

HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC  
Form DEF 14A  
April 11, 2014

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement  
Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule  
14a-6(e)(2))
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule  
14a-6(e)(2))
- Definitive Proxy Statement
- Definitive Additional Materials
- Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
- |     |  |
|-----|--|
| (1) | Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:  |
| (2) | Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:   |
| (3) | Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction<br>computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the<br>amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it<br>was determined): |
| (4) | Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:   |
| (5) | Total fee paid:  |

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

---

Lawrence S. Hershfield  
Chairman of the Board of Directors

Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.  
3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350  
Honolulu, HI 96819

April 11, 2014

To Our Stockholders:

You are cordially invited to attend the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of Hawaiian Holdings, Inc., which will be held at The Inter Island Conference Center, Honolulu International Airport, IIT#1, Ohī'a Room, 7th Floor, 400 Rodgers Boulevard, Honolulu, HI 96819, on Thursday, May 22, 2014, at 10:00 AM, local time.

The attached Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement contain details of the business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting.

Only stockholders of record of our outstanding common stock and special preferred stock at the close of business on March 24, 2014 will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Your vote, regardless of the number of shares you own, is important. Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, I hope you will vote as soon as possible. You may vote over the Internet, by telephone or by mailing a proxy card. Voting over the Internet, by telephone or by written proxy will ensure your representation at the Annual Meeting if you do not attend in person. Please review the instructions on the proxy card regarding each of these voting options. Please note that in order for your vote to be counted, you must complete and return the stockholder questionnaire described in the attached Proxy Statement under "Restriction on Foreign Ownership of Voting Stock" and included on the proxy card.

Thank you for your ongoing support of and continued interest in Hawaiian Holdings, Inc.

Sincerely,

Lawrence S. Hershfield  
Chairman of the Board of Directors

HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS, INC.  
3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350  
Honolulu, HI 96819  
(808) 835-3700

---

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Our Stockholders:

The 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) of Hawaiian Holdings, Inc. (the “Company”) will be held at The Inter Island Conference Center, Honolulu International Airport, IIT#1, Ohi’a Room, 7th Floor, 400 Rodgers Boulevard, Honolulu, HI 96819, on Thursday, May 22, 2014, at 10:00 AM, local time, to consider and act upon the following matters:

1. To elect nine directors from among the nominees described in the Proxy Statement;
2. To ratify Ernst & Young LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014;
3. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers, as described in the Proxy Statement; and
4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting, or any and all adjournments or postponements thereof.

Only stockholders of record of our outstanding common stock and special preferred stock at the close of business on March 24, 2014, the record date, will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Please note that in order for your vote to be counted, you must complete and return the stockholder questionnaire described in the accompanying Proxy Statement under “Restriction on Foreign Ownership of Voting Stock” and included on the proxy card.

The Board of Directors desires to have maximum representation of stockholders at the Annual Meeting. We are providing access to our proxy materials over the Internet under the rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission in 2008. We believe that electronic availability of proxy materials allows us to provide stockholders with the information they need while lowering delivery costs and reducing the environmental impact of our Annual Meeting. You may vote over the Internet, by telephone or by mailing a proxy card. Voting over the Internet, by telephone or by written proxy will ensure your representation at the Annual Meeting if you do not attend in person. Please review the instructions on the proxy card regarding each of these voting options. You may revoke your proxy at any time prior to its use, by notice in writing to me, the Company’s Secretary, by presentation of a later-dated proxy or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

By order of the Board of Directors,

Hoyt H. Zia  
Secretary

Dated: April 11, 2014

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form DEF 14A

Your vote is important. To vote your shares, please follow the instructions in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, which is being mailed to you on or about April 11, 2014.

---

HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS, INC.  
3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350  
Honolulu, HI 96819  
(808) 835-3700

---

## PROXY STATEMENT

### ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held Thursday, May 22, 2014

We are furnishing this Proxy Statement in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Hawaiian Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation, for use at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders, which will be held at The Inter Island Conference Center, Honolulu International Airport, IIT#1, Ohi'a Room, 7th Floor, 400 Rodgers Boulevard, Honolulu, HI 96819, on Thursday, May 22, 2014, at 10:00 AM, local time, and any and all adjournments or postponements thereof (collectively, the "Annual Meeting"). We are holding the Annual Meeting for the purposes described in the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting. We are mailing a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") and are making this Proxy Statement and the proxy card available to stockholders of record entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting beginning on or about April 11, 2014. As used herein, unless the context requires otherwise, the terms "Holdings," "Company," "we," "our," and "us" refer only to Hawaiian Holdings, Inc., and the term "Hawaiian" refers only to Hawaiian Airlines, Inc., Holdings' primary operating subsidiary.

## GENERAL INFORMATION

### Important Notice Regarding Availability of Proxy Materials

This Proxy Statement and our Annual Report to Stockholders are available at <http://www.astproxyportal.com/ast/17758>.

In accordance with rules and regulations adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"), instead of mailing a printed copy of our proxy materials to all stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, we are furnishing the proxy materials to certain of our stockholders over the Internet. Accordingly, we are mailing the Notice to stockholders on or about April 11, 2014. All stockholders will have the ability to access via the Internet the proxy materials, including this proxy statement and our Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the Internet or to request a paper copy of the proxy materials can be found on the Notice. The Notice also instructs you as to how you may submit your vote on the Internet. You will not receive paper copies of the proxy materials unless you request them.

On the date of mailing of the Notice, all stockholders will have the ability to access all of our proxy materials on the Internet. These proxy materials will be available free of charge.

If you share an address with another stockholder, each stockholder may not receive a separate copy of the Notice. Stockholders who do not receive a separate copy of the Notice may request to receive a separate copy of the Notice by calling (808) 835-3613 or by writing to Hawaiian Holdings, Inc., 3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350, Honolulu, HI 96819, attn: Hoyt Zia. Alternatively, stockholders who share an address and receive multiple copies of the Notice can request to receive a single copy by following the same instructions.

### Solicitation of Proxies

Our Board of Directors is soliciting the enclosed proxy.

We will make proxy solicitations by mail, and also by telephone, facsimile transmission or otherwise, as we deem necessary. We will bear the costs of this solicitation. We will request banks, brokerage houses, nominees and other fiduciaries nominally holding shares of our common stock, par value \$0.01 per share (the "Common Stock"), to forward the Notice and proxy soliciting materials and stockholder questionnaires to the beneficial owners of such Common Stock and to obtain authorization for the execution of proxies. We will, upon request, reimburse such parties for their reasonable expenses in forwarding the Notice and proxy materials and stockholder questionnaires to the beneficial owners.

#### Record Date, Quorum and Voting Requirements

Holders of shares of Common Stock and our Series B Special Preferred Stock, Series C Special Preferred Stock and Series D Special Preferred Stock (collectively, the "Special Preferred Stock") at the close of business on March 24, 2014 (the "Record Date") are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting. On that date, there were 53,053,436 shares of Common Stock and one share each of the Series B Special Preferred Stock, the Series C Special Preferred Stock and the Series D Special Preferred Stock outstanding. Each share of Common Stock and Special Preferred Stock outstanding on the Record Date is entitled to one vote on each matter presented at the Annual Meeting. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of all outstanding shares of stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting shall constitute a quorum for the transaction of business at the Annual Meeting. The election of directors (Proposal No. 1) requires a plurality of the votes cast by the holders of shares of Common Stock and Special Preferred Stock at a meeting at which a quorum is present. The other proposals require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast by the holders of shares of Common Stock and Special Preferred Stock at a meeting at which a quorum is present. Our Common Stock is listed on the NASDAQ Global Select Market ("NASDAQ") under the symbol "HA."

Shares of Common Stock and Special Preferred Stock represented by all properly executed proxies received in time for the Annual Meeting will be voted, unless revoked, in accordance with the choices specified in the proxy, subject to our receipt of the stockholder questionnaires described below. See “Restriction on Foreign Ownership of Voting Stock.” Unless contrary instructions are indicated on the proxy, the shares will be voted FOR the election of the nine director nominees named in this Proxy Statement, FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm, and FOR the proposal to approve executive compensation by non-binding vote. Representatives of our transfer agent will assist us in the tabulation of the votes. Abstentions are counted as shares represented at the meeting and entitled to vote for purposes of determining a quorum. Abstentions will have no effect on the outcome of the vote for the election of directors. If you abstain from voting on the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP (Proposal No. 2) or the proposal to approve executive compensation by non-binding vote (Proposal No. 3), your abstention will have the same legal effect as a vote “against” such proposal or proposals.

Brokers who hold shares of Common Stock for the accounts of their clients must vote such shares as directed by their clients. If brokers do not receive instructions from their clients, the brokers may vote the shares in their own discretion with respect to certain “discretionary” items, but will not be allowed to vote the shares with respect to certain “non-discretionary” items. The ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal No. 2) is considered to be a discretionary item, and your broker will be able to vote on that item even if it does not receive instructions from you, so long as it holds your shares in its name. The election of directors (Proposal No. 1) and approval of the non-binding vote on executive compensation (Proposal No. 3) are “non-discretionary” items. If you do not instruct your broker how to vote with respect to these items, your broker may not vote with respect to these proposals and those votes will be counted as “broker non-votes.” “Broker non-votes” are shares that are held in “street name” by a bank or brokerage firm that indicates on its proxy that it does not have or did not exercise discretionary authority to vote on a particular matter. The Company will count the shares represented by broker non-votes in determining whether there is a quorum. Broker non-votes will have no effect on the outcome of the vote to approve the election of directors (Proposal No. 1) or the non-binding vote on executive compensation (Proposal No. 3).

#### Restriction on Foreign Ownership of Voting Stock

Our Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation (the “Certificate of Incorporation”) prohibits the ownership or control by non-U.S. citizens of more than 25% of our issued and outstanding voting stock, pursuant to 49 USC Secs. 40102(a)(15), 41102 and U.S. Department of Transportation regulations. In order to comply with this requirement, we maintain a Foreign Stock Record to keep track of transfers of our voting stock to non-U.S. citizens. At no time will the ownership or control of shares representing more than 25% of our voting stock be registered on the Foreign Stock Record. If, at any time, we determine that the number of shares of our voting stock purportedly registered on the Foreign Stock Record exceeds 25% of the total number of shares of our voting stock, we shall remove sufficient shares from the Foreign Stock Record in reverse chronological order so that the number of shares of our voting stock registered on the Foreign Stock Record does not exceed 25% of our issued and outstanding voting stock. Shares of our voting stock that we know to be owned or controlled by non-U.S. citizens and that are not registered on the Foreign Stock Record shall not be entitled to vote until so registered.

Before any stockholder (including any natural person, as well as any corporation or other entity) of the Company is permitted to vote its shares at the Annual Meeting, that stockholder must complete and return a stockholder questionnaire (included on the proxy card) to establish its citizenship. If any stockholder is determined not to be a U.S. citizen, that stockholder’s stock will be registered on the Foreign Stock Record and voted in accordance with the Certificate of Incorporation, subject to the limitations and procedures described above.

#### Special Preferred Stock Designees



As described in greater detail in the section below entitled “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management—Special Preferred Stock,” the International Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers (the “IAM”), the Association of Flight Attendants (the “AFA”) and the Air Line Pilots Association (the “ALPA”) (collectively, the “Unions”) hold one share of the Company’s Series B Special Preferred Stock, Series C Special Preferred Stock and Series D Special Preferred Stock, respectively, that, in accordance with our Amended By-Laws, entitle each Union to nominate one director (each such director, a “Special Preferred Stock Designee”). Mr. Samson Poomaihealani is the IAM’s designee to the Board of Directors, Mr. William S. Swelbar is the AFA’s designee to the Board of Directors and Mr. Brian E. Boyer is the ALPA’s designee to the Board of Directors. On February 4, 2014, Mr. Boyer notified the Company that he will resign as a member of the Board of Directors effective May 22, 2014. On February 4, 2014, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Duane E. Woerth to the Board of Directors, effective May 22, 2014, to fill the vacancy created by Mr. Boyer’s resignation, per the notification from the ALPA of Mr. Woerth’s nomination to serve as the ALPA’s designee upon Mr. Boyer’s resignation. The Special Preferred Stock Designees are not elected by the holders of the Common Stock, and their election is, accordingly, not to be considered at the Annual Meeting.

### Dissenters' Rights

Under Delaware law, you are not entitled to any dissenters' rights with respect to the election of directors described in this Proxy Statement.

### Revocability of Proxy

Giving the enclosed proxy does not preclude your right to vote in person if you so desire. You may revoke your proxy at any time prior to its exercise by notifying our Secretary in writing, by giving us a later-dated proxy, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

## CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES AND BOARD MATTERS

We are committed to adopting and adhering to sound corporate governance principles. Having such principles is essential to operating our business efficiently and to maintaining our integrity and reputation in the marketplace. We have adopted a Code of Ethics that applies to all of our directors, executive officers and other employees. The Code of Ethics, as well as all of the charters of our Board Committees, is available on the Investor Relations section of our website at <http://www.hawaiianairlines.com>. We intend to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K, regarding any amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of our Code of Ethics with respect to our directors and executive officers, by posting such information on our website, at the address and location specified above.

### Board Independence

The Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board of Directors assess the independence of the directors at least annually. The assessment is based upon the applicable NASDAQ listing standards, the federal securities laws and the regulations promulgated by the SEC thereunder. During the annual assessment of director independence, the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Board of Directors consider transactions and relationships between the Company or its subsidiaries or affiliates, on the one hand, and each director, members of his or her immediate family, or other entities with which he or she is affiliated, on the other hand. Based on the review and recommendation by the Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that a majority of its members and each member of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee are independent within the meaning of the applicable NASDAQ listing standards and the SEC's director independence standards. The independent directors are named below under "Proposal No. 1: Election of Directors."

### Board Leadership Structure

Our current Chairman, Mr. Hershfield, has held the role of Chairman since July 2004. From the beginning of his term until June 2005, he was also the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"). When Mr. Dunkerley, who succeeded Mr. Hershfield as CEO, was appointed, we determined that it was in the Company's best interest to separate the roles of CEO and Chairman, and for Mr. Hershfield to continue in his role as Chairman. Separating these positions allows our CEO to focus on our day-to-day business, while allowing the Chairman to lead the Board of Directors in its fundamental role of providing advice to and independent oversight of management. While our Amended By-Laws and corporate governance guidelines do not require that our Chairman and CEO positions be separate, the Board of Directors believes that having separate positions and having an independent outside director serve as Chairman is the appropriate leadership structure for the Company at this time, and contributes to our successful corporate governance. The Board of Directors has charged the Chairman with responsibility for facilitating communication between management and the Board of Directors, and representing Board member views to management, among other things.

Meetings of the Board and Committees

The Board of Directors has established the following committees: the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Executive Committee. Each of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Governance and Nominating Committee has a committee charter developed under the leadership of its committee chair. Copies of the committee charters are available on the Company's website at <http://www.hawaiianairlines.com>.

The Board of Directors held five formal meetings and did not act by unanimous written consent during the year ended December 31, 2013. No director attended fewer than 75% of the meetings of the Board of Directors and committee meetings that he or she was obligated to attend. Our policy regarding attendance at Board of Directors meetings is that we expect directors to make every effort to attend all Board of Directors meetings, recognizing that scheduling difficulties may at times arise. Members of the Board of Directors are encouraged to attend each annual meeting of stockholders in person. All of our then-current directors attended the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders. The membership and function of each committee during the last fiscal year are described below.

Members serving on the committees of the Board of Directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 were:

	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Governance and Nominating Committee	Executive Committee
Gregory S. Anderson	Chair		Member	
Mark B. Dunkerley				Member
Lawrence S. Hershfield		Member		Chair
Randall L. Jenson	Member			
Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.	Member	Member	Chair	
Tomoyuki Moriizumi			Member	
Crystal K. Rose		Chair	Member	Member
Richard N. Zwern(1)	Member	Member	Member	

(1) Mr. Zwern was appointed to the Audit Committee effective February 8, 2013 and resigned from the Governance and Nominating Committee on the same date.

#### Audit Committee

We have a standing Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Pursuant to the Audit Committee charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the work of our independent registered public accounting firm. Its principal functions are to: (i) oversee the integrity of our financial statements and other financial information provided by us to any governmental body or the public; (ii) oversee our systems of internal controls and procedures regarding finance, accounting, disclosures and legal compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and (iii) monitor the performance of the internal auditors and the independence, qualifications and performance of the independent registered public accounting firm and pre-approve services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. The Board of Directors has determined that Mr. Anderson and Mr. Jenson satisfy the criteria set forth in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Exchange Act to serve as an "audit committee financial expert" on the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee met eight times and did not act by unanimous written consent during the year ended December 31, 2013. The report of the Audit Committee is included on page 43 of this Proxy Statement.

#### Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee has overall responsibility for evaluating and approving executive officer and director compensation plans, policies and programs of the Company, as well as all equity-based and incentive compensation

plans and policies. The Compensation Committee oversees the annual review and approval of corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of executive officers, the evaluation of the performance of the executive officers in light of those goals and objectives, and the determination and approval of such officers' compensation based on such evaluations. The Compensation Committee may delegate its authority to subcommittees or individuals as the Compensation Committee may deem appropriate, except to the extent such delegation would violate any applicable tax or securities laws or the rules and regulations of NASDAQ. The Compensation Committee met four times and did not act by unanimous written consent during the year ended December 31, 2013. The report of the Compensation Committee is included on page 25 of this Proxy Statement.

#### Governance and Nominating Committee

The principal functions of the Governance and Nominating Committee are to: (i) monitor and oversee matters of corporate governance, including the evaluation of Board of Director performance and processes and the independence of directors; and (ii) identify, select, evaluate and recommend to the Board of Directors qualified candidates for election or appointment to the Board of Directors.

The Governance and Nominating Committee will consider potential nominees brought to its attention by any director or officer of the Company and will consider such candidates based on their achievement in business, education or public service, experience (including management experience in a public company), background, skills, expertise, accessibility and availability to serve effectively on the Board of Directors. Consistent with the Director Nomination Process attached as Exhibit A to the Governance and Nominating Committee charter, the Board of Directors and the Governance and Nominating Committee give consideration to assuring that the Board of Directors, as a whole, adequately reflects the diversity of the Company's constituencies and the communities in which the Company conducts its business. Diversity, as well as such other factors described above, is reviewed in the context of an assessment of the perceived needs of the Board of Directors or a Board committee at a particular point in time. As a result, the priorities and emphasis of the Board of Directors and the Governance and Nominating Committee may change from time to time to take into account changes in business and other trends, as well as the portfolio of skills and experience of our current and prospective Board members.

The Governance and Nominating Committee will also consider nominees recommended in good faith by stockholders. As described further herein under the section entitled “Stockholder Proposals,” stockholders should submit the candidate’s name, credentials, contact information and his or her written consent to be considered as a candidate to the Secretary of the Company at 3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350, Honolulu, HI 96819 no earlier than 120 days or later than 90 days prior to the first anniversary of the Annual Meeting. The proposing stockholder should also include his or her contact information and a statement of his or her share ownership (how many shares owned and for how long). Such stockholder recommended candidates will be evaluated in the same manner as candidates nominated by any other person.

The Governance and Nominating Committee also recommends to the Board of Directors the assignment of directors to committees, including the designation of committee chairs. The Governance and Nominating Committee met four times and did not act by unanimous written consent during the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Executive Committee

The Executive Committee is empowered to act for the full Board of Directors in intervals between Board of Directors meetings, with the exception of certain matters that by law may not be delegated. The Executive Committee meets as necessary, and all actions by the Executive Committee are reported at the next Board of Directors meeting. The Executive Committee met four times and acted by unanimous written consent once during the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### Executive Sessions of the Board of Directors

The independent directors meet on a regular basis to review the performance of management and the Company. The presiding director at such sessions is Mr. Hershfield, the Chairman of our Board of Directors.

#### Communications with the Board of Directors

Stockholders may send communications to the Board of Directors at the following address: 3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350, Honolulu, HI 96819, specifying whether the communication is directed to the entire Board of Directors, the independent directors or to a particular director. All communications will be compiled by the Company’s Corporate Secretary and submitted as appropriate to the Board of Directors or individual directors, as the case may be, on a periodic basis.

#### Role of Board in Risk Oversight

Management is responsible for the day-to-day management of risks the Company faces, while the Board of Directors, as a whole and through its committees, has responsibility for the oversight of risk management. In its risk oversight role, the Board of Directors has the responsibility to satisfy itself that the risk management processes designed and implemented by management are adequate and functioning as designed. The Audit Committee authorized the formation of a Risk Oversight Committee and approved an enterprise risk management program in August 2010 to enhance the existing risk management systems and processes and to further strengthen the Board of Directors’ ability to identify, assess and mitigate risks on a Company-wide basis.

Our Chairman meets regularly with our CEO and other senior officers to discuss strategy and risks facing the Company. Senior management attends the quarterly Board meetings and participates in strategic planning sessions with the Board of Directors to discuss strategies, key challenges, risks and opportunities for the Company. Our Board committees also assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities in certain areas of risk. The Audit Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to risk

management in the areas of financial reporting, internal controls and compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, and, discusses policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management. Risk assessment reports are regularly provided by management to the Audit Committee. The Compensation Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks arising from our compensation policies and programs. The Governance and Nominating Committee assists the Board of Directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities with respect to the management of risks associated with Board organization, membership and structure, succession planning for our directors and executive officers, and corporate governance. The Executive Committee meets regularly to review the progress of and provide guidance to management related to decisions that have been delegated to the Executive Committee by the Board of Directors.

## Compensation of Directors

Under the Company's policy for directors, each non-employee director receives an annual retainer of \$50,000 plus \$1,500 for each meeting of the Board of Directors that he or she attends in person and \$750 for each meeting he or she attends telephonically, in each case, for meetings attended in excess of eight meetings (whether in-person or via telephone) during the twelve month period beginning June 1st of each year. Additionally, the Chairman of the Board of Directors receives an annual retainer of \$75,000, the chair of the Audit Committee receives an annual retainer of \$24,000, the chair of the Compensation Committee receives an annual retainer of \$13,000 and the chair of the Governance and Nominating Committee receives an annual retainer of \$10,000. The members of the Audit Committee receive an annual retainer of \$12,000. The members of the Compensation Committee receive an annual retainer of \$8,000 and the members of the Governance and Nominating Committee receive an annual retainer of \$7,000.

The non-employee directors receive an annual automatic equity grant on the date of each annual stockholders meeting equal to that number of stock units determined by dividing \$65,000 by the trailing volume weighted average price of the Company's Common Stock over the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the trading day prior to the date of grant, vesting 100% on the day prior to the following year's regularly scheduled annual stockholders meeting, and otherwise subject to the terms and conditions of the Company's standard form of non-employee director stock award agreement. In addition, shortly after a non-employee director joins the Board of Directors, he or she is granted an option to purchase 5,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock with an exercise price equal to the fair market value on the date of grant.

Each director and certain members of his or her immediate family and parents are entitled to free travel privileges on the Company's non-chartered flights. Directors are also reimbursed for the taxes imposed on the first \$30,000 of incremental cost on non-standby travel on the Company's flights. Our directors receive two additional personal benefits. First, following retirement from the Board of Directors after age 40 and at least ten years of service, or after age 55 and at least five years of service, former directors will be eligible for unlimited travel on Company flights. The former director will be responsible for all taxes on this benefit.

The following table shows the compensation paid or accrued during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 to the individuals serving on the Board of Directors in 2013:

Name(1)	Fees Earned or				Total (\$)
	Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)(2)	Option Awards (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	
Gregory S. Anderson	75,000	65,093	—	—	140,593
Brian E. Boyer(3)	47,500	65,093	—	3,269(4)	115,862
Lawrence S. Hershfield	123,000	65,093	—	14,169(5)	202,262
Randall L. Jenson	58,500	65,093	—	3,617(6)	127,210
Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.	74,500	65,093	—	11,217(7)	150,810
Tomoyuki Moriizumi	53,500	65,093	—	1,491(8)	120,084
Samson Poomaihealani	47,500	65,093	—	17,061(9)	129,654
Crystal K. Rose	65,000	65,093	—	31,639(10)	161,732
William S. Swelbar	47,500	65,093	—	—	112,593



Richard N. Zwern 64,833 65,093 — 24,826(11) 154,752

## Supplemental Director Compensation Table—Outstanding Stock Awards

Name(1)	Aggregate Stock Award Shares Outstanding	Award Grant Date(s)	Number of Shares	ASC 718 Grant Date Fair Value (\$)
Gregory S. Anderson	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
Brian E. Boyer(3)	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
Lawrence S. Hershfield	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
Randall L. Jenson	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
Tomoyuki Moriizumi	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
Samson Poomaihealani	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
Crystal K. Rose	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
William S. Swelbar	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093
Richard N. Zwern	11,835	5/22/2013	11,835	65,093

## Supplemental Director Compensation Table—Outstanding Options

Name(1)	Aggregate Option Shares Outstanding	Award Grant Date(s)	Number of Shares	ASC 718 Grant Date Fair Value (\$)
Gregory S. Anderson	9,999	5/30/2007	6,666	12,465
		5/31/2006	3,333	6,499
Brian E. Boyer(3)	5,000	11/16/2010	5,000	20,300
Lawrence S. Hershfield	150,000	5/30/2007	15,000	28,050
		5/31/2006	15,000	29,250
		12/19/2005	100,000	203,685
		8/10/2005	20,000	52,800
Randall L. Jenson	110,000	5/30/2007	10,000	18,700
		5/31/2006	10,000	19,500
		12/19/2005	75,000	152,764
		8/10/2005	15,000	39,600
Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.	14,999	5/30/2007	6,666	12,465
		5/31/2006	3,333	6,499
		8/10/2005	5,000	13,200
Tomoyuki Moriizumi	5,000	5/24/2012	5,000	16,700
Samson Poomaihealani	5,000	5/25/2010	5,000	21,350
Crystal K. Rose	25,000	5/30/2007	10,000	18,700
		5/31/2006	15,000	29,250
Richard N. Zwern	5,000	8/24/2011	5,000	12,350

---

(1)

Mark B. Dunkerley is not included in the table because he is also a named executive officer in the Summary Compensation Table below. He receives no additional compensation for his service as one of our directors.

- (2) Represents the grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted to each director in 2013, as calculated in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation, or ASC 718. Please refer to Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 in Hawaiian Holdings' 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on February 10, 2014, for further discussion related to the assumptions used in our valuation.
- (3) Mr. Boyer will resign from the Board of Directors effective immediately prior to the Annual Meeting.
- (4) Includes reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$3,269.
- (5) Includes reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$14,169.
- (6) Includes reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$3,617.
- (7) Includes reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$11,217.
- (8) Includes reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$1,491.
- (9) Includes reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$17,061.
- (10) Includes reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$31,639.
- (11) Includes reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$24,826.

PROPOSAL NO. 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The Board of Directors currently consists of twelve directors, eleven of whom are independent directors. The Board of Directors has affirmatively determined that Mr. Gregory S. Anderson, Mr. Brian E. Boyer, Mr. Lawrence S. Hershfield, Mr. Zac S. Hirzel, Mr. Randall L. Jenson, Mr. Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr., Mr. Samson Poomaihealani, Mr. Tomoyuki Moriizumi, Ms. Crystal K. Rose, Mr. William S. Swelbar and Mr. Richard N. Zwern are each independent as defined by the NASDAQ listing standards and the applicable rules of the SEC.

Nine directors will be elected at the Annual Meeting to serve for one-year terms and until their successors are elected and qualified. On the recommendation of the Governance and Nominating Committee, the Board of Directors has nominated Mr. Hershfield, Mr. Dunkerley, Mr. Anderson, Mr. Hirzel, Mr. Jenson, Mr. Kobayashi, Mr. Moriizumi, Ms. Rose and Mr. Zwern for election to the Board of Directors at the Annual Meeting. All of the nominees are currently members of the Board of Directors, and all of the nominees have agreed to being named in this Proxy Statement and to continue to serve if elected. In the event that any such nominee is unable to serve, the proxyholders will vote for any other person that the Board of Directors designates. The election of each nominee as a director requires a plurality of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting by holders of shares entitled to vote. The proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees. You will find each nominee's biographical information below.

As described in greater detail in the section below entitled "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management—Special Preferred Stock," the IAM, the AFA and the ALPA hold one share of the Company's Series B Special Preferred Stock, Series C Special Preferred Stock and Series D Special Preferred Stock, respectively, that, in accordance with our Amended By-Laws, entitle each Union to nominate one director. Mr. Samson Poomaihealani is the IAM's designee to the Board of Directors, Mr. William S. Swelbar is the AFA's designee to the Board of Directors and Mr. Brian E. Boyer is the ALPA's designee to the Board of Directors. On February 4, 2014, Mr. Boyer notified the Company that he will resign as a member of the Board of Directors effective May 22, 2014. On February 4, 2014, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Duane E. Woerth to the Board of Directors, effective May 22, 2014, to fill the vacancy created by Mr. Boyer's resignation, per the notification from the ALPA of Mr. Woerth's nomination to serve as the ALPA's designee upon Mr. Boyer's resignation. The Board of Directors has also affirmatively determined that Mr. Woerth is independent as defined by the NASDAQ listing standards and the applicable rules of the SEC. The Special Preferred Stock Designees are not elected by the holders of the Common Stock, and their election is, accordingly, not to be considered at the Annual Meeting.

## Information Regarding Directors

The name, age as of April 11, 2014, present principal occupation or employment and five-year employment history of each of our directors is set forth below. With the exception of Mr. Moriizumi, each of the persons listed below is a citizen of the United States. Unless otherwise noted, the business address of each person listed below is 3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350, Honolulu, HI 96819 and the telephone number at that address is (808) 835-3700. The Special Preferred Stock Designees are not elected by the holders of the Common Stock, and their election is, accordingly, not to be considered at the Annual Meeting.

Name	Age	Position(s)
Lawrence S. Hershfield	57	Chair of the Board of Directors
Mark B. Dunkerley	50	Director, President and Chief Executive Officer
Gregory S. Anderson	57	Director
Zac S. Hirzel	36	Director
Randall L. Jenson	45	Director
Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.	74	Director
Tomoyuki Moriizumi	66	Director
Crystal K. Rose	56	Director
Richard N. Zwern	59	Director
Special Preferred Stock Designees:		
Samson Poomaihealani	72	Director (IAM Designee)
William S. Swelbar	55	Director (AFA Designee)
Brian E. Boyer	68	Director (ALPA Designee) (will resign effective May 22, 2014)

Lawrence S. Hershfield. Mr. Hershfield has been the Chairman of our Board of Directors since July 2004. Mr. Hershfield served as our President and Chief Executive Officer from June 14, 2004 through June 2, 2005. He has been the Chief Executive Officer of Ranch Capital, LLC, which he founded to pursue investments in undervalued or distressed assets or companies, since October 2002. Since June 2004, he has been the Chief Executive Officer and President of RC Aviation Management, LLC (“RC Aviation Management”), the managing member of RC Aviation LLC (“RC Aviation”). He served as Chairman of the Board of Premier Entertainment Biloxi, LLC, which owns the Hard Rock Hotel and Casino in Biloxi, Mississippi, from June 2006 through September 2011 and serves as an advisor to the Board of Berkadia Commercial Mortgage Servicing Inc., the third-largest commercial mortgage servicer in the United States, owned by a joint venture of Berkshire Hathaway Inc. and Leucadia National Inc. From 2006 through 2009, Mr. Hershfield served as a Trustee of the Stanford University Business School Trust, and recently was appointed to the Advisory Board of the Stanford Center for Longevity. Mr. Hershfield received a B.S. in Biology from Bucknell University (1977) and has an M.B.A. from Stanford University Graduate School of Business (1981). Mr. Hershfield serves as a member of the Compensation Committee and as Chair of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Hershfield contributes an in-depth familiarity with the Company, its operations and its history resulting from his prior service as its Chief Executive Officer and years of service as its Chairman, as well as a breadth of experience gained from serving as a director or officer of, or investor in, public and private companies in a variety of

industries.

Mark B. Dunkerley. Mr. Dunkerley has been a member of our Board of Directors and the President and Chief Executive Officer of both Hawaiian and Holdings since June 2, 2005. He previously was President and Chief Operating Officer of Hawaiian from December 2002 and President and Chief Operating Officer of Holdings from February 2003 until he resigned the positions at Holdings following Hawaiian's Chapter 11 filing and the appointment of the bankruptcy trustee. From August 2001 until March 2002, he was the Chief Operating Officer of the Sabena Airlines Group located in Brussels, Belgium. In October 2001, Sabena Airlines Group filed for the Belgian equivalent of bankruptcy and began its liquidation process in November 2001. In 2001, Mr. Dunkerley served as a consultant with the Roberts Roach firm, which provides strategic and economic consulting services to the aviation industry. From 1999 to 2000, Mr. Dunkerley was Chief Operating Officer, President and a member of the Board of Directors of Worldwide Flight Services, one of the largest providers of ground services to airlines, including baggage and passenger check-in handling at airports worldwide. From 1989 to 1999, Mr. Dunkerley worked for British Airways, where he held a variety of management positions including his last position as Senior Vice President for British Airways' Latin America and Caribbean division from 1997 to 1999. Mr. Dunkerley serves on the Board of Directors of the Hawaii Visitors and Convention Bureau, Hawaii Business Roundtable, the Chamber of Commerce of Hawaii and Airlines for America (formerly Air Transport Association of America, Inc.). Mr. Dunkerley received a B.S. in Economics from the London School of Economics (1984) and a Master's degree in Air Transportation Economics from the Cranfield Institute of Technology (1985). Mr. Dunkerley serves as a member of the Executive Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Dunkerley's day-to-day leadership of the Company in his role as Chief Executive Officer allows him to contribute to the Board of Directors a deep understanding of the Company's operations and of the challenges and opportunities facing our business.

Gregory S. Anderson. Mr. Anderson was originally appointed as a member of our Board of Directors in August 2002. Mr. Anderson is currently Chief Executive Officer of Legacy Senior Housing and Development Company, a developer and owner of senior residential facilities. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Anderson had been Chief Executive Officer and President of Bank of Arizona, N.A., a commercial bank located in Phoenix, Arizona. From 1998 to 2002, he was Chief Executive Officer and President of Quality Care Solutions Inc., an Arizona corporation that is a leading provider of healthcare payer software solutions. From 1985 to 1998, Mr. Anderson was general manager of El Dorado Investment Company, Arizona's then largest venture capital company. Mr. Anderson serves on numerous boards of both public and private companies. From 2001 until 2013, Mr. Anderson was Lead Independent Director, member of the Executive Committee, Chairman of the Compliance Committee and member of the Audit Committee of Sun Healthcare Group, Inc. (NYSE). The company was taken private in 2013. From 1994 to 2009, he was a director of Bank of Arizona, N.A. Mr. Anderson has a B.S. in Finance from Arizona State University (1979) and has been certified by the Center for Executive Development at Stanford University School of Business. Mr. Anderson serves as Chair of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Anderson's experience as the Chief Executive Officer of numerous companies gives him insight into the challenges facing our management, his finance background and expertise allow him to provide effective leadership to our Audit Committee, and his experience as a member of a number of public and private company Boards of Directors makes him a valuable member of our Board of Directors and our Governance and Nominating Committee.

Zac S. Hirzel. Mr. Hirzel has been a member of our Board of Directors since February 2014. He is the President and Managing Member of Hirzel Capital Management, a private investment firm which he founded in June 2008. Mr. Hirzel serves on the Advisory Board of the Alternative Asset Management Center at Southern Methodist University Cox School of Business. He holds a Chartered Financial Analyst designation and is a member of the CFA Institute and the Dallas Society of Financial Analysts. He holds a B.B.A. from the University of Oklahoma (1999) and an M.B.A. from Southern Methodist University (2004). Mr. Hirzel serves as a member of the Audit Committee. His regular work with management teams through his role as an active investor, coupled with his financial experience and acumen, makes him a valuable member of our Board of Directors and Audit Committee.

Randall L. Jenson. Mr. Jenson has been a member of our Board of Directors since July 2004. Mr. Jenson was appointed as our Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary in June 2004. He resigned as Secretary effective as of July 2005 and as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer as of November 2005. In July 2011 he was appointed and currently serves as President and Chief Financial Officer of Berkadia, a company engaged in the origination and servicing of commercial mortgages which is a joint venture of Berkshire Hathaway and Leucadia National Corporation. He is co-founder and currently serves as President of Ranch Capital, LLC, which was formed in 2002 to pursue investments in undervalued or distressed assets or companies. From May 1997 to October 2002, he served in various capacities in or at the direction of Leucadia National Corporation. From August 1999 to April 2002, Mr. Jenson served as the President and Chief Executive Officer of American Investment Bank N.A., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Leucadia National Corporation. He served as a director of the bank from August 1998 to April 2002. Mr. Jenson received a B.A. in Accounting from the University of Utah (1991), and has an M.B.A. from the Harvard University Graduate School of Business Administration (1997). Mr. Jenson serves as a member of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Jenson's familiarity with our business from his prior service as our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, allows him to contribute to the Board of Directors a valuable perspective on the financial operations of our business.

Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr. Mr. Kobayashi has been a member of our Board of Directors since December 2004. Mr. Kobayashi is senior partner of the law firm of Kobayashi Sugita & Goda in Honolulu, Hawaii. He currently is a director of First Hawaiian Bank (1974 to present) where he serves on the Executive Committee, BancWest Corporation (1991 to present) where he serves on the Audit Committee, and Friends of Hawaii Charities, Inc. (2010 to present) where he serves on the Executive Committee, and 'Ahahui Koa Anuenu (July 2002 to June 2009 and July 2010 to present), the non-profit fundraising partner of the University of Hawai'i at Manoa Athletics Department. Mr. Kobayashi also was a member of the Board of Directors of Western Airlines (from 1976 to 1986, when it was sold to Delta Air Lines) and on the Board of Directors of Schuler Homes (from 1992 to 2001, when it merged with Western Pacific). He formerly sat as Chairman of the State of Hawaii Judicial Selection Commission. Mr. Kobayashi has a J.D. from the University of California, Hastings College of Law (1965) and a B.A. from Gettysburg College (1962). Mr. Kobayashi serves as a member of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee, and as Chair of the Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Kobayashi's legal expertise as a senior partner at the law firm of Kobayashi Sugita & Goda, his membership on the Board of Directors of First Hawaiian Bank and the Board of Directors and Audit Committee of BancWest Corporation, and his extensive experience in the airline industry, makes him an experienced and skilled advisor to our Board of Directors, Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Governance and Nominating Committee.

Tomoyuki Moriizumi. Mr. Moriizumi has been a member of our Board of Directors since May 2012. Mr. Moriizumi currently serves as a director of Kadokawa Corporation (2011 to present), a diversified media and entertainment conglomerate in Japan with operations in publishing, film and interactive media. From March 2011 to March 2012, Mr. Moriizumi served as an advisor to Japanese trading company Sumitomo Corporation in the media, network and lifestyle retail business unit. From 2003 through 2011, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Jupiter Telecommunications Co. Ltd., a cable system operator in Japan. From 2000 through 2003, Mr. Moriizumi served as President and Chief Executive Officer of Jupiter Programming Co., Ltd., a multiple television channel operator in

Japan. From 1996 through 2000, he served as Founding President of Jupiter Shop Channel Co., Ltd., a Japanese television shopping company. Prior to entering the telecommunications industry, Mr. Moriizumi served as Senior Vice President (from 1993 to 1996) and chairman (from 1995 to 1996) of Phoenixcor Inc., a provider of financial services to middle market leasing and bio/medical ventures. Mr. Moriizumi holds a B.A. in languages from Sophia University, Tokyo (1970). Mr. Moriizumi serves as a member of the Governance and Nominating Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Moriizumi's extensive experience as a senior executive achieving significant business growth, experience serving on various boards of directors for both public and U.S.- Japanese joint venture companies, knowledge of the Japanese media and entertainment industry and adept reading of consumer tastes and trends in Japan make him an experienced advisor to our Board of Directors and Governance and Nominating Committee and allow him to provide valuable insight on Japanese consumer needs.



Crystal K. Rose. Ms. Rose has been a member of our Board of Directors since June 2006. Ms. Rose, an attorney, is a partner with Bays Lung Rose & Holma (1986 through present). Ms. Rose is currently the Chair of the board of directors of each of Central Pacific Financial Corp. (February 2005 through present) and Central Pacific Bank (August 2004 through present), and a current member of the audit, compensation and governance committees of each. From 2004 to 2006, Ms. Rose was a director of Hawaiian Electric Light Co, Ltd. Ms. Rose also serves on several civic boards. Ms. Rose has a J.D. from the University of California, Hastings College of Law (1982) and a B.S. from Willamette University (1979). Ms. Rose serves as Chair of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors and as a member of the Governance and Nominating Committee and the Executive Committee. Ms. Rose's legal experience, as a partner with Bays Lung Rose & Holma, as well as her experience as a director and Chair of each of Central Pacific Financial Corp. and Central Pacific Bank, allow her to provide valuable insight and leadership in her positions as Chair of our Compensation Committee and member of our Governance and Nominating Committee and Executive Committee.

Richard N. Zwern. Mr. Zwern has been a member of our Board of Directors since August 2011. Mr. Zwern is Worldwide Director—Executive Development at WPP, the world's largest communications and marketing services group. Mr. Zwern has spent most of his professional career at Hill & Knowlton, the New York-based public relations and public affairs consulting firm. Mr. Zwern joined Honolulu-based Communications-Pacific in 1980, acquired the firm with a partner in 1983, and served as president. He led the firm for five more years following its 1989 acquisition by Hill & Knowlton and served as Chief Executive. Mr. Zwern is a graduate of the University of Southern California and holds an M.B.A. from the University of Hawaii. He serves on the Board of Directors and is a member of the Executive Committee of the Hawaiian Humane Society. He also acts as a strategic advisor to Ho'okele Health Innovations, LLC, a Hawaii based healthcare coordination and technology company. Mr. Zwern serves as a member of the Audit Committee and Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors. Mr. Zwern's deep experience advising companies on corporate public image, crisis management and public relations allows him to provide valuable perspective on these aspects of our business to the Board of Directors.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO ELECT THE NINE DIRECTORS THAT HAVE BEEN NOMINATED FOR ELECTION TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS.**

#### Special Preferred Stock Designees

Samson Poomaihealani. Mr. Poomaihealani has been a member of our Board of Directors since May 2010. He previously served on the board of HAL, Inc., predecessor of Hawaiian Holdings, Inc., from 1990 to 2004. He was the chief contract negotiator and Assistant General Chairman of the Airline Machinists District 141 of the IAM from 1987 through 2001 and was an Executive Board member of the IAM District Lodge 141 from 1985 to 2001. He also served as President and Executive Board member of the IAM Local Lodge 1979 from 1985 to 1988, and as the IAM Grand Lodge Representative Transportation Territory from 2001 to 2009. Mr. Poomaihealani is the IAM's designee to the Board of Directors. See "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management—Special Preferred Stock."

William S. Swelbar. Mr. Swelbar has been a member of our Board of Directors since November 2005. Currently, Mr. Swelbar is a Research Engineer with the Massachusetts Institute of Technology's International Center for Air Transportation as well as Executive Vice President of InterVISTAS Consulting LLC. Mr. Swelbar has enjoyed a 30 year consulting career specializing in distressed labor negotiations and regulatory issues governing air transport. Mr. Swelbar received a B.S. from Eastern Michigan University (1982) and has an M.B.A. from The George Washington University (1988). Mr. Swelbar is the AFA's designee to the Board of Directors. See "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management—Special Preferred Stock."

Brian E. Boyer. Mr. Boyer has been a member of our Board of Directors since November 2010 and will resign from the Board of Directors immediately prior to the Annual Meeting. He is Chairman of the Board of Planes of Fame Air Museum (August 2008 to present), where he also served as Interim General Manager (May 2010 through December 2012). From 1999 to September 2008, Mr. Boyer served as a management consultant to Planes of Fame Air Museum, Cerritos College, Advanced Metal Forming Technologies and Paramount Saw Corporation. From 1993 to 1998, he worked with Northrop Grumman Corp., holding positions of Vice President of Aircraft Product Support, President of Northrop Grumman Field Support Services, Inc. and President of Northrop International Aircraft, Inc. From 1986 to 1993, Mr. Boyer held various executive assignments in Northrop Corporation's Aircraft Division, including responsibility for Manufacturing Operations, Materiel, Long Range and Operational Master Planning, and Program Management. He previously held various technical and management assignments in engineering and systems development in the Aircraft Division, having started with Northrop in 1969. Mr. Boyer has previously served on the board of directors for Vought Aircraft Corporation, Northrop World Wide Aircraft Services, Inc., Northrop International Aircraft, Inc., and Northrop Grumman Field Support Services, Inc. He graduated from the Harvard Business School's Advanced Management Program (1993) and holds a B.S. in Aircraft Maintenance Engineering from Northrop Institute of Technology (1969). He also earned an Operations Management Certificate from the University of California, Los Angeles (1977), and is a graduate of the Managerial Policy Institute, University of Southern California (1981). Mr. Boyer is the ALPA's designee to the Board of Directors. See "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management—Special Preferred Stock."

## EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table sets forth the names, ages as of April 11, 2014 and all positions and offices with the Company held by the Company's present executive officers.

Name	Age	Position(s)
Mark B. Dunkerley	50	President and Chief Executive Officer of Holdings and Hawaiian
Scott E. Topping	50	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Holdings and Hawaiian
Ron Anderson-Lehman	50	Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Hawaiian
Peter R. Ingram	47	Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer of Hawaiian
Barbara D. Falvey	55	Senior Vice President, Human Resources of Hawaiian
Charles R. Nardello	61	Senior Vice President, Operations of Hawaiian (will retire effective May 12, 2014)
Glenn G. Taniguchi	71	Senior Vice President, Marketing and Sales of Hawaiian (will retire effective April 30, 2014)
Hoyt H. Zia	60	Secretary of Holdings and Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Hawaiian

The following is information with respect to the Company's executive officers who are not also directors of the Company:

Scott E. Topping. Mr. Topping became the Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Holdings and Hawaiian effective as of October 31, 2011. Mr. Topping had worked at Southwest Airlines Co. for 16 years prior to joining the Company, most recently as Vice President Treasurer, a position that he held from 2006 to 2011. Mr. Topping received an M.B.A. from the University of Texas at Austin (1989) and a B.S. in Agriculture from Kansas State University (1985).

Ron Anderson-Lehman. Mr. Anderson-Lehman became the Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of Hawaiian in February 2014. Mr. Anderson-Lehman had served as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of Hawaiian since June 2012. Previously, Mr. Anderson-Lehman worked at Continental Airlines for 10 years prior to joining Hawaiian, most recently as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer, a position that he held from 2006 to 2010. Mr. Anderson-Lehman has over 25 years of experience in the airline and travel technology industry, having started his aviation career with United Airlines in April 1986. Mr. Anderson-Lehman received a B.S. in Computer Science and a minor in Mathematics from Iowa State University (1985).

Peter R. Ingram. Mr. Ingram became the Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer of Hawaiian effective as of October 31, 2011. Previously, Mr. Ingram had served as Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of Holdings and Hawaiian since November 16, 2005. Mr. Ingram had worked at AMR

Corporation, the parent company of American Airlines and American Eagle Airlines, for 11 years prior to joining the Company. From 2002 to 2005, he served as Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer for American Eagle Airlines. Prior to that, he spent eight years in finance-related management positions for American Airlines. Mr. Ingram received a B.A. in Business Administration from the University of Western Ontario (1988) and has an M.B.A. from Duke University (1994).

Barbara D. Falvey. Ms. Falvey became Hawaiian's Senior Vice President—Human Resources in July 2005. From March 2003 to June 2005, Ms. Falvey served as Vice President of Ameristar Casinos where she was responsible for corporate human resources. Prior to that, Ms. Falvey spent three years as Senior Vice President of Human Resources for Aladdin Gaming, LLC and 15 years in executive leadership positions in human resources, both at the corporate and property levels, for Caesars World, Inc. Ms. Falvey received a B.A. in English from the University of California, Los Angeles (1983) and an M.S. in Organization Development from Pepperdine University (2003).

Charles R. Nardello. Mr. Nardello became Senior Vice President—Operations of Hawaiian in October 2007. He joined Hawaiian in February 2004 and most recently served as Vice President of Maintenance and Engineering, a position he held from February 2004 to October 2007. Mr. Nardello has more than 30 years of diversified aviation management experience, including 23 years at US Airways. In addition, he is a 22-year U.S. Air Force veteran having served as an active duty member for six years, followed by 16 years in the active reserves with the Pennsylvania Air National Guard before retiring in 1996. His military record is distinguished by service in both Operation Desert Shield and Operation Desert Storm. Mr. Nardello holds a B.A. in Political Science from the University of Connecticut (1974) and an M.S. in Systems Management from the University of Southern California (1978). Mr. Nardello has announced that he will retire from employment with Hawaiian effective May 12, 2014.

Glenn G. Taniguchi. Mr. Taniguchi became Senior Vice President—Marketing and Sales of Hawaiian in November 2006. He started his career at Hawaiian in 1966. He has served in a variety of roles during his 30-plus years at Hawaiian, most recently as Vice President of Schedule Planning, the position he held from 1995 to 2006. In his current position, Mr. Taniguchi is responsible for overseeing Hawaiian’s schedule planning and sales activities, including flight scheduling, pricing, reservations and field sales for passenger operations. Mr. Taniguchi has a B.A. in Business Administration from the University of Hawaii (1966). Mr. Taniguchi has announced that he will retire from employment with Hawaiian effective April 30, 2014.

Hoyt H. Zia. Mr. Zia became Holdings’ Secretary and Hawaiian’s Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary effective on February 8, 2007. From March 2004 to February 2007, Mr. Zia worked for PacificBasin Communications, LLC as publisher for Hawaii Business magazine. Prior to that, Mr. Zia spent three and a half years as Executive Director of the Pacific Telecommunications Council, an international, non-profit, non-governmental membership telecommunications organization, and over 18 years practicing law as in-house counsel with corporations like Amfac/JMB Hawaii, Inc. and Motorola, Inc., in government as Chief Counsel for Export Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, and in private practice. Mr. Zia received a B.A. in East Asian Studies from Dartmouth College (1975) and a J.D. from the UCLA School of Law (1981), and he served as a U.S. Marine Corps officer from 1975 to 1978.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

#### Introduction

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis section discusses our executive compensation policies and programs and the compensation decisions made in 2013 for our named executive officers who are generally defined under the SEC’s proxy rules as a company’s chief executive officer, chief financial officer and the other three most highly compensated employees who were serving as executive officers at year-end. For 2013, our named executive officers were:

- Mark Dunkerley, President and Chief Executive Officer;
- Scott Topping, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer;
- Ron Anderson-Lehman, Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer;
- Peter Ingram, Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer; and
- Charles R. Nardello, Senior Vice President, Operations.

The Compensation Committee administers the compensation policies and programs for our senior executives, as well as our equity-based incentive compensation plans and rewards strategies for all employees.

#### Executive Summary and Pay for Performance

The cornerstone of our executive compensation program is pay for performance. Accordingly, while we pay competitive base salaries and other benefits, the majority of our named executive officers’ compensation opportunity is based on variable pay.

We operate in a highly competitive industry and recognize that effective compensation strategies are critical in attracting and retaining key employees and maximizing stockholder value creation. The primary objectives of our compensation program, including our executive compensation program, are to attract, retain and motivate the best people available in order to help the Company achieve its long-term goals and objectives. Our executive compensation program is designed to reward achieving specific goals set for the Company as well as those set for individual executives. We reward executive performance which meets or surpasses these established goals in order to align the interests of our executives with the long term interests of our stockholders. We also seek to ensure that total compensation provided to our key executives remains competitive relative to the compensation paid to similarly situated executives in the overall market and among our peer companies adjusting for the cost of living and the challenges of attracting individuals and their families, often from a considerable distance. As used herein, the phrase “our executives” refers to the executive officers of Hawaiian and the Company.

### Corporate Governance Best Practices

Our Compensation Committee, assisted by its independent compensation consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., stays informed of developing executive compensation best practices and strives to implement them. In this regard, the following improvements to the Company's executive compensation program have been made since 2010:

- For our CEO, effective with his new November 2012 employment agreement, moving to annual consideration of equity grants instead of an up-front larger equity grant intended to cover multiple years as in his prior employment agreements.
- Consistent with the direction of the stockholder vote in 2011 and consistent with management's recommendation to our stockholders, adopting an annual Say on Pay advisory vote, commencing in 2011 and continuing in this 2014 proxy statement.
- Capping our annual incentive compensation payout at 200% of the target award opportunity for any individual participant, commencing in 2011 (the CEO's annual incentive is capped at 200% of base salary). This is in addition to the overall aggregate payout cap of 133% of the amount allocated to the incentive pool.
- Establishing stock ownership and share retention guidelines for executive officers and our non-employee directors in 2011.
- Eliminating the golden parachute excise tax gross-up for our president and chief executive officer, Mr. Dunkerley, effective as of May 25, 2011.
- Moving from single-trigger equity compensation vesting on a change in control to double-trigger vesting (triggered upon certain terminations of employment following a change in control) for equity grants made to Mr. Dunkerley in 2010, and for the rest of our named executive officers in 2011.
- Making 100% of Mr. Dunkerley's 2012 and 2013 equity awards subject to performance-based vesting, in a manner intended to qualify for deductibility under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).
- Making a substantial portion of other executive officers' 2012, 2013 and 2014 equity awards subject to performance-based vesting, in a manner intended to qualify for deductibility under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).
- Engaging Frederic W. Cook & Co. to assist the Compensation Committee with its evaluation the potential risks of our compensation programs and policies, including the programs and policies for non-executive officers, and whether such programs and policies could potentially create risks that could have a material adverse effect on the Company.
- Implementing a clawback policy to recover certain compensation in the event of certain financial restatements.

### Compensation Advisers

The Compensation Committee has engaged the independent compensation consulting firm of Frederic W. Cook & Co. to assist it in executive compensation matters. In 2013, Frederic W. Cook & Co. served only the Compensation Committee and provided no services to the Company other than the services it provides to the Compensation Committee.

### Compensation Committee Adviser Independence

The Compensation Committee has considered the independence of Frederic W. Cook & Co. pursuant to NASDAQ and SEC rules and has found no conflict of interest in Frederic W. Cook & Co.'s continuing to provide advice to the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee is also regularly advised by the Company's primary corporate outside legal counsel, Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati, P.C. ("WSGR"). The Compensation Committee has considered the independence of WSGR pursuant to NASDAQ and SEC rules and has found no conflict of interest in WSGR's continuing to provide advice to the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee intends to reassess the independence of its advisers at least annually.

#### Elements of Compensation

The Compensation Committee works closely with its independent compensation consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co. and management to design a pay-for-performance oriented executive compensation program to assist us in attracting and retaining outstanding executives and senior management personnel. The design and implementation of such program continually evolves as we grow, but is based primarily on two elements: (i) providing compensation opportunities that are competitive with organizations with which we compete for executive talent; and (ii) linking executives' compensation with our financial, operating and competitive performance. Our compensation program is designed to reward both individual and corporate performance and to create incentives to achieve superior operating performance in the current year and also for the long-term benefit of our business so as to align the interests of management with the long term interests of our stockholders.



The principal components of our executive compensation program (each discussed more fully below) are:

- annual base salary,
- short-term incentive compensation in the form of performance incentive payments payable in cash and/or stock units each year,
- long-term incentive compensation primarily in the form of equity-based performance awards,
  - severance and change in control benefits,
  - personal benefits or perquisites, and
  - general benefits.

#### Determining Amount of Each Element of Compensation

In determining the terms of employment agreements with our named executive officers and the amount of any incentive, equity based or other additional compensation, the Compensation Committee reviews publicly available information regarding other companies with which we compete and other publicly-traded corporations located in Honolulu, Hawaii; assesses our overall financial condition and the financial condition of the airline industry in general; consults, when appropriate, with its independent compensation consultant and with outside executive compensation counsel; and, for compensation payable to other named executive officers, consults with our Chief Executive Officer. Additional factors that may impact compensation decisions include the high cost of living in our headquarters city and the relocation distance that an executive officer may be asked to move his/her family. Although some elements of a particular named executive officer's compensation may vary due to specific circumstances of such named executive officers, the Compensation Committee strives to set a total compensation package informed by the processes described above.

In November 2012, the Compensation Committee reviewed a benchmarking study conducted by Frederic W. Cook & Co., the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant. The Compensation Committee reviewed and considered the information provided in this study when it established 2013 compensation levels for the named executive officers. When setting 2013 compensation levels, the Compensation Committee considered data from (1) a "main" peer group of 11 similarly sized airlines and related companies in the transportation industry, (2) general industry survey data derived from companies with annual revenues between \$1 billion and \$3 billion; and (3) cost of living differentials.

The following 11 companies made up the "main" peer group: Air Transport Group, Alaska Air Group, Inc., Allegiant Travel Company, Atlas Air Worldwide, Hub Group, JetBlue Airways Corporation, Pacer, Pinnacle Airlines, Republic Airways Holdings, Inc., SkyWest, Inc. and Spirit Airlines.

The Compensation Committee also reviewed data from a peer group of five major independent airlines—AMR Corporation, Delta Airlines, Inc., Southwest Airlines Co., United Continental Holdings and US Airways Group, Inc.—not for compensation data but for benchmarking pay practices and company performance. These airlines are substantially larger than the Company, so the Compensation Committee did not use this group of companies to benchmark named executive officer pay.

Finally, the Compensation Committee monitored executive compensation practices and pay levels at three local publicly-traded Hawaiian companies—Alexander and Baldwin, Bank of Hawaii and Hawaiian Electric Industries. The

Compensation Committee uses this group to monitor local compensation trends but does not use this group to benchmark named executive officer compensation levels.

#### Annual Base Salary

Base salary levels for our named executive officers are designed to be competitive in the marketplace for executives of comparable talent and experience, are based on each named executive officer's responsibility and are subject to increase based upon individual and Company performance. The base salary of each named executive officer for each of 2012 and 2013 is set out below. Any increases in salary were made in the judgment of the Committee, with input from the CEO about individuals other than himself, and were not a result of benchmarking to a particular level of compensation.

Named Executive Officer	2012 Base Salary	2013 Base Salary
Mark B. Dunkerley, President and Chief Executive Officer	\$625,000	\$625,000
Scott Topping, Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	\$330,000	\$330,000
Ron Anderson-Lehman, Senior Vice Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer	\$330,000	\$350,000
Peter Ingram, Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer	\$400,000	\$415,000
Charles R. Nardello, Senior Vice President, Operations	\$320,000	\$330,000

### Short-Term Incentive Compensation

Short-term incentive compensation is based on an annual “balanced scorecard” performance incentive plan. Annual performance incentives are awarded under our 2006 Management Incentive Plan (the “2006 Incentive Plan”), which was initially approved by our stockholders at our May 31, 2006 stockholders meeting and was reapproved by our stockholders on May 31, 2011. The 2006 Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee has the authority to select the executives to participate in the 2006 Incentive Plan (after considering the recommendations of the Chief Executive Officer), to establish the length of the annual and long-term performance periods, to establish the performance goals and to determine the amounts of incentive compensation payable to any participant, to provide for payment of incentives in cash, in stock or in units, and to make all determinations and take all other actions necessary or appropriate for proper administration and operation of the 2006 Incentive Plan.

### 2013 Annual Incentive Compensation

The Company’s Compensation Committee, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President—Human Resources, with advice from the Compensation Committee’s compensation consultant Frederic W. Cook & Co., collaborated in determining the financial and non-financial performance criteria to be used for the Company’s 2013 balanced scorecard incentive compensation plan.

In 2013, the achievement of financial and non-financial corporate performance goals was weighted 55% for the corporate financial goals and 45% for the corporate non-financial performance goals. Attainment of corporate and individual performance goals at 100% of the target level would result in payment of the named executive officers’ bonus at the target level if the incentive compensation pool was funded at 100% (as described more fully below) based on the Company’s earnings before interest, taxes, amortization, depreciation and rent (EBITDAR); payment would be prorated for achievement above or below the target levels, with the maximum payout determined by the amount available from the Company’s bonus pool.

In 2013, Mr. Dunkerley’s individual performance was weighted at 20% and corporate performance was weighted at 80%, Messrs. Topping’s and Ingram’s individual performances were weighted at 25% and corporate performances were weighted at 75%, and Messrs. Anderson-Lehman’s and Nardello’s individual performances were weighted at 40% and corporate performances were weighted at 60%. Mr. Dunkerley’s maximum bonus for 2013 was limited by the terms of his employment agreement to no more than 200% of his annual base salary. Moreover, in 2013 the Compensation Committee limited the maximum bonus for 2013 for all other participants to 200% of target bonus and limited the maximum aggregate bonus pool payout to 133% of the EBITDAR funded incentive pool. The Compensation Committee imposed these caps after considering market data, the advice of its independent compensation consultant, and corporate governance best practices.

The Compensation Committee approved a 2013 incentive payment pool dependent on achieving certain EBITDAR (a non-GAAP financial measure) milestones. The incentive pool applies to all annual incentives for all Company employees participating in the incentive compensation program, not just the named executive officers. The Company's EBITDAR can be calculated by adding the Company's interest expense, depreciation and amortization expense and aircraft rent expense to its income before income taxes, each as reported in the financial statements to the Company's 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, filed on February 10, 2014, and excluding any payments to be made under the 2013 incentive payment pool. If the minimum EBITDAR threshold established by the Compensation Committee was not achieved, the bonus pool would not be funded and no bonuses would be payable to any of the employees, including our named executive officers, participating in the incentive compensation program. For 2013, for the Company's incentive pool to be funded, the Company's EBITDAR had to exceed a minimum threshold established by the Compensation Committee of \$265 million. For each increment (or portion thereof) of \$5 million of EBITDAR obtained in excess of this threshold, an amount would be allocated to the incentive pool based on a scale determined by the Compensation Committee when the plan was adopted. For example, \$900,000 would be allocated to the incentive pool if the Company achieved EBITDAR of \$270 million. Based on the Company's attained EBITDAR of \$332 million for 2013, the Company's incentive pool was allocated \$2.4 million.

The corporate financial criteria and the 2013 targets established by the Compensation Committee are described in the table below. Each of these financial performance goals is weighted as shown below. For purposes of the measures that compare the Company's performance with that of its peers, the Company's peer group consists of Alaska Air Group, Inc., Allegiant Travel Company, AMR Corporation, Delta Airlines, Inc., JetBlue Airways Corporation, Southwest Airlines Co., United Continental Holdings and US Airways Group, Inc. This peer group was chosen because it includes the Company's primary domestic competitors and also represents the industry participants against which the Company's performance is typically benchmarked by investors.

## Corporate Financial Performance Goals

Category	Measure	Target	Category Weight	Result
Financial Performance			55%	
EBITDAR Margin	Comparative ranking with selected carriers	4th - 6th	25%	6th
Revenue per Available Seat Mile (RASM)	Absolute	98% - 102% of target	25%	95.2% of target
Cost per Available Seat Mile—Fuel Adjusted (CASM)	Absolute	99% - 101% of target	25%	98.6% of target
Return on Invested Capital	Percentage	8% - 9%	25%	7.7%

The corporate non-financial performance criteria and the 2013 targets established by the Compensation Committee are divided into three categories described in the table below, Customer Satisfaction, Confidence Among Stakeholders and Operational Excellence. To determine a named executive officer's incentive compensation attributable to the 45% weighted corporate performance score for non-financial performance, the goals are weighted as shown below.

## Corporate Non-Financial Performance Goals

Category	Measure	Target	Category Weight	Result
Customer Satisfaction			15%	
Travel and Leisure Magazine (TL) and Conde Nast (CN) Surveys	Published ranking with other carriers serving Hawaii	2nd	50%	#1 to Hawaii, #3 nationally (in both TL and CN Surveys)
Airline Quality Rankings Survey	Comparative ranking with other carriers	4th - 7th	50%	4th
Confidence Among Stakeholders			15%	
Employee Survey		7.5 - 8.5	11%	8.2

Edgar Filing: HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS INC - Form DEF 14A

	Survey scores on questions regarding employee satisfaction (10 point scale)			
Total Hours of Lost Time as a Percent (%) of Paid Hours	Annual lost time hours as a result of occupational injury as a Percent (%) of Paid Hours	1.71% - 1.79%	11%	1.52%
Total Sick Pay	Percentage of Total Payroll	3.61% - 3.8%	11%	3.53%
Overhead	Percentage of Revenue	12.5% - 14%	33%	12.4%
Number of Regulatory Infractions and A4A Reported Damage	A4A standard measure, reportable mishaps plus regulatory fines (annual number)	31 - 37	17%	54
Number of Sarbanes-Oxley Compliance Infractions	Number and type of issue	No Material Weakness	17%	No Material Weakness
Operational Excellence			15%	
Operational Performance Score	Overall numerical score (annual average of monthly scores)	6.3 - 6.6	50%	6.33
On-time Arrivals (DOT Survey)	Published comparative ranking with other carriers	2nd - 3rd	16.67%	1st
Baggage Handling (Baggage Irregularity Reports, DOT Survey)	Published comparative ranking with other carriers	3rd	16.67%	5th
Cancellations (DOT Survey)	Published comparative ranking with other carriers	3rd	16.67%	1st

For 2013, the Compensation Committee measured the individual performance of our Chief Executive Officer based on its review of Mr. Dunkerley's performance relative to the Company's strategic objectives and the Company's overall performance. The Chief Executive Officer established the criteria upon which to measure the individual performance of each of the other named executive officers. Individual objectives for each of the named executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Topping reflect each named executive officer's departmental and corporate responsibilities. Mr. Ingram's individual performance objectives were related to the Company's revenue performance compared to goals. Mr. Anderson-Lehman's individual performance objectives were related to the Company's information technology and facilities performance compared to goals. Mr. Nardello's individual performance objectives were related to the Company's operational performance compared to goals.

Regarding individual performance, our Chief Executive Officer met with and evaluated each other named executive officer's performance on two separate occasions during 2013 and recommended overall individual performance scores to the Board for approval based on his assessment of each named executive officer's performance relative to his or her individual objectives. The score for Mr. Dunkerley was based on the Compensation Committee's assessment of Mr. Dunkerley's overall performance, taking into account the Company's performance relative to its peers.

Based on their individual performance scores, the Company's performance relative to the financial and non-financial corporate performance goals and the funding of the Company's incentive pool as a result of the Company's attained EBITDAR, each named executive officer received the amount reported under the heading "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" in the Summary Compensation Table below. The Compensation Committee believes that the annual incentives paid to its named executive officers for fiscal year 2013 are appropriate in light of the Company's and each individual's performance in 2013.

#### Long-Term Incentive Compensation

The Compensation Committee believes that granting equity-based awards creates an incentive to promote our long-term interests and generally aligns the potential economic benefit to our executives from those awards with the interests of our stockholders. Equity-based awards are granted by the Compensation Committee to our named executive officers after considering the recommendations of our Chief Executive Officer (except with respect to his own awards).

#### 2013 Equity Compensation

For fiscal 2013, the Compensation Committee granted a combination of time-based and performance-based restricted stock units ("RSUs") to all executives, except that the awards granted to our Chief Executive Officer were 100% performance-based. The Compensation Committee determined that both the performance-based and time-based RSU grants provide a retention tool in that the time-based RSUs vest in three equal annual installments on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date, subject to the employee's continued service, and the performance-based RSUs are subject to both a continued service requirement and financial performance criteria, allowing for the performance-based award to range between 0% and 200% of the target award opportunity. The vesting of these RSUs are subject to double-trigger accelerated vesting in the event of certain terminations of employment following a change in control.

In February 2013, the Compensation Committee, following consultation with Frederic W. Cook & Co., granted RSUs to Mr. Dunkerley, Mr. Topping, Mr. Anderson-Lehman, Mr. Ingram and Mr. Nardello as part of the Company's annual equity grant practice (described more fully under the heading "Determination of Equity-Based Awards Grant Dates," below). Mr. Dunkerley was granted 281,222 RSUs (discussed fully in the following paragraph), Mr. Topping was granted 56,244 RSUs, Mr. Anderson-Lehman was granted 48,210 RSUs, Mr. Ingram was granted 64,280 RSUs and Mr. Nardello was granted 40,174 RSUs. For the other named executive officers, fifty percent of the RSUs granted

were subject to time-based vesting and fifty percent were performance-based. The maximum number of the performance-based RSUs that can be earned is 200% of the covered shares. Accordingly, the maximum number of shares that may potentially be earned subject to the RSUs addressed above, other than for our Chief Executive Officer is 84,366 shares for Mr. Topping, 72,315 shares for Mr. Anderson-Lehman, 96,420 shares for Mr. Ingram and 60,261 shares for Mr. Nardello. The time-based RSUs described above vest as to 1/3 on each of the first three anniversaries of the grant date, subject to continued employment by the Company.



Mr. Dunkerley's 2013 restricted stock unit award was comprised of two separate performance-based awards. One was a restricted stock unit award covering 160,698 shares of Company common stock (the "First Award"). The second restricted stock unit award covered a target of 80,349 shares, up to a maximum of 150% of such target number (the "Second Award"). The First Award becomes eligible to vest if the Company achieves pre-tax net profits, determined in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, of at least \$1,000,000 over any two consecutive Company fiscal quarters that begin after the grant date and prior to January 1, 2017 (the "Performance Goal"). Upon achievement of the Performance Goal, the Shares covering the First Award will vest as to 1/3 on February 7, 2014, as to 1/3 on February 7, 2015 and as to the remaining 1/3 on February 7, 2016, subject to Mr. Dunkerley's continued employment with the Company through each relevant date. If the Performance Goal has not been achieved on a potential vesting date, the portion of the First Award that otherwise could have vested on such vesting date will remain eligible to vest and will vest, if the Performance Goal is deemed achieved no later than January 1, 2017, as of the date of determination of achievement.

The Second Award becomes eligible to vest (1) if the Company achieves the Performance Goal prior to the Committee's first regularly scheduled meeting after February 7, 2016 (but no more than sixty days following such date), and (2) based on the Company's relative total stockholder return, which is determined by the Company's 30-trading day trailing volume-weighted average stock price on February 7, 2016, divided by the price as determined on February 7, 2013 (the "TSR" and such three-year period, the "Performance Period"), in relation to the TSR of certain other companies. The Company's TSR will be ranked in comparison to the TSRs of: Allegiant Travel Company, Delta Airlines, Inc., United Continental Holdings, Republic Airways Holdings, Inc., US Airways Group, Inc., Southwest Airlines Co., Alaska Air Group, Inc., JetBlue Airways Corporation, SkyWest, Inc. and Spirit Airlines, Inc. (the "Carriers"). The eligibility for vesting is described in the following table:

TSR Rank (including a tie)	Percentage of Target Shares Eligible to Vest
1st	150%
2nd	130%
3rd	120%
4th	100%
5th	100%
6th	100%
7th	70%
8th	40%
9th	0%

If Shares covering the Second Award become eligible to vest, then Mr. Dunkerley must have remained an employee through February 7, 2014, in order for 1/3 of such Shares to vest, remain an employee through February 7, 2015 in order for an additional 1/3 of such Shares to vest and remain an employee through February 7, 2016 in order for the remaining 1/3 of such Shares to vest.

In the event of certain changes in control of the Company that occur prior to January 1, 2017 but during Mr. Dunkerley's employment or within 29 days of his employment termination if he is terminated without "cause" or he resigns for "good reason" (as such terms are defined in his employment agreement), the Performance Goal automatically will be deemed satisfied, the Performance Period for the measurement of all TSRs will end upon the date of the

change in control, and the Committee will determine the portion of the Second Award, if any, that may become eligible to vest, as measured based on the shortened Performance Period. Shares covering the First Award and Second Award (the “Awards”) will remain subject to their respective service-based vesting requirements following such change in control, except that to the extent the successor entity does not assume or substitute for such Awards, the service-based vesting requirements will lapse in full and such Shares covering the Awards that are deemed to have satisfied the performance-based vesting requirements will vest upon the change in control.

Mr. Dunkerley may also be entitled to certain vesting acceleration of the First Award and Second Award on certain terminations of employment outside of a change of control, as described below under the heading “Mr. Dunkerley’s Severance and Change in Control Benefits.”

For our other named executive officers, the performance-based RSUs vest, to the extent the performance metric has been achieved, on the third anniversary of the grant date, subject to the Compensation Committee’s prior written certification as to the extent to which the performance metric has been achieved, with the vested RSUs being delivered to such executive on the vesting date, subject to any delay required to avoid the imposition of additional taxes. In the event of termination of employment in connection with a change in control, all of an executive’s RSUs become fully vested (and with respect to the performance-based RSUs at the target, not the maximum, level if the performance period has not yet ended) subject to such executive’s execution of a release of claims and will be delivered to such executive on the 29th day following such executive’s employment termination date or such later date as is required to avoid the imposition of additional taxes. Any unpaid RSUs are forfeited automatically if the named executive officer is terminated for cause or voluntarily terminates his employment.

#### Long-Term Disability Benefits and Life Insurance

In addition to the general benefits available to all employees (described below), the Company maintains a long-term disability plan for its executives, including the named executive officers. Pursuant to the Company’s executive long-term disability plan, the Company’s named executive officers are entitled to a disability benefit of up to 60% of base salary, capped at \$11,000 per month, for non-occupational injury or illness up until such executive reaches age 65 or for a period of 12 months after such executive reaches age 65. The Company also pays the premiums on a \$300,000 life insurance policy for Mr. Dunkerley.

#### Severance and Change in Control Benefits

The Compensation Committee believes that certain severance and change in control benefits provide a valuable retention tool for its named executive officers. Through severance benefits, the Company seeks to ensure the applicable named executive officer’s commitment to the Company by providing income stability and protection in the event of such named executive officer’s termination of employment in certain situations.

#### Change to Double-Trigger Vesting Acceleration

Through change in control benefits, the Company seeks to provide each named executive officer with an incentive to remain with the Company through a potential period of uncertainty presented by a change in control. Equity awards granted to our named executive officers (other than Mr. Dunkerley) prior to 2011 become fully vested and/or exercisable upon a change in control. In light of emerging corporate governance best practices, the Compensation Committee determined that commencing in 2011, and in 2010 for Mr. Dunkerley, our named executive officer equity awards will accelerate vesting only in the event of certain terminations of employment following a change in control, or a “double-trigger,” and will no longer provide for single-trigger change in control vesting.

#### Mr. Dunkerley’s Severance and Change in Control Benefits

Under his employment agreement, if Mr. Dunkerley’s employment is terminated without “cause” or by Mr. Dunkerley for “good reason” (as such terms are defined in the employment agreement) on or before January 1, 2017, then subject to Mr. Dunkerley entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company (a “Release”), Mr. Dunkerley will receive a lump-sum cash payment equal to three times his annual base salary and three times the average annual bonus he received in the prior 36 months, up to a maximum payment of \$4,000,000. In such event, Mr. Dunkerley also will receive:

- (i) a prorated annual bonus, subject to the attainment of the applicable performance metrics, and with a rating of 1.0 for performance metrics that are not performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m);
- (ii) 100% vesting acceleration of the First Award and any other full-value awards subject to performance-based vesting similar to the First Award as to which the applicable performance metrics already have been satisfied or deemed satisfied;
- (iii) with respect to the First Award and any other full-value awards subject to performance-based vesting similar to the First Award as to which the applicable performance metrics have not yet been satisfied or deemed satisfied, such awards will remain outstanding following Mr. Dunkerley's employment termination until the date that the determination is made regarding the extent of achievement of the applicable performance metric, and will become fully vested to the extent that the applicable performance metric is satisfied by January 1, 2017;

- (iv) 100% vesting acceleration of the Second Award and any other full-value awards subject to performance-based vesting similar to the Second Award as to which the Performance Goal or other similar applicable performance metric has been satisfied as of the date of Mr. Dunkerley's employment termination, that have not yet completed their applicable performance period, but only to the extent such awards satisfy the applicable performance metrics as measured by shortening the applicable performance period to end on the date of Mr. Dunkerley's employment termination;
- (v) with respect to the Second Award and any other full-value awards subject to performance-based vesting similar to the Second Award, that have not yet completed their applicable performance period and as to which the Performance Goal or other similar applicable performance metric is not certified as having been satisfied prior to Mr. Dunkerley's date of employment termination, such awards will remain outstanding until the date that the determination is made regarding the extent of achievement of the Performance Goal or similar applicable performance metric, upon which all or a portion of such awards will become fully vested if the Performance Goal or similar applicable performance metric is satisfied by January 1, 2017, but only to the extent the applicable performance metrics are satisfied as measured by shortening the applicable performance period to end on the date of Mr. Dunkerley's employment termination;
- (vi) in lieu of subsidized health benefits after termination of employment, \$3,000 per month through January 1, 2017;
- (vii) continued life insurance and disability benefits until January 1, 2017;
- (viii) continued travel benefits (as described below) until January 1, 2017 as if he remained an employee through such date;
- (ix) after January 1, 2017, certain benefits for Mr. Dunkerley, his parents, spouse or domestic partner, and his dependents to travel on the Company's commercial flights for the remainder of Mr. Dunkerley's life; and
- (x) reimbursement for up to \$50,000 for certain costs associated with relocating from Hawaii.

Subject to Mr. Dunkerley (or, in the event of his death, his beneficiary) entering into a Release, (a) if Mr. Dunkerley's employment is terminated after January 1, 2017 without cause and not as a result of his death, he will receive the travel benefits described in clause (ix) above, and (b) if Mr. Dunkerley's employment terminates prior to January 1, 2017 due to his death or disability or after January 1, 2017, then he will receive the relocation reimbursements described in clause (x) above. If Mr. Dunkerley's employment terminates due to his death or disability, he will receive: (A) a prorated annual bonus subject to achievement of the applicable performance metrics; (B) the vesting benefits described in clause (iii) above, except that Mr. Dunkerley will be entitled only to the vesting of the portion of each award as to which he has satisfied the service-based vesting requirements; and (C) with respect to the equity awards described in clauses (iv) and (v) above, such awards will remain outstanding and be earned and paid as if Mr. Dunkerley had remained employed for the entire applicable performance period, except that Mr. Dunkerley will be entitled only to the vesting of that portion of each award as to which he has satisfied the service-based vesting requirements.

Pursuant to his employment agreement, Mr. Dunkerley is subject to confidentiality (during and after his employment), non-competition (through January 1, 2017 and 12 months after employment termination), and non-disparagement and non-solicitation provisions (through January 1, 2017 and 24 months after employment termination). If any payment or benefits to Mr. Dunkerley results in any excise tax (or related interest or penalties) under Internal Revenue Code Sections 280G or 4999, such payments and benefits either will be paid in full or reduced to a level such that the excise taxes are not imposed, whichever provides a better after-tax result for Mr. Dunkerley.

Severance Benefits for Mr. Ingram

Pursuant to the employment agreement with Mr. Ingram, if he is terminated other than for cause, and subject to his entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company, he will receive the following severance benefits:

- a lump-sum payment of one year's base salary;
- a lump-sum payment of one year's COBRA premiums for group medical and dental coverage; and
- a pro-rated annual bonus, subject to the attainment of the applicable performance metrics, and with an "on-target" rating for performance metrics that are not performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).

Severance Benefits for Messrs. Topping, Anderson-Lehman and Nardello

Pursuant to the executive severance agreements with Messrs. Topping, Anderson-Lehman and Nardello, if they are terminated other than for "cause" or voluntarily terminate for "good reason" (as defined in their severance agreements), and subject to their entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company, they will receive the following severance benefits:

- a lump-sum payment of one year's base salary;
  - twelve months' payment of \$3,000 per month in lieu of subsidized COBRA or other benefits; and
- a pro-rated annual bonus, subject to the attainment of the applicable performance metrics, and with an "on-target" rating for performance metrics that are not performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).

The amount of benefits payable to each named executive upon termination or a change in control pursuant to the terms of their agreements are reported more fully under the heading "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control," below.

#### Personal Benefits

Each named executive officer and certain members of his or her immediate family and parents are entitled to free travel privileges on the Company's non-chartered flights. The Compensation Committee has provided this benefit after conferring with its independent compensation consultant and determining that this benefit is typical in the airline industry. Named executive officers are also reimbursed for the taxes imposed on the first \$30,000 of incremental cost on non-standby travel on the Company's flights. In providing this benefit, the Compensation Committee determined that similar tax reimbursements are typical in the airline industry and that such a benefit represents an immaterial expense to the Company based on historical use of travel privileges.

Our executive officers receive two additional personal benefits. First, following retirement from the Company after age 40 and at least ten years of service, or after age 55 and at least five years of service, former executives will be eligible for unlimited travel on Company flights. The former executive will be responsible for all taxes on this benefit.

Second, if named executive officers retire following five years of service, the Company will provide them with access-only medical and prescription drug coverage for the former named executive officer and their eligible dependents until the end of the month in which the executive becomes Medicare eligible. The premiums for such coverage are fully payable by the former executives, with a cost significantly higher than for active employees, such that it is expected that this benefit will be approximately cost-neutral to the Company.

#### General Benefits

The Company's named executive officers, like all eligible employees of the Company, are eligible to participate in the Company's health and welfare benefit plans and retirement savings plan (a 401(k) plan). The availability of such plans to the Company's employees generally is essential to attracting and retaining a productive workforce.

#### Allocating Between Long-Term/Short-Term and Cash/Non-Cash Compensation

The Compensation Committee considers various factors in designing a compensation program that provides the appropriate mix of long-term/short-term and cash/non-cash compensation. These factors include the value our executives place on the various forms of compensation; the tax, economic and financial impact associated with providing such compensation; and whether providing such compensation will help us achieve our long-term corporate objectives. This allows us to direct our resources to the incentives that are most likely to retain top executives and motivate desired behaviors—improving the likelihood of enhanced financial performance and stockholder value creation. We award long-term incentive compensation in order to achieve a variety of objectives, including retaining talented executives, aligning executives' financial interests with the interests of stockholders, rewarding the achievement of our long-term corporate goals, and lengthening executives' time horizons and focusing their attention

on creating stockholder value for the long term. In determining the appropriate mix of compensation, the Compensation Committee also considers the cost and dilutive impact of the various forms of compensation, as well as our ability to pay compensation in cash, as opposed to stock or other forms of non-cash compensation.

#### Specific Items of Corporate Performance We Consider in Making Compensation Decisions

As previously described in greater detail under the heading “Short-Term Incentive Compensation,” in 2013, the Compensation Committee considers both financial and non-financial performance targets, the achievement of which would contribute to the ability of the Company to obtain its financial, operating and strategic goals. As described above, the Company’s financial performance targets include EBITDAR margin, revenue per available seat mile (“RASM”) relative to objectives, cost per available seat mile (“CASM”) relative to objectives, and return on invested capital. As described above, the Company’s 2013 non-financial performance targets were measures of customer value, operational excellence and confidence among stakeholders. In addition, named executive officers’ incentive compensation are subject to the limitations of the overall bonus pool, established by the Compensation Committee, expressed as a function of EBITDAR. Under these categories, the Compensation Committee evaluated the Company’s 2013 performance and awarded 2013 Annual Incentive Compensation in the amounts reported in the Summary Compensation Table below and as detailed in the discussion under the subheading “2013 Annual Incentive Compensation.”



### Compensation Committee Discretion

The Compensation Committee has determined that in administering the Company's current annual cash incentive compensation program, the Compensation Committee retains discretion to reduce the amount of incentives payable to named executive officers in the event a purely mathematical application of the performance criteria under such program results in potential incentive payments that do not properly reflect the Company's financial performance or such executives' performance for such year.

### Determination of Equity-Based Awards Grant Dates

The Compensation Committee has discretion to determine the time and amount of any equity-based awards, but has generally granted equity-based compensation at the following times: (i) on the date the executive receiving the grant is hired, and (ii) once per year under the Company's 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the "Stock Incentive Plan"). For discretionary equity-based awards to named executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer, awards are recommended by the Chief Executive Officer to the Compensation Committee for its consideration and potential approval. The Compensation Committee endeavors to avoid granting equity-based awards in advance of the release of news which might affect the price of our Common Stock.

### Stock Ownership Guidelines; Policies Regarding Hedging the Risk of Security Ownership

In February 2011, the Company adopted stock ownership guidelines to further align the interests of the Company's executive officers and non-employee directors with the interests of the Company's stockholders. Each executive officer is expected to accumulate and hold a number of shares of the Company's Common Stock equal to or greater than the lesser of (i) a specified multiple of his or her annual base salary, as set forth below, and (ii) the number of shares determined by dividing the dollar amount determined as a multiple of annual base salary by the closing sales price of the Company's Common Stock on February 7, 2011, and to maintain this minimum amount throughout his or her tenure as an executive officer. The base salary multiples are as follows:

Executive Officer Category	Base Salary Multiple
Chief Executive Officer	3 times base salary
Executive Vice Presidents	2 times base salary
Senior Vice Presidents	1 times base salary

These guidelines also apply to any newly hired executive officers.

Our non-employee directors are expected to accumulate and hold a number of shares of the Company's Common Stock equal to or greater than the lesser of (i) three times his or her annual retainer for service on the Board (excluding additional retainers associated with committee or chairman service, if any) and (ii) the number of shares determined by dividing the dollar amount determined in (i) by the closing sales price of the Company's Common Stock on February 7, 2011, and to maintain this minimum amount throughout his or her tenure on the Board. Similar guidelines apply to any newly elected non-employee directors.

The stock ownership guidelines are expected to be achieved within five years for each executive officer and non-employee director, or within five years from the date service commences for new executive officers and non-employee directors. Unexercised stock options do not count for the purposes of computing shares held. Until the guidelines are achieved, our executive officers and non-employee directors are required to retain at least 50% of the net after-tax shares received as a result of exercising options or the delivery of full-value awards. We do not have any

policies regarding hedging the economic risk of such ownership, although our Code of Ethics strictly prohibits trading in our securities while in the possession of material, non-public information regarding the Company.

#### Risk Assessment

The Compensation Committee retained Frederic W. Cook & Co., its independent compensation consultant, to assist the Compensation Committee with its evaluation of the potential risks inherent in the Company's executive and non-executive compensation programs. Accordingly, in early 2014 Frederic W. Cook & Co. evaluated the Company's executive and non-executive compensation programs and provided a report to the Compensation Committee for their consideration. The report concluded that, among other things:

- Incentive plans are well-aligned with compensation design principles that generally follow best practices,

• Compensation plans and policies are evaluated at least annually and monitored by an independent compensation committee who has the authority to amend or terminate such plans or policies at any time,

• The Compensation Committee avails itself of independent advisors, who report directly to the Compensation Committee to assist in the oversight function,

• Management incentives are capped at 200% of the target award opportunity for all participants (200% of salary for the CEO) and plan performance measures are broadly determined so as to create a balanced approach to paying incentives,

• The equity plan is carefully managed as to overall participation, allocation of individual awards, and overall usage rates,

• Equity awards have multi-year vesting periods with performance vesting criteria being added to one-half of the new equity awards beginning in 2011,

- Severance plans are closely managed and do not provide excessive severance benefits,

• Stock ownership and retention guidelines were adopted to encourage management and non-employee director ownership in the Company and alignment of their interests with shareholders,

• A clawback provision was adopted in relation to the Company's management incentive plans, in the event of certain restatements of the Company's financials.

Based on the Frederic W. Cook & Co., report and its own analysis, the Compensation Committee determined that the Company's compensation policies and programs were not likely to create risks that would have a material adverse effect on the Company.

#### 2013 Say On Pay Advisory Vote; Frequency of Say on Pay Advisory Vote

On May 22, 2013, we held a stockholder advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers, commonly referred to as a Say On Pay vote. Our stockholders approved the compensation of our named executive officers, with approximately 96% of stockholder votes cast in favor of our 2013 Say On Pay resolution. After considering this result, following our annual review of our executive compensation philosophy, the Compensation Committee decided to retain our overall approach to executive compensation. We will hold an annual advisory stockholder vote to approve our named executive officer compensation until considering the results of our next Say On Pay frequency vote.

#### Tax and Accounting Treatment

##### Section 162(m)

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally disallows a tax deduction to public corporations for non-performance-based compensation over \$1 million paid for any fiscal year to the company's chief executive officer or any of the three other most highly compensated executive officers (other than the chief financial officer). Performance-based compensation is not subject to the deduction limit if certain requirements are met. The Stock Incentive Plan and the 2006 Incentive Plan have been structured to permit the Company to pay compensation in excess of \$1 million per year to its executive officers without compromising the deductibility of such compensation under Section 162(m). The Compensation Committee retains the flexibility to pay compensation to senior executives based on other considerations if it believes that doing so is in the stockholders' interests. The Company does not guarantee that compensation intended to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) so qualifies.

#### Section 409A

Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code imposes a penalty tax on “nonqualified deferred compensation” that fails to satisfy the requirements of the statute with respect to the timing of deferral elections, timing of payments and certain other matters. Accordingly, as a general matter, the Company attempts to structure its compensation and benefits plans and arrangements for all of our employees, including our named executive officers, so that they are either exempt from, or satisfy the requirements of, Section 409A. The Company does not guarantee that its benefits plans and arrangements all satisfy the requirements of Section 409A.

#### Accounting Treatment

The Company accounts for stock-based compensation in accordance with the requirements of ASC 718. The Company also takes into consideration ASC 718 and other generally accepted accounting principles in determining changes to policies and practices for its stock-based compensation programs.

### The Role of Executive Officers in the Compensation Process

The Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the Compensation Committee for its consideration as to the base salary and incentive compensation of all executive officers other than himself. The Compensation Committee annually reviews the base salary of the Chief Executive Officer and the base salary of the Chief Executive Officer may be increased by the Compensation Committee in its sole and absolute discretion. Other than the Chief Executive Officer, no executive officer participates in setting compensation for named executive officers, although our Chief Financial Officer and Senior Vice President—Human Resources assist in providing relevant financial performance and historical compensation data to the Compensation Committee for their consideration in making decisions about compensation.

### Compensation Recovery Policy (Clawback)

On the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board adopted the Company's "Recoupment Policy Relating to Incentive Compensation of Participants" for any bonus or incentive compensation paid after January 1, 2009. Pursuant to the Company's clawback policy, if any incentive compensation paid to a participant in the Company's annual incentive plan, including executive officers, was calculated based on the achievement of financial results that were later required to be restated, and, if the individual executive officer engaged in any fraud or misconduct that caused or contributed to the need for such restatement, the Board will require reimbursement, in all appropriate cases, from the executive officer of any portion of the incentive compensation that exceeds the amount that would have been awarded had the financial results been properly reported, as determined by the Board or a committee thereof. The Company's policy does not authorize the Company to recover any incentive compensation awarded more than two years prior to the date the applicable financial restatement is disclosed.

### Compensation Committee Report

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, which appears in this Proxy Statement, with the Company's management. Based on this review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company's Proxy Statement.

#### The Compensation Committee

Crystal K. Rose, Chair  
Lawrence S. Hershfield  
Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.  
Richard N. Zwern

April 11, 2014

## Summary Compensation Table

The following Summary Compensation Table sets forth certain information regarding compensation paid during the fiscal years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 to (1) the Chief Executive Officer, (2) the Chief Financial Officer, and (3) the three most highly compensated executive officers, for fiscal year 2013, other than the individuals serving as our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, who were serving as executive officers at the end of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards(1)	Non-Equity Incentive	All Other Compensation	Total
					Plan Compensation(2)		
		(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)
Mark B. Dunkerley	2013	625,000	—	1,373,968	401,078	44,152(3)	2,444,198
President and Chief Executive Officer	2012	602,178	—	1,526,459	327,531	46,408	2,502,576
Scott E. Topping	2011	600,000	—	—	937,512	75,373	1,612,885
Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	2013	330,000	—	320,590	100,918	33,340(4)	784,848
Ron Anderson-Lehman	2012	330,000	—	342,843	84,816	110,406	868,065
Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer	2011	42,500	50,000	337,050	40,000	9,598	479,148
Peter R. Ingram	2013	347,500	—	274,798	109,694	269,638(5)	1,001,630
Executive Vice President and Chief Commercial Officer	2013	413,125	—	366,396	136,897	45,164(6)	962,032
Charles R. Nardello	2012	395,000	—	352,642	101,522	45,850	895,014
Senior Vice President, Operations	2011	370,000	—	300,960	350,997	48,447	1,070,404
	2013	328,750	—	228,992	103,776	34,645(7)	696,163
	2012	315,000	—	239,992	76,351	35,034	666,377
	2011	288,333	—	205,200	201,656	38,194	733,383

(1) Represents the grant date fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards, as calculated in accordance with ASC 718, Compensation—Stock Compensation, or ASC 718. Please refer to Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 in Hawaiian Holdings' 2013 Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on February 10, 2014, for further discussion related to the assumptions used in our valuation.

(2) The dollar amount was earned in the year in which it is reported in the table, but it was paid in the following year.

(3)

This amount includes (i) the Company's contributions to Mr. Dunkerley's 401(k) savings account in the amount of \$17,952, (ii) a car allowance in the amount of \$12,000, (iii) paid insurance premiums in the amount of \$12,069, (iv) reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$1,768, and (v) the aggregate incremental cost to the Company of such flight benefits.

(4) This amount includes (i) the Company's contributions to Mr. Topping's 401(k) savings account in the amount of \$14,502, (ii) paid insurance premiums in the amount of \$15,188, (iii) reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$3,294, and (iv) the aggregate incremental cost to the Company of such flight benefits.

(5) This amount includes (i) the Company's contributions to Mr. Anderson-Lehman's 401(k) savings account in the amount of \$10,657, (ii) a temporary housing allowance in the amount of \$100,800, (iii) a moving allowance in the amount of \$154,500, (iv) reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$1,068, and (v) the aggregate incremental cost to the Company of such flight benefits.

(6) This amount includes (i) the Company's contributions to Mr. Ingram's 401(k) savings account in the amount of \$17,952, (ii) paid insurance premiums in the amount of \$14,223, (iii) reimbursement of taxes related to travel benefits in the amount of \$11,931, and (iv) the aggregate incremental cost to the Company of such flight benefits.

(7) This amount includes (i) the Company's contributions to Mr. Nardello's 401(k) savings account in the amount of \$17,952, (ii) paid insurance premiums in the amount of \$11,119, (iii) reimbursement of taxes related to flight benefits in the amount of \$4,146, and (iv) the aggregate incremental cost to the Company of such flight benefits.

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards

The following table shows information regarding grants of awards that we made during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 to each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards(2)			All Other Stock Awards; Grant Date of Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards(4)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Maximum (#)	Shares of Stock or Units(3) (\$)
Mark B. Dunkerley	2/7/2013(5)	—	—	—	—	—160,698	160,698	— 915,979
	2/7/2013(6)	—	—	—	—	—80,349	120,524	— 457,989
	—	—	—468,750	—	—	—	—	— —
Scott E. Topping	2/7/2013	—	—	—	—	—28,122	56,244	— 160,295
	2/7/2013	—	—	—	—	—	—28,122	160,295
	—	—	—247,500	—	—	—	—	— —
Ron Anderson-Lehman	2/7/2013	—	—	—	—	—24,105	48,210	— 137,399
	2/7/2013	—	—	—	—	—	—24,105	137,399
	—	—	—260,625	—	—	—	—	— —
Peter R. Ingram	2/7/2013	—	—	—	—	—32,140	64,280	— 183,198
	2/7/2013	—	—	—	—	—	—32,140	183,198
	—	—	—309,844	—	—	—	—	— —
Charles R. Nardello	2/7/2013	—	—	—	—	—20,087	40,174	— 114,496
	2/7/2013	—	—	—	—	—	—20,087	114,496
	—	—	—197,250	—	—	—	—	— —

(1) This column reports the target bonus each named executive officer was eligible to earn in 2013 pursuant to the Company's 2006 Management Incentive Plan. Each named executive officer's bonus may be limited by the Company's bonus pool as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above. Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement provides for a maximum bonus of 200% of his base salary.

(2)



As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above, each named executive officer other than Mr. Dunkerley was granted RSUs pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan. This column represents the RSUs subject to performance-based vesting and can be earned, subject to satisfying the performance metric, from 0% to 200% of the target number of shares, except for the RSUs granted to Mr. Dunkerley which are described in footnotes 5 and 6 below.

- (3) As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above, each named executive officer other than Mr. Dunkerley was granted RSUs pursuant to the Stock Incentive Plan. This column represents the RSUs subject to time-based vesting and vest at the rate of 33 1/3% per year beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant.
- (4) This column shows the fair value of each Restricted Stock Award calculated in accordance with ASC 718.
- (5) Mr. Dunkerley was granted RSUs covering 160,698 shares on February 7, 2013, none of which were eligible to vest unless the Company achieved pre-tax net profits of at least \$1,000,000 over any two consecutive Company fiscal quarters commencing after the grant date through the last full fiscal quarter ending prior to January 1, 2017 (the "Type A Performance Metric"). Subject to achieving the Type A Performance Metric, 1/3 of the shares shall vest on February 7, 2014, 1/3 of the shares shall vest on February 7, 2015 and 1/3 of the shares shall vest on February 7, 2016, subject to Mr. Dunkerley's continued employment with the Company through each such vesting date. Vesting of the RSUs is accelerated upon his termination without cause or for good reason, subject to his entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company.
- (6) Mr. Dunkerley was granted RSUs covering a target of 80,349 shares and a maximum of 120,254 shares on February 7, 2013, which shall become eligible to vest (i) if the Type A Performance Metric (as defined in footnote 5 above) has been achieved prior to the date of first regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting following February 7, 2016 (but no more than 60 days following such date), and (ii) based on the Company's achievement of the Type B Performance Metric (as defined below). The Type B Performance Metric is the Company's relative total stockholder return as compared to ten peer companies for the period commencing on February 7, 2013 and ending on February 7, 2016. The amount shown for the RSUs is the target vesting level, and they can be earned from 0% to 150% of the shares at the target vesting level subject to the Company's performance under the Type B Performance Metric. If these shares become eligible to vest, Mr. Dunkerley must have remained an employee through February 7, 2014, in order for 1/3 of the shares to vest, through February 7, 2015, for the next 1/3 of the shares to vest, and through February 7, 2016, for the remaining 1/3 of the shares to vest. Vesting of the restricted stock as to which the performance metrics have already been satisfied or deemed satisfied is accelerated upon his termination without cause or for good reason, subject to his entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company.

## Outstanding Equity Awards At Fiscal Year-End

The following table shows grants of stock options and grants of unvested stock awards outstanding on the last day of the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013, including both awards subject to performance conditions and non-performance based awards, to each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table.

	Option Awards					Stock Awards			
	Award Grant Date	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Vested	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)	Unearned Shares, Units or Rights that Have Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights that Have Not Vested (\$)
Mark B. Dunkerley	6/10/2005(1)	300,000		— 5.00	6/10/2015				
	7/25/2005(1)	744,000		— 4.62	7/25/2015				
	11/15/2012(2)					176,367	1,698,414		
	11/15/2012(3)							88,184	849,212
	2/7/2013(4)					160,698	1,547,522		
Scott E. Topping	2/7/2013(5)							80,349	773,761
	10/31/2011(6)					10,500	101,115		
	10/31/2011(6)							31,500	303,345
	2/8/2012(6)					18,343	176,643		
	2/8/2012(6)							27,516	264,979
Ron Anderson-Lehman	2/7/2013(6)					28,122	270,815		
	2/7/2013(6)							28,122	270,815
	6/20/2012(7)					14,902	143,506		
	6/20/2012(7)							22,353	215,259
	2/7/2013(7)					24,105	232,131		
Peter R. Ingram	2/7/2013(7)							24,105	232,131
	11/16/2005(8)	100,000		— 3.42	11/16/2015				
	11/10/2006(8)	100,000		— 4.40	11/10/2016				
	11/12/2007(8)	100,000		— 4.95	11/12/2017				
	2/7/2011(8)					7,333	70,617		
	2/7/2011(8)							22,000	211,860
	2/8/2012(8)					18,868	181,699		
2/8/2012(8)							28,302	272,548	
2/7/2013(8)					32,140	309,508			
2/7/2013(8)							32,140	309,508	

Charles R. Nardello	11/8/2006(9)	8,000	—	4.36	11/8/2016				
	2/7/2011(9)					5,000	48,150		
	2/7/2011(9)							15,000	144,450
	2/8/2012(9)					12,840	123,649		
	2/8/2012(9)							19,261	185,483
	2/7/2013(9)					20,087	193,438		
	2/7/2013(9)							20,087	193,438

- 
- (1) Mr. Dunkerley's options vest as follows: (i) with respect to the grant of options on June 10, 2005, 100,000 options vested on January 1, 2006, 100,000 options vested on January 1, 2007 and 100,000 options vested on January 1, 2008, and (ii) with respect to the grant of options on July 25, 2005, 248,000 options vested on January 1, 2006, 248,000 options vested on January 1, 2007 and 248,000 options vested on January 1, 2008.
- (2) Mr. Dunkerley was granted RSUs covering 176,367 shares on November 15, 2012, none of which were eligible to vest unless the Company achieved pre-tax net profits of at least \$1,000,000 over any two consecutive Company fiscal quarters commencing after the grant date through the last full fiscal quarter ending prior to January 1, 2017 (the "Type A Performance Metric"). Subject to achieving the Type A Performance Metric, 2/3 of the shares shall vest on November 15, 2014 and 1/3 of the shares shall vest on November 15, 2015, subject to Mr. Dunkerley's continued employment with the Company through each such vesting date. Vesting of the RSUs is accelerated upon his termination without cause or for good reason, subject to his entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company. The compensation committee of the Board of Directors certified that the Type A Performance Metric was satisfied on November 19, 2013.
- (3) Mr. Dunkerley was granted RSUs covering a target of 88,184 shares and a maximum of 132,276 shares on November 15, 2012, which shall vest, subject to Mr. Dunkerley's continued employment through each such date, as to 2/3 of the shares on November 15, 2014 and 1/3 of the shares on November 15, 2015, but any vested shares shall (i) not be delivered to Mr. Dunkerley unless the Type A Performance Metric (as defined in footnote 2 above) has been achieved prior to the date of the first regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting following November 15, 2015, and (ii) only be delivered to the extent the Type B Performance Metric (as defined below) has been satisfied during the period commencing on the grant date and ending on the date of the Compensation Committee meeting described above. The Type B Performance Metric is the Company's relative stockholder return as compared to ten peer companies for the period commencing on November 15, 2012 and ending on November 15, 2015. The amount shown for the RSUs is the target vesting level, and they can be earned from 0% to 150% of the shares at the target vesting level subject to the Company's performance under the Type B Performance Metric. Vesting of the restricted stock as to which the performance metrics have already been satisfied or deemed satisfied is accelerated upon his termination without cause or for good reason, subject to his entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company.

- (4) Mr. Dunkerley was granted RSUs covering 160,698 shares on February 7, 2013, none of which were eligible to vest unless the Company achieved the Type A Performance Metric (as defined in footnote 2 above). Subject to achieving the Type A Performance Metric, 1/3 of the shares shall vest on February 7, 2014, 1/3 of the shares shall vest on February 7, 2015 and 1/3 of the shares shall vest on February 7, 2016, subject to Mr. Dunkerley's continued employment with the Company through each such vesting date. Vesting of the RSUs is accelerated upon his termination without cause or for good reason, subject to his entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company. The compensation committee of the Board of Directors certified that the Type A Performance Metric was satisfied on November 19, 2013.
- (5) Mr. Dunkerley was granted RSUs covering a target of 80,349 shares and a maximum of 120,254 shares on February 7, 2013, which shall become eligible to vest (i) if the Type A Performance Metric (as defined in footnote 2 above) has been achieved prior to the date of the first regularly scheduled Compensation Committee meeting following February 7, 2016 (but no more than 60 days following such date), and (ii) based on the Company's achievement of the Type B Performance Metric (as defined below). The Type B Performance Metric is the Company's relative total stockholder return as compared to ten peer companies for the period commencing on February 7, 2013 and ending on February 7, 2016. The amount shown for the RSUs is the target vesting level, and they can be earned from 0% to 150% of the shares at the target vesting level subject to the Company's performance under the Type B Performance Metric. If these shares become eligible to vest, Mr. Dunkerley must have remained an employee through February 7, 2014, in order for 1/3 of the shares to vest, through February 7, 2015, for the next 1/3 of the shares to vest, and through February 7, 2016, for the remaining 1/3 of the shares to vest. Vesting of the restricted stock as to which the performance metrics have already been satisfied or deemed satisfied is accelerated upon his termination without cause or for good reason, subject to his entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company.
- (6) Mr. Topping's stock awards vest as follows: (i) with respect to the grants of RSUs on October 31, 2011, 10,500 vested on October 31, 2012, 10,500 vested on October 31, 2013 and 10,500 vest on October 31, 2014 and 31,500 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Topping's continued employment, (ii) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 8, 2012, 9,172 vested on February 8, 2013, 9,172 vested on February 8, 2014 and 9,171 vest on February 8, 2015 and 27,516 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Topping's continued employment, and (iii) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 7, 2013, 9,374 vested on February 7, 2014, 9,374 vest on February 7, 2015 and 9,374 vest on February 7, 2016 and 28,122 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Topping's continued employment. The amount shown for the performance-based RSUs is the target vesting level, and they can be earned, subject to satisfying the performance metric, from 0% to 200% of the shares at the target vesting level. Mr. Topping's stock awards vest in full upon a double-trigger (certain terminations of employment following a change of control).
- (7) Mr. Anderson-Lehman's stock awards vest as follows: (i) with respect to the grants of RSUs on June 20, 2012, 7,451 vested on June 20, 2013, 7,451 vest on June 20, 2014 and 7,451 vest on June 20, 2015 and 22,353 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Anderson-Lehman's continued employment, and (ii) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 7, 2013, 8,035 vested on February 7, 2014, 8,035 vest on February 7, 2015 and 8,035 vest on February 7, 2016 and 24,105 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Anderson-Lehman's continued employment. The amount shown for the performance-based RSUs is the target vesting level, and they can be earned, subject to satisfying the performance metric, from 0% to 200% of the shares at the target vesting level. Mr. Anderson-Lehman's stock awards vest in full upon a double-trigger (certain terminations of employment following a change of control).
- (8) Mr. Ingram's options and stock awards vest as follows: (i) with respect to the grant of options on November 16, 2005, 33,334 options vested on November 16, 2006, 33,333 vested on November 16, 2007 and 33,333 options vested on November 16, 2008, (ii) with respect to the grant of options on November 10, 2006, 33,334 options

vested on November 10, 2007, 33,333 options vested on November 10, 2008 and 33,333 options vested on November 10, 2009, (iii) with respect to the grant of options on November 12, 2007, 33,334 options vested on November 12, 2008, 33,333 options vested on November 12, 2009 and 33,333 options vested on November 12, 2010, (iv) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 7, 2011, 7,334 vested on February 7, 2012, 7,333 vested on February 7, 2013 and 7,333 vested on February 7, 2014 and 22,000 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Ingram's continued employment, (v) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 8, 2012, 9,434 vested on February 8, 2013, 9,434 vested on February 8, 2014 and 9,434 vest on February 8, 2015 and 28,302 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Ingram's continued employment, and (vi) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 7, 2013, 10,714 vested on February 7, 2014, 10,713 vest on February 7, 2015 and 10,713 vest on February 7, 2016 and 32,140 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Ingram's continued employment. The amount shown for the performance-based RSUs is the target vesting level, and they can be earned, subject to satisfying the performance metric, from 0% to 200% of the shares at the target vesting level. Mr. Ingram's option and stock awards granted prior to 2011 vest in full upon a change in control of the Company and Mr. Ingram's stock awards granted in 2011 and later vest in full upon a double-trigger (certain terminations of employment following a change of control).

(9) Mr. Nardello's options and stock awards vest as follows: (i) with respect to the grant of options on November 8, 2006, all of the options vested on November 8, 2009, (ii) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 7, 2011, 5,000 vested on February 7, 2012, 5,000 vested on February 7, 2013 and 5,000 vested on February 7, 2014 and 15,000 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Nardello's continued employment, (iii) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 8, 2012, 6,421 vested on February 8, 2013, 6,420 vested on February 8, 2014 and 6,420 vest on February 8, 2015 and 19,261 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Nardello's continued employment, and (iv) with respect to the grants of RSUs on February 7, 2013, 6,696 vested on February 7, 2014, 6,696 vest on February 7, 2015 and 6,695 vest on February 7, 2016 and 20,087 are subject to performance-based vesting, in each case subject to Mr. Nardello's continued employment. The amount shown for the performance-based RSUs is the target vesting level, and they can be earned, subject to satisfying the performance metric, from 0% to 200% of the shares at the target vesting level. Mr. Nardello's option and stock awards granted prior to 2011 vest in full upon a change in control of the Company and Mr. Nardello's stock awards granted in 2011 and later vest in full upon a double-trigger (certain terminations of employment following a change of control).

#### Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table shows the stock options exercised and stock awards vested during fiscal year 2013, to each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table.

	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)(1)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)
Mark B. Dunkerley	—	—	198,112	1,293,085
Scott E. Topping	—	—	19,672	139,718
Ron Anderson-Lehman	—	—	7,451	45,898
Peter R. Ingram	120,000	247,593	27,033	156,160
Charles R. Nardello	80,000	167,626	21,687	125,387

(1) The value realized on exercise is calculated by multiplying the number of shares exercised by the difference between the exercise price of the options and the closing price of our common stock on the date of exercise.

(2) The value realized on vesting is calculated by multiplying the number of shares vested by the closing price of our common stock on the date of vesting.

#### Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

We have entered into agreements that will require us to provide compensation to the officers named in the Summary Compensation Table in the event of such executive officer's termination of employment or a change in control of the Company. Each named executive officer is required pursuant to the terms of his or her employment agreement or severance agreement to adhere to certain restrictive covenants in order to receive the severance payments specified below. The amount of compensation payable to each such executive in each situation is listed in the tables below, and

is calculated assuming that the applicable event (termination for the reasons specified below or a change in control) occurred on December 31, 2013.

Mr. Dunkerley.

The following table describes and quantifies the estimated payments and benefits (in addition to accrued but unpaid base salary and bonus and vested benefits pursuant to the Company's employee benefits plans) that would be provided upon certain terminations of employment or a change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2013 for Mr. Dunkerley. Mr. Dunkerley is bound by the terms of the noncompetition provisions of his employment agreement for a period of 12 months following the effective date of his termination of employment with the Company.

	Termination			Qualifying Change in Control(3)
	Without Cause, or For Good Reason(1)	Death	Disability(2)	
Benefits and Payments				
Lump Sum Payment	\$4,000,000	\$—	\$—	\$—
Performance/Incentive Bonus(4)	819,531	819,531	819,531	819,531
Continued Health Benefits(5)	108,000	—	—	108,000
Stock Awards(6)	3,245,936	—	—	3,245,936
Insurance Proceeds	—	300,000	1,947,000	—
Insurance Premium Reimbursement (Life, Medical, LTD and AD&D)	30,739	—	—	—
Travel Benefits(7)	—	—	—	—
Tax Gross Up(8)	—	—	—	—
Total	\$8,204,206	\$1,119,531	\$2,766,531	\$4,173,467

(1) Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement provides him with severance payments in a lump-sum cash payment equal to three times the sum of (x) his base salary, plus (y) his average annual bonus received in the prior 36 months, up to a maximum payment of \$4,000,000 and (i) a pro-rated annual bonus, subject to the attainment of the applicable performance metrics, and with a specified target rating for performance metrics that are not performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m), (ii) in lieu of Company-subsidized COBRA, payments of \$3,000 per month until January 1, 2017, (iii) continued medical, life insurance and disability benefits until January 1, 2017, (iv) continued travel benefits on Hawaiian's commercial aircraft for Mr. Dunkerley, his spouse or domestic partner and his dependents for the remainder of Mr. Dunkerley's life, up to an annual maximum of \$25,000 of imputed taxable income per calendar year, (v) 100% vesting acceleration of any Type A or Type B Restricted Stock Awards as to which the performance metrics have already been satisfied or deemed satisfied, and (vi) reimbursement for up to \$50,000 for certain costs associated with relocating from Hawaii upon (1) termination of employment by the Company without "Cause" or (2) termination of employment by Mr. Dunkerley for "Good Reason." Such severance is subject to Mr. Dunkerley entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company, and if Mr. Dunkerley violates certain non-competition, non-disparagement or non-solicitation provisions of his employment agreement, severance benefits shall cease being paid, and in some situations the Company may seek repayment of severance benefits already paid. Mr. Dunkerley's continued insurance premiums are calculated based on the Company's fiscal 2013 expense for such benefits.

Under Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement, "Cause" means (i) a material breach by Mr. Dunkerley of his obligations under his employment agreement, after he has been given written notice specifying the breach and has been provided a thirty day opportunity to cure, including, without limitation, willful neglect of Mr. Dunkerley's duties or Mr. Dunkerley's willful failure (other than any such failure resulting from the termination of Mr. Dunkerley's employment for death, disability, retirement or "Good Reason") to implement or adhere to policies established by, or directives of, the Board of Directors, (ii) Mr. Dunkerley is convicted of, or pleads guilty or no contest to a felony, or written



evidence is presented to the Board of Directors that Mr. Dunkerley engaged in a crime that may have an adverse impact on the Company's reputation and standing in the community, or (iii) Mr. Dunkerley has committed fraud in connection with the business affairs of the Company, regardless of whether such conduct is designed to defraud the Company or others.

Under Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement, "Good Reason" means (i) the assignment to Mr. Dunkerley of any duties that are materially inconsistent with his duties as chief executive officer, or that reflect a material reduction of his powers and responsibilities, (ii) Mr. Dunkerley ceasing to report solely to the Board of Directors, (iii) a negative change to Mr. Dunkerley's title, (iv) the Company's material breach of any of the provisions of Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement, or a material adverse change in the conditions of Mr. Dunkerley's employment, including, without limitation, a failure by the Company to provide Mr. Dunkerley with incentive compensation and benefit plans that provide comparable benefits and amounts as such type of programs as are provided to other Company executive officers, (v) the relocation of the Company's principal executive offices to a location outside of the Honolulu area or the Company's requiring that Mr. Dunkerley be based anywhere other than the Company's principal executive offices, except for travel on Company business to an extent substantially consistent with Mr. Dunkerley's position and responsibilities, (vi) following a "Change in Control" of the Company, Mr. Dunkerley not remaining as the chief executive officer of a successor publicly-traded Company or (vii) a failure by the Company to maintain directors' and officers' insurance as set forth in Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement.

Under Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement, a "Change in Control" means any of the following (a) any person or persons acting together that would constitute a "group" for purposes of Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, beneficially own more than 40% of the total voting power of the stock of the Company entitled to vote for the Board of Directors (the "Voting Stock") or economic interests in the Company, (b) the sale, transfer, assignment or other disposition (including by merger or consolidation) by the stockholders of the Company, in one transaction or a series of related transactions, with the result that the beneficial owners of the Voting Stock of or economic interests in the Company immediately prior to the transaction (or series) do not, immediately after such transaction (or series) beneficially own Voting Stock representing more than 40% of the voting power of all classes of Voting Stock of the Company or any successor entity of the Company or economic interests in the Company representing more than 40% of the economic interests in the Company or any successor entity of the Company, (c) the sale or other transfer (in one or a series of transactions) of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, (d) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company or (e) a change in the composition of the Board of Directors, as a result of which, fewer than one-half of the incumbent directors (without including directors who are appointed as part of the union contract) are directors who either (i) had been directors, other than directors who are appointed as part of the union contract, of the Company on the effective date of Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement (the "Original Directors") or (ii) were elected, or nominated for election, to the Board of Directors with the affirmative votes of at least a majority of the aggregate of the Original Directors who were still in office at the time of the election or nomination or directors whose election or nomination was previously so approved.

(2) Pursuant to Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement, he is entitled to participate in the Company's executive long-term disability plan, pursuant to which, if Mr. Dunkerley's employment were terminated as a result of his disability on December 31, 2013, Mr. Dunkerley would be entitled to a supplemental disability benefit of up to \$11,000 per month, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above.

(3) Upon a "Qualifying Change in Control," any unvested Type A Restricted Stock Awards shall be assumed or substituted by the acquirer for an equity award equivalent in all material respects with all performance metrics deemed satisfied and any unvested Type B Restricted Stock Awards shall be assumed or substituted by the acquirer for an equity award equivalent in all material respects with the satisfaction of the performance metrics determined as of immediately prior to the consummation of the Qualifying Change in Control. If any such Type A or Type B Restricted Stock Awards are not so assumed or substituted, such Type A Restricted Stock Awards shall become 100% vested and such Type B Restricted Stock Awards shall become 100% vested with respect to any remaining service-based vesting and determining the satisfaction of the performance metrics as described above upon such Qualifying Change in Control.

Under Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement, a "Qualifying Change in Control" means any of the following (a) any person or persons acting together that would constitute a "group" for purposes of Section 13(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, beneficially own more than 50% of the total voting power of the stock of the Company entitled to vote for the Board of Directors (the "Voting Stock") or economic interests in the Company, (b) the sale, transfer, assignment or other disposition (including by merger or consolidation) by the stockholders of the Company, in one transaction or a series of related transactions, with the result that the beneficial owners of the Voting Stock of or economic interests in the Company immediately prior to the transaction (or series) do not, immediately after such transaction (or series) beneficially own Voting Stock representing more than 50% of the voting power of all classes of Voting Stock of the Company or any successor entity of the Company or economic interests in the Company representing more than 50% of the economic interests in the Company or any successor entity of the Company, (c) the sale or other transfer (in one or a series of transactions) of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, (d) the dissolution or liquidation of the Company.

(4) Pursuant to Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement, if Mr. Dunkerley's employment is terminated as a result of his death, disability, by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Dunkerley for "Good Reason," Mr. Dunkerley shall be

entitled to receive a performance/incentive bonus for such year in which Mr. Dunkerley's employment is terminated, subject to attaining the requisite performance milestones, with a rating of 1.0 for all objectives that are not intended to constitute performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).

- (5) Pursuant to Mr. Dunkerley's employment agreement, if Mr. Dunkerley is terminated by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Dunkerley for "Good Reason" (each as defined in footnote 1 above), in lieu of subsidized COBRA or other benefits, and payable whether or not Mr. Dunkerley elects COBRA coverage, Mr. Dunkerley shall be entitled to receive continued payments of \$3,000 per month through January 1, 2017.

- (6) Pursuant to Mr. Dunkerley’s employment agreement, the vesting of any Type A or Type B Restricted Stock Awards as to which the performance metrics have already been satisfied are calculated by multiplying the closing sales price of the Company’s Common Stock on December 31, 2013 (\$9.63) by the number of shares of Common Stock underlying the unvested restricted stock held by Mr. Dunkerley on December 31, 2013. For purposes of this calculation, it is assumed that all of Mr. Dunkerley’s restricted stock to which the performance metrics have already been satisfied will vest upon a “Qualifying Change in Control” (as defined in footnote 3 above).
- (7) Pursuant to Mr. Dunkerley’s employment agreement, if Mr. Dunkerley’s employment is terminated as a result of his death, disability, by the Company without “Cause” or by Mr. Dunkerley for “Good Reason,” Mr. Dunkerley, his spouse or domestic partner and his dependents shall be entitled to continued travel benefits on Hawaiian’s commercial aircraft for the remainder of Mr. Dunkerley’s life, up to an annual maximum of \$25,000 of imputed taxable income per calendar year.
- (8) For “Changes in Control,” Mr. Dunkerley receives a “best results” excise tax treatment, whereby Mr. Dunkerley either pays the excise taxes under Internal Revenue Code Sections 280G or 4999 or has his payments and benefits reduced to a level whereby the excise taxes are not triggered, whichever is economically superior for Mr. Dunkerley.

Mr. Topping.

The following table describes and quantifies the estimated payments and benefits (in addition to accrued but unpaid base salary and bonus and vested benefits pursuant to the Company’s employee benefits plans) that would be provided upon termination or a change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2013 for Mr. Topping. Mr. Topping’s receipt of any severance payments below is subject to his execution and non-revocation of a general release and waiver of claims against the Company.

	Termination		Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason within 12 months after a Change in Control
	Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason	Disability	
Benefits and Payments			
Lump Sum Payment(1)	\$ 330,000	\$ —	\$ 330,000
Performance/Incentive Bonus(2)	254,063	—	254,063
Continued Health Benefits(3)	36,000	—	36,000
Stock Awards(4)	—	—	1,387,712
Insurance Proceeds(5)	—	1,936,000	—
Total	\$ 620,063	\$ 1,936,000	\$ 2,007,775

(1) Mr. Topping’s severance agreement provides him with a lump sum severance payment equal to 12 months base salary upon termination of employment by the Company without “Cause” or by Mr. Topping for “Good Reason.”

Under Mr. Topping’s severance agreement, “Cause” means (i) repeated neglect by executive of his employment duties, executive’s repeated material lack of diligence and attention in performing his employment duties, or executive’s

repeated failure to implement or adhere to Company policies; (ii) conduct of a criminal nature that may have an adverse impact on the Company's reputation in the community; (iii) fraudulent conduct in connection with the business affairs of the Company, regardless of whether said conduct is designed to defraud the Company or others; (iv) conduct at any time or place which is detrimental to the Company's reputation and/or goodwill among its customers and/or the community; (v) conduct in violation of the Company's and/or its parent company's corporate compliance rules, practices, procedures and ethical guidelines; (vi) material violation of the Company's House Rules.

Under Mr. Topping's severance agreement, "Good Reason" means (i) a material reduction by the Company in executive's annual total target cash compensation (other than pursuant to a reduction applying generally to employees of the same corporate rank, or (ii) executive's relocation to principal offices that are either not located in Oahu, Hawaii or not within 40 miles of Honolulu, Hawaii.

- (2) Pursuant to Mr. Topping's severance agreement, if Mr. Topping's employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Topping for "Good Reason" (each as defined in footnote 1 above), Mr. Topping shall be entitled to receive a pro rated performance/incentive bonus for services rendered during the portion of the year in which he worked prior to termination, subject to the attainment of the applicable performance metrics, and with an "on target" rating for performance metrics that are not performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).
- (3) Pursuant to Mr. Topping's severance agreement, if Mr. Topping's employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Topping for "Good Reason" (each as defined in footnote 1 above), in lieu of subsidized COBRA or other benefits, and payable whether or not Mr. Topping elects COBRA coverage, Mr. Topping shall be entitled to receive 12 months continued payments of \$3,000 per month.
- (4) The vesting of Mr. Topping's RSUs is accelerated if his employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Topping for "Good Reason" within 12 months following a "Change in Control" of the Company. The dollar values in the table are calculated by multiplying the closing sales price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2013 (\$9.63) by the number of shares of Common Stock underlying all RSUs held by Mr. Topping at December 31, 2013.

Under the Company's stock option agreements and restricted stock unit award agreements with named executive officers (other than Mr. Dunkerley), a "Change in Control" means any of the following (a) the acquisition by an individual, entity or group (within the meaning of Section 13(d)(3) or 14(d)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act")), other than by an employee benefit plan or in certain restructurings or combinations that do not affect the effective control of the Company by the stockholders immediately prior thereto, of beneficial ownership (within the meaning of Rule 13d-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act) of more than 50% of the combined voting power of the voting securities of the Company entitled to vote generally in the election of directors (the "Voting Securities"); (b) the occurrence of a reorganization, merger or consolidation, other than a reorganization, merger or consolidation with respect to which all or substantially all of the individuals and entities who were the beneficial owners, immediately prior to such reorganization, merger or consolidation, of the Common Stock and Voting Securities beneficially own, directly or indirectly, immediately after such reorganization, merger or consolidation 50% or more of the then outstanding common stock and voting securities (entitled to vote generally in the election of directors) of the corporation resulting from such reorganization, merger or consolidation in substantially the same proportions as their respective ownership, immediately prior to such reorganization, merger or consolidation, of the Common Stock and Voting Securities; (c) the occurrence of (i) a complete liquidation or substantial dissolution of the Company, or (ii) the sale or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company, in each case other than to a subsidiary, wholly-owned, directly or indirectly, by the Company or to a holding company of which the Company is a direct or indirect wholly owned subsidiary prior to such transaction; or (d) during any period of twelve (12) consecutive months, the individuals at the beginning of any such period who constitute the Board and any new director (other than a director designated by a person or entity who has entered into an agreement with the Company or other person or entity to effect a transaction described above) whose election by the Board or nomination for election by the Company's stockholders was approved by a vote of at least two-thirds (2/3) of the directors then still in office who either were directors at the beginning of any such period or whose election or nomination for election was previously so approved, cease for any reason to constitute a majority of the Board.

Under the Company's restricted stock unit award agreements with named executive officers (other than Mr. Dunkerley), "Good Reason" means (i) a material reduction of executive's duties, authority or responsibilities, (ii) a material reduction by the Company in executive's annual total target cash compensation (other than pursuant to a reduction applying generally to employees of the same corporate rank, or (iii) executive's relocation to principal offices that are either not located in Oahu, Hawaii or not within 40 miles of Honolulu, Hawaii.

(5) Mr. Topping is entitled to participate in the Company's executive long-term disability plan, pursuant to which, if Mr. Topping's employment were terminated as a result of his disability on December 31, 2013, Mr. Topping would be entitled to a supplemental disability benefit of up to \$11,000 per month, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above.

Mr. Anderson-Lehman.

The following table describes and quantifies the estimated payments and benefits (in addition to accrued but unpaid base salary and bonus and vested benefits pursuant to the Company's employee benefits plans) that would be provided upon termination or a change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2013 for Mr. Anderson-Lehman. Mr. Anderson-Lehman's receipt of any severance payments below is subject to his execution and non-revocation of a general release and waiver of claims against the Company.

		Termination	Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason within 12 months after a Change in Control
	Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason	Disability	
Benefits and Payments			
Base Salary(1)	\$ 400,000	\$ —	\$ 400,000
Performance/Incentive Bonus(2)	300,000	—	300,000
Continued Health Benefits(3)	36,000	—	36,000
Stock Awards(4)	—	—	823,027
Insurance Proceeds(5)	—	1,925,000	—
Total	736,000	1,925,000	1,559,027

(1) Mr. Anderson-Lehman's severance agreement provides him with a lump sum severance payment equal to 12 months base salary upon termination of employment by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Anderson-Lehman for "Good Reason."

Under Mr. Anderson-Lehman's severance agreement, "Cause" means (i) repeated neglect by executive of his employment duties, executive's repeated material lack of diligence and attention in performing his employment duties, or executive's repeated failure to implement or adhere to Company policies; (ii) conduct of a criminal nature that may have an adverse impact on the Company's reputation in the community; (iii) fraudulent conduct in connection with the business affairs of the Company, regardless of whether said conduct is designed to defraud the Company or others; (iv) conduct at any time or place which is detrimental to the Company's reputation and/or goodwill among its customers and/or the community; (v) conduct in violation of the Company's and/or its parent company's corporate compliance rules, practices, procedures and ethical guidelines; (vi) material violation of the Company's House Rules.

Under Mr. Anderson-Lehman's severance agreement, "Good Reason" means (i) a material reduction by the Company in executive's annual total target cash compensation (other than pursuant to a reduction applying generally to employees of the same corporate rank, or (ii) executive's relocation to principal offices that are either not located in Oahu, Hawaii or not within 40 miles of Honolulu, Hawaii.

(2) Pursuant to Mr. Anderson-Lehman's severance agreement, if Mr. Anderson-Lehman's employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Anderson-Lehman for "Good Reason" (each as defined in footnote 1 above), Mr. Anderson-Lehman shall be entitled to receive a pro rated performance/incentive bonus for services rendered during the portion of the year in which he worked prior to termination, subject to the attainment of the applicable



performance metrics, and with an “on target” rating for performance metrics that are not performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).

- (3) Pursuant to Mr. Anderson-Lehman’s severance agreement, if Mr. Anderson-Lehman’s employment is terminated by the Company without “Cause” or by Mr. Anderson-Lehman for “Good Reason” (each as defined in footnote 1 above), in lieu of subsidized COBRA or other benefits, and payable whether or not Mr. Anderson-Lehman elects COBRA coverage, Mr. Anderson-Lehman shall be entitled to receive 12 months continued payments of \$3,000 per month.

- (4) The vesting of Mr. Anderson-Lehman's RSUs is accelerated if his employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" (as defined in footnote 1 above) or by Mr. Anderson-Lehman for "Good Reason" (as defined in footnote 2 to the termination table for Mr. Topping above) within 12 months following a "Change in Control" (as defined in footnote 3 to the termination table for Mr. Topping above) of the Company. The dollar values in the table are calculated by multiplying the closing sales price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2013 (\$9.63) by the number of shares of Common Stock underlying all RSUs held by Mr. Anderson-Lehman at December 31, 2013.
- (5) Mr. Anderson-Lehman is entitled to participate in the Company's executive long-term disability plan, pursuant to which, if Mr. Anderson-Lehman's employment were terminated as a result of his disability on December 31, 2013, Mr. Anderson-Lehman would be entitled to a supplemental disability benefit of up to \$11,000 per month, for a maximum of 12 months, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above.

Mr. Ingram.

The following table describes and quantifies the estimated payments and benefits (in addition to accrued but unpaid base salary and bonus and vested benefits pursuant to the Company's employee benefits plans) that would be provided upon termination or a change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2013 for Mr. Ingram. Mr. Ingram's receipt of any severance payments below is subject to his execution and non-revocation of a general release and waiver of claims against the Company. Mr. Ingram is bound by the terms of the noncompetition provisions of his employment agreement for a period of 12 months following the effective date of his termination of employment with the Company.

	Termination		Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason within 12 months after a Change in Control
	Without Cause	Disability	
Benefits and Payments			
Lump Sum Payment(1)	\$ 429,859	\$ —	\$ 429,859
Performance/Incentive Bonus(2)	330,938	—	330,938
Stock Awards(3)	—	—	1,355,740
Insurance Proceeds(4)	—	2,288,000	—
Total	\$ 760,797	\$ 2,288,000	\$ 2,116,537

(1) Mr. Ingram's employment agreement provides him with a lump sum severance payment upon termination of employment by the Company without "Cause" equal to his current annual base salary and his annual insurance premiums for medical and dental coverage.

Under Mr. Ingram's employment agreement, "Cause" means (i) a material breach of the agreement by Mr. Ingram, including without limitation, repeated neglect of Mr. Ingram's duties, Mr. Ingram's repeated material lack of diligence and attention in performing services as provided in the agreement, or Mr. Ingram's repeated failure to implement or adhere to Company policies, in each case after notice to Mr. Ingram stating the reason for such breach and providing

Mr. Ingram thirty (30) days opportunity to cure, provided however that such notice and opportunity to cure shall not be required to be provided more than three (3) times during the Employment Period (as defined in the agreement) prior to termination, (ii) commission of a crime (other than a petty offense or traffic violation) that has a material adverse impact on the Company's reputation and standing in the community, (iii) fraudulent conduct in connection with the business affairs of the Company, regardless of whether such conduct is designed to defraud the Company or others, (iv) conduct in material violation of the Company's corporate compliance rules, practices, procedures and ethical guidelines and (v) material violation(s) of the Company's House Rules.

- (2) Pursuant to Mr. Ingram's employment agreement, if Mr. Ingram's employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" (as defined in footnote 1 above) and subject to Mr. Ingram entering into a release of claims in favor of the Company, Mr. Ingram shall be entitled to receive a pro rated performance/incentive bonus for services rendered during the portion of the year in which he worked prior to termination, subject to the attainment of the applicable performance metrics, and with an "on target" rating for performance metrics that are not performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).
- (3) The vesting of Mr. Ingram's RSUs is accelerated if his employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" (as defined in footnote 1 above) or by Mr. Ingram for "Good Reason" (as defined in footnote 3 to the termination table for Mr. Topping above) within 12 months following a "Change in Control" (as defined in footnote 3 to the termination table for Mr. Topping above) of the Company. The dollar values in the table are calculated by multiplying the closing sales price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2013 (\$9.63) by the number of shares of Common Stock underlying all RSUs held by Mr. Ingram at December 31, 2013.

(4) Mr. Ingram is entitled to participate in the Company's executive long-term disability plan, pursuant to which, if Mr. Ingram's employment were terminated as a result of his disability on December 31, 2013, Mr. Ingram would be entitled to a supplemental disability benefit of up to \$11,000 per month, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above.

Mr. Nardello.

The following table describes and quantifies the estimated payments and benefits (in addition to accrued but unpaid base salary and bonus and vested benefits pursuant to the Company's employee benefits plans) that would be provided upon termination or a change in control of the Company as of December 31, 2013 for Mr. Nardello. Mr. Nardello's receipt of any severance payments below is subject to his execution and non-revocation of a general release and waiver of claims against the Company.

		Termination	Termination without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason within 12 months after a Change in Control
	Without Cause or by Executive for Good Reason	Disability	
Benefits and Payments			
Base Salary(1)	\$ 330,000	\$ —	\$ 330,000
Performance/Incentive Bonus(2)	203,250	—	203,250
Continued Health Benefits(3)	36,000	—	36,000
Stock Awards(4)	—	—	888,608
Insurance Proceeds(5)	—	539,000	—
Total	\$ 569,250	\$ 539,000	\$ 1,457,858

(1) Mr. Nardello's severance agreement provides him with a lump sum severance payment equal to 12 months base salary upon termination of employment by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Nardello for "Good Reason."

Under Mr. Nardello's severance agreement, "Cause" means (i) repeated neglect by executive of his employment duties, executive's repeated material lack of diligence and attention in performing his employment duties, or executive's repeated failure to implement or adhere to Company policies; (ii) conduct of a criminal nature that may have an adverse impact on the Company's reputation in the community; (iii) fraudulent conduct in connection with the business affairs of the Company, regardless of whether said conduct is designed to defraud the Company or others; (iv) conduct at any time or place which is detrimental to the Company's reputation and/or goodwill among its customers and/or the community; (v) conduct in violation of the Company's and/or its parent company's corporate compliance rules, practices, procedures and ethical guidelines; (vi) material violation of the Company's House Rules.

Under Mr. Nardello's severance agreement, "Good Reason" means (i) a material reduction by the Company in executive's annual total target cash compensation (other than pursuant to a reduction applying generally to employees of the same corporate rank, or (ii) executive's relocation to principal offices that are either not located in Oahu, Hawaii or not within 40 miles of Honolulu, Hawaii.

(2)

Pursuant to Mr. Nardello's severance agreement, if Mr. Nardello's employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Nardello for "Good Reason" (each as defined in footnote 1 above), Mr. Nardello shall be entitled to receive a pro rated performance/incentive bonus for services rendered during the portion of the year in which he worked prior to termination, subject to the attainment of the applicable performance metrics, and with an "on target" rating for performance metrics that are not performance-based compensation under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m).

- (3) Pursuant to Mr. Nardello's severance agreement, if Mr. Nardello's employment is terminated by the Company without "Cause" or by Mr. Nardello for "Good Reason" (each as defined in footnote 1 above), in lieu of subsidized COBRA or other benefits, and payable whether or not Mr. Nardello elects COBRA coverage, Mr. Nardello shall be entitled to receive 12 months continued payments of \$3,000 per month.

(4) The vesting of Mr. Nardello’s RSUs is accelerated if his employment is terminated by the Company without “Cause” (as defined in footnote 1 above) or by Mr. Nardello for “Good Reason” (as defined in footnote 2 to the termination table for Mr. Topping above) within 12 months following a “Change in Control” (as defined in footnote 3 to the termination table for Mr. Topping above) of the Company. The dollar values in the table are calculated by multiplying the closing sales price of the Company’s Common Stock on December 31, 2013 (\$9.63) by the number of shares of Common Stock underlying all RSUs held by Mr. Nardello at December 31, 2013.

(5) Mr. Nardello is entitled to participate in the Company’s executive long-term disability plan, pursuant to which, if Mr. Nardello’s employment were terminated as a result of his disability on December 31, 2013, Mr. Nardello would be entitled to a supplemental disability benefit of up to \$11,000 per month, for a maximum of 12 months, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section above.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During the last fiscal year, our Compensation Committee included Mr. Hershfield, Mr. Kobayashi, Ms. Rose and Mr. Zwern. No member of the Compensation Committee has at any time been an employee of ours, except for Mr. Hershfield who previously served as our President and Chief Executive Officer from June 14, 2004 through June 2, 2005. None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our Board of Directors or Compensation Committee.

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table provides the beneficial ownership, both direct and indirect, reported to us as of March 24, 2014 (except as otherwise noted in the footnotes) of our Common Stock and Special Preferred Stock, including shares as to which a right to acquire ownership within 60 days of such date exists (for example, through the ability to exercise stock options). The information is presented for beneficial owners of more than 5% of our Common Stock and Special Preferred Stock, and for our directors, our named executive officers and for the group comprised of all of our directors and executive officers. We know of no persons other than those identified below who owned beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares of our Common Stock or Special Preferred Stock as of March 24, 2014. The table is based on 53,053,436 shares of Common Stock and one share each of Series B Special Preferred Stock, Series C Special Preferred Stock and Series D Special Preferred Stock outstanding as of March 24, 2014.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares of Common and Special Preferred Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent and Class of Common and Special Preferred Stock Beneficially Owned
Hirzel Capital Management LLC and Zac S. Hirzel 3963 Maple Avenue, Suite 170 Dallas, TX 75219	5,661,017(1)	10.7% of Common Stock
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52nd Street New York, NY 10022	3,042,996(2)	5.7% of Common Stock
Dimensional Fund Advisors LP	2,889,287(3)	5.4% of Common Stock

Palisades West, Building One

6300 Bee Cave Road

Austin, TX 78746

Oasis Management Company Ltd.

2,620,700(4) 4.9% of Common Stock

Ugland House, PO Box 309

Grand Cayman, KY1-110, Cayman Islands

International Association of Machinists and

Aerospace Workers

1 100% of Series B Special

1771 Commerce Drive,

Preferred Stock (constituting

Ste. 103 Elk Grove, IL 60007

33.3% of all Special

Preferred

Stock)

Attn: Rich Delaney

Association of Flight Attendants

1 100% of Series C Special

501 Third Street,

Preferred Stock (constituting

N.W. Washington, DC 20001

33.3% of all Special

Preferred

Stock)

Attn: Edward Gilmartin, Esq.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares of Common and Special Preferred Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent and Class of Common and Special Preferred Stock Beneficially Owned
Hawaiian Master Executive Council c/o Air Line Pilots Association 3375 Koapaka Street,  Suite F-238-10 Honolulu, HI 96819 Attn: Master Chair, Hawaiian MEC	1	100% of Series D Special Preferred Stock (constituting  33.3% of all Special Preferred Stock)
Gregory S. Anderson**	56,007(5)	Common Stock*
Brian E. Boyer**	22,539(6)	Common Stock*
Mark B. Dunkerley**	1,867,857(7)	3.5% of Common Stock
Lawrence S. Hershfield**	1,110,175(8)	2.1% of Common Stock
Randall L. Jenson**	175,947(9)	Common Stock*
Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.**	91,407(10)	Common Stock*
Tomoyuki Moriizumi**	22,662(11)	Common Stock*
Samson Poomaihealani**	36,350(12)	Common Stock*
Crystal K. Rose**	81,008(13)	Common Stock*
William S. Swelbar**	71,008(14)	Common Stock*
Richard N. Zwern**	43,979(15)	Common Stock*
Ron Anderson-Lehman**	9,743(16)	Common Stock*
Peter R. Ingram**	344,487(17)	Common Stock*
Charles R. Nardello**	53,796(18)	Common Stock*
Scott E. Topping**	26,833(19)	Common Stock*
Directors and executive officers as a group (19 persons)	9,948,551(20)	18.7% of Common Stock

\* Less than 1%

\*\* Address is c/o Hawaiian Holdings, Inc., 3375 Koapaka Street, Suite G-350, Honolulu, HI 96819.

(1)Hirzel Capital Management LLC (“Hirzel Capital”) and Zac S. Hirzel are the beneficial owners of 5,661,017 shares, and Hirzel Capital Master Fund, L.P. (the “Fund”) is the beneficial owner of 4,769,243 of the shares. The Fund has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to the 4,769,243 shares it holds directly. Hirzel Capital serves as the general partner or managing member of the Fund and certain other private accounts (the “Hirzel Funds”) and has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to the 5,661,017 shares held by the Hirzel Funds. As the principal of Hirzel Capital, Mr. Hirzel has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to the 5,661,017 shares held by the Hirzel Funds. Mr. Hirzel is a member of our Board of Directors.



- (2) Based solely on information reported by BlackRock, Inc. (“BlackRock”) on Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on January 29, 2014, BlackRock has sole voting power with respect to 2,926,187 of the shares and sole dispositive power with respect to all of the shares. Various persons have the right to receive, or the power to direct the receipt of, dividends from, or the proceeds from the sale of, the shares, and no one person’s interest in the shares is more than five percent of the total outstanding common shares.
- (3) Based solely on information reported by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP on Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2014, Dimensional Fund Advisors LP has sole voting power with respect to 2,779,288 of the shares and sole dispositive power with respect to all of the shares. Dimensional Fund Advisors LP is an investment adviser, and furnishes investment advice to four investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, and serves as investment manager to certain other commingled group trusts and separate accounts (such investment companies, trusts and accounts, collectively referred to as the “Funds”). In certain cases, subsidiaries of Dimensional Fund Advisors LP may act as an adviser or sub-adviser to certain Funds. In its role as investment adviser, sub-adviser and/or manager, Dimensional Fund Advisors LP or its subsidiaries (collectively, “Dimensional”) possess voting and/or investment power over the securities of the Issuer that are owned by the Funds, and may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of the shares of the Issuer held by the Funds. However, all securities reported are owned by the Funds. Dimensional disclaims beneficial ownership of such securities.

- (4) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on November 4, 2013, Oasis Management Company, Ltd., Oasis Investments II Master Fund Ltd. and Seth Fischer (together, the "Oasis Parties") are the beneficial owners of 2,620,700 shares. Each of the Oasis Parties has shared voting power and shared dispositive power over all of the shares. Oasis Management Company, Ltd. is the investment manager of Oasis Investments II Master Fund Ltd. which holds all of the shares. Mr. Fischer is responsible for the supervision and conduct of all investment activities of Oasis Management Company, Ltd. including all investment decisions with respect to the assets of Oasis Investments II Master Fund Ltd. The address of the business office of Mr. Fischer is c/o Oasis Compliance, Oasis Management (Hong Kong) LLC, Suite 2136, 21st Floor, The Center, 99 Queen's Road, Central Hong Kong.
- (5) Represents (i) 34,173 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Anderson, (ii) 9,999 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (6) Represents (i) 5,704 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Boyer, (ii) 5,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (7) Represents (i) 823,857 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Dunkerley and (ii) 1,044,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (8) Represents (i) 948,340 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Hershfield, (ii) 150,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (9) Represents (i) 54,112 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Jenson, (ii) 110,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (10) Represents (i) 61,573 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Kobayashi, (ii) 3,000 shares of Common Stock owned by Mr. Kobayashi's wife, (iii) 14,999 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iv) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (11) Represents (i) 7,493 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Moriizumi, (ii) 3,334 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (12) Represents (i) 19,515 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Poomaihealani, (ii) 5,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (13) Represents (i) 44,173 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Ms. Rose, (ii) 25,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (14) Represents (i) 44,173 shares of Common Stock directly owned by Mr. Swelbar, (ii) 15,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.

- (15) Represents (i) 28,810 shares of Common Stock directly owned by Mr. Zwern, (ii) 3,334 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 11,835 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (16) Represents 9,743 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Anderson-Lehman.
- (17) Represents (i) 144,487 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Ingram and (ii) 200,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014.
- (18) Represents (i) 45,796 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Nardello and (ii) 8,000 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014.

- (19) Represents 26,833 shares of Common Stock owned directly by Mr. Topping.
- (20) Represents (i) 8,125,614 shares of Common Stock beneficially owned by all of our directors and executive officers, (ii) 1,704,587 shares of Common Stock underlying stock options that are exercisable within 60 days of March 24, 2014 and (iii) 118,350 shares of Common Stock underlying restricted stock units that will be distributed within 60 days of March 24, 2014.

#### Special Preferred Stock

The IAM, the AFA and the ALPA hold one share of Series B Special Preferred Stock, Series C Special Preferred Stock and Series D Special Preferred Stock, respectively, which entitle each Union to nominate one director. Mr. Poomaihealani is the IAM's designee to the Board of Directors, Mr. Swelbar is the AFA's designee to the Board of Directors and Mr. Boyer is the ALPA's designee to the Board of Directors. On February 4, 2014, Mr. Boyer notified the Company that he will resign as a member of the Board of Directors effective May 22, 2014. On February 4, 2014, the Board of Directors appointed Mr. Duane E. Woerth to the Board of Directors, effective May 22, 2014, to fill the vacancy created by Mr. Boyer's resignation, per the notification from the ALPA of Mr. Woerth's nomination to serve as the ALPA's designee upon Mr. Boyer's resignation. The Special Preferred Stock Designees are not elected by the holders of the Common Stock, and their election is, accordingly, not to be considered at the Annual Meeting. Each Union, as a holder of Special Preferred Stock, has the right to designate a nominee to fill a vacancy on the Board of Directors caused by the removal, resignation or death of a director whom such holder is entitled to nominate pursuant to our Amended By-Laws. If such vacancy is not filled by the Board of Directors within 30 days of such nomination, such vacancy may be filled by the written consent of the applicable holder of Special Preferred Stock. In addition to the rights described above, each series of the Special Preferred Stock, unless otherwise specified: (1) ranks senior to the Common Stock and ranks pari passu with each other such series of Special Preferred Stock with respect to the liquidation, dissolution and winding up of the Company and will be entitled to receive \$0.01 per share before any payments are made, or assets distributed to holders of any stock ranking junior to the Special Preferred Stock; (2) has no dividend rights unless a dividend is declared and paid on the Common Stock, in which case the Special Preferred Stock would be entitled to receive a dividend in an amount per share equal to two times the dividend per share paid on the Common Stock; (3) is entitled to one vote per share of such series and votes with the Common Stock as a single class on all matters submitted to holders of the Common Stock; and (4) automatically converts into the Common Stock on a 1:1 basis at such time as such shares are transferred or such holders are no longer entitled to nominate a representative to our Board of Directors pursuant to their respective collective bargaining agreements.

#### EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides the specified information as of December 31, 2013, with respect to compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance, aggregated by all compensation plans previously approved by our security holders, and by all compensation plans not previously approved by our security holders:

Plan Category	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in first
---------------	---	--	---

				column)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	3,601,900	(1) \$	4.53	7,130,899
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	—		—	—
Total	3,601,900	\$	4.53	7,130,899

---

(1) Includes 1,898,409 shares subject to outstanding options, 118,350 shares subject to deferred stock units and 1,585,141 shares subject to restricted stock units.

## CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

### Review and Approval of Related Party Transactions

We review all relationships and transactions in which the Company and our directors and executive officers or their immediate family members are participants to determine whether such persons have a direct or indirect material interest. Our legal staff is primarily responsible for the development and implementation of processes and controls to obtain information from the directors and executive officers with respect to related person transactions and for then determining, based on the facts and circumstances, whether the Company or a related person has a direct or indirect material interest in the transaction. In addition, the Governance and Nominating Committee monitors and reviews any issues regarding the “independence” of directors or involving potential conflicts of interest, and evaluates any change of status or circumstance with respect to a director and determines the propriety of the director’s continued service in light of that change.

### Related Party Transactions

During 2013, the Company did not engage in any related party transactions.

## REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE

The Board of Directors has the ultimate authority for effective corporate governance, including oversight of the Company's management. The Audit Committee's purpose is to assist the Board of Directors in fulfilling its responsibilities by overseeing our accounting and financial reporting processes, the audits of our consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting, the qualifications and performance of the independent registered public accounting firm engaged as our independent auditor, and the performance of our internal auditors.

The Audit Committee relies on the expertise and knowledge of management, the internal auditors and the independent auditor in carrying out its oversight responsibilities. Management is responsible for the preparation, presentation, and integrity of our consolidated financial statements, accounting and financial reporting principles, internal control over financial reporting, and disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure compliance with accounting standards, applicable laws and regulations. Management is responsible for objectively reviewing and evaluating the adequacy, effectiveness and quality of our system of internal control. Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP ("Ernst & Young"), is responsible for performing an independent audit of the consolidated financial statements and expressing an opinion on the conformity of those financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee has reviewed our audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013 and discussed such statements with management. The Audit Committee has discussed with Ernst & Young the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61 (Communication with Audit Committees—AU Section 380), as amended.

The Audit Committee received from Ernst & Young the written disclosures and the letter required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee, and discussed with Ernst & Young its independence.

Based on the review and discussions noted above, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that our audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2013, and be filed with the SEC. The Audit Committee also appointed Ernst & Young to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year 2012.

This report of the Audit Committee shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or incorporated by reference by any general statement incorporating by reference the proxy statement into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that the Company specifically requests that this information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporates this information by reference, nor shall it be deemed filed under such Acts.

The Audit Committee

Gregory S. Anderson, Chairman  
Zac S. Hirzel  
Randall L. Jenson  
Bert T. Kobayashi, Jr.  
Richard N. Zwern

April 11, 2014





PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF ERNST & YOUNG AS THE  
COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors has selected Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2014, and has further directed that management submit the appointment of independent auditors for ratification by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting. Our financial statements for the 2013 fiscal year were audited and reported upon by Ernst & Young.

Representatives of Ernst & Young will be present at the Annual Meeting and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from stockholders and make a statement should they so desire.

Ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm is not required pursuant to our Amended By-Laws, our other governing documents or applicable law. However, the Board of Directors is submitting the appointment of Ernst & Young to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors will reconsider whether or not to retain that firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors in its discretion may direct the appointment of different independent auditors at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young. Abstentions will be counted toward the tabulation of votes cast on this proposal and will have the same effect as negative votes. Broker non-votes are counted towards a quorum, but are not counted for any purpose in determining whether this matter has been approved.

The amounts set forth below include all fees paid to Ernst & Young for services provided to us during 2013 and 2012.

#### Audit Fees

Fees for audit services rendered by Ernst & Young to us totaled \$1.7 million and \$1.5 million for 2013 and 2012, respectively. Audit fees consist primarily of fees for the audits of our consolidated financial statements and the financial statements of Hawaiian, the audit of our internal control over financial reporting, the review of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in our quarterly reports, attestation services required by statute or regulation, consents, assistance with and review of documents filed with the SEC, work performed by tax professionals in connection with the audits and quarterly reviews, and accounting and financial reporting consultations and research work necessary to comply with generally accepted accounting principles. All of the foregoing services rendered by Ernst & Young were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

#### Audit-Related Fees

Fees for audit-related services rendered by Ernst & Young to us totaled \$0.1 million for 2012, consisting entirely of fees for the audit of Hawaiian's employee benefit plans and no fees for audit-related services were spent in 2013. All of the foregoing services rendered by Ernst & Young were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

#### Tax Fees

Fees for tax services rendered by Ernst & Young to us totaled \$0.2 million for each of 2013 and 2012. Tax fees consist primarily of fees for the preparation of federal, state and foreign tax returns, assistance in assembling data to

respond to governmental reviews of past tax filings, and tax advice, exclusive of tax services rendered in connection with the audits. All of the foregoing services rendered by Ernst & Young were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

#### Other Fees

Ernst & Young did not provide any professional services during fiscal 2013 or 2012 other than those described under the captions "Audit Fees," "Audit- Related Fees" and "Tax Fees."

#### Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies

The policy of the Audit Committee is to pre-approve the audit, audit- related, tax and non-audit services to be performed during the year on an annual basis, in accordance with a schedule of such services approved by the Audit Committee. The annual audit services engagement terms and fees will be subject to the specific pre-approval of the Audit Committee. Audit-related services and tax services to be provided by the auditors will be subject to general pre-approval by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee may grant specific case-by-case approval for permissible non-audit services. The Audit Committee will establish pre-approval fee levels or budgeted amounts for all services to be provided on an annual basis. Any proposed services exceeding those levels or amounts will require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee has delegated pre-approval authority to the Chair of the Audit Committee, who will report any such pre-approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO RATIFY THE SELECTION OF ERNST & YOUNG AS THE COMPANY'S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR ITS FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2014.

### PROPOSAL NO. 3: NON-BINDING VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, or the Dodd-Frank Act, enables our stockholders to vote to approve, on an advisory or non-binding basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in accordance with the SEC's rules in the "Executive Compensation" section of this proxy statement beginning on page 13 above. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers' compensation as a whole. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation or any specific named executive officer, but rather the overall compensation of all of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this Proxy Statement.

The say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the Compensation Committee or our Board of Directors. The say-on-pay vote will, however, provide information to us regarding investor sentiment about our executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices, which the Compensation Committee will be able to consider when determining executive compensation for the remainder of the current fiscal year and beyond. Our Board of Directors and our Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, we may communicate directly with stockholders to better understand the concerns that influenced the vote, but in all events we will consider our stockholders' concerns and will share them with the Compensation Committee which will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

See the "Executive Compensation" section beginning on page 13 for more information regarding our 2013 executive compensation program. Our Compensation Committee, assisted by its independent compensation consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., stays informed of developing executive compensation best practices and strives to implement them.

We believe that the information provided within the Executive Compensation section of this Proxy Statement demonstrates that our executive compensation program was designed appropriately and is working to ensure management's interests are aligned with our stockholders' interests to support long-term value creation.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE TO APPROVE, ON AN ADVISORY BASIS, THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT PURSUANT TO THE COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE RULES OF THE SEC. THE AFFIRMATIVE VOTE OF THE HOLDERS OF A MAJORITY OF THE SHARES PRESENT AND ENTITLED TO VOTE IS NECESSARY FOR APPROVAL.

### OTHER MATTERS

We know of no other matters to come before the Annual Meeting other than those stated in the Notice of the Annual Meeting. To date, we have not received any stockholder proposals. However, if any other matters are properly presented to the stockholders for action, it is the intention of the proxyholders named in the enclosed proxy to vote in their discretion on all matters on which the shares represented by such proxy are entitled to vote.

### SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"), requires our directors and executive officers, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities, to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of our Common Stock and other equity securities. Such persons are also required to provide us with copies of all such reports filed with the SEC. Based solely upon the information supplied to us by these persons, we are required to report any known failure to file these reports within the specified period. To our knowledge, based solely upon a review of the Section 16(a) reports furnished to us and the written representations of these reporting persons, these persons complied with all filing requirements in a timely fashion for fiscal year 2013, except for a transaction that should have been reported on Form 4 by November 4, 2013 for Scott E. Topping, which was actually reported on November 12, 2013, a transaction that should have been reported on Form 4 by March 1, 2013 for Barbara D. Falvey, which was actually reported on March 4, 2013 and a transaction that should have been reported on Form 4 by March 1, 2013 for Glenn G. Taniguchi, which was actually reported on March 4, 2013.

## STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

Stockholders who, in accordance with SEC Rule 14a-8, wish to present proposals for inclusion in the proxy materials to be distributed in connection with next year's annual meeting proxy statement must submit their proposals so that they are received at our principal executive offices no later than the close of business on December 12, 2014. As the rules of the SEC make clear, simply submitting a proposal does not guarantee that it will be included.

In order to be properly brought before the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, a stockholder's notice of a matter the stockholder wishes to present (other than a matter brought pursuant to SEC Rule 14a-8), or the person or persons the stockholder wishes to nominate as a director, must be delivered to the Secretary of the Company at our principal executive offices not later than the close of business on the 90th day nor earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to the first anniversary of the date of the 2013 Annual Meeting. As a result, any notice given by a stockholder pursuant to these provisions of our Amended By-Laws (and not pursuant to the SEC Rule 14a-8) must be received no later than the close of business on February 21, 2015, and no earlier than the close of business on January 22, 2015, unless our annual meeting date occurs more than 30 days before or more than 70 days after May 22, 2015. In that case, we must receive proposals not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to the date of the annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to the date of the annual meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of the annual meeting is first made by the Company.

To be in proper form, a stockholder's notice must include the specified information concerning the proposal or nominee as described in our Amended By-Laws. A stockholder who wishes to submit a proposal or nomination is encouraged to seek independent counsel about our Amended By-Laws and SEC requirements. We will not consider any proposal or nomination that does not meet the Amended By-Laws and SEC requirements for submitting a proposal or nomination.

## AVAILABILITY OF PROXY STATEMENT AND ANNUAL REPORT

Pursuant to new SEC rules, we have elected to provide access to our proxy materials by notifying you of the availability of our proxy materials on the Internet. Copies of this Proxy Statement and our 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders which includes financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013, as well as other information about our activities, are available at <http://www.astproxyportal.com/ast/17758>. The 2013 Annual Report to Stockholders is not incorporated into this Proxy Statement and is not to be considered a part of these proxy soliciting materials.

**A COPY OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT AND THE FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT (WITHOUT EXHIBITS) FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013, WHICH WE HAVE FILED WITH THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION IS AVAILABLE TO ANY STOCKHOLDER UPON WRITTEN REQUEST, WITHOUT CHARGE. THE REQUEST SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO HAWAIIAN HOLDINGS, INC., ATTENTION: HOYT H. ZIA, 3375 KOAPAKA STREET, SUITE G-350, HONOLULU, HI 96819.**





