

DCT Industrial Trust Inc.
Form 10-Q
August 07, 2009
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

OR

Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-33201

DCT INDUSTRIAL TRUST INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Maryland (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	82-0538520 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
518 Seventeenth Street, Suite 800 Denver, Colorado (Address of principal executive offices)	80202 (Zip Code)
(303) 597-2400 (Registrant's telephone number, including area code)	

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

As of July 31, 2009, 204,329,161 shares of common stock of DCT Industrial Trust Inc., par value \$0.01 per share, were outstanding.

Table of Contents**DCT INDUSTRIAL TRUST INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Index to Form 10-Q**

	Page
PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION
Item 1.	Consolidated Financial Statements:
	<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 (unaudited) and December 31, 2008</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (unaudited)</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statement of Equity and Other Comprehensive Loss for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)</u>
	<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)</u>
	<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>
Item 2.	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>
Item 3.	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>
Item 4.	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>
PART II.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>
Item 1.	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>
Item 1A.	<u>Risk Factors</u>
Item 2.	<u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>
Item 3.	<u>Defaults upon Senior Securities</u>
Item 4.	<u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders</u>
Item 5.	<u>Other Information</u>
Item 6.	<u>Exhibits</u>
	<u>SIGNATURES</u>

Table of Contents**DCT INDUSTRIAL TRUST INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Balance Sheets**

(in thousands, except share and per share information)

	June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	December 31, 2008
ASSETS		
Land	\$ 510,669	\$ 511,730
Buildings and improvements	2,149,396	2,107,756
Intangible lease assets	186,831	187,605
Construction in progress	65,997	90,770
Total Investment in Properties	2,912,893	2,897,861
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(471,509)	(417,404)
Net Investment in Properties	2,441,384	2,480,457
Investments in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures	120,292	125,452
Net Investment in Real Estate	2,561,676	2,605,909
Cash and cash equivalents	132,903	19,681
Notes receivable	30,356	30,387
Deferred loan costs, net	3,892	5,098
Straight-line rent and other receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,960 and \$843, respectively	26,072	31,747
Other assets, net	9,583	11,021
Total Assets	\$ 2,764,482	\$ 2,703,843
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 30,189	\$ 35,193
Distributions payable	18,890	16,630
Tenant prepaids and security deposits	14,200	17,601
Other liabilities	10,896	26,472
Intangible lease liability, net	5,765	6,813
Senior unsecured notes	625,000	625,000
Mortgage notes	567,966	574,634
Total Liabilities	1,272,906	1,302,343
Equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized, none outstanding		
Shares-in-trust, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized, none outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 350,000,000 shares authorized, 203,799,565 and 175,141,387 shares issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	2,038	1,751
Additional paid-in capital	1,779,218	1,657,923
Distributions in excess of earnings	(543,652)	(513,040)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(12,485)	(23,605)
Total Stockholders' Equity	1,225,119	1,123,029
Noncontrolling interests	266,457	278,471

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total Equity	1,491,576	1,401,500
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 2,764,482	\$ 2,703,843

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**DCT INDUSTRIAL TRUST INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Operations**

(unaudited, in thousands, except per share information)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
REVENUES:				
Rental revenues	\$ 59,267	\$ 61,150	\$ 122,117	\$ 123,489
Institutional capital management and other fees	680	614	1,347	1,474
Total Revenues	59,947	61,764	123,464	124,963
OPERATING EXPENSES:				
Rental expenses	7,233	7,202	15,976	15,607
Real estate taxes	8,755	8,401	17,428	16,634
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	27,937	28,394	54,323	56,244
General and administrative	6,454	5,083	11,922	10,965
Total Operating Expenses	50,379	49,080	99,649	99,450
Operating Income	9,568	12,684	23,815	25,513
OTHER INCOME AND (EXPENSE):				
Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures, net	(1,615)	439	2,565	726
Interest expense	(13,326)	(11,106)	(26,667)	(25,505)
Interest income and other	767	567	901	1,001
Income taxes	(661)	(356)	(1,553)	(891)
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	(5,267)	2,228	(939)	844
Income from discontinued operations	513	16,534	634	17,898
Income (Loss) Before Gain On Dispositions Of Real Estate Interests	(4,754)	18,762	(305)	18,742
Gain (loss) on dispositions of real estate interests		(39)	37	407
Consolidated Net Income (Loss)	(4,754)	18,723	(268)	19,149
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	760	(3,227)	101	(3,269)
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ (3,994)	\$ 15,496	\$ (167)	\$ 15,880
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE BASIC:				
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Income from discontinued operations	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.09
Gain (loss) on dispositions of real estate interests	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.09
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE DILUTED:				
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Income from discontinued operations	0.00	0.08	0.00	0.09
Gain (loss) on dispositions of real estate interests	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.09
---	-----------	---------	---------	---------

WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:

Basic	183,783	171,429	179,745	169,908
-------	---------	---------	---------	---------

Diluted	183,783	171,481	179,745	169,919
---------	---------	---------	---------	---------

AMOUNTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO DCT COMMON STOCKHOLDERS:

Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	\$ (4,431)	\$ 1,873	\$ (738)	\$ 787
--	------------	----------	----------	--------

Income from discontinued operations	437	13,655	539	14,763
-------------------------------------	-----	--------	-----	--------

Gain (Loss) on dispositions of real estate interests		(32)	32	330
--	--	------	----	-----

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ (3,994)	\$ 15,496	\$ (167)	\$ 15,880
---	------------	-----------	----------	-----------

Distributions declared per common share	\$ 0.08	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.16	\$ 0.32
---	---------	---------	---------	---------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**DCT INDUSTRIAL TRUST INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statement of Equity****And Other Comprehensive Loss****For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2009****(unaudited, in thousands)**

	DCT Industrial Trust Inc. and Subsidiaries						
	Total Equity	Common Stock		Additional Paid-in Capital	Distributions in Excess of Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	
Balance at December 31, 2008, as previously reported	\$ 1,401,500	175,141	\$ 1,751	\$ 1,657,923	\$ (513,040)	\$ (26,623)	\$ 281,489
Effect of adoption of SFAS No. 160						3,018	(3,018)
Balance at December 31, 2008	1,401,500	175,141	1,751	1,657,923	(513,040)	(23,605)	278,471
Comprehensive income:							
Net income (loss)	(268)				(167)		(101)
Net unrealized gains on cash flow hedging derivatives	13,938					11,843	2,095
Realized losses related to hedging activities	(1,376)					(1,169)	(207)
Amortization of cash flow hedging derivatives	525					446	79
Comprehensive income	12,819				(167)	11,120	1,866
Issuance of common stock, net of offering costs	115,408	28,639	287	115,121			
Amortization of stock-based compensation	2,143			821			1,322
Distributions to common stockholders and noncontrolling interests	(35,713)				(30,445)		(5,268)
Partner contributions to noncontrolling interests	73						73
Purchase of subsidiary shares from noncontrolling interests	(141)			62			(203)
Redemptions of noncontrolling interests	(4,513)			5,291			(9,804)
Balance at June 30, 2009	\$ 1,491,576	203,780	\$ 2,038	\$ 1,779,218	\$ (543,652)	\$ (12,485)	\$ 266,457

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents**DCT INDUSTRIAL TRUST INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows****(unaudited, in thousands)**

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Consolidated net income (loss)	\$ (268)	\$ 19,149
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	54,433	58,409
Gain on dispositions of real estate interests	(655)	(17,323)
Gain on dispositions of non-depreciated real estate	(113)	(207)
Loss on hedging activities		157
Impairment losses on real estate held for sale		1,232
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated joint ventures	2,257	1,711
Equity in income of unconsolidated joint ventures, net	(2,565)	(726)
Stock-based compensation and other	3,390	329
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Other receivables and other assets	7,153	3,258
Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities	(10,183)	10,837
Net cash provided by operating activities	53,449	76,826
INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Real estate acquisitions	(589)	(24,079)
Capital expenditures and development activities	(20,273)	(43,757)
Decrease (increase) in deferred acquisition costs and deposits	(391)	581
Proceeds from dispositions of real estate investments, net	4,882	81,252
Investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	(1,869)	(24,183)
Distributions from investments in unconsolidated joint ventures	7,161	4,138
Repayment of notes receivable	31	7,431
Other investing activities	(512)	1,399
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(11,560)	2,782
FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Net proceeds from lines of credit		21,000
Proceeds from unsecured debt		100,000
Principal payments on mortgage notes	(6,182)	(33,070)
Principal payments on unsecured debt		(100,000)
Principal payments on financing obligations		(5)
Increase in deferred loan costs		(1,719)
Issuance of common stock	117,300	
Offering costs for issuance of common stock and OP Units	(5,833)	(114)
Redemption of OP Units	(572)	(1,363)
Payments related to settlement of cash flow hedge		(4,584)
Distributions to common stockholders	(28,129)	(54,283)
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	(5,324)	(12,388)
Contributions from noncontrolling interests	73	134

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	71,333	(86,392)
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	113,222	(6,784)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, beginning of period	19,681	30,481
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, end of period	\$ 132,903	\$ 23,697

Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information

Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest	\$ 25,233	\$ 26,440
---	-----------	-----------

Supplemental Disclosures of Non-Cash Activities

Reduction of financing obligation and issuance of OP Units in connection with purchase of TIC Interests (see Note 6)	\$	\$ 14,669
Redemptions of OP Units settled in shares of common stock	\$ 9,233	\$ 35,620

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

DCT INDUSTRIAL TRUST INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

Note 1 Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

DCT Industrial Trust Inc. is a leading industrial real estate company that owns, operates and develops high-quality bulk distribution and light industrial properties in high-volume distribution markets in the U.S. and Mexico. We were formed as a Maryland corporation in April 2002 and have elected to be treated as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for United States (U.S.) federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2003. We are structured as an umbrella partnership REIT under which substantially all of our current and future business is, and will be, conducted through a majority owned and controlled subsidiary, DCT Industrial Operating Partnership LP (our operating partnership), a Delaware limited partnership, for which DCT Industrial Trust Inc. is the sole general partner. As used herein, DCT Industrial Trust, DCT, the Company, we, our and us refer to DCT Industrial Trust Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and partnerships except where the context otherwise requires.

As of June 30, 2009, we owned interests in, managed, or had under development 448 industrial real estate buildings comprised of approximately 75.8 million square feet. Our portfolio of consolidated operating properties included 373 industrial real estate buildings, which consisted of 219 bulk distribution properties, 112 light industrial properties and 42 service center properties comprised of approximately 52.6 million square feet. Our portfolio of 373 consolidated operating properties was 87.4% occupied as of June 30, 2009. As of June 30, 2009, we also consolidated 11 development properties and five redevelopment properties. In addition, as of June 30, 2009, we had ownership interests ranging from 4% to 20% in unconsolidated institutional capital management joint ventures, or funds, that owned 45 properties comprised of approximately 14.1 million square feet, and investments in three unconsolidated operating properties and ten unconsolidated development joint venture properties.

Note 2 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Interim Financial Information

The accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X for interim financial information. Accordingly, these statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring items, necessary for their fair presentation in conformity with GAAP. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year. The information included in this Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with our audited Consolidated Financial Statements as of December 31, 2008 and related notes thereto as filed on Form 10-K on March 2, 2009.

Basis of Presentation

Our Consolidated Financial Statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The accompanying Consolidated Financial Statements include the financial position, results of operations and cash flows of the Company, its wholly-owned qualified REIT and taxable REIT subsidiaries, our operating partnership and our consolidated joint ventures, in which we have a controlling interest. Third-party equity interests in our operating partnership and consolidated joint ventures are reflected as noncontrolling interests in the Consolidated Financial Statements. We also have noncontrolling partnership interests in unconsolidated institutional capital management and other joint ventures, which are accounted for under the equity method. All significant intercompany amounts have been eliminated.

Table of Contents***Principles of Consolidation***

The Company holds interests in both consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures. We determine consolidation based on standards set forth in Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Interpretation No. 46R, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities* (FIN 46(R)) or Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 04-5, *Determining Whether a General Partner, or the General Partners as a Group, Controls a Limited Partnership or Similar Entity When the Limited Partners Have Certain Rights* (EITF 04-5). We do not consolidate joint ventures that are variable interest entities as defined under FIN 46(R) where we are not the primary beneficiary. In accordance with AICPA Statement of Position 78-9, *Accounting for Investments in Real Estate Ventures*, where we do not exercise significant control over major operating and management decisions, but where we exercise significant influence, we use the equity method of accounting and our investments in these joint ventures are reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets in Investments in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures.

Our judgments with respect to our level of influence or control over an entity and whether we are the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity involve consideration of various factors including the form of our ownership interest, our representation on the entity's board of directors, the size of our investment (including loans) and our ability to participate in policy making decisions. Our ability to correctly assess our influence or control over an entity affects the presentation of these investments in our Consolidated Financial Statements and, consequently, our financial position and specific items in our results of operations that are used by our stockholders, lenders and others in their evaluation of us.

Reclassifications

Certain items in our Consolidated Financial Statements for 2008 have been reclassified to conform to the 2009 presentation.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the Consolidated Financial Statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Because of adverse conditions that exist in the real estate markets, as well as the credit and financial markets, it is possible that the estimates and assumptions that have been utilized in the preparation of the Consolidated Financial Statements could change materially during the time span associated with the continued weakened state of these markets.

Capitalization of Costs

We capitalize direct costs associated with, and incremental to, the development, predevelopment, redevelopment or improvement of real estate. Costs associated with development pursuits are capitalized as incurred and, if the pursuit is abandoned, these costs are expensed during the period in which the pursuit is abandoned. Such costs considered for capitalization include construction costs, interest, real estate taxes, insurance and other such costs if appropriate. Interest is capitalized based on actual capital expenditures from the period when development or redevelopment commences until the asset is substantially complete based on our current, weighted average borrowing rates on related construction loans, if appropriate. Costs incurred for maintaining and repairing our real estate, which do not extend the life of our assets, are expensed as incurred.

Investment in Properties

Property acquisitions qualifying as business combination acquisitions are recorded in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141, *Business Combinations (revised 2007)* (SFAS No. 141(R)). Assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interests in the acquired business combination are recorded at their respective acquisition-date fair values and acquisition-related costs are expensed as incurred. As defined by SFAS No. 141(R), a business is an integrated set of activities and assets that is capable of being conducted and managed for the purpose of providing a return in the form of dividends, lower costs, or other economic benefits directly to investors or other owners, members, or participants. We do not consider land or development buildings to be businesses, and as such, purchases of these are treated as asset acquisitions and are recorded at cost.

Table of Contents

The fair value of identifiable tangible assets such as land, building, building and land improvements and tenant improvements is determined on an as-if-vacant basis. Management considers the replacement cost of such assets, appraisals, property condition reports, market data and other related information in determining the fair value of the tangible assets. Pursuant to SFAS No. 141(R), the difference between the fair value and the face value of debt assumed in connection with an acquisition is recorded as a premium or discount and amortized to Interest expense over the life of the debt assumed. The valuation of assumed liabilities is based on the current market rate for similar liabilities. The fair value of intangible lease assets recorded represents the value associated with in-place leases which may include leasing commissions, legal and other costs, as well as an intangible asset or liability resulting from in-place leases being above or below the market rental rates on the date of the acquisition. Intangible lease assets or liabilities are amortized over the life of the remaining in-place leases as an adjustment to Rental revenues or Real estate related amortization depending on the nature of the intangible.

We have certain properties which we have acquired or removed from service with the intention to redevelop the property. Buildings under redevelopment require significant construction activities prior to being placed back into service. Additionally, we may acquire, develop, or redevelop certain properties with the intention to contribute the property to an institutional capital management joint venture, in which we may retain ownership in or manage the assets of the joint venture. We refer to these properties as held for contribution. We generally do not depreciate properties classified as redevelopment or held for contribution until the date that the redevelopment properties are ready for their intended use or the property held for contribution no longer meets the held for sale criteria under SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS No. 144).

Real estate, including land, building, building and land improvements, tenant improvements and leasing costs and intangible lease assets and liabilities are stated at historical cost less accumulated depreciation and amortization, unless circumstances indicate that cost cannot be recovered, in which case, the carrying value of the property is reduced to estimated fair value.

Depreciation and Useful Lives of Real Estate Assets

Depreciation and amortization are computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the related assets or liabilities. Our ability to assess the useful lives of our real estate assets accurately is critical to the determination of the appropriate amount of depreciation expense recorded and the carrying values of the underlying assets. Any change to the estimated depreciable lives of these assets would have an impact on the depreciation expense we recognize.

The following table reflects the standard depreciable lives typically used to compute depreciation and amortization. However, such depreciable lives may be different based on the estimated useful life of such assets or liabilities. The carrying value of assets sold or retired and the related accumulated depreciation and/or amortization is removed from the accounts and the resulting gain or loss, if necessary, is reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Operations during the period in which such sale or retirement occurs.

Description	Standard Depreciable Life
Land	Not depreciated
Building	20 - 40 years
Building and land improvements	5 - 20 years
Tenant improvements	Shorter of lease term or useful life
Leasehold improvements	5 - 20 years
Leasing costs	Lease term
Other Intangible lease assets	Average term of leases for property
Above/below market rent assets/liabilities	Lease term

Table of Contents

Depreciation is not recorded on buildings currently held for sale or contribution, in pre-development, being developed or redeveloped until the building is substantially completed and ready for its intended use, normally not later than one year from cessation of major construction activity.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Long-lived assets to be held and used are carried at cost and evaluated for impairment in accordance with SFAS No. 144. SFAS No. 144 provides that such an evaluation should be performed when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts of these assets may not be fully recoverable. Examples of such changes in circumstances include the point at which we deem the long-lived asset to be held for sale or a building remains vacant significantly longer than expected. For long-lived assets that we intend to hold long-term, the recoverability is based on the estimated future undiscounted cash flows. If the asset is not supported on an undiscounted cash flow basis, the amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the carrying value and the fair value of the impaired asset. Long lived assets classified as held for sale are measured at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

The determination of fair value involves a number of management assumptions relating to future economic events that could materially affect the determination of the ultimate value, and therefore, the carrying amounts of our real estate. Such assumptions include, but are not limited to, projecting vacancy rates, rental rates, property operating expenses, capital expenditures and debt financing rates, among other things. The capitalization rate is also a significant driving factor in determining the property valuation and requires management's judgment of factors such as market knowledge, historical experience, lease terms, tenant financial strength, economy, demographics, environment, property location, visibility, age, physical condition and investor return requirements, among other things. The aforementioned factors are taken as a whole by management in determining the valuation of investment property. The valuation is sensitive to the actual results of any of these uncertain factors, either individually or taken as a whole. Should the actual results differ from management's estimates, the valuation could be negatively affected and may result in additional impairments recorded in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Equity Method Investments

We present investments in unconsolidated joint ventures under the equity method. The equity method is used when we have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of a joint venture but do not control the joint venture. Under the equity method, these investments (including advances to joint ventures) are initially recorded at cost and are subsequently adjusted to reflect our proportionate share of net earnings or losses of each of the joint ventures, distributions received, contributions made and certain other adjustments, as appropriate. Such investments are included in Investments in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Distributions from these investments that are related to earnings from operations are included as operating activities and distributions that are related to capital transactions are included as investing activities in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Investment properties that are contributed to unconsolidated joint ventures are not considered discontinued operations due to our continuing involvement through maintaining an ownership interest in these investment properties and continuing to act as manager of the assets. We recognize any gains from the contribution of investment properties into an unconsolidated joint venture in accordance with SFAS No. 66, *Accounting for Sales of Real Estate*, if the recognition criteria have been met. Such gains are recognized to the extent of the outside ownership interest in the joint venture in our Consolidated Statements of Operations under the heading of Gain on dispositions of real estate interests. Any gain related to the remaining proceeds reduces our basis in the investment in the unconsolidated joint venture, and is recognized into earnings over the weighted average life of the related property's real estate assets. We recognize our proportionate share of the ongoing earnings or losses of each unconsolidated joint venture in Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures, net in our Consolidated Statements of Operations.

We evaluate our investments in unconsolidated entities for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that there may be an other-than-temporary decline in value in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 18, *The Equity Method of Accounting for Investments in Common Stock* (APB No. 18). The amount of impairment recognized is the excess of the investment's carrying amount over its estimated fair value. We consider various factors to determine if a decrease in the value of the investment is other-than-temporary. These

Table of Contents

factors include, but are not limited to, age of the venture, our intent and ability to retain our investment in the entity, the financial condition and long-term prospects of the entity, and the relationships with the other joint venture partners and its lenders. If we believe that the decline in the fair value is temporary, no impairment is recorded. The aforementioned factors are taken as a whole by management in determining the valuation of our investment property. Should the actual results differ from management's estimates, the valuation could be negatively affected and may result in a negative impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Fair Value

On January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 was initially effective as of January 1, 2008, but in February 2008, the FASB delayed the effective date for applying this standard to nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis until periods beginning after November 15, 2008. We adopted SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008 for assets and liabilities within its scope and the impact was immaterial to our Consolidated Financial Statements. As of January 1, 2009, nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities were also required to be measured at fair value. The adoption of these additional provisions did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Pursuant to SFAS No. 157, fair value is defined as the exit price or price at which an asset (in its highest and best use) would be sold or liability assumed by an informed market participant in a transaction that is not distressed and is executed in the most advantageous market. SFAS No. 157 does not impose any new fair value requirements but provides guidance on how to determine such measurements on reported balances which are required or permitted to be measured at fair value under existing accounting pronouncements.

SFAS No. 157 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based rather than an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, our fair value measurement is determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, SFAS No. 157 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity's own assumptions about market participant assumptions based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, that are typically based on an entity's own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity. In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Currently, the Company uses interest rate swaps to manage certain interest rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate curves. The fair values of interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves. To comply with the provisions of SFAS No. 157, the Company incorporates credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both its own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings, thresholds, mutual puts and guarantees.

Table of Contents

Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties. Prior to January 1, 2009, the Company classified all derivatives in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. During the period beginning January 1, 2009 and ending June 30, 2009, credit spreads used in the calculation of the credit valuation adjustment increased such that the credit valuation adjustment was determined to be significant to the overall fair value of its derivative positions thereby changing the classification from Level 2 to Level 3.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS No. 133), as amended and interpreted, establishes accounting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities. SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133* (SFAS No. 161), amends and expands the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 133 with the intent to provide users of financial statements with an enhanced understanding of: (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about the fair value of and gains and losses on derivative instruments and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments

As required by SFAS No. 133, we record all derivatives in our Consolidated Balance Sheets at fair value. Accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the designation of the derivative, whether we have elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives may also be designated as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge.

As of June 30, 2009, all of the hedges entered into by us had been designated as cash flow hedges. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in Accumulated other comprehensive loss in our Consolidated Statements of Equity and Other Comprehensive Loss (i.e., not included in earnings) and subsequently reclassified into earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings or the hedging relationship is no longer effective at which time the ineffective portion of the derivative's changes in fair value is recognized directly into earnings. We assess the effectiveness of each hedging relationship whenever financial statements are issued or earnings are reported and at least every three months as required by SFAS No. 133. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes.

Our objective in using derivatives is to manage our exposure to interest rate volatility associated with our forecasted debt issuances and refinancing of our fixed-rate debt, as well as, certain variable rate borrowings. To accomplish this objective, we primarily use treasury locks, forward-starting swaps and interest rate swaps as part of our cash flow hedging strategy. These derivatives are designed to mitigate the risk of future interest rate fluctuations by providing a future fixed interest rate for a limited, pre-determined period of time.

Our agreements with each of our derivative counterparties contain provisions where if we default on the underlying indebtedness, including defaults where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then we could also be declared in default on our derivative obligations. We also have agreements with our derivative

Table of Contents

counterparties that incorporate the loan covenant provisions of our indebtedness with lender affiliates of the derivative counterparties. Failure to comply with the loan covenant provisions would cause us to be in default on any derivative instrument obligations covered by the agreement.

Revenue Recognition

We record rental revenues on a straight-line basis under which contractual rent increases are recognized evenly over the full lease term. Certain properties have leases that provide for tenant occupancy during periods where no rent is due or where minimum rent payments change during the term of the lease. Accordingly, we record receivables from tenants that we expect to collect over the remaining lease term rather than currently, which are recorded as straight-line rent receivable. When we acquire a property, the terms of existing leases are considered to commence as of the acquisition date for the purposes of this calculation. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, the total increase to Rental revenues due to straight-line rent adjustments, including amounts reported from discontinued operations, was approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, the total increase to Rental revenues due to straight-line rent adjustments, including amounts reported from discontinued operations, was approximately \$1.0 million and \$2.1 million, respectively.

Tenant recovery income includes payments and amounts due from tenants pursuant to their leases for real estate taxes, insurance and other recoverable property operating expenses and is recognized as Rental revenues during the same period the related expenses are incurred. Tenant recovery income recognized as Rental revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, including amounts from discontinued operations, was \$11.7 million and \$23.3 million, respectively. Tenant recovery income recognized as Rental revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, including amounts from discontinued operations, was \$12.2 million and \$25.3 million, respectively.

We maintain an allowance for estimated losses that may result from the inability of our tenants to make required payments. If a tenant fails to make contractual payments beyond any allowance, we may recognize additional bad debt expense in future periods equal to the net outstanding balances. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our allowance for doubtful accounts was \$2.0 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

In connection with property acquisitions, we may acquire leases with rental rates above or below the market rental rates. Such differences are recorded as an intangible lease asset or liability, pursuant to SFAS No. 141(R), and amortized to Rental revenues over the life of the related leases. The unamortized balances of these assets and liabilities associated with the early termination of leases are fully amortized to their respective revenue line items in our Consolidated Statements of Operations over the expected life of such assets and liabilities. The total net impact to Rental revenues due to the amortization of above and below market rents, including amounts reported from discontinued operations and accelerated amortization due to early terminations, was a decrease of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009. The total net impact to Rental revenues due to the amortization of above and below market rents, including amounts reported from discontinued operations and accelerated amortization due to early terminations, was a decrease of approximately \$0.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008.

Early lease termination fees are recorded in Rental revenues on a straight-line basis over the remaining contractual lease term or upon collection if collection is not assured. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, early termination fees increased revenues by \$46,000 and \$1.4 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, early termination fees increased revenues by \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

We earn revenues from asset management fees, acquisition fees and fees for other services pursuant to joint venture and other agreements. These may include acquisition fees based on the sale or contribution of assets and are included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations in Institutional capital management and other fees. We recognize revenues from asset management fees, acquisition fees and fees for other services when the related fees are earned and are realized or realizable.

Table of Contents***New Accounting Pronouncements***

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R) which requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to record all assets acquired, liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquired entity at their respective acquisition-date fair values, changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, changes the recognition and measurement of contingent consideration and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141(R) also requires additional disclosure of information surrounding a business combination, such that users of the entity's financial statements can fully understand the nature and financial impact of the business combination. Additionally, the FASB also issued FSP 141(R)-1 in April 2009, which modified the guidance in SFAS No. 141(R) related to contingent assets and contingent liabilities. SFAS No. 141(R), as modified by FSP 141(R)-1, is required to be applied prospectively to business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 141(R), as modified by FAS 141(R)-1 as of January 1, 2009 did not have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS No. 159) which expanded the use of the fair value measurement to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. Upon adoption of this Statement, we did not elect the fair value option for our existing financial assets and liabilities and therefore adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have any impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51*, (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 establishes new accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and requires recognition of gains or losses resulting from a change of control. Ownership changes not resulting in a change of control are treated as equity transactions. SFAS No. 160 was adopted beginning January 1, 2009, prospectively, with no material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements. The presentation and disclosure requirements SFAS No. 160 were applied retrospectively for all periods presented. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 resulted in a reclassification of noncontrolling interests (formerly known as minority interests) to a separate component of total equity and net income attributable to noncontrolling interest is no longer treated as a reduction to net income but as a reduction from net income in calculating net income available to common stockholders.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS No. 161). SFAS No. 161 requires companies with derivative instruments to disclose information that would enable financial statement users to understand how and why a company uses derivative instruments, how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS No. 133), and how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect a company's financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The new requirements apply to derivative instruments and nonderivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments and related hedged items accounted for under SFAS No. 133. SFAS No. 161 was effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. We adopted SFAS No. 161 as of January 1, 2009 with no impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events* (SFAS No. 165), which establishes general standards of accounting for, and requires disclosure of, events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Although the standard is based on the same principles as those that currently exist in the auditing standards, it includes a new required disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. SFAS No. 165 is effective for interim or fiscal periods ending after June 15, 2009. Accordingly, we adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 165 for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 with no material effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements. See Note 13 for our subsequent event evaluation results.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 167, *Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)*, (SFAS No. 167). SFAS No. 167 amends the consolidation guidance applicable to variable interest entities and the definition of a variable interest entity, and requires enhanced disclosures to provide more information about an enterprise's

Table of Contents

involvement in a variable interest entity. This statement also requires ongoing assessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. SFAS No. 167 is effective for the Company's fiscal year beginning January 1, 2010. We are currently evaluating the application of SFAS No. 167 and its effect on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standard Codification and the Hierarchy of the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* a replacement of SFAS No. 162 (SFAS No. 168), to become the source of authoritative GAAP recognized by the FASB to be applied by nongovernmental entities. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. We do not expect the adoption of SFAS 168 will have a material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In June 2008, the FASB issued EITF 03-6-1, *Participating Securities and the Two Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128* (EITF 03-6-1). EITF 03-6-1 requires that unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities as defined in EITF 03-6, *Participating Securities and the Two Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128*, and therefore, should be included in computing earnings per share using the two-class method. EITF 03-6-1 requires retrospective application for all periods presented. We adopted EITF 03-6-1 beginning January 1, 2009 with no material impact on our earnings per share.

On April 9, 2009, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments* (FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1). FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 requires that publicly traded companies include the fair value disclosures required by SFAS No. 107 in their interim financial statements and is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009, and must be applied prospectively. We adopted FSP 107-1 and APB 28-1 for the interim period beginning January 1, 2009 with no impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly* (FSP 157-4). FSP 157-4 provides guidance regarding how to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset or liability when compared with normal market activity for the asset or liability. In such situations, an entity may conclude that transactions or quoted prices may not be determinative of fair value, and may adjust the transactions or quoted prices to arrive at the fair value of the asset or liability. FSP 157-4 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ending after March 15, 2009, and must be applied prospectively. We adopted FAS 157-4 beginning January 1, 2009 with no material impact on our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Note 3 - Investment in Properties

Our consolidated real estate assets consist of operating properties, redevelopment properties, properties under development and properties in pre-development including land held for future development or other purposes. Our real estate assets, presented at historical cost, include the following as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Operating properties	\$ 2,732,740	\$ 2,702,162
Properties under redevelopment	53,598	54,299
Properties under development	104,707	120,326
Properties in pre-development including land held	21,848	21,074
Total Investment in Properties	2,912,893	2,897,861
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(471,509)	(417,404)
Net Investment in Properties	\$ 2,441,384	\$ 2,480,457

Table of Contents**Acquisition Activity**

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we acquired two parcels of land located in one market within the United States comprised of 8.9 acres and one market within Mexico, comprised of approximately 3.5 acres for a total cost of approximately \$0.6 million including acquisitions costs. These land parcels were acquired from unrelated third parties using existing cash balances and represent land available for expansion adjacent to existing properties.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we acquired three shell-complete development properties located in Monterrey, Mexico, comprised of approximately 0.5 million square feet for a total cost of approximately \$20.7 million including acquisition costs. These properties were acquired from unrelated third parties using existing cash balances and short-term borrowings.

Disposition Activity

During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we sold two operating properties comprised of approximately 0.1 million square feet to unrelated third parties for total gross proceeds of approximately \$4.0 million, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$0.8 million. In addition to these two operating property sales, during the six months ended June 30, 2009, we sold one land parcel comprised of approximately 1.8 acres to an unrelated third party for total gross proceeds of approximately \$1.1 million, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$0.1 million.

During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we sold four operating properties comprised of approximately 1.2 million square feet to unrelated third parties for total gross proceeds of approximately \$71.1 million, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$16.7 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we sold five operating properties comprised of approximately 1.3 million square feet to unrelated third parties for total gross proceeds of approximately \$77.2 million, which resulted in a gain of approximately \$17.1 million. Additionally, we contributed approximately 47 acres of land in Atlanta to the IDI/DCT Buford, LLC joint venture.

2009 Development Projects - Consolidated

As of June 30, 2009, we had 5 consolidated development projects comprised of 2.3 million square feet and 11 buildings, of which 9 were bulk distribution properties and two were light industrial, located in Dulles, VA, Cincinnati, OH, Memphis, TN and Orlando, FL. As of June 30, 2009, all of these buildings were shell-complete. For information related to our unconsolidated development joint ventures, please see Note 4.

Intangible Lease Assets and Liabilities

Aggregate amortization expense for intangible lease assets recognized in connection with property acquisitions (excluding assets and liabilities related to above and below market rents; see Note 2 for additional information) was approximately \$4.3 million and \$9.4 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, and approximately \$7.1 million and \$14.1 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008. Our intangible lease assets and liabilities included the following as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009			December 31, 2008		
	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net	Gross	Accumulated Amortization	Net
Other intangible lease assets	\$ 161,277	\$ (116,957)	\$ 44,320	\$ 161,663	\$ (107,659)	\$ 54,004
Above market rent	25,554	(20,237)	5,317	25,942	(18,841)	7,101
Below market rent	(17,894)	12,129	(5,765)	(17,964)	11,151	(6,813)

Table of Contents

The following table describes the estimated net amortization of such intangible assets and liabilities for the next five years. In addition, the table describes the net impact on rental revenues due to the amortization of above and below market rents for the next five years and thereafter (in thousands):

For the Period Ended December 31,	Estimated Net Amortization of Lease Intangible Assets	Estimated Net Increase (Decrease) to Rental Revenues Related to Above and Below Market Rents
Remainder of 2009	\$ 7,716	\$ (418)
2010	11,223	(719)
2011	7,664	10
2012	5,434	358
2013	3,544	543
Thereafter	8,739	674
Total	\$ 44,320	\$ 448

Note 4 - Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

We enter into joint ventures primarily for purposes of developing industrial real estate and to establish funds or other commingled investment vehicles with institutional partners. Our investments in these joint ventures are included in Investments in and advances to unconsolidated joint ventures in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The following describes our unconsolidated joint ventures as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Unconsolidated Joint Ventures	DCT Ownership		Net Equity Investment as of	
	Percentage as of June 30, 2009	Number of Buildings	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
			(in thousands)	
Institutional Funds:				
DCT/SPF Industrial Operating LLC	20%	14	\$ 49,299	\$ 50,530
TRT-DCT Venture I	4.4%	14	1,049	1,207
TRT-DCT Venture II	11.4%	6	2,804	2,947
TRT-DCT Venture III	10%	5	1,770	3,054
DCT Fund I LLC	20%	6	1,578	1,901
Total Institutional Funds:		45	56,500	59,639
Other:				
Stirling Capital Investments (SCLA) ⁽¹⁾	50%	6	40,398	40,164
IDI/DCT	50%	4	9,137	8,892
Sycamore Canyon	90%	2	7,365	8,603
Logistics Way	95%	1	3,134	4,039
DCT/IDI Buford (land only) ⁽²⁾	75%		3,181	3,214
Other joint venture investments in land ⁽²⁾	90%		577	901

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Total Other:	13	63,792	65,813
Total	58	\$ 120,292	\$ 125,452

- (1) Although we contributed 100% of the initial cash equity capital required by the venture, our partners retain certain participation rights in the venture's available cash flows.
- (2) Net equity investment balances include total APB No. 18 impairment losses of \$4.7 million.

Table of Contents

Development Projects in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

SCLA

During 2006, we entered into a joint venture agreement with Stirling Airports International, LLC, or Stirling, an unrelated third party, to be the master developer of over 4,000 acres in Victorville, California, part of the Inland Empire submarket in Southern California. The development project is located at the former George Air Force Base which closed in 1992 and is now known as Southern California Logistics Airport (SCLA). We refer to this joint venture as the SCLA joint venture. Stirling entered into two master development agreements which gave it certain rights to be the exclusive developer of the SCLA development project through 2019 (including extensions) and assigned these rights to the SCLA joint venture upon the closing of the venture. While our exact share of the equity interests in the SCLA joint venture will depend on the amount of capital we contribute and the timing of contributions and distributions, the SCLA joint venture contemplates an equal sharing between us and Stirling of residual profits and cash flows after all priority distributions.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the SCLA joint venture sold 53 acres of land. As of June 30, 2009, the SCLA joint venture had four buildings that were shell-complete comprised of 1.5 million square feet of which 0.3 million square feet were leased and two operating buildings comprised of 0.5 million square feet which were fully leased. As of June 30, 2009, the SCLA joint venture owned \$93.2 million in real estate assets with \$56.7 million in debt.

Institutional Capital Management Joint Ventures

TRT-DCT Industrial Joint Venture III

We entered into a joint venture agreement with Dividend Capital Total Realty Trust Inc. (DCTRT) TRT-DCT Industrial Joint Venture III, G.P. (TRT-DCT Venture III) on September 9, 2008. TRT-DCT Venture III has been funded as follows: (i) an equity contribution from DCTRT to the joint venture (which we estimate to be not less than approximately 90% of the joint venture's required equity capitalization); (ii) an equity contribution from us to the joint venture (which we estimate to be approximately 10% of the joint venture's required equity capitalization); and (iii) secured debt financing. Our actual ownership percentage may vary depending on amounts of capital contributed and the timing of contributions and distributions. On March 27, 2009, the venture borrowed \$12.4 million of secured debt which bears interest at 7.4% and requires monthly interest and principal payments until the debt matures on March 1, 2016. As of June 30, 2009, TRT-DCT Venture III owned approximately \$31.0 million of real estate assets.

Guarantees

Based on the provisions of certain joint venture agreements we have, indirectly through partner level guarantees, guaranteed our proportionate share of \$71.7 million in construction financing as of June 30, 2009. In the event the guarantor partner is required to satisfy the guarantee, DCT has indemnified its venture partner for our proportionate share of the guarantee. These guarantees remain outstanding until the construction financing is satisfied.

There are no lines of credit, side agreements, or any other derivative financial instruments related to, or between, our unconsolidated joint ventures and us, and we believe we have no material exposure to financial guarantees, except as discussed above. As of June 30, 2009, our proportionate share of non-recourse debt associated with unconsolidated joint ventures was \$147.8 million, which includes the \$71.7 million related to construction financing discussed above.

Table of Contents**Note 5 Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities*****Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the fair values of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash held in escrow, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximated their carrying values because of the short-term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair values of other financial instruments subject to fair value disclosures were determined based on available market information and valuation methodologies believed to be appropriate for these purposes. Considerable judgment and a high degree of subjectivity are involved in developing these estimates. These estimates are our best estimates, however, they may differ from the actual amounts that we could realize upon disposition. The following table summarizes these financial instruments (in thousands).

	Balances as of June 30, 2009		Balances as of December 31, 2008	
	Carrying Amounts	Estimated Fair Value	Carrying Amounts	Estimated Fair Value
Notes receivable ⁽¹⁾	\$ 30,356	\$ 29,322	\$ 30,387	\$ 29,741
Borrowings ⁽¹⁾ :				
Senior, unsecured revolving credit facility	\$	\$	\$	\$
Fixed rate debt ⁽²⁾	\$ 967,729	\$ 904,568	\$ 974,397	\$ 872,698
Variable rate debt	\$ 225,237	\$ 218,976	\$ 225,237	\$ 219,499
Interest rate contracts:				
Forward-starting swaps ⁽³⁾	\$ (7,982)	\$ (7,982)	\$ (21,503)	\$ (21,503)

(1) The fair values of our notes receivable and borrowings were estimated using a discounted cash flow methodology. Credit spreads relating to the underlying instruments are based on unobservable Level 3 inputs as defined by SFAS No. 157 which management has determined to be its best estimate of current market spreads of similar instruments.

(2) The carrying amount of our fixed rate debt includes premiums and discounts as a result of the difference between the fair value and face value of debt assumed in connection with our acquisition activities, pursuant to SFAS No. 141(R).

(3) The fair values of our interest rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash flows and the discounted expected variable cash flows based on an expectation of future interest rates derived from observable market interest rate curves. We incorporate credit valuation adjustments to appropriately reflect both our nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty's nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. For further discussion on the fair value of our interest rate swaps, see Note 2.

Hedging Activities

To manage interest rate risk for variable rate debt and issuances of fixed rate debt, we primarily use treasury locks, forward-starting swaps and interest rate swaps as part of our cash flow hedging strategy. These derivatives are designed to mitigate the risk of future interest rate increases by providing a fixed interest rate for a limited, pre-determined period of time. During 2009, such derivatives have been used to hedge the variability in existing and future interest expense associated with existing variable rate borrowings and forecasted issuances of debt, which may include the issuances of new debt, as well as refinancings of existing debt upon maturity.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, such derivatives as described in the following table were in place to hedge the variability of cash flows associated with forecasted issuances of debt and \$100 million of outstanding variable rate debt (dollar amounts in thousands).

	Swap			
	Notional Amount	Strike Rate ⁽¹⁾	Effective Date	Maturity Date
Swap ⁽²⁾	\$ 100,000	3.233%	6/2008	6/2010
Forward-starting swap ⁽³⁾	\$ 26,000	5.364%	1/2010	4/2010
Forward-starting swap ⁽³⁾	\$ 90,000	5.430%	6/2012	9/2012

⁽¹⁾ The referenced swap index for each of our swaps was LIBOR

⁽²⁾ The counterparty is Wells Fargo Bank, NA

⁽³⁾ The counterparty is PNC Bank, NA

On a recurring basis, we measure our derivatives at fair value, which was a gross liability of \$8.0 million as of June 30, 2009. The fair value of these derivatives was determined using Level 2 and 3 inputs, as described in SFAS No. 157. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive loss and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings and is recorded as Interest income and other in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded no ineffectiveness. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded a loss of approximately \$0.2 million related to the ineffectiveness due to the change in estimated timing of anticipated debt issuances.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, net unrealized gains of approximately \$1.2 million and \$13.9 million, including the noncontrolling interests portion, were recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive loss as a result of the change in fair value of our outstanding hedges, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, net unrealized gains of approximately \$0.7 million and net unrealized losses of \$1.3 million, including the noncontrolling interests portion, were recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive loss as a result of the change in fair value of our outstanding hedges, respectively. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Accumulated other comprehensive loss balance pertaining to the hedges were losses of approximately \$13.5 million and \$26.6 million, respectively, including the noncontrolling interests portion.

Amounts reported in Accumulated other comprehensive loss related to derivatives will be amortized to Interest expense as interest payments are made on our current debt and anticipated debt issuances. During the next 12 months, we estimate that approximately \$1.0 million will be reclassified from Accumulated other comprehensive loss to Interest expense resulting in an increase in such expense.

Note 6 Our Operating Partnership's Private Placement

Prior to October 10, 2006, our operating partnership offered undivided tenancy-in-common interests (TIC Interests) in certain of our properties to accredited investors in a private placement exempt from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. In October 2006, we discontinued the private placement of TIC Interests. We had leased back the portion of the building sold to the unrelated third-party investors and, in accordance with SFAS No. 98, *Accounting for Leases* (SFAS No. 98), a portion of the rental payments made to such investors under the lease agreements were recognized as Interest expense using the interest method.

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, our operating partnership purchased all remaining TIC Interests in the one remaining property for an aggregate of 1.6 million units of limited partnership interest in our operating partnership (OP Units) valued at approximately \$14.8 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we incurred approximately \$0.1 million of rental payments under various lease agreements with certain of the third-party investors. A portion of such amounts was accounted for as a reduction of the outstanding principal balance of the financing obligations and a portion was accounted for as Interest expense in our Consolidated Statements of Operations. Included in Interest expense was approximately \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 of interest expense related to the financing obligation.

Table of Contents**Note 7 Noncontrolling Interests****OP Units**

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we owned approximately 87% and 84%, respectively, of the outstanding equity interests of our operating partnership. The remaining equity interest in our operating partnership was owned by third-party investors and Dividend Capital Advisors Group LLC (DCAG). Subject to certain agreements, OP Units are redeemable at the option of the unitholder after a fixed period. We have the option of redeeming the OP Units with cash or with shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis, subject to adjustment. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, 0.3 million OP Units were redeemed for approximately \$0.3 million in cash and 0.2 million shares of our common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, 1.2 million OP Units were redeemed for approximately \$0.6 million in cash and 1.0 million shares of our common stock. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, 3.8 million OP Units were redeemed for approximately \$0.2 million in cash and 3.7 million shares of common stock. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, 3.9 million OP Units were redeemed for approximately \$1.4 million in cash and 3.7 million shares of common stock.

As of June 30, 2009, there was a total of 31.3 million OP Units outstanding with a redemption value of approximately \$127.6 million based on the closing price of our common stock on June 30, 2009. As of December 31, 2008, there was a total of 32.4 million OP Units outstanding with a redemption value of approximately \$164.1 million based on the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2008. As of June 30, 2009, 29.7 million OP Units were redeemable.

LTIP Units

We may grant limited partnership interests in our operating partnership called LTIP units. LTIP units, which we grant either as free-standing awards or together with other awards under our long-term incentive plan, are valued by reference to the value of our common stock, and are subject to such conditions and restrictions as our compensation committee may determine, including continued employment or service, computation of financial metrics and/or achievement of pre-established performance goals and objectives. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, a total of 0.4 million LTIP units were granted to certain officers and senior executives, which vest 25% annually over four years with a total fair value of \$1.5 million at the date of grant as determined by a lattice-binomial option-pricing model based on a Monte Carlo simulations using a volatility factor of 48% and a risk-free interest rate of 1.79%. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, a total of 0.4 million LTIP units were granted to certain officers and senior executives, 0.3 million that vest 25% annually over four years and 0.1 million that vest over five years (25% in year three, 25% in year four and 50% in year five) with a total fair value of \$3.2 million at the date of grant as determined by a lattice-binomial option-pricing model based on a Monte Carlo simulations using a volatility factor of 23% and a risk-free interest rate of 2.67%. As of June 30, 2009, 1.5 million LTIP units were outstanding of which 0.1 million were vested.

Our outstanding OP Units and LTIP units are classified as permanent equity as determined by the standards established by SFAS No. 160 and EITF Topic D-98, *Classification and Measurement of Redeemable Securities*.

The following table illustrates the noncontrolling interests share of our Consolidated Net Income during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

		For the Three Months Ended June 30,		For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
		2009	2008	2009	2008
Noncontrolling interests	share of (Income) Loss From Continuing Operations	\$ 836	\$ (355)	\$ 201	\$ (57)
Noncontrolling interests	share of Income from discontinued operations	(76)	(2,879)	(95)	(3,135)
Noncontrolling interests	share of Gain on dispositions of real estate interests		7	(5)	(77)
Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests		\$ 760	\$ (3,227)	\$ 101	\$ (3,269)

Table of Contents

Note 8 Stockholders Equity

Common Stock

As of June 30, 2009, approximately 203.8 million shares of common stock were issued and outstanding. On June 5, 2009, we issued 24.0 million shares of common stock in a public offering at a public offering price of \$4.25 per share. On June 10, 2009, we issued an additional 3.6 million upon the exercise the underwriters over-allotment option which was granted in conjunction with the public offering at the same price per share. We intend to use the net proceeds of approximately \$112.0 for general and corporate purposes which may include the repayment of debt.

The net proceeds from the sales of our securities were transferred to our operating partnership for a number of OP Units equal to the shares of common stock sold in our public and private offerings, including our public offering noted above. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we issued 0.2 million and 1.0 million shares of common stock related to the redemption of OP Units (see additional information in Note 7 above), respectively, and approximately 11,000 shares and 44,000 shares of common stock related to vested shares of restricted stock and phantom shares, respectively.

Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

In April 2007, we began offering shares of our common stock through our Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the Plan). The Plan permits stockholders to acquire additional shares with quarterly dividends and to make additional cash investments to buy shares directly. Shares of common stock may be purchased in the open market, through privately negotiated transactions, or directly from us as newly issued shares of common stock. All shares issued under the Plan were acquired in the open market.

Stock Based Compensation

Restricted Stock

Holders of our restricted stock have voting rights and rights to receive dividends. Our restricted stock is recorded at the fair market value of our common stock on the date of issuance and vests 25% annually over four years. The fair values of these shares are amortized over their respective vesting periods. Our restricted stock may not be sold, assigned, transferred, pledged or otherwise disposed of and are subject to a risk of forfeiture prior to the expiration of the applicable vesting period.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we granted approximately 6,000 shares and 0.2 million shares, respectively, of restricted stock to certain officers and employees at the weighted-average fair market value of \$4.64 and \$3.43 per share, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we granted approximately 2,000 shares and 0.1 million shares, respectively, of restricted stock to certain officers and employees at the weighted-average fair market value of \$8.28 and \$8.64 per share, respectively.

Stock Options

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we granted approximately 6,000 and 1.5 million stock options, respectively, at the weighted-average exercise price of \$4.63 and \$3.42 per share, respectively, which generally vest 25% annually over four years. The fair value of the aforementioned grants adjusted for estimated forfeitures totaled \$0.7 million and is amortized over their respective vesting periods.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, we granted approximately 7,000 stock options and 1.6 million stock options, respectively, at the weighted-average exercise price of \$8.28 and \$8.66 per share, respectively, which generally vest 25% annually over four years. The fair value of the aforementioned grants adjusted for estimated forfeitures totaled \$5,000 and \$0.9 million, respectively, and is amortized over their respective vesting periods.

Table of Contents

Note 9 Related Party Transactions

Note Receivable with TRT-DCT Venture I

In June 2007, we issued a secured \$16.0 million, 6.0% interest note, maturing with one balloon payment in July 2014 to our unconsolidated joint venture, TRT-DCT Industrial Joint Venture I (TRT-DCT Venture I). Interest is due monthly on the unpaid balance. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we recognized interest income from this note receivable of approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, and approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million during the same periods in 2008, respectively.

Shared services agreement with DCAG

During 2008, we renewed our transitional services agreement with Overhead Services, Inc., formerly DC Services, LLC, (Overhead Services), an affiliate of DCAG for one year, whereby we received enumerated services, including IT services, human resources, payroll and accounts payable services for a monthly fee of approximately \$72,000. Overhead Services has continued to provide us shared services in 2009 and, during the six months ended June 30, 2009, we entered into a shared services agreement with Overhead Services whereby Overhead Services agreed to provide us shared services during 2009 for a monthly fee of approximately \$50,000 starting January 2009.

Note 10 Earnings per Share

Pursuant to EITF 03-6-1, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends are participating securities and must be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method described in SFAS 128, *Earnings Per Share*. The two-class method of computing earnings per share is an earnings allocation formula that determines earnings per share for common stock and any participating securities according to dividends declared (whether paid or unpaid) and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Under the two-class method, earnings per common share are computed by dividing the sum of distributed earnings to common stockholders and undistributed earnings allocated to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Our nonvested restricted stock and LTIP units are considered participating securities since these share-based awards contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends irrespective of whether the awards ultimately vest or expire.

Table of Contents

The following tables set forth the computation of our basic and diluted earnings per common share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands, except per share information):

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Earnings per share Basic		
Numerator		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	\$ (4,431)	\$ 1,873
Less: Distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	(142)	(190)
Numerator for adjusted income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	(4,573)	1,683
Numerator for income from discontinued operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	437	13,655
Numerator for loss from dispositions of real estate interests attributable to common stockholders		(32)
Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to DCT common stockholders	\$ (4,136)	\$ 15,306
Denominator		
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	183,783	171,429
Earnings per Common Share Basic		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.01
Income from discontinued operations	0.00	0.08
Loss on dispositions of real estate interests	0.00	0.00
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.09

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Earnings per share Diluted		
Numerator		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	\$ (4,431)	\$ 1,873
Less: Distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	(142)	(190)
Numerator for adjusted income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	(4,573)	1,683
Numerator for income from discontinued operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	437	13,655
Numerator for loss from dispositions of real estate interests attributable to common stockholders		(32)
Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to DCT common stockholders	\$ (4,136)	\$ 15,306
Denominator		
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	183,783	171,481
Earnings per Common Share Diluted		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.01
Income from discontinued operations	0.00	0.08
Loss on dispositions of real estate interests	0.00	0.00
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ (0.02)	\$ 0.09
For the Six Months Ended June 30,		
2009 2008		
Earnings per share Basic		
Numerator		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	\$ (738)	\$ 787
Less: Distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	(283)	(380)
Numerator for adjusted income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	(1,021)	407
Numerator for income from discontinued operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	539	14,763
Numerator for gain from dispositions of real estate interests attributable to common stockholders	32	330
Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to DCT common stockholders	\$ (450)	\$ 15,500
Denominator		
Weighted average common shares outstanding basic	179,745	169,908
Earnings per Common Share Basic		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Income from discontinued operations	0.00	0.09
Gain on dispositions of real estate interests	0.00	0.00
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.09

Table of Contents

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Earnings per share Diluted		
Numerator		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	\$ (738)	\$ 787
Less: Distributed and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	(283)	(380)
Numerator for adjusted income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	(1,021)	407
Numerator for income from discontinued operations attributable to DCT common stockholders	539	14,763
Numerator for gain from dispositions of real estate interests attributable to common stockholders	32	330
Adjusted net income (loss) attributable to DCT common stockholders	\$ (450)	\$ 15,500
Denominator		
Weighted average common shares outstanding diluted	179,745	169,919
Earnings per Common Share Diluted		
Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00
Income from discontinued operations	0.00	0.09
Gain on dispositions of real estate interests	0.00	0.00
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.09

Potentially Dilutive Shares

We have excluded from diluted earnings per share the weighted average common share equivalents related to approximately 35.6 million and 36.0 million stock options, phantom stock, warrants, OP Units and unvested LTIP units, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, because their effect would be anti-dilutive. We have excluded from diluted earnings per share the weighted average common share equivalents related to approximately 39.8 million and 40.8 million stock options, phantom stock, warrants, OP Units and unvested LTIP units, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, because their effect would be anti-dilutive.

Note 11 Segment Information

We consider each operating property to be an individual operating segment that has similar economic characteristics to all our other operating properties, which excludes the results from discontinued operations and includes results from properties held for contribution. Our management considers rental revenues and property net operating income aggregated by property type to be the appropriate way to analyze performance. Certain reclassifications have been made to prior year results to conform to the current presentation, primarily related to discontinued operations (see Note 12 for additional information).

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the rental revenues and property net operating income of our property type segments in continuing operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	For the Three and Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2009		2008	
	Bulk Distribution	Light Industrial and Other	Bulk Distribution	Light Industrial and Other
Operating properties in continuing operations ⁽¹⁾:				
For the three months ended June 30:				
Rental revenues	\$ 44,927	\$ 13,406	\$ 47,142	\$ 13,353
Property net operating income ⁽²⁾	\$ 33,564	\$ 9,244	\$ 35,788	\$ 9,341
For the six months ended June 30:				
Rental revenues	\$ 92,822	\$ 27,593	\$ 95,432	\$ 26,789
Property net operating income ⁽²⁾	\$ 68,565	\$ 19,405	\$ 71,790	\$ 18,631

⁽¹⁾ Includes 17 operating properties held for contribution as of June 30, 2008, which are included in continuing operations as they do not meet the criteria to be classified as discontinued operations, in accordance with SFAS No. 144. As of June 30, 2009, no properties were classified as held for contribution.

⁽²⁾ Property net operating income, or property NOI, is defined as rental revenues, including reimbursements, less rental expenses and real estate taxes, which excludes depreciation, amortization, impairment, general and administrative expenses and interest expense. We consider property NOI to be an appropriate supplemental performance measure because property NOI reflects the operating performance of our properties and excludes certain items that are not considered to be controllable in connection with the management of the property such as depreciation, amortization, general and administrative expenses and interest expense. However, property NOI should not be viewed as an alternative measure of our financial performance since it excludes expenses which could materially impact our results of operations. Further, our property NOI may not be comparable to that of other real estate companies, as they may use different methodologies for calculating property NOI. Therefore, we believe net income, as defined by GAAP, to be the most appropriate measure to evaluate our overall financial performance.

The following table is a reconciliation of our property NOI to our reported Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Property NOI	\$ 42,808	\$ 45,129	\$ 87,970	\$ 90,421
NOI from development and redevelopment properties	471	418	743	827
Total property net operating income	43,279	45,547	88,713	91,248
Institutional capital management and other fees	680	614	1,347	1,474
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(27,937)	(28,394)	(54,323)	(56,244)
General and administrative expenses	(6,454)	(5,083)	(11,922)	(10,965)
Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures, net	(1,615)	439	2,565	726
Interest expense	(13,326)	(11,106)	(26,667)	(25,505)
Interest income and other	767	567	901	1,001
Income taxes	(661)	(356)	(1,553)	(891)
Income (Loss) from Continuing Operations	\$ (5,267)	\$ 2,228	\$ (939)	\$ 844

Table of Contents

The following table reflects our total assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, by property type segment (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Property type segments:		
Bulk distribution	\$ 1,785,072	\$ 1,819,504
Light industrial and other	519,100	518,347
Total segment net assets	2,304,172	2,337,851
Development and redevelopment assets	160,009	174,082
Non-segment assets:		
Properties in pre-development including land held	21,848	21,074
Non-segment cash and cash equivalents	132,762	13,967
Other non-segment assets ⁽¹⁾	145,691	156,869
Total Assets	\$ 2,764,482	\$ 2,703,843

⁽¹⁾ Other non-segment assets primarily consists of corporate assets including investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, notes receivable, certain loan costs and deferred acquisition costs.

Included in rental revenues and segment net assets as of and for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was approximately \$2.5 million and \$73.5 million, respectively, attributable to operations in Mexico which commenced during 2007.

Note 12 Discontinued Operations

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, we report results of operations from real estate assets that meet the definition of a component of an entity and have been sold, or meet the criteria to be classified as held for sale, as discontinued operations. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, we sold two operating properties in our light industrial and other segment comprised of approximately 0.1 million square feet. During the year ended December 31, 2008, we sold one development property in our bulk distribution segment comprised of approximately 0.3 million square feet and 15 operating properties, 11 in our bulk distribution segment and four in our light industrial segment, comprised of approximately 2.3 million square feet to third parties. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, income from discontinued operations includes the results of operations of these properties prior to the date of sale. We included all results of these discontinued operations in a separate component of income in our Consolidated Statements of Operations under the heading Income from discontinued operations. This treatment resulted in certain reclassifications of financial statement amounts for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008. As of June 30, 2009, we had no properties classified as held for sale.

The following is a summary of the components of Income from discontinued operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Rental revenues	\$ 124	\$ 2,604	\$ 254	\$ 5,804
Rental expenses and real estate taxes	(36)	(581)	(77)	(1,296)
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	(51)	(872)	(120)	(2,165)
General and administrative	(13)		(29)	

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Operating income	24	1,151	28	2,343
Interest expense, net	(29)	(139)	(59)	(314)
Other expense	(213)		(62)	
Income taxes	(3)	(9)	(4)	(23)
Income (loss) before gain on dispositions of real estate	(221)	1,003	(97)	2,006
Gain on dispositions of real estate interests	734	16,763	731	17,124
Impairment losses on real estate assets held for sale		(1,232)		(1,232)
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 513	\$ 16,534	\$ 634	\$ 17,898

Table of Contents

Note 13 Subsequent Events

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, which establishes general standards of accounting for, and requires disclosure of, events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. Although the standard is based on the same principles as those that currently exist in the auditing standards, it includes a new required disclosure of the date through which an entity has evaluated subsequent events. SFAS 165 is effective for interim or fiscal periods ending after June 15, 2009.

We have evaluated subsequent events for the period from June 30, 2009, the date of these financial statements, through August 7, 2009, which represents the date these financial statements are being filed with the SEC. Pursuant to the requirements of SFAS No. 165, there were no events or transactions occurring during this subsequent event reporting period which require recognition or disclosure in the financial statements. With respect to this disclosure, we have not evaluated subsequent events occurring after August 7, 2009.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We make statements in this report that are considered forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, which are usually identified by the use of words such as anticipates, believes, estimates, expects, intends, may, plans, projects, seeks, variations of such words or similar expressions. We intend these forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and are including this statement for purposes of complying with those safe harbor provisions. These forward-looking statements reflect our current views about our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects, which are based on the information currently available to us and on assumptions we have made. Although we believe that our plans, intentions, expectations, strategies and prospects as reflected in or suggested by those forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that the plans, intentions, expectations or strategies will be attained or achieved. Furthermore, actual results may differ materially from those described in the forward-looking statements and will be affected by a variety of risks and factors that are beyond our control including, without limitation:

national, international, regional and local economic conditions, including, in particular, the current economic slow-down in the U.S. and internationally;

the general level of interest rates and the availability of capital, particularly in light of the recent disruption in the credit markets;

the competitive environment in which we operate;

real estate risks, including fluctuations in real estate values and the general economic climate in local markets and competition for tenants in such markets, particularly in light of the current economic slow-down in the U.S. and internationally;

decreased rental rates or increasing vacancy rates;

defaults on or non-renewal of leases by tenants;

acquisition and development risks, including failure of such acquisitions and development projects to perform in accordance with projections;

the timing of acquisitions and dispositions;

natural disasters such as fires, hurricanes and earthquakes;

energy costs;

the terms of governmental regulations that affect us and interpretations of those regulations, including changes in real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates;

financing risks, including the risk that our cash flows from operations may be insufficient to meet required payments of principal, interest and other commitments;

lack of or insufficient amounts of insurance;

litigation, including costs associated with prosecuting or defending claims and any adverse outcomes;

the consequences of future terrorist attacks;

possible environmental liabilities, including costs, fines or penalties that may be incurred due to necessary remediation of contamination of properties presently owned or previously owned by us; and

other risks and uncertainties detailed in the section entitled Risk Factors.

In addition, our current and continuing qualification as a real estate investment trust, or REIT, involves the application of highly technical and complex provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, or the Code, and depends on our ability to meet the various requirements imposed by the Code through actual operating results, distribution levels and diversity of stock ownership.

We assume no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. The reader should carefully review our financial statements and the notes thereto, as well as the section entitled Risk Factors in this report.

Table of Contents

Overview

DCT Industrial Trust Inc. is a leading industrial real estate company that owns, operates and develops high-quality bulk distribution and light industrial properties in high-volume distribution markets in the U.S. and Mexico. As of June 30, 2009, the Company owned, managed or had under development approximately 75.8 million square feet of assets leased to approximately 850 customers, including 14.6 million square feet managed on behalf of three institutional joint venture partners, and had 7.2 million square feet in the development pipeline. Our portfolio primarily consists of high-quality, generic bulk distribution warehouses and light industrial properties. We own our properties through our operating partnership and its subsidiaries. DCT Industrial Trust Inc. is the sole general partner and owned approximately 87% of the outstanding equity interests of our operating partnership as of June 30, 2009. We acquired our first property in June 2003 and our portfolio consists of 373 consolidated operating properties as of June 30, 2009.

Our primary business objectives are to maximize long-term growth in Funds From Operations, or FFO, as defined on page 43, and to maximize total return to our stockholders. In our pursuit of these long-term objectives, we seek to:

maximize cash flows from existing operating and development properties;

recycle capital by selling assets that no longer fit our investment criteria and reinvesting in higher return opportunities; and

expand our institutional capital management program.

Outlook

The primary source of our operating revenues and earnings is rents received from tenants under operating leases at our properties, including reimbursements from tenants for certain operating costs. We seek long-term earnings growth primarily through increasing rents and operating income at existing properties, acquiring and developing high-quality properties in major distribution markets, and increasing fee revenues from our institutional capital management program. In addition, we may recycle our capital by selling assets, contributing assets to joint ventures, funds or other commingled investment vehicles with institutional partners, and reinvesting the capital in new real estate opportunities.

We believe near-term operating income from our existing properties will continue to decrease as demand for warehouse space declines and the availability of competitive space increases. During the first half of 2009, the slowing economy impacted our performance in several ways including: negative rent growth on signed leases, fewer lease renewals, decreased leasing of existing vacancies, and an increase in early terminations, bankruptcies and requests for rent relief. The current economic recession is resulting in a higher level of bankruptcies nationally, reducing the demand for warehouse space and resulting in decreased occupancy and lower rental rates. As demand for warehouse space in the U.S. has historically lagged behind changes in U.S. gross domestic product, (GDP), we would not expect demand to increase until approximately six to twelve months following improvements in GDP.

With the decline in market values of real estate assets, we could experience additional impairment losses, fewer dispositions compared to prior years and less attractive pricing for any assets that we do sell, although depressed property values will likely present us with attractive buying opportunities as well.

Although the credit markets for the real estate sector continue to be constrained as a result of the liquidity constraints of major lending institutions and other traditional sources of capital and the impact of declining property values, we believe that our sources of capital are adequate to meet our liquidity requirements in 2009 and 2010. We have no major debt maturities until June 2010 when a \$300 million senior unsecured term loan comes due which can be extended at our option for one year so that we would not have any significant debt maturities until 2011. Additionally, as of June 30, 2009, we had \$284.8 million available under our unsecured credit facility, which matures in December 2010, and cash and cash equivalents of \$132.9 million. These capital resources will be utilized in part to meet our purchase obligations and development project commitments as discussed in *Liquidity and Capital Resources* as well as to pay down existing debt. While we maintain hedges to manage a portion of our interest rate risk (for further discussion on our hedges, see *Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 5 Financial Instruments and Hedging Activities*), future debt refinancing will likely be at higher interest rates than existing rates on our borrowings due to the current market conditions.

Table of Contents

The principal risks to our business plan include:

the challenges that we may face as a result of the current economic slow-down, including decreased demand for warehouse space, difficulty obtaining financing, tenant bankruptcies, declining real estate values and other challenges;

our ability to lease space to customers at rates which provide acceptable returns and credit risks;

our ability to sell or contribute assets at prices we find acceptable which generates funding for our business plan;

our ability to finance our on-going capital needs, refinance future maturities and the related costs;

our ability to locate development opportunities and to successfully develop and lease such properties on time and within budget;

our ability to attract institutional partners in our institutional capital management program on terms that we find acceptable;

our ability to acquire properties that meet our quantitative and qualitative investment criteria; and

our ability to retain and attract talented people.

We believe our investment focus on the largest and most active distribution markets in the United States and Mexico and our monitoring of market and submarket demand and supply imbalances helps mitigate some of these risks.

We also expect the following key trends, once economic conditions improve, to affect our industry positively:

the rebuilding of inventory levels by manufacturers and distributors;

the continued restructuring of corporate supply chains which may impact local demand for distribution space as companies relocate their operations consistent with their particular requirements or needs;

the continued long-term growth in international trade which necessitates the increased import and export of products in the U.S. and Mexico; and

the growth or continuing importance of industrial markets located near major transportation hubs including seaports, airports and major intermodal facilities.

Inflation

Although the U.S. economy has been experiencing relatively flat inflation rates, and a wide variety of industries and sectors are affected differently by changing commodity prices, inflation has not had a significant impact on us in our markets of operation. Most of our leases require the tenants to pay their share of operating expenses, including common area maintenance, real estate taxes and insurance, thereby

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

reducing our exposure to increases in costs and operating expenses resulting from inflation. In addition, many of the outstanding leases expire within five years which enables us to replace existing leases with new leases at the then-existing market rate.

Significant Transactions During 2009

SCLA During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the SCLA venture sold 53 acres of land. We entered into a joint venture agreement with Stirling Airports International, LLC, to be the master developer of over 4,000 acres in Victorville, California in 2006. The development project is located at the former George Air Force Base which closed in 1992 and is now known as Southern California Logistics Airport, or SCLA. For further discussion on the SCLA joint venture, please see Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 4 Investments in and Advances to Unconsolidated Joint Ventures.

On June 5, 2009, we issued 24.0 million shares of common stock in a public offering at a public offering price of \$4.25 per share. On June 10, 2009, we issued an additional 3.6 million upon the exercise the underwriters over-allotment option which was granted in conjunction with the public offering. We intend to use the net proceeds for general and corporate purposes which may include the repayment of debt.

Table of Contents

Customer Diversification

As of June 30, 2009, there were no customers that occupied more than 5.0% of our consolidated and unconsolidated operating properties and development properties based on annualized base rent or gross leased square feet. The following list reflects the ten largest customers, based on annualized base rent as of June 30, 2009, that occupy approximately 9.5 million square feet in all consolidated and unconsolidated operating properties, and development properties.

Deutsche Post World Net (DHL & Exel)
Technicolor
Whirlpool Corporation
Bridgestone/Firestone
S.C Johnson & Son, Inc.
The Clorox Sales Company
Ozburn-Hessey Logistics
Borders Books
Home Depot Inc.
Johnson & Johnson

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Summary of the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods ended June 30, 2008**

DCT Industrial Trust Inc. is a leading industrial real estate company that owns, operates and develops high-quality bulk distribution and light industrial properties in high-volume distribution markets in the U.S. and Mexico. As of June 30, 2009, we consolidated 373 operating properties, five redevelopment properties and 11 development properties. We owned, managed or had under development approximately 75.8 million square feet of assets leased to approximately 850 customers, including 14.6 million square feet managed on behalf of three institutional joint venture partners. As of June 30, 2008, we consolidated 378 operating properties, three redevelopment properties and 14 development properties. Subsequent to June 30, 2008, nine of the operating properties were sold and results of these operations were reclassified to discontinued operations. Subsequent to June 30, 2008, we acquired three operating properties.

The following table illustrates the changes in our consolidated operating properties in continuing operations by segment as of, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same periods in 2008 (dollar amounts in thousands).

	As of, and for, the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009			2008		
	Bulk Distribution	Industrial and Other	Total	Bulk Distribution	Industrial and Other	Total
Operating properties in continuing operations:⁽¹⁾						
Number of buildings	219	154	373	216	153	369
Square feet (in thousands)	44,888	7,734	52,622	44,187	7,714	51,901
Occupancy at end of period	87.6%	86.3%	87.4%	92.2%	88.3%	91.6%
Segment net assets	\$ 1,785,072	\$ 519,100	\$ 2,304,172	\$ 1,838,374	\$ 519,013	\$ 2,357,387
For the three months ended June 30:						
Rental revenues	\$ 44,927	\$ 13,406	\$ 58,333	\$ 47,142	\$ 13,353	\$ 60,495
Property net operating income ⁽²⁾	\$ 33,564	\$ 9,244	\$ 42,808	\$ 35,788	\$ 9,341	\$ 45,129
For the six months ended June 30:						
Rental revenues	\$ 92,822	\$ 27,593	\$ 120,415	\$ 95,432	\$ 26,789	\$ 122,221
Property net operating income ⁽²⁾	\$ 68,565	\$ 19,405	\$ 87,970	\$ 71,790	\$ 18,631	\$ 90,421

⁽¹⁾ Includes 17 operating properties held for contribution as of June 30, 2008, which are included in continuing operations as they do not meet the criteria to be classified as discontinued operations, in accordance with SFAS No. 144. As of June 30, 2009, no properties were classified as held for contribution.

⁽²⁾ Property net operating income, or property NOI, is defined as rental revenues, including reimbursements, less rental expenses and real estate taxes, which excludes depreciation, amortization, general and administrative expenses and interest expense. We consider property NOI to be an appropriate supplemental performance measure because property NOI reflects the operating performance of our properties and excludes certain items that are not considered to be controllable in connection with the management of the property such as depreciation, amortization, general and administrative expenses and interest expense. However, property NOI should not be viewed as an alternative measure of our financial performance since it excludes expenses which could materially impact our results of operations. Further, our property NOI may not be comparable to that of other real estate companies, as they may use different methodologies for calculating property NOI. Therefore, we believe net income, as defined by GAAP, to be the most appropriate measure to evaluate our overall financial performance. See Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements, Note 11 Segment Information for a reconciliation of our property NOI to our reported Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008.

Table of Contents

The following table reflects our total assets, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization, by property type segment (in thousands):

	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2008
Property type segments:		
Bulk distribution	\$ 1,785,072	\$ 1,838,374
Light industrial and other	519,100	519,013
Total segment net assets	2,304,172	2,357,387
Development and redevelopment assets	160,009	128,655
Properties included in discontinued operations		64,775
Non-segment assets:		
Properties in pre-development including land held	21,848	16,858
Non-segment cash and cash equivalents	132,762	4,568
Other non-segment assets ⁽¹⁾	145,691	151,290
Total Assets	\$ 2,764,482	\$ 2,723,533

(1) Other non-segment assets primarily consists of corporate assets including investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, notes receivable, certain loan costs and deferred acquisition costs.

Table of Contents**Comparison of the three months ended June 30, 2009 to the same period ended June 30, 2008**

The following table illustrates the changes in rental revenues, rental expenses and real estate taxes, property net operating income, other revenue and other income and other expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008. Our same store portfolio includes all operating properties that we owned for the entirety of both the current and prior year reporting periods for which the operations had been stabilized. Non-same store operating properties include properties not meeting the same-store criteria and by definition exclude development and redevelopment properties. The same store portfolio during the three months ended June 30, 2009 totaled 363 operating properties comprised of approximately 50.7 million square feet. A discussion of these changes follows the table (in thousands).

	Three Months Ended June 30,		\$ Change
	2009	2008	
Rental Revenues			
Same store, excluding revenues related to early lease terminations	\$ 56,778	\$ 59,649	\$ (2,871)
Non-same store operating properties	1,509	660	849
Development and redevelopment	934	655	279
Revenues related to early lease terminations	46	186	(140)
Total rental revenues	59,267	61,150	(1,883)
Rental Expenses and Real Estate Taxes			
Same store	15,086	14,961	125
Non-same store operating properties	439	405	34
Development and redevelopment	463	237	226
Total rental expenses and real estate taxes	15,988	15,603	385
Property Net Operating Income ⁽¹⁾			
Same store, excluding revenues related to early lease terminations	41,692	44,688	(2,996)
Non-same store operating properties	1,070	255	815
Development and redevelopment	471	418	53
Revenues related to early lease terminations	46	186	(140)
Total property net operating income	43,279	45,547	(2,268)
Other Revenue and Other Income			
Institutional capital management and other fees	680	614	66
Loss on dispositions of real estate assets		(39)	39
Equity in income (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures, net	(1,615)	439	(2,054)
Interest income and other	767	567	200
Total other revenue and other income	(168)	1,581	(1,749)
Other Expenses			
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	27,937	28,394	(457)
General and administrative expenses	6,454	5,083	1,371
Income taxes	661	356	305
Interest expense	13,326	11,106	2,220
Total other expenses	48,378	44,939	3,439
Income from discontinued operations	513	16,534	(16,021)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	760	(3,227)	3,987

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ (3,994)	\$ 15,496	\$ (19,490)
---	------------	-----------	-------------

- ⁽¹⁾ For a discussion as to why we view property net operating income to be an appropriate supplemental performance measure see page 32, above. For a reconciliation of our property net operating income to our reported Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations, see Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents*Rental Revenues*

Rental revenues which is comprised of base rent, straight-line rent, amortization of above and below market rent intangibles, tenant recovery income, early termination fees and other rental revenues, decreased by approximately \$1.9 million, or 3%, for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due to the following:

a decrease of \$2.9 million in the rental revenues of our same store properties caused by:

a decrease of \$1.8 million in base rent due to a lower average occupancy rate of 87.9% during the three months ended June 30 2009 as compared to 92.7% for the same period in 2008, as well as lower rental rates on new leases,

a \$0.7 million decrease in revenues from straight-line rent and amortization of above and below market rent intangibles due to increased tenant defaults and early terminations and decreased free rent periods on new leases, and

a decrease of \$0.3 million in tenant recovery income also due to a lower average occupancy rate;

an increase of \$0.6 million in base rent from our non-same store operating properties due to an increase in the number of properties for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008; and

an increase of \$0.2 million in base rent and straight-line rent from our development and redevelopment properties due to an increase in average occupancy as the development and redevelopment activities approach completion.

The following table illustrates the various components of our rental revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended		
	June 30,		
	2009	2008	\$ Change
Base rent	\$ 47,085	\$ 48,113	\$ (1,028)
Straight-line rent and amortization of above and below market rent intangibles	63	586	(523)
Tenant recovery income	11,616	11,708	(92)
Other	457	557	(100)
Revenues related to early lease terminations	46	186	(140)
 Total rental revenues	 \$ 59,267	 \$ 61,150	 \$ (1,883)

Rental Expenses and Real Estate Taxes

Rental expenses and real estate taxes increased by almost \$0.4 million or 3% for the three months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due a \$0.2 million increase in bad debt reserves related to our same store operating properties which was partially offset by a decrease in legal fees and maintenance costs, and a \$0.2 million increase in property taxes related to our development and redevelopment.

Other Revenue and Other Income

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Other revenue and other income decreased by approximately \$1.7 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, as a result of a \$2.1 million decrease in equity in earnings on unconsolidated joint ventures due to the cessation of capitalization for several development ventures as the development activities approached completion, higher depreciation expense and lost operating revenues due to early lease terminations, which was partially offset by an increase of \$0.5 million in interest income and other revenues due mainly to higher foreign exchange gains.

Other Expenses

Total other expenses increased by approximately \$3.4 million, or 8%, for the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due to a decrease in realized hedge gains as 2008 included \$1.3 million in unrealized gains on settled hedges, an increase of \$0.7 million in realized losses on outstanding hedges and an increase of \$1.4 million in general and administrative expenses due to higher legal and employee related costs and lower capitalized overhead resulting from fewer ongoing development projects. Income taxes increased related to activity in our taxable REIT subsidiary.

Table of Contents

Income from Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations decreased \$16.0 million during the three months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 which is primarily due to lower gains on sold properties during the current period. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded gains of \$0.7 million on the two properties sold. During the three months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded gains of \$16.8 million on the four properties sold.

Noncontrolling Interests

Income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased approximately \$4.0 million due to a decrease in earnings, period over period, partially offset by an increase of 4% in our ownership of the operating partnership. We owned approximately 87% of our operating partnership as of June 30, 2009 which increased when compared to approximately 83% as of June 30, 2008 primarily due to the effect of our public offering in June 2009 (see Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information) and the redemption of OP Units (see Note 7 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information).

Table of Contents**Comparison of the six months ended June 30, 2009 to the same period ended June 30, 2008**

The following table illustrates the changes in rental revenues, rental expenses and real estate taxes, property net operating income, other revenue and other income and other expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008. Our same store portfolio includes all operating properties that we owned for the entirety of both the current and prior year reporting periods for which the operations had been stabilized. Non-same store operating properties include properties not meeting the same-store criteria and by definition exclude development and redevelopment properties. The same store portfolio during the six months ended June 30, 2009 totaled 363 operating properties comprised of approximately 50.7 million square feet. A discussion of these changes follows the table (in thousands).

	Six Months Ended June 30,		
	2009	2008	\$ Change
Rental Revenues			
Same store, excluding revenues related to early lease terminations	\$ 115,846	\$ 119,865	\$ (4,019)
Non-same store operating properties	3,126	2,041	1,085
Development and redevelopment	1,702	1,268	434
Revenues related to early lease terminations	1,443	315	1,128
Total rental revenues	122,117	123,489	(1,372)
Rental Expenses and Real Estate Taxes			
Same store	31,565	30,314	1,251
Non-same store operating properties	880	1,486	(606)
Development and redevelopment	959	441	518
Total rental expenses and real estate taxes	33,404	32,241	1,163
Property Net Operating Income ⁽¹⁾			
Same store, excluding revenues related to early lease terminations	84,281	89,551	(5,270)
Non-same store operating properties	2,246	555	1,691
Development and redevelopment	743	827	(84)
Revenues related to early lease terminations	1,443	315	1,128
Total property net operating income	88,713	91,248	(2,535)
Other Revenue and Other Income			
Institutional capital management and other fees	1,347	1,474	(127)
Gain on dispositions of depreciated real estate assets	37	407	(370)
Equity in income of unconsolidated joint ventures, net	2,565	726	1,839
Interest income and other	901	1,001	(100)
Total other revenue and other income	4,850	3,608	1,242
Other Expenses			
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	54,323	56,244	(1,921)
General and administrative expenses	11,922	10,965	957
Income taxes	1,553	891	662
Interest expense	26,667	25,505	1,162
Total other expenses	94,465	93,605	860
Income from discontinued operations	634	17,898	(17,264)
Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	101	(3,269)	3,370
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders	\$ (167)	\$ 15,880	\$ (16,047)

- (1) For a discussion as to why we view property net operating income to be an appropriate supplemental performance measure see page 32, above. For a reconciliation of our property net operating income to our reported Income (Loss) From Continuing Operations, see Note 11 to our Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents*Rental Revenues*

Rental revenues which is comprised of base rent, straight-line rent, amortization of above and below market rent intangibles, tenant recovery income, early termination fees and other rental revenues, decreased by approximately \$1.4 million, or 1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due to the following

a decrease of \$4.0 million in the rental revenues of our same store properties caused by:

a decrease \$2.1 million in base rent due to a lower average occupancy rate of 89.9% during the six months ended June 30 2009 as compared to 92.7% for the same period in 2008, as well as lower rental rates on new leases,

a decrease in revenues from straight-line rent and amortization of above and below market rent intangibles of \$1.8 million, due to increased tenant defaults and early terminations and a decrease in free rent periods on new leases,

a decrease of \$0.9 million in tenant recovery income also due to a lower average occupancy rate, and

an increase of \$0.8 million in other income due the collection of condemnation proceeds and lease assignment fees;

an increase of \$1.1 million in base rent from our non-same store operating properties due to an increase in the number of properties during the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008;

an increase of \$0.4 million in base rent and straight-line rent from our development and redevelopment properties due to a slightly higher number of buildings under development and redevelopment and an increase in average occupancy as the development and redevelopment activities approach completion; and

an increase of \$1.1 million in fees from early terminations.

The following table illustrates the various components of our rental revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

	For the Six Months Ended		
	June 30,		
	2009	2008	\$ Change
Base rent	\$ 95,780	\$ 96,309	\$ (529)
Straight-line rent and amortization of above and below market rent intangibles	(124)	1,650	(1,774)
Tenant recovery income	23,188	24,156	(968)
Other	1,830	1,059	771
Revenues related to early lease terminations	1,443	315	1,128
Total rental revenues	\$ 122,117	\$ 123,489	\$ (1,372)

Rental Expenses and Real Estate Taxes

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Rental expenses and real estate taxes increased by almost \$1.2 million, or 4%, for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due a \$1.0 million increase in bad debt reserves and an increase of \$0.3 million in property taxes related to our same store operating properties, and a \$0.2 million increase in property taxes related to our development and redevelopment which was partially offset by a decrease of \$0.6 million in non-same store operating properties rental expenses and real estate taxes due mainly to lower bad debt reserves.

Other Revenue and Other Income

Other revenue and other income increased by approximately \$1.2 million, or 34%, for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due to a \$1.8 million increase in equity in earnings on unconsolidated joint ventures resulting largely from the SCLA land sale gain (see Note 4 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information) partially offset by a decrease in equity in earnings on unconsolidated joint ventures due to the cessation of capitalization for several development ventures as the development activities approached completion, higher depreciation expense and lost operating revenues due to early lease terminations, and a decrease of \$0.3 million in gains on dispositions of real estate interests reported in continuing operations and other items.

Table of Contents

Other Expenses

Total other expenses increased by approximately \$0.9 million, or 1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, primarily due to an increase of \$1.2 million in interest expense as 2008 included \$1.3 million in realized losses on outstanding hedges, an increase of \$1.0 million in general and administrative expenses due to higher legal and employee related costs and lower capitalized overhead and an increase of \$0.7 million in income taxes related to activity in our taxable REIT subsidiary, which were partially offset by a decrease of \$1.9 million in real estate related depreciation and amortization as certain intangible assets were fully amortized during the period.

Income from Discontinued Operations

Income from discontinued operations decreased \$17.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008 which is primarily due to lower gains on sold properties during the current period. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded gains of \$0.7 million on the two properties sold. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we recorded gains of \$17.1 million on the five properties sold.

Noncontrolling Interests

Income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased approximately \$3.4 million due to a decrease in earnings, period over period, partially offset by an increase of 4% in our ownership of the operating partnership. We owned approximately 87% of our operating partnership as of June 30, 2009 which increased when compared to approximately 83% as of June 30, 2008 primarily due to the effect of our public offering in June 2009 (see Note 8 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information) and the redemption of OP Units (see Note 7 to our Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We currently expect that our principal sources of working capital and funding for potential capital requirements for expansions and renovation of properties, developments, acquisitions, distributions to investors and debt service will include:

Cash flows from operations;

Proceeds from capital recycling, including asset contributions and dispositions;

Borrowings under our senior unsecured credit facility;

Other forms of secured or unsecured financings;

Current cash balances; and

Distributions from our institutional capital management program and other joint ventures.

In addition, we may engage in future offerings of common stock or other securities. Our sources of capital will be used to meet our liquidity requirements and capital commitments, including operating activities, debt service obligations, regular quarterly equityholder distributions, capital expenditures at our properties, development funding requirements, forward purchase commitments (as more fully described below) and future acquisitions. We expect to utilize the same sources of capital to meet our short-term and long-term liquidity requirements.

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Although the credit markets for the real estate sector continue to be extremely constrained as a result of the liquidity constraints of major lending institutions and other traditional sources of debt capital, we believe that our sources of capital are adequate to meet our near-term liquidity requirements. We expect these resources will be adequate to fund our operating activities, debt service obligations and equityholder distributions and will be sufficient to fund our ongoing development.

Table of Contents

Cash Flows

Cash and cash equivalents were \$132.9 million as of June 30, 2009, which was an increase of \$113.2 million from our cash and cash equivalents balance as of December 31, 2008 primarily due to proceeds from our June 2009 equity offering. Net cash provided by operating activities decreased \$23.4 million to \$53.4 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 from \$76.8 million during the same period in 2008 primarily due to decreases in earnings before gains on real estate dispositions as well as changes in our operating assets and liabilities due to timing of payments of liabilities related to property operations.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$11.6 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$2.8 million of cash provided by investing activities during the same period in 2008. This change was primarily due to lower proceeds from the real estate dispositions, partially offset by lower acquisitions of real estate, investments in unconsolidated joint ventures, capital expenditures and development activities during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Net cash provided by financing activities increased \$157.7 million to \$71.3 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$86.4 million of cash used in financing activities during the same period in 2008. This change was primarily due to the proceeds from the sale of common stock through our public offering in June 2009 as well as lower distributions to our common stockholders and unitholders.

Common Stock

As of June 30, 2009, approximately 203.8 million shares of common stock were issued and outstanding. On June 5, 2009, we issued 24.0 million shares of common stock in a public offering at a public offering price of \$4.25 per share. On June 10, 2009, we issued an additional 3.6 million upon the exercise the underwriters over-allotment option which was granted in conjunction with the public offering at the same price per share. We intend to use the net proceeds for general and corporate purposes which may include the repayment of debt.

The net proceeds from the sales of our securities were transferred to our operating partnership for a number of OP Units equal to the shares of common stock sold in our public and private offerings, including our public offering noted above.

Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan

In April 2007, we began offering shares of our common stock through our Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan (the Plan). The Plan permits stockholders to acquire additional shares with quarterly dividends and to make additional cash investments to buy shares directly. Shares of common stock may be purchased in the open market, through privately negotiated transactions, or directly from us as newly issued shares of common stock. All shares issued under the Plan were acquired in the open market.

Institutional Capital Management

Property contributions to institutional capital management joint ventures enable us to recycle capital while maintaining a long-term ownership interest in contributed properties. This business strategy also provides liquidity to fund future activities and generates revenues from asset management fees, and we may earn additional fees and incentives by providing other services including, but not limited to, acquisition, development, construction management and leasing.

Distributions

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, our board of directors declared distributions to stockholders totaling approximately \$19.0 million and \$35.7 million, respectively, including distributions to OP unitholders.

Table of Contents

During the same periods of 2008, our board of directors declared distributions to stockholders of approximately \$33.4 million and \$66.8 million, respectively, including distributions to OP unitholders. Existing cash balances and cash provided by operating activities were used for distributions paid during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

The payment of quarterly distributions is determined by our board of directors and may be adjusted at its discretion at any time. During August 2009, our board of directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of approximately \$16.6 million, payable on October 16, 2009 to stockholders of record as of October 7, 2009.

Outstanding Indebtedness

As of June 30, 2009, our outstanding indebtedness of \$1.2 billion consisted of mortgage notes and senior unsecured notes and an unsecured revolving credit facility, and excludes \$147.8 million representing our proportionate share of debt associated with unconsolidated joint ventures. As of December 31, 2008, our outstanding indebtedness consisted of mortgage debt, unsecured notes and an unsecured revolving credit facility and totaled approximately \$1.2 billion, and excludes \$141.5 million representing our proportionate share of debt associated with unconsolidated joint ventures. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the historical cost of our consolidated properties was approximately \$2.9 billion and the historical cost of all properties securing our mortgage debt was approximately \$1.2 billion. Our debt has various covenants with which we were in compliance as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008.

Our debt instruments require monthly or quarterly payments of interest and many require, or will ultimately require, monthly or quarterly repayments of principal. Currently, cash flows from our operations are sufficient to satisfy these monthly and quarterly debt service requirements and we anticipate that cash flows from operations will continue to be sufficient to satisfy our regular monthly and quarterly debt service excluding principal maturities.

During the three and six months ended June 30, 2009, our debt service, including principal and interest, totaled \$18.6 million and \$24.6 million, respectively. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, our debt service, including principal and interest, totaled \$116.4 million and \$162.3 million, respectively. The following table sets forth the scheduled maturities of our debt, excluding unamortized premiums, as of June 30, 2009 (amounts in thousands).

Year	Senior			Total
	Unsecured Notes	Mortgage Notes	Unsecured Credit Facility	
2009 remaining	\$	\$ 4,013	\$	\$ 4,013
2010	300,000 ⁽¹⁾	58,510		358,510
2011	50,000	230,235		280,235
2012		167,354		167,354
2013	175,000	41,147		216,147
Thereafter	100,000	63,103		163,103
Total	\$ 625,000	\$ 564,362	\$	\$ 1,189,362

⁽¹⁾ In June 2008, DCT closed a two-year \$300 million senior unsecured term loan that can be extended for one year at the Company's option. To manage the interest rate risk associated with certain variable rate borrowings, as well as, forecasted debt issuances and refinancing of our fixed-rate debt, we have primarily used forward-starting swaps as part of our cash flow hedging strategy. These derivatives are designed to mitigate the risk of future interest rate fluctuations by providing a future fixed interest rate for a limited, pre-determined period of time.

Table of Contents

As of June 30, 2009, such derivatives as described in the following table were in place to hedge the variability of cash flows associated with forecasted issuances of debt and \$100 million of variable rate debt (dollar amounts in thousands).

	Swap			
	Notional Amount	Strike Rate	Effective Date	Maturity Date
Swap ⁽¹⁾	\$ 100,000	3.233%	6/2008	6/2010
Forward-starting swap ⁽²⁾	\$ 26,000	5.364%	1/2010	4/2010
Forward-starting swap ⁽²⁾	\$ 90,000	5.430%	6/2012	9/2012

⁽¹⁾ The counterparty is Wells Fargo Bank, NA

⁽²⁾ The counterparty is PNC Bank, NA

Line of Credit

Our senior unsecured revolving credit facility is with a syndicated group of banks and has a total capacity of \$300.0 million and matures December 2010. The facility has provisions, which under certain circumstances, may allow us to increase its total capacity to \$500.0 million. At our election, the facility bears interest either at LIBOR plus between 0.55% and 1.1%, depending upon our consolidated leverage, or at prime and is subject to an annual facility fee. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, this facility was undrawn. After giving effect for our outstanding letters of credit we had \$284.8 million available.

Financing Strategy

We do not have a formal policy limiting the amount of debt we incur, although we currently intend to operate so that our financial metrics are generally consistent with investment grade peers in the real estate industry. Among the metrics we consider most relevant are secured and unsecured leverage as well as fixed charge coverage. Our charter and our bylaws do not limit the indebtedness that we may incur. We are, however, subject to certain leverage limitations pursuant to covenants on our outstanding indebtedness.

Contractual Obligations

The following table reflects our contractual obligations as of June 30, 2009, specifically our obligations under long-term debt agreements, operating and ground lease agreements and purchase obligations (amounts in thousands):

Contractual Obligations ⁽¹⁾	Total	Payments due by Period			
		Less than 1 Year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	More Than 5 Years
Scheduled long-term debt maturities, including interest ⁽²⁾	\$ 1,357,573	\$ 410,086	\$ 410,588	\$ 413,816	\$ 123,083
Operating lease commitments	1,281	500	781		
Ground lease commitments ⁽³⁾	6,692	217	530	452	5,493
Purchase obligations ⁽⁴⁾	20,898	20,898			
Total	\$ 1,386,444	\$ 431,701	\$ 411,899	\$ 414,268	\$ 128,576

⁽¹⁾ From time to time in the normal course of our business, we enter into various contracts with third parties that may obligate us to make payments, such as maintenance agreements at our properties. Such contracts, in the aggregate, do not represent material obligations, are typically short-term, are cancellable within 90 days and are not included in the table above.

⁽²⁾ In June 2008, DCT closed a two-year \$300 million senior unsecured term loan that can be extended for one year at the Company's option.

⁽³⁾ Three of our buildings totaling approximately 0.7 million square feet are subject to ground leases.

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

- (4) Includes forward purchase commitments of \$15.2 million which are secured by letters of credit, as discussed below. In total, our estimated construction costs to complete current development projects is approximately \$43.4 million of which \$0.5 million is legally committed and included in the above table, and \$24.8 million is expected to be funded with existing construction loans. As of June 30, 2009, our pro rata share of our unconsolidated development joint ventures' total construction loans, including undrawn amounts, was \$127.8 million, of which \$91.0 million is scheduled to mature by the end of 2010, \$15.5 million is scheduled to mature by the end of 2011 and \$21.3 million is scheduled to mature by the end of 2012.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors, other than items discussed herein.

Forward Purchase Commitments

Nexus

As of June 30, 2009, we had three separate forward purchase commitments with Nexus Desarrollos Industriales (Nexus) to acquire three newly constructed buildings and had three related letters of credit totaling \$15.2 million outstanding. The three buildings are located on separate development sites in three submarkets in the metropolitan area of Monterrey, Nuevo Leon, Mexico. The forward purchase commitments obligate us to acquire each of the facilities from Nexus upon completion, subject to a variety of conditions related to, among other things, the buildings complying with approved drawings and specifications. Closing on the three buildings is expected to occur in late 2009 or early 2010.

Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

Guarantees

Based on the provisions of certain joint venture agreements we have, indirectly through partner level guarantees, guaranteed our proportionate share of \$71.7 million in construction financing as of June 30, 2009. In the event the guarantor partner is required to satisfy the guarantee, DCT has indemnified its venture partner for our proportionate share of the guarantee. These guarantees remain outstanding until the construction financing is satisfied.

Indebtedness and Other Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

There are no lines of credit, side agreements, or any other derivative financial instruments related to, or between, our unconsolidated joint ventures and us, and we believe we have no material exposure to financial guarantees, except as discussed above.

As of June 30, 2009, our proportionate share of non-recourse debt associated with unconsolidated joint ventures is \$147.8 million, which includes the \$71.7 million related to construction financing discussed above. DCT anticipates funding the repayment of approximately \$60.0 million of indebtedness associated with our unconsolidated joint ventures in the third quarter of 2009.

Funds From Operations

We believe that net income, as defined by GAAP, is the most appropriate earnings measure. However, we consider FFO as defined by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT, to be a useful supplemental, non-GAAP measure of our operating performance. NAREIT developed FFO as a relative measure of performance of an equity REIT in order to recognize that the value of income-producing real estate historically has not depreciated on the basis determined under GAAP. FFO is generally defined as net income, calculated in accordance with GAAP, plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization, less gain (or loss) from dispositions of operating real estate held for investment purposes and adjustments to derive our pro rata share of FFO of consolidated and unconsolidated joint ventures. We include the gains or losses from dispositions of properties which were acquired or developed with the intention to sell or contribute to an investment fund in our definition of FFO. Readers should note that FFO captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions, nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effect and could materially impact our results from operations. NAREIT's definition of FFO is subject to interpretation and modifications to the NAREIT definition of FFO are common. Accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO and, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the calculation of our FFO reconciled from Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT Common Stockholders for the periods indicated below on a historical basis (unaudited, amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net Income (Loss) Attributable to DCT				
Common Stockholders	\$ (3,994)	\$ 15,496	\$ (167)	\$ 15,880
Adjustments:				
Real estate related depreciation and amortization	27,988	29,266	54,443	58,409
Equity in (income) losses of unconsolidated joint ventures, net	1,615	(439)	(2,565)	(726)
Equity in FFO of unconsolidated joint ventures	1,180	1,517	7,729	2,984
(Gain) on dispositions of real estate interests	(734)	(16,724)	(768)	(17,531)
Gain (loss) on dispositions of non-depreciated real estate		(39)	113	207
Noncontrolling interest in the operating partnership's share of the above adjustments	(4,411)	(2,365)	(8,899)	(7,948)
FFO attributable to unitholders	3,674	5,633	8,823	11,303
Funds from operations attributable to common stockholders and unitholders - basic and diluted	\$ 25,318	\$ 32,345	\$ 58,709	\$ 62,578
FFO per common share and unit, basic and diluted	\$ 0.12	\$ 0.15	\$ 0.28	\$ 0.30
FFO weighted average common shares and units outstanding:				
Common shares for earnings per share - basic:	183,783	171,429	179,745	169,908
Participating securities	1,768	1,187	1,574	1,078
Units	31,557	36,189	31,791	37,550
FFO weighted average common shares, participating securities and units outstanding - basic:	217,108	208,805	213,110	208,536
Dilutive common stock equivalents	124	52	1	11
FFO weighted average common shares, participating securities and units outstanding - diluted:	217,232	208,857	213,111	208,547

Table of Contents**ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Market risk is the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices such as rental rates and interest rates. Our future earnings and cash flows are dependent upon prevailing market rates. Accordingly, we manage our market risk by matching projected cash inflows from operating, investing and financing activities with projected cash outflows for debt service, acquisitions, capital expenditures, distributions to stockholders and OP unitholders and other cash requirements. The majority of our outstanding debt has fixed interest rates, which minimizes the risk of fluctuating interest rates.

Our exposure to market risk includes interest rate fluctuations in connection with our credit facility and other variable rate borrowings and forecasted fixed rate debt issuances, including refinancing of existing fixed rate debt. Interest rate risk may result from many factors, including governmental monetary and tax policies, domestic and international economic and political considerations and other factors that are beyond our control. To manage interest rate risk for variable rate debt and issuances of fixed rate debt, we primarily use treasury locks and forward-starting swaps as part of our cash flow hedging strategy. These derivatives are designed to mitigate the risk of future interest rate increases by providing a fixed interest rate for a limited, pre-determined period of time. During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, such derivatives were in place to hedge some of the variable cash flows associated with forecasted issuances of debt that are expected to occur during the period from 2009 through 2012, and to mitigate fluctuations in certain variable rate borrowings. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes and only enter into contracts with major financial institutions based on their credit rating and other factors. As of June 30, 2009, such derivatives as described in the following table were in place to hedge the variability of cash flows associated with forecasted issuances of debt and \$100 million of outstanding variable rate debt (dollar amounts in thousands):

	Swap			
	Notional Amount	Strike Rate	Effective Date	Maturity Date
Swap ⁽¹⁾	\$ 100,000	3.233%	6/2008	6/2010
Forward-starting swap ⁽²⁾	\$ 26,000	5.364%	1/2010	4/2010
Forward-starting swap ⁽²⁾	\$ 90,000	5.430%	6/2012	9/2012

⁽¹⁾ The counterparty is Wells Fargo Bank, NA

⁽²⁾ The counterparty is PNC Bank, NA

As of June 30, 2009, derivatives with a negative fair value of \$8.0 million were included in Other liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheet. As of December 31, 2008, derivatives with a negative fair value of \$21.5 million were included in Other liabilities in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, a loss of \$0.2 million was recorded as a result of ineffectiveness due to the change in estimated timing of the anticipated debt issuances. No ineffectiveness was recorded during the three months ended June 30, 2009.

The net liabilities associated with these derivatives would increase approximately \$1.1 million if the market interest rate of the referenced swap index, which was LIBOR in each case, were to decrease 10 basis points based upon the prevailing market rate as of June 30, 2009.

Similarly, our variable rate debt is subject to risk based upon prevailing market interest rates. As of June 30, 2009, we had approximately \$225.2 million of variable rate debt outstanding indexed to LIBOR rates. If the prevailing market interest rates relevant to our remaining variable rate debt were to increase 10%, our interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009, would have increased by approximately \$0.2 million. Additionally, if weighted average interest rates on our fixed rate debt were to change by 1% due to refinancing, interest expense would change by approximately \$5.1 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009.

As of June 30, 2009, the estimated fair value of our debt was approximately \$1.1 billion based on our estimate of the then-current market interest rates.

Table of Contents**ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES****Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act, as of June 30, 2009, the end of the period covered by this report. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that our disclosure controls and procedures will detect or uncover every situation involving the failure of persons within DCT Industrial Trust Inc. or its affiliates to disclose material information otherwise required to be set forth in our periodic reports. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and our principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of June 30, 2009 in providing reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

None.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

None.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

There have been no material changes to the risk factors set forth in Item 1A. to Part I of our Form 10-K, as filed on March 2, 2009, except to the extent factual information disclosed elsewhere in this Form 10-Q relates to such risk factors.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

On May 5, 2009, we held our annual meeting of stockholders (the 2009 annual meeting). Nine directors were nominated by our board of directors for election by the stockholders at the 2009 annual meeting, each to hold office until the next annual stockholders meeting. A plurality of the shares voted at this meeting approved the election of all nine nominees as described in the table below.

	Votes	
	FOR	WITHHELD
Phillip R. Altinger	156,574,537	1,999,582
Thomas F. August	156,566,553	2,007,567
John S. Gates, Jr.	156,606,360	1,967,759
Tripp H. Hardin	156,582,619	1,991,500
Philip L. Hawkins	156,585,337	1,988,782
James R. Mulvihill	156,600,661	1,973,458
John C. O'Keefe	156,558,497	2,015,622

Edgar Filing: DCT Industrial Trust Inc. - Form 10-Q

Bruce L. Warwick	156,511,542	2,062,577
Thomas G. Wattles	156,354,727	2,219,392

One other proposal was considered by our stockholders at the 2009 annual meeting:

The Accountant Proposal: the ratification of our selection of Ernst and Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2009;

Table of Contents

This proposal was approved by our stockholders at the 2009 annual meeting with the final vote count as follows:

Proposal	FOR	Votes AGAINST	ABSTAIN
Accountant	158,000,802	304,837	268,478

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

a. Exhibits

- +31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- +31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- +32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- +32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Financial Officer
-
- + Filed herewith.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DCT INDUSTRIAL TRUST INC.

Date: August 7, 2009

/s/ Philip L. Hawkins
Philip L. Hawkins
Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 7, 2009

/s/ Stuart B. Brown
Stuart B. Brown
Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

a. Exhibits

- +31.1 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- +31.2 Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer
- +32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Executive Officer
- +32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Principal Financial Officer

-

- + Filed herewith.