

BOYD GAMING CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 10, 2009  
Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-Q**

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from            to

Commission file number: 1-12882

**BOYD GAMING CORPORATION**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

**Nevada**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)  
**3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Ninth Floor, Las Vegas, NV 89169**

**88-0242733**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)  
**(702) 792-7200**

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer  Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding as of August 8, 2009
Common stock, \$0.01 par value	86,106,973 shares

**Table of Contents**

**BOYD GAMING CORPORATION**  
**QUARTERLY REPORT ON FORM 10-Q**  
**FOR THE PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2009**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page No.</b>
<b><u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2009</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	6
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	7
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	9
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	27
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk</u>	41
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	42
<b><u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	42
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	42
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	53
Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders</u>	54
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	54
<u>Signature Page</u>	55

**Table of Contents****Part I. Financial Information****Item 1. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements  
BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share data)**

	<b>June 30, 2009</b>	<b>December 31, 2008</b>
	<b>(Unaudited)</b>	
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 93,646	\$ 98,152
Restricted cash	26,601	24,309
Accounts receivable, net	21,203	21,375
Inventories	10,349	11,325
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	44,385	40,416
Assets held for sale	459	853
Income taxes receivable	9,299	15,115
Deferred income taxes	5,110	2,903
Total current assets	211,052	214,448
Property and equipment, net	3,209,368	3,249,254
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries, net	423,906	419,389
Other assets, net	83,224	86,597
Intangible assets, net	422,124	422,163
Goodwill, net	213,576	213,576
Total assets	\$ 4,563,250	\$ 4,605,427

**LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY**

Current liabilities		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 634	\$ 616
Accounts payable	37,178	50,128
Construction payables	38,721	118,888
Note payable (Note 9)	56,250	
Accrued liabilities		
Payroll and related	59,478	54,176
Interest	13,976	14,514
Gaming	47,455	55,009
Accrued expenses and other	61,855	59,992
Total current liabilities	315,547	353,323
Long-term debt, net of current maturities	2,688,736	2,647,058
Deferred income taxes	312,997	313,743
Other long-term tax liabilities	40,309	37,321
Other liabilities	62,761	110,460

Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Stockholders' equity		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized		
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 200,000,000 shares authorized; 86,102,482 and 87,814,061 shares outstanding	861	878
Additional paid-in capital	615,445	616,304
Retained earnings	545,308	546,358
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net	(18,714)	(20,018)
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>1,142,900</b>	<b>1,143,522</b>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 4,563,250	\$ 4,605,427

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)**

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Revenues</b>				
Gaming	\$ 353,597	\$ 381,058	\$ 719,660	\$ 774,024
Food and beverage	58,688	64,884	117,729	131,810
Room	32,548	36,516	63,189	74,871
Other	24,486	31,392	51,421	61,056
Gross revenues	469,319	513,850	951,999	1,041,761
Less promotional allowances	46,369	53,086	94,204	109,879
Net revenues	422,950	460,764	857,795	931,882
<b>Costs and expenses</b>				
Gaming	167,427	172,347	340,339	349,382
Food and beverage	32,114	36,578	63,498	75,856
Room	10,069	11,179	20,026	22,603
Other	19,553	24,485	38,867	46,575
Selling, general and administrative	72,618	76,049	146,591	153,956
Maintenance and utilities	22,973	23,875	45,359	46,912
Depreciation and amortization	42,093	42,575	84,745	85,745
Corporate expense	11,036	14,010	23,721	29,783
Preopening expenses	4,054	5,207	9,893	10,786
Write-downs and other charges, net	(1,835)	1,174	27,128	91,487
Total costs and expenses	380,102	407,479	800,167	913,085
Operating income from Borgata	13,310	10,809	25,732	29,012
Operating income	56,158	64,094	83,360	47,809
<b>Other expense (income)</b>				
Interest income		(5)	(4)	(13)
Interest expense, net of amounts capitalized	36,235	27,162	81,506	57,423
Decrease (increase) in value of derivative instruments		17		(425)
Gain on early retirements of debt	(6,057)	(863)	(8,457)	(1,813)
Other non-operating expenses from Borgata, net	4,504	3,130	9,026	7,735
Total other expense, net	34,682	29,441	82,071	62,907
Income (loss) before income taxes	21,476	34,653	1,289	(15,098)
Benefit from (provision for) income taxes	(8,698)	(12,995)	(2,339)	4,169
Net income (loss)	\$ 12,778	\$ 21,658	\$ (1,050)	\$ (10,929)

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Basic net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.25	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.12)
Weighted average basic shares outstanding	86,254	87,854	86,591	87,831
Diluted net income (loss) per common share	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.25	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.12)
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	86,291	88,119	86,591	87,831
Dividends declared per common share	\$	\$ 0.15	\$	\$ 0.30

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Unaudited)****Six Months Ended June 30, 2009****(In thousands, except share data)**

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated	Total Stockholders Equity
	Shares	Amount			Other Comprehensive Loss, Net	
Balances, January 1, 2009	87,814,061	\$ 878	\$ 616,304	\$ 546,358	\$ (20,018)	\$ 1,143,522
Net loss				(1,050)		(1,050)
Derivative instruments fair value adjustment, net of taxes of \$ 689					1,304	1,304
Stock options exercised	1,825		10			10
Settlement of restricted stock units	11,281					
Tax effect from share-based compensation arrangements			(878)			(878)
Share-based compensation costs			7,942			7,942
Common stock repurchased and retired	(1,724,685)	(17)	(7,933)			(7,950)
Balances, June 30, 2009	86,102,482	\$ 861	\$ 615,445	\$ 545,308	\$ (18,714)	\$ 1,142,900

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents**

**BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (Unaudited)**

(In thousands)

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Net income (loss)	\$ 12,778	\$ 21,658	\$ (1,050)	\$ (10,929)
Derivative instruments market adjustment, net of tax	(2,730)	12,635	1,304	(1,097)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 10,048	\$ 34,293	\$ 254	\$ (12,026)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)**

(In thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net loss	\$ (1,050)	\$ (10,929)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	84,745	85,745
Amortization of debt issuance costs	2,241	2,412
Share-based compensation expense	7,942	6,477
Deferred income taxes	(3,642)	(17,715)
Operating and non-operating income from Borgata	(16,706)	(21,277)
Distributions of earnings received from Borgata	12,581	19,579
Noncash asset write-downs	28,476	91,487
Gain on early retirements of debt	(8,457)	(1,813)
Other operating activities	(2,776)	(744)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Restricted cash	(2,292)	988
Accounts receivable, net	172	4,218
Inventories	976	577
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(3,969)	408
Income taxes receivable	5,816	7,049
Other assets	1,433	(7,541)
Other current liabilities	(10,928)	(9,558)
Other long-term tax liabilities	2,988	2,253
Other liabilities	1,963	1,485
<b>Net cash provided by operating activities</b>	<b>99,513</b>	<b>153,101</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Capital expenditures	(128,804)	(368,737)
Net cash paid for Dania Jai-Alai	(9,375)	
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries	(500)	(7,129)
Other investing activities	1,669	8,851
<b>Net cash used in investing activities</b>	<b>(137,010)</b>	<b>(367,015)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Payments on retirements of long-term debt	(36,088)	(28,945)
Borrowings under bank credit facility	371,935	468,400
Payments under bank credit facility	(285,238)	(216,300)
Payments under note payable	(9,375)	
Common stock repurchased and retired	(7,950)	
Dividends paid on common stock		(26,330)
Other financing activities	(293)	125
<b>Net cash provided by financing activities</b>	<b>32,991</b>	<b>196,950</b>

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(4,506)	(16,964)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	98,152	165,701
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 93,646	\$ 148,737

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited) (Continued)****(In thousands)**

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>		
Cash paid for interest, net of amounts capitalized	\$ 80,818	\$ 57,358
Cash paid (refunded) for income taxes, net	(1,946)	4,265
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Payables for capital expenditures	39,194	139,034
Capitalized share based compensation costs		560
Restricted cash received as a deposit for Morgans Las Vegas, LLC joint venture		528
Increase (decrease) in fair value of derivative instruments	(3,014)	250
<b>Acquisition of Dania Jai-Alai</b>		
Fair value of additional noncash assets acquired	28,352	
Additional cash paid	(9,375)	
Termination of contingent liability	46,648	
Note payable recorded	(65,625)	
Liabilities assumed	\$	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

---

**Table of Contents**

**BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)**

**Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

***Principles of Consolidation***

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Boyd Gaming Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Company, we, or us). Investments in unconsolidated affiliates, which are 50% or less owned and do not meet the consolidation criteria of Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46 (R) (as amended), *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities - An Interpretation of ARB No. 51* (FIN 46(R)), are accounted for under the equity method. All material intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

As of June 30, 2009, we wholly-owned and operated 15 casino entertainment facilities located in Nevada, Mississippi, Illinois, Louisiana and Indiana. In addition, we own and operate a pari-mutuel jai alai facility located in Dania Beach, Florida, two travel agencies, and an insurance company that underwrites travel-related insurance. We are also a 50% partner in a joint venture that owns a limited liability company, operating Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa in Atlantic City, New Jersey.

In conjunction with our Echelon development on the Las Vegas Strip, we currently have a 50/50 joint venture with Morgans Hotel Group Co. (Morgans) which was originally formed to develop, construct and operate the Delano Las Vegas and the Mondrian Las Vegas hotels at Echelon (see Note 2, *Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Subsidiaries*, and Note 6, *Commitments and Contingencies*). We currently account for the joint venture under the equity method, as we are not the primary beneficiary of this entity under FIN 46(R). We will continue to evaluate our accounting treatment for this joint venture as it is developed.

On August 1, 2008, we announced our decision to delay the Echelon development project. See Note 6, *Commitments and Contingencies Echelon*, for a discussion regarding our decision to delay the Echelon project and its impact on our joint venture and other agreements.

***Basis of Presentation***

In our opinion, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly the results of our operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, our cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, and our balance sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. This report should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. As permitted by the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted. Our operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 and our cash flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results that would be achieved for the full year or future periods.

***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant estimates incorporated into our consolidated financial statements include the estimated useful lives for depreciable and amortizable assets, the estimated allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, the estimated valuation allowance for deferred tax assets, certain tax liabilities, estimated cash flows in assessing the recoverability of long-lived assets and goodwill and intangible assets, share-based payment valuation assumptions, fair values of derivative instruments, fair values of acquired assets and liabilities, our self-insured liability reserves, slot bonus point programs, contingencies and litigation, claims and assessments. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

***Capitalized Interest***

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Interest costs associated with major construction projects are capitalized as part of the cost of the constructed assets. When no debt is incurred specifically for a project, interest is capitalized on amounts expended for the project using our weighted-average cost of borrowing. Capitalization of interest ceases when the project (or discernible portions of the project) is substantially complete. If substantially all of the construction activities of a project are suspended, capitalization of interest will cease until such activities are

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

resumed. We amortize capitalized interest over the estimated useful life of the related assets. Capitalized interest for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was approximately \$0.0 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Capitalized interest for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 was approximately \$7.9 million and \$14.7 million, respectively.

***Preopening Expenses***

We expense certain costs of start-up activities as incurred. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we expensed \$4.1 million and \$9.9 million, respectively, in preopening costs that related primarily to our Echelon development project and our new hotel at Blue Chip. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we expensed \$5.2 million and \$10.8 million, respectively, in preopening costs that related primarily to our Echelon development project.

***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

On January 1, 2008, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ( SFAS 157 ). SFAS 157 does not determine or affect the circumstances under which fair value measurements are used, but defines fair value, expands disclosure requirements around fair value and specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable. Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect the Company's market assumptions. These two types of inputs create the following fair value hierarchy:

- Level 1: Quoted prices for identical instruments in active markets.
- Level 2: Quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.
- Level 3: Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are unobservable.

This hierarchy requires us to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. For some products or in certain market conditions, observable inputs may not be available.

See Note 4, *Long-Term Debt*, Note 5, *Derivative Instruments and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)*, and Note 9, *Acquisition of Dania Jai-Alai*, for further discussions of the valuations of certain of our financial instruments. In addition, see below for a discussion of FASB Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*.

***Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements***

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>TM</sup> and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles – A Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* ( SFAS 168 ). SFAS 168 establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification<sup>TM</sup> (the Codification ) as the source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ). SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. We will begin to use the Codification when referring to GAAP in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending September 30, 2009. This will not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* ( SFAS 167 ). SFAS 167 is a revision to FASB Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. The amendments include: (1) the elimination of the exemption for qualifying special purpose entities, (2) a new approach for determining who should consolidate a variable-interest entity, and (3) changes to when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a variable-interest entity. SFAS 167 is effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

November 15, 2009 and for interim periods within that first annual reporting period. We are currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 167 and have not determined the impact, if any, that the adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets - An Amendment to FASB Statement No. 140* ( SFAS 166 ). SFAS 166 is a revision of SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing Financial*



**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

*Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities.* SFAS 166 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures in order to enhance information reported to users of financial statements by providing greater transparency about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and an entity's continuing involvement in and exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. SFAS 166 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We believe that the adoption of SFAS 166 will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* ( SFAS 165 ). SFAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued. SFAS 165 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. This SFAS requires disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. The adoption of SFAS 165 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position ( FSP ) No. 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly* ( FSP FAS 157-4 ). FSP FAS 157-4 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. FSP FAS 157-4 also includes guidance on how to identify circumstances that indicate that a transaction is not orderly and emphasizes that even if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability and regardless of the valuation techniques used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. FSP FAS 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and is to be applied prospectively. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-4 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* ( FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 ). FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 provides new guidance on the recognition of other-than-temporary impairments of investments in debt securities and provides new presentation and disclosure requirements for other-than-temporary impairments of investments in debt and equity securities. FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ( FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 ). FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ( SFAS 107 ), to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim reporting periods. Such disclosures were previously required only in annual financial statements. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 also amends Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The fair values of certain of the Company's financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable and other current liabilities, approximate their recorded carrying amounts because of their short-term nature. See the above section entitled *Fair Value of Financial Instruments* for a discussion of SFAS 157 and the fair values of our liabilities.

A variety of additional proposed or otherwise potential accounting standards are currently under study by standard-setting organizations and certain regulatory agencies. Because of the tentative and preliminary nature of such proposed standards, we have not yet determined the effect, if any, that the implementation of such proposed standards would have on our consolidated financial statements.

***Reclassifications***

Certain prior period amounts presented in our condensed consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the June 30, 2009 presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on our net income as previously reported.

***Subsequent Events***

We have evaluated subsequent events from July 1, 2009 through August 10, 2009, which is the issuance date of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)****Note 2. Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Subsidiaries**

Investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries consist of the following:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(In thousands)	
Net investment in and advances to Borgata (50%)	\$ 405,993	\$ 401,322
Investment in and advances to Morgans Las Vegas, LLC (50%)	17,896	17,929
Investment in and advances to Tunica Golf Course, L.L.C. (33.3%)	17	138
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated subsidiaries, net	\$ 423,906	\$ 419,389

For further explanation regarding our 50% investment in and advances to Morgans Las Vegas, LLC, see Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies* and Note 6, *Commitments and Contingencies*.

***Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa***

We are a 50% partner in Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa located at Renaissance Pointe in Atlantic City, New Jersey. We account for our investment in Borgata under the equity method. Summarized unaudited financial information from the condensed consolidated statements of operations of Borgata is as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Gaming revenue	\$ 173,837	\$ 178,522	\$ 342,686	\$ 357,158
Non-gaming revenue	71,915	74,286	141,254	142,392
Gross revenues	245,752	252,808	483,940	499,550
Less promotional allowances	54,239	47,747	104,537	92,465
Net revenues	191,513	205,061	379,403	407,085
Expenses	143,787	159,891	285,751	306,449
Depreciation and amortization	20,040	18,685	40,131	36,140
Preopening expenses	346	4,201	699	5,017
Write-downs and other charges, net	71	17	61	157
Operating income	27,269	22,267	52,761	59,322
Interest expense, net	(7,447)	(5,730)	(15,458)	(12,187)
Provision for state income taxes	(1,561)	(530)	(2,593)	(3,284)

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Total non-operating expenses	(9,008)	(6,260)	(18,051)	(15,471)
Net income	\$ 18,261	\$ 16,007	\$ 34,710	\$ 43,851

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

Our share of Borgata's results is included in our accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the following periods:

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
	(In thousands)			
Our share of Borgata's operating income	\$ 13,635	\$ 11,134	\$ 26,381	\$ 29,661
Net amortization expense related to our investment in Borgata	(325)	(325)	(649)	(649)
Operating income from Borgata, as reported on our condensed consolidated financial statements	\$ 13,310	\$ 10,809	\$ 25,732	\$ 29,012
Other non-operating expenses from Borgata, as reported on our condensed consolidated financial statements	\$ 4,504	\$ 3,130	\$ 9,026	\$ 7,735

**Note 3. Intangible Assets and Goodwill**

The balance of intangible assets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 is as follows:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
		(In thousands)
Las Vegas Locals trademarks	\$ 50,700	\$ 50,700
Las Vegas Locals customer lists	300	300
Midwest and South license rights	405,365	405,365
Midwest and South customer lists	100	100
Total intangible assets	456,465	456,465
Less accumulated amortization:		
License rights	33,939	33,939
Customer lists	402	363
Total accumulated amortization	34,341	34,302
Intangible assets, net	\$ 422,124	\$ 422,163

Goodwill represents the excess of total acquisition costs over the fair market value of net assets acquired in a business combination. The following table sets forth the change in our goodwill, net, during the six months ended June 30, 2009 (in thousands). For additional information related to Dania Jai-Alai goodwill, see Note 9, *Acquisition of Dania Jai-Alai*.

Balance, January 1, 2009	\$ 213,576
--------------------------	------------

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Dania Jai-Alai goodwill	28,352
Write-down of Dania Jai-Alai goodwill	(28,352)
Balance, June 30, 2009	\$ 213,576

### *Annual Asset Impairment Testing*

We have significant amounts of goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets on our consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, we perform an annual impairment test of these assets in the second quarter of each year, which resulted in no impairment charge during the three months ended June 30, 2009; however, if our ongoing estimates of projected cash flows related to these assets are not met, we may be subject to a noncash write-down of these assets, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)****Note 4. Long-Term Debt**

Long-term debt consists of the following:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
	(In thousands)	
Bank credit facility	\$ 1,967,813	\$ 1,881,115
7.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2012	167,832	203,530
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2014	291,000	300,000
7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes due 2016	250,000	250,000
Other	12,725	13,029
Total long-term debt	2,689,370	2,647,674
Less current maturities	634	616
Long-term debt, net	\$ 2,688,736	\$ 2,647,058

*Bank Credit Facility*

The blended interest rates for outstanding borrowings under our bank credit facility at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were 2.1% and 2.9%, respectively. At June 30, 2009, approximately \$2.0 billion was outstanding under our revolving credit facility, with \$86.4 million allocated to support various letters of credit, leaving availability under the bank credit facility of approximately \$1.9 billion.

The bank credit facility contains certain financial and other covenants, including various covenants (i) requiring the maintenance of a minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00, (ii) establishing a maximum total leverage ratio (discussed below), (iii) imposing limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness and liens, (iv) imposing limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions, and (v) imposing restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments.

The maximum permitted Total Leverage Ratio is calculated as Consolidated Funded Indebtedness to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA (all capitalized terms are defined in the bank credit facility). We are in compliance with the bank credit facility covenants at June 30, 2009, including the Total Leverage Ratio covenant, which was 6.05 to 1.00 at June 30, 2009. The following table provides our maximum allowable Total Leverage Ratio during the remaining term of the bank credit facility:

Fiscal Quarters Ending	Maximum Total Leverage Ratio
June 30, 2009 through December 31, 2009	6.50 to 1.00
March 31, 2010	6.75 to 1.00
June 30, 2010	7.00 to 1.00
September 30, 2010	7.25 to 1.00
December 31, 2010	7.50 to 1.00
March 31, 2011	6.50 to 1.00
June 30, 2011 and each quarter thereafter	5.25 to 1.00

*Senior Subordinated Notes*

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we purchased and retired \$34.2 million and \$44.7 million, respectively, principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was approximately \$28.0 million and \$36.1 million, respectively, resulting in a gain of approximately \$6.1 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded on our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the respective periods. The transactions were funded by availability under our bank credit facility.



**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we purchased and retired \$14.3 million and \$31.1 million, respectively, principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was approximately \$13.3 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded on our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the respective periods. The transactions were funded by availability under our bank credit facility.

The following table provides the fair value measurement information about our long-term debt at June 30, 2009. For additional information regarding SFAS 157 and the fair value hierarchy, see Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*.

	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Fair Value Hierarchy
	(In thousands)		
Bank credit facility	\$ 1,967,813	\$ 1,554,572	Level 2
7.75% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2012	167,832	156,084	Level 1
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2014	291,000	226,980	Level 1
7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2016	250,000	185,000	Level 1
Other	12,725	12,089	Level 3
Total long-term debt	\$ 2,689,370	\$ 2,134,725	

The estimated fair value of our bank credit facility is based on its bid price on or about June 30, 2009. The estimated fair values of our senior subordinated notes are based on quoted market prices as of June 30, 2009. Debt included in the *Other* category is fixed-rate debt that is due March 2013 and is not traded, thus does not have an observable market input; therefore, we have estimated its fair value based on a discounted cash flow approach, after giving consideration to the changes in market rates of interest, creditworthiness of both parties, and credit spreads.

**Note 5. Derivative Instruments and Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

GAAP requires all derivative instruments to be recognized on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not designated as hedges for accounting purposes must be adjusted to fair value through income. We have designated all of our current interest rate swaps as cash flow hedges and measure their effectiveness using the long-haul method. If the derivative qualifies and is designated as a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in its fair value will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedged item through earnings or recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) until the hedged item is recognized in earnings. The effective portion of any gain or loss on our interest rate swaps is recorded in other comprehensive income (loss). We use the hypothetical derivative method to measure the ineffective portion of our interest rate swaps. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings.

We utilize derivative instruments to manage interest rate risk. The net effect of our floating-to-fixed interest rate swaps resulted in an increase in interest expense of \$6.6 million and \$12.6 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and an increase in interest expense of \$2.6 million and \$2.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, as compared to the contractual rate of the underlying hedged debt, for these periods.

The following table reports the effects of the changes in the mark-to-market valuations of our derivative instruments.

Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
2009	2008	2009	2008

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

	(In thousands)			
Net gains (losses) from cash flow hedges from:				
Change in value of derivatives excluded from the assessment of hedge ineffectiveness	\$	\$	\$	\$
Ineffective portion of change in value of cash flow hedges		(17)		425
Increase (decrease) in value of derivative instruments, as reported on our condensed consolidated statements of operations	\$	\$ (17)	\$	\$ 425

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

The following table reports the effects of the changes in the fair valuations of our derivative instruments.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Derivative instruments fair value adjustment	\$ (4,260)	\$ 19,616	\$ 1,993	\$ (1,745)
Tax effect of derivative instruments fair value adjustment	1,530	(6,981)	(689)	648
Net derivative instruments fair value adjustment, as reported on our condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (2,730)	\$ 12,635	\$ 1,304	\$ (1,097)

A portion of the net derivative instruments market adjustment included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net, at June 30, 2009 relates to certain derivative instruments that we de-designated as cash flow hedges in connection with breaking certain LIBOR contracts under our previous bank credit facility during the three months ended June 30, 2007. As a result, we expect \$2.1 million of deferred net gain related to these derivative instruments, included in accumulated other comprehensive loss, net, at June 30, 2009, will be accreted as a reduction of interest expense on our condensed consolidated statements of operations during the next twelve months.

At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we were a party to certain floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$500 million and \$750 million, respectively, whereby we receive payments based upon the three-month LIBOR and make payments based upon a stipulated fixed rate. These derivative instruments are accounted for as cash flow hedges. We measure the fair value of our derivative instruments pursuant to SFAS 157 (see Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*). Our derivative instruments are classified as Level 2, as the LIBOR swap rate is observable at commonly quoted intervals for the full term of the interest rate swaps.

If we had terminated our interest rate swaps as of June 30, 2009 or December 31, 2008, we would have been required to pay a total of \$36.3 million or \$47.9 million, respectively, based on the settlement values of such derivative instruments, for which the principal terms are presented below.

Effective Date	Notional Amount	Fixed Rate Paid	Fair Value of Liability		Maturity Date
			June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008	
September 28, 2007	\$ 100,000	5.13%	\$ 6,260	\$ 6,097	June 30, 2011
September 28, 2007	200,000	5.14%	12,525	12,198	June 30, 2011
September 28, 2007	250,000	4.62%		3,831	June 30, 2009
June 30, 2008	200,000	5.13%	12,509	12,182	June 30, 2011
Totals	\$ 750,000		\$ 31,294	\$ 34,308	

The fair values of our derivative instruments at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 include \$5.0 million and \$13.6 million, respectively, of credit valuation adjustments to reflect the impact of the credit ratings of both the Company and our counterparties, based primarily upon the market value of the credit default swaps of the respective parties. These credit valuation adjustments resulted in a reduction in the fair values of our derivative instruments as compared to their settlement values.

**Note 6. Commitments and Contingencies**

**Commitments**

*Echelon*

On August 1, 2008, due to the difficult environment in the capital markets, as well as weak economic conditions, we announced the delay of our multibillion dollar Echelon development project on the Las Vegas Strip. Due to the continued instability in the credit

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

markets and the economic outlook, it is unlikely that we will resume construction in 2009. Nonetheless, we remain committed to having a meaningful presence on the Las Vegas Strip. Over the remainder of 2009, we intend to consider alternative development options for Echelon, which may include developing the project in phases, alternative capital structures for the project, scope modifications to the project, or additional strategic partnerships, among others. We can provide no assurances as to when, or if, construction will resume on the project, or if we will be able to obtain alternative sources of financing for the project. We will monitor these assets for recoverability as we develop and explore the viability of alternatives for the project. If we are subject to a noncash write-down of these assets, it could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

As of June 30, 2009, we have incurred approximately \$950 million in capitalized costs related to the Echelon project, including land. As part of our wind-down procedures related to the project, we expect to incur approximately \$10 million of capitalized costs, principally related to the offsite fabrication of escalators, curtain wall and a skylight, during the remainder of 2009. In addition, we expect to incur ongoing project costs, consisting primarily of payroll, property taxes, rent and insurance, of approximately \$12 million to \$16 million per annum that will be charged to preopening expense during the project's suspension period.

The following information summarizes the contingencies with respect to our various material commitments related to Echelon:

**Morgans Las Vegas, LLC** This 50/50 joint venture with Morgans was originally formed to develop, construct and operate the Delano Las Vegas and the Mondrian Las Vegas hotels at Echelon. Under the terms of our amended joint venture agreement, the outside start date for the project is December 31, 2009. Each member has the right to dissolve the joint venture and terminate the joint venture agreement upon twenty days prior written notice any time prior to the outside start date. In the event that the joint venture is dissolved, neither member will be entitled to the use of the architectural plans and designs for the Delano Las Vegas and the Mondrian Las Vegas projects; therefore, all or a portion of our investment in and advances to the joint venture (\$17.9 million at June 30, 2009) may be subject to an impairment charge. The terms of the management agreement, which provided for a Morgans affiliate to operate the joint venture hotels upon completion, remain unchanged but, pursuant to its original terms, would be terminated in the event of a termination of the joint venture agreement.

**Energy Services Agreement (ESA)** In April 2007, we entered into an ESA with a third party, Las Vegas Energy Partners, LLC (LVE). LVE will design, construct, own (other than the underlying real property which is leased from Echelon), and operate a central energy center and energy distribution system to provide electricity, emergency electricity generation, and chilled and hot water to Echelon and potentially other joint venture entities associated with the Echelon development project or other third parties. The term of the ESA is 25 years, beginning when Echelon commences commercial operations. Assuming the central energy center is completed and functions as planned, we will pay a monthly service fee, which is comprised of a fixed capacity charge, an escalating operations and maintenance charge, and an energy charge. The aggregate of our monthly fixed capacity charge portion of the service fee will be \$23.4 million per annum, payable for a 25-year period commencing in November 2010.

LVE has currently suspended construction of the central energy center while Echelon delays its construction of the project. On April 6, 2009, LVE notified us that, in its view, Echelon will be in breach of the ESA unless it recommences and proceeds with construction by May 6, 2009. We believe that LVE's position is without merit; however, in the event of litigation, we cannot state with certainty the eventual outcome nor estimate the possible loss or range of loss, if any, associated with this matter.

**Line Extension and Service Agreement (LEA)** In March 2007, we entered into an LEA with Nevada Power Company (currently known as NV Energy) related to the construction of a substation at Echelon and the delivery of power to Echelon. We have assigned most of our obligations under the LEA to LVE (see *Energy Services Agreement (ESA)* above). We have retained an obligation to pay liquidated damages of \$5.0 million to NV Energy, in the event that Echelon does not physically accept permanent electric service by January 1, 2012 through the substation to be built by NV Energy pursuant to the LEA. On August 29, 2008, NV Energy issued a letter declaring a force majeure event that extends the time for performance of obligations under the LEA, including its obligation to construct the substation from which Echelon is to accept delivery of permanent electric service. Our contingent liability to pay liquidated damages to NV Energy will be recorded and charged to expense on our consolidated statement of operations when, or if, it becomes probable that we will not be able to accept, in accordance with the terms of the LEA, permanent electric service from a substation when built by NV Energy.



---

**Table of Contents**

**BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

**Shangri-La Hotel Management Agreement** In January 2006, we entered into a management agreement with a subsidiary of Shangri-La to manage Shangri-La Las Vegas, one of our three wholly-owned hotels at Echelon. Under the terms of the agreement, if the hotel does not commence commercial operations by June 2011, Shangri-La has the right to terminate the agreement and receive a termination fee of \$3.0 million, which would be charged to expense on our consolidated statement of operations when, or if, Shangri-La exercises its termination right.

**Construction Agreements** We have exercised our rights under our standard form construction contracts to terminate our agreements with our contractors. With the exception of certain custom equipment orders, steel fabrication and crane and hoist rentals, all major construction agreements have been terminated and closed-out with final payments made to the contractors in exchange for final releases.

Any demobilization, per diem, and related costs incurred related to the suspension or termination of our construction and design contracts have been charged to the project.

**Design Agreements** We have engaged limited design services from our consultant team to study the potential phasing and value engineering of the project; other than this work, we have no ongoing design services work. The majority of our design agreements allow us either to suspend performance of the services under these agreements or to terminate these agreements. We have estimated the costs associated with the completion of construction drawings after June 30, 2009 to be between \$1.5 million and \$2.0 million; however, we can provide no assurances that actual costs will approximate the estimated costs.

**Clark County Fees** In November 2007, we entered into an agreement with Clark County for the development of the project. The agreement requires payment of approximately \$5.2 million, allocated among four annual installments, which commenced in January 2008. We have made the first of those payments. In December 2008, Clark County granted us a one year deferral for each of the remaining fixed annual installments due under the development agreement. Furthermore, we are also responsible for our share of the cost of new pedestrian bridges that may be constructed by Clark County, of which our share is estimated to be approximately \$8 million.

**Construction Insurance** Effective July 2007, we obtained construction insurance coverage from various insurance carriers for worker's compensation and employer's liability, general liability, excess liability, builder's risk, and related coverage. The policies have varying provisions regarding fixed and variable premiums, prepaid and annual premiums, minimum premiums, and cancellation rights. We believe that each of the policies may be terminated by us, and in each case, we are only liable for the earned premium set forth in each of the policies. All premiums have been fully paid through June 2009. The remaining aggregate premium due under each of the policies is \$9.5 million, unless terminated.

**LEED Tax Credits** We are pursuing Echelon's certification under the Leadership in Energy and Environmental Design (LEED) Silver Standard for the project as part of the State of Nevada's tax incentive program (the LEED Program). The LEED Program allows for Echelon to receive an exemption on the non-state, local sales and use tax rate of 5.75% on qualifying construction materials purchased prior to December 31, 2010. As we intend to resume construction of Echelon and qualify for the LEED Silver Standard certification, we will not record a liability for the abated local portion of sales and use tax on the qualifying construction materials; however, if Echelon does not open or if it fails to qualify for the LEED Silver Standard certification after its completion, we will accrue and pay the deferral amount of sales and use tax (\$9.2 million at June 30, 2009), plus interest at the rate of 6% per annum, which will be recorded as construction in progress on our consolidated balance sheet. We remain eligible for the LEED program, notwithstanding our suspension of the Echelon project.

**Other Agreements** Certain other agreements, such as office leases, warehouse leases and certain communications and information technology support services, will be charged to preopening expense as incurred. While we can provide no assurances, we do not believe that any of our other agreements for the project give rise to any material liabilities resulting from the delay of the project. We believe that continuing committed costs under these agreements, on an aggregate basis, approximate \$0.4 million per month, until terminated.

**Contingencies**

*Copeland*

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino (Treasure Chest), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his





**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. We currently are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

*Legal Matters*

We are also parties to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that, except for the Copeland matter discussed above, all pending claims, if adversely decided, would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

*Nevada Use Tax Refund Claims*

On March 27, 2008, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in *Sparks Nugget, Inc. vs. The State of Nevada Department of Taxation* (the Department), holding that food purchased for subsequent use in the provision of complimentary and/or employee meals was exempt from use tax. On April 24, 2008, the Department filed a Petition for Rehearing (the Petition) on the decision. Additionally, on the same date the Nevada Legislature filed an *Amicus Curiae* brief in support of the Department's position. The Nevada Supreme Court denied the Department's Petition on July 17, 2008. We have paid use tax on food purchased for subsequent use in complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties and estimate the refund to be in the range of \$15.8 million to \$18.0 million, including interest, from January 1, 2000 through June 30, 2009. We have been notified by the Department that they intend to pursue an alternative legal theory through an available administrative process, and they continue to deny our refund claims. Hearings before the Nevada Administrative Law Judge are currently being scheduled; however, the date of our hearing is uncertain at this time. Due to uncertainty surrounding the potential arguments that may be raised in the administrative process, we will not record any gain until the tax refund is realized. For periods subsequent to June 2008, we have not recorded an accrual for sales or use tax on complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties, as it is not probable that we will owe this tax, given the decision by the Nevada Supreme Court.

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)****Note 7. Stockholders Equity and Stock Incentive Plans**

The following table provides classification detail of the total costs related to our share-based employee compensation plans reported in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Gaming	\$ 31	\$ 109	\$ 61	\$ 250
Food and beverage	3	20	6	45
Room	1	12	2	26
Selling, general and administrative	651	600	1,304	1,362
Corporate expense	2,820	2,325	5,525	4,352
Preopening expenses	523	52	1,044	442
Total share-based compensation expense	4,029	3,118	7,942	6,477
Capitalized share-based compensation		257		560
Total share-based compensation costs	\$ 4,029	\$ 3,375	\$ 7,942	\$ 7,037

The following table summarizes our share-based compensation costs by award type.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Stock options	\$ 2,912	\$ 2,623	\$ 5,959	\$ 5,949
Restricted Stock Units	1,117	752	1,559	752
Career Shares			424	336
Total share-based compensation costs	4,029	3,375	7,942	7,037
Capitalized share-based compensation costs		257		560
Share-based compensation costs recognized as expense	\$ 4,029	\$ 3,118	\$ 7,942	\$ 6,477

*Stock Options*

Summarized stock option plan activity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is as follows:

Options	Weighted Average Option Price	Weighted Average Remaining Term (Years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
---------	----------------------------------	---	------------------------------

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

				(In thousands)	
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	8,786,480	\$	31.19		
Granted					
Cancelled	(378,569)		33.38		
Exercised	(1,825)		5.56		
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	8,406,086		31.09	6.9	\$ 2,917
Exercisable at June 30, 2009	5,413,946		34.75	5.9	\$ 351

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)***Restricted Stock Units*

Summarized Restricted Stock Unit ( RSU ) activity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is as follows:

	RSUs	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	572,071	
Granted	68,184	\$ 9.90
Cancelled	(7,208)	
Awarded	(11,281)	28.61
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	621,766	
Vested at June 30, 2009	124,589	

*Career Shares*

Summarized Career Shares plan activity for the six months ended June 30, 2009 is as follows:

	Career Shares	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2009	59,789	
Granted	250,160	\$ 5.00
Cancelled	(5,508)	
Awarded		
Outstanding at June 30, 2009	304,441	
Vested at June 30, 2009	46,079	

*Dividends*

Dividends are declared at the discretion of our Board of Directors. We are subject to certain limitations regarding payment of dividends, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our bank credit facility. In July 2008, our Board of Directors suspended the quarterly dividend for the current and future periods; therefore, we did not declare a dividend during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Dividends declared and paid during the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$13.2 million and \$26.3 million, respectively, or \$0.15 per share per quarter.

*Share Repurchase Program*

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized an amendment to our existing share repurchase program to increase the amount of common stock available to be repurchased to \$100 million. We are not obligated to purchase any shares under our stock repurchase program.

Subject to applicable corporate securities laws, repurchases under our stock repurchase program may be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate. Purchases under our stock repurchase program can be discontinued at any time that we feel additional purchases are not warranted. We intend to fund the repurchases under the stock repurchase program with existing cash resources and availability under our bank credit facility.

We are subject to certain limitations regarding the repurchase of common stock, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our bank credit facility.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we repurchased and retired approximately 0.2 million and 1.7 million shares, respectively, of our common stock at an average price of \$5.23 and \$4.61 per share, respectively. For additional information, see Part II, Item 2, *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*.

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

In the future, we may acquire our debt or equity securities, through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers, exchange offers, redemptions or otherwise, upon such terms and at such prices as we may determine from time to time.

**Note 8. Write-Downs and Other Charges, Net**

Write-downs and other charges, net, include the following for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008:

	<b>Three Months Ended</b>		<b>Six Months Ended</b>	
	<b>June 30,</b>		<b>June 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>			
Asset write-downs	\$ 41	\$ 1,174	\$ 28,872	\$ 91,487
Hurricane and related items	(2,053)		(1,991)	
Acquisition related expenses	177		247	
Write-downs and other charges, net	\$ (1,835)	\$ 1,174	\$ 27,128	\$ 91,487

***Asset Write-Downs***

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a \$28.4 million noncash impairment charge related to the write-off of Dania Jai-Alai's goodwill, which was recorded as an additional cost of the acquisition in connection with the January 2009 amendment to the purchase agreement to settle the contingent payment prior to the satisfaction of certain legal conditions (see Note 9, *Acquisition of Dania Jai-Alai*). The goodwill was subsequently written-off in connection with our impairment test for recoverability during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded an \$84.0 million noncash impairment charge, principally related to the write-off of Dania Jai-Alai's intangible license right, following our decision to indefinitely postpone redevelopment plans to operate slot machines at the facility. Our decision to postpone the development was based on numerous factors, including the introduction of expanded gaming at a nearby Native American casino, the potential for additional casino gaming venues in Florida, and the existing Broward County pari-mutuel casinos performing below our expectations for the market. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded a \$6.3 million noncash impairment charge related to the abandonment of certain leasehold improvements.

***Hurricane and Related Items***

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded a gain of \$2.1 million, net of hurricane related charges, from the recovery and settlement of our business interruption insurance claim related to the closure of Treasure Chest due to the effects of Hurricane Katrina in 2005.

**Note 9. Acquisition of Dania Jai-Alai**

In March 2007, we acquired Dania Jai-Alai and approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Florida. Dania Jai-Alai is one of four pari-mutuel facilities in Broward County approved under Florida law to operate 2,000 Class III slot machines. In March 2007, we paid approximately \$81 million to close this transaction, and agreed to pay, in March 2010 or earlier, a contingent payment of an additional \$75 million to the seller, plus interest accrued at the prime rate (the contingent payment), if certain legal conditions were satisfied.

In January 2009, we amended the purchase agreement to settle the contingent payment prior to the satisfaction of the legal conditions. The principal terms of the amendment are as follows.

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

We paid \$9.4 million to the seller in January 2009, plus \$9.1 million of interest accrued from the March 1, 2007 date of acquisition.

We issued an 8% promissory note to the seller in the amount of \$65.6 million, plus accrued interest. The terms of the note require principal payments of \$9.4 million, plus accrued interest, in April 2009 and July 2009, and a final principal payment of \$46.9 million, plus accrued interest, due in January 2010. In April and July 2009, we made the payments required under the promissory note. The promissory note is secured by a letter of credit under our bank credit facility.

Table of Contents**BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

The carrying value of the promissory note is \$56.3 million as of June 30, 2009. The inputs utilized to value the promissory note are classified as Level 3 in the SFAS 157 hierarchal disclosure framework (see Note 1, *Summary of Significant Accounting Policies*), as it is not traded, thus does not have an observable market input; therefore, we have estimated that its fair value approximates its carrying value, based on a discounted cash flow approach, after giving consideration to the changes in market rates of interest, creditworthiness of both parties, and credit spreads.

In conjunction with this amendment, we recorded the remaining \$28.4 million of the \$75 million contingent liability as an additional cost of the acquisition (goodwill) during the six months ended June 30, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we tested the goodwill for recoverability, which resulted in a noncash impairment charge of \$28.4 million (see Note 8, *Write-downs and Other Charges, Net*).

**Note 10. Earnings per Share**

Net income (loss) and the weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share consist of the following:

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended June 30, 2009	2008	2009	June 30, 2008
	(In thousands)			
Net income (loss)	\$ 12,778	\$ 21,658	\$ (1,050)	\$ (10,929)
Weighted average common shares outstanding	86,254	87,854	86,591	87,831
Potential dilutive effect	37	265		
Weighted average common and potential shares outstanding	86,291	88,119	86,591	87,831

For the three months ended June 30, 2009, anti-dilutive options of approximately 8.3 million shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share. Due to the net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2009, all potential common shares were anti-dilutive, and therefore were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share.

For the three months ended June 30, 2008, anti-dilutive options of approximately 6.7 million shares were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share. Due to the net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2008, all potential common shares were anti-dilutive, and therefore were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share.



**Table of Contents**

**BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

**Note 11. Related Party Transactions**

***Percentage Ownership***

William S. Boyd, our Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, together with his immediate family, beneficially owned approximately 37% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock as of June 30, 2009. As such, the Boyd family has the ability to significantly influence our affairs, including the election of members of our Board of Directors and, except as otherwise provided by law, approving or disapproving other matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders, including a merger, consolidation, or sale of assets. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, there were no related party transactions between the Company and the Boyd family.

**Note 12. Segment Information**

We have aggregated certain of our properties in order to present four Reportable Segments: Las Vegas Locals, Downtown Las Vegas, Midwest and South, and Borgata, our 50% joint venture in Atlantic City.

Below is a listing of the classification of each of our properties. Results for Downtown Las Vegas include the results of our two travel agencies and our insurance company.

**Las Vegas Locals**

Gold Coast Hotel and Casino  
The Orleans Hotel and Casino  
Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall  
Suncoast Hotel and Casino  
Eldorado Casino  
Jokers Wild Casino

**Downtown Las Vegas**

California Hotel and Casino  
Fremont Hotel and Casino  
Main Street Station Casino, Brewery and Hotel

**Midwest and South**

Las Vegas, NV Sam's Town Hotel and Gambling Hall  
Las Vegas, NV Par-A-Dice Hotel Casino  
Las Vegas, NV Treasure Chest Casino  
Las Vegas, NV Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa  
Henderson, NV Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel  
Henderson, NV Sam's Town Hotel and Casino

**Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa**

Las Vegas, NV  
Las Vegas, NV  
Las Vegas, NV

Tunica, MS  
East Peoria, IL  
Kenner, LA  
Michigan City, IN  
Vinton, LA  
Shreveport, LA  
Atlantic City, NJ

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain operating data for our reportable segments.

	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
	(In thousands)			
<b>Gross Revenues</b>				
Las Vegas Locals	\$ 183,738	\$ 222,385	\$ 373,674	\$ 453,883
Downtown Las Vegas	63,159	68,705	127,354	135,475
Midwest and South	220,460	220,596	446,840	447,845
<b>Reportable Segment Gross Revenues</b>	<b>467,357</b>	<b>511,686</b>	<b>947,868</b>	<b>1,037,203</b>
Other (1)	1,962	2,164	4,131	4,558
<b>Gross Revenues</b>	<b>\$ 469,319</b>	<b>\$ 513,850</b>	<b>\$ 951,999</b>	<b>\$ 1,041,761</b>
<b>Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA (2)</b>				
Las Vegas Locals	43,917	62,427	89,237	129,082
Downtown Las Vegas	11,800	10,324	25,154	20,493
Midwest and South	45,010	46,107	93,598	92,189
Our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items (2)	13,844	13,244	26,761	32,249
<b>Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA</b>	<b>114,571</b>	<b>132,102</b>	<b>234,750</b>	<b>274,013</b>
<b>Other operating costs and expenses</b>				
Depreciation and amortization (3)	42,418	42,900	85,394	86,394
Corporate expense (4)	11,036	14,010	23,721	29,783
Preopening expenses	4,054	5,207	9,893	10,786
Our share of Borgata's preopening expenses	173	2,101	349	2,509
Our share of Borgata's write-downs and other charges, net	36	9	31	79
Write-downs and other charges, net	(1,835)	1,174	27,128	91,487
Other (5)	2,531	2,607	4,874	5,166
<b>Total other operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>58,413</b>	<b>68,008</b>	<b>151,390</b>	<b>226,204</b>
<b>Operating income</b>	<b>56,158</b>	<b>64,094</b>	<b>83,360</b>	<b>47,809</b>
<b>Other non-operating items</b>				
Interest expense, net (6)	36,235	27,157	81,502	57,410
Decrease (increase) in value of derivative instruments		17		(425)
Gain on early retirements of debt	(6,057)	(863)	(8,457)	(1,813)
Our share of Borgata's other non-operating expenses, net	4,504	3,130	9,026	7,735
<b>Total other non-operating costs and expenses</b>	<b>34,682</b>	<b>29,441</b>	<b>82,071</b>	<b>62,907</b>

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 21,476	\$ 34,653	\$ 1,289	\$ (15,098)
-----------------------------------	-----------	-----------	----------	-------------

(1) Other gross revenues are generated from Dania Jai-Alai.

**Table of Contents****BOYD GAMING CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)**

- (2) We determine each of our wholly-owned properties' profitability based upon Property EBITDA, which represents each property's earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, preopening expenses, write-downs and other charges, share-based compensation expense, deferred rent, change in value of derivative instruments, and gain/loss on early retirements of debt, as applicable. Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA is the aggregate sum of the Property EBITDA for each of the properties included in our Las Vegas Locals, Downtown Las Vegas, and Midwest and South segments, and also includes our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items. We calculate our segment profitability for Borgata, our 50% joint venture, as follows:

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended June 30, 2009	2008	June 30, 2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Operating income from Borgata as reported on our condensed consolidated statements of operations	\$ 13,310	\$ 10,809	\$ 25,732	\$ 29,012
Add back:				
Net amortization expense related to our investment in Borgata	325	325	649	649
Our share of Borgata's preopening expenses	173	2,101	349	2,509
Our share of Borgata's write-downs and other charges, net	36	9	31	79
Our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items as reported on the accompanying table	\$ 13,844	\$ 13,244	\$ 26,761	\$ 32,249

- (3) The following table reconciles the presentation of depreciation and amortization on our condensed consolidated statements of operations to the presentation on the accompanying table.

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended June 30, 2009	2008	June 30, 2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Depreciation and amortization as reported on our condensed consolidated statements of operations	\$ 42,093	\$ 42,575	\$ 84,745	\$ 85,745
Net amortization expense related to our investment in Borgata	325	325	649	649
Depreciation and amortization as reported on the accompanying table	\$ 42,418	\$ 42,900	\$ 85,394	\$ 86,394

- (4) Corporate expense represents unallocated payroll, professional fees, aircraft expenses and various other expenses not directly related to our casino and hotel operations, in addition to the corporate portion of share-based compensation expense.
- (5) Other operating costs and expenses include Property EBITDA from Dania Jai-Alai, deferred rent, and share-based compensation expense charged to our Reportable Segments.
- (6) Interest expense is net of interest income and amounts capitalized. Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 includes \$8.9 million of prior period interest expense (from the March 1, 2007 date of acquisition to December 31, 2008) related to the January 2009 amendment to the purchase agreement resulting in the finalization of our purchase price for Dania Jai-Alai (see Note 9, *Acquisition of*

*Dania Jai-Alai*).

## **Table of Contents**

### **Item 2. *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations***

Boyd Gaming Corporation ( we or the Company ) is a diversified operator of 15 wholly-owned gaming entertainment properties and one joint-venture property. Headquartered in Las Vegas, we have gaming operations in Nevada, Illinois, Louisiana, Mississippi, Indiana and New Jersey, which we aggregate in order to present four Reportable Segments: Las Vegas Locals, Downtown Las Vegas, Midwest and South, and our 50% joint venture that owns the limited liability company operating Borgata Hotel Casino & Spa in Atlantic City, New Jersey. In addition, on March 1, 2007, we acquired Dania Jai-Alai, where we operate a pari-mutuel jai alai facility, and approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Florida. Furthermore, we own 87 acres on the Las Vegas Strip, where our Echelon project is located.

Our main business emphasis is on slot revenues, which are highly dependent upon the volume and spending levels of customers at our properties. Gross revenues are one of the main performance indicators of our properties. Our properties have historically generated significant operating cash flow, with the majority of our revenue being cash-based. Our industry is capital intensive; we rely heavily on the ability of our properties to generate operating cash flow in order to repay debt financing, pay income taxes, fund maintenance capital expenditures, and provide excess cash for future development, acquisitions of our debt or equity securities, and payment of dividends.

### **Overall Outlook**

We continually work to position our Company for greater success by strengthening our existing operations and growing through capital investment and other strategic initiatives. For instance, we most recently opened the new hotel at Blue Chip Casino, Hotel & Spa on January 22, 2009. This expansion added a 22-story hotel, which includes 300 guest rooms, a spa and fitness center, additional meeting and event space, as well as new dining and nightlife venues.

Due to the current economic recession, our present objective is to manage our cost and expense structure in order to endure the current slowdown in business volumes and maintain compliance with our debt covenants. Nonetheless, we intend to remain flexible for potential strategic transactions that we may undertake in the future.

On August 1, 2008, due to the difficult environment in the capital markets, as well as weak economic conditions, we announced the delay of our multibillion dollar Echelon development project on the Las Vegas Strip. Due to the continued instability in the credit markets and the economic outlook, it is unlikely that we will resume construction in 2009. Nonetheless, we remain committed to having a meaningful presence on the Las Vegas Strip. Over the remainder of 2009, we intend to consider alternative development options for Echelon, which may include developing the project in phases, alternative capital structures for the project, scope modifications to the project, or additional strategic partnerships, among others. We can provide no assurances as to when, or if, construction will resume on the project, or if we will be able to obtain alternative sources of financing for the project. We will monitor these assets for recoverability as we develop and explore the viability of alternatives for the project. If we are subject to a noncash write-down of these assets, it could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In addition to the expansion projects mentioned above, we regularly evaluate opportunities for growth through the development of gaming operations in existing or new markets, along with opportunities associated with acquiring other gaming entertainment facilities.

**Table of Contents****Summary Financial Results**

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
<b>Gross Revenues</b>				
Las Vegas Locals	\$ 183,738	\$ 222,385	\$ 373,674	\$ 453,883
Downtown Las Vegas	63,159	68,705	127,354	135,475
Midwest and South	220,460	220,596	446,840	447,845
<b>Reportable Segment Gross Revenues</b>	<b>467,357</b>	<b>511,686</b>	<b>947,868</b>	<b>1,037,203</b>
Other	1,962	2,164	4,131	4,558
<b>Gross Revenues</b>	<b>\$ 469,319</b>	<b>\$ 513,850</b>	<b>\$ 951,999</b>	<b>\$ 1,041,761</b>
Operating income	\$ 56,158	\$ 64,094	\$ 83,360	\$ 47,809
Net income (loss)	\$ 12,778	\$ 21,658	\$ (1,050)	\$ (10,929)

Significant events that affected our operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the same periods in 2008, or that may affect our future results, are described below:

The economic recession has caused significant declines in consumer spending, which negatively impacted our gross revenues and our operating results during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, and is anticipated to continue for the foreseeable future.

Write-downs and other charges of \$28.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009, principally related to the write-off of Dania Jai-Alai's goodwill, which was recorded as an additional cost of the acquisition in connection with the January 2009 amendment to the purchase agreement. The goodwill was subsequently written-off in connection with our impairment test for recoverability during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Write-downs and other charges of \$91.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008, principally related to the write-off of Dania Jai-Alai's intangible license right, following our decision to indefinitely postpone redevelopment plans to operate slot machines at the facility.

**Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA**

We determine each of our wholly-owned properties' profitability based upon Property EBITDA, which represents each property's earnings before interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization, preopening expenses, write-downs and other charges, share-based compensation expense, deferred rent, change in value of derivative instruments, and gain/loss on early retirements of debt, as applicable. Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA is the aggregate sum of the Property EBITDA for each of the properties included in our Las Vegas Locals, Downtown Las Vegas, and Midwest and South segments, and also includes our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items. We have aggregated certain of our properties in order to present the Reportable Segments shown in the table below.

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

	Three Months		Six Months Ended	
	Ended June 30,		June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA				
Las Vegas Locals	\$ 43,917	\$ 62,427	\$ 89,237	\$ 129,082
Downtown Las Vegas	11,800	10,324	25,154	20,493
Midwest and South	45,010	46,107	93,598	92,189
Our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items	13,844	13,244	26,761	32,249



**Table of Contents**

Significant factors that affected our Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008, are listed below:

Las Vegas Locals declined 29.7% and 30.9%, respectively, due primarily to the reduction in gross revenues as a result of the economic recession, which has caused significant declines in the local housing market and rising unemployment that has reduced consumer spending. This segment has also been negatively affected by continued pressure on room rates.

Downtown Las Vegas increased 14.3% and 22.7%, respectively, primarily due to improved property operating margins and lower fuel costs at our Hawaiian charter operations.

Midwest and South declined 2.4% and increased 1.5%, respectively; the relatively flat results were principally due to higher than normal operating costs associated with integrating our new hotel at Blue Chip, offset by strength at Delta Downs and Par-A-Dice.

**Operating Data for Borgata Our 50% Joint Venture in Atlantic City**

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, certain operating data for Borgata, our 50% joint venture in Atlantic City. We use the equity method to account for our investment in Borgata.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Gross revenues	\$ 245,752	\$ 252,808	\$ 483,940	\$ 499,550
Operating income	27,269	22,267	52,761	59,322
Total non-operating expenses	(9,008)	(6,260)	(18,051)	(15,471)
Net income	18,261	16,007	34,710	43,851

The following table reconciles the presentation of our share of Borgata's operating income.

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Operating income from Borgata as reported on our condensed consolidated statements of operations	\$ 13,310	\$ 10,809	\$ 25,732	\$ 29,012
Net amortization expense related to our investment in Borgata	325	325	649	649
Our share of Borgata's operating income	13,635	11,134	26,381	29,661
Our share of Borgata's preopening expenses	173	2,101	349	2,509
Our share of Borgata's write-downs and other charges, net	36	9	31	79
Our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items	\$ 13,844	\$ 13,244	\$ 26,761	\$ 32,249

Our share of Borgata's operating income before net amortization, preopening and other items increased 4.5% and declined 17.0% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, as compared to the same periods in 2008. While Borgata's gross revenues were adversely impacted by the economic recession and an increasingly competitive regional environment, cost-control measures implemented over the last year were able to increase operating income during the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the same period in the prior year.

**Operating Results Discussion of Certain Expenses and Charges**

*Write-downs and Other Charges, Net*

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, write-downs and other charges primarily consisted of the write-down of goodwill associated with our purchase of Dania Jai-Alai. In March 2007, we acquired Dania Jai-Alai and approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Florida. Dania Jai-Alai is one of four pari-mutuel facilities in Broward County approved under Florida

---

**Table of Contents**

law to operate 2,000 Class III slot machines. In March 2007, we paid approximately \$81 million to close this transaction, and agreed to pay, in March 2010 or earlier, a contingent payment of an additional \$75 million to the seller, plus interest accrued at the prime rate (the contingent payment ), if certain legal conditions were satisfied.

In January 2009, we amended the purchase agreement to settle the contingent payment prior to the satisfaction of the legal conditions. The principal terms of the amendment are as follows.

We paid \$9.4 million to the seller in January 2009, plus \$9.1 million of interest accrued from the March 1, 2007 date of acquisition.

We issued an 8% promissory note to the seller in the amount of \$65.6 million, plus accrued interest. The terms of the note require principal payments of \$9.4 million, plus accrued interest, in April 2009 and July 2009, and a final principal payment of \$46.9 million, plus accrued interest, due in January 2010. In April and July 2009, we made the payments required under the promissory note. The promissory note is secured by a letter of credit under our bank credit facility.

In conjunction with this amendment, we recorded the remaining \$28.4 million of the \$75 million contingent liability as an additional cost of the acquisition (goodwill) during the six months ended June 30, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we tested the goodwill for recoverability, which resulted in a noncash impairment charge of \$28.4 million.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, write-downs and other charges primarily consist of the following:

An \$84.0 million noncash impairment charge principally related to the write-off of Dania Jai-Alai's intangible license right, following our decision to indefinitely postpone redevelopment plans to operate slot machines at the facility.

A \$6.3 million noncash impairment charge related to the abandonment of certain leasehold improvements.

**Other Operating Items**

***Asset Impairment***

***Annual Asset Impairment Testing***

We have significant amounts of goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets on our consolidated balance sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, we perform an annual impairment test of these assets in the second quarter of each year, which resulted in no impairment charge during the three months ended June 30, 2009; however, if our ongoing estimates of projected cash flows related to these assets are not met, we may be subject to a noncash write-down of these assets, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

***Echelon***

On August 1, 2008, due to the difficult environment in the capital markets, as well as weak economic conditions, we announced the delay of our multibillion dollar Echelon development project on the Las Vegas Strip. Due to the continued instability in the credit markets and the economic outlook, it is unlikely that we will resume construction in 2009. Nonetheless, we remain committed to having a meaningful presence on the Las Vegas Strip. Over the remainder of 2009, we intend to consider alternative development options for Echelon, which may include developing the project in phases, alternative capital structures for the project, scope modifications to the project, or additional strategic partnerships, among others. This change in circumstance implies that the carrying amounts of the assets related to Echelon may not be recoverable; therefore, we performed an impairment test of these assets for recoverability during the three months ended September 30, 2008, which resulted in no impairment charge, as the estimated undiscounted cash flows from the project exceed the carrying value of the assets, which was approximately \$950 million, including land, as of June 30, 2009. We will continue to monitor these assets for recoverability as we develop and explore the viability of alternatives for the project. If we are subject to a noncash write-down of these assets, it could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.



**Table of Contents***Sam's Town Tunica*

Sam's Town Tunica reported net operating losses of \$0.4 million and \$4.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Due to its history of operating losses, we tested the assets of Sam's Town Tunica for recoverability pursuant to SFAS 144 during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The asset recoverability test required the estimation of its undiscounted future cash flows and the comparison of the aggregate total to the property's carrying value. The test resulted in no impairment; however, we will continue to monitor the performance of Sam's Town Tunica and, if necessary, continue to update our asset recoverability test under SFAS 144. If future asset recoverability tests indicate that the assets of Sam's Town Tunica are impaired, we will be subject to a noncash write-down of its assets, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated statements of operations.

*Blue Chip*

Increased competition near Blue Chip has impacted our results and may continue for the foreseeable future with the addition of another competitor to the market during the three months ending September 30, 2009. We opened our new hotel at Blue Chip on January 22, 2009 in an effort to be more competitive in this market; however, the competition has had, and could continue to have, an adverse impact on the results of operations of Blue Chip. If future asset recoverability tests indicate that our intangible license rights at Blue Chip are impaired, we will be subject to a noncash write-down, which could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated statements of operations.

*Borgata*

Borgata's second hotel, The Water Club, opened in June 2008. The Water Club is an 800-room hotel, featuring five swimming pools, a state-of-the-art spa, and additional meeting room space. Borgata financed the expansion from its cash flows from operations and from its bank credit facility.

On September 23, 2007, The Water Club, then under construction, sustained a fire that caused damage to property with a carrying value of approximately \$11.4 million. Borgata carries insurance policies that management believes will cover most of the replacement costs related to property damage, with the exception of minor amounts principally related to insurance deductibles and certain other limitations. As of June 30, 2009, Borgata has received insurance advances related to property damage totaling \$22.9 million. Borgata has recorded a deferred gain of \$11.6 million on its condensed consolidated balance sheet at June 30, 2009, representing the amount of insurance advances related to property damage in excess of the \$11.3 million carrying value of assets damaged or destroyed by the fire (after its \$0.1 million deductible). The deferred gain, and any other deferred gain that may arise from further advances from insurance recoveries related to property damage, will not be recognized on Borgata's condensed consolidated statement of operations until final settlement with its insurance carrier. In addition, Borgata has delay-in-completion insurance coverage for The Water Club for certain costs, subject to various limitations and deductibles, which may help offset some of the costs related to the postponement of its opening. Recoveries, if any, from the insurance carrier will be recorded when earned and realized. The management of Borgata continues to work with its insurance carrier on the scope of the claims and can provide no assurance with respect to the ultimate resolution of these matters.

**Certain Other Non-Operating Costs and Expenses***Interest Costs*

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	(In thousands)			
Interest costs	\$ 29,658	\$ 32,533	\$ 69,237	\$ 70,001
Effects of interest rate swaps	6,577	2,557	12,647	2,099
Less:				
Capitalized interest		7,928	378	14,677
Interest income		5	4	13
Interest expense, net	\$ 36,235	\$ 27,157	\$ 81,502	\$ 57,410

Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Average note payable and debt balances	\$ 2,763,071	\$ 2,420,599	\$ 2,754,215	\$ 2,366,990
Average interest rates	5.2%	5.8%	5.9%	6.1%

---

## **Table of Contents**

Interest costs decreased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the same period in 2008, due to lower average interest rates, principally on our bank credit facility. This decrease was partially offset by higher average note payable and debt balances outstanding in 2009 and by \$8.9 million of prior period interest expense (from the March 1, 2007 date of acquisition to December 31, 2008), which was recorded during the six months ended June 30, 2009, related to the January 2009 amendment to the purchase agreement resulting in the finalization of our purchase price for Dania Jai-Alai.

At June 30, 2009, 55% of our debt was based upon variable interest rates, compared to 34% of our debt at June 30, 2008.

Capitalized interest decreased during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to the same periods in 2008, as a result of the completion of the Blue Chip hotel project in January 2009 and the suspension of a substantial portion of Echelon's construction activities, for which we ceased capitalizing interest.

As of June 30, 2009, we are a party to certain floating-to-fixed interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$500 million, whereby we receive payments based upon the three-month LIBOR and make payments based upon a stipulated fixed rate. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the effect of our swaps increased our interest expense by \$6.6 million and \$12.6 million, respectively, as market interest rates during the period were significantly lower than the 5.1% weighted average fixed rate associated with these swaps as of June 30, 2009.

### **Gain on Early Retirements of Debt**

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we purchased and retired \$34.2 million and \$44.7 million, respectively, principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was approximately \$28.0 million and \$36.1 million, respectively, resulting in a gain of approximately \$6.1 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded on our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the respective periods. The transactions were funded by availability under our bank credit facility.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we purchased and retired \$14.3 million and \$31.1 million, respectively, principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was approximately \$13.3 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded on our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the respective periods. The transactions were funded by availability under our bank credit facility.

### **Provision for (Benefit from) Income Taxes**

The effective tax rate was 40.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to 37.5% for the three months ended June 30, 2008.

The effective tax rate was 181.5% for the six months ended June 30, 2009, as compared to 27.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Excluding noncash impairment charges and other discrete adjustments, our effective tax rates would have been approximately 41.1% and 27.6% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

### **Net Income (Loss)**

As a result of the factors discussed above, we reported net income of \$12.8 million and \$21.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and net losses of \$1.1 million and \$10.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**Table of Contents****Liquidity and Capital Resources*****Cash Flows Summary***

	<b>Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
	<b>(In thousands)</b>	
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 99,513	\$ 153,101
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(128,804)	(368,737)
Net cash paid for Dania Jai-Alai	(9,375)	
Other	1,169	1,722
Net cash used in investing activities	(137,010)	(367,015)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Payments on retirements of long-term debt	(36,088)	(28,945)
Net borrowings under bank credit facility	86,697	252,100
Payments under note payable	(9,375)	
Common stock repurchased and retired	(7,950)	
Dividends paid on common stock		(26,330)
Other	(293)	125
Net cash provided by financing activities	32,991	196,950
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	\$ (4,506)	\$ (16,964)

***Cash Flows from Operating Activities and Working Capital***

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we generated operating cash flow of \$99.5 million, compared to \$153.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. The primary reasons for the decrease in operating cash flows were due to a reduction in operating results from our Reportable Segments as a result of the economic recession and an increase in interest paid due to higher average note payable and debt balances outstanding in 2009 and by \$8.9 million of prior period interest expense (from the March 1, 2007 date of acquisition to December 31, 2008), which was recorded and paid during the six months ended June 30, 2009, related to the January 2009 amendment to the purchase agreement resulting in the finalization of our purchase price for Dania Jai-Alai.

Borgata's amended bank credit agreement allows for certain limited distributions to be made to its partners. Our distributions from Borgata were \$12.6 million and \$19.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The distributions from Borgata declined as a result of the decline in Borgata's operating results. Borgata has significant uses for its cash flows, including maintenance and expansion capital expenditures, interest payments, state income taxes and the repayment of debt. Borgata's cash flows are primarily used for its business needs and are not generally available, except to the extent distributions are paid to us, to service our indebtedness. In addition, Borgata's amended bank credit facility contains certain covenants, including, without limitation, various covenants (i) requiring the maintenance of a minimum required fixed-charge coverage ratio, (ii) establishing a maximum permitted total leverage ratio, (iii) imposing limitations on the incurrence of additional secured indebtedness, and (iv) imposing restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments. In the event that Borgata fails to comply with its covenants, it may be prevented from making any distributions to us during such period of noncompliance.

As of June 30, 2009 and 2008, we had balances of cash and cash equivalents of \$93.6 million and \$148.7 million, respectively. We had working capital deficits of \$104.5 million and \$119.9 million as of June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.



## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

Historically, we have operated with minimal or negative levels of working capital in order to minimize borrowings and related interest costs under our bank credit facility. The bank credit facility generally provides any necessary funds for our day-to-day operations, interest and tax payments, as well as capital expenditures. On a daily basis, we evaluate our cash position and adjust the bank credit facility balance as necessary, by either borrowing or paying it down with excess cash. We also plan the timing and the amounts of our capital expenditures. We believe that our bank credit facility and cash flows from operating activities will be

## **Table of Contents**

sufficient to meet our projected operating and maintenance capital expenditures for at least the next twelve months. The source of funds for our development projects is derived primarily from cash flows from operations and availability under our bank credit facility, to the extent availability exists after we meet our working capital needs. We could also seek to fund these projects in whole or in part through incremental bank financing and additional debt or equity offerings. If availability does not exist under our bank credit facility, or we are not otherwise able to draw funds on our bank credit facility, additional financing may not be available to us or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to us.

### ***Cash Flows from Investing Activities***

Cash paid for capital expenditures on major projects for the six months ended June 30, 2009 included the following:

Echelon development project; and

New hotel project at Blue Chip, which opened on January 22, 2009.

Spending on these and other expansion projects totaled approximately \$101 million. We also paid approximately \$28 million for maintenance capital expenditures.

Cash paid for capital expenditures on major projects and business acquisitions for the six months ended June 30, 2008, included the following:

Echelon development project; and

New hotel project at Blue Chip.

Spending on these and other expansion projects totaled approximately \$327 million. We also paid approximately \$42 million for maintenance capital expenditures.

### ***Cash Flows from Financing Activities***

Substantially all of the funding for our acquisitions and renovation and expansion projects comes from cash flows from operations and debt financing.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, we purchased and retired \$44.7 million and \$31.1 million, respectively, principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was approximately \$36.1 million and \$28.9 million, respectively. The transactions were funded by availability under our bank credit facility.

Dividends are declared at the discretion of our Board of Directors. We are subject to certain limitations regarding payment of dividends, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our bank credit facility. In July 2008, our Board of Directors suspended the quarterly dividend for the current and future periods; therefore, we did not declare a dividend during the six months ended June 30, 2009. Dividends declared and paid during the six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$26.3 million, or \$0.15 per share per quarter.

### ***Share Repurchase Program***

In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized an amendment to our existing share repurchase program to increase the amount of common stock available to be repurchased to an aggregate total of \$100 million. The share repurchase program does not have an expiration date. We are not obligated to purchase any shares under our stock repurchase program.

Subject to applicable corporate securities laws, repurchases under our stock repurchase program may be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate. Purchases under our stock repurchase program can be discontinued at any time that we feel additional purchases are not warranted. We intend to fund the repurchases under the stock repurchase program with existing cash resources and availability under our bank credit facility.

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

We are subject to certain limitations regarding the repurchase of common stock, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our bank credit facility.

## **Table of Contents**

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we repurchased and retired approximately 1.7 million shares of our common stock at an average price of \$4.61 per share. For additional information, see Part II, Item 2, *Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds*.

In the future, we may acquire our debt or equity securities, through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers, exchange offers, redemptions or otherwise, upon such terms and at such prices as we may determine from time to time.

### ***Other Items Affecting Liquidity***

Recently, there have been significant disruptions in the global capital markets that have adversely impacted the ability of borrowers to access capital, with such disruptions expected to continue for the foreseeable future. Despite these disruptions, we anticipate the ability to fund our capital requirements using cash flows from operations and availability under our bank credit facility, to the extent availability exists after we meet our working capital needs. Any additional financing that is needed may not be available to us or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to us.

We can provide no assurances that our expansion and development projects will be completed within our current estimates, commence operations as expected, include all of the anticipated amenities, features or facilities, or achieve market acceptance. In addition, our development projects are subject to those additional risks inherent in the development and operation of a new or expanded business enterprise, including potential unanticipated operating problems. If our expansion, development, investment or renovation projects do not become operational within the time frame and project costs currently contemplated or do not successfully compete in their markets, it could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Once our projects become operational, they will face many of the same risks that our current properties face, including, but not limited to, competition, weakened consumer spending and increases in taxes due to changes in legislation.

### ***Echelon***

In June 2007, we commenced construction on Echelon, our multibillion dollar Las Vegas Strip development project. On August 1, 2008, due to the difficult environment in the capital markets, as well as weak economic conditions, we announced the delay of our Echelon development project. Due to the continued instability in the credit markets and the economic outlook, it is unlikely that we will resume construction in 2009. Nonetheless, we remain committed to having a meaningful presence on the Las Vegas Strip. Over the remainder of 2009, we intend to consider alternative development options for Echelon, which may include developing the project in phases, alternative capital structures for the project, scope modifications to the project, or additional strategic partnerships, among others. We can provide no assurances as to when, or if, construction will resume on the project, or if we will be able to obtain alternative sources of financing for the project. We will monitor these assets for recoverability as we develop and explore the viability of alternatives for the project. If we are subject to a noncash write-down of these assets, it could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial statements.

As of June 30, 2009, we have incurred approximately \$950 million in capitalized costs related to the Echelon project, including land. As part of our wind-down procedures related to the project, we expect to incur approximately \$10 million of capitalized costs, principally related to the offsite fabrication of escalators, curtain wall and a skylight, during the remainder of 2009. In addition, we expect to incur ongoing project costs, consisting primarily of payroll, property taxes, rent and insurance, of approximately \$12 million to \$16 million per annum that will be charged to preopening expense during the project's suspension period.

### ***North Las Vegas Gaming Site***

In April 2008, we announced that we have formed a joint venture with Olympia Gaming, an affiliate of Olympia Group, to develop a proposed casino, resort and spa within the master-planned community of Park Highlands in North Las Vegas, Nevada, subject to receipt of all required approvals. An application was filed with the City of North Las Vegas to develop a 66-acre mixed-use, regional entertainment center, consisting of 1,200 hotel rooms to be built in three phases. We expect the first phase to include 400 hotel rooms, a casino, race and sports book, restaurants, meeting rooms and other entertainment amenities. Following receipt of approvals, construction of the casino is not expected to begin for at least several years, allowing additional time for the surrounding area to be developed; however, we can provide no assurances of the timing. Due to the expiration of certain development time periods set forth in the joint venture agreement, the joint venture has technically expired, requiring several provisions to be renegotiated. If the joint venture is unable to obtain the necessary approvals or certain terms in the agreement cannot be renegotiated, we may change the scope of the project, defer the project, or cancel the project.

---

## **Table of Contents**

### *Nevada Use Tax Refund Claims*

On March 27, 2008, the Nevada Supreme Court issued a decision in *Sparks Nugget, Inc. vs. The State of Nevada Department of Taxation* (the Department ), holding that food purchased for subsequent use in the provision of complimentary and/or employee meals was exempt from use tax. On April 24, 2008, the Department filed a Petition for Rehearing (the Petition ) on the decision. Additionally, on the same date the Nevada Legislature filed an *Amicus Curiae* brief in support of the Department's position. The Nevada Supreme Court denied the Department's Petition on July 17, 2008. We have paid use tax on food purchased for subsequent use in complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties and estimate the refund to be in the range of \$15.8 million to \$18.0 million, including interest, from January 1, 2000 through June 30, 2009. We have been notified by the Department that they intend to pursue an alternative legal theory through an available administrative process, and they continue to deny our refund claims. Hearings before the Nevada Administrative Law Judge are currently being scheduled; however, the date of our hearing is uncertain at this time. Due to uncertainty surrounding the potential arguments that may be raised in the administrative process, we will not record any gain until the tax refund is realized. For periods subsequent to June 2008, we have not recorded an accrual for sales or use tax on complimentary and employee meals at our Nevada casino properties, as it is not probable that we will owe this tax, given the decision by the Nevada Supreme Court.

### *Other Opportunities*

We regularly investigate and pursue additional expansion opportunities in markets where casino gaming is currently permitted. For example, we previously announced that we delivered a nonbinding indication of interest to Station Casinos, Inc. We also pursue expansion opportunities in jurisdictions where casino gaming is not currently permitted in order to be prepared to develop projects upon approval of casino gaming. Such expansions will be affected and determined by several key factors, including:

outcome of gaming license selection processes;

approval of gaming in jurisdictions where we have been active but where casino gaming is not currently permitted;

identification of additional suitable investment opportunities in current gaming jurisdictions; and

availability of acceptable financing.

Additional projects may require us to make substantial investments or may cause us to incur substantial costs related to the investigation and pursuit of such opportunities, which investments and costs we may fund through cash flow from operations or availability under our bank credit facility. To the extent such sources of funds are not sufficient, we may also seek to raise such additional funds through public or private equity or debt financings or from other sources. No assurance can be given that additional financing will be available or that, if available, such financing will be obtainable on terms favorable to us. Moreover, we can provide no assurances that any expansion opportunity will result in a completed transaction.

### *Indebtedness*

*Bank Credit Facility.* Our long-term debt primarily consists of a bank credit facility and senior subordinated notes. At June 30, 2009, we had availability under our bank credit facility of approximately \$1.9 billion.

*Bank Credit Facility Covenants.* The bank credit facility contains certain financial and other covenants, including various covenants (i) requiring the maintenance of a minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00, (ii) establishing a maximum total leverage ratio (discussed below), (iii) imposing limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness and liens, (iv) imposing limitations on transfers, sales and other dispositions, and (v) imposing restrictions on investments, dividends and certain other payments. Management believes that we are in compliance with the bank credit facility covenants at June 30, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

The maximum permitted Total Leverage Ratio is calculated as Consolidated Funded Indebtedness to twelve-month trailing Consolidated EBITDA (all capitalized terms are defined in the bank credit facility). We are in compliance with the bank credit facility covenants at June 30, 2009, which includes the Total Leverage Ratio covenant, which was 6.05 to 1.00 at June 30, 2009. The following table provides our maximum allowable Total Leverage Ratio during the remaining term of the bank credit facility:

Fiscal Quarters Ending	Maximum Total Leverage Ratio
June 30, 2009 through December 31, 2009	6.50 to 1.00
March 31, 2010	6.75 to 1.00
June 30, 2010	7.00 to 1.00
September 30, 2010	7.25 to 1.00
December 31, 2010	7.50 to 1.00
March 31, 2011	6.50 to 1.00
June 30, 2011 and each quarter thereafter	5.25 to 1.00

The foregoing description of the bank credit facility is qualified in its entirety by the full text of the *First Amended and Restated Credit Agreement*, dated as of May 24, 2007, among the Company and certain other parties, which is incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007.

*Senior Subordinated Notes.* During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we purchased and retired \$34.2 million and \$44.7 million, respectively, principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was approximately \$28.0 million and \$36.1 million, respectively, resulting in a gain of approximately \$6.1 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded on our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the respective periods. The transactions were funded by availability under our bank credit facility.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we purchased and retired \$14.3 million and \$31.1 million, respectively, principal amount of our senior subordinated notes. The total purchase price of the notes was approximately \$13.3 million and \$28.9 million, respectively, resulting in a gain of approximately \$0.8 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, net of associated deferred financing fees, which is recorded on our condensed consolidated statements of operations for the respective periods. The transactions were funded by availability under our bank credit facility.

*Note Payable.* On March 1, 2007, we acquired Dania Jai-Alai and approximately 47 acres of related land located in Dania Beach, Florida. Dania Jai-Alai is one of four pari-mutuel facilities in Broward County approved under Florida law to operate 2,000 Class III slot machines. We paid approximately \$81 million to close this transaction and, agreed that, if certain conditions are satisfied, we would pay an additional \$75 million, plus interest accrued at the prime rate (the contingent payment), in March 2010 or earlier.

In January 2009, we amended the purchase agreement to settle the contingent payment prior to the satisfaction of the legal conditions. The principal terms of the amendment are as follows.

We paid \$9.4 million to the seller in January 2009, plus \$9.1 million of interest accrued from the March 1, 2007 date of acquisition.

We issued an 8% promissory note to the seller in the amount of \$65.6 million, plus accrued interest. The terms of the note require principal payments of \$9.4 million, plus accrued interest, in April 2009 and July 2009, and a final principal payment of \$46.9 million, plus accrued interest, due in January 2010. In April and July 2009, we made the payments required under the promissory note. The promissory note is secured by a letter of credit under our bank credit facility.

Our ability to service our debt will be dependent upon future performance, which will be affected by, among other things, prevailing economic conditions and financial, business and other factors, certain of which are beyond our control. It is unlikely that our business will generate sufficient cash flow from operations to enable us to pay our indebtedness as it matures and to fund our other liquidity needs. We believe that we will need to refinance all or part of our indebtedness at or prior to each maturity; however, we may not be able to refinance any of our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms, or at all.

**Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - A Replacement of FASB Statement No. 162* ( SFAS 168 ). SFAS 168 establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification™ (the Codification ) as the source of

**Table of Contents**

authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles ( GAAP ). SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. We will begin to use the Codification when referring to GAAP in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ending September 30, 2009. This will not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)* ( SFAS 167 ). SFAS 167 is a revision to FASB Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities*. The amendments include: (1) the elimination of the exemption for qualifying special purpose entities, (2) a new approach for determining who should consolidate a variable-interest entity, and (3) changes to when it is necessary to reassess who should consolidate a variable-interest entity. SFAS 167 is effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after November 15, 2009 and for interim periods within that first annual reporting period. We are currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 167 and have not determined the impact, if any, that the adoption will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 166, *Accounting for Transfers of Financial Assets – An Amendment to FASB Statement No. 140* ( SFAS 166 ). SFAS 166 is a revision of SFAS No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities*. SFAS 166 eliminates the concept of a qualifying special-purpose entity, changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets, and requires additional disclosures in order to enhance information reported to users of financial statements by providing greater transparency about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and an entity’s continuing involvement in and exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. SFAS 166 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2009. We believe that the adoption of SFAS 166 will not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* ( SFAS 165 ). SFAS 165 establishes general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued. SFAS 165 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009. This SFAS requires disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events and the basis for that date. The adoption of SFAS 165 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position ( FSP ) No. 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly* ( FSP FAS 157-4 ). FSP FAS 157-4 provides additional guidance for estimating fair value in accordance with FASB Statement No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, when the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability have significantly decreased. FSP FAS 157-4 also includes guidance on how to identify circumstances that indicate that a transaction is not orderly and emphasizes that even if there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability and regardless of the valuation techniques used, the objective of a fair value measurement remains the same. FSP FAS 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, and is to be applied prospectively. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-4 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2, *Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments* ( FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 ). FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 provides new guidance on the recognition of other-than-temporary impairments of investments in debt securities and provides new presentation and disclosure requirements for other-than-temporary impairments of investments in debt and equity securities. FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of FSP FAS 115-2 and 124-2 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position No. FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ( FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 ). FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 amends FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 107, *Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* ( SFAS 107 ), to require disclosures about fair value of financial instruments in interim reporting periods. Such disclosures were previously required only in annual financial statements. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 also amends Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 28, *Interim Financial Reporting*, to require those disclosures in summarized financial information at interim reporting periods. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

A variety of additional proposed or otherwise potential accounting standards are currently under study by standard-setting organizations and certain regulatory agencies. Because of the tentative and preliminary nature of such proposed standards, we have not yet determined the effect, if any, that the implementation of such proposed standards would have on our consolidated financial statements.



---

## **Table of Contents**

### ***Critical Accounting Policies***

A description of our critical accounting policies can be found in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

### ***Important Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements***

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Such statements contain words such as may, will, might, expect, believe, anticipate, could, would, estimate, continue, pursue, or the negative thereof or comparable terminology, and may include (with limitation) information regarding our expectations, hopes or intentions regarding the future, including, but not limited to, statements regarding our anticipation that disruptions in the global capital markets may continue for the foreseeable future, the potential effect on reduction in consumer spending due to perceived or actual general economic conditions, the housing crisis, the credit crisis, the impact of high energy and fuel costs, increased travel costs, potential for continued bank failures and other effects of the current recession, our development projects, including our Echelon project, and the timing and source of funds for that project, including its various components, and any additional expansion projects, the effects of the delay of our Echelon project, our estimates regarding the expected amenities, timing and cost of our Echelon development plan and the related Morgans joint venture, the potential write-down of goodwill due to impairments, including a potential impairment due to our market capitalization being below the book value of our common stock, our expectation to resume construction on our Echelon project, our intention to consider alternative development options for Echelon, our anticipations regarding joint venture capital contributions and potential modifications to agreements or arrangements with third parties, our estimates and belief regarding liabilities in connection with our delay of the Echelon project, our regular evaluations of growth opportunities through operations development and acquisitions, our competition, including the continuance and impact of increased competition in the Las Vegas Locals, Midwest and South and Borgata Reportable Segments, our ability to effect strategic growth, indebtedness, financing, revenue, Reportable Segment Adjusted EBITDA, amortization expense, tax benefits, our expectations regarding the treatment of certain deferred net gains on derivative instruments, our expectations regarding property tax assessments at Blue Chip, our expectations regarding our level of interest costs and capitalized interest during the remainder of 2009, the effects on Dania Jai-Alai if the Florida slot initiative is overturned, our decision to indefinitely postpone redevelopment plans to operate slot machines at Dania Jai-Alai, our valuation estimates and asset impairment judgments concerning our properties and other assets, our continued monitoring of the performance of our properties, our insurance coverage and our ability to secure such coverage, the effects of and our plans with respect to the Nevada Supreme Court's recent decision regarding certain use taxes, the passage and impact of laws and ordinances, our expectations regarding our North Las Vegas joint venture and our other casino-entitled 40-acre parcel located in North Las Vegas, our beliefs regarding the sufficiency of our bank credit facility and cash flows from operating activities to meet our projected expenditures (including operating and maintenance capital expenditures) and costs associated with certain of our projects over the next twelve months, our expectations regarding the sources of funds for our development projects, our expectations regarding acquiring our debt or equity securities, our intent to fund repurchases under our stock repurchase program with existing cash resources and availability under our bank credit facility, estimated asset and liability values, our beliefs relating to our bank credit facility and notes covenant compliance, the estimated rates relating to our derivative instruments, our need and ability to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness at each maturity, our legal strategies and the potential effect of pending legal claims on our business and financial condition, our views with respect to LVE's position on the ESA, declaration of future dividends, and the effects of the adoption of various accounting pronouncements.

Forward-looking statements involve certain risks and uncertainties, and actual results may differ materially from those discussed in each such statement. In particular, we can provide no assurances regarding the various expansion projects, including the development plans for the Echelon and North Las Vegas development projects, and whether such projects will be completed within the estimated time frame and budget, or at all. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the following:

The effects of intense competition that exists in the gaming industry.

The current economic downturn and its effect on consumer spending.

**Table of Contents**

The fact that our expansion, development and renovation projects (including enhancements to improve property performance) are subject to many risks inherent in expansion, development or construction of a new or existing project, including:

design, construction, regulatory, environmental and operating problems and lack of demand for our projects;

delays and significant cost increases, shortages of materials, shortages of skilled labor or work stoppages;

poor performance or nonperformance of any of our joint venture partners or other third parties upon whom we are relying in connection with any of our projects;

construction scheduling, engineering, environmental, permitting, construction or geological problems, weather interference, floods, fires or other casualty losses;

failure for us or our joint ventures to obtain financing on acceptable terms, or at all; and

failure to obtain necessary government or other approvals on time, or at all.

The risk that our delay of construction at Echelon may result in adverse affects on our business, results of operations or financial condition, including with respect to our joint venture participants and other resulting liabilities.

The risk that any of our projects may not be completed, if at all, on time or within established budgets, or that any project will result in increased earnings to us.

The risk that significant delays, cost overruns, or failures of any of our projects to achieve market acceptance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The risk that our projects may not help us compete with new or increased competition in our markets.

The risk that the actual fair value for assets acquired and liabilities assumed from any of our acquisitions materially differ from our preliminary estimates.

The risks associated with growth and acquisitions, including our ability to identify, acquire, develop or profitably manage additional companies or operations or successfully integrate such companies or operations into our existing operations without substantial costs, delays or other problems.

The risk that we may not receive gaming or other necessary licenses for new projects or that gaming will not be approved in jurisdictions where it is currently prohibited.

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

The risk that we may be unable to finance our expansion, development and renovation projects, including cost overruns on any particular project, as well as other capital expenditures through cash flow, borrowings under our bank credit facility and additional financings, which could jeopardize our expansion, development and renovation efforts.

The risk that we may not be ultimately successful in dismissing the action filed against Treasure Chest Casino and may lose our ability to operate the property, which result could materially, adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The effects of the extensive governmental gaming regulation and taxation policies that we are subject to, as well as any changes in laws and regulations, including increased taxes, which could harm our business.

The effects of extreme weather conditions or natural disasters on our facilities and the geographic areas from which we draw our customers, and our ability to recover insurance proceeds (if any).

The risks relating to mechanical failure and regulatory compliance at any of our facilities.

**Table of Contents**

The effects of events adversely impacting the economy or the regions from which we draw a significant percentage of our customers, including the effects of war, terrorist or similar activity or disasters in, at, or around our properties.

The effects of energy price increases on our cost of operations and our revenues.

Financial community and rating agency perceptions of our Company, and the effect of economic, credit and capital market conditions on the economy and the gaming and hotel industry.

Additional factors that could cause actual results to differ are discussed in Part II, Item 1A, *Risk Factors* in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, and in our other current and periodic reports filed from time to time with the SEC. All forward-looking statements in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to us as of the date hereof, and we assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk**

As of June 30, 2009, except for the table below, there were no material changes to the information previously reported under Item 7A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

	Carrying Value	Estimated Fair Value	Fair Value Hierarchy
(In thousands)			
Bank credit facility	\$ 1,967,813	\$ 1,554,572	Level 2
7.75% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2012	167,832	156,084	Level 1
6.75% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2014	291,000	226,980	Level 1
7.125% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2016	250,000	185,000	Level 1
Other	12,725	12,089	Level 3
Total long-term debt	\$ 2,689,370	\$ 2,134,725	

The estimated fair value of our bank credit facility is based on its bid price on or about June 30, 2009. The estimated fair values of our senior subordinated notes are based on quoted market prices as of June 30, 2009. Debt included in the *Other* category is fixed-rate debt that is due March 2013 and is not traded, thus does not have an observable market input; therefore, we have estimated its fair value based on a discounted cash flow approach, after giving consideration to the changes in market rates of interest, creditworthiness of both parties, and credit spreads.

In addition, in January 2009, we issued a promissory note in order to settle our contingent payment for Dania Jai-Alai. The carrying value of the promissory note is \$56.3 million as of June 30, 2009. The inputs utilized to value the promissory note are classified as Level 3 in the SFAS 157 hierarchal disclosure framework, as it is not traded, thus does not have an observable market input; therefore, we have estimated that its fair value approximates its carrying value, based on a discounted cash flow approach, after giving consideration to the changes in market rates of interest, creditworthiness of both parties, and credit spreads.

**Table of Contents****Item 4. Controls and Procedures**

As of the end of the period covered by this Report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Based on the evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Report.

There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. Other Information****Item 1. Legal Proceedings***Copeland*

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino ( Treasure Chest ), has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. We currently are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

*Legal Matters*

We are also parties to various legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. We believe that, except for the Copeland matter discussed above, all pending claims, if adversely decided, would not have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position or results of operations.

**Item 1A. Risk Factors**

We have revised the risk factors that relate to our business, as set forth below. These risks include any material changes to and supersede the risks previously disclosed in Part I, Item 1A in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008. We encourage investors to review the risk factors and uncertainties relating to our business disclosed in that Form 10-K, as well as those contained in Part I, Item 2, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Important Information Regarding Forward-Looking Statements*, above.



## **Table of Contents**

### **We may incur impairments to goodwill, indefinite-lived intangible assets, or long-lived assets.**

In accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*, we test our goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment annually or if a triggering event occurs. We perform the annual impairment testing for goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets in the second quarter of each fiscal year. In addition, in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, we test long-lived assets for impairment if a triggering event occurs.

Significant negative industry or economic trends, including the market price of our common stock continuing to trade below its book value, reduced estimates of future cash flows, disruptions to our business, slower growth rates or lack of growth in our business, have resulted in significant write-downs and impairment charges in 2008, and, if such events continue, may indicate that additional impairment charges in future periods are required. If we are required to record additional impairment charges, this could have a material adverse affect on our consolidated financial statements.

For example, for the year ended December 31, 2008, we recorded \$290.2 million in aggregate noncash impairment charges to write-down certain portions of our goodwill, intangible assets and other long-lived assets to their fair value at December 31, 2008. The impairment test for these assets was principally due to the decline in our stock price that caused our book value to exceed our market capitalization, which was an indication that these assets may not be recoverable. The primary reason for these impairment charges relates to the ongoing recession, which has caused us to reduce our estimates for projected cash flows, has reduced overall industry valuations, and has caused an increase in discount rates in the credit and equity markets.

### **Our business is particularly sensitive to reductions in discretionary consumer spending as a result of downturns in the economy.**

Consumer demand for casino hotel properties, such as ours, are particularly sensitive to downturns in the economy and the corresponding impact on discretionary spending on leisure activities. Changes in discretionary consumer spending or consumer preferences brought about by factors such as perceived or actual general economic conditions, the current housing crisis and the credit crisis, the impact of high energy and food costs, the increased cost of travel, the potential for continued bank failures, perceived or actual disposable consumer income and wealth, effects of the current recession and changes in consumer confidence in the economy, or fears of war and future acts of terrorism could further reduce customer demand for the amenities that we offer, thus imposing practical limits on pricing and harming our operations.

The current housing crisis and economic slowdown in the United States has resulted in a significant decline in the amount of tourism and spending in Las Vegas. If this decline continues, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows may be adversely affected.

### **Our common stock price may fluctuate substantially, and a shareholder's investment could decline in value.**

The market price of our common stock may fluctuate substantially due to many factors, including:

actual or anticipated fluctuations in our results of operations;

announcements of significant acquisitions or other agreements by us or by our competitors;

our sale of common stock or other securities in the future;

trading volume of our common stock;

conditions and trends in the gaming and destination entertainment industries;

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

changes in the estimation of the future size and growth of our markets; and

general economic conditions, including, without limitation, changes in the cost of fuel and air travel.

In addition, the stock market in general has experienced extreme price and volume fluctuations that have often been unrelated or disproportionate to companies' operating performance. Broad market and industry factors may materially harm the market price of our common stock, regardless of our operating performance. In the past, following periods of volatility in the market price of a company's securities, shareholder derivative lawsuits and/or securities class action litigation has often been instituted against that company. Such litigation, if instituted against us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Intense competition exists in the gaming industry, and we expect competition to continue to intensify.**

The gaming industry is highly competitive for both customers and employees, including those at the management level. We compete with numerous casinos and hotel casinos of varying quality and size in market areas where our properties are located. We also compete with other non-gaming resorts and vacation destinations, and with various other casino and other entertainment businesses, and could compete with any new forms of gaming that may be legalized in the future. The casino entertainment business is characterized by competitors that vary considerably in their size, quality of facilities, number of operations, brand identities, marketing and growth strategies, financial strength and capabilities, level of amenities, management talent and geographic diversity. In most markets, we compete directly with other casino facilities operating in the immediate and surrounding market areas. In some markets, we face competition from nearby markets in addition to direct competition within our market areas.

In recent years, with fewer new markets opening for development, competition in existing markets has intensified. We have invested in expanding existing facilities, developing new facilities, and acquiring established facilities in existing markets. In addition, our competitors have also invested in expanding their existing facilities and developing new facilities. This expansion of existing casino entertainment properties, the increase in the number of properties and the aggressive marketing strategies of many of our competitors have increased competition in many markets in which we compete, and this intense competition can be expected to continue.

If our competitors operate more successfully than we do, if they are more successful than us in attracting and retaining employees, if their properties are enhanced or expanded, or if additional hotels and casinos are established in and around the locations in which we conduct business, we may lose market share or the ability to attract or retain employees. In particular, the expansion of casino gaming in or near any geographic area from which we attract or expect to attract a significant number of our customers could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We also compete with legalized gaming from casinos located on Native American tribal lands. Expansion of Native American gaming in areas located near our properties, or in areas in or near those from which we draw our customers, could have an adverse effect on our operating results. For example, increased competition from federally recognized Native American tribes near Blue Chip has impacted our results and may continue for the foreseeable future with the addition of another competitor to the market during the three months ending September 30, 2009. Although we have expanded our facility at Blue Chip in an effort to be more competitive in this market, this casino has had, and could continue to have, an adverse impact on the operations of Blue Chip.

### **Our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects may face significant risks inherent in construction projects or implementing a new marketing strategy, including receipt of necessary government approvals.**

We regularly evaluate expansion, development, investment and renovation opportunities. On January 4, 2006, we announced our planned Las Vegas Strip development, Echelon. Echelon, which, when, or if, we resume construction, would be the largest and most expensive development project we have undertaken to date. In addition, we recently announced the completion of the new hotel at Blue Chip and that Borgata recently completed The Water Club, a second hotel at the property. We also closed the transaction to acquire Dania Jai-Alai in March 2007.

These projects and any other development projects we may undertake will be subject to the many risks inherent in the expansion or renovation of an existing enterprise or construction of a new enterprise, including unanticipated design, construction, regulatory, environmental and operating problems and lack of demand for our projects. Our current and future projects could also experience:

delays and significant cost increases;

shortages of materials;

shortages of skilled labor or work stoppages;

poor performance or nonperformance by any of our joint venture partners or other third parties on whom we place reliance;



---

**Table of Contents**

unforeseen construction scheduling, engineering, environmental, permitting, construction or geological problems; and

weather interference, floods, fires or other casualty losses.

The completion dates of any of our projects could differ significantly from expectations for construction-related or other reasons. For example, on August 1, 2008, we announced that, due to the difficult environment in the capital markets, as well as weak economic conditions, our Echelon project would be delayed. Due to the continued instability in the credit markets and the economic outlook, it is unlikely that we will resume construction in 2009. In addition, actual costs and construction periods for any of our projects can differ significantly from initial expectations. Our initial project costs and construction periods are based upon budgets, conceptual design documents and construction schedule estimates prepared at inception of the project in consultation with architects and contractors. Many of these costs can increase over time as the project is built to completion. For example, prior to delaying construction at Echelon, we announced that the estimated cost of the wholly-owned portion of Echelon increased by approximately \$0.4 billion, principally as a result of additional scope, larger guest rooms and suites, and increased estimated construction costs, and that the estimated development costs associated with certain joint venture properties to be developed and constructed in connection with Echelon increased by approximately \$250 million. We have incurred significant costs in connection with delaying construction of Echelon and anticipate that additional cost increases could continue to occur if we recommence development of Echelon. The cost of any project may vary significantly from initial budget expectations and we may have a limited amount of capital resources to fund cost overruns. If we cannot finance cost overruns on a timely basis, the completion of one or more projects may be delayed until adequate funding is available. We can provide no assurance that any project will be completed on time, if at all, or within established budgets, or that any project will result in increased earnings to us. Significant delays, cost overruns, or failures of our projects to achieve market acceptance could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Furthermore, our projects may not help us compete with new or increased competition in our markets.

Certain permits, licenses and approvals necessary for some of our current or anticipated projects have not yet been obtained. The scope of the approvals required for expansion, development, investment or renovation projects can be extensive and may include gaming approvals, state and local land-use permits and building and zoning permits. Unexpected changes or concessions required by local, state or federal regulatory authorities could involve significant additional costs and delay the scheduled openings of the facilities. We may not obtain the necessary permits, licenses and approvals within the anticipated time frames, or at all.

In addition, although we design our projects to minimize disruption of our existing business operations, expansion and renovation projects require, from time to time, all or portions of affected existing operations to be closed or disrupted. For example, after closing the Stardust in November 2006, we demolished the property in March 2007 to make way for the development of Echelon. Any significant disruption in operations of a property could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**We face risks associated with growth and acquisitions.**

As part of our business strategy, we regularly evaluate opportunities for growth through development of gaming operations in existing or new markets, through acquiring other gaming entertainment facilities or through redeveloping our existing gaming facilities. For example, in 2007, we completed the Barbary Coast exchange transaction and completed the acquisition of Dania Jai-Alai. In 2008, we completed the new hotel project at Blue Chip. We may also pursue expansion opportunities, including joint ventures, in jurisdictions where casino gaming is not currently permitted in order to be prepared to develop projects upon approval of casino gaming. The expansion of our operations, whether through acquisitions, development or internal growth, could divert management's attention and could also cause us to incur substantial costs, including legal, professional and consulting fees. There can be no assurance that we will be able to identify, acquire, develop or profitably manage additional companies or operations or successfully integrate such companies or operations into our existing operations without substantial costs, delays or other problems. Additionally, there can be no assurance that we will receive gaming or other necessary licenses or approvals for our new projects or that gaming will be approved in jurisdictions where it is not currently approved.

Ballot measures or other voter-approved initiatives to allow gaming in jurisdictions where gaming, or certain types of gaming (such as slots), was not previously permitted could be challenged, and, if such challenges are successful, these ballot measures or

---

**Table of Contents**

initiatives could be invalidated. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that there will not be similar or other challenges to legalized gaming in existing or current markets in which we may operate or have development plans, and successful challenges to legalized gaming could require us to abandon or substantially curtail our operations or development plans in those locations, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

On August 1, 2008, we announced that, due to the difficult environment in both the capital markets and the economy, our Echelon project would be delayed. Due to the continued instability in the credit markets and the economic outlook, it is unlikely that we will resume construction in 2009. We can provide no assurances regarding the timing or effects of our delay of construction at Echelon and when, or if, construction will recommence, the effect that such delay will have on our business, operations or financial condition, the effect that such delay will have on our joint venture partners, and whether such participants (or other Echelon project participants) will terminate their agreements or arrangements with us. In addition, our agreements or arrangements with third parties could require additional fees or terms in connection with modifying their agreements that may be unfavorable to us, and we can provide no assurances that we will be able to reach agreement on any modified terms.

Additionally, in February 2008, management determined to indefinitely postpone redevelopment of our Dania Jai-Alai facility, and in connection with that determination we recorded an \$84.0 million noncash impairment charge to write-off Dania Jai-Alai's intangible license right and write-down its property and equipment to their estimated fair values. Our decision to postpone the development was based on numerous factors, including the introduction of expanded gaming at a nearby Native American casino, the potential for additional casino gaming venues in Florida, and the existing Broward County pari-mutuel casinos performing below our expectations for the market. There can be no assurance that we will not face similar challenges and difficulties with respect to new development projects or expansion efforts that we may undertake, which could result in significant sunk costs that we may not be able to fully recoup or that otherwise have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

**If we are unable to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, as well as other capital expenditures, through cash flow, borrowings under our bank credit facility and additional financings, our expansion, development, investment and renovation efforts will be jeopardized.**

We intend to finance our current and future expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, as well as our other capital expenditures, primarily with cash flow from operations, borrowings under our bank credit facility, and equity or debt financings. If we are unable to finance our current or future expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, or our other capital expenditures, we will have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing, delaying or abandoning planned expansion, development, investment and renovation projects as well as other capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, reducing the amount or suspending or discontinuing the distribution of dividends, obtaining additional equity financing or joint venture partners, or modifying our bank credit facility. These sources of funds may not be sufficient to finance our expansion, development, investment and renovation projects, and other financing may not be available on acceptable terms, in a timely manner, or at all. In addition, our existing indebtedness contains certain restrictions on our ability to incur additional indebtedness. If we are unable to secure additional financing, we could be forced to limit or suspend expansion, development, investment and renovation projects and other capital expenditures, which may adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Furthermore, there have recently been significant disruptions in the global capital markets that have adversely impacted the ability of borrowers to access capital. We anticipate that these disruptions may continue for the foreseeable future. We anticipate that we will be able to fund our currently active expansion projects using cash flows from operations and availability under our bank credit facility (to the extent that availability exists after we meet our working capital needs). In addition, we previously announced that we submitted a nonbinding indication of interest to Station Casinos, Inc. ( Station ), and that if a transaction to acquire any of Station's assets were to occur, we would use availability under our bank credit facility to finance any transaction.

If availability under our bank credit facility does not exist or we are otherwise unable to make sufficient borrowings thereunder, any additional financing that is needed may not be available to us or, if available, may not be on terms favorable to us. As a result, if we are unable to obtain adequate project financing in a timely manner or at all, we may be forced to sell assets in order to raise capital for projects, limit the scope of, or defer, such projects, or cancel the projects altogether. Given the current state of the credit markets and the overall economy, we announced, on August 1, 2008, that we are delaying our Echelon project. In the event that capital markets do not improve and we or our joint venture participants are unable to access capital with more favorable terms, additional equity and/or credit support may be necessary to obtain construction financing for the remaining cost of the project. This additional

**Table of Contents**

equity and/or credit support may need to be contributed by us or our joint venture participants, or from both parties, and/or from one or more additional equity sponsors. If a joint venture obtains equity financing from additional sponsors, then our percentage interest in the project and resulting cash flows will be diluted. If a joint venture is unable to obtain adequate project financing in a timely manner, or at all, we may be forced to sell assets in order to raise capital for the project, limit the scope of the project, defer the project, or cancel the project altogether.

**If we are not ultimately successful in dismissing the action filed against Treasure Chest Casino, we may potentially lose our ability to operate the Treasure Chest Casino property and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.**

Alvin C. Copeland, the sole shareholder (deceased) of an unsuccessful applicant for a riverboat license at the location of our Treasure Chest Casino, has made several attempts to have the Treasure Chest license revoked and awarded to his company. In 1999 and 2000, Copeland unsuccessfully opposed the renewal of the Treasure Chest license and has brought two separate legal actions against Treasure Chest. In November 1993, Copeland objected to the relocation of Treasure Chest from the Mississippi River to its current site on Lake Pontchartrain. The predecessor to the Louisiana Gaming Control Board allowed the relocation over Copeland's objection. Copeland then filed an appeal of the agency's decision with the Nineteenth Judicial District Court. Through a number of amendments to the appeal, Copeland unsuccessfully attempted to transform the appeal into a direct action suit and sought the revocation of the Treasure Chest license. Treasure Chest intervened in the matter in order to protect its interests. The appeal/suit, as it related to Treasure Chest, was dismissed by the District Court and that dismissal was upheld on appeal by the First Circuit Court of Appeal. Additionally, in 1999, Copeland filed a direct action against Treasure Chest and certain other parties seeking the revocation of Treasure Chest's license, an award of the license to him, and monetary damages. The suit was dismissed by the trial court, citing that Copeland failed to state a claim on which relief could be granted. The dismissal was appealed by Copeland to the Louisiana First Circuit Court of Appeal. On June 21, 2002, the First Circuit Court of Appeal reversed the trial court's decision and remanded the matter to the trial court. On January 14, 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss the matter and that motion was partially denied. The Court of Appeal refused to reverse the denial of the motion to dismiss. In May 2004, we filed additional motions to dismiss on other grounds. There was no activity regarding this matter during 2005 and 2006, and the case was set to be dismissed by the court for failure to prosecute by the plaintiffs in mid-May 2007; however on May 1, 2007, the plaintiff filed a motion to set a hearing date related to the motions to dismiss. The hearing was scheduled for September 10, 2007, at which time all parties agreed to postpone the hearing indefinitely. Mr. Copeland has since passed away and his son, the executor of his estate, has petitioned the court to be substituted as plaintiff in the case. We currently are vigorously defending the lawsuit. If this matter ultimately results in the Treasure Chest license being revoked, it could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**We are subject to extensive governmental gaming regulation, as well as federal, state and local laws affecting businesses in general, which may harm our business.**

We are subject to a variety of regulations in the jurisdictions in which we operate. Regulatory authorities at the federal, state and local levels have broad powers with respect to the licensing of casino operations and may revoke, suspend, condition or limit our gaming or other licenses, impose substantial fines and take other actions, any one of which could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. A more detailed description of the governmental gaming regulations to which we are subject is included in Exhibit 99.1 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 and incorporated herein by reference.

If additional gaming regulations are adopted in a jurisdiction in which we operate, such regulations could impose restrictions or costs that could have a significant adverse effect on us. From time to time, various proposals are introduced in the legislatures of some of the jurisdictions in which we have existing or planned operations that, if enacted, could adversely affect the tax, regulatory, operational or other aspects of the gaming industry and our company. Legislation of this type may be enacted in the future. For example, on January 15, 2006, the New Jersey State Legislature enacted the *Smoke-Free Air Act*, effective April 15, 2006. This law called for smoke-free environments in essentially all indoor workplaces and areas open to the public, including places of business and service-related activities. The law contained several exemptions, including an exemption for all casino floor space and 20% of a hotel's designated hotel rooms. On February 15, 2007, the Atlantic City Council promulgated the first of a series of local ordinances that were more restrictive than the aforementioned state law. Specifically, the first ordinance reduced the casino floor exemption to 25% of a casino's floor space. Ultimately, such 25% of casino floor space in which smoking would be permitted was required to be enclosed and separately ventilated; however, before any gaming enclosures were constructed in accordance with this first local ordinance, the Atlantic City Council voted an amendment to prohibit smoking on 100% of the casino floor, limiting smoking to enclosed and separately ventilated non-gaming lounges. This revised ban became effective October 15, 2008, prior to which several Atlantic City casinos, including Borgata, had constructed the permitted non-gaming smoking lounges. On October 27, 2008, after the

**Table of Contents**

100% smoking ban (with non-gaming lounges) had been in place for 12 days, the Atlantic City Council voted to suspend for one year the then current ordinance and reverted back to the 75% non-smoking and 25% smoking configuration, without the requirement of enclosures. The avowed reason for the suspension of the 100% smoking ban ordinance was the current national and regional economic crisis. The ruling further states that the smoking ban ordinance will be reconsidered on or about the one-year anniversary date of the passage date of the ordinance, which will be on or about October 27, 2009. As per applicable law, this most recent ordinance became effective on November 16, 2008, prior to which the 100% smoking ban was in effect for 32 days. Thereafter, smoking will be permitted once again on 25% of a casino's floor space and prohibited on 75% of a casino's floor space, as was the case from April 15, 2007 until October 15, 2008.

Under all versions of the Atlantic City Council ordinance, including the current amendment, smoking has been, and will remain, permissible in 20% of a hotel's designated hotel rooms, consistent with New Jersey State Law. This legislation, and the local ordinance, could materially impact Borgata's results of operations; similar legislation in other jurisdictions in which we operate could materially impact the results of operations of our other properties.

In addition, the State of Illinois enacted a 100% smoking ban in all casinos, effective January 1, 2008.

Our directors, officers, key employees and joint venture partners must meet approval standards of certain state regulatory authorities. If state regulatory authorities were to find a person occupying any such position or a joint venture partner unsuitable, we would be required to sever our relationship with that person or the joint venture partner may be required to dispose of their interest in the joint venture. State regulatory agencies may conduct investigations into the conduct or associations of our directors, officers, key employees or joint venture partners to ensure compliance with applicable standards. For example, by letter of July 27, 2009 (the Letter), the Division of Gaming Enforcement Office of the Attorney General of the State of New Jersey (Division) made a formal request to the New Jersey Casino Control Commission (Commission) that the Commission reopen the gaming license held by Marina District Development Company, LLC (MDDC). MDDC is the 50-50 joint venture between us and MGM MIRAGE that owns and operates the Borgata Hotel Casino and Spa in Atlantic City, New Jersey. In June 2005, the Commission had renewed MDDC's gaming license for a five year term. The Letter indicated that the Division's reopening request was for the exclusive purpose of examining the qualifications of MGM MIRAGE, as a qualified holding company of MDDC, in light of the issues raised by the Special Report of the Division to the Commission on its investigation of MGM MIRAGE's joint venture with Pansy Ho in Macau, Special Administrative Region, People's Republic of China. The Letter noted that the Division had found that we had no involvement with MGM MIRAGE's development activities in Macau and also expressed the Division's confidence that the Commission could thoroughly examine the issues raised in the Special Report as to MGM MIRAGE's qualifications without negatively affecting the MDDC casino license or us. The Commission informed the Company that, pursuant to Section 88(a) of the New Jersey Casino Control Act, the MDDC gaming license was reopened on July 27, 2009, the date of the Letter.

Certain public and private issuances of securities and other transactions that we are party to also require the approval of some state regulatory authorities.

In addition to gaming regulations, we are also subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting businesses in general. These laws and regulations include, but are not limited to, restrictions and conditions concerning alcoholic beverages, environmental matters, employees, currency transactions, taxation, zoning and building codes, and marketing and advertising. Such laws and regulations could change or could be interpreted differently in the future, or new laws and regulations could be enacted. For example, on July 5, 2006, New Jersey gaming properties, including Borgata, were required to temporarily close their casinos for three days as a result of a New Jersey statewide government shutdown that affected certain New Jersey state employees required to be at casinos when they are open for business. In addition, Nevada recently enacted legislation that eliminated, in most instances, and, for certain pre-existing development projects such as Echelon or, otherwise reduced, property tax breaks and retroactively eliminated certain sales tax exemptions offered as incentives to companies developing projects that meet certain environmental green standards. As a result, we, along with other companies developing projects that meet such standards, may not realize the full tax benefits that were originally anticipated.

**We are subject to extensive taxation policies, which may harm our business.**

The federal government has, from time to time, considered a federal tax on casino revenues and may consider such a tax in the future. In addition, gaming companies are currently subject to significant state and local taxes and fees, in addition to normal federal and state corporate income taxes, and such taxes and fees are subject to increase at any time. For example, in November 2007, Nevada's largest teachers union, the Nevada State Educational Association, submitted a petition to the Nevada Secretary of State's

**Table of Contents**

Office seeking to increase the gross gaming revenue tax from 6.75% to 9.75%. If this petition is successful, it could have a material adverse affect on our results of operations. In June 2006, the Illinois legislature passed certain amendments to the Riverboat Gambling Act, which affected the tax rate at Par-A-Dice. The legislation, which imposes an incremental 5% tax on adjusted gross gaming revenues, was retroactive to July 1, 2005. As a result of this legislation, we were required to pay additional taxes, resulting in a \$6.7 million tax assessment in June 2006. Also, in May 2007, Blue Chip received a valuation notice indicating an unanticipated increase of nearly 400% to its assessed property value as of January 1, 2006. At that time, we estimated that the increase in assessed property value could result in a property tax assessment ranging between \$4 million and \$11 million for the eighteen-month period ended June 30, 2007. We recorded an additional charge of \$3.2 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007 to increase our property tax liability to \$5.8 million at June 30, 2007, as we believed that was the most likely amount to be assessed within the range. In December 2007, we received a property tax bill related to our 2006 tax assessment for \$6.2 million. As we have appealed the assessment, Indiana statutes allow for a minimum required payment of \$1.9 million, which was paid against the \$6.2 million assessment in January 2008. Additional payments totaling \$1.9 million were made related to 2007 provisional assessments received in October 2008 and [March 2009]. In February 2009, we received a notice of revaluation, which reduced the property's assessed value by \$100 million and the tax assessment by approximately \$2.2 million per year. We believe the assessment for the forty-two-month period ended June 30, 2009 could result in a property tax assessment ranging between \$8.7 million and \$18.2 million. We have accrued a property tax liability of approximately \$17 million as of June 30, 2009, based on what we believe to be the most likely assessment within our range, once all appeals have been exhausted; however, we can provide no assurances that the estimated amount will approximate the actual amount. The final 2006 assessment, post appeals, as well as the March 1, 2007, 2008 and 2009 assessment notices, which have not been received as of June 30, 2009, could result in further adjustment to our estimated property tax liability at Blue Chip. If there is any material increase in state and local taxes and fees, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected.

Our directors, officers and other key employees must meet approval standards of certain state regulatory authorities. If state regulatory authorities were to find a person occupying any such position unsuitable, we would be required to sever our relationship with that person. Certain public and private issuances of securities and other transactions we are party to also require the approval of some state regulatory authorities.

In addition to gaming regulations, we are also subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations affecting businesses in general. These laws and regulations include, but are not limited to, restrictions and conditions concerning alcoholic beverages, environmental matters, employees, currency transactions, taxation, zoning and building codes, and marketing and advertising. Such laws and regulations could change or could be interpreted differently in the future, or new laws and regulations could be enacted. For example, on July 5, 2006, New Jersey gaming properties, including Borgata, were required to temporarily close their casinos for three days as a result of a New Jersey statewide government shutdown that affected certain New Jersey state employees required to be at casinos when they are open for business. In addition, Nevada recently enacted legislation that eliminated, in most instances, and, for certain pre-existing development projects such as Echelon or, otherwise reduced, property tax breaks and retroactively eliminated certain sales tax exemptions offered as incentives to companies developing projects that meet certain environmental green standards. As a result, we, along with other companies developing projects that meet such standards, may not realize the full tax benefits that were originally anticipated.

**We own facilities that are located in areas that experience extreme weather conditions.**

We own facilities that are located in areas that experience extreme weather conditions, including, but not limited to, hurricanes. Extreme weather conditions may interrupt our operations, damage our properties and reduce the number of customers who visit our facilities in the affected areas. For example, our Treasure Chest Casino, which is located near New Orleans, Louisiana, suffered minor damage and was closed on August 30, 2008 for eight days over Labor Day weekend, as the New Orleans area was under mandatory evacuation orders during Hurricane Gustav. Hurricane Ike resulted in a two-day closure starting September 12 at Treasure Chest. Additionally, at our Delta Downs Racetrack Casino & Hotel, which is located in Southwest Louisiana, Hurricane Gustav forced us to close for six days, beginning on August 30, 2008, and Hurricane Ike led to a second closure from September 11, 2008 to September 17, 2008. The hurricane closures during the three months ended September 30, 2008 totaled 10 days for Treasure Chest and 13 days for Delta Downs, including two full weekends at both properties. While we maintain insurance coverage that may cover certain of the costs that we incur as a result of some extreme weather conditions, our coverage is subject to deductibles and limits on maximum benefits. There can be no assurance that we will be able to fully collect, if at all, on any claims resulting from extreme weather conditions. If any of our properties are damaged or if their operations are disrupted as a result of extreme weather in the future, or if extreme weather adversely impacts general economic or other conditions in the areas in which our properties are located or from which they draw their patrons, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially adversely affected.

---

**Table of Contents**

**Our insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all possible losses that our properties could suffer. In addition, our insurance costs may increase and we may not be able to obtain similar insurance coverage in the future.**

Although we have all risk property insurance coverage for our operating properties covering damage caused by a casualty loss (such as fire, natural disasters, acts of war, or terrorism), each policy has certain exclusions. In addition, our property insurance coverage is in an amount that may be significantly less than the expected replacement cost of rebuilding the facilities if there was a total loss. Our level of insurance coverage also may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major casualty. In addition, certain casualty events, such as labor strikes, nuclear events, acts of war, loss of income due to cancellation of room reservations or conventions due to fear of terrorism, deterioration or corrosion, insect or animal damage and pollution, may not be covered at all under our policies. Therefore, certain acts could expose us to substantial uninsured losses.

We also have builder's risk insurance coverage for our development and expansion projects, including Echelon. Builder's risk insurance provides coverage for projects during their construction for damage caused by a casualty loss. In general, our builder's risk coverage is subject to the same exclusions, risks and deficiencies as those described above for our all risk property coverage. Our level of builder's risk insurance coverage may not be adequate to cover all losses in the event of a major casualty.

In addition to the damage caused to our properties by a casualty loss, we may suffer business disruption as a result of these events or be subject to claims by third parties that may be injured or harmed. While we carry business interruption insurance and general liability insurance, this insurance may not be adequate to cover all losses in any such event.

We renew our insurance policies (other than our builder's risk insurance) on an annual basis. The cost of coverage may become so high that we may need to further reduce our policy limits or agree to certain exclusions from our coverage.

Our debt instruments and other material agreements require us to maintain a certain minimum level of insurance coverage. Failure to satisfy these requirements could result in an event of default under these debt instruments or material agreements.

**Our facilities, including our riverboats and dockside facilities, are subject to risks relating to mechanical failure and regulatory compliance.**

Generally, all of our facilities are subject to the risk that operations could be halted for a temporary or extended period of time, as the result of casualty, forces of nature, mechanical failure, or extended or extraordinary maintenance, among other causes. In addition, our gaming operations, including those conducted on riverboats or at dockside facilities could be damaged or halted due to extreme weather conditions.

We currently conduct our Treasure Chest, Par-A-Dice, Blue Chip and Sam's Town Shreveport gaming operations on riverboats. Each of our riverboats must comply with United States Coast Guard (USCG) requirements as to boat design, on-board facilities, equipment, personnel and safety. Each riverboat must hold a Certificate of Inspection for stabilization and flotation, and may also be subject to local zoning codes. The USCG requirements establish design standards, set limits on the operation of the vessels and require individual licensing of all personnel involved with the operation of the vessels. Loss of a vessel's Certificate of Inspection would preclude its use as a casino.

USCG regulations require a hull inspection for all riverboats at five-year intervals. Under certain circumstances, alternative hull inspections may be approved. The USCG may require that such hull inspections be conducted at a dry-docking facility, and if so required, the cost of travel to and from such docking facility, as well as the time required for inspections of the affected riverboats, could be significant. To date, the USCG has allowed in-place underwater inspections of our riverboats twice every five years on alternate 2 and 3 year schedules. The USCG may not allow these types of inspections in the future. The loss of a dockside casino or riverboat casino from service for any period of time could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Indiana and Louisiana have adopted alternate inspection standards for riverboats in those states. The standards require inspection by American Bureau of Shipping Consulting (ABSC). We intend to relinquish USCG inspection and elect ABSC inspection for our riverboats at Blue Chip, Treasure Chest and Sam's Town Shreveport effective by mid-2010. The Par-A-Dice riverboat will remain inspected by the USCG for the foreseeable future. ABSC imposes essentially the same design, personnel, safety, and hull inspection standards as the USCG. Therefore, the risks to our business associated with USCG inspection do not change by reason of inspection by ABSC. Failure of a vessel to meet ABSC standards would preclude its use as a casino.



---

**Table of Contents**

USCG regulations also require us to prepare and follow certain security programs. In 2004, we implemented the American Gaming Association's Alternative Security Program at our riverboat casinos and dockside facilities. The American Gaming Association's Alternative Security Program is specifically designed to address maritime security requirements at riverboat casinos and their respective dockside facilities. Only portions of those regulations will apply to our riverboats inspected by ABSC. Changes to these regulations could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**We draw a significant percentage of our customers from limited geographic regions. Events adversely impacting the economy or these regions, including public health outbreaks and man-made or natural disasters, may adversely impact our business.**

California, Fremont and Main Street Station draw a substantial portion of their customers from the Hawaiian market. For the six months ended June 30, 2009, patrons from Hawaii comprised approximately 61% of the room nights sold at California, 48% at Fremont and 49% at Main Street Station. Decreases in discretionary consumer spending due to the recession, as well as an increase in fuel costs or transportation prices, a decrease in airplane seat availability, or a deterioration of relations with tour and travel agents, particularly as they affect travel between the Hawaiian market and our facilities, could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our Las Vegas properties also draw a substantial number of customers from certain other specific geographic areas, including locally, Southern California and Arizona. Native American casinos in California and other parts of the United States have diverted some potential visitors away from Nevada, which has had and could continue to have a negative effect on Nevada gaming markets. In addition, due to our significant concentration of properties in Nevada, any man-made or natural disasters in or around Nevada, or the areas from which we draw customers to our Las Vegas properties, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. Each of our properties located outside of Nevada depends primarily on visitors from their respective surrounding regions and are subject to comparable risk.

The outbreak of public health threats at any of our properties or in the areas in which they are located, or the perception that such threats exist, including pandemic health threats, such as the avian influenza virus, SARS, or the H1N1 flu, among others, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations; likewise, adverse economic conditions that affect the national or regional economies in which we operate, whether resulting from war, terrorist activities or other geopolitical conflict, weather, general or localized economic downturns or related events or other factors, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, to the extent that the airline industry is negatively impacted due to the effects of the recession, outbreak of war, public health threats, terrorist or similar activity, increased security restrictions or the public's general reluctance to travel by air, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be significantly adversely affected.

**Energy price increases may adversely affect our cost of operations and our revenues.**

Our casino properties use significant amounts of electricity, natural gas and other forms of energy. In addition, our Hawaiian air charter operation uses a significant amount of jet fuel. While no shortages of energy or fuel have been experienced to date, substantial increases in energy and fuel prices, including jet fuel prices, in the United States have, and may continue to, negatively affect our results of operations. The extent of the impact is subject to the magnitude and duration of the energy and fuel price increases, of which the impact could be material. In addition, energy and gasoline price increases could result in a decline of disposable income of potential customers, an increase in the cost of travel and a corresponding decrease in visitation and spending at our properties, which could have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

**Certain of our stockholders own large interests in our capital stock and may significantly influence our affairs.**

William S. Boyd, our Executive Chairman of the Board of Directors, together with his immediate family, beneficially owned approximately 37% of the Company's outstanding shares of common stock as of June 30, 2009. As such, the Boyd family has the ability to significantly influence our affairs, including the election of members of our Board of Directors and, except as otherwise provided by law, approving or disapproving other matters submitted to a vote of our stockholders, including a merger, consolidation, or sale of assets.

**Table of Contents**

**Some of our hotels and casinos are located on leased property. If we default on one or more leases, the applicable lessors could terminate the affected leases and we could lose possession of the affected hotel and/or casino.**

We lease certain parcels of land on which The Orleans, Suncoast, Sam's Town Tunica, Treasure Chest and Sam's Town Shreveport are located. In addition, we lease other parcels of land on which portions of the California and the Fremont are located. If we were to default on any one or more of these leases, the applicable lessors could terminate the affected leases and we could lose possession of the affected land and any improvements on the land, including the hotels and casinos. This would have a significant adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations as we would then be unable to operate all or portions of the affected facilities.

**We have a significant amount of indebtedness.**

We had total consolidated long-term debt, net of current maturities, of approximately \$2.7 billion at June 30, 2009. If we pursue, or continue to pursue, any expansion, development, investment or renovation projects, we expect that our long-term debt will substantially increase in connection with related capital expenditures. This indebtedness could have important consequences, including:

difficulty in satisfying our obligations under our current indebtedness;

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flows from operations to payments on our indebtedness, which would reduce the availability of our cash flows to fund working capital, capital expenditures, expansion efforts and other general corporate purposes;

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we operate;

placing us at a disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and

limiting, along with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our indebtedness, among other things, our ability to borrow additional funds. Failure to comply with these covenants could result in an event of default, which, if not cured or waived, could have a significant adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our debt instruments contain, and any future debt instruments likely will contain, a number of restrictive covenants that impose significant operating and financial restrictions on us, including restrictions on our ability to, among other things:

incur additional debt, including providing guarantees or credit support;

incur liens securing indebtedness or other obligations;

dispose of assets;

make certain acquisitions;

## Edgar Filing: BOYD GAMING CORP - Form 10-Q

pay dividends or make distributions and make other restricted payments;

enter into sale and leaseback transactions;

engage in any new businesses; and

enter into transactions with our stockholders and our affiliates.

In addition, our bank credit facility requires us to maintain certain ratios, including a minimum interest coverage ratio of 2.00 to 1.00 and a total leverage ratio that adjusts over the life of the bank credit facility. Our future debt agreements could contain financial or other covenants more restrictive than those applicable under our existing instruments.

Our current debt service requirements on our bank credit facility primarily consist of interest payments on outstanding indebtedness. The bank credit facility is a \$4.0 billion revolving credit facility that matures in May 2012. Subject to certain limitations, we may, at any time, without the consent of the lenders under our bank credit facility, request incremental commitments to increase the size of the bank credit facility, or request new commitments to add a term loan facility, by up to an aggregate amount of \$1.0 billion. We believe that we are in compliance with the Total Leverage Ratio covenant under our bank credit facility, which was 6.05 to 1.00 at June 30, 2009.

**Table of Contents**

Debt service requirements under our current outstanding senior subordinated notes consist of semi-annual interest payments (based upon fixed annual interest rates ranging from 6.75% to 7.75%) and repayment of our senior subordinated notes due on December 15, 2012, April 15, 2014, and February 1, 2016 for each of our 7.75%, 6.75% and 7.125% senior subordinated notes, respectively.

Our ability to make payments on and to refinance our indebtedness, and to fund planned capital expenditures and expansion efforts will depend upon our ability to generate cash in the future. This, to a certain extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative, regulatory and other factors that are beyond our control. It is unlikely that our business will generate sufficient cash flows from operations, or that future borrowings will be available to us under our bank credit facility, in amounts sufficient to enable us to pay our indebtedness as it matures and to fund our other liquidity needs. We believe that we will need to refinance all or part of our indebtedness at or prior to each maturity; however, we may not be able to refinance any of our indebtedness on commercially reasonable terms or at all. We may have to adopt one or more alternatives, such as reducing or delaying planned expenses and capital expenditures, selling assets, restructuring debt, or obtaining additional equity or debt financing or joint venture partners. These financing strategies may not be affected on satisfactory terms, if at all. In addition, certain state laws contain restrictions on the ability of companies engaged in the gaming business to undertake certain financing transactions, therefore preventing us from obtaining necessary capital.

**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**

- (c) The following table discloses share repurchases that we have made pursuant to our share repurchase program during the three months ended June 30, 2009. For additional information, see below under *Share Repurchase Program*.

Period	Total Number Of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid Per Share	Total Number Of Shares Purchased As Part Of Publicly Announced Plan	Approximate Dollar Value That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plan
April 1, 2009 through April 30, 2009	171,700	\$ 5.23	171,700	\$ 92,079,799
May 1, 2009 through May 31, 2009				92,079,799
June 1, 2009 through June 30, 2009				92,079,799
Totals	171,700	5.23	171,700	92,079,799

*Share Repurchase Program*

In July 2008, our Board of Directors authorized an amendment to our existing share repurchase program to increase the amount of common stock available to be repurchased to an aggregate total of \$100 million. The share repurchase program does not have an expiration date. We are not obligated to purchase any shares under our stock repurchase program. Subject to applicable corporate securities laws, repurchases under our stock repurchase program may be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate. Purchases under our stock repurchase program can be discontinued at any time that we feel additional purchases are not warranted. We intend to fund the repurchases under the stock repurchase program with existing cash resources and availability under our bank credit facility.

We are subject to certain limitations regarding the repurchase of common stock, such as restricted payment limitations related to our outstanding notes and our bank credit facility.

In the future, we may acquire our debt or equity securities through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions, tender offers, exchange offers, redemptions or otherwise, upon such terms and at such prices as we may determine from time to time.

**Table of Contents****Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders**

Our Annual Meeting of Stockholders was held on May 21, 2009. The stockholders elected eleven members to our Board of Directors to serve until the next Annual Meeting of Stockholders or until their respective successors have been duly elected and qualified. In addition, the stockholders ratified the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009.

The number of shares voting on the election of the members of our Board of Directors is set forth below.

Election of Directors	Votes	
	For	Withheld
Robert L. Boughner	79,096,545	2,493,540
William R. Boyd	79,102,296	2,487,789
William S. Boyd	79,156,754	2,433,331
Thomas V. Girardi	80,355,636	1,234,449
Marianne Boyd Johnson	79,149,718	2,440,367
Billy G. McCoy	79,263,122	2,326,963
Frederick J. Schwab	79,838,995	1,751,090
Keith E. Smith	79,627,295	1,962,790
Christine J. Spadafor	80,167,447	1,422,638
Peter M. Thomas	79,397,143	2,192,942
Veronica J. Wilson	79,836,929	1,753,156

The stockholders ratified the selection of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009, with voting as follows: 80,504,269 for; 957,764 against; 128,052 abstain.

**Item 6. Exhibits**

## (a) Exhibits

- 4.1 Form of Indenture (including Form of Senior Debt Securities) with respect to Senior Debt Securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated December 12, 2008).
- 4.2 Form of Indenture (including Form of Subordinated Debt Securities) with respect to Subordinated Debt Securities (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 of the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-3 dated December 12, 2008).
- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on August 10, 2009.

BOYD GAMING CORPORATION

By: /s/ JEFFREY G. SANTORO  
**Jeffrey G. Santoro**

**Senior Vice President and Controller**

**(Principal Accounting Officer)**

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT LIST**

- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.
- 32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of the Registrant pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(b) and 18 U.S.C. § 1350.