

MICROMET, INC.  
Form SC TO-T/A  
February 10, 2012

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Washington, D.C. 20549

**SCHEDULE TO**

**(RULE 14d 100)**

**Tender Offer Statement Pursuant to Section 14(d)(1) or 13(e)(1) of**

**the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

**(Amendment No. 2)**

**MICROMET, INC.**

**(Name of Subject Company)**

**ARMSTRONG ACQUISITION CORP.**

**(Offeror)**

**AMGEN INC.**

**(Parent of Offeror)**

**(Names of Filing Persons)**

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form SC TO-T/A

**COMMON STOCK, \$0.00004 PAR VALUE**

(Title of Class of Securities)

**59509C105**

(Cusip Number of Class of Securities)

**David J. Scott, Esq.**

**Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary**

**One Amgen Center Drive**

**Thousand Oaks, California 91320-1799**

**(805) 447-1000**

(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person Authorized to Receive Notices

and Communications on Behalf of Filing Persons)

*With a copy to*

**Frank J. Aquila, Esq.**

**Eric M. Krautheimer, Esq.**

**Sullivan & Cromwell LLP**

**125 Broad Street**

**New York, NY 10004**

**(212) 558-4000**

**CALCULATION OF FILING FEE**

**Transaction Valuation\***

\$1,255,747,416

**Amount of Filing Fee\*\***

\$143,908

\* Estimated solely for purposes of calculating the filing fee. The transaction value was determined by multiplying (a) \$11.00, the tender offer price, by (b) the sum of (i) 92,375,454, the issued and outstanding shares of Micromet common stock, (ii) 14,017,356, the number of shares of Micromet common stock issuable by Micromet upon the exercise of outstanding stock options pursuant to Micromet's stock option plans and (iii) 7,766,046, the number of shares of Micromet common stock issuable by Micromet upon the exercise of outstanding warrants. The foregoing share figures have been provided by the issuer to the offerors and are as of January 25, 2012, the most recent practicable date.

\*\*

Edgar Filing: MICROMET, INC. - Form SC TO-T/A

The filing fee was calculated in accordance with Rule 0 11 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Fee Rate Advisory #3 for fiscal year 2012, issued September 29, 2011, by multiplying the transaction value by .0001146.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Rule 0 11(a)(2) and identify the filing with which the offsetting fee was previously paid. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

Amount Previously Paid:	\$143,908	Filing Party:	Armstrong Acquisition Corp.
Form or Registration No.:	Schedule TO	Date Filed:	and Amgen Inc. February 2, 2012

Check the box if the filing relates solely to preliminary communications made before the commencement of a tender offer. Check the appropriate boxes below to designate any transactions to which the statement relates:

- third party tender offer subject to Rule 14d 1.
- issuer tender offer subject to Rule 13e 4.
- going private transaction subject to Rule 13e 3.
- amendment to Schedule 13D under Rule 13d 2.

Check the following box if the filing is a final amendment reporting the results of the tender offer.

If applicable, check the appropriate box(es) below to designate the appropriate rule provision(s) relied upon:

- Rule 13e 4(i) (Cross Border Issuer Tender Offer)
- Rule 14d 1(d) (Cross Border Third Party Tender Offer)

This Amendment No. 2 (this Amendment) amends and supplements the Tender Offer Statement on Schedule TO (together with any subsequent amendments and supplements thereto, the Schedule TO) filed by Amgen Inc., a Delaware corporation (Amgen), and Armstrong Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation (Purchaser) and a wholly-owned subsidiary of Amgen. The Schedule TO relates to the offer by the Purchaser to purchase all outstanding shares of common stock, par value \$0.00004 per share (together with the associated preferred stock purchase rights, the Shares), of Micromet, Inc., a Delaware corporation (Micromet), at \$11.00 per Share, net to the seller in cash, without interest and less any required withholding taxes, upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in the Offer to Purchase, dated February 2, 2012 (the Offer to Purchase), and in the related Letter of Transmittal, dated February 2, 2012, copies of which are attached as Exhibits (a)(1)(i) and (a)(1)(ii), respectively, to the Schedule TO (which, together with any amendments or supplements thereto, collectively constitute the Offer).

All information contained in the Offer to Purchase and the accompanying Letter of Transmittal, including all schedules thereto, is hereby incorporated herein by reference in response to Items 1 through 9 and Item 11 in the Schedule TO.

This Amendment No. 2 is being filed to amend and supplement Item 11 as reflected below.

**Item 11. Additional Information.**

Item 11 of the Schedule TO is hereby amended and supplemented as follows:

The information set forth in Section 17 Certain Legal Matters; Regulatory Approvals Litigation of the Offer to Purchase is hereby amended and restated in its entirety to read as follows:

On January 27, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Rush v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. V358302, was filed in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, Maryland (the Rush Case). On January 30, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Passes v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. 7198-VCP, was filed in the Court of Chancery for the State of Delaware (the Passes Case). On January 30, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Bohaychuck v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. 7197-VCP, was filed in the Court of Chancery for the State of Delaware (the Bohaychuck Case). On January 31, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Volpe v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. 7201-VCP, was filed in the Court of Chancery for the State of Delaware (the Volpe Case). On January 31, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Osler v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. V358457, was filed in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, Maryland (the Osler Case). On January 31, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Noskoviak v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. V358455 was filed in the Circuit Court for Montgomery County, Maryland (the Noskoviak Case). On February 1, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Draper-Donaldson v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. 7208-VCP, was filed in the Court of Chancery for the State of Delaware (the Draper-Donaldson Case). On February 3, 2012, the Draper-Donaldson Case was voluntarily dismissed without prejudice by the plaintiff in that case. On February 1, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Wolf v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. 7205-VCP, was filed in the Court of Chancery for the State of Delaware (the Wolf Case). On February 2, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Russell v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. 7210-VCP, was filed in the Court of Chancery for the State of Delaware (the Russell Case). On February 8, 2012, a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Raad v. Micromet, Inc.*, Case No. 8:12-cv-00385-DKC, was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Maryland (the Raad Case). On February 9, 2012 a putative class action lawsuit challenging the Merger, captioned *Louisiana Municipal Police Employees Retirement System v. Micromet, Inc.*, was filed in the Court of Chancery for the State of Delaware (the LMPERS Case and collectively with the Rush Case, Passes Case, Bohaychuck Case, Volpe Case, Osler Case, Noskoviak Case, Wolf Case, Russell Case and Raad Case, the Stockholder Litigations).

The Stockholder Litigations and the Draper-Donaldson Case were each filed against us, Amgen, Micromet and the individual members of the Micromet Board. The Stockholder Litigations each generally allege and the Draper-Donaldson Case alleged, among other things, that the members of the Micromet Board breached their fiduciary duties owed to the Micromet stockholders by approving the proposed Merger for inadequate consideration, entering into the Merger Agreement containing preclusive deal protection devices and failing to take steps to maximize the value to be paid to the Micromet stockholders. The Raad Case brings an additional claim against the members of the Micromet Board under Section 14(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 for making false and misleading statements in the Schedule 14D-9. On February 6, 2012 the Passes Case was amended to include a claim

that the members of the Micromet Board breached their fiduciary duties by failing to make adequate disclosures to Micromet's stockholders with respect to the Merger. Each of the Stockholder Litigations also alleges and the Draper-Donaldson Case alleged claims for aiding and abetting such alleged breaches of fiduciary duties. The Bohaychuck Case alleges this claim against Micromet and Amgen, the Draper-Donaldson Case alleged and the Wolf Case and LMPERS Case allege this claim against Amgen and us, the Noskoviak Case, Russell Case, Osler Case and Raad Case allege this claim against Amgen only, and the Rush Case and Volpe Case allege this claim against us, Amgen and Micromet. The Passes Case originally alleged the aiding and abetting claim against Micromet only, but was amended on February 6, 2012 to include Amgen and us. The plaintiffs in each of the Stockholder Litigations generally seek, among other things, declaratory and injunctive relief concerning the alleged breaches of fiduciary duty, injunctive relief prohibiting consummation of the proposed Merger, damages and attorneys' fees and costs, and other forms of relief.

**SIGNATURE**

After due inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Dated: February 10, 2012

**AMGEN INC.**

By: /s/ David J. Scott  
Name: David J. Scott  
Title: Senior Vice President,  
General Counsel and Secretary

**ARMSTRONG ACQUISITION CORP.**

By: /s/ David J. Scott  
Name: David J. Scott  
Title: Senior Vice President,  
General Counsel and Secretary