

Verso Corp
Form 10-K
April 14, 2016

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K
(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

or
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Verso Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Delaware 001-34056 75-3217389
(State of Incorporation or Organization) (Commission File Number) (IRS Employer Identification Number)

Verso Paper Holdings LLC
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Delaware 333-142283 56-2597634
(State of Incorporation or Organization) (Commission File Number) (IRS Employer Identification Number)

6775 Lenox Center Court, Suite 400
Memphis, Tennessee 38115-4436
(Address, including zip code, of principal executive offices)

(901) 369-4100
(Registrants' telephone number, including area code)

Securities registered pursuant to section 12(b) of the Act:

Verso Corporation None

Verso Paper Holdings LLC None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Verso Corporation None

Verso Paper Holdings LLC None

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Verso Corporation Yes No

Verso Paper Holdings LLC Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Verso Corporation Yes No

Verso Paper Holdings LLC Yes No

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Verso Corporation Yes No
Verso Paper Holdings LLC Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Verso Corporation Yes No
Verso Paper Holdings LLC Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Verso Corporation
Verso Paper Holdings LLC

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Verso Corporation
Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Verso Paper Holdings
LLC
Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).

Verso Corporation Yes No
Verso Paper Holdings
LLC Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity of Verso Corporation held by non-affiliates, computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was last sold on the last business day of the most recently completed second fiscal quarter (June 30, 2015), was approximately \$29,689,658. For purposes of this calculation, only those shares held by directors, executive officers and holders of 10% or more of the voting securities of Verso Corporation have been excluded as held by affiliates. Such exclusion should not be deemed a determination

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

or an admission by the Registrant or any such person that such individuals or entities are or were, in fact, affiliates of Verso Corporation.

As of March 15, 2016, Verso Corporation had 81,857,483 outstanding shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, and Verso Paper Holdings LLC had one outstanding limited liability company interest.

This Form 10-K is a combined annual report being filed separately by two registrants: Verso Corporation and Verso Paper Holdings LLC.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

The information required by Part III is incorporated by reference from an amendment to this Annual Report on Form 10-K to be filed within 120 days after December 31, 2015.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

	Page
<u>Item 1. Business</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Item 2. Properties</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>24</u>
<u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>24</u>

PART II

<u>Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	<u>25</u>
<u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data</u>	<u>26</u>
<u>Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>31</u>
<u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>46</u>
<u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>104</u>
<u>Item 9B. Other Information</u>	<u>104</u>

PART III

<u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Item 11. Executive Compensation</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u>	<u>105</u>
<u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	<u>105</u>

PART IV

<u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedule</u>	<u>106</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>113</u>

Forward-Looking Statements

In this annual report, all statements that are not purely historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or “Securities Act,” and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or “Exchange Act.” Forward-looking statements may be identified by the words “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “project,” “plan,” “estimate,” “intend,” and other similar expressions. They include, for example, statements relating to our business and operating outlook; assessment of market conditions; and the growth potential of the industry in which we operate. Forward-looking statements are based on currently available business, economic, financial, and other information and reflect management’s current beliefs, expectations, and views with respect to future developments and their potential effects on us. Actual results could vary materially depending on risks and uncertainties that may affect us and our business. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results to differ from those set forth in the forward-looking statements: the impact of our bankruptcy filings and the related Chapter 11 bankruptcy process on our business, financial condition or results of operations; intense competition in the paper manufacturing industry; changes in the costs of raw materials and purchased energy; developments in alternative media, which are expected to adversely affect the demand for some of our key products, and the effectiveness of our responses to these developments; rising postal costs; any additional closure and other restructuring costs; negative publicity, even if unjustified; any failure to comply with environmental or other laws or regulations, even if inadvertent; legal proceedings or disputes; any labor disputes; and the potential risks and uncertainties described in Part I, Item 1A, “Risk Factors.” We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement made in this annual report to reflect subsequent events or circumstances or actual outcomes.

Market and Industry Information

Market data and other statistical information used throughout this annual report are based on independent industry publications, government publications, reports by market research firms, or other published independent sources. Some data are also based on our good-faith estimates which are derived from our review of internal surveys, as well as the independent sources listed above. Although we believe these sources are reliable, we have not independently verified the information. Industry prices for coated paper provided in this annual report are, unless otherwise expressly noted, derived from RISI, Inc. data. “North American” data included in this annual report that has been derived from RISI, Inc. only includes data from the United States and Canada. Any reference to (a) grade No. 3, grade No. 4 and grade No. 5 coated paper relates to 60 lb. basis weight, 50 lb. basis weight and 34 lb. basis weight, respectively, (b) lightweight coated groundwood paper refers to groundwood paper grades that are a 36 lb. basis weight or less, and (c) ultra-lightweight coated groundwood paper refers to groundwood paper grades that are a 30 lb. basis weight or less. The RISI, Inc. data included in this annual report has been derived from the following RISI, Inc. publications: RISI World Graphic Paper Forecast, February 2016 and RISI Paper Trader: A Monthly Monitor of the North American Graphic Paper Market, December 2015.

PART I

Item 1. Business

Verso Corporation is the ultimate parent entity and the sole member of Verso Paper Finance Holdings One LLC, which is the sole member of Verso Paper Finance Holdings LLC, which is the sole member of Verso Paper Holdings LLC. As used in this report, the term “Verso” refers to Verso Corporation; the term “Verso Finance” refers to Verso Paper Finance Holdings LLC; the term “Verso Holdings” refers to Verso Paper Holdings LLC; the term “NewPage” refers to NewPage Holdings Inc., which is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso; the term “NewPage Corp” refers to NewPage Corporation, which is an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of NewPage; and the term for any such entity includes its direct and indirect subsidiaries when referring to the entity’s consolidated financial condition or results. Unless otherwise noted, references to “we,” “us,” and “our” refer collectively to Verso and Verso Holdings. Other than Verso’s common stock transactions, Verso Finance’s debt obligation and related financing costs and interest expense, Verso Holdings’ loan to Verso Finance, and the debt obligation of Verso Holdings’ consolidated variable interest entity to Verso Finance, the assets, liabilities, income, expenses and cash flows presented for all periods represent those of Verso Holdings in all material respects. Unless otherwise noted, the information provided pertains to both Verso and Verso Holdings.

Background

On January 3, 2014, Verso, Verso Merger Sub Inc., an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso, or “Merger Sub,” and NewPage entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, or the “Merger Agreement,” pursuant to which the parties agreed to merge Merger Sub with and into NewPage on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, with NewPage surviving the merger as an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso. On January 7, 2015, Verso consummated the acquisition of NewPage through the merger of Merger Sub with and into NewPage, or the “NewPage acquisition,” pursuant to the Merger Agreement. As a result of the merger of Merger Sub with and into NewPage, Merger Sub’s separate corporate existence ceased and NewPage continued as the surviving corporation and an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso (see Note 4).

On January 26, 2016, Verso announced that Verso and substantially all of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, including Verso Holdings, or collectively referred to herein as the “Debtors,” filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. Our board of directors authorized the filing of the Chapter 11 cases to facilitate a debt restructuring necessary to strengthen the company’s balance sheet and to position Verso for long-term success. On March 26, 2016, the Debtors filed a proposed joint plan of reorganization with the Bankruptcy Court, or the “Plan.” In addition to approval by the Bankruptcy Court, the Plan is subject to certain conditions that must be satisfied prior to its implementation. Although we believe that the Plan will satisfy all requirements for confirmation under the Bankruptcy Code, there can be no assurance that the Plan will be approved by the Bankruptcy Court or that the conditions precedent to its implementation will be satisfied or when, if ever, such confirmation and satisfaction will occur (see “Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Proceedings and Plan of Reorganization” below and Note 23).

Our principal executive offices are located at 6775 Lenox Center Court, Suite 400, Memphis, Tennessee 38115-4436. Our telephone number is (901) 369-4100. Our website address is www.versoco.com. Information on or accessible through our website is not considered part of this annual report.

Overview

We are the leading North American producer of coated papers, which are used primarily in magazines, catalogs, high-end advertising brochures and annual reports, among other media and marketing publications. We produce a

wide range of products, ranging from coated freesheet and coated groundwood, to inkjet and digital paper, supercalendered papers, and uncoated freesheet. We also produce and sell market kraft pulp, which is used to manufacture printing and writing paper grades and tissue products.

We own fourteen paper machines at eight mills strategically located in Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin, as of December 31, 2015. The mills have an aggregate annual production capacity of approximately 3,440,000 tons of paper, including coated papers and specialty papers, and 290,000 tons of kraft pulp.

We sell and market our products to approximately 300 customers which comprise approximately 1,700 end-user accounts. We have long-standing relationships with many leading magazine and catalog publishers, commercial printers, specialty retail merchandisers, and paper merchants. Our relationships with our ten largest coated paper customers average more than 20

years. We reach our end-users through several distribution channels, including direct sales, commercial printers, paper merchants, and brokers.

Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Proceedings and Plan of Reorganization

Filing of Voluntary Petitions in Chapter 11

On January 26, 2016, or the “Petition Date,” the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code, or the “Bankruptcy Code,” in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, the “Bankruptcy Court,” and the filings therein, the “Chapter 11 Filings.” The chapter 11 cases, or the “Chapter 11 Cases,” have been consolidated for procedural purposes only and are being administered jointly under the caption “In re: Verso Corporation, et al.,” Case No. 16-10163. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, we will continue to manage our properties and operate our businesses as a “debtor in possession” under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and orders of the Bankruptcy Court. We expect to continue to operate in the normal course of business during the reorganization process. Unless otherwise authorized by the Bankruptcy Court, the Bankruptcy Code prohibits us from making payments to creditors on account of pre-petition claims. Vendors are, however, being paid for goods furnished and services provided after the Petition Date in the ordinary course of business. However, operating in bankruptcy imposes significant risks on our businesses and we cannot predict whether or when we will successfully emerge from bankruptcy.

DIP Financing

In connection with the Chapter 11 Filings, Verso Finance, Verso Holdings and certain of its subsidiaries entered into an asset-based credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$100 million, or the “Verso DIP Facility,” and NewPage Corp and certain of its subsidiaries entered into an asset-based credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$325 million, or the “NewPage DIP ABL Facility,” and a term loan credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$350 million, or the “NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility,” together with the NewPage DIP ABL Facility, the “NewPage DIP Facilities,” and, the NewPage DIP Facilities together with the Verso DIP Facility, the “DIP Facilities.” The NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility consists of \$175 million of new money term loans and \$175 million of “rolled up” loans refinancing loans outstanding under the existing term loan facility of NewPage Corp. outstanding on the Petition Date (i.e., such loans were deemed to become loans under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility). On January 28, 2016, up to \$550 million in loans under the DIP Facilities became available for borrowing following the entry of an order by the Bankruptcy Court approving the DIP Facilities on an interim basis on January 27, 2016. The Bankruptcy Court entered orders approving the DIP Facilities on a final basis on March 2, 2016. On March 7, 2016, NewPage Corp. borrowed the remaining approximately \$55.4 million of new money loans available under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility, which it intends to use for general corporate purposes. Further information on the terms of the DIP Facilities is included below under “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—DIP Facilities.”

Proposed Plan of Reorganization

On March 26, 2016, we filed a proposed joint plan of reorganization with the Bankruptcy Court together with a disclosure statement in respect of the Plan, or the “Disclosure Statement.” A plan of reorganization sets forth, among other things, the treatment of claims against and equity interests in the debtor. The consummation of a plan of reorganization is the principal objective of a Chapter 11 reorganization case.

The terms of the Plan, which are subject in all respects to the specific terms and definitions set forth in the Plan, include, but are not limited to, the elimination of much of our outstanding indebtedness and the issuance of 100% of Verso’s equity (subject to dilution by warrants issued to certain creditors described below, or the “Plan Warrants” and equity issued to our employees under a potential management incentive plan) to our existing creditors in exchange for elimination of such indebtedness. Specifically, holders of first-lien secured debt issued by Verso Holdings, including lenders under Verso Holdings’ revolving credit facilities and the holders of Verso Holdings’ 11.75% senior secured notes due 2019 (issued in 2012 and 2015), will receive 50% of Verso’s equity (plus the Plan Warrants), and lenders under the NewPage Corp. senior secured term loan and the \$175.0 million of “rolled up” term loans under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility, collectively, will receive 47% of Verso’s equity. Holders of Verso Holdings’ other funded debt will receive the remaining 3% of Verso’s equity. The Plan also provides for the payment in full in cash of claims

under the Verso DIP Facility, claims under the NewPage DIP ABL Facility, claims relating to the \$175.0 million of new money term loans under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility, and claims entitled to administrative expense or priority status under the Bankruptcy Code (in each case, except to the extent that holders of such claims agree to less favorable treatment). Holders of general unsecured claims will receive their pro rata of \$3 million in cash

(except with respect to general unsecured claims against entities that have only de minimis assets, which will receive no distributions under the Plan). In addition, the shared services agreement between Verso, NewPage and NewPage Corp. will be terminated under the terms of the Plan.

The Plan also provides that we will enter into an asset-based loan facility, or the “Exit ABL Facility,” and a term loan facility, or the “Exit Term Loan Facility,” upon our emergence from the Chapter 11 process. We refer to the two facilities collectively as the “Exit Credit Agreements.” The Exit Credit Agreements will provide exit financing in an amount sufficient to repay in full all amounts outstanding under the Verso DIP Facility, NewPage DIP ABL Facility, and the \$175 million of new money term loans under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility. We have not negotiated the Exit Credit Agreements yet and have not yet received any binding commitments with respect to the financing contemplated thereby. Therefore, we can provide no assurances that we will be able to enter into the Exit Credit Agreements.

Restructuring Support Agreement

In connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, on January 26, 2016, we entered into a Restructuring Support Agreement, or “RSA,” with creditors holding at least a majority in principal amount of substantially all tranches of our funded debt. The RSA contemplates the implementation of a restructuring through a conversion of approximately \$2.4 billion of our outstanding debt into equity (as provided in the Plan).

The RSA contains milestones for the progress of the Chapter 11 Cases which include the dates by which we are required to, among other things: (a) file certain motions and documents, including a plan reflecting the terms of the restructuring contemplated by the RSA and related disclosure statement, with the Bankruptcy Court; (b) obtain certain orders of the Bankruptcy Court; and (c) consummate our emergence from bankruptcy. Among other dates set forth in the RSA, the RSA contemplates that the Plan will be confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court by July 4, 2016 and that we will emerge from bankruptcy no later than 30 days after the Bankruptcy Court has entered the confirmation order. In accordance with the terms of the RSA, we initially filed the Plan on March 26, 2016. We believe the Plan is consistent with the terms and conditions of the RSA. There can be no assurance, however, that the Plan will be approved by all requisite holders of claims or interests or confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court or that all conditions precedent to the implementation of the Plan will be satisfied.

The parties to the RSA may terminate the agreement under certain limited circumstances. We may terminate the Restructuring Support Agreement due to:

- its respective board of directors, in good faith after consultation with outside financial advisors and outside legal counsel determining that proceeding with the Plan would be inconsistent with its fiduciary duties;
- the failure to achieve any of the milestones;
- a material breach of the RSA by the creditors party thereto; or
- certain actions by the Bankruptcy Court, including preventing the consummation of the Plan, dismissing the Chapter 11 Cases, and converting the Chapter 11 Cases into a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code.

The creditors party to the RSA have similar termination rights that may, as a general matter, be exercised by a supermajority of each of the creditors party to the RSA holding Verso Holdings’ debt and the creditors party to the RSA holding NewPage debt.

Additionally, the creditors party to the RSA may terminate the RSA upon any acceleration, termination, maturity or refinancing of the Verso DIP Facility or either of the NewPage DIP Facilities or if certain uses of proceeds as required under the Bankruptcy Court orders regarding such facilities are not completed within specified times.

The implementation of the terms of the RSA through the Plan is subject to approval by the Bankruptcy Court and entry into the Exit Credit Agreements, among other conditions. Accordingly, no assurance can be given that the transactions described therein will be consummated.

Industry

Based on 2015 sales, the size of the global coated paper industry is estimated to be approximately \$36 billion, or 41 million tons of coated paper shipments, including approximately \$6 billion, or 7 million tons of coated paper

shipments, in North America. Coated paper is used primarily in media and marketing applications, including catalogs, magazines, and commercial printing applications, which include high-end advertising brochures, annual reports, and direct mail advertising. Demand is generally driven by North American advertising and print media trends, which in turn have historically been correlated with growth in Gross Domestic Product, or “GDP.”

In North America, coated papers are classified by brightness and fall into five grades, labeled No. 1 to No. 5, with No. 1 having the highest brightness level and No. 5 having the lowest brightness level. Papers graded No. 1, No. 2, and No. 3 are typically coated freesheet grades. No. 4 and No. 5 papers are predominantly groundwood containing grades. Coated groundwood grades are the preferred grades for catalogs and magazines, while coated freesheet is more commonly used in commercial print applications.

Products

We manufacture two main grades of paper: coated groundwood paper and coated freesheet paper. These paper grades are differentiated primarily by their respective brightness, weight, print quality, bulk, opacity, and strength. We also produce and sell Northern Bleached Hardwood Kraft, or “NBHK,” pulp. See notes to the consolidated financial statements for further information on our segments.

As a result of our scale and technological capabilities, we are able to offer our customers a broad product offering, from ultra-lightweight coated groundwood to heavyweight coated freesheet. Our customers have the opportunity to sole-source all of their coated paper needs from us while optimizing their choice of paper products. As our customers’ preferences change, they can switch paper grades to meet their desired balance between cost and performance attributes while maintaining their relationship with us.

Coated groundwood paper. Coated groundwood paper includes a fiber component produced through a mechanical pulping process. The use of such fiber results in a bulkier and more opaque paper that is better suited for applications where lighter weights and/or higher stiffness are required, such as catalogs and magazines. In addition to mechanical pulp, coated groundwood paper typically includes a kraft pulp component to improve brightness and print quality.

Coated freesheet paper. Coated freesheet paper is made from bleached kraft pulp, which is produced using a chemical process to break apart wood fibers and dissolve impurities such as lignin. The use of kraft pulp results in a bright, heavier-weight paper with excellent print qualities, which is well-suited for high-end commercial applications and premium magazines. Coated freesheet contains primarily kraft pulp, with less than 10% mechanical pulp in its composition.

Pulp. We produce and sell NBHK pulp. NBHK pulp is produced through the chemical kraft process using hardwoods. Hardwoods typically have shorter length fibers than softwoods and are used to smooth paper. Kraft describes pulp produced using a chemical process, whereby wood chips are combined with chemicals and steam to separate the wood fibers. The fibers are then washed and pressure screened to remove the chemicals and lignin which originally held the fibers together. Finally, the pulp is bleached to the necessary whiteness and brightness. Kraft pulp is used in applications where brighter and whiter paper is required.

Other products. We also offer recycled paper to help meet specific customer requirements. Additionally, we offer customized product solutions for strategic accounts by producing paper grades with customer-specified weight, brightness and pulp mix characteristics, providing customers with cost benefits and/or brand differentiation. Our product offerings also include ultra-lightweight uncoated printing papers and ultra-lightweight coated and uncoated flexible packaging papers.

Manufacturing

We own fourteen paper machines at eight mills strategically located in Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. We believe our coated paper mills are among the most efficient and lowest cost coated paper mills based on the cash cost of delivery to Chicago, Illinois. We attribute our manufacturing efficiency, in part, to the significant historical investments made in our mills. Our mills have a combined annual production capacity of

3,440,000 tons of paper, including coated papers and specialty papers, and 290,000 tons of kraft pulp. Our facilities are strategically located within close proximity to major publication printing customers, which affords us the ability to more quickly and cost-effectively deliver our products. The facilities also benefit from convenient and cost-effective access to northern softwood fiber, which is required for the production of lightweight and ultra-lightweight coated papers.

The following table sets forth the locations of our mills, the products they produce and other key operating information:

Mill/Location	Product/Paper Grades	Paper Machines	Production Capacity (in tons)
Duluth, Minnesota	Supercalendered paper	1	270,000
Escanaba, Michigan	Coated, specialty and uncoated paper	3	760,000
Jay (Androscoggin), Maine	Coated, specialty and uncoated paper	3	450,000
Luke, Maryland	Coated paper	2	500,000
Quinnesec, Michigan	Coated Freesheet	1	425,000
	Pulp		290,000
Stevens Point, Wisconsin	Specialty paper	2	190,000
Wickliffe, Kentucky (Idled)	Coated, specialty and uncoated paper	1 (Idled)	285,000
Wisconsin Rapids, Wisconsin	Coated paper	2	560,000

The basic raw material of the papermaking process is wood pulp. The first stage of papermaking involves converting wood logs to pulp through either a mechanical or chemical process. Before logs can be processed into pulp, they are passed through a debarking drum to remove the bark. Once separated, the bark is burned as fuel in bark boilers. The wood logs are composed of small cellulose fibers which are bound together by a glue-like substance called lignin. The cellulose fibers are then separated from each other through either a mechanical or a kraft pulping process.

After the pulping phase, the fiber furnish is run onto the forming fabric of the paper machine. On the forming fabric, the fibers become interlaced, forming a mat of paper, and much of the water is extracted. The paper web then goes through a pressing and drying process to extract the remaining water. After drying, the web receives a uniform layer of coating that makes the paper smooth and provides uniform ink absorption. After coating, the paper goes through a calendering process that provides a smooth finish by ironing the sheet between multiple soft nips that consist of alternating hard (steel) and soft (cotton or synthetic) rolls. At the dry end, the paper is wound onto spools to form a machine reel and then rewound and split into smaller rolls on a winder. Finally, the paper is wrapped, labeled, and shipped.

Catalog and magazine publishers with longer print runs tend to purchase paper in roll form for use in web printing, a process of printing from a reel of paper as opposed to individual sheets of paper, in order to minimize costs. In contrast, commercial printers typically buy large quantities of sheeted paper in order to satisfy the short-run printing requirements of their customers. For this reason, we have pursued a deliberate strategy of configuring our manufacturing facilities to produce all web-based papers which are shipped in roll form and have developed relationships with third-party converters to address any sheeted paper needs of our key customers.

We utilize a manufacturing excellence program, called R-GAP, to take advantage of the financial opportunities that exist between the current or historical performance of our mills and the best performance possible given usual and normal constraints (i.e., configuration, geographical, and capital constraints). Our continuous improvement process is designed to lower our cost position and enhance operating efficiency through reduced consumption of energy and material inputs, reduced spending on indirect costs, and improved productivity. The program utilizes benchmarking data to identify improvement initiatives and establish performance targets. Detailed action plans are used to monitor the execution of these initiatives and calculate the amount saved. We also use multi-variable testing, lean manufacturing, center of excellence teams, source-of-loss initiatives, and best practice sharing to constantly improve our manufacturing processes and products. One of our facilities, the Quinnesec mill, has achieved re-certification as an Occupational Safety and Health Administration Star facility for the fifth time under the Michigan Voluntary Protection Program, or "MVPP." The MVPP Star award is the state's highest recognition for workplace safety programs and performance.

Raw Materials and Suppliers

Our key cost inputs in the papermaking process are wood fiber, chemicals, and energy.

Wood Fiber. We source our wood fiber from a broad group of timberland and sawmill owners located in our regions. Our costs to purchase wood are affected directly by market costs of wood in our regional markets and indirectly by the effect of higher fuel costs on logging and transportation of timber to our facilities. While we have in place fiber supply agreements that ensure a substantial portion of our wood requirements, purchases under these agreements are typically at market rates.

Chemicals. Chemicals utilized in the manufacturing of coated papers include latex, clay, starch, calcium carbonate, caustic soda, sodium chlorate, and titanium dioxide. We purchase these chemicals from a variety of suppliers and are not dependent on

7

any single supplier to satisfy our chemical needs. We expect imbalances in supply and demand to periodically create volatility in prices for certain chemicals.

Energy. In 2015, we produced approximately 53% of our energy needs for our paper mills from sources such as waste wood, waste water, hydroelectric facilities, liquid biomass from our pulping process, and internal energy cogeneration facilities. Our external energy purchases vary across each of our mills and include fuel oil, natural gas, coal, and electricity. Our overall energy expenditures are mitigated by our internal energy production capacity and ability to switch between certain energy sources. We also utilize derivative contracts as part of our risk management strategy to manage our exposure to market fluctuations in energy prices.

Sales, Marketing, and Distribution

We reach our end-users through several sales channels. These include selling directly to end-users, through brokers, merchants, and printers. We sell and market products to approximately 300 customers, which comprise approximately 1,700 end-user accounts.

Sales to End-Users. In 2015, we sold approximately 33% of our paper products directly to end-users, most of which are catalog and magazine publishers. These customers are typically large, sophisticated buyers who have the scale, resources, and expertise to procure paper directly from manufacturers. Customers for our pulp products are mostly other paper manufacturers.

Sales to Brokers and Merchants. Our largest indirect paper sales by volume are through brokers and merchants who resell the paper to end-users. In 2015, our total sales to brokers and merchants represented approximately 50% of our total sales. Brokers typically act as an intermediary between paper manufacturers and smaller end-users who do not have the scale or resources to cost effectively procure paper directly from manufacturers. The majority of the paper sold to brokers is resold to catalog publishers. We work closely with brokers to achieve share targets in the catalog, magazine, and insert end-user segments through collaborative selling.

Merchants are similar to brokers in that they act as an intermediary between the manufacturer and the end-user. However, merchants generally take physical delivery of the product and keep inventory on hand. Merchants tend to deal with smaller end-users that lack the scale to warrant direct delivery from the manufacturer. Coated freesheet comprises the majority of our sales to merchants. In most cases, because they are relatively small, the ultimate end-users of paper sold through merchants are generally regional or local catalog or magazine publishers.

Sales to Printers. In 2015, our total sales to printers represented approximately 17% of our total sales. The majority of our sales were to the two largest publication printers in the United States. Printers also effectively act as an intermediary between manufacturers and end-users in that they directly source paper for printing/converting and then resell it to their customers as a finished product.

The majority of our products are delivered directly from our manufacturing facilities to the printer, regardless of the sales channel. In order to serve the grade No. 3 coated freesheet market, we maintain a network of distribution centers located in the West, Midwest, South, and Northeast close to our customer base to provide quick delivery. The majority of our pulp products are delivered to our customers' paper mills.

Our sales force is organized around our sales channels. We maintain an active dialogue with all of our major customers and track product performance and demand across grades. We have a team of sales representatives and marketing professionals organized into three major sales groups that correspond with our sales channels: direct sales support; support to brokers and merchants; and printer support.

Many of our customers provide us with forecasts of their paper needs, which allows us to plan our production runs in advance, optimizing production over our integrated mill system and thereby reducing costs and increasing overall efficiency. Generally, our sales agreements do not extend beyond the calendar year. Typically, our sales agreements provide for semiannual price adjustments based on market price movements.

Customers

We participate in the printing papers, specialty papers, and pulp markets and have developed long-standing relationships with many premier customers in these areas. Several of these relationships span more than 20 years. Our largest customer, Veritiv Corporation, accounted for approximately 20% of our net sales in 2015. Our key customers in the printing papers arena include Condé Nast Publications, National Geographic Society, Restoration Hardware, Inc., Quad/Graphics, Inc., RR Donnelley & Sons Company, and Clifford Paper, Inc. Our key customers in the specialty papers market include Avery Dennison, Fort Dearborn, and American Packaging.

Research and Development

The primary function of our research and development efforts is to work with customers in developing and modifying products to accommodate their evolving needs and to identify cost-saving opportunities within our operations. Over the past several years examples of our research and development efforts include innovative and performance driven products for the flexible packaging, label, and specialty printing markets.

Intellectual Property

We have several patents and patent applications in the United States and various foreign countries. These patents and patent applications generally relate to various paper manufacturing methods and equipment which may become commercially viable in the future. We also have trademarks for our name, Verso®, as well as for our products such as Influence®, Velocity®, Liberty®, and Advocate®. In addition to the intellectual property that we own, we license a significant portion of the intellectual property used in our business on a perpetual, royalty-free, non-exclusive basis from International Paper.

Competition

Our business is highly competitive. A significant number of North American competitors produce coated papers, and several overseas manufacturers, principally from Europe, export to North America. We compete based on a number of factors, including:

- price;
- product availability;
- product quality;
- breadth of product offerings;
- timeliness of product delivery; and
- customer service.

Foreign competition in North America is also affected by the exchange rate of the U.S. dollar relative to other currencies, especially the euro, market prices in North America and other markets, worldwide supply and demand, and the cost of ocean-going freight.

While our product offering is broad in terms of grades produced (from ultra-lightweight coated groundwood offerings to heavier-weight coated freesheet products), we are focused on producing coated groundwood and coated freesheet in roll form. This strategy is driven by our alignment with catalog and magazine end-users which tend to purchase paper in roll form for use in long runs of web printing in order to minimize costs. As of December 31, 2015, our principal competitors include Resolute Forest Products, UPM-Kymmene Corporation, and Sappi Limited, all of which have North American operations. UPM and Sappi are headquartered overseas and also have overseas manufacturing facilities.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had approximately 5,200 employees. Approximately 68% of our hourly workforce is represented by 16 local branches of the following unions: the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Services Workers International Union; the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; the Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers; the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers; the Office & Professional Employees' International Union; and the United Association of Journeyman and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipefitting Industry. All represented employees are covered by the Master Labor Agreement 2012–2016, dated as of December 21, 2012, covering wages and benefits; certain represented mills also have local agreements covering general work rules. We have not experienced any work stoppages during the past several years. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

Environmental and Other Governmental Regulations

We are subject to a wide range of federal, state, regional, and local general and industry specific environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including the Federal Water Pollution Control Act of 1972, or “Clean Water Act,” the federal Clean Air Act, the federal Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980, or “CERCLA,” the federal Occupational Safety and Health Act, and analogous state and local laws. Our operations also are subject to two regional regimes designed to address climate change, the Regional Greenhouse Gas Initiative in the northeastern United States and the Midwestern Greenhouse Gas Reduction Accord, and in the future we may be subject to additional federal, state, regional, local, or supranational legislation related to climate change and greenhouse gas controls. Among our activities subject to environmental regulation are the emissions of air pollutants, discharges of wastewater and stormwater, operation of dams, storage, treatment, and disposal of materials and waste, and remediation of soil, surface water and ground water contamination. Many environmental laws and regulations provide for substantial fines or penalties and criminal sanctions for any failure to comply. In addition, failure to comply with these laws and regulations could result in the interruption of our operations and, in some cases, facility shutdowns.

Certain of these environmental laws, such as CERCLA and analogous state laws, provide for strict liability, and under certain circumstances joint and several liability, for investigation and remediation of the release of hazardous substances into the environment, including soil and groundwater. These laws may apply to properties presently or formerly owned or operated by or presently or formerly under the charge, management or control of an entity or its predecessors, as well as to conditions at properties at which wastes attributable to an entity or its predecessors were disposed. Under these environmental laws, a current or previous owner or operator of real property or a party formerly or previously in charge, management or control of real property, and parties that generate or transport hazardous substances that are disposed of at real property, may be held liable for the cost to investigate or clean up that real property and for related damages to natural resources. We handle and dispose of wastes arising from our mill operations, including disposal at on-site landfills. We are required to maintain financial assurance (in the form of letters of credit and other similar instruments) for the expected cost of landfill closure and post-closure care. We may be subject to liability, including liability for investigation and cleanup costs, if contamination is discovered at one of our current or former paper mills or another location where we have disposed of, or arranged for the disposal of, wastes. We could be subject to potentially significant fines, penalties, criminal sanctions, plant shutdowns, or interruptions in operations for any failure to comply with applicable environmental, health and safety laws, regulations, and permits.

Compliance with environmental laws and regulations is a significant factor in our business. We have made, and will continue to make, significant expenditures to comply with these requirements and our permits. We incurred environmental capital expenditures of \$3 million in 2015, zero in 2014, and \$1 million in 2013, and we anticipate that environmental compliance will continue to require increased capital expenditures and operating expenses over time as environmental laws or regulations, or interpretations thereof, change or the nature of our operations require us to make significant additional capital expenditures.

Permits are required for the operation of our mills and related facilities. The permits are subject to renewal, modification, and revocation. We and others have the right to challenge our permit conditions through administrative and legal appeals and review processes. Governmental authorities have the power to enforce compliance with the permits, and violators are subject to civil and criminal penalties, including fines, injunctions or both. Other parties also may have the right to pursue legal actions to enforce compliance with the permits.

Available Information

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Our website is located at www.versoco.com. We make available free of charge through this website our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission, or “SEC,” pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business is subject to various risks. Set forth below are certain of the more important risks that we face and that could cause our actual results to differ materially from our historical results. Our business also could be affected by other risks that are presently unknown to us or that we currently believe are immaterial to our business.

Risks Relating to our Chapter 11 Filings

Our voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 and our ability to successfully emerge as a stronger, leaner company is subject to a number of risks and uncertainties.

On January 26, 2016, Verso and substantially all of its direct and indirect subsidiaries, collectively referred to as the “Debtors,” filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court. The Chapter 11 proceedings are being jointly administered under the caption *In re Verso Corporation, et al.*, Case No. 16-10163. We will continue to operate our business as “debtors-in-possession” under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and orders of the Bankruptcy Court.

On March 26, 2016, we filed the Plan with the Bankruptcy Court. In addition to approval by the Bankruptcy Court, the Plan is subject to certain conditions that must be satisfied prior to its implementation. Although we believe that the Plan will satisfy all requirements for confirmation under the Bankruptcy Code, there can be no assurance that the Plan will be approved by the Bankruptcy Court or that the conditions precedent to its implementation will be satisfied or when, if ever, such confirmation and satisfaction will occur. Failure to obtain such confirmation or to satisfy such conditions to implementation may result in lengthier bankruptcy proceedings as we attempt to negotiate and implement an alternative plan of reorganization.

The timing of our emergence from bankruptcy and the terms of our emergence may affect our relationship with our creditors, customers, suppliers and employees and have a significant impact on our businesses, financial condition and results of operations. Our ability to continue to operate in bankruptcy and to emerge from bankruptcy will depend on various factors, including:

- our ability to comply with and operate under the terms of any cash management orders entered by the Bankruptcy Court from time to time, which subject us to restrictions on transferring cash and other assets;
- our ability to maintain adequate cash on hand and to generate cash from operations throughout the Chapter 11 proceedings;
- our ability to fund emergence and to fund our operations after emergence from the bankruptcy process on reasonable terms;
- our ability to retain key employees during the pendency of our bankruptcy proceedings;
- our ability to maintain good customer and supplier relationships in light of developments in our bankruptcy proceedings and the terms of our emergence and our ability to manage contracts that are critical to our operations; and
- risks associated with third party motions in Chapter 11 proceedings, which may interfere with the Plan.

Our businesses, financial condition and results of operations could be negatively impacted by the loss of customers and suppliers.

Difficulties of providing services while attempting to reorganize our businesses in bankruptcy may make it more difficult to maintain and promote our services and attract customers to our services and to keep our suppliers. As a result of the Chapter 11 proceedings, we may experience collection issues with otherwise valid receivables of certain customers. Adverse resolution of these disagreements may impact our revenues and other costs of services, both prospectively and retroactively. It is too soon for us to predict with any certainty the ultimate impact of these disagreements. Our suppliers, vendors and services providers may require stricter terms and conditions. The loss of any of our customers or suppliers during the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases could have an adverse effect on our businesses, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, we may experience other adverse effects, including, without limitation, a loss of confidence by current and prospective suppliers. Any failure to timely obtain suitable supplies at competitive prices could materially adversely affect our businesses, financial condition and results

of operations.

11

As a result of the Chapter 11 proceedings, we may not be able to retain key personnel or recruit additional qualified personnel, which could materially affect our business and require the incurrence of substantial additional costs to recruit replacement personnel.

We are highly dependent on the continuing efforts of our senior management team and other key personnel. As a result of the Chapter 11 proceedings, current and prospective employees could experience uncertainty about their future roles. This uncertainty may adversely affect our ability to attract and retain key management, sales, marketing and technical personnel.

Any failure to attract and retain key personnel could have a material adverse effect on our business and require the incurrence of substantial additional costs to recruit replacement personnel.

The Chapter 11 proceedings limit the flexibility of our management team in running our business.

While we operate our businesses as debtor-in-possession under supervision by the Bankruptcy Court, we are required to obtain the approval of the Bankruptcy Court, and in some cases certain lenders, prior to engaging in activities or transactions outside the ordinary course of business. Bankruptcy Court approval of non-ordinary course activities entails preparation and filing of appropriate motions with the Bankruptcy Court, negotiation with the various creditors' committees and other parties-in-interest and one or more hearings. The creditors' committees and other parties-in-interest may be heard at any Bankruptcy Court hearing and may raise objections with respect to these motions. This process delays major transactions and limits our ability to respond quickly to opportunities and events in the marketplace. Furthermore, in the event the Bankruptcy Court does not approve a proposed activity or transaction, we would be prevented from engaging in activities and transactions that we believe are beneficial to us. Failure to obtain confirmation of the Plan may result in liquidation or an alternative plan on less favorable terms to holders of claims against us.

If the Plan is not confirmed or consummated, there can be no assurance that the Chapter 11 Cases will continue rather than be converted to chapter 7 liquidation cases, or that any alternative plan of reorganization would be on terms as favorable to holders of claims against us as the terms of the Plan. Certain parties in interest may file objections to the Plan in an effort to persuade the Bankruptcy Court that the Plan has not satisfied the confirmation requirements under sections 1129(a) and (b) of the Bankruptcy Code. Even if (a) no objections are filed, (b) all impaired classes of claims accept or are deemed to have accepted the Plan or (c) with respect to any class that rejects or is deemed to reject the Plan, the requirements for "cramdown" under section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code are met, the Bankruptcy Court, which can exercise substantial discretion, may determine that the Plan does not meet the requirements for confirmation under sections 1129(a) and (b) of the Bankruptcy Code. Section 1129(a) of the Bankruptcy Code requires, among other things, (a) a demonstration that the confirmation of the Plan will not be followed by liquidation or need for further financial reorganization, except as contemplated by the Plan, and (b) that the value of distributions to parties entitled to vote on the Plan who vote to reject the Plan not be less than the value of distributions such creditors would receive if our assets were liquidated under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code. Although we believe that the Plan will meet the requirements for confirmation, there can be no assurance that the Bankruptcy Court will reach the same conclusion. If the Bankruptcy Court determines that the Plan violates section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code in any manner, including, among other things, the cramdown requirements under section 1129(b) of the Bankruptcy Code, we have reserved the right to amend the Plan in such a manner so as to satisfy the requirements of section 1129 of the Bankruptcy Code.

If a liquidation or protracted reorganization were to occur, the distributions to holders of claims may be materially reduced. In a liquidation under chapter 7, holders of claims could receive substantially less because of the inability in a liquidation to realize the greater going-concern value of our assets. Administrative expenses of a liquidation or a protracted reorganization could also cause a substantial erosion of the value of our business. Substantial additional claims against us may also arise by reason of a protracted reorganization or liquidation, including from the rejection or other breach of previously assumed unexpired leases and other executory contracts, thereby further reducing distributions to holders of claims against us.

In addition, even if our cases are not converted to cases under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, if we are unable to timely confirm and consummate the Plan, we may be forced to operate in bankruptcy for an extended period of time while we try to formulate a different plan of reorganization. Such a scenario could jeopardize our relationships with

key vendors and suppliers, customers and employees, which, in turn, would have an adverse effect on our operations. A material deterioration in our operations likely would diminish recoveries under any subsequent chapter 11 plan.

12

We may be unable to maintain compliance with the financial maintenance or other covenants in our DIP Facilities, which could result in an event of default under the credit agreements governing the DIP Facilities that, if not cured or waived, would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The DIP Facilities provide for specific milestones that we must achieve by specific target dates and financial maintenance and restrictive covenants.

Each of the DIP Facilities contains certain milestone requirements relating to confirmation of an acceptable plan of reorganization. There can be no assurance that we will be able to satisfy such milestones. Should we fail to satisfy the milestones in any of the DIP Facilities, such failure could have significant adverse consequences for us. Specifically, in the event that we fail to satisfy the near-term milestones in the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility, which require, among other things, that the Plan be confirmed no later than July 4, 2016 and that the effective date of the Plan occur no later than 30 days thereafter, the lenders under that facility would have the ability to direct the NewPage Debtors (as defined in the RSA) to commence a sale process with respect to one, or substantially all, of their mills. In the event that such lenders were to exercise such sale direction rights, the informal committee of holders of Verso Holdings' first lien debt would have the right (in consultation with the holders of other claims against Verso Holdings) to direct a sale of substantially all of the assets of the Verso Debtors (as defined in the RSA). If the lenders under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility and the informal committee of holders of Verso Holdings' first lien debt were to exercise their sale direction rights, it is likely that the Plan would not be confirmed, and recoveries ultimately realized by creditors of the Verso Debtors and NewPage Debtors from such sale processes could be materially less than the recoveries provided in the Plan.

We are also required to comply with a minimum EBITDA covenant tested monthly, beginning March 31, 2016, and, for the Verso DIP Facility, a minimum availability covenant tested at the close of each business day of \$5 million until July 28, 2016, \$7.5 million from July 28, 2016 to January 28, 2017 and \$10 million thereafter, and for the NewPage DIP ABL Facility, a minimum availability covenant at any time of \$15 million until January 28, 2017, increasing to \$20 million thereafter. If we breach any such covenants and such breach is not cured or waived, the lenders under such credit facilities:

- would not be required to lend any additional amounts to us;

- could elect to declare all borrowings outstanding under such DIP Facility, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, due and payable and could demand cash collateral for all letters of credit issued thereunder; and/or

- could apply all of our available cash that is subject to the cash sweep mechanism of such DIP Facility to repay these borrowings;

any or all of which would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Pursuit of litigation by the parties in interest, including litigation over our Shared Services Agreement, could disrupt the confirmation of the Plan and could have material adverse effects on our businesses and financial condition.

Certain of our creditors have the right to send us a notice requesting that Verso and NewPage commence an adversary proceeding or contested matter before the Bankruptcy Court to obtain a judgment concerning the amount due, under applicable law, from the NewPage Debtors to the Verso Debtors (or vice versa) on account of the services provided under the Shared Services Agreement since the Petition Date. In addition, beginning on July 24, 2016, either Verso or NewPage may commence litigation regarding the assumption or rejection of the Shared Services Agreement, including but not limited to moving to assume or reject the Shared Services Agreement and/or moving to compel the other party to assume or reject the Shared Services Agreement by a date certain. Such litigation could be costly and impede the Debtors' progress toward confirmation of the Plan (which, in turn, could cause the Debtors to fail to satisfy the applicable milestones under the DIP Credit Agreements or the Restructuring Support Agreement).

In addition, the allocation of equity contained in the Plan is premised on certain assumptions regarding the Verso Debtors' and NewPage Debtors' respective entitlements under the Shared Services Agreement. There can be no assurance that any determination by the Bankruptcy Court as to the Verso Debtors' and NewPage Debtors' entitlements under the Shared Services Agreement would be consistent with those assumptions, and to the extent such determination were not consistent with such assumptions, the Debtors' ability to obtain confirmation of the Plan would be jeopardized.

There can also be no assurance that any parties in interest will not pursue any other litigation strategies to enforce claims against the Debtors. Litigation is by its nature uncertain and there can be no assurance of the ultimate resolution of such claims. Any litigation may be expensive, lengthy, and disruptive to our normal business operations and the Plan confirmation process, and a resolution of any such strategies that is unfavorable to us could have a material adverse effect on the Plan confirmation process or our respective businesses, results of operations, financial condition, liquidity or cash flow.

Termination of our exclusive right to file a chapter 11 plan and the exclusive right to solicit acceptances could result in competing plans of reorganization, which could have less favorable terms or result in significant litigation and expenses.

We currently have the exclusive right to file a chapter 11 plan through and including May 25, 2016, and the exclusive right to solicit acceptances of any such plan through July 25, 2016. Such deadlines may be extended from time to time “for cause” (as permitted by section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code) with the approval of the Bankruptcy Court. However, it is also possible that (a) parties in interest could seek to shorten or terminate such exclusive plan filing and solicitation periods “for cause” (as permitted by section 1121(d) of the Bankruptcy Code)) or (b) that such periods could expire without extension.

If our exclusive plan filing and solicitation periods expire or are terminated, other parties in interest will be permitted to file alternative plans of reorganization. There can be no assurances that recoveries under any such alternative plan would be as favorable to creditors as the Plan. In addition, the proposal of competing plans of reorganization may entail significant litigation and significantly increase the expenses of administration of the Debtors’ cases, which could deplete creditor recoveries under any plan.

If conditions to the Plan are not satisfied or waived, the Plan may fail to be confirmed.

The Plan provides for certain conditions that must be satisfied (or waived) prior to its effective date, including the condition that we will have access to funding under certain credit facilities upon exit from the Chapter 11 process, and that we will have received all authorizations, consents, and regulatory approvals necessary to implement the Plan. Certain of the conditions are outside of our control.

In the event that such conditions are not satisfied (or waived) prior to the effective date of the Plan, there can be no assurance that the Chapter 11 Cases would not be converted to chapter 7 liquidation cases or that any new chapter 11 plan would be as favorable to holders of claims against the Debtors as the current Plan.

If the RSA is terminated, our ability to confirm and consummate the Plan could be materially and adversely affected. The RSA contains a number of termination events, upon the occurrence of which certain parties to the RSA may terminate the agreement. If the RSA is terminated, each of the parties thereto will be released from their obligations in accordance with the terms of the RSA. Such termination may result in the loss of support for the Plan by the parties to the RSA, which could adversely affect our ability to confirm and consummate the Plan. If the Plan is not consummated, there can be no assurance that the Chapter 11 Cases would not be converted to chapter 7 liquidation cases or that any new Plan would be as favorable to holders of claims against the Debtors as the current Plan.

As noted above, under certain scenarios, the Chapter 11 Cases could be converted to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, which could result in liquidation of our assets.

If no plan can be confirmed, or if the Bankruptcy Court otherwise finds that it would be in the best interest of our creditors, any of the Chapter 11 Cases may be converted to a case under chapter 7 of the Bankruptcy Code, pursuant to which a trustee would be appointed or elected to liquidate assets for distribution in accordance with the priorities established by the Bankruptcy Code. A liquidation under chapter 7 could result in no distributions being made to unsecured creditors and smaller distributions being made to our secured lenders than those provided for in the Plan because of (a) the likelihood that the assets would have to be sold or otherwise disposed of in a disorderly fashion over a short period of time, rather than our businesses being reorganized as a going concern; (b) additional administrative expenses involved in the appointment of a trustee; and (c) additional expenses and claims, some of which would be entitled to priority, which would be generated during the liquidation, and from the rejection of leases and other executory contracts in connection with a cessation of our operations.

Trading in our securities during the Chapter 11 proceedings is highly speculative and poses substantial risks. It is probable that our common stock will be canceled and that holders of common stock will not receive any distribution with respect to, or be able to recover any portion of, their investments.

At this time it is not possible to predict the ultimate effect of the Chapter 11 reorganization on our business, various creditors and security holders, or when it may be possible to emerge from Chapter 11. We believe that under any reorganization plan our common stock will be substantially diluted or canceled in its entirety and the holders thereof will not be entitled to receive, and will not receive or retain, any property or interest in property on account of such equity interests. In the event of a cancellation of these equity interests, amounts invested by such holders in our

outstanding equity securities will not be recoverable. Consequently, our currently outstanding common stock would have no value. Trading prices for our common stock are very volatile and may bear little or no relationship to the actual recovery, if any, by the holders of such securities in the Chapter 11

proceedings. Accordingly, we urge that extreme caution be exercised with respect to existing and future investments in our equity securities and any of our other securities.

Adverse publicity in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases or otherwise could negatively affect our businesses.

Adverse publicity or news coverage relating to us, including, but not limited to, publicity or news coverage in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, may negatively impact our efforts to establish and promote name recognition and a positive image after emergence from the Chapter 11 Cases.

Historical financial information may not be comparable.

As a result of the consummation of the Plan and the transactions contemplated thereby, our financial condition and results of operations from and after the effective date of the Plan may not be comparable to the financial condition or results of operations reflected in our historical financial statements.

Risks Relating to our Business

We may not be able to match our prior level of performance following our restructuring contemplated by the Plan.

Following the restructuring contemplated by the Plan, we may be unable to match our prior performance or meet our target performance expectations. For example, some of our customers may decide to reduce their orders or terminate their relationships with us.

We may not realize the anticipated benefits of the NewPage acquisition and the continued integration of NewPage may require additional costs and divert the attention of our management from operations.

The rationale for the NewPage acquisition was, in large part, predicated on the ability to realize cost savings through the combination of the two companies. Achieving these cost savings is dependent upon a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. An inability to realize the full extent of, or any of, the anticipated benefits of the NewPage acquisition could have an adverse effect upon our revenues, expenses, operating results and financial condition.

Our continued integration of NewPage may be difficult, unpredictable, and subject to delay because of possible company culture conflicts and different opinions on technical decisions and product roadmaps. We must integrate or, in some cases, replace numerous systems, including those involving management information, purchasing, accounting and finance, sales, billing, employee benefits, payroll and regulatory compliance, many of which are dissimilar. Such difficulties associated with integration, among others, could have a material adverse effect on the combined company's business.

We have also incurred significant costs in connection with the integration of NewPage and expect to continue to incur significant costs with our ongoing integration efforts, including legal, accounting, financial advisory and other costs. The continued integration of Verso's and NewPage's operations, products and personnel may also place a significant burden on management and other internal resources. Matters related to the continued integration may require commitments of time and resources that could otherwise have been devoted to other opportunities that might have been beneficial to us. The diversion of management's attention and any difficulties encountered in the transition and integration process could harm our business, financial conditions and operating results.

The industry in which we operate is highly competitive.

The industry in which we operate is highly competitive. Competition is based largely on price. We compete with foreign producers, some of which are lower cost producers than we are or are subsidized by certain foreign governments. We also face competition from numerous North American coated paper manufacturers. Some of our competitors have advantages over us, including lower raw material and labor costs and are subject to fewer environmental and governmental regulations.

Furthermore, some of these competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do or may be better positioned than we are to compete for certain opportunities. There is no assurance that we will be able to continue to compete effectively in the markets we serve.

Competition could cause us to lower our prices or lose sales to competitors, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. In addition, the following factors will affect our ability to compete:

15

- product availability;
- the quality of our products;
- our breadth of product offerings;
- our ability to maintain plant efficiencies and to achieve high operating rates;
- manufacturing costs per ton;
- customer service and our ability to distribute our products on time; and
- the availability and/or cost of wood fiber, market pulp, chemicals, energy and other raw materials and labor.

We have limited ability to pass through increases in our costs to our customers. Increases in our costs or decreases in demand and prices for printing and writing paper could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Our earnings are sensitive to price changes in coated paper. Fluctuations in paper prices (and coated paper prices in particular) historically have had a direct effect on our net income (loss) and EBITDA for several reasons:

Market prices for paper products are a function of supply and demand, factors over which we have limited control. We therefore have limited ability to control the pricing of our products. Market prices of grade No. 3, 60 lb. basis weight paper, which is an industry benchmark for coated freesheet paper pricing, have fluctuated since 2000 from a high of \$1,100 per ton to a low of \$705 per ton. In addition, market prices of grade No. 5, 34 lb. basis weight paper, which is an industry benchmark for coated groundwood paper pricing, have fluctuated between a high of \$1,120 per ton to a low of \$795 per ton over the same period. Prices are expected to remain low in 2016. Because market conditions determine the price for our paper products, the price for our products could fall below our cash production costs.

Market prices for paper products typically are not directly affected by raw material costs or other costs of sales, and consequently we have limited ability to pass through increases in these raw materials and/or other sales costs to our customers absent increases in the market price. Thus, even though our costs may increase, we may not have the ability to increase the prices for our products, or the prices for our products may decline.

The manufacturing of coated paper is highly capital-intensive and a large portion of our operating costs are fixed. Additionally, paper machines are large, complex machines that operate more efficiently when operated continuously. Consequently, both we and our competitors typically continue to run our machines whenever marginal sales exceed the marginal costs, adversely impacting prices at times of lower demand.

Therefore, our ability to achieve acceptable margins is principally dependent on (a) our cost structure, (b) changes in the prices of raw materials, electricity, energy and fuel, which will represent a large component of our operating costs and will fluctuate based upon factors beyond our control and (c) general conditions in the paper market including the demand for paper products, the amount of foreign imports, the amount spent on advertising, the circulation of magazines and catalogs, the use of electronic readers and other devices, and postal rates. Any one or more of these economic conditions could affect our sales and operating costs and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

The paper industry has been facing a long-term structural decline and our profitability has been adversely impacted by such decline.

The coated paper industry faces a long-term, structural decline. From 2012 to 2015, demand for printing and writing paper in the United States fell by roughly 10%. U.S. demand for coated freesheet has declined 4% from 2012 to 2015. Similarly, U.S. demand for coated groundwood has declined 25% from 2012 to 2015. The demand for coated paper is expected to continue to steadily decline in the future, with market volumes in 2016 projected to be 4% below 2015 levels.

Fluctuations in supply and demand for our products could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations. The paper industry is a commodity market to a significant extent and is subject to cyclical market pressures. For example, from 2010 to 2014, prior to the acquisition of NewPage, we experienced a year-over-year average revenue decline of approximately 4.9% partly due to prolonged decline in demand for coated paper.

North American demand for coated paper products also tends to decline during a weak U.S. economy. Accordingly, general economic conditions and demand for magazines and catalogs may have a material adverse impact on the demand for our products, which may result in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Foreign overcapacity also could result in an increase in the supply of paper products available in the North American market. An increased supply of paper available in North America could put downward pressure on prices and/or cause us to lose sales to competitors, either of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Developments in alternative media adversely affect the demand for our products.

Trends in advertising, electronic data transmission and storage, and the internet have had and likely will continue to have adverse effects on traditional print media, including the use of and demand for our products and those of our customers. Our magazine and catalog publishing customers may increasingly use (both for content and advertising), and compete with businesses that use, other forms of media and advertising and electronic data transmission and storage, particularly the internet, instead of paper made by us. As the use of these alternative media grows, the demand for our paper products likely will decline.

Rising postal costs could weaken demand for our paper products.

A significant portion of paper is used in periodicals, magazines, catalogs, fliers and other promotional mailings. Many of these materials are distributed through the mail. Future increases in the cost of postage could reduce the frequency of mailings, reduce the number of pages in magazine and advertising materials, and/or cause advertisers, catalog and magazine publishers to use alternate methods to distribute their materials. Any of the foregoing could decrease the demand for our products, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We depend on a small number of customers for a significant portion of our business. Furthermore, we may have credit exposure to these customers through extension of trade credits.

Our largest customer, Veritiv Corporation accounted for approximately 20% of our net sales in 2015. In 2015, our ten largest customers (including Veritiv Corporation) accounted for approximately 57% of our net sales. The loss of, or reduction in orders from, any of these customers or other customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations, as could significant customer disputes regarding shipments, price, quality, or other matters.

Furthermore, we extend trade credit to certain of these customers to facilitate the purchase of our products and we rely on these customers' creditworthiness and ability to obtain credit from lenders. Accordingly, a bankruptcy or a significant deterioration in the financial condition of any of these significant customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations, due to a reduction in purchases, a longer collection cycle or an inability to collect accounts receivable.

We are involved in continuous manufacturing processes with a high degree of fixed costs. Any interruption in the operations of our manufacturing facilities may affect our operating performance.

We run our paper machines on a nearly continuous basis for maximum efficiency. Any downtime at any of our paper mills, including as a result of or in connection with planned maintenance and capital expenditure projects, results in unabsorbed fixed costs that could negatively affect our results of operations for the period in which we experience the downtime. Due to the extreme operating conditions inherent in some of our manufacturing processes, we may incur unplanned business interruptions from time to time and, as a result, we may not generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our operational needs. In addition, the geographic areas where our production is located and where we conduct our business may be affected by natural disasters, including snow storms, forest fires, and flooding. Such natural disasters could cause our mills to stop running, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. Furthermore, during periods of weak demand for paper products, such as the current market, or periods of rising costs, we have experienced and may in the future experience market-related downtime, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We may have insufficient liquidity to successfully operate our businesses.

We expect to incur significant costs as a result of the Chapter 11 Cases, and our business is capital intensive. We incur capital expenditures on an ongoing basis to maintain our equipment and comply with environmental laws, as well as to enhance the efficiency of our operations. Our total capital expenditures were \$64 million in 2015.

We are currently financing our operations during our reorganization using cash on hand, borrowings under our DIP Facilities, and cash generated by operations which constitutes cash collateral pursuant to, and in accordance with, final cash collateral orders which were agreed to by our secured lenders and approved by the Bankruptcy Court. We may also dispose of certain of our non-core assets in order to obtain additional liquidity. In the event that we are unable to meet the requirements for borrowing under our DIP Facilities or lose our authority to use cash collateral and we do not have sufficient liquidity to fund our operations such that we need to obtain additional financing, there can be no assurance as to our ability to obtain sufficient financing on acceptable terms or at all. The challenges of obtaining financing, if necessary, would be exacerbated by adverse

conditions in the general economy and the volatility and tightness in the financial and credit markets. These conditions and our Chapter 11 Cases would make it more difficult for us to obtain financing. If we cannot maintain or upgrade our facilities and equipment as we require or as necessary to ensure environmental compliance, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

If we are unable to obtain energy or raw materials, including petroleum-based chemicals at favorable prices, or at all, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We purchase substantial amounts of energy, wood fiber, market pulp, chemicals and other raw materials from third parties. We may experience shortages of energy supplies or raw materials or be forced to seek alternative sources of supply. If we are forced to seek alternative sources of supply, we may not be able to do so on terms as favorable as our current terms or at all. The prices for energy and many of our raw materials, especially petroleum-based chemicals, have recently been volatile and are expected to remain volatile for the foreseeable future. Chemical suppliers that use petroleum-based products in the manufacture of their chemicals may, due to a supply shortage and cost increase, ration the amount of chemicals available to us and/or we may not be able to obtain the chemicals we need to operate our business at favorable prices, if at all. In addition, certain specialty chemicals that we currently purchase are available only from a small number of suppliers. If any of these suppliers were to cease operations or cease doing business with us in the future, we may be unable to obtain such chemicals at favorable prices, if at all.

The supply of energy or raw materials may be adversely affected by, among other things, natural disasters or an outbreak or escalation of hostilities between the United States and any foreign power, and, in particular, events in the Middle East or weather events such as hurricanes could result in a real or perceived shortage of oil or natural gas, which could result in an increase in energy or chemical prices. In addition, wood fiber is a commodity and prices historically have been cyclical. The primary source for wood fiber is timber. Environmental litigation and regulatory developments have caused, and may cause in the future, significant reductions in the amount of timber available for commercial harvest in Canada and the United States. In addition, future domestic or foreign legislation, litigation advanced by aboriginal groups, litigation concerning the use of timberlands, the protection of endangered species, the promotion of forest biodiversity, and the response to and prevention of wildfires and campaigns or other measures by environmental activists also could affect timber supplies. The availability of harvested timber may further be limited by factors such as fire and fire prevention, insect infestation, disease, ice and wind storms, droughts, floods, and other natural and man-made causes. Additionally, due to increased fuel costs, suppliers, distributors and freight carriers have charged fuel surcharges, which have increased our costs. Any significant shortage or significant increase in our energy or raw material costs in circumstances where we cannot raise the price of our products due to market conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

Any disruption in the supply of energy or raw materials also could affect our ability to meet customer demand in a timely manner and could harm our reputation. We are expected to have limited ability to pass through increases in our costs to our customers absent increases in market prices for our products, material increases in the cost of our raw materials could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, we may be required to post letters of credit or other financial assurance obligations with certain of our energy and other suppliers, which could limit our financial flexibility.

We may not realize certain projected synergies, productivity enhancements or improvements in costs.

As part of our business strategy, we are in the process of identifying opportunities to improve profitability by reducing costs and enhancing productivity. For example, through our continuous process improvement program, we have implemented focused programs to optimize material and energy sourcing and usage, reduce repair costs and control overhead. We will continue to utilize the process improvement program to drive further cost reductions and operating improvements in our mill system, and have targeted additional profitability enhancements in the next twelve months. Any synergies, cost savings or productivity enhancements that we expect to realize from such efforts may differ

materially from our estimates. In addition, any synergies, cost savings or productivity enhancements that we realize may be offset, in whole or in part, by reductions in pricing or volume, or through increases in other expenses, including raw material, energy or personnel. We cannot assure you that these initiatives will be completed as anticipated or that the benefits we expect will be achieved on a timely basis or at all.

Our calculation of pro forma Adjusted EBITDA includes adjustments for cost savings expected to be realized from these initiatives. Although our management believes these estimates and assumptions to be reasonable, investors should not place undue reliance upon the calculation of pro forma Adjusted EBITDA given how it is calculated and the possibility that the underlying estimates and assumptions may ultimately not reflect actual results.

Currency fluctuations may adversely affect our competitive position and selling prices.

We compete with producers from around the world, particularly in North America. In addition to the impact of product supply and demand, changes in the relative strength or weakness of international currencies, particularly the U.S. dollar, can also affect international trade flows in certain products. A stronger U.S. dollar, as has been recently experienced, may attract imports, thereby increasing product supply and possibly creating downward pressure on prices. On the other hand, a weaker U.S. dollar might encourage U.S. exports, thereby decreasing product supply and possibly creating upward pressure on prices.

For instance, the recent strong U.S. dollar relative to foreign currencies has resulted in a dramatic increase in imports, particularly from Asia, Europe and Canada. In 2015, for example, coated freesheet imports (net of exports) increased 68% from 2014 levels, and coated groundwood (also referred to as coated mechanical) imports (net of exports) increased 16% from 2014 levels.

As imports rise, we face new levels of competition and increased pressure to lower prices to maintain our market share. Compounding this problem, in light of the strong U.S. dollar and the increase in imports, we have been priced out of foreign markets and forced to reduce exports. During 2015, exports industry wide were approximately 13% lower than 2014.

The decline in our performance from the recent increase in foreign imports and reduction in exports, coupled with the overall decline in demand, has been significant: sales (as adjusted to include the historical NewPage revenues excluding the divested Biron and Rumford mills) dropped approximately 13.5% in 2015 compared to 2014.

Our business may suffer if we do not retain our senior management.

We depend on our senior management. The loss of services of members of our senior management team could adversely affect our business until suitable replacements can be found. There may be a limited number of persons with the requisite skills to serve in these positions and we may be unable to locate or employ qualified personnel on acceptable terms. In addition, our future success requires us to continue to attract and retain competent personnel.

Work stoppages and slowdowns and legal action by our unionized employees may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

As of December 31, 2015, approximately 68% of our hourly workforce were represented by 16 local branches of the following unions: the United Steel, Paper and Forestry, Rubber, Manufacturing, Energy, Allied Industrial and Services Workers International Union; the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers; the Teamsters, Chauffeurs, Warehousemen and Helpers; the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers; the Office & Professional Employees' International Union; and the United Association of Journeyman and Apprentices of the Plumbing and Pipefitting Industry. All represented employees are covered by the Master Labor Agreement 2012-2016, dated as of December 21, 2012, covering wages benefits; certain represented mills also have local agreements covering general work rules. We may become subject to material cost increases as a result of action taken by the labor unions. This could increase expenses in absolute terms and/or as a percentage of net sales. In addition, although we believe we have good relations with our employees, work stoppages or other labor disturbances may occur in the future. Any of these factors could negatively affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Security breaches and other disruptions to our information technology infrastructure could interfere with our operations, and could compromise our information and the information of our customers and suppliers, exposing us to liability which would cause our business and reputation to suffer.

In the ordinary course of business, we rely upon information technology networks and systems, some of which are managed by third parties, to process, transmit and store electronic information, and to manage or support a variety of

business processes and activities, including supply chain, manufacturing, distribution, invoicing, and collection of payments from customers. We use information technology systems to record, process and summarize financial information and results of operations for internal reporting purposes and to comply with regulatory financial reporting, legal and tax requirements. Additionally, we collect and store sensitive data, including intellectual property, proprietary business information, the propriety business information of our customers and suppliers, as well as personally identifiable information of our customers and employees, in data centers and on information technology networks. The secure operation of these information technology networks, and the processing and maintenance of this information is critical to our business operations and strategy. Despite security measures and business continuity plans, our information technology networks and infrastructure may be vulnerable to damage, disruptions or shutdowns due to attacks by hackers or breaches due to employee error or malfeasance, or other disruptions during the process of upgrading or replacing computer software or hardware, power outages, computer viruses, telecommunication or utility

failures or natural disasters or other catastrophic events. The occurrence of any of these events could compromise our networks and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability or regulatory penalties under laws protecting the privacy of personal information, disrupt operations, and damage our reputation, which could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We depend on third parties for certain transportation services.

We rely primarily on third parties for transportation of our products to our customers and transportation of our raw materials to us, in particular, by truck and train. If any third-party transportation provider fails to deliver our products in a timely manner, we may be unable to sell them at full value. Similarly, if any transportation provider fails to deliver raw materials to us in a timely manner, we may be unable to manufacture our products on a timely basis. Shipments of products and raw materials may be delayed due to weather conditions, strikes or other events. Any failure of a third-party transportation provider to deliver raw materials or products in a timely manner could harm our reputation, negatively impact our customer relationships and have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations. In addition, our ability to deliver our products on a timely basis could be adversely affected by the lack of adequate availability of transportation services, especially rail capacity, whether because of work stoppages or otherwise. Furthermore, we may experience increases in the cost of our transportation services, including as a result of rising fuel costs and surcharges (primarily in diesel fuel). If we are not able to pass these increased costs through to our customers, they could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We are subject to various environmental, health and safety laws and regulations that could impose substantial costs or other liabilities upon us and may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, and results of operations.

We are subject to a wide range of federal, state, regional, and local general and industry-specific environmental, health and safety laws and regulations, including those relating to air emissions (including greenhouse gases and hazardous air pollutants), wastewater discharges, solid and hazardous waste management and disposal, site remediation and natural resources. Compliance with these laws and regulations, and permits issued thereunder, is a significant factor in our business and may be subject to the same or even increased scrutiny and enforcement actions by regulators. We have made, and will continue to make, significant expenditures to comply with these requirements and permits, which may impose increasingly more stringent standards over time as they are renewed or modified by the applicable governmental authorities. In addition, we handle and dispose of wastes arising from our mill operations and operate a number of on-site landfills to handle that waste. We are required to maintain financial assurance (in the form of letters of credit and other similar instruments) for the projected cost of closure and post-closure care for these landfill operations. We could be subject to potentially significant fines, penalties, criminal sanctions, plant shutdowns, or interruptions in operations for any failure to comply with applicable environmental, health and safety laws, regulations and permits. Moreover, under certain environmental laws, a current or previous owner or operator of real property, and parties that generate or transport hazardous substances that are disposed of at real property, may be held liable for the full cost to investigate or clean up such real property and for related damages to natural resources. We may be subject to liability, including liability for investigation and cleanup costs, if contamination is discovered at one of our current or former paper mills, other properties or other locations where we have disposed of, or arranged for the disposal of, wastes.

A 2007 decision of the U.S. Supreme Court held that greenhouse gases are subject to regulation under the Clean Air Act. The Environmental Protection Agency, or "EPA," has subsequently issued regulations applicable to us that require monitoring of greenhouse gas emissions. The EPA has also issued regulations that require certain new and modified air emissions sources to control their greenhouse gas emissions, which may have a material effect on our operations. The United States Congress has in the past, and may in the future, consider legislation which would also regulate greenhouse gas emissions. It is possible that we could become subject to federal, state, regional, local, or supranational legislation related to climate change, greenhouse gas emissions, cap-and-trade or other emissions.

On January 31, 2013, the EPA published its “National Emissions Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Major Sources: Industrial, Commercial and Institutional Boilers and Process Heaters.” The standards, which are technology-based standards that require the use of Maximum Achievable Control Technology or “MACT” for major sources to comply and is referred to as the “Boiler MACT” rule, govern emissions of air toxics from boilers and process heaters at industrial facilities. Certain of our boilers are subject to the new standards, and we may be required to limit our emissions and/or install additional pollution controls.

Litigation could be costly and harmful to our business.

We are involved from time to time, and may currently be involved in, claims and legal proceedings relating to contractual, employment, environmental, intellectual property and other matters incidental to the conduct of our business. Although we do not believe that any currently pending claims or legal proceedings are likely to result in an unfavorable outcome that would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations, we may become involved in such claims and legal proceedings that could result in unfavorable outcomes and could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We could pursue acquisitions, divestitures and other strategic transactions, the success of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In the past, we have pursued acquisitions to complement or expand our business, divestitures and other strategic transactions. Such future transactions are part of our general strategic objectives and may occur. If we identify an acquisition candidate, we may not be able to successfully negotiate or finance the acquisition or integrate the acquired businesses with our existing business and services. Future acquisitions could result in potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities and the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, amortization expenses and substantial goodwill. The negotiation of any transaction, its completion, and subsequent integration of any business acquired may be complex and time consuming, involve significant costs and may result in a distraction of management's attention from on-going business operations. We may be affected materially and adversely if we are unable to successfully integrate businesses that we acquire. Similarly, we may divest portions of our business, which may also have material and adverse effects.

Risks Relating to Our Indebtedness

We will require a significant amount of cash to service our indebtedness under the DIP Facilities, and upon exit of the Chapter 11 process, the Exit Credit Agreements, and make planned capital expenditures. Our ability to generate cash or refinance our indebtedness depends on many factors beyond our control, including general economic conditions. Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness, including payments under the DIP Facilities and Exit Credit Agreements, and to fund planned capital expenditures and research and development efforts will depend on our ability to generate cash flow in the future and our ability to borrow under the NewPage DIP ABL Facility and Exit ABL Facility, to the extent of available borrowings. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory, and other factors that are beyond our control. If adverse regional and national economic conditions persist, worsen, or fail to improve significantly, we could experience decreased revenues from our operations attributable to decreases in wholesale and consumer spending levels and could fail to generate sufficient cash to fund our liquidity needs or fail to satisfy the restrictive covenants and borrowing limitations that we are subject to under our indebtedness.

Based on current and expected level of operations, we believe cash flow from operations, available cash, and available borrowings under the DIP Facilities will be adequate to meet our liquidity needs during the Chapter 11 process.

We cannot assure you, however, that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations or that future borrowings will be available to us under the DIP Facilities or the Exit ABL Facility or otherwise in an amount sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness or to fund our other liquidity needs.

Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry, expose us to interest rate risk to the extent of our variable rate debt, and prevent us from meeting our obligations under our indebtedness.

Prior to our bankruptcy filing, we were a highly leveraged company. As of December 31, 2015, the principal amount of Verso's total indebtedness was \$2,799 million and the principal amount of Verso Holdings' total indebtedness was \$2,822 million (including a \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund). After our expected emergence from bankruptcy we may continue to have a substantial amount of indebtedness.

A high degree of leverage could have important consequences, including:

•increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;
requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness,
•thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital, capital expenditures, research and
development efforts, and other general corporate purposes;

21

- increasing our vulnerability to, and limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;
- exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates as borrowings under our DIP Credit Facilities are subject to variable rates of interest;
- placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and
- limiting our ability to borrow additional funds.

The DIP Credit Facilities contain, and we expect that our Exit Credit Agreements will contain, financial and other restrictive covenants that limit our ability to engage in activities that may be in our long-term best interests. Our failure to comply with those covenants could result in an event of default which, if not cured or waived, could result in the acceleration of all of our debts. If, as or when required, we are unable to repay, refinance or restructure our indebtedness under, or amend or waive the covenants contained in, our DIP Credit Facilities or Exit Credit Agreements, the lenders thereunder would have the right to proceed against the collateral pledged to them to secure the indebtedness.

Restrictive covenants in our DIP Facilities and the Exit Credit Agreement may restrict our ability to pursue our business strategies.

The DIP Credit Facilities limit, and we expect the Exit Credit Agreements will limit, our ability, among other things, to:

- incur additional indebtedness;
- pay dividends or make other distributions or repurchase or redeem our stock;
- prepay, redeem, or repurchase certain of our indebtedness;
- make investments;
- sell assets, including capital stock of restricted subsidiaries;
- enter into agreements restricting certain of our subsidiaries' ability to pay dividends;
- consolidate, merge, sell, or otherwise dispose of all or substantially all of our assets;
- enter into transactions with our affiliates; and
- incur liens.

The DIP Credit Facilities also require, and we expect the Exit Credit Agreements will require, us to comply with certain financial maintenance covenants.

A breach of any of these restrictive covenants could result in a default under the instruments governing our credit agreements. If a default occurs, the holders of these instruments may elect to declare all borrowings thereunder outstanding, together with accrued interest and other fees, to be immediately due and payable. The lenders under the Verso DIP Facility, NewPage DIP ABL Facility, and our Exit ABL Facility would also have the right in these circumstances to terminate any commitments they have to provide further borrowings. If we are unable to repay our indebtedness when due or declared due, the lenders thereunder will also have the right to proceed against the collateral pledged to them to secure the indebtedness. If such indebtedness were to be accelerated, our assets may not be sufficient to repay in full our secured indebtedness.

Lenders under the DIP Facilities and Exit Credit Agreements may not fund their commitments.

There can be no assurance that deterioration in the credit markets or overall economy will not affect the ability of our lenders to meet their funding commitments under the DIP Facilities and Exit Credit Agreements. If a lender fails to honor its commitment under the revolving credit facilities, that portion of the credit facilities will be unavailable to the extent that the lender's commitment is not replaced by a new commitment from an alternate lender.

Additionally, our lenders have the ability to transfer their commitments to other institutions, and the risk that committed funds may not be available under distressed market conditions could be exacerbated if consolidation of the commitments under our revolving credit facilities or among its lenders were to occur.

Risks Relating to Verso's Common Stock

Our common stock was delisted from the New York Stock Exchange and is not expected to be listed on any other national securities exchange during our Chapter 11 process.

Our common stock was suspended at the opening of business on September 21, 2015 and was removed from listing on the New York Stock Exchange, or "NYSE." We will not be able to re-list our common stock on a national securities exchange during our Chapter 11 process, although our common stock has been trading in the over-the-counter, or the "OTC," market. The trading of our common stock in the OTC market rather than NYSE may negatively impact the trading price of our common

stock and the levels of liquidity available to our stockholders. In addition, securities that trade in the OTC market are not eligible for margin loans and our common stock is subject to the provisions of Rule 15g-9 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the “Exchange Act,” commonly referred to as the “penny stock rule.” Our common stock is currently traded in the OTC Pink Sheets, which involves additional risks compared to being listed on a national securities exchange.

Securities traded in the OTC market generally have significantly less liquidity than securities traded on a national securities exchange due to factors such as the reduced number of investors that will consider investing in the securities, the reduced number of market makers in the securities, and the reduced number of securities analysts that follow such securities. As a result, holders of shares of our common stock may find it difficult to resell their shares at prices quoted in the market or at all.

Furthermore, because of the limited market and generally low volume of trading in our common stock that could occur, the share price of our common stock could be more likely to be affected by broad market fluctuations, general market conditions, fluctuations in our operating results, changes in the markets perception of our business, and announcements made by us, our competitors, parties with whom we have business relationships or third parties with interests in the Chapter 11 proceedings.

Because our common stock trades on the OTC market, in some cases, we may be subject to additional compliance requirements under applicable state laws in the issuance of our securities. The lack of liquidity in our common stock may also make it difficult for us to issue additional securities for financing or other purposes, or to otherwise arrange for any financing we may need in the future.

In addition, we expect our common stock will be canceled as part of the terms of the Plan and that holders of common stock will not receive any distribution with respect to, or be able to recover any portion of, their investments.

Accordingly, we urge that extreme caution be exercised with respect to existing and future investments in our common stock.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

Item 2. Properties

Headquartered in Memphis, Tennessee, with a business center in Miamisburg, Ohio, we own fourteen paper machines at eight mills strategically located in Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota and Wisconsin with a total annual paper production capacity of approximately 3.4 million tons.

Our headquarters and material facilities as of December 31, 2015, are shown in the following table:

Location	Use	Owned/Leased
Memphis, Tennessee	corporate headquarters	leased
Miamisburg, Ohio	sales, distribution, and customer service	leased
Jay (Androscoggin), Maine	paper mill/kraft pulp mill	owned
Duluth, Minnesota	paper mill	owned
Escanaba, Michigan	paper mill	owned
Luke, Maryland	paper mill, warehouse and converting	owned
Quinnesec, Michigan	paper mill/kraft pulp mill	owned
Stevens Point, Wisconsin	paper mill	owned
Wickliffe, Kentucky (Idled)	paper mill (Idled)	owned (Idled)
Wisconsin Rapids, Wisconsin	paper mill, warehouse and converting	owned

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

On the Petition Date, we filed voluntary petitions for Chapter 11 relief under the Bankruptcy Code in the Bankruptcy Court in order to consummate a balance sheet restructuring. The cases are being jointly administered. We continue to operate our businesses as debtors-in-possession under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code. As of the Petition Date, all pending litigation wherein we are named as a defendant is generally stayed by operation of federal bankruptcy law, and absent further order of the Bankruptcy Court, no party, subject to certain exceptions, may take any action, also subject to certain exceptions, to recover on pre-petition claims against us. At this time, it is not possible to predict the outcome of the Chapter 11 Cases or its effect on our business. See “Business—Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Proceedings and Plan of Reorganization” for more information.

We are involved from time to time in legal proceedings incidental to the conduct of our business. We do not believe that any liability that may result from these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information

Through September 21, 2015, our common stock was traded on the New York Stock Exchange, or the “NYSE,” under the symbol “VRS.” From September 22, 2015, to January 26, 2016, our stock was traded in the over-the-counter market under the symbol “VRSZ,” and transactions in our common stock were reported on the OTCQB electronic quotation platform operated by the OTC Markets Group. The following table sets forth the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock, as reported by the NYSE and the OTCQB, for the indicated periods:

	High	Low
2015		
First quarter	\$3.58	\$1.72
Second quarter	1.79	0.65
Third quarter	0.74	0.03
Fourth quarter	0.16	0.01
2014		
First quarter	\$5.55	\$0.62
Second quarter	3.24	1.60
Third quarter	3.82	2.10
Fourth quarter	3.75	2.18

On January 26, 2016, Verso and several of its subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions to reorganize under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code with the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. As a result of the bankruptcy filing, the trading symbol for our common stock was changed to “VRSZQ,” and since then transactions in our common stock have been reported on the OTC Pink electronic quotation platform operated by the OTC Markets Group.

Holders

As of March 15, 2016, there were 153 stockholders of record of our common stock.

Dividends

We have not declared or paid any cash dividends on shares of our common stock during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. Any future determination relating to our dividend policy will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will depend on then existing conditions, including our financial condition, results of operations, contractual restrictions, capital requirements, business prospects and other factors that our board of directors may deem relevant. Our ability to pay dividends on our common stock is limited by the covenants in our DIP Facilities, and may be further restricted by the terms of any of our future debt or preferred securities.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The table below sets forth information regarding the number of shares of common stock to be issued upon the exercise of the outstanding stock options granted under our equity compensation plans and the shares of common stock remaining available for future issuance under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2015.

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options (a)	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options (b)	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) (c)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	8,616,625	\$2.52	771,740
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—	—	—
Total	8,616,625	\$2.52	771,740

Stock Repurchases under 2008 Incentive Award Plan

Participants in our 2008 Incentive Award Plan, or the “Plan,” may elect to surrender to us restricted shares of our common stock issued to them pursuant to awards granted under the Plan to satisfy the applicable federal, state, local, and foreign tax withholding obligations that arise upon the vesting of their shares of restricted stock under the Plan. Shares of restricted stock surrendered to us to meet tax withholding obligations are deemed to be repurchased pursuant to the Plan. There were no shares of restricted stock repurchased to meet participants’ tax withholding obligations during the fourth quarter of 2015.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables present our selected financial data as of and for the periods presented for Verso and Verso Holdings. The following information is only a summary and should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the financial statements and their related notes, and the other financial information, included elsewhere in this annual report.

The selected historical financial data for Verso as of and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, and 2011, have been derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements of Verso. The selected historical financial data for Verso Holdings as of and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012, and 2011, have been derived from the Consolidated Financial Statements of Verso Holdings. The Consolidated Financial Statements of Verso and Verso Holdings as of December 31, 2015, and 2014, and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, are included elsewhere in this annual report.

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

	VERSO				
	Year Ended December 31,				
(Dollars in millions except per share amounts)	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Statement of Operations Data:					
Net sales	\$3,122	\$1,297	\$1,389	\$1,475	\$1,723
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of products sold - (exclusive of depreciation, amortization, and depletion)	2,727	1,176	1,179	1,273	1,460
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	308	91	105	118	125
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	187	70	74	74	78
Goodwill impairment	—	—	—	—	19
Restructuring charges	54	135	1	103	25
Other operating loss (income) ⁽¹⁾	1	—	(4) (61) —
Operating (loss) income	(155) (175) 34	(32) 16
Interest income	—	—	—	—	—
Interest expense	270	142	138	135	127
Other loss (income), net	—	39	8	8	26
Loss before income taxes	(425) (356) (112) (175) (137
Income tax (benefit) expense	(3) (3) (1) (1) —
Net loss	\$(422) \$(353) \$(111) \$(174) \$(137
Per Share Data:					
(Loss) earnings per share:					
Basic	\$(5.19) \$(6.62) \$(2.09) \$(3.29) \$(2.61
Diluted	(5.19) (6.62) (2.09) (3.29) (2.61
Weighted average common shares outstanding (in thousands):					
Basic	81,295	53,293	53,124	52,850	52,595
Diluted	81,295	53,293	53,124	52,850	52,595
Statement of Cash Flows Data:					
Cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$(266) \$(58) \$(27) \$12	\$15
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	111	(25) (14) (7) (66
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	153	78	(9) (38) (6
Other Financial and Operating Data:					
EBITDA ⁽²⁾	\$153	\$(123) \$131	\$78	\$115
Capital expenditures	(64) (42) (41) (60) (90
Total tons sold (in thousands) ⁽³⁾	3,647	1,624	1,690	1,799	2,023
Balance Sheet Data:					
Working capital ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	\$371	\$5	\$63	\$110	\$143
Property, plant and equipment, net	1,857	531	743	793	935
Total assets ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	2,710	855	1,070	1,175	1,397
Total debt ⁽⁵⁾	2,879	1,304	1,220	1,223	1,238
Total (deficit) equity	(1,183) (784) (417) (322) (154

Other operating income in 2012 reflected insurance proceeds in excess of costs and property damages incurred of (1) \$61 million, as we reached a final settlement agreement with our insurance provider for property and business losses resulting from the fire and explosion at the former Sartell mill.

EBITDA consists of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization. Our use of EBITDA is further (2) discussed in the “Reconciliation of Cash Flows from Operating Activities to Adjusted EBITDA” section of Item 7 herein. The following table reconciles net (loss) income to EBITDA for the periods presented:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO				
	Year Ended December 31,				
	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Reconciliation of net (loss) income to EBITDA:					
Net loss	\$(422)	\$(353)	\$(111)	\$(174)	\$(137)
Income tax (benefit) expense	(3)	(3)	(1)	(1)	—
Interest expense, net	270	142	138	135	127
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	308	91	105	118	125
EBITDA	\$153	\$(123)	\$131	\$78	\$115

(3) See discussion of metric in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included in Item 7 herein.

(4) Working capital is defined as current assets net of current liabilities, excluding the current portion of long-term debt.

(5) We have adopted the provisions of ASU 2015-03 as of December 31, 2015. The ASU requires debt issuance costs to be presented as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset (see Note 2). Prior period amounts for all years presented above have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

(6) We have adopted ASU 2015-17 as of December 31, 2015. The ASU updates the balance sheet classification of deferred taxes and requires that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as non-current in the classified statement of financial position. The amendment was treated prospectively and prior periods were not retrospectively adjusted.

	VERSO HOLDINGS				
	Year Ended December 31,				
(Dollars in millions)	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Statement of Operations Data:					
Net sales	\$3,122	\$1,297	\$1,389	\$1,475	\$1,723
Costs and expenses:					
Cost of products sold - (exclusive of depreciation, amortization, and depletion)	2,727	1,176	1,179	1,273	1,460
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	308	91	105	118	125
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	187	70	74	74	78
Goodwill impairment	—	—	—	—	11
Restructuring charges	54	135	1	103	25
Other operating income ⁽¹⁾	1	—	(4) (61) —
Operating (loss) income	(155) (175) 34	(32) 24
Interest income	(2) (2) (2) (2) (1
Interest expense	272	144	139	128	122
Other loss (income), net	—	39	8	8	26
Loss before income taxes	(425) (356) (111) (166) (123
Income tax (benefit) expense	(3) —	—	—	—
Net loss	\$(422) \$(356) \$(111) \$(166) \$(123
Statement of Cash Flows Data:					
Cash (used in) provided by operating activities	\$(266) \$(58) \$(27) \$11	\$15
Cash provided by (used in) investing activities	111	(25) (14) (7) (66
Cash provided by (used in) financing activities	153	78	(9) (38) (6
Other Financial and Operating Data:					
EBITDA ⁽²⁾	\$153	\$(123) \$131	\$78	\$123
Capital expenditures	(64) (42) (41) (60) (90
Total tons sold (in thousands) ⁽³⁾	3,647	1,624	1,690	1,799	2,023
Balance Sheet Data:					
Working capital ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾	\$371	\$5	\$63	\$111	\$143
Property, plant, and equipment, net	1,857	531	743	793	935
Total assets ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁶⁾	2,733	878	1,093	1,198	1,420
Total debt ⁽⁵⁾	2,902	1,327	1,243	1,153	1,177
Total (deficit) equity	(1,179) (780) (411) (221) (61

Other operating income in 2012 reflected insurance proceeds in excess of costs and property damages incurred of (1) \$61 million, as we reached a final settlement agreement with our insurance provider for property and business losses resulting from the fire and explosion at the former Sartell mill.

EBITDA consists of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization. Our use of EBITDA is further (2) discussed in the “Reconciliation of Cash Flows from Operating Activities to Adjusted EBITDA” section of Item 7 herein. The following table reconciles net (loss) income to EBITDA for the periods presented:

	VERSO HOLDINGS				
	Year Ended December 31,				
(Dollars in millions)	2015	2014	2013	2012	2011
Reconciliation of net (loss) income to EBITDA:					
Net loss	\$(422) \$(356) \$(111) \$(166) \$(123
Income tax (benefit) expense	(3) —	—	—	—
Interest expense, net	270	142	137	126	121

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	308	91	105	118	125
EBITDA	\$153	\$(123) \$131	\$78	\$123

29

(3) See discussion of metric in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” included in Item 7 herein.

(4) Working capital is defined as current assets net of current liabilities, excluding the current portion of long-term debt.

We have adopted the provisions of ASU 2015-03 as of December 31, 2015. The ASU requires debt issuance costs (5) to be presented as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset (see Note 2). Prior period amounts for all years presented above have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation.

We have adopted ASU 2015-17 as of December 31, 2015. The ASU updates the balance sheet classification of deferred taxes and requires that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as non-current in the classified (6) statement of financial position. The amendment was treated prospectively and prior periods were not retrospectively adjusted.

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations includes statements regarding the industry outlook and our expectations regarding the performance of our business. These non-historical statements in the discussion and analysis are forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including, but not limited to, the risks and uncertainties described in "Risk Factors." Our actual results may differ materially from those contained in or implied by any forward-looking statements. The discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the "Risk Factors" and financial statements and notes thereto included elsewhere in this annual report. Unless otherwise noted, the information provided pertains to both Verso and Verso Holdings. All assets, liabilities, income, expenses and cash flows presented for all periods represent those of Verso's indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary, Verso Holdings, in all material respects, except for Verso's common stock transactions, Verso Finance's debt obligation and related financing costs and interest expense, Verso Holdings' loan to Verso Finance, and the debt obligation of Verso Holdings' consolidated variable interest entity to Verso Finance.

Overview

We are the leading North American producer of coated papers, which are used primarily in magazines, catalogs, high-end advertising brochures and annual reports, among other media and marketing publications. We produce a wide range of products, ranging from coated freesheet and coated groundwood, to inkjet and digital paper, supercalendered papers, and uncoated freesheet. We also produce and sell market kraft pulp, which is used to manufacture printing and writing paper grades and tissue products.

Background

On January 3, 2014, Verso, Verso Merger Sub Inc., an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso, or "Merger Sub," and NewPage entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, or the "Merger Agreement," pursuant to which the parties agreed to merge Merger Sub with and into NewPage on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, with NewPage surviving the merger as an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso. On January 7, 2015, Verso consummated the acquisition of NewPage through the merger of Merger Sub with and into NewPage, or the "NewPage acquisition," pursuant to the Merger Agreement. As a result of the merger of Merger Sub with and into NewPage, Merger Sub's separate corporate existence ceased and NewPage continued as the surviving corporation and an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso (see Note 4).

On August 20, 2015, Verso announced plans to make production capacity reductions at two of our mills by shutting down the No. 1 pulp dryer and No. 2 paper machine at our mill in Androscoggin, Maine, and by indefinitely idling our mill in Wickliffe, Kentucky. On April 5, 2016, we announced that we will close our mill in Wickliffe, Kentucky. Together, these actions reduced our production capacity by 430,000 tons of coated paper and 130,000 tons of dried market pulp.

On September 21, 2015, the New York Stock Exchange, or "NYSE," notified Verso that the NYSE had suspended trading in our common stock, effective immediately, and had commenced proceedings to delist the stock from the NYSE. The NYSE took this action when the trading price of Verso's common stock decreased to \$0.15 per share on September 21, 2015. From September 22, 2015, to January 26, 2016, our stock was traded in the over-the-counter market under the symbol "VRSZ." As a result of the bankruptcy filing, the trading symbol for our common stock was changed to "VRSZQ," and since then transactions in our common stock have been reported on the OTC Pink electronic quotation platform operated by the OTC Markets Group.

Covenant Compliance

As of December 31, 2015, we were not in compliance with certain of our debt covenants.

On January 14, 2016, NewPage Corp. elected to exercise the grace period with respect to the interest payment due under its floating rate senior secured term loan credit agreement, or the “NewPage Term Loan Facility.” The NewPage Term Loan Facility provided for a grace period of five business days for the payment of interest. On January 22, 2016, the required lenders under the NewPage Term Loan Facility and certain of Verso’s other debt agreements agreed to forbear from exercising rights and remedies (including enforcement and collection actions) with respect to any defaults and/or events of default resulting from (a) NewPage Corp.’s failure to make interest payments due on January 14, 2016 under the NewPage Term Loan Facility, or the “Interest Payment Default,” and (b) cross-defaults under certain other debt agreements that may result from the Interest Payment Default. The forbearance period terminated upon the filing for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in Bankruptcy Court on January 26, 2016. As of December 31, 2015, the outstanding principal amount under the NewPage Term Loan Facility was approximately \$731 million.

On January 15, 2016, Verso Holdings and its wholly owned subsidiary, Verso Paper Inc., elected to exercise the grace periods with respect to the interest payments due under their indentures governing the 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 that were issued in 2012 and 2015 and the 11.75% Secured Notes due 2019 that were issued in 2012, or collectively, the “Verso Notes Indentures.” Each of the Verso Notes Indentures provides for a grace period of 30 days for the payment of interest. As of December 31, 2015, the total outstanding principal amount under the Verso Notes Indentures was approximately \$1.3 billion.

As described below, Verso and substantially all of its direct and indirect subsidiaries filed the Chapter 11 Cases on January 26, 2016. The interest payments due under the NewPage Term Loan Facility and Verso Notes Indentures were not paid prior to the Chapter 11 Filings. The Chapter 11 Filings constituted an event of default and automatic acceleration under the agreements governing all of our debt (excluding the \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund). The creditors are, however, stayed from taking any action against the Debtors as a result of such defaults and accelerations under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in Bankruptcy Court.

All of our debt (excluding the \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund) is classified as Current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015.

Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Proceedings and Plan of Reorganization

On January 26, 2016, we and substantially all of our direct and indirect subsidiaries, collectively, the “Debtors,” filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in Bankruptcy Court. During the pendency of the Chapter 11 Cases, we will continue to manage our properties and operate our businesses as a “debtor in possession” under the jurisdiction of the Bankruptcy Court and in accordance with the applicable provisions of the Bankruptcy Code and orders of the Bankruptcy Court. We expect to continue to operate in the normal course of business during the reorganization process.

In connection with the Chapter 11 Filings, Verso Finance, Verso Holdings and certain of its subsidiaries entered into the Verso DIP Facility for an aggregate principal amount of up to \$100 million, and NewPage Corp. and certain of its subsidiaries entered into the NewPage DIP ABL Facility for an aggregate principal amount of up to \$325 million and the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility for an aggregate principal amount of \$350 million. The NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility consists of \$175 million of new money term loans and \$175 million of roll up loans refinancing loan outstanding under the existing term loan facility of NewPage Corp. outstanding on the Petition Date. Further information on the terms of the DIP Facilities is included below under “–Liquidity and Capital Resources–Verso DIP Facility” and “–Liquidity and Capital Resources–NewPage DIP Facilities.”

On March 26, 2016, we filed a proposed Plan with the Bankruptcy Court. In connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, the Debtors entered into a Restructuring Support Agreement with creditors holding at least a majority in principal amount of substantially all tranches of our funded debt. The Plan is consistent with the terms and conditions of the Restructuring Support Agreement. There can be no assurance, however, that the Plan will be approved by all requisite holders of claims or interests or confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court or that all conditions precedent to the implementation of the Plan will be satisfied. The Restructuring Support Agreement is subject to certain material conditions. Moreover, each Restructuring Support Agreement may be terminated upon the occurrence of certain events, including if the Plan is not confirmed by the Bankruptcy Court by July 4, 2016.

For further information on our Chapter 11 Cases and the proposed plan, see “Business–Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Proceedings and Plan of Reorganization.”

Going Concern

Our Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on a going-concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. Our financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recovery of the recorded assets or the classification of the liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern, other than the reclassification of the majority of our debt to Current liabilities on our Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015. However, our liquidity concerns have raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern without a restructuring of our debt.

Selected Factors Affecting Operating Results

Net Sales

Our sales, which we report net of rebates, allowances, and discounts, are a function of the number of tons of paper that we sell and the price at which we sell our paper. Paper prices historically have been a function of macro-economic factors which influence supply and demand. Price has historically been substantially more variable than volume and can change significantly over relatively short time periods. In 2015, while our coated paper prices remained relatively stable, prices for our pulp decreased slightly. Prices are expected to remain low in 2016.

We are primarily focused on serving the following end-user segments: general commercial print, catalogs and magazines. Coated paper demand is primarily driven by advertising and print media usage. Advertising spending and magazine and catalog circulation generally tend to correlate with gross domestic product in the United States, as they rise with a strong economy and contract with a weak economy, which impacts media spend which further impacts magazine and catalog subscriptions.

Many of our customers provide us with forecasts of their paper needs, which allows us to plan our production runs in advance, optimizing production over our integrated mill system and thereby reducing costs and increasing overall efficiency. Generally, our sales agreements do not extend beyond the calendar year, and they typically provide for quarterly price adjustments based on market price movements.

We reach our end-users through several distribution channels, including commercial printers, paper merchants, brokers, converters, and direct sales to end-users. We sell and market our products to approximately 300 customers which comprise approximately 1,700 end-user accounts. In 2015, Veritiv Corporation accounted for approximately 20% of our net sales.

Our historical results include specialty papers that we manufacture for Expera Specialty Solutions, LLC or “Expera,” on paper machine no. 5 at the Androscoggin mill. Under a long-term supply agreement entered into in 2005 in connection with International Paper’s sale of its Industrial Papers business to Expera, these products are sold to Expera at a variable charge for the paper purchased and a fixed charge for the availability of the machine. The amounts included in our net sales for the specialty papers sold to Expera totaled \$44 million, \$42 million, and \$43 million, in 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

Cost of Products Sold

We are subject to changes in our cost of sales caused by movements in underlying commodity prices. The principal components of our cost of sales are chemicals, wood, energy, labor, and maintenance. Costs for commodities, including chemicals, wood, and energy, are the most variable component of our cost of sales because their prices can fluctuate substantially, sometimes within a relatively short period of time. In addition, our aggregate commodity purchases fluctuate based on the volume of paper that we produce.

Chemicals. Chemicals utilized in the manufacturing of coated papers include latex, clay, starch, calcium carbonate, caustic soda, sodium chlorate, and titanium dioxide. We purchase these chemicals from a variety of suppliers and are not dependent on any single supplier to satisfy our chemical needs. We expect imbalances in supply and demand to periodically create volatility in prices for certain chemicals.

Wood. Our costs to purchase wood are affected directly by market costs of wood in our regional markets and indirectly by the effect of higher fuel costs on logging and transportation of timber to our facilities. While we have in place fiber supply agreements that ensure a substantial portion of our wood requirements, purchases under these

agreements are typically at market rates.

Energy. We produce approximately 53% of our energy needs for our paper mills from sources such as waste wood, waste water, hydroelectric facilities, liquid biomass from our pulping process, and internal energy cogeneration facilities. Our external energy purchases vary across each of our mills and include fuel oil, natural gas, coal and electricity. Our overall energy expenditures are mitigated by our internal energy production capacity and ability to switch between certain energy sources. We also utilize derivative contracts as part of our risk management strategy to manage our exposure to market fluctuations in energy prices.

Labor. Labor costs include wages, salary, and benefit expenses attributable to our mill personnel. Mill employees at a non-managerial level are compensated on an hourly basis. Management employees at our mills are compensated on a salaried basis. Wages, salary, and benefit expenses included in cost of sales do not vary significantly over the short term. In addition, we have not experienced significant labor shortages.

Maintenance. Maintenance expense includes day-to-day maintenance, equipment repairs, and larger maintenance projects, such as paper machine shutdowns for periodic maintenance. Day-to-day maintenance expenses have not varied significantly from year-to-year. Larger maintenance projects and equipment expenses can produce year-to-year fluctuations in our maintenance expenses. In conjunction with our periodic maintenance shutdowns, we have incidental incremental costs that are primarily comprised of unabsorbed fixed costs from lower production volumes and other incremental costs for purchased materials and energy that would otherwise be produced as part of the normal operation of our mills.

Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion. Depreciation, amortization, and depletion expense represents the periodic charge to earnings through which the cost of tangible assets, intangible assets, and natural resources are recognized over the asset's life. Capital investments can increase our asset bases and produce year-to-year fluctuations in expense.

Selling, General, and Administrative Expenses

The principal components of our selling, general, and administrative expenses are wages, salaries, and benefits for our office personnel at our headquarters and our sales force, travel and entertainment expenses, advertising expenses, expenses relating to certain information technology systems, and research and development expenses.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations. Our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements are prepared in conformity with GAAP and follow general practices within the industry in which we operate. The preparation of the financial statements requires management to make certain judgments and assumptions in determining accounting estimates. Accounting estimates are considered critical if the estimate requires management to make assumptions about matters that were highly uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made, and different estimates reasonably could have been used in the current period, or changes in the accounting estimate are reasonably likely to occur from period to period, that would have a material impact on the presentation of our financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations.

Management believes the following critical accounting policies are both important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations and require subjective or complex judgments. These judgments about critical accounting estimates are based on information available to us as of the date of the financial statements.

Accounting standards whose application may have a significant effect on the reported results of operations and financial position, and that can require judgments by management that affect their application, include the following: Financial Accounting Standards Board, Accounting Standards Codification, or "ASC," Topic 450, Contingencies, ASC Topic 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment, ASC Topic 350, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other, ASC Topic 715, Compensation – Retirement Benefits, and ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations.

Impairment of long-lived assets. Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment upon the occurrence of events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable, as measured by comparing their net book value to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows generated by their use.

Management believes that the accounting estimates associated with determining fair value as part of an impairment analysis are critical accounting estimates because estimates and assumptions are made about our future performance and cash flows. The estimated fair value is generally determined on the basis of discounted future cash flows. We also consider a market-based approach and a combination of both. While management uses the best information available to estimate future performance and cash flows, future adjustments to management's projections may be necessary if economic conditions differ substantially from the assumptions used in making the estimates.

In the third quarter of 2015, we announced plans to make production capacity reductions at our Androscoggin and Wickliffe mills. As a result, we recognized \$58 million of accelerated depreciation which is included in Depreciation, amortization and depletion in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2015. Given the capacity reductions, we conducted a Step 1 impairment test and concluded that the undiscounted estimated future cash flows associated with the remaining long-lived assets exceeded their carrying value and no impairment was recorded.

In 2014, based on our plans to dispose of certain assets held by the legal entities that comprise the Bucksport mill (Verso Bucksport LLC and Verso Bucksport Power LLC), we recorded a fixed asset impairment charge of \$89 million, as the carrying value of the assets held for sale were in excess of the fair value less the cost to sell. The fair value was determined based on the December 5, 2014 membership purchase agreement for the sale of the Bucksport mill. The impairment charge was included in Restructuring charges (see also Note 16) in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Intangible assets are accounted for in accordance with ASC Topic 350. Intangible assets primarily consist of trademarks, customer-related intangible assets and patents obtained through business acquisitions. We have identified the following trademarks as intangible assets with an indefinite life: Influence[®], Liberty[®], and Advocate[®]. We assess indefinite-lived intangible assets at least annually for impairment or more frequently if events occur or circumstances change between annual tests that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the reporting unit below its carrying amount.

Trademarks are evaluated by comparing their fair value to their carrying values. During 2015, we completed our annual impairment test of intangibles and determined that there was no impairment as the fair value of intangibles exceeded their carrying value at December 31, 2015. In 2014, we determined that sufficient indicators of a potential impairment of our trademarks existed and we performed an interim analysis of our trademarks for impairment. As a result of our analysis, we determined that the carrying value of our trademarks exceeded their fair value, which was determined using a level 3 fair value measurement. This fair value determination was made using the income approach, which required us to estimate unobservable factors such as a royalty rate and discount rate and identify relevant projected revenue. We recognized an impairment charge of \$6 million based on a projected reduction of revenues driven primarily by a decline in U.S. demand. In 2013, we also determined that the carrying value of our trademarks exceeded their fair value, and recognized an impairment charge of \$2 million based on a projection of reduced revenues driven primarily by a decline in U.S. demand. The trademark impairment charges are included in Cost of products sold in our Consolidated Statement of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations. We offer various pension and other post-employment and retirement benefits to certain employees. The calculation of the obligations and related expenses under these plans requires the use of actuarial valuation methods and assumptions, including the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, discount rates, increases in future medical cost, and mortality rates. Actuarial valuations and assumptions used in the determination of future values of plan assets and liabilities are subject to management judgment and may differ significantly if different assumptions are used. In accordance with GAAP, actual results that differ from assumptions are accumulated and amortized over future periods and, therefore, affect expense in future periods. Unrecognized prior service cost and actuarial gains and losses in the defined benefit pension and other postretirement benefit plans subject to amortization are amortized over the average remaining service of the participants.

Contingent liabilities. A liability is contingent if the outcome or amount is not presently known, but may become known in the future as a result of the occurrence of some uncertain future event. We estimate our contingent liabilities based on management's estimates about the probability of outcomes and their ability to estimate the range of exposure. Accounting standards require that a liability be recorded if management determines that it is probable that a loss has occurred and the loss can be reasonably estimated. In addition, it must be probable that the loss will be confirmed by some future event. As part of the estimation process, management is required to make assumptions about matters that are by their nature highly uncertain.

The assessment of contingent liabilities, including legal contingencies, asset retirement obligations and environmental costs and obligations, involves the use of critical estimates, assumptions, and judgments. Management's estimates are based on their belief that future events will validate the current assumptions regarding the ultimate outcome of these

exposures. However, there can be no assurance that future events will not differ from management's assessments.

NewPage Acquisition. We have accounted for the NewPage Acquisition in accordance with GAAP by recognizing and measuring the total consideration transferred to and the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated fair values. The allocation of the purchase price to the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the NewPage acquisition includes necessary adjustments to reflect the estimated fair values of NewPage's assets and liabilities at the completion of the NewPage acquisition. The valuations reflected herein consist of appraisals, discounted cash flow analyses, or other appropriate valuation techniques to determine the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Accounting Changes Implemented

ASC Topic 205, Presentation of Financial Statements and ASC Topic 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment. In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity, which changes the criteria for determining which disposals can be presented as discontinued operations and modifies the related disclosure requirements. This guidance should be applied prospectively to new disposals and new classifications of disposal groups as held for sale after the effective date, which is fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those annual periods. The adoption of this amendment in the first quarter of 2015 did not have a material impact on the presentation of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 835, Interest. In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. This ASU changes the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements. Under the ASU, an entity presents such costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization of the costs will continue to be reported as interest expense. This guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. In accordance with the amendment guidance, we elected to early adopt the new requirement as of December 31, 2015.

As of December 31, 2015, approximately \$18 million of net debt issuance costs is included in Debt (see Note 8). The retrospective application of this guidance resulted in approximately \$23 million of net debt issuance costs being reclassified from Intangibles and other assets to Long-term debt as of December 31, 2014.

ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-13, Application of the Normal Purchases and Normal Sales Scope Exception to Certain Electricity Contracts within Nodal Energy Markets. This ASU allows the application of the normal purchases and normal sales scope exception to energy purchases or sales in nodal energy markets. According to ASU 2015-13, the use of locational marginal pricing by an independent system operator to determine a transmission charge or credit in a nodal energy market would not constitute a net settlement of a forward contract for the purchase or sale of electricity, even when legal title to the electricity is conveyed to the independent system operator during transmission. The guidance is effective upon issuance and must be applied prospectively. The adoption of ASU 2015-13 did not have an impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, which addresses the balance sheet classification of deferred taxes. This update requires that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent in the classified statement of financial position. The amendment is effective for financial statements issued for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. We elected to early adopt the new requirement. The amendment was adopted for the December 31, 2015 Consolidated Balance Sheet and prior period were not retrospectively reclassified.

Future Accounting Changes

ASC Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. This guidance will replace all current GAAP guidance on this topic and eliminate all industry-specific guidance. The new revenue recognition standard provides a unified model to determine when and how revenue is recognized. The core principle is that a company should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration for which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This guidance was effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and can be applied either retrospectively to each period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption; however, in August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Deferral of the Effective Date, which defers the effective date to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial

Statements.

ASC Topic 205, Presentation of Financial Statements-Going Concern. In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. This ASU provides guidance on determining when and how to disclose going concern uncertainties in the financial statements. This guidance is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 810, Consolidation. In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, Consolidation, which amends the requirements for consolidation and significantly changes the consolidation analysis required. This ASU is effective for annual

reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those years. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 330, Inventory. In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory. This ASU provides that entities should measure inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. Subsequent measurement is unchanged for inventory measured using LIFO or the retail inventory method. This ASU is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. This guidance eliminates the requirement for an acquirer in a business combination to account for measurement-period adjustments retrospectively. The acquirer must record, in the same period's financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization, or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other new accounting pronouncements issued but not effective until after December 31, 2015, are not expected to have a significant effect on our consolidated financial statements.

Financial Overview

In 2015, net sales increased 141%, or \$1,825 million, as sales volume increased 125% compared to 2014, which was driven primarily by the NewPage acquisition. When compared to 2014 sales as adjusted to include the impact of the NewPage acquisition and the sale of the Bucksport mill, our sales have declined, on a year-over-year basis, reflecting an increase in offshore imports and a decline in U.S. demand for coated papers. In 2014, net sales decreased 7%, or \$92 million, as sales volume decreased 4% and average sales price per ton for all products decreased 3% compared to 2013, which was driven by declining demand for coated papers. Our gross margin was 12.7% in 2015 compared to 9.3% in 2014, attributable mainly to increased volume in 2015.

In 2015, Verso reported a net loss of \$422 million, or \$5.19 per diluted share, and operating loss of \$155 million. Impacting the results for 2015 were the several restructuring events and the cost of integrating the NewPage acquisition into Verso's business. In 2014, Verso reported a net loss of \$353 million, or \$6.62 per diluted share, and operating loss of \$175 million. Impacting the results for 2014 were the significant restructuring costs associated with the closure of our Bucksport mill and costs incurred in connection with the NewPage acquisition.

Verso's Adjusted EBITDA was \$269 million in 2015 compared to \$84 million in 2014. (Note: Adjusted EBITDA is a non-GAAP financial measure and is defined and reconciled to net loss later in this report). EBITDA adjustments of \$116 million in 2015 consisted primarily of \$59 million in restructuring costs associated with severance and employee related costs and other restructuring charges associated with the NewPage acquisition, the shutdown of a pulp dryer and paper machine at the Androscoggin mill, the indefinite idling of the Wickliffe mill, and the closure of the Bucksport mill, \$18 million in integration costs associated with the NewPage acquisition, and another \$18 million of professional fees and other charges incurred in connection with the NewPage acquisition, including one-time impacts of purchase accounting. EBITDA adjustments of \$207 million in 2014 consisted primarily of \$141 million in restructuring costs associated with the closure of our Bucksport mill, \$39 million of costs incurred in connection with the NewPage acquisition, and \$17 million of unrealized losses on energy-related derivative contracts.

Results of Operations

The following tables set forth the historical results of operations of Verso and Verso Holdings for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013. The following discussion of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with our Consolidated Financial Statements and the related notes thereto included elsewhere in this annual report. All assets, liabilities, income, expenses and cash flows presented for all periods represent those of Verso's indirect, wholly owned subsidiary, Verso Holdings, in all material respects, except for Verso's common stock transactions and Verso Finance's debt obligation and related financing costs and interest expense. Unless otherwise noted, the information provided pertains to both Verso and Verso Holdings.

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO			VERSO HOLDINGS		
	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Net sales	\$3,122	\$1,297	\$1,389	\$3,122	\$1,297	\$1,389
Costs and expenses:						
Cost of products sold - (exclusive of depreciation, amortization, and depletion)	2,727	1,176	1,179	2,727	1,176	1,179
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	308	91	105	308	91	105
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	187	70	74	187	70	74
Restructuring charges	54	135	1	54	135	1
Other operating expense (income)	1	—	(4)	1	—	(4)
Operating (loss) income	(155)	(175)	34	(155)	(175)	34
Interest income	—	—	—	(2)	(2)	(2)
Interest expense	270	142	138	272	144	139
Other loss, net	—	39	8	—	39	8
Loss before income taxes	(425)	(356)	(112)	(425)	(356)	(111)
Income tax benefit	(3)	(3)	(1)	(3)	—	—
Net loss	\$(422)	\$(353)	\$(111)	\$(422)	\$(356)	\$(111)

2015 Compared to 2014

Net Sales. Net sales for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased 141% to \$3,122 million from \$1,297 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, which was driven primarily by the NewPage acquisition. Our sales increase was primarily driven by a 125% increase in total sales volume, from 1,624 thousand tons in 2014 to 3,647 thousand tons in 2015. The increase in volume, which was primarily due to the addition of sales resulting from NewPage acquisition, resulted in \$1,675 million of additional revenue. The revenue increase associated with the increased volume was augmented by the impact of pricing and product mix improvements of \$150 million, as the average sales price per ton increased from \$798 to \$856, for all of our products in the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. While we recognized pricing improvements in our paper segment, pricing resulted in a decrease in revenue for our pulp segment. When compared to 2014 sales as adjusted to include the impact of the NewPage acquisition and the sale of the Bucksport mill, our sales have declined, on a year-over-year basis, reflecting an increase in offshore imports and a decline in U.S. demand for coated papers.

Net sales for our paper segment increased 157% to \$2,914 million in the year ended December 31, 2015 from \$1,136 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, due to a 142% increase in paper sales volume to 3,269 thousand tons in the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to 1,350 thousand tons in the year ended December 31, 2014, supplemented by a 6% increase in average sales price per ton of paper to \$891 in year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$841 in the year ended December 31, 2014. The increased sales volume, which is primarily due to the addition of sales resulting from NewPage acquisition, resulted in \$1,613 million of additional revenue, which was augmented by the impact of changes in market pricing and product mix of \$163 million.

Net sales for our pulp segment increased 29% in the year ended December 31, 2015 to \$208 million from \$161 million in the year ended December 31, 2014, due primarily to a 38% increase in pulp sales volume to 378 thousand tons in the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to 274 thousand tons in the year ended December 31, 2014. The average sales price per ton declined 6% to \$550 in the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to \$586 in the year

ended December 31, 2014. The increased sales volume, which is primarily attributable to the addition of net sales as a result of the NewPage acquisition, contributed \$61 million of additional revenue, while pricing resulted in a decrease in revenue of \$14 million. The price decline was attributable to market price reductions.

Cost of sales. Cost of products sold, excluding depreciation, amortization, and depletion expenses, increased \$1,551 million, or 132%, in the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to the year ended December 31, 2014, primarily due to incremental costs as a result of the NewPage acquisition. Our gross margin, excluding depreciation, amortization, and depletion expenses, was 12.6% for the year ended December 31, 2015, compared to 9.3% for the year ended December 31, 2014, reflecting an

incremental \$274 million in gross margin, with \$151 million attributable to volume increases and \$123 million attributable to higher margin per ton as a result of different mix of paper products from NewPage. Depreciation, amortization, and depletion expenses increased \$217 million, or 238%, also primarily due to incremental costs as a result of the NewPage acquisition along with the accelerated depreciation recognized as part of the shutdown of a pulp dryer and paper machine at the Androscoggin mill.

Selling, general and administrative. Selling, general and administrative expenses for year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$117 million, or 167%, primarily due to incremental expenses as a result of the NewPage acquisition. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses were 6% for the year ended December 31, 2015 and 5% for the year ended December 31, 2014, respectively. This increase was primarily attributable to transaction and integration costs related to the NewPage acquisition of \$25 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, which are included in Selling, general and administrative expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses are allocated to the paper and pulp segments based on relative sales volume.

Restructuring charges. Restructuring charges for the year ended December 31, 2015 were \$54 million, compared to \$135 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. In 2015, restructuring charges consisted primarily of \$16 million of severance and benefit costs related primarily to the production capacity reductions at our Androscoggin and Wickliffe mills, \$16 million of severance and benefit costs related to efforts to integrate the legacy Verso and NewPage operations, and \$12 million of expenses related to the sale of the Bucksport mill. Restructuring charges of approximately \$46 million and \$8 million were attributable to the paper segment and the pulp segment, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Interest expense. Verso's interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$270 million, compared to \$142 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. Verso Holdings' interest expense was \$272 million for the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to \$144 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The change in interest expense year over year reflects the addition of the NewPage ABL Facility and NewPage Term Loan Facility, the 2015 First Lien Notes issued in connection with the NewPage acquisition and changes resulting from the completion of the second lien and subordinated notes exchange offers.

2014 Compared to 2013

Net Sales. Net sales for 2014 decreased 7% to \$1,297 million from \$1,389 million in 2013, due to a 4% decline in total sales volume, or \$57 million, and a 3% decrease in the average sales price, or \$35 million, for all of our products in 2014 compared to 2013.

Net sales for our paper segment decreased 8% to \$1,136 million in 2014 from \$1,233 million in 2013, due to a 5% decrease in paper sales volume, or \$58 million, and a 3% decline in average sales price per ton, or \$39 million, of coated paper compared to last year. The decline in sales volume and price were driven by declining demand for coated papers.

Net sales for our pulp segment increased 3% in 2014 to \$161 million from \$156 million in 2013. The average sales price per ton increased 3%, or \$4 million, while the sales volume increased less than 1%, or \$1 million, compared to 2013.

Cost of sales. Cost of sales, excluding depreciation, amortization, and depletion, was \$1,176 million in 2014 compared to \$1,179 million in 2013. Our gross margin, excluding depreciation, amortization, and depletion, was 9% for 2014 compared to 15% for 2013, reflecting higher coated paper prices in 2013, the change in our unrealized hedge positions due to market movements, and Bucksport related charges appearing in cost of sales. Depreciation, amortization, and depletion expenses were \$91 million for 2014 compared to \$105 million for 2013.

Selling, general, and administrative. Selling, general, and administrative expenses were \$70 million in 2014 compared to \$74 million in 2013. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses were flat at 5% for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. Selling, general and administrative expenses are allocated to the paper and pulp segments based on relative sales volume.

Restructuring charges. Restructuring charges in 2014 were \$135 million, and consisted primarily of fixed asset and other impairment charges of \$103 million and severance and benefit costs of \$27 million related to the closure of the Bucksport mill. Restructuring charges for 2013 were \$1 million, and consisted primarily of facility operations and personnel costs for the former Sartell mill site through the date of sale.

Interest expense. Verso's interest expense for 2014 was \$142 million compared to \$138 million in 2013. Verso Holdings' interest expense was \$144 million in 2014 compared to \$139 million in 2013.

Other loss, net. Other loss, net was \$39 million and \$8 million for 2014 and 2013, respectively, and reflected costs incurred in connection with the NewPage acquisition.

Reconciliation of Net Income (Loss) to Adjusted EBITDA

EBITDA consists of earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization. Adjusted EBITDA reflects adjustments to EBITDA to eliminate the impact of certain items that we do not consider to be indicative of the performance. It is a financial term commonly used in our industry. We use Adjusted EBITDA as a way of evaluating our performance relative to that of our peers. We believe that Adjusted EBITDA is an operating performance measure that provides investors and analysts with a measure of ongoing operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles, and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies.

You are encouraged to evaluate each adjustment and to consider whether the adjustment is appropriate. In addition, in evaluating Adjusted EBITDA, you should be aware that in the future, we may incur expenses similar to the adjustments included in the presentation of Adjusted EBITDA. We believe that the supplemental adjustments applied in calculating Adjusted EBITDA are reasonable and appropriate to provide additional information to investors. We also believe that Adjusted EBITDA is a useful measurement tool for assessing our ability to meet our future debt service, capital expenditures, and working capital requirements.

Because EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are not measurements determined in accordance with U.S. GAAP and are susceptible to varying calculations, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, as presented, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. You should consider our EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA in addition to, and not as a substitute for, or superior to, our operating or net income or cash flows from operating activities, which are determined in accordance with GAAP.

The following table reconciles net loss to Adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Reconciliation of net (loss) income to Adjusted EBITDA:			
Net loss	\$ (422)	\$ (353)	\$ (111)
Income tax (benefit) expense	(3)	(3)	(1)
Interest expense, net	270	142	138
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	308	91	105
EBITDA	\$ 153	\$ (123)	\$ 131
Restructuring charges ⁽¹⁾	59	141	1
Integration costs ⁽²⁾	18	—	—
NewPage acquisition-related costs ⁽³⁾	18	39	5
Reorganization charges ⁽⁴⁾	10	—	—
Loss (gain) on disposal of fixed assets ⁽⁵⁾	6	—	(4)
Hedge (gains) losses ⁽⁶⁾	(3)	17	(14)
Equity award expense ⁽⁷⁾	3	2	2
Trademark impairment ⁽⁸⁾	—	6	2
Loss on extinguishment of debt, net ⁽⁹⁾	—	—	3
Other items, net ⁽¹⁰⁾	5	2	4
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 269	\$ 84	\$ 130

(1) Costs primarily associated with severance and employee related costs and other restructuring charges associated with the NewPage acquisition, the shutdown of a pulp dryer and paper machine at the Androscoggin mill, the

indefinite idling of the Wickliffe mill, and the closure of the Bucksport mill.

(2) Integration costs associated with the NewPage acquisition.

(3) Professional fees and other charges incurred in connection with the NewPage acquisition, including one-time impacts of purchase accounting.

(4) Costs incurred in connection with advisory and legal services related to planning for company reorganization.

(5) Realized losses (gains) on the sale of fixed assets.

(6) Unrealized losses (gains) on energy-related derivative contracts.

- (7) Amortization of non-cash incentive compensation.
- (8) Non-cash impairment charge on trademarks.
- (9) Net losses related to debt refinancing.
- (10) Miscellaneous non-cash and other earnings adjustments.

Seasonality

We are exposed to fluctuations in quarterly net sales volumes and expenses due to seasonal factors. These seasonal factors are common in the coated paper industry. Typically, the first two quarters are our slowest quarters due to lower demand for coated paper during this period. Our third quarter is generally our strongest quarter, reflecting an increase in printing related to end-of-year magazines, increased end-of-year direct mailings, and holiday season catalogs. Our working capital and accounts receivable generally peak in the third quarter, while inventory generally peaks in the second quarter in anticipation of the third quarter season. We expect our seasonality trends to continue for the foreseeable future.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary sources of liquidity currently are existing cash on hand, subject to the terms of a cash collateral order with our lenders and approved by the Bankruptcy Court, borrowings under our DIP Facilities and cash flows generated from operations. Subsequent to and during pendency of filing the Chapter 11 petitions, we expect that our primary liquidity requirements will be to fund operations and make required payments under our DIP Facilities. Our ability to meet our DIP Facility requirements will be dependent on our ability to generate sufficient cash flows from operations.

Based on current financial projections, we expect to be able to continue to generate cash flows from operations in amounts sufficient to fund our operations, satisfy our interest and principal payment obligations on our DIP Facilities and pay administrative expenses including professional fees while under Chapter 11. We can make no assurances that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations to enable us to fund our operations, satisfy our interest and principal payment obligations or for other purposes.

See Item 2, “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Chapter 11 Bankruptcy Proceedings and Plan of Reorganization” for additional information related to our recent filing of voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11 and the Plan.

Our recent financial results have included several years of operating losses, cash flows used in operations, stockholders’ deficit, and negative working capital, including debt classified as Current liabilities. We are a highly leveraged company, with \$2.9 billion in borrowings outstanding under our existing financing arrangements as of December 31, 2015, including the NewPage Corp revolving credit facility, the “NewPage ABL Facility,” and floating rate senior secured term loan, the “NewPage Term Loan Facility.” Also as of December 31, 2015, we had \$16 million available for future borrowings under the Verso Holdings revolving credit facilities and nothing available for future borrowings under the NewPage ABL Facility (see Note 8). As a result of our cash flow and liquidity concerns, we began evaluating potential alternatives for the restructuring of our balance sheet prior to December 31, 2015.

Our liquidity concerns were exacerbated by difficult market conditions which challenged our profitability and operating cash flows. Our negative cash flows from operations caused an inability to support our significant interest payments and debt maturities and a need to refinance and/or extend the maturities of our outstanding debt. These liquidity matters raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We engaged PJT Partners L.P. to provide us with restructuring and transactional services and O’Melveny & Myers LLP to provide us with restructuring legal advice and assistance. As of December 31, 2015, we were not in compliance with certain of our

debt covenants. In the fourth quarter of 2015, we began discussions with certain of our creditor constituencies to explore potential restructuring alternatives. Subsequent to year end, on January 26, 2016, the Debtors filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code in Bankruptcy Court (see Note 23). Our restructuring is expected to occur through a court-supervised Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding. While we have entered into the RSA with our creditors, the implementation of the terms of such agreement through the Plan is subject to court approval and is subject to implementation risks as described in Note 23. As a result, all of our debt (excluding the \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund), is classified as Current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015.

Verso's and Verso Holdings' cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities, as reflected in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, are summarized in the following table.

41

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO			VERSO HOLDINGS		
	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Net cash (used in) provided by:						
Operating activities	\$(266)	\$(58)	\$(27)	\$(266)	\$(58)	\$(27)
Investing activities	111	(25)	(14)	111	(25)	(14)
Financing activities	153	78	(9)	153	78	(9)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	\$(2)	\$(5)	\$(50)	\$(2)	\$(5)	\$(50)

Operating activities. In 2015, Verso's net cash used in operating activities of \$266 million reflects a net loss of \$422 million adjusted for noncash depreciation, amortization, and accretion and asset impairment charges of \$330 million and in increase in cash used by changes in working capital of \$108 million.

Verso's net cash used in operating activities of \$58 million in 2014 reflected a net loss of \$353 million adjusted for noncash depreciation, amortization, depletion, and accretion and asset impairment charges totaling \$209 million and a decrease in cash used by changes in working capital of \$82 million, which was primarily due to decreases in inventory and accounts receivable and increases in accrued liabilities. In 2013, Verso's net cash used in operating activities of \$27 million reflected a net loss of \$111 million adjusted for noncash depreciation, amortization, depletion, and accretion and asset impairment totaling \$112 million and an increase in cash used by changes in working capital of \$26 million, compared to 2012, which was primarily due to increases in inventory and accounts receivable and decreases in accounts payable. Verso Holdings' operating cash flows are the same as those of Verso in all material respects.

Investing activities. In 2015, Verso's net cash provided by investing activities of \$111 million reflects cash acquired in the NewPage acquisition of \$128 million as well as proceeds from sale of assets of \$51 million including the sale of the Bucksport mill and were offset by capital expenditures of \$64 million. This compares to net cash used in investing activities of \$25 million in 2014, which reflected \$42 million in capital expenditures, offset by other investing cash inflows of \$15 million, primarily consisting of a deposit received from the buyer of the Bucksport mill. In 2013, net cash used in investing activities of \$14 million reflected \$41 million in capital expenditures, net of \$14 million received from governmental grants associated with a renewable energy project.

Financing activities. In 2015, Verso's net cash provided by financing activities of \$153 million resulted primarily from net borrowings on our revolving credit facilities. In 2014, Verso's net cash provided by financing activities of \$78 million consisted primarily from net borrowings on our revolving credit facilities offset by payments on the Second Priority Senior Secured Floating Rates Notes which matured in August 2014. In 2013, Verso's net cash used in financing activities of \$9 million consisted primarily of payments on the Verso Finance Senior Unsecured Term Loans. Verso Holdings' distribution to Verso Finance for payment of the Senior Unsecured Term Loans is reflected as a return of capital in the Statement of Cash Flows.

Indebtedness. As of December 31, 2015, the principal amount of Verso's total indebtedness was \$2,799 million and the principal amount of Verso Holdings' total indebtedness was \$2,822 million (including a \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund).

DIP Financing - In connection with the Chapter 11 Filings, Verso Finance, Verso Holdings and certain of its subsidiaries entered into the Verso DIP Facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$100 million, and NewPage Corp. and certain of its subsidiaries entered into the NewPage DIP ABL Facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$325 million and the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility in an aggregate principal amount of \$350 million. The NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility consists of \$175 million of new money term loans and \$175 million of "rolled up"

loans refinancing loan outstanding under the existing term loan facility of NewPage Corp. outstanding on the Petition Date (i.e., such loans were deemed to become loans under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility). On January 28, 2016, up to \$550 million in loans under the DIP Facilities became available for borrowing following the entry of an order by the Bankruptcy Court approving the DIP Facilities on an interim basis on January 27, 2016. The Bankruptcy Court entered orders approving the DIP Facilities on a final basis on March 2, 2016. On March 7, 2016, NewPage Corp. borrowed the remaining approximately \$55.4 million of new money loans available under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility, which it intends to use for general corporate purposes.

Verso DIP Facility

On January 26, 2016, Verso Holdings, Verso Finance, each of the subsidiaries of Verso Holdings party thereto, the lenders party thereto, Citibank, N.A., as administrative agent, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as joint bookrunners and lead arrangers, and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as documentation agent, entered into a Superpriority Secured Debtor-in-Possession Credit Agreement, or the “Verso DIP Facility,” relating to an asset-backed credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$100 million. The Verso DIP Facility also includes a sub-facility for letters of credit in an aggregate amount of up to \$50 million. The Verso DIP Facility was entered into for working capital and general corporate purposes, including to refinance indebtedness under Verso Holdings’ existing first lien asset-backed revolving credit agreement.

The Verso DIP Facility matures on July 28, 2017 unless, prior to the end of such term, (a) a plan of reorganization filed in the Chapter 11 Cases is confirmed pursuant to an order entered by the Bankruptcy Court, or (b) the loans are accelerated and commitments terminated in accordance with the terms of the Verso DIP Facility.

Borrowings under the Verso DIP Facility bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at Verso Holdings’ option, either (a) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (1) the U.S. federal funds rate plus 0.50%, (2) the prime rate of the administrative agent, and (3) the adjusted LIBO (as defined below) rate for a one-month interest period plus 1.00%, or (b) a eurocurrency rate, or “LIBOR,” determined by reference to the costs of funds for eurocurrency deposits in dollars in the London interbank market for the interest period relevant to such borrowing, adjusted for certain additional costs. The applicable margin for advances under the Verso DIP Facility is 1.50% for base rate advances and 2.50% for LIBO rate advances. Verso Holdings will pay commitment fees for the unused amount of commitments under the Verso DIP Facility at an annual rate equal to 0.75%.

Subject to minimum prepayment amounts, Verso Holdings has the right to prepay loans under the Verso DIP Facility at any time without prepayment penalty, other than customary “breakage” costs with respect to eurocurrency loans. The Verso DIP Facility is subject to mandatory prepayments equal to the amount that the excess availability thereunder falls below certain specified levels.

Verso Finance and certain subsidiaries of Verso Holdings, including Verso Paper LLC, Verso Inc., Verso Androscoggin LLC, Bucksport Leasing LLC, Verso Sartell LLC, Verso Quinnesec LLC, Verso Quinnesec REP Holding Inc., Verso Maine Energy LLC, Verso Fiber Farm LLC, nexTier Solutions Corporation and NewPage, have agreed to guarantee borrowings under the Verso DIP Facility. Such guarantors do not include NewPage LLC and its direct and indirect subsidiaries. The obligations under the Verso DIP Facility constitute, subject to carve-outs for certain fees and expenses, superpriority administrative expense claims in the Chapter 11 Cases, secured by a perfected first priority security interest and liens on the ABL priority collateral, which includes most inventory, accounts receivable, bank accounts and certain other assets of the loan parties to the Verso DIP Facility, and a perfected junior security interest and liens on the note priority collateral, which generally includes most other assets of the loan parties to the Verso DIP Facility.

The Verso DIP Facility contains provisions requiring the attainment of various milestones regarding a plan of reorganization to be submitted in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, including an acceptable plan of reorganization becoming effective by July 11, 2017.

The Verso DIP Facility requires the maintenance of minimum EBITDA tested monthly based on amounts set forth therein and a minimum availability tested at the close of each business day of \$5 million until July 28, 2016, \$7.5 million from July 28, 2016 to January 28, 2017, and \$10 million thereafter. The Verso DIP Facility also contains certain covenants which, among other things, and subject to certain exceptions, restrict Verso Holdings’ ability to incur additional debt or liens, pay dividends, repurchase its limited liability company interests, prepay certain other indebtedness, sell, transfer, lease, or dispose of assets, and make investments in or merge with another company. If Verso Holdings were to violate any of the covenants under the Verso DIP Facility and were unable to obtain a waiver, it would be considered a default. If Verso Holdings were in default under the Verso DIP Facility, no additional borrowings thereunder would be available until the default was waived or cured, and all obligations would become immediately due and payable. The Verso DIP Facility provides for customary events of default, including a cross-event of default provision in respect of post-petition or unstayed indebtedness in excess of \$15 million and the NewPage DIP Facilities.

NewPage DIP Facilities

On January 26, 2016, NewPage LLC, NewPage Corp., each of the subsidiaries of NewPage Corp. party thereto, the lenders party thereto, Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent and collateral agent, BMO Harris Bank N.A., as co-collateral agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as syndication agent, and Barclays Bank PLC, BMO Capital Markets Corp and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as joint lead arrangers and joint bookrunners, entered into a Superpriority Senior Debtor-in-Possession Asset-Based Revolving Credit Agreement, or the “NewPage DIP ABL Facility,” relating to an asset-backed credit facility in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$325 million. The NewPage DIP ABL Facility also includes a sub-facility for letters of credit in an aggregate amount of \$100 million. On the same date, NewPage LLC, NewPage Corp., each of the subsidiaries of NewPage Corp. party thereto, the lenders party thereto, Barclays Bank PLC, as administrative agent and collateral agent, and Barclays Bank PLC, as lead arranger and bookrunner, entered into a Superpriority Senior Debtor-in-Possession Term Loan Agreement, or the “NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility” and, together with the NewPage DIP ABL Facility, the “NewPage DIP Facilities,” in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$350 million consisting of \$175 million of new money term loans and \$175 million of “rolled up” loans refinancing loan outstanding under the existing term loan facility of NewPage Corp. and the other parties thereto. The NewPage DIP Facilities were entered into for working capital and general corporate purposes, including to refinance indebtedness under NewPage Corp.’s existing senior secured asset-backed revolving credit facility.

Each of the NewPage DIP Facilities matures on July 28, 2017 unless, prior to the end of such term, (a) a plan of reorganization filed in the Chapter 11 Cases is confirmed pursuant to an order entered by the Bankruptcy Court, (b) a sale is consummated of all or substantially all of the assets of the debtors under the NewPage DIP Facilities, or (c) the loans are accelerated and commitment terminated in accordance with the terms of the NewPage DIP Facilities.

Borrowings under the NewPage DIP Facilities bear interest at a rate equal to an applicable margin plus, at NewPage Corp.’s option, either (a) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (1) the U.S. federal funds rate plus 0.50%, (2) the prime rate of the administrative agent, and (3) the adjusted LIBO rate for a one-month interest period plus 1.00%, or (b) a LIBO rate for the interest period relevant to such borrowing, adjusted for certain additional costs (provided that LIBO will be no less than 0% in the case of the NewPage DIP ABL Facility and no less than 1.50% in the case of the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility). The applicable margin for advances under the NewPage DIP ABL Facility is 1.50% for base rate advances and 2.50% for LIBO rate advances, and the applicable margin for advances under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility is 8.50% for base rate advances and 9.50% for LIBO rate advances. Interest that accrues from time to time on any “rolled up” term loans under the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility will be capitalized, compounded and added to the unpaid principal amount of such “rolled up” loans on the applicable interest payment date. NewPage Corp. will pay commitment fees for the unused amount of commitments under the NewPage DIP Facilities at an annual rate equal to 0.375%.

Subject to minimum prepayment amounts, NewPage Corp. has the right to prepay loans under the NewPage DIP Facilities at any time without prepayment penalty, other than customary “breakage” costs. The NewPage DIP Facilities are subject to mandatory prepayments resulting from incurrence of indebtedness not permitted by the NewPage DIP Facilities, sales of collateral exceeding specified thresholds, and, in the case of the NewPage DIP ABL Facility, usage exceeding the availability thereunder.

NewPage LLC, and all of NewPage Corp.’s existing and future direct and indirect wholly owned subsidiaries, or the “NewPage Guarantors,” subject to certain exceptions, have agreed to guarantee borrowings under the NewPage DIP Facilities. Debt outstanding under the NewPage DIP Facilities is secured by substantially all of the assets of NewPage Corp. and the guarantors under the NewPage DIP Facilities, subject to certain exceptions.

Subject to carveouts for certain fees and expenses, the obligations under the NewPage DIP ABL Facility constitute superpriority administrative expense claims in the Chapter 11 Cases, secured by a perfected first priority security interest and liens with respect to most inventory, accounts receivable, bank accounts and certain other assets of NewPage Corp. and the NewPage Guarantors (the “ABL Priority Collateral”), and a perfected junior priority security interest and liens with respect to the Term Loan Priority Collateral (as defined below). Subject to carveouts for certain fees and expenses, the obligations under the NewPage Term DIP Facility constitute superpriority administrative expense claims in the Chapter 11 Cases, secured by a perfected first priority security interest and liens with respect all

of the loan party's collateral that is not ABL Priority Collateral, or the "Term Loan Priority Collateral," and a perfected junior priority security interest and liens with respect to ABL Priority Collateral.

The NewPage DIP Facilities contain provisions requiring the attainment of various milestones regarding a plan of reorganization to be submitted in connection with the Chapter 11 Cases, including an acceptable plan of reorganization becoming effective July 11, 2017.

The NewPage DIP ABL Facility requires the maintenance of minimum EBITDA tested monthly based on amounts set forth therein and a minimum availability at any time of \$15 million until January 28, 2017, increasing to \$20 million thereafter. The NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility requires the maintenance of minimum EBITDA tested monthly based on amounts set forth therein, and contains covenants relating to minimum cumulative collections tested monthly based on amounts set forth therein. The NewPage DIP Facilities also contain certain covenants which, among other things, and subject to certain exceptions, restrict NewPage Corp.'s ability to incur additional debt or liens, pay dividends, repurchase its common stock, prepay certain other indebtedness, sell, transfer, lease, or dispose of assets, and make investments in or merge with another company. If NewPage Corp. were to violate any of the covenants under the NewPage DIP Facilities and were unable to obtain a waiver, it would be considered a default. If NewPage Corp. were in default under the NewPage DIP Facilities, no additional borrowings under the applicable facility would be available until the default was waived or cured, and all obligations would become immediately due and payable. The NewPage DIP Facilities provide for customary events of default, including a cross-event of default provision in respect of post-petition or unstayed indebtedness in excess of \$15 million and other debtor-in-possession financing, including those for Verso Finance, Verso Holdings, and certain of their subsidiaries.

Effect of Inflation

While inflationary increases in certain input costs, such as for energy, wood fiber, and chemicals, have an impact on our operating results, changes in general inflation have had minimal impact on our operating results in the last three years. Sales prices and volumes are more strongly influenced by supply and demand factors in specific markets and by exchange rate fluctuations than by inflationary factors. We cannot assure you, however, that we will not be affected by general inflation in the future.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2015. Commercial commitments include lines of credit, guarantees, and other potential cash outflows resulting from a contingent event that requires our performance pursuant to a funding commitment.

As previously noted, we and substantially all of our direct and indirect subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in Bankruptcy Court on January 26, 2016. In connection with the Chapter 11 Filings, Verso Finance, Verso Holdings and certain of its subsidiaries entered into the Verso DIP Facility for an aggregate principal amount of up to \$100 million, and NewPage Corp. and certain of its subsidiaries entered into the NewPage DIP ABL Facility for an aggregate principal amount of up to \$325 million and the NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility for an aggregate principal amount of \$350 million. The NewPage Term Loan DIP Facility consists of \$175 million of new money term loans and \$175 million of roll up loans refinancing loan outstanding under the existing term loan facility of NewPage Corp. outstanding on the Petition Date. Further information on the terms of the DIP Facilities is included below under “-Liquidity and Capital Resources-Verso DIP Facility” and “-Liquidity and Capital Resources-NewPage DIP Facilities.” The table below does not reflect payment obligations under our DIP Facilities entered in January 2016.

Also, on March 26, 2016, we filed a Plan with the Bankruptcy Court. Terms of the Plan, which are subject in all respects to the specific terms and definitions set forth in the Plan, include, but are not limited to the elimination of much of our outstanding indebtedness and the issuance of 100% of Verso's equity (subject to dilution by the Plan Warrants issued to certain creditors and equity issued to our employees under a potential management incentive plan) to our existing creditors in exchange for elimination of such indebtedness. Because we can provide no assurances that the Plan as currently contemplated will be adopted, we have not reflected the changes to our debt obligations in the table below if the Plan were to be adopted.

(Dollars in millions)	Payments due by period				
	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	3-5 years	More than 5 years
Verso Holdings LLC					
Debt ⁽¹⁾	\$3,857	\$356	\$761	\$2,288	\$452
Loan from Verso Paper Finance Holdings LLC	60	2	3	3	52
Operating leases	22	8	11	2	1
Purchase obligations ⁽²⁾	283	89	76	58	60
Other long-term liabilities ⁽³⁾	42	1	7	4	30
Total contractual obligations for Verso Holdings	4,264	456	858	2,355	595
Debt for Verso Finance	60	2	3	3	52
Eliminate loans from affiliates	(120)	(4)	(6)	(6)	(104)
Total contractual obligations for Verso	\$4,204	\$454	\$855	\$2,352	\$543

Debt includes principal payments, commitment fees, and interest payable. A portion of interest expense is at a variable rate and has been calculated using current LIBOR. Note that as a result of our Chapter 11 Filings on January 26, 2016, our expected debt payments are anticipated to change upon approval of the Plan. Also note that (1) as of December 31, 2015, all of our debt (excluding the \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund), is classified as Current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015.

(2) Purchase obligations include unconditional purchase obligations for power purchase agreements (gas and electricity), machine clothing, and other commitments for advertising, raw materials, or storeroom inventory.

(3) Other long-term liabilities reflected above represent the gross amount of asset retirement obligations.

In 2016, we expect to make cash contributions of approximately \$26 million to the pension plans and approximately \$7 million to the postretirement benefit plans.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to market risk from fluctuations in our paper prices, interest rates, energy prices, and commodity prices for our inputs.

Paper Prices

Our sales, which we report net of rebates, allowances, and discounts, are a function of the number of tons of paper that we sell and the price at which we sell our paper. Paper prices historically have been a function of macroeconomic factors that influence supply and demand. Price has historically been substantially more variable than volume and can change significantly over relatively short time periods.

We are primarily focused on serving the following end-user segments: general commercial print, catalogs and magazines. Coated paper demand is primarily driven by advertising and print media usage. Advertising spending and magazine and catalog circulation tend to correlate with gross domestic product, or “GDP,” in the United States, as they rise with a strong economy and contract with a weak economy, which impacts media spend which further impacts magazine and catalog subscriptions.

Many of our customers provide us with forecasts of their paper needs, which allows us to plan our production runs in advance, optimizing production over our integrated mill system and thereby reducing costs and increasing overall efficiency. Generally, our sales agreements do not extend beyond the calendar year, and they typically provide for semiannual price adjustments based on market price movements.

We reach our end-users through several channels, including printers, brokers, paper merchants, and direct sales to end-users. We sell and market our products to approximately 300 customers. During 2015, Veritiv Corporation accounted for approximately 20% of our total net sales.

Interest Rates

We have issued fixed- and floating-rate debt in order to manage our variability to cash flows from interest rates. Borrowings under the revolving credit facilities accrue interest at variable rates. A 100 basis point increase in quoted interest rates on our outstanding floating-rate debt as of December 31, 2015, would increase annual interest expense by \$11 million. While we may enter into agreements limiting our exposure to higher interest rates, any such agreements may not offer complete protection from this risk.

Since December 31, 2015, we and substantially all of our direct and indirect subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in Bankruptcy Court. In connection with our Chapter 11 proceedings, we entered into DIP Facilities. Borrowings under the DIP Facilities accrue interest at a variable rate.

Derivatives

In the normal course of business, we utilize derivatives contracts as part of our risk management strategy to manage our exposure to market fluctuations in energy prices. These instruments are subject to credit and market risks in excess of the amount recorded on the balance sheet in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Controls and monitoring procedures for these instruments have been established and are routinely reevaluated. We have an Energy Risk Management Policy which was adopted by our board of directors and is monitored by an Energy Risk Management Committee composed of our senior management. In addition, we have an Interest Rate Risk Committee which was formed to monitor our Interest Rate Risk Management Policy. Credit risk represents the potential loss that may occur because a party to a transaction fails to perform according to the terms of the contract. The measure of credit exposure is the replacement cost of contracts with a positive fair value. We manage credit risk by entering into financial instrument transactions only through approved counterparties. Market risk represents the potential loss due to the decrease in the value of a financial instrument caused primarily by changes in commodity prices. We manage market risk by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken.

We do not hedge the entire exposure of our operations from commodity price volatility for a variety of reasons. To the extent that we do not hedge against commodity price volatility, our results of operations may be affected either favorably or unfavorably by a shift in the future price curve. As of December 31, 2015, we had liabilities for net unrealized losses of \$3 million on open commodity contracts with maturities of one to nine months. These derivative instruments involve the exchange of net cash settlements, based on changes in the price of the underlying commodity index compared to the fixed price offering, at specified intervals without the exchange of any underlying principal. A 10% decrease in commodity prices as of December 31, 2015 would have an immaterial negative impact on the fair value of such instruments. This quantification of exposure to market risk does not take into account the offsetting impact of changes in prices on anticipated future energy purchases.

Commodity Prices

We are subject to changes in our cost of sales caused by movements underlying commodity prices. The principal components of our cost of sales are chemicals, wood, energy, labor, maintenance, and depreciation, amortization, and depletion. Costs for commodities, including chemicals, wood and energy, are the most variable component of our cost of sales because their prices can fluctuate substantially, sometimes within a relatively short period of time. In addition, our aggregate commodity purchases fluctuate based on the volume of paper that we produce.

Chemicals. Chemicals utilized in the manufacturing of coated papers include latex, clay, starch, calcium carbonate, caustic soda, sodium chlorate, and titanium dioxide. We purchase these chemicals from a variety of suppliers and are

not dependent on any single supplier to satisfy our chemical needs. We expect imbalances in supply and demand to periodically create volatility in prices for certain chemicals.

Wood. Our costs to purchase wood are affected directly by market costs of wood in our regional markets and indirectly by the effect of higher fuel costs on logging and transportation of timber to our facilities. While we have in place fiber supply agreements that ensure a substantial portion of our wood requirements, purchases under these agreements are typically at market rates.

Energy. In 2015, we produced approximately 53% of our energy needs for our paper mills from sources such as waste wood, waste water, hydroelectric facilities, liquid biomass from our pulping process, and internal energy cogeneration facilities. Our external energy purchases vary across each of our mills and include fuel oil, natural gas, coal, and electricity. While our

internal energy production capacity and ability to switch between certain energy sources mitigates the volatility of our overall energy expenditures, we expect prices for energy to remain volatile for the foreseeable future. We utilize derivatives contracts as part of our risk management strategy to manage our exposure to market fluctuations in energy prices.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

None.

48

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Verso Corporation
Verso Paper Holdings LLC

Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013

Index to Financial Statements

<u>Management's Reports on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>	<u>50</u>
<u>Reports of Deloitte & Touche LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	<u>51</u>
Consolidated Financial Statements	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>53</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	<u>54</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity of Verso Corporation</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Member's Equity of Verso Paper Holdings LLC</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>58</u>
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>59</u>

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING - VERSO CORPORATION

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of Verso Corporation's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based upon the guidelines established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Verso Corporation's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Based on the results of our evaluation, our management concluded that Verso Corporation's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015. We reviewed the results of management's assessment with our Audit Committee.

MANAGEMENT'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING - VERSO PAPER HOLDINGS LLC

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of Verso Paper Holdings LLC's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015, based upon the guidelines established in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (2013) issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Verso Paper Holdings LLC's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Based on the results of our evaluation, our management concluded that Verso Paper Holdings LLC's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015. We reviewed the results of management's assessment with our Audit Committee.

REPORT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM, ON CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of Verso Corporation:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Verso Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Notes 1 and 23 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company is experiencing recurring net losses and cash used in operating activities, and as of December 31, 2015 has an equity deficit, an excess of current liabilities over current assets, and failed to comply with certain debt covenant requirements. Also, in January 2016, the Company failed to make certain required interest payments and filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. These circumstances raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans concerning these matters are also discussed in Note 23 to the consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements do not include adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Memphis, Tennessee
April 14, 2016

REPORT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP, INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM, ON CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

To the Board of Directors and Member of Verso Paper Holdings LLC:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Verso Paper Holdings LLC, and subsidiaries, (the “Company”), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Verso Paper Finance Holdings LLC, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income (loss), changes in member’s equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2015 have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Notes 1 and 23 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company is experiencing recurring net losses and cash used in operating activities, and as of December 31, 2015 has an equity deficit, an excess of current liabilities over current assets, and failed to comply with certain debt covenant requirements. Also, in January 2016, the Company failed to make certain required interest payments and filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code. These circumstances raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. Management’s plans concerning these matters are also discussed in Note 23 to the consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements do not include adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Memphis, Tennessee
April 14, 2016

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO		VERSO HOLDINGS	
	December 31, 2015	2014	December 31, 2015	2014
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$4	\$6	\$4	\$6
Accounts receivable, net	226	88	226	88
Inventories	484	110	484	110
Assets held for sale	5	61	5	61
Prepaid expenses and other assets	32	11	32	11
Total current assets	751	276	751	276
Property, plant, and equipment, net	1,857	531	1,857	531
Intangibles and other assets, net	102	48	125	71
Total assets	\$2,710	\$855	\$2,733	\$878
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$113	\$63	\$113	\$63
Accrued liabilities	267	205	267	206
Debt	2,879	30	2,879	30
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	—	2	—	2
Total current liabilities	3,259	300	3,259	301
Long-term debt	—	1,274	23	1,297
Other liabilities	634	65	630	60
Total liabilities	3,893	1,639	3,912	1,658
Commitments and contingencies (Note 18)	—	—	—	—
Equity:				
Preferred stock -- par value \$0.01 (20,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued)	—	—	n/a	n/a
Common stock -- par value \$0.01 (250,000,000 shares authorized with 82,115,543 shares issued and 81,874,254 outstanding on December 31, 2015, and 1 with 53,434,698 shares issued and 53,336,634 outstanding on December 31, 2014)		1	n/a	n/a
Treasury stock -- at cost (241,289 shares on December 31, 2015 and 98,064 shares on December (1 31, 2014)) —	n/a	n/a
Paid-in-capital	321	222	332	234
Retained deficit	(1,402) (980) (1,409) (987
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(102) (27) (102) (27
Total deficit	(1,183) (784) (1,179) (780
Total liabilities and equity	\$2,710	\$855	\$2,733	\$878

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Dollars in millions, except per share amounts)	VERSO Year Ended December 31,			VERSO HOLDINGS Year Ended December 31,			
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013	
Net sales	\$3,122	\$1,297	\$1,389	\$3,122	\$1,297	\$1,389	
Costs and expenses:							
Cost of products sold (exclusive of depreciation, amortization and depletion)	2,727	1,176	1,179	2,727	1,176	1,179	
Depreciation, amortization and depletion	308	91	105	308	91	105	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	187	70	74	187	70	74	
Restructuring charges	54	135	1	54	135	1	
Other operating expense (income)	1	—	(4) 1	—	(4)
Operating (loss) income	(155) (175) 34	(155) (175) 34	
Interest income	—	—	—	(2) (2) (2)
Interest expense	270	142	138	272	144	139	
Other loss, net	—	39	8	—	39	8	
Loss before income taxes	(425) (356) (112) (425) (356) (111)
Income tax benefit	(3) (3) (1) (3) —) —)
Net loss	\$(422) \$(353) \$(111) \$(422) \$(356) \$(111)
Loss per common share:							
Basic	\$(5.19) \$(6.62) \$(2.09)			
Diluted	(5.19) (6.62) \$(2.09)			
Weighted average common shares outstanding (in thousands):							
Basic	81,295	53,293	53,124				
Diluted	81,295	53,293	53,124				

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO			VERSO HOLDINGS		
	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Net loss	\$(422) \$(353) \$(111) \$(422) \$(356) \$(111
Other comprehensive (loss) income:						
Defined benefit pension plan:						
Pension liability adjustment	(78) (17) 11	(78) (17) 11
Amortization of net loss and prior service cost	3	1	3	3	1	3
Other comprehensive (loss) income	(75) (16) 14	(75) (16) 14
Comprehensive loss	\$(497) \$(369) \$(97) \$(497) \$(372) \$(97

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

VERSO CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015, 2014, AND 2013

(Dollars in millions, shares in thousands)	Common Shares	Common Stock	Treasury Shares	Treasury Stock	Paid-in- Capital	Retained Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Stockholders' Equity (Deficit)	
Balance - December 31, 2012	52,951	\$1	(55)\$—	\$218	\$(516)\$(25)\$(322)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(111)—	(111)
Other comprehensive income	—	—	—	—	—	—	14	14	
Common stock issued for restricted stock, net	296	—	(19)—	—	—	—	—	
Equity award expense	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	2	
Balance - December 31, 2013	53,247	1	(74)—	220	(627)(11)(417)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(353)—	(353)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(16)(16)
Treasury shares acquired	—	—	(24)—	—	—	—	—	
Stock option exercise	42	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Common stock issued for restricted stock, net	146	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Equity award expense	—	—	—	—	2	—	—	2	
Balance - December 31, 2014	53,435	1	(98)—	222	(980)(27)(784)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(422)—	(422)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(75)(75)
Treasury shares acquired	—	—	(143)(1)—	—	—	(1)
Stock option exercise	14	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Common stock issued for restricted stock, net	357	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	
Stock issued for NewPage acquisition	13,607	—	—	—	46	—	—	46	
Stock issued for convertible warrants	14,702	—	—	—	50	—	—	50	
Equity award expense	—	—	—	—	3	—	—	3	
Balance - December 31, 2015	82,115	\$1	(241)\$(1)\$321	\$(1,402)\$(102)(1,183)

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

VERSO PAPER HOLDINGS LLC
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN MEMBER'S EQUITY
FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015, 2014, AND 2013

(Dollars in millions)	Paid-in- Capital	Retained Deficit	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Member's Equity (Deficit)
Balance - December 31, 2012	\$ 324	\$(520)	\$(25)	\$(221)
Return of capital	(95))—	—	(95)
Net loss	—	(111))—	(111)
Other comprehensive income	—	—	14	14
Equity award expense	2	—	—	2
Balance - December 31, 2013	231	(631))(11)(411)
Contribution from parent	1	—	—	1
Net loss	—	(356))—	(356)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	(16))(16)
Equity award expense	2	—	—	2
Balance - December 31, 2014	234	(987))(27)(780)
Contribution from parent	95	—	—	95
Net loss	—	(422))—	(422)
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	(75))(75)
Equity award expense	3	—	—	3
Balance - December 31, 2015	\$ 332	\$(1,409)	\$(102)	\$(1,179)

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO			VERSO HOLDINGS		
	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Cash Flows From Operating Activities:						
Net loss	\$(422) \$(353) \$(111) \$(422) \$(356) \$(111
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:						
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	308	91	105	308	91	105
Noncash restructuring charges	7	103	—	7	103	—
Periodic pension expense (income)	(1) 8	9	(1) 8	9
Pension plan contributions	(28) (8) —	(28) (8) —
Amortization of debt issuance costs	6	8	5	6	8	5
Accretion of discount on long-term debt	3	1	1	3	1	1
Equity award expense	3	2	2	3	2	2
Loss (gain) on disposal of assets	7	—	(4) 7	—	(4
Trademark impairment	—	6	2	—	6	2
Other, net	(9) 17	(14) (9) 17	(14
Changes in assets and liabilities (net of assets acquired):						
Accounts receivable	24	17	(4) 24	17	(4
Inventories	15	17	(6) 15	17	(6
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(15) (15) 5	(15) (15) 5
Accounts payable	(91) (22) (8) (91) (22) (8
Accrued liabilities	(73) 70	(9) (73) 73	(9
Net cash used in operating activities	(266) (58) (27) (266) (58) (27
Cash Flows From Investing Activities:						
Proceeds from sale of assets	51	1	28	51	1	28
Transfers from restricted cash, net	1	1	(1) 1	1	(1
Capital expenditures	(64) (42) (41) (64) (42) (41
Cash acquired in acquisition	128	—	—	128	—	—
Other investing activities	(5) 15	—	(5) 15	—
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	111	(25) (14) 111	(25) (14
Cash Flows From Financing Activities:						
Borrowings on revolving credit facilities	723	433	145	723	433	145
Payments on revolving credit facilities	(567) (340) (145) (567) (340) (145
Debt issuance costs	—	(2) —	—	(2) —
Repayment of long-term debt	(3) (13) (9) (3) (13) —
Return of capital	—	—	—	—	—	(9
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	153	78	(9) 153	78	(9
Change in cash and cash equivalents	(2) (5) (50) (2) (5) (50
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	6	11	61	6	11	61
	\$4	\$6	\$11	\$4	\$6	\$11

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period

Total interest paid	\$246	\$117	\$129	\$247	\$118	\$131
Total income taxes paid (received)	—	—	—	—	—	—

See notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

58

VERSO CORPORATION AND VERSO PAPER HOLDINGS LLC

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2015 AND 2014, AND FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2015, 2014, AND 2013

1. SUMMARY OF BUSINESS AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Business — Verso Corporation is the ultimate parent entity and the sole member of Verso Paper Finance Holdings One LLC, which is the sole member of Verso Paper Finance Holdings LLC, which is the sole member of Verso Paper Holdings LLC. As used in this report, the term “Verso” refers to Verso Corporation; the term “Verso Finance” refers to Verso Paper Finance Holdings LLC; the term “Verso Holdings” refers to Verso Paper Holdings LLC; the term “NewPage” refers to NewPage Holdings Inc., an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso; the term “NewPage Corp” refers to NewPage Corporation, an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of NewPage; and the term for any such entity includes its direct and indirect subsidiaries when referring to the entity’s consolidated financial condition or results. Unless otherwise noted, references to “Verso,” “we,” “us,” and “our” refer collectively to Verso Corporation and Verso Holdings. Other than Verso’s common stock transactions, Verso Finance’s debt obligation and related financing costs and interest expense, Verso Holdings’ loan to Verso Finance, and the debt obligation of Verso Holdings’ consolidated variable interest entity to Verso Finance, the assets, liabilities, income, expenses and cash flows presented for all periods represent those of Verso Holdings in all material respects. Unless otherwise noted, the information provided pertains to both Verso and Verso Holdings.

On January 3, 2014, Verso, Verso Merger Sub Inc., an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso, or “Merger Sub,” and NewPage entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger, or the “Merger Agreement,” pursuant to which the parties agreed to merge Merger Sub with and into NewPage on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, with NewPage surviving the merger as an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso. On January 7, 2015, Verso consummated the previously announced acquisition of NewPage through the merger of Merger Sub with and into NewPage, or the “NewPage acquisition,” pursuant to the Merger Agreement. As a result of the merger of Merger Sub with and into NewPage, Merger Sub’s separate corporate existence ceased and NewPage continued as the surviving corporation and an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso (see Note 4). As such, the Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2015, include the results of operations of NewPage beginning January 7, 2015. In 2015, we changed our reporting increment from thousands to millions.

We operate in the following two market segments: paper and pulp (see Note 20). Our core business platform is as a producer of coated freesheet and coated groundwood papers. Our products are used primarily in media and marketing applications, including catalogs, magazines, and commercial printing applications such as high-end advertising brochures, annual reports, and direct-mail advertising.

Basis of Presentation — This report contains the Consolidated Financial Statements of Verso and Verso Holdings as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013. Variable interest entities for which Verso or Verso Holdings is the primary beneficiary are also consolidated. Intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation.

Going Concern — Our recent financial results have included several years of operating losses, cash flows used in operations, stockholders’ deficit, and negative working capital, including debt classified as Current liabilities. We are a highly leveraged company, with \$2,799 million in borrowings outstanding under our existing financing arrangements as of December 31, 2015, including the NewPage Corp revolving credit facility, the “NewPage ABL Facility,” and floating rate senior secured term loan, the “NewPage Term Loan Facility.” Also as of December 31, 2015, we had \$16 million available for future borrowings under the Verso Holdings revolving credit facilities and nothing available for

future borrowings under the NewPage ABL Facility (see Note 8). As a result of our cash flow and liquidity concerns, we began evaluating potential alternatives for the restructuring of our balance sheet prior to December 31, 2015.

Our negative cash flows from operations caused an inability to support our significant interest payments and debt maturities and a need to refinance and/or extend the maturities of our outstanding debt. These liquidity matters raised substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. We engaged PJT Partners L.P. to provide us with restructuring and transactional services and O'Melveny & Myers LLP to provide us with restructuring legal advice and assistance. As of December 31, 2015, we were not in compliance with certain of our debt covenants. In the fourth quarter of 2015, we began discussions with certain of our creditor constituencies to explore potential restructuring alternatives. Subsequent to year end, on January 26, 2016, Verso and substantially all of its direct and indirect subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in Bankruptcy Court in the District of Delaware (see Note 23). The Chapter

11 Filings constituted an event of default and automatic acceleration under the agreements governing all of our debt (excluding the \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund). Our restructuring is expected to occur through a court-supervised Chapter 11 bankruptcy proceeding. While we have reached a restructuring support agreement with our creditors holding at least a majority in principal amount of substantially all tranches of our funded debt, the implementation of the terms of such agreement through the Plan is subject to court approval and is subject to implementation risks as described in Note 23. As a result, all of our debt (excluding the \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund) is classified as Current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015.

The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared on a going-concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. These financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recovery of the recorded assets or the classification of the liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern. Based on the factors discussed above, we have substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern (see Note 23).

Use of Estimates — The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, or “GAAP,” requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition — Sales are recorded net of rebates, allowances, and discounts. Revenue is recognized when the customer takes title and assumes the risks and rewards of ownership, in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board, or “FASB,” Accounting Standards Codification, or “ASC,” Topic 605, Revenue Recognition. Revenue is recorded at the time of shipment for terms designated FOB, or “free on board,” shipping point. For sales transactions designated FOB destination, revenue is recorded when the product is delivered to the customer’s site and when title and risk of loss are transferred.

Shipping and Handling Costs — Shipping and handling costs, such as freight to customer destinations, are included in Cost of products sold in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. When the sales price includes charges to customers for shipping and handling, such amounts are included in Net sales.

Major Planned Maintenance Costs — Costs for major planned maintenance shutdowns are deferred and then expensed ratably over the period until the next major planned shutdown, since we believe that operations benefit throughout that period from the maintenance work performed. Routine maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

Environmental Costs and Obligations — Costs associated with environmental obligations, such as remediation or closure costs, are accrued when such costs are probable and reasonably estimable. Such accruals are adjusted as further information develops or circumstances change. Costs of future expenditures for environmental obligations are discounted to their present value when the timing of expected cash flows are reliably determinable.

Equity Compensation — We account for equity awards in accordance with ASC Topic 718, Compensation – Stock Compensation. ASC Topic 718 requires employee equity awards to be accounted for under the fair value method. Accordingly, share-based compensation is measured at the grant date based on the fair value of the award. We use the straight-line attribution method to recognize share-based compensation over the service period of the award.

Income Taxes — Verso and Verso Holdings account for income taxes using the liability method pursuant to ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. Under this method, we recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected tax consequences of temporary differences between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and our reported amounts using

enacted tax rates in effect for the year the differences are expected to reverse. We record a valuation allowance to reduce the deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. We evaluate uncertain tax positions annually and consider whether the amounts recorded for income taxes are adequate to address our tax risk profile. We analyze the potential tax liabilities of specific transactions and tax positions based on management's judgment as to the expected outcome.

Earnings Per Share — Verso computes earnings per share by dividing net income or net loss attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income or net loss by the weighted average number of shares outstanding, after giving effect to potentially dilutive common share equivalents outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common share equivalents are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share if they are anti-dilutive.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments — The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities. We determine the fair value of our debt based on market information and a review of prices and terms available for similar obligations. See also Note 8, Note 11, Note 13, and Note 14 for additional information regarding the fair value of financial instruments.

Cash and Cash Equivalents — Cash and cash equivalents can include highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase.

Inventories and Replacement Parts and Other Supplies — Inventory values include all costs directly associated with manufacturing products: materials, labor, and manufacturing overhead, and these values are presented at the lower of cost or market. Costs of raw materials, work-in-progress, and finished goods are determined using the first-in, first-out method. Replacement parts and other supplies are stated using the average cost method and are reflected in Inventories and Intangibles and other assets, net on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet (see also Note 3 and Note 6).

Property, Plant, and Equipment — Property, plant, and equipment is stated at cost, net of accumulated depreciation. Interest is capitalized on projects meeting certain criteria and is included in the cost of the assets. The capitalized interest is depreciated over the same useful lives as the related assets. Interest costs of approximately \$2 million were capitalized in each of the years ended 2015 and 2014. Expenditures for major repairs and improvements are capitalized, whereas normal repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred.

Depreciation and amortization are computed using the straight-line method for all assets over the assets' estimated useful lives. Estimated useful lives are as follows:

	Years
Building	20 - 40
Machinery and equipment	10 - 20
Furniture and office equipment	3 - 10
Computer hardware and software	3 - 6
Leasehold improvements	Over the shorter of the lease term or the useful life of the improvements

Intangible Assets — We account for intangible assets in accordance with ASC Topic 350, Intangibles – Goodwill and Other. Intangible assets primarily consist of trademarks, customer-related intangible assets, and patents obtained through business acquisitions. The useful lives of trademarks were determined to be indefinite and, therefore, these assets are not amortized. Customer-related intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful lives of approximately twenty to twenty-five years. Patents are amortized over their remaining legal lives of ten years. The impairment evaluation of the carrying amount of intangible assets with indefinite lives is conducted annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets — Long-lived assets are reviewed for impairment upon the occurrence of events or changes in circumstances that indicate that the carrying value of the assets may not be recoverable, as measured by comparing their net book value to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows generated by their use. Impaired assets are recorded at estimated fair value, determined principally using discounted cash flows.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts — We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of customers to make required payments. We manage credit risk related to our trade accounts receivable by continually monitoring the creditworthiness of our customers to whom credit is granted in the normal course of business. Trade accounts receivable balances were approximately \$215 million at December 31, 2015,

compared to \$84 million at December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2015, our largest customer accounted for approximately 19% of our accounts receivable.

We establish our allowance for doubtful accounts based upon factors surrounding the credit risks of specific customers, historical trends, and other information. Based on this assessment, an allowance is maintained that represents what is believed to be ultimately uncollectible from such customers. The allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately \$1 million at December 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Deferred Financing Costs — We record costs incurred in connection with borrowings or establishment of credit facilities as contra-liabilities in accordance with ASU 2015-03 (see Note 2). These costs are amortized as an adjustment to interest expense

over the life of the borrowing or life of the credit facilities using the effective interest method. In the case of early debt principal repayments, we adjust the carrying value of the corresponding deferred financing costs with a charge to interest expense, and similarly adjust the future amortization expense.

Asset Retirement Obligations — In accordance with ASC Topic 410, Asset Retirement and Environmental Obligations, a liability and an asset are recorded equal to the present value of the estimated costs associated with the retirement of long-lived assets where a legal or contractual obligation exists. The liability is accreted over time and the asset is depreciated over its useful life. Our asset retirement obligations under this standard relate primarily to closure and post-closure costs for landfills. Revisions to the liability could occur due to changes in the estimated costs or timing of closure or possible new federal or state regulations affecting the closure.

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, approximately \$1 million of restricted cash was included in Intangibles and other assets, net in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets related to asset retirement obligations in the state of Michigan. These cash deposits are required by the state and may only be used for the future closure of a landfill.

The following table presents activity related to our asset retirement obligations. Long-term obligations are included in Other liabilities and current portions are included in Accrued liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	
Asset retirement obligations, January 1	\$8	\$13	
Liabilities assumed in the NewPage acquisition	9	—	
Settlement of existing liabilities	(2) —	
Accretion expense	1	1	
Adjustments to existing liabilities	—	(4)
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	—	(2)
Asset retirement obligations, December 31	16	8	
Less: Current portion	—	—	
Non-current portion of asset retirement obligations, December 31	\$16	\$8	

The increase in the liability for the year ended December 31, 2015 was primarily attributable to the assumption of the asset retirement obligation liabilities associated with landfills acquired in connection with the NewPage acquisition.

In addition to the above obligations, we may be required to remove certain materials from our facilities or to remediate them in accordance with current regulations that govern the handling of certain hazardous or potentially hazardous materials. At this time, any such obligations have an indeterminate settlement date, and we believe that adequate information does not exist to reasonably estimate any such potential obligations. Accordingly, no liability for such remediation was recorded.

Derivative Financial Instruments — Derivative financial instruments are recognized as assets or liabilities in the financial statements and measured at fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivative financial instruments that are entered into as economic hedges are recognized in current earnings. We use derivative financial instruments to manage our exposure to energy prices and interest rate risk.

Pension and other postretirement benefits — Pension plans cover substantially all of our employees. The defined benefit plans are funded in conformity with the funding requirements of applicable government regulations. Prior service costs are amortized on a straight-line basis over the estimated remaining service periods of employees. Certain employees are covered by defined contribution plans. Our contributions to these plans are based on a percentage of employees' compensation or employees' contributions.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) — The following table summarizes the changes in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) by balance type for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014:

(Dollars in millions)	Defined Benefit Pension Items
Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2013	\$(11)
Amounts reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss to Cost of products sold	1
Pension liability adjustment	(17)
Net decrease in other comprehensive loss	(16)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2014	(27)
Amounts reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss to Cost of products sold	3
Pension liability adjustment	(78)
Net decrease in other comprehensive loss	(75)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2015	\$(102)

Troubled Debt Restructuring — We have accounted for a portion of our 11.75% Senior Secured Notes issued in 2012 and all of our 13% Second Priority Secured Notes and 16% Senior Subordinated Notes, both issued in 2015, in accordance with ASC 470-60 by recording the value exchanged and amortizing the amount in excess of par over the life of the notes. Debt is considered to have been modified in a troubled debt restructuring, or “TDR,” when, due to a borrower’s financial difficulties, the lender makes concessions to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider for a non-troubled borrower. Modifications may include principal adjustments, interest rate adjustments, additional equity transfers, interest only payments for an extended period of time, or protracted terms such as amortization and maturity beyond the customary length of time found in the normal market place (see Note 8).

NewPage Acquisition — We have accounted for the NewPage Acquisition in accordance with ASC 805 by recognizing and measuring the total consideration transferred to and the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated fair values. The allocation of the purchase price to the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the NewPage acquisition includes necessary adjustments to reflect the estimated fair values of NewPage’s assets and liabilities at the completion of the NewPage acquisition. The valuations reflected herein consist of appraisals, discounted cash flow analyses, or other appropriate valuation techniques to determine the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed (see Note 4).

2. RECENT ACCOUNTING DEVELOPMENTS

Accounting Changes Implemented

ASC Topic 205, Presentation of Financial Statements and ASC Topic 360, Property, Plant, and Equipment. In April 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2014-08, Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity, which changes the criteria for determining which disposals can be presented as discontinued operations and modifies the related disclosure requirements. This guidance should be applied prospectively to new disposals and new classifications of disposal groups as held for sale after the effective date, which is fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those annual periods. The adoption of this amendment in the first quarter of 2015 did not have a material impact on the presentation of our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 835, Interest. In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Simplifying the Presentation of Debt Issuance Costs. This ASU changes the presentation of debt issuance costs in financial statements. Under the ASU, an entity presents such costs in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the related debt liability rather than as an asset. Amortization of the costs will continue to be reported as interest expense. This guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2015 with early adoption permitted. In accordance with the amendment guidance, we elected to early adopt the new requirement as of December 31, 2015.

As of December 31, 2015, approximately \$18 million of net debt issuance costs is included in Debt (see Note 8). The retrospective application of this guidance resulted in approximately \$23 million of net debt issuance costs being reclassified from Intangibles and other assets to Long-term debt as of December 31, 2014.

ASC Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-13, Application of the Normal Purchases and Normal Sales Scope Exception to Certain Electricity Contracts within Nodal Energy Markets. This ASU allows the application of the normal purchases and normal sales scope exception to energy purchases or sales in nodal energy markets. According to ASU 2015-13, the use of locational marginal pricing by an independent system operator to determine a transmission charge or credit in a nodal energy market would not constitute a net settlement of a forward contract for the purchase or sale of electricity, even when legal title to the electricity is conveyed to the independent system operator during transmission. The guidance is effective upon issuance and must be applied prospectively. The adoption of ASU 2015-13 did not have an impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 740, Income Taxes. In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, which addresses the balance sheet classification of deferred taxes. This update requires that deferred tax liabilities and assets be classified as noncurrent in the classified statement of financial position. The amendment is effective for financial statements issued for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. We elected to early adopt the new requirement. The amendment was adopted for the December 31, 2015 Consolidated Balance Sheet and prior period were not retrospectively reclassified.

Future Accounting Changes

ASC Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. This guidance will replace all current GAAP guidance on this topic and eliminate all industry-specific guidance. The new revenue recognition standard provides a unified model to determine when and how revenue is recognized. The core principle is that a company should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration for which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. This guidance was effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and can be applied either retrospectively to each period presented or as a cumulative-effect adjustment as of the date of adoption; however, in August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers: Deferral of the Effective Date, which defers the effective date to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 205, Presentation of Financial Statements-Going Concern. In August 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-15, Disclosure of Uncertainties about an Entity's Ability to Continue as a Going Concern. This ASU provides guidance on determining when and how to disclose going concern uncertainties in the financial statements. This guidance is effective for annual periods ending after December 15, 2016, and interim periods thereafter. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 810, Consolidation. In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, Consolidation, which amends the requirements for consolidation and significantly changes the consolidation analysis required. This ASU is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those years. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 330, Inventory. In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-11, Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory. This ASU provides that entities should measure inventory at the lower of cost and net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal and transportation. Subsequent measurement is unchanged for inventory measured using LIFO or the retail inventory method. This ASU is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

ASC Topic 805, Business Combinations. In September 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-16, Simplifying the Accounting for Measurement-Period Adjustments. This guidance eliminates the requirement for an acquirer in a business combination to account for measurement-period adjustments retrospectively. The acquirer must record, in the same period's financial statements, the effect on earnings of changes in depreciation, amortization, or other income effects, if any, as a result of the change to the provisional amounts, calculated as if the accounting had been completed at the acquisition date. This guidance is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. We are evaluating the impact of adopting this new accounting standard on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other new accounting pronouncements issued but not effective until after December 31, 2015, are not expected to have a significant effect on our consolidated financial statements.

3. INVENTORIES

(Dollars in millions)	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Raw materials	\$91	\$19
Work-in-process	58	9
Finished goods	256	62
Replacement parts and other supplies - current portion	79	20
Inventories	\$484	\$110

Amounts presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the table above are adjusted for valuation allowances.

4. ACQUISITIONS AND DISPOSITIONS

NewPage Acquisition - On January 3, 2014, Verso, Merger Sub, and NewPage entered into a Merger Agreement pursuant to which the parties agreed to merge Merger Sub with and into NewPage on the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Merger Agreement, with NewPage surviving the merger as an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso. On January 7, 2015, Verso consummated the NewPage acquisition pursuant to the Merger Agreement. As a result of the merger, NewPage became a direct, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso Holdings. The NewPage acquisition provides Verso with assets in a complementary geographic area, a broader portfolio of products, and strategic flexibility to reduce operating costs.

Verso has incurred transaction and integration costs related to the NewPage acquisition of \$25 million during the year ended December 31, 2015, which were included in Selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations. For the year ended December 31, 2014, Verso incurred transaction costs of \$39 million, related to the NewPage acquisition, which were included in Other loss, net in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

As consideration for the NewPage acquisition, Verso issued (a) \$650 million aggregate principal amount of New First Lien Notes and (b) 13,607,693 shares of Verso common stock in exchange for all the outstanding common stock of NewPage. Also, as of the date that NewPage became an indirect wholly owned subsidiary of Verso, NewPage had an existing \$750 million NewPage Term Loan Facility and \$350 million NewPage ABL Facility of which \$734 million and \$100 million, respectively were outstanding. As a condition of allowing the acquisition to proceed, the Antitrust Division of the U.S. Department of Justice entered into a settlement with Verso and NewPage that required NewPage to divest its paper mills in Biron, Wisconsin, and Rumford, Maine, which occurred prior to the acquisition of NewPage.

Accounting consideration for the NewPage acquisition was as follows:

(Dollars in millions)

13,607,693 shares of Verso common stock valued at January 7, 2015 closing price	\$46
\$650 face value New First Lien Notes valued at January 7, 2015 closing price	663
Accounting consideration	\$709

Items above represent non-cash investing and financing activities (see cash flow statement).

The valuations reflected herein consist of appraisals, discounted cash flow analyses, or other appropriate valuation techniques to determine the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed. The allocation of the purchase price to the fair values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in the NewPage acquisition includes necessary adjustments to reflect the estimated fair values of NewPage's assets and liabilities at the completion of the NewPage acquisition. The allocation of the purchase price was as follows:

(Dollars in millions)

Cash	\$128	
Current assets, excluding cash	578	
Property, plant, and equipment	1,574	
Other long-term assets	43	
Current liabilities	(277)
Current portion of long-term debt	(3)
Non-current pension and other postretirement benefit obligations	(476)
Other long-term liabilities	(58)
Long-term debt	(800)
Net assets acquired	\$709	

The operating results of NewPage are included in Verso's financial statements from January 7, 2015 through December 31, 2015. The determination of net sales and net loss attributable to the acquired operations during this period and included in Verso's Consolidated Statements of Operations was not practicable as the operations are integrated with the consolidated operations.

The unaudited pro forma financial information below presents results as if the NewPage acquisition and the related financing, further described in Note 8, occurred on January 1, 2014. The historical consolidated financial information of Verso and NewPage has been adjusted in the pro forma information to give effect to pro forma events that are directly attributable to the transactions and factually supportable. As NewPage's divestiture of its paper mills in Biron, Wisconsin, and Rumford, Maine, occurred prior to the acquisition of NewPage, their historical results have been excluded from the pro forma results below.

The unaudited pro forma results do not reflect events that have occurred or may occur after the transactions, including the costs of any integration activities or benefits that may result from realization of future cost savings from operating efficiencies, or any revenue, tax, or other synergies expected to result from the NewPage acquisition. Accordingly, the unaudited pro forma financial information is not necessarily indicative of the results of operations had the transactions been effected on the assumed date, nor is it necessarily an indication of future operating results.

In addition, the NewPage acquisition did not result in a taxable transaction and Verso has net operating loss carryforwards and a related full valuation allowance that are expected to offset any deferred tax impact of the NewPage acquisition. Further, as the sale of the Bucksport mill was not directly attributable to the NewPage acquisition, no pro forma adjustments for the Bucksport sale have been made.

(Unaudited) (Dollars in millions, except per share data)	Pro Forma Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenues	\$3,155	\$3,648
Net loss	(391)	(564)
Earnings per share - basic and diluted	\$(4.78)	\$(6.92)
Weighted-average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted (in thousands)	81,759	81,509

Sale of Bucksport Mill - On January 29, 2015, Verso consummated a sale of equity interests in Verso subsidiaries that owned the Bucksport mill and related assets to AIM Development (USA) LLC, an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of American Iron & Metal Company Inc., or "AIM." At the end of 2014, based on our disposition plans, we recorded asset impairment charges and write-offs of \$103 million, as the carrying value of the assets held for sale were in excess of the fair value less the costs to sell. The impairment charge was included in Restructuring charges (see also Note 16) in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Sale of Verso Fiber Farm LLC - In 2013, we closed the sale of substantially all of the assets of Verso Fiber Farm LLC as well as the sale of substantially all of the assets at our former Sartell mill. The related gains on sale were reflected in Other operating income in the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Assets Held for Sale - As of December 31, 2015, we had certain hydroelectric generation facilities associated with our Androscoggin pulp and paper mill located in Jay, Maine classified as held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheet (see Note 23). As of December 31, 2014, the Bucksport mill and related assets were classified as held for sale on the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Assets and liabilities held for sale at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, were comprised of the following:

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2015 ⁽¹⁾	December 31, 2014 ⁽²⁾
Prepaid expenses and other assets	\$—	\$1
Property, plant, and equipment, net	5	59
Intangibles and other assets, net	—	1
Assets held for sale	\$5	\$61
Asset retirement obligations and other liabilities	\$—	\$(2)
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	\$—	\$(2)

(1) Recorded at carrying value as the expected proceeds less costs to sell exceed carrying value.

(2) Recorded at fair value less cost to sell.

5. PROPERTY, PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant, and equipment were as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	December 31,	
	2015	2014
Land and land improvements	\$107	\$26
Building and leasehold improvements	327	112
Machinery, equipment, and other	2,267	1,082
Construction-in-progress	30	15
Property, plant, and equipment, gross	2,731	1,235
Accumulated depreciation	(874)	(704)
Property, plant, and equipment, net	\$1,857	\$531

Depreciation expense was \$302 million, \$90 million, and \$104 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively. Property, plant, and equipment at December 31, 2015, and 2014 include \$9 million and \$1 million, respectively, of capital expenditures that were unpaid and included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

In the third quarter of 2015, we announced plans to make production capacity reductions at our Androscoggin and Wickliffe mills. As a result, we recognized \$58 million of accelerated depreciation which is included in Depreciation, amortization and depletion in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2015. Given the

capacity reductions, we conducted a Step 1 impairment test and concluded that the undiscounted estimated future cash flows associated with the remaining long-lived assets exceeded their carrying value and no impairment was recorded.

In 2014, based on our plans to dispose of certain assets held by the legal entities that comprise the Bucksport mill (Verso Bucksport LLC and Verso Bucksport Power LLC), we recorded a fixed asset impairment charge of \$89 million, as the carrying value of the assets held for sale were in excess of the fair value less the cost to sell. The fair value was determined based on the December 5, 2014 membership purchase agreement for the sale of the Bucksport mill. The impairment charge was included in Restructuring charges (see also Note 16) in our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations.

6. INTANGIBLES AND OTHER ASSETS

Intangibles and other assets consist of the following:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO		VERSO HOLDINGS	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Amortizable intangible assets:				
Customer relationships, net of accumulated amortization of \$15 million on December 31, 2015, and \$9 million on December 31, 2014	\$28	\$4	\$28	\$4
Unamortizable intangible assets:				
Trademarks	10	10	10	10
Other assets:				
Major planned maintenance	34	21	34	21
Replacement parts and other supplies, net	6	3	6	3
Loan to affiliate	—	—	23	23
Restricted cash	3	3	3	3
Other	21	7	21	7
Total other assets	64	34	87	57
Intangibles and other assets, net	\$102	\$48	\$125	\$71

Amortization expense of intangibles was \$6 million, \$1 million, and \$1 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013.

The estimated future amortization expense for intangible assets over the next five years is as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	
2016	5
2017	4
2018	3
2019	3
2020	2

When events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable, we assess the potential impairment of intangibles and other long-lived assets by comparing the expected undiscounted future cash flows to the carrying value of those assets. During 2015, we completed our annual impairment test of intangibles and determined that there was no impairment as the fair value of intangibles exceeded their carrying value at December 31, 2015. In 2014, we determined that sufficient indicators of a potential impairment of our trademarks existed and we performed an interim analysis of our trademarks for impairment. As a result of our analysis, we determined that

the carrying value of our trademarks exceeded their fair value, which was determined using a level 3 fair value measurement. This fair value determination was made using the income approach, which required us to estimate unobservable factors such as a royalty rate and discount rate and identify relevant projected revenue. We recognized an impairment charge of \$6 million based on a projected reduction of revenues driven primarily by a decline in U.S. demand. The trademark impairment charge was included in Cost of products sold in our accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations.

In performing our annual impairment test, for our trademarks during 2013, we determined that the carrying value of our trademarks exceeded their fair value, and recognized an impairment charge of \$2 million based on a projected reduction of

revenues driven primarily by a decline in U.S. demand. The trademark impairment charge was included in Cost of products sold on our accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations.

7. ACCRUED LIABILITIES

A summary of accrued liabilities is as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO		VERSO HOLDINGS	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Accrued interest	\$108	\$78	\$108	\$78
Payroll and employee benefit costs	84	43	84	43
Accrued sales rebates	30	12	30	12
Restructuring costs	12	24	12	24
Accrued taxes - other than income	9	1	9	2
Accrued transaction costs ⁽¹⁾	6	25	6	25
Freight and other	18	22	18	22
Accrued liabilities	\$267	\$205	\$267	\$206

(1) As of December 31, 2015, accrued transaction costs are attributable to advisory and legal services related to planning for company reorganization. As of December 31, 2014, accrued transaction costs are attributable to professional fees and other charges incurred in connection with the NewPage acquisition.

8. DEBT

A summary of our debt is as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	Original Maturity	December 31, 2015			December 31, 2014	
		Interest Rate	Balance	Par Value	Balance	Par Value
Verso Holdings						
Verso Androscoggin Power LLC Revolving Credit Facility	2/6/2015	—	% \$—	\$—	\$30	\$30
Revolving Credit Facilities	5/4/2017	4.36	% 99	99	63	63
11.75% Senior Secured Notes - 2012	1/15/2019	11.75	% 423	418	424	418
11.75% Senior Secured Notes - 2015	1/15/2019	11.75	% 655	645	—	—
11.75% Secured Notes - 1.5 Lien Notes	1/15/2019	11.75	% 272	272	272	272
13% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes	8/1/2020	13.00	% 268	181	299	299
16% Senior Subordinated Notes	8/1/2020	16.00	% 88	65	102	102
8.75% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes	2/1/2019	8.75	% 96	97	96	97
11.38% Senior Subordinated Notes	8/1/2016	11.38	% 41	41	41	41
Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund LLC						
Loan from Verso Finance	12/29/2040	6.50	% 23	23	23	23
NewPage Corp						
Revolving Credit Facility	2/11/2019	3.71	% 250	250	—	—
Floating Rate Senior Secured Term Loan	2/11/2021	9.50	% 705	731	—	—
Less: Debt issuance costs			(18)	—	(23)	—
Total debt for Verso Holdings ⁽¹⁾			\$2,902	\$2,822	\$1,327	\$1,345
Verso Finance						
Loan from Verso Holdings	12/29/2040	6.50	% 23	23	23	23
Less: Loans from affiliates			(46)	(46)	(46)	(46)
Total debt for Verso Corporation ⁽²⁾			2,879	2,799	1,304	1,322

(1) Total debt for Verso Holdings after offsetting with debt issuance costs. Balance except for Loan from Verso Finance is shown in Current liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015.

(2) Total debt for Verso Corporation after offsetting with debt issuance costs. Balance is shown in Current liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015.

We estimate the fair value of our long-term debt based on market information and a review of prices and terms available for similar obligations. Our debt is classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy (see also Note 14). As of December 31, 2015, the fair value of Verso's total debt was \$804 million, and the fair value of Verso Holdings' total debt was \$828 million. As of December 31, 2014, the estimated fair value of Verso's total debt was \$1,059 million, and the estimated fair value of Verso Holdings' total debt was \$1,082 million.

As described in Note 1 all of our debt (excluding the \$23 million loan from Verso Finance Holdings to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund), is classified as Current liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015.

On January 26, 2016, Verso announced that the company and substantially all of its direct and indirect subsidiaries filed voluntary petitions for relief under Chapter 11 of Title 11 of the United States Code in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware, as further discussed in Note 23.

Amounts included in interest expense related to debt and amounts of cash interest payments on debt are as follows:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO			VERSO HOLDINGS		
	Year Ended December 31,			Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013	2015	2014	2013
Interest expense	\$266	\$136	\$134	\$268	\$138	\$135
Cash interest paid	246	117	129	247	118	131
Debt issuance cost amortization ⁽¹⁾	6	8	5	6	8	5

(1) Amortization of debt issuance cost is included in interest expense.

Verso Holdings

Verso Androscoggin Power LLC Revolving Credit Facility. In 2014, acting through a wholly owned subsidiary, Verso Androscoggin Power LLC, or “VAP,” Verso Holdings entered into a credit agreement providing for a \$40 million revolving credit facility with Barclays Bank PLC and Credit Suisse AG, Cayman Islands Branch. On January 7, 2015, Verso consummated the NewPage acquisition, and the credit facility was terminated on February 4, 2015.

Revolving Credit Facilities. In 2012, Verso Holdings entered into revolving credit facilities consisting of a \$150 million asset-based loan facility, or “ABL Facility,” and a \$50 million cash-flow facility, or “Cash Flow Facility.” In connection with the revolving credit facilities, debt issuance costs of approximately \$9 million were deferred and are being amortized over the life of the credit facilities. Verso Holdings’ ABL Facility had \$49 million outstanding balance, \$30 million in letters of credit issued, and \$16 million available for future borrowing as of December 31, 2015. Verso Holdings’ Cash Flow Facility had \$50 million outstanding balance, no letters of credit issued, and no availability for future borrowing as of December 31, 2015. The indebtedness under the revolving credit facilities bear interest at a floating rate based on a margin over a base rate or LIBOR. As of December 31, 2015, the weighted-average interest rate on outstanding advances was 4.36%. Verso Holdings is required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders in respect of the unused commitments under the ABL Facility at an annual rate of either 0.375% or 0.50%, based on daily average utilization, and under the Cash Flow Facility at an annual rate of 0.625%.

The indebtedness under each of the revolving credit facilities is guaranteed, jointly and severally, by Verso Finance and each of Verso Holdings’ subsidiaries, subject to certain exceptions, and the indebtedness and guarantees are senior secured obligations of Verso Holdings and the guarantors, respectively. The indebtedness under the ABL Facility and related guarantees are secured by first-priority security interests, subject to permitted liens, in substantially all of Verso Holdings’, Verso Finance’s, and the subsidiary guarantors’ (excluding, among other subsidiaries, NewPage Investment Company and its subsidiaries) inventory and accounts receivable, or “Verso ABL Priority Collateral,” and second-priority security interests, subject to permitted liens, in substantially all of their other assets, or “Notes Priority Collateral.” The indebtedness under the Cash Flow Facility and related guarantees are secured, *pari passu* with the 2012 First Lien Notes and the 2015 First Lien Notes (each as defined below) and related guarantees, by first-priority security interests in the Notes Priority Collateral and second-priority security interests in the Verso ABL Priority Collateral. The revolving credit facilities have an original maturity date of May 4, 2017.

On January 3, 2014, Verso Holdings entered into certain amendments to the revolving credit facilities in connection with the NewPage acquisition, in which (a) the lenders under each of our revolving credit facilities consented to the NewPage acquisition and the other transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement, including the incurrence of certain additional indebtedness, (b) the lenders consented to amendments to allow the sale and/or financing of certain non-core assets and (c) the parties agreed to amend our revolving credit facilities to allow for certain other transactions upon the consummation of the NewPage acquisition and the other transactions contemplated by the Merger Agreement.

11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2019. In 2012, Verso Holdings issued \$345 million aggregate principal amount of 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2019. In 2013, Verso Holdings issued an additional \$73 million aggregate principal amount of its 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 to certain lenders holding approximately \$86 million aggregate principal amount of Verso Finance's senior unsecured term loans, and net accrued interest through the closing date, at an exchange rate of 85%, in exchange for the assignment to Verso Finance of all of its senior unsecured term loans and the cancellation of such loans. In accordance with ASC Topic 470-60, the notes were recorded at the senior unsecured term loan value exchanged and the amount in excess of par will be amortized over the life of the notes. Debt issuance costs of \$3 million were expensed as incurred and recorded in Other loss, net on the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. The exchange and funding of the principal and interest payments on the Senior Unsecured Term Loans were recorded as a Return of capital on Verso Holdings' statement of member's equity and the exchange of \$86 million represents a non-cash financing activity on Verso Holding's statement of cash flows. The 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 issued in 2012 and 2013 constitute one class of securities and are referred to herein as the "2012 First Lien Notes." The 2012 First Lien Notes bear

interest, payable semi-annually, at the rate of 11.75% per year. The 2012 First Lien Notes are guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior secured basis, by each of Verso Holdings' existing domestic subsidiaries that guarantee the ABL Facility and the Cash Flow Facility and by each of its future domestic subsidiaries that guarantees certain of its debt or issues disqualified stock. The 2012 First Lien Notes and related guarantees are senior secured obligations of Verso Holdings and the guarantors, respectively. The 2012 First Lien Notes and related guarantees are secured, *pari passu* with the Cash Flow Facility and related guarantees, by first-priority security interests in the Notes Priority Collateral and second-priority security interests in the Verso ABL Priority Collateral. The 2012 First Lien Notes have an original maturity date of January 15, 2019.

On January 7, 2015, in connection with the consummation of the NewPage acquisition, Verso Holdings issued \$650 million aggregate principal amount of 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2019, or the "2015 First Lien Notes," to the stockholders of NewPage as partial consideration in the NewPage acquisition. The 2015 First Lien Notes constitute a separate class of securities from the 2012 First Lien Notes. The 2015 First Lien Notes bear interest, payable semi-annually, at the rate of 11.75% per year. The 2015 First Lien Notes are guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior secured basis, by each of Verso Holdings' existing domestic subsidiaries that guarantee the ABL Facility and the Cash Flow Facility and by each of its future domestic subsidiaries that guarantees certain of its debt or issues disqualified stock (including NewPage Holdings Inc., but not guaranteed by any of its subsidiaries). The 2015 First Lien Notes and the related guarantees are secured, *pari passu* with the Cash Flow Facility and related guarantees, by first-priority security interests in the Notes Priority Collateral and second-priority security interests in the Verso ABL Priority Collateral. The 2015 First Lien Notes have an original maturity date of January 15, 2019.

11.75% Secured Notes due 2019. In 2012, Verso Holdings issued \$272 million aggregate principal amount of 11.75% Secured Notes due 2019, or the "1.5 Lien Notes." Debt issuance costs of approximately \$5 million were deferred and are being amortized over the life of the notes. The 1.5 Lien Notes bear interest, payable semi-annually, at the rate of 11.75% per year. The 1.5 Lien Notes are guaranteed, jointly and severally, by each of Verso Holdings' existing domestic subsidiaries that guarantee the ABL Facility and the Cash Flow Facility and by each of its future domestic subsidiaries that guarantees certain of its debt or issues disqualified stock. The 1.5 Lien Notes and related guarantees are senior secured obligations of Verso Holdings and the guarantors, respectively. The 1.5 Lien Notes and related guarantees are secured by security interests, subject to permitted liens, in substantially all of Verso Holdings' and the guarantors' tangible and intangible assets. The 1.5 Lien Notes and related guarantees are secured by liens that rank junior to those securing the obligations under the ABL Facility, the Cash Flow Facility, the 2012 First Lien Notes and the 2015 First Lien Notes and rank senior to those securing the New Second Lien Notes (as defined below). The 1.5 Lien Notes have an original maturity date of January 15, 2019.

13% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2020. In July 2014, Verso Holdings commenced an offer to exchange any and all of the Verso Holdings' outstanding 8.75% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2019, or "Old Second Lien Notes," for Second Priority Adjustable Senior Secured Notes, or "New Second Lien Notes," and warrants issued by Verso that were mandatorily convertible on a one-for-one basis into shares of Verso's common stock immediately prior to the NewPage acquisition, or "Warrants" (we refer to this exchange offer as the "Second Lien Notes Exchange Offer"). In August 2014, approximately \$299 million aggregate principal amount of Old Second Lien Notes were tendered and accepted in exchange for a like amount of New Second Lien Notes and approximately 9.3 million Warrants in the Second Lien Notes Exchange Offer. The Warrants had no fair value at the date of the closing of the Second Lien Notes Exchange Offer. The New Second Lien Notes and related guarantees are secured by liens that rank junior to those securing the obligations under the ABL Facility, the Cash Flow Facility, the 2012 First Lien Notes, the 2015 First Lien Notes and the 1.5 Lien Notes.

On January 7, 2015, in connection with the consummation of the NewPage acquisition, the provisions of the New Second Lien Notes were adjusted as follows: (a) the principal amount was adjusted such that a holder of \$1,000 principal amount of New Second Lien Notes immediately prior to the NewPage acquisition now holds \$593.75

principal amount of New Second Lien Notes (and any adjusted New Second Lien Notes that did not bear an authorized denomination were rounded down); (b) the maturity date was extended from February 1, 2019, to August 1, 2020; (c) the interest rate was adjusted such that the New Second Lien Notes bear interest, payable semi-annually, from and after January 7, 2015 at a rate of 10% per year payable entirely in cash plus 3% per year payable entirely by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding New Second Lien Notes or by issuing additional New Second Lien Notes, as compared to an interest rate of 8.75% per year payable in cash prior to such adjustment; (d) the optional redemption provisions were adjusted as provided in the indenture governing the New Second Lien Notes; and (e) certain other terms and conditions of the New Second Lien Notes were modified as set forth in the indenture governing the New Second Lien Notes. As a result of the principal adjustment, the outstanding principal amount of the New Second Lien Notes was reduced by approximately \$121 million from approximately \$299 million before January 7, 2015, to approximately \$178 million afterwards. For the year ended December 31, 2015, Verso Holdings deferred interest by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding New Second Lien Notes by approximately \$3 million. As of December 31, 2015, there was approximately \$181 million of principal amount outstanding of the New Second Lien Notes.

16% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2020. In July 2014, Verso Holdings also commenced an offer to exchange any and all of Verso Holdings' outstanding 11.38% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016, or "Old Subordinated Notes" for Adjustable Senior Subordinated Notes, or "New Subordinated Notes," and Warrants (we refer to this exchange offer as the "Subordinated Notes Exchange Offer"). In August 2014, approximately \$102 million aggregate principal amount of Old Subordinated Notes were tendered and accepted in exchange for a like amount of New Subordinated Notes and approximately 5.4 million Warrants in the Subordinated Notes Exchange Offer. The Warrants had no fair value at the date of the closing of the Subordinated Notes Exchange Offer.

On January 7, 2015, in connection with the consummation of the NewPage acquisition, the provisions of the New Subordinated Notes were adjusted as follows: (a) the principal amount was adjusted such that a holder of \$1,000 principal amount of New Subordinated Notes immediately prior to the NewPage acquisition now holds \$620 principal amount of New Subordinated Notes (and any adjusted New Subordinated Notes that did not bear an authorized denomination were rounded down); (b) the maturity date of the New Subordinated Notes was extended from August 1, 2016, to August 1, 2020; (c) the interest rate has been adjusted such that the New Subordinated Notes bear interest from and after January 7, 2015 at a rate of 11% per year payable entirely in cash plus 5% per year payable entirely by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding New Subordinated Notes or by issuing additional New Subordinated Notes, as compared to an interest rate of 11.38% per year payable in cash prior to such adjustment; (d) the optional redemption provisions were adjusted as provided in the indenture governing the New Subordinated Notes; and (e) certain other terms and conditions of the New Subordinated Notes were modified as set forth in the indenture governing the New Subordinated Notes. As a result of the principal adjustment, the outstanding principal amount of the New Subordinated Notes was reduced by approximately \$39 million from approximately \$102 million before January 7, 2015, to approximately \$63 million afterwards. For the year ended December 31, 2015, Verso Holdings deferred interest by increasing the principal amount of the outstanding New Subordinated Notes by approximately \$2 million. As of December 31, 2015, there was approximately \$65 million of principal amount outstanding of the New Subordinated Notes.

Non-cash financing and investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 related to debt conversion include \$50 million increase of paid in capital for stock issued for the convertible warrants discussed above and \$19 million of accrued interest which was converted into long-term debt. For the years December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, our interest expense was reduced by \$21 million, \$2 million, and \$2 million, respectively, as a result of debt modifications (see Statement of Cash Flows).

8.75% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2019. In 2011, Verso Holdings issued \$396 million aggregate principal amount of Old Second Lien Notes. In August 2014, approximately \$299 million aggregate principal amount of Old Second Lien Notes were tendered and accepted in exchange for a like amount of New Second Lien Notes and approximately 9.3 million Warrants in the Second Lien Notes Exchange Offer. Following the settlement of the Second Lien Notes Exchange Offer, approximately \$97 million aggregate principal amount of the Old Second Lien Notes remain outstanding. The Old Second Lien Notes bear interest, payable semi-annually, at the rate of 8.75% per year. The Old Second Lien Notes are guaranteed, jointly and severally, by each of Verso Holdings' existing domestic subsidiaries that guaranteed the ABL Facility and the Cash Flow Facility as of the Second Lien Notes Exchange Offer, subject to certain exceptions. In August 2014, the Old Second Lien Notes were amended by a supplemental indenture so as to (a) eliminate or waive substantially all of the restrictive covenants contained in the indenture governing such notes, (b) eliminate certain events of default, (c) modify covenants regarding mergers and consolidations, and (d) modify or eliminate certain other provisions, including, in some cases, certain provisions relating to defeasance, contained in such indenture and such notes. In addition, as of August 2014, the Old Second Lien Notes are no longer secured by any collateral. The Old Second Lien Notes have an original maturity date of February 1, 2019.

11.38% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016. In 2006, Verso Holdings issued \$300 million aggregate principal amount of Old Subordinated Notes. In August 2014, approximately \$102 million aggregate principal amount of the

Old Subordinated Notes were tendered and accepted in exchange for a like amount of New Subordinated Notes and approximately 5.4 million Warrants in the Subordinated Notes Exchange Offer. Following the settlement of the Subordinated Notes Exchange Offer, approximately \$41 million aggregate principal amount of the Old Subordinated Notes remain outstanding. The Old Subordinated Notes bear interest, payable semi-annually, at the rate of 11.38% per year. The Old Subordinated Notes are guaranteed, jointly and severally, by each of Verso Holdings' existing domestic subsidiaries that guarantee the ABL Facility and Cash Flow Facility, as of the Subordinated Notes Exchange Offer, subject to certain exceptions. The Old Subordinated Notes and related guarantees are unsecured senior subordinated obligations of Verso Holdings and the guarantors, respectively. In August 2014, the Old Subordinated Notes were amended by a supplemental indenture so as to (a) eliminate or waive substantially all of the restrictive covenants contained in the indenture governing such notes, (b) eliminate certain events of default, (c) modify covenants regarding mergers and consolidations, and (d) modify or eliminate certain other provisions, including, in some cases, certain

provisions relating to defeasance, contained in such indenture and such notes. The Old Subordinated Notes have an original maturity date of August 1, 2016.

Loan from Verso Finance / Verso Holdings. In 2010, Verso Quinnesec REP LLC, an indirect, wholly-owned subsidiary of Verso Holdings, entered into a financing transaction with Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund, LLC, or the “Investment Fund,” a consolidated variable interest entity. Under this arrangement, Verso Holdings loaned \$23 million to Verso Finance at an interest rate of 6.5% per year and with a maturity of December 29, 2040, and Verso Finance, in turn, loaned the funds on similar terms to the Investment Fund. The Investment Fund then contributed the loan proceeds to certain community development entities, which, in turn, loaned the funds on similar terms to Verso Quinnesec REP LLC as partial financing for the renewable energy project at our mill in Quinnesec, Michigan.

NewPage Corp

Revolving Credit Facility. In February 2014, NewPage Corp entered into a \$350 million senior secured asset-backed revolving credit facility, or the “NewPage ABL Facility.” As of December 31, 2015, the NewPage ABL Facility had \$250 million outstanding balance, \$51 million letters of credit issued, and nothing available for future borrowing. Amounts drawn under the NewPage ABL Facility bear annual interest at either the LIBOR rate plus a margin of 1.75% to 2.25% per year or at a base rate plus a margin of 0.75% to 1.25% per year. The interest rate margins on the NewPage ABL Facility are subject to adjustments based on the daily average availability of the NewPage ABL Facility. As of December 31, 2015, the weighted-average interest rate on outstanding advances was 3.71%. NewPage Corp is required to pay a commitment fee to the lenders in respect of the unutilized commitments under the revolving credit facilities and other customary fees. The security interest with respect to the NewPage ABL Facility consists of a first-priority lien with respect to most inventory, accounts receivable, bank accounts, and certain other assets of NewPage Corp, or “NewPage ABL Priority Collateral,” and a second-priority lien with respect to all other NewPage Corp assets, or “NewPage Term Loan Priority Collateral.” The NewPage ABL Facility have an original maturity date of February 11, 2019.

The issuers and guarantors of Verso Holdings’ debt securities and the borrower and guarantors of Verso Holdings’ credit facilities do not guarantee the obligations under the NewPage ABL Facility, and the borrower and the guarantors under the NewPage ABL Facility do not guarantee the obligations under Verso Holdings’ debt securities and credit facilities.

Floating Rate Senior Secured Term Loan. In February 2014, NewPage Corp entered into a \$750 million term loan facility, or “NewPage Term Loan Facility.” Amounts drawn under the NewPage Term Loan Facility bear annual interest at either the LIBOR rate plus a margin of 8.25% per year or at a base rate plus a margin of 7.25% per year. The interest in effect for the NewPage Term Loan Facility at December 31, 2015 was 9.50% per year. The security interest with respect to the NewPage Term Loan Facility consists of a first-priority lien with respect to the NewPage Term Loan Priority Collateral and a second-priority lien with respect to the NewPage ABL Priority Collateral. The NewPage Term Loan Facility has scheduled principal payments due quarterly that began on September 30, 2015. However, NewPage Corp has the right to prepay loans under the NewPage Term Loan Facility at any time without premium or penalty, other than customary “breakage” costs with respect to LIBOR rate loans, subject, however, to a prepayment premium for optional prepayments of the NewPage Term Loan Facility with a new or replacement term loan facility with an “effective” interest rate less than that applicable to the NewPage Term Loan Facility equal to (1) 2.00% if prepaid on or after the first anniversary of the closing date and prior to the second anniversary of the closing date and (2) 1.00% if prepaid on or after the second anniversary of the closing date and prior to the third anniversary of the closing date. The NewPage Term Loan Facility is subject to mandatory prepayments in amounts equal to (1) 100% of the net cash proceeds of indebtedness by NewPage Corp or any of its subsidiary guarantors other than indebtedness permitted under the NewPage Term Loan Facility, (2) 100% of the net cash proceeds of any non-ordinary course sale or other disposition of assets by NewPage Corp or any of its subsidiary guarantors (including

as a result of casualty or condemnation) (with customary exceptions, thresholds, and reinvestment rights of up to 12 months or 18 months if contractually committed to within 12 months), and (3) 75% of “excess cash flow” for each fiscal year beginning with the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015, subject to possible step-downs based on total net first lien leverage ratio thresholds. On January 7, 2015, the outstanding principal amount of the NewPage Term Loan Facility was \$734 million and was recorded at its fair value of \$703 million (see Note 4). On December 2015, a scheduled principal payment on the NewPage Term Loan Facility of \$3 million was made. Quarterly installments due are \$9 million for each quarter ending in 2016, \$14 million for each quarter ending in 2017 and \$19 million for each quarter ending in 2018 through 2020 with the remaining balance due on February 11, 2021. The NewPage Term Loan Facility have an original maturity date of February 11, 2021.

The issuers and guarantors of Verso Holdings’ debt securities and the borrower and guarantors of Verso Holdings’ credit facilities do not guarantee the obligations under the NewPage Term Loan Facility, and the borrower and the guarantors under the NewPage Term Loan Facility do not guarantee the obligations under Verso Holdings’ debt securities and credit facilities.

The original scheduled principal payments required under the debt listed above during the years following December 31, 2015, are set forth below:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO	VERSO HOLDINGS
2016	78	78
2017	155	155
2018	75	75
2019	1,756	1,756
2020	366	366
2021 and thereafter	413	436
Total debt	\$2,843	\$2,866

However, as discussed in Note 1, substantially all of our debt has been reclassified to Current liabilities on the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2015.

9. OTHER LIABILITIES

Other liabilities consist of the following:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO		VERSO HOLDINGS	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Pension benefit obligation	\$528	\$41	\$528	\$41
Other postretirement benefit obligation	30	—	30	—
Asset retirement obligations	16	8	16	8
Other employee related obligations	29	3	29	3
Non-controlling interests	8	8	8	8
Deferred income taxes	8	4	4	—
Other	15	1	15	—
Other liabilities	\$634	\$65	\$630	\$60

10. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following table provides a reconciliation of Verso's basic and diluted loss per common share:

	VERSO		
	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net income (loss) available to common shareholders (in millions)	\$(422)	\$(353)	\$(111)
Weighted average common stock outstanding (in thousands)	80,838	52,835	52,583
Weighted average restricted stock (in thousands)	457	458	541
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic	81,295	53,293	53,124
Dilutive shares from stock options	—	—	—
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted	81,295	53,293	53,124
Basic loss per share	\$(5.19)	\$(6.62)	\$(2.09)
Diluted loss per share	\$(5.19)	\$(6.62)	\$(2.09)

In accordance with ASC Topic 260, Earnings Per Share, unvested restricted stock awards issued by Verso contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends and qualify as participating securities. No dividends have been declared or paid in

2015, 2014, or 2013.

75

11. RETIREMENT AND OTHER POSTRETIREMENT BENEFITS

Defined Benefit Plans

The pension plans provide defined benefits based on years of service multiplied by a flat monetary benefit or based on a percentage of compensation as defined by the respective plan document. Since the completion of the NewPage acquisition, we have maintained three additional plans: a cash balance defined benefit pension plan for salaried employees, a defined benefit pension plan for union hourly employees, and a plan covering other postretirement and post-employment benefits, or “OPEB,” for certain employees. As of December 31, 2015, all of our defined benefit pension plans are frozen to new entrants. Further, all of our pension plans are frozen to new benefit accruals, with the exception of the NewPage union hourly plan which continues to provide service accruals toward their pension benefits but no longer provides multiplier increases. The cash balance plan participants continue to earn annual interest credits, but no longer earn cash balance benefit credits.

The following table summarizes the components of net periodic pension cost for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Components of net periodic pension cost:			
Service cost	\$11	\$6	\$7
Interest cost	65	4	3
Expected return on plan assets	(83) (4) (3
Amortization of prior service cost	—	1	1
Amortization of actuarial loss	2	—	1
Curtailment	1	1	—
Special termination benefits	3	—	—
Net periodic pension cost	\$(1) \$8	\$9

During 2015, a loss related to curtailment and special termination benefits of approximately \$4 million was recognized in Restructuring charges in the Consolidated Statements of Operations due to production capacity reductions at the Androscoggin mill. During 2014, a curtailment loss of approximately \$1 million was recognized in Restructuring charges in the Consolidated Statements of Operations due to a reduction in headcount associated with the closure of the Bucksport mill.

The following table provides detail on prior service cost and net actuarial loss recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2015 and 2014:

(Dollars in millions)	2015	2014
Amounts recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss:		
Prior service cost	\$—	\$1
Net actuarial loss	103	26

The estimated net actuarial loss and prior service cost that will be amortized from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic pension cost during 2016 is \$1 million and zero, respectively.

We make contributions that are sufficient to fund our actuarially determined costs, generally equal to the minimum amounts required by the Employee Retirement Income Security Act, or “ERISA.” We made contributions to the pension plans of \$28 million in 2015, \$8 million in 2014, and zero in 2013. In 2016, we expect to make cash contributions of approximately \$26 million to the pension plans. We expect no plan assets to be returned to the Company in 2016.

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the pension plans' benefit obligations, plan assets and funded status at December 31, 2015 and 2014:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of period	\$103	\$79
Acquisition of NewPage plans	1,603	—
Service cost	11	6
Interest cost	65	4
Actuarial loss (gain)	(33) 17
Benefits paid	(81) (3
Curtailment	1	—
Special termination benefits	3	—
Benefit obligation on December 31	\$1,672	\$103
Change in Plan Assets:		
Plan assets at fair value, beginning of fiscal year	\$62	\$53
Acquisition of NewPage plans	1,164	—
Actual net return on plan assets	(29) 4
Employer contributions	28	8
Benefits paid	(81) (3
Plan assets at fair value on December 31	\$1,144	\$62
Underfunded projected benefit obligation recognized in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets	\$(528) \$(41

The accumulated benefit obligation at December 31, 2015 and 2014, is \$1,672 million and \$103 million, respectively. The following table summarizes expected future pension benefit payments:

(Dollars in millions)	
2016	\$86
2017	89
2018	93
2019	95
2020	97
2021-2025	515

We evaluate our actuarial assumptions annually as of December 31 (the measurement date) and consider changes in these long-term factors based upon market conditions and the requirements of ASC Topic 715. These assumptions are used to calculate benefit obligations as of December 31 of the current year, and pension expense to be recorded for the following year. The discount rate assumption reflects the yield on a portfolio of high quality fixed-income instruments that have a similar duration to the plans' liabilities. The expected long-term rate of return assumption reflects the average return expected on the assets invested to provide for the plans' liabilities.

The actuarial assumptions used in the defined benefit pension plans were as follows:

	2015	2014	2013	
Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations as of December 31:				
Discount rate	4.17	% 3.83	% 4.75	%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension cost for the fiscal year:				

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Discount rate	3.98	%	4.75	%	3.84	%
Rate of compensation increase	N/A		N/A		N/A	
Expected long-term return on plan assets	7.05	%	6.50	%	6.50	%

Our primary investment objective is to ensure, over the long-term life of the pension plans, an adequate pool of sufficiently liquid assets to support the benefit obligations. In meeting this objective, the pension plans seek to achieve a high level of investment return through long-term stock and bond investment strategies, consistent with a prudent level of portfolio risk. The

77

expected long-term rate of return on plan assets reflects the weighted-average expected long-term rates of return for the broad categories of investments currently held in the plans (adjusted for expected changes), based on historical rates of return for each broad category, as well as factors that may constrain or enhance returns in the broad categories in the future. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is adjusted when there are fundamental changes in expected returns in one or more broad asset categories and when the weighted-average mix of assets in the plans changes significantly.

The following table provides the pension plans' asset allocation on December 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Allocation of Plan Assets			
	2015 Targeted Allocation	Allocation on December 31, 2015	2014 Targeted Allocation	Allocation on December 31, 2014
Fixed income:	50-70%		45-50%	
Money market funds		2	%	—
Fixed income funds		40	%	44
Equity securities:	25-50%		40-45%	
Domestic equity funds - large cap		28	%	27
Domestic equity funds - small cap		4	%	12
International equity funds		17	%	6
Other:	4-15%		5-15%	
Hedge funds, private equity, real estate, commodities		9	%	11

ASC Topic 820 provides a common definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. The fair value framework requires the categorization of assets and liabilities into three levels based upon the assumptions used to value the assets or liabilities (see Note 14).

The following table sets forth by level, within the fair value hierarchy, the pension plans' assets at fair value as of December 31, 2015 and 2014.

(Dollars in millions)	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
December 31, 2015				
Fixed income funds	\$461	\$87	\$374	\$—
Domestic equity funds - large cap	325	19	306	—
International equity funds	189	85	104	—
Domestic equity funds - small cap	51	7	44	—
Money market	19	19	—	—
Other (hedge funds, private equity, real estate, commodities)	99	6	41	52
Total assets at fair value	\$1,144	\$223	\$869	\$52
December 31, 2014				
Fixed income funds	\$28	\$28	\$—	\$—
Domestic equity funds - large cap	17	17	—	—
Domestic equity funds - small cap	7	7	—	—
International equity funds	3	3	—	—
Other (hedge funds, private equity, real estate, commodities)	7	7	—	—
Total assets at fair value	\$62	\$62	\$—	\$—

Our Level 1 and Level 2 investments are comprised of investments in publicly traded mutual funds and common/collective trusts. Publicly traded mutual funds and common/collective trusts are valued using a Net Asset Value or "NAV" provided by the manager of each fund. The NAV is based on the underlying net assets owned by the

fund, divided by the number of shares or units outstanding. The fair value of the underlying securities within the fund, which are generally traded on an active market, are valued at the closing price reported on the active market on which those individual securities are traded.

The following is additional information regarding Level 3 investments, held at December 31, 2015 that calculate net asset value per share (or its equivalent):

(Dollars in millions)	Fair Value	Unfunded Commitments	Redemption Frequency	Redemption Notice Period
Multi-Strategy Hedge Fund ^(a)	\$4	\$—	Quarterly	30 days
Debt Securities Hedge Fund ^(b)	32	—	Semi-Annually	90 days
Private Equity ^(c)	16	4	N/A	N/A
	\$52	\$4		

(a) The funds invest in equities, equity-related instruments, fixed income and other debt-related instruments, real estate and other tangible assets, cash and cash equivalents, options, futures, swaps and other derivatives. The fund utilizes leverage in its investment program and includes both long and short positions. The fund's investment objective is to generate consistent, absolute returns with low volatility.

(b) The fund's objective is to achieve superior risk-adjusted total returns by investing primarily in public and private non-investment grade and nonrated debt securities. Securities and other instruments acquired by the Fund may include all types of debt obligations consisting primarily of public and private non-investment grade and nonrated debt, convertible bonds, preferred stock, bank debt, middle market loans and notes, trade claims, liquidating trusts, assignments, options swaps and any other securities with fixed-income characteristics, including, without limitation, debentures, notes deferred interest, pay-in-kind or zero coupon bonds, mortgages and mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, other real-estate related instruments. The fund may also acquire common or preferred stock, warrants to purchase common or preferred stock, and any other equity interests.

(c) This category consists of several private equity funds some of which invest in limited partnerships which make equity-oriented investments in young, growing or emerging companies or entities. Additionally, the funds can invest in limited partnerships or other pooled investment vehicles which, in turn, make investments in management buy-in, management buy-out, leveraged buy-out, mezzanine, special situation and recapitalization transactions or other partnerships either directly or purchased in the secondary market, as well as investments in mezzanine, distressed and venture debt. These funds invest in a wide range of industries primarily in the United States. These investments cannot be redeemed. Instead, distributions are received when the underlying assets of the funds are liquidated.

The following is a reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of the fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs for Level 3 assets for the pension plans as of December 31, 2015.

(Dollars in millions)	Total	Private Equity	Hedge Funds
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$—	\$—	\$—
Acquisition of NewPage plans	84	18	66
Return on Plan Assets for Assets Sold During Year	—	—	—
Return on Plan Assets for Assets Held at Year End	3	2	1
Purchases/(Sales)	(35) (4) (31
Transfers in/(Out)	—	—	—
Balance at December 31, 2015	\$52	\$16	\$36

Other Postretirement and Post-employment Benefits

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of the other postretirement benefits and plan assets and also the funded status at December 31, 2015:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2015	
Change in Projected Benefit Obligation:		
Benefit obligation at beginning of period	\$—	
Acquisition of NewPage plans	47	
Service cost	—	
Interest cost	1	
Plan amendments	(3)
Benefits paid	(7)
Actuarial (gain) or loss	(1)
Benefit obligation on December 31	\$37	
Change in Plan Assets:		
Plan assets at fair value, beginning of fiscal year	\$—	
Employer contributions	7	
Benefits paid	(7)
Plan assets at fair value on December 31	\$—	
Funded status at end of period	\$(37)

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2015	
Included in the balance sheet:		
Other current liabilities	\$(7)
Other long-term obligations	\$(30)
Total net asset (liability)	\$(37)
Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations as of December 31:		
Discount rate	3.73	%
Weighted average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension cost for the fiscal year:		
Discount rate	3.43	%

The assumed discount rates used in determining the benefit obligations were determined by reference to the yield of a settlement portfolio from a universe of high quality bonds across the full maturity spectrum generally rated at Aa maturing in conjunction with the expected timing and amount of future benefit payments.

The following table summarizes the components of net periodic postretirement cost for the year ended December 31, 2015:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2015	
Components of net periodic postretirement benefit cost:		
Service cost	\$—	
Interest cost	1	
Amortization of prior service cost	(3)
Net periodic postretirement benefit cost	\$(2)

The annual rate of increase in healthcare costs is assumed to decline ratably each year until reaching 4.5% in 2030.

A one-percentage-point change in assumed retiree healthcare costs trend rates would have the following effects at December 31, 2015:

	Increase	Decrease
Effect on total service and interest cost components	N/A	N/A
Effect on accumulated postretirement benefit obligation	—	—

The following table provides detail on prior service cost and net actuarial loss recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss at December 31, 2015:

(Dollars in millions)	2015
Amounts recognized in Accumulated other comprehensive loss:	
Prior service cost	\$—
Net actuarial loss	(1)

There is no estimated net actuarial loss and prior service cost that will be amortized from Accumulated other comprehensive loss into net periodic pension cost during 2016.

The following table summarizes expected future postretirement benefit payments:

(Dollars in millions)	
2016	\$7
2017	6
2018	4
2019	4
2020	3
2021-2025	9

In 2016, we expect to make cash contributions of approximately \$7 million to the postretirement benefit plans.

Defined Contribution Plans

We also sponsor defined contribution plans for certain employees. Employees may elect to contribute a percentage of their salary on a pre-tax basis, subject to regulatory limitations, into an account with an independent trustee which can then be invested in a variety of investment options at the employee's discretion. We may also contribute to the employee's account depending upon the requirements of the plan. For certain employees, these employer contributions may be in the form of a specified percentage of each employee's total compensation or in the form of discretionary profit-sharing that may vary depending on the achievement of certain company objectives. Certain defined contribution benefits are provided in accordance with collective bargaining agreements. Expense under these plans was \$17 million, for the year ended December 31, 2015, and \$5 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2014, and 2013.

We sponsor 401(k) plans to provide salaried and hourly employees an opportunity to accumulate personal funds and to provide additional benefits for retirement. Employee contributions may be made on a before-tax or after-tax basis to the plan. Employer matching contributions under the plans were \$16 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, and \$7 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

12. EQUITY AWARDS

Verso's Amended and Restated 2008 Incentive Award Plan, or the "Incentive Plan," authorizes the issuance of stock awards covering up to 11,000,000 shares of our common stock. Under the Incentive Plan, stock awards may be

granted to employees, consultants, and directors upon approval by the board of directors.

We have issued non-qualified stock options to certain non-employee directors that vest upon grant and expire 10 years from the date of grant. We also have issued time-based non-qualified stock options to officers and management employees in 2015, 2014, and 2013. The time-based options vest one to three years from the date of grant and expire seven years from the date of grant.

A summary of stock option plan activity for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013 is provided below:

81

(in millions)	Options Outstanding
December 31, 2012	4
Options granted	—
Forfeited	—
December 31, 2013	4
Options granted	2
Exercised	—
December 31, 2014	6
Options granted	3
Forfeited	(1)
Exercised	—
December 31, 2015	8
Options exercisable on December 31, 2015	4
Options expected to vest as of December 31, 2015	4

On December 31, 2015, options outstanding had exercise prices ranging from \$0.15 to \$5.93 and options exercisable had exercise prices ranging from \$0.71 to \$5.93.

On December 31, 2015, there was \$4 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to stock options and \$1 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards.

We recognized equity award expense of \$3 million for the year ended December 31, 2015, and \$2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013.

13. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGES

In the normal course of business, we utilize derivative contracts as part of our risk management strategy to manage our exposure to market fluctuations in energy prices. These instruments are subject to credit and market risks in excess of the amount recorded on the balance sheet in accordance with GAAP. Credit risk represents the potential loss that may occur because a party to a transaction fails to perform according to the terms of the contract. The measure of credit exposure is the replacement cost of contracts with a positive fair value. We manage credit risk by entering into financial instrument transactions only through approved counterparties. Market risk represents the potential loss due to the decrease in the value of a financial instrument caused primarily by changes in commodity prices. We manage market risk by establishing and monitoring limits on the types and degree of risk that may be undertaken.

Derivative instruments are recorded on the balance sheet as other assets or other liabilities measured at fair value. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Where available, fair value is based on observable market prices or parameters or derived from such prices or parameters. Where observable prices or inputs are not available, valuation models may be applied.

We enter into fixed-price energy swaps as hedges designed to mitigate the risk of changes in commodity and delivery prices for forecasted future purchase commitments. These fixed-price swaps involve the exchange of net cash settlements, based on changes in the price of the underlying commodity index compared to the fixed price offering, at specified intervals without the exchange of any underlying principal. All gains and losses from changes in the fair value of our derivative contracts are recognized immediately in Cost of products sold.

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

The following table presents information about the volume and fair value amounts of our derivative instruments:

(Dollars in millions)	December 31, 2015		December 31, 2014	
	MMBTUs	Fair Value Assets/(Liabilities)	MMBTUs	Fair Value Assets/(Liabilities)
Fixed price energy swaps:				
Notional amount	1,620,000		1,876,475	
Accrued liabilities		\$ (3)		\$ (6)

The following table presents information about the effect of our derivative instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations:

(Dollars in millions)	(Loss) Gain Recognized on Derivatives Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Fixed price energy swaps	\$(4) \$4	\$16

(Loss) gain recognized on derivatives is included in Cost of products sold.

14. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We use fair value measurements for the initial recording of certain assets and liabilities, periodic remeasurement of certain assets and liabilities, and disclosures. Fair value is generally defined as the exit price at which an asset or liability could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing, unrelated parties, other than in a forced or liquidation sale.

The fair value framework requires the categorization of assets and liabilities into three levels based upon the assumptions used to value the assets or liabilities. Level 1 provides the most reliable measure of fair value, whereas Level 3 generally requires significant management judgment. The three levels are defined as follows:

- Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities at the measurement date.
- Level 2: Observable inputs other than those included in Level 1. For example, quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets or quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in inactive markets.
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs reflecting management's own assumption about the inputs used in pricing the asset or liability at the measurement date.

The following table summarizes the balances of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis and not otherwise disclosed:

(Dollars in millions)	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
December 31, 2015				
Assets:				
Investments related to deferred compensation plans	\$4	\$4	\$—	\$—
Liabilities:				
Commodity swaps	\$3	\$—	\$3	\$—
December 31, 2014				
Assets:				
Investments related to deferred compensation plans	\$4	\$4	\$—	\$—
Liabilities:				
Commodity swaps	\$6	\$—	\$6	\$—

Fair values are based on observable market data. Investments related to deferred compensation plans are included in Intangibles and other assets, net on the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Commodity swaps are included in Accrued liabilities on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

15. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Management Agreement — In connection with the acquisition of our business from International Paper Company on August 1, 2006, we entered into a management agreement with certain affiliates of Apollo Global Management, LLC, or "Apollo," our then majority owner, relating to the provision of certain financial and strategic advisory services and consulting services, which will expire on August 1, 2018. Under the management agreement, Apollo, upon providing notice to us, has the right to act, in return for additional fees to be mutually agreed by the parties to the management agreement, as our financial advisor or investment banker for any merger, acquisition, disposition, financing or similar

transaction if we decide to engage someone to fill such role. If Apollo exercises its right to act as our financial advisor or investment banker for any such transaction, and if we are unable to agree with Apollo on its compensation for serving in such role, then at the closing of any merger, acquisition, disposition or financing or similar transaction, we agreed to pay Apollo a fee equal to 1% of the aggregate enterprise value (including the aggregate value of equity securities, warrants, rights and options acquired or retained; indebtedness acquired, assumed or refinanced; and any other consideration or compensation paid in connection with such transaction). We also agreed to indemnify Apollo and its affiliates and their directors, officers and representatives for losses relating to the services contemplated by the management agreement and the engagement of affiliates of Apollo pursuant to, and the performance by them of the services contemplated by, the management agreement. Apollo did not exercise its right to act as our financial

advisor or investment banker for any such transaction in 2015, 2014 or 2013 and thus we made no payment to Apollo under the management agreement during those years.

Verso Quinnesec Renewable Energy Project — In 2010, Verso Quinnesec REP LLC, an indirect, wholly owned subsidiary of Verso Holdings, entered into a financing transaction with Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund, LLC, or the “Investment Fund,” a consolidated variable interest entity (see Note 19). Under this arrangement, Verso Holdings loaned \$23 million to Verso Finance at an interest rate of 6.5% per year and with a maturity of December 29, 2040, and Verso Finance, in turn, loaned the funds on similar terms to the Investment Fund. The Investment Fund then contributed the loan proceeds to certain community development entities, which, in turn, loaned the funds on similar terms to Verso Quinnesec REP LLC as partial financing for the renewable energy project at our mill in Quinnesec, Michigan. As of both December 31, 2015, and 2014, Verso Holdings had a \$23 million long-term receivable due from Verso Finance, representing these funds and immaterial accrued interest receivable, while the Investment Fund had an outstanding loan of \$23 million due to Verso Finance and immaterial accrued interest payable. In addition, for each of the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, Verso Holdings recognized interest income from Verso Finance of \$2 million and the Investment Fund recognized interest expense to Verso Finance of \$2 million.

Transactions with Affiliates — We transact business with affiliates of Apollo from time to time. Our product sales to Apollo affiliates were approximately \$26 million for 2015, and our related accounts receivable were approximately \$1 million as of December 31, 2015. Our product purchases from Apollo affiliates were negligible in the reporting periods.

16. RESTRUCTURING CHARGES

Bucksport Mill Closure - On October 1, 2014, Verso announced plans to close our paper mill in Bucksport, Maine, and we ceased paper manufacturing operations in December 2014. The mill closure reduced Verso’s coated groundwood paper production capacity by approximately 350,000 tons and its specialty paper production capacity by approximately 55,000 tons.

The following table details the charges incurred related primarily to the Bucksport mill closure in 2014 as included in Restructuring charges on our accompanying Consolidated Statements of Operations:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2015	Year Ended December 31, 2014	Cumulative Incurred
Property and equipment	\$—	\$89	\$89
Severance and benefit costs	2	27	29
Write-off of spare parts, inventory and other assets	—	14	14
Write-off of purchase obligations and commitments	6	2	8
Other miscellaneous costs	4	3	7
Total restructuring charges	\$12	\$135	\$147

Severance and benefit costs include approximately \$1 million of non-cash pension expenses.

The following details the changes in our restructuring reserve liabilities related to the Bucksport shutdown during the year ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, which are included in Accrued liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31,	
	2015	2014
Beginning balance of reserve	\$24	\$—
Severance and benefit costs	—	26
Severance and benefit payments	(24) (3
Purchase obligations	6	1
Payments on purchase obligations	(8) —
Severance and benefit reserve adjustments	2	—

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Ending balance of reserve	\$—	\$24
---------------------------	-----	------

NewPage Acquisition Restructuring - As part of the NewPage acquisition, Verso is executing a restructuring of its operations to integrate the historical Verso and NewPage operations, generate cost savings and capture synergies across the combined company.

84

The following table details the charges incurred related primarily to the NewPage acquisition and primarily attributable to the paper segment as included in Restructuring charges on our accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2015	Cumulative Incurred
Property and equipment - disposal	\$4	\$4
Severance and benefit costs	16	16
Total restructuring charges	\$20	\$20

The following details the changes in our restructuring reserve liabilities related to the NewPage acquisition during the year ended December 31, 2015, which are included in Accrued liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Beginning balance of reserve	\$—
Severance and benefit costs	16
Severance and benefit payments	(11)
Ending balance of reserve	\$5

Androscoggin/Wickliffe Capacity Reduction - On August 20, 2015, Verso announced plans to make production capacity reductions at two of our mills by shutting down the No. 1 pulp dryer and No. 2 paper machine at our mill in Androscoggin, Maine, and by indefinitely idling our mill in Wickliffe, Kentucky. Together, these actions will reduce our production capacity by 430,000 tons of coated paper and 130,000 tons of dried market pulp.

The following table details the charges incurred related primarily to the Androscoggin/Wickliffe Capacity Reduction and primarily attributable to the paper segment as included in Restructuring charges on our accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2015	Cumulative Incurred
Severance and benefit costs	\$16	\$16
Write-off of spare parts, inventory and other assets	3	3
Write-off of purchase obligations and commitments	1	1
Other miscellaneous costs	1	1
Total restructuring charges	\$21	\$21

Severance and benefit costs include approximately \$4 million of non-cash pension expenses.

The following details the changes in our restructuring reserve liabilities related to the Androscoggin/Wickliffe Capacity Reduction during the year ended December 31, 2015, which are included in Accrued liabilities on our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Beginning balance of reserve	\$—
Severance and benefit costs	11
Severance and benefit payments	(4)
Purchase obligations	1
Payments on purchase obligations	(1)
Ending balance of reserve	\$7

17. INCOME TAXES

The following is a summary of the components of the (benefit) provision for income taxes for Verso and Verso Holdings (Note that Verso Holdings is a single member LLC which began accounting for income taxes related to NewPage and its subsidiaries for periods after the acquisition of NewPage in January 2015):

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO			VERSO
	Year Ended December 31,			HOLDINGS
	2015	2014	2013	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Current tax provision (benefit):				
U.S. federal	\$—	\$—	\$—	\$—
U.S. state and local	—	(1) —	—
Total current tax provision (benefit)	—	(1) —	—
Deferred tax (benefit) provision:				
U.S. federal	(136) (112) (120) (84
U.S. state and local	(37) (14) (13) (16
Total deferred tax (benefit) provision	(173) (126) (133) (100
Less: valuation allowance	170	124	132	97
Total income tax (benefit) provision	\$(3) \$(3) \$(1) \$(3

A reconciliation of income tax expense using the statutory federal income tax rate compared with actual income tax expense follows:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO			VERSO
	Year Ended December 31,			HOLDINGS
	2015	2014	2013	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Tax at Statutory U.S. Rate of 34% in 2013 and 2014, 35% in 2015	\$(149) \$(121)	\$(38
Increase resulting from:				\$(80
Cancellation of debt income	11	—	10,944,000	—
Disallowed interest expense	5	—	—	—
Nondeductible transaction costs	(4) 9	2	(4
Other expenses	1	—	—	—
Net permanent differences	13	9	2	(4
Valuation allowance	170	124	132	97
Benefit from change in prior tax position	—	—	(93) —
State income taxes (benefit)	(37) (15)	(4
Other	—	—	—	—
Total income tax (benefit) provision	\$(3) \$(3)	\$(1
) \$(3

The following is a summary of the significant components of our net deferred tax liability:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO		VERSO
	Year Ended December 31,		HOLDINGS
	2015	2014	Year Ended December 31, 2015
Deferred tax assets:			
Net operating loss and credit carryforwards	\$991	\$480	\$475
Pension	181	15	172
Cancellation of debt income	30	—	—
Compensation obligations	24	10	11
Inventory reserves/capitalization	18	13	11
Capitalized transaction costs	10	5	5
Payment-in-kind interest	10	10	—
Intangible assets	5	—	10
Other	17	3	14
Gross deferred tax assets	1,286	536	698
Less: valuation allowance	(811) (454) (272
Deferred tax assets, net of allowance	\$475	\$82	\$426
Deferred tax liabilities:			
Property, plant, and equipment	\$(475) \$(52) \$(428
Major planned maintenance	(5) (8) —
Cancellation of debt income deferral	—	(17) —
Intangible assets	—	(6) —
Other	(3) (3) (2
Total deferred tax liabilities	(483) (86) (430
Net deferred tax liabilities	\$(8) \$(4) \$(4

The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Based on our lack of historical earnings, management believes it is more likely than not that Verso will not realize the benefits of those deductible differences.

The valuation allowance for deferred tax assets as of December 31, 2015, and 2014 was \$811 million and \$454 million, respectively. The increase in the valuation allowance in 2015 of \$357 million is primarily attributable to the effects of additional net operating loss carryforwards acquired from the NewPage acquisition during 2015. The increase in the valuation allowance in 2014 of \$130 million was primarily attributable to additional federal and state losses incurred during 2014. It is less than more likely than not Verso will realize these carryforward benefits in the future.

Income tax benefits of \$181 million related to pension and OPEB liabilities are recorded net of amounts credited to other comprehensive income of \$36 million.

Verso's policy is to record interest paid or received with respect to income taxes as interest expense or interest income, respectively, in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. The total amount of tax related interest and penalties in the Consolidated Balance Sheets was zero at December 31, 2015 and 2014. The amount of expense (benefit) for interest and penalties included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations was zero, zero, and zero for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013.

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Verso has federal net operating loss carryforwards totaling approximately \$2,496 million as of December 31, 2015, which begin to expire in 2018.

As a result of the acquisition of NewPage by Verso on January 7, 2015, the carryover of NewPage net operating loss deductions are limited under §382 of the Internal Revenue Code resulting in certain of the losses not being able to be utilized by Verso in the future.

87

Verso has state net operating loss carryforwards, after apportionment, totaling approximately \$1,420 million as of December 31, 2015, which begin to expire in 2016.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of unrecognized tax benefits is as follows:

Balance at December 31, 2014	\$0	
Acquired in NewPage Transaction	5	
Derecognition of Credits limited by Section 383	(2)
Balance at December 31, 2015	3	

None of the unrecognized tax benefits are expected to significantly increase or decrease in the next twelve months. None of the unrecognized tax benefits would, if recognized, affect the effective tax rate.

Verso is subject to various federal, state, and local income tax audits for the tax years ended December 31, 2011 through 2015. As of the current date, there are no ongoing federal or state income tax audits.

18. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Operating Leases — We have entered into operating lease agreements, which expire at various dates through 2021, primarily related to certain machinery and equipment used in our manufacturing process. Rental expense under operating leases amounted to \$16 million, \$7 million, and \$10 million for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013, respectively.

The following table, as of December 31, 2015, represents the future minimum rental payments due under non-cancelable operating leases that have initial or remaining lease terms in excess of one year:

(Dollars in millions)

2016	\$8
2017	6
2018	5
2019	1
2020	1
Thereafter	1
Total	\$22

Purchase obligations — We have entered into unconditional purchase obligations in the ordinary course of business for the purchase of certain raw materials, energy, and services. The following table, as of December 31, 2015, summarizes our unconditional purchase obligations.

(Dollars in millions)

2016	\$89
2017	41
2018	35
2019	30
2020	28
Thereafter	60
Total	\$283

Severance Arrangements — Under our severance policy, and subject to certain terms and conditions, if the employment of a salaried employee or an hourly employee at the Quinnesec mill is terminated under specified circumstances, the employee is eligible to receive a termination allowance based on the employee's applicable service and eligible pay.

The termination allowance is equal to two weeks of eligible pay for each full or partial year of applicable service, and in any event is not less than four weeks of eligible pay and not more than 52 weeks of eligible pay. We also may elect to provide the employee with other severance benefits such as prorated and/or reduced incentive awards under our incentive plans and programs, subsidized continuation medical and dental insurance coverage, and outplacement services. Our executive officers are also entitled to receive additional severance benefits under their contracts with us in the event of the termination of their employment under certain circumstances.

Expera Specialty Solutions, LLC — We are a party to a long-term supply agreement with Expera Specialty Solutions, LLC, or “Expera,” for the manufacture of specialty paper products on paper machine no. 5 at our Androscoggin mill in Jay, Maine. The agreement, which expires on June 1, 2017, requires Expera to pay us a variable charge for the paper purchased and a fixed charge for the availability of the paper machine. We are responsible for the machine’s routine maintenance, and Expera is responsible for any capital expenditures specific to the machine. Expera has the right to terminate the agreement if certain events occur.

General Litigation — We are involved from time to time in legal proceedings incidental to the conduct of our business. We do not believe that any liability that may result from these proceedings will have a material adverse effect on our financial statements.

19. NEW MARKET TAX CREDIT ENTITIES

In 2010, we entered into a financing transaction with Chase Community Equity, LLC, or “Chase,” related to a \$43 million renewable energy project at our mill in Quinnesec, Michigan, in which Chase made a capital contribution and Verso Finance made a loan to Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund, LLC, or the “Investment Fund,” under a qualified New Markets Tax Credit, or “NMTC,” program, provided for in the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000.

In connection with the financing, Verso Holdings loaned \$23 million to Verso Finance at an interest rate of 6.5% per year and with a maturity of December 29, 2040, and Verso Finance, in turn, loaned the funds on similar terms to the Investment Fund. The Investment Fund then contributed the loan proceeds to certain CDEs, which, in turn, loaned the funds on similar terms to Verso Quinnesec REP LLC, our indirect, wholly owned subsidiary. The proceeds of the loans from the CDEs (including loans representing the capital contribution made by Chase, net of syndication fees) were used to partially fund the renewable energy project.

By virtue of its contribution, Chase is entitled to substantially all of the benefits derived from the NMTCs. This transaction includes a put/call provision whereby we may be obligated or entitled to repurchase Chase’s interest. We believe that Chase will exercise the put option in December 2017 at the end of the recapture period. The value attributed to the put/call is de minimis. The NMTC is subject to 100% recapture for a period of 7 years as provided in the Internal Revenue Code. We are required to be in compliance with various regulations and contractual provisions that apply to the NMTC arrangement. Non-compliance with applicable requirements could result in projected tax benefits not being realized and, therefore, could require us to indemnify Chase for any loss or recapture of NMTCs related to the financing until such time as our obligation to deliver tax benefits is relieved. We do not anticipate any credit recaptures will be required in connection with this arrangement.

We have determined that the Investment Fund is a variable interest entity, or “VIE,” of which we are the primary beneficiary, and have consolidated it in accordance with the accounting standard for consolidation. Chase’s contribution, net of syndication fees, is included in Other liabilities in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets. Direct costs incurred in structuring the financing arrangement are deferred and will be recognized as expense over the term of the loans. Incremental costs to maintain the structure during the compliance period are recognized as incurred.

The following table summarizes the impact of the VIE consolidated by Verso Holdings as of December 31, 2015 and 2014:

(Dollars in millions)	VERSO		VERSO HOLDINGS	
	December 31,		December 31,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Total assets	\$—	\$—	\$23	\$23
Long-term debt	—	—	23	23

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Other non-current liabilities	8	8	8	8
Total liabilities	\$8	\$8	\$31	\$31

Amounts presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the table above are adjusted for intercompany eliminations.

The asset held by Verso Holdings represents its investment in the loan to Verso Finance, which is eliminated in consolidation in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of Verso. The liability of Verso Holdings represents the loan issued by the Investment Fund to Verso Finance, which is also eliminated in consolidation in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheets of Verso.

20. INFORMATION BY INDUSTRY SEGMENT

In January 2015, following the NewPage acquisition, Verso reorganized its reportable segments as a result of a change in the way the Chief Executive Officer, who serves as the Chief Operating Decision Maker, or “CODM,” manages and evaluates the business. Based on the information that the CODM utilizes to manage and evaluate the business, it was determined that the operating segments represent two segments, paper and pulp. Our paper segment now includes the historical coated and other segments. As a result of these changes in segment reporting, all historical segment information has been revised to conform to the new presentation, with no resulting impact on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Our paper products are used primarily in media and marketing applications, including catalogs, magazines, and commercial printing applications such as high-end advertising brochures, annual reports, and direct-mail advertising. Our pulp products are used to manufacture printing, writing, and specialty paper grades and tissue products. Our assets are utilized across segments in our integrated mill system and are not identified by segment or reviewed by management on a segment basis. We operate primarily in one geographic segment, North America.

The following table summarizes the industry segments for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, and 2013:

(Dollars in millions)	Year Ended December 31,		
	2015	2014	2013
Net Sales:			
Paper	\$2,914	\$1,136	\$1,233
Pulp	252	161	156
Intercompany eliminations	(44) —	—
Total	\$3,122	\$1,297	\$1,389
Operating (Loss) Income:			
Paper ⁽¹⁾	\$(129) \$(194) \$12
Pulp	(26) 19	22
Total	\$(155) \$(175) \$34
Depreciation, Amortization, and Depletion:			
Paper	\$278	\$76	\$87
Pulp	30	15	18
Total	\$308	\$91	\$105
Capital Spending:			
Paper	\$51	\$32	\$35
Pulp	13	10	6
Total	\$64	\$42	\$41

(1) Operating loss of the paper segment includes \$49 million and \$135 million in Restructuring charges recognized in 2015 and 2014, respectively.

21. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Presented below are Verso Holdings’ Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets, Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income, and Condensed Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows, as required by Rule 3-10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements have been prepared from Verso Holdings’ financial information on the same basis of accounting as the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. Investments in our subsidiaries are accounted for under the equity method. Accordingly, the entries necessary to consolidate Verso Holdings’ subsidiaries that guaranteed the obligations under the debt securities described below are reflected in the Eliminations column.

Verso Holdings, or the “Parent Issuer,” and its direct, 100% owned subsidiary, Verso Paper Inc., or the “Subsidiary Issuer,” are the issuers of the 11.75% Senior Secured Notes due 2019, the 11.75% Secured Notes due 2019, the 13% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2020, the 16% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2020, the 8.75% Second Priority Senior Secured Notes due 2019, the 11.38% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016, or collectively, the “Notes.” In accordance with ASU 2013-04 related to joint and several liability arrangements, the Notes have been recorded by the Parent Issuer as it is the intent of the issuers for the Parent Issuer to settle the obligation. The Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed on a full and unconditional basis by the Parent Issuer’s direct and indirect, 100% owned subsidiaries, excluding the Subsidiary Issuer, the subsidiaries of NewPage Holdings Inc., Bucksport Leasing LLC, Verso Quinnesec REP LLC, and Verso Androscoggin Power LLC, or collectively, the “Guarantor Subsidiaries.” As of December 31, 2015, NewPage Corporation is presented as a Non-Guarantor Subsidiary. Chase NMTC Verso Investment Fund, LLC, a consolidated VIE of Verso Holdings, and all other entities specifically aforementioned are in “Other Non-Guarantors.”

Verso Paper Holdings LLC
Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2015

(Dollars in millions)	Parent Issuer	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiary	Other Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	\$—	\$1	\$3	\$ —	\$—	\$4
Accounts receivable, net	—	—	63	163	—	—	226
Current							
intercompany/affiliate receivable	—	—	17	2	—	(19) —
Inventories	—	—	103	381	—	—	484
Assets held for sale	—	—	—	—	5	—	5
Prepaid expenses and other assets	—	—	10	22	—	—	32
Current assets	—	—	193	572	5	(19) 751
Property, plant, and equipment, net	—	—	409	1,431	17	—	1,857
Intercompany/affiliate receivable	1,399	—	1	—	31	(1,431) —
Intangibles and other assets, net ⁽¹⁾	—	—	65	65	—	(5) 125
Total assets	\$1,399	\$—	\$669	\$2,067	\$ 53	\$(1,455) \$2,733
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER’S EQUITY							
Accounts payable	\$—	\$—	\$27	\$86	\$ —	\$—	\$113
Current							
intercompany/affiliate payable	—	—	2	17	—	(19) —
Accrued liabilities	92	—	51	124	—	—	267
Current maturities of long-term debt	1,930	—	—	954	—	(5) 2,879
Current liabilities	2,022	—	80	1,181	—	(24) 3,259
Intercompany/affiliate payable	—	—	1,399	—	32	(1,431) —
Investment in subsidiaries	556	—	15	—	—	(571) —

Edgar Filing: Verso Corp - Form 10-K

Long-term debt ⁽²⁾	—	—	—	—	23	—	23
Other liabilities	—	—	64	558	8	—	630
Member's (deficit) equity	(1,179)	—	(889)	328	(10)	571	(1,179)
Total liabilities and equity	\$1,399	\$—	\$669	\$2,067	\$ 53	\$(1,455)	\$2,733

(1) Intangibles and other assets, net of Guarantor Subsidiaries includes \$23 million of a long-term note receivable from Verso Finance.

(2) Long-term debt of Other Non-Guarantors is payable to Verso Finance.

Verso Paper Holdings LLC
Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
December 31, 2014

(Dollars in millions)	Parent Issuer	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non-Guarantor Subsidiary	Other Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
ASSETS							
Cash and cash equivalents	\$—	\$—	\$6	\$—	\$ —	\$—	\$6
Accounts receivable, net	—	—	88	—	—	—	88
Inventories	—	—	110	—	—	—	110
Assets held for sale	—	—	60	—	1	—	61
Prepaid expenses and other assets	—	—	9	—	2	—	11
Current assets	—	—	273	—	3	—	276
Property, plant, and equipment, net	—	—	508	—	23	—	531
Intercompany/affiliate receivable	1,374	—	2	—	58	(1,434)	—
Intangibles and other assets, net ⁽¹⁾	—	—	70	—	1	—	71
Total assets	\$1,374	\$—	\$854	\$—	\$ 84	\$(1,434)	\$878
LIABILITIES AND MEMBER'S EQUITY							
Accounts payable	\$—	\$—	\$63	\$—	\$ —	\$—	\$63
Accrued liabilities	77	—	128	—	1	—	206
Current maturities of long-term debt	—	—	—	—	30	—	30
Liabilities related to assets held for sale	—	—	2	—	—	—	2
Current liabilities	78	—	192	—	31	—	301
Intercompany/affiliate payable	—	—	1,400	—	34	(1,434)	—
Investment in subsidiaries	803	—	13	—	—	(816)	—
Long-term debt ⁽²⁾	1,274	—	—	—	23	—	1,297
Other liabilities	—	—	52	—	8	—	60
Member's (deficit) equity	(780)	—	(805)	—	(11)	816	(780)
Total liabilities and equity	\$1,374	\$—	\$854	\$—	\$ 84	\$(1,434)	\$878

(1) Intangibles and other assets, net of Guarantor Subsidiaries includes \$23 million of a long-term note receivable from Verso Finance.

(2) Long-term debt of Other Non-Guarantors is payable to Verso Finance.

Certain amounts in the prior year presentation have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

Verso Paper Holdings LLC
Condensed Consolidating Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income
Year Ended December 31, 2015

(Dollars in millions)	Parent Issuer	Subsidiary Issuer	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiary	Other Non-Guarantors	Eliminations	Consolidated
Net sales	\$—	\$—	\$1,000	\$2,174	\$ 1	\$(53)	\$ 3,122
Cost of products sold (exclusive of depreciation, amortization, and depletion)	—	—	857	1,923	—	(53)	2,727
Depreciation, amortization, and depletion	—	—	118	188	2	—	308
Selling, general, and administrative expenses	—	—	102	86	(1)	—	187
Restructuring charges	—	—	39	15	—	—	54