

US ECOLOGY, INC.
Form 10-Q
July 28, 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended: June 30, 2010

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from
to

Commission File Number: 0-11688

US ECOLOGY, INC.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware
(State of Incorporation)

95-3889638
(I.R.S. Employer Identification
Number)

Lakepointe Centre I,
300 E. Mallard, Suite 300
Boise, Idaho
(Address of Principal Executive
Offices)

83706
(Zip Code)

(208) 331-8400
(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including
Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Edgar Filing: US ECOLOGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company) Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant’s common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding as of July 27, 2010 was 18,305,614.

US ECOLOGY, INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------------|---|-----------|
| PART I. | FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements (Unaudited) | |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 | 1 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 2 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 | 3 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 4 |
| | Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | 12 |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 13 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 21 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 22 |
| PART II. | OTHER INFORMATION | |
| | Cautionary Statement | 22 |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 23 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 23 |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 23 |
| Item 3. | Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 23 |
| Item 4. | Removed and Reserved | 23 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 23 |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | 24 |
| | SIGNATURE | 25 |

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

US ECOLOGY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except per share amounts)
(unaudited)

| | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|--|---------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$29,256 | \$31,347 |
| Short-term investments | 2,999 | 1,395 |
| Receivables, net | 13,541 | 16,302 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,204 | 1,752 |
| Deferred income taxes | 413 | 41 |
| Total current assets | 48,413 | 50,837 |
| Property and equipment, net | 71,809 | 67,485 |
| Restricted cash | 4,114 | 4,800 |
| Other assets | 509 | 540 |
| Total assets | \$124,845 | \$123,662 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$4,095 | \$4,264 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,749 | 1,353 |
| Accrued liabilities | 4,630 | 4,150 |
| Accrued salaries and benefits | 1,680 | 1,735 |
| Income taxes payable | 666 | 201 |
| Current portion of closure and post-closure obligations | 2,457 | 293 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligations | 10 | 11 |
| Total current liabilities | 15,287 | 12,007 |
| Long-term closure and post-closure obligations | 12,554 | 13,070 |
| Long-term capital lease obligations | 5 | 10 |
| Deferred income taxes | 5,336 | 5,077 |
| Total liabilities | 33,182 | 30,164 |
| Contingencies and commitments | | |
| Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Common stock \$0.01 par value, 50,000 authorized; 18,306 shares issued | 183 | 183 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 61,443 | 61,459 |
| Retained earnings | 32,016 | 34,446 |

Edgar Filing: US ECOLOGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| Common stock held in treasury, at cost, 119 and 155 shares, respectively | (1,979 |) | (2,590 |) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 91,663 | | 93,498 | |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$124,845 | | \$123,662 | |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

US ECOLOGY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(in thousands, except per share data)
(unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenue | \$19,832 | \$36,377 | \$39,372 | \$71,342 |
| Direct operating costs | 9,725 | 12,002 | 20,010 | 23,247 |
| Transportation costs | 2,964 | 15,263 | 5,644 | 29,437 |
| Gross profit | 7,143 | 9,112 | 13,718 | 18,658 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,343 | 3,396 | 6,910 | 6,969 |
| Operating income | 3,800 | 5,716 | 6,808 | 11,689 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | 17 | 37 | 31 | 85 |
| Interest expense | - | - | (1) | (1) |
| Other | 49 | 91 | 90 | 124 |
| Total other income | 66 | 128 | 120 | 208 |
| Income before income taxes | 3,866 | 5,844 | 6,928 | 11,897 |
| Income taxes | 1,543 | 2,326 | 2,815 | 4,735 |
| Net income | \$2,323 | \$3,518 | \$4,113 | \$7,162 |
| Earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.13 | \$0.19 | \$0.23 | \$0.39 |
| Dilutive | \$0.13 | \$0.19 | \$0.23 | \$0.39 |
| Shares used in earnings per share calculation: | | | | |
| Basic | 18,166 | 18,145 | 18,165 | 18,144 |
| Dilutive | 18,187 | 18,175 | 18,186 | 18,175 |
| Dividends paid per share | \$0.18 | \$0.18 | \$0.36 | \$0.36 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

US ECOLOGY, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands)
(unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|---------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$4,113 | \$7,162 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and accretion | 3,637 | 4,642 |
| Deferred income taxes | (113) | 935 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 595 | 416 |
| Net loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment | 51 | (3) |
| Investment premium amortization | 20 | - |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Receivables | 2,761 | 4,261 |
| Income tax receivable | - | 2,392 |
| Other assets | (421) | (336) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (1,078) | (1,120) |
| Deferred revenue | 396 | 556 |
| Accrued salaries and benefits | (55) | (899) |
| Income tax payable | 465 | - |
| Closure and post-closure obligations | (147) | (288) |
| Other | (1) | (19) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 10,223 | 17,699 |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Purchases of short-term investments | (4,998) | - |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (4,879) | (4,768) |
| Maturities of short-term investments | 3,375 | - |
| Restricted cash, net | 686 | (11) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 51 | 42 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (5,765) | (4,737) |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Dividends paid | (6,543) | (6,534) |
| Common stock repurchases | - | (2) |
| Other | (6) | (4) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (6,549) | (6,540) |
| (Decrease) Increase in cash and cash equivalents | (2,091) | 6,422 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 31,347 | 18,473 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$29,256 | \$24,895 |
| Supplemental Disclosures | | |
| Income taxes paid, net of receipts | \$2,462 | \$1,427 |

Edgar Filing: US ECOLOGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-------|-------|
| Interest paid | - | 1 |
| Non-cash investing and financing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures in accounts payable | 1,956 | 1,729 |
| Closure/Post-closure retirement asset | 1,257 | - |
| Restricted stock issued from treasury shares | 611 | - |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

3

US ECOLOGY, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

NOTE 1 – GENERAL

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the results of operations, financial position and cash flows of US Ecology, Inc., (formerly known as American Ecology Corporation) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (collectively, “US Ecology” or “the Company”). All material intercompany balances have been eliminated.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments necessary to present fairly, in all material respects, the results of the Company for the periods presented. These consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”). Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Company’s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 4, 2010. The results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the entire fiscal year.

The Company’s Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2009 has been derived from the Company’s audited Consolidated Balance Sheet as of that date.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Company’s consolidated financial statements, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, requires management to make estimates and assumptions. Some of these estimates require difficult, subjective or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain. As a result, actual results could differ from these estimates, in some cases materially. These estimates and assumptions affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period.

NOTE 2 – EFFECT OF RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In May 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued a new statement that establishes general standards of accounting for, and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The new statement, located under the FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ (“ASC”) Topic 855 Subsequent Events (formerly SFAS 165, Subsequent Events) requires entities to disclose the date through which subsequent events were evaluated as well as the rationale for why that date was selected, that is, whether that date represents the date the financial statements were issued or were available to be issued. The new statement is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, which was the quarter ended June 30, 2009 for the Company. In February 2010, the FASB amended its guidance removing the requirement for SEC filers to disclose the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. The adoption of this new statement did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 3 – CONCENTRATION AND CREDIT RISK

Major Customers. The Company has a multiple year disposal contract with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (“USACE”). Revenue under this contract represented 15% and 7% of total revenue for the three months ended June 30,

2010 and 2009, respectively and 17% and 7% of total revenue for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 respectively. No other customer represented more than 10% of total revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010. The Company had a contract with Honeywell International, Inc. ("Honeywell") for transportation, treatment and disposal of hazardous waste from a multi-year clean-up site and other, smaller sites in New Jersey. Services under this contract were completed in early October 2009. Revenue under this bundled service contract represented 51% and 47% of our total revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively. No other customer represented more than 10% of total revenue for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Receivables from the USACE represented 17% of our total trade receivables at June 30, 2010 and 18% of our trade receivables at December 31, 2009. One other customer represented 11% of our total trade receivables at December 31, 2009. No other customer's receivable balances exceeded 10% of our total trade receivables at June 30, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

Credit Risk Concentration. We maintain most of our cash and short-term investments with nationally recognized financial institutions like Wells Fargo Bank, N.A. ("Wells Fargo"). Substantially all balances are uninsured and are not used as collateral for other obligations. Concentrations of credit risk on accounts receivable are believed to be limited due to the number, diversification and character of the obligors and our credit evaluation process.

NOTE 4 – CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

Cash and cash equivalents include funds held in managed money market funds with Wells Fargo, U.S. Bancorp and Fidelity Investments. The fair value of these money market funds, using Level 1 inputs consistent with ASC Topic 820 Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures was \$20.7 million at June 30, 2010.

NOTE 5 – SHORT-TERM INVESTMENTS

Short-term investments at June 30, 2010 were comprised of \$3.0 million in fixed maturity, high-grade, commercial paper and Federal Home Loan securities maturing in August and September of 2010. Short-term investments at December 31, 2009 were comprised of \$1.4 million in fixed maturity, high-grade, commercial paper.

NOTE 6 - RECEIVABLES

Receivables were as follows:

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---------------------------------|------------------|----------------------|
| Trade | \$12,463 | \$16,016 |
| Unbilled revenue | 947 | 337 |
| Other | 247 | 70 |
| | 13,657 | 16,423 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | (116) | (121) |
| | \$13,541 | \$16,302 |

NOTE 7 – PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

| (in thousands) | June 30, 2010 | December 31, 2009 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Cell development costs | \$52,208 | \$44,029 |
| Land and improvements | 9,773 | 9,773 |
| Buildings and improvements | 29,228 | 29,151 |
| Railcars | 17,375 | 17,375 |
| Vehicles and other equipment | 22,309 | 21,824 |
| Construction in progress | 6,125 | 7,822 |
| | 137,018 | 129,974 |
| Accumulated depreciation and amortization | (65,209) | (62,489) |

| | | |
|--|----------|----------|
| | \$71,809 | \$67,485 |
|--|----------|----------|

Depreciation expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$1.6 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. Depreciation expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$3.1 million and \$4.1 million, respectively.

NOTE 8 – RESTRICTED CASH

Restricted cash balances of \$4.1 million at June 30, 2010 and \$4.8 million at December 31, 2009, are held in third-party managed trust accounts as collateral for our financial assurance policies for closure and post-closure obligations. These restricted cash balances are maintained by third-party trustees and are invested in money market accounts.

NOTE 9 – LINE OF CREDIT

On June 15, 2010, we entered into an amendment to an unsecured revolving line of credit (the “Revolving Credit Agreement”) with Wells Fargo. Under the terms of the amended Revolving Credit Agreement, the Company may utilize up to \$20 million in revolving credit loans or letters of credit. The agreement expires on June 15, 2013. Monthly interest only payments are required based on a pricing grid under which the interest rate decreases or increases based on our ratio of funded debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. We can elect to borrow utilizing the offshore London Inter-Bank Offering Rate (“LIBOR”) plus an applicable spread or the prime rate. At June 30, 2010, the applicable interest rate on the line of credit was 1.2%. The credit agreement contains certain quarterly financial covenants, including a maximum leverage ratio, a maximum funded debt ratio and a minimum required tangible net worth. Pursuant to our credit agreement, we may only declare quarterly or annual dividends if on the date of declaration, no event of default has occurred, or no other event or condition has occurred that would constitute an event of default after giving effect to the payment of the dividend. At June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants in the credit agreement.

At June 30, 2010 we had no amounts outstanding on the revolving line of credit. At June 30, 2010 the availability under our \$20.0 million the line of credit was \$16.0 million. We had \$4.0 million of the line of credit issued in the form of a standby letter of credit utilized as collateral for closure and post-closure financial assurance.

At December 31, 2009, we had no amounts outstanding on the revolving line of credit. At December 31, 2009 the availability under our \$15.0 million line of credit was \$11.0 million. We had \$4.0 million of the line of credit issued in the form of a standby letter of credit utilized as collateral for closure and post-closure financial assurance.

NOTE 10 – CLOSURE AND POST-CLOSURE OBLIGATIONS

Closure and post-closure obligations are recorded when environmental assessments and/or remedial efforts are probable and the costs can be reasonably estimated. We perform periodic reviews of both non-operating and operating facilities and revise accruals for estimated post-closure, remediation and other costs when necessary. Our recorded liabilities are based on estimates of future costs and are updated periodically to reflect existing environmental conditions, current technology, laws and regulations, permit conditions, inflation and other factors.

Changes to reported closure and post-closure obligations were as follows:

| (in thousands) | Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 |
|-----------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Beginning obligation | \$ 13,550 | \$ 13,363 |
| Accretion expense | 269 | 538 |
| Payments | (65) | (147) |
| Additions/adjustments | 1,257 | 1,257 |
| Ending obligation | 15,011 | 15,011 |
| Less current portion | (2,457) | (2,457) |
| Long-term portion | \$ 12,554 | \$ 12,554 |

NOTE 11 – INCOME TAXES

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had no significant unrecognized tax benefits. We recognize interest assessed by taxing authorities as a component of interest expense. We recognize any penalties assessed by taxing authorities as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses. Interest and penalties for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were not material.

Our effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 was 39.9% and 40.6%, respectively compared to 39.8% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. This increase reflects higher non-tax deductible expenses in the three and six month periods of 2010 as compared with the same periods in 2009.

We file U.S. federal income tax returns with the Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) as well as income tax returns in various states. We may be subject to examination by the IRS for tax years 2006 through 2009. Additionally, we may be subject to examinations by various state taxing jurisdictions for tax years 2005 through 2009. We are currently under examination by the Idaho Tax Commission for tax years 2006, 2007 and 2008. To our knowledge we are not currently under examination by the IRS or any other state taxing jurisdictions.

NOTE 12 – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In the ordinary course of business, we are periodically involved in judicial and administrative proceedings involving federal, state or local governmental authorities. Actions may also be brought by individuals or groups in connection with permit modifications at existing facilities, proposed new facilities, alleged violations of existing permits, or alleged damages suffered from exposure to hazardous substances purportedly released from our operating sites or non-operating sites, as well as other litigation. We maintain insurance intended to cover property and damage claims asserted as a result of our operation. Periodically, management reviews and may establish reserves for legal, environmental and administrative matters, or fees expected to be incurred in connection therewith.

In March 2010, the Company received a proposed settlement offer from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (“EPA”) relating to alleged non-compliance with certain regulations at our Beatty, Nevada facility dating back to 2005. In response to the EPA’s proposal, the Company and the EPA have verbally agreed to settle the matter for \$497,000. Negotiations of the consent and final order are ongoing with the EPA. As a result of the proposed settlement, we recognized a charge of \$423,000 during the first quarter of 2010 and an additional charge of \$74,000 in the second quarter of 2010 in Selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Operations related to

this matter.

7

NOTE 13 – COMPUTATION OF EARNINGS PER SHARE

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| | Basic | Diluted | Basic | Diluted |
| Net income | \$2,323 | \$2,323 | \$3,518 | \$3,518 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 18,166 | 18,166 | 18,145 | 18,145 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock | | 21 | | 30 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | 18,187 | | 18,175 |
| Earnings per share | \$0.13 | \$0.13 | \$0.19 | \$0.19 |
| Anti-dilutive shares excluded from calculation | | 344 | | 283 |

(in thousands, except per share data)

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|---|---------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| | 2010 | | 2009 | |
| | Basic | Diluted | Basic | Diluted |
| Net income | \$4,113 | \$4,113 | \$7,162 | \$7,162 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 18,165 | 18,165 | 18,144 | 18,144 |
| Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock | | 21 | | 31 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding | | 18,186 | | 18,175 |
| Earnings per share | \$0.23 | \$0.23 | \$0.39 | \$0.39 |
| Anti-dilutive shares excluded from calculation | | 328 | | 274 |

NOTE 14 – TREASURY STOCK

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 the Company granted 3,600 and 36,637 shares, respectively, of restricted stock from our treasury stock position at the average cost \$16.68 per share.

NOTE 15 – OPERATING SEGMENTS

We operate within two segments, Operating Disposal Facilities and Non-Operating Disposal Facilities. The Operating Disposal Facilities segment represents facilities currently accepting waste. The Non-Operating Disposal Facilities segment represents facilities that are no longer accepting waste.

Income taxes are assigned to Corporate. All other items are included in the segment of origin. Intercompany transactions have been eliminated from the segment information and are not significant between segments.

Edgar Filing: US ECOLOGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

Summarized financial information concerning our reportable segments is shown in the following tables:

| (in thousands) | Operating Disposal Facilities | Non-Operating Disposal Facilities | Corporate | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Three months ended June 30, 2010 | | | | |
| Revenue - Treatment and disposal | \$17,208 | \$ 9 | \$- | \$17,217 |
| Revenue - Transportation services | 2,615 | - | - | 2,615 |
| Total revenue | 19,823 | 9 | - | 19,832 |
| Direct operating costs | 9,668 | 57 | - | 9,725 |
| Transportation costs | 2,964 | - | - | 2,964 |
| Gross profit | 7,191 | (48) | - | 7,143 |
| Selling, general & administration | 1,226 | - | 2,117 | 3,343 |
| Operating income (loss) | 5,965 | (48) | (2,117) | 3,800 |
| Interest, net | 2 | - | 15 | 17 |
| Other income | 47 | 2 | - | 49 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 6,014 | (46) | (2,102) | 3,866 |
| Income taxes | - | - | 1,543 | 1,543 |
| Net income (loss) | \$6,014 | \$ (46) | \$(3,645) | \$2,323 |
| Depreciation, amortization & accretion | \$1,783 | \$ 50 | \$12 | \$1,845 |
| Capital expenditures | \$2,765 | \$- | \$- | \$2,765 |
| Total assets | \$86,032 | \$ 38 | \$38,775 | \$124,845 |

| (in thousands) | Operating Disposal Facilities | Non-Operating Disposal Facilities | Corporate | Total |
|--|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| Three months ended June 30, 2009 | | | | |
| Revenue - Treatment and disposal | \$21,320 | \$ 3 | \$- | \$21,323 |
| Revenue - Transportation services | 15,054 | - | - | 15,054 |
| Total revenue | 36,374 | 3 | - | 36,377 |
| Transportation costs | 15,263 | - | - | 15,263 |
| Direct operating costs | 11,947 | 55 | - | 12,002 |
| Gross profit | 9,164 | (52) | - | 9,112 |
| Selling, general & administration | 1,128 | - | 2,268 | 3,396 |
| Operating income (loss) | 8,036 | (52) | (2,268) | 5,716 |
| Interest, net | 1 | - | 36 | 37 |
| Other income | 53 | 38 | - | 91 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 8,090 | (14) | (2,232) | 5,844 |
| Income taxes | - | - | 2,326 | 2,326 |
| Net income (loss) | \$8,090 | \$ (14) | \$(4,558) | \$3,518 |
| Depreciation, amortization & accretion | \$2,290 | \$ 56 | \$10 | \$2,356 |
| Capital expenditures | \$2,101 | \$- | \$6 | \$2,107 |
| Total assets | \$96,746 | \$ 51 | \$33,004 | \$129,801 |

Edgar Filing: US ECOLOGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

| (in thousands) | Operating Disposal Facilities | Non-Operating Disposal Facilities | Corporate | Total |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------|-----------|
| Six months ended June 30, 2010 | | | | |
| Revenue - Treatment and disposal | \$34,341 | \$ 13 | \$- | \$34,354 |
| Revenue - Transportation services | 5,018 | - | - | 5,018 |
| Total revenue | 39,359 | 13 | - | 39,372 |
| Other direct operating costs | 19,857 | 153 | - | 20,010 |
| Transportation costs | 5,644 | - | - | 5,644 |
| Gross profit | 13,858 | (140) | - | 13,718 |
| Selling, general & administration | 2,743 | - | 4,167 | 6,910 |
| Operating income (loss) | 11,115 | (140) | (4,167) | 6,808 |
| Interest income, net | 2 | - | 28 | 30 |
| Other income | 86 | 4 | - | 90 |
| Income (loss) before tax | 11,203 | (136) | (4,139) | 6,928 |
| Tax expense | - | - | 2,815 | 2,815 |
| Net income (loss) | \$11,203 | \$(136) | \$(6,954) | \$4,113 |
| Depreciation, amortization & accretion | \$3,512 | \$ 101 | \$24 | \$3,637 |
| Capital expenditures | \$4,876 | \$- | \$3 | \$4,879 |
| Total assets | \$86,032 | \$ 38 | \$38,775 | \$124,845 |

| (in thousands) | Operating Disposal Facilities | Non-Operating Disposal Facilities | Corporate | Total |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|------------|-----------|
| Six months ended June 30, 2009 | | | | |
| Revenue - Treatment and disposal | \$42,058 | \$ 7 | \$- | \$42,065 |
| Revenue - Transportation services | 29,277 | - | - | 29,277 |
| Total revenue | 71,335 | 7 | - | 71,342 |
| Transportation costs | 29,437 | - | - | 29,437 |
| Other direct operating costs | 23,136 | 111 | - | 23,247 |
| Gross profit | 18,762 | (104) | - | 18,658 |
| Selling, general & administration | 2,236 | - | 4,733 | 6,969 |
| Operating income (loss) | 16,526 | (104) | (4,733) | 11,689 |
| Interest income, net | - | - | 84 | 84 |
| Other income | 86 | 38 | - | 124 |
| Income (loss) before tax | 16,612 | (66) | (4,649) | 11,897 |
| Tax expense | - | - | 4,735 | 4,735 |
| Net income (loss) | \$16,612 | \$(66) | \$(9,384) | \$7,162 |
| Depreciation, amortization & accretion | \$4,511 | \$ 110 | \$21 | \$4,642 |
| Capital expenditures | \$4,757 | \$- | \$11 | \$4,768 |
| Total assets | \$96,746 | \$ 51 | \$33,004 | \$129,801 |

NOTE 16 – SUBSEQUENT EVENT

On July 1, 2010, we declared a quarterly dividend of \$0.18 per common share to stockholders of record on July 16, 2010. The dividend was paid using cash on hand on July 23, 2010 in an aggregate amount of \$3.3 million.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders of
US Ecology, Inc.
Boise, Idaho

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of US Ecology, Inc. and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, and of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our reviews in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our reviews, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to such consolidated interim financial statements for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of US Ecology, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009, and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein); and in our report dated March 4, 2010, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Deloitte and Touche LLP

Boise, Idaho
July 28, 2010

US ECOLOGY, INC.
MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF
FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview

US Ecology, Inc., through its subsidiaries, is a hazardous, Polychlorinated biphenyl ("PCB"), non-hazardous and radioactive waste services company providing treatment, disposal, recycling and transportation services to commercial and government entities including, but not limited to, oil refineries, chemical production facilities, manufacturers, electric utilities, steel mills, biotechnology companies, military installations, waste broker aggregators and medical and academic institutions. The majority of the waste received at our facilities is produced in the United States. We generate revenue from fees charged to treat and dispose of waste at our four fixed disposal facilities located near Beatty, Nevada; Grand View, Idaho; Richland, Washington; and Robstown, Texas. We manage a dedicated fleet of railcars and arrange for the transportation of waste to our facilities. Transportation services have contributed significant revenue in recent years. We also utilize this railcar fleet to provide transportation services for disposal at facilities operated by other companies on a less frequent basis. We or our predecessor companies have been in the waste business since 1952.

Our customers may be divided into categories to better evaluate period-to-period changes in our treatment and disposal revenue based on service mix and type of business (recurring "Base" or "Event" clean-up business). Each of these categories is described in the table below with information on the percentage of total treatment and disposal revenues for each category for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

| Customer Category | Description | % of Treatment and Disposal Revenue (1) for the Three Months ended June 30, 2010 | % of Treatment and Disposal Revenue (1) for the Three Months ended June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Broker | Companies that collect and aggregate waste from their direct customers, comprised of both Base and Event clean-up business. | 44% | 34% |
| Government | Federal and State government clean-up project waste, comprised of both Base business and Event clean-up business. | 23% | 13% |
| Other industry | Electric utilities, chemical manufacturers, steel mill and other industrial customers not included in other categories, comprised of both recurring Base business and Event clean-up business. | 13% | 9% |
| Refinery | Petroleum refinery customers, comprised of both Base and Event clean-up business. | 11% | 11% |
| Rate regulated | | 8% | 6% |

Edgar Filing: US ECOLOGY, INC. - Form 10-Q

Northwest and Rocky Mountain Compact customers paying rate-regulated disposal fees set by the State of Washington, predominantly Base business.

| | | | |
|------------------|--|----|-----|
| Private Clean-up | Private sector clean-up project waste, typically Event business. | 1% | 27% |
|------------------|--|----|-----|

(1) Excludes all transportation service revenue

| Customer Category | Description | % of Treatment and Disposal Revenue (1) for the Six Months ended June 30, 2010 | % of Treatment and Disposal Revenue (1) for the Six Months ended June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| Broker | Companies that collect and aggregate waste from their direct customers, comprised of both Base and Event clean-up business. | 43% | 33% |
| Government | Federal and State government clean-up project waste, comprised of both Base business and Event clean-up business. | 19% | 14% |
| Other industry | Electric utilities, chemical manufacturers, steel mill and other industrial customers not included in other categories, comprised of both recurring Base business and Event clean-up business. | 16% | 10% |
| Refinery | Petroleum refinery customers, comprised of both Base and Event clean-up business. | 13% | 12% |
| Rate regulated | Northwest and Rocky Mountain Compact customers paying rate-regulated disposal fees set by the State of Washington, predominantly Base business. | 8% | 7% |
| Private Clean-up | Private sector clean-up project waste, typically Event business. | 1% | 24% |

(1) Excludes all transportation service revenue

A significant portion of our disposal revenue is attributable to discrete Event Business projects which vary widely in size, duration and unit pricing. Approximately 32% and 34% of our treatment and disposal revenue was derived from Event Business projects for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively. The one-time nature of Event Business, diverse spectrum of waste types received and widely varying unit pricing necessarily creates variability in revenue and earnings. This variability may be influenced by general economic conditions, funding availability, changes in laws and regulations, government enforcement actions or court orders, public controversy, litigation, weather, real estate redevelopment project timing, government appropriation and funding commitment cycles and other factors. The types and amounts of waste received from Base Business also vary quarter-to-quarter. As a result of this variability, we can experience significant quarter-to-quarter and year-to-year differences in revenue, gross profit, gross margin, operating income and net income. Also, while many large projects are pursued months or years in advance of work performance, both large and small clean-up project opportunities routinely arise with little prior notice. This uncertainty, which is inherent to the hazardous and radioactive waste disposal business, is factored into our projections and externally communicated business outlook statements. Our projections combine historical experience with identified sales pipeline opportunities, new or expanded service line projections and prevailing market conditions.

Depending on project-specific customer needs and competitive economics, transportation services may be offered at or near our cost to help secure new business. For waste transported by rail from the eastern United States and other locations distant from our Grand View, Idaho and Robstown, Texas facilities, transportation-related revenue can

account for as much as three-fourths (75%) of total project revenue. While bundling transportation and disposal services reduces overall gross profit as a percentage of total revenue (“gross margin”), this value-added service has allowed us to win multiple projects that management believes we could not otherwise have competed for successfully. Our acquisition of a Company-owned railcar fleet to supplement railcars obtained under operating leases has reduced our reliance on short-term rentals and ultimately has reduced transportation expenses. As of June 30, 2010 the Company owns 234 gondola rail cars and operates an additional 25 rail cars under short-term leases.

The increased waste volumes resulting from projects won through this bundling strategy have driven operating leverage benefits and increased profitability. While waste treatment and other variable costs are project-specific, the earnings contribution from the individual projects generally increases as overall disposal volumes increase. Management believes that maximizing operating income and earnings per share is a higher priority than maintaining or increasing gross margin. We plan to continue aggressively bidding bundled transportation and disposal services based on this strategy

To maximize utilization of our railcar fleet, we periodically deploy available railcars to transport waste from clean-up sites to disposal facilities operated by other companies. Such transportation services may be bundled with for-profit logistics and field services support work.

In 2005, we entered into a contract with Honeywell to transport, treat and dispose approximately 1.3 million tons of chromite ore processing residue. We believe this project was one of, if not the largest, private hazardous waste cleanup projects in our industry. The project was for the treatment of commoditized metals-bearing waste. We believe we earned this business through a combination of our high volume waste throughput capability, the superior environmental conditions present at our site in the Owyhee Desert of southwestern Idaho and competitive pricing for bundled transportation and disposal services. The project was completed in October 2009. This project represented 51%, or \$18.5 million, of our total revenues in the three months ended June 30, 2009 and we believe generated approximately 45% of our operating income, or approximately \$0.09 diluted earnings per share. The project represented 47%, or \$33.9 million, of our total revenues in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and we believe generated approximately 36% of our operating income, or approximately \$0.15 diluted earnings per share. The completion of this large Event Business project impacts the comparability of our financial results in 2010 when comparing to 2009 or previous period that the Honeywell project was shipping to our facility. We expect that our expanded treatment and disposal capabilities, expanded permits, thermal recycling services, utilization of our railcar fleet on other projects and a continued strategy of maximizing operating leverage at our disposal sites and expanding services to waste brokers will generate sufficient cash flows to continue to fund operations after the completion of the Honeywell project.

We serve oil refineries, chemical production plants, steel mills, waste broker-aggregators serving small manufacturers and other industrial customers that are generally affected by adverse economic conditions and a tight credit environment. Such conditions may cause our customers as well as those they serve to curtail operations resulting in lower waste production and/or delayed spending on off-site waste shipments, maintenance, waste clean-up projects and other work. Factors that can impact general economic conditions and the level of spending by our customers include, but are not limited to, consumer and industrial spending, increases in fuel and energy costs, conditions in the real estate and mortgage markets, labor and healthcare costs, access to credit, consumer confidence and other economic factors affecting spending behavior. Market forces may also induce customers to reduce or cease operations, declare bankruptcy, liquidate or relocate to other countries, any of which could adversely affect our business. To the extent our business is either government-funded or driven by government regulations or enforcement actions, we believe it is less susceptible to general economic conditions. However, spending by government agencies may also be reduced due to declining tax revenues resulting from a weak economy or changes in policy. Disbursement of funds appropriated by Congress may also be delayed for administrative or other reasons.

Adverse economic trends arising in 2009 and continuing into 2010 have resulted in a decrease in near-term demand for our services from industrial production and manufacturing activities and waste-generating businesses that support them. These conditions also impact spending on real estate "brownfield" redevelopment projects and other discretionary industry clean-up projects. We have tightened our credit standards in response to these trends, which may also impact our business. Demand for our services may benefit from greater emphasis on enforcement by the current federal administration as well as increased federal funding for environmental remediation.

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes our results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 in dollars and as a percentage of total revenue.

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------------------------|--------|----------|--------|
| | 2010 | % | 2009 | % | 2010 | % | 2009 | % |
| Revenue | \$19,832 | 100.0% | \$36,377 | 100.0% | \$39,372 | 100.0% | \$71,342 | 100.0% |
| Direct operating costs | 9,725 | 49.0% | 12,002 | 33.0% | 20,010 | 50.8% | 23,247 | 32.6% |
| Transportation costs | 2,964 | 14.9% | 15,263 | 42.0% | 5,644 | 14.3% | 29,437 | 41.3% |
| Gross profit | 7,143 | 36.1% | 9,112 | 25.0% | 13,718 | 34.9% | 18,658 | 26.1% |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 3,343 | 16.9% | 3,396 | 9.3% | 6,910 | 17.6% | 6,969 | 9.8% |
| Operating income | 3,800 | 19.2% | 5,716 | 15.7% | 6,808 | 17.3% | 11,689 | 16.3% |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 17 | 0.1% | 37 | 0.1% | 31 | 0.1% | 85 | 0.1% |
| Interest expense | - | 0.0% | - | 0.0% | (1) | 0.0% | (1) | 0.0% |
| Other | 49 | 0.2% | 91 | 0.3% | 90 | 0.2% | 124 | 0.2% |
| Total other income | 66 | 0.3% | 128 | 0.4% | 120 | 0.3% | 208 | 0.3% |
| Income before income taxes | 3,866 | 19.5% | 5,844 | 16.1% | 6,928 | 17.6% | 11,897 | 16.6% |
| Income taxes | 1,543 | 7.8% | 2,326 | 6.4% | 2,815 | 7.1% | 4,735 | 6.6% |
| Net income | \$2,323 | 11.7% | \$3,518 | 9.7% | \$4,113 | 10.5% | \$7,162 | 10.0% |
| Earnings per share: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.13 | | \$0.19 | | \$0.23 | | \$0.39 | |
| Dilutive | \$0.13 | | \$0.19 | | \$0.23 | | \$0.39 | |
| Shares used in earnings per share calculation: | | | | | | | | |
| Basic | 18,166 | | 18,145 | | 18,165 | | 18,144 | |
| Dilutive | 18,187 | | 18,175 | | 18,186 | | 18,175 | |
| Dividends paid per share | \$0.18 | | \$0.18 | | \$0.36 | | \$0.36 | |

Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

Revenue - Revenue decreased 45% to \$19.8 million for the second quarter of 2010, down from \$36.4 million in the second quarter of 2009. This reflects lower transportation, treatment and disposal revenue in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the second quarter of 2009 primarily due to the completion of the four-year Honeywell International Jersey City (“Honeywell Jersey City”) project in early October of 2009. In the second quarter of 2010, we disposed of 118,000 tons of waste, down 48% from 228,000 tons disposed in the second quarter of 2009, of which 105,000 tons were from the Honeywell Jersey City project. This volume decline was partially offset by a 53% increase in average selling price for treatment and disposal services (excluding transportation) in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. This increase was a result of the completion of the low-priced Honeywell Jersey City project and normal service mix, partially offset by lower thermal recycling pricing.

During the second quarter of 2010, treatment and disposal revenue from recurring Base Business customers was 7% higher than the second quarter of 2009 and comprised 68% of non-transportation revenue. This compared to 50% of non-transportation Base Business revenue in the second quarter of 2009. This increase primarily reflects higher revenue from government, refinery, other industry and broker customers.

Event Business revenue in the second quarter of 2010 decreased 48% compared to the same quarter in 2009 and was 32% of non-transportation revenue for the quarter. This compared to 50% of non-transportation Event Business in the second quarter of 2009. As discussed further below, this reflects decreased treatment and disposal revenue from private and refinery customer categories. Event Business during the second quarter of 2010 was down 1% when compared to the same period last year excluding treatment and disposal revenue from the completed Honeywell Jersey City project.

The following table summarizes our second quarter 2010 revenue growth (both Base and Event Business) by customer type as compared with the second quarter of 2009.

| | Treatment and Disposal Revenue Growth Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 vs. Three Months Ended June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------|---|
| Government | 32% |
| Other industry | 16% |
| Broker | 3% |
| Rate regulated | 3% |
| Refinery | -20% |
| Private | 95% |

Government clean-up business revenue increased 32% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. This increase reflects a field services contract where we provided logistics and project management oversight brokering disposal services to an alternative disposal facility. This increase was partially offset by lower shipments from the US Army Corps of Engineers (“USACE”) FUSRAP program. Event Business under our contract with USACE contributed \$3.0 million, or 15% of total revenue, in the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$2.6 million, or 7%, of total revenue in the second quarter of 2009. The increase in total revenue from USACE is due to the transportation service that we began offering on one of the USACE projects we serve. Backing out transportation related services, treatment and disposal revenue from USACE was 7% lower in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period last year. Project-specific timing at the multiple USACE clean-up sites we serve contributed to this variability. Each site is typically remediated over multiple years in discretely funded project phases that may involve different types of waste being shipped to different disposal companies. These phases vary by type and amount of waste shipped and duration. No USACE projects served by the Company were cancelled or awarded to competitors during the quarter.

Our other industry revenue category increased 16% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. This increase reflects increased shipments across a broad range of industrial based customers including higher steel mill business in the second quarter of 2010.

Our broker business increased 3% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same quarter in 2009. This increase primarily reflects an increase in thermal recycling projects received from our broker customers during the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period of 2009.

Rate-regulated business at our Richland, Washington low-level radioactive waste facility increased 3% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009. Our Richland facility operates under a State-approved revenue requirement. The increase is due to the timing of revenue recognition for the rate-regulated portion of the business.

Treatment and disposal revenue from our refinery customers decreased 20% in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same quarter in 2009. This decrease primarily reflects decreases in average selling prices and lower volume for our thermal recycling services in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009.

Treatment and disposal revenue from private clean-up customers decreased 95% in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to the same quarter last year. This decrease primarily reflects the completion of the Honeywell Jersey City project, which was completed in October 2009. The Honeywell Jersey City project contributed 51% of total revenue (including transportation) in the second quarter of 2009, or \$18.5 million.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for the second quarter of 2010 decreased 22% to \$7.1 million, down from \$9.1 million in the second quarter of 2009. This decrease primarily reflects lower volumes of waste disposed in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009.

Gross margin was 36% in the second quarter of 2010, up from 25% in the second quarter of 2009. This increase primarily reflects a significant decrease in low margin and pass through transportation revenue in the second quarter of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 partially offset by lower utilization levels of our owned railcar fleet. Disposal gross margins (excluding transportation revenue and costs) were 44% in both the second quarter of 2010 and 2009.

Selling, General and Administrative (“SG&A”). As a percentage of total revenue, SG&A expenses for the second quarters of 2010 and 2009 were 17% and 9%, respectively. SG&A expenses were \$3.3 million in the second quarter of 2010 and \$3.4 million in the same quarter of 2009. SG&A for the second quarter of 2010 included a \$74,000 charge related to an estimated regulatory fine. Excluding this fine, the absolute dollars of SG&A expenses during the second quarter of 2010 declined approximately 4% when compared to the second quarter last year. This decline was a result of lower sales commissions and labor cost and other administrative costs reflective of our ongoing cost control initiatives. SG&A declines were partially offset by higher employee medical benefit costs, business development expenses and board of directors’ fees.

Interest income. During the second quarter of 2010, we earned \$17,000 of interest income, down from \$37,000 in the second quarter of 2009. This decrease reflects a lower average rate of interest earned on cash and short-term investments.

Other expense/income. Other expense/income includes business activities not included in current year ordinary and usual revenue and expenses. In the second quarter of 2010, we recognized \$49,000 in other income. This reflects royalty income from a previously sold municipal waste landfill in Texas, partially offset by foreign currency transaction losses. Other income in the second quarter of 2009 was \$91,000, primarily from royalty income and a gain on the sale of a parcel of property associated with a non-operating site in Texas.

Income tax expense. Our effective tax rate for the second quarter 2010 was 39.9% compared to 39.8% in the second quarter of 2009. At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, we had no significant unrecognized tax benefits. We recognize interest assessed by taxing authorities as interest expense. We recognize any penalties assessed by taxing authorities as SG&A expense. Interest and penalties for each of the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were not material.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

Revenue - Revenue decreased 45% to \$39.4 million for the first six months of 2010, down from \$71.3 million in the first six months of 2009. This reflects lower transportation, treatment and disposal revenue in the first six months of 2010 as compared to the same period of 2009 primarily due to the completion of the four-year Honeywell Jersey City project in early October of 2009. In the first six months of 2010, we disposed of 237,000 tons of waste, down 46% from 441,000 tons disposed in the first six months of 2009, of which 192,000 tons was from the Honeywell Jersey City project. This volume decline was partially offset by a 48% increase in average selling price for treatment and disposal services (excluding transportation) in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period of 2009. This increase primarily reflects changes in service mix in the first half of 2010 as compared to the first half of 2009.

During the first six months of 2010, treatment and disposal revenue from recurring Base Business customers was flat when compared to the same period of 2009 and comprised 66% of non-transportation revenue. This compared to 53% of non-transportation Base Business revenue in the first six months of 2009.

Event Business revenue in the first six months of 2010 decreased 41% compared to the same period in 2009 and was 34% of non-transportation revenue for the quarter. This compared to 47% of non-transportation Event Business in the first six months of 2009. As discussed further below, this primarily reflects decreased treatment and disposal revenue

from private customers. Event Business during the first six months of 2010 increased approximately 8% compared to the same period last year when excluding treatment and disposal revenue from the completed Honeywell Jersey City project.

The following table summarizes our revenue growth (both Base and Event Business) by customer type for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

| | Treatment and Disposal Revenue Growth Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 vs. Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 |
|-------------------|---|
| Other industry | 26% |
| Government | 12% |
| Broker | 3% |
| Rate Regulated | 1% |
| Refinery | -15% |
| Private | -96% |

Our other industry revenue category increased 26% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period of 2009. This increase is primarily the result of a remedial cleanup project with an aluminum manufacturer that began in mid-2009 and was completed in the first quarter of 2010, as well as increased shipments across a broad range of industrial based customers in the first six months of 2010.

Government clean-up business revenue increased 12% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period of 2009. This increase reflects a field services contract where we provided logistics and project management oversight brokering disposal services to an alternative disposal facility. Event Business under our contract with USACE contributed \$6.7 million or 17% of total revenue in the first six months of 2010, compared to \$4.8 million or 7% of total revenue in the first six months of 2009. The increase in total revenue from USACE is due to the transportation service that we began offering on one of the USACE projects we serve. Excluding transportation related services, treatment and disposal revenue from USACE was flat for the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period last year. Each site is typically remediated over multiple years in discretely funded project phases that may involve different types of waste being shipped to different disposal companies. These phases vary by type and amount of waste shipped and duration. No USACE projects served by the Company were cancelled or awarded to competitors during the quarter.

Our broker business increased 3% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. This increase primarily reflects our continued success working with national and smaller regional waste broker companies that do not compete with us for disposal business.

Rate-regulated business at our Richland, Washington low-level radioactive waste facility increased 1% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period of 2009. Our Richland facility operates under a State-approved revenue requirement. The increase is due to the timing of revenue recognition for the rate-regulated portion of the business.

Treatment and disposal revenue from our refinery customers decreased 15% in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease primarily reflects lower average selling prices for our thermal recycling

services in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period of 2009, partially offset by an increase in thermal recycling volume.

Treatment and disposal revenue from private clean-up customers decreased 96% in the first six months of 2010 as compared to the same period last year. This decrease primarily reflects the completion of the Honeywell Jersey City project, which was completed in October 2009, and to a lesser extent the Molycorp, Pennsylvania project which was completed in the first quarter of 2009. The Honeywell Jersey City project contributed 47% of total revenue (including transportation) in the first six months 2009 or \$33.9 million. The Molycorp project contributed 3% of total revenue (including transportation) in the first six months of 2009 or \$2.0 million.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for the first six months of 2010 decreased 26% to \$13.7 million, down from \$18.7 million in the first six months of 2009. This decrease primarily reflects lower volumes of waste disposed in the first six months of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009.

Gross margin was 35% in the first six months of 2010, up from 26% in the first six months of 2009. This increase primarily reflects a significant decrease in low margin and pass through transportation revenue in the first half of 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 partially offset by lower utilization levels of our owned railcar fleet. Disposal gross margins (excluding transportation revenue and costs) were 42% in the first six months of 2010 compared to 45% in the first six months of 2009. The lower disposal margin reflects the reduction in disposal volume, lower contribution from our thermal recycling service resulting from lower average selling prices and normal service mix.

Selling, General and Administrative. As a percentage of total revenue, SG&A expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 were 18% and 10%, respectively. SG&A expenses were \$6.9 million in the first six months of 2010 and \$7.0 million in the same period of 2009. SG&A for the first six months of 2010 included a \$497,000 charge related to an estimated regulatory fine. Excluding this fine, the absolute dollars of SG&A expenses during the first six months of 2010 declined approximately 8% when compared to the same period last year. This decline was a result of lower sales commissions, labor cost and other administrative costs reflective of our ongoing cost control initiatives.

Interest income. During the first six months of 2010, we earned \$31,000 of interest income, down from \$85,000 in the first six months of 2009. This decrease reflects a lower average rate of interest earned on cash and short-term investments.

Other expense/income. Other expense/income includes business activities not included in current year ordinary and usual revenue and expenses. In the first six months of 2010, we recognized \$90,000 in other income. This reflects royalty income from a previously sold municipal waste landfill in Texas partially offset by foreign currency transaction losses. Other income in the first six months of 2009 was \$124,000, primarily from royalty income and a gain on the sale of a parcel of property associated with a non-operating site in Texas.

Income tax expense. Our effective tax rate for the first six months 2010 was 40.6% compared to 39.8% in the first six months of 2009. This increase primarily reflects an increase in non-tax deductible expenses.

Critical Accounting Policies

Financial statement preparation requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect reported assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. The accompanying consolidated financial statements are prepared using the same critical accounting policies discussed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal source of cash is from operations. The \$29.3 million in cash at June 30, 2010 was comprised of cash and cash equivalents immediately available for operations.

On June 15, 2010, we entered into an amendment to an unsecured revolving line of credit (the "Revolving Credit Agreement") with Wells Fargo. Under the terms of the amended Revolving Credit Agreement, the Company may utilize up to \$20 million (an increase from \$15 million) in revolving credit loans or letters of credit. The agreement expires on June 15, 2013. Monthly interest only payments are paid based on a pricing grid under which the interest rate decreases or increases based on our ratio of funded debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization. We can elect to borrow utilizing the offshore London Inter-Bank Offering Rate ("LIBOR") plus an applicable spread or the prime rate. At June 30, 2010, the applicable interest rate on the line of credit was 1.2%. The credit agreement contains certain quarterly financial covenants, including a maximum leverage ratio, a maximum funded debt ratio and a minimum required tangible net worth. Pursuant to our credit agreement, we may only declare quarterly or annual dividends if on the date of declaration, no event of default has occurred, or no other event or

condition has occurred that would constitute an event of default after giving effect to the payment of the dividend. At June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all of the financial covenants in the credit agreement in the amended Revolving Credit Agreement and had no amounts outstanding on the revolving line of credit. We have a standby letter of credit to support our closure and post-closure obligation of \$4.0 million that expires in December 2010. At June 30, 2010, we had a borrowing capacity of \$16.0 million after deducting the outstanding letter of credit.

Work under the Honeywell Jersey City contract was completed in October 2009. While this contract represented a significant portion of the Company's total revenue in 2009, approximately 75% of the revenue from this contract was for transportation services provided at or near our cost. We expect that our expanded treatment and disposal capabilities, expanded permits, thermal recycling services, utilization of our railcar fleet on other projects and a continued strategy of maximizing operating leverage at our disposal sites and expanding services to waste brokers will generate sufficient cash flows to continue to fund operations after the completion of the Honeywell project.

Management believes that cash on hand and cash flow from operations will be sufficient to meet all operating cash needs during the next 12 months.

Operating Activities - For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net cash provided by operating activities was \$10.2 million. This reflects net income of \$4.1 million, decreases in receivables of \$2.8 million and depreciation and amortization and accretion of \$3.6 million. Partially offsetting these sources of cash were decreases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$1.1 million. Impacts on net income are due to the factors discussed above under Results of Operations. The decrease in receivables is primarily attributable to a decline in revenue in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared with the six months ended June 30, 2009. Day's sales outstanding were 61 days as of June 30, 2010, compared to 68 days at December 31, 2009 and 65 days at June 30, 2009. The decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities and deferred revenue is primarily attributable to lower waste disposal volumes in the first six months of 2010 compared with the same period last year.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, net cash provided by operating activities was \$17.7 million. This reflects net income of \$7.2 million, decreases in receivables of \$4.3 million, a \$2.4 million decrease in income tax receivable and depreciation, amortization and accretion of \$4.6 million. Partially offsetting these sources of cash were decreases in accounts payable and accrued liabilities of \$1.1 million and decreases in accrued salaries and benefits of \$899,000.

Investing Activities - For the six months ended June 30, 2010, net cash used in investing activities was \$5.8 million including capital expenditures of \$4.9 million and net purchases of short-term investments totaling \$1.6 million. Partially offsetting cash outflows was a reduction in our restricted cash balances of \$686,000. Significant capital projects included equipment purchases at all four operating disposal facilities as well as construction of additional disposal capacity and treatment facilities at our Robstown, Texas site. We expect full year capital expenditures to range from \$15.6 to \$16.6 million, which will be primarily spent on additional disposal capacity at our Robstown, Texas and Beatty, Nevada facilities and the addition of a new treatment and stabilization building in Robstown.

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, net cash used in investing activities was \$4.7 million. Significant transactions affecting cash used in investing activities during the first six months of 2009 include capital expenditures of \$4.8 million. Significant capital projects included equipment purchases at all four operating disposal facilities as well as construction of additional disposal capacity at our Robstown, Texas and Grand View, Idaho facilities.

Financing Activities - For the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, net cash used in financing activities was \$6.5 million, reflecting the payment of dividends to our stockholders.

Contractual Obligations and Guarantees

For information on contractual obligations and guarantees, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009 filed on March 4, 2010. There were no material changes in the amounts of our contractual obligations and guarantees during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We do not maintain equities, commodities, derivatives or any other instruments for trading or any other purposes. We have minimal interest rate risk on short-term investments and other assets. At June 30, 2010, approximately \$29.3 million was held in cash and cash equivalents primarily invested in money market accounts and \$3.0 million invested in high investment grade commercial paper and Federal Home Loan securities. Interest earned on these investments is less than 1% per year.

We are exposed to market risks primarily from changes in interest rates. We do not engage in financial transactions for trading or speculative purposes.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Management of the Company, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer of the Company, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of June 30, 2010. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures, including the accumulation and communication of disclosures to the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, are effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the SEC.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Cautionary Statement for Purposes of "Safe Harbor Provisions" of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995
This quarterly report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. Statements that are not historical facts, including statements about the Company's beliefs and expectations, are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements preceded by, followed by or that include the words "may," "could," "would," "should," "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "plan," "estimate," "target," "project," "intend" and similar expressions. These statements include, among others, statements regarding our financial and operating results, strategic objectives and means to achieve those objectives, the amount and timing of capital expenditures, repurchases of its stock under approved stock repurchase plans, the amount and timing of interest expense, the likelihood of our success in expanding our business, financing plans, budgets, working capital needs and sources of liquidity.

Forward-looking statements are only predictions and are not guarantees of performance. These statements are based on management's beliefs and assumptions, which in turn are based on currently available information. Important assumptions include, among others, those regarding demand for Company services, expansion of service offerings geographically or through new or expanded service lines, the timing and cost of planned capital expenditures, competitive conditions and general economic conditions. These assumptions could prove inaccurate. Forward-looking statements also involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statement. Many of these factors are beyond our ability to control or predict. Such factors include, but are not limited to, a loss of a major customer, compliance with and changes to applicable laws and regulations, access to cost effective transportation services, access to insurance and other financial assurances, loss of key personnel, lawsuits, labor disputes, adverse economic conditions including a tightened credit market, government funding or competitive pressures, incidents or adverse weather conditions that could limit or suspend specific operations, implementation of new technologies, production rates for thermal treatment services, market conditions and pricing for recycled materials, our ability to replace business from our completed Honeywell Jersey City project, our ability to perform under required contracts, our ability to permit and contract for timely construction of new or expanded disposal cells, our willingness or ability to pay dividends, and our ability to effectively integrate any acquisitions.

Except as required by applicable law, including the securities laws of the United States and the rules and regulations of the SEC, we are under no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. You should not place undue reliance on our forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in forward-looking statements are reasonable, we

cannot guarantee future results or performance. Before you invest in our common stock, you should be aware that the occurrence of the events described in the "Risk Factors" section in our 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 4, 2010 could harm our business, prospects, operating results, and financial condition.

Investors should also be aware that while we do, from time to time, communicate with securities analysts, it is against our policy to disclose to them any material non-public information or other confidential commercial information. Accordingly, stockholders should not assume that we agree with any statement or report issued by any analyst irrespective of the content of the statement or report. Furthermore, we have a policy against issuing or confirming financial forecasts or projections issued by others. Thus, to the extent that reports issued by securities analysts contain any projections, forecasts or opinions, such reports are not the responsibility of US Ecology, Inc.

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

In March 2010, we received a proposed settlement offer from EPA relating to alleged non-compliance with certain regulations at our Beatty, Nevada facility dating back to 2005. The EPA has alleged, among other things, that air emissions on our now discontinued permitted hazardous waste thermal operations should have been monitored continuously as opposed to periodic monitoring. In addition, the EPA has alleged that residual amounts of PCBs had been released without formal notice to the EPA or the State of Nevada's Department of Environmental Protection all within the confines of our secure hazardous waste/PCB facility. We do not believe the alleged non-compliance represented a threat to human health or the environment. In response to the EPA's proposal the Company and the EPA have verbally agreed to settle the matter for \$497,000. Negotiations of the consent and final order are ongoing with the EPA. As a result of the proposed settlement, we recognized a charge of \$423,000 during the first quarter of 2010 and an additional charge of \$74,000 in the second quarter of 2010 in Selling, general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statement of Operations related to this matter.

Other than as disclosed above, we are not currently a party to any material pending legal proceedings and are not aware of any other claims that could have a materially adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in Item 1A of Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 10.52 Second Amendment to the Revolving Credit Agreement between US Ecology, Inc., and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association effective June 15, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to US Ecology, Inc.'s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on June 17, 2010)
- 15 Letter re: Unaudited Interim Financial Statements
- 31.1 Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 31.2 Certification of CFO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

US Ecology, Inc.
(Registrant)

Date: July 28, 2010

/s/ Jeffrey R. Feeler
Jeffrey R. Feeler
Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

