income at the corporate tax rate, which is currently a maximum of 35%, and would likely pay state income tax at varying rates. Distributions to our unitholders would generally be taxed again as corporate distributions, and no income, gains, losses, deductions or credits would flow through to our unitholders. Because a tax would be imposed upon us as a corporation, our cash available for distribution to our unitholders would be substantially reduced. Therefore, treatment of us as a corporation would result in a material reduction in the anticipated cash flows and after-tax return to our unitholders, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our common units.

If WES were treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, it would pay federal, and possibly state, income taxes on its taxable income at the corporate tax rates. Distributions to us would generally be taxable again as corporate distributions, and, in general, no income, gains, losses, deductions, or credits would flow through to us. As a result, there would be a material reduction in our anticipated cash flow and the value of our investment in WES, likely causing a substantial reduction in the value of our common units. Additionally, if we or WES becomes subject to federal income tax, we or WES would also be subject to the income tax provisions of many states. At the state level, several states have been evaluating ways to subject partnerships to entity-level taxation through the imposition of state income or franchise taxes, or other forms of taxation. For example, WES is required to pay Texas margin tax on its gross income apportioned to Texas. Imposition of a similar tax on us or WES in other jurisdictions to which WES may expand its operations could substantially reduce the cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

The tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships or an investment in our common units could be subject to potential legislative, judicial or administrative changes and differing interpretations, possibly on a retroactive basis.

The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of publicly traded partnerships, including us, or an investment in our common units may be modified by administrative, legislative or judicial changes or differing interpretations at any time. From time to time, members of Congress propose and consider substantive changes to the existing U.S. federal income tax laws that affect publicly traded partnerships. Although there is no current legislative proposal, a prior legislative proposal would have eliminated the qualifying income exception to the treatment of all publicly traded partnerships as corporations, upon which we and WES rely for our treatment as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Any modification to the U.S. federal income tax laws may be applied retroactively and could make it more difficult or impossible to meet the exception for certain publicly traded partnerships to be treated as partnerships for U.S. federal

income tax purposes. We are unable to predict whether any of these changes or other proposals will ultimately be enacted. Any similar or future legislative changes could negatively impact the value of an investment in our common units.

In addition, on January 24, 2017, final regulations regarding which activities give rise to qualifying income within the meaning of Section 7704 of the Code (the “Final Regulations”) were published in the Federal Register. We do not believe the Final Regulations affect our ability or WES’s ability to be treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

If the IRS were to contest the federal income tax positions we or WES take, it may adversely impact the market for our common units or WES's common units, and the costs of any such contest would reduce cash available for distribution to our unitholders.

The IRS may adopt positions that differ from the positions we or WES take. It may be necessary to resort to administrative or court proceedings to sustain some or all of the positions we or WES take. A court may not agree with some or all of the positions we or WES take. Any contest with the IRS may materially and adversely impact the market for our common units and the price at which they trade. Moreover, the costs of any contest with the IRS will result in a reduction in cash available for distribution to our unitholders and thus will be borne indirectly by our unitholders.

If the IRS makes audit adjustments to our income tax returns or WES's income tax returns for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, it (and some states) may assess and collect any taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) resulting from such audit adjustments directly from us or WES, respectively, in which case our cash available for distribution to our unitholders might be substantially reduced.

Pursuant to the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, for tax years beginning after December 31, 2017, if the IRS makes audit adjustments to our or WES's income tax returns, it (and some states) may assess and collect any taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) resulting from such audit adjustment directly from us or WES, respectively. To the extent possible under the new rules, our general partner or WES's general partner may elect to either pay the taxes (including any applicable penalties and interest) directly to the IRS or, if we are eligible, issue a revised Schedule K-1 to each unitholder with respect to an audited and adjusted return. Although our general partner or WES's general partner may elect to have our unitholders or WES's unitholders take such audit adjustment into account in accordance with their respective interests in us or WES, respectively, during the tax year under audit, there can be no assurance that such election will be practical, permissible or effective in all circumstances. As a result, our or WES's current unitholders may bear some or all of the tax liability resulting from such audit adjustment, even if such unitholders did not own units in us or WES during the tax year under audit. If, as a result of any such audit adjustment, we or WES are required to make payments of taxes, penalties and interest, our cash available for distribution to our unitholders might be substantially reduced. These rules are not applicable for tax years beginning on or prior to December 31, 2017.

Our unitholders are required to pay taxes on their share of our income even if they do not receive any cash distributions from us.

Our unitholders are required to pay any U.S. federal income taxes and, in some cases, state and local income taxes on their share of our taxable income whether or not they receive cash distributions from us. For example, if we sell assets and use the proceeds to repay existing debt or fund capital expenditures, unitholders may be allocated taxable income and gain resulting from the sale, and our cash available for distribution would not increase. Similarly, taking advantage of opportunities to reduce our existing debt, such as debt exchanges, debt repurchases, or modifications of our existing debt could result in "cancellation of indebtedness income" being allocated to our unitholders as taxable income without any increase in our cash available for distribution. Our unitholders may not receive cash distributions from us equal to their share of our taxable income or even equal to the actual tax liability that results from that income.

Table of Contents

Tax gain or loss on the disposition of our common units could be more or less than expected.

If a unitholder sells common units, the unitholder will recognize a gain or loss equal to the difference between the amount realized and that unitholder's tax basis in those common units. Because distributions in excess of a unitholder's allocable share of our net taxable income result in a decrease in that unitholder's tax basis in its common units, the amount, if any, of such prior excess distributions with respect to the units sold will, in effect, become taxable income to that unitholder, if that unitholder sells such units at a price greater than that unitholder's tax basis in those units, even if the price received is less than their original cost. In addition, because the amount realized includes a unitholder's share of our nonrecourse liabilities, if they sell their units, unitholders may incur a tax liability in excess of the amount of cash they receive from the sale.

A substantial portion of the amount realized from a unitholder's sale of units, whether or not representing gain, may be taxed as ordinary income due to potential recapture of items, including depreciation recapture. Thus, a unitholder may recognize both ordinary income and capital loss from the sale of units if the amount realized on the sale is less than the unitholder's adjusted basis in the units. Net capital loss may only offset capital gains and, in the case of individuals, up to \$3,000 of ordinary income per year. In the taxable period in which a unitholder sells units, the unitholder may recognize ordinary income from our allocations of income and gain prior to the sale and from recapture items, which generally cannot be offset by any capital loss recognized upon the sale of units.

Tax-exempt entities and non-U.S. persons face unique tax issues from owning common units that may result in adverse tax consequences to them.

Investments in common units by tax-exempt entities, such as employee benefit plans, individual retirement accounts (or "IRAs"), and non-U.S. persons raise issues unique to them. For example, virtually all of our income allocated to organizations that are exempt from federal income tax, including IRAs and other retirement plans, will be unrelated business taxable income and will be taxable to them. Allocations and/or distributions to non-U.S. persons are subject to withholding taxes imposed at the highest effective tax rate applicable to such non-U.S. persons, and each non-U.S. person will be required to file U.S. federal tax returns and pay tax on its share of our taxable income. Any tax-exempt entity or a non-U.S. person should consult its tax advisor before investing in our common units.

We treat each purchaser of our common units as having the same tax benefits without regard to the common units actually purchased. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could adversely affect the value of the common units.

Because we cannot match transferors and transferees of common units, we have adopted depreciation and amortization positions that may not conform to all aspects of existing Treasury Regulations. A successful IRS challenge to those positions could adversely affect the amount of tax benefits available to our unitholders. It also could affect the timing of these tax benefits or the amount of gain from any sale of common units and could negatively impact the value of our common units or result in audit adjustments to a unitholder's tax returns.

We generally prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our common units each month based upon the ownership of our common units on the first day of each month, instead of on the basis of the date a particular common unit is transferred. The IRS may challenge this treatment, which could change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

We and WES generally prorate our items of income, gain, loss and deduction between transferors and transferees of our common units each month based upon the ownership of our common units on the first day of each month (the "Allocation Date"), instead of on the basis of the date a particular common unit is transferred. Similarly, we and WES generally allocate certain deductions for depreciation of capital gain or loss realized on a sale or other disposition of our assets and, in the discretion of the general partner, any other extraordinary item of income, gain, loss or deduction

based upon ownership on the Allocation Date. Treasury Regulations allow a similar monthly simplifying convention, but such regulations do not specifically authorize all aspects of our proration method. If the IRS were to challenge our proration method, we may be required to change the allocation of items of income, gain, loss and deduction among our unitholders.

Table of Contents

A unitholder whose common units are the subject of a securities loan (e.g., a loan to a “short seller” to cover a short sale of common units) may be considered to have disposed of those common units. If so, the unitholder would no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and may recognize gain or loss from the disposition.

Because there are no specific rules governing the federal income tax consequences of loaning a partnership interest, a unitholder whose common units are the subject of a securities loan may be considered to have disposed of the loaned units. In that case, the unitholder may no longer be treated for tax purposes as a partner with respect to those common units during the period of the loan and the unitholder may recognize gain or loss from such disposition. Moreover, during the period of the loan, any of our income, gain, loss or deduction with respect to those common units may not be reportable by the unitholder and any cash distributions received by the unitholder as to those common units could be fully taxable as ordinary income. Unitholders desiring to assure their status as partners and avoid the risk of gain recognition from a securities loan are urged to modify any applicable brokerage account agreements to prohibit their brokers from borrowing their common units.

We and WES have adopted certain valuation methodologies in determining a unitholder’s allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction. The IRS may challenge these methodologies or the resulting allocations, which could adversely affect the value of WES’s common units and our common units.

In determining the items of income, gain, loss and deduction allocable to our and WES’s unitholders, we and WES must routinely determine the fair market value of our respective assets. Although we or WES may, from time to time, consult with professional appraisers regarding valuation matters, we and WES make many fair market value estimates using a methodology based on the market value of our respective common units as a means to measure the fair market value of our respective assets. The IRS may challenge these valuation methods and the resulting allocations of income, gain, loss and deduction.

A successful IRS challenge to these methods or allocations could adversely affect the timing or amount of taxable income or loss allocated to our or WES’s unitholders. It also could affect the amount of gain on the sale of common units by our unitholders or WES’s unitholders and could negatively impact the value of our common units or WES’s common units, or result in audit adjustments to our respective unitholders’ tax returns without the benefit of additional deductions.

The sale or exchange of 50% or more of our capital and profits interests during any twelve-month period will result in the termination of our partnership for federal income tax purposes.

We will be considered to have terminated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes if there is a sale or exchange of 50% or more of the total interests in our capital and profits within a twelve-month period. Anadarko owns a significant portion of the total interest in our capital and profits. Therefore, a transfer by Anadarko of all or a portion of its interests in us could, in conjunction with the trading of common units held by the public, result in a termination of our partnership for federal income tax purposes, which could also result in a termination of WES for federal income tax purposes. For purposes of determining whether the 50% threshold has been met, multiple sales of the same interest will be counted only once.

Our termination would, among other things, result in the closing of our taxable year for all unitholders, which would result in us filing two tax returns for one calendar year and could result in a significant deferral of depreciation deductions allowable in computing our taxable income. In the case of a unitholder reporting on a taxable year other than the calendar year, the closing of our taxable year may also result in more than twelve months of our taxable income or loss being includable in taxable income for the unitholder’s taxable year that includes our termination. Our termination would not affect our classification as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, but it would result in our being treated as a new partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes following the termination. If we were treated as a new partnership, we would be required to make new tax elections and could be subject to penalties if we

were unable to determine that a termination occurred. The IRS has announced a relief procedure whereby if a publicly traded partnership that has technically terminated requests and the IRS grants special relief, among other things, the partnership may be permitted to provide only a single Schedule K-1 to unitholders for the two short tax periods included in the year in which the termination occurs.

Table of Contents

Our unitholders are subject to state and local taxes and return filing requirements in states where they do not live as a result of investing in our common units.

In addition to U.S. federal income taxes, our unitholders are subject to other taxes, including foreign, state and local taxes, unincorporated business taxes and estate, inheritance or intangible taxes that are imposed by the various jurisdictions in which we or WES conduct business or own property now or in the future, even if they do not live in any of those jurisdictions. Our unitholders will likely be required to file foreign, federal, state and local income tax returns and pay state and local income taxes in some or all of these various jurisdictions. Further, our unitholders may be subject to penalties for failure to comply with those requirements. As we or WES make acquisitions or expand our business, we or WES may own assets or conduct business in additional states or foreign jurisdictions that impose a personal income tax. It is the responsibility of each unitholder to file all U.S. federal, foreign, state and local tax returns.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

WGR Operating, LP, one of WES's subsidiaries, is currently in negotiations with the EPA with respect to alleged non-compliance with the leak detection and repair requirements of the federal Clean Air Act at its Granger, Wyoming facility. Although WES's management cannot predict the outcome of settlement discussions, management believes that it is reasonably likely a resolution of this matter will result in a fine or penalty in excess of \$100,000.

We are not engaged in any material litigation. Except as discussed above, WES is not a party to any legal, regulatory or administrative proceedings other than proceedings arising in the ordinary course of its business. WES's management believes that there are no such proceedings for which a final disposition could have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, cash flows or financial condition, or for which disclosure is otherwise required by Item 103 of Regulation S-K.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

MARKET INFORMATION

Our common units are listed on the NYSE under the symbol "WGP." The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices of the common units and the cash distribution per unit declared for the periods presented.

| | Fourth Quarter | Third Quarter | Second Quarter | First Quarter |
|------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 2016 | | | | |
| High Price | \$ 46.38 | \$ 42.58 | \$ 45.20 | \$ 37.17 |
| Low Price | 40.01 | 35.52 | 31.67 | 19.21 |
| Distribution per common unit | 0.46250 | 0.44750 | 0.43375 | 0.42375 |
| 2015 | | | | |
| High Price | \$ 46.58 | \$ 61.45 | \$ 66.24 | \$ 64.87 |
| Low Price | 29.57 | 38.16 | 57.26 | 50.52 |
| Distribution per common unit | 0.40375 | 0.38125 | 0.36375 | 0.34250 |

As of February 21, 2017, there were three unitholders of record of our common units. This number does not include unitholders whose units are held in trust by other entities. The actual number of unitholders is greater than the number of holders of record.

OTHER SECURITIES MATTERS

Securities authorized for issuance under equity compensation plans. In connection with the closing of our IPO, our general partner adopted the WGP LTIP, which permits the issuance of up to 3,000,000 units, of which 2,950,088 units remained available for future issuance as of December 31, 2016. Phantom unit grants under the WGP LTIP have been made to each of the independent directors of WGP GP. Read the information under Part III, Item 12 of this Form 10-K, which is incorporated by reference into this Item 5.

SELECTED INFORMATION FROM OUR PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

Set forth below is a summary of the significant provisions of our partnership agreement that relate to cash distributions.

Available cash. Our partnership agreement requires us to distribute all of our available cash (as defined in our partnership agreement) to unitholders of record on the applicable record date within 55 days of the end of each quarter. Available cash consists of cash on hand at the end of the quarter plus (if our general partner so determines) all or a portion of cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter resulting from working capital borrowings made subsequent to the end of such quarter, less the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner to provide for the proper conduct of our business (including to satisfy general, administrative and other expenses and any debt service requirements); to permit WES GP, our wholly owned subsidiary, to make capital contributions to WES upon the issuance of additional partnership securities by WES; to comply with applicable laws, any of our future debt instruments or other agreements; or to provide funds for distributions to our unitholders for any one or more of the next four quarters. Working capital borrowings generally include borrowings made under a credit facility or similar financing arrangement. Working capital borrowings may only be those that, at the time of such borrowings, were intended to be repaid within 12 months. In all cases, working capital borrowings are used solely for

working capital purposes or to fund distributions to unitholders.

General partner interest. Our general partner owns a non-economic general partner interest in us, which does not entitle it to receive cash distributions. Our general partner may own our common units or other equity securities and would be entitled to receive cash distributions on any such interests.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Selected Financial and Operating Data

The following Summary Financial Information table shows our selected financial and operating data, which are derived from our consolidated financial statements for the periods and as of the dates indicated. Our consolidated financial statements include the consolidated financial results of WES due to our 100% ownership interest in WES GP and WES GP's control of WES. Our only cash-generating assets consist of our partnership interests in WES, and we currently have no independent operations.

The term "WES assets" refers to the assets indirectly owned and interests accounted for under the equity method (see Note 9—Equity Investments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K) by us through our partnership interests in WES as of December 31, 2016. Because we own and control WES GP and WGP GP is owned and controlled by Anadarko, each of WES's acquisitions of WES assets from Anadarko has been considered a transfer of net assets between entities under common control. As such, WES assets acquired from Anadarko were initially recorded at Anadarko's historic carrying value, which did not correlate to the total acquisition price paid by WES (see Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). Further, after an acquisition of WES assets from Anadarko, we, by virtue of our consolidation of WES, may be required to recast our financial statements to include the activities of such WES assets from the date of common control. For those periods requiring recast, the consolidated financial statements for periods prior to the acquisition of WES assets from Anadarko have been prepared from Anadarko's historical cost-basis accounts and may not necessarily be indicative of the actual results of operations that would have occurred if WES had owned the WES assets during the periods reported. For ease of reference, we refer to the historical financial results of the WES assets prior to the acquisitions from Anadarko as being "our" historical financial results.

Acquisitions. The following table presents the acquisitions completed by WES since its inception through December 31, 2016. Our consolidated financial statements include the combined financial results and operations for: (i) affiliate acquisitions for all periods presented and (ii) third-party acquisitions since the acquisition date. See Note 14—Subsequent Events in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

| | Acquisition Date | Percentage Acquired | Affiliate or Third-party Acquisition |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Initial assets ⁽¹⁾ | 05/14/2008 | 100 | % Anadarko |
| Powder River assets ⁽²⁾ | 12/19/2008 | Various ⁽²⁾ | Anadarko |
| Chipeta | 07/01/2009 | 51 | % Anadarko |
| Granger | 01/29/2010 | 100 | % Anadarko |
| Wattenberg | 08/02/2010 | 100 | % Anadarko |
| White Cliffs ⁽³⁾ | 09/28/2010 | 10 | % Various ⁽³⁾ |
| Platte Valley | 02/28/2011 | 100 | % Third party |
| Bison | 07/08/2011 | 100 | % Anadarko |
| MGR | 01/13/2012 | 100 | % Anadarko |
| Chipeta ⁽⁴⁾ | 08/01/2012 | 24 | % Anadarko |
| Non-Operated Marcellus Interest | 03/01/2013 | 33.75 | % Anadarko |
| Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest | 03/08/2013 | 33.75 | % Third party |
| Mont Belvieu JV | 06/05/2013 | 25 | % Third party |
| OTTCO | 09/03/2013 | 100 | % Third party |
| TEFR Interests ⁽⁵⁾ | 03/03/2014 | Various ⁽⁵⁾ | Anadarko |
| DBM | 11/25/2014 | 100 | % Third party |
| DBJV | 03/02/2015 | 100 | % Anadarko |
| Springfield | 03/14/2016 | 100 | % Anadarko |

⁽¹⁾ Concurrently with the closing of WES's IPO, Anadarko contributed the initial assets to WES.

⁽²⁾

WES acquired the Powder River assets, which included (i) the Hilight system, (ii) a 50% interest in the Newcastle system and (iii) a 14.81% membership interest in Fort Union.

- (3) WES acquired a 10% interest in White Cliffs, which consisted of a 9.6% third-party interest and a 0.4% interest from Anadarko.
- (4) WES acquired Anadarko's then-remaining 24% membership interest in Chipeta, receiving distributions related to the additional interest effective July 1, 2012.
- (5) WES acquired a 20% interest in each of TEG and TEP and a 33.33% interest in FRP.

Table of Contents

Divestitures. In October 2016, the Hugoton system, located in Southwest Kansas and Oklahoma, was sold to a third party. In July 2015, the Dew and Pinnacle systems in East Texas were sold to a third party. See Note 14—Subsequent Events in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The information in the following table should be read together with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, which are included under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K, and with the information under the captions How WES Evaluates Its Operations, Items Affecting the Comparability of Financial Results, Results of Operations, and Key Performance Metrics under Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K:

| | Summary Financial Information | | | | |
|--|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| thousands except per-unit data and throughput | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Statement of Operations Data (for the year ended): | | | | | |
| Total revenues | \$1,804,270 | \$1,752,072 | \$1,533,377 | \$1,200,060 | \$998,031 |
| Operating income (loss) | 704,535 | 154,182 | 551,481 | 321,907 | 227,711 |
| Net income (loss) | 596,980 | 11,098 | 453,489 | 284,679 | 141,821 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 251,208 | (154,409) | 165,468 | 122,173 | 59,181 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP | 345,772 | 165,507 | 288,021 | 162,506 | 82,640 |
| Net income (loss) per common unit (basic and diluted) ⁽¹⁾ | 1.53 | 0.39 | 1.02 | 0.71 | 0.01 |
| Distributions per unit ⁽²⁾ | 1.76750 | 1.49125 | 1.12500 | 0.82125 | 0.03587 |
| Balance Sheet Data (at year end): | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$7,736,097 | \$7,303,344 | \$7,550,494 | \$5,341,241 | \$4,473,726 |
| Total long-term liabilities | 3,309,944 | 3,147,681 | 2,699,244 | 1,659,229 | 1,373,766 |
| Total equity and partners' capital | 4,110,766 | 3,920,098 | 4,567,946 | 3,434,669 | 2,865,295 |
| Cash Flow Data (for the year ended): | | | | | |
| Net cash flows provided by (used in): | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$913,076 | \$782,809 | \$690,662 | \$597,913 | \$348,884 |
| Investing activities | (1,105,534) | (500,277) | (2,740,175) | (1,858,912) | (1,633,408) |
| Financing activities | 451,836 | (250,051) | 2,003,605 | 951,528 | 1,480,520 |
| Capital expenditures | (473,858) | (637,503) | (804,822) | (851,771) | (913,834) |
| Throughput (MMcf/d except throughput measured in barrels): | | | | | |
| Total throughput for natural gas assets | 4,064 | 4,300 | 3,984 | 3,611 | 3,211 |
| Throughput attributable to noncontrolling interests for natural gas assets | 124 | 142 | 165 | 168 | 228 |
| Total throughput attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets | 3,940 | 4,158 | 3,819 | 3,443 | 2,983 |
| Throughput for crude/NGL assets (MBbls/d) | 184 | 186 | 154 | 62 | 44 |

Includes financial results after our IPO on December 12, 2012, excluding pre-acquisition net (income) loss attributable to Anadarko as described in Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 4—Equity and

⁽¹⁾ Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. Net income (loss) per common unit (basic and diluted) for the 20-day period beginning on the date our IPO closed through December 31, 2012, was calculated using the number of common units outstanding after the IPO.

On January 21, 2013, the Board of Directors declared a prorated quarterly distribution of \$0.03587 per unit for the fourth quarter of 2012. The distribution was the first declared by WGP and corresponded to a quarterly distribution of \$0.165 per unit, or \$0.66 per unit on an annualized basis. The initial distribution was prorated for the 20-day period from the date of the closing of WGP's IPO on December 12, 2012, through the end of the quarter.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion analyzes our financial condition and results of operations and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, in which WES is fully consolidated, which are included under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K, and the information set forth in Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

The term "WES assets" refers to the assets indirectly owned and interests accounted for under the equity method (see Note 9—Equity Investments in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K) by us through our partnership interests in WES as of December 31, 2016. Because Anadarko controls WES through its ownership and control of us, and because we own the entire interest in WES GP, each of WES's acquisitions of WES assets from Anadarko has been considered a transfer of net assets between entities under common control. As such, WES assets acquired from Anadarko were initially recorded at Anadarko's historic carrying value, which did not correlate to the total acquisition price paid by WES (see Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). Further, after an acquisition of WES assets from Anadarko, we (by virtue of our consolidation of WES) and WES may be required to recast our financial statements to include the activities of such WES assets from the date of common control. For those periods requiring recast, the consolidated financial statements for periods prior to the acquisition of WES assets from Anadarko have been prepared from Anadarko's historical cost-basis accounts and may not necessarily be indicative of the actual results of operations that would have occurred if WES had owned the WES assets during the periods reported. For ease of reference, we refer to the historical financial results of the WES assets prior to the acquisitions from Anadarko as being "our" historical financial results.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

We were formed by Anadarko in September 2012 by converting WGR Holdings, LLC into an MLP and changing its name to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP. We closed our IPO in December 2012 and own WES GP and a significant limited partner interest in WES, a growth-oriented Delaware MLP formed by Anadarko to acquire, own, develop and operate midstream energy assets. Our consolidated financial statements include the consolidated financial results of WES due to our 100% ownership interest in WES GP and WES GP's control of WES. Our only cash-generating assets consist of our partnership interests in WES, and we currently have no independent operations.

WES currently owns or has investments in assets located in the Rocky Mountains (Colorado, Utah and Wyoming), North-central Pennsylvania and Texas. WES is engaged in the business of gathering, compressing, treating, processing and transporting of natural gas, and gathering, stabilizing and transporting condensate, NGLs and crude oil. WES is also currently constructing two produced-water disposal systems in West Texas, which are expected to be placed in service during the second quarter of 2017. WES provides these midstream services for Anadarko, as well as for third-party producers and customers. As of December 31, 2016, WES's assets and investments consisted of the following:

| | Owned and Operated | Operated Interests | Non-Operated Interests | Equity Interests |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Gathering systems | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Treating facilities | 12 | 12 | — | 3 |
| Natural gas processing plants/trains | 20 | 5 | — | 2 |
| NGL pipelines | 2 | — | — | 3 |
| Natural gas pipelines | 5 | — | — | — |
| Oil pipelines | — | 1 | — | 1 |

Table of Contents

Significant financial and operational events during the year ended December 31, 2016, included the following:

We raised our distribution to \$0.46250 per unit for the fourth quarter of 2016, representing a 3% increase over the distribution for the third quarter of 2016 and a 15% increase over the distribution for the fourth quarter of 2015.

WES completed the acquisition of Springfield from Anadarko for cash and WES common unit consideration totaling \$750.0 million. See Acquisitions and Divestitures under Part I, Items 1 and 2 of this Form 10-K for additional information.

WES issued 21,922,831 Series A Preferred units to private investors, generating net proceeds of \$686.9 million, a portion of which was used to fund the acquisition of Springfield. See Equity Offerings under Part I, Items 1 and 2 of this Form 10-K for additional information.

WES completed the offering of \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of 2026 Notes in July 2016 and an offering of an additional \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 2044 Notes in October 2016. Net proceeds were used to repay amounts then outstanding under the WES RCF and for general partnership purposes, including capital expenditures. See Liquidity and Capital Resources within this Item 7 for additional information.

WES commenced operation of Trains IV and V at the DBM complex in May 2016 and October 2016, respectively. Both are 200 MMcf/d processing plants. Further, after sustaining damage during the December 3, 2015, incident at the DBM complex, Train II (with capacity of 100 MMcf/d) returned to service in December 2016 and Train III (with capacity of 200 MMcf/d) returned to service in May 2016.

WES received \$33.8 million in cash proceeds from insurers related to the incident at the DBM complex, including \$16.3 million for business interruption insurance claims and \$17.5 million for property insurance claims. See Items Affecting the Comparability of Financial Results within this Item 7 for additional information.

WES raised its distribution to \$0.860 per unit for the fourth quarter of 2016, representing a 2% increase over the distribution for the third quarter of 2016 and an 8% increase over the distribution for the fourth quarter of 2015.

Throughput attributable to WES for natural gas assets totaled 3,940 MMcf/d for the year ended December 31, 2016, representing a 5% decrease compared to the year ended December 31, 2015.

Throughput for crude/NGL assets totaled 184 MBbls/d for the year ended December 31, 2016, representing a 1% decrease compared to the year ended December 31, 2015.

WES's operating income (loss) was \$708.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, representing a 350% increase compared to the year ended December 31, 2015.

Adjusted gross margin attributable to WES for natural gas assets (as defined under the caption How WES Evaluates Its Operations within this Item 7) averaged \$0.83 per Mcf for the year ended December 31, 2016, representing a 12% increase compared to the year ended December 31, 2015.

Adjusted gross margin for crude/NGL assets (as defined under the caption How WES Evaluates Its Operations within this Item 7) averaged \$2.11 per Bbl for the year ended December 31, 2016, representing a 9% increase compared to the year ended December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

WES'S OPERATIONS

WES's results are driven primarily by the volumes of natural gas, NGLs and crude oil WES services through its systems. For the year ended December 31, 2016, 68% of total revenues and 47% of throughput (excluding equity investment throughput) were attributable to transactions with Anadarko. WES also recognized capital contributions from Anadarko of \$45.8 million related to the above-market component of its commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko (see Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). WES receives significant dedications from its largest customer, Anadarko. With respect to WES's Wattenberg, Haley, Helper and Clawson gathering systems, Anadarko has made dedications to WES that will continue to expand as long as additional wells are connected to these gathering systems.

In WES's operations, it contracts with producers and customers to provide midstream services focused on natural gas, NGLs and crude oil. WES gathers natural gas from individual wells located near its gathering systems and connects wells to gathering lines through which natural gas may be compressed and delivered to a processing plant, treating facility or downstream pipeline, and ultimately to end users. WES treats a significant portion of the natural gas that it gathers so that it will satisfy required specifications for pipeline transportation. WES also gathers crude oil from individual wells located near its gathering systems, and in some cases, treats or stabilizes the crude oil to satisfy required specifications for pipeline transportation.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, 94% of WES's Adjusted gross margin was attributable to fee-based contracts, under which a fixed fee is received based on the volume or thermal content of the natural gas and on the volume of NGLs and oil WES gathers, processes, treats or transports. This type of contract provides WES with a relatively stable revenue stream that is not subject to direct commodity price risk, except to the extent that (i) WES retains and sells drip condensate that is recovered during the gathering of natural gas from the wellhead or (ii) actual recoveries differ from contractual recoveries under a limited number of processing agreements.

For the year ended December 31, 2016, 6% of WES's Adjusted gross margin was attributable to percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts, pursuant to which WES has commodity price exposure. See How WES Evaluates Its Operations under Part II, Item 7 of this Form 10-K. A majority of the commodity price risk associated with its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts is hedged under commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko, with such agreements set to expire on December 31, 2017. For the year ended December 31, 2016, 99% of WES's Adjusted gross margin was derived from either long-term, fee-based contracts or from percent-of-proceeds or keep-whole agreements that were hedged with commodity price swap agreements. See Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

WES also has indirect exposure to commodity price risk in that the relatively volatile commodity price environment has caused and may continue to cause current or potential customers to delay drilling or shut in production in certain areas, which would reduce the volumes of hydrocarbons available for WES's systems. WES also bears a limited degree of commodity price risk through settlement of imbalances. Read Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk under Part II of this Form 10-K.

As a result of WES's acquisitions from Anadarko and third parties, our results of operations, financial position and cash flows may vary significantly for 2016, 2015 and 2014 as compared to future periods. See the caption Items Affecting the Comparability of Financial Results, set forth below in this Item 7.

Table of Contents

HOW WES EVALUATES ITS OPERATIONS

WES's management relies on certain financial and operational metrics to analyze its performance. These metrics are significant factors in assessing WES's operating results and profitability and include (1) throughput, (2) operating and maintenance expenses, (3) general and administrative expenses, (4) Adjusted gross margin (as defined below), (5) Adjusted EBITDA (as defined below) and (6) Distributable cash flow (as defined below).

Throughput. Throughput is an essential operating variable WES uses in assessing its ability to generate revenues. In order to maintain or increase throughput on WES's gathering and processing systems, WES must connect additional wells to its systems. WES's success in maintaining or increasing throughput is impacted by the successful drilling of new wells by producers that are dedicated to WES's systems, recompletions of existing wells connected to its systems, its ability to secure volumes from new wells drilled on non-dedicated acreage and its ability to attract natural gas, NGL or crude oil volumes currently serviced by its competitors. During the year ended December 31, 2016, WES added 226 receipt points to its systems.

Operating and maintenance expenses. WES monitors operating and maintenance expenses to assess the impact of such costs on the profitability of its assets and to evaluate the overall efficiency of its operations. Operating and maintenance expenses include, among other things, field labor, insurance, repair and maintenance, equipment rentals, contract services, utility costs and services provided to WES or on its behalf. For periods commencing on the date of and subsequent to WES's acquisition of its assets, certain of these expenses are incurred under and governed by WES's services and secondment agreement with Anadarko.

General and administrative expenses. To help ensure the appropriateness of WES's general and administrative expenses and maximize its cash available for distribution, WES monitors such expenses through comparison to prior periods, to the annual budget approved by WES GP's Board of Directors, as well as to general and administrative expenses incurred by similar midstream companies. Pursuant to the WES omnibus agreement, Anadarko and WES GP perform centralized corporate functions for WES. General and administrative expenses for periods prior to WES's acquisition of the WES assets include costs allocated by Anadarko in the form of a management services fee, which approximated the general and administrative costs incurred by Anadarko attributable to the WES assets. For periods subsequent to the acquisition of the WES assets, Anadarko is no longer compensated for corporate services through a management services fee. Instead, allocations and reimbursements of general and administrative expenses are determined by Anadarko in its reasonable discretion, in accordance with WES's partnership agreement and the WES omnibus agreement. Amounts required to be reimbursed to Anadarko under WES's omnibus agreement also include those expenses attributable to its status as a publicly traded partnership, such as the following:

- expenses associated with annual and quarterly reporting;

- tax return and Schedule K-1 preparation and distribution expenses;

- expenses associated with listing on the NYSE; and

- independent auditor fees, legal expenses, investor relations expenses, director fees, and registrar and transfer agent fees.

See further detail in Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP financial measures

Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP. WES defines Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP (“Adjusted gross margin”) as total revenues and other, less cost of product and reimbursements for electricity-related expenses recorded as revenue, plus distributions from equity investments and excluding the noncontrolling interest owner’s proportionate share of revenue and cost of product. WES believes Adjusted gross margin is an important performance measure of the core profitability of its operations, as well as its operating performance as compared to that of other companies in the industry. Cost of product expenses include (i) costs associated with the purchase of natural gas and NGLs pursuant to WES’s percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole processing contracts, (ii) costs associated with the valuation of WES’s gas imbalances, and (iii) costs associated with WES’s obligations under certain contracts to redeliver a volume of natural gas to shippers, which is thermally equivalent to condensate retained by WES and sold to third parties. These expenses are subject to variability, although a majority of WES’s exposure to commodity price risk inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts is mitigated through its commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko. For a discussion of commodity price swap agreements, see Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

To facilitate investor and industry analyst comparisons between WES and its peers, WES also discloses Adjusted gross margin per Mcf attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets and Adjusted gross margin per Bbl for crude/NGL assets. See Key Performance Metrics within this Item 7.

Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP. WES defines Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP (“Adjusted EBITDA”) as net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP, plus distributions from equity investments, non-cash equity-based compensation expense, interest expense, income tax expense, depreciation and amortization, impairments, and other expense (including lower of cost or market inventory adjustments recorded in cost of product), less gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net, income from equity investments, interest income, income tax benefit, and other income. WES believes that the presentation of Adjusted EBITDA provides information useful to investors in assessing its financial condition and results of operations and that Adjusted EBITDA is a widely accepted financial indicator of a company’s ability to incur and service debt, fund capital expenditures and make distributions. Adjusted EBITDA is a supplemental financial measure that WES’s management and external users of WES’s consolidated financial statements, such as industry analysts, investors, commercial banks and rating agencies, use to assess the following, among other measures:

- WES’s operating performance as compared to other publicly traded partnerships in the midstream energy industry, without regard to financing methods, capital structure or historical cost basis;
- the ability of WES’s assets to generate cash flow to make distributions; and
- the viability of acquisitions and capital expenditure projects and the returns on investment of various investment opportunities.

Distributable cash flow. WES defines “Distributable cash flow” as Adjusted EBITDA, plus interest income and the net settlement amounts from the sale and/or purchase of natural gas, condensate and NGLs under WES’s commodity price swap agreements to the extent such amounts are not recognized as Adjusted EBITDA, less net cash paid (or to be paid) for interest expense (including amortization of deferred debt issuance costs originally paid in cash, offset by non-cash capitalized interest), maintenance capital expenditures, Series A Preferred unit distributions and income taxes. WES compares Distributable cash flow to the cash distributions WES expects to pay its unitholders. Using this measure, WES’s management can quickly compute the Coverage ratio of distributable cash flow to planned cash distributions. WES believes Distributable cash flow is useful to investors because this measurement is used by many companies, analysts and others in the industry as a performance measurement tool to evaluate WES’s operating and

financial performance and compare it with the performance of other publicly traded partnerships.

While Distributable cash flow is a measure WES uses to assess its ability to make distributions to its unitholders, it should not be viewed as indicative of the actual amount of cash that WES has available for distributions or that it plans to distribute for a given period. Furthermore, to the extent Distributable cash flow includes realized amounts recorded as capital contributions from Anadarko attributable to activity under WES's commodity price swap agreements, it is not a reflection of WES's ability to generate cash from operations.

Table of Contents

Reconciliation to non-GAAP measures. Adjusted gross margin, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable cash flow are not defined in GAAP. The GAAP measure used by WES that is most directly comparable to Adjusted gross margin is operating income (loss), while net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP and net cash provided by operating activities are the GAAP measures used by WES that are most directly comparable to Adjusted EBITDA. The GAAP measure used by WES that is most directly comparable to Distributable cash flow is net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP. WES's non-GAAP financial measures of Adjusted gross margin, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable cash flow should not be considered as alternatives to the GAAP measures of operating income (loss), net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP, net cash provided by operating activities or any other measure of financial performance presented in accordance with GAAP. Adjusted gross margin, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable cash flow have important limitations as analytical tools because they exclude some, but not all, items that affect operating income (loss), net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP and net cash provided by operating activities. Adjusted gross margin, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable cash flow should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for analysis of WES's results as reported under GAAP. WES's definitions of Adjusted gross margin, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable cash flow may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies in WES's industry, thereby diminishing their utility.

WES's management compensates for the limitations of Adjusted gross margin, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable cash flow as analytical tools by reviewing the comparable GAAP measures, understanding the differences between Adjusted gross margin, Adjusted EBITDA and Distributable cash flow compared to (as applicable) operating income (loss), net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP and net cash provided by operating activities, and incorporating this knowledge into its decision-making processes. WES believes that investors benefit from having access to the same financial measures that its management uses in evaluating its operating results.

The following tables present (a) a reconciliation of the GAAP financial measure of operating income (loss) to the non-GAAP financial measure of Adjusted gross margin, (b) a reconciliation of the GAAP financial measures of net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP and net cash provided by operating activities to the non-GAAP financial measure of Adjusted EBITDA and (c) a reconciliation of the GAAP financial measure of net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP to the non-GAAP financial measure of Distributable cash flow:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Reconciliation of Operating income (loss) to Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | \$708,208 | \$157,330 | \$554,731 |
| Add: | | | |
| Distributions from equity investments | 103,423 | 98,298 | 81,022 |
| Operation and maintenance | 308,010 | 331,972 | 293,710 |
| General and administrative | 45,591 | 41,319 | 38,561 |
| Property and other taxes | 40,145 | 33,288 | 28,889 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 272,933 | 272,611 | 211,809 |
| Impairments | 15,535 | 515,458 | 5,125 |
| Less: | | | |
| Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net | (14,641) |) 57,024 | (9) |
| Proceeds from business interruption insurance claims | 16,270 | — | — |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | 78,717 | 71,251 | 57,836 |
| Reimbursed electricity-related charges recorded as revenues | 59,733 | 54,175 | 39,338 |
| Adjusted gross margin attributable to noncontrolling interest | 16,323 | 16,779 | 20,183 |
| Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | \$1,337,443 | \$1,251,047 | \$1,096,499 |
| Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets | \$1,194,877 | \$1,119,555 | \$993,397 |
| Adjusted gross margin for crude/NGL assets | 142,566 | 131,492 | 103,102 |

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|--------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Reconciliation of Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP to Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | \$591,331 | \$4,106 | \$442,643 |
| Add: | | | |
| Distributions from equity investments | 103,423 | 98,298 | 81,022 |
| Non-cash equity-based compensation expense | 5,591 | 4,402 | 4,095 |
| Interest expense | 114,921 | 113,872 | 76,766 |
| Income tax expense | 8,372 | 45,532 | 39,061 |
| Depreciation and amortization ⁽¹⁾ | 270,311 | 270,004 | 209,240 |
| Impairments | 15,535 | 515,458 | 5,125 |
| Other expense ⁽¹⁾ | 224 | 1,290 | — |
| Less: | | | |
| Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net | (14,641) | 57,024 | (9) |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | 78,717 | 71,251 | 57,836 |
| Interest income – affiliates | 16,900 | 16,900 | 16,900 |
| Other income ^{(1) (2)} | 524 | 219 | 325 |
| Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | \$1,028,208 | \$907,568 | \$782,900 |
| Reconciliation of Net cash provided by operating activities to Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$917,585 | \$785,645 | \$694,495 |
| Interest (income) expense, net | 98,021 | 96,972 | 59,866 |
| Uncontributed cash-based compensation awards | 856 | 214 | 175 |
| Accretion and amortization of long-term obligations, net | 3,789 | (17,698) | (2,736) |
| Current income tax (benefit) expense | 5,817 | 34,186 | 379 |
| Other (income) expense, net ⁽²⁾ | (479) | 619 | (336) |
| Distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings – affiliates | 21,238 | 16,244 | 18,055 |
| Changes in operating working capital of Western Gas Partners, LP: | | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | 48,947 | 4,371 | (1,399) |
| Accounts and imbalance payables and accrued liabilities, net | (58,359) | (1,006) | 34,980 |
| Other | 4,367 | 720 | (3,996) |
| Adjusted EBITDA attributable to noncontrolling interest of Western Gas Partners, LP | (13,574) | (12,699) | (16,583) |
| Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | \$1,028,208 | \$907,568 | \$782,900 |
| Cash flow information of Western Gas Partners, LP | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$917,585 | \$785,645 | \$694,495 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,105,534) | (500,277) | (2,740,175) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 447,841 | (254,389) | 2,011,970 |

Includes WES's 75% share of depreciation and amortization; other expense; and other income attributable to the

⁽¹⁾ Chipeta complex. Other expense also includes \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million of lower of cost or market inventory adjustments at WES's DBM complex for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Excludes income of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, related to a component of a gas processing agreement accounted for as a capital lease.

Table of Contents

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| thousands except Coverage ratio | | | |
| Reconciliation of Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP to | | | |
| Distributable cash flow and calculation of the Coverage ratio | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | \$591,331 | \$4,106 | \$442,643 |
| Add: | | | |
| Distributions from equity investments | 103,423 | 98,298 | 81,022 |
| Non-cash equity-based compensation expense | 5,591 | 4,402 | 4,095 |
| Non-cash settled - interest expense, net ⁽¹⁾ | (7,747) | 14,400 | — |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | 8,372 | 45,532 | 39,061 |
| Depreciation and amortization ⁽²⁾ | 270,311 | 270,004 | 209,240 |
| Impairments | 15,535 | 515,458 | 5,125 |
| Above-market component of swap extensions with Anadarko ⁽³⁾ | 45,820 | 18,449 | — |
| Other expense ⁽²⁾ | 224 | 1,290 | — |
| Less: | | | |
| Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net | (14,641) | 57,024 | (9) |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | 78,717 | 71,251 | 57,836 |
| Cash paid for maintenance capital expenditures ⁽²⁾ | 63,630 | 53,882 | 52,159 |
| Capitalized interest | 5,562 | 8,318 | 9,832 |
| Cash paid for (reimbursement of) income taxes | 838 | (138) | (90) |
| Series A Preferred unit distributions | 45,784 | — | — |
| Other income ^{(2) (4)} | 524 | 219 | 325 |
| Distributable cash flow | \$852,446 | \$781,383 | \$661,133 |
| Distributions declared ⁽⁵⁾ | | | |
| Limited partners of WES – common units | \$437,747 | | |
| General partner of WES | 221,384 | | |
| Total | \$659,131 | | |
| Coverage ratio | 1.29 | x | |

(1) Includes amounts related to the Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko. See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(2) Includes WES's 75% share of depreciation and amortization; other expense; cash paid for maintenance capital expenditures; and other income attributable to the Chipeta complex. Other expense also includes \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million of lower of cost or market inventory adjustments at WES's DBM complex for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(3) See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(4) Excludes income of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, related to a component of a gas processing agreement accounted for as a capital lease.

(5) Reflects WES cash distributions of \$3.350 per unit declared for the year ended December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

ITEMS AFFECTING THE COMPARABILITY OF FINANCIAL RESULTS

Our consolidated financial statements include the consolidated financial results of WES due to our 100% ownership interest in WES GP and WES GP's control of WES. Our only cash-generating assets consist of our partnership interests in WES, and we currently have no independent operations. As a result, our results of operations do not differ materially from the results of operations and cash flows of WES, which are reconciled below.

Income taxes. Prior to our conversion from WGR Holdings, LLC to a limited partnership in September 2012, we were a single-member limited liability company. The separate existence of a limited liability company is disregarded for U.S. federal income tax purposes, resulting in the treatment of WGR Holdings, LLC as a division of Anadarko and its inclusion in Anadarko's consolidated income tax return for federal and state tax purposes. The income tax expense recorded on the financial statements of WGR Holdings, LLC, and now included in our consolidated financial statements, reflects our income tax expense and liability on a separate-return basis.

The deferred federal and state income taxes included in our consolidated financial statements are primarily attributable to the temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amount of our investment in WES and our outside tax basis with respect to our partnership interests in WES. When determining the deferred income tax asset and liability balances attributable to our partnership interests in WES, we applied an accounting policy that looks through our investment in WES. The application of such accounting policy resulted in no deferred income taxes being created on the difference between the book and tax basis on the non-tax deductible goodwill portion of our investment in WES in our consolidated financial statements.

Upon the completion of our IPO in December 2012, we became a publicly traded limited partnership for U.S. federal and state income tax purposes and therefore are not subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes, except for Texas margin tax.

General and administrative expenses. As a separate publicly traded partnership, we incur general and administrative expenses which are separate from, and in addition to, those incurred by WES. In connection with our IPO in December 2012, we entered into an omnibus agreement with WGP GP and Anadarko that governs the following: (i) our obligation to reimburse Anadarko for expenses incurred or payments made on our behalf in conjunction with Anadarko's provision of general and administrative services to us, including our public company expenses and general and administrative expenses; (ii) our obligation to pay Anadarko, in quarterly installments, an administrative services fee of \$250,000 per year (subject to an annual increase as described in the agreement); and (iii) our obligation to reimburse Anadarko for all insurance coverage expenses it incurs or payments it makes on our behalf.

The following table summarizes the amounts we reimbursed to Anadarko, separate from, and in addition to, those reimbursed by WES:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|---------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| General and administrative expenses | \$258 | \$256 | \$254 |
| Public company expenses | 2,449 | 1,997 | 1,986 |
| Total reimbursement | \$2,707 | \$2,253 | \$2,240 |

Noncontrolling interests. The interest in Chipeta held by a third-party member is already reflected as a noncontrolling interest in WES's consolidated financial statements. In addition, the limited partner interests in WES held by other subsidiaries of Anadarko, private investors and the public are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the consolidated financial statements (see Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information).

When WES issues equity, the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest reported by WGP is adjusted to reflect the noncontrolling ownership interest in WES. The resulting impact of such noncontrolling interest adjustment on WGP's interest in WES is reflected as an adjustment to WGP's partners' capital.

Table of Contents

Distributions. Our partnership agreement requires that we distribute all of our available cash (as defined in our partnership agreement) within 55 days after the end of each quarter. Our only cash-generating assets are our partnership interests in WES, consisting of general partner units, common units and incentive distribution rights, on which we expect to receive quarterly distributions from WES. Our cash flow and resulting ability to make cash distributions are therefore completely dependent upon WES's ability to make cash distributions with respect to our partnership interests in WES. Generally, our available cash is our cash on hand at the end of a quarter after the payment of our expenses and the establishment of cash reserves and cash on hand resulting from working capital borrowings made after the end of the quarter.

Western Gas Equity Partners, LP 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan. Concurrently with the WGP IPO, WGP GP adopted the WGP LTIP. Equity-based compensation expense attributable to grants made under the WGP LTIP impacts cash flows from operating activities only to the extent cash payments are made to a participant in lieu of issuance of WGP common units to the participant. See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

WGP RCF. In March 2016, we entered into a \$250.0 million WGP RCF which matures in March 2019. The WGP RCF may be used to buy WES common units and for general partnership purposes.

At December 31, 2016, the interest rate and commitment fee rate on the WGP RCF was 2.77% and 0.30%, respectively. As of December 31, 2016, we had \$28.0 million of outstanding borrowings (\$222.0 million available borrowing capacity) and were in compliance with all covenants under the WGP RCF. See Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

WGP WCF. On November 1, 2012, we entered into the WGP WCF, a \$30.0 million working capital facility with Anadarko as the lender. The facility is available exclusively to fund our working capital borrowings. Borrowings under the facility will mature on November 1, 2017, and bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.50%. The interest rate was zero at December 31, 2016.

We are required to reduce all borrowings under the WGP WCF to zero for a period of at least 15 consecutive days during the twelve month period commencing on November 1 of each year. As of December 31, 2016, we had no outstanding borrowings under the WGP WCF and \$30.0 million available for borrowing. At December 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all covenants under the WGP WCF.

Reconciliation of net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP to net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP. The differences between net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP and net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP are reconciled as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to WES | \$591,331 | \$4,106 | \$442,643 |
| Limited partner interests in WES not held by WGP ⁽¹⁾ | (240,245) | 164,510 | (151,443) |
| General and administrative expenses ⁽²⁾ | (3,657) | (3,109) | (3,216) |
| Other income (expense), net | 66 | 41 | 74 |
| Property and other taxes | (16) | (39) | (34) |
| Interest expense | (1,707) | (2) | (3) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to WGP | \$345,772 | \$165,507 | \$288,021 |

⁽¹⁾ Represents the portion of net income (loss) allocated to the limited partner interests in WES not held by WGP. As of December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, the public held common units representing a 46.9%, 55.1% and 55.0% limited partner interest in WES, respectively. Private investors held WES Series A Preferred units representing an additional 13.1% limited partner interest in WES as of December 31, 2016. Other subsidiaries of Anadarko

separately held an 8.6%, 8.5% and 8.3% limited partner interest in WES as of December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. See Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

- (2) Represents general and administrative expenses incurred by WGP separate from, and in addition to, those incurred by WES.

Table of Contents

Reconciliation of net cash provided by (used in) operating and financing activities. The differences between net cash provided by (used in) operating and financing activities for WGP and WES are reconciled as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| WES net cash provided by operating activities | \$917,585 | \$785,645 | \$694,495 |
| General and administrative expenses ⁽¹⁾ | (3,657) | (3,109) | (3,216) |
| Non-cash equity-based compensation expense | 251 | 257 | 185 |
| Changes in working capital | 27 | 16 | (839) |
| Other income (expense), net | 66 | 41 | 74 |
| Property and other taxes | (16) | (39) | (34) |
| Interest expense | (1,707) | (2) | (3) |
| Debt related amortization and other items, net | 527 | — | — |
| WGP net cash provided by operating activities | \$913,076 | \$782,809 | \$690,662 |
| WES net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$447,841 | \$(254,389) | \$2,011,970 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of WES common and general partner units, net of offering expenses ⁽²⁾ | (25,000) | — | (13,311) |
| Distributions to WGP unitholders ⁽³⁾ | (374,082) | (306,477) | (228,481) |
| Distributions to WGP from WES ⁽⁴⁾ | 377,097 | 311,965 | 232,277 |
| WGP RCF borrowings, net of issuance costs | 25,980 | — | 1,150 |
| WGP WCF repayments | — | (1,150) | — |
| WGP net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | \$451,836 | \$(250,051) | \$2,003,605 |

(1) Represents general and administrative expenses incurred by WGP separate from, and in addition to, those incurred by WES.

Represents the difference attributable to elimination upon consolidation of proceeds to WES from the issuance of (i) WES common units to WGP as part of funding the Springfield acquisition for the year ended December 31,

(2) 2016, and (ii) WES general partner units in exchange for WES GP's proportionate capital contribution for the year ended December 31, 2014. See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Represents distributions to WGP common unitholders paid under WGP's partnership agreement. See

(3) Note 3—Partnership Distributions and Note 4—Equity and Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Difference attributable to elimination upon consolidation of WES's distributions on partnership interests owned by

(4) WGP. See Note 3—Partnership Distributions and Note 4—Equity and Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Western Gas Partners, LP

WES's historical results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented may not be comparable to future or historic results of operations or cash flows for the reasons described below. Refer to Operating Results within this Item 7 for a discussion of WES's results of operations as compared to the prior periods.

Gathering and processing agreements. Certain of the gathering agreements for WES's initial assets, the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems, the DBJV system and the Springfield system allow for rate resets that target an agreed-upon rate of return over the life of the agreement. See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Commodity price swap agreements. WES has commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko to mitigate exposure to a majority of the commodity price risk inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts.

Table of Contents

On December 1, 2016, WES renewed its commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets through December 31, 2017, with an effective date of January 1, 2017. Revenues or costs attributable to volumes settled during the respective extension period, at the applicable market price, will be recognized in the consolidated statements of operations. WES will also record a capital contribution from Anadarko in its consolidated statement of equity and partners' capital for the amount by which the swap price exceeds the applicable market price. See Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for further information.

Income taxes. With respect to assets acquired from Anadarko, WES records Anadarko's historic current and deferred income taxes for the periods prior to its ownership of the assets. For periods subsequent to its acquisitions from Anadarko, WES is not subject to tax except for the Texas margin tax and, accordingly, does not record current and deferred federal income taxes related to such assets.

Acquisitions and divestitures. See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures and Note 14—Subsequent Events in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for additional information.

DBM acquisition. In November 2014, WES acquired Nuevo from a third party. Following the acquisition, WES changed the name of Nuevo to Delaware Basin Midstream, LLC. DBM was financed with the issuance of \$750.0 million of WES Class C units to a subsidiary of Anadarko, borrowings under the WES RCF and cash on hand, including the proceeds from the WES November 2014 equity offering. These assets have been recorded in the consolidated financial statements at their estimated fair values on the acquisition date under the acquisition method of accounting. Results of operations attributable to the DBM acquisition were included in the consolidated statement of operations beginning on the acquisition date in the fourth quarter of 2014.

DBJV acquisition. In March 2015, WES acquired Anadarko's interest in DBJV. WES will make a cash payment on March 31, 2020, to Anadarko as consideration for the acquisition of DBJV. As of the acquisition date, WES estimated the future payment to be \$282.8 million, the net present value of which was \$174.3 million. As of December 31, 2016, the net present value of this obligation was \$41.4 million and has been recorded on the consolidated balance sheet under Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko. Accretion revision was \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, and accretion expense was \$14.4 million and zero for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Dew and Pinnacle divestiture. In July 2015, the Dew and Pinnacle systems in East Texas were sold to a third party, resulting in a net gain on sale of \$77.3 million recorded as Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net in the consolidated statements of operations.

Hugoton divestiture. In October 2016, the Hugoton system, located in Southwest Kansas and Oklahoma, was sold to a third party, resulting in a net loss on sale of \$12.0 million recorded as Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net in the consolidated statements of operations.

DBM complex. On December 3, 2015, there was an initial fire and secondary explosion at the processing facility within the DBM complex. The majority of the damage was to the liquid handling facilities and the amine treating units at the inlet of the complex. Train II (with capacity of 100 MMcf/d) sustained the most damage of the processing trains and returned to service in December 2016. Train III (with capacity of 200 MMcf/d) experienced minimal damage and returned to full service in May 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2015, \$20.3 million of losses were recorded in Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net in the consolidated statements of operations, related to this involuntary conversion event based on the difference between the net book value of the affected assets and the insurance claim receivable. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the consolidated balance sheets include receivables of \$30.0 million and \$49.0 million, respectively, for a property insurance claim related to the incident at the DBM

complex. As of December 31, 2016, WES had received \$33.8 million in cash proceeds from insurers related to the incident at the DBM complex, including \$16.3 million for business interruption insurance claims and \$17.5 million for property insurance claims. For ease of reference throughout the remainder of this Management's Discussion and Analysis, the damage to the processing facility and resulting lack of processing capacity and associated financial statement impact will be referred to as the "DBM outage." See Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Impairments. During 2015, WES recognized impairments of \$280.2 million at the Red Desert complex and \$220.9 million at the Hilight system. Using the income approach and Level 3 fair value inputs, the Red Desert complex was impaired to its estimated salvage value of \$6.3 million and the Hilight system was impaired to its estimated fair value of \$28.8 million. These impairments were triggered by a reduction in estimated future cash flows caused by the low commodity price environment and resulting reduced producer drilling activity and related throughput.

GENERAL TRENDS AND OUTLOOK

We expect WES's business to continue to be affected by the following key trends and uncertainties. Our expectations are based on assumptions made by us and information currently available to us. To the extent our underlying assumptions about, or interpretations of, available information prove to be incorrect, WES's actual results may vary materially from expected results.

Impact of crude oil, natural gas and NGL prices. Crude oil, natural gas and NGL prices can fluctuate significantly, which affects WES's customers' activity levels, and thus WES's throughput, revenues, distributable cash flow and capital spending plans. For example, NYMEX West Texas Intermediate crude oil daily settlement prices recently ranged from a high of \$107.26 per barrel in June 2014 to a low of \$26.21 per barrel in February 2016. Also, daily settlement prices for NYMEX Henry Hub natural gas recently ranged from a high of \$6.15 per MMBtu in February 2014 to a low of \$1.64 per MMBtu in March 2016. The duration and magnitude of the recent decline in commodity prices cannot be predicted. Furthermore, this relatively volatile commodity price environment has impacted drilling activity in several basins served by WES's assets. Several of WES's customers, including Anadarko, reduced activity levels in those areas, shifting capital toward opportunities that offer higher margins and superior economics. This trend resulted in fewer new well connections and, in some cases, temporary curtailments of production in those areas. To the extent opportunities are available, WES will continue to connect new wells to its systems to mitigate the impact of natural production declines in order to maintain throughput on its systems. However, WES's success in connecting new wells to its systems is dependent on the activities of producers and shippers.

Many of WES's customers, including Anadarko, have a variety of investment opportunities and the financial strength and operational flexibility to move capital spending from areas focused on near-term production growth to longer-dated projects. WES will continue to evaluate the crude oil, NGL and natural gas price environments and adjust capital spending plans as prices fluctuate while maintaining the appropriate liquidity and financial flexibility.

Liquidity and access to capital markets. WES requires periodic access to capital in order to fund acquisitions and expansion projects. Under the terms of WES's partnership agreement, it is required to distribute all of its available cash to its unitholders, which makes WES dependent upon raising capital to fund growth projects. Historically, MLPs have accessed the debt and equity capital markets to raise money for new growth projects and acquisitions. Market turbulence has from time to time either raised the cost of capital markets financing or, in some cases, temporarily made such financing unavailable. If WES is unable either to access the capital markets or find alternative sources of capital, WES's growth strategy may be more challenging to execute.

WES's sources of liquidity as of December 31, 2016, included cash and cash equivalents, cash flows generated from operations, interest income on its \$260.0 million note receivable from Anadarko, \$1.195 billion in available borrowing capacity under its RCF, and issuances of additional equity or debt securities. As of December 31, 2016, WES's long-term debt was rated "BBB-" with a stable outlook by S&P, "BBB-" with a stable outlook by Fitch, and "Ba1" with a stable outlook by Moody's. In February 2016, Moody's downgraded Anadarko's senior unsecured ratings from Baa2 to Ba1, with a negative outlook, and downgraded WES's senior unsecured ratings from Baa3 to Ba1, with a negative outlook, both of which are below investment grade. In September 2016, Moody's changed the outlook for Anadarko and WES from negative to stable. WES cannot be assured that its credit rating will not be downgraded further. The Moody's downgrade and any further downgrades in WES's credit ratings will adversely affect its ability to raise debt in the public debt markets, which could negatively impact its cost of capital and ability to effectively execute aspects of its strategy.

Table of Contents

Changes in regulations. WES's operations and the operations of its customers have been, and will continue to be, affected by political developments and an increasing number of complex federal, state, tribal, local and other laws and regulations such as production restrictions, permitting delays, limitations on hydraulic fracturing and environmental protection regulations. WES and its customers must obtain and maintain numerous permits, approvals and certificates from various federal, state, tribal and local governmental authorities. For example, regulation of hydraulic fracturing is currently primarily conducted at the state level through permitting and other compliance requirements. If proposed federal legislation is adopted, it could establish an additional level of regulation and permitting. Any changes in statutory regulations or delays in the issuance of required permits may impact both the throughput on and profitability of WES's systems.

Impact of inflation. Although inflation in the United States has been relatively low in recent years, the U.S. economy could experience a significant inflationary effect from, among other things, the governmental stimulus plans enacted since 2008. To the extent permitted by regulations and escalation provisions in certain of WES's existing agreements, it has the ability to recover a portion of increased costs in the form of higher fees.

Impact of interest rates. Interest rates remained at or near historic lows during 2016. In December 2016, the Federal Open Market Committee raised the target range for the federal funds rate from 1/4 to 1/2 percent to 1/2 to 3/4 percent, representing the second federal funds rate increase in a decade. This increase, and any future increases, in the federal funds rate will ultimately result in an increase in WES's financing costs. Additionally, as with other yield-oriented securities, WES's unit price is impacted by the level of its cash distributions and an associated implied distribution yield. Therefore, changes in interest rates, either positive or negative, may affect the yield requirements of investors who invest in WES's units, and a rising interest rate environment could have an adverse impact on WES's unit price and its ability to issue additional equity, or increase the cost of issuing equity, to make acquisitions, reduce debt or for other purposes. However, WES expects its cost of capital to remain competitive, as its competitors would face similar circumstances.

Acquisition opportunities. As of December 31, 2016, Anadarko's total domestic midstream asset portfolio, excluding the assets WES owns, consisted of seven gathering systems, 2,955 miles of pipeline, 18 processing and/or treating facilities, three oil pipelines, one NGL pipeline and three produced-water disposal systems. A key component of WES's growth strategy is to acquire midstream assets from Anadarko and third parties over time. See Note 14—Subsequent Events in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. As of December 31, 2016, Anadarko held 178,587,365 of our common units, representing an 81.6% limited partner interest in us. Given Anadarko's significant limited partner interest in us and indirect economic interests in WES, we believe Anadarko will continue to be motivated to promote and support the successful execution of WES's business plan and to pursue projects that help to enhance the value of its business. However, Anadarko continually evaluates acquisitions and divestitures and may elect to acquire, construct or dispose of midstream assets in the future without offering WES the opportunity to participate in such transactions. Should Anadarko choose to pursue additional midstream asset sales, it is under no contractual obligation to offer assets or business opportunities to WES. For example, on December 21, 2016, Anadarko announced it had agreed to sell its operated and non-operated upstream assets and operated midstream assets (excluding WES's interests) in the Marcellus shale to a third party. WES may also pursue certain asset acquisitions from third parties to the extent such acquisitions complement its or Anadarko's existing asset base or allow WES to capture operational efficiencies from Anadarko's or third-party production. However, if WES does not make additional acquisitions from Anadarko or third parties on economically acceptable terms, its future growth will be limited, and the acquisitions WES makes could reduce, rather than increase, cash flows generated from operations on a per-unit basis.

Produced water gathering and disposal. In certain basins, hydrocarbon production can result in significant amounts of produced water. In these instances, the produced water must be separated from the hydrocarbon components and disposed of by re-injecting the produced water back into underground formations. WES is currently developing the

infrastructure necessary to gather and dispose of produced water in areas where volumes of this byproduct can be substantial, including the Delaware Basin.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

OPERATING RESULTS

The following tables and discussion present a summary of WES's results of operations:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Total revenues and other ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,804,270 | \$1,752,072 | \$1,533,377 |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | 78,717 | 71,251 | 57,836 |
| Total operating expenses ⁽¹⁾ | 1,176,408 | 1,723,017 | 1,036,473 |
| Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net | (14,641) | 57,024 | (9) |
| Proceeds from business interruption insurance claims ⁽²⁾ | 16,270 | — | — |
| Operating income (loss) | 708,208 | 157,330 | 554,731 |
| Interest income – affiliates | 16,900 | 16,900 | 16,900 |
| Interest expense | (114,921) | (113,872) | (76,766) |
| Other income (expense), net | 479 | (619) | 864 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 610,666 | 59,739 | 495,729 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | 8,372 | 45,532 | 39,061 |
| Net income (loss) | 602,294 | 14,207 | 456,668 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interest | 10,963 | 10,101 | 14,025 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP ⁽³⁾ | \$591,331 | \$4,106 | \$442,643 |
| Key performance metrics ⁽⁴⁾ | | | |
| Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | \$1,337,443 | \$1,251,047 | \$1,096,499 |
| Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP | 1,028,208 | 907,568 | 782,900 |
| Distributable cash flow | 852,446 | 781,383 | 661,133 |

Revenues and other include amounts earned by WES from services provided to its affiliates, as well as from the sale of residue and NGLs to its affiliates. Operating expenses include amounts charged by WES affiliates for

(1) services as well as reimbursement of amounts paid by affiliates to third parties on WES's behalf. See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(2) See Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(3) For reconciliations to comparable consolidated results of WGP, see Items Affecting the Comparability of Financial Results within this Item 7.

Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP, Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP and Distributable cash flow are defined under the caption Key Performance Metrics within this Item 7. For reconciliations of Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP, Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP and Distributable cash flow to their most directly comparable financial measures calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, see How WES Evaluates Its Operations—Reconciliation to non-GAAP Measures within this Item 7.

For purposes of the following discussion, any increases or decreases “for the year ended December 31, 2016” refer to the comparison of the year ended December 31, 2016, to the year ended December 31, 2015, and any increases or decreases “for the year ended December 31, 2015” refer to the comparison of the year ended December 31, 2015, to the year ended December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Throughput

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------|---------------|-------|---------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) |
| Throughput for natural gas assets (MMcf/d) | | | | | |
| Gathering, treating and transportation | 1,537 | 1,791 | (14)% | 1,888 | (5)% |
| Processing | 2,350 | 2,331 | 1% | 1,925 | 21% |
| Equity investment ⁽¹⁾ | 177 | 178 | (1)% | 171 | 4% |
| Total throughput for natural gas assets | 4,064 | 4,300 | (5)% | 3,984 | 8% |
| Throughput attributable to noncontrolling interest for natural gas assets | 124 | 142 | (13)% | 165 | (14)% |
| Total throughput attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets | 3,940 | 4,158 | (5)% | 3,819 | 9% |
| Throughput for crude/NGL assets (MBbls/d) | | | | | |
| Gathering, treating and transportation | 57 | 69 | (17)% | 64 | 8% |
| Equity investment ⁽²⁾ | 127 | 117 | 9% | 90 | 30% |
| Total throughput for crude/NGL assets | 184 | 186 | (1)% | 154 | 21% |

(1) Represents WES's 14.81% share of average Fort Union throughput and 22% share of average Rendezvous throughput.

(2) Represents WES's 10% share of average White Cliffs throughput, 25% share of average Mont Belvieu JV throughput, 20% share of average TEG and TEP throughput, and 33.33% share of average FRP throughput.

Natural gas assets

Gathering, treating and transportation throughput decreased by 254 MMcf/d for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to decreased throughput at the Bison facility due to volumes being diverted to a third-party treater and the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015.

Gathering, treating and transportation throughput decreased by 97 MMcf/d for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015, production declines in the areas around the Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest systems, the Bison facility and the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems. These decreases were partially offset by higher volumes at the Springfield gas gathering system and at the DBJV system due to increased production.

Processing throughput increased by 19 MMcf/d for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to increased production in the areas around the DJ Basin complex and the start-up of Train IV at the DBM complex in May 2016. These increases were partially offset by production declines around the Chipeta and Granger complexes, the MGR assets, and the Hilight system.

Processing throughput increased by 406 MMcf/d for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to increased production in the area around the DJ Basin complex and the acquisition of DBM in November 2014, partially offset by decreased throughput at the Chipeta complex due to decreased drilling activity in the Uinta Basin.

Equity investment throughput increased by 7 MMcf/d for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to increased throughput at the Rendezvous system, offset by lower throughput at the Fort Union system due to production declines in the area.

Table of Contents

Crude/NGL assets

Gathering, treating and transportation throughput decreased by 12 MBbls/d for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to decreased throughput at the Springfield oil gathering system due to production declines in the area. Equity investment throughput increased by 10 MBbls/d for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to an increase in volumes on FRP as a result of increased production in the DJ Basin area.

Gathering, treating and transportation throughput increased by 5 MBbls/d for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to increased throughput at the Springfield oil gathering system. Equity investment throughput increased by 27 MBbls/d for the year ended December 31, 2015, due to an increase in volumes from FRP and TEP, and the third quarter 2014 in-service date of a White Cliffs pipeline expansion.

Gathering, Processing and Transportation Revenues

| thousands except percentages | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) |
| Gathering, processing and transportation revenues | \$1,227,849 | \$1,128,838 | 9 % | \$894,034 | 26 % |

Revenues from gathering, processing and transportation increased by \$99.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to increases of (i) \$114.0 million at the DJ Basin complex resulting from increased throughput (\$108.4 million) and a higher gathering fee (\$5.6 million), as well as (ii) \$19.8 million at the DBM complex due to increased throughput and a higher processing fee. These increases were partially offset by decreases of (i) \$22.0 million at the Springfield system due to a decrease in throughput and (ii) \$17.6 million due to the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015.

Revenues from gathering, processing and transportation increased by \$234.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to increases of (i) \$181.1 million at the DJ Basin complex resulting from increased throughput (\$139.7 million), a higher gathering fee (\$37.0 million), and the introduction of a condensate handling fee in the first quarter of 2015 (\$4.4 million), (ii) \$49.6 million due to the acquisition of DBM in November 2014, (iii) \$41.8 million at the Springfield system due to increased throughput, and (iv) \$10.0 million at the Brasada complex due to increased throughput and a higher processing fee, as well as revenues from treating services beginning in the first quarter of 2015. These increases were partially offset by decreases of (i) \$21.3 million at the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems due to a decrease in average gathering rate and throughput, (ii) \$13.6 million due to the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015, and (iii) \$10.8 million at the Chipeta complex due to decreased throughput.

Table of Contents

Natural Gas and Natural Gas Liquids Sales

| thousands except percentages and per-unit amounts | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) |
| Natural gas sales ⁽¹⁾ | \$230,366 | \$242,826 | (5)% | \$167,814 | 45 % |
| Natural gas liquids sales ⁽¹⁾ | 341,947 | 375,123 | (9)% | 458,091 | (18)% |
| Total | \$572,313 | \$617,949 | (7)% | \$625,905 | (1)% |
| Average price per unit ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | | |
| Natural gas (per Mcf) | \$2.51 | \$3.28 | (23)% | \$4.16 | (21)% |
| Natural gas liquids (per Bbl) | 19.96 | 22.38 | (11)% | 43.58 | (49)% |

Excludes amounts considered above market, with respect to WES's swap extensions at the DJ Basin complex and the Hugoton system beginning July 1, 2015, that are recorded as capital contributions in the consolidated statements of equity and partners' capital. See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, average natural gas and NGL prices included the effects of commodity price swap agreements attributable to sales for the Hugoton system (until its divestiture in October 2016), the MGR assets and the DJ Basin complex. For the year ended December 31, 2014, average natural gas and NGL prices included the effects of commodity price swap agreements attributable to sales for the Hilight, Hugoton and Newcastle systems, the DJ Basin and Granger complexes and the MGR assets. See Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The decline in natural gas sales of \$12.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, was primarily due to decreases of (i) \$9.9 million at the Hilight system due to a decrease in average price and volumes sold, (ii) \$5.5 million at the DJ Basin complex due to the partial equity treatment of WES's above-market swap extensions beginning July 1, 2015, partially offset by an increase in volumes sold and (iii) \$3.8 million at the MGR assets due to a decrease in volumes sold. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$8.7 million at the DBM complex due to an increase in volumes sold.

The growth in natural gas sales of \$75.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, was primarily due to increases of (i) \$76.4 million due to the acquisition of DBM in November 2014 and (ii) \$25.6 million at the DJ Basin complex due to an increase in volumes sold. These increases were partially offset by decreases of \$24.7 million at the Hilight system and Granger complex due to a decrease in average price as a result of the expiration of swap agreements in December 2014.

The decline in NGLs sales of \$33.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, was primarily due to decreases of (i) \$35.6 million at the MGR assets due to a decrease in volumes sold and (ii) \$8.3 million and \$4.8 million at the DJ Basin complex and Hugoton system, respectively, due to the partial equity treatment of WES's above-market swap extensions beginning July 1, 2015 for the DJ Basin complex and October 1, 2015 for the Hugoton system. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$17.5 million at the DBM complex due to an increase in average price and volumes sold.

The decline in NGLs sales of \$83.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, was primarily due to decreases of (i) \$113.1 million at the Granger complex and the Hilight system due to a decrease in average price as a result of the expiration of swap agreements in December 2014, (ii) \$19.5 million at the Chipeta complex due to a decrease in average price, (iii) \$16.1 million at the DJ Basin complex due to a decrease in volumes sold and the partial equity treatment of WES's above-market swap extensions beginning July 1, 2015 (see Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K), and (iv) \$10.0 million at the MGR assets due to a decrease in volumes sold. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$82.5 million due to the acquisition of DBM in November 2014.

Table of Contents

Equity Income, Net – Affiliates

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|---------------|
| thousands except percentages | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | \$78,717 | \$71,251 | 10 % | \$57,836 | 23 % |

For the year ended December 31, 2016, equity income, net – affiliates increased by \$7.5 million, primarily due to WES's 14.81% share of an impairment loss determined by the managing partner of Fort Union in 2015, and increases in equity income from the TEFIR Interests and the Mont Belvieu JV due to increased volumes.

For the year ended December 31, 2015, equity income, net – affiliates increased by \$13.4 million, primarily due to a full year of equity income recognized from the TEFIR Interests in 2015 and the third quarter 2014 in-service date of a White Cliffs pipeline expansion. These increases were partially offset by WES's 14.81% share of an impairment loss determined by the managing partner of Fort Union, and a decrease in equity income from the Mont Belvieu JV.

Cost of Product and Operation and Maintenance Expenses

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| thousands except percentages | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) |
| NGL purchases ⁽¹⁾ | \$238,660 | \$251,222 | (5) % | \$232,889 | 8 % |
| Residue purchases ⁽¹⁾ | 231,722 | 253,619 | (9) % | 186,341 | 36 % |
| Other ⁽¹⁾ | 23,812 | 23,528 | 1 % | 39,149 | (40) % |
| Cost of product | 494,194 | 528,369 | (6) % | 458,379 | 15 % |
| Operation and maintenance | 308,010 | 331,972 | (7) % | 293,710 | 13 % |
| Total cost of product and operation and maintenance expenses | \$802,204 | \$860,341 | (7) % | \$752,089 | 14 % |

Excludes amounts considered above market, with respect to WES's swap extensions at the DJ Basin complex and ⁽¹⁾ the Hugoton system beginning July 1, 2015, that are recorded as capital contributions in the consolidated statements of equity and partners' capital. See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Cost of product expense for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, included the effects of commodity price swap agreements attributable to purchases for the Hugoton system (until its divestiture in October 2016), the MGR assets and the DJ Basin complex. Cost of product expense for the year ended December 31, 2014, included the effects of commodity price swap agreements attributable to purchases for the Hilight, Hugoton and Newcastle systems, the DJ Basin and Granger complexes and the MGR assets. See Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

NGL purchases decreased by \$12.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to decreases of (i) \$23.7 million at the MGR assets due to a decrease in volume and average swap price and (ii) \$3.2 million at the Chipeta complex and \$2.7 million at the Hilight system due to decreases in volumes and average prices. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$19.0 million at the DBM complex due to an increase in volume. NGL purchases increased by \$18.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to an increase of \$80.2 million due to the acquisition of the DBM complex in November 2014, partially offset by decreases of (i) \$46.0 million at the Hilight system and Granger complex due to decreases in average prices as a result of the expiration of swap agreements in December 2014 and (ii) \$14.8 million at the Chipeta complex due to a decrease in average price. Residue purchases decreased by \$21.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to decreases of (i) \$9.8 million at the DJ Basin complex due to the partial equity treatment of WES's above-market swap extensions beginning July 1, 2015, partially offset by an increase in volume, (ii) \$8.9 million at the Hilight system due to a decrease in volume and average price and (iii) \$4.0 million at the MGR assets due to a decrease in volume, partially offset by an increase in average swap price. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$3.4 million at the

DBM complex due to an increase in volume.

Table of Contents

Residue purchases increased by \$67.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to increases of (i) \$75.7 million due to the acquisition of DBM in November 2014 and (ii) \$37.2 million at the DJ Basin complex due to an increase in volume. These increases were partially offset by decreases of (i) \$40.0 million at the Granger complex and the Hilight system due to decreases in average prices as a result of the expiration of swap agreements in December 2014 and (ii) \$4.4 million at the Granger straddle plant due to a decrease in volume.

Other items increased by \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to fees paid for rerouting volumes due to the DBM outage, partially offset by changes in imbalance positions, primarily at the DJ Basin and DBM complexes.

Other items decreased by \$15.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to changes in imbalance positions at the DJ Basin complex.

Operation and maintenance expense decreased by \$24.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to decreases of (i) \$9.9 million in other operating costs primarily attributable to the DJ Basin and DBM complexes and the DBJV and Springfield systems, (ii) \$7.7 million in chemicals and treating services primarily attributable to the DJ Basin and DBM complexes, and the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015, (iii) \$4.6 million in plant repairs primarily at the DJ Basin and Chipeta complexes and Hilight system, partially offset by an increase at the DBM complex, and (iv) \$2.0 million in measurement and well-testing analysis expense primarily attributable to the DJ Basin complex and the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$2.9 million in utilities expense primarily at the DJ Basin complex.

Operation and maintenance expense increased by \$38.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to an increase of \$41.1 million due to the acquisition of DBM in November 2014, partially offset by a decrease of \$6.9 million due to the divestiture of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015.

Other Operating Expenses

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--|
| thousands except percentages | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) | |
| General and administrative | \$45,591 | \$41,319 | 10 % | \$38,561 | 7 % | |
| Property and other taxes | 40,145 | 33,288 | 21 % | 28,889 | 15 % | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 272,933 | 272,611 | — % | 211,809 | 29 % | |
| Impairments | 15,535 | 515,458 | (97)% | 5,125 | NM | |
| Total other operating expenses | \$374,204 | \$862,676 | (57)% | \$284,384 | NM | |

NM-Not Meaningful

General and administrative expenses increased by \$4.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to increases in personnel costs for which WES reimbursed Anadarko pursuant to the WES omnibus agreement.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to increases of (i) \$1.3 million in personnel costs for which WES reimbursed Anadarko pursuant to the WES omnibus agreement, (ii) \$0.9 million in pre-acquisition management services fees for expenses incurred by Anadarko related to Springfield and (iii) \$0.5 million in consulting and audit fees.

Property and other taxes increased by \$6.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to ad valorem tax increases of \$7.2 million at the DJ Basin complex and \$1.0 million at the DBM complex, partially offset by ad valorem tax decreases of \$1.5 million at the Chipeta complex and \$0.9 million due to the sale of the Hugoton system in October 2016.

Property and other taxes increased by \$4.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to ad valorem tax increases of \$3.7 million at the DJ Basin complex and \$2.5 million due to the acquisition of DBM in November 2014, partially offset by a decrease of \$2.3 million due to the divestiture of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015.

Table of Contents

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to depreciation expense increases of \$22.9 million related to capital projects at the DJ Basin, DBM and Granger complexes and the DBJV, Non-Operated Marcellus Interest, and Springfield systems. These increases were partially offset by decreases of (i) \$12.1 million at the MGR assets and the Hilight system due to asset impairments recognized in the first and fourth quarters of 2015, respectively, (ii) \$7.0 million due to the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015 and (iii) \$3.5 million due to the sale of the Hugoton system in October 2016.

Depreciation and amortization increased by \$60.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to depreciation expense increases of (i) \$42.9 million due to the acquisition of DBM in November 2014, (ii) \$20.8 million associated with the completion of multiple compression projects and the start-up of Lancaster Train I in April 2014 at the DJ Basin complex and (iii) \$10.4 million at the Hilight, DBJV, Haley and Springfield systems. These increases were partially offset by decreases of (i) \$7.1 million due to the divestiture of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015 and (ii) \$9.8 million due to the impact of the impairment at the Red Desert complex during 2015.

Impairment expense decreased by \$499.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, due to (i) impairments of \$280.2 million at the Red Desert complex and \$220.9 million at the Hilight system recognized during 2015 (discussed below) and (ii) impairments of \$14.4 million primarily due to the abandonment of compressors at the MIGC system and the cancellation of projects at the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems and the Brasada, Red Desert and DJ Basin complexes during 2015. Impairment expense for the year ended December 31, 2016, included (i) the \$6.1 million impairment at the Newcastle system, which was impaired to its estimated fair value of \$3.1 million, using the income approach and Level 3 fair value inputs, due to a reduction in estimated future cash flows caused by the low commodity price environment and (ii) impairments of \$9.4 million primarily related to the cancellation of projects at the DJ Basin complex and Springfield and DBJV systems, and the abandonment of compressors at the MIGC system in 2016.

Impairment expense increased by \$510.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, due to impairments of \$280.2 million at the Red Desert complex and \$220.9 million at the Hilight system during 2015. Using the income approach and Level 3 fair value inputs, the Red Desert complex was impaired to its estimated salvage value of \$6.3 million and the Hilight system was impaired to its estimated fair value of \$28.8 million. These impairments were triggered by a reduction in estimated future cash flows caused by the low commodity price environment and resulting reduced producer drilling activity and related throughput. Also during 2015, impairments of \$14.4 million were recognized primarily due to (i) the abandonment of compressors at the MIGC system and (ii) the cancellation of projects at the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems and the Brasada, Red Desert and DJ Basin complexes. Impairment expense of \$5.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily related to (i) a non-operational plant in the Powder River Basin that was impaired to its estimated salvage value of \$2.4 million, using the income approach and Level 3 fair value inputs, (ii) the cancellation of various capital projects by the third-party operator of the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems and (iii) a compressor no longer in service at the Hilight system.

Table of Contents

Interest Income – Affiliates and Interest Expense

| thousands except percentages | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) | |
| Note receivable – Anadarko | \$ 16,900 | \$ 16,900 | — | % \$ 16,900 | — | % |
| Interest income – affiliates | \$ 16,900 | \$ 16,900 | — | % \$ 16,900 | — | % |
| Third parties | | | | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$(121,832) | \$(102,058) | 19 | % \$(81,495) | 25 | % |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs and commitment fees | (6,398) | (5,734) | 12 | % (5,103) | 12 | % |
| Capitalized interest | 5,562 | 8,318 | (33) | % 9,832 | (15) | % |
| Affiliates | | | | | | |
| Deferred purchase price obligation – Anadarko ⁽¹⁾ | 7,747 | (14,398) | (154)% | — | — | % |
| Interest expense | \$(114,921) | \$(113,872) | 1 | % \$(76,766) | 48 | % |

(1) See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for a discussion of the Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko.

Interest expense increased by \$1.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to (i) \$10.9 million of interest incurred on the 2026 Notes issued in July 2016, (ii) \$8.4 million of interest incurred on the 2025 Notes issued in June 2015 and (iii) \$2.2 million of interest incurred on the additional 2044 Notes issued in October 2016. These increases were partially offset by accretion revisions recorded as reductions to interest expense for the Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko entered into in March 2015 (see Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). Capitalized interest decreased by \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to the completion of Lancaster Train II in June 2015 (within the DJ Basin complex), partially offset by an increase due to the construction of Trains IV, V and VI at the DBM complex. See Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Interest expense increased by \$37.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to (i) \$14.4 million in accretion recorded to interest expense for the Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko, (ii) \$11.4 million of interest incurred on the 2025 Notes issued in June 2015, (iii) \$4.8 million of interest incurred on the 2044 Notes issued in March 2014, (iv) additional interest incurred on the WES RCF of \$3.9 million as a result of higher average borrowings outstanding, and (v) \$0.6 million of interest incurred on the additional 2018 Notes issued in March 2014. Capitalized interest decreased by \$1.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to the completion of Lancaster Train I in April 2014 and Lancaster Train II in June 2015 (both within the DJ Basin complex). This decrease was partially offset by an increase due to the construction of Trains IV and V at the DBM complex (acquired in November 2014). See Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Income Tax (Benefit) Expense

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|---------------|
| thousands except percentages | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$610,666 | \$59,739 | NM | \$495,729 | (88)% |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | 8,372 | 45,532 | (82)% | 39,061 | 17 % |
| Effective tax rate | 1 | % 76 | % | 8 | % |

NM-Not Meaningful

WES is not a taxable entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes. However, WES's income apportionable to Texas is subject to Texas margin tax. For the periods presented, the variance from the federal statutory rate, which is zero percent as a non-taxable entity, is primarily due to federal and state taxes on pre-acquisition income attributable to the WES assets acquired from Anadarko, and WES's share of Texas margin tax.

Texas House Bill 32, signed into law in June 2015, reduced the Texas margin tax rates by 0.25%. The law became effective January 1, 2016. WES was required to include the impact of the law change on its deferred state income taxes in the period enacted. The adjustment, a reduction in deferred state income taxes in the amount of \$2.2 million, was recorded in June 2015 and was included in the income tax (benefit) expense for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Income attributable to (i) the Springfield system prior to and including February 2016 and (ii) the DBJV system prior to and including February 2015, was subject to federal and state income tax. Income earned on the Springfield system and the DBJV system for periods subsequent to February 2016 and February 2015, respectively, was only subject to Texas margin tax on income apportionable to Texas.

Table of Contents

KEY PERFORMANCE METRICS

| thousands except percentages and per-unit amounts | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|--|
| | 2016 | 2015 | Inc/ (Dec) | 2014 | Inc/ (Dec) | |
| Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,194,877 | \$1,119,555 | 7 % | \$993,397 | 13 % | |
| Adjusted gross margin for crude/NGL assets ⁽²⁾ | 142,566 | 131,492 | 8 % | 103,102 | 28 % | |
| Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP ⁽³⁾ | 1,337,443 | 1,251,047 | 7 % | 1,096,499 | 14 % | |
| Adjusted gross margin per Mcf attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets ⁽⁴⁾ | 0.83 | 0.74 | 12 % | 0.71 | 4 % | |
| Adjusted gross margin per Bbl for crude/NGL assets ⁽⁵⁾ | 2.11 | 1.93 | 9 % | 1.84 | 5 % | |
| Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP ⁽³⁾ | 1,028,208 | 907,568 | 13 % | 782,900 | 16 % | |
| Distributable cash flow ⁽³⁾ | 852,446 | 781,383 | 9 % | 661,133 | 18 % | |

Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets is calculated as total revenues and other for natural gas assets, less reimbursements for electricity-related expenses recorded as revenue and cost of product for natural gas assets, plus distributions from WES's equity investments in Fort Union and Rendezvous, and excluding the noncontrolling interest owner's proportionate share of revenue and cost of product. See the reconciliation of Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets to its most comparable GAAP measure under How WES Evaluates Its Operations—Reconciliation to GAAP measures within this Item 7.

Adjusted gross margin for crude/NGL assets is calculated as total revenues and other for crude/NGL assets, less reimbursements for electricity-related expenses recorded as revenue and cost of product for crude/NGL assets, plus distributions from WES's equity investments in White Cliffs, the Mont Belvieu JV, and the TEFIR Interests. See the reconciliation of Adjusted gross margin for crude/NGL assets to its most comparable GAAP measure under How WES Evaluates Its Operations—Reconciliation to GAAP measures within this Item 7.

For a reconciliation of Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP, Adjusted EBITDA attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP and Distributable cash flow to the most directly comparable financial measure calculated and presented in accordance with GAAP, see How WES Evaluates Its Operations—Reconciliation to GAAP measures within this Item 7.

Average for period. Calculated as Adjusted gross margin attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets, divided by total throughput (MMcf/d) attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets.

Average for period. Calculated as Adjusted gross margin for crude/NGL assets, divided by total throughput (MBbls/d) for crude/NGL assets.

Adjusted gross margin. Adjusted gross margin increased by \$86.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to an increase in volumes at the DJ Basin and DBM complexes and the Haley system. These increases were partially offset by the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015 and lower volumes at the MGR assets and the Springfield and Hugoton systems.

Adjusted gross margin increased by \$154.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to the start-up of Lancaster Train I in April 2014 and Lancaster Train II in June 2015 (both part of the DJ Basin complex), the acquisition of DBM in November 2014 and higher volumes at the Springfield gas gathering system. This increase was partially offset by margin decreases at the Granger complex due to lower average pricing, at the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems due to a decrease in the average gathering rate and at the Chipeta complex due to lower volumes, as well as the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in July 2015.

Adjusted gross margin per Mcf attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets increased by \$0.09 for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to increased volumes in the DJ Basin due to the start-up of Lancaster Train II in June 2015 (within the DJ Basin complex) and increased volumes in West Texas due to the start-up of Trains IV and V (both within the DBM complex) in May 2016 and October 2016, respectively. These

increases were partially offset by decreased volumes at the Springfield gas gathering system.

Adjusted gross margin per Mcf attributable to Western Gas Partners, LP for natural gas assets increased by \$0.03 for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to the start-up of Lancaster Train I in April 2014 and Lancaster Train II in June 2015 (both within the DJ Basin complex), the acquisition of DBM in November 2014 and higher volumes at the Springfield gas gathering system.

Table of Contents

Adjusted gross margin per Bbl for crude/NGL assets increased by \$0.18 for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to higher distributions received from the Mont Belvieu JV and White Cliffs.

Adjusted gross margin per Bbl for crude/NGL assets increased by \$0.09 for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to higher volumes at the Springfield oil gathering system.

Adjusted EBITDA. Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$120.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to a \$52.2 million increase in total revenues and other, a \$33.9 million decrease in cost of product (net of lower of cost or market inventory adjustments), a \$24.0 million decrease in operation and maintenance expenses, \$16.3 million in business interruption proceeds, and a \$5.1 million increase in distributions from equity investments. These amounts were partially offset by a \$6.9 million increase in property and other tax expense, a \$3.1 million increase in general and administrative expenses excluding non-cash equity-based compensation expense, and a \$0.9 million increase in net income attributable to noncontrolling interest.

Adjusted EBITDA increased by \$124.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to a \$218.7 million increase in total revenues and other, a \$17.3 million increase in distributions from equity investments and a \$3.9 million decrease in net income attributable to noncontrolling interest. These amounts were partially offset by a \$69.5 million increase in cost of product (net of lower of cost or market inventory adjustments), a \$38.3 million increase in operation and maintenance expenses, a \$4.4 million increase in property and other tax expense, and a \$2.5 million increase in general and administrative expenses excluding non-cash equity-based compensation expense.

Distributable cash flow. Distributable cash flow increased by \$71.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to a \$120.6 million increase in Adjusted EBITDA and \$27.4 million from the above-market component of the swap extensions with Anadarko, where such amount related to the above-market component of swaps did not exist prior to the extensions executed on July 1, 2015. These amounts were partially offset by distributions of \$45.8 million on the WES Series A Preferred units issued in 2016, a \$20.4 million increase in net cash paid for interest expense and a \$9.7 million increase in cash paid for maintenance capital expenditures.

Distributable cash flow increased by \$120.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to a \$124.7 million increase in Adjusted EBITDA and \$18.4 million from the above-market component of the swap extensions with Anadarko, where such amount related to the above-market component of swaps did not exist prior to the extensions executed on July 1, 2015. These amounts were partially offset by a \$21.2 million increase in net cash paid for interest expense and a \$1.7 million increase in cash paid for maintenance capital expenditures.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

WES's primary cash requirements are for acquisitions and capital expenditures, debt service, customary operating expenses, quarterly distributions to its limited partners and to WES GP, and distributions to its noncontrolling interest owner. WES's sources of liquidity as of December 31, 2016, included cash and cash equivalents, cash flows generated from operations, interest income on WES's \$260.0 million note receivable from Anadarko, available borrowing capacity under the WES RCF, and issuances of additional equity or debt securities. WES believes that cash flows generated from these sources will be sufficient to satisfy its short-term working capital requirements and long-term maintenance and expansion capital expenditure requirements. The amount of future distributions to unitholders will depend on its results of operations, financial condition, capital requirements and other factors, including the extension of commodity price swap agreements, and will be determined by WES GP's Board of Directors on a quarterly basis. Due to WES's cash distribution policy, WES expects to rely on external financing sources, including equity and debt issuances, to fund expansion capital expenditures and future acquisitions. However, to limit interest expense, WES may use operating cash flows to fund expansion capital expenditures or acquisitions, which could result in subsequent borrowings under the WES RCF to pay distributions or fund other short-term working capital requirements.

WES has filed an insurance claim for the incident at the DBM complex and is currently in the adjusting process with insurers. Recoveries from the business interruption claim related to the DBM outage are recognized as income when cash proceeds are received from insurers. As of December 31, 2016, WES had received \$33.8 million in cash proceeds

from insurers related to the incident at the DBM complex, including \$16.3 million for business interruption insurance claims and \$17.5 million for property insurance claims (see Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). In January 2017, WES received an additional \$29.8 million in cash proceeds that will be recorded in the first quarter of 2017, including \$5.8 million for business interruption insurance claims and \$24.0 million for property insurance claims.

Table of Contents

WES has made cash distributions to its unitholders each quarter since its IPO and has increased its quarterly distribution each quarter since the second quarter of 2009. The Board of Directors of WES GP declared a cash distribution to WES unitholders for the fourth quarter of 2016 of \$0.860 per unit, or \$170.7 million in aggregate, including incentive distributions, but excluding distributions on WES's Class C units and Series A Preferred units. The cash distribution was paid on February 13, 2017, to WES unitholders of record at the close of business on February 2, 2017. In connection with the closing of the DBM acquisition in November 2014, WES issued Class C units that will receive distributions in the form of additional Class C units until the end of 2017, unless earlier converted (see Note 3—Partnership Distributions in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K). The Class C unit distribution, if paid in cash, would have been \$10.6 million for the fourth quarter of 2016. In connection with the closing of the Springfield acquisition in March 2016, WES issued the March 2016 Series A units, and in April 2016, WES issued the April 2016 Series A units pursuant to the full exercise of the option granted in connection with the March 2016 Series A units issuance. These WES Series A Preferred units will receive quarterly distributions in cash equal to \$0.68 per Series A Preferred unit, subject to certain adjustments. See Note 3—Partnership Distributions in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. For the quarter ended December 31, 2016, the WES Series A Preferred unitholders received an aggregate cash distribution of \$14.9 million, paid in February 2017.

WES's management continuously monitors its leverage position and coordinates its capital expenditure program, quarterly distributions and acquisition strategy with its expected cash flows and projected debt-repayment schedule. WES's management will continue to evaluate funding alternatives, including additional borrowings and the issuance of debt or equity securities, to secure funds as needed or to refinance outstanding debt balances with longer term notes. To facilitate a potential debt or equity securities issuance, WES has the ability to sell securities under its shelf registration statements. WES's ability to generate cash flows is subject to a number of factors, some of which are beyond its control. Read Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K.

Working capital. As of December 31, 2016, WES had \$278.7 million of working capital, which it defines as the amount by which current assets exceed current liabilities. Working capital includes the estimated property insurance receivable related to the DBM outage. See Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. Working capital is an indication of liquidity and potential need for short-term funding. Working capital requirements are driven by changes in accounts receivable and accounts payable and factors such as credit extended to, and the timing of collections from, WES's customers, and the level and timing of its spending for maintenance and expansion activity. As of December 31, 2016, WES had \$1.195 billion available for borrowing under the WES RCF. In addition, we have \$30.0 million available for borrowing under the WGP WCF and \$222.0 million available for borrowing under the WGP RCF. See Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Capital expenditures. WES's business is capital intensive, requiring significant investment to maintain and improve existing facilities or develop new midstream infrastructure. WES categorizes capital expenditures as either of the following:

maintenance capital expenditures, which include those expenditures required to maintain the existing operating capacity and service capability of WES's assets, such as to replace system components and equipment that have been subject to significant use over time, become obsolete or reached the end of their useful lives, to remain in compliance with regulatory or legal requirements or to complete additional well connections to maintain existing system throughput and related cash flows; or

expansion capital expenditures, which include expenditures to construct new midstream infrastructure and those expenditures incurred to extend the useful lives of WES's assets, reduce costs, increase revenues or increase system throughput or capacity from current levels, including well connections that increase existing system throughput.

Table of Contents

Capital expenditures in the consolidated statements of cash flows reflect capital expenditures on a cash basis, when payments are made. Capital incurred is presented on an accrual basis. WES's capital expenditures as presented in the consolidated statements of cash flows and capital incurred were as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Acquisitions | \$716,465 | \$14,417 | \$1,902,520 |
| Expansion capital expenditures | \$410,221 | \$583,282 | \$752,207 |
| Maintenance capital expenditures | 63,637 | 54,221 | 52,615 |
| Total capital expenditures ^{(1) (2)} | \$473,858 | \$637,503 | \$804,822 |
| Capital incurred ⁽²⁾ | \$491,349 | \$566,045 | \$833,872 |

⁽¹⁾ Capital expenditures for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, are presented net of \$6.1 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, of contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates.

Includes the noncontrolling interest owner's share of Chipeta's capital expenditures for all periods presented. For the ⁽²⁾ years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, included \$5.6 million, \$8.3 million and \$9.8 million, respectively, of capitalized interest.

Acquisitions during 2016 included Springfield and equipment purchases from Anadarko. Acquisitions during 2015 included equipment purchases from Anadarko and the post-closing purchase price adjustments related to the DBM acquisition. Acquisitions during 2014 included DBM and the TEFIR Interests. See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, decreased by \$163.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. Expansion capital expenditures decreased by \$173.1 million (including a \$2.8 million decrease in capitalized interest) for the year ended December 31, 2016, primarily due to a decrease of \$188.8 million at the DJ Basin complex as a result of decreased activity in 2016. In addition, there were decreases of \$35.7 million at the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems, \$30.0 million at the Springfield system, \$18.4 million at the Hilight system, \$13.5 million at the Haley system, and \$9.3 million at the Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest systems. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$102.8 million due to continued construction at the DBM complex and an increase of \$24.5 million at the DBJV system. Maintenance capital expenditures increased by \$9.4 million, primarily due to an increase at the DBM complex, partially offset by decreased expenditures at the DJ Basin complex and the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest and Springfield systems.

Capital expenditures, excluding acquisitions, decreased by \$167.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015. Expansion capital expenditures decreased by \$168.9 million (including a \$1.5 million decrease in capitalized interest) for the year ended December 31, 2015, primarily due to a decrease of \$200.4 million at the DJ Basin complex related to compression projects in 2014 and less activity in 2015 at the Lancaster plant. In addition, there were decreases of \$47.9 million at the Springfield system, \$39.9 million at the Hilight system, \$14.2 million at the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems, \$13.9 million at the Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest systems, \$12.6 million at the Brasada complex and \$11.1 million at the Red Desert complex. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$163.5 million due to the acquisition of DBM in November 2014 and \$12.1 million at the DBJV system.

WES estimates its total capital expenditures for the year ending December 31, 2017, including its 75% share of Chipeta's capital expenditures and excluding acquisitions, to be between \$900 million and \$1.0 billion and its maintenance capital expenditures to be between \$60 million and \$80 million. Expected 2017 projects are focused in West Texas and include continued expansion at the DBJV system, construction of Train VI at the DBM complex, two initial trains at the Mentone gas plant and two produced-water disposal systems. See Assets Under Development under Part I, Items 1 and 2 of this Form 10-K. WES's future expansion capital expenditures may vary significantly from period to period based on the investment opportunities available to it, which are dependent, in part, on the drilling

activities of Anadarko and third-party producers. WES expects to fund future capital expenditures from cash flows generated from operations, interest income from its note receivable from Anadarko, borrowings under the WES RCF, the issuance of additional WES units or debt offerings.

Table of Contents

WES's historical cash flow. The following table and discussion present a summary of WES's net cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities, investing activities and financing activities:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Net cash provided by (used in): | | | |
| Operating activities | \$917,585 | \$785,645 | \$694,495 |
| Investing activities | (1,105,534) | (500,277) | (2,740,175) |
| Financing activities | 447,841 | (254,389) | 2,011,970 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | \$259,892 | \$30,979 | \$(33,710) |

Operating Activities. Net cash provided by operating activities during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, increased primarily due to the impact of changes in working capital items. Refer to Operating Results within this Item 7 for a discussion of WES's results of operations as compared to the prior periods.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016, included the following:

\$712.5 million of cash paid for the acquisition of Springfield;

\$473.9 million of capital expenditures, net of \$6.1 million of contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates, primarily related to plant construction and expansion at the DBM and DJ Basin complexes and the DBJV system;

\$4.0 million of cash paid for equipment purchases from Anadarko;

\$21.2 million of distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings; and

\$17.5 million of proceeds from property insurance claims attributable to the DBM outage.

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015, included the following:

\$637.5 million of capital expenditures, net of \$0.5 million of contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates, primarily related to the construction of Train IV at the DBM complex, continued construction of Lancaster Train II (within the DJ Basin complex) and expansion at the DBJV system;

\$10.9 million of cash paid for equipment purchases from Anadarko;

\$11.4 million of cash contributed to equity investments, primarily related to expansion projects at White Cliffs, TEP and FRP;

\$3.5 million of cash paid for post-closing purchase price adjustments related to the DBM acquisition;

\$145.6 million of net proceeds from the sale of the Dew and Pinnacle systems in East Texas; and

\$16.2 million of distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014, included the following:

\$1.5 billion of cash paid for the acquisition of DBM, net of \$30.6 million of cash acquired;

\$804.8 million of capital expenditures, net of \$0.2 million of contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates, primarily related to the construction of Lancaster Trains I and II, as well as compression expansion projects, all within the DJ Basin complex;

\$356.3 million of cash paid for the acquisition of the TEFIR Interests;

\$42.0 million of cash paid related to the construction of the Front Range Pipeline, which was completed in March 2014;

\$22.9 million of cash paid for equipment purchases from Anadarko;

\$10.5 million of cash paid for White Cliffs expansion projects;

\$6.6 million of cash paid related to the construction of the Texas Express Pipeline, which was completed in November 2013;

\$18.1 million of distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings; and

\$13.0 million of net proceeds, after closing adjustments, from the sale of a gathering system to a third party in September 2014.

Financing Activities. Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2016, included the following:

\$599.3 million of borrowings under the WES RCF, net of extension costs, which were used to fund a portion of the Springfield acquisition and for general partnership purposes, including funding capital expenditures;

\$494.6 million of net proceeds from the WES 2026 Notes offering in July 2016, after underwriting and original issue discounts and offering costs, all of which was used to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF;

\$440.0 million of net proceeds from the March 2016 Series A units issuance, all of which was used to fund a portion of the acquisition of Springfield;

\$246.9 million of net proceeds from the April 2016 Series A units issuance, all of which was used to pay down amounts borrowed under the WES RCF in connection with the Springfield acquisition;

\$203.3 million of net proceeds from the offering of additional WES 2044 Notes in October 2016, after underwriting discounts and original issue premium and offering costs, all of which was used to repay amounts then outstanding under the WES RCF and for general partnership purposes, including capital expenditures;

\$25.0 million of net proceeds from the sale of WES common units to WGP, all of which was used to fund a portion of the acquisition of Springfield;

\$45.8 million of capital contribution from Anadarko related to the above-market component of swap extensions;

\$900.0 million of repayments of outstanding borrowing under the WES RCF;

\$671.9 million of distributions paid to WES unitholders;

\$23.5 million of net distributions paid to Anadarko representing pre-acquisition intercompany transactions attributable to Springfield; and

109

Table of Contents

\$13.8 million of distributions paid to the noncontrolling interest owner of Chipeta.

Net cash used in financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015, included the following:

\$610.0 million of repayments of outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF;

\$545.1 million of distributions paid to WES unitholders;

\$49.8 million of net distributions paid to Anadarko representing pre-acquisition intercompany transactions attributable to Springfield and DBJV;

\$12.2 million of distributions paid to the noncontrolling interest owner of Chipeta;

\$489.6 million of net proceeds from the 2025 Notes offering in June 2015, after underwriting and original issue discounts and offering costs, all of which was used to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF;

\$400.0 million of borrowings under the WES RCF, which were used for general partnership purposes, including funding capital expenditures;

\$57.4 million of net proceeds from sales of WES common units under its \$500.0 million COP (as discussed in Securities within this Item 7). Net proceeds were used for general partnership purposes, including funding capital expenditures; and

\$18.4 million of capital contribution from Anadarko related to the above-market component of swap extensions.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014, included the following:

\$750.0 million of proceeds from WES's issuance of Class C units to a subsidiary of Anadarko, all of which was used to fund a portion of the acquisition of DBM;

- \$603.0 million of net proceeds from the WES November 2014 equity offering, including net proceeds from a capital contribution by WES GP, part of which was used to fund a portion of the acquisition of DBM;

\$475.0 million of borrowings to fund a portion of the acquisition of DBM;

\$389.5 million of net proceeds from the WES 2044 Notes offering in March 2014, after underwriting and original issue discounts and offering costs, all of which was used to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF;

\$350.0 million of borrowings to fund the acquisition of the TEFIR Interests;

\$335.0 million of borrowings to fund capital expenditures and general partnership purposes;

\$100.0 million of net proceeds from the offering of additional WES 2018 Notes in March 2014, after underwriting discounts, original issue premium and offering costs, part of which was used to repay a portion of the outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF;

-

\$83.2 million of net proceeds from sales of WES common units under WES's \$125.0 million COP, including net proceeds from capital contributions by WES GP;

\$18.1 million of net proceeds related to the partial exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option granted in connection with WES's December 2013 equity offering;

Table of Contents

\$650.0 million of repayments of outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF;

\$408.6 million of distributions paid to WES unitholders;

\$16.4 million of net distributions to Anadarko representing intercompany transactions attributable to WES's acquisitions of Springfield, DBJV and the TEFIR Interests; and

\$15.1 million of distributions paid to the noncontrolling interest owner of Chipeta.

Debt and credit facility. At December 31, 2016, WES's debt consisted of \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2021 Notes, \$670.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2022 Notes, \$350.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2018 Notes, \$600.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2044 Notes, \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2025 Notes, and \$500.0 million aggregate principal amount of the 2026 Notes. As of December 31, 2016, the carrying value of WES's outstanding debt was \$3.1 billion. See Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

WES Senior Notes. In October 2016, WES issued an additional \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 2044 Notes at a price to the public of 102.776% of the face amount plus accrued interest from October 1, 2016 to the settlement date. These notes were offered as additional notes under the indenture governing the 2044 Notes issued in March 2014 and are treated as a single class of securities with the 2044 Notes under such indenture. Including the effects of (i) the issuance premium for the October 2016 offering of the 2044 Notes, (ii) the issuance discount for the March 2014 offering of the 2044 Notes and (iii) the underwriting discounts, the effective interest rate of the 2044 Notes is 5.530%. Proceeds (net of underwriting discount of \$1.8 million and debt issuance costs, and excluding accrued interest from October 1, 2016 to the settlement date) were used to repay amounts then outstanding under the WES RCF. The remaining proceeds will be used for general partnership purposes, including capital expenditures. The 2026 Notes issued in July 2016 were offered at a price to the public of 99.796% of the face amount. Including the effects of the issuance and underwriting discounts, the effective interest rate of the 2026 Notes is 4.787%. Interest is paid semi-annually on January 1 and July 1 of each year. Proceeds (net of underwriting discount of \$3.1 million, original issue discount and debt issuance costs) were used to repay a portion of the amount outstanding under the WES RCF.

The 2025 Notes issued in June 2015 were offered at a price to the public of 98.789% of the face amount. Including the effects of the issuance and underwriting discounts, the effective interest rate of the 2025 Notes is 4.205%. Interest is paid semi-annually on June 1 and December 1 of each year. Proceeds (net of underwriting discount of \$3.3 million, original issue discount and debt issuance costs) were used to repay a portion of the amount outstanding under the WES RCF.

At December 31, 2016, WES was in compliance with all covenants under the indentures governing its outstanding notes.

WES RCF. The \$1.2 billion WES RCF, which is expandable to a maximum of \$1.5 billion, and bears interest at LIBOR, plus applicable margins ranging from 0.975% to 1.45%, or an alternate base rate equal to the greatest of (a) the Prime Rate, (b) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5%, or (c) LIBOR plus 1%, in each case plus applicable margins currently ranging from zero to 0.45%, based upon WES's senior unsecured debt rating. In December 2016, the WES RCF was amended to extend the maturity date from February 2019 to February 2020. WES is required to pay a quarterly facility fee currently ranging from 0.15% to 0.30% of the commitment amount (whether used or unused), based upon WES's senior unsecured debt rating. As of December 31, 2016, WES had no outstanding WES RCF borrowings and \$4.9 million in outstanding letters of credit, resulting in \$1.195 billion available for borrowing under the WES RCF. At December 31, 2016, the facility fee rate was 0.20% and WES was in compliance with all covenants under the WES RCF.

Table of Contents

The WES RCF contains certain covenants that limit, among other things, WES's ability, and that of certain of its subsidiaries, to incur additional indebtedness, grant certain liens, merge, consolidate or allow any material change in the character of its business, enter into certain affiliate transactions and use proceeds other than for partnership purposes. The WES RCF also contains various customary covenants, customary events of default and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio as of the end of each fiscal quarter (which is defined as the ratio of consolidated indebtedness as of the last day of a fiscal quarter to Consolidated Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization for the most recent four consecutive fiscal quarters ending on such day) of 5.0 to 1.0, or a consolidated leverage ratio of 5.5 to 1.0 with respect to quarters ending in the 270-day period immediately following certain acquisitions. At December 31, 2016, WES was in compliance with all remaining covenants under the WES RCF. All of WES's notes and obligations under the WES RCF are recourse to WES GP. WES GP is indemnified by wholly owned subsidiaries of Anadarko against any claims made against WES GP for WES's long-term debt and/or borrowings under the WES RCF.

In April 2016, WES repaid \$250.0 million of outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF, \$246.9 million of which was funded with the proceeds from the issuance of the April 2016 Series A units. See Note 4—Equity and Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Interest rate agreements. In June 2016, WES entered into a U.S. Treasury rate lock agreement to mitigate the risk of rising interest rates on existing variable-rate debt expected to be refinanced during the third quarter of 2016. The rate lock agreement was not designated as a cash flow hedge and was settled in June 2016 upon the offering of the WES 2026 Notes that closed in July 2016. WES realized a loss of \$0.2 million at settlement, which is included in Other income (expense), net in the consolidated statements of operations.

Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko. The consideration to be paid by WES for the March 2015 acquisition of DBJV consists of a cash payment to Anadarko due on March 31, 2020. The cash payment will be equal to (a) eight multiplied by the average of WES's share in the Net Earnings (see definition below) of DBJV for the calendar years 2018 and 2019, less (b) WES's share of all capital expenditures incurred for DBJV between March 1, 2015, and February 29, 2020. Net Earnings is defined as all revenues less cost of product, operating expenses and property taxes, in each case attributable to DBJV on an accrual basis. As of the acquisition date, the estimated future payment obligation (based on management's estimate of WES's share of forecasted Net Earnings and capital expenditures for DBJV) was \$282.8 million, which had a net present value of \$174.3 million, using a discount rate of 10%. During the year ended December 31, 2016, WES recognized an aggregate \$226.4 million decrease in the estimated future payment obligation, resulting in a net present value of \$41.4 million for this obligation at December 31, 2016, calculated using a discounted cash flow model with a 10% discount rate. The reduction in the value of the deferred purchase price obligation is primarily due to revisions reflecting an increase in WES's estimate of aggregate capital expenditures to be incurred by DBJV through February 29, 2020, partially offset by an increase in WES's estimate of 2018 and 2019 Net Earnings. The accretion revision, which was recorded as a reduction to Interest expense, was \$7.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2016. Accretion expense was \$14.4 million and zero for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Securities. WES may issue an indeterminate amount of common units and various debt securities under its effective shelf registration statement on file with the SEC. WES may issue common units under its \$500.0 million COP, in amounts, at prices and on terms to be determined by market conditions and other factors at the time of WES's offerings. As of December 31, 2016, WES had the capacity to issue additional common units with an aggregate sales price of up to \$441.8 million under the \$500.0 million COP. See Note 4—Equity and Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for a discussion of trades completed by WES under its \$500.0 million COP.

In March 2016, in connection with the issuance of the March 2016 Series A units, WES entered into a Registration Rights Agreement with the Series A Preferred unit purchasers, pursuant to which WES agreed to use its commercially reasonable efforts to file and maintain a registration statement with respect to the resale of WES common units that are issuable upon conversion of the WES Series A Preferred units. See Note 4—Equity and Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for a discussion of the WES Series A Preferred units.

Credit risk. As stated above, our assets consist solely of ownership interests in WES. Accordingly, we are dependent upon WES's ability to pay cash distributions to us. WES bears credit risk represented by its exposure to non-payment or non-performance by its counterparties, including Anadarko, financial institutions, customers and other parties. Generally, non-payment or non-performance results from a customer's inability to satisfy payables to WES for services rendered or volumes owed pursuant to gas imbalance agreements. WES examines and monitors the creditworthiness of third-party customers and may establish credit limits for third-party customers. A substantial portion of WES's throughput, however, comes from producers that have investment-grade ratings.

WES is dependent upon a single producer, Anadarko, for a substantial portion of its volumes (excluding equity investment throughput), and WES does not maintain a credit limit with respect to Anadarko. Consequently, WES is subject to the risk of non-payment or late payment by Anadarko for gathering, processing and transportation fees and for proceeds from the sale of residue, NGLs and condensate to Anadarko.

WES expects its exposure to concentrated risk of non-payment or non-performance to continue for as long as it remains substantially dependent on Anadarko for its revenues. Additionally, WES is exposed to credit risk on the note receivable from Anadarko. WES is also party to agreements with Anadarko under which Anadarko is required to indemnify WES for certain environmental claims, losses arising from rights-of-way claims, failures to obtain required consents or governmental permits and income taxes with respect to the assets acquired from Anadarko. Finally, WES has entered into various commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko in order to reduce its exposure to a majority of the commodity price risk inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts, and is subject to performance risk thereunder. See Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

WES's ability to make distributions to its unitholders may be adversely impacted if Anadarko becomes unable to perform under the terms of its gathering, processing and transportation agreements, natural gas and NGL purchase agreements, Anadarko's note payable to WES, the WES omnibus agreement, the services and secondment agreement, contribution agreements or the commodity price swap agreements.

Table of Contents

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following is a summary of WES's contractual cash obligations as of December 31, 2016. The table below excludes amounts classified as current liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets, other than the current portions of the categories listed within the table. It is expected that the majority of the excluded current liabilities will be paid in cash in 2017.

| thousands | Obligations by Period | | | | | | Total |
|---|-----------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | Thereafter | |
| Long-term debt | | | | | | | |
| Principal | \$— | \$350,000 | \$— | \$— | \$500,000 | \$2,270,000 | \$3,120,000 |
| Interest | 138,475 | 135,026 | 129,375 | 129,375 | 112,727 | 934,645 | 1,579,623 |
| Asset retirement obligations | 3,114 | — | 395 | — | 1,564 | 137,334 | 142,407 |
| Capital expenditures | 50,935 | — | — | — | — | — | 50,935 |
| Credit facility fees | 2,400 | 2,400 | 2,400 | 375 | — | — | 7,575 |
| Environmental obligations | 630 | 452 | 452 | 302 | 302 | 32 | 2,170 |
| Operating leases | 7,322 | 898 | 764 | 122 | — | — | 9,106 |
| Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko | — | — | — | 56,455 | — | — | 56,455 |
| Total | \$202,876 | \$488,776 | \$133,386 | \$186,629 | \$614,593 | \$3,342,011 | \$4,968,271 |

Credit facility fees. For additional information on credit facility fees required under the WES RCF, see Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Asset retirement obligations. When assets are acquired or constructed, the initial estimated asset retirement obligation is recognized in an amount equal to the net present value of the settlement obligation, with an associated increase in properties and equipment. Revisions to estimated asset retirement obligations can result from revisions to estimated inflation rates and discount rates, changes in retirement costs and the estimated timing of settlement. For additional information, see Note 11—Asset Retirement Obligations in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Capital expenditures. Included in this amount are capital obligations related to WES expansion projects. WES has other planned capital and investment projects that are discretionary in nature, with no substantial contractual obligations made in advance of the actual expenditures. See Note 13—Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Environmental obligations. WGP, through its partnership interests in WES, is subject to various environmental-remediation obligations arising from federal, state and local laws and regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. WES regularly monitors the remediation and reclamation process and the liabilities recorded and believes that the amounts reflected in its recorded environmental obligations are adequate to fund remedial actions to comply with present laws and regulations. For additional information on environmental obligations, see Note 13—Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Operating leases. Anadarko, on WES's behalf, has entered into lease agreements for corporate offices, shared field offices and a warehouse supporting WES's operations, for which it charges WES rent. The amounts above represent existing contractual operating lease obligations that may be assigned or otherwise charged to WES pursuant to the reimbursement provisions of the WES omnibus agreement. See Note 13—Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko. WES acquired Anadarko's interest in DBJV in March 2015. WES will make a cash payment on March 31, 2020, to Anadarko as consideration for the acquisition of DBJV. WES currently estimates the future payment will be \$56.5 million. See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

For additional information on contracts, obligations and arrangements we and WES enter into from time to time, see Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates and Note 13—Commitments and Contingencies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make informed judgments and estimates that affect the amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and affect the amounts of revenues and expenses recognized during the periods reported. On an ongoing basis, management reviews its estimates, including those related to the determination of property, plant and equipment, asset retirement obligations, litigation, environmental liabilities, income taxes and fair values. Although these estimates are based on management's best available knowledge of current and expected future events, changes in facts and circumstances or discovery of new information may result in revised estimates, and actual results may differ from these estimates. WES's management considers the following to be its most critical accounting estimates that involve judgment and it discusses the selection and development of these estimates with WES GP's Audit Committee. For additional information concerning accounting policies, see Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Depreciation. Depreciation expense is generally computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the assets. Determination of depreciation expense requires judgment regarding the estimated useful lives and salvage values of property, plant and equipment. As circumstances warrant, depreciation estimates are reviewed to determine if any changes in the underlying assumptions are necessary. The weighted-average life of WES's long-lived assets is 24 years. If the depreciable lives of WES's assets were reduced by 10%, WES estimates that annual depreciation expense would increase by \$30.4 million, which would result in a corresponding reduction in WES's operating income (loss).

Impairments of tangible assets. Property, plant and equipment are generally stated at the lower of historical cost less accumulated depreciation or fair value, if impaired. Because acquisitions of assets from Anadarko are transfers of net assets between entities under common control, the WES assets acquired by WES from Anadarko are initially recorded at Anadarko's historic carrying value. Assets acquired in a business combination or non-monetary exchange with a third party are initially recorded at fair value. Property, plant and equipment balances are evaluated for potential impairment when events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable from expected undiscounted cash flows from the use and eventual disposition of an asset. If the carrying amount of the asset is not expected to be recoverable from future undiscounted cash flows, an impairment may be recognized. Any impairment is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of the asset over its estimated fair value. In assessing long-lived assets for impairments, WES's management evaluates changes in its business and economic conditions and their implications for recoverability of the assets' carrying amounts. Since a significant portion of WES's revenues arises from gathering, processing and transporting the natural gas production from Anadarko-operated properties, significant downward revisions in reserve estimates or changes in future development plans by Anadarko, to the extent they affect WES's operations, may necessitate assessment of the carrying amount of its affected assets for recoverability. Such assessment requires application of judgment regarding the use and ultimate disposition of the asset, long-range revenue and expense estimates, global and regional economic conditions, including commodity prices and drilling activity by WES's customers, as well as other factors affecting estimated future net cash flows. The measure of impairments to be recognized, if any, depends upon management's estimate of the asset's fair value, which

may be determined based on the estimates of future net cash flows or values at which similar assets were transferred in the market in recent transactions, if such data is available. See Note 7—Property, Plant and Equipment in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for a description of impairments recorded during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Table of Contents

Impairments of goodwill. Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price of a business acquired exceeds the fair market value of the tangible and separately measurable intangible net assets. In addition, goodwill represents the allocated portion of Anadarko's midstream goodwill attributed to the assets WGP, through its consolidation of WES, has acquired from Anadarko. The carrying value of Anadarko's midstream goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price paid to a third-party entity over the estimated fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed by Anadarko. Accordingly, WES's allocated goodwill balance does not represent, and in some cases is significantly different from, the difference between the consideration WES paid for its acquisitions from Anadarko and the fair value of such net assets on their respective acquisition dates.

WES evaluates whether goodwill has been impaired annually as of October 1, unless facts and circumstances make it necessary to test more frequently. Accounting standards require that goodwill be assessed for impairment at the reporting unit level. Management has determined that WES has one operating segment and two reporting units: (i) gathering and processing and (ii) transportation. The carrying value of goodwill as of December 31, 2016, was \$412.8 million for the gathering and processing reporting unit and \$4.8 million for the transportation reporting unit. WES allocated \$1.6 million of goodwill to its divestiture of the Hugoton system upon sale in October 2016 and \$5.1 million of goodwill to its divestiture of the Dew and Pinnacle systems upon sale in July 2015. See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

The first step in assessing whether an impairment of goodwill is necessary is a qualitative assessment to determine the likelihood of whether the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, including goodwill. If WES concludes it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds the related carrying amount, then goodwill is not impaired and further testing is not necessary. If the qualitative assessment indicates the fair value of the reporting unit may be less than its carrying amount, WES would compare the estimated fair value of the reporting unit to which goodwill is assigned to the carrying amount of the associated net assets, including goodwill, and determine whether an impairment is necessary.

When evaluating whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, relevant events and circumstances are assessed, including the following:

- significant changes in WES's unit price;
- significant declines in commodity prices;
- significant increases in operating and capital costs;
- impairments recognized;
- acquisitions and disposals of assets;
- changes in throughput; and
- significant declines in trading multiples for WES's peers.

In this manner, estimating the fair value of WES's reporting units was not necessary based on the qualitative evaluation as of October 1, 2016. Procedures were also performed in the fourth quarter of 2016 to review any changes in circumstances subsequent to the annual test, including changes in commodity prices, and WES concluded that estimating the fair value of WES's reporting units was not necessary at that time either. However, fair-value estimates of WES's reporting units may be required for goodwill impairment testing in the future, and if the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, goodwill is written down to the implied fair value through a charge to operating expense based on a hypothetical purchase price allocation.

Table of Contents

Because quoted market prices for WES's reporting units are not available, WES's management must apply judgment in determining the estimated fair value of reporting units for purposes of performing the goodwill impairment test, when necessary. Management uses information available to make these fair-value estimates, including market multiples of EBITDA. Specifically, WES's management estimates fair value by applying an estimated multiple to projected EBITDA. Management considered observable transactions in the market, as well as trading multiples for peers, to determine an appropriate multiple to apply against WES's projected EBITDA. A lower fair-value estimate in the future for any of WES's reporting units could result in a goodwill impairment. Factors that could trigger a lower fair-value estimate include sustained price declines, throughput declines, cost increases, regulatory or political environment changes, and other changes in market conditions such as decreased prices in market-based transactions for similar assets. Based on WES's most recent goodwill impairment test, WES concluded, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of each reporting unit exceeded the carrying value of the reporting unit. Therefore, no goodwill impairment was indicated, and no goodwill impairment has been recognized in our consolidated financial statements.

Impairments of intangible assets. WES's intangible asset balance as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, primarily represents the fair value, net of amortization, of (i) contracts WES assumed in connection with the Platte Valley acquisition in February 2011, which are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 50 years, (ii) interconnect agreements at Chipeta entered into in November 2012, which are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 10 years, and (iii) contracts WES assumed in connection with the DBM acquisition in November 2014, which are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 30 years. See Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures and Note 8—Goodwill and Intangibles in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Management assesses intangible assets for impairment together with the related underlying long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Impairments exist when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds estimates of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. When alternative courses of action to recover the carrying amount of a long-lived asset are under consideration, estimates of future undiscounted cash flows take into account possible outcomes and probabilities of their occurrence. If the carrying amount of the long-lived asset is not recoverable based on the estimated future undiscounted cash flows, the impairment loss is measured as the excess of the asset's carrying amount over its estimated fair value, such that the asset's carrying amount is adjusted to its estimated fair value with an offsetting charge to impairment expense. No intangible asset impairment has been recognized in connection with these assets.

Fair value. Management estimates fair value in performing impairment tests for long-lived assets and goodwill as well as for the initial measurement of asset retirement obligations and the initial recognition of environmental obligations assumed in third-party acquisitions. When WES's management is required to measure fair value and there is not a market-observable price for the asset or liability or a market-observable price for a similar asset or liability, management utilizes the cost, income, or market multiples valuation approach depending on the quality of information available to support management's assumptions. The cost approach is based on management's best estimate of the current asset replacement cost. The income approach uses management's best assumptions regarding expectations of projected cash flows, and discounts the expected cash flows using a commensurate risk adjusted discount rate. Such evaluations involve a significant amount of judgment, since the results are based on expected future events or conditions, such as sales prices, estimates of future throughput, capital and operating costs and the timing thereof, economic and regulatory climates and other factors. A multiple approach uses management's best assumptions regarding expectations of projected EBITDA and the multiple of that EBITDA that a buyer would pay to acquire an asset. Management's estimates of future net cash flows and EBITDA are inherently imprecise because they reflect management's expectation of future conditions that are often outside of management's control. However, assumptions used reflect a market participant's view of long-term prices, costs and other factors, and are consistent with assumptions used in WES's business plans and investment decisions.

Table of Contents

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements. WES does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements other than operating leases and standby letters of credit. The information pertaining to operating leases and WES's standby letters of credit required for this item is provided under Note 13—Commitments and Contingencies and Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense, respectively, included in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

See Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Commodity price risk. Certain of WES's processing services are provided under percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole agreements in which Anadarko is typically responsible for the marketing of the natural gas, condensate and NGLs. Under percent-of-proceeds agreements, WES receives a specified percentage of the net proceeds from the sale of residue and/or NGLs. Under keep-whole agreements, WES keeps 100% of the NGLs produced and the processed natural gas, or value of the natural gas, is returned to the producer, and since some of the gas is used and removed during processing, WES compensates the producer for the amount of gas used and removed in processing by supplying additional gas or by paying an agreed-upon value for the gas used.

To mitigate WES's exposure to a majority of the commodity price risk inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts, WES currently has in place commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko covering activity at the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets. On December 1, 2016, WES renewed these commodity price swap agreements through December 31, 2017, with an effective date of January 1, 2017. See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

We consider WES's exposure to commodity price risk associated with the above-described arrangements to be minimal given the existence of the commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko and the relatively small amount of WES's operating income (loss) that is impacted by changes in market prices. Accordingly, WES does not expect that a 10% increase or decrease in commodity prices would have a material impact on WES's operating income (loss), financial condition or cash flows for the next twelve months, excluding the effect of imbalances described below. We bear a limited degree of commodity price risk through our investment in WES with respect to settlement of WES's natural gas imbalances that arise from differences in gas volumes received into WES's systems and gas volumes delivered by WES to customers, as well as instances where WES's actual liquids recovery or fuel usage varies from the contractually stipulated amounts. Natural gas volumes owed to or by WES that are subject to monthly cash settlement are valued according to the terms of the contract as of the balance sheet dates, and generally reflect market index prices. Other natural gas volumes owed to or by WES are valued at WES's weighted-average cost of natural gas as of the balance sheet dates and are settled in-kind. WES's exposure to the impact of changes in commodity prices on outstanding imbalances depends on the timing of settlement of the imbalances.

Interest rate risk. Interest rates remained at or near historic lows during 2016. In December 2016, the Federal Open Market Committee raised the target range for the federal funds rate from 1/4 to 1/2 percent to 1/2 to 3/4 percent, representing the second federal funds rate increase in a decade. This increase, and any future increases, in the federal funds rate will ultimately result in an increase in financing costs. As of December 31, 2016, WGP had no outstanding borrowings under the WGP WCF, \$28.0 million of borrowings under the WGP RCF and WES had no outstanding borrowings under the WES RCF. The WGP WCF, WGP RCF and WES RCF all bear interest at a rate based on LIBOR or, in the case of the WGP RCF and WES RCF, an alternative base rate at WGP's or WES's option, respectively. A 10% change in LIBOR would have resulted in a nominal change in net income (loss) and the fair value

of any borrowings under the WES RCF, WGP WCF and WGP RCF at December 31, 2016.

Additional variable-rate debt may be incurred in the future, either under the WES RCF, WGP WCF, WGP RCF or other financing sources, including commercial bank borrowings or debt issuances.

Table of Contents

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|---|------------|
| <u>Report of Management</u> | <u>120</u> |
| <u>Management's Assessment of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u> | <u>120</u> |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>121</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u> | <u>123</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2016 and 2015</u> | <u>124</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Equity and Partners' Capital for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u> | <u>125</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014</u> | <u>126</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>127</u> |
| <u>Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies</u> | <u>127</u> |
| <u>Note 2. Acquisitions and Divestitures</u> | <u>138</u> |
| <u>Note 3. Partnership Distributions</u> | <u>140</u> |
| <u>Note 4. Equity and Partners' Capital</u> | <u>143</u> |
| <u>Note 5. Transactions with Affiliates</u> | <u>146</u> |
| <u>Note 6. Income Taxes</u> | <u>153</u> |
| <u>Note 7. Property, Plant and Equipment</u> | <u>154</u> |
| <u>Note 8. Goodwill and Intangibles</u> | <u>155</u> |
| <u>Note 9. Equity Investments</u> | <u>156</u> |
| <u>Note 10. Components of Working Capital</u> | <u>158</u> |
| <u>Note 11. Asset Retirement Obligations</u> | <u>159</u> |
| <u>Note 12. Debt and Interest Expense</u> | <u>159</u> |
| <u>Note 13. Commitments and Contingencies</u> | <u>162</u> |
| <u>Note 14. Subsequent Events</u> | <u>163</u> |

Supplemental Quarterly Information

164

119

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT

Management of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP's ("WGP") general partner prepared, and is responsible for, the consolidated financial statements and the other information appearing in this annual report. The consolidated financial statements present fairly WGP's financial position, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"). In preparing its consolidated financial statements, WGP includes amounts that are based on estimates and judgments that Management believes are reasonable under the circumstances. WGP's consolidated financial statements have been audited by KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm appointed by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. Management has made available to KPMG LLP all of WGP's financial records and related data, as well as the minutes of the Directors' meetings.

MANAGEMENT'S ASSESSMENT OF INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. WGP's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of WGP's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016. This assessment was based on criteria established in the Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission ("COSO"). Based on our assessment using the COSO criteria, we concluded WGP's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2016. KPMG LLP, WGP's independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of WGP's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016.

/s/ Benjamin M. Fink
Benjamin M. Fink
President, Chief Executive Officer,
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC
(as general partner of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP)

February 23, 2017

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Unitholders

Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC (as general partner of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP):

We have audited Western Gas Equity Partners, LP's (the Partnership) internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Western Gas Equity Partners, LP's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Assessment of Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Western Gas Equity Partners, LP maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity and partners' capital, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016, and our report dated February 23, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

/s/ KPMG LLP
Houston, Texas
February 23, 2017

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Unitholders

Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC (as general partner of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP):

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP (the Partnership) and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the related consolidated statements of operations, equity and partners' capital, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Partnership's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2016, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Western Gas Equity Partners, LP's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2016, based on criteria established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated February 23, 2017 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Partnership's internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP
Houston, Texas
February 23, 2017

Table of ContentsWESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|------------|-----------|
| thousands except per-unit amounts | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenues and other – affiliates | | | |
| Gathering, processing and transportation | \$750,087 | \$772,361 | \$615,907 |
| Natural gas and natural gas liquids sales | 478,145 | 447,106 | 582,989 |
| Other | — | 1,172 | 5,078 |
| Total revenues and other – affiliates | 1,228,232 | 1,220,639 | 1,203,974 |
| Revenues and other – third parties | | | |
| Gathering, processing and transportation | 477,762 | 356,477 | 278,127 |
| Natural gas and natural gas liquids sales | 94,168 | 170,843 | 42,916 |
| Other | 4,108 | 4,113 | 8,360 |
| Total revenues and other – third parties | 576,038 | 531,433 | 329,403 |
| Total revenues and other | 1,804,270 | 1,752,072 | 1,533,377 |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | 78,717 | 71,251 | 57,836 |
| Operating expenses | | | |
| Cost of product ⁽¹⁾ | 494,194 | 528,369 | 458,379 |
| Operation and maintenance ⁽¹⁾ | 308,010 | 331,972 | 293,710 |
| General and administrative ⁽¹⁾ | 49,248 | 44,428 | 41,777 |
| Property and other taxes | 40,161 | 33,327 | 28,923 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 272,933 | 272,611 | 211,809 |
| Impairments | 15,535 | 515,458 | 5,125 |
| Total operating expenses | 1,180,081 | 1,726,165 | 1,039,723 |
| Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net ⁽²⁾ | (14,641) | 57,024 | (9) |
| Proceeds from business interruption insurance claims | 16,270 | — | — |
| Operating income (loss) | 704,535 | 154,182 | 551,481 |
| Interest income – affiliates | 16,900 | 16,900 | 16,900 |
| Interest expense ⁽³⁾ | (116,628) | (113,874) | (76,769) |
| Other income (expense), net | 545 | (578) | 938 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 605,352 | 56,630 | 492,550 |
| Income tax (benefit) expense | 8,372 | 45,532 | 39,061 |
| Net income (loss) | 596,980 | 11,098 | 453,489 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | 251,208 | (154,409) | 165,468 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP | \$345,772 | \$165,507 | \$288,021 |
| Limited partners' interest in net income (loss): | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP | \$345,772 | \$165,507 | \$288,021 |
| Pre-acquisition net (income) loss allocated to Anadarko | (11,326) | (79,386) | (65,154) |
| Limited partners' interest in net income (loss) ⁽⁴⁾ | 334,446 | 86,121 | 222,867 |
| Net income (loss) per common unit – basic and diluted | \$1.53 | \$0.39 | \$1.02 |
| Weighted-average common units outstanding – basic and diluted | 218,922 | 218,913 | 218,910 |

Cost of product includes product purchases from Anadarko (as defined in Note 1) of \$80.5 million, \$167.4 million and \$127.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Operation and

⁽¹⁾ maintenance includes charges from Anadarko of \$72.3 million, \$77.1 million and \$71.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. General and administrative includes charges from Anadarko of \$38.9 million, \$34.7 million and \$32.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. See Note 5.

- (2) Includes losses related to an incident at the DBM complex for the year ended December 31, 2015. See Note 1.
- (3) Includes affiliate (as defined in Note 1) amounts of \$7.7 million, \$(14.4) million and zero for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. See Note 2 and Note 12.
- (4) Represents net income (loss) earned on and subsequent to the date of acquisition of WES assets (as defined in Note 1). See Note 4.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsWESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| thousands except number of units | | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$359,072 | \$99,694 |
| Accounts receivable, net ⁽¹⁾ | 223,021 | 193,113 |
| Other current assets | 13,498 | 8,557 |
| Total current assets | 595,591 | 301,364 |
| Note receivable – Anadarko | 260,000 | 260,000 |
| Property, plant and equipment | | |
| Cost | 6,861,942 | 6,556,778 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 1,812,010 | 1,697,999 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | 5,049,932 | 4,858,779 |
| Goodwill | 417,610 | 419,186 |
| Other intangible assets | 803,698 | 832,127 |
| Equity investments | 594,208 | 618,887 |
| Other assets | 15,058 | 13,001 |
| Total assets | \$7,736,097 | \$7,303,344 |
| LIABILITIES, EQUITY AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Accounts and imbalance payables | \$123,285 | \$98,661 |
| Accrued ad valorem taxes | 23,121 | 17,808 |
| Accrued liabilities | 168,981 | 119,096 |
| Total current liabilities | 315,387 | 235,565 |
| Long-term debt | 3,119,461 | 2,690,651 |
| Deferred income taxes | 6,402 | 139,704 |
| Asset retirement obligations and other | 142,641 | 128,652 |
| Deferred purchase price obligation – Anadarko ⁽²⁾ | 41,440 | 188,674 |
| Total long-term liabilities | 3,309,944 | 3,147,681 |
| Total liabilities | 3,625,331 | 3,383,246 |
| Equity and partners' capital | | |
| Common units (218,928,570 and 218,919,380 units issued and outstanding at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively) | 1,048,143 | 1,060,842 |
| Net investment by Anadarko | — | 430,598 |
| Total partners' capital | 1,048,143 | 1,491,440 |
| Noncontrolling interests | 3,062,623 | 2,428,658 |
| Total equity and partners' capital | 4,110,766 | 3,920,098 |
| Total liabilities, equity and partners' capital | \$7,736,097 | \$7,303,344 |

Accounts receivable, net includes amounts receivable from affiliates (as defined in Note 1) of \$76.4 million and

⁽¹⁾ \$42.5 million as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Accounts receivable, net as of December 31, 2016 and 2015, also includes an insurance claim receivable related to an incident at the DBM complex. See Note 1.

⁽²⁾ See Note 2.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL

| thousands | Partners' Capital | | | Total |
|---|----------------------------|--------------|--------------------------|-------------|
| | Net Investment by Anadarko | Common Units | Noncontrolling Interests | |
| Balance at December 31, 2013 | \$842,731 | \$905,082 | \$ 1,686,856 | \$3,434,669 |
| Net income (loss) | 65,154 | 222,867 | 165,468 | 453,489 |
| WES equity transactions, net ⁽¹⁾ | — | 351,510 | 339,907 | 691,417 |
| WES Class C unit issuance | — | — | 750,000 | 750,000 |
| Distributions to Chipeta noncontrolling interest owner | — | — | (15,149) | (15,149) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest owners of WES | — | — | (176,344) | (176,344) |
| Distributions to WGP unitholders | — | (228,481) | — | (228,481) |
| Acquisitions from affiliates | (372,784) | 16,534 | — | (356,250) |
| Contributions of equity-based compensation to WES by Anadarko | — | 3,041 | — | 3,041 |
| Net pre-acquisition contributions from (distributions to) Anadarko ⁽²⁾ | (16,692) | — | — | (16,692) |
| Net distributions to Anadarko of other assets | — | (10,706) | — | (10,706) |
| Elimination of net deferred tax liabilities | 38,160 | — | — | 38,160 |
| Other | 27 | 348 | 417 | 792 |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$556,596 | \$1,260,195 | \$ 2,751,155 | \$4,567,946 |
| Net income (loss) | 79,386 | 86,121 | (154,409) | 11,098 |
| Above-market component of swap extensions with Anadarko ⁽³⁾ | — | 18,449 | — | 18,449 |
| WES equity transactions, net ⁽¹⁾ | — | (19,687) | 77,040 | 57,353 |
| Distributions to Chipeta noncontrolling interest owner | — | — | (12,187) | (12,187) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest owners of WES | — | — | (233,178) | (233,178) |
| Distributions to WGP unitholders | — | (306,477) | — | (306,477) |
| Acquisitions from affiliates | (197,562) | 23,286 | — | (174,276) |
| Contributions of equity-based compensation to WES by Anadarko | — | 3,471 | — | 3,471 |
| Net pre-acquisition contributions from (distributions to) Anadarko | (49,801) | — | — | (49,801) |
| Net distributions to Anadarko of other assets | — | (4,632) | — | (4,632) |
| Elimination of net deferred tax liabilities | 41,844 | — | — | 41,844 |
| Other | 135 | 116 | 237 | 488 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$430,598 | \$1,060,842 | \$ 2,428,658 | \$3,920,098 |
| Net income (loss) | 11,326 | 334,446 | 251,208 | 596,980 |
| Above-market component of swap extensions with Anadarko ⁽³⁾ | — | 45,820 | — | 45,820 |
| WES equity transactions, net ⁽¹⁾ | — | (4,180) | 4,180 | — |
| WES issuance of Series A Preferred units, net of offering expenses | — | — | 686,937 | 686,937 |
| Distributions to Chipeta noncontrolling interest owner | — | — | (13,784) | (13,784) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest owners of WES | — | — | (294,841) | (294,841) |
| Distributions to WGP unitholders | — | (374,082) | — | (374,082) |
| Acquisitions from affiliates | (553,833) | (158,667) | — | (712,500) |
| Revision to Deferred purchase price obligation – Anadarko ⁽⁴⁾ | — | 139,487 | — | 139,487 |

Edgar Filing: Western Gas Equity Partners, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Contributions of equity-based compensation to WES by Anadarko | — | 4,170 | — | 4,170 |
| Net pre-acquisition contributions from (distributions to) Anadarko | (23,491) | — | — | (23,491) |
| Net distributions to Anadarko of other assets | — | (581) | — | (581) |
| Elimination of net deferred tax liabilities | 135,400 | — | — | 135,400 |
| Other | — | 888 | 265 | 1,153 |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$— | \$1,048,143 | \$3,062,623 | \$4,110,766 |

- Includes the impact of WES's (as defined in Note 1) equity offerings as described in Note 4. The \$(4.2) million, \$(19.7) million and \$351.5 million increase (decrease) to partners' capital, together with net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP, totaled \$341.6 million, \$145.8 million and \$639.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.
- (1) Includes deferred taxes on capitalized interest of \$0.3 million associated with the acquisition of the TEFRR Interests (as defined and described in Note 1).
- (2) See Note 5.
- (3) See Note 2.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of ContentsWESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$596,980 | \$11,098 | \$453,489 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 272,933 | 272,611 | 211,809 |
| Impairments | 15,535 | 515,458 | 5,125 |
| Non-cash equity-based compensation expense | 4,986 | 4,445 | 4,105 |
| Deferred income taxes | 2,555 | 11,346 | 38,682 |
| Accretion and amortization of long-term obligations, net | (3,262) | 17,698 | 2,736 |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | (78,717) | (71,251) | (57,836) |
| Distributions from equity investment earnings – affiliates | 82,185 | 82,054 | 62,967 |
| (Gain) loss on divestiture and other, net ⁽¹⁾ | 14,641 | (57,024) | 9 |
| Lower of cost or market inventory adjustments | 168 | 443 | — |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable, net | (48,998) | (4,428) | 1,504 |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts and imbalance payables and accrued liabilities, net | 58,365 | 1,006 | (35,926) |
| Change in other items, net | (4,295) | (647) | 3,998 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 913,076 | 782,809 | 690,662 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Capital expenditures | (479,993) | (637,964) | (805,005) |
| Contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates | 6,135 | 461 | 183 |
| Acquisitions from affiliates | (716,465) | (10,903) | (379,193) |
| Acquisitions from third parties | — | (3,514) | (1,523,327) |
| Investments in equity affiliates | (27) | (11,442) | (64,278) |
| Distributions from equity investments in excess of cumulative earnings – affiliates | 21,238 | 16,244 | 18,055 |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets to affiliates | 623 | 925 | 402 |
| Proceeds from the sale of assets to third parties | 45,490 | 145,916 | 12,988 |
| Proceeds from property insurance claims | 17,465 | — | — |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,105,534) | (500,277) | (2,740,175) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Borrowings, net of debt issuance costs | 1,323,198 | 889,606 | 1,648,028 |
| Repayments of debt | (900,000) | (611,150) | (650,000) |
| Increase (decrease) in outstanding checks | 2,079 | (2,666) | 765 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of WES common and general partner units, net of offering expenses | — | 57,353 | 691,178 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of WES Class C units | — | — | 750,000 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of WES Series A Preferred units, net of offering expenses | 686,937 | — | — |
| Distributions to WGP unitholders ⁽²⁾ | (374,082) | (306,477) | (228,481) |
| Distributions to Chipeta noncontrolling interest owner | (13,784) | (12,187) | (15,149) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interest owners of WES | (294,841) | (233,178) | (176,344) |
| Net contributions from (distributions to) Anadarko | (23,491) | (49,801) | (16,392) |
| Above-market component of swap extensions with Anadarko ⁽²⁾ | 45,820 | 18,449 | — |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 451,836 | (250,051) | 2,003,605 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 259,378 | 32,481 | (45,908) |

Edgar Filing: Western Gas Equity Partners, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-------------|-----------|----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 99,694 | 67,213 | 113,121 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$359,072 | \$99,694 | \$67,213 |
| Supplemental disclosures | | | |
| Acquisition of DBJV from Anadarko | \$(147,234) | \$174,276 | \$— |
| Net distributions to (contributions from) Anadarko of other assets | 581 | 4,632 | 10,706 |
| Interest paid, net of capitalized interest | 107,657 | 94,720 | 67,648 |
| Taxes paid (reimbursements received) | 838 | — | (90) |
| Capital lease asset transfer ⁽³⁾ | — | — | 4,833 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes losses related to an incident at the DBM complex for the year ended December 31, 2015. See Note 1.

⁽²⁾ See Note 5.

⁽³⁾ For the year ended December 31, 2014, represents transfers of \$4.6 million from other long-term assets associated with the capital lease component of a processing agreement.

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

General. Western Gas Equity Partners, LP is a Delaware master limited partnership (“MLP”) formed in September 2012 to own three types of partnership interests in Western Gas Partners, LP. Western Gas Equity Partners, LP was formed by converting WGR Holdings, LLC into a limited partnership and changing its name. Western Gas Partners, LP (together with its subsidiaries, “WES”) is a Delaware MLP formed by Anadarko Petroleum Corporation in 2007 to acquire, own, develop and operate midstream energy assets.

For purposes of these consolidated financial statements, “WGP” refers to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP in its individual capacity or to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP and its subsidiaries, including Western Gas Holdings, LLC and WES, as the context requires. “WES GP” refers to Western Gas Holdings, LLC, individually as the general partner of WES, and excludes WES. WGP’s general partner, Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC (“WGP GP”), is a wholly owned subsidiary of Anadarko Petroleum Corporation. WES GP owns all of the general partner interest in WES, which constitutes substantially all of its business, which primarily is to manage the affairs and operations of WES. Refer to Note 4 for a discussion of WGP’s holdings of WES equity. “Anadarko” refers to Anadarko Petroleum Corporation and its subsidiaries, excluding WGP and WGP GP, and “affiliates” refers to subsidiaries of Anadarko, excluding WGP, but including equity interests in Fort Union Gas Gathering, LLC (“Fort Union”), White Cliffs Pipeline, LLC (“White Cliffs”), Rendezvous Gas Services, LLC (“Rendezvous”), Enterprise EF78 LLC (the “Mont Belvieu JV”), Texas Express Pipeline LLC (“TEP”), Texas Express Gathering LLC (“TEG”) and Front Range Pipeline LLC (“FRP”). The interests in TEP, TEG and FRP are referred to collectively as the “TEFR Interests.” “MGR assets” refers to the Red Desert complex and the Granger straddle plant.

WES is engaged in the business of gathering, compressing, treating, processing and transporting of natural gas, and gathering, stabilizing and transporting condensate, NGLs and crude oil. WES is also currently constructing two produced-water disposal systems in West Texas, which are expected to be placed in service during the second quarter of 2017. WES provides these midstream services for Anadarko, as well as for third-party producers and customers. As of December 31, 2016, WES’s assets and investments consisted of the following (see Note 14 for information regarding events occurring subsequent to December 31, 2016):

| | Owned and Operated | Operated Interests | Non-Operated Interests | Equity Interests |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Gathering systems | 11 | 4 | 5 | 2 |
| Treating facilities | 12 | 12 | — | 3 |
| Natural gas processing plants/trains | 20 | 5 | — | 2 |
| NGL pipelines | 2 | — | — | 3 |
| Natural gas pipelines | 5 | — | — | — |
| Oil pipelines | — | 1 | — | 1 |

These assets and investments are located in the Rocky Mountains (Colorado, Utah and Wyoming), North-central Pennsylvania and Texas. WES commenced operation of Train IV in May 2016 and Train V in October 2016, both of which are processing plants at the DBM complex.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Basis of presentation. The following table outlines WES's ownership interests and the accounting method of consolidation used in WES's consolidated financial statements:

| | Percentage Interest | |
|--|------------------------|---|
| Equity investments ⁽¹⁾ | | |
| Fort Union | 14.81 | % |
| White Cliffs | 10 | % |
| Rendezvous | 22 | % |
| Mont Belvieu JV | 25 | % |
| TEP | 20 | % |
| TEG | 20 | % |
| FRP | 33.33 | % |
| Proportionate consolidation ⁽²⁾ | | |
| Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems | 33.75 | % |
| Anadarko-Operated Marcellus Interest systems | 33.75 | % |
| Newcastle system | 50 | % |
| DBJV system | 50 | % |
| Springfield system | 50.1 | % |
| Full consolidation | | |
| Chipeta ⁽³⁾ | 75 | % |

(1) Investments in non-controlled entities over which WES exercises significant influence are accounted for under the equity method. "Equity investment throughput" refers to WES's share of average throughput for these investments.

(2) WGP proportionately consolidates WES's associated share of the assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses attributable to these assets.

The 25% interest in Chipeta Processing LLC ("Chipeta") held by a third-party member is reflected within

(3) noncontrolling interests in the consolidated financial statements, in addition to the noncontrolling interests noted below.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("GAAP"). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of WGP and entities in which it holds a controlling financial interest, including WES and WES GP. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated.

The consolidated financial results of WES are included in WGP's consolidated financial statements due to WGP's 100% ownership interest in WES GP and WES GP's control of WES. Throughout these notes to consolidated financial statements, and to the extent material, any differences between the consolidated financial results of WGP and WES are discussed separately. WGP has no independent operations or material assets other than its partnership interests in WES. WGP's consolidated financial statements differ from those of WES primarily as a result of (i) the presentation of noncontrolling interest ownership (attributable to the limited partner interests in WES held by the public, other subsidiaries of Anadarko and private investors, see Note 4), (ii) the elimination of WES GP's investment in WES with WES GP's underlying capital account, (iii) the general and administrative expenses incurred by WGP, which are separate from, and in addition to, those incurred by WES, (iv) the inclusion of the impact of WGP equity balances and WGP distributions, and (v) WGP's senior secured revolving credit facility ("WGP RCF"). See Note 12.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Variable interest entity. Based on recently adopted guidance noted below in Recently adopted accounting standards, WES is a variable interest entity (“VIE”) because the partners in WES with equity at risk lack the power, through voting or similar rights, to direct the activities that most significantly impact WES’s economic performance. A reporting entity that concludes it has a variable interest in a VIE must evaluate whether it has a controlling financial interest in the VIE, such that it is the VIE’s primary beneficiary and should consolidate. According to the aforementioned recently adopted guidance, WGP is the primary beneficiary of WES and therefore should consolidate because (i) WGP has the power to direct the activities of WES that most significantly affect its economic performance and (ii) WGP has the right to receive benefits or the obligation to absorb losses that could be potentially significant to WES. As noted above, WGP has no independent operations or material assets other than its partnership interests in WES. The assets of WES cannot be used by WGP for general partnership purposes. WES’s long-term debt is recourse to WES GP, which is wholly owned by WGP. In turn, WES GP is indemnified by wholly owned subsidiaries of Anadarko for any claims made against WES GP under the indentures governing WES’s outstanding notes or borrowings under WES’s senior unsecured revolving credit facility (“WES RCF”). WES’s sources of liquidity include cash and cash equivalents, cash flows generated from operations, interest income on its \$260.0 million note receivable from Anadarko, available borrowing capacity under the WES RCF, and issuances of additional equity or debt securities. As further discussed in Note 2, WGP purchased WES common units in connection with WES’s financing of an acquisition from Anadarko in March 2016.

Noncontrolling interests. WGP’s noncontrolling interests in the consolidated financial statements consist of the following for all periods presented: (i) the 25% interest in Chipeta held by a third-party member, (ii) the publicly held limited partner interests in WES, (iii) the 2,011,380 WES common units issued by WES to other subsidiaries of Anadarko as part of the consideration paid for the acquisitions of the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest, the TEFR Interests and Springfield, (iv) the WES Class C units issued by WES to a subsidiary of Anadarko as part of the funding for the acquisition of DBM and (v) the WES Series A Preferred units issued to private investors as part of the funding of the Springfield acquisition. See Note 2, Note 3 and Note 4.

When WES issues equity, the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interest reported by WGP is adjusted to reflect the noncontrolling ownership interest in WES. The resulting impact of such noncontrolling interest adjustment on WGP’s interest in WES is reflected as an adjustment to WGP’s partners’ capital.

Presentation of WES assets. The term “WES assets” refers to the assets indirectly owned and interests accounted for under the equity method (see Note 9) by WGP through its partnership interests in WES as of December 31, 2016. Because WGP owns the entire interest in and controls WES GP, and WGP GP is owned and controlled by Anadarko, each of WES’s acquisitions of WES assets from Anadarko has been considered a transfer of net assets between entities under common control. As such, WES assets acquired from Anadarko were initially recorded at Anadarko’s historic carrying value, which did not correlate to the total acquisition price paid by WES. Further, after an acquisition of WES assets from Anadarko, WES and WGP (by virtue of its consolidation of WES) may be required to recast their financial statements to include the activities of such WES assets from the date of common control. See Note 2. For those periods requiring recast, the consolidated financial statements for periods prior to the acquisition of WES assets from Anadarko have been prepared from Anadarko’s historical cost-basis accounts and may not necessarily be indicative of the actual results of operations that would have occurred if WES had owned the WES assets during the periods reported. Net income (loss) attributable to the WES assets acquired from Anadarko for periods prior to WES’s acquisition of the WES assets is not allocated to the limited partners.

Use of estimates. In preparing financial statements in accordance with GAAP, management makes informed judgments and estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Management

evaluates its estimates and related assumptions regularly, using historical experience and other methods considered reasonable. Changes in facts and circumstances or additional information may result in revised estimates and actual results may differ from these estimates. Effects on the business, financial condition and results of operations resulting from revisions to estimates are recognized when the facts that give rise to the revisions become known. The information furnished herein reflects all normal recurring adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial statements, and certain prior-period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current-year presentation.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Fair value. The fair-value-measurement standard defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The standard characterizes inputs used in determining fair value according to a hierarchy that prioritizes those inputs based upon the degree to which they are observable. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

Level 1 – Inputs represent unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices included within Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly (for example, quoted market prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets not considered to be active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, or market-corroborated inputs).

Level 3 – Inputs that are not observable from objective sources, such as management’s internally developed assumptions used in pricing an asset or liability (for example, an estimate of future cash flows used in management’s internally developed present value of future cash flows model that underlies the fair value measurement).

When a fair value measurement is required and there is not a market-observable price for the asset or liability or a market-observable price for a similar asset or liability, the cost, income, or market valuation approach is used, depending on the quality of information available to support management’s assumptions. The cost approach is based on management’s best estimate of the current asset replacement cost. The income approach uses management’s best assumptions regarding expectations of projected cash flows, and discounts the expected cash flows using a commensurate risk adjusted discount rate. Such evaluations involve a significant amount of judgment, since the results are based on expected future events or conditions, such as sales prices, estimates of future throughput, capital and operating costs and the timing thereof, economic and regulatory climates and other factors. A multiple approach uses management’s best assumptions regarding expectations of projected earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization (“EBITDA”) and the multiple of that EBITDA that a buyer would pay to acquire an asset. Management’s estimates of future net cash flows and EBITDA are inherently imprecise because they reflect management’s expectation of future conditions that are often outside of management’s control. However, assumptions used reflect a market participant’s view of long-term prices, costs and other factors, and are consistent with assumptions used in WES’s business plans and investment decisions.

Nonfinancial assets and liabilities initially measured at fair value include certain assets and liabilities acquired in a third-party business combination, assets and liabilities exchanged in non-monetary transactions, long-lived assets (asset groups), goodwill and other intangibles, initial recognition of asset retirement obligations, and initial recognition of environmental obligations assumed in a third-party acquisition. Impairment analyses for long-lived assets, goodwill and other intangibles, and the initial recognition of asset retirement obligations and environmental obligations use Level 3 inputs.

The fair value of debt reflects any premium or discount for the difference between the stated interest rate and the quarter-end market interest rate, and is based on quoted market prices for identical instruments, if available, or based on valuations of similar debt instruments. See Note 12.

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable reported on the consolidated balance sheets approximate fair value due to the short-term nature of these items.

Cash equivalents. All highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents.

Bad-debt reserve. Revenues are primarily from Anadarko, for which no credit limit is maintained. Exposure to bad debts is analyzed on a customer-by-customer basis for its third-party accounts receivable and WES may establish credit limits for significant third-party customers. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, bad-debt reserve was immaterial.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Imbalances. The consolidated balance sheets include imbalance receivables and payables resulting from differences in volumes received into WES's systems and volumes delivered by WES to customers' pipelines. Volumes owed to or by WES that are subject to monthly cash settlement are valued according to the terms of the contract as of the balance sheet dates and reflect market index prices. Other volumes owed to or by WES are valued at the weighted-average cost as of the balance sheet dates and are settled in-kind. As of December 31, 2016, imbalance receivables and payables were \$3.5 million and \$3.0 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, imbalance receivables and payables were \$2.1 million and \$1.6 million, respectively. Net changes in imbalance payables and receivables are reported in cost of product.

Inventory. The cost of NGLs inventories is determined by the weighted-average cost method on a location-by-location basis. Inventory is stated at the lower of weighted-average cost or market value and is reported in other current assets in the consolidated balance sheets. See Note 10.

Property, plant and equipment. Property, plant and equipment are generally stated at the lower of historical cost less accumulated depreciation or fair value, if impaired. Because acquisitions of assets from Anadarko are transfers of net assets between entities under common control, the assets acquired from Anadarko are initially recorded at Anadarko's historic carrying value. The difference between the carrying value of net assets acquired from Anadarko and the consideration paid is recorded as an adjustment to partners' capital.

Assets acquired in a business combination or non-monetary exchange with a third party are initially recorded at fair value. All construction-related direct labor and material costs are capitalized. The cost of renewals and betterments that extend the useful life of property, plant and equipment is also capitalized. The cost of repairs, replacements and major maintenance projects that do not extend the useful life or increase the expected output of property, plant and equipment is expensed as incurred.

Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method based on estimated useful lives and salvage values of assets. However, subsequent events could cause a change in estimates, thereby impacting future depreciation amounts. Uncertainties that may impact these estimates include, but are not limited to, changes in laws and regulations relating to environmental matters, including air and water quality, restoration and abandonment requirements, economic conditions, and supply and demand in the area.

Management evaluates the ability to recover the carrying amount of its long-lived assets to determine whether its long-lived assets have been impaired. Impairments exist when the carrying amount of an asset exceeds estimates of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the asset. When alternative courses of action to recover the carrying amount of a long-lived asset are under consideration, estimates of future undiscounted cash flows take into account possible outcomes and probabilities of their occurrence. If the carrying amount of the long-lived asset is not recoverable based on the estimated future undiscounted cash flows, the impairment loss is measured as the excess of the asset's carrying amount over its estimated fair value, such that the asset's carrying amount is adjusted to its estimated fair value with an offsetting charge to impairment expense. Refer to Note 7 for a description of impairments recorded during the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014.

Insurance recoveries. Involuntary conversions result from the loss of an asset because of some unforeseen event (e.g., destruction due to fire). Some of these events are insurable and result in property damage insurance recovery. Amounts that are received from insurance carriers are net of any deductibles related to the covered event. A receivable is recorded from insurance to the extent a loss is recognized from an involuntary conversion event and the likelihood of recovering such loss is deemed probable. To the extent that any insurance claim receivables are later judged not probable of recovery (e.g., due to new information), such amounts are expensed. A gain on involuntary conversion is recognized when the amount received from insurance exceeds the net book value of the retired asset(s). In addition,

gains related to insurance recoveries are not recognized until all contingencies related to such proceeds have been resolved, that is, a cash payment is received from the insurance carrier or there is a binding settlement agreement with the carrier that clearly states that a payment will be made. To the extent that an asset is rebuilt, the associated expenditures are capitalized, as appropriate, in the consolidated balance sheets and presented as capital expenditures in the consolidated statements of cash flows. With respect to business interruption insurance claims, income is recognized only when cash proceeds are received from insurers, which are presented in the consolidated statements of operations as a component of Operating income (loss).

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

On December 3, 2015, there was an initial fire and secondary explosion at the processing facility within the DBM complex. The majority of the damage from the incident was to the liquid handling facilities and the amine treating units at the inlet of the complex. Train II (with capacity of 100 MMcf/d) sustained the most damage of the processing trains and returned to service in December 2016. Train III (with capacity of 200 MMcf/d) experienced minimal damage and returned to full service in May 2016. For the year ended December 31, 2015, \$20.3 million of losses were recorded in Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net in the consolidated statements of operations, related to this involuntary conversion event based on the difference between the net book value of the affected assets and the insurance claim receivable. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the consolidated balance sheets include receivables of \$30.0 million and \$49.0 million, respectively, for a property insurance claim related to the incident at the DBM complex. As of December 31, 2016, WES had received \$33.8 million in cash proceeds from insurers related to the incident at the DBM complex, including \$16.3 million in proceeds from business interruption insurance claims and \$17.5 million in proceeds from property insurance claims.

Contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates. On certain of WES's capital projects, Anadarko is obligated to reimburse WES for all or a portion of project capital expenditures. The majority of such arrangements are associated with projects related to pipeline construction activities and production well tie-ins. The cash receipts resulting from such reimbursements are presented as "Contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates" within the investing section of the consolidated statements of cash flows. See Note 5.

Capitalized interest. Interest is capitalized as part of the historical cost of constructing assets for significant projects that are in progress. Capitalized interest is determined by multiplying WES's weighted-average borrowing cost on debt by the average amount of qualifying costs incurred. Once the construction of an asset subject to interest capitalization is completed and the asset is placed in service, the associated capitalized interest is expensed through depreciation or impairment, together with other capitalized costs related to that asset.

Goodwill. Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price of a business acquired exceeds the fair market value of the tangible and separately measurable intangible net assets. Refer to Note 8 for a discussion of goodwill. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually, as of October 1, or more often as facts and circumstances warrant. WES has allocated goodwill on its two reporting units: (i) gathering and processing and (ii) transportation. An initial qualitative assessment is performed prior to proceeding to the comparison of the fair value of each reporting unit to which goodwill has been assigned, to the carrying amount of net assets, including goodwill, of each reporting unit. If concluded, based on qualitative factors, that it is more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit exceeds its carrying amount, then goodwill is not impaired, and estimating the fair value of the reporting unit is not necessary. If the carrying amount of the reporting unit exceeds its fair value, based on a hypothetical purchase price allocation, goodwill is written down to its implied fair value through a charge to operating expense. The carrying value of goodwill after such an impairment would represent a Level 3 fair value measurement.

Other intangible assets. WES assesses intangible assets, as described in Note 8, for impairment together with related underlying long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. See Property, plant and equipment within this Note 1 for further discussion of management's process to evaluate potential impairment of long-lived assets.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Asset retirement obligations. Management recognizes a liability based on the estimated costs of retiring tangible long-lived assets. The liability is recognized at fair value, measured using discounted expected future cash outflows for the asset retirement obligation when the obligation originates, which generally is when an asset is acquired or constructed. The carrying amount of the associated asset is increased commensurate with the liability recognized. Over time, the discounted liability is adjusted to its expected settlement value through accretion expense, which is reported within depreciation and amortization in the consolidated statements of operations. Subsequent to the initial recognition, the liability is also adjusted for any changes in the expected value of the retirement obligation (with a corresponding adjustment to property, plant and equipment) until the obligation is settled. Revisions in estimated asset retirement obligations may result from changes in estimated inflation rates, discount rates, asset retirement costs and the estimated timing of settling asset retirement obligations. See Note 11.

Environmental expenditures. WES expenses environmental obligations related to conditions caused by past operations that do not generate current or future revenues. Environmental obligations related to operations that generate current or future revenues are expensed or capitalized, as appropriate. Liabilities are recorded when the necessity for environmental remediation or other potential environmental liabilities becomes probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. Accruals for estimated losses from environmental remediation obligations are recognized no later than at the time of the completion of the remediation feasibility study. These accruals are adjusted as additional information becomes available or as circumstances change. Costs of future expenditures for environmental-remediation obligations are not discounted to their present value. See Note 13.

Segments. Because WGP reflects its ownership interest in WES on a consolidated basis, and has no independent operations or material assets outside those of WES, WGP's segment analysis and presentation is the same as that of WES. WES's operations are organized into a single operating segment, the assets of which gather, process, compress, treat and transport Anadarko's and third-parties' natural gas, condensate, NGLs and crude oil in the United States.

Revenues and cost of product. Under its fee-based gathering, treating and processing arrangements, WES is paid a fixed fee based on the volume and thermal content of natural gas and recognizes revenues for its services in the month such services are performed. Producers' wells are connected to WES's gathering systems for delivery of natural gas to WES's processing or treating plants, where the natural gas is processed to extract NGLs and condensate or treated in order to satisfy pipeline specifications. In some areas, where no processing is required, the producers' gas is gathered and delivered to pipelines for market delivery. Under cost-of-service gathering agreements, fees are earned for gathering and compression services based on rates calculated in a cost-of-service model and reviewed periodically over the life of the agreements. Under percent-of-proceeds contracts, revenue is recognized when the natural gas, NGLs or condensate is sold. The percentage of the product sale ultimately paid to the producer is recorded as a related cost of product expense.

In certain circumstances, WES purchases natural gas volumes at the wellhead for gathering and processing. As a result, WES has volumes of NGLs and condensate to sell and volumes of residue to sell, to use for system fuel or to satisfy keep-whole obligations. In addition, depending upon specific contract terms, condensate and NGLs recovered during gathering and processing are either returned to the producer or retained and sold. Under keep-whole contracts, when condensate or NGLs are retained and sold, producers are kept whole for the condensate or NGL volumes through the receipt of a thermally equivalent volume of residue. The keep-whole contract conveys an economic benefit to WES when the combined value of the individual NGLs is greater in the form of liquids than as a component of the natural gas stream; however, WES is adversely impacted when the value of the NGLs is lower than the value of the natural gas stream including the liquids. WES has commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko to mitigate exposure to a majority of the commodity price risk inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts. See

Note 5. Revenue is recognized from the sale of condensate and NGLs upon transfer of title, and related purchases are recorded as cost of product.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

WES earns transportation revenues through firm contracts that obligate each of its customers to pay a monthly reservation or demand charge regardless of the pipeline capacity used by that customer. An additional commodity usage fee is charged to the customer based on the actual volume of natural gas transported. Transportation revenues are also generated from interruptible contracts pursuant to which a fee is charged to the customer based on volumes transported through the pipeline. Revenues for transportation of natural gas and NGLs are recognized over the period of firm transportation contracts or, in the case of usage fees and interruptible contracts, when the volumes are received into the pipeline. From time to time, certain revenues may be subject to refund pending the outcome of rate matters before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the “FERC”), and refund reserve liabilities are established where appropriate.

Proceeds from the sale of residue, NGLs and condensate are reported as revenues from natural gas, natural gas liquids and condensate sales in the consolidated statements of operations. Revenues attributable to the fixed-fee component of gathering and processing contracts as well as demand charges and commodity usage fees on transportation contracts are reported as revenues from gathering, processing and transportation of natural gas and natural gas liquids in the consolidated statements of operations.

Equity-based compensation. Concurrently with WGP’s initial public offering (“IPO”), WGP GP adopted the Western Gas Equity Partners, LP 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the “WGP LTIP”). The WGP LTIP permits the issuance of up to 3,000,000 WGP common units, of which 2,950,088 units remained available for future issuance as of December 31, 2016. Upon vesting of each phantom unit, the holder will receive common units of WGP or, at the discretion of WGP GP’s Board of Directors (the “Board of Directors”), cash in an amount equal to the market value of common units of WGP on the vesting date. Equity-based compensation expense attributable to grants made under the WGP LTIP impacts cash flows from operating activities only to the extent cash payments are made to a participant in lieu of issuance of WGP common units to the participant. Stock-based compensation expense attributable to awards granted under the WGP LTIP is amortized over the vesting periods applicable to the awards.

The Western Gas Partners, LP 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan (the “WES LTIP”) was adopted by WES GP concurrently with WES’s IPO and permits the issuance of up to 2,250,000 WES common units, of which 2,120,711 units remained available for future issuance as of December 31, 2016. Upon vesting of each phantom unit award, the holder will receive common units of WES or, at the discretion of WES GP’s Board of Directors, cash in an amount equal to the market value of common units of WES on the vesting date. Equity-based compensation expense attributable to grants made under the WES LTIP impacts cash flows from operating activities only to the extent cash payments are made to a participant in lieu of issuance of common units to the participant. Stock-based compensation expense attributable to awards granted under the WES LTIP is amortized over the vesting periods applicable to the awards.

Additionally, general and administrative expenses include equity-based compensation costs allocated by Anadarko for grants made pursuant to the Anadarko Petroleum Corporation 2008 and 2012 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plans (Anadarko’s plans are referred to collectively as the “Anadarko Incentive Plans”) for all periods presented. Grants made under equity-based compensation plans result in equity-based compensation expense, which is determined by reference to the fair value of equity compensation. For equity-based awards ultimately settled through the issuance of units or stock, the fair value is measured as of the date of the relevant equity grant. Equity-based compensation granted under the Anadarko Incentive Plans does not impact cash flows from operating activities since the offset to compensation expense is recorded as a contribution to partners’ capital in the consolidated financial statements at the time of contribution, when the expense is realized.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

WES income taxes. WES generally is not subject to federal income tax or state income tax other than Texas margin tax on the portion of its income that is apportionable to Texas. Deferred state income taxes are recorded on temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. WES routinely assesses the realizability of its deferred tax assets. If WES concludes that it is more likely than not that some of the deferred tax assets will not be realized, the tax asset is reduced by a valuation allowance. Federal and state current and deferred income tax expense was recorded on WES assets prior to WES's acquisition of these assets from Anadarko.

For periods beginning on and subsequent to WES's acquisition of the WES assets, WES makes payments to Anadarko pursuant to the tax sharing agreement entered into between Anadarko and WES for its estimated share of taxes from all forms of taxation, excluding taxes imposed by the United States, that are included in any combined or consolidated returns filed by Anadarko. The aggregate difference in the basis of WES's assets for financial and tax reporting purposes cannot be readily determined as WES does not have access to information about each partner's tax attributes in WES.

The accounting standards for uncertain tax positions defines the criteria an individual tax position must satisfy for any part of the benefit of that position to be recognized in the financial statements. WES had no material uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2016 or 2015.

With respect to assets acquired from Anadarko, WES recorded Anadarko's historic deferred income taxes for the periods prior to WES's ownership of the assets. For periods subsequent to WES's acquisition, WES is not subject to tax except for the Texas margin tax and, accordingly, does not record deferred federal income taxes related to the assets acquired from Anadarko.

WGP income taxes. Prior to its September 2012 conversion from a limited liability company to a limited partnership, WGP was WGR Holdings, LLC, a single-member Delaware limited liability company treated as a division of Anadarko and disregarded for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As such, WGR Holdings, LLC was included in Anadarko's consolidated income tax return for federal and state income tax purposes. In addition to WES's historic Texas margin tax expense and liabilities, the accompanying consolidated financial statements of WGP include income tax expense and liabilities incurred by WGR Holdings, LLC, computed on a separate-return basis.

Deferred federal and state income taxes included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements are attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amount and tax basis of WGP's investment in WES. WGP's accounting policy is to "look through" its investment in WES for purposes of calculating deferred income tax asset and liability balances attributable to WGP's interests in WES. The application of such accounting policy resulted in no deferred income taxes being recognized for the book and tax basis difference in goodwill, which is non-deductible for tax purposes for all periods presented. WGP had no material uncertain tax positions at December 31, 2016 or 2015.

Net income (loss) per common unit. Earnings per unit is calculated by dividing the limited partners' interest in net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common units outstanding. Net income (loss) per common unit is calculated assuming that cash distributions are equal to the net income (loss) attributable to WGP. Net income equal to the amount of available cash (as defined in WGP's partnership agreement) is allocated to the common unitholders consistent with actual cash distributions. See Note 4.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Recently adopted accounting standards. Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2017-04, Intangibles—Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) eliminates Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test in an effort to simplify the subsequent measurement of goodwill. It is effective for annual and interim periods beginning in 2020 and is required to be adopted using a prospective approach, with early adoption permitted for goodwill impairment tests performed after January 1, 2017. WGP adopted this ASU on January 1, 2017, and it will only be applicable to the extent that WGP determines its goodwill is impaired.

ASU 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Clarifying the Definition of a Business assists in determining whether a transaction should be accounted for as an acquisition or disposal of assets or as a business. It provides a screen that when substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired, or disposed of, are concentrated in a single identifiable asset, or a group of similar identifiable assets, the set will not be considered a business. If the screen is not met, a set must include an input and a substantive process that together significantly contribute to the ability to create an output to be considered a business. This ASU is effective for annual and interim periods beginning in 2018 and is required to be adopted using a prospective approach, with early adoption permitted for transactions not previously reported in issued financial statements. WGP adopted this ASU on January 1, 2017, and expects it could have a material impact on future consolidated financial statements as goodwill would not be allocated to divestitures or recorded for acquisitions that are not considered to be businesses.

ASU 2016-16, Income Taxes (Topic 740): Intra-Entity Transfers of Assets Other Than Inventory requires an entity to recognize the income tax consequences of an intra-entity transfer of an asset other than inventory when the transfer occurs and eliminates the exception for an intra-entity transfer of an asset other than inventory. This ASU is effective for annual and interim periods beginning in 2018 and is required to be adopted using a modified retrospective approach, with early adoption permitted. WGP adopted this ASU on January 1, 2017, with no impact to its consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2015-06, Earnings Per Share (Topic 260): Effects on Historical Earnings per Unit of Master Limited Partnership Dropdown Transactions provides guidance for the presentation of historical earnings per unit for MLPs that apply the two-class method of calculating earnings per unit. When a general partner transfers or “drops down” net assets to an MLP, the transaction is accounted for as a transaction between entities under common control, and the statements of operations are adjusted retrospectively to reflect the transaction. This ASU specifies that the historical earnings (losses) of a transferred business before the date of a dropdown transaction should be allocated entirely to the general partner, and the previously reported earnings per unit of the limited partners should not change as a result of the dropdown transaction. The ASU also requires additional disclosures about how the rights to the earnings (losses) differ before and after the dropdown transaction occurs for purposes of computing earnings per unit under the two-class method. There was no impact to WGP’s consolidated financial statements upon adoption of this ASU on January 1, 2016.

ASU 2015-03, Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs and ASU 2015-15, Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated with Line-of-Credit Arrangements require capitalized debt issuance costs, except for those related to revolving credit facilities, to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of the related debt liability, rather than as an asset. WGP adopted these ASUs on January 1, 2016, using a retrospective approach. The adoption resulted in a reclassification that reduced Other assets and Long-term debt by \$16.7 million on WGP’s consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2015. See Note 9.

ASU 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments to the Consolidation Analysis amends existing requirements applicable to reporting entities that are required to evaluate consolidation of a legal entity under the VIE or voting interest entity models. The provisions will affect how limited partnerships and similar entities are assessed for consolidation, including an additional requirement that a limited partnership will be a VIE unless the limited partners have either substantive kick-out or participating rights over the general partner. WGP has evaluated the impact of the

adoption of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements and determined that WES meets the criteria for a variable interest entity for which WGP is the primary beneficiary for accounting and disclosure purposes. Prior to adoption of this ASU, WES was consolidated by WGP under the voting interest model. After adoption, WES was consolidated by WGP under the variable interest model, thus the adoption of ASU 2015-02 on January 1, 2016, had no impact on WGP's consolidated financial statements. See Basis of Presentation—Variable interest entity above.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

New accounting standards issued but not yet adopted. ASU 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Restricted Cash requires an entity to explain the changes in the total of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and restricted cash equivalents on the statement of cash flows and to provide a reconciliation of the totals in that statement to the related captions in the balance sheet when the cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, and restricted cash equivalents are presented in more than one line item on the balance sheet. This ASU is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and is required to be adopted using a retrospective approach, with early adoption permitted. WGP is evaluating the impact of the adoption of this ASU on its consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments provides clarification on how certain cash receipts and cash payments are presented and classified on the statement of cash flows. This ASU is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and is required to be adopted using a retrospective approach if practicable, with early adoption permitted. WGP does not expect the adoption of this ASU to have a material impact on its consolidated statement of cash flows.

ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) requires lessees to recognize a lease liability and a right-of-use asset for all leases, including operating leases, with a term greater than 12 months on the balance sheet. The provisions of ASU 2016-02 also modify the definition of a lease and outline the requirements for recognition, measurement, presentation and disclosure of leasing arrangements by both lessees and lessors. This ASU is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. WGP is currently analyzing its portfolio of contracts to assess the impact future adoption of this ASU may have on its consolidated financial statements.

ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) supersedes current revenue recognition requirements and requires an entity to recognize revenue when it transfers promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration the entity expects to be entitled to in exchange for those goods or services. Additional disclosures will be required to describe the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows from contracts with customers. WGP has completed an initial review of contracts in each of its revenue streams and is developing accounting policies to address the provisions of the ASU. WGP is currently analyzing whether total revenues and total expenses may increase as a result of recognizing both revenue for noncash consideration for services provided and revenue and associated cost of product for the subsequent sale of commodities received as such noncash consideration. WGP continues to evaluate the impact of this and other provisions of the ASU on accounting policies, internal controls and consolidated financial statements and related disclosures, and has not finalized any estimates of the potential impacts. WGP will adopt the new standard on January 1, 2018, using the modified retrospective method with a cumulative adjustment to equity and partners' capital.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES

The following table presents the acquisitions completed by WES during 2016, 2015 and 2014, and identifies the funding sources for such acquisitions. See Note 14 for information regarding events occurring subsequent to December 31, 2016.

| thousands except unit and percent amounts | Acquisition Date | Percentage Acquired | Deferred Purchase Price Obligation - Anadarko | Borrowings | Cash On Hand | WES Common Units Issued | WES Class C Units Issued to Anadarko | WES Series A Preferred Units Issued |
|--|---------------------|---------------------------|--|-------------|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|---|
| TEFR Interests ⁽¹⁾ | 03/03/2014 | Various ⁽¹⁾ | \$ — | —\$ 350,000 | \$ 6,250 | 308,490 | — | — |
| DBM ⁽²⁾ | 11/25/2014 | 100 | % — | 475,000 | 298,327 | — | 10,913,853 | — |
| DBJV ⁽³⁾ | 03/02/2015 | 100 | % 174,276 | — | — | — | — | — |
| Springfield ⁽⁴⁾ | 03/14/2016 | 100 | % — | 247,500 | — | 2,089,602 | — | 14,030,611 |

WES acquired a 20% interest in each of TEG and TEP and a 33.33% interest in FRP from Anadarko. These assets gather and transport NGLs primarily from the Anadarko and Denver-Julesburg (“DJ”) Basins. The interests in these

⁽¹⁾ entities are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. In connection with the issuance of WES common units, WES issued 6,296 general partner units to WES GP in exchange for WES GP’s proportionate capital contribution of \$0.4 million.

WES acquired Nuevo Midstream, LLC (“Nuevo”) from a third party. Following the acquisition, WES changed the name of Nuevo to Delaware Basin Midstream, LLC (“DBM”). The assets acquired include cryogenic processing ⁽²⁾ plants, a gas gathering system, and related facilities and equipment, which are collectively referred to as the “DBM complex” and serve production from Reeves, Loving and Culberson Counties, Texas and Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico. See DBM acquisition below for further information, including the final allocation of the purchase price.

WES acquired Delaware Basin JV Gathering LLC (“DBJV”) from Anadarko. DBJV owns a 50% interest in a gathering system and related facilities. The DBJV gathering system and related facilities (the “DBJV system”) are located in the Delaware Basin in Loving, Ward, Winkler and Reeves Counties, Texas. WES will make a cash ⁽³⁾ payment on March 31, 2020, to Anadarko as consideration for the acquisition of DBJV. At the acquisition date, WES estimated the future payment would be \$282.8 million, the net present value of which was \$174.3 million. For further information, including revisions to the estimated future payment, see DBJV acquisition—deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko below.

WES acquired Springfield Pipeline LLC (“Springfield”) from Anadarko for \$750.0 million, consisting of \$712.5 million in cash and the issuance of 1,253,761 of WES common units. Springfield owns a 50.1% interest in an oil gathering system and a gas gathering system, such interest being referred to in this report as the “Springfield interest.” The Springfield oil and gas gathering systems (collectively, the “Springfield system”) are located in Dimmit, ⁽⁴⁾ La Salle, Maverick and Webb Counties in South Texas. WES financed the cash portion of the acquisition through: (i) borrowings of \$247.5 million on the WES RCF, (ii) the issuance of 835,841 of WES common units to WGP and (iii) the issuance of WES Series A Preferred units to private investors. See Note 4 for further information regarding WES’s Series A Preferred units. WGP financed the purchase of the WES common units by borrowing \$25.0 million under the WGP RCF. See Note 12.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

2. ACQUISITIONS AND DIVESTITURES (CONTINUED)

DBJV acquisition - deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko. The consideration to be paid by WES for the acquisition of DBJV consists of a cash payment to Anadarko due on March 31, 2020. The cash payment will be equal to (a) eight multiplied by the average of WES's share in the Net Earnings (see definition below) of DBJV for the calendar years 2018 and 2019, less (b) WES's share of all capital expenditures incurred for DBJV between March 1, 2015, and February 29, 2020. Net Earnings is defined as all revenues less cost of product, operating expenses and property taxes, in each case attributable to DBJV on an accrual basis. As of the acquisition date, the estimated future payment obligation (based on management's estimate of WES's share of forecasted Net Earnings and capital expenditures for DBJV) was \$282.8 million, which had a net present value of \$174.3 million, using a discount rate of 10%. During the year ended December 31, 2016, WES recognized an aggregate \$226.4 million decrease in the estimated future payment obligation, resulting in a net present value of \$41.4 million for this obligation at December 31, 2016, calculated using a discounted cash flow model with a 10% discount rate. The reduction in the value of the deferred purchase price obligation is primarily due to revisions reflecting an increase in WES's estimate of aggregate capital expenditures to be incurred by DBJV through February 29, 2020, partially offset by an increase in WES's estimate of 2018 and 2019 Net Earnings.

The following table summarizes the financial statement impact of the Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko:

| | Deferred purchase price obligation - | Estimated future payment obligation Anadarko |
|--|--|--|
| Balance at March 2, 2015 – Acquisition date | \$174,276 | \$282,807 |
| Accretion expense ⁽¹⁾ | 14,398 | |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 188,674 | 282,807 |
| Accretion revision ⁽²⁾ | (7,747) | |
| Revision to Deferred purchase price obligation – Anadarko ⁽³⁾ | (139,487) | |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$41,440 | \$56,455 |

⁽¹⁾ Accretion expense was recorded as a charge to Interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations.

⁽²⁾ Financing-related accretion revisions were recorded in Interest expense on the consolidated statements of operations.

⁽³⁾ Recorded as revisions within Common units on the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of equity and partners' capital.

Hugoton system divestiture. During the fourth quarter of 2016, the Hugoton system, located in Southwest Kansas and Oklahoma, was sold to a third party, resulting in a net loss on sale of \$12.0 million recorded as Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net in the consolidated statements of operations. WES allocated \$1.6 million in goodwill to this divestiture.

Dew and Pinnacle systems divestiture. During the third quarter of 2015, the Dew and Pinnacle systems in East Texas were sold to a third party, resulting in a net gain on sale of \$77.3 million recorded as Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net in the consolidated statements of operations. WES allocated \$5.1 million in goodwill to this divestiture.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

3. PARTNERSHIP DISTRIBUTIONS

WGP partnership distributions. WGP's partnership agreement requires WGP to distribute all of its available cash (as defined in its partnership agreement) to WGP unitholders of record on the applicable record date within 55 days of the end of each quarter. The Board of Directors declared the following cash distributions to WGP unitholders for the periods presented:

thousands

| Quarterly Distribution Period Ended | Total Quarterly Cash Distribution | Date of Distribution |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| March 31 2014 | \$ 54,726 | May 2014 |
| June 30 2014 | 59,378 | August 2014 |
| September 30 2014 | 63,756 | November 2014 |
| December 31 2014 | 68,409 | February 2015 |
| March 31 2015 | \$ 74,977 | May 2015 |
| June 30 2015 | 79,630 | August 2015 |
| September 30 2015 | 83,461 | November 2015 |
| December 31 2015 | 88,389 | February 2016 |
| March 31 2016 | \$ 92,767 | May 2016 |
| June 30 2016 | 94,958 | August 2016 |
| September 30 2016 | 97,968 | November 2016 |
| December 31 2016 | 101,254 | February 2017 |

The Board of Directors declared a cash distribution to WGP unitholders for the fourth quarter of 2016 of \$0.46250 per unit, or \$101.3 million in aggregate. The cash distribution was paid on February 22, 2017, to WGP unitholders of record at the close of business on February 2, 2017.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

3. PARTNERSHIP DISTRIBUTIONS (CONTINUED)

WES partnership distributions. WES's partnership agreement requires WES to distribute all of its available cash (as defined in WES's partnership agreement) to WES unitholders of record on the applicable record date within 45 days of the end of each quarter. The Board of Directors of WES GP declared the following cash distributions to WES's common and general partner unitholders for the periods presented:

thousands

| Total Quarterly Distributions per Unit Ended | Total Quarterly Cash Distribution | Date of Distribution |
|--|--|-------------------------|
| 2014 | | |
| March 31 \$ 0.625 | \$ 98,749 | May 2014 |
| June 30 0.650 | 105,655 | August 2014 |
| September 30 0.675 | 111,608 | November 2014 |
| December 31 0.700 | 126,044 | February 2015 |
| 2015 | | |
| March 31 \$ 0.725 | \$ 133,203 | May 2015 |
| June 30 0.750 | 139,736 | August 2015 |
| September 30 0.775 | 146,160 | November 2015 |
| December 31 0.800 | 152,588 | February 2016 |
| 2016 | | |
| March 31 \$ 0.815 | \$ 158,905 | May 2016 |
| June 30 0.830 | 162,827 | August 2016 |
| September 30 0.845 | 166,742 | November 2016 |
| December 31 0.860 | 170,657 | February 2017 |

(1)

The Board of Directors of WES GP declared a cash distribution to WES unitholders for the fourth quarter of 2016 of \$0.860 per unit, or \$170.7 million in aggregate, including incentive distributions, but excluding distributions on

(1) WES Class C units (see WES Class C unit distributions below) and WES Series A Preferred units (see WES Series A Preferred unit distributions below). The cash distribution was paid on February 13, 2017, to WES unitholders of record at the close of business on February 2, 2017.

WES's available cash. The amount of available cash (as defined in WES's partnership agreement) generally is all cash on hand at the end of the quarter, plus, at the discretion of WES GP, working capital borrowings made subsequent to the end of such quarter, less the amount of cash reserves established by WES GP to provide for the proper conduct of WES's business, including reserves to fund future capital expenditures; to comply with applicable laws, debt instruments or other agreements; or to provide funds for distributions to WES unitholders, and to WES GP for any one or more of the next four quarters. Working capital borrowings generally include borrowings made under a credit facility or similar financing arrangement. Working capital borrowings may only be those that, at the time of such borrowings, were intended to be repaid within 12 months. In all cases, working capital borrowings are used solely for working capital purposes or to fund distributions to partners.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

3. PARTNERSHIP DISTRIBUTIONS (CONTINUED)

WES Class C unit distributions. WES's Class C units receive quarterly distributions at a rate equivalent to WES's common units. The distributions are paid in the form of additional Class C units ("PIK Class C units") until the scheduled conversion date on December 31, 2017 (unless earlier converted), and the Class C units are disregarded with respect to WES's distributions of WES's available cash until they are converted to WES common units. The number of additional PIK Class C units to be issued in connection with a distribution payable on the Class C units is determined by dividing the corresponding distribution attributable to the Class C units by the volume-weighted-average price of WES's common units for the ten days immediately preceding the payment date for the WES common unit distribution, less a 6% discount. WES records the PIK Class C unit distributions at fair value at the time of issuance. This Level 2 fair value measurement uses WES's unit price as a significant input in the determination of the fair value. WES made distributions to APC Midstream Holdings, LLC ("AMH"), the holder of the Class C units, of 946,261 PIK Class C units during 2016 and 498,009 PIK Class C units during 2015. Further, 178,977 PIK Class C units were distributed to AMH in February 2017, for the quarterly distribution period ended December 31, 2016. See Note 4 for further discussion of the WES Class C units.

WES Series A Preferred unit distributions. As further described in Note 4, WES issued Series A Preferred units representing limited partner interests in WES to private investors in March 2016 and April 2016. The Series A Preferred unitholders receive quarterly distributions in cash equal to \$0.68 per Series A Preferred unit, subject to certain adjustments. The holders of the WES Series A Preferred units are entitled to certain rights that are senior to the rights of holders of WES common and Class C units, such as rights to distributions and rights upon liquidation of WES. No payment or distribution on any junior equity security of WES, including WES common and Class C units, for any quarter is permitted prior to the payment in full of the WES Series A Preferred unit distribution (including any outstanding arrearages). For the quarter ended December 31, 2016, the WES Series A Preferred unitholders received an aggregate cash distribution of \$14.9 million (paid in February 2017). For the quarter ended September 30, 2016, the WES Series A Preferred unitholders received an aggregate cash distribution of \$14.9 million (paid in November 2016). For the quarter ended June 30, 2016, the WES Series A Preferred unitholders received an aggregate cash distribution of \$14.1 million (paid in August 2016) comprised of a quarterly per unit distribution prorated for the 77-day period during which 7,892,220 WES Series A Preferred units were outstanding during the second quarter of 2016 and a full quarterly per unit distribution on 14,030,611 Series A Preferred units. For the quarter ended March 31, 2016, the WES Series A Preferred unitholders received an aggregate cash distribution of \$1.9 million (paid in May 2016), based on the quarterly per unit distribution prorated for the 18-day period during which 14,030,611 WES Series A Preferred units were outstanding during the first quarter of 2016. See Note 4 for further discussion of the WES Series A Preferred units.

WES's general partner interest and incentive distribution rights. As of December 31, 2016, WES GP was entitled to 1.5% of all quarterly distributions that WES makes prior to its liquidation and, as the holder of the IDRs, was entitled to incentive distributions at the maximum distribution sharing percentage of 48.0% for all periods presented, after the minimum quarterly distribution and the target distribution levels had been achieved. The maximum distribution sharing percentage of 49.5% does not include any distributions that WES GP may receive on common units that it may acquire.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. EQUITY AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL

Holdings of WGP equity. WGP's common units are listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "WGP." As of December 31, 2016, Anadarko held 178,587,365 of WGP's common units, representing an 81.6% limited partner interest in WGP, and, through its ownership of WGP GP, Anadarko indirectly held the entire non-economic general partner interest in WGP. The public held 40,341,205 WGP common units, representing an 18.4% limited partner interest in WGP.

In June 2016, Anadarko sold 12,500,000 of its WGP common units to the public through an underwritten offering. WGP did not receive any proceeds from, or incur any expense in, the public offering.

In June 2015, Anadarko sold 2,300,000 of its WGP common units to the public through an underwritten offering, including 300,000 common units pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option. WGP did not receive any proceeds from, or incur any expense in, the public offering.

Tangible equity units. In June 2015, Anadarko completed the public issuance of 9,200,000 7.50% tangible equity units ("TEUs"), including 1,200,000 TEUs pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, at a price to the public of \$50.00 per TEU. Each TEU that Anadarko issued consists of (1) a prepaid equity purchase contract for WGP common units owned by Anadarko (subject to Anadarko's right to elect to deliver shares of its common stock in lieu of such WGP common units) and (2) a senior amortizing note due June 7, 2018. WGP did not receive any proceeds from, or incur any expense in, the public offering. See Note 10—Tangible Equity Units in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of Anadarko's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (which is not, and shall not be deemed to be, incorporated by reference herein), for a full discussion of the TEUs.

Net income (loss) per common unit. For WGP, basic net income (loss) per common unit is calculated by dividing the limited partners' interest in net income (loss) by the weighted-average number of common units outstanding during the period. Dilutive net income (loss) per common unit is calculated by dividing the limited partners' interest in net income (loss) adjusted for distributions on the WES Series A Preferred units and a reallocation of the limited partners' interest in net income (loss) assuming conversion of the WES Series A Preferred units into WES common units by the weighted-average number of WGP common units outstanding during the period. For the year ended December 31, 2016, the impact of Series A Preferred units assuming conversion to WES common units would be anti-dilutive. Net income (loss) per common unit is calculated assuming that cash distributions are equal to the net income attributable to WGP. Net income (loss) attributable to the WES assets (as defined in Note 1) acquired from Anadarko for periods prior to WES's acquisition of the WES assets is not allocated to the limited partners when calculating net income (loss) per common unit. Net income equal to the amount of available cash (as defined by WGP's partnership agreement) is allocated to WGP common unitholders consistent with actual cash distributions.

Holdings of WES equity. As of December 31, 2016, WGP held 50,132,046 WES common units, representing a 29.9% limited partner interest in WES, and, through its ownership of WES GP, WGP indirectly held 2,583,068 general partner units, representing a 1.5% general partner interest in WES, and 100% of WES's incentive distribution rights. As of December 31, 2016, (i) other subsidiaries of Anadarko collectively held 2,011,380 WES common units and 12,358,123 Class C units, representing an aggregate 8.6% limited partner interest in WES, (ii) the public held 78,528,544 WES common units, representing a 46.9% limited partner interest in WES, and (iii) private investors held 21,922,831 WES Series A Preferred units, representing a 13.1% limited partner interest in WES, which are all reflected as noncontrolling interests within the consolidated financial statements of WGP (see Note 1 and Note 2).

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. EQUITY AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL (CONTINUED)

WES equity offerings. WES completed the following public offerings of its common units during 2015 and 2014, including through its Continuous Offering Programs ("COP"):

| thousands except unit and per-unit amounts | WES Common Units Issued | WES GP Units Issued (1) | Price Per Unit | Underwriting Discount and Other Offering Expenses | Net Proceeds to WES |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------------------|
| 2014 | | | | | |
| \$125.0 million COP ⁽²⁾ | 1,133,384 | 23,132 | \$73.48 | \$ 1,738 | \$83,245 |
| November 2014 equity offering ⁽³⁾ | 8,620,153 | 153,061 | 70.85 | 18,615 | 602,967 |
| 2015 | | | | | |
| \$500.0 million COP ⁽⁴⁾ | 873,525 | — | \$66.61 | \$ 805 | \$57,385 |

(1) Represents general partner units of WES issued to WES GP in exchange for WES GP's proportionate capital contribution.

(2) Represents common and general partner units of WES issued during the year ended December 31, 2014, under the \$125.0 million COP. Gross proceeds generated (including WES GP's proportionate capital contributions) during the year ended December 31, 2014, were \$85.0 million. The price per unit in the table above represents an average price for all issuances under the \$125.0 million COP during the year ended December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, WES had used all the capacity to issue common units under this registration statement.

(3) Includes the issuance of 1,120,153 WES common units pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' over-allotment option, the net proceeds from which were \$77.0 million. Beginning with this partial exercise, WES GP elected not to make a corresponding capital contribution to maintain its 2.0% interest in WES.

(4) Represents common units of WES issued during the year ended December 31, 2015, pursuant to WES's registration statement filed with the SEC in August 2014 authorizing the issuance of up to an aggregate of \$500.0 million of WES common units (the "\$500.0 million COP"). Gross proceeds generated during the three months and year ended December 31, 2015, were zero and \$58.2 million, respectively. Commissions paid during the three months and year ended December 31, 2015, were zero and \$0.6 million, respectively. The price per unit in the table above represents an average price for all issuances under the \$500.0 million COP during the year ended December 31, 2015.

WES issued no common units under the \$500.0 million COP during the year ended December 31, 2016.

WES Class C units. In connection with the closing of the DBM acquisition in November 2014, WES issued 10,913,853 Class C units to AMH at a price of \$68.72 per unit, generating proceeds of \$750.0 million, pursuant to a Unit Purchase Agreement ("UPA") with Anadarko and AMH. All outstanding Class C units will convert into WES common units on a one-for-one basis on December 31, 2017, unless WES elects to convert such units earlier or Anadarko extends the conversion date. See Note 14. The Class C units were issued to partially fund WES's acquisition of DBM, and the UPA contains an optional redemption feature that provides WES the ability to redeem up to \$150.0 million of the Class C units within 10 days of the receipt of cash proceeds from an entity that is not an affiliate of WES or AMH, if these cash proceeds were in relation to (i) the assets of DBM, (ii) the equity interests in DBM or (iii) the equity interests in a subsidiary of WES that owns a majority of the outstanding equity interests in DBM. As of December 31, 2016, no such proceeds had been received, and no WES Class C units had been redeemed.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. EQUITY AND PARTNERS' CAPITAL (CONTINUED)

WES Series A Preferred units. In connection with the closing of the Springfield acquisition on March 14, 2016, WES issued 14,030,611 Series A Preferred units (the "March 2016 Series A units") to private investors for a cash purchase price of \$32.00 per unit, generating proceeds of \$440.0 million (net of fees and expenses, but including a 2.0% transaction fee paid to the private investors). In April 2016, WES issued an additional 7,892,220 Series A Preferred units (the "April 2016 Series A units") pursuant to the full exercise of an option granted in connection with the March 2016 Series A units issuance, generating net proceeds of \$246.9 million. The Series A Preferred unitholders may convert the Series A Preferred units into WES common units on a one-for-one basis at any time after the second anniversary of the issuance date, in whole or in part, subject to certain conversion thresholds. Similarly, WES may convert the Series A Preferred units at any time after the third anniversary of the issuance date, in whole or in part, if the closing price of WES's common units is greater than \$48.00 per common unit for 20 of the 30 preceding trading days, and subject to other certain conversion thresholds. In addition, upon certain events involving a change of control, the Series A Preferred unitholders may elect on an individual basis, subject to certain conditions, to (i) convert their Series A Preferred units to WES common units at the then applicable conversion rate, (ii) if WES is not the surviving entity (or if WES is the surviving entity, but its common units will cease to be listed), require WES to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the surviving entity in any such transaction to issue a substantially equivalent security (or convert into common units based on a specified formula, if WES is unable to cause such substantially equivalent securities to be issued), (iii) if WES is the surviving entity, continue to hold their Series A Preferred units, or (iv) require WES to redeem the Series A Preferred units at a price per Series A Preferred unit of \$32.32, plus accrued and unpaid distributions to be paid in cash or WES common units at the discretion of WES. The Series A Preferred unitholders will vote on an as-converted basis with WES's common unitholders and will have certain other class voting rights with respect to any amendment to the partnership agreement that would adversely affect any rights, preferences or privileges of the Series A Preferred unitholders. In connection with the issuance of the Series A Preferred units, WES entered into a Registration Rights Agreement (the "Registration Rights Agreement") with the Series A Preferred unit purchasers relating to the registered resale of the WES common units representing limited partner interests in WES issuable upon conversion of the Series A Preferred units. Pursuant to the Registration Rights Agreement, WES is required to use its commercially reasonable efforts to file and maintain a registration statement for the resale of the converted Series A Preferred units, with such registration statement to become effective no later than March 2018.

WES interests. The following table summarizes WES's common, Class C, Series A Preferred and general partner units issued during the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

| | WES Common Units | WES Class C Units | WES Series A Preferred Units | WES General Partner Units | Total |
|---|------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | 127,695,130 | 10,913,853 | — | 2,583,068 | 141,192,051 |
| PIK Class C units | — | 498,009 | — | — | 498,009 |
| Long-Term Incentive Plan award vestings | 8,310 | — | — | — | 8,310 |
| \$500.0 million COP | 873,525 | — | — | — | 873,525 |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | 128,576,965 | 11,411,862 | — | 2,583,068 | 142,571,895 |
| PIK Class C units | — | 946,261 | — | — | 946,261 |
| Springfield acquisition | 2,089,602 | — | 14,030,611 | — | 16,120,213 |
| April 2016 Series A units | — | — | 7,892,220 | — | 7,892,220 |
| Long-Term Incentive Plan award vestings | 5,403 | — | — | — | 5,403 |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | 130,671,970 | 12,358,123 | 21,922,831 | 2,583,068 | 167,535,992 |

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES

Affiliate transactions. Revenues from affiliates include amounts earned by WES from services provided to Anadarko as well as from the sale of residue and NGLs to Anadarko. In addition, WES purchases natural gas from an affiliate of Anadarko pursuant to gas purchase agreements. Operation and maintenance expense includes amounts accrued for or paid to affiliates for the operation of WES assets, whether in providing services to affiliates or to third parties, including field labor, measurement and analysis, and other disbursements. A portion of general and administrative expenses is paid by Anadarko, which results in affiliate transactions pursuant to the reimbursement provisions of the omnibus agreements of WES and WGP. Affiliate expenses do not bear a direct relationship to affiliate revenues, and third-party expenses do not bear a direct relationship to third-party revenues. See Note 2 for further information related to contributions of assets to WES by Anadarko.

Cash management. Anadarko operates a cash management system whereby excess cash from most of its subsidiaries' separate bank accounts is generally swept to centralized accounts. Prior to the acquisition of WES assets, third-party sales and purchases related to such assets were received or paid in cash by Anadarko within its centralized cash management system. The outstanding affiliate balances were entirely settled through an adjustment to net investment by Anadarko in connection with the acquisition of WES assets. Subsequent to the acquisition of WES assets from Anadarko, transactions related to such assets are cash-settled directly with third parties and with Anadarko affiliates. Chipeta cash settles its transactions directly with third parties and Anadarko, as well as with the other subsidiaries of WES.

Note receivable - Anadarko and Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko. Concurrently with the closing of WES's May 2008 initial public offering, WES loaned \$260.0 million to Anadarko in exchange for a 30-year note bearing interest at a fixed annual rate of 6.50%, payable quarterly. The fair value of the note receivable from Anadarko was \$313.3 million and \$252.3 million at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. The fair value of the note reflects consideration of credit risk and any premium or discount for the differential between the stated interest rate and quarter-end market interest rate, based on quoted market prices of similar debt instruments. Accordingly, the fair value of the note receivable from Anadarko is measured using Level 2 inputs.

The consideration to be paid by WES to Anadarko for the March 2015 acquisition of DBJV consists of a cash payment due on March 31, 2020. See Note 2 and Note 12.

WGP working capital facility. On November 1, 2012, WGP entered into a \$30.0 million working capital facility (the "WGP WCF") with Anadarko as the lender. The WGP WCF is available exclusively to fund WGP's working capital borrowings. Borrowings under the WGP WCF will mature on November 1, 2017, and bear interest at the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus 1.50%. See Note 12.

Commodity price swap agreements. WES has commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko to mitigate exposure to a majority of the commodity price risk inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts. Notional volumes for each of the commodity price swap agreements are not specifically defined. Instead, the commodity price swap agreements apply to the actual volume of natural gas, condensate and NGLs purchased and sold. The commodity price swap agreements do not satisfy the definition of a derivative financial instrument and, therefore, are not required to be measured at fair value.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES (CONTINUED)

The following table summarizes gains and losses upon settlement of commodity price swap agreements recognized in the consolidated statements of operations:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Gains (losses) on commodity price swap agreements related to sales: ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
| Natural gas sales | \$11,116 | \$45,978 | \$9,494 |
| Natural gas liquids sales | 59,918 | 145,258 | 113,866 |
| Total | 71,034 | 191,236 | 123,360 |
| Losses on commodity price swap agreements related to purchases ⁽²⁾ | (42,577) | (124,944) | (68,492) |
| Net gains (losses) on commodity price swap agreements | \$28,457 | \$66,292 | \$54,868 |

(1) Reported in affiliate Natural gas and natural gas liquids sales in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the related sale is recorded.

(2) Reported in Cost of product in the consolidated statements of operations in the period in which the related purchase is recorded.

Swap extensions - DJ Basin complex, Hugoton system and MGR assets. On June 25, 2015, WES extended its commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex from July 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015, and for the Hugoton system from October 1, 2015, through December 31, 2015. On December 8, 2015, the commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex and Hugoton system were further extended from January 1, 2016, through December 31, 2016. On December 1, 2016, the commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets were extended from January 1, 2017 through December 31, 2017.

Revenues or costs attributable to volumes settled during the respective extension period, at the applicable market price in the tables below, are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations. WES also records a capital contribution from Anadarko in its consolidated statements of equity and partners' capital for the amount by which the swap price exceeds the applicable market price in the tables below. For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, the capital contributions from Anadarko were \$45.8 million and \$18.4 million, respectively, attributable to the commodity price swap agreements for the DJ Basin complex and the Hugoton system. The tables below summarize the swap prices for the extension periods compared to the forward market prices as of the various agreement dates.

| | DJ Basin Complex | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 2015 - 2017 Swap Prices | 2015 Market Prices ⁽¹⁾ | 2016 Market Prices (1) | 2017 Market Prices (1) |
| per barrel except natural gas | | | | |
| Ethane | \$18.41 | \$ 1.96 | \$ 0.60 | \$ 5.09 |
| Propane | 47.08 | 13.10 | 10.98 | 18.85 |
| Isobutane | 62.09 | 19.75 | 17.23 | 26.83 |
| Normal butane | 54.62 | 18.99 | 16.86 | 26.20 |
| Natural gasoline | 72.88 | 52.59 | 26.15 | 41.84 |
| Condensate | 76.47 | 52.59 | 34.65 | 45.40 |
| Natural gas (per MMBtu) | 5.96 | 2.75 | 2.11 | 3.05 |
| | Hugoton System ⁽²⁾ | | | |
| | 2015 - 2016 | 2015 Market | 2016 Market | |
| per barrel except natural gas | | | | |

Edgar Filing: Western Gas Equity Partners, LP - Form 10-K

| | Swap Prices | Prices ⁽¹⁾ | Prices ⁽¹⁾ |
|-------------------------|----------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Condensate | \$78.61 | \$ 32.56 | \$ 18.81 |
| Natural gas (per MMBtu) | 5.50 | 2.74 | 2.12 |

147

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES (CONTINUED)

| | MGR Assets | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------------|
| | 2015 | 2016 - 2017 | 2017 |
| per barrel except natural gas | Swap Prices | Swap Prices | Market Prices (1) |
| Ethane | \$23.41 | \$23.11 | \$ 4.08 |
| Propane | 52.99 | 52.90 | 19.24 |
| Isobutane | 74.02 | 73.89 | 25.79 |
| Normal butane | 65.04 | 64.93 | 25.16 |
| Natural gasoline | 81.82 | 81.68 | 45.01 |
| Condensate | 81.82 | 81.68 | 53.55 |
| Natural gas (per MMBtu) | 4.66 | 4.87 | 3.05 |

Represents the New York Mercantile Exchange forward strip price as of June 25, 2015, December 8, 2015 and

(1) December 1, 2016, for the 2015 Market Prices, 2016 Market Prices and 2017 Market Prices, respectively, adjusted for product specification, location, basis and, in the case of NGLs, transportation and fractionation costs.

(2) The Hugoton system was sold in October 2016. See Note 2.

Gathering and processing agreements. WES has significant gathering and processing arrangements with affiliates of Anadarko on a majority of its systems. WES's natural gas gathering, treating and transportation throughput (excluding equity investment throughput) attributable to production owned or controlled by Anadarko was 37%, 53% and 56% for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. WES's natural gas processing throughput (excluding equity investment throughput) attributable to production owned or controlled by Anadarko was 54%, 51% and 57% for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. WES's crude/NGL gathering, treating and transportation throughput (excluding equity investment throughput) attributable to production owned or controlled by Anadarko was 65% for the year ended December 31, 2016, and 100% for each of the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. Prior to January 1, 2016, Springfield's contracts were with a subsidiary of Anadarko who contracted with third parties. Effective January 1, 2016, Springfield's contracts are with both a subsidiary of Anadarko and third parties directly.

Commodity purchase and sale agreements. WES sells a significant amount of its natural gas, condensate and NGLs to Anadarko Energy Services Company ("AESC"), Anadarko's marketing affiliate. In addition, WES purchases natural gas, condensate and NGLs from AESC pursuant to purchase agreements. WES's purchase and sale agreements with AESC are generally one-year contracts, subject to annual renewal.

Acquisitions from Anadarko. On March 14, 2016, WES acquired Springfield from Anadarko, and on March 2, 2015, WES acquired DBJV from Anadarko. See Note 2 for further information on these acquisitions.

Omnibus agreements. Pursuant to the omnibus agreements discussed below, Anadarko performs centralized corporate functions for WGP and WES such as legal; accounting; treasury; cash management; investor relations; insurance administration and claims processing; risk management; health, safety and environmental; information technology; human resources; credit; payroll; internal audit; tax; marketing; and midstream administration. Anadarko, in accordance with WES's partnership agreement and the omnibus agreement between Anadarko and WES GP that governs certain reimbursement and indemnification matters (the "WES omnibus agreement"), determines, in its reasonable discretion, amounts to be reimbursed by WES in exchange for services provided under the WES omnibus agreement. See Summary of affiliate transactions below.

WGP omnibus agreement. In connection with WGP's IPO in December 2012, WGP entered into an omnibus agreement with WGP GP and Anadarko that governs the following: (i) WGP's obligation to reimburse Anadarko for expenses incurred or payments made on WGP's behalf in conjunction with Anadarko's provision of general and administrative services to WGP, including public company expenses and general and administrative expenses; (ii) WGP's obligation to pay Anadarko, in quarterly installments, an administrative services fee of \$250,000 per year (subject to an annual increase as described in the agreement); and (iii) WGP's obligation to reimburse Anadarko for all insurance coverage expenses it incurs or payments it makes on WGP's behalf.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES (CONTINUED)

The following table summarizes the amounts WGP reimbursed to Anadarko, separate from, and in addition to, those reimbursed by WES:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| General and administrative expenses | \$258 | \$256 | \$254 |
| Public company expenses | 2,449 | 1,997 | 1,986 |
| Total reimbursement | \$2,707 | \$2,253 | \$2,240 |

WES omnibus agreement. In connection with WES's IPO in 2008, WES entered into the WES omnibus agreement with Anadarko and WES GP, which governs its relationship regarding certain reimbursement and indemnification matters.

The following table summarizes the amounts WES reimbursed to Anadarko:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| General and administrative expenses | \$29,360 | \$22,896 | \$20,249 |
| Public company expenses | 8,410 | 8,950 | 8,006 |
| Total reimbursement | \$37,770 | \$31,846 | \$28,255 |

Services and secondment agreement. Pursuant to the services and secondment agreement, specified employees of Anadarko are seconded to provide operating, routine maintenance and other services with respect to the assets owned and operated by WES under the direction, supervision and control of WES GP. Pursuant to the services and secondment agreement, WES reimburses Anadarko for services provided by the seconded employees. The initial term of the services and secondment agreement extends through May 2018 and the term will automatically extend for additional twelve-month periods unless either party provides 180 days written notice of termination before the applicable twelve-month period expires. The consolidated financial statements include costs allocated by Anadarko for expenses incurred under the services and secondment agreement for periods including and subsequent to WES's acquisition of the WES assets.

WGP tax sharing agreement. Prior to WGP's conversion from WGR Holdings, LLC to a limited partnership in September 2012, WGP was a single-member limited liability company, required to reflect its income tax expense liability on a separate-return basis. Upon the completion of WGP's IPO in December 2012, WGP became a partnership for U.S. federal and state income tax purposes and is therefore not subject to U.S. federal and state income taxes, except for Texas margin tax on the portion of WGP's income apportionable to Texas. See Note 6.

In connection with WGP's IPO in December 2012, WGP entered into a tax sharing agreement with Anadarko, pursuant to which WGP reimburses Anadarko for its estimated share of taxes from all forms of taxation, excluding taxes imposed by the United States. Taxes for which WGP reimburses Anadarko include state taxes attributable to WGP's income which are directly borne by Anadarko on WGP's behalf as a result of WGP's results being included in a combined or consolidated tax return filed by Anadarko with respect to periods including and subsequent to the closing date of the IPO. Anadarko may use its tax attributes to cause its combined or consolidated group, of which WGP may be a member for this purpose, to owe no tax. Nevertheless, WGP will be required to reimburse Anadarko for the estimated share of taxes that WGP would have owed had the attributes not been available or used for WGP's benefit, regardless of whether Anadarko pays taxes for the period.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES (CONTINUED)

WES tax sharing agreement. Concurrently with WES's IPO in 2008, WES entered into a tax sharing agreement, pursuant to which WES reimburses Anadarko for its estimated share of taxes from all forms of taxation, excluding taxes imposed by the United States. Taxes for which WES reimburses Anadarko include state taxes attributable to WES's income, which are directly borne by Anadarko through its filing of a combined or consolidated tax return with respect to periods beginning on and subsequent to the acquisition of the WES assets from Anadarko. Anadarko may use its own tax attributes to reduce or eliminate the tax liability of its combined or consolidated group, which may include WES as a member. However, under this circumstance, WES nevertheless is required to reimburse Anadarko for the allocable share of taxes that would have been owed had tax attributes not been available to Anadarko.

WES long-term debt and WES RCF indemnification agreements. WES's long-term debt is recourse to WES GP. In turn, WES GP has been indemnified by wholly owned subsidiaries of Anadarko for any claims made against WES GP under WES's long-term debt or the WES RCF. See Note 12.

Allocation of costs. For periods prior to WES's acquisition of the WES assets, the consolidated financial statements include costs allocated by Anadarko in the form of a management services fee, which approximated the general and administrative costs incurred by Anadarko attributable to the WES assets. This management services fee was allocated to WES based on its proportionate share of Anadarko's assets and revenues or other contractual arrangements.

Management believes these allocation methodologies are reasonable.

The employees supporting WES's operations are employees of Anadarko. Anadarko allocates costs to WES for its share of personnel costs, including costs associated with equity-based compensation plans, non-contributory defined pension and postretirement plans and defined contribution savings plans pursuant to the WES omnibus agreement and services and secondment agreement. In general, WES's reimbursement to Anadarko under the WES omnibus agreement or services and secondment agreement is either (i) on an actual basis for direct expenses Anadarko and WES GP incur on behalf of WES, or (ii) based on an allocation of salaries and related employee benefits between WES, WES GP and Anadarko based on estimates of time spent on each entity's business and affairs. Most general and administrative expenses charged to WES by Anadarko are attributed to WES on an actual basis, and do not include any mark-up or subsidy component. With respect to allocated costs, management believes the allocation method employed by Anadarko is reasonable. Although it is not practicable to determine what the amount of these direct and allocated costs would be if WES were to directly obtain these services, management believes that aggregate costs charged to WES by Anadarko are reasonable.

WGP LTIP and Anadarko Incentive Plans. WGP GP awards phantom units under the WGP LTIP to its independent directors and executive officers. The phantom units awarded to the independent directors vest one year from the grant date, while awards granted to executive officers are subject to graded vesting over a three-year service period. The following table summarizes WGP LTIP award activity for WGP GP independent directors and executive officers for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

| | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2014 | |
|--|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | Weighted-Average | | Weighted-Average | | Weighted-Average | |
| | Grant-Date | Units | Grant-Date | Units | Grant-Date | Units |
| | Fair | | Fair | | Fair | |
| | Value | | Value | | Value | |
| Phantom units outstanding at beginning of year | \$ 47.20 | 12,537 | \$ 43.10 | 22,236 | \$ 39.19 | 36,238 |
| Vested | 47.20 | (12,537) | 44.44 | (13,317) | 37.32 | (18,400) |
| Granted | 39.78 | 5,658 | 62.21 | 3,618 | 51.19 | 4,398 |
| Phantom units outstanding at end of year | 39.78 | 5,658 | 47.20 | 12,537 | 43.10 | 22,236 |

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES (CONTINUED)

WGP LTIP and Anadarko Incentive Plans Compensation Expense. Compensation expense under the WGP LTIP is recognized over the vesting period and was \$0.2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. As of December 31, 2016, there was \$0.1 million of unrecognized compensation expense attributable to the outstanding independent director awards under the WGP LTIP, which will be realized by WGP and is expected to be recognized in 0.3 years.

For the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, general and administrative expenses included \$5.2 million, \$3.9 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, of equity-based compensation expense, allocated to WES by Anadarko, for awards granted to the executive officers of WES GP and other employees under the WGP LTIP and the Anadarko Incentive Plans. Of these amounts, \$4.2 million, \$3.6 million and \$3.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively, are reflected as contributions to partners' capital in the consolidated statements of equity and partners' capital. As of December 31, 2016, \$10.8 million of estimated unrecognized compensation expense attributable to the Anadarko Incentive Plans will be allocated to WES over a weighted-average period of 2.3 years.

WES LTIP. WES GP awards phantom units under the WES LTIP primarily to its independent directors, but also from time to time to its executive officers and Anadarko employees performing services for WES. The phantom units awarded to the independent directors vest one year from the grant date, while all other awards are subject to graded vesting over a three-year service period.

The following table summarizes WES LTIP award activity for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014:

| | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2014 | |
|--|------------------|----------|------------------|----------|------------------|-----------|
| | Weighted-Average | | Weighted-Average | | Weighted-Average | |
| | Grant-Date | Units | Grant-Date | Units | Grant-Date | Units |
| | Fair | | Fair | | Fair | |
| | Value | | Value | | Value | |
| Phantom units outstanding at beginning of year | \$ 68.78 | 5,477 | \$ 60.74 | 9,522 | \$ 49.47 | 16,844 |
| Vested | 68.78 | (5,477) | 60.69 | (9,257) | 49.55 | (13,122) |
| Granted | 49.30 | 7,304 | 69.10 | 5,212 | 68.14 | 5,800 |
| Phantom units outstanding at end of year | 49.30 | 7,304 | 68.78 | 5,477 | 60.74 | 9,522 |

WES LTIP Compensation Expense. Compensation expense is recognized over the vesting period and was \$0.4 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of December 31, 2016, there was \$0.1 million of unrecognized compensation expense attributable to the outstanding awards under the WES LTIP, all of which will be realized by WES, and which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 0.3 years.

Equipment purchases and sales. The following table summarizes WES's purchases from and sales to Anadarko of pipe and equipment:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| thousands | Purchases | | | Sales | | |
| Cash consideration | \$3,965 | \$10,903 | \$22,943 | \$623 | \$925 | \$402 |
| Net carrying value | (3,366) | (6,318) | (12,210) | (605) | (972) | (375) |
| Partners' capital adjustment | \$599 | \$4,585 | \$10,733 | \$18 | \$(47) | \$27 |

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

5. TRANSACTIONS WITH AFFILIATES (CONTINUED)

Contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates. On certain of WES's capital projects, Anadarko is obligated to reimburse WES for all or a portion of project capital expenditures. The majority of such arrangements are associated with projects related to pipeline construction activities and production well tie-ins. The cash receipts resulting from such reimbursements are presented as "Contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates" within the investing section of the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Summary of affiliate transactions. The following table summarizes material affiliate transactions. See Note 2 for discussion of affiliate acquisitions and related funding.

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenues and other ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,228,232 | \$1,220,639 | \$1,203,974 |
| Equity income, net – affiliates ⁽¹⁾ | 78,717 | 71,251 | 57,836 |
| Cost of product ⁽¹⁾ | 80,455 | 167,354 | 127,930 |
| Operation and maintenance ⁽²⁾ | 72,330 | 77,061 | 71,386 |
| General and administrative ⁽³⁾ | 38,873 | 34,703 | 32,055 |
| Operating expenses | 191,658 | 279,118 | 231,371 |
| Interest income ⁽⁴⁾ | 16,900 | 16,900 | 16,900 |
| Interest expense ⁽⁵⁾ | (7,747 |) 14,400 | 3 |
| Distributions to WGP unitholders ⁽⁶⁾ | 315,505 | 269,029 | 204,615 |
| Distributions to WES unitholders ⁽⁷⁾ | 5,614 | 2,235 | 1,747 |
| Above-market component of swap extensions with Anadarko | 45,820 | 18,449 | — |

Represents amounts earned or incurred on and subsequent to the date of acquisition of WES assets, as well as

⁽¹⁾ amounts earned or incurred by Anadarko on a historical basis related to WES assets prior to the acquisition of such assets by WES, recognized under gathering, treating or processing agreements, and purchase and sale agreements.

⁽²⁾ Represents expenses incurred on and subsequent to the date of the acquisition of WES assets, as well as expenses incurred by Anadarko on a historical basis related to WES assets prior to the acquisition of such assets by WES.

Represents general and administrative expense incurred on and subsequent to the date of WES's acquisition of WES assets, as well as a management services fee for reimbursement of expenses incurred by Anadarko for

⁽³⁾ periods prior to the acquisition of WES assets by WES. These amounts include equity-based compensation expense allocated to WES and WGP by Anadarko (see WES LTIP and WGP LTIP and Anadarko Incentive Plans within this Note 5) and amounts charged by Anadarko under the WGP and WES omnibus agreements.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents interest income recognized on the note receivable from Anadarko.

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, includes amounts related to WES's Deferred purchase price

⁽⁵⁾ obligation - Anadarko (see Note 2 and Note 12) and for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, includes interest expense recognized on the WGP WCF (see Note 12).

⁽⁶⁾ Represents distributions paid under WGP's partnership agreement (see Note 3 and Note 4).

⁽⁷⁾ Represents distributions paid to other subsidiaries of Anadarko under WES's partnership agreement (see Note 3 and Note 4).

Concentration of credit risk. Anadarko was the only customer from whom revenues exceeded 10% of consolidated revenues for all periods presented in the consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

6. INCOME TAXES

The components of income tax expense (benefit) are as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Current income tax expense (benefit) | | | |
| Federal income tax expense (benefit) | \$4,477 | \$32,422 | \$(114) |
| State income tax expense (benefit) | 1,340 | 1,764 | 493 |
| Total current income tax expense (benefit) | 5,817 | 34,186 | 379 |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit) | | | |
| Federal income tax expense (benefit) | 1,622 | 10,251 | 35,361 |
| State income tax expense (benefit) | 933 | 1,095 | 3,321 |
| Total deferred income tax expense (benefit) | 2,555 | 11,346 | 38,682 |
| Total income tax expense (benefit) | \$8,372 | \$45,532 | \$39,061 |

Total income taxes differed from the amounts computed by applying the statutory income tax rate to income (loss) before income taxes. The sources of these differences are as follows:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---|-------------------------|---|----------|---|-----------|---|
| thousands except percentages | 2016 | | 2015 | | 2014 | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | \$605,352 | | \$56,630 | | \$492,550 | |
| Statutory tax rate | — | % | — | % | — | % |
| Tax computed at statutory rate | \$— | | \$— | | \$— | |
| Adjustments resulting from: | | | | | | |
| Federal taxes on income attributable to Anadarko's investment in WES | 6,162 | | 42,823 | | 35,716 | |
| State taxes on income attributable to Anadarko's investment in WES (net of federal benefit) | 117 | | 298 | | 864 | |
| Texas margin tax expense (benefit) ⁽¹⁾ | 2,093 | | 2,411 | | 2,481 | |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | \$8,372 | | \$45,532 | | \$39,061 | |
| Effective tax rate | 1 | % | 80 | % | 8 | % |

Includes a reduction of \$2.2 million in deferred state income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2015. Texas

- ⁽¹⁾ House Bill 32, signed into law in June 2015, reduced the Texas margin tax rates by 0.25%. The law became effective January 1, 2016. WGP is required to include the impact of the law change on its deferred state income taxes in the period enacted.

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of deferred tax assets (liabilities) are as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 |
| Depreciable property | \$(4,976) | \$(138,159) |
| Credit carryforwards | 498 | 512 |
| Other intangible assets | (1,928) | (2,070) |
| Other | 4 | 13 |
| Net long-term deferred income tax liabilities | \$(6,402) | \$(139,704) |

Credit carryforwards, which are available for use on future income tax returns, consist of \$0.5 million of state income tax credits that expire in 2026.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

7. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of the historical cost of property, plant and equipment is as follows:

| thousands | Estimated Useful Life | December 31, | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | | 2016 | 2015 |
| Land | n/a | \$4,012 | \$3,744 |
| Gathering systems and processing complexes | 3 to 47 years | 6,462,053 | 6,061,004 |
| Pipelines and equipment | 15 to 45 years | 139,646 | 136,290 |
| Assets under construction | n/a | 226,626 | 329,887 |
| Other | 3 to 40 years | 29,605 | 25,853 |
| Total property, plant and equipment | | 6,861,942 | 6,556,778 |
| Accumulated depreciation | | 1,812,010 | 1,697,999 |
| Net property, plant and equipment | | \$5,049,932 | \$4,858,779 |

The cost of property classified as “Assets under construction” is excluded from capitalized costs being depreciated. These amounts represent property that is not yet suitable to be placed into productive service as of the respective balance sheet date.

Impairments. As of December 31, 2016, net property, plant and equipment includes impairments of \$15.5 million. WES recognized an impairment of \$6.1 million at WES’s Newcastle system, which was impaired to its estimated fair value of \$3.1 million, using the income approach and Level 3 fair value inputs, due to a reduction in estimated future cash flows caused by the low commodity price environment. Also during 2016, WES recognized impairments of \$9.4 million, primarily related to the cancellation of projects at the DJ Basin complex and Springfield and DBJV systems, and the abandonment of compressors at the MIGC system.

During 2015, WES recognized impairments of \$515.5 million, primarily due to impairments of \$280.2 million at the Red Desert complex and \$220.9 million at the Hilight system. Using the income approach and Level 3 fair value inputs, the Red Desert complex was impaired to its estimated salvage value of \$6.3 million and the Hilight system was impaired to its estimated fair value of \$28.8 million. These impairments were triggered by a reduction in estimated future cash flows caused by the low commodity price environment and resulting reduced producer drilling activity and related throughput. Also during 2015, WES recognized impairments of \$14.4 million, primarily due to (i) the abandonment of compressors at the MIGC system and (ii) the cancellation of projects at the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems and the Brasada, Red Desert and DJ Basin complexes.

During 2014, WES recognized impairments of \$5.1 million, primarily related to (i) a non-operational plant in the Powder River Basin that was impaired to its estimated salvage value of \$2.4 million, using the income approach and Level 3 fair value inputs, (ii) the cancellation of various capital projects by the third-party operator of the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems and (iii) a compressor no longer in service at the Hilight system.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

8. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLES

Goodwill. Goodwill is recorded when the purchase price of a business acquired exceeds the fair market value of the tangible and separately measurable intangible net assets. In addition, goodwill represents the allocated portion of Anadarko's midstream goodwill attributed to the assets WGP, through its consolidation of WES, has acquired from Anadarko. The carrying value of Anadarko's midstream goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price paid to a third-party entity over the estimated fair value of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed by Anadarko. Accordingly, WES's allocated goodwill balance does not represent, and in some cases is significantly different from, the difference between the consideration WES paid for its acquisitions from Anadarko and the fair value of such net assets on their respective acquisition dates.

Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually (see Note 1). Estimating the fair value of the reporting units was not necessary based on the qualitative evaluation as of October 1, 2016, and no goodwill impairment has been recognized in these consolidated financial statements. Procedures were also performed in the fourth quarter of 2016 to review any changes in circumstances subsequent to the annual test, including changes in commodity prices. These procedures also indicated no impairment.

Other intangible assets. The intangible asset balance in the consolidated balance sheets includes the fair value, net of amortization, of (i) contracts assumed by WES in connection with the Platte Valley acquisition in February 2011, which are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 50 years, (ii) interconnect agreements at Chipeta entered into in November 2012, which are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 10 years, and (iii) contracts assumed by WES in connection with the DBM acquisition in November 2014, which are being amortized on a straight-line basis over 30 years.

WES assesses intangible assets for impairment together with related underlying long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. See Property, plant and equipment in Note 1 for further discussion of management's process to evaluate potential impairment of long-lived assets. No intangible asset impairment has been recognized in these consolidated financial statements.

The following table presents the gross carrying amount and accumulated amortization of other intangible assets:

| | December 31, | |
|--------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 |
| Gross carrying amount | \$868,035 | \$868,035 |
| Accumulated amortization | (64,337) | (35,908) |
| Other intangible assets | \$803,698 | \$832,127 |

Amortization expense for intangible assets was \$28.4 million, \$28.2 million and \$4.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively. An estimated \$28.4 million of intangible asset amortization will be recorded for each of the next five years.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

9. EQUITY INVESTMENTS

The following table presents the activity of WES's equity investments for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

| thousands | Equity Investments | | | | TEG ⁽⁵⁾ | TEP ⁽⁶⁾ | FRP ⁽⁷⁾ | Total |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | Fort Union ⁽¹⁾ | White Cliffs ⁽²⁾ | Rendezvous ⁽³⁾ | Mont Belvieu JV ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$25,933 | \$44,315 | \$ 56,336 | \$121,337 | \$16,790 | \$198,793 | \$170,988 | \$634,492 |
| Investment earnings (loss), net of amortization | (3,200) | 14,770 | 2,292 | 23,570 | 586 | 16,088 | 17,145 | 71,251 |
| Contributions | — | 8,512 | — | (432) | — | 1,880 | 1,482 | 11,442 |
| Distributions | (5,611) | (14,188) | (4,233) | (24,248) | (803) | (16,340) | (16,631) | (82,054) |
| Distributions in excess of cumulative earnings ⁽⁸⁾ | — | (2,970) | (3,482) | (3,138) | (290) | (5,618) | (746) | (16,244) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$17,122 | \$50,439 | \$ 50,913 | \$117,089 | \$16,283 | \$194,803 | \$172,238 | \$618,887 |
| Investment earnings (loss), net of amortization | 608 | 13,858 | 1,931 | 26,204 | 708 | 16,683 | 18,725 | 78,717 |
| Contributions | — | 441 | — | — | 166 | (580) | — | 27 |
| Distributions | (1,543) | (13,277) | (3,873) | (26,243) | (730) | (16,934) | (19,585) | (82,185) |
| Distributions in excess of cumulative earnings ⁽⁸⁾ | (3,354) | (4,142) | (2,232) | (4,245) | (581) | (4,778) | (1,906) | (21,238) |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$12,833 | \$47,319 | \$ 46,739 | \$112,805 | \$15,846 | \$189,194 | \$169,472 | \$594,208 |

WES has a 14.81% interest in Fort Union, a joint venture that owns a gathering pipeline and treating facilities in the Powder River Basin. Anadarko is the construction manager and physical operator of the Fort Union facilities.

- (1) Certain business decisions, including, but not limited to, decisions with respect to significant expenditures or contractual commitments, annual budgets, material financings, dispositions of assets or amending the owners' firm gathering agreements, require 65% or unanimous approval of the owners.

WES has a 10% interest in White Cliffs, a limited liability company that owns a crude oil pipeline that originates in Platteville, Colorado and terminates in Cushing, Oklahoma. The third-party majority owner is the manager of the

- (2) White Cliffs operations. Certain business decisions, including, but not limited to, approval of annual budgets and decisions with respect to significant expenditures, contractual commitments, acquisitions, material financings, dispositions of assets or admitting new members, require more than 75% approval of the members.

WES has a 22% interest in Rendezvous, a limited liability company that operates gas gathering facilities in

- (3) Southwestern Wyoming. Certain business decisions, including, but not limited to, decisions with respect to significant expenditures or contractual commitments, annual budgets, material financings, dispositions of assets or amending the members' gas servicing agreements, require unanimous approval of the members.

WES has a 25% interest in the Mont Belvieu JV, an entity formed to design, construct, and own two fractionation trains located in Mont Belvieu, Texas. A third party is the operator of the Mont Belvieu JV fractionation trains.

- (4) Certain business decisions, including, but not limited to, decisions with respect to the execution of contracts, settlements, disposition of assets, or the creation, appointment, or removal of officer positions require 50% or unanimous approval of the owners.

(5)

WES has a 20% interest in TEG, an entity that consists of two NGL gathering systems that link natural gas processing plants to TEP. Enbridge Midcoast Energy, LP (“Enbridge”) is the operator of the two gathering systems. Certain business decisions, including, but not limited to, decisions with respect to the execution of contracts, settlements, disposition of assets, or the delegation, creation, appointment, or removal of officer positions require more than 50% approval of the members.

WES has a 20% interest in TEP, which consists of an NGL pipeline that originates in Skellytown, Texas and extends to Mont Belvieu, Texas. Enterprise Products Operating LLC (“Enterprise”) is the operator of TEP. Certain

- (6) business decisions, including, but not limited to, decisions with respect to the execution of contracts, settlements, disposition of assets, or the creation, appointment, or removal of officer positions require more than 50% approval of the members.

WES has a 33.33% interest in the FRP, an NGL pipeline that extends from Weld County, Colorado to Skellytown, Texas. Enterprise is the operator of FRP. Certain business decisions, including, but not limited to, decisions with respect to the execution of contracts, settlements, disposition of assets, or the creation, appointment, or removal of officer positions require more than 50% approval of the members.

- (7) Distributions in excess of cumulative earnings, classified as investing cash flows in the consolidated statements of cash flows, is calculated on an individual investment basis.
- (8)

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

9. EQUITY INVESTMENTS (CONTINUED)

The investment balance at December 31, 2016, includes \$38.2 million for the purchase price allocated to the investment in Rendezvous in excess of the historic cost basis of Western Gas Resources, Inc. ("WGRI"), the entity that previously owned the interest in Rendezvous, which Anadarko acquired in August 2006. This excess balance is attributable to the difference between the fair value and book value of such gathering and treating facilities (at the time WGRI was acquired by Anadarko) and is being amortized over the remaining estimated useful life of those facilities. The investment balance in White Cliffs at December 31, 2016, is \$7.5 million less than WES's underlying equity in White Cliffs' net assets, primarily due to WES recording the acquisition of its initial 0.4% interest in White Cliffs at Anadarko's historic carrying value. This difference is being amortized to equity income, net – affiliates over the remaining estimated useful life of the White Cliffs pipeline.

During the year ended December 31, 2015, an impairment loss was recognized by the operator of Fort Union. WES's 14.81% share of the impairment loss was \$9.5 million recorded in Equity income, net – affiliates in the consolidated statements of operations.

Management evaluates its equity investments for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of such investments may have experienced a decline in value that is other than temporary. When evidence of loss in value has occurred, management compares the estimated fair value of the investment to the carrying value of the investment to determine whether the investment has been impaired. Management assesses the fair value of equity investments using commonly accepted techniques, and may use more than one method, including, but not limited to, recent third-party comparable sales and discounted cash flow models. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying value, the excess of the carrying value over the estimated fair value is recognized as an impairment loss.

The following tables present the summarized combined financial information for WES's equity investments (amounts represent 100% of investee financial information):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income | | | |
| Revenues | \$687,554 | \$667,554 | \$548,629 |
| Operating income | 428,454 | 359,899 | 336,188 |
| Net income | 427,511 | 359,443 | 333,705 |

| | December 31, | |
|------------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | | |
| Current assets | \$118,472 | \$154,937 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 2,626,466 | 2,716,078 |
| Other assets | 39,802 | 43,713 |
| Total assets | \$2,784,740 | \$2,914,728 |
| Current liabilities | 63,468 | 78,116 |
| Non-current liabilities | 6,662 | 9,072 |
| Equity | 2,714,610 | 2,827,540 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$2,784,740 | \$2,914,728 |

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

10. COMPONENTS OF WORKING CAPITAL

A summary of accounts receivable, net is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 |
| Trade receivables, net | \$192,606 | \$143,341 |
| Other receivables, net | 30,415 | 49,772 |
| Total accounts receivable, net | \$223,021 | \$193,113 |

A summary of other current assets is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 |
| Natural gas liquids inventory | \$7,126 | \$2,403 |
| Imbalance receivables | 3,483 | 2,122 |
| Prepaid insurance | 2,889 | 2,998 |
| Other | — | 1,034 |
| Total other current assets | \$13,498 | \$8,557 |

A summary of accrued liabilities is as follows:

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|-----------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 |
| Accrued capital expenditures | \$79,253 | \$61,454 |
| Accrued plant purchases | 44,538 | 16,425 |
| Accrued interest expense | 39,834 | 26,194 |
| Short-term asset retirement obligations | 3,114 | 3,677 |
| Short-term remediation and reclamation obligations | 630 | 1,136 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,006 | 770 |
| Other | 606 | 9,440 |
| Total accrued liabilities | \$168,981 | \$119,096 |

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

11. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

The following table provides a summary of changes in asset retirement obligations:

| | Year Ended December 31, | |
|--|-------------------------|------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 |
| Carrying amount of asset retirement obligations at beginning of year | \$ 130,631 | \$ 119,855 |
| Liabilities incurred | 5,515 | 9,490 |
| Liabilities settled | (10,650) | (7,905) |
| Accretion expense | 6,794 | 6,381 |
| Revisions in estimated liabilities | 10,117 | 2,810 |
| Carrying amount of asset retirement obligations at end of year | \$ 142,407 | \$ 130,631 |

The liabilities incurred for the year ended December 31, 2016, represented additions in asset retirement obligations primarily due to capital expansions at the DJ Basin and DBM complexes and the DBJV system. Revisions in estimated liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2016, are related to (i) changes in expected settlement costs and timing primarily at the MGR assets, Granger complex and the Hilight and Springfield systems, and (ii) changes in property lives primarily at the DJ Basin and DBM complexes and the Hilight, Springfield and Haley systems. The liabilities incurred for the year ended December 31, 2015, represented additions in asset retirement obligations primarily due to capital expansions at the DJ Basin, Granger and Brasada complexes and the Hilight and Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems. Revisions in estimated liabilities for the year ended December 31, 2015, are related to (i) changes in expected timing of settlement primarily at the DBM and DJ Basin complexes and Hugoton and DBJV systems, and (ii) changes in property lives primarily at the Granger, Brasada and Red Desert complexes and the Hilight and Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems.

12. DEBT AND INTEREST EXPENSE

At December 31, 2016, WGP's debt consisted of borrowings under the WGP RCF and WES's 5.375% Senior Notes due 2021 (the "2021 Notes"), 4.000% Senior Notes due 2022 (the "2022 Notes"), 2.600% Senior Notes due 2018 (the "2018 Notes"), 5.450% Senior Notes due 2044 (the "2044 Notes"), 3.950% Senior Notes due 2025 (the "2025 Notes"), and 4.650% Senior Notes due 2026 (the "2026 Notes").

The following table presents WES and WGP's outstanding debt as of December 31, 2016 and 2015:

| | December 31, 2016 | | | December 31, 2015 | | |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| thousands | Principal | Carrying Value | Fair Value ⁽¹⁾ | Principal | Carrying Value | Fair Value ⁽¹⁾ |
| WGP RCF | \$28,000 | \$28,000 | \$28,000 | \$— | \$— | \$— |
| 2021 Notes | 500,000 | 494,734 | 536,252 | 500,000 | 493,711 | 513,645 |
| 2022 Notes | 670,000 | 668,634 | 681,723 | 670,000 | 668,432 | 595,744 |
| 2018 Notes | 350,000 | 349,188 | 351,531 | 350,000 | 348,706 | 339,293 |
| 2044 Notes | 600,000 | 593,132 | 615,753 | 400,000 | 389,707 | 321,499 |
| 2025 Notes | 500,000 | 490,971 | 492,499 | 500,000 | 490,095 | 422,285 |
| 2026 Notes | 500,000 | 494,802 | 518,441 | — | — | — |
| WES RCF | — | — | — | 300,000 | 300,000 | 300,000 |
| Total long-term debt | \$3,148,000 | \$3,119,461 | \$3,224,199 | \$2,720,000 | \$2,690,651 | \$2,492,466 |

⁽¹⁾ Fair value is measured using the market approach and Level 2 inputs.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. DEBT AND INTEREST EXPENSE (CONTINUED)

Debt activity. The following table presents WES and WGP's debt activity for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015:

| thousands | Carrying Value |
|----------------------------------|----------------|
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$ 2,409,935 |
| WES RCF borrowings | 400,000 |
| Issuance of 2025 Notes | 500,000 |
| Repayments of WES RCF borrowings | (610,000) |
| Repayment of WGP WCF borrowings | (1,150) |
| Other | (8,134) |
| Balance at December 31, 2015 | \$ 2,690,651 |
| WES RCF borrowings | 600,000 |
| Issuance of 2026 Notes | 500,000 |
| Issuance of 2044 Notes | 200,000 |
| Repayments of WES RCF borrowings | (900,000) |
| WGP RCF borrowings | 28,000 |
| Other | 810 |
| Balance at December 31, 2016 | \$ 3,119,461 |

WGP RCF. In March 2016, WGP entered into a \$250.0 million WGP RCF, which matures in March 2019. The WGP RCF may be used to buy WES common units and for general partnership purposes. The WGP RCF contains an accordion feature whereby WGP can increase the commitments under the WGP RCF up to an aggregate of \$500.0 million, subject to receiving increased or new commitments from lenders and the satisfaction of certain other conditions precedent. The WGP RCF contains certain customary affirmative and negative covenants, including the maintenance of a consolidated leverage ratio of not more than 3.50 to 1.00 and limitations on the ability of WGP and WES GP to, among other things, (i) materially alter the character of their business on a consolidated basis from the midstream energy business, (ii) create, assume or suffer to exist liens on their assets, (iii) enter into transactions with affiliates, (iv) make distributions upon the occurrence of certain events of default, (v) create, incur or assume indebtedness, (vi) make dispositions of their assets, (vii) enter into sale and leasebacks and (viii) consolidate with or merge into any other entity or convey, transfer or lease their properties and assets substantially as an entirety to any person or entity.

WGP borrowed \$28.0 million under the WGP RCF to fund the purchase of 835,841 WES common units (see Note 2) and to pay fees and expenses associated with entering into the WGP RCF. Pursuant to a collateral agreement with the WGP RCF lenders, WGP's obligations under the WGP RCF are secured by a first priority lien on all of WGP's assets (not including the consolidated assets of WES), as well as all present and after acquired equity interests owned by WGP in WES GP and WES. Borrowings under the WGP RCF bear interest, at WGP's option, at either (a) a base rate equal to the greatest of (i) the Prime Rate, (ii) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.50% and (iii) LIBOR plus 1.00%, in each case plus applicable margins ranging from 1.00% to 1.75% based upon WGP's consolidated leverage ratio or (b) LIBOR (with a floor of 0%), plus applicable margins ranging from 2.00% to 2.75% based upon WGP's consolidated leverage ratio. The unused portion of the WGP RCF is subject to a quarterly commitment fee ranging from 0.30% to 0.50% per annum on the daily unused amount of the WGP RCF based upon WGP's consolidated leverage ratio. At December 31, 2016, the interest rate and commitment fee rate on the WGP RCF was 2.77% and 0.30%, respectively.

As of December 31, 2016, WGP had \$28.0 million of outstanding borrowings (\$222.0 million available borrowing capacity) and was in compliance with all covenants under the WGP RCF.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. DEBT AND INTEREST EXPENSE (CONTINUED)

WGP WCF. WGP is required to reduce all borrowings under the WGP WCF to zero for a period of at least 15 consecutive days during the twelve-month period commencing on November 1 of each year. As of December 31, 2016, WGP had no outstanding borrowings and \$30.0 million available for borrowing under the WGP WCF. The interest rate on the WGP WCF, which matures in November 2017, was zero and 1.93% at December 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

At December 31, 2016, WGP was in compliance with all covenants under the WGP WCF.

WES Senior Notes. In October 2016, WES issued an additional \$200.0 million in aggregate principal amount of 2044 Notes at a price to the public of 102.776% of the face amount plus accrued interest from October 1, 2016 to the settlement date. These notes were offered as additional notes under the indenture governing the 2044 Notes issued in March 2014 and are treated as a single class of securities with the 2044 Notes under such indenture. Including the effects of (i) the issuance premium for the October 2016 offering of the 2044 Notes, (ii) the issuance discount for the March 2014 offering of the 2044 Notes and (iii) the underwriting discounts, the effective interest rate of the 2044 Notes is 5.530%. Proceeds (net of underwriting discount of \$1.8 million and debt issuance costs and excluding accrued interest from October 1, 2016 to the settlement date) were used to repay amounts then outstanding under the WES RCF and for general partnership purposes, including capital expenditures.

The 2026 Notes issued in July 2016 were offered at a price to the public of 99.796% of the face amount. Including the effects of the issuance and underwriting discounts, the effective interest rate of the 2026 Notes is 4.787%. Interest is paid semi-annually on January 1 and July 1 of each year. Proceeds (net of underwriting discount of \$3.1 million, original issue discount and debt issuance costs) were used to repay a portion of the amount outstanding under the WES RCF.

The 2025 Notes issued in June 2015 were offered at a price to the public of 98.789% of the face amount. Including the effects of the issuance and underwriting discounts, the effective interest rate of the 2025 Notes is 4.205%. Interest is paid semi-annually on June 1 and December 1 of each year. Proceeds (net of underwriting discount of \$3.3 million, original issue discount and debt issuance costs) were used to repay a portion of the amount outstanding under the WES RCF.

At December 31, 2016, WES was in compliance with all covenants under the indentures governing its outstanding notes.

WES RCF. The \$1.2 billion WES RCF, which is expandable to a maximum of \$1.5 billion, and bears interest at LIBOR, plus applicable margins ranging from 0.975% to 1.45%, or an alternate base rate equal to the greatest of (a) the Prime Rate, (b) the Federal Funds Effective Rate plus 0.5%, or (c) LIBOR plus 1%, in each case plus applicable margins currently ranging from zero to 0.45%, based upon WES's senior unsecured debt rating. In December 2016, the WES RCF was amended to extend the maturity date from February 2019 to February 2020. WES is required to pay a quarterly facility fee currently ranging from 0.15% to 0.30% of the commitment amount (whether used or unused), based upon its senior unsecured debt rating. The facility fee rate was 0.20% at December 31, 2016 and 2015.

As of December 31, 2016, WES had no outstanding WES RCF borrowings and \$4.9 million in outstanding letters of credit, resulting in \$1.195 billion available for borrowing under the WES RCF. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the interest rate on the outstanding WES RCF borrowings was zero and 1.73%, respectively. At December 31, 2016, WES was in compliance with all covenants under the WES RCF.

All of WES's notes and obligations under the WES RCF are recourse to WES GP. WES GP is indemnified by wholly owned subsidiaries of Anadarko against any claims made against WES GP for WES's long-term debt and/or borrowings under the WES RCF.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

12. DEBT AND INTEREST EXPENSE (CONTINUED)

WES interest rate agreements. In June 2016, WES entered into a U.S. Treasury rate lock agreement to mitigate the risk of rising interest rates on existing variable-rate debt expected to be refinanced during the third quarter of 2016. The rate lock agreement was not designated as a cash flow hedge and was settled in June 2016 upon the offering of the WES 2026 Notes that closed in July 2016. WES realized a loss of \$0.2 million at settlement, which is included in Other income (expense), net in the consolidated statements of operations.

Interest expense. The following table summarizes the amounts included in interest expense:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|----------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Third parties | | | |
| Long-term debt | \$122,428 | \$102,058 | \$81,495 |
| Amortization of debt issuance costs and commitment fees | 7,509 | 5,734 | 5,103 |
| Capitalized interest | (5,562) | (8,318) | (9,832) |
| Total interest expense – third parties | 124,375 | 99,474 | 76,766 |
| Affiliates | | | |
| WGP WCF | — | 2 | 3 |
| Deferred purchase price obligation – Anadarko ⁽¹⁾ | (7,747) | 14,398 | — |
| Total interest expense – affiliates | (7,747) | 14,400 | 3 |
| Interest expense | \$116,628 | \$113,874 | \$76,769 |

⁽¹⁾ See Note 2 for a discussion of the Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko.

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental obligations. WGP, through its partnership interest in WES, is subject to various environmental-remediation obligations arising from federal, state and local regulations regarding air and water quality, hazardous and solid waste disposal and other environmental matters. As of December 31, 2016 and 2015, the consolidated balance sheets included \$2.2 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, of liabilities for remediation and reclamation obligations. The current portion of these amounts is included in Accrued liabilities and the long-term portion of these amounts is included in Asset retirement obligations and other. The recorded obligations do not include any anticipated insurance recoveries. The majority of payments related to these obligations are expected to be made over the next five years. Management regularly monitors the remediation and reclamation process and the liabilities recorded and believes its environmental obligations are adequate to fund remedial actions to comply with present laws and regulations, and that the ultimate liability for these matters, if any, will not differ materially from recorded amounts nor materially affect the overall results of operations, cash flows or financial condition of WGP. There can be no assurance, however, that current regulatory requirements will not change, or past non-compliance with environmental issues will not be discovered. See Note 10 and Note 11.

Litigation and legal proceedings. From time to time, WGP, through its partnership interests in WES, is involved in legal, tax, regulatory and other proceedings in various forums regarding performance, contracts and other matters that arise in the ordinary course of business. Management is not aware of any such proceeding the final disposition of which could have a material adverse effect on the financial condition, results of operations or cash flows of WGP.

Other commitments. WES has short-term payment obligations, or commitments, related to its capital spending programs, as well as those of its unconsolidated affiliates. As of December 31, 2016, WES had unconditional payment

obligations for services to be rendered or products to be delivered in connection with its capital projects of \$50.9 million, the majority of which is expected to be paid in the next twelve months. These commitments relate primarily to the construction of Train VI at the DBM complex, expansion projects at the DBJV system and the DBM complex and the construction of two produced-water disposal systems in West Texas.

Table of Contents

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (CONTINUED)

Lease commitments. Anadarko, on WES's behalf, has entered into lease agreements for corporate offices, shared field offices and a warehouse supporting WES's operations, for which Anadarko charges WES rent. The leases for the corporate offices and shared field offices extend through 2017 and 2019, respectively, and the lease for the warehouse extends through February 2017.

Rent expense associated with the office, warehouse and equipment leases was \$35.9 million, \$34.1 million and \$25.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The amounts in the table below represent existing contractual operating lease obligations as of December 31, 2016, that may be assigned or otherwise charged to WES pursuant to the reimbursement provisions of the omnibus agreement:

| thousands | Operating Leases |
|------------|---------------------|
| 2017 | \$ 7,322 |
| 2018 | 898 |
| 2019 | 764 |
| 2020 | 122 |
| 2021 | — |
| Thereafter | — |
| Total | \$ 9,106 |

14. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On February 9, 2017, WES entered into an agreement with Williams Partners L.P. ("WPZ") whereby WES will acquire WPZ's 50% non-operated interest in the DBJV system in exchange for WES's 33.75% interest in the Non-Operated Marcellus Interest systems and \$155.0 million in cash. WES currently holds a 50% interest in, and operates, the DBJV system. WES expects to fund the cash consideration through borrowings under its RCF and to close the transaction, subject to standard closing conditions and adjustments, in the first quarter of 2017.

Effective February 13, 2017, Donald R. Sinclair resigned from his positions as President and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the Board of Directors of WGP's general partner. Also on February 13, 2017, the Board of Directors appointed Benjamin M. Fink to be President and Chief Executive Officer of WGP's general partner and also appointed him to the Board of Directors. In addition, on February 13, 2017, the Board of Directors appointed Craig W. Collins as Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the general partner and Philip H. Peacock as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of the general partner.

On February 21, 2017, Anadarko notified WES that it elected to defer the conversion date of the WES Class C units from December 31, 2017 to March 1, 2020.

Pursuant to a Consent and Conversion Agreement (the "Conversion Agreement"), dated February 22, 2017, among WES and the holders of the Series A Preferred units, WES and the holders of the Series A Preferred units have agreed to convert on a one-for-one basis 50% of the outstanding Series A Preferred units into WES common units effective as of February 23, 2017, and convert the remaining Series A Preferred units on May 2, 2017 (collectively, the "Early Conversion"). The WES common units to be issued in connection with the Early Conversion will be undertaken in reliance upon an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) thereof. In connection with the Early Conversion, WES (i) agreed to amend the registration rights agreement with the holders of the Series A Preferred units through the Conversion Agreement and use its commercially reasonable efforts to file a registration statement by March 10, 2017, to permit the public resale of the WES common units received by the holders of the Series A Preferred units and for such registration statement to be declared effective no later than March 14, 2018, and (ii) entered into an amendment to WES's limited partnership

agreement (the “Second LPA Amendment”) on February 22, 2017, for certain matters related to the tax basis of the WES common units received in the Early Conversion.

Table of ContentsWESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP
SUPPLEMENTAL QUARTERLY INFORMATION
(UNAUDITED)

The following table presents a summary of operating results by quarter for the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015. Operating results reflect the operations of the WES assets (as defined in Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) from the dates of common control, unless otherwise noted. See Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies and Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures.

| thousands except per-unit amounts | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|--|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| 2016 | | | | |
| Total revenues and other | \$383,141 | \$428,664 | \$481,645 | \$510,820 |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | 16,814 | 19,693 | 20,294 | 21,916 |
| Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net | (632) | (1,907) | (6,230) | (5,872) |
| Proceeds from business interruption insurance claims | — | 2,603 | 13,667 | — |
| Operating income (loss) | 152,165 | 175,343 | 196,558 | 180,469 |
| Net income (loss) | 117,759 | 165,777 | 169,175 | 144,269 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP | 81,816 | 88,863 | 91,397 | 83,696 |
| Net income (loss) per common unit – basic and diluted ⁽¹⁾ | 0.32 | 0.41 | 0.42 | 0.38 |
| 2015 | | | | |
| Total revenues and other | \$437,006 | \$465,993 | \$432,515 | \$416,558 |
| Equity income, net – affiliates | 18,220 | 18,941 | 21,976 | 12,114 |
| Gain (loss) on divestiture and other, net | (6) | — | 77,254 | (20,224) |
| Operating income (loss) ⁽²⁾ | (123,168) | 169,912 | 225,679 | (118,241) |
| Net income (loss) ⁽²⁾ | (154,095) | 134,367 | 185,583 | (154,757) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP ⁽²⁾ | (16,372) | 87,651 | 109,219 | (14,991) |
| Net income (loss) per common unit – basic and diluted ^{(1) (2)} | (0.19) | 0.31 | 0.41 | (0.14) |

⁽¹⁾ Represents net income (loss) earned on and subsequent to the date of acquisition of the WES assets (as defined in Note 1—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies).

⁽²⁾ Includes impairments at the Red Desert complex in the first and fourth quarters of 2015 and at the Hilight system in the fourth quarter of 2015. See Note 7—Property, Plant and Equipment.

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of WGP's general partner (for purposes of this Item 9A, "Management") performed an evaluation of WGP's disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on this evaluation, Management concluded that WGP's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2016.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. See Management's Assessment of Internal Control Over Financial Reporting under Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Attestation Report of the Registered Public Accounting Firm. See Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm under Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended December 31, 2016, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, WGP's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

On February 21, 2017, Anadarko notified WES that it elected to defer the conversion date of the WES Class C units from December 31, 2017 to March 1, 2020.

Pursuant to a Consent and Conversion Agreement (the "Conversion Agreement"), dated February 22, 2017, among WES and the holders of the Series A Preferred units, WES and the holders of the Series A Preferred units have agreed to convert on a one-for-one basis 50% of the outstanding Series A Preferred units into WES common units effective as of February 23, 2017, and convert the remaining Series A Preferred units on May 2, 2017 (collectively, the "Early Conversion"). The WES common units to be issued in connection with the Early Conversion will be undertaken in reliance upon an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) thereof. In connection with the Early Conversion, WES (i) agreed to amend the registration rights agreement with the holders of the Series A Preferred units through the Conversion Agreement and use its commercially reasonable efforts to file a registration statement by March 10, 2017, to permit the public resale of the WES common units received by the holders of the Series A Preferred units and for such registration statement to be declared effective no later than March 14, 2018, and (ii) entered into an amendment to WES's limited partnership agreement (the "Second LPA Amendment") on February 22, 2017, for certain matters related to the tax basis of the WES common units received in the Early Conversion. The descriptions above of the Conversion Agreement and Second LPA Amendment are summaries and are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text of the Conversion Agreement, which is filed as Exhibit 4.16 to WES's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2017, and the Second LPA Amendment, which is filed as Exhibit 3.8 to this annual report on Form 10-K and incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Management of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP

As an MLP, we have no directors or officers. Instead, our general partner manages our operations and activities. Our general partner is not elected by our unitholders and is not subject to re-election in the future. The directors of our general partner oversee our operations. Unitholders are not entitled to elect the directors of our general partner or directly or indirectly participate in our management or operations. However, our general partner owes duties to our unitholders as defined and described in the WGP partnership agreement. Our general partner will be liable, as general partner, for all of our debts (to the extent not paid from our assets), except for indebtedness or other obligations that are made specifically nonrecourse to it. Our general partner, therefore, may cause us to incur indebtedness or other obligations that are nonrecourse to it.

Our Board of Directors has seven members, three of whom are independent as defined under the independence standards established by the NYSE and the Exchange Act. The NYSE does not require a listed limited partnership, such as us, to have a majority of independent directors on the Board of Directors or to establish a compensation committee or a nominating committee. Our Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that Messrs. Craig W. Stewart, Thomas R. Hix and David J. Tudor are independent as described in the rules of the NYSE and the Exchange Act. With respect to Mr. Hix, the Board of Directors specifically considered that Anadarko has a significant drilling contract with Rowan Companies, Inc., an entity for which Mr. Hix serves as a director. The Board of Directors determined that this arrangement does not impact Mr. Hix's independence.

We control and manage WES through our ownership of WES GP. The officers of our general partner are also officers of WES GP, and our officers, as well as the employees that operate WES, are Anadarko employees. Because we have no independent business activities separate and apart from our interests in WES, we do not receive an allocation of compensation expense from Anadarko. The executive officers of WES GP allocate their time between managing WES's business and affairs and the business and affairs of Anadarko, and may face a conflict regarding the allocation of their time. It is expected that the amount of time the executive officers of WES GP devote to WES's business may increase or decrease in future periods as WES's business continues to develop. The executive officers of WES GP and other Anadarko employees operate WES's business and provide WES with general and administrative services pursuant to the WES omnibus agreement and the WES services and secondment agreement described under Part III, Item 13 of this Form 10-K. Our officers and other Anadarko employees operate our business and provide us with general and administrative services pursuant to the WGP omnibus agreement, also described under Part III, Item 13 of this Form 10-K.

Board Leadership Structure

Anadarko controls our general partner and, within the limitations of the WGP partnership agreement and applicable SEC and NYSE rules and regulations, also exercises broad discretion in establishing the governance provisions of our general partner's limited liability company agreement. Accordingly, our general partner's board structure is established by Anadarko.

Although our general partner's current board structure has separated the roles of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), our general partner's limited liability company agreement and Corporate Governance Guidelines permit the roles of Chairman and CEO to be combined. Anadarko may in the future combine those roles at its discretion.

Table of Contents

Directors and Executive Officers

The biography of each director below contains information regarding that person's service as a director, business experience, director positions held currently or at any time during the last five years, and involvement in certain legal or administrative proceedings, if applicable, and the experiences, qualifications, attributes or skills that caused our general partner and its Board of Directors to determine that the person should serve as a director of our general partner. In light of our strategic relationship with our sponsor, Anadarko, our general partner considers service as an Anadarko executive to be a meaningful qualification for service as a non-independent director of our general partner. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the directors and executive officers of our general partner as of February 21, 2017. Directors are appointed for a term of one year.

| Name | Age | Position with Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC |
|----------------------|-----|---|
| Robert G. Gwin | 53 | Chairman of the Board |
| Donald R. Sinclair | 59 | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (through February 13, 2017) |
| Benjamin M. Fink | 46 | President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer |
| Craig W. Collins | 44 | Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (since February 13, 2017) |
| Jacqueline A. Dimpel | 50 | Senior Vice President (through April 8, 2016) |
| Philip H. Peacock | 45 | Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary |
| Thomas R. Hix | 69 | Director |
| Darrell E. Hollek | 59 | Director |
| Robert K. Reeves | 59 | Director |
| Craig W. Stewart | 62 | Director |
| David J. Tudor | 57 | Director |

Our directors hold office until their successors are duly elected and qualified or until the earlier of their death, resignation, removal or disqualification. Officers serve at the discretion of the Board of Directors. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Robert G.

Gwin Biography/Qualifications

Age: 53

Houston, Texas Director since: September 2012 Not Independent

Robert G. Gwin has served as Chairman of the Board of Directors since September 2012. He also served as a director of WES GP since August 2007 and has served as Chairman of the Board of WES GP since October 2009. Mr. Gwin has also served as Chief Executive Officer of WES GP from August 2007 to January 2010 and as President from August 2007 to September 2009. He was named Executive Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Anadarko in May 2013 and previously served as Senior Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer beginning in 2009. Mr. Gwin also serves as Chairman of the Board of LyondellBasell Industries N.V.

Donald R. Sinclair Biography/Qualifications

Age: 59

Houston, Texas Director since: September 2012

Effective February 13, 2017, Donald R. Sinclair resigned his officer and director positions with our and WES's general partners in anticipation of his retirement. From September 2012 to February 2017, Mr. Sinclair served as President and Chief Executive Officer and as a director of our general partner. Mr. Sinclair also served as President and a director of WES GP from October 2009 to January 2010, and from January 2010 to February 2017, as President, Chief Executive Officer and a director of WES GP. From May 2013 to February 2017, he served as Senior Vice President of Anadarko, prior to which he served as a Vice President of Anadarko beginning in 2010. Prior to joining Anadarko and becoming President and a director of WES GP, Mr. Sinclair was a founding partner and served as President of

Not Ceritas Energy, LLC, a midstream energy company headquartered in Houston with operations in Texas,
Independent Wyoming and Utah from 2003 to 2009. Mr. Sinclair has worked in the oil and gas industry for over 35
Officer years, with a focus on marketing and trading and the midstream sector.
since:
September
2012

Table of Contents

Biography/Qualifications

Benjamin M. Fink has served as President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of our general partner and WES GP since February 2017. He previously served as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of our general partner from September 2012 to February 2017. He previously served as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of WES GP from 2009 to February 2017. Mr. Fink currently serves as a Senior Vice President at Anadarko. He was Director, Finance of Anadarko from 2007 to 2009, during which time he was responsible for principal oversight of the finance operations of an Anadarko subsidiary, Anadarko Algeria Company, LLC. From 2006 to 2007, he served as an independent financial consultant to Anadarko in its Beijing, China and Rio de Janeiro, Brazil offices. From 2001 until 2006, he held executive management positions at Prosoft Learning Corporation, including serving as its President and Chief Executive Officer from 2004 until that company's sale in 2006. From 2000 to 2001 he co-founded and served as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Meta4 Group Limited, an online direct marketer based in Hong Kong and Tokyo. Previously, he held positions of increasing responsibility at Prudential Capital Group and Prudential Asset Management Asia, where he focused on the negotiation, structuring and execution of private debt and equity investments.

Biography/Qualifications

Craig W. Collins has served as Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of our general partner and WES GP since February 2017. Mr. Collins was named Vice President – Midstream for Anadarko in February 2017, and previously served as Director, Midstream Engineering for Anadarko from July 2016 to February 2017, during which time he was responsible for the engineering and construction of midstream infrastructure for Anadarko and WES. He joined the Anadarko midstream organization in November 2010, where he led commercial development activities in the Eagleford shale, and was promoted to General Manager in June 2013, with commercial responsibilities for midstream assets located in Texas, New Mexico, Kansas, Louisiana, and Pennsylvania. Since joining Anadarko in 2003, Mr. Collins has also held positions of increasing responsibility in Treasury and Corporate Development.

Jacqueline

A. Dimpel Biography/Qualifications

From February 2014 to April 2016, Jacqueline A. Dimpel served as Senior Vice President and principal operating officer for our general partner and for WES GP. She also served as Vice President of Midstream for Anadarko since December 2013. From 2006 through April 2016, Ms. Dimpel served in a variety of technical, operational and planning positions, including Business Advisor for U.S. Onshore Operations and Midstream Operations Manager for the Southern and Appalachia region. Prior to joining Anadarko, Ms. Dimpel served in engineering roles of increasing responsibility with ExxonMobil.

Philip H. Peacock

Biography/Qualifications

Philip H. Peacock has served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of our general partner and WES GP since February 2017 and as Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of our general partner from September 2012 until February 2017. Mr. Peacock served as Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of WES GP from August 2012 until February 2017. Prior to joining Western Gas, Mr. Peacock was a partner practicing corporate and securities law at the law firm of Andrews Kurth LLP, which he joined in 2003. He is licensed to practice law in the state of Texas.

Table of Contents

Biography/Qualifications

Thomas R. Hix
Age: 69
Houston, Texas
Director since: January 2013
Independent

Thomas R. Hix has served as a director of our general partner and as a member of the Audit Committee and as Chairman of the Special Committee of the Board of Directors since January 2013. Mr. Hix has been a business consultant since January 2003, and previously served as Senior Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Cooper Cameron Corporation from 1995 to 2003. Prior to joining Cooper Cameron, Mr. Hix held several executive finance and accounting positions in the energy industry, and has significant expertise in finance and accounting, as well as experience in mergers and acquisitions. Mr. Hix currently serves as a director of Health Care Services Corporation (a Chicago-based company operating through its Blue Cross and Blue Shield divisions in Illinois, Texas, Oklahoma, New Mexico, and Montana), as a director, Chairman of the Compensation Committee and a member of the Executive Committee of Rowan Companies plc, and as a director, member of the Compensation Committee and Chairman of the Audit Committee of EP Energy Corporation. He previously served as a director of El Paso Corporation from 2004 to May 2012.

Biography/Qualifications

Darrell E. Hollek
Age: 59
Houston, Texas
Director since: May 2015
Not Independent

Darrell E. Hollek has served as a director of our general partner and as a director of WES GP since May 2015. Mr. Hollek was named Executive Vice President, Operations of Anadarko in August 2016. He served as Executive Vice President, U.S. Onshore Exploration and Production of Anadarko from April 2015 to August 2016, and served as Senior Vice President, Operations (Deepwater Americas) of Anadarko from May 2013 to April 2015. Prior to these positions, he served as Vice President, Operations of Anadarko since 2007. Mr. Hollek joined Anadarko upon the acquisition of Kerr-McGee Corporation in 2006. He has held positions of increasing responsibility with Anadarko and Kerr-McGee Corporation, where he began his career, including management roles in the Gulf of Mexico, U.S. Onshore and Environmental, Health, Safety and Regulatory.

Biography/Qualifications

Robert K. Reeves
Age: 59
Houston, Texas
Director since: September 2012
Not Independent

Robert K. Reeves has served as a director of our general partner since September 2012 and as a director of WES GP since 2007. Mr. Reeves was named Executive Vice President, Law and Chief Administrative Officer of Anadarko in September 2015 and previously served as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Administrative Officer since May 2013 and as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Administrative Officer since 2007. He also served as a director of Key Energy Services, Inc., a publicly traded oil field services company, from 2007 to December 2016. Prior to joining Anadarko, he served as Executive Vice President, Administration and General Counsel of North Sea New Ventures from 2003 to 2004 and as Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary of Ocean Energy, Inc. and its predecessor companies from 1997 to 2003.

Biography/Qualifications

Craig W. Stewart
Age: 62
Calgary, Alberta, Canada
Director since: January 2013
Independent

Craig W. Stewart has served as a director of our general partner and as a member of the Special Committee and Audit Committee of the Board of Directors since January 2013. Mr. Stewart currently serves as Executive Chairman of RMP Energy Inc., having served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of RMP Energy Ltd. from 2008 until May 2011. Mr. Stewart served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Rider Resources Ltd. from 2003 to 2008, and prior to joining Rider Resources, held various executive and director positions with companies in the energy industry.

Biography/Qualifications

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>David J. Tudor</p> <p>Age: 57</p> <p>Houston, Texas</p> <p>Director since: December 2012</p> <p>Independent</p> | <p>David J. Tudor has served as a director of our general partner and as Chairman of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors since December 2012. Mr. Tudor has served as a director of WES GP and as Chairman of WES GP's Audit Committee since 2008, and served as a member of the Special Committee of WES GP's Board of Directors from 2008 to December 2012. Since May 2016, Mr. Tudor has served as Chief Executive Officer and General Manager of Associated Electric Cooperative Inc., a member-owned, member-governed wholesale power provider serving Missouri, Iowa and Oklahoma. From May 2013 to May 2016, Mr. Tudor served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Champion Energy Services, a retail electric provider. From 1999 through 2013, Mr. Tudor was the President and Chief Executive Officer of ACES, an Indianapolis-based commodity risk management company owned by 21 generation and transmission cooperatives throughout the United States. Prior to joining ACES, Mr. Tudor was the Executive Vice President & Chief Operating Officer of PG&E Energy Trading, where he managed commercial operations in the United States and Canada.</p> |
|--|--|

Table of Contents

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our general partner's directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10 percent of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC, and any exchange or other system on which such securities are traded or quoted, initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our common units and other equity securities. Officers, directors and greater-than-10-percent unitholders are required by the SEC's regulations to furnish to us, and any exchange or other system on which such securities are traded or quoted, with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file with the SEC.

To our knowledge, based solely on a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us and written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that all reporting obligations of our general partner's officers, directors and greater-than-10-percent unitholders under Section 16(a) were satisfied during the year ended December 31, 2016.

Reimbursement of Expenses of Our General Partner and Its Affiliates

Our general partner does not receive any management fee or other compensation for its management of WGP. Under WES's partnership agreement and the WES omnibus agreement, WES reimburses Anadarko for general and administrative expenses allocated, as determined by Anadarko in its reasonable discretion. Under the WGP omnibus agreement, we pay an annual general and administrative expense reimbursement of \$250,000 and reimburse Anadarko for all insurance coverage expenses it incurs or payments it makes on our behalf. Read Part III, Item 13 of this Form 10-K for additional information regarding these agreements.

Board Committees

The Board of Directors has two standing committees: the Audit Committee and the Special Committee.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is comprised of three independent directors, Messrs. Tudor (Chairman), Hix and Stewart, each of whom is able to understand fundamental financial statements and at least one of whom has past experience in accounting or related financial management experience. The Board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is independent under the NYSE listing standards and the Exchange Act. In making the independence determination, the Board considered the requirements of the NYSE and our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. The Audit Committee held eight meetings in 2016.

Mr. Tudor has been designated by the Board of Directors as the "Audit Committee financial expert" meeting the requirements promulgated by the SEC based upon his education and employment experience as more fully detailed in Mr. Tudor's biography set forth above.

The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in its oversight of the integrity of our consolidated financial statements, our internal control over financial reporting, and our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements and the policies and controls of WGP. The Audit Committee has the sole authority to, among other things, (1) retain and terminate our independent registered public accounting firm, (2) approve all auditing services and related fees and the terms thereof performed by our independent registered public accounting firm, and (3) establish policies and procedures for the pre-approval of all audit, audit-related, non-audit and tax services to be rendered by our independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee is also responsible for confirming the independence and objectivity of our independent registered public accounting firm. Our independent registered public accounting firm has been given unrestricted access to the Audit Committee and to our management, as necessary.

Table of Contents

Special Committee

The Special Committee is comprised of two independent directors, Messrs. Hix (Chairman) and Stewart. The Special Committee reviews specific matters that the Board believes may involve conflicts of interest (including certain transactions with Anadarko). The Special Committee will determine, as set forth in the WGP partnership agreement, if the resolution of a conflict of interest submitted to it is fair and reasonable to us. The members of the Special Committee are not officers or employees of our general partner or directors, officers, or employees of its affiliates, including Anadarko. The WGP partnership agreement provides that any matters approved in good faith by the Special Committee will be conclusively deemed to be fair and reasonable to us, approved by all of our partners and not a breach by our general partner of any duties it may owe us or our unitholders. The Special Committee held seven meetings in 2016.

Meeting of Non-Management Directors and Communications with Directors

At each quarterly meeting of our Board of Directors, all of our independent directors meet in an executive session without management participation or participation by non-independent directors. Mr. Hix, the Chairman of the Special Committee, presides over these executive sessions.

The Board of Directors welcomes questions or comments about WGP and its operations. Unitholders or interested parties may contact the Board of Directors, including any individual director, at boardofdirectors@westerngas.com or at the following address and fax number: Name of the Director(s), c/o Corporate Secretary, Western Gas Equity Partners, LP, 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380, (832) 636-6001.

Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines and Board Committee Charters

Our general partner has adopted a Code of Ethics for CEO and Senior Financial Officers (the “Code of Ethics”), which applies to our general partner’s Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, principal accounting officer, Controller and all other senior financial and accounting officers of our general partner. If the general partner amends the Code of Ethics or grants a waiver, including an implicit waiver, from the Code of Ethics, we will disclose the information on our website. Our general partner has also adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that outline the important policies and practices regarding our governance and a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics applicable to all employees of Anadarko or affiliates of Anadarko who perform services for us and our general partner.

We make available free of charge, within the “Governance” section of our website at www.westerngas.com, and in print to any unitholder who so requests, our Code of Ethics, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Audit Committee charter and Special Committee charter. Requests for print copies may be directed to investors@westerngas.com or to: Investor Relations, Western Gas Equity Partners LP, 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380, or telephone (832) 636-6000. The information contained on, or connected to, our website is not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K and should not be considered part of this or any other report that we file with or furnish to the SEC.

Table of Contents

Item 11. Executive Compensation

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

Because our only cash-generating assets are partnership interests in WES, the officers of our general partner devote a substantial majority of their time to WES's business, and the amount of time that the officers of our general partner devote to our business as opposed to WES's business is not substantial. Accordingly, our general partner does not provide its officers with any compensation for services rendered to us in addition to the compensation that such officers receive as officers of WES GP. The following discussion relates to the compensation of the executive officers of WES GP as it relates to their services performed on behalf of WES.

WES does not directly employ any of the persons responsible for managing its business, and WES GP's Board of Directors does not have a compensation committee. The compensation of Anadarko's employees that perform services on WES's behalf, including WES GP's executive officers, is approved by Anadarko's management, other than long-term incentive compensation under the WES LTIP and WGP LTIP. When used in the mix of compensation for WES's named executive officers, awards under the WES LTIP and WGP LTIP are recommended by Anadarko's management and approved by the Board of Directors of WES GP. WES's reimbursement to Anadarko for the compensation of executive officers is governed by the WES omnibus agreement. Under WES's partnership agreement and the WES omnibus agreement, WES reimburses general and administrative expenses as determined by Anadarko in its reasonable discretion. Read the caption WES Omnibus Agreement under Part III, Item 13 of this Form 10-K.

WES GP's "named executive officers" for 2016 were Donald R. Sinclair (the principal executive officer), Benjamin M. Fink (the principal financial officer and principal accounting officer), Jacqueline A. Dimpel (the principal operating officer through April 8, 2016) and Philip H. Peacock (the vice president, general counsel and corporate secretary). Compensation paid or awarded by WES in 2016 with respect to the named executive officers reflects only the portion of compensation expense that is allocated to WES pursuant to Anadarko's allocation methodology, as described below, and subject to the terms of the WES omnibus agreement. Anadarko has the ultimate decision-making authority with respect to the total compensation of the named executive officers and, subject to the terms of the WES omnibus agreement, the portion of such compensation WES reimburses pursuant to Anadarko's allocation methodology. Generally, once Anadarko has established the aggregate amount to be paid or awarded to the named executive officers with respect to each element of compensation for services rendered to both WES GP and Anadarko, such aggregate amount is multiplied by an allocation percentage for each named executive officer. Each allocation percentage is established based on a periodic, good-faith estimate made by each named executive officer and is subject to review by the Chairman of WES GP's Board of Directors. The resulting amount (other than with respect to certain long-term incentive plan awards) is the amount reimbursed to Anadarko by WES pursuant to the terms of the WES omnibus agreement and appears in the Summary Compensation Table below. Notwithstanding the foregoing, perquisites are not currently allocated to WES, and reimbursement of bonus amounts under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table are capped consistent with the methodology set forth in the services and secondment agreement for all employees whose compensation is allocated to WES.

As stated above, the officers of WGP GP do not receive any compensation for their services to us. The following table presents the estimated percentage of time ("time allocation") that WES GP's named executive officers devoted to WES during the year ended December 31, 2016, which percentage represents the time devoted to the business of WES relative to time devoted to the businesses of WES and Anadarko in the aggregate:

| Officers of WES GP | Time Allocated | Anadarko Corporate Officer |
|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------------------|
| Donald R. Sinclair | 75.0% | Yes |
| Benjamin M. Fink | 90.0% | Yes |
| Jacqueline A. Dimpel | 25.0% | Yes |

Philip H. Peacock 50.0% No

172

Table of Contents

WES's named executive officers are compensated by Anadarko in a manner that is generally consistent with the objectives and philosophies used to develop the compensation packages for Anadarko's named executive officers, as described in the Anadarko proxy statement. The following discussion relating to compensation paid by Anadarko is based on information provided to us by Anadarko and does not purport to be a complete discussion and analysis of Anadarko's executive compensation philosophy and practices. For a more complete analysis of the compensation programs and philosophies used at Anadarko, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained within Anadarko's proxy statement, which is expected to be filed with the SEC no later than March 31, 2017. With the exception of grants that could be made under the WES LTIP and WGP LTIP, the elements of compensation discussed below (and Anadarko's decisions with respect to the levels of such compensation) are not subject to approvals by the WES Board of Directors or WGP Board of Directors, as applicable, including the Audit or Special Committees thereof.

Elements of Compensation

The primary elements of Anadarko's compensation program are a combination of annual cash and long-term equity-based compensation. For 2016, the principal elements of compensation for WES's named executive officers were as follows:

- base salary;

- annual cash incentives;

- equity-based compensation, which includes equity-based compensation under Anadarko's 2012 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Omnibus Plan"); and

- Anadarko's other benefits, including welfare and retirement benefits, severance benefits and change of control benefits, plus other benefits on the same basis as other eligible Anadarko employees.

Base salary. Anadarko's management establishes base salaries to provide a fixed level of income for WES's named executive officers for their level of responsibility (which may or may not be related to WES's business), their relative expertise and experience, and in some cases their potential for advancement. As discussed above, a portion of the base salaries of WES's named executive officers is allocated to WES based on Anadarko's methodology used for allocating general and administrative expenses.

Annual cash incentives (bonuses). Anadarko's management will make annual cash awards to WES's named executive officers in 2017 for their performance during the year ended December 31, 2016, under the 2016 Anadarko annual incentive program ("AIP"), which is administered under the Omnibus Plan. Annual cash incentive awards are used by Anadarko to motivate and reward its executives and employees for the achievement of Anadarko objectives aligned with value creation and/or to recognize individual contributions to Anadarko's performance. The AIP puts a portion of an executive's compensation at risk by linking potential annual compensation to Anadarko's achievement of specific performance metrics during the year related to operational, financial and safety measures internal to Anadarko. The AIP bonuses paid to WES's named executive officers were determined by Anadarko's management.

The portion of any annual cash awards allocable to WES is based on Anadarko's methodology used for allocating general and administrative expenses, subject to the limitations established in the WES omnibus agreement. Anadarko's general policy is to pay these awards during the first quarter of each calendar year for the prior year's performance.

Long-term incentive awards under the Omnibus Plan. Anadarko periodically makes equity-based awards under the Omnibus Plan to align the interests of its executive officers and employees with those of Anadarko stockholders by emphasizing the long-term growth in Anadarko's value. For 2016, the annual equity awards generally consisted of a

combination of (1) performance units, (2) stock options, and (3) time-based restricted stock units or shares of restricted stock. This award structure is intended to provide a combination of equity-based vehicles that is performance-based in absolute and relative terms, while also encouraging retention. The costs allocated to WES for the named executive officers' compensation includes an allocation of expense associated with a portion of these awards in accordance with the allocation mechanisms in the WES omnibus agreement.

Table of Contents

Other benefits. In addition to the compensation discussed above, Anadarko also provides other benefits to the named executive officers who are also Anadarko corporate vice presidents, including the following:

- retirement benefits to match competitive practices in Anadarko's industry, including participation in Anadarko's employee savings plan, savings restoration plan, retirement plan and retirement restoration plan;

- severance benefits under the Anadarko Officer Severance Plan;

- certain change of control benefits under key employee change of control contracts;

- director and officer indemnification agreements;

- a limited number of perquisites, including financial counseling, tax preparation and estate planning, an executive physical program, management life insurance, voluntary participation in the Deferred Compensation Plan, and personal excess liability insurance; and

- benefits, including medical, dental, vision, flexible spending and health savings accounts, paid time off, life insurance and disability coverage, which are also provided to all other eligible U.S.-based Anadarko employees.

For a more detailed summary of Anadarko's executive compensation program and the benefits provided thereunder, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained within Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed with the SEC no later than March 31, 2017.

Role of Executive Officers in Executive Compensation

Anadarko's management determines a significant part of the compensation for each of WES's named executive officers. The Board of Directors of WES GP determines compensation for the independent, non-management directors of WES GP's Board of Directors, as well as any grants made under the WES LTIP. None of WES's named executive officers provide compensation recommendations to the Anadarko Compensation and Benefits Committee or Anadarko's management team regarding compensation (other than recommendations with respect to employees that report directly to them).

Compensation Mix

WES believes that the mix of base salary, cash awards, equity-based awards under Anadarko's Omnibus Plan, other Anadarko compensation and, when utilized, the WES LTIP and WGP LTIP, fit Anadarko's and WES's overall compensation objectives. WES believes this mix of compensation provides competitive compensation opportunities to align and drive employee performance in support of WES's business strategies, as well as Anadarko's, and to attract, motivate and retain high-quality talent with the skills and competencies required by WES and Anadarko. For 2016, Anadarko's management determined that equity compensation awarded to our executive officers would not include grants under the WES LTIP or WGP LTIP.

Western Gas Partners, LP 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan

General. In April 2008, WES GP adopted the WES LTIP for employees and directors of WES GP and its affiliates, including Anadarko, who perform services for WES. The summary of the WES LTIP contained herein does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the WES LTIP, the terms of which have been previously filed with the SEC. The WES LTIP provides for the grant of unit awards, restricted units, phantom units, unit options, unit appreciation rights ("UARs"), distribution equivalent rights ("DERs") and substitute awards. Subject to

adjustment for certain events, an aggregate of 2,250,000 common units may be delivered pursuant to awards under the WES LTIP. Units that are cancelled, forfeited or are withheld to satisfy tax withholding obligations or payment of an award's exercise price are available for delivery pursuant to other awards. The WES LTIP is administered by WES GP's Board of Directors. The WES LTIP has been designed to promote the interests of WES and its unitholders by strengthening its ability to attract, retain and motivate qualified individuals to serve as directors and employees.

Table of Contents

WES unit awards. WES GP's Board of Directors may grant unit awards to eligible individuals under the WES LTIP. A unit award is an award of common units that are fully vested upon grant and are not subject to forfeiture. No unit awards were granted during 2016.

WES restricted units and phantom units. A restricted unit is a common unit that is subject to forfeiture. Upon vesting, the forfeiture restrictions lapse and the recipient holds a common unit that is no longer subject to forfeiture. A phantom unit is a notional unit that entitles the grantee to receive a common unit upon the vesting of the phantom unit or, in the discretion of WES GP's Board of Directors, cash equal to the market value of a common unit on the vesting date. WES GP's Board of Directors may make grants of restricted and phantom units under the WES LTIP that contain such terms, consistent with the WES LTIP, as the Board may determine are appropriate, including the period over which restricted or phantom units will vest. The Board may, in its discretion, base vesting on the grantee's completion of a period of service or upon the achievement of specified financial objectives or other criteria. In addition, the restricted and phantom units will vest automatically upon a change of control of WES GP (as defined in the WES LTIP) or as otherwise described in the award agreement.

If a grantee's employment or membership on the Board of Directors terminates for any reason, the grantee's restricted and phantom units will be automatically forfeited unless and to the extent that the award agreement or the Board provides otherwise.

Distributions made by WES with respect to awards of restricted units may, in the Board's discretion, be subject to the same vesting requirements as the restricted units. The Board, in its discretion, may also grant tandem DERs with respect to phantom units.

No restricted or phantom units were granted to WES's named executive officers during 2016.

WES unit options and unit appreciation rights. The WES LTIP also permits the grant of options covering common units and UARs. Unit options represent the right to purchase a number of common units at a specified exercise price. UARs represent the right to receive the appreciation in the value of a number of common units over a specified exercise price, either in cash or in common units as determined by the WES GP Board. Unit options and UARs may be granted to such eligible individuals and with such terms as the Board may determine, consistent with the WES LTIP; however, a unit option or UAR must have an exercise price greater than or equal to the fair market value of a common unit on the date of grant. No unit options or UARs were granted during 2016.

WES distribution equivalent rights. DERs are rights to receive all or a portion of the distributions otherwise payable on units during a specified time. DERs may be granted alone or in combination with another award. No WES DERs, whether tandem to other awards or stand-alone, were issued to our named executive officers during 2016.

Source of WES common units. Common units to be delivered with respect to awards may be newly issued units, common units acquired by WES GP in the open market, common units already owned by WES GP or WES, common units acquired by WES GP directly from WES or any other person, or any combination of the foregoing. If WES GP acquires units in the open market, it is entitled to reimbursement by WES for the cost incurred in acquiring such common units. With respect to unit options, WES GP is entitled to reimbursement from WES for the difference between the cost it incurs in acquiring these common units and the proceeds it receives from an optionee at the time of exercise. Thus, WES bears the cost of the unit options. If WES issues new common units with respect to these awards, the total number of WES common units outstanding will increase, and WES GP will remit the proceeds it receives from a participant, if any, upon exercise of an award to WES. With respect to any awards settled in cash, WES GP is entitled to reimbursement by WES for the amount of the cash settlement.

Amendment or termination of WES LTIP. WES GP's Board of Directors, in its discretion, may terminate the WES LTIP at any time with respect to the common units for which a grant has not previously been made. The WES LTIP will automatically terminate on the earlier of the 10th anniversary of the date it was initially adopted by WES GP or when common units are no longer available for delivery pursuant to awards under the WES LTIP. WES GP's Board of

Directors will also have the right to alter or amend the WES LTIP or any part of it from time to time or to amend any outstanding award made under the WES LTIP; provided, however, that no change in any outstanding award may be made that would materially impair the rights of the participant without the consent of the affected participant, and/or result in taxation to the participant under Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, (“Section 409A of the Code”) unless otherwise determined by WES GP’s Board of Directors.

Table of Contents

Western Gas Equity Partners, LP 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan

General. In November 2012, we adopted the WGP LTIP for the employees and directors of our general partner and its affiliates who perform services for us. The WGP LTIP consists of the following components: restricted units, phantom units, unit options, UARs, other unit-based awards, cash awards, unit awards, substitute awards and DERs. The WGP LTIP limits the number of units that may be delivered pursuant to awards to 3,000,000 units. Units withheld to satisfy exercise prices or tax withholding obligations are available for delivery pursuant to other awards. The WGP LTIP is administered by the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors may terminate or amend the WGP LTIP at any time with respect to any units for which a grant has not yet been made. The Board of Directors also has the right to alter or amend the WGP LTIP or any part of the plan from time to time, including increasing the number of units that may be granted subject to WGP unitholder approval as may be required by the exchange upon which the WGP common units are listed at that time, if any. However, no change in any outstanding grant may be made that would materially reduce the benefits of the participant without the consent of the participant. The WGP LTIP will expire upon the earlier of the 10th anniversary of its adoption by our general partner, its termination by the Board of Directors or when no units remain available under the plan for awards. Awards then outstanding will continue pursuant to the terms of their grants.

WGP restricted units. A restricted unit is a grant of a WGP common unit subject to a risk of forfeiture, performance conditions, restrictions on transferability, and any other restrictions imposed by the plan administrator in its discretion. Restrictions may lapse at such times and under such circumstances as determined by the plan administrator. The plan administrator shall provide, in the restricted unit agreement, whether the restricted unit will be forfeited upon certain terminations of employment and whether the restricted unit will receive DERs. Except as otherwise determined by the plan administrator in the award agreement or otherwise, all outstanding unvested restricted units will be forfeited upon termination of a participant's service. Cash distribution equivalents may be paid during or after the vesting period with respect to a restricted unit, as determined by the plan administrator. No WGP restricted units were granted during 2016.

WGP phantom units. Phantom units are rights to receive WGP common units, cash, or a combination of both at the end of a specified period. The plan administrator may subject phantom units to restrictions (which may include a risk of forfeiture) to be specified in the phantom unit agreement that may lapse at such times determined by the plan administrator. Phantom units may be satisfied by delivery of WGP common units, cash equal to the fair market value of the specified number of WGP common units covered by the phantom unit, or any combination thereof determined by the plan administrator. Except as otherwise provided by the plan administrator in the phantom unit agreement or otherwise, all outstanding unvested phantom units will be forfeited upon termination of a participant's service. Cash distribution equivalents may be paid during or after the vesting period with respect to a phantom unit, as determined by the plan administrator. No WGP phantom units were granted to our named executive officers during 2016.

WGP options. Option awards are options to acquire WGP common units at a specified price. The exercise price of each option granted under the WGP LTIP will be stated in the option agreement and may vary; provided, however, that, the exercise price for an option must not be less than 100% of the fair market value per WGP common unit as of the date of grant of the option unless that option is intended to otherwise comply with the requirements of Section 409A of the Code. Options may be exercised in the manner and at such times as the plan administrator determines for each option, unless that option is determined to be subject to Section 409A of the Code, where the option will be subject to any necessary timing restrictions imposed by the Code or federal regulations. The plan administrator will determine the methods and form of payment for the exercise price of an option and the methods and forms in which WGP common units will be delivered to a participant. Except as otherwise provided by the plan administrator in the award agreement or otherwise, all unvested options will be forfeited upon termination of a participant's service. No WGP options were granted during 2016.

Table of Contents

WGP unit appreciation rights. A UAR is the right to receive, in cash or in WGP common units, as determined by the plan administrator, an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of one WGP common unit on the date of exercise over the grant price of the UAR. The plan administrator will be able to make grants of UARs and will determine the time or times at which a UAR may be exercised in whole or in part. The exercise price of each UAR granted under the WGP LTIP will be stated in the UAR agreement and may vary; provided, however, that the exercise price must not be less than 100% of the fair market value per WGP common unit as of the date of grant of the UAR unless that UAR Award is intended to otherwise comply with the requirements of Section 409A of the Code. Except as otherwise provided by the plan administrator in the award agreement or otherwise, all unvested UARs will be forfeited upon termination of a participant's service. No WGP UARs were granted during 2016.

WGP unit awards. The plan administrator is authorized to grant WGP common units that are not subject to restrictions. The plan administrator may grant unit awards to any eligible person in such amounts as the plan administrator, in its sole discretion, may select. No WGP unit awards were granted during 2016.

WGP substitute awards. The WGP LTIP permits the grant of awards in substitution for similar awards held by individuals who become employees or directors as a result of a merger, consolidation or acquisition by us, an affiliate of another entity or the assets of another entity. Such substitute awards that are options or UARs may have exercise prices less than 100% of the fair market value per WGP common unit on the date of the substitution if such substitution complies with Section 409A of the Code and its regulations, and other applicable laws and exchange rules. No WGP substitute awards were granted during 2016.

Other WGP unit-based awards. The WGP LTIP permits the grant of other unit-based awards, which are awards that may be based, in whole or in part, on the value or performance of a WGP common unit or are denominated or payable in WGP common units. Upon settlement, the unit-based award may be paid in WGP common units, cash or a combination thereof, as provided in the award agreement. No other WGP unit-based awards were granted during 2016.

WGP cash awards. The WGP LTIP permits the grant of awards denominated in and settled in cash. Cash awards may be based, in whole or in part, on the value or performance of a WGP common unit. No WGP cash awards were granted during 2016.

WGP distribution equivalent rights. The plan administrator is able to grant DERs in tandem with awards under the WGP LTIP (other than an award of restricted units or unit awards), or they may be granted alone. DERs entitle the participant to receive cash equal to the amount of any cash distributions made by us during the period the DER is outstanding. Payment of a DER issued in connection with another award may be subject to the same vesting terms as the award to which it relates or different vesting terms, in the discretion of the plan administrator. No WGP DERs were granted to our named executive officers during 2016.

WGP performance awards. The plan administrator may condition the right to exercise or receive an award under the WGP LTIP, or may increase or decrease the amount payable with respect to an award, based on the attainment of one or more performance conditions deemed appropriate by the plan administrator. No WGP performance awards were granted during 2016.

Tax withholding. At our discretion, subject to conditions that the plan administrator may impose, a participant's minimum statutory tax withholding with respect to an award may be satisfied by withholding from any payment related to an award or by the withholding of WGP common units issuable pursuant to the award based on the fair market value of the WGP common units.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

As noted above, WES does not directly employ any of the persons responsible for managing or operating WES's business and WES has no compensation committee. Instead, WES is managed by its general partner, WES GP, the executive officers of which are employees of Anadarko. WES's reimbursement for the compensation of executive officers is governed by the WES omnibus agreement and the services and secondment agreement described in the caption Agreements with Anadarko—Services and Secondment Agreement under Part III, Item 13 of this Form 10-K.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation amounts expensed by WES for WES's named executive officers for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014, as applicable. Except as specifically noted, the amounts included in the table below reflect the expense allocated to WES by Anadarko. For a discussion of the allocation percentages in effect for 2016, see the Overview section, above.

| Name and Principal Position | Year | Salary (\$) ⁽¹⁾ | Bonus (\$) | Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾ | Option Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾ | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾ | All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁵⁾ | Total (\$) |
|---|------|-------------------------------|---------------|--|---|---|--|---------------|
| Donald R. Sinclair President and Chief Executive Officer | 2016 | 356,971 | — | 1,875,920 | 615,378 | 342,692 | 116,869 | 3,307,830 |
| | 2015 | 350,481 | — | 828,646 | 449,573 | 336,462 | 104,969 | 2,070,131 |
| | 2014 | 304,327 | — | 807,851 | 436,272 | 292,154 | 77,370 | 1,917,974 |
| Benjamin M. Fink Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer | 2016 | 332,135 | — | 1,634,281 | 401,340 | 259,066 | 108,526 | 2,735,348 |
| | 2015 | 341,135 | — | 672,651 | 364,951 | 266,085 | 102,170 | 1,746,992 |
| | 2014 | 300,635 | — | 646,283 | 349,017 | 234,495 | 76,436 | 1,606,866 |
| Jacqueline A. Dimpel Senior Vice President | 2016 | 24,231 | — | — | — | — | 7,242 | 31,473 |
| | 2015 | 93,462 | — | 138,778 | 75,281 | 72,900 | 27,992 | 408,413 |
| | 2014 | 82,260 | — | 273,490 | 139,580 | 64,163 | 20,945 | 580,438 |
| Philip H. Peacock Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary | 2016 | 129,938 | — | 100,020 | — | 62,370 | 42,427 | 334,755 |
| | 2015 | 134,935 | — | 85,010 | — | 64,769 | 40,413 | 325,127 |
| | 2014 | 128,510 | — | 87,515 | — | 61,685 | 30,766 | 308,476 |

The amounts in this column reflect the base salary compensation allocated to WES by Anadarko for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. Ms. Dimpel's amount reflects base salary compensation earned and allocated through April 8, 2016.

The amounts in this column reflect the expected allocation to WES of the grant date fair value, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (without respect to the risk of forfeitures), for non-option stock awards granted pursuant to the 2012 Anadarko Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plans and include unvested amounts. For a discussion of valuation assumptions for the awards under the 2012 Anadarko Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plans, see Note 21—Share-Based Compensation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included under Part II, Item 8 of Anadarko's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (which is not, and shall not be deemed to be, incorporated by reference herein). For information regarding the non-option stock awards granted to the named executives in 2016, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table. The amounts in this column also reflect the allocation of Anadarko performance unit awards, where such gross amounts are subject to market conditions and have been valued based on the probable outcome of the market conditions as of the grant date.

The amounts in this column reflect the expected allocation to WES of the grant date fair value, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 (without respect to the risk of forfeitures), for option awards granted pursuant to the 2012 Anadarko Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plans. See note (2) above for valuation assumptions. For information regarding the option awards granted to the named executives in 2016, see the Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table.

The amounts in this column reflect the compensation under the Anadarko annual incentive program expected to be allocated to WES for the year ended December 31, 2016, and allocated to WES for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. The 2016 amounts represent payments which were earned in 2016 and are expected to be paid in early 2017, the 2015 amounts represent payments which were earned in 2015 and paid in early 2016 and the 2014 amounts represent the payments which were earned in 2014 and paid in early 2015. For an explanation of the 2016 annual incentive plan awards, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Analysis of 2016 Compensation Actions – Performance-Based Annual Cash Incentives (Bonuses), contained within Anadarko’s proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

The amounts in this column reflect the compensation expenses related to Anadarko's retirement and savings plans⁽⁵⁾ that were allocated to WES for the years ended December 31, 2016, 2015 and 2014. The 2016 allocated expenses are detailed in the table below:

| Name | Retirement Plan | Savings Plan |
|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | Expense | Expense |
| Donald R. Sinclair | \$ 82,342 | \$34,527 |
| Benjamin M. Fink | 76,469 | 32,057 |
| Jacqueline A. Dimpel | 5,166 | 2,076 |
| Philip H. Peacock | 29,901 | 12,525 |

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in 2016

The following table sets forth information concerning annual incentive awards, stock options, phantom units, restricted stock shares, restricted stock units and performance units granted during 2016 to each of the named executive officers. Except for amounts in the column entitled Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards, the dollar amounts and number of securities included in the table below reflect an allocation based upon each officer's allocation of time to WES's business.

| Name and Grant Date | Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾ | | Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽²⁾ | | | All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#) ⁽³⁾ | All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#) ⁽⁴⁾ | Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁵⁾ |
|----------------------|--|--------------|--|------------|-------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Threshold (\$) | Maximum (\$) | Threshold (#) | Target (#) | Maximum (#) | | | | |
| Donald R. Sinclair | | | | | | | | | |
| — | —285,577 | 342,692 | | | | | | | |
| 11/10/16 | | | | | | 12,122 | | | 750,004 |
| 11/10/16 | | | | | | 6,971 | | | 431,265 |
| 11/10/16 | | | | | | | 29,733 | 61.87 | 615,378 |
| 11/10/16 | | | 3,948 | 9,870 | 19,740 | | | | 694,651 |
| Benjamin M. Fink | | | | | | | | | |
| — | —215,888 | 259,066 | | | | | | | |
| 11/10/16 | | | | | | 14,547 | | | 900,004 |
| 11/10/16 | | | | | | 4,546 | | | 281,255 |
| 11/10/16 | | | | | | | 19,391 | 61.87 | 401,340 |
| 11/10/16 | | | 2,575 | 6,437 | 12,874 | | | | 453,022 |
| Jacqueline A. Dimpel | | | | | | | | | |
| — | — | | | | | | | | |
| Philip H. Peacock | | | | | | | | | |
| — | —51,975 | 62,370 | | | | | | | |
| 11/10/16 | | | | | | 1,996 | | | 100,020 |

Table of Contents

- Reflects the estimated 2016 cash payouts allocable to WES under Anadarko's annual incentive plan. If threshold levels of performance are not met, then the payout can be zero. The maximum value reflects the maximum amount allocable to WES consistent with the methodologies set forth in the services and secondment agreement. The expense expected to be allocated to WES for the actual bonus payouts under the annual incentive program for 2016
- (1) is reflected in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table. For additional discussion of Anadarko's annual incentive plan, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Analysis of 2016 Compensation Actions — Performance-Based Annual Cash Incentives (Bonuses) contained within Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017.
- Reflects the estimated future payout allocable to WES under Anadarko's performance units awarded in 2016. Under the performance unit program, participants may earn from 0% to 200% of the targeted award based on Anadarko's relative total shareholder return performance over a specified performance period. The performance units granted to Messrs. Sinclair and Fink on November 10, 2016, are subject to a three-year performance period. If earned, the
- (2) awards are to be paid in cash rather than equity. The threshold value represents the minimum payment (other than zero) that may be earned. For additional discussion of Anadarko's performance unit awards, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Analysis of 2016 Compensation Actions — Equity Compensation contained within Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017.
- Reflects the allocable number of restricted stock shares and restricted stock units awarded in 2016 under the Omnibus Plan. These awards vest equally over three years, beginning with the first anniversary of the grant date. For restricted stock shares, dividends are paid current. For restricted stock units, dividend equivalents are
- (3) reinvested in shares of Anadarko common stock and paid upon the applicable vesting of the underlying award. Also included are the 12,122 and 14,547 allocated special restricted stock units awarded in 2016 under the Omnibus Plan to Messrs. Sinclair and Fink, respectively, which will vest in four years from grant date, provided Messrs. Sinclair and Fink remain employed by Anadarko until such date.
- Reflects the allocable number of Anadarko stock options each named executive officer was awarded in 2016.
- (4) These awards vest equally over three years, beginning with the first anniversary of the date of grant and have a term of seven years.
- The amounts included in the Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards column represent the expected allocation to WES of the grant date fair value of the awards made to named executives in 2016 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The value ultimately realized by the executive upon the actual vesting of
- (5) the award(s) or the exercise of the stock option(s) may or may not be equal to the determined value. For a discussion of valuation assumptions for the awards under the Omnibus Plan, see Note 21—Share-Based Compensation in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of Anadarko's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016 (which is not, and shall not be deemed to be, incorporated by reference herein).

Table of Contents

Outstanding Equity Awards at Year-End 2016

The following table reflects outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2016, for each of the named executive officers, including awards under the 2012 Anadarko Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan. As of December 31, 2016, none of WES's named executive officers have any outstanding WES LTIP or WGP LTIP awards. The market values shown are based on Anadarko's closing stock price of \$69.73 on December 30, 2016, unless otherwise noted. Except for amounts in the column entitled Option Exercise Price, the dollar amounts and number of securities included in the table below reflect an allocation based upon each officer's allocation of time to WES's business at December 31, 2016.

| Name | Option Awards ⁽¹⁾ Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options | | Option Exercise Price (\$) | Option Expiration Date | Stock Awards | | Equity Incentive Plan Awards Performance Units ⁽³⁾ | |
|----------------------|--|------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| | | | | | Restricted Stock Shares/Units ⁽²⁾ Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) | Number of Unearned Shares or Rights That Have Not Vested (#) | Market Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) |
| Donald R. Sinclair | Exercisable (#) | Not Exercisable (#) | | | | | | |
| 11/17/10 | 5,465 | — | 62.09 | 11/17/17 | — | — | — | — |
| 11/16/11 | 6,344 | — | 78.95 | 11/16/18 | — | — | — | — |
| 11/05/12 | 6,505 | — | 70.70 | 11/05/19 | — | — | — | — |
| 11/06/13 | 10,818 | — | 92.02 | 11/06/20 | — | — | — | — |
| 11/06/14 | 12,347 | 6,173 | 93.51 | 11/06/21 | 1,129 | 78,725 | 4,987 | 347,744 |
| 10/26/15 | 8,291 | 16,580 | 69.00 | 10/26/22 | 3,107 | 216,651 | 7,128 | 497,035 |
| 11/10/16 | — | 29,733 | 61.87 | 11/10/23 | 12,130 | 845,825 | 9,870 | 688,235 |
| 11/10/16 | — | — | — | | 6,976 | 486,437 | — | — |
| Benjamin M. Fink | | | | | | | | |
| 03/05/10 | 2,831 | — | 72.11 | 03/05/17 | — | — | — | — |
| 03/04/11 | 1,571 | — | 81.02 | 03/04/18 | — | — | — | — |
| 06/07/13 | 1,453 | — | 87.98 | 06/07/20 | — | — | — | — |
| 11/06/13 | 6,058 | — | 92.02 | 11/06/20 | — | — | — | — |
| 11/06/14 | 9,878 | 4,938 | 93.51 | 11/06/21 | 903 | 62,966 | 3,990 | 278,223 |
| 10/26/15 | 6,730 | 13,459 | 69.00 | 10/26/22 | 2,522 | 175,859 | 5,786 | 403,458 |
| 11/10/16 | — | 19,391 | 61.87 | 11/10/23 | 14,557 | 1,015,059 | 6,437 | 448,852 |
| 11/10/16 | — | — | — | | 4,549 | 317,202 | — | — |
| Jacqueline A. Dimpel | | | | | | | | |
| 03/04/11 | 278 | — | 81.02 | 04/08/17 | — | — | — | — |
| 01/08/14 | 2,939 | — | 79.04 | 04/08/17 | — | — | 558 | 38,909 |

Edgar Filing: Western Gas Equity Partners, LP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-------|---|-------|----------|-------|---------|-------|--------|
| 11/06/14 | 3,180 | — | 93.51 | 04/08/17 | — | — | 857 | 59,759 |
| 10/26/15 | 4,165 | — | 69.00 | 04/08/17 | — | — | 1,194 | 83,258 |
| Philip H. Peacock | | | | | | | | |
| 03/06/14 | — | — | — | — | 337 | 23,499 | — | — |
| 03/09/15 | — | — | — | — | 702 | 48,950 | — | — |
| 04/12/16 | — | — | — | — | 1,996 | 139,181 | — | — |

Table of Contents

Stock options have a seven-year term and will vest ratably over three years in equal installments on the first, (1) second, and third anniversaries of the date of grant. Stock option awards do not accrue dividends or dividend equivalents.

The restricted stock units and shares will vest pro-rate annually over three years, beginning with the first anniversary of the grant date. At the end of each vesting period, unless deferred, the number of restricted stock units that vest are converted into shares of unrestricted common stock, less applicable withholding taxes. For (2) restricted stock shares, dividends are paid current. For restricted stock units, dividend equivalents are accrued and reinvested in additional shares of common stock, less applicable withholding taxes. For the 12,122 and 14,547 allocated special restricted stock units received in November 2016 by Messrs. Sinclair and Fink, respectively, and their corresponding dividend unit equivalents, these will vest in four years from grant date, provided Messrs. Sinclair and Fink remain employed by Anadarko until such date.

The number of outstanding units and the estimated payout percentages disclosed for each award are calculated based on Anadarko's relative performance ranking as of December 31, 2016, and are not necessarily indicative of (3) what the payout percent earned will be at the end of each three year performance period. Anadarko's relative performance ranking as of December 31, 2016 are: 128% for the 2013 grant, 100% for the 2014 grant, and 100% for the 2015 grant. For awards granted in 2016 with the performance period beginning in 2017, target payout has been assumed.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2016

The following table reflects Anadarko option awards exercised in 2016 and Anadarko stock awards and WGP LTIP phantom units that vested in 2016. The dollar amounts and number of securities included in the table below reflect an allocation based upon each officer's allocation of time to WES's business.

| Name | Option Awards | | Stock Awards | |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| | Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) (1) | Value Realized on Exercise (\$) (1) | Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#) (2) | Value Realized on Vesting (\$) (2) |
| Donald R. Sinclair | — | — | 8,294 | 419,743 |
| Benjamin M. Fink | 5,000 | 40,068 | 6,460 | 328,559 |
| Jacqueline A. Dimpel | — | — | 2,133 | 117,820 |
| Philip H. Peacock | — | — | 970 | 42,825 |

Shares acquired and values realized on exercise include options exercised in 2016. The actual value ultimately (1) realized by the named executive officer may be more or less than the realized value calculated in the above table depending on the timing in which the named executive officer held or sold the stock associated with the exercise.

Shares acquired and values realized on vesting reflect the taxable value to the named executive officer as of the date of the vesting in 2016 of restricted stock shares or units, performance units, or phantom units. For restricted (2) stock shares or units and phantom units, the actual value ultimately realized by the named executive officer may be more or less than the value realized calculated in the above table depending on the timing in which the named executive officer held or sold the stock associated with the exercise or vesting occurrence.

Pension Benefits for 2016

Anadarko maintains both funded, tax-qualified defined benefit pension plans and unfunded nonqualified pension benefit plans. The nonqualified pension benefit plans are designed to provide for supplementary pension benefits due to limitations imposed by the Internal Revenue Code that restrict the amount of benefits payable under tax-qualified plans. WES's named executive officers are eligible to participate in these plans. Under the WES omnibus agreement, a portion of the annual expense related to these plans is reimbursed by WES to Anadarko. The allocated expense for each named executive officer is included in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation

Table. WES has not included a pension benefits table as Anadarko does not allocate expense to WES upon an employee's retirement and the subsequent payment of benefits under such pension plans. For additional discussion on Anadarko's pension benefits, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Indirect Compensation Elements — Retirement Benefits contained within Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for 2016

Anadarko maintains a deferred compensation plan and a savings restoration plan for certain employees, including WES's named executive officers. The deferred compensation plan allows certain employees to voluntarily defer receipt of up to 75% of their salary and/or up to 100% of their annual incentive bonus payments. The savings restoration plan accrues a benefit substantially equal to the amount that, in the absence of certain Internal Revenue Code limitations, would have been allocated to their account as matching contributions under Anadarko's 401(k) Plan. Pursuant to the terms of the WES omnibus agreement, a portion of the expense related to these plans is reimbursed by WES to Anadarko. The allocated expense for each named executive officer is included in the All Other Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table. WES has not included a nonqualified deferred compensation table as Anadarko does not allocate expense to WES upon distribution of such balances. For additional discussion on Anadarko's nonqualified deferred compensation benefits, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Indirect Compensation Elements — Other Benefits sections contained within Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control

In the event of a Change of Control (as defined below) of WES GP, WGP GP or Anadarko, the only payments that WES would be responsible for paying to WES's named executive officers relate to the accelerated vesting of unvested awards under the WES LTIP. Similarly, WES would be responsible for paying its allocated share of any accelerated vesting of awards under the WGP LTIP if a Change of Control were to occur at WGP GP. As of December 31, 2016, none of WES's named executive officers have any outstanding WES LTIP or WGP LTIP awards.

WES has not entered into any employment agreements with its named executive officers, nor does it manage any severance plans. However, WES's named executive officers are eligible for certain benefits provided by Anadarko. Currently, WES is not allocated any expense for these agreements or plans, but for disclosure purposes WES is presenting allocated expenses of the potential payments provided by Anadarko in the event of termination or Change of Control of Anadarko. For the definition of a Change of Control of Anadarko, read Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control contained within Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017. Values reflect each named executive officer's allocation of time to WES's business at December 31, 2016, and exclude those benefits generally provided to all salaried employees. For additional discussion related to these termination scenarios, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Indirect Compensation Elements — Severance Benefits contained within Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017.

The following tables reflect the expenses that may be allocated to WES by Anadarko as of December 31, 2016, in connection with potential payments to WES's named executive officers under existing contracts, agreements, plans or arrangements, whether written or unwritten, with Anadarko, for various scenarios involving a Change of Control of Anadarko or termination of employment from Anadarko for each named executive officer, assuming a termination date of December 31, 2016, and, where applicable, using the closing price of Anadarko's common stock of \$69.73 (as reported on the NYSE as of December 30, 2016). Ms. Dimpel's employment with Anadarko ended on April 8, 2016, with none of the payments relating to her end of employment, including severance, allocated to WES by Anadarko; therefore, the tables below do not reflect such expenses. Further, on February 13, 2017, Mr. Sinclair resigned from his officer and director positions with WES GP, but remains employed by Anadarko as a Senior Advisor for WES's and Anadarko's midstream activities. Because Mr. Sinclair is no longer an officer of WES GP and remains employed by Anadarko, it is not yet determinable what portion, if any, of his change of control or termination payments would be allocated to WES. Nevertheless, Mr. Sinclair's estimated payments are included in the tables below for illustrative purposes. For general definitions that apply to the termination of employment from Anadarko scenarios detailed below, read Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control contained within Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017. Actual amounts will be determinable only upon the termination or Change in Control event.

Involuntary For Cause

| | Mr. Sinclair | Mr. Fink | Ms. Dimpel | Mr. Peacock |
|-------------------|--------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Cash Severance \$ | —\$ | —\$ | —\$ | — |
| Total | \$ | —\$ | —\$ | —\$ |

183

Table of Contents

Voluntary Termination (Including Retirement)

| | Mr. Sinclair (1) | Mr. Fink | Ms. Dimpel | Mr. Peacock |
|---|---------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| Prorated Portion of Performance Unit Awards (2) | \$ 397,507 | \$ — | \$ — | — |
| Total | \$ 397,507 | \$ — | \$ — | — |

(1) As of December 31, 2016, Mr. Sinclair was eligible for retirement.

Under the terms of the performance unit agreements, retirement-eligible participants receive a prorated payout, paid after the end of the performance period, based on actual performance and the number of months worked during the performance period. However, the performance unit awards granted on November 10, 2016, are not

(2) included in the table above as the treatment described in the preceding sentence only applies to such awards if they have been held for at least 180 days after the grant date, which would not be the case in the event of a retirement that occurred on December 31, 2016. Mr. Sinclair's value reflects an estimated payout based on performance to date through December 31, 2016, which is not indicative of the payout that he will receive at the end of the performance period based on actual performance.

Involuntary Not For Cause Termination

| | Mr. Sinclair | Mr. Fink | Ms. Dimpel | Mr. Peacock |
|--|--------------|-------------|------------|-------------|
| Cash Severance (1) | \$1,102,500 | \$954,000 | \$ — | — |
| Pro-rata Bonus (2) | 342,692 | 259,066 | — | — |
| Accelerated Anadarko Equity Awards (3) | 5,215,385 | 4,226,195 | — | 211,630 |
| Health and Welfare Benefits (4) | 89,865 | 39,017 | — | — |
| Total | \$6,750,442 | \$5,478,278 | \$ — | —\$211,630 |

Messrs. Sinclair's and Fink's values assume two times base salary plus one times target bonus multiplied by their (1) allocation percentages in effect as of December 31, 2016. No value has been disclosed for Mr. Peacock as he receives the same benefits as generally provided to all salaried employees.

Payment, if provided, will be paid at the end of the performance period based on actual performance. The values for Messrs. Sinclair and Fink reflect the allocated portion of their actual bonuses awarded under the annual

(2) incentive plan. For additional discussion of this program, read Compensation Discussion and Analysis — Analysis of 2016 Compensation Actions — Performance-Based Annual Cash Incentives (Bonuses) of Anadarko's proxy statement for its annual meeting of stockholders, which is expected to be filed no later than March 31, 2017. No value has been disclosed for Mr. Peacock as he receives the same benefits as generally provided to all salaried employees.

Reflects the in-the-money value of unvested stock options (subject to Anadarko's Board of Directors approval), the estimated current value of unvested performance units (based on performance to date) and the value of unvested restricted stock shares and restricted stock units granted under Anadarko equity plans, all as of December 31, 2016.

In the event of an involuntary termination, unvested performance units would be paid after the end of the applicable performance period, based on actual performance. However, the performance unit awards and the restricted stock unit awards granted on November 10, 2016, are not included in the table above as accelerated (3) vesting upon an involuntary not for cause termination only applies to such awards if they have been held for at least 180 days after the grant date, which would not be the case in the event of such a termination that occurred on December 31, 2016. Further, while the terms of the outstanding stock options do not require Anadarko to accelerate the vesting of the stock options upon an involuntary termination not for cause, Anadarko's Board of Directors has a historic practice of doing so and, as such, the value of acceleration of the outstanding stock option awards is included above. All values reflect each named executive officer's allocation percentage in effect as of December 31, 2016.

(4) Messrs. Sinclair's and Fink's values represent 24 months of health and welfare benefit coverage. These amounts are present values determined in accordance with GAAP. These values reflect their allocation

percentage in effect as of December 31, 2016. No value has been disclosed for Mr. Peacock as he receives the same benefits as generally provided to all salaried employees.

Table of Contents

Change of Control: Involuntary Termination or Voluntary For Good Reason

| | Mr. Sinclair | Mr. Fink | Ms. Dimpel | Mr. Peacock |
|---|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------|
| Cash Severance ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,218,500 | \$ 1,314,000 | \$ — | —\$ — |
| Pro-rata Bonus ⁽²⁾ | 371,250 | 297,000 | — | — |
| Accelerated Anadarko Equity Awards ⁽³⁾ | 6,390,057 | 4,992,249 | — | 211,630 |
| Supplemental Pension Benefits ⁽⁴⁾ | — | — | — | — |
| Nonqualified Deferred Compensation ⁽⁵⁾ | 227,650 | 130,413 | — | — |
| Health and Welfare Benefits ⁽⁶⁾ | 142,189 | 58,748 | — | — |
| Total | \$ 9,349,646 | \$ 6,792,410 | \$ — | —\$ 211,630 |

Mr. Sinclair's values and Mr. Fink's values assume 2.9 times and two times, respectively, the sum of base salary plus the highest bonus paid in the past three years and reflect their allocation percentages in effect as of December 31, 2016, per the terms of their key employee change of control agreements with Anadarko. No value has been disclosed for Mr. Peacock as he receives the same benefits as generally provided to all salaried employees.

Messrs. Sinclair's and Fink's values assume the full-year equivalent of their highest annual bonus allocated to us over the past three years. No value has been disclosed for Mr. Peacock as he receives the same benefits as generally provided to all salaried employees.

Reflects the in-the-money value of unvested stock options, the value of unvested restricted stock shares and restricted stock units and the estimated current value of unvested performance units (based on performance to date) granted under Anadarko equity plans, all as of December 31, 2016. Upon a Change of Control, the value of unvested performance units would be calculated based on Anadarko's total shareholder return performance and stock price at the time of the Change of Control and converted into restricted stock units of the surviving company.

In the event of an involuntary not for cause termination or voluntary for good reason termination within two years following a Change of Control, the units will generally be paid on the first business day that is at least six months and one day following the separation from service. In the event of an involuntary not for cause or voluntary for good reason termination that is more than two years following a Change of Control, the units will be paid at the end of the original performance period. All values reflect each named executive officer's allocation percentage in effect as of December 31, 2016.

Under the terms of their change of control agreements, Messrs. Sinclair and Fink would receive a special retirement benefit enhancement that is equivalent to the additional supplemental pension benefits that would have accrued under Anadarko's retirement plan assuming they were eligible for subsidized early retirement benefits and include additional special pension credits. The value of this benefit has not been included in this table as Anadarko does not allocate expense to WES for distribution of these benefits. If Anadarko were to allocate this expense to WES, assuming their allocation percentages in effect as of December 31, 2016, the expense would be as follows: Mr. Sinclair—\$221,851 and Mr. Fink—\$91,263.

Mr. Sinclair's values and Mr. Fink's values reflect an additional three years and two years, respectively, of employer contributions into the savings restoration plan at their current contribution rate to the Plan and are based on their allocation percentages in effect as of December 31, 2016, per the terms of their key employee change of control agreements with Anadarko. No value has been disclosed for Mr. Peacock as he is not eligible for this additional benefit.

Mr. Sinclair's values and Mr. Fink's values represent 36 months and 24 months, respectively, of health and welfare benefit coverage. All amounts are present values determined in accordance with GAAP and reflect their allocation percentages in effect as of December 31, 2016. No value has been disclosed for Mr. Peacock as he receives the same benefits as generally provided to all salaried employees.

Disability

| Mr. Sinclair | Mr. Fink | Ms. Dimpel | Mr. Peacock |
|--------------|----------|---------------|-------------|
|--------------|----------|---------------|-------------|

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|----|-------------|
| Cash Severance | \$— | \$— | \$ | —\$ — |
| Accelerated Anadarko Equity Awards ⁽¹⁾ | 6,390,057 | 4,992,249 | — | 211,630 |
| Health and Welfare Benefits ⁽²⁾ | 103,631 | 160,317 | — | 58,396 |
| Total | \$6,493,688 | \$5,152,566 | \$ | —\$ 270,026 |

Reflects the in-the-money value of unvested stock options, the value of unvested restricted stock shares and restricted stock units and the estimated current value of unvested performance units (based on performance to date)

⁽¹⁾ granted under Anadarko equity plans, all as of December 31, 2016. In the event of a termination as a result of disability, performance units would be paid after the end of the applicable performance period, based on actual performance. All values reflect each named executive officer's allocation percentage in effect as of December 31, 2016.

⁽²⁾ Values reflect the continuation of additional death benefit coverage provided to certain employees of Anadarko until age 65. All amounts are present values determined in accordance with GAAP and reflect each named executive officer's allocation percentage in effect as of December 31, 2016.

Table of Contents

Death

| | Mr. Sinclair | Mr. Fink | Ms. Dimpel | Mr. Peacock |
|---|--------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|
| Cash Severance | \$— | \$— | \$ | —\$ — |
| Accelerated Anadarko Equity Awards ⁽¹⁾ | 6,390,057 | 4,992,249 | — | 211,630 |
| Life Insurance Proceeds ⁽²⁾ | 1,356,589 | 1,240,310 | — | 447,890 |
| Total | \$7,746,646 | \$6,232,559 | \$ | —\$ 659,520 |

(1) Reflects the in-the-money value of unvested stock options, the target value of unvested performance units, and the value of unvested restricted stock shares and restricted stock units granted under Anadarko equity plans, all as of December 31, 2016. All values reflect each named executive officer's allocation percentage in effect as of December 31, 2016.

(2) Values include amounts payable under additional death benefits provided to certain employees of Anadarko. These liabilities are not insured, but are self-funded by Anadarko. Proceeds are not exempt from federal taxes. Values shown include an additional tax gross-up amount to equate benefits with non-taxable life insurance proceeds.

Values are based on each named executive officer's allocation percentage in effect as of December 31, 2016, and exclude death benefit proceeds from programs available to all employees.

Director Compensation

Officers or employees of Anadarko who also serve as directors of our general partner do not receive additional compensation for their service as a director of our general partner. Non-employee directors of our general partner receive compensation for their board service and for attending meetings of the Board of Directors and committees of the Board pursuant to a director compensation plan approved by the Board of Directors. There were no changes to the director compensation plan during 2016. Such compensation consists of the following:

- an annual retainer of \$90,000 for each board member;

- an annual retainer of \$2,000 for each member of the Audit Committee, or \$16,000 for the Committee chair;

- an annual retainer of \$2,000 for each member of the Special Committee, or \$17,000 for the Committee chair;

- a fee of \$2,000 for each board meeting attended;

- a fee of \$2,000 for each committee meeting attended; and

annual grants of phantom units with a value of approximately \$90,000 on the date of grant (\$45,000 for any director who also serves as a director of WES GP), all of which vest 100% on the first anniversary of the date of grant (with vesting to be accelerated upon a change of control of our general partner or Anadarko).

For any director who also serves as a director of WES GP, the annual retainer is \$45,000 and the meeting fee is \$1,000.

In addition, each non-employee director is reimbursed for out-of-pocket expenses in connection with attending meetings of the Board of Directors or committees and for costs associated with participation in continuing director education programs. Each director is fully indemnified by us, pursuant to individual indemnification agreements and the WGP partnership agreement, for actions associated with being a director to the fullest extent permitted under Delaware law.

The following table sets forth information concerning total director compensation earned during 2016 by each non-employee director:

Edgar Filing: Western Gas Equity Partners, LP - Form 10-K

| Name | Fees Earned or Paid in Cash | Stock Awards ⁽¹⁾ | Option Awards | Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation | All Other Compensation | Total |
|------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------|--|---------------------------|------------|
| Thomas R. Hix | \$ 141,000 | \$ 90,022 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 231,022 |
| Craig W. Stewart | 124,000 | 90,022 | — | — | — | 214,022 |
| David J. Tudor | 73,000 | 45,031 | — | — | — | 118,031 |

The amounts included in the Stock Awards column represent the grant date fair value of non-option awards made to directors in 2016, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. For a discussion of valuation

⁽¹⁾ assumptions, see Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. As of December 31, 2016, Mr. Tudor had 1,132 outstanding phantom units and Messrs. Hix and Stewart each had 2,263 outstanding phantom units.

Table of Contents

The following table contains the grant date fair value of phantom unit awards made to each non-employee director during 2016:

| Name | Grant Date | Phantom Units (#) | Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$) ⁽¹⁾ |
|------------------|------------|-------------------|--|
| Thomas R. Hix | May 5 | 2,263 | 90,022 |
| Craig W. Stewart | May 5 | 2,263 | 90,022 |
| David J. Tudor | May 5 | 1,132 | 45,031 |

The amounts included in the Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards column represent the grant date fair value of the awards made to non-employee directors in 2016 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic ⁽¹⁾ 718. The value ultimately realized by a director upon the actual vesting of the award(s) may or may not be equal to the determined value.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

As previously discussed, our general partner's Board of Directors is not required to maintain, and does not maintain, a compensation committee. Messrs. Gwin, Hollek, Fink and Reeves, who are directors of our general partner, are also executive or corporate officers of Anadarko. However, all compensation decisions with respect to each of these persons are made by Anadarko and none of these individuals receive any compensation directly from us or our general partner for their service as directors. Read Part III, Item 13 below in this Form 10-K for information about relationships among us, our general partner and Anadarko.

Compensation Committee Report

Neither we nor our general partner has a compensation committee. The Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis set forth above and based on this review and discussion has approved it for inclusion in this Form 10-K.

The Board of Directors of Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC:

Robert G. Gwin
Benjamin M. Fink
Thomas R. Hix
Darrell E. Hollek
Robert K. Reeves
Craig W. Stewart
David J. Tudor

Table of Contents

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth the beneficial ownership of our common units and WES common units held by the following as of February 21, 2017:

• each member of the Board of Directors;

• each named executive officer of our general partner;

• all directors and officers of our general partner as a group; and

• Anadarko and its affiliates.

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾ | WES | | WGP | |
|---|--------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Common | Percentage of | Common | Percentage of |
| | Units | Common Units | Units | Common Units |
| | Beneficially | Beneficially | Beneficially | Beneficially |
| | Owned | Owned | Owned | Owned |
| Anadarko Petroleum Corporation ⁽²⁾ | 52,143,426 | 39.90% | 178,587,365 | 81.55% |
| Robert G. Gwin | 10,000 | * | 200,000 | * |
| Donald R. Sinclair (through February 13, 2017) | 80,664 | * | 161,754 | * |
| Benjamin M. Fink | 2,213 | * | 18,683 | * |
| Craig W. Collins | 480 | * | 400 | * |
| Philip H. Peacock | — | * | 7,500 | * |
| Thomas R Hix ⁽³⁾ | — | * | 6,909 | * |
| Darrell E. Hollek | 336 | * | 7,608 | * |
| Robert K. Reeves | 9,000 | * | 9,000 | * |
| Craig W. Stewart ⁽³⁾ | — | * | 24,030 | * |
| David J. Tudor ⁽³⁾ | 8,898 | * | 6,178 | * |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons) | 111,591 | * | 442,062 | * |

*Less than 1%

(1) The address for all beneficial owners in this table is 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380. No person listed owns any WES Series A Preferred units.

WGP held 50,132,046 common units of WES and other subsidiaries of Anadarko, AMM and AMH, collectively held 2,011,380 common units of WES. WGRI owns 178,587,365 common units of WGP. Anadarko is the ultimate parent company of WGP, WGP GP, AMM, AMH and WGRI and may, therefore, be deemed to beneficially own the units held by such parties. Anadarko, through AMH, also held 12,537,100 WES Class C units.

Does not include (a) 1,826 unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Tudor under the WES LTIP, and (b) 1,132 unvested phantom units that were granted to Mr. Tudor, and 2,263 unvested phantom units that were granted to each of Messrs. Hix and Stewart. Phantom units granted to the independent directors of WES and WGP vest 100% on the first anniversary of the date of the grant. Each vested phantom unit entitles the holder to receive a common unit or, in the discretion of our general partner's Board of Directors, cash equal to the fair market value of a common unit. Holders of phantom units are entitled to distribution equivalents on a current basis. Holders of phantom units have no voting rights until such time as the phantom units become vested and common units are issued to such holders.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the number of shares of common stock of Anadarko owned by each of the named executive officers and directors of our general partner and all directors and executive officers of our general partner as a group as of February 21, 2017:

| Name and Address of Beneficial Owner ⁽¹⁾ | Shares of Common Stock Owned Directly or Indirectly ⁽²⁾ | Shares Underlying Options Exercisable Within 60 Days ⁽²⁾ | Total Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽²⁾ | Percentage of Total Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned ⁽²⁾ |
|---|--|---|--|--|
| Robert G. Gwin ⁽³⁾ | 112,471 | 278,203 | 390,674 | * |
| Donald R. Sinclair (through February 13, 2017) ⁽³⁾ | 22,712 | 66,357 | 89,069 | * |
| Benjamin M. Fink ⁽³⁾ | 9,390 | 31,688 | 41,078 | * |
| Craig W. Collins ⁽³⁾ ⁽⁴⁾ | 6,897 | 1,515 | 8,412 | * |
| Philip H. Peacock ⁽⁴⁾ | 6,069 | — | 6,069 | * |
| Thomas R. Hix | — | — | — | * |
| Darrell E. Hollek ⁽³⁾ | 24,947 | 98,871 | 123,818 | * |
| Robert K. Reeves ⁽³⁾ | 213,160 | 217,702 | 430,862 | * |
| Craig W. Stewart | — | — | — | * |
| David J. Tudor | — | — | — | * |
| All directors and executive officers as a group (10 persons) ⁽³⁾ | 395,646 | 694,336 | 1,089,982 | * |

*Less than 1%

⁽¹⁾ The address for all beneficial owners in this table is 1201 Lake Robbins Drive, The Woodlands, Texas 77380. No person listed owns any WES Series A Preferred units.

⁽²⁾ As of December 31, 2016, there were 559.0 million shares of Anadarko common stock issued and outstanding. Does not include unvested restricted stock units of Anadarko held by the following individuals in the amounts indicated: Robert G. Gwin—32,833; Donald R. Sinclair—31,100; Benjamin M. Fink—25,016; Craig W. Collins—3,669; Darrell E. Hollek—29,552; and Robert K. Reeves—25,615; for a total of 147,785 unvested restricted stock units held by the directors and executive officers as a group. Restricted stock units typically vest equally over three years

⁽³⁾ beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant, and upon vesting are payable in Anadarko common stock, subject to applicable tax withholding. Holders of restricted stock units receive dividend equivalents on the units, but do not have voting rights. Generally, a holder will forfeit any unvested restricted units if he or she terminates voluntarily or is terminated for cause prior to the vesting date. Holders of restricted stock units have the ability to defer such awards.

Includes 6,069 and 6,897 unvested shares of restricted common stock of Anadarko held by Philip H. Peacock and Craig W. Collins, respectively. Restricted stock awards typically vest equally over three years beginning on the

⁽⁴⁾ first anniversary of the date of grant. Holders of restricted stock receive dividends on the shares and also have voting rights. Generally, a holder of restricted stock will forfeit any unvested restricted shares if he or she terminates voluntarily or is terminated for cause prior to the vesting date.

Table of Contents

As of February 21, 2017, (i) Anadarko and its affiliates hold more than 5% of the outstanding WES and WGP common units, as listed in the first table of this Item 12, and (ii) no person other than Anadarko holds more than 5% of the outstanding WGP units. The following table sets forth owners of 5% or greater of WES's units, other than Anadarko, and the holders of WES's Series A Preferred units. As of February 21, 2017, affiliates of Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L. P. hold 8,211,096 WES Series A Preferred units and FR XIII WES Holdings LLC and FR WES Co-Investment, L.P. hold an aggregate of 13,711,735 WES Series A Preferred units.

| Title of Class | Name and Address of Beneficial Owner | Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership | Percent of Class |
|----------------|---|---|------------------|
| Common Units | Tortoise Capital Advisors, L.L.C. 11550 Ash Street Suite 300 Leawood, KS 66211 | 12,307,332 ⁽¹⁾ | 9.40% |
| Common Units | Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. 1800 Avenue of the Stars Third Floor Los Angeles, CA 90067 | 7,326,224 ⁽²⁾ | 5.61% |

Based upon its Schedule 13G/A filed February 13, 2017, with the SEC with respect to WES securities held as of December 31, 2016, Tortoise Capital Advisors, L.L.C. has shared voting power as to 11,046,458 common units and shared dispositive power as to 12,150,844 common units.

Based upon its Schedule 13G/A filed January 25, 2017, with the SEC with respect to WES securities held as of December 31, 2016, Kayne Anderson Capital Advisors, L.P. has shared voting and dispositive power as to 7,326,224 common units.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plan

The following table sets forth information with respect to the securities that may be issued under the WGP LTIP as of December 31, 2016. For more information regarding the WGP LTIP, which did not require approval by our unitholders, read Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K and the caption Western Gas Equity Partners, LP 2012 Long-Term Incentive Plan under Part III, Item 11 of this Form 10-K.

| Plan Category | (a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | (b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | (c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a)) |
|---|--|--|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | — | — | — |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾ | 5,658 | — ⁽²⁾ | 2,950,088 |
| Total | 5,658 | — | 2,950,088 |

- (1) The Board of Directors adopted the WGP LTIP in connection with the IPO of our common units. Phantom units constitute the only rights outstanding under the WGP LTIP. Each phantom unit that may be settled
- (2) in common units entitles the holder to receive, upon vesting, one common unit with respect to each phantom unit, without payment of any cash. Accordingly, there is no reportable weighted-average exercise price.

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth information with respect to the securities that may be issued under the WES LTIP as of December 31, 2016. For more information regarding the WES LTIP, which did not require approval by WES's unitholders, read Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K and the caption Western Gas Partners, LP 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan under Part III, Item 11 of this Form 10-K.

| Plan Category | (a) Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | (b) Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights | (c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a)) |
|---|--|--|--|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | — | — | — |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders ⁽¹⁾ | 7,304 | ⁽²⁾ | 2,120,711 |
| Total | 7,304 | — | 2,120,711 |

- (1) The Board of Directors of WES GP adopted the WES LTIP in connection with the IPO of WES's common units. Phantom units constitute the only rights outstanding under the WES LTIP. Each phantom unit that may be settled in common units entitles the holder to receive, upon vesting, one common unit with respect to each phantom unit, without payment of any cash. Accordingly, there is no reportable weighted-average exercise price.
- (2)

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

As of February 21, 2017, WGRI owned 178,587,365 common units representing an 81.6% limited partner interest in us. In addition, as of February 21, 2017, our general partner held a non-economic general partner interest in us.

Our Relationship with WES and WES GP

We own and control WES GP, the general partner of WES. Our only cash-generating assets consist of our partnership interests in WES, which consist of the following:

2,583,068 WES general partner units, representing a 1.5% general partner interest in WES;

100% of IDRs in WES, which entitle us to receive increasing percentages, up to the maximum level of 48.0%, of any incremental cash distributed by WES as certain target distribution levels are reached in any quarter; and

50,132,046 WES common units, representing a 29.9% limited partner interest in WES.

We control, manage and operate WES through our ownership of WES GP. The officers of our general partner are also officers of WES GP and our general partner's officers operate WES's business. Four of our directors are affiliated with Anadarko and are also directors of WES GP. Our remaining directors are independent as defined by the NYSE. We also appoint the directors of WES GP.

Table of Contents

WGP Omnibus Agreement

In connection with our IPO, we entered into an omnibus agreement (the “WGP omnibus agreement”) with our general partner and Anadarko that addresses the following matters:

Our obligation to reimburse Anadarko for expenses incurred or payments made on our behalf in conjunction with Anadarko’s provision of general and administrative services to us, including our public company expenses and general and administrative expenses;

Our obligation to pay Anadarko in quarterly installments an administrative services fee of \$250,000 per year (subject to an annual increase as described in the agreement); and

Our obligation to reimburse Anadarko for all insurance coverage expenses it incurs or payments it makes on our behalf.

The table below reflects the categories of expenses for which we were obligated to reimburse Anadarko pursuant to the WGP omnibus agreement for the year ended December 31, 2016, separate from, and in addition to, those reimbursed by WES:

| | Year Ended |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| thousands | December 31, |
| | 2016 |
| General and administrative expenses | \$ 258 |
| Public company expenses | 2,449 |
| Total reimbursement | \$ 2,707 |

Indemnification Agreements with Directors and Officers

Our general partner entered into indemnification agreements with each of its officers and directors (each, an Indemnitee). Each indemnification agreement provides that our general partner will indemnify and hold harmless each Indemnitee against all expense, liability and loss (including attorney’s fees, judgments, fines or penalties and amounts to be paid in settlement) actually and reasonably incurred or suffered by the Indemnitee in connection with serving in their capacity as officers and directors of our general partner (or of any subsidiary of our general partner) or in any capacity at the request of our general partner or its Board of Directors to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, including Section 18-108 of the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act in effect on the date of the agreement or as such laws may be amended to provide more advantageous rights to the Indemnitee. The indemnification agreements also provide that our general partner must advance payment of certain expenses to the Indemnitee, including fees of counsel, in advance of final disposition of any proceeding subject to receipt of an undertaking from the Indemnitee to return such advance if it is ultimately determined that the Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification.

Through December 31, 2016, there have been no payments or claims to Anadarko related to indemnifications and no payments or claims have been received from Anadarko related to indemnifications.

WGP Tax Sharing Agreement

In connection with our IPO in December 2012, we entered into a tax sharing agreement with Anadarko, pursuant to which we will reimburse Anadarko for our estimated share of taxes from all forms of taxation, excluding taxes imposed by the United States. Taxes for which we reimburse Anadarko include state taxes attributable to our income, which are directly borne by Anadarko on our behalf as a result of our results being included in a combined or consolidated tax return filed by Anadarko with respect to periods including and subsequent to the closing date of the IPO. Anadarko may use its tax attributes to cause its combined or consolidated group, of which we may be a member

for this purpose, to owe no tax. Nevertheless, we will be required to reimburse Anadarko for the estimated share of taxes that we would have owed had the attributes not been available or used for our benefit, regardless of whether Anadarko pays taxes for the period.

Table of Contents

Working Capital Facility

On November 1, 2012, we entered into the WGP WCF, a \$30.0 million working capital facility with Anadarko as the lender. The WGP WCF is available exclusively to fund our working capital borrowings. Borrowings under the WGP WCF will mature on November 1, 2017, and bear interest at LIBOR plus 1.50%. As of December 31, 2016, we had no outstanding borrowings under the WGP WCF.

Agreements between WES, WES GP and Anadarko

WES and other parties entered into various agreements with Anadarko in connection with WES's IPO in May 2008 and WES's acquisitions from Anadarko. These agreements address the acquisition of assets and the assumption of liabilities by WES and its subsidiaries. These agreements were not the result of arm's-length negotiations and, as such, they or underlying transactions may not be based on terms as favorable as those that could have been obtained from unaffiliated third parties.

WES Omnibus Agreement

In connection with WES's IPO, WES entered into an omnibus agreement (the "WES omnibus agreement") with Anadarko and WES GP that addresses the following matters:

- Anadarko's obligation to indemnify WES for certain liabilities and WES's obligation to indemnify Anadarko for certain liabilities;

- WES's obligation to reimburse Anadarko for expenses incurred or payments made on its behalf in conjunction with Anadarko's provision of general and administrative services to WES, including salary and benefits of Anadarko personnel, WES's public company expenses, general and administrative expenses and salaries and benefits of WES's executive management who are employees of Anadarko (see Administrative services and reimbursement below for details regarding certain agreements for amounts reimbursed in 2016); and

- WES's obligation to reimburse Anadarko for all insurance coverage expenses it incurs or payments it makes with respect to WES's assets.

The table below reflects the categories of expenses for which WES was obligated to reimburse Anadarko pursuant to the WES omnibus agreement for the year ended December 31, 2016:

| | Year Ended December 31, 2016 |
|--|------------------------------------|
| thousands | |
| Reimbursement of general and administrative expenses | \$ 29,360 |
| Reimbursement of public company expenses | 8,410 |
| Total reimbursement | \$ 37,770 |

Any or all of the provisions of the WES omnibus agreement are terminable by Anadarko at its option if WES GP is removed as general partner without cause and units held by WES GP and its affiliates are not voted in favor of that removal. The WES omnibus agreement will also generally terminate in the event of a change of control of WES or WES GP. See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Administrative services and reimbursement. Under the WES omnibus agreement, WES reimburses Anadarko for the payment of certain operating expenses and for the provision of various general and administrative services for WES's benefit with respect to the assets Anadarko contributed to WES concurrently with the closing of its May 2008 IPO, consisting of WES's initial assets, and for subsequent acquisitions. The WES omnibus agreement further provides that WES reimburse Anadarko for all expenses it incurs or payments it makes with respect to WES's assets.

Pursuant to these arrangements, Anadarko performs centralized corporate functions for WES, such as legal; accounting; treasury; cash management; investor relations; insurance administration and claims processing; risk management; health, safety and environmental; information technology; human resources; credit; payroll; internal audit; tax; marketing and midstream administration. WES reimburses Anadarko for expenses it incurs or payments it makes on WES's behalf, including salaries and benefits of Anadarko personnel, WES's public company expenses, WES's general and administrative expenses and salaries and benefits of WES executive management who are also employees of Anadarko. Under WES's partnership agreement and the WES omnibus agreement, WES reimburses Anadarko for general and administrative expenses allocated, as determined by Anadarko in its reasonable discretion.

Indemnification with respect to initial assets. Under the WES omnibus agreement, Anadarko agreed to indemnify WES against certain environmental, title and operation matters associated with WES's initial assets. WES has claimed no indemnities under the WES omnibus agreement prior to the date hereof. Other than with respect to certain tax liabilities attributable to assets or liabilities retained by Anadarko, the indemnification obligations under the WES omnibus agreement have expired.

Indemnification Agreements with WES Directors and Officers

WES GP entered into indemnification agreements with each of its officers and directors (each, a WES Indemnitee). Each indemnification agreement provides that WES GP will indemnify and hold harmless each WES Indemnitee against all expense, liability and loss (including attorney's fees, judgments, fines or penalties and amounts to be paid in settlement) actually and reasonably incurred or suffered by the WES Indemnitee in connection with serving in their capacity as officers and directors of WES GP (or of any subsidiary of WES GP) or in any capacity at the request of WES GP or its Board of Directors to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, including Section 18-108 of the Delaware Limited Liability Company Act in effect on the date of the agreement or as such laws may be amended to provide more advantageous rights to the WES Indemnitee. The indemnification agreements also provide that WES GP must advance payment of certain expenses to the WES Indemnitee, including fees of counsel, in advance of final disposition of any proceeding subject to receipt of an undertaking from the WES Indemnitee to return such advance if it is ultimately determined that the WES Indemnitee is not entitled to indemnification.

Through December 31, 2016, there have been no payments or claims to Anadarko related to indemnifications and no payments or claims have been received from Anadarko related to indemnifications.

Services and Secondment Agreement

In connection with WES's IPO, Anadarko and WES GP entered into a services and secondment agreement, pursuant to which specified employees of Anadarko are seconded to WES GP to provide operating, routine maintenance and other services with respect to assets WES owns and operates under the direction, supervision and control of WES GP.

Pursuant to the services and secondment agreement, WES reimburses Anadarko for services provided by the seconded employees. The initial term of the services and secondment agreement extends through May 2018 and the term will automatically extend for additional twelve-month periods unless either party provides 180 days written notice of termination before the applicable twelve-month period expires.

Table of Contents

WES Tax Sharing Agreement

In connection with WES's IPO, WES entered into a tax sharing agreement pursuant to which WES reimburses Anadarko for WES's estimated share of taxes from all forms of taxation, excluding taxes imposed by the United States. Taxes for which WES reimburses Anadarko include state taxes attributable to WES's income, which are directly borne by Anadarko through its filing of a combined or consolidated tax return with respect to periods beginning on and subsequent to WES's acquisition of WES assets, which refers to the assets indirectly owned and interests accounted for under the equity method by WGP through its partnership interests in WES as of December 31, 2016. Anadarko may use its own tax attributes to reduce or eliminate the tax liability of its combined or consolidated group, which may include WES as a member. However, under this circumstance, WES nevertheless is required to reimburse Anadarko for its allocable share of taxes that would have been owed had tax attributes not been available to Anadarko.

Related-Party Acquisition Agreements

In connection with the acquisition of assets from Anadarko, WES regularly enters into contribution or purchase and sale agreements with Anadarko and its affiliates. These agreements typically provide for payment by WES to Anadarko of a purchase price in the form of cash and issuance of WES common units.

Pursuant to such related-party acquisition agreements, Anadarko has agreed to indemnify WES and its respective affiliates (other than any of the entities controlled by Anadarko), shareholders, unitholders, members, directors, officers, employees, agents and representatives against certain losses resulting from any breach of Anadarko's representations, warranties, covenants or agreements, and for certain other matters. WES has agreed to indemnify Anadarko and its respective affiliates (other than WES and its respective security holders, officers, directors and employees) and their respective security holders, officers, directors and employees against certain losses resulting from any breach of WES's representations, warranties, covenants or agreements made in such agreements.

The Board of Directors of WES GP approved the acquisition of the WES assets from Anadarko, based in part on the recommendations in favor of the acquisitions from, and the granting of special approval under WES's partnership agreement by, the WES Board's Special Committee. The WES Special Committee, a committee of independent members of WES GP's Board of Directors, retains independent legal and financial advisors to assist it in evaluating and negotiating the acquisitions as it deems necessary on a transaction-by-transaction basis.

Chipeta LLC Agreement

In connection with WES's acquisition of its interest in Chipeta, WES became party to the Chipeta LLC agreement, together with a third-party member. Among other things, the Chipeta LLC agreement provides the following:

• Chipeta's members will be required from time to time to make capital contributions to Chipeta to the extent approved by the members in connection with Chipeta's annual budget;

• Chipeta will distribute available cash, as defined in the Chipeta LLC agreement, if any, to its members quarterly in accordance with those members' membership interests; and

• Chipeta's membership interests are subject to significant restrictions on transfer.

WES is the managing member of Chipeta. As managing member, WES manages the day-to-day operations of Chipeta and receives a management fee from the other member, which is intended to compensate the managing member for the performance of its duties. WES may be removed as the managing member only if WES is grossly negligent or fraudulent, breaches its primary duties or fails to respond in a commercially reasonable manner to written business proposals from the other members, and such behavior, breach or failure has a material adverse effect to Chipeta.

Table of Contents

Commodity Price Swap Agreements

WES has commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko to mitigate exposure to a majority of the commodity price risk inherent in its percent-of-proceeds and keep-whole contracts. Notional volumes for each of the commodity price swap agreements are not specifically defined. Instead, the commodity price swap agreements apply to the actual volume of WES's natural gas, condensate and NGLs purchased and sold. On December 1, 2016, WES renewed its commodity price swap agreements with Anadarko for the DJ Basin complex and the MGR assets through December 31, 2017, with an effective date of January 1, 2017. See Risk Factors under Part I, Item 1A and Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

Gathering and Processing Agreements

WES has significant gathering and processing arrangements with affiliates of Anadarko on a majority of its systems. For the year ended December 31, 2016, 37% of WES's natural gas gathering, treating and transportation throughput and 54% of WES's natural gas processing throughput, was attributable to production owned or controlled by Anadarko, in each case exclusive of its equity investment throughput. For the year ended December 31, 2016, 65% of WES's crude/NGL gathering, treating and transportation throughput was attributable to production owned or controlled by Anadarko, exclusive of its equity investment throughput.

Purchase and Sale Agreements

WES sells a significant amount of its natural gas, condensate and NGLs to AESC, Anadarko's marketing affiliate. In addition, WES purchases natural gas, condensate and NGLs from AESC pursuant to purchase agreements. WES's purchase and sale agreements with AESC are generally one-year contracts, subject to annual renewal.

Equipment Purchases and Sales

The following table summarizes WES's purchases from and sales to Anadarko of pipe and equipment:

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|--------|---------|--------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| thousands | Purchases | | | Sales | | |
| Cash consideration | \$3,965 | \$10,903 | \$22,943 | \$623 | \$925 | \$402 |
| Net carrying value | (3,366) | (6,318) | (12,210) | (605) | (972) | (375) |
| Partners' capital adjustment | \$599 | \$4,585 | \$10,733 | \$18 | \$(47) | \$27 |

Contributions in Aid of Construction Costs from Affiliates

On certain of WES's capital projects, Anadarko is obligated to reimburse WES for all or a portion of project capital expenditures. The majority of such arrangements are associated with projects related to pipeline construction activities and production well tie-ins. The cash receipts resulting from such reimbursements are presented as "Contributions in aid of construction costs from affiliates" within the investing section of the consolidated statements of cash flows.

Indemnification Agreements

All of WES's notes and obligations under the WES RCF are recourse to WES GP. WES GP is indemnified by wholly owned subsidiaries of Anadarko against any claims made against WES GP for WES's long-term debt and/or borrowings under the WES RCF.

Table of Contents

Summary of Affiliate Transactions

Revenues from affiliates include amounts earned by WES from services provided to Anadarko as well as from the sale of residue and NGLs to Anadarko. In addition, WES purchases natural gas from an affiliate of Anadarko pursuant to gas purchase agreements. Operating and maintenance expense includes amounts accrued for or paid to affiliates for the operation of WES's assets, whether in providing services to affiliates or to third parties, including field labor, measurement and analysis, and other disbursements. A portion of our general and administrative expenses is paid by Anadarko, which results in affiliate transactions pursuant to the reimbursement provisions of the WES omnibus agreement and the WGP omnibus agreement. Affiliate expenses do not bear a direct relationship to affiliate revenues, and third-party expenses do not bear a direct relationship to third-party revenues.

The following table summarizes material affiliate transactions (see Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K):

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Revenues and other ⁽¹⁾ | \$1,228,232 | \$1,220,639 | \$1,203,974 |
| Equity income, net – affiliates ⁽¹⁾ | 78,717 | 71,251 | 57,836 |
| Cost of product ⁽¹⁾ | 80,455 | 167,354 | 127,930 |
| Operation and maintenance ⁽²⁾ | 72,330 | 77,061 | 71,386 |
| General and administrative ⁽³⁾ | 38,873 | 34,703 | 32,055 |
| Operating expenses | 191,658 | 279,118 | 231,371 |
| Interest income ⁽⁴⁾ | 16,900 | 16,900 | 16,900 |
| Interest expense ⁽⁵⁾ | (7,747) |) 14,400 | 3 |
| Distributions to WGP unitholders ⁽⁶⁾ | 315,505 | 269,029 | 204,615 |
| Distributions to WES unitholders ⁽⁷⁾ | 5,614 | 2,235 | 1,747 |
| Above-market component of swap extensions with Anadarko ⁽⁸⁾ | 45,820 | 18,449 | — |

Represents amounts earned or incurred on and subsequent to the date of acquisition of WES assets, as well as

⁽¹⁾ amounts earned or incurred by Anadarko on a historical basis related to WES assets prior to the acquisition of such assets by WES, recognized under gathering, treating or processing agreements, and purchase and sale agreements.

⁽²⁾ Represents expenses incurred on and subsequent to the date of the acquisition of WES assets, as well as expenses incurred by Anadarko on a historical basis related to WES assets prior to the acquisition of such assets by WES.

⁽³⁾ Represents general and administrative expense incurred on and subsequent to the date of WES's acquisition of WES assets, as well as a management services fee for reimbursement of expenses incurred by Anadarko for periods prior to the acquisition of WES assets by WES. These amounts include equity-based compensation expense allocated to WES and WGP by Anadarko (see Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K) and amounts charged by Anadarko under the WGP and WES omnibus agreements.

⁽⁴⁾ Represents interest income recognized on the note receivable from Anadarko.

For the years ended December 31, 2016 and 2015, includes amounts related to WES's Deferred purchase price obligation - Anadarko (see Note 2—Acquisitions and Divestitures and Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K) and for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, includes interest expense recognized on the WGP WCF (see Note 12—Debt and Interest Expense in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K).

⁽⁵⁾ Represents distributions paid under the WGP partnership agreement. See Note 3—Partnership Distributions and Note 4—Equity and Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

⁽⁶⁾ Represents distributions paid to other subsidiaries of Anadarko under WES's partnership agreement. See

⁽⁷⁾ Note 3—Partnership Distributions and Note 4—Equity and Partners' Capital in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

- (8) See Note 5—Transactions with Affiliates in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K for more information.

Table of Contents

Other

In 2016, Anadarko made payments totaling approximately \$213,000 to the Houston Astros Baseball Club. James R. Crane, a member of the Board of Directors of WES GP, is the principal owner and Chairman of the Houston Astros.

Conflicts of Interest

Conflicts of interest exist and may arise in the future as a result of the relationships between our general partner and its affiliates, including Anadarko, on the one hand, and our partnership and our limited partners, on the other hand. The directors and officers of our general partner have fiduciary duties to manage our general partner in a manner beneficial to its owner (Anadarko). At the same time, our general partner also has duties to manage our partnership in a manner beneficial to us and our unitholders.

Whenever a conflict arises between our general partner or its affiliates, on the one hand, and us and our limited partners, on the other hand, our general partner will resolve the conflict. The WGP partnership agreement contains provisions that modify and limit our general partner's default state law fiduciary duties to our unitholders. The WGP partnership agreement also restricts the remedies available to our unitholders for actions taken by our general partner that, without those limitations, might constitute breaches of fiduciary duties otherwise applicable under state law. See the caption Special Committee under Part III, Item 10 of this Form 10-K.

Our general partner will not be in breach of its obligations under the WGP partnership agreement or its duties to us or our unitholders if the resolution of the conflict is any of the following:

- approved by the Special Committee of our general partner, although our general partner is not obligated to seek such approval;

- approved by the vote of a majority of the outstanding common units, excluding any common units owned by our general partner or any of its affiliates;

- on terms no less favorable to us than those generally being provided to or available from unrelated third parties; or

- fair and reasonable to us, taking into account the totality of the relationships among the parties involved, including other transactions that may be particularly favorable or advantageous to us.

Our general partner may, but is not required to, seek the approval of such resolution from the Special Committee of its Board of Directors. In connection with a situation involving a conflict of interest, any determination by our general partner involving the resolution of the conflict of interest must be made in good faith, provided that, if our general partner does not seek approval from the Special Committee and its Board of Directors determines that the resolution or course of action taken with respect to the conflict of interest satisfies either of the standards set forth in the third and fourth bullet points above, then it will be presumed that, in making its decision, the Board of Directors acted in good faith, and in any proceeding brought by or on behalf of any limited partner or the partnership, the person bringing or prosecuting such proceeding will have the burden of overcoming such presumption. Unless the resolution of a conflict is specifically provided for in the WGP partnership agreement, our general partner or the Special Committee may consider any factors that it determines in good faith to be appropriate when resolving a conflict. The WGP partnership agreement provides that for someone to act in good faith, that person must reasonably believe he is acting in the best interests of the partnership.

Additionally, the Board of Directors has adopted a written Code of Business Conduct and Ethics (the "Code"), under which all directors and officers of the general partner, and employees working on our behalf, are expected to avoid conflicts or the appearance of conflicts in relation to their duties and responsibilities to us, and report any violation of the Code by any person. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, any waivers of the Code for any officer or director may only be made by the Board of Directors or by a committee of the Board of Directors composed of

independent directors.

198

Table of Contents

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

We have engaged KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. The following table presents fees for the audit of WGP's annual consolidated financial statements for the last two fiscal years and for other services provided by KPMG LLP:

| | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|
| thousands | 2016 | 2015 |
| Audit fees | \$225 | \$325 |
| Audit-related fees | 250 | 175 |
| Total | \$475 | \$500 |

Audit fees are primarily for the audit of WGP's consolidated financial statements, including the audit of the effectiveness of WGP's internal control over financial reporting, and the reviews of WGP's financial statements included in the Forms 10-Q.

Audit-related fees are primarily for other audits, consents, comfort letters and certain financial accounting consultation.

The table above includes certain fees approved by WGP and paid by Anadarko related to Anadarko's sale of its WGP common units to the public. WGP did not receive any proceeds from, or recognize any expense related to, the public offering.

In addition, WES paid \$1.0 million in audit fees and \$0.7 million in audit-related fees for the year ended December 31, 2016, and \$1.3 million in audit fees and \$0.4 million in audit-related fees for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Audit Committee Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee of WGP's general partner has adopted a Pre-Approval Policy with respect to services that may be performed by KPMG LLP. This policy lists specific audit-related services as well as any other services that KPMG LLP is authorized to perform and sets out specific dollar limits for each specific service, which may not be exceeded without additional Audit Committee authorization. The Audit Committee receives quarterly reports on the status of expenditures pursuant to that Pre-Approval Policy. The Audit Committee reviews the policy at least annually in order to approve services and limits for the current year. Any service that is not clearly enumerated in the policy must receive specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee or by its Chairman, to whom such authority has been conditionally delegated, prior to engagement. During 2016, no fees for services outside the scope of audit, review, or attestation that exceed the waiver provisions of 17 CFR 210.2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) were approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has approved the appointment of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm to conduct the audit of WGP's consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2017.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Financial Statements

Our consolidated financial statements are included under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K. For a listing of these statements and accompanying footnotes, see the Index to Consolidated Financial Statements under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(a)(2) Financial Statement Schedules

Financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not required, not applicable, or the information is included under Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(a)(3) Exhibits

Exhibit Index

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|---|
| 2.1# | Contribution, Conveyance and Assumption Agreement by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, WGR Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Resources, Inc., WGR Asset Holding Company LLC, Western Gas Operating, LLC and WGR Operating, LP, dated as of May 14, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.2# | Contribution Agreement, dated as of November 11, 2008, by and among Western Gas Resources, Inc., WGR Asset Holding Company LLC, WGR Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Operating, LLC and WGR Operating, LP. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 13, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.3# | Contribution Agreement, dated as of July 10, 2009, by and among Western Gas Resources, Inc., WGR Asset Holding Company LLC, Anadarko Uintah Midstream, LLC, WGR Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, WES GP, Inc., Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Operating, LLC and WGR Operating, LP. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 23, 2009, File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.4# | Contribution Agreement, dated as of January 29, 2010 by and among Western Gas Resources, Inc., WGR Asset Holding Company LLC, Mountain Gas Resources LLC, WGR Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, WES GP, Inc., Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Operating, LLC and WGR Operating, LP. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2010 File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.5# | Contribution Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2010, by and among Western Gas Resources, Inc., WGR Asset Holding Company LLC, WGR Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, WES GP, Inc., Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Operating, LLC and WGR Operating, LP. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 5, 2010, File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.6# | Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of January 14, 2011, by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Kerr-McGee Gathering LLC and Encana Oil & Gas (USA) Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 18, 2011 File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.7# | Contribution Agreement, dated as of December 15, 2011, by and among Western Gas Resources, Inc., WGR Asset Holding Company LLC, WGR Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, WES GP, Inc., Western |

Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Operating, LLC and WGR Operating, LP. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 15, 2011, File No. 001-34046).

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 2.8# | Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 27, 2013, by and among Anadarko Marcellus Midstream, L.L.C., Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Operating, LLC, WGR Operating, LP, Anadarko Petroleum Corporation and Anadarko E&P Onshore LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2013, File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.9# | Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 27, 2014, by and among WGR Asset Holding Company LLC, APC Midstream Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Operating, LLC, WGR Operating, LP and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.9 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 28, 2014, File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.10# | Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated October 28, 2014, by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Maguire Midstream LLC and Nuevo Midstream, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 28, 2014, File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.11# | Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of March 2, 2015, by and among WGR Asset Holding Company LLC, Delaware Basin Midstream, LLC, Western Gas Partners, LP, and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 3, 2015, File No. 001-34046). |
| 2.12# | Contribution Agreement, dated as of February 24, 2016, by and among WGR Asset Holding Company, LLC, APC Midstream Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Operating, LLC, WGR Operating, LP and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 1, 2016, File No.001-34046). |
| 3.1 | Certificate of Limited Partnership of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP filed on November 5, 2012, File No. 333-184763). |
| 3.2 | First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP, dated as of December 12, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2012, File No. 001-35753). |
| 3.3 | Certificate of Formation of Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on November 5, 2012, File No. 333-184763). |
| 3.4 | Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC, dated as of December 12, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Western Gas Equity Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2012, File No. 001-35753). |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Limited Partnership of Western Gas Partners, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on October 15, 2007, File No. 333-146700). |
| 3.6 | Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Western Gas Partners, LP, dated March 14, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2016, File No. 001-34046). |
| 3.7 | Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Western Gas Partners, LP, dated March 14, 2016 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2016, File No. 001-34046). |
| 3.8 | Amendment No. 2 to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Western Gas Partners, LP, dated February 22, 2017 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.4 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2017, File No. 001-34046). |
| 3.9 | Certificate of Formation of Western Gas Holdings, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on October 15, 2007, File No. 333-146700). |
| 3.10 | Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Western Gas Holdings, LLC, dated December 12, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current |

Report on Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2012, File No. 001-34046).

4.1 Specimen Unit Certificate for the Common Units (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on June 13, 2008, File No. 001-34046).

4.2 Indenture, dated as of May 18, 2011, among Western Gas Partners, LP, as Issuer, the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein, as Guarantors, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2011, File No. 001-34046).

201

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 4.3 | First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of May 18, 2011, among Western Gas Partners, LP, as Issuer, the Subsidiary Guarantors named therein, as Guarantors, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2011, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.4 | Form of 5.375% Senior Notes due 2021 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 18, 2011, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.5 | Fourth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 28, 2012, among Western Gas Partners, LP, as Issuer, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 28, 2012, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.6 | Form of 4.000% Senior Notes due 2022 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 28, 2012, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.7 | Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 14, 2013, among Western Gas Partners, LP, as Issuer, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 14, 2013, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.8 | Form of 2.600% Senior Notes due 2018 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 14, 2013, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.9 | Sixth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of March 20, 2014, among Western Gas Partners, LP, as Issuer, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2014, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.10 | Form of 5.450% Senior Notes due 2044 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 20, 2014, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.11 | Seventh Supplemental Indenture, dated as of June 4, 2015, among Western Gas Partners, LP, as Issuer, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 4, 2015, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.12 | Form of 3.950% Senior Notes due 2025 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 4, 2015, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.13 | Eighth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 12, 2016, among Western Gas Partners, LP, as Issuer, and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 12, 2016, File No. 001-34046). |
| 4.14 | Form of 4.650% Senior Notes due 2026 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 12, 2016, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.1 | Omnibus Agreement by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Holdings, LLC and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, dated as of May 14, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.2 | Amendment No. 1 to Omnibus Agreement by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, dated as of December 19, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 24, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.3 | Amendment No. 2 to Omnibus Agreement by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, dated as of July 22, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 23, 2009, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.4 | Amendment No. 3 to Omnibus Agreement by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, dated as of December 31, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on January 7, 2010, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.5 | Amendment No. 4 to Omnibus Agreement by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, dated as of January 29, 2010 (incorporated by reference to |

Exhibit 10.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 3, 2010, File No. 001-34046).

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 10.6 | Amendment No. 5 to Omnibus Agreement by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, dated as of August 2, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 5, 2010, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.7 | Services And Secondment Agreement between Western Gas Holdings, LLC and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation dated May 14, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.8 | Amendment No. 1 to Services And Secondment Agreement between Western Gas Holdings, LLC and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation dated December 10, 2015 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 25, 2016, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.9 | Tax Sharing Agreement by and among Anadarko Petroleum Corporation and Western Gas Partners, LP, dated as of May 14, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.10 | Anadarko Petroleum Corporation Fixed Rate Note due 2038 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.11 | Form of Commodity Price Swap Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 6, 2010, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.12† | Form of Indemnification Agreement by and between Western Gas Holdings, LLC, its Officers and Directors (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Amendment No. 2 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on January 23, 2008, File No. 333-146700). |
| 10.13† | Western Gas Partners, LP 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on June 13, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.14† | Form of Award Agreement under the Western Gas Partners, LP 2008 Long-Term Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.15† | Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Chipeta Processing LLC effective July 23, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 12, 2009, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.16 | Second Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of February 26, 2014, among Western Gas Partners, LP, Wells Fargo Bank National Association, as the administrative agent and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 28, 2014, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.17 | First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2016, among Western Gas Partners, LP Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2017, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.18 | Second Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Revolving Credit Agreement, dated as of December 16, 2016, among Western Gas Partners, LP Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as administrative agent and the lenders party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on December 16, 2016, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.19 | Fourth Amended and Restated Indemnification Agreement, dated March 14, 2016, between Western Gas Holdings, LLC and Western Gas Resources, Inc (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2017, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.20 | Omnibus Agreement, by and among, Western Gas Equity Partners, LP, Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, dated as of December 12, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Western Gas Equity Partners, LP on December 12, 2012, File No. 001-35753). |

- 10.21 Tax Sharing Agreement by and between Western Gas Equity Partners, LP and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation, dated as of December 12, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Current Report on Form 8-K filed by Western Gas Equity Partners, LP on December 12, 2012, File No. 001-35753).
- 10.22 AMH Indemnification Agreement, dated March 3, 2014, between Western Gas Holdings, LLC and APC Midstream Holdings, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 5, 2014, File No. 001-34046).

203

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|----------------|--|
| 10.23 | KWC Indemnification Agreement, dated March 14, 2016, between Western Gas Holdings, LLC and Kerr-McGee Worldwide Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2017, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.24 | Unit Purchase Agreement, dated October 28, 2014, by and among Western Gas Partners, LP, APC Midstream Holdings, LLC and Anadarko Petroleum Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Western Gas Partners, LP Form 8-K filed on October 28, 2014; File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.25† | Gas Gathering Agreement effective July 1, 2010 between Kerr-McGee Gathering LLC and Kerr-McGee Oil & Gas Onshore LP, as amended by Amendment No. 1 dated August 4, 2011, Amendment No. 2 dated December 3, 2012, Amendment No. 3 dated November 19, 2013 and Amendment No. 4 dated June 2, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Western Gas Partners, LP Form 10-K filed on February 26, 2015, File No. 001-34046). |
| 10.26 | Working Capital Loan Agreement between Anadarko Petroleum Corporation and Western Gas Equity Partners, LP, dated as of November 1, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Western Gas Equity Partners, LP Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed on November 5, 2012, File No. 333-184763). |
| 10.27 | Board Observation Agreement, dated March 14, 2016, among Western Gas Partners, LP, Western Gas Holdings, LLC, Western Gas Equity Partners, LP and the persons set forth on Schedule A thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Western Gas Partners, LP's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2016, File No. 001-34046). |
| 12.1* | Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges. |
| 21.1* | List of Subsidiaries of Western Gas Partners, LP. |
| 23.1* | Consent of KPMG LLP. |
| 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1** | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Schema Document |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document |

* Filed herewith

**Furnished herewith

Pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K, the registrant agrees to furnish supplementally a copy of any omitted schedule to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.

Portions of this exhibit, which was previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, were omitted † pursuant to a request for confidential treatment. The omitted portions were filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

‡ Management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements required to be filed pursuant to Item 15.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

WESTERN GAS EQUITY PARTNERS, LP

February 23, 2017

/s/ Benjamin M. Fink
Benjamin M. Fink
President, Chief Executive Officer,
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer
Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC
(as general partner of Western Gas Equity Partners, LP)

Each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints Benjamin M. Fink his true and lawful attorney-in-fact and agent, with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any or all amendments to this Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all, exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorney-in-fact and agent full power and authority to do and perform each, and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in and about the premises, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact and agent or the substitute or substitutes of may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

205

Table of Contents

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on February 23, 2017.

| Signature | Title (Position with Western Gas Equity Holdings, LLC) |
|-----------|--|
|-----------|--|

| | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| /s/ Robert G. Gwin Robert G. Gwin | Chairman and Director |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|

| | |
|--|--|
| /s/ Benjamin M. Fink Benjamin M. Fink | President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Executive, Financial and Accounting Officer) |
|--|--|

| | |
|--|----------|
| /s/ Darrell E. Hollek Darrell E. Hollek | Director |
|--|----------|

| | |
|--|----------|
| /s/ Robert K. Reeves Robert K. Reeves | Director |
|--|----------|

| | |
|------------------------------------|----------|
| /s/ Thomas R. Hix Thomas R. Hix | Director |
|------------------------------------|----------|

| | |
|--|----------|
| /s/ Craig W. Stewart Craig W. Stewart | Director |
|--|----------|

| | |
|--------------------------------------|----------|
| /s/ David J. Tudor David J. Tudor | Director |
|--------------------------------------|----------|