

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Form 10-Q  
July 27, 2012

---

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2012

Or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934  
For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-33761

PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, INC.  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of  
Incorporation or Organization)

20-8999751  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

120 West 45th Street

New York, New York 10036  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (212) 355-1600

Not Applicable

(Former name, former address, and former fiscal year if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act) Yes  No

As of July 27, 2012, there were 10,546,635 outstanding shares of the registrant's Class A common stock, par value \$0.01 per share.

As of July 27, 2012, there were 54,296,813 outstanding shares of the registrant's Class B common stock, par value \$0.000001 per share.

PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, INC.  
 FORM 10-Q  
 TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	
<u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition of Pzena Investment Management, Inc. as of June 30, 2012 (unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u>	<u>1</u>
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) of Pzena Investment Management, Inc. for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>2</u> -
<u>Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity (unaudited) of Pzena Investment Management, Inc. for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012</u>	<u>3</u> -
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) of Pzena Investment Management, Inc. for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 and 2011</u>	<u>4</u> -
<u>Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>18</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>33</u> -
<u>Item 3.</u>	
<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>33</u> -
<u>Item 4.</u>	
PART II — OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 2.</u>	
<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>34</u> -
<u>Item 6.</u>	
<u>Exhibits</u>	<u>34</u>

SIGNATURES

## CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements provide our current expectations, or forecasts, of future events. Forward-looking statements include statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, intentions, assumptions and other statements that are not historical facts. Words or phrases such as “anticipate,” “believe,” “continue,” “ongoing,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “may,” “plan,” “predict,” “project” or similar words or phrases, or the negatives of those words or phrases, may identify forward-looking statements, but the absence of these words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties and are based on potentially inaccurate assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expected or implied by the forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in forward-looking statements for many reasons, including the factors described in Item 1A, “Risk Factors” in Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for our fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. Accordingly, you should not unduly rely on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this Quarterly Report. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise any forward-looking statements to reflect circumstances or events after the date of this Quarterly Report, or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. You should, however, review the factors and risks we describe in the reports we will file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, after the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements about:

- our anticipated future results of operations and operating cash flows;
- our business strategies and investment policies;
- our financing plans and the availability of short- or long-term borrowing, or equity financing;
- our competitive position and the effects of competition on our business;
- potential growth opportunities available to us;
- the recruitment and retention of our employees;
- our expected levels of compensation for our employees;
- our potential operating performance, achievements, efficiency, and cost reduction efforts;
- our expected tax rate;
- changes in interest rates;
- our expectation with respect to the economy, capital markets, the market for asset management services, and other industry trends; and
- the impact of future legislation and regulation, and changes in existing legislation and regulation, on our business.

Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

The reports that we file with the SEC, accessible on the SEC's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov), identify additional factors that can affect forward-looking statements.

ii

---

## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, INC.  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION  
(in thousands, except share and per-share amounts)

	June 30, 2012 (unaudited)	As of December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 31,890	\$ 35,083
Restricted Cash	1,030	1,030
Due from Broker	1,975	457
Advisory Fees Receivable	14,430	14,717
Investments, at Fair Value	4,599	4,919
Receivable from Related Parties	101	66
Other Receivables	116	54
Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets	569	688
Deferred Tax Asset, Net of Valuation Allowance of \$60,427 and \$61,050, respectively	8,278	8,835
Property and Equipment, Net of Accumulated Depreciation of \$2,593 and \$2,516, respectively	807	829
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 63,795</b>	<b>\$ 66,678</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses	\$ 11,526	\$ 6,062
Due to Broker	1,415	-
Liability to Selling and Converting Shareholders	9,783	11,218
Lease Liability	1,488	1,795
Deferred Compensation Liability	620	1,173
Other Liabilities	224	206
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>25,056</b>	<b>20,454</b>
<b>Equity:</b>		
Preferred Stock (Par Value \$0.01; 200,000,000 Shares Authorized; None Outstanding)	-	-
Class A Common Stock (Par Value \$0.01; 750,000,000 Shares Authorized; 10,546,635 and 10,575,089 Shares Issued and Outstanding in 2012 and 2011, respectively)	105	105
Class B Common Stock (Par Value \$0.000001; 750,000,000 Shares Authorized; 54,051,326 and 53,967,555 Shares Issued and Outstanding in 2012 and 2011, respectively)	-	-
Additional Paid-In Capital	11,999	12,000
Retained Earnings	1,127	1,832

Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total Pzena Investment Management, Inc.'s Equity	13,231	13,937
Non-Controlling Interests	25,508	32,287
TOTAL EQUITY	38,739	46,224
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 63,795	\$ 66,678

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, INC.  
 UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS  
 (in thousands, except share and per-share amounts)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
REVENUE	\$ 18,339	\$ 22,371	\$ 38,107	\$ 44,159
<b>EXPENSES</b>				
Compensation and Benefits Expense	8,012	8,260	16,185	16,648
General and Administrative Expense	1,916	2,056	3,619	4,003
TOTAL OPERATING EXPENSES	9,928	10,316	19,804	20,651
Operating Income	8,411	12,055	18,303	23,508
<b>OTHER INCOME/(EXPENSE)</b>				
Interest Income	41	44	95	72
Interest Expense	-	-	(26 )	-
Dividend Income	34	60	58	71
Net Realized and Unrealized Gain/(Loss) from Investments	(189 )	186	666	441
Change in Liability to Selling and Converting Shareholders	315	(2,140 )	(658 )	(2,257 )
Other Income/(Expense)	(72 )	(121 )	33	(171 )
Total Other Income/(Expense)	129	(1,971 )	168	(1,844 )
Income Before Income Taxes	8,540	10,084	18,471	21,664
Income Tax Expense/(Benefit)	1,534	(516 )	1,780	67
Net Income	7,006	10,600	16,691	21,597
Less: Net Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	6,392	9,741	15,070	19,081
Net Income Attributable to Pzena Investment Management, Inc.	\$ 614	\$ 859	\$ 1,621	\$ 2,516
<b>Net Income for Basic Earnings per Share</b>				
Net Income for Basic Earnings per Share	\$ 614	\$ 859	\$ 1,621	\$ 2,516
Basic Earnings per Share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.26
Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	10,565,406	9,904,187	10,570,247	9,646,298
<b>Net Income for Diluted Earnings per Share</b>				
Net Income for Diluted Earnings per Share	\$ 614	\$ 859	\$ 10,032	\$ 13,390
Diluted Earnings per Share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.21
Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	10,565,406	9,904,187	65,395,327	65,070,712



Cash Dividends per Share of Class A Common Stock	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.06
---	---------	---------	---------	---------

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, INC.  
 UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY  
 (in thousands, except share and per-share amounts)

	Shares of	Shares of					
	Class A	Class B	Class A	Additional	Retained	Non-Controlling	Total
	Common	Common	Common	Paid-In	Earnings	Interests	
	Stock	Stock	Stock	Capital			
Balance at December 31, 2011	10,575,089	53,967,555	\$ 105	\$ 12,000	\$ 1,832	\$ 32,287	\$ 46,224
Amortization of Non-Cash Compensation	-	9,500	-	120	-	611	731
Directors' Shares	-	-	-	24	-	116	140
Net Income	-	-	-	-	1,621	15,070	16,691
Repurchase and Retirement of Class A Common Stock	(28,454 )	-	-	(125 )	-	-	(125 )
Options Exercised	-	74,271	-	-	-	-	-
Retirement of Class B Units	-	-	-	(20 )	-	20	-
Class A Cash Dividends Declared and Paid (\$0.22 per share)	-	-	-	-	(2,326 )	-	(2,326 )
Contributions from Non-Controlling Interests	-	-	-	-	-	45	45
Distributions to Non-Controlling Interests	-	-	-	-	-	(22,641 )	(22,641 )
Balance at June 30, 2012	10,546,635	54,051,326	\$ 105	\$ 11,999	\$ 1,127	\$ 25,508	\$ 38,739

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, INC.  
 UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (in thousands)

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>				
Net Income	\$ 7,006	\$ 10,600	\$ 16,691	\$ 21,597
Adjustments to Reconcile Net Income to Cash Provided by Operating Activities:				
Depreciation	57	104	115	207
Disposal of Fixed Assets	-	-	(93 )	-
Non-Cash Compensation	665	1,180	1,351	2,363
Director Share Grant	70	70	140	140
Net Realized and Unrealized (Gain)/Loss from Investments	189	(186 )	(666 )	(441 )
Change in Liability to Selling and Converting Shareholders	(315 )	2,140	658	2,257
Deferred Income Taxes	956	(1,254 )	611	(1,439 )
Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:				
Advisory Fees Receivable	1,734	(1,187 )	287	(2,054 )
Due from Broker	1,010	(1,115 )	(1,518 )	(1,663 )
Restricted Cash	-	(1 )	-	(2 )
Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets	(13 )	4	56	316
Due to Broker	(1,532 )	(1,765 )	1,415	3
Accounts Payable, Accrued Expenses, and Other Liabilities	3,616	3,744	4,257	4,782
Tax Receivable Agreement Payments	-	-	(2,093 )	(84 )
Change in Lease Liability	(153 )	-	(307 )	-
Purchases of Investments	(10,588 )	(9,015 )	(31,423 )	(20,415 )
Proceeds from Sale of Investments	10,742	9,755	31,955	20,393
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	13,444	13,074	21,436	25,960
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>				
Purchases of Investments in Deferred Compensation Plan	(10 )	-	(462 )	(1,433 )
Proceeds from Investments in Deferred Compensation Plan	-	-	544	847
Payments (to)/from Related Parties	(14 )	3	(34 )	22
Purchase of Property and Equipment	(1 )	(34 )	(1 )	(151 )
	(25 )	(31 )	47	(715 )

Net Cash Provided by/(Used in)  
Investing Activities

**FINANCING ACTIVITIES**

Repurchase and Retirement of Class A Common Stock	(125 )	-	(125 )	-
Distributions to Non-Controlling Interests	(8,933 )	(9,854 )	(22,270 )	(16,150 )
Contributions from Non-Controlling Interests	45	250	45	250
Dividends	(317 )	(297 )	(2,326 )	(578 )
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	(9,330 )	(9,901 )	(24,676 )	(16,478 )
<b>NET CHANGE IN CASH</b>	<b>\$ 4,089</b>	<b>\$ 3,142</b>	<b>\$ (3,193 )</b>	<b>\$ 8,767</b>

**CASH AND CASH**

<b>EQUIVALENTS - Beginning of Period</b>	<b>\$ 27,801</b>	<b>\$ 22,054</b>	<b>\$ 35,083</b>	<b>\$ 16,381</b>
Effect of Consolidation of Affiliates	-	-	-	48
Net Change in Cash	4,089	3,142	(3,193 )	8,767
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - End of Period</b>	<b>\$ 31,890</b>	<b>\$ 25,196</b>	<b>\$ 31,890</b>	<b>\$ 25,196</b>

Supplementary Cash Flow  
Information:

In-Kind Distribution to Non-Controlling Interests of Equity Securities, at Fair Value	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 371	\$ -
Interest Paid	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26	\$ -
Income Taxes Paid	\$ 993	\$ 1,239	\$ 1,733	\$ 2,120

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

## Note 1—Organization

Pzena Investment Management, Inc. (the “Company”) functions as the sole managing member of its operating company, Pzena Investment Management, LLC (the “operating company”). As a result, the Company: (i) consolidates the financial results of the operating company and reflects the membership interest in it that it does not own as a non-controlling interest in its consolidated financial statements; and (ii) recognizes income generated from its economic interest in the operating company’s net income.

Pzena Investment Management, LLC is an investment adviser which is registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and is headquartered in New York, New York. As of June 30, 2012, the operating company managed assets in a variety of value-oriented investment strategies across a wide range of market capitalizations in both U.S. and non-U.S. capital markets.

The Company, through its investment in its operating company, has consolidated the results of operations and financial condition of the following entities as of June 30, 2012:

Legal Entity	Type of Entity (Date of Formation)	Operating Company's Ownership at June 30, 2012	
Pzena Investment Management, Pty	Australian Proprietary Limited Company (12/16/2009)	100.0	%
Pzena Investment Management Special Situations, LLC	Delaware Limited Liability Company (12/01/2010)	99.9	%
Pzena Large Cap Value Fund	Massachusetts Trust (11/01/2002)	0.0	%
Pzena International Value Service	Delaware Limited Liability Company (12/22/2003)	0.0	%

## Note 2—Significant Accounting Policies

## Basis of Presentation:

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) and related Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) rules and regulations. The Company’s policy is to consolidate all majority-owned subsidiaries in which it has a controlling financial interest, which includes the Pzena Investment Management Special Situations, LLC, and the Pzena Investment Management, Pty. The Company also consolidates variable-interest entities (“VIEs”) where the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary, which includes the Pzena Large Cap Value Fund and the Pzena International Value Service. These majority-owned subsidiaries in which the Company has a controlling financial interest and the VIEs where the Company is deemed to be the primary beneficiary are collectively referred to as “consolidated subsidiaries.” As required by the Consolidation Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (“FASB ASC”), the Company also consolidates or consolidated non-variable-interest entities in which it acts or acted as the general partner or managing member. All of these entities represent or represented private investment partnerships over which the Company exercises or exercised control. Non-controlling interests recorded on the consolidated financial statements

of the Company include the non-controlling interests of the outside investors in each of these entities, as well as those of the operating company. All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

The operating company is the managing member of the Pzena International Value Service, a limited liability company. As of February 1, 2011, as a result of a shift in the equity ownership of the entity on that date, the operating company was considered the primary beneficiary of this entity. As a result, the entity was consolidated as of February 1, 2011. At June 30, 2012, Pzena International Value Service's \$1.1 million in net assets were included in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition.

The Pzena Large Cap Value Fund is a Massachusetts Trust in which a majority of the trustees are members of the executive committee of the operating company. A majority of the trustees do not hold equity investments in this trust. Since the holders of the equity investments in this partnership lack a controlling financial interest in it, this entity is deemed to be a VIE. The Company is considered the primary beneficiary of this VIE. At June 30, 2012, the Pzena Large Cap Value Fund's \$0.9 million in net assets were included in the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition.

All of the consolidated investment partnerships are investment companies under the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants Audit and Accounting Guide for Investment Companies. The Company has retained the specialized accounting for these partnerships pursuant to the Consolidation of Partnerships and Similar Entities Subtopic of the FASB ASC. Thus, the Company reports these investment partnerships' investments in equity securities at fair value, with net realized and unrealized gains and losses reported in earnings in the consolidated statements of operations.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

VIEs that are not consolidated continue to receive investment management services from the Company, and are vehicles through which the Company offers its Global Value and/or International (formerly known as Europe, Australasia, and Far East (“EAFE”)) Value strategies. The total net assets of these VIEs was approximately \$147.8 million and \$219.2 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Neither the Company nor the operating company were exposed to losses as a result of its involvement with these entities because they had no direct investment in them.

The Company records in its own equity its pro-rata share of transactions that impact the operating company’s net equity, including unit and option issuances and any potential adjustments to accumulated other comprehensive income. The operating company’s pro-rata share of such transactions are recorded as adjustments to additional paid-in capital or non-controlling interests, as applicable, on the consolidated statements of financial position.

Management’s Use of Estimates:

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses for the period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fair Values of Financial Instruments:

The carrying amounts of all financial instruments in the consolidated statements of financial condition are presented at their fair value.

Revenue Recognition:

Revenue, comprised of advisory fee income, is recognized over the period in which advisory services are provided. Advisory fee income includes management fees that are calculated based on percentages of assets under management (“AUM”), generally billed quarterly, either in arrears or advance, depending on their contractual terms. Advisory fee income also includes performance fees that may be earned by the Company depending on the investment return of the assets under management. Performance fee arrangements generally entitle the Company to participate, on a fixed-percentage basis, in any returns generated in excess of an agreed-upon benchmark. The Company’s participation percentage in such return differentials is then multiplied by AUM to determine the performance fees earned. In general, returns are calculated on an annualized basis over the contract’s measurement period, which usually extends to three years. Performance fees are generally payable annually. Following the preferred method identified in the Revenue Recognition Topic of the FASB ASC, such performance fee income is recorded at the conclusion of the contractual performance period, when all contingencies are resolved. The Company did not recognize any performance fee income for the three months ended June 30, 2012. For the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$1.0 million in performance fee income. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$0.3 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, in performance fee income.

Earnings per Share:

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the Company’s net income attributable to its common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the reporting period. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company’s basic earnings per share was determined as follows:



Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
Net Income for Basic Earnings per Share	\$ 614	\$ 859	\$ 1,621	\$ 2,516
Basic Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding	10,565,406	9,904,187	10,570,247	9,646,298
Basic Earnings per Share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.26

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Diluted earnings per share adjusts this calculation to reflect the impact of all outstanding operating company membership units, operating company phantom units, outstanding operating company unit options and options to purchase Class A common stock, to the extent they would have a dilutive effect on net income per share for the reporting period. The calculation of diluted earnings per share is also adjusted to reflect the impact of the operating company's unvested restricted Class B units, which have nonforfeitable rights to dividends and are considered participating securities. Net income for diluted earnings per share generally assumes all outstanding operating company membership units are converted into Company stock at the beginning of the reporting period and the resulting change to Company net income associated with its increased interest in the operating company is taxed at the Company's effective tax rate, exclusive of one-time prior period adjustments and adjustments associated with both the valuation allowance and the liability to selling and converting shareholders. When this conversion results in an increase in earnings per share or a decrease in loss per share, diluted net income and diluted earnings per share are assumed to be equal to basic net income and basic earnings per share for the reporting period.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company's diluted net income was determined as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Net Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests of Pzena Investment Management, LLC	\$ 6,508	\$ 9,740	\$ 14,717	\$ 19,030
Less: Assumed Corporate Income Taxes	2,789	4,177	6,306	8,156
Assumed After-Tax Income of Pzena Investment Management, LLC	\$ 3,719	\$ 5,563	\$ 8,411	\$ 10,874
Assumed After-Tax Income of Pzena Investment Management, LLC	\$ 3,719	\$ 5,563	\$ 8,411	\$ 10,874
Net Income of Pzena Investment Management, Inc.	614	859	1,621	2,516
Diluted Net Income <sup>1</sup>	\$ 4,333	\$ 6,422	\$ 10,032	\$ 13,390

(1) Since the assumed incremental income results in an increase per share income for the three months ended June 30, 2012, the assumed effects of the conversion of operating company Class B units, options to purchase operating company units, options to purchase Class A common stock, and phantom operating company units are excluded from the calculation of diluted income per share.

Under the two-class method, earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income for diluted earnings per share by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus the dilutive effect of any potential common shares outstanding during the period using the more dilutive of the treasury method or two-class method. The two-class method includes an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for each participating security according to dividends declared and undistributed earnings for the period. The Company's net income for diluted earnings per share is reduced by the amount allocated to participating restricted Class B units for purposes of calculating earnings per share. Dividends paid per share on the operating company's unvested restricted Class B units are equal to the dividends paid per Company Class A common stock.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company's diluted earnings per share were determined as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
Diluted Net Income Allocated to:				
Class A Common Stock	\$ 614	\$ 859	\$ 9,994	\$ 13,390
Participating Class B Restricted Units	-	-	38	-
Total Diluted Net Income Attributable to Shareholders	\$ 614	\$ 859	\$ 10,032	\$ 13,390
Basic Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding				
	10,565,406	9,904,187	10,570,247	9,646,298
Dilutive Effect of Operating Company B Units				
	-	-	54,010,518	54,742,805
Dilutive Effect of Options				
	-	-	463,088	667,194
Dilutive Effect of Phantom Units				
	-	-	105,987	14,415
Dilutive Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding				
	10,565,406	9,904,187	65,149,840	65,070,712
Add: Participating Class B Restricted Units				
	-	-	245,487	-
Total Dilutive Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding				
	10,565,406	9,904,187	65,395,327	65,070,712
Diluted Earnings per Share	\$ 0.06	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.21

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the following operating company membership units, participating restricted Class B units, options to purchase operating company membership units, options to purchase shares of Class A common stock and operating company phantom units were excluded from the calculation of diluted net income per share, as their inclusion would have had an antidilutive effect for the respective periods:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011

Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Operating Company Units	54,043,981	54,484,796	-	-
Options to Purchase Operating Company Units	3,926,432	3,648,117	3,463,344	989,476
Options to Purchase Shares of Class A Common Stock	971,750	961,750	971,750	-
Phantom Operating Company Units	294,339	152,701	188,352	30,000
Participating Class B Restricted Units	245,487	-	-	-
Total	59,481,989	59,247,364	4,623,446	1,019,476

Cash and Cash Equivalents:

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, cash and cash equivalents was \$31.9 million and \$35.1 million, respectively. The Company considers all money market funds and highly-liquid debt instruments with an original maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit and other accounts whose balances, at times, exceed federally insured limits.

Interest on cash and cash equivalents is recorded as interest income on an accrual basis in the consolidated statements of operations. Dividends associated with the investments of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries are recorded as dividend income on an ex-dividend basis in the consolidated statement of operations.

Restricted Cash:

The Company maintained a compensating balance of \$1.0 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 as collateral for a letter of credit issued by a third party in lieu of a cash security deposit, as required by the Company's lease for its New York office space. Such amounts are recorded in Restricted Cash in the consolidated statements of financial condition.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Due to/from Broker:

Due to/from Broker consists primarily of amounts payable/receivable for unsettled securities transactions held/initiated at the clearing brokers of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries.

Investments, at Fair Value:

Investments, at Fair Value represents the securities held by the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, as well as investments in mutual funds. The Company's investments in third-party mutual funds are held to satisfy the Company's obligations under its deferred compensation program.

All such investments are recorded at fair value, with net realized and unrealized gains and losses reported in earnings in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC also establishes a framework for measuring fair value and a valuation hierarchy based upon the transparency of inputs used in the valuation of an asset or liability. Classification within the hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The valuation hierarchy contains three levels: (i) valuation inputs are unadjusted quoted market prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets (Level 1); (ii) valuation inputs are quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, quoted market prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, and other observable inputs directly or indirectly related to the asset or liability being measured (Level 2); and (iii) valuation inputs are unobservable and significant to the fair value measurement (Level 3).

The Company's fair value measurements relate to its consolidated investments in equity securities, which are exchange-traded securities with quoted prices in active markets, and its investments in mutual funds. The fair value measurements of the equity securities and mutual funds have been classified as Level 1.

The following table presents these instruments' fair value at June 30, 2012:

	Level 1	Level 2 (in thousands)	Level 3
Assets:			
Equity Securities	\$ 1,899	\$ -	\$ -
Investments in Mutual Funds	2,700	-	-
Total Fair Value	\$ 4,599	\$ -	\$ -

The following table presents these instruments' fair value at December 31, 2011:

	Level 1	Level 2 (in thousands)	Level 3
Assets:			
Equity Securities	\$ 2,285	\$ -	\$ -

Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Investments in Mutual Funds	2,634	-	-
Total Fair Value	\$ 4,919	\$ -	\$ -

Securities Valuation:

Investments in equity securities for which market quotations are available are valued at the last reported price or closing price on the primary market or exchange on which they trade. If no reported equity sales occurred on the valuation date, equity investments are valued at the bid price. Investments in mutual funds are valued at the closing net asset value per share of the fund on the day of valuation. Transactions are recorded on a trade date basis.

The net realized gain or loss on sales of securities and mutual funds is determined on a specific identification basis and is included in net realized and unrealized gain/(loss) from investments in the consolidated statements of operations.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

Concentrations of Credit Risk:

Financial instruments that potentially subject the Company to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents, amounts due from brokers, and advisory fees receivable. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents in bank deposits and other accounts whose balances, at times, exceed federally insured limits.

The concentration of credit risk with respect to advisory fees receivable is generally limited due to the short payment terms extended to clients by the Company. On a periodic basis, the Company evaluates its advisory fees receivable and establishes an allowance for doubtful accounts, if necessary, based on a history of past write-offs and collections and current credit conditions. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, no allowance for doubtful accounts has been deemed necessary.

Property and Equipment:

Property and equipment is carried at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets, which range from three to seven years. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the useful life of the improvements or the remaining lease term.

Business Segments:

The Company views its operations as comprising one operating segment.

Income Taxes:

The Company is a "C" corporation under the Internal Revenue Code, and thus liable for federal, state, and local taxes on the income derived from its economic interest in its operating company. The operating company is a limited liability company that has elected to be treated as a partnership for tax purposes. It has not made a provision for federal or state income taxes because it is the individual responsibility of each of the operating company's members (including the Company) to separately report their proportionate share of the operating company's taxable income or loss. Similarly, the income of the Company's consolidated subsidiaries is not subject to income taxes, since it is allocated to each partnership's individual partners. The operating company has made a provision for New York City Unincorporated Business Tax ("UBT").

The Company and its consolidated subsidiaries account for all federal, state, and local taxation pursuant to the asset and liability method, which requires deferred income tax assets and liabilities to be recorded for temporary differences between the carrying amount and tax bases of assets and liabilities that will result in taxable or deductible amounts in the future, based on enacted tax laws and rates applicable to the periods in which the temporary differences are expected to affect taxable income. Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount more likely than not to be realized. At June 30, 2012, the Company had a \$60.4 million valuation allowance against deferred tax assets recorded as part of the Company's initial public offering and the subsequent exchanges of Class B units for shares of its Class A common stock. At December 31, 2011, the Company had a \$61.1 million valuation allowance against these deferred tax assets. The income tax expense, or benefit, is the tax payable or refundable for the period, plus or minus the change during the period in deferred tax assets and liabilities. The Company records its deferred tax liabilities as a component of other liabilities in the consolidated statements of financial condition.



Foreign Currency:

Investment securities and other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are remeasured into U.S. dollar amounts at the date of valuation. Purchases and sales of investment securities, and income and expense items denominated in foreign currencies, are remeasured into U.S. dollar amounts on the respective dates of such transactions.

The Company does not isolate the portion of the results of its operations resulting from the impact of changes in foreign exchange rates on its investments, from the fluctuations arising from changes in market prices of securities held. Such fluctuations are included in net realized and unrealized gain/(loss) on investments in the consolidated statements of operations.

Reported net realized foreign exchange gains or losses arise from sales of foreign currencies, currency gains or losses realized between the trade and settlement dates on securities transactions, and the difference between the amounts of dividends, interest, and foreign withholding taxes recorded on the Company's books and the U.S. dollar equivalent of the amounts actually received or paid. Net realized foreign exchange gains and losses arise from changes in the fair values of assets and liabilities resulting from changes in exchange rates.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The functional currency of the Company is the United States Dollar. The functional currency of the Company's representative office in Australia is the Australian Dollar. Assets and liabilities of this office are translated at the spot rate in effect at the applicable reporting date, and the consolidated statements of operations are translated at the average exchange rates in effect during the applicable period. Any resulting unrealized cumulative translation adjustment is recorded net of taxes as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in equity. As of June 30, 2012, the Company did not record any accumulated other comprehensive income.

#### Note 3—Property and Equipment

Property and equipment, net, is comprised of the following:

	June 30, 2012	As of December 31, 2011	
			(in thousands)
Leasehold Improvements	\$ 1,162	\$ 1,100	
Computer Hardware	965	972	
Furniture and Fixtures	788	788	
Office Equipment	271	271	
Computer Software	214	214	
Total	3,400	3,345	
Less: Accumulated Depreciation and Amortization	(2,593 )	(2,516 )	
Total	\$ 807	\$ 829	

Depreciation is included in general and administrative expense and totaled \$0.1 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. Such expenses totaled \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

#### Note 4—Related Party Transactions

For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company earned \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, in investment advisory fees from unconsolidated VIEs which receive investment management services from the Company. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company earned \$0.8 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, in such fees. The Company is not the primary beneficiary of these VIEs.

At both June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had less than \$0.1 million remaining of advances to an international investment company for organization and start-up costs, which are included in Receivable from Related Parties on the consolidated statements of financial condition. The Company is the sponsor and investment manager of this entity.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, receivables from related parties included less than \$0.1 million of loans to employees.

The operating company manages the personal funds of certain of the Company's employees, including the CEO, its two Presidents, and its two Executive Vice Presidents, pursuant to investment management agreements in which it has waived its regular advisory fees. The operating company also manages an account beneficially owned by a private fund in which certain of the Company's executive officers invest. Investments by employees in individual accounts are permitted only at the discretion of the executive committee of the operating company, but are generally not subject to the same minimum investment levels that are required of outside investors. In addition, the operating company manages the personal funds of some of its employees' family members at reduced advisory fee rates. The aggregate value of the fees that the Company waived related to the Company's executive officers, other employees and family members was approximately \$0.1 million for each of the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. For each of the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company waived \$0.2 million of such fees.

#### Note 5—Commitments and Contingencies

In the normal course of business, the Company enters into agreements that include indemnities in favor of third parties, such as engagement letters with advisors and consultants. In certain cases, the Company may have recourse against third parties with respect to these indemnities. The Company maintains insurance policies that may provide coverage against certain claims under these indemnities. The Company has had no claims or payments pursuant to these agreements, and it believes the likelihood of a claim being made is remote. Utilizing the methodology in the Guarantees Topic of the FASB ASC, the Company's estimate of the value of such guarantees is de minimis, and, therefore, no accrual has been made in the consolidated financial statements.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The Company leases office space under a non-cancelable operating lease agreement which expires on October 31, 2015. The Company reflects minimum lease expense for its headquarters on a straight-line basis over the lease term. During the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company entered into a noncancelable sublease agreement for certain excess office space associated with its operating lease agreement. The noncancelable sublease agreement also expires on October 31, 2015.

Lease expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were \$0.3 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, and are included in general and administrative expense. Such expenses totaled \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

#### Note 6—Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits expense to employees and members is comprised of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Cash Compensation and Other Benefits	\$ 7,347	\$ 7,080	\$ 14,834	\$ 14,285
Non-Cash Compensation	665	1,180	1,351	2,363
Total Compensation and Benefits Expense	\$ 8,012	\$ 8,260	\$ 16,185	\$ 16,648

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the operating company granted no options to purchase units in the operating company pursuant to the Pzena Investment Management, LLC 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2006 Equity Incentive Plan”) and no options to purchase shares of Class A common stock pursuant to the Pzena Investment Management, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2007 Equity Incentive Plan”).

For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the operating company granted 53,116 and 6,000, respectively, restricted operating company Class B units, and the related shares of Class B common stock, pursuant to the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. No such units were granted for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. These unit grants each vest ratably over a four-year period commencing January 1, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, in compensation and benefits expense associated with the amortization of all unvested operating company Class B unit and option grants issued under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, and unvested Class A common stock option grants issued under the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.4 million, respectively, in such compensation and benefits expense.

Pursuant to the Pzena Investment Management, LLC Amended and Restated Bonus Plan (the “Bonus Plan”), eligible employees whose cash compensation is in excess of certain thresholds have a portion of that excess mandatorily deferred. Amounts deferred may be credited to an investment account, take the form of phantom Class B units, or be

invested in money market funds at the employee's discretion, and vest ratably over four years. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the liability associated with deferred compensation investment accounts was approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, which is recorded in the deferred compensation liability on the consolidated statements of financial condition. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$0.4 million, and \$0.5 million, respectively, in compensation and benefits expense associated with the amortization of all unvested deferred compensation awards associated with the Bonus Plan. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company recognized approximately \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, in such expense.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had approximately \$2.0 million and \$2.1 million, respectively, in unrecorded compensation expense related to unvested operating company phantom Class B units issued pursuant to its deferred compensation plan, operating company Class B unit and option grants issued under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, and Class A common stock option grants issued under the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan.

The Company issues to certain of its employees delayed-vesting cash awards. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, no such awards were granted. Delayed-vesting cash awards have varying vesting schedules, with \$0.8 million to be paid at the end of 2012, and the remaining \$0.4 million to be paid at the end of 2013.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## Note 7—Income Taxes

The operating company is a limited liability company that has elected to be treated as a partnership for tax purposes. Neither it nor the Company's other consolidated subsidiaries have made a provision for federal or state income taxes because it is the individual responsibility of each of these entities' members (including the Company) to separately report their proportionate share of the respective entity's taxable income or loss. The operating company has made a provision for New York City UBT. The Company, as a "C" corporation under the Internal Revenue Code, is liable for federal, state and local taxes on the income derived from its economic interest in its operating company, which is net of UBT. Correspondingly, in its consolidated financial statements, the Company reports both the operating company's provision for UBT, as well as its provision for federal, state and local corporate taxes.

The components of the income tax expense are as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
<b>Current Provision:</b>				
Unincorporated Business Taxes	\$ 578	\$ 737	\$ 1,169	\$ 1,505
Local Corporate Tax	-	-	-	-
State Corporate Tax	-	-	-	-
Federal Corporate Tax	-	-	-	-
<b>Total Current Provision</b>	<b>\$ 578</b>	<b>\$ 737</b>	<b>\$ 1,169</b>	<b>\$ 1,505</b>
<b>Deferred Provision:</b>				
Unincorporated Business Taxes	\$ (27 )	\$ (38 )	\$ 15	\$ (39 )
Local Corporate Tax	62	87	139	164
State Corporate Tax	110	153	246	289
Federal Corporate Tax	365	525	834	993
<b>Total Deferred Provision</b>	<b>\$ 510</b>	<b>\$ 727</b>	<b>\$ 1,234</b>	<b>\$ 1,407</b>
<b>Change in Valuation Allowance</b>	<b>446</b>	<b>(1,980 )</b>	<b>(623 )</b>	<b>(2,845 )</b>
<b>Total Income Tax Expense/(Benefit)</b>	<b>\$ 1,534</b>	<b>\$ (516 )</b>	<b>\$ 1,780</b>	<b>\$ 67</b>

The Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC establishes the minimum threshold for recognizing, and a system for measuring, the benefits of tax return positions in financial statements. It is the Company's policy to recognize accrued interest, and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions in Income Tax Expense/(Benefit) on the consolidated statement of operations. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, no such expenses were recognized. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, no such accruals were recorded.

The Company and the operating company are generally no longer subject to U.S. federal or state and local income tax examinations by tax authorities for any year prior to 2008. All tax years subsequent to, and including, 2008 are considered open and subject to examination by tax authorities.

The acquisition of the operating company Class B units, noted below, has allowed the Company to make an election under Section 754 of the Internal Revenue Code (“Section 754”) to step up its tax basis in the net assets acquired. This step up is deductible for tax purposes over a 15-year period. Based on the net proceeds of the initial public offering and tax basis of the operating company, this election gave rise to an initial deferred tax asset of approximately \$68.7 million.

Pursuant to a tax receivable agreement signed between the members of the operating company and the Company, 85% of the cash savings generated by this election will be distributed to the selling and converting shareholders upon the realization of this benefit.

If the Company exercises its right to terminate the tax receivable agreement early, the Company will be obligated to make an early termination payment to the selling and converting shareholders, based upon the net present value (based upon certain assumptions and deemed events set forth in the tax receivable agreement) of all payments that would be required to be paid by the Company under the tax receivable agreement. If certain change of control events were to occur, the Company would be obligated to make an early termination payment.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

As discussed further in Note 11, Shareholders' Equity, below, on March 28, 2011, certain of the operating company's members exchanged an aggregate of 536,528 of their Class B units for an equivalent number of shares of Company Class A common stock. The Company elected to step up its tax basis in the incremental assets acquired in accordance with Section 754. Based on the exchange-date fair values of the Company's common stock and the tax basis of the operating company, this election gave rise to a \$2.4 million deferred tax asset associated with this exchange and a corresponding \$2.0 million liability to selling and converting shareholders on March 28, 2011. The Company assessed the realizability of the deferred tax asset with this exchange and determined that a portion of its benefits would go unutilized. Consequently, the Company established a \$2.1 million valuation allowance on March 28, 2011 to reduce the deferred tax asset to an amount more likely than not to be realized. This deferred tax asset remains available to the Company and can be used to reduce taxable income in future years. The Company similarly reduced the associated liability to selling and converting shareholders by \$1.8 million at March 28, 2011, to reflect this change in the estimated realization of these assets. As required by the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC, the Company recorded the effects of these transactions in equity.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company's valuation allowance was increased by approximately \$0.4 million, due to revised estimates of future taxable income. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company's valuation allowance was reduced by approximately \$0.6 million due to revised estimates of future taxable income. To reflect this change in the estimated realization of the asset and its liability for future payments, the Company decreased its liability to selling and converting shareholders by \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and, increased its liability to selling and converting shareholders by \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, after giving effect to the exchange discussed earlier, the Company's valuation allowance was reduced by approximately \$2.0 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, due to revised estimates of future taxable income. To reflect this change in the estimated realization of the asset, the Company correspondingly increased its liability to selling and converting shareholders by \$2.1 million and \$2.3 million, respectively for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The effects of these changes to the deferred tax asset and liability to selling and converting shareholders were recorded as a component of the income tax expense and other expense, respectively, on the consolidated statements of operations. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the net values of all deferred tax assets were approximately \$8.3 million and \$8.8 million, respectively.

The change in the Company's deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 is summarized as follows:

	Section 754	Other	Valuation Allowance	Total
	(in thousands)			
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 66,224	\$ 3,661	\$ (61,050 )	\$ 8,835
Deferred Tax Expense	(823 )	158	-	(665 )
Change in Valuation Allowance	-	-	1,069	1,069
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ 65,401	\$ 3,819	\$ (59,981 )	\$ 9,239
Deferred Tax Expense	(835 )	320	-	(515 )
Change in Valuation Allowance	-	-	(446 )	(446 )
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 64,566	\$ 4,139	\$ (60,427 )	\$ 8,278



The change in the Company's deferred tax liabilities, which is included in other liabilities on the Company's consolidated statements of financial condition, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, is summarized as follows:

	Total (in thousands)
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ (13 )
Deferred Tax Expense	(59 )
Balance at March 31, 2012	\$ (72 )
Deferred Tax Expense	5
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ (67 )

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

The change in the Company's deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 is summarized as follows:

	Section 754	Other	Valuation Allowance	Total
	(in thousands)			
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ 65,468	\$ 2,797	\$ (59,431 )	\$ 8,834
Deferred Tax Expense	(777 )	96	-	(681 )
Unit Exchange	2,381	-	(2,075 )	306
Change in Valuation Allowance	-	-	865	865
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ 67,072	\$ 2,893	\$ (60,641 )	\$ 9,324
Deferred Tax Expense	(816 )	71	-	(745 )
Change in Valuation Allowance	-	-	1,980	1,980
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ 66,256	\$ 2,964	\$ (58,661 )	\$ 10,559

The change in the Company's deferred tax liabilities for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 is summarized as follows:

	Total (in thousands)
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$ (51 )
Deferred Tax Expense	1
Balance at March 31, 2011	\$ (50 )
Deferred Tax Expense	19
Balance at June 30, 2011	\$ (31 )

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the net values of the liability to selling and converting shareholders were approximately \$9.8 million and \$11.2 million, respectively.

#### Note 8—Investments, at Fair Value

Investments in equity securities consisted of the following at June 30, 2012:

Cost	Unrealized Gain/(Loss) (in thousands)	Fair Value
------	---	------------

Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Equity Securities	\$ 1,914	\$ (15 )	\$ 1,899
Investments in Mutual Funds	2,501	199	2,700
Total	\$ 4,415	\$ 184	\$ 4,599

Investments in equity securities consisted of the following at December 31, 2011:

	Cost	Unrealized Gain/(Loss) (in thousands)	Fair Value
Equity Securities	\$ 2,382	\$ (97 )	\$ 2,285
Investments in Mutual Funds	2,542	92	2,634
Total	\$ 4,924	\$ (5 )	\$ 4,919

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## Note 9—Non-Controlling Interests

Non-Controlling Interests in the operations of the Company's operating company and consolidated subsidiaries are comprised of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Non-Controlling Interests of Pzena Investment Management, LLC	\$ 6,508	\$ 9,740	\$ 14,717	\$ 19,030
Non-Controlling Interests of Consolidated Subsidiaries	(116 )	1	353	51
Non-Controlling Interests	\$ 6,392	\$ 9,741	\$ 15,070	\$ 19,081

Distributions to non-controlling interests represent tax allocations and dividend equivalents paid to the members of the operating company, as well as withdrawals made by the Company's consolidated subsidiaries.

## Note 10—Members' Equity Interests of Operating Company

Except as otherwise provided by law, the liability of a member of the operating company is limited to the amount of its capital account. A member may transfer or assign all or any part of its membership interest only with the prior written consent of Pzena Investment Management, Inc., which may be withheld in its sole discretion. Any Transferee admitted as a member shall succeed to the capital account, or portion thereof, transferred or assigned, as if no such transfer or assignment had occurred.

## Note 11—Shareholders' Equity

The Company functions as the sole managing member of the operating company. As a result, the Company: (i) consolidates the financial results of the operating company and reflects the membership interest in it that it does not own as a non-controlling interest in its consolidated financial statements; and (ii) recognizes income generated from its economic interest in the operating company's net income. Class A and Class B units of the operating company have the same economic rights per unit. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the holders of Class A common stock (through the Company) and the holders of Class B units of the operating company held approximately 16.3% and 83.7%, respectively, of the economic interests in the operations of the business.

Each Class B unit of the operating company has a corresponding share of the Company's Class B common stock, par value \$0.000001 per share. Each share of the Company's Class B common stock entitles its holder to five votes, until the first time that the number of shares of Class B common stock outstanding constitutes less than 20% of the number of all shares of the Company's common stock outstanding. From this time and thereafter, each share of the Company's Class B common stock entitles its holder to one vote. When a Class B unit is exchanged for a share of the Company's Class A common stock or forfeited, a corresponding share of the Company's Class B common stock will automatically be redeemed and cancelled. Conversely, to the extent that the Company causes the operating company to issue

additional Class B units to employees pursuant to its equity incentive plan, these additional holders of Class B units would be entitled to receive a corresponding number of shares of the Company's Class B common stock (including if the Class B units awarded are subject to vesting).

All holders of the Company's Class B common stock have entered into a stockholders' agreement, pursuant to which they agreed to vote all shares of Class B common stock then held by them, and acquired in the future, together on all matters submitted to a vote of the common stockholders.

The outstanding shares of the Company's Class A common stock represent 100% of the rights of the holders of all classes of the Company's capital stock to receive distributions, except that holders of Class B common stock will have the right to receive the class's par value upon the Company's liquidation, dissolution or winding up.

Pursuant to the operating agreement of the operating company, each vested Class B unit is exchangeable for a share of the Company's Class A common stock, subject to certain exchange timing and volume limitations.

On March 28, 2011, certain of the operating company's members exchanged an aggregate of 536,528 of their Class B units for an equivalent number of shares of Company Class A common stock. The acquisition of additional operating company membership interest was treated as a reorganization of entities under common control as required by the Business Combinations Topic of the FASB ASC. There was no such exchange for the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Pzena Investment Management, Inc.  
Unaudited Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

On April 9, 2012, a net amount of 74,271 options to purchase Class B units were exercised.

The Company's current share repurchase program was announced on April 24, 2012. The Board of Directors authorized the Company to repurchase an aggregate of \$10 million of the Company's outstanding Class A common stock and Class B units on the open market and in private transactions in accordance with applicable securities laws. The timing, number and value of common shares and units repurchased are subject to the Company's discretion. The Company's share repurchase program is not subject to an expiration date and may be suspended, discontinued, or modified at any time, for any reason.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company purchased and retired 28,454 shares of Class A common stock under the current repurchase authorization at an average price per share of \$4.39.

Note 12—Subsequent Events

The Company evaluated the need for disclosures and/or adjustments resulting from subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued. This evaluation did not result in any subsequent events that necessitated disclosures and/or adjustments.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Overview

We are a public-equity investment management firm that utilizes a classic value investment approach across all of our investment strategies. We currently manage assets in a variety of value-oriented investment strategies across a wide range of market capitalizations in both U.S. and non-U.S. capital markets. At June 30, 2012, our assets under management, or AUM, was \$13.1 billion. We manage separate accounts on behalf of institutions and high net worth individuals, and act as sub-investment adviser for a variety of SEC-registered mutual funds and offshore funds.

We function as the sole managing member of our operating company, Pzena Investment Management, LLC (the "operating company"). As a result, we: (i) consolidate the financial results of our operating company with our own, and reflect the membership interest in it that we do not own as a non-controlling interest in our consolidated financial statements; and (ii) recognize income generated from our economic interest in our operating company's net income. As of June 30, 2012, the holders of Class A common stock (through the Company) and the holders of Class B units of our operating company held approximately 16.3% and 83.7%, respectively, of the economic interests in the operations of our business.

Non-GAAP Net Income

Our results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and 2011 include the recurring adjustments related to the Company's tax receivable agreement and the associated liability to its selling and converting shareholders. We believe that these accounting adjustments add a measure of non-operational complexity which partially obscures the underlying performance of our business. In evaluating our financial condition and results of operations, we also review certain non-GAAP measures of earnings, which exclude these items. Excluding these adjustments, non-GAAP diluted net income and non-GAAP diluted net income per share were \$4.5 million and \$0.07, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and \$6.6 million and \$0.10, respectively, for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Excluding these adjustments, non-GAAP diluted net income and non-GAAP diluted net income per share were \$10.1 million and \$0.15, respectively for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and \$12.8 million and \$0.20, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2011. GAAP and non-GAAP net income for diluted earnings per share generally assumes all operating company membership units are converted into Company stock at the beginning of the reporting period, and the resulting change to our net income associated with our increased interest in the operating company is taxed at our historical effective tax rate, exclusive of one-time prior period adjustments and the adjustments related to our tax receivable agreement and the associated liability to selling and converting shareholders. Our effective tax rate, exclusive of these adjustments, was approximately 42.8% for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 42.9% for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, as noted in the section "Operating Results - Income Tax Expense" below.

We use these non-GAAP measures to assess the strength of the underlying operations of the business. We believe that these adjustments, and the non-GAAP measures derived from them, provide information to better analyze our operations between periods, and over time. Investors should consider these non-GAAP measures in addition to, and not as a substitute for, financial measures prepared in accordance with GAAP.

A reconciliation of the non-GAAP measures to the most comparable GAAP measures is included below:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(In thousands, except share and per share amounts)			
GAAP Net Income	\$ 614	\$ 859	\$ 1,621	\$ 2,516
Net Effect of Tax Receivable Agreement	131	160	35	(588)
Non-GAAP Net Income	\$ 745	\$ 1,019	\$ 1,656	\$ 1,928
GAAP Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interest of Pzena Investment Management, LLC	\$ 6,508	\$ 9,740	\$ 14,717	\$ 19,030
Less: Assumed Corporate Income Taxes	2,789	4,177	6,306	8,156
Assumed After-Tax Income of Pzena Investment Management, LLC	\$ 3,719	\$ 5,563	\$ 8,411	\$ 10,874
Non-GAAP Net Income of Pzena Investment Management, Inc.	745	1,019	1,656	1,928
Non-GAAP Diluted Net Income	\$ 4,464	\$ 6,582	\$ 10,067	\$ 12,802
Non-GAAP Diluted Earnings Per Share Attributable to Pzena Investment Management, Inc. Common Stockholders:				
Non-GAAP Net Income for Diluted Earnings per Share	\$ 4,464	\$ 6,582	\$ 10,067	\$ 12,802
Non-GAAP Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.20
Non-GAAP Diluted Weighted-Average Shares Outstanding	65,426,774	65,054,033	65,395,327	65,070,712

#### Revenue

We generate revenue primarily from management fees and performance fees, which we collectively refer to as our advisory fees, by managing assets on behalf of institutional accounts and for retail clients, which are generally open-end mutual funds catering primarily to retail investors. Our advisory fee income is recognized over the period in which investment management services are provided. Following the preferred method identified in the Revenue Recognition Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification (“FASB ASC”),



income from performance fees is recorded at the conclusion of the contractual performance period, when all contingencies are resolved.

Our advisory fees are primarily driven by the level of our AUM. Our AUM increases or decreases with the net inflows or outflows of funds into our various investment strategies and with the investment performance thereof. In order to increase our AUM and expand our business, we must develop and market investment strategies that suit the investment needs of our target clients, and provide attractive returns over the long term. The value and composition of our AUM, and our ability to continue to attract clients, will depend on a variety of factors including, among other things:

- our ability to educate our target clients about our classic value investment strategies and provide them with exceptional client service;

- the relative investment performance of our investment strategies, as compared to competing products and market indices;

- competitive conditions in the investment management and broader financial services sectors;
  - general economic conditions;
  - investor sentiment and confidence; and
- our decision to close strategies when we deem it to be in the best interests of our clients.

For our institutional accounts, we are paid fees according to a schedule, which varies by investment strategy. The substantial majority of these accounts pay us management fees pursuant to a schedule in which the rate we earn on the AUM declines as the amount of AUM increases.

Pursuant to our sub-investment advisory agreements with our retail clients, we are generally paid a management fee according to a schedule in which the rate we earn on the AUM declines as the amount of AUM increases. Certain of these funds pay us fixed-rate management fees. Due to the substantially larger account size of certain of these accounts, the average advisory fees we earn on them, as a percentage of AUM, are lower than the advisory fees we earn on our institutional accounts.

Certain of our clients pay us fees according to the performance of their accounts relative to certain agreed-upon benchmarks, which results in a lower base fee, but allows us to earn higher fees if the relevant investment strategy outperforms the agreed-upon benchmark.

The majority of advisory fees we earn on institutional accounts is based on the value of our AUM at a specific date on a quarterly basis, either in arrears or advance. Advisory fees on certain of our institutional accounts, and with respect to all of our retail accounts, are calculated based on the average of the monthly or daily market value. Advisory fees are also generally adjusted for any cash flows into or out of a portfolio, where the cash flow represents greater than 10% of the value of the portfolio. While a specific group of accounts may use the same fee rate, the method used to calculate the fee according to the fee rate schedule may differ as described above.

Our advisory fees may fluctuate based on a number of factors, including the following:

• changes in AUM due to appreciation or depreciation of our investment portfolios, and the levels of the contribution and withdrawal of assets by new and existing clients;

- distribution of AUM among our investment strategies, which have differing fee schedules;

• distribution of AUM between institutional accounts and retail accounts, for which we generally earn lower overall advisory fees; and

- the level of our performance with respect to accounts on which we are paid performance fees.

#### Expenses

Our expenses consist primarily of compensation and benefits expense, as well as general and administrative expense. These expenses may fluctuate due to a number of factors, including the following:

• variations in the level of total compensation expense due to, among other things, bonuses, awards of equity to our employees and members of our operating company, changes in our employee count and mix, and competitive factors; and

• expenses, such as rent, professional service fees and data-related costs, incurred, as necessary, to run our business.

#### Compensation and Benefits Expense

Our largest expense is compensation and benefits, which includes the salaries, bonuses, equity-based compensation, and related benefits and payroll costs attributable to our members and employees. Compensation and benefits packages are benchmarked against relevant industry and geographic peer groups in order to attract and retain qualified personnel.

Pursuant to the Pzena Investment Management, LLC Amended and Restated 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the “2006 Equity Incentive Plan”), we have issued restricted units and options to purchase units in our operating company. Under

the Pzena Investment Management, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (“the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan”), we have issued options to acquire shares of our Class A common stock.

We use a fair-value method in recording the compensation expense associated with the granting of restricted units, and options to purchase units and common stock, to new and existing members of our operating company under the 2006 and 2007 Equity Incentive Plans. Under this method, compensation expense is measured at the grant-date based on the estimated fair value of the award and is recognized over the award’s vesting period.

The fair value of awarded units is determined by reference to the market price of our Class A common stock on the date of grant, since these units are exchangeable for shares of our Class A common stock on a one-for-one basis. For each of the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no restricted operating company Class B units granted. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we granted 53,116 and 6,000, respectively, restricted operating company Class B units, and the related shares of Class B common stock, under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. For each of the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized approximately \$0.2 million in compensation and benefits expense associated with the amortization of all unvested restricted operating company Class B units. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, in such compensation and benefits expense.

The fair value of the options to purchase Class B units and Class A common stock is determined by using an appropriate option pricing model on the grant-date. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, there were no options granted to purchase units or shares of common stock under the 2006 and 2007 Equity Incentive Plans. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, in compensation and benefits expense associated with the amortization of all unvested options to acquire operating company Class B units and unvested options to acquire Class A common stock issued under the 2006 and 2007 Equity Incentive Plans. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized approximately \$0.1 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, in such expense.

Pursuant to the Pzena Investment Management, LLC Amended and Restated Bonus Plan (the “Bonus Plan”), eligible employees whose cash compensation is in excess of certain thresholds have a portion of that excess mandatorily deferred. These deferred amounts may be invested, at the employee’s discretion, in certain third-party mutual funds, restricted phantom units of our operating company, or money market funds. Amounts deferred in any calendar year reduce that year’s cash compensation expense and are amortized ratably over a four-year period commencing the following year. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the liability associated with deferred compensation investment accounts was approximately \$0.6 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, and is recorded as deferred compensation liability on the consolidated statements of financial condition. For the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, in compensation and benefits expense associated with the amortization of all deferred compensation awards. For the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, we recognized approximately \$0.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively, in such expense.

As of June 30, 2012, we had approximately \$2.0 million in total unrecorded compensation expense related to unvested operating company phantom units issued pursuant to our Bonus Plan, operating company unit and option grants issued under the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan. We expect that the amortization of these amounts will be approximately \$1.5 million in 2012, \$0.8 million in 2013, \$0.3 million in 2014 and \$0.1 million in 2015.

We have historically granted delayed-vesting cash awards to certain of our members. These delayed-vesting cash awards have varying vesting schedules, with \$0.8 million to be paid in 2012 and the remaining \$0.4 million to be paid in 2013.

#### General and Administrative Expense

General and administrative expense includes office rent and other expenses, professional and outside services fees, depreciation, and the costs associated with operating and maintaining our research, trading, and portfolio accounting systems. Our occupancy-related costs and professional services expenses, in particular, generally increase or decrease in relative proportion to the overall size and scale of our business operations.

We incur additional expenses associated with being a public company for, among other things, director and officer insurance, director fees, SEC reporting and compliance (including Sarbanes-Oxley and Dodd-Frank compliance), professional fees, transfer agent fees, and other similar expenses. These additional expenses have and will continue to reduce our net income.

#### Other Income/(Expense)

Other income/(expense) is derived primarily from investment income or loss arising from our consolidated subsidiaries, our investments in various private investment vehicles that we employ to incubate new strategies, income or loss generated by our investments in third-party mutual funds, and interest income generated on our cash balances. Other income/(expense) is also affected by changes in our estimates of the liability due to our selling and converting shareholders associated with payments owed to them under the tax receivable agreement which was

executed in connection with our reorganization and offering on October 30, 2007. As discussed further below under “Tax Receivable Agreement,” this liability represents 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state, and local income tax that we realize as a result of the amortization of the increases in tax basis generated from our acquisitions of our operating company’s units from our selling and converting shareholders. Amounts waived by our selling and converting shareholders, if any, reduce this liability. We expect the interest and investment components of other income/(expense), in the aggregate, to fluctuate based on market conditions and the performance of our consolidated investment partnerships and other investments.

#### Non-Controlling Interests

Our operating company has historically consolidated the results of operations of the private investment partnerships over which we exercise a controlling influence. We are the sole managing member of our operating company and control its business and affairs and, therefore, consolidate its financial results with ours. In light of our employees’ and outside investors’ interest in our operating company, we have reflected their membership interests as a non-controlling interest in our consolidated financial statements. As a result, our income is generated by our economic interest in our operating company’s net income. As of June 30, 2012, the holders of Class A common stock (through the Company) and the holders of Class B units of the operating company held approximately 16.3% and 83.7%, respectively, of the economic interests in the operations of the business.

## Income Tax Expense/(Benefit)

As a “C” corporation under the Internal Revenue Code, we are liable for federal, state and local taxes on the income derived from our economic interest in the operating company, which is net of its provision for New York City Unincorporated Business Taxes, or UBT. Correspondingly, in our consolidated financial statements, we report both the operating company’s provision for UBT, as well as our provision for federal, state and local corporate taxes.

Valuation allowances are established, when necessary, to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount more likely than not to be realized. As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, our valuation allowance against the deferred tax asset associated with our acquisition of operating company units in conjunction with the offering and subsequent exchanges was \$60.4 million and \$61.1 million, respectively.

## Operating Results

## Assets Under Management and Flows

As of June 30, 2012, our approximately \$13.1 billion of AUM was invested in a variety of value-oriented investment strategies, representing distinct capitalization segments of U.S. and non-U.S. equity markets. The performance of our five largest investment strategies as of June 30, 2012 is further described below. We follow the same investment process for each of these strategies. Our investment strategies are distinguished by the market capitalization ranges from which we select securities for their portfolios, which we refer to as each strategy’s investment universe, as well as the regions in which we invest. While our investment process includes ongoing review of companies in the investment universes described below, our actual investments may include companies outside of the relevant market capitalization range at the time of our investment. In addition, the number of holdings typically found in the portfolios of each of our investment strategies may vary, as described below.

The following table indicates the annualized returns, gross and net (which represents annualized returns prior to, and after, payment of advisory fees, respectively), of our five largest investment strategies from their inception to June 30, 2012, and in the five-year, three-year, and one-year periods ended June 30, 2012, relative to the performance of: (i) the market index which is most commonly used by our clients to compare the performance of the relevant investment strategy, and (ii) the S&P 500® Index, which is provided for the limited purpose of providing a comparison to the broader equity market.

Investment Strategy (Inception Date)	Period Ended June 30, 2012(1)							
	Since Inception		5 Years		3 Years		1 Year	
<b>Large Cap Value (October 2000)</b>								
Annualized Gross Returns	4.2	%	(5.6	)%	14.4	%	(4.7	)%
Annualized Net Returns	3.7	%	(6.1	)%	13.8	%	(5.1	)%
Russell 1000® Value Index	3.8	%	(2.2	)%	15.8	%	3.0	%
S&P 500® Index	1.5	%	0.2	%	16.4	%	5.5	%
<b>Global Value (January 2004)</b>								
Annualized Gross Returns	1.4	%	(8.7	)%	9.6	%	(13.4	)%
Annualized Net Returns	0.7	%	(9.4	)%	8.8	%	(14.0	)%
<b>MSCI World(SM) Index—Net/U.S.\$(2)</b>								
Annualized Gross Returns	4.2	%	(3.0	)%	11.0	%	(5.0	)%
S&P 500® Index	4.5	%	0.2	%	16.4	%	5.5	%

International Diversified Value (November 2008)(3)							
Annualized Gross Returns	11.0	%	—		8.2	%	(15.0 )%
Annualized Net Returns	10.7	%	—		7.9	%	(15.2 )%
MSCI EAFE® Index—Net/U.S.\$(2)	7.2	%	—		6.0	%	(13.9 )%
S&P 500® Index	12.2	%	—		16.4	%	5.5 %
Value Service (January 1996)							
Annualized Gross Returns	9.5	%	(5.0 )%		16.2	%	(2.1 )%
Annualized Net Returns	8.7	%	(5.6 )%		15.4	%	(2.8 )%
Russell 1000® Value Index	7.5	%	(2.2 )%		15.8	%	3.0 %
S&P 500® Index	6.9	%	0.2 %		16.4	%	5.5 %
Small Cap Value (January 1996)							
Annualized Gross Returns	13.3	%	1.7 %		23.5	%	5.3 %
Annualized Net Returns	12.0	%	0.7 %		22.3	%	4.2 %
Russell 2000® Value Index	9.0	%	(1.1 )%		17.4	%	(1.4 )%
S&P 500® Index	6.9	%	0.2 %		16.4	%	5.5 %

(1) The historical returns of these investment strategies are not necessarily indicative of their future performance, or the future performance of any of our other current or future investment strategies.

(2) Net of applicable withholding taxes.

(3) Formerly known as EAFE Diversified Value.

**Large Cap Value.** We screen a universe of the 500 largest U.S.-listed companies, based on market capitalization, to build a portfolio generally consisting of 30 to 40 stocks. We launched this strategy in October 2000. At June 30, 2012, the Large Cap Value strategy generated a one-year annualized gross return of -4.7%, underperforming both its benchmark and the broader equity market in general. This underperformance relative to the benchmark was due primarily to our investment exposure to the technology sector, partially offset by our stock selection within the materials and energy sectors.

**Global Value.** We screen a universe of the 1,500 largest non U.S.-listed companies, based on market capitalization, and the 500 largest U.S.-listed companies, based on market capitalization, to build a portfolio generally consisting of 40 to 60 stocks. We launched this strategy in January 2004. At June 30, 2012, the Global Value strategy generated a one-year annualized gross return of -13.4%, underperforming both its benchmark and the broader equity market in general. This underperformance relative to the benchmark was due primarily to our overweight investment exposure to the technology and financial services sectors, and our stock selection within the consumer staples sector.

**International Diversified Value (formerly known as EAFE Diversified Value).** We screen a universe of the 1,500 largest non-U.S.-listed companies, based on market capitalization, to build a portfolio generally consisting of 60 to 100 stocks. We launched this strategy in November 2008. At June 30, 2012, the International Diversified Value strategy generated a one-year annualized gross return of -15.0%, underperforming both its benchmark and the broader equity market in general. This underperformance relative to the benchmark was due primarily to our overweight investment exposure to the technology and financial services sectors, partially offset by our stock selection within the consumer staples and materials sectors.

**Value Service.** We screen a universe of the 1,000 largest U.S.-listed companies, based on market capitalization, to build a portfolio generally consisting of 30 to 40 stocks. We launched this strategy in January 1996. At June 30, 2012, the Value strategy generated a one-year annualized gross return of -2.1%, underperforming both its benchmark and the broader equity market in general. This underperformance relative to the benchmark was due primarily to our investment exposure to the technology and financial services sectors, partially offset by our stock selection within the energy and materials sectors.

**Small Cap Value.** We screen a universe of U.S.-listed companies ranked from the 1,001st to 3,000th largest, based on market capitalization, to build a portfolio generally consisting of 40 to 50 stocks. We launched this strategy in January 1996. At June 30, 2012, the Small Cap Value strategy generated a one-year annualized gross return of 5.3%, outperforming its benchmark and relatively even with the broader equity market in general. This outperformance relative to the benchmark was due primarily to our stock selection within the health care and materials sectors, and our overweight investment exposure to the consumer discretionary and producer durables sectors.

Our earnings and cash flows are heavily dependent upon prevailing financial market conditions. Significant increases or decreases in the various securities markets, particularly the equities markets, can have a material impact on our results of operations, financial condition, and cash flows.

The change in AUM in our institutional accounts and our retail accounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, is described below. Inflows are composed solely of the investment of new or additional assets by new or existing clients. Outflows consist solely of redemptions of assets by existing clients.



Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Assets Under Management	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,		For the Twelve Months Ended June 30,
	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012
(in billions)					
<b>Institutional Accounts</b>					
Assets					
Beginning of Period	\$ 12.2	\$ 13.0	\$ 11.3	\$ 12.5	\$ 12.9
Inflows	0.3	0.7	0.4	1.0	1.4
Outflows	(0.7 )	(0.7 )	(1.6 )	(1.2 )	(2.5 )
Net Flows	(0.4 )	-	(1.2 )	(0.2 )	(1.1 )
Market					
Appreciation/(Depreciation)	(0.9 )	(0.1 )	0.8	0.6	(0.9 )
End of Period	\$ 10.9	\$ 12.9	\$ 10.9	\$ 12.9	\$ 10.9
<b>Retail Accounts</b>					
Assets					
Beginning of Period					
Assets	\$ 2.5	\$ 3.3	\$ 2.2	\$ 3.1	\$ 3.0
Inflows	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.7
Outflows	(0.2 )	(0.5 )	(0.4 )	(0.8 )	(1.3 )
Net Flows	(0.1 )	(0.3 )	(0.1 )	(0.3 )	(0.6 )
Market					
Appreciation/(Depreciation)	(0.2 )	-	0.1	0.2	(0.2 )
End of Period	\$ 2.2	\$ 3.0	\$ 2.2	\$ 3.0	\$ 2.2
<b>Total</b>					
Assets					
Beginning of Period	\$ 14.7	\$ 16.3	\$ 13.5	\$ 15.6	\$ 15.9
Inflows	0.4	0.9	0.7	1.5	2.1
Outflows	(0.9 )	(1.2 )	(2.0 )	(2.0 )	(3.8 )
Net Flows	(0.5 )	(0.3 )	(1.3 )	(0.5 )	(1.7 )
Market					
Appreciation/(Depreciation)	(1.1 )	(0.1 )	0.9	0.8	(1.1 )
End of Period	\$ 13.1	\$ 15.9	\$ 13.1	\$ 15.9	\$ 13.1

The following table describes the allocation of our AUM among our investment strategies, as of June 30, 2012 and 2011:

Investment Strategy	AUM at June 30,	
	2012	2011
(in billions)		
U.S. Value Strategies	\$ 7.3	\$ 9.9
Global Value Strategies	3.8	3.8
Non-U.S. Value Strategies	2.0	2.2

Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total	\$ 13.1	\$ 15.9
-------	---------	---------

The performance of our investment strategies has been impacted by the volatility in the equity markets. During the twelve months ended June 30, 2012, our investment strategies generally underperformed relative to their respective benchmarks. Our AUM declined \$2.8 billion, or 17.6%, from \$15.9 billion at June 30, 2011, to \$13.1 billion at June 30, 2012.

At June 30, 2012, we managed \$10.9 billion in institutional accounts and \$2.2 billion in retail accounts, for a total of \$13.1 billion in assets. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, we experienced total gross outflows of \$0.9 billion, which were partially offset by total gross inflows of \$0.4 billion. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, assets in institutional accounts decreased by \$1.3 billion, or 10.7%, due to \$0.9 billion in market depreciation and \$0.7 billion in gross outflows, partially offset by \$0.3 billion in gross inflows. Assets in retail accounts decreased by \$0.3 billion, or 12.0%, as a result of \$0.2 billion in market depreciation and \$0.2 billion in gross outflows, partially offset by \$0.1 billion in gross inflows.

For the six months ended June 30, 2012, we experienced total gross outflows of \$2.0 billion, which were partially offset by total gross inflows of \$0.7 billion. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, assets in institutional accounts decreased by \$0.4 billion, or 3.5%, due to \$1.6 billion in gross outflows, partially offset by \$0.4 billion in gross inflows and \$0.8 billion in market appreciation. Assets in retail accounts remained flat as a result of \$0.4 billion in gross outflows, offset by \$0.3 billion in gross inflows and \$0.1 billion in market appreciation.

At June 30, 2012, our non-U.S. Value and Global Value investment strategies accounted for 44.3% of our AUM, compared to 37.7% at June 30, 2011.

Our revenues are correlated with the levels of our weighted average AUM. Our weighted average AUM fluctuates based on changes in the market value of accounts advised and managed by us, and on our fund flows. Since we are long-term fundamental investors, we believe that our investment strategies yield the most benefits, and are best evaluated, over a long-term timeframe. We believe that our investment strategies are generally evaluated by our clients and our potential future clients based on their relative performance since inception, and the previous one-year, three-year, and five-year periods. There has typically been a correlation between our strategies' investment performance and the size and direction of asset flows over the long term. To the extent that our returns for these periods outperform client benchmarks, we would generally anticipate increased asset flows over the long term. Correspondingly, negative returns relative to client benchmarks could cause existing clients to reduce their exposure to our products, or hinder new client acquisition.

Given the lower weighted average AUM in 2012 compared to 2011 as well as a decrease in performance fees recognized due to our underperformance relative to certain client benchmarks, our revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 decreased from our revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. An increase in weighted average AUM and in revenues typically results in higher operating income and net income. On the contrary, a decrease in weighted average AUM and in revenues typically results in lower operating income, net income, and operating margins. We would expect pressure on our operating margins in the future if average AUM and revenues were to decline. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, our operating expense decreased from its comparable 2011 period, due primarily to reductions in real estate expenses associated with the sublease of excess office space and lower compensation costs.

## Revenues

Our revenue from advisory fees earned on our institutional accounts and our retail accounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is described below:

Revenue	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Institutional Accounts	\$ 16,019	\$ 19,384	\$ 33,369	\$ 38,043
Retail Accounts	2,320	2,987	4,738	6,116
Total	\$ 18,339	\$ 22,371	\$ 38,107	\$ 44,159

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Our total revenue decreased \$4.1 million, or 18.3%, to \$18.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$22.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. This change was driven primarily by decreases in weighted average AUM, which decreased \$2.6 billion, or 16.0%, to \$13.7 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$16.3 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2011. To the extent that we experience reductions in weighted average AUM, either through negative market performance or net client outflows, our revenue will be adversely affected. The decrease in revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2012 was also attributable to a reduction in performance fees recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2012. We earned no performance fees during the three months ended June 30, 2012. Such performance fees generated \$1.0 million in revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Our weighted average fees were 0.537% and 0.549% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This decrease was primarily due to the decrease in performance fees recognized during the three months ended June 30, 2012 as discussed above.

Weighted average assets in institutional accounts decreased \$1.8 billion, or 13.7%, to \$11.3 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$13.1 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2011, and had weighted average fees of 0.566% and 0.591% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in weighted average fees in institutional accounts was primarily due to the decrease in performance fees recognized.

Weighted average assets in retail accounts decreased \$0.8 billion, or 25.0%, to \$2.4 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$3.2 billion for the three months ended June 30, 2011, and had weighted average fees of 0.394% and 0.378% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in weighted average fees in retail accounts was primarily due to a higher mix of assets in our retail Emerging Markets strategy, which carries a higher fee rate. The timing of asset flows in our other retail accounts also contributed to the change in our retail weighted-average fee rate.

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Our total revenue decreased \$6.1 million, or 13.8%, to \$38.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$44.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was driven primarily by decreases in weighted average AUM, which decreased \$2.3 billion, or 14.2%, to \$13.9 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$16.2 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease was also attributable to a reduction in performance fees recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2012. Such performance fees generated \$0.3 million and \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. As noted above, to the extent that we experience reductions in weighted average AUM, either through negative market performance or net client outflows, our revenue will be adversely affected.

Our weighted average fees were 0.548% and 0.545% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. This increase was primarily due to a higher mix of assets in our institutional Global strategy, which carries higher fee rates. This increase was partially offset by the decrease in performance fees recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Weighted average assets in institutional accounts decreased \$1.5 billion, or 11.5%, to \$11.5 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$13.0 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and had weighted average fees of 0.578% and 0.584% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in weighted average fees in institutional accounts was primarily due to a decrease in performance fees recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2012 partially offset by a higher mix of assets in the our Global strategy, which carry higher fee rates.

Weighted average assets in retail accounts decreased \$0.8 billion, or 25.0%, to \$2.4 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$3.2 billion for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and had weighted average fees of 0.403% and 0.382% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The increase in weighted average fees in retail accounts was primarily due to a higher mix of assets in our retail Emerging Markets strategy, which carry a higher fee rate. The timing of asset flows in our other retail accounts also contributed to the change in our retail weighted-average fee rate.

#### Expenses

Our operating expense is driven primarily by our compensation costs. The table below describes the components of our compensation expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011:

For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
2012	2011	2012	2011
(in thousands)			
\$ 7,347	\$ 7,080	\$ 14,834	\$ 14,285

Cash Compensation and Other Benefits				
Other Non-Cash Compensation	665	1,180	1,351	2,363
Total Compensation and Benefits Expense	\$ 8,012	\$ 8,260	\$ 16,185	\$ 16,648

#### Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Total operating expense decreased by \$0.4 million, or 3.9%, to \$9.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$10.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was primarily attributable to reductions in real estate expenses associated with the sublease of excess office space and lower compensation costs.

Compensation and benefits expense decreased by \$0.3 million, or 3.6%, to \$8.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$8.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was primarily attributable to the \$0.5 million decrease in other non-cash compensation related to annual equity-based awards to our members, and arose in part as a result of the amortization of previously issued awards partially offset by a shift in compensation mix. We expect non-cash compensation expense in subsequent years to depend on the size and composition of awards granted under our equity incentive plans.

General and administrative expense decreased by \$0.2 million, or 9.5%, to \$1.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, from \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was due largely to reductions in real estate expense associated with the noncancelable sublease agreement we entered into in 2011.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Total operating expense decreased by \$0.9 million, or 4.3%, to \$19.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$20.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was primarily attributable to reductions in real estate expenses associated with the noncancelable sublease of excess office space and lower compensation costs.

Compensation and benefits expense decreased by \$0.4 million, or 2.4%, to \$16.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$16.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was primarily attributable a decrease in other non-cash compensation related to annual equity-based awards to our members, and arose in part as a result of the amortization of previously issued awards partially offset by a shift in compensation mix. We expect non-cash compensation expense in subsequent years to depend on the size and composition of awards granted under our equity incentive plans.

General and administrative expense decreased by \$0.4 million, or 10.0%, to \$3.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, from \$4.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This decrease was due largely to reductions in real estate expense associated with the noncancelable sublease agreement we entered into in 2011.

Other Income/(Expense)

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Other income/(expense) was income of \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and consisted primarily of \$0.1 million in interest and dividend income and \$0.3 million in income related to adjustments to our liability to our selling and converting shareholders. This was partially offset by \$0.2 million of net realized and unrealized losses from investments and \$0.1 million in other expense. Other income/(expense) was an expense of \$2.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, and consisted primarily of \$2.1 million of expense related to adjustments to our liability to our selling and converting shareholders and \$0.1 million of other expense. This was partially offset by \$0.1 million in interest and dividend income and \$0.2 million in net realized and unrealized gains from investments. As discussed further below, the liability to our selling and converting shareholders represents 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state and local income tax that we realize as a result of the amortization of the increases in tax basis generated from our purchase of operating company units from our selling shareholders. The \$0.4 million change in net realized and unrealized gains was due to investment performance.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Other income/(expense) was income of \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and consisted primarily of \$0.2 million in interest and dividend income and \$0.7 million in net realized and unrealized gains from investments. This was partially offset by \$0.7 million in expense related to adjustments to our liability to our selling and converting shareholders. Other income/(expense) was an expense of \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and consisted primarily of \$2.3 million of expense related to adjustments to our liability to our selling and converting shareholders and \$0.2 million of other expense. This was partially offset by \$0.1 million in interest and dividend income and \$0.4 million in net realized and unrealized gains from investments. As discussed further below, the liability to our selling and converting shareholders represents 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state and local income tax that we realize as a result of the amortization of the increases in tax basis generated from our purchase of operating company units from our selling shareholders.

Income Tax Expense

Our results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 included the effects of adjustments related to our tax receivable agreement and the associated liability to selling and converting shareholders. Our effective corporate tax rate, exclusive of these adjustments, was 41.9% and 42.4%, respectively, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. For each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, our effective corporate tax rate, exclusive of these adjustments, was 42.9%. The change in the effective rate was due to one-time prior period adjustments recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.



Edgar Filing: Pzena Investment Management, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Non-GAAP income before corporate income taxes used to calculate our income before income taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 are as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in thousands)			
Income Before Income Taxes	\$ 8,540	\$ 10,084	\$ 18,471	\$ 21,664
Change in Liability to Selling and Converting Shareholders	(315 )	2,140	658	2,257
Unincorporated Business Taxes	(551 )	(699 )	(1,184 )	(1,466 )
Net Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	(6,392 )	(9,741 )	(15,070 )	(19,081 )
Non-GAAP Income Before Income Taxes	\$ 1,282	\$ 1,784	\$ 2,875	\$ 3,374

Our non-GAAP effective corporate tax rate, which is exclusive of adjustments related to our tax receivable agreement and the associated liability to selling and converting shareholders, was determined as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,		2011	
	2012			
	Tax (in thousands)	% of Non- GAAP Pre-tax Income	Tax (in thousands)	% of Non- GAAP Pre-tax Income
Federal Corporate Tax	\$ 436	34.0 %	\$ 607	34.0 %
State and Local Taxes, Net of Federal Benefit	113	8.8 %	158	8.9 %
Prior Period Adjustments	(12 )	(0.9 )%	-	-
Non-GAAP Effective Taxes	\$ 537	41.9 %	\$ 765	42.9 %

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		2011	
	2012			
	Tax (in thousands)	% of Non- GAAP Pre-tax Income	Tax (in thousands)	% of Non- GAAP Pre-tax Income
Federal Corporate Tax	\$ 978	34.0 %	\$ 1,148	34.0 %
State and Local Taxes, Net of Federal Benefit	253	8.8 %	298	8.9 %
Prior Period Adjustments	(12 )	(0.4 )%	-	-
Non-GAAP Effective Taxes	\$ 1,219	42.4 %	\$ 1,446	42.9 %

Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Income tax expense/(benefit) decreased \$2.0 million, from an income tax benefit of \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, to an income tax expense of \$1.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012. The income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2012 included \$0.4 million of expense associated with adjustments to the valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax asset related to our tax receivable agreement. The income tax benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2011 included \$2.0 million of benefit associated with such adjustments. Exclusive of these adjustments, the remaining income tax expense for three months ended June 30, 2012 consisted of \$0.6 million in operating company unincorporated business taxes and \$0.5 million of corporate income taxes. On a similar basis, the remaining income tax expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 consisted of \$0.7 million of operating company unincorporated business taxes and \$0.8 million of corporate income taxes. The decrease in these taxes is attributable primarily to a decrease in taxable income. A comparison of the GAAP effective tax rates for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is not meaningful due to the valuation allowance adjustments.

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Income tax expense increased \$1.7 million, from \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, to \$1.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 included \$0.6 million of benefit associated with adjustments to the valuation allowance recorded against our deferred tax asset. The income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 included \$2.8 million of benefit associated with such adjustments. Exclusive of these adjustments, the remaining income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2012 consisted of \$1.2 million in both operating company unincorporated business taxes and corporate income taxes. On a similar basis, the remaining income tax expense for the six months ended June 30, 2011 consisted of \$1.5 million in operating company unincorporated business taxes and \$1.4 million in corporate income taxes. The decrease in these taxes is attributable primarily to a decrease in taxable income. A comparison of the GAAP effective tax rates for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 is not meaningful due to the valuation allowance adjustments.

#### Non-Controlling Interests

##### Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Net income attributable to non-controlling interests was \$6.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and consisted of \$6.5 million associated with our employees' and outside investors' approximately 83.7% weighted-average interest in the income of the operating company, partially offset by the \$0.1 million effect of the absorption, by our consolidated subsidiaries, of their share of the losses of our consolidated investment partnerships. Net income attributable to non-controlling interests was \$9.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011, and consisted almost entirely of our employees' and outside investors' approximately 84.6% weighted-average interest in the income of the operating company. The change in net income attributable to non-controlling interests reflects primarily the decrease in our weighted average AUM which had a corresponding negative impact on operating company revenues and income.

##### Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 versus June 30, 2011

Net income attributable to non-controlling interests was \$15.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and consisted of \$14.7 million associated with our employees' and outside investors' approximately 83.6% weighted-average interest in the income of the operating company, and the \$0.4 million associated with our consolidated subsidiaries' interest in the income of our consolidated investment partnerships. Net income attributable to non-controlling interests was \$19.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011, and consisted of our employees' and outside investors' approximately 85.0% weighted-average interest in the income of the operating company, and the \$0.1 million associated with our consolidated subsidiaries' interest in the income of our consolidated investment partnerships. The decrease in net income attributable to non-controlling interests reflects primarily the decrease in our weighted average AUM which had a corresponding negative impact on operating company revenues and income.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, the working capital needs of our business have primarily been met through the cash generated by our operations. Distributions to members of our operating company have been our largest use of cash from financing activities. Investing activities have historically been investments in our own investment strategies, purchases and sales of investments to fund our deferred compensation program, and, to a lesser extent, capital expenditures.

At June 30, 2012, our cash and cash equivalents was \$31.9 million, inclusive of \$2.3 million in cash held by our consolidated subsidiaries. Advisory fees receivable was \$14.4 million. We also had approximately \$2.7 million in

investments set aside to satisfy our obligations under our deferred compensation program.

We expect to fund the liquidity needs of our business in the next twelve months, and over the long-term, primarily through cash generated from operations. As an investment management firm, our business has been materially affected by conditions in the global financial markets and economic conditions throughout the world. Our liquidity is highly dependent on the revenue and income from our operations, which is directly related to our levels of AUM. For the three months ended June 30, 2012, our weighted average AUM and revenues decreased by 16.0% and 18.3%, respectively, compared to our weighted average AUM and revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2011. For the six months ended June 30, 2012, our weighted average AUM and revenues decreased by 14.2% and 13.8%, respectively, compared to our weighted average AUM and revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

In determining the sufficiency of liquidity and capital resources to fund our business, we regularly monitor our liquidity position, including, among other things, cash, working capital, investments, long-term liabilities, lease commitments, debt obligations, and operating company distributions. Compensation is our largest expense. To the extent we deem necessary and appropriate to run our business, recognizing the need to retain our key personnel, we have the ability to change the absolute levels of our compensation packages, as well as change the mix of their cash and non-cash components. Historically, we have not tied our level of compensation directly to revenue, as many Wall Street firms do. Correspondingly, there is not a linear relationship between our compensation and the revenues we generate. This generally has the effect of increasing operating margins in periods of increased revenues, but can reduce operating margins when revenue declines.

We continuously evaluate our staffing requirements and compensation levels with reference to our own liquidity position and external peer benchmarking data. The result of this review directly influences management's recommendations to our Board of Directors with respect to such staffing and compensation levels.

We anticipate that tax allocations and dividend equivalent payments to the members of our operating company, which consisted of 36 of our employees, certain unaffiliated persons, former employees, and us, will continue to be a material financing activity. Cash distributions to operating company members for partnership tax allocations would increase should the taxable income of the operating company increase. Dividend equivalent payments will depend on our dividend policy and the discretion of our Board of Directors, as discussed below.

We currently do not have any material need for cash in excess of that derived from our operations. Although we are comfortable with our current capital structure, in the current economic environment, it is uncertain whether additional or alternative sources of debt or equity financing would be available on acceptable terms, if required.

We do not anticipate meaningful outlays for internal investment or capital expenditures over the next twelve months.

We believe that our lack of long-term debt, and ability to vary cash compensation levels, have provided us with an appropriate degree of flexibility in providing for our liquidity needs.

#### Dividend Policy

We are a holding company and have no material assets other than our ownership of membership interests in our operating company. As a result, we depend upon distributions from our operating company to pay any dividends that our Board of Directors may declare to be paid to our Class A common stockholders. When, and if, our Board of Directors declares any such dividends, we then cause our operating company to make distributions to us in an amount sufficient to cover the dividends declared. Our dividend policy has certain risks and limitations, particularly with respect to liquidity. We may not pay dividends to our Class A common shareholders in amounts that have been paid to them in the past, or at all, if, among other things, we do not have the cash necessary to pay our intended dividends. To the extent we do not have cash on hand sufficient to pay dividends in the future, we may decide not to pay dividends. By paying cash dividends rather than investing that cash in our future growth, we risk slowing the pace of our growth, or not having a sufficient amount of cash to fund our operations or unanticipated capital expenditures, should the need arise.

On an annual basis, our Board of Directors has targeted a cash dividend payout ratio of approximately 70% to 80% of our non-GAAP net income, subject to growth initiatives and other funding needs. Our ability to pay dividends is subject to the Board of Directors' discretion and may be limited by our holding company structure and applicable provisions of Delaware law.

#### Tax Receivable Agreement

Our purchase of membership units of our operating company concurrent with our initial public offering, and the subsequent and future exchanges by holders of Class B units of our operating company for shares of our Class A common stock (pursuant to the exchange rights provided for in the operating company's operating agreement), has resulted in, and is expected to continue to result in, increases in our share of the tax basis of the tangible and intangible assets of our operating company at the time of our acquisition and these subsequent and future exchanges, which will increase the tax depreciation and amortization deductions that otherwise would not have been available to us. These increases in tax basis and tax depreciation and amortization deductions have reduced, and are expected to continue to reduce, the amount of cash taxes that we would otherwise be required to pay in the future. We have entered into a tax receivable agreement with the current members of our operating company, the one member of our operating company

immediately prior to our initial public offering who sold all of its membership units to us in connection with our initial public offering, and any future holders of Class B units, that requires us to pay them 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state and local income tax that we actually realize (or are deemed to realize in the case of an early termination payment by us, or a change in control, as described in the tax receivable agreement) as a result of the increases in tax basis described above and certain other tax benefits related to entering into the tax receivable agreement, including tax benefits attributable to payments under the tax receivable agreement.

#### Cash Flows

Operating activities provided \$13.4 million and \$13.1 million in cash flows for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The year-over-year increase in cash flows from operating activities was driven primarily by changes in working capital, partially offset by a decrease in net income, exclusive of the adjustments related to our tax receivable agreement and the associated liability to selling and converting shareholders.

Operating activities provided \$21.4 and \$26.0 million in cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in cash flows from operating activities was driven primarily by a decrease in net income and partially offset by changes in working capital, exclusive of the adjustments related to our tax receivable agreement and associated liability to our selling and converting shareholders.

Investing activities consist primarily of capital expenditures, mutual fund contributions to, and redemptions from, our deferred compensation program, and related party activity. For each of the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, investing activities used less than \$0.1 million. Investing activities provided less than \$0.1 million in cash for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and used \$0.7 million in cash for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decrease in cash used in investing activities was primarily due to a decrease of \$0.9 million in purchases of investments in our deferred compensation plan and a decrease of \$0.1 million in purchases of property and equipment, partially offset by a decrease of \$0.3 million in proceeds from our deferred compensation plan.

Financing activities consist primarily of borrowing arrangements and contributions from, and distributions to, non-controlling interests, which represent tax allocations and dividend equivalents paid to the members of the operating company, as well as withdrawals made by our consolidated investment partnerships. Financing activities used \$9.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and used \$9.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The \$0.6 million decrease in cash used in financing activities is primarily due to a decrease in tax allocations paid to the members of the operating company as a result of the decrease in taxable earnings of the operating company and a reduction of contributions from non-controlling interests, partially offset by the repurchase and retirement of Class A common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

Financing activities used \$24.7 million and \$16.5 million, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. The \$8.2 million increase in cash used in financing activities is primarily due to the timing of dividend and dividend equivalent payments made in March of 2012 and the repurchase and retirement of Class A Common stock during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

Our Board of Directors announced a share repurchase program in April of 2012 and authorized a repurchase of an aggregate of \$10 million of our outstanding Class A common stock and Class B units. The timing, number and value of common shares and units repurchased are subject to our discretion. In the three months ended June 30, 2012, we repurchased 28,454 shares of Class A common stock for \$0.1 million at an average price per share of \$4.39.

#### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements as of June 30, 2012.

#### Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect our reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under current circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily available from other sources. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Accounting policies are an integral part of our financial statements. A thorough understanding of these accounting policies is essential when reviewing our reported results of operations and our financial condition. Management believes that the critical accounting policies discussed below involve additional management judgment due to the sensitivity of the methods and assumptions used.

#### Consolidation

Our policy is to consolidate all majority-owned subsidiaries in which we have a controlling financial interest and variable-interest entities of which we are deemed to be the primary beneficiary. We also consolidate non-variable-interest entities which we control as the general partner or managing member. We assess our consolidation practices regularly, as circumstances dictate. All significant inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Investments in private investment partnerships in which we have a minority interest and exercise significant influence are accounted for using the equity method. Such investments, if any, are reflected on the consolidated statements of financial condition as investments in affiliates and are recorded at the amount of capital reported by the respective private investment partnerships. Such capital accounts reflect the contributions paid to, distributions received from, and the equity earnings of, the private investment partnerships.

#### Income Taxes

We are a "C" corporation under the Internal Revenue Code, and thus liable for federal, state and local taxes on the income derived from our economic interest in our operating company. The operating company is a limited liability company that has elected to be treated as a partnership for tax purposes. Our operating company has not made a provision for federal or state income taxes because it is the responsibility of each of the operating company's members (including us) to separately report their proportionate share of the operating company's taxable income or loss. Similarly, the income of our consolidated investment partnerships is not subject to income taxes, as such income is allocated to each partnership's individual partners. The operating company has made a provision for New York City Unincorporated Business Tax (UBT).



We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases, net operating loss carryforwards and tax credits. A valuation allowance is maintained for deferred tax assets that we estimate are more likely than not to go unrealizable based on available evidence at the time the estimate is made. Determining the valuation allowance requires management to make significant judgments and assumptions. In determining the valuation allowance, we use historical and forecasted future operating results, based upon approved business plans, including a review of the eligible carryforward periods, tax planning opportunities and other relevant considerations. Each quarter, we re-evaluate our estimate related to the valuation allowance, including our assumptions about future taxable income.

We believe that the accounting estimate related to the \$60.4 million valuation allowance, recorded against the deferred tax asset associated with our acquisition of operating company membership units, is a critical accounting estimate because the underlying assumptions can change from period to period. For example, tax law changes, or variances in future projected operating performance, could result in a change in the valuation allowance. If we are not able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to our deferred tax asset valuation allowance would be charged to income tax expense in the period such determination was made.

Management judgment is required in determining our provision for income taxes, evaluating our tax positions and establishing deferred tax assets and liabilities. The calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. If our estimate of tax liabilities proves to be less than the ultimate assessment, a further charge to earnings would result.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

#### Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk is directly related to our role as investment adviser for the institutional separate accounts we manage and the retail clients for which we act as sub-investment adviser. As noted in Item 1A, "Risk Factors," of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, filed with the SEC on March 14, 2012, we experienced declines in AUM during the last three quarters of 2011, largely due to the volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets. During this period, we experienced declines in revenue and profitability, and there can be no assurance that there will not be declines in our AUM, revenue and profitability should volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets occur again in the future. A renewed economic downturn, and continued volatility in the global financial markets, could also significantly affect the estimates, judgments, and assumptions used in the valuation of our financial instruments.

Our revenue for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 was generally derived from advisory fees, which are typically based on the market value of our AUM, which can be affected by adverse changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange and equity prices. Accordingly, a decline in the prices of securities would cause our revenue and income to decline, due to a decrease in the value of the assets we manage. In addition, such a decline could cause our clients to withdraw their funds in favor of investments offering higher returns or lower risk, which would cause our revenue and income to decline further.

We are also subject to market risk due to a decline in the value of the holdings of our consolidated subsidiaries, which consist primarily of marketable securities and investments in mutual funds. At June 30, 2012, the fair value of these assets was \$1.9 million and \$2.7 million, respectively. Assuming a 10% increase or decrease, the fair value would have increased or decreased by \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, at June 30, 2012.

#### Interest Rate Risk

Since the Company does not have any debt that bears interest at a variable rate, it does not have any direct exposure to interest rate risk at June 30, 2012.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

During the course of their review of our consolidated financial statements as of June 30, 2012, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of June 30, 2012, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) were effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the three months ended June 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.



## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

The table below sets forth information regarding purchases of our Class A Common Stock on a monthly basis during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

## Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares of Class A Common Stock Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(1)	(d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (in millions)
April 1, 2012 through April 30, 2012	-	\$ -	-	\$ 10.0
May 1, 2012 through May 31, 2012	27,562	4.40	27,562	9.9
June 1, 2012 through June 30, 2012	892	4.03	892	9.9
Total	28,454	\$ 4.39	28,454	\$ 9.9

(1) Our current share repurchase program was announced on April 24, 2012. The Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase an aggregate of \$10 million of our outstanding Class A common stock and Class B units on the open market and in private transactions in accordance with applicable securities laws. The timing, number and value of common shares and units repurchased are subject to the Company's discretion. The Company's share repurchase program is not subject to an expiration date and may be suspended, discontinued, or modified at any time, for any reason.

## Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit	Description of Exhibit
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a)
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101	Materials from the Pzena Investment Management, Inc. Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012, formatted in Extensible Business Reporting Language (XBRL): (i) Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition, (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity, (iv) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows, and (vi) related Unaudited Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements, tagged in detail (furnished herewith).



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Dated: July 27, 2012

PZENA INVESTMENT MANAGEMENT, INC.

By: /s/ RICHARD S. PZENA  
Name: Richard S. Pzena  
Title: Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ GREGORY S. MARTIN  
Name: Gregory S. Martin  
Title: Chief Financial Officer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting  
Officer)