

BioMed Realty Trust Inc  
Form 10-Q  
August 02, 2012

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

---

Form 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT  
PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

Commission File Number: 1-32261 (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.)  
000-54089 (BioMed Realty, L.P.)

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.  
BIOMED REALTY, L.P.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Maryland  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

20-1142292 (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.)  
20-1320636 (BioMed Realty, L.P.)  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

17190 Bernardo Center Drive  
San Diego, California  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

92128  
(Zip Code)

(858) 485-9840  
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. Yes  No   
BioMed Realty, L.P. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. Yes  No   
BioMed Realty, L.P. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):



Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

BioMed Realty, L.P.:

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. Yes  No

BioMed Realty, L.P. Yes  No

The number of outstanding shares of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, as of August 2, 2012 was 154,186,244.

Table of Contents

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This report combines the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is the parent company and general partner. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to “we,” “us,” “our” or “our company” refer to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. together with its consolidated subsidiaries, including BioMed Realty, L.P. Unless otherwise indicated or unless the context requires otherwise, all references in this report to “our operating partnership” or “the operating partnership” refer to BioMed Realty, L.P. together with its consolidated subsidiaries.

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. operates as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, and the general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P. As of June 30, 2012, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. owned an approximate 98.1% partnership interest and other limited partners, including some of our directors, executive officers and their affiliates, owned the remaining 1.9% partnership interest (including long term incentive plan units) in BioMed Realty, L.P. As the sole general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has the full, exclusive and complete responsibility for the operating partnership's day-to-day management and control.

There are a few differences between our company and our operating partnership, which are reflected in the disclosure in this report. We believe it is important to understand the differences between our company and our operating partnership in the context of how BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. operate as an interrelated consolidated company. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is a REIT, whose only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of BioMed Realty, L.P. As a result, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. does not conduct business itself, other than acting as the sole general partner of BioMed Realty, L.P., issuing public equity from time to time and guaranteeing certain debt of BioMed Realty, L.P. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. itself does not hold any indebtedness but guarantees some of the secured and unsecured debt of BioMed Realty, L.P. BioMed Realty, L.P. holds substantially all the assets of the company and holds the ownership interests in the company's joint ventures. BioMed Realty, L.P. conducts the operations of the business and is structured as a partnership with no publicly traded equity. Except for net proceeds from public equity issuances by BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., which are generally contributed to BioMed Realty, L.P. in exchange for partnership units, BioMed Realty, L.P. generates the capital required by the company's business through BioMed Realty, L.P.'s operations, by BioMed Realty, L.P.'s direct or indirect incurrence of indebtedness or through the issuance of partnership units.

Noncontrolling interests and stockholders' equity and partners' capital are the main areas of difference between the consolidated financial statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and those of BioMed Realty, L.P. The operating partnership and long term incentive plan units in BioMed Realty, L.P. that are not owned by BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. are accounted for as partners' capital in BioMed Realty, L.P.'s financial statements and as noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s financial statements. The noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty, L.P.'s financial statements include the interests of joint venture partners. The noncontrolling interests in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s financial statements include the same noncontrolling interests at the BioMed Realty, L.P. level as well as the limited partnership unitholders of BioMed Realty, L.P., not including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. The differences between stockholders' equity and partners' capital result from the differences in the equity issued at the BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and the BioMed Realty, L.P. levels.

We believe combining the quarterly reports on Form 10-Q of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. into this single report:

• better reflects how management and the analyst community view the business as a single operating unit,

• enhances investor understanding of our company by enabling them to view the business as a whole and in the same manner as management,

is more efficient for our company and results in savings in time, effort and expense, and

is more efficient for investors by reducing duplicative disclosure and providing a single document for their review.

To help investors understand the significant differences between our company and our operating partnership, this report presents the following separate sections for each of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P.:

consolidated financial statements,

the following notes to the consolidated financial statements:

2

---

Table of Contents

Equity / Partners' Capital,

Debt, and

Earnings Per Share / Unit,

Liquidity and Capital Resources in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

This report also includes separate Item 4. Controls and Procedures sections and separate Exhibit 31 and 32 certifications for each of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. in order to establish that the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. have made the requisite certifications and BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. are compliant with Rule 13a-15 or Rule 15d-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and 18 U.S.C. §1350.

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC. AND BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

FORM 10-Q - QUARTERLY REPORT  
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2012  
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	
<u>Item 1 Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.:</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u>	6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	7
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) / Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	8
<u>Consolidated Statement of Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)</u>	9
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	10
<u>Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty, L.P.:</u>	
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 (Unaudited) and December 31, 2011</u>	12
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	13
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive (Loss) / Income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	14
<u>Consolidated Statement of Capital for the six months ended June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)</u>	15
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (Unaudited)</u>	16
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and BioMed Realty, L.P. (Unaudited)</u>	18
<u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	33
<u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	51
<u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u>	54
<u>PART II - OTHER INFORMATION</u>	55
<u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u>	55

<u>Item 1A Risk Factors</u>	<u>55</u>
<u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 3 Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	<u>56</u>

4

---



<u>Item 4 Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	<u>56</u>
<u>Item 5 Other Information</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>Item 6 Exhibits</u>	<u>57</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>58</u>
Exhibit 31.1	
Exhibit 31.2	
Exhibit 32.1	

Table of Contents

## PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except share data)

	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Investments in real estate, net	\$4,309,421	\$3,950,246
Investments in unconsolidated partnerships	32,562	33,389
Cash and cash equivalents	17,385	16,411
Accounts receivable, net	4,241	5,141
Accrued straight-line rents, net	139,346	130,582
Deferred leasing costs, net	185,354	157,255
Other assets	111,383	135,521
Total assets	\$4,799,692	\$4,428,545
<b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>		
Mortgage notes payable, net	\$550,704	\$587,844
Exchangeable senior notes	180,000	180,000
Unsecured senior notes, net	893,737	645,581
Unsecured senior term loan	400,000	—
Unsecured line of credit	78,000	268,000
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	157,829	134,924
Total liabilities	2,260,270	1,816,349
Equity:		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 15,000,000 shares authorized: 7.375% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred stock, \$198,000,000 liquidation preference (\$25.00 per share), 7,920,000 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	191,469	191,469
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized, 154,183,744 and 154,101,482 shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	1,542	1,541
Additional paid-in capital	2,776,046	2,773,994
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(57,326)	(60,138)
Dividends in excess of earnings	(381,105)	(304,759)
Total stockholders' equity	2,530,626	2,602,107
Noncontrolling interests	8,796	10,089
Total equity	2,539,422	2,612,196
Total liabilities and equity	\$4,799,692	\$4,428,545

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except share data)

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	2011	June 30,	2011
	2012		2012	
Revenues:				
Rental	\$95,708	\$81,145	\$187,183	\$161,050
Tenant recoveries	28,939	24,723	57,390	49,264
Other revenue	201	541	285	1,288
Total revenues	124,848	106,409	244,858	211,602
Expenses:				
Rental operations	37,044	31,298	73,773	62,371
Depreciation and amortization	47,575	35,696	92,508	69,447
General and administrative	8,576	6,694	17,191	14,115
Acquisition-related expenses	12,245	334	12,879	653
Total expenses	105,440	74,022	196,351	146,586
Income from operations	19,408	32,387	48,507	65,016
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	(317	) (466	) (671	) (1,115
Interest expense, net	(23,825	) (23,378	) (46,044	) (44,568
Other expense	(549	) (691	) (375	) (1,745
(Loss) / income from continuing operations	(5,283	) 7,852	1,417	17,588
Income / (loss) from discontinued operations	49	95	(4,370	) 236
Net (loss) / income	(5,234	) 7,947	(2,953	) 17,824
Net loss / (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	172	(68	) 201	(175
Net (loss) / income attributable to the Company	(5,062	) 7,879	(2,752	) 17,649
Preferred stock dividends	(3,651	) (4,241	) (7,301	) (8,481
Net (loss) / income available to common stockholders	\$(8,713	) \$3,638	\$(10,053	) \$9,168
(Loss) / income from continuing operations per share available to common stockholders:				
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$(0.06	) \$0.03	\$(0.04	) \$0.07
(Loss) / income from discontinued operations per share available to common stockholders:				
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$—	\$—	\$(0.03	) \$—
Net (loss) / income per share available to common stockholders:				
Basic and diluted earnings per share	\$(0.06	) \$0.03	\$(0.07	) \$0.07
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	152,775,422	129,858,098	152,715,715	129,815,154
Diluted	152,775,422	132,840,932	152,715,715	132,803,097

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) / INCOME

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net (loss) / income	\$ (5,234 )	\$ 7,947	\$ (2,953 )	\$ 17,824
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	2,991	—	2,991	—
Unrealized (loss) / gain on derivative instruments, net	(3,372 )	864	(3,597 )	3,409
Amortization of deferred interest costs	1,736	1,760	3,479	3,525
Reclassification on unrealized loss on equity securities	545	825	545	825
Reclassification on sale of equity securities	(60 )	—	(32 )	—
Unrealized loss on equity securities	(254 )	(1,375 )	(519 )	(3,692 )
Total other comprehensive income	1,586	2,074	2,867	4,067
Comprehensive (loss) / income	(3,648 )	10,021	(86 )	21,891
Comprehensive loss / (income) attributable to noncontrolling interests	141	(114 )	146	(265 )
Comprehensive (loss) / income attributable to the Company	\$ (3,507 )	\$ 9,907	\$ 60	\$ 21,626

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF EQUITY

(In thousands, except share data)

(Unaudited)

	Series A Preferred Stock	Common Stock Shares	Common Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, net	Dividends in Excess of Earnings	Total Stockholders' Equity	Noncontrolling Interests	Total Equity
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 191,469	154,101,482	\$ 1,541	\$ 2,773,994	\$ (60,138)	\$ (304,759)	\$ 2,602,107	\$ 10,089	\$ 2,612,196
Net issuances of unvested restricted common stock	—	45,041	—	(3,401)	—	—	(3,401)	—	(3,401)
Conversion of OP units to common stock	—	37,221	1	30	—	—	31	(31)	—
Vesting of share-based awards	—	—	—	5,576	—	—	5,576	—	5,576
Reallocation of equity to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	(153)	—	—	(153)	153	—
Common stock dividends	—	—	—	—	—	(66,293)	(66,293)	—	(66,293)
OP unit distributions	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(1,269)	(1,269)
Net loss	—	—	—	—	—	(2,752)	(2,752)	(201)	(2,953)
Preferred stock dividends	—	—	—	—	—	(7,301)	(7,301)	—	(7,301)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	2,935	—	2,935	56	2,991
Reclassification on other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities	—	—	—	—	535	—	535	10	545
Reclassification on sale of marketable securities	—	—	—	—	(32)	—	(32)	—	(32)
Unrealized loss on equity securities	—	—	—	—	(509)	—	(509)	(10)	(519)
Amortization of deferred interest costs	—	—	—	—	3,413	—	3,413	66	3,479
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments, net	—	—	—	—	(3,530)	—	(3,530)	(67)	(3,597)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$ 191,469	154,183,744	\$ 1,542	\$ 2,776,046	\$ (57,326)	\$ (381,105)	\$ 2,530,626	\$ 8,796	\$ 2,539,422

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.



Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Operating activities:		
Net (loss) / income	\$(2,953	) \$17,824
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	92,600	69,625
Allowance for doubtful accounts	833	931
Non-cash revenue adjustments	6,349	5,145
Other non-cash adjustments	11,862	6,621
Compensation expense related to restricted common stock and LTIP units	5,575	3,656
Distributions representing a return on capital from unconsolidated partnerships	1,088	816
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,004	2,715
Accrued straight-line rents	(9,934	) (10,249
Deferred leasing costs	(6,587	) (9,402
Other assets	6,038	524
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,243	(6,434
Net cash provided by operating activities	108,118	81,772
Investing activities:		
Purchases of investments in real estate and related intangible assets	(365,751	) (38,981
Capital expenditures	(79,703	) (81,537
Contributions to unconsolidated partnerships, net	(1,350	) —
Purchases of debt and equity securities	(3,258	) (2,050
Proceeds from the sale of equity securities	110	—
Deposits to escrow for acquisitions	(1,000	) —
Net cash used in investing activities	(450,952	) (122,568
Financing activities:		
Payment of deferred loan costs	(5,022	) (3,466
Unsecured line of credit proceeds	498,000	145,475
Unsecured line of credit payments	(688,000	) (416,725
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(36,557	) (33,268
Proceeds from unsecured senior term loan	400,000	—
Proceeds from unsecured senior notes	247,815	397,460
Distributions to operating partnership unit and LTIP unit holders	(1,232	) (1,107
Dividends paid to common stockholders	(63,965	) (48,526
Dividends paid to preferred stockholders	(7,301	) (8,481
Net cash provided by financing activities	343,738	31,362
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	70	—
Net increase / (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	974	(9,434
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	16,411	21,467
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$17,385	\$12,033





Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for interest (net of amounts capitalized of \$4,450 and \$3,311, respectively)	\$34,289	\$35,927
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Accrual for preferred stock dividends declared	\$3,651	\$4,241
Accrual for common stock dividends declared	33,149	26,252
Accrual for distributions declared for operating partnership unit and LTIP unit holders	633	596
Accrued additions to real estate and related intangible assets	30,104	24,891
Deposits applied for acquisitions	18,649	1,800

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands, except unit data)

	June 30, 2012 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Investments in real estate, net	\$4,309,421	\$3,950,246
Investments in unconsolidated partnerships	32,562	33,389
Cash and cash equivalents	17,385	16,411
Accounts receivable, net	4,241	5,141
Accrued straight-line rents, net	139,346	130,582
Deferred leasing costs, net	185,354	157,255
Other assets	111,383	135,521
Total assets	\$4,799,692	\$4,428,545
<b>LIABILITIES AND CAPITAL</b>		
Mortgage notes payable, net	\$550,704	\$587,844
Exchangeable senior notes	180,000	180,000
Unsecured senior notes, net	893,737	645,581
Unsecured senior term loan	400,000	—
Unsecured line of credit	78,000	268,000
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	157,829	134,924
Total liabilities	2,260,270	1,816,349
Capital:		
Partners' capital:		
Preferred units, 7.375% Series A cumulative redeemable preferred units, \$198,000,000 liquidation preference (\$25.00 per unit), 7,920,000 units issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011	191,469	191,469
Limited partners' capital, 2,942,758 and 2,979,979 units issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	9,049	10,332
General partner's capital, 154,183,744 and 154,101,482 units issued and outstanding at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively	2,394,884	2,469,233
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(55,727)	(58,594)
Total partners' capital	2,539,675	2,612,440
Noncontrolling interests deficit	(253)	(244)
Total capital	2,539,422	2,612,196
Total liabilities and capital	\$4,799,692	\$4,428,545

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except unit data)

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Months Ended		For the Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	2011	June 30,	2011
	2012		2012	
Revenues:				
Rental	\$95,708	\$81,145	\$187,183	\$161,050
Tenant recoveries	28,939	24,723	57,390	49,264
Other revenue	201	541	285	1,288
Total revenues	124,848	106,409	244,858	211,602
Expenses:				
Rental operations	37,044	31,298	73,773	62,371
Depreciation and amortization	47,575	35,696	92,508	69,447
General and administrative	8,576	6,694	17,191	14,115
Acquisition-related expenses	12,245	334	12,879	653
Total expenses	105,440	74,022	196,351	146,586
Income from operations	19,408	32,387	48,507	65,016
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	(317	) (466	) (671	) (1,115
Interest expense, net	(23,825	) (23,378	) (46,044	) (44,568
Other expense	(549	) (691	) (375	) (1,745
(Loss) / income from continuing operations	(5,283	) 7,852	1,417	17,588
Income / (loss) from discontinued operations	49	95	(4,370	) 236
Net (loss) / income	(5,234	) 7,947	(2,953	) 17,824
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	6	14	9	32
Net (loss) / income attributable to the Operating Partnership	(5,228	) 7,961	(2,944	) 17,856
Preferred unit distributions	(3,651	) (4,241	) (7,301	) (8,481
Net (loss) / income available to unitholders	\$(8,879	) \$3,720	\$(10,245	) \$9,375
(Loss) / income from continuing operations per unit available to common unitholders:				
Basic and diluted earnings per unit	\$(0.06	) \$0.03	\$(0.04	) \$0.07
(Loss) / income from discontinued operations per unit available to common unitholders:				
Basic and diluted earnings per unit	\$—	\$—	\$(0.03	) \$—
Net (loss) / income per unit available to common unitholders:				
Basic and diluted earnings per unit	\$(0.06	) \$0.03	\$(0.07	) \$0.07
Weighted-average units outstanding:				
Basic	155,694,169	132,782,072	155,641,727	132,742,123
Diluted	155,694,169	132,782,072	155,641,727	132,742,123

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE (LOSS) / INCOME

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net (loss) / income	\$ (5,234 )	\$ 7,947	\$ (2,953 )	\$ 17,824
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	2,991	—	2,991	—
Unrealized (loss) / gain on derivative instruments, net	(3,372 )	864	(3,597 )	3,409
Amortization of deferred interest costs	1,736	1,760	3,479	3,525
Reclassification on unrealized loss on equity securities	545	825	545	825
Reclassification on sale of equity securities	(60 )	—	(32 )	—
Unrealized loss on equity securities	(254 )	(1,375 )	(519 )	(3,692 )
Total other comprehensive income	1,586	2,074	2,867	4,067
Comprehensive (loss) / income	(3,648 )	10,021	(86 )	21,891
Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	6	14	9	32
Comprehensive (loss) / income attributable to the Operating Partnership	\$ (3,642 )	\$ 10,035	\$ (77 )	\$ 21,923

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CAPITAL

(In thousands, except unit data)

(Unaudited)

	Preferred Series A		Limited Partners' Capital		General Partner's Capital		Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total Partner's Capital	Noncontrolling Interest Deficit
	Units	Amount	Units	Amount	Units	Amount			
Balance at December 31, 2011	7,920,000	\$ 191,469	2,979,979	\$ 10,332	154,101,482	\$ 2,469,233	\$(58,594)	\$ 2,612,440	\$(244)
Net issuances of unvested restricted OP units	—	—	—	—	45,041	(3,401)	—	(3,401)	—
Conversion of OP units	—	—	(37,221)	(31)	37,221	31	—	—	—
Vesting of share-based awards	—	—	—	—	—	5,576	—	5,576	—
Reallocation of equity to limited partners	—	—	—	209	—	(209)	—	—	—
Distributions	—	(7,301)	—	(1,269)	—	(66,293)	—	(74,863)	—
Net income / (loss)	—	7,301	—	(192)	—	(10,053)	—	(2,944)	(9)
Foreign currency translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	—	2,991	2,991	—
Reclassification on other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	545	545	—
Reclassification on sale of marketable securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	(32)	(32)	—
Unrealized loss on equity securities	—	—	—	—	—	—	(519)	(519)	—
Amortization of deferred interest costs	—	—	—	—	—	—	3,479	3,479	—
Unrealized loss on derivative instruments, net	—	—	—	—	—	—	(3,597)	(3,597)	—
Balance at June 30, 2012	7,920,000	\$ 191,469	2,942,758	\$ 9,049	154,183,744	\$ 2,394,884	\$(55,727)	\$ 2,539,675	\$(253)

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

## BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Operating activities:		
Net (loss) / income	\$ (2,953)	) \$ 17,824
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	92,600	69,625
Allowance for doubtful accounts	833	931
Non-cash revenue adjustments	6,349	5,145
Other non-cash adjustments	11,862	6,621
Compensation expense related to share-based payments	5,575	3,656
Distributions representing a return on capital from unconsolidated partnerships	1,088	816
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,004	2,715
Accrued straight-line rents	(9,934)	) (10,249)
Deferred leasing costs	(6,587)	) (9,402)
Other assets	6,038	524
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	2,243	(6,434)
Net cash provided by operating activities	108,118	81,772
Investing activities:		
Purchases of investments in real estate and related intangible assets	(365,751)	) (38,981)
Capital expenditures	(79,703)	) (81,537)
Contributions to unconsolidated partnerships, net	(1,350)	) —
Purchases of debt and equity securities	(3,258)	) (2,050)
Proceeds from the sale of equity securities	110	—
Deposits to escrow for acquisitions	(1,000)	) —
Net cash used in investing activities	(450,952)	) (122,568)
Financing activities:		
Payment of deferred loan costs	(5,022)	) (3,466)
Unsecured line of credit proceeds	498,000	145,475
Unsecured line of credit payments	(688,000)	) (416,725)
Principal payments on mortgage notes payable	(36,557)	) (33,268)
Proceeds from unsecured senior term loan	400,000	—
Proceeds from unsecured senior notes	247,815	397,460
Distributions paid to unitholders	(65,197)	) (49,633)
Distributions paid to preferred unitholders	(7,301)	) (8,481)
Net cash provided by financing activities	343,738	31,362
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	70	—
Net increase / (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	974	(9,434)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	16,411	21,467





Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	2011
	2012	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$17,385	\$12,033
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period for interest (net of amounts capitalized of \$4,450 and \$3,311, respectively)	\$34,289	\$35,927
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Accrual for unit distributions declared	\$33,782	\$26,848
Accrual for preferred unit distributions declared	3,651	4,241
Accrued additions to real estate and related intangible assets	30,104	24,891
Deposits applied for acquisitions	18,649	1,800

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

1. Organization of the Parent Company and Description of Business

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the “Parent Company”), operates as a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed real estate investment trust (“REIT”) focused on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry principally through its subsidiary, BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership (the “Operating Partnership” and together with the Parent Company referred to as the “Company”). The Company's tenants primarily include biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, scientific research institutions, government agencies and other entities involved in the life science industry. The Company's properties are generally located in markets with well-established reputations as centers for scientific research, including Boston, San Francisco, San Diego, Maryland, New York/New Jersey, Pennsylvania and Seattle.

The Parent Company is the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership and, as of June 30, 2012, owned a 98.1% interest in the Operating Partnership. The remaining 1.9% interest in the Operating Partnership is held by limited partners. Each partner's percentage interest in the Operating Partnership is determined based on the number of operating partnership units and long-term incentive plan units (“LTIP units” and together with the operating partnership units, the “OP units”) owned as compared to total OP units (and potentially issuable OP units, as applicable) outstanding as of each period end and is used as the basis for the allocation of net income or loss to each partner.

2. Basis of Presentation and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

The accompanying interim financial statements are unaudited, but have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”) for interim financial information and in conjunction with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all the disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments and eliminations, consisting of normal recurring adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for these interim periods have been recorded. These financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes therein included in the Company's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly owned subsidiaries, partnerships and limited liability companies it controls, and variable interest entities for which the Company has determined itself to be the primary beneficiary. All material intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated. The Company consolidates entities the Company controls and records a noncontrolling interest for the portions not owned by the Company. Control is determined, where applicable, by the sufficiency of equity invested and the rights of the equity holders, and by the ownership of a majority of the voting interests, with consideration given to the existence of approval or veto rights granted to the minority stockholder. If the minority stockholder holds substantive participating rights, it overcomes the presumption of control by the majority voting interest holder. In contrast, if the minority stockholder simply holds protective rights (such as consent rights over certain actions), it does not overcome the presumption of control by the majority voting interest holder.

Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries outside the United States with non-U.S. dollar functional currencies are translated into U.S. dollars using exchange rates as of the balance sheet dates. Income and expenses are translated using the average exchange rates for the reporting period. Foreign currency translation adjustments are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, total revenues from properties outside the United States were \$901,000, which represented less than 1% of the Company's total revenues for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The Company's net investment in properties outside the United States was \$205.9 million as of June 30, 2012.

#### Investments in Partnerships and Limited Liability Companies

The Company has determined that it is the primary beneficiary in six variable interest entities, or VIEs, consisting of single-tenant properties in which the tenant has a fixed-price purchase option, which are consolidated and reflected in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Selected financial data of the VIEs at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011

Table of Contents

consist of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Investment in real estate, net	\$403,588	\$409,327
Total assets	437,109	454,208
Total debt	145,748	146,581
Total liabilities	150,369	151,893

## Investments in Real Estate, Net

Investments in real estate, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Land	\$699,268	\$591,009
Land under development	46,969	56,008
Buildings and improvements	3,972,914	3,615,678
Construction in progress	113,024	140,025
	4,832,175	4,402,720
Accumulated depreciation	(522,754)	(452,474)
	\$4,309,421	\$3,950,246

## Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Long-Lived Assets to be Disposed

The Company reviews long-lived assets and certain identifiable intangibles for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The review of recoverability is based on an estimate of the future undiscounted cash flows (excluding interest charges) expected to result from the long-lived asset's use and eventual disposition. These cash flows consider factors such as expected future operating income, trends and prospects, as well as the effects of leasing demand, competition and other factors. If impairment exists due to the inability to recover the carrying value of a long-lived asset, an impairment loss is recorded to the extent that the carrying value exceeds the estimated fair-value of the property. The Company is required to make subjective assessments as to whether there are impairments in the values of its investments in long-lived assets. These assessments have a direct impact on the Company's net income because recording an impairment loss results in an immediate negative adjustment to net income. The evaluation of anticipated cash flows is highly subjective and is based in part on assumptions regarding future occupancy, rental rates and capital requirements that could differ materially from actual results in future periods. Although the Company's strategy is to hold its properties over the long-term, if the Company's strategy changes or market conditions otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an impairment loss may be recognized to reduce the property to the lower of the carrying amount or fair-value, and such loss could be material.

In April 2012, the Company completed the exchange of a property for another real estate operating property. As a result, the property disposed of was reclassified as a discontinued operation. This property was written down to its estimated fair-value of \$28.0 million, less costs to sell, which resulted in an impairment loss of \$4.6 million that is included in loss from discontinued operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012. The parties to the exchange determined and agreed upon the fair-value of the property received in the transaction, which the Company considers to be a level 2 input in the fair-value hierarchy. See Note 12 for discussion of discontinued operations.

## Deferred Leasing Costs, Net

Deferred leasing costs, net at June 30, 2012 consisted of the following (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

	Balance at June 30, 2012	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Acquired in-place leases	\$299,607	\$(166,328)	) \$133,279
Acquired management agreements	24,957	(13,851)	) 11,106
Deferred leasing and other direct costs	61,083	(20,114)	) 40,969
	\$385,647	\$(200,293)	) \$185,354

Table of Contents

Deferred leasing costs, net at December 31, 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Balance at December 31, 2011	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Acquired in-place leases	\$260,552	\$(150,453)	) \$110,099
Acquired management agreements	22,696	(12,641)	) 10,055
Deferred leasing and other direct costs	54,461	(17,360)	) 37,101
	\$337,709	\$(180,454)	) \$157,255

## Investments

Investments in equity securities, which are included in other assets on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, consisted of the following (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Available-for-sale securities, historical cost	\$5,390	\$5,585
Other-than-temporary unrealized loss	(5,083)	) (4,595)
Unrealized loss	(8)	) (2)
Available-for-sale securities, fair-value(1)	299	988
Privately-held securities, cost basis	7,220	4,245
Total equity securities	\$7,519	\$5,233

(1) Determination of fair-value is classified as Level 1 in the fair-value hierarchy based on the use of quoted prices in active markets.

The Company holds investments in available-for-sale securities of two publicly traded companies. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company reclassified to other expense from accumulated other comprehensive loss, an unrealized loss, considered to be other-than-temporary, of approximately \$545,000, relating to its investment in securities of one of these companies. Management has the intent and ability to retain the investment in the other company for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in its market value. Management will continue to periodically evaluate whether any investment, the fair-value of which is less than the Company's cost basis, should be considered other-than-temporarily-impaired. If other-than-temporary impairment is considered to exist, the related unrealized loss will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss and recorded as a reduction of net income.

The Company's remaining investments consisted of securities in privately-held companies or funds, which are recorded at cost basis due to the Company's lack of control or significant influence over such companies or funds. The Company owned equity securities of four privately-held companies and two privately-held funds during the six months ended June 30, 2012. There were no identified events or changes in circumstances that may have a significant adverse effect on the carrying value of the Company's cost basis investments and therefore, no evaluation of impairment was performed during the six months ended June 30, 2012 on the Company's cost basis investments.

## Construction Loan Receivable

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company entered into an agreement to purchase a \$255 million interest in a \$355 million construction loan secured by first priority mortgages on a 1.1 million square foot laboratory, office and retail development project located in Boston, Massachusetts, which is 95% leased to Vertex Pharmaceuticals Incorporated to serve as its new corporate headquarters.

The construction loan matures on September 30, 2014, with two one-year extension options exercisable at the borrower's election after paying the lenders an extension fee on the then-outstanding principal amount. The construction loan bears interest on the outstanding principal amount at a floating rate equal to the greater of (1) reserve adjusted LIBOR plus 550 basis points and (2) 6.5%. In addition, the borrower is required to pay a fee to the lenders based on a specified percentage of the average daily unfunded amount of the construction loan. The borrower may prepay the construction loan in part under certain circumstances, and may prepay the construction loan in full with prior notice and a prepayment fee to the lenders. The Company expects initial draws on the construction loan to be funded in the fourth quarter of 2012 and to be fully invested in

Table of Contents

early 2014.

## Management's Estimates

Management has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reporting of revenue and expenses during the reporting period to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and reported amounts of revenue and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results could differ from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

## 3. Equity of the Parent Company

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Parent Company issued restricted stock awards to the Company's employees and directors totaling 227,411 and 16,695 shares of common stock, respectively (180,594 shares of common stock were surrendered to the Company and subsequently retired in lieu of cash payments for taxes due on the vesting of restricted stock and 18,471 shares were forfeited during the same period), which are included in the total of common stock outstanding as of the period end.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Parent Company awarded 408,888 performance units (the "Performance Units") to certain of its executive officers, which represent the maximum number of Performance Units that may vest. Each Performance Unit represents a contingent right to receive one share of the Parent Company's common stock if vesting conditions are satisfied. Performance Units vest ratably over one, two and three year periods (each, a "Performance Period") based upon the Parent Company's total stockholder return relative to its peer group. The grant date fair-value of the Performance Units was estimated using a Monte Carlo simulation which considered the likelihood of achieving the vesting conditions. The grant date fair-value of these awards of approximately \$3.3 million will be recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over each respective Performance Period. The total compensation to be expensed in future periods as of June 30, 2012 was \$2.4 million over a weighted-average of approximately 1.7 years. No dividends will be paid or accrued on the Performance Units, and shares of the Parent Company's common stock will not be issued until vesting of the Performance Units occurs.

## Common Stock, Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had outstanding 154,183,744 shares of the Parent Company's common stock and 2,579,788 and 362,970 operating partnership and LTIP units, respectively. A share of the Parent Company's common stock and the operating partnership and LTIP units have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the total net income or loss and distributions of the Operating Partnership.

## Dividends and Distributions

The following table lists the dividends and distributions declared by the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership during the six months ended June 30, 2012:

Declaration Date	Securities Class	Amount Per Share/Unit	Period Covered	Dividend and Distribution Payable Date	Dividend and Distribution Amount
------------------	------------------	-----------------------	----------------	--	----------------------------------



Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

				(In thousands)	
March 15, 2012	Common stock and OP units	\$0.21500	January 1, 2012 to March 31, 2012	April 16, 2012	\$33,780
March 15, 2012	Series A preferred stock/units	\$0.46094	January 16, 2012 to April 15, 2012	April 16, 2012	\$3,650
June 15, 2012	Common stock and OP units	\$0.21500	April 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012	July 16, 2012	\$33,782
June 15, 2012	Series A preferred stock/units	\$0.46094	April 16, 2012 to July 15, 2012	July 16, 2012	\$3,651

Total 2012 dividends and distributions declared through June 30, 2012 (in thousands):

Common stock and OP units	\$67,562
Series A preferred stock/units	7,301
	\$74,863

Table of Contents

## Noncontrolling Interests

Noncontrolling interests on the consolidated balance sheets of the Parent Company relate primarily to the OP units in the Operating Partnership that are not owned by the Parent Company. With respect to the noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership, noncontrolling interests with redemption provisions that permit the issuer to settle in either cash or common stock at the option of the issuer are further evaluated to determine whether temporary or permanent equity classification on the balance sheet is appropriate. Because the OP units comprising the noncontrolling interests contain such a provision, the Company evaluated this guidance, including the requirement to settle in unregistered shares, and determined that the OP units meet the requirements to qualify for presentation as permanent equity.

The Company evaluates individual redeemable noncontrolling interests for the ability to continue to recognize the noncontrolling interest as permanent equity in the consolidated balance sheets. Any redeemable noncontrolling interest that fails to qualify as permanent equity will be reclassified as temporary equity and adjusted to the greater of (1) the carrying amount, or (2) its redemption value at the end of the period in which the determination is made.

The redemption value of the OP units not owned by the Parent Company, had such units been redeemed at June 30, 2012, was approximately \$53.5 million based on the average closing price of the Parent Company's common stock of \$18.17 per share for the ten consecutive trading days immediately preceding June 30, 2012.

The following table shows the vested ownership interests in the Operating Partnership were as follows:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011		
	Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units	Percentage of Total	Operating Partnership Units	Percentage of Total	
BioMed Realty Trust	152,843,368	98.1	% 152,435,271	98.1	%
Noncontrolling interest consisting of:					
Operating partnership and LTIP units held by employees and related parties	2,339,314	1.5	% 2,332,318	1.5	%
Operating partnership and LTIP units held by third parties	575,051	0.4	% 588,801	0.4	%
Total	155,757,733	100.0	% 155,356,390	100.0	%

## 4. Capital of the Operating Partnership

## Operating Partnership Units and LTIP Units

As of June 30, 2012, the Operating Partnership had outstanding 156,763,532 operating partnership units and 362,970 LTIP units. The Parent Company owned 98.1% of the partnership interests in the Operating Partnership at June 30, 2012, is the Operating Partnership's general partner and is responsible for the management of the Operating Partnership's business. As the general partner of the Operating Partnership, the Parent Company effectively controls the ability to issue common stock of the Parent Company upon a limited partner's notice of redemption. In addition, the general partner of the Operating Partnership has generally acquired OP units upon a limited partner's notice of redemption in exchange for shares of the Parent Company's common stock. The redemption provisions of OP units owned by limited partners that permit the issuer to settle in either cash or common stock at the option of the issuer are further evaluated in accordance with applicable accounting guidance to determine whether temporary or permanent equity classification on the balance sheet is appropriate. The Operating Partnership evaluated this guidance, including

the requirement to settle in unregistered shares, and determined that these OP units meet the requirements to qualify for presentation as permanent equity.

The redemption value of the OP units owned by the limited partners, not including the Parent Company, had such units been redeemed at June 30, 2012, was approximately \$53.5 million based on the average closing price of the Parent Company's common stock of \$18.17 per share for the ten consecutive trading days immediately preceding June 30, 2012.

## 5. Debt

Debt of the Parent Company

Table of Contents

The Parent Company does not hold any indebtedness. All debt is held directly or indirectly by the Operating Partnership; however, the Parent Company has guaranteed the Operating Partnership's Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2030 (the "Exchangeable Senior Notes"), Unsecured Senior Notes due 2016 (the "Notes due 2016"), Unsecured Senior Notes due 2020 (the "Notes due 2020"), Unsecured Senior Notes due 2022 (the "Notes due 2022"), Unsecured Senior Term Loan (the "Term Loan") and unsecured line of credit.

## Debt of the Operating Partnership

A summary of the Operating Partnership's outstanding consolidated debt as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 was as follows (dollars in thousands):

	Stated Interest Rate	Effective Interest Rate	Principal Balance June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	Maturity Date
Mortgage Notes Payable					
Center for Life Science   Boston 500 Kendall Street (Kendall D) 6828 Nancy Ridge Drive (1) Shady Grove Road Sidney Street (1) 900 Uniqema Boulevard	7.75 6.38 7.15 5.97 7.23 8.61	% 7.75 % 5.45 % 5.38 % 5.97 % 5.11 % 5.61	% \$340,334 % 61,229 % — % 145,748 % — % 710	\$342,149 62,261 6,373 146,581 26,400 814	June 30, 2014 December 1, 2018 September 1, 2012 September 1, 2016 June 1, 2012 May 1, 2015
			548,021	584,578	
Unamortized premiums			2,683	3,266	
Mortgage notes payable, net			550,704	587,844	
Exchangeable Senior Notes	3.75	% 3.75	% 180,000	180,000	January 15, 2030
Notes due 2016	3.85	% 3.99	% 400,000	400,000	April 15, 2016
Notes due 2020	6.13	% 6.27	% 250,000	250,000	April 15, 2020
Notes due 2022	4.25	% 4.36	% 250,000	—	July 15, 2022
			900,000	650,000	
Unamortized discounts			(6,263	) (4,419	)
Unsecured senior notes, net			893,737	645,581	
Unsecured senior term loan (2)	1.90	% 2.36	% 400,000	—	March 30, 2017
Unsecured line of credit (3)	1.79	% 1.79	% 78,000	268,000	July 13, 2015
Total consolidated debt			\$2,102,441	\$1,681,425	

(1) During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Operating Partnership repaid in full the outstanding mortgage notes totaling approximately \$32.5 million pertaining to the 6828 Nancy Ridge Drive and Sidney Street properties, resulting in a gain on extinguishment representing the write-off of unamortized debt premium, partially offset by the write-off of deferred loan fees, which is included in other expense.

(2) The effective interest rate includes the impact of interest rate swap agreements (see Note 9 for further discussion of interest rate swap agreements).

(3) At June 30, 2012, the Operating Partnership had additional borrowing capacity under the unsecured line of credit of up to approximately \$658.6 million (net of outstanding letters of credit issued by the Operating Partnership and drawable on the unsecured line of credit of approximately \$13.4 million). During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Operating Partnership amended the credit agreement governing the unsecured line of credit, which provides for a revision to the definition of "gross asset value" to conform to the definition included in the Term Loan credit facility and certain other revisions to reflect the existence of the Term Loan, including changes pertaining to cross-default provisions and negative pledge restrictions. All other material terms under the credit agreement

governing the unsecured line of credit remain unchanged.

Unsecured Senior Term Loan

23

---

## Table of Contents

On March 30, 2012, the Operating Partnership entered into a \$400.0 million Term Loan with KeyBank National Association as Administrative Agent and Co-Lead Arranger, Wells Fargo Securities, LLC as Co-Lead Arranger and Wells Fargo Bank National Association as Co-Syndication Agent, U.S. Bank National Association as Co-Syndication Agent and Co-Lead Arranger and other lenders. The Term Loan has a maturity date of March 30, 2017. Subject to the Administrative Agent's reasonable discretion, the Operating Partnership may increase the amount of the borrowings to \$500.0 million under the Term Loan upon satisfying certain conditions. Borrowings under the Term Loan are guaranteed by the Parent Company.

Borrowings under the Term Loan bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Operating Partnership's option, either (1) reserve adjusted LIBOR plus a spread which ranges from 115 to 205 basis points, depending on the Company's credit ratings, or (2) the highest of (a) the prime rate then in effect plus a spread which ranges from 15 to 120 basis points, (b) the federal funds rate then in effect plus a spread which ranges from 65 to 170 basis points or (c) one-month LIBOR plus a spread which ranges from 115 to 205 basis points, in each case, depending on the Parent Company's credit ratings.

Concurrent with the closing of the Term Loan, the Operating Partnership entered into interest rate swap agreements, which are intended to have the effect of fixing interest payments associated with \$200.0 million of the \$400.0 million outstanding under the Term Loan at approximately 2.81% for a five-year term, subject to change depending on the Parent Company's credit ratings.

The Term Loan includes certain restrictions and covenants which require compliance with financial covenants relating to the minimum amounts of net worth, fixed charge coverage, unsecured debt service coverage, overall leverage and unsecured leverage ratios, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness and certain investment limitations. The Term Loan specifies a number of events of default (some of which are subject to applicable cure periods), including, among others, the failure to make payments when due, noncompliance with covenants and defaults under other agreements or instruments of indebtedness. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the lenders may terminate the Term Loan and declare all amounts outstanding to be immediately due and payable. Management believes that it was in compliance with the covenants as of June 30, 2012.

### Unsecured Senior Notes due 2022, net

On June 28, 2012, the Operating Partnership issued \$250.0 million aggregate principal amount of its Notes due 2022. The purchase price paid by the underwriters was 99.126% of the principal amount and the Notes due 2022 have been recorded on the consolidated balance sheet net of the discount. The Notes due 2022 are senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and rank equally in right of payment with all other senior unsecured indebtedness of the Operating Partnership. However, the Notes due 2022 are effectively subordinated to the Operating Partnership's existing and future mortgages and other secured indebtedness (to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such indebtedness) and to all existing and future preferred equity and liabilities, whether secured or unsecured, of the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries, including guarantees provided by the Operating Partnership's subsidiaries under the Operating Partnership's unsecured line of credit. Interest at a rate of 4.25% per year is payable on January 15 and July 15 of each year, beginning on January 15, 2013, until the stated maturity date of July 15, 2022. The terms of the Notes due 2022 are governed by a base indenture and supplemental indenture, dated March 30, 2011 and June 28, 2012, respectively, among the Operating Partnership, as issuer, the Parent Company, as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

The Operating Partnership may redeem the Notes due 2022, in whole or in part, at any time for cash at a redemption price equal to the greater of (1) 100% of the principal amount of the Notes due 2022 being redeemed; or (2) the sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest thereon discounted to the redemption date on a semi-annual basis at the adjusted treasury rate plus 45 basis points, plus in each case, accrued

and unpaid interest.

The terms of the indenture for the Notes due 2022 require compliance with various financial covenants, including limits on the amount of total leverage and secured debt maintained by the Operating Partnership and which require the Operating Partnership to maintain minimum levels of debt service coverage. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2012.

As of June 30, 2012, principal payments due for the Operating Partnership's consolidated indebtedness (excluding debt premiums and discounts) were as follows (in thousands):

24

---

Table of Contents

2012	\$3,922
2013	8,291
2014	339,020
2015	84,253
2016	543,426
Thereafter(1)	1,127,109
	\$2,106,021

(1) Includes \$180.0 million in principal payments of the Exchangeable Senior Notes based on a contractual maturity date of January 15, 2030.

## 6. Earnings Per Share of the Parent Company

Through June 30, 2012 all of the Company's participating securities (including the OP units) received dividends/distributions at an equal dividend/distribution rate per share/unit. As a result, the portion of net income allocable to the weighted-average restricted stock outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 has been deducted from net income available to common stockholders to calculate basic earnings per share. The calculation of diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 includes the outstanding OP units (both vested and unvested) in the weighted-average shares, and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership has been added back to net income available to common stockholders. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the outstanding OP units (both vested and unvested) were anti-dilutive to the calculation of diluted earnings per share and were therefore excluded and net income attributable to noncontrolling interests in the Operating Partnership was not added back to net income available to common stockholders. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Performance Units were anti-dilutive to the calculation of diluted earnings per share as calculated, assuming that June 30, 2012 is the end of the Performance Units' Performance Period. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the restricted stock was anti-dilutive to the calculation of diluted earnings per share and was therefore excluded. As a result, diluted earnings per share was calculated based upon net income available to common stockholders less net income allocable to unvested restricted stock and distributions in excess of earnings attributable to unvested restricted stock. No shares were issuable upon settlement of the excess exchange value pursuant to the exchange settlement feature of the Operating Partnership's Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2026 (the "Notes due 2026") as the common stock price at June 30, 2011 did not exceed the exchange price then in effect. In addition, shares issuable upon settlement of the exchange feature of the Exchangeable Senior Notes were anti-dilutive and were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share based on the "if converted" method for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. No other shares were considered anti-dilutive for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Computations of basic and diluted earnings per share (in thousands, except share data) were as follows:



Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended		
	June 30,	2011	June 30,	2011	
	2012		2012		
Basic earnings per share:					
(Loss) / income from continuing operations	\$ (5,283	) \$ 7,852	\$ 1,417	\$ 17,588	
Loss / (income) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	173	(66	) 119	(170	)
Preferred stock dividends	(3,651	) (4,241	) (7,301	) (8,481	)
Net income allocable and distributions in excess of earnings to participating securities (continuing operations)	(299	) (279	) (618	) (577	)
(Loss) / income from continuing operations available to common stockholders - basic	(9,060	) 3,266	(6,383	) 8,360	
Income / (loss) from discontinued operations	49	95	(4,370	) 236	
(Income) / loss from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	(1	) (2	) 82	(5	)
Income / (loss) from discontinued operations available to common stockholders - basic	48	93	(4,288	) 231	
Net (loss) / income available to common stockholders - basic	\$ (9,012	) \$ 3,359	\$ (10,671	) \$ 8,591	
Diluted earnings per share:					
(Loss) / income from continuing operations available to common stockholders - basic	(9,060	) 3,266	(6,383	) 8,360	
Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	80	—	202	
(Loss) / income from continuing operations available to common stockholders - diluted	(9,060	) 3,346	(6,383	) 8,562	
Income / (loss) from discontinued operations available to common stockholders - basic	48	93	(4,288	) 231	
Income from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	—	2	—	5	
Income / (loss) from discontinued operations available to common stockholders - diluted	48	95	(4,288	) 236	
Net (loss) / income available to common stockholders and participating securities - diluted	\$ (9,012	) \$ 3,441	\$ (10,671	) \$ 8,798	
Weighted-average common shares outstanding:					
Basic	152,775,422	129,858,098	152,715,715	129,815,154	
Incremental shares from assumed conversion:					
Unvested restricted stock	—	—	—	—	
Operating partnership and LTIP units	—	2,982,834	—	2,987,943	
Diluted	152,775,422	132,840,932	152,715,715	132,803,097	
Basic and diluted earnings per share:					
(Loss) / income from continuing operations per share available to common stockholders - basic and diluted	\$ (0.06	) \$ 0.03	\$ (0.04	) \$ 0.07	
	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (0.03	) \$ —	

Loss from discontinued operations per share available to  
common stockholders - basic and diluted

Net (loss) / income per share available to common stockholders - basic and diluted	\$ (0.06	) \$ 0.03	\$ (0.07	) \$ 0.07
---	----------	-----------	----------	-----------

7. Earnings Per Unit of the Operating Partnership

Through June 30, 2012 all of the Operating Partnership's participating securities received distributions at an equal distribution

26

---

Table of Contents

rate per unit. As a result, the portion of net income allocable to the weighted-average unvested OP units outstanding for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 has been deducted from net income available to unitholders to calculate basic earnings per unit. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 the unvested OP units were anti-dilutive to the calculation of earnings per unit and were therefore excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per unit, and diluted earnings per unit is calculated based upon net income attributable to unitholders. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Performance Units were anti-dilutive to the calculation of diluted earnings per share as calculated, assuming that June 30, 2012 is the end of the Performance Units' Performance Period. No shares of common stock of the Parent Company were contingently issuable upon settlement of the excess exchange value pursuant to the exchange settlement feature of the Notes due 2026 as the common stock price at June 30, 2011 did not exceed the exchange price then in effect. In addition, units issuable upon settlement of the exchange feature of the Exchangeable Senior Notes were anti-dilutive and were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per unit based on the "if converted" method for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. No other units were considered anti-dilutive for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011.

Computations of basic and diluted earnings per unit (in thousands, except share data) were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	2011	June 30,	2011
	2012		2012	
Basic and diluted earnings per unit:				
(Loss) / income from continuing operations	\$ (5,283	) \$ 7,852	\$ 1,417	\$ 17,588
Income from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests	6	14	9	32
Preferred unit distributions	(3,651	) (4,241	) (7,301	) (8,481
Net income allocable and distributions in excess of earnings to participating securities (continuing operations)	(305	) (291	) (631	) (601
(Loss) / income from continuing operations available to common unitholders - basic and diluted	(9,233	) 3,334	(6,506	) 8,538
Income / (loss) from discontinued operations - basic and diluted	49	95	(4,370	) 236
Net (loss) / income available to unitholders - basic and diluted	\$ (9,184	) \$ 3,429	\$ (10,876	) \$ 8,774
Weighted-average units outstanding:				
Basic and diluted	155,694,169	132,782,072	155,641,727	132,742,123
Basic and diluted earnings per unit:				
(Loss) / income from continuing operations per unit available to common unitholders - basic and diluted	\$ (0.06	) \$ 0.03	\$ (0.04	) \$ 0.07
Loss from discontinued operations per share available to common unitholders - basic and diluted	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (0.03	) \$ —
Net (loss) / income per unit available to common unitholders, basic and diluted	\$ (0.06	) \$ 0.03	\$ (0.07	) \$ 0.07

## 8. Investment in Unconsolidated Partnerships

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include investments in two limited liability companies with Prudential Real Estate Investors (“PREI”), and in 10165 McKellar Court, L.P. (“McKellar Court”), a limited partnership with Quidel Corporation, the tenant which occupies the McKellar Court property. General information on the PREI limited liability companies and the McKellar Court partnership (each referred to in this footnote individually as a “partnership” and collectively as the “partnerships”) as of June 30, 2012 was as follows:

Name	Partner	Company's Ownership Interest	Company's Economic Interest	Date Acquired
PREI I LLC(1)	PREI	20%	20%	April 4, 2007
PREI II LLC	PREI	20%	20%	April 4, 2007
McKellar Court(2)	Quidel Corporation	22%	22%	September 30, 2004

27

---

Table of Contents

PREI I LLC owns two properties in Cambridge, Massachusetts. At June 30, 2012, there were \$139.0 million in (1) outstanding borrowings on a secured loan facility held by a wholly owned subsidiary of PREI I LLC, with a contractual interest rate of 3.25% (including the applicable credit spread) and a maturity date of August 13, 2013.

The Company's investment in the McKellar Court partnership (maximum exposure to losses) was approximately \$12.3 million at June 30, 2012. The Company's economic interest in the McKellar Court partnership entitles it to (2) 75% of the extraordinary cash flows after repayment of the partners' capital contributions and 22% of the operating cash flows.

The condensed combined balance sheets for all of the Company's unconsolidated partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
Assets:		
Investments in real estate, net	\$254,207	\$257,297
Cash and cash equivalents (including restricted cash)	2,603	4,384
Other assets	4,555	2,392
Total assets	\$261,365	\$264,073
Liabilities and members' equity:		
Mortgage notes payable and secured loan	\$149,255	\$149,256
Other liabilities	2,604	1,408
Members' equity	109,506	113,409
Total liabilities and equity	\$261,365	\$264,073
Company's net investment in unconsolidated partnerships	\$32,562	\$33,389

The selected data and results of operations for the unconsolidated partnerships were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2012	2011	June 30, 2012	2011
Total revenues	\$2,182	\$2,169	\$4,386	\$4,223
Total expenses	(4,766 )	(4,588 )	(9,781 )	(9,396 )
Loss from continuing operations	(2,584 )	(2,419 )	(5,395 )	(5,173 )
Loss from discontinued operations	—	(1,292 )	—	(3,211 )
Net loss	\$(2,584 )	\$(3,711 )	\$(5,395 )	\$(8,384 )
Company's equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	\$(317 )	\$(466 )	\$(671 )	\$(1,115 )
Fees earned by the Company (1)	\$23	\$266	\$45	\$624

The Company acts as the operating member or partner, as applicable, and day-to-day manager for the partnerships. (1) The Company is entitled to receive fees for providing construction and development services (as applicable) and management services to the PREI joint ventures, which are reflected in tenant recoveries and other income in the consolidated statements of income.

## 9. Derivatives and Other Financial Instruments

On March 30, 2012, the Company entered into four interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$200.0 million under which at each monthly settlement date the Company either (1) receives the difference between a fixed interest rate (the "Strike Rate") and one-month LIBOR if the Strike Rate is less than one-month LIBOR or (2) pays such difference if the Strike Rate is greater than one-month LIBOR. The interest rate swaps hedge the Company's exposure to the variability on expected cash flows attributable to changes in interest rates on the first interest payments, due on the date that is on or closest after each swap's settlement date, associated with the amount of one-month LIBOR-based debt equal to each swap's notional amount. These interest

Table of Contents

rate swaps, with a notional amount of \$200.0 million, are currently intended to hedge interest payments associated with the Operating Partnership's Term Loan. No initial investment was made to enter into the interest rate swap agreements.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company had deferred interest costs of approximately \$45.6 million in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to forward starting swaps, which were settled with the corresponding counterparties in March and April 2009. The forward starting swaps were entered into to mitigate the Company's exposure to the variability in expected future cash flows attributable to changes in future interest rates associated with a forecasted issuance of fixed-rate debt, with interest payments for a minimum of ten years. The deferred interest costs will be amortized as additional interest expense over a remaining period of approximately seven years.

The following is a summary of the terms of the interest rate swaps and stock purchase warrants and their respective fair-values, which are included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets (dollars in thousands):

	Notional Amount	Strike Rate	Effective Date	Expiration Date	Fair-Value(1)	
					June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	\$75,000	1.163	% March 30, 2012	March 30, 2017	\$(1,373	) \$ —
	50,000	1.163	% March 30, 2012	March 30, 2017	(913	) —
	50,000	1.163	% March 30, 2012	March 30, 2017	(930	) —
	25,000	1.163	% March 30, 2012	March 30, 2017	(485	) —
Interest rate swaps	200,000				(3,701	) —
Other(2)	—				1	9
Total derivative instruments	\$200,000				\$(3,700	) \$ 9

Fair-value of derivative instruments does not include any related accrued interest payable, which is included in (1) accrued expenses on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Derivative valuations are classified in Level 2 of the fair-value hierarchy.

Includes stock purchase warrants recorded as derivative instruments in other assets on the accompanying (2) consolidated balance sheets. Changes in the fair-values of stock purchase warrants are included in earnings in the period in which they occur.

For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair-value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings in the period in which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, such derivatives were used to hedge the variable cash flows associated with existing variable-rate debt and future variability in the interest-related cash flows from forecasted issuances of debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair-value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings.

The Company's use of proceeds from its March 2011 unsecured debt offering to repay a portion of the outstanding indebtedness on its unsecured line of credit caused the amount of variable-rate indebtedness to fall below the combined notional value of the outstanding interest rate swaps on March 30, 2011, causing the Company to be overhedged. As a result, the Company re-performed tests to assess the effectiveness of its interest rate swaps. Although the interest rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$150.0 million passed the assessment tests and the \$115.0 million swap continued to qualify for hedge accounting, the \$35.0 million swap no longer qualified for hedge accounting due to the lack of variable rate debt expected to be outstanding during the remaining term of the swap. As a result, the Company accelerated the reclassification of amounts deferred in accumulated other

comprehensive loss to earnings related to the hedged forecasted transactions that became probable of not occurring during the period in which the Company was overhedged. From the date that hedge accounting was discontinued on the \$35.0 million swap, changes in the fair-value associated with this interest rate swap were recorded directly to earnings, resulting in the recognition of a gain of approximately \$10,000 and \$13,000 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, which is included as a component of other expense. These swaps expired in August 2011.

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded a total loss on derivative instruments of \$8,000 primarily related to changes in the fair-value of other derivative instruments. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded total gain on derivative instruments of \$383,000 primarily related to the increase in the amount of the variable-rate indebtedness related to the \$150.0 million interest rate swaps and changes in the fair-value of other derivative instruments. During



Table of Contents

the six months ended June 30, 2011, the Company recorded total loss on derivative instruments of \$628,000, primarily related to the reduction in the amount of the variable-rate indebtedness relating to the \$150.0 million interest rate swaps, hedge ineffectiveness on cash flow hedges due to mismatches in maturity dates and interest rate reset dates between the interest rate swaps and corresponding debt and changes in the fair-value of other derivative instruments. Gains and losses on derivative instruments are included in Other expense within the income statement.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to derivatives will be reclassified to earnings during the period in which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The change in net unrealized (loss)/gain on derivative instruments includes reclassifications of net unrealized losses from accumulated other comprehensive loss as (1) an increase to interest expense of \$2.2 million and \$4.0 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, and \$3.1 million and \$6.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, and (2) a loss on derivative instruments of \$8,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012, and a gain on derivative instruments of \$383,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011, and a loss on derivative instruments of \$628,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. During the next twelve months, the Company estimates that an additional \$8.6 million will be reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss as an increase to interest expense.

The following is a summary of the amount of loss recognized in other comprehensive income related to the derivative instruments (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Amount of loss recognized in other comprehensive income (effective portion):				
Cash flow hedges				
Interest rate swaps	\$(3,879 )	\$(42 )	\$(4,178 )	\$(104 )
Amount of loss reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss to income (effective portion):				
Cash flow hedges				
Interest rate swaps(1)	\$(467 )	\$(1,296 )	\$(477 )	\$(2,941 )
Forward starting swaps(2)	(1,736 )	(1,760 )	(3,479 )	(3,525 )
Total interest rate swaps	\$(2,203 )	\$(3,056 )	\$(3,956 )	\$(6,466 )
Amount of gain / (loss) recognized in income (ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing):				
Cash flow hedges				
Interest rate swaps	\$—	\$372	\$—	\$(79 )
Ineffective interest rate swaps	—	(10 )	—	(545 )
Total interest rate swaps	—	362	—	(624 )
Other derivative instruments	—	21	(8 )	(4 )
Total gain / (loss) on derivative instruments	\$—	\$383	\$(8 )	\$(628 )

Amount represents payments made to swap counterparties for the effective portion of interest rate swaps that were (1) recognized as an increase to interest expense for the periods presented (the amount was recorded as an increase and corresponding decrease to accumulated other comprehensive loss in the same accounting period).

(2)

Amount represents reclassifications of deferred interest costs from accumulated other comprehensive loss to interest expense related to the Company's previously settled forward starting swaps.

#### 10. Fair-Value of Financial Instruments

The Company's disclosures of estimated fair-value of financial instruments at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were determined using available market information and appropriate valuation methods. Considerable judgment is necessary to interpret

30

---

Table of Contents

market data and develop estimated fair-value. The use of different market assumptions or estimation methods may have a material effect on the estimated fair-value amounts.

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities approximate fair-value due to the short-term nature of these instruments.

The Company utilizes quoted market prices to estimate the fair-value of its fixed-rate and variable-rate debt, when available. If quoted market prices are not available, the Company calculates the fair-value of its mortgage notes payable and other fixed-rate debt based on a currently available market rate assuming the loans are outstanding through maturity and considering the collateral. In determining the current market rate for fixed-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar terms to debt. In determining the current market rate for variable-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the current effective interest rate. The carrying values of interest rate swaps are reflected at their fair-values.

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the aggregate fair-value and the carrying value of the Company's financial instruments were as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Fair-Value (1)	Carrying Value	Fair-Value (1)	Carrying Value
Mortgage notes payable, net	\$621,684	\$550,704	\$670,931	\$587,844
Exchangeable Senior Notes	209,340	180,000	206,775	180,000
Notes due 2016, net	411,960	398,046	396,880	397,810
Notes due 2020, net	283,300	247,875	266,775	247,771
Notes due 2022, net	250,875	247,816	—	—
Unsecured senior term loan	400,000	400,000	—	—
Unsecured line of credit	78,000	78,000	268,000	268,000
Derivative instruments (2)	(3,700	) (3,700	) 9	9
Available-for-sale securities	299	299	988	988

(1) Fair-values of debt and derivative instruments are classified in Level 2 of the fair-value hierarchy. Fair-value of available-for-sale securities are classified in Level 1 of the fair-value hierarchy.

(2) The Company's derivative instruments are reflected in other assets and other liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets based on their respective balances (see Note 9).

## 11. Acquisitions

The Company acquired the following properties during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The table below reflects the purchase price allocation for these acquisitions (in thousands):

Property	Acquisition Date	Investments in Real Estate	Above Market Lease	In-Place Lease	Management Agreement	Below Market Lease	Acquisition Date Fair-Value
210 Broadway	February 9, 2012	\$23,190	\$—	\$252	\$ 10	\$—	\$23,452
50 Hampshire Street	February 9, 2012	77,742	—	9,874	2,079	—	89,695
60 Hampshire Street		4,361	—	1,651	—	(159	) 5,853

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

	February 9, 2012						
6122-6126 Nancy Ridge Drive	April 25, 2012	15,359	608	3,861	172	—	20,000
550 Broadway Street	April 27, 2012	28,000	—	—	—	—	28,000
Summers Ridge	June 8, 2012	47,102	—	—	—	—	47,102
Granta Park (1)	June 12, 2012	175,458	603	23,068	—	(3,085 )	196,044
Total		\$371,212	\$1,211	\$38,706	\$ 2,261	\$(3,244 )	\$410,146
Weighted average intangible amortization life (in months)			134	103	101	92	

31

---

Table of Contents

- (1) The property was acquired in the United Kingdom for £126.8 million. US Dollar amounts are based on the exchange rate of \$1.55 to £1.00 in effect on the date of acquisition.

Revenues of approximately \$6.1 million and net loss of approximately \$8.5 million associated with properties acquired in 2012 are included in the consolidated statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2012 for both the Parent Company and the Operating Partnership.

On July 18, 2012, the Company acquired two buildings totaling approximately 106,500 square feet located at 9900/9901 Belward Campus Drive in Rockville, Maryland for approximately \$26.2 million, including the assumption of mortgage notes totaling approximately \$24.1 million.

#### Pro Forma Results of the Parent Company

The unaudited pro forma revenues and operating income of the Parent Company, for the acquisitions that occurred in 2012 as if they had taken place on January 1, 2011, are as follows (in thousands except per share amounts):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Total revenues	\$255,714	\$229,129
Net income available to common stockholders	11,160	255
Net income per share available to common stockholders - basic and diluted	\$0.07	\$—

Pro forma data may not be indicative of the results that would have been reported had the acquisitions actually occurred as of January 1, 2011, nor does it intend to be a projection of future results.

#### Pro Forma Results of the Operating Partnership

The unaudited pro forma revenues and operating income of the Operating Partnership, for the acquisitions that occurred in 2012 as if they had taken place on January 1, 2011, are as follows (in thousands except per share amounts):

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
Total revenues	\$255,714	\$229,129
Net income available to unitholders	10,968	462
Net income per unit available to unitholders - basic and diluted	\$0.07	\$—

Pro forma data may not be indicative of the results that would have been reported had the acquisitions actually occurred as of January 1, 2011, nor does it intend to be a projection of future results.

#### 12. Discontinued Operations

In April 2012, the Company completed the exchange of an operating property on Forbes Boulevard in South San Francisco for an office property located in Redwood City, California. As a result, during the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company reclassified the Forbes Boulevard property as a discontinued operation. The table below reflects the details of the property and the exchange (in thousands):

Property	Date of Sale	Original Acquisition Date
----------	--------------	---------------------------

			Sales Price (1)	Impairment loss
Forbes Boulevard	April 27, 2012	September 5, 2007	\$28,000	\$(4,552 )

(1) The sales price was equal to the fair-value of the office property received as consideration for the exchange from the independent third party.

Table of Contents

The results of operations of the Forbes Boulevard property are reported as discontinued operations for all periods presented in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. The following table summarizes the revenue and expense components that comprise income / (loss) from discontinued operations (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Total revenues	\$ 102	\$ 389	\$ 454	\$ 741
Total expenses	53	294	272	505
Income from discontinued operations before impairment loss	49	95	182	236
Impairment loss	—	—	(4,552 )	—
Income / (loss) from discontinued operations	\$ 49	\$ 95	\$ (4,370 )	\$ 236

Discontinued operations have not been segregated in the consolidated statements of cash flows. Therefore, amounts for certain captions will not agree with respective data in the consolidated statements of operations.

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

As used herein, the terms “we,” “us,” “our” or the “Company” refer to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and any of our subsidiaries, including BioMed Realty, L.P., a Maryland limited partnership of which BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. is the parent company and general partner, which may be referred to herein as the “operating partnership.”

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. We make statements in this report that are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In particular, statements pertaining to our capital resources, portfolio performance and results of operations contain forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties and you should not rely on them as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements depend on assumptions, data or methods which may be incorrect or imprecise, and we may not be able to realize them. We do not guarantee that the transactions and events described will happen as described (or that they will happen at all). You can identify forward-looking statements by the use of forward-looking terminology such as “believes,” “expects,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “seeks,” “approximately,” “intends,” “plans,” “estimates” or the negative of these words and phrases or similar words or phrases. You can also identify forward-looking statements by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions. The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements: adverse economic or real estate developments in the life science industry or in our target markets, including the inability of our tenants to obtain funding to run their businesses; our dependence upon significant tenants; our failure to obtain necessary outside financing on favorable terms or at all, including the continued availability of our unsecured line of credit; general economic conditions, including downturns in the foreign, domestic and local economies; volatility in financial and securities markets; defaults on or non-renewal of leases by tenants; our inability to compete effectively; changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates; increased operating costs; our inability to successfully complete real estate acquisitions, developments and dispositions; risks and uncertainties affecting property development and construction; our failure to manage effectively our growth and expansion into new markets or to successfully operate acquired properties and operations; our ownership of properties outside of the United States that subject us to different and potentially greater risks than those associated with our domestic operations; risks associated with our investments in loans, including borrower defaults and potential principal losses; reductions in asset valuations and related impairment charges; the loss of services of one or more of our executive officers; our failure to qualify or continue to qualify as a REIT; our failure to maintain our investment grade corporate credit ratings or a downgrade in our investment grade corporate credit ratings from one or more of the rating agencies; government approvals, actions and initiatives, including the need for compliance with environmental requirements;

the effects of earthquakes and other natural disasters; lack of or insufficient amounts of insurance; and changes in real estate, zoning and other laws and increases in real property tax rates. We disclaim any intention or obligation to update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

The risks included here are not exhaustive, and additional factors could adversely affect our business and financial performance, including factors and risks included in other sections of this report. In addition, we discussed a number of material risks in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. Those risks continue to be relevant to our performance and financial condition. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our company's business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ



Table of Contents

materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, investors should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements as a prediction of actual results.

## Overview

We operate as a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed REIT focused on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry. Our tenants primarily include biotechnology and pharmaceutical companies, scientific research institutions, government agencies and other entities involved in the life science industry. Our properties are generally located in markets with well-established reputations as centers for scientific research, including Boston, San Francisco, San Diego, Maryland, New York/New Jersey, Pennsylvania, and Seattle.

At June 30, 2012, we owned or had interests in a portfolio with an aggregate of approximately 13.0 million rentable square feet.

The following reflects the classification of our properties between stabilized properties (operating properties in which more than 90% of the rentable square footage is under lease), lease up properties (operating properties in which less than 90% of the rentable square footage is under lease), long-term lease up properties (our Pacific Industrial Center, Pacific Research Center North and Pacific Research Center South properties), redevelopment properties (properties that are currently being prepared for their intended use), unconsolidated partnership properties (properties which we partially own, but are not included in our consolidated financial statements) and development potential (representing management's estimates of rentable square footage if development of these properties was undertaken) at June 30, 2012:

	Gross Book Value (In thousands)	Buildings	Rentable Square Feet	Weighted- Average Leased (1)	
Stabilized	\$3,205,676	105	7,740,674	99.6	%
Lease up	1,041,599	44	3,278,452	67.6	%
Current operating portfolio	4,247,275	149	11,019,126	91.8	%
Long-term lease up	335,078	10	1,389,517	64.3	%
Total operating portfolio	4,582,353	159	12,408,643	89.8	%
Redevelopment	45,024	4	196,867	33.6	%
Unconsolidated partnership portfolio	32,562	3	352,863	56.4	%
	4,659,939	166	12,958,373	89.0	%
Development potential	204,798	—	3,952,000	—	
Total portfolio	\$4,864,737	166	16,910,373		

(1) Calculated based on gross book value for each asset multiplied by the percentage leased.

## Factors Which May Influence Future Operations

Our long-term corporate strategy is to continue to focus on acquiring, developing, owning, leasing and managing laboratory and office space for the life science industry. As of June 30, 2012, our current consolidated operating portfolio was 91.8% leased on a weighted-average basis to 191 tenants. As of December 31, 2011, our current consolidated operating portfolio was 90.2% leased on a weighted-average basis to 170 tenants. The increase in the overall leased percentage was due to an increase in leased square feet related to increased leasing activity and acquisitions of fully leased properties. Our current operating portfolio increased by approximately 659,700 rentable square feet at June 30, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011, and total leased square footage increased by approximately 1.1 million square feet, or 11.1%, during the same period.

Our leasing strategy for 2012 focuses on leasing vacant space, negotiating renewals for leases scheduled to expire during the year, and identifying new tenants or existing tenants seeking additional space to occupy the spaces for which we are unable to negotiate such renewals. We may proceed with additional new developments and acquisitions,

as real estate and capital market conditions permit. As of June 30, 2012, leases representing 3.0% and 4.6% of our leased square feet are scheduled to expire during 2012 and 2013, respectively. The success of our leasing and development strategy will be dependent upon the general economic conditions and more specifically real estate market conditions and life science industry trends in the United States and in our target markets. We cannot provide any assurance that leases will be renewed or that available space will be released at rental rates equal

34

---

Table of Contents

to or above the current contractual rental rates or at all.

As a direct result of the recent economic recession, we believe that the fair-values of some of our properties may have declined below their respective carrying values. However, to the extent that a property has a substantial remaining estimated useful life and management does not believe that the property will be disposed of prior to the end of its useful life, it would be unusual for undiscounted cash flows to be insufficient to recover the property's carrying value. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company disposed of one property in an exchange with a third party for another operating property. As the carrying value of the property disposed of was less than the consideration received in exchange, an impairment loss was recorded. Other than the property sold, we presently have the ability and intent to continue to own and operate our existing portfolio of properties and estimated undiscounted future cash flows from the operation of the properties are expected to be sufficient to recover the carrying value of each property. Accordingly, we do not believe that the carrying value of any of our other properties is impaired. If our ability and/or our intent with regard to the operation of our properties otherwise dictate an earlier sale date, an additional impairment loss may be recognized to reduce the property to fair-value and such loss could be material.

A discussion of additional factors which may influence future operations can be found below under Part II, Item 1A, "Risk Factors" and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Critical Accounting Policies

A complete discussion of our critical accounting policies can be found in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Results of Operations

## Leasing Activity

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we executed 45 leasing transactions representing 817,074 square feet, including 32 new leases totaling 658,797 square feet and 13 leases amended to extend their terms, totaling 158,277 square feet. The following table summarizes our leasing activity, including leasing activity in our unconsolidated portfolio, during the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Leased Square Feet	Current annualized base rent per leased square foot (1)	Current annualized base rent per leased square foot - GAAP basis (2)
Leased square feet as of December 31, 2011	10,075,859		
Acquisitions	839,089	\$33.28	\$34.44
Dispositions	(121,008 )	9.48	9.54
Expirations	(404,214 )	32.64	30.30
Terminations	(66,545 )	27.50	25.81
Pre-leased delivery	50,909	25.04	26.41
Renewals, amendments, and extensions	158,277	25.17	22.05
New leases - first generation(3)	539,499	27.91	30.76
New leases - second generation(4)	119,298	26.47	26.30
Leased square feet as of June 30, 2012	11,191,164		

Current annualized base rent per leased square foot is the monthly contractual rent per leased square foot as of the (1)current period ended, or if rent has not yet commenced, the first monthly rent payment per leased square foot due at each rent commencement date, multiplied by 12 months.

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

- (2) Current annualized base rent per leased square foot - GAAP basis is the monthly contractual rent per square foot as of the current period ended, or if rent has not yet commenced, the first monthly rent payment per square foot due at each rent commencement date, multiplied by 12 months as adjusted for straight line rent, fair-value lease revenue, and lease incentive revenue.
- (3) Leases on space which, in management's evaluation, require significant improvements to prepare or condition the premises

Table of Contents

for its intended purpose or enhance the value of the property. This generally includes capital expenditures for development, redevelopment or repositioning a property.

(4) Leases which are not considered by management to be first generation leases.

The following table summarizes our leasing activity and associated leasing costs for the six months ended June 30, 2012:

	Number of leases	Square feet	Tenant improvement costs per square foot	Lease commission costs per square foot	Tenant concession costs per square foot (1)
Renewals, amendments, and extensions(2)	13	158,277	\$4.71	\$1.97	\$3.71
New leases - first generation	16	539,499	59.44	11.65	19.07
New leases - second generation	16	119,298	20.12	3.82	5.30
Total / weighted-average	45	817,074	\$43.10	\$8.63	\$14.09

(1) Includes both rent concessions due to free or discounted rent periods and lease incentives paid to tenants.

Renewals, amendments and extensions were leased at a weighted-average current annualized base rent of \$27.60 (2) per square foot, representing a decrease of 0.6% over the previously expiring rents on a GAAP basis, excluding renewals of leases with tenants experiencing financial difficulties for which we were not previously recognizing revenue.

**Redevelopment/Development Activity**

The following summarizes our consolidated properties under redevelopment, pre-development or other construction activities at June 30, 2012 (dollars in thousands):

Property	Rentable Square Feet	Percent Leased	Capitalized Interest 3 Mo. Ended 6/30/12 (1)	Investment to Date (2)	Estimated Total Investment (3)	Estimated In-Service Date (4)
<b>Redevelopment</b>						
Ardsley Park	160,500	100.0	% \$329	\$34,700	\$36,000	In-service
9708-9714 Medical Center Drive	92,124	5.9	% 373	29,000	29,400	Q1 2013
1701 / 1711 Research Blvd	104,743	100.0	% 222	13,200	28,200	Q2 2013
Total / weighted-average	357,367	75.7	% \$924	\$76,900	\$93,600	
<b>Pre-development</b>						
Eccles Avenue	260,000	—	\$364	\$28,100	\$29,200	
4775 / 4785 Executive Drive	250,000	—	367	28,500	28,600	
450 Kendall Street (Kendall G)	53,000	—	118	9,200	10,400	
Total / weighted-average	563,000	—	\$849	\$65,800	\$68,200	
Other Capital Improvements (5)			\$317	\$29,600	\$68,400	
Total / weighted-average			\$2,090	\$172,300	\$230,200	

---

(1) Interest was capitalized at an average rate of 5.23% during the three months ended June 30, 2012.

(2) Includes amounts paid for acquiring the property, landlord improvements, and tenant improvement allowances, but

36

---

Table of Contents

excludes any amounts accrued, and payroll, interest or operating expenses capitalized, through June 30, 2012.

Excludes costs associated with speculative leasing. Pre-development only includes amounts related to basis, (3) planning, entitlement, or other preparations for future construction and excludes amounts for total estimated future construction costs.

Management's estimate of the time in which construction is substantially completed. A project is considered (4) substantially complete and held available for occupancy upon the completion of tenant improvements, but no later than one year from cessation of major construction activity.

(5) Includes improvements on operating properties, including major tenant improvement projects on properties which are not considered to be in Redevelopment or Pre-development as of June 30, 2012.

The following summarizes our capital expenditures during the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Six Months Ended			Percent	
	June 30, 2012	2011	Change	Change	
Development / Pre-development	\$2,422	\$28,146	\$(25,724 )	(91.4 )	%
Redevelopment	19,123	3,810	15,313	401.9	%
Tenant improvements - first generation	32,320	19,730	12,590	63.8	%
Recurring capital expenditures and second generation tenant improvements(1)	6,294	6,051	243	4.0	%
Other capital	19,544	23,800	(4,256 )	(17.9 )	%
Total capital expenditures	\$79,703	\$81,537	\$(1,834 )	(2.2 )	%

Recurring capital expenditures exclude (a) capital improvements that were taken into consideration when underwriting the purchase of a building, (b) items associated with the expansion of a building or its improvements, (1) (c) renovations to a building which change the underlying classification of the building, incurred to prepare or condition the premises for its intended purpose (for example, from office to laboratory) or (d) capital improvements that represent an addition to the property rather than the replacement of property, plant, or equipment. Includes revenue enhancing and non-revenue enhancing recurring capital expenditures.

Total capital expenditures decreased \$1.8 million to \$79.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 from \$81.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The change was primarily the result of the placement into service of a development property that was under development in 2011 totaling 176,000 square feet and less small scale capital expenditures throughout our portfolio, partially offset by the three new redevelopment projects described above and increased tenant improvement requirements related to increased leasing activity. See discussion in Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P. for further information on obligations for capital expenditures expected to be incurred in the future.

Acquisition Activity

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we acquired 898,351 rentable square feet of laboratory and office space, which was 93.4% leased at acquisition on a weighted-average basis, for \$410.1 million:

Property	Market	Closing Date	Investment
----------	--------	--------------	------------

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

			Rentable Square Feet	(In thousands)	Percent Leased at Acquisition	
Cambridge Place(1)	Boston	February 9, 2012	286,878	\$ 119,000	80.2	%
6122-6126 Nancy Ridge Drive	San Diego	April 25, 2012	68,000	20,000	100.0	%
550 Broadway Street	San Francisco	April 27, 2012	71,239	28,000	100.0	%
Summers Ridge(2)	San Diego	June 8, 2012	—	47,102	n/a	
Granta Park	University Related - Other	June 12, 2012	472,234	196,044	99.5	%
Total / weighted-average			898,351	\$ 410,146	93.4	%

37

---



Table of Contents

(1) Includes 210 Broadway, 50 Hampshire Street and 60 Hampshire Street properties.

(2) Includes vacant land subject to a 20 year ground lease signed subsequent to acquisition.

Comparison of the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 to the Three Months Ended June 30, 2011

The following table sets forth historical financial information of the continuing operations for same properties (all properties except properties held for sale, redevelopment/development, new properties, and corporate entities), redevelopment/development properties (properties that were entirely or primarily under redevelopment or development during either of the three months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011), new properties (properties that were not owned for each of the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and were not under redevelopment/development) and corporate entities (legal entities performing general and administrative functions and fees received from our PREI joint ventures) (dollars in thousands, except on a per square foot basis):

	Same Properties		Redevelopment/Development Properties		New Properties		Corporate		Total	
	June 30, 2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2012	2011	2012	2011	
Rentable square feet	10,465,607	10,465,607	533,367	533,367	1,606,536	—	N/A	N/A	12,605,510	10,998,974
Percent of total portfolio	83.0	% 95.2	% 4.2	% 4.8	% 12.8	% N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	% 100.0
Percent leased	87.5	% 84.3	% 83.7	% 82.7	% 86.2	% N/A	N/A	N/A	87.2	% 84.3
Current annualized base rent per square foot - GAAP basis	\$37.44	\$38.27	\$34.68	\$34.80	\$44.22	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$38.19	\$38.10
(1)										
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2012		2011		2012		2011		2011	
Rental revenue	\$82,273	\$80,558	\$2,211	\$585	\$11,222	\$—	\$2	\$2	\$95,708	\$81,145
Tenant recoveries	25,037	24,361	246	75	3,599	—	57	287	28,939	24,723
Other income	107	541	—	—	5	—	89	—	201	541
Total revenues	107,417	105,460	2,457	660	14,826	—	148	289	124,848	106,409
Rental operations	29,082	30,137	342	118	6,030	—	1,590	1,043	37,044	31,298
Net operating income	78,335	75,323	2,115	542	8,796	—	(1,442)	(754)	87,804	75,111
Adjustments to cash basis	(1,608)	(3,250)	(452)	—	1,145	—	(89)	—	(1,004)	(3,250)
(2)										

Net operating income - cash basis	\$76,727	\$72,073	\$ 1,663	\$ 542	\$9,941	\$—\$(1,531)	\$(754)	\$86,800	\$71,861
-----------------------------------	----------	----------	----------	--------	---------	--------------	---------	----------	----------

---

(1) Current annualized base rent per square foot - GAAP basis is the monthly contractual rent per square foot as of the current period ended, or if rent has not yet commenced, the first monthly rent payment per square foot due at each rent commencement date, multiplied by 12 months as adjusted for straight line rent, fair-value lease revenue, and lease incentive revenue.

(2) Adjustments to cash basis exclude adjustments to expenses accrued in rental operations, but include straight line rents, fair-value lease revenue, lease incentive revenue, bad debt expense and other revenue (including lease termination revenue).

The following table provides a reconciliation of net operating income - cash basis to net income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended			Percent	
	June 30, 2012	2011	Change	Change	
Net operating income - cash basis	\$86,800	\$71,861	\$14,939	20.8	%
Adjustments to cash basis	1,004	3,250	(2,246 )	(69.1	)%
Net operating income	87,804	75,111	12,693	16.9	%
Unallocated income / (expense) :					
Depreciation and amortization expense	47,575	35,696	11,879	33.3	%
General and administrative expense	8,576	6,694	1,882	28.1	%
Acquisition-related expenses	12,245	334	11,911	3,566.2	%
Income from operations	19,408	32,387	(12,979 )	(40.1	)%
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	(317 )	(466 )	149	(32.0	)%
Interest expense, net	(23,825 )	(23,378 )	(447 )	1.9	%
Other expense	(549 )	(691 )	142	(20.5	)%
(Loss) / income from continuing operations	(5,283 )	7,852	(13,135 )	(167.3	)%
Income from discontinued operations	49	95	(46 )	(48.4	)%
Net (loss) / income	\$(5,234 )	\$7,947	\$(13,181 )	(165.9	)%

Net Operating Income. Net operating income increased \$12.7 million to \$87.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$75.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. This increase was due to the following:

The acquisition of properties totaling 708,185 square feet in 2011 and properties totaling 898,351 square feet in the six months ended June 30, 2012 contributed an additional \$8.8 million in net operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011.

The placement into service of a development property that was under development in 2011 totaling 176,000 square feet resulted in an increase of \$1.6 million in net operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Same property net operating income increased \$3.0 million to \$78.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$75.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. This increase was primarily due to increased leasing activity in our same property portfolio during 2011 and 2012, which increased the leased percentage from 84.3% at June 30, 2011 to 87.5% at June 30, 2012, and resulted in the following:

An increase in the percentage of recoverable expenses in our same property portfolio to 86.1% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 80.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2011. This increase in the percentage of recoverable expenses contributed an additional \$1.6 million in net operating income for the three months ended June 30, 2012.

An increase in rental revenue of \$1.7 million directly attributable to the commencement of leases in our same property portfolio. On a GAAP basis, the current annualized base rent per square foot decreased to \$37.44 at June 30, 2012 from \$38.27 at June 30, 2011 due to lease up of previously vacant space at a lower average rent than our total overall portfolio on a per square foot basis.

These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$434,000 in other revenue which related to consideration received related to the sale of equipment at one of our properties during the three months ended June 30, 2011.

Depreciation and Amortization Expense. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$11.9 million to \$47.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$35.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to the acquisition of properties totaling 708,185 square feet with an acquisition date fair-value of \$431.5 million in 2011 and properties totaling 898,351 square feet with an acquisition date fair-value of \$410.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$1.9 million to \$8.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$6.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due

Table of Contents

to an increase in aggregate compensation costs due to higher headcount as compared to the prior year.

**Acquisition-Related Expenses.** Acquisition-related expenses increased to \$12.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$334,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to a United Kingdom transfer tax assessed in connection with our purchase of Granta Park and an increase in acquisition activities in the three months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the prior year period.

**Equity in Net Loss of Unconsolidated Partnerships.** Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships decreased \$149,000 to \$317,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$466,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2011. The decreased loss primarily reflects our acquisition of PREI's interest in certain assets held by PREI I LLC in December 2011. Up to the date of the acquisition, our interest in the related assets was accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Since our acquisition of PREI's interest in December 2011, the related assets have been wholly-owned by us and consolidated within our financial statements.

**Interest Expense, Net.** Interest cost incurred for the three months ended June 30, 2012 totaled \$25.9 million compared to \$25.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Total interest cost incurred increased primarily as a result of higher average debt balances outstanding during 2012 and increases in the average interest rate on our outstanding borrowings due to the issuance of new variable-rate indebtedness, partially offset by the expiration of derivative instruments and repayment of certain higher coupon mortgage notes payable. Interest expense, net increased \$447,000 to \$23.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$23.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense, net increased primarily as a result of the increase in interest cost incurred partially offset by an increase in capitalized interest.

Interest expense, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Mortgage notes payable	\$9,882	\$11,130
Amortization of debt premium on mortgage notes payable	(130)	(427)
Amortization of deferred interest costs (see Note 9)	1,736	1,760
Derivative instruments (see Note 9)	467	1,296
Unsecured term loan	1,911	—
Exchangeable senior notes	1,688	1,910
Unsecured senior notes	7,767	7,635
Amortization of debt discount on notes	173	259
Unsecured line of credit	235	246
Unsecured line of credit fees	663	233
Amortization of deferred loan fees	1,523	1,153
Interest cost incurred	25,915	25,195
Capitalized interest	(2,090)	(1,817)
Total interest expense, net	\$23,825	\$23,378

Other Expense. Other expense consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Gain / (loss) on extinguishment of debt	\$34	\$(249)
Other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities	(545)	(825)
Gain on derivative instruments	—	383
Foreign income tax expense	(38)	—
Total other expense	\$(549)	\$(691)

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, we repaid in full the outstanding mortgage note totaling approximately \$6.3

40

---

Table of Contents

million pertaining to the 6828 Nancy Ridge Drive property. This resulted in the recognition of a gain on extinguishment of debt representing the write-off of unamortized debt premium, partially offset by the write-off of deferred loan fees. During the three months ended June 30, 2011, we repaid in full the outstanding mortgage note totaling approximately \$4.6 million pertaining to the Ardentech Court property, prior to its maturity date. The repayments resulted in the recognition of a loss on extinguishment of debt (representing a prepayment penalty and the write-off of deferred loan fees partially offset by the write off of unamortized debt premium). For both the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, significant declines in the value of investments in available-for-sale securities in a publicly traded company we considered other-than-temporary resulted in the reclassification through net income of an unrealized loss from other comprehensive income. The loss on derivative instruments for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was due to an increase in our variable-rate indebtedness during the period, resulting in other comprehensive income being reclassified to the consolidated income statement due to mismatches in forecasted transactions on interest rate swaps. Foreign income tax expense relates to entity level income taxes on our Granta Park investment.

Income from Discontinued Operations. In April 2012, we completed the exchange of our Forbes Boulevard property and have reclassified the income and expense attributable to the Forbes Boulevard property to discontinued operations. Income from discontinued operations was approximately \$49,000 and \$95,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011

The following table sets forth historical financial information of the continuing operations for same properties (all properties except properties held for sale, redevelopment/development, new properties, and corporate entities), redevelopment/development properties (properties that were entirely or primarily under redevelopment or development during either of the six months ended June 30, 2012 or 2011), new properties (properties that were not owned for each of the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and were not under redevelopment/development) and corporate entities (legal entities performing general and administrative functions and fees received from our PREI joint ventures) (dollars in thousands, except on a per square foot basis):

	Same Properties		Redevelopment/Development Properties		New Properties		Corporate		Total	
	June 30, 2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011	2012	2011
Rentable square feet	10,465,607	10,465,607	533,367	533,367	1,606,536	—	N/A	N/A	12,605,510	10,998,974
Percent of total portfolio	83.0	% 95.2	% 4.2	% 4.8	% 12.8	% N/A	N/A	N/A	100.0	% 100.0
Percent leased	87.5	% 84.3	% 83.7	% 82.7	% 86.2	% N/A	N/A	N/A	87.2	% 84.3
Current annualized base rent per square foot - GAAP basis	\$37.44	\$38.27	\$34.68	\$34.80	\$44.22	N/A	N/A	N/A	\$38.19	\$38.10
(1)										
	Six Months Ended June 30,		2012		2011		2012		2011	
Rental revenue	\$162,947	\$160,077	\$4,305	\$969	\$19,927	\$—	\$4	\$4	\$187,183	\$161,050
	49,913	48,433	579	187	6,758	—	140	644	57,390	49,264

Edgar Filing: BioMed Realty Trust Inc - Form 10-Q

Tenant recoveries									
Other income	161	1,280	—	—	7	—117	8	285	1,288
Total revenues	213,021	209,790	4,884	1,156	26,692	—261	656	244,858	211,602
Rental operations	57,987	59,637	763	345	11,413	—3,610	2,389	73,773	62,371
Net operating income	155,034	150,153	4,121	811	15,279	—(3,349 )	(1,733 )	171,085	149,231
Adjustments to cash basis	(2,343 )	(5,217 )	(842 )	1	2,447	—(117 )	(8 )	(855 )	(5,224 )
Net operating income - cash basis	\$152,691	\$144,936	\$3,279	\$812	\$17,726	\$—(3,466)	\$(1,741)	\$170,230	\$144,007



Table of Contents

(1) Current annualized base rent per square foot - GAAP basis is the monthly contractual rent per square foot as of the current period ended, or if rent has not yet commenced, the first monthly rent payment per square foot due at each rent commencement date, multiplied by 12 months as adjusted for straight line rent, fair-value lease revenue, and lease incentive revenue.

(2) Adjustments to cash basis exclude adjustments to expenses accrued in rental operations, but include straight line rents, fair-value lease revenue, lease incentive revenue, bad debt expense and other revenue (including lease termination revenue).

The following table provides a reconciliation of net operating income - cash basis to net income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (dollars in thousands):

	Six Months Ended			Percent	
	June 30, 2012	2011	Change	Change	
Net operating income - cash basis	\$170,230	\$144,007	\$26,223	18.2	%
Adjustments to cash basis	855	5,224	(4,369)	(83.6)	)%
Net operating income	171,085	149,231	21,854	14.6	%
Unallocated income / (expense) :					
Depreciation and amortization expense	92,508	69,447	23,061	33.2	%
General and administrative expense	17,191	14,115	3,076	21.8	%
Acquisition-related expenses	12,879	653	12,226	1,872.3	%
Income from operations	48,507	65,016	(16,509)	(25.4)	)%
Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships	(671)	(1,115)	444	(39.8)	)%
Interest expense, net	(46,044)	(44,568)	(1,476)	3.3	%
Other expense	(375)	(1,745)	1,370	(78.5)	)%
Income from continuing operations	1,417	17,588	(16,171)	(91.9)	)%
(Loss) / income from discontinued operations	(4,370)	236	(4,606)	(1,951.7)	)%
Net (loss) / income	\$(2,953)	\$17,824	\$(20,777)	(116.6)	)%

Net Operating Income. Net operating income increased \$21.9 million to \$171.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$149.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This increase was due to the following:

The acquisition of properties totaling 708,185 square feet in 2011 and properties totaling 898,351 square feet in the six months ended June 30, 2012 contributed an additional \$15.3 million in net operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011.

- The placement into service of a development property that was under development in 2011 totaling 176,000 square feet resulted in an increase of \$3.3 million in net operating income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Same property net operating income increased \$4.8 million to \$155.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$150.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This increase was primarily due to increased leasing activity in our same property portfolio during 2011 and 2012, which increased the leased percentage from 84.3% at June 30, 2011 to 87.5% at June 30, 2012, and resulted in the following:

• An increase in the percentage of recoverable expenses in our same property portfolio to 86.1% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to 81.2% for the six months ended June 30, 2011. This increase in the percentage of recoverable expenses contributed an additional \$3.1 million in net operating income for the six months ended June 30,

2012.

An increase in rental revenue of \$2.8 million directly attributable to the commencement of leases in our same property portfolio. On a GAAP basis, the current annualized base rent per square foot decreased to \$37.44 at June 30, 2012 from \$38.27 at June 30, 2011 due to lease up of previously vacant space at a lower average rent than our total overall portfolio on a per square foot basis.

42

---

Table of Contents

These increases were partially offset by a decrease of \$1.1 million in other revenue which related to lease termination payments received and consideration received related to the sale of equipment at one of our properties during the six months ended June 30, 2011. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate the credit quality of our tenants. Factors we consider as part of this evaluation include, among other things, the financial strength of the tenant and any guarantors, a review of publicly filed documents and analyst research reports (as a majority of our tenants are public companies), a review of the tenant's cash balance and estimated cash "burn" rate if the tenant's cash flow from operations is negative, and the tenant's payment history. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, based on management's review, there have been no changes in tenant credit quality that have had or are expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

**Depreciation and Amortization Expense.** Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$23.1 million to \$92.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$69.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to the acquisition of properties totaling 708,185 square feet with an acquisition date fair-value of \$431.5 million in 2011 and properties totaling 898,351 square feet with an acquisition date fair-value of \$410.1 million in the six months ended June 30, 2012.

**General and Administrative Expenses.** General and administrative expenses increased \$3.1 million to \$17.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$14.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to an increase in aggregate compensation costs due to higher headcount as compared to the prior year.

**Acquisition-Related Expenses.** Acquisition-related expenses increased to \$12.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$653,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to a United Kingdom transfer tax assessed in connection with our purchase of Granta Park and an increase in acquisition activities in the six months ended June 30, 2012 as compared to the prior year period.

**Equity in Net Loss of Unconsolidated Partnerships.** Equity in net loss of unconsolidated partnerships decreased \$444,000 to \$671,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$1.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The decreased loss primarily reflects our acquisition of PREI's interest in certain assets held by PREI I LLC in December 2011. Up to the date of the acquisition, our interest in the related assets was accounted for using the equity method of accounting. Since our acquisition of PREI's interest in December 2011, the related assets have been wholly-owned by us and consolidated within our financial statements.

**Interest Expense, Net.** Interest cost incurred for the six months ended June 30, 2012 totaled \$50.5 million compared to \$47.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Total interest cost incurred increased primarily as a result of higher average debt balances outstanding during 2012 and increases in the average interest rate on our outstanding borrowings due to the issuance of new indebtedness with a higher interest rate than the variable-rate indebtedness it replaced, partially offset by the expiration of derivative instruments and repayment of certain higher coupon mortgage notes payable. Interest expense, net increased \$1.4 million to \$46.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$44.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Interest expense, net increased primarily as a result of the increase in interest cost incurred partially offset by an increase in capitalized interest.

Interest expense, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

Table of Contents

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Mortgage notes payable	\$20,157	\$22,507
Amortization of debt premium on mortgage notes payable	(361	) (924
Amortization of deferred interest costs (see Note 9)	3,479	3,525
Derivative instruments (see Note 9)	477	2,941
Unsecured term loan	1,953	—
Exchangeable senior notes	3,375	3,821
Unsecured senior notes	15,445	11,549
Amortization of debt discount on notes	341	399
Unsecured line of credit	1,545	1,510
Unsecured line of credit fees	1,326	340
Amortization of deferred loan fees	2,757	2,211
Interest cost incurred	50,494	47,879
Capitalized interest	(4,450	) (3,311
Total interest expense, net	\$46,044	\$44,568

Other Expense. Other expense consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	
	2012	2011
Gain / (loss) on extinguishment of debt	\$216	\$(292
Other-than-temporary impairment of marketable securities	(545	) (825
Loss on derivative instruments	(8	) (628
Foreign income tax expense	(38	) —
Total other expense	\$(375	) \$(1,745

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, we repaid in full the outstanding mortgage notes totaling approximately \$32.5 million pertaining to the Sidney Street and 6828 Nancy Ridge Drive properties. This resulted in the recognition of a gain on extinguishment of debt representing the write-off of unamortized debt premium, partially offset by the write-off of deferred loan fees. During the six months ended June 30, 2011, we repaid in full the outstanding mortgage notes totaling approximately \$30.1 million pertaining to the Road to the Cure, 10255 Science Center Drive and Ardentech Court properties. The repayments resulted in the recognition of a loss on extinguishment of debt (representing prepayment penalties and the write-off of deferred loan fees partially offset by the write off of unamortized debt premiums). For both the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, significant declines in the value of investments in available-for-sale securities in a publicly traded company we considered other-than-temporary resulted in the reclassification of an unrealized loss from other comprehensive income. The loss on derivative instruments for the six months ended June 30, 2012 relates to decreases in the value of warrants held, and the loss on derivative instruments for the six months ended June 30, 2011 reflects hedging ineffectiveness associated with certain interest rate derivative contracts. Foreign income tax expense relates to entity level income taxes on our Granta Park investment.

(Loss) / Income from Discontinued Operations. In April 2012, we completed the exchange of our Forbes Boulevard property and have reclassified the income and expense attributable to the Forbes Boulevard property to discontinued operations. Loss from discontinued operations was approximately \$4.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 due to an impairment loss that was recorded, as the carrying value of the property exceeded the value of the consideration we received when the property was disposed. Income from discontinued operations was approximately of \$236,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

## Cash Flows

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 to the Six Months Ended June 30, 2011



Table of Contents

	2012	2011	Change
	(In thousands)		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$108,118	\$81,772	\$26,346
Net cash used in investing activities	(450,952 )	(122,568 )	(328,384 )
Net cash provided by financing activities	343,738	31,362	312,376
Ending cash and cash equivalents balance	17,385	12,033	5,352

Net cash provided by operating activities increased \$26.3 million to \$108.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$81.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to cash flow generated by acquisitions and cash rent starts on new leases.

Net cash used in investing activities increased \$328.4 million to \$451.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$122.6 million for the six months ended December 31, 2011. The increase reflects increased acquisition activity during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$312.3 million to \$343.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to \$31.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The increase primarily reflects increased financing requirements due to increased acquisition activity during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2011. The proceeds from the issuances of our Term Loan in March 2012 and Notes due 2022 in June 2012 were primarily used to repay balances due under our unsecured line of credit and mortgage notes payable. The proceeds from the issuance of our Notes due 2016 in March 2011 were primarily used to repay balances due under our unsecured line of credit and mortgage notes payable.

#### Funds from Operations

We present funds from operations, or FFO, and FFO excluding acquisition-related expenses, or Core FFO, available to common shares and OP units because we consider them to be important supplemental measures of our operating performance and believe it is frequently used by securities analysts, investors and other interested parties in the evaluation of REITs, many of which present FFO and Core FFO when reporting their results. FFO and Core FFO are intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate and related assets, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions. Because FFO and Core FFO exclude depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, gains and losses from depreciable property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact to operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, development activities and interest costs, providing perspective not immediately apparent from net income. We compute FFO in accordance with standards established by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. As defined by NAREIT, FFO represents net income (computed in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable property, impairment charges on depreciable real estate, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of loan origination costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. Our computations may differ from the methodologies for calculating FFO and Core FFO utilized by other equity REITs and, accordingly, may not be comparable to such other REITs. Further, FFO and Core FFO do not represent amounts available for management's discretionary use because of needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties. FFO and Core FFO should not be considered alternatives to net income / (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

Our FFO and Core FFO available to common shares and OP units and a reconciliation to net income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands, except per share and share data) were as follows:



Table of Contents

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
Net (loss) / income available to the common stockholders	\$(8,713	) \$3,638	\$(10,053	) \$9,168
Adjustments:				
Impairment loss	—	—	4,552	—
Noncontrolling interests in operating partnership(1)	(166	) 82	(192	) 207
Depreciation and amortization - unconsolidated partnerships	323	944	645	1,865
Depreciation and amortization - consolidated entities	47,575	35,696	92,508	69,447
Depreciation and amortization - discontinued operations	—	92	92	178
Depreciation and amortization - allocable to noncontrolling interest of consolidated joint ventures	(27	) (26	) (55	) (52
Funds from operations available to common shares and units - basic	38,992	40,426	87,497	80,813
Interest expense on Exchangeable Senior Notes(2)	1,688	1,688	3,375	3,375
Funds from operations available to common shares and units - diluted	40,680	42,114	90,872	84,188
Acquisition related expenses	12,245	334	12,879	653
Core funds from operations - diluted	\$52,925	\$42,448	\$103,751	\$84,841
Core funds from operations per share - diluted	\$0.32	\$0.29	\$0.62	\$0.59
Weighted-average common shares and units outstanding - diluted(2) (3)	167,238,695	144,254,164	167,237,418	144,262,597

Net income allocable to noncontrolling interests in the operating partnership is included in net income available to (1) unitholders of the operating partnership as reflected in the consolidated financial statements of BioMed Realty, L.P., included elsewhere herein.

Reflects interest expense adjustment of the Exchangeable Senior Notes based on the “if converted” method. Both the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 include 10,127,232 shares of common stock potentially issuable pursuant to the exchange feature of the Exchangeable Senior Notes based on the “if converted” method, respectively. (2) Both the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 include 10,017,858 shares of common stock potentially issuable pursuant to the exchange feature of the Exchangeable Senior Notes based on the “if converted” method, respectively.

The three and six months ended June 30, 2012 includes 2,947,140 and 2,956,543 shares of OP and LTIP units, which are considered anti-dilutive for purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share, respectively. The three and six months ended June 30, 2012 include 1,388,901 and 1,437,928 shares of unvested restricted stock, which (3) are considered anti-dilutive for purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share, respectively. The three and six months ended June 30, 2011 include 1,395,374 and 1,441,642 shares of unvested restricted stock, which are considered anti-dilutive for purposes of calculating diluted earnings per share, respectively.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.

In this “Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.” section, the term the “Company” refers only to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. on an unconsolidated basis, and excludes the operating partnership and all other subsidiaries. For further discussion of the liquidity and capital resources of the Company on a consolidated basis, see the section entitled “Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P.” below.

The Company's business is operated primarily through the operating partnership. The Company issues public equity from time to time, but does not otherwise generate any capital itself or conduct any business itself, other than incurring certain expenses in operating as a public company which are fully reimbursed by the operating partnership.



The Company itself does not hold any indebtedness, and its only material asset is its ownership of partnership interests of the operating partnership. The Company's

46

---

Table of Contents

principal funding requirement is the payment of dividends on its common and preferred shares. The Company's principal source of funding for its dividend payments is distributions it receives from the operating partnership. As of June 30, 2012, the Company owned an approximate 98.1% partnership interest and other limited partners, including some of our directors, executive officers and their affiliates, owned the remaining 1.9% partnership interest (including LTIP units) in the operating partnership. As the sole general partner of the operating partnership, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has the full, exclusive and complete responsibility for the operating partnership's day-to-day management and control.

The liquidity of the Company is dependent on the operating partnership's ability to make sufficient distributions to the Company. The primary cash requirement of the Company is its payment of dividends to its stockholders. The Company also guarantees some of the operating partnership's debt, as discussed further in Note 5 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein. If the operating partnership fails to fulfill certain of its debt requirements, which trigger the Company's guarantee obligations, then the Company will be required to fulfill its cash payment commitments under such guarantees. However, the Company's only significant asset is its investment in the operating partnership.

We believe the operating partnership's sources of working capital, specifically its cash flow from operations, and borrowings available under its unsecured line of credit, are adequate for it to make its distribution payments to the Company and, in turn, for the Company to make its dividend payments to its stockholders. However, we cannot assure you that the operating partnership's sources of capital will continue to be available at all or in amounts sufficient to meet its needs, including its ability to make distribution payments to the Company. The unavailability of capital could adversely affect the operating partnership's ability to pay its distributions to the Company, which would in turn, adversely affect the Company's ability to pay cash dividends to its stockholders.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for future dividends expected to be paid to the Company's stockholders, operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our properties, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness, general and administrative expenses, construction projects, capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

The Company may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem the operating partnership's outstanding debt, the Company's shares of common stock or preferred stock or other securities in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or redemptions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

For the Company to maintain its qualification as a REIT, it must pay dividends to its stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of its ordinary taxable income. While historically the Company has satisfied this distribution requirement by making cash distributions to its stockholders, it may choose to satisfy this requirement by making distributions of cash or other property, including, in limited circumstances, the Company's own stock. As a result of this distribution requirement, the operating partnership cannot rely on retained earnings to fund its ongoing operations to the same extent that other companies whose parent companies are not REITs can. The Company may need to continue to raise capital in the equity markets to fund the operating partnership's working capital needs, acquisitions and developments.

The Company is a well-known seasoned issuer with an effective shelf registration statement which was amended in November 2010 that allows the Company to register an unspecified amount of various classes of equity securities and the operating partnership to register an unspecified amount of various classes of debt securities. As circumstances warrant, the Company may issue equity from time to time on an opportunistic basis, dependent upon market conditions and available pricing. When the Company receives proceeds from preferred or common equity issuances, it is required by the operating partnership's partnership agreement to contribute the proceeds from its equity issuances to the operating partnership in exchange for preferred or partnership units of the operating partnership. The operating partnership may use the proceeds to repay debt, including borrowings under its unsecured line of credit, develop new or existing properties, acquire properties, or for general corporate purposes.

Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P.

In this “Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty, L.P.” section, the terms “we,” “our” and “us” refer to the operating partnership together with its consolidated subsidiaries or our operating partnership and BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. together with their consolidated subsidiaries, as the context requires. BioMed Realty Trust, Inc., or our Parent Company, is our sole general partner and consolidates our results of operations for financial reporting purposes. Because we operate on a consolidated basis with our Parent Company, the section entitled “Liquidity and Capital Resources of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.” should be read in conjunction with this section to understand our liquidity and capital resources on a consolidated basis.

Our short-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for future dividends expected to be paid to our Parent

Table of Contents

Company's stockholders, operating expenses and other expenditures directly associated with our properties, interest expense and scheduled principal payments on outstanding indebtedness, general and administrative expenses, construction projects, capital expenditures, tenant improvements and leasing commissions.

The remaining principal payments due for our consolidated and our proportionate share of unconsolidated indebtedness (excluding debt premiums and discounts) as of June 30, 2012 were as follows (in thousands):

	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	Thereafter	Total
Consolidated indebtedness:							
Fixed-rate mortgages	\$3,922	\$8,291	\$339,020	\$6,253	\$143,426	\$47,109	\$548,021
Unsecured line of credit	—	—	—	78,000	—	—	78,000
Unsecured senior term loan	—	—	—	—	—	400,000	400,000
Exchangeable Senior Notes	—	—	—	—	—	180,000	180,000
Notes due 2016	—	—	—	—	400,000	—	400,000
Notes due 2020	—	—	—	—	—	250,000	250,000
Notes due 2022	—	—	—	—	—	250,000	250,000
Total consolidated indebtedness	3,922	8,291	339,020	84,253	543,426	1,127,109	2,106,021
Share of unconsolidated indebtedness:							
Secured construction loan	—	27,795	—	—	—	—	27,795
Total share of unconsolidated indebtedness	—	27,795	—	—	—	—	27,795
Total indebtedness	\$3,922	\$36,086	\$339,020	\$84,253	\$543,426	\$1,127,109	\$2,133,816

There are no consolidated debt maturities until 2014, which includes the \$340.3 million mortgage loan secured by our Center for Life Science | Boston property. This mortgage loan includes a financial covenant relating to a minimum amount of net worth. Management believes that it was in compliance with this covenant as of June 30, 2012.

The terms of the indentures governing the Notes due 2016, Notes due 2020 and Notes due 2022 require compliance with various financial covenants, including limits on the amount of total leverage and secured debt maintained by us and which require us to maintain minimum levels of debt service coverage. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2012.

On March 30, 2012, we entered into the Term Loan with KeyBank National Association, as administrative agent, and certain other lenders, providing for borrowings to us of \$400.0 million.

The Term Loan and the credit agreement governing our unsecured line of credit include certain restrictions and covenants which require compliance with financial covenants relating to the minimum amounts of net worth, fixed charge coverage, unsecured debt service coverage, overall leverage and unsecured leverage ratios, the maximum amount of secured indebtedness and certain investment limitations. Management believes that it was in compliance with these covenants as of June 30, 2012.

On June 28, 2012, we issued \$250.0 million principal amount of our Notes due 2022, which are governed by a base indenture and supplemental indenture, dated March 30, 2011 and June 28, 2012, respectively, among us, as issuer, our Parent Company, as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee.

Our long-term liquidity requirements consist primarily of funds to pay for scheduled debt maturities, construction obligations, renovations, expansions, capital commitments and other non-recurring capital expenditures that need to be made periodically, and the costs associated with acquisitions of properties that we pursue. At June 30, 2012, we had acquired a participating interest in a construction loan and entered into construction contracts and lease agreements, with a remaining commitment totaling approximately \$346.0 million related to the construction loan funding, tenant improvements, leasing commissions and construction-related capital expenditures, of which \$99.5 million are expected to be paid in 2012, and \$246.5 million are expected to be paid in 2013 or thereafter.

We expect to satisfy our short-term liquidity requirements through our existing working capital and cash provided by our operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness, the issuance of additional equity or debt securities and the use of net proceeds from the disposition of non-strategic assets. Our rental revenues, provided by our leases, generally provide cash inflows to meet our debt service obligations, pay general and administrative expenses, and fund regular distributions. We expect to satisfy our long-term liquidity requirements through our existing working capital, cash provided by operations, long-term secured and

Table of Contents

unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of additional equity or debt securities. We also expect to use funds available under our unsecured line of credit to finance acquisition and development activities and capital expenditures on an interim basis. In addition, we have an investment grade rating, which we believe will provide us with continued access to the unsecured debt markets, providing us with an additional source of long term financing.

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s total capitalization at June 30, 2012 was approximately \$5.2 billion and comprised the following:

	Shares/Units at June 30, 2012  (In thousands)	Aggregate Principal Amount or Dollar Value Equivalent	Percent of Total Capitalization	
<b>Debt:</b>				
Mortgage notes payable(1)		\$ 548,021	10.5	%
Exchangeable Senior Notes		180,000	3.4	%
Notes due 2016(1)		400,000	7.6	%
Notes due 2020(1)		250,000	4.8	%
Notes due 2022(1)		250,000	4.8	%
Unsecured senior term loan		400,000	7.6	%
Unsecured line of credit		78,000	1.5	%
Total debt		2,106,021	40.2	%
<b>Equity:</b>				
Common shares, operating partnership and LTIP units outstanding(2)	157,126,502	2,935,122	56.0	%
7.375% Series A Preferred shares outstanding(3)	7,920,000	198,000	3.8	%
Total capital		3,133,122	59.8	%
Total capitalization		\$ 5,239,143	100.0	%

(1) Amounts exclude unamortized debt premiums and unamortized debt discounts.

Aggregate amount based on the market closing price of the common stock of our Parent Company of \$18.68 per share on the last trading day of the quarter (June 29, 2012). Limited partners who have been issued OP units have the right to require the operating partnership to redeem part or all of their OP units, which right with respect to

(2) LTIP units is subject to vesting and the satisfaction of other conditions. We may elect to acquire those OP units in exchange for shares of our Parent Company's common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment. At June 30, 2012, 154,183,744 of the outstanding OP units had been issued to our Parent Company upon receipt of the net proceeds from the issuance of an equal number of shares of our Parent Company's common stock.

(3) Based on the liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share of our Parent Company's 7.375% Series A preferred stock (we have issued a corresponding number of 7.375% Series A preferred units).

Although our organizational documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness that we may incur, our Parent Company's board of directors has adopted a policy of targeting our indebtedness at approximately 50% of our total asset book value. At June 30, 2012, the ratio of debt to total asset book value was approximately 43.9%. However, our Parent Company's board of directors may from time to time modify our debt policy in light of current economic or market conditions including, but not limited to, the relative costs of debt and equity capital, market conditions for debt and equity securities and fluctuations in the market price of our Parent Company's common stock. Accordingly, we may increase or decrease our debt to total asset book value ratio beyond the limit described above. In addition, the terms of the indentures governing our Notes due 2016, Notes due 2020 and Notes due 2022, the Term Loan credit facility and the credit agreement governing our unsecured line of credit require compliance with various financial covenants and ratios, which are discussed in detail above and in Note 5 in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements contained elsewhere herein.

We may from time to time seek to repurchase or redeem our outstanding debt, OP units or preferred units (subject to the repurchase or redemption of an equivalent number of shares of common stock or preferred stock by our Parent Company) or other securities, and our Parent Company may seek to repurchase or redeem its outstanding shares of common stock or preferred stock or other securities, in each case in open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise. Such repurchases or

Table of Contents

redemptions, if any, will depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2012, we had investments in the following unconsolidated partnerships: (1) McKellar Court limited partnership, which owns a single tenant occupied property located in San Diego; and (2) two limited liability companies with PREI, which own a portfolio of properties located in Cambridge, Massachusetts (see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included elsewhere herein for more information).

The McKellar Court partnership is a VIE; however, we are not the primary beneficiary. The limited partner at McKellar Court is the only tenant in the property and will bear a disproportionate amount of any losses. We, as the general partner, will receive 22% of the operating cash flows and 75% of the gains upon sale of the property. We account for our general partner interest using the equity method. The assets of the McKellar Court partnership were \$14.2 million and \$14.4 million and the liabilities were \$10.5 million and \$10.5 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Our equity in net income of the McKellar Court partnership was \$455,000 and \$453,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. In December 2009, we provided funding in the form of a promissory note to the McKellar Court partnership in the amount of \$10.3 million, which matures at the earlier of (1) January 1, 2020, or (2) the day that the limited partner exercises an option to purchase our ownership interest. Interest-only payments on the promissory note are due monthly at a fixed rate of 8.15% (the rate may adjust higher after January 1, 2015), with the principal balance outstanding due at maturity.

PREI II LLC is a VIE; however, we are not the primary beneficiary. PREI will bear the majority of any losses incurred. PREI I LLC does not qualify as a VIE. In addition, consolidation is not required as we do not control the limited liability companies. In connection with the formation of the PREI joint ventures in April 2007, we contributed 20% of the initial capital. However, the amount of cash flow distributions that we receive may be more or less based on the nature of the circumstances underlying the cash distributions due to provisions in the operating agreements governing the distribution of funds to each member and the occurrence of extraordinary cash flow events. We account for our member interests using the equity method for both limited liability companies. The assets of the PREI joint ventures were \$247.2 million and \$249.7 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, and the liabilities were \$141.4 million and \$140.2 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. Our equity in net loss of the PREI joint ventures was \$1.1 million and \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

We are the primary beneficiary in six other VIEs, consisting of single-tenant properties in which the tenant has a fixed-price purchase option, which are consolidated and reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

Our proportionate share of outstanding debt related to our unconsolidated partnerships is summarized below (dollars in thousands):

Name	Ownership Percentage	Interest Rate(2)	Principal Amount(1)		Maturity Date
			June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011	
PREI I LLC(3)	20	% 3.3	% \$27,795	\$ 27,795	August 13, 2013
Total			\$27,795	\$ 27,795	

(1) Amount represents our proportionate share of the total outstanding indebtedness for each of the unconsolidated partnerships.

(2) Effective or weighted-average interest rate of the outstanding indebtedness as of June 30, 2012.

Amount represents our proportionate share of a secured loan, which bears interest at a LIBOR-indexed variable rate with a borrowing capacity of up to \$139.0 million. The secured loan was executed by a wholly owned

(3) subsidiary of PREI I LLC in connection with the construction of the 650 East Kendall Street property. In accordance with the loan agreement, Prudential Insurance Corporation of America has guaranteed repayment of the secured loan.



Cash Distribution Policy

We elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, or the Code, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2004. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet a number of organizational and operational requirements,

50

---

Table of Contents

including the requirement that we distribute currently at least 90% of our ordinary taxable income to our stockholders. It is our intention to comply with these requirements and maintain our REIT status. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to corporate federal, state or local income taxes on taxable income we distribute currently (in accordance with the Code and applicable regulations) to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal, state and local income taxes at regular corporate rates and may not be able to qualify as a REIT for subsequent tax years. Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we may be subject to certain state and local taxes on our income and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed taxable income, i.e., taxable income not distributed in the amounts and in the time frames prescribed by the Code and applicable regulations thereunder.

While we most recently paid a dividend on shares of common stock at an annual dividend rate of \$0.86 per share, the actual dividend payable in the future will be determined by our board of directors based upon the circumstances at the time of declaration and, as a result, the actual dividend payable in the future may vary from the current rate. The decision to declare and pay dividends on shares of our common stock in the future, as well as the timing, amount and composition of any such future dividends, will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors in light of conditions then existing, including our earnings, financial condition, capital requirements, debt maturities, the availability of debt and equity capital, applicable REIT and legal restrictions and the general overall economic conditions and other factors.

The following table provides historical dividend information for our common and preferred stock for the prior two fiscal years and the six months ended June 30, 2012:

Quarter Ended	Date Declared	Date Paid	Dividend per Common Share	Dividend per Preferred Share
March 31, 2010	March 15, 2010	April 15, 2010	\$0.140	\$0.46094
June 30, 2010	June 15, 2010	July 15, 2010	0.150	0.46094
September 30, 2010	September 15, 2010	October 15, 2010	0.170	0.46094
December 31, 2010	December 15, 2010	January 17, 2011	0.170	0.46094
March 31, 2011	March 14, 2011	April 15, 2011	0.200	0.46094
June 30, 2011	June 15, 2011	July 15, 2011	0.200	0.46094
September 30, 2011	September 15, 2011	October 17, 2011	0.200	0.46094
December 31, 2011	December 14, 2011	January 17, 2012	0.200	0.46094
March 31, 2012	March 15, 2012	April 16, 2012	0.215	0.46094
June 30, 2012	June 15, 2012	July 16, 2012	0.215	0.46094

**Inflation**

Some of our leases contain provisions designed to mitigate the adverse impact of inflation. These provisions generally increase rental rates during the terms of the leases either at fixed rates or indexed escalations (based on the Consumer Price Index or other measures). We may be adversely impacted by inflation on the leases that do not contain indexed escalation provisions. In addition, most of our leases require the tenant to pay an allocable share of operating expenses, including common area maintenance costs, real estate taxes and insurance. This may reduce our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation, assuming our properties remain leased and tenants fulfill their obligations to reimburse us for such expenses.

Portions of our unsecured line of credit bear interest at a variable rate, which will be influenced by changes in short-term interest rates, and will be sensitive to inflation.

**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Our future income, cash flows and fair-values relevant to financial instruments depend upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk is the exposure to loss resulting from changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates,

commodity prices and equity prices. Many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors that are beyond our control contribute to interest rate risk, equity price risk, and foreign currency exchange rate risk.

Interest rate risk

As of June 30, 2012, our consolidated debt consisted of the following (dollars in thousands):

51

---

Table of Contents

	Principal Balance (1)	Percent of Total Debt	Effective Interest Rate at June 30, 2012	
Fixed interest rate (2)	\$1,624,441	77.3	% 5.39	%
Variable interest rate (3)	478,000	22.7	% 1.88	%
Total/weighted-average effective interest rate	\$2,102,441	100.0	% 4.59	%

(1) Principal balance includes only consolidated indebtedness.

Includes four mortgage notes payable secured by certain of our properties (including unamortized premiums), our (2) Exchangeable Senior Notes, our Notes due 2016 (including unamortized debt discount), our Notes due 2020 (including unamortized debt discount) and our Notes due 2022 (including unamortized debt discount).

Includes our Term Loan and our unsecured line of credit, which bear interest at a LIBOR-indexed variable interest rate, plus a credit spread. The stated effective rate for the variable interest debt excludes the impact of any interest rate swap agreements. We have entered into four interest rate swaps, which are intended to have the effect of (3) initially fixing the interest rate on \$200.0 million of the outstanding amount under our Term Loan at a weighted-average interest rate of approximately 2.81% (including applicable credit spreads for the underlying debt), subject to adjustment based on our credit ratings.

To determine the fair-value of our outstanding consolidated indebtedness, we utilize quoted market prices to estimate the fair-value, when available. If quoted market prices are not available, we calculate the fair-value of our mortgage notes payable and other fixed-rate debt based on an estimate of current lending rates, assuming the debt is outstanding through maturity and considering the notes' collateral. In determining the current market rate for fixed-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the quoted yields on federal government treasury securities with similar terms to debt. In determining the current market rate for variable-rate debt, a market credit spread is added to the current effective interest rate. At June 30, 2012, the fair-value of the fixed-rate debt was estimated to be \$1.8 billion compared to the net carrying value of \$1.6 billion (including debt premiums and discounts). At June 30, 2012, the fair-value of the variable-rate debt was estimated to be equal to the net carrying value of \$478.0 million. We do not believe that the interest rate risk represented by our fixed-rate debt or the risk of changes in the credit spread related to our variable-rate debt was material as of June 30, 2012 in relation to total assets of \$4.8 billion and equity market capitalization of \$3.1 billion of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s common stock and preferred stock, and BioMed Realty, L.P.'s OP units.

Based on the unhedged outstanding balances of our unsecured line of credit, our Term Loan and our proportionate share of the outstanding balance for the PREI joint ventures' secured construction loan at June 30, 2012, a 1% change in interest rates would change our interest costs by approximately \$3.1 million per year. This amount was determined by considering the impact of hypothetical interest rates on our financial instruments. This analysis does not consider the effect of any change in overall economic activity that could occur in that environment. Further, in the event of a change of the magnitude discussed above, we may take actions to further mitigate our exposure to the change. However, due to the uncertainty of the specific actions that would be taken and their possible effects, this analysis assumes no changes in our financial structure.

In order to modify and manage the interest rate characteristics of our outstanding debt and to limit the effects of interest rate risks on our operations, we may utilize a variety of financial instruments, including interest rate swaps, caps and treasury locks in order to mitigate our interest rate risk on a related financial instrument. The use of these types of instruments to hedge our exposure to changes in interest rates carries additional risks, including counterparty credit risk, the enforceability of hedging contracts and the risk that unanticipated and significant changes in interest rates will cause a significant loss of basis in the contract. To limit counterparty credit risk we will seek to enter into such agreements with major financial institutions with high credit ratings. There can be no assurance that we will be able to adequately protect against the foregoing risks and will ultimately realize an economic benefit that exceeds the related amounts incurred in connection with engaging in such hedging activities. We do not enter into such contracts for speculative or trading purposes.

Equity price risk

We have exposure to equity price market risk because of our equity investments in certain publicly traded companies and privately held entities. We classify investments in publicly traded companies as “available for sale” and, consequently, record them on our condensed consolidated balance sheets at fair value, with unrealized gains or losses reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income or loss. Investments in privately held entities are generally accounted for under the cost method because we do not influence any of the operating or financial policies of the entities in which we invest. For all investments, we recognize other-than-temporary declines in value against earnings in the same period during which the decline in value was deemed to have occurred. There is no assurance that future declines in value will not have a material adverse impact on our future results of operations. A 10% decrease in the fair-value of our equity investments as of June 30, 2012,

52

---

Table of Contents

would decrease their fair value by approximately \$752,000.

Foreign currency exchange rate risk

We have exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk related to our subsidiary operating in the United Kingdom. The functional currency of our foreign subsidiary is the British pound. Gains or losses resulting from the translation of our foreign subsidiary's balance sheet and statement of income are included in accumulated other comprehensive income. Gains or losses will be reflected in our statements of income when there is a sale of our investment in these operations or upon a complete or substantially complete liquidation of the investment. For both the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, total revenues from properties outside the United States contributed \$901,000, which represented less than 1% of our total revenues for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. Net investment in properties outside the United States was \$205.9 million as of June 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Controls and Procedures (BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.)

BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. has investments in unconsolidated entities. As BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. manages these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are essentially consistent with those it maintains with respect to its consolidated entities.

As required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting.

Controls and Procedures (BioMed Realty, L.P.)

BioMed Realty, L.P. maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in its reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Also, BioMed Realty, L.P. has investments in unconsolidated entities. As BioMed Realty, L.P. manages these entities, its disclosure controls and procedures with respect to such entities are essentially consistent with those it maintains with respect to its consolidated entities.

As required by Securities and Exchange Commission Rule 13a-15(b) under the Exchange Act, BioMed Realty, L.P. carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of BioMed Realty, L.P.'s disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on the foregoing, BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer

concluded that BioMed Realty, L.P.'s disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

There has been no change in BioMed Realty, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, BioMed Realty, L.P.'s internal control over financial reporting.



Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Although we are involved in legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, we are not currently a party to any legal proceedings nor is any legal proceeding threatened against us that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 includes detailed discussions of our risk factors under the heading “Part I, Item 1A. Risk Factors.” Set forth below are certain changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our annual report on Form 10-K as a result of certain events that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2012. You should carefully consider the risk factors discussed in our annual report on Form 10-K, as well as the other information in this report, which could materially harm our business, financial condition, results of operations or growth prospects.

Risks Related to Granta Park Acquisition and International Operations Generally

Our ownership of Granta Park in the United Kingdom and future activities outside the United States may subject us to risks different from and potentially greater than those associated with our domestic operations.

In June 2012, we acquired Granta Park, comprising eleven laboratory and office buildings and a total of approximately 472,200 square feet of space, as well as approximately 138,400 square feet of development and expansion rights, in Cambridge, United Kingdom. The purchase price for the property was £126.8 million, or approximately \$196.0 million (based on the exchange rate in effect as of June 8, 2012), excluding transaction costs. In addition to Granta Park, in the future we may underwrite and acquire other properties or interests in real estate related entities in international markets that are new to us. Our international investments, consisting only of Granta Park, constituted 3.9% of our total gross assets as of June 30, 2012.

International development, ownership and operating activities involve risks that are different from and potentially greater than those we face with respect to our domestic properties and operations. These risks include but are not limited to:

- our limited knowledge of and relationships with sellers, tenants, contractors, suppliers or other parties in these markets;

- challenges in managing and integrating international operations, development and redevelopment, including difficulty in hiring qualified management, sales and construction personnel and service providers in a timely fashion;

- changes in foreign political, regulatory and economic conditions, including regionally, nationally and locally;

- challenges of complying with a wide variety of foreign laws and regulations, including those relating to real estate, corporate governance, operations, taxes, employment and legal proceedings;

- establishing effective controls and procedures to regulate the operations of new offices and to monitor compliance with U.S. laws and regulations such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act and similar foreign laws and regulations;

- adverse effects of changes in exchange rates for foreign currencies;

- challenges with respect to the repatriation of foreign earnings;

differences in lending practices; and

differences in languages, cultures and time zones.

The realization of any of these risks could have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

We are subject to risks from potential fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and foreign currencies.

We acquired Granta Park in June 2012, and may acquire additional properties in the United Kingdom or in other countries

55

---

where the U.S. dollar is not the local currency. As a result, we are subject to international currency risk from the potential fluctuations in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the local currency. A significant decrease in the value of the British pound or other currencies in countries where we may have an investment could materially affect our results of operations. We may attempt to mitigate such effects by borrowing in the local foreign currency in which we invest and, under certain circumstances, by hedging exchange rate fluctuations; however, access to capital may be more restricted, or unavailable on favorable terms or at all, in certain locations, and we cannot assure you that our efforts will successfully neutralize all international currency risks. In addition, any international currency gain recognized with respect to changes in exchange rates may not qualify under the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test that we must satisfy annually in order to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT.

#### Risks Related to Our Investments in Loans

We face risks associated with our investment in a construction loan secured by a property under development and future investments in loans, including a failure of an underlying property to perform to expectations and potential principal losses on our investment.

In May 2012, we purchased a \$255 million interest in a \$355 million construction loan (the Construction Loan) secured by first priority mortgages in the properties owned and under development by the borrower located in Boston, Massachusetts, and may make similar investments in the future. We expect to fund the full balance of the Construction Loan in monthly draws starting in the fourth quarter 2012 and ending in the first quarter of 2014. We face risks associated with our investment in the Construction Loan and other similar investments that we may undertake in the future, including the following:

A loan may become non-performing or sub-performing for a variety of reasons outside of our control, including, without limitation, because the underlying property is too highly leveraged, the borrower falls upon financial distress or the property fails to perform as expected, resulting in the borrower being unable to meet its debt service obligations to us.

A non-performing or sub-performing loan may require a substantial amount of workout negotiations and/or restructuring, which may divert the attention of our management from other activities and entail, among other things, a substantial reduction in the interest rate, capitalization of interest payments and a substantial write-down of the principal of the loan.

If we find it necessary or desirable to foreclose on one or more loans we acquire, the foreclosure process may be lengthy and expensive, with an uncertain outcome, and the underlying property's value may deteriorate as a result.

As is the case with our investment in the Construction Loan, we may co-invest in mortgage loans with other investors. As a result, we may lack sole decision-making authority, rely on co-investors' financial condition and/or have disputes between us and other co-investors. In addition, such co-investors may become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions, have economic or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, or take actions contrary to our policies or objectives.

The realization of any of these risks could have an adverse impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

## ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, our Parent Company issued, net of forfeitures, an aggregate of 6,655 shares of its common stock in connection with restricted stock awards under its incentive award plan for no cash consideration. For each share of common stock issued by our Parent Company in connection with such an award, the operating partnership issued a restricted operating partnership unit to our Parent Company, in reliance on the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. During the three

months ended June 30, 2012, the operating partnership issued an aggregate of 6,655 restricted operating partnership units to our Parent Company, as required by the operating partnership's partnership agreement.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

56

---

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
4.1	Supplemental Indenture No. 2, dated June 28, 2012, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, including the form of 4.25% Senior Notes due 2022 and guarantee thereof.(1)
10.1	Unsecured Term Credit Agreement, dated as of March 30, 2012, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, and certain lenders party thereto.(2)
10.2	Form of Term Note under Unsecured Term Credit Agreement.(2)
10.3	First Amendment to Unsecured Credit Agreement, dated as of March 30, 2012, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, and certain lenders party thereto.(2)
31.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.†
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.†
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.†
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.†
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.†
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.†

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s and BioMed Realty, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 28, 2012.

(2) Incorporated herein by reference to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s and BioMed Realty, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 5, 2012.

† Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrants have duly caused this report to be signed on their behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BIOMED REALTY TRUST, INC.

BIOMED REALTY, L.P.

By: BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.

Its general partner

/s/ ALAN D. GOLD

Alan D. Gold

Chairman of the Board and

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ ALAN D. GOLD

Alan D. Gold

Chairman of the Board and

Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

/s/ GREG N. LUBUSHKIN

Greg N. Lubushkin

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ GREG N. LUBUSHKIN

Greg N. Lubushkin

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

Dated: August 2, 2012

Dated: August 2, 2012

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
4.1	Supplemental Indenture No. 2, dated June 28, 2012, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., BioMed Realty Trust, Inc. and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, including the form of 4.25% Senior Notes due 2022 and guarantee thereof.(1)
10.1	Unsecured Term Credit Agreement, dated as of March 30, 2012, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, and certain lenders party thereto.(2)
10.2	Form of Term Note under Unsecured Term Credit Agreement.(2)
10.3	First Amendment to Unsecured Credit Agreement, dated as of March 30, 2012, by and among BioMed Realty, L.P., KeyBank National Association, as Administrative Agent, and certain lenders party thereto.(2)
31.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document.†
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document.†
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document.†
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document.†
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document.†
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document.†

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s and BioMed Realty, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 28, 2012.

(2) Incorporated herein by reference to BioMed Realty Trust, Inc.'s and BioMed Realty, L.P.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 5, 2012.

† Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and otherwise are not subject to liability under these sections.