KEYCORP /NEW/
Form 10-Q
November 03, 2011
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

[ü] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Quarterly Period Ended September 30, 2011

or

[ ] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the Transition Period From _____ To _____

Commission File Number 1-11302

KeyCorp

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Ohio	**34-6542451**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
127 Public Square, Cleveland, Ohio	**44114-1306**
(Address of principal executive offices)	(Zip Code)

(216) 689-3000

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes þ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes þ No ¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer	þ	Accelerated filer	¨
Non-accelerated filer	¨ (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)	Smaller reporting company	¨

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes ¨ No þ

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Common Shares with a par value of $1 each	952,888,140 Shares
(Title of class)	(Outstanding at November 1, 2011)

Table of Contents

KEYCORP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1.	Financial Statements	Page Number
	Consolidated Balance Sheets September 30, 2011 (Unaudited), December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)	5
	Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010	6
	Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited) Nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010	7
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010	8
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)	9
	Note 1. Basis of Presentation	9
	Note 2. Earnings Per Common Share	12
	Note 3. Loans and Loans Held for Sale	13
	Note 4. Asset Quality	14
	Note 5. Fair Value Measurements	21
	Note 6. Securities	30
	Note 7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities	34
	Note 8. Mortgage Servicing Assets	41
	Note 9. Variable Interest Entities	42
	Note 10. Income Taxes	43
	Note 11. Divestiture and Discontinued Operations	44
	Note 12. Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees	49
	Note 13. Capital Securities Issued by Unconsolidated Subsidiaries	51
	Note 14. Employee Benefits	53
	Note 15. Shareholders Equity	54
	Note 16. Line of Business Results	55
	Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	60

Table of Contents

Item 2.	**Management s Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations**	61
	Introduction	61
	Terminology	61
	Forward-looking statements	62
	Economic overview	63
	Long-term financial goals	63
	Strategic developments	64
	Demographics	65
	Supervision and regulation	66
	Regulatory reform developments	66
	Interchange fees	66
	Regulation E pursuant to the Electronic Fund Transfer Act of 1978	66
	Comprehensive capital plan	66
	Capital plan proposal	67
	Proposed joint guidance on stress testing	67
	Systemically important financial companies	67
	Restrictions on proprietary trading and interests in hedge funds and private equity funds	67
	Critical accounting policies and estimates	67
	Highlights of Our Performance	68
	Results of Operations	72
	Net interest income	72
	Noninterest income	76
	Trust and investment services income	77
	Service charges on deposit accounts	78
	Operating lease income	78
	Investment banking and capital markets income	78
	Letter of credit and loan fees	78
	Corporate-owned life insurance income	79
	Net gains (losses) from principal investing	79
	Other income	79
	Noninterest expense	79
	Personnel	80
	Operating lease expense	80
	Income taxes	80
	Line of Business Results	81
	Key Community Bank summary of operations	81
	Key Corporate Bank summary of operations	82
	Other Segments	83
	Financial Condition	84
	Loans and loans held for sale	84
	Commercial loan portfolio	84
	Commercial financial and agricultural	84
	Commercial real estate loans	84
	Commercial lease financing	86
	Commercial loan modification and restructuring	86
	Extensions	87
	Guarantors	87
	Consumer loan portfolio	88
	Loans held for sale	89
	Loan sales	89
	Securities	90
	Securities available for sale	90
	Held-to-maturity securities	91
	Other investments	92

Table of Contents

	Deposits and other sources of funds	92
	The Dodd-Frank Act s reform of deposit insurance	92
	Capital	94
	Updated Comprehensive Capital Plan and redemption notices for certain capital securities	94
	Repurchase of TARP CPP preferred stock, warrant and completion of equity and debt offerings	94
	Dividends	94
	Common shares outstanding	95
	Capital plan and proposed actions	95
	Capital adequacy	96
	Basel III	97
	Risk Management	99
	Overview	99
	Market risk management	99
	Interest rate risk management	100
	Net interest income simulation analysis	100
	Economic value of equity modeling	101
	Management of interest rate exposure	101
	Derivatives not designated in hedge relationships	102
	Liquidity risk management	102
	Governance structure	102
	Factors affecting liquidity	103
	Managing liquidity risk	103
	Long-term liquidity strategy	103
	Sources of liquidity	103
	Liquidity programs	104
	Liquidity for KeyCorp	104
	Our liquidity position and recent activity	104
	Credit ratings	105
	Credit risk management	105
	Credit policy, approval and evaluation	105
	Watch and criticized assets	106
	Allowance for loan and lease losses	106
	Net loan charge-offs	108
	Nonperforming assets	110
	Operational risk management	112
Item 3.	**Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk**	113
Item 4.	**Controls and Procedures**	113
	PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	**Legal Proceedings**	113
Item 1A.	**Risk Factors**	113
Item 2.	**Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**	114
Item 6.	**Exhibits**	114
	Signature	115
	Exhibits	116

Throughout the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) and Management s Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations, we use certain acronyms and abbreviations which are defined in Note 1 (Basis of Presentation), which begins on page 9.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Consolidated Balance Sheets

in millions, except per share data	September 30, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010 (Unaudited)
ASSETS			
Cash and due from banks	**$ 828**	$ 278	$ 823
Short-term investments	**4,766**	1,344	1,871
Trading account assets	**729**	985	1,155
Securities available for sale	**17,612**	21,933	21,241
Held-to-maturity securities (fair value: $1,186, $17 and $18)	**1,176**	17	18
Other investments	**1,210**	1,358	1,405
Loans, net of unearned income of $1,413, $1,572 and $1,587	**48,195**	50,107	51,354
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	**1,131**	1,604	1,957
Net loans	**47,064**	48,503	49,397
Loans held for sale	**479**	467	637
Premises and equipment	**924**	908	888
Operating lease assets	**393**	509	563
Goodwill	**917**	917	917
Other intangible assets	**18**	21	39
Corporate-owned life insurance	**3,227**	3,167	3,145
Derivative assets	**940**	1,006	1,258
Accrued income and other assets (including $87 of consolidated LIHTC guaranteed funds VIEs, see Note 9)[(a)]	**2,946**	3,876	3,936
Discontinued assets (including $2,860 of consolidated education loan securitization trust VIEs (see Note 9) and $75 of loans in portfolio at fair value)[(a)]	**6,033**	6,554	6,750
Total assets	**$ 89,262**	$ 91,843	$ 94,043
LIABILITIES			
Deposits in domestic offices:			
NOW and money market deposit accounts	**$ 27,548**	$ 27,066	$ 26,350
Savings deposits	**1,968**	1,879	1,856
Certificates of deposit ($100,000 or more)	**4,457**	5,862	6,850
Other time deposits	**6,695**	8,245	9,014
Total interest-bearing	**40,668**	43,052	44,070
Noninterest-bearing	**19,803**	16,653	16,275
Deposits in foreign office interest-bearing	**561**	905	1,073
Total deposits	**61,032**	60,610	61,418
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	**1,728**	2,045	2,793
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings	**519**	1,151	685
Derivative liabilities	**1,141**	1,142	1,330
Accrued expense and other liabilities	**1,556**	1,931	1,862
Long-term debt	**10,717**	10,592	11,443
Discontinued liabilities (including $2,651 of consolidated education loan securitization trust VIEs at fair value, see Note 9)[(a)]	**2,651**	2,998	3,124
Total liabilities	**79,344**	80,469	82,655
EQUITY			
Preferred stock, $1 par value, authorized 25,000,000 shares:			

7.75% Noncumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A, $100 liquidation preference; authorized 7,475,000 shares; issued 2,904,839, 2,904,839 and 2,904,839 shares	**291**	291	291
Fixed-Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B, $100,000 liquidation preference; authorized and issued 25,000 shares		2,446	2,442
Common shares, $1 par value; authorized 1,400,000,000 shares; issued 1,016,969,905, 946,348,435 and 946,348,435 shares	**1,017**	946	946
Common stock warrant		87	87
Capital surplus	**4,191**	3,711	3,710
Retained earnings	**6,079**	5,557	5,287
Treasury stock, at cost (64,161,618, 65,740,726 and 66,020,414)	**(1,820)**	(1,904)	(1,914)
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	**143**	(17)	285
Key shareholders equity	**9,901**	11,117	11,134
Noncontrolling interests	**17**	257	254
Total equity	**9,918**	11,374	11,388
Total liabilities and equity	**$ 89,262**	$ 91,843	$ 94,043

(a) The assets of the VIEs can only be used by the particular VIE and there is no recourse to Key with respect to the liabilities of the consolidated LIHTC or education loan securitization trust VIEs.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

dollars in millions, except per share amounts	Three months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	2010
INTEREST INCOME				
Loans	**$ 543**	$ 649	**$ 1,664**	$ 2,036
Loans held for sale	**3**	4	**10**	13
Securities available for sale	**140**	170	**455**	474
Held-to-maturity securities	**2**	1	**3**	2
Trading account assets	**5**	8	**21**	29
Short-term investments	**3**	1	**5**	5
Other investments	**9**	11	**33**	38
Total interest income	**705**	844	**2,191**	2,597
INTEREST EXPENSE				
Deposits	**95**	147	**305**	547
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	**1**	1	**4**	4
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings	**3**	4	**9**	11
Long-term debt	**57**	52	**163**	153
Total interest expense	**156**	204	**481**	715
NET INTEREST INCOME	**549**	640	**1,710**	1,882
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**10**	94	**(38)**	735
Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan and lease losses	**539**	546	**1,748**	1,147
NONINTEREST INCOME				
Trust and investment services income	**107**	110	**330**	336
Service charges on deposit accounts	**74**	75	**211**	231
Operating lease income	**30**	41	**97**	131
Letter of credit and loan fees	**55**	61	**157**	143
Corporate-owned life insurance income	**31**	39	**86**	95
Net securities gains (losses) [(a)]		1	**1**	2
Electronic banking fees	**33**	30	**96**	86
Gains on leased equipment	**7**	4	**16**	14
Insurance income	**13**	15	**42**	52
Net gains (losses) from loan sales	**18**	18	**48**	47
Net gains (losses) from principal investing	**34**	18	**86**	72
Investment banking and capital markets income (loss)	**25**	42	**110**	82
Other income	**56**	32	**114**	137
Total noninterest income	**483**	486	**1,394**	1,428
NONINTEREST EXPENSE				
Personnel	**382**	359	**1,133**	1,106
Net occupancy	**65**	70	**192**	200
Operating lease expense	**23**	40	**76**	114
Computer processing	**40**	46	**124**	140
Business services and professional fees	**47**	41	**129**	120
FDIC assessment	**7**	27	**45**	97
OREO expense, net	**1**	4	**8**	58
Equipment	**26**	24	**78**	74
Marketing	**16**	21	**36**	50
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	**(1)**	(10)	**(17)**	(22)
Other expense	**86**	114	**269**	353
Total noninterest expense	**692**	736	**2,073**	2,290

INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE INCOME TAXES	**330**	296	**1,069**	285
Income taxes	**95**	85	**300**	14
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS	**235**	211	**769**	271
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes of ($11), $10, ($23) and ($5) (see Note 11)	**(17)**	15	**(37)**	(10)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	**218**	226	**732**	261
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	**1**	7	**12**	27
NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO KEY	**$ 217**	$ 219	**$ 720**	$ 234
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**$ 229**	$ 163	**$ 656**	$ 121
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	**212**	178	**619**	111
Per common share:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**$ .24**	$ .19	**$ .71**	$ .14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	**(.02)**	.02	**(.04)**	(.01)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	**.22**	.20	**.67**	.13
Per common share assuming dilution:				
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**$ .24**	$ .19	**$ .71**	$ .14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	**(.02)**	.02	**(.04)**	(.01)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	**.22**	.20	**.67**	.13
Cash dividends declared per common share	**$ .03**	$ .01	**$ .07**	$ .03
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (000) [(b)]	**948,702**	874,433	**926,298**	874,495
Weighted-average common shares and potential common shares outstanding (000)	**950,686**	874,433	**930,449**	874,495

(a) For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010, we did not have impairment losses related to securities.

(b) Assumes conversion of stock options and/or Preferred Series A, as applicable.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited)

			Key Shareholders Equity								
dollars in millions, except per share amounts	Preferred Shares Outstanding (000)	Common Shares Outstanding (000)	Preferred Stock	Common Shares	Common Stock Warrant	Capital Surplus	Retained Earnings	Treasury Stock, at Cost	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Noncontrolling Interests	Comprehensive Income (Loss)
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2009	2,930	878,535	$ 2,721	$ 946	$ 87	$ 3,734	$ 5,158	$ (1,980)	$ (3)	$ 270	
Cumulative effect adjustment to beginning balance of Retained Earnings							45 [a]				
Net income (loss)							234			27	$ 261
Other comprehensive income (loss):											
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of income taxes of $214									361		361
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of income taxes of ($49)									(82)		(82)
Net distribution to noncontrolling interests										(43)	(43)
Foreign currency translation adjustments									(8)		(8)
Net pension and postretirement benefit costs, net of income taxes									17		17
Total comprehensive income (loss)											$ 506 [a]
Deferred compensation						11					
Cash dividends declared on common shares ($.03 per share)							(27)				
Cash dividends declared on Noncumulative Series A Preferred Stock ($5.8125 per share)							(17)				
Cash dividends accrued on Cumulative Series B Preferred Stock (5% per annum)							(94)				
Amortization of discount on Series B Preferred Stock			12				(12)				
Common shares reissued for stock options and other employee benefit plans		1,793				(35)		66			
BALANCE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2010	2,930	880,328	$ 2,733	$ 946	$ 87	$ 3,710	$ 5,287	$ (1,914)	$ 285	$ 254	
BALANCE AT DECEMBER 31, 2010	2,930	880,608	$ 2,737	$ 946	$ 87	$ 3,711	$ 5,557	$ (1,904)	$ (17)	$ 257	
Correction of an error in cumulative effect adjustment							(30)[b]				
Net income (loss)							720			12	$ 732
Other comprehensive income (loss):											
Net unrealized gains (losses) on securities available for sale, net of income taxes of $93									157		157
Net unrealized gains (losses) on derivative financial instruments, net of income taxes of $(3)									(6)		(6)
Net distribution from noncontrolling interests										(252)	(252)
Foreign currency translation adjustments									5		5
Net pension and postretirement benefit costs, net of income taxes									4		4
Total comprehensive income (loss)											$ 640
Deferred compensation						(2)					
							(67)				

Cash dividends declared on common shares ($.07 per share)										
Cash dividends declared on Noncumulative Series A Preferred Stock ($5.8125 per share)							(17)			
Cash dividends accrued on Cumulative Series B Preferred Stock (5% per annum)							(31)			
Series B Preferred Stock - TARP redemption	(25)		(2,451)				(49)			
Repurchase of common stock warrant					(87)	17				
Amortization of discount on Series B Preferred Stock			4				(4)			
Common shares issuance		70,621		71		533				
Common shares reissued for stock options and other employee benefit plans		1,579				(68)		84		
Other			1							
BALANCE AT SEPTEMBER 30, 2011	2,905	952,808	$ 291	$ 1,017	$	4,191	$ 6,079	$ (1,820)	$ 143	$ 17

(a) The $45 million cumulative effect adjustment on January 1, 2010 was erroneously shown as a component of Comprehensive Income (Loss) and has been removed for financial reporting presentation. Therefore, Total Comprehensive Income (Loss) was previously shown as $551 million and has now been reflected at $506 million for financial reporting presentation purposes.

(b) Corrects the cumulative effect adjustment made to beginning retained earnings on January 1, 2010 related to the consolidation of the student loan securitization trusts in discontinued operations. See Note 11 (Divestiture and Discontinued Operations) for more information.

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

in millions	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	2010
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income (loss)	**$ 732**	$ 261
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**(38)**	735
Depreciation and amortization expense	**208**	254
FDIC (payments) net of FDIC expense	**41**	79
Deferred income taxes (benefit)	**(261)**	16
Net losses (gains) and writedown on OREO	**6**	52
Provision (credit) for customer derivative losses	**(12)**	28
Net losses (gains) from loan sales	**(48)**	(47)
Net losses (gains) from principal investing	**(86)**	(72)
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	**(17)**	(22)
(Gains) losses on leased equipment	**(16)**	(14)
Net securities losses (gains)	**(1)**	(2)
Net decrease (increase) in loans held for sale excluding loan transfers from continuing operations	**66**	176
Net decrease (increase) in trading account assets	**256**	54
Other operating activities, net	**1,045**	471
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES	**1,875**	1,969
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Net decrease (increase) in short-term investments	**(3,422)**	(128)
Purchases of securities available for sale	**(624)**	(6,993)
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	**1,662**	61
Proceeds from prepayments and maturities of securities available for sale	**3,532**	2,918
Proceeds from prepayments and maturities of held-to-maturity securities	**11**	5
Purchases of held-to-maturity securities	**(1,170)**	(2)
Purchases of other investments	**(125)**	(106)
Proceeds from sales of other investments	**57**	131
Proceeds from prepayments and maturities of other investments	**63**	87
Net decrease (increase) in loans, excluding acquisitions, sales and transfers	**1,257**	5,107
Proceeds from loan sales	**111**	431
Purchases of premises and equipment	**(102)**	(102)
Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment	**1**	1
Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned	**112**	143
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) INVESTING ACTIVITIES	**1,363**	1,553
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net increase (decrease) in deposits	**422**	(4,153)
Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	**(949)**	1,396
Net proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	**1,021**	776
Payments on long-term debt	**(1,086)**	(1,051)
Net proceeds from issuance of common stock	**604**	
Series B Preferred Stock - TARP redemption	**(2,500)**	
Repurchase of common stock warrant	**(70)**	
Cash dividends paid	**(130)**	(138)
NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FINANCING ACTIVITIES	**(2,688)**	(3,170)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS	**550**	352
CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	**278**	471
CASH AND DUE FROM BANKS AT END OF PERIOD	**$ 828**	$ 823
Additional disclosures relative to cash flows:		

Interest paid	**$**	**445**	$	680
Income taxes paid (refunded)		**(314)**		(159)
Noncash items:				
Loans transferred to held for sale from portfolio	**$**	**78**	$	370
Loans transferred to other real estate owned		**34**		195

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited).

Table of Contents

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

1. Basis of Presentation

As used in these Notes, references to Key, we, our, us and similar terms refer to the consolidated entity consisting of KeyCorp and its subsidiaries. KeyCorp refers solely to the parent holding company, and KeyBank refers to KeyCorp s subsidiary, KeyBank National Association.

The acronyms and abbreviations identified below are used in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) as well as in the Management s Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations. You may find it helpful to refer back to this page as you read the 10-Q.

References to our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which has been filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and is available on its website (www.sec.gov) or on our website (www.key.com/ir), and list specific sections and page locations in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

AICPA: American Institute of Certified Public Accountants.
ALCO: Asset/Liability Management Committee.
ALLL: Allowance for loan and lease losses.
A/LM: Asset/liability management.
AOCI: Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).
APBO: Accumulated postretirement benefit obligation.
Austin: Austin Capital Management, Ltd.
BHCs: Bank holding companies.
CMO: Collateralized mortgage obligation.
Common Shares: Common Stock, $1 par value.
CPP: Capital Purchase Program of the U.S. Treasury.
DIF: Deposit Insurance Fund.
Dodd-Frank Act: Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010.
ERM: Enterprise risk management.
EVE: Economic value of equity.
FASB: Financial Accounting Standards Board.
FDIC: Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation.
Federal Reserve: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System.
FHLMC: Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation.
FNMA: Federal National Mortgage Association.
GAAP: U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.
GNMA: Government National Mortgage Association.
IRS: Internal Revenue Service.
ISDA: International Swaps and Derivatives Association.
KAHC: Key Affordable Housing Corporation.
LIBOR: London Interbank Offered Rate.
LIHTC: Low-income housing tax credit.
LILO: Lease in, lease out transaction.
Moody s: Moody s Investors Service, Inc.
N/A: Not applicable.
NASDAQ: National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation System.
N/M: Not meaningful.
NOW: Negotiable Order of Withdrawal.
NYSE: New York Stock Exchange.
OCC: Office of the Controller of the Currency.
OCI: Other comprehensive income (loss).
OREO: Other real estate owned.
OTTI: Other-than-temporary impairment.
PBO: Projected Benefit Obligation.
QSPE: Qualifying special purpose entity.
S&P: Standard and Poor s Ratings Services, a Division of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc.
SCAP: Supervisory Capital Assessment Program administered by the Federal Reserve.
SEC: U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.
Series A Preferred Stock: KeyCorp s 7.750% Noncumulative Perpetual Convertible Preferred Stock, Series A.
Series B Preferred Stock: KeyCorp s Fixed-Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B issued to the U.S. Treasury under the CPP.
SILO: Sale in, lease out transaction.
SPE: Special Purpose Entities.
TAG: Transaction Account Guarantee program of the FDIC.
TARP: Troubled Asset Relief Program.
TDR: Troubled debt restructuring.
TE: Taxable equivalent.
TLGP: Temporary Liquidity Guarantee Program of the FDIC.
U.S. Treasury: United States Department of the Treasury.
VAR: Value at risk.
VEBA: Voluntary Employee Beneficiary Association.
VIE: Variable interest entity.
XBRL: eXtensible Business Reporting Language.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of KeyCorp and its subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Some previously reported amounts have been reclassified to conform to current reporting practices.

Table of Contents

The consolidated financial statements include any voting rights entities in which we have a controlling financial interest. In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance for consolidations, we consolidate a VIE if we have: (i) a variable interest in the entity; (ii) the power to direct activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance; and (iii) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the VIE (i.e., we are considered to be the primary beneficiary). Variable interests can include equity interests, subordinated debt, derivative contracts, leases, service agreements, guarantees, standby letters of credit, loan commitments, and other contracts, agreements and financial instruments. See Note 9 (Variable Interest Entities) for information on our involvement with VIEs.

We use the equity method to account for unconsolidated investments in voting rights entities or VIEs if we have significant influence over the entity s operating and financing decisions (usually defined as a voting or economic interest of 20% to 50%, but not controlling). Unconsolidated investments in voting rights entities or VIEs in which we have a voting or economic interest of less than 20% generally are carried at cost. Investments held by our registered broker-dealer and investment company subsidiaries (primarily principal investments) are carried at fair value.

Effective January 1, 2010, we prospectively adopted new accounting guidance that changes the way we account for securitizations and SPEs by eliminating the concept of a QSPE and changing the requirements for derecognition of financial assets. In adopting this guidance, we had to analyze our existing QSPEs for possible consolidation. As a result, we consolidated our education loan securitization trusts. That consolidation added $2.8 billion in discontinued assets, and liabilities and equity to our balance sheet, of which $2.6 billion of the assets represented loans. During the third quarter of 2011, we determined that the $45 million cumulative effect adjustment made related to the consolidation of these trusts on January 1, 2010 was incorrect. Further information regarding this error and its correction is provided in Note 11 (Divestiture and Discontinued Operations). Prior to January 1, 2010, QSPEs, including securitization trusts, established under the applicable accounting guidance for transfers of financial assets were not consolidated. For additional information related to the consolidation of our education loan securitization trusts, see Note 9 (Variable Interest Entities) and Note 11.

We believe that the unaudited consolidated interim financial statements reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature and disclosures that are necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods presented. As noted above, see Note 11 for further information regarding the error correction that was made during the third quarter of 2011.

The results of operations for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations to be expected for the full year. The interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. As noted above, see Note 11 for further information regarding the error correction that was made during the third quarter of 2011.

In preparing these financial statements, subsequent events were evaluated through the time the financial statements were issued. Financial statements are considered issued when they are widely distributed to all shareholders and other financial statement users, or filed with the SEC.

Offsetting Derivative Positions

In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance related to the offsetting of certain derivative contracts on the balance sheet, we take into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts held with a single counterparty on a net basis, and to offset the net derivative position with the related collateral when recognizing derivative assets and liabilities. Additional information regarding derivative offsetting is provided in Note 7 (Derivatives and Hedging Activities).

Accounting Guidance Adopted in 2011

Improving disclosures about fair value measurements. In January 2010, the FASB issued accounting guidance which requires new disclosures regarding certain aspects of an entity s fair value disclosures and clarifies existing fair value disclosure requirements. Most of these new disclosures were required for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009 (effective January 1, 2010, for us) however, the disclosures regarding purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the rollforward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements are effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2010 (effective January 1, 2011, for us). The required disclosures are provided in Note 5 (Fair Value Measurements).

Table of Contents

Credit quality disclosures. In July 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that requires additional disclosures about the credit quality of financing receivables (i.e., loans) and the allowance for credit losses. Most of these additional disclosures were required for interim and annual reporting periods ending on or after December 15, 2010 (effective December 31, 2010, for us). Specific items regarding activity that occurred before the issuance of this accounting guidance, such as the allowance rollforward disclosures, are required for periods beginning after December 15, 2010 (January 1, 2011, for us). The required disclosures are provided in Note 4 (Asset Quality).

Troubled debt restructurings. In April 2011, the FASB issued accounting guidance to assist creditors in evaluating whether a modification or restructuring of a loan is a TDR. It clarifies existing guidance on whether the creditor has granted a concession and whether the debtor is experiencing financial difficulties, which are the two criteria used to determine whether a modification or restructuring is a TDR. This accounting guidance also requires additional disclosures regarding TDRs. It is effective for the first interim or annual period beginning after June 15, 2011 (effective July 1, 2011, for us) and is applied retrospectively for all modifications and restructurings that have occurred from the beginning of the annual period of adoption (2011 for us). The required disclosures are provided in Note 4 (Asset Quality). Adoption of this accounting guidance did not have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Accounting Guidance Pending Adoption at September 30, 2011

Fair value measurement. In May 2011, the FASB issued accounting guidance that changes the wording used to describe many of the current accounting requirements for measuring fair value and disclosing information about fair value measurements. This accounting guidance clarifies the FASB s intent about the application of existing fair value measurement requirements. It is effective for the interim and annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011 (effective January 1, 2012, for us) with early adoption prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of this accounting guidance to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Presentation of comprehensive income. In June 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that will require all nonowner changes in shareholders equity to be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. This new accounting guidance does not change any of the components that are currently recognized in net income or comprehensive income. It will be effective for public entities for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2011 (effective January 1, 2012, for us) as well as interim and annual periods thereafter. Early adoption is permitted. Management is currently evaluating how comprehensive income will be presented after this new accounting guidance becomes effective.

Testing goodwill for impairment. In September 2011, the FASB issued new accounting guidance that simplifies how an entity will test goodwill for impairment. It will permit an entity to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether additional goodwill impairment testing is required. This accounting guidance will be effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011 (effective January 1, 2012, for us). Early adoption is permitted. We do not expect the adoption of this accounting guidance to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

Repurchase agreements. In April 2011, the FASB issued accounting guidance that changed the accounting for repurchase agreements and other similar arrangements by eliminating the collateral maintenance requirement when assessing effective control in these transactions. This change could result in more of these transactions being accounted for as secured borrowings instead of sales. This accounting guidance will be effective for new transactions and transactions that are modified on or after the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2011 (effective January 1, 2012, for us). Early adoption of this guidance is prohibited. We do not expect the adoption of this accounting guidance to have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations since we do not account for these types of arrangements as sales.

Table of Contents

2. Earnings Per Common Share

Our basic and diluted earnings per Common Share are calculated as follows:

dollars in millions, except per share amounts	Three months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	2010
EARNINGS				
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**$ 235**	$ 211	**$ 769**	$ 271
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests	**1**	7	**12**	27
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key	**234**	204	**757**	244
Less: Dividends on Series A Preferred Stock	**5**	6	**17**	17
Cash dividends on Series B Preferred Stock [(b)]		31	**31**	94
Amortization of discount on Series B Preferred Stock[(b)]		4	**53**	12
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**229**	163	**656**	121
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes[(a)]	**(17)**	15	**(37)**	(10)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	**$ 212**	$ 178	**$ 619**	$ 111
WEIGHTED-AVERAGE COMMON SHARES				
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (000)	**948,702**	874,433	**926,298**	874,495
Effect of dilutive convertible preferred stock, common stock options and other stock awards (000)	**1,984**		**4,151**	
Weighted-average common shares and potential common shares outstanding (000)	**950,686**	874,433	**930,449**	874,495
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE				
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**$ .24**	$ .19	**$ .71**	$ .14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes [(a)]	**(.02)**	.02	**(.04)**	(.01)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders[(c)]	**.22**	.20	**.67**	.13
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders assuming dilution	**$ .24**	$ .19	**$ .71**	$ .14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes [(a)]	**(.02)**	.02	**(.04)**	(.01)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders assuming dilution[(c)]	**.22**	.20	**.67**	.13

(a) In September 2009, we decided to discontinue the education lending business conducted through Key Education Resources, the education payment and financing unit of KeyBank. In April 2009, we decided to wind down the operations of Austin, a subsidiary that specialized in managing hedge fund investments for institutional customers. As a result of these decisions, we have accounted for these businesses as discontinued operations. The loss from discontinued operations for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, was primarily attributable to fair value adjustments related to the education lending securitization trusts.

(b) Nine months ended September 30, 2011, includes a $49 million deemed dividend recorded in the first quarter of 2011 related to the repurchase of the $2.5 billion Fixed-Rate Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series B.

(c) EPS may not foot due to rounding.

Table of Contents

3. Loans and Loans Held for Sale

Our loans by category are summarized as follows:

in millions	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Commercial, financial and agricultural	**$ 17,848**	$ 16,441	$ 16,451
Commercial real estate:			
Commercial mortgage	**7,958**	9,502	9,673
Construction	**1,456**	2,106	2,731
Total commercial real estate loans	**9,414**	11,608	12,404
Commercial lease financing	**5,957**	6,471	6,583
Total commercial loans	**33,219**	34,520	35,438
Residential prime loans:			
Real estate residential mortgage	**1,875**	1,844	1,853
Home equity:			
Key Community Bank	**9,347**	9,514	9,655
Other	**565**	666	707
Total home equity loans	**9,912**	10,180	10,362
Total residential prime loans	**11,787**	12,024	12,215
Consumer other Key Community Bank	**1,187**	1,167	1,174
Consumer other:			
Marine	**1,871**	2,234	2,355
Other	**131**	162	172
Total consumer other	**2,002**	2,396	2,527
Total consumer loans	**14,976**	15,587	15,916
Total loans [(a)]	**$ 48,195**	$ 50,107	$ 51,354

(a) Excludes loans in the amount of $6.0 billion, $6.5 billion and $6.6 billion at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively, related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business.

Our loans held for sale are summarized as follows:

in millions	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Commercial, financial and agricultural	**$ 29**	$ 196	$ 128
Real estate commercial mortgage	**325**	118	327
Real estate construction	**20**	35	77
Commercial lease financing	**26**	8	13
Real estate residential mortgage	**79**	110	92
Total loans held for sale	**$ 479**	$ 467 [(a)]	$ 637

(a) Excludes loans in the amount of $15 million at December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010, respectively, related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business. There were no loans held for sale in the discontinued operations of the education lending business at September 30, 2011.

Our summary of changes in loans held for sale follows:

in millions	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Balance at beginning of the three-month period	**$ 381**	$ 637	$ 699
New originations	**853**	1,053	684
Transfers from held to maturity, net	**23**		202
Loan sales	**(759)**	(1,174)	(835)
Loan draws (payments), net	**1**	(49)	(49)
Transfers to OREO / valuation adjustments	**(20)**		(64)
Balance at end of period	**$ 479**	$ 467	$ 637

Table of Contents

4. Asset Quality

We manage our exposure to credit risk by closely monitoring loan performance trends and general economic conditions. A key indicator of the potential for future credit losses is the level of nonperforming assets and past due loans.

Our nonperforming assets and past due loans were as follows:

in millions	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Total nonperforming loans	**$ 788**	$ 1,068	$ 1,372
Nonperforming loans held for sale	**42**	106	230
OREO	**63**	129	163
Other nonperforming assets	**21**	35	36
Total nonperforming assets	**$ 914**	$ 1,338	$ 1,801
Impaired loans	**$ 653**	$ 881	$ 1,120
Impaired loans with a specifically allocated allowance	**441**	621	840
Specifically allocated allowance for impaired loans	**44**	58	135
Restructured loans included in nonperforming loans [(a)]	**$ 178**	$ 202	$ 228
Restructured loans with a specifically allocated allowance [(b)]	**27**	57	35
Specifically allocated allowance for restructured loans [(c)]	**5**	18	6
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	**$ 118**	$ 239	$ 152
Accruing loans past due 30 through 89 days	**478**	476	662

(a) Restructured loans (i.e., troubled debt restructurings) are those for which we, for reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, grant a concession that we would not otherwise have considered. To improve the collectability of the loan, typical concessions include reducing the interest rate, extending the maturity date or reducing the principal balance.

(b) Included in impaired loans with a specifically allocated allowance.

(c) Included in specifically allocated allowance for impaired loans.

Impaired loans totaled $653 million at September 30, 2011, compared to $881 million at December 31, 2010, and $1.1 billion at September 30, 2010. Impaired loans had an average balance of $697 million and $1.4 billion for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

Of total impaired loans, $441 million required a specifically allocated allowance at September 30, 2011, in accordance with our $2.5 million threshold for such loans. As a result, $153 million of these loans had $44 million of specifically allocated allowance and $288 million had a zero specific allocation. Also, $212 million of impaired loans under the $2.5 million threshold were allocated an allowance of $75 million at September 30, 2011, for a total of $365 million of loans with an allowance of $119 million at September 30, 2011, as shown in the table below.

At September 30, 2011, the approximate carrying amount of our commercial nonperforming loans outstanding represented 55% of their original contractual amount, total nonperforming loans outstanding represented 64% of their original contractual amount owed, and nonperforming assets in total were carried at 61% of their original contractual amount.

At September 30, 2011, our twenty largest nonperforming loans totaled $265 million, representing 34% of total loans on nonperforming status from continuing operations as compared to $306 million in nonperforming loans representing 29% of total loans on nonperforming status at December 31, 2010, and $364 million in nonperforming loans representing 27% of total loans on nonperforming status at September 30, 2010.

At September 30, 2011, we did not have any significant commitments to lend additional funds to borrowers with loans on nonperforming status. The amount by which loans and loans held for sale, which were classified as nonperforming, reduced expected interest income was $24 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, and $22 million for the year ended December 31, 2010.

14

Table of Contents

A further breakdown of impaired loans by loan category as of September 30, 2011, follows:

September 30, 2011 *in millions*	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance	Average Recorded Investment
With no related allowance recorded:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	$ 202	$ 91		$ 217
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial mortgage	292	140		267
Construction	203	57		230
Total commercial real estate loans	495	197		497
Commercial lease financing				
Total commercial loans	697	288		714
Real estate residential mortgage				
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	2			2
Other				
Total home equity loans	2			2
Consumer other Key Community Bank				0
Total loans with no related allowance recorded	699	288		716
With an allowance recorded:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	144	87	$ 36	145
Commercial real estate:				
Commercial mortgage	171	134	42	193
Construction	86	38	19	101
Total commercial real estate loans	257	172	61	294
Commercial lease financing	34	20	10	36
Total commercial loans	435	279	107	475
Real estate residential mortgage	51	38	3	48
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	21	21	7	22
Other				
Total Home Equity Loans	21	21	7	22
Consumer other Key Community Bank	28	27	2	26
Total loans with an allowance recorded	535	365	119	571
Total	$ 1,234	$ 653	$ 119	$ 1,287

At September 30, 2011, aggregate restructured loans (accrual, nonaccrual, and held-for-sale loans) totaled $277 million while at December 31, 2010, total restructured loans totaled $297 million. Although we added $140 million in restructured loans during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the overall decrease in restructured loans was primarily attributable to $160 million in payments and charge-offs.

Table of Contents

A further breakdown of restructured loans included in nonperforming loans (TDRs) by loan category as of September 30, 2011, follows:

September 30, 2011 *dollars in millions*	Number of loans	Pre-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
LOAN TYPE			
Nonperforming:			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	11	$ 84	$ 46
Commercial real estate:			
Real estate commercial mortgage	12	74	69
Real estate construction	6	50	18
Total commercial real estate loans	18	124	87
Commercial lease financing	182	24	11
Total commercial loans	211	232	144
Real estate residential mortgage	73	7	7
Home equity:			
Key Community Bank	30	2	1
Other	29	1	1
Total home equity loans	59	3	2
Consumer other Key Community Bank	7		
Consumer other:			
Marine	43	26	25
Other	18		
Total consumer other	61	26	25
Total consumer loans	200	36	34
Total nonperforming TDRs	411	268	178
Prior-year accruing:[(a)]			
Commercial, financial and agricultural	1	8	5
Commercial real estate:			
Real estate commercial mortgage	4	57	32
Real estate construction	3	39	19
Total commercial real estate loans	7	96	51
Commercial lease financing	167	17	13
Total commercial loans	175	121	69
Real estate residential mortgage	56	9	9
Home equity:			
Key Community Bank	64	6	6
Other	71	3	2
Total home equity loans	135	9	8
Consumer other Key Community Bank	14		
Consumer other:			
Marine	109	13	11
Other	34	2	2
Total consumer other	143	15	13
Total consumer loans	348	33	30
Total prior-year accruing TDRs	523	154	99
Total TDRs	934	$ 422	$ 277

(a) All TDRs that have been restructured prior to January 1, 2011 and are fully accruing.

We classify loan modifications as TDRs when a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and we have granted a concession to the borrower. All commercial loan TDRs, regardless of size, are evaluated for impairment individually to determine the probable loss content and are assigned a specific loan allowance, if deemed appropriate in the determination of the ALLL. Consumer loan TDRs are assigned a loss rate that reflects the current assessment of that category of consumer loans to determine the appropriate allowance level. The financial effects of TDRs are reflected in the components that comprise the allowance for loan and lease losses in either the amount of charge-offs or loan loss provision and ultimate allowance level. There have been no significant payment defaults in this interim period relating to TDRs that were categorized as such within the previous 12 months.

16

Table of Contents

Key s loan modifications are handled on a case by case basis and are negotiated to achieve mutually agreeable terms that maximize loan collectability and meet our client s financial needs. A majority of our concessions granted to borrowers are in the form of interest rate reductions with other concession types that include forgiveness of principal and other modifications of loan terms. Consumer loan concessions are primarily comprised of interest rate reductions.

The following table shows the concession types for our commercial accruing and nonaccruing TDRs.

dollars in millions	**September 30, 2011**	**June 30, 2011**	**March 31, 2011**	**December 31, 2010**	**September 30, 2010**
Interest rate reduction	**$ 195**	**$ 175**	**$ 165**	$ 188	$ 238
Forgiveness of principal	**12**	**10**	**10**	38	67
Other modification of loan terms	**6**	**6**	**7**	14	2
Total	**$ 213**	**$ 191**	**$ 182**	$ 240	$ 307
Total commercial and consumer TDRs	**$ 277**	**252**	**$ 242**	$ 297	$ 360
Total commercial TDRs to total commercial loans	**.64 %**	**.58 %**	**.55 %**	.70 %	.87 %
Total commercial TDRs to total loans	**.44**	**.40**	**.37**	.48	.60
Total commercial loans	**$ 33,219**	**$ 32,688**	**$ 33,298**	$ 34,520	$ 35,438
Total loans	**48,195**	**47,840**	**48,552**	50,107	51,354

Our policies for our commercial and consumer loan portfolios for determining past due loans, placing loans on nonaccrual, applying payments on nonaccrual loans and resuming accrual of interest are disclosed in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Impaired and Other Nonaccrual Loans on page 102 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

At September 30, 2011, approximately $47 billion, or 97%, of our total loans are current. Total past due loans of $1.4 billion represent approximately 3% of total loans.

The following aging analysis as of September 30, 2011, of past due and current loans provides further information regarding Key s credit exposure.

September 30, 2011 *in millions*	**Current**	**30-59 Days Past Due**	**60-89 Days Past Due**	**Greater Than 90 Days**	**Nonperforming Loans**	**Total Past Due**	**Total Loans**
LOAN TYPE							
Commercial, financial and agricultural	$ 17,576	$ 40	$ 20	$ 24	$ 188	$ 272	$ 17,848
Commercial real estate:							
Commercial mortgage	7,612	101	3	5	237	346	7,958
Construction	1,345	5	5	8	93	111	1,456
Total commercial real estate loans	8,957	106	8	13	330	457	9,414
Commercial lease financing	5,812	57	23	34	31	145	5,957
Total commercial loans	$ 32,345	$ 203	$ 51	$ 71	$ 549	$ 874	$ 33,219
Real estate residential mortgage	$ 1,747	$ 20	$ 11	$ 9	$ 88	$ 128	$ 1,875
Home equity:							
Key Community Bank	9,125	63	35	22	102	222	9,347
Other	529	13	7	4	12	36	565
Total home equity loans	9,654	76	42	26	114	258	9,912
Consumer other Key Community Bank	1,159	11	5	8	4	28	1,187
Consumer other:							
Marine	1,781	36	19	3	32	90	1,871
Other	125	3	1	1	1	6	131
Total consumer other	1,906	39	20	4	33	96	2,002
Total consumer loans	$ 14,466	$ 146	$ 78	$ 47	$ 239	$ 510	$ 14,976
Total loans	$ 46,811	$ 349	$ 129	$ 118	$ 788	$ 1,384	$ 48,195

The risk characteristic prevalent to both commercial and consumer loans is the risk of loss arising from an obligor s inability or failure to meet contractual payment or performance terms. Evaluation of this risk is stratified and monitored by the assigned loan risk rating grades for the commercial loan portfolios and the regulatory risk ratings assigned for the consumer

Table of Contents

loan portfolios. This risk rating stratification assists in the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Loan grades are assigned at the time of origination, verified by credit risk management and periodically reevaluated thereafter.

Most extensions of credit are subject to loan grading or scoring. This risk rating methodology blends our judgment with quantitative modeling. Commercial loans generally are assigned two internal risk ratings. The first rating reflects the probability that the borrower will default on an obligation; the second reflects expected recovery rates on the credit facility. Default probability is determined based on, among other factors, the financial strength of the borrower, an assessment of the borrower s management, the borrower s competitive position within its industry sector and our view of industry risk within the context of the general economic outlook. Types of exposure, transaction structure and collateral, including credit risk mitigants, affect the expected recovery assessment.

Credit quality indicators for loans are updated on an ongoing basis. Bond rating classifications are indicative of the credit quality of our commercial loan portfolios and are determined by converting our internally assigned risk rating grades to bond rating categories. Payment activity and the regulatory classifications of pass, special mention and substandard are indicators of the credit quality of our consumer loan portfolios.

Credit quality indicators for our commercial and consumer loan portfolios based on bond rating, regulatory classification and payment activity as of September 30, 2011, are as follows:

Commercial Credit Exposure

Credit Risk Profile by Creditworthiness Category [(a)]

September 30,

in millions

	Commercial, financial and agricultural		RE Commercial		RE Construction		Commercial Lease		Total	
RATING [(b)]	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
AAA AA	**$ 109**	$ 97	**$ 2**	$ 2	**$ 3**		**$ 639**	$ 653	**$ 753**	$ 752
A	**655**	771	**62**	25	**1**	$ 5	**1,272**	1,333	**1,990**	2,134
BBB BB	**14,928**	11,717	**5,747**	6,205	**762**	1,033	**3,509**	3,705	**24,946**	22,660
B	**807**	1,395	**726**	1,202	**132**	448	**306**	552	**1,971**	3,597
CCC C	**1,349**	2,471	**1,421**	2,239	**558**	1,245	**231**	340	**3,559**	6,295
Total	**$ 17,848**	$ 16,451	**$ 7,958**	$ 9,673	**$ 1,456**	$ 2,731	**$ 5,957**	$ 6,583	**$ 33,219**	$ 35,438

(a) Credit quality indicators are updated on an ongoing basis and reflect credit quality information as of the interim period ending September 30, 2011.
(b) Our bond rating to loan grade conversion system is as follows: AAA - AA = 1, A = 2, BBB - BB = 3 - 13, B = 14 - 16, and CCC - C = 17 - 20.

Consumer Credit Exposure

Credit Risk Profile by Regulatory Classifications [(a)]

September 30,

in millions

	Residential Prime	
GRADE	**2011**	**2010**
Pass	**$ 11,550**	$ 11,966
Special Mention		
Substandard	**237**	249
Total	**$ 11,787**	$ 12,215

Credit Risk Profile Based on Payment Activity [(a)]

September 30,	Consumer Key Community Bank		Consumer		Marine		Consumer		Other		Total	
in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Performing	**$ 1,183**	$ 1,171	**$ 1,839**	$ 2,314	**$ 130**	$ 170	**$ 3,152**	$ 3,655
Nonperforming	**4**	3	**32**	41	**1**	2	**37**	46
Total	**$ 1,187**	$ 1,174	**$ 1,871**	$ 2,355	**$ 131**	$ 172	**$ 3,189**	$ 3,701

(a) Credit quality indicators are updated on an ongoing basis and reflect credit quality information as of the interim period ending September 30, 2011.

We use the following three-step process to estimate the appropriate level of the allowance for loan and lease losses on at least a quarterly basis: (1) we apply historical loss rates to existing loans with similar risk characteristics as noted in the credit quality indicator table above; (2) we exercise judgment to assess the impact of factors such as changes in economic conditions, changes in credit policies or underwriting standards, and changes in the level of credit risk associated with specific industries and markets; and, (3) for all TDRs, regardless of size, as well as impaired loans with an outstanding balance greater than $2.5 million, we conduct further analysis to determine the probable loss content and assign a specific allowance to the loan if deemed appropriate. We estimate the extent of impairment by comparing the carrying amount of the loan with the estimated present value of its future cash flows, the fair value of its underlying collateral or the loan s

Table of Contents

observable market price. A specific allowance also may be assigned even when sources of repayment appear sufficient if we remain uncertain about whether the loan will be repaid in full. Additional information is provided in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses on page 102 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The allowance for loan and lease losses at September 30, 2011, represents our best estimate of the losses inherent in the loan portfolio at that date.

While quantitative modeling factors such as default probability and expected recovery rates are constantly changing as the financial strength of the borrower and overall economic conditions change, there have been no changes to the accounting policies or methodology we used to estimate the allowance for loan and lease losses.

Commercial loans generally are charged off in full or charged down to the fair value of the underlying collateral when the borrower s payment is 180 days past due. Our charge-off policy for most consumer loans is similar but takes effect when payments are 120 days past due. Home equity and residential mortgage loans generally are charged down to the fair value of the underlying collateral when payment is 180 days past due.

At September 30, 2011, the allowance for loan and lease losses was $1.1 billion, or 2.35% of loans compared to $1.6 billion, or 3.20% of loans, at December 31, 2010, and $2 billion or 3.81% of loans at September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2011, the allowance for loan and lease losses was 143.53% of nonperforming loans compared to 142.64% at September 30, 2010.

Changes in the allowance for loan and lease losses are summarized as follows:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Balance at beginning of period continuing operations	**$ 1,230**	$ 2,219	**$ 1,604**	$ 2,534
Charge-offs	**(157)**	(430)	**(566)**	(1,479)
Recoveries	**48**	73	**130**	165
Net loans charged off	**(109)**	(357)	**(436)**	(1,314)
Provision for loan and lease losses from continuing operations	**10**	94	**(38)**	735
Foreign currency translation adjustment		1	**1**	2
Balance at end of period continuing operations	**$ 1,131**	$ 1,957	**$ 1,131**	$ 1,957

The changes in the ALLL by loan category from December 31, 2010, are as follows:

in millions	**December 31, 2010**	**Provision**	**Charge-offs**	**Recoveries**	**September 30, 2011**
Commercial, financial and agricultural	$ 485	**$ (24)**	**$ 124**	**$ 33**	**$ 370**
Real estate commercial mortgage	416	**(31)**	**89**	**9**	**305**
Real estate construction	145	**4**	**81**	**19**	**87**
Commercial lease financing	175	**(62)**	**36**	**19**	**96**
Total commercial loans	1,221	**(113)**	**330**	**80**	**858**
Real estate residential mortgage	49	**4**	**22**	**3**	**34**
Home equity:					
Key Community Bank	120	**59**	**78**	**9**	**110**
Other	57	**10**	**35**	**3**	**35**
Total home equity loans	177	**69**	**113**	**12**	**145**
Consumer other Key Community Bank	57	**12**	**34**	**6**	**41**
Consumer other:					
Marine	89	**(4)**	**60**	**26**	**51**
Other	11	**(5)**	**7**	**3**	**2**
Total consumer other:	100	**(9)**	**67**	**29**	**53**
Total consumer loans	383	**76**	**236**	**50**	**273**
Total ALLL continuing operations	1,604	**(37)** [(a)]	**566**	**130**	**1,131**
Discontinued operations	114	**99**	**107**	**9**	**115**
Total ALLL including discontinued operations	$ 1,718	**$ 62**	**$ 673**	**$ 139**	**$ 1,246**

19

Table of Contents

(a) Includes $1 million of foreign currency translation adjustment.

Our allowance for loan and lease losses decreased by $826 million, or 42%, since the third quarter of 2010. This contraction was associated with the improvement in credit quality of our loan portfolios, which has trended more favorably the past four quarters. Our asset quality metrics showed continued improvement, and therefore, have resulted in favorable risk rating migration and a reduction in our general allowance. Our general allowance encompasses the application of historical loss rates to our existing loans with similar risk characteristics and an assessment of factors such as changes in economic conditions and changes in credit policies or underwriting standards. Our delinquency trends improved throughout most of 2010 and into 2011. We attribute this improvement to a more moderate level of economic activity, more favorable conditions in the capital markets, improvement in client income statements and continued run off in our exit loan portfolio.

For continuing operations, the loans outstanding individually evaluated for impairment totaled $441 million, which had a corresponding allowance of $44 million at September 30, 2011. Loans outstanding collectively evaluated for impairment totaled $48 billion, with a corresponding allowance of $1.1 billion at September 30, 2011.

A breakdown of the individual and collective allowance for loan and lease losses and the corresponding loan balances as of September 30, 2011 follows:

September 30, 2011	Allowance[a]			Outstanding[a]	
in millions	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment	Loans	Individually Evaluated for Impairment	Collectively Evaluated for Impairment
Commercial, financial and agricultural	$ 20	$ 349	$ 17,848	$ 144	$ 17,704
Commercial real estate:					
Commercial mortgage	14	291	7,958	217	7,741
Construction	10	78	1,456	78	1,378
Total commercial real estate loans	24	369	9,414	295	9,119
Commercial lease financing		96	5,957		5,957
Total commercial loans	44	814	33,219	439	32,780
Real estate residential mortgage		34	1,875		1,875
Home equity:					
Key Community Bank		110	9,347	2	9,345
Other		35	565		565
Total home equity loans		145	9,912	2	9,910
Consumer other Key Community Bank		41	1,187		1,187
Consumer other:					
Marine		51	1,871		1,871
Other		2	131		131
Total consumer other		53	2,002		2,002
Total consumer loans		273	14,976	2	14,974
Total ALLL continuing operations	44	1,087	48,195	441	47,754
Discontinued operations		115	5,984		5,984
Total ALLL including discontinued operations	$ 44	$ 1,202	$ 54,179	$ 441	$ 53,738

(a) There were no loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality at September 30, 2011.

The liability for credit losses inherent in lending-related commitments, such as letters of credit and unfunded loan commitments, is included in accrued expense and other liabilities on the balance sheet. We establish the amount of this reserve by considering both historical trends and current market conditions quarterly, or more often if deemed necessary. Our liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments has decreased since the third quarter of 2010 by $43 million to $56 million at September 30, 2011. When combined with our allowance for loan and lease losses, our total allowance for credit losses represented 2.46% of loans at September 30, 2011, compared to 4.00% at September 30, 2010.

Changes in the liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments are summarized as follows:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
in millions	2011	2010	2011	2010
Balance at beginning of period	**$ 57**	$ 109	**$ 73**	$ 121
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	**(1)**	(10)	**(17)**	(22)

Balance at end of period	**$**	**56**	$	99	**$**	**56**	$	99

Table of Contents

5. Fair Value Measurements

Fair Value Determination

As defined in the applicable accounting guidance for fair value measurements and disclosures, fair value is the price to sell an asset or transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants in our principal market. We have established and documented our process for determining the fair values of our assets and liabilities, where applicable. Fair value is based on quoted market prices, when available, for identical or similar assets or liabilities. In the absence of quoted market prices, we determine the fair value of our assets and liabilities using valuation models or third-party pricing services. Both of these approaches rely on market-based parameters, when available, such as interest rate yield curves, option volatilities and credit spreads, or unobservable inputs. Unobservable inputs may be based on our judgment, assumptions and estimates related to credit quality, liquidity, interest rates and other relevant inputs.

Valuation adjustments, such as those pertaining to counterparty and our own credit quality and liquidity, may be necessary to ensure that assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value. Credit valuation adjustments are made when market pricing is not indicative of the counterparty s credit quality. We make liquidity valuation adjustments to the fair value of certain assets to reflect the uncertainty in the pricing and trading of the instruments when we are unable to observe recent market transactions for identical or similar instruments. Liquidity valuation adjustments are based on the following factors:

¿ the amount of time since the last relevant valuation;

¿ whether there is an actual trade or relevant external quote available at the measurement date; and

¿ volatility associated with the primary pricing components.

We ensure that our fair value measurements are accurate and appropriate by relying upon various controls, including:

¿ an independent review and approval of valuation models;

¿ a detailed review of profit and loss conducted on a regular basis; and

¿ a validation of valuation model components against benchmark data and similar products, where possible.

We review any changes to our valuation methodologies to ensure they are appropriate and justified, and refine our valuation methodologies as more market-based data becomes available. We recognize transfers between levels of the fair value hierarchy at the end of the reporting period.

Additional information regarding our accounting policies for the determination of fair value is provided in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Fair Value Measurements on page 105 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Qualitative Disclosures of Valuation Techniques

Loans. Most loans recorded as trading account assets are valued based on market spreads for identical assets since they are actively traded. Therefore, these loans are classified as Level 2 because the fair value recorded is based on observable market data for similar assets.

Securities (trading and available for sale). We own several types of securities, requiring a range of valuation methods:

- Securities are classified as Level 1 when quoted market prices are available in an active market for the identical securities. Level 1 instruments include exchange-traded equity securities.

- Securities are classified as Level 2 if quoted prices for identical securities are not available, and we determine fair value using pricing models or quoted prices of similar securities. These instruments include municipal bonds; bonds backed by the U.S. government; corporate bonds; certain mortgage-backed securities; securities issued by the U.S. Treasury; money markets; and certain agency and corporate collateralized mortgage obligations. Inputs to the pricing models include actual trade data (i.e. spreads, credit ratings and interest rates) for comparable assets, spread tables, matrices, high-grade scales, option-adjusted spreads and standard inputs, such as yields, broker/dealer quotes, bids and offers.

Table of Contents

¿ Securities are classified as Level 3 when there is limited activity in the market for a particular instrument. In such cases, we use internal models based on certain assumptions to determine fair value. Level 3 instruments include certain commercial mortgage-backed securities. Inputs for the Level 3 internal models include expected cash flows from the underlying loans, which take into account expected default and recovery percentages, market research and discount rates commensurate with current market conditions.

Private equity and mezzanine investments. Private equity and mezzanine investments consist of investments in debt and equity securities through our Real Estate Capital line of business. They include direct investments made in a property, as well as indirect investments made in funds that pool assets of many investors to invest in properties. There is not an active market in which to value these investments so we employ other valuation methods.

Direct investments in properties are initially valued based upon the transaction price. The carrying amount is then adjusted based upon the estimated future cash flows associated with the investments. Inputs used in determining future cash flows include the cost of build-out, future selling prices, current market outlook and operating performance of the particular investment. Indirect investments are valued using a methodology that is consistent with accounting guidance that allows us to use statements from the investment manager to calculate net asset value per share. A primary input used in estimating fair value is the most recent value of the capital accounts as reported by the general partners of the funds in which we invest. Private equity and mezzanine investments are classified as Level 3 assets since our judgment significantly influences the determination of fair value.

Investments in real estate private equity funds are included within private equity and mezzanine investments. The main purpose of these funds is to acquire a portfolio of real estate investments that provides attractive risk-adjusted returns and current income for investors. Certain of these investments do not have readily determinable fair values and represent our ownership interest in an entity that follows measurement principles under investment company accounting. The following table presents the fair value of the funds and related unfunded commitments at September 30, 2011:

September 30, 2011 *in millions*	**Fair Value**	**Unfunded Commitments**
INVESTMENT TYPE		
Passive funds [(a)]	$ 17	$ 3
Co-managed funds [(b)]	19	8
Total	$ 36	$ 11

(a) We invest in passive funds, which are multi-investor private equity funds. These investments can never be redeemed. Instead, distributions are received through the liquidation of the underlying investments in the funds. Some funds have no restrictions on sale, while others require investors to remain in the fund until maturity. The funds will be liquidated over a period of one to seven years.

(b) We are a manager or co-manager of these funds. These investments can never be redeemed. Instead, distributions are received through the liquidation of the underlying investments in the funds. In addition, we receive management fees. We can sell or transfer our interest in any of these funds with the written consent of a majority of the fund s investors. In one instance, the other co-manager of the fund must consent to the sale or transfer of our interest in the fund. The funds will mature over a period of three to six years.

Principal investments. Principal investments consist of investments in equity and debt instruments made by our principal investing entities. They include direct investments (investments made in a particular company), as well as indirect investments (investments made through funds that include other investors). During the first half of 2011, employees who managed our various principal investments formed two independent entities that will serve as investment managers of these investments going forward. Under this new arrangement, which was mutually agreeable to both parties, these individuals will no longer be employees of Key. As a result of these changes, during the second quarter of 2011, we deconsolidated certain of these direct and indirect investments, totaling $234 million.

When quoted prices are available in an active market for the identical investment, we use the quoted prices in the valuation process, and the related investments are classified as Level 1 assets. However, in most cases, quoted market prices are not available for the identical investment, and we must perform valuations for direct investments based upon other sources and inputs, such as market multiples; historical and forecast earnings before interest, taxation, depreciation and amortization; net debt levels; and investment risk ratings.

Our indirect investments include primary and secondary investments in private equity funds engaged mainly in venture- and growth-oriented investing; these investments do not have readily determinable fair values. Indirect investments are valued using a methodology that is consistent with accounting guidance that allows us to estimate fair value based upon net asset value per share (or its equivalent, such as member units or an ownership interest in partners capital to which a proportionate share of net assets is attributed). A primary input used in estimating fair value is the most recent value of the capital accounts

Table of Contents

as reported by the general partners of the funds in which we invest. These investments are classified as Level 3 assets since our assumptions are not observable in the market place. The following table presents the fair value of the indirect funds and related unfunded commitments at September 30, 2011:

September 30, 2011 *in millions*	**Fair Value**	**Unfunded Commitments**
INVESTMENT TYPE		
Private equity funds [(a)]	$ 487	$ 132
Hedge funds [(b)]	7	
Total	$ 494	$ 132

(a) Consists of buyout, venture capital and fund of funds. These investments can never be redeemed with the investee funds. Instead, distributions are received through the liquidation of the underlying investments of the fund. An investment in any one of these funds can be sold only with the approval of the fund s general partners. We estimate that the underlying investments of the funds will be liquidated over a period of one to ten years.

(b) Consists of funds invested in long and short positions of stressed and distressed fixed income-oriented securities with the goal of producing attractive risk-adjusted returns. The investments can be redeemed quarterly with 45 days notice. However, the fund s general partners may impose quarterly redemption limits that may delay receipt of requested redemptions.

Derivatives. Exchange-traded derivatives are valued using quoted prices and, therefore, are classified as Level 1 instruments. However, only a few types of derivatives are exchange-traded, so the majority of our derivative positions are valued using internally developed models based on market convention that use observable market inputs, such as interest rate curves, yield curves, LIBOR discount rates and curves, index pricing curves, foreign currency curves and volatility surfaces (the three-dimensional graph of implied volatility against strike price and maturity). These derivative contracts, which are classified as Level 2 instruments, include interest rate swaps, certain options, cross currency swaps and credit default swaps. In addition, we have a few customized derivative instruments and risk participations that are classified as Level 3 instruments. These derivative positions are valued using internally developed models, with inputs consisting of available market data, such as bond spreads and asset values, as well as our assumptions, such as loss probabilities and proxy prices.

Market convention implies a credit rating of AA equivalent in the pricing of derivative contracts, which assumes all counterparties have the same creditworthiness. To reflect the actual exposure on our derivative contracts related to both counterparty and our own creditworthiness, we record a fair value adjustment in the form of a default reserve. The credit component is valued by individual counterparty based on the probability of default, and considers master netting and collateral agreements. The default reserve is considered to be a Level 3 input.

Other assets and liabilities. The value of our repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, trade date receivables and payables, and short positions is driven by the valuation of the underlying securities. The underlying securities may include equity securities, which are valued using quoted market prices in an active market for identical securities, resulting in a Level 1 classification. If quoted prices for identical securities are not available, fair value is determined by using pricing models or quoted prices of similar securities, resulting in a Level 2 classification. For the interest rate-driven products, such as government bonds, U.S. Treasury bonds and other products backed by the U.S. government, inputs include spreads, credit ratings and interest rates. For the credit-driven products, such as corporate bonds and mortgage-backed securities, inputs include actual trade data for comparable assets, and bids and offers.

Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a recurring basis in accordance with GAAP. The following tables present these assets and liabilities at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

September 30, 2011 *in millions*	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Short-term investments:				
Securities purchased under resale agreements		$ 464		$ 464
Trading account assets:				
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations		439		439
States and political subdivisions		77		77
Collateralized mortgage obligations		40		40
Other mortgage-backed securities		60	$ 34	94
Other securities	$ 54	25		79
Total trading account securities	54	641	34	729
Commercial loans				
Total trading account assets	54	641	34	729
Securities available for sale:				
States and political subdivisions		65		65
Collateralized mortgage obligations		16,683		16,683
Other mortgage-backed securities		850		850
Other securities	10	4		14
Total securities available for sale	10	17,602		17,612
Other investments:				
Principal investments:				
Direct			265	265
Indirect			494	494
Total principal investments			759	759
Equity and mezzanine investments:				
Direct			13	13
Indirect			36	36
Total equity and mezzanine investments			49	49
Total other investments			808	808
Derivative assets:				
Interest rate		1,928	114	2,042
Foreign exchange	127	63		190
Energy and commodity		270		270
Credit		32	8	40
Equity		3		3
Derivative assets	127	2,296	122	2,545
Netting adjustments[(a)]				(1,605)
Total derivative assets	127	2,296	122	940
Accrued income and other assets	30	69		99
Total assets on a recurring basis at fair value	$ 221	$ 21,072	$ 964	$ 20,652
LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements:				
Securities sold under repurchase agreements		$ 407		$ 407
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings:				
Short positions	$ 4	457		461
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate		1,476		1,476
Foreign exchange	117	297		414
Energy and commodity		275		275

Credit				36	$	1		37
Equity				3				3
Derivative liabilities		117		2,087		1		2,205
Netting adjustments [(a)]								(1,064)
Total derivative liabilities		117		2,087		1		1,141
Accrued expense and other liabilities				79				79
Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value	$	121	$	3,030	$	1	$	2,088

(a) Netting adjustments represent the amounts recorded to convert our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance related to the offsetting of certain derivative contracts on the balance sheet. The net basis takes into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative position with the related collateral. Total derivative assets and liabilities include these netting adjustments.

Table of Contents

December 31, 2010 *in millions*	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
ASSETS MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Short term investments:				
Securities purchased under resale agreements		$ 373		$ 373
Trading account assets:				
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations		501		501
States and political subdivisions		66		66
Collateralized mortgage obligations		34		34
Other mortgage-backed securities		137	$ 1	138
Other securities	$ 145	69	21	235
Total trading account securities	145	807	22	974
Commercial loans		11		11
Total trading account assets	145	818	22	985
Securities available for sale:				
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations		8		8
States and political subdivisions		172		172
Collateralized mortgage obligations		20,665		20,665
Other mortgage-backed securities		1,069		1,069
Other securities	13	6		19
Total securities available for sale	13	21,920		21,933
Other investments:				
Principal investments:				
Direct			372	372
Indirect			526	526
Total principal investments			898	898
Equity and mezzanine investments:				
Direct			20	20
Indirect			30	30
Total equity and mezzanine investments			50	50
Total other investments			948	948
Derivative assets:				
Interest rate		1,691	75	1,766
Foreign exchange	92	88		180
Energy and commodity		317	1	318
Credit		27	12	39
Equity		1		1
Derivative assets	92	2,124	88	2,304
Netting adjustments [(a)]				(1,298)
Total derivative assets	92	2,124	88	1,006
Accrued income and other assets	1	76		77
Total assets on a recurring basis at fair value	$ 251	$ 25,311	$ 1,058	$ 25,322
LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements:				
Securities sold under repurchase agreements		$ 572		$ 572
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings:				
Short positions		395		395
Derivative liabilities:				
Interest rate		1,335		1,335

Foreign exchange	$ 82	323			405
Energy and commodity		335			335
Credit		30	$	1	31
Equity		1			1
Derivative liabilities	82	2,024		1	2,107
Netting adjustments [(a)]					(965)
Total derivative liabilities	82	2,024		1	1,142
Accrued expense and other liabilities		66			66
Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value	$ 82	$ 3,057	$	1	$ 2,175

(a) Netting adjustments represent the amounts recorded to convert our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance related to the offsetting of certain derivative contracts on the balance sheet. The net basis takes into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative position with the related collateral. Total derivative assets and liabilities include these netting adjustments.

.

Table of Contents

Changes in Level 3 Fair Value Measurements

The following tables show the change in the fair values of our Level 3 financial instruments for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. We mitigate the credit risk, interest rate risk and risk of loss related to many of these Level 3 instruments by using securities and derivative positions classified as Level 1 or Level 2. Level 1 or Level 2 instruments are not included in the following tables; therefore, the gains or losses shown do not include the impact of our risk management activities.

	Trading Account Assets		Other Investments					Derivative In
			Principal Investments		Equity and Mezzanine Investments			
er e- d es	Other Securities	Commercial Loans	Direct	Indirect	Direct	Indirect	Accrued Income and Other Assets	Interest Rate	Ener Com
1	$ 21	$	$ 372	$ 526	$ 20	$ 30	$	$ 75	$
	(b) 2	(b) (b)	(c) 4	(c) 76	(c) 17	(c)	(c) (c)	54	(b)
			38	56		12		11	
			(24)	(55)				(24)	
	(23)				(24)	(3)			
3								11	
			(125) (d)	(109) (d)		(3)		(13)	
4			$ 265	$ 494	$ 13	$ 36		$ 114	
	(b) $ 2	(b) (b)	$ 5	(c) $ 55	(c) $ 36	(c) $ (3)	(c) (c)		(b)
1			$ 270	$ 470	$ 14	$ 33		$ 81	
	(b) $ (1)	(b) (b)	2	(c) 33	(c) 4	(c)	(c) (c)	40	(b)
			8	10		3			
			(15)	(19)				(4)	
	1				(5)				
3								1	
								(4)	
4			$ 265	$ 494	$ 13	$ 36		$ 114	

| | | |

(c) Realized and unrealized gains and losses on principal investments are reported in net gains (losses) from principal investing on the income statement. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on private equity and mezzanine investments are reported in investment banking and capital markets income (loss) on the income statement. Realized and unrealized gains and losses on investments included in accrued income and other assets are reported in other income on the income statement.

(d) Transfers out of Level 3 for principal investments represent investments that were deconsolidated during the second quarter of 2011 when employees who managed our various principal investments left Key and formed two independent entities that serve as investment managers of these investments.

Table of Contents

Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis in accordance with GAAP. The adjustments to fair value generally result from the application of accounting guidance that requires assets and liabilities to be recorded at the lower of cost or fair value, or assessed for impairment. The following table presents our assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010:

	September 30, 2011				December 31, 2010			
in millions	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**	**Total**	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**	**Total**
ASSETS MEASURED ON A NONRECURRING BASIS								
Impaired loans			**$ 143**	**$ 143**			$ 219	$ 219
Loans held for sale [a]			**21**	**21**			15	15
Operating lease assets held for sale								
Goodwill and other intangible assets								
Accrued income and other assets		**$ 11**	**13**	**24**		$ 39	23	62
Other investments			**1**	**1**				
Total assets on a nonrecurring basis at fair value		**$ 11**	**$ 178**	**$ 189**		$ 39	$ 257	$ 296

(a) During the first nine months of 2011, we transferred $27 million of commercial and consumer loans and leases from held-for-sale status to the held-to-maturity portfolio at their current fair value.

Impaired loans. We typically adjust the carrying amount of our impaired loans when there is evidence of probable loss and the expected fair value of the loan is less than its contractual amount. The amount of the impairment may be determined based on the estimated present value of future cash flows, the fair value of the underlying collateral or the loan s observable market price. Cash flow analysis considers internally developed inputs, such as discount rates, default rates, costs of foreclosure and changes in collateral values. The fair value of the collateral, which may take the form of real estate or personal property, is based on internal estimates, field observations and assessments provided by third-party appraisers. We perform or reaffirm appraisals of collateral-dependent impaired loans at least annually. Appraisals may occur more frequently if the most recent appraisal does not accurately reflect the current market, the debtor is seriously delinquent or chronically past due, or material deterioration in the performance of the project or condition of the property has occurred. Adjustments to outdated appraisals that result in an appraisal value less than the carrying amount of a collateral-dependent impaired loan are reflected in the allowance for loan and lease losses. Impaired loans with a specifically allocated allowance based on cash flow analysis or the underlying collateral are classified as Level 3 assets, while those with a specifically allocated allowance based on an observable market price that reflects recent sale transactions for similar loans and collateral are classified as Level 2. Current market conditions, including updated collateral values, and reviews of our borrowers financial condition impacted the inputs used in our internal valuation analysis, resulting in write-downs of impaired loans during the first nine months of 2011.

Loans held for sale. Through a quarterly analysis of our loan portfolios held for sale, which include both performing and nonperforming loans, we determined that adjustments were necessary to record some of the portfolios at the lower of cost or fair value in accordance with GAAP. Loans held for sale portfolios adjusted to fair value totaled $21 million at September 30, 2011 and $15 million at December 31, 2010. Current market conditions, including updated collateral values, and reviews of our borrowers financial condition impacted the inputs used in our internal models and other valuation methodologies, resulting in these adjustments.

Valuations of performing commercial mortgage and construction loans held for sale are conducted using internal models that rely on market data from sales or nonbinding bids on similar assets, including credit spreads, treasury rates, interest rate curves and risk profiles, as well as our own assumptions about the exit market for the loans and details about individual loans within the respective portfolios. Therefore, we have classified these loans as Level 3 assets. The inputs related to our assumptions and other internal loan data include changes in real estate values, costs of foreclosure, prepayment rates, default rates and discount rates.

Valuations of nonperforming commercial mortgage and construction loans held for sale are based on current agreements to sell the loans or approved discounted payoffs. If a negotiated value is not available, we use third-party appraisals, adjusted for current market conditions. Since valuations are based on unobservable data, these loans have been classified as Level 3 assets.

Operating lease assets held for sale. The valuation of commercial finance and operating leases is performed using an internal model that relies on market data, such as swap rates and bond ratings, as well as our own assumptions about the exit market for the leases and details about the individual leases in the portfolio. These leases have been classified as Level 3

Table of Contents

assets. The inputs related to our assumptions include changes in the value of leased items and internal credit ratings. In addition, commercial leases may be valued using current nonbinding bids when they are available. The leases valued under this methodology are classified as Level 2 assets.

Goodwill and other intangible assets. On a quarterly basis, we review impairment indicators to determine whether we need to evaluate the carrying amount of the goodwill and other intangible assets assigned to Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank. We also perform an annual impairment test for goodwill. Fair value of our reporting units is determined using both an income approach (discounted cash flow method) and a market approach (using publicly traded company and recent transactions data), which are weighted equally. Inputs used include market-available data, such as industry, historical and expected growth rates, and peer valuations, as well as internally driven inputs, such as forecasted earnings and market participant insights. Since this valuation relies on a significant number of unobservable inputs, we have classified these assets as Level 3. For additional information on the results of recent goodwill impairment testing, see Note 10 (Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets) on page 135 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The fair value of other intangible assets is calculated using a cash flow approach. While the calculation to test for recoverability uses a number of assumptions that are based on current market conditions, the calculation is based primarily on unobservable assumptions; therefore, the assets are classified as Level 3. We use various assumptions depending on the type of intangible asset being valued; our assumptions may include attrition rates, types of customers, revenue streams, prepayment rates, refinancing probabilities and credit defaults. There was no impairment of other intangible assets recorded during the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

Other assets. OREO and other repossessed properties are valued based on inputs such as appraisals and third-party price opinions, less estimated selling costs. Generally, we classify these assets as Level 3; however, OREO and other repossessed properties for which we receive binding purchase agreements are classified as Level 2. Returned lease inventory is valued based on market data for similar assets and is classified as Level 2. Assets that are acquired through, or in lieu of, loan foreclosures are recorded initially as held for sale at the lower of the loan balance or fair value at the date of foreclosure. After foreclosure, valuations are updated periodically, and current market conditions may require the assets to be marked down further to a new cost basis. Mortgage servicing assets are valued based on inputs such as prepayment speeds, earn rates, credit default rates, discount rates and servicing advances. We classify these assets as Level 3.

Fair Value Disclosures of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount and fair value of our financial instruments at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are shown in the following table:

	September 30, 2011		**December 31, 2010**	
in millions	**Carrying Amount**	**Fair Value**	**Carrying Amount**	**Fair Value**
ASSETS				
Cash and short-term investments [a]	**$ 5,594**	**$ 5,594**	$ 1,622	$ 1,622
Trading account assets [e]	**729**	**729**	985	985
Securities available for sale [e]	**17,612**	**17,612**	21,933	21,933
Held-to-maturity securities [b]	**1,176**	**1,186**	17	17
Other investments [e]	**1,210**	**1,210**	1,358	1,358
Loans, net of allowance [c]	**47,064**	**46,224**	48,503	46,140
Loans held for sale [e]	**479**	**479**	467	467
Mortgage servicing assets [d]	**177**	**260**	196	284
Derivative assets [e]	**940**	**940**	1,006	1,006
LIABILITIES				
Deposits with no stated maturity [a]	**$ 49,319**	**$ 49,319**	$ 45,598	$ 45,598
Time deposits [d]	**11,713**	**12,071**	15,012	15,502
Short-term borrowings [a]	**2,247**	**2,247**	3,196	3,196
Long-term debt [d]	**10,717**	**11,020**	10,592	10,611
Derivative liabilities[e]	**1,141**	**1,141**	1,142	1,142

Table of Contents

Valuation Methods and Assumptions

(a) Fair value equals or approximates carrying amount. The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity does not take into consideration the value ascribed to core deposit intangibles.

(b) Fair values of held-to-maturity securities are determined by using models that are based on security-specific details, as well as relevant industry and economic factors. The most significant of these inputs are quoted market prices, interest rate spreads on relevant benchmark securities and certain prepayment assumptions. We review the valuations derived from the models to ensure they are reasonable and consistent with the values placed on similar securities traded in the secondary markets.

(c) The fair value of the loans is based on the present value of the expected cash flows. The projected cash flows are based on the contractual terms of the loans, adjusted for prepayments and use of a discount rate based on the relative risk of the cash flows, taking into account the loan type, maturity of the loan, liquidity risk, servicing costs, and a required return on debt and capital. In addition, an incremental liquidity discount is applied to certain loans, using historical sales of loans during periods of similar economic conditions as a benchmark. The fair value of loans includes lease financing receivables at their aggregate carrying amount, which is equivalent to their fair value.

(d) Fair values of mortgage servicing assets, time deposits and long-term debt are based on discounted cash flows utilizing relevant market inputs.

(e) Information pertaining to our methodology for measuring the fair values of these assets and liabilities is included in the sections entitled Qualitative Disclosures of Valuation Techniques and Assets Measured at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis in this note.

We use valuation methods based on exit market prices in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance for fair value measurements. We determine fair value based on assumptions pertaining to the factors a market participant would consider in valuing the asset. A substantial portion of our fair value adjustments are related to liquidity. During the first nine months of 2011, the fair values of our loan portfolios improved primarily due to increasing liquidity in the loan markets. If we were to use different assumptions, the fair values shown in the preceding table could change significantly. If a nonexit price methodology was used for valuing our loan portfolio for continuing operations, it would result in a premium of 0.5%. Also, because the applicable accounting guidance for financial instruments excludes certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments from its disclosure requirements, the fair value amounts shown in the table above do not, by themselves, represent the underlying value of our company as a whole.

Education lending business. The discontinued education lending business consists of assets and liabilities (recorded at fair value) in the securitization trusts, which were consolidated as of January 1, 2010 in accordance with new consolidation accounting guidance, as well as loans in portfolio (recorded at fair value), loans in portfolio (recorded at carrying value with appropriate valuation reserves) and loans held for sale (prior to the second quarter of 2011), all of which are outside the trusts. The fair value of loans held for sale was identical to the aggregate carrying amount of the loans. All of these loans were excluded from the table above as follows:

¿ loans at carrying value, net of allowance, of $3.0 billion ($2.5 billion at fair value) at September 30, 2011 and $3.2 billion ($2.8 billion at fair value) at December 31, 2010;

¿ portfolio loans at fair value of $75 million at September 30, 2011 that were acquired from one of the education lending securitization trusts on September 27, 2011, pursuant to the legal terms of the trust;

¿ loans held for sale of $15 million at December 31, 2010. There were no loans held for sale at September 30, 2011; and

¿ loans in the trusts at fair value of $2.8 billion at September 30, 2011 and $3.1 billion at December 31, 2010.

Securities issued by the education lending securitization trusts, which are the primary liabilities of the trusts, totaling $2.6 billion in fair value at September 30, 2011 and $3.0 billion in fair value at December 31, 2010, are also excluded from the above table. Additional information regarding the consolidation of the education lending securitization trusts is provided in Note 11 (Divestiture and Discontinued Operations).

Residential real estate mortgage loans. Residential real estate mortgage loans with carrying amounts of $1.9 billion at September 30, 2011 and $1.8 billion at December 31, 2010 are included in Loans, net of allowance in the above table.

Short-term financial instruments. For financial instruments with a remaining average life to maturity of less than six months, carrying amounts were used as an approximation of fair values.

Table of Contents

6. Securities

Securities available for sale. These are securities that we intend to hold for an indefinite period of time; they may, however be sold in response to changes in interest rates, prepayment risk, liquidity needs or other factors. Securities available for sale are reported at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses (net of income taxes) deemed temporary are recorded in equity as a component of AOCI on the balance sheet. Unrealized losses on equity securities deemed to be other-than-temporary, and realized gains and losses resulting from sales of securities using the specific identification method are included in net securities gains (losses) on the income statement. Unrealized losses on debt securities deemed to be other-than-temporary are included in net securities gains (losses) on the income statement or AOCI in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance related to the recognition of OTTI of debt securities.

Other securities held in the available-for-sale portfolio are primarily marketable equity securities that are traded on a public exchange such as the NYSE or NASDAQ.

Held-to-maturity securities. These are debt securities that we have the intent and ability to hold until maturity. Debt securities are carried at cost and adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts using the interest method. This method produces a constant rate of return on the adjusted carrying amount.

Other securities held in the held-to-maturity portfolio consist of foreign bonds, capital securities and preferred equity securities.

The amortized cost, unrealized gains and losses, and approximate fair value of our securities available for sale and held-to-maturity securities are presented in the following tables. Gross unrealized gains and losses represent the difference between the amortized cost and the fair value of securities on the balance sheet as of the dates indicated. Accordingly, the amount of these gains and losses may change in the future as market conditions change.

The increase in our held-to-maturity securities is invested in Federal Agency CMOs as we increased this portfolio in response to potential future changes in regulatory capital rules.

Table of Contents

in millions	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
		September 30, 2011		
SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE				
States and political subdivisions	**$ 62**	**$ 3**		**$ 65**
Collateralized mortgage obligations	**16,108**	**575**		**16,683**
Other mortgage-backed securities	**780**	**70**		**850**
Other securities	**14**			**14**
Total securities available for sale	**$ 16,964**	**$ 648**		**$ 17,612**
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES				
States and political subdivisions	**$ 1**			**$ 1**
Collateralized mortgage obligations	**1,157**	**$ 10**		**1,167**
Other securities	**18**			**18**
Total held-to-maturity securities	**$ 1,176**	**$ 10**		**$ 1,186**

in millions	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
		December 31, 2010		
SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE				
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations	$ 8			$ 8
States and political subdivisions	170	$ 2		172
Collateralized mortgage obligations	20,344	408	$ 87	20,665
Other mortgage-backed securities	998	71		1,069
Other securities	15	4		19
Total securities available for sale	$ 21,535	$ 485	$ 87	$ 21,933
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES				
States and political subdivisions	$ 1			$ 1
Other securities	16			16
Total held-to-maturity securities	$ 17			$ 17

in millions	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
		September 30, 2010		
SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE				
U.S. Treasury, agencies and corporations	$ 8			$ 8
States and political subdivisions	73	$ 4		77
Collateralized mortgage obligations	19,197	689		19,886

Other mortgage-backed securities	1,097	84		1,181
Other securities	76	14	$ 1	89
Total securities available for sale	$ 20,451	$ 791	$ 1	$ 21,241
HELD-TO-MATURITY SECURITIES				
States and political subdivisions	$ 2			$ 2
Other securities	16			16
Total held-to-maturity securities	$ 18			$ 18

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our securities that were in an unrealized loss position as of September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010.

	Duration of Unrealized Loss Position					
	Less than 12 Months		12 Months or Longer		Total	
in millions	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
September 30, 2011						
Securities available for sale:						
State and political divisions	**$ 1**				**$ 1**	
Collateralized mortgage obligations	**1**				**1**	
Other securities	**5**				**5**	
Held-to-maturity:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	**404**				**404**	
Total temporarily impaired securities	**$ 411**				**$ 411**	
December 31, 2010						
Securities available for sale:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	$ 4,028	$ 87			$ 4,028	$ 87
Total temporarily impaired securities	$ 4,028	$ 87			$ 4,028	$ 87
September 30, 2010						
Securities available for sale:						
Collateralized mortgage obligations	$ 399				$ 399	
Other securities	3		$ 2	$ 1	5	$ 1
Total temporarily impaired securities	$ 402		$ 2	$ 1	$ 404	$ 1

We had less than $1 million of gross unrealized losses at September 30, 2011, that related to three fixed-rate collateralized mortgage obligations, which we invested in as part of an overall A/LM strategy. Since these securities have fixed interest rates, their fair value is sensitive to movements in market interest rates. These unrealized losses are considered temporary since we expect to collect all contractually due amounts from these securities. Accordingly, these investments have been reduced to their fair value through OCI, not earnings. These securities have a weighted-average maturity of .2 years at September 30, 2011.

We regularly assess our securities portfolio for OTTI. The assessments are based on the nature of the securities, the underlying collateral, the financial condition of the issuer, the extent and duration of the loss, our intent related to the individual securities, and the likelihood that we will have to sell securities prior to expected recovery.

Debt securities identified to have OTTI are written down to their current fair value. For those debt securities that we intend to sell, or more-likely-than-not will be required to sell, prior to the expected recovery of the amortized cost, the entire impairment (i.e., the difference between amortized cost and the fair value) is recognized in earnings. For those debt securities that we do not intend to sell, or more-likely-than-not will not be required to sell, prior to expected recovery, the credit portion of OTTI is recognized in earnings, while the

remaining OTTI is recognized in equity as a component of AOCI on the balance sheet. As shown in the following table, we did not have any impairment losses recognized in earnings for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Table of Contents

Three months ended September 30, 2011

in millions	
Balance at June 30, 2011	$ 4
Impairment recognized in earnings	
Balance at September 30, 2011	$ 4

Realized gains and losses related to securities available for sale were as follows:

Nine months ended September 30, 2011

in millions	*in millions*
Realized gains	$ 23
Realized losses	(22)
Net securities gains (losses)	$ 1

At September 30, 2011, securities available for sale and held-to-maturity securities totaling $11.2 billion were pledged to secure securities sold under repurchase agreements, to secure public and trust deposits, to facilitate access to secured funding, and for other purposes required or permitted by law.

The following table shows securities by remaining maturity. Collateralized mortgage obligations and other mortgage-backed securities both of which are included in the securities available-for-sale portfolio are presented based on their expected average lives. The remaining securities, including all of those in the held-to-maturity portfolio, are presented based on their remaining contractual maturity. Actual maturities may differ from expected or contractual maturities since borrowers have the right to prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

	Securities Available for Sale		**Held-to-Maturity Securities**	
September 30, 2011 *in millions*	**Amortized Cost**	**Fair Value**	**Amortized Cost**	**Fair Value**
Due in one year or less	$ 492	$ 506	$ 10	$ 9
Due after one through five years	16,382	17,008	1,166	1,177
Due after five through ten years	79	86		
Due after ten years	11	12		
Total	$ 16,964	$ 17,612	$ 1,176	$ 1,186

Table of Contents

7. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

We are a party to various derivative instruments, mainly through our subsidiary, KeyBank. Derivative instruments are contracts between two or more parties that have a notional amount and an underlying variable, require a small or no net investment and allow for the net settlement of positions. A derivative s notional amount serves as the basis for the payment provision of the contract, and takes the form of units, such as shares or dollars. A derivative s underlying variable is a specified interest rate, security price, commodity price, foreign exchange rate, index or other variable. The interaction between the notional amount and the underlying variable determines the number of units to be exchanged between the parties and influences the fair value of the derivative contract.

The primary derivatives that we use are interest rate swaps, caps, floors and futures; foreign exchange contracts; energy derivatives; credit derivatives; and equity derivatives. Generally, these instruments help us manage exposure to interest rate risk, mitigate the credit risk inherent in the loan portfolio, hedge against changes in foreign currency exchange rates, and meet client financing and hedging needs. As further discussed in this note:

¿ interest rate risk represents the possibility that the EVE or net interest income will be adversely affected by fluctuations in interest rates;
¿ credit risk is the risk of loss arising from an obligor s inability or failure to meet contractual payment or performance terms; and
¿ foreign exchange risk is the risk that an exchange rate will adversely affect the fair value of a financial instrument.

Derivative assets and liabilities are recorded at fair value on the balance sheet, after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements. These agreements allow us to settle all derivative contracts held with a single counterparty on a net basis, and to offset net derivative positions with related collateral, where applicable. As a result, we could have derivative contracts with negative fair values included in derivative assets on the balance sheet and contracts with positive fair values included in derivative liabilities.

At September 30, 2011, after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements, we had $227 million of derivative assets and $217 million of derivative liabilities that relate to contracts entered into for hedging purposes. As of the same date, after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements and a reserve for potential future losses, we had derivative assets of $713 million and derivative liabilities of $924 million that were not designated as hedging instruments.

The recently enacted Dodd-Frank Act may limit the types of derivatives activities that KeyBank and other insured depository institutions may conduct. As a result, our use of one or more of the types of derivatives noted above may change in the future.

Additional information regarding our accounting policies for derivatives is provided in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Derivatives on page 104 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Derivatives Designated in Hedge Relationships

Net interest income and the EVE change in response to changes in interest rates and differences in the repricing and maturity characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. We utilize derivatives that have been designated as part of a hedge relationship in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance for derivatives and hedging to minimize interest rate volatility, which then minimizes the volatility of net interest income and the EVE. The primary derivative instruments used to manage interest rate risk are interest rate swaps, which convert the contractual interest rate index of agreed-upon amounts of assets and liabilities (i.e., notional amounts) to another interest rate index.

We designate certain receive fixed/pay variable interest rate swaps as fair value hedges. These swaps are used primarily to modify our consolidated exposure to changes in interest rates. These contracts convert certain fixed-rate long-term debt into variable-rate obligations. As a result, we receive fixed-rate interest payments in exchange for making variable-rate payments over the lives of the contracts without exchanging the notional amounts.

Similarly, we designate certain receive fixed/pay variable interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. These contracts effectively convert certain floating-rate loans into fixed-rate loans to reduce the potential adverse effect of interest rate decreases on future interest income. Again, we receive fixed-rate interest payments in exchange for making variable-rate payments over the lives of the contracts without exchanging the notional amounts. We also designate certain pay

34

Table of Contents

fixed/receive variable interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges. These swaps convert certain floating-rate debt into fixed-rate debt.

We also use interest rate swaps to hedge the floating-rate debt that funds fixed-rate leases entered into by our Equipment Finance line of business. These swaps are designated as cash flow hedges to mitigate the interest rate mismatch between the fixed-rate lease cash flows and the floating-rate payments on the debt.

The derivatives used for managing foreign currency exchange risk are cross currency swaps. We have outstanding issuances of medium-term notes that are denominated in foreign currencies. The notes are subject to translation risk, which represents the possibility that the fair value of the foreign-denominated debt will change based on movement of the underlying foreign currency spot rate. It is our practice to hedge against potential fair value volatility caused by changes in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates. The hedge converts the notes to a variable-rate U.S. currency-denominated debt, which is designated as a fair value hedge of foreign currency exchange risk.

Derivatives Not Designated in Hedge Relationships

On occasion, we enter into interest rate swap contracts to manage economic risks but do not designate the instruments in hedge relationships. The amount of these contracts at September 30, 2011, was not significant.

Like other financial services institutions, we originate loans and extend credit, both of which expose us to credit risk. We actively manage our overall loan portfolio and the associated credit risk in a manner consistent with asset quality objectives. This process entails the use of credit derivatives primarily credit default swaps. Credit default swaps enable us to transfer to a third party a portion of the credit risk associated with a particular extension of credit, and to manage portfolio concentration and correlation risks. Occasionally, we also provide credit protection to other lenders through the sale of credit default swaps. This objective is accomplished primarily through the use of an investment-grade diversified dealer-traded basket of credit default swaps. These transactions may generate fee income, and diversify and reduce overall portfolio credit risk volatility. Although we use credit default swaps for risk management purposes, they are not treated as hedging instruments as defined by the applicable accounting guidance for derivatives and hedging.

We also enter into derivative contracts for other purposes, including:

- interest rate swap, cap, floor and futures contracts entered into generally to accommodate the needs of commercial loan clients;
- energy swap and options contracts entered into to accommodate the needs of clients;
- interest rate derivatives and foreign exchange contracts used for proprietary trading purposes;
- positions with third parties that are intended to offset or mitigate the interest rate or market risk related to client positions discussed above; and
- foreign exchange forward contracts entered into to accommodate the needs of clients.

These contracts are not designated as part of hedge relationships.

Fair Values, Volume of Activity and Gain/Loss Information Related to Derivative Instruments

The following table summarizes the fair values of our derivative instruments on a gross basis as of September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010. The change in the notional amounts of these derivatives by type from December 31, 2010 to September 30, 2011 indicates the volume of our derivative transaction activity during the first nine months of 2011. The notional amounts are not affected by bilateral collateral and master netting agreements. Our derivative instruments are included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities on the balance sheet, as indicated in the following table:

35

Table of Contents

in millions	September 30, 2011 Notional Amount	Fair Value Derivative Assets	Fair Value Derivative Liabilities	December 31, 2010 Notional Amount	Fair Value Derivative Assets	Fair Value Derivative Liabilities	September 30, 2010 Notional Amount	Fair Value Derivative Assets	Fair Value Derivative Liabilities
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments:									
Interest rate	**$ 12,139**	**$ 613**	**$ 29**	$ 10,586	$ 458	$ 17	$ 14,126	$ 654	$ 14
Foreign exchange	**1,098**		**238**	1,093		240	1,523	56	219
Total	**13,237**	**613**	**267**	11,679	458	257	15,649	710	233
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments:									
Interest rate	**47,302**	**1,429**	**1,447**	48,344	1,308	1,319	58,415	1,746	1,754
Foreign exchange	**6,312**	**190**	**176**	5,946	180	164	5,835	244	228
Energy and commodity	**1,835**	**270**	**275**	1,827	318	335	1,980	365	384
Credit	**2,951**	**40**	**37**	3,375	39	31	3,549	43	44
Equity	**23**	**3**	**3**	20	1	1	19	1	1
Total	**58,423**	**1,932**	**1,938**	59,512	1,846	1,850	69,798	2,399	2,411
Netting adjustments [(a)]		**(1,605)**	**(1,064)**		(1,298)	(965)	N/A	(1,851)	(1,314)
Total derivatives	**$ 71,660**	**$ 940**	**$ 1,141**	$ 71,191	$ 1,006	$ 1,142	$ 85,447	$ 1,258	$ 1,330

(a) Netting adjustments represent the amounts recorded to convert our derivative assets and liabilities from a gross basis to a net basis in accordance with the applicable accounting guidance. The net basis takes into account the impact of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that allow us to settle all derivative contracts with a single counterparty on a net basis and to offset the net derivative position with the related collateral.

Fair value hedges. Instruments designated as fair value hedges are recorded at fair value and included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities on the balance sheet. The effective portion of a change in the fair value of an instrument designated as a fair value hedge is recorded in earnings at the same time as a change in fair value of the hedged item, resulting in no effect on net income. The ineffective portion of a change in the fair value of such a hedging instrument is recorded in other income on the income statement with no corresponding offset. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, we did not exclude any portion of these hedging instruments from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. While there is some ineffectiveness in our hedging relationships, all of our fair value hedges remained highly effective as of September 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax net gains (losses) on our fair value hedges for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and where they are recorded on the income statement.

	Nine months ended September 30, 2011							
in millions	Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) on Derivative		Net Gains (Losses) on Derivative	Hedged Item	Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) on Hedged Item		Net Gains (Losses) on Hedged Item	
Interest rate		Other income	**$ 193**	Long-term debt		Other income	**$ (193)**	(a)
Interest rate	Interest expense	Long-term debt	**169**					
Foreign exchange		Other income	**2**	Long-term debt		Other income	**(5)**	(a)
Foreign exchange	Interest expense	Long-term debt	**9**	Long-term debt	Interest expense	Long-term debt	**(12)**	(b)
Total			**$ 373**				**$ (210)**	

	Nine months ended September 30, 2010							
in millions	Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) on Derivative		Net Gains (Losses) on Derivative	Hedged Item	Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) on Hedged Item		Net Gains (Losses) on Hedged Item	
Interest rate		Other income	**$ 272**	Long-term debt		Other income	**$ (270)**	(a)
Interest rate	Interest expense	Long-term debt	**160**					
Foreign exchange		Other income	**(112)**	Long-term debt		Other income	**102**	(a)
Foreign exchange	Interest expense	Long-term debt	**5**		Interest expense	Long-term debt	**(10)**	(b)

		Long-term debt
Total	**$ 325**	**$ (178)**

(a) Net gains (losses) on hedged items represent the change in fair value caused by fluctuations in interest rates.

(b) Net gains (losses) on hedged items represent the change in fair value caused by fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

Cash flow hedges. Instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded at fair value and included in derivative assets or derivative liabilities on the balance sheet. Initially, the effective portion of a gain or loss on a cash flow hedge is recorded as a component of AOCI on the balance sheet and is subsequently reclassified into income when the hedged transaction impacts earnings (e.g., when we pay variable-rate interest on debt, receive variable-rate interest on commercial loans or sell commercial real estate loans). The ineffective portion of cash flow hedging transactions is included in other income on the income statement. During the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, we did not exclude any portion

Table of Contents

of these hedging instruments from the assessment of hedge effectiveness. While there is some ineffectiveness in our hedging relationships, the majority of our cash flow hedges remained highly effective as of September 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax net gains (losses) on our cash flow hedges for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and where they are recorded on the income statement. The table includes the effective portion of net gains (losses) recognized in OCI during the period, the effective portion of net gains (losses) reclassified from OCI into income during the current period and the portion of net gains (losses) recognized directly in income, representing the amount of hedge ineffectiveness.

	Nine months ended September 30, 2011				
in millions	**Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in OCI (Effective Portion)**	**Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified From OCI Into Income (Effective Portion)**	**Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified From OCI Into Income (Effective Portion)**	**Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion)**	**Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion)**
Interest rate	**$ 65**	Interest income Loans	**$ 38**	Other income	
Interest rate	**(44)**	Interest expense Long-term debt	**(8)**	Other income	
Interest rate		Net gains (losses) from loan sales		Other income	
Total	**$ 21**		**$ 30**		

	Nine months ended September 30, 2010				
in millions	**Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in OCI (Effective Portion)**	**Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified From OCI Into Income (Effective Portion)**	**Net Gains (Losses) Reclassified From OCI Into Income (Effective Portion)**	**Income Statement Location of Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion)**	**Net Gains (Losses) Recognized in Income (Ineffective Portion)**
Interest rate	$ 59	Interest income Loans	$ 170	Other income	
Interest rate	(33)	Interest expense Long-term debt	(13)	Other income	
Interest rate		Net gains (losses) from loan sales		Other income	
Total	$ 26		$ 157		

The after-tax change in AOCI resulting from cash flow hedges is as follows:

in millions	**December 31, 2010**	**2011 Hedging Activity**	**Reclassification of Gains to Net Income**	**September 30, 2011**
AOCI resulting from cash flow hedges	$ 8	**$ 13**	**$ (19)**	**$ 2**

Considering the interest rates, yield curves and notional amounts as of September 30, 2011, we would expect to reclassify an estimated $6 million of net losses on derivative instruments from AOCI to income during the next twelve months. In addition, we expect to reclassify approximately $13 million of net gains related to terminated cash flow hedges from AOCI to income during the next twelve months. The maximum length of time over which we hedge forecasted transactions is 16 years.

Nonhedging instruments. Our derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments are recorded at fair value in derivative assets and derivative liabilities on the balance sheet. Adjustments to the fair values of these instruments, as well as any premium paid or received, are included in investment banking and capital markets income (loss) on the income statement.

The following table summarizes the pre-tax net gains (losses) on our derivatives that are not designated as hedging instruments for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and where they are recorded on the income statement.

Table of Contents

in millions	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	2010
NET GAINS (LOSSES) [a]		
Interest rate	**$ 11**	$ 12
Foreign exchange	**31**	32
Energy and commodity	**3**	4
Credit	**(14)**	(21)
Total net gains (losses)	**$ 31**	$ 27

(a) Recorded in investment banking and capital markets income (loss) on the income statement.

Counterparty Credit Risk

Like other financial instruments, derivatives contain an element of credit risk. This risk is measured as the expected positive replacement value of the contracts. We use several means to mitigate and manage exposure to credit risk on derivative contracts. We generally enter into bilateral collateral and master netting agreements that provide for the net settlement of all contracts with a single counterparty in the event of default. Additionally, we monitor counterparty credit risk exposure on each contract to determine appropriate limits on our total credit exposure across all product types. We review our collateral positions on a daily basis and exchange collateral with our counterparties in accordance with ISDA and other related agreements. We generally hold collateral in the form of cash and highly rated securities issued by the U.S. Treasury, government-sponsored enterprises or GNMA. The collateral netted against derivative assets on the balance sheet totaled $511 million at September 30, 2011, $331 million at December 31, 2010, and $538 million at September 30, 2010. The collateral netted against derivative liabilities totaled $30 million at September 30, 2011, $2 million at December 31, 2010, and less than $1 million at September 30, 2010.

The following table summarizes our largest exposure to an individual counterparty at the dates indicated.

in millions	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Largest gross exposure (derivative asset) to an individual counterparty	**$ 205**	$ 168	$ 241
Collateral posted by this counterparty	**54**	25	46
Derivative liability with this counterparty	**250**	275	338
Collateral pledged to this counterparty	**114**	141	143
Net exposure after netting adjustments and collateral	**14**	9	2

The following table summarizes the fair value of our derivative assets by type. These assets represent our gross exposure to potential loss after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements and other means used to mitigate risk.

in millions	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Interest rate	**$ 1,298**	$ 1,134	$ 1,549
Foreign exchange	**71**	104	157
Energy and commodity	**65**	84	72
Credit	**15**	14	17
Equity	**2**	1	1
Derivative assets before collateral	**1,451**	1,337	1,796
Less: Related collateral	**511**	331	538
Total derivative assets	**$ 940**	$ 1,006	$ 1,258

We enter into derivative transactions with two primary groups: broker-dealers and banks, and clients. Since these groups have different economic characteristics, we have different methods for managing counterparty credit exposure and credit risk.

We enter into transactions with broker-dealers and banks for various risk management purposes and proprietary trading purposes. These types of transactions generally are high dollar volume. We generally enter into bilateral collateral and master netting agreements with these counterparties. At September 30, 2011, after taking into account the effects of bilateral collateral and master netting agreements, we had gross exposure of $1 billion to broker-dealers and banks. We had net exposure of $240 million after the application of master netting agreements and collateral; our net exposure to broker-dealers and banks at September 30, 2011, was reduced to $44 million with $196 million of additional

collateral held in the form of securities.

Table of Contents

We enter into transactions with clients to accommodate their business needs. These types of transactions generally are low dollar volume. We generally enter into master netting agreements with these counterparties. In addition, we mitigate our overall portfolio exposure and market risk by buying and selling U.S. Treasuries and Eurodollar futures, and entering into offsetting positions and other derivative contracts. Due to the smaller size and magnitude of the individual contracts with clients, collateral generally is not exchanged in connection with these derivative transactions. To address the risk of default associated with the uncollateralized contracts, we have established a default reserve (included in derivative assets) in the amount of $32 million at September 30, 2011, which we estimate to be the potential future losses on amounts due from client counterparties in the event of default. At December 31, 2010, the default reserve was $48 million. At September 30, 2011, after taking into account the effects of master netting agreements, we had gross exposure of $772 million to client counterparties. We had net exposure of $700 million on our derivatives with clients after the application of master netting agreements, collateral and the related reserve.

Credit Derivatives

We are both a buyer and seller of credit protection through the credit derivative market. We purchase credit derivatives to manage the credit risk associated with specific commercial lending and swap obligations. We also sell credit derivatives, mainly index credit default swaps, to diversify the concentration risk within our loan portfolio.

The following table summarizes the fair value of our credit derivatives purchased and sold by type. The fair value of credit derivatives presented below does not take into account the effects of bilateral collateral or master netting agreements.

	September 30, 2011			**December 31, 2010**			**September 30, 2010**		
in millions	**Purchased**	**Sold**	**Net**	**Purchased**	**Sold**	**Net**	**Purchased**	**Sold**	**Net**
Single name credit default swaps	**$ 9**	**$ (5)**	**$ 4**	$ (8)	$ 9	$ 1	$ 6	$ 2	$ 8
Traded credit default swap indices	**6**	**(8)**	**(2)**		2	2	1	(1)	
Other	**2**	**(1)**	**1**	5		5	4	(1)	3
Total credit derivatives	**$ 17**	**$ (14)**	**$ 3**	$ (3)	$ 11	$ 8	$ 11	$	$ 11

Single name credit default swaps are bilateral contracts whereby the seller agrees, for a premium, to provide protection against the credit risk of a specific entity (referred to as the reference entity) in connection with a specific debt obligation. The protected credit risk is related to adverse credit events, such as bankruptcy, failure to make payments, and acceleration or restructuring of obligations, identified in the credit derivative contract. As the seller of a single name credit derivative, we would be required to pay the purchaser the difference between the par value and the market price of the debt obligation (cash settlement) or receive the specified referenced asset in exchange for payment of the par value (physical settlement) if the underlying reference entity experiences a predefined credit event. For a single name credit derivative, the notional amount represents the maximum amount that a seller could be required to pay. In the event that physical settlement occurs and we receive our portion of the related debt obligation, we will join other creditors in the liquidation process, which may result in the recovery of a portion of the amount paid under the credit default swap contract. We also may purchase offsetting credit derivatives for the same reference entity from third parties that will permit us to recover the amount we pay should a credit event occur.

A traded credit default swap index represents a position on a basket or portfolio of reference entities. As a seller of protection on a credit default swap index, we would be required to pay the purchaser if one or more of the entities in the index had a credit event. For a credit default swap index, the notional amount represents the maximum amount that a seller could be required to pay. Upon a credit event, the amount payable is based on the percentage of the notional amount allocated to the specific defaulting entity.

The majority of transactions represented by the other category shown in the above table are risk participation agreements. In these transactions, the lead participant has a swap agreement with a customer. The lead participant (purchaser of protection) then enters into a risk participation agreement with a counterparty (seller of protection), under which the counterparty receives a fee to accept a portion of the lead participant s credit risk. If the customer defaults on the swap contract, the counterparty to the risk participation agreement must reimburse the lead participant for the counterparty s percentage of the positive fair value of the customer swap as of the default date. If the customer swap has a negative fair value, the counterparty has no reimbursement requirements. The notional amount represents the maximum amount that the seller could be required to pay. If the customer defaults on the swap contract and the seller fulfills its payment obligations under the risk participation agreement, the seller is entitled to a pro rata share of the lead participant s claims against the customer under the terms of the swap agreement.

The following table provides information on the types of credit derivatives sold by us and held on the balance sheet at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010. Except as noted, the payment/performance risk

39

Table of Contents

assessment is based on the default probabilities for the underlying reference entities debt obligations using a Moody s credit ratings matrix known as Moody s Idealized Cumulative Default Rates. The payment/performance risk shown in the table represents a weighted-average of the default probabilities for all reference entities in the respective portfolios. These default probabilities are directly correlated to the probability that we will have to make a payment under the credit derivative contracts.

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010			September 30, 2010		
dollars in millions	Notional Amount	Average Term (Years)	Payment / Performance Risk	Notional Amount	Average Term (Years)	Payment / Performance Risk	Notional Amount	Average Term (Years)	Payment / Performance Risk
Single name credit default swaps	**$ 903**	**2.37**	**3.89%**	$ 942	2.42	3.93%	$ 1,033	2.43	2.85 %
Traded credit default swap indices	**346**	**3.45**	**4.04**	369	3.86	6.68	399	4.00	6.78
Other	**20**	**5.55**	**10.82**	48	2.00	Low[(a)]	48	2.90	Low
Total credit derivatives sold	**$ 1,269**			$ 1,359			$ 1,480		

(a) The other credit derivatives were not referenced to an entity s debt obligation. We determined the payment/performance risk based on the probability that we could be required to pay the maximum amount under the credit derivatives. We have determined that the payment/performance risk associated with the other credit derivatives was low (i.e., less than or equal to 30% probability of payment).

Credit Risk Contingent Features

We have entered into certain derivative contracts that require us to post collateral to the counterparties when these contracts are in a net liability position. The amount of collateral to be posted is based on the amount of the net liability and thresholds generally related to our long-term senior unsecured credit ratings with Moody s and S&P. Collateral requirements also are based on minimum transfer amounts, which are specific to each Credit Support Annex (a component of the ISDA Master Agreement) that we have signed with the counterparties. In a limited number of instances, counterparties also have the right to terminate their ISDA Master Agreements with us if our ratings fall below a certain level, usually investment-grade level (i.e., Baa3 for Moody s and BBB- for S&P). At September 30, 2011, KeyBank s ratings with Moody s and S&P were A3 and A-, respectively, and KeyCorp s ratings with Moody s and S&P were Baa1 and BBB+, respectively. If there was a downgrade of our ratings we could be required to post additional collateral under those ISDA Master Agreements where we are in a net liability position. As of September 30, 2011, the aggregate fair value of all derivative contracts with credit risk contingent features (i.e., those containing collateral posting or termination provisions based on our ratings) held by KeyBank that were in a net liability position totaled $907 million, which includes $687 million in derivative assets and $1.6 billion in derivative liabilities. We had $901 million in cash and securities collateral posted to cover those positions as of September 30, 2011.

The following table summarizes the additional cash and securities collateral that KeyBank would have been required to deliver had the credit risk contingent features been triggered for the derivative contracts in a net liability position as of September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010. The additional collateral amounts were calculated based on scenarios under which KeyBank s ratings are downgraded one, two or three ratings as of September 30, 2011, and take into account all collateral already posted. At September 30, 2011, KeyCorp did not have any derivatives in a net liability position that contained credit risk contingent features.

	September 30, 2011		December 31, 2010		September 30, 2010	
in millions	Moody s	S&P	Moody s	S&P	Moody s	S&P
KeyBank s long-term senior unsecured credit ratings	**A3**	**A-**	A3	A-	A2	A-
One rating downgrade	**$ 11**	**$ 11**	$ 16	$ 16	$ 29	$ 19
Two rating downgrades	**16**	**16**	27	27	48	27
Three rating downgrades	**16**	**16**	32	32	55	32

If KeyBank s ratings had been downgraded below investment grade as of September 30, 2011, payments of up to $17 million would have been required to either terminate the contracts or post additional collateral for those contracts in a net liability position, taking into account all collateral already posted. KeyBank s long-term senior unsecured credit rating currently is four ratings above noninvestment grade at Moody s and S&P.

Table of Contents

8. Mortgage Servicing Assets

We originate and periodically sell commercial mortgage loans but continue to service those loans for the buyers. We also may purchase the right to service commercial mortgage loans for other lenders. A servicing asset is recorded if we purchase or retain the right to service loans in exchange for servicing fees that exceed the going market rate. Changes in the carrying amount of mortgage servicing assets are summarized as follows:

	Nine months ended September 30,	
in millions	**2011**	**2010**
Balance at beginning of period	**$ 196**	$ 221
Servicing retained from loan sales	**15**	5
Purchases	**7**	10
Amortization	**(41)**	(33)
Balance at end of period	**$ 177**	$ 203
Fair value at end of period	**$ 260**	$ 295

The fair value of mortgage servicing assets is determined by calculating the present value of future cash flows associated with servicing the loans. This calculation uses a number of assumptions that are based on current market conditions. The primary economic assumptions used to measure the fair value of our mortgage servicing assets at September 30, 2011 and 2010, are:

¿ prepayment speed generally at an annual rate of 0.00% to 25.00%;

¿ expected credit losses at a static rate of 2.00% to 3.00%;

¿ residual cash flows discount rate of 7.00% to 15.00%; and

¿ value assigned to escrow funds at an interest rate of .24% to 7.18%.

Changes in these economic assumptions could cause the fair value of mortgage servicing assets to change in the future. The volume of loans serviced, expected credit losses, and the value assigned to escrow deposits are critical to the valuation of servicing assets. At September 30, 2011, a 1.00% decrease in the value assigned to the escrow deposits would cause a $28 million decrease in the fair value of our mortgage servicing assets; and an increase in the assumed default rate of commercial mortgage loans of 1.00% would cause a $2 million decrease in the fair value of our mortgage servicing assets.

Contractual fee income from servicing commercial mortgage loans totaled $72 million and $54 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We have elected to remeasure servicing assets using the amortization method. The amortization of servicing assets is determined in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated net servicing income. The amortization of servicing assets for each period, as shown in the preceding table, is recorded as a reduction to fee income. Both the contractual fee income and the amortization are recorded in other income on the income statement.

Subsequent to its January 19, 2011, publicly issued announcement, Moody s, a credit rating agency that rates KeyCorp and KeyBank debt securities, indicated to KeyBank that certain escrow deposits associated with our mortgage servicing operations had to be moved to another financial institution which meets Moody s minimum ratings threshold. As a result of this decision by Moody s, during the first quarter of 2011, KeyBank transferred approximately $1.5 billion of these escrow deposit balances to an acceptably-rated institution resulting in an immaterial impairment of the related mortgage servicing assets. We funded this movement of the escrow deposits by selling a similar amount of securities available for sale at the time of the transfer. KeyBank had ample liquidity reserves to offset the loss of these deposits.

Additional information pertaining to the accounting for mortgage and other servicing assets is included in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Servicing Assets on page 103 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K and Note 11 (Divestiture and Discontinued Operations) in this report under the heading Education lending.

Table of Contents

9. Variable Interest Entities

A VIE is a partnership, limited liability company, trust or other legal entity that meets any one of the following criteria:

- The entity does not have sufficient equity to conduct its activities without additional subordinated financial support from another party.
- The entity s investors lack the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance.
- The entity s equity at risk holders do not have the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive residual returns.
- The voting rights of some investors are not proportional to their economic interests in the entity, and substantially all of the entity s activities involve, or are conducted on behalf of, investors with disproportionately few voting rights.

Our VIEs are summarized below. We define a significant interest in a VIE as a subordinated interest that exposes us to a significant portion, but not the majority, of the VIE s expected losses or residual returns, even though we do not have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the entity s economic performance.

	Consolidated VIEs		Unconsolidated VIEs		
in millions	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Total Assets	Total Liabilities	Maximum Exposure to Loss
September 30, 2011					
LIHTC funds	$ 87	N/A	$ 127		
Education loan securitization trusts	2,860	$ 2,651	N/A	N/A	N/A
LIHTC investments	N/A	N/A	1,047		$ 478

Our involvement with VIEs is described below.

Consolidated VIEs

LIHTC guaranteed funds. KAHC formed limited partnerships, known as funds that invested in LIHTC operating partnerships. Interests in these funds were offered in syndication to qualified investors who paid a fee to KAHC for a guaranteed return. We also earned syndication fees from the funds and continue to earn asset management fees. The funds assets primarily are investments in LIHTC operating partnerships, which totaled $72 million at September 30, 2011. These investments are recorded in accrued income and other assets on the balance sheet and serve as collateral for the funds limited obligations.

We have not formed new funds or added LIHTC partnerships since October 2003. However, we continue to act as asset manager and provide occasional funding for existing funds under a guarantee obligation. As a result of this guarantee obligation, we have determined that we are the primary beneficiary of these funds. Additional information on return guarantee agreements with LIHTC investors is presented in Note 12 (Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) under the heading Guarantees.

In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance for distinguishing liabilities from equity, third-party interests associated with our LIHTC guaranteed funds are considered mandatorily redeemable instruments and are recorded in accrued expense and other liabilities on the balance sheet. However, the FASB has indefinitely deferred the measurement and recognition provisions of this accounting guidance for mandatorily redeemable third-party interests associated with finite-lived subsidiaries, such as our LIHTC guaranteed funds. We adjust our financial statements each period for the third-party investors share of the funds profits and losses. At September 30, 2011, we estimated the settlement value of these third-party interests to be between $36 million and $47 million, while the recorded value, including reserves, totaled $94 million. The partnership agreement for each of our guaranteed funds requires the fund to be dissolved by a certain date.

Education loan securitization trusts. In September 2009, we decided to exit the government-guaranteed education lending business. Therefore, we have accounted for this business as a discontinued operation. In the past, as part of our education lending business model, we originated and securitized education loans. As the transferor, we retained a portion of the risk in the form of a residual interest and also retained the right to

service the securitized loans and receive servicing fees. We have not securitized any education loans since 2006.

Table of Contents

We consolidated our ten outstanding education loan securitization trusts as of January 1, 2010. We were required to consolidate these trusts because we hold the residual interests and as the master servicer we have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the trusts economic performance. We elected to consolidate these trusts at fair value. The trust assets can be used only to settle the obligations or securities that the trusts issue; we cannot sell the assets or transfer the liabilities. The security holders or beneficial interest holders do not have recourse to us, and we do not have any liability recorded related to their securities. During the third quarter of 2011, we determined that the $45 million cumulative effect adjustment made related to the consolidation of these trusts on January 1, 2010, was incorrect. Further information regarding this error and its correction as well as these education loan securitization trusts is provided in Note 11 (Divestiture and Discontinued Operations) under the heading Education lending.

Unconsolidated VIEs

LIHTC nonguaranteed funds. Although we hold significant interests in certain nonguaranteed funds that we formed and funded, we have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary because we do not absorb the majority of the funds expected losses and do not have the power to direct activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these entities. At September 30, 2011, assets of these unconsolidated nonguaranteed funds totaled $127 million. Our maximum exposure to loss in connection with these funds is minimal, and we do not have any liability recorded related to the funds. We have not formed nonguaranteed funds since October 2003.

LIHTC investments. Through Key Community Bank, we have made investments directly in LIHTC operating partnerships formed by third parties. As a limited partner in these operating partnerships, we are allocated tax credits and deductions associated with the underlying properties. We have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of these investments because the general partners have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the partnership and have the obligation to absorb expected losses and the right to receive benefits.

At September 30, 2011, assets of these unconsolidated LIHTC operating partnerships totaled approximately $1 billion. At September 30, 2011, our maximum exposure to loss in connection with these partnerships is the unamortized investment balance of $390 million plus $88 million of tax credits claimed but subject to recapture. We do not have any liability recorded related to these investments because we believe the likelihood of any loss is remote. During the first nine months of 2011, we did not obtain significant direct investments (either individually or in the aggregate) in LIHTC operating partnerships.

We have additional investments in unconsolidated LIHTC operating partnerships that are held by the consolidated LIHTC guaranteed funds. Total assets of these operating partnerships were approximately $1.1 billion at September 30, 2011. The tax credits and deductions associated with these properties are allocated to the funds investors based on their ownership percentages. We have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of these partnerships because the general partners have the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact their economic performance and the obligation to absorb expected losses and right to receive residual returns. Information regarding our exposure to loss in connection with these guaranteed funds is included in Note 12 under the heading Return guarantee agreement with LIHTC investors.

Commercial and residential real estate investments and principal investments. Our Principal Investing unit and the Real Estate Capital and Corporate Banking Services line of business make equity and mezzanine investments, some of which are in VIEs. These investments are held by nonregistered investment companies subject to the provisions of the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, Audits of Investment Companies. We are not currently applying the accounting or disclosure provisions in the applicable accounting guidance for consolidations to these investments, which remain unconsolidated. The FASB has indefinitely deferred the effective date of this guidance for such nonregistered investment companies.

10. Income Taxes

Income Tax Provision

In accordance with the applicable accounting guidance, the principal method established for computing the provision for income taxes in interim periods requires us to make our best estimate of the effective tax rate expected to be applicable for the full year. This estimated effective tax rate is then applied to interim consolidated pre-tax operating income to determine the interim provision for income taxes. Additionally, the accounting guidance allows for an alternative method to computing the effective tax rate and, thus the interim provision for income taxes, when a taxpayer is unable to calculate a reliable estimate of the effective tax rate for the entire year. Due to the current economic environment, we have concluded that the alternative method is more reliable in determining the provision for income taxes for 2011. The alternative method was also used for determining the provision for income taxes in 2010. The provision for the current quarter is calculated by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to the quarter s consolidated operating income before taxes after modifications. These

43

Table of Contents

items include modifications for non-taxable items recognized in the quarter, which include income from corporate-owned life insurance, tax credits related to investments in low income housing projects, and state taxes.

The effective tax rate, which is the provision for income taxes as a percentage of income from continuing operations before income taxes, was 28.6% for the third quarter of 2011, 27.1% for the second quarter of 2011, and 28.7% for the third quarter of 2010. The effective tax rates are below our combined federal and state statutory tax rate of 37.2%, due primarily to income from investments in tax-advantaged assets such as corporate-owned life insurance, and credits associated with investments in low-income housing projects.

Deferred Tax Asset

As of September 30, 2011, we had a consolidated federal net deferred tax asset of $62 million and a state deferred tax liability of $29 million compared to a combined net federal and state deferred tax assets of $220 million as of June 30, 2011 and $389 million as of September 30, 2010, included in accrued income and other assets on the balance sheet. To determine the amount of deferred tax assets that are more-likely-than-not to be realized, and therefore recorded, we conduct a quarterly assessment of all available evidence. This evidence includes, but is not limited to, taxable income in prior periods, projected future taxable income, and projected future reversals of deferred tax items. Based on these criteria, and in particular our expectations for future taxable income, we currently believe that it is more-likely-than-not that we will realize the net deferred tax asset in future periods.

Unrecognized Tax Benefits

As permitted under the applicable accounting guidance for income taxes, it is our policy to recognize interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

11. Divestiture and Discontinued Operations

Divestiture

Tuition Management Systems. On November 21, 2010, we entered into a definitive agreement to sell substantially all of the net assets of the Tuition Management Systems business (TMS) to a wholly-owned subsidiary of Boston-based First Marblehead Corporation for approximately $47 million in cash. The transaction closed on December 31, 2010. We wrote off $15 million of customer relationship intangible assets in conjunction with this transaction against the purchase price, to determine the net gain on sale.

Discontinued operations

Education lending. In September 2009, we decided to exit the government-guaranteed education lending business. As a result of this decision, we have accounted for this business as a discontinued operation.

The changes in fair value of the assets and liabilities of the education loan securitization trusts and the loans at fair value in portfolio (discussed later in this note), and the interest income and expense from the loans and the securities of the trusts and the loans at fair value in portfolio are all recorded as a component of income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes on the income statement. These amounts are shown separately in the following table. Gains and losses attributable to changes in fair value are recorded as a component of noninterest income or expense. It is our policy to recognize interest income and expense related to the loans and securities separately from changes in fair value. These amounts are shown as a component of Net interest income.

The components of income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes for the education lending business are as follows:

Table of Contents

in millions	Three months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	2010
Net interest income	**$ 35**	$ 40	**$ 106**	$ 119
Provision for loan and lease losses	**37**	18	**99**	56
Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan and lease losses	**(2)**	22	**7**	63
Noninterest income	**(16)**	15	**(37)**	(41)
Noninterest expense	**10**	11	**30**	36
Income (loss) before income taxes	**(28)**	26	**(60)**	(14)
Income taxes	**(10)**	10	**(22)**	(5)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes [(a)]	**$ (18)**	$ 16	**$ (38)**	$ (9)

(a) Includes after-tax charges of $12 million and $15 million for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $37 million and $45 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, determined by applying a matched funds transfer pricing methodology to the liabilities assumed necessary to support the discontinued operations.

The discontinued assets and liabilities of our education lending business included on the balance sheet are as follows:

in millions	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Trust loans at fair value	**$ 2,829**	$ 3,125	$ 3,247
Portfolio loans at fair value	**75**		
Loans, net of unearned income of $1, $1 and $1	**3,080**	3,326	3,389
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	**115**	114	123
Net loans	**5,869**	6,337	6,513
Loans held for sale		15	15
Trust accrued income and other assets at fair value	**132**	169	189
Total assets	**$ 6,001**	$ 6,521	$ 6,717
Trust accrued expense and other liabilities at fair value	**$ 28**	$ 31	$ 43
Trust securities at fair value	**2,623**	2,966	3,080
Total liabilities	**$ 2,651**	$ 2,997	$ 3,123

In the past, as part of our education lending business model, we originated and securitized education loans. The process of securitization involves taking a pool of loans from our balance sheet and selling them to a bankruptcy remote QSPE, or trust. This trust then issues securities to investors in the capital markets to raise funds to pay for the loans. The interest generated on the loans goes to pay holders of the securities issued. As the transferor, we retain a portion of the risk in the form of a residual interest and also retain the right to service the securitized loans and receive servicing fees.

In June 2009, the FASB issued new consolidation accounting guidance that required us to analyze our existing QSPEs for possible consolidation. We determined that we should consolidate our ten outstanding securitization trusts as of January 1, 2010, since we hold the residual interests and are the master servicer with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these trusts.

The trust assets can be used only to settle the obligations or securities the trusts issue; we cannot sell the assets or transfer the liabilities. The loans in the consolidated trusts are comprised of both private and government-guaranteed loans. The security holders or beneficial interest holders do not have recourse to Key. Our economic interest or risk of loss associated with these education loan securitization trusts is approximately $209 million as of September 30, 2011. We record all income and expense (including fair value adjustments) through the income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of tax line item in our income statement.

We elected to consolidate these trusts at fair value when we prospectively adopted this new consolidation guidance. Carrying the assets and liabilities of the trusts at fair value better depicts our economic interest. A cumulative effect adjustment of approximately $45 million, which increased our beginning balance of retained earnings at January 1, 2010, was recorded when the trusts were consolidated. The amount of this cumulative effect adjustment was driven primarily by derecognizing the residual interests and servicing assets related to these trusts and consolidating the assets and liabilities at fair value.

45

Table of Contents

During the third quarter of 2011, we corrected an error related to the $45 million cumulative effect adjustment recorded to beginning retained earnings upon consolidation of the education loan securitization trusts on January 1, 2010. Deferred taxes had not been appropriately recognized for the assets and liabilities of the trusts consolidated which were accounted for at fair value for book purposes but not for tax. We assessed the materiality of the error in accordance with the applicable SEC guidance and concluded that the error was not material, individually or in the aggregate, to our financial position for any prior period or the quarter ending September 30, 2011, to trends for those periods affected or to a fair presentation of our financial statements for those periods. The error had no impact on our results of operations. Accordingly, results for prior periods have not been restated. Instead, accrued income and other assets and retained earnings were reduced by $30 million to correct this error in the third quarter of 2011.

On September 27, 2011, we purchased the government-guaranteed loans from one of the education loan securitization trusts pursuant to the legal terms of the particular trust. The cash proceeds from the sale of these loans by the particular trust were used to retire the outstanding securities related to these government-guaranteed loans. This particular trust remains in existence and continues to maintain the private education loan portfolio and has securities related to these loans outstanding. The government-guaranteed loans we purchased will be held as portfolio loans that will be accounted for at fair value. For the third quarter of 2011, the loans purchased from the trust that are held in portfolio at fair value were valued based on the purchase price of these loans as determined in accordance with the legal terms of this particular trust and are considered to be Level 3 assets.

At September 30, 2011, the primary economic assumptions used to measure the fair value of the assets and liabilities of the trusts are shown in the following table. The fair value is determined by calculating the present value of the future expected cash flows; those cash flows are affected by the following assumptions. We rely on unobservable inputs (Level 3) when determining the fair value of the assets and liabilities of the trusts because observable market data is not available.

September 30, 2011	
Weighted-average life (years)	1.4 - 6.0
PREPAYMENT SPEED ASSUMPTIONS (ANNUAL RATE)	4.00% - 26.00%
EXPECTED CREDIT LOSSES	2.00% - 80.00%
LOAN DISCOUNT RATES (ANNUAL RATE)	2.45% - 10.80%
SECURITY DISCOUNT RATES (ANNUAL RATE)	2.15% - 9.80%
EXPECTED DEFAULTS (STATIC RATE)	3.75% - 40.00%

The following table shows the consolidated trusts assets and liabilities at fair value and the portfolio loans at fair value and their related contractual values as of September 30, 2011. At September 30, 2011, loans held by the trusts with unpaid principal balances of $37 million ($35 million on a fair value basis) and portfolio loans at fair value with unpaid principal balances of $2 million ($2 million on a fair value basis) were 90 days or more past due. Loans held by the trusts aggregating $19 million ($17 million on a fair value basis) were in nonaccrual status while portfolio loans at fair value in nonaccrual status aggregated to less than $1 million on both a contractual amount and fair value basis.

September 30, 2011	**Contractual**	**Fair**
in millions	**Amount**	**Value**
ASSETS		
Portfolio loans	$ 75	$ 75
Trust loans	3,001	2,829
Trust other assets	31	31
LIABILITIES		
Trust securities	$ 3,080	$ 2,623
Trust other liabilities	28	28

Table of Contents

The following table presents the assets and liabilities of the trusts that were consolidated and are measured at fair value as well as the portfolio loans that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

September 30, 2011 *in millions*	**Level 1**	**Level 2**	**Level 3**	**Total**
ASSETS MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Portfolio loans			$ 75	$ 75
Trust loans			2,829	2,829
Trust other assets			31	31
Total assets on a recurring basis at fair value			$ 2,935	$ 2,935
LIABILITIES MEASURED ON A RECURRING BASIS				
Trust securities			$ 2,623	$ 2,623
Trust other liabilities			28	28
Total liabilities on a recurring basis at fair value			$ 2,651	$ 2,651

The following table shows the change in the fair values of the Level 3 consolidated education loan securitization trusts for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011.

in millions	**Portfolio Student Loans**	**Trust Student Loans**	**Trust Other Assets**	**Trust Securities**	**Trust Other Liabilities**
Balance at January 1, 2011		$ 3,125	$ 45	$ 2,966	$ 31
Gains (losses) recognized in earnings [(a)]		49		83	
Purchases	$ 75				
Sales		(75)			
Issuances					
Settlements		(270)	(14)	(426)	(3)
Balance at September 30, 2011	$ 75	$ 2,829	$ 31	$ 2,623	$ 28

(a) Gains (losses) on the Trust Student Loans and Trust Securities were driven primarily by fair value adjustments.

Austin Capital Management, Ltd. In April 2009, we decided to wind down the operations of Austin, a subsidiary that specialized in managing hedge fund investments for institutional customers. As a result of this decision, we have accounted for this business as a discontinued operation.

The results of this discontinued business are included in income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes on the income statement. The components of income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes for Austin are as follows:

in millions	**Three months ended September 30, 2011**	**2010**	**Nine months ended September 30, 2011**	**2010**
Noninterest income			**$ 1**	$ 4
Other noninterest expense		$ 1	**1**	5
Income (loss) before income taxes		(1)		(1)
Income taxes	**$ (1)**		**(1)**	
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes	**$ 1**	$ (1)	**$ 1**	$ (1)

Table of Contents

The discontinued assets and liabilities of Austin included on the balance sheet are as follows:

in millions	**September 30, 2011**	**December 31, 2010**	**September 30, 2010**
Cash and due from banks	**$ 31**	$ 33	$ 32
Net loans	**1**		
Other intangible assets			1
Total assets	**$ 32**	$ 33	$ 33
Accrued expense and other liabilities		$ 1	$ 1
Total liabilities		$ 1	$ 1

Combined discontinued operations. The combined results of the discontinued operations are as follows:

in millions	**Three months ended September 30, 2011**	**2010**	**Nine months ended September 30, 2011**	**2010**
Net interest income	**$ 35**	$ 40	**$ 106**	$ 119
Provision for loan and lease losses	**37**	18	**99**	56
Net interest income (expense) after provision for loan and lease losses	**(2)**	22	**7**	63
Noninterest income	**(16)**	15	**(36)**	(37)
Noninterest expense	**10**	12	**31**	41
Income (loss) before income taxes	**(28)**	25	**(60)**	(15)
Income taxes	**(11)**	10	**(23)**	(5)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes [(a)]	**$ (17)**	$ 15	**$ (37)**	$ (10)

(a) Includes after-tax charges of $12 million and $15 million for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and $37 million and $45 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, determined by applying a matched funds transfer pricing methodology to the liabilities assumed necessary to support the discontinued operations.

The combined assets and liabilities of the discontinued operations are as follows:

in millions	**September 30, 2011**	**December 31, 2010**	**September 30, 2010**
Cash and due from banks	**$ 31**	$ 33	$ 32
Trust loans at fair value	**2,829**	3,125	3,247
Portfolio loans at fair value	**75**		
Loans, net of unearned income of $1, $1 and $1	**3,081**	3,326	3,389
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	**115**	114	123
Net loans	**5,870**	6,337	6,513
Loans held for sale		15	15
Other intangible assets			1
Trust accrued income and other assets at fair value	**132**	169	189
Total assets	**$ 6,033**	$ 6,554	$ 6,750
Trust accrued expense and other liabilities at fair value	**$ 28**	$ 31	$ 43
Accrued expense and other liabilities		1	1
Trust securities at fair value	**2,623**	2,966	3,080
Total liabilities	**$ 2,651**	$ 2,998	$ 3,124

Table of Contents

12. Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees

Legal Proceedings

For additional information on our legal proceedings, we refer you to our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, Note 16 (Commitments, Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) under the heading Legal Proceedings on pages 147 to 148, and our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the periods ended March 31, 2011 and June 30, 2011, Note 12 (Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) under the heading Legal Proceedings on page 46 to 47 and on page 48 to 49, respectively.

Guarantees

We are a guarantor in various agreements with third parties. The following table shows the types of guarantees that we had outstanding at September 30, 2011. Information pertaining to the basis for determining the liabilities recorded in connection with these guarantees is included in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Guarantees on page 105 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

September 30, 2011 *in millions*	**Maximum Potential Undiscounted Future Payments**	**Liability Recorded**
Financial guarantees:		
Standby letters of credit	$ 10,477	$ 54
Recourse agreement with FNMA	858	13
Return guarantee agreement with LIHTC investors	65	65
Written put options [(a)]	1,654	84
Default guarantees	51	1
Total	$ 13,105	$ 217

(a) The maximum potential undiscounted future payments represent notional amounts of derivatives qualifying as guarantees.

We determine the payment/performance risk associated with each type of guarantee described below based on the probability that we could be required to make the maximum potential undiscounted future payments shown in the preceding table. We use a scale of low (0-30% probability of payment), moderate (31-70% probability of payment) or high (71-100% probability of payment) to assess the payment/performance risk, and have determined that the payment/performance risk associated with each type of guarantee outstanding at September 30, 2011, is low.

Standby letters of credit. KeyBank issues standby letters of credit to address clients financing needs. These instruments obligate us to pay a specified third party when a client fails to repay an outstanding loan or debt instrument or fails to perform some contractual nonfinancial obligation. Any amounts drawn under standby letters of credit are treated as loans to the client; they bear interest (generally at variable rates) and pose the same credit risk to us as a loan. At September 30, 2011, our standby letters of credit had a remaining weighted-average life of 2.3 years, with remaining actual lives ranging from less than one year to as many as seven years.

Recourse agreement with FNMA. We participate as a lender in the FNMA Delegated Underwriting and Servicing program. FNMA delegates responsibility for originating, underwriting and servicing mortgages, and we assume a limited portion of the risk of loss during the remaining term on each commercial mortgage loan that we sell to FNMA. We maintain a reserve for such potential losses in an amount that we believe approximates the fair value of our liability. At September 30, 2011, the outstanding commercial mortgage loans in this program had a weighted-average remaining term of 5.8 years, and the unpaid principal balance outstanding of loans sold by us as a participant was $2.7 billion. As shown in the preceding table, the maximum potential amount of undiscounted future payments that we could be required to make under this program is equal to approximately one-third of the principal balance of loans outstanding at September 30, 2011. If we are required to make a payment, we would have an interest in the collateral underlying the related commercial mortgage loan; therefore, any loss incurred could be offset by the amount of any recovery from the collateral.

Return guarantee agreement with LIHTC investors. KAHC, a subsidiary of KeyBank, offered limited partnership interests to qualified investors. Partnerships formed by KAHC invested in low-income residential rental properties that qualify for federal low income housing tax credits under Section 42 of the Internal Revenue Code. In certain partnerships, investors paid a fee to KAHC for a guaranteed return that is based on the financial performance of the property and the property s confirmed LIHTC status throughout a fifteen-year compliance period. Typically, KAHC provides these guaranteed returns by distributing tax credits and deductions associated with the specific properties. If KAHC defaults on

its obligation to

Table of Contents

provide the guaranteed return, KeyBank is obligated to make any necessary payments to investors. No recourse or collateral is available to offset our guarantee obligation other than the underlying income stream from the properties and the residual value of the operating partnership interests.

As shown in the previous table, KAHC maintained a reserve in the amount of $65 million at September 30, 2011, which we believe will be sufficient to cover estimated future obligations under the guarantees. The maximum exposure to loss reflected in the table represents undiscounted future payments due to investors for the return on and of their investments. A significant portion of these amounts are due and payable within the next twelve months.

These guarantees have expiration dates that extend through 2018, but KAHC has not formed any new partnerships under this program since October 2003. Additional information regarding these partnerships is included in Note 9 (Variable Interest Entities).

Written put options. In the ordinary course of business, we write interest rate caps and floors for commercial loan clients that have variable and fixed rate loans, respectively, with us and wish to mitigate their exposure to changes in interest rates. At September 30, 2011, our written put options had an average life of 1.6 years. These instruments are considered to be guarantees as we are required to make payments to the counterparty (the commercial loan client) based on changes in an underlying variable that is related to an asset, a liability or an equity security held by the guaranteed party (i.e., the commercial loan client). We are obligated to pay the client if the applicable benchmark interest rate is above or below a specified level (known as the strike rate). These written put options are accounted for as derivatives at fair value, as further discussed in Note 7 (Derivatives and Hedging Activities). We typically mitigate our potential future payments by entering into offsetting positions with third parties.

Written put options where the counterparty is a broker-dealer or bank are accounted for as derivatives at fair value but are not considered guarantees since these counterparties typically do not hold the underlying instruments. In addition, we are a purchaser and seller of credit derivatives, which are further discussed in Note 7.

Default guarantees. Some lines of business participate in guarantees that obligate us to perform if the debtor (typically a client) fails to satisfy all of its payment obligations to third parties. We generally undertake these guarantees for one of two possible reasons: either the risk profile of the debtor should provide an investment return, or we are supporting our underlying investment. The terms of these default guarantees range from less than one year to as many as eight years; some default guarantees do not have a contractual end date. Although no collateral is held, we would receive a pro rata share should the third party collect some or all of the amounts due from the debtor.

Other Off-Balance Sheet Risk

Other off-balance sheet risk stems from financial instruments that do not meet the definition of a guarantee as specified in the applicable accounting guidance, and from other relationships.

Liquidity facilities that support asset-backed commercial paper conduits. At September 30, 2011, we had one liquidity facility remaining outstanding with an unconsolidated third-party commercial paper conduit. This liquidity facility, which will expire by May 31, 2012, obligates us to provide aggregate funding of up to $51 million in the event that a credit market disruption or other factors prevent the conduit from issuing commercial paper. The aggregate amount available to be drawn which is based on the amount of the conduit s current commitments to borrowers totaled $23 million at September 30, 2011. We periodically evaluate our commitment to provide liquidity.

Indemnifications provided in the ordinary course of business. We provide certain indemnifications, primarily through representations and warranties in contracts that we execute in the ordinary course of business in connection with loan sales and other ongoing activities, as well as in connection with purchases and sales of businesses. We maintain reserves, when appropriate, with respect to liability that reasonably could arise as a result of these indemnities.

Intercompany guarantees. KeyCorp and certain of our affiliates are parties to various guarantees that facilitate the ongoing business activities of other affiliates. These business activities encompass issuing debt, assuming certain lease and insurance obligations, purchasing or issuing investments and securities, and engaging in certain leasing transactions involving clients.

Table of Contents

13. Capital Securities Issued by Unconsolidated Subsidiaries

We own the outstanding common stock of business trusts formed by us that issued corporation-obligated mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities. The trusts used the proceeds from the issuance of their capital securities and common stock to buy debentures issued by KeyCorp. These debentures are the trusts only assets; the interest payments from the debentures finance the distributions paid on the mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities.

We unconditionally guarantee the following payments or distributions on behalf of the trusts:

¿ required distributions on the capital securities;

¿ the redemption price when a capital security is redeemed; and

¿ the amounts due if a trust is liquidated or terminated.

Our mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities provide an attractive source of funds; they currently constitute Tier 1 capital for regulatory reporting purposes, but have the same federal tax advantages as debt.

In 2005, the Federal Reserve adopted a rule that allows BHCs to continue to treat capital securities as Tier 1 capital but imposed stricter quantitative limits that were to take effect March 31, 2009. However, in light of continued stress in the financial markets, the Federal Reserve later delayed the effective date of these new limits until March 31, 2011. This rule did not have a material effect on our financial condition.

The Dodd-Frank Act changes the regulatory capital standards that apply to BHCs by requiring the phase-out of the treatment of capital securities and cumulative preferred securities as Tier 1 eligible capital. This three-year phase-out period, which commences January 1, 2013, ultimately will result in our mandatorily redeemable preferred capital securities being treated only as Tier 2 capital. Generally speaking, these changes take the leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to depository institutions and apply them to BHCs, savings and loan companies, and nonbank financial companies identified as systemically important. The Federal Reserve has 18 months from the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act to issue the relevant regulations. We anticipate that the rulemaking will provide additional clarity to the regulatory capital guidelines applicable to BHCs such as Key.

As of September 30, 2011, the capital securities issued by the KeyCorp and Union State Bank capital trusts represent $1.4 billion or 13% of our total qualifying Tier 1 capital, net of goodwill.

Table of Contents

The capital securities, common stock and related debentures are summarized as follows:

dollars in millions	Capital Securities, Net of Discount	(a)	Common Stock	Principal Amount of Debentures, Net of Discount	(b)	Interest Rate of Capital Securities and Debentures	(c)	Maturity of Capital Securities and Debentures
September 30, 2011								
KeyCorp Capital I	**$ 156**		**$ 6**	**$ 162**		**.986 %**		**2028**
KeyCorp Capital II	**114**		**4**	**118**		**6.875**		**2029**
KeyCorp Capital III	**148**		**4**	**152**		**7.750**		**2029**
KeyCorp Capital VII	**190**		**5**	**195**		**5.700**		**2035**
KeyCorp Capital IX [(d)]	**334**			**334**		**6.750**		**2066**
KeyCorp Capital X [(d)]	**604**			**604**		**8.000**		**2068**
Union State Statutory II	**20**			**20**		**3.834**		**2031**
Union State Statutory IV	**10**			**10**		**3.049**		**2034**
Total	**$ 1,576**		**$ 19**	**$ 1,595**		**6.574 %**		
December 31, 2010	$ 1,797		$ 26	$ 1,948		6.546 %		
September 30, 2010	$ 1,801		$ 26	$ 2,044		6.572 %		

(a) The capital securities must be redeemed when the related debentures mature, or earlier if provided in the governing indenture. Each issue of capital securities carries an interest rate identical to that of the related debenture. Certain capital securities include basis adjustments related to fair value hedges totaling $169 million at September 30, 2011, $6 million at December 31, 2010, and $10 million at September 30, 2010. See Note 7 (Derivatives and Hedging Activities) for an explanation of fair value hedges.

(b) We have the right to redeem our debentures: (i) in whole or in part, on or after July 1, 2008 (for debentures owned by KeyCorp Capital I); March 18, 1999 (for debentures owned by KeyCorp Capital II); July 16, 1999 (for debentures owned by KeyCorp Capital III); December 15, 2011 (for debentures owned by KeyCorp Capital IX); March 15, 2013 (for debentures owned by KeyCorp Capital X); July 31, 2006 (for debentures owned by Union State Statutory II); and April 7, 2009 (for debentures owned by Union State Statutory IV); and (ii) in whole at any time within 90 days after and during the continuation of: a tax event, a capital treatment event , with respect to KeyCorp Capital VII, IX and X only an investment company event, and with respect to KeyCorp Capital X only a rating agency event (as each is defined in the applicable indenture). If the debentures purchased by KeyCorp Capital I, KeyCorp Capital VII, KeyCorp Capital IX, Union State Statutory II or Union State Statutory IV are redeemed before they mature, the redemption price will be the principal amount, plus any accrued but unpaid interest. If the debentures purchased by KeyCorp Capital II or KeyCorp Capital III are redeemed before they mature, the redemption price will be the greater of: (a) the principal amount, plus any accrued but unpaid interest or (b) the sum of the present values of principal and interest payments discounted at the Treasury Rate (as defined in the applicable indenture), plus 20 basis points (25 basis points or 50 basis points in the case of redemption upon either a tax event or a capital treatment event for KeyCorp Capital III), plus any accrued but unpaid interest. When debentures are redeemed in response to tax or capital treatment events, the redemption price for KeyCorp Capital II and KeyCorp Capital III generally is slightly more favorable to us. The principal amount of debentures shown above includes adjustments related to hedging with financial instruments totaling $169 million at September 30, 2011, $131 million at December 31, 2010, and $227 million at September 30, 2010.

(c) The interest rates for KeyCorp Capital II, KeyCorp Capital III, KeyCorp Capital VII, KeyCorp Capital IX, and KeyCorp Capital X are fixed. KeyCorp Capital I has a floating interest rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 74 basis points that reprices quarterly. Union State Statutory II has a floating interest rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 358 basis points that reprices quarterly. Union State Statutory IV has a floating interest rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus 280 basis points that reprices quarterly. The total interest rates are weighted-average rates.

(d)

In connection with each of these issuances of capital securities, KeyCorp entered into a replacement capital covenant (RCC). Should KeyCorp redeem or purchase these securities or related subordinated debentures, absent receipt of consent from the holders of the Covered Debt or certain limited exceptions, KeyCorp would need to comply with the applicable RCC.

On September 1, 2011, KeyCorp fully redeemed the following capital securities: KeyCorp Capital V, KeyCorp Capital VI, KeyCorp Capital VIII, and Union State Capital I. As previously reported, on September 1, 2011, KeyCorp submitted notices to the property trustee for the redemption in full of the capital securities issued by Union State Statutory Trust II and Union State Statutory Trust IV. Subsequent to the end of the quarter, the capital securities issued by Union State Statutory Trust II and Union State Statutory Trust IV were redeemed on October 31, 2011, and October 7, 2011, respectively. Additionally, in August 2011, KeyCorp repurchased $23 million of capital securities issued by KeyCorp Capital VII.

On October 31, 2011, KeyCorp submitted notice to the property trustee for the redemption in full of the capital securities issued by KeyCorp Capital IX; such capital securities will be redeemed on December 15, 2011.

Table of Contents

14. Employee Benefits

Pension Plans

Effective December 31, 2009, we amended our pension plans to freeze all benefit accruals and close the plans to new employees. We will continue to credit participants existing account balances for interest until they receive their plan benefits. We changed certain pension plan assumptions as a result of freezing the pension plans.

The components of net pension cost for all funded and unfunded plans are as follows:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Interest cost on PBO	**$ 14**	$ 15	**$ 42**	$ 45
Expected return on plan assets	**(20)**	(18)	**(60)**	(54)
Amortization of losses	**3**	(9)	**9**	9
Net pension cost	**$ (3)**	$ (12)	**$ (9)**	

We made a discretionary contribution of $100 million to our primary qualified cash balance pension plan in the first quarter of 2011.

Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

We sponsor a contributory postretirement healthcare plan that covers substantially all active and retired employees hired before 2001 who meet certain eligibility criteria. Retirees contributions are adjusted annually to reflect certain cost-sharing provisions and benefit limitations. We also sponsor a death benefit plan covering certain grandfathered employees; the plan is noncontributory. We use separate VEBA trusts to fund the healthcare plan and the death benefit plan.

The components of net postretirement benefit cost for all funded and unfunded plans are as follows:

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Interest cost on APBO	**$ 1**	$ 1	**$ 3**	$ 3
Expected return on plan assets	**(1)**	(1)	**(3)**	(3)
Amortization of unrecognized prior service benefit				(1)
Net postretirement benefit cost				$ (1)

The Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010, which were signed into law on March 23, 2010, and March 30, 2010, respectively, changed the tax treatment of federal subsidies paid to sponsors of retiree health benefit plans that provide a benefit that is at least actuarially equivalent to the benefits under Medicare Part D. As a result of these laws, these subsidy payments become taxable in tax years beginning after December 31, 2012. The accounting guidance applicable to income taxes requires the impact of a change in tax law to be immediately recognized in the period that includes the enactment date. The changes to the tax law as a result of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act and Education Reconciliation Act of 2010 did not impact us as we did not have a deferred tax asset recorded as a result of Medicare Part D subsidies received.

Table of Contents

15. Shareholders Equity

Comprehensive Capital Plan

In November 2010, the Federal Reserve issued Revised Temporary Addendum to Supervisory Letter SR 09-4. This letter outlines specific criteria the Federal Reserve will consider when evaluating proposed capital actions by the 19 largest U.S. banking institutions that participated in the SCAP, including KeyCorp (BHCs). These include actions such as increasing dividends, implementing common stock repurchase programs, or redeeming or repurchasing capital instruments more broadly. The Federal Reserve is required to assess the capital adequacy of the 19 largest BHCs based upon a review of each BHC s comprehensive capital plan. On January 7, 2011, we submitted our comprehensive capital plan to the Federal Reserve. On March 18, 2011, the Federal Reserve informed us that it had no objections to the capital actions set forth in our Comprehensive Capital Plan following its Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR). On June 10, 2011, we submitted to the Federal Reserve and provided to the OCC an updated Comprehensive Capital Plan, which set forth additional capital actions related to redemptions of certain outstanding capital securities. On August 1, 2011**,** the Federal Reserve informed us that it had no objections to the capital actions set forth in our updated capital plan.

Repurchase of TARP CPP Preferred Stock, Warrant and Completion of Equity and Debt Offerings

As previously reported, we completed the repurchase of the $2.5 billion of Series B Preferred Stock and corresponding warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury Department. As a result of the repurchase, we recorded a $49 million one-time deemed dividend in the first quarter of 2011 related to the remaining difference between the repurchase price and the carrying value of the preferred shares at the time of repurchase. Beginning with the second quarter of 2011, the repurchase resulted in the elimination of quarterly dividends of $31 million and discount amortization of $4 million, or $140 million on an annual basis, related to these preferred shares. In total, we paid $2.867 billion to the U.S. Treasury during the investment period in the form of dividends, principal and repurchase of the warrant, resulting in a return to the U.S. Treasury of $367 million above the initial investment of $2.5 billion on November 14, 2008.

Cumulative Effect Adjustment (after-tax)

Effective January 1, 2010, we adopted new consolidation accounting guidance. As a result of adopting this new guidance, we consolidated our education loan securitization trusts (classified as discontinued assets and liabilities). That consolidation added $2.8 billion in assets, and liabilities and equity to our balance sheet and resulted in a cumulative effect adjustment (after-tax) of $45 million increase to beginning retained earnings on January 1, 2010. Additional information regarding the consolidation of these education loan securitization trusts is provided in Note 9 (Variable Interest Entities). During the third quarter of 2011, we determined that the $45 million cumulative effect adjustment made related to the consolidation of these trusts on January 1, 2010, was incorrect. Further information regarding this error and its correction is provided in Note 11 (Divestiture and Discontinued Operations).

Table of Contents

16. Line of Business Results

The specific lines of business that comprise each of the major business segments (operating segments) are described below.

Key Community Bank

Regional Banking serves a range of clients.

- For individuals, Regional Banking offers branch-based deposit and investment products, personal finance services and loans, including residential mortgages, home equity and various types of installment loans.

- For small businesses, Regional Banking provides deposit, investment and credit products, and business advisory services.

- For high-net-worth clients, Regional Banking offers financial, estate and retirement planning, and asset management services to assist with banking, trust, portfolio management, insurance, charitable giving and related needs.

Commercial Banking provides midsize businesses with products and services that include commercial lending, cash management, equipment leasing, investment and employee benefit programs, succession planning, access to capital markets, derivatives and foreign exchange.

Key Corporate Bank

Real Estate Capital and Corporate Banking Services consists of two business units, Real Estate Capital and Corporate Banking Services.

Real Estate Capital is a national business that provides a variety of financial services to both public and private owners, investors and developers of commercial real estate. Real Estate Capital s activities include direct lending (construction, interim and revolving lines of credit), permanent placement (origination and servicing of long term debt that is either sold or placed in the secondary market) and treasury management. This unit deals primarily with nonowner-occupied properties (i.e., generally properties in which at least 50% of the debt service is provided by rental income from non-affiliated third parties). Real Estate Capital emphasizes providing clients with finance solutions through access to the capital markets.

Corporate Banking Services provides treasury management, interest rate derivatives, and foreign exchange products and services to clients served by Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank. Through its Public Sector and Financial Institutions businesses, Corporate Banking Services also provides a full array of commercial banking products and services to government and not-for-profit entities and to community banks. A variety of cash management services are provided through the Global Treasury Management unit.

Equipment Finance meets the equipment financing needs of companies worldwide and provides equipment manufacturers, distributors and resellers with financing options for their clients. Lease financing receivables and related revenues are assigned to other lines of business (primarily Institutional and Capital Markets, and Commercial Banking) if those businesses are principally responsible for maintaining the relationship with the client.

Institutional and Capital Markets through its KeyBanc Capital Markets unit, provides commercial lending, treasury management, investment banking, derivatives, foreign exchange, equity and debt underwriting and trading, and syndicated finance products and services to large corporations and middle-market companies.

Institutional and Capital Markets, through its Victory Capital Management unit, also manages or offers advice regarding investment portfolios for a national client base, including corporations, labor unions, not-for-profit organizations, governments and individuals. These portfolios may be managed in separate accounts, common funds or the Victory family of mutual funds.

Other Segments

Other Segments consist of Corporate Treasury, our Principal Investing unit and various exit portfolios.

55

Table of Contents

Reconciling Items

Total assets included under Reconciling Items primarily represent the unallocated portion of nonearning assets of corporate support functions. Charges related to the funding of these assets are part of net interest income and are allocated to the business segments through noninterest expense. Reconciling Items also includes intercompany eliminations and certain items that are not allocated to the business segments because they do not reflect their normal operations.

The table on the following pages shows selected financial data for our two major business segments for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. This table is accompanied by supplementary information for each of the lines of business that make up these segments. The information was derived from the internal financial reporting system we use to monitor and manage our financial performance. GAAP guides financial accounting, but there is no authoritative guidance for management accounting the way we use our judgment and experience to make reporting decisions. Consequently, the line of business results we report may not be comparable to line of business results presented by other companies.

The selected financial data are based on internal accounting policies designed to compile results on a consistent basis and in a manner that reflects the underlying economics of the businesses. In accordance with our policies:

- Net interest income is determined by assigning a standard cost for funds used or a standard credit for funds provided based on their assumed maturity, prepayment and/or repricing characteristics.

- Indirect expenses, such as computer servicing costs and corporate overhead, are allocated based on assumptions regarding the extent to which each line of business actually uses the services.

- The consolidated provision for loan and lease losses is allocated among the lines of business primarily based on their actual net charge-offs, adjusted periodically for loan growth and changes in risk profile. The amount of the consolidated provision is based on the methodology that we use to estimate our consolidated allowance for loan and lease losses. This methodology is described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses on page 102 in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- Income taxes are allocated based on the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% (adjusted for tax-exempt interest income, income from corporate-owned life insurance and tax credits associated with investments in low-income housing projects) and a blended state income tax rate (net of the federal income tax benefit) of 2.2%.

- Capital is assigned based on our assessment of economic risk factors (primarily credit, operating and market risk) directly attributable to each line of business.

Developing and applying the methodologies that we use to allocate items among our lines of business is a dynamic process. Accordingly, financial results may be revised periodically to reflect accounting enhancements, changes in the risk profile of a particular business or changes in our organizational structure.

Table of Contents

Three months ended September 30,	Key Community Bank		Key Corporate Bank	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS				
Net interest income (TE)	**$ 371**	$ 403	**$ 170**	$ 199
Noninterest income	**194**	193	**198**	225
Total revenue (TE) [a]	**565**	596	**368**	424
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**39**	75	**(40)**	(25)
Depreciation and amortization expense	**9**	9	**17**	24
Other noninterest expense	**447**	450	**199**	213
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes (TE)	**70**	62	**192**	212
Allocated income taxes and TE adjustments	**12**	9	**70**	79
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**58**	53	**122**	133
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes				
Net income (loss)	**58**	53	**122**	133
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests				(1)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**$ 58**	$ 53	**$ 122**	$ 134
AVERAGE BALANCES [b]				
Loans and leases	**$ 26,270**	$ 26,772	**$ 16,985**	$ 19,540
Total assets [a]	**29,681**	30,009	**21,168**	23,772
Deposits	**47,672**	48,682	**10,544**	11,565
OTHER FINANCIAL DATA				
Net loan charge-offs [b]	**$ 60**	$ 129	**$ 22**	$ 122
Return on average allocated equity [b]	**7.37%**	6.08%	**22.54%**	17.73%
Return on average allocated equity	**7.37**	6.08	**22.54**	17.73
Average full-time equivalent employees [c]	**8,641**	8,303	**2,288**	2,210

Nine months ended September 30,	Key Community Bank		Key Corporate Bank	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS				
Net interest income (TE)	**$ 1,123**	$ 1,223	**$ 528**	$ 592
Noninterest income	**566**	569	**631**	610
Total revenue (TE) [a]	**1,689**	1,792	**1,159**	1,202
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**130**	339	**(137)**	235
Depreciation and amortization expense	**29**	27	**56**	73
Other noninterest expense	**1,320**	1,334	**594**	685
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes (TE)	**210**	92	**646**	209
Allocated income taxes and TE adjustments	**37**	(5)	**236**	75
Income (loss) from continuing operations	**173**	97	**410**	134
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes				
Net income (loss)	**173**	97	**410**	134
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests			**1**	(1)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**$ 173**	$ 97	**$ 409**	$ 135
AVERAGE BALANCES [b]				
Loans and leases	**$ 26,275**	**$ 27,249**	**$ 17,274**	**$ 20,966**
Total assets [a]	**29,703**	**30,396**	**21,459**	**24,934**
Deposits	**47,831**	**50,167**	**10,671**	**12,056**
OTHER FINANCIAL DATA				
Net loan charge-offs [b]	**$ 215**	$ 393	**$ 126**	$ 547
Return on average allocated equity [b]	**7.25%**	3.68%	**23.49%**	5.62%
Return on average allocated equity	**7.25**	3.68	**23.49**	5.62
Average full-time equivalent employees [c]	**8,509**	8,242	**2,212**	2,200

(a) Substantially all revenue generated by our major business segments is derived from clients that reside in the United States. Substantially all long-lived assets, including premises and equipment, capitalized software and goodwill held by our major business segments, are located in the United States.

(b) From continuing operations.

(c) The number of average full-time equivalent employees has not been adjusted for discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Other Segments		Total Segments		Reconciling Items		Key	
2011	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
$ 12	$ 39	**$ 553**	$ 641	**$ 2**	$ 6	**$ 555**	$ 647
93	75	**485**	493	**(2)**	(7)	**483**	486
105	114	**1,038**	1,134		(1)	**1,038**	1,133
10	44	**9**	94	**1**		**10**	94
4	9	**30**	42	**35**	39	**65**	81
20	44	**666**	707	**(39)**	(52)	**627**	655
71	17	**333**	291	**3**	12	**336**	303
15	(8)	**97**	80	**4**	12	**101**	92
56	25	**236**	211	**(1)**		**235**	211
				(17)	15	**(17)**	15
56	25	**236**	211	**(18)**	15	**218**	226
1	8	**1**	7			**1**	7
$ 55	$ 17	**$ 235**	$ 204	**$ (18)**	$ 15	**$ 217**	$ 219
$ 4,678	$ 6,213	**$ 47,933**	$ 52,525	**$ 75**	$ 41	**$ 48,008**	$ 52,566
29,599	30,901	**80,448**	84,682	**1,011**	2,045	**81,459**	86,727
817	1,698	**59,033**	61,945	**(133)**	(87)	**58,900**	61,858
$ 26	$ 105	**$ 108**	$ 356	**$ 1**	$ 1	**$ 109**	$ 357
29.69%	6.79%	**15.52%**	10.86%	**(.10)%**		**9.44%**	7.36%
29.69	6.79	**15.52**	10.86	**(1.87)**	1.68%	**8.76**	7.90
6	180	**10,935**	10,693	**4,555**	4,891	**15,490**	15,584

Other Segments		Total Segments		Reconciling Items		Key	
2011	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
$ 63	$ 66	**$ 1,714**	$ 1,881	**$ 15**	$ 21	**$ 1,729**	$ 1,902
209	247	**1,406**	1,426	**(12)**	2	**1,394**	1,428
272	313	**3,120**	3,307	**3**	23	**3,123**	3,330
(25)	172	**(32)**	746	**(6)**	(11)	**(38)**	735
15	33	**100**	133	**108**	121	**208**	254
64	125	**1,978**	2,144	**(113)**	(108)	**1,865**	2,036
218	(17)	**1,074**	284	**14**	21	**1,088**	305
49	(42)	**322**	28	**(3)**	6	**319**	34
169	25	**752**	256	**17**	15	**769**	271
				(37)	(10)	**(37)**	(10)
169	25	**752**	256	**(20)**	5	**732**	261
11	28	**12**	27			**12**	27
$ 158	$ (3)	**$ 740**	$ 229	**$ (20)**	$ 5	**$ 720**	$ 234
$ 4,980	$ 6,766	**$ 48,529**	$ 54,981	**$ 57**	$ 49	**$ 48,586**	$ 55,030
29,965	30,289	**81,127**	85,619	**1,242**	2,139	**82,369**	87,758
796	1,743	**59,298**	63,966	**(142)**	(103)	**59,156**	63,863
$ 95	$ 374	**$ 436**	$ 1,314			**$ 436**	$ 1,314
27.58%	(.37)%	**15.75%**	3.91%	**.58%**	.67%	**9.93%**	3.02%
27.58	(.37)	**15.75**	3.91	**(.68)**	.22	**9.44**	2.90
31	190	**10,752**	10,632	**4,629**	5,041	**15,381**	15,673

Table of Contents

Supplementary information (Key Community Bank lines of business)

Three months ended September 30,	Regional Banking		Commercial Banking	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Total revenue (TE)	**$ 448**	$ 478	**$ 117**	$ 118
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**48**	105	**(9)**	(30)
Noninterest expense	**407**	417	**49**	42
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**10**	(13)	**48**	66
Average loans and leases	**17,407**	18,072	**8,863**	8,700
Average loans held for sale	**51**	63	**9**	24
Average deposits	**41,204**	43,327	**6,468**	5,355
Net loan charge-offs	**53**	89	**7**	40
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	**1.21%**	1.95%	**.31%**	1.82%
Nonperforming assets at period end	**$ 292**	$ 350	**$ 147**	$ 217
Return on average allocated equity	**1.80%**	(2.23)%	**20.59%**	22.75%
Average full-time equivalent employees	**8,275**	7,950	**366**	353

Nine months ended September 30,	Regional Banking		Commercial Banking	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Total revenue (TE)	**$ 1,346**	$ 1,452	**$ 343**	$ 340
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**129**	278	**1**	61
Noninterest expense	**1,206**	1,231	**143**	130
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**48**	4	**125**	93
Average loans and leases	**17,500**	18,407	**8,775**	8,842
Average loans held for sale	**55**	71	**20**	8
Average deposits	**41,697**	44,899	**6,134**	5,268
Net loan charge-offs	**180**	268	**35**	125
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	**1.38%**	1.95%	**.53%**	1.89%
Nonperforming assets at period end	**$ 292**	$ 350	**$ 147**	$ 217
Return on average allocated equity	**2.89%**	.23%	**17.32%**	10.34%
Average full-time equivalent employees	**8,142**	7,889	**367**	353

Supplementary information (Key Corporate Bank lines of business)

Three months ended September 30,	Real Estate Capital and Corporate Banking Services		Equipment Finance		Institutional and Capital Markets	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Total revenue (TE)	**$ 144**	$ 169	**$ 68**	$ 63	**$ 156**	$ 192
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**(38)**	22	**(8)**	(12)	**6**	(35)
Noninterest expense	**65**	87	**45**	53	**106**	97
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**74**	38	**20**	14	**28**	82
Average loans and leases	**7,088**	10,306	**4,619**	4,515	**5,278**	4,719
Average loans held for sale	**173**	202	**7**	2	**93**	176
Average deposits	**7,286**	9,146	**11**	5	**3,247**	2,414
Net loan charge-offs	**19**	103	**(1)**	25	**4**	(6)
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	**1.06%**	3.97%	**(.09)%**	2.20%	**.30%**	(.50)%
Nonperforming assets at period end	**$ 240**	$ 719	**$ 31**	$ 86	**$ 55**	$ 81
Return on average allocated equity	**26.47%**	8.27%	**25.76%**	16.58%	**15.22%**	38.68%
Average full-time equivalent employees	**942**	895	**511**	536	**835**	779

Nine months ended September 30,	Real Estate Capital and Corporate Banking Services		Equipment Finance		Institutional and Capital Markets	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Total revenue (TE)	**$ 463**	$ 483	**$ 194**	$ 184	**$ 502**	$ 535
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**(78)**	244	**(64)**	1	**5**	(10)
Noninterest expense	**183**	304	**142**	148	**325**	306
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**225**	(40)	**73**	22	**111**	153
Average loans and leases	**7,789**	11,364	**4,595**	4,522	**4,890**	5,080
Average loans held for sale	**181**	170	**3**	6	**99**	158
Average deposits	**7,751**	9,502	**10**	5	**2,910**	2,549
Net loan charge-offs	**110**	452	**12**	61	**4**	34
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	**1.89%**	5.32%	**.35%**	1.80%	**.11%**	.89%
Nonperforming assets at period end	**$ 240**	$ 719	**$ 31**	$ 86	**$ 55**	$ 81
Return on average allocated equity	**23.71%**	(2.75)%	**30.79%**	8.26%	**20.00%**	22.33%
Average full-time equivalent employees	**909**	906	**514**	549	**789**	745

59

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Shareholders and Board of Directors

KeyCorp

We have reviewed the consolidated balance sheets of KeyCorp and subsidiaries (Key) as of September 30, 2011 and 2010, the related consolidated statements of income for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated statements of changes in equity and cash flows for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of Key s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures, and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the consolidated interim financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Key as of December 31, 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, changes in equity, and cash flows for the year then ended not presented herein, and in our report dated February 24, 2011, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2010, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

Cleveland, Ohio

November 3, 2011

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management s Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations

Introduction

This section reviews the financial condition and results of operations of KeyCorp and its subsidiaries for the quarterly and year to date periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010. Some tables may include additional periods to comply with disclosure requirements or to illustrate trends in greater depth. When you read this discussion, you should also refer to the consolidated financial statements and related notes in this report. The page locations of specific sections and notes that we refer to are presented in the table of contents.

References to our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, which has been filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission and is available on its website (www.sec.gov) or on our website (www.key.com/ir), and list specific sections and page locations in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

Terminology

Throughout this discussion, references to Key, we, our, us and similar terms refer to the consolidated entity consisting of KeyCorp and its subsidiaries. KeyCorp refers solely to the parent holding company, and KeyBank refers to KeyCorp s subsidiary bank, KeyBank National Association.

We want to explain some industry-specific terms at the outset so you can better understand the discussion that follows.

- We use the phrase ***continuing operations*** in this document to mean all of our businesses other than the education lending business and Austin. The education lending business and Austin have been accounted for as ***discontinued operations*** since 2009.

- Our ***exit loan portfolios*** are separate from our ***discontinued operations***. These portfolios, which are in a run-off mode, stem from product lines we decided to cease because they no longer fit with our corporate strategy. These exit loan portfolios are included in ***Other Segments***.

- We engage in ***capital markets activities*** primarily through business conducted by our Key Corporate Bank segment. These activities encompass a variety of products and services. Among other things, we trade securities as a dealer, enter into derivative contracts (both to accommodate clients financing needs and for proprietary trading purposes), and conduct transactions in foreign currencies (both to accommodate clients needs and to benefit from fluctuations in exchange rates).

- For regulatory purposes, capital is divided into two classes. Federal regulations currently prescribe that at least one-half of a bank or bank holding company s ***total risk-based capital*** must qualify as ***Tier 1 capital***. Both total and Tier 1 capital serve as bases for several measures of capital adequacy, which is an important indicator of financial stability and condition. As described in the section entitled Economic Overview that begins on page 31 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the regulators conduct a review of capital adequacy for each of the country s nineteen largest banking institutions, including KeyCorp. This regulatory assessment, which began in 2009, continued during 2010 and 2011. As part of this capital adequacy review, banking regulators evaluated a component of Tier 1 capital, known as ***Tier 1 common equity***. For a detailed explanation of total capital, Tier 1 capital and Tier 1 common equity, and how they are calculated see the section entitled Capital.

- During the first quarter of 2010, we re-aligned our reporting structure for our business segments. Previously, the Consumer Finance business group consisted mainly of portfolios that were identified as exit or run-off portfolios and were included in our Key Corporate Bank segment. We are now reflecting these exit portfolios in Other Segments. The automobile dealer floor plan business, previously included in Consumer Finance, has been re-aligned with the Commercial Banking line of business within the Key Community Bank segment. In addition, other previously identified exit portfolios included in the Key Corporate Bank segment, including our homebuilder loans from the Real Estate Capital line of business and commercial leases from the Equipment Finance line of business, have been moved to Other Segments. For more detailed financial information pertaining to each segment and its respective lines of business, see Note 16 (Line of Business Results).

Additionally, a comprehensive list of the acronyms and abbreviations used throughout this discussion is included in Note 1 (Basis of Presentation).

Table of Contents

Forward-looking Statements

From time to time, we have made or will make forward-looking statements. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. Forward-looking statements usually can be identified by the use of words such as goal, objective, plan, expect, anticipate, intend, project, believe, estimate, or other words of similar meaning. Forward-looking statements provide our current expectations or forecasts of future events, circumstances, results or aspirations. Our disclosures in this report contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We may also make forward-looking statements in our other documents filed or furnished with the SEC. In addition, we may make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, representatives of the media and others.

Forward-looking statements are not historical facts and, by their nature, are subject to assumptions, risks and uncertainties, many of which are outside of our control. Our actual results may differ materially from those set forth in our forward-looking statements. There is no assurance that any list of risks and uncertainties or risk factors is complete. Factors that could cause actual results to differ from those described in forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- the economic recovery may face challenges causing its momentum to falter;
- the Dodd-Frank Act will subject us to a variety of new and more stringent legal and regulatory requirements;
- changes in local, regional and international business, economic or political conditions may occur in the regions where we operate or have significant assets;
- changes in trade, monetary and fiscal policies of governmental bodies and central banks could affect the economic environment in which we operate;
- our ability to effectively deal with an economic slowdown or other economic or market difficulty;
- adverse changes in credit quality trends;
- our ability to determine accurate values of certain assets and liabilities;
- reduction of the credit ratings assigned to KeyCorp and KeyBank;
- adverse behaviors in securities, public debt, and capital markets, including changes in market liquidity and volatility;
- changes in investor sentiment, consumer spending or saving behavior;
- our ability to manage liquidity;

- our ability to anticipate interest rate changes correctly and manage interest rate risk presented through unanticipated changes in our interest rate risk position and/or short- and long-term interest rates;

- unanticipated changes in our liquidity position, including but not limited to our ability to enter the financial markets to manage and respond to any changes to our liquidity position;

- changes in foreign exchange rates;

- adequacy of our risk management program;

- increased competitive pressure due to industry consolidation;

- other new or heightened legal standards and regulatory requirements, practices or expectations;

- our ability to timely and effectively implement our strategic initiatives;

- increases in FDIC premiums and fees;

- unanticipated adverse affects of acquisitions and dispositions of assets, business units or affiliates;

- our ability to attract and/or retain talented executives and employees;

Table of Contents

¿ operational or risk management failures due to technological or other factors;

¿ changes in accounting principles or in tax laws, rules and regulations;

¿ adverse judicial proceedings;

¿ occurrence of natural or man-made disasters or conflicts or terrorist attacks disrupting the economy or our ability to operate; and

¿ other risks and uncertainties summarized in Part 1, Item 1A: Risk Factors in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Any forward-looking statements made by us or on our behalf speak only as of the date they are made, and we do not undertake any obligation to update any forward-looking statement to reflect the impact of subsequent events or circumstances. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider all risks and uncertainties disclosed in our SEC filings, including our reports on Forms 8-K, 10-K and 10-Q and our registration statements under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, all of which are accessible on the SEC s website at www.sec.gov and at www.Key.com/IR.

Economic overview

During the third quarter of 2011, a moderate, yet fragile, economic recovery continued in the United States. Job creation remained sluggish as employers were reluctant to add workers amid several economic uncertainties. Payrolls increased by 287,000 jobs in the third quarter of 2011, compared to the 290,000 increase in the second quarter of 2011 and the 497,000 increase in the first quarter of 2011. The average unemployment rate for the third quarter of 2011 remained elevated at 9.1%, the same average rate seen in the second quarter of 2011 and still considerably higher than the ten year average unemployment rate of 6.4%. Confidence in the recovery was further weakened throughout the third quarter of 2011 by the debt ceiling debate in Congress, the eventual S&P downgrade of the U.S. long term credit rating, and the continued sovereign debt crisis in Europe.

Despite the uncertainty in the macroeconomic environment, U.S. consumers were resilient as the average monthly rate of consumer spending increased 0.6% for the third quarter of 2011 compared to an average monthly increase of 0.1% in the second quarter of 2011. Consumers faced rising inflationary pressures, as consumer prices during September 2011 increased at an annual rate of 3.9%, up from the prior quarter s 3.6% annual increase and the 1.5% increase for all of 2010. Gasoline prices continued to be one of the major contributors to elevated prices as the national average price for a regular gallon of gasoline in the U.S. was approximately 28% higher at the end of September 2011 than a year earlier.

Continued weakness in the housing market also weighed on consumer wealth and confidence. September 2011 new home sales were down 1% from September 2010, while the median price of new homes decreased by 10% over the same period. Building activity improved modestly as housing starts at the end of the quarter rose 10% from a year earlier, but still remain at historically low levels. Existing home sales showed some signs of improvement as lower mortgage rates and price discounts encouraged buyers back into the market. September 2011 existing home sales were up 11% from the same month a year ago. However, in September 2011 median home values continued their decline with a 4% decrease from a year earlier as a sustained high level of new foreclosures pressured existing home prices.

The weaker economic and employment outlook sparked a response from both fiscal and monetary policy makers during the third quarter of 2011. President Obama proposed the American Jobs Act to Congress and the Federal Reserve took further actions to strengthen its commitment to an accommodative monetary policy. As part of these actions, the Federal Reserve stated it would keep the federal funds rate at exceptionally low levels at least through mid-2013. Furthermore, in an attempt to lower longer-term borrowing rates to consumers and businesses, the Federal Reserve announced a program dubbed Operation Twist by investors. This program involves the Federal Reserve purchasing $400 billion of Treasury securities with longer maturities while selling the same amount of Treasury securities with shorter maturities. These actions along with the recognition of a weakening global economy contributed to a drop in benchmark term interest rates. The benchmark two-year U.S. Treasury yield declined from 0.46% at June 30, 2011 to 0.25% at September 30, 2011. The ten-year Treasury yield, which began the quarter at 3.16%, declined 1.24% to close the quarter at 1.92%.

Long-term financial goals

Our long-term financial goals are as follows:

¿ Target a loan to core deposit ratio range of 90% to 100%.

¿ Return to a moderate risk profile by targeting a net charge-off ratio range of .40% to .50%.

Table of Contents

¿ Grow high quality and diverse revenue streams by targeting a net interest margin in excess of 3.50% and ratio of noninterest income to total revenue of greater than 40%.

¿ Create positive operating leverage and target an efficiency ratio in the range of 60 to 65%.

¿ Achieve a return on average assets in the range of 1.00% to 1.25%.

Figure 1 shows the evaluation of our long-term financial goals for the third quarter of 2011.

Figure 1. Quarterly evaluation of our long-term financial goals

KEY Business Model	Key Metrics[a]	3Q11	Targets	Action Plans
Core funded	Loan to deposit ratio [b]	86 %	90-100%	Improve risk profile of loan portfolio and grow relationships
Returning to a moderate risk profile	NCOs to average loans	.90 %	.40-.50%	Improve mix and grow deposit base Focus on relationship clients Exit noncore portfolios Limit concentrations Focus on risk-adjusted returns
Growing high quality, diverse revenue streams	Net Interest Margin	3.09 %	> 3.50%	Improve funding mix
	Noninterest income to total revenue	47 %	> 40%	Focus on risk-adjusted returns Grow client relationships Leverage Key s total client solutions and cross-selling capabilities
Creating positive operating leverage	Efficiency ratio	67 %	60 - 65%	Improve efficiency and effectiveness Leverage technology Change cost base to more variable from fixed
Executing our strategies	Return on average assets	1.14 %	1.00-1.25%	Execute our client insight-driven relationship model Lower credit costs Improved funding mix with lower cost core deposits Continued focus on expense management

(a) Calculated from continuing operations, unless otherwise noted.

(b) Represents period end consolidated total loans and loans held for sale (excluding education loans in the securitization trusts) divided by period-end consolidated total deposits (excluding deposits in foreign office).

Strategic developments

We initiated the following actions during the first nine months of 2011 to support our corporate strategy described in the Introduction section under the Corporate Strategy heading on page 30 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

- We are continuing our support of small- and medium-sized businesses and have committed $5 billion in lending capital over the next three years to foster growth and expansion in this important segment.

- During the third quarter of 2011, we continued to benefit from improved asset quality. Nonperforming loans declined by $584 million, and nonperforming assets decreased by $887 million from the year-ago quarter to $788 million and $914 million, respectively. Net charge-offs declined to $109 million, or 0.90% of average loan balances for the third quarter of 2011, compared to $357 million, or 2.69% of average loan balances for the same period one year ago.

- Our capital ratios remained strong with a Tier 1 common equity ratio of 11.28%, our loan loss reserves were adequate at 2.35% to period-end loans and we were core funded with a loan to deposit ratio of 86% at September 30, 2011. Our strong capital position provides us with the flexibility to support our clients and our business needs and to evaluate other appropriate capital deployment opportunities.

- We continue to manage our expenses and focus on delivering solutions that our clients value. In this regard we have established a target efficiency ratio of 60 65%. For the quarter ended September 30, 2011, we achieved an efficiency ratio of 67%.

Table of Contents

¿ During the second quarter of 2011, our Board of Directors approved an increase in our quarterly cash dividend to $.03 per Common Share or $.12 on an annualized basis. This is a result of our return to sustained profitability, disciplined capital and expense management, and continued improvement in credit quality.

¿ As previously reported, Key completed the repurchase of the $2.5 billion of Series B Preferred Stock and corresponding warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury Department. As a result of the repurchase, we recorded a $49 million one-time deemed dividend in the first quarter of 2011 related to the remaining difference between the repurchase price and the carrying value of the preferred shares at the time of repurchase. Beginning with the second quarter of 2011, the repurchase resulted in the elimination of quarterly dividends of $31 million and discount amortization of $4 million, or $140 million on an annual basis, related to these preferred shares. In total, Key paid $2.867 billion to the U.S. Treasury during the investment period in the form of dividends, principal and repurchase of the warrant, resulting in a return to the U.S. Treasury of $367 million above the initial investment of $2.5 billion on November 14, 2008.

We view our third quarter results as another step forward. Despite the uneven pace of the recovery and regulatory changes, we are gaining traction in both the Key Community Bank and the Key Corporate Bank.

With the foundation of our strong balance sheet in place, we believe we are positioned to grow our franchise and remain disciplined with respect to costs and capital management.

Demographics

We have two major business segments: Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank.

Key Community Bank serves individuals and small to mid-sized businesses by offering a variety of deposit, investment, lending, and personalized wealth management products and services. These products and services are provided through our relationship managers and specialists residing in our 14-state branch network, which is organized into three internally defined geographic regions: Rocky Mountains and Northwest, Great Lakes, and Northeast.

Figure 2 shows the geographic diversity of Key Community Bank s average deposits, commercial loans and home equity loans.

Figure 2. Key Community Bank Geographic Diversity

	Geographic Region				
Three months ended September 30, 2011	**Rocky Mountains and Northwest**				
dollars in millions		**Great Lakes**	**Northeast**	**Nonregion** (a)	**Total**
Average deposits	$ 15,768	$ 15,348	$ 14,064	$ 2,492	$ 47,672
Percent of total	33.1 %	32.2 %	29.5 %	5.2 %	100.0 %
Average commercial loans	$ 5,354	$ 3,688	$ 2,668	$ 2,255	$ 13,965
Percent of total	38.3 %	26.4 %	19.1 %	16.2 %	100.0 %
Average home equity loans	$ 4,250	$ 2,605	$ 2,429	$ 104	$ 9,388
Percent of total	45.3 %	27.7 %	25.9 %	1.1 %	100.0 %

(a) Represents average deposits, commercial loan and home equity loan products centrally managed outside of our three Key Community Bank regions.

Key Corporate Bank includes three lines of business that operate nationally, within and beyond our 14-state branch network.

The Real Estate Capital and Corporate Banking Services business consists of two business units. Real Estate Capital professionals are located in select markets across the country and provide financial services for public and private owners, investors and developers of nonowner-occupied commercial real estate properties. In addition to direct loans, this business unit is a Fannie Mae Delegated Underwriter and Servicer, Freddie Mac Program Plus Seller/Servicer and FHA-approved mortgagee. KeyBank Real Estate Capital is also one of the nation s largest and highest

rated commercial mortgage servicers. Figure 20, which appears later in this report in the Loans and loans held for sale section, shows the diversity of our commercial real estate lending business based on industry type and location. Corporate Banking Services provides cash management, interest rate derivatives, and foreign exchange products and services to clients served by Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank. Through its Public Sector and Financial Institutions businesses, Corporate Banking Services also provides a full array of commercial banking products and services to government and not-for-profit entities and to community banks. A variety of cash management services are provided through the Global Treasury Management unit.

Table of Contents

Equipment Finance is one of the largest bank-based equipment finance providers based in the U.S. This business unit meets the equipment financing needs of companies worldwide and provides equipment manufacturers, distributors and resellers with funding options for their clients. Equipment finance specializes in the technology, healthcare, and renewable energy markets as well as other capital assets.

The Institutional and Capital Markets business consists of two business units. KeyBanc Capital Markets provides commercial lending, treasury management, investment banking, derivatives, foreign exchange, equity and debt underwriting and trading, and syndicated finance products and services. This business unit focuses primarily on emerging and middle-market companies in the Industrial, Consumer, Real Estate, Energy, Technology and Healthcare sectors. Our clients benefit from this business unit s focused industry expertise and its consistent, integrated team approach, which helps our clients achieve their strategic objectives. Victory Capital Management is an investment advisory firm that manages or offers advice regarding investment portfolios. This business unit s national client base consists of both institutional and retail clients derived from four primary channels: public plans, Taft-Hartley plans, corporations, and endowments and foundations.

Additional information regarding the products and services offered by our Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank segments are described further in this report in Note 16 (Line of Business Results).

Supervision and Regulation

Regulatory reform developments

On July 21, 2010, the Dodd-Frank Act was signed into law. This Act is intended to address perceived deficiencies and gaps in the regulatory framework for financial services in the United States, reduce the risks of bank failures and better equip the nation s regulators to guard against or mitigate any future financial crises, and manage systemic risk through increased supervision of systemically important financial companies (including nonbank financial companies). The Dodd-Frank Act implements numerous and far-reaching changes across the financial landscape affecting financial companies, including banks and bank holding companies such as Key. Many of the rulemakings required by the various regulatory agencies are still in the process of being developed and/or implemented. A number of proposed rules referenced in our prior reports continue to remain pending. For further discussion concerning the Dodd-Frank Act, we refer you to our Supervision and Regulation discussion on page 66 in our Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2011, and the risk factor on page 12 in Item 1A Risk Factors in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following provides a summary of pertinent regulatory developments relating to the Dodd-Frank Act as well as other regulatory matters.

Interchange fees

As previously reported, the Federal Reserve approved Regulation II, Debit Card Interchange Fees and Routing (Regulation II), which limits debit card issuer interchange fees for electronic debit transactions and implements provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act. If interchange fees are set at the maximum amount allowed by Regulation II and we receive the fraud adjustment amount, we estimate that the impact on our debit interchange revenue stream will be an annualized decline of approximately $50 million to $60 million before any potential offsets from other fees or cost mitigation that may be implemented.

Our debit interchange revenue for the first nine months of 2011 was $84 million. The relevant portions of Regulation II became effective on October 1, 2011.

Regulation E pursuant to the Electronic Fund Transfer Act of 1978

For a discussion of final rules regarding Regulation E relating to the charging of overdraft fees and the estimated impact on our revenue, we refer you to the discussion of Regulation E pursuant to the Electronic Fund Transfer Act of 1978 on page 32 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K. For the first nine months of 2011 deposit service charge income reflects the full impact to Key of the change in Regulation E. Compared to the same period last year, service charge income on deposit accounts is down $20 million or 9% which is consistent with our expectations.

Comprehensive capital plan

In November 2010, the Federal Reserve issued Revised Temporary Addendum to Supervisory Letter SR 09-4. This letter outlines specific criteria the Federal Reserve will consider when evaluating proposed capital actions by the 19 largest U.S. banking institutions that participated in the SCAP, including KeyCorp. These include actions such as increasing dividends, implementing common stock repurchase programs, or redeeming or repurchasing capital instruments more broadly. The Federal Reserve is required to assess the capital adequacy of the 19 largest BHCs based upon a review of each BHC s

66

Table of Contents

comprehensive capital plan and planned capital actions. As previously reported on June 10, 2011, we submitted to the Federal Reserve and provided to the OCC an updated Comprehensive Capital Plan, which set forth additional capital actions related to redemptions of certain outstanding capital securities. On August 1, 2011, the Federal Reserve informed us that it had no objections to the capital actions set forth in our updated capital plan. For a discussion of our capital actions, see the Capital section.

Capital plan proposal

As previously reported, the Federal Reserve published in the Federal Register a proposed rule on capital plans. The proposal, which would amend Regulation Y, would require top-tier U.S. bank holding companies with total consolidated assets of $50 billion or greater to submit annual capital plans for review. According to the proposal, the capital plan review builds on the Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR) conducted earlier this year on the 19 largest financial institutions, including us, and aims to ensure that institutions have robust, forward-looking capital planning processes that account for their unique risks and that permit continued operations during times of economic and financial stress.

Under the proposal, as part of the capital plan reviews, the Federal Reserve would evaluate institutions plans to make capital distributions, such as increasing dividend payments or repurchasing or redeeming stock. In some cases, such as when the Federal Reserve has previously objected to a capital plan the institution would be required to receive approval from the Federal Reserve before making capital distributions. The proposal is expected to institutionalize the previously completed CCAR exercise. The CCAR followed the SCAP, a standardized stress test led by the Federal Reserve in 2009. The Federal Reserve indicated in its proposal that as of March 31, 2011, thirty-five financial institutions would be affected by this proposal. Comments on the proposal were due by August 5, 2011.

Proposed joint guidance on stress testing

On June 15, 2011, the OCC, the Federal Reserve, and the FDIC published in the Federal Register proposed joint guidance on stress testing for banking organizations with more than $10 billion in total consolidated assets, including KeyBank and KeyCorp. The proposed joint guidance outlines high-level principles for stress testing practices, applicable to all Federal Reserve-supervised, FDIC-supervised, and OCC-supervised banking organizations with more than $10 billion in total consolidated assets. The proposed guidance highlights the importance of stress testing as an ongoing risk management practice that supports a banking organization s forward-looking assessment of its risks. Comments were due by July 29, 2011.

Systemically important financial companies

The FDIC and the Federal Reserve adopted a final rule to implement the requirement in the Dodd-Frank Act that bank holding companies with $50 billion or more in assets and certain nonbank financial companies prepare a living will and submit such living will to the FDIC and the Federal Reserve for review. In a related rulemaking, the FDIC adopted an interim final rule that requires insured depository institutions with $50 billion or more in assets to prepare and submit to the FDIC a living will. A living will is intended to be a plan to resolve a covered company under the applicable insolvency regime (the Bankruptcy Code or the Federal Deposit Insurance Act) in the event of the company s material financial distress or failure. The initial living wills for KeyCorp and KeyBank are due by December 31, 2013. KeyCorp and KeyBank will be required to submit an updated living will annually thereafter.

Restrictions on proprietary trading and interests in hedge funds and private equity funds

On October 12, 2011, the OCC, the Federal Reserve, the FDIC and the SEC issued a proposed rule implementing certain prohibitions and restrictions on the ability of a banking entity and nonbank financial company supervised by the Federal Reserve to engage in proprietary trading and have certain interests in, or relationships with, a hedge fund or private equity fund. Comments are due by January 13, 2012.

Critical accounting policies and estimates

Our business is dynamic and complex. Consequently, we must exercise judgment in choosing and applying accounting policies and methodologies. These choices are critical: not only are they necessary to comply with GAAP, they also reflect our view of the appropriate way to record and report our overall financial performance. All accounting policies are important, and all policies described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) on page 99 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K should be reviewed for a greater understanding of how we record and report our financial performance.

67

Table of Contents

In our opinion, some accounting policies are more likely than others to have a critical effect on our financial results and to expose those results to potentially greater volatility. These policies apply to areas of relatively greater business importance, or require us to exercise judgment and to make assumptions and estimates that affect amounts reported in the financial statements. Because these assumptions and estimates are based on current circumstances, they may prove to be inaccurate, or we may find it necessary to change them.

We rely heavily on the use of judgment, assumptions and estimates to make a number of core decisions, including accounting for the allowance for loan and lease losses; contingent liabilities, guarantees and income taxes; derivatives and related hedging activities; and assets and liabilities that involve valuation methodologies. In addition, we may employ outside valuation experts to assist us in determining fair values of certain assets and liabilities. A brief discussion of each of these areas appears on pages 34 through 37 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

At September 30, 2011, $20.7 billion, or 23%, of our total assets were measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Approximately 96% of these assets, before netting adjustments, were classified as Level 1 or Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy. At September 30, 2011, $2.1 billion, or 3%, of our total liabilities were measured at fair value on a recurring basis. Substantially all of these liabilities were classified as Level 1 or Level 2.

At September 30, 2011, $189 million, or .2%, of our total assets were measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis. Approximately 6% of these assets were classified as Level 1 or Level 2. At September 30, 2011, there were no liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

In addition, the education lending securitization trusts assets and liabilities were included on the balance sheet at September 30, 2011 at fair value, in the amount of $2.9 billion and $2.7 billion, respectively, as a result of their consolidation on January 1, 2010. During the third quarter of 2011, we determined that the $45 million cumulative effect adjustment made related to the consolidation of these trusts on January 1, 2010 was incorrect. Further information regarding this error and its correction is provided in Note 11 (Divestiture and Discontinued Operations).

During the first nine months of 2011, we did not significantly alter the manner in which we applied our critical accounting policies or developed related assumptions and estimates.

Highlights of Our Performance

Financial performance

For the third quarter of 2011, we announced net income from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders of $229 million, or $.24 per common share. Our third quarter of 2011 results compare to net income from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders of $163 million, or $.19 per common share, for the third quarter of 2010. The third quarter 2011 results reflect an improvement in noninterest expense and lower credit costs from the same period one-year ago. Third quarter 2011 net income attributable to Key common shareholders was $212 million compared to net income attributable to Key common shareholders of $178 million for the same quarter one year ago.

For the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, net income from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders was $656 million, or $.71 per common share, compared to net income from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders of $121 million, or $.14 per common share, for the same period one year ago. Net income attributable to Key common shareholders for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2011, was $619 million compared to net income attributable to Key common shareholders of $111 million for the same period one year ago.

Our financial results demonstrate positive momentum for us and reflect progress in executing our relationship strategy, improving credit quality and maintaining disciplined expense control. Our commercial, financial and agricultural loan portfolio grew for the second consecutive quarter. Our clients continue to benefit from our ability to work together across business lines to deliver value by combining local knowledge and service with specialized industry expertise and advisory capabilities. We intend to support small- and medium-sized businesses by committing $5 billion in lending capital over the next three years to foster growth and expansion in this important segment.

During the third quarter of 2011, we continued to benefit from improved asset quality. Nonperforming assets declined $887 million, and nonperforming loans decreased by $584 million from the year-ago quarter to $914 million and $788 million, respectively. Net charge-offs declined $248 million from the third quarter of 2010 to $109 million, or .90%, of average loan balances for the third quarter of 2011, compared to $357 million, or 2.69% of average loan balances for the same period one year ago. Our credit quality trends have benefited from actions that we began over four years ago to exit higher risk lending

68

Table of Contents

activities. We have experienced continued improvement in our asset quality statistics. Over the past seven quarters net charge-offs have declined 79%, nonperforming assets are down 62% to 1.89% of total loans, OREO and other nonperforming assets and our coverage ratio of loan and lease loss reserves to nonperforming loans is 144% as of September 30, 2011.

Our capital ratios remain strong. Our tangible common equity, Tier 1 common equity and Tier 1 risk-based capital ratios at September 30, 2011, are 9.82%, 11.28%, and 13.49%, respectively, compared to 8.00%, 8.61%, and 14.30%, respectively, at September 30, 2010. We are currently in the process of finalizing and presenting our 2012 financial plan to our Board, which will then become our base case for our capital plan submission to our regulators. While we continue to await guidelines for the 2012 regulatory process, we preliminarily expect our capital planning process to include assessment of certain capital distributions, including potential dividend increases and share repurchases in the future.

As previously reported, Key completed the repurchase of the $2.5 billion of Series B Preferred Stock and corresponding warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury Department. As a result of the repurchase, the Company recorded a $49 million one-time deemed dividend in the first quarter of 2011 related to the remaining difference between the repurchase price and the carrying value of the preferred shares at the time of repurchase. Beginning with the second quarter of 2011, the repurchase resulted in the elimination of quarterly dividends of $31 million and discount amortization of $4 million, or $140 million on an annual basis, related to these preferred shares. In total, Key paid $2.867 billion to the U.S. Treasury during the investment period in the form of dividends, principal and repurchase of the warrant, resulting in a return to the U.S. Treasury of $367 million above the initial investment of $2.5 billion on November 14, 2008.

During the first nine months of 2011, we have originated approximately $26.1 billion, or a 24% increase from $21.0 billion for the same period one year ago in new or renewed lending commitments to consumers and businesses. In addition, we expect to build a total of 40 new branches in 2011, having opened 36 new branches in the first nine months of 2011 and 77 others in the prior two calendar years. Our multi-year branch building and renovation project has resulted in approximately one-third of Key s 1,063 branches in its 14 state-branch network either being newly constructed or remodeled over the past four years.

Figure 3 shows our continuing and discontinued operating results for the current, past and year-ago quarters. Our financial performance for each of the past five quarters is summarized in Figure 4.

Figure 3. Results of Operations

	Three months ended			Nine months ended	
in millions, except per share amounts	**9-30-11**	**6-30-11**	**9-30-10**	**9-30-11**	**9-30-10**
Summary of operations					
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key	**$ 234**	**$ 249**	$ 204	**$ 757**	$ 244
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes [a]	**(17)**	**(9)**	15	**(37)**	(10)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**$ 217**	**$ 240**	$ 219	**$ 720**	$ 234
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key	**$ 234**	**$ 249**	$ 204	**$ 757**	$ 244
Less: Dividends on Series A Preferred Stock	**5**	**6**	6	**17**	17
Cash dividends on Series B Preferred Stock [b]			31	**31**	94
Amortization of discount on Series B Preferred Stock [b]			4	**53**	12
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**229**	**243**	163	**656**	121
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes [a]	**(17)**	**(9)**	15	**(37)**	(10)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	**$ 212**	**$ 234**	$ 178	**$ 619**	$ 111
Per common share assuming dilution					
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**$ .24**	**$ .26**	$ .19	**$ .71**	$ .14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes [a]	**(.02)**	**(.01)**	.02	**(.04)**	(.01)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders [c]	**$ .22**	**$ .25**	$ .20	**$ .67**	$ .13

(a) In September 2009, we decided to discontinue the education lending business conducted through Key Education Resources, the education payment and financing unit of KeyBank. In April 2009, we decided to wind down the operations of Austin, an investment subsidiary that specializes in managing hedge fund investments for its institutional customer base. As a result of these decisions, we have accounted for these businesses as discontinued operations. The loss from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, was primarily attributable to fair value adjustments related to the education

lending securitization trusts.

(b) Nine months ended September 30, 2011 includes a $49 million deemed dividend recorded in the first quarter of 2011 related to the repurchase of the $2.5 billion Series B Preferred Stock.

(c) EPS may not foot due to rounding.

Table of Contents

Figure 4. Selected Financial Data

dollars in millions, except per share amounts	2011 Third	2011 Second	2011 First	2010 Fourth	2010 Third	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	Nine months ended September 30, 2010
FOR THE PERIOD							
Interest income	**$ 705**	**$ 726**	**$ 760**	$ 811	$ 844	**$ 2,191**	$ 2,597
Interest expense	**156**	**162**	**163**	182	204	**481**	715
Net interest income	**549**	**564**	**597**	629	640	**1,710**	1,882
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**10**	**(8)**	**(40)**	(97)	94	**(38)**	735
Noninterest income	**483**	**454**	**457**	526	486	**1,394**	1,428
Noninterest expense	**692**	**680**	**701**	744	736	**2,073**	2,290
Income (loss) from continuing operations before income taxes	**330**	**346**	**393**	508	296	**1,069**	285
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key	**234**	**249**	**274**	333	204	**757**	244
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes[(a)]	**(17)**	**(9)**	**(11)**	(13)	15	**(37)**	(10)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**217**	**240**	**263**	320	219	**720**	234
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**229**	**243**	**184**	292	163	**656**	121
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes[(a)]	**(17)**	**(9)**	**(11)**	(13)	15	**(37)**	(10)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	**212**	**234**	**173**	279	178	**619**	111
PER COMMON SHARE							
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders	**$ .24**	**$ .26**	**$ .21**	$ .33	$ .19	**$ .71**	$ .14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes[(a)]	**(.02)**	**(.01)**	**(.01)**	(.02)	.02	**(.04)**	(.01)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders	**.22**	**.25**	**.20**	.32	.20	**.67**	.13
Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key common shareholders assuming dilution	**$ .24**	**$ .26**	**$ .21**	$ .33	$ .19	**$ .71**	$ .14
Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of taxes assuming dilution[(a)]	**(.02)**	**(.01)**	**(.01)**	(.02)	.02	**(.04)**	(.01)
Net income (loss) attributable to Key common shareholders assuming dilution	**.22**	**.25**	**.19**	.32	.20	**.67**	.13
Cash dividends paid	**.03**	**.03**	**.01**	.01	.01	**.07**	.03
Book value at period end	**10.09**	**9.88**	**9.58**	9.52	9.54	**10.09**	9.54
Tangible book value at period end	**9.10**	**8.90**	**8.59**	8.45	8.46	**9.10**	8.46
Market price:							
High	**8.48**	**9.10**	**9.77**	8.76	8.91	**9.77**	9.84
Low	**5.63**	**7.82**	**8.31**	7.45	7.13	**5.63**	5.55
Close	**5.93**	**8.33**	**8.88**	8.85	7.96	**5.93**	7.96
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (000)	**948,702**	**947,565**	**881,894**	875,501	874,433	**926,298**	874,495
Weighted-average common shares and potential common shares outstanding (000)	**950,686**	**952,133**	**887,836**	900,263	874,433	**930,449**	874,495
AT PERIOD END							
Loans	**$ 48,195**	**$ 47,840**	**$ 48,552**	$ 50,107	$ 51,354	**$ 48,195**	$ 51,354
Earning assets	**74,167**	**73,447**	**74,593**	76,211	77,681	**74,167**	77,681
Total assets	**89,262**	**88,782**	**90,438**	91,843	94,043	**89,262**	94,043
Deposits	**61,032**	**60,410**	**60,810**	60,610	61,418	**61,032**	61,418
Long-term debt	**10,717**	**10,997**	**11,048**	10,592	11,443	**10,717**	11,443
Key common shareholders equity	**9,610**	**9,428**	**9,134**	8,380	8,401	**9,610**	8,401
Key shareholders equity	**9,901**	**9,719**	**9,425**	11,117	11,134	**9,901**	11,134
PERFORMANCE RATIOS FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS							
Return on average total assets	**1.14 %**	**1.23 %**	**1.32 %**	1.53 %	.93 %	**1.23 %**	.37 %
Return on average common equity	**9.52**	**10.51**	**8.75**	13.71	7.82	**9.62**	2.00

Net interest margin (TE)	**3.09**	**3.19**	**3.25**	3.31	3.35	**3.18**	3.24
PERFORMANCE RATIOS FROM CONSOLIDATED OPERATIONS							
Return on average total assets	**.98 %**	**1.10 %**	**1.18 %**	1.36 %	.93 %	**1.09 %**	.33 %
Return on average common equity	**8.82**	**10.12**	**8.23**	13.10	8.54	**9.08**	1.84
Net interest margin (TE)	**3.02**	**3.11**	**3.16**	3.22	3.26	**3.10**	3.15
Loan to Deposit[(c)]	**85.71**	**86.10**	**90.76**	90.30	91.80	**85.71**	91.80
CAPITAL RATIOS AT PERIOD END							
Key shareholders equity to assets	**11.09 %**	**10.95 %**	**10.42 %**	12.10 %	11.84 %	**11.09 %**	11.84 %
Tangible Key shareholders equity to tangible assets	**10.15**	**10.00**	**9.48**	11.20	10.93	**10.15**	10.93
Tangible common equity to tangible assets[(b)]	**9.82**	**9.67**	**9.16**	8.19	8.00	**9.82**	8.00
Tier 1 common equity[(b)]	**11.28**	**11.14**	**10.74**	9.34	8.61	**11.28**	8.61
Tier 1 risk-based capital	**13.49**	**13.93**	**13.48**	15.16	14.30	**13.49**	14.30
Total risk-based capital	**17.05**	**17.88**	**17.38**	19.12	18.22	**17.05**	18.22
Leverage	**11.93**	**12.13**	**11.56**	13.02	12.53	**11.93**	12.53
TRUST AND BROKERAGE ASSETS							
Assets under management	**$ 51,584**	**$ 59,253**	**$ 61,518**	$ 59,815	$ 59,718	**$ 51,584**	$ 59,718
Nonmanaged and brokerage assets	**28,007**	**29,472**	**29,024**	28,069	26,913	**28,007**	26,913
OTHER DATA							
Average full-time-equivalent employees	**15,490**	**15,349**	**15,301**	15,424	15,584	**15,381**	15,673
Branches	**1,063**	**1,048**	**1,040**	1,033	1,029	**1,063**	1,029

(a) In September 2009, we decided to discontinue the education lending business conducted through Key Education Resources, the education payment and financing unit of KeyBank. In April 2009, we decided to wind down the operations of Austin, an investment subsidiary that specializes in managing hedge fund investments for its institutional customer base.

(b) See Figure 5 entitled GAAP to Non-GAAP Reconciliations, which presents the computations of certain financial measures to tangible common equity and Tier 1 common equity. The table reconciles the GAAP performance measures to the corresponding non-GAAP measures, which provides a basis for period-to-period comparisons.

(c) Represents period-end consolidated total loans and loans held for sale (excluding education loans in the securitizations trusts) divided by period-end consolidated total deposits (excluding deposits in foreign office).

Table of Contents

Figure 5 presents certain financial measures related to tangible common equity and Tier 1 common equity. The tangible common equity ratio has been a focus for some investors. We believe this ratio may assist investors in analyzing our capital position without regard to the effects of intangible assets and preferred stock.

Traditionally, the banking regulators have assessed bank and bank holding company capital adequacy based on both the amount and the composition of capital, the calculation of which is prescribed in federal banking regulations. Since the commencement of the SCAP in early 2009, the Federal Reserve has focused its assessment of capital adequacy on a component of Tier 1 risk-based capital known as Tier 1 common equity. Because the Federal Reserve has long indicated that voting common shareholders equity (essentially Tier 1 risk-based capital less preferred stock, qualifying capital securities and noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries) generally should be the dominant element in Tier 1 risk-based capital, this focus on Tier 1 common equity is consistent with existing capital adequacy categories. This increased focus on Tier 1 common equity is also present in the Basel Committee s Basel III guidelines, which U.S. regulators are expected to adopt pursuant to regulations that are expected to be issued in the near future. The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act also changes the regulatory capital standards that apply to bank holding companies by requiring regulators to create rules phasing out the treatment of capital securities and cumulative preferred securities as Tier 1 eligible capital. This three year phase-out period, which commences January 1, 2013, will ultimately result in our capital securities being treated only as Tier 2 capital.

Tier 1 common equity is neither formally defined by GAAP nor prescribed in amount by federal banking regulations; this measure is considered to be a non-GAAP financial measure. Since analysts and banking regulators may assess our capital adequacy using tangible common equity and Tier 1 common equity, we believe it is useful to enable investors to assess our capital adequacy on these same bases. Figure 5 also reconciles the GAAP performance measures to the corresponding non-GAAP measures.

The table also shows the computation for pre-provision net revenue, which is not formally defined by GAAP. Management believes that eliminating the effects of the provision for loan and lease losses makes it easier to analyze our results by presenting them on a more comparable basis.

Non-GAAP financial measures have inherent limitations, are not required to be uniformly applied and are not audited. Although these non-GAAP financial measures are frequently used by investors to evaluate a company, they have limitations as analytical tools, and should not be considered in isolation, or as a substitute for analyses of results as reported under GAAP.

Table of Contents

Figure 5. GAAP to Non-GAAP Reconciliations

	Three months ended		
dollars in millions, except per share amounts	**9-30-11**	**6-30-11**	**9-30-10**
Tangible common equity to tangible assets at period end			
Key shareholders equity (GAAP)	**$ 9,901**	**$ 9,719**	$ 11,134
Less: Intangible assets	**935**	**936**	956
Preferred Stock, Series B			2,442
Preferred Stock, Series A	**291**	**291**	291
Tangible common equity (non-GAAP)	**$ 8,675**	**$ 8,492**	$ 7,445
Total assets (GAAP)	**$ 89,262**	**$ 88,782**	$ 94,043
Less: Intangible assets	**935**	**936**	956
Tangible assets (non-GAAP)	**$ 88,327**	**$ 87,846**	$ 93,087
Tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio (non-GAAP)	**9.82%**	**9.67%**	8.00%
Tier 1 common equity at period end			
Key shareholders equity (GAAP)	**$ 9,901**	**$ 9,719**	$ 11,134
Qualifying capital securities	**1,377**	**1,791**	1,791
Less: Goodwill	**917**	**917**	917
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) [(a)]	**88**	**47**	247
Other assets [(b)]	**72**	**157**	383
Total Tier 1 capital (regulatory)	**10,201**	**10,389**	11,378
Less: Qualifying capital securities	**1,377**	**1,791**	1,791
Preferred Stock, Series B			2,442
Preferred Stock, Series A	**291**	**291**	291
Total Tier 1 common equity (non-GAAP)	**$ 8,533**	**$ 8,307**	$ 6,854
Net risk-weighted assets (regulatory) [(b)]	**$ 75,643**	**$ 74,578**	$ 79,572
Tier 1 common equity ratio (non-GAAP)	**11.28%**	**11.14%**	8.61%
Pre-provision net revenue			
Net interest income (GAAP)	**$ 549**	**$ 564**	$ 640
Plus: Taxable-equivalent adjustment	**6**	**6**	7
Noninterest income	**483**	**454**	486
Less: Noninterest expense	**692**	**680**	736
Pre-provision net revenue from continuing operations (non-GAAP)	**$ 346**	**$ 344**	$ 397

(a) Includes net unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale (except for net unrealized losses on marketable equity securities), net gains or losses on cash flow hedges, and amounts resulting from the December 31, 2006 adoption and subsequent application of the applicable accounting guidance for defined benefit and other postretirement plans.

(b) Other assets deducted from Tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets consist of disallowed deferred tax assets of $75 million at June 30, 2011, and $272 million at September 30, 2010, disallowed intangible assets (excluding goodwill) and deductible portions of nonfinancial equity investments. There were no disallowed deferred tax assets at September 30, 2011.

Results of Operations

Net interest income

One of our principal sources of revenue is net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income received on earning assets (such as loans and securities) and loan-related fee income, and interest expense paid on deposits and borrowings. There are several factors that affect net interest income, including:

¿ the volume, pricing, mix and maturity of earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities;

¿ the volume and value of net free funds, such as noninterest-bearing deposits and equity capital;

¿ the use of derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk;

¿ interest rate fluctuations and competitive conditions within the marketplace; and

¿ asset quality.

To make it easier to compare results among several periods and the yields on various types of earning assets (some taxable, some not), we present net interest income in this discussion on a taxable-equivalent basis (i.e., as if it were all taxable and at the same rate). For example, $100 of tax-exempt income would be presented as $154, an amount that if taxed at the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% would yield $100.

Table of Contents

Figure 6 shows the various components of our balance sheet that affect interest income and expense, and their respective yields or rates over the past five quarters. This figure also presents a reconciliation of taxable-equivalent net interest income to net interest income reported in accordance with GAAP for each of those quarters. The net interest margin is calculated by dividing annualized taxable-equivalent net interest income by average earning assets.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income was $555 million for the third quarter of 2011, and the net interest margin was 3.09%. These results compare to taxable-equivalent net interest income of $647 million and a net interest margin of 3.35% for the third quarter of 2010. The decrease in net interest income is attributable to both a decline in earning assets and tighter spread between asset yields and funding costs.

Compared to the second quarter of 2011, taxable-equivalent net interest income decreased by $15 million, and the net interest margin declined 10 basis points. The decline in the net interest margin and net interest income reflects a higher level of lower yielding short-term investments. The unfavorable impact of the shift in asset mix was partially offset by rate reductions on deposits, maturities of higher rate certificates of deposit, and the impact of the redemptions on September 1, 2011, of certain capital securities.

We expect the net interest margin to be stable to up and for average earning assets to remain relatively stable for the fourth quarter of 2011 compared to the third quarter of 2011. Helping reverse some of the margin pressure is the expectation of modest improvement in the mix of assets with short-term liquidity coming down as it is redeployed for debt maturities and the expectation of growth in loan balances and continued improvement in the mix of deposits compared to the prior quarter.

Average earning assets for the third quarter of 2011 totaled $72.3 billion, which was $5.2 billion, or 6.7%, lower than the third quarter of 2010. Average loans declined $4.6 billion primarily in our commercial portfolio, due to soft demand for both commercial and consumer credits during the past year and run-off in our exit portfolios. When compared to the second quarter of 2011, average total loans declined $446 million. This was a slower decline than we had been experiencing in prior quarters and we believe we are near an inflection point in our total loan portfolio where average loans may begin to show increases on a linked quarter basis. Our commercial, financial and agricultural loan portfolio showed its second consecutive quarterly growth in average balances, increasing $459 million or 2.7%, unannualized from the prior quarter. Short-term investments increased $1.8 billion from the year ago quarter. This increase was due to investing excess cash flows from loan repayments and net deposit flows. When compared to the second quarter of 2011, investment securities decreased approximately $840 million while short-term investments increased approximately $1.4 billion. The return on average assets for the third quarter of 2011 was 1.14%. We continue to benefit from well managed expenses and provision expense, which remains low due to improving credit quality.

Table of Contents

Figure 6. Consolidated Average Balance Sheets, Net Interest Income and Yields/Rates From Continuing Operations

	Third Quarter 2011			Second Quarter 2011		
dollars in millions	Average Balance	Interest [a]	Yield/ Rate [a]	Average Balance	Interest [a]	Yield/ Rate [a]
ASSETS						
Loans[b],[c]						
Commercial, financial and agricultural	$ 17,381	$ 175	3.98 %	$ 16,922	$ 174	4.13 %
Real estate commercial mortgage	7,978	89	4.47	8,460	95	4.47
Real estate construction	1,545	18	4.46	1,760	19	4.44
Commercial lease financing	6,045	72	4.80	6,094	75	4.93
Total commercial loans	32,949	354	4.27	33,236	363	4.38
Real estate residential mortgage	1,853	25	5.23	1,818	24	5.33
Home equity:						
Key Community Bank	9,388	97	4.12	9,441	97	4.13
Other	582	11	7.69	611	12	7.66
Total home equity loans	9,970	108	4.33	10,052	109	4.35
Consumer other Key Community Bank	1,169	28	9.60	1,151	27	9.39
Consumer other:						
Marine	1,928	30	6.29	2,051	32	6.20
Other	139	3	7.89	146	3	7.81
Total consumer other	2,067	33	6.40	2,197	35	6.31
Total consumer loans	15,059	194	5.14	15,218	195	5.13
Total loans	48,008	548	4.54	48,454	558	4.61
Loans held for sale	341	3	3.75	376	3	3.72
Securities available for sale[b],[e]	18,165	141	3.16	19,005	149	3.19
Held-to-maturity securities[b]	354	2	2.59	19		10.72
Trading account assets	869	5	2.45	893	9	3.96
Short-term investments	3,348	3	.25	1,913	1	.23
Other investments[e]	1,190	9	2.94	1,328	12	3.24
Total earning assets	72,275	711	3.93	71,988	732	4.09
Allowance for loan and lease losses	(1,176)			(1,279)		
Accrued income and other assets	10,360			10,677		
Discontinued assets education lending business	6,079			6,350		
Total assets	$ 87,538			$ 87,736		
LIABILITIES						
NOW and money market deposit accounts	$ 26,917	18	.26	$ 26,354	19	.29
Savings deposits	1,980		.06	1,981	1	.06
Certificates of deposit ($100,000 or more)[f]	4,762	36	3.03	5,075	38	3.02
Other time deposits	6,942	40	2.28	7,330	42	2.31
Deposits in foreign office	675	1	.28	869		.34
Total interest-bearing deposits	41,276	95	.91	41,609	100	.97
Federal funds purchased and securities sold under repurchase agreements	1,724	1	.28	2,089	2	.27
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings	598	3	1.85	672	3	1.96
Long-term debt[f], [g]	7,777	57	3.14	7,576	57	3.26
Total interest-bearing liabilities	51,375	156	1.21	51,946	162	1.27
Noninterest-bearing deposits	17,624			16,932		
Accrued expense and other liabilities	2,612			2,767		
Discontinued liabilities education lending business[d], [g]	6,079			6,350		
Total liabilities	77,690			77,995		
EQUITY						
Key shareholders equity	9,831			9,561		
Noncontrolling interests	17			180		
Total equity	9,848			9,741		
Total liabilities and equity	$ 87,538			$ 87,736		
Interest rate spread (TE)			2.72 %			2.82 %
Net interest income (TE) and net interest margin (TE)		555	3.09 %		570	3.19 %

TE adjustment[(b)]	**6**	**6**
Net interest income, GAAP basis	**$ 549**	**$ 564**

(a) Results are from continuing operations. Interest excludes the interest associated with the liabilities referred to in (d) below, calculated using a matched funds transfer pricing methodology.

(b) Interest income on tax-exempt securities and loans has been adjusted to a taxable-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%.

(c) For purposes of these computations, nonaccrual loans are included in average loan balances.

(d) Discontinued liabilities include the liabilities of the education lending business and the dollar amount of any additional liabilities assumed necessary to support the assets associated with this business.

Table of Contents

Figure 6. Consolidated Average Balance Sheets, Net Interest Income and Yields/Rates From Continuing Operations

First quarter 2011			Fourth quarter 2010			Third quarter 2010		
Average Balance	Interest [a]	Yield/ Rate [a]	Average Balance	Interest [a]	Yield/ Rate [a]	Average Balance	Interest [a]	Yield/ Rate [a]
$ 16,311	$ 174	4.33%	$ 16,562	$ 189	4.51%	$ 16,948	$ 193	4.52%
9,238	104	4.58	9,514	117	4.89	9,822	122	4.94
2,031	20	3.99	2,531	26	4.15	3,165	37	4.58
6,335	80	5.03	6,484	82	5.08	6,587	87	5.25
33,915	378	4.51	35,091	414	4.69	36,522	439	4.77
1,810	24	5.32	1,837	25	5.43	1,843	26	5.59
9,453	97	4.14	9,583	101	4.16	9,709	102	4.19
647	12	7.60	686	13	7.58	732	14	7.61
10,100	109	4.36	10,269	114	4.39	10,441	116	4.43
1,157	28	9.89	1,170	30	10.38	1,156	33	11.20
2,174	34	6.26	2,295	36	6.30	2,423	38	6.25
156	3	7.91	167	3	7.98	181	4	7.95
2,330	37	6.37	2,462	39	6.41	2,604	42	6.37
15,397	198	5.20	15,738	208	5.27	16,044	217	5.37
49,312	576	4.72	50,829	622	4.87	52,566	656	4.95
390	4	3.52	403	4	3.16	501	4	3.48
21,159	166	3.18	21,257	171	3.27	20,276	170	3.43
19	1	11.54	17		11.92	19	1	11.05
1,018	7	2.75	967	8	3.22	1,074	8	3.03
1,963	1	.24	2,521	1	.22	1,594	1	.23
1,360	12	3.33	1,400	11	2.86	1,426	11	3.00
75,221	767	4.12	77,394	817	4.22	77,456	851	4.39
(1,494)			(1,789)			(2,092)		
10,568			11,025			11,363		
6,479			6,674			6,762		
$ 90,774			$ 93,304			$ 93,489		
$ 27,004	19	.29	$ 27,047	21	.30	$ 25,783	23	.35
1,907		.06	1,873		.06	1,885		.06
5,628	43	3.05	6,341	49	3.05	7,635	61	3.12
7,982	47	2.39	8,664	53	2.43	9,648	63	2.59
1,040	1	.31	1,228	1	.32	958		.37
43,561	110	1.02	45,153	124	1.09	45,909	147	1.27
2,375	1	.27	2,236	2	.31	2,300	1	.31
738	3	1.71	480	3	2.77	669	4	2.36
6,792	49	3.09	7,525	53	3.02	7,308	52	3.08
53,466	163	1.24	55,394	182	1.31	56,186	204	1.46
16,479			16,841			15,949		
2,878			2,965			3,344		
6,479			6,674			6,762		
79,302			81,874			82,241		
11,214			11,183			10,999		
258			247			249		
11,472			11,430			11,248		
$ 90,774			$ 93,304			$ 93,489		
		2.88%			2.91%			2.93%

	604	**3.25%**		635	3.31%		647	3.35%
	7			6			7	
	$ 597			$ 629			$ 640	

(e) Yield is calculated on the basis of amortized cost.

(f) Rate calculation excludes basis adjustments related to fair value hedges.

(g) A portion of long-term debt and the related interest expense is allocated to discontinued liabilities as a result of applying our matched funds transfer pricing methodology to discontinued operations.

Table of Contents

Figure 7 shows how the changes in yields or rates and average balances from the prior year affected net interest income. The section entitled Financial Condition contains additional discussion about changes in earning assets and funding sources.

Figure 7. Components of Net Interest Income Changes from Continuing Operations

	From three months ended September 30, 2010 to three months ended September 30, 2011			From nine months ended September 30, 2010 to nine months ended September 30, 2011		
in millions	Average Volume	Yield/ Rate	Net Change [(a)]	Average Volume	Yield/ Rate	Net Change [(a)]
INTEREST INCOME						
Loans	$ (54)	$ (54)	$ (108)	$ (230)	$ (143)	$ (373)
Loans held for sale	(1)		(1)	(3)		(3)
Securities available for sale	(17)	(12)	(29)	37	(56)	(19)
Held-to-maturity securities	3	(2)	1	4	(3)	1
Trading account assets	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(4)	(8)
Short-term investments	1	1	2	(1)	1	
Other investments	(2)		(2)	(4)	(1)	(5)
Total interest income (TE)	(71)	(69)	(140)	(201)	(206)	(407)
INTEREST EXPENSE						
NOW and money market deposit accounts	1	(6)	(5)	4	(18)	(14)
Certificates of deposit ($100,000 or more)	(22)	(3)	(25)	(93)	(16)	(109)
Other time deposits	(16)	(7)	(23)	(73)	(46)	(119)
Deposits in foreign office		1	1			
Total interest-bearing deposits	(37)	(15)	(52)	(162)	(80)	(242)
Bank notes and other short-term borrowings		(1)	(1)	2	(4)	(2)
Long-term debt	3	2	5	6	4	10
Total interest expense	(34)	(14)	(48)	(154)	(80)	(234)
Net interest income (TE)	$ (37)	$ (55)	$ (92)	$ (47)	$ (126)	$ (173)

(a) The change in interest not due solely to volume or rate has been allocated in proportion to the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

Noninterest income

Our noninterest income was $483 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared to $486 million for the year-ago quarter, representing a decrease of $3 million, or 1%. Increases of $16 million in net gains (losses) from principal investing (including results attributable to noncontrolling interests), $14 million in fair value hedge accounting adjustments and $13 million in gains on the redemption of trust preferred securities were essentially offset with decreases of $17 million in investment banking and capital markets income, $11 million in operating lease income due to product run-off and a $12 million corporate-owned life insurance dividend recognized in the third quarter of 2010.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, noninterest income decreased $34 million, or 2%. The largest components of the decrease are a $34 million decrease in operating lease income due to product run-off, a $20 million decrease in service charges on deposit accounts as a result of the implementation of Regulation E in the third quarter of 2010, a $20 million decrease in income generated by Tuition Management Services, a business unit sold in the fourth quarter of 2010 and a $12 million corporate-owned life insurance dividend recognized in the third quarter of 2010. Offsetting these decreases was a $28 million increase in investment banking and capital markets income (loss) attributable to a lower provision for losses related to customer derivatives, a $14 million increase in letter of credit and loan fees due to increased syndication loan production, an increase of $14 million in net gains (losses) from principal investing (including results attributable to noncontrolling interests) and an increase of $10 million in electronic banking fees due to higher customer transaction volume. Also, we believe, the effect of the new pricing controls on debit transactions are estimated to negatively impact our revenue by $12 million to $15 million per quarter before implementation of mitigation strategies.

Table of Contents

Figure 8. Noninterest Income

	Three months ended September 30,		Change		Nine months ended September 30,		Change	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**
Trust and investment services income	**$ 107**	$ 110	$ (3)	(2.7)%	**$ 330**	$ 336	$ (6)	(1.8)%
Service charges on deposit accounts	**74**	75	(1)	(1.3)	**211**	231	(20)	(8.7)
Operating lease income	**30**	41	(11)	(26.8)	**97**	131	(34)	(26.0)
Letter of credit and loan fees	**55**	61	(6)	(9.8)	**157**	143	14	9.8
Corporate-owned life insurance income	**31**	39	(8)	(20.5)	**86**	95	(9)	(9.5)
Net securities gains (losses)		1	(1)	(100.0)	**1**	2	(1)	(50.0)
Electronic banking fees	**33**	30	3	10.0	**96**	86	10	11.6
Gains on leased equipment	**7**	4	3	75.0	**16**	14	2	14.3
Insurance income	**13**	15	(2)	(13.3)	**42**	52	(10)	(19.2)
Net gains (losses) from loan sales	**18**	18			**48**	47	1	2.1
Net gains (losses) from principal investing	**34**	18	16	89	**86**	72	14	19.4
Investment banking and capital markets income	**25**	42	(17)	(40.5)	**110**	82	28	34.1
Other income	**56**	32	24	75.0	**114**	137	(23)	(16.8)
Total noninterest income	**$ 483**	$ 486	$ (3)	(0.6)%	**$ 1,394**	$ 1,428	$ (34)	(2.4)%

The following discussion explains the composition of certain elements of our noninterest income and the factors that caused those elements to change.

Trust and investment services income

Trust and investment services are our largest source of noninterest income. The primary components of revenue generated by these services are shown in Figure 9. During the third quarter of 2011, trust and investment services income decreased slightly over the same period one year ago due to lower income from advisory and custody fees.

Figure 9. Trust and Investment Services Income

	Three months ended September 30,		Change		Nine months ended September 30,		Change	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**
Brokerage commissions and fee income	**$ 34**	$ 33	$ 1	3.0%	**$ 99**	$ 102	$ (3)	(2.9)%
Personal asset management and custody fees	**37**	37			**115**	111	4	3.6
Institutional asset management and custody fees	**36**	40	(4)	(10.0)	**116**	123	(7)	(5.7)
Total trust and investment services income	**$ 107**	$ 110	$ (3)	(2.7)%	**$ 330**	$ 336	$ (6)	(1.8)%

A significant portion of our trust and investment services income depends on the value and mix of assets under management. At September 30, 2011, our bank, trust and registered investment advisory subsidiaries had assets under management of $51.6 billion, compared to $59.7 billion at September 30, 2010. As shown in Figure 10, the decrease was attributable to reductions in the equity and securities lending portfolios. The decline in the equity portfolio was due in part to asset outflows and market value declines. The decrease in the value of our portfolio of hedge funds is attributable to our second quarter 2009 decision to wind down the operations of Austin. Our securities lending business has been declining due to the fact that we have de-emphasized this business resulting in lower transaction volumes, client departures and fewer assets under management.

Table of Contents

Figure 10. Assets Under Management

	2011			2010	
in millions	**Third**	**Second**	**First**	**Fourth**	**Third**
Assets under management by investment type:					
Equity	**$ 29,176**	**$ 37,423**	**$ 38,988**	$ 38,084	$ 34,933
Securities lending	**5,622**	**5,445**	**6,117**	5,716	7,539
Fixed income	**10,359**	**10,251**	**9,997**	10,191	10,632
Money market	**6,231**	**5,903**	**6,171**	5,544	6,132
Hedge funds[(a)]	**196**	**231**	**245**	281	482
Total	**$ 51,584**	**$ 59,253**	**$ 61,518**	$ 59,816	$ 59,718
Proprietary mutual funds included in assets under management:					
Money market	**$ 3,936**	**$ 3,818**	**$ 3,784**	$ 4,047	$ 4,185
Equity	**5,870**	**7,735**	**8,019**	7,587	6,941
Fixed income	**1,219**	**1,053**	**980**	1,007	981
Total	**$ 11,025**	**$ 12,606**	**$ 12,783**	$ 12,641	$ 12,107

(a) Hedge funds are related to the discontinued operations of Austin.

Service charges on deposit accounts

The decrease in service charges on deposit accounts during the first nine months of 2011 is due primarily to the implementation of Regulation E, which went into effect on July 1, 2010 for new clients and August 15, 2010 for our existing clients. The decrease was partially offset by service charges earned on increased deposit transaction fees.

Operating lease income

Operating lease income decreased $11 million, or 27% for the third quarter of 2011, and decreased $34 million, or 26% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 in our Equipment Finance line of business due to product run-off. Accordingly, as shown in Figure 12, operating lease expense also declined.

Investment banking and capital markets income

As shown in Figure 11, income from investment banking and capital markets activities decreased $17 million, or 41% from the year-ago quarter and increased $28 million, or 34% from the nine-month period ended one year ago.

Investment banking income decreased $22 million from a year-ago quarter and decreased $12 million from the nine-month period ended one year ago due to lower merger and acquisition advisory fees and lower equity originations income.

Income from other investments increased by $4 million from a year-ago quarter and increased $12 million from the nine-month period ended one year ago due to asset sales made by our Funds Management Group.

Dealer trading and derivatives income increased by $2 million from the year-ago quarter and increased $27 million from the nine-month period ended one year ago due largely to improved results related to the provision for losses related to customer derivatives.

Foreign exchange income remained essentially unchanged compared to prior reporting periods.

Figure 11. Investment Banking and Capital Markets Income

dollars in millions	Three months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Change Amount	Change Percent	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Change Amount	Change Percent
Investment banking income	**$ 16**	$ 38	(22)	(57.9)%	**$ 67**	$ 79	$ (12)	(15.2)%
Income (loss) from other investments	**6**	2	$ 4	200.0	**18**	6	12	200.0
Dealer trading and derivatives income (loss)	**(8)**	(10)	2	N/M	**(7)**	(34)	27	(79.4)
Foreign exchange income (loss)	**11**	12	(1)	(8.3)	**32**	31	1	3.2
Total investment banking and capital markets income	**$ 25**	$ 42	$ (17)	(40.5)%	**$ 110**	$ 82	$ 28	34.1%

Letter of credit and loan fees

Letter of credit and loan fees decreased $6 million, or 10% from the year-ago quarter, and increased $14 million, or 10% for the nine-month period ended a year ago due primarily to fluctuations in syndication income.

Table of Contents

Corporate-owned life insurance income

Corporate-owned life insurance income decreased $8 million, or 21% from the year-ago quarter, and decreased $9 million, or 10% for the nine-month period ended a year ago due to a $12 million corporate-owned life insurance dividend recognized in the third quarter of 2010.

Net gains (losses) from principal investing

Principal investments consist of direct and indirect investments in predominantly privately-held companies. Our principal investing income is susceptible to volatility since most of it is derived from mezzanine debt and equity investments in small to medium-sized businesses. These investments are carried on the balance sheet at fair value ($759 million at September 30, 2011, compared to $898 million at December 31, 2010, and $945 million at September 30, 2010). During the first half of 2011, employees who managed our various principal investments formed two independent entities that will serve as investment managers of these investments going forward. Under this arrangement, which was mutually agreeable to both parties, these individuals are no longer employees of Key. As a result of these changes, during the second quarter of 2011, we deconsolidated certain of these direct and indirect investments, totaling $234 million. The net gains (losses) presented in Figure 8 derive from changes in fair values as well as sales of principal investments.

Other income

Other income increased $24 million, or 75% from the year-ago quarter due to $14 million in fair value hedge accounting adjustments and $13 million in gains on the redemption of trust preferred securities. Other income decreased $23 million, or 17% for the nine-month period ended a year ago due to a $20 million decrease in income generated by Tuition Management Services, a business unit sold in the fourth quarter of 2010.

Noninterest expense

Noninterest expense was $692 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared to $736 million for the same period last year. For the first nine months of 2011, noninterest expense decreased $217 million, or 10% from the first nine months of 2010.

As shown in Figure 12, the decrease for the third quarter of 2011 and the first nine months of 2011 compared to the year-ago quarter and period were attributable to decreases in net OREO expense attributable to gains on sales of OREO, decreases in FDIC insurance as a result of the change in the calculation method for deposit insurance assessments as discussed in the Deposits and other sources of funds section under the The Dodd-Frank Act reform of deposit insurance heading, increases in the credit to the provision for unfunded commitments as a result of improved credit quality and expense management philosophy adopted from our Keyvolution initiative.

Figure 12. Noninterest Expense

	Three months ended September 30,		Change		Nine months ended September 30,		Change	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**
Personnel	**$ 382**	$ 359	$ 23	6.4%	**$ 1,133**	$ 1,106	$ 27	2.4%
Net occupancy	**65**	70	(5)	(7.1)	**192**	200	(8)	(4.0)
Operating lease expense	**23**	40	(17)	(42.5)	**76**	114	(38)	(33.3)
Computer processing	**40**	46	(6)	(13.0)	**124**	140	(16)	(11.4)
Business services and professional fees	**47**	41	6	14.6	**129**	120	9	7.5
FDIC assessment	**7**	27	(20)	(74.1)	**45**	97	(52)	(53.6)
OREO expense, net	**1**	4	(3)	(75.0)	**8**	58	(50)	(86.2)
Equipment	**26**	24	2	8.3	**78**	74	4	5.4
Marketing	**16**	21	(5)	(23.8)	**36**	50	(14)	(28.0)
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	**(1)**	(10)	9	90.0	**(17)**	(22)	5	22.7
Other expense	**86**	114	(28)	(24.6)	**269**	353	(84)	(23.8)
Total noninterest expense	**$ 692**	736	$ (44)	(6.0)%	**$ 2,073**	$ 2,290	$ (217)	(9.5)%
Average full-time equivalent employees[(a)]	**15,490**	15,584	(94)	(.6)%	**15,381**	15,673	(292)	(1.9)%

(a) The number of average full-time-equivalent employees has not been adjusted for discontinued operations.

The following discussion explains the composition of certain elements of our noninterest expense and the factors that caused those elements to change.

Table of Contents

Personnel

As shown in Figure 13, personnel expense, the largest category of our noninterest expense, increased by $23 million, or 7%, when compared to the year-ago quarter and increased slightly from the first nine months of 2010. This was due primarily to increased incentive compensation on improved performance and an increase in employee benefits related to a change in certain pension plan assumptions, as previously reported in the third quarter of 2010. For the first nine months ended 2011, incentive compensation increased on improved performance while the aforementioned pension plan adjustments have resulted in lower levels of expense. The decrease in stock-based compensation resulted from forfeiture of performance based shares. For more information related to our pension plans, see Note 14 (Employee Benefits).

Figure 13. Personnel Expense

	Three months ended September 30,		Change		Nine months ended September 30,		Change	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**
Salaries	**$ 233**	$ 230	$ 3	1.3%	**$ 685**	$ 681	$ 4	.6%
Incentive compensation	**78**	69	9	13.0	**224**	181	43	23.8
Employee benefits	**54**	45	9	20.0	**174**	190	(16)	(8.4)
Stock-based compensation	**11**	12	(1)	(8.3)	**32**	41	(9)	(22.0)
Severance	**6**	3	3	100.0	**18**	13	5	38.5
Total personnel expense	**$ 382**	$ 359	$ 23	6.4%	**$ 1,133**	$ 1,106	$ 27	2.4%

Operating lease expense

The decreases in operating lease expense compared to both the year-ago quarter and the nine months ended one year ago are attributable to lower business volume. Income related to the rental of leased equipment is presented in Figure 8 as operating lease income.

Income taxes

We recorded tax expense from continuing operations of $95 million for the third quarter of 2011, $94 million for the second quarter of 2011 and $85 million for the third quarter of 2010. For the first nine months of 2011, we recorded tax expense from continuing operations of $300 million, and $14 million for the same period last year.

Our federal tax expense (benefit) differs from the amount that would be calculated using the federal statutory tax rate, primarily because we generate income from investments in tax-advantaged assets, such as corporate-owned life insurance, earn credits associated with investments in low-income housing projects, and make periodic adjustments to our tax reserves.

Additional information pertaining to how our tax expense (benefit) and the resulting effective tax rates were derived are included in Note 12 (Income Taxes) on page 138 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Line of Business Results

This section summarizes the financial performance and related strategic developments of our two major business segments (operating segments), Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank. Note 16 (Line of Business Results) describes the products and services offered by each of these business segments, provides more detailed financial information pertaining to the segments and their respective lines of business, and explains Other Segments and Reconciling Items.

Figure 14 summarizes the contribution made by each major business segment to our taxable-equivalent revenue from continuing operations and income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to Key for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

Figure 14. Major Business Segments - Taxable-Equivalent (TE) Revenue from Continuing Operations and Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations Attributable to Key

	Three months ended September 30,		Change		Nine months ended September 30,		Change	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**
REVENUE FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS (TE)								
Key Community Bank	**$ 565**	$ 596	$ (31)	(5.2)%	**$ 1,689**	$ 1,792	$ (103)	(5.7)%
Key Corporate Bank	**368**	424	(56)	(13.2)	**1,159**	1,202	(43)	(3.6)
Other Segments	**105**	114	(9)	(7.9)	**272**	313	(41)	(13.1)
Total Segments	**1,038**	1,134	(96)	(8.5)	**3,120**	3,307	(187)	(5.7)
Reconciling Items		(1)	1	N/M	**3**	23	(20)	(87.0)
Total	**$ 1,038**	$ 1,133	$ (95)	(8.4)%	**$ 3,123**	$ 3,330	$ (207)	(6.2)%
INCOME (LOSS) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS ATTRIBUTABLE TO KEY								
Key Community Bank	**$ 58**	$ 53	$ 5	9.4%	**$ 173**	$ 97	$ 76	78.4%
Key Corporate Bank	**122**	134	(12)	(9.0)	**409**	135	274	203.0
Other Segments	**55**	17	38	223.5	**158**	(3)	161	N/M
Total Segments	**235**	204	31	15.2	**740**	229	511	223.1
Reconciling Items	**(1)**		(1)	N/M	**17**	15	2	13.3
Total	**$ 234**	$ 204	$ 30	14.7%	**$ 757**	$ 244	$ 513	210.2%

Key Community Bank summary of operations

As shown in Figure 15, Key Community Bank recorded net income attributable to Key of $58 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared to a net income of $53 million for the third quarter of 2010. Decreases in the provision for loan and lease losses and noninterest expenses were partially offset by lower net interest income in the third quarter of 2011.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income declined by $32 million, or 8%, from the third quarter of 2010. Average earning assets decreased by $557 million, or 2%, from the year-ago quarter, reflecting reductions in the commercial and home equity loan portfolios. Average deposits declined by $1 billion, or 2%, as higher-costing certificates of deposit matured, partially offset by growth in noninterest-bearing deposits and NOW and money market deposit accounts.

Noninterest income increased by $1 million, or 1%, from the year-ago quarter, primarily due to higher income from electronic banking fees and letter of credit and loan fees. This was partially offset by lower insurance income.

The provision for loan and lease losses declined by $36 million, or 48%, compared to the third quarter of 2010 due to lower net charge-offs and nonperforming loans from the same period one year ago.

Noninterest expense declined by $3 million, or 1%, from the year-ago quarter. The decrease was driven by reductions in FDIC deposit insurance premiums of $18 million, partially offset by increases in personnel expense and real estate costs associated with investments in our branch network.

Table of Contents

Figure 15. Key Community Bank

dollars in millions	Three months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Change Amount	Percent	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Change Amount	Percent
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS								
Net interest income (TE)	**$ 371**	$ 403	$ (32)	(7.9)%	**$ 1,123**	$ 1,223	$ (100)	(8.2)%
Noninterest income	**194**	193	1	.5	**566**	569	(3)	(.5)
Total revenue (TE)	**565**	596	(31)	(5.2)	**1,689**	1,792	(103)	(5.7)
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**39**	75	(36)	(48.0)	**130**	339	(209)	(61.7)
Noninterest expense	**456**	459	(3)	(.7)	**1,349**	1,361	(12)	(.9)
Income (loss) before income taxes (TE)	**70**	62	8	12.9	**210**	92	118	128.3
Allocated income taxes and TE adjustments	**12**	9	3	33.3	**37**	(5)	42	N/M
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**$ 58**	53	$ 5	9.4%	**$ 173**	$ 97	$ 76	78.4%
AVERAGE BALANCES								
Loans and leases	**$ 26,270**	$ 26,772	$ (502)	(1.9)%	**$ 26,275**	$ 27,249	$ (974)	(3.6)%
Total assets	**29,681**	30,009	(328)	(1.1)	**29,703**	30,396	(693)	(2.3)
Deposits	**47,672**	48,682	(1,010)	(2.1)	**47,831**	50,167	(2,336)	(4.7)
Assets under management at period end	**$ 17,195**	$ 17,816	$ (621)	(3.5)%	**$ 17,195**	$ 17,816	$ (621)	(3.5)%

ADDITIONAL COMMUNITY BANKING DATA

dollars in millions	Three months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Change Amount	Percent	Nine months ended September 30, 2011	2010	Change Amount	Percent
AVERAGE DEPOSITS OUTSTANDING								
NOW and money market deposit accounts	**$ 21,967**	$ 20,123	$ 1,844	9.2%	**$ 21,773**	$ 19,403	$ 2,370	12.2%
Savings deposits	**1,971**	1,872	99	5.3	**1,949**	1,852	97	5.2
Certificates of deposits ($100,000 or more)	**3,862**	5,449	(1,587)	(29.1)	**4,149**	6,463	(2,314)	(35.8)
Other time deposits	**6,928**	9,597	(2,669)	(27.8)	**7,397**	11,123	(3,726)	(33.5)
Deposits in foreign office	**336**	368	(32)	(8.7)	**382**	430	(48)	(11.2)
Noninterest-bearing deposits	**12,608**	11,273	1,335	11.8	**12,181**	10,896	1,285	11.8
Total deposits	**$ 47,672**	$ 48,682	$ (1,010)	(2.1)%	**$ 47,831**	$ 50,167	$ (2,336)	(4.7)%
HOME EQUITY LOANS								
Average balance	**$ 9,388**	$ 9,709						
Weighted-average loan-to-value ratio (at date of origination)	**70%**	70%						
Percent first lien positions	**53**	52						
OTHER DATA								
Branches	**1,063**	1,029						
Automated teller machines	**1,584**	1,522						

Key Corporate Bank summary of operations

As shown in Figure 16, Key Corporate Bank recorded net income attributable to Key of $122 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared to net income attributable to Key of $134 million for the third quarter of 2010. This decline was driven by lower net interest income and investment banking and capital markets income. The decrease in revenues was partially offset by a significant decline in noninterest expense. The provision for loan and lease losses also decreased as net charge-offs significantly declined between periods.

Taxable-equivalent net interest income decreased by $29 million, or 15%, compared to the third quarter of 2010, due to lower average deposits and average earning assets. Average deposits declined by $1 billion, or 9%, from one year ago primarily as a result of the movement of $1.5 billion in escrow balances out of the Real Estate Capital line of business to a third party in the first quarter of 2011. Average earning assets decreased by $2.9 billion, or 13%, from the year-ago quarter, while the earning asset spread improved due to lower levels of nonperforming assets and better pricing helping to partially offset volume-related declines.

Noninterest income declined by $27 million, or 12%, from the third quarter of 2010. Contributing to the decline in noninterest income was the impact from a slowing merger and acquisition market and the third quarter volatility in the capital markets, which resulted in a decrease in investment banking and capital markets income of $18 million. In addition, letter of credit and loan fees and operating lease revenue both decreased $7 million from the year-ago quarter. These declines were partially offset by improvements in gains on leased equipment of $5 million and mortgage banking fees of $3 million.

Table of Contents

The provision for loan and lease losses in the third quarter of 2011 was a credit of $40 million compared to a credit of $25 million for the same period one year ago. Key Corporate Bank continued to experience improved asset quality for the eighth quarter in a row.

Noninterest expense decreased by $21 million, or 9%, from the third quarter of 2010. Contributing to the improvement in expense levels were decreases in operating lease expense of $7 million, corporate support costs of $5 million, and various other miscellaneous expense items of $11 million. Personnel expense also declined $4 million as a result of lower investment banking and capital markets income. These improvements were partially offset by an increase in the provision for losses on lending-related commitments of $5 million.

Figure 16. Key Corporate Bank

	Three months ended September 30,		Change		Nine months ended September 30,		Change	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**	**2011**	**2010**	**Amount**	**Percent**
SUMMARY OF OPERATIONS								
Net interest income (TE)	**$ 170**	$ 199	$ (29)	(14.6)%	**$ 528**	$ 592	$ (64)	(10.8)%
Noninterest income	**198**	225	(27)	(12.0)	**631**	610	21	3.4
Total revenue (TE)	**368**	424	(56)	(13.2)	**1,159**	1,202	(43)	(3.6)
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**(40)**	(25)	(15)	N/M	**(137)**	235	(372)	N/M
Noninterest expense	**216**	237	(21)	(8.9)	**650**	758	(108)	(14.2)
Income (loss) before income taxes (TE)	**192**	212	(20)	(9.4)	**646**	209	437	209.1
Allocated income taxes and TE adjustments	**70**	79	(9)	(11.4)	**236**	75	161	214.7
Net income (loss)	**122**	133	(11)	(8.3)	**410**	134	276	206.0
Less: Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests		(1)	1	N/M	**1**	(1)	2	N/M
Net income (loss) attributable to Key	**$ 122**	$ 134	$ (12)	(9.0)%	**$ 409**	$ 135	$ 274	203.0%
AVERAGE BALANCES								
Loans and leases	**$ 16,985**	$ 19,540	$ (2,555)	(13.1)%	**$ 17,274**	$ 20,966	$ (3,692)	(17.6)%
Loans held for sale	**273**	380	(107)	(28.2)	**283**	334	(51)	(15.3)
Total assets	**21,168**	23,772	(2,604)	(11.0)	**21,459**	24,934	(3,475)	(13.9)
Deposits	**10,544**	11,565	(1,021)	(8.8)	**10,671**	12,056	(1,385)	(11.5)
Assets under management at period end	**$ 34,389**	$ 41,902	$ (7,513)	(17.9)%	**$ 34,389**	$ 41,902	$ (7,513)	(17.9)%

Other Segments

Other Segments consist of Corporate Treasury, Key s Principal Investing unit and various exit portfolios. Other Segments generated net income attributable to Key of $55 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared to net income attributable to Key of $17 million for the same period last year. These results are primarily attributable to a decrease in the provision for loan and lease losses of $34 million in the exit portfolio.

Table of Contents

Financial Condition

Loans and loans held for sale

At September 30, 2011, total loans outstanding from continuing operations were $48.2 billion, compared to $50.1 billion at December 31, 2010 and $51.4 billion at September 30, 2010. Loans related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business, which are excluded from total loans at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010, totaled $6.0 billion, $6.5 billion, and $6.6 billion, respectively. The decrease in our loans from continuing operations over the past twelve months reflects reductions in most of our portfolios, with the largest decline experienced in the commercial portfolio. This was a slower decline than what we had been experiencing in prior quarters and we believe we are near an inflection point in the loan portfolio where it will begin to show growth in average balances. While our clients remain somewhat cautious compared to prior recoveries, our lending pipelines are solid and we are actively supporting our clients borrowing needs. Compared to June 30, 2011, ending balance of total loans increased 355 million or .7%. For more information on balance sheet carrying value, see Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the headings Loans and Loans Held for Sale on page 101 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Commercial loan portfolio

Commercial loans outstanding were $33.2 billion at September 30, 2011, a decrease of $2.2 billion, or 6%, since September 30, 2010. This decrease was caused by continued soft demand for credit due to our clients use of the capital markets to raise debt and equity, and pay downs on our portfolios as clients continue to deleverage. Another reason for our commercial loan decreases are due to run-off in our exit loan portfolio as we continue to reduce our risk. Compared to June 30, 2011, our ending commercial loans increased by $531 million, or 1.6%.

Commercial, financial and agricultural. Our Commercial, Financial and Agricultural loans, also referred to as Commercial and Industrial, represent 37% of our total loan portfolio at September 30, 2011, 33% at December 31, 2010 and 32% at September 30, 2010 and are the largest component of our total loans. These loans are comprised of fixed and variable rate loans to our large, middle market and small business clients. These loans increased $1.4 billion or 8% from one year ago. As compared to the second quarter of 2011, quarterly average loan balances have increased approximately $459 million, or 2.7%, due to increased activity in our industrial sectors and middle market lending in all three of our regions.

Commercial real estate loans. Commercial real estate loans represent approximately 20% of our total loan portfolio. These loans include both owner and nonowner-occupied properties and constitute approximately 28% of our commercial loan portfolio. These loans have decreased $3 billion, or 24%, to $9.4 billion at September 30, 2011, from $12.4 billion at September 30, 2010. When compared to the second quarter of 2011, these loans have decreased by $286 million. This quarterly decrease is the result of continued market liquidity for these assets.

As shown in Figure 17, at September 30, 2011, our commercial real estate portfolio included mortgage loans of $7.9 billion and construction loans of $1.5 billion, representing 17% and 3%, respectively, of our total loans. Nonowner-occupied loans represented 12% of our total loans and owner-occupied loans represented 7% of our total loans. The average size of mortgage loans originated during the third quarter of 2011 was $3.2 million, and our largest mortgage loan at September 30, 2011, had a balance of $65 million. At September 30, 2011, our average construction loan commitment was $3.7 million. Our largest construction loan commitment was $56 million, and our largest construction amount outstanding was $48 million.

Our commercial real estate lending business is conducted through two primary sources: our 14-state banking franchise, and KeyBank Real Estate Capital, a national line of business that cultivates relationships both within and beyond the branch system. This line of business deals primarily with nonowner-occupied properties (generally properties for which at least 50% of the debt service is provided by rental income from nonaffiliated third parties) and accounted for approximately 56% of our average year-to-date commercial real estate loans during the third quarter of 2011, compared to 61% one year ago. Our commercial real estate business generally focuses on larger owners and operators of commercial real estate. Figure 17 includes commercial mortgage and construction loans in both Key Community Bank and Key Corporate Bank. As shown in Figure 17, this loan portfolio is diversified by both property type and geographic location of the underlying collateral.

Table of Contents

Figure 17. Commercial Real Estate Loans

September 30, 2011	Geographic Region							Percent of	Commercial	
dollars in millions	West	Southwest	Central	Midwest	Southeast	Northeast	Total	Total	Construction	Mortgage
Nonowner-occupied:										
Retail properties	$ 338	$ 138	$ 220	$ 233	$ 386	$ 200	$ 1,515	16.1%	$ 269	$ 1,246
Multifamily properties	228	143	191	275	328	240	1,405	14.9	384	1,021
Health facilities	189	6	163	115	207	175	855	9.1	50	805
Office buildings	116	65	77	102	60	251	671	7.1	112	559
Warehouses	229		44	107	77	82	539	5.7	30	509
Residential properties	52	19	37	70	52	62	292	3.1	196	96
Hotels/Motels	60		23	6	84	43	216	2.3	42	174
Land and development	19	13	29	10	35	67	173	1.8	160	13
Manufacturing facilities	1		1	8	33	6	49	.5		49
Other	61	2	13	30	96	94	296	3.2	12	284
Total nonowner-occupied	1,293	386	798	956	1,358	1,220	6,011	63.8	1,255	4,756
Owner-occupied	1,375	36	312	772	129	779	3,403	36.2	201	3,202
Total	$ 2,668	$ 422	$ 1,110	$ 1,728	$ 1,487	$ 1,999	$ 9,414	100.0%	$ 1,456	$ 7,958
Nonowner-occupied:										
Nonperforming loans	$ 50	$ 49	$ 2	$ 39	$ 38	$ 50	$ 228	N/M	$ 87	$ 141
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more						8	8	N/M	8	
Accruing loans past due 30 through 89 days	14		7	14	47	23	105	N/M	8	97

West	Alaska, California, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Oregon, Washington and Wyoming
Southwest	Arizona, Nevada and New Mexico
Central	Arkansas, Colorado, Oklahoma, Texas and Utah
Midwest	Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota and Wisconsin
Southeast	Alabama, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia, Washington D.C. and West Virginia
Northeast	Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island and Vermont

In the first nine months of 2011, nonperforming loans related to nonowner-occupied properties decreased by $180 million to $228 million and also decreased by $342 million when compared to September 30, 2010.

For the period 2008 2010, the secondary market for income-property loans was severely constrained. During this period of time, we provided interim financing for certain maturing income property loans. Beginning with the second half of 2010 and continuing throughout the third quarter of 2011, market liquidity for income property loans showed significant improvement. Consequently, our clients need for interim financing has diminished and our portfolio of nonowner-occupied income property loans has shown a steady decrease in outstanding principal balances. Since September 30, 2010, our nonowner occupied commercial real estate portfolio has been reduced by approximately $2.6 billion, or 30%. Nonetheless, there are circumstances where a client requests a loan extension. In cases where the loan terms were extended at less than normal market rates for similar lending arrangements, we have transferred these loans to the Asset Recovery Group for resolution. During the first nine months of 2011, there were $168 million of new restructured loans included in nonperforming loans, of which $56 million related to commercial real estate.

As shown in Figure 17, at September 30, 2011, 64% of our commercial real estate loans were for nonowner-occupied properties compared to 69% at September 30, 2010. Approximately 21% and 28% of these loans were construction loans at September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Typically, these properties are not fully leased at the origination of the loan. The borrower relies upon additional leasing through the life of the loan to provide the cash flow necessary to support debt service payments. Uncertain economic conditions generally slow the execution of new leases and may also lead to the turnover of existing leases, driving rental rates and occupancy rates down. As we have experienced during the first nine months of 2011, we expect vacancy rates for retail, office and industrial space to remain elevated and may possibly further increase into 2012.

Commercial real estate fundamentals are bottoming, and for certain sectors (i.e., apartments) showing signs of improvement. According to Property and Portfolio Research, Inc., vacancy declined modestly across the four major property sectors in 2010 (with significant declines in apartment vacancy). Rent growth, however, remains negative for retail and industrial and basically flat for office. Rents should be nearing their trough, but are unlikely to post any meaningful gains over the near-term. If there is an interruption in the slow improvement in market fundamentals, any resulting effect would likely be most noticeable in the nonowner-occupied properties segment of our commercial real estate loan portfolio, particularly in the retail properties and office buildings components, which comprise 23% of our commercial real estate loans.

Commercial property values peaked in the Fall of 2007, having experienced increases of approximately 30% since 2005 and 90% since 2001. The most recent Moody s Real Estate Analytics, LLC Commercial Property Price Index (July 2011) shows a 43% drop in values from the peak in October 2007. As of July 2011, the index is now at 110%, a 12.6% increase from April

Table of Contents

2011. April 2011 was the lowest point since the inception of the index in December of 2000. Market averages obscure divergent trends by asset quality and location. Over the past year, competition for the best assets in the top markets has driven prices higher, while weak demand and continued uncertainty is keeping prices for distressed assets low (and keeping trends negative).

If the factors described above result in further weakening in the fundamentals underlying the commercial real estate market (i.e., vacancy rates, the stability of rental income and asset values), leading to reduced cash flow to support debt service payments, our ability to collect such payments and the strength of our commercial real estate loan portfolio could be adversely affected.

Commercial lease financing. We conduct financing arrangements through our Key Equipment Finance line of business and have both the scale and array of products to compete in the equipment lease financing business. Commercial lease financing receivables represented 18% of commercial loans at September 30, 2011, and 19% at September 30, 2010.

Commercial loan modification and restructuring

Certain commercial loans are modified and extended in the normal course of business for our clients. Loan modifications vary and are handled on a case by case basis with strategies responsive to the specific circumstances of each loan and borrower. In many cases, borrowers have other resources and can reinforce the credit with additional capital, collateral, guarantees or income sources.

Modifications are negotiated to achieve mutually agreeable terms that maximize loan credit quality while at the same time meeting our clients financing needs. Modifications made to loans of creditworthy borrowers not experiencing financial difficulties and under circumstances where ultimate collection of all principal and interest is not in doubt are not classified as TDRs. In accordance with applicable accounting guidance, TDR classification occurs when the borrower is experiencing financial difficulties and a creditor concession has been granted.

Our concession types are primarily categorized as interest rate reductions, principal deferral, or forgiveness of principal. Loan extensions are sometimes coupled with these primary concession types. With improving economic conditions and the restructuring of these loans to provide the optimal opportunity for successful repayment by the borrower, we have seen successes as measured by restructured loans returning to accrual status and consistent performance according to the restructured loan terms in each primary type of concession over the last three quarters.

For more information on concession types for our commercial accruing and nonaccruing TDRs, see Note 4 (Asset Quality).

Figure 18 quantifies restructured loans, TDRs, using our three-note structure.

Figure 18. Commercial TDRs by Note Type and Accrual Status

in millions	**September 30, 2011**	**June 30, 2011**	**March 31, 2011**	**December 31, 2010**	**September 30, 2010**
Commercial TDRs by Note Type					
Tranche A	**$ 211**	$ 188	$ 172	$ 226	$ 277
Tranche B	**2**	3	10	14	29
Tranche C					1
Total Commercial TDRs	**$ 213**	$ 191	$ 182	$ 240	$ 307
Commercial TDRs by Accrual Status					
Nonaccruing	**$ 120**	$ 114	$ 110	$ 148	$ 179
Accruing	**93**	77	66	67	109
Held for sale			6	25	19
Total Commercial TDRs	**$ 213**	$ 191	$ 182	$ 240	$ 307

Total Commercial and Consumer TDRs	**$ 277**	$ 252	$ 242	$ 297	$ 360

The benefits derived from multiple-note TDRs are recognized when the underlying assets (predominantly commercial real estate) have been stabilized with a level of leverage supportable by ongoing cash flows. Right-sizing the A note to sustainable cash flow should ultimately allow for its return to accrual status and thereupon a resumption of interest income recognition. Similarly, appropriately-sized A notes will allow for upgraded credit classification based on rehabilitated credit metrics including demonstrated payment performance. Other benefits include the borrower s retention of ownership and

Table of Contents

control of the asset, deleveraged and sustainable capital structure (often sufficient to attract fresh capital into the transaction) and rehabilitation of local markets by minimizing distressed/fire sales.

As the objective of the multiple-note TDR is to achieve a fully performing and well-rated A note, we focus on sizing the A note to a level that is supported by cash flow available to service debt at current market terms and consistent with our customary underwriting standards. This typically will include a debt coverage ratio of 1.2 or better of cash flow to monthly payments of market interest and principal amortization of generally not more than 25 years.

The B note is typically an interest-only note with no required amortization until the property stabilizes and generates excess cash flow which is customarily applied directly to principal. The B note is subsequently evaluated at such time when accrual restoration of the A note is under consideration. In many cases, the B note has then been charged-off contemporaneously with the A note being returned to accrual status. Alternatively, both A and B notes may be simultaneously returned to accrual if credit metrics are supportive as set forth above. In many cases where a three note structure (A, B, C) has been utilized, the C notes are fully charged-off at the time of the TDR. In the very few instances where the C note is not charged-off, there is a pending equity event, additional leasing or pending sale of developed units that support the C note balance shortly after the TDR.

All loans processed as a TDR, including A notes and any non-charged-off B or C notes, are reported as TDRs during the year in which they are consummated. Returning an A note to accrual status requires a reasonable level of certainty that the balance of principal and interest is fully collectable over time.

Our policy requires a sustained period of timely principal and interest payments to restore a loan to accrual status. Primary repayment derived from property cash flow is evaluated for risk of continued sustainability while secondary repayment (collateral) is appraised to ensure that market value exceeds the carrying value of the A note with a sufficient excess (generally 20%). Although our policy is a guideline, considerable judgment is required to review each borrower s circumstances.

Extensions. Certain commercial loans are modified and extended in the normal course of business for our clients. Project loans are typically refinanced into the permanent commercial loan market at maturity; however, due to the limited sources of permanent commercial mortgage financing available in the market today and the market-wide decline in leasing activity and rental rates, an increased number of loans have been extended. Extension terms take into account the specific circumstances of the client relationship, the status of the project and near-term prospects for both the client and the collateral. In all cases, pricing and loan structure are reviewed and (where necessary) modified to ensure the loan has been priced to achieve a market rate of return and loan terms (i.e., amortization, covenants and term) that are appropriate for the risk. Typical enhancements include one or more of the following: principal paydown, increased amortization, additional collateral, increased guarantees, and/or a cash flow sweep. As previously mentioned, some maturing construction loans have automatic extension options built in and in those cases where the borrower qualifies for the extension option, pricing and loan terms cannot be altered. Most project loans by their nature are collateral-dependent as cash flow from the project loans or the sale of the real estate provides for repayment of the loan.

Pricing of a loan is determined based on the strength of the borrowing entity and the strength of the guarantor, if any. Therefore, pricing may remain the same (e.g., the loan is already priced at or above current market). We do not consider loan extensions in the normal course of business (under existing loan terms or at market rates) as TDRs, particularly when ultimate collection of all principal and interest is not in doubt and no concession has been made. In the case of loan extensions outside of the normal course of business where either collection of all principal and interest is uncertain or a concession has been made, we would analyze such credit under the accounting guidance to determine whether it qualifies as a TDR. Extensions that qualify as TDRs are measured for impairment under the applicable accounting guidance.

Guarantors. A detailed guarantor analysis is conducted (1) for all new extensions of credit, (2) at the time of any material modification/extension, and (3) typically annually, as part of our on-going portfolio and loan monitoring procedures. This analysis includes submission by the guarantor entity of all appropriate financial statements including balance sheets, income statements, tax returns, and real estate schedules.

While the specific steps of each guarantor analysis may have some minor differences, the high level objectives include reaching a conclusion regarding the overall financial conditions of the guarantor entities, including: size, quality, and nature of asset base; net worth (adjusted to reflect our opinion of market value); leverage; standing liquidity; recurring cash flow; contingent and direct debt obligations; and near term debt maturities.

Table of Contents

Borrower and guarantor financial statements are required at least annually within 90-120 days of the calendar/fiscal year end. Income statements and rent rolls for project collateral are required quarterly. In some cases, disclosure of certain information including liquidity, certifications, status of asset sales or debt resolutions, and real estate schedules may be required more frequently.

We routinely seek performance from guarantors of impaired debt, if the guarantor is solvent. In limited circumstances, we would not seek to enforce the guaranty, including situations in which we are precluded by bankruptcy and/or it is determined the cost to pursue a guarantor exceeds the value to be returned given the guarantor s verified financial condition. We are often successful in obtaining either monetary payment and/or the cooperation of our solvent guarantors to help mitigate loss, cost and the expense of collections.

As of September 30, 2011, we had $262 million of mortgage and construction loans that had a loan to value ratio greater than 1.0, and were accounted for as performing loans. These loans were not considered impaired due to one or more of the following factors: underlying cash flow adequate to service the debt at a market rate of return with adequate amortization; a satisfactory borrower payment history; and acceptable guarantor support.

Consumer loan portfolio

Consumer loans outstanding decreased by $940 million, or 6%, from one year ago. Most of the decrease is attributable to the marine segment, which is included in our exit portfolio, as shown in Figure 35 in the Credit risk management section.

The home equity portfolio is the largest segment of our consumer loan portfolio. Virtually this entire portfolio (94% at September 30, 2011) is derived primarily from our Key Community Bank. The remainder of the portfolio, which has been in an exit mode since the fourth quarter of 2007, was originated from the Consumer Finance line of business and is now included in Other Segments. Home equity loans from Key Community Bank decreased by $308 million, or 3%, over the past twelve months.

Figure 19 summarizes our home equity loan portfolio by source at the end of each of the last five quarters, as well as certain asset quality statistics and yields on the portfolio as a whole.

Figure 19. Home Equity Loans

	2011			2010	
dollars in millions	**Third**	**Second**	**First**	**Fourth**	**Third**
SOURCES OF PERIOD END LOANS					
Key Community Bank	**$ 9,347**	**$ 9,431**	**$ 9,421**	$ 9,514	$ 9,655
Other	**565**	**595**	**627**	666	707
Total	**$ 9,912**	**$ 10,026**	**$ 10,048**	$ 10,180	$ 10,362
Nonperforming loans at period end	**$ 114**	**$ 112**	**$ 112**	$ 120	$ 122
Net loan charge-offs for the period	**26**	**37**	**38**	39	48
Yield for the period [(a)]	**4.33%**	**4.35%**	**4.36%**	4.39%	4.43%

(a) From continuing operations.

As previously reported, we have experienced a decrease in our consumer loan portfolio. We expect that the portfolio will continue to decrease in future periods as a result of our actions to exit dealer-originated home equity loans and indirect retail lending for marine and recreational vehicle products. We ceased originating new education loans effective December 5, 2009 and account for this business in discontinued operations.

In the latter half of 2010, there was public controversy surrounding the foreclosure practices of large home lenders. Our number of home loan foreclosures is small (the average number of new mortgage foreclosures serviced by Key and third parties, initiated per month, through September 30, 2011 was 121; mortgage loans serviced by Key and third parties outstanding at September 30, 2011 were approximately 225,000 loans) and primarily have occurred in our home equity loan portfolio. A review of our foreclosure processes completed in the first quarter of

2011 did not uncover any material defects in the process of signing and notarizing affidavits.

Table of Contents

Loans held for sale

As shown in Note 3 (Loans and Loans Held for Sale), our loans held for sale increased to $ 479 million at September 30, 2011 from $467 million at December 31, 2010 and totaled $637 million at September 30, 2010. Loans held for sale related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business, which are excluded from total loans held for sale at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, totaled $15 million and $15 million, respectively. There were no loans held for sale related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business at September 30, 2011.

At September 30, 2011, loans held for sale included $325 million of commercial mortgages, which decreased by $2 million from September 30, 2010, and $79 million of residential mortgage loans, which decreased $13 million from September 30, 2010.

Loan sales

As shown in Figure 20, during the first nine months of 2011, we sold $1.5 billion of commercial real estate loans, $991 million of residential real estate loans, and $87 million of commercial loans. Most of these sales came from the held-for-sale portfolio.

Figure 20 summarizes our loan sales for the first nine months of 2011 and all of 2010.

Figure 20. Loans Sold (Including Loans Held for Sale)

in millions	Commercial	Commercial Real Estate	Commercial Lease Financing	Residential Real Estate	Total
2011					
Third quarter	**$ 23**	**$ 355**		**$ 303**	**$ 681**
Second quarter	**18**	**761**		**250**	**1,029**
First quarter	**46**	**397**		**438**	**881**
Total	**$ 87**	**$ 1,513**		**$ 991**	**$ 2,591**
2010					
Fourth quarter	$ 171	$ 530	$ 29	$ 525	$ 1,255
Third quarter	105	200	35	372	712
Second quarter	75	336		348	759
First quarter	19	158		328	505
Total	$ 370	$ 1,224	$ 64	$ 1,573	$ 3,231[(a)]

(a) Excludes loans of $487 million sold during 2010 that relate to the discontinued operations of the education lending business.

Figure 21 shows loans that are either administered or serviced by us, but not recorded on the balance sheet. The table includes loans that have been sold.

Figure 21. Loans Administered or Serviced

in millions	September 30, 2011	June 30, 2011	March 31, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
Commercial real estate loans	**$ 102,734**	**$ 107,077**	**$ 115,369**	$ 117,071	$ 119,294
Commercial lease financing	**573**	**639**	**657**	706	624

Commercial loans	**288**	**277**	**272**	269	259
Total	**$ 103,595**	**$ 107,993**	**$ 116,298**	$ 118,046	$ 120,177

In the event of default by a borrower, we are subject to recourse with respect to approximately $858 million of the $103.6 billion of loans administered or serviced at September 30, 2011. Additional information about this recourse arrangement is included in Note 12 (Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) under the heading Recourse agreement with FNMA.

We derive income from several sources when retaining the right to administer or service loans that are sold. We earn noninterest income (recorded as other income) from fees for servicing or administering loans. This fee income is reduced by the amortization of related servicing assets. In addition, we earn interest income from investing funds generated by escrow deposits collected in connection with the servicing of commercial real estate loans.

Table of Contents

Securities

Our securities portfolio totaled $18.8 billion at September 30, 2011, compared to $22.0 billion at December 31, 2010, and $21.3 billion at September 30, 2010. Held-to-maturity securities were $1.2 billion at September 30, 2011, compared to $17 million at December 31, 2010, primarily reflecting increases in agency mortgage-backed securities, as we increased our on-balance sheet liquidity in response to potential future changes in regulatory capital rules. At September 30, 2011, we had $1.2 billion in CMOs in our held-to-maturity securities portfolio.

Securities available for sale

The majority of our securities available-for-sale portfolio consists of CMOs, which are debt securities secured by a pool of mortgages or mortgage-backed securities. CMOs generate interest income and serve as collateral to support certain pledging agreements. At September 30, 2011, we had $17.5 billion invested in CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities in the available-for-sale portfolio, compared to $21.7 billion at December 31, 2010 and $21.1 billion at September 30, 2010.

As shown in Figure 22, all of our mortgage-backed securities are issued by government-sponsored enterprises or GNMA, and are traded in highly liquid secondary markets and recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. For more information about these securities, see Note 5 (Fair Value Measurements) under the heading Qualitative Disclosures of Valuation Techniques.

Figure 22. Mortgage-Backed Securities by Issuer

in millions	**September 30, 2011**	**December 31, 2010**	**September 30, 2010**
FHLMC	**$ 8,885**	$ 10,373	$ 9,759
FNMA	**5,962**	7,357	7,060
GNMA	**3,843**	4,004	4,248
Total	**$ 18,690**[(a)]	$ 21,734	$ 21,067

(a) includes both available for sale and held-to-maturity securities.

During the first nine months of 2011, we had net gains of $251 million from CMOs and other mortgage-backed securities, of which $253 million were net unrealized gains and $2 million were net realized losses. The net unrealized gains resulted from a decrease in market interest rates and were recorded in the AOCI component of shareholders equity. We continue to maintain a moderate asset-sensitive exposure to near-term changes in interest rates.

We periodically evaluate our securities available-for-sale portfolio in light of established A/LM objectives, changing market conditions that could affect the profitability of the portfolio, and the level of interest rate risk to which we are exposed. These evaluations may cause us to take steps to adjust our overall balance sheet positioning.

In addition, the size and composition of our securities available-for-sale portfolio could vary with our needs for liquidity and the extent to which we are required (or elect) to hold these assets as collateral to secure public funds and trust deposits. Although we generally use debt securities for this purpose, other assets, such as securities purchased under resale agreements or letters of credit, are used occasionally when they provide a lower cost of collateral or more favorable risk profiles.

During the third quarter of 2011, our investing activities continued to complement other balance sheet developments and provide for our ongoing liquidity management needs. In the first half of 2011, we chose not to reinvest the monthly security cash flows during February and March and also sold approximately $1.6 billion of CMOs. These actions provided the liquidity necessary to address the funding requirements arising from the loss of certain escrow deposit balances related to commercial mortgage securitizations serviced by Key and rated by Moody s, and also contributed to our preparations for TARP repayment in March 2011.

Figure 23 shows the composition, yields and remaining maturities of our securities available for sale. For more information about these securities, including gross unrealized gains and losses by type of security and securities pledged, see Note 6 (Securities).

90

Table of Contents

Figure 23. Securities Available for Sale

dollars in millions	U.S. Treasury, Agencies and Corporations	States and Political Subdivisions	Collateralized Mortgage Obligations [a]	Other Mortgage-Backed Securities [a]	Other Securities [b]	Total	Weighted-Average Yield [c]
September 30, 2011							
Remaining maturity:							
One year or less		**$ 1**	**$ 501**		**$ 4**	**$ 506**	**4.72%**
After one through five years		**16**	**16,182**	**$ 800**	**10**	**17,008**	**3.14**
After five through ten years		**48**		**38**		**86**	**5.67**
After ten years				**12**		**12**	**5.50**
Fair value		**$ 65**	**$ 16,683**	**$ 850**	**$ 14**	**$ 17,612**	
Amortized cost		**62**	**16,108**	**780**	**14**	**16,964**	**3.20%**
Weighted-average yield [c]		**5.95%**	**3.11%**	**4.88%**		**3.20%** [d]	
Weighted-average maturity		**6.3 years**	**2.2 years**	**3.0 years**	**2.4 years**	**2.2 years**	
December 31, 2010							
Fair value	$ 8	$ 172	$ 20,665	$ 1,069	$ 19	$ 21,933	
Amortized cost	8	170	20,344	998	15	21,535	3.28%
September 30, 2010							
Fair value	$ 8	$ 77	$ 19,886	$ 1,181	$ 89	$ 21,241	
Amortized cost	8	73	19,197	1,097	76	20,451	3.43%

(a) Maturity is based upon expected average lives rather than contractual terms.

(b) Includes primarily marketable equity securities.

(c) Weighted-average yields are calculated based on amortized cost. Such yields have been adjusted to a taxable-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%.

(d) Excludes $14 million of securities at September 30, 2011, that have no stated yield.

Held-to-maturity securities

Federal Agency CMOs, constitute most of our held-to-maturity securities along with foreign bonds and preferred equity securities. The increase in our held-to-maturity securities was a result of purchases of Federal Agency CMOs as we increased this portfolio in response to potential future changes in regulatory capital rules. Figure 24 shows the composition, yields and remaining maturities of these securities.

Figure 24. Held-to-Maturity Securities

dollars in millions	Collateralized Mortgage Obligations	States and Political Subdivisions	Other Securities	Total	Weighted-Average Yield [a]
September 30, 2011					
Remaining maturity:					

One year or less			**$**	**1**	**$**	**9**	**$**	**10**		**3.06%**
After one through five years	**$**	**1,157**				**9**		**1,166**		**2.15**
Amortized cost	**$**	**1,157**	**$**	**1**	**$**	**18**	**$**	**1,176**		**2.15%**
Fair value		**1,167**		**1**		**18**		**1,186**		
Weighted-average yield		**2.14%**		**8.23%**		**3.15%**[(b)]		**2.15%**[(b)]		
Weighted-average maturity		**2.7 years**		**.8 years**		**1.6 years**		**2.7 years**		
December 31, 2010										
Amortized cost			$	1	$	16	$	17		3.71%
Fair value				1		16		17		
September 30, 2010										
Amortized cost			$	2	$	16	$	18		4.12%
Fair value				2		16		18		

(a) Weighted-average yields are calculated based on amortized cost. Such yields have been adjusted to a taxable-equivalent basis using the statutory federal income tax rate of 35%.

(b) Excludes $5 million of securities at September 30, 2011, that have no stated yield.

Table of Contents

Other investments

Principal investments investments in equity and mezzanine instruments made by our Principal Investing unit represented 63% of other investments at September 30, 2011. They include direct investments (investments made in a particular company) as well as indirect investments (investments made through funds that include other investors). Principal investments are predominantly made in privately held companies and are carried at fair value ($759 million at September 30, 2011, $898 million at December 31, 2010, and $945 million at September 30, 2010). During the first half of 2011, employees who managed our various principal investments formed two independent entities that will serve as investment managers of these investments going forward. Under this arrangement, which was mutually agreeable to both parties, these individuals are no longer employees of Key. As a result of these changes, during the second quarter of 2011, we deconsolidated certain of these direct and indirect investments, totaling $234 million.

In addition to principal investments, other investments include other equity and mezzanine instruments, such as certain real estate-related investments that are carried at fair value, as well as other types of investments that generally are carried at cost.

Most of our other investments are not traded on an active market. We determine the fair value at which these investments should be recorded based on the nature of the specific investment and all available relevant information. Among other things, our review may encompass such factors as the issuer s past financial performance and future potential, the values of public companies in comparable businesses, the risks associated with the particular business or investment type, current market conditions, the nature and duration of resale restrictions, the issuer s payment history, our knowledge of the industry and third party data. During the first nine months of 2011, net gains from our principal investing activities (including results attributable to noncontrolling interests) totaled $86 million, which includes $67 million of net unrealized gains. These net gains are recorded as net gains (losses) from principal investing on the income statement.

Deposits and other sources of funds

Domestic deposits are our primary source of funding. During the third quarter of 2011, these deposits averaged $58.2 billion and represented 81% of the funds we used to support loans and other earning assets, compared to $60.9 billion and 79% during the same quarter in 2010. The composition of our average deposits is shown in Figure 6 in the section entitled Net interest income.

The decrease in average domestic deposits in the third quarter of 2011, compared to the third quarter of 2010, was due to a decline in certificates of deposit ($100,000 or more) and other time deposits and was partially offset by an increase in NOW and money market deposit accounts, and noninterest-bearing deposits. The mix of deposits continues to change as higher-costing certificates of deposit mature and re-price to current market rates and clients move their balances to transaction and nonmaturity deposit accounts, such as NOW and money market savings accounts, or look for other alternatives for investing in the current low-rate environment.

Compared to the second quarter of 2011, we experienced a $1.2 billion or 2.8%, unannualized increase in non-time deposits during the third quarter of 2011, as our improved mix of deposits continued. The average balances of time deposits declined approximately $700 million during the third quarter of 2011. At September 30, 2011, we had $11.2 billion in time deposits outstanding and approximately 74% of the certificates of deposit outstanding will mature over the next five quarters. The weighted average rate paid on new and renewed certificates of deposit was 0.25%.

Wholesale funds, consisting of deposits in our foreign office and short-term borrowings, averaged $3 billion during the third quarter of 2011, compared to $3.9 billion during the year-ago quarter. The change from the third quarter of 2010 resulted from a $283 million decrease in foreign office deposits, a $576 million decrease in federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase and a $71 million decrease in bank notes and other short-term borrowings.

The Dodd-Frank Act s reform of deposit insurance

The Dodd-Frank Act made permanent the current FDIC standard maximum deposit insurance coverage limit of $250,000, and provided for temporary unlimited FDIC deposit insurance until January 1, 2013, for non interest-bearing demand transaction accounts, including Interest on Lawyers Trust Accounts, for all insured depository institutions effective December 31, 2010 (concurrent with the expiration date of the current TAG Program extension). Since December 31, 2010, KeyBank

Table of Contents

has offered noninterest-bearing demand transaction accounts, with unlimited FDIC deposit insurance, similar to when we participated in the TAG.

Substantially all of KeyBank s domestic deposits are insured up to applicable limits by the FDIC. The FDIC assesses an insured depository institution an amount for deposit insurance premiums equal to its deposit insurance assessment base times a risk-based assessment rate. Under the risk-based assessment system, effective for the first quarter of 2011, annualized deposit insurance premium assessments ranged from $.07 to $.775 for each $100 of assessable domestic deposits based on the institution s risk category.

As discussed in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K on page 9 in the section titled Federal Deposit Insurance Act, under the heading Deposit Insurance Assessments , in order to adhere to the requirements imposed upon the DIF by the Dodd-Frank Act, the FDIC adopted a final rule, effective April 1, 2011, changing the basis for assessments from domestic deposits to average consolidated total assets minus average tangible equity, and implementing a new assessment system. Under the new assessment system, KeyBank s annualized deposit insurance premium assessments would range from $.025 to $.45 for each $100 of its new assessment base, depending on its new scorecard performance incorporating KeyBank s regulatory rating, ability to withstand asset and funding related stress, and relative magnitude of potential losses to the FDIC in the event of KeyBank s failure. We estimate that our 2011 expense for deposit insurance assessments will be approximately $55 million. As the end of the quarter, we had $329 million of prepaid FDIC insurance assessments remaining on our balance sheet from our December 2009 prepayment of 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012 estimated assessments. Prepayment was required of depository institutions under the FDIC s restoration plan for the DIF.

Subsequent to the effective date of the new FDIC assessment system discussed above, on April 15, 2011, the FDIC published a Notice of Proposed Assessment Rate Adjustment Guidelines in the Federal Register. The guidelines describe the process that the FDIC would follow to determine whether an adjustment, upward or downward, to the score used to calculate the assessment rate for a large or highly complex institution, such as KeyBank, should be made; and to notify an institution of an adjustment, if any. The proposal sets forth guidelines for the FDIC s use of its ability to adjust a large institution s assessment rate provided for under the new assessment effective April 1, 2011. Comments on the proposed guidelines were due by May 31, 2011. If the FDIC determined KeyBank to have an upward adjustment our assessment could be affected thereby increasing our FDIC insurance assessments.

Table of Contents

Capital

At September 30, 2011, our shareholders equity was $9.9 billion, down $1.2 billion from December 31, 2010. The following discusses certain factors that contributed to the change in our shareholders equity. For other factors that contributed to the change, see the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity (Unaudited).

As previously reported, on January 1, 2010, we adopted new consolidation accounting guidance which required us to consolidate our education loan securitization trusts (classified as discontinued assets and liabilities), thereby adding $2.8 billion in assets and liabilities to our balance sheet. As a result of adopting this new guidance, we recorded a cumulative effect adjustment (after-tax) of $45 million to beginning retained earnings on January 1, 2010. During the third quarter of 2011, we determined that the $45 million cumulative effect adjustment made related to the consolidation of these trusts on January 1, 2010 was incorrect. Further information regarding this error and its correction is provided in Note 11 (Divestiture and Discontinued Operations).

Updated comprehensive capital plan and redemption notices for certain capital securities

On June 10, 2011, we submitted to the Federal Reserve and provided to the OCC an updated Comprehensive Capital Plan, which set forth additional capital actions related to redemptions of certain outstanding capital securities. On August 1, 2011, the Federal Reserve informed us that it had no objections to the capital actions set forth in our updated capital plan.

On September 1, 2011, KeyCorp fully redeemed the following capital securities: KeyCorp Capital V, KeyCorp Capital VI, KeyCorp Capital VIII, and Union State Capital I. As previously reported, on September 1, 2011, KeyCorp submitted notices to the property trustee for the redemption in full of the capital securities issued by Union State Statutory Trust II and Union State Statutory Trust IV. Subsequent to the end of the quarter, capital securities issued by Union State Statutory Trust II and Union State Statutory Trust IV were redeemed on October 31, 2011 and October 7, 2011, respectively. Additionally, in August 2011, KeyCorp repurchased $22.6 million of capital securities issued by KeyCorp Capital VII.

On October 31, 2011, KeyCorp submitted notice to the property trustee for the redemption in full of the capital securities issued by KeyCorp Capital IX; such capital securities will be redeemed on December 15, 2011.

Provisions of the Dodd-Frank Act provide for the phase-out of Tier 1 capital treatment for capital securities beginning in 2013. As a result, the outstanding capital securities will eventually become Tier 2 capital. Management continues to evaluate its options with respect to the outstanding capital securities. Pursuant to the Dodd-Frank Act, the Federal Reserve has until January 21, 2012 to issue the relevant regulations.

Repurchase of TARP CPP preferred stock, warrant and completion of equity and debt offerings

As previously reported, Key completed the repurchase of the $2.5 billion of Series B Preferred Stock and corresponding warrant issued to the U.S. Treasury Department. As a result of the repurchase, we recorded a $49 million one-time deemed dividend in the first quarter of 2011 related to the remaining difference between the repurchase price and the carrying value of the preferred shares at the time of repurchase. Beginning with the second quarter of 2011, the repurchase resulted in the elimination of quarterly dividends of $31 million and discount amortization of $4 million, or $140 million on an annual basis, related to these preferred shares. In total, Key paid $2.867 billion to the U.S. Treasury during the investment period in the form of dividends, principal and repurchase of the warrant, resulting in a return to the U.S. Treasury of $367 million above the initial investment of $2.5 billion on November 14, 2008.

Dividends

During the first quarter of 2011, we made dividend payments of $31 million to the U.S. Treasury on the Series B Preferred Stock as a participant in the U.S. Treasury s TARP CPP. The repurchase of this Preferred Stock in March 2011 eliminated future quarterly dividends of $31 million, or $125 million on an annual basis.

During the third quarter of 2011, we made a dividend payment of $1.9375 per share or $5 million on our Series A Preferred Stock.

During the second quarter of 2011, our Board of Directors approved an increase in our quarterly cash dividend to $.03 per Common Share or $.12 on an annualized basis. As a result, during the third quarter of 2011, we made a dividend payment of $.03 per share, or $29 million, on our Common Shares.

94

Table of Contents

Common shares outstanding

Our Common Shares are traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol KEY. At September 30, 2011 our book value per Common Share was $10.09 based on 952.8 million shares outstanding at September 30, 2011, compared to $9.52 based on 880.6 million shares outstanding at December 31, 2010, and $9.54 based on 880.3 million shares outstanding at September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2011 our tangible book value per Common Share was $9.10 compared to $8.45 at December 31, 2010, and $8.46 at September 30, 2010.

Figure 25 shows activities that caused the change in outstanding Common Shares over the past five quarters.

Figure 25. Changes in Common Shares Outstanding

	2011			2010	
in thousands	**Third**	**Second**	**First**	**Fourth**	**Third**
Shares outstanding at beginning of period	**953,822**	**953,926**	**880,608**	880,328	880,515
Common shares issued			**70,621**		
Shares reissued (returned) under employee benefit plans	**(1,014)**	**(104)**	**2,697**	280	(187)
Shares outstanding at end of period	**952,808**	**953,822**	**953,926**	880,608	880,328

As shown above, Common Shares outstanding decreased by 1,014 thousand shares during the third quarter of 2011 from the net activity in our employee benefit plans.

At September 30, 2011, we had 64.2 million treasury shares, compared to 65.7 million treasury shares at December 31, 2010 and 66.0 million treasury shares at September 30, 2010. Going forward we expect to reissue treasury shares as needed in connection with stock-based compensation awards and for other corporate purposes.

In the past we have periodically repurchased Common Shares, for employee benefit plans without regulatory approval, in the open market or through privately negotiated transactions under a repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors. The existing program does not have an expiration date, and we have outstanding Board authority to repurchase 13.9 million shares. We did not repurchase any Common Shares during the first nine months of 2011 or all of 2010. Regulatory approval will be required for any future Common Share repurchases, including under the existing Board authority.

Capital plan and proposed actions

As part of its ongoing supervisory process, the Federal Reserve requires a BHC to submit an annual Comprehensive Capital Plan as well as to update such plan to reflect material changes in a firm s risk profile, business strategies, or corporate structure, including but not limited to changes in planned capital actions. On January 7, 2011, we submitted our Comprehensive Capital Plan to the Federal Reserve. On March 18, 2011, the Federal Reserve informed us that it had no objection to our Comprehensive Capital Plan following its Comprehensive Capital Analysis and Review (CCAR).

On June 10, 2011, we submitted to the Federal Reserve and provided to the OCC an updated Comprehensive Capital Plan, which set forth additional capital actions related to redemptions of certain outstanding capital securities. On August 1, 2011**,** the Federal Reserve informed us that it had no objections to the capital actions set forth in our updated capital plan.

We are in the process of finalizing our 2012 profit and capital plan at this time. This is critical input to our CCAR submission that we expect to complete during the fourth quarter of 2011 and submit to the regulators with our 2012 capital actions request. As we update our stress scenarios like others in the industry, we will need to incorporate into our analysis the regulatory stress scenario once it is available. Our priorities with respect to capital management will include reviewing for a possible dividend increase and seeking authority for potential share repurchases. However, until we have additional transparency into the 2012 CCAR process, we are not able state what actions, if any we may be able to take.

As previously reported, on August 2, 2011, KeyCorp submitted redemption notices to the property trustee for the redemption in full of each of the following capital securities: KeyCorp Capital V, KeyCorp Capital VI, KeyCorp Capital VIII, and Union State Capital I.

For a discussion of the Federal Reserve s proposed rule on capital plans see the section titled Supervision and Regulation under the heading Capital Plan Proposal.

Table of Contents

Capital adequacy

Capital adequacy is an important indicator of financial stability and performance. All of our capital ratios remain in excess of regulatory requirements at September 30, 2011. Our capital and liquidity are intended to position us well to weather an adverse credit cycle while continuing to serve our clients needs, as well as to adjust to the application of any new regulatory capital standards expected to be promulgated under the Dodd-Frank Act or due to Basel III. Our shareholders equity to assets ratio was 11.09% at September 30, 2011, compared to 12.10% at December 31, 2010 and 11.84% at September 30, 2010. Our tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio was 9.82% at September 30, 2011, compared to 8.19% at December 31, 2010 and 8.00% at September 30, 2010.

Banking industry regulators prescribe minimum capital ratios for bank holding companies and their banking subsidiaries. Risk-based capital guidelines require a minimum level of capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets. Risk-weighted assets consist of total assets plus certain off-balance sheet and market items, subject to adjustment for predefined credit risk factors. Currently, banks and bank holding companies must maintain, at a minimum, Tier 1 capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets of 4.00% and total capital as a percent of risk-weighted assets of 8.00%. We expect U.S. regulators to introduce new regulatory capital guidelines later this year, responding to both the Dodd Frank Act and Basel III capital directives. As of September 30, 2011, our Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio and our total risk-based capital ratios were 13.49% and 17.05%, respectively, compared to 15.16% and 19.12%, respectively, at December 31, 2010.

Another indicator of capital adequacy, the leverage ratio, is defined as Tier 1 capital as a percentage of average quarterly tangible assets. Bank holding companies that either have the highest supervisory rating or have implemented the Federal Reserve s risk-adjusted measure for market risk as we have must maintain a minimum leverage ratio of 3.00%. All other bank holding companies must maintain a minimum ratio of 4.00%. As of September 30, 2011, our leverage ratio was 11.93% compared to 13.02% at December 31, 2010.

The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act changes the regulatory capital standards that apply to bank holding companies by requiring regulators to create rules phasing out the treatment of capital securities and cumulative preferred securities being eligible Tier 1 risk-based capital.

This three year phase-out period, which commences January 1, 2013, will ultimately result in our capital securities issued by the KeyCorp and the Union State Bank capital trusts (capital securities) being treated only as Tier 2 capital. These changes in effect apply the same leverage and risk-based capital requirements that apply to depository institutions to BHCs, savings and loan holding companies, and nonbank financial companies identified as systemically important.

As of September 30, 2011, our Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, leverage ratio, and total risk-based capital ratio were 13.49%, 11.93%, and 17.05%, respectively. The capital securities issued by the KeyCorp capital trusts contribute $1.4 billion or 182, 161, and 182 basis points to our Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, Tier 1 leverage ratio, and total risk-based capital ratio, respectively, as of September 30, 2011.

Under the Federal Deposit Insurance Act of 1950, prompt corrective action standards, Federal bank regulators group FDIC-insured depository institutions into five categories, ranging from well capitalized to critically undercapitalized. A well capitalized institution must meet or exceed the prescribed thresholds of 6.00% for Tier 1 risk-based capital, 5.00% for Tier 1 leverage capital, and 10.00% for total risk-based capital and must not be subject to any written agreement, order or directive to meet and maintain a specific capital level for any capital measure. If these provisions applied to bank holding companies, we would qualify as well capitalized at September 30, 2011. We believe there has not been any change in condition or event since that date that would cause our capital classification to change. Analysis on a pro forma basis, accounting for the phase-out of our capital securities as Tier 1 eligible (and therefore as Tier 2 instead) as of September 30, 2011, also determines that we would qualify as well capitalized under current regulatory guidelines, with the pro forma Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio, pro forma leverage ratio, and pro forma total risk-based capital ratio being 11.66%, 10.32%, and 17.05%, respectively. The current regulatory defined categories serve a limited supervisory function. Investors should not use our pro forma ratios as a representation of our overall financial condition or prospects of KeyCorp or KeyBank.

Traditionally, the banking regulators have assessed bank and bank holding company capital adequacy based on both the amount and composition of capital, the calculation of which is prescribed in federal banking regulations. As a result of the financial crisis, the Federal Reserve has intensified its assessment of capital adequacy on a component of Tier 1 risk-based capital, known as Tier 1 common equity, and its review of the consolidated capitalization of systemically important financial companies, including KeyCorp. Because the Federal Reserve has long indicated that voting common shareholders equity (essentially Tier 1 risk-based capital less preferred stock, qualifying capital securities and noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries) generally should be the dominant element in Tier 1 risk-based capital, such a focus is consistent with existing

96

Table of Contents

capital adequacy guidelines and does not imply a new or ongoing capital standard. The capital modifications mandated by the Dodd-Frank Act and set forth in Basel III are consistent with the renewed focus on Tier 1 common equity and the consolidated capitalization of banks, BHCs, and covered nonbank financial companies, which resulted from the financial crisis. Because Tier 1 common equity is neither formally defined by GAAP nor prescribed in amount by federal banking regulations, this measure is considered to be a non-GAAP financial measure. Figure 5 in the Highlights of Our Performance section reconciles Key shareholders equity, the GAAP performance measure, to Tier 1 common equity, the corresponding non-GAAP measure. Our Tier 1 common equity ratio was 11.28% at September 30, 2011, compared to 9.34% at December 31, 2010, and 8.61% at September 30, 2010.

At September 30, 2011, we had a consolidated federal net deferred tax asset of $62 million and a state deferred tax liability of $29 million compared to combined net federal and state deferred tax assets of $442 million at December 31, 2010 and $389 million at September 30, 2010. Prior to the third quarter of 2009, we had been in a net deferred tax liability position. Generally, for risk-based capital purposes, deferred tax assets that are dependent upon future taxable income are limited to the lesser of: (i) the amount of deferred tax assets that a financial institution expects to realize within one year of the calendar quarter-end date, based on its projected future taxable income for the year, or (ii) 10% of the amount of an institution s Tier 1 capital. Based on these restrictions, at September 30, 2011, we had no net deferred tax assets deducted from Tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets compared to $158 million at December 31, 2010 and $277 million at September 30, 2010. We anticipate that we will not have a net deferred tax asset disallowed for risk-based capital purposes as we believe we will be in a net deferred tax liability position in the coming quarters.

Basel III

In December 2010, the Basel Committee released its final framework for strengthening international capital and liquidity regulation (Basel III). Basel III is a comprehensive set of reform measures designed to strengthen the regulation, supervision and risk management of the banking sector. A more thorough discussion of Basel III is included in our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K in the Supervision and Regulation section beginning on page 5, as well as in our Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2011 on page 94.

While the U.S banking regulators have yet to adopt Basel III, on January 1, 2013, banks with regulators adopting the Basel III capital framework in full would be required to meet the following minimum capital ratios 3.5% common equity Tier 1 to risk-weighted assets, 4.5% Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and 8.0% total capital to risk-weighted assets. The implementation of the capital conservation buffer will begin on January 1, 2016 at 0.625% and be phased in over a four-year period (increasing by that amount on each subsequent January 1, until it reaches 2.5% on January 1, 2019).

Basel III introduces for the first time an official definition and specific guideline minimums for Tier 1 common equity. When the requirements for the capital conservation buffer are included, the resulting minimum levels for Tier 1 capital and total risk-based capital will be higher than the U.S. s current well-capitalized minimums.

The U.S. bank regulatory agencies have not yet set forth a formal timeline for a notice of proposed rulemaking or final adoption of regulations responsive to Basel III. However, they have indicated informally that a notice of proposed rulemaking likely will be released in the near future, with final amendments to regulations being adopted in mid-2012. Given our strong capital position, we expect to be able to satisfy the Basel III capital framework should U.S. capital regulations corresponding to it be finalized. While we also have a strong liquidity position, the Basel III liquidity framework could require us and other U.S. banks to initiate additional liquidity management initiatives, including adding additional liquid assets, issuing term debt, and modifying our product pricing for loans, commitments, and deposits. U.S. regulators have indicated that they may elect to make certain refinements to the Basel III liquidity framework. Accordingly, at this point it is premature to assess the impact of the Basel III liquidity framework.

Figure 26 represents the details of our regulatory capital position at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010, and September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

Figure 26. Capital Components and Risk-Weighted Assets

dollars in millions	September 30, 2011	December 31, 2010	September 30, 2010
TIER 1 CAPITAL			
Key shareholders equity	**$ 9,901**	$ 11,117	$ 11,134
Qualifying capital securities	**1,377**	1,791	1,791
Less: Goodwill	**917**	917	917
Accumulated other comprehensive income [a]	**88**	(66)	247
Other assets [b]	**72**	248	383
Total Tier 1 capital	**10,201**	11,809	11,378
TIER 2 CAPITAL			
Allowance for losses on loans and liability for losses on lending-related commitments [c]	**953**	986	1,012
Net unrealized gains on equity securities available for sale		2	6
Qualifying long-term debt	**1,743**	2,104	2,103
Total Tier 2 capital	**2,696**	3,092	3,121
Total risk-based capital	**$ 12,897**	$ 14,901	$ 14,499
TIER 1 COMMON EQUITY			
Tier 1 capital	**$ 10,201**	$ 11,809	$ 11,378
Less: Qualifying capital securities	**1,377**	1,791	1,791
Series B Preferred Stock		2,446	2,442
Series A Preferred Stock	**291**	291	291
Total Tier 1 common equity	**$ 8,533**	$ 7,281	$ 6,854
RISK-WEIGHTED ASSETS			
Risk-weighted assets on balance sheet	**$ 60,731**	$ 64,477	$ 66,280
Risk-weighted off-balance sheet exposure	**15,743**	15,350	15,590
Less: Goodwill	**917**	917	917
Other assets [b]	**536**	959	1,080
Plus: Market risk-equivalent assets	**971**	775	866
Gross risk-weighted assets	**75,992**	78,726	80,739
Less: Excess allowance for loan and lease losses [c]	**349**	805	1,167
Net risk-weighted assets	**$ 75,643**	$ 77,921	$ 79,572
AVERAGE QUARTERLY TOTAL ASSETS	**$ 86,929**	$ 92,562	$ 92,798
CAPITAL RATIOS			
Tier 1 risk-based capital	**13.49 %**	15.16 %	14.30 %
Total risk-based capital	**17.05**	19.12	18.22
Leverage [d]	**11.93**	13.02	12.53
Tier 1 common equity	**11.28**	9.34	8.61

(a) Includes net unrealized gains or losses on securities available for sale (except for net unrealized losses on marketable equity securities), net gains or losses on cash flow hedges, and amounts resulting from our December 31, 2006, adoption and subsequent application of the applicable accounting guidance for defined benefit and other postretirement plans.

(b) Other assets deducted from Tier 1 capital and risk-weighted assets consist of disallowed deferred tax assets of $158 million at December 31, 2010 and $277 million at September 30, 2010, disallowed intangible assets (excluding goodwill) and deductible portions of nonfinancial equity investments. There were no disallowed deferred tax assets at September 30, 2011.

(c) The allowance for loan and lease losses included in Tier 2 capital is limited by regulation to 1.25% of the sum of gross risk-weighted assets plus low level exposures and residual interests calculated under the direct reduction method, as defined by the Federal Reserve. The excess allowance for loan and lease losses includes $115 million, $114 million and $123 million at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively, of allowance classified as discontinued assets on the balance sheet.

(d) This ratio is Tier 1 capital divided by average quarterly total assets as defined by the Federal Reserve less: (i) goodwill, (ii) the disallowed intangible assets described in footnote (b), and (iii) deductible portions of nonfinancial equity investments; plus assets derecognized as an offset to AOCI resulting from the adoption and subsequent application of the applicable accounting guidance for defined benefit and other postretirement plans.

Table of Contents

Risk Management

Overview

Like all financial services companies, we engage in business activities and assume the related risks. The most significant risks we face are credit, liquidity, market, compliance, operational, strategic and reputation risks. We must properly and effectively identify, assess, measure, monitor, control and report such risks across the entire enterprise to maintain safety and soundness and maximize profitability. Certain of these risks are defined and discussed in greater detail in the remainder of this section.

Our ERM Committee, which consists of the Chief Executive Officer and other senior executives, is responsible for managing risk and ensuring that the corporate risk profile is managed in a manner consistent with our risk appetite. The ERM Program encompasses our risk philosophy, policy, framework and governance structure for the management of risks across the entire company. The ERM Committee reports to the Risk Management Committee of our Board of Directors. Annually, the Board of Directors reviews and approves the ERM Program, as well as the risk appetite and corporate risk tolerances for major risk categories. We use a risk-adjusted capital framework to manage risks. This framework is approved and managed by the ERM Committee.

Our Board of Directors serves in an oversight capacity with the objective of managing our enterprise-wide risks in a manner that is effective, balanced and adds value for the shareholders. The Board inquires about risk practices, reviews the portfolio of risks, compares actual risks to the risk appetite and tolerances, and receives regular reports about significant risks both actual and emerging. To assist in these efforts, the Board has delegated primary oversight responsibility for risk to the Audit Committee and the Risk Management Committee.

The Audit Committee has oversight responsibility for internal audit; financial reporting; compliance risk and legal matters; the implementation, management and evaluation of operational risk and controls; information security and fraud risk; and evaluating the qualifications and independence of the independent auditors. The Audit Committee discusses policies related to risk assessment and risk management and the processes related to risk review and compliance.

The Risk Management Committee has responsibility for overseeing the management of credit risk, market risk, interest rate risk and liquidity risk (including the actions taken to mitigate these risks), as well as reputational and strategic risks. The Risk Management Committee also oversees the maintenance of appropriate regulatory and economic capital. The Risk Management Committee reviews the ERM reports and, approves any material changes to the charter of the ERM Committee.

The Audit and Risk Management Committees meet jointly, as appropriate, to discuss matters that relate to each committee s responsibilities. In addition to regularly scheduled bi-monthly meetings, the Audit Committee convenes to discuss the content of our financial disclosures and quarterly earnings releases. Committee chairpersons routinely meet with management during interim months to plan agendas for upcoming meetings and to discuss emerging trends and events that have transpired since the preceding meeting. All members of the Board receive formal reports designed to keep them abreast of significant developments during the interim months.

Federal banking regulators are reemphasizing with financial institutions the importance of relating capital management strategy to the level of risk at each institution. We believe our internal risk management processes help us achieve and maintain capital levels that are commensurate with our business activities and risks, and comport with regulatory expectations.

Market risk management

The cash flows and values of financial instruments change as a function of changes in market interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices, commodity prices and other market factors that influence prospective yields, values or prices associated with the instrument. For example, the value of a fixed-rate bond will decline if market interest rates increase, while the cash flows associated with a variable rate loan will increase when interest rates increase. The holder of a financial instrument is exposed to market risk when the cash flows or value of the instrument is tied to such external factors. Most of our market risk is derived from interest rate fluctuations.

Table of Contents

Interest rate risk management

Interest rate risk, which is inherent in the banking industry, is measured by the potential for fluctuations in net interest income and the EVE. Such fluctuations may result from changes in interest rates, and differences in the repricing and maturity characteristics of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. We manage the exposure to changes in net interest income and the EVE in accordance with our risk appetite, and within policy limits established by the ERM Committee.

Interest rate risk positions are influenced by a number of factors including the balance sheet positioning that arises out of consumer preferences for specific loan and deposit products, economic conditions, the competitive environment within our markets and changes in market interest rates that affect client activity and our hedging, investing, funding and capital positions. The primary components of interest rate risk exposure consist of basis risk, gap risk, yield curve risk and option risk.

¿ Gap risk occurs if interest-bearing liabilities and the interest-earning assets they fund (for example, deposits used to fund loans) do not mature or reprice at the same time.

¿ We face ***basis risk*** when floating-rate assets and floating-rate liabilities reprice at the same time, but in response to different market factors or indices. Under those circumstances, even if equal amounts of assets and liabilities are repricing, interest expense and interest income may not change by the same amount.

¿ ***Yield curve risk*** is the exposure to non-parallel changes in the slope of the yield curve (where the yield curve depicts the relationship between the yield on a particular type of security and its term to maturity) if interest-bearing liabilities and the interest-earning assets they fund do not price or reprice to the same term point on the yield curve.

¿ A financial instrument presents ***option risk*** when one party to the instrument can take advantage of changes in interest rates without penalty. For example, when interest rates decline, borrowers may choose to prepay fixed-rate loans and refinance at a lower rate. Unless there is a prepayment penalty, that return on the loan may not be as high as the return that would have been generated had payments been received over the original term of the loan. Deposits that can be withdrawn on demand also present option risk.

Net interest income simulation analysis. The primary tool we use to measure our interest rate risk is simulation analysis. For purposes of this analysis, we estimate our net interest income based on the current and projected composition of our on- and off-balance sheet positions accounting for recent and anticipated trends in customer activity. The analysis also incorporates assumptions for the current and projected interest rate environments, including a most likely macro economic scenario. Simulation modeling assumes that residual risk exposures will be managed to within the risk appetite.

Typically, the amount of net interest income at risk is measured by simulating the change in net interest income that would occur if the federal funds target rate were to gradually increase or decrease by 200 basis points over the next twelve months, and term rates were to move in a similar fashion. In light of the low interest rate environment, beginning in the fourth quarter of 2008, we modified the standard rate scenario of a gradual decrease of 200 basis points over twelve months to a gradual decrease of 25 basis points over two months with no change over the following ten months. After calculating the amount of net interest income at risk to interest rate changes, we compare that amount with the base case of an unchanged interest rate environment. We also perform regular stress tests and sensitivities on the model inputs that could materially change the resulting risk assessments. One set of stress tests and sensitivities assesses the effect of interest rate inputs on simulated exposures. Assessments are performed using different shapes of the yield curve, including a sustained flat yield curve, an inverted slope yield curve, changes in credit spreads, an immediate parallel change in market interest rates and changes in the relationship of money market interest rates. Another set of stress tests and sensitivities assesses the effect of loan and deposit assumptions and assumed discretionary strategies on simulated exposures. Assessments are performed on changes to the following assumptions: the pricing of deposits without contractual maturities, changes in lending spreads, prepayments on loans and securities, other loan and deposit balance shifts, investment, funding and hedging activities, and liquidity and capital management strategies.

Simulation analysis produces only a sophisticated estimate of interest rate exposure based on judgments related to assumption inputs into the simulation model. We tailor assumptions to the specific interest rate environment and yield curve shape being modeled, and validate those assumptions on a regular basis. Our simulations are performed with the assumption that interest rate risk positions will be actively managed through the use of on- and off-balance sheet financial instruments to achieve the desired residual risk profile. However, actual results may differ

from those derived in simulation analysis due to unanticipated changes to the following inputs: balance sheet composition, customer behavior, product pricing, market interest

Table of Contents

rates, and investment, funding and hedging activities. Actual results may also differ from those derived in simulation analysis due to repercussions from unanticipated or unknown events.

Figure 27 presents the results of the simulation analysis at September 30, 2011 and 2010. At September 30, 2011, our simulated exposure to a change in short-term interest rates was moderately asset sensitive. ALCO policy limits for risk management require the development of remediation plans to maintain residual risk within tolerance if simulation modeling demonstrates that a gradual increase or decrease in short-term interest rates over the next twelve months would adversely affect net interest income over the same period by more than 4%. As shown in Figure 27, we are operating within these limits.

Figure 27. Simulated Change in Net Interest Income

September 30, 2011		
Basis point change assumption (short-term rates)	**-25**	**+200**
ALCO policy limits	**-4.00 %**	**-4.00 %**
Interest rate risk assessment	**-.65 %**	**2.67 %**
September 30, 2010		
Basis point change assumption (short-term rates)	-25	+200
ALCO policy limits	-4.00 %	-4.00 %
Interest rate risk assessment	-.88 %	3.53 %

As interest rates have remained at low levels for an extended period of time, we have gradually shifted from a liability-sensitive position to an asset-sensitive position as a result of balance growth in transaction deposits and declines in loan balances. Although outstanding derivative hedge positions have declined over the past year due to contractual maturities, recent changes in the mix of customer deposits and the anticipation of a continuation of this shift served to offset the effect of the decline in hedges on the simulated exposure. Our current interest rate risk position could fluctuate to higher or lower levels of risk depending on the competitive environment and client behavior that may affect the actual volume, mix, maturity and re-pricing characteristics of loan and deposit flows. As changes occur to the configuration of the balance sheet and the outlook for the economy, management evaluates hedging opportunities that would change the reported interest rate risk profile.

The results of additional simulation analyses that make use of alternative interest rate paths and customer behavior assumptions indicate that net interest income improvement in a rising rate environment could be diminished, and actual results may be different than the policy simulation results in Figure 27. Net interest income improvements are highly dependent on the timing, magnitude, frequency and path of interest rate increases and assumption inputs for deposit re-pricing relationships, lending spreads and the balance behavior of transaction accounts.

We also conduct simulations that measure the effect of changes in market interest rates in the second and third years of a three-year horizon. These simulations are conducted in a manner similar to those based on a twelve-month horizon. To capture longer-term exposures, we calculate exposures to changes to the EVE as discussed in the following section.

Economic value of equity modeling. EVE complements net interest income simulation analysis since it estimates risk exposure beyond twelve- and twenty-four and thirty-six month horizons. EVE measures the extent to which the economic values of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet instruments may change in response to fluctuations in interest rates. EVE is calculated by subjecting the balance sheet to an immediate 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates, and measuring the resulting change in the values of assets and liabilities under multiple interest rate paths. Under the current level of market interest rates, the calculation of EVE under an immediate 200 basis point decrease in interest rates results in certain interest rates declining to zero and a less than 200 basis point decrease in certain yield curve term points. This analysis is highly dependent upon assumptions applied to assets and liabilities with noncontractual maturities. Those assumptions are based on historical behaviors, as well as our expectations. We develop remediation plans that would maintain residual risk within tolerance if this analysis indicates that our EVE will decrease by more than 15% in response to an immediate 200 basis point increase or decrease in interest rates. We are operating within these guidelines.

Management of interest rate exposure. We use the results of our various interest rate risk analyses to formulate Asset Liability Management strategies to achieve the desired risk profile while managing to our objectives for capital adequacy and liquidity risk exposures. Specifically, we manage interest rate risk positions by purchasing securities, issuing term debt with floating or fixed interest rates, and using derivatives predominantly in the form of interest rate swaps, which modify the interest rate characteristics of certain assets and liabilities.

101

Table of Contents

Figure 28 shows all swap positions which we hold for A/LM purposes. These positions are used to convert the contractual interest rate index of agreed-upon amounts of assets and liabilities (i.e., notional amounts) to another interest rate index. For example, fixed-rate debt is converted to a floating rate through a receive fixed/pay variable interest rate swap. The volume, maturity and mix of portfolio swaps change frequently as we adjust our broader A/LM objectives and the balance sheet positions to be hedged. For more information about how we use interest rate swaps to manage our risk profile, see Note 7 (Derivatives and Hedging Activities).

Figure 28. Portfolio Swaps by Interest Rate Risk Management Strategy

	September 30, 2011						
			Weighted-Average			September 30, 2010	
dollars in millions	Notional Amount	Fair Value	Maturity (Years)	Receive Rate	Pay Rate	Notional Amount	Fair Value
Receive fixed/pay variable conventional A/LM[a]	**$ 5,715**	**$ 30**	1.9	.7 %	.2 %	$ 8,005	$ 22
Receive fixed/pay variable conventional debt	**5,960**	**539**	11.3	4.4	.7	5,513	573
Pay fixed/receive variable conventional debt	**464**	**(25)**	7.2	.9	2.2	609	(13)
Foreign currency conventional debt	**1,098**	**(240)**	.3	1.7	.4	1,523	(164)
Total portfolio swaps	**$ 13,237**	**$ 304**	6.2	2.5 %	.5 %	$ 15,650	$ 418

(a) Portfolio swaps designated as A/LM are used to manage interest rate risk tied to both assets and liabilities.

Derivatives not designated in hedge relationships

Our derivatives that are not designated in hedge relationships are described in Note 7. We use a VAR simulation model to measure the potential adverse effect of changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates, equity prices and credit spreads on the fair value of this portfolio. Using two years of historical information, the model estimates the maximum potential one-day loss with a 95% confidence level. Statistically, this means that losses will exceed VAR, on average, five out of 100 trading days, or three to four times each quarter.

We manage exposure to market risk in accordance with VAR limits for trading activity that have been approved by the Market Risk Committee which was established as part of our ERM Program. At September 30, 2011, the aggregate one-day trading limit set by the committee was $5.5 million. We are operating within these constraints. During the first nine months of 2011, our aggregate daily average, minimum and maximum VAR amounts were $1.4 million, $1 million and $2 million, respectively. During the same period one year ago, our aggregate daily average, minimum and maximum VAR amounts were $1.9 million, $1.4 million and $2.5 million, respectively.

In addition to comparing VAR exposure against limits on a daily basis, we monitor loss limits, use sensitivity measures and conduct stress tests. We report our market risk exposure to the Risk Management Committee of the Board of Directors.

Liquidity risk management

We define liquidity as the ongoing ability to accommodate liability maturities and deposit withdrawals, meet contractual obligations, and fund asset growth and new business transactions at a reasonable cost, in a timely manner and without adverse consequences. Liquidity management involves maintaining sufficient and diverse sources of funding to accommodate planned, as well as unanticipated, changes in assets and liabilities under both normal and adverse conditions.

Governance structure

We manage liquidity for all of our affiliates on an integrated basis. This approach considers the unique funding sources available to each entity, as well as each entity s capacity to manage through adverse conditions. It also recognizes that adverse market conditions or other events that could negatively affect the availability or cost of liquidity will affect the access of all affiliates to sufficient wholesale funding.

Oversight of the liquidity risk management process is governed by the Risk Management Committee of the KeyCorp Board of Directors, the KeyBank Board of Directors, the ERM Committee and the ALCO. These groups regularly review various liquidity reports, including liquidity and funding summaries, liquidity trends, peer comparisons, variance analyses, liquidity projections, hypothetical funding erosion stress tests and

goal tracking reports. The reviews generate a discussion of positions, trends and directives on liquidity risk and shape a number of the decisions that we make. When liquidity pressure is elevated, monitoring of positions is heightened and reporting is more intensive. We communicate with individuals within

Table of Contents

and outside of the company on a daily basis to discuss emerging issues. In addition, we use a variety of daily liquidity reports to monitor the flow of funds.

Factors affecting liquidity

Our liquidity could be adversely affected by both direct and indirect events. An example of a direct event would be a downgrade in our public credit ratings by a rating agency. Examples of indirect events (events unrelated to us) that could impact our access to liquidity would be an act of terrorism or war, natural disasters, political events, or the default or bankruptcy of a major corporation, mutual fund or hedge fund. Similarly, market speculation, or rumors about us or the banking industry in general may adversely affect the cost and availability of normal funding sources.

During the first quarter of 2011, Moody s (a credit rating agency that rates KeyCorp and KeyBank debt securities) indicated to KeyBank that certain escrow deposits associated with our mortgage servicing operations had to be moved to another financial institution which meets Moody s minimum ratings threshold. As a result of this decision by Moody s, on March 7, 2011, KeyBank transferred approximately $1.5 billion of these escrow deposit balances to an acceptably-rated institution which resulted in an immaterial impairment of the related mortgage servicing assets. KeyBank had ample liquidity reserves to offset the loss of these deposits.

Managing liquidity risk

We regularly monitor our funding sources and measure our capacity to obtain funds in a variety of scenarios in an effort to maintain an appropriate mix of available and affordable funding. In the normal course of business, we perform a monthly hypothetical funding erosion stress test for both KeyCorp and KeyBank. In a heightened monitoring mode, we may conduct the hypothetical funding erosion stress tests more frequently, and use assumptions so the stress tests are more strenuous and reflect the changed market environment. Erosion stress tests analyze potential liquidity scenarios under various funding constraints and time periods. Ultimately, they determine the periodic effects that major direct and indirect events would have on our access to funding markets and our ability to fund our normal operations. To compensate for the effect of these assumed liquidity pressures, we consider alternative sources of liquidity and maturities over different time periods to project how funding needs would be managed.

We continue to maintain a strong liquid asset portfolio. As our secured borrowings matured, in prior years they were not replaced. However, we retain the capacity to utilize secured borrowings as a contingent funding source.

We maintain a Contingency Funding Plan that outlines the process for addressing a liquidity crisis. The Plan provides for an evaluation of funding sources under various market conditions. It also assigns specific roles and responsibilities for effectively managing liquidity through a problem period. As part of the Plan, we maintain a liquidity reserve through balances in our liquid asset portfolio which during a problem period could reduce our potential reliance on wholesale funding. The portfolio at September 30, 2011 totaled $13.3 billion. The liquid asset portfolio balance consisted of $7.3 billion of unpledged securities, $2.1 billion of securities available for secured funding at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati and $3.9 billion of net balances of federal funds sold and balances in our Federal Reserve account. Additionally, as of September 30, 2011, our unused borrowing capacity secured by loan collateral was $11.6 billion at the Federal Reserve Bank of Cleveland and $4.3 billion at the Federal Home Loan Bank of Cincinnati.

Long-term liquidity strategy

Our long-term liquidity strategy is to be core deposit funded with reduced reliance on wholesale funding. Key s client-based relationship strategy provides for a strong core deposit base, which support our liquidity risk management strategy. We use the loan to deposit ratio as a metric to monitor these strategies. Our target loan to deposit ratio is between 90-100%, which we calculate as total loans, loans held-for-sale, and nonsecuritized discontinued loans divided by domestic deposits.

Sources of liquidity

Our primary sources of funding include customer deposits, wholesale funding, liquid assets, and capital. If the cash flows needed to support operating and investing activities are not satisfied by deposit balances, we rely on wholesale funding or liquid assets. Conversely, excess cash generated by operating, investing and deposit-gathering activities may be used to repay outstanding debt or invest in liquid assets. We actively manage liquidity using a variety of nondeposit sources, including short- and long-term debt, and secured borrowings.

103

Table of Contents

Liquidity programs

We have several liquidity programs, which are described in Note 14 (Short-Term Borrowings) on page 144 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, which enable the parent company and KeyBank to raise funds in the public and private markets when the capital markets are functioning normally. The proceeds from most of these programs can be used for general corporate purposes, including acquisitions. Each of the programs is replaced or renewed as needed. There are no restrictive financial covenants in any of these programs.

Liquidity for KeyCorp

The parent company has sufficient liquidity when it can service its debt; support customary corporate operations and activities (including acquisitions) and occasional guarantees of subsidiary s obligations in transactions with third parties at a reasonable cost, in a timely manner and without adverse consequences; and pay dividends to shareholders.

Our primary tool for assessing parent company liquidity is the net short-term cash position, which measures the ability to fund debt maturing in 24 months or less with existing liquid assets. Another key measure of parent company liquidity is the liquidity gap, which represents the difference between projected liquid assets and anticipated financial obligations over specified time horizons. We generally rely upon the issuance of term debt to manage the liquidity gap within targeted ranges assigned to various time periods.

Typically, the parent company meets its liquidity requirements through regular dividends from KeyBank. Federal banking law limits the amount of capital distributions that a bank can make to its holding company without prior regulatory approval. A national bank s dividend-paying capacity is affected by several factors, including net profits (as defined by statute) for the two previous calendar years and for the current year, up to the date of dividend declaration. During the first nine months of 2011, KeyBank did not pay any dividends to the parent; however, nonbank subsidiaries paid the parent $45 million in dividends. As of the close of business on September 30, 2011, KeyBank would not have been permitted to pay dividends to the parent without prior regulatory approval. To compensate for the absence of dividends, the parent company has relied upon the issuance of long-term debt and stock. During the first nine months of 2011, the parent did not make any capital infusions to KeyBank, compared to $100 million during the first nine months of 2010.

The parent company generally maintains cash and short-term investments in an amount sufficient to meet projected debt maturities over the next 24 months. At September 30, 2011, the parent company held $2.4 billion in short-term investments, which we projected to be sufficient to repay our maturing debt obligations.

During the first quarter of 2011, the parent company completed a $625 million equity offering at a price of $8.85 per Common Share. In conjunction with the equity offering, the parent company issued $1 billion, 5.1% Senior Medium-Term Notes, Series I, during the first quarter of 2011. The proceeds from the sale of Common Shares and medium-term notes were used, along with other available funds, to repurchase the Series B Preferred Stock issued to the U.S. Treasury. The repurchase eliminated future quarterly dividends of $31 million and discount amortization of $4 million, or $140 million on an annual basis, related to these preferred shares.

Our liquidity position and recent activity

Over the past twelve months our liquid asset portfolio has increased, which includes overnight and short-term investments, as well as unencumbered, high quality liquid securities held as protection against a range of potential liquidity stress scenarios. Liquidity stress scenarios include the loss of access to either unsecured or secured funding sources, as well as draws on unfunded commitments and significant deposit withdrawals.

From time to time, KeyCorp or its principal subsidiary, KeyBank, may seek to retire, repurchase or exchange outstanding debt, capital securities or preferred stock through cash purchase, privately negotiated transactions or other means. Such transactions depend on prevailing market conditions, our liquidity and capital requirements, contractual restrictions and other factors. The amounts involved may be material.

We generate cash flows from operations, and from investing and financing activities. During the first nine months of 2011, we used the proceeds from our debt and Common Share offerings as well as our securities available for sale portfolio (which we had previously accumulated from loan pay downs and maturities of short-term investments) to repurchase our Series B Preferred Stock issued to the U.S. Treasury as part of the TARP CPP and to pay dividends. As previously noted, the repurchase eliminated future quarterly dividends of $31 million and discount amortization of $4 million, or $140 million on an annual basis, related to these preferred shares.

The consolidated statements of cash flows summarize our sources and uses of cash by type of activity for each nine month period ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

104

Table of Contents

Credit ratings

Our credit ratings at September 30, 2011 are shown in Figure 29. We believe that these credit ratings, under normal conditions in the capital markets, will enable the parent company or KeyBank to issue fixed income securities to investors. Conditions in the credit markets have materially improved relative to the disruption experienced between the latter part of 2007 and 2009; however, while credit is more readily available, the cost of funds remains higher than what was experienced prior to the market disruption.

If our credit ratings fall below investment-grade, that event could have a material adverse effect on us. Such downgrades could adversely affect access to liquidity and could significantly increase our cost of funds, trigger additional collateral or funding requirements, and decrease the number of investors and counterparties willing to lend to us. Ultimately, credit ratings downgrades could adversely affect our business operations and reduce our ability to generate income.

In the fourth quarter of 2010, Moody s (a credit rating agency that rates KeyCorp and KeyBank debt securities) announced a one notch downgrade of KeyBanks s short-term borrowings, senior long-term debt, and subordinated debt. As a result of this decision by Moody s, on March 7, 2011, KeyBank transferred approximately $1.5 billion of certain escrow deposit balances to an acceptably-rated institution. For more information regarding this transfer of escrow deposit balances and the related mortgage servicing assets see Note 8 (Mortgage Servicing Assets).

Figure 29. Credit Ratings

September 30, 2011	TLGP Debt	Short-Term Borrowings	Senior Long-Term Debt	Subordinated Long-Term Debt	Capital Securities	Series A Preferred Stock
KEYCORP (THE PARENT COMPANY)						
Standard & Poor s	AA+	A-2	BBB+	BBB	BB	BB
Moody s	Aaa	P-2	Baa1	Baa2	Baa3	Ba1
Fitch	AAA	F1	A-	BBB+	BBB	BBB
DBRS	AAA	R-2(high)	BBB(high)	BBB	BBB	BB(low)
KEYBANK						
Standard & Poor s	AA+	A-2	A-	BBB+	N/A	N/A
Moody s	Aaa	P-2	A3	Baa1	N/A	N/A
Fitch	AAA	F1	A-	BBB+	N/A	N/A
DBRS	AAA	R-1 (low)	A(low)	BBB(high)	N/A	N/A

Credit risk management

Credit risk is the risk of loss to us arising from an obligor s inability or failure to meet contractual payment or performance terms. Like other financial services institutions, we make loans, extend credit, purchase securities and enter into financial derivative contracts, all of which have related credit risk.

Credit policy, approval and evaluation

We manage credit risk exposure through a multifaceted program. The Credit Risk Committee approves both retail and commercial credit policies. These policies are communicated throughout the organization to foster a consistent approach to granting credit. For more information about our credit policies, as well as related approval and evaluation processes, see the section entitled Credit policy, approval and evaluation on page 79 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We maintain an active concentration management program to encourage diversification in our credit portfolios. For individual obligors, we employ a sliding scale of exposure, known as hold limits, which is dictated by the strength of the borrower. Our legal lending limit is approximately $2 billion for any individual borrower. However, internal hold limits generally restrict the largest exposures to less than 20% of that amount. As of September 30, 2011, we had three client relationships with loan commitments net of credit default swaps of more than $200

million. The average amount outstanding on these three individual net obligor commitments was $90 million at September 30, 2011. In general, our philosophy is to maintain a diverse portfolio with regard to credit exposures.

We actively manage the overall loan portfolio in a manner consistent with asset quality objectives, including the use of credit derivatives primarily credit default swaps to mitigate credit risk. Credit default swaps enable us to transfer a portion of the credit risk associated with a particular extension of credit to a third party. At September 30, 2011, we used credit default swaps with a notional amount of $672 million to manage the credit risk associated with specific commercial lending obligations. We also sell credit derivatives primarily index credit default swaps to diversify and manage portfolio

Table of Contents

concentration and correlation risks. At September 30, 2011, the notional amount of credit default swaps sold by us for the purpose of diversifying our credit exposure was $363 million. Occasionally, we have provided credit protection to other lenders through the sale of credit default swaps. These transactions with other lenders generated fee income.

Credit default swaps are recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Related gains or losses, as well as the premium paid or received for credit protection, are included in the trading income component of noninterest income. These swaps decreased our operating results by $11 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010.

We also manage the loan portfolio using portfolio swaps and bulk purchases and sales. Our overarching goal is to manage the loan portfolio within a specified range of asset quality.

Selected asset quality statistics for each of the past five quarters are presented in Figure 30. The factors that drive these statistics are discussed in the remainder of this section.

Figure 30. Selected Asset Quality Statistics from Continuing Operations

	2011			2010	
dollars in millions	**Third**	**Second**	**First**	**Fourth**	**Third**
Net loan charge-offs	**$ 109**	**$ 134**	**$ 193**	$ 256	$ 357
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	**.90 %**	**1.11 %**	**1.59 %**	2.00 %	2.69 %
Allowance for loan and lease losses	**$ 1,131**	**$ 1,230**	**$ 1,372**	$ 1,604	$ 1,957
Allowance for credit losses [(a)]	**1,187**	**1,287**	**1,441**	1,677	2,056
Allowance for loan and lease losses to period-end loans	**2.35 %**	**2.57 %**	**2.83 %**	3.20 %	3.81 %
Allowance for credit losses to period-end loans	**2.46**	**2.69**	**2.97**	3.35	4.00
Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans	**143.53**	**146.08**	**155.03**	150.19	142.64
Allowance for credit losses to nonperforming loans	**150.63**	**152.85**	**162.82**	157.02	149.85
Nonperforming loans at period end	**$ 788**	**$ 842**	**$ 885**	$ 1,068	$ 1,372
Nonperforming assets at period end	**914**	**950**	**1,089**	1,338	1,801
Nonperforming loans to period-end portfolio loans	**1.64 %**	**1.76 %**	**1.82 %**	2.13 %	2.67 %
Nonperforming assets to period-end portfolio loans plus OREO and other nonperforming assets	**1.89**	**1.98**	**2.23**	2.66	3.48

(a) Includes the allowance for loan and lease losses plus the liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments.

Watch and criticized assets

Watch assets are troubled commercial loans with the potential to deteriorate in quality due to the client s current financial condition and possible inability to perform in accordance with the terms of the underlying contract. ***Criticized assets*** are troubled loans and other assets that show additional signs of weakness that may lead, or have led, to an interruption in scheduled repayments from primary sources, potentially requiring us to rely on repayment from secondary sources, such as collateral liquidation. Criticized loan and lease outstandings showed improvement during the third quarter of 2011 decreasing 10.2% from the second quarter of 2011 and 41.7% from the year ago quarter.

Allowance for loan and lease losses

At September 30, 2011, the allowance for loan and lease losses was $1.1 billion, or 2.35% of loans, compared to $2.0 billion, or 3.81%, at September 30, 2010. The allowance includes $44 million that was specifically allocated for impaired loans of $441 million at September 30, 2011, compared to $135 million that was allocated for impaired loans of $840 million one year ago. For more information about impaired loans, see Note 4 (Asset Quality). At September 30, 2011, the allowance for loan and lease losses was 143.53% of nonperforming loans, compared to 142.64% at September 30, 2010.

We estimate the appropriate level of the allowance for loan and lease losses on at least a quarterly basis. The methodology used is described in Note 1 (Summary of Significant Accounting Policies) under the heading Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses on page 102 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Briefly, we apply historical loss rates to existing loans with similar risk characteristics and exercise judgment to assess the impact of factors such as changes in economic conditions, changes in credit policies or underwriting standards, and changes in the level of credit risk associated with specific industries and markets. For all TDRs, regardless of size, as well as impaired loans having an outstanding balance

greater than $2.5 million, we conduct further analysis to determine the probable loss content and assign a specific allowance to the loan if deemed appropriate. A specific allowance also may be assigned even when sources of repayment appear sufficient if we remain uncertain about whether the loan will be repaid in full. The allowance for loan and lease losses at September 30, 2011, represents our best estimate of the losses inherent in the loan portfolio at that date.

As shown in Figure 31, our allowance for loan and lease losses decreased by $826 million, or 42%, during the past twelve months. This contraction was associated with the improvement in credit quality of the loan portfolio, which has trended

Table of Contents

more favorably along with the decrease in loan levels over the past twelve months. Asset quality is improving and has resulted in favorable risk rating migration and a reduction in our general allowance. Our delinquency trends continue to decline while our roll rates keep improving. We attribute this to a more moderate level of economic activity, more favorable conditions in the capital markets, improvement in client income statements and continued run off in our exit loan portfolio. Our liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments decreased by $43 million to $56 million at September 30, 2011, compared to the same period one year ago. When combined with our allowance for loan and lease losses, our total allowance for credit losses represented 2.46% of loans at the end of the third quarter of 2011 compared to 4.00% at the end of the third quarter of 2010. We anticipate further reductions in the level of our allowance for loan and lease losses for the balance of 2011 as a result of our expectation of lower levels of net charge-offs and nonperforming loans as the economy continues to show signs of improvement.

Figure 31. Allocation of the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses

	September 30, 2011			December 31, 2010			September 30, 2010		
dollars in millions	**Amount**	**Percent of Allowance to Total Allowance**	**Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans**	**Amount**	**Percent of Allowance to Total Allowance**	**Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans**	**Amount**	**Percent of Allowance to Total Allowance**	**Percent of Loan Type to Total Loans**
Commercial, financial and agricultural	**$ 370**	**32.7 %**	**37.0 %**	$ 485	30.2 %	32.8 %	$ 586	29.9 %	32.0 %
Commercial real estate:									
Commercial mortgage	**305**	**27.0**	**16.5**	416	25.9	19.0	528	27.0	18.9
Construction	**87**	**7.7**	**3.0**	145	9.1	4.2	248	12.7	5.3
Total commercial real estate loans	**392**	**34.7**	**19.5**	561	35.0	23.2	776	39.7	24.2
Commercial lease financing	**96**	**8.5**	**12.4**	175	10.9	12.9	200	10.2	12.8
Total commercial loans	**858**	**75.9**	**68.9**	1,221	76.1	68.9	1,562	79.8	69.0
Real estate residential mortgage	**34**	**3.0**	**3.9**	49	3.1	3.7	43	2.2	3.6
Home equity:									
Community Banking	**110**	**9.7**	**19.4**	120	7.5	19.0	127	6.4	18.8
Other	**35**	**3.1**	**1.2**	57	3.5	1.3	60	3.1	1.4
Total home equity loans	**145**	**12.8**	**20.6**	177	11.0	20.3	187	9.5	20.2
Consumer other Community Banking	**41**	**3.6**	**2.5**	57	3.6	2.3	58	3.0	2.3
Consumer other:									
Marine	**51**	**4.5**	**3.9**	89	5.5	4.5	95	4.9	4.6
Other	**2**	**.2**	**.3**	11	.7	.3	12	.6	.3
Total consumer other	**53**	**4.7**	**4.2**	100	6.2	4.8	107	5.5	4.9
Total consumer loans	**273**	**24.1**	**31.1**	383	23.9	31.1	395	20.2	31.0
Total loans [(a)]	**$ 1,131**	**100.0 %**	**100.0 %**	$ 1,604	100.0 %	100.0 %	$ 1,957	100.0 %	100.0 %

(a) Excludes allocations of the allowance for loan and lease losses in the amount of $115 million, $114 million and $123 million at September 30, 2011, December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively, related to the discontinued operations of the education lending business.

Our provision for loan and lease losses was a provision of $10 million for the third quarter of 2011, compared to a provision of $94 million for the year-ago quarter. Our net loan charge-offs for the third quarter of 2011 exceeded the provision for loan and lease losses by $99 million. The decrease in our provision is due to the improving credit quality we have experienced in most of our loan portfolios and the reduction of our outstanding loan balances. Additionally, we continue to work our exit loans through the credit cycle, and reduce exposure in our higher-risk businesses including the residential properties portion of our construction loan portfolio, Marine/RV financing, and other selected leasing portfolios through the sale of certain loans, payments from borrowers or net charge-offs. As these outstanding loan balances decrease, so does their required allowance for loan and lease losses and corresponding provision.

Table of Contents

Net loan charge-offs

Net loan charge-offs for the third quarter of 2011 totaled $109 million, or .90% of average loans from continuing operations. These results compare to net charge-offs of $357 million, or 2.69%, for the same period last year. Figure 32 shows the trend in our net loan charge-offs by loan type, while the composition of loan charge-offs and recoveries by type of loan is presented in Figure 33.

Over the past twelve months, net charge-offs in the commercial loan portfolio decreased by $216 million, due primarily to commercial real estate related credits within the Real Estate Capital and Corporate Banking Services line of business. Net charge-offs for this line of business declined by $103 million from the third quarter of 2010 and decreased $4 million from the first quarter of 2011. Compared to the fourth quarter of 2010, net loan charge-offs in the commercial loan portfolio decreased by $114 million which was attributable to declines in both the real estate commercial mortgage and commercial, financial and agricultural portfolios. As shown in Figure 35, our exit loan portfolio accounted for $27 million, or 25%, of total net loan charge-offs for the third quarter of 2011. Net charge-offs in the exit loan portfolio increased by $2 million from the second quarter of 2011, primarily driven by an increase in net charge-offs in the marine loan portfolio. Our net loan charge-offs have declined each of the past seven quarters and are at their lowest level since the first quarter of 2008.

Figure 32. Net Loan Charge-offs from Continuing Operations

	2011			2010	
dollars in millions	**Third**	**Second**	**First**	**Fourth**	**Third**
Commercial, financial and agricultural	**$ 23**	**$ 36**	**$ 32**	$ 80	$ 136
Real estate Commercial mortgage	**25**	**12**	**43**	52	46
Real estate Construction	**8**	**24**	**30**	28	76
Commercial lease financing	**2**	**4**	**11**	12	16
Total commercial loans	**58**	**76**	**116**	172	274
Home equity Key Community Bank	**18**	**27**	**24**	26	35
Home equity Other	**8**	**10**	**14**	13	13
Marine	**11**	**4**	**19**	17	12
Other	**14**	**17**	**20**	28	23
Total consumer loans	**51**	**58**	**77**	84	83
Total net loan charge-offs	**$ 109**	**$ 134**	**$ 193**	$ 256	$ 357
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	**.90 %**	**1.11 %**	**1.59 %**	2.00 %	2.69 %
Net loan charge-offs from discontinued operations education lending business	**$ 31**	**$ 32**	**$ 35**	$ 32	$ 22

Table of Contents

Figure 33. Summary of Loan and Lease Loss Experience from Continuing Operations

	Three months ended September 30,		Nine months ended September 30,	
dollars in millions	**2011**	**2010**	**2011**	**2010**
Average loans outstanding	**$ 48,008**	$ 52,566	**$ 48,586**	$ 55,030
Allowance for loan and lease losses at beginning of period	**$ 1,230**	$ 2,219	**$ 1,604**	$ 2,534
Loans charged off:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	**31**	170	**124**	461
Real estate commercial mortgage	**27**	50	**89**	287
Real estate construction	**19**	88	**81**	331
Total commercial real estate loans [a]	**46**	138	**170**	618
Commercial lease financing	**10**	22	**36**	68
Total commercial loans	**87**	330	**330**	1,147
Real estate residential mortgage	**5**	7	**22**	25
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	**25**	36	**78**	95
Other	**9**	14	**35**	49
Total home equity loans	**34**	50	**113**	144
Consumer other Key Community Bank	**11**	15	**34**	48
Consumer other:				
Marine	**18**	25	**60**	104
Other	**2**	3	**7**	11
Total consumer other	**20**	28	**67**	115
Total consumer loans	**70**	100	**236**	332
Total loans charged off	**157**	430	**566**	1,479
Recoveries:				
Commercial, financial and agricultural	**8**	34	**33**	63
Real estate commercial mortgage	**2**	4	**9**	9
Real estate construction	**11**	12	**19**	23
Total commercial real estate loans [a]	**13**	16	**28**	32
Commercial lease financing	**8**	6	**19**	17
Total commercial loans	**29**	56	**80**	112
Real estate residential mortgage	**1**	1	**3**	2
Home equity:				
Key Community Bank	**7**	1	**9**	5
Other	**1**	1	**3**	3
Total home equity loans	**8**	2	**12**	8
Consumer other Key Community Bank	**2**	1	**6**	5
Consumer other:				
Marine	**7**	13	**26**	35
Other	**1**		**3**	3
Total consumer other	**8**	13	**29**	38
Total consumer loans	**19**	17	**50**	53
Total recoveries	**48**	73	**130**	165
Net loans charged off	**(109)**	(357)	**(436)**	(1,314)
Provision (credit) for loan and lease losses	**10**	94	**(38)**	735
Foreign currency translation adjustment		1	**1**	2
Allowance for loan and lease losses at end of period	**$ 1,131**	$ 1,957	**$ 1,131**	$ 1,957
Liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments at beginning of period	**$ 57**	$ 109	**$ 73**	$ 121
Provision (credit) for losses on lending-related commitments	**(1)**	(10)	**(17)**	(22)
Liability for credit losses on lending-related commitments at end of period [b]	**$ 56**	$ 99	**$ 56**	$ 99

Total allowance for credit losses at end of period	**$ 1,187**	$ 2,056	**$ 1,187**	$ 2,056
Net loan charge-offs to average loans	**.90 %**	2.69 %	**1.20 %**	3.19 %
Allowance for loan and lease losses to period-end loans	**2.35**	3.81	**2.35**	3.81
Allowance for credit losses to period-end loans	**2.46**	4.00	**2.46**	4.00
Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonperforming loans	**143.53**	142.64	**143.53**	142.64
Allowance for credit losses to nonperforming loans	**150.63**	149.85	**150.63**	149.85
Discontinued operations education lending business:				
Loans charged off	**$ 34**	$ 26	**$ 107**	$ 95
Recoveries	**3**	4	**9**	6
Net loan charge-offs	**$ (31)**	$ (22)	**$ (98)**	$ (89)

(a) See Figure 17 and the accompanying discussion in the Loans and loans held for sale section for more information related to our commercial real estate portfolio.

(b) Included in accrued expense and other liabilities on the balance sheet.

Table of Contents

Nonperforming assets

Figure 34 shows the composition of our nonperforming assets. These assets totaled $914 million at September 30, 2011, and represented 1.89% of portfolio loans, OREO and other nonperforming assets, compared to $1.3 billion, or 2.66%, at December 31, 2010, and $1.8 billion, or 3.48%, at September 30, 2010. Nonperforming assets were down over $1.9 billion from their peak at September 30, 2009. Nonperforming assets are less than a billion dollars for the first time since December 31, 2007. See Note 1 under the headings Impaired and Other Nonaccrual Loans and Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses beginning on page 102 of our 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for a summary of our nonaccrual and charge-off policies.

Figure 34. Summary of Nonperforming Assets and Past Due Loans from Continuing Operations

dollars in millions	**September 30, 2011**	**June 30, 2011**	**March 31, 2011**	**December 31, 2010**	**September 30, 2010**
Commercial, financial and agricultural	**$ 188**	**$ 213**	**$ 221**	$ 242	$ 335
Real estate commercial mortgage	**237**	**230**	**245**	255	362
Real estate construction	**93**	**131**	**146**	241	333
Total commercial real estate loans	**330**	**361**	**391**	496	695
Commercial lease financing	**31**	**41**	**42**	64	84
Total commercial loans	**549**	**615**	**654**	802	1,114
Real estate residential mortgage	**88**	**79**	**84**	98	90
Home equity:					
Key Community Bank	**102**	**101**	**99**	102	106
Other	**12**	**11**	**13**	18	16
Total home equity loans	**114**	**112**	**112**	120	122
Consumer other Key Community Bank	**4**	**3**	**3**	4	3
Consumer other:					
Marine	**32**	**32**	**31**	42	41
Other	**1**	**1**	**1**	2	2
Total consumer other	**33**	**33**	**32**	44	43
Total consumer loans	**239**	**227**	**231**	266	258
Total nonperforming loans	**788**	**842**	**885**	1,068	1,372
Nonperforming loans held for sale	**42**	**42**	**86**	106	230
OREO	**63**	**52**	**97**	129	163
Other nonperforming assets	**21**	**14**	**21**	35	36
Total nonperforming assets	**$ 914**	**$ 950**	**$ 1,089**	$ 1,338	$ 1,801
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more	**$ 118**	**$ 118**	**$ 153**	$ 239	$ 152
Accruing loans past due 30 through 89 days	**478**	**465**	**474**	476	662
Restructured loans accruing and nonaccruing[a]	**277**	**252**	**242**	297	360
Restructured loans included in nonperforming loans [a]	**178**	**144**	**136**	202	228
Nonperforming assets from discontinued operations education lending business	**22**	**21**	**22**	40	38
Nonperforming loans to year-end portfolio loans	**1.64 %**	**1.76 %**	**1.82 %**	2.13 %	2.67 %
Nonperforming assets to year-end portfolio loans plus OREO and other nonperforming assets	**1.89**	**1.98**	**2.23**	2.66	3.48

(a) Restructured loans (i.e. troubled debt restructurings) are those for which Key, for reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that it would not otherwise consider. These concessions are made to improve the collectability of the loan and generally take the form of a reduction of the interest rate, extension of the maturity date or reduction in the principal balance.

As shown in Figure 34, nonperforming assets decreased during the third quarter of 2011 which represents the eighth consecutive quarterly decline. Most of the reduction came from nonperforming loans held for sale and OREO in the commercial real estate lines of business. As shown in Figure 35, our exit loan portfolio accounted for $119 million, or 13%, of total nonperforming assets at September 30, 2011, compared to $126 million, or 13%, at June 30, 2011.

At September 30, 2011, the carrying amount of our commercial nonperforming loans outstanding represented 55% of their contractual amount owed, and total nonperforming loans outstanding represented 64% of their contractual amount owed and nonperforming assets in total were carried at 61% of their original contractual amount.

At September 30, 2011, our 20 largest nonperforming loans totaled $265 million, representing 34% of total nonperforming loans.

Figure 35 shows the composition of our exit loan portfolio at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011, the net charge-offs recorded on this portfolio for the third quarter of 2011 and the second quarter of 2011, and the nonperforming status of these loans at September 30, 2011 and June 30, 2011. The exit loan portfolio represented 9% of total loans and loans held for sale at September 30, 2011. Additional information about loan sales is included in the Loans and loans held for sale section under Loan sales.

Table of Contents

Figure 35. Exit Loan Portfolio from Continuing Operations

in millions	Balance Outstanding 9-30-11	Balance Outstanding 6-30-11	Change 90-30-11 vs. 6-30-11	Net Loan Charge-offs 3Q11	Net Loan Charge-offs 2Q11	Balance on Nonperforming Status 9-30-11	Balance on Nonperforming Status 6-30-11
Residential properties homebuilder	**$ 48**	$ 62	$ (14)	$ 4	$ 1	**$ 28**	$ 33
Marine and RV floor plan	**92**	122	(30)	3	1	**38**	31
Commercial lease financing [a]	**1,728**	1,826	(98)		7	**9**	19
Total commercial loans	1,868	2,010	(142)	7	9	75	83
Home equity Other	**565**	595	(30)	8	10	**12**	11
Marine	**1,871**	1,989	(118)	11	4	**32**	32
RV and other consumer	**131**	142	(11)	1	2		
Total consumer loans	**2,567**	2,726	(159)	20	16	**44**	43
Total exit loans in loan portfolio	**$ 4,435**	$ 4,736	$ (301)	$ 27	$ 25	**$ 119**	$ 126
Discontinued operations education lending business (not included in exit loans above)[b]	**$ 5,984**	$ 6,261	$ (277)	$ 31	$ 32	**$ 22**	$ 21

(a) Includes the business aviation, commercial vehicle, office products, construction and industrial leases, and Canadian lease financing portfolios; and all remaining balances related to LILO, SILO, service contract leases and qualified technological equipment leases.

(b) Includes loans in Key s education loan securitization trusts.

Figure 36 shows credit exposure by industry classification in the largest sector of our loan portfolio, commercial, financial and agricultural loans. Since December 31, 2010, total commitments and loans outstanding in this sector have increased by $1.9 billion and $1.4 billion, respectively, and have increased by $1.6 billion and $1.4 billion, respectively from September 30, 2010.

Figure 36. Commercial, Financial and Agricultural Loans

September 30, 2011 *dollars in millions*	**Total Commitments [a]**	**Loans Outstanding**	**Nonperforming Loans Amount**	**Nonperforming Loans Percent of Loans Outstanding**
Industry classification:				
Services	$ 8,741	$ 4,072	$ 26	.6%
Manufacturing	8,222	3,334	39	1.2
Public utilities	4,365	837	1	.1
Financial services	3,387	1,649	16	1.0
Wholesale trade	3,182	1,460	8	.5
Retail trade	2,013	830	6	.7
Mining	1,453	522	3	.6
Property Management	1,408	745	8	1.1
Dealer Floor Plan	1,230	721	27	3.7
Building contractors	1,197	484	25	5.2
Transportation	1,185	750	20	2.7
Agriculture/forestry/fishing	942	536	8	1.5
Communications	499	242		
Public administration	482	305		
Insurance	478	66		
Individuals	3	2		
Other	1,426	1,293	1	.1
Total	$ 40,213	$ 17,848	$ 188	1.1%

(a) Total commitments include unfunded loan commitments, unfunded letters of credit (net of amounts conveyed to others) and loans outstanding.

The types of activity that caused the change in our nonperforming loans during each of the last five quarters are summarized in Figure 37. As shown in this figure, nonperforming loans experienced another quarterly decrease. Loans placed on non-accrual declined nearly $400 million in the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the third quarter of 2010, and market liquidity continued to improve.

Table of Contents

Figure 37. Summary of Changes in Nonperforming Loans from Continuing Operations

	2011			2010	
in millions	Third	Second	First	Fourth	Third
Balance at beginning of period	**$ 842**	**$ 885**	**$ 1,068**	$ 1,372	$ 1,703
Loans placed on nonaccrual status	**292**	**410**	**335**	544	691
Charge-offs	**(157)**	**(177)**	**(232)**	(343)	(430)
Loans sold	**(16)**	**(11)**	**(74)**	(162)	(92)
Payments	**(125)**	**(156)**	**(114)**	(250)	(200)
Transfers to OREO	**(11)**	**(6)**	**(12)**	(14)	(39)
Transfers to nonperforming loans held for sale	**(24)**	**(15)**	**(39)**	(41)	(163)
Transfers to other nonperforming assets	**(3)**		**(2)**	(3)	(7)
Loans returned to accrual status	**(10)**	**(88)**	**(45)**	(35)	(91)
Balance at end of period	**$ 788**	**$ 842**	**$ 885**	$ 1,068	$ 1,372

The types of activity that caused the change in our nonperforming loans held for sale during each of the last five quarters are summarized in Figure 38.

Figure 38. Summary of Changes in Nonperforming Loans Held for Sale from Continuing Operations

	2011			2010	
in millions	Third	Second	First	Fourth	Third
Balance at beginning of period	**$ 42**	**$ 86**	**$ 106**	$ 230	$ 221
Transfers in	**24**	**15**	**39**	41	162
Net advances / (payments)	**(5)**	**(13)**	**(20)**	(26)	(35)
Loans sold	**(5)**	**(37)**	**(38)**	(139)	(50)
Transfers to OREO	**(19)**	**(5)**			(58)
Valuation adjustments	**(1)**	**(4)**	**(1)**		(6)
Loans returned to accrual status / other	**6**				(4)
Balance at end of period	**$ 42**	**$ 42**	**$ 86**	$ 106	$ 230

Factors that contributed to the change in our OREO during each of the last five quarters are summarized in Figure 39. As shown in this figure, the increase in the third quarter of 2011 as compared to the second quarter of 2011 was primarily attributable to an increase in properties acquired through foreclosure or voluntary transfer from the borrower.

Figure 39. Summary of Changes in Other Real Estate Owned, Net of Allowance, from Continuing Operations

	2011			2010	
in millions	Third	Second	First	Fourth	Third
Balance at beginning of period	**$ 52**	**$ 97**	**$ 129**	$ 163	$ 136
Properties acquired nonperforming loans	**30**	**11**	**12**	14	97
Valuation adjustments	**(3)**	**(7)**	**(11)**	(9)	(7)
Properties sold	**(16)**	**(49)**	**(33)**	(39)	(63)
Balance at end of period	**$ 63**	**$ 52**	**$ 97**	$ 129	$ 163

Operational risk management

Like all businesses, we are subject to operational risk, which is the risk of loss resulting from human error or malfeasance, inadequate or failed internal processes and systems, and external events. Operational risk also encompasses compliance risk, which is the risk of loss from violations

of, or noncompliance with, laws, rules and regulations, prescribed practices or ethical standards. Due to the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act, large financial companies like Key will be subject to heightened prudential standards and regulation due to their systemic importance. This heightened level of regulation will increase our operational risk. We have created work teams to respond to and analyze the new regulatory requirements imposed upon us and that will be promulgated as a result of the enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act. Resulting operational risk losses and/or additional regulatory compliance costs could take the form of explicit charges, increased operational costs, harm to our reputation or forgone opportunities. We seek to mitigate operational risk through identification and measurement of risk, alignment of business strategies with risk appetite and tolerance, a system of internal controls and reporting.

Table of Contents

We continuously strive to strengthen our system of internal controls to ensure compliance with laws, rules and regulations, and to improve the oversight of our operational risk. For example, an operational event database tracks the amounts and sources of operational risk and losses. This tracking mechanism helps to identify weaknesses and to highlight the need to take corrective action. We also rely upon software programs designed to assist in the assessment of operational risk and monitoring our control processes. This technology has enhanced the reporting of the effectiveness of our controls to senior management and the Board of Directors.

Primary responsibility for managing and monitoring internal control mechanisms lies with the managers of our various lines of business. Our Operational Risk Management function manages the Operational Risk Management Program which provides the framework for the structure, governance, roles and responsibilities as well as the content to manage operational risk for Key. The Operational Risk Committee, a senior management committee, oversees our level of operational risk, and directs and supports our operational infrastructure and related activities. This committee and the Operational Risk Management function are an integral part of our ERM Program. Our Risk Review function periodically assesses the overall effectiveness of our Operational Risk Management Program and our system of internal controls. Risk Review reports the results of reviews on internal controls and systems to senior management and the Audit Committee, and independently supports the Audit Committee s oversight of these controls.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

The information presented in the Market risk management section of the Management s Discussion & Analysis of Financial Condition & Results of Operations, is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, KeyCorp carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of KeyCorp s management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of KeyCorp s disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon that evaluation, KeyCorp s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures were effective, in all material respects, as of the end of the period covered by this report, in ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. No changes were made to KeyCorp s internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the last fiscal quarter that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, KeyCorp s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

The information presented in the Legal Proceedings section of Note 12 (Contingent Liabilities and Guarantees) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

An investment in our Common Shares, debt or other securities is subject to risks inherent to our business and industry. Before making an investment decision, you should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below relating to recent developments and the risk factors included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, see Part 1, Item 1A: Risk Factors, on pages 11-25 of such Annual Report on Form 10-K, and the Forward-Looking Statements of this Form 10-Q. Although we have significant risk management policies, procedures and practices aimed at mitigating uncertainties, these risks may nevertheless impair our business operations. These risks are not the only ones that we face. This report is qualified in its entirety by these risk factors.

IF ANY OF THE FOLLOWING RISK FACTORS (OR THOSE INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE AS INDICATED ABOVE) ACTUALLY OCCUR, OUR BUSINESS, FINANCIAL CONDITION, RESULTS OF OPERATION, AND/OR ACCESS TO LIQUIDITY AND/OR CREDIT COULD BE MATERIALLY AND ADVERSELY AFFECTED (MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON US). IF THIS WERE TO HAPPEN, THE VALUE OF OUR SECURITIES COMMON SHARES, SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK, CAPITAL

Table of Contents

SECURITIES, AND OUR DEBT SECURITIES COULD DECLINE, PERHAPS SIGNIFICANTLY, AND YOU COULD LOSE ALL OR PART OF YOUR INVESTMENT

RISKS RELATED TO RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

The failure of the European Union to stabilize the fiscal condition and creditworthiness of its weaker member economies, such as Greece, Portugal, Spain, Hungary, Ireland, and Italy, could have international implications potentially impacting global financial institutions, the financial markets, and the economic recovery underway in the United States.

Certain European Union member states have fiscal obligations greater than their fiscal revenue, which has caused investor concern over such countries ability to continue to service their debt and foster economic growth. Currently, the European debt crisis has caused credit spreads to widen in the fixed income debt markets, and liquidity to be less abundant. A weaker European economy may transcend Europe, cause investors to lose confidence in the safety and soundness of European financial institutions and the stability of European member economies, and likewise affect U.S.-based financial institutions, the stability of the global financial markets and the economic recovery underway in the United States.

Should the U.S. economic recovery be adversely impacted by these factors, loan and asset growth at U.S. financial institutions, like Key, could be affected. We have taken steps since the 2008-2009 financial crisis to strengthen our liquidity position. Nevertheless, a return of the volatile economic conditions experienced in the U.S. during 2008-2009, including the adverse conditions in the fixed income debt markets, for an extended period of time, particularly if left unmitigated by policy measures, may have a Material Adverse Effect on Us.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table summarizes Key s repurchases of its Common Shares for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Calendar month	Total number of shares repurchased[a]	Average price paid per share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs [b]	Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs [b]
July	520,826	$ 8.41		13,922,496
August	49,444	8.56		13,922,496
September	101,847	6.53		13,922,496
Total	672,117	$ 8.14		13,922,496

(a) Represents Common Shares acquired from employees in connection with Key s stock compensation plans.

(b) During the third quarter of 2011, Key did not make any repurchases pursuant to any publicly announced plan or program to repurchase its Common Shares; the total Common Shares purchased represents shares deemed surrendered to Key to satisfy certain employee elections under its compensation and benefit programs. As such, there has been no change in the maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs.

Item 6. Exhibits

15	Acknowledgment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101 The following materials from KeyCorp s Form 10-Q Report for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL: (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

Information Available on Website

KeyCorp makes available free of charge on its website, www.key.com, its annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports as soon as reasonably practicable after KeyCorp electronically files such material with, or furnishes it to, the SEC.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

KEYCORP
(Registrant)

Date: November 3, 2011

By: Robert L. Morris
Executive Vice President and
Chief Accounting Officer