

CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG
Form 20-F
March 25, 2011

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 25, 2011

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 20-F

REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010.

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Date of event requiring this shell company report
For the transition period from to .

Commission file number: 001-15244
Credit Suisse Group AG

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)
Canton of Zurich, Switzerland
(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)
Paradeplatz 8, P.O. Box 1, CH 8070 Zurich, Switzerland
(Address of principal executive offices)
David R. Mathers
Chief Financial Officer
Paradeplatz 8, P.O. Box 1, CH 8070 Zurich, Switzerland

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

david.mathers@credit-suisse.com

Telephone: +41 44 333 6607

Fax: +41 44 333 1790

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Commission file number: 001-33434

Credit Suisse AG

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Canton of Zurich, Switzerland

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

Paradeplatz 8, CH 8070 Zurich, Switzerland

(Address of principal executive offices)

David R. Mathers

Chief Financial Officer

Paradeplatz 8, P.O. Box 1, CH 8070 Zurich, Switzerland

david.mathers@credit-suisse.com

Telephone: +41 44 333 6607

Fax: +41 44 333 1790

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Title of each class of securities of Credit Suisse Group AG	Name of each exchange on which registered
American Depositary Shares each representing one Share Shares par value CHF 0.04*	New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange*
Title of each class of securities of Credit Suisse AG	
Fixed to Floating Rate Tier 1 Capital Notes	New York Stock Exchange
Floating Rate Tier 1 Capital Notes	New York Stock Exchange
7.9% Tier 1 Capital Notes	New York Stock Exchange
Buffered Accelerated Return Equity Securities (BARES) due November 6, 2012	
Linked to the Performance of the CS/RT Emerging Infrastructure Index Powered by HOLT	NYSE Amex
Accelerated Return Equity Securities (ARES) due November 6, 2012	
Linked to the Performance of the CS/RT Emerging Infrastructure Index Powered by HOLT	NYSE Amex
ELEMENTS due April 10, 2023	
Linked to the Credit Suisse Global Warming Index, Exchange Series	NYSE Arca
Exchange Traded Notes due February 19, 2020	
Linked to the Credit Suisse Long/Short Liquid Index (Net)	NYSE Arca
Exchange Traded Notes due March 13, 2031	
Linked on a Leveraged Basis to the Credit Suisse Merger Arbitrage Liquid Index (Net)	NYSE Arca
VelocityShares Daily Inverse VIX Short Term ETN linked to the S&P 500 VIX Short-Term Futures™ Index du	NYSE Arca

December 4, 2030
 VelocityShares Daily Inverse VIX Medium Term ETN
 linked to the S&P 500 VIX Mid-Term Futures™ Index due
 December 4, 2030 NYSE Arca
 VelocityShares VIX Medium Term ETN
 linked to the S&P 500 VIX Mid-Term Futures™ Index due
 December 4, 2030 NYSE Arca
 VelocityShares Daily 2x VIX Short Term ETN
 linked to the S&P 500 VIX Short-Term Futures™ Index due
 December 4, 2030 NYSE Arca
 VelocityShares Daily 2x VIX Medium Term ETN
 linked to the S&P 500 VIX Mid-Term Futures™ Index due
 December 4, 2030 NYSE Arca
 Exchange Traded Notes due October 6, 2020
 Linked to the Credit Suisse Merger Arbitrage Liquid
 Index (Net) NYSE Arca
 Exchange Traded Notes due April 2020
 Linked to the Cushing® 30 MLP Index NYSE Arca
 Exchange Traded Notes due February 19, 2020
 Linked to the Credit Suisse Long/Short Liquid Index
 (Net) NYSE Arca

Title of each class of securities of Credit Suisse (USA), Inc.
 6 1/8% Notes due 2011 New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**
 Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: **None**
 Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of
 December 31, 2010: 1,186,174,442 shares of Credit Suisse Group AG

Indicate by check mark if the Registrants are well-known seasoned issuers, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities
 Act.

Yes No

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the Registrants are not required to file reports
 pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants (1) have filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of
 the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrants
 were required to file such reports) and (2) have been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrants are large accelerated filers, accelerated filers, or non-accelerated
 filers. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One):

Large accelerated filers Accelerated filers Non-accelerated filers

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the Registrants have used to prepare the financial statements
 included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP International Other
 Financial Reporting Standards

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

as issued by the
International Accounting Standards Board

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the Registrants are shell companies
(as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)

Yes No

* Not for trading, but only in connection with the registration of the American Depositary Shares

Definitions

Sources

Cautionary statement regarding forward-looking information

Item 1. Identity of directors, senior management and advisers.

Item 2. Offer statistics and expected timetable.

Item 3. Key information.

Item 4. Information on the company.

Item 4A. Unresolved staff comments.

Item 5. Operating and financial review and prospects.

Item 6. Directors, senior management and employees.

Item 7. Major shareholders and related party transactions.

Item 8. Financial information.

Item 9. The offer and listing.

Item 10. Additional information.

Item 11. Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk.

Item 12. Description of securities other than equity securities.

Item 13. Defaults, dividend arrearages and delinquencies.

Item 14. Material modifications to the rights of security holders and use of proceeds.

Item 15. Controls and procedures.

Item 16A. Audit committee financial expert.

Item 16B. Code of ethics.

Item 16C. Principal accountant fees and services.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the listing standards for audit committee.

[Item 16E. Purchases of equity securities by the issuer and affiliated purchasers.](#)

[Item 16F. Change in registrants' certifying accountant.](#)

[Item 16G. Corporate governance.](#)

[Item 17. Financial statements.](#)

[Item 18. Financial statements.](#)

[Item 19. Exhibits.](#)

[SIGNATURES](#)

[Annual Report 2010](#)

[Message from the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer](#)

[Information on the company](#)

[Credit Suisse at a glance](#)

[Credit Suisse in the World](#)

[Vision](#)

[Strategy](#)

[Our businesses](#)

[Organizational and regional structure](#)

[Regulation and supervision](#)

[Operating and financial review](#)

[Operating environment](#)

[Credit Suisse](#)

[Core Results](#)

[Key performance indicators](#)

[Private Banking](#)

[Wealth Management Clients](#)

[Corporate & Institutional Clients](#)

[Investment Banking](#)

[Asset Management](#)

[Corporate Center](#)

[Results overview](#)

[Assets under management](#)

[Critical accounting estimates](#)

[Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet](#)

[Treasury management](#)

[Risk management](#)

[Balance sheet, off-balance sheet and other contractual obligations](#)

[Corporate Governance and Compensation](#)

[Corporate Governance](#)

[Compensation](#)

[Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group](#)

[Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm](#)

[Consolidated financial statements](#)

[Notes to the consolidated financial statements](#)

[1 Summary of significant accounting policies](#)

[2 Recently issued accounting standards](#)

[3 Business developments](#)

[4 Discontinued operations](#)

[5 Segment information](#)

[6 Net interest income](#)

[7 Commissions and fees](#)

[8 Trading revenues](#)

[9 Other revenues](#)

10 Provision for credit losses
11 Compensation and benefits
12 General and administrative expenses
13 Earnings per share
14 Securities borrowed, lent and subject to repurchase agreements
15 Trading assets and liabilities
16 Investment securities
17 Other investments
18 Loans, allowance for loan losses and credit quality
19 Premises and equipment
20 Goodwill and other intangible assets
21 Life settlement contracts
22 Other assets and other liabilities
23 Deposits
24 Long-term debt
25 Accumulated other comprehensive income
26 Tax
27 Employee deferred compensation
28 Related parties
29 Pension and other post-retirement benefits
30 Derivatives and hedging activities
31 Guarantees and commitments
32 Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities
33 Financial instruments
34 Assets pledged or assigned
35 Capital adequacy
36 Assets under management
37 Litigation
38 Significant subsidiaries and equity method investments
39 Subsidiary guarantee information
40 Credit Suisse Group parent company
41 Significant valuation and income recognition differences between US GAAP and Swiss GAAP (true and fair view)
42 Risk assessment
Controls and procedures
Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to the General Meeting of Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group
Report of the Statutory Auditor on the Financial Statements to the General Meeting of Parent company financial statements
Notes to the financial statements
1 Accounting principles
2 Contingent liabilities
3 Compensation to members of the Executive Board and the Board of Directors
4 Principal participations
5 Own shares held by the company and by group companies
6 Significant shareholders
7 Share capital, conditional and authorized capital of Credit Suisse Group
8 Risk assessment
Proposed appropriation of retained earnings and capital distribution
Confirmation to the Board of Directors relating to the Conditional Increase of Share Capital of Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank)
Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated financial statements

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

1 Summary of significant accounting policies

2 Recently issued accounting standards

3 Business developments

4 Discontinued operations

5 Segment information

6 Net interest income

7 Commissions and fees

8 Trading revenues

9 Other revenues

10 Provision for credit losses

11 Compensation and benefits

12 General and administrative expenses

13 Securities borrowed, lent and subject to repurchase agreements

14 Trading assets and liabilities

15 Investment securities

16 Other investments

17 Loans, allowance for loan losses and credit quality

18 Premises and equipment

19 Goodwill and other intangible assets

20 Life settlement contracts

21 Other assets and other liabilities

22 Deposits

23 Long-term debt

24 Accumulated other comprehensive income

25 Tax

26 Employee deferred compensation

27 Related parties

28 Pension and other post-retirement benefits

29 Derivatives and hedging activities

30 Guarantees and commitments

31 Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities

32 Financial instruments

33 Assets pledged or assigned

34 Capital adequacy

35 Litigation

36 Significant subsidiaries and equity method investments

37 Significant valuation and income recognition differences between US GAAP and Swiss GAAP (true and fair view)

38 Risk assessment

Controls and procedures

Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm to the General Meeting of

Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank)

Report of the Statutory Auditor on the Financial Statements to the General Meeting of

Financial review

Parent company financial statements

Notes to the financial statements

1 Description of business activities

2 Accounting and valuation policies

3 Additional information on the parent company statements of income

4 Pledged assets and assets under reservation of ownership

[5 Other assets and other liabilities](#)

[6 Securities borrowing and securities lending, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements](#)

[7 Structured products that include own debt](#)

[8 Liabilities due to own pension plans](#)

[9 Valuation adjustments and provisions](#)

[10 Composition of share and participation capital and authorized capital](#)

[11 Major shareholders and groups of shareholders](#)

[12 Shareholder's equity](#)

[13 Amounts receivable from and payable to affiliated companies and loans to members of the Bank parent company's governing bodies](#)

[14 Significant transactions with related parties](#)

[15 Fire insurance value of tangible fixed assets](#)

[16 Liabilities for future payments in connection with operating leases](#)

[17 Fiduciary transactions](#)

[18 Number of employees](#)

[19 Foreign currency translation rates](#)

[20 Outsourcing of services](#)

[21 Risk assessment](#)

[Proposed appropriation of retained earnings](#)

[Additional information](#)

[Statistical information](#)

[Legal proceedings](#)

[Risk factors](#)

[Other information](#)

[Foreign currency translation rates](#)

[Investor information](#)

[Investor information](#)

[List of abbreviations](#)

[Glossary](#)

Definitions

For the purposes of this Form 20-F and the attached Annual Report 2010, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms "Credit Suisse Group," "Credit Suisse," "the Group," "we," "us" and "our" mean Credit Suisse Group AG and its consolidated subsidiaries and the term "the Bank" means Credit Suisse AG, the Swiss bank subsidiary of the Group, and its consolidated subsidiaries.

The business of the Bank is substantially similar to the Group and, except where noted or the context otherwise requires, information relating to the Group is also relevant to the Bank.

Abbreviations and selected terms are explained in the List of abbreviations and the Glossary in the back of the Annual Report 2010.

Sources

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Throughout this Form 20-F and the attached Annual Report 2010, we describe the position and ranking of our various businesses in certain industry and geographic markets. The sources for such descriptions come from a variety of conventional publications generally accepted as relevant business indicators by members of the financial services industry. These sources include: Standard & Poor's, Thomson Financial, Dealogic, the Loan Pricing Corporation, Institutional Investor, Lipper, Moody's Investors Service and Fitch Ratings.

Cautionary statement regarding forward-looking information

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see Cautionary statement regarding forward-looking information on page 518 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Part I

Item 1. Identity of directors, senior management and advisers.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

Item 2. Offer statistics and expected timetable.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

Item 3. Key information.

A – Selected financial data.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IX – Additional information – Statistical information – Selected information – Group on page 476 of the attached Annual Report 2010. For the Bank, please see IX – Additional information – Statistical information – Selected information – Bank on page 477 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

B – Capitalization and indebtedness.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

C – Reasons for the offer and use of proceeds.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

D – Risk factors.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IX – Additional information – Risk factors on pages 496 to 502 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 4. Information on the company.

A – History and development of the company.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see I – Information on the company – Credit Suisse at a glance on pages 12 to 13, – Credit Suisse in the World on pages 14 to 15 and – Vision on page 16, and IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Overview – Company on pages 147 to 148 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 3 – Business developments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on page 235 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and, for the Bank, please see Note 3 – Business developments in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on page 388 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

B – Business overview.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see I – Information on the company on pages 12 to 42 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 5 – Segment information in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 237 to 239 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and, for the Bank, please see Note 5 – Segment information in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 389 to 390 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

C – Organizational structure.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see I – Information on the company – Organizational and regional structure on pages 33 to 34 and II – Operating and financial review – Credit Suisse – Differences between Group and Bank on page 49 of the attached Annual Report 2010. For a list of Credit Suisse's significant subsidiaries, please see Note 38 – Significant subsidiaries and equity method investments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 338 to 340 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and, for a list of the Bank's significant subsidiaries, please see Note 36 – Significant subsidiaries and equity method investments in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 454 to 456 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

D – Property, plant and equipment.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IX – Additional information – Other information – Property and equipment on page 507 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Information Required by Industry Guide 3.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IX – Additional information – Statistical information – Group on pages 478 to 494 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for both Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Risk management – Credit risk – Loans – Impaired loans on pages 134 to 136 and – Provision for credit losses on page 136 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 4A. Unresolved staff comments.

None.

Item 5. Operating and financial review and prospects.

A – Operating results.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see II – Operating and financial review on pages 44 to 94 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for both Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see I – Information on the company – Regulation and supervision on pages 35 to 42 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Foreign exchange exposure and interest rate management on page 118.

B – Liquidity and capital resources.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management on pages 96 to 118 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 24 – Long-term debt in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 256 to 257 and Note 35 – Capital adequacy in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 329 to 330 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and, for the Bank, please see Note 23 – Long-term debt in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on page 404 and Note 34 – Capital adequacy in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on page 453 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

C – Research and development, patents and licenses, etc.

Not applicable.

D – Trend information.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see Item 5.A of this Form 20-F. In addition, for Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see I – Information on the Company – Our business on pages 20 to 32 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

E – Off-balance sheet arrangements.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Balance sheet, off-balance sheet and other contractual obligations on pages 141 to 144 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 30 – Derivatives and hedging activities, Note 31 – Guarantees and commitments and Note 32 – Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 284 to 308 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and, for the Bank, please see Note 29 – Derivatives and hedging activities, Note 30 – Guarantees and commitments and Note 31 – Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 425 to 439 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

F – Tabular disclosure of contractual obligations.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Balance sheet, off-balance sheet and other contractual obligations – Contractual obligations and other commercial commitments on page 143 to 144 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 6. Directors, senior management and employees.

A – Directors and senior management.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Board of Directors, – Board Committees, – Biographies of the Board Members, – Executive Board and – Biographies of the Executive Board Members on pages 159 to 176 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

B – Compensation.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Compensation on pages 181 to 210 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 11 – Compensation and benefits in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on page 241, Note 27 – Employee deferred compensation in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 264 to 271 and Note 29 – Pension and other post-retirement benefits in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 273 to 284, and Note 3 – Compensation to members of the Executive Board and the Board of Directors in VI – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 361 to 369 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and, for the Bank, please see Note 11 – Compensation and benefits in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on page 393, Note 26 – Employee deferred compensation in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 411 to 414 and Note 28 – Pension and other post-retirement benefits in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 417 to 425 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

C – Board practices.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance on pages 146 to 180 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

D – Employees.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Overview – Employees on page 148. In addition, for both Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see II – Operating and financial review – Results overview on pages 84 to 85 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

E – Share ownership.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Compensation on pages 181 to 210 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 27, Employee deferred compensation in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 264 to 271, and Note 3 – Compensation to members of the Executive Board and Board of Directors in VI – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 361 to 369 of the attached Annual Report 2010. For the Bank, please see Note 26 – Employee deferred compensation in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 411 to 414 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 7. Major shareholders and related party transactions.

A – Major shareholders.

For Credit Suisse, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Shareholders on pages 149 to 152 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 3 – Business developments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on page 235, Note 5 – Own shares held by the company and by group companies and Note 6 – Significant shareholders in VI – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on page 370 of the attached Annual Report 2010. Credit Suisse's major shareholders do not have different voting rights. The Bank has 43,996,652 shares outstanding and is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Credit Suisse.

B – Related party transactions.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Compensation on pages 181 to 210 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 28 – Related parties in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 272 to 273 and Note 3 – Compensation to members of the Executive Board and the Board of Directors – Loans to members of the Board of Directors in VI – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on page 369 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and, for the Bank, please see Note 27 – Related parties in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 415 to 416 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

C – Interests of experts and counsel.

Not applicable because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

Item 8. Financial information.

A – Consolidated statements and other financial information.

Please see Item 18 of this Form 20-F.

For a description of Credit Suisse's and the Bank's legal or arbitration proceedings, please see IX – Additional information – Legal proceedings on page 495 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see Note 37 – Litigation in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 331 to 337 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and, for the Bank, please see Note 35 – Litigation in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on page 454 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

For a description of Credit Suisse's policy on dividend distributions, please see III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Regulatory capital developments and proposals – Dividends and dividend policy on pages 114 to 115 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

B – Significant changes.

None.

Item 9. The offer and listing.

A – Offer and listing details, C – Markets.

For information regarding the price history of Credit Suisse Group shares and the stock exchanges and other regulated markets on which they are listed or traded, please see IX – Additional information – Other information – Listing details on pages 506 to 507 of the attached Annual Report 2010. Shares of the Bank are not listed.

B – Plan of distribution, D – Selling shareholders, E – Dilution, F – Expenses of the issue.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

Item 10. Additional information.

A – Share capital.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

B – Memorandum and Articles of Association.

For Credit Suisse, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Overview, – Shareholders and – Board of Directors on pages 146 to 156 and – Additional information – Changes of control and defense measures on page 178 and – Liquidation on page 180 of the attached Annual Report 2010. In addition, for Credit Suisse, please see IX – Additional information – Other information – Exchange controls and – American Depositary Shares on page 503 of the attached Annual Report 2010. Shares of the Bank are not listed.

C – Material contracts.

Neither Credit Suisse nor the Bank has any contract that would constitute a material contract for the two years immediately preceding this Form 20-F.

D – Exchange controls.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IX – Additional information – Other information – Exchange controls on page 503 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

E – Taxation.

For Credit Suisse, please see IX – Additional information – Other information – Taxation on pages 503 to 506 of the attached Annual Report 2010. The Bank does not have any public shareholders.

F – Dividends and paying agents.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

G – Statement by experts.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

H – Documents on display.

Credit Suisse and the Bank file periodic reports and other information with the SEC. You may read and copy any document that Credit Suisse or the Bank files with the SEC on the SEC's website, www.sec.gov, or at the SEC's public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549. Please call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 (in the US) or at +1 202 942 8088 (outside the US) for further information on the operation of its public reference room. You may also inspect Credit Suisse's and the Bank's SEC reports and other information at the New York Stock Exchange, 11 Wall Street, New York, NY 10005.

The information Credit Suisse or the Bank files with the SEC may also be found on the Credit Suisse website at www.credit-suisse.com. In addition, our website also contains corporate governance policies and other documents of

Credit Suisse and the Bank. Information contained on our website is not incorporated by reference into this Form 20-F.

In addition, Credit Suisse's parent company financial statements, together with the notes thereto, are set forth on pages 359 to 372 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and incorporated by reference herein. The Bank's parent company financial statements, together with the notes thereto, are set forth on pages 463 to 474 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and incorporated by reference herein.

I – Subsidiary information.

Not applicable.

Item 11. Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Risk management on pages 119 to 139 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 12. Description of securities other than equity securities.

A – Debt Securities, B – Warrants and Rights, C – Other Securities.

Not required because this Form 20-F is filed as an annual report.

D – American Depositary Shares.

For Credit Suisse, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Additional information – American Depositary Share fees on pages 179 to 180 of the attached Annual Report 2010. Shares of the Bank are not listed.

Part II

Item 13. Defaults, dividend arrearages and delinquencies.

None.

Item 14. Material modifications to the rights of security holders and use of proceeds.

None.

Item 15. Controls and procedures.

For Credit Suisse's management report and the related report from the Group's independent auditors, please see Controls and procedures and Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 353 to 354 of the attached Annual Report 2010. For the Bank's management report and the related report from the Bank's independent auditors, please see Controls and procedures and Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 457 to 458 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 16A. Audit committee financial expert.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Board of Directors – Board committees – Audit Committee on pages 157 to 158 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 16B. Code of ethics.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Overview – Corporate governance framework on pages 146 to 147 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 16C. Principal accountant fees and services.

For Credit Suisse and the Bank, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Additional Information – Internal and external auditors on pages 178 to 179 of the attached Annual Report 2010.

Item 16D. Exemptions from the listing standards for audit committee.

None.

Item 16E. Purchases of equity securities by the issuer and affiliated purchasers.

For Credit Suisse, please see III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Share repurchase activities on pages 113 to 114 of the attached Annual Report 2010. The Bank does not have any class of equity securities registered pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act.

Item 16F. Change in registrants' certifying accountant.

None.

Item 16G. Corporate governance.

For Credit Suisse, please see IV – Corporate Governance and Compensation – Corporate Governance – Overview – Complying with rules and regulations on page 146 of the attached Annual Report 2010. Shares of the Bank are not listed.

Part III

Item 17. Financial statements.

Not applicable.

Item 18. Financial statements.

Credit Suisse's consolidated financial statements, together with the notes thereto and the Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon, are set forth on pages 213 to 354 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and incorporated by reference herein. The Bank's consolidated financial statements, together with the notes thereto (and any notes or portions thereof in the consolidated financial statements of Credit Suisse Group referred to therein) and the Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon, are set forth on pages 377 to 458 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and incorporated by reference herein.

Item 19. Exhibits.

1.1 Articles of association (Statuten) of Credit Suisse Group AG as of January 31, 2011.

1.2 Articles of association (Statuten) of Credit Suisse AG as of August 5, 2010.

1.3 Organizational Guidelines and Regulations of Credit Suisse Group AG and Credit Suisse AG (OGR) as of December 8, 2010.

7.1 Computations of ratios of earnings to fixed charges of Credit Suisse and of the Bank are set forth under IX – Additional Information – Statistical information – Ratio of earnings to fixed charges – Group and – Ratio of earnings to fixed charges – Bank on page 494 of the attached Annual Report 2010 and incorporated by reference herein.

8.1 Significant subsidiaries of Credit Suisse are set forth in Note 38 – Significant subsidiaries and equity method investments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group on pages 338 to 340, and significant subsidiaries of the Bank are set forth in Note 36 – Significant subsidiaries and equity method investments in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) on pages 454 to 456 in the attached Annual Report 2010 and incorporated by reference herein.

9.1 Consent of KPMG AG, Zurich with respect to Credit Suisse Group AG consolidated financial statements.

9.2 Consent of KPMG AG, Zurich with respect to the Credit Suisse AG consolidated financial statements.

12.1 Rule 13a-14(a) certification of the Chief Executive Officer of Credit Suisse Group AG and Credit Suisse AG, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

12.2 Rule 13a-14(a) certification of the Chief Financial Officer of Credit Suisse Group AG and Credit Suisse AG, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

13.1 Certifications pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 for Credit Suisse Group AG and Credit Suisse AG.

101.1 Interactive Data Files (XBRL-Related Documents).

SIGNATURES

Each of the registrants hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorized the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG

(Registrant)

Date: March 25, 2011

/s/ Brady W. Dougan

/s/ David R. Mathers

Name: Brady W. Dougan

Name: David R. Mathers

Title: Chief Executive Officer

Title: Chief Financial Officer

CREDIT SUISSE AG

(Registrant)

Date: March 25, 2011

/s/ Brady W. Dougan

/s/ David R. Mathers

Name: Brady W. Dougan

Name: David R. Mathers

Title: Chief Executive Officer

Title: Chief Financial Officer

Annual Report 2010

Annual Report

The Annual Report is a detailed presentation of the Group's annual financial statements, company structure, corporate governance and compensation practices, treasury and risk management framework and an in-depth review of our operating and financial results.

Cover London-based Marianna Pomazkova works in the Credit Suisse Private Banking division, helping family offices for ultra-high-net-worth clients in the Europe, Middle East and Africa region to find individualized solutions. Insights into her work can be found in the Company Profile.

Company Profile

For insights about the activities of each of the Group's divisions, regions and other shared services functions, refer to the Company Profile. The Business Review, a summary of the Group's financial performance during the year, is included in the publication.

Corporate Responsibility Report and Chronicle

For a detailed presentation on how the Group addresses its diverse social and environmental responsibilities when conducting its business activities, refer to the Corporate Responsibility Report. This publication is complemented by an online Chronicle that adds a multimedia dimension by providing a selection of reports, videos and picture galleries that focus on our international projects and initiatives. www.credit-suisse.com/chronicle

Financial highlights

	2010	2009	in / end of 2008	% change	
				10 / 09	09 / 08
Net income (CHF million)					
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders	5,098	6,724	(8,218)	(24)	–
of which from continuing operations	5,117	6,555	(7,687)	(22)	–
Earnings per share (CHF)					
Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	3.93	5.14	(7.51)	(24)	–
Basic earnings/(loss) per share	3.91	5.28	(8.01)	(26)	–
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	3.91	5.01	(7.51)	(22)	–
	3.89	5.14	(8.01)	(24)	–

Diluted earnings/(loss) per share					
Return on equity (%)					
Return on equity attributable to shareholders	14.4	18.3	(21.1)	–	–
Core Results (CHF million)					
Net revenues	30,625	33,617	11,862	(9)	183
Provision for credit losses	(79)	506	813	–	(38)
Total operating expenses	23,904	24,528	23,212	(3)	6
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	6,800	8,583	(12,163)	(21)	–
Core Results statement of operations metrics (%)					
Cost/income ratio	78.1	73.0	195.7	–	–
Pre-tax income margin	22.2	25.5	(102.5)	–	–
Effective tax rate	22.8	21.4	37.8	–	–
Net income margin ¹	16.6	20.0	(69.3)	–	–
Assets under management and net new assets (CHF billion)					
Assets under management from continuing operations	1,253.0	1,229.0	1,106.1	2.0	11.1
Net new assets	69.0	44.2	(3.0)	–	–
Balance sheet statistics (CHF million)					
Total assets	1,032,005	1,031,427	1,170,350	0	(12)
Net loans	218,842	237,180	235,797	(8)	1
Total shareholders' equity	33,282	37,517	32,302	(11)	16
Tangible shareholders' equity ²	24,385	27,922	22,549	(13)	24
Book value per share outstanding (CHF)					
Total book value per share	28.35	32.09	27.75	(12)	16
Shares outstanding (million)					
Common shares issued	1,186.1	1,185.4	1,184.6	0	0
Treasury shares	(12.2)	(16.2)	(20.7)	(25)	(22)
Shares outstanding	1,173.9	1,169.2	1,163.9	0	0
Market capitalization					
Market capitalization (CHF million)	44,683	60,691	33,762	(26)	80
Market capitalization (USD million)	47,933	58,273	33,478	(18)	74
BIS statistics					
Risk-weighted assets (CHF million)	218,702	221,609	257,467	(1)	(14)

Tier 1 ratio (%)	17.2	16.3	13.3	–	–
Total capital ratio (%)	21.9	20.6	17.9	–	–
Dividend per share (CHF)					
Dividend per share	1.30 ³	2.00	0.10	–	–
Number of employees (full-time equivalents)					
Number of employees	50,100	47,600	47,800	5	0

1 Based on amounts attributable to shareholders. 2 Tangible shareholders' equity is calculated by deducting goodwill and other intangible assets from total shareholders' equity attributable to shareholders. 3 Proposal of the Board of Directors to the Annual General Meeting on April 29, 2011. Paid out of reserves from capital contributions.

Brady W. Dougan, Chief Executive Officer (left), Hans-Ulrich Doerig, Chairman of the Board of Directors.

Message from the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer

Dear shareholders, clients and colleagues

Our integrated business model with its balanced portfolio of income streams has proven resilient in the volatile market environment in 2010. We continued to be well capitalized, gained market share across our businesses and generated solid earnings, reporting net income of CHF 5.1 billion, net new assets of CHF 69.0 billion and a tier 1 ratio of 17.2%. We also continued to achieve an industry leading return on equity of 14.4%. The Board of Directors will propose a tax privileged distribution out of reserves from capital contributions of CHF 1.30 per share for 2010.

Execution of our strategy in 2010

Our client-focused and capital-efficient business strategy, strong capital position and ability to provide clients globally with best-in-class integrated banking services served us well through 2010. Clients continued to place their trust in Credit Suisse, and our Private Banking division attracted a remarkable CHF 54.6 billion of net new assets compared to CHF 41.6 billion in 2009. To follow the continuing evolution of our clients' needs, we continued to invest in our international growth strategy and expanded our onshore business in diverse locations, including emerging markets platforms in Russia, the Middle East, Asia Pacific and Latin America. In Switzerland, we continued to grow our business across most client segments through focused growth initiatives. Consistently implementing our strategy, we focused on four additional topics: client centricity; integrating the banking business; best people; and productivity and financial performance. In client centricity, we continued to implement our ultra-high-net-worth strategy, generating CHF 24.9 billion of net new assets from ultra-high-net-worth clients across all regions in 2010. To further leverage the strength of the integrated bank, Private Banking collaborated closely with Investment Banking and Asset Management in more than 90% of the total of CHF 4.4 billion in collaboration revenues. To fuel our international growth we hired talented relationship managers and, as part of our efforts to attract, develop and retain the best people, enhanced our global training and certification program for all client-facing employees. Finally, in the area of productivity and financial performance, we continued to outperform our peers in a market environment characterized by declining margins, and implemented cost initiatives to achieve a resilient pre-tax income margin of 29.5%.

In Investment Banking, we continued to position the business in line with the ongoing changes in the competitive landscape, as regulatory measures for more stringent supervision of financial institutions gained momentum around the world. We executed several key initiatives in 2010 to further our client-focused, capital-efficient strategy, and we extended our market share gains across our businesses as we built our distribution platform and enhanced our electronic capabilities for clients. In particular, we significantly expanded our distribution capabilities in fixed income flow businesses, had market-leading positions in equities, with continued market share gains in cash equities and prime services, increased focus on growing our leading emerging markets platform with key onshore hires and strengthened our underwriting and advisory franchises by shifting focus to a more large cap-oriented strategy in developed markets. Finally, we continued to reallocate resources, including capital, headcount and technology resources, to our high-return, client-focused businesses. As evidence of the successful implementation of our strategy, substantially all of our revenues arose from direct client activity.

In Asset Management, we sustained the implementation of our strategy focused on alternative investment strategies, emerging markets, asset allocation and the traditional businesses in Switzerland. We specifically focused on: delivering leading investment performance capabilities through our in-house funds and partnerships; building higher margin, capital-efficient businesses, including through restructuring and outsourcing; leveraging the integrated banking model; growing asset inflows through our multi-channel distribution network; and expanding our emerging markets franchise across alternative investment and traditional products. The disciplined implementation of our business strategy was reflected in CHF 20.6 billion of net new assets in 2010. Other key examples of our progress include the expansion of our ranges of exchange traded funds to over 50 across fixed income, equity, commodity and emerging markets products. In collaboration with Private Banking, we also launched the first Swiss real estate fund that invests in diversified hospitality properties throughout Switzerland. The fund had strong demand from investors, raising CHF 900 million. In addition, we closed a new Brazilian corporate credit fund, raising almost CHF 800 million, closed an emerging markets fund to pursue credit investments in global emerging markets, launched the Credit Suisse Long/Short Liquid Index and Credit Suisse Merger Arbitrage Liquid Index and successfully spun off our real estate private equity fund and our credit hedge fund Candlewood Investments.

2010 financial performance

In 2010, we recorded net income attributable to shareholders of CHF 5,098 million, compared to CHF 6,724 million in 2009. Core Results net revenues were CHF 30,625 million, down 9% compared to 2009. Integrated bank collaboration revenues were CHF 4.4 billion for 2010.

Private Banking reported income before taxes of CHF 3,426 million for 2010, down 6% compared to 2009. Net revenues of CHF 11,631 million were stable compared to 2009, and results in 2010 were impacted by the weakening of the average rate of the US dollar and euro against the Swiss franc compared to 2009, adversely affecting Wealth Management Clients revenues by approximately CHF 350 million and income before taxes by approximately CHF 250 million. We attracted net new assets of CHF 54.6 billion in Private Banking, up 31.3% compared to 2009, with strong inflows in both the international and the Swiss regions. The Wealth Management Clients business reported pre-tax income of CHF 2,528 million, down 13% compared to 2009. The Swiss Corporate & Institutional Clients business, which is an important provider of financial products and services in Switzerland, achieved income before taxes of CHF 898 million, up 19% compared to 2009. Investment Banking reported income before taxes of CHF 3,531 million and net revenues of CHF 16,214 million in 2010, compared to income before taxes of CHF 6,845 million and net revenues of CHF 20,537 million in 2009. Our Investment Banking results were impacted by subdued client flows compared with 2009, but we had continued market share momentum across products and geographies. Net revenues reflected strong underwriting and advisory results and solid equity sales and trading results. Fixed income sales and trading revenues were resilient in spite of macroeconomic uncertainties. In Asset Management, income before taxes was CHF 503 million, compared to CHF 35 million in 2009. Net revenues of CHF 2,332 million were up 27% compared to 2009, primarily reflecting investment-related gains compared to losses in 2009, partially offset by lower income from equity participations. Investment-related gains were CHF 420 million, compared to losses of CHF 365 million in 2009, reflecting improved equity markets.

Improving the strength of the financial system

2010 has been a year of transition towards a new regulatory environment. In September 2010, the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) announced the Basel III framework with new capital standards that are designed to strengthen the resilience of the banking sector. Under the new capital standards, banks are required to hold more capital than before, mainly in the form of common equity. In November, the G-20 endorsed the BCBS agreement and reaffirmed the view that no financial institution may be too big or too interconnected to fail.

In Switzerland, our home market, the Expert Commission appointed by the Swiss Federal Council addressed the “Too Big to Fail” issue relating to large Swiss banks. Credit Suisse took an active part in these discussions, advocating the concept of convertible contingent capital – a debt that converts into equity at a time of stress. The conversion of the contingent capital debt provides the new equity required to absorb losses and recapitalize the bank.

Credit Suisse has successfully issued contingent capital buffer capital notes. In February, we announced that we reached a definitive agreement with strategic investors, Qatar Holding LLC and The Olayan Group, to issue an aggregate of CHF 5.9 billion tier 1 buffer capital notes. In February, we also placed USD 2 billion tier 2 buffer capital notes in a public offering. The combined transactions have already secured more than 70% of the maximum potential issuance of high-trigger contingent capital suggested under the proposed Swiss “Too Big to Fail” regulations, positioning Credit Suisse well ahead of schedule to meet the new capital requirements by 2019.

Strategic priorities for 2011

Our aspiration is to become one of the world’s most admired banks. We strive to achieve this aspiration by providing our clients with sustainable solutions to all their financial needs and by generating lasting value for them, our investors and employees and the communities in which we operate. It is of critical importance that we achieve this goal in a manner compliant with all applicable laws, regulations and internal policies. We are committed to observing the highest standards of integrity and regulatory compliance in all aspects of our work. We are confident that we have a sound control framework that will enable us to remain a trusted financial partner to all our clients. Within this frame of reference, we will focus on the following priorities in 2011: client focus; collaboration; employees; capital and risk

management; efficiency; and corporate responsibility.

At Credit Suisse, we are very much aware that clients are at the heart of our success. We aspire to be a consistent, reliable, flexible and long-term partner to all of them, whether they are individuals, large and mid-sized companies, entrepreneurs, institutional clients or hedge funds. To consistently provide them with the best our three business lines have to offer, we place great emphasis on collaboration and on delivering the best of our products and services across our organization. In 2010, we recorded collaboration revenues of CHF 4.4 billion, and going forward we will target collaboration revenues of 18% to 20% of net revenues. Only the best talent is able to deliver on these goals. We therefore continue to undertake efforts to attract, develop and retain top talent in order to deliver an outstanding integrated value proposition to our clients. In terms of capital and risk management, we believe that it is fundamental to our business that we maintain a conservative framework to manage liquidity and capital while taking appropriate risk in line with our strategic priorities. Finally while we continue to strive for top-quartile efficiency levels, we will continue to be careful not to compromise growth or reputation.

In addition to these priorities – which enable us to operate profitably and successfully in even the most challenging market environment – we are also aware of our responsibilities beyond banking. In fact, we firmly believe that being a responsible corporate citizen plays an integral role in our long-term success, and we strive to incorporate our approach to corporate responsibility into every aspect of our work.

Nominations to the Board of Directors

The Board of Directors proposes the following members be re-elected to the Board, Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Jean Lanier and Anton van Rossum, subject to their election by the shareholders.

Well-positioned in a new operating environment

We have a strong balance sheet, our capital base is solid and we have been transparent on how we will meet the new capital requirements. We have remained focused on cost management and have demonstrated the ability to adjust quickly to the changing market environment. Our businesses have maintained good market share momentum and we are in a very strong position to deliver sustainable returns and consistent book value accretion for shareholders and clients. With the Expert Commission proposals in Switzerland, we have more clarity on our home country future regulatory environment and we are well advanced on implementing the required measures. We feel well positioned to succeed in the changing operating environment.

In conclusion, we would like to sincerely thank all our employees and management at all levels throughout our global organization for their exceptional contributions and continued commitment to the success of our business. We would also like to thank the members of our Board of Directors for their good cooperation throughout this past year.

Yours sincerely

Hans-Ulrich Doerig Brady W. Dougan
Chairman of the Chief Executive Officer
Board of Directors

March 2011

For the purposes of this report, unless the context otherwise requires, the terms “Credit Suisse Group”, “Credit Suisse”, “the Group”, “we”, “us” and “our” mean Credit Suisse Group AG and its consolidated subsidiaries. The business of Credit Suisse AG, the Swiss bank subsidiary of the Group, is substantially similar to the Group, and we use these terms to refer to both when the subject is the same or substantially similar. We use the term “the Bank” when we are referring only to Credit Suisse AG, the Swiss bank subsidiary of the Group, and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Abbreviations and selected >>>terms are explained in the List of abbreviations and the Glossary in the back of this report.

In various tables, use of “–” indicates not meaningful or not applicable.

Information on the company

Credit Suisse at a glance

Credit Suisse in the World

Vision

Strategy

Our businesses

Organizational and regional structure

Regulation and supervision

Credit Suisse at a glance

We believe that our ability to serve clients globally with solutions tailored to their needs gives us a strong advantage in today’s rapidly changing and highly competitive marketplace.

We operate as an integrated bank, combining our strengths and expertise in Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management to offer our clients advisory services and customized products. Our three global divisions are

supported by our Shared Services functions, which provide corporate services and business solutions while ensuring a strong compliance culture. Our global structure comprises four regions: Switzerland, Europe, Middle East and Africa, Americas and Asia Pacific. With our local presence and global approach, we are well positioned to respond to changing client needs and market trends.

Divisions

Private Banking

In Private Banking, we offer comprehensive advice and a broad range of financial solutions to private, corporate and institutional clients. Private Banking comprises the Wealth Management Clients and Corporate & Institutional Clients businesses. In Wealth Management Clients, we serve more than two million clients, including ultra-high-net-worth and high-net-worth individuals around the globe and private clients in Switzerland, making us one of the largest global players. Our network comprises 370 office locations in 48 countries. Our Corporate & Institutional Clients business serves the needs of over 100,000 corporations and institutions, mainly in Switzerland, and is an important provider of financial products and services.

Investment Banking

Investment Banking provides a broad range of financial products and services, with a focus on businesses that are client-driven, flow-based and capital-efficient. Our products and services include global securities sales, trading and execution, prime brokerage, capital raising and advisory services, as well as comprehensive investment research. Clients include corporations, governments, pension funds and institutions around the world. We deliver our global investment banking capabilities via regional and local teams based in all major developed and emerging market centers. Our integrated business model enables us to gain a deeper understanding of our clients and deliver creative, high-value, customized solutions based on expertise from across Credit Suisse.

Asset Management

Asset Management offers products across a wide range of asset classes, including alternative investments such as hedge funds, private equity, real estate and credit, and multi-asset class solutions, which includes equities and fixed income products. The division manages portfolios, mutual funds and other investment vehicles for governments, institutions, corporations and private clients worldwide. With offices in 19 countries, we collaborate with clients to develop and deliver innovative investment products and solutions to meet their specific needs. Asset Management operates as a global integrated network in close collaboration with Private Banking and Investment Banking.

Shared Services

Shared Services provides centralized corporate services and business support for Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management, with services in the following areas: finance, legal and compliance, risk management, information technology, talent, corporate communications, corporate branding, corporate development and public policy. Shared Services acts as an independent control function and provides services and support from a handful of regional hubs.

Regions

Switzerland

Our home market is Switzerland, where we are a leading bank for private, corporate and institutional clients. Relationship managers at more than 200 branches in the German, French and Italian-speaking areas offer clients a full range of private banking services. We service corporate and institutional clients at 36 locations. The Investment Bank offers a broad range of financial services to its Swiss client base, while Asset Management offers traditional and alternative investment products, and multi-asset class solutions.

Europe, Middle East and Africa

Credit Suisse is active in 26 countries across the EMEA region with offices in 78 cities. Our regional headquarters are in the UK, but we have an onshore presence in every major EMEA country. The region encompasses both developed markets such as France, Germany, Italy, Spain and the UK, as well as emerging markets including Russia, Poland, Turkey and the Middle East. In 2010, we opened our first office in the Nordic region in Stockholm and expanded our presence in South Africa.

Americas

The Americas region comprises our operations in the US, Canada, the Caribbean and Latin America. Our three divisions are strongly represented across the region. With offices in 44 cities spanning 14 countries, we offer our clients local access to our global resources in their home markets. In 2010, we enhanced our Investment Banking platform in Canada, and our Private Banking and Asset Management capabilities across the region.

Asia Pacific

The Asia Pacific region comprises 18 offices in 12 markets. Singapore is home to our largest Private Banking operations outside Switzerland. The region is also our fastest-growing Private Banking market globally. Our integrated banking platform has a strong presence in the region's largest markets, such as Australia, Hong Kong and Japan, complemented by long-standing leadership in Southeast Asia and a rapidly growing franchise in China and India.

Credit Suisse in the World

We have a presence in all major financial centers across the globe. With operations in over 50 countries and booking capabilities in every region, we are well positioned to deliver the advantages of our integrated business model to all our clients worldwide.

Private Banking offers holistic solutions to both onshore and offshore clients. We have established an award-winning advisory process that enables us to provide comprehensive financial products and a high level of service to private clients around the globe. Investment Banking has an international platform of services that it delivers through regional hubs, while Asset Management operates as a globally integrated network. The collaboration between our businesses enables us to offer clients around the world the combined capabilities of our integrated businesses.

Our global reach creates the basis for the generation of a geographically balanced stream of revenues and net new assets. It also allows us to capture growth opportunities wherever they exist.

In 2010, we continued to strengthen our international footprint with the opening of an office in Stockholm – our first in Scandinavia – and we expanded our activities in South Africa. In India, we were granted a license to establish a bank branch in Mumbai. We also acquired stock exchange trading rights in the Philippines.

Regional headquarters

Credit Suisse AG

Paradeplatz 8

8070 Zurich

Switzerland

Tel. +41 44 212 16 16

Fax +41 44 332 25 87

Credit Suisse

Eleven Madison Avenue

New York, NY 10010-3629

United States

Tel. +1 212 325 2000

Fax +1 212 325 6665

Credit Suisse

One Cabot Square

London E14 4QJ

United Kingdom

Tel. +44 20 78 88 8888

Fax +44 20 78 88 1600

Credit Suisse

Three Exchange Square

8 Connaught Place, Central
Hong Kong
Tel. +852 21 01 6000
Fax +852 21 01 7990

Vision

Credit Suisse's aspiration is to become one of the world's most admired banks. We believe our ability to serve clients globally with solutions tailored to their individual needs is a strong competitive advantage. To deliver customized products, comprehensive solutions and advisory services, we combine our strengths in Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management and operate as an integrated bank. Our three divisions are supported by our global Shared Services functions. They are designed to ensure effective business support and the appropriate control and supervision of business activities.

To present a distinctive, single face to clients, we run a regional structure comprising four regions – Switzerland, Europe, Middle East and Africa, Americas and Asia Pacific. Our local presence ensures responsiveness to the ongoing evolution of client needs and market trends, while our global footprint positions us as a solid and trusted partner offering integrated advice and global resources.

In the face of volatile markets, our integrated business model has proven to be both resilient and flexible. It allowed us to focus our energy and resources on our clients and help them to invest in growth and manage difficult restructuring and liquidity situations.

Strong net new assets demonstrate the trust that clients around the world place in Credit Suisse and provide us with a strong foundation that we can build on in the future.

Building on the momentum we have established, we are focusing on the implementation of our client-focused and capital-efficient integrated bank strategy, on gaining further market share and on strengthening our geographic footprint. We are also striving to derive the maximum benefits from our operational excellence and efficiency programs, as well as from our comprehensive human capital strategy, which is geared toward attracting, developing and retaining the best talent.

Strategy

Industry trends and competition

In 2010, the financial services industry experienced a volatile market environment and continued uncertainties about regulatory developments and proposals, including capital, leverage and liquidity requirements, changes in compensation practices and systemic risk. For information on the liquidity principles agreed with the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA), the liquidity and capital standards under the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) Basel III framework, the report of the Swiss Expert Commission on "Too Big to Fail" issues

relating to big banks, and the revisions to the >>>Basel II market risk framework (Basel II.5), refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management*. For information on other regulatory developments and proposals, refer to *I – Information on the company – Regulation and supervision*.

We hope that these regulatory changes will be implemented by national regulators in a way that contributes to a more level playing field and a stronger and more sustainable global banking system over time.

As many financial institutions weathered the turbulence of the financial crisis and returned to growth, 2010 was also characterized by increased competitive pressure. With established markets in the US and Europe affected by ongoing sovereign debt concerns and slow economic recovery, we expect vibrant economies in Asia and Latin America to be important growth drivers for the banking industry in the near term. In response to regulatory trends, banks are expected to shift away from proprietary trading towards client-facing business models which will increase competition in client flows. We believe, however, that strongly capitalized banks with a clear and demonstrated client focus will have a competitive advantage.

Group priorities

Our aspiration is to become one of the world's most admired banks. We are confident that our strong capital position and our ability to provide clients globally with best-in-class integrated banking services provide a strong value proposition for our clients and shareholders. Early in the financial crisis we took decisive action to ensure the trust of our clients, to reduce our risk exposures and to become more capital-efficient. We reduced >>>risk-weighted assets by 32% since the end of 2007 and exited most proprietary trading businesses. In 2010, we delivered sound net income attributable to shareholders of CHF 5,098 million and achieved CHF 69 billion of net new assets. Our client-focused and capital-efficient integrated business model with its balanced portfolio of businesses has proven resilient and we have continued to gain market share across our businesses. We expect our client-focused, capital-efficient strategy to benefit from a more constructive market environment while limiting our risk exposure in down markets. We believe that our strategy is consistent with both emerging client needs and regulatory trends. We have increased clarity on our future regulatory environment, and we are well advanced on implementation.

We feel well positioned to succeed in the changing operating environment and target an annual after-tax return on equity of greater than 15% over the next three to five years. Building on the momentum we have established, we aim to further grow our client business with gains in market share and a strengthened geographic footprint. To achieve our goals, we are focused on the following priorities.

Client focus

We put our clients' needs first. We aspire to be a consistent, reliable, flexible and long-term partner focused on clients with complex and multi-product needs, such as >>>ultra-high-net-worth individuals, large and mid-sized companies, entrepreneurs, institutional clients and hedge funds. By listening attentively to their needs and offering them superior solutions, we empower them to make better financial decisions. Against the backdrop of significant changes within our industry, we strive to ensure that we consistently help our clients realize their goals and thrive. We continue to strengthen the coverage of our key clients by dedicated teams of senior executives who can deliver our integrated business model. On the back of a strong capital position and high levels of client satisfaction and brand recognition, we achieved significant gains in market share. Our strong client momentum is well recognized. We were awarded "Best Global Bank 2010", "Best Bank in Switzerland 2010", "Best Private Bank Globally" for 2011 for the second consecutive year and "Best Emerging Markets Investment Bank 2010" by *Euromoney* and "Best Bank in Switzerland" and "Most Innovative Investment Bank 2010" by *The Banker*. In addition, we maintained our strong brand recognition and continued to feature among the Top 3 "Most Admired Megabanks" in *Fortune* magazine's annual rankings.

Employees

We continue to undertake efforts to attract, develop and retain top talent in order to deliver an outstanding integrated value proposition to our clients. Our candidates go through a rigorous interview process, where we not only look for technical and intellectual proficiency, but for people who can thrive in and contribute to our culture. Credit Suisse is above the external benchmark for employee engagement in the financial services industry. We review our talent and identify the right developmental opportunities based on individual and organizational needs. We increasingly promote cross-divisional and cross-regional development, as well as lateral recruiting and mobility. Valuing different perspectives, creating an inclusive environment and showing cross-cultural sensitivity are key to Credit Suisse's workplace culture. We have expanded our organizational understanding beyond traditional diversity and inclusion to leverage our differences to fully engage the workforce. Through our business school, we train our leaders, specialists and client advisors in a wide range of subjects to ensure that the knowledge and competence of our employees supports the needs of our clients and our strategy. We take a prudent and constructive approach to compensation, designed to reflect the performance of individuals and the firm and closely align the interests of employees with those of shareholders.

Collaboration

We help our clients thrive by delivering the best of our products and services across our organization and divisions. We have established a dedicated governance structure in order to drive, measure and manage collaboration between our divisions. In 2010, we recorded collaboration revenues of CHF 4.4 billion. Going forward we will target collaboration revenues of 18% to 20% of net revenues. Since the inception of our collaboration program in 2006, we have built a strong track record of delivering customized value propositions. We believe this is a significant differentiator for Credit Suisse. We have observed increasing momentum in collaboration initiatives, including tailored solutions for wealthy private clients by Investment Banking, a new suite of managed investment products developed by Asset Management for Private Banking, and strengthened client management coordination by our alternative investments distribution team in Asset Management with the securities distribution team in Investment Banking. Benefitting from our programs for cross-divisional management development and lateral recruiting, we believe collaboration revenues, including cross-selling and client referrals, to be a resilient source of both revenues and assets.

Capital and risk management

While the prudent taking of risk in line with our strategic priorities is fundamental to our business as a leading global bank, we maintain a conservative framework to manage liquidity and capital. As of the end of 2010, our tier 1 ratio under >>>Basel II stood at 17.2%, up from 16.3% the year before. Consistent with the Swiss Expert Commission's recommendations, we took action to raise tier 1 and tier 2 contingent buffer capital in February 2011. We have revised our liquidity risk management, which is in line with the BCBS Basel III liquidity framework and the liquidity principles of FINMA. We continue to deploy capital in a disciplined manner based on our economic capital model, assessing our aggregated risk taking in relation to our client needs and our financial resources. For further information refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Capital management*.

Efficiency

We continue to strive for top-quartile efficiency levels, while being careful not to compromise on growth or reputation. For our core activities we targeted a cost/income ratio of 65%. Going forward, we will target a pre-tax income margin above 28%. Efficiency measures implemented with strong involvement of senior management have

generated cost savings while helping to build an efficiency culture. We have five Centers of Excellence (CoE) in Pune, Raleigh Durham, Singapore, Wroclaw and Mumbai in which we have deployed more than 10,000 roles, improving productivity. We continue to focus on our Operational Excellence program, which has strengthened our culture of continuous improvement and client focus.

To track our progress and benchmark our performance, we have defined a set of key performance indicators for growth, efficiency and performance, and capital to be achieved across market cycles. For a more detailed description of our businesses and our performance in 2010 against the defined targets, refer to *II — Operating and financial review — Key performance indicators*.

Corporate responsibility and Code of Conduct

At Credit Suisse, we firmly believe that corporate responsibility plays a crucial role in our long-term success as a business. We therefore strive to incorporate our approach to corporate responsibility into every aspect of our work. This approach is founded on a broad understanding of our commitments in banking, society and the environment, our role as an employer and our dialogue with our stakeholders.

During 2010 we reviewed our ethical values and professional standards against the backdrop of regulatory trends. As a result, we amended our Code of Conduct to ensure that all employees operate within a commonly shared framework. Additionally, we reviewed, simplified and formalized our approach to compensation, which is reflected in our Compensation Policy.

To ensure that we supply the full breadth of information required by our stakeholders, we publish a Corporate Responsibility Report and additional information, which can be found at www.credit-suisse.com/responsibility.

Our businesses

Private Banking

Business profile

In Private Banking we offer comprehensive advice and a broad range of financial solutions to private, corporate and institutional clients. Private Banking comprises the Wealth Management Clients and Corporate & Institutional Clients businesses, and had total assets under management of CHF 932.9 billion as of the end of 2010. In Wealth Management Clients, we serve more than two million clients, including >>>>ultra-high-net-worth and >>>>high-net-worth individuals around the globe and private clients in Switzerland. Our Corporate & Institutional Clients business serves the needs of over 100,000 corporations and institutions, mainly in Switzerland, and is an important provider of financial products and services.

Our Wealth Management Clients business is one of the largest in the wealth management industry globally. We offer our clients a distinct value proposition, combining a global reach with a structured advisory process and access to a broad range of sophisticated products and services. We deliver innovative and integrated solutions in close collaboration with Investment Banking and Asset Management. As of the end of 2010, our Wealth Management Clients business had CHF 808.0 billion of assets under management. Our global network comprises 48 countries with 370 office locations, more than 130 outside Switzerland. Wealth Management Clients has 4,200 relationship managers

and 24 >>>booking centers, reflecting our multi-shore strategy.

Our Corporate & Institutional Clients business provides premium advice and solutions across a broad range of banking services, including lending, cash and liquidity management, trade finance, corporate finance, investment solutions, global custody and asset and liability management. Clients include small and medium-sized enterprises, global corporations and commodity traders, banks, insurance companies and Swiss pension funds. As of the end of 2010, the business volume of our Corporate & Institutional Clients business was CHF 235.1 billion, with CHF 182.7 billion of client assets and CHF 52.4 billion of net loans. In Switzerland, we cover large corporations out of four locations and we serve small and medium-sized enterprises through relationship managers based in 36 branches.

Key data - Private Banking

	2010	2009	in / end of 2008
Key data			
Net revenues (CHF million)	11,631	11,662	12,907
Income before taxes (CHF million)	3,426	3,651	3,850
Assets under management (CHF billion)	932.9	914.9	788.9
Number of employees	25,600	24,300	24,400

Strategy

Trends and competition

The wealth management industry globally has good growth prospects. Assets of high-net-worth individuals globally are projected to grow approximately 9% per year. While gross margins reflect historically low interest rates, cautious investor behavior and the impact of the sovereign debt crisis and economic uncertainty, the long-term trends remain positive.

Structurally, the industry is facing a number of changes. Regional wealth will continue to shift towards emerging markets, with higher growth rates fueled by entrepreneurial activity and relatively strong economic development. Mature markets, with around two thirds of world wealth located in the US, Japan and Western Europe, will see continued but relatively lower growth, driven by further wealth accumulation and a generational transfer of wealth. New and evolving international treaties and regulation will lead to increased regulation of cross-border banking for clients domiciled in selected countries. At the same time, Switzerland – which combines political and economic stability with a heritage in wealth management – remains highly attractive as a financial center. Finally, regulatory requirements for investment advisory services are increasing, including suitability and appropriateness of advice, client information and documentation. Competition in the industry remains intense, in particular as some competitors have recovered from a period of client asset outflows and restructuring. Attracting and retaining the best talent continues to be a key success factor. As a result of the structural industry trends, we expect industry consolidation to continue.

The Swiss market for Corporate & Institutional Clients continues to offer growth prospects in line with general economic development. Swiss corporations performed relatively well over recent years due to solid business models and conservative financing. A growing number of Swiss companies have to address succession planning, a trend which increasingly creates business opportunities in this market, particularly for banks that can offer a tailored combination of private and investment banking services. Furthermore, in light of fluctuating exchange rates and

commodity prices, we expect ongoing demand for hedging solutions.

Key initiatives

Our aspiration is to become the most admired bank for Wealth Management Clients globally and for Corporate & Institutional Clients in Switzerland. We want to be an industry leader in terms of client satisfaction, employee engagement, profitability and growth. With our consistent strategy and our value proposition, combining comprehensive advice, needs-based solutions, integrated bank capabilities and a global reach, we are well positioned to succeed in a changing market environment.

We continue our long-term strategy to invest in international growth by hiring and developing experienced relationship managers, expanding our solutions offering on international platforms, and further building a domestic presence in select markets. We offer both onshore and offshore services in compliance with local laws, rules and regulations, with investments focused on growth markets, including emerging markets and the ultra-high-net-worth segment. The continued proactive development of our compliance framework positions us well to respond to evolving regulation in the markets in which we operate.

In Switzerland, our home market, we aim to gain market share in the Wealth Management Clients business. In the private client segment, we expect to accomplish this by being closer to the client, improving our advisory quality as well as continuously optimizing our branch network. In the wealth management business, we provide superior needs-oriented services to high-net-worth and ultra-high-net-worth individuals by leveraging our competence in advice, in-depth expertise and our capabilities as an integrated bank. The targeted growth segments in the Swiss corporate and institutional business include large corporations, institutional investors, financial institutions and small and medium-sized enterprises with an international focus. Regular client surveys confirm a high degree of client satisfaction, which we believe are reflected in significant net new asset inflows.

To further improve client centricity, we survey and systematically measure client satisfaction by region and segment to understand the existing and emerging needs of clients. We focus on our advisory approach and on segment-specific client solutions, for example, for ultra-high-net-worth clients or entrepreneurs. We have invested over CHF 400 million in our award-winning advisory process in the last ten years. We continue to develop our range of solutions based on client needs and in-depth monitoring of investment opportunities by a team of skilled research and investment professionals. Selection of either internal or third party solutions is based on comprehensive due diligence, including our market view, client profiles, quality assessment and applicable rules and regulations.

Close collaboration with Investment Banking enables us to offer customized and innovative solutions to our clients, especially to ultra-high-net-worth individuals and corporations. In cooperation with Asset Management, we offer a range of client-focused discretionary mandates and access to hedge funds and private equity solutions.

As people are the most critical success factor in delivering our value proposition, we develop our employees through training and certification programs. We strive to be an employer of choice, and as part of our growth strategy, we continue to invest in our relationship managers as a driver of net new assets.

We drive efficiency and productivity, building on our programs for efficiency management and our CoE in Wroclaw, Mumbai and Singapore.

Achievements

Key achievements and measures of our progress in 2010 include:

– **International growth:** In 2010, we generated CHF 37.0 billion of net new assets in our international businesses, comprising CHF 15.1 billion from EMEA, CHF 9.5 billion from the Americas and CHF 12.4 billion from Asia Pacific. As part of our international growth strategy, we expanded our onshore business in emerging markets,

including Russia, the Middle East, India, Asia Pacific and Latin America.

– **Market share gains in Switzerland:** With CHF 17.6 billion of net new assets, we continued to grow our Swiss business across most client segments through focused growth initiatives. In particular, we continued to increase the number of branches with affluent capabilities, adding 50 branches in 2010, for a total of 132 branches. In addition, we generated significant internal referral volumes within Private Banking and between divisions through our integrated bank model.

– **Client centricity:** We have continued to implement our ultra-high-net-worth strategy, generating CHF 24.9 billion of net new assets from ultra-high-net-worth clients across all regions in 2010. We have expanded our dedicated coverage of ultra-high-net-worth individuals across regions and our service offering in the areas of prime services and dedicated lending facilities. In addition, we further enhanced our advisory approach, including the global rollout of our Portfolio Risk Analyzer, and implemented various measures to better monitor and increase portfolio quality. We increased discretionary mandates and further expanded our range of solutions.

– **Integrating the banking businesses:** In 2010, we generated solid revenues from integrated solutions transactions, primarily in cooperation with Investment Banking, especially in the ultra-high-net-worth segment. In collaboration with Asset Management, we expanded our range of products, for example in exchange-traded funds (ETFs). Overall, Private Banking was involved in more than 90% of Group collaboration revenues of CHF 4.4 billion.

– **Best people:** International hiring and appointments reflected our continued investment in international growth. In 2010, we hired 470 relationship managers, with a share of senior hires of 54%, increasing the number of relationship managers by 120 to a total of 4,690. We initiated an enhanced global training and certification program for all client-facing staff.

– **Productivity and financial performance:** We achieved a gross margin of 120 basis points in Wealth Management Clients in a market environment characterized by declining margins, and a resilient Private Banking pre-tax income margin of 29.5%, reflecting efficiency initiatives and cost savings through the deployment of more than 1,800 CoE roles.

Awards

We received numerous industry awards, including:

– “Best Private Bank Globally” and in Switzerland, Western Europe, Central and Eastern Europe, the Middle East, Australia, Egypt, Guernsey, Italy, Lebanon, Russia, Singapore and the United Arab Emirates in the “Private Banking Survey 2011” by *Euromoney* magazine;

– “Outstanding Global Private Bank” by *Private Banker International* magazine;

– “World’s Best Private Bank” by *Global Finance* magazine for the third consecutive year;

– “Magna cum laude” for Credit Suisse Germany and Credit Suisse Switzerland in *Handelsblatt’s* Elite Report and ranked first in *Fuchsbriefer’s* “all-time best list” in Germany;

– “Best Private Bank in the Middle East” by *emeafinance*;

– “Best Private Bank Asia” and “Best Private Bank Singapore” for the second consecutive year in *The Asset’s* Triple A Investment Awards;

– “Overall Best Private Bank” in Singapore in *Asiamoney’s* awards, as voted by high-net-worth individuals with over USD 25 million in assets under management; and

– “Best Private Bank in Hong Kong” by *CAPITAL* magazine.

Products and services

Wealth Management Clients

In Wealth Management Clients, our service offering is based on the globally applied Structured Advisory Process, client segment specific value propositions, comprehensive investment services and our multi-shore platform:

– *Structured Advisory Process*: We analyze our clients’ personal financial situation and prepare investment strategies based on an individual risk profile of liquid and illiquid assets and present and future liabilities. Based on this profile, we recommend an overall asset allocation and specific investments in accordance with the investment guidelines of the Credit Suisse Investment Committee. The implementation and monitoring of the client investment strategy is carried out by the relationship manager.

– *Client segment specific value propositions*: We offer a range of wealth management solutions tailored to specific client segments. The global market segments we serve are ultra-high-net-worth and high-net-worth clients, and, in Switzerland, private clients. Ultra-high-net-worth and high-net-worth clients contributed a substantial part of assets under management in Wealth Management Clients at the end of 2010. For entrepreneurs, we offer solutions targeted at a range of private and corporate wealth management needs, including succession planning, tax advisory, financial planning and investment banking services. Our entrepreneur clients benefit from the advice of Credit Suisse’s experienced corporate finance advisors, immediate access to a network of international investors and the preparation and coordination of financial transactions to maximize company value. A specialized team, Solutions Partners, offers holistic and tailor-made business and private financial solutions for our ultra-high-net-worth clients.

– *Comprehensive investment services*: We offer a comprehensive range of investment advice and discretionary asset management services based on the analysis and recommendations of our global research team, which provides a wide range of global, independent research with macroeconomic, equity, bond and foreign-exchange analysis, as well as research on the Swiss economy. Investment advice covers a range of services from portfolio consulting to advising on individual investments. We continuously strive to offer clients effective portfolio and risk management solutions, including managed investment products. These are products actively managed and structured by our specialists, providing private investors with access to asset classes that otherwise would not be available to them. For clients with more complex requirements, we provide investment portfolio structuring and the implementation of individual strategies, including a wide range of structured products and alternative investments. Discretionary asset management services are available to clients who wish to delegate the responsibility for investment decisions to Credit Suisse. In close collaboration with Investment Banking and Asset Management, we also provide innovative alternative investments with limited correlation to equities and bonds, such as hedge funds, private equity, commodities and real estate.

– *Multi-shore platform*: With global operations comprising 23 international booking centers in addition to our operations in Switzerland, we are able to offer our clients booking capabilities in their home country as well as in international hubs. Our multi-shore offering is designed to serve clients who are focused on geographical risk diversification, have multiple domiciles, seek access to global execution services or are interested in a wider range of products than would be available to them onshore. Of the CHF 45 billion in Wealth Management Clients net new assets recorded in 2010, 68% were booked outside Switzerland. We expect international clients will continue to drive our growth in assets under management.

We also offer a broad range of financing products, such as construction loans, fixed and variable rate mortgages, consumer and car loans, different types of leasing arrangements and various credit cards provided by Swisscard, a joint venture between Credit Suisse and American Express. Additionally, we provide flexible financial solutions for

every stage of a private client's life, including private accounts, payment transactions, foreign exchange services, pension products and life insurance. The range of savings products available to private clients includes savings accounts, savings plan funds and insurance solutions. Our core banking product Bonviva combines accounts, payment services and credit cards, simplifying day-to-day banking with a fixed package price.

Corporate & Institutional Clients

In Corporate & Institutional Clients, we supply a comprehensive range of financial solutions including cash management and payment transactions, all forms of traditional and structured lending, capital goods and real estate leasing, investment solutions and specialized services such as corporate finance, trade finance, ship and aviation financing, global custody and asset and liability management. Furthermore, corporate and institutional clients can benefit from tailor-made financial solutions and advice. In addition, we offer specialized products and services, such as multi-currency foreign exchange trading and various straight-through-processing solutions, such as brokerage and execution services.

Investment Banking

Business profile

Investment Banking provides a broad range of financial products and services, with a focus on businesses that are client-driven, >>>flow-based and capital-efficient. Our suite of products and services includes global securities sales, trading and execution, prime brokerage and capital raising and advisory services, as well as comprehensive investment research. Our clients include corporations, governments, pension funds and institutions around the world. We deliver our global investment banking capabilities via regional and local teams based in all major developed and emerging market centers. Our integrated business model enables us to gain a deeper understanding of our clients and deliver creative, high-value, customized solutions based on expertise from across Credit Suisse.

Key data - Investment Banking

	2010	2009	in / end of 2008
Key data			
Net revenues (CHF million)	16,214	20,537	(1,971)
Income/(loss) before taxes (CHF million)	3,531	6,845	(13,792)
Number of employees	20,700	19,400	19,600

Strategy

Trends and competition

The competitive landscape for financial institutions changed significantly in 2010, as legislative and regulatory measures for more stringent supervision of financial institutions gained momentum around the world. Significant regulatory developments during the year include the passage of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act in the US, which considerably increases oversight of financial institutions, creates an extensive framework for regulating >>>over-the-counter (OTC) >>>derivatives, and limits the ability of banking entities to invest in private equity and hedge funds and to engage in proprietary trading. In Europe, the EU proposed rules to

regulate derivatives trading and adopted proposals to restrict short sales and regulate >>>>credit default swaps (CDS). In addition, new Basel III capital, leverage and liquidity standards for financial institutions will increase both the level and quality of capital to be held by banks and prescribe more stringent criteria for counterparty credit risk exposures arising from derivatives, repos and securities financing activities.

While many of these regulatory measures require further detailed rule-making and will be implemented over several years, we expect increased capital requirements and regulation of derivatives to ultimately result in reduced risk taking and increased transparency in the industry. We view the proposed regulations as largely strengthening Credit Suisse's competitive position given our anticipation of the regulatory changes, the accelerated implementation of our client-focused, capital-efficient strategy in late 2008, our capital strength and our emphasis on flow-based business.

Our client-focused, capital-efficient business model is intended to generate results that are less vulnerable to fluctuations in asset prices, and consequently, less volatile over time. However, as a result of our emphasis on flow-business, our revenues and profitability are likely to be highly dependent on client activity levels and market volumes. We believe that we are particularly well-positioned to capitalize on increased client activity and market volumes as, throughout 2010, we extended our leading market positions in equities, expanded our flow businesses in fixed income, continued to gain market share in advisory and underwriting and strengthened our position in emerging markets.

Finally, we expect increased capital requirements resulting from various regulatory changes, particularly the Basel III standards and the implementation of Basel II.5 standards relating to market risk. We expect an increase in >>>>risk-weighted assets (RWAs) in our emerging markets, rates, credit trading, equity derivatives and structured products businesses. However, we expect a substantial portion of the increase in RWAs to be offset by various mitigating actions, including continued reduction of assets in our exit businesses; shifting the asset mix in our structured products businesses towards more highly-rated assets; continued implementation of a flow-based business model in our emerging markets businesses; and the maturing of uncollateralized derivatives exposures, and collateralizing or hedging of remaining uncollateralized exposures, combined with the shift of OTC derivatives to collateralized, central counterparties clearing. For more information on regulatory capital developments and proposals, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance Sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Capital management*.

Key initiatives

We executed several key initiatives in 2010 to further our client-focused, capital-efficient strategy, and we continue to have a significant opportunity to extend market share gains across our businesses as we build our distribution platform and enhance our electronic capabilities for clients. Key initiatives in 2010 included:

- Significant expansion of our distribution capabilities in fixed income flow businesses. During the year, we significantly increased our flow sales force in our credit, rates and foreign exchange businesses. These investments are increasing our penetration with our key clients and improving breadth and intensity of coverage. Our objective is to be ranked top five in all regions in foreign exchange and top five in the Americas and EMEA in credit and rates.
- Sustained market-leading positions in equities, with continued market share improvement in cash equities and prime services. We expanded >>>>Advanced Execution Services® (AES®) product offerings in Asia and entered into an agreement to acquire the prime fund solutions business from Fortis Bank Nederland, a global leader in hedge fund administration services. Upon closing, this acquisition will further strengthen our prime services franchise by enabling us to offer a more complete product suite to our hedge fund clients. In addition, we expanded our flow and corporate derivatives business.
- Increased focus on growing our leading emerging markets platform with key onshore hires and significant progress in the expansion of our business in India. We continue to maintain leading franchises in Brazil and Indonesia and are focused on building strong onshore presence in other key emerging markets.

– Strengthened our underwriting and advisory franchises by making key strategic hires and shifting focus to a more large cap-oriented strategy in the developed markets.

– Continued reallocation of resources, including capital, headcount and technology resources, to our high-return, client-focused businesses. We further invested in building our electronic capabilities in our key fixed income businesses and made significant progress, including a fourfold increase in electronic trading volumes in foreign exchange during the year.

As evidence of the successful implementation of our strategy, substantially all of our revenues arose from client activity. In 2010, over 90% of our revenues were derived from direct client activity, while the remainder arose from indirect client activity and arbitrage trading. Direct client revenues consist primarily of fees and commissions, gains and losses from matching of client trades and revenues from client financing activities. Indirect client revenues consist of gains, losses and financing on inventory positions held for market making activities.

Significant transactions and achievements

We expanded our ability to serve certain geographic and product markets.

– Credit Suisse received a license from the Reserve Bank of India to establish a bank branch in Mumbai. This branch will allow us to significantly enhance our client service and product offering capabilities and marks an important milestone in the development of our India franchise.

– Credit Suisse strengthened its investment banking services in Poland by re-establishing its local equity trading business in Warsaw and increasing research coverage for Polish companies. Poland represents a key market in our growth strategy for Central Europe. The investment will significantly strengthen our current position as an active member of the Warsaw Stock Exchange and a leading trader among international financial institutions.

We executed a number of significant transactions in 2010, reflecting the breadth and diversity of our investment banking franchise:

– **Debt capital markets:** We arranged key financings for a diverse set of clients, including Reynolds and Rank Group Limited (New Zealand packaging company), Weather Investments SpA (Italy-based telecommunications investment company), PPL Corporation (US electricity and natural gas supplier) and NXP B.V. (Dutch semiconductor manufacturer).

– **Equity capital markets:** We executed IPOs for Westfield Retail Trust (Australia and New Zealand REIT), HRT Participacoes em Petroleo (Brazil-based offshore exploration and production company), United Company RUSAL Limited (a Russian aluminum producer) and QR National (Australian rail freight operator) and a rights offering for BBVA (Spanish banking group). Credit Suisse was also involved in all three large financial institution capital raisings in China (Bank of China, Industrial and Commercial Bank of China, and China Construction Bank).

– **Mergers and acquisitions:** We advised on a number of key transactions that were announced during the year, including the acquisition by Heineken NV (Dutch brewing company) of Fomento Económico Mexicano, S.A.B. de C.V (Mexican beverage company), the acquisition by Reynolds Group Holdings Ltd. (New Zealand consumer products manufacturer) of Pactiv Corporation (US consumer products packaging manufacturer), the acquisition by Coca-Cola Enterprises, Inc. (US beverage company) of Coca-Cola Company's (US beverage company) bottling operations in Norway, Sweden and Germany and the sale of Coca-Cola Enterprises' North American bottling operations to Coca-Cola Company, the acquisition of King Pharmaceuticals Inc. (US diversified specialty pharmaceutical company) by Pfizer Inc. (global pharmaceutical company) and the acquisition by MetLife, Inc. (US insurance company) of American Life Insurance Company (US life insurance company).

Market share momentum:

- We were ranked number one in market share in global cash products in a recent survey conducted by a leading market share analysis provider. We were recognized for our leading equities program trading and electronic trading capabilities by US and European institutions in recent surveys conducted by *Greenwich Associates*. We were ranked number one in “Portfolio Trading Penetration” and “Self-Directed Electronic Trading Penetration”
- We were ranked second in *Greenwich Associates*’ annual US Convertibles survey with a 14% market share. For the second consecutive year, we posted the largest market share gain of any firm, with a nearly 1,000 basis point gain since 2008.
- Our overall prime brokerage market share ranking in Europe improved from number two to number one in *EuroHedge*’s Annual Prime Brokerage Survey. According to the survey, Credit Suisse Prime Services has a 15% market share in the region based on assets and was one of two firms to show asset growth over the past year. We were also ranked number one in macro, fixed income and futures strategies based on assets.
- Credit Suisse Prime Services ranked number one in *Global Custodian*’s OTC Derivatives Prime Brokerage Survey.
- We made significant progress in *Euromoney*’s 2010 FX Survey. Our overall market share ranking improved to eighth, and we registered the largest market share increase among the top ten banks. We were also named the “Most Improved Market Share by region” in North America, ranked sixth in Western Europe and in the top three in “Most Improved Market Share by institution” for banks.
- Ranked fourth in *Risk* magazine’s 2010 Institutional Investors Ranking of derivative providers, moving up six positions from last year. We were the most improved dealer and increased our rankings in six of the nine “overall” fixed income categories. In Swiss francs, we ranked number one in interest rate swaps, interest rate options and forward rate agreements. We also ranked first in all US dollar/Swiss franc currency categories.
- We were recognized for our leading Asian equities client trading and execution services by US Institutions in a recent survey conducted by *Greenwich Associates*. The survey ranked Credit Suisse number one in “Overall Trading Penetration”, “Sales Trading Capability”, “Electronic Trading Penetration” and “Program Trading Penetration” for Asia (ex-Japan). We were also ranked number two for “Overall Trading Penetration” and “Sales Trading Capability” and number one for “Electronic Trading Penetration” and “Program Trading Penetration” in Japan.
- We were ranked third by *Thomson* in global completed M&A market share for 2010, compared to eighth in 2009.
- We were ranked third by *Thomson* in global high yield market share for 2010, compared to fourth in 2009.
- We maintained our #5 global rank in share of wallet for 2010 according to *Dealogic*, while improving market share to 6.3% compared to 5.9% in 2009.
- We improved our share of wallet according to *Dealogic* in the Americas to fifth in 2010 with 6.4% market share, from sixth in 2009 with 4.8% market share.
- We improved to fourth in global M&A in 2010 according to *Dealogic* with 6.4% share of wallet, compared to seventh in 2009 with 5.4% share of wallet.

Awards

We received numerous industry awards in 2010:

- Awarded “Most Innovative Bank of the Year” by *The Banker*. We were also awarded “Most Innovative in Equity Linked”, “Most Innovative in Equity Derivatives” and “Most Innovative in Bank Capital”.
- Awarded “Best Global Bank” and “Best Emerging Markets Investment Bank” by *Euromoney*. We also received fourteen regional and country awards in EMEA, Asia-Pacific, Latin America and Switzerland, underscoring the depth and breadth of our global footprint. Strengths highlighted included our strong capital position, credit rating and notable performances in Investment Banking and Private Banking.
- Awarded “Best Bank for High Yield Corporate Bonds” for the Americas by *Credit* magazine, based on a broad survey of buy-side credit market participants. Credit Suisse gained more market share than any other bank, and was the only one of the top-three bookrunners to increase market share. We were also awarded “Best Euro High Yield Bond,” “Best Dollar High Yield Bond,” “Best Asian Local Currency Bond,” “Best Bond from a Supranational or Development Agency Issuer,” “Best Dollar Investment Grade Bond (corporate)” and “Best Euro Investment Grade Bond (financial)” in a *Credit* magazine poll.
- Awarded “Euro Bond of the Year” by the International Financing Review for our work with UniCredit. We were also awarded “EMEA High-Yield Bond of the Year” for our work with Ziggo, “Leveraged Loan of the Year” for our work with the Reynolds Group, “Latin America Loan of the Year” for our work with Americas Mining Corporation, “Emerging Asia Bond of the Year” for our work with the Republic of the Philippines, “SSAR Bond of the Year” for our work with the Canadian government,” “Americas Equity Issue of the Year” for our work with the PPL corporation and “Swiss Franc Bond House of the Year.”
- Awarded “Credit Derivatives House of the Year” by *Risk* magazine.
- Ranked number one for the second consecutive year in the 2010 Global Custodian’s Prime Brokerage survey, earning more “Best in Class” awards than any bank. Among the clients surveyed for the 17th annual survey, Credit Suisse emerged as a leader in scores awarded for reputation. We also ranked number one in the global league tables, as well as number one for funds with USD 5 billion to USD 10 billion of assets under management and funds with over USD 10 billion of assets under management.
- Named “Cash Equities” and “Prime Brokerage” House of the Year by *Financial News* in its annual European Investment Banking Awards. We were also named “Financial Institutions Bond House of the Year” and awarded “FIG Investment Banking Team of the Year” in its Awards for Excellence in Investment Banking.
- Ranked third among web-based analytical tools in *Institutional Investor*’s 2010 All-American Fixed-Income Research Team Survey for Locus, our eAnalytics and Live Market Data platform. Locus provides analytics and research across rates, foreign exchange, emerging markets, credit, structured products, equities and commodities. Our ranking in the survey, which is an annual review of the top US fixed-income analysts and tools, demonstrates our commitment to delivering innovative solutions to our clients.
- Awarded “Best Algorithmic Trading Technology (Bank)” by *FX week* and “Best Algorithmic Trading Service” by *Financial News* in its Awards for Excellence in Trading & Technology Europe 2010.
- In Asia, we were awarded “Best Equity Brokerage House” by *FinanceAsia* in its 2010 Achievement Awards, “Best Sell Side Broker” by *Asian Investor* in its 2010 Service Provider Awards, “Equity Derivatives House of the Year” in *Structured Products*’ 2010 Asia Awards and “Equity Derivatives House of the Year” by *AsiaRisk*. We also achieved a number one ranking in *Institutional Investor*’s All-Asia Research Team survey, improving from number four in 2009.
- Awarded “Deals of the Year” by *The Banker* in financial institutions capital raising in Africa (Standard Bank), equities and structured finance in America (Santander Brazil and American General), equities in Asia-Pacific (China Pacific Insurance), corporate bonds in Europe (Roche Holding) and SSA bonds in the Middle East (government of Qatar).

- Ranked number two in *The Wall Street Journal*'s “Best on the Street” analyst survey, moving up from tenth place last year, demonstrating the continuing momentum of our equity research franchise.
- Ranked number one in *Institutional Investor*'s All Europe Research Team Survey.

Products and services

Our comprehensive portfolio of products and services is aimed at the needs of the most sophisticated clients, and we increasingly use integrated platforms to ensure efficiency and transparency. Our activities are organized around two broad functional areas: investment banking and global securities. In investment banking, we work in industry, product and country groups. The industry groups include energy, financial institutions, financial sponsors, industrial and services, healthcare, media and telecom, real estate and technology. The product groups include M&A and financing products. In global securities, we engage in a broad range of activities across fixed income, currencies, commodities, derivatives and cash equities markets, including sales, structuring, trading, financing, prime brokerage, syndication and origination, with a focus on client-based and flow-based businesses, in line with growing client demand for less complex and more liquid products and structures.

Investment banking

Equity and debt underwriting

Equity capital markets originates, syndicates and underwrites equity in IPOs, common and convertible stock issues, acquisition financing and other equity issues. Debt capital markets originates, syndicates and underwrites corporate and sovereign debt.

Advisory services

Advisory services advises clients on all aspects of M&A, corporate sales and restructurings, divestitures and takeover defense strategies. The fund-linked products group is responsible for the structuring, risk management and distribution of structured mutual fund and alternative investment products and develops innovative products to meet the needs of its clients through specially tailored solutions.

Global securities

Credit Suisse provides access to a wide range of debt and equity securities, derivative products and financing opportunities across the capital spectrum to corporate, sovereign and institutional clients. Global securities is structured into the following areas:

Fixed income

– Rates: Interest rate products makes markets in the government bond and associated OTC derivative swap markets of developed economies, and its products include government bonds, bond options, interest rate swaps and options, structured interest rate derivatives and solutions for institutions to structure and manage longevity risk. Foreign exchange provides market making and positioning in products such as spot and options for currencies in developed markets, dedicated research and strategy and structured advisory services. Listed derivatives provide innovative derivative product support, drawing on global execution capabilities, electronic trading systems and sophisticated analytics.

– Credit: Credit products offers a full range of fixed income products and instruments to clients, ranging from standard debt issues and credit research to fund-linked products, derivatives instruments and structured products that address specific client needs. Credit derivatives trades and structures credit derivatives on investment grade and high yield credits. We are a leading dealer in flow business, which trades single-name CDS on individual credits, credit-linked notes and index swaps and structured products, providing credit hedging solutions to clients. Investment grade trades

domestic corporate and sovereign debt, non-convertible preferred stock and short-term securities such as floating rate notes and >>>commercial paper. Leveraged finance provides capital raising and advisory services and core leveraged credit products such as bank loans, bridge loans and high yield debt for non-investment grade corporate and financial sponsor-backed companies.

– Structured products trades, securitizes, syndicates, underwrites and provides research for various forms of securities, primarily >>>residential mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities (ABS), that are based on underlying pools of assets. The underwriting business handles securitizations for clients in most industry sectors. Our structured products business also includes secondary trading in >>>commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS). Further, in response to client needs, we are considering proposals to re-enter CMBS origination in a limited and conservative manner.

– Emerging markets offers a full range of fixed income products and instruments, including sovereign and corporate securities, local currency derivative instruments and tailored emerging market investment products.

– Commodities focuses on oil, petroleum and metals trading through an alliance with Glencore International AG, one of the world's largest suppliers of a wide range of commodities and raw materials to industrial consumers.

– Global structuring develops and delivers sophisticated financing products and provides financial advisory services for corporate and institutional clients, and develops sophisticated products for investor clients. In addition to identifying opportunities across asset classes, it provides a robust platform for the creation of sophisticated asset-side solutions.

Equity

– Equity sales uses research, offerings and other products and services to meet the needs of clients including mutual funds, investment advisors, banks, pension funds, hedge funds, insurance companies and other global financial institutions.

– Sales trading links sales and position trading teams. Sales traders are responsible for managing the order flow between our client and the marketplace and provide clients with research, trading ideas and capital commitments and identify trends in the marketplace in order to obtain the best and most effective execution.

– Trading executes client and proprietary orders and makes markets in listed and OTC cash securities, exchange-traded funds and programs, providing liquidity to the market through both capital commitments and risk management.

– Equity derivatives provides a full range of equity-related products, investment options and financing solutions, as well as sophisticated hedging and risk management expertise and comprehensive execution capabilities to financial institutions, hedge funds, asset managers and corporations.

– Convertibles trading involves both secondary trading and market making and the trading of credit default and asset swaps and distributing market information and research.

– Prime services provides a wide range of services to hedge funds and institutional clients, including prime brokerage, start-up services, capital introductions, securities lending, synthetics and innovative financing solutions.

– AES[®] is a sophisticated suite of algorithmic trading strategies, tools and analytics operated by Credit Suisse to facilitate global equity trading. By employing algorithms to execute client orders and limit volatility, AES[®] helps institutions and hedge funds reduce market impact. AES[®] is a recognized leader in its field and provides access to exchanges in more than 35 countries worldwide via more than 45 leading trading platforms.

Arbitrage trading

Our arbitrage trading business focuses on quantitative and liquid trading strategies in the major global equity and fixed income markets.

Other

Other products and activities include lending, private equity investments that are not managed by Asset Management, certain real estate investments and the distressed asset portfolios. Lending includes senior bank debt in the form of syndicated loans and commitments to extend credit to investment grade and non-investment grade borrowers.

Research and HOLT

Credit Suisse's equity and fixed income businesses are supported by the research and HOLT functions.

Equity research uses in-depth analytical frameworks, proprietary methodologies and data sources to analyze approximately 3,000 companies worldwide and provides macroeconomic insights into this constantly changing environment.

HOLT offers one of the fastest and most advanced corporate performance, valuation and strategic analysis frameworks, tracking more than 20,000 companies in over 64 countries.

Asset Management

Business profile

Asset Management offers investment solutions and services globally to a wide range of clients, including pension funds, governments, foundations and endowments, corporations and individuals. We invest across a broad range of asset classes with a focus on alternative investment strategies, emerging markets, asset allocation and traditional investment strategies. Our investment professionals deliver strong investment performance that can be accessed through best-in-class products and holistic client solutions. We had CHF 425.8 billion of assets under management as of the end of 2010.

We are an industry leader in alternative investment strategies, with CHF 195.6 billion of assets under management as of the end of 2010. Alternative investment strategies include hedge fund strategies, private equity, real estate & commodities, credit investments, ETFs and index strategies. Our alternative investments business also has a strong footprint in emerging markets, including Brazil and China.

Traditional investment strategies, with assets under management of CHF 229.4 billion, include multi-asset class solutions and other traditional investment strategies, primarily in Switzerland, where we are an industry leader. In multi-asset class solutions, we provide tailored asset allocation products to clients around the world and have CHF 114.9 billion of assets under management. In other traditional investment strategies, with CHF 114.5 billion of assets under management, we invest in fixed income and equity markets and provide institutional pension advisory services.

We pursue partnerships with leading investment managers globally, and our strategic alliances and joint ventures allow us to provide our clients with strong investment capabilities across a broad array of asset classes. As part of our client-focused integrated business model, we are increasingly coordinating and leveraging our activities with Private Banking and Investment Banking. Through collaboration with both internal and external partners, we aspire to deliver best-in-class solutions to our clients.

We have made direct investments as well as investments in partnerships that make private equity and other investments in various portfolio companies and funds. We offer our employees opportunities to invest side by side with our clients in certain investments.

Key data - Asset Management

		in / end of	
	2010	2009	2008
Key data			
Net revenues (CHF million)	2,332	1,842	632
Income/(loss) before taxes (CHF million)	503	35	(1,185)
Assets under management (CHF billion)	425.8	416.0	411.5
Number of employees	2,900	3,100	3,100

Strategy

Trends and competition

The asset management industry continued to recover from the global financial crisis during 2010. Investors remained cautious and hesitant to invest in riskier asset classes, and fundraising varied considerably across asset classes. In alternative investments, the hedge fund industry began to see renewed investor demand, particularly in the second half of 2010, with top-performing, brand-name managers capturing the majority of asset inflows. Credit strategies continued to attract client inflows due to the strong performance of most fixed income and credit markets. Illiquid fundraising remained challenging, particularly in private equity and real estate. Within traditional asset classes, investors withdrew cash from money market products and primarily re-invested in fixed income products. The demand for passive vehicles like ETFs and index products remained robust in 2010, while emerging markets debt and equity products continued their strong momentum.

The regulatory environment continued to evolve throughout 2010 as the US passed new regulations that limit a bank's ability to invest in certain hedge funds and private equity, and the amount of banks' capital in illiquid investment funds. These changes, in addition to proposed changes in the alternative investments industry in Europe, have accelerated the trend towards simpler, more regulated fund structures, reinforced by investor appetite for better transparency and risk management. While many of these regulatory measures have a multi-year implementation period, we view the proposed regulations as enhancing Credit Suisse's competitive position given the alignment of proposed measures with our client-focused and capital-efficient strategy that we began implementing in 2008.

As capital markets strengthened, asset managers across the industry began to reinvest in their businesses and in new strategic initiatives. Expanding emerging markets capabilities, particularly in alternative investments, was a key initiative for many of our competitors. Alternative investment managers sought to broaden their product offerings and continued expanding into the traditional investment sector through the launch of long-only products and acquisitions. Long-term assets under management rose across the industry as most asset classes generated positive returns and investors began to reinvest accumulated cash holdings. Many hedge funds industry-wide reclaimed their high water marks and again generated performance fees.

Key initiatives

In 2010, we continued to implement our strategy focused on alternative investment strategies, emerging markets, asset allocation and the traditional businesses in Switzerland. We focused on:

- delivering leading investment performance capabilities through our in-house funds and partnerships;

- building higher margin, capital-efficient businesses;
- leveraging the integrated banking model;
- growing asset inflows through our multi-channel distribution network; and
- expanding our emerging markets franchise across alternative investment and traditional products.

Key examples of our progress include:

- six consecutive quarters of positive asset inflows;
- acquiring a significant noncontrolling interest in York Capital Management, a premier global event driven hedge fund manager with a 19 year track record and broad-based product platform;
- expanding our ranges of ETFs to over 50 across fixed income, equity, commodity and emerging markets products. Through our partnership with Investment Banking, we expanded trading to the London Stock Exchange and launched new products on the SIX Swiss Exchange, Xetra in Germany and Borsa Italiana in Italy;
- the launching, in collaboration with Private Banking, of the first Swiss real estate fund that invests in diversified hospitality properties throughout Switzerland. The fund had strong demand from investors, raising CHF 900 million;
- a new Brazilian corporate credit fund, raising almost CHF 800 million;
- an emerging markets fund to pursue credit investments in global emerging markets;
- spinning off our real estate private equity fund and our credit hedge fund Candlewood Investments, and selling our Australian property and credit funds;
- launching the Credit Suisse Long/Short Liquid Index and Credit Suisse Merger Ard Index;
- receiving the awards “Best Large Fixed-Interest Fund House in Switzerland”, runner-up in “Best Large Equity Fund House Switzerland” and runner-up in “Best Multi-asset Fund House Switzerland” by *Morningstar*; and
- receiving two awards for our commodities platform. *Futures and Options World* recognized our commodity index products with the Silver Award for Best Innovation by a bank, broker or futures commission merchant. Additionally, the Credit Suisse Glencore Active Index Strategy Funds received the award for Commodity Deal of the Year from the Commodity Business Awards.

We expect this strategy to continue to increase net new assets and assets under management and improve our profitability. Key initiatives we will focus on going forward include:

- providing clients with customized advice and solutions;
- building our liquid alternatives product range in hedge funds and hedge funds of funds;
- expanding our real estate product offering as opportunities emerge;
- launching new private equity funds, particularly in secondary and funds of funds;

- expanding our traditional fixed income and equities capabilities in Switzerland;
- seeking to strengthen our relationship with Private Banking through further collaboration and the distribution of Asset Management products and services to Private Banking clients;
- expanding our collaboration with Investment Banking and Private Banking on technological innovations to better serve our clients; and
- continuing to improve operating efficiency by streamlining our business support operations.

Products and services

Asset Management offers institutional and individual clients a range of products, such as alternative and traditional products. We reach our clients through our own distribution teams, the Private Banking and Investment Banking divisions and through our and third-party distribution channels. We also offer investment strategies through joint ventures with external managers across various regions and asset classes to enhance results for shareholders and clients in new or strategic areas.

Alternative investment strategies

We are a market leader in alternative investments, with a range of products including private equity, real estate and liquid strategies, including single-manager hedge funds, multi-manager hedge funds, credit strategies and ETF and index strategies. We also offer a range of strategies focused on emerging markets where we target significant growth potential through a range of products including hedge funds, private equity, real assets, index strategies, fixed income and equity solutions.

We offer a broad array of private equity funds to meet client needs. We have the ability to tailor fund strategies to meet specific private equity needs of our clients through our customized investment fund group. Our mezzanine funds use subordinated debt along with equity to invest in private companies, while our secondary funds capitalize on preferences for early liquidity in existing private equity investments. We also provide investment vehicles in infrastructure, commodities and emerging markets.

Our real estate core business aims to provide investors with stable and attractive cash flows, applying active portfolio management to reduce volatility.

In liquid strategies, we offer access to a number of assets through both active and constrained investment strategies. Among our active strategies, our single-manager hedge fund platform provides access to leading in-house hedge fund managers and through partnerships with best-in-class partners. We also provide actively managed hedge funds of funds across several strategies, including event-driven, emerging markets, convertible arbitrage, fixed income arbitrage, global macro, managed futures, volatility arbitrage and long/short investing.

In addition, we offer highly liquid, systematic market exposure to equity, fixed income, real estate, commodity, volatility and hedge fund markets through constrained or passive investment strategies. Our indexed solutions business and ETF franchise allow institutions and individual clients to access a wide variety of asset classes in a cost-effective manner. Liquid strategies also includes the Dow Jones Credit Suisse Hedge Fund Index, one of the world's leading hedge fund indices.

Our credit strategies business focuses on the volatility of credit risk premiums of various debt instruments, capitalizing on economic fluctuations that impact premiums. Our performing credit strategies group specializes in the management of leveraged financial assets such as loans, high yield bonds and structured products.

In emerging markets we offer a range of Brazil-focused products through Credit Suisse Asset Management Brazil and Credit Suisse Hedging Griffo. Our Brazilian platform provides a range of institutional-quality products, including

fixed income, equities and hedge solutions. Through our relationship with ICBC in China, we offer investment products to local clients through ICBC's strong distribution network.

Traditional investment strategies

In the area of multi-asset class solutions, we provide clients around the world with innovative solutions and comprehensive management across asset classes to optimize client portfolios, with services that range from funds to fully customized solutions. Stressing investment principles such as risk management and asset allocation, we take an active, disciplined approach to investing. We develop and implement custom investment allocation strategies across asset classes for both private and institutional clients. These solutions can combine traditional investments, such as cash, bonds and equities, with alternative investments. Discretionary mandates are managed with an open architecture approach, allowing us to tap into the investment capabilities of the best asset managers globally.

Other traditional investment strategies include a suite of fixed income and equity funds that are managed primarily in Switzerland. These strategies provide our clients access to an array of global and regional investment strategies and sophisticated investment processes, efficiency, flexibility, liquidity and transparency.

Organizational and regional structure

Organizational structure

We operate in three global business divisions and reporting segments – Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management. Consistent with our client-focused, capital-efficient business strategy, we coordinate activities in four market regions: Switzerland, EMEA, Americas and Asia Pacific. In addition, Shared Services provides centralized corporate services and business support, as well as effective and independent controls procedures in the following areas:

- The CFO area comprises 15 functions, including New Business, Product Control, Financial Accounting, Group Controlling, Tax, Treasury, Investor Relations, Corporate Real Estate & Services, Expense Management, Supply Management and Operational Excellence.
- The General Counsel area provides legal and compliance support to help protect the reputation of Credit Suisse. It does so by giving legal and regulatory advice and furnishing employees with the tools and expertise to comply with applicable internal policies and external laws, rules and regulations.
- The CRO area comprises strategic risk management, credit risk management, risk analytics and reporting, and operational risk oversight activities, which cooperate closely to maintain a strict risk control environment and to help ensure that our risk capital is deployed wisely.
- The CIO area partners with the business to leverage technology across the business to facilitate execution and product delivery, and designs innovative systems and platforms to meet the needs of our businesses and Shared Services. This area is organized around functional and regional departments.
- The Talent, Branding and Communications area comprises human resources, corporate communications, corporate branding and advertising. Human Resources strives to attract, retain and develop staff, while also creating a stimulating working environment for all employees. Corporate Communications provides support in media relations, crisis management, executive and employee communications, branding and corporate sponsorship.

Other functions providing corporate services include One Bank Collaboration, Corporate Development and Public Policy. One Bank Collaboration facilitates cross-divisional collaboration initiatives throughout the Group and measures and controls collaboration revenues. Corporate Development manages the Group's strategic planning cycle, proposes strategic planning initiatives and analyzes merger and acquisition opportunities, while Public Policy promotes and protects the interests of Credit Suisse and its reputation.

The CEOs of the divisions and regions report directly to the Group CEO, and, together with the CFO, CIO, CRO, General Counsel and Chief Talent, Branding and Communications Officer, they formed the Executive Board of Credit Suisse in 2010.

Our structure is designed to promote cross-divisional collaboration while leveraging resources and synergies within our four regions. The regions perform a number of essential functions to coordinate and support the global operations of the three divisions. On a strategic level, they are responsible for corporate development and the establishment of regional business plans, projects and initiatives. They also have an oversight role in monitoring financial performance. Each region is responsible for the regulatory relationships within its boundaries, as well as for regulatory risk management and the resolution of significant issues in the region as a whole or its constituent countries. Other responsibilities include client and people leadership and the coordination of the delivery of Shared Services and business support in the region.

Market regions

Switzerland

Switzerland, our home market, represents a broad business portfolio. We employ more than 21,700 people in Switzerland. The Private Banking division comprises our Wealth Management Clients and Corporate & Institutional Clients businesses. In Wealth Management Clients, we offer our clients a distinct value proposition combining a global reach with a structured advisory process and access to a broad range of sophisticated products and services tailored to different client groups, from private clients to >>>ultra-high-net-worth individuals. In Corporate & Institutional Clients, we provide premium advice and solutions within a broad range of banking services, including lending, cash and liquidity management, trade finance, corporate finance, investment solutions, global custody and asset and liability management. Clients include small and medium-sized enterprises, global corporations and commodity traders, banks and Swiss pension funds. The Investment Banking division offers a full range of financial services to its Swiss client base, holding market-leading positions in the Swiss debt and capital markets as well as in mergers and acquisition advisory. The Asset Management division has a market-leading position in the Swiss traditional business, and also offers a broad range of alternative investment products and multi-asset class solutions.

EMEA

We are active in 26 countries across the EMEA region with approximately 9,200 employees working in 78 offices. Our regional headquarters is in the UK, but we have an onshore presence in every major EMEA country. The EMEA region encompasses both developed markets, such as France, Germany, Italy, Spain and the UK, and emerging markets, including Russia, Poland, Turkey and Middle East. We continue to expand our geographic footprint, opening our first office in the Nordic region in Stockholm and expanding our presence in South Africa. We implement our client-focused integrated strategy at the country level, serving corporate, government, institutional and private clients. All three divisions are strongly represented in the EMEA region, with the Investment Banking division providing a full spectrum of financial advisory services with strong market shares across many key products and markets. Private Banking continues to generate strong net new asset flows in the region and continues to further develop its ultra-high-net-worth and Single Family Office offerings. The Asset Management division continues to focus on the

distribution of a variety of investment products and the expansion of its ETF platform. To leverage our cross-divisional capabilities, we foster collaboration among employees across divisions to deliver innovative and tailored solutions to our clients.

Americas

Americas comprises our operations in the US, Canada, the Caribbean and Latin America with approximately 12,100 employees. In the US, our emphasis is on our core client-focused and flow-based businesses in Investment Banking, and on building on the market share gains we have achieved in a capital-efficient manner. In Private Banking, we see considerable potential to leverage our cross-divisional capabilities, as we further develop our onshore wealth management platform in the US, Brazil and Mexico. Continued growth of our alternative investments business is at the heart of our focused growth strategy in Asset Management. In Canada, we continue to expand our fast-growing securities businesses and leverage our banking advisory strength. In Latin America, particularly in our key markets of Brazil and Mexico, we continue to focus on providing clients with a full range of cross-divisional services.

Asia Pacific

Credit Suisse is present in 12 Asia Pacific markets with 7,100 employees, giving it one of the broadest footprints among international banks in the region. We have invested substantially in our presence in key major markets, including Australia, China, India and Japan, broadened the scope of our offerings in countries where we have built a competitive advantage and continued to grow emerging markets franchises. Private Banking has its principal centers in Singapore and Hong Kong, opening recently in key onshore wealth markets such as Australia and Japan, leveraging our Investment Banking and Asset Management activities to deliver integrated solutions to clients. The Investment Banking division continues to expand its coverage footprint in major markets, and we are one of the dominant players in Southeast Asia. We received a license from the Reserve Bank of India to establish a bank branch in Mumbai and acquired stock exchange trading rights in the Philippines. Asset Management in Asia Pacific operates as a globally integrated business in close collaboration with the Private Banking and Investment Banking divisions to deliver quality investment performance with a focus on alternative investments, asset allocation and emerging markets.

Regulation and supervision

Overview

Our operations are regulated by authorities in each of the jurisdictions in which we have offices, branches and subsidiaries. Central banks and other bank regulators, financial services agencies, securities agencies and exchanges and self-regulatory organizations are among the regulatory authorities that oversee our banking, investment banking and asset management businesses. The supervisory and regulatory regimes of the countries in which we operate will determine to some degree our ability to expand into new markets, the services and products that we will be able to offer in those markets and how we structure specific operations. We are in compliance with our regulatory requirements in all material respects and in compliance with regulatory capital requirements.

There is coordination among our primary regulators in Switzerland, the US and the UK. The principal regulatory structures that apply to our operations are discussed below.

In response to the extremely challenging financial and credit market conditions that began in the second half of 2007, regulators, including our primary regulators, have focused on reforming the regulatory framework for financial services firms. Some of the more significant recently proposed and enacted regulations are noted below. For information on risks that may arise from an increase in regulation, refer to *IX – Additional information – Risk factors*.

Regulatory proposals and developments

Governments and regulatory authorities around the world have responded to the financial crisis by proposing and enacting numerous reforms of the regulatory framework for financial services firms such as the Group. In particular, a number of reforms have been proposed and enacted by supranational organizations and in Switzerland, the US, the EU and the UK that could potentially have a material effect on our business. Although we expect regulatory-related costs and capital requirements for all major financial services firms (including the Group) to increase, we cannot predict the likely impact of proposed regulations on our businesses or results. As these and other financial reform proposals are considered, we believe the regulatory response must be closely coordinated on an international basis to provide a level playing field and must be carefully balanced to ensure a strong financial sector and global economy. These regulatory developments could result in additional costs or limit or restrict the way we conduct our business. We believe, however, that we are well positioned for regulatory reform, as we have reduced risk and maintained strong capital, funding and liquidity.

In December 2010, the BCBS issued the Basel III framework, with higher minimum capital requirements and new conservation and countercyclical buffers, revised risk-based capital measures, a leverage ratio and liquidity standards. The framework is designed to strengthen the resilience of the banking sector. The Basel III international framework for liquidity risk measurement, standards and monitoring includes a liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) and a net stable funding ratio (NSFR). The LCR, which is expected to be introduced January 1, 2015 following an observation period beginning in 2011, addresses liquidity risk over a 30-day period and is in line with our liquidity risk management framework and our revised liquidity principles with FINMA. The NSFR, which is expected to be introduced January 1, 2018 following an observation period beginning in 2012, establishes criteria for a minimum amount of stable funding based on the liquidity of a bank's assets and activities over a one-year horizon and is in line with our liquidity risk management framework. The ratio of available stable funding to the amount of required stable funding must be greater than 100%. The BCBS has stated that it will review the effect of these liquidity standards on financial markets, credit extension and economic growth to address unintended consequences. The new capital standards and capital buffers will require banks to hold more capital, mainly in the form of common equity. The new capital standards will be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2019. The BCBS agreement was adopted by the >>>G-20 nations in November 2010. Each G-20 nation will now need to implement the rules, and stricter or different requirements may be adopted by any G-20 nation.

Under Basel III, the minimum tier 1 common equity ratio will increase from 2% to 4.5% and will be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2015. This tier 1 common equity ratio will have certain regulatory deductions or other adjustments to common equity that will be phased in from January 1, 2014 through January 1, 2018 including deduction of deferred tax assets for tax-loss carryforwards and adjustments for unfunded pension liabilities. In addition, increases in the tier 1 capital ratio from 4% to 6% will be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2015.

Basel III also introduces an additional 2.5% tier 1 common equity requirement, known as a capital conservation buffer, to absorb losses in periods of financial and economic stress. Banks that do not maintain this buffer will be limited in their ability to pay dividends or make discretionary bonus payments or other earnings distributions. The new capital conservation buffer will be phased in from January 1, 2016 through January 1, 2019.

Basel III also provides for a countercyclical buffer that could require banks to hold up to an additional 2.5% of common equity or other capital that would be available to fully absorb losses. This requirement is expected to be

imposed only rarely by national regulatory authorities based on credit exposure and certain other circumstances. Both the amount and the implementation of the countercyclical buffer require action by national regulatory authorities.

Most capital instruments that no longer meet the stricter criteria for inclusion in tier 1 common equity will be excluded beginning January 1, 2013. Capital instruments that no longer qualify as non-common tier 1 capital or tier 2 capital will be phased out over a 10-year period beginning January 1, 2013. In addition, instruments with an incentive to redeem prior to their stated maturity, if any, will be phased out.

In early October 2010, the Expert Commission appointed by the Swiss Federal Council released its report with recommendations on how to address the “too big to fail” issues relating to big banks. The recommendations include capital and liquidity requirements and proposals regarding risk diversification and emergency plans designed to maintain systemically important functions even in the event of threatened insolvency. The recommendations on capital requirements build on Basel III, but go beyond its minimum standards and the current “Swiss finish”. In December 2010, the Swiss Federal Council made a submission for legislative proposals to amend the Banking Act in 2011 based on the report by the Expert Commission. The consultation period will last until March 23, 2011. The Swiss Parliament is expected to consider many of the recommendations beginning in June 2011.

The Expert Commission proposes to add a capital buffer to the Basel III minimum requirements equal to 8.5% of RWAs, which would consist of at least 5.5% in the form of common equity and up to 3% in the form of contingent capital with a high trigger. A high trigger means the bonds could be required to convert into common equity in the event the common equity tier 1 ratio falls below an agreed threshold. The Expert Commission also proposes to add a progressive capital component equal to 6% of RWAs, which could consist entirely of contingent capital with lower triggers or other qualifying instruments. The qualifying terms of contingent capital will need to be determined by FINMA in accordance with Swiss law.

The Expert Commission recommended that the Swiss capital requirements track the phase-in of the Basel III requirements. If enacted into law, the Bank and the Group would be required to have common equity of at least 10% of RWAs and contingent capital or other qualifying capital of another 9% of RWAs by January 1, 2019. These recommended requirements may change depending on the market share and size of the big banks and the terms of the requirements enacted into law by the Swiss Parliament. Assuming the Expert Commission’s recommendations become law, Credit Suisse believes that it can meet the new requirements within the prescribed time frame by building capital through earnings and by issuing contingent capital or other instruments that qualify for the buffer and progressive capital components.

In June 2010, the BCBS announced its decision to postpone the implementation of the revisions to the >>>Basel II market risk framework to no later than December 31, 2011. On November 10, 2010, the Swiss Federal Council decided to follow the proposal of FINMA and implement the revisions to the Basel II market risk framework for FINMA regulatory capital purposes by the original implementation date of January 2011. The implementation of the Basel II.5 revisions for BIS purposes would have increased our RWAs as of the end of 2010 by approximately CHF 29 billion and reduced tier 1 capital as of the end of 2010 by approximately CHF 2.5 billion, resulting in a tier 1 ratio of 14.2% under Basel II.5 on January 1, 2011. We expect the combined Basel II.5 and Basel III revisions to increase our RWAs to approximately CHF 400 billion on January 1, 2013, before mitigation. We expect to mitigate this increase by reducing RWAs by approximately CHF 50 to 70 billion in exit businesses, structured products, emerging markets and >>>derivatives.

For further information on capital, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Capital management*.

In July 2010, the US enacted the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the Dodd-Frank Act). Although the Dodd-Frank Act provides a broad framework for regulatory changes, implementation will require further detailed rulemaking over several years by different regulators, including the Department of the Treasury, the

Fed, the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation, the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and the newly created Financial Stability Oversight Council (the Council). In imposing heightened capital, leverage, liquidity and other prudential standards on foreign banking organizations such as the Group, the Fed is directed to take into account the principle of national treatment and equality of competitive opportunity, and the extent to which the foreign bank is subject to comparable home country standards.

The Dodd-Frank Act will limit the ability of banking entities to sponsor or invest in private equity or hedge funds or to engage in certain types of proprietary trading in the US, and provides regulators with tools to provide greater capital, leverage and liquidity requirements and other prudential standards, particularly for financial institutions that pose significant systemic risk.

US regulators will also be able to restrict the size and growth of systemically significant non-bank financial companies and large interconnected bank holding companies and will be required to impose bright-line debt-to-equity ratio limits on financial companies that the Council determines pose a grave threat to financial stability. The Dodd-Frank Act will furthermore create an extensive framework for the regulation of >>>OTC derivatives and requires broader regulation of hedge funds and private equity funds, as well as credit agencies. The Dodd-Frank Act also establishes a new regime for the orderly liquidation of systemically significant financial companies and authorizes assessments on financial institutions with USD 50 billion or more in consolidated assets to repay outstanding debts owed to the Treasury in connection with a liquidation under the new insolvency regime. In addition, the Dodd-Frank Act requires issuers with listed securities, which may include foreign private issuers like the Group, to establish a claw-back policy to recoup erroneously awarded compensation in the event of an accounting restatement. The Dodd-Frank Act also grants the SEC discretionary rule-making authority to impose a new fiduciary standard on brokers, dealers and investment advisers and expands the extraterritorial jurisdiction of US courts over actions brought by the SEC or the US with respect to violations of the antifraud provisions in the Securities Act, Exchange Act and Advisers Act. Other current reform proposals could also potentially have a material effect on our businesses. Implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act and related final regulations could result in additional costs or limit or restrict the way we conduct our business, although uncertainty remains about the details, impact and timing of these reforms.

The EU and the UK have also proposed and enacted regulations to address systemic risk, to reform compensation practices and to further regulate the securities industry. EU leaders have agreed that member states should impose a levy on financial institutions to ensure fair burden sharing and create incentives to contain systemic risks. While there is currently no consensus among member states on details of how the levies should be designed, the UK, Germany and France have said they would impose such levies. In June 2010, the UK proposed a levy attributable to the UK operations of large banks on certain funding. Under proposed legislation, the levy would come into effect from January 1, 2011 at a rate of 7.5 basis points for short-term liabilities and 3.75 basis points for long-term equity and liabilities.

In July 2010, the European Parliament approved amendments to the Capital Requirements Directive (CRD), including restrictions on the bonuses of senior management and certain other employees who could have a material impact on risk. These restrictions include limiting the portion that may be paid initially in cash and imposing deferrals and “at risk” requirements for a large portion of such bonuses. The amended directive requires member states to adopt national rules ensuring that institutions have compliant remuneration principles by January 2011, which are applicable to compensation awarded for services in 2010.

In September 2010, the EU Council of Ministers, the EU Commission and the European Parliament reached agreement on a new EU supervisory framework. The framework created four new supervisory bodies: the European Banking Authority, the European Securities and Markets Authority, the European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority, with responsibility for micro-prudential regulation, safeguarding financial soundness at the level of individual financial firms and protecting consumers of financial services, and the European Systemic Risk Board, with responsibility for macro-prudential oversight, monitoring potential threats to financial stability that arise from macro-economic developments.

In September 2010, the EU Commission published a proposal for a regulation on short selling and certain aspects of credit default swaps, which is expected to enter into force on July 1, 2012. The proposed regulation is intended to enhance disclosure obligations for short positions relating to EU shares, EU sovereign debt and >>>CDS relating to EU sovereign debt issuers and would restrict uncovered, or naked, short selling.

In September 2010, the EU Commission published its proposal for a Regulation on OTC derivatives, Central Counterparties and Trade Repositories. The proposed regulation would require certain standardized OTC derivatives contracts to be centrally cleared and require market participants to file information on non-cleared OTC derivatives trades with central trade repositories.

In October 2010, the EU Commission published a communication setting out certain proposals for the taxation of the financial sector and a communication on a proposed EU framework for crisis management in the financial sector that would apply to all credit institutions and some investment firms whose failure presents a risk to the stability of the financial system. The EU Commission intends to publish a legislative proposal on the crisis management framework in 2011.

In December 2010, the EU Commission published a consultation on a review of the Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID). The consultation sets out a number of significant proposals, including a proposal for harmonizing the conduct of cross-border business by non-EU investment firms and credit institutions and proposals relating to broker crossing systems and trading activities that are currently outside MiFID's scope, new conduct of business requirements, and enhancements to the regulation of underwriting and placing. The EU Commission plans to propose amendments to MiFID in 2011.

Other governmental bodies are considering imposing taxes on, or limiting the tax deductibility of, certain large bonuses.

Switzerland

Although Credit Suisse Group is not a bank according to the Swiss Federal Law on Banks and Savings Banks of November 8, 1934, as amended (Bank Law), and its Implementing Ordinance of May 17, 1972, as amended (Implementing Ordinance), the Group is required, pursuant to the provisions on consolidated supervision of financial groups and conglomerates of the Bank Law, to comply with certain requirements for banks, including with respect to capital adequacy, solvency and risk concentration on a consolidated basis and reporting obligations. Effective January 1, 2009, the Swiss Federal Banking Commission was merged into FINMA. Our banks in Switzerland are regulated by FINMA on a legal entity basis and, if applicable, on a consolidated basis.

Our banks in Switzerland operate under banking licenses granted by FINMA pursuant to the Bank Law and the Implementing Ordinance. In addition, certain of these banks hold securities dealer licenses granted by FINMA pursuant to the Swiss Federal Act of Stock Exchanges and Securities Trading (SESTA).

FINMA is the sole bank supervisory authority in Switzerland and is independent from the SNB. Under the Bank Law, FINMA is responsible for the supervision of the Swiss banking system. The SNB is responsible for implementing the government's monetary policy relating to banks and securities dealers and for ensuring the stability of the financial system.

Our banks in Switzerland are subject to close and continuous prudential supervision and direct audits by FINMA. Under the Bank Law, our banks are subject to inspection and supervision by an independent auditing firm recognized by FINMA, which is appointed by the bank's board of directors and required to perform annual audits of the bank's financial statements and to assess whether the bank is in compliance with laws and regulations, including the Bank

Law, the Implementing Ordinance and FINMA regulations.

Under the Bank Law, a bank must maintain an adequate ratio between its capital resources and its total >>>risk-weighted assets. This requirement applies to the Group on a consolidated basis. For purposes of complying with Swiss capital requirements, bank regulatory capital is divided into tier 1 and tier 2 capital.

Our regulatory capital is calculated on the basis of US GAAP, with certain adjustments required by, or agreed with, FINMA. The Group is required by FINMA to maintain a minimum regulatory capital ratio, set by the Bank for International Settlements (BIS) and Swiss capital adequacy regulations, of 8% measured on a consolidated basis, calculated by dividing total eligible capital, adjusted for certain deductions, by aggregate risk-weighted assets.

The Group became subject to the requirements of the >>>Basel II capital adequacy standards on January 1, 2008, subject to a “Swiss finish” under the Capital Adequacy Ordinance. In November 2008, we agreed to a decree issued by FINMA requiring that we comply with new capital adequacy ratios, in lieu of the “Swiss finish”, and leverage capital requirements by the year 2013. The new capital adequacy target will be in a range between 50% and 100% above the Pillar I requirements under Basel II. In addition, the decree includes leverage capital requirements that require us to maintain by 2013 a ratio of core eligible capital to total assets (on a non-risk-weighted basis) of 3% at the Group and Bank consolidated level and 4% at the Bank legal entity level. Total assets are adjusted for purposes of calculating the leverage ratio, and adjustments relate to assets from Swiss lending activities and assets excluded in determining regulatory core capital. These requirements, which will be phased in, are intended to be counter-cyclical, with the expected capital adequacy target level 100% above the Pillar I requirements, and a leverage ratio above the minimum 3% or 4%, during good times.

Banks are required to maintain a specified liquidity ratio under Swiss law. According to FINMA, the Group is required to maintain adequate levels of liquidity on a consolidated basis and is not required to comply with the detailed calculations for banks. In April 2010, we agreed on revised liquidity principles with FINMA, following its consultation with the SNB to ensure that the Group and the Bank have adequate holdings on a consolidated basis of liquid, unencumbered, high-quality securities available in a crisis situation for designated periods of time. The principles went into effect as of the end of 2Q10. The principles may be modified to reflect the final BCBS liquidity requirements.

For further information on our capital and liquidity, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Capital management*. For information on liquidity and capital standards under the BCBS Basel III framework, the report of the Swiss Expert Commission on “too big to fail” issues relating to big banks, and the revisions to the Basel II market risk framework (Basel II.5), refer to *Regulatory developments and proposals*.

Under Swiss banking law, banks and securities dealers are required to manage risk concentration within specific limits. Aggregated credit exposure to any single counterparty or a group of related counterparties must bear an adequate relationship to the bank’s eligible capital, taking into account counterparty risks and >>>risk mitigation instruments.

Under the Bank Law and SESTA, Swiss banks and securities dealers are obligated to keep confidential the existence and all aspects of their relationships with customers. These customer confidentiality laws do not, however, provide protection with respect to criminal offenses such as insider trading, money laundering, terrorist financing activities, tax fraud or evasion or prevent the disclosure of information to courts and administrative authorities.

Swiss rules and regulations to combat money laundering and terrorist financing are comprehensive and require banks and other financial intermediaries to thoroughly verify and document customer identity before commencing business. In addition, these rules and regulations include obligations to maintain appropriate policies for dealings with politically exposed persons and procedures and controls to detect and prevent money laundering and terrorist financing activities, including reporting suspicious activities to authorities.

Our securities dealer activities in Switzerland are conducted primarily through the Bank and are subject to regulation under SESTA, which regulates all aspects of the securities dealer business in Switzerland, including regulatory capital, risk concentration, sales and trading practices, record-keeping requirements and procedures and periodic reporting procedures. Securities dealers are supervised by FINMA.

Our asset management activities in Switzerland, which include the establishment and administration of mutual funds registered for public distribution, are conducted under the supervision of FINMA.

Effective January 1, 2010, compensation design and its implementation and disclosure must comply with standards promulgated by FINMA under its Circular on Remuneration Schemes.

US

Our banking operations are subject to extensive federal and state regulation and supervision in the US. Our direct US offices are composed of a New York branch (New York Branch), a Florida international administrative office and a representative office in California. Each of these offices is licensed with, and subject to examination and regulation by, the state banking authority in the state in which it is located.

The New York Branch is licensed by the Superintendent of Banks of the State of New York (Superintendent), examined by the New York State Banking Department, and subject to laws and regulations applicable to a foreign bank operating a New York branch. Under the New York Banking Law, the New York Branch must maintain eligible assets with banks in the state of New York. The amount of eligible assets required, which is expressed as a percentage of third-party liabilities, would increase if the New York Branch is no longer designated well rated by the Superintendent.

The New York Banking Law authorizes the Superintendent to take possession of the business and property of the New York Branch under circumstances generally including violations of law, unsafe or unsound practices or insolvency. In liquidating or dealing with the New York Branch's business after taking possession, the Superintendent would only accept for payment the claims of depositors and other creditors (unaffiliated with us) that arose out of transactions with the New York Branch. After the claims of those creditors were paid out of the business and property of the Bank in New York, the Superintendent would turn over the remaining assets, if any, to us or our liquidator or receiver.

Under New York Banking Law, the New York Branch is generally subject to single borrower lending limits expressed as a percentage of the worldwide capital of the Bank.

Our operations are also subject to reporting and examination requirements under US federal banking laws. Our US non-banking operations are subject to examination by the Fed in its capacity as our US umbrella supervisor. The New York Branch is also subject to examination by the Fed and is subject to Fed requirements and limitations on the acceptance and maintenance of deposits and restrictions on the payment of interest on demand deposits (although the prohibition on the payment of interest on demand deposits will be removed under the Dodd-Frank Act). Because the New York Branch does not engage in retail deposit taking, it is not a member of, and its deposits are not insured by, the US Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.

US federal banking laws provide that a state-licensed branch (such as the New York Branch) or agency of a foreign bank may not, as a general matter, engage as principal in any type of activity that is not permissible for a federally licensed branch or agency of a foreign bank unless the Fed has determined that such activity is consistent with sound banking practice. US federal banking laws also subject a state branch or agency to single borrower lending limits based on the capital of the entire foreign bank. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, lending limits will take into account credit exposure arising from derivative transactions, securities borrowing and lending transactions and >>>repurchase and

reverse repurchase agreements with counterparties. In addition, regulations which the Council may adopt could affect the nature of the activities which the Bank (including the New York Branch) may conduct, and may impose restrictions and limitations on the conduct of such activities.

The Fed may terminate the activities of a US branch or agency of a foreign bank if it finds that the foreign bank: (i) is not subject to comprehensive supervision in its home country; or (ii) has violated the law or engaged in an unsafe or unsound banking practice in the US.

A major focus of US policy and regulation relating to financial institutions has been to combat money laundering and terrorist financing. These laws and regulations impose obligations to maintain appropriate policies, procedures and controls to detect, prevent and report money laundering and terrorist financing, verify the identity of customers and comply with economic sanctions. Any failure to maintain and implement adequate programs to combat money laundering and terrorist financing, and violations of such economic sanctions, laws and regulations, could have serious legal and reputational consequences. We take our obligations to prevent money laundering and terrorist financing in the US and globally very seriously, while appropriately respecting and protecting the confidentiality of clients. We have policies, procedures and training intended to ensure that our employees comply with “know your customer” regulations and understand when a client relationship or business should be evaluated as higher risk for us.

On March 23, 2000, Credit Suisse Group and the Bank became financial holding companies for purposes of US federal banking law and, as a result, may engage in a broad range of non-banking activities in the US, including insurance, securities, private equity and other financial activities. Credit Suisse Group is still required to obtain the prior approval of the Fed (and potentially other US banking regulators) before acquiring, directly or indirectly, the ownership or control of more than 5% of any class of voting shares of (or otherwise controlling) any US bank, bank holding company or many other US depository institutions and their holding companies, and the New York Branch is also restricted from engaging in certain tying arrangements involving products and services, and in certain transactions with certain of its affiliates. If Credit Suisse Group or the Bank ceases to be well-capitalized or well-managed under applicable Fed rules, or otherwise fails to meet any of the requirements for financial holding company status, it may be required to discontinue certain financial activities or terminate its New York Branch. Credit Suisse Group’s ability to undertake acquisitions permitted by financial holding companies could also be adversely affected.

Our US-based broker-dealers are subject to extensive regulation by US regulatory authorities. The SEC is the federal agency primarily responsible for the regulation of broker-dealers, investment advisers and investment companies, while the CFTC is the federal agency primarily responsible for the regulation of futures commission merchants, commodity pool operators and commodity trading advisors. In addition, the Department of the Treasury has the authority to promulgate rules relating to US Treasury and government agency securities, the Municipal Securities Rulemaking Board (MSRB) has the authority to promulgate rules relating to municipal securities, and the MSRB also promulgates regulations applicable to certain securities credit transactions. In addition, broker-dealers are subject to regulation by securities industry self-regulatory organizations, including the Financial Industry Regulation Authority (FINRA) (formed in July 2007 by the merger of the former National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc. and the member regulation, enforcement and arbitration functions of the New York Stock Exchange), and by state securities authorities. For futures activities, broker-dealers are subject to futures industry self-regulatory organizations such as the National Futures Association.

Our US broker-dealers are registered with the SEC and in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico and the US Virgin Islands, and our US futures commission merchants and commodity trading advisors are registered with the CFTC. Our US registered entities are subject to extensive regulatory requirements that apply to all aspects of their securities and futures activities, including: capital requirements; the use and safekeeping of customer funds and securities; the suitability of customer investments; record-keeping and reporting requirements; employee-related matters; limitations on extensions of credit in securities transactions; prevention and detection of money laundering and terrorist financing; procedures relating to research analyst independence; procedures for the clearance and

settlement of trades; and communications with the public.

Our US broker-dealers are also subject to the SEC's net capital rule, which requires broker-dealers to maintain a specified level of minimum net capital in relatively liquid form. Compliance with the net capital rule could limit operations that require intensive use of capital, such as underwriting and trading activities and the financing of customer account balances and also could restrict our ability to withdraw capital from our broker-dealers. Our US broker-dealers are also subject to the net capital requirements of FINRA and, in some cases, other self-regulatory organizations.

Certain of our US broker-dealers are also registered as futures commission merchants and subject to the capital and other requirements of the CFTC.

Our securities and asset management businesses include legal entities registered and regulated as investment advisers by the SEC. The SEC-registered mutual funds that we advise are subject to the Investment Company Act of 1940. For pension fund customers, we are subject to the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 and similar state statutes. We are subject to the Commodity Exchange Act for investment vehicles we advise that are commodity pools.

EU

Since it was announced in 1999, the EU's Financial Services Action Plan has given rise to numerous measures (both directives and regulations) aimed at increasing integration and harmonization in the European market for financial services. While regulations have immediate and direct effect in member states, directives must be implemented through national legislation. As a result, the terms of implementation of directives are not always consistent from country to country. The EU has established a European Systemic Risk Board for macro-prudential oversight of the financial system, a European Banking Authority, a European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Authority and a European Securities and Markets Authority. These institutions will be responsible for promoting consistency between national regulators in the implementation of EU legislation.

The CRD, implemented in various EU countries including the UK, applies the >>>Basel II capital framework for banking groups operating in the EU. The CRD has been amended by CRD II, which governs own funds, large exposures, supervisory arrangements, qualitative standards for liquidity risk management and securitization, and which came into force on December 31, 2010, and by CRD III, which governs both the disclosure and content of remuneration policies, effective January 1, 2011, and capital requirements for trading books and re-securitizations and disclosure of securitization exposures, effective December 31, 2011.

MiFID establishes high-level organizational and business conduct standards that apply to all investment firms. These include standards for managing conflicts of interest, best execution, customer classification and suitability requirements for customers. MiFID sets standards for regulated markets (i.e., exchanges) and multilateral trading facilities and sets out pre-trade and post-trade price transparency requirements for equity trading. MiFID also sets standards for the disclosure of fees and other payments received from or paid to third parties in relation to investment advice and services and regulates investment services relating to commodity derivatives. In relation to these and other investment services and activities, MiFID provides a "passport" for investment firms, enabling them to conduct cross-border activities and establish branches throughout Europe on the basis of authorization from their home state regulator.

UK

The UK FSA is the principal statutory regulator of financial services activity in the UK, deriving its powers from the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (FSMA). The FSA regulates banking, insurance, investment business and

the activities of mortgage intermediaries. The FSA generally adopts a risk-based approach, supervising all aspects of a firm's business, including capital resources, systems and controls and management structures, the conduct of its business, anti-money laundering and staff training. The FSA has wide investigatory and enforcement powers, including the power to require information and documents from financial services businesses, appoint investigators, apply to the court for injunctions or restitution orders, prosecute criminal offenses, impose financial penalties, issue public statements or censures and vary, cancel or withdraw authorizations it has granted. In June 2010, the UK Government announced that the FSA will be replaced by three new agencies: the Prudential Regulation Authority, a subsidiary of the Bank of England, which will be responsible for the prudential regulation of banks and larger investment firms; the Consumer Protection and Markets Authority which will regulate markets and the conduct of financial firms providing services to consumers; and the Financial Policy Committee of the Bank of England, which will be responsible for macro-prudential regulation.

As a member state of the EU, the UK is required to implement EU directives into national law. The regulatory regime for banks operating in the UK conforms to required EU standards including compliance with capital adequacy standards, customer protection requirements, conduct of business rules and anti-money laundering rules. These standards, requirements and rules are similarly implemented, under the same directives, throughout the other member states of the EU in which we operate and are broadly comparable in scope and purpose to the regulatory capital and customer protection requirements imposed under US law.

The London branch of Credit Suisse (London Branch), Credit Suisse International and Credit Suisse (UK) Limited are authorized to take deposits. We also have a number of entities authorized to conduct investment business and asset management activities. In deciding whether to grant authorization, the FSA must first determine whether a firm satisfies the threshold conditions for authorization, including the requirement for the firm to be fit and proper. In addition to regulation by the FSA, certain wholesale money markets activities are subject to the Non-Investment Products Code, a voluntary Code of Conduct published by the Bank of England which FSA-regulated firms are expected to follow when conducting wholesale money market business.

The London Branch will be required to continue to comply principally with Swiss home country regulation. However, as a response to the global financial crisis, the FSA made changes to its prudential supervision rules in its Handbook of Rules and Guidance, applying a principle of "self-sufficiency", that a UK branch of European Economic Area (EEA) and non-EEA financial institutions would no longer be permitted to rely on capital held by other members of its group. The FSA, from December 1, 2009, has required UK branches of EEA and non-EEA financial institutions to maintain adequate liquidity resources, both as to quantity and quality of capital reserves. The London Branch is required to ensure that its liquidity resources are under the day-to-day supervision of the London Branch senior management, held in a custodian account in the name of the London Branch, unencumbered and attributed to the London Branch balance sheet. In addition, the FSA requires Credit Suisse International and Credit Suisse (UK) Limited to maintain a minimum capital ratio and to monitor and report large exposures in accordance with the rules implementing the Capital Requirements Directive.

Our London broker-dealer subsidiaries and asset management companies are authorized under the FSMA and are subject to regulation by the FSA. In deciding whether to authorize an investment firm in the UK, the FSA will consider threshold conditions for suitability, including the general requirement for a firm to be fit and proper. The FSA is responsible for regulating most aspects of an investment firm's business, including its regulatory capital, sales and trading practices, use and safekeeping of customer funds and securities, record-keeping, margin practices and procedures, registration standards for individuals carrying on certain functions, anti-money laundering systems and periodic reporting and settlement procedures.

On January 1, 2011, the FSA implemented the requirements of CRD III in its revised code of practice on remuneration policies became effective, requiring both EEA and non-EEA banks, building societies and investment firms to have in place remuneration policies that are consistent with effective risk management. It also includes twelve principles covering areas of governance, performance measurement and composition of remuneration, to help firms understand

how the FSA will assess compliance.

Operating and financial review

Operating environment

Credit Suisse

Core Results

Key performance indicators

Private Banking

Investment Banking

Asset Management

Corporate Center

Results overview

Assets under management

Critical accounting estimates

Operating environment

Global economic growth continued in 2010, but with significant regional differences. European sovereign debt concerns were a dominant issue during the year and weighed on financial markets. Expansionary monetary policies were maintained in most major countries. The majority of equity markets posted positive returns over 2010 and bond yields declined. Volatility in currency markets was high, with both the Swiss franc and yen benefiting from their safe haven status.

Economic environment

The global economy continued its gradual recovery in 2010, though at a moderate pace, driven by global manufacturing gains in the first half of the year, renewed credit and equity market activity and increased US consumer spending. The improvement in economic activity varied among countries and regions, however, and the sustainability of the recovery remained uncertain as high unemployment rates continued to weigh on economies around the world.

While US consumer confidence was little changed over 2010, business confidence in manufacturing and services improved, particularly in the fourth quarter. Emerging markets, particularly in Asia, showed strong growth, especially in the first half of the year. Most EU countries, notably Germany, reported solid growth, and the expansion in the US continued. Central banks around the world, including the US Federal Reserve (Fed), Bank of England and European Central Bank (ECB), maintained historically low interest rates. However, a number of central banks, particularly in the emerging markets, began to tighten their monetary and fiscal policies. The Chinese central bank raised reserve requirement ratios and its policy rate. Policy rates were also raised in other countries including Australia, Brazil, Canada, India and Sweden. Inflationary pressures remained subdued in most developed countries. While food and energy-related effects kept headline inflation rates at elevated levels, core inflation rates reached multi-year lows in most EU countries and the US.

Sovereign debt concerns in Europe (mainly in Greece, Ireland, Portugal and Spain) dominated financial markets in 2010. European governments constructed an aid package in order to support troubled EU governments. Further support came from the ECB and the International Monetary Fund, with the resulting crisis facility contributing to stabilizing the markets.

In Switzerland, the favorable economic trend continued and the unemployment rate was lower at the end of 2010 compared to the end of 2009. Swiss consumer confidence increased in 2010, especially during the first six months of the year. The Swiss franc appreciated significantly against most major currencies, presenting some risk for Swiss exports and tourism and impacting assets under management, revenues and profits at Swiss financial institutions. The Swiss National Bank intervened heavily in the currency market in the second quarter to prevent excessive appreciation.

Based on strong earnings and a low interest rate environment, equity markets, especially in the US, performed well. The US equity market gained 13%, outperforming emerging markets in Asia and Latin America (refer to the charts "Equity markets"). European equity markets, impacted by the sovereign debt problems in some European countries, reported an average gain of 4%, but with large divergences among markets. For example, the German equity market outperformed the French equity market by more than 15%. The Swiss equity market only gained 3%, partly reflecting the underperformance of the banking sector in 2010. Volatility peaked in the second quarter and ended the year at lower levels than the end of 2009 (refer to the charts "Equity markets").

Government bond yields across most major markets declined during the first three quarters. In the third quarter, two-year US treasuries traded at a record low yield of 0.42%, and the yield on ten-year US treasuries dropped below 2.5%. Only in the fourth quarter did bond yields begin to increase after the Fed's announcement of its intention to purchase USD 600 billion of treasuries, extending its quantitative easing program (refer to the charts "Yield curves"). Credit spreads widened over the course of the year, and the European credit market suffered from the sovereign debt concerns in some European countries (refer to the charts "Credit spreads").

In currency markets, the US dollar appreciated against the euro in the first half of 2010 due to sovereign debt concerns within the EU and heightened risk aversion. The easing of sovereign debt concerns and continued low US interest rates supported the euro in the second half of 2010. The British pound depreciated against the US dollar due to weak growth in the UK. The Swiss franc appreciated against most major currencies, with the exception of the yen, which benefited from its own safe haven status and narrowing interest rate differentials. Several emerging market governments and central banks, including Brazil, Indonesia, Thailand and Turkey, also experimented with intervention or more unconventional capital-control mechanisms to dampen currency appreciation.

Most commodity prices performed strongly in 2010. Agricultural commodities reported gains of more than 40% due to crop failures in several markets and regions. Gold prices benefited from strong demand and low interest rates, and reached all-time highs above USD 1,400 per ounce in December 2010. The Credit Suisse Commodity Benchmark ended the year 15% higher.

Sector environment

2010 was a volatile year for the banking sector. European bank stocks ended the year 15% lower. Banks in the US were much stronger and outperformed the world index (refer to the charts “Equity markets”).

Regulators and governments continued regulatory reform, including measures on capital and liquidity requirements, compensation and systemic risk mitigation. For further information, refer to *I – Information on the Company – Regulation and supervision* and *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Capital management*.

The funding situation for European banks was difficult in the first half 2010, and only improved after the Committee of European Banking Supervisors published the results of stress tests in the third quarter. But many smaller European banks still experienced ongoing difficulties and significantly higher prices in fundraising.

The **wealth management** sector benefited from better markets than a year ago, but investors remained risk-averse and continued to prefer less complex financial products. The industry faced increased regulatory scrutiny, especially relating to the international exchange of information and client data, and continued to be a focus of tax authorities, creating uncertainty for the sector. Foreign currency weakness had a negative impact for institutions reporting in strong home currencies such as the Swiss franc. The wealth management industry continued to evolve, reflecting increasing requirements relating to investment advice, client information, documentation and cross-border compliance. Interest rates in Switzerland remained at historical lows. Retail banking in Switzerland reflected strong competition in the mortgage business, resulting in continued margin pressure.

In the **investment banking** sector, 2010 had reasonably healthy volumes, particularly in the US for fixed income. Global equity market volumes showed improved activity in European stocks, but were lower in the US compared with 2009. Capital markets remained challenged due to the economic uncertainty, in particular in the first half of the year. European debt underwriting volumes declined in 2010, primarily due to lower investment grade issuance. Announced mergers and acquisitions (M&A) activity and syndicated lending rebounded significantly from the depressed levels in 2009 (refer to the table “Market volumes”). The global fee pool was above its historical average and 13% higher than in 2009, but still below the pre-crisis level of 2007. Equity capital markets contributed 31%, debt capital markets 28% and M&A activity 24% to the global fee pool. Fees from loans more than doubled compared to 2009 and accounted for 16% of the total fee pool.

In the **asset management** sector, hedge funds achieved low double-digit returns in 2010, with the Dow Jones Credit Suisse Hedge Fund Index gaining 11%. Fundraising volumes varied considerably across asset classes. In alternative investments, the hedge fund industry began to see renewed investor demand, particularly in the second half of the

year, and total assets under management by hedge funds in 2010 increased 20% to USD 1.9 trillion. Credit strategies continued to attract client inflows. Within traditional asset classes, investors withdrew cash from money market products and re-invested in fixed income products. The demand for passive vehicles such as exchange-traded funds (ETFs) and index products remained robust in 2010.

Market volumes (growth in % year on year)

2010	Global	Europe
Equity trading volume ¹	5	10
Announced mergers and acquisitions ²	23	14
Completed mergers and acquisitions ²	–	(23)
Equity underwriting ²	(10)	(41)
Debt underwriting ²	5	(19)
Syndicated lending - investment-grade ²	60	–

¹ London Stock Exchange, Borsa Italiana, Deutsche Börse, BME and Euronext.

Global also includes New York Stock Exchange and NASDAQ. ² Dealogic.

Credit Suisse

In 2010, we recorded net income attributable to shareholders of CHF 5,098 million. Diluted earnings per share were CHF 3.89. Return on equity attributable to shareholders was 14.4%. We continued to reduce risk and further strengthened our capital position with a BIS tier 1 ratio of 17.2%.

Results

			in	% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Statements of operations (CHF million)					
Net interest income	6,541	6,891	8,536	(5)	(19)
Commissions and fees	14,078	13,750	14,812	2	(7)
Trading revenues	9,338	12,151	(9,880)	(23)	–
Other revenues	1,429	502	(4,200)	185	–
Net revenues	31,386	33,294	9,268	(6)	259
Provision for credit losses	(79)	506	813	–	(38)
Compensation and benefits	14,599	15,013	13,254	(3)	13
	7,231	7,701	7,809	(6)	(1)

General and administrative expenses					
Commission expenses	2,148	1,997	2,294	8	(13)
Total other operating expenses	9,379	9,698	10,103	(3)	(4)
Total operating expenses	23,978	24,711	23,357	(3)	6
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	7,487	8,077	(14,902)	(7)	—
Income tax expense/(benefit)	1,548	1,835	(4,596)	(16)	—
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	5,939	6,242	(10,306)	(5)	—
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations	(19)	169	(531)	—	—
Net income/(loss)	5,920	6,411	(10,837)	(8)	—
Less net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	822	(313)	(2,619)	—	(88)
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders	5,098	6,724	(8,218)	(24)	—
of which from continuing operations	5,117	6,555	(7,687)	(22)	—
of which from discontinued operations	(19)	169	(531)	—	—
Earnings per share (CHF)					
Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	3.93	5.14	(7.51)	(24)	—
Basic earnings/(loss) per share	3.91	5.28	(8.01)	(26)	—
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	3.91	5.01	(7.51)	(22)	—
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share	3.89	5.14	(8.01)	(24)	—
Return on equity (%)					
Return on equity attributable to shareholders	14.4	18.3	(21.1)	—	—
Return on tangible equity attributable to shareholders ¹	19.8	25.1	(29.3)	—	—
Number of employees (full-time equivalents)					
Number of employees	50,100	47,600	47,800	5	0

¹ Based on tangible shareholders' equity attributable to shareholders, which is calculated by deducting goodwill and other intangible assets from total shareholders' equity attributable to shareholders. Management believes that the return on tangible shareholders' equity attributable to shareholders is meaningful as

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

it allows consistent measurement of the performance of businesses without regard to whether the businesses were acquired.

Credit Suisse and Core Results

in	Core Results			Noncontrolling interests without SEI			Credit Suisse		
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Statements of operations (CHF million)									
Net revenues	30,625	33,617	11,862	761	(323)	(2,594)	31,386	33,294	9,268
Provision for credit losses	(79)	506	813	0	0	0	(79)	506	813
Compensation and benefits	14,562	14,927	13,179	37	86	75	14,599	15,013	13,254
General and administrative expenses	7,194	7,604	7,739	37	97	70	7,231	7,701	7,809
Commission expenses	2,148	1,997	2,294	0	0	0	2,148	1,997	2,294
Total other operating expenses	9,342	9,601	10,033	37	97	70	9,379	9,698	10,103
Total operating expenses	23,904	24,528	23,212	74	183	145	23,978	24,711	23,357
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	6,800	8,583	(12,163)	687	(506)	(2,739)	7,487	8,077	(14,902)
Income tax expense/(benefit)	1,548	1,835	(4,596)	0	0	0	1,548	1,835	(4,596)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	5,252	6,748	(7,567)	687	(506)	(2,739)	5,939	6,242	(10,306)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations	(19)	169	(531)	0	0	0	(19)	169	(531)
Net income/(loss)	5,233	6,917	(8,098)	687	(506)	(2,739)	5,920	6,411	(10,837)
Less net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	135	193	120	687	(506)	(2,739)	822	(313)	(2,619)
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders	5,098	6,724	(8,218)	0	0	0	5,098	6,724	(8,218)
Statement of operations metrics (%)									

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Cost/income ratio	78.1	73.0	195.7	–	–	–	76.4	74.2	252.0
Pre-tax income margin	22.2	25.5	(102.5)	–	–	–	23.9	24.3	(160.8)
Effective tax rate	22.8	21.4	37.8	–	–	–	20.7	22.7	30.8
Net income margin ¹	16.6	20.0	(69.3)	–	–	–	16.2	20.2	(88.7)

1 Based on amounts attributable to shareholders.

Differences between Group and Bank

Except where noted, the business of the Bank is substantially the same as the business of Credit Suisse Group, and substantially all of the Bank's operations are conducted through the Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management segments. These segment results are included in Core Results. Certain other assets, liabilities and results of operations are managed as part of the activities of the three segments, however, since they are legally owned by the Group, they are not included in the Bank's financial statements. These related principally to the activities of Clariden Leu, Neue Aargauer Bank and BANK-now, which are managed as part of Private Banking, and hedging activities relating to share-based compensation awards. Core Results also includes certain Group corporate center activities that are not applicable to the Bank.

These operations and activities vary from period to period and give rise to differences between the Bank's assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, including pensions and taxes, and those of the Group. For further information on the Bank refer to *Note 39 – Subsidiary guarantee information in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group and VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank)*.

Differences between Group and Bank businesses

Entity	Principal business activity
Clariden Leu	Banking and securities
Neue Aargauer Bank	Banking (in the Swiss canton of Aargau)
BANK-now	Private credit and car leasing (in Switzerland)
Financing vehicles of the Group	Special purpose vehicles for various funding activities of the Group, including for purposes of raising capital

Comparison of consolidated statements of operations

	Group			Bank		
in	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Statements of operations (CHF million)						
Net revenues	31,386	33,294	9,268	29,598	31,993	7,305

Total operating expenses	23,978	24,711	23,357	23,451	24,176	22,347
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	7,487	8,077	(14,902)	6,271	7,357	(15,839)
Income tax expense/(benefit)	1,548	1,835	(4,596)	1,258	1,794	(4,922)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	5,939	6,242	(10,306)	5,013	5,563	(10,917)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations	(19)	169	(531)	(19)	169	(531)
Net income/(loss)	5,920	6,411	(10,837)	4,994	5,732	(11,448)
Less net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	822	(313)	(2,619)	802	(697)	(3,379)
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders	5,098	6,724	(8,218)	4,192	6,429	(8,069)

Comparison of consolidated balance sheets

		Group		Bank	
end of	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Balance sheet statistics (CHF million)					
Total assets	1,032,005	1,031,427	1,008,761	1,010,482	
Total liabilities	988,990	983,099	969,597	964,731	

Capitalization

		Group		Bank	
end of	2010	2009	2010	2009	
Capitalization (CHF million)					
Due to banks	37,493	36,214	47,675	50,081	
Customer deposits	287,564	286,694	263,767	258,697	
Central bank funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions	168,394	191,687	168,394	191,587	
Long-term debt	173,752	159,365	171,140	156,676	
Other liabilities	321,787	309,139	318,621	307,690	
Total liabilities	988,990	983,099	969,597	964,731	
Total equity	43,015	48,328	39,164	45,751	
Total capitalization	1,032,005	1,031,427	1,008,761	1,010,482	

Capital adequacy

end of	Group		Bank	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Capital (CHF million)				
Tier 1 capital	37,725	36,207	35,310	34,695
of which hybrid instruments	11,098	12,198	10,589	11,617
Total eligible capital	47,799	45,728	47,569	46,320
Capital ratios (%)				
Tier 1 ratio	17.2	16.3	17.1	16.5
Total capital ratio	21.9	20.6	23.1	22.0

Dividends of the Bank to the Group

end of	2010	2009
Per share issued (CHF)		
Dividend ^{1, 2}	0.23	68.19

Registered shares of CHF 100.00 nominal value each. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, total share capital consisted of 43,996,652 registered shares.

1 Dividends are determined in accordance with Swiss law and the Bank's articles of incorporation. For more information, refer to VIII – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank) 2 In 2008, 2007 and 2006, dividends per share issued were CHF 0.23, CHF 59.10 and CHF 0.23, respectively.

Core Results

For 2010, net income attributable to shareholders was CHF 5,098 million. Private Banking had stable net revenues, despite a challenging operating environment, and attracted CHF 54.6 billion of net new assets, with strong inflows in both the international and the Swiss regions. Investment Banking had net revenues of CHF 16,214 million, with strong underwriting and advisory revenues and solid equity sales and trading revenues but significantly lower fixed income sales and trading revenues. Results were impacted by subdued client flows compared with 2009, but also reflected continued market share momentum across products and regions. Asset Management net revenues were up 27%, mainly reflecting significant investment-related gains in 2010 compared to significant losses in 2009, partially offset by lower gains on equity participations. Asset Management net new assets were CHF 20.6 billion, up substantially from CHF 0.4 billion in 2009.

Results

in % change

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Statements of operations (CHF million)					
Net interest income	6,474	6,763	8,409	(4)	(20)
Commissions and fees	14,131	13,702	14,755	3	(7)
Trading revenues	9,328	12,127	(9,853)	(23)	–
Other revenues	692	1,025	(1,449)	(32)	–
Net revenues	30,625	33,617	11,862	(9)	183
Provision for credit losses	(79)	506	813	–	(38)
Compensation and benefits	14,562	14,927	13,179	(2)	13
General and administrative expenses	7,194	7,604	7,739	(5)	(2)
Commission expenses	2,148	1,997	2,294	8	(13)
Total other operating expenses	9,342	9,601	10,033	(3)	(4)
Total operating expenses	23,904	24,528	23,212	(3)	6
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	6,800	8,583	(12,163)	(21)	–
Income tax expense/(benefit)	1,548	1,835	(4,596)	(16)	–
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	5,252	6,748	(7,567)	(22)	–
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations	(19)	169	(531)	–	–
Net income/(loss)	5,233	6,917	(8,098)	(24)	–
Less net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	135	193	120	(30)	61
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders	5,098	6,724	(8,218)	(24)	–
of which from continuing operations	5,117	6,555	(7,687)	(22)	–
of which from discontinued operations	(19)	169	(531)	–	–
Statement of operations metrics (%)					
Cost/income ratio	78.1	73.0	195.7	–	–
Pre-tax income margin	22.2	25.5	(102.5)	–	–
Effective tax rate	22.8	21.4	37.8	–	–
Net income margin ¹	16.6	20.0	(69.3)	–	–
Number of employees (full-time equivalents)					
Number of employees	50,100	47,600	47,800	5	0

¹ Based on amounts attributable to shareholders.

Core Results include the results of our three segments, the Corporate Center and discontinued operations. Core Results exclude revenues and expenses in respect of noncontrolling interests in which we do not have SEI. The Corporate Center includes parent company operations such as Group financing, expenses for projects sponsored by the Group and certain expenses and revenues that have not been allocated to the segments. In addition, the Corporate Center includes consolidation and elimination adjustments required to eliminate intercompany revenues and expenses.

Our Core Results are impacted by changes in credit spreads on Credit Suisse vanilla debt carried at >>>fair value. In the second quarter of 2009, we entered into a transaction designed to reduce the volatility of these changes. In the transaction (the >>>FVOD transaction) we made loans, which we carry at fair value, to Alpine Securitization Corp. (Alpine), a multi-seller >>>commercial paper (CP) conduit administered by Credit Suisse. The FVOD transaction was designed to offset a significant portion of the volatility in credit spread movements on Credit Suisse vanilla debt. For information on the impact of accounting changes on the FVOD transaction effective the first quarter of 2010, refer to *Accounting changes adopted in first quarter 2010*.

For segment reporting purposes, the cumulative fair value gains of CHF 1.5 billion on Credit Suisse debt as of the opening 2010 balance sheet are charged to the segments on a straight-line amortization basis, and the difference between this amortization and the fair valuation on this Credit Suisse debt from changes in credit spreads is included in the Corporate Center. Our Core Results are also impacted by fair valuation gains/losses on cross currency swaps relating to our long-term debt. These fair valuation gains/losses on the cross currency swaps are recorded in the Corporate Center, reflect the volatility in the basis between the relevant currency yield curves and, over the life of the swaps, will result in no net gains/losses.

In managing the business, revenues are evaluated in the aggregate, including an assessment of trading gains and losses and the related interest income and expense from financing and hedging positions. For this reason, individual revenue categories may not be indicative of performance.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior periods to conform to the current presentation.

Results overview

2010 versus 2009

In 2010, we recorded net income attributable to shareholders of CHF 5,098 million, down 24% compared to 2009. Net revenues were CHF 30,625 million, down 9%, and total operating expenses were CHF 23,904 million, down 3%, compared to 2009. Our 2010 Core Results included fair value gains of CHF 341 million on Credit Suisse vanilla debt. CHF 249 million of fair value losses were charged to the segments (primarily Investment Banking), reflecting the straight-line amortization, and CHF 590 million of fair value gains were included in the Corporate Center. Provision for credit losses were net releases of CHF 79 million compared to net provisions of CHF 506 million as of the end of 2009, reflecting the improved credit environment. Total operating expenses declined slightly, mainly due to the foreign exchange translation impact and lower performance-related compensation.

In **Private Banking**, net revenues of CHF 11,631 million were stable compared to 2009. Results in 2010 were impacted by the weakening of the average rate of the US dollar and euro against the Swiss franc compared to 2009, adversely affecting net revenues in Wealth Management Clients by approximately CHF 350 million and income before taxes by approximately CHF 250 million. Recurring revenues, representing 78% of net revenues, were stable. In an ongoing low interest rate environment, stable net interest income reflected slightly lower loan and deposit margins on slightly higher average volumes. Recurring commissions and fees were up 3% and average assets under management increased 9.9%. Investor behavior remained cautious during 2010, reflected in investments in less complex, lower-margin products, also within managed investment products, and a significant portion of assets under

management in cash. Transaction-based revenues decreased slightly, reflecting lower client activity. The decline was driven by lower revenues from integrated solutions and brokerage fees and gains from the sale of real estate and >>>auction rate securities (ARS) in 2009, partially offset by higher product issuing fees and lower fair value losses on the Clock Finance transaction compared to 2009.

In **Investment Banking**, net revenues of CHF 16,214 million decreased 21% compared to 2009. Approximately CHF 1.3 billion of 2009 revenues were due to the normalization of market conditions that had become severely dislocated in the fourth quarter of 2008. In addition, 2010 results in many businesses were impacted by lower levels of client trading activity compared to 2009. We continued to make progress in the implementation of our client-focused, capital-efficient strategy and continued to increase our market share across most businesses and regions. Fixed income sales and trading revenues were resilient, although significantly lower compared to 2009, reflecting a challenging environment for the industry affected by macroeconomic uncertainties. Results were driven by >>>residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS), credit, global rates and emerging markets trading. Revenues in global rates and credit, including leveraged finance and investment grade trading, although solid, reflected less favorable market conditions than in 2009 and market volatility triggered by sovereign debt concerns in Europe in 2010. Revenues in RMBS and leveraged finance trading benefited from an increase in investor demand for yield-driven products. Equity sales and trading results were solid, although lower compared to a strong 2009, reflecting lower levels of client trading activity. Results were driven by revenues in cash equities, prime services and >>>derivatives. In 2010, we improved our market share while maintaining our leading market share rankings in cash equities and prime services. We had strong underwriting and advisory results, reflecting an increase in industry-wide capital issuance levels, an increase in completed M&A market share and improved share of wallet with clients. We had near-record revenues in debt underwriting, driven by higher industry-wide high yield issuance volumes, and improved advisory revenues, reflecting an increase in completed M&A market share. Equity underwriting revenues were in line with lower industry-wide equity issuance levels, particularly in follow-on and convertible issuances, partially offset by a significant increase in initial public offering (IPO) volumes. Results included net fair value losses on Credit Suisse vanilla debt of CHF 232 million in 2010, compared to net fair value losses of CHF 397 million in 2009, and significant allocated funding costs.

In **Asset Management**, net revenues of CHF 2,332 million were up 27% compared to CHF 1,842 million in 2009, primarily reflecting investment-related gains compared to losses in 2009, partially offset by lower income from equity participations. Investment-related gains were CHF 420 million, compared to losses of CHF 365 million in 2009, reflecting improved equity markets. Asset management fees of CHF 1,412 million were up 3%, reflecting higher average assets under management. Average assets under management increased 2.2% to CHF 427.8 billion and were adversely impacted by foreign exchange-related movements and the spin-off of non-core businesses. Placement, transaction and other fees of CHF 143 million were down 15%, reflecting losses related to investments held by Asset Management Finance LLC (AMF) and lower revenues from integrated solutions, partially offset by higher private equity placement and real estate transaction fees. Performance fees and carried interest of CHF 187 million were down 15% from lower performance fees from Hedging-Griffo and from diversified investments relating to management of the Partner Asset Facility (PAF), partially offset by carried interest relating to realized private equity gains. Equity participations income of CHF 41 million was down 88% from 2009, which included significant gains from the sale of part of the traditional investments business to Aberdeen Asset Management (Aberdeen) and the sale of Polish and Korean joint ventures. Other revenues in 2010 and 2009 primarily reflected gains on the sale of securities purchased from money market funds and securities acquired from client securities lending portfolios. Net revenues before securities purchased from money market funds and investment-related gains of CHF 1,769 million were down 16%, primarily due to lower revenues from equity participations.

In **Corporate Center**, the decreased loss of CHF 660 million compared to CHF 1,948 million primarily reflected lower litigation provisions and fair value gains on Credit Suisse vanilla debt versus losses in 2009. The 2010 loss included a charge of CHF 404 million for the UK levy on variable compensation and CHF 216 million of litigation provisions, partly offset by CHF 590 million of fair value gains on our long-term vanilla debt, which reflected the positive difference between the straight-line amortization charged to the segments and the net impact of fair valuation adjustments on Credit Suisse debt from widening credit spreads.

Provision for credit losses were net releases of CHF 79 million, with releases of CHF 97 million in Investment Banking and net provisions of CHF 18 million in Private Banking.

Total operating expenses were CHF 23,904 million, down 3%, mainly due to the foreign exchange translation impact and lower performance-related variable compensation, partially offset by an increase in salaries and benefits, reflecting higher base salaries and increased headcount, and the CHF 404 million charge relating to the UK levy on variable compensation. 2010 performance-related variable compensation accruals reflected lower risk-adjusted profitability, the higher base salaries and a higher proportion of performance-related variable compensation deferred through share-based, restricted cash and other awards. Compensation and benefits included significantly lower expenses relating to the PAF. General and administrative expenses decreased 5%, reflecting the foreign exchange translation impact and a significant decrease in litigation provisions and charges, offset in part by higher professional fees and IT costs.

The **Core Results effective tax rate** was 22.8% in 2010, compared to 21.4% in 2009. The effective tax rate reflected the geographical mix of results and included the recognition of additional deferred tax assets, a decrease of deferred tax liability balances in Switzerland and the release of tax contingency accruals. Overall, net deferred tax assets increased CHF 186 million to CHF 9,005 million as of the end of 2010. For further information, refer to *Note 26 – Tax in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Assets under management from continuing operations were CHF 1,253.0 billion as of the end of 2010, an increase of 2.0% compared to the end of 2009. In 2010, we reported net new assets of CHF 69.0 billion, up 56.1% compared to 2009. We had net new assets of CHF 54.6 billion in Private Banking and CHF 20.6 billion in Asset Management.

2009 versus 2008

In 2009, we recorded net income attributable to shareholders of CHF 6,724 million, compared to a net loss attributable to shareholders of CHF 8,218 million in 2008. Net revenues were CHF 33,617 million compared to CHF 11,862 million in 2008. Total operating expenses were CHF 24,528 million, up CHF 1,316 million, or 6%. Our 2009 results included fair value losses of CHF 4,458 million on Credit Suisse vanilla debt, mostly offset by gains of CHF 3,708 million from the >>>FVOD transaction. CHF 423 million of the net fair value losses were charged to the segments (primarily Investment Banking) and CHF 327 million of net fair value losses were included in the Corporate Center.

In Private Banking, we realigned our client coverage into Wealth Management Clients and Corporate & Institutional Clients. Swiss private client coverage is now part of Wealth Management Clients, which covers all individual clients, including >>>affluent, >>>high-net-worth and >>>ultra-high-net-worth clients. Corporate & Institutional Clients provides banking services to corporates and institutions in Switzerland.

In **Private Banking**, net revenues were CHF 11,662 million, a decline of 10% from 2008. Recurring revenues, representing 77% of net revenues, declined 11%, mainly reflecting a decrease in recurring commissions and fees. Lower recurring commissions and fees reflected a 6.4% decrease in average assets under management and a shift into lower margin investments, also within managed investment products, resulting from cautious investor behavior, offset in part by strong performance fees from Hedging-Griffo. Net interest income decreased 3% due to lower margins on slightly lower average loan volumes, mostly offset by higher margins on higher average deposit volumes. Transaction-based revenues were down 6%, reflecting fair value losses from the Clock Finance transaction of CHF 118 million in 2009, compared to fair value gains of CHF 110 million in 2008. Excluding the impact of the Clock Finance transaction in 2009 and 2008, respectively, transaction based revenues increased 2%, as significantly higher integrated solutions revenues were mostly offset by lower product issuing fees, foreign exchange income from client transactions and brokerage fees.

In **Investment Banking**, net revenues increased to a record CHF 20,537 million from negative CHF 1,971 million in 2008. Our key client businesses generated revenues of CHF 18.2 billion, reflecting solid contributions from global rates and foreign exchange, cash equities, US RMBS secondary trading, prime services, flow and corporate derivatives and high grade trading. Our repositioned businesses had revenues of CHF 5.4 billion for the year, driven by our US leveraged finance, emerging markets trading, corporate lending, trading strategies and convertibles businesses. We had losses of CHF 2.7 billion in our exit businesses, primarily driven by valuation reductions in >>>commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS). Approximately CHF 1.3 billion of revenues in the first quarter from our ongoing businesses were due to more normalized market conditions. Debt underwriting revenues increased significantly, primarily due to strong results in leveraged finance, which reflected a significant increase in industry-wide high yield issuance, as 2009 was the second highest year on record for high yield issuance, and fee revenues in 2009 compared to fee losses of CHF 200 million in 2008. Equity underwriting revenues increased, driven by a significant increase in industry-wide equity issuance volumes and an increase in market share across most product categories and regions. Advisory and other fees decreased due to significantly lower levels of global completed M&A activity and a decline in completed M&A market share. Fixed income trading revenues increased significantly, primarily due to revenues, including valuation gains, in our combined structured products and US leveraged finance businesses compared to net valuation reductions in 2008, mostly in exit businesses. We had significant valuation reductions in CMBS as we reduced our risk exposures, compared to substantially higher valuation reductions in 2008. We also had revenues in our corporate lending and emerging markets businesses compared to losses in 2008. In addition, we had strong revenues in 2009 in many of our client and >>>flow-based businesses, including our US RMBS secondary trading and global rates and foreign exchange businesses, and revenues in our commodities business compared to losses in 2008, mostly in exit businesses. Our results reflected significant market share gains in many of our fixed income businesses. Equity trading revenues increased significantly, primarily due to revenues in certain businesses compared to significant losses in the second half of 2008 in the convertibles business, illiquid trading strategies and equity derivatives. We substantially reduced our trading positions relating to illiquid equity trading strategies. We had higher revenues from our fund-linked products and prime services businesses. Results in our cash equities business continued to be strong. Our results also included net fair value losses on Credit Suisse debt of CHF 397 million in 2009 (including CHF 365 million of gains in the first quarter and CHF 762 million of charges reflecting the straight-line amortization following the FVOD transaction), compared to fair value gains of CHF 4,654 million in 2008, and higher allocated funding costs.

In **Asset Management**, net revenues almost tripled to CHF 1,842 million compared to 2008, primarily reflecting gains from securities purchased from our money market funds compared to significant losses in 2008, lower investment-related losses and higher income from equity participations, including aggregate gains of CHF 286 million from the Aberdeen transaction and the sale of the two joint ventures. Net revenues before securities purchased from our money market funds and investment-related losses of CHF 2,098 million were up 6%, primarily due to the higher income from equity participations, partially offset by lower management and placement fees. Average assets under management decreased 18.7% in 2009. Asset management fees of CHF 1,376 million were down 13%, primarily from significantly lower revenues in multi-asset class solutions, reflecting the decline in average assets under management and lower margins. Placement, transaction and other fees declined 27%, reflecting the difficult fundraising environment in 2009, partially offset by higher revenues from integrated solutions. Performance fees and carried interest increased 44%, primarily from performance fees from Hedging-Griffo and from diversified investments relating to management of the PAF. Equity participations income primarily reflected the gains from the Aberdeen transaction and the sale of the two joint ventures. 2008 revenues included an impairment charge on the Korean joint venture. Other revenues in 2009 included gains on the sale of securities purchased from money market funds compared to significant losses in 2008. Other revenues in 2008 included losses associated with proprietary hedge fund positions.

Corporate Center loss from continuing operations before taxes of CHF 1,948 million primarily reflected litigation provisions of CHF 705 million relating to the US economic sanctions matter and ARS, the negative difference between the straight-line amortization and the net impact on valuation adjustments on Credit Suisse debt from changes in credit spreads of CHF 327 million, the elimination of the CHF 228 million Aberdeen gain in discontinued

operations that was reported in Asset Management and CHF 100 million for captive insurance settlements for non-credit-related provisions in Wealth Management Clients.

Provision for credit losses was CHF 506 million in 2009, with CHF 326 million in Investment Banking and CHF 180 million in Private Banking.

Total operating expenses increased 6% compared to 2008, reflecting higher compensation and benefits, partially offset by lower commission expenses and a slight decrease in general and administrative expenses. The increase in compensation and benefits was due to higher performance-related compensation, reflecting improved risk-adjusted profitability in Investment Banking and the deferral of compensation under the cash retention award (CRA) program in 2008, of which CHF 822 million was expensed in 2009. 2008 included CHF 596 million of severance and other compensation expenses associated with the accelerated implementation of our strategy. Compensation and benefits included CHF 629 million of compensation expense relating to the PAF, of which CHF 383 million were gains reflected in trading revenues that were reclassified in Corporate Center, as the PAF gains and offsetting compensation expense were included in Investment Banking trading revenues. General and administrative expenses were slightly lower, reflecting decreases in most expense categories, primarily professional fees, travel and entertainment and goodwill and intangible assets impairments, mostly offset by higher non-credit related provisions, information technology (IT) and occupancy expenses.

The **Core Results effective tax rate** was 21.4% in 2009, compared to 37.8% in 2008. The effective tax rate primarily reflected foreign exchange translation gains of CHF 460 million relating to deferred tax assets on tax loss carry forwards recorded in UK entities, net release of CHF 156 million of tax contingency accruals following the favorable resolution of certain tax matters, together with the geographical mix of results. The foreign exchange movements arose due to tax loss carry-forwards denominated in British pounds, which differs from the functional currency of the reporting entities. UK tax law was enacted during 2009 which had the effect of removing these foreign exchange movements going forward. Net deferred tax assets decreased CHF 951 million, or 9.7%, to CHF 8,819 million as of the end of 2009, including foreign exchange translation impacts. For further information, refer to *Note 26 – Tax in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Assets under management from continuing operations were CHF 1,229.0 billion as of the end of 2009, an increase of CHF 122.9 billion, or 11.1%, compared to the end of 2008. We had net new assets of CHF 41.6 billion in Private Banking and CHF 0.4 billion in Asset Management.

Core Results reporting by division

			in	% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Net revenues (CHF million)					
Wealth Management Clients	9,829	9,871	10,697	0	(8)
Corporate & Institutional Clients	1,802	1,791	2,210	1	(19)
Private Banking	11,631	11,662	12,907	0	(10)
Investment Banking	16,214	20,537	(1,971)	(21)	–
Asset Management	2,332	1,842	632	27	191
Corporate Center	448	(424)	294	–	–
Net revenues	30,625	33,617	11,862	(9)	183
Provision for credit losses (CHF million)					

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Wealth Management Clients	70	33	141	112	(77)
Corporate & Institutional Clients	(52)	147	(8)	–	–
Private Banking	18	180	133	(90)	35
Investment Banking	(97)	326	679	–	(52)
Corporate Center	0	0	1	–	(100)
Provision for credit losses	(79)	506	813	–	(38)
Total operating expenses (CHF million)					
Wealth Management Clients	7,231	6,940	8,047	4	(14)
Corporate & Institutional Clients	956	891	877	7	2
Private Banking	8,187	7,831	8,924	5	(12)
Investment Banking	12,780	13,366	11,142	(4)	20
Asset Management	1,829	1,807	1,817	1	(1)
Corporate Center	1,108	1,524	1,329	(27)	15
Total operating expenses	23,904	24,528	23,212	(3)	6
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes (CHF million)					
Wealth Management Clients	2,528	2,898	2,509	(13)	16
Corporate & Institutional Clients	898	753	1,341	19	(44)
Private Banking	3,426	3,651	3,850	(6)	(5)
Investment Banking	3,531	6,845	(13,792)	(48)	–
Asset Management	503	35	(1,185)	–	–
Corporate Center	(660)	(1,948)	(1,036)	(66)	88
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	6,800	8,583	(12,163)	(21)	–

Core Results reporting by region

			in	% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Net revenues (CHF million)					
Switzerland	8,416	8,800	10,096	(4)	(13)
EMEA	7,145	9,009	138	(21)	–
Americas	11,558	12,794	660	(10)	–
Asia Pacific	3,058	3,438	674	(11)	410
Corporate Center	448	(424)	294	–	–
Net revenues	30,625	33,617	11,862	(9)	183
Income before taxes (CHF million)					

Switzerland	2,913	3,295	4,426	(12)	(26)
EMEA	417	2,146	(6,642)	(81)	–
Americas	3,762	4,262	(6,923)	(12)	–
Asia Pacific	368	828	(1,988)	(56)	–
Corporate Center	(660)	(1,948)	(1,036)	(66)	88
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	6,800	8,583	(12,163)	(21)	–

A significant portion of our business requires inter-regional coordination in order to facilitate the needs of our clients. The methodology for allocating our results by region is dependent on management judgment. For Private Banking, results are allocated based on the management reporting structure of our relationship managers and the region where the transaction is recorded. For Investment Banking, trading results are allocated based on where the risk is primarily managed and fee-based results are allocated where the client is domiciled. For Asset Management, results are allocated based on the location of the investment advisors and sales teams.

Capital trends

Our consolidated Bank for International Settlements (BIS) tier 1 ratio under >>>Basel II was strong at 17.2% as of the end of 2010, compared to 16.3% as of the end of 2009. The increase reflected decreased risk-weighted assets and increased tier 1 capital.

Our Board of Directors will propose a distribution of CHF 1.30 per share out of reserves from capital contributions for 2010 at the annual general meeting (AGM) on April 29, 2011. Due to a change in Swiss tax law that came into force in January 2011, the distribution will be free of Swiss withholding tax and will not be subject to income tax for Swiss resident individuals holding the shares as a private investment. The proposal is subject to approval by shareholders at the AGM.

For further information on capital trends, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management*.

Risk trends

In 2010, our overall >>>position risk, measured on the basis of our economic capital model, decreased 10% compared to 2009. Excluding the US dollar translation impact, position risk decreased 2%. The average >>>value-at-risk (VaR) in 2010 decreased 20% to CHF 110 million from 2009, reflecting a reduction in market volatility, partially offset by increased risk in support of our client flow businesses. For further information on risk trends, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Risk management*.

Management and Board of Directors changes

Renato Fassbind retired as Chief Financial Officer on October 1, 2010, and David Mathers, who had served as the Chief Operating Officer and Head of Finance for Investment Banking, assumed the role. Renato Fassbind will remain

with Credit Suisse as a senior advisor.

Osama S. Abbasi was appointed Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of Credit Suisse Asia Pacific and a member of the Executive Board of Credit Suisse Group and Credit Suisse, effective October 1, 2010, succeeding Kai Nargolwala, who was appointed non-executive Chairman, Credit Suisse Asia Pacific. Mr. Abbasi was head of the equities department of Investment Banking in Asia Pacific and a member of the Investment Banking Management Committee, Global Equity Management Committee and the Asia Pacific Operating Committee.

As of July 2010, Eric Varvel was appointed CEO of Investment Banking and Fawzi Kyriakos-Saad, former CEO of Russia, the countries of the Commonwealth of Independent States and Turkey, and Co-Head of the Global Emerging Markets Council, succeeded him as CEO of Europe, Middle East and Africa (EMEA). Antonio Quintella, former CEO of Brazil and Co-Head of the Global Emerging Markets Council, became CEO of Americas, succeeding Rob Shafir, who remains CEO of Asset Management.

In November, Paul Calello, Chairman of Investment Banking and a member of our Executive Board, passed away from non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma.

At our AGM in April 2010, Jassim Bin Hamad J. J. Al Thani, Chairman of the Board of Directors of Qatar Islamic Bank, and Robert H. Benmosche, President and CEO of American International Group were elected as new members of the Board of Directors. The Board proposes the following members be re-elected, Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Jean Lanier and Anton van Rossum, subject to their election by the shareholders.

Regulatory proposals

Government leaders and regulators continued to focus on reform of the financial services industry, including capital, leverage and liquidity requirements, changes in compensation practices and systemic risk. >>>G-20 leaders pledged to increase regulation and improve coordination of oversight of banks and financial institutions.

For information on the liquidity principles agreed with the FINMA, the liquidity and capital standards under the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision Basel III framework, the report of the Swiss Expert Commission on "Too Big to Fail" issues relating to big banks, and the revisions to the >>>Basel II market risk framework (Basel II.5), refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management*. For information on other regulatory developments and proposals, refer to *I – Information on the company – Regulation and supervision*.

Compensation and benefits

Compensation and benefits for a given year reflect the strength and breadth of the business results and staffing levels and include fixed components, such as salaries, benefits and the amortization of share-based and other deferred compensation from prior-year awards, and a variable component. The variable component reflects the performance-based variable compensation for the current year. The portion of the performance-based compensation for the current year deferred through share-based and other awards is expensed in future periods and is subject to vesting and other conditions.

Our shareholders' equity reflects the effect of share-based compensation, including the impact of related share repurchases and other hedging activities. Equity is generally unaffected by the granting and vesting of share-based awards, including through the issuance of shares from approved conditional capital. Share-based compensation expense (which is generally based on >>>fair value at the time of grant) reduces equity, however the recognition of the obligation to deliver the shares increases equity by a corresponding amount. When Credit Suisse purchases shares from the market to meet its obligation to employees, these purchased treasury shares reduce equity by the amount of

the purchase price. Treasury shares are managed in aggregate and are not allocated to specific obligations under any particular share-based compensation program. Shareholders' equity also includes, as additional paid-in capital, the excess tax benefits/charges that arise at settlement of share-based awards. For further information, refer to the *Consolidated statements of changes in equity, Note 27 – Employee deferred compensation and Note 26 – Tax – Tax benefits associated with share-based compensation in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group.*

Changes to our compensation structure

The 2010 compensation structure is based on existing compensation principles and responds to shareholder feedback, regulatory initiatives and dialogue and political as well as public concerns. Our 2010 compensation reflected changes to variable compensation awards to increase the amount of deferred compensation and to simplify the share-based and other awards. The new features of our compensation design are described below.

- The threshold for participation in variable deferred compensation awards has been lowered from CHF 125,000 to CHF 50,000, and the proportion of variable deferred compensation has been increased.
- Variable deferred compensation awards granted to employees up to and including the level of vice president will be in the form of share awards. Share awards granted as part of 2010 variable awards will vest over four years. The upside and downside potential is based solely on changes in the Group's share price over four years.
- 50% of the variable deferred awards granted to members of the Executive Board, managing directors and directors will be in the form of share awards and 50% in Adjustable Performance Plan awards. Adjustable Performance Plan awards are cash-based awards that vest over four years, on a pro rata basis. Outstanding awards will be adjusted upwards or downwards based on the Group's return on equity (ROE). For revenue-generating employees of each division, if the division is loss-making, outstanding awards for employees of that division will be adjusted downward. If the division generates a loss and the Group's ROE is negative, the greater of the two adjustments will apply. For employees in Shared Services and other support functions all outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards are linked to the Group ROE. Only a negative Group ROE will trigger a negative adjustment of outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards for these employees. This link to Group performance is intended to ensure that the compensation of employees in support functions is not directly linked to the performance of the businesses they support.
- Managing directors in Investment Banking will receive variable cash compensation in the form of restricted cash, which vests ratably over a two-year period and are subject to repayment if certain claw-back events occur.

As of January 1, 2010, we increased the fixed compensation for Executive Board members, managing directors, directors and certain vice-presidents and correspondingly decreased their variable compensation for 2010. This shift in the compensation structure was influenced by regulators to ensure a more balanced mix between fixed and variable compensation.

Recent developments

During 4Q10, Asset Management completed the acquisition of a significant noncontrolling interest in York Capital Management, a global hedge fund manager, based in New York.

As of November 17, 2010, the Group owned 99.95% of the share capital of Neue Aargauer Bank AG following its tender offer for shares not owned by the Group. The Group has applied for the cancellation of the remaining shares pursuant to Art. 33 of the Swiss Federal Act on Stock Exchanges and Securities Trading.

In February 2011, we entered into definitive agreements to issue an aggregate of CHF 5.9 billion Tier 1 Buffer Capital Notes (Tier 1 BCNs) for cash or in exchange for tier 1 capital notes issued in 2008. The purchase or exchange will occur no earlier than October 2013, the first call date of the Tier 1 Capital Notes. The Tier 1 BCNs will be converted into our ordinary shares if our reported common equity tier 1 ratio falls below 7%.

In February 2011, we issued USD 2 billion Tier 2 Buffer Capital Notes due 2041 (Tier 2 BCNs). The Tier 2 BCNs are subordinated notes and may be redeemed by the issuer at any time from August 2016. The Tier 2 BCNs will be converted into our ordinary shares if, prior to Basel III, our core tier 1 ratio falls below 7% or, under Basel III, our common equity tier 1 ratio falls below 7%.

For more information on the terms of the Tier 1 BCNs and Tier 2 BCNs, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Capital issuances*.

Allocations and funding

Revenue sharing and cost allocation

Responsibility for each product is allocated to a segment, which records all related revenues and expenses. Revenue-sharing and service level agreements govern the compensation received by one segment for generating revenue or providing services on behalf of another. These agreements are negotiated periodically by the relevant segments on a product-by-product basis.

The aim of revenue-sharing and service level agreements is to reflect the pricing structure of unrelated third-party transactions.

Corporate services and business support in finance, operations, including human resources, legal and compliance, risk management and IT are provided by the Shared Services area. Shared Services costs are allocated to the segments and Corporate Center based on their requirements and other relevant measures.

Funding

We centrally manage our funding activities. New securities for funding and capital purposes are issued primarily by the Bank. The Bank lends funds to our operating subsidiaries and affiliates on both a senior and subordinated basis, as needed, the latter typically to meet capital requirements, or as desired by management to capitalize on opportunities. Capital is distributed to the segments considering factors such as regulatory capital requirements, utilized economic capital and the historic and future potential return on capital.

Transfer pricing, using market rates, is used to record net revenues and expense in each of the segments for this capital and funding. Our funds transfer pricing system is designed to allocate to our businesses funding costs in a way that incentivizes their efficient use of funding. Our funds transfer pricing system is an essential tool that allocates to the businesses the short-term and long-term costs of funding their balance sheet and the costs associated with funding liquidity and balance sheet items, such as goodwill, which are beyond the control of individual businesses. This is of greater importance in a stressed capital markets environment where raising funds is more challenging and expensive. Under this system, our businesses are also credited to the extent they provide long-term stable funding.

Accounting changes adopted in the first quarter 2010

The adoption of new accounting principles under US GAAP on January 1, 2010 governing when an entity should be consolidated resulted in an increase in assets of CHF 15 billion to the opening first quarter 2010 consolidated balance sheet and a reduction of approximately CHF 2 billion in opening first quarter 2010 retained earnings. The reduction in retained earnings was related to the consolidation of Alpine and represents Alpine's cumulative losses from the >>>FVOD transaction of CHF 3.7 billion before tax. Alpine's losses did not affect tier 1 capital as these fair value losses on Credit Suisse debt are excluded from the determination of regulatory capital. The consolidation of Alpine and other entities under these new rules did not have an impact on tier 1 capital or risk-weighted assets because of the securitization framework used under >>>Basel II, which differs from US GAAP.

After the consolidation of Alpine, the remaining net gains on Credit Suisse debt of CHF 1.5 billion continue to be charged to the segments on a straight-line amortization basis. Any difference between this amortization and the valuation adjustments on this Credit Suisse debt from changes in credit spreads continue to be included in Corporate Center.

Fair valuations

>>>Fair value can be a relevant measurement for financial instruments when it aligns the accounting for these instruments with how we manage our business. The levels of the fair value hierarchy as defined by the relevant accounting guidance are not a measurement of economic risk, but rather an indication of the observability of prices or valuation inputs. For further information, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of significant accounting policies and Note 33 – Financial instruments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

The fair value of the majority of the Group's financial instruments is based on quoted prices in active markets (level 1) or observable inputs (level 2). These instruments include government and agency securities, certain CP, most investment grade corporate debt, certain high yield debt securities, exchange-traded and certain >>>over-the-counter (OTC) derivative instruments and most listed equity securities.

In addition, the Group holds financial instruments for which no prices are available and which have little or no observable inputs (level 3). For these instruments, the determination of fair value requires subjective assessment and varying degrees of judgment depending on liquidity, concentration, pricing assumptions and the risks affecting the specific instrument. In such circumstances, valuation is determined based on management's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). These instruments include certain high yield debt securities, distressed debt securities, certain OTC derivatives, certain >>>collateralized debt obligations (CDO), certain asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities, certain loans, certain loans held-for-sale, non-traded equity securities, private equity and other long-term investments.

Models were used to value many level 2 and level 3 products. Models are developed internally and are reviewed by functions independent of the front office to ensure they are appropriate for current market conditions. The models require subjective assessment and varying degrees of judgment depending on liquidity, concentration, pricing assumptions and risks affecting the specific instrument. The models consider observable and unobservable parameters in calculating the value of these products, including certain indices relating to these products. Consideration of these indices is more significant in periods of lower market activity.

As of the end of 2010, 57% and 43% of our total assets and total liabilities, respectively, were measured at fair value.

While the majority of our level 3 assets are recorded in Investment Banking, some are recorded in Asset Management, specifically certain private equity investments. Total assets recorded as level 3 declined by CHF 9.0 billion during 2010, primarily reflecting decreases in loans, trading assets and other investments. These decreases mainly reflected foreign exchange translation impacts and sales and transfers to level 2, partly offset by realized and unrealized gains.

Our level 3 assets, excluding noncontrolling interests and assets of consolidated VIEs that are not risk-weighted assets under >>>Basel II, were CHF 39.0 billion, compared to CHF 52.7 billion as of the end of 2009. As of the end of 2010, these assets comprised 4% of total assets and 7% of total assets measured at fair value, both adjusted on the same basis, compared to 5% and 9% as of the end of 2009, respectively.

We believe that the range of any valuation uncertainty, in the aggregate, would not be material to our financial condition, however, it may be material to our operating results for any particular period, depending, in part, upon the operating results for such period.

Personnel

Headcount at the end of 2010 was 50,100, up 2,500 from the end of 2009 and up 2,300 from the end of 2008. For additional information on personnel, refer to *IV – Corporate governance and Compensation*.

Key performance indicators

To benchmark our achievements, we have defined a set of KPIs for which we have targets to be achieved over a three to five year period across market cycles.

Our key performance indicators (KPIs) are targets to be achieved over a three to five year period across market cycles. Our KPIs are assessed annually as part of our normal planning process. In the first quarter of 2011, we adjusted our KPIs and the KPIs for Private Banking and Asset Management to reflect our strategic plan, the regulatory environment and the market cycle over a three to five year period.

Growth

We targeted collaboration revenues in excess of CHF 10 billion annually by 2012. Integrated bank collaboration revenues were CHF 4.4 billion for 2010. Going forward, we will target collaboration revenues of 18% to 20% of net revenues.

For net new assets, we target a growth rate above 6%. In 2010, we recorded a net new asset growth rate of 5.6%.

Efficiency and performance

For total shareholder return, we target superior share price appreciation plus dividends compared to our peer group. For 2010, total shareholder return was negative 23.3%. The 2010 average total shareholder return of our peer group was 0.2%.

For return on equity attributable to shareholders, we targeted an annual rate of return above 18%. The return on equity attributable to shareholders was 14.4% in 2010. Going forward, in light of our strategic plan, we will target an annualized return on equity attributable to shareholders above 15%.

We targeted a Core Results cost/income ratio of 65%. Our Core Results cost/income ratio was 78.1% for 2010. Going forward, we will target a pre-tax income margin above 28%.

Capital

For the BIS tier 1 ratio, we targeted a minimum ratio of 12.5%. The BIS tier 1 ratio was 17.2% as of the end of 2010. Going forward, our capital targets will be based upon compliance with the Swiss “Too Big to Fail” and Basel III capital standards.

in / end of	Target going forward	Current target	2010	2009	2008
Growth					
Collaboration revenues (CHF billion)	18 - 20% of net revenues	CHF 10 billion annually by 2012	4.4	5.2	5.2
Net new asset growth (%)	Above 6%	Above 6%	5.6	4.0	(0.2)
Efficiency and performance (%)					
Total shareholder return (Credit Suisse) ¹	Superior return vs. peer group	Superior return vs. peer group	(23.3)	80.1	(56.1)
Total shareholder return of peer group ^{1,2}	–	–	0.2	35.2	(55.0)
Return on equity attributable to shareholders	Above 15%	Above 18%	14.4	18.3	(21.1)
Core Results cost/income ratio	Pre-tax income margin above 28%	Below 65%	78.1	73.0	195.7
Capital (%)					
BIS tier 1 ratio (Basel II)	Compliance with Swiss "Too Big to Fail" and Basel III	Above 12.5%	17.2	16.3	13.3

1 Source: Bloomberg. Total shareholder return is calculated as equal to the appreciation or depreciation of a particular share, plus any dividends, over a given period, expressed as a percentage of the share's value as of the beginning of the period. 2 The peer group for this comparison comprises Bank of America, Barclays, BNP Paribas, Citigroup, Deutsche Bank, HSBC, JPMorgan Chase and UBS. The total shareholder return of this peer group is calculated as a simple, unweighted average of the return reported by Bloomberg for each of the members of the peer group.

Private Banking

In 2010, we reported net revenues of CHF 11,631 million and income before taxes of CHF 3,426 million despite a challenging operating environment, characterized by low interest rates, low client activity and cautious investor behavior and the appreciation of the Swiss franc against the euro and the US dollar. We attracted net new assets of CHF 54.6 billion, up 31.3% compared to 2009, with strong inflows in both the international and the Swiss regions.

Results

		in / end of		% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Statements of operations (CHF million)					
Net revenues	11,631	11,662	12,907	0	(10)
Provision for credit losses	18	180	133	(90)	35
Compensation and benefits	4,737	4,651	4,260	2	9
General and administrative expenses	2,793	2,580	3,919	8	(34)
Commission expenses	657	600	745	10	(19)
Total other operating expenses	3,450	3,180	4,664	8	(32)
Total operating expenses	8,187	7,831	8,924	5	(12)
Income before taxes	3,426	3,651	3,850	(6)	(5)
of which Wealth Management Clients	2,528	2,898	2,509	(13)	16
of which Corporate & Institutional Clients	898	753	1,341	19	(44)
Statement of operations metrics (%)					
Cost/income ratio	70.4	67.1	69.1	–	–
Pre-tax income margin	29.5	31.3	29.8	–	–
Utilized economic capital and return					
Average utilized economic capital (CHF million)	6,493	6,151	6,030	6	2
Pre-tax return on average utilized economic capital (%) ¹	53.2	59.8	64.4	–	–
Number of employees (full-time equivalents)					
Number of employees	25,600	24,300	24,400	5	0

1 Calculated using a return excluding interest costs for allocated goodwill.

Results (continued)

in / end of % change

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Net revenues (CHF million)					
Net interest income	4,931	5,000	5,157	(1)	(3)
Total non-interest income	6,700	6,662	7,750	1	(14)
Net revenues	11,631	11,662	12,907	0	(10)
Net revenue detail (CHF million)					
Recurring	9,036	8,980	10,041	1	(11)
Transaction-based	2,595	2,682	2,866	(3)	(6)
Net revenues	11,631	11,662	12,907	0	(10)
Provision for credit losses (CHF million)					
New provisions	289	419	288	(31)	45
Releases of provisions	(271)	(239)	(155)	13	54
Provision for credit losses	18	180	133	(90)	35
Balance sheet statistics (CHF million)					
Net loans	182,880	176,009	174,904	4	1
of which Wealth Management Clients ¹	130,435	125,671	123,796	4	2
of which Corporate & Institutional Clients	52,445	50,338	51,108	4	(2)
Deposits	245,108	257,650	246,787	(5)	4
of which Wealth Management Clients ¹	194,013	210,718	203,675	(8)	3
of which Corporate & Institutional Clients	51,095	46,932	43,112	9	9
Number of relationship managers					
Switzerland	2,020	1,980	1,980	2	0
EMEA	1,260	1,190	1,250	6	(5)
Americas	560	550	540	2	2
Asia Pacific	360	360	410	0	(12)
Wealth Management Clients	4,200	4,080	4,180	3	(2)
Corporate & Institutional Clients (Switzerland)	490	490	490	0	0
Number of relationship managers	4,690	4,570	4,670	3	(2)

¹ Wealth Management Clients covers individual clients, including affluent, high-net-worth and ultra-high-net-worth clients.

Results overview

For 2010, we reported income before taxes of CHF 3,426 million, down 6% compared to 2009. Net revenues of CHF 11,631 million were stable compared to 2009. Results in 2010 were impacted by the weakening of the average rate of the US dollar and euro against the Swiss franc compared to 2009, adversely affecting net revenues in Wealth Management Clients by approximately CHF 350 million and income before taxes by approximately CHF 250 million.

Recurring revenues, representing 78% of net revenues, were stable. In an ongoing low interest rate environment, stable net interest income reflected slightly lower loan and deposit margins on slightly higher average volumes. Recurring commissions and fees were up 3% and average assets under management increased 9.9%. Investor behavior remained cautious during 2010, reflected in investments in less complex, lower-margin products, also within managed investment products, and a significant portion of assets under management in cash. Transaction-based revenues decreased slightly, reflecting lower client activity. The decline was driven by lower revenues from integrated solutions and brokerage fees and gains from the sale of real estate and >>>ARS in 2009, partially offset by higher product issuing fees and fair value losses on the Clock Finance transaction of CHF 50 million compared to CHF 118 million in 2009. Excluding the fair value losses on the Clock Finance transaction in 2010 and 2009, transaction-based revenues decreased 6%.

We recorded substantially lower net provisions for credit losses of CHF 18 million compared to CHF 180 million in 2009, primarily reflecting net releases of CHF 52 million compared to net provisions of CHF 147 million in 2009 in Corporate & Institutional Clients.

Total operating expenses were CHF 8,187 million, up 5% compared to 2009. General and administrative expenses increased 8%, primarily reflecting insurance proceeds of CHF 100 million in 2009, higher marketing and sales expenses and ongoing investments in our client advisory services and international platforms, mainly IT investments, in 2010. Compensation and benefits increased slightly, primarily due to increases in headcount and base salaries, partially offset by lower performance-related compensation, reflecting higher base salaries and a higher proportion of performance-related variable compensation deferred through share-based and other awards.

Assets under management as of the end of 2010 were CHF 932.9 billion, up 2.0% compared to 2009. The increase reflected strong net new assets and positive equity and bond market movements, mostly offset by adverse foreign exchange-related movements, mainly due to the weakening of the euro and the US dollar against the Swiss franc. Net new assets of CHF 54.6 billion benefited from strong inflows in all regions and were up 31.3% compared to 2009. Wealth Management Clients contributed net new assets of CHF 45.3 billion. Over 80% of these net new assets were from international regions, with particularly strong inflows from emerging markets and the >>>>ultra-high-net-worth client segment. Switzerland contributed net new assets of CHF 17.6 billion, including CHF 9.3 billion from Corporate & Institutional Clients. While assets under management as of the end of 2010 were 2.0% higher, average assets under management increased 9.9% compared to 2009.

During 2009, we realigned our client coverage into Wealth Management Clients and Corporate & Institutional Clients. Swiss private client coverage is now part of Wealth Management Clients, which covers all individual clients, including >>>affluent, >>>high-net-worth and ultra-high-net-worth clients. Corporate & Institutional Clients provides banking services to corporates and institutions in Switzerland. In 2009, we changed the allocation of the term spread credit on stable deposit funding and the term spread charge on loans. Reclassifications have been made to prior periods to conform to the current presentation.

For 2009, we reported income before taxes of CHF 3,651 million, down 5% compared to 2008. Net revenues of CHF 11,662 million declined 10% from 2008. Recurring revenues, representing 77% of net revenues, declined 11%, mainly reflecting a decrease in recurring commissions and fees. Lower recurring commissions and fees reflected a 6.4% decline in average assets under management and a shift into lower margin investments, also within managed investment products, resulting from cautious investor behavior, offset in part by strong performance fees from Hedging-Griffo. Net interest income decreased 3% due to lower margins on slightly lower average loan volumes, mostly offset by higher margins on higher average deposit volumes. Transaction-based revenues were down 6%,

reflecting fair value losses from the Clock Finance transaction of CHF 118 million in 2009, compared to fair value gains of CHF 110 million in 2008. Excluding the impact of the Clock Finance transaction in 2009 and 2008, respectively, transaction-based revenues increased 2%, as significantly higher integrated solutions revenues were mostly offset by lower product issuing fees, foreign exchange income from client transactions and, to a lesser extent, brokerage fees.

We recorded moderate net provisions for credit losses of CHF 180 million, substantially relating to our corporate and institutional loan portfolio, with net provisions of CHF 147 million in Corporate & Institutional Clients and net provisions of CHF 33 million in Wealth Management Clients.

Total operating expenses were CHF 7,831 million, down 12% compared to 2008. 2008 had significant non-credit-related provisions, including CHF 766 million of net provisions related to ARS and a charge of CHF 190 million relating to the close-out of a client's account. General and administrative expenses across other expense categories declined, reflecting our cost containment efforts. Compensation and benefits increased 9%, mainly as performance-related compensation was lower in 2008, due to the deferral of compensation under the CRA program in 2008 and the impact of the amortization of deferred compensation from the CRA program and other prior-year awards in 2009.

Assets under management as of the end of 2009 were CHF 914.9 billion, up 16.0% compared to 2008. This increase reflected the impact from positive market movements and strong net new assets, offset in part by adverse foreign exchange-related movements, mainly due to the weakening of the US dollar against the Swiss franc. Net new assets of CHF 41.6 billion benefited from healthy inflows in all regions. We generated CHF 29.8 billion of net new assets in our international businesses and CHF 11.8 billion in our Swiss business. A tax amnesty in Italy caused net client outflows of CHF 5.6 billion in the fourth quarter of 2009, negatively impacting net new assets in EMEA and Switzerland.

Assets under management - Private Banking

	in / end of			% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Assets under management by region (CHF billion)					
Switzerland	323.7	328.2	301.3	(1.4)	8.9
EMEA	268.6	277.3	243.2	(3.1)	14.0
Americas	137.2	129.6	103.2	5.9	25.6
Asia Pacific	78.5	67.7	46.5	16.0	45.6
Wealth Management Clients	808.0	802.8	694.2	0.6	15.6
Corporate & Institutional Clients (Switzerland)	124.9	112.1	94.7	11.4	18.4
Assets under management	932.9	914.9	788.9	2.0	16.0
Average assets under management (CHF billion)					
Average assets under management	941.8	857.2	916.3	9.9	(6.4)
Assets under management by currency (CHF billion)					
USD	300.9	298.2	264.8	0.9	12.6
EUR	220.7	248.4	212.1	(11.2)	17.1
CHF	292.3	269.9	229.7	8.3	17.5

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Other	119.0	98.4	82.3	20.9	19.6
Assets under management	932.9	914.9	788.9	2.0	16.0
Net new assets by region (CHF billion)					
Switzerland	8.3	5.5	2.5	50.9	120.0
EMEA	15.1	10.3	16.4	46.6	(37.2)
Americas	9.5	8.0	16.8	18.8	(52.4)
Asia Pacific	12.4	11.5	8.2	7.8	40.2
Wealth Management Clients	45.3	35.3	43.9	28.3	(19.6)
Corporate & Institutional Clients (Switzerland)	9.3	6.3	7.0	47.6	(10.0)
Net new assets	54.6	41.6	50.9	31.3	(18.3)
Growth in assets under management (CHF billion)					
Net new assets	45.3	35.3	43.9	–	–
Other effects	(40.1)	73.3	(243.5)	–	–
of which market movements	36.8	83.3	(183.8)	–	–
of which currency	(70.8)	(4.1)	(54.5)	–	–
of which other	(6.1)	(5.9)	(5.2)	–	–
Wealth Management Clients	5.2	108.6	(199.6)	–	–
Corporate & Institutional Clients	12.8	17.4	(6.9)	–	–
Growth in assets under management	18.0	126.0	(206.5)	–	–
Growth in assets under management (%)					
Net new assets	6.0	5.3	5.1	–	–
of which Wealth Management Clients	5.6	5.1	4.9	–	–
of which Corporate & Institutional Clients	8.3	6.7	6.9	–	–
Other effects	(4.0)	10.7	(25.9)	–	–
Growth in assets under management	2.0	16.0	(20.8)	–	–

Performance indicators

Pre-tax income margin (KPI)

Our target over market cycles was a pre-tax income margin above 40%. In 2010, the pre-tax income margin was 29.5% compared to 31.3% in 2009 and 29.8% in 2008. Going forward, we will target over market cycles a pre-tax income margin above 35%.

Net new asset growth rate for Wealth Management Clients (KPI)

Our target over market cycles is a growth rate over 6%. In 2010, our net new asset growth rate was 5.6%. In 2009, which included net client outflows of CHF 5.6 billion related to a tax amnesty in Italy, our net new asset growth rate was 5.1%.

Results detail

The following provides a comparison of our 2010 results versus 2009 and 2009 versus 2008.

Net revenues

Recurring revenues arise from net interest income, recurring commissions and fees, including performance-based fees, related to assets under management and custody assets, as well as fees for general banking products and services. Net interest income includes a term spread credit on stable deposit funding and a term spread charge on loans.

Transaction-based revenues arise primarily from brokerage and product issuing fees, foreign exchange income from client transactions and other transaction-based income.

2010 vs 2009: Stable at CHF 11,631 million

Stable net revenues reflected higher recurring commissions and fees, lower transaction-based revenues and stable net interest income. Net interest income reflected the ongoing low interest rate environment and slightly lower loan and deposit margins on slightly higher average volumes. Recurring commissions and fees were up 3% and average assets under management increased 9.9%. The increase in recurring commissions and fees was mainly driven by higher security account and service fees, reflecting an increase in average volumes, partially offset by lower commissions from fiduciary business, reflecting lower margins and volumes. Management fees were stable despite the 9.9% increase in average assets under management, reflecting the ongoing risk-averse asset mix. Fund management fees were positively impacted by a change in estimate for prior-year fee accruals. Transaction-based revenues declined 3% and included fair value losses of CHF 50 million on the Clock Finance portfolio in 2010 compared to fair value losses of CHF 118 million in 2009. Excluding this impact, transaction-based revenues decreased 6%, driven by lower revenues from integrated solutions, which were particularly strong in 2009, and lower brokerage fees, partially offset by higher product issuing fees. 2009 transaction-based revenues included gains on ARS positions and the sale of real estate.

2009 vs 2008: Down 10% from CHF 12,907 million to CHF 11,662 million

The decrease reflected an 11% decline in recurring revenues and a 6% decrease in transaction-based revenues. Lower recurring revenues were mainly driven by a 19% decline in recurring commissions and fees. Lower recurring commissions and fees primarily reflected a 6.4% decline in average assets under management and a shift into lower margin investments, also within managed investment products, resulting from cautious investor behavior, offset in part by strong performance fees from Hedging-Griffo. Net interest income declined 3% due to lower margins on slightly lower average loan volumes, mostly offset by higher margins on higher average deposit volumes.

Transaction-based revenues were down 6%, primarily reflecting fair value losses from the Clock Finance transaction of CHF 118 million in 2009 compared to fair value gains of CHF 110 million in 2008. Excluding the impact of the Clock Finance transaction, transaction-based revenues increased 2%, as significantly higher integrated solutions revenues and gains on ARS positions and the sale of real estate were mostly offset by lower product issuing fees, foreign exchange income from client transactions and, to a lesser extent, brokerage fees.

Provision for credit losses

2010 vs 2009: Down from CHF 180 million to CHF 18 million

The change in provision for credit losses primarily reflected net releases of provisions in 2010 in Corporate & Institutional Clients compared to net provisions in 2009 in Corporate & Institutional Clients. Provision for credit losses of CHF 18 million reflected net provisions of CHF 70 million in Wealth Management Clients and net releases of CHF 52 million in Corporate & Institutional Clients. A substantial part of the provisions of CHF 289 million were in Wealth Management Clients, while a substantial part of the releases of CHF 271 million were related to our Corporate & Institutional Clients loan portfolio, despite the record level of corporate insolvencies in Switzerland during 2010. The Wealth Management Clients loan portfolio is substantially comprised of residential mortgages in Switzerland and loans collateralized by securities. Our corporate and institutional loan portfolio has sound quality, relatively low concentrations and is mainly collateralized by mortgages and securities. Provision for credit losses in Wealth Management Clients in 2010 and 2009 were mostly related to our Swiss consumer finance business.

2009 vs 2008: Up 35% from CHF 133 million to CHF 180 million

Provision for credit losses reflected net provisions of CHF 147 million in Corporate & Institutional Clients and net provisions of CHF 33 million in Wealth Management Clients. A substantial part of the new provisions of CHF 419 million and releases of CHF 239 million were related to the Corporate & Institutional Clients loan portfolio. While the credit environment deteriorated in 2009, our corporate and institutional loan portfolio has sound quality, relatively low concentrations and is mainly collateralized by mortgages and securities. The Wealth Management Clients loan portfolio is substantially comprised of residential mortgages in Switzerland and loans collateralized by securities.

Operating expenses

Compensation and benefits

2010 vs 2009: Up 2% from CHF 4,651 million to CHF 4,737 million

The increase was primarily due to increases in headcount and base salaries and related benefits, partially offset by lower performance-related variable compensation, reflecting the higher base salaries and the higher proportion of performance-related variable compensation deferred through share-based and other awards.

2009 vs 2008: Up 9% from CHF 4,260 million to CHF 4,651 million

The increase was due to lower performance-related compensation in 2008, due to the deferral of compensation under the CRA program in 2008 and the impact of the amortization of deferred compensation from the CRA program and other prior-year awards in 2009.

General and administrative expenses

2010 vs 2009: Up 8% from CHF 2,580 million to CHF 2,793 million

The increase primarily reflected insurance proceeds of CHF 100 million in 2009 and higher marketing and sales expenses and ongoing investments in our client advisory services and international platforms, mainly IT investments, in 2010.

2009 vs 2008: Down 34% from CHF 3,919 million to CHF 2,580 million

The decrease mainly reflected lower non-credit-related provisions, as 2008 included CHF 766 million of net provisions related to ARS, a charge of CHF 190 million from the close-out of a client's account and other non-credit-related provisions, including for the buy-back of certain clients' Lehman Brothers structured notes. 2009 included CHF 35 million of additional non-credit-related provisions for the buy-back of Lehman Brothers structured notes and CHF 100 million of recoveries on non-credit-related provisions from captive insurance settlement proceeds. Other general and administrative expenses declined, reflecting our cost containment efforts.

Personnel

Headcount as of the end of 2010 was 25,600, compared to 24,300 as of the end of 2009 and 24,400 as of the end of 2008. The increase from 2009 was mainly due to ongoing IT investments. Further, we increased the headcount in our client-facing businesses, reflecting business investment. The increase of 120 relationship managers in Wealth Management Clients in 2010 reflected further strengthening of our front offices, mainly in EMEA and Switzerland. The increase in EMEA primarily resulted from an increase in our onshore businesses. The net decrease of 100 relationship managers in Wealth Management Clients from 2008 to 2009 reflected a talent upgrade of our relationship managers, mainly in EMEA and Asia Pacific, while the number of relationship managers was stable in Switzerland and slightly higher in the Americas.

Wealth Management Clients

Net revenues

Recurring

2010 vs 2009: Up 2% from CHF 7,310 million to CHF 7,426 million

The increase reflected slightly higher recurring commissions and fees and stable net interest income. Net interest income reflected stable margins on higher average loan volumes and stable average deposit volumes. Recurring commissions and fees were up 2% and average assets under management increased 8.7%. The increase in recurring commissions and fees was mainly driven by higher security account and service fees, reflecting an increase in average volumes, partially offset by lower commissions from fiduciary business, reflecting lower margins and volumes.

Management fees were stable despite the 8.7% increase in average assets under management, reflecting the ongoing risk-averse asset mix and lower performance fees from Hedging-Griffo compared to the strong performance in 2009. Fund management fees were positively impacted by a change in estimate for prior-year fee accruals. Investor behavior remained cautious during 2010, reflected in investments in less complex, lower-margin products, also within managed investment products.

2009 vs 2008: Down 11% from CHF 8,234 million to CHF 7,310 million

The decrease was driven by lower recurring commissions and fees, reflecting a 7.5% decline in average assets under management and a shift to lower margin investments, also within managed investment products, resulting from the ongoing cautious investor behavior, offset in part by strong performance fees from Hedging-Griffo. Net interest income was stable, as the impact from lower margins on lower average loan volumes was offset by higher margins on higher average deposit volumes.

Transaction-based

2010 vs 2009: Down 6% from CHF 2,561 million to CHF 2,403 million

The decrease was mainly driven by lower revenues from integrated solutions, which were particularly strong in 2009, gains from the sale of real estate and ARS in 2009 and lower brokerage fees, reflecting the low level of client activity, partly offset by higher product issuing fees.

2009 vs 2008: Up 4% from CHF 2,463 million to CHF 2,561 million

The increase was mainly driven by higher integrated solutions revenues from transactions originated and jointly executed with Investment Banking and gains on ARS positions and the sale of real estate, partially offset by lower product issuing fees, foreign exchange income from client transactions and, to a lesser extent, brokerage fees.

Gross Margin

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Our gross margin was 120 basis points in 2010, 11 basis points below 2009 and 2008. Compared to 2009, the recurring margin decreased six basis points in 2010, as recurring revenues increased 2% while average assets under management increased 8.7%. The transaction-based margin decreased five basis points, reflecting the 6% decline in transaction-based revenues and the increase in average assets under management.

Results - Wealth Management Clients

		in / end of		% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Statements of operations (CHF million)					
Net revenues	9,829	9,871	10,697	0	(8)
Provision for credit losses	70	33	141	112	(77)
Total operating expenses	7,231	6,940	8,047	4	(14)
Income before taxes	2,528	2,898	2,509	(13)	16
Statement of operations metrics (%)					
Cost/income ratio	73.6	70.3	75.2	-	-
Pre-tax income margin	25.7	29.4	23.5	-	-
Net revenue detail (CHF million)					
Net interest income	3,747	3,706	3,754	1	(1)
Total non-interest income	6,082	6,165	6,943	(1)	(11)
Net revenues	9,829	9,871	10,697	0	(8)
Net revenue detail (CHF million)					
Recurring	7,426	7,310	8,234	2	(11)
Transaction-based	2,403	2,561	2,463	(6)	4
Net revenues	9,829	9,871	10,697	0	(8)
Average assets under management (CHF billion)					
Average assets under management	820.9	755.4	816.7	8.7	(7.5)
Gross margin on assets under management (bp) ¹					
Recurring	91	97	101	-	-
Transaction-based	29	34	30	-	-
Gross margin	120	131	131	-	-

¹ Net revenues divided by average assets under management.

Corporate & Institutional Clients

Net revenues

Net interest income

2010 vs 2009: Down 9% from CHF 1,294 million to CHF 1,184 million

The decrease was due to significantly lower deposit margins on higher average volumes and lower loan margins on stable average volumes.

2009 vs 2008: Down 8% from CHF 1,403 million to CHF 1,294 million

The decrease was primarily due to lower margins on higher average loan volumes. Net interest income on deposits was stable, reflecting lower margins on slightly lower average volumes.

Total non-interest income

2010 vs 2009: Up 24% from CHF 497 million to CHF 618 million

The increase was mainly driven by fair value losses of CHF 50 million on the Clock Finance transaction in 2010 compared to losses of CHF 118 million in 2009. Excluding the fair value losses on the Clock Finance transaction, non-interest income increased 9%, driven by higher lending commissions and asset-based fees, reflecting growth in our business with financial institutions, as other transaction-based revenues were stable.

2009 vs 2008: Down 38% from CHF 807 million to CHF 497 million

The decrease was mainly driven by fair value losses of CHF 118 million on the Clock Finance transaction in 2009, compared to fair value gains of CHF 110 million in 2008. Excluding the fair value gains and losses on the Clock Finance transaction, non-interest income decreased 12%, mainly driven by lower foreign exchange income from client transactions and small declines in certain asset-based and other transaction-based commissions and fees, partially offset by increased integrated solutions revenues.

Return on business volume

Return on business volume measures revenues over average business volume, which is comprised of client assets and net loans.

Return on business volume in 2010 of 78 basis points was eight basis points below 2009, as net revenues were stable and the average business volume increased 11.0%, mainly from higher average assets under management.

Excluding the fair value gains/(losses) on the Clock Finance transaction, return on business volume was 80 basis points in 2010 compared to 91 basis points in 2009, mainly reflecting lower net interest income and higher average assets under management.

Results - Corporate & Institutional Clients

		in / end of		% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Statements of operations (CHF million)					
Net revenues	1,802	1,791	2,210	1	(19)
Provision for credit losses	(52)	147	(8)	–	–
Total operating expenses	956	891	877	7	2
Income before taxes	898	753	1,341	19	(44)
Statement of operations metrics (%)					
Cost/income ratio	53.1	49.7	39.7	–	–
Pre-tax income margin	49.8	42.0	60.7	–	–
Net revenue detail (CHF million)					

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Net interest income	1,184	1,294	1,403	(9)	(8)
Total non-interest income	618	497	807	24	(38)
Net revenues	1,802	1,791	2,210	1	(19)
Net revenue detail (CHF million)					
Recurring	1,610	1,670	1,807	(4)	(8)
Transaction-based	192	121	403	59	(70)
Net revenues	1,802	1,791	2,210	1	(19)
Average business volume (CHF billion)					
Average business volume	231.8	208.9	212.9	11.0	(1.9)
Business volume (CHF billion)					
Client assets	182.7	170.0	148.2	7	15
of which assets under management	124.9	112.1	94.7	11	18
of which commercial assets	50.9	51.1	49.3	0	4
of which custody assets	6.9	6.8	4.2	1	62
Net loans	52.4	50.3	51.1	4	(2)
Business volume	235.1	220.3	199.3	7	11
Return on business volume (bp) ¹					
Return on business volume	78	86	104	–	–

¹ Net revenues divided by average business volume.

Investment Banking

During 2010, Investment Banking reported income before taxes of CHF 3,531 million and net revenues of CHF 16,214 million. Our results were impacted by subdued client flows compared with 2009, but we had continued market share momentum across products and regions. Net revenues reflected strong underwriting and advisory results and solid equity sales and trading results. Fixed income sales and trading revenues were resilient in spite of macroeconomic uncertainties.

Results

		in / end of		% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Statements of operations (CHF million)					
Net revenues	16,214	20,537	(1,971)	(21)	–
Provision for credit losses	(97)	326	679	–	(52)
Compensation and benefits	8,033	8,652	7,006	(7)	23

General and administrative expenses	3,495	3,559	2,794	(2)	27
Commission expenses	1,252	1,155	1,342	8	(14)
Total other operating expenses	4,747	4,714	4,136	1	14
Total operating expenses	12,780	13,366	11,142	(4)	20
Income/(loss) before taxes	3,531	6,845	(13,792)	(48)	–
Statement of operations metrics (%)					
Cost/income ratio	78.8	65.1	–	–	–
Pre-tax income margin	21.8	33.3	–	–	–
Utilized economic capital and return					
Average utilized economic capital (CHF million)	20,364	20,202	28,945	1	(30)
Pre-tax return on average utilized economic capital (%) ¹	18.0	34.5	(47.2)	–	–
Number of employees (full-time equivalents)					
Number of employees	20,700	19,400	19,600	7	(1)

1 Calculated using a return excluding interest costs for allocated goodwill.

Results (continued)

			in	% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Net revenue detail (CHF million)					
Debt underwriting	2,015	1,141	431	77	165
Equity underwriting	901	1,190	856	(24)	39
Total underwriting	2,916	2,331	1,287	25	81
Advisory and other fees	1,090	793	1,348	37	(41)
Total underwriting and advisory	4,006	3,124	2,635	28	19
Fixed income trading	6,446	10,457	(5,372)	(38)	–
Equity trading	5,884	7,469	1,471	(21)	408
Total trading	12,330	17,926	(3,901)	(31)	–
Other	(122)	(513)	(705)	(76)	(27)
Net revenues	16,214	20,537	(1,971)	(21)	–
Average one-day, 99% Value-at-Risk (CHF million) ¹					
Interest rate and credit spread	121	157	206	(23)	(24)
Foreign exchange	19	16	31	19	(48)
Commodity	14	21	50	(33)	(58)
Equity	25	38	91	(34)	(58)
Diversification benefit	(68)	(98)	(131)	(31)	(25)

Average one-day, 99%

Value-at-Risk	111	134	247	(17)	(46)
Risk-weighted assets (million) ²					
Risk-weighted assets (CHF)	136,883	144,439	172,503	(5)	(16)
Risk-weighted assets (USD)	146,009	140,096	163,278	4	(14)

1 As part of the ongoing review to improve risk management approaches and methodologies, the average one-day, 99% risk management VaR measure was revised in 2Q10. For further information on VaR and changes in VaR methodology, refer to III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Risk management – Market risk. ² Includes additional risk-weighted asset equivalents attributable to the segment that are deducted from Group tier 1 capital.

Results overview

In 2010, we reported income before taxes of CHF 3,531 million and net revenues of CHF 16,214 million, compared to income before taxes of CHF 6,845 million and net revenues of CHF 20,537 million in 2009. Approximately CHF 1.3 billion of our revenues in 2009 were due to the normalization of market conditions that had become severely dislocated in the fourth quarter of 2008. In addition, results in many of our businesses in 2010 were impacted by lower levels of client trading activity compared to 2009. We continued to make progress in the implementation of our client-focused, capital-efficient strategy and continued to increase our market share across most businesses and regions.

Our fixed income sales and trading revenues were resilient, although significantly lower compared to 2009, reflecting a challenging environment for the industry affected by macroeconomic uncertainties. Our results were driven by >>>RMBS, credit, global rates and emerging markets trading. Revenues in global rates and credit, including leveraged finance and investment grade trading, although solid, reflected less favorable market conditions than in 2009 and market volatility triggered by sovereign debt concerns in Europe in 2010. Revenues in RMBS and leveraged finance trading benefited from an increase in investor demand for yield-driven products.

Our equity sales and trading results were solid, although lower compared to a strong 2009, reflecting lower levels of client trading activity. Our results were driven by revenues in cash equities, prime services and derivatives. In 2010, we improved our market share while maintaining our leading market share rankings in cash equities and prime services.

We had strong underwriting and advisory results, reflecting an increase in industry-wide capital issuance levels, an increase in completed M&A market share and improved share of wallet with clients. We had near-record revenues in debt underwriting, driven by higher industry-wide high yield issuance volumes, and improved advisory revenues, reflecting an increase in completed M&A market share. Our equity underwriting revenues were in line with lower industry-wide equity issuance levels, particularly in follow-on and convertible issuances, partially offset by a significant increase in IPO volumes.

Our results included net fair value losses on Credit Suisse vanilla debt of CHF 232 million in 2010, compared to net fair value losses of CHF 397 million in 2009, and significant allocated funding costs. Our results were also impacted by debit valuation adjustment (DVA) losses relating to structured note liabilities of CHF 73 million in 2010 compared to DVA losses of CHF 321 million in 2009. For further information on DVA, refer to *Note 33 – Financial instruments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

We had net releases of provisions for credit losses of CHF 97 million in 2010 compared to net provisions of CHF 326 million in 2009, driven by significantly lower new provisions and higher releases and recoveries in 2010, reflecting the improved credit environment.

Total operating expenses were CHF 12,780 million, down 4%, reflecting a 7% decrease in compensation and benefits. The decrease in compensation and benefits was primarily due to lower performance-related variable compensation accruals. The 2010 performance-related variable compensation accruals reflected lower risk-adjusted profitability, higher base salaries and a higher proportion of performance-related variable compensation deferred through share-based, restricted cash and other awards. The decrease was offset in part by an increase in salary expense, reflecting higher base salaries and increased headcount, and higher deferred compensation from prior-year share awards. General and administrative expenses decreased slightly, as a significant decline in litigation provisions was mostly offset by higher IT investments and expenses relating to an increase in client-related business activity.

The weakening of the average rate of the US dollar against the Swiss franc from 2009 adversely impacted revenues and favorably impacted expenses. In US dollars, net revenues were 17% lower and total operating expenses were 1% higher compared to 2009. For information on foreign currency translation rates, refer to *X – Investor information*.

For 2009, income before taxes was a record CHF 6,845 million compared to a loss before taxes of CHF 13,792 million for 2008. Net revenues were CHF 20,537 million compared to negative net revenues of CHF 1,971 million for 2008, as we made substantial progress in the implementation of our client-focused, capital-efficient strategy, and as a result, were well-positioned to increase our market share across various businesses and regions and to benefit from a recovery in the global financial markets. We had strong results in our fixed income and equity trading businesses compared to significant losses in 2008, mostly in exit businesses, driven by the dislocation in the structured products and credit markets, as well as the extreme volatility and the restrictions on short selling in the second half of 2008. Our 2009 fixed income trading results included valuation gains in our structured products and leveraged finance businesses, compared to net valuation reductions of CHF 10,923 million in 2008. We had losses of CHF 2.7 billion in our exit businesses, primarily driven by valuation reductions in >>>CMBS. Approximately CHF 1.3 billion of revenues in the first quarter were due to more normalized market conditions, including the narrowing of credit spreads, the reduction in the differential between cash and synthetic instruments, the reduction in market volatility and the stabilization of the convertible bond market from the fourth quarter of 2008. We also had strong revenues in our debt and equity underwriting businesses, reflecting increased industry-wide equity and debt issuance volumes and market share improvement in many products. Results included CHF 397 million of net fair value losses on Credit Suisse debt compared to CHF 4,654 million of fair value gains in 2008 and higher allocated funding costs. Provision for credit losses decreased, reflecting higher releases and recoveries. Total operating expenses increased 20%, reflecting a 23% increase in compensation and benefits and a 14% increase in total other operating expenses.

Performance indicators

Pre-tax income margin (KPI)

Our target over market cycles is a pre-tax income margin of 25% or greater. The 2010 pre-tax income margin was 21.8 % compared to 33.3% in 2009. The 2008 pre-tax income margin was not meaningful given our losses, reflecting the extremely challenging operating environment.

Value-at-Risk

The 2010 average one-day, 99% >>>risk management VaR was CHF 111 million compared to CHF 134 million in 2009. For further information on VaR for Credit Suisse, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance*

sheet – Risk management.

Pre-tax return on average utilized economic capital

The 2010 pre-tax return on average utilized economic capital was 18.0% compared to 34.5% in 2009 and negative 47.2% in 2008.

Risk-weighted assets

Risk-weighted assets increased 4% to USD 146 billion from the end of 2009. Risk-weighted assets in ongoing businesses increased to USD 135 billion from USD 123 billion as of the end of 2009. Risk-weighted assets in exit businesses declined from USD 17 billion as of the end of 2009 to USD 11 billion as of the end of 2010, reflecting our efforts to reallocate capital from our exit businesses to support growth in our client-focused businesses. Risk-weighted assets were USD 163 billion as of the end of 2008.

Results detail

The following provides a comparison of our 2010 results versus 2009 and 2009 results versus 2008.

Net revenues

Debt underwriting

2010 vs 2009: Up 77% from CHF 1,141 million to CHF 2,015 million

The increase was due to significantly stronger results in leveraged finance, reflecting record industry-wide high yield issuance volumes in 2010 driven by refinancings. Our 2010 results also reflected significant structuring and syndication fees related to a large private financing and increased revenues from structured lending in emerging markets. Revenues from investment grade issuance were stable, reflecting slightly lower industry-wide issuance volumes and stable market share.

2009 vs 2008: Up 165% from CHF 431 million to CHF 1,141 million

The increase was due to strong results in leveraged finance, which reflected a significant increase in industry-wide high yield issuance, as 2009 was the second highest year on record for high yield issuance, and fee revenues in 2009 compared to fee losses of CHF 200 million in 2008. In addition, we had higher revenues from investment grade debt issuance, driven by higher industry-wide issuance volumes, and higher revenues from asset-backed securities, reflecting improved market share.

Equity underwriting

2010 vs 2009: Down 24% from CHF 1,190 million to CHF 901 million

The decrease was driven by lower revenues from follow-on offerings, reflecting a significant decrease in industry-wide follow-on issuance volumes compared to high issuance levels in 2009, as well as lower fee margins on certain large issuances during 2010. The decrease was partly offset by higher revenues from IPOs, reflecting higher industry-wide issuance volumes in 2010, including record quarterly issuance volumes in the fourth quarter, and an increase in IPO market share. In 2010, industry-wide global equity underwriting activity shifted from a predominance of bank recapitalizations in 2009 to a resurgence of growth issuance in 2010.

2009 vs 2008: Up 39% from CHF 856 million to CHF 1,190 million

The increase was due to a significant increase in industry-wide equity issuance volumes and an increase in market share across most product categories and regions. The increase in industry-wide issuance volumes was driven by high levels of follow-on issuance volumes throughout 2009 as financial institutions sought to raise capital to strengthen their balance sheets and exit government ownership. In addition, there was a considerable increase in IPO issuance volumes in the fourth quarter.

Advisory and other fees

2010 vs 2009: Up 37% from CHF 793 million to CHF 1,090 million

The increase was due to higher M&A fees driven by a significant improvement in completed M&A market share.

2009 vs 2008: Down 41% from CHF 1,348 million to CHF 793 million

The decrease was due to significantly lower levels of global completed M&A activity and a decline in completed M&A market share.

Fixed income trading

2010 vs 2009: Down 38% from CHF 10,457 million to CHF 6,446 million

The decrease was due to lower revenues across most fixed income businesses, particularly global rates and credit, including leveraged finance and investment grade trading. Revenues in these businesses, although solid, were significantly lower compared to a strong 2009, reflecting less favorable market conditions than in 2009 and market volatility triggered by sovereign debt concerns in Europe in 2010. We also had lower revenues in global foreign exchange and corporate lending, and losses in our exit >>>CDO business and fixed income arbitrage trading, compared to revenues in 2009. Approximately CHF 1,100 million of our fixed income trading revenues in 2009 were driven by the normalization of market conditions that had become severely dislocated in the fourth quarter of 2008.

The decrease in revenues was partly offset by improved results in several of our exit businesses, including significantly lower valuation reductions in our >>>CMBS exit portfolio compared to 2009, and gains in certain residential mortgage businesses compared to losses in 2009. We also had strong revenues in our US >>>RMBS business, as higher non-agency revenues offset a decline in agency revenues. In 2010, revenues in RMBS and leveraged finance benefited from strong investor demand for yield-driven products. During the year, we improved our market share in >>>flow-based businesses across products and regions. Our results also reflected net fair value losses on Credit Suisse vanilla debt of CHF 209 million compared to net fair value losses of CHF 358 million in 2009, and DVA losses related to structured note liabilities of CHF 10 million in 2010 compared to DVA losses of CHF 347 million in 2009.

2009 vs 2008: From CHF (5,372) million to CHF 10,457 million

The increase was primarily due to revenues, including valuation gains, in our combined structured products and US leveraged finance businesses compared to net valuation reductions of CHF 10,648 million in 2008, mostly in exit businesses. We had significant valuation reductions in CMBS as we reduced our risk exposures, compared to higher valuation reductions in 2008. Our results reflected positive revenues in certain businesses, compared to significant losses in 2008, due to significant adverse conditions in the second half of 2008. We also had revenues in our corporate lending and emerging markets businesses compared to losses in 2008. In addition, we had strong revenues in 2009 in many of our client and flow-based businesses, including our US RMBS secondary trading and global rates and foreign exchange businesses, and revenues in our commodities business compared to losses in 2008, mostly in exit businesses. Our results reflected significant market share gains in many of our fixed income businesses, including US RMBS secondary trading, global rates and foreign exchange, US leveraged finance trading and our emerging markets businesses as well as favorable market conditions for many products. Approximately CHF 1,100 million of fixed income trading revenues in the first quarter were driven by the narrowing of credit spreads that had widened dramatically in the fourth quarter of 2008, and the return to a more normalized relationship between the cash and synthetic markets following a period of substantial dislocation in the fourth quarter of 2008. Businesses that benefited from these trends included our corporate lending business, US RMBS secondary trading, high grade trading, US leveraged finance and emerging markets. These results were partially offset by net fair value losses of CHF 358 million on Credit Suisse debt compared to fair value gains of CHF 4,188 million in 2008.

Equity trading

2010 vs 2009: Down 21% from CHF 7,469 million to CHF 5,884 million

The decrease was due to lower revenues across most equity businesses compared to very strong results in 2009. Our results in cash equities were solid, although lower, reflecting uneven market volumes and lower client trading activity during 2010. We also reported lower revenues from equity arbitrage trading strategies and solid, but lower, revenues in convertibles and >>>derivatives. Our combined revenues from convertibles and derivatives in 2009 included approximately CHF 200 million of revenues that were driven by the normalization of market conditions that had become severely dislocated in the fourth quarter of 2008. We also had slightly lower revenues in prime services, reflecting the foreign exchange translation impact. In US dollars, we had record revenues in prime services, despite subdued levels of hedge fund leverage and activity, reflecting higher client balances and market share. In 2010, we improved our market share and maintained our leading market share rankings in cash equities and prime services. Our results reflected net fair value losses on Credit Suisse vanilla debt of CHF 23 million compared to net fair value losses of CHF 40 million in 2009, and DVA losses related to structured note liabilities of CHF 63 million in 2010 compared to DVA gains of CHF 26 million in 2009.

2009 vs 2008: Up 408% from CHF 1,471 million to CHF 7,469 million

The increase was due to significant revenues in 2009 in certain businesses compared with losses in the second half of 2008. Losses in the second half of 2008 included CHF 1,725 million in the convertibles business, CHF 1,120 million in long/short event and risk arbitrage trading strategies and CHF 1,815 million in the fourth quarter of 2008 in equity derivatives stemming from losses in the structured derivatives and corporate and flow derivatives businesses. By the end of the first quarter, we had substantially reduced our trading positions relating to illiquid equity trading strategies. In addition, we had higher revenues from our fund-linked products and prime services businesses. Results in our cash equities business continued to be strong. Equity trading results included net fair value losses of CHF 40 million on Credit Suisse debt compared to fair value gains of CHF 466 million in 2008. In the first quarter of 2009, the results included approximately CHF 200 million of equity trading revenues, primarily in our equity derivatives and convertibles businesses, that were driven by a reduction in market volatility and the stabilization of the convertible bond market.

Provision for credit losses

2010 vs 2009: From CHF 326 million to CHF (97) million

The provision release reflected significantly lower new provisions, including lower provisions relating to a guarantee provided in a prior year to a third-party bank, and higher releases and recoveries in 2010 compared to 2009, driven by the improved credit environment. Our 2009 results also included significant provisions relating to loans to a single borrower in our emerging markets business.

2009 vs 2008: Down 52% from CHF 679 million to CHF 326 million

The decrease was driven by higher releases and recoveries in 2009, as well as lower net provisions relating to a guarantee provided in a prior year to a third-party bank.

Operating expenses

Compensation and benefits

2010 vs 2009: Down 7% from CHF 8,652 million to CHF 8,033 million

The decrease was primarily due to lower performance-related variable compensation accruals, reflecting lower risk-adjusted profitability, higher base salaries and a higher proportion of performance-related variable compensation deferred through share-based, restricted cash and other awards. The decrease was offset in part by an increase in salary expense, reflecting higher base salaries and increased headcount, and higher deferred compensation from prior-year

share awards.

2009 vs 2008: Up 23% from CHF 7,006 million to CHF 8,652 million

The increase was primarily due to higher performance-related compensation, reflecting improved risk-adjusted profitability, and higher voluntary deferred compensation, offset in part by lower deferred compensation from prior-year share awards, salaries and benefits and severance costs.

General and administrative expenses

2010 vs 2009: Down 2% from CHF 3,559 million to CHF 3,495 million

The slight decrease reflected a significant decline in litigation provisions and lower occupancy expenses and professional fees, mostly offset by higher IT investments and expenses relating to recruitment and travel and entertainment driven by an increase in client-related business activity. Litigation provisions in 2009 included charges of CHF 344 million related to the settlement of litigation with Huntsman.

2009 vs 2008: Up 27% from CHF 2,794 million to CHF 3,559 million

The increase reflected litigation charges of CHF 461 million in 2009 compared to net litigation releases of CHF 540 million in 2008. Excluding these litigation charges and releases, expenses decreased CHF 236 million, reflecting decreases across most expense categories, primarily legal fees, travel and entertainment expenses, occupancy costs and recruiting fees, reflecting our continued focus on cost discipline. During 2009, our IT investment costs increased compared to 2008, reflecting increased investment in infrastructure in our client-focused businesses.

Personnel

Headcount as of the end of 2010 was 20,700, compared to 19,400 as of the end of 2009 and 19,600 as of the end of 2008. The increase from 2009 was driven by IT professionals, reflecting our investment in infrastructure in client-focused businesses, as well as the expansion of our sales force in fixed income, strategic hires across regions in our underwriting and advisory businesses and additional headcount in prime services and cash equities.

Asset Management

In 2010, we recorded income before taxes of CHF 503 million and net revenues of CHF 2,332 million. We had significant investment-related gains in 2010 compared to significant losses in 2009 and significantly lower gains on equity participations. We recorded net new assets of CHF 20.6 billion, up substantially from CHF 0.4 billion in 2009.

Results

		in / end of		% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Statements of operations (CHF million)					
Net revenues	2,332	1,842	632	27	191
Provision for credit losses	0	0	0	–	–
Compensation and benefits	1,082	1,090	1,055	(1)	3
General and administrative expenses	583	557	604	5	(8)

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Commission expenses	164	160	158	2	1
Total other operating expenses	747	717	762	4	(6)
Total operating expenses	1,829	1,807	1,817	1	(1)
Income/(loss) before taxes	503	35	(1,185)	–	–
Statement of operations metrics (%)					
Cost/income ratio	78.4	98.1	287.5	–	–
Pre-tax income margin	21.6	1.9	(187.5)	–	–
Utilized economic capital and return					
Average utilized economic capital (CHF million)	3,439	3,388	3,261	2	4
Pre-tax return on average utilized economic capital (%) ¹	15.7	2.1	(35.0)	–	–
Number of employees (full-time equivalents)					
Number of employees	2,900	3,100	3,100	(6)	0

1 Calculated using a return excluding interest costs for allocated goodwill.

Results (continued)

			in	% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Net revenue detail by type (CHF million)					
Asset management fees	1,412	1,376	1,583	3	(13)
Placement, transaction and other fees	143	169	232	(15)	(27)
Performance fees and carried interest	187	220	153	(15)	44
Equity participations	41	338	(60)	(88)	–
Investment-related gains/(losses)	420	(365)	(656)	–	(44)
Other revenues ^{1, 2}	129	104 ₃	(620) ₃	24	–
Net revenues	2,332	1,842	632	27	191
Net revenue detail by investment strategies (CHF million)					
Alternative investments	1,201	1,085	1,317	11	(18)
Traditional investments	525	467	655	12	(29)
Diversified investments ⁴	59	424	(30)	(86)	–
Other ²	127	231 ₃	(654) ₃	(45)	–
Net revenues before investment-related gains/(losses)	1,912	2,207	1,288	(13)	71
Investment-related gains/(losses)	420	(365)	(656)	–	(44)

Net revenues	2,332	1,842	632	27	191
Fee-based margin on assets under management (bp)					
Fee-based margin ⁵	41	42	38	–	–

1 Includes allocated funding costs. 2 Includes realized and unrealized gains/(losses) on securities purchased from our money market funds of CHF 143 million, CHF 109 million and CHF (687) million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. 3 Includes realized and unrealized gains/(losses) from client securities lending portfolios. 4 Includes revenues relating to management of the PAF and income from our equity investment in Aberdeen. 5 Asset management fees, placement, transaction and other fees, performance fees and carried interest divided by average assets under management.

Results overview

In 2010, income before taxes was CHF 503 million, compared to CHF 35 million in 2009. Net revenues of CHF 2,332 million were up 27% compared to 2009, primarily reflecting investment-related gains compared to losses in 2009, partially offset by lower income from equity participations. Investment-related gains were CHF 420 million, compared to losses of CHF 365 million in 2009, reflecting improved equity markets. Asset management fees of CHF 1,412 million were up 3%, reflecting higher average assets under management. Average assets under management increased 2.2% to CHF 427.8 billion and were adversely impacted by foreign exchange-related movements and the spin-off of non-core businesses. Placement, transaction and other fees of CHF 143 million were down 15%, reflecting losses related to investments held by AMF and lower revenues from integrated solutions, partially offset by higher private equity placement and real estate transaction fees. Performance fees and carried interest of CHF 187 million were down 15% from lower performance fees from Hedging-Griffo and from diversified investments relating to management of the PAF, partially offset by carried interest relating to realized private equity gains. Equity participations income of CHF 41 million was down 88% from 2009, which included significant gains from the sale of part of our traditional investments business to Aberdeen and the sale of our Polish and Korean joint ventures. Other revenues in 2010 and 2009 primarily reflected gains on the sale of securities purchased from our money market funds and securities acquired from client securities lending portfolios. Net revenues before securities purchased from our money market funds and investment-related gains of CHF 1,769 million were down 16%, primarily due to lower revenues from equity participations. Total operating expenses of CHF 1,829 million were stable.

Assets under management were CHF 425.8 billion, up 2.4% compared to the end of 2009, primarily reflecting strong net new assets and positive market performance, partially offset by adverse foreign exchange-related movements. Net new assets in 2010 of CHF 20.6 billion included inflows of CHF 13.0 billion in alternative investments, primarily in ETFs, real estate and index strategies, and net inflows of CHF 7.7 billion in traditional investments, primarily in multi-asset class solutions.

Results in 2010 were impacted by the weakening of the average rate of the US dollar and euro against the Swiss franc compared to 2009.

We had no balance sheet exposure to securities purchased from our money market funds as of the end of 2010.

In 2009, income before taxes was CHF 35 million, compared to a loss before taxes of CHF 1,185 million in 2008. Net revenues almost tripled to CHF 1,842 million compared to 2008, primarily reflecting gains from securities purchased from our money market funds compared to significant losses in 2008, lower investment-related losses and higher income from equity participations, including aggregate gains of CHF 286 million from the Aberdeen transaction and the sale of the two joint ventures. Net revenues before securities purchased from our money market funds and

investment-related losses of CHF 2,098 million were up 6%, primarily due to the higher income from equity participations, partially offset by lower management and placement fees. Average assets under management decreased 18.7% in 2009. Asset management fees of CHF 1,376 million were down 13%, primarily from significantly lower revenues in multi-asset class solutions, reflecting the decline in average assets under management and lower margins. Placement, transaction and other fees declined 27%, reflecting the difficult fundraising environment in 2009, partially offset by higher revenues from integrated solutions. Performance fees and carried interest increased 44%, primarily from performance fees from Hedging-Griffo and from diversified investments relating to management of the PAF. Equity participations income primarily reflected the gains from the Aberdeen transaction and the sale of the two joint ventures. 2008 revenues included an impairment charge on the Korean joint venture. Other revenues in 2009 included gains from securities purchased from our money market funds compared to significant losses in 2008. Other revenues in 2008 included losses associated with proprietary hedge fund positions. Total operating expenses of CHF 1,807 million were stable, as higher performance-related compensation accruals were offset by a decline in general and administrative expenses.

Assets under management were CHF 416.0 billion as of the end of 2009, up 1.1% compared to the end of 2008, primarily reflecting positive market performance, partially offset by the transfer of the managed lending business to Investment Banking and the sale of the two joint ventures. Net new assets in 2009 of CHF 0.4 billion included net inflows of CHF 3.7 billion in alternative investments, primarily from net inflows in real estate, index strategies and ETFs, partially offset by net outflows from hedge funds, and net inflows of CHF 0.2 billion in traditional investments, as inflows in multi-asset class solutions and in Swiss advisory were mostly offset by outflows in fixed income & equities and the impact from the tax amnesty in Italy. Net new assets were impacted by outflows of CHF 4.9 billion in money market assets in the managed lending business.

Our balance sheet exposure to securities purchased from our money market funds was CHF 260 million as of the end of 2009 and CHF 567 million as of the end of 2008.

In the first quarter of 2009, the private fund group, which raises capital for hedge funds, private equity and real estate funds, was transferred to Asset Management from Investment Banking.

Performance indicators

Pre-tax income margin (KPI)

Our target over market cycles was a pre-tax income margin above 40%. The pre-tax income margin was 21.6% in 2010, compared to 1.9% in 2009 and negative 187.5% in 2008. The pre-tax income margin before securities purchased from our money market funds was 16.4% in 2010, compared to negative 4.3% in 2009 and negative 37.8% in 2008. Going forward, we will target a pre-tax income margin above 35%.

Net new asset growth rate

In 2010, the growth rate was 5.0%, compared to 0.1% in 2009, and negative 10.6% in 2008. Going forward this will be a KPI and we will target a net new asset growth rate above 6%.

Fee-based margin

The fee-based margin, which is asset management fees, placement, transaction and other fees and performance fees and carried interest divided by average assets under management, was 41 basis points in 2010, compared to 42 basis

points in 2009 and 38 basis points in 2008.

Assets under management – Asset Management

	in / end of			% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Assets under management (CHF billion)					
Alternative investments	195.6	185.5	169.4	5.4	9.5
of which hedge funds	27.3	25.2	31.6	8.3	(20.3)
of which private equity	30.8	32.2	36.6	(4.3)	(12.0)
of which real estate & commodities	43.4	41.5	36.2	4.6	14.6
of which credit	18.3	18.5	15.3	(1.1)	20.9
of which ETF	14.6	10.0	6.4	46.0	56.3
of which index strategies	54.2	51.9	38.1	4.4	36.2
of which other	7.0	6.2	5.2	12.9	19.2
Traditional investments	229.4	230.2	204.3	0.0	12.7
of which multi-asset class solutions	114.9	117.4	101.2	(2.1)	16.0
of which fixed income & equities	46.4	45.1	42.7	2.9	5.6
of which Swiss advisory	68.1	67.7	60.4	0.6	12.1
Diversified investments	0.8	0.3	18.8	166.7	(98.4)
Other	0.0	0.0	19.0	–	(100.0)
Assets under management	425.8	416.0	411.5	2.4	1.1
Average assets under management (CHF billion)					
Average assets under management	427.8	418.4	514.9	2.2	(18.7)
Assets under management by currency (CHF billion)					
USD	100.8	94.8	105.9	6.3	(10.5)
EUR	58.7	61.5	56.5	(4.6)	8.8
CHF	245.1	240.3	224.6	2.0	7.0
Other	21.2	19.4	24.5	9.3	(20.8)
Assets under management	425.8	416.0	411.5	2.4	1.1
Growth in assets under management (CHF billion)					
Net new assets	20.6	0.4	(63.3)	–	–
Other effects	(10.8)	4.1	(124.6)	–	–
of which market movements	8.9	30.7	(78.6)	–	–
of which currency	(23.4)	0.2	(23.5)	–	–
of which other ¹	3.7	(26.8) ²	(22.5) ^{3,4}	–	–

Growth in assets under management	9.8	4.5	(187.9)	–	–
Growth in assets under management (annualized) (%)					
Net new assets	5.0	0.1	(10.6)	–	–
Other effects	(2.6)	1.0	(20.8)	–	–
Growth in assets under management	2.4	1.1	(31.4)	–	–
Principal investments (CHF billion)					
Principal investments ⁵	3.4	3.8	4.0	(10.5)	(5.0)

1 Includes the impact from acquisitions and divestures. 2 Includes assets under management of the managed lending business transferred to Investment Banking of CHF 13.2 billion and reductions relating to the sale of two joint ventures. 3 Includes CHF 16.6 billion of assets under management relating to the acquisition of Hedging-Griffo. 4 Includes outflows from the sale of the insurance business. 5 Includes primarily private equity investments.

Results detail

The following provides a comparison of our 2010 results versus 2009 and 2009 versus 2008.

Net revenues

Asset Management fees

2010 vs 2009: Up 3% from CHF 1,376 million to CHF 1,412 million

The increase resulted from higher fees in alternative investments and traditional investments, partially offset by lower fees from diversified investments. The increase in alternative investments was driven by higher fees from Hedging-Griffo, ETFs, index products and single manager hedge funds, reflecting higher average assets under management. The increase was partially offset by lower fees in private equity, fund of hedge funds, credit strategies and real estate, which were impacted by the spin-off of our real estate private equity fund and our credit hedge fund during 2010, and the foreign exchange translation impact. Traditional investments fees were higher in equity and fixed income products and multi-asset class solutions, partially offset by lower fees in Swiss advisory. Fees from diversified investments decreased, mainly due to lower fees from fund administration services, reflecting the transfer of the Luxembourg fund administration business to Private Banking in the first quarter of 2010, partially offset by higher fees from our agreement to service Aberdeen assets on a transitional basis.

2009 vs 2008: Down 13% from CHF 1,583 million to CHF 1,376 million

The decrease reflected lower fees in traditional investments and alternative investments, partially offset by higher fees in diversified investments. The decrease in traditional investments reflected lower average assets under management and a shift into lower margin products. The decrease in alternative investments reflected lower average assets under management, and lower revenues from fund of hedge funds, emerging markets and index strategies, partially offset by higher revenues from private equity. Fees in diversified strategies increased due to higher fees from management of the PAF and fund administration services fees.

Placement, transaction and other fees

2010 vs 2009: Down 15% from CHF 169 million to CHF 143 million

The decrease was due to losses on investments held by AMF and lower revenues from integrated solutions, partially offset by higher private equity placement fees and transaction fees from real estate funds.

2009 vs 2008: Down 27% from CHF 232 million to CHF 169 million

The decrease was primarily due to lower private equity placement fees in a challenging fundraising environment, partially offset by higher revenues from integrated solutions.

Performance fees and carried interest

2010 vs 2009: Down 15% from CHF 220 million to CHF 187 million

The decrease was mainly due to significantly lower performance fees from Hedging-Griffo and from management of the PAF, partially offset by increased carried interest in alternative investments from realized private equity gains and higher fees from single-manager hedge funds and credit strategies.

2009 vs 2008: Up 44% from CHF 153 million to CHF 220 million

The increase was mainly due to significantly higher performance fees from Hedging-Griffo and from management of the PAF, partially offset by a decrease in carried interest from realized private equity gains.

Equity participations

2010 vs 2009: Down 88% from CHF 338 million to CHF 41 million

The decrease was mainly due to the 2009 gains of CHF 228 million from the Aberdeen transaction and CHF 58 million from the sale of the two joint ventures, and lower income in 2010 resulting from the reduction of our ownership interest in Aberdeen due to the issuance of shares by Aberdeen.

2009 vs 2008: From CHF (60) million to CHF 338 million

The increase was mainly due to the gain from the Aberdeen transaction and the CHF 39 million net gain from the sale of the Polish joint venture and the CHF 19 million gain from the sale of Korean joint venture. 2008 included an impairment charge on the Korean joint venture and losses on our investment in Ospraie, which was adversely impacted by the closing of one of its major funds.

Investment-related gains/(losses)

2010 vs 2009: From CHF (365) million to CHF 420 million

In 2010, we had realized and unrealized gains in private equity investments, mainly in the energy, industrial and commodities sectors, and in credit-related investments. In 2009, we had unrealized losses in private equity investments, mainly in the real estate, financial services and energy sectors, partially offset by unrealized gains in credit-related investments.

2009 vs 2008: From CHF (656) million to CHF (365) million

In 2009, we had unrealized losses in private equity investments, mainly in the real estate, financial services and energy sectors, partially offset by unrealized gains in credit-related investments. 2008 included unrealized losses in private equity investments, mainly in the real estate, financial services and commodity sectors, and in credit-related and emerging market investments.

Operating expenses

Compensation and benefits

2010 vs 2009: Stable at CHF 1,082 million

Compensation and benefits expenses were stable, as lower performance-related variable compensation accruals, reflecting higher base salaries and a higher proportion of variable compensation deferred through share-based and other awards, were offset by the increase in base salaries and higher deferred compensation expense from prior-year awards.

2009 vs 2008: Up 3% from CHF 1,055 million to CHF 1,090 million

The increase was mainly due to higher performance-related variable compensation accruals, due to the deferral of compensation under the CRA program in 2008, and the amortization of CRA in 2009, partially offset by lower deferred compensation from prior-year share awards and lower salaries. Compensation in 2008 included CHF 47 million of severance costs relating to realignments.

General and administrative expenses

2010 vs 2009: Up 5% from CHF 557 million to CHF 583 million

The increase mainly reflected higher fund administration costs, including the transfer of the Luxembourg fund administration business to Private Banking, and higher professional fees, partially offset by lower non-credit-related provisions.

2009 vs 2008: Down 8% from CHF 604 million to CHF 557 million

The decrease mainly reflected the CHF 41 million impairment charge on acquired intangible assets in 2008, partially offset by higher non-credit-related provisions. Other general and administrative expenses were stable, reflecting our focus on cost management.

Personnel

In 2010, headcount decreased to 2,900, down 200 from 2009 and 2008. The decrease mainly related to the transfer of the Luxembourg fund administration business to Private Banking in 2010. Headcount in 2008 included a reduction of 400 employees due to the Aberdeen transaction.

Corporate Center

In 2010, we recorded a loss from continuing operations before taxes of CHF 660 million, primarily reflecting a charge for the UK levy on variable compensation and litigation provisions, partly offset by fair value gains on Credit Suisse vanilla debt.

Corporate Center includes parent company operations such as Group financing, expenses for projects sponsored by the Group and certain expenses that have not been allocated to the segments. In addition, Corporate Center includes consolidation and elimination adjustments required to eliminate intercompany revenues and expenses.

The following provides a comparison of our 2010 results versus 2009 and 2009 results versus 2008.

Loss from continuing operations before taxes

2010 vs 2009: From CHF (1,948) million to CHF (660) million

The decreased loss primarily reflected lower litigation provisions and fair value gains on Credit Suisse vanilla debt versus losses in 2009. The 2010 loss included a charge of CHF 404 million for the UK levy on variable compensation and CHF 216 million of litigation provisions, partly offset by CHF 590 million of fair value gains on our long-term vanilla debt, which reflected the positive difference between the straight-line amortization charged to the segments and the net impact of fair valuation adjustments on Credit Suisse debt from widening credit spreads. Revenues and compensation and benefits also included reclassifications relating to the PAF, as PAF gains and offsetting

compensation expense were included in Investment Banking trading revenues.

2009 vs 2008: From CHF (1,036) million to CHF (1,948) million

The increased loss primarily reflected litigation provisions of CHF 705 million relating to the settlement of the US sanctions matter of CHF 560 million and >>> ARS of CHF 135 million. Additionally, Corporate Center included the negative difference between the straight-line amortization and the net impact of fair valuation adjustments on Credit Suisse debt from narrowing credit spreads of CHF 327 million, the elimination of the Aberdeen gain in discontinued operations in Asset Management of CHF 228 million and a CHF 100 million cost for captive insurance settlements for non-credit-related provisions in Wealth Management Clients.

Results

			in	% change	
	2010	2009	2008	10 / 09	09 / 08
Statements of operations (CHF million)					
Net revenues	448	(424)	294	–	–
Provision for credit losses	0	0	1	–	(100)
Compensation and benefits	710	534	858	33	(38)
General and administrative expenses	323	908	422	(64)	115
Commission expenses	75	82	49	(9)	67
Total other operating expenses	398	990	471	(60)	110
Total operating expenses	1,108	1,524	1,329	(27)	15
Loss from continuing operations before taxes	(660)	(1,948)	(1,036)	(66)	88

Results overview

	Private Banking			Investment Banking			Asset Management			
in / end of	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008	2010
Statements of operations (CHF million)										
Net revenues	11,631	11,662	12,907	16,214	20,537	(1,971)	2,332	1,842	632	448
Provision for credit losses	18	180	133	(97)	326	679	0	0	0	0
Compensation and benefits	4,737	4,651	4,260	8,033	8,652	7,006	1,082	1,090	1,055	710
General and administrative expenses	2,793	2,580	3,919	3,495	3,559	2,794	583	557	604	323

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Commission expenses	657	600	745	1,252	1,155	1,342	164	160	158	75	
Total other operating expenses	3,450	3,180	4,664	4,747	4,714	4,136	747	717	762	398	
Total operating expenses	8,187	7,831	8,924	12,780	13,366	11,142	1,829	1,807	1,817	1,108	1
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	3,426	3,651	3,850	3,531	6,845	(13,792)	503	35	(1,185)	(660)	(1,
Income tax expense/(benefit)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Income from discontinued operations	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Net income/(loss)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Less net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Statement of operations metrics (%)											
Cost/income ratio	70.4	67.1	69.1	78.8	65.1	–	78.4	98.1	287.5	–	–
Pre-tax income margin	29.5	31.3	29.8	21.8	33.3	–	21.6	1.9	(187.5)	–	–
Effective tax rate	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Income margin from continuing operations	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Net income margin	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Utilized economic capital and return											
Average utilized economic capital (CHF million)	6,493	6,151	6,030	20,364	20,202	28,945	3,439	3,388	3,261	1,113	2
Pre-tax return on average utilized	53.2	59.8	64.4	18.0	34.5	(47.2)	15.7	2.1	(35.0)	–	–

economic capital
(%) ³

Balance sheet statistics (CHF million)

Total assets	337,496	345,488	374,771	803,613	819,081	976,713	27,986	19,289	21,580	(143,945) ⁴	(161,
Net loans	182,880	176,009	174,904	35,970	61,175	60,837	–	–	–	(8)	
Goodwill	749	789	765	6,347	6,843	6,972	1,489	1,635	1,593	–	

Number of employees (full-time equivalents)

Number of employees	25,600	24,300	24,400	20,700	19,400	19,600	2,900	3,100	3,100	900	
---------------------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	--------	-------	-------	-------	-----	--

¹ Core Results include the results of our integrated banking business, excluding revenues and expenses in respect of noncontrolling interests and the costs for allocated goodwill. ⁴ Under the central treasury model, Group financing results in intra-Group balances between the

Assets under management

As of December 31, 2010, assets under management were CHF 1,253.0 billion, up 2.0% compared to December 31, 2009, primarily reflecting net new assets of CHF 54.6 billion in Private Banking and CHF 20.6 billion in Asset Management, and positive market movements, mostly offset by adverse foreign exchange-related movements.

Assets under management and client assets

	2010	2009	end of 2008	% change	
				10 / 09	09 / 08
Assets under management (CHF billion)					
Private Banking	932.9	914.9	788.9	2.0	16.0
Asset Management	425.8	416.0	411.5	2.4	1.1
Assets managed by Asset Management for Private Banking clients	(105.7)	(101.9)	(94.3)	3.7	8.1
Assets under management from continuing operations	1,253.0	1,229.0	1,106.1	2.0	11.1
of which discretionary assets	429.1	422.3	416.1	1.6	1.5
of which advisory assets	823.9	806.7	690.0	2.1	16.9
Discontinued operations	-	-	67.9 ¹	-	(100.0)
Assets under management	1,253.0	1,229.0	1,174.0	2.0	4.7
Client assets (CHF billion)					
Private Banking	1,087.1	1,063.4	919.9	2.2	15.6
Asset Management	452.5	444.7	425.1	1.8	4.6
Assets managed by Asset Management for Private	(105.7)	(101.9)	(94.3)	3.7	8.1

Banking clients

Client assets from continuing operations	1,433.9	1,406.2	1,250.7	2.0	12.4
Discontinued operations	–	–	67.9 ₁	–	(100.0)
Client assets	1,433.9	1,406.2	1,318.6	2.0	6.6

1 Includes assets under management relating to the sale of part of our traditional investment strategies business in Asset Management. Prior periods have been restated to conform to the current presentation.

Growth in assets under management

in	2010	2009	2008
Growth in assets under management (CHF billion)			
Private Banking	54.6	41.6	50.9
Asset Management	20.6	0.4	(63.3)
Assets managed by Asset Management for Private Banking clients	(6.2)	2.2	9.4
Net new assets	69.0	44.2	(3.0)
Private Banking	(36.6)	84.4	(257.4)
Asset Management	(10.8)	4.1	(124.6)
Assets managed by Asset Management for Private Banking clients	2.4	(9.8)	28.3
Other effects	(45.0)	78.7	(353.7)
Private Banking	18.0	126.0	(206.5)
Asset Management	9.8	4.5	(187.9)
Assets managed by Asset Management for Private Banking clients	(3.8)	(7.6)	37.7
Total growth in assets under management from continuing operations	24.0	122.9	(356.7)
Total growth in assets under management from discontinued operations ¹	0.0	(67.9)	(24.0)
Total growth in assets under management	24.0	55.0	(380.7)
Growth in assets under management (%) ²			
Private Banking	6.0	5.3	5.1
Asset Management	5.0	0.1	(10.6)
Assets managed by Asset Management for Private Banking clients	6.1	(2.3)	(7.1)
Net new assets	5.6	4.0	(0.2)

Private Banking	(4.0)	10.7	(25.9)
Asset Management	(2.6)	1.0	(20.8)
Assets managed by Asset Management for Private Banking clients	(2.4)	10.4	(21.4)
Other effects	(3.7)	7.1	(24.2)
Private Banking	2.0	16.0	(20.8)
Asset Management	2.4	1.1	(31.4)
Assets managed by Asset Management for Private Banking clients	3.7	8.1	(28.5)
Total growth in assets under management	1.9	11.1	(24.4)

1 Includes assets under management relating to the sale of part of our traditional investment strategies business in Asset Management. Prior periods have been restated to conform to the current presentation. 2 Calculated based on continuing operations.

Assets under management

Assets under management comprise assets which are placed with us for investment purposes and include discretionary and advisory counterparty assets.

Discretionary assets are assets for which the customer fully transfers the discretionary power to a Credit Suisse entity with a management mandate. Discretionary assets are reported in the segment in which the advice is provided, as well as in the segment in which the investment decisions take place. Assets managed by Asset Management for Private Banking clients are reported in both segments and eliminated at Group level.

Advisory assets include assets placed with us where the client is provided access to investment advice but retains discretion over investment decisions.

As of December 31, 2010, assets under management were CHF 1,253.0 billion, up CHF 24.0 billion, or 2.0%, compared to December 31, 2009, primarily reflecting net new assets of CHF 54.6 billion in Private Banking and CHF 20.6 billion in Asset Management and positive market movements, mostly offset by adverse foreign exchange-related movements.

In Private Banking, assets under management were CHF 932.9 billion, up CHF 18.0 billion, or 2.0%, compared to the end of 2009, reflecting significant net new assets and positive equity and bond market movements, mostly offset by adverse foreign exchange-related movements. In Asset Management, assets under management were CHF 425.8 billion, up CHF 9.8 billion, or 2.4%, compared to the end of 2009, as net new assets and positive market movements were mostly offset by adverse foreign exchange-related movements. For further information, refer to *II – Operating and financial review – Private Banking and – Asset Management and Note 36 – Assets under management in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Net new assets

Net new assets include individual cash payments, security deliveries and cash flows resulting from loan increases or repayments. Interest and dividend income credited to clients, commissions, interest and fees charged for banking services are not included as they do not reflect success in acquiring assets under management. Furthermore, changes due to foreign exchange-related and market movements as well as asset inflows and outflows due to the acquisition or divestiture of businesses are not part of net new assets.

Private Banking recorded net new assets of CHF 54.6 billion, with strong inflows in both the international and Swiss regions, compared to net new assets of CHF 41.6 billion in 2009. Asset Management recorded net new assets of CHF 20.6 billion including inflows of CHF 13.0 billion in alternative investments and inflows of CHF 7.7 billion in traditional investments, compared to net new assets of CHF 0.4 billion in 2009.

Client assets

Client assets is a broader measure than assets under management as it includes transactional and custody accounts (assets held solely for transaction-related or safekeeping/custody purposes) and assets of corporate clients and public institutions used primarily for cash management or transaction-related purposes.

Critical accounting estimates

In order to prepare the consolidated financial statements in accordance with US GAAP, management is required to make certain accounting estimates to ascertain the value of assets and liabilities. These estimates are based upon judgment and the information available at the time, and actual results may differ materially from these estimates. Management believes that the estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of the consolidated financial statements are prudent, reasonable and consistently applied. For further information on significant accounting policies and new accounting pronouncements, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of significant accounting policies and Note 2 – Recently issued accounting standards in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*. Note references are to the consolidated financial statements of the Group. For financial information relating to the Bank, see the corresponding note in the consolidated financial statements of the Bank.

We believe that the critical accounting estimates discussed below involve the most complex judgments and assessments.

Fair value

A significant portion of our assets and liabilities are carried at >>>>fair value. The fair value of the majority of these financial instruments is based on quoted prices in active markets or observable inputs.

In addition, we hold financial instruments for which no prices are available and which have little or no observable inputs. For these instruments, the determination of fair value requires subjective assessment and judgment depending on liquidity, concentration, pricing assumptions, the current economic and competitive environment and the risks affecting the specific instrument. In such circumstances, valuation is determined based on management's own judgments about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). These instruments include certain >>>>OTC derivatives, certain corporate, mortgage-related and >>>>CDO securities, certain equity derivatives and equity-linked securities, private equity investments, certain loans and credit products (including leveraged finance, certain syndicated loans and certain high grade bonds) and life

finance instruments.

We have availed ourselves of the simplification in accounting offered under the fair value option guidance in Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 820 – Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, primarily in the Investment Banking and Asset Management segments. This has been accomplished generally by electing the fair value option, both at initial adoption and for subsequent transactions, on items impacted by the hedge accounting requirements of US GAAP. For instruments for which there was an inability to achieve hedge accounting and for which we are economically hedged, we have elected the fair value option. Where we manage an activity on a fair value basis but previously have been unable to achieve fair value accounting, we have utilized the fair value option to align our financial accounting to our risk management reporting.

Control processes are applied to ensure that the fair values of the financial instruments reported in the consolidated financial statements, including those derived from pricing models, are appropriate and determined on a reasonable basis.

These control processes include the review and approval of new instruments, review of profit and loss at regular intervals, risk monitoring and review, price verification procedures and reviews of models used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments by senior management and personnel with relevant expertise who are independent of the trading and investment functions.

For further information on fair value, refer to *Note 2 – Recently issued accounting standards* and *Note 33 – Financial instruments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Variable interest entities

As a normal part of our business, we engage in various transactions that include entities which are considered variable interest entities (VIEs). VIEs are special purpose entities (SPEs) that typically lack sufficient equity to finance their activities without additional subordinated financial support or are structured such that the holders of the voting rights do not substantively participate in the gains and losses of the entity. Such entities are required to be assessed for consolidation under US GAAP, compelling the primary beneficiary to consolidate the VIE. The primary beneficiary is the party that has the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect the economics of the VIE and potentially has significant benefits or losses in the VIE. We consolidate all VIEs where we are the primary beneficiary. VIEs may be sponsored by us, unrelated third parties or clients. Application of the accounting requirements for consolidation of VIEs, initially and if certain events occur that require us to reassess whether consolidation is required, may require the exercise of significant management judgment.

For further information on VIEs, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of significant accounting policies*, *Note 2 – Recently issued accounting standards* and *Note 32 – Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Contingencies and loss provisions

A contingency is an existing condition that involves a degree of uncertainty that will ultimately be resolved upon the occurrence or non-occurrence of future events.

Litigation contingencies

We are involved in a variety of legal, regulatory and arbitration matters in connection with the conduct of our businesses. It is inherently difficult to predict the outcome of many of these matters, particularly those cases in which the matters are brought on behalf of various classes of claimants, seek damages of unspecified or indeterminate amounts or involve novel legal claims. In presenting our consolidated financial statements, management makes estimates regarding the outcome of legal, regulatory and arbitration matters and takes a charge to income when losses with respect to such matters are probable and can be reasonably estimated. Charges, other than those taken for costs of defense, are not established for matters when losses cannot be reasonably estimated. Estimates, by their nature, are based on judgment and currently available information and involve a variety of factors, including, but not limited to, the type and nature of the litigation, claim or proceeding, the progress of the matter, the advice of legal counsel and other advisers, our defenses and experience in similar cases or proceedings as well as our assessment of matters, including settlements, involving other defendants in similar or related cases or proceedings.

For further information on legal proceedings, including estimates of loss contingencies and related provisions, refer to *Note 37 – Litigation in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Allowance and provision for credit losses

As a normal part of our business, we are exposed to credit risk through our lending relationships, commitments and letters of credit as well as counterparty risk on >>>derivatives, foreign exchange and other transactions. Credit risk is the possibility of a loss being incurred as a result of a borrower or counterparty failing to meet its financial obligations or as a result of deterioration in the credit quality of the borrower or counterparty. In the event of a default, we generally incur a loss equal to the amount owed by the debtor, less any recoveries resulting from foreclosure, liquidation of collateral or the restructuring of the debtor company. The allowance for loan losses is considered adequate to absorb credit losses existing at the dates of the consolidated balance sheets. This allowance is for probable credit losses inherent in existing exposures and credit exposures specifically identified as impaired.

For further information on allowance for loan losses, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of significant accounting policies and Note 18 – Loans, allowance for loan losses and credit quality in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Inherent loan loss allowance

The inherent loan loss allowance is for all credit exposures not specifically identified as impaired and that, on a portfolio basis, are considered to contain probable inherent loss. The inherent loan loss allowance is established by analyzing historical and current default probabilities, historical recovery assumptions and internal risk ratings. The methodology for Investment Banking adjusts the rating-specific default probabilities to incorporate not only historic third-party data but also those implied from current quoted credit spreads.

Many factors are evaluated in estimating probable credit losses inherent in existing exposures. These factors include: the volatility of default probabilities; rating changes; the magnitude of the potential loss; internal risk ratings; geographic, industry and other economic factors; and imprecision in the methodologies and models used to estimate credit risk. Overall credit risk indicators are also considered, such as trends in internal risk-rated exposures, classified exposures, cash-basis loans, recent loss experience and forecasted write-offs, as well as industry and geographic concentrations and current developments within those segments or locations. Our current business strategy and credit process, including credit approvals and limits, underwriting criteria and workout procedures, are also important factors.

Significant judgment is exercised in the evaluation of these factors. For example, estimating the amount of potential loss requires an assessment of the period of the underlying data. Data that does not capture a complete credit cycle may compromise the accuracy of loss estimates. Determining which external data relating to default probabilities should be used and when they should be used, also requires judgment. The use of market indices and ratings that do

not sufficiently correlate to our specific exposure characteristics could also affect the accuracy of loss estimates. Evaluating the impact of uncertainties regarding macroeconomic and political conditions, currency devaluations on cross-border exposures, changes in underwriting criteria, unexpected correlations among exposures and other factors all require significant judgment. Changes in our estimates of probable loan losses inherent in the portfolio could have an impact on the provision and result in a change in the allowance.

Specific loan loss allowances

We make provisions for specific loan losses on impaired loans based on regular and detailed analysis of each loan in the portfolio. This analysis includes an estimate of the realizable value of any collateral, the costs associated with obtaining repayment and realization of any such collateral, the counterparty's overall financial condition, resources and payment record, the extent of our other commitments to the same counterparty and prospects for support from any financially responsible guarantors.

The methodology for calculating specific allowances involves judgments at many levels. First, it involves the early identification of deteriorating credit. Extensive judgment is required in order to properly evaluate the various indicators of financial condition of a counterparty and likelihood of repayment. The failure to identify certain indicators or give them proper weight could lead to a different conclusion about the credit risk. The assessment of credit risk is subject to inherent limitations with respect to the completeness and accuracy of relevant information (for example, relating to the counterparty, collateral or guarantee) that is available at the time of the assessment. Significant judgment is exercised in determining the amount of the allowance. Whenever possible, independent, verifiable data or our own historical loss experience is used in models for estimating loan losses. However, a significant degree of uncertainty remains when applying such valuation techniques. Under our loan policy, the classification of loan status also has a significant impact on the subsequent accounting for interest accruals.

For loan portfolio disclosures, valuation adjustment disclosures and certain other information relevant to the evaluation of credit risk and credit risk management, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Risk Management and Note 18 – Loans, allowance for loan losses and credit quality in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Goodwill impairment

Under US GAAP, goodwill is not amortized, but is reviewed for potential impairment on an annual basis as of December 31 and at any other time that events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value of goodwill may not be recoverable. Circumstances that could trigger an impairment test include, but are not limited to: a significant adverse change in the business climate or legal factors; an adverse action or assessment by a regulator or additional regulatory or legislative changes; unanticipated competition; loss of key personnel; the likelihood that a reporting unit or significant portion of a reporting unit will be sold or otherwise disposed of; results of testing for recoverability of a significant asset group within a reporting unit; and recognition of a goodwill impairment in the financial statements of a subsidiary that is a component of a reporting unit.

For the purpose of testing goodwill for impairment, each reporting unit is assessed individually. A reporting unit is an operating segment or one level below an operating segment, also referred to as a component. A component of an operating segment is deemed to be a reporting unit if the component constitutes a business for which discrete financial information is available and management regularly reviews the operating results of that component. In Private Banking, Wealth Management Clients and Corporate & Institutional Clients are considered to be reporting units. Investment Banking is considered to be one reporting unit. In Asset Management, alternative investment strategies and traditional investment strategies are considered to be reporting units. If the estimated fair value of a reporting unit exceeds its carrying value, there is no goodwill impairment. Factors considered in determining the fair value of reporting units include, among other things: an evaluation of recent acquisitions of similar entities in the market place; current share values in the market place for similar publicly traded entities, including price multiples; recent trends in

our share price and those of competitors; estimates of our future earnings potential based on our three-year strategic business plan; and the level of interest rates.

Estimates of our future earnings potential, and that of the reporting units, involve considerable judgment, including management's view on future changes in market cycles, the regulatory environment, the anticipated result of the implementation of business strategies, competitive factors and assumptions concerning the retention of key employees. Adverse changes in the estimates and assumptions used to determine the fair value of the Group's reporting units may result in a goodwill impairment in the future.

Based on our goodwill impairment analysis performed as of December 31, 2010, we concluded that the estimated fair value for each reporting unit substantially exceeded the related carrying value and no impairment was necessary as of December 31, 2010.

For further information on goodwill and related impairment testing, refer to *Note 20 – Goodwill and other intangible assets in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Taxes

Uncertainty of income tax positions

The Group follows the guidance in ASC Topic 740 – Income Taxes, which sets out a consistent framework to determine the appropriate level of tax reserves to maintain for uncertain tax positions.

Significant judgment is required in determining whether it is more likely than not that an income tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Further judgment is required to determine the amount of benefit eligible for recognition in the consolidated financial statements.

For further information, refer to *Note 26 – Tax in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Deferred tax valuation allowances

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax effects of operating loss carry-forwards and temporary differences between the carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases at the dates of the consolidated balance sheets.

The realization of deferred tax assets on temporary differences is dependent upon the generation of taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. The realization of such deferred tax assets on net operating losses is dependent upon the generation of taxable income during the periods prior to their expiration, if applicable. Management regularly evaluates whether deferred tax assets will be realized. If management considers it more likely than not that all or a portion of a deferred tax asset will not be realized, a corresponding valuation allowance is established. In evaluating whether deferred tax assets will be realized, management considers both positive and negative evidence, including projected future taxable income, the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities and tax planning strategies.

This evaluation requires significant management judgment, primarily with respect to projected taxable income. Future taxable income can never be predicted with certainty. It is derived from budgets and strategic business plans but is dependent on numerous factors, some of which are beyond management's control. Substantial variance of actual

results from estimated future taxable profits, or changes in our estimate of future taxable profits and potential restructurings, could lead to changes in deferred tax assets being realizable, or considered realizable, and would require a corresponding adjustment to the valuation allowance.

Management concluded that, with two exceptions noted below, no valuation allowance was needed against the deferred tax assets of its major operating entities. As part of its normal practice, management has conducted a detailed evaluation of its expected future results. This evaluation has taken into account the Group's commitment to the integrated banking model and the importance of the Investment Banking segment within the integrated bank, as well as the changes in the Group's core businesses and the reduction in risk since 2008. This evaluation has indicated the expected future results that are likely to be earned in jurisdictions where the Group has significant deferred tax assets, such as the US and UK. Management then compared those expected future results with the applicable law governing utilization of deferred tax assets. US tax law allows for a 20 year carry-forward period for net operating losses and UK tax law allows for an unlimited carry-forward period for net operating losses. Management concluded, based on this analysis, that partial valuation allowances against deferred tax assets were appropriate for two of its operating entities, one in the US and one in the UK.

For further information on deferred tax assets, refer to *Note 26 – Tax in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Pension plans

The Group

The Group covers pension requirements, in both Swiss and non-Swiss locations, through various defined benefit pension plans and defined contribution pension plans.

Our funding policy with respect to the non-Swiss pension plans is consistent with local government and tax requirements. In certain non-Swiss locations, the amount of our contribution to defined contribution pension plans is linked to the return on equity of the respective segments and, as a result, the amount of our contribution may differ materially from year to year.

The calculation of the expense and liability associated with the defined benefit pension plans requires an extensive use of assumptions, which include the discount rate, expected return on plan assets and rate of future compensation increases as determined by us. Management determines these assumptions based upon currently available market and industry data and historical performance of the plans. Management also consults with an independent actuarial firm to assist in selecting appropriate assumptions and valuing its related liabilities. The actuarial assumptions used by us may differ materially from actual results due to changing market and economic conditions, higher or lower withdrawal rates or longer or shorter life spans of the participants. Any such differences could have a significant impact on the amount of pension expense recorded in future years.

The funded status of our defined benefit pension and other post-retirement defined benefit plans are recorded in the consolidated balance sheets. The actuarial gains and losses and prior service costs or credits are recognized in equity as a component of AOCI.

The projected benefit obligation (PBO) of our total defined benefit pension plans as of December 31, 2010 included an amount related to our assumption for future salary increases of CHF 1,052 million, compared to CHF 1,202 million as of December 31, 2009. The accumulated benefit obligation (ABO) is defined as the PBO less the amount related to estimated future salary increases. The difference between the fair value of plan assets and the ABO was an overfunding of CHF 255 million for 2010, compared to an overfunding of CHF 67 million for 2009.

We are required to estimate the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, which is then used to compute pension cost recorded in the consolidated statements of operations. Estimating future returns on plan assets is particularly subjective, as the estimate requires an assessment of possible future market returns based on the plan asset mix. In calculating pension expense and in determining the expected long-term rate of return, we use the market value of assets. The assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation as of the measurement date are also used to calculate the net periodic pension cost for the 12-month period following this date.

The expected weighted-average long-term rate of return used to determine the expected return on plan assets as a component of the net periodic pension costs in 2010 and 2009 was 4.8% for the Swiss plans and 7.2% and 7.5%, respectively, for the international plans. In 2010, if the expected long-term rate of return had been increased/decreased 1%, net pension expense for the Swiss plans would have decreased/increased CHF 134 million and net pension expense for the international plans would have decreased/increased CHF 23 million.

The discount rate used in determining the benefit obligation is based either upon high-quality corporate bond rates or government bond rates plus a premium in order to approximate high-quality corporate bond rates. In estimating the discount rate, we take into consideration the relationship between the corporate bonds and the timing and amount of the future cash outflows from benefit payments. The average discount rate used for Swiss plans decreased 0.4 percentage point from 3.5% as of December 31, 2009, to 3.1% as of December 31, 2010, mainly due to a decrease in Swiss bond market rates. The average discount rate used for international plans decreased 0.5 percentage point from 6.0% as of December 31, 2009, to 5.5% as of December 31, 2010, mainly due to a decrease in bond market rates in the EU, the UK and the US. The discount rate affects both the pension expense and the PBO. For the year ended December 31, 2010, a 1% decline in the discount rate for the Swiss plans would have resulted in an increase in the PBO of CHF 1,903 million and an increase in pension expense of CHF 157 million, and a 1% increase in the discount rate would have resulted in a decrease in the PBO of CHF 1,657 million and a decrease in the pension expense of CHF 77 million. A 1% decline in the discount rate for the international plans as of December 31, 2010 would have resulted in an increase in the PBO of CHF 534 million and an increase in pension expense of CHF 52 million, and a 1% increase in the discount rate would have resulted in a decrease in the PBO of CHF 424 million and a decrease in the pension expense of CHF 42 million.

Actuarial losses and prior service cost are amortized over the average remaining service period of active employees expected to receive benefits under the plan, which, as of December 31, 2010, was approximately ten years for the Swiss plans and five to 27 years for the international plans. The expense associated with the amortization of net actuarial losses and prior service cost for defined benefit pension plans for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was CHF 140 million, CHF 60 million and CHF 79 million, respectively. The amortization of recognized actuarial losses and prior service cost for defined benefit pension plans for the year ending December 31, 2011, which is assessed at the beginning of the year, is expected to be CHF 115 million, net of tax. The amount by which the actual return on plan assets differs from our estimate of the expected return on those assets further impacts the amount of net recognized actuarial losses, resulting in a higher or lower amount of amortization expense in periods after 2011.

For further information on our pension benefits, refer to *Note 29 – Pension and other post-retirement benefits in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

The Bank

The Bank covers pension requirements for its employees in Switzerland through participation in a defined benefit pension plan sponsored by the Group (Group plan). Various legal entities within the Group participate in the Group plan, which is set up as an independent trust domiciled in Zurich. The Group accounts for the Group plan as a single-employer defined benefit pension plan and uses the projected unit credit actuarial method to determine the net periodic pension expense, PBO, ABO and the related amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The

funded status of the Group plan is recorded in the consolidated balance sheets. The actuarial gains and losses and prior service costs or credits are recognized in equity as a component of AOCI.

The Bank accounts for the Group plan on a defined contribution basis whereby it only recognizes the amounts required to be contributed to the Group plan during the period as net periodic pension expense and only recognizes a liability for any contributions due and unpaid. No other expense or balance sheet amounts related to the Group plan are recognized by the Bank.

The Bank covers pension requirements for its employees in international locations through participation in various pension plans, which are accounted for as single-employer defined benefit pension plans or defined contribution pension plans.

In 2010, if the Bank had accounted for the Group plan as a defined benefit plan, the expected long-term rate of return used to determine the expected return on plan assets as a component of the net periodic pension costs would have been 4.8%. In 2010, the weighted-average expected long-term rate of return used to calculate the expected return on plan assets as a component of the net periodic pension cost for the international single-employer defined benefit pension plans was 7.2%.

The discount rate used in determining the benefit obligation is based either upon high-quality corporate bond rates or government bond rates plus a premium in order to approximate high-quality corporate bond rates. For the year ended December 31, 2010, if the Bank had accounted for the Group plan as a defined benefit plan, the discount rate used in the measurement of the benefit obligation and net periodic pension cost would have been 3.1% and 3.5%, respectively. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the weighted-average discount rates used in the measurement of the benefit obligation and the net periodic pension costs for the international single-employer defined benefit pension plans were 5.5% and 6.0%, respectively. A 1% decline in the discount rate for the international single-employer plans would have resulted in an increase in PBO of CHF 534 million and an increase in pension expense of CHF 52 million, and a 1% increase in the discount rate would have resulted in a decrease in PBO of CHF 424 million and a decrease in pension expense by CHF 42 million.

The Bank does not recognize any amortization of actuarial losses and prior service cost for the Group pension plan. Actuarial losses and prior service cost related to the international single-employer defined benefit pension plans are amortized over the average remaining service period of active employees expected to receive benefits under the plan. The expense associated with the amortization of recognized net actuarial losses and prior service cost for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 was CHF 37 million, CHF 18 million and CHF 40 million, respectively. The amortization of recognized actuarial losses and prior service cost for the year ending December 31, 2011, which is assessed at the beginning of the year, is expected to be CHF 35 million, net of tax.

For further information with respect to the Bank's pension benefits associated with the Group plan and international single-employer defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans, refer to *Note 28 – Pension and other post-retirement benefits in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank)*.

Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet

Treasury management

Risk management

Balance sheet, off-balance sheet and other contractual obligations

Treasury management

During 2010, we further strengthened our capital, balance sheet, liquidity and funding. The majority of our unsecured funding was generated from stable client deposits and long-term debt. Our consolidated BIS tier 1 ratio was 17.2% as of the end of 2010 and our leverage ratio was 4.4%.

Funding, liquidity, capital and our foreign exchange exposures in the banking book are managed centrally by Treasury. Oversight of these activities is provided by Capital Allocation and Risk Management Committee (CARMC), a committee that includes the chief executive officers (CEOs) of the Group and the divisions, the chief financial officer, the chief risk officer (CRO) and the Treasurer. It is CARMC's responsibility to review the capital position, balance sheet development, current and prospective funding and interest rate risk and foreign exchange exposure and to define and monitor adherence to internal risk limits.

Liquidity and funding management

Our liquidity and funding strategy is approved by CARMC and overseen by the Board of Directors. The implementation and execution of the funding and liquidity strategy is managed by Treasury. Treasury ensures adherence to our funding policy and the efficient coordination of the secured funding desks. This approach enhances our ability to manage potential liquidity and funding risks and to promptly adjust our liquidity and funding levels to meet stress situations. Our liquidity and funding profile is regularly reported to CARMC and the Board of Directors, who define our risk tolerance and the balance sheet usage of the businesses.

Our liquidity and funding profile reflects our strategy, risk appetite, business activities, the markets and the overall operating environment. We have adapted our liquidity and funding profile to reflect lessons learned from the financial crisis and the subsequent change in our business strategy. Our liquidity risk management also reflects evolving best practice standards in light of the challenging environment. We have been an active participant in regulatory and industry forums to promote best practice standards on liquidity management.

In April 2010, we agreed on revised liquidity principles with the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA), following its consultation with the Swiss National Bank (SNB), to ensure that the Group and the Bank have adequate holdings on a consolidated basis of liquid, unencumbered, high-quality securities available in a crisis situation for designated periods of time. The principles went into effect as of the end of the second quarter of 2010. The crisis scenario assumptions include global market dislocation, large on- and off-balance sheet outflows, no access to unsecured wholesale funding markets, a significant withdrawal of deposits, varying access to secured market funding and the impacts from fears of insolvency. The principles aim to ensure we can meet our financial obligations in an extreme scenario for a minimum of 30 days. The principles take into consideration quantitative and qualitative factors and require us to address the possibility of emergency funding costs as we manage our capital and business. The principles call for additional reporting to FINMA. The principles may be modified to reflect the final Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) liquidity requirements.

In December 2010, the BCBS issued the Basel III international framework for liquidity risk measurement, standards and monitoring. The framework includes a liquidity coverage ratio (LCR) and a net stable funding ratio (NSFR). The LCR, which is expected to be introduced January 1, 2015 following an observation period beginning in 2011, addresses liquidity risk over a 30-day period and is in line with our liquidity risk management framework and our revised liquidity principles with FINMA. The NSFR, which is expected to be introduced January 1, 2018 following an observation period beginning in 2012, establishes criteria for a minimum amount of stable funding based on the liquidity of a bank's assets and activities over a one-year horizon and is in line with our liquidity risk management framework. The ratio of available stable funding to the amount of required stable funding must be greater than 100%.

The BCBS has stated that it will review the effect of these liquidity standards on financial markets, credit extension and economic growth to address unintended consequences.

For more information on regulatory proposals and developments, refer to *I – Information on the company – Regulation and supervision*. For information on capital standards under the Basel III framework, the report of the Swiss Expert Commission on “Too Big to Fail” issues relating to big banks and the revisions to the >>>Basel II market risk framework (Basel II.5), refer to *Regulatory capital developments and proposals*. For information on the market environment, refer to *II – Operating and financial review – Operating environment*.

Liquidity risk management

Our internal liquidity risk management framework has been subject to review and monitoring by regulators and rating agencies for many years. Our liquidity and funding policy is designed to ensure that funding is available to meet all obligations in times of stress, whether caused by market events or issues specific to Credit Suisse. We achieve this due to a conservative asset/liability management strategy aimed at maintaining a funding structure with long-term wholesale and stable deposit funding and cash well in excess of illiquid assets. To address short-term liquidity stress, we maintain a buffer of cash and highly liquid securities that covers unexpected needs of short-term liquidity. Our liquidity risk parameters reflect various liquidity stress assumptions, which we believe are conservative. We manage our liquidity profile at a sufficient level such that, in the event that we are unable to access unsecured funding, we will have sufficient liquidity to sustain operations for an extended period of time well in excess of our minimum target. We have increased our unsecured long-term debt and liquid assets in amounts greater than required for funding our businesses in response to regulatory requirements and in order to adequately manage liquidity risk. Our funding and liquidity costs have increased as a result.

Our targeted funding profile is designed to enable us to continue to pursue activities for an extended period of time without changing business plans during times of stress. The principal measure used to monitor our liquidity position is the “liquidity barometer,” which allows us to manage the time horizon over which the adjusted market value of unencumbered assets (including cash) exceeds the aggregate value of contractual outflows of unsecured liabilities plus a conservative forecast of anticipated contingent commitments. The barometer is a key component of our liquidity risk management framework under which we model both Credit Suisse specific and systemic market stress scenarios. This framework is supplemented by the modeling of additional stress events and additional liquidity risk measurement tools.

CARMC reviews the methodology and assumptions of the liquidity risk management framework and determines the liquidity horizon to be maintained by Treasury in order to ensure that the liquidity profile is managed at an appropriate level. We manage our liquidity profile at a level such that, in the event that we are unable to access unsecured funding, we will have sufficient liquidity to sustain operations for an extended period of time well in excess of our minimum target. Our highly integrated liquidity risk management framework is based on similar methodologies as those contemplated under the BCBS liquidity framework for short-term and long-term funding.

The stress assumptions we apply to our balance sheet are in line with the BCBS liquidity framework and include, but are not limited to, the following:

– A two-notch downgrade in the Bank’s long-term debt credit ratings, which would require additional funding as a result of certain contingent off-balance sheet obligations at the end of 2010, including a >>>commercial paper (CP) conduit and draw-downs on unfunded bank liabilities, including committed credit and liquidity facilities provided to clients, as well as increased collateral requirements to support >>>derivatives contracts (the BCBS liquidity framework is based on a three-notch downgrade, which would not materially impact our assessment);

- Significant withdrawals from private banking client deposits;
- Potential cash outflows associated with the prime brokerage business;
- Availability of secured funding is subject to significant over-collateralization depending on the collateral type, and certain asset classes such as real estate loans and emerging market securities may become ineligible for secured funding;
- Capital markets, certificates of deposit and CP markets will not be available;
- Other money market access will be significantly reduced;
- A loss in funding value of unencumbered assets;
- The inaccessibility of assets held by subsidiaries due to regulatory, operational and other constraints; and
- The possibility of providing non-contractual liquidity support in times of market stress, including purchasing our unsecured debt.

Treasury also manages a sizeable portfolio of liquid assets, comprised of cash, high grade bonds and other liquid securities including major market equities securities, which serve as a liquidity buffer. The bonds are eligible for repo transactions with various central banks including the SNB, the US Federal Reserve (Fed), the European Central Bank and the Bank of England. These liquid assets qualify as eligible assets under the BCBS liquidity standards.

In the event of a liquidity crisis, we would activate our liquidity contingency plan, which focuses on the specific actions that would be taken in the event of a crisis, including a detailed communication plan for creditors, investors and customers. The plan, which is regularly updated, sets out a three-stage process of the specific actions that would be taken:

- Stage I – Market disruption or Group/Bank event
- Stage II – Unsecured markets partially inaccessible
- Stage III – Unsecured funding totally inaccessible

The contingency plan would be activated by the Liquidity Crisis Committee, which includes senior business line, funding and finance department management. This committee would meet frequently throughout the crisis to ensure that the plan is executed. In response to the severe dislocation in the credit markets, we introduced additional liquidity stress assumptions to address systemic liquidity risk and increased our liquid assets and funding profile for scenarios in which credit markets become dislocated.

Funding sources and uses

We primarily fund our balance sheet through long-term debt, shareholders' equity and core customer deposits. A substantial portion of our balance sheet is match funded and requires no unsecured funding. Match funded balance sheet items consist of assets and liabilities with close to equal liquidity durations and value so that the liquidity and funding generated or required by the positions are substantially equivalent. Cash and due from banks is highly liquid. A significant part of our assets, principally unencumbered trading assets that support the securities business, is comprised of securities inventories and collateralized receivables, which fluctuate and are generally liquid. These liquid assets are available to settle short-term liabilities. These assets include our buffer of CHF 150 billion of cash,

securities accepted under central bank facilities and other highly liquid unencumbered securities, which can be monetized in a time frame consistent with our short-term stress assumptions. Our buffer increased 11% compared to CHF 135 billion in 2009, primarily reflecting increased cash and due from banks and other liquid assets, partly offset by the foreign exchange translation impact of US dollar and euro denominated assets. Our liquidity buffer was strengthened by increasing our short-term liabilities through the issuance of US dollar-denominated certificates of deposit and CP. Loans, which comprise the largest component of our illiquid assets, are funded by our core customer deposits, with an excess coverage of 25% as of the end of 2010. We fund other illiquid assets, including real estate, private equity and other long-term investments and a >>>haircut for the illiquid portion of securities, with long-term debt and equity, where we try to maintain a substantial funding buffer. For more information, refer to the chart “Balance sheet funding structure” and *Balance sheet, off-balance sheet and other contractual obligations Balance sheet*.

Our core customer deposits totaled CHF 266 billion as of the end of 2010, a decrease of 5% compared to the end of 2009, primarily due to the foreign exchange translation impact of the US dollar and the euro decline against the Swiss franc. Core customer deposits are from clients with whom we have a broad and longstanding relationship. Core customer deposits exclude deposits with banks and certificates of deposit. We place a priority on maintaining and growing customer deposits, as they have proved to be a stable and resilient source of funding even in difficult market conditions. In 2010, due to banks and short-term debt increased to CHF 81 billion from CHF 52 billion in 2009, primarily reflecting the strengthening of the liquidity buffer. The weighted average maturity of long-term debt was 6.5 years (including certificates of deposit with a maturity of one year or longer, but excluding structured notes, and assuming callable securities are redeemed at final maturity or in 2030 for instruments without a stated final maturity). Our core customer deposit funding is supplemented by the issuance of long-term debt. In 2010, we benefitted from a strong recovery in funding markets. We were able to fully execute the 2010 funding plan despite difficult market conditions in the earlier part of the year and pre-funded a portion of our expected 2011 funding needs.

Treasury is responsible for the development, execution and regular updating of our funding plan which reflects projected business growth, development of the balance sheet, future funding needs and maturity profiles as well as the effects of changing market conditions.

Our capital markets debt issuance includes issues of senior and subordinated debt in US-registered offerings and medium-term note programs, euro market medium-term note programs, Australian dollar domestic medium-term note programs, a Samurai shelf registration statement in Japan and covered bond programs. As a global bank, we have access to multiple markets worldwide and our major funding operations include Zurich, New York, London and Tokyo. We use a wide range of products and currencies to ensure that our funding is efficient and well diversified across markets and investor types. Substantially all of our unsecured senior debt is issued without financial covenants that could trigger an increase of our cost of financing or accelerate the maturity of the debt, including adverse changes in our credit ratings, cash flows, results of operations or financial ratios.

Interest expense on long-term debt, excluding structured notes, is monitored and managed relative to certain indices, such as >>>London Interbank Offered Rate, that are relevant to the financial services industry. This approach to term funding best reflects the sensitivity of both our liabilities and our assets to changes in interest rates. Funding spreads relative to such indices narrowed significantly for Credit Suisse during the second half of 2010, and the level of interest rates and indices was low. Our average funding spread, which is allocated to the divisions, increased compared to the end of 2009, however, primarily reflecting the increase in long-term debt and hybrid tier 1 capital issued in the fourth quarter of 2008 during the financial crisis.

We continually manage the impact of funding spreads through careful management of our liability maturity mix and opportunistic issuance of debt. The effect of funding spreads on interest expense will depend on many factors, including the absolute level of the indices on which our funding is based.

We diversify our funding sources by issuing structured notes, which are debt securities on which the return is linked to commodities, stocks, indices or currencies or other assets. We generally hedge structured notes with positions in the underlying assets or derivatives. Our liquidity planning includes settlement of structured notes. We had CHF 38.0 billion of structured notes outstanding as of the end of 2010 compared to CHF 40.9 billion as of the end of 2009, reflecting the continued change in client activity and risk aversion in 2010.

We also use collateralized financings, including >>>repurchase agreements and securities lending agreements. The level of our repurchase agreements fluctuates, reflecting market opportunities, client needs for highly liquid collateral, such as US treasuries and agency securities, and the impact of balance sheet and >>>risk-weighted asset (RWA) limits. In addition, matched book trades, under which securities are purchased under agreements to resell and are simultaneously sold under agreements to repurchase with comparable maturities, earn spreads, are relatively risk-free and are generally related to client activity.

Our primary sources of liquidity are through consolidated entities. The funding through non-consolidated special purpose entities (SPEs) and asset securitization activity is immaterial.

The Bank lends funds to its operating subsidiaries and affiliates on both a senior and subordinated basis, as needed, the latter typically to meet capital requirements, or as desired by management to support business initiatives.

Debt issuances and redemptions

Our long-term debt increased CHF 14.4 billion from the end of 2009, primarily due to CHF 19.7 billion non-recourse liabilities from consolidated VIEs, offset by a decrease in senior and subordinated debt. The decrease in senior and subordinated debt reflected the foreign exchange translation impact. Short-term borrowings increased to CHF 21.7 billion from CHF 7.6 billion due to the issuance of US dollar-denominated certificates of deposit and CP. The percentage of unsecured funding from long-term debt was 28% as of the end of 2010, a decrease of 2% from 2009. In 2010, we raised CHF 3.5 billion of covered bonds, CHF 17.6 billion of senior debt securities and CHF 2.8 billion of subordinated debt securities, while CHF 1.1 billion of covered bonds, CHF 10.7 billion of senior debt securities and CHF 2.0 billion of subordinated debt securities matured or were redeemed. In January 2011, we issued USD 2.1 billion of senior debt with a maturity of three years.

Credit Suisse did not participate in any government guaranteed debt issuance programs. For information on capital securities, refer to *Capital management*.

Our covered bond funding is in the form of mortgage-backed loans funded by covered bonds issued through Pfandbriefbank Schweizerischer Hypothekarinstitute, one of two institutions established by a 1930 act of the Swiss parliament to centralize the issuance of covered bonds, or from our own covered bond program, which we launched in December 2010 with a EUR 1.3 billion (CHF 1.6 billion) issuance with a maturity of five years.

For further information, refer to *Note 24 – Long-term debt in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Funds transfer pricing

We maintain an internal funds transfer pricing system based on market rates. Our funds transfer pricing system is designed to allocate to our businesses all funding costs in a way that incentivizes their efficient use of funding. Our funds transfer pricing system is an essential tool that allocates to the businesses the short-term and long-term costs of funding their balance sheet usages and off-balance sheet contingencies and the costs associated with funding liquidity and balance sheet items, such as goodwill, which are beyond the control of individual businesses. This is of greater

importance in a stressed capital markets environment where raising funds is more challenging and expensive. Under this system, our businesses are also credited to the extent they provide long-term stable funding.

Cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities

As a global financial institution, our cash flows are complex and interrelated and bear little relation to our net earnings and net assets. Consequently, we believe that traditional cash flow analysis is less meaningful in evaluating our liquidity position than the funding and liquidity policies described above. Cash flow analysis may, however, be helpful in highlighting certain macro trends in our business.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash provided by operating activities of continuing operations was CHF 8.2 billion, primarily reflecting the 2010 income from continuing operations, a decrease in other assets and an increase in other liabilities, partly offset by net cash used in trading assets and liabilities. Our operating assets and liabilities vary significantly in the normal course of business due to the amount and timing of cash flows. Management believes cash flows from operations, available cash balances and short-term and long-term borrowings will be sufficient to fund our operating liquidity needs.

Our investing activities primarily include originating loans to be held-to-maturity, other receivables and the investment securities portfolio. For the year ended December 31, 2010, net cash of CHF 21.4 billion was used in investing activities from continuing operations, primarily due to the increase in central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions.

Our financing activities primarily include the issuance of debt and receipt of customer deposits. We pay annual dividends on our common shares and had no active share buyback program in 2010. In 2010, net cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations was CHF 33.0 billion, mainly reflecting the issuances of long-term debt, the increase in due to banks and customer deposits, the sale of treasury shares in connection with market making activities and the increase in short-term borrowings, partly offset by repayments of long-term debt and the repurchase of treasury shares.

Credit ratings

Our access to the debt capital markets and our borrowing costs depend significantly on our credit ratings. Rating agencies take many factors into consideration in determining a company's rating, including such factors as earnings performance, business mix, market position, ownership, financial strategy, level of capital, risk management policies and practices, management team and the broader outlook for the financial services industry. The rating agencies may raise, lower or withdraw their ratings, or publicly announce an intention to raise or lower their ratings, at any time.

Although retail and private bank deposits are generally less sensitive to changes in a bank's credit ratings, the cost and availability of other sources of unsecured external funding is generally a function of credit ratings. Credit ratings are especially important to us when competing in certain markets and when seeking to engage in longer-term transactions, including >>>over-the-counter (OTC) derivative instruments.

A downgrade in credit ratings could reduce our access to capital markets, increase our borrowing costs, require us to post additional collateral or allow counterparties to terminate transactions under certain of our trading and collateralized financing and derivative contracts. This, in turn, could reduce our liquidity and negatively impact our operating results and financial position. Our liquidity barometer takes into consideration contingent events associated with a two notch downgrade in our credit ratings. The impact of a one, two and three-notch downgrade in the Bank's long-term debt ratings would result in additional collateral requirements or assumed termination payments under certain derivative instruments of CHF 2.1 billion, CHF 4.0 billion and CHF 4.7 billion, respectively, as of December

31, 2010 and would not be material to our liquidity and funding planning. As of the end of 2010, we were compliant with the requirements related to maintaining a specific credit rating under these derivative instruments.

For further information on the Group and Bank credit ratings, refer to *X – Investor information*.

Capital management

Capital management framework

Our capital management framework is intended to ensure that there is sufficient capital to support our underlying risks and to achieve management's regulatory and credit rating objectives. Our framework considers the capital needed to absorb losses, both realized and unrealized, while remaining a strongly capitalized institution. We focus on both the quantity and quality of capital and where in the legal structure it is best placed to support the anticipated needs of our business. Our overall capital needs are continually reviewed. Multi-year projections and capital plans are prepared for the Group and its major subsidiaries. These plans are subjected to various stress tests, reflecting both macroeconomic and specific risk scenarios. Capital contingency plans are developed in connection with these stress tests to ensure that possible mitigating actions are consistent with both the amount of capital at risk and the market conditions for accessing additional capital.

Since January 1, 2008, Credit Suisse has operated under the international capital adequacy standards known as Basel II set forth by the BCBS as implemented by FINMA (Basel II "Swiss finish"). These standards affect the measurement of both RWAs and eligible capital and are summarized below. Under this regulatory capital framework, tier 1 capital consists of shareholders' equity, qualifying noncontrolling interests and hybrid tier 1 capital, and RWAs are determined under Basel II. This regulatory capital framework and the related Bank for International Settlements (BIS) tier 1 ratios for the Group and the Bank are described in *Regulatory capital Capital structure Basel II "Swiss finish"*. Beginning in 2011, we have implemented the Basel II market risk framework (Basel II.5) for FINMA regulatory capital purposes, and we expect RWAs to increase. The BCBS has postponed the implementation of Basel II.5 for BIS purposes to no later than December 31, 2011. In December 2010, the BCBS issued the Basel III framework, with higher minimum capital requirements and new conservation and countercyclical buffers, requiring more capital in the form of common equity. In October 2010, the Swiss Expert Commission proposed capital requirements based on Basel III but which go beyond its minimum standards and the current "Swiss finish". The Basel III capital requirements and the proposed Expert Commission capital requirements, which are not yet enacted into law, are described in *Regulatory capital developments and proposals*.

Basel II

Overview

In June 2006, the BCBS published its comprehensive version of the revised framework for the international convergence of capital measurement and capital standards known as Basel II. Basel II describes the framework for measuring capital adequacy and the minimum standards to be achieved by the adopting supervisory authorities. This framework is based on three pillars, which are viewed as mutually reinforcing:

– Pillar 1: Minimum Capital Requirements – requires an institution to hold sufficient capital to cover its credit, market and operational risks.

– Pillar 2: Supervisory Review Process – discusses the role of supervisors in ensuring that institutions have in place a proper process for assessing and maintaining their capital ratios above the minimum requirements.

– Pillar 3: Market Discipline – sets out disclosure requirements, especially for those institutions seeking approval to use their own internal models to calculate their capital requirements.

Information required under Pillar 3 relating to capital adequacy is available at www.credit-suisse.com/pillar3.

Description of regulatory approaches

Basel II describes a range of options for determining capital requirements in order to provide banks and supervisors the ability to select approaches that are most appropriate for their operations and their financial market infrastructure. In general, Credit Suisse has adopted the most advanced approaches, which align with the way that risk is internally managed and provide the greatest risk sensitivity.

We have received approval from FINMA to use the >>>>advanced internal ratings-based approach (A-IRB) for measuring credit risk. Under the A-IRB for measuring credit risk, risk weights are determined by using internal risk parameters for >>>>probability of default (PD), >>>>loss given default (LGD) and transactional maturity. The exposure at default is either derived from balance sheet values or by using models.

For calculating the capital requirements for market risk, the >>>>internal models approach (IMA) or the standardized approach is used. We have received approval from FINMA, as well as from certain other regulators of our subsidiaries, to use our scaled >>>>value-at-risk (VaR) model in the calculation of trading book market risk capital requirements under the IMA. For further information regarding >>>>scaled VaR refer to *Risk management – Market risk*.

In addition to the Basel II requirements on VaR >>>>backtesting exceptions, FINMA imposes capital requirements for trading book market risk. In the fourth quarter of 2008, FINMA revised its requirements to impose an increase in market risk capital for every regulatory VaR backtesting exception over ten in the prior rolling twelve month period, calculated using backtesting profit and loss, a subset of actual daily trading revenues that includes only the impact of daily movements in financial market variables such as interest rates, equity prices and foreign exchange rates on the previous night's positions. In 2009, we incurred significant additional incremental capital charges on trading book market RWAs under these FINMA requirements. In 2010, we had no backtesting exceptions and our market risk capital multipliers remained at FINMA and BIS minimum levels.

We have received approval from FINMA to use the >>>>advanced measurement approach (AMA) for measuring operational risk. Under Basel II, operational risk is included in RWAs. Under the AMA for measuring operational risk, we have identified key scenarios that describe our major operational risks using an event model.

Regulatory capital

Overview

Both the Group and the Bank are subject to regulation by FINMA. The capital levels of the Group and the Bank are subject to qualitative judgments by regulators, including FINMA, about the components of capital, risk weightings and other factors. In November 2008, a decree was issued by FINMA defining new capital adequacy and leverage ratio requirements, with compliance to be phased in by 2013. The new capital adequacy target is in a range between 50% and 100% above the Pillar I requirements under Basel II. In addition, the decree includes leverage limits that require us to maintain by 2013 a leverage ratio of tier 1 capital to total assets (on a non-risk-weighted basis) of 3% at the Group and Bank consolidated level and 4% at the Bank on an unconsolidated basis. Total assets are adjusted for purposes of calculating this leverage ratio. The adjustments include assets from Swiss lending activities (excluding Swiss interbank lending), cash and balances with central banks, certain Swiss franc >>>>reverse repurchase agreements and certain other assets, such as goodwill and intangible assets that are excluded in determining regulatory tier 1 capital. FINMA has indicated that it expects the appropriate size of the additional capital buffer will be impacted by market conditions, but the intention is to ensure it can accommodate the procyclical aspects of this measurement tool.

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

The leverage ratios for the Group and the Bank as of the end of 2010 were 4.4% and 4.1%, respectively, compared to 4.2% and 4.0% as the end of 2009. The improvement in the leverage ratios was primarily due to the increase in tier 1 capital.

The following table sets forth the leverage ratio of the Group and the Bank as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Leverage ratio

	Group		Bank	
end of	2010	2009	2010	2009
Tier 1 capital (CHF billion)				
Tier 1 capital	37.7	36.2	35.3	34.7
Adjusted average assets (CHF billion)				
Average assets ¹	1,065	1,047	1,041	1,026
Adjustments:				
Assets from Swiss lending activities ²	(139)	(137)	(115)	(114)
Cash and balances with central banks	(40)	(32)	(39)	(32)
Other	(28)	(19)	(27)	(15)
Adjusted average assets	858	859	860	865
Leverage ratio (%)				
Leverage ratio	4.4	4.2	4.1	4.0

1 Calculated as the average of the month-end values for the previous three calendar months. 2 Excludes Swiss interbank lending.

BIS statistics

	Group			Bank		
end of	2010	2009	% change	2010	2009	% change
Risk-weighted assets (CHF million)						
Credit risk	158,735	164,997	(4)	147,516	154,982	(5)
Non-counterparty risk	7,380	7,141	3	6,819	6,547	4
Market risk	18,925	17,458	8	18,008	17,011	6
Operational risk	33,662	32,013	5	33,663	32,013	5
Risk-weighted assets	218,702	221,609	(1)	206,006	210,553	(2)
Eligible capital (CHF million)						
Total shareholders' equity	33,282	37,517	(11)	27,783	31,228	(11)
Goodwill and intangible assets	(9,320)	(10,140)	(8)	(8,166)	(8,983)	(9)

Qualifying noncontrolling interests	3,350	1,742	92	4,373	4,762	(8)
Capital deductions 50% from tier 1 ¹	(1,088)	(837)	30	(1,037)	(779)	33
Other adjustments ²	403	(4,273)	–	1,768	(3,150)	–
Core tier 1 capital¹	26,627	24,009	11	24,721	23,078	7
Hybrid instruments ³	11,098	12,198	(9)	10,589	11,617	(9)
Tier 1 capital	37,725	36,207	4	35,310	34,695	2
Upper tier 2	1,128	1,989	(43)	1,713	2,681	(36)
Lower tier 2	10,034	8,369	20	11,583	9,723	19
Capital deductions 50% from tier 2	(1,088)	(837)	30	(1,037)	(779)	33
Tier 2 capital	10,074	9,521	6	12,259	11,625	5
Total eligible capital	47,799	45,728	5	47,569	46,320	3
Capital ratios (%)						
Core tier 1 ratio ¹	12.2	10.8	–	12.0	11.0	–
Tier 1 ratio	17.2	16.3	–	17.1	16.5	–
Total capital ratio	21.9	20.6	–	23.1	22.0	–

1 The methodology for calculating the core tier 1 capital and the core tier 1 ratio was revised in January 2011, whereby "Capital deductions 50% from tier 1" was reclassified from tier 1 capital into core tier 1 capital. 2 Includes cumulative fair value adjustments on Credit Suisse debt, net of tax, anticipated but not yet declared dividends, the net long position in own treasury shares in the trading book and an adjustment for the accounting treatment of pension plans. 3 Non-cumulative perpetual preferred securities and capital notes. FINMA has advised that the Group and the Bank may continue to include as tier 1 capital CHF 1.1 billion and CHF 3.1 billion, respectively, in 2010 (2009: CH 1.7 billion and CHF 4.4 billion) of equity from special purpose entities that are deconsolidated under US GAAP. Hybrid tier 1 capital represented 28.6% and 29.1%, respectively, in 2010 (2009: 32.9% and 32.7%) of the Group's and the Bank's adjusted tier 1 capital. Under the decree with FINMA, a maximum of 35% of tier 1 capital can be in the form of these hybrid capital instruments.

Tier 1 capital			
end of	2010	2009	% change
Tier 1 capital (CHF million)			
Balance at beginning of period	36,207	34,208	6
Net income	5,098	6,724	(24)
Adjustments for fair value gains/(losses) reversed for regulatory purposes, net of tax	466	425	10
Foreign exchange impact on tier 1 capital	(2,799)	(456)	–

Recategorization of hybrid instruments in tier 1	0	339	(100)
Other ¹	(1,247)	(5,033)	(75)
Balance at end of period	37,725	36,207	4

¹ Reflects the issuance and redemption of tier 1 capital, the dividend accrual, the effect of share-based compensation (including the purchase of treasury shares to satisfy obligations under share-based plans) and the change in regulatory deductions.

Group

Our BIS tier 1 ratio was 17.2% as of the end of 2010, compared to 16.3% as of the end of 2009. The increase in the tier 1 ratio reflected the 4% increase in tier 1 capital. Our core tier 1 ratio was 12.2% as of the end of 2010, compared to 10.8% as of the end of 2009. For the development of RWAs and capital ratios, refer to the chart “Risk-weighted assets and capital ratios (Basel II)”.

RWAs decreased CHF 2.9 billion to CHF 218.7 billion as of the end of 2010, reflecting a significant foreign exchange translation impact of CHF 14.8 billion substantially offset by increases in credit risk, market risk and operational risk. The increase in credit risk, excluding the foreign exchange translation impact, was primarily driven by increases in Investment Banking that resulted from higher levels of counterparty-related exposures from growth in our client-focused business, partially offset by reductions in exit business. The market risk increase was driven by generally higher levels of exposures particularly in >>>residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and credit products. Operational risk increased over the period reflecting higher loss severity parameters, including for litigation. For further information on RWAs by division, refer to the table “Risk-weighted assets by division”.

Tier 1 capital increased 4%, from CHF 36.2 billion as of the end of 2009 to CHF 37.7 billion as of the end of 2010. The increase was driven by net income (excluding the impact of fair value gains/(losses) on Credit Suisse debt, net of tax) and the issuance of USD 1.5 billion in tier 1 capital, partially offset by foreign exchange translation impacts, the dividend accrual, the effect of share-based compensation (including the purchase of treasury shares to satisfy obligations under share-based plans), the redemption of two hybrid tier 1 instruments and the increased regulatory deductions. Total eligible capital increased 5%, from CHF 45.7 billion as of the end of 2009 to CHF 47.8 billion as of the end of 2010. Tier 2 capital increased from CHF 9.5 billion to CHF 10.1 billion due to the issuances of USD 2.5 billion and CHF 200 million lower tier 2 notes partially offset by foreign exchange translation impacts, the reduction of the excess in eligible credit provisions over regulatory expected losses and regulatory amortization of lower tier 2 instruments.

Our total capital ratio was 21.9% as of the end of 2010, an increase from 20.6% as of the end of 2009, primarily reflecting the decrease in RWAs and an increase in total eligible capital.

For further information, refer to the table “BIS statistics”.

Bank

The Bank’s BIS tier 1 ratio was 17.1% as of the end of 2010, an increase from 16.5% as of the end of 2009. The increase in the tier 1 ratio reflected the 2% decrease in RWAs and the 2% increase in tier 1 capital. The Bank’s core tier 1 ratio was 12.0% as of the end of 2010, compared to 11.0% as of the end of 2009.

RWAs decreased CHF 4.5 billion to CHF 206.0 billion as of the end of 2010 and the Bank’s tier 1 capital increased

from CHF 34.7 billion as of the end of 2009 to CHF 35.3 billion as of the end of 2010. The Bank's consolidated total eligible capital increased from CHF 46.3 billion as of the end of 2009 to CHF 47.6 billion as of the end of 2010.

The total capital ratio was 23.1% as of the end of 2010, an increase from 22.0% as of the end of 2009, primarily reflecting the stronger capital base.

The business of the Bank is substantially the same as the business of the Group. The trends for the Bank under Basel II are consistent with those for the Group.

The "Risk-weighted assets" chart illustrates the main types of balance sheet positions and off-balance sheet exposures that translate into market, credit, operational and non-counterparty-risk RWAs. Market risk RWAs reflect the capital requirements of potential changes in the fair values of financial instruments in response to market movements inherent in both the balance sheet and the off-balance sheet items. Credit risk RWAs reflect the capital requirements for the possibility of a loss being incurred as the result of a borrower or counterparty failing to meet its financial obligations or as a result of a deterioration in the credit quality of the borrower or counterparty. Operational risk RWAs reflect the capital requirements for the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Non-counterparty-risk RWAs primarily reflect the capital requirements for our premises and equipment.

It is not the nominal size, but the nature (including >>>risk mitigation such as collateral or hedges) of the balance sheet positions or off-balance sheet exposures that determines the RWAs. For further information, refer to *Regulatory capital developments and proposals*.

Risk-weighted assets by division

end of	2010	2009	% change
Risk-weighted assets by division (CHF million)			
Private Banking	63,588	60,479	5
Investment Banking	131,233	136,116	(4)
Asset Management	13,544	14,549	(7)
Corporate Center	10,337	10,465	(1)
Risk-weighted assets	218,702	221,609	(1)

Excludes additional risk-weighted asset equivalents attributable to the segments that are deducted from Group tier 1 capital.

Capital issuances

Our capital position remained strong during 2010. As of December 31, 2010, we had CHF 11.1 billion of tier 1 capital hybrid instruments, of which CHF 2.9 billion were innovative instruments, and CHF 3.4 billion of qualifying noncontrolling interests, of which CHF 3.2 billion were core tier 1 capital securities secured by participation securities issued by the Bank.

In February 2011, we entered into definitive agreements with affiliates and related parties of Qatar Investment Authority and The Olayan Group (the Investors) to issue an aggregate of CHF 5.9 billion Tier 1 Buffer Capital Notes (Tier 1 BCNs). Under the agreements, the Investors will purchase USD 3.45 billion Tier 1 BCNs and CHF 2.5 billion

Tier 1 BCNs either for cash or in exchange for their holdings of USD 3.45 billion 11% Tier 1 Capital Notes and CHF 2.5 billion 10% Tier 1 Capital Notes issued in 2008 (Tier 1 Capital Notes). The purchase or exchange will occur no earlier than October 23, 2013, the first call date of the Tier 1 Capital Notes, and is subject to the implementation of Swiss regulations requiring us to maintain buffer capital, confirmation from our primary regulator FINMA that the Tier 1 BCNs will be eligible buffer capital and additional tier 1 capital, as well as receipt of all required consents and approvals from our regulators and shareholders, including approval of additional conditional capital or conversion capital. We will therefore not receive any cash or Tier 1 Capital Notes for the Tier 1 BCNs from the Investors before such time. We have worked closely with FINMA in structuring the terms of the Tier 1 BCNs so as to ensure they will qualify as contingent convertible buffer capital. In addition to the Tier 1 Capital Notes, Qatar Investment Authority and The Olayan Group have significant holdings of our shares and other financial products. The Tier 1 BCNs will be converted into our ordinary shares if our reported common equity tier 1 (CET1) ratio, as determined under BCBS regulations as the end of any calendar quarter, falls below 7% (or any lower applicable minimum threshold), unless FINMA, at our request, has agreed on or prior to the publication of our quarterly results that actions, circumstances or events have restored, or will imminently restore, the ratio to above the applicable threshold. The conversion price will be the higher of a floor price of USD 20/CHF 20 per share (subject to customary adjustments) or the daily volume weighted average sale price of our ordinary shares over a five day period preceding the notice of conversion. The Tier 1 BCNs will also be converted if FINMA determines that we require public sector capital support to prevent us from becoming insolvent, bankrupt or unable to pay a material amount of our debts, or other similar circumstances. The Tier 1 BCNs are deeply subordinated, perpetual and callable by us five years from the purchase or exchange (i.e., no earlier than 2018) and in certain other circumstances with FINMA approval. Interest is payable on the USD 3.45 billion Tier 1 BCNs and CHF 2.5 billion Tier 1 BCNs at fixed rates of 9.5% and 9%, respectively, and will reset after the first call date. Interest payments will generally be discretionary (unless triggered), subject to suspension in certain circumstances and non-cumulative.

In February 2011, we issued USD 2 billion 7.875% Tier 2 Buffer Capital Notes due 2041 (Tier 2 BCNs). The Tier 2 BCNs are subordinated notes and may be redeemed by the issuer at any time from August 2016. The initial coupon is reset every five years from August 2016. Interest payments will not be discretionary or deferrable. The Tier 2 BCNs will be converted into our ordinary shares if, prior to Basel III, our core tier 1 ratio falls below 7% or, under Basel III, our CET1 ratio falls below 7%. The conversion price will be the higher of a floor price of USD 20 per share (subject to customary adjustments) or the daily volume weighted average sale price of our ordinary shares over a 30-day period preceding the notice of conversion. The Tier 2 BCNs will also be converted if FINMA determines that we require public sector capital support to prevent us from becoming insolvent, bankrupt or unable to pay a material amount of our debts, or other similar circumstances.

Capital structure – Basel II “Swiss finish”

Tier 1 capital

Tier 1 capital in aggregate consists of shareholders' equity, qualifying noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries and hybrid tier 1 capital. The components of tier 1 capital are determined by FINMA.

Qualifying noncontrolling interests include common shares in majority-owned and consolidated banking and finance subsidiaries as well as participation securities of the Bank issued to a third-party SPE (tier 1 capital securities). The third-party SPE issued perpetual, non-cumulative notes secured by the tier 1 capital securities of the Bank and preferred securities issued by a subsidiary of the Group that are guaranteed on a subordinated basis by the Bank. Payments of dividends on the tier 1 capital securities and preferred securities are subject to adequacy of distributable profits, no regulatory prohibition on payments on the tier 1 capital securities or the preferred securities and compliance with capital adequacy and liquidity requirements. The redemption of the tier 1 capital securities or the preferred securities is subject to capital adequacy, solvency and prior approval of FINMA.

Hybrid tier 1 instruments include preferred securities, which are issued by SPEs, and capital notes issued directly by the Bank. These hybrid tier 1 instruments are unsecured, perpetual, non-cumulative, deeply subordinated instruments senior only to common shares and qualifying noncontrolling interests. We are obligated to pay interest or dividends on hybrid tier 1 instruments only if we pay dividends on common shares and qualifying noncontrolling interests. These hybrid tier 1 instruments are risk-bearing on a comparable basis with common shares and qualifying noncontrolling interests and can, up to a 15% limit, have step-ups in conjunction with call options only after a minimum of five years from the issue date. Payment of interest or dividends on these instruments is subject to adequacy of distributable profits, compliance with capital adequacy requirements and solvency. The redemption of these instruments is subject to capital adequacy, solvency and prior approval of FINMA.

Hybrid tier 1 capital instruments are subject to a limit of 50% of tier 1 capital. The following categories and maximum values determine the extent to which these hybrid instruments can be attributed to tier 1 capital:

- A maximum of 15% of tier 1 capital can be in the form of “innovative instruments” that either have a fixed maturity or an incentive to repay, such as a step-up in the coupon if the instrument is not redeemed when callable.
- A maximum of 35% of tier 1 capital, less the instruments subject to the 15% limit, can be in the form of other hybrid capital instruments that have no fixed maturity and no incentive for repayment.
- A maximum of 50% of tier 1 capital, less the instruments subject to the 15% and 35% limits, can be in the form of instruments that include a predefined mechanism that converts them into tier 1 capital, such as mandatory convertible bonds convertible into common shares.

To derive eligible tier 1 capital, certain deductions are made from tier 1 capital, as follows:

- goodwill and other intangible assets;
- participations in insurance entities, investments in certain bank and finance entities, and certain securitization exposures (equally deducted from tier 1 and tier 2 capital); and
- other adjustments, including cumulative fair value adjustments on Credit Suisse debt, net of tax, anticipated but not yet declared dividends, the net long position in own treasury shares in the trading book and an adjustment for the accounting treatment of pension plans.

Tier 2 capital

Tier 2 capital consists of upper and lower tier 2 instruments. Upper tier 2 instruments are unsecured, perpetual, subordinated instruments that are senior only to tier 1 instruments. Interest payments are deferrable, but we are obligated to pay interest (including deferred interest) on these upper tier 2 instruments if we pay dividends on tier 1 capital or on redemption. These upper tier 2 instruments can have moderate step-ups in conjunction with call options only after a minimum of five years from the issue date. The redemption of these instruments is subject to solvency. Upper tier 2 capital also includes any excess in eligible provisions over expected losses (up to a maximum amount of 0.6% of the risk-weighted positions) for exposures subject to the credit risk A-IRB.

Lower tier 2 instruments are unsecured, subordinated instruments that are senior only to tier 1 instruments and upper tier 2 instruments and have a maturity on issuance of at least five years. Lower tier 2 capital eligibility is subject to regulatory amortization over the five years prior to redemption.

Shareholders' equity

Group

Our total shareholders' equity decreased CHF 4.2 billion from the end of 2009 to CHF 33.3 billion as of the end of 2010. The decrease in total shareholders' equity reflected a decrease in other comprehensive income, due to the significant adverse impact of foreign exchange-related movements on cumulative translation adjustments, the cash dividend for 2009, the reduction in retained earnings as a result of the consolidation of Alpine Securitization Corp. on January 1, 2010 under US GAAP rules and the effect of share-based compensation (including the purchase of treasury shares to satisfy obligations under share-based plans), partially offset by net income. For further information on the Group's shareholders' equity, refer to the *Consolidated statements of changes in equity in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Bank

The Bank's total shareholder's equity decreased CHF 3.4 billion from the end of 2009 to CHF 27.8 billion as of the end of 2010. The Bank's business and trends under Basel II are consistent with those for the Group. For further information on the Bank's shareholder's equity, refer to the *Consolidated statements of changes in equity in VII – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse (Bank)*.

Capital

end of	Group			Bank		
	2010	2009	% change	2010	2009	% change
Shares outstanding (million)						
Common shares issued	1,186.1	1,185.4	0	44.0	44.0	0
Treasury shares	(12.2)	(16.2)	(25)	–	–	–
Shares outstanding	1,173.9	1,169.2	0	44.0	44.0	0
Par value (CHF)						
Par value	0.04	0.04	0	100.00	100.00	0
Shareholders' equity (CHF million)						
Common shares	47	47	0	4,400	4,400	0
Additional paid-in capital	23,026	24,706	(7)	24,026	24,299	(1)
Retained earnings	25,316	25,258	0	10,068	11,422	(12)
Treasury shares, at cost	(552)	(856)	(36)	0	(487) ₁	100
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)	(14,555)	(11,638)	25	(10,711)	(8,406)	27
Total shareholders' equity	33,282	37,517	(11)	27,783	31,228	(11)
Goodwill	(8,585)	(9,267)	(7)	(7,450)	(8,132)	(8)
Other intangible assets	(312)	(328)	(5)	(304)	(318)	(4)
Tangible shareholders' equity²	24,385	27,922	(13)	20,029	22,778	(12)
Book value per share (CHF)						
Total book value per share	28.35	32.09	(12)	631.43	709.73	(11)
Goodwill per share	(7.31)	(7.93)	(8)	(169.32)	(184.82)	(8)

Other intangible assets per share	(0.27)	(0.28)	(4)	(6.91)	(7.23)	(4)
Tangible book value per share	20.77	23.88	(13)	455.20	517.68	(12)

1 Reflects Group shares held to economically hedge share award obligations. 2 Tangible shareholders' equity is calculated by deducting goodwill and other intangible assets from total shareholders' equity. Management believes that tangible shareholders' equity and tangible book value per share are meaningful as they are measures used and relied upon by industry analysts and investors to assess valuations and capital adequacy.

Regulatory capital developments and proposals

In December 2010, the BCBS issued the Basel III framework, with higher minimum capital requirements and new conservation and countercyclical buffers, revised risk-based capital measures, a leverage ratio and the liquidity standards discussed in *Liquidity and funding management*. The framework is designed to strengthen the resilience of the banking sector. The new capital standards and capital buffers will require banks to hold more capital, mainly in the form of common equity. The new capital standards will be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2019. The BCBS agreement was adopted by the >>>G-20 nations in November 2010. Each G-20 nation will now need to implement the rules, and stricter or different requirements may be adopted by any G-20 nation.

Under Basel III, the minimum tier 1 common equity ratio will increase from 2% to 4.5% and will be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2015. This tier 1 common equity ratio will have certain regulatory deductions or other adjustments to common equity that will be phased in from January 1, 2014 through January 1, 2018 including deduction of deferred tax assets for tax-loss carryforwards and adjustments for unfunded pension liabilities. In addition, increases in the tier 1 capital ratio from 4% to 6% will be phased in from January 1, 2013 through January 1, 2015.

Basel III also introduces an additional 2.5% tier 1 common equity requirement, known as a capital conservation buffer, to absorb losses in periods of financial and economic stress. Banks that do not maintain this buffer will be limited in their ability to pay dividends or make discretionary bonus payments or other earnings distributions. The new capital conservation buffer will be phased in from January 1, 2016 through January 1, 2019. Refer to the chart "Comparison of capital requirements framework".

Basel III also provides for a countercyclical buffer that could require banks to hold up to an additional 2.5% of common equity or other capital that would be available to fully absorb losses. This requirement is expected to be imposed only rarely by national regulatory authorities based on credit exposure and certain other circumstances. Both the amount and the implementation of the countercyclical buffer require action by national regulatory authorities.

Capital instruments that no longer qualify as non-common tier 1 capital or tier 2 capital will be phased out over a 10-year period beginning January 1, 2013. In addition, instruments with an incentive to redeem prior to their stated maturity, if any, will be phased out at their effective maturity date, generally the date of the first step up coupon. Refer to the table "BCBS Basel III phase-in arrangements".

BCBS Basel III phase-in arrangements

January 1	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
-----------	------	------	------	------	------	------	------

Basel III phase-in arrangements

Minimum common equity capital ratio	3.5% ¹	4.0% ¹	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%	4.5%
Capital conservation buffer				0.625% ¹	1.25% ¹	1.875% ¹	2.5%
Minimum common equity plus capital conservation buffer	3.5% ¹	4.0% ¹	4.5% ¹	5.125% ¹	5.75% ¹	6.375% ¹	7.0%
Phase-in deductions from common equity tier 1 ²		20.0% ¹	40.0% ¹	60.0% ¹	80.0% ¹	100.0%	100.0%
Minimum tier 1 capital	4.5% ¹	5.5% ¹	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%	6.0%
Minimum total capital	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%
Minimum total capital plus conservation buffer	8.0%	8.0%	8.0%	8.625% ¹	9.25% ¹	9.875% ¹	10.5%
Capital instruments that no longer qualify as non-core tier 1 capital or tier 2 capital	Phased out over ten-year horizon beginning 2013						

Source: BCBS.

1 Indicates transition period. 2 Includes amounts exceeding the limit for deferred tax assets and participations in financial institutions.

In early October 2010, the Expert Commission appointed by the Swiss Federal Council released its report with recommendations on how to address the “Too Big to Fail” issues relating to big banks. The recommendations include capital and liquidity requirements and proposals regarding risk diversification and emergency plans designed to maintain systemically important functions even in the event of threatened insolvency. The recommendations on capital requirements build on Basel III, but go beyond its minimum standards and the current “Swiss finish”. In December 2010, the Swiss Federal Council made a submission for legislative proposals to amend the Banking Act in 2011 based on the report by the Expert Commission. The consultation period will last until March 23, 2011. The Swiss Parliament is expected to consider many of the recommendations beginning in June 2011.

The Expert Commission proposes to add a capital buffer to the Basel III minimum requirements equal to 8.5% of RWAs, which would consist of at least 5.5% in the form of common equity and up to 3% in the form of contingent capital with a high trigger. A high trigger means the bonds could be required to convert into common equity in the event the common equity tier 1 ratio falls below an agreed threshold. The Expert Commission also proposes to add a progressive capital component equal to 6% of RWAs, which could consist entirely of contingent capital with lower triggers or other qualifying instruments. The qualifying terms of contingent capital will need to be determined by FINMA in accordance with Swiss law.

The Expert Commission recommended that the Swiss capital requirements track the phase-in of the Basel III requirements. If enacted into law, the Group and the Bank would be required to have common equity of at least 10% of RWAs and contingent capital or other qualifying capital of another 9% of RWAs by January 1, 2019. These recommended requirements may change depending on the market share and size of the big banks and the terms of the requirements enacted into law by the Swiss Parliament. Assuming the Expert Commission's recommendations become law, Credit Suisse believes that it can meet the new requirements within the prescribed time frame by building capital through earnings and by issuing contingent capital or other instruments that qualify for the buffer and progressive capital components.

In February 2011, we entered into definitive agreements to issue an aggregate of CHF 5.9 billion Tier 1 BCNs for cash or in exchange for Tier 1 Capital Notes issued in 2008. The purchase or exchange will occur no earlier than October 2013, the first call date of the Tier 1 Capital Notes. The Tier 1 BCNs will be converted into our ordinary shares if our reported CET1 ratio falls below 7%. In February 2011, we issued USD 2 billion of Tier 2 BCN. The Tier 2 BCNs will be converted into our ordinary shares if, prior to Basel III, our core tier 1 ratio falls below 7% or, under Basel III, our CET1 ratio falls below 7%. For more information, refer to *Capital management – Capital issuances*.

In June 2010, the BCBS announced its decision to postpone the implementation of the revisions to the Basel II market risk framework (Basel II.5) to no later than December 31, 2011. On November 10, 2010, the Swiss Federal Council decided to follow the proposal of FINMA and implement the revisions to the Basel II market risk framework for FINMA regulatory capital purposes by the original implementation date of January 2011. The implementation of the Basel II.5 revisions would have increased our RWAs as of the end of 2010 by approximately CHF 29 billion and reduced our tier 1 capital as of the end of 2010 by approximately CHF 2.5 billion, resulting in a tier 1 ratio of 14.2% under Basel II.5 on January 1, 2011. We expect the combined Basel II.5 and Basel III revisions to increase our RWAs to approximately CHF 400 billion on January 1, 2013, before mitigation. We expect to mitigate this increase by reducing RWAs by approximately CHF 50 billion to CHF 70 billion in exit businesses, structured products, emerging markets and derivatives.

The following tables present simulations of the Group's core tier 1 ratio as of the end of 2011 under Basel II.5 and the Group's CET1 ratio as of January 1, 2013.

Assumptions and data used in the calculation of our projected core tier 1 ratio as of December 31, 2010 (the 2011 core tier 1 ratio) and our projected CET1 ratio as of January 1, 2013 (the 2013 CET1 ratio) are based on our current expectations and forecasts about future events and analyst consensus forecasts in the case of earnings and dividends. The information presented in the tables is subject to significant uncertainties that could cause our actual 2011 core tier 1 ratio or 2013 CET1 ratio to differ. These uncertainties include, among other things, the growth of our business and our future earnings, expected dividend payments, regulatory changes (including possible changes in regulatory capital, definitions and calculations), our ability to mitigate RWAs in exit business, structured products, emerging markets and derivatives and, more generally, the factors and uncertainties described in *IX – Additional information – Risk factors*. Any one or more of these factors could cause our actual core tier 1 ratio or CET1 ratio to differ materially from those presented in the tables. In addition, the projected 2011 core tier 1 ratio and 2013 CET1 ratio were derived in a modeling process based on certain additional underlying assumptions regarding the final rules that are expected to be adopted by FINMA regarding the implementation of Basel II.5 and Basel III, which may prove to be incorrect.

Additional uncertainties for the phase-in for Basel III capital deductions beginning in 2014 include our ability to utilize deferred tax assets on net operating losses.

Share repurchase activities

The Swiss Code of Obligations limits a corporation's ability to hold or repurchase its own shares. We may only repurchase shares if we have sufficient free reserves to pay the purchase price, and if the aggregate nominal value of the repurchased shares does not exceed 10% of our nominal share capital. Furthermore, we must create a special reserve in our parent company financial statements in the amount of the purchase price of the acquired shares. In our consolidated financial statements, own shares are recorded at cost and reported as treasury shares, resulting in a reduction in total shareholders' equity. Shares repurchased by us do not carry any voting rights at shareholders' meetings. For information on possible restrictions on share repurchase activities and programs under the Basel III capital framework, refer to *Regulatory capital developments and proposals*.

We repurchase shares as part of our market-making commitments, for the purpose of satisfying our obligations under our employee compensation plans or for cancellation.

We purchased 569.5 million common shares and sold or re-issued 526.9 million common shares in 2010, predominantly for market-making purposes and facilitating customer orders. As of December 31, 2010, the Group held 12.2 million treasury shares. For further information, refer to the table "Capital" and the *Consolidated statements of changes in equity in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Purchases and sales of treasury shares

In million, except where indicated	Number of shares	Average price per share in CHF
2010		
January	41.8	50.86
February	54.0	46.51
March	52.7	52.50
April	82.3	52.96
May	55.9	47.01
June	45.9	44.55
July	74.7	44.99
August	22.7	47.49
September	44.4	45.77
October	33.2	42.84
November	29.8	42.04
December	32.1	39.44
Total purchase of treasury shares	569.5 ¹	–
Total sale of treasury shares	526.9	–

1 Predominantly for market-making purposes and facilitating customer orders.

Dividends and dividend policy

Under the Swiss Code of Obligations, dividends may be paid out only if and to the extent the corporation has distributable profits from previous business years, or if the free reserves of the corporation are sufficient to allow distribution of a dividend. In addition, at least 5% of the annual net profits must be retained and booked as general legal reserves for so long as these reserves amount to less than 20% of the paid-in share capital. Our reserves currently exceed this 20% threshold. Furthermore, dividends may be paid out only after shareholder approval at the Annual General Meeting (AGM). The Board of Directors may propose that a dividend be paid out, but cannot itself set the dividend. The auditors must confirm that the dividend proposal of the Board of Directors conforms to statutory law. In practice, the shareholders usually approve the dividend proposal of the Board of Directors. Dividends are usually due and payable after the shareholders' resolution relating to the allocation of profits has been passed. Under the Swiss Code of Obligations, the statute of limitations in respect of claiming the payment of dividends that have been declared is five years. For information on possible dividend restrictions under the Basel III regulatory capital framework, refer to *Regulatory capital developments and proposals*.

Our dividend payment policy seeks to provide investors with a stable and efficient form of capital distribution relative to earnings. Going forward, we expect to gradually grow the dividend per share amount over time as we build capital reserves. Dividend payments in 2010, for 2009, were comprised of a cash dividend of CHF 2.00 per share.

Our Board of Directors will propose a distribution of CHF 1.30 per share out of reserves from capital contributions to the shareholders for 2010 at the AGM on April 29, 2011. The distribution is subject to shareholder approval at the AGM. Due to a change in Swiss tax law that came into force in January 2011, the distribution will be free of Swiss withholding tax and will not be subject to income tax for Swiss resident individuals holding the shares as a private investment. For further information, refer to *Proposed appropriation of retained earnings and capital distributions – Proposed distribution against reserves from capital contributions in VI – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

The subsidiaries of the Group are generally subject to legal restrictions on the amount of dividends they can pay. The amount of dividends paid by operating subsidiaries is determined after consideration of the expectations for future results and growth of the operating businesses.

Dividend per ordinary share

end of	USD ¹	CHF
Dividend per ordinary share		
2009	1.78	2.00
2008	0.10	0.10
2007	2.40	2.50
2006 ²	2.20	2.70
2005	1.65	2.00

1 Represents the distribution on each American depositary share. For further information, refer to www.credit-suisse.com/dividend. 2 Distribution consisted of a dividend of CHF 2.24 (USD 1.82) and a par value reduction of CHF 0.46 (USD 0.38) as approved on May 4, 2007 for the financial year 2006.

Economic capital

Overview

Economic capital is used as a consistent and comprehensive tool for risk management, capital management and performance measurement. Economic capital measures risks in terms of economic realities rather than regulatory or accounting rules and is the estimated capital needed to remain solvent and in business, even under extreme market, business and operational conditions, given our target financial strength (our long-term credit rating).

Under Pillar II of the Basel II framework (also referred to as the Supervisory Review Process), banks are required to implement a robust and comprehensive framework for assessing capital adequacy, defining internal capital targets and ensuring that these capital targets are consistent with their overall risk profile and the current operating environment. Our economic capital framework has an important role under Pillar II, as it represents our internal view of the amount of capital required to support our business activities.

Economic capital is calculated separately for position risk, operational risk and other risks. These three risks are used to determine our utilized economic capital and are defined as follows:

- Position risk: the level of unexpected loss in economic value on our portfolio of positions over a one-year horizon which is exceeded with a given small probability (1% for risk management purposes; 0.03% for capital management purposes);
- Operational risk: the level of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events over a one-year horizon which is exceeded with a given small probability (0.03%). Estimating this type of economic capital is inherently more subjective, and reflects both quantitative tools as well as senior management judgment; and
- Other risks: the risks not captured by the above, which primarily includes expense risk, pension risk, foreign exchange risk between economic capital resources and utilized economic capital and risk on real estate held for own use. Expense risk is defined as the difference between expenses and revenues in a severe market event, exclusive of the elements captured by position risk and operational risk. Pension risk is defined as the potential under-funding of our pension obligations in an extreme event.

We regularly review the economic capital methodology in order to ensure that the model remains relevant as markets and business strategies evolve. The 99% position risk methodology (for risk management purposes) has been enhanced over the year. For further information refer to *Risk management – Economic capital and position risk*.

For 99.97% position risk (for capital management purposes) we reduced the severity of the confidence level scaling factors for international lending & counterparty exposures, traded credit within fixed income trading and commercial real estate risk following last year's changes in methodology and parameters for 99% position risk. The impact of the position risk enhancements was partially mitigated by a reduction within other risks of the estimate for the impact of planned methodology changes. In addition, other risks was impacted by a number of refinements to the modeling of pension risk, primarily the inclusion of inflation risk, the shock severity for certain owned real estate assets was increased, and the economic benefit that arises in a crisis on our short equity position in own shares as a result of deferred share-based compensation awards is now reflected within the framework. Compared to 2009, we no longer include fair value gains and losses on own debt in economic adjustments within economic capital resources. Prior

period balances have been restated for methodology changes in order to show meaningful trends. The total impacts of methodology changes on 2009 utilized economic capital and economic capital resources for the Group were decreases of CHF 339 million, or 1%, and CHF 1,074 million, or 3%, respectively, and a reduction in the economic capital coverage ratio as of the end of 2009 to 132% from 134%.

There are a number of other planned revisions to Basel II market risk over the coming years, such as an incremental charge to capture default risk on trading book assets. These changes already form part of our economic capital framework, and we do not expect material future impacts to our economic capital from these changes. We are assessing further implications of the BCBS proposals on the economic capital framework. For further information, refer to *I – Information on the company – Regulation and supervision and Capital management Regulatory capital developments and proposals*.

Economic capital

end of			Group		Bank ₁	
	2010	2009	% change	2010	2009	% change
Economic capital resources (CHF million)						
Tier 1 capital	37,725	36,207	4	35,310	34,695	2
Economic adjustments ²	3,223	3,898	(17)	1,803	4,597	(61)
Economic capital resources	40,948	40,105	2	37,113	39,292	(6)
Utilized economic capital (CHF million)						
Position risk (99.97% confidence level)	20,783	22,921	(9)	20,112	22,184	(9)
Operational risk	2,936	2,812	4	2,936	2,812	4
Other risks ³	5,578	4,620	21	4,602	3,491	32
Utilized economic capital	29,297	30,353	(3)	27,650	28,487	(3)
Economic capital coverage ratio (%)						
Economic capital coverage ratio⁴	140	132	–	134	138	–

Prior economic capital balances have been restated for methodology changes in order to show meaningful trends.

1 The major difference between economic capital of the Group and the Bank relates to the risks within Clariden Leu, Neue Aargauer Bank, BANK-now and Corporate Center. These risks include position and other risks. 2 Primarily includes anticipated dividends and unrealized gains on owned real estate.

Economic adjustments are made to tier 1 capital to enable comparison between capital utilization and resources. 3 Includes owned real estate risk, expense risk, pension risk, foreign exchange risk between economic capital resources and utilized economic capital, interest rate risk on treasury positions, diversification benefit and an estimate for the impacts of certain methodology changes planned for 2011. 4 Ratio between economic capital resources and utilized economic capital.

Economic capital by segment

end of	2010	2009	% change
Utilized economic capital by segment (CHF million)			
Private Banking	6,373	6,145	4
Investment Banking	18,572	19,644	(5)
Asset Management	3,276	3,329	(2)
Corporate Center ¹	1,092	1,251	(13)
Utilized economic capital - Group ²	29,297	30,353	(3)
Utilized economic capital - Bank ³	27,650	28,487	(3)
Average utilized economic capital by segment (CHF million)			
Private Banking	6,493	6,151	6
Investment Banking	20,364	20,202	1
Asset Management	3,439	3,388	2
Corporate Center	1,113	(31)	–
Average utilized economic capital - Group ⁴	31,392	29,693	6
Average utilized economic capital - Bank ³	29,685	29,169	2

Prior economic capital balances have been restated for methodology changes in order to show meaningful trends.

1 Includes primarily expense risk diversification benefits from the divisions, expense risk and foreign exchange risk between economic capital resources and utilized economic capital. 2 Includes a diversification benefit of CHF 16 million in 2010 and 2009. 3 The major difference between economic capital of the Group and the Bank relates to the risks within Clariden Leu, Neue Aargauer Bank, BANK-now and Corporate Center. These risks include position and other risks. 4 Includes a diversification benefit of CHF 17 million in 2010 and 2009.

Utilized economic capital trends

Over the course of 2010, our utilized economic capital decreased 3%, partially due to the US dollar translation impact. Excluding the US dollar translation impact, utilized economic capital decreased 1%, mainly due to reductions in position risk, mostly offset by increased pension risk associated with Credit Suisse defined benefit pension plans and interest rate risk on treasury positions.

For Private Banking, utilized economic capital increased 4%, due to higher pension risk associated with Credit Suisse defined benefit pension plans and owned real estate risk, partially offset by reduced position risk for private banking corporate & retail lending and a reduction within other risks of the estimate for the impact of planned methodology changes.

For Investment Banking, utilized economic capital decreased 5%, largely due to the US dollar translation impact. Excluding the US dollar translation impact, utilized economic capital decreased 2%, due to reductions in most position risk categories, partially offset by increases within other risks. Other risks increased due to a higher estimate for the impact of planned methodology changes, partially offset by higher economic benefits in relation to our deferred share-based compensation awards.

For Asset Management, utilized economic capital decreased 2%, primarily due to the US dollar translation impact. Excluding the US dollar translation impact, utilized economic capital increased 1%, primarily due to higher allocated interest rate risk on treasury positions, partially offset by lower equity trading & investments position risk.

Corporate Center utilized economic capital decreased 13% due to lower foreign exchange risk between utilized economic capital and economic capital resources.

Economic capital resources and coverage ratio

We use the economic capital framework to provide a reference point for a structured internal assessment of our solvency. Our solvency assessment is performed by comparing the economic capital required to support the current risk profile (utilized economic capital) with the amount of economic capital available to absorb losses (economic capital resources). We define economic capital coverage ratio as the ratio between economic capital resources and utilized economic capital. Economic capital resources are defined as reported tier 1 capital net of economic adjustments required to provide consistency with economic capital. Our economic capital resources represent a bridge between accounting-based tier 1 capital and the economic capital framework, allowing for meaningful comparison between capital needs and resources.

For the year ended December 31, 2010, these economic adjustments totaled CHF 3.2 billion, primarily reflecting dividends accrued but not paid of CHF 1.5 billion and unrealized gains on owned real estate of CHF 0.8 billion. Accrued dividends are not part of our tier 1 capital, but provide a significant capital buffer in a crisis. Similarly, we believe that the unrealized gains on owned real estate were capital resources that would be available to us notwithstanding that they do not qualify as tier 1 capital.

The economic capital coverage ratio increased 8 percentage points from 132% to 140% during 2010, primarily reflecting reduction in utilized economic capital from lower position risks, and the increase in economic capital resources from higher tier 1 capital, partially offset by lower economic adjustments, mainly from accrued dividends. Our coverage ratio is within our target band of 110% to 140%.

Foreign exchange exposure and interest rate management

Foreign exchange risk associated with investments in branches, subsidiaries and affiliates is managed within defined parameters that create a balance between the interests of stability of capital adequacy ratios and the preservation of Swiss franc shareholders' equity. The decisions regarding these parameters are taken by CARMC and are regularly reviewed.

Foreign exchange risk associated with the nonfunctional currency net assets of branches and subsidiaries is managed through a combination of forward and backward looking hedging activity, which is aimed at reducing the foreign exchange-rate induced volatility of reported earnings.

Interest rate risk inherent in banking book activities, such as lending and deposit taking, is transferred from the divisions to Treasury, which centrally manages the interest rate exposures. Treasury also develops and maintains the models needed to determine interest rate risks of products that do not have a defined maturity, such as demand and

savings accounts. For this purpose, a replicating methodology is applied in close coordination with Risk Management to maximize stability and sustainability of spread revenues at the divisions. Further, Treasury manages the interest exposure of the Bank's equity to targets agreed with senior management.

Risk management

During 2010, our overall position risk decreased 10% compared to 2009. Excluding the US dollar translation impact, position risk decreased 2%. We received approval from FINMA to implement a revised VaR methodology that is more responsive to short-term market volatility. Under this revised methodology, average risk management VaR for our trading books decreased 20% to CHF 110 million, primarily reflecting a decline in market volatility, and period-end risk management VaR decreased 16% to CHF 87 million compared to 2009.

New regulations in the banking industry

Government leaders and regulators continued to focus on reform of the financial services industry, including capital, leverage and liquidity requirements, changes in compensation practices and systemic risk. The G-20 pledged to increase regulation and improve coordination of oversight of banks and financial institutions. For information on the liquidity principles agreed with the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA), the liquidity and capital standards under the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision (BCBS) Basel III framework, the report of the Swiss Expert Commission on "Too Big to Fail" issues relating to big banks, and the revisions to the >>>Basel II market risk framework (Basel II.5), refer to *Treasury management – Regulatory capital developments and proposals*. For information on other regulatory developments and proposals, refer to *I – Information on the company – Regulation and supervision*.

We implemented new risk measurement models, including an >>>incremental risk charge and >>>stressed VaR, to meet the Basel II.5 market risk framework for FINMA regulatory capital purposes effective January 1, 2011. The incremental risk charge is a regulatory capital charge for default and migration risk on positions in the trading books and intended to complement additional standards being applied to the VaR modelling framework, including stressed VaR. Stressed VaR replicates a VaR calculation on the Group's current portfolio taking into account a one-year observation period relating to significant financial stress and helps reducing the pro-cyclicality of the minimum capital requirements for market risk. We continued to manage risks in a controlled and disciplined manner in line with the Group's strategy.

The changes in regulatory standards are also likely to have an impact on bank risk profiles. In particular, we expect the cost of holding credit exposure in the trading book will rise, particularly for securitization positions, which will continue to increase the attractiveness of client-focused intermediation businesses over origination and warehousing activities. In addition, we expect strengthened capital requirements for >>>OTC derivatives to increase the incentives to move such exposures to centralized exchange counterparties. We expect complex, risk-based techniques and measures in the proposed regulatory framework to result in increased risk management and information technology costs and resources.

Risk management oversight

Risk governance

The prudent taking of risk in line with our strategic priorities is fundamental to our business as a leading global bank. To meet the challenges in a fast-changing industry with new market players and innovative and complex products, we continuously strengthen our risk function, which is independent of, but closely interacts with, the trading functions to ensure the appropriate flow of information. Our risk management framework is based on transparency, management accountability and independent oversight. As a consequence of the increased complexity of risks, we have defined our risk perspective broadly. Risk management plays an important role in our business planning process and is strongly supported by senior management and the Board of Directors (Board). The primary objectives of risk management are to protect our financial strength and reputation, while ensuring that capital is well deployed to support business activities and grow shareholder value. Although we have implemented comprehensive risk management processes and sophisticated control systems, we work to limit the impact of negative developments by carefully managing concentrations of risks. Further, the business mix of Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management provides a certain amount of risk diversification.

Risk organization

Risks arise in all of our business activities and cannot be completely eliminated, however, we work to manage risk in our internal control environment. Our risk management organization reflects the specific nature of the various risks in order to ensure that risks are managed within limits set in a transparent and timely manner. At the level of the Board, this includes the following responsibilities:

- Group/Bank Board: responsible to shareholders for the strategic direction, supervision and control of the Group and for defining our overall tolerance for risk;
- Risk Committee: responsible for assisting the Board in fulfilling their oversight responsibilities by providing guidance regarding risk governance and the development of the risk profile and capital adequacy, including the regular review of major risk exposures and the approval of overall risk limits; and
- Audit Committee: responsible for assisting the Board in fulfilling their oversight responsibilities by monitoring management's approach with respect to financial reporting, internal controls, accounting and legal and regulatory compliance. Additionally, the Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring the independence and the performance of the internal and external auditors.

Overall risk limits are set by the Board and its Risk Committee. On a monthly basis, CARMC reviews risk exposures, concentration risks and risk-related activities. CARMC is responsible for supervising and directing our risk profile on a consolidated basis, recommending risk limits to the Board and its Risk Committee and for establishing and allocating risk limits within the various businesses. CARMC meetings focus on the following three areas on a rotating basis: asset and liability management/liquidity, market and credit risk and operational risk/legal and compliance.

Committees are implemented at a senior management level to support risk management. The Risk Processes and Standards Committee is responsible for establishing and approving standards regarding risk management and risk measurement, including methodology and parameters. The Credit Portfolio and Provisions Review Committee reviews the quality of the credit portfolio with a focus on the development of impaired assets and the assessment of related provisions and valuation allowances. The Reputational Risk and Sustainability Committee sets policies, and reviews processes and significant cases relating to reputational risks. There are also divisional risk management committees.

The risk committees are further supported by Treasury, which is responsible for the management of our balance sheet, capital management, liquidity and related hedging policies.

The risk management function reports to the CRO, who is independent of the business and is a member of the Executive Board. In 2010, the function covered:

- Strategic Risk Management (SRM)
- Risk Analytics and Reporting (RAR)
- Credit Risk Management (CRM)
- Bank Operational Risk Oversight (BORO)
- Business Continuity Management
- Reputational Risk Management

The risk management function is responsible for providing risk management oversight and establishing an organizational basis to manage all risk management matters through four primary risk functions: SRM assesses the Group's overall risk profile on a strategic basis, recommending corrective action where necessary, and is also responsible for market risk management including measurement and limits; RAR is responsible for risk analytics, reporting, systems implementation and policies; CRM is responsible for approving credit limits, monitoring and managing individual exposures and assessing and managing the quality of credit portfolios and allowances; and BORO acts as the central hub for the divisional operational risk functions. The risk management function also addresses critical risk areas such as business continuity and reputational risk management.

Risk types

Within our risk framework, we have defined the following types of risk:

Management risks:

- Strategy risk: outcome of strategic decisions or developments; and
- Reputational risk: damage to our standing in the market.

Chosen risks:

- Market risk: changes in market factors such as prices, volatilities and correlations;
- Credit risk: changes in the creditworthiness of other entities; and
- Expense risk: difference between operating expenses and income in a crisis.

Consequential risks:

- Operational risk: inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or external events; and

– Liquidity risk: inability to fund assets or meet obligations at a reasonable price.

Management risks are difficult to quantify. While management of strategy risk is at the Board and Executive Board level, a process has been implemented to globally capture and manage reputational risk. Chosen risks are, in general, highly quantifiable, but are challenging in complexity and scale, especially when aggregated across all positions and types of financial instruments. Additionally, the traditional boundaries between market risks and credit risk have become blurred. For operational risk management, we have primarily set up processes on Group, divisional and regional levels. Liquidity management is centralized with Treasury.

Information required under Pillar 3 related to risk is available on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/pillar3.

Risk limits

A sound system of risk limits is fundamental to effective risk management. The limits define our maximum balance sheet and off-balance sheet exposure given the market environment, business strategy and financial resources available to absorb losses.

We use an economic capital limit structure to manage overall risk taking. The overall risk limits for the Group are set by the Board and its Risk Committee and are binding. Any excess of these limits will result in immediate notification to the Chairman of the Board's Risk Committee and the CEO of the Group, and written notification to the full Board at its next meeting. Following notification, the CRO can approve positions that exceed the Board limits by no more than an approved percentage with any such approval being reported to the full Board. Positions that exceed the Board limits by more than such approved percentage can only be approved by the CRO and the full Board acting jointly. In 2010 and 2009, no Board limits were exceeded.

In the context of the overall risk appetite of the Group, as defined by the limits set by the Board and its Risk Committee, CARMC is responsible for setting divisional risk limits and more specific limits deemed necessary to control the concentration of risk within individual lines of business. For this purpose, CARMC uses a detailed framework of more than 100 individual risk limits designed to control risk taking at a granular level by individual businesses and in aggregate. Limit measures used include VaR, economic capital, exposure, risk sensitivity and scenario analysis. The framework encompasses specific limits on a large number of different product and risk type concentrations. For example, there are consolidated controls over trading exposures, the mismatch of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, private equity and seed money and emerging market country exposures. Risk limits allocated to lower organizational levels within the businesses also include a system of individual counterparty credit limits. CARMC limits are binding and generally set at a tight level to ensure that any meaningful increase in risk exposures is promptly escalated. The head of SRM for the relevant division or certain other members of senior management have the authority to temporarily increase the CARMC limits by an approved percentage for a period not to exceed 90 days. Any CARMC limit excess is subject to a formal escalation procedure and must be remediated or expressly approved by senior management. Senior management approval is valid for a standard period of ten days (or fewer than ten days for certain limit types) and approval has to be renewed for additional standard periods if an excess is not remediated within the initial standard period. The majority of these limits are monitored on a daily basis. Limits for which the inherent calculation time is longer (such as those for economic capital) are monitored on a weekly basis. A smaller sub-set of limits relating to exposures for which the risk profile changes more infrequently (for example, those relating to illiquid investments) is monitored on a monthly basis. In 2010, over 90% of CARMC limit excesses were resolved within the approved standard period.

In December 2010, we revised our limit framework with the objective of further strengthening the process around limit management. The new process simplifies the ownership and structure of the limit framework, further develops the policy around temporary limit increases and expands formal escalation procedures for significant limit excesses.

Economic capital and position risk

Concept

Economic capital is our core Group-wide risk management tool. It represents good current market practice for measuring and reporting all quantifiable risks and measures risk in terms of economic realities rather than regulatory or accounting rules. It also provides a common terminology for risk across the Group, which increases risk transparency and improves knowledge sharing. The development and usage of economic capital methodologies and models have evolved over time without a standardized approach within the industry, therefore comparisons across firms may not be meaningful.

>>>Position risk, which is a component of the economic capital framework, is used to assess, monitor and report risk exposures throughout the Group. Position risk is the level of unexpected loss in economic value on our portfolio of positions over a one-year horizon which is exceeded with a given small probability (1% for risk management purposes; 0.03% for capital management purposes). For further details of the economic capital framework, refer to *Treasury management – Economic capital*.

We regularly review the economic capital methodology in order to ensure that the model remains relevant as markets and business strategies evolve. In 2010, we made a number of enhancements to the position risk methodology for risk management purposes, including emerging markets and private banking corporate & retail lending position risks. For emerging market exposures, we made fundamental changes to the position risk modeling. Previously, all emerging market exposures and associated risks were modeled in a separate emerging markets risk category capturing market risk and country event risk. We now calculate and report these risks in their respective position risk categories (e.g., fixed income trading). We continue to separately report position risk for emerging markets, which captures country event risks, such as sovereign default risk. The changes to private banking corporate & retail lending reflected an increase in the granularity of the credit parameters (e.g., default rate volatilities) and increases to the severity of spread shocks for loans, reflecting observations over the market crisis. Prior-period balances have been restated for methodology changes in order to show meaningful trends. The total impact of 2010 methodology changes on year-end 2009 position risk was an increase of CHF 404 million, or 3%.

Limit management

Position risk is managed through a system of integrated risk limits to control the range of risks inherent in business activities. The limit structure restricts overall risk-taking capacity and triggers senior management risk discussions in the event of substantial changes in our overall risk profile. The calibration of limits is performed in conjunction with the annual planning process in order to ensure our risk appetite is in line with our financial resources.

The Board and senior management are regularly provided with economic capital results, trends and ratios to provide risk transparency and facilitate the decision-making process of the firm.

Key position risk trends

Compared to 2009, position risk for risk management purposes decreased 10%. Excluding the US dollar translation impact, position risk decreased 2%. In addition to the US dollar translation impact, fixed income trading decreased following a reduction in credit spread exposures, partially offset by higher foreign exchange exposures. Equity trading & investments decreased mainly due to lower traded equity derivative exposures, and private banking corporate & retail lending declined due to updated loan default and recovery parameters reflecting improved economic conditions.

These reductions were partially offset by increases in real estate & structured assets, due to higher >>>RMBS exposures, international lending & counterparty exposures (excluding the US dollar translation impact), due to higher loan and derivative exposures in Investment Banking, and emerging markets country event risk, due to higher foreign exchange exposures in Latin America and higher loan exposures in Asia.

As part of our overall risk management, we hold a portfolio of hedges. Hedges are impacted by market movements, similar to other trading securities, and may result in gains or losses on the hedges which offset losses or gains on the portfolios they were designated to hedge. Due to the varying nature and structure of hedges, these gains or losses may not wholly offset the losses or gains on the portfolio.

Group position risk

	2010	2009	end of 2008	% change	
				10 / 09	09 / 08
Position risk (CHF million)					
Fixed income trading ¹	2,424	3,090	2,359	(22)	31
Equity trading & investments	2,363	2,839	2,902	(17)	(2)
Private banking corporate & retail lending	2,072	2,284	2,253	(9)	1
International lending & counterparty exposures	4,255	4,512	4,765	(6)	(5)
Emerging markets country event risk	632	512	831	23	(38)
Real estate & structured assets ²	2,597	2,473	2,841	5	(13)
Simple sum across risk categories	14,343	15,710	15,951	(9)	(2)
Diversification benefit ³	(2,692)	(2,803)	(2,891)	(4)	(3)
Position risk (99% confidence level for risk management purposes)	11,651	12,907	13,060	(10)	(1)
Position risk (99.97% confidence level for capital management purposes)	20,783	22,921	23,807	(9)	(4)

Prior balances have been restated for methodology changes in order to show meaningful trends.

¹ This category comprises fixed income trading, foreign exchange and commodity exposures. ² This category comprises commercial and residential real estate (including RMBS and CMBS), ABS exposure, real estate acquired at auction and real estate fund investments. ³ Reflects the net difference between the sum of the position risk categories and the position risk on the total portfolio.

Market risk

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, commodity prices and other relevant parameters, such as market volatility. We define our market risk as potential changes in the >>>fair value of financial instruments in response to market movements. A typical transaction may be exposed to a number of different market risks.

We devote considerable resources to ensure that market risk is comprehensively captured, accurately modeled and reported and effectively managed. Trading and non-trading portfolios are managed at various organizational levels, from the overall risk positions at the Group level down to specific portfolios. We use market risk measurement and management methods designed to meet or exceed industry standards. These include general tools capable of calculating comparable exposures across our many activities and focused tools that can specifically model unique characteristics of certain instruments or portfolios. The tools are used for internal market risk management, internal market risk reporting and external disclosure purposes. The principal measurement methodologies are VaR and scenario analysis. Additionally, our market risk exposures are reflected in our economic capital calculations. The risk management techniques and policies are regularly reviewed to ensure they remain appropriate.

VaR

>>>VaR measures the potential loss in fair value of financial instruments due to adverse market movements over a defined time horizon at a specified confidence level. VaR as a concept is applicable for all financial risk types with valid regular price histories. Positions are aggregated by risk type rather than by product. For example, interest rate risk includes risk arising from interest rate, foreign exchange, equity and commodity options, money market and swap transactions and bonds. The use of VaR allows the comparison of risk in different businesses, such as fixed income and equity, and also provides a means of aggregating and netting a variety of positions within a portfolio to reflect actual correlations and offsets between different assets.

Historical financial market rates, prices and volatilities serve as the basis for the statistical VaR model underlying the potential loss estimation. We use a ten-day holding period and a confidence level of 99% to model the risk in our trading portfolios. These assumptions are compliant with the standards published by the BCBS and other related international standards for market risk management. For some purposes, such as >>>backtesting, disclosure and benchmarking with competitors, the resulting VaR figures are calculated to a one-day holding period level or scaled down.

We use a historical simulation model for the majority of risk types and businesses within our trading portfolios. Where insufficient data is available for such an approach, an “extreme-move” methodology is used. The model is based on the profit and loss distribution resulting from historical changes in market rates, prices and volatilities applied to evaluate the portfolio.

To ensure the VaR model is responsive in times of market volatility, we calculate a >>>scaled VaR, which uses a scaling technique that adjusts the VaR when short-term market volatility is different than the long-term volatility in the three-year historical dataset. This results in a more responsive VaR model, as the impact of changes in overall market volatility is reflected almost immediately in the scaled VaR model. As part of the ongoing review to improve risk management approaches and methodologies, we revised the scaled VaR methodology for >>>regulatory VaR and implemented a new scaled >>>risk management VaR measure in the first quarter of 2010, following FINMA approval. VaR has been restated for prior periods to show meaningful trends.

We have approval from FINMA, as well as from certain other regulators of our subsidiaries, to use our regulatory VaR model in the calculation of trading book market risk capital requirements. We continue to receive regulatory approval for ongoing enhancements to the methodology, and the model is subject to regular reviews by regulators. In

2008, the significant market turmoil gave rise to many VaR model backtesting exceptions; since then we have made numerous enhancements to the VaR methodology to further improve the model accuracy and performance.

For both risk management VaR and regulatory VaR, we present one-day, 99% VaR, which is ten-day VaR adjusted to a one-day holding period based on a 99% confidence level. This means there is a 1-in-100 chance of incurring a daily mark-to-market trading loss at least as large as the reported VaR. In order to show the aggregate market risk in our trading books, the chart entitled “Daily VaR” shows the trading-related market risk on a consolidated basis.

The VaR model uses assumptions and estimates that we believe are reasonable, but VaR only quantifies the potential loss on a portfolio under normal market conditions. Other risk measures, such as scenario analysis, are used to estimate losses associated with unusually severe market movements. The main assumptions and limitations of VaR as a risk measure are:

- VaR relies on historical data to estimate future changes in market conditions, which may not capture all potential future outcomes, particularly where there are significant changes in market conditions, such as increases in volatilities;
- Although VaR captures the relationships between risk factors, these relationships may be affected by stressed market conditions;
- VaR provides an estimate of losses at a 99% confidence level, which means that it does not provide any information on the size of losses that could occur beyond that confidence level;
- VaR is based on either a ten-day (for internal risk management and regulatory capital purposes) or one-day (for backtesting and disclosure purposes) holding period. This assumes that risks can be either sold or hedged over the holding period, which may not be possible for all types of exposure, particularly during periods of market illiquidity or turbulence; and
- VaR is calculated using positions held at the end of each business day and does not include intra-day exposures.

Scenario analysis

We regularly perform scenario analysis to estimate the loss that could arise from extreme, but plausible, stress events in the economy or in financial markets, by applying predefined scenarios to the relevant portfolios. Scenarios are typically defined in light of past economic or financial market stress periods, however, as past events rarely recur in exactly the same way, we also apply business expertise to select the most meaningful scenarios and to assess the scenario results in light of current economic and market conditions. In addition to scenarios built around historically observed events, we also consider the impact of hypothetical adverse events. This is done in collaboration with our research functions based on the Group’s view of possible macroeconomic developments.

Key scenarios include significant movements in credit spreads, interest rates, equity and commodity prices and foreign exchange rates, as well as adverse changes in counterparty default and recovery rates. The majority of scenario analysis calculations performed are specifically tailored toward the risk profile within particular businesses, and limits are established if they are considered the most appropriate control. In addition, to identify areas of risk concentration and potential vulnerability to stress events across the Group, we use a set of scenarios which are consistently applied across all businesses and assess the impact of significant, simultaneous movements across a broad range of markets and asset classes. Finally, the scenario analysis framework considers the impact of various scenarios on key capital adequacy measures such as regulatory capital and economic capital ratios.

The Board and senior management are regularly provided with scenario analysis results, trend information and supporting explanations as an integral part of the risk management framework and to support risk appetite decisions.

The scenario analysis framework is periodically reviewed and expanded to ensure that it remains relevant to evolving portfolio composition and market conditions. Although backtesting of existing scenarios applied across the Group indicated that the scenario analysis framework, which was recalibrated during 2008 and 2009, was still relevant, we implemented several new business level scenarios during 2010 in response to the evolution of our portfolio composition and market developments.

Trading portfolios

Risk measurement and management

We assume market risk in our trading portfolios primarily through the trading activities of the Investment Banking segment. Our other segments also engage in trading activities, but to a much lesser extent.

For the purposes of this disclosure, VaR is used to quantify market risk in the trading portfolio, which includes those financial instruments treated as part of the trading book for regulatory capital purposes. This classification of assets as trading is done for purposes of analyzing our market risk exposure, not for financial statement purposes.

We are active in most of the principal trading markets of the world, using the majority of common trading and hedging products, including >>>>derivatives such as swaps, futures, options and structured products (some of which are customized transactions using combinations of derivatives and executed to meet specific client or proprietary needs). As a result of our broad participation in products and markets, our trading strategies are correspondingly diverse and exposures are generally spread across a range of risks and locations.

Development of trading portfolio risks

The table entitled “One-day, 99% VaR” shows our trading-related market risk exposure, as measured by one-day, 99% VaR. VaR has been calculated using a three-year historical dataset. As we measure trading book VaR for internal risk management purposes using the US dollar as the base currency, the VaR figures were translated into Swiss francs using daily foreign exchange translation rates. VaR estimates are computed separately for each risk type and for the whole portfolio using the historical simulation methodology. The diversification benefit reflects the net difference between the sum of the 99th percentile loss for each individual risk type and for the total portfolio.

One-day, 99% VaR

in / end of	Interest rate & credit spread	Foreign exchange	Commodity	Equity	Diversi- fication benefit	Risk Management	Regulatory
						VaR	VaR
						Total	Total
2010 (CHF million)							
Average	122	19	14	24	(69)	110	142
Minimum	81	4	7	9	+	72	103
Maximum	165	73	28	55	+	157	205
End of period	95	21	10	18	(57)	87	124
2009 (CHF million)							

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Average	161	16	21	37	(98)	137	143
Minimum	104	4	14	16	+	73	80
Maximum	269	56	33	106	+	269	269
End of period	116	5	17	41	(75)	104	131
2008 (CHF million)							
Average	207	31	50	90	(129)	249	249
Minimum	135	11	27	44	+	154	154
Maximum	318	79	84	186	+	372	372
End of period	160	24	27	59	(85)	185	185

Excludes risks associated with counterparty and own credit exposures.

1 As the maximum and minimum occur on different days for different risk types, it is not meaningful to calculate a portfolio diversification benefit.

Average >>>risk management VaR in 2010 decreased 20% to CHF 110 million from 2009, reflecting a decline in risk due to a reduction in market volatility. This was partially offset by increased risk in support of our client-flow businesses, primarily interest rate, foreign exchange and RMBS activity within fixed income following the 2009 risk reduction.

Period-end risk management VaR as of December 31, 2010 decreased 16% to CHF 87 million from December 31, 2009. The decrease in period-end risk management VaR reflected decreased interest rate and equity exposures combined with a reduction in market volatility.

Average >>>regulatory VaR in 2010 was CHF 142 million, a decrease of 1% from December 31, 2009. During 2010, risk decreased due to a reduction in market volatility. This was partially offset by increased risk in support of our client-flow businesses, primarily interest rate, foreign exchange and >>>RMBS activity within fixed income following the 2009 risk reduction.

Period-end regulatory VaR as of December 31, 2010 decreased 5% to CHF 124 million from 2009. The decrease in period-end regulatory VaR from 2009 reflected decreased interest rate and equity exposures.

Various techniques are used to assess the accuracy of the VaR model used for trading portfolios, including backtesting. In line with industry practice, we present backtesting using actual daily trading revenues. Actual daily trading revenues are compared with regulatory VaR calculated using a one-day holding period. A backtesting exception occurs when the daily loss exceeds the daily VaR estimate.

We had no backtesting exceptions in 2010 and 2009, compared with 25 in 2008. The backtesting exceptions in 2008 were primarily driven by extreme movements in US mortgage markets, particularly in the first quarter, coupled with contagion effects across the wider credit, equity, interest rate and foreign exchange markets throughout 2008. The VaR model is subject to regular assessment and evaluation to seek to maintain accuracy given current market conditions and positions.

The output of our VaR model is used in the calculation of our regulatory capital requirement for market risk. For further information, refer to *Treasury management – Capital management – Basel II – Description of regulatory approaches*.

The histogram entitled “Actual daily trading revenues” compares the actual trading revenues for 2010 with those for 2009 and 2008. The dispersion of trading revenues indicates the day-to-day volatility in our trading activities. During 2010, we had six days of trading losses, compared to 22 days in 2009, with no trading losses exceeding CHF 25 million.

Banking portfolios

Risk measurement and management

The market risks associated with our non-trading portfolios primarily relate to asset and liability mismatch exposures, equity instrument participations and investments in bonds and money market instruments. All of our businesses and the Corporate Center have non-trading portfolios that carry some market risks.

The market risks associated with the non-trading portfolios are measured, monitored and limited using several tools, including economic capital, scenario analysis, sensitivity analysis and VaR. For the purpose of this disclosure, the aggregated market risks associated with our non-trading portfolios are measured using sensitivity analysis. The sensitivity analysis for the non-trading activities measures the amount of potential change in economic value resulting from specified hypothetical shocks to market factors. It is not a measure for the potential impact on reported earnings in the current period, since the non-trading activities generally are not marked to market through earnings.

Development of non-trading portfolio risks

We assume non-trading interest rate risks through interest rate-sensitive positions originated by Private Banking and risk-transferred to Treasury, money market and funding activities by Treasury and the deployment of our consolidated equity as well as other activities, including market making and trading activities involving banking book positions at the divisions, primarily Investment Banking. Savings accounts and many other retail banking products have no contractual maturity date or direct market-linked interest rate and are risk-transferred from Private Banking to Treasury on a pooled basis using replicating portfolios (approximating the re-pricing behavior of the underlying product). Treasury and other desks running interest rate risk positions actively manage the positions within approved limits.

The impact of a one basis point parallel increase in yield curves on the fair value of interest rate-sensitive non-trading book positions would have been an increase of CHF 8.5 million as of December 31, 2010, compared to an increase of CHF 7.7 million as of December 31, 2009. The increase from 2009 was mainly due to the issuance of tier 1 capital securities secured by participation securities issued by the Bank.

One-basis-point parallel increase in yield curves by currency – non-trading positions

end of	CHF	USD	EUR	GBP	Other	Total
2010 (CHF million)						
Fair value impact of a one-basis-point parallel increase in yield curves	0.1	7.8	0.1	0.1	0.4	8.5
2009 (CHF million)						
Fair value impact of a one-basis-point parallel increase in yield curves	1.3	6.1	(0.1)	0.2	0.2	7.7

Non-trading interest rate risk is also assessed using other measures including the potential value change resulting from a significant change in yield curves. The following table shows the impact of immediate 100 basis points and 200 basis points moves in the yield curves (as interest rates are currently very low, the downward changes are capped to ensure that the resulting interest rates remain non-negative).

Interest rate sensitivity – non-trading positions

end of	CHF	USD	EUR	GBP	Other	Total
2010 (CHF million)						
Increase(+)/decrease(-) in interest rates						
+200 basis points	27	1,574	4	18	83	1,706
+100 basis points	(7)	687	17	14	9	720
-100 basis points	49	(642)	(30)	(13)	(3)	(639)
-200 basis points	20	(1,375)	(30)	(6)	(71)	(1,462)
2009 (CHF million)						
Increase(+)/decrease(-) in interest rates						
+200 basis points	235	1,249	(70)	21	72	1,507
+100 basis points	118	617	(18)	15	31	763
-100 basis points	(155)	(620)	(15)	(20)	(17)	(827)
-200 basis points	(289)	(1,258)	(37)	(43)	(31)	(1,658)

As of December 31, 2010, the fair value impact of an adverse 200-basis-point move in yield curves was a loss of CHF 1.5 billion compared to a loss of CHF 1.7 billion as of December 31, 2009. This risk is monitored on a daily basis. The monthly analysis of the potential impact resulting from a significant change in yield curves indicated that as of the end of 2010 and 2009, the fair value impact of an adverse 200 basis point move in yield curves and adverse interest rate moves calibrated to a 1-year holding period with a 99% confidence level in relation to the total eligible regulatory capital, was significantly below the 20% threshold used by regulators to identify banks that potentially run excessive levels of non-trading interest rate risk.

Our non-trading equity portfolio includes positions in private equity, hedge funds, strategic investments and other instruments managed by Investment Banking. These positions may not be strongly correlated with general equity markets. Equity risk on non-trading positions is measured using sensitivity analysis that estimates the potential change in value resulting from a 10% decline in the equity markets of developed nations and a 20% decline in the equity markets of emerging market nations. The estimated impact of this scenario would be a decrease of CHF 731 million in the value of the non-trading portfolio as of December 31, 2010, compared to a decrease of CHF 702 million in the value of the non-trading portfolio as of December 31, 2009.

Commodity risk on non-trading positions is measured using sensitivity analysis that estimates the potential change in value resulting from a 20% weakening in commodity prices. The estimated impact of this scenario would be a decrease of CHF 11 million in the value of the non-trading portfolio as of December 31, 2010, compared to a decrease of CHF 14 million as of December 31, 2009.

For more information, refer to *Treasury management – Foreign exchange exposure and interest rate management*.

Credit and debit value adjustments

VaR excludes the impact of changes in both counterparty and our own credit spreads on derivative products. The estimated sensitivity to a one basis point increase in credit spreads (counterparty and our own) on derivatives in Investment Banking was a CHF 0.6 million loss as of December 31, 2010. In addition, the estimated sensitivity to a one basis point increase in our own credit spreads on our fair valued structured notes was a CHF 5.6 million gain (including the impact of hedges) as of December 31, 2010.

Credit risk

Credit risk is the possibility of a loss being incurred as the result of a borrower or counterparty failing to meet its financial obligations or as a result of deterioration in the credit quality of the borrower or counterparty. In the event of a customer default, a bank generally incurs a loss equal to the amount owed by the debtor, less any recoveries resulting from foreclosure, liquidation of collateral or the restructuring of the debtor company. A change in the credit quality of a counterparty has an impact on the valuation of assets eligible for fair value measurement, with valuation changes recorded in the consolidated statements of operations.

Our credit risk is concentrated in Private Banking and Investment Banking. Credit risk exists within lending products, commitments and letters of credit, and results from counterparty exposure arising from derivatives, foreign exchange and other transactions, and may be on or off-balance sheet.

Credit risk management approach

Effective credit risk management is a structured process to assess, quantify, price, monitor and manage risk on a consistent basis. This requires careful consideration of proposed extensions of credit, the setting of specific limits, monitoring during the life of the exposure, active use of credit mitigation tools and a disciplined approach to recognizing credit impairment.

Our credit risk management framework covers virtually all of the credit exposures in the banking business and comprises the following core components:

- individual counterparty rating systems;
- transaction rating systems;
- a counterparty credit limit system;
- country concentration limits;
- risk-based pricing methodologies;
- active credit portfolio management; and
- a credit risk provisioning methodology.

We employ a set of credit rating models tailored for different client segments for the purpose of internally rating counterparties to whom we are exposed to credit risk as the contractual party to a loan, loan commitment or >>>OTC derivative contract. The models are built from statistical data and then subject to a thorough business review before implementation. Credit rating models are validated independently prior to implementation and on a regular basis. Relevant quantitative data and qualitative factors relating to the counterparty result in the assignment of a credit rating or >>>probability of default (PD), which measures the counterparty's risk of default over a one-year period. To ensure that ratings are consistent and comparable across all businesses, we use an internal rating scale, which we annually review and calibrate to the external rating agencies using the historical PD associated with external ratings.

We assign an estimate of expected loss in the event of a counterparty default based on the structure of each transaction. The counterparty credit rating is used in combination with credit (or credit equivalent) exposure and the >>>loss given default (LGD) assumption to estimate the potential credit loss. LGD represents the expected loss on a transaction should default occur and takes into account structure, collateral, seniority of the claim and, in certain areas, the type of counterparty. We use credit risk estimates consistently for the purposes of business and credit portfolio management, credit policy, approval and monitoring, management reporting, risk-adjusted performance measurement, economic capital measurement and allocation and certain financial accounting purposes. The overall internal credit rating system has been approved by FINMA for application under the >>>Basel II A-IRB approach. This approach also allows us to price transactions involving credit risk more accurately, based on risk/return estimates.

Credit limits are used to manage individual counterparty credit risk. A system of limits is also established to address concentration risk in the portfolio, including a comprehensive set of country limits and limits for certain products. In addition, credit risk concentration is regularly supervised by credit and risk management committees, taking current market conditions and trend analysis into consideration. A rigorous credit quality review process provides an early identification of possible changes in the creditworthiness of clients and includes regular asset and collateral quality reviews, business and financial statement analysis and relevant economic and industry studies. Regularly updated watch lists and review meetings are used for the identification of counterparties where adverse changes in creditworthiness could occur.

Our regular review of the creditworthiness of clients and counterparties does not depend on the accounting treatment of the asset or commitment. We regularly review the appropriateness of allowances for credit losses. Changes in the credit quality of counterparties of loans held at fair value are reflected in valuation changes recorded in revenues, and therefore are not part of the impaired loans balance. Impaired transactions are further classified as potential problem exposure, non-performing exposure or non-interest-earning exposure, and the exposures are generally managed within credit recovery units. The Credit Portfolio and Provisions Review Committee regularly determines the adequacy of allowances.

Credit risk overview

All transactions that are exposed to potential losses due to a counterparty failing to meet an obligation are subject to credit risk exposure measurement and management. The following table represents credit risk from loans, loan commitments and certain other contingent liabilities, loans held-for-sale, traded loans and derivative instruments before consideration of >>>risk mitigation such as cash collateral and marketable securities or credit hedges.

Credit risk

end of	2010	2009	% change
--------	------	------	----------

Credit risk (CHF million)

Balance sheet

Gross loans	219,891	238,600	(8)
-------------	---------	---------	-----

of which reported at fair value	18,552	36,246	(49)
Loans held-for-sale	24,925	14,287	74
Traded loans	4,346	5,249	(17)
Derivative instruments ¹	50,477	57,153	(12)
Total balance sheet	299,639	315,289	(5)
Off-balance sheet			
Loan commitments ²	209,553	228,484	(8)
Credit guarantees and similar instruments	7,408	8,067	(8)
Irrevocable commitments under documentary credits	4,551	4,583	(1)
Total off-balance sheet	221,512	241,134	(8)
Total credit risk	521,151	556,423	(6)

Before risk mitigation, for example, collateral, credit hedges.

¹ Positive replacement value after netting agreements. ² Includes CHF 136,533 million and CHF 148,074 million at the end of 2010 and 2009, respectively, of unused credit limits which were revocable at our sole discretion upon notice to the client.

Selected European credit risk exposures

The following table presents our risk-based credit risk exposure to selected European countries as of December 31, 2010.

Selected European credit risk exposures

end of 2010	Sovereigns		Financial institutions		Corporates & Other	
	Gross	Net ¹	Gross	Net ¹	Gross	Net ¹
Credit risk exposure (EUR billion)						
Portugal	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.3	0.1
Italy	2.5	0.2	1.6	0.5	1.9	0.9
Ireland	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.2	0.7	0.2
Greece	0.1	0.0	0.1	0.1	0.6	0.1
Spain	0.0	0.0	0.9	0.6	1.4	0.5
Total	2.7	0.2	3.2	1.4	4.9	1.8

¹ Net of collateral and CDS hedges.

On a gross basis, before taking into account collateral and CDS hedges, our risk-based sovereign credit risk exposure to Portugal, Italy, Ireland, Greece and Spain as of December 31, 2010 was EUR 2.7 billion. Our net exposure to these sovereigns was EUR 0.2 billion. Our non-sovereign risk-based credit risk exposure in these countries as of December

31, 2010 included net exposure to financial institutions of EUR 1.4 billion and to corporates and other counterparties of EUR 1.8 billion, respectively.

Loans and loan commitments

Loans where we have the intention and ability to hold to maturity are valued at amortized cost less any allowance for loan losses. Loan commitments include irrevocable credit facilities for Investment Banking and Private Banking and, additionally in Private Banking, unused credit limits that can be revoked at our sole discretion upon notice to the client. Loans and loan commitments for which the fair value option is elected are reported at fair value with changes in fair value reported in trading revenues.

Loans and loan commitments

end of	2010	2009	% change
Loans and loan commitments (CHF million)			
Gross loans	219,891	238,600	(8)
of which Private Banking	183,664	176,925	4
of which Investment Banking	36,235	61,679	(41)
Loan commitments	209,553	228,484	(8)
Total loans and loan commitments	429,444	467,084	(8)
of which Private Banking	326,870	333,571	(2)
of which Investment Banking	102,467	133,113 ¹	(23)

1 Excludes non-rated positions of CHF 108 million representing unsettled positions in non-broker-dealer entities.

Risk mitigation

We actively manage our credit exposure utilizing credit hedges and collateral, such as cash and marketable securities. A large part of the Private Banking lending portfolio, primarily within the BBB counterparty rating classes, is collateralized by securities that can be readily liquidated. In Investment Banking, we manage credit exposures primarily with credit hedges and monetizable collateral. Credit hedges represent the notional exposure that has been transferred to other market counterparties, generally through the use of >>>credit default swaps (CDS).

The following tables illustrate the effects of risk mitigation on a combined exposure of loans and loan commitments.

Loans and loan commitments - Private Banking

end of	2010			2009		
	Cash collateral and	Net		Cash collateral and	Net	
Internal ratings	Gross exposure	marketable securities exposure	exposure	Gross exposure	marketable securities exposure	exposure
Risk mitigation (CHF million)						
AAA	1,603	(78)	1,525	1,432	(19)	1,413

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

AA	6,879	(509)	6,370	4,431	(105)	4,326
A	18,582	(1,006)	17,576	19,106	(1,683)	17,423
BBB	223,681	(129,985)	93,696	229,767	(137,014)	92,753
BB	69,275	(6,219)	63,056	72,135	(5,261)	66,874
B	5,055	(331)	4,724	4,654	(276)	4,378
CCC	371	0	371	502	(34)	468
D	1,424	(173)	1,251	1,544	(142)	1,402

Total loans and loan commitments

326,870 (138,301) 188,569₁ 333,571 (144,534) 189,037₁

Includes irrevocable credit facilities and unused credit limits which can be revoked at our sole discretion upon notice to the client.

1 In addition, we have a synthetic collateralized loan portfolio, the Clock Finance transaction, which effectively transfers the first loss credit risk on a CHF 4.8 billion portfolio of originated loans within Corporate & Institutional Clients to capital market investors.

Loans and loan commitments - Investment Banking

end of 2010 2009

Internal ratings	Gross exposure	Credit hedges	2010		2009		Net exposure
			Cash collateral and marketable securities	Net exposure	Cash collateral and marketable securities	Net exposure	

Risk mitigation (CHF million)

AAA	8,240	0	(124)	8,116	11,036	(4,141)	(153)	6,742
AA	8,691	(2,070)	0	6,621	22,539	(1,476)	0	21,063
A	19,237	(4,183)	0	15,054	18,310	(4,078)	(233)	13,999
BBB	24,239	(6,937)	(189)	17,113	34,398	(9,504)	(3,618)	21,276
BB	20,903	(2,469)	(2,084)	16,350	21,418	(3,038)	(877)	17,503
B	17,383	(1,316)	(135)	15,932	19,354	(2,431)	(156)	16,767
CCC	1,906	(350)	(9)	1,547	3,206	(1,002)	(114)	2,090
CC	286	(59)	0	227	449	(211)	0	238
C	246	(96)	0	150	181	(69)	0	112
D	1,336	(291)	0	1,045	2,222	(284)	(258)	1,680

Total loans and loan commitments

102,467 (17,771) (2,541) 82,155 133,113₁ (26,234) (5,409) 101,470

Includes undrawn irrevocable credit facilities.

1 Excludes non-rated positions of CHF 108 million representing unsettled positions in non-broker-dealer entities.

Loss given default

The Private Banking LGD measurement takes into account collateral pledged against the exposure and guarantees received, with the exposure adjusted for risk mitigation. The concentration in BBB and BB rated counterparties with low LGD exposure largely reflects the Private Banking residential mortgage business, which is highly collateralized. In Investment Banking, the LGD measurement is primarily determined by the seniority ranking of the exposure, with the exposure adjusted for risk mitigation and guarantees received. The LGD measurement system is validated independently on a regular basis and has been approved by the regulatory authorities for application in the Basel II A-IRB approach. The tables below present our loans, net of risk mitigation, across LGD buckets for Private Banking and Investment Banking.

Loans - Private Banking

end of 2010

Loss given default buckets

Internal ratings	Funded	Funded	Loss given default buckets					
	gross exposure	net exposure	0-10%	11-20%	21-40%	41-60%	61-80%	81-100%
Loss given default (CHF million)								
AAA	893	892	295	126	216	241	2	12
AA	4,051	4,022	1,309	1,770	451	446	23	23
A	14,488	14,169	3,858	6,355	3,158	727	26	45
BBB	103,111	72,844	21,182	31,377	14,544	3,588	1,701	452
BB	55,071	52,400	8,168	21,959	15,246	3,895	1,756	1,376
B	4,415	4,146	761	1,502	1,448	391	43	1
CCC	362	362	7	325	29	1	0	0
D	1,273	1,210	111	265	452	218	109	55
Total loans	183,664	150,045	35,691	63,679	35,544	9,507	3,660	1,964

Loans - Investment Banking

end of 2010

Loss given default buckets

Internal ratings	Funded	Funded	Loss given default buckets					
	gross exposure	net exposure	0-10%	11-20%	21-40%	41-60%	61-80%	81-100%
Loss given default (CHF million)								
AAA	2,185	2,061	0	0	1,741	320	0	0
AA	1,108	639	0	0	314	325	0	0
A	3,110	2,943	124	0	1,159	1,660	0	0
BBB	7,509	4,848	174	0	1,608	3,065	1	0
BB	13,502	9,959	19	0	6,792	3,148	0	0
B	5,863	4,876	64	0	3,159	1,647	6	0
CCC	1,148	833	0	0	573	257	3	0

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

CC	283	224	0	0	160	51	3	10
C	202	106	0	0	59	32	15	0
D	1,325	1,034	0	0	932	91	11	0
Total loans	36,235	27,523	381	0	16,497	10,596	39	10

Loans

Compared to the end of 2009, gross loans decreased 8% to CHF 219.9 billion. In Investment Banking, gross loans decreased 41% to CHF 36.2 billion, due to a significant decline in loans to financial institutions, primarily related to the consolidation of Alpine in the first quarter of 2010, a decline in commercial and industrial loans and the US dollar translation impact. This decrease was partially offset by a 4% increase in gross loans in Private Banking to CHF 183.7 billion, primarily due to higher exposures in consumer loans collateralized by securities and in commercial and industrial loans.

For further information on our loan portfolio, refer to *Note 18 – Loans, allowance for loan losses and credit quality in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group and IX – Additional information – Statistical information.*

Impaired loans

A loan held-to-maturity, valued at amortized cost, is considered impaired when we believe it is probable that we will be unable to collect all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans exclude loans that are reported at fair value. A loan is classified as non-performing no later than when the contractual payments of principal and/or interest are more than 90 days past due. However, management may determine that a loan should be classified as non-performing notwithstanding that contractual payments of principal and/or interest are less than 90 days past due. We continue to accrue interest for collection purposes; however, a corresponding provision against the accrual is booked through the consolidated statements of operations at the same time. In addition, for any accrued but unpaid interest at the date the loan is deemed non-performing, a corresponding provision is booked against the accrual through the consolidated statements of operations. At the time a loan is deemed non-performing and on a regular basis, the remaining principal is evaluated for collectability and an allowance is established for any shortfall between the net recoverable amount and the remaining principal balance.

A loan can be further downgraded to non-interest-earning when the collection of interest is so doubtful that further accrual of interest is deemed inappropriate. At that time and on a quarterly or more frequent basis thereafter depending on various risk factors, the outstanding principal balance, net of provisions previously recorded, is evaluated for collectability and additional provisions are established as required. Write-off of a loan occurs when it is considered certain that there is no possibility of recovering the outstanding principal. Write-offs occur due to the sale, settlement or restructuring of a loan, or when uncertainty as to the repayment of either principal or accrued interest exists. A loan may be restored to performing status when all delinquent principal and interest payments become current in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement and certain performance criteria are met.

Loans that are not already classified as non-performing or non-interest-earning but were modified in a troubled debt restructuring are reported as restructured loans. Generally, a restructured loan would have been considered impaired and an associated allowance for loan losses established prior to the restructuring. Loans that have been restructured in a troubled debt restructuring and are performing according to the new terms continue to accrue interest.

Loans

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

end of	Private Banking		Investment Banking		Credit Suisse ¹	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
Loans (CHF million)						
Mortgages	84,625	82,642	0	0	84,625	82,642
Loans collateralized by securities	24,552	21,778	0	0	24,552	21,778
Consumer finance	5,026	4,269	682	1,033	5,708	5,302
Consumer loans	114,203	108,689	682	1,033	114,885	109,722
Real estate	21,209	21,648	2,153	3,410	23,362	25,058
Commercial and industrial loans	39,812	37,081	14,861	22,535	54,673	59,616
Financial institutions	7,309	8,373	17,463	30,637	24,764	39,006
Governments and public institutions	1,131	1,134	1,076	4,064	2,207	5,198
Corporate and institutional loans	69,461 ²	68,236 ²	35,553	60,646	105,006	128,878
Gross loans	183,664	176,925	36,235	61,679	219,891	238,600
of which reported at fair value	–	–	18,552	36,246	18,552	36,246
Net (unearned income) / deferred expenses	(2)	21	(30)	(46)	(32)	(25)
Allowance for loan losses ³	(782)	(937)	(235)	(458)	(1,017)	(1,395)
Net loans	182,880	176,009	35,970	61,175	218,842	237,180
Impaired loans (CHF million)						
Non-performing loans	626	676	335	621	961	1,297
Non-interest-earning loans	321	336	19	0	340	336
Total non-performing and non-interest-earning loans	947	1,012	354	621	1,301	1,633
Restructured loans	4	0	48	6	52	6
Potential problem loans	397	448	113	210	510	658
Total other impaired loans	401	448	161	216	562	664
Gross impaired loans³	1,348	1,460	515	837	1,863	2,297
of which loans with a specific allowance	1,164	1,141	487	805	1,651	1,946
of which loans without a specific allowance	184	319	28	32	212	351
Allowance for loan losses (CHF million)						
Balance at beginning of period³	937	912	458	727	1,395	1,639

Net movements recognized in statements of operations	26	118	(119)	197	(93)	315
Gross write-offs	(202)	(167)	(92)	(507)	(294)	(674)
Recoveries	40	43	23	20	63	63
Net write-offs	(162)	(124)	(69)	(487)	(231)	(611)
Provisions for interest	2	5	0	38	2	43
Foreign currency translation impact and other adjustments, net	(21)	26	(35)	(17)	(56)	9
Balance at end of period ³	782	937	235	458	1,017	1,395
of which individually evaluated for impairment	585	704	164	280	749	984
of which collectively evaluated for impairment	197	233	71	178	268	411
Loan metrics (%)						
Total non-performing and non-interest-earning loans / Gross loans ⁴	0.5	0.6	2.0	2.4	0.6	0.8
Gross impaired loans / Gross loans ⁴	0.7	0.8	2.9	3.3	0.9	1.1
Allowance for loan losses / Total non-performing and non-interest-earning loans ³	82.6	92.6	66.4	73.8	78.2	85.4
Allowance for loan losses / Gross impaired loans ³	58.0	64.2	45.6	54.7	54.6	60.7

1 Includes Asset Management and Corporate Center. 2 Of which CHF 47,912 million and CHF 47,597 million were secured by financial collateral and mortgages at the end of 2010 and 2009, respectively. 3 Impaired loans and allowance for loan losses are only based on loans which are not carried at fair value. 4 Excludes loans carried at fair value.

Potential problem loans are impaired loans not already classified as non-performing, non-interest earning or restructured loans where contractual payments have been received according to schedule, but where doubt exists as to the collection of future contractual payments. Potential problem loans are evaluated for impairment on an individual basis and an allowance for loan losses is established as necessary. Potential problem loans continue to accrue interest.

Gross impaired loans decreased CHF 434 million to CHF 1.9 billion in 2010. Total non-performing and non-interest-earning loans decreased CHF 332 million to CHF 1.3 billion and total other impaired loans decreased CHF 102 million to CHF 562 million. In Private Banking, the reduction of gross impaired loans to CHF 1.3 billion was mainly the result of reduced and written-off non-performing and non-interest-earning loans combined with the effect of fewer defaults in 2010. In Investment Banking, the reduction of CHF 322 million of gross impaired loans to CHF 515 million was mainly the result of a decline in non-performing loans, primarily relating to loan sales, repayments and reclassifications to non-impaired status.

Allowance for loan losses

We maintain valuation allowances on loans valued at amortized cost which we consider adequate to absorb losses inherent in the existing credit portfolio. We provide for loan losses based on a regular and detailed analysis of all counterparties, taking collateral value into consideration. If uncertainty exists as to the repayment of either principal or interest, a valuation allowance is either created or adjusted accordingly. Allowance for loan losses are reviewed on a quarterly basis by senior management.

Allowance for inherent loan losses

In accordance with US GAAP, an inherent loss allowance is estimated for all loans not specifically identified as impaired, which, on a portfolio basis, are considered to contain inherent losses. Inherent losses in the Private Banking lending portfolio are determined based on current risk ratings, collateral and exposure structure, applying historical default and loss experience in the ratings and loss parameters. In Investment Banking, loans are segregated by risk, industry or country rating in order to estimate inherent losses. Inherent losses on loans are estimated based on historical loss and recovery experience and recorded in allowance for loan losses. A provision for inherent losses on off-balance sheet lending-related exposure, such as contingent liabilities and irrevocable commitments, is also determined, using a methodology similar to that used for the loan portfolio.

Provision for credit losses

Provision for credit losses improved significantly, with releases of CHF 79 million in 2010 compared to net new provisions of CHF 506 million in 2009. In Private Banking, the net provision for credit losses in 2010 was CHF 18 million, compared to CHF 180 million in 2009. In Investment Banking, the release of provision for credit losses in 2010 was CHF 97 million, compared to net new provisions of CHF 326 million in 2009. In Investment Banking, the release of provision resulted primarily from loan sales and releases related to the reclassification of impaired loans to non-impaired status. Both in Private Banking and Investment Banking, the release of provision also resulted from a reduction of the inherent loan loss provision, partially offset by very few new or increased specific provisions due to the improved credit outlook.

Loans held-for-sale

Loans, which we have the intent to sell in the foreseeable future, are considered held-for-sale and are carried at the lower of amortized cost or market value determined on either an individual method basis, or in the aggregate for pools of similar loans if sold or securitized as a pool. As there is no liquid market for these loans, they do not meet the criteria for trading assets. Loans held-for-sale are included in other assets. Gains and losses on loans held-for-sale are recorded in other revenues.

Traded loans

Traded loans are carried at fair value and meet the criteria for trading assets. These loans are secondary trading loans held with the intention to sell. Unrealized and realized gains and losses on trading positions are recorded in trading revenues.

Derivative instruments

We enter into derivative contracts in the normal course of business for market making, positioning and arbitrage purposes, as well as for our own risk management needs, including mitigation of interest rate, foreign exchange and credit risk.

Derivatives are either privately negotiated OTC contracts or standard contracts transacted through regulated exchanges. The most frequently used derivative products include interest rate, cross-currency swaps and CDS, interest

rate and foreign exchange options, foreign exchange forward contracts and foreign exchange and interest rate futures.

The replacement values of derivative instruments correspond to their fair values at the dates of the consolidated balance sheets and arise from transactions for the account of customers and for our own account. Positive replacement values constitute a receivable, while $\geq\geq\geq$ negative replacement values constitute a payable. Fair value does not indicate future gains or losses, but rather the unrealized gains and losses from marking to market all derivatives at a particular point in time. The fair values of derivatives are determined using various methodologies, primarily observable market prices where available and, in their absence, observable market parameters for instruments with similar characteristics and maturities, net present value analysis or other pricing models as appropriate.

Forwards and futures

We enter into forward purchase and sale contracts for mortgage-backed securities, foreign currencies and commitments to buy or sell commercial and residential mortgages. In addition, we enter into futures contracts on equity-based indices and other financial instruments, as well as options on futures contracts. These contracts are typically entered into to meet the needs of customers, for trading and for hedging purposes.

On forward contracts, we are exposed to counterparty credit risk. To mitigate this credit risk, we limit transactions by counterparty, regularly review credit limits and adhere to internally established credit extension policies.

For futures contracts and options on futures contracts, the change in the market value is settled with a clearing broker in cash each day. As a result, our credit risk with the clearing broker is limited to the net positive change in the market value for a single day.

Swaps

Our swap agreements consist primarily of interest rate swaps, CDS, currency and equity swaps. We enter into swap agreements for trading and risk management purposes. Interest rate swaps are contractual agreements to exchange interest rate payments based on agreed upon notional amounts and maturities. CDS are contractual agreements in which the buyer of the swap pays a periodic fee in return for a contingent payment by the seller of the swap following a credit event of a reference entity. A credit event is commonly defined as bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, material adverse restructuring of debt or failure to meet payment obligations when due. Currency swaps are contractual agreements to exchange payments in different currencies based on agreed upon notional amounts and currency pairs. Equity swaps are contractual agreements to receive the appreciation or depreciation in value based on a specific strike price on an equity instrument in exchange for paying another rate, which is usually based on an index or interest rate movements.

Options

We write options specifically designed to meet the needs of customers and for trading purposes. These written options do not expose us to the credit risk of the customer because, if exercised, we and not our counterparty are obligated to perform. At the beginning of the contract period, we receive a cash premium. During the contract period, we bear the risk of unfavorable changes in the value of the financial instruments underlying the options. To manage this market risk, we purchase or sell cash or derivative financial instruments. Such purchases and sales may include debt and equity securities, forward and futures contracts, swaps and options.

We also purchase options to meet customer needs, for trading purposes and for hedging purposes. For purchased options, we obtain the right to buy or sell the underlying instrument at a fixed price on or before a specified date. During the contract period, our risk is limited to the premium paid. The underlying instruments for these options typically include fixed income and equity securities, foreign currencies and interest rate instruments or indices. Counterparties to these option contracts are regularly reviewed in order to assess creditworthiness.

The table below illustrates how credit risk on derivatives receivables is reduced by the use of legally enforceable $\geq\geq\geq$ netting agreements and collateral agreements. Netting agreements allow us to net balances from derivative assets

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

and liabilities transacted with the same counterparty when the netting agreements are legally enforceable and there is intent to settle net with the counterparty. Replacement values are disclosed net of such agreements in the consolidated balance sheets. Collateral agreements are entered into with certain counterparties based upon the nature of the counterparty and/or the transaction and require the placement of cash or securities with us.

Derivative instruments by maturity

end of / due within	2010				2009			
	Less than 1 year	1 to 5 years	More than 5 years	Positive replacement value	Less than 1 year	1 to 5 years	More than 5 years	Positive replacement value
Derivative instruments (CHF billion)								
Interest rate products	38.9	175.0	269.0	482.9	51.0	204.9	269.5	525.4
Foreign exchange products	44.2	25.0	15.8	85.0	30.2	23.0	12.4	65.6
Precious metals products	1.6	0.8	0.0	2.4	1.2	0.9	0.1	2.2
Equity/index-related products	7.2	9.3	3.8	20.3	10.7	9.4	4.5	24.6
Credit derivatives	4.5	26.0	19.0	49.5	2.1	37.5	28.6	68.2
Other products	9.6	7.6	2.1	19.3	11.3	9.9	1.4	22.6
OTC derivative instruments	106.0	243.7	309.7	659.4	106.5	285.6	316.5	708.6
Exchange-traded derivative instruments				22.4				4.5
Netting agreements ¹				(631.4)				(656.0)
Total derivative instruments				50.4				57.1
of which recorded in trading assets				47.7				55.1
of which recorded in other assets				2.7				2.0

¹ Taking into account legally enforceable netting agreements.

Derivative transactions exposed to credit risk are subject to a credit request and approval process, ongoing credit and counterparty monitoring and a credit quality review process. The following table represents the rating split of our credit exposure from derivative instruments.

Derivative instruments by counterparty credit rating

end of	2010	2009
--------	------	------

Derivative instruments (CHF billion)

AAA	5.5	5.9
AA	11.9	12.3
A	15.3	13.1
BBB	7.9	9.9
BB or lower	8.2	11.8
OTC derivative instruments	48.8	53.0
Exchange-traded derivative instruments ¹	1.6	4.1
Total derivative instruments ¹	50.4	57.1

¹ Taking into account legally enforceable netting agreements.

Derivative instruments by maturity and by counterparty credit rating for the Bank are not materially different, neither in absolute amounts nor in terms of movements, from the information for the Group presented above.

Derivative instruments are categorized as exposures from trading activities (trading) and those qualifying for hedge accounting (hedging). Trading includes activities relating to market making, positioning and arbitrage. It also includes economic hedges where the Group enters into derivative contracts for its own risk management purposes, but where the contracts do not qualify for hedge accounting under US GAAP. Hedging includes contracts that qualify for hedge accounting under US GAAP, such as fair value hedges, cash flow hedges and net investment hedges.

For further information on derivatives, including an overview of derivatives by products categorized for trading and hedging purposes, refer to *Note 30 – Derivatives and hedging activities in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Operational risk

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Our primary aim is the early identification, recording, assessment, monitoring, prevention and mitigation of operational risks, as well as timely and meaningful management reporting. Where appropriate, we transfer operational risks to third-party insurance companies.

Operational risk is inherent in most aspects of our activities and is comprised of a large number of disparate risks. While market and credit risk are often chosen for the prospect of gain, operational risk is normally accepted as a necessary consequence of doing business. In comparison to market or credit risk, the sources of operational risk are difficult to identify comprehensively and the amount of risk is also inherently difficult to measure. We therefore manage operational risk differently from market and credit risk. We believe that effective management of operational risk requires a common firm-wide framework with ownership residing with the management responsible for the relevant business process.

Under this framework, each individual business area takes responsibility for its operational risks and the provision of adequate resources and procedures for the management of those risks. Businesses are supported by designated operational risk functions at the divisional and Group levels.

The central BORO team within the CRO function focuses on the coordination of consistent policy, tools and practices throughout the firm for the management, measurement, monitoring and reporting of relevant operational risks. This

team is also responsible for the overall operational risk framework, measurement methodology and capital calculations.

Business divisions and Shared Services specialist operational risk teams are responsible for the implementation of the operational risk management framework, tools, reporting and methodologies within their areas as well as working with management on any operational risk issues that arise.

Operational risk issues, metrics and exposures are discussed at the quarterly CARMC meetings covering operational risk and at divisional risk management committees, which have senior staff representatives from all the relevant functions. We utilize a number of firm-wide tools for the management and reporting of operational risk. These include risk and control self-assessments, scenario analysis, key risk indicator reporting and the collection, reporting and analysis of internal and external loss data. Knowledge and experience are shared throughout the Group to maintain a coordinated approach.

We have employed the same methodology to calculate economic capital for operational risk since 2000, and have approval from FINMA to use a similar methodology for the >>>advanced measurement approach (AMA) under the >>>Basel II Accord. The economic capital/AMA methodology is based upon the identification of a number of key risk scenarios that describe all of the major operational risks that we face. Groups of senior staff review each scenario and discuss the likelihood of occurrence and the potential severity of loss. Internal and external loss data, along with certain business environment and internal control factors, such as self-assessment results and key risk indicators, are considered as part of this process. Based on the output from these meetings, we enter the scenario probabilities and severities into an event model that generates a loss distribution. Insurance mitigation is included in the capital assessment where appropriate, by considering the level of insurance coverage for each scenario and incorporating >>> haircuts as appropriate. Based on the loss distribution, the level of capital required to cover operational risk can then be calculated.

Reputational risk

Our policy is to avoid any transaction or service that brings with it the risk of a potentially unacceptable level of damage to our reputation.

Reputational risk may arise from a variety of sources, including the nature or purpose of a proposed transaction or service, the identity or activity of a controversial potential client, the regulatory or political climate in which the business will be transacted and the potentially controversial environmental or social impacts of a transaction or significant public attention surrounding the transaction itself. Where the presence of these or other factors gives rise to potential reputational risk, the relevant business proposal or service is required to be submitted through the globally standardized reputational risk review process. This involves a vetting of the proposal by senior management and, by agreement, its subsequent referral to one of the four regional reputational risk approvers, each of whom is an experienced and high-ranked senior manager, independent of the business segments, who has authority to approve, reject, or impose conditions on our participation on the transaction or service. In order to inform our stakeholders about how we manage some of the environmental and social risks inherent to the banking business, we publish our Corporate Responsibility Report, in which we also describe our efforts to conduct our operations in a manner that is environmentally and socially responsible and broadly contributes to society.

Balance sheet, off-balance sheet and other contractual obligations

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

As of the end of 2010, our balance sheet was stable at CHF 1,032.0 billion compared to the end of 2009. The majority of our transactions are recorded on our balance sheet, however, we also enter into transactions that give rise to both on and off-balance sheet exposure.

Balance sheet summary

	2010	2009	end of 2008	10 / 09	% change 09 / 08
Assets (CHF million)					
Cash and due from banks	65,467	51,857	90,035	26	(42)
Central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions	220,443	209,499	269,028	5	(22)
Trading assets	324,704	332,238	342,778	(2)	(3)
Net loans	218,842	237,180	235,797	(8)	1
Brokerage receivables	38,769	41,960	57,498	(8)	(27)
All other assets	163,780	158,693	175,214	3	(9)
Total assets	1,032,005	1,031,427	1,170,350	0	(12)
Liabilities and equity (CHF million)					
Due to banks	37,493	36,214	58,183	4	(38)
Customer deposits	287,564	286,694	296,986	0	(3)
Central bank funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions	168,394	191,687	243,370	(12)	(21)
Trading liabilities	133,997	133,481	154,465	0	(14)
Long-term debt	173,752	159,365	150,714	9	6
Brokerage payables	61,746	58,965	93,323	5	(37)
All other liabilities	126,044	116,693	126,088	8	(7)
Total liabilities	988,990	983,099	1,123,129	1	(12)
Total shareholders' equity	33,282	37,517	32,302	(11)	16
Noncontrolling interests	9,733	10,811	14,919	(10)	(28)
Total equity	43,015	48,328	47,221	(11)	2
Total liabilities and equity	1,032,005	1,031,427	1,170,350	0	(12)

Balance sheet

Total assets were CHF 1,032.0 billion as of the end of 2010, stable from the end of 2009. Increases of CHF 13.6 billion, or 26%, in cash and due from banks reflected higher deposits with the Fed, partially offset by the foreign exchange translation impact of US dollar and euro denominated assets. There were increases of CHF 10.9 billion, or 5%, in central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowed transactions, and CHF 5.1 billion, or 3%, in all other assets. These increases were offset by declines of CHF 18.3 billion, or 8%, in net loans, reflecting significant decreases in Investment Banking that included the impact from the consolidation of Alpine in the first quarter of 2010, partially offset by increases in Private Banking, and CHF 7.5 billion, or 2%, in trading assets and CHF 3.2 billion, or 8%, in brokerage receivables.

The increase in all other assets included an increase of CHF 12.3 billion, or 85%, in assets held-for-sale, driven by the consolidation of VIEs under new accounting guidance effective January 1, 2010, partially offset by the US dollar translation impact, and an increase of CHF 4.6 billion, or 12%, in securities received as collateral, partially offset by a decline of CHF 7.5 billion, or 31%, in other investments and a decline of CHF 2.8 billion, or 25%, in investment securities.

Total liabilities were CHF 989.0 billion as of the end of 2010, stable from the end of 2009. An increase of CHF 14.4 billion, or 9%, in long-term debt was primarily due to CHF 19.7 billion of non-recourse liabilities from consolidated VIEs, partially offset by the foreign exchange translation impact. All other liabilities increased CHF 9.4 billion, or 8%. These increases were offset by declines of CHF 23.3 billion, or 12%, in central bank funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions.

The increase in all other liabilities included an increase of CHF 14.1 billion in short-term borrowings to CHF 21.7 billion from CHF 7.6 billion due to the issuance of US dollar-denominated certificates of deposit and CP, reflecting the strengthening of the liquidity buffer. The increase also included CHF 4.6 billion in obligation to return securities received as collateral. The increases were offset by decreases of CHF 4.5 billion in cash collateral on derivative instruments and CHF 1.9 billion in failed sales.

For more information, including our funding of the balance sheet and the leverage ratio, refer to *Treasury management – Funding and Treasury management – Capital management*.

Off-balance sheet

We enter into off-balance sheet arrangements in the normal course of business. Off-balance sheet arrangements are transactions or other contractual arrangements with, or for the benefit of, an entity that is not consolidated. These transactions include derivative instruments, guarantees and similar arrangements, retained or contingent interests in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity in connection with our involvement with SPEs, and obligations and liabilities (including contingent obligations and liabilities) under variable interests in unconsolidated entities that provide financing, liquidity, credit and other support.

Derivative instruments

We enter into derivative contracts in the normal course of business for market making, positioning and arbitrage purposes, as well as for our own risk management needs, including mitigation of interest rate, foreign exchange and credit risk.

Derivatives are generally either privately negotiated OTC contracts or standard contracts transacted through regulated exchanges. The most frequently used freestanding derivative products include interest rate, cross-currency and credit default swaps, interest rate and foreign exchange options, foreign exchange forward contracts and foreign exchange and interest rate futures.

The replacement values of derivative instruments correspond to their fair values at the dates of the consolidated balance sheets and arise from transactions for the account of customers and for our own account. Positive replacement values constitute a receivable, while negative replacement values constitute a payable. Fair value does not indicate future gains or losses, but rather the unrealized gains and losses from marking to market all derivatives at a particular point in time. The fair values of derivatives are determined using various methodologies, primarily observable market prices where available and, in their absence, observable market parameters for instruments with similar characteristics and maturities, net present value analysis or other pricing models as appropriate.

For further information on derivatives, refer to *Risk management – Credit risk and Note 30 – Derivatives and hedging activities in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Guarantees and similar arrangements

In the ordinary course of business, guarantees and indemnifications are provided that contingently obligate us to make payments to a guaranteed or indemnified party based on changes in an asset, liability or equity security of the guaranteed or indemnified party. We may be contingently obligated to make payments to a guaranteed party based on another entity's failure to perform, or we may have an indirect guarantee of the indebtedness of others. Guarantees provided include, but are not limited to, customary indemnifications to purchasers in connection with the sale of assets or businesses; to investors in private equity funds sponsored by us regarding potential obligations of its employees to return amounts previously paid as carried interest; to investors in our securities and other arrangements to provide gross-up payments if there is a withholding or deduction because of a tax assessment or other governmental charge; and to counterparties in connection with securities lending arrangements.

In connection with the sale of assets or businesses, we sometimes provide the acquirer with certain indemnification provisions. These indemnification provisions vary by counterparty in scope and duration and depend upon the type of assets or businesses sold. They are designed to transfer the potential risk of certain unquantifiable and unknowable loss contingencies, such as litigation, tax or intellectual property matters, from the acquirer to the seller. We closely monitor all such contractual agreements in order to ensure that indemnification provisions are adequately provided for in our consolidated financial statements.

US GAAP requires disclosure of our maximum potential payment obligations under certain guarantees to the extent that it is possible to estimate them and requires recognition of a liability for the fair value of obligations undertaken for guarantees issued or amended after December 31, 2002.

For disclosure of our estimable maximum payment obligations under certain guarantees and related information, refer to *Note 31 – Guarantees and commitments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Representations and warranties on mortgages

In connection with Investment Banking's sale of residential mortgage loans, the Group has provided certain representations and warranties relating to the loans sold. The Group has provided these representations and warranties relating to sales of loans to: the US government-sponsored enterprises Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (GSEs); institutional investors, primarily banks; and non-agency, or private label, securitizations. The loans sold are primarily loans that the Group has purchased from other parties. The scope of representations and warranties, if any, depends on the transaction, but can include: ownership of the mortgage loans and legal capacity to sell the loans; loan-to-value ratios and other characteristics of the property, the borrower and the loan; validity of the liens securing the loans and absence of delinquent taxes or related liens; conformity to underwriting standards and completeness of documentation; and origination in compliance with law. If it is determined that representations and warranties were

breached, the Group may be required to repurchase the related loans or indemnify the investors to make them whole for losses. Whether the Group will incur a loss in connection with repurchases and make whole payments depends on: the extent claims are made; the validity of such claims (including the likelihood and ability to enforce claims); whether the Group can successfully claim against parties that sold loans to the Group and made representations and warranties to the Group; the residential real estate market, including the number of defaults; and whether the obligations of the securitization vehicles were guaranteed or insured by third parties.

For further information, refer to *Note 31 – Guarantees and commitments – Representations and warranties on mortgages in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Involvement with special purpose entities

In the normal course of business, the Group enters into transactions with, and makes use of, SPEs. An SPE is an entity in the form of a trust or other legal structure designed to fulfill a specific limited need of the company that organized it and are generally structured to isolate the SPE's assets from creditors of other entities, including the Group. The principal uses of SPEs are to assist the Group and its clients in securitizing financial assets and creating investment products. The Group also uses SPEs for other client-driven activity, such as to facilitate financings, and Group tax or regulatory purposes.

As a normal part of our business, we engage in various transactions that include entities which are considered VIEs and are broadly grouped into three primary categories: collateralized debt obligations, CP conduits and financial intermediation. VIEs are SPEs that typically either lack sufficient equity to finance their activities without additional subordinated financial support or are structured such that the holders of the voting rights do not substantively participate in the gains and losses of the entity. Such entities are required to be assessed for consolidation, compelling the primary beneficiary to consolidate the VIE. The primary beneficiary is the party that has the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect the economics of the VIE and potentially has significant benefits or losses in the VIE. We consolidate all VIEs for which we are the primary beneficiary. VIEs may be sponsored by us, unrelated third parties or clients. At each balance sheet date, VIEs are reviewed for events that may trigger reassessment of the entities' classification and/or consolidation. Application of the accounting requirements for consolidation of VIEs may require the exercise of significant management judgment.

Transactions with VIEs are generally executed to facilitate securitization activities or to meet specific client needs, such as providing liquidity or investing opportunities, and, as part of these activities, we may hold interests in the VIEs.

For further information, refer to *Note 32 – Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

We have raised hybrid tier 1 capital through the issuance of trust preferred securities by SPEs that purchase subordinated debt securities issued by us. These SPEs have no assets or operations unrelated to the issuance, administration and repayment of the trust preferred securities and are not consolidated by us.

Contractual obligations and other commercial commitments

In connection with our operating activities, we enter into certain contractual obligations and commitments to fund certain assets. Total long-term obligations increased CHF 13.9 billion in 2010 to CHF 179.7 billion, primarily reflecting an increase in long-term debt of CHF 14.4 billion, reflecting an increase of CHF 19.7 billion in non-recourse liabilities from consolidated VIEs, partially offset by the foreign exchange translation impact. For further information on long-term debt and the related interest commitments, refer to *Note 24 – Long-term debt in V –*

Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group. For further information on commitments, refer to *Note 31 – Guarantees and commitments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group.*

Short-term obligations increased CHF 19.5 billion in 2010 to CHF 542.5 billion, primarily reflecting an increase in short-term borrowings of CHF 14.1 billion to CHF 21.7 billion from CHF 7.6 billion due to the issuance of US dollar-denominated certificates of deposit and CP, reflecting the strengthening of the liquidity buffer, and an increase in brokerage payables of CHF 2.8 billion.

Contractual obligations and other commercial commitments

					2010	2009
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years	Total	Total
Payments due within						
Long-term obligations (CHF million)						
Long-term debt ¹	25,589	51,513	32,263	64,387	173,752 ₂	159,365
Capital lease obligations	6	14	17	93	130	153
Operating lease obligations	583	950	723	2,234	4,490	4,546
Purchase obligations	575	667	51	14	1,307	1,744
Total long-term obligations ³	26,753	53,144	33,054	66,728	179,679	165,808

1 For further information on long-term debt, refer to Treasury management – Debt issuances and Note 24 – Long-term debt in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group. 2 Includes non-recourse liabilities from consolidated VIEs of CHF 19,739 million. 3 Excludes total accrued benefit liability for pension and other post-retirement benefit plans of CHF 980 million and CHF 1,227 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, recorded in other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets, as the accrued liability does not represent expected liquidity needs. For further information on pension and other post-retirement benefits, refer to Note 29 – Pension and other post-retirement benefits in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group.

Short-term obligations

end of	2010	2009
Short-term obligations (CHF million)		
Due to banks	37,493	36,214
Customer deposits	287,564	286,694
Trading liabilities	133,997	133,481
Short-term borrowings	21,683	7,645
Brokerage payables	61,746	58,965
Total short-term obligations	542,483	522,999

Corporate Governance and Compensation

Corporate Governance

Compensation

Corporate Governance

Overview

Complying with rules and regulations

The Group's corporate governance complies with internationally accepted standards. We are committed to safeguarding the interests of our stakeholders and recognize the importance of good corporate governance. We know that transparent disclosure of our governance helps stakeholders assess the quality of the Group and our management and assists investors in their investment decisions.

We fully adhere to the principles set out in the Swiss Code of Best Practice, including its appendix stipulating recommendations on the process for setting compensation for the Board of Directors (Board) and the Executive Board. We also adapt our practices for developments in corporate governance principles and practices in jurisdictions outside Switzerland. Some of the major developments with respect to corporate governance during 2010 include:

- the adoption of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act in the US, including provisions for corporate governance and executive compensation;
- revisions made to the UK Financial Services Authority's (FSA) remuneration code;
- the publication of the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision's principles for enhancing corporate governance; and
- the issuance by the Committee of European Banking Supervisors of guidelines on remuneration policies and practices for the EU.

For the Group, these 2010 corporate governance developments primarily impacted our compensation policy and practices. For further information, refer to *Compensation*.

In connection with our primary listing on the SIX Swiss Exchange (SIX), we are subject to the SIX Directive on Information Relating to Corporate Governance. Our shares are also listed on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) in the form of >>>American Depositary Shares (ADS). As a result, we are subject to certain US rules and regulations. Moreover, we adhere to the NYSE's Corporate Governance Listing Standards (NYSE standards), with a few exceptions where the rules are not applicable to foreign private issuers.

The following are the significant differences between our corporate governance standards and the corporate governance standards applicable to US domestic issuers listed on the NYSE:

- Approval of employee benefit plans: the NYSE standards require shareholder approval of the establishment of, and material revisions to, all equity compensation plans. We comply with Swiss law, which requires that shareholders approve the creation of conditional capital used to set aside shares for employee benefit plans and other equity compensation plans, but does not require shareholders to approve the terms of those plans.
- Risk assessment and risk management: the NYSE standards allocate to the Audit Committee responsibility for the discussion of guidelines and policies governing the process by which risk assessment and risk management is undertaken, while at the Group these duties are assumed by the Risk Committee. Whereas our Audit Committee members satisfy the NYSE independence requirements, our Risk Committee may include non-independent members.
- Independence of nominating and corporate governance committee: the NYSE standards require that all members of the nominating and corporate governance committee be independent, while the Group's Chairman's and Governance Committee may include non-independent members.
- Reporting: the NYSE standards require that certain board committees report specified information directly to shareholders, while under Swiss law only the Board reports directly to the shareholders and the committees submit their reports to the full Board.
- Appointment of the external auditor: the NYSE standards require the Audit Committee to be directly responsible for the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of the external auditor unless there is a conflicting requirement under home country law. Under Swiss law, the appointment of the external auditor must be approved by our shareholders at the Annual General Meeting (AGM) based on the proposal of the Board, which receives the advice and recommendation of the Audit Committee.

Corporate governance framework

Our corporate governance policies and procedures are defined in a series of documents. The Board has adopted the Corporate Governance Guidelines, which form the basis of a sound corporate governance framework and refer to other documents that regulate certain aspects of corporate governance in greater detail. Our corporate governance documents, all of which are available on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/governance, include:

- Articles of Association (AoA): define the purpose of the business, the capital structure and the basic organizational framework. The AoA of the Group is dated January 31, 2011 and the AoA of the Bank is dated August 5, 2010.
- Organizational Guidelines and Regulations (OGR): define the responsibilities and sphere of authority of the various bodies within the Group, as well as the relevant reporting procedures.
- Corporate Governance Guidelines of Credit Suisse Group AG: summarize certain principles promoting the function of the Board and its committees and the effective governance of the Group.
- Board of Directors Charter: outlines the organization and responsibilities of the Board.
- Board committee charters: define the organization and responsibilities of the committees.
- Code of Conduct: defines the Group's ethical values and professional standards that all directors and employees are required to follow. The Code of Conduct was revised in 2010 and puts additional emphasis on adherence of our employees to all relevant laws, regulations, and policies, in order to maintain and strengthen our reputation for integrity, fair dealing and measured risk taking. The amended Code of Conduct was approved by the Board in December 2010 and is available on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/code. The Code of Conduct also implements requirements stipulated under the US Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) by including provisions on ethics for our

Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and our principal financial and accounting officers and other persons performing similar functions. No waivers or exceptions are permissible under our Code of Conduct.

– Compensation policy: developed during 2010 to provide a foundation for the development of sound compensation plans and practices that support the Group’s long-term strategic objectives. Our compensation policy is available on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/compensation.

Company

Credit Suisse Group AG (Group) and Credit Suisse AG (Bank) are registered as Swiss corporations in the Commercial Register of the Canton of Zurich under the registration numbers CH-020.3.906.075-9 (as of March 3, 1982) and CH-020.3.923.549-1 (as of April 4, 1883), respectively, and have their registered and main offices at Paradeplatz 8, 8070 Zurich, Switzerland. The Group and the Bank were incorporated on March 3, 1982 and July 5, 1856, respectively, with unlimited duration. The authorized representative in the US for the Group and the Bank is Credit Suisse (USA), Inc., 11 Madison Avenue, New York, New York, 10010. The business purpose of the Group, as set forth in Article 2 of its AoA, is to hold direct or indirect interests in all types of businesses in Switzerland and abroad, in particular in the areas of banking, finance, asset management and insurance. The business purpose of the Bank, as set forth of Article 2 of its AoA, is to operate as a bank, with all related banking, finance, consultancy, service and trading activities in Switzerland and abroad. The AoA of the Group and the Bank set forth their powers to establish new businesses, acquire a majority or minority interest in existing businesses and provide related financing and to acquire, mortgage and sell real estate properties both in Switzerland and abroad.

Our business consists of three operating divisions: Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management. The three divisions are complemented by Shared Services and a regional management structure. For further information on our structure, refer to *I – Information on the company*.

A detailed review of our operating results can be found in *II – Operating and financial review*. For a list of significant subsidiaries and associated entities, refer to *Note 38 – Significant subsidiaries and equity method investments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*. The Group is listed on the SIX (Swiss Security Number 1213853, with a market capitalization of CHF 44,683 million as of December 31, 2010), and, with the exception of Neue Aargauer Bank AG (NAB), Aarau, Switzerland, no other subsidiaries have shares listed on the SIX or any other stock exchange. In the third quarter of 2010, the Group launched a tender offer to acquire the approximately 1.4% of shares of NAB not owned by the Group. The tender offer amounted to CHF 37.5 million, which the NAB board of directors unanimously recommended to accept. As of November 17, 2010, the Group owned 99.95% of the share capital of NAB following its tender offer for shares not owned by the Group. The Group has applied for the cancellation of the remaining shares pursuant to Art. 33 of the Swiss Federal Act on Stock Exchanges and Securities Trading. Transfer of ownership of the remaining shares will take place in the first quarter of 2011, after which NAB will no longer be listed as a separate entity on the SIX.

The Swiss Code of Obligations requires directors and members of senior management to safeguard the interests of the corporation and, in connection with this requirement, imposes the duties of care and loyalty on directors and members of senior management. While Swiss law does not have a general provision on conflicts of interest, the duties of care and loyalty are generally understood to disqualify directors and members of senior management from participating in decisions that could directly affect them. Directors and members of senior management are personally liable to the corporation for any breach of these provisions. In addition, Swiss law contains a provision pursuant to which payments made to a shareholder or a director or any person associated with them (for example, family members, business partners, agents or financing providers), other than at arm’s length, must be repaid to the corporation if the shareholder or director was not acting in good faith.

Neither Swiss law nor our AoA restrict our power to borrow and raise funds in any way. The decision to borrow funds is passed by or under the direction of our Board, with no shareholders' resolution required.

Employees

As of December 31, 2010, we had 50,100 employees worldwide, of which 21,700 were in Switzerland and 28,400 were abroad.

The number of employees increased by 2,500 full-time equivalents, or 5%, compared to the end of 2009. Private Banking and Investment Banking increased their workforces during 2010, mainly IT employees, reflecting an investment in our growth markets and businesses, expansion of fixed income sales and underwriting, advisory, prime services and cash equities businesses in Investment Banking and client-facing businesses in Private Banking.

Our corporate titles include managing director, director, vice president, assistant vice president and non-officer staff. The majority of our employees do not belong to unions. We have not experienced any significant strikes, work stoppages or labor disputes in recent years. We consider our relations with our employees to be good. For further information, refer to *I – Information on the company*.

Number of employees

end of	2010	2009	% change
Number of employees (full-time equivalents)			
Private Banking	25,600	24,300	5
Investment Banking	20,700	19,400	7
Asset Management	2,900	3,100	(6)
Corporate Center	900	800	13
Number of employees	50,100	47,600	5
of which Switzerland	21,700	20,900	4
of which abroad	28,400	26,700	6

Information policy

We are committed to an open and fair information policy with our shareholders and other stakeholders. Our Investor Relations and Corporate Communications departments are responsible for inquiries.

All shareholders registered in our share register receive an invitation to our AGM including an order form to receive the annual report and other reports. Each registered shareholder also receives a quarterly shareholders' letter providing an overview on our performance in a short and concise format. In addition, we produce detailed quarterly reports on our financial performance, which shareholders can elect to receive.

All of these reports and other information can be found on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/investors.

Articles of Association

For a summary of the material provisions of our AoA and the Swiss Code of Obligations as they relate to our shares, refer to *Shareholders* and *Additional information*. That description does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Swiss Code of Obligations and the AoA. The Group's and Bank's AoA are available on our website www.credit-suisse.com/articles.

Indemnification

Neither our AoA nor Swiss statutory law contains provisions regarding the indemnification of directors and officers. According to general principles of Swiss employment law, an employer may, under certain circumstances, be required to indemnify an employee against losses and expenses incurred by such person in the execution of such person's duties under an employment agreement, unless the losses and expenses arise from the employee's gross negligence or willful misconduct. It is our policy to indemnify current and former directors and/or employees against certain losses and expenses in respect of service as our director or employee, one of our affiliates or another entity that we have approved, subject to specific conditions or exclusions. We maintain directors' and officers' insurance for our directors and officers.

Shareholders

Capital structure

Our total issued share capital as of December 31, 2010 was CHF 47,446,977 divided into 1,186,174,442 registered shares, with a nominal value of CHF 0.04 per Group share. Our shares are listed on the SIX and in the form of ADS on the NYSE. For information on the shareholder rights associated with our shares, refer to *Shareholder rights*.

For information on changes to share capital and authorized and conditional capital during the year, refer to *Note 7 – Share capital, conditional and authorized capital of Credit Suisse Group in VI – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group* and our AoA (Articles 26, 26b-c and 27). For the previous year's information, refer to the Credit Suisse Annual Report 2009.

Shareholder base

We have a broad shareholder base, with the majority of shares owned directly or indirectly by institutional investors outside Switzerland. Through the use of an external global market intelligence firm, we regularly gather additional information on the composition of our shareholder base including information on shares that are not registered in the share register. According to this data, our shareholder base as of December 31, 2010 was comprised of 11% private investors, 79% institutional investors and 10% other investors, including hedge funds. The geographical break down of our institutional investors is as follows: 20% Switzerland, 9% other continental Europe, 15% the UK and Ireland, 41% the US and 15% the rest of the world.

As of December 31, 2010, 146,900 shareholders were listed in our share register. To the best of our knowledge, there are no agreements in place that could lead to a change in control of the Group. As of December 31, 2010, 55 million, or 4.7%, of the issued shares were in the form of ADS. Another 12 million, or 1.1%, of the issued shares were registered in the name of US domiciled shareholders (excluding nominees) as of December 31, 2010.

The information provided in the following tables reflects the distribution of Group shares as registered in our share register.

Distribution of Group shares in the share register

end of	2010		2009	
	Number of shareholders	%	Number of shares	%
Distribution of Group shares				
Swiss	129,333	88	110,771,741	9
Foreign	12,096	8	11,852,180	1
Private investors	141,429	96	122,623,921	10
Swiss	4,645	3	133,663,669	11
Foreign	826	1	517,265,377	44
Institutional investors	5,471	4	650,929,046	55
Shares registered in share register	146,900	100	773,552,967	65
of which Switzerland	133,978	91	244,435,410	21
of which Europe	11,404	8	266,308,720	22
of which US	259	0	162,486,114	14
of which Other	1,259	1	100,322,723	8
Shares not registered in share register	-	-	412,621,475	35
Total shares issued	-	-	1,186,174,442	100

Distribution of institutional investors in share register by industry

end of	2010		2009	
	Number of shareholders	%	Number of shares	%
Institutional investors by industry				
Banks	44	0	2,149,606	0
Insurance companies	104	0	11,543,941	1
Pension funds	961	1	32,465,565	3

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Investment trusts	400	0	71,096,802	6	424	0	73,710,776	6
Other trusts	856	1	6,277,961	1	824	1	3,819,398	0
Governmental institutions	12	0	5,964,710	1	9	0	9,091,148	1
Other ¹	2,877	2	169,526,525	14	2,897	2	148,663,825	13
Direct entries	5,254	4	299,025,110	25	5,408	4	284,200,280	24
Fiduciary holdings	217	0	351,903,936	30	220	0	338,454,586	29
Total institutional investors	5,471	4	650,929,046	55	5,628	4	622,654,866	53

1 Includes various other institutional investors for which a breakdown by industry type was not available.

Significant shareholders

Under Swiss Federal Act of Stock Exchanges and Securities Trading (SESTA), anyone holding shares in a company listed on the SIX is required to notify the company and the SIX if their holding reaches, falls below or exceeds the following thresholds: 3%, 5%, 10%, 15%, 20%, 25%, 33 1/3%, 50% or 66 2/3% of the voting rights entered into the commercial register, whether or not the voting rights can be exercised (that is, notifications must also include certain derivative holdings such as options or similar instruments). Following receipt of such notification, the company has the obligation to inform the public. In addition, pursuant to the Swiss Code of Obligations, a company must disclose in the notes to their annual consolidated financial statements the identity of any shareholders who own in excess of 5% of their shares. The following provides an overview of the holdings of our significant shareholders from the most recent disclosure notifications. In line with the SESTA requirements, the percentages indicated below were calculated in relation to the share capital reflected in the AoA at the time of the disclosure notification. For more information, refer to *Note 3 – Business developments in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*. The full text of all notifications can be found on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/shareholders.

Significant shareholders

	Date of most recent notification	Approximate shareholding %
December 31, 2010		
The Olayan Group (registered entity – Crescent Holding GmbH)	February 1, 2010	6.60
Qatar Investment Authority (registered entity – Qatar Holding Netherlands B.V.)	August 27, 2010	6.17
Black Rock Inc.	December 1, 2009	3.75
Koor Industries Ltd.	February 9, 2010	3.12
Capital Group Companies, Inc.		3.08

February 25,
2010

The Group also holds significant positions in its own shares, which are subject to the same disclosure requirements as significant external shareholders. These positions fluctuate and primarily reflect market making and satisfying obligations under our employee compensation plans. On December 23, 2010, we disclosed that our holdings amounted to 7.96%, of which 1.48% was in the form of registered shares and 6.47% was in the form of >>>derivatives (share acquisition rights and granted share sale rights) and that our holdings of sales positions amounted to 2.77%. Shares held by the Group have no voting rights. For information on trading on own shares, refer to *IX – Additional information – Other information – Trading in our own shares*.

Cross shareholdings

The Group has no cross shareholdings in excess of 5% of capital or voting rights with any other company.

Shareholder rights

We are fully committed to the principle of equal treatment of all shareholders and encourage shareholders to participate at our AGM. The following is a summary of shareholder rights at the Group. For further information, refer to our AoA, which is available on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/articles.

Voting rights and transfer of shares

There is no limitation under Swiss law or the AoA on the right to own Group shares.

In principle, each share represents one vote at the AGM. Shares held by the Group have no voting rights. Shares for which a single shareholder or shareholder group can exercise voting rights may not exceed 2% of the total outstanding share capital, unless one of the exemptions discussed below applies.

The restrictions on voting rights do not apply to:

- the exercise of voting rights by the Group proxy or by the independent proxy as designated by the Group or by persons acting as proxies for deposited shares;
- shares in respect of which the shareholder confirms to us that the shareholder has acquired the shares in the shareholder's name for the shareholder's own account and in respect of which the disclosure requirements in accordance with the Swiss Federal Act of Stock Exchanges and Securities Trading (SESTA) and the relevant ordinances and regulations have been fulfilled; or
- shares that are registered in the name of a nominee, provided that this nominee is willing to furnish us on request the name, address and shareholding of the person(s) for whose account the nominee holds 0.5% or more of the total share capital and confirms to us that any applicable disclosure requirements under the SESTA have been fulfilled.

In order to execute voting rights, shares need to be registered in the share register directly or in the name of a nominee. In order to be registered in the share register, the purchaser must file a share registration form. The registration of shares in the share register may be requested at any time. Failing such registration, the purchaser may not vote or participate in shareholders' meetings. However, each shareholder, whether registered in the share register or not,

receives dividends or other distributions approved at the AGM. The transfer of shares is executed by a corresponding entry in the custody records of a bank or depository institution following an assignment in writing by the selling shareholder and notification of such assignment to us by the transferor, the bank or the depository institution.

Annual General Meeting

Under Swiss law, the AGM must be held within six months after the end of the fiscal year. Notice of an AGM, including agenda items and proposals submitted by the Board and by shareholders, must be published in the Swiss Official Commercial Gazette at least 20 days prior to the meeting.

Shares only qualify for voting at an AGM if entered in the share register with voting rights no later than three days prior to an AGM.

Convocation of shareholder meetings

The AGM is convened by the Board or, if necessary, by the statutory auditors, with 20 days' prior notice. The Board is further required to convene an extraordinary shareholders' meeting if so resolved at a shareholders' meeting or if so requested by shareholders holding in aggregate at least 10% of the nominal share capital. The request to call an extraordinary shareholders' meeting must be submitted in writing to the Board, and, at the same time, Group shares representing at least 10% of the nominal share capital must be deposited for safekeeping. The shares remain in safekeeping until the day after the extraordinary shareholders' meeting.

Request to place an item on the agenda

Shareholders holding shares with an aggregate nominal value of at least CHF 40,000 have the right to request that a specific item be put on the agenda and voted upon at the next AGM. The request to include a particular item on the agenda, together with a relevant proposal, must be submitted in writing to the Board no later than 45 days before the meeting and, at the same time, Group shares with an aggregate nominal value of at least CHF 40,000 must be deposited for safekeeping. The shares remain in safekeeping until the day after the AGM.

Statutory quorums

The AGM may, in principle, pass resolutions without regard to the number of shareholders present at the meeting or represented by proxy. Resolutions and elections generally require the approval of a majority of the votes represented at the meeting, except as otherwise provided by mandatory provisions of law or by the AoA.

Shareholders' resolutions that require a vote by a majority of the votes represented include:

- amendments to the AoA, unless a supermajority is required;
- election of directors and statutory auditors;
- approval of the annual report and the statutory and consolidated accounts; and
- determination of the appropriation of retained earnings.

A quorum of at least two thirds of the votes represented is required for resolutions on:

- change of the purpose of the company;
- creation of shares with increased voting powers;
- implementation of transfer restrictions on shares;

- authorized or conditional increase in the share capital;
- increase of capital by way of conversion of capital surplus or by contribution in kind;
- restriction or suspension of pre-emptive rights;
- change of location of the principal office; and
- dissolution of the company without liquidation.

A quorum of at least half of the total share capital and approval by at least three quarters of the votes represented is required for resolutions on:

- the conversion of registered shares into bearer shares;
- amendments to the AoA relating to registration and voting rights of nominee holders; and
- the dissolution of the company.

A quorum of at least half of the total share capital and the approval of at least seven eighths of the votes cast is required for amendments to provisions of the AoA relating to voting rights.

Pre-emptive rights

Under Swiss law, any share issue, whether for cash or non-cash consideration or no consideration, is subject to the prior approval of the shareholders. Shareholders of a Swiss corporation have certain pre-emptive rights to subscribe for new issues of shares in proportion to the nominal amount of shares held. A resolution adopted at a shareholders' meeting with a supermajority may, however, limit or suspend pre-emptive rights in certain limited circumstances.

Notices

Notices to shareholders are made by publication in the Swiss Official Commercial Gazette. The Board may designate further means of communication for publishing notices to shareholders. Notices required under the listing rules of the SIX will either be published in two Swiss newspapers in German and French and sent to the SIX or otherwise communicated to the SIX in accordance with applicable listing rules. The SIX may disseminate the relevant information.

Board of Directors

Membership and qualifications

The AoA provide that the Board shall consist of a minimum of seven members. The Board currently consists of 15 members. We believe that the size of the Board must be such that the committees can be staffed with qualified members. At the same time, the Board must be small enough to ensure an effective and rapid decision-making process. The members are elected individually for a period of three years and are eligible for re-election. There is no requirement in the AoA for a staggered board. One year of office is understood to be the period of time from one ordinary AGM to the close of the next ordinary AGM. While the AoA do not provide for any age or term limitations, our OGR specify that the members of the Board shall generally retire at the ordinary AGM in the year in which they reach the age of 70 or after having served on the Board for 15 years. The Board may in certain circumstances propose

to the shareholders to elect a particular Board member for a further term of a maximum of three years despite the respective Board member having reached the age or term limitation. None of our directors has a service contract with us or any of our subsidiaries providing for benefits upon termination of service.

The Board has four committees: the Chairman's and Governance Committee, the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee and the Risk Committee. The committee members are appointed by the Board for a term of one year. An overview of the Board and committee membership is shown below. The composition of the Boards of the Group and the Bank is identical.

Members of Board and Board committees

	Board member since	Current term end	Independence	Chairman's and Governance Committee	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Risk Committee
December 31, 2010							
Hans-Ulrich Doerig, full-time Chairman	2003	2011	Independent	Chairman	–	–	–
Urs Rohner, full-time Vice-Chairman	2009	2012	Not independent	Member	–	–	Member
Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Vice-Chairman	1997	2011	Independent	Member	–	Member	–
Jassim Bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani ¹	2010	2013	Not independent	–	–	–	Guest ²
Robert H. Benmosche ¹	2010	2013	Independent	–	–	Member	–
Noreen Doyle	2004	2013	Independent	–	–	–	Member
Walter B. Kielholz	1999	2012	Independent	–	–	Member	–
Andreas N. Koopmann	2009	2012	Independent	–	–	–	Member
Jean Lanier	2005	2011	Independent	–	Member	–	–
Anton van Rossum	2005	2011	Independent	–	–	–	Member
Aziz R.D. Syriani	1998	2012	Independent	Member	–	Chairman	–
David W. Syz	2004	2013	Independent	–	Member	–	–
Richard E. Thornburgh	2006	2012	Independent	Member	–	–	Chairman
John Tiner	2009	2012	Independent	–	Member	–	–
Peter F. Weibel	2004	2012	Independent	Member	Chairman	–	–

¹ Appointed member as of the April 30, 2010 AGM. ² Non-voting committee member.

As of the AGM on April 30, 2010, Ernst Tanner stepped down as a member of the Board and Jassim Bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani and Robert H. Benmosche were elected as new members. The Board proposes the following members to be

re-elected to the Board as of the AGM on April 29, 2011: Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Jean Lanier and Anton van Rossum. Vice-Chairman Urs Rohner will succeed Hans-Ulrich Doerig as Chairman.

Board composition

The Chairman's and Governance Committee regularly considers the composition of the Board as a whole and in light of staffing requirements for the committees. The Chairman's and Governance Committee recruits and evaluates candidates for Board membership based on criteria established by the committee. The committee may also retain outside consultants with respect to the identification and recruitment of potential new Board members. In assessing candidates, the Chairman's and Governance Committee considers the requisite skills and characteristics of Board members as well as the composition of the Board as a whole. Among other considerations, the committee takes into account independence, diversity, age, skills and management experience in the context of the needs of the Board to fulfill its responsibilities. The Board also considers other activities and commitments of an individual in order to be satisfied that a proposed member of the Board can devote enough time to a Board position at the Group. The background, skills and experience of our Board members are diverse and broad and include holding top management positions at financial services and industrial companies in Switzerland and abroad and having held leading positions in government and international organizations. The Board is composed of individuals with diverse experience, geographic origin and tenure.

To maintain a high degree of diversity and independence in the future, we have a succession planning process in place to identify potential candidates for the Board at an early stage. With this, we are well prepared when Board members rotate off the Board. Besides more formal criteria consistent with legal and regulatory requirements, we believe that other aspects including team dynamics and personal reputation of Board members play a critical role to ensure the effective functioning of the Board. This is why we place utmost importance on the right mix of personalities which also are fully committed to making their blend of specific skills and experience available to the Board.

New members

Any newly appointed director participates in an orientation program to become familiar with our organizational structure, strategic plans, significant financial, accounting and risk issues and other important matters. The orientation program is designed to take into account the new Board member's individual background and level of experience in each specific area. Moreover, the program's focus is aligned with any committee memberships of the person concerned. Board members are encouraged to engage in continuing training. The Board and the committees of the Board regularly ask a specialist within the Group to speak about a specific topic to improve the Board members' understanding of issues that already are or may become of particular importance to our business.

Meetings

In 2010, the Board held six full-day meetings in person and two additional meetings. From time to time, the Board may also take certain urgent decisions via circular resolution, unless a member asks that the matter be discussed in a meeting and not decided upon by way of written consent.

All members of the Board are expected to spend the necessary time outside these meetings needed to discharge their responsibilities appropriately. The Chairman calls the meeting with sufficient notice and prepares an agenda for each meeting. However, any other Board member has the right to call an extraordinary meeting, if deemed necessary. The Chairman has the discretion to invite members of management or others to attend the meetings. Generally, the members of the Executive Board attend part of the meetings to ensure effective interaction with the Board. The Board

also holds separate private sessions, without management present. Minutes are kept of the proceedings and resolutions of the Board.

Meeting attendance

	Board of Directors	Chairman's and Governance Committee	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Risk Committee
in 2010					
Total number of meetings held	8	9	9	8	6
Number of members who missed no meetings	10	2	2	3	4
Number of members who missed one meeting	6	3	2	1	1
Number of members who missed two or more meetings	0	1	1	0	0
Meeting attendance, in %	95	91	89	96	97

Meeting attendance

The members of the Board are expected to attend all meetings of the Board and the committees on which they serve. The Chairman may approve exceptions. The Chairman and the full-time Vice-Chairman attend selected committee meetings as guests. The meeting attendance statistics for the Board and committee meetings are shown in the “Meeting attendance” table.

Independence

The Board consists solely of directors who have no executive functions within the Group. As of December 31, 2010, 13 members of the Board were deemed independent, and two members, Urs Rohner and Jassim bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani, were deemed not independent. In its independence determination, the Board takes into account the factors set forth in the OGR, the committee charters and applicable laws and listing standards. Our independence standards are also periodically measured against other emerging best practice standards.

The Chairman’s and Governance Committee performs an annual assessment of the independence of each Board member and reports its findings to the Board for the final determination of independence of each individual member. In general, a director is considered independent if the director:

- is not, and has not been for the prior three years, employed as an executive officer of the Group or any of its subsidiaries;
- is not and has not been for the prior three years an employee or affiliate of our external auditor; and

– does not maintain a material direct or indirect business relationship with the Group or any of its subsidiaries.

Moreover, a Board member is not considered independent if the Board member is, or has been at any time during the prior three years, part of an interlocking directorate in which a member of the Executive Board serves on the compensation committee of another company that employs the Board member. The length of tenure a Board member has served is not a criterion for independence. Significant shareholder status is also not considered a criterion for independence unless the shareholding exceeds 10% of the Group's share capital. Board members with immediate family members who would not qualify as independent are also not considered independent. Our definition of independence is in line with the Swiss Code of Best Practices and the NYSE definitions. In addition to measuring Board members against the independence criteria, the Chairman's and Governance Committee also considers whether other commitments of an individual Board member prevent the person from devoting enough time to his or her Board mandate.

Whether or not a relationship between the Group and a member of the Board is considered material depends in particular on the following factors:

- the volume and size of any transactions concluded in relation to the financial status and credit standing of the Board member concerned or the organization in which he or she is a partner, significant shareholder or executive officer;
- the terms and conditions applied to such transactions in comparison to those applied to transactions with counterparties of a similar credit standing;
- whether the transactions are subject to the same internal approval processes and procedures as transactions that are concluded with other counterparties;
- whether the transactions are performed in the ordinary course of business; and
- whether the transactions are structured in such a way and on such terms and conditions that the transaction could be concluded with a third party on comparable terms and conditions.

Urs Rohner, full-time Vice-Chairman, was deemed not independent due to his former role as Chief Operating Officer (COO) and General Counsel of the Group until the AGM in April 2009. Jassim bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani, Chairman of Qatar Investment Bank, was deemed not independent due to the scope of various business relationships between the Group and Qatar Investment Authority (QIA), a state-owned company that has close ties to the Al Thani family, and between the Group and the Al Thani family. The Group has deemed these various business relationships could constitute a material business relationship.

The Group is a global financial services provider. Many of the members of the Board or companies associated with them maintain banking relations with us. With the exception of the transactions described below and in *Compensation – Loans to members of the Board*, all relationships with members of the Board or such companies are in the ordinary course of business and are entered into on an arm's length basis. For further information on relationships with members of the Board, refer to *Note 28 – Related parties in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

In February 2011, we entered into definitive agreements with affiliates of QIA and The Olayan Group, which have significant holdings of Group shares, to issue an aggregate of CHF 5.9 billion Tier 1 Buffer Capital Notes for cash or in exchange for tier 1 capital notes issued in 2008. The purchase or exchange will occur no earlier than October 2013, the first call date of the Tier 1 Capital Notes. The Tier 1 Buffer Capital Notes will be converted into our ordinary shares if our reported common equity tier 1 ratio falls below 7%. For more information about the terms of the transaction, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Capital management – Capital issuances*. The Group determined that this was a material transaction and deemed QIA and The Olayan Group to be related parties of Jassim bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani and Aziz R. D. Syriani, respectively, for

purposes of evaluating the terms and corporate governance of the transaction. The Group and the Board (except for Jassim bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani and Aziz R. D. Syriani, who recused themselves) determined that the terms of the transaction, given its size, the nature of the contingent buffer capital, for which there was no established market, and the terms of the tier 1 capital notes issued in 2008 and held by QIA and The Olayan Group, were fair.

Chairman of the Board

The Chairman coordinates the work of the Board and its committees and ensures that the Board members are provided with the information relevant for performing their duties. The Chairman has no executive function within the Group. With the exception of the Chairman's and Governance Committee, the Chairman is not a member of any of the Board's standing committees. However, he may attend all or part of selected committee meetings, as well as the meetings of the Executive Board, as a guest. The Chairman is actively involved in developing the strategic business plans and objectives of the Group. Furthermore, he works closely with the CEO in establishing succession plans for key management positions.

The Chairman takes an active role in representing the Group to the general public, regulators, investors, industry associations and other stakeholders.

Board responsibilities

In accordance with the OGR, the Board delegates certain tasks to Board committees and delegates the management of the company and the preparation and implementation of Board resolutions to certain management bodies or executive officers to the extent permitted by law, in particular article 716a and 716b of the Swiss Code of Obligations, and the AoA.

With responsibility for the overall direction, supervision and control of the company, the Board regularly assesses our competitive position and approves our strategic and financial plans. At each ordinary meeting, the Board receives a status report on our financial results, risk and capital situation. In addition, the Board receives, on a monthly basis, management information packages, which provide detailed information on our performance and financial status, as well as quarterly risk reports outlining recent developments and outlook scenarios. Management also provides the Board members with regular updates on key issues and significant events, as deemed appropriate or requested. In order to appropriately discharge its responsibilities, the members of the Board have access to all information concerning the Group.

The Board also reviews and approves significant changes in our structure and organization and is actively involved in significant projects including acquisitions, divestitures, investments and other major projects. The Board and its committees are entitled, without consulting with management and at the Group's expense, to engage independent legal, financial or other advisors, as they deem appropriate, with respect to any matters within their authority. The Board performs a self-assessment once a year, where it reviews its own performance and sets objectives and a work plan for the coming year.

Board committees

At each Board meeting, the committee chairmen report to the Board about their activities. In addition, the minutes and documentation of the committee meetings are accessible to all Board members.

Chairman's and Governance Committee

The Chairman's and Governance Committee consists of the Chairman, the Vice-Chairmen and the chairmen of the committees of the Board and other members appointed by the Board. It may include non-independent members.

The Chairman's and Governance Committee has its own charter, which has been approved by the Board. It generally meets eight to ten times per year. The meetings are usually attended by the CEO. It is at the Chairman's discretion to ask other members of management or specialists to attend a meeting.

The Chairman's and Governance Committee acts as an advisor to the Chairman and supports him in the preparation of the Board meetings. In addition, the Chairman's and Governance Committee is responsible for the development and review of corporate governance guidelines, which are then recommended to the Board for approval. At least once annually, the Chairman's and Governance Committee evaluates the independence of the Board members and reports its findings to the Board for final determination. The Chairman's and Governance Committee is also responsible for identifying, evaluating, recruiting and nominating new Board members in accordance with the Group's internal criteria, subject to applicable laws and regulations.

In addition, the Chairman's and Governance Committee guides the Board's annual performance assessment of the Chairman, the CEO and the members of the Executive Board. The Chairman does not participate in the discussion of his own performance. The Chairman's and Governance Committee proposes to the Board the appointment, promotion, dismissal or replacement of members of the Executive Board. The Chairman's and Governance Committee also reviews succession plans for senior executive positions in the Group with the Chairman and the CEO.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee consists of not fewer than three members, all of whom must be independent.

The Audit Committee has its own charter, which has been approved by the Board. The members of the Audit Committee are subject to additional independence requirements. None of the Audit Committee members may be an affiliated person of the Group or may, directly or indirectly, accept any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fees from us other than their regular compensation as members of the Board and its committees. The Audit Committee charter stipulates that all Audit Committee members must be financially literate. In addition, they may not serve on the Audit Committee of more than two other companies, unless the Board deems that such membership would not impair their ability to serve on our Audit Committee.

In addition, the US Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) requires disclosure about whether a member of the Audit Committee is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SOX. The Board has determined that Peter F. Weibel and John Tiner are audit committee financial experts.

Pursuant to its charter, the Audit Committee holds meetings at least once each quarter, prior to the publication of our consolidated financial statements. Typically, the Audit Committee convenes for a number of additional meetings and conference calls throughout the year. The meetings are attended by management representatives, as appropriate, the Head of Internal Audit and senior representatives of the external auditor. At most Audit Committee meetings, a private session with Internal Audit and the external auditors is scheduled to provide them with an opportunity to discuss issues with the Audit Committee without management being present. The Head of Internal Audit reports directly to the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

The primary function of the Audit Committee is to assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight role by:

- monitoring and assessing the integrity of the consolidated financial statements as well as disclosures of the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows;

- monitoring processes designed to ensure an appropriate internal control system, including compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- monitoring the qualifications, independence and performance of the external auditors and of Internal Audit; and
- monitoring the adequacy of financial reporting processes and systems of internal accounting and financial controls.

The Audit Committee is regularly informed about significant projects aimed at further improving processes and receives regular updates on major litigation matters as well as significant regulatory and compliance matters. The Audit Committee also oversees the work of our external auditor and pre-approves the retention of, and fees paid to, the external auditor for all audit and non-audit services. For this purpose, it has developed and approved a policy that is designed to help ensure that the independence of the external auditor is maintained at all times. The policy limits the scope of services that the external auditor may provide to us or any of our subsidiaries to audit and certain permissible types of non-audit services, including audit-related services, tax services and other services that have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee pre-approves all other services on a case-by-case basis. The external auditor is required to report periodically to the Audit Committee about the scope of the services it has provided and the fees for the services it has performed to date. Furthermore, the Audit Committee has established procedures for the receipt, retention and treatment of complaints regarding accounting, internal controls or auditing matters, including a whistleblower hotline to provide the option to report complaints on a confidential, anonymous basis. The Audit Committee performs a self-assessment once a year where it critically reviews its own performance and determines objectives, including any special focus objectives, and a work plan for the coming year.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee consists of not fewer than three members, all of whom must be independent.

The Compensation Committee has its own charter, which has been approved by the Board. Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Committee holds at least four meetings per year. Additional meetings may be scheduled at any time. The main meeting is held in January with the primary purpose of reviewing the performance of the businesses and the respective management teams and determining and/or recommending to the Board for approval the overall variable compensation pools and the compensation payable to the members of the Board, the Executive Board, the head of Internal Audit and certain other members of senior management. Other duties and responsibilities of the Compensation Committee include reviewing newly established compensation plans or amendments to existing plans and recommending them to the Board for approval. The Chairman of the Compensation Committee decides on the attendance of management or others at the committee meetings.

The Compensation Committee is assisted in its work by external legal counsel and an independent global compensation consulting firm, Johnson Associates, Inc. Johnson Associates does not provide other services to the Group other than assisting the Compensation Committee. For information on our compensation approach, principles and objectives, refer to *Compensation – Compensation governance*. The Compensation Committee performs a self-assessment once a year where it reviews its own performance against the responsibilities listed in the charter and the committee's objectives and determines any special focus objectives for the coming year.

Risk Committee

The Risk Committee consists of not fewer than three members. It may include non-independent members.

The Risk Committee has its own charter, which has been approved by the Board, and holds at least four meetings a year. In addition, the Risk Committee usually convenes for additional meetings throughout the year in order to appropriately discharge its responsibilities. The Chairman of the Risk Committee invites members of management or others to attend the committee meetings, as appropriate.

The Risk Committee's main duties are to assist the Board in assessing the different types of risk to which we are exposed, as well as our risk management structure, organization and processes. The Risk Committee approves selected risk limits and makes recommendations to the Board regarding all of its risk-related responsibilities, including the review of major risk management and capital adequacy requirements. The Risk Committee performs a self-assessment once a year where it reviews its own performance against the responsibilities listed in the charter and the committee's objectives and determines any special focus objectives for the coming year.

Biographies of the Board members

Hans-Ulrich Doerig
Born 1940 Swiss Citizen

Hans-Ulrich Doerig has been the full-time Chairman of the Board and the Chairman's and Governance Committee since 2009. Prior to that, he served as full-time Vice-Chairman of the Board and Chairman of the Risk Committee since 2003. He served as Vice-Chairman of the Group Executive Board from 1998 to 2003 and as CRO from 1998 until 2002. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards. Hans-Ulrich Doerig will remain Chairman until the AGM in April 2011 when he will be succeeded by the current full-time Vice-Chairman, Urs Rohner.

After completing his studies at the University of St. Gallen with degrees in economics and law, including a doctorate he received in 1968, and after five years at JP Morgan in New York, Mr. Doerig joined Credit Suisse in 1973. In 1982, he was appointed a member of the Executive Board of Credit Suisse with responsibility for the multinational division, securities trading, capital markets, corporate finance and commercial banking in Asia. From 1993 to 1996, he served as Vice-Chairman of the Board of Credit Suisse. In 1996, he became President of the Executive Board of Credit Suisse. During 1997, he served as CEO of Credit Suisse First Boston.

Mr. Doerig has been a member of the Board of Directors of the University of Zurich since 1998. He also serves on the Board of Directors of the International Red Cross museum in Geneva. Furthermore, he is a member of the supervisory bodies of several foundations and academic, arts, charitable and professional organizations, as well as the author of a number of publications on finance, education and management.

Urs Rohner

Born 1959 Swiss Citizen

Urs Rohner has been the full-time Vice-Chairman of the Board and a member of the Chairman's and Governance Committee and Risk Committee since the AGM in 2009. He was a member of the Executive Boards of Credit Suisse Group and Credit Suisse from 2004 to 2009 and served as General Counsel of Credit Suisse Group from 2004 to 2009 and as COO and General Counsel of Credit Suisse from 2006 to 2009. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2012. Urs Rohner will succeed Hans-Ulrich Doerig in the position of Chairman at the AGM in April 2011. Due to his former executive function at Credit Suisse, the Board has determined that he is not independent under the Group's independence standards. For further information, refer to *Independence*.

Mr. Rohner studied law at the University of Zurich and graduated in 1983. He was admitted to the bar of the canton of Zurich in 1986 and to the bar of the state of New York in 1990. From 1983 to 1988, he was an attorney with the law firm Lenz & Stähelin in Zurich and, between 1988 and 1989, with Sullivan & Cromwell LLP in New York. From 1990 to 1999, he was a partner at Lenz & Stähelin. Between 2000 and 2004, Mr. Rohner served as Chairman of the Executive Board and CEO of ProSiebenSat.1 Media AG.

Mr. Rohner is a member of the Board of Directors of the Institute of International Finance and of the Institute International d'Etudes Bancaires and served on the Committee of Experts of the Swiss Federal Council on limiting economic risk associated with large companies. Mr. Rohner is also a member of the Board of Directors of the Zurich Opera House and a member of the Board of Trustees of the Lucerne Festival.

Peter Brabeck-Letmathe

Born 1944 Austrian Citizen

Peter Brabeck-Letmathe has been Vice-Chairman of the Board since 2008, a function he also held from 2000 to 2005. He has been a member of the Board since 1997. He has served on the Compensation Committee and the Chairman's and Governance Committee since 2008 and has been a member of both committees at previous times. He served from 2000 to 2005 on the Compensation Committee and from 2003 to 2005 on the Chairman's and Governance Committee. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2011. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Mr. Brabeck-Letmathe studied economics at the University of World Trade in Vienna. After graduating in 1968, he joined Nestlé's sales operations in Austria. His career at Nestlé SA includes a variety of assignments in several European countries as well as in Latin America. Since 1987, he has been based at Nestlé's headquarters in Vevey. Mr. Brabeck-Letmathe has been the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Nestlé SA since 2005. From 1997 to 2008, he was also the CEO of Nestlé SA.

Mr. Brabeck-Letmathe has been a member of the Boards of Directors of L'Oréal SA, Paris, since 1997 and Exxon Mobil Corporation and Delta Topco (Formula 1) both since 2010. He is also a member of the Foundation Board of the World Economic Forum and a member of the European Round Table of Industrialists.

Jassim Bin Hamad J.J.

Al Thani

Born 1982 Qatar Citizen

Jassim Bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani has been a member of the Board since 2010. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2013. The Board has determined him to be not independent under the Group's independence

standards. For further information, refer to *Independence*.

Since April 2005, Mr. Al Thani has been Chairman of the Board of Directors of Qatar Islamic Bank. He is also the Chairman of: QInvest, the first Islamic investment bank founded in Qatar; European Finance House, an Islamic investment bank founded by the Qatar Islamic Bank in London; Al Zaman Islamic Insurance Co.; and Q-RE LLC, an insurance and reinsurance company. He is a member of the Board of Directors of Qatar Navigation Company, Qatar Insurance Company and ARCAPITA Bank and the CEO of Special Projects Company, Qatar, a family enterprise.

Mr. Al Thani completed his studies in the State of Qatar and graduated as an Officer Cadet from the Royal Military Academy, Sandhurst, UK.

Robert H. Benmosche
Born 1944 US Citizen

Robert H. Benmosche has been a member of the Board since 2002 and a member of the Compensation Committee since 2003. In August 2009, Mr. Benmosche stepped down as a member of the Board as a result of his appointment as President and CEO of American International Group, Inc. (AIG). Changes in AIG's business made it possible for Mr. Benmosche to rejoin the Board in April 2010. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2013. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Mr. Benmosche is the President and CEO of AIG, New York. He was the Chairman of the Board and the CEO of MetLife, Inc., New York, from the demutualization of the company in 2000, and of Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, New York, from 1998 until his retirement in 2006. Before joining MetLife in 1995, Mr. Benmosche was with PaineWebber, New York, for 13 years. He received a BA degree in Mathematics from Alfred University, New York, in 1966.

Mr. Benmosche does not hold any other significant board memberships.

Noreen Doyle
Born 1949 Irish and US Citizen

Noreen Doyle has been a member of the Board since 2004 and a member of the Risk Committee since 2009. During 2007 and 2008, she served on the Audit Committee and from 2004 to 2007, she served on the Risk Committee. Her term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2013. The Board has determined her to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Ms. Doyle was the First Vice President and Head of Banking of the European Bank for Reconstruction and Development (EBRD) from 2001 to 2005. She joined the EBRD in 1992 as Head of Syndications, was appointed Chief Compliance Officer in 1994 and became Deputy Vice President of Risk Management in 1997. Prior to joining the EBRD, Ms. Doyle spent 18 years at Bankers Trust Company with assignments in Houston, New York and London.

Ms. Doyle received a BA in Mathematics from The College of Mount Saint Vincent, New York, in 1971, and an MBA from Dartmouth College, New Hampshire, in 1974.

Ms. Doyle currently serves on the Boards of Directors of the Newmont Mining Corporation, QinetiQ Group Plc, a UK-based defense technology and security company, and Rexam Plc, a global consumer packaging company, all

since 2005. Moreover, she is a member of the Advisory Board of the Macquarie European Infrastructure Fund and the Macquarie Renaissance Infrastructure Fund.

Walter B. Kielholz
Born 1951 Swiss Citizen

Walter B. Kielholz has been a member of the Board since 1999 and a member of the Compensation Committee since 2009. He served as Chairman of the Board and the Chairman's and Governance Committee from 2003 to 2009 and as Chairman of the Audit Committee from 1999 to 2002. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2012. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Mr. Kielholz studied business administration at the University of St. Gallen and graduated in 1976 with a degree in Business Finance and Accounting.

Mr. Kielholz's career began at the General Reinsurance Corporation, Zurich, in 1976. After working in the US, the UK and Italy, Mr. Kielholz assumed responsibility for the company's European marketing. In 1986, he joined Credit Suisse, responsible for client relations with large insurance groups in the Multinational Services department.

Mr. Kielholz joined Swiss Re, Zurich, in 1989. He became a member of Swiss Re's Executive Board in 1993 and was Swiss Re's CEO from 1997 to 2002. A board member since 1998, he became the Executive Vice-Chairman of the Board of Directors of Swiss Re in 2003, Vice-Chairman in 2007 and since May 2009, he has served as the Chairman.

Mr. Kielholz is a Board of Directors member of the Geneva Association, the European Financial Roundtable and the Institute of International Finance. From 1998 to 2005 and again since 2009, Mr. Kielholz is a member of the International Business Leader Advisory Council and a member of the International Advisory Panel, advising the Monetary Authority of Singapore's financial section on reforms and strategies. In addition, Mr. Kielholz is a member and former Chairman of the Supervisory Board of Avenir Suisse. Mr. Kielholz is a member of the Zurich Friends of the Arts, the Lucerne Festival Foundation Board and Chairman of the Zürcher Kunstgesellschaft (Zurich Art Society), which runs Zurich's Kunsthaus museum.

Andreas N. Koopmann
Born 1951 Swiss Citizen

Andreas N. Koopmann has been a member of the Board and the Risk Committee since the AGM in 2009. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2012. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

From 1982 to 2009, Mr. Koopmann held various leading positions at Bobst Group S.A., Lausanne, one of the world's leading suppliers of equipment and services to packaging manufacturers. He was a member of its Board of Directors from 1998 to 2002 and Group CEO, from 1995 to May 2009.

Mr. Koopmann holds a Master's Degree in Mechanical Engineering from the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich and an MBA from IMD in Lausanne, Switzerland.

Since 2010, Mr. Koopmann is the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Alstom (Suisse) SA and a member of the Board of Directors of Georg Fischer AG. Since 2003, Mr. Koopmann is a member of the Board of Directors of Nestlé SA, its 1st Vice-Chairman and a member of its Chairman's and Corporate Governance Committee. Mr. Koopmann is

also a member of the Board of Directors of the CSD Group, an engineering consultancy enterprise in Switzerland and serves as the Vice-Chairman of Swissmem, the association of Swiss Mechanical and Electrical Engineering Industries. From 1995 to 1999, he served as member of the Board of Directors of Credit Suisse First Boston. He was a member of Credit Suisse's Advisory Board from 1999 to 2007.

Jean Lanier
Born 1946 French Citizen

Jean Lanier has been a member of the Board and the Audit Committee since 2005. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2011. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Mr. Lanier is the former Chairman of the Managing Board and Group CEO of Euler Hermes, Paris. He also chaired boards of the principal subsidiaries of the group. He held these functions from 1998 until 2004. Prior to that, he was the COO and Managing Director of SFAC, which later became Euler Hermes SFAC, from 1990 to 1997, and of the Euler Group from 1996 to 1998.

Mr. Lanier started his career at the Paribas Group in 1970, where he worked until 1983 and held, among others, the functions of Senior Vice President of Paribas Group Finance division and Senior Executive for North America of the Paribas Group in New York. In 1983, he joined the Pargesa Group, where he held the positions of President of Lambert Brussels Capital Corporation in New York, from 1983 to 1989, and Managing Director of Pargesa, based in Paris and Geneva, from 1988 to 1990.

Mr. Lanier holds a Masters of Engineering from the Ecole Centrale des Arts et Manufactures, Paris (1969), and a Masters of Sciences in Operations Research and Finance from Cornell University, New York (1970).

Mr. Lanier is the Chairman of the Boards of Directors for Swiss RE Europe SA, Swiss RE International SE and Swiss RE Europe Holdings SA and also serves on their respective audit and risk committees. He is a Chevalier de la Légion d'Honneur in France and a Member of the Board of the Foundation "La Fondation Internationale de l'Arche."

Aziz R.D. Syriani
Born 1942 Canadian Citizen

Aziz R.D. Syriani has been a member of the Board since 1998 and Chairman of the Compensation Committee since 2004. He has been a member of the Chairman's and Governance Committee since 2003 and served on the Audit Committee from 2003 to 2007. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2012. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Mr. Syriani holds a degree in Law from the University of St. Joseph in Beirut (1965) and a Master of Laws degree from Harvard University, Massachusetts (1972). He has been with The Olayan Group since 1978 and has served as the President since 1978 and the CEO since 2002. The Olayan Group is a private multinational enterprise engaged in distribution, manufacturing and global investment and a significant shareholder of the Group.

Mr. Syriani has served on the Board of Directors of Occidental Petroleum Corporation, Los Angeles, since 1983, where he is currently the Lead Independent Director and Chairman of the Audit Committee, as well as a member of the Executive and the Corporate Governance Committees.

David W. Syz
Born 1944 Swiss Citizen

David W. Syz has been a member of the Board and the Audit Committee since 2004. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2013. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

After completing his studies at the Law School of the University of Zurich and receiving a doctorate from the same university in 1972 and an MBA at INSEAD, Fontainebleau, in 1973, Mr. Syz started his career as Assistant to the Director at the Union Bank of Switzerland in Zurich and subsequently held the equivalent position at Elektrowatt AG, Zurich. In 1975, he was appointed Head of Finance at Staefa Control System AG, Stäfa, and became Managing Director after four years. From 1982 to 1984, he was the CEO of Cerberus AG, Männedorf. In 1985, Mr. Syz returned to Elektrowatt AG as Director and Head of Industries and Electronics. In 1996, he was appointed CEO and Managing Director of Schweizerische Industrie-Gesellschaft Holding AG, Neuhausen.

Appointed State Secretary in 1999, Mr. Syz took charge of the new State Secretariat for Economic Affairs, a function from which he retired in 2004.

Mr. Syz has been the Chairman of the Board of Directors of Huber & Suhner AG, Pfäffikon, since 2005, Vice-Chairman from 2004 to 2005, and the Chairman of the Board of Directors of ecodocs AG, Zollikon, since 2004. Moreover, he has been the Chairman of the Supervisory Board of the Climate Cent Foundation since 2005, an organization mandated with the implementation of the carbon dioxide reduction program according to the Kyoto Protocol.

Richard E. Thornburgh
Born 1952 US Citizen

Richard E. Thornburgh has been a member of the Board and the Risk Committee since 2006 and the Chairman of the Risk Committee and a member of the Chairman's and Governance Committee since 2009. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2012. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Mr. Thornburgh has been Vice-Chairman of Corsair Capital, New York, a private equity investment company since 2006.

Mr. Thornburgh received a BBA from the University of Cincinnati, Ohio, in 1974, and an MBA from the Harvard Business School, Massachusetts, in 1976, and then began his investment banking career in New York with The First Boston Corporation, a predecessor firm of Credit Suisse First Boston. In 1995, Mr. Thornburgh was appointed Chief Financial and Administrative Officer and a member of the Executive Board of Credit Suisse First Boston. In 1997, he was appointed member of the Group Executive Board, where he served until 2005. From 1997 to 1999, Mr. Thornburgh was the CFO of Credit Suisse Group and, from 1999 to 2002, he was Vice-Chairman of the Executive Board of Credit Suisse First Boston. In addition, he performed the function of CFO of Credit Suisse First Boston from May 2000 through 2002. From 2003 to 2004, he was the CRO of Credit Suisse Group. In 2004, he was appointed Executive Vice-Chairman of Credit Suisse First Boston.

Mr. Thornburgh has also served on the Boards of Directors of New Star Financial Inc., Boston, since 2006, and CapStar Bank, Nashville, since 2008. Furthermore, he serves on the Executive Committee of the University of

Cincinnati Foundation and the Investment Committee of the University of Cincinnati.

John Tiner
Born 1957 British Citizen

John Tiner has been a member of the Board and the Audit Committee since the AGM in 2009. His term as member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2012. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Mr. Tiner is the CEO of Resolution Operations LLP, a privately owned advisory firm which provides services to Resolution Ltd., a company listed on the London Stock Exchange that acquires and restructures businesses in the life insurance, asset management, general insurance, banking and diversified general financial sectors to realize value for its shareholders. He has held this position since September 2008.

Mr. Tiner was previously CEO of the FSA, a position he held from 2003 to 2007. He initially joined the FSA in 2001 as Managing Director of the Consumer Insurance and Investment Directorate. While at the FSA, he was also a member of the Managing Board of the Committee of European Insurance and Occupational Pensions Regulators and Chairman of the Committee of European Securities Regulators – Standing Committee on Accounting and Auditing. Before joining the FSA, Mr. Tiner was a Managing Partner at Arthur Andersen and was responsible for its worldwide financial services practice.

Mr. Tiner is a member of the Boards of Directors of Lucida Plc, a UK-based insurance company, and of Friends Provident Holdings and Friends Provident Plc, UK-based life and pension company, and a member of the Advisory Board of Corsair Capital, a private equity investment company. He is also a member of the Advisory Board of the Centre for Corporate Reputation and Visiting Fellow of Oxford University.

In recognition of his contribution to the financial services industry, Mr. Tiner was awarded Commander of the British Empire in 2008 and he was made an Honorary Doctor of Letters at his former college, Kingston University, in 2010.

Anton van Rossum
Born 1945 Dutch Citizen

Anton van Rossum has been a member of the Board since 2005 and a member of the Risk Committee since 2008. From 2005 to 2008, he served on the Compensation Committee. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2011. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards.

Mr. van Rossum was the CEO of Fortis from 2000 to 2004. He was also a member of the Board of Directors of Fortis and chaired the boards of the principal subsidiaries of the group during this time.

Prior to that, Mr. van Rossum worked for 28 years with McKinsey and Company, where he led a number of top management consulting assignments with a focus on the banking and insurance sectors. He was elected Principal and a Director of the firm in 1979 and 1986, respectively.

Mr. van Rossum studied Economics and Business Administration at the Erasmus University in Rotterdam, where he obtained a bachelor's degree in 1965 and a master's degree in 1969.

Mr. van Rossum is a member of the Supervisory Board of Munich Re AG, an international re-insurance and primary insurance group, and chairs the Supervisory Board of Royal Vopak NV, Rotterdam, an international oil, chemicals and LNG storage group. In addition, he is a member of the Board of Directors of Solvay SA, Brussels, an international chemicals and plastics company, a member of the Supervisory Board of Rodamco Europe NV, Amsterdam, a commercial real estate investment group, and chairs the Supervisory Board of Erasmus University, Rotterdam. He also chairs the Board of Trustees of the Netherlands Economics Institute and sits on the boards of several cultural, philanthropic and educational institutions.

Peter F. Weibel
Born 1942 Swiss Citizen

Peter F. Weibel has been a member of the Board and the Chairman's and Governance Committee and the Chairman of the Audit Committee since 2004. His term as a member of the Board expires at the AGM in 2012. The Board has determined him to be independent under the Group's independence standards and a financial expert within the meaning of SOX.

After completing his studies in Economics at the University of Zurich in 1968, including a doctorate in 1972, and after working as a consultant at IBM Switzerland for three years, Mr. Weibel joined the Central Accounting Department at UBS in 1975 and later became a Senior Vice President in its Corporate Banking division. In 1988, he was appointed CEO of Revisuisse, one of the predecessor companies of PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Zurich, and served as a member of the PricewaterhouseCoopers Global Oversight Board from 1998 to 2001. He retired from his function as the CEO of PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Zurich, in 2003.

Mr. Weibel is the chairman of the Executive MBA Program of the University of Zurich, a member of the Board of Directors of the Greater Zurich Area AG, serves on the Swiss Advisory Council and the Executive Committee of the American Swiss Foundation and is a member of the Senior Advisory Council of the Swiss-American Chamber of Commerce. He also serves on the Board of Directors of the Careum Foundation and chairs the Pestalozzi Foundation, the Braille without Borders Foundation (Switzerland) and the Zurich Art Festival.

Honorary Chairman of Credit Suisse Group

Rainer E. Gut

Born 1932
Swiss Citizen

Rainer E. Gut was appointed the Honorary Chairman of Credit Suisse Group in 2000, after he retired as Chairman, a position he held since 1986. Mr. Gut was a member of the Board of Directors of Nestlé SA, Vevey, from 1981 to 2005, whereof Vice-Chairman from 1991 to 2000 and Chairman from 2000 to 2005.

As Honorary Chairman, Mr. Gut does not have any function in the governance of the Group and does not attend the meetings of the Board.

Secretaries of the Board

Pierre Schreiber

Joan E. Belzer

Executive Board

Members of the Executive Board

The Executive Board is responsible for the day-to-day operational management of the Group. It develops and implements the strategic business plans for the Group overall as well as for the principal businesses, subject to approval by the Board. It further reviews and coordinates significant initiatives, projects and business developments in the divisions, regions and in the Shared Services functions and establishes Group-wide policies.

The composition of the Executive Board of the Group and the Bank is identical.

Members of the Executive Board

	Executive Board member since	Organiza- tional role
December 31, 2010		
Brady W. Dougan, CEO	2003	CEO
Osama S. Abbasi, CEO Credit Suisse Asia Pacific ¹	2010	Regional Head
Walter Berchtold, CEO Credit Suisse Private Banking	2003	Divisional Head
Romeo Cerutti, General Counsel	2009	Shared Services Head
Tobias Guldemann, CRO	2004	Shared Services Head
Fawzi Kyriakos-Saad, CEO Credit Suisse EMEA ²	2010	Regional Head
Karl Landert, CIO	2009	Shared Services Head
David R. Mathers, CFO ¹	2010	Shared Services Head
Hans-Ulrich Meister, CEO Credit Suisse Switzerland	2008	Regional Head
Antonio C. Quintella, CEO Credit Suisse Americas ²	2010	Regional Head
Robert S. Shafir, CEO Asset Management	2007	Divisional Head
Pamela A. Thomas-Graham, Chief Talent, Branding and Communications Officer ³	2010	Shared Services Head
Eric M. Varvel, CEO Investment Banking	2008	Divisional Head

¹ Appointed member as of October 1, 2010. ² Appointed member as of July 1, 2010. ³ Appointed member as of January 10, 2010.

In September 2010, Renato Fassbind, CFO, and Kai S. Nargolwala, CEO Credit Suisse Asia Pacific, stepped down from the Executive Board. In November 2010, Paul Calello, Chairman of Investment Banking, passed away.

Biographies of the Executive Board members

Brady W. Dougan
Born 1959 US Citizen

Brady W. Dougan has been the CEO since 2007. Prior to that, he was the CEO Investment Banking and the CEO of Credit Suisse Americas. He has served on the Executive Board since 2003.

Mr. Dougan received a BA in Economics in 1981 and an MBA in finance in 1982 from the University of Chicago, Illinois. After starting his career in the derivatives group at Bankers Trust, he joined Credit Suisse First Boston in 1990. He was the Head of the Equities division for five years before he was appointed the Global Head of the Securities division in 2001. From 2002 to July 2004, he was the Co-President of Institutional Securities at Credit Suisse First Boston, and from 2004 until 2005, he was CEO of Credit Suisse First Boston and, after the merger with Credit Suisse in May 2005, he was the CEO of Investment Banking until 2007.

Mr. Dougan has been a member of the Board of Directors of Humacyte Inc., a biotechnology company, since 2005.

Osama S. Abbasi
Born 1968 British Citizen

Osama S. Abbasi has been the CEO of Credit Suisse's Asia Pacific region and a member of the Executive Board since October 2010.

Mr. Abbasi holds a Bachelor of Science in Economics from the Wharton School of Business at the University of Pennsylvania. Prior to assuming the role of CEO of Credit Suisse Asia Pacific, he was head of the Equity department in Asia Pacific and a member of the Global Equity Management Committee and the Investment Banking Division Management Committee. Prior to this, Mr. Abbasi was Head of Global Securities for Non-Japan Asia and Australia, responsible for both the Equities and Fixed Income departments, and Head of European Securities.

Mr. Abbasi joined Credit Suisse Financial Products, the former derivatives subsidiary of Credit Suisse First Boston, in 1996, from Bankers Trust, where he worked in various trading and marketing positions in Fixed Income and Equities.

Mr. Abbasi does not hold any significant board memberships.

Walter Berchtold
Born 1962 Swiss Citizen

Walter Berchtold has been the CEO of Private Banking at Credit Suisse since 2006 and a member of the Executive Board since 2003.

After obtaining a commercial diploma, Mr. Berchtold joined Credit Suisse First Boston Services AG, Zurich, in 1982, and, a year later, transferred as a trader to the precious metal and currency options unit of Valeurs White Weld SA, in Geneva, which was later renamed Credit Suisse First Boston Futures Trading SA. In 1987, he was given the task of heading the Japanese convertible notes trading team, and in 1988, he assumed shared responsibility for all the business activities of Credit Suisse First Boston Futures Trading AG in Zurich.

In 1991, Mr. Berchtold joined Credit Suisse in Zurich as the Head of Arbitrage in the Securities Trading department. In the following year, he became the Head of the Equity Derivatives Trading department. In 1993, he managed the Equity Trading unit and, in 1994, he took on overall responsibility for Credit Suisse's Securities Trading & Sales activities globally.

From 1997 to 2003, Mr. Berchtold was the Head of Trading and Sales of Credit Suisse First Boston, Switzerland and thereafter became the Country Manager of Credit Suisse First Boston, where he was responsible for the entire Swiss business of Credit Suisse First Boston. From 2003 to July 2004, he was the Head of Trading and Sales at Credit Suisse Financial Services and, in April 2004, he was appointed CEO of Banking at Credit Suisse Financial Services. In July 2004, he was the CEO of the former Credit Suisse, a position he held until the merger with Credit Suisse First Boston in May 2005. Between May and December, 2005, he was the CEO of Credit Suisse.

Mr. Berchtold is a member of the Board of the Swiss Bankers Association and several philanthropic and cultural foundations.

Romeo Cerutti
Born 1962 Swiss and Italian Citizen

Romeo Cerutti has been the Group General Counsel and a member of the Executive Board since April 2009. Prior to that, he was General Counsel of the Private Banking division from 2006 to 2009 and the global Co-Head of Compliance of Credit Suisse from 2008 to 2009.

Before joining Credit Suisse, Mr. Cerutti was a partner of the Group Holding of Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch & Cie, from 2004 to 2006, and the Head of Corporate Finance at Lombard Odier Darier Hentsch & Cie from 1999 to 2006.

Prior to that position, Mr. Cerutti was in private practice as an attorney-at-law with Homburger Rechtsanwälte in Zurich from 1995 to 1999 and with Latham and Watkins in Los Angeles from 1993 to 1995.

Mr. Cerutti studied law at the University of Fribourg and obtained his doctorate in 1990. He was admitted to the bar of the canton of Zurich in 1989 and the bar of the state of California in 1992. Mr. Cerutti also holds a Master of Laws from the University of California, School of Law, Los Angeles.

Mr. Cerutti has been a member of the Board of Trustees of the University of Fribourg since 2006.

Tobias Guldemann
Born 1961 Swiss Citizen

Tobias Guldemann has been the CRO of Credit Suisse since June 2009. He has been a member of the Executive Board in the role of Group CRO since 2004.

Mr. Guldemann studied Economics at the University of Zurich and received a doctorate from the same university in 1989. He joined Credit Suisse's Internal Audit Department in 1986 before transferring to Investment Banking in 1990. He later became the Head of Derivatives Sales in 1992, the Head of Treasury Sales in 1993 and the Head of Global Treasury Coordination at Credit Suisse in 1994. In 1997, he became responsible for the management support of the CEO of Credit Suisse First Boston before becoming the Deputy CRO of Credit Suisse Group, a function he held from 1998 to July 2004. From 2002 to 2004, he also served as the Head of Strategic Risk Management at Credit Suisse.

Mr. Guldemann has been a member of the Foundation Board of the International Financial Risk Institute and Chairman since 2010.

Fawzi Kyriakos-Saad
Born 1962 British and Lebanese Citizen

Fawzi Kyriakos-Saad has been the CEO of Credit Suisse EMEA and a member of the Executive Board since July 2010. He is also the Co-Head of the Global Emerging Markets Council.

Mr. Kyriakos-Saad holds a Bachelor of Civil Engineering from the American University of Beirut and an MBA from Columbia University, New York.

Prior to assuming the role of CEO of the EMEA region in July 2010, Mr. Kyriakos-Saad was the CEO of Russia, the countries of the Commonwealth of Independent States and Turkey for Credit Suisse. He joined Credit Suisse in 2006 from JPMorgan Chase, where he worked in a variety of senior fixed income and emerging market management roles. Before joining JPMorgan Chase, he spent eight years at Goldman Sachs in New York and London.

Mr. Kyriakos-Saad is a member of the Board of Directors of the Lebanese International Finance Executives, a non-profit organization.

Karl Landert
Born 1959 Swiss Citizen

Karl Landert has been the CIO of Credit Suisse since 2008 and a member of the Executive Board since June 2009. Previously, he was the CIO of Private Banking. He joined Credit Suisse in 2001 as the Head of Application Development and he was appointed the Head of IT in 2004.

Before joining Credit Suisse, Mr. Landert served as the CIO and Head of Global IT Management of Novartis Pharma AG (Switzerland) from 1998 to 2001. Between 1985 and 1998, he held various management positions in sales and systems engineering at IBM Switzerland.

Mr. Landert studied at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology in Zurich and received his degree in Physics in 1984.

Mr. Landert is a member of the Boards of Directors of the Swiss IT Leadership Forum, ICT Switzerland and the Foundation for IT Professional Education.

David R. Mathers
Born 1965 British Citizen

David Mathers has been the CFO of Credit Suisse Group and a member of the Executive Board since October 2010.

Mr. Mathers holds an MA in Natural Sciences from the University of Cambridge, England.

Prior to his appointment as CFO, Mr. Mathers was the Head of Finance and the COO for Investment Banking in New York and London from 2007 to 2010. In this role, he was responsible for Investment Banking Finance, Operations, Expense Management and Strategy. Mr. Mathers started his career as a research analyst at HSBC James Capel in 1987 and became Global Head of Equity Research in 1997. He joined Credit Suisse in 1998, working in a number of senior positions in Credit Suisse's Equity business, including the Director of European Research and the Co-Head of European Equities.

Mr. Mathers does not hold any significant board memberships.

Hans-Ulrich Meister
Born 1959 Swiss Citizen

Hans-Ulrich Meister has been the CEO of Credit Suisse Switzerland, the Head of Private and Business Banking Switzerland and a member of the Executive Board since September 2008.

Mr. Meister graduated from the University of Applied Sciences in Zurich, in 1987, majoring in Economics and Business Administration. In addition, he attended Advanced Management Programs at the Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania in 2000 and the Harvard Business School in 2002.

Before joining Credit Suisse in 2008, Mr. Meister spent 25 years with UBS. Among the roles he had were the Head of Corporate Banking Region Zurich from 1999 to 2002, the Head of Large Corporates and Multinationals from 2003 to 2005 and the Head of Business Banking from 2005 to 2007. From 2002 to 2003, he worked on group projects in the area of Wealth Management, based in New York. From 2004 to 2007, Mr. Meister was a member of UBS's Group Managing Board.

Mr. Meister has been a member of the Foundation Board of the Swiss Finance Institute since 2008.

Antonio C. Quintella
Born 1966 Brazilian Citizen

Antonio Quintella has been the CEO of Credit Suisse Americas and a member of the Executive Board since July 2010. He is also the Co-Head of the Global Emerging Markets Council.

Mr. Quintella holds a BA in Economics from Pontificia Universidade Católica of Rio de Janeiro and an MBA from the London Business School. Mr. Quintella joined Credit Suisse in 1997 from ING Barings as a senior relationship banker in the Investment Banking department and was named CEO of Credit Suisse Brazilian operations, in 2003. As the CEO of Brazil, he oversaw the expansion of the Bank's presence in the Brazilian market, including the acquisition of Hedging-Griffo, a leading independent asset management and private banking firm in Brazil, in 2006.

Mr. Quintella is a member of the Board of Directors of Febraban, the Brazilian bank association, and is a member of the global advisory council of the London Business School.

Robert S. Shafir
Born 1958 US Citizen

Robert Shafir has been the CEO of Asset Management since April 2008 and a member of the Executive Board since August 2007. He held the position of CEO of Credit Suisse Americas until July 2010.

Mr. Shafir received a BA in Economics from Lafayette College, Pennsylvania, in 1980, and an MBA from Columbia University, Graduate School of Business, New York, in 1984.

Mr. Shafir joined Credit Suisse from Lehman Brothers, where he worked for 17 years, having served as the Head of Equities as well as a member of their Executive Committee. He also held other senior roles, including the Head of European Equities and the Global Head of Equities Trading, and played a key role in building Lehman's equities business into a global, institutionally focused franchise. Prior to that, he worked at Morgan Stanley in the preferred stock business within the fixed income division.

Mr. Shafir is a member of the Board of Directors of the Cystic Fibrosis Foundation and the Dwight School Foundation.

Pamela A. Thomas-Graham
Born 1963 US Citizen

Pamela Thomas-Graham has been the Chief Talent, Branding and Communications Officer and a member of the Executive Board since January 2010.

Prior to joining the Group, Ms. Thomas-Graham was a Managing Director in the private equity group of Angelo, Gorden & Co., a New York-based investment management firm, from 2008 to 2010. She previously served as Group President of Liz Claiborne Inc.'s women's wholesale apparel business from 2005 to 2008. Ms. Thomas-Graham was at NBC for six years from 1999 to 2005, where she served as President, CEO and Chairwoman of CNBC television and a Director of CNBC International. She also served as the President and CEO of CNBC.com. Prior to that, she worked at McKinsey & Company for ten years from 1989 to 1999.

Ms. Thomas-Graham obtained a BA in Economics from Harvard University in 1985, a JD from Harvard Law School in 1989 and an MBA from Harvard Business School in 1989.

Ms. Thomas-Graham is a member of the Board of Directors of the Clorox Company. She is also a member of the Council on Foreign Relations and the Economic Club of New York, and sits on the Boards of the New York City Opera and the Parsons School of Design. She is a member of the Visiting Committee for Harvard Business School.

Eric M. Varvel
Born 1963 US Citizen

Eric Varvel has been the CEO Investment Banking since September 2009 (acting CEO between September 2009 until July 2010) and a member of the Executive Board since February 2008.

Mr. Varvel holds a BA in Business Finance from Brigham Young University, Utah.

Prior to his current function, Mr. Varvel was the CEO of Credit Suisse EMEA, the Co-Head of the Global Investment Banking department and the Head of the Global Markets Solutions Group in the Investment Banking division of Credit Suisse for over three years, based in New York. Before that, Mr. Varvel spent 15 years in the Asia Pacific region in a variety of senior roles, including the Head of Investment Banking and Emerging Markets Coverage for the Asia Pacific region ex-Japan and the Head of Fixed Income Sales and Corporate Derivative Sales. During that time, Mr. Varvel was based in Tokyo, Jakarta and Singapore.

Mr. Varvel joined Credit Suisse in 1990. Previously, he worked as an analyst for Morgan Stanley in its investment banking department in New York and Tokyo.

Mr. Varvel is a member of the Board of Directors of the Qatar Exchange.

Remembering Paul Calello (1961 – 2010)

In November, Paul Calello, Chairman of Investment Banking and a member of our Executive Board, passed away from non-Hodgkin's Lymphoma at the age of 49. It is a great loss for Credit Suisse, the entire financial community and all those who were touched by Paul's remarkable drive and energy.

CEO Brady Dougan, who worked with Paul Calello for over 25 years, described him as: "An outstanding leader, and a down-to-earth, very human colleague who forged strong relationships and made a positive difference in the world around him. He will be missed greatly, but his spirit and accomplishments will remain a part of Credit Suisse."

Mr. Dougan continued: "Paul made an enormous contribution to Credit Suisse and the financial industry over many years. He played a pivotal role in the creation of the equity derivatives market, and as a founding member of Credit Suisse Financial Products, helped build it into one of the most significant derivatives firms in the industry. He ran many important businesses for Credit Suisse and expanded our presence globally, most notably in Asia Pacific, where he led Credit Suisse's dramatic growth across the region. In 2007, Paul was named CEO of Investment Banking and did an incredible job, working with his management team, to navigate the market crisis and bring the franchise out of the downturn stronger and well positioned for the new environment."

In addition to his business achievements, Paul will also be remembered for his engagement on policy issues affecting the global financial system. He was the first industry leader to advocate consistent, effective regulation of derivative instruments globally, at a time when this was highly controversial. Earlier this year, he co-authored with Wilson Ervin, a Credit Suisse colleague who serves as Special Advisor to the CEO, an op-ed outlining a "bail-in" concept to address the failure of a large financial institution without a costly tax-payer "bail-out" – an innovative idea that has continued to gain ground in senior policy circles.

Paul represented Credit Suisse with many leading policy, educational and cultural organizations. He served as a trustee of the Credit Suisse Foundation and was a member of the Board of Overseers of the Columbia Business School and the Board of Directors of the New York Philharmonic. Paul also served on the International Board of Advisors to

Former Philippines President Arroyo, the Council on Foreign Relations and the Economic Club of New York.

In October 2010, Columbia Business School announced the establishment of the Paul Calello Professorship in Leadership and Ethics. This permanent professorship was endowed by a small group of Credit Suisse colleagues who worked with Paul over the years, and who wanted to honor his contribution to the Bank and its people. The Calello Professorship will advance academic scholarship and research on aspects of business life that Paul exemplified throughout his career and that are of critical importance to the future.

With his extraordinary energy and enthusiasm, he was able to achieve much in the short time he was given.

Additional information

Changes of control and defense measures

Duty to make an offer

Swiss law provides that anyone who, directly or indirectly or acting in concert with third parties, acquires 33 1/3% or more of the voting rights of a listed Swiss company, whether or not such rights are exercisable, must make an offer to acquire all of the listed equity securities of such company, unless the AoA of the company provides otherwise. Our AoA does not include a contrary provision. This mandatory offer obligation may be waived under certain circumstances by the Swiss Takeover Board or the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA). If no waiver is granted, the mandatory offer must be made pursuant to procedural rules set forth in the SESTA and the implementing ordinances.

Clauses on changes of control

Subject to certain provisions in the Group's employee compensation plans providing for the treatment of outstanding awards in the case of a change of control, there are no provisions that require the payment of extraordinary benefits in the case of a change of control in the agreements and plans benefiting members of the Board and the Executive Board or any other members of senior management. Specifically, there are no contractually agreed severance payments in the case of a change of control of the Group. Moreover, none of the employment contracts with members of the Executive Board or other members of senior management provides for extraordinary benefits that would be triggered by a change of control.

Internal and external auditors

Auditing forms an integral part of corporate governance at the Group. Both internal and external auditors have a key role to play by providing an independent assessment of our operations and internal controls.

Internal Audit

Our Internal Audit function comprises a team of around 250 professionals, more than 220 of whom are directly involved in auditing activities. The Head of Internal Audit, Heinz Leibundgut, reports directly to the Chairman of the Audit Committee.

Internal Audit performs an independent and objective assurance and consulting function that is designed to add value to our operations. Using a systematic and disciplined approach, the Internal Audit team evaluates and enhances the effectiveness of our risk management, control and governance processes.

Internal Audit is responsible for carrying out periodic audits in line with the Regulations of Internal Audit approved by the Audit Committee. It regularly and independently assesses the risk exposure of our various business activities, taking into account industry trends, strategic and organizational decisions, best practice and regulatory matters. Based on the results of its assessment, Internal Audit develops detailed annual audit objectives, defining areas of audit concentration and specifying resource requirements for approval by the Audit Committee.

As part of its efforts to achieve best practice, Internal Audit regularly benchmarks its methods and tools against those of its peers. In addition, it submits periodic internal reports and summaries thereof to the management teams as well as the Chairman and the Chairman of the Audit Committee. The Head of Internal Audit reports to the Audit Committee at least quarterly and more frequently as appropriate. Internal Audit coordinates its operations with the activities of the external auditor for maximum effect.

External auditors

Our statutory auditor is KPMG AG, Badenerstrasse 172, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland. The mandate was first given to KPMG for the business year 1989/1990. The lead Group engagement partners are Marc Ufer, Global Lead Partner (since 2010), Simon Ryder, Group Engagement Partner (since 2010), and Philipp Rickert, Leading Bank Auditor (since 2006).

In addition, we have mandated BDO AG, Fabrikstrasse 50, 8031 Zurich, Switzerland, as special auditor for the purposes of issuing the legally required report for capital increases in accordance with Article 652f of the Swiss Code of Obligations, mainly relating to the valuation of companies in consideration of the qualified capital increases involving contributions in kind.

The Audit Committee monitors and pre-approves the fees to be paid to KPMG for its services.

Fees paid to external auditors

	2010	2009	% change
Fees paid to external auditors (CHF million)			
Audit services ¹	44.0	42.0	5
Audit-related services ²	13.8	16.0	(14)
Tax services ³	7.8	8.4	(7)

¹ Audit fees include the integrated audit of the Group's consolidated and statutory financial statements, interim reviews and comfort and consent letters. Additionally it includes all assurance and attestation services related to the regulatory filings of the Group and its subsidiaries. ² Audit-related services are primarily in respect of: (i) reports related to the Group's compliance with provisions of agreements or calculations required by agreements; (ii) accounting advice; (iii) audits of private equity funds and employee benefit plans; and (iv) regulatory advisory services. ³ Tax services are in respect of tax compliance and consultation services, including: (i) preparation and/or review of tax returns of the Group and its subsidiaries; (ii) assistance with tax audits and appeals; and (iii) confirmations relating to the Qualified Intermediary status of Group entities.

KPMG attends all meetings of the Audit Committee. At each meeting, KPMG reports on the findings of its audit and/or interim review work. The Audit Committee reviews on an annual basis KPMG's audit plan and evaluates the performance of KPMG and its senior representatives in fulfilling its responsibilities. Moreover, the Audit Committee

recommends to the Board the appointment or replacement of the external auditor, subject to shareholder approval as required by Swiss law.

KPMG provides a report as to its independence to the Audit Committee at least once a year. In addition, our policy on the engagement of public accounting firms, which has been approved by the Audit Committee, strives to further ensure an appropriate degree of independence of our external auditor. The policy limits the scope of services that may be provided to us or any of our subsidiaries by KPMG to audit and certain permissible types of non-audit services, including audit-related and tax services that have been pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee pre-approves all other services on a case-by-case basis. All KPMG services in 2010 were pre-approved. KPMG is required to report to the Audit Committee periodically regarding the extent of services provided by KPMG and the fees for the services performed to date.

American Depositary Share fees

Fees and charges for holders of ADS

In accordance with the terms of the Deposit Agreement, Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, as depositary for the >>>>ADS (the Depositary), may charge holders of our ADS, either directly or indirectly, fees or charges up to the amounts described below.

Fees and charges for holders of ADS

Fees

USD 5 (or less) per 100 ADS (or portion thereof)	For the issuance of ADS, including issuances resulting from a distribution of shares, share dividends, share splits and other property; for ADS issued upon the exercise of rights; and for the surrender of ADS for cancellation and withdrawal of shares.
--	---

USD 2 per 100 ADS	For any distribution of cash to ADS registered holders, including upon the sale of rights or other entitlements.
-------------------	--

Registration or transfer fees	For the transfer and registration of shares on our share register to or from the name of the Depositary or its agent when the holder deposits or withdraws shares.
-------------------------------	--

Charges

Expenses of the Depositary	For cable, telex and facsimile transmissions (when expressly provided in the deposit agreement); and for converting foreign currency to US dollars.
----------------------------	---

Taxes and other governmental charges	Paid, as necessary, to the Depositary or the custodian who pays certain charges on any ADS or share underlying an ADS, for example, stock transfer taxes, stamp duty or applicable interest or penalty thereon.
--------------------------------------	---

Other charges	Paid, as necessary, to the Depositary or its agents for servicing the deposited shares.
---------------	---

The Depositary collects its fees for delivery and surrender of ADS directly from investors depositing shares or surrendering ADS for the purpose of withdrawal or from intermediaries acting for them. The Depositary collects fees for making distributions to holders by deducting those fees from the amounts distributed or by selling a portion of distributable property to pay the fees. The Depositary may generally refuse to provide fee services until its fees for those services are paid.

Amounts paid by the Depositary to the Group

The Depositary has reimbursed the Group for NYSE listing fees in recent years. This payment is not a contractual obligation, and the determination by the Depositary whether to reimburse the Group for these fees is made on a yearly basis. For the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Depositary paid the Group USD 53,307 and USD 130,590, respectively, as reimbursement for its NYSE listing fees.

Liquidation

Under Swiss law and our AoA, we may be dissolved at any time by a shareholders' resolution which must be passed by:

- a supermajority of at least three quarters of the votes cast at the meeting in the event we are to be dissolved by way of liquidation; and
- a supermajority of at least two thirds of the votes represented and an absolute majority of the par value of the shares represented at the meeting in other events.

Dissolution by court order is possible if we become bankrupt. Under Swiss law, any surplus arising out of liquidation (after the settlement of all claims of all creditors) is distributed to shareholders in proportion to the paid-up par value of shares held.

Compensation

Overview

This Compensation Report explains our compensation approach and provides our compensation disclosure for 2010. It is composed of the following sections:

- Objectives and governance;
- Compensation design;
- Compensation to members of the Executive Board;
- Compensation to members of the Board of Directors;
- Compensation awards and expenses for the Group; and
- Compensation plans from prior years.

The Group is committed to fair, balanced, performance-oriented compensation practices that align long-term employee and shareholder interests. We believe in rewarding our employees for performing in a way that creates sustainable value for the Group and its shareholders over time. We strive to take a leadership position in the industry in implementing responsible compensation practices.

For 2010, we reduced total compensation, reflecting the lower absolute performance of the Group compared to 2009. Average total compensation for the Group was down 9% and total discretionary variable incentive awards were down

27% compared to 2009. Average total compensation was down 34% for the CEO and down 32% for the Executive Board, excluding individuals who joined or left, compared to 2009.

During 2010, regulators around the world continued to focus closely on how financial institutions choose to incentivize and reward their people, with more detailed regulation and guidance issued in various jurisdictions. Amid emerging regulation and market practices and in close dialogue with regulators and shareholders, we further modified our compensation design for 2010 to make plans simpler and more transparent and to strengthen the link between compensation and long-term, sustainable performance.

The changes we implemented for 2010 included an increase in base salaries and a corresponding decrease in performance-based discretionary variable incentive awards to ensure a more balanced mix between fixed and variable compensation. A higher proportion of variable awards was deferred, the vesting period was extended to four years and continue to be subject to future performance provisions. For the Group overall, 60% of the variable awards for 2010 were deferred compared to 40% for 2009. For the Executive Board, all variable awards for 2010 were deferred. In order to enhance transparency for all stakeholders, we simplified our instruments for deferred awards, using share awards with no leverage and simplifying certain features of our cash-based Adjustable Performance Plan awards. We have enhanced our compensation disclosure for 2010, including the methodology for determining variable awards for members of our Executive Board. We also strengthened our compensation governance this year by issuing a formal compensation policy and amending our Code of Conduct in order to reinforce the link between compensation and behavior, in particular with respect to compliance and risk management.

The Compensation Committee is satisfied that this report reflects the manner in which it has reviewed and set compensation for 2010. The activities of the Compensation Committee were executed in accordance with its mandate under the Organizational Guidelines and Regulations (OGR) and the Compensation Committee Charter.

The Board of Directors (Board) recognizes and supports the involvement of its shareholders within the compensation discussion. As it did last year, the Board has opted to submit this compensation report to its shareholders for a consultative ballot at the Annual General Meeting (AGM) in line with the recommendations in the Swiss Code of Best Practice.

Objectives and governance

Compensation objectives

The Group's ability to implement a comprehensive human capital strategy and to attract, retain, reward and motivate talented individuals is fundamental to the Group's long-term success. Compensation is a key component of this strategy and aims to align employee and shareholder interests. The Group's objectives are to maintain compensation practices and plans that:

- support a performance culture that is based on merit and differentiates and rewards excellent performance, both in the short and long term, and recognizes our company values;
- enable us to attract and retain employees and motivate them to achieve results with integrity and fairness;
- award employees a fair mix of fixed and discretionary variable incentive awards, which appropriately reflects the value and responsibility of the role they perform day to day and as a contribution to influencing appropriate behaviors and actions;

- are consistent with and promote effective risk management practices as well as our compliance and control culture;
- foster teamwork and collaboration across the Group;
- take into account the long-term performance of the Group in order to create sustainable value for our shareholders; and
- are reviewed regularly and endorsed by an independent Compensation Committee.

Consistent with the objectives above, the Group applies a total compensation approach. There are two principal components of total compensation, fixed and variable. The individual mix varies according to the employee's seniority, business and location. Fixed compensation includes base salary, which reflects seniority, experience, skills and market practice. Performance-based discretionary variable incentive awards (variable compensation) are awarded annually at the discretion of the Group and vary depending on Group, business and individual performance. Variable compensation is awarded in the form of cash and deferred awards, which are subject to various deferral provisions and performance criteria. The Group's total compensation approach is illustrated below, and further details are provided in *Compensation design*.

The Group is committed to responsible compensation practices and plans. The need to reward our employees fairly and competitively based on performance is balanced with the requirement of principled behavior and actions. The compensation design has to contribute to the Group's objectives in a way that does not encourage excessive risk taking or violation of applicable laws, guidelines and regulations, and also takes into account the capital position and economic performance of the Group over the long term.

Compensation governance

The Compensation Committee of the Board is the supervisory and governing body for compensation policy, practices and plans within the Group and has responsibility for determining, reviewing and proposing compensation for approval by the Board. Consistent with its mandate stipulated in the Group's OGR and its Charter (available on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/governance), the Compensation Committee proposes the overall pools for variable compensation, based on the outcome of its annual performance review for the Group and the businesses. The Compensation Committee also assesses the individual performance of, and proposes compensation for, members of the Executive Board and Board and certain other members of senior management.

The Compensation Committee consists of not fewer than three independent members of the Board. The members of the Compensation Committee are Aziz R.D. Syriani (Chairman), Robert H. Benmosche, Peter Brabeck-Letmathe and Walter B. Kielholz. Based on the criteria for determining independence in the Swiss Code of Best Practice and New York Stock Exchange, the Group has deemed all four members of the Compensation Committee to be independent. The length of tenure a Board member has served is not a criterion for independence. Significant shareholder status is also not considered a criterion for independence unless the shareholding exceeds 10% of the Group's share capital. For more information on how the Group determines the independence of its Board Members, refer to *Corporate Governance – Board of Directors – Independence*.

The Compensation Committee is assisted in its work by external legal counsel and Johnson Associates, Inc., a global compensation consulting firm, to ensure that our compensation program remains competitive, is responsive to regulatory developments and in line with our compensation approach. Johnson Associates, Inc. is independent from our management and, in particular, does not provide any other services to us besides supporting the Compensation Committee.

The Compensation Committee meets at least four times per year. The Chairman of the Compensation Committee decides on the attendance of management, the independent compensation consultant and external legal counsel at the committee meetings. The main meeting is held in January with the primary purpose of reviewing the performance of the businesses and the respective management teams for the previous year and determining and/or recommending to the Board for approval the overall compensation pools for the divisions and the compensation payable to the members of the Board, the Executive Board, the head of Internal Audit and certain other members of senior management. During its performance review, the Compensation Committee considers input from the Group's internal control functions, including Risk Management, Legal and Compliance and Internal Audit, regarding control and compliance issues, including any breaches of relevant rules and regulations or the Group's Code of Conduct.

Responding to specific regulatory guidelines set out by the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA), the US Federal Reserve, the UK Financial Stability Authority and the Basel Committee on Banking Supervision regarding compensation for employees engaged in risk-taking activities, the Compensation Committee expanded the scope of its compensation review process for 2010. The process now includes two additional groups of employees, who are considered to have a potentially material impact on the Group's risk profile, and the Risk Committee is formally involved in the process. These groups are:

- senior control function personnel, consisting of senior employees in the Shared Services functions with responsibility for risk monitoring and control; and
- individuals classified as material risk takers, who can potentially expose the Group to significant risk, such as certain traders.

For more information on these groups, refer to *Incorporating risk within the compensation process*. The following table sets forth the approval authority for determining compensation policy and setting compensation for different groups of employees.

Approval authority

Approval grid	Authority
Establishment or amendment of the Group's compensation policy	Board upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee
Establishment or amendment of compensation plans	Board upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee
Setting variable compensation pools for the Group and the divisions	Board upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee
Board compensation (including the Chairman's compensation) ¹	Board upon recommendation by the Compensation Committee
Compensation of the CEO and other Executive Board members	Compensation Committee with information to the Board Compensation Committee upon consultation with the Chairman of the Audit Committee
Compensation for the Head of Internal Audit	of the Audit Committee
Compensation for senior control function personnel	Compensation Committee
Compensation for employees classified as material risk takers	Compensation Committee
Compensation for other selected members of management	Compensation Committee

1 Board members with functional duties (including the Chairman): the Board member concerned does not participate in the decision involving his own compensation. Other Board members: Compensation comprises a base fee plus a fee for committee activity which may differ from committee to committee. These fees are subject to a decision by the full Board.

During 2010, the Compensation Committee focused on further development of our compensation design to ensure compliance with evolving regulations and increase the transparency and understanding of our compensation practices and plans. Some of the Compensation Committee's specific achievements during 2010 were:

- the development and approval of an amended compensation design for 2010 focused on making plans simpler, clearer and non-leveraged;
- the development, approval and communication of a Group-wide compensation policy, including implementation standards;
- strengthening and formalizing the involvement of the internal control functions in the compensation process with respect to considerations of risk, control and legal and compliance;
- maintaining an active dialogue and consultation with FINMA about our compensation plans, as well as monitoring global regulatory and market trends with respect to compensation at financial institutions; and
- increasing the transparency of our compensation disclosure, including how we determine compensation for members of the Executive Board.

Compensation policy and amended Code of Conduct

The vast majority of decisions about individual compensation awards are made by line managers at all levels throughout the organization. The Group strives to ensure that all line managers apply a consistent and high set of standards when assessing the performance and behavior of employees. To this aim, we prepared a comprehensive compensation policy during 2010 and made amendments to our Code of Conduct.

The compensation policy reflects our efforts in 2010 to review, refine and formalize our compensation principles and related processes and includes implementation standards. The new compensation policy was approved in early 2011 by the Board and is available on our website at www.credit-suisse.com/compensation. The policy provides the foundation for sound compensation practices that support the Group's long-term strategic objectives. The following table summarizes the key features of the compensation policy.

The compensation policy also includes implementation standards, which provide managers and employees with a detailed description of our principles, programs and the defined standards and processes relating to the development, management, implementation and governance of compensation. For line managers, we focused on their responsibilities in managing, administering and communicating compensation, with a focus on risk awareness and compliance. For employees, we provided more transparency on what factors influence compensation decisions and on our various practices and plans. The compensation policy adheres to the compensation principles set out by FINMA and other regulators and applies to all employees and compensation plans of the Group.

During 2010, we also amended our Code of Conduct. The changes to the Code of Conduct were made to place a

greater emphasis on the values and professional standards underpinning our control and compliance culture. We have also changed the format of the Code of Conduct to better reinforce to individuals what it means to apply it in the course of their daily work. Adherence to the Code of Conduct is mandatory for all employees and Board members. Any breaches will have a direct impact on the compensation of those individuals involved. The revised Code of Conduct was approved by the Board in December 2010, and is available on our website at

www.credit-suisse.com/code.

Incorporating risk within the compensation process

Building on our initial efforts during 2009, we have strengthened our processes and governance in 2010 to ensure that our approach to compensation does not encourage excessive risk taking and that significant attention is given to risk throughout the performance assessment and compensation processes.

At the divisional level, risk is taken into account in determining the variable compensation pools. The key risk-based financial metric used to determine variable compensation pools of the divisions is economic profit. The economic profit of a division is income before taxes reduced by a charge for capital usage. The charge for capital usage is calculated based on three components:

- the value of >>>>risk-weighted assets, calculated as set out under the Basel II capital requirements, which measures credit, market and operational risk;
- the utilization of economic capital under our economic risk capital framework, which we use as a consistent and comprehensive tool for risk management, including off-balance sheet risk, capital management and performance measurement; and
- the utilization of the Group's balance sheet.

The determination of variable compensation pools for the divisions is discussed in further detail below. For more detailed information on risk-weighted assets, economic capital and our balance sheet statistics, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance Sheet and Off-balance sheet*.

At the individual level, risk is taken into account in the performance assessment and setting of variable compensation for selected employees and groups of employees. Regulators, in particular, have placed increased emphasis and requirements on the identification and management of employees who have the potential to take or manage risk at firms. In 2010, the Group introduced a process to identify such employees and carry out specific review processes to set variable compensation. Those individuals are collectively referred to as covered employees and include:

- all members of the Executive Board;
- senior management – generally employees who report directly to a member of the Executive Board, are responsible for managing significant lines of business of the Group or members of divisional management committees;
- senior control function personnel – senior employees in the Shared Services functions of Finance, Risk Management, Legal and Compliance and Talent, Branding and Communications who have responsibility for monitoring and controlling individuals or groups of individuals that manage material amounts of risk for the Group; and
- material risk takers – employees with the ability to put material amounts of the Group's capital at risk, such as traders and others who are authorized to manage, supervise or approve risk exposure that could have a material or significant effect on our financial results.

The criteria for classifying individuals as covered employees are approved by the Board upon recommendation by the Compensation and Risk Committees. Given the nature of the investment banking business, a majority of the covered employees identified for 2010 were within the Investment Banking division. In addition to the annual performance assessment conducted by their direct line managers, the covered employees are also subject to a feedback process by control functions, including Legal and Compliance, Risk Management and Human Resources (within Talent, Branding and Communications), that are independent of the businesses. The findings of Internal Audit reports are also considered within this process. Feedback is provided to line managers for consideration in the final performance assessment and individual compensation decision-making processes. The proposed variable compensation amounts for covered employees are then subject to final review and approval by the Compensation Committee (refer to the table "Approval authority"). We expect the process for determining covered employees and evaluating their management of risk to develop over time.

Finally, the strengthening of the alignment of compensation with risk is also achieved through the modifications to our compensation design discussed further below.

Performance alignment, determination and allocation of variable compensation

Consistent with our vision to provide responsible, performance-based compensation, all employees are eligible for an award of discretionary variable compensation. The amount is determined by the nature of the business, breadth of role, role location and performance of the employee and includes detailed performance measurements at the Group, division and employee level as an integral part of the compensation process. Decisions about the amounts for variable compensation for individual employees are made within the constraints of the variable compensation pools at the Group and divisional levels. Accruals for the divisional and Group-wide variable compensation pools are made by the Group throughout the year. The Board regularly reviews the accruals and related financial information, and makes adjustments at its discretion to ensure that the overall size of the pool is consistent with the Group's compensation principles. An accrual, at the Group, or any other level, however, does not create legal rights or entitlements for employees to receive variable compensation.

The divisional variable compensation pools are not formula driven, but are subject to adjustments based on performance, the discretion of the CEO and the Compensation Committee. The methodology to determine the initial size of the variable compensation pools varies by division.

For Private Banking, the basis for determining the variable compensation pool is the division's income before taxes and before the variable compensation accrual, reduced by a charge for capital usage. In Private Banking, capital usage is calculated as the cost of economic risk capital.

For Investment Banking, the basis for determining the variable compensation pool is income before taxes and before the variable compensation accrual, reduced by a charge for capital usage. Capital usage is calculated from a combination of risk-weighted assets, economic risk capital and utilization of the Group's balance sheet. As a result of this methodology, increased capital usage and transactions with a high risk weighting result in an increased charge for capital usage and a reduced variable compensation pool.

For Asset Management, the basis for determining the variable compensation pool for alternative investments is income before taxes and before the variable compensation accrual. For traditional investments, the basis for determining the variable compensation pool is the short-term and long-term performance against both peer groups and benchmarks.

The initial variable compensation pool for each division is subject to adjustment based on a detailed performance evaluation at the divisional as well as the Group level, taking into account the Group's and the division's key

performance indicators and other absolute and relative performance criteria, including performance against peers. For specific peer performance criteria, refer to the chart “2010 Peer groups and performance criteria” in *Competitive benchmarking and market trends*. A deduction is also applied to the pool of each division to fund a variable compensation pool for Shared Services and other support functions, with the total being based on the Group-wide performance and qualitative measurements, rather than the performance of the divisions that they support. The pools may be approved as computed or adjusted, subject to final review by the Compensation Committee and submission to the Board for final approval. In setting the final variable compensation pools, the Compensation Committee also considers discretionary factors, including non-financial metrics related to ethics, risk, compliance and control. Other discretionary factors such as business strategy, overall Group performance and the market and regulatory environment are also taken into account.

Once the variable compensation pools have been set at the Group and divisional levels, each division allocates its pool to business areas, with the same or similar performance metrics, which are allocated to individual managers. Line managers award variable compensation to individual employees based on individual and business performance, subject to the constraints of the pool available. Performance measurement of individual employees varies widely across the firm and depends on each individual’s specific function and scope of responsibility. To measure the performance of employees in revenue-generating areas, key quantitative factors such as revenue production and profitability will be considered, as well as qualitative factors, such as client satisfaction, succession planning, compliance and contribution to teamwork. Other quantitative factors taken into account at the individual level include market trends and competitive levels of compensation.

With this approach, variable compensation is not formula driven, but based on financial and non-financial metrics including ethics, risk, compliance and control.

Competitive benchmarking and market trends

The assessment of the economic and competitive environment is a further important element of our compensation process as we strive for market-informed, competitive compensation levels for comparable roles, experience and geographic location of employees. We use internal expertise and the services provided by compensation consulting firms to benchmark our compensation levels against relevant competitors. Given our global presence and the wide range of what is considered competitive pay in different regions, there is not a single region or market against which we benchmark compensation levels. We adopt a differentiated approach, looking at pay levels and competitive market players in the various geographical regions where we do business. For further geographical information, refer to the chart “Relative distribution by region”.

For members of the Executive Board and other key personnel for the Group and the divisions, we compete for talent primarily with major banks globally. To benchmark our compensation levels and as a key input for setting the variable compensation pools at the Group and divisional levels, we measure ourselves against a set of peer groups, including European and US banks. These peer groups and relevant metrics are reviewed annually in April by the Compensation Committee and tracked throughout the year. For the Group, the peer group for 2010 consisted of UBS, Bank of America, Barclays, BNP Paribas, Citigroup, Deutsche Bank, Goldman Sachs, HSBC, JPMorgan Chase and Morgan Stanley. BNP Paribas and HSBC were added in April 2010 following the annual review to better reflect major European markets. Most of these peer companies explicitly mention Credit Suisse as one of their peers. The criteria used to define these peer companies include:

- comparable scope and complexity of the business platform;
- comparable business focus and mix;

- common geographic footprint; and
- companies with which we compete daily for business and talent.

Market trends observed during 2010 include heightened regulatory activity in all regions and increased competition for talent across businesses and regions. Consistent with the regulatory trends, peer organizations have also modified their compensation systems. This has resulted in fixed compensation generally increasing, with a corresponding decrease in variable compensation, and an increase in the proportion of variable compensation that is awarded as deferred compensation.

2010 peer groups and performance criteria

Credit Suisse Group

Peer group UBS, Bank of America, Barclays, BNP Paribas, Citigroup, Deutsche Bank, Goldman Sachs, HSBC, JPMorgan Chase and Morgan Stanley

Performance criteria

Profitability and efficiency Return on equity, compensation/revenue ratio and share price volatility

Growth Earnings per share growth, net revenue growth, total asset under management growth and net new assets growth

Capital and risk Tier 1 ratio, Value-at-Risk and risk-weighted assets growth

Shareholder satisfaction Total shareholder return

Private Banking

Peer group UBS, Barclays, HSBC, Julius Bär Group and Morgan Stanley

Performance criteria

Profitability and efficiency Pre-tax income margin and gross margin

Growth Net revenue growth, pre-tax income growth and net new assets growth

Investment Banking

Peer group UBS, Bank of America, Barclays, Citigroup, Deutsche Bank, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase and Morgan Stanley

Performance criteria

Profitability and efficiency Return on economic risk, pre-tax income margin and compensation/revenue ratio

Growth Net revenue growth and pre-tax income growth

Capital and risk Net revenue/Value-at-Risk

Asset Management

Peer group UBS, Allianz, Black Rock, Goldman Sachs, JPMorgan Chase and Morgan Stanley

Performance criteria

Profitability and efficiency

Pre-tax income margin and gross margin on assets under management

Growth

Pre-tax income growth, net revenue growth and net new assets growth

Regulatory trends

During 2010, there was continued focus on compensation from various regulators across the world, including many of the international jurisdictions relevant to the Group, such as the US, the EU and the UK. Relevant provisions are divergent in many aspects and sometimes deviate from the Circular on Remuneration Schemes, which was issued by FINMA, our regulator in Switzerland, in the fourth quarter of 2009. However, regulatory trends and more detailed guidance provided during 2010 reflect the principles for sound compensation practice issued by the Financial Stability Board (FSB) in 2009 and endorsed by the >>>G-20.

As we took the initiative and began to review our compensation practices in 2008, we implemented fundamental changes to our compensation instruments and processes for the Group's most senior employees, managing directors and directors in 2009. These changes were designed to ensure adequate consideration of risk in compensation decisions and to better align the interests of our employees with the long-term success of the Group. We continued a regular dialogue with FINMA and other regulators in 2010, and we monitored developments in industry compensation best practices and guidance issued by various bodies including the FSB, Committee of European Banking Supervisors and the G-20.

Some of the modifications that we have made to our compensation design during 2010, such as increased base salaries, increased focus on risk and the removal of leverage from share awards are specifically in response to these regulatory requirements.

While our aim and general practice is to apply our compensation structure, processes and procedures on a global basis, some limited variations to the terms of compensation awards do apply to comply with local requirements, such as the terms specified by certain countries within the EU.

Compensation design

Compensation structure

Consistent with the trend towards increased risk alignment, transparency and shareholder alignment, we implemented adjustments to our compensation design and further evolved our instruments granted as part of variable compensation for 2010. The key features of the 2010 compensation design are:

- As of January 1, 2010, we increased the fixed compensation (salary) for Executive Board members, managing directors, directors and certain vice-presidents and correspondingly decreased their variable compensation for 2010. This shift in the compensation structure was influenced by regulators to ensure a more balanced mix between fixed and variable compensation, which resulted in an increase in our compensation-related fixed cost base of approximately CHF 800 million.

– The threshold for participation in variable deferred compensation programs was lowered from CHF 125,000 to CHF 50,000 in variable awards.

– Deferral rates were increased to a range of 35% to 70%, which means that a lower portion of employees' variable awards were in cash. The increase in deferral rates was also a measure recommended by regulators to ensure that a substantial portion of variable compensation is subject to future performance and claw-back provisions. For 2010, 40% of variable compensation was awarded in unrestricted cash and 60% was deferred.

– Variable deferred awards granted to members of the Executive Board, managing directors and directors for 2010 were in the form of share awards and Adjustable Performance Plan awards, both of which vest over four years. 50% of the variable deferred awards for these employees were in share awards and 50% were in Adjustable Performance Plan awards. Most employees below the level of director received variable deferred compensation in the form of share awards.

– The cash component of variable compensation granted to managing directors in the Investment Banking division is subject to specific restrictions over a two-year period. These cash awards must be repaid, either in part or in full, if claw-back events, such as voluntary termination of employment or termination for cause, occur.

– We granted share awards in an effort to make the design of our compensation instruments simpler, more transparent and non-leveraged. The share awards have become our main share-based award instrument, whereas in recent years we awarded SISUs and ISUs.

– All deferred awards contain a general cancellation provision, which enables the Group to cancel any outstanding awards granted to any individual or individuals in the event that they engage in any activity that results in, or has the potential to result in, financial, reputational or other harm to the Group.

Unrestricted cash and variable deferred compensation

For 2010, 44,400 employees received some amount of variable compensation, of which 11,800, or 27%, received some portion of variable deferred compensation. Variable compensation was paid in cash unless the award was for CHF 50,000 or more, in which case a portion was paid in cash and the balance was deferred. The portion that was deferred was determined by reference to a pre-established deferral table, which increases the deferred portion for higher levels of variable compensation awards. The amount of variable compensation paid as unrestricted cash was subject to a cap of CHF 2 million.

The following table shows the deferral rates of variable compensation awards for 2010, followed by an example of how to apply the deferral table to calculate the cash and deferred portions of a variable compensation award.

2010 Deferral table

Award amount	Deferral rate
CHF	
Up to 125,000	35%
Between 125,000 and 750,000	65%
Greater than 750,000	70%

Variable deferred compensation instruments

Share awards

Our share awards align the interests of our employees with shareholders and with the success of the Group. As the 2010 share awards vest over four years, they also encourage the retention of employees. Each share award granted entitles the holder of the award to receive one Group share. The number of share awards is determined by dividing the deferred component of variable compensation being granted as shares by the average price of a Group share over the twelve business days ended January 19, 2011. One quarter of the share awards vest on each of the four anniversaries of the date of award. The value that is delivered is solely dependent on the Group share price at the time of delivery.

We are no longer granting share-based instruments in the form of Scaled Incentive Share Units (SISUs), Incentive Share Units (ISUs) and Performance Incentive Plans (PIP), which were utilized in prior years. One 2010 share award is equivalent to one Group share at the time of delivery, so 2010 share awards do not contain the leverage component or multiplier effect of these prior-year awards.

In order to comply with regulatory requirements, the Group awarded an alternative form of share awards as a component of variable cash compensation to senior employees in a number of EU countries. For 2010, these employees received 50% of the amount they otherwise would have received in cash in the form of blocked shares. The shares remain blocked for a period of time, which varies from six months to three years depending on location.

The Group prohibits employees from entering into transactions to hedge the value of unvested share awards.

Adjustable Performance Plan awards

We introduced Adjustable Performance Plan awards as part of variable compensation for 2009. The Adjustable Performance Plan is a deferred cash-based plan that links outstanding balances to future performance through positive and negative adjustments. By considering emerging trends and feedback, we amended and simplified certain Adjustable Performance Plan award features. The 2010 Adjustable Performance Plan awards will vest over a period of four years and outstanding awards will be subject to annual adjustments, increasing or decreasing the outstanding balances by a percentage equal to the Group return on equity (ROE), unless the division that granted the awards incurs a pre-tax loss. In this case, outstanding awards in that division will be subject to a negative adjustment of 15% for every CHF 1 billion of loss, unless a negative Group ROE applies for that year and is greater than the divisional adjustment.

For employees in Shared Services and other support functions, all outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards are linked to the Group ROE. Only a negative Group ROE will trigger a negative adjustment of all outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards for these employees. This link to Group performance is intended to ensure that the compensation of employees in support functions is not directly linked to the performance of the businesses they support.

Restricted cash awards

The cash component of variable compensation is generally free from conditions. However, managing directors in Investment Banking received restricted cash awards. These restricted cash payments are subject to vesting ratably over a two-year period and other conditions, and any unvested restricted cash awards will have to be repaid if a claw-back event, such as voluntary termination of employment or termination of employment for cause, occurs.

Other awards and share ownership requirements

In addition, we may, from time to time, employ other long-term incentive compensation plans or programs to assist in hiring at competitive levels and to support the retention of talent. These forms of variation from our standard approach to compensation are generally applied to small populations of employees within the Group where the specific circumstances warrant such compensation arrangements. For 2010, such compensation arrangements were applied to approximately 100 employees, including employees engaged in arbitrage trading in Investment Banking and Alternative Investments in Asset Management. Any and all variations from our standard approach must be approved by the Compensation Committee.

We may pay commissions to employees operating in specific areas of the business where such compensation practices are warranted and in line with market practice. The value of commissions paid is determined by formulas, which are reviewed regularly to ensure that they remain competitive.

We have also continued to apply minimum share ownership requirements for members of the divisional and regional management committees and for the Executive Board. For information on the share ownership requirements, refer to *Compensation to members of the Executive Board*.

Charitable contributions

The Group supports charitable giving and encourages employee participation. To this aim, we have also introduced a mandatory charitable contribution program for certain managing directors in the US and Brazil in connection with their 2010 variable compensation awards, expanding upon the charitable contribution made from the variable compensation pool of the Executive Board, introduced last year. For more information on the Executive Board charitable contributions, refer to *Compensation to members of the Executive Board*.

Compensation at regulated Group subsidiaries

Compensation awarded to all employees at Group regulated subsidiaries is subject to the Group's compensation policy and all related guidelines and generally follows the same compensation structure and design. For compensation at regulated group subsidiaries in Switzerland (Neue Aargauer Bank, Clariden Leu, BANK-now, Credit Suisse Private Advisors Ltd) in 2010, there were no variations from the Group's overall compensation design for 2010 with the exception of Clariden Leu, which applied a different deferred compensation plan. For Clariden Leu employees, the variable compensation award split between unrestricted cash and deferred variable compensation was based on the Group deferral table, however, all of the deferred compensation was granted in the form of deferred cash awards, which vest ratably over three years. The Clariden Leu compensation plan is reviewed annually and approved by the Clariden Leu compensation committee and board of directors.

Compensation to members of the Executive Board

Objectives and approach

In line with our overall approach to compensation, our executive compensation policies are designed to promote a long-term focus by our executives in all their actions, attract executives of the highest quality, and foster retention by rewarding superior performance and motivate outstanding performance in the future. We believe our compensation practices provide a meaningful alignment with the Group's strategic goals and the interests of its shareholders and encourage strong teamwork.

Since 2006, the Compensation Committee has applied to the Executive Board, including the CEO, a variable compensation pool framework, which is linked directly to the Group's performance. The framework was designed and implemented in the context of our integrated bank strategy to support collaboration and to encourage alignment across divisions. A performance-based variable compensation pool is used to determine the aggregate amount of variable

compensation to be awarded to the Executive Board. At the start of each year a baseline variable compensation pool is set assuming specific financial, non-financial and relative performance goals to be achieved by the end of the year.

At the end of the year, the Compensation Committee evaluates how well the performance goals were actually achieved and may make adjustments to the baseline pool. Adjustments are made to the baseline pool based on three performance categories: financial performance; non-financial performance; and relative performance against peers. The impact on the baseline pool varies by performance in each category, as financial performance can increase or reduce the baseline pool by 75%, and the non-financial and peer performance categories can each increase or reduce the baseline pool by 25%. After considering all adjustments to the baseline pool, the Compensation Committee approves the final variable compensation pool for the Executive Board. The final pool can range from zero to a maximum of 200% of the baseline pool. The allocation of the variable compensation pool to individual members of the Executive Board is made on the basis of individual performance evaluations, which review how well the performance goals were achieved with respect to the specific area of responsibility for the individual Executive Board member.

Executive Board variable compensation pool 2010

At the beginning of 2010 the baseline variable compensation pool for 2010 was set in accordance with achieving 2010 performance targets and was lower than the 2009 baseline pool. In January 2011, the Compensation Committee performed a formal assessment of the Group's performance versus the goals established in each of the three performance categories in order to determine the final variable compensation pool for the Executive Board.

Financial performance

The financial performance objective reflects achievement of the 2010 net income plan.

Non-financial performance

The non-financial objectives were reviewed on a qualitative basis in the following five sub-categories:

- client;
- quality of earnings;
- control environment;
- corporate responsibility; and
- people.

Relative performance against peers

The relative performance objectives were evaluated in the following three sub-categories:

- profitability and efficiency;
- growth; and
- capital and risk.

In evaluating the 2010 financial performance, the Compensation Committee acknowledged that the 2010 net income plan was not achieved. In evaluating the performance of non-financial objectives, the Compensation Committee considered input from the Chairman, CEO and senior managers from the internal control functions, which included

risk management's assessment of Executive Board performance on >>>risk mitigation and overall risk management. Comprehensive information on performance against control metrics, the quality of earnings and client feedback and performance factored into the overall results. The performance assessment of the non-financial objectives was that these were above expectations, reflecting achievements such as net new assets of CHF 69.0 billion, gains in market share, risk reduction in Investment Banking and good progress on a number of human capital and other initiatives. The assessment of relative performance against peers was that these were in line with expectations. For specific performance criteria, refer to the chart "2010 Peer groups and performance criteria".

Based on the assessment of performance across the three performance categories, the final variable compensation pool approved for members of the Executive Board was 25% lower than the approved pool for the Executive Board for 2009.

Performance evaluations of individual Executive Board members

Variable compensation for 2010 was awarded to members of the Executive Board at the discretion of the Compensation Committee. The committee reviewed the results of a balanced performance scorecard evaluation performed by the CEO in determining compensation for each member. The scorecard provided information on the 2010 results for the businesses led by the executive using objective metrics, including any percentage increases or decreases in the metric from the prior year, and reflected performance ratings across seven performance categories. These categories were:

- business (including financial and risk-related performance objectives relating to the division, such as pre-tax income margin, net new assets and >>>risk-weighted assets);
- strategic;
- people;
- client;
- collaboration;
- control and risk environment; and
- personal development.

The Compensation Committee reviewed the performance assessment of each Executive Board member across the seven categories and the overall performance rating to each Executive Board member. In addition to the individual performance assessment, the committee also considered input from the independent compensation consultant with regards to competitive compensation levels for comparable roles in the market.

Executive Board compensation for 2010

In line with our total compensation approach, executive compensation consists of a fixed salary and a variable compensation component. Salaries for members of the Executive Board are reviewed annually. The variable compensation component can represent the most significant part of an executive's total compensation package and varies from year to year, depending on the Group's performance, the corresponding size of the variable compensation pool and the executive's performance. The percentage mix of compensation between fixed and variable will also be considered in determining the executive's total compensation.

Consistent with the lower performance of the Group in 2010 compared to 2009, the average total compensation for Executive Board members, excluding individuals who joined or left during 2010, was 32% lower compared to 2009. Variable compensation, excluding the joiners and leavers, was 40% lower compared to 2009 and 34% lower excluding the effect of increased base salaries. Each member of the Executive Board had 100% of variable compensation awards deferred, 50% in share awards and 50% in Adjustable Performance Plan awards. For the CEOs of Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management, Adjustable Performance Plan awards are adjusted as for employees in their divisions. The base salary for all Executive Board members in 2010 was CHF 1.5 million, except for the CEO, whose base salary was CHF 2.5 million.

Across the whole Executive Board (16 individuals including those members who joined or left the Executive Board during 2010), 13% of total compensation was paid as salaries, 3% as variable cash compensation (only for former member Paul Calello), 77% as share awards and Adjustable Performance Plan awards and 7% as other compensation, including pension and other benefits and dividend equivalents.

Change in Executive Board compensation

	Average	Average, excluding joiners/leavers
2010 versus 2009 (%)		
Total compensation	(13)	(32)
Variable compensation	(24)	(40)
Variable compensation, excluding the effect of increased base salaries	(19)	(34)

Compensation for the members of the Executive Board for 2010

	Base salary	Variable cash compensation	Total cash	Value of share awards	Value of APP awards	Pension and similar benefits and other benefits ¹	Dividend equivalents ²	Payments and awards due to contractual agreements ³	Total compensation
2010 (CHF million, except where indicated)									
16 individuals ⁴	20.50	4.21 ₅	24.71	64.65 ₆	58.65 ₇	3.90	5.70	2.73	160.34
% of total compensation	13%	3%	16%	40%	37%				
of which highest paid: Antonio C. Quintella	0.79	–	0.79	6.97	6.97	0.08	0.82	–	15.63
% of total compensation	5%		5%	45%	45%				

of which CEO:

Brady W.

Dougan	2.50	–	2.50	4.87	4.87	0.03	0.49	–	12.76
% of total compensation	20%		20%	38%	38%				

1 Other benefits consist of housing allowances, lump sum expenses and child allowances. 2 SISUs and ISUs carry the right to an annual payment equal to the dividend of one Group share. The dividend equivalents disclosed were paid in respect of SISUs and ISUs granted in prior years. 3 Payments and awards under contractual commitments of CHF 2.73 million are comprised of cash of CHF 1.16 million and share-based awards of CHF 1.57 million. 4 Includes pro rata fixed compensation paid to Renato Fassbind and Kai S. Nargolwala, who left the Executive Board in 2010, but excludes advisory fees paid to them for their roles after leaving the Executive Board. Includes pro rata fixed compensation paid to Osama S. Abbasi, Fawzi Kyriakos-Saad, David R. Mathers and Antonio C. Quintella, who joined the Executive Board in 2010. Includes pro rata fixed compensation for Paul Calello, who passed away in November 2010. 5 Consists of variable cash compensation paid to former Executive Board member Paul Calello. No other members of the Executive Board as of December 31, 2010 received variable cash compensation. 6 The applicable Group share price was CHF 41.40. 7 The value of the Adjustable Performance Plan awards was the value as of the grant date of January 20, 2011.

2010 total compensation of the highest paid member of the Executive Board

The highest paid member of the Executive Board in 2010 was Antonio C. Quintella. Mr. Quintella's compensation amounted to CHF 15.6 million and was comprised of his salary of CHF 0.8 million (pro rated from July 2010), variable compensation (share awards and Adjustable Performance Plan awards) of CHF 13.9 million and other compensation (pension and similar benefits, dividend equivalents and other benefits) of CHF 0.9 million. Mr. Quintella's variable compensation in 2010 was awarded in consideration of his performance in his former role as CEO of Brazil and his role as CEO of Credit Suisse Americas.

Other aspects of executive compensation

Charitable contributions

As in 2009, a portion of the Executive Board variable compensation pool for 2010 was approved by the Compensation Committee to fund charitable contributions on behalf of Executive Board members. The total amount approved for charitable contributions was CHF 19 million, which otherwise could have been awarded as compensation to Executive Board members. The contributions will benefit eligible registered charities. Some Executive Board members, including the CEO, will be able to make recommendations in respect of allocation of the contributions to various charities.

Minimum share ownership requirements

In 2009, the Board approved minimum share ownership requirements for members of the Executive Board and members of the divisional and regional management committees. The minimum share ownership threshold for the CEO and other Executive Board members is 350,000 shares and up to 150,000 shares, respectively. For members of the divisional and regional management committees, the thresholds are 50,000 shares for executives responsible for the Investment Banking, Private Banking and Asset Management divisions and 20,000 shares for the executives responsible for Shared Services and regional management functions. The thresholds include all Group shares held by or on behalf of these executive employees, including unvested share-based awards.

Compensation for the members of the Executive Board for 2009

	Base salary	Variable cash compensation	Total cash	Value of share-based and other awards	Pension and similar benefits ¹	Dividend equivalents ²	Payments and awards due to contractual agreements	Total compensation
2009 (CHF million, except where indicated)								
13 individuals ³	9.13	3.40 ⁴	12.53	132.44 ⁵	3.61	0.32	–	148.90
% of total compensation	6%	2%	8%	89%				
of which highest paid: Brady W. Dougan, CEO								
	1.25	–	1.25	17.87	0.03	0.05	–	19.20
% of total compensation	6.5%		6.5%	93.1%				

1 Other benefits consist of housing allowances, lump sum expenses and child allowances. 2 SISUs and ISUs carry the right to an annual payment equal to the dividend of one Group share. The dividend equivalents disclosed were paid in respect of ISUs granted in prior years. 3 Includes pro rata compensation paid to Urs Rohner and D. Wilson Ervin, who left the Executive Board in 2009, and Romeo Cerutti and Karl Landert, who joined the Executive Board in 2009. No members of the Executive Board in 2009 joined from, or left for, positions outside the Group. 4 Includes variable cash compensation paid to the two former Executive Board members. No members of the Executive Board as of December 31, 2009 received variable cash compensation. 5 Share-based and other awards include 1.1 million SISUs, each of which has a base component and a leverage component. The fair value of the base component was CHF 50.30 and the fair value of the leverage component was CHF 13.44. The total fair value of each SISU was CHF 63.74. Other awards refer to Adjustable Performance Plan awards. The value of the Adjustable Performance Plan awards was the value as of the grant date of January 20, 2010.

Contract lengths and termination provisions

All members of the Executive Board have employment contracts with the Group. The contracts do not specify a contract length and are valid until terminated. Members of the Executive Board are required by contract to give six months notice of termination of employment. The Group must also give members of the Executive Board six months notice of termination of employment. There are no other contracts, agreements or other arrangements with the members of the Executive Board that provide for payments or benefits in connection with termination of employment that are not generally available to other employees of the Group. In the event of termination, however, different conditions apply to the balances of outstanding compensation awards, for example, depending on whether the termination was voluntary, involuntary or the result of a change of control. The different conditions are summarized in the table “Termination of employment provisions for Executive Board members”.

In the event of the termination of an Executive Board member without cause, for example, the amount and terms of a severance payment may be agreed between the Group and the respective Executive Board member. The Executive

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Board member, however, does not have a contractual right to a severance payment arising from forfeited awards.

Former Executive Board members

Generally, former members of our most senior executive body who no longer provide services to the Group are still eligible to receive office infrastructure and secretarial support. These services are based on existing resources and are not used on a regular basis. No additional fees, severance payments or other forms of compensation were paid to former members of the Executive Board who no longer provide services to the Group or related parties during 2010.

Termination of employment provisions for Executive Board members

	Voluntary resignation	Termination without cause	Retirement	Termination with cause	Change in control
Compensation component					
Base salary	Ceases immediately	Statutory severance based on jurisdiction of employment	Ceases immediately	Ceases immediately	No incremental payment
Share award	Awards not vested at date of termination are forfeited	Accelerated vesting, normal payout dates apply	Continues to vest, subject to non-compete, non-solicit provisions, normal payout dates apply	All outstanding awards are forfeited	Treatment at discretion of Compensation Committee
Adjustable Performance Plan award	Awards not vested at date of termination are forfeited	Accelerated vesting, normal payout dates and metrics apply	Continues to vest, subject to non-compete, non-solicit provisions, normal payout dates and metrics apply	All outstanding awards are forfeited	Treatment at discretion of Compensation Committee
Scaled Incentive Share Unit	Awards not vested at date of termination are forfeited	Accelerated vesting, normal payout dates apply, rights to additional shares are forfeited	Continues to vest, subject to non-compete, non-solicit provisions, normal payout dates apply	All outstanding awards are forfeited	Treatment at discretion of Compensation Committee
Incentive Share Unit	Awards not vested at date of termination are forfeited	Accelerated vesting, normal payout dates apply, rights to additional shares are forfeited	Continues to vest, subject to non-compete, non-solicit provisions, normal payout dates apply	All outstanding awards are forfeited	Treatment at discretion of Compensation Committee
Performance Incentive Plan II unit	Awards not vested at date of termination are forfeited	Accelerated vesting, normal payout dates and metrics apply	Continues to vest, subject to non-compete, non-solicit	Awards not vested at date of termination are forfeited, limited	Treatment at discretion of Compensation Committee

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

	provisions, normal payout dates apply, awards vested after termination lose leverage	upside value of vested awards			
Partner Asset Facility award	Non-compete, non-solicit provisions apply until January 21, 2012, normal payout dates apply	Rights retained, normal payout dates apply	Non-compete, non-solicit provisions apply until January 21, 2012, normal payout dates apply	A portion is forfeited if termination occurs prior to January 21, 2012, normal payout dates apply	Treatment at discretion of Compensation Committee
Option	Expiration date accelerated to 30 days from issuance of notification from the Group if non-compete or non-solicit provisions are breached	Remains exercisable up to its original expiration date	Remains exercisable up to its original expiration date	Expires 30 days from date of termination	Treatment at discretion of Compensation Committee
Other cash award	Forfeited	No contractual right to award	Eligible to be considered for discretionary award	Forfeited	No contractual right to award

Units and values by individual

	Number of vested shares	Number of unvested share awards	Number of unvested ISUs	Number of unvested SISUs	Number of options	Number of PIP I units	Number of PIP II units ¹	Value of unvested awards at grant (CHF) ²	Current value of unvested awards (CHF) ²
December 31, 2010									
Brady W. Dougan	951,114	–	90,956 ₃	152,207	368,400	–	78,102	31,145,014	9,261,929
Osama S. Abbasi	233,801	–	50,193	58,977	–	–	26,110	9,215,028	4,429,732
Walter Berchtold	688,210	–	163,197	141,967	–	–	104,167	25,704,435	14,685,664
Romeo Cerutti	19,456	–	19,420	46,547	–	–	–	3,982,362	3,050,911
Tobias Guldimann	903	–	28,798	58,183	15,640	–	20,834	7,228,240	3,934,143
Fawzi Kyriakos-Saad	119,177	–	127,142	45,902	–	–	49,849	13,177,674	9,198,782
Karl Landert	82,718	–	83,060	60,511	–	–	31,250	9,748,212	7,955,834
David R. Mathers	26,617	–	59,008	30,260	14,960	–	5,375	5,538,189	4,735,645

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Hans-Ulrich Meister	1,720	1,720	88,538	93,093	–	–	–	9,724,068	9,896,890
Antonio C. Quintella	234,685	–	–	–	–	–	26,002	1,872,144	–
Robert S. Shafir	131,239	–	168,960	124,641	–	–	71,213	19,305,533	17,365,460
Pamela Thomas-Graham	–	–	–	28,764	–	–	–	1,931,143	1,102,812
Eric M. Varvel	5,614	–	157,085	110,940	–	–	56,953	19,995,068	13,056,709
Total	2,495,254	1,720	1,036,357	951,992	399,000	–	469,855	158,567,110	98,674,511

December 31, 2009

Brady W. Dougan	424,529	–	90,956	–	408,400	271,898	78,102	–	–
Walter Berchtold	238,779	–	205,221	–	–	130,401	104,167	–	–
Paul Calello	211,762	–	77,149	–	241,184	142,937	57,063	–	–
Romeo Cerutti	13,805	–	26,682	–	–	–	–	–	–
Renato Fassbind	98,946	–	73,839	–	–	20,746	41,667	–	–
Tobias Guldimann	87,212	–	37,197	–	31,640	17,782	20,834	–	–
Karl Landert	34,965	–	117,099	–	–	29,347	31,250	–	–
Hans-Ulrich Meister	18,793	3,440	128,290	–	–	–	–	–	–
Kai S. Nargolwala	145,478	–	–	–	–	–	2,500	–	–
Robert S. Shafir	83,012	–	253,442	–	–	–	71,213	–	–
Eric M. Varvel	137,507	–	196,270	–	–	75,343	56,953	–	–
Total	1,494,788	3,440	1,206,145	–	681,224	688,454	463,749	–	–

1 PIP II settles in May 2011. Based on the current estimate, we do not expect the PIP II awards to have a value at settlement, as it is probable that the Group share price performance will be below the minimum predefined target of CHF 47 per PIP II unit. 2 Information presented for the first time in 2010. 3 There was no vesting of ISUs in 2010 for Mr. Dougan.

Share-based variable compensation for members of the Executive Board

The share-based holdings disclosed include the holdings of the respective members of the Executive Board, their immediate family members and companies where they have a controlling interest. The holdings do not include awards granted after December 31, 2010.

The value of share-based compensation awards granted to members of the Executive Board in prior years, but not yet fully vested, varies depending on the Group share price, the vesting period remaining, and other factors influencing the >>>fair value of the award. The table “Units and values by individual” shows the value of the unvested share-based compensation awards held by members of the Executive Board as of December 31, 2010. The aggregate cumulative

value of these unvested share-based awards as of December 31, 2010 decreased 38% compared to the value of the awards as of their respective grant dates.

Option holdings by individual

December 31, 2010	Brady W. Dougan	Tobias Guldimann	David R. Mathers	Expiry date	Exercise price in CHF
Year of grant					
2003	–	–	1,095	January 22, 2013	30.60
2002	–	–	13,865	December 3, 2012	34.10
2001	368,400	15,640	–	January 25, 2011	84.75
Total	368,400	15,640	14,960	–	–

December 31, 2009	Brady W. Dougan	Paul Calello	Tobias Guldimann	Expiry date	Exercise price in CHF
Year of grant					
2001	368,400	241,184	15,640	January 25, 2011	84.75
2000	40,000	–	16,000	March 1, 2010	74.00
Total	408,400	241,184	31,640	–	–

All options on shares were granted as part of the previous years' compensation. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, none of the members of the Executive Board hold options purchased from personal funds.

Loans to members of the Executive Board

A large majority of loans outstanding to members of the Executive Board are mortgages or loans against securities. Such loans are made on the same terms available to third-party customers or pursuant to widely available employee benefit plans. As of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, outstanding loans to the members of the Executive Board amounted to CHF 18 million, CHF 19 million and CHF 24 million, respectively. The number of individuals with outstanding loans at the beginning and the end of the year was seven and six, respectively, and the highest loan outstanding was CHF 12 million to Executive Board member Walter Berchtold.

All mortgage loans to members of the Executive Board are granted either with variable or fixed interest rates over a certain period. Typically, fixed-rate mortgages are granted for periods of up to ten years. Interest rates applied are based on refinancing costs plus a margin and interest rates and other terms are consistent with those applicable to other employees. Loans against securities are granted at interest rates and on terms applicable to such loans granted to other employees. Interest rates applied are based on refinancing costs plus a margin. When granting a loan to these individuals, the same credit approval and risk assessment procedures apply as for loans to other employees.

Compensation to members of the Board of Directors

Compensation to members of the Board is set in accordance with the Articles of Association and the Compensation Committee Charter. The annual compensation paid to members of the Board is set by the Board based on the recommendation of the Compensation Committee. All members of the Board receive a base fee plus an additional fee that reflects the respective Board member's role and scope of responsibility on the Board. For the purposes of compensation, the Group distinguishes between Board members with functional duties (the full-time Chairman, the full-time Vice-Chairman and the three committee chairmen) and Board members without functional duties (ten individuals). Fees paid to all Board members are in the form of cash and Group shares, which are blocked for a period of four years.

Members of the Board without functional duties received an annual base fee for 2010 of CHF 250,000 and an annual committee fee for serving on the Audit, Risk or Compensation Committees. The committee fees were: CHF 150,000 for the Audit Committee, CHF 100,000 for the Risk Committee and CHF 100,000 for the Compensation Committee. In addition, Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, in the role of Vice-Chairman and member of both the Compensation and the Chairman's and Governance Committees, received a committee fee of CHF 250,000. The base and committee fee amounts are set by the Compensation Committee for the 12-month period from the current AGM to the following year's AGM.

Members of the Board with the functional duty of serving as a committee chairman receive an annual base fee plus a variable component, a special compensation fee for functional duties, which reflects the greater responsibility and time commitment required to perform the role of committee chairman. The annual base fee for the chairmen of the Audit and Risk Committees for 2010 was CHF 400,000 and the annual base fee for the chairman of the Compensation Committee was CHF 350,000. The base fees for the committee chairman are set by the Compensation Committee for the 12-month period from the current AGM to the following year's AGM. The special compensation fee for functional duties for the three committee chairmen varies according to their overall time commitment and is determined and paid for the current year, in alignment with the annual management variable compensation cycle.

For the roles of the full-time Chairman and Vice-Chairman, individuals are paid a base fee plus variable compensation, which is proposed by the Compensation Committee and approved by the Board. The base fees for Chairman Hans-Ulrich Doerig and Vice-Chairman Urs Rohner for 2010 were CHF 2.25 million and CHF 1.8 million, respectively. The level of variable compensation awarded to these individuals depends on both on the performance of the Group and their personal performance. Such compensation is paid in the form of cash and Group shares, blocked for a period of four years.

By compensating Board members to a significant degree in the form of blocked Group shares, we ensure alignment of their interests with the interests of our shareholders. The value of the shares held by our Board members as of the end of 2010 decreased 26% from the beginning of 2010.

Compensation for members of the Board for 2010

Variable compen-	Other
---------------------	-------

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

	Base board fee	Committee fee	sation for functional duties	compen- sation ¹ categories	Total compen- sation	Awarded in cash	% of total compen- sation	Awarded in Group shares	% of total compen- sation
2010/2011 (CHF)									
Hans-Ulrich Doerig, full-time Chairman ³	2,250,000	–	4,000,000	24,000	6,274,000	4,274,000	68%	2,000,000	32%
Urs Rohner, full-time Vice-Chairman ³	1,800,000	–	4,000,000	234,881	6,034,881	4,034,881	67%	2,000,000	33%
Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Vice-Chairman ⁴	250,000	250,000	–	–	500,000	250,000	50%	250,000	50%
Jassim Bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani ⁴	250,000	–	–	–	250,000	125,000	50%	125,000	50%
Robert H. Benmosche ⁴	250,000	100,000	–	–	350,000	175,000	50%	175,000	50%
Noreen Doyle ⁴	250,000	100,000	–	–	350,000	175,000	50%	175,000	50%
Walter B. Kielholz ⁴	250,000	100,000	–	–	350,000	175,000	50%	175,000	50%
Andreas N. Koopmann ⁴	250,000	100,000	–	–	350,000	175,000	50%	175,000	50%
Jean Lanier ⁴	250,000	150,000	–	–	400,000	200,000	50%	200,000	50%
Anton van Rossum ⁴	250,000	100,000	–	–	350,000	175,000	50%	175,000	50%
Aziz R.D. Syriani, Chairman of the Compensation Committee ³	350,000	–	400,000	–	750,000	522,500	70%	227,500	30%
David W. Syz ⁴	250,000	150,000	–	–	400,000	200,000	50%	200,000	50%
Richard E. Thornburgh, Chairman of the Risk Committee ³	400,000	–	1,000,000	–	1,400,000	900,000	64%	500,000	36%
John Tiner ⁴	250,000	150,000	–	–	400,000	200,000	50%	200,000	50%
Peter F. Weibel, Chairman of the Audit Committee ³	400,000	–	1,395,000	10,000	1,805,000	1,205,000	67%	600,000	33%
Total	7,700,000	1,200,000	10,795,000	268,881	19,963,881	12,786,381	64%	7,177,500	36%

1 Other compensation included lump sum expenses, child and health care allowances and pension benefits. 2 The value of Group shares is included in total compensation. Group shares are subject to a four-year blocking period. 3 Members of the Board with functional duties received an annual base board fee paid in cash. In addition, they received variable compensation paid in cash and/or share awards as determined by the Board in the course of the regular management compensation process. Generally, variable

compensation awarded for 2010 was paid in Group shares (50%) and cash (50%). The applicable Group share price was CHF 41.40. 4 Members of the Board without functional duties were paid an annual base board fee and a committee fee for their committee membership in advance for the period from one AGM to the other, i.e., from April 30, 2010 to April 29, 2011. The committee fees are CHF 150,000 for the Audit Committee and CHF 100,000 for the Risk and Compensation Committees. For 2010, these total combined fees were paid in Group shares (50%) and cash (50%). The applicable Group share price was CHF 49.70.

Compensation of the Chairman for 2010

For 2010, the Chairman received total compensation of CHF 6.3 million. CHF 4.0 million was variable compensation, of which CHF 2.0 million was awarded in cash and CHF 2.0 million was awarded in Group shares, blocked for a period of four years.

The total 2010 compensation of the full-time Chairman was 4% lower than the 2009 total compensation and 24% lower than the 2009 compensation for his role as full-time Chairman on an annualized basis.

Additional fees and compensation

Two former members of the Board are eligible to receive office infrastructure and secretarial support. These services are based on existing resources and are not used on a regular basis. No additional fees, severance payments or other forms of compensation were paid to current or former members of the Board or related parties during 2010.

Compensation for members of the Board for 2009

	Fixed cash compensation	Variable cash compensation	Total cash	% of total compensation	Value of Group share awards	% of total compensation	Other compensation categories ¹	Total compensation	Number of Group shares ²
2009/2010 (CHF)									
Hans-Ulrich Doerig, Chairman ³	1,778,409	2,350,000	4,128,409	63%	2,350,000	36%	28,095	6,506,504	43,754
Urs Rohner, Vice-Chairman ³	1,200,000	1,000,000	2,200,000	66%	1,000,000	30%	146,160	3,346,160	18,619
Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Vice-Chairman ⁴	250,000	–	250,000	50%	250,000	50%	–	500,000	5,750
Robert H. Benmosche ^{4,5}	175,000	–	175,000	50%	175,000	50%	–	350,000	4,025
Noreen Doyle ⁴	175,000	–	175,000	50%	175,000	50%	–	350,000	4,025
Walter B. Kielholz ^{3,4}	916,667	2,175,000	3,091,667	59%	2,175,000	41%	8,000	5,274,667	50,024
Andreas N. Koopmann ⁴	175,000	–	175,000	50%	175,000	50%	–	350,000	4,025
Jean Lanier ⁴	200,000	–	200,000	50%	200,000	50%	–	400,000	4,600

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Anton van Rossum ⁴	175,000	–	175,000	50%	175,000	50%	–	350,000	4,025
Aziz R.D. Syriani, Chairman of the Compensation Committee ³	350,000	172,500	522,500	70%	227,500	30%	–	750,000	4,236
David W. Syz ⁴	200,000	–	200,000	50%	200,000	50%	–	400,000	4,600
Ernst Tanner ⁴	200,000	–	200,000	50%	200,000	50%	–	400,000	4,600
Richard E. Thornburgh, Chairman of the Risk Committee ³	400,000	333,334	733,334	69%	333,333	31%	–	1,066,667	6,207
John Tiner ⁴	200,000	–	200,000	50%	200,000	50%	–	400,000	4,600
Peter F. Weibel, Chairman of the Audit Committee ³	400,000	795,000	1,195,000	66%	600,000	33%	10,000	1,805,000	11,172
Total	6,795,076	6,825,834	13,620,910	61%	8,435,833	38%	192,255	22,248,998	174,262

1 Other compensation included lump sum expenses, child and health care allowances and pension benefits. 2 The value of the Group shares is included in total compensation. Group shares are subject to a four-year blocking period. 3 Members of the Board with functional duties received an annual fee paid in cash. In addition, they received variable compensation paid in cash and/or share awards as determined by the Board in the course of the regular management compensation process. The annual fees and variable compensation paid to Walter B. Kielholz (former Chairman), Hans-Ulrich Doerig (former full-time Vice-Chairman, current Chairman), Urs Rohner (full-time Vice-Chairman) and Richard E. Thornburgh (Chairman of the Risk Committee) reflect the new or changed functional duties they performed in 2009. Variable compensation awarded for 2009 was paid in Group shares (50%) and cash (50%). The applicable Group share price was CHF 53.71. Individual amounts of variable compensation (the total of cash and share-based compensation) were as follows: Hans-Ulrich Doerig, CHF 4,700,000; Urs Rohner, CHF 2,000,000; Walter B. Kielholz, CHF 4,350,000; Aziz R.D. Syriani, CHF 400,000; Richard E. Thornburgh, CHF 666,667; and Peter F. Weibel, CHF 1,395,000. 4 Members of the Board without functional duties were paid an annual fee in advance for the period from one AGM to the other, i.e., from April 24, 2009 to April 30, 2010. For 2009, the fee was paid in Group shares (50%) and cash (50%). The applicable Group share price was CHF 43.48. The annual fee paid to members of the Board without functional duties is broken down as follows: base Board fee of CHF 250,000, Audit Committee fee of CHF 150,000, Compensation Committee fee of CHF 100,000 and Risk Committee fee of CHF 100,000. In addition, Peter Brabeck-Letmathe received total committee fees of CHF 250,000, whereof CHF 100,000 related to his Compensation Committee membership and CHF 150,000 related to his additional role as the Vice-Chairman and member of the Chairman's and Governance Committee. 5 Robert H. Benmosche stepped down from the Board on August 10, 2009.

Share and option holdings of members of the Board

The holdings as disclosed below include the holdings of the respective member of the Board, their immediate family members and companies where they have a controlling interest.

Share holdings by individual

December 31	2010	2009
-------------	------	------

Number of shares ¹

Hans-Ulrich Doerig, full-time Chairman	223,800	155,639
Urs Rohner, full-time Vice-Chairman ²	144,870	61,394
Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Vice-Chairman	103,732	95,201
Jassim Bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani ³	2,516	–
Robert H. Benmosche ³	20,252	–
Noreen Doyle	27,385	23,863
Walter B. Kielholz	323,734	420,212
Andreas N. Koopmann	16,948	13,426
Jean Lanier	24,552	20,527
Anton van Rossum	34,379	30,857
Aziz R.D. Syriani, Chairman of the Compensation Committee	60,889	56,653
David W. Syz	76,105	72,080
Richard E. Thornburgh, Chairman of the Risk Committee ²	296,522	92,500
John Tiner	8,625	4,600
Peter F. Weibel, Chairman of the Audit Committee	52,651	41,479
Total	1,416,960	1,088,431

¹ Includes Group shares that are subject to a blocking period of up to four years. ² Urs Rohner was granted 35,564 PIP I units and 55,556 PIP II units and Richard E. Thornburgh was granted 98,935 PIP I units, each in his former position as a member of the Executive Board. ³ As of April 30, 2010, Jassim Bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani and Robert H. Benmosche were elected as members of the Board. ⁴ As of April 30, 2010, Ernst Tanner stepped down from the Board. He held 46,989 shares as of December 31, 2009.

Option holdings by individual

December 31, 2010	Richard E. Thornburgh	Expiry date	Exercise price in CHF
Year of grant			
2001	215,116	January 25, 2011	84.75
Total	215,116	–	–

December 31, 2009	Richard E. Thornburgh	Expiry date	Exercise price

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Year of grant	Thornburgh	date	in CHF
2001	215,116	January 25, 2011	84.75
2000	100,000	March 1, 2010	74.00
Total	315,116	-	-

The Group's policy is to compensate members of the Board with Group shares. Option holdings were acquired by the named individuals in their previous capacities as members of senior management. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, none of the members of the Board held options purchased from personal funds.

Loans to members of the Board

	2010 ¹	2009 ¹
December 31 (CHF)		
Hans-Ulrich Doerig, Chairman	1,800,000	1,800,000
Urs Rohner, Vice-Chairman	5,590,000	4,242,000
Peter Brabeck-Letmathe, Vice-Chairman	14,163,528	5,761,432
Walter B. Kielholz	5,000,000	2,000,000
Andreas N. Koopmann	3,500,000	3,500,000
David W. Syz	1,500,000	1,500,000
Richard E. Thornburgh	93,186	120,591
Peter F. Weibel	3,850,000	2,865,000
Total	35,496,714	21,789,023²

¹ Includes loans to immediate family members. ² As of April 30, 2010, Ernst Tanner stepped down from the Board. He had a CHF 3,550,000 loan as of December 31, 2009.

A large majority of loans outstanding to members of the Board are mortgages or loans against securities. Such loans are made to Board members on the same terms available to third-party customers. As of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, outstanding loans to the members of the Board amounted to CHF 35 million, CHF 25 million and CHF 24 million, respectively.

Members of the Board are not granted employee conditions on any loans extended to them, but such loans are subject to conditions applied to customers with a comparable credit standing. Members of the Board who were previously employees of the Group may still have outstanding loans, which were extended to them at the time at employee conditions. In addition to the loans listed above, the Group or any of its banking subsidiaries have entered into financing and other banking agreements with companies in which current members of the Board have a significant influence as defined by the US Securities and Exchange Commission. As of December 31, 2010, there was no exposure to such related parties. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the total exposure to such related parties amounted to CHF 50,000 and CHF 6 million, respectively, including all advances and contingent liabilities. The

highest exposure to such related parties for any of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 did not exceed CHF 10 million in aggregate.

In February 2011, the Group entered into definitive agreements with affiliates of Qatar Investment Authority (QIA) and The Olayan Group, which have significant holdings of Group shares, to issue an aggregate of CHF 5.9 billion Tier 1 Buffer Capital Notes for cash or in exchange for tier 1 capital notes issued in 2008. The Group determined that this was a material transaction and deemed QIA and The Olayan Group to be related parties of Jassim bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani and Aziz R. D. Syriani, respectively, for purposes of evaluating the terms and corporate governance of the transaction. The Group and the Board (except for Jassim bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani and Aziz R. D. Syriani, who recused themselves) determined that the terms of the transaction, given its size, the nature of the contingent buffer capital, for which there was no established market, and the terms of the tier 1 capital notes issued in 2008 and held by QIA and The Olayan Group, were fair. For more information about the terms of the transaction, refer to *III – Treasury, Risk, Balance sheet and Off-balance sheet – Treasury management – Capital Management – Capital issuances*.

We, together with our subsidiaries, are a global financial services provider and have major corporate banking operations in Switzerland. We, therefore, typically have relationships with many large companies including those in which our Board members assume management functions or board member responsibilities. Except for the deemed related party transaction with QIA and The Olayan Group and the related party loans as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 described above, all relationships between us or our banking subsidiaries and members of the Board and their affiliated companies or related parties are in the ordinary course of business and at arm's length.

Compensation awards and expenses for the Group

Variable compensation awarded for 2010

The following table shows the value of awards granted to employees as variable compensation for 2010 and 2009 granted in January 2011 and 2010, respectively. The awards that are deferred contain future service and performance criteria that will determine the final payout to employees upon settlement and may be reduced or forfeited if future service or performance criteria are not achieved.

Variable compensation awards

in	2010	2009
Variable compensation (CHF million)		
Share awards	1,430	–
Adjustable Performance Plan awards	1,102	1,187
Restricted cash awards	465	–
Scaled Incentive Share Units	–	1,188
Incentive Share Units	–	325
Other share-based awards	–	6
Other cash awards	19	28
Deferred compensation awards	3,016	2,734
Unrestricted cash	2,030 ¹	4,140
Variable compensation	5,046²	6,874

1 Includes CHF 35 million of unrestricted cash granted in the form of Group shares to comply with European regulatory requirements. 2 Excluding the effect of the increased base salaries, variable compensation awarded for 2010 would have been 15% lower than 2009.

All variable compensation was approved by the Board, based on senior management's recommendation and the thorough assessment of the Group's performance in 2010 against pre-defined absolute and relative performance criteria. In addition, other factors were taken into consideration, such as the overall economic and competitive environment, the capital and risk position of the Group and returns to shareholders. The total value of variable compensation for 2010 reflected both the weaker absolute performance of the Group in 2010 in a challenging market environment and the solid relative performance compared to peers, including the Group ROE of 14.4% and net new assets of CHF 69.0 billion. 2010 was also a year of transition towards the new regulatory environment. Variable compensation for 2010 therefore also reflected the shift in our compensation structure, as we increased base salaries and correspondingly reduced variable compensation. The overall variable compensation awarded for 2010 was CHF 5.0 billion, down 27% compared to 2009, and down 15% excluding the effect of increased base salaries. 60% of the total variable compensation awarded across the Group for 2010 was deferred and subject to future performance and service criteria.

Details of share, Adjustable Performance Plan and restricted cash awards for 2010

The recognition of compensation expense for the share, Adjustable Performance Plan and restricted cash awards granted in January 2011 began in 2011 and had no impact on the 2010 consolidated financial statements.

On January 20, 2011, we granted 34.5 million share awards with a total value of CHF 1,430 million. The fair value of each share award was CHF 42.51, equivalent to the Group's closing share price on the date of grant. The estimated unrecognized compensation expense of CHF 1,424 million was determined based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant, including the current estimate of future forfeitures, and will be recognized over the four-year vesting period, subject to early retirement rules.

On January 20, 2011, we granted Adjustable Performance Plan awards with a total value of CHF 1,102 million. The estimated unrecognized compensation expense of CHF 1,669 million was determined based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant, including the current estimated outcome of relevant performance criteria and estimated future forfeitures, and will be recognized over the four-year vesting period, subject to early retirement rules.

On January 20, 2011, we granted restricted cash awards with a total value of CHF 465 million. The estimated unrecognized compensation expense of CHF 465 million was determined based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant and will be recognized over the two-year vesting period.

Compensation and benefits expense

Compensation and benefits expense recognized for a given year in the consolidated statement of operations includes salaries, variable compensation, the amortization of share-based and other awards that were granted as variable deferred compensation in prior years, benefits and employer taxes on compensation. Variable compensation mainly reflects the unrestricted performance-based compensation for the current year as well as severance, sign-on and commission payments. Variable deferred compensation granted for the current year is expensed in future periods and subject to restrictive features such as continued employment with the Group, vesting, forfeiture and blocking rules.

Compensation expense for share-based and other awards that were granted as variable deferred compensation in prior years is recognized in accordance with the specific terms and conditions of each respective award and is primarily recognized over the future requisite service and vesting period, which is determined by the plan, retirement eligibility of employees, moratorium periods and certain other terms. Compensation expense for share-based and other awards that were granted as variable deferred compensation in prior years also includes the current estimated outcome of applicable performance criteria, estimated future forfeitures and mark-to-market adjustments for certain awards that are still outstanding.

Compensation and benefits expense recognized in the consolidated statements of operations for 2009 and 2010 is shown in the following table.

Compensation and benefits expense

December 31	2010			2009		
	Current compensation	Deferred compensation	Total	Current compensation	Deferred compensation	Total
Compensation and benefits (CHF million)						
Salaries	6,532	–	6,532	5,326	–	5,326
Adjustable Performance Plan awards	–	963 ¹	963	–	–	–
Scaled Incentive Share Units	–	561	561	–	–	–
Incentive Share Units	–	723	723	–	1,526	1,526
Cash Retention Awards	–	578	578	–	822	822
Performance Incentive Plans (PIP I and PIP II) ²	–	(1)	(1)	–	(21)	(21)
Partner Asset Facility ³	–	45	45	–	629	629
Other share-based awards	–	294 ⁴	294	–	331 ⁴	331
Other cash awards	–	422	422	–	431	431
Deferred compensation expense	–	3,585	3,585	–	3,718	3,718
Unrestricted cash	2,030	–	2,030	4,140	–	4,140
Net severance payments	102	–	102	3	–	3
Sign-on payments	72	–	72	64	–	64
Commissions	161	–	161	161	–	161
Variable compensation expense	2,365	–	2,365	4,368	–	4,368
Salaries and deferred and variable compensation expense	8,897	3,585	12,482	9,694	3,718	13,412
Social security ⁵	928	–	928	1,015	–	1,015
Other ⁶	1,189	–	1,189	586	–	586

Compensation and benefits

11,014	3,585	14,599	11,295	3,718	15,013
---------------	--------------	---------------	---------------	--------------	---------------

1 Includes CHF 41 million of expenses associated with special Adjustable Performance Plan awards granted in 2010. 2 Includes claw backs. 3 Represents the change in underlying fair value of the indexed assets during the period. In 2009, included the vesting of the remaining 33.3% in the first quarter. 4 Includes CHF 76 million and CHF 69 million of expenses associated with other share-based awards granted in 2010 and 2009, respectively. 5 Represents the Group's portion of employees' mandatory social security. 6 Includes pension and other post-retirement expense of CHF 483 million and the UK levy on variable compensation of CHF 404 million in 2010 and pension and other post-retirement expense of CHF 347 million in 2009.

Estimated unrecognized compensation expense

The following table shows the estimated unrecognized compensation expense for variable deferred compensation awards granted for 2010 and prior years outstanding as of December 31, 2010. These estimates were based on the fair value of each award on the date of grant taking into account the current estimated outcome of relevant performance criteria (including the assumption of no future losses) and estimated future forfeitures. No estimate has been included for future mark-to-market adjustments.

Estimated unrecognized compensation expense

December 31, 2010	Deferred compensation for 2010	Deferred compensation for prior-year awards	Total
Estimated unrecognized compensation expense (CHF million)			
Share awards	1,424	–	1,424
Adjustable Performance Plan awards	1,669	613 ¹	2,282
Restricted cash awards	465	–	465
Scaled Incentive Share Units	–	782	782
Incentive Share Units	–	334	334
Other share-based awards	–	496 ²	496
Other cash awards	19	48	67
Estimated unrecognized compensation expense	3,577	2,273	5,850

1 Includes CHF 126 million of estimated unrecognized expense associated with special Adjustable Performance Plan awards granted in 2010. 2 Includes CHF 218 million of estimated unrecognized expense associated with other share-based awards granted in 2010.

For information about the fair value assumptions used to estimate the unrecognized compensation expense of unvested awards, refer to *Note 27 – Employee variable deferred compensation in V – Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

In connection with our share-based compensation plans, we generally repurchased our own shares in the open market to satisfy obligations in connection with share-based compensation but may also issue new shares out of available conditional capital, and we economically hedge our estimated obligation to deliver Group shares at future dates.

For more information about these topics, refer to *Note 7 – Share capital, conditional and authorized capital of Credit Suisse Group in VI – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Impact of share-based compensation on shareholders' equity

Our shareholders' equity reflects the effect of share-based compensation, including the impact of related share repurchases and other hedging activities. Equity is generally unaffected by the granting and vesting of share-based awards, as share-based compensation expense (which is generally based on fair value at the time of grant) reduces equity, however, the recognition of the obligation to deliver the shares increases equity by a corresponding amount. When Credit Suisse purchases shares from the market to meet its obligation to employees, these purchased treasury shares reduce equity by the amount of the purchase price. Treasury shares are managed in aggregate and are not allocated to specific obligations under any particular share-based compensation program. Shareholders' equity also includes, as additional paid-in capital, the excess tax benefits/charges that arise at settlement of share-based awards. For further information, refer to the *Consolidated statements of changes in equity, Note 26 – Tax – Tax benefits associated with share-based compensation and Note 27 – Employee variable deferred in V – Consolidated Financial Statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Compensation plans from prior years

Compensation practices in the banking industry have evolved over recent years, reflecting business and regulatory trends. For example, prior to 2004, options and other share-based awards were used as standard instruments for the industry. For the Group, highly leveraged PIP I awards were granted in early 2005 as part of compensation for 2004, reflecting the restructuring of the Group at the time. To ensure retention of a relatively small group of senior management, these awards vested and were deferred over five years. PIP I was expensed over the vesting period and settled in April 2010 with the delivery of 4.8 shares per unit, which was largely driven by the outperformance of the Group share price against peers. PIP II awards were granted in early 2006 as part of compensation for 2005 to a larger group of senior management reflecting the ongoing structural realignment of the Group. PIP II awards also vest over five years and will settle in May 2011. Compared to PIP I, PIP II was less leveraged, but still provided a relatively strong upside potential upon achievement of certain performance criteria. Based on the current estimate, we do not expect the PIP II awards to have a value at settlement, as it is probable that the Group share price performance will be below the minimum predefined target of CHF 47 per PIP II unit.

Reflecting the integrated bank strategy, the Group granted the more broadly distributed and moderately leveraged ISU between 2007 and 2010. The Group share price was the main performance criteria but thresholds and leverage multipliers varied from year to year, reflecting market conditions at the time of grant. In 2010, senior management was granted SISUs, a long-term incentive plan with an extended vesting period of four years and with the ROE of the Group as a further performance condition. In the aftermath of the market turmoil, from 2009, the Group started to award cash-based instruments. Cash Retention Awards were designed to retain employees over the vesting periods of two years. The Partner Asset Facility (PAF) was designed to transfer risks from the Group to senior employees in Investment Banking. The Adjustable Performance Plan awards were designed to align compensation with future performance and included a claw-back mechanism. For a more detailed description of these prior-year awards, refer to

Overview of compensation plans introduced in prior years.

Employees experience changes to the values of their deferred awards over the vesting period and the final value of a specific award will only be determined at settlement.

A comparison of fair values at grant versus fair values at the end of 2010 is provided in the table “Fair values of compensation plans from prior years”. The significant fair value changes against grant values reflected the embedded performance criteria, vesting provisions and market conditions at grant and as of the end of 2010.

Fair value of compensation plans from prior years

	Value per unit		
	Year end 2010	Grant date	% change
Share-based awards (CHF)			
Options 2001	0.00	23.12	(100)
Options 2002	3.57	11.37	(69)
Options 2003	7.07	10.20	(31)
Incentive Share Unit granted for 2007	37.67	65.59	(43)
Incentive Share Unit granted for 2008	62.22	30.68	103
Incentive Share Unit granted for 2009	37.87	63.75	(41)
Scaled Incentive Share Unit granted for 2009	38.34	63.74	(40)
Performance Incentive Plan II	0.00	79.87	(100)
Cash-based awards (CHF)			
Adjustable Performance Plan awards	1.14	1.00	14
Partner Asset Facility	1.57	1.00	57

While we believe that the evolution of our compensation instruments over recent years provides a track record of innovation and responsiveness, we also acknowledge that the complexity of our compensation instruments gave internal and external stakeholders concerns with regard to transparency and excess potential leverage. These issues were taken into account as we simplified our compensation instruments for 2010.

A summary of the forms of awards granted in previous years is shown in the following overview.

Overview of compensation plans introduced in prior years

Scaled Incentive Share Unit (SISU)

Basis Share-based, variable deferred compensation

Vesting start 2010

Vesting end 2013

Applied to

Performance in 2009, which included half of the variable cash compensation awarded to all managing directors and directors across all divisions.

General award conditions

Vesting ratably over a three-year period.

Other award conditions or restrictions

Value of SISUs is linked to the long-term development of the Group share price and the Group average ROE.

Program objective/rationale

Promoting retention of managing directors and directors.

Incentive Share Unit (ISU)

Basis Share-based, variable deferred compensation

Vesting start 2007-2010

Vesting end 2010-2013

Applied to

Performance in years since 2006 for all employees.

General award conditions

Vesting ratably over a three-year period.

Other award conditions or restrictions

An ISU is similar to other share-based awards, but offers additional upside depending on the development of the Group share price.

Program objective/rationale

An ISU links employee performance awards to the long-term performance of the Group, with an additional incentive to increase Group share value for the benefit of employees and shareholders.

Cash Retention Award (CRA)

Basis Cash-based, restricted variable compensation

Vesting start 2009

Vesting end 2011

Applied to

Performance in 2008, which included all variable cash compensation awarded to all managing directors across all divisions and directors in Investment Banking; variable cash compensation in excess of CHF 300,000 awarded to directors in all other divisions.

General award conditions

Vesting ratably over a two-year period.

Other award conditions or restrictions

Unvested portion subject to repayment if claw-back event occurs, such as voluntary termination or termination for cause.

Program objective/rationale

Promoting retention of senior management.

Performance Incentive Plan I (PIP I)

Basis Share-based, variable deferred compensation

Vesting start 2005

Vesting end 2010

Applied to

Performance in 2004, which included selected managing directors in all divisions.

General award conditions

PIP I units were retention incentive awards requiring continued employment with the Group. PIP I units vested ratably over a five-year period. At grant, PIP I units were equivalent to a Group share price of CHF 47.45.

Other award conditions or restrictions

PIP I units settled for 4.8 Group shares. PIP I units converted into Group shares determined by multipliers based on the achievement of pre-defined targets: (i) earnings performance; (ii) Group share price performance (absolute); and (iii) Group share price performance relative to peers.

Program objective/rationale

PIP I was designed to compensate, incentivize and retain senior management and executives during 2004 and 2005, a period of fundamental change for the Group and intense international competition for employees in some of our businesses. PIP I units awarded to senior management were closely linked to specific achievements in the delivery of our strategy.

Performance Incentive Plan II (PIP II)

Basis Share-based, variable deferred compensation

Vesting start 2006

Vesting end 2011

Applied to

Performance in 2005, which included all managing directors in Investment Banking and selected managing directors and directors in other divisions.

General award conditions

PIP II units are retention incentive awards requiring continued employment with the Group. PIP II units vest ratably over a five-year period. At grant, PIP II units were equivalent to a Group share price of CHF 72.00. The PIP II program includes a two-year moratorium period for early retirement eligibility.

Other award conditions or restrictions

PIP II units will settle for between zero and six Group shares. PIP II units can convert into Group shares determined by multipliers based on the achievement of pre-defined targets: (i) earnings performance; (ii) Group share price performance (absolute); and (iii) Group share price performance relative to peers.

Program objective/rationale

PIP II links employee performance awards to the long-term performance of the Group, with an additional incentive to increase Group share value for the benefit of employees and shareholders.

Partner Asset Facility (PAF)

Basis Cash-based, variable deferred compensation

Vesting start 2008. 66.7% vested upon grant

Vesting end 33.3% vested in March 2009

Applied to

Performance in year 2008, which included all managing directors and directors in Investment Banking.

General award conditions

The contractual term of a PAF award is eight years. PAF awards are indexed to, and represent a first-loss interest in, a specified pool of illiquid assets (Asset Pool) that originated in Investment Banking. The notional value of the Asset Pool was based on the fair market value of the assets within the Asset Pool as of December 31, 2008, and those assets cannot be substituted throughout the contractual term of the award or until liquidated.

Other award conditions or restrictions

PAF holders will receive a semi-annual cash interest payment of the London Interbank Offered Rate plus 250 basis points applied to the notional value of the PAF award granted throughout the contractual term of the award. They will participate in the potential gains on the Asset Pool if the assets within the pool are liquidated at prices above the initial fair market value. If the assets within the Asset Pool are liquidated at prices below the initial fair market value, the PAF holders will bear the first loss on the Asset Pool.

Program objective/rationale

PAF awards were designed to incentivize senior managers in Investment Banking to effectively manage assets which were a direct result of risk taking in Investment Banking during this period. As a result of the PAF program, a significant portion of risk positions associated with the Asset Pool has been transferred to the employees and removed from the Group's risk-weighted assets, resulting in a reduction in capital usage.

Other share-based awards

Basis Share-based, non-deferred or variable deferred compensation

Applied to

Blocked shares: granted to members of the Board. For performance prior to 2006, granted to employees in Switzerland. Phantom shares and Longevity Premium Awards (LPA): for performance prior to 2006, granted to employees awarded variable compensation at or above CHF 125,000. Special share awards: granted to employees in limited circumstances.

General award conditions

Blocked shares: entitle participants to receive Group shares that are vested at the time of grant but blocked for a four-year period. Phantom shares: entitle holders to receive one Group share subject to continued employment with the Group, restrictive covenants and cancellation provisions and vest in three installments on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date. LPA: have the same general conditions as phantom shares, except that LPA vested in full on the third anniversary of the grant date. Special share awards are mostly granted to new employees.

Other award conditions or restrictions

Blocked shares: restricted from selling until block period has lapsed; Phantom shares: conversion to Group shares occurred within 120 days of vesting. LPA: conversion to Group shares occurred within 120 days of vesting; may be blocked for one year after vesting, depending on the location of the grant recipient. Special share awards: may contain vesting conditions, depending on the terms of employment or other factors.

Program objective/rationale

Blocked share awards made up the Group's early share-based deferred compensation for employees based in Switzerland and continue to be granted to Board members, with the objective of aligning the interest of the recipients to the creation of overall shareholder value. Share awards including phantom shares, LPA and special awards made up the Group's early share-based deferred compensation, with the objective of linking employee performance more

closely to the creation of overall shareholder value. Special share awards are typically granted to new employees to compensate for deferred awards at a previous employer forfeited as a result of the individual taking up employment with the Group.

Other cash awards

Basis Cash-based, deferred compensation

Applied to

Voluntary deferred compensation plans: managing directors of former Credit Suisse First Boston; arbitrage trading plans: directors and managing directors of Investment Banking arbitrage trading desks; employee investment plans: various employees depending on level of variable cash compensation.

General award conditions

Voluntary deferred compensation plans: allowed employees to defer a portion of their bonus for payout at retirement age; arbitrage trading plans: both voluntary and mandatory plans based on the performance of the global arbitrage trading group and the employee's individual group; employee investment plans: allowed employees to defer a portion of their bonus in investment plans with the Group.

Other award conditions or restrictions

Plans are either fully vested or contain future service requirements. For certain plans, the Group recognizes both market and performance adjustments until awards are settled.

Program objective/rationale

Voluntary deferred compensation plans are special retirement plans; arbitrage trading plans align the performance of arbitrage traders with the results of the Group; employee investment plans provide employees with opportunity to invest along side the Group.

Consolidated financial statements – Credit Suisse Group

Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Consolidated financial statements

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

Controls and procedures

Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Credit Suisse Group AG, Zurich

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Credit Suisse Group AG and subsidiaries (the “Group”) as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity, comprehensive income and cash flows, and notes thereto, for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Group’s management and the Board of Directors. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) and Swiss Auditing Standards. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Group as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Group changed its method of accounting for variable interest entities in 2010 due to the adoption of ASU 2009-17.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Group’s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, and our report dated March 24, 2011 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effectiveness of the Group’s internal control over financial reporting.

KPMG AG

Marc Ufer

Simon Ryder

Licensed Audit Expert

Licensed Audit Expert

Auditor in Charge

Zurich, Switzerland

March 24, 2011

Consolidated financial statements

Consolidated statements of operations

	Reference to notes	2010	2009	in 2008
Consolidated statements of operations (CHF million)				
Interest and dividend income	6	25,533	25,288	47,939
Interest expense	6	(18,992)	(18,397)	(39,403)
Net interest income	6	6,541	6,891	8,536
Commissions and fees	7	14,078	13,750	14,812
Trading revenues	8	9,338	12,151	(9,880)
Other revenues	9	1,429	502	(4,200)
Net revenues		31,386	33,294	9,268
Provision for credit losses	10	(79)	506	813
Compensation and benefits	11	14,599	15,013	13,254
General and administrative expenses	12	7,231	7,701	7,809
Commission expenses		2,148	1,997	2,294
Total other operating expenses		9,379	9,698	10,103
Total operating expenses		23,978	24,711	23,357
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes		7,487	8,077	(14,902)
Income tax expense/(benefit)	26	1,548	1,835	(4,596)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations		5,939	6,242	(10,306)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	4	(19)	169	(531)
Net income/(loss)		5,920	6,411	(10,837)
Less net income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests		822	(313)	(2,619)
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders		5,098	6,724	(8,218)
of which from continuing operations		5,117	6,555	(7,687)
of which from discontinued operations		(19)	169	(531)
Basic earnings per share (CHF)				
Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	13	3.93	5.14	(7.51)

Basic earnings/(loss) per share from discontinued operations	13	(0.02)	0.14	(0.50)
Basic earnings/(loss) per share	13	3.91	5.28	(8.01)
Diluted earnings per share (CHF)				
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	13	3.91	5.01	(7.51)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from discontinued operations	13	(0.02)	0.13	(0.50)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share	13	3.89	5.14	(8.01)

Consolidated balance sheets

	Reference to notes	2010	end of 2009
Assets (CHF million)			
Cash and due from banks		65,467	51,857
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		1,432	–
Interest-bearing deposits with banks		1,524	1,177
Central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions	14	220,443	209,499
of which reported at fair value		136,906	128,303
Securities received as collateral, at fair value		42,147	37,516
of which encumbered		21,352	27,816
Trading assets, at fair value	15	324,704	332,238
of which encumbered		87,723	112,994
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		8,717	–
Investment securities	16	8,397	11,232
of which reported at fair value		7,945	10,793
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		72	–
Other investments	17	16,482	23,993
of which reported at fair value		13,448	21,126
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		2,334	–
Net loans	18	218,842	237,180

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

of which reported at fair value		18,552	36,246
of which encumbered		783	1,105
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		3,745	–
allowance for loan losses		(1,017)	(1,395)
Premises and equipment	19	6,725	6,436
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		72	–
Goodwill	20	8,585	9,267
Other intangible assets	20	312	328
of which reported at fair value		66	30
Brokerage receivables		38,769	41,960
Other assets	22	79,585	68,744
of which reported at fair value		39,470	29,125
of which encumbered		2,388	3,262
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		19,570	–
Assets of discontinued operations held-for-sale	4	23	0
Total assets		1,032,005	1,031,427

Consolidated balance sheets (continued)

	Reference to notes	2010	end of 2009
Liabilities and equity (CHF million)			
Due to banks	23	37,493	36,214
of which reported at fair value		3,444	4,695
Customer deposits	23	287,564	286,694
of which reported at fair value		3,537	2,676
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		54	–
Central bank funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions	14	168,394	191,687
of which reported at fair value		123,697	122,136
Obligation to return securities received as collateral, at fair value		42,147	37,516

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Trading liabilities, at fair value	15	133,997	133,481
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		188	–
Short-term borrowings		21,683	7,645
of which reported at fair value		3,308	3,383
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		4,333	–
Long-term debt	24	173,752	159,365
of which reported at fair value		83,692	74,513
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		19,739	–
Brokerage payables		61,746	58,965
Other liabilities	22	62,214	71,532
of which reported at fair value		29,185	30,389
of which reported from consolidated VIEs		840	–
Total liabilities		988,990	983,099
Common shares		47	47
Additional paid-in capital		23,026	24,706
Retained earnings		25,316	25,258
Treasury shares, at cost		(552)	(856)
Accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss)	25	(14,555)	(11,638)
Total shareholders' equity		33,282	37,517
Noncontrolling interests		9,733	10,811
Total equity		43,015	48,328
Total liabilities and equity		1,032,005	1,031,427

end of	2010	2009
Additional share information		
Par value (CHF)	0.04	0.04
Authorized shares (million)	1,468.3	1,469.4
Issued shares (million)	1,186.1	1,185.4
Treasury shares (million)	(12.2)	(16.2)
Shares outstanding (million)	1,173.9	1,169.2

Consolidated statements of changes in equity

	Attributable to shareholders								Number of common shares outstanding
	Common shares	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Treasury shares, at cost	Accumu- lated other compre- hensive income	Total share- holders' equity	Non- controlling interests	Total equity	
2010 (CHF million)									
Balance at beginning of period	47	24,706	25,258	(856)	(11,638)	37,517	10,811	48,328	1,169,210,895₁
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests, changing ownership	–	(20)	–	–	–	(20)	(37)	(57)	–
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests, not changing ownership ^{2, 3}	–	–	–	–	–	–	(2,059)	(2,059)	–
Sale of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling interests, changing ownership	–	–	–	–	–	–	1	1	–
Sale of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling interests, not changing ownership ³	–	–	–	–	–	–	1,907	1,907	–
Net income/(loss)	–	–	5,098	–	–	5,098	822	5,920	–
	–	–	(2,384)	–	135	(2,249)	–	(2,249)	–

Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of tax ⁴									
Gains/(losses) on cash flow hedges	–	–	–	–	8	8	–	8	–
Foreign currency translation	–	–	–	–	(2,835)	(2,835)	(744)	(3,579)	–
Unrealized gains/(losses) on securities	–	–	–	–	7	7	–	7	–
Actuarial gains/(losses)	–	–	–	–	(245)	(245)	–	(245)	–
Net prior service cost	–	–	–	–	13	13	–	13	–
Total other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	–	–	–	–	(3,052)	(3,052)	(744)	(3,796)	–
Issuance of common shares	–	9	–	–	–	9	–	9	804,260
Sale of treasury shares	–	19	–	24,730	–	24,749	–	24,749	526,878,697
Repurchase of treasury shares	–	–	–	(26,846)	–	(26,846)	–	(26,846)	(569,477,317)
Share-based compensation, net of tax	–	(1,593) ⁵	–	2,420	–	827	9	836	46,529,530
Financial instruments indexed to own shares ⁶	–	(95)	–	–	–	(95)	–	(95)	–
Cash dividends paid	–	–	(2,656)	–	–	(2,656)	(144)	(2,800)	–
Change in scope of consolidation	–	–	–	–	–	–	(911) ⁷	(911)	–
Other	–	–	–	–	–	–	78	78	–
Balance at end of period	47	23,026	25,316	(552)	(14,555)	33,282	9,733	43,015	1,173,946,065⁸

1 At par value CHF 0.04 each, fully paid, net of 16,159,287 treasury shares. In addition to the treasury shares, a maximum of 284,076,649 unissued shares (conditional and authorized capital) were available for issuance without further approval of the shareholders. 2 Distributions to owners in funds include the return of original capital invested and any related dividends. 3 Transactions with and without ownership changes related to fund activity are all displayed under "not changing ownership". 4 Represents the impact of the adoption in 1Q10 of new accounting rules governing when an entity is consolidated under US GAAP. 5 Includes a tax benefit of CHF 615 million from the excess fair value of shares delivered over recognized compensation expense. 6 The Group has purchased certain call options on its own shares to economically hedge all or a portion of the leverage element of the Incentive Share Units granted to the employees. In accordance with US GAAP, these call options are designated as equity instruments and, as such, are initially recognized in shareholders' equity at their fair values and not subsequently remeasured. 7 Represents primarily the impact from the spin-off of a real estate private equity fund in 3Q10. 8 At par value CHF 0.04 each, fully paid, net of 12,228,377 treasury shares. In addition to the treasury shares, a maximum of 282,101,278 unissued shares (conditional and authorized capital) were available for issuance without further approval of the shareholders.

Consolidated statements of changes in equity (continued)

	Attributable to shareholders								Number of common shares outstanding
	Common shares	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Treasury shares, at cost	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Total shareholders' equity	Non-controlling interests	Total equity	
2009 (CHF million)									
Balance at beginning of period	47	25,166	18,780	(752)	(10,939)	32,302	14,919	47,221	1,163,892,033
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests, changing ownership	—	—	—	—	—	—	(7)	(7)	—
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests, not changing ownership	—	—	—	—	—	—	(379)	(379)	—
Sale of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling	—	(1)	—	—	—	(1)	5	4	—

interests, changing ownership										
Sale of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling interests, not changing ownership	–	–	–	–	–	–	1,124	1,124		–
Net income/(loss)	–	–	6,724	–	–	6,724	(313)	6,411		–
Gains/(losses) on cash flow hedges	–	–	–	–	104	104	–	104		–
Foreign currency translation	–	–	–	–	(559)	(559)	(209)	(768)		–
Unrealized gains/(losses) on securities	–	–	–	–	47	47	–	47		–
Actuarial gains/(losses)	–	–	–	–	(348)	(348)	–	(348)		–
Net prior service cost	–	–	–	–	57	57	–	57		–
Total other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	–	–	–	–	(699)	(699)	(209)	(908)		–
Issuance of common shares	–	17	–	–	–	17	–	17	734,529	
Sale of treasury shares	–	223	–	17,434	–	17,657	–	17,657	387,910,385	
Repurchase of treasury shares	–	–	–	(19,019)	–	(19,019)	–	(19,019)	(421,658,017)	
Share-based compensation, net of tax	–	(551)	–	1,481	–	930	–	930	38,331,965	
Financial instruments indexed to own shares	–	(188)	–	–	–	(188)	–	(188)		–
Cash dividends paid	–	–	(246)	–	–	(246)	(129)	(375)		–

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Change in scope of consolidation	–	–	–	–	–	–	(4,258)	(4,258)	–
Other	–	40	–	–	–	40	58	98	–
Balance at end of period	47	24,706	25,258	(856)	(11,638)	37,517	10,811	48,328	1,169,210,895

Consolidated statements of changes in equity (continued)

	Attributable to shareholders								Number of common shares outstanding
	Common shares	Additional paid-in capital	Retained earnings	Treasury shares, at cost	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Total shareholders' equity	Non-controlling interests	Total equity	
2008 (CHF million)									
Balance at beginning of period	46	24,553	33,670	(9,378)	(5,692)	43,199	16,640	59,839	1,020,627,855
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests, changing ownership	–	–	–	–	–	–	(90)	(90)	–
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests, not changing ownership	–	–	–	–	–	–	(1,574)	(1,574)	–
Sale of subsidiary shares to noncontrolling interests, not changing ownership	–	–	–	–	–	–	1,721	1,721	–
Net income/(loss)	–	–	(8,218)	–	–	(8,218)	(2,619)	(10,837)	–
Cumulative effect of			(33)		15	(18)	–	(18)	–

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

accounting changes, net of tax									
Gains/(losses) on cash flow hedges	–	–	–	–	(71)	(71)	–	(71)	–
Foreign currency translation	–	–	–	–	(3,550)	(3,550)	(1,088)	(4,638)	–
Unrealized gains/(losses) on securities	–	–	–	–	(53)	(53)	–	(53)	–
Actuarial gains/(losses)	–	–	–	–	(1,609)	(1,609)	–	(1,609)	–
Net prior service cost	–	–	–	–	21	21	–	21	–
Total other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax	–	–	–	–	(5,262)	(5,262)	(1,088)	(6,350)	–
Issuance of common shares	3	2,544	–	–	–	2,547	–	2,547	71,873,513
Cancellation of repurchased shares	(2)	(884)	(3,237)	4,123	–	–	–	–	–
Sale of treasury shares	–	(2,188)	(581)	29,333	–	26,564	–	26,564	582,994,041
Repurchase of treasury shares	–	–	–	(25,032)	–	(25,032)	–	(25,032)	(514,956,523)
Share-based compensation, net of tax	–	1,291	–	202	–	1,493	–	1,493	3,353,147
Financial instruments indexed to own shares	–	(150)	–	–	–	(150)	–	(150)	–
Cash dividends paid	–	–	(2,821)	–	–	(2,821)	(125)	(2,946)	–
Change in scope of consolidation	–	–	–	–	–	–	2,059	2,059	–
Other	–	–	–	–	–	–	(5)	(5)	–
Balance at end of period	47	25,166	18,780	(752)	(10,939)	32,302	14,919	47,221	1,163,892,033

Consolidated statements of comprehensive income

in	2010	2009	2008
Comprehensive income (CHF million)			
Net income/(loss)	5,920	6,411	(10,837)
Other comprehensive income/(loss), net of tax ¹	(3,796)	(908)	(6,350)
Comprehensive income/(loss)	2,124	5,503	(17,187)
Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	78	(522)	(3,707)
Comprehensive income/(loss) attributable to shareholders	2,046	6,025	(13,480)

1 For details on the components of other comprehensive income, refer to Note 25 - Accumulated other comprehensive income.

Consolidated statements of cash flows

in	2010	2009	2008
Operating activities of continuing operations (CHF million)			
Net income/(loss)	5,920	6,411	(10,837)
(Income)/loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	19	(169)	531
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	5,939	6,242	(10,306)
Adjustments to reconcile net income/(loss) to net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities of continuing operations (CHF million)			
Impairment, depreciation and amortization	1,166	1,114	1,174
Provision for credit losses	(79)	506	813
Deferred tax provision/(benefit)	1,224	875	(4,935)
Share of net income/(loss) from equity method investments	(105)	(29)	17
Trading assets and liabilities, net	(12,052)	(11,471)	113,153
(Increase)/decrease in other assets	4,258	27,189	1,203
Increase/(decrease) in other liabilities	4,155	(40,993)	28,217
Other, net	3,722	2,381	535
Total adjustments	2,289	(20,428)	140,177
	8,228	(14,186)	129,871

**Net cash provided by/(used in)
operating activities of continuing
operations**

Investing activities of continuing operations (CHF million)			
(Increase)/decrease in interest-bearing deposits with banks	(98)	726	981
(Increase)/decrease in central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions	(27,518)	54,403	12,635
Purchase of investment securities	(2,752)	(2,189)	(1,727)
Proceeds from sale of investment securities	988	891	55
Maturities of investment securities	3,748	4,458	2,668
Investments in subsidiaries and other investments	(1,674)	(1,907)	(3,859)
Proceeds from sale of other investments	2,467	1,710	2,674
(Increase)/decrease in loans	3,970	4,166	(6,921)
Proceeds from sale of loans	817	992	596
Capital expenditures for premises and equipment and other intangible assets	(1,689)	(1,387)	(1,473)
Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment and other intangible assets	17	3	41
Other, net	275	205	155
Net cash provided by/(used in) investing activities of continuing operations	(21,449)	62,071	5,825

Consolidated statements of cash flows (continued)

in	2010	2009	2008
Financing activities of continuing operations (CHF million)			
Increase/(decrease) in due to banks and customer deposits	26,391	(29,090)	(55,288)
Increase/(decrease) in short-term borrowings	10,934	4,098	(11,407)
Increase/(decrease) in central bank funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions	(7,097)	(46,654)	(41,480)
Issuances of long-term debt	57,910	62,829	107,638
Repayments of long-term debt	(51,390)	(72,472)	(86,567)

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Repayments of trust preferred securities	0	0	(2)
Issuances of common shares	9	17	2,547
Sale of treasury shares	24,749	17,657	26,564
Repurchase of treasury shares	(26,846)	(19,019)	(25,032)
Dividends paid/capital repayments	(2,800)	(375)	(2,946)
Excess tax benefits related to share-based compensation	615	180	0
Other, net	553	(2,080)	3,943
Net cash provided by/(used in) financing activities of continuing operations	33,028	(84,909)	(82,030)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and due from banks (CHF million)			
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and due from banks	(6,155)	(1,154)	(2,072)
Net cash provided by/(used in) discontinued operations (CHF million)			
Net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities of discontinued operations	(42)	0	(18)
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and due from banks (CHF million)			
Net increase/(decrease) in cash and due from banks	13,610	(38,178)	51,576
Cash and due from banks at beginning of period	51,857	90,035	38,459
Cash and due from banks at end of period	65,467	51,857	90,035

Supplemental cash flow information

in	2010	2009	2008
Cash paid for income taxes and interest (CHF million)			
Cash paid for income taxes	1,041	1,232	2,078
Cash paid for interest	19,012	19,459	41,154
Assets acquired and liabilities assumed in business acquisitions (CHF million)			
Fair value of assets acquired	0	0	383
Fair value of liabilities assumed	0	0	23
Assets and liabilities sold in business divestitures (CHF million)			
Assets sold	0	869	0
Liabilities sold	0	799	0

Notes to the consolidated financial statements

1 Summary of significant accounting policies

The accompanying consolidated financial statements of Credit Suisse Group AG (the Group) are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the US (US GAAP) and are stated in Swiss francs (CHF). The financial year for the Group ends on December 31. Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior year's consolidated financial statements to conform to the current year's presentation and had no impact on net income/(loss) or total shareholders' equity.

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions including, but not limited to, the >>>fair value measurements of certain financial assets and liabilities, the allowance for loan losses, the evaluation of variable interest entities (VIEs), the impairment of assets other than loans, recognition of deferred tax assets, tax uncertainties, pension liabilities, as well as various contingencies. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the dates of the consolidated balance sheets and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. While management evaluates its estimates and assumptions on an ongoing basis, actual results could differ materially from management's estimates. Market conditions may increase the risk and complexity of the judgments applied in these estimates.

Principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of the Group and its subsidiaries. The Group's subsidiaries are entities in which it holds, directly or indirectly, more than 50% of the voting rights or where it exercises control. The Group consolidates limited partnerships in cases where it is the general partner or is a limited partner with substantive rights to kick out the general partner or dissolve the partnership and participate in significant decisions made in the ordinary course of business. The Group also consolidates VIEs where the Group is the primary beneficiary in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 810 – Consolidation. The effects of material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated.

Where a Group subsidiary is a separate legal entity and determined to be an investment company as defined by ASC Topic 946 – Financial Services – Investment Companies, interests in other entities held by this Group subsidiary are not consolidated and are carried at fair value.

Group entities that qualify as broker-dealer entities as defined by ASC Topic 940 – Financial Services – Broker and Dealers do not consolidate investments in voting interest entities that would otherwise qualify for consolidation when the investment is held on a temporary basis for trading purposes. In addition, subsidiaries that are strategic components of a broker-dealers' operations are consolidated regardless of holding intent.

Foreign currency translation

Transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the related entity are recorded by remeasuring them in the functional currency of the related entity using the foreign exchange rate on the date of the transaction. As of the dates of the consolidated balance sheets, monetary assets and liabilities, such as receivables and

payables, are reported using the year-end spot foreign exchange rates. Foreign exchange rate differences are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations. Non-monetary assets and liabilities are recorded using the historic exchange rate.

For the purpose of consolidation, the assets and liabilities of Group companies with functional currencies other than CHF are translated into CHF equivalents using year-end spot foreign exchange rates, whereas revenues and expenses are translated using the weighted average foreign exchange rate for the year. Translation adjustments arising from consolidation are included in accumulated other comprehensive income/(loss) (AOCI) within total shareholders' equity.

Fair value measurement and option

The Group adopted the guidance on fair value measurements and fair value option on January 1, 2007. The fair value measurement guidance establishes a single authoritative definition of fair value and sets out a framework for measuring fair value. The fair value option creates an alternative measurement treatment for certain financial assets and financial liabilities. The fair value option can be elected at initial acquisition of the eligible item or at the date when the Group enters into an agreement which gives rise to an eligible item (e.g. a firm commitment or a written loan commitment). If not elected at initial recognition, the fair value option can be applied to an item upon certain triggering events that give rise to a new basis of accounting for that item. The application of the fair value option to a financial asset or a financial liability does not change its classification on the face of the balance sheet and the election is irrevocable. Changes in fair value resulting from the election are recorded in trading revenues.

Cash and due from banks

Cash and due from banks consists of currency on hand, demand deposits with banks or other financial institutions and cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are defined as short-term, highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less, which are held for cash management purposes.

Reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements

Purchases of securities under resale agreements (>>>reverse repurchase agreements) and securities sold under agreements to repurchase substantially identical securities (>>>repurchase agreements) normally do not constitute economic sales and are therefore treated as collateralized financing transactions and are carried in the consolidated balance sheet at the amount of cash disbursed or received, respectively. Reverse repurchase agreements are recorded as collateralized assets while repurchase agreements are recorded as liabilities, with the underlying securities sold continuing to be recognized in trading assets or investment securities. The fair value of securities to be repurchased and resold is monitored on a daily basis, and additional collateral is obtained as needed to protect against credit exposure.

Assets and liabilities recorded under these agreements are accounted for on one of two bases, the accrual basis or the fair value basis. Under the accrual basis, interest earned on reverse repurchase agreements and interest incurred on repurchase agreements are reported in interest and dividend income and interest expense, respectively. The fair value basis of accounting may be elected pursuant to ASC Topic 825 – Financial Instruments, and any resulting change in fair value, including interest earned or owed, is reported in trading revenues. The Group has elected the fair value basis of accounting on some of its agreements.

Reverse repurchase and repurchase agreements are netted if they are with the same counterparty, have the same maturity date, settle through the same clearing institution and are subject to the same master netting agreement.

Securities lending and borrowing transactions

Securities borrowed and securities loaned that are cash-collateralized are included in the consolidated balance sheets at amounts equal to the cash advanced or received. If securities received in a securities lending and borrowing transaction as collateral may be sold or repledged, they are recorded as securities received as collateral in the consolidated balance sheet and a corresponding liability to return the security is recorded. Securities lending transactions against non-cash collateral in which the Group has the right to resell or repledge the collateral received are recorded at the fair value of the collateral initially received. For securities lending transactions, the Group receives cash or securities collateral in an amount generally in excess of the market value of securities lent. The Group monitors the fair value of securities borrowed and loaned on a daily basis with additional collateral obtained as necessary.

Fees and interest received or paid are recorded in interest and dividend income and interest expense, respectively, on an accrual basis. In the case where the fair value basis of accounting is elected, any resulting change in fair value, including interest earned or owed, is reported in trading revenues.

Transfers of financial assets

The Group transfers various financial assets, which may result in the sale of these assets to special purpose entities (SPEs), which in turn issue securities to investors. The Group values its beneficial interests at fair value using quoted market prices, if such positions are traded on an active exchange or financial models that incorporate observable and unobservable inputs. For further information on the Group's transfer activities, refer to *Note 32 – Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities*.

Trading assets and liabilities

Trading assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities, derivative instruments, certain loans held in broker-dealer entities, commodities and precious metals. Items included in the trading portfolio are carried at fair value and classified as held for trading purposes based on management's intent. Regular-way security transactions are recorded on a trade-date basis. Unrealized and realized gains and losses on trading positions are recorded in trading revenues.

Derivatives

Freestanding derivative contracts are carried at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets regardless of whether these instruments are held for trading or risk management purposes. Commitments to originate mortgage loans that will be held for sale are considered >>>derivatives for accounting purposes. When derivative features embedded in certain contracts that meet the definition of a derivative are not considered clearly and closely related to the host contract, either the embedded feature is accounted for separately at fair value or the entire contract, including the embedded feature, is accounted for at fair value. In both cases, changes in fair value are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations. If separated for measurement purposes, the derivative is recorded in the same line item in the consolidated balance sheets as the host contract.

Derivatives classified as trading assets and liabilities include those held for trading purposes and those used for risk management purposes that do not qualify for hedge accounting. Derivatives held for trading purposes arise from proprietary trading activity and from customer-based activity. Realized gains and losses, changes in unrealized gains and losses and interest flows are included in trading revenues. Derivative contracts designated and qualifying as fair value hedges, cash flow hedges or net investment hedges are reported as other assets or other liabilities.

Fair values recorded for derivative instruments do not indicate future gains or losses, but rather the unrealized gains and losses from valuing all derivatives at a particular point in time. The fair value of exchange-traded derivatives is typically derived from observable market prices and/or observable market parameters. Fair values for >>>over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives are determined on the basis of proprietary models using various input parameters. Derivative contracts are recorded on a net basis per counterparty, where an enforceable master netting agreement exists. Where no such agreement exists, fair values are recorded on a gross basis.

Where hedge accounting is applied, the Group formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, including the risk management objectives and strategy for undertaking hedge transactions. At inception of a hedge and on an ongoing basis, the hedge relationship is formally assessed to determine whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items attributable to the hedged risk. The Group discontinues hedge accounting prospectively in the following circumstances:

- (i) the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the fair value or cash flows of a hedged item (including forecasted transactions);
- (ii) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised;
- (iii) the derivative is no longer designated as a hedging instrument because it is unlikely that the forecasted transaction will occur; or
- (iv) the designation of the derivative as a hedging instrument is otherwise no longer appropriate.

For derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, the carrying value of the underlying hedged items is adjusted to fair value for the risk being hedged. Changes in the fair value of these derivatives are recorded in the same line item of the consolidated statements of operations as the change in fair value of the risk being hedged for the hedged assets or liabilities to the extent the hedge is effective. The change in fair value representing hedge ineffectiveness is recorded separately in trading revenues.

When the Group discontinues fair value hedge accounting because it determines that the derivative no longer qualifies as an effective fair value hedge, the derivative will continue to be carried in the consolidated balance sheets at its fair value, and the hedged asset or liability will no longer be adjusted for changes in fair value attributable to the hedged risk. Interest-related fair value adjustments made to the underlying hedged items will be amortized to the consolidated statements of operations over the remaining life of the hedged item. Any unamortized interest-related fair value adjustment is recorded in the consolidated statements of operations upon sale or extinguishment of the hedged asset or liability, respectively. Any other fair value hedge adjustments remain part of the carrying amount of the hedged asset or liability and are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations upon disposition of the hedged item as part of the gain or loss on disposition.

For hedges of the variability of cash flows from forecasted transactions and floating rate assets or liabilities, the effective portion of the change in the fair value of a designated derivative is recorded in AOCI. These amounts are reclassified into the line item in the consolidated statements of operations in which the hedged item is recorded when the variable cash flow from the hedged item impacts earnings (for example, when periodic settlements on a variable rate asset or liability are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations or when the hedged item is disposed of). The change in fair value representing hedge ineffectiveness is recorded separately in trading revenues.

When hedge accounting is discontinued on a cash flow hedge, the net gain or loss will remain in AOCI and be reclassified into the consolidated statements of operations in the same period or periods during which the formerly hedged transaction is reported in the consolidated statements of operations. When the Group discontinues hedge

accounting because it is probable that a forecasted transaction will not occur within the specified date or period plus two months, the derivative will continue to be carried in the consolidated balance sheets at its fair value, and gains and losses that were previously recorded in AOCI will be recognized immediately in the consolidated statements of operations.

For hedges of a net investment in a foreign operation, the change in the fair value of the hedging derivative is recorded in AOCI to the extent the hedge is effective. The change in fair value representing hedge ineffectiveness is recorded in trading revenues. The Group uses the forward method of determining effectiveness for net investment hedges, which results in the time value portion of a foreign currency forward being reported in AOCI to the extent the hedge is effective.

Investment securities

Investment securities include debt securities classified as held-to-maturity and debt and marketable equity securities classified as available-for-sale. Regular-way security transactions are recorded on a trade-date basis.

Debt securities where the Group has the positive intent and ability to hold such securities to maturity are classified as such and are carried at amortized cost, net of any unamortized premium or discount.

Debt and equity securities classified as available-for-sale are carried at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses, which represent the difference between fair value and amortized cost, are recorded in AOCI. Amounts reported in AOCI are net of income taxes.

Amortization of premiums or discounts is recorded in interest and dividend income using the effective yield method through the maturity date of the security.

Recognition of an impairment on debt securities is recorded in the consolidated statements of operations if a decline in fair value below amortized cost is considered other-than-temporary, that is, amounts due according to the contractual terms of the security are not considered collectible, typically due to deterioration in the creditworthiness of the issuer. No impairment is recorded in connection with declines resulting from changes in interest rates to the extent the Group does not intend to sell the investments, nor is it more likely than not that the Group will be required to sell the investments before the recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity.

Recognition of an impairment on equity securities is recorded in the consolidated statements of operations if a decline in fair value below the cost basis of an investment is considered other-than-temporary. The Group generally considers unrealized losses on equity securities to be other-than-temporary if the fair value has been below cost for more than six months or by more than 20%.

Recognition of an impairment for debt or equity securities establishes a new cost basis, which is not adjusted for subsequent recoveries.

Unrealized losses on available-for-sale securities are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations when a decision has been taken to sell a security.

Other investments

Other investments include equity method investments and non-marketable equity securities such as private equity, hedge funds, and restricted stock investments, certain investments in non-marketable mutual funds for which the Group has neither significant influence nor control over the investee, and real estate held for investment.

Equity method investments are investments where the Group has the ability to significantly influence the operating and financial policies of an investee. Significant influence is typically characterized by ownership of 20% to 50% of the voting stock or in-substance common stock of a corporation or 5% or more of limited partnership interests. Equity method investments are accounted for according to the equity method of accounting or the fair value option. Under the equity method of accounting, the Group's share of the profit or loss, as well as any impairment on the investee, if applicable, are reported in other revenues. Under the fair value option, changes in fair value are reported in other revenues. The Group has elected the fair value basis of accounting on some of its equity method investments.

The Group's other non-marketable equity securities are carried at cost less other-than-temporary impairment or at fair value if elected under the fair value option. Non-marketable equity securities held by the Group's subsidiaries that are determined to be investment companies as defined by ASC Topic 946 – Financial Services – Investment Companies are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recorded in other revenues.

Equity method investments and non-marketable equity securities held by broker-dealer entities as defined by ASC Topic 940 – Financial Services – Broker and Dealers are measured at fair value and reported in trading assets when the intent of the broker-dealer entity is to hold the asset temporarily for trading purposes. Changes in fair value are reported in trading revenues.

Real estate held for investment purposes is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and is depreciated over its estimated useful life, generally 40 to 67 years. Land is carried at historical cost and is not depreciated.

In connection with the life finance business, the Group invests in single premium immediate annuities (SPIA), which are carried at fair value with the related fair value changes reported in trading revenues. The life finance business also invests in life settlement contracts.

Loans

Loans held-to-maturity

Loans which the Group intends to hold until maturity are carried at outstanding principal balances plus accrued interest, net of the following items: unamortized premiums, discounts on purchased loans, deferred loan origination fees and direct loan origination costs on originated loans. Interest income is accrued on the unpaid principal balance and net deferred premiums/discounts and fees/costs are amortized as an adjustment to the loan yield over the term of the related loans.

Loans are divided in two portfolio segments “consumer loans” and “corporate and institutional loans”. Consumer loans are disaggregated into the classes of mortgages, loans collateralized by securities and consumer finance. Corporate and institutional loans are disaggregated into the classes of real estate, commercial and industrial loans, financial institutions and governments and public institutions. For further information, refer to *Note 18 – Loans, allowance for loan losses and credit quality*.

In accordance with Group policies, impaired loans include non-performing loans, non-interest-earning loans, restructured loans and potential problem loans.

Allowance for loan losses on loans held-to-maturity

The allowance for loan losses is comprised of two components: probable credit losses inherent in the portfolio and those losses specifically identified. Changes in the allowance for loan losses are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations in provision for credit losses and in interest income (for provisions on past due interest).

Many factors can affect the Group's estimate of the allowance for loan losses, including volatility of default probabilities, rating migrations and estimated loss severity. The component of the allowance representing probable losses inherent in the portfolio is for loans not specifically identified as impaired which, on a portfolio basis, are considered to contain probable inherent loss. The estimate of this component of the allowance for the consumer loans portfolio involves applying historical loss experience, adjusted to reflect current market conditions, to homogenous loans based on risk rating and product type. To estimate this component of the allowance for the corporate and institutional loans portfolio, the Group segregates loans by risk, industry or country rating. Excluded from this estimate process are consumer and corporate and institutional loans that have been specifically identified as impaired or are held at fair value. For lending-related commitments, a provision for losses is estimated based on historical loss and recovery experience and recorded in other liabilities. Changes in the estimate of losses for lending-related commitments are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations in provision for credit losses.

The estimate of the component of the allowance for specifically identified credit losses on impaired loans is based on a regular and detailed analysis of each loan in the portfolio considering collateral and counterparty risk. The Group considers a loan impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Group will be unable to collect the amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. For certain non-collateral dependent impaired loans, an impairment is measured using the present value of estimated future cash flows. For collateral dependent impaired loans, an impairment is measured using the fair value of the collateral.

A loan is classified as non-performing no later than when the contractual payments of principal and/or interest are more than 90 days past due except for subprime residential loans which are classified as non-performing no later than when the contractual payments of principal and/or interest are more than 120 days past due. Substantially all of our subprime residential mortgage loans are held for securitization. The additional 30 days ensure that these loans are not incorrectly assessed as non-performing during the time when servicing of them typically is being transferred. However, management may determine that a loan should be classified as non-performing notwithstanding that contractual payments of principal and/or interest are less than 90 days past due or, in the case of subprime residential loans, 120 days past due. For non-performing loans, a provision is recorded in the amount of the accrual for any accrued but unpaid interest at the date the loan is classified as non-performing, resulting in a charge to the consolidated statements of operations. In addition, the Group continues to add accrued interest receivable to the loans balance for collection purposes; however, a provision is recorded resulting in no interest income recognition. On a regular basis thereafter, the outstanding principal balance is evaluated for collectibility and a provision is established, as necessary.

A loan can be further downgraded to non-interest-earning when the collection of interest is considered so doubtful that further accrual of interest is deemed inappropriate. At that time, and on a quarterly or more frequent basis thereafter depending on various risk factors, the outstanding principal balance, net of provisions previously recorded, is evaluated for collectibility and additional provisions are established as required. Write-off of a loan occurs when it is considered certain that there is no possibility of recovering the outstanding principal. Recoveries of loans previously written off are recorded based on the cash or estimated fair value of other amounts received.

Loans that are not already classified as non-performing or non-interest-earning but were modified in a troubled debt restructuring are reported as restructured loans. Generally, a restructured loan would have been considered impaired and an associated allowance for loan losses established prior to the restructuring. Loans that have been restructured in a troubled debt restructuring and are performing according to the new terms continue to accrue interest.

Potential problem loans are impaired loans not already classified as non-performing, non-interest earning or restructured loans where contractual payments have been received according to schedule, but where doubt exists as to the collection of future contractual payments. Potential problem loans are evaluated for impairment on an individual basis and an allowance for loan losses is established as necessary. Potential problem loans continue to accrue interest.

The amortization of net loan fees or costs on impaired loans is generally discontinued during the periods in which matured and unpaid interest or principal is outstanding. On settlement of a loan, if the loan balance is not collected in full, an allowance is established for the uncollected amount, if necessary, and the loan is then written off, net of any deferred loan fees and costs.

Interest collected on non-performing loans and non-interest-earning loans is accounted for using the cash basis or the cost recovery method or a combination of both, as appropriate.

Generally, a non-performing loan may be restored to performing status only when delinquent principal and interest are brought up to date in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement and when certain performance criteria are met.

Lease financing transactions where the Group is the lessor are classified as loans. Unearned income is amortized to interest and dividend income over the lease term using the effective interest method.

Loans held-for-sale

Loans, which the Group has the intent to sell in the foreseeable future, are considered held-for-sale and are carried at the lower of amortized cost or market value determined on either an individual method basis, or in the aggregate for pools of similar loans if sold or securitized as a pool. Loans held-for-sale are included in other assets. Gains and losses on loans held-for-sale are recorded in other revenues.

Purchased impaired loans

Purchased loans for which it is probable at acquisition that all contractually required payments will not be received are recorded at their net purchase price and no allowances are carried over. The excess of the estimated cash flows to be collected over the amount paid is accreted into interest income over the estimated recovery period when reasonable estimates can be made about the timing and amount of recovery. The Group does not consider such loans to be impaired at the time of acquisition. Such loans are deemed impaired only if the Group's estimate of cash to be received decreases below the estimate at the time of acquisition. Increases in the estimated expected recovery is recorded as a reversal of allowances, if any, and then recognized as an adjustment of the effective yield of the loan.

Loans held at fair value under the fair value option

Loans and loan commitments for which the fair value option is elected are reported at fair value with changes in fair value reported in trading revenues. The application of the fair value option does not change the loan's classification. Loan commitments carried at fair value are recorded in other assets or other liabilities, respectively.

Premises and equipment

Premises are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation and are depreciated over their estimated useful lives, generally 40 to 67 years. Land is carried at historical cost and is not depreciated. Alterations and improvements to rented premises are depreciated over the shorter of the lease term or estimated useful life. Other tangible fixed assets such as computers, machinery, furnishings, vehicles and other equipment are depreciated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, generally three to five years.

The Group capitalizes costs relating to the acquisition, installation and development of software with a measurable economic benefit, but only if such costs are identifiable and can be reliably measured. The Group depreciates capitalized software costs on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the software, generally not exceeding three years, taking into consideration the effects of obsolescence, technology, competition and other economic factors.

The Group reflects finance leasing activities for which it is the lessee by recording an asset in premises and equipment and a corresponding liability in other liabilities at an amount equal to the smaller of the present value of the minimum

lease payments or fair value, and the leased asset is depreciated over the shorter of the asset's estimated useful life or the lease term.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

Goodwill arises on the acquisition of subsidiaries and equity method investments. It is measured as the excess of the fair value of the consideration transferred, the fair value of any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree and the fair value of any previously held equity interest in the acquired subsidiary, over the net of the acquisition-date fair values of the identifiable assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. Goodwill is not amortized, rather it is tested for impairment annually, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill may be impaired. Goodwill is allocated to the Group's reporting units for the purposes of the impairment test.

Other intangible assets may be acquired individually or as part of a group of assets assumed in a business combination. Other intangible assets include but are not limited to: patents, licenses, copyrights, trademarks, branch networks, mortgage servicing rights, customer base and deposit relationships. Acquired intangible assets are initially measured at the amount of cash disbursed or the fair value of other assets distributed. Other intangible assets that have a finite useful life are amortized over that period. Other intangible assets acquired after January 1, 2002, that are determined to have an indefinite useful life, are not amortized. Mortgage servicing rights are included in non-amortizing other intangible assets and are carried at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized through earnings in the period in which they occur. Mortgage servicing rights represent the right to perform specified mortgage servicing activities on behalf of third parties. Mortgage servicing rights are either purchased from third parties or retained upon sale of acquired or originated loans.

Recognition of an impairment on tangible fixed assets and other intangible assets

The Group evaluates premises and equipment and other intangible assets for impairment at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If the asset is considered not to be recoverable, an impairment is recorded in general and administrative expenses to the extent the fair value of the asset is less than its carrying amount. Recognition of an impairment on such assets establishes a new cost base, which is not adjusted for subsequent recoveries in value.

Income taxes

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities at the dates of the consolidated balance sheets and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are computed using currently enacted tax rates and are recorded in other assets and other liabilities, respectively. Income tax expense or benefit is recorded in income tax expense/(benefit), except to the extent the change relates to transactions recorded directly in total shareholders' equity. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance, if necessary, to the amount that management believes will more likely than not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effect of changes in tax laws and rates in the period in which changes are approved by the relevant authority. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are presented on a net basis for the same tax-paying component within the same tax jurisdiction.

The Group follows the guidance in ASC Topic 740 – Income Taxes, which sets out a consistent framework to determine the appropriate level of tax reserves to maintain for uncertain tax positions. The Group determines whether it is more likely than not that an income tax position will be sustained upon examination based on the technical merits of the position. Sustainable income tax positions are then measured to determine the amount of benefit eligible for recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Each such sustainable income tax position is measured at the

largest amount of benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement.

Life settlement contracts

Life settlement contracts are initially recognized at the transaction price and subsequently carried at fair value unless the Group elects to apply the investment method. The contracts that are not accounted for under the investment method are carried at fair value and are recorded in trading assets.

Under the investment method, the contracts are initially recognized at the transaction price plus any directly related external costs and are recorded in other investments. Subsequently, all continuing premium payments made are capitalized unless the aggregated carrying value exceeds fair value in which case an impairment allowance is established so that the carrying value does not exceed fair value.

Brokerage receivables and brokerage payables

The Group recognizes receivables and payables from transactions in financial instruments purchased from and sold to customers, banks, and broker-dealers. The Group is exposed to risk of loss resulting from the inability of counterparties to pay for or deliver financial instruments purchased or sold, in which case the Group would have to sell or purchase, respectively, these financial instruments at prevailing market prices. To the extent an exchange or clearing organization acts as counterparty to a transaction, credit risk is generally considered to be limited. The Group establishes credit limits for each customer and requires them to maintain margin collateral in compliance with applicable regulatory and internal guidelines. In order to conduct trades with an exchange or a third-party bank, the Group is required to maintain a margin. This is usually in the form of cash and deposited in a separate margin account with the exchange or broker. If available information indicates that it is probable that a brokerage receivable is impaired, an allowance is established. Write-offs of brokerage receivables occur if the outstanding amounts are considered uncollectible.

Other assets

Derivative instruments used for hedging

Derivative instruments are carried at fair value. The fair values of derivative instruments held for hedging are included as other assets or other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. The accounting treatment used for changes in fair value of hedging derivatives depends on the designation of the derivative as either a fair value hedge, cash flow hedge or hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation. Changes in fair value representing hedge ineffectiveness are reported in trading revenues.

Long-term debt

Total long-term debt is comprised of debt issuances which do not contain derivative features (vanilla debt), as well as hybrid debt instruments with embedded derivatives, which are issued as part of the Group's structured product activities. Long-term debt includes both Swiss franc and foreign currency denominated fixed and variable rate bonds.

The Group actively manages the interest rate risk on vanilla debt through the use of derivative contracts, primarily interest rate and currency swaps, in particular, fixed rate debt is hedged with receive-fixed, pay-floating interest rate swaps. The Group elected to fair value this fixed rate debt upon implementation of the fair value option on January 1, 2007, with changes in fair value recognized as a component of trading revenues. The Group did not elect to apply the fair value option to fixed-rate debt issued by the Group since January 1, 2008 and instead applies hedge accounting

per the guidance of ASC Topic 815 – Derivatives and Hedging.

The Group's long-term debt also includes various equity-linked and other indexed instruments with embedded derivative features, whose payments and redemption values are linked to commodities, stocks, indices, currencies or other assets. The Group elected to account for substantially all of these instruments at fair value. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are recognized as a component of trading revenues.

Other liabilities

Guarantees

In cases where the Group acts as a guarantor, the Group recognizes in other liabilities, at the inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligations undertaken in issuing such a guarantee, including its ongoing obligation to perform over the term of the guarantee in the event that certain events or conditions occur.

Pensions and other post-retirement benefits

The Group uses the projected unit credit actuarial method to determine the present value of its projected benefit obligations (PBO) and the current and past service costs or credits related to its defined benefit and other postretirement benefit plans. The measurement date used to perform the actuarial valuation is December 31.

Certain key assumptions are used in performing the actuarial valuations. These assumptions must be made concerning the future events that will determine the amount and timing of the benefit payments and thus require significant judgment and estimates by Group management. Among others, assumptions have to be made with regard to discount rates, expected return on plan assets and salary increases.

The assumed discount rates reflect the rates at which the pension benefits could be effectively settled. These rates are determined based on yields of high-quality corporate bonds currently available and are expected to be available during the period to maturity of the pension benefits. In countries where no deep market in high-quality corporate bonds exists, the estimate is based on governmental bonds adjusted to include a risk premium reflecting the additional risk for corporate bonds.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is determined on a plan-by-plan basis, taking into account asset allocation, historical rate of return, benchmark indices for similar type pension plan assets, long-term expectations of future returns and investment strategy.

Health care cost trend rates are determined by reviewing external data and the Group's own historical trends for health care costs. Salary increases are determined by reviewing external data and considering internal projections.

The funded status of the Group's defined benefit postretirement and pension plans are recognized in the consolidated balance sheets.

Actuarial gains and losses in excess of 10% of the greater of the PBO or the market value of plan assets and unrecognized prior service costs or credits are amortized to net periodic pension and other post-retirement cost on a straight-line basis over the average remaining service life of active employees expected to receive benefits.

The Group records pension expense for defined contribution plans when the employee renders service to the company, essentially coinciding with the cash contributions to the plans.

Share-based compensation

For all share-based awards granted to employees and existing awards modified on or after January 1, 2005, compensation expense is measured at grant date or modification date based on the fair value of the number of awards for which the requisite service is expected to be rendered and is recognized in the consolidated statements of operations over the required service period on a straight-line basis. For all outstanding unvested share-based awards as of January 1, 2005, compensation expense is measured based on the original grant date fair value of the award and is recognized over the remaining requisite service period of each award on a straight-line basis.

The Group uses the tax law ordering approach to determine the portion of the total tax expense that relates to windfall tax benefits that are to be recorded in additional paid-in capital. In addition, it elected to use the practical transition option in determining the amount of windfall tax benefits recorded in additional paid-in capital arising on awards that were fully vested prior to January 1, 2005.

Compensation expense for share-based awards with only a service condition that affects vesting and that are subject to graded vesting is recognized on a straight-line basis over the service period for the entire award. Further, recognition of compensation expense is accelerated to the date an employee becomes eligible for retirement. For awards granted to employees eligible for retirement prior to January 1, 2005, the Group's policy is to record compensation expense over the requisite service period.

Certain share-based awards also contain a performance condition, where the number of shares the employee is to receive is dependant on the performance (e.g., net income or return on equity (ROE)) of the Group or a division of the Group. If the employee is also required to provide the service stipulated in the award terms, the amount of compensation expense attributed to the incremental additional units expected to be received at vesting due to this performance condition is estimated on the grant date and subsequent changes in this estimate are recorded in the consolidated statements of operations over the remaining service period.

When awards contain market conditions, where the number of shares the employee receives varies based on changes in the Group share price, the incremental amount of extra shares the employee is expected to receive due to the market condition is estimated on the grant date and the total compensation expense is not adjusted thereafter for changes in the Group share price.

Certain employees own non-substantive equity interests in the form of carried interests in private equity funds managed by the Group. Expenses recognized under these ownership interests are reflected in the consolidated statements of operations in compensation and benefits.

The Group has certain option plans outstanding, primarily related to 1999 and prior years, which include a cash settlement feature. For those plans, liability award accounting is applied until settlement of the awards.

Own shares, own bonds and financial instruments on own shares

The Group may buy and sell own shares, own bonds and financial instruments on own shares within its normal trading and market-making activities. In addition, the Group may hold its own shares to satisfy commitments arising from employee share-based compensation awards. Own shares are recorded at cost and reported as treasury shares, resulting in a reduction to total shareholders' equity. Financial instruments on own shares are recorded as assets or liabilities or as equity when the criteria for equity classification are met. Dividends received by subsidiaries on own shares and unrealized and realized gains and losses on own shares classified in total shareholders' equity are excluded from the consolidated statements of operations.

Net interest income

Interest income and interest expense arising from interest-bearing assets and liabilities other than those carried at fair value or the lower of cost or market (LOCOM) are accrued, and any related net deferred premiums, discounts, origination fees or costs are amortized as an adjustment to the yield over the life of the related asset and liability. Interest from debt securities and dividends on equity securities carried as trading assets and trading liabilities are recorded in interest and dividend income. For further information on interest on loans, refer to *Loans*.

Commissions and fees

Fee revenue is recognized when all of the following criteria have been met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. Fee income can be divided into two broad categories: income earned from services that are provided over a certain period of time, for which customers are generally billed on an annual or semi-annual basis, and income earned from providing transaction-type services. Fees earned from services that are provided over a certain period of time are recognized ratably over the service period. Fees earned from providing transaction-type services are recognized when the service has been completed. Performance-linked fees or fee components are recognized at any contractual measurement date when the contractually agreed thresholds are met.

Revenues from underwriting and fees from mergers and acquisitions and other corporate finance advisory services are recorded at the time the underlying transactions are substantially completed and there are no other contingencies associated with the fees.

Transaction-related expenses are deferred until the related revenue is recognized assuming they are deemed direct and incremental; otherwise, they are expensed as incurred.

In circumstances where the Group contracts to provide multiple products, services or rights to a counterparty, an evaluation is made as to whether separate revenue recognition events have occurred. This evaluation considers the stand-alone value of items already delivered and if there is a right of return or warranties on delivered items and services, and the probability of delivery of remaining undelivered items or services. This evaluation is made on a transaction by transaction basis.

If the criteria noted are met, then the transaction is considered a multiple-deliverable arrangement where revenue recognition is determined separately for each deliverable. The consideration received on the total arrangement is allocated to the multiple deliverables based on the selling price of each deliverable. The selling price used for each deliverable will be based on vendor-specific objective evidence if available, third-party evidence if vendor-specific objective evidence is not available, or estimated selling price if neither vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence is available.

Taxes collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities are accounted for on a net basis.

2 Recently issued accounting standards

FASB establishes Accounting Standards Codification

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) established the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification or ASC) as the single authoritative source of US GAAP. The Codification became effective July 1, 2009 and superseded all existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. Under the Codification, the FASB does not issue new accounting standards in the form of Standards, FASB Staff Positions or Emerging Issues Task Force Abstracts. The FASB instead issues Accounting Standards Updates (ASU), which serve to update the Codification, provide background information about the guidance and provide the basis for conclusions on changes to the Codification. United States (US) Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rules and interpretive releases

remain in force for SEC registrants.

The Codification was not intended to change US GAAP, but it changed the manner in which authoritative accounting guidance is organized, presented and referenced. These financial statements include references only to the Codification topics.

Recently adopted accounting standards

ASC Topic 310 – Receivables

In January 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-01, “Deferral of the Effective Date of Disclosures about Troubled Debt Restructurings in Update No. 2010-20” (ASU 2011-01), an update to Topic 310 – Receivables.

The amendment in ASU 2011-01 temporarily delays the effective date of the disclosures about troubled debt restructurings in ASU 2010-20. ASU 2011-01 was effective upon issuance and the adoption thereof did not impact the Group’s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In July 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-20, “Disclosures about the Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and the Allowance for Credit Losses” (ASU 2010-20), an update to Topic 310 – Receivables.

The amendments in ASU 2010-20 enhance disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. As a result of the update, entities are required to provide a greater level of disaggregated information about the credit quality of financing receivables and the allowance for credit losses. Entities are also required to disclose credit quality indicators, past due information, and modifications of its financing receivables. The enhanced disclosures will help financial statement users assess an entity’s credit risk exposures and its allowance for credit losses.

The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for the first interim or annual reporting period ending after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurred during a reporting period will be effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2010. ASU 2010-20 is an update only for disclosures and as such does not impact the Group’s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In April 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-18, “Effect of a Loan Modification When the Loan Is Part of a Pool That Is Accounted for as a Single Asset” (ASU 2010-18), an update to Topic 310 – Receivables.

As a result of this update, modifications of loans that are accounted for within a pool do not result in the removal of these loans from the pool even if the modifications of those loans would otherwise be considered a troubled debt restructuring. An entity is required to continue to consider whether the pool of assets in which the loan is included is impaired if expected cash flows for the pool change.

ASU 2010-18 was effective for the first interim or annual reporting period that began after July 15, 2010, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2010-18 did not have a material impact on the Group’s financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ASC Topic 810 – Consolidation

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-10, “Amendments for Certain Investment Funds” (ASU 2010-10), an update to Topic 810 – Consolidation. The amendments to the consolidation requirements of Topic 810 resulting from the issuance of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No.167, “Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)” (SFAS 167) are deferred for a reporting entity’s interest in an entity (1) that has all the attributes of an investment company or (2) for which it is industry practice to apply investment company accounting. The deferral does not apply in situations in which a reporting entity has the explicit or implicit obligation to fund losses of an entity

that could potentially be significant to the entity. The deferral also does not apply to interests in securitization entities, asset-backed financing entities, or entities formerly considered qualified special purpose entities (QSPEs). An entity that qualifies for deferral will continue to be assessed under the overall guidance on the consolidation of VIEs in Subtopic 810-10 (before the SFAS 167 amendments) or other applicable consolidation guidance, such as the guidance for consolidation of partnerships in Subtopic 810-20. The amendments in ASU 2010-10 do not defer the disclosure requirements in the SFAS 167 amendments to Topic 810.

ASU 2010-10 was effective for annual reporting periods that began after November 15, 2009 (January 1, 2010 for the Group) and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter. The impact of adopting ASU 2010-10 on January 1, 2010 is included in the impacts below regarding the adoption of ASU 2009-17.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-02, "Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary – a Scope Clarification", (ASU 2010-02). ASU 2010-02 provides amendments to Subtopic 810-10 to clarify the scope of the decrease in ownership provisions. The amendment also clarifies the transactions to which the decrease in ownership guidance in Subtopic 810-10 does not apply. ASU 2010-02 also expands the disclosure about the deconsolidation of a subsidiary or derecognition of a group of assets within Subtopic 810-10.

The Group adopted the provisions of ASU 2010-02 retrospectively to January 1, 2009. The adoption of the guidance did not have an impact on the Group's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-17, "Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities" (ASU 2009-17). ASU 2009-17 was issued to update the Codification for the June 2009 issuance of SFAS 167. ASU 2009-17 changes how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated. Under this standard, the determination of whether a reporting entity is required to consolidate another entity is based on, among other things, the other entity's purpose and design and the reporting entity's ability to direct the activities of the other entity that most significantly impact the other entity's economic performance.

ASU 2009-17 was effective for annual reporting periods that began after November 15, 2009 (January 1, 2010 for the Group) and for interim and annual reporting periods thereafter.

The adoption of ASU 2009-17 on January 1, 2010 resulted in an increase of CHF 15.0 billion to our consolidated balance sheet and a reduction in total shareholders' equity of CHF 2.2 billion, net of tax. The consolidation of these entities did not have an impact on tier 1 capital or risk-weighted assets. For further information, refer to *Note 32 – Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities*.

Upon consolidation, transactions between the Group and the formerly unconsolidated entities became intercompany transactions and are eliminated.

ASC Topic 815 – Derivatives and Hedging

In March 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-11, "Scope Exception Related to Embedded Credit Derivatives" (ASU 2010-11), an update to Topic 815 – Derivatives and Hedging. ASU 2010-11 provides clarification on the scope exception in Topic 815 to clarify the type of embedded credit derivatives that are exempt from embedded derivative bifurcation requirements. Only one form of embedded credit derivative qualifies for the exemption and it relates only to the subordination of one financial instrument to another.

ASU 2010-11 was effective for the first interim or annual reporting period that began after June 15, 2010 with early adoption permitted. The adoption of ASU 2010-11 on July 1, 2010 did not have a material impact on the Group's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ASC Topic 820 – Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-06, "Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements" (ASU 2010-06), an update to Topic 820 – Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures. ASU 2010-06 requires both new and clarifies existing >>>fair value measurement disclosures. The new requirements include disclosure of significant transfers in and out of level 1 and 2 and gross presentation of purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of level 3 instruments. The clarifications required by ASU 2010-06 include the level of disaggregation in the fair value hierarchy and level 3 reconciliation of assets and liabilities by class of financial instrument. In addition the ASU expanded disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements included in levels 2 and 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

The new disclosures and clarifications were effective for interim and annual periods that began after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures on purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in reconciliation of beginning and ending balances of level 3 instruments, which are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. ASU 2010-06 is an update only for disclosures and as such did not impact the Group's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. For further information, refer to *Note 33 – Financial instruments*.

ASC Topic 855 – Subsequent Events

In February 2010, the FASB issued ASU 2010-09, "Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements" (ASU 2010-09), an update to Topic 855 – Subsequent Events. ASU 2010-09 provides amendments as follows:

- (i) an entity that either (a) is an SEC filer or (b) is a conduit bond obligor for conduit debt securities that are traded in a public market is required to evaluate subsequent events through the date that the financial statements are issued;
- (ii) an entity that is an SEC filer is not required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated; and
- (iii) the scope of the reissuance disclosure requirements is refined to include revised financial statements only.

ASU 2010-09 was effective upon issuance. The adoption of the guidance did not have a material impact on the Group's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

ASC Topic 860 – Transfers and Servicing

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-16, "Accounting for Transfer of Financial Assets" (ASU 2009-16). ASU 2009-16 was issued to update the Codification for the June 2009 issuance of SFAS No. 166, "Accounting for Transfer of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140", which previously had not been incorporated into the Codification. ASU 2009-16 requires additional disclosures about the transfer of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. It eliminates the concept of a "qualifying special-purpose entity". ASU 2009-16 also changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets.

ASU 2009-16 was effective for annual periods that began after November 15, 2009 (January 1, 2010 for the Group) and for interim or annual reporting periods thereafter. This standard applies to prospective transactions entered into after the effective date and generally relates to whether transactions are recognized as sales or secured financings for accounting purposes but does not change the economics of the underlying transactions. The adoption of ASU 2009-16 on January 1, 2010 did not have a material impact on the Group's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

3 Business developments

The Group's significant divestitures and acquisitions for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, as well as the Group's significant shareholders are discussed below.

Divestitures

In 2009, the Group completed the sale of part of its traditional investment strategies business in Asset Management to Aberdeen Asset Management (Aberdeen) announced on December 31, 2008. For further information refer to *Note 4 – Discontinued operations*.

Acquisitions

In 2010, the Group acquired a significant noncontrolling interest of York Capital Management (York), a global hedge fund manager, based in New York. Under the terms of the transaction, the Group paid an initial USD 425 million (CHF 419 million) for its interest in York. The transaction also provides for earn-out payments based on five-year financial performance by York as well as non-compete arrangements for the chief executive officer (CEO), chief investment officer and other senior York principals.

As of November 17, 2010, Credit Suisse Group AG owned 99.95% of the share capital of Neue Aargauer Bank AG. Credit Suisse Group AG has applied for the cancellation of the remaining shares pursuant to Art. 33 of the Swiss Federal Act on Stock Exchanges and Securities Trading.

In 2008, the Group acquired over 80% of Asset Management Finance LLC (AMF) for USD 384 million (CHF 423 million) in newly issued Group shares. AMF provides capital to asset managers in exchange for a passive non-voting, limited-term interest in a manager's future revenues.

Significant shareholders

In a disclosure notification that the Group received on February 1, 2010, Crescent Holding GmbH, a company controlled by the Olayan Group, confirmed that it continues to hold 78.4 million shares, or 6.60%, of the registered Group shares as of January 30, 2010.

In a disclosure notification that the Group received on August 27, 2010, the Group was notified that as of April 28, 2010, Qatar Holding Netherlands B.V. held 73.2 million shares, or 6.17%, of the registered Group shares.

4 Discontinued operations

On December 31, 2008 the Group signed an agreement to sell part of its traditional investments business in Asset Management to Aberdeen. The transaction was completed in stages with the final closing on July 1, 2009. The gain on disposal in 2009 represented gains from the deconsolidation of subsidiaries and primarily included valuation gains of CHF 228 million on the Aberdeen shares received and the effect of a decrease in net assets transferred to Aberdeen. The loss on disposal in 2008 included a charge on allocated goodwill of CHF 577 million. In return for the sale of these businesses, the Group acquired 240 million shares in Aberdeen, representing a total interest of 23.9%, with subsequent ownership changes reflected in continuing operations. Prior to this transaction, Aberdeen was an unrelated party to the Group. In the first and third quarters of 2010, Aberdeen issued shares resulting in a reduction of our ownership percentage to 21.0% as of December 31, 2010. As part of the sale of the traditional investments business, we purchased certain assets in the amount of CHF 114 million in the first quarter of 2010 in accordance with contractual obligations and recognized unrealized losses of CHF 19 million included in discontinued operations.

The results of operations of the business sold have been reflected in income/(loss) from discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations for all periods presented. The assets and liabilities of the business sold have been presented as assets of discontinued operations held-for-sale and liabilities of discontinued operations held-for-sale, respectively, in the consolidated balance sheet beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008. Assets and

liabilities are reclassified as held-for-sale in the period in which the disposal determination is made, and prior periods are not reclassified.

Income/(loss) from discontinued operations

in	2010	2009	2008
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations (CHF million)			
Net revenues	(19)	56	346
Total expenses	0	(167)	(393)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations before taxes	(19)	(111)	(47)
Gain/(loss) on disposal	0	261 ¹	(463)
Income tax expense/(benefit)	0	(19)	21
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(19)	169	(531)

1 Represents net gains/(losses) from the deconsolidation of subsidiaries. The Group did not retain any investment in the former subsidiaries.

5 Segment information

The Group is a global financial services company domiciled in Switzerland. The Group's business consists of three segments: Private Banking, Investment Banking and Asset Management. The three segments are complemented by Shared Services, which provides support in the areas of finance, operations, human resources, legal and compliance, risk management and IT.

The segment information reflects the Group's reportable segments as follows:

– Private Banking offers comprehensive advice and a broad range of wealth management solutions, including pension planning, life insurance products, tax planning and wealth and inheritance advice, which are tailored to the needs of >>>high-net-worth and >>>ultra-high-net-worth individuals worldwide. In Switzerland, it supplies banking products and services to individual clients, including affluent, high-net-worth and ultra-high-net-worth clients, and corporates and institutions.

– Investment Banking offers investment banking and securities products and services to corporate, institutional and government clients around the world. Its products and services include debt and equity underwriting, sales and trading, M&A advice, divestitures, corporate sales, restructuring and investment research.

– Asset Management offers integrated investment solutions and services to institutions, governments and private clients. It provides access to a wide range of investment classes, building on its global strengths in alternative investments and traditional investments.

Corporate Center includes parent company operations such as Group financing, expenses for projects sponsored by the Group and certain expenses that have not been allocated to the segments. In addition, Corporate Center includes consolidation and elimination adjustments required to eliminate intercompany revenues and expenses.

Noncontrolling interest-related revenues and expenses resulting from the consolidation of certain private equity funds and other entities in which the Group does not have a significant economic interest (SEI) in such revenues and

expenses are reported as noncontrolling interests without SEI. The consolidation of these entities does not affect net income as the amounts recorded in net revenues and total operating expenses are offset by corresponding amounts reported as noncontrolling interests. In addition, our tax expense is not affected by these revenues and expenses.

Revenue sharing and cost allocation

Responsibility for each product is allocated to a segment, which records all related revenues and expenses. Revenue-sharing and service level agreements govern the compensation received by one segment for generating revenue or providing services on behalf of another. These agreements are negotiated periodically by the relevant segments on a product-by-product basis.

The aim of revenue-sharing and cost allocation agreements is to reflect the pricing structure of unrelated third-party transactions.

Corporate services and business support in finance, operations, including human resources, legal and compliance, risk management and IT are provided by the Shared Services area. Shared Services costs are allocated to the segments and Corporate Center based on their requirements and other relevant measures.

Funding

The Group centrally manages its funding activities. New securities for funding and capital purposes are issued primarily by Credit Suisse AG, the Swiss bank subsidiary of the Group (the Bank). The Bank lends funds to its operating subsidiaries and affiliates on both a senior and subordinated basis, as needed, the latter typically to meet capital requirements, or as desired by management to capitalize on opportunities. Capital is distributed to the segments considering factors such as regulatory capital requirements, utilized economic capital and the historic and future potential return on capital.

Transfer pricing, using market rates, is used to record net revenues and expense in each of the segments for this capital and funding. The Group's funds transfer pricing system is designed to allocate to its businesses funding costs in a way that incentivizes their efficient use of funding. The Group's funds transfer pricing system is an essential tool that allocates to the businesses the short-term and long-term costs of funding their balance sheet and the costs associated with funding liquidity and balance sheet items, such as goodwill, which are beyond the control of individual businesses. This is of greater importance in a stressed capital markets environment where raising funds is more challenging and expensive. Under this system, the Group's businesses are also credited to the extent they provide long-term stable funding.

Taxes

The Group's segments are managed and reported on a pre-tax basis.

Net revenues and income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes

in	2010	2009	2008
Net revenues (CHF million)			
Private Banking	11,631	11,662	12,907
Investment Banking	16,214	20,537	(1,971)

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Asset Management	2,332	1,842	632
Corporate Center	448	(424)	294
Noncontrolling interests without significant economic interest	761	(323)	(2,594)
Net revenues	31,386	33,294	9,268
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes (CHF million)			
Private Banking	3,426	3,651	3,850
Investment Banking	3,531	6,845	(13,792)
Asset Management	503	35	(1,185)
Corporate Center	(660)	(1,948)	(1,036)
Noncontrolling interests without significant economic interest	687	(506)	(2,739)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	7,487	8,077	(14,902)

Total assets

end of	2010	2009
Total assets (CHF million)		
Private Banking	337,496	345,488
Investment Banking	803,613	819,081
Asset Management	27,986	19,289
Corporate Center ¹	(143,945)	(161,826)
Noncontrolling interests without significant economic interest	6,855	9,395
Total assets	1,032,005	1,031,427

¹ Under the central treasury model, Group financing results in intra-Group balances between the segments. The elimination of these assets and liabilities occurs in the Corporate Center.

Net revenues and income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes by geographic location

in	2010	2009	2008
Net revenues (CHF million)			
Switzerland	9,185	10,383	12,869
EMEA	7,411	6,641	(3,774)
Americas	12,844	13,876	(751)
Asia Pacific	1,946	2,394	924

Net revenues	31,386	33,294	9,268
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes (CHF million)			
Switzerland	1,734	2,383	5,387
EMEA	1,519	649	(10,137)
Americas	5,036	5,234	(8,307)
Asia Pacific	(802)	(189)	(1,845)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	7,487	8,077	(14,902)

The designation of net revenues and income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes is based on the location of the office recording the transactions. This presentation does not reflect the way the Group is managed.

Total assets by geographic location

end of	2010	2009
Total assets (CHF million)		
Switzerland	185,102	178,026
EMEA	271,001	271,640
Americas	476,808	495,950
Asia Pacific	99,094	85,811
Total assets	1,032,005	1,031,427

The designation of total assets by region is based upon customer domicile.

6 Net interest income

in	2010	2009	2008
Net interest income (CHF million)			
Loans	5,268	6,275	8,989
Investment securities	95	243	639
Trading assets	14,056	13,333	18,213
Central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions	2,666	3,079	13,533
Other	3,448	2,358	6,565
Interest and dividend income	25,533	25,288	47,939
Deposits	(1,601)	(2,970)	(10,365)
Short-term borrowings	(63)	(248)	(498)
Trading liabilities	(9,011)	(7,362)	(8,516)
	(1,637)	(2,261)	(12,521)

Central bank funds purchased, securities
sold under repurchase agreements and
securities lending transactions

Long-term debt	(6,333)	(5,031)	(4,920)
Other	(347)	(525)	(2,583)
Interest expense	(18,992)	(18,397)	(39,403)
Net interest income	6,541	6,891	8,536

7 Commissions and fees

in	2010	2009	2008
Commissions and fees (CHF million)			
Lending business	1,455	1,048	815
Investment and portfolio management	4,316	4,289	5,263
Other securities business	75	136	215
Fiduciary business	4,391	4,425	5,478
Underwriting	2,125	2,375	1,049
Brokerage	3,953	4,102	4,925
Underwriting and brokerage	6,078	6,477	5,974
Other services	2,154	1,800	2,545
Commissions and fees	14,078	13,750	14,812

8 Trading revenues

in	2010	2009
Trading revenues (CHF million)		
Interest rate products	5,866	9,850
Foreign exchange products	2,258	314
Equity/index-related products	2,211	4,427
Credit products	(1,644)	(3,971)
Commodity, emission and energy products	323	560
Other products	324	971
Total	9,338	12,151

Represents revenues on a product basis which are not representative of business results within segments, as segment results utilize financial instruments across various product types. This disclosure was adopted prospectively as of January 1, 2009.

Trading revenues includes revenues from trading financial assets and liabilities as follows:

- Equities;
- Commodities;
- Listed and >>>OTC derivatives;
- >>>Derivatives linked to funds of hedge funds and providing financing facilities to funds of hedge funds;
- Market making in the government bond and associated OTC derivative swap markets;
- Domestic, corporate and sovereign debt, convertible and non-convertible preferred stock and short-term securities such as floating rate notes and >>>commercial paper (CP);
- Market making and positioning in foreign exchange products;
- Credit derivatives on investment grade and high yield credits;
- Trading and securitizing all forms of securities that are based on underlying pools of assets; and
- Life settlement contracts.

Trading revenues also includes changes in the >>>fair value of financial assets and liabilities elected to fair value under US GAAP. The main components include certain instruments from the following categories:

- Central bank funds purchased/sold;
- Securities purchased/sold under resale/>>>repurchase agreements;
- Securities borrowing/lending transactions;
- Loans and loan commitments; and
- Customer deposits, short-term borrowings and long-term debt.

Managing the risks

As a result of the Group's broad involvement in financial products and markets, its trading strategies are correspondingly diverse and exposures are generally spread across a diversified range of risk factors and locations. The Group uses an economic capital limit structure to limit overall risk taking. The level of risk incurred by its divisions is further restricted by a variety of specific limits, including consolidated controls over trading exposures. Also, as part of its overall risk management, the Group holds a portfolio of economic hedges. Hedges are impacted by market movements, similar to trading securities, and may result in gains or losses on the hedges which offset losses or gains on the portfolios they were designed to economically hedge. The Group manages its trading risk with regard to both market and credit risk. For market risk, it uses tools capable of calculating comparable exposures across its many activities, as well as focused tools that can specifically model unique characteristics of certain instruments or portfolios.

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

The principal measurement methodology for trading assets, as well as most instruments for which the fair value option was elected, is >>>>value-at-risk. The Group holds securities as collateral and enters into >>>>credit default swaps (CDS) to mitigate the credit risk on these products.

9 Other revenues in	2010	2009	2008
Other revenues (CHF million)			
Noncontrolling interests without significant economic interest	737	(523)	(2,750)
Loans held-for-sale	(84)	(287)	(269)
Long-lived assets held-for-sale	(176)	24	56
Equity method investments	209	120	(82)
Other investments	170	549	(1,540)
Other	573	619	385
Other revenues	1,429	502	(4,200)

10 Provision for credit losses in	2010	2009	2008
Provision for credit losses (CHF million)			
Provision for loan losses	(93)	315	585
Provision for lending-related and other exposures	14	191	228
Provision for credit losses	(79)	506	813

11 Compensation and benefits in	2010	2009	2008
Compensation and benefits (CHF million)			
Salaries and deferred and variable compensation	12,481	13,412	11,683
Social security	928	1,015	771
Other ¹	1,190	586	800
Compensation and benefits	14,599	15,013	13,254

¹ Includes pension and other post-retirement expense of CHF 483 million and the UK levy on variable compensation of CHF 404 million in 2010, pension and other post-retirement expense of CHF 347 million and CHF 478 million in 2009 and 2008, respectively.

12 General and administrative expenses

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

in	2010	2009	2008
General and administrative expenses (CHF million)			
Occupancy expenses	1,191	1,190	1,156
IT, machinery, etc.	1,348	1,230	1,215
Provisions and losses	533	1,457	955
Travel and entertainment	473	448	583
Professional services	2,176	1,835	2,140
Goodwill impairment	0	0	82
Amortization and impairment of other intangible assets	35	49	121
Other	1,475	1,492	1,557
General and administrative expenses	7,231	7,701	7,809

13 Earnings per share in	2010	2009	2008
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders (CHF million)			
Income/(loss) from continuing operations	5,117	6,555	(7,687)
Income/(loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax	(19)	169	(531)
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders	5,098	6,724	(8,218)
Preferred securities dividends	(162)	(131)	(60)
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders for basic earnings per share	4,936	6,593	(8,278)
Available for common shares	4,670	6,204	(8,459)
Available for unvested share-based payment awards ¹	266	389	181
Net income/(loss) attributable to shareholders for diluted earnings per share	4,936	6,593	(8,278)
Available for common shares	4,671	6,214	(8,459)
Available for unvested share-based payment awards ¹	265	379	181
Weighted-average shares outstanding (million)			
Weighted-average shares outstanding for basic earnings per share available for common shares	1,194.8	1,174.2	1,056.6
Dilutive share options and warrants	5.9	6.3	0.0

Dilutive share awards	0.4	29.5	0.0
Weighted-average shares outstanding for diluted earnings per share available for common shares²	1,201.1	1,210.0	1,056.6₃
Weighted-average shares outstanding for basic/diluted earnings per share available for unvested share-based payment awards	67.4	73.9	75.7
Basic earnings per share available for common shares (CHF)			
Basic earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	3.93	5.14	(7.51)
Basic earnings/(loss) per share from discontinued operations	(0.02)	0.14	(0.50)
Basic earnings/(loss) per share available for common shares	3.91	5.28	(8.01)
Diluted earnings per share available for common shares (CHF)			
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from continuing operations	3.91	5.01	(7.51)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share from discontinued operations	(0.02)	0.13	(0.50)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per share available for common shares	3.89	5.14	(8.01)

1 Losses are not allocated to unvested share-based payment awards under US GAAP. 2 Weighted-average potential common shares relating to instruments that were not dilutive for the respective periods (and therefore not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation above) but could potentially dilute earnings per share in the future were 50.2 million, 57.3 million and 66.8 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. 3 Due to the net loss in 2008, 6.9 million weighted-average share options and warrants outstanding and 22.1 million weighted-average share awards outstanding, were excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation, as the effect would be antidilutive.

14 Securities borrowed, lent and subject to repurchase agreements end of	2010	2009
Securities borrowed or purchased under agreements to resell (CHF million)		
Central bank funds sold and securities purchased under resale agreements	148,962	120,951
Deposits paid for securities borrowed	71,481	88,548
Central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions	220,443	209,499
Securities lent or sold under agreements to repurchase (CHF million)		
	147,878	163,615

Central bank funds purchased and securities sold
under repurchase agreements

Deposits received for securities lent	20,516	28,072
Central bank funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions	168,394	191,687

Repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements represent collateralized financing transactions used to earn net interest income, increase liquidity or facilitate trading activity. These instruments are collateralized principally by government securities, money market instruments and corporate bonds and have terms ranging from overnight to a longer or unspecified period of time.

In the event of counterparty default, the repurchase agreement or securities lending agreement provides the Group with the right to liquidate the collateral held. In the Group's normal course of business, substantially all of the collateral received that may be sold or repledged has been sold or repledged as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

15 Trading assets and liabilities

end of	2010	2009
Trading assets (CHF million)		
Debt securities	154,555	159,415
Equity securities ¹	102,941	100,531
Derivative instruments ²	47,744	55,131
Other	19,464	17,161
Trading assets	324,704	332,238
Trading liabilities (CHF million)		
Short positions	76,094	76,946
Derivative instruments ²	57,903	56,535
Trading liabilities	133,997	133,481

¹ Including convertible bonds. ² Amounts shown net of cash collateral receivables and payables.

Cash collateral receivables and payables

end of	2010	2009
Cash collateral receivables (CHF million)		
Receivables netted against derivative positions	28,500	32,231
Receivables not netted ¹	14,987	16,025
Total	43,487	48,256
Cash collateral payables (CHF million)		
Payables netted against derivative positions	29,238	28,808

Payables not netted ¹	14,428	18,905
Total	43,666	47,713

1 Recorded as cash collateral on derivative instruments, refer to Note 22 - Other assets and other liabilities.

16 Investment securities end of	2010	2009
Investment securities (CHF million)		
Debt securities held-to-maturity	452	439
Securities available-for-sale	7,945	10,793
Total investment securities	8,397	11,232

Investment securities by type

end of	Amortized cost	Gross unrealized gains	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value
2010 (CHF million)				
Debt securities issued by foreign governments	452	0	0	452
Debt securities held-to-maturity	452	0	0	452
Debt securities issued by the Swiss federal, cantonal or local governmental entities	267	15	0	282
Debt securities issued by foreign governments	5,975	233	2	6,206
Corporate debt securities	969	19	4	984
Collateralized debt obligations	371	13	1	383
Debt securities available-for-sale	7,582	280	7	7,855
Banks, trust and insurance companies	69	10	0	79
Industry and all other	10	1	0	11
Equity securities available-for-sale	79	11	0	90
Securities available-for-sale	7,661	291	7	7,945
2009 (CHF million)				
Debt securities issued by foreign governments	410	0	0	410
Other debt securities	29	0	0	29

Debt securities held-to-maturity	439	0	0	439
Debt securities issued by the Swiss federal, cantonal or local governmental entities	291	14	0	305
Debt securities issued by foreign governments	8,718	277	2	8,993
Corporate debt securities	975	21	7	989
Collateralized debt obligations	321	19	0	340
Other debt securities	59	0	0	59
Debt securities available-for-sale	10,364	331	9	10,686
Banks, trust and insurance companies	84	9	0	93
Industry and all other	13	1	0	14
Equity securities available-for-sale	97	10	0	107
Securities available-for-sale	10,461	341	9	10,793

Gross unrealized losses on investment securities and the related fair value

end of	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses	Fair value	Gross unrealized losses
2010 (CHF million)						
Debt securities issued by foreign governments	88	2	0	0	88	2
Corporate debt securities	88	1	37	3	125	4
Collateralized debt obligations	64	1	0	0	64	1
Debt securities available-for-sale	240	4	37	3	277	7
2009 (CHF million)						
Debt securities issued by foreign governments	203	1	29	1	232	2
Corporate debt securities	0	0	138	7	138	7
Debt securities available-for-sale	203	1	167	8	370	9

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Management determined that the unrealized losses on debt securities are primarily attributable to general market interest rate, credit spread or exchange rate movements. No significant impairment was recorded as the Group does not intend to sell the investments, nor is it more likely than not that the Group will be required to sell the investments before the recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be maturity.

Proceeds from sales, realized gains and realized losses from available-for-sale securities

in	Debt securities			Equity securities		
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Additional information (CHF million)						
Proceeds from sales	985	723	2	3	168	53
Realized gains	5	17	3	0	22	0
Realized losses	(11)	(14)	0	0	(1)	0

Amortized cost, fair value and average yield of debt securities

end of	Debt securities held-to-maturity			Debt securities available-for-sale		
	Amortized cost	Fair value	Average yield (in %)	Amortized cost	Fair value	Average yield (in %)
2010 (CHF million)						
Due within 1 year	452	452	0	1,299	1,305	2.12
Due from 1 to 5 years	0	0	–	5,580	5,825	3.68
Due from 5 to 10 years	0	0	–	555	573	2.66
Due after 10 years	0	0	–	148	152	3.99
Total debt securities	452	452	0	7,582	7,855	3.34

17 Other investments

end of	2010	2009
Other investments (CHF million)		
Equity method investments	3,954	3,679
Non-marketable equity securities ¹	10,157	17,795
Real estate held for investment	476	420
Life finance instruments ²	1,895	2,099
Total other investments	16,482	23,993

¹ Includes private equity, hedge funds and restricted stock investments as well as certain investments in non-marketable mutual funds for which the Group has neither significant influence nor control over the investee. ² Includes life settlement

contracts at investment method and SPIA contracts.

Non-marketable equity securities held by subsidiaries that are considered investment companies are held by separate legal entities that are within the scope of ASC Topic 946 – Financial Services – Investment Companies. In addition, non-marketable equity securities held by subsidiaries that are considered broker-dealer entities are held by separate legal entities that are within the scope of ASC Topic 940 – Financial Services – Broker and Dealers. Non-marketable equity securities include investments in entities that regularly calculate net asset value (NAV) per share or its equivalent. For further information on such investments, refer to *Note 33 – Financial instruments*.

Substantially all non-marketable equity securities are carried at >>>fair value. There were no non-marketable equity securities not carried at fair value that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

The Group performs a regular impairment analysis of real estate portfolios. The carrying values of the impaired properties were written down to their respective fair values, establishing new cost bases. For these properties, the fair values were measured based on either discounted cash flow analyses or external market appraisals. In 2010 and 2009, no impairment was recorded. An impairment of CHF 22 million was recorded in 2008.

The accumulated depreciation related to real estate held for investment amounted to CHF 321 million, CHF 375 million and CHF 368 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

18 Loans, allowance for loan losses and credit quality

Loans are divided in two portfolio segments “consumer loans” and “corporate and institutional loans”. Consumer loans are disaggregated into the classes of mortgages, loans collateralized by securities and consumer finance. Corporate and institutional loans are disaggregated into the classes of real estate, commercial and industrial loans, financial institutions and governments and public institutions.

The determination of the loan classes is primarily driven by the customer segmentation in the two business divisions, Private Banking and Investment Banking, that are engaged in credit activities. The Group assigns both counterparty and transaction ratings to its credit exposures. The counterparty rating reflects the >>>probability of default (PD) of the counterparty. The transaction rating reflects the expected loss, considering collateral, on a given transaction if the counterparty defaults. Credit risk is assessed and monitored on the single obligor and single obligation level as well as on the credit portfolio level as represented by the classes of loans. Credit limits are used to manage counterparty credit risk.

Loans

end of	2010	2009
Loans (CHF million)		
Mortgages	84,625	82,642
Loans collateralized by securities	24,552	21,778
Consumer finance	5,708	5,302
Consumer loans	114,885	109,722
Real estate	23,362	25,058
Commercial and industrial loans	54,673	59,616
Financial institutions	24,764	39,006
Governments and public institutions	2,207	5,198

Corporate and institutional loans	105,006	128,878
Gross loans	219,891	238,600
of which held at amortized cost	201,339	202,354
of which held at fair value	18,552	36,246
Net (unearned income)/deferred expenses	(32)	(25)
Allowance for loan losses	(1,017)	(1,395)
Net loans	218,842	237,180
Gross loans by location (CHF million)		
Switzerland	138,989	136,689
Foreign	80,902	101,911
Gross loans	219,891	238,600
Impaired loan portfolio (CHF million)		
Non-performing loans	961	1,297
Non-interest-earning loans	340	336
Total non-performing and non-interest-earning loans	1,301	1,633
Restructured loans	52	6
Potential problem loans	510	658
Total other impaired loans	562	664
Gross impaired loans	1,863	2,297

Allowance for loan losses

in	2010	2009	2008
Allowance for loan losses (CHF million)			
Balance at beginning of period	1,395	1,639	1,234
Net movements recognized in statements of operations	(93)	315	585
Gross write-offs	(294)	(674)	(230)
Recoveries	63	63	89
Net write-offs	(231)	(611)	(141)
Provisions for interest	2	43	19
Foreign currency translation impact and other adjustments, net	(56)	9	(58)
Balance at end of period	1,017	1,395	1,639
of which individually evaluated for impairment	749	984	1,167
of which collectively evaluated for impairment	268	411	472

Allowance for loan losses and gross loans held at amortized cost by loan portfolio

	Consumer loans	Corporate and institutional loans	Total
end of 2010			
Allowance for loan losses (CHF million)			
Balance at end of period	279	738	1,017
of which individually evaluated for impairment	210	539	749
of which collectively evaluated for impairment	69	199	268
Gross loans held at amortized cost (CHF million)			
Balance at end of period	114,879	86,460	201,339
of which individually evaluated for impairment	634	1,017	1,651
of which collectively evaluated for impairment	114,245	85,443	199,688

Credit quality of loans held at amortized cost

Management monitors the credit quality of loans through its credit risk management processes, which is a structured process to assess, quantify, price, monitor and manage risk on a consistent basis. This process requires careful consideration of proposed extensions of credit, the setting of specific limits, monitoring during the life of the exposure, active use of credit mitigation tools and a disciplined approach to recognizing credit impairment.

Management evaluates many factors when assessing the credit quality of loans. These factors include the volatility of default probabilities, rating changes, the magnitude of potential loss, internal risk ratings, geographic, industry and other economic factors. Internal risk ratings are used as credit quality indicators for the purpose of credit quality disclosures.

The Group employs a set of credit ratings for the purpose of internally rating counterparties. Internally developed models are built from statistical data and then subject to a thorough business review before implementation. Relevant quantitative data and qualitative factors relating to the counterparty result in the assignment of a credit rating which measures the counterparty's risk of default.

Internal ratings are reviewed annually and calibrated to external rating agencies using the historical PD associated with external ratings. Internal rating levels for PD are generally in line with the rating levels of Standard & Poor's. Loans modified in a troubled debt restructuring are reported as restructured loans to the end of the reporting year in which the loan was modified or until expiration of any interest concession made.

Reverse repurchase agreements are fully collateralized and in the event of counterparty default the repurchase agreement provides the Group the right to liquidate the collateral held. The Group risk manages these instruments on the basis of the value of the underlying collateral as opposed to loans which are risk managed on the ability of the counterparty to repay. Therefore the underlying collateral coverage is the most appropriate credit quality indicator for reverse repurchase agreements. The Group has elected the fair value option for the majority of its reverse repurchase agreements. As such, reverse repurchase agreements have not been included in the following tables.

The following tables present the Group's recorded investment in loans held at amortized cost by internal counterparty credit ratings that are used as credit quality indicators for the purpose of this disclosure, and a related aging analysis.

Gross loans held at amortized cost by internal counterparty rating

end of	AAA	AA	A	BBB	BB	B	CCC	CC	C	D	Total
2010 (CHF million)											
Mortgages	147	1,267	10,206	48,270	23,499	949	29	3	0	255	84,625
Loans collateralized by securities	1	69	355	22,547	1,495	28	0	0	0	57	24,552
Consumer finance	1	3	114	2,340	2,065	522	51	28	266	312	5,702
Consumer loans	149	1,339	10,675	73,157	27,059	1,499	80	31	266	624	114,879
Real estate	25	278	1,955	9,758	10,496	499	0	0	0	77	23,088
Commercial and industrial loans	351	714	1,926	21,008	16,190	3,085	102	239	162	765	44,542
Financial institutions	2,183	2,742	1,635	7,143	2,047	1,305	0	0	20	106	17,181
Governments and public institutions	119	157	235	464	91	60	517	0	0	6	1,649
Corporate and institutional loans	2,678	3,891	5,751	38,373	28,824	4,949	619	239	182	954	86,460
Gross loans held at amortized cost	2,827	5,230	16,426	111,530	55,883	6,448	699	270	448	1,578	201,339
Value of collateral ¹	2,490	3,792	14,125	103,362	47,813	3,991	76	0	8	740	176,397

¹ Includes the value of collateral up to the amount of the outstanding related loans. For mortgages, collateral values are generally values at the time of granting the loan.

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Gross loans held at amortized cost – aging analysis

end of	Current			Past due			Total	Total
	Up to 30 days	31-60 days	61-90 days	More than 90 days	Total	Total		
2010 (CHF million)								
Mortgages	84,305	81	16	18	205	320	84,625	
Loans collateralized by securities	24,421	100	10	2	19	131	24,552	
Consumer finance	5,032	393	83	28	166	670	5,702	
Consumer loans	113,758	574	109	48	390	1,121	114,879	
Real estate	23,004	39	0	1	44	84	23,088	
Commercial and industrial loans	43,267	736	96	43	400	1,275	44,542	
Financial institutions	17,028	125	4	0	24	153	17,181	
Governments and public institutions	1,645	3	1	0	0	4	1,649	
Corporate and institutional loans	84,944	903	101	44	468	1,516	86,460	
Gross loans held at amortized cost	198,702	1,477	210	92	858	2,637	201,339	

Gross impaired loans by category

end of	Non-performing and non-interest-earning loans			Other impaired loans			
	Non- performing loans	Non- interest- earning loans	Total	Restruc- tured loans	Potential problem loans	Total	Total
2010 (CHF million)							
Mortgages	208	22	230	0	74	74	304
Loans collateralized by securities	40	19	59	0	1	1	60
Consumer finance	282	30	312	0	4	4	316
Consumer loans	530	71	601	0	79	79	680
Real estate	55	13	68	0	15	15	83
Commercial and industrial loans	353	207	560	52	339	391	951

Financial institutions	23	43	66	0	77	77	143
Governments and public institutions	0	6	6	0	0	0	6
Corporate and institutional loans	431	269	700	52	431	483	1,183
Gross impaired loans	961	340	1,301	52	510	562	1,863

Impaired loans

Categories of impaired loans

A loan is classified as non-performing no later than when the contractual payments of principal and/or interest are more than 90 days past due except for subprime residential loans which are classified as non-performing no later than when the contractual payments of principal and/or interest are more than 120 days past due. Substantially all of our subprime residential mortgage loans are held for securitization. The additional 30 days ensure that these loans are not incorrectly assessed as non-performing during the time when servicing of them typically is being transferred. However, management may determine that a loan should be classified as non-performing notwithstanding that contractual payments of principal and/or interest are less than 90 days past due or, in the case of subprime residential loans, 120 days past due. For non-performing loans, a provision is recorded in the amount of the accrual for any accrued but unpaid interest at the date the loan is classified as non-performing, resulting in a charge to the consolidated statements of operations. In addition, the Group continues to add accrued interest receivable to the loans balance for collection purposes; however, a provision is recorded resulting in no interest income recognition. On a regular basis thereafter, the outstanding principal balance is evaluated for collectibility and a provision is established, as necessary.

A loan can be further downgraded to non-interest-earning when the collection of interest is considered so doubtful that further accrual of interest is deemed inappropriate. At that time, and on a quarterly or more frequent basis thereafter depending on various risk factors, the outstanding principal balance, net of provisions previously recorded, is evaluated for collectibility and additional provisions are established as required.

Write-off of a loan occurs when it is considered certain that there is no possibility of recovering the outstanding principal. Recoveries of loans previously written off are recorded based on the cash or estimated >>>fair value of other amounts received.

Loans that are not already classified as non-performing or non-interest-earning but were modified in a troubled debt restructuring are reported as restructured loans. Generally, a restructured loan would have been considered impaired and an associated allowance for loan losses established prior to the restructuring. Loans that have been restructured in a troubled debt restructuring and are performing according to the new terms continue to accrue interest.

Potential problem loans are impaired loans not already classified as non-performing, non-interest earning or restructured loans where contractual payments have been received according to schedule, but where doubt exists as to the collection of future contractual payments. Potential problem loans are evaluated for impairment on an individual basis and an allowance for loan losses is established as necessary. Potential problem loans continue to accrue interest.

The amortization of net loan fees or costs on impaired loans is generally discontinued during the periods in which matured and unpaid interest or principal is outstanding. On settlement of a loan, if the loan balance is not collected in

full, an allowance is established for the uncollected amount, if necessary, and the loan is then written off, net of any deferred loan fees and costs.

Interest collected on non-performing loans and non-interest-earning loans is accounted for using the cash basis or the cost recovery method or a combination of both, as appropriate.

Generally, a non-performing loan may be restored to performing status only when delinquent principal and interest are brought up to date in accordance with the terms of the loan agreement and when certain performance criteria are met.

Gross impaired loan details

2010	Recorded investment	Unpaid principal balance	Associated specific allowance	Average recorded investment	Interest income recognized	Interest income recognized on a cash basis
Gross impaired loan details (CHF million)						
Mortgages	270	256	50	275	2	2
Loans collateralized by securities	60	52	50	60	1	0
Consumer finance	304	290	110	319	8	4
Consumer loans	634	598	210	654	11	6
Real estate	80	67	34	77	1	1
Commercial and industrial loans	794	733	407	771	6	5
Financial institutions	137	135	92	153	0	0
Governments and public institutions	6	4	6	6	0	0
Corporate and institutional loans	1,017	939	539	1,007	7	6
Gross impaired loans with a specific allowance	1,651	1,537	749	1,661	18	12
Mortgages	34	34	–	98	0	0
Loans collateralized by securities	0	0	–	3	0	0
Consumer finance	12	12	–	14	0	0
Consumer loans	46	46	–	115	0	0
Real estate	3	3	–	14	0	0
Commercial and industrial loans	157	156	–	255	0	0
Financial institutions	6	6	–	6	0	0
Corporate and institutional loans	166	165	–	275	0	0

Gross impaired loans without specific allowance	212	211	–	390	0	0
Gross impaired loans	1,863	1,748	749	2,051	18	12
of which consumer loans	680	644	210	769	11	6
of which corporate and institutional loans	1,183	1,104	539	1,282	7	6

Allowance for specifically identified credit losses on impaired loans

The estimate of the component of the allowance for specifically identified credit losses on impaired loans is based on a regular and detailed analysis of each loan in the portfolio considering collateral and counterparty risk. The Group considers a loan impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Group will be unable to collect the amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. For certain non-collateral dependent impaired loans, an impairment is measured using the present value of estimated future cash flows. For collateral dependent impaired loans, an impairment is measured using the fair value of the collateral.

Loan commitments relating to troubled debt restructurings

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Group did not have any material commitments to lend additional funds to debtors whose loan terms have been modified in troubled debt restructurings.

19 Premises and equipment end of	2010	2009
Premises and equipment (CHF million)		
Buildings and improvements	3,944	3,766
Land	882	867
Leasehold improvements	1,958	1,920
Software	3,801	3,233
Equipment	3,092	3,216
Premises and equipment	13,677	13,002
Accumulated depreciation	(6,952)	(6,566)
Total premises and equipment, net	6,725	6,436

Depreciation and impairment

in	2010	2009	2008
CHF million			
Depreciation	1,115	1,019	871
Impairment	16	45	100 ₁

1 Includes CHF 92 million impairment on software primarily in connection with the accelerated implementation of the Group's strategic plan.

20 Goodwill and other intangible assets

Goodwill

	Private Banking	Investment Banking	Asset Manage- ment	Credit Suisse Group
end of 2010				
Gross amount of goodwill (CHF million)				
Balance at beginning of period	789	6,925	1,635	9,349
Foreign currency translation impact	(40)	(496)	(145)	(681)
Other	0	0	(1)	(1)
Balance at end of period	749	6,429	1,489	8,667
Accumulated impairment (CHF million)				
Balance at beginning of period	0	82	0	82
Balance at end of period	0	82	0	82
Net book value (CHF million)				
Net book value	749	6,347	1,489	8,585

Goodwill (continued)

	Private Banking	Investment Banking	Asset Manage- ment	Credit Suisse Group
end of 2009				
Gross amount of goodwill (CHF million)				
Balance at beginning of period	765	7,054	1,593	9,412
Foreign currency translation impact	24	(129)	(10)	(115)
Other	0	0	52 ¹	52
Balance at end of period	789	6,925	1,635	9,349
Accumulated impairment (CHF million)				
Balance at beginning of period	0	82	0	82
Balance at end of period	0	82	0	82
Net book value (CHF million)				
Net book value	789	6,843	1,635	9,267

1 Final purchase price adjustment in connection with the acquisition of AMF in 2008.

In accordance with US GAAP, the Group continually assesses whether or not there has been a triggering event. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Group's market capitalization was above book value.

In estimating the fair value of its reporting units the Group applied a market approach where consideration is given to price to projected earning multiples or price to book value multiples for similarly traded companies and prices paid in recent transactions that have occurred in its industry or in related industries.

In determining the estimated fair value, the Group relied upon its three-year strategic business plan which included significant management assumptions and estimates based on its view of current and future economic conditions and regulatory changes.

The Group determined that the fair value of its reporting units substantially exceeded their carrying values, and concluded that no impairment of its remaining goodwill was necessary as of December 31, 2010 and 2009.

As a result of acquisitions, the Group has recorded goodwill as an asset in its consolidated balance sheets, the most significant component of which arose from the acquisition of Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette Inc. in 2000. During 2010 and 2009, there were no acquisitions that generated goodwill upon consolidation.

Goodwill was also negatively impacted by foreign exchange fluctuations in goodwill denominated in US dollars in both 2010 and 2009.

Other intangible assets

end of	2010			2009		
	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount	Gross carrying amount	Accumulated amortization	Net carrying amount
Other intangible assets (CHF million)						
Tradenames/trademarks	26	(21)	5	28	(22)	6
Client relationships	533	(324)	209	569	(314)	255
Other	98	(98)	0	108	(108)	0
Total amortizing other intangible assets	657	(443)	214	705	(444)	261
Non-amortizing other intangible assets	98	–	98	67	–	67
of which mortgage servicing rights, at fair value	66	–	66	30	–	30
Total other intangible assets	755	(443)	312	772	(444)	328

Additional information

in	2010	2009	2008
Aggregate amortization and impairment (CHF million)			
Aggregate amortization	34	42	61
Impairment	1	7	60 ₁

1 The carrying amount of certain client relationships in Asset Management and Private Banking exceeded the expected future cash flows.

Estimated amortization

Estimated amortization (CHF million)

2011	31
2012	29
2013	27
2014	26
2015	26

21 Life settlement contracts

	within 1 year	within 1-2 years	within 2-3 years	within 3-4 years	within 4-5 years	Thereafter	Total
2010							
Fair value method ¹							
Number of contracts	929	500	1,002	1,789	1,850	2,804	8,874
Carrying value (CHF million)	30	27	42	80	134	545	858
Face value (CHF million)	31	51	67	137	316	2,877	3,479
Investment method							
Number of contracts	–	–	–	–	–	8	8
Carrying value (CHF million)	–	–	–	–	–	51	51
Face value (CHF million)	–	–	–	–	–	70	70
2009							
Fair value method ¹							
Number of contracts	493	909	451	933	1,720	4,312	8,818
Carrying value (CHF million)	49	33	25	37	106	581	831

Face value (CHF million)	23	41	39	55	263	3,813	4,234
Investment method							
Number of contracts	–	–	–	–	–	8	8
Carrying value (CHF million)	–	–	–	–	–	51	51
Face value (CHF million)	–	–	–	–	–	75	75

1 Time bands reflect life expectancy.

Realized and unrealized gains and losses on life settlement contracts accounted for under the fair value method

in	2010	2009	2008
CHF million			
Realized gains/(losses)	44	(39)	47
Unrealized gains/(losses)	(105)	(8)	(130)

No life insurance premiums are anticipated to be paid for those contracts accounted for under the investment method as of December 31, 2010, for each of the next five years.

Central to the calculation of >>>fair value for life settlement contracts is the estimate of mortality rates. Individual mortality rates are typically obtained by multiplying a base mortality curve for the general insured population provided by a professional actuarial organization together with an individual-specific multiplier. Individual-specific multipliers are determined based on data obtained from third-party life expectancy data providers, which examine insured individual's medical conditions, family history and other factors to arrive at a life expectancy estimate.

22 Other assets and other liabilities

end of	2010	2009
Other assets (CHF million)		
Cash collateral on derivative instruments	14,987	16,025
Cash collateral on non-derivative transactions	1,792	1,827
Derivative instruments used for hedging	2,733	2,022
Assets held-for-sale	26,886	14,570
of which loans ¹	24,925	14,287
of which real estate	1,946	270
Interest and fees receivable	5,158	5,755
Deferred tax assets	9,417	9,137
Prepaid expenses	452	970
Failed purchases	1,279	172

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Other	16,881	18,266
Other assets	79,585	68,744
Other liabilities (CHF million)		
Cash collateral on derivative instruments	14,428	18,905
Cash collateral on non-derivative transactions	20	29
Derivative instruments used for hedging	1,203	1,198
Provisions ²	1,724	1,770
of which off-balance sheet risk	552	603
Interest and fees payable	6,798	7,028
Current tax liabilities	1,137	1,519
Deferred tax liabilities	412	318
Failed sales	7,354	9,258
Other	29,138	31,507
Other liabilities	62,214	71,532

1 Included as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were CHF 7,818 million and CHF 8,806 million, respectively, in restricted loans, which represented collateral on secured borrowings, and CHF 1,223 million and CHF 707 million, respectively, in loans held in trusts, which are consolidated as a result of failed sales under US GAAP. 2 Includes provisions for bridge commitments.

23 Deposits

end of	2010			2009		
	Switzer- land	Foreign	Total	Switzer- land	Foreign	Total
Deposits (CHF million)						
Non-interest-bearing demand deposits	11,088	3,044	14,132	9,035	2,541	11,576
Interest-bearing demand deposits	100,414	17,625	118,039	89,862	19,965	109,827
Savings deposits	53,242	27	53,269	50,011	37	50,048
Time deposits	19,036	120,581	139,617 ¹	26,407	125,050	151,457 ¹
Total deposits	183,780	141,277	325,057²	175,315	147,593	322,908²
of which due to banks	–	–	37,493	–	–	36,214
of which customer deposits	–	–	287,564	–	–	286,694

The designation of deposits in Switzerland versus foreign deposits is based upon the location of the office where the deposit is recorded.

1 Included as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were CHF 139,150 million and CHF 150,897 million, respectively, of individual time deposits issued in Switzerland and in foreign offices in the Swiss franc equivalent amounts of USD 100,000 or more. 2 Not

included as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 were CHF 48 million and CHF 21 million, respectively, of overdrawn deposits reclassified as loans.

24 Long-term debt end of	2010	2009
Long-term debt (CHF million)		
Senior	130,792	134,806
Subordinated	23,221	24,559
Non-recourse liabilities from consolidated VIEs	19,739	–
Long-term debt	173,752	159,365
of which reported at fair value	83,692	74,513
of which structured notes	37,990	40,948

Total long-term debt is comprised of debt issuances managed by Treasury which do not contain derivative features (vanilla debt), as well as hybrid debt instruments with embedded >>>derivatives, which are issued as part of the Group's structured product activities. Long-term debt includes both Swiss franc and foreign exchange denominated fixed and variable rate bonds.

The interest rate ranges presented in the table below are based on the contractual terms of the Group's vanilla debt. Interest rate ranges for future coupon payments on structured products for which >>>fair value has been elected are not included in the table below as these coupons are dependent upon the embedded derivative and prevailing market conditions at the time each coupon is paid. In addition, the effects of derivatives used for hedging are not included in the interest rate ranges on the associated debt.

Long-term debt by maturities

end of	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	Thereafter	Total
Group parent company (CHF million)							
Senior debt							
Fixed rate	–	–	–	–	–	386	386
Interest rate (in %) ¹	–	–	–	–	–	7.3	–
Subordinated debt							
Fixed rate	501	179	–	–	218	705	1,603
Interest rates (in %) ¹	6.9	3.2	–	–	8.5	7.0	–
Subtotal - Group parent company	501	179	–	–	218	1,091	1,989
Subsidiaries (CHF million)							
Senior debt							
Fixed rate	10,438	12,403	13,750	8,585	11,804	21,060	78,040
Variable rate	13,165	10,678	10,632	5,335	3,923	8,633	52,366

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Interest rates (range in %) ¹	0.2-7.0	0.2-12.8	0.2-12.8	0.8-11.6	0.3-12.6	1.2-8.8	–
Subordinated debt							
Fixed rate	608	118	1,030	134	1,220	17,622	20,732
Variable rate	–	–	–	–	20	866	886
Interest rates (range in %) ¹	3.8-6.9	4.4-7.1	6.6	0.0	1.2-10.3	0.4-11.0	–
Non-recourse liabilities from consolidated VIEs							
Fixed rate	438	7	–	–	–	174	619
Variable rate	439	678	2,038	427	597	14,941	19,120
Interest rates (range in %) ¹	3.5-5.7	0.6-1.9	9.4	13.2	12.1-12.6	2.7-11.3	–
Subtotal - Subsidiaries	25,088	23,884	27,450	14,481	17,564	63,296	171,763
Total long-term debt	25,589	24,063	27,450	14,481	17,782	64,387	173,752
of which structured notes	9,854	7,622	4,920	3,765	3,987	7,842	37,990

¹ Excludes structured notes for which fair value has been elected as the related coupons are dependent upon the embedded derivatives and prevailing market conditions at the time each coupon is paid.

The Group maintains a shelf registration statement with the SEC, which allows it to issue, from time to time, senior and subordinated debt securities, trust preferred securities and warrants to purchase equity, debt or other securities. The shelf registration statement also allows the Group to guarantee securities issued by certain subsidiaries, on a senior and subordinated basis. The Group amended its shelf registration statement at the end of March 2007 to add the Bank as an issuer of non-convertible debt securities. For further information on the subsidiary guarantees, refer to *Note 39 – Subsidiary guarantee information*.

The Group maintains a euro medium-term note program that allows it, certain finance subsidiaries (guaranteed by the Group) and the Bank to issue senior and subordinated debt securities notes.

Credit Suisse Group Finance (Guernsey) Limited, a finance subsidiary of the Group, maintains a JPY 500 billion Samurai shelf registration statement that allows it to issue, from time to time, senior and subordinated debt securities, guaranteed by the Group.

There are no significant restrictions on the ability of the Group to obtain funds from its subsidiaries by dividends or loans.

25 Accumulated other comprehensive income

Gains/ (losses)	Unrealized gains/	Accumulated other Net
--------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

	on cash flow hedges	Cumulative translation adjustments	(losses) on securities	Actuarial gains/ (losses)	prior service credit/ (cost)	compre- hensive income
2010 (CHF million)						
Balance at beginning of period	(41)	(8,770)	110	(2,891)	(46)	(11,638)
Increase/(decrease)	46	(2,822)	0	(338)	0	(3,114)
Decrease due to equity method investments	(15)	0	1	0	0	(14)
Reclassification adjustments, included in net income	(23)	(13)	6	93	13	76
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of tax	0	135	0	0	0	135
Balance at end of period	(33)	(11,470)	117	(3,136)	(33)	(14,555)
2009 (CHF million)						
Balance at beginning of period	(145)	(8,211)	63	(2,543)	(103)	(10,939)
Increase/(decrease)	30	(609)	62	(368)	30	(855)
Increase due to equity method investments	87	0	0	0	0	87
Reclassification adjustments, included in net income	(13)	50	(15)	20	27	69
Balance at end of period	(41)	(8,770)	110	(2,891)	(46)	(11,638)
2008 (CHF million)						
Balance at beginning of period	(74)	(4,661)	116	(942)	(131)	(5,692)
Increase/(decrease)	(14)	(3,550)	(62)	(1,643)	(7)	(5,276)
Decrease due to equity method investments	(57)	0	0	0	0	(57)
Reclassification adjustments, included in net income	0	0	9	34	28	71
Cumulative effect of accounting changes, net of tax	0	0	0	8	7	15
Balance at end of period	(145)	(8,211)	63	(2,543)	(103)	(10,939)

For income tax expense/(benefit) on the movements of accumulated other comprehensive income, refer to Note 26 - Tax and Note 29 - Pension and other post-retirement benefits.

26 Tax

Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes in Switzerland and foreign countries

in	2010	2009	2008
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes (CHF million)			
Switzerland	1,734	2,383	5,387
Foreign	5,753	5,694	(20,289)
Income/(loss) from continuing operations before taxes	7,487	8,077	(14,902)

Details of current and deferred taxes

in	2010	2009	2008
Current and deferred taxes (CHF million)			
Switzerland	81	203	113
Foreign	243	757	226
Current income tax expense/(benefit)	324	960	339
Switzerland	(149)	87	(220)
Foreign	1,373	788	(4,715)
Deferred income tax expense/(benefit)	1,224	875	(4,935)
Income tax expense/(benefit)	1,548	1,835	(4,596)
Income tax expense/(benefit) on discontinued operations	0	(19)	21
Income tax expense/(benefit) reported in shareholders' equity related to:			
Gains/(losses) on cash flow hedges	4	0	1
Cumulative translation adjustment	32	(164)	(132)
Unrealized gains/(losses) on securities	(2)	16	(41)
Actuarial gains/(losses)	(82)	(116)	(461)
Net prior service credit/(cost)	3	15	7
Share-based compensation and treasury shares	(671)	(176)	158

Reconciliation of taxes computed at the Swiss statutory rate

in	2010	2009	2008
Reconciliation of taxes computed at the Swiss statutory rate (CHF million)			

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Income tax expense/(benefit) computed at the statutory tax rate of 22%	1,647	1,777	(3,278)
Increase/(decrease) in income taxes resulting from			
Foreign tax rate differential	519	882	(2,697)
Non-deductible amortization of other intangible assets and goodwill impairment	1	3	29
Other non-deductible expenses	623	540	273
Additional taxable income	22	71	226
Lower taxed income ¹	(775)	(694)	(1,770)
Income taxable to noncontrolling interests	(278)	313	1,000
Changes in tax law and rates	119	3	3
Changes in deferred tax valuation allowance ¹	54	(123)	1,756
Other ¹	(384)	(937)	(138)
Income tax expense/(benefit)	1,548	1,835	(4,596)

¹ See explanation below.

Lower taxed income

2010 included a tax benefit of CHF 380 million in respect of a legal entity merger that reflected regulatory concerns about complex holding structures.

2010 and 2008 included a tax benefit of CHF 130 million and CHF 290 million, respectively, in respect of the reversal of the deferred tax liability recorded to cover estimated recapture of loss deductions arising from foreign branches of the Bank.

2008 included a tax benefit of CHF 588 million in respect of the Swiss tax effect of the valuation reduction in the investment in subsidiaries.

Changes in deferred tax valuation allowance

2010 and 2009 included a tax benefit of CHF 199 million and CHF 567 million, respectively, resulting from the release of valuation allowances on deferred tax assets for one of the Group's operating entities in the US. The 2009 tax benefit was partially offset by a net increase to the valuation allowance on deferred tax assets on net tax loss carry-forwards of CHF 433 million.

2010 included an increase to the valuation allowance of CHF 193 million in respect of one of the Group's operating entities in the UK relating to deferred tax assets on tax loss carry-forwards.

2008 included a tax benefit of CHF 125 million, resulting from the release of valuation allowance on deferred tax assets on net tax loss carry-forwards, offset by additions.

Other

2010, 2009 and 2008 included an amount of CHF 301 million, CHF 156 million and CHF 163 million, respectively, relating to the release of tax contingency accruals following the favorable resolution of tax matters.

2009 and 2008 included foreign exchange translation gains of CHF 460 million and foreign exchange translation losses of CHF 467 million, respectively, relating to deferred tax assets on tax loss carry-forwards recorded in UK entities. The foreign exchange movements arose due to tax loss carry-forwards denominated in British pounds, which differs from the functional currency of the reporting entities. UK tax law was enacted during 2009 which had the effect of removing these foreign exchange movements going forward.

2009 included a tax benefit of CHF 91 million relating to the increase of deferred tax assets on net operating loss carry-forwards, which was offset by an equivalent increase of valuation allowance on deferred tax assets on net operating loss carry-forwards.

As of December 31, 2010, the Group had accumulated undistributed earnings from foreign subsidiaries of CHF 8.0 billion. No deferred tax liability was recorded in respect of those amounts as these earnings are considered indefinitely reinvested. It is not practicable to estimate the amount of unrecognized deferred tax liabilities for these undistributed foreign earnings.

Details of the tax effect of temporary differences

end of	2010	2009
Tax effect of temporary differences (CHF million)		
Compensation and benefits	2,211	2,293
Loans	498	660
Investment securities	1,024	39
Provisions	1,346	1,275
Business combinations	96	93
Derivatives	163	104
Real estate	200	200
Net operating loss carry-forwards	7,253	8,574
Other	124	103
Gross deferred tax assets before valuation allowance	12,915	13,341
Less valuation allowance	(2,264)	(2,799)
Gross deferred tax assets net of valuation allowance	10,651	10,542
Compensation and benefits	(38)	(144)
Loans	(32)	(9)
Investment securities	(391)	(294)
Provisions	(372)	(459)

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Business combinations	(277)	(191)
Derivatives	(315)	(263)
Leasing	(66)	(80)
Real estate	(84)	(80)
Other	(71)	(203)
Gross deferred tax liabilities	(1,646)	(1,723)
Net deferred tax assets	9,005	8,819

The increase in net deferred tax assets from 2009 to 2010 of CHF 186 million was primarily due to the consolidation of Alpine Securitization Corp. (Alpine) and the impact from the legal entity merger that reflected regulatory concerns about complex holding structures, which contributed net deferred tax assets of CHF 1,508 million and CHF 409 million, respectively. These contributions were partially offset by foreign exchange translation losses of CHF 800 million, which are included within the currency translation adjustment recorded in AOCI. The remaining movement, a reduction of net deferred tax assets of CHF 931 million, mainly represents the impact of temporary differences and taxable income in 2010.

The most significant net deferred tax assets arise in the US and UK and these decreased from CHF 8,438 million, net of a valuation allowance of CHF 1,409 million as of the end of 2009 to CHF 8,406 million, net of a valuation allowance of CHF 1,302 million as of the end of 2010.

Due to uncertainty concerning its ability to generate the necessary amount and mix of taxable income in future periods, the Group recorded a valuation allowance against deferred tax assets in the amount of CHF 2.3 billion as of December 31, 2010 compared to CHF 2.8 billion as of December 31, 2009.

Amounts and expiration dates of net operating loss carry-forwards

end of 2010	Total
Net operating loss carry-forwards (CHF million)	
Due to expire within 1 year	28
Due to expire within 2 to 5 years	3,863
Due to expire within 6 to 10 years	9,855
Due to expire within 11 to 20 years	7,070
Amount due to expire	20,816
Amount not due to expire	11,382
Total net operating loss carry-forwards	32,198

Movements in the valuation allowance

in	2010	2009	2008
Movements in the valuation allowance (CHF million)			

Balance at beginning of period	2,799	2,976	1,384
Discontinued operations	0	0	(13)
Net changes	(535)	(177)	1,605
Balance at end of period	2,264	2,799	2,976

The Group has concluded that, with two exceptions noted below, no valuation allowance is needed against the deferred tax assets of its major operating entities. As part of its normal practice, the Group has conducted a detailed evaluation of its expected future results. This evaluation is dependent on management estimates and assumptions in developing the expected future results, which are based on a strategic business planning process influenced by current economic conditions and assumptions of future economic conditions that are subject to change. This evaluation has taken into account both positive and negative evidence related to expected future taxable income, the Group's commitment to the integrated bank model and the importance of the Investment Banking segment within the integrated bank, as well as the changes in the Group's core businesses and the reduction in risk since 2008. This evaluation has indicated the expected future results that are likely to be earned in jurisdictions where the Group has significant deferred tax assets, such as the US and UK. The Group has then compared those expected future results with the applicable law governing utilization of deferred tax assets; US tax law allows for a 20 year carry-forward period for net operating losses and UK tax law allows for an unlimited carry-forward period for net operating losses. The Group has concluded, based on the above analysis, that partial valuation allowances against deferred tax assets are appropriate for two of its operating entities, one in the US and one in the UK.

Tax benefits associated with share-based compensation

in	2010	2009	2008
Tax benefits associated with share-based compensation (CHF million)			
Tax benefits recorded in the consolidated statements of operations	539	632	951
Windfall tax benefits/(shortfall tax charges) recorded in additional paid-in capital	615	179	(93)
Tax benefits in respect of tax on dividend equivalent payments	26	0	16

For further information on share-based compensation, refer to *Note 27 – Employee deferred compensation*.

If, upon settlement of share-based compensation, the tax deduction exceeds the cumulative compensation cost that the Group had recognized in the consolidated financial statements, the utilized tax benefit associated with any excess deduction is considered a "windfall" and recognized in shareholders' equity as additional paid-in capital and reflected as a financing cash inflow in the consolidated statements of cash flows. If, upon settlement the tax deduction is lower than the cumulative compensation cost that the Group had recognized in the consolidated financial statements, the tax charge associated with the lower deduction is considered a "shortfall". Tax charges arising on shortfalls are recognized in shareholders' equity to the extent that any shortfalls are lower than the cumulative windfalls, otherwise the tax charge is recognized in the consolidated statements of operations. However, windfall deductions and dividend equivalents aggregating CHF 1.0 billion and CHF 0.3 billion for 2010 and 2009, respectively, did not result in a reduction of income taxes payable because certain entities were in a net operating loss position. When the income tax

benefit of these deductions is realized, an estimated CHF 234 million tax benefit will be recorded in additional paid-in capital.

Uncertain tax positions

US GAAP requires a two-step process in evaluating uncertain income tax positions. In the first step, an enterprise determines whether it is more likely than not that an income tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Income tax positions meeting the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are then measured to determine the amount of benefit eligible for recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Each income tax position is measured at the largest amount of tax benefit that is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement.

Reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits

	2010	2009
Movements in gross unrecognized tax benefits (CHF million)		
Balance at beginning of period	964	1,177
Increases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during a prior period	53	17
Decreases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during a prior period	(286)	(198)
Increases in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of tax positions taken during the current period	39	17
Decreases in unrecognized tax benefits relating to settlements with tax authorities	(31)	(58)
Reductions to unrecognized tax benefits as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations	(91)	(6)
Other (including foreign currency translation)	(70)	15
Balance at end of period	578	964
of which, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate	554	915

Interest and penalties

in	2010	2009	2008
Interest and penalties (CHF million)			
Interest and penalties recognized in the consolidated statements of operations	(43)	(15)	4
Interest and penalties recognized in the consolidated balance sheets	209	272	250

The Group is currently subject to ongoing tax audits and inquiries with the tax authorities in a number of jurisdictions, including the US, the UK and Switzerland. Although the timing of the completion of these audits is uncertain, it is reasonably possible that some of these audits and inquiries will be resolved within 12 months of the reporting date.

It is reasonably possible that there will be a decrease of between zero and CHF 85 million in unrecognized tax benefits within 12 months of the reporting date.

The Group remains open to examination from federal, state, provincial or similar local jurisdictions from the following years onward in these major countries: Switzerland – 2007; Japan – 2005; the UK – 2003; and the US – 1999.

27 Employee deferred compensation

Payment of deferred compensation to employees is determined by the nature of the business, role, location and performance of the employee. Unless there is a contractual obligation, granting deferred compensation is solely at the discretion of senior management. Deferred compensation granted as part of a contractual obligation is typically used to compensate new senior employees in a single year for forfeited awards from previous employers upon joining the Group. It is the Group's policy not to make multi-year guarantees.

Compensation expense recognized in the consolidated statement of operations for share-based and other awards that were granted as deferred compensation is recognized in accordance with the specific terms and conditions of each respective award and is primarily recognized over the future requisite service and vesting period, which is determined by the plan, retirement eligibility of employees, moratorium periods and certain other terms. Compensation expense for share-based and other awards that were granted as deferred compensation also includes the current estimated outcome of applicable performance criteria, estimated future forfeitures and mark-to-market adjustments for certain cash awards that are still outstanding.

The following tables show the compensation expense for deferred compensation awards granted in 2010 and prior years that was recognized in the consolidated statements of operations during 2010, 2009, and 2008, the total shares delivered, the estimated unrecognized compensation expenses for deferred compensation awards granted in 2010 and prior years outstanding as of December 31, 2010 and the remaining requisite service period over which the unrecognized compensation expense will be recognized. The estimated unrecognized compensation expenses are based on the >>>>fair value of each award on the date of grant and include the current estimated outcome of relevant performance criteria and estimated future forfeitures but no estimate for future mark-to-market adjustments. The recognition of compensation expense for the deferred compensation awards granted in January 2011 began in 2011 and thus had no impact on the 2010 consolidated financial statements.

Deferred compensation expense

in	2010	2009	2008
Deferred compensation expense (CHF million)			
Adjustable Performance Plan awards	963	–	–
Scaled Incentive Share Units	561	–	–
Incentive Share Units	723	1,526	2,375
Cash Retention Awards	578	822	–
Performance Incentive Plans (PIP I and PIP II) ¹	(1)	(21)	114
Partner Asset Facility ²	45	629	457
Other share-based awards	294	331	593

Other cash awards	422	431	(78)
Total deferred compensation expense	3,585	3,718	3,461

Total shares delivered (million)

Total shares delivered	47.3	39.1	17.2
------------------------	------	------	------

1 Includes claw backs. 2 Compensation expense represents the change in underlying fair value of the indexed assets during the period. Compensation expense in 2009 also included the vesting of the remaining 33.3% in the first quarter.

Additional information

end of	2010
Estimated unrecognized compensation expense (CHF million)	
Adjustable Performance Plan awards	613
Scaled Incentive Share Units	782
Incentive Share Units	334
Other share-based awards	496
Other cash awards	48
Total	2,273
Aggregate remaining weighted-average requisite service period (years)	
Aggregate remaining weighted-average requisite service period	1.6

Fair value assumptions for share-based compensation

In estimating the fair value for share-based compensation, where an observable independent quoted market price is not available, the fair value is calculated on the grant date based on valuation techniques and/or option-pricing models that most accurately reflect the substantive characteristics of the instrument being valued. The underlying assumptions used in the models are determined based on management's assessment of the current market and historical information available as of the date of grant that marketplace participants would likely use in determining an exchange price for the instruments.

The inputs for expected volatility and dividend yield used in estimating fair values are based upon the implied market volatility and dividend yield of traded options on Group shares, the historical volatility and dividend yield of the Group shares and other relevant factors that indicate how the future is expected to differ from the past. Beginning in 2009, the Group changed from a dividend yield assumption to a future dividend cash flow assumption based on market expectations. The expected risk-free interest rate is based on the current >>>London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) rate as of the date of grant that corresponds with the expected term of the award. LIBOR rates are used as a proxy for risk-free interest rates because zero-coupon government issues do not exist in Switzerland. The expected term represents the period of time that the awards are expected to be outstanding and is generally based on the

contractual term of each instrument.

The following table illustrates the significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of Scaled Incentive Share Units (SISUs) and Incentive Share Units (ISUs) granted in 2010, 2009 and 2008 based on the annual deferred compensation process.

Significant fair value assumptions

	2010	2009	2008	
	SISU	ISU	ISU	
Significant assumptions				
Expected volatility, in %	33.42	33.52	62.97	32.04
Expected dividend yield, in %	–	–	–	5.46
Expected dividend cash flows, in CHF				
2009	–	–	0.10	–
2010	1.45	1.45	0.60	–
2011	1.55	1.55	1.00	–
2012	1.65	1.65	–	–
2013	1.75	–	–	–
Expected risk-free interest rate, in %	1.26	1.00	1.24	2.45
Expected term, in years	4	3	3	3

Share awards

Share awards were granted in January 2011 as part of the 2010 deferred compensation awarded to the Executive Board, managing directors, directors and most employees below the director level. The share awards replace other plans introduced in prior years, including SISUs, ISUs and Performance Incentive Plan (PIP) in an effort to make the design of the Group's compensation instruments simpler, more transparent and less leveraged and to better align the interests of the Group's employees with those of the shareholders and the Group. Each share award granted entitles the holder of the award to receive one Group share. One quarter of the share awards vest on each of the four anniversaries of the date of grant. The value that is delivered is equal to the Group share price at the time of delivery, as the share awards do not contain any leverage component or multiplier effect as contained in earlier awards.

On January 20, 2011, the Group granted 34.5 million share awards with a total value of CHF 1,430 million. The fair value of each share award was CHF 42.51, equivalent to the Group's closing share price on the date of grant. The estimated unrecognized compensation expense of CHF 1,424 million was determined based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant, including the current estimate of future forfeitures, and will be recognized over the four-year vesting period, subject to early retirement rules.

Adjustable Performance Plan awards

The Adjustable Performance Plan is a deferred, cash-based plan for the Executive Board, managing directors and directors that links outstanding balances through positive and negative adjustments to future performance. The Group introduced and granted Adjustable Performance Plan awards as part of deferred compensation for 2009 (2009 Adjustable Performance Plan). The Group continued to grant Adjustable Performance Plan awards as part of deferred compensation for 2010 (2010 Adjustable Performance Plan) and amended and simplified certain features in the 2010 Adjustable Performance Plan to embrace emerging market trends and feedback from regulators.

The 2009 Adjustable Performance Plan awards are subject to a three-year, pro-rata vesting schedule. The final value of the Adjustable Performance Plan awards paid out to individual employees may be adjusted positively or negatively from the initial amount awarded on the grant date, and the value paid out each year for vested awards will reflect these adjustments as described below.

For revenue-generating employees in the divisions, outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards can be adjusted positively or negatively depending on the financial performance of the specific business areas in which the employees work. The adjustments are determined on an annual basis by calculating the profit or loss before variable compensation expense and taxes (adjusted profit or adjusted loss) of the business area in which the employee worked at the time of grant and in consideration of the Group ROE. In the case of a business area adjusted profit and a positive Group ROE for the year, all outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards will be positively adjusted using the Group ROE percentage for that year as a multiplier. In the case of a business area adjusted profit but a negative Group ROE for the year, there will be no adjustment to all outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards. In the case of a business area adjusted loss during any of the three years in the vesting period, a negative adjustment will be applied to all outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards in the year of loss, irrespective of the Group ROE.

For employees in Shared Services and other support functions, as well as for all Executive Board members, all outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards are linked to the Group's adjusted profit or loss and the Group ROE, but are not dependent upon the adjusted profit or loss of the business areas that they support. Only an adjusted loss of the Group will trigger a negative adjustment of all outstanding Adjustable Performance Plan awards. This link to Group performance is intended to ensure that the compensation of employees in support functions is not directly linked to the performance of the businesses they support.

The 2010 Adjustable Performance Plan awards are similar to the 2009 Adjustable Performance Plan awards, except the pro rata vesting will occur over a four-year period and the outstanding 2010 Adjustable Performance Plan awards will be subject to annual adjustments, increasing or decreasing the outstanding balances by a percentage equal to the Group ROE, unless the division that granted the awards incurs a pre-tax loss. In this case, outstanding awards in that division will be subject to a negative adjustment of 15% for every CHF 1 billion of loss, unless a negative Group ROE applies for that year and is greater than the divisional adjustment.

On January 20, 2011, the Group granted Adjustable Performance Plan awards with a total value of CHF 1,102 million. The estimated unrecognized compensation expense of CHF 1,669 million was determined based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant, including the current estimated outcome of relevant performance criteria and estimated future forfeitures, and will be recognized over the four-year vesting period, subject to early retirement rules. On January 21, 2010, the Group granted Adjustable Performance Plan awards with a total value of CHF 1,187 million.

Restricted Cash Award

The cash component of variable compensation is generally free from conditions. However, managing directors in Investment Banking received the cash component of variable compensation in the form of a restricted cash award with ratable vesting over a two-year period and other restrictive covenants and provisions. These cash awards will be paid

in the first quarter of 2011 and must be repaid by the employee, either in part or in full, if a claw-back event such as voluntary termination of employment or termination for cause occurs during the vesting period.

On January 20, 2011, the Group granted restricted cash awards with a total value of CHF 465 million. The estimated unrecognized compensation expense of CHF 465 million was determined based on the fair value of the award at the date of grant and will be recognized over the two-year vesting period.

Scaled Incentive Share Unit

The SISU plan is a share-based, long-term incentive plan for managing directors and directors. SISUs were granted in January 2010 as part of 2009 deferred compensation. SISUs are similar to ISUs (refer to *Incentive Share Unit* below) except with four-year vesting, subject to early retirement rules, and the leverage component contains an additional performance condition which could increase or decrease the number of any additional shares. The base component of the SISUs awarded on the grant date will vest equally over the four-year vesting period whereas the leverage component will only vest on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. The new performance condition links the final delivery of additional shares to the Group average ROE and if the Group average ROE over the four-year period is higher than a pre-set target established at the date of grant, the number of additional shares calculated by reference to the average Group share price increase will be adjusted positively, and if it is below the target, the number of additional shares will be adjusted negatively, but not below zero. The final number of additional shares to be delivered at the end of the four-year period is therefore determined first on the basis of the Group share price development (share price multiplier) and then on the basis of the Group average ROE development (ROE multiplier). Group shares are delivered shortly after the SISU base component and SISU leverage component vest. SISUs include a two-year moratorium on early retirement, determined from the date of grant.

On January 21, 2010, the Group granted 21.1 million SISUs. The fair value of the 2010 SISU base unit was CHF 50.30 and the fair value of the 2010 SISU leverage units was CHF 13.44. For the SISUs granted in January 2010, the number of additional shares per SISU was capped at a maximum of three times the grant date value, with a delivery of no more than three shares, prior to the application of the scaling factor, which can be as high as up to 2.5.

Scaled Incentive Share Unit activities

	2010
Number of awards (million)	
Balance at beginning of period	–
Granted	21.1
Settled	(0.2)
Forfeited	(0.5)
Balance at end of period	20.4
of which vested	0.2
of which unvested	20.2

Incentive Share Unit

ISUs were the main form of share-based deferred compensation for all employees from 2006 to 2009. For 2009, ISUs were used for the deferred compensation awards granted to employees up to and including vice presidents. An ISU is similar to a share, but offers additional upside depending on the development of the Group share price. For each ISU granted, the employee will receive at least one Group share (ISU base unit) over a three-year vesting period and could receive additional shares (ISU leverage unit) at the end of the three-year vesting period. The number of ISU leverage units to be converted to additional shares is calculated by multiplying the total number of ISU base units granted, less forfeitures, by a share price multiplier. The share price multiplier is determined based on the actual increase in the weighted-average monthly share price during the contractual term of the award versus the share price at grant date. One third of the ISU base units vests at the first anniversary of the grant date, one third at the second anniversary of the grant date and one third at the third anniversary. The ISU leverage units vest only on the third anniversary of the grant date. Group shares are delivered shortly after the ISU base units and the ISU leverage units vest. ISUs include a two-year moratorium on early retirement, determined from the date of grant.

On January 21, 2010, January 21, 2009 and January 22, 2008, the Group granted 6.0 million, 26.1 million and 46.4 million ISUs, respectively. The fair value of the 2010, 2009 and 2008 ISU base units were CHF 50.30, CHF 23.70 and CHF 54.90, respectively, and the fair value of the 2010, 2009 and 2008 ISU leverage units were CHF 13.45, CHF 6.98 and CHF 10.69, respectively. For the ISUs granted in January 2010 and 2009, the number of additional shares per ISU was capped at a maximum of three times the grant value, with a delivery of no more than five shares. For the ISUs granted in January 2008, the number of additional shares per ISU was limited to a maximum of ten shares.

Incentive Share Unit activities

	2010	2009	2008
Number of awards (million)			
Balance at beginning of period	41.5	59.8	25.4
Granted ¹	6.0	26.4	47.1
Settled	(8.4)	(43.6)	(10.0)
Forfeited	(1.4)	(1.1)	(2.7)
Balance at end of period	37.7	41.5	59.8
of which vested	3.9	2.7	1.4
of which unvested	33.8	38.8	58.4

¹ Includes ISUs granted in January and through out the year.

Cash Retention Awards

For 2008, managing directors across all divisions, all directors in Investment Banking and, to the extent the cash component of compensation exceeded CHF 300,000, directors in Private Banking, Asset Management and Shared Services received deferred compensation in the form of Cash Retention Awards (CRA). These CRA payments, which were made in the first quarter of 2009, are subject to vesting ratably over a two-year period and other conditions, and any unvested CRA will have to be repaid if a claw-back event, such as voluntary termination of employment, occurs. These awards were fully vested in January 2011.

Performance Incentive Plan

As part of its annual deferred compensation process for 2004 and 2005, the Group granted PIP units during 2005 (PIP I) and 2006 (PIP II), respectively. PIP units are long-term retention incentive awards requiring continued employment with the Group, subject to restrictive covenants and cancellation provisions, and vest evenly over a five-year period. Each PIP unit settled or will settle for a specified number of Group shares subsequent to the fifth anniversary of the grant date based on the achievement of: (i) earnings performance as compared to predefined targets (performance conditions); and (ii) Group share price performance compared to predefined targets and relative to peers (market conditions). The performance conditions determine the multiplier used to convert the initial PIP units into the final number of PIP units. The market conditions determine the number of Group shares that each final PIP unit will convert into at settlement.

The PIP I units granted in 2005 were settled in April 2010 in accordance with the terms and conditions of the plan and each outstanding PIP I unit settled for approximately 4.8 Group shares. The PIP II units granted in 2006 are due to settle in May 2011. For PIP II, the performance condition was finalized as of December 31, 2010 and will result in the conversion of each outstanding initial PIP II unit into 0.93 final PIP II units upon settlement. Based on the current estimate, the Group does not expect the PIP II awards to have a value at settlement, as it is probable that the Group share price performance will be below the minimum predefined target of CHF 47 per PIP II unit. At grant, each PIP II unit was equivalent to a Group share price of CHF 72.

Compensation expense for employees who were eligible for retirement at the grant date, or who became eligible for retirement during the scheduled vesting period, was determined by taking the number of PIP units expected to vest, multiplied by the grant date fair value. The fair values of the PIP I and PIP II units granted to these employees were CHF 83.75 and CHF 145.36, respectively. These fair values were determined taking into account the estimated outcome of the market conditions at the grant date and were not remeasured throughout the term of the awards.

Compensation expense for employees who did not become eligible for retirement during the scheduled vesting period was determined by taking the number of PIP units expected to vest, multiplied by both the grant date fair value and the estimated outcome of the performance condition. The grant date fair values of the PIP I and PIP II units granted to these employees were CHF 51.70 and CHF 79.87, respectively. These fair values were determined taking into account the estimated outcome of the market conditions at the grant date and were not remeasured throughout the term of the awards. For PIP I, the estimated outcome of the performance condition used for expense recognition was 1.98 and 1.86 as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. For PIP II, the estimated outcome of the performance condition used for expense recognition was 0.93, 1.17 and 1.52 as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Performance Incentive Plan activities

	2010		2009		2008	
	PIP II	PIP I	PIP II	PIP I	PIP II	PIP I
Number of awards (million)						
Balance at beginning of period	6.2	11.9	6.4	12.2	6.5	12.3
Granted	—	—	—	—	0.1	—
Settled	0.0	(11.7)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Forfeited	(0.1)	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.3)	(0.2)	(0.1)
Balance at end of period	6.1	0.0	6.2	11.9	6.4	12.2
of which vested	5.3	0.0	4.5	10.2	3.4	8.3
of which unvested	0.8	0.0	1.7	1.7	3.0	3.9

Partner Asset Facility

As part of the 2008 annual compensation process, the Group granted employees in Investment Banking with a corporate title of managing director or director the majority of the deferred compensation in the form of Partner Asset Facility (PAF) awards, denominated in US dollars. The PAF awards are indexed to, and represent a first-loss interest in, a specified pool of illiquid assets (Asset Pool) that originated in Investment Banking.

The notional value of the Asset Pool was based on the fair market value of the assets within the Asset Pool on December 31, 2008, and those assets will remain static throughout the contractual term of the award or until liquidated. The PAF holders will participate in the potential gains on the Asset Pool if the assets within the pool are liquidated at prices above the initial fair market value. If the assets within the Asset Pool are liquidated at prices below the initial fair market value, the PAF holders will bear the first loss on the Asset Pool. As a result, a significant portion of risk positions associated with the Asset Pool has been transferred to the employees and removed from the Group's risk-weighted assets, resulting in a reduction in capital usage.

The contractual term of the PAF award is eight years. 66.7% of the PAF awards were fully vested upon grant and attributed to services performed in 2008 and 33.3% of the PAF awards vested over the first three months of 2009. All PAF awards remain subject to non-compete/non-solicit provisions that expire in respect of one third of the awards on each of the three anniversaries of the grant date. Each PAF holder will receive a semi-annual cash interest payment of LIBOR plus 250 basis points applied to the notional value of the PAF award granted throughout the contractual term of the award. Beginning in the fifth year after the date of grant, the PAF holders will receive an annual cash payment equal to 20% of the notional value of the PAF awards if the fair market value of the Asset Pool in that year has not declined below the initial fair market value of the Asset Pool. In the final year of the contractual term, the PAF holders will receive a final settlement in cash equal to the notional value, less all previous cash payments made to the PAF holder, plus any related gains or less any related losses on the liquidation of the Asset Pool.

On January 21, 2009, the Group granted PAF awards to employees with a total notional value of CHF 686 million. In addition, compensation expense will be updated at each reporting period date to reflect any change in the underlying fair value of the PAF awards until the awards are finally settled.

Other share-based awards

The Group's share-based compensation, as part of the yearly discretionary deferred compensation in prior years, included four different types of other share-based awards: phantom shares, blocked shares, longevity premium awards (LPA) and special awards. These awards entitle the holder to receive one Group share subject to continued employment with the Group, contain restrictive covenants and cancellation provisions, and generally vest between zero and five years.

Phantom shares and blocked shares vest in three equal installments on each of the first, second and third anniversaries of the grant date and convert to Group shares within 120 days of vesting or are blocked for a period of one year after the shares vest, depending on the location of the grant recipient.

LPA vest in full on the third anniversary of the grant date and either convert to Group shares within 120 days of vesting or are blocked for a period of one year after the shares vest, depending on the location of the grant recipient.

Special awards are generally shares, which may be granted to new employees. These special awards may contain vesting conditions, depending on the terms of employment.

As part of the deferred compensation for 2010, the Group awarded a small population of employees in a number of EU countries 50% of the amount they otherwise would have received in unrestricted cash in the form of blocked shares in order to comply with differing European regulatory requirements. On January 20, 2011, the Group granted blocked shares for approximately CHF 35 million that vested immediately upon grant, have no future service requirements and were attributed to services performed in 2010. The shares remain blocked for a period of time, which varies from six months to three years, dependent on location, after which they are subject to no restrictions.

Other share-based award activities

	2010		2009		2008	
	Number of other share-based awards in million	Weighted-average grant-date fair value in CHF	Number of other share-based awards in million	Weighted-average grant-date fair value in CHF	Number of other share-based awards in million	Weighted-average grant-date fair value in CHF
Other share-based award activities						
Balance at beginning of period	15.5	45.67	20.8	61.83	28.8	67.20
Granted	7.2	45.38	8.3	40.37	8.6	46.97
Settled	(5.0)	48.43	(12.8)	69.07	(15.1)	61.57
Forfeited	(0.4)	51.65	(0.8)	53.33	(1.5)	82.82
Balance at end of period	17.3	43.86	15.5	45.67	20.8	61.83
of which vested	1.3	–	1.2	–	0.2	–
of which unvested	16.0	–	14.3	–	20.6	–

Other cash awards

Other cash awards consist of voluntary deferred compensation, proprietary trading and employee investment plans. The compensation expense related to these awards was primarily driven by mark to market and performance adjustments, as the majority of the awards are fully vested.

Share options

Options were a substantial component of the Group's share-based program prior to 2004. The Group discontinued the practice of issuing options and all of the original grants have vested. Share options were granted with an exercise price equal to the market price of Group shares on the date of grant and expire after ten years.

There were no options granted during 2010, 2009 and 2008. As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable was CHF 43 million and the weighted-average remaining contractual term was 0.9 years. As of the exercise date, the total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2010, 2009 and 2008 was CHF 8 million, CHF 10 million and CHF 7 million, respectively. Cash received from option exercises during 2010, 2009 and

2008 was CHF 32 million, CHF 30 million and CHF 17 million, respectively.

Share option activities

	2010		2009		2008	
	Number of share options in million	Weighted-average exercise price in CHF	Number of share options in million	Weighted-average exercise price in CHF	Number of share options in million	Weighted-average exercise price in CHF
Share options						
Balance at beginning of period	34.9	63.49	39.0	62.19	39.6	61.86
Exercised	(0.8)	40.12	(0.7)	40.80	(0.5)	37.97
Settled	0.0	0.00	(0.3)	68.36	0.0	0.00
Forfeited	0.0	0.00	0.0	70.95	0.0	0.00
Expired	(4.3)	60.37	(3.1)	52.05	(0.1)	41.99
Balance at end of period	29.8	64.58	34.9	63.49	39.0	62.19
of which exercisable at end of period	29.8	64.58	34.8	63.49	37.9	61.56

During 2010, 1.6 million options with a cash settlement feature expired, leaving no options containing a cash settlement feature outstanding as of December 31, 2010.

As of December 31, 2010, 2.7 million additional options expired and in January 2011, 10.7 million expired.

Hedging of awards

The Group economically hedges its estimated obligation to deliver Group shares under its employee share-based compensation programs through a combination of purchasing treasury shares in the market and entering into third-party hedge instruments. Additionally, the Group may use its approved conditional capital to obtain shares for delivery of its share-based awards. The Group periodically revises its estimate of the expected number of shares to be delivered and adjusts its hedges accordingly.

Delivered shares

The Group generally repurchased its own shares in the open market to satisfy obligations in connection with share-based compensation but it may also issue new shares out of available conditional capital.

28 Related parties

Executive Board and Board of Directors Compensation

For information on compensation to members of the Executive Board and the Board of Directors, refer to *Note 3 – Compensation to members of the Executive Board and the Board of Directors in VI – Parent company financial statements – Credit Suisse Group*.

Loans to members of the Executive Board and the Board of Directors

in	2010	2009	2008
Loans to members of the Executive Board (CHF million)			
Balance at beginning of period	191	24	22
Additions	5	4	11
Reductions	(6)	(9)	(9)
Balance at end of period	181	19	24
Loans to members of the Board of Directors (CHF million)			
Balance at beginning of period	252	24	25
Additions	14	11	1
Reductions	(4)	(10)	(2)
Balance at end of period	352	25	24

1 The number of individuals with outstanding loans at the beginning and end of the year was seven and six, respectively. 2 The number of individuals with outstanding loans at the beginning and end of the year was nine and eight, respectively.

A large majority of loans outstanding to members of the Executive Board and the Board of Directors are mortgages or loans against securities. Such loans are made to Executive Board and Board of Directors members on the same terms available to third-party customers or, in the case of loans to members of the Executive Board, pursuant to widely available employee benefit plans.

All mortgage loans to members of the Executive Board are granted either with variable or fixed interest rates over a certain period. Typically, fixed-rate mortgages are granted for periods of up to ten years. Interest rates applied are based on refinancing costs plus a margin and interest rates and other terms are consistent with those applicable to other employees. Loans against securities are granted at interest rates and on terms applicable to such loans granted to other employees. Interest rates applied are based on refinancing costs plus a margin. When granting a loan to these individuals, the same credit approval and risk assessment procedures apply as for loans to other employees.

Members of the Board of Directors are not granted employee conditions on any loans extended to them, but such loans are subject to conditions applied to customers with a comparable credit standing. Members of the Board of Directors who were previously employees of the Group may still have outstanding loans, which were extended to them at the time at employee conditions. In addition to the loans listed above, the Group or any of its banking subsidiaries have entered into financing and other banking agreements with companies in which current members of the Board of Directors have a significant influence as defined by the SEC. As of December 31, 2010, there was no exposure to such related parties. As of December 31, 2009 and 2008, the total exposure to such related parties amounted to CHF 50,000 and CHF 6 million, respectively, including all advances and contingent liabilities. The

highest exposure to such related parties for any of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2010 did not exceed CHF 10 million in aggregate.

In February 2011, the Group entered into definitive agreements with affiliates of Qatar Investment Authority (QIA) and The Olayan Group, which have significant holdings of Group shares, to issue an aggregate of CHF 5.9 billion Tier 1 Buffer Capital Notes for cash or in exchange for tier 1 capital notes issued in 2008. The Group determined that this was a material transaction and deemed QIA and The Olayan Group to be related parties of Jassim bin Hamad J.J. Al Thani and Aziz R.D. Syriani, respectively, for purposes of evaluating the terms and corporate governance of the transaction. The Group and the Board of Directors (except for Mr. Al Thani and Mr. Syriani, who recused themselves) determined that the terms of the transaction, given its size, the nature of the contingent buffer capital, for which there was no established market, and the terms of the tier 1 capital notes issued in 2008 and held by QIA and The Olayan Group, were fair.

The Group, together with its subsidiaries, is a global financial services provider and has major corporate banking operations in Switzerland. The Group, therefore, typically has relationships with many large companies including those in which its Board of Directors members assume management functions or board member responsibilities. Except for the deemed related party transaction with QIA and The Olayan Group and the related party loans as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 described above, all relationships between the Group or its banking subsidiaries and members of the Board of Directors and their affiliated companies or related parties are in the ordinary course of business and at arm's length.

Liabilities due to own pension funds

Liabilities due to the Group's own pension funds as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 of CHF 1,734 million and CHF 1,485 million, respectively, are reflected in various liability accounts in the Group's consolidated balance sheets.

Loans outstanding made by the Group or any subsidiaries to equity method investees

in	2010	2009	2008
Loans outstanding made by the Group or any subsidiaries to equity method investees (CHF million)			
Balance at beginning of period	83	575	160
Net borrowings/(repayments)	(38)	(492)	415
Balance at end of period	45	83	575

29 Pension and other post-retirement benefits

Pension plans

The Group has defined benefit pension plans, defined contribution pension plans and other post-retirement defined benefit plans. The Group's principal plans are located in Switzerland, the US and the UK.

Defined benefit pension plans are pension plans that define specific benefits for an employee upon that employee's retirement. These benefits are determined by taking into account the employee's salary, years of service and age of retirement. A defined benefit pension plan does not provide participants with an individual account and generally pay the benefits as an annuity. Retirees neither bear the actuarial risk (that is, the risk that the PBO will be higher than

expected and/or that the retiree may outlive their retirement income), or bear the investment risk (that is, that assets invested and associated returns will be insufficient to meet the expected benefits due to low or negative returns on contributions).

Defined contribution plans provide each participant with an individual account. The benefits to be provided to a participant are solely based on the contributions made to that employee's account and are affected by income, expenses and gains and losses allocated to the account. As such, there are no stipulations of a defined annuity benefit at retirement and the participants bear the full actuarial as well as investment risk. In Switzerland, due to a minimum guaranteed rate of return defined by law, the employer continues to bear a financial risk and as a result such plans are treated as defined benefit plans under US GAAP.

Swiss pension plans

The Group's Swiss pension plans cover its employees in Switzerland and are set up as trusts domiciled in Zurich. On January 1, 2010, in addition to the annuity section, a new savings section (defined contribution) was introduced in the Swiss main plan and a partial changeover from the defined benefit plan to the defined contribution plan has been processed. For accounting purposes, both the annuity section and the savings section of the Swiss pension plan are treated as defined benefit plans under US GAAP. The plans provide benefits in the event of retirement, death and disability and meet or exceed the minimum benefits required under Swiss law. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the Group's pension plans in Switzerland comprised 82% and 84%, respectively, of all the Group's employees participating in defined benefit plans and 86% of the >>>fair value of plan assets and 85% of the pension benefit obligation of the Group's defined benefit plans.

In the annuity section of the plan, employee contributions are calculated as a percentage of the employees' salary level and age, varying between 9.0% and 12.5%. The Group's contributions are at least 200% of the employees' contributions for the Group's main pension plan.

In the savings section of the plan, employee contributions depend on their age and are determined as a percentage of the pensionable salary. The employees can select between three different levels of contributions which vary between 5% and 14% depending on their age. The employer contribution varies between 7.5% and 25% of the pensionable salary depending on the employees' age.

International pension plans

Various pension plans, including both defined benefit and defined contribution pension plans, cover the Group's employees in non-Swiss locations. These plans provide benefits in the event of retirement, death, disability or employment termination. Retirement benefits under the plans depend on age, contributions and salary. The Group's funding policy with respect to these plans is consistent with local government and tax requirements. The assumptions used are based on local economic conditions.

Other post-retirement defined benefit plans

In the US, the Group's plans provide post-retirement benefits other than pension benefits that primarily focus on health and welfare benefits for certain retired employees. In exchange for the current services provided by the employee, the Group promises to provide health and welfare benefits after the employee retires. The Group's obligation for that compensation is incurred as employees render the services necessary to earn their post-retirement benefits.

Pension costs

The net periodic pension cost for defined benefit pension and other post-retirement defined benefit plans is the cost of the respective plan for a period during which an employee renders services. The actual amount to be recognized is determined using an actuarial formula which considers, among others, current service cost, interest cost, expected return on plan assets and the amortization of both prior service cost/(credit) and actuarial losses/(gains) recognized in

AOCI.

Components of total pension costs

in	Switzerland			Defined benefit pension plans International			Other post-retirement defined benefit plans International		
	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008	2010	2009	2008
Total pension costs (CHF million)									
Service costs on benefit obligation	269	217	217	30	33	45	1	1	1
Interest costs on benefit obligation	453	471	461	134	129	141	9	9	8
Expected return on plan assets	(637)	(610)	(607)	(163)	(166)	(172)	–	–	–
Amortization of recognized prior service cost/(credit)	17	35	36	1	1	1	(2)	(2)	(2)
Amortization of recognized actuarial losses	86	6	2	36	17	40	6	8	9
Net periodic pension costs	188	119	109	38	14	55	14	16	16
Settlement (gains)/losses	1	6	–	(2)	1	–	–	–	–
Curtailement (gains)/losses	–	–	3	–	(2)	–	–	–	–
Special termination benefits	2	7	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Total pension costs	191	132	112	36	13	55	14	16	16

Total pension costs reflected in compensation and benefits – other for 2010, 2009 and 2008 were CHF 241 million, CHF 161 million and CHF 183 million, respectively.

On December 4, 2008, the Group announced the accelerated implementation of its strategic plan and, as part of this plan, a headcount reduction. This resulted in a curtailment loss in 2008 of CHF 3 million, mainly related to the Swiss pension plans, special termination benefits of CHF 2 million and CHF 7 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to the Swiss pension plans and settlement payments of CHF 1 million and CHF 7 million in 2010 and 2009, respectively, in connection with our Swiss and US pension plans. The discontinuance of a Japanese plan in 2009 resulted in a curtailment gain of CHF 2 million in 2009 due to the reduction in the benefit obligation and a gain of CHF 2 million in 2010 from the related settlement of the obligation.

Benefit obligation

The benefit obligation is expressed as either accumulated benefit obligation (ABO) or PBO. While the ABO refers to the actuarial present value based on employee services rendered prior to that date and takes into account current and past compensation levels, the PBO also applies an assumption as to future compensation levels.

The following table shows the changes in the PBO, the fair value of plan assets and the amounts recognized in the consolidated balance sheets for the defined benefit pension and other post-retirement defined benefit plans as well as the ABO for the defined benefit pension plans.

Obligations and funded status of the plans

in / end of	Switzerland		Defined benefit pension plans		Other post-retirement defined benefit plans	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	International	International
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
PBO (CHF million) ¹						
Beginning of the measurement period	13,324	12,452	2,299	1,971	141	134
Plan participant contributions	250	240	—	—	—	—
Service cost	269	217	30	33	1	1
Interest cost	453	471	134	129	9	9
Plan amendments	—	(38)	—	—	—	—
Settlements	(6)	(30)	(3)	(8)	—	—
Curtailments	(1)	—	—	(5)	—	—
Special termination benefits	2	7	3	3	—	—
Actuarial (gains)/losses	(3)	560	220	185	31	9
Plans added	—	—	9	—	—	—
Benefit payments	(475)	(555)	(52)	(67)	(8)	(8)
Exchange rate (gains)/losses	—	—	(267)	58	(14)	(4)
End of the measurement period	13,813	13,324	2,373	2,299	160	141
Fair value of plan assets (CHF million)						
Beginning of the measurement period	12,594	11,504	2,036	1,833	—	—
Actual return on plan assets	377	997	218	30	—	—
Employer contributions	688	438	172	169	8	8
Plan participant contributions	250	240	—	—	—	—

Settlements	(6)	(30)	(3)	(8)	–	–
Benefit payments	(475)	(555)	(52)	(67)	(8)	(8)
Exchange rate gains/(losses)	–	–	(250)	79	–	–
End of the measurement period	13,428	12,594	2,121	2,036	–	–
Funded status recognized (CHF million)						
Funded status of the plan – over/(underfunded)	(385)	(730)	(252)	(263)	(160)	(141)
Total funded status recognized in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31	(385)	(730)	(252)	(263)	(160)	(141)
Total amount recognized (CHF million)						
Noncurrent assets	17	9	166	84	–	–
Current liabilities	0	0	(13)	(9)	(8)	(8)
Noncurrent liabilities	(402)	(739)	(405)	(338)	(152)	(133)
Total amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31	(385)	(730)	(252)	(263)	(160)	(141)
ABO (CHF million) ²						
End of the measurement period	12,847	12,186	2,287	2,235	–	–

1 Including estimated future salary increases. 2 Exclusive of estimated future salary increases.

US GAAP requires an employer to recognize the funded status of the defined benefit pension and other post-retirement defined benefit plans on the balance sheet. The funded status of these plans is determined as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the PBO and may vary from year to year following any changes in the fair value of plan assets and variations of the PBO following changes in the underlying assumptions and census data. In 2010 and 2009, the PBO was impacted by settlements of CHF 6 million and CHF 38 million, respectively, and special termination benefits of CHF 5 million and CHF 10 million, respectively, mainly from the Swiss and US pension plans in connection with the headcount reduction announced on December 4, 2008. In addition, CHF 3 million of settlements were recognized in 2010 relating to the discontinuance of a Japanese plan. In 2009, the Group announced a partial changeover from the defined benefit plan to the defined contribution plan for the Swiss pension plan. The changeover, which did not change the defined benefit plan accounting treatment, resulted in a reduction in the PBO of CHF 38 million, which was recorded as a prior service credit in AOCI.

The total net amount recognized in other assets – other and other liabilities – other in the consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 was an underfunding of CHF 797 million and CHF 1,134 million, respectively.

In 2010, the Group made special contributions of CHF 199 million and CHF 135 million to the Swiss and UK pension plans, respectively. In 2009, the Group made a special contribution of CHF 130 million to the UK defined benefit

plans. In 2008, the Group made special contributions of CHF 250 million and CHF 140 million to the Swiss and UK pension plans, respectively. In 2011, the Group expects to contribute CHF 517 million to the Swiss and international defined benefit pension plans and CHF 8 million to other post-retirement defined benefit plans.

PBO or ABO in excess of plan assets

The following table discloses the aggregate PBO and ABO, as well as the aggregate fair value of plan assets for those plans with PBO in excess of plan assets and those plans with ABO in excess of plan assets as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Defined benefit pension plans in which PBO or ABO were in excess of plan assets

	PBO exceeds fair value of plan assets ¹				ABO exceeds fair value of plan assets ¹			
	Switzerland		International		Switzerland		International	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
December 31								
CHF million								
PBO	13,100	12,677	1,212	1,138	7	7	1,128	1,076
ABO	12,167	11,573	1,163	1,100	7	7	1,099	1,049
Fair value of plan assets	12,698	11,937	794	791	6	7	717	729

¹ Includes only those defined benefit pension plans where the PBO/ABO exceeded the fair value of plan assets.

Amount recognized in AOCI and other comprehensive income

The following table discloses the actuarial gains/(losses) and prior service credit/(cost) which were recorded in AOCI and subsequently recognized as components of net periodic pension cost.

Amounts recognized in AOCI, net of tax

	Defined benefit pension plans		Other post-retirement defined benefit plans		Total	
	2010	2009	2010	2009	2010	2009
in						
Amounts recognized in AOCI (CHF million)						
Actuarial gains/(losses)	(3,084)	(2,853)	(52)	(38)	(3,136)	(2,891)
Prior service credit/(cost)	(39)	(53)	6	7	(33)	(46)
Total	(3,123)	(2,906)	(46)	(31)	(3,169)	(2,937)

The following tables disclose the changes in other comprehensive income due to actuarial gains/(losses) and prior service credit/(cost) recognized in AOCI during 2010 and 2009 and the amortization of the aforementioned items as components of net periodic pension cost for these periods as well as the amounts expected to be amortized in 2011.

Amounts recognized in other comprehensive income

in	Defined benefit pension plans			Other post-retirement defined benefit plans			Total net
	Gross	Tax	Net	Gross	Tax	Net	
2010 (CHF million)							
Actuarial gains/(losses)	(422)	103	(319)	(31)	12	(19)	(338)
Amortization of actuarial losses/(gains)	122	(30)	92	6	(3)	3	95
Amortization of prior service cost/(credit)	18	(4)	14	(2)	1	(1)	13
Immediate recognition due to curtailment/settlement	(2)	–	(2)	–	–	–	(2)
Total amounts recognized in other comprehensive income	(284)	69	(215)	(27)	10	(17)	(232)
2009 (CHF million)							
Actuarial gains/(losses)	(494)	124	(370)	(9)	3	(6)	(376)
Prior service credit/(cost)	38	(8)	30	–	–	–	30
Amortization of actuarial losses/(gains)	23	(8)	15	8	(3)	5	20
Amortization of prior service cost/(credit)	36	(8)	28	(2)	1	(1)	27
Immediate recognition due to curtailment/settlement	10	(2)	8	–	–	–	8
Total amounts recognized in other comprehensive income	(387)	98	(289)	(3)	1	(2)	(291)

Amounts in AOCI, net of tax, expected to be amortized in 2011

in 2011	Defined benefit pension plans	Other post-retirement defined benefit plans
CHF million		

Amortization of actuarial losses/(gains)	101	6
Amortization of prior service cost/(credit)	14	(1)
Total	115	5

Assumptions

The measurement of both the net periodic pension cost as well as the benefit obligation is determined using explicit assumptions, each of which individually represents the best estimate of a particular future event. Where applicable, they should be in line with the expected market averages and benchmarks, the trend in the market and with historical rates.

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net periodic pension cost and benefit obligation

December 31	Switzerland			Defined benefit pension plans			Other post-retirement defined benefit plans		
	2010	2009	2008	International			2010	2009	2008
				2010	2009	2008			
Net benefit pension cost (%)									
Discount rate	3.5	3.9	4.0	6.0	6.3	5.9	6.1	6.4	6.2
Salary increases	2.6	2.6	2.6	4.3	4.0	4.5	–	–	–
Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets									
	4.8	4.8	5.0	7.2	7.5	7.6	–	–	–
Benefit obligation (%)									
Discount rate	3.1	3.5	3.9	5.5	6.0	6.3	5.5	6.1	6.4
Salary increases	2.0	2.6	2.6	4.2	4.3	4.0	–	–	–

Net periodic pension cost and benefit obligation assumptions

The assumptions used to determine the benefit obligation as of the measurement date are also used to calculate the net periodic pension cost for the 12-month period following this date. The discount rate is one of the factors used to determine the present value as of the measurement date of the future cash outflows currently expected to be required to satisfy the benefit obligations when due. The assumption pertaining to salary increases is used to calculate the PBO, which is measured using an assumption as to future compensation levels.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets, which is used to calculate the expected return on plan assets as a component of the net periodic pension cost, reflects the average rate of earnings expected on the funds invested or to be invested to provide for the benefits included in the PBO. In estimating that rate, appropriate consideration is given

to the returns being earned by the plan assets and the rates of return expected to be available for reinvestment.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is based on total return forecasts and volatility and correlation estimates. Where possible, similar, if not related, approaches are followed to forecast returns for the various asset classes. For most asset classes, clearly specified multi-linear regression models to forecast returns are used or reliance is put on traditional models such as dividend discount and fair value models.

The expected long-term rate of return on debt securities reflects both accruing interest and price returns. The probable long-term relationship between the total return and certain exogenous variables pre-defined by economic model is explicitly used, which directly links the debt securities total return forecasts to the macro-forecasts.

The expected long-term rate of return on equity securities is based on a two-stage dividend discount model, which considers analyst consensus earnings to compute a market-implied equity risk premium. Dividends are estimated using market consensus earnings and the historical payout ratio. A subsequent scenario analysis was used to stress test the level of the return.

The expected long-term rate of return on real estate is based on error correction models. The underlying economic models reflect both the rental and the capital market side of the direct real estate market. This allows for a replicable and robust forecasting methodology for expected returns on real estate equity, fund and direct market indices.

The expected long-term rate of return on private equity and hedge funds is estimated by using private equity and hedge fund benchmarks and indices. In both private equity and hedge funds, a set of factors drives or explains returns. To capture these, multiple linear regression models with lagged returns are utilized. This methodology also lends itself to the fact that these alternative investments tended to be positively correlated with current and lagged equity securities returns.

Health care cost assumptions

The health care cost trend is used to determine the appropriate other post-retirement defined benefit costs. In determining those costs, an annual weighted-average rate of 9.75% for 2010 and 2009 and 11% for 2008 was assumed in the cost of covered health care benefits. As of December 31, 2010, the rate is assumed to decrease gradually to 5% by 2016 and remain at that level thereafter. As of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, a 1% increase in the health care cost trend rate assumption would have resulted in an increase in post-retirement expenses of CHF 1.5 million, CHF 1.3 million and CHF 1.3 million, and an increase in accumulated post-retirement defined benefit obligation of CHF 26 million, CHF 19 million and CHF 18 million, respectively. A 1% decrease in the health care cost trend assumption would result in a decrease in post-retirement expenses of CHF 1.2 million, CHF 1.0 million and CHF 1.1 million, and a decrease in post-retirement defined benefit obligation of CHF 21 million, CHF 16 million and CHF 15 million as of December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

Plan assets and investment strategy

Plan assets, which are assets that have been segregated and restricted to provide for plan benefits, are measured at their fair value as of the measurement date.

The Group's defined benefit pension plans employ a total return investment approach, whereby a diversified mix of debt and equity securities and alternative investments, specifically hedge funds and private equity, are used to maximize the long-term return of plan assets while incurring a prudent level of risk. The intent of this strategy is to outperform plan liabilities over the long-term in order to minimize plan expenses. Risk tolerance is established through careful consideration of plan liabilities, plan funded status and corporate financial condition. Furthermore,

equity securities are diversified across Swiss and non-Swiss stocks as well as among growth, value and small and large capitalization stocks. Real estate and alternative investments, such as private equity and hedge funds, are used to enhance long-term returns while improving portfolio diversification. >>>Derivatives may be used to hedge market exposure, but are not used to leverage the portfolio beyond the market value of the underlying investments. Investment risk is measured and monitored on an ongoing basis through periodic asset/liability studies and quarterly investment portfolio reviews. To limit investment risk, the Group pension plans follow defined strategic asset allocation guidelines. Depending on the market conditions, these guidelines are even more limited on a short-term basis.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the total fair value of Group debt securities included in plan assets was CHF 251 million and CHF 238 million, respectively, and the total fair value of Group equity securities and options was CHF 15 million and CHF 34 million, respectively.

Fair value hierarchy of plan assets

For discussion of the fair value hierarchy, refer to *Note 33 – Financial instruments – Fair value hierarchy*.

Qualitative disclosures of valuation techniques used to measure fair value

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents includes money market instruments such as bankers' acceptances, certificates of deposit, >>>CP, book claims, treasury bills, other rights and commingled funds. Valuations of money market instruments and commingled funds are generally based on observable inputs.

Debt securities

Debt securities include government and corporate bonds which are generally quoted in active markets. Debt securities for which market prices are not available, are valued based on yields reflecting the perceived risk of the issuer and the maturity of the security, recent disposals in the market or other modeling techniques, which may involve judgment.

Equity securities

Equity securities held include common equity shares, convertible bonds and shares in investment companies and units in mutual funds. The common equity shares are generally traded on public stock exchanges for which quoted prices are regularly available. Convertible bonds are generally valued using observable pricing sources. Shares in investment companies and units in mutual funds, which are not directly quoted on a public stock exchange and/or for which a fair value is not readily determinable, are measured at fair value using NAV.

Derivatives

Derivatives include both >>>OTC and exchange-traded derivatives. The fair value of OTC derivatives is determined on the basis of inputs that include those characteristics of the derivative that have a bearing on the economics of the instrument. The determination of the fair value of many derivatives involves only a limited degree of subjectivity since the required inputs are generally observable in the marketplace. Other more complex derivatives may use unobservable inputs. Such inputs include long-dated volatility assumptions on OTC option transactions and recovery rate assumptions for credit derivative transactions. The fair value of exchange-traded derivatives is typically derived from the observable exchange prices and/or observable inputs.

Real estate

Real estate includes direct real estate as well as investments in real estate investment companies, trusts or mutual funds. Direct real estate is initially measured at its transaction price, which is the best estimate of fair value. Thereafter, direct real estate is individually measured at fair value based on a number of factors that include any recent

rounds of financing involving third-party investors, comparable company transactions, multiple analyses of cash flows or book values, or discounted cash flow analyses. The availability of information used in these modeling techniques is often limited and involves significant judgment in evaluating these different factors over time. Real estate investment companies, trusts and mutual funds, which are not directly quoted on a public stock exchange and/or for which a fair value is not readily determinable, are measured at fair value using NAV.

Alternative investments

Private equity includes direct investments, investments in partnerships that make private equity and related investments in various portfolio companies and funds and fund of funds partnerships. Private equity consists of both publicly traded securities and private securities. Publicly traded investments that are restricted or that are not quoted in active markets are valued based on publicly available quotes with appropriate adjustments for liquidity or trading restrictions made. Private equity is valued taking into account a number of factors, such as the most recent round of financing involving unrelated new investors, earnings multiple analyses using comparable companies or discounted cash flow analyses. Private equity for which a fair value is not readily determinable is measured at fair value using NAV provided by the general partner.

Hedge funds that are not directly quoted on a public stock exchange and/or for which a fair value is not readily determinable, is measured at fair value using NAV provided by the fund administrator.

Fair value of plan assets

The following tables present the plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, for the Group's defined benefit pension plans.

Plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis

end of	2010				2009			
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Plan assets at fair value (CHF million)								
Cash and cash equivalents	890	1,366	0	2,256	641	1,702	0	2,343
Debt securities	1,806	3,576	0	5,382	1,710	3,259	0	4,969
of which governments	1,806	38	0	1,844	1,709	58	0	1,767
of which corporates	0	3,538	0	3,538	1	3,201	0	3,202
Equity securities	840	1,282	0	2,122	659	1,082	0	1,741
Real estate	0	492	1,014	1,506	0	401	982	1,383
of which direct	0	0	1,014	1,014	0	0	982	982
of which indirect	0	492	0	492	0	401	0	401
Alternative investments	0	1,640	573	2,213	0	1,680	515	2,195
of which private equity	0	0	573	573	0	0	515	515

of which hedge funds	0	1,526	0	1,526	0	1,572	0	1,572
of which other	0	114 ₁	0	114	0	108 ₁	0	108
Other investments	0	(51)	0	(51)	0	(37)	0	(37)
Switzerland	3,536	8,305	1,587	13,428	3,010	8,087	1,497	12,594
Cash and cash equivalents	138	19	0	157	163	8	0	171
Debt securities	65	644	122	831	79	392	176	647
of which governments	57	1	0	58	48	0	0	48
of which corporates	8	643	122	773	31	392	176	599
Equity securities	0	1,006	0	1,006	56	1,142	0	1,198
Real estate - indirect	0	0	52	52	0	0	14	14
Alternative investments	0	(97)	94	(3)	0	(130)	57	(73)
of which private equity	0	0	8	8	0	0	12	12
of which hedge funds	0	3	81	84	0	0	45	45
of which other	0	(100) ₁	5	(95)	0	(130) ₁	0	(130)
Other investments	0	78	0	78	0	79	0	79
International	203	1,650	268	2,121	298	1,491	247	2,036
Total plan assets at fair value	3,739	9,955	1,855	15,549	3,308	9,578	1,744	14,630

1 Primarily related to derivative instruments.

Plan assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis for level 3

	Actual return on plan assets					
	Balance at beginning of period	On assets still held at reporting date	On assets sold during the period	Purchases, sales, settlements	Foreign currency translation impact	Balance at end of period
2010 (CHF million)						
Debt securities -	176	16	1	(56)	(15)	122

corporates

Real estate	996	32	0	40	(2)	1,066
of which direct	982	29	0	3	0	1,014
of which indirect	14	3	0	37	(2)	52
Alternative investments	572	(7)	3	104	(5)	667
of which private equity	527	(7)	1	61	(1)	581
of which hedge funds	45	0	2	38	(4)	81
of which other	0	0	0	5	0	5
Total plan assets at fair value	1,744	41	4	88	(22)	1,855
of which Switzerland	1,497	22	0	68	0	1,587
of which International	247	19	4	20	(22)	268

2009 (CHF million)

Debt securities - corporates	103	20	(2)	55	–	176
Real estate	1,004	36	1	(45)	–	996
of which direct	970	55	1	(44)	–	982
of which indirect	34	(19)	0	(1)	–	14
Alternative investments	499	(23)	(1)	97	–	572
of which private equity	461	(35)	3	98	–	527
of which hedge funds	38	12	(4)	(1)	–	45
Other investments	25	0	16	(41)	–	0
Total plan assets at fair value	1,631	33	14	66	–	1,744
of which Switzerland	1,396	22	1	78	–	1,497
of which International	235	11	13	(12)	–	247

The following table shows the plan asset allocation as of the measurement date calculated based on the fair value at that date including the performance of each asset class.

Weighted-average plan asset allocation as of the measurement date

	Switzerland		International	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
December 31				
Weighted-average plan asset allocation (%)				
Cash and cash equivalents	16.8	18.6	7.4	8.4
Debt securities	40.0	39.5	39.1	31.7
Equity securities	15.8	13.8	47.4	58.8
Real estate	11.2	11.0	2.5	0.7

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Alternative investments	16.2	17.1	0.0	(3.5) ₁
Insurance	0.0	0.0	3.6	3.9
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

1 Negative asset allocation was primarily related to derivative instruments.

The following table shows the target plan asset allocation for 2011 in accordance with the Group's investment strategy. The target plan asset allocation is used to determine the expected return on plan assets to be considered in the net periodic pension costs for 2011.

Weighted-average target plan asset allocation to be applied prospectively

	Switzerland	International
2011 (%)		
Cash and cash equivalents	10	1
Debt securities	45	57
Equity securities	20	20
Real estate	10	1
Alternative investments	15	17
Insurance	0	4
Total	100	100

Estimated future benefit payments for defined benefit pension and other post-retirement defined benefit plans

	Defined benefit pension plans	Other post-retirement defined benefit plans
Estimated future benefit payments (CHF million)		
2011	871	8
2012	865	9
2013	863	9
2014	873	10
2015	875	10
For five years thereafter	4,480	56

Defined contribution pension plans

The Group contributes to various defined contribution pension plans primarily in the US and the UK as well as other countries throughout the world. During 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Group contributed to these plans and recognized as expense CHF 263 million, CHF 235 million and CHF 249 million, respectively.

30 Derivatives and hedging activities

>>>Derivatives are generally either privately negotiated >>>OTC contracts or standard contracts transacted through regulated exchanges. The Group's most frequently used freestanding derivative products, entered into for trading and risk management purposes, include interest rate, credit default and cross-currency swaps, interest rate and foreign exchange options, foreign exchange forward contracts and foreign exchange and interest rate futures.

The Group also enters into contracts that are not considered derivatives in their entirety but include embedded derivative features. Such transactions primarily include issued and purchased structured debt instruments where the return may be calculated by reference to an equity security, index or third-party credit risk, or that have non-standard interest or foreign exchange terms.

On the date a derivative contract is entered into, the Group designates it as belonging to one of the following categories:

- trading activities;
- a risk management transaction that does not qualify as a hedge under accounting standards (referred to as an economic hedge);
- a hedge of the >>>fair value of a recognized asset or liability;
- a hedge of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid relating to a recognized asset or liability or a forecasted transaction; or
- a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation.

Trading activities

The Group is active in most of the principal trading markets and transacts in many popular trading and hedging products. As noted above, this includes the use of swaps, futures, options and structured products, such as custom transactions using combinations of derivatives, in connection with its sales and trading activities. Trading activities include market making, positioning and arbitrage activities. The majority of the Group's derivatives were used for trading activities.

Economic hedges

Economic hedges arise when the Group enters into derivative contracts for its own risk management purposes, but the contracts entered into do not qualify for hedge accounting under US GAAP. These economic hedges include the following types:

- interest rate derivatives to manage net interest rate risk on certain core banking business assets and liabilities;

- foreign exchange derivatives to manage foreign exchange risk on certain core banking business revenue and expense items, as well as on core banking business assets and liabilities;
- credit derivatives to manage credit risk on certain loan portfolios; and
- futures to manage risk on equity positions including convertible bonds.

Derivatives used in economic hedges are included as trading assets or trading liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets.

Hedge accounting

Fair value hedges

The Group designates fair value hedges as part of an overall interest rate risk management strategy that incorporates the use of derivative instruments to minimize fluctuations in earnings that are caused by interest rate volatility. In addition to hedging changes in fair value due to interest rate risk associated with fixed rate loans, >>>repurchase agreements and long-term debt instruments, the Group uses:

- cross-currency swaps to convert foreign-currency-denominated fixed rate assets or liabilities to floating rate functional currency assets or liabilities; and
- foreign exchange forward contracts to hedge the foreign exchange risk associated with available-for-sale securities.

Cash flow hedges

The Group designates cash flow hedges as part of its strategy to mitigate its risk to variability of cash flows on loans, deposits and other debt obligations by using interest rate swaps to convert variable rate assets or liabilities to fixed rates. The Group also uses cross-currency swaps to convert foreign-currency-denominated fixed and floating rate assets or liabilities to fixed rate assets or liabilities based on the currency profile that the Group elects to be exposed to. This includes, but is not limited to, Swiss francs and US dollars. Further, the Group uses derivatives to hedge its cash flows associated with forecasted transactions. As of the end of 2010, the maximum length of time over which the Group hedged its exposure to the variability in future cash flows for forecasted transactions, excluding those forecasted transactions related to the payment of variable interest on existing financial instruments, was five months.

Net investment hedges

The Group designates net investment hedges as part of its strategy to hedge selected net investments in foreign operations against adverse movements in foreign exchange rates, typically using forward foreign exchange contracts.

Hedge effectiveness assessment

The Group assesses the effectiveness of hedging relationships both prospectively and retrospectively. The prospective assessment is made both at the inception of a hedging relationship and on an ongoing basis, and requires the Group to justify its expectation that the relationship will be highly effective over future periods. The retrospective assessment is also performed on an ongoing basis and requires the Group to determine whether or not the hedging relationship has actually been effective. If the Group concludes, through a retrospective evaluation, that hedge accounting is appropriate for the current period, then it measures the amount of hedge ineffectiveness to be recognized in earnings.

Fair value of derivative instruments

The table below presents gross derivative replacement values by type of contract and balance sheet location and whether the derivative is used for trading purposes or in a qualifying hedging relationship. Notional amounts have also

been provided as an indication of the volume of derivative activity within the Group.

Information on bifurcated embedded derivatives has not been included in this table. Under US GAAP, the Group elected to account for substantially all financial instruments with an embedded derivative that is not considered clearly and closely related to the host contract at fair value.

For further discussion of the fair value of derivatives, refer to *Note 33 – Financial instruments*.

Fair value of derivative instruments

	Notional amount	Trading		Notional amount	Hedging ¹	
		Positive replacement value (PRV)	Negative replacement value (NRV)		Positive replacement value (PRV)	Negative replacement value (NRV)
end of 2010						
Derivative instruments (CHF billion)						
Forwards and forward rate agreements	8,073.9	6.3	5.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Swaps	24,103.8	429.2	422.3	70.0	2.5	1.7
Options bought and sold (OTC)	2,420.3	44.9	46.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	2,769.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (exchange traded)	1,365.6	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Interest rate products	38,732.8	480.9	474.6	70.0	2.5	1.7
Forwards	2,062.4	35.2	37.9	19.4	0.4	0.1
Swaps	1,059.0	35.0	45.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (OTC)	796.9	14.4	15.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	13.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (exchange traded)	5.6	0.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Foreign exchange products	3,937.4	84.7	98.9	19.4	0.4	0.1
Forwards	15.9	1.7	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (OTC)	25.4	0.7	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Precious metals products	41.8	2.4	2.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Forwards	6.2	1.1	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Swaps	213.7	4.2	7.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
	268.2	15.0	16.2	0.0	0.0	0.0

Options bought and sold (OTC)						
Futures	77.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (exchange traded)	389.5	17.0	18.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Equity/index-related products	955.5	37.3	41.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Credit derivatives ²	1,989.5	49.5	46.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Forwards	32.0	2.0	1.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Swaps	100.9	14.1	15.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (OTC)	50.1	3.2	2.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	219.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (exchange traded)	128.6	4.8	4.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other products ³	531.4	24.1	25.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total derivative instruments	46,188.4	678.9	689.4	89.4	2.9	1.8

The notional amount for derivative instruments (trading and hedging) was CHF 46,277.8 billion as of December 31, 2010.

1 Relates to derivative contracts that qualify for hedge accounting under US GAAP. 2 Primarily credit default swaps. 3 Primarily commodity, energy and emission products.

Fair value of derivative instruments (continued)

	Notional amount	Trading		Notional amount	Hedging ¹	
		Positive replacement value (PRV)	Negative replacement value (NRV)		Positive replacement value (PRV)	Negative replacement value (NRV)
end of 2009						
Derivative instruments (CHF billion)						
Forwards and forward rate agreements	7,956.7	6.4	6.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Swaps	22,671.5	473.6	464.5	58.6	1.8	1.3
Options bought and sold (OTC)	2,461.2	43.6	46.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	1,900.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (exchange traded)	926.5	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Interest rate products	35,916.5	523.7	517.0	58.6	1.8	1.3
Forwards	1,682.1	18.9	21.0	22.4	0.2	0.0

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

Swaps	995.8	31.7	34.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (OTC)	866.8	14.8	15.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	22.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (exchange traded)	17.1	0.2	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Foreign exchange products	3,584.4	65.6	71.2	22.4	0.2	0.0
Forwards	12.3	1.6	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (OTC)	22.3	0.6	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	3.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Precious metals products	37.6	2.2	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Forwards	6.3	1.6	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Swaps	210.6	5.1	8.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (OTC)	337.4	17.9	21.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	124.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (exchange traded)	502.5	1.2	1.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Equity/index-related products	1,181.1	25.8	30.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Credit derivatives ²	2,414.0	68.2	61.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Forwards	28.3	1.7	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Swaps	142.6	17.4	17.8	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (OTC)	66.7	3.5	3.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Futures	313.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Options bought and sold (exchange traded)	147.5	3.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other products ³	698.7	25.6	26.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total derivative instruments	43,832.3	711.1	709.0	81.0	2.0	1.3

The notional amount for derivative instruments (trading and hedging) was CHF 43,913.3 billion as of December 31, 2009.

1 Relates to derivative contracts that qualify for hedge accounting under US GAAP. 2 Primarily credit default swaps. 3 Primarily commodity, energy and emission products.

Fair value of derivative instruments (continued)

end of	2010		2009	
	Positive replacement value (PRV)	Negative replacement value (NRV)	Positive replacement value (PRV)	Negative replacement value (NRV)
Derivative instruments (CHF billion)				
Replacement values (trading and hedging) before netting agreements	681.8	691.2	713.1	710.3
Counterparty netting ¹	(602.9)	(602.9)	(623.8)	(623.8)
Cash collateral netting ¹	(28.5)	(29.2)	(32.2)	(28.8)
Replacement values (trading and hedging) after netting agreements	50.4	59.1	57.1	57.7
of which recorded in trading assets (PRV) and trading liabilities (NRV)	47.7	57.9	55.1	56.5
of which recorded in other assets (PRV) and other liabilities (NRV)	2.7	1.2	2.0	1.2

¹ Netting was based on legally enforceable netting agreements.

Fair value hedges

in	2010	2009	2008
Gains/(losses) recognized in income on derivatives (CHF million)			
Interest rate products	564	(664)	—
Foreign exchange products	21	3	—
Total	585	(661)	—
Gains/(losses) recognized in income on hedged items (CHF million)			
Interest rate products	(546)	644	—
Foreign exchange products	(21)	(3)	—
Total	(567)	641	—

Details of fair value hedges (CHF million)

Net gains/(losses) on the ineffective portion	18	(20)	65
---	----	------	----

Represents gains/(losses) recognized in trading revenues.

Cash flow hedges

in	2010	2009	2008
Gains/(losses) recognized in AOCI on derivatives (CHF million)			
Foreign exchange products ¹	39	117	–
Gains/(losses) reclassified from AOCI into income (CHF million)			
Foreign exchange products ¹	27 ₂	13 ₃	–
Details of cash flow hedges (CHF million)			
Net gains/(losses) on the ineffective portion	0	0	(1)

¹ Represents gains/(losses) on effective portion. ² Included in commissions and fees. ³ Included in total operating expenses.

The net gain associated with cash flow hedges expected to be reclassified from AOCI within the next 12 months was CHF 19 million.

Net investment hedges

in	2010	2009	2008
Gains/(losses) recognized in AOCI on derivatives (CHF million)			
Interest rate products	8	12	–
Foreign exchange products	1,563	(1,401)	–
Total	1,571	(1,389)	–
Gains/(losses) reclassified from AOCI into income (CHF million)			
Interest rate products	0	0	–
Foreign exchange products	(4) ₁	(21) ₂	–
Total	(4)	(21)	–

Represents gains/(losses) on effective portion.

¹ Included in other revenues. ² Primarily included in discontinued operations.

The Group includes all derivative instruments not included in hedge accounting relationships in its trading activities. Instead of separately disclosing gains and losses of these derivative instruments by type of contracts, the Group elected to provide alternative disclosures as offered under US GAAP. For these alternative disclosures, refer to *Note 8 – Trading revenues*.

Disclosures relating to contingent credit risk

Certain of the Group's derivative instruments contain provisions that require it to maintain a specified credit rating from each of the major credit rating agencies. If the ratings fall below that specified in the contract, the counterparties to the agreements could request payment of additional collateral on those derivative instruments that are in a net

liability position. Certain of the derivative contracts also provide for termination of the contract, generally upon a downgrade of either the Group or the counterparty, at the existing mark-to-market of the derivative contract.

The following table provides the Group's current net exposure from contingent credit risk relating to derivative contracts with bilateral counterparties and SPEs that include credit support agreements, the related collateral posted and the additional collateral required in a one-notch and a two-notch downgrade event, respectively. The table also includes derivative contracts with contingent credit risk features without credit support agreements that have accelerated termination event conditions. The current net exposure for derivative contracts with bilateral counterparties and contracts with accelerated termination event conditions is the aggregate fair value of derivative instruments that were in a net liability position. For SPEs, the current net exposure by contract may include amounts other than or in addition to the >>>NRV of derivative instruments with credit-risk-related contingent features.

Contingent credit risk

end of	Bilateral counterparties	Special purpose entities	Accelerated terminations	Total
2010 (CHF billion)				
Current net exposure	14.6	2.1	0.8	17.5
Collateral posted	13.0	2.0	–	15.0
Additional collateral required in a one-notch downgrade event	0.2	1.8	0.1	2.1
Additional collateral required in a two-notch downgrade event	0.4	3.2	0.4	4.0
2009 (CHF billion)				
Current net exposure	10.5	3.3	1.6	15.4
Collateral posted	9.0	3.4	–	12.4
Additional collateral required in a one-notch downgrade event	0.2	2.4	0.7	3.3
Additional collateral required in a two-notch downgrade event	0.5	4.4	1.0	5.9

Credit derivatives

Credit derivatives are contractual agreements in which the buyer generally pays a fee in exchange for a contingent payment by the seller if there is a credit event on the underlying referenced entity or asset. They are generally privately negotiated OTC contracts, with numerous settlement and payment terms, and most are structured so that they specify the occurrence of an identifiable credit event, which can include bankruptcy, insolvency, receivership, material adverse restructuring of debt or failure to meet obligations when due.

The Group enters into credit derivative contracts in the normal course of business, buying and selling protection to facilitate client transactions and as a market maker. This includes providing structured credit products for its clients to enable them to hedge their credit risk. The referenced instruments of these structured credit products are both investment grade and non-investment grade and could include corporate bonds, sovereign debt, asset-backed securities

(ABS) and loans. These instruments can be formed as single items (single-named instruments) or combined on a portfolio basis (multi-named instruments). The Group purchases protection to economically hedge various forms of credit exposure, for example, the economic hedging of loan portfolios or other cash positions. Finally, the Group also takes proprietary positions which can take the form of either purchased or sold protection.

The credit derivatives most commonly transacted by the Group are >>>CDS and credit swaptions. CDSs are contractual agreements in which the buyer of the swap pays an upfront and/or a periodic fee in return for a contingent payment by the seller of the swap following a credit event of the referenced entity or asset. Credit swaptions are options with a specified maturity to buy or sell protection under a CDS on a specific referenced credit event.

In addition, to reduce its credit risk, the Group enters into legally enforceable >>>netting agreements with its derivative counterparties. Collateral on these derivative contracts is usually posted on a net counterparty basis and cannot be allocated to a particular derivative contract.

Credit protection sold

Credit protection sold is the maximum potential payout, which is based on the notional value of derivatives and represents the amount of future payments that the Group would be required to make as a result of credit-risk-related events. The Group believes that the maximum potential payout is not representative of the actual loss exposure based on historical experience. This amount has not been reduced by the Group's rights to the underlying assets and the related cash flows. In accordance with most credit derivative contracts, should a credit event (or settlement trigger) occur, the Group is usually liable for the difference between the credit protection sold and the recourse it holds in the value of the underlying assets. The maximum potential amount of future payments has not been reduced for any cash collateral paid to a given counterparty as such payments would be calculated after netting all derivative exposures, including any credit derivatives with that counterparty in accordance with a related master netting agreement. Due to such netting processes, determining the amount of collateral that corresponds to credit derivative exposures only is not possible.

To reflect the quality of the payment risk on credit protection sold, the Group assigns an internally generated rating to those instruments referenced in the contracts. Internal ratings are assigned by experienced credit analysts based on expert judgment that incorporates analysis and evaluation of both quantitative and qualitative factors. The specific factors analyzed, and their relative importance, are dependent on the type of counterparty. The analysis emphasizes a forward-looking approach, concentrating on economic trends and financial fundamentals, and making use of peer analysis, industry comparisons and other quantitative tools. External ratings and market information are also used in the analysis process where available.

Credit protection purchased

Credit protection purchased represents those instruments where the underlying reference instrument is identical to the reference instrument of the credit protection sold. The maximum potential payout amount of credit protection purchased for each individual identical underlying reference instrument may be greater or lower than the notional amount of protection sold.

The Group also considers estimated recoveries that they would receive if the specified credit event occurred, including both the anticipated value of the underlying referenced asset that would, in most instances, be transferred to the Group and the impact of any purchased protection with an identical reference instrument and product type.

Other protection purchased

In the normal course of business, the Group purchases protection to offset the risk of credit protection sold that may have similar, but not identical, reference instruments, and may use similar, but not identical, products, which reduces the total credit derivative exposure. Other protection purchased is based on the notional value of the instruments.

The Group purchases its protection from banks and broker dealers, other financial institutions and other counterparties.

Fair value of credit protection sold

The fair values of the credit protection sold also give an indication of the amount of payment risk, as the negative fair values increase when the potential payment under the derivative contracts becomes more probable.

The following tables do not include all credit derivatives and differ from the credit derivatives in the “Fair value of derivative instruments” table. This is due to the exclusion of certain credit derivative instruments under US GAAP, which defines a credit derivative as a derivative instrument (a) in which one or more of its underlyings are related to the credit risk of a specified entity (or a group of entities) or an index based on the credit risk of a group of entities and (b) that exposes the seller to potential loss from credit-risk-related events specified in the contract. >>>Total return swaps (TRS) are excluded because a TRS does not expose the seller to potential loss from credit-risk-related events specified in the contract. A TRS only provides protection against a loss in asset value and not against additional amounts as a result of specific credit events.

Credit protection sold/purchased

	2010				
end of	Credit protection sold	Credit protection purchased ¹	Net credit protection (sold)/ purchased	Other protection purchased	Fair value of credit protection sold
Single-name instruments (CHF million)					
Investment grade ²	(467,460)	450,139	(17,321)	49,008	975
Non-investment grade	(195,340)	169,173	(26,167)	17,161	(2,208)
Total single-name instruments	(662,800)	619,312	(43,488)	66,169	(1,233)
of which sovereigns	(115,191)	113,546	(1,645)	10,305	(2,390)
of which non-sovereigns	(547,609)	505,766	(41,843)	55,864	1,157
Multi-name instruments (CHF million)					
Investment grade ²	(238,372)	215,052	(23,320)	14,480	(4,765)
Non-investment grade	(60,283)	55,884	(4,399)	16,112	(1,088)
Total multi-name instruments	(298,655)	270,936	(27,719)	30,592	(5,853)
of which sovereigns	(15,425)	14,589	(836)	643	(636)
of which non-sovereigns	(283,230)	256,347	(26,883)	29,949	(5,217)

1 Represents credit protection purchased with identical underlyings and recoveries. 2 Based on internal ratings of BBB and above.

Credit protection sold

2009

end of	Credit protection sold	Fair value of credit protection sold	Recoveries
Single-name instruments (CHF million)			
Investment grade ¹	(608,416)	8,709	598,908
Non-investment grade	(222,402)	(12,790)	215,675
Total single-name instruments	(830,818)	(4,081)	814,583
of which sovereigns	(128,760)	(782)	128,141
of which non-sovereigns	(702,058)	(3,299)	686,442
Multi-name instruments (CHF million)			
Investment grade ¹	(291,880)	(9,271)	285,683
Non-investment grade	(58,579)	494	56,144
Total multi-name instruments	(350,459)	(8,777)	341,827
of which sovereigns	(347)	(58)	111
of which non-sovereigns	(350,112)	(8,719)	341,716

¹ Based on internal ratings of BBB and above.

The segregation of the future payments by maturity range and underlying risk gives an indication of the current status of the potential for performance under the derivative contracts.

Maturity of credit protection sold

end of	Maturity less than 1 year	Maturity between 1 to 5 years	Maturity greater than 5 years	Total
2010 (CHF million)				
Single-name instruments	90,718	468,223	103,859	662,800
Multi-name instruments	27,257	227,007	44,391	298,655
Total	117,975	695,230	148,250	961,455
2009 (CHF million)				
Single-name instruments	100,387	592,575	137,856	830,818
Multi-name instruments	31,154	256,008	63,297	350,459
Total	131,541	848,583	201,153	1,181,277

31 Guarantees and commitments

Guarantees

In the ordinary course of business, guarantees are provided that contingently obligate Credit Suisse to make payments to third parties if the counterparty fails to fulfill its obligation under a borrowing or other contractual arrangement. The total gross amount disclosed within the Guarantees table reflects the maximum potential payment under the guarantees. The carrying value represents the Group's current best estimate of payments that will be required under existing guarantee arrangements.

Guarantees

end of	Maturity less than 1 year	Maturity between 1 to 3 years	Maturity between 3 to 5 years	Maturity greater than 5 years	Total gross amount	Total net amount ¹	Carrying value	Collateral received
2010 (CHF million)								
Credit guarantees and similar instruments	3,413	1,525	1,033	1,437	7,408	6,922	512	4,357
Performance guarantees and similar instruments	8,076	2,157	1,213	660	12,106	10,840	100	4,317
Securities lending indemnifications	18,254	0	0	0	18,254	18,254	0	18,254
Derivatives ²	35,804	19,292	6,486	4,061	65,643	65,643	2,246	3
Other guarantees	4,349	544	278	287	5,458	5,387	8	2,622
Total guarantees	69,896	23,518	9,010	6,445	108,869	107,046	2,866	29,550
2009 (CHF million)								
Credit guarantees and similar instruments	3,290	1,589	1,533	1,655	8,067	7,309	543	4,521
Performance guarantees and similar instruments	6,342	4,004	1,008	806	12,160	10,707	96	3,995
Securities lending indemnifications	22,644	0	0	0	22,644	22,644	0	22,644
Derivatives ²	29,168	25,535	13,277	12,615	80,595	80,595	2,455	3
Other guarantees	3,836	564	200	275	4,875	4,807	10	2,181
Total guarantees	65,280	31,692	16,018	15,351	128,341	126,062	3,104	33,341

¹ Total net amount is computed as the gross amount less any participations. ² Excludes derivative contracts with certain active commercial and investment banks and certain other counterparties, as such contracts can be cash settled and the Group had no basis to conclude it was probable that the counterparties held, at inception, the underlying instruments. In the case of certain active commercial banks, prior periods have been conformed to the current presentation. ³ Collateral for derivatives accounted for as guarantees is not considered significant.

Credit guarantees and similar instruments

Credit guarantees and similar instruments are contracts that require the Group to make payments should a third party fail to do so under a specified existing credit obligation. The position includes standby letters of credit, commercial and residential mortgage guarantees and other guarantees associated with VIEs.

Standby letters of credit are made in connection with the corporate lending business and other corporate activities, where the Group provides guarantees to counterparties in the form of standby letters of credit, which represent obligations to make payments to third parties if the counterparties fail to fulfill their obligations under a borrowing arrangement or other contractual obligation.

Commercial and residential mortgage guarantees are made in connection with the Group's commercial mortgage activities in the US, where the Group sells certain commercial and residential mortgages to the Federal National Mortgage Association (FNMA) and agrees to bear a percentage of the losses triggered by the borrowers failing to perform on the mortgage. The Group also issues guarantees that require it to reimburse the FNMA for losses on certain whole loans underlying mortgage-backed securities issued by the FNMA, which are triggered by borrowers failing to perform on the underlying mortgages.

The Group also provides guarantees to VIEs and other counterparties under which it may be required to buy assets from such entities upon the occurrence of certain triggering events such as rating downgrades and/or substantial decreases in fair value of those assets.

Performance guarantees and similar instruments

Performance guarantees and similar instruments are arrangements that require contingent payments to be made when certain performance-related targets or covenants are not met. Such covenants may include a customer's obligation to deliver certain products and services or to perform under a construction contract. Performance guarantees are frequently executed as part of project finance transactions. The position includes private equity fund guarantees and guarantees related to residential mortgage securitization activities.

For private equity fund guarantees, the Group has provided investors in private equity funds sponsored by a Group entity guarantees on potential obligations of certain general partners to return amounts previously paid as carried interest to those general partners if the performance of the remaining investments declines. To manage its exposure, the Group generally withholds a portion of carried interest distributions to cover any repayment obligations. In addition, pursuant to certain contractual arrangements, the Group is obligated to make cash payments to certain investors in certain private equity funds if specified performance thresholds are not met.

Further, as part of the Group's residential mortgage securitization activities in the US, the Group may guarantee the collection by the servicer and remittance to the securitization trust of prepayment penalties. The Group will have to perform under these guarantees in the event the servicer fails to remit the prepayment penalties.

Securities lending indemnifications

Securities lending indemnifications include arrangements in which the Group agreed to indemnify securities lending customers against losses incurred in the event that security borrowers do not return securities subject to the lending agreement and the collateral held is insufficient to cover the market value of the securities borrowed. As indicated in the Guarantees table, the Group was fully collateralized in respect of securities lending indemnifications.

Derivatives

Derivatives are issued in the ordinary course of business, generally in the form of written put options. Disclosures about derivative contracts are not required under US GAAP if such contracts may be cash settled and the Group has no basis to conclude it is probable that the counterparties held, at inception, the underlying instruments related to the derivative contracts. The Group has concluded that these conditions were met for certain active commercial and

investment banks and certain other counterparties, and accordingly, the Group has not included such contracts as guarantees.

The Group manages its exposure to these derivatives by engaging in various hedging strategies to reduce its exposure. For some contracts, such as written interest rate caps or foreign exchange options, the maximum payout is not determinable as interest rates or exchange rates could theoretically rise without limit. For these contracts, notional amounts were disclosed in the table above in order to provide an indication of the underlying exposure. In addition, the Group carries all derivatives at fair value in the consolidated balance sheets and has considered the performance triggers and probabilities of payment when determining those fair values. It is more likely than not that written put options that are in-the-money to the counterparty will be exercised, for which the Group's exposure was limited to the fair value reflected in the table.

Other guarantees

Other guarantees include bankers' acceptances, residual value guarantees, deposit insurance, contingent considerations in business combinations, the minimum value of an investment in mutual funds or private equity funds and all other guarantees that are not allocated to one of the categories above.

Deposit-taking banks in Switzerland and certain other European countries are required to ensure the payout of privileged deposits in case of specified restrictions or compulsory liquidation of a deposit-taking bank. Upon occurrence of a payout event triggered by a specified restriction of business imposed by the Swiss Financial Markets Supervisory Authority (FINMA) or by compulsory liquidation of another deposit taking bank, the Group's contribution will be calculated based on its share of privileged deposits in proportion to total privileged deposits. These deposit insurance guarantees were reflected in other guarantees.

Representations and warranties on mortgages

In connection with Investment Banking's sale of residential mortgage loans, the Group has provided certain representations and warranties relating to the loans sold. The Group has provided these representations and warranties relating to sales of loans to: the US government-sponsored enterprises Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac (GSEs); institutional investors, primarily banks; and non-agency, or private label, securitizations. The loans sold are primarily loans that the Group has purchased from other parties. The scope of representations and warranties, if any, depends on the transaction, but can include: ownership of the mortgage loans and legal capacity to sell the loans; loan-to-value ratios and other characteristics of the property, the borrower and the loan; validity of the liens securing the loans and absence of delinquent taxes or related liens; conformity to underwriting standards and completeness of documentation; and origination in compliance with law. If it is determined that representations and warranties were breached, the Group may be required to repurchase the related loans or indemnify the investors to make them whole for losses. Whether the Group will incur a loss in connection with repurchases and make whole payments depends on: the extent claims are made; the validity of such claims (including the likelihood and ability to enforce claims); whether the Group can successfully claim against parties that sold loans to the Group and made representations and warranties to the Group; the residential real estate market, including the number of defaults; and whether the obligations of the securitization vehicles were guaranteed or insured by third parties.

The Group sold approximately USD 8.2 billion of residential mortgage loans to GSEs during the period January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2010. The Group had outstanding GSE repurchase claims of USD 39 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Group sold approximately USD 21.7 billion of residential mortgage loans to private investors, primarily banks, during the period January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2010. The Group had outstanding repurchase claims relating to sales of residential mortgage loans to private investors of USD 434 million and USD 254 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Group sold approximately USD 128.5 billion of residential mortgage loans in connection with non-agency securitizations during the period January 1, 2004 to December 31, 2010. The outstanding balance of these residential mortgage loans as of December 31, 2010 was USD 36.0 billion. The difference between the total balance of mortgage loans sold in connection with the securitizations and the outstanding balance as of December 31, 2010 is attributable to borrower payments of USD 79.1 billion, and losses of USD 13.4 billion to loan defaults. The Group had no outstanding repurchase claims relating to non-agency securitizations as of December 31, 2010. Representations and warranties in non-agency securitizations are more limited in scope than those relating to GSE securitizations, and it can be more difficult to establish causation and standing in making a repurchase claim for breach of representations and warranties in non-agency securitizations. The Group is involved in litigation relating to its non-agency securitization activities.

The Group incurred losses relating to residential mortgage repurchases of USD 24 million during each of the years ending December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, primarily relating to claims from GSEs. The Group had a reserve of USD 29 million and USD 33 million as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, substantially all relating to claims from GSEs.

Repurchase claims may increase in the future based on the large number of defaults in residential mortgages, including those sold or securitized by the Group.

Disposal-related contingencies and other indemnifications

The Group has certain guarantees for which its maximum contingent liability cannot be quantified. These guarantees are not reflected in the table above and are discussed below.

Disposal-related contingencies

In connection with the sale of assets or businesses, the Group sometimes provides the acquirer with certain indemnification provisions. These indemnification provisions vary by counterparty in scope and duration and depend upon the type of assets or businesses sold. They are designed to transfer the potential risk of certain unquantifiable and unknowable loss contingencies, such as litigation, tax and intellectual property matters, from the acquirer to the seller. The Group closely monitors all such contractual agreements in order to ensure that indemnification provisions are adequately provided for in the Group's consolidated financial statements.

Other indemnifications

The Group provides indemnifications to certain counterparties in connection with its normal operating activities, for which it is not possible to estimate the maximum amount that it could be obligated to pay. As a normal part of issuing its own securities, the Group typically agrees to reimburse holders for additional tax withholding charges or assessments resulting from changes in applicable tax laws or the interpretation of those laws. Securities that include these agreements to pay additional amounts generally also include a related redemption or call provision if the obligation to pay the additional amounts result from a change in law or its interpretation and the obligation cannot be avoided by the issuer taking reasonable steps to avoid the payment of additional amounts. Since such potential obligations are dependent on future changes in tax laws, the related liabilities the Group may incur as a result of such changes cannot be reasonably estimated. In light of the related call provisions typically included, the Group does not expect any potential liabilities in respect of tax gross-ups to be material.

The Group is a member of numerous securities exchanges and clearing houses and may, as a result of its membership arrangements, be required to perform if another member defaults. The Group has determined that it is not possible to estimate the maximum amount of these obligations and believes that any potential requirement to make payments under these arrangements is remote.

Lease commitments

Lease commitments (CHF million)

2011	583
2012	503
2013	447
2014	388
2015	335
Thereafter	2,234
Future operating lease commitments	4,490
Less minimum non-cancellable sublease rentals	400
Total net future minimum lease commitments	4,090

Rental expense for operating leases

in	2010	2009	2008
Rental expense for operating leases (CHF million)			
Minimum rental expense	625	599	475
Sublease rental income	(123)	(125)	(119)
Total net expenses for operating leases	502	474	356

Other commitments

end of	Maturity less than 1 year	Maturity between 1 to 3 years	Maturity between 3 to 5 years	Maturity greater than 5 years	Total gross amount	Total net amount ¹	Collateral received
2010 (CHF million)							
Irrevocable commitments under documentary credits	4,500	10	41	0	4,551	4,162	1,883
Loan commitments	153,759	35,459	12,234	8,101	209,553	202,999	142,425
Forward reverse repurchase agreements	51,968	0	0	0	51,968	51,968	51,968
Other commitments	1,375	833	1,095	557	3,860	3,860	55
Total other commitments	211,602	36,302	13,370	8,658	269,932	262,989	196,331

2009 (CHF million)

Irrevocable commitments under documentary credits	4,543	15	25	0	4,583	4,183	2,037
Loan commitments	175,656	33,888	10,064	8,876	228,484	222,128	159,349
Forward reverse repurchase agreements	43,481	0	0	0	43,481	43,481	43,481
Other commitments	6,377	1,529	757	302	8,965	8,965	6
Total other commitments	230,057	35,432	10,846	9,178	285,513	278,757	204,873

1 Total net amount is computed as the gross amount less any participations.

Irrevocable commitments under documentary credits

Irrevocable commitments under documentary credits include exposures from trade finance related to commercial letters of credit under which the Group guarantees payments to exporters against presentation of shipping and other documents.

Loan commitments

Loan commitments include unused credit facilities that can be revoked at our sole discretion upon notice to the client. A small portion of total loan commitments is related to the leveraged finance business. Commitments to originate mortgage loans that will be held for sale are considered derivatives for accounting purposes and are not included in this disclosure. Such commitments are reflected as derivatives in the consolidated balance sheets.

Forward reverse repurchase agreements

Forward reverse repurchase agreements represent transactions in which the initial cash exchange of the reverse repurchase transactions takes place on specified future dates.

Other commitments

Other commitments include private equity commitments, firm commitments in underwriting securities, commitments arising from deferred payment letters of credit and from acceptances in circulation and liabilities for calls on shares and other equity instruments.

32 Transfers of financial assets and variable interest entities

In the normal course of business, the Group enters into transactions with, and makes use of, SPEs. An SPE is an entity in the form of a trust or other legal structure designed to fulfill a specific limited need of the company that organized it and are generally structured to isolate the SPE's assets from creditors of other entities, including the Group. The principal uses of SPEs are to assist the Group and its clients in securitizing financial assets and creating investment products. The Group also uses SPEs for other client-driven activity, such as to facilitate financings, and Group tax or regulatory purposes.

Transfers of financial assets

Securitizations

The majority of the Group's securitization activities involve mortgages and mortgage-related securities and are

predominantly transacted using SPEs. In a typical securitization, the SPE purchases assets financed by proceeds received from the SPE's issuance of debt and equity instruments, certificates, CP and other notes of indebtedness. These assets and liabilities are recorded on the balance sheet of the SPE and not reflected on Group's consolidated balance sheet, unless either the Group sold the assets to the entity and the accounting requirements for sale were not met or the Group consolidates the SPE.

The Group purchases commercial and residential mortgages for the purpose of securitization and sells these mortgage loans to SPEs. These SPEs issue >>>commercial mortgage-backed securities (CMBS), >>>residential mortgage-backed securities (RMBS) and ABS that are collateralized by the assets transferred to the SPE and that pay a return based on the returns on those assets. Investors in these mortgage-backed securities or ABS typically have recourse to the assets in the SPEs, unless a third-party guarantee has been received to further enhance the creditworthiness of the assets. The investors and the SPEs have no recourse to the Group's assets. The Group is typically an underwriter of, and makes a market in, these securities.

The Group also transacts in re-securitizations of previously issued RMBS securities. Typically, certificates issued out of an existing securitization vehicle are sold into a newly created and separate securitization vehicle. Often, these re-securitizations are initiated in order to repackaging an existing security to give the investor a higher rated tranche.

The Group also purchases loans and other debt obligations from clients, which are then sold by the Group directly or indirectly to SPEs that issue >>>collateralized debt obligations (CDOs). The Group structures, underwrites and makes a market in these CDOs. CDOs are collateralized by the assets transferred to the CDO vehicle and pay a return based on the returns on those assets. Investors typically only have recourse to the collateral of the CDO and do not have recourse to the Group's assets.

When the Group transfers assets into an SPE, it must assess whether that transfer is accounted for as a sale of the assets. Transfers of assets may not meet sale requirements if the assets have not been legally isolated from the Group and/or if the Group's continuing involvement is deemed to give it effective control over the assets. If the transfer is not deemed a sale, it is instead accounted for as a secured borrowing, with the transferred assets as collateral.

As a result of the issuance of new guidance effective January 1, 2010, the Group lost sale accounting treatment for certain asset transfers and for certain transfers of portions of assets that do not meet the definition of participating interests. The impact of this change in accounting guidance did not have a material impact on the Group's financial condition, result of operations or cash flow.

Gains and losses on securitization transactions depend, in part, on the carrying values of mortgages and CDOs involved in the transfer and are allocated between the assets sold and any beneficial interests retained according to the relative fair values at the date of sale.

The following table provides the gains or losses and proceeds from the transfer of assets relating to 2010, 2009 and 2008 securitizations of financial assets that qualify for sale accounting and subsequent derecognition, along with the cash flows between the Group and the SPEs used in any securitizations in which the Group still has continuing involvement as of the end of 2010, 2009 and 2008, regardless of when the securitization occurred.

Securitizations

in	2010	2009 ₁	2008 ₁
Gains and cash flows (CHF million)			
CMBS			
Net gain ²	13	0	32
Proceeds from transfer of assets	523	144	1,137

Purchases of previously transferred financial assets or its underlying collateral	0	0	(173)
Servicing fees	1	1	2
Cash received on interests that continue to be held	150	244	273
RMBS			
Net gain ²	214	194	56
Proceeds from transfer of assets	52,308	34,246	20,998
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets or its underlying collateral	0	(46)	(3)
Servicing fees	6	6	4
Cash received on interests that continue to be held	488	329	231
ABS ³			
Net gain ²	0	19	0
Proceeds from transfer of assets	0	104	0
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets or its underlying collateral	0	(18)	0
Cash received on interests that continue to be held	6	18	22
CDO			
Net gain ²	69	107	63
Proceeds from transfer of assets	2,952	2,374	1,612
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets or its underlying collateral ⁴	(1,823)	(1,850)	0
Cash received on interests that continue to be held	157	13	44

1 Amounts were previously presented separately as qualified special purpose entities (QSPEs) and SPEs. The change in the presentation was a result of new guidance. 2 Includes underwriting revenues, deferred origination fees, gains or losses on the sale of collateral to the SPE and gains or losses on the sale of newly issued securities to third parties, but excludes net interest income on assets prior to securitization. The gains or losses on the sale of the collateral is the difference between the fair value on the day prior to the securitization pricing date and the sale price of the loans. 3 Primarily home equity loans. 4 Represents market making activity and voluntary repurchases at fair value where no repurchase obligations were present.

Other asset-backed financing arrangements

The Group also uses SPEs for other client-driven activity and for Group tax or regulatory purposes. These activities include various leveraged finance, repack and other types of structures.

Leveraged finance structures are used to assist in the syndication of certain loans held by the Group. Typically, a third-party private equity sponsor will establish a SPE which in turn will purchase a loan from the Group. The debt (loan facility) provided by the Group has recourse only to the assets held within the SPE.

Repack structures are designed to give a client collateralized exposure to specific cash flows or credit risk. Typically, the SPE structure will issue notes to the client, enter into a derivative through which the desired exposure is introduced and then collateral will be purchased from the Group.

Other types of structures in this category include life insurance structures, emerging market structures set up for financing, loan participation or loan origination purposes and other alternative structures created for the purpose of investing in venture capital-like investments.

The following table provides the gains or losses and proceeds from the transfer of assets relating to 2010, 2009 and 2008 transfers (which were not securitizations) treated as sales, along with the cash flows between the Group and the SPEs used in such transfers in which the Group had continuing involvement as of the end of 2010, 2009 and 2008, regardless of when the transfer of assets occurred.

Other asset-backed financing activities

in	2010	2009	2008
Gains and cash flows (CHF million)			
Net gain ¹	16	12	22
Proceeds from transfer of assets ²	1,424	3,494	6,063
Purchases of previously transferred financial assets or its underlying collateral	(696)	(219)	0
Cash received on interests that continue to be held	1,376	1,422	1,315

¹ Includes underwriting revenues, deferred origination fees, gains or losses on the sale of collateral to the SPE and gains or losses on the sale of newly issued securities to third parties, but excludes net interest income on assets prior to the other asset-backed financing activity. The gains or losses on the sale of the collateral is the difference between the fair value on the day prior to the other asset-backed financing activity pricing date and the sale price of the loans. ² Primarily home equity loans.

The Group does not retain material servicing responsibilities from securitizations or other asset-backed financing activities.

Continuing involvement in transferred financial assets

The Group may have continuing involvement in the financial assets that are transferred to an SPE, regardless of whether the transfer was accounted for as a sale or a secured borrowing, which may take several forms, including, but

not limited to, servicing, recourse and guarantee arrangements, agreements to purchase or redeem transferred assets, derivative instruments, pledges of collateral and beneficial interests in the transferred assets. Beneficial interests, which are valued at fair value, include rights to receive all or portions of specified cash inflows received by an SPE, including, but not limited to, senior and subordinated shares of interest, principal, or other cash inflows to be “passed through” or “paid through,” premiums due to guarantors, CP obligations, and residual interests, whether in the form of debt or equity.

The Group’s exposure resulting from continuing involvement in transferred financial assets is generally limited to beneficial interests typically held by the Group in the form of instruments issued by SPEs that are senior, subordinated or residual tranches. These instruments are held by the Group typically in connection with underwriting or market-making activities and are included in trading assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Any changes in the fair value of these beneficial interests are recognized in the consolidated statements of operations.

Investors usually have recourse to the assets in the SPE and often benefit from other credit enhancements, such as collateral accounts, or from liquidity facilities, such as lines of credit or liquidity put option of an asset purchase agreement. The SPE may also enter into a derivative contract in order to convert the yield or currency of the underlying assets to match the needs of the SPE investors, or to limit or change the credit risk of the SPE. The Group may be the provider of certain credit enhancements as well as the counterparty to any related derivative contract.

The following table provides the outstanding principal balance of assets to which the Group continued to be exposed after the transfer of the financial assets to any SPE and the total assets of the SPE as of the end of 2010 and 2009, regardless of when the transfer of assets occurred.

Principal amounts outstanding and total assets of SPEs resulting from continuing involvement

end of	2010	2009 ¹
CHF million		
CMBS		
Principal amount outstanding	45,129 ²	48,854 ²
Total assets of SPE	65,667	71,477
RMBS		
Principal amount outstanding	79,077 ²	92,083 ²
Total assets of SPE	85,556	99,119
ABS		
Principal amount outstanding	4,171	7,244
Total assets of SPE	4,171	7,244
CDO		
Principal amount outstanding	29,275 ²	37,474 ²
Total assets of SPE	29,279	37,952
Other asset-backed financing activities		
Principal amount outstanding	10,770	12,261 ²
Total assets of SPE	10,770	13,862

¹ Amounts were previously presented separately as QSPEs and SPEs. The change in the presentation was a result of new guidance. ² Principal amount outstanding relates to assets transferred from the Group and does not include principle amounts for assets transferred from third parties.

Fair value of beneficial interests

The fair value measurement of the beneficial interests held at the time of transfer and as of the reporting date that result from any continuing involvement are determined using fair value estimation techniques, such as the present value of estimated future cash flows that incorporate assumptions that market participants customarily use in these valuation techniques. The fair value of the assets or liabilities that result from any continuing involvement does not include any benefits from financial instruments that the Group may utilize to hedge the inherent risks.

Key economic assumptions at the time of transfer

In January 2010, the FASB amended the disclosure requirements for the Group's reporting of the fair value of beneficial interests retained at the time of transfer. Further, the beneficial interests are categorized according to their fair value hierarchy levels. As this requirement is not retroactive, comparable data is not presented for prior periods. For further information on fair value hierarchy, refer to *Note 33 – Financial instruments – Fair value hierarchy*.

Key economic assumptions used in measuring fair value of beneficial interests at time of transfer

at time of transfer	CMBS	RMBS
CHF million, except where indicated		
Fair value of beneficial interests	79	3,110
of which level 2	79	2,751
of which level 3	0	359
Weighted-average life, in years	6.4	7.7
Prepayment speed assumption (rate per annum), in % ¹	–	0.0-43.7
Cash flow discount rate (rate per annum), in % ²	5.5-10.2	0.0-70.1
Expected credit losses (rate per annum), in %	3.2-8.0	0.0-71.5

Transfers of assets in which the Group does not have beneficial interests are not included in this table.

¹ Prepayment speed assumption (PSA) is an industry standard prepayment speed metric used for projecting prepayments over the life of a residential mortgage loan. PSA utilizes the constant prepayment rate (CPR) assumptions. A 100% prepayment assumption assumes a prepayment rate of 0.2% per annum of the outstanding principal balance of mortgage loans in the first month. This increases by 0.2% thereafter during the term of the mortgage loan, leveling off to a CPR of 6% per annum beginning in the 30th month and each month thereafter during the term of the mortgage loan. 100 PSA equals 6 CPR. ² The rate was based on the weighted-average yield on the beneficial interests.

Key economic assumptions as of the reporting date

The following tables provide the sensitivity analysis of key economic assumptions used in measuring the fair value of beneficial interests held in SPEs as of the end of 2010 and 2009.

Key economic assumptions used in measuring fair value of beneficial interests held in SPEs

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

end of 2010	¹ CMBS	RMBS	ABS	² CDO	Other asset- backed financing activities
CHF million, except where indicated					
Fair value of beneficial interests	412	1,694	22	262	2,440
of which non-investment grade	25	1,070	22	35	2,397
Weighted-average life, in years	3.4	6.9	11.4	1.8	3.7
Prepayment speed assumption (rate per annum), in % ³	–	0.2-35.8	0.0-4.1	–	–
Impact on fair value from 10% adverse change	–	(38.8)	(0.1)	–	–
Impact on fair value from 20% adverse change	–	(78.1)	(0.3)	–	–
Cash flow discount rate (rate per annum), in % ⁴	2.2-40.3	2.2-52.5	7.5-28.0	0.7-29.2	0.8-7.8
Impact on fair value from 10% adverse change	(13.7)	(61.8)	(1.0)	(1.3)	(4.6)
Impact on fair value from 20% adverse change	(26.6)	(117.6)	(1.8)	(2.6)	(9.3)
Expected credit losses (rate per annum), in %	1.8-40.2	1.5-49.9	3.6-24.9	0.8-27.6	6.6-13.3
Impact on fair value from 10% adverse change	(9.8)	(48.2)	(0.6)	(0.8)	(4.1)
Impact on fair value from 20% adverse change	(19.2)	(92.1)	(1.2)	(1.5)	(8.4)

end of 2009	CMBS ¹	RMBS	ABS	CDO ²	Other asset- backed financing activities
CHF million, except where indicated					
Fair value of beneficial interests	1,216	1,831	93	1,230	2,636
of which non-investment grade	403	673	86	956	1,527
Weighted-average life, in	2.7	5.0	4.3	3.7	3.9

years

Prepayment speed assumption (rate per annum), in % ³	– 0.0-32.4	1.7-4.5	–	–
Impact on fair value from 10% adverse change	– (31.9)	(0.3)	–	–
Impact on fair value from 20% adverse change	– (66.0)	(0.5)	–	–
Cash flow discount rate (rate per annum), in % ⁴	5.6-51.6	2.2-53.5	5.1-48.2	0.5-41.3 0.2-7.8
Impact on fair value from 10% adverse change	(24.2)	(48.3)	(0.8)	(2.1) (6.1)
Impact on fair value from 20% adverse change	(46.6)	(91.6)	(1.5)	(4.0) (11.7)
Expected credit losses (rate per annum), in %	3.3-48.1	3.3-49.5	3.4-47.5	1.0-39.3 0.5-9.7
Impact on fair value from 10% adverse change	(17.9)	(27.4)	(0.6)	(1.3) (5.0)
Impact on fair value from 20% adverse change	(34.7)	(51.4)	(1.2)	(2.5) (8.8)

1 To deter prepayment, commercial mortgage loans typically have prepayment protection in the form of prepayment lockouts and yield maintenances. 2 CDOs are generally structured to be protected from prepayment risk. 3 PSA is an industry standard prepayment speed metric used for projecting prepayments over the life of a residential mortgage loan. PSA utilizes the CPR assumptions. A 100% prepayment assumption assumes a prepayment rate of 0.2% per annum of the outstanding principal balance of mortgage loans in the first month. This increases by 0.2% thereafter during the term of the mortgage loan, leveling off to a CPR of 6% per annum beginning in the 30th month and each month thereafter during the term of the mortgage loan. 100 PSA equals 6 CPR. 4 The rate is based on the weighted-average yield on the beneficial interests.

These sensitivities are hypothetical and do not reflect economic hedging activities. Changes in fair value based on a 10% or 20% variation in assumptions generally cannot be extrapolated because the relationship of the change in assumption to the change in fair value may not be linear. Also, the effect of a variation in a particular assumption on the fair value of the beneficial interests is calculated without changing any other assumption. In practice, changes in one assumption may result in changes in other assumptions (for example, increases in market interest rates may result in lower prepayments and increased credit losses), which might magnify or counteract the sensitivities.

Secured borrowings

The following table provides the carrying amounts of transferred financial assets and the related liabilities where sale treatment was not achieved as of the end of 2010 and 2009. For information on assets pledged or assigned, refer to *Note 34 – Assets pledged or assigned*.

Carrying amounts of transferred financial assets and liabilities where sale treatment was not achieved

end of	2010	2009
CHF million		
CMBS		
Other assets	602	940
Liability to SPE, included in Other liabilities	(602)	(940)
RMBS		
Other assets	58	296
Liability to SPE, included in Other liabilities	(58)	(296)
ABS		
Trading assets	19	116
Other assets	1,341	1,137
Liability to SPE, included in Other liabilities	(1,360)	(1,253)
CDO		
Trading assets	203	193
Other assets	171	195
Liability to SPE, included in Other liabilities	(374)	(388)
Other asset-backed financing activities		
Trading assets	1,381	1,575
Other assets	29	15
Liability to SPE, included in Other liabilities	(1,410)	(1,590)

Variable interest entities

As a normal part of its business, the Group engages in various transactions that include entities that are considered VIEs and are broadly grouped into three primary categories: CDOs, CP conduits and financial intermediation. VIEs are SPEs that typically either lack sufficient equity to finance their activities without additional subordinated financial support or are structured such that the holders of the voting rights do not substantively participate in the gains and losses of the entity. VIEs may be sponsored by the Group, unrelated third parties or clients. Such entities are required to be assessed for consolidation, compelling the primary beneficiary to consolidate the VIE. As a result of the issuance of new guidance, the FASB changed the method of analyzing whether to consolidate the VIE. The model now requires an entity to determine whether it has the power to direct the activities that most significantly affect the economics of the VIE as well as whether the reporting entity has potentially significant benefits or losses in the VIE. This is in contrast to the previous consolidation model for VIEs, which only considered whether an entity absorbed the majority of the risk and/or rewards of the VIE. In addition, the primary beneficiary must be re-evaluated on an on-going basis, whereas previously reconsideration of the primary beneficiary was only required when specified reconsideration events occurred.

Consequently, the Group consolidated certain VIEs and former qualified SPEs with which it had involvement. The Group elected the fair value option upon transition for all of the financial assets and liabilities of the VIEs and former qualified SPEs. For further information on the fair value option, refer to *Note 33 – Financial instruments*.

Application of the requirements for consolidation of VIEs may require the exercise of significant management judgment. In the event consolidation of a VIE is required, the exposure to the Group is limited to that portion of the VIE's assets attributable to any variable interest held by the Group prior to any risk management activities to hedge the Group's net exposure. Any interests held in the VIE by third parties, even though consolidated by the Group, will not typically impact its results of operations.

Transactions with VIEs are generally executed to facilitate securitization activities or to meet specific client needs, such as providing liquidity or investing opportunities, and, as part of these activities, the Group may hold interests in the VIEs. Securitization-related transactions with VIEs involve selling or purchasing assets as well as possibly entering into related derivatives with those VIEs, providing liquidity, credit or other support. Other transactions with VIEs include derivative transactions in the Group's capacity as the prime broker. The Group also enters into lending arrangements with VIEs for the purpose of financing projects or the acquisition of assets. Typically, the VIE's assets are restricted in nature in that they are held primarily to satisfy the obligations of the entity. Further, the Group is involved with VIEs which were formed for the purpose of offering alternative investment solutions to clients. Such VIEs relate primarily to private equity investments, fund-linked vehicles or funds of funds, where the Group acts as structurer, manager, distributor, broker, market maker or liquidity provider.

As a consequence of these activities, the Group holds variable interests in VIEs. Such variable interests consist of financial instruments issued by VIEs and which are held by the Group, certain derivatives with VIEs or loans to VIEs. Guarantees issued by the Group to or on behalf of VIEs may also qualify as variable interests. For such guarantees, including derivatives that act as guarantees, the notional amount of the respective guarantees are provided to represent the exposure. In general, investors in consolidated VIEs do not have recourse to the Group in the event of a default, except where a guarantee was provided to the investors or where the Group is the counterparty to a derivative transaction involving VIEs.

The amounts shown as total assets of consolidated and non-consolidated VIEs for which the Group has involvement represent the total assets of the VIEs even though the Group's involvement may be significantly less due to interests held by third-party investors. The asset balances for non-consolidated VIEs where the Group has significant involvement represent the most current information available to the Group regarding the remaining principal balance of assets owned. In most cases, the asset balances represent an amortized cost basis without regards to impairments in fair value, unless fair value information is readily available.

The Group's maximum exposure to loss is different from the carrying value of the assets of the VIE. This maximum exposure to loss consists of the carrying value of the Group variable interests held as trading assets, derivatives and loans and the notional amount of guarantees to VIEs, rather than the amount of total assets of the VIEs. The maximum exposure to loss does not reflect the Group's risk management activities, including effects from financial instruments that the Group may utilize to economically hedge the risks inherent in these VIEs. The economic risks associated with VIE exposures held by the Group, together with all relevant risk mitigation initiatives, are included in the Group's risk management framework.

Except as described below, the Group has not provided financial or other support to consolidated or non-consolidated VIEs that it was not contractually required to provide.

Collateralized debt obligations

The Group engages in CDO transactions to meet client and investor needs, earn fees and sell financial assets. The Group may act as underwriter, placement agent or asset manager and may warehouse assets prior to the closing of a transaction. As part of its structured finance business, the Group purchases loans and other debt obligations from and on behalf of clients for the purpose of securitization. The loans and other debt obligations are sold to VIEs, which in turn issue CDOs to fund the purchase of assets such as investment grade and high yield corporate debt instruments.

Typically, the collateral manager in a managed CDO is deemed to be the entity that has the power to direct the activities that most affect the economics of the entity. In a static CDO this “power” role is more difficult to analyze and may be the sponsor of the entity or the >>>CDS counterparty.

CDOs provide credit risk exposure to a portfolio of ABS (cash CDOs) or a reference portfolio of securities (synthetic CDOs). Cash CDO transactions hold actual securities whereas synthetic CDO transactions use CDS to exchange the underlying credit risk instead of using cash assets. The Group may also act as a derivative counterparty to the VIEs, which are typically not variable interests, and may invest in portions of the notes or equity issued by the VIEs. The CDO entities may have actively managed portfolios or static portfolios.

The securities issued by these VIEs are payable solely from the cash flows of the related collateral, and third-party creditors of these VIEs do not have recourse to the Group in the event of default.

The Group’s exposure in CDO transactions is typically limited to interests retained in connection with its underwriting or market-making activities. Unless the Group has been deemed to have “power” over the entity and these interests are potentially significant, the Group is not the primary beneficiary of the vehicle and does not consolidate the entity. The Group’s maximum exposure to loss does not include any effects from financial instruments used to economically hedge the risks of the VIEs.

Commercial paper conduit

The Group continues to act as the administrator and provider of liquidity and credit enhancement facilities for one asset-backed CP conduit, Alpine, a client-focused multi-seller conduit vehicle. Alpine publishes portfolio and asset data and submits its portfolio to a rating agency for public ratings based on the cash flows of the portfolio taken as a whole. This CP conduit purchases assets, primarily loans and receivables, from clients and finances such purchases through the issuance of CP backed by these assets. For an asset to qualify for acquisition by the CP conduit, it must be rated at least investment grade after giving effect to the related asset-specific credit enhancement primarily provided by the client seller of the asset. The clients provide credit support to investors of the CP conduit in the form of over-collateralization and other asset-specific enhancements. Further, an unaffiliated investor retains a limited first-loss position in Alpine’s entire portfolio. The Group does not have any ownership interest in Alpine. However, the Group, as administrator and liquidity and credit enhancement facilities provider, has significant exposure and power over the activities of Alpine. Effective January 1, 2010, the Group was deemed the primary beneficiary of Alpine and consolidated it in accordance with the new guidance. For further information, refer to *Note 1 – Summary of significant accounting policies*.

The overall average maturity of the conduit’s outstanding CP was approximately 12 days and 14 days as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, Alpine had the highest short-term ratings from Fitch, Moody’s and Dominion Bond Rating Service and was rated A-1 by Standard & Poors. The majority of Alpine’s purchased assets were highly rated loans or receivables in the consumer sector, including auto loans or leases, credit card receivables and student loans. As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, those assets had an average rating of AA, based on the lowest of each asset’s external or internal rating, and an average maturity of 3.5 years and 3.6 years as of December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

The Group’s commitment to this CP conduit consists of obligations under liquidity agreements and a program-wide credit enhancement agreement. The liquidity agreements are asset-specific arrangements, which require the Group to purchase assets from the CP conduit in certain circumstances, including a lack of liquidity in the CP market such that the CP conduit cannot refinance its obligations or, in some cases, a default of an underlying asset. The Group may, at its discretion, purchase assets that fall below investment grade in order to support the CP conduit. In both circumstances, the asset-specific credit enhancements provided by the client seller of the assets and the first-loss investor’s respective exposures to those assets remain unchanged. In entering into such agreements, the Group reviews the credit risk associated with these transactions on the same basis that would apply to other extensions of credit. The program-wide credit enhancement agreement with the CP conduit would absorb potential defaults of the assets, but is

senior to the credit protection provided by the client seller of assets and the first-loss investor.

The Group believes that the likelihood of incurring a loss equal to the maximum exposure is remote because the assets held by the CP conduit, after giving effect to related asset-specific credit enhancement primarily provided by the clients, are classified as investment grade. The Group's economic risks associated with the purchased assets of the CP conduit are included in the Group's risk management framework including counterparty, economic capital and scenario analysis.

Financial intermediation

The Group has significant involvement with VIEs in its role as a financial intermediary on behalf of clients.

The Group considers the likelihood of incurring a loss equal to the maximum exposure to be remote because of the Group's risk mitigation efforts, including, but not limited to, economic hedging strategies and collateral arrangements. The Group's economic risks associated with consolidated and non-consolidated VIE exposures arising from financial intermediation, together with all relevant risk mitigation initiatives, are included in the Group's risk management framework.

Financial intermediation consists of securitizations, funds, loans, and other vehicles.

Securitizations

Securitizations are primarily >>>CMBS, >>>RMBS and ABS vehicles. The Group acts as an underwriter, market maker, liquidity provider, derivative counterparty and/or provider of credit enhancements to VIEs related to certain securitization transactions.

The maximum exposure to loss is the carrying value of the loan securities and derivative positions that are variable interests, if any, plus the exposure arising from any credit enhancements the Group provided. The Group's maximum exposure to loss does not include any effects from financial instruments used to economically hedge the risks of the VIEs.

Typically, the servicer of the assets in the VIE will be deemed to have the power that most significantly affects the economics of the entity. When a servicer or its related party also has an economic interest that has the potential to absorb a significant portion of the gains and/or losses, it will be deemed the primary beneficiary and consolidate the vehicle. The Group typically consolidates securitization vehicles when it is the servicer and has holdings stemming from its role as underwriter. Short-term market making holdings in vehicles are not typically considered to be potentially significant for the purposes of this assessment.

Funds

Funds include investment structures such as mutual funds, funds of funds, private equity funds and fund-linked products where the investors' interest is typically in the form of debt rather than equity, thereby making them VIEs. The Group may have various relationships with such VIEs in the form of structurer, investment advisor, investment manager, administrator, custodian, underwriter, placement agent, market maker and/or as prime broker. These activities include the use of VIEs in structuring fund-linked products, hedge funds of funds or private equity investments to provide clients with investment opportunities in alternative investments. In such transactions, a VIE holds underlying investments and issues securities that provide the investors with a return based on the performance of those investments.

The maximum exposure to loss consists of the fair value of instruments issued by such structures that are held by the Group as a result of underwriting or market-making activities, financing provided to the vehicles and the Group's exposure resulting from principal protection and redemptions features. The investors typically retain the risk of loss on such transactions, but for certain fund types, the Group may provide principal protection on the securities to limit the investors' exposure to downside market risk. The Group's maximum exposure to loss does not include any effects from

financial instruments used to economically hedge the risk of the VIEs.

Funds have been deferred from the application of the recent FASB guidance. Rather than the revised consolidation model which incorporated power and the potential to absorb significant risk and rewards, the previous consolidation model was used which resulted in the Group being the primary beneficiary and consolidating the funds if it held more than 50% of their outstanding issuances.

The Group repositioned certain of its money market funds by purchasing securities from those funds with the intent to eliminate structured investment vehicle, ABS, CDO and US subprime exposure. The securities transactions were executed in order to address liquidity concerns caused by the US market's challenging conditions. The Group had no legal obligation to purchase these securities.

As of December 31, 2010 and 2009, the fair value of its balance sheet exposure from these purchased securities was zero and CHF 260 million, respectively. Net gains/(losses) on securities purchased from the Group's money market funds were CHF 143 million, CHF 109 million and CHF (687) million in 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Loans

Loans are single-financing vehicles where the Group provides financing for specified assets or business ventures and the respective owner of the assets or manager of the businesses provides the equity in the vehicle. These tailored lending arrangements are established to purchase, lease or otherwise finance and manage clients' assets.

The maximum exposure to loss is the carrying value of the Group's loan exposure, which is subject to the same credit risk management procedures as loans issued directly to clients. The clients' creditworthiness is carefully reviewed, loan-to-value ratios are strictly set and, in addition, clients provide equity, additional collateral or guarantees, all of which significantly reduce the Group's exposure. The Group considers the likelihood of incurring a loss equal to the maximum exposure to be remote because of the Group's risk mitigation efforts which includes over-collateralization and effective monitoring to ensure that a sufficient loan-to-value ratio is maintained.

The third-party sponsor of the VIE will typically have control over the assets during the life structure and have the potential to absorb significant gains and losses; The Group is typically not the primary beneficiary of these structures and will not have to consolidate them. However, a change in the structure, such as a default of the sponsor, may result in the Group gaining control over the assets. If the Group's lending is significant, it may then be required to consolidate the entity.

Other

Other includes additional vehicles where the Group provides financing and trust preferred issuance vehicles. Trust preferred issuance vehicles are utilized to assist the Group in raising capital efficient financing. The VIE issues preference shares which are guaranteed by the Group and uses the proceeds to purchase the debt of the Group. The Group's guarantee of its own debt is not considered a variable interest and, as it has no holdings in these vehicles, the Group has no maximum exposure to loss. Non-consolidated VIEs include only the total assets of trust preferred issuance vehicles, as the Group has no variable interests with these entities.

Consolidated VIEs

The Group has significant involvement with VIEs in its role as a financial intermediation on behalf of clients. The Group consolidated all VIEs related to financial intermediation for which it was the primary beneficiary. As a result of the issuance of new guidance, certain entities in which the Group holds a majority of the voting rights are now being included in the disclosure as of 2010, primarily in the funds category. These entities were previously consolidated but were excluded from the scope of the table under previous guidance.

The consolidated VIEs tables provide the carrying amounts and classifications of the assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs as of the end of 2010 and 2009.

Consolidated VIEs in which the Group was the primary beneficiary

end of 2010	Financial intermediation						Total
	CDO	CP Conduit	Securitized	Funds	Loans	Other	
Assets of consolidated VIEs (CHF million)							
Cash and due from banks	1,011	24	95	118	129	55	1,432
Trading assets	1,943	1,392	31	3,417	605	1,329	8,717
Investment securities	0	72	0	0	0	0	72
Other investments	0	0	0	46	1,781	507	2,334
Net loans	0	2,521	0	0	60	1,164	3,745
Premises and equipment	0	0	0	0	39	33	72
Loans held-for-sale	7,510	0	7,960	0	0	0	15,470
Other assets	58	1,278	1	65	2,278	420	4,100
Total assets of consolidated VIEs	10,522	5,287	8,087	3,646	4,892	3,508	35,942
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs (CHF million)							
Customer deposits	0	0	0	0	0	54	54
Trading liabilities	33	0	0	149	0	6	188
Short-term borrowings	0	4,307	0	26	0	0	4,333
Long-term debt	9,617	23	9,139	499	221	240	19,739
Other liabilities	54	6	99	32	322	327	840
Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs	9,704	4,336	9,238	706	543	627	25,154

Total assets of consolidated VIEs increased CHF 27.1 billion, from CHF 8.8 billion as of 2009 to CHF 35.9 billion as of 2010. A significant portion of the increase was due to the adoption of ASU 2009-17 as of January 1, 2010, including an incremental increase to the Group's consolidated balance sheet from the adoption of ASU 2009-17 of CHF 15.0 billion and an increase in total assets of consolidated VIEs of CHF 12.9 billion of variable interest assets previously recognized on the Group's balance sheet as of the end of 2009 that are now recognized as assets of consolidated VIEs. These increases were partially offset by a decrease of CHF 0.8 billion of assets from 2010 activity and certain previously consolidated VIEs that were not required to be included in this disclosure prior to the adoption of ASU 2009-17.

Consolidated VIEs in which the Group was the primary beneficiary (continued)

end of 2009	CDO	Financial inter- mediation	Total
Assets of consolidated VIEs (CHF million)			
Cash and due from banks	9	515	524
Trading assets	473	2,750	3,223
Other investments	0	3,330	3,330
Net loans	0	157	157
Other assets	1	1,598	1,599
Total assets of consolidated VIEs	483	8,350	8,833
of which structured investment products	–	1,622	1,622
Liabilities of consolidated VIEs (CHF million)			
Trading liabilities	0	466	466
Short-term borrowings	15	0	15
Long-term debt	193	1,717	1,910
Other liabilities	6	520	526
Total liabilities of consolidated VIEs	214	2,703	2,917

Non-consolidated VIEs

The non-consolidated VIEs tables provide the carrying amounts and classification of the assets and liabilities of variable interests recorded in the Group's consolidated balance sheets, maximum exposure to loss and total assets of the non-consolidated VIEs.

Maximum exposure to loss represents the variable interests of non-consolidated VIEs that are recorded by the Group (for example, direct holdings in vehicles, loans and other receivables), as well as notional amounts of guarantees and off-balance sheet commitments which are variable interests that have been extended to non-consolidated VIEs. Such amounts, particularly notional amounts of derivatives and guarantees, do not represent the anticipated losses in connection with these transactions as they do not take into consideration the effect of collateral, recoveries or the probability of loss. In addition, they exclude the effect of offsetting financial instruments that are held to mitigate these risks and have not been reduced by unrealized losses previously recorded by the Group in connection with guarantees or derivatives.

Non-consolidated VIE assets are related to the non-consolidated VIEs with whom the Group has variable interests. These amounts represent the assets of the entities themselves and are typically unrelated to the exposures the Group has with the entity and thus are not amounts that are considered for risk management purposes.

Certain involvement with VIEs have not been included in the following table, including VIEs structured by third parties in which the Group's interest is in the form of securities held in the Group's inventory, certain single-asset financing vehicles not sponsored by the Group to which the Group provides financing but has very little risk of loss due to over-collateralization and guarantees, failed sales where the Group does not have any other holdings and other entities out of scope.

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

In December 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-17, changing how a reporting entity determines when an entity that is insufficiently capitalized or is not controlled through voting (or similar rights) should be consolidated, but did not require retrospective application. The disclosure for 2009 has not been restated to reflect the expanded scope of entities now subject to consolidation, primarily relating to qualified SPEs, and therefore is not comparable to the 2Q10 disclosure.

Non-consolidated VIEs

end of 2010	Financial intermediation					
	CDO	Securi- tizations	Funds	Loans	Other	Total
Variable interest assets (CHF million)						
Trading assets	130	3,847	2,426	645	2,905	9,953
Net loans	332	145	1,634	6,520	2,031	10,662
Other assets	0	0	80	0	32	112
Total variable interest assets	462	3,992	4,140	7,165	4,968	20,727
Maximum exposure to loss (CHF million)						
Maximum exposure to loss	634	7,686	4,270	7,936	5,370	25,896
Non-consolidated VIE assets (CHF million)						
Non-consolidated VIE assets	10,491	115,024	66,068	31,006	15,778	238,367

end of 2009	Financial intermediation						
	CDO	CP Conduit	Securi- tizations	Funds	Loans	Other	Total
Variable interest assets (CHF million)							
Trading assets	789	659	1,132	2,400	1,168	715	6,863
Net loans	694	12,255	3,651	2,148	4,401	537	23,686
Other assets	0	4	0	207	0	1	212
Total variable interest assets	1,483	12,918	4,783	4,755	5,569	1,253	30,761
Maximum exposure to loss (CHF million)							
Maximum exposure to loss	1,678	13,138	8,925	4,812	6,394	1,517	36,464
Non-consolidated VIE assets (CHF million)							
Non-consolidated VIE assets	20,150	5,098	37,015	50,593	24,638	13,157	150,651

33 Financial instruments

Concentrations of credit risk

Credit risk concentrations arise when a number of counterparties are engaged in similar business activities, are located in the same geographic region or when there are similar economic features that would cause their ability to meet contractual obligations to be similarly impacted by changes in economic conditions.

The Group regularly monitors the credit risk portfolio by counterparties, industry, country and products to ensure that such potential concentrations are identified, using a comprehensive range of quantitative tools and metrics. Credit limits relating to counterparties and products are managed through counterparty limits which set the maximum credit exposures the Group is willing to assume to specific counterparties over specified periods. Country limits are established to avoid any undue country risk concentration.

From an industry point of view, the combined credit exposure of the Group is diversified. A large portion of the credit exposure is with individual clients, particularly through residential mortgages in Switzerland, or relates to transactions with financial institutions. In both cases, the customer base is extensive and the number and variety of transactions are broad. For transactions with financial institutions, the business is also geographically diverse, with operations focused in the Americas, Europe and, to a lesser extent, Asia Pacific.

Fair value of financial instruments

The >>>fair value of the majority of the Group's financial instruments is based on quoted prices in active markets or observable inputs. These instruments include government and agency securities, certain >>>CP, most investment grade corporate debt, certain high yield debt securities, exchange-traded and certain >>>OTC derivative instruments and most listed equity securities.

In addition, the Group holds financial instruments for which no prices are available and which have little or no observable inputs. Further deterioration of financial markets could significantly impact the value of these financial instruments and the results of operations. For these instruments, the determination of fair value requires subjective assessment and varying degrees of judgment, depending on liquidity, concentration, pricing assumptions, the current economic and competitive environment and the risks affecting the specific instrument. In such circumstances, valuation is determined based on management's own judgments about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk. These instruments include certain OTC derivatives, most mortgage-related and >>>CDO securities, certain equity derivatives and equity-linked securities, private equity investments, certain loans and credit products, including leveraged finance, certain syndicated loans and certain high-grade bonds, and life insurance instruments.

The fair value of financial assets and liabilities is impacted by factors such as benchmark interest rates, prices of financial instruments issued by third parties, commodity prices, foreign exchange rates and index prices or rates. In addition, valuation adjustments are an integral part of the valuation process when market prices are not indicative of the credit quality of a counterparty, and are applied to both OTC derivatives and debt instruments. The impact of changes in a counterparty's credit spreads (known as credit valuation adjustments) is considered when measuring the fair value of assets and the impact of changes in the Group's own credit spreads (known as debit valuation adjustments) is considered when measuring the fair value of its liabilities. For OTC derivatives, the impact of changes in both the Group's and the counterparty's credit standing is considered when measuring their fair value, based on current >>>CDS prices. The adjustments also take into account contractual factors designed to reduce the Group's credit exposure to a counterparty, such as collateral held and master >>>netting agreements. For hybrid debt

instruments with embedded derivative features, the impact of changes in the Group's credit standing is considered when measuring their fair value, based on current funded debt spreads.

The Group has availed itself of the simplification in accounting offered under the fair value option, primarily in the Investment Banking and Asset Management segments. This has been accomplished generally by electing the fair value option, both at initial adoption and for subsequent transactions, on items impacted by the hedge accounting requirements of US GAAP. That is, for instruments for which there was an inability to achieve hedge accounting and for which we are economically hedged, we have elected the fair value option. Likewise, where we manage an activity on a fair value basis but previously have been unable to achieve fair value accounting, we have utilized the fair value option to align our risk management reporting to our financial accounting.

In April 2009, the FASB amended and expanded the disclosure requirements for the Group's reporting of assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis for level 3 for June 30, 2009, but did not require retrospective application. Comparable data was not presented in prior periods.

Fair value option

The Group elected fair value for certain of its financial statement captions as follows:

Central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions

The Group has elected to account for structured resale agreements and most matched book resale agreements at fair value. These activities are managed on a fair value basis; thus, fair value accounting is deemed more appropriate for reporting purposes. The Group did not elect the fair value option for firm financing resale agreements as these agreements are generally overnight agreements which approximate fair value, but which are not managed on a fair value basis.

Other investments

The Group has elected to account for certain equity method investments at fair value. These activities are managed on a fair value basis; thus, fair value accounting is deemed more appropriate for reporting purposes. Certain similar instruments, such as those relating to equity method investments in strategic relationships, for example, the Group's ownership interest in certain clearance organizations, which were eligible for the fair value option, were not elected due to the strategic relationship.

Loans

The Group has elected to account for substantially all Investment Banking commercial loans and loan commitments and certain Investment Banking emerging market loans at fair value. These activities are managed on a fair value basis and fair value accounting was deemed more appropriate for reporting purposes. Additionally, recognition on a fair value basis eliminates the mismatch that existed due to the economic hedging the Group employs to manage these loans. Certain similar loans, such as project finance, lease finance, cash collateralized and some bridge loans, which were eligible for the fair value option, were not elected due to the lack of currently available infrastructure to fair value such loans and/or the inability to economically hedge such loans. Additionally, the Group elected not to account for loans granted by its Private Banking segment at fair value, such as domestic consumer lending, mortgages and corporate loans, as these loans are not managed on a fair value basis.

Other assets

The Group elected the fair value option for loans held-for-sale, due to the short period over which such loans are held and the intention to sell such loans in the near term. Other assets also include assets of VIEs and mortgage securitizations which do not meet the criteria for sale treatment under US GAAP. The Group did elect the fair value option for these types of transactions.

Due to banks

The Group elected the fair value option for certain time deposits associated with its emerging markets activities.

Customer deposits

The Group's customer deposits include fund-linked deposits. The Group elected the fair value option for these fund-linked deposits. Fund-linked products are managed on a fair value basis and fair value accounting was deemed more appropriate for reporting purposes.

Central bank funds purchased, securities sold under repurchase agreements and securities lending transactions

The Group has elected to account for structured repurchase agreements and most matched book repurchase agreements at fair value. These activities are managed on a fair value basis and fair value accounting was deemed more appropriate for reporting purposes. The Group did not elect the fair value option for firm financing repurchase agreements as these agreements are generally overnight agreements which approximate fair value, but which are not managed on a fair value basis.

Short-term borrowings

The Group's short-term borrowings include hybrid debt instruments with embedded derivative features. Some of these embedded derivative features create bifurcable debt instruments. The Group elected the fair value option for some of these instruments as of January 1, 2006, in accordance with the provisions of US GAAP. New bifurcable debt instruments which were entered into in 2006 are carried at fair value. Some hybrid debt instruments do not result in bifurcable debt instruments. US GAAP permits the Group to elect fair value accounting for non-bifurcable hybrid debt instruments. With the exception of certain bifurcable hybrid debt instruments which the Group did not elect to account for at fair value, the Group has elected to account for all hybrid debt instruments held as of January 1, 2007, and hybrid debt instruments originated after January 1, 2007, at fair value. These activities are managed on a fair value basis and fair value accounting was deemed appropriate for reporting purposes. There are two main populations of similar instruments for which fair value accounting was not elected. The first relates to the lending business transacted by the Group's Private Banking segment, which includes structured deposits and similar investment products. These are managed on a bifurcated or accrual basis and fair value accounting was not considered appropriate. The second is where the instruments were or will be maturing in the near term and their fair value will be realized at that time.

Long-term debt

The Group's long-term debt includes hybrid debt instruments with embedded derivative features as described above in Short-term borrowings. The Group's long-term debt also includes debt issuances managed by its Treasury department that do not contain derivative features (vanilla debt). The Group actively manages the interest rate risk on these instruments with derivatives; in particular, fixed-rate debt is hedged with receive-fixed, pay-floating interest rate swaps. The Group elected to fair value this fixed-rate debt upon implementation of the fair value option on January 1, 2007, with changes in fair value recognized as a component of trading revenues. The Group did not elect to apply the fair value option to fixed-rate debt issued by the Group since January 1, 2008, and instead applies hedge accounting per the guidance of US GAAP.

Other liabilities

Other liabilities include liabilities of VIEs and mortgage securitizations which do not meet the criteria for sale treatment under US GAAP. The Group did elect the fair value option for these types of transactions.

Fair value hierarchy

The levels of the fair value hierarchy are defined as follows:

– Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Group has the ability to access. This level of the fair value hierarchy provides the most reliable evidence of fair value and is used to measure fair value whenever available.

– Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices included within level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These inputs include: (i) quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; (ii) quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, that is, markets in which there are few transactions for the asset or liability, the prices are not current or price quotations vary substantially either over time or among market makers, or in which little information is publicly available; (iii) inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability; or (iv) inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

– Level 3: Inputs that are unobservable for the asset or liability. These inputs reflect the Group's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability (including assumptions about risk). These inputs are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances, which include the Group's own data. The Group's own data used to develop unobservable inputs is adjusted if information indicates that market participants would use different assumptions.

The Group records net open positions at bid prices if long, or at ask prices if short, unless the Group is a market maker in such positions, in which case mid-pricing is utilized. Fair value measurements are not adjusted for transaction costs.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

end of 2010	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Netting impact ₁	Total
Assets (CHF million)					
Central bank funds sold, securities purchased under resale agreements and securities borrowing transactions	0	135,709	1,197	0	136,906
Debt	431	5,812	0	0	6,243
of which corporates	0	5,552	0	0	5,552
Equity	35,888	16	0	0	35,904
Securities received as collateral	36,319	5,828	0	0	42,147
Debt	84,937	58,605	11,013	0	154,555
of which foreign governments	67,775	8,100	373	0	76,248
of which corporates	196	34,722	3,803	0	38,721
of which RMBS	16,233	6,937	3,264	0	26,434
of which CMBS	0	2,226	1,861	0	4,087
of which CDO	0	5,764	1,135	0	6,899
Equity	91,376	10,943	622	0	102,941
Derivatives	7,004	663,116	8,719	(631,095)	47,744
of which interest rate products	3,217	475,596	2,072	–	–
of which foreign exchange products	1	83,857	843	–	–

Edgar Filing: CREDIT SUISSE GROUP AG - Form 20-F

of which equity/index-related products	3,002	31,967	2,300	–	–
of which credit derivatives	0	46,824	2,725	–	–
Other	7,229	10,217	2,018	0	19,464
Trading assets	190,546	742,881	22,372	(631,095)	324,704
Debt	6,186	1,590	79	0	7,855
of which foreign governments	5,904	284	18	0	6,206
of which corporates	0	984	0	0	984
of which CDO	0	321	62	0	383
Equity	4	86	0	0	90
Investment securities	6,190	1,676	79	0	7,945
Private equity	0	0	4,609	0	4,609
of which equity funds	0	0	3,516	0	3,516
Hedge funds	0				