

PGT, Inc.
Form 10-K
March 10, 2008
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 29, 2007

OR

.. TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 000-52059

PGT, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Delaware
*(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)*

20-0634715
*(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)*

1070 Technology Drive

North Venice, Florida
(Address of principal executive offices)

34275
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code:

(941) 480-1600

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report: Not applicable

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| | |
|--|---|
| Title of Each Class | Name of Exchange on Which Registered |
| Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share | NASDAQ Global Market |
| Securities registered pursuant to Section 12 (g) of the Act: None | |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "accelerated filer," "large accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the registrant's common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 29, 2007 was approximately \$115,739,814 based on the closing price per share on that date of \$10.93 as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market.

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock, par value \$0.01, outstanding as of February 29, 2008 was 27,645,096.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of the Company's Proxy Statement for the Company's 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents**PGT, INC.****Table of Contents to Form 10-K**

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | 1 |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | 5 |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 11 |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | 12 |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 12 |
| Item 4. <u>Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 13 |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 14 |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 16 |
| Item 7. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation</u> | 18 |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 35 |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 36 |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 65 |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 65 |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | 68 |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | 69 |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 69 |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | 70 |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u> | 70 |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | 70 |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 71 |
| Employment Separation Agreement | |
| Subsidiaries | |
| Consent of Ernst & Young LLP | |
| Written Statement Pursuant to Section 302 | |
| Written Statement Pursuant to Section 302 | |
| Written Statement Pursuant to Section 906 | |

Table of Contents

PART I

**Item 1. BUSINESS
COMPANY OVERVIEW**

We are the leading U.S. manufacturer and supplier of residential impact-resistant windows and doors and pioneered the U.S. impact-resistant window and door industry in the aftermath of Hurricane Andrew in 1992. Our impact-resistant products, most of which are marketed under the WinGuard® brand name, combine heavy-duty aluminum or vinyl frames with laminated glass to provide protection from hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris by maintaining their structural integrity and preventing penetration by impacting objects. Impact-resistant windows and doors satisfy increasingly stringent building codes in hurricane-prone coastal states and provide an attractive alternative to shutters and other active forms of hurricane protection that require installation and removal before and after each storm. Our current market share in Florida, which is the largest U.S. impact-resistant window and door market, is significantly greater than that of any of our competitors. WinGuard sales have increased to represent 68% of our net sales in 2007, compared to 17% in 1999. In addition to our core WinGuard branded product line, we offer a complete range of premium, made-to-order and fully customizable aluminum and vinyl windows and doors that represented 32% of our 2007 net sales. We manufacture these products in a wide variety of styles and sell to both the residential new construction, and home repair and remodeling end markets including multi-story buildings with our Architectural Systems line of products. For the year ended December 29, 2007, we generated net sales of \$278.4 million.

The impact-resistant window and door market has been growing faster than any major segment of the overall window and door industry. This growth has been driven primarily by increased adoption and more active enforcement of stringent building codes that mandate the use of impact-resistant products and increased penetration of impact-resistant windows and doors relative to active forms of hurricane protection.

The geographic regions in which we currently operate include the Southeastern U.S., the Gulf Coast and the Caribbean. Additionally, we expect increased demand along the Atlantic coast, from Georgia to New York, as recently adopted building codes are enforced and awareness of the PGT brand continues to grow. We distribute our products through multiple channels, including over 1,300 window distributors, building supply distributors, window replacement dealers and enclosure contractors. This broad distribution network provides us with the flexibility to meet demand as it shifts between the residential new construction and repair and remodeling end markets.

We operate strategically located manufacturing facilities in North Venice, Florida and Salisbury, North Carolina, both capable of producing fully-customizable windows and doors. Our facilities are vertically integrated with a glass tempering and laminating facility, which provides us with a consistent source of impact-resistant laminated glass, shorter lead times, and substantially lower costs relative to third-party sourcing. Our Salisbury, North Carolina plant supports the expansion of our geographic footprint as the impact-resistant market continues to grow.

History

Our subsidiary, PGT Industries, Inc., was founded in 1980 as Vinyl Technology, Inc. by Paul Hostetler and our current President and Chief Executive Officer, Rodney Hershberger. The PGT brand was established in 1987, and we introduced our WinGuard branded product line in the aftermath of Hurricane Andrew in 1992.

PGT, Inc. is a Delaware corporation formed on December 16, 2003, as JLL Window Holdings, Inc. by an affiliate of JLL Partners, our largest stockholder, in connection with its acquisition of PGT Industries, Inc. On February 15, 2006, we changed our name to PGT, Inc., and on June 27, 2006 we became a publicly listed company on the NASDAQ National Market under the symbol PGTI .

Table of Contents

Our Products

We manufacture complete lines of premium, fully customizable aluminum and vinyl windows and doors and porch enclosure products targeting both the residential new construction and repair and remodeling end markets. All of our products carry the PGT brand, and our consumer-oriented products carry an additional, trademarked product name, including WinGuard and Eze-Breeze. We operate in one segment, the manufacture and sale of windows and doors.

Window and door products

WinGuard. WinGuard is our impact-resistant product line and combines heavy-duty aluminum or vinyl frames with laminated glass to provide protection from hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris. WinGuard products satisfy increasingly stringent building codes and primarily target hurricane-prone coastal states in the U.S., as well as the Caribbean and Mexico. In addition to their impact-resistant characteristics, WinGuard products are fully customizable and offer excellent aesthetics, year-round security, enhanced energy efficiency, noise reduction, and protection from ultra-violet light.

Aluminum. We offer a complete line of fully customizable, non-impact-resistant aluminum frame windows and doors. These products primarily target regions with warmer climates, where aluminum is often preferred due to its ability to withstand higher temperatures and humidity.

Vinyl. We offer a complete line of fully customizable, non-impact-resistant vinyl frame windows and doors primarily targeting regions with colder climates, where the energy-efficient characteristics of vinyl frames are critical.

Architectural Systems. Similar to WinGuard, Architectural Systems products are impact-resistant, offering protection from hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris. However, this product line is installed in mid- and high-rise buildings rather than single family homes.

Porch-enclosure products

Eze-Breeze. Our Eze-Breeze sliding panels for porch enclosures are vinyl-glazed, aluminum-framed products used for enclosing screened-in porches. The cost-effective Eze-Breeze product is ideal for enclosing screen porches because it provides protection from inclement weather while still creating a screened-porch feel.

Sales and Marketing

Our sales strategy primarily focuses on attracting and retaining distributors and dealers by consistently providing exceptional customer service, leading product quality, and competitive pricing. Our customers also value our shorter lead times, knowledge of building code requirements, and technical expertise, which collectively generate significant customer loyalty.

Our marketing strategy focuses on television and print advertising in coastal markets that reinforce the high quality of our products and educate consumers and homebuilders on the advantages of using impact-resistant products. Our slogan for the WinGuard brand, Effortless Hurricane Protection, summarizes our marketing message. We primarily market our products based on quality, building code compliance, outstanding service, shorter lead times, and on-time delivery, and we operate a truck fleet of 67 tractors and 147 trailers.

Our Customers

We have a highly diversified customer base that is comprised of over 1,300 window distributors, building supply distributors, window replacement dealers and enclosure contractors. Our largest customer accounts for approximately 2.2% of net sales and our top ten customers account for approximately 14.6% of net sales.

Table of Contents

Although we do not supply our products directly to homebuilders, demand for our products is also a function of our relationships with a number of national homebuilders, which we believe are strong.

Our sales are balanced between the residential new construction and home repair and remodeling end markets, which represented approximately 46% and 54% of our sales, respectively, during 2007. Given our broad distribution network, we have the flexibility to effectively meet demand as it shifts between these end markets. In fiscal years 2007, 2006 and 2005, our net sales from customers in the United States were \$263.2 million, \$354.9 million and \$318.5 million, respectively, and our net sales from foreign countries, including the Caribbean, Mexico, South America and Australia, in those same periods were \$15.2 million, \$16.7 million and \$14.3 million, respectively.

Materials and Supplier Relationships

Our primary manufacturing materials include aluminum extrusion, glass, and polyvinyl butyral. Although in many instances we have agreements with our suppliers, these agreements are generally terminable by either party on limited notice. At December 29, 2007, we had 22 outstanding forward contracts for the purchase of 5.4 million pounds of aluminum at an average price of \$1.22 per pound with maturity dates of between one month and eight months through August 2008. All of our materials are typically readily available from other sources.

Aluminum extrusions accounted for approximately 44% of our material purchases during fiscal year 2007. While aluminum prices increased over the past three years, we were able to hedge a portion of our exposure to these rising costs through forward purchase commitments.

Sheet glass, which is sourced from four major national suppliers, accounted for approximately 18% of our material purchases during fiscal year 2007. Sheet glass that we purchase comes in various sizes, tints, and thermal properties. We have vertically integrated glass tempering and laminating facilities that provide us with a consistent source of impact-resistant laminated glass, shorter lead times, and substantially lower costs relative to third-party sourcing.

Polyvinyl butyral, which is used as the inner layer in laminated glass, accounted for approximately 15% of our material purchases during fiscal year 2007. We have negotiated an agreement with our polyvinyl butyral supplier that provides us with favorable pricing through the end of 2008. In return, we are required to purchase 100% of our requirements for polyvinyl butyral from this supplier.

Manufacturing

Our manufacturing facilities, located in Florida and North Carolina, are capable of producing fully-customizable products. The manufacturing process typically begins in one of our glass plants where we cut, temper and laminate sheet glass to meet specific requirements of our customers orders.

Glass is transported to our window and door assembly lines in a make-to-order sequence where it is combined with an aluminum or vinyl frame. These frames are also fabricated to order, as we start with a piece of extruded material that we cut and shape into a frame that fits our customers specifications. After an order has been completed, it is immediately staged for delivery on one of our trucks and shipped within an average of 48 hours of completion.

Competition

The window and door industry is highly fragmented and is served predominantly by local and regional competitors with relatively limited product lines and overall market share. In general, we divide the competitive

Table of Contents

landscape of our industry based on geographic scope, with competitors falling within one of two categories: local and regional competitors, and national window and door manufacturers.

Local and Regional Window and Door Manufacturers: This group of competitors consists of numerous local job shops and small manufacturing facilities that tend to focus on selling branded products to local or regional dealers and wholesalers and that typically lack the service levels and quality controls demanded by larger distributors.

National Window and Door Manufacturers: This group of competitors tends to focus on selling branded products nationally to dealers and wholesalers and has multiple locations.

The principal methods of competition in the window and door industry are the development of long-term relationships with window and door dealers and distributors and professional homebuilders and the retention of customers by delivering a full range of high-quality products on time while offering competitive pricing and flexibility in transaction processing. Although some of our competitors may have greater geographic scope and access to greater resources and economies of scale than do we, our leading position in the U.S. impact-resistant window and door market and the high quality of our products position us well to meet the needs of our customers and retain an advantage over our competitors.

Environmental Considerations

Although our business and facilities are subject to federal, state, and local environmental regulation, environmental regulation does not have a material impact on our operations, and we believe that our facilities are in material compliance with such laws and regulations.

Employees

At December 29, 2007, we had approximately 1,900 employees, none of whom was represented by a union. We believe that we have good relations with our employees.

Information Technology Systems

The key to our application software is our Expert Configuration Order Fulfillment System, which allows us to accurately enter, price, and configure valid product in a made-to-order, demand-driven manufacturing environment. Expert Configuration assistance is critical, given that our products can be built in millions of combinations of options and sizes. This software enables us to synchronize the scheduling of the manufacturing process of multiple assembly operations to serve our make-to-order needs and ship in geography sequence.

Our Web Weaver web-based order entry system extends the Expert Configuration technology to the dealer, allowing dealers to configure, price and order our products 24 hours a day. Web Weaver is seamlessly integrated with our manufacturing system to allow orders to flow directly from dealers to our manufacturing plants. Our dealers currently enter 50% of our sales dollars directly into Web Weaver.

Trademarks and Patents

Among the trademarks owned and registered by us in the United States are the following: PGT, WinGuard, Effortless Hurricane Protection, Eze-Breeze, Progressive Glass Technology, PGT Industries, Visibly Better and Web Weaver. In addition, we own several patents and patent applications concerning various aspects of window assembly and related processes. We are not aware of any circumstances that would have a material adverse affect on our ability to use our trademarks and patents. As long as we continue to renew our trademarks when necessary, the trademark protection provided by them is perpetual. Our patents will expire at various times over the next 20 years.

Table of Contents

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We are subject to the informational requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, (the Exchange Act) and in accordance therewith, we file or furnish reports, proxy and information statements and other information with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, proxy and information statements and other information and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act are available free of charge as soon as reasonably practicable after such reports are electronically filed with or furnished to the SEC through the investor relations section of our Web site under the links to SEC Filings or in print by contacting our investor relations department. Our Internet address is www.pgtinc.com. We are not including this or any other information on our Web site as a part of, nor incorporating it by reference into, this Form 10-K or any of our other SEC filings.

In addition to our Web site, you may read and copy public reports we file with or furnish to the SEC at the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-732-0330 (if you are calling from within the United States), or +205-551-8090. The SEC maintains an Internet site that contains our reports, proxy and information statements, and other information that we file electronically with the SEC at www.sec.gov.

**Item 1A. RISK FACTORS
CAUTIONARY STATEMENT**

This report includes forward-looking statements regarding, among other things, our financial condition and business strategy. Forward-looking statements provide our current expectations and projections about future events. Forward-looking statements include statements about our expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, intentions, assumptions, and other statements that are not historical facts. As a result, all statements other than statements of historical facts included in this discussion and analysis and located elsewhere in this document regarding the prospects of our industry and our prospects, plans, financial position, and business strategy may constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 21E of the Exchange Act. In addition, forward-looking statements generally can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as may, could, expect, intend, estimate, anticipate, plan, foresee, believe, or continue, or the negatives of these terms or or similar terminology, but the absence of these words does not necessarily mean that a statement is not forward-looking.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties and are based on potentially inaccurate assumptions that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expected or implied by the forward-looking statements. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we can give no assurance that these expectations will occur as predicted. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements included in this document. These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report. We undertake no obligation to publicly revise any forward-looking statement to reflect circumstances or events after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events except as may be required by applicable securities laws.

Risks associated with our business, an investment in our securities, and with achieving the forward-looking statements contained in this report or in our news releases, Web sites, public filings, investor and analyst conferences or elsewhere, include, but are not limited to, the risk factors described below. Any of the risk factors described below could cause our actual results to differ materially from expectations and could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations. We may not succeed in addressing these challenges and risks.

Table of Contents

Risks Relating to Our Business and Industry

The new home construction and repair and remodeling markets have been declining.

The window and door industry is subject to the cyclical market pressures of the larger new construction and repair and remodeling markets, which in turn may be significantly affected by adverse changes in economic conditions such as demographic trends, employment levels, and consumer confidence. Beginning in the second half of 2006, we saw a significant slowdown in the Florida housing market. This slowdown continued during 2007, and we expect this trend to continue. Like many building material suppliers in the industry, we have been and will continue to be faced with a challenging operating environment due to this decline in the housing market. Specifically, new single family housing permits in Florida decreased by 49% in 2007 compared to the prior year. The resulting decline in our customers' construction levels has decreased demand for our products which has had, and which we expect will continue to have, an adverse impact on our sales and results of operations.

The home building industry and the home repair and remodeling sector are regulated.

The homebuilding industry and the home repair and remodeling sector are subject to various local, state, and federal statutes, ordinances, rules, and regulations concerning zoning, building design and safety, construction, and similar matters, including regulations that impose restrictive zoning and density requirements in order to limit the number of homes that can be built within the boundaries of a particular area. Increased regulatory restrictions could limit demand for new homes and home repair and remodeling products and could negatively affect our sales and earnings.

Our operating results are substantially dependent on sales of our WinGuard branded line of products.

A majority of our net sales are, and are expected to continue to be, derived from the sales of our WinGuard branded line of products. Accordingly, our future operating results will depend on the demand for WinGuard products by current and future customers, including additions to this product line that are subsequently introduced. If our competitors release new products that are superior to WinGuard products in performance or price, or if we fail to update WinGuard products with any technological advances that are developed by us or our competitors or introduce new products in a timely manner, demand for our products may decline. A decline in demand for WinGuard products as a result of competition, technological change or other factors could have a material adverse effect on our ability to generate sales, which would negatively affect our financial condition, results of operation, and cash flow.

Changes in building codes could lower the demand for our impact-resistant windows and doors.

The market for our impact-resistant windows and doors depends in large part on our ability to satisfy state and local building codes that require protection from wind-borne debris. If the standards in such building codes are raised, we may not be able to meet their requirements, and demand for our products could decline. Conversely, if the standards in such building codes are lowered or are not enforced in certain areas, demand for our impact-resistant products may decrease. Further, if states and regions that are affected by hurricanes but do not currently have such building codes fail to adopt and enforce hurricane protection building codes, our ability to expand our business in such markets may be limited.

We may be unable to successfully implement our expansion plans included in our business strategy.

Our business strategy includes expansion into new geographic markets in additional coastal states as those states adopt or enforce building codes that require protection from wind-borne debris. Should these regions fail to adopt or enforce such building codes, our ability to expand geographically may be limited. In addition, if these regions do adopt or enforce building codes that require protection from wind-borne debris but our competitors enter those markets with products superior to ours in performance or price, demand for our products in such markets may not develop. Our business plan also provides for our introduction of new product lines, such as our new vinyl WinGuard products, and the expansion of our Architectural Systems product line. If our competitors release new products that are superior to ours in performance or price, or if we cannot develop products that

Table of Contents

meet customers demands or introduce our products in a timely manner, we may be unable to generate sales of such new products.

Our strategy depends in part upon reducing and controlling operating expenses over time and upon working capital and operational improvements. We cannot assure you that our efforts will result in increased profitability, cost savings or other benefits that we expect.

Our industry is competitive, and competition may increase as our markets grow as more states adopt or enforce building codes that require impact-resistant products.

The window and door industry is highly competitive. We face significant competition from numerous small, regional producers, as well as a small number of national producers. Some of these competitors make products from alternative materials, including wood. Any of these competitors may (i) foresee the course of market development more accurately than do we, (ii) develop products that are superior to our products, (iii) have the ability to produce similar products at a lower cost, (iv) develop stronger relationships with window distributors, building supply distributors, and window replacement dealers, or (v) adapt more quickly to new technologies or evolving customer requirements than do we. As a result, we may not be able to compete successfully with them.

In addition, while we are skilled at creating finished impact-resistant and other window and door products, the materials we use can be purchased by any existing or potential competitor. New competitors can enter our industry, and existing competitors may increase their efforts in the impact-resistant market. Furthermore, if the market for impact-resistant windows and doors continues to expand, larger competitors could enter, or expand their presence in the market and may be able to compete more effectively. Finally, we may not be able to maintain our costs at a level sufficiently low for us to compete effectively. If we are unable to compete effectively, demand for our products and our profitability may decline.

Our business is currently concentrated in one state.

Our business is concentrated geographically in Florida. In fiscal year 2007, approximately 91% of our sales were generated in Florida and new single family housing permits in Florida decreased by 49% in 2007 compared to the prior year. A decline in the economy of the state of Florida or of the coastal regions of Florida, a change in state and local building code requirements for hurricane protection, or any other adverse condition in the state could cause a decline in the demand for our products in Florida, which could decrease our sales and profitability.

We depend on third-party suppliers, and the prices we pay for our raw materials are subject to rapid fluctuations

Our ability to offer a wide variety of products to our customers is dependent upon our ability to obtain adequate material supplies from manufacturers and other suppliers. Generally, our raw materials and supplies are obtainable from various sources and in sufficient quantities. However, it is possible that our competitors or other suppliers may create laminates or products based on new technologies that are not available to us or are more effective than our products at surviving hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris or that they may have access to products of a similar quality at lower prices.

Our primary manufacturing materials include aluminum extrusion, glass, and polyvinyl butyral each of which is subject to periods of rapid and significant fluctuations in price. Our cost of aluminum extrusion increased by 27% over the last three years and the total cost of our raw materials in 2007 constituted approximately 52% of our total cost of goods sold. We have been subject to fuel surcharges enacted by our raw material suppliers. In 2007, we paid on average approximately \$1,000 per shipment in fuel surcharges to certain raw material suppliers. Although in many instances we have agreements with our suppliers, these agreements are generally terminable by either party on limited notice. Moreover, other than with our suppliers of polyvinyl butyral and aluminum, we do not have long-term contracts with the suppliers of our raw materials. At December 29, 2007, we had 22 outstanding forward contracts for the purchase of 5.4 million pounds of aluminum at an average price of \$1.22 per pound which covers approximately 45% of our anticipated needs

Table of Contents

through August 2008. However, in the event that severe shortages of such materials occur, we may experience significant increases in the cost of, or delay in the shipment of, such materials, which may result in lower margins on the sales of our products. While historically we have been able to substantially pass on significant cost increases through to our customers, our results between periods may be negatively impacted by a delay between the cost increases and price increases in our products. Failure by our suppliers to continue to supply us with materials on commercially reasonable terms or in our ability to pass on any future price increases could result in significantly lower margins.

Price increases may not be sufficient to offset cost increases and maintain profitability.

We may be able to pass some or all raw material, energy and other input cost increases to customers by increasing the selling prices of our products; however, higher product prices may also result in a reduction in sales volume. If we are not able to increase our selling prices sufficiently to offset increased raw material, energy or other input costs, including packaging, direct labor, overhead and employee benefits, or if our sales volume decreases significantly due to such price increase, there could be a negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

Our level of indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to raise additional capital to fund our operations, limit our ability to react to changes in the economy or our industry, and prevent us from meeting our obligations under our debt instruments.

As of December 29, 2007, our total indebtedness was \$130.0 million, all of which was outstanding under the first lien term loan in our senior secured credit facility. All of our debt was at a variable interest rate. In the event that interest rates rise, our interest expense would increase. Although we utilize interest rate swap contracts to fix interest rates on a portion of our outstanding long-term debt balance, all such agreements expired by February 2008. Based on debt outstanding at December 29, 2007, a 1.0% increase in interest rates would result in approximately \$1.3 million of additional interest expense annually, without giving effect to our hedging arrangements.

Our debt could have important consequences for you, including:

increasing our vulnerability to general economic and industry conditions;

requiring a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to be dedicated to the payment of principal and interest on our indebtedness, therefore reducing our ability to use our cash flow to fund our operations, capital expenditures, and future business opportunities;

exposing us to the risk of increased interest rates because certain of our borrowings, including borrowings under our credit facilities, will be at variable rates of interest;

limiting our ability to obtain additional financing for working capital, capital expenditures, debt service requirements, acquisitions, and general corporate or other purposes; and

limiting our ability to adjust to changing market conditions and placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors who have less debt.

In addition, some of our debt instruments, including those governing our credit facilities, contain cross-default provisions that could result in multiple tranches of our debt being declared immediately due and payable. In such event, it is unlikely that we would be able to satisfy our obligations under all of such accelerated indebtedness simultaneously.

We may incur additional indebtedness.

We may incur additional indebtedness under our credit facilities, which provide for up to \$30 million of revolving credit borrowings. In addition, we and our subsidiary may be able to incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future, including secured debt, subject to the restrictions contained in the agreements

Table of Contents

governing our credit facilities. If new debt is added to our current debt levels, the related risks that we now face could intensify.

Our debt instruments contain various covenants that limit our ability to operate our business.

Our credit facilities contain various provisions that limit our ability to, among other things:

transfer or sell assets, including the equity interests of our subsidiary, or use asset sale proceeds;

incur additional debt;

pay dividends or distributions on our capital stock or repurchase our capital stock;

make certain restricted payments or investments;

create liens to secure debt;

enter into transactions with affiliates;

merge or consolidate with another company; and

engage in unrelated business activities.

In addition, our credit facilities require us to meet specified financial ratios. These covenants may restrict our ability to expand or fully pursue our business strategies. Our ability to comply with these and other provisions of our credit facilities may be affected by changes in our operating and financial performance, changes in general business and economic conditions, adverse regulatory developments, or other events beyond our control. The breach of any of these covenants, including those contained in our credit facilities, could result in a default under our indebtedness, which could cause those and other obligations to become due and payable. If any of our indebtedness is accelerated, we may not be able to repay it.

We may be adversely affected by any disruption in our information technology systems.

Our operations are dependent upon our information technology systems, which encompass all of our major business functions. For example, our Expert Configuration Order Fulfillment System enables us to synchronize the scheduling of the manufacturing processes of multiple feeder and assembly operations to serve our make-to-order needs and ship in geographical sequence, and our Web Weaver web-based order entry system extends the Expert Configuration technology to the dealer, allowing configuration and price-quoting from the field. A substantial disruption in our information technology systems for any prolonged period could result in delays in receiving inventory and supplies or filling customer orders and adversely affect our customer service and relationships.

We may be adversely affected by any disruptions to our manufacturing facilities or disruptions to our customer, supplier, or employee base.

Any serious disruption to our facilities resulting from hurricanes and other weather-related events, fire, an act of terrorism, or any other cause could damage a significant portion of our inventory, affect our distribution of products, and materially impair our ability to distribute our products to customers. We could incur significantly higher costs and longer lead times associated with distributing our products to our customers during the time that it takes for us to reopen or replace a damaged facility. In addition, if there are disruptions to our customer and supplier base or to our employees caused by hurricanes, as we experienced during the 2004 hurricane season, our business could be temporarily adversely

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

affected by higher costs for materials, increased shipping and storage costs, increased labor costs, increased absentee rates, and scheduling issues. Furthermore, some of our direct and indirect suppliers have unionized work forces, and strikes, work stoppages, or slowdowns experienced by these suppliers could result in slowdowns or closures of their facilities. Any interruption in the production or delivery of our supplies could reduce sales of our products and increase our costs.

- 9 -

Table of Contents

The nature of our business exposes us to product liability and warranty claims.

We are involved in product liability and product warranty claims relating to the products we manufacture and distribute that, if adversely determined, could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, and cash flows. In addition, we may be exposed to potential claims arising from the conduct of homebuilders and home remodelers and their sub-contractors. Although we currently maintain what we believe to be suitable and adequate insurance in excess of our self-insured amounts, we may not be able to maintain such insurance on acceptable terms or such insurance may not provide adequate protection against potential liabilities. Product liability claims can be expensive to defend and can divert the attention of management and other personnel for significant periods, regardless of the ultimate outcome. Claims of this nature could also have a negative impact on customer confidence in our products and our company.

We are subject to potential exposure to environmental liabilities and are subject to environmental regulation.

We are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental laws, ordinances, and regulations. Although we believe that our facilities are in material compliance with such laws, ordinances, and regulations, as owners and lessees of real property, we can be held liable for the investigation or remediation of contamination on such properties, in some circumstances, without regard to whether we knew of or were responsible for such contamination. Remediation may be required in the future as a result of spills or releases of petroleum products or hazardous substances, the discovery of unknown environmental conditions, or more stringent standards regarding existing residual contamination. More burdensome environmental regulatory requirements may increase our general and administrative costs and may increase the risk that we may incur fines or penalties or be held liable for violations of such regulatory requirements.

A range of factors may make our quarterly net sales and earnings variable.

We have historically experienced, and in the future will continue to experience, variability in net sales and earnings on a quarterly basis. The factors expected to contribute to this variability include, among others, (i) the cyclical nature of the homebuilding industry and the home repair and remodeling sector, (ii) general economic conditions in the various local markets in which we compete, (iii) the distribution schedules of our customers, (iv) the effects of the weather, and (v) the volatility of prices of aluminum, glass and vinyl. These factors, among others, make it difficult to project our operating results on a consistent basis.

We conduct all of our operations through our subsidiary, and rely on payments from our subsidiary to meet all of our obligations.

We are a holding company and derive all of our operating income from our subsidiary, PGT Industries, Inc. All of our assets are held by our subsidiary, and we rely on the earnings and cash flows of our subsidiary to meet our debt service obligations. The ability of our subsidiary to make payments to us will depend on its respective operating results and may be restricted by, among other things, the laws of its jurisdiction of organization (which may limit the amount of funds available for distributions to us), the terms of existing and future indebtedness and other agreements of our subsidiary, including our credit facilities, and the covenants of any future outstanding indebtedness we or our subsidiary incur.

We are exposed to risks relating to evaluations of controls required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

We are required to comply with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. While we have concluded that at December 29, 2007 we have no material weaknesses in our internal controls over financial reporting, we cannot assure you that we will not have a material weakness in the future. A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of significant deficiencies that results in more than a remote likelihood that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected. If we fail to maintain a system of internal controls over financial reporting that meets the requirements of Section 404, we

Table of Contents

might be subject to sanctions or investigation by regulatory authorities such as the SEC or by the NASDAQ Stock Market LLC. Additionally, failure to comply with Section 404 or the report by us of a material weakness may cause investors to lose confidence in our financial statements and our stock price may be adversely affected. If we fail to remedy any material weakness, our financial statements may be inaccurate, we may not have access to the capital markets, and our stock price may be adversely affected.

The controlling position of an affiliate of JLL Partners limits the ability of our minority stockholders to influence corporate matters.

An affiliate of JLL Partners owned 52.4% of our outstanding common stock as of December 29, 2007. Accordingly, such affiliate of JLL Partners has significant influence over our management and affairs and over all matters requiring stockholder approval, including the election of directors and significant corporate transactions, such as a merger or other sale of our company or its assets. This concentration of ownership may have the effect of delaying or preventing a transaction such as a merger, consolidation, or other business combination involving us, or discouraging a potential acquirer from making a tender offer or otherwise attempting to obtain control, even if such a transaction or change of control would benefit minority stockholders. In addition, this concentrated control limits the ability of our minority stockholders to influence corporate matters, and such affiliate of JLL Partners, as a controlling stockholder, could approve certain actions, including a going-private transaction, without approval of minority stockholders, subject to obtaining any required approval of our board of directors for such transaction. As a result, the market price of our common stock could be adversely affected.

The controlling position of an affiliate of JLL Partners exempts us from certain Nasdaq corporate governance requirements.

Although we have satisfied all applicable Nasdaq corporate governance rules, for so long as an affiliate of JLL Partners continues to own more than 50% of our outstanding shares, we will continue to avail ourselves of the Nasdaq Rule 4350(c) controlled company exemption that applies to companies in which more than 50% of the stockholder voting power is held by an individual, a group, or another company. This rule grants us an exemption from the requirements that we have a majority of independent directors on our board of directors and that we have independent directors determine the compensation of executive officers and the selection of nominees to the board of directors. However, we intend to comply with such requirements in the event that such affiliate of JLL Partners ownership falls to or below 50%.

Our directors and officers who are affiliated with JLL Partners do not have any obligation to report corporate opportunities to us.

Because some individuals may serve as our directors or officers and as directors, officers, partners, members, managers, or employees of JLL Partners or its affiliates or investment funds and because such affiliates or investment funds may engage in similar lines of business to those in which we engage, our amended and restated certificate of incorporation allocates corporate opportunities between us and JLL Partners and its affiliates and investment funds. Specifically, for so long as JLL Partners and its affiliates and investment funds own at least 15% of our shares of common stock, none of JLL Partners, nor any of its affiliates or investment funds, or their respective directors, officers, partners, members, managers, or employees has any duty to refrain from engaging directly or indirectly in the same or similar business activities or lines of business as do we. In addition, if any of them acquires knowledge of a potential transaction that may be a corporate opportunity for the Company and for JLL Partners or its affiliates or investment funds, subject to certain exceptions, we will not have any expectancy in such corporate opportunity, and they will not have any obligation to communicate such opportunity to us.

Item 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

Table of Contents**Item 2. PROPERTIES**

We own facilities in two strategic locations in Florida and North Carolina both of which are capable of producing our fully customizable product lines:

In North Venice, Florida, we own a 363,000 square foot facility that contains our corporate headquarters and main manufacturing plant. We also own an adjacent 80,000 square foot facility used for glass tempering and laminating, a 42,000 square foot facility for producing Architectural System products and simulated wood-finished products, and a 3,590 square foot facility used for employee and customer training.

In Salisbury, North Carolina, we own a 393,000 square foot manufacturing facility including glass tempering and laminating capabilities. It provides easy distribution access to the Mid-Atlantic and the developing impact-resistant market along the Eastern seaboard and Gulf coasts. In addition, we own a 225,000 square foot facility in Lexington, North Carolina which was vacant and being marketed for sale as a result of the completion of our move to the larger Salisbury facility. However, in December 2007 we reclassified the real estate as held and used when we made the decision to utilize the facility in order to produce a special-order product to be used in large-scale commercial projects and began depreciating the assets that comprise the Lexington real estate as appropriate.

We lease four properties in North Venice, Florida. The leases for the fleet maintenance building, glass plant line maintenance building, fleet parking lot, and facility maintenance/glass hub in North Venice, Florida expire in September 2008, November 2008, September 2013 and December 2010, respectively. Each of the leases provides for a fixed annual rent. The leases require us to pay taxes, insurance and common area maintenance expenses associated with the properties.

Our principal manufacturing plants and distribution facilities are listed below.

| Facility Location | Address | General Character | Leased or Owned |
|---------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| North Venice, Florida | 1070 Technology Drive | Manufacturing plant and distribution center | Own |
| North Venice, Florida | 3419 Technology Drive | Manufacturing and finishing plant | Own |
| North Venice, Florida | 3429 Technology Drive | Glass tempering and laminating plant | Own |
| North Venice, Florida | 3439 Technology Drive Units 1 and 2 | PGT-University training facility | Own |
| North Venice, Florida | 3439 Technology Drive Units 1 and 2 | Glass plant line maintenance | Lease |
| North Venice, Florida | Units 10 and 11 | Facility maintenance | Lease |
| North Venice, Florida | 3430 Technology Drive | Fleet maintenance bldg | Lease |
| North Venice, Florida | 1044 Endeavor Court | Fleet parking lot | Lease |
| North Venice, Florida | Precision Drive | Fleet parking lot | Lease |
| Salisbury, North Carolina | 2121 Heilig Road | Manufacturing plant and distribution center | Own |
| Lexington, North Carolina | 210 Walser Road | Manufacturing plant and distribution center | Own |

Item 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are involved in various claims and lawsuits incidental to the conduct of our business in the ordinary course. We carry insurance coverage in such amounts in excess of our self-insured retention as we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances and that may or may not cover any or all of our liabilities in respect of claims and lawsuits. We do not believe that the ultimate resolution of these matters will have a material adverse impact on our financial position, cash flows or operating results.

Table of Contents**Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

None.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND SIGNIFICANT EMPLOYEES OF THE REGISTRANT

| Name | Age | Position |
|---------------------|------------|--|
| Rodney Hershberger | 51 | President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director |
| Jeffrey T. Jackson | 42 | Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer |
| Mario Ferrucci III | 44 | Vice President Corporate Counsel and Secretary |
| Deborah L. Lapinska | 46 | Vice President WinGuard Product Stream |
| B. Wayne Varnadore | 43 | Vice President Architectural Sytems Product Stream |
| C. Douglas Cross | 52 | Vice President Vinyl Product Stream |

Rodney Hershberger, President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director. Mr. Hershberger, a co-founder of PGT Industries, Inc., has served the Company for 25 years. Mr. Hershberger was named President and Director in 2004 and became our Chief Executive Officer in March 2005. Mr. Hershberger also became President of PGT Industries, Inc. in 2004 and was named Chief Executive Officer of PGT Industries, Inc. in 2005. In 2003 Mr. Hershberger became executive vice president and chief operating officer and oversaw the Company's Florida and North Carolina operations, sales, marketing, and engineering groups. Previously, Mr. Hershberger led the manufacturing, transportation, and logistics operations in Florida and served as vice president of customer service.

Jeffrey T. Jackson, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. Mr. Jackson joined the Company as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer in November 2005, and his current responsibilities include all aspects of financial reporting and accounting, internal controls, cash management, supply chain, information technology and the business planning process. Before joining the Company, Mr. Jackson spent two years as Vice President, Corporate Controller for The Hershey Company. From 1999 to 2004 Mr. Jackson was Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer for Mrs. Smith's Bakeries, LLC, a division of Flowers Foods, Inc. Mr. Jackson has over sixteen years of increasing responsibility in various executive management roles with various companies, including Division Chief Financial Officer, Vice President Corporate Controller, and Senior Vice President of Operations. Mr. Jackson holds a B.B.A. from the University of West Georgia and is a Certified Public Accountant in the State of Georgia and the State of California.

Mario Ferrucci III, Vice President Corporate Counsel and Secretary. Mr. Ferrucci joined the Company in April 2006 as Vice President and Corporate Counsel. Mr. Ferrucci is responsible for the Company's legal affairs and field service. From 2001 to 2006, Mr. Ferrucci practiced law with the law firm of Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP.

Deborah L. LaPinska, Vice President WinGuard Product Stream. Ms. LaPinska joined the Company in 1991. Ms. LaPinska is responsible for customer service, sales, and marketing, as well as incorporating new tools and resources to improve order processing cycle times and sales forecasting. Before she was appointed Vice President in 2003, Ms. LaPinska held the position of Director, National and International Sales. Ms. LaPinska holds a B.A. in business management from Eckerd College.

B. Wayne Varnadore, Vice President Architectural Systems Product Stream. Mr. Varnadore joined the Company in 1993 as a Vice President. Mr. Varnadore is responsible for the Architectural Systems line of products. Mr. Varnadore holds a B.S. in finance from the University of Florida and an M.B.A. from the University of South Florida.

C. Douglas Cross, Vice President Vinyl Product Stream. Mr. Cross joined PGT in March 2007 as a Vice President. He oversees PGT's vinyl product line. Located in the N.C. facility, he has over 25 years of manufacturing and leadership experience. Mr. Cross earned a B.S. in Commerce, from the University of Virginia and attended the Young Executives Institute, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our Common Stock has been traded on the NASDAQ Global Market[®] under the symbol PGTI since June 28, 2006. On February 29, 2008, the closing price of our Common Stock as reported on the NASDAQ Global Market was \$3.40. The approximate number of stockholders of record of our Common Stock on that date was 100, although we believe that the number of beneficial owners of our Common Stock is substantially greater.

The table below sets forth the price range of our Common Stock during the periods indicated.

| | High | Low |
|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| 2007 | | |
| 1st Quarter | \$ 13.42 | \$ 11.00 |
| 2 nd Quarter | \$ 13.01 | \$ 10.20 |
| 3 rd Quarter | \$ 12.41 | \$ 7.86 |
| 4 th Quarter | \$ 8.71 | \$ 4.69 |
| 2006 | | |
| 2 nd Quarter | \$ 16.42 | \$ 13.89 |
| 3 rd Quarter | \$ 18.84 | \$ 12.60 |
| 4 th Quarter | \$ 15.16 | \$ 10.60 |

Dividends

We have not paid regular dividends in the past. Any future determination relating to dividend policy will be made at the discretion of our board of directors. The terms of our senior secured credit facility governing our notes currently restrict our ability to pay dividends.

Although we have not paid regular dividends in the past, we did pay a special cash dividend of \$83.5 million, or \$5.30 per share, to stockholders in connection with our February 2006 refinancing. We also paid a special cash dividend of \$20.0 million, or \$1.27 per share, to stockholders in September 2005.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

During the year ended December 29, 2007, we issued an aggregate of 468,422 shares of our common stock to certain employees upon the exercise of options associated with the Rollover Stock Option Agreement included as Exhibit 10.18 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365. We received aggregate proceeds of approximately \$0.7 million as a result of the exercise of these options. The Company relied on the exemption from registration provided by Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on, among other things, representations and warranties obtained from the holders of such options.

During the year ended December 29, 2007, we issued an aggregate of 141,415 shares of our common stock to certain employees upon the exercise of options awarded under our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan. We received aggregate proceeds of \$1.2 million as a result of the exercise of these options. The Company relied on the exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933 in reliance on Rule 701 thereunder as transactions pursuant to compensatory benefit plans and contracts relating to compensation as provided under Rule 701.

All of the above option grants were made prior to our initial public offering. Proceeds from the foregoing transactions were used for general working capital purposes. None of the foregoing transactions involved any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering.

Table of Contents**Performance Graph**

The following graphs compare the percentage change in PGT, Inc.'s cumulative total stockholder return on its Common Stock with the cumulative total stockholder return of the Standard & Poor's Building Products Index and the NASDAQ Composite Index over the period from June 27, 2006 (the date we became a public company) to December 29, 2007.

COMPARISON OF 18 MONTH CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN***AMONG PGT, INC., THE NASDAQ COMPOSITE INDEX,****AND THE S&P BUILDING PRODUCTS INDEX**

| | 6/27/2006 | 6/06 | 7/06 | 8/06 | 9/06 | 10/06 | 11/06 | 12/06 |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| PGT, Inc. | 100.00 | 112.86 | 112.50 | 105.36 | 100.43 | 105.79 | 81.79 | 90.36 |
| S&P Building Products | 100.00 | 102.51 | 92.08 | 96.44 | 96.65 | 99.28 | 101.96 | 105.41 |
| NASDAQ Composite | 100.00 | 103.42 | 99.58 | 103.98 | 107.53 | 112.69 | 115.79 | 115.00 |
| | | | 1/07 | 2/07 | 3/07 | 4/07 | 5/07 | 6/07 |
| PGT, Inc. | | | 89.07 | 92.29 | 85.71 | 73.57 | 83.36 | 78.07 |
| S&P Building Products | | | 113.15 | 111.98 | 106.85 | 108.36 | 119.04 | 114.67 |
| NASDAQ Composite | | | 117.32 | 115.04 | 115.30 | 120.23 | 124.01 | 123.95 |
| | | | 7/07 | 8/07 | 9/07 | 10/07 | 11/07 | 12/07 |
| PGT, Inc. | | | 73.50 | 74.43 | 56.64 | 57.07 | 39.43 | 34.50 |
| S&P Building Products | | | 107.38 | 103.18 | 95.04 | 99.07 | 94.51 | 103.78 |
| NASDAQ Composite | | | 121.24 | 123.62 | 128.63 | 136.13 | 126.70 | 126.28 |

* \$100 invested on 06/27/2006 in stock or in index-including reinvestment of dividends for 18 months ending December 29, 2007.

Table of Contents

Item 6. *SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA*

The following table sets forth selected historical consolidated financial information and other data as of and for the periods indicated. The selected historical financial data as of December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, and for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included as Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K, which have been audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm. The selected historical financial data as of December 31, 2005 and for the period January 30, 2004 to January 1, 2005 has been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included as Item 8 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006, not included herein, which was audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm. The selected historical financial data for the period December 28, 2003 to January 29, 2004 has been derived from PGT Holding Company's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto included as Item 8 of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 30, 2006, not included herein, which was audited by Ernst & Young LLP, independent registered public accounting firm. Throughout this report, we refer to PGT Holding Company as our Predecessor. The selected historical financial data as of January 1, 2005 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto not included in this report. The selected historical financial data as of January 29, 2004 and December 27, 2003 and for the year ended December 27, 2003 has been derived from our Predecessor's audited consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto not included in this report.

On January 29, 2004, we were acquired by an affiliate of JLL Partners in a purchase business combination. This acquisition was accounted for using the purchase method of accounting in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. The post-acquisition periods of our Company have been impacted by the application of purchase accounting resulting in incremental, non-cash depreciation expense and non-cash amortization of intangible assets. Accordingly, the results of operations for the periods of our Company are not comparable to the results of operations for the Predecessor periods.

All information included in the following tables should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in Item 7 of this annual report on Form 10-K and with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included as Item 8 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

| Consolidated Selected Financial Data (in thousands except per share data) | Company | | | Predecessor | | |
|--|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|--|------------------------------------|
| | Year Ended December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | Year Ended December 31, 2005 | January 30, 2004 to January 1, 2005 | December 28, 2003 to January 29, 2004 | Year Ended December 27, 2003 |
| Net sales | \$ 278,394 | \$ 371,598 | \$ 332,813 | \$ 237,350 | \$ 19,044 | \$ 222,594 |
| Cost of sales | 187,389 | 229,867 | 209,475 | 152,316 | 13,997 | 135,285 |
| Gross margin | 91,005 | 141,731 | 123,338 | 85,034 | 5,047 | 87,309 |
| Restructuring charge | 1,696 | | | | | |
| Impairment charges | 826 | 1,151 | | | | |
| Stock compensation expense (1) | | 26,898 | 7,146 | | | |
| Write-off of trademark | | | 7,200 | | | |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses (2) | 75,308 | 86,219 | 83,634 | 63,494 | 6,024 | 55,655 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 13,175 | 27,463 | 25,358 | 21,540 | (977) | 31,654 |
| Interest expense | 11,404 | 28,509 | 13,871 | 9,893 | 518 | 7,292 |
| Other (income) expense, net (3) | 692 | (178) | (286) | 124 | | |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 1,079 | (868) | 11,773 | 11,523 | (1,495) | 24,362 |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | 456 | 101 | 3,910 | 4,531 | (912) | 9,397 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 623 | \$ (969) | \$ 7,863 | \$ 6,992 | \$ (583) | \$ 14,965 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | | | |
| Basic (4)(6) | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.44 | N/A | N/A |
| Diluted (4)(6) | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.41 | N/A | N/A |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | | | | |
| Basic (5)(6) | 27,294 | 21,204 | 15,723 | 15,720 | N/A | N/A |
| Diluted (5)(6) | 28,338 | 21,204 | 17,299 | 17,221 | N/A | N/A |
| Other financial data: | | | | | | |
| Depreciation | \$ 10,418 | \$ 9,871 | \$ 7,503 | \$ 5,221 | \$ 484 | \$ 5,075 |
| Amortization | 5,570 | 5,742 | 8,020 | 9,289 | 44 | 458 |
| | As Of December 29, 2007 | As Of December 30, 2006 | As Of December 31, 2005 | As Of January 1, 2005 | As Of January 29, 2004 | As Of December 27, 2003 |
| Balance Sheet data: | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 19,479 | \$ 36,981 | \$ 3,270 | \$ 2,525 | \$ 12,191 | \$ 8,536 |
| Total assets | 407,865 | 442,794 | 425,553 | 409,936 | 157,084 | 154,505 |
| Total debt, including current portion | 130,000 | 165,488 | 183,525 | 168,375 | 61,683 | 61,641 |
| Shareholders' equity | 210,472 | 205,206 | 156,571 | 166,107 | 68,187 | 68,731 |

- (1) Represents compensation expense paid to stock option holders (including applicable payroll taxes) in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the dividends paid to shareholders in September 2005 and February 2006 of \$7.1 million, including expenses, and \$26.9 million, respectively. These amounts include amounts paid to stock option holders whose other compensation is a component of cost of sales of \$1.3 million and \$5.1 million, respectively.
- (2) Prior to 2007, includes management fees paid to our majority stockholder. The management services agreement pursuant to which these fees were paid terminated upon consummation of the Initial Public Offering in June 2006.
- (3) Represents ineffective portion of derivative financial instruments.
- (4) Basic net income per share represents net income divided by weighted average common shares outstanding, and diluted net income per share represents net income divided by weighted average common and common equivalent shares outstanding. Due to the significant change in our capital structure on January 29, 2004, the Predecessor amount has not been presented because it is not considered comparable to our Company's amount.

Table of Contents

- (5) Weighted average shares outstanding basic represents the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding and is determined by measuring (a) the shares outstanding during each portion of the respective reporting period that shares of common stock have been outstanding relative to (b) the total amount of time in such reporting period. Weighted average shares outstanding diluted represents the basic weighted average shares outstanding, adjusted to include the number of additional shares of common stock that would have been outstanding if the dilutive shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of our stock options had been issued and the effect of restricted share grants.
- (6) Reflects the impact of the 662.07889-for-1 stock split as discussed in Note 15 to the consolidated financial statements included as Item 8 of this annual report.

Item 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS
Non-GAAP Financial Measures Items Affecting Comparability

Below is a presentation of EBITDA, a non-GAAP measure, which we believe is useful information for investors (in thousands):

| | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Net income (loss) | \$ 623 | \$ (969) | \$ 7,863 |
| Interest expense | 11,404 | 28,509 | 13,871 |
| Income tax expense | 456 | 101 | 3,910 |
| Depreciation | 10,418 | 9,871 | 7,503 |
| Amortization | 5,570 | 5,742 | 8,020 |
| EBITDA (1)(2) | \$ 28,471 | \$ 43,254 | \$ 41,167 |

(1) Includes the impact of the following expenses:

| | | | |
|--|----------|--------|-------|
| Restructuring charge (a) | \$ 2,375 | \$ | \$ |
| Impairment of property held for sale (b) | 826 | 1,151 | |
| Management fees (c) | | 1,434 | 1,840 |
| Write-off of NatureScape trademark (d) | | | 7,200 |
| Stock compensation (e) | | 26,898 | 7,146 |
| NatureScape exit costs (f) | | | 629 |
| Refinancing fees (g) | | | 404 |

- (a) Represents charge related to restructuring actions taken in the fourth quarter of 2007 as announced on October 25, 2007 of which \$0.7 million is classified within cost of goods sold. This charge related primarily to employee separation costs.
- (b) Represents a write-down of the value of the Lexington, North Carolina property which had been classified as an asset held for sale due to the relocation of our plant to Salisbury, North Carolina and related exit costs. These expenses are included in selling, general and administrative expenses. In December 2007, we reclassified the real estate as held and used when we made the decision to utilize the facility in order to produce a special-order product to be used in large-scale commercial projects in this facility and began depreciating the assets that comprise the Lexington real estate, the effect of which was not significant to results of operations in 2007.
- (c) Represents management fees paid to our majority stockholder. The management services agreement pursuant to which these fees were paid was terminated upon consummation of the Initial Public Offering in June 2006.
- (d) Represents a write-down of our NatureScape trademark in connection with the sale of our NatureScape business.
- (e) Represents compensation expense related to amounts paid to option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the payment of dividends to shareholders in September 2005 and February 2006.

Table of Contents

- (f) Represents exit costs related to the sale of our NatureScape business, such as the write-off of raw materials and equipment.
 - (g) Represents legal fees related to refinancing our senior secured credit facility in September 2005.
- (2) EBITDA is defined as net income plus interest expense (net of interest income), income taxes, depreciation, and amortization. EBITDA is a measure commonly used in the window and door industry, and we present EBITDA to enhance your understanding of our operating performance. We use EBITDA as one criterion for evaluating our performance relative to that of our peers. We believe that EBITDA is an operating performance measure that provides investors and analysts with a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles, and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies. Further, we believe that EBITDA is a useful measure because it improves comparability of predecessor and successor results of operations, since purchase accounting renders depreciation and amortization non-comparable between predecessor and successor periods. While we believe EBITDA is a useful measure for investors, it is not a measurement presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP. You should not consider EBITDA in isolation or as a substitute for net income, cash flows from operations, or any other items calculated in accordance with GAAP. In addition, EBITDA has inherent material limitations as a performance measure. It does not include interest expense and, because we have borrowed money, interest expense is a necessary element of our costs. In addition, EBITDA does not include depreciation and amortization expense. Because we have capital and intangible assets, depreciation and amortization expense is a necessary element of our costs. Moreover, EBITDA does not include taxes, and payment of taxes is a necessary element of our operations. Accordingly, since EBITDA excludes these items, it has material limitations as a performance measure. To compensate for the limitations of EBITDA, the Company's management separately monitors capital expenditures, which impact depreciation expense, as well as amortization expense, interest expense, and income tax expense. Because not all companies use identical calculations, our presentation of EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

Overview

We are the leading U.S. manufacturer and supplier of residential impact-resistant windows and doors and pioneered the U.S. impact-resistant window and door industry in the aftermath of Hurricane Andrew in 1992. Our impact-resistant products, which are marketed under the WinGuard brand name, combine heavy-duty aluminum or vinyl frames with laminated glass to provide protection from hurricane-force winds and wind-borne debris by maintaining their structural integrity and preventing penetration by impacting objects. Impact-resistant windows and doors satisfy increasingly stringent building codes in hurricane-prone coastal states and provide an attractive alternative to shutters and other active forms of hurricane protection that require installation and removal before and after each storm. Our current market share in Florida, which is the largest U.S. impact-resistant window and door market, is significantly greater than that of any of our competitors. In addition to our core WinGuard branded product line, we offer a complete range of premium, made-to-order and fully customizable aluminum and vinyl windows and doors primarily targeting the non-impact-resistant market. We manufacture these products in a wide variety of styles, including single hung, horizontal roller, casement, and sliding glass doors, and we also manufacture sliding panels used for enclosing screened-in porches. Our products are sold to both the residential new construction and repair and remodeling end markets.

Our future results of operations will be affected by the following factors, some of which are beyond our control:

Residential new construction. Our business is driven in part by residential new construction activity. Beginning in the second half of 2006 we saw a significant slowdown in the Florida housing market. This slowdown continued during 2007. Like many building material suppliers in the industry, we have been and will continue to be faced with a challenging operating environment due to this decline in the housing market. Specifically, new single family housing permits in Florida decreased by 49% in 2007 compared to the prior year. We still believe there are several meaningful trends such as rising

Table of Contents

immigration rates, growing prevalence of second homes, the aging demographics of the population, relatively low interest rates and the aging of the housing stock, that indicate housing demand will stabilize. Based on these trends and certain other factors, and although the pullback in the housing industry is longer-term than we had expected, we believe that the housing industry will eventually rebound.

Home repair and remodeling expenditures. The repair and remodeling component of window and door demand tends to be less cyclical than residential new construction and partially insulates overall window and door sales from the impact of residential new construction cycles. However, recent declines in homeowner equity due to the downturn in real estate and the tightening of credit availability could negatively impact the home repair and remodeling market.

Adoption and Enforcement of Building Codes. In addition to coastal states that already have adopted building codes requiring wind-borne debris protection, we expect additional states to adopt and enforce similar building codes, which will further expand the market opportunity for our WinGuard branded line of impact-resistant products. The speed with which new states adopt and enforce these building codes will impact our growth opportunities in new geographical markets.

Sale of NatureScape. On February 20, 2006, we sold our NatureScape product line, which constituted approximately \$18.8 million of sales in 2005.

Cost of materials. The prices of our primary raw materials, including aluminum, laminate and glass, are subject to volatility and affect our results of operations when prices rapidly rise or fall within a relatively short period of time. From time to time, we use hedging instruments to manage the market risk of our aluminum costs. We currently have forward contracts for the purchase of aluminum at an average price of \$1.22 per pound that cover approximately 40% to 45% of our anticipated needs through August 2008. The aluminum hedges had a fair value of \$0.7 million at December 29, 2007, classified within accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Current Operating Conditions and Outlook

Fiscal 2006 began with robust housing starts. Our infrastructure, capital-spend and staffing levels were geared to service this high level of housing activity. We achieved a record sales level in 2006 following a strong first three quarters. However, we experienced a slow-down in sales in the last quarter of 2006 as macroeconomic factors turned strongly against our industry during the second half of the year. By the fourth quarter 2006, housing starts for our markets decreased 48% compared to the prior year fourth quarter. This slowdown continued during 2007 as new single family housing permits in Florida decreased by 49% compared to the prior year. In addition, fuel costs continued to increase during 2007 and market prices for aluminum increased on average 10% in 2006. In response to the deterioration in the housing market and increasing costs, we took actions to conserve capital and adjust our operating cost structure to more closely align with current demand. In October 2007, we executed a restructuring plan as a result of an in-depth analysis of the Company's target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in indirect workforce (overhead) of approximately 17%, which equates to approximately 8% of the Company's then overall employee population. In addition, we decreased our capital spending in 2007. On March 4, 2008, we announced a further restructuring of the Company as a result of continued analysis of the Company's target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in the Company's workforce of approximately 17%. However, we also view this market downturn as an opportunity to gain market share from our competitors. For instance, we have introduced new incentive programs offered to both our distributors and our end users. We also accelerated certain new product introductions and product line expansions to broaden our product offering.

While the homebuilding industry is currently in a down cycle, we still believe that the long-term outlook for the housing industry is positive due to growth in the underlying demographics. At this point, it is unclear if housing activity has hit bottom. Despite the unfavorable operating conditions, we still believe that, in the long-term, we can grow organically by gaining market share to outperform our underlying markets. However, we think difficult market conditions affecting our business will continue to have a significant negative effect on our operating results and year-over-year comparisons.

Table of Contents

Other Developments

Initial Public Offering

On June 27, 2006, our Company completed an initial public offering (IPO) of 8,823,529 shares of our common stock at a price of \$14.00 per share. Our Company's common stock began trading on The Nasdaq Global Market under the symbol PGTI on June 28, 2006. After underwriting discounts of approximately \$8.6 million and estimated transaction costs of approximately \$2.5 million, net proceeds received by the Company on July 3, 2006, were \$112.3 million. Our Company used net IPO proceeds, together with cash on hand, to repay \$137.0 million of borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities.

Our Company granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to an additional 1,323,529 shares of common stock at the IPO price, which the underwriters exercised in full on July 27, 2006. After underwriting discounts of approximately \$1.3 million, aggregate net proceeds received by the Company on August 1, 2006 were \$17.2 million of which \$17.0 million were used to repay a portion of our outstanding debt.

Stock Split

On June 5, 2006, our board of directors and our stockholders approved a 662.07889-for-1 stock split of our common stock and approved increasing the number of shares of common stock that the Company is authorized to issue to 200.0 million.

After the stock split, effective June 6, 2006, each holder of record held 662.07889 shares of common stock for every 1 share held immediately prior to the effective date. As a result of the stock split, the board of directors also exercised its discretion under the anti-dilution provisions of our Company's 2004 Stock Incentive Plan to adjust the number of shares underlying stock options and the related exercise prices to reflect the change in the per share value and outstanding shares on the date of the stock split. The effect of fractional shares is not material.

Following the effective date of the stock split, the par value of the common stock remained at \$0.01 per share. As a result, we have increased the common stock in our consolidated balance sheets and statements of shareholders' equity included herein on a retroactive basis for all of our Company's periods presented, with a corresponding decrease to additional paid-in capital. All share and per share amounts and related disclosures have also been retroactively adjusted for all of our Company's periods presented to reflect the 662.07889-for-1 stock split.

Restructurings

On October 25, 2007, we announced a restructuring of the Company as a result of an in-depth analysis of the Company's target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in indirect workforce (overhead) of approximately 17%, which equated to approximately 8% of the Company's then overall employee population, and included employees at both its Venice, Florida and Salisbury, North Carolina locations. The Company believes this restructuring is essential to streamline operations as well as improve processes to drive new product development and sales. As a result of the restructuring, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$2.4 million in the fourth quarter of 2007 of which \$0.7 million is classified within cost of goods sold in the accompanying statement of operations for the year ended December 29, 2007. The charge related primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$2.4 million charge, \$1.5 million of cash had been disbursed as of December 29, 2007. The remaining \$0.9 million is classified within accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 29, 2007 and is expected to be disbursed in 2008.

On March 4, 2008, we announced a further restructuring of the Company as a result of continued analysis of the Company's target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in the Company's workforce of approximately 17% and included employees at both its Venice, Florida and Salisbury, North Carolina locations. As a result of the restructuring, the Company expects to record

Table of Contents

an estimated restructuring charge of approximately \$1.9 million in the first quarter of 2008. No amounts related to this restructuring have been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 29, 2007.

Repricing

On March 6, 2008, the board of directors of the Company approved, subject to the approval of the Company's stockholders, the cancellation and termination of the option agreements (collectively, the "Current Option Agreements") of certain employees of the Company, including Jeffrey T. Jackson, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company, and Mario Ferrucci III, Vice President, Corporate Counsel, and Secretary of the Company (collectively, the "Designated Employees"), and the grant of replacement options under the Company's 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, in each case to be entered into by the Company and each such Designated Employee pursuant to a PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Replacement Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (the "Replacement Option Agreement").

The board of directors of the Company determined that, as a result of economic conditions that have adversely affected the Company and the industry in which the Company competes, the options held by the Designated Employees had exercise prices that were significantly above the current market price of the Company's common stock and that the grants of replacement options would help the Company to retain and provide additional incentive to such Designated Employees and align their interests with those of the Company's stockholders.

Pursuant to the terms of the Replacement Option Agreement executed on March 6, 2008, by each of the Designated Employees, the grant of replacement options is conditioned upon the approval of the Company's stockholders at a duly called annual or special meeting. If the grant of replacement options and the cancellation and termination of the Current Option Agreements fail to be approved by the Company's stockholders on or prior to September 30, 2008, the Replacement Option Agreements will automatically expire without further action of the parties and become null and void, and the Current Option Agreements will be reinstated and continue in full force and effect.

The replacement options have an exercise price of \$3.09 per share, which is the closing price on the NASDAQ Global Market of the Company's common stock on March 5, 2008, the day before the date on which the board of directors of the Company granted the replacement options and the Designated Employees executed the Replacement Option Agreements. The replacement options are exercisable with respect to one third of the shares (rounded to the nearest whole share) on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of March 6, 2008. The replacement options expire on March 6, 2015.

Mr. Jackson was granted an option to purchase an aggregate of 152,675 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share. In connection therewith, Mr. Jackson's option to purchase 115,863 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.84 per share and his option to purchase 36,812 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.77 per share were cancelled and terminated, subject to approval of the Company's stockholders.

Mr. Ferrucci was granted an option to purchase an aggregate of 53,984 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share. In connection therewith, Mr. Ferrucci's option to purchase 36,414 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$14.00 per share and his option to purchase 17,570 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.77 per share were cancelled and terminated, subject to approval of the Company's stockholders.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS****Analysis of Selected Items from our Consolidated Statements of Operations**

| | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 | Percent Change Increase / (Decrease) | |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---|-----------|
| | | | | 2007-2006 | 2006-2005 |
| Net sales | \$ 278,394 | \$ 371,598 | \$ 332,813 | (25.1)% | 11.7% |
| Cost of sales | 187,389 | 229,867 | 209,475 | (18.5)% | 9.7% |
| Gross margin | 91,005 | 141,731 | 123,338 | (35.8)% | 14.9% |
| Gross margin as a percentage of sales | 32.7% | 38.1% | 37.1% | | |
| Restructuring charge | 1,696 | | | | |
| Impairment charges | 826 | 1,151 | | | |
| Stock compensation expense | | 26,898 | 7,146 | | |
| Write-off of trademark | | | 7,200 | | |
| SG&A expenses | 75,308 | 86,219 | 83,634 | (12.7)% | 3.1% |
| SG&A expenses as a percentage of sales | 27.1% | 23.2% | 25.1% | | |
| Income from operations | 13,175 | 27,463 | 25,358 | (52.0)% | 8.3% |
| Income from operations as a percentage of sales | 4.7% | 7.4% | 7.6% | | |
| Interest expense, net | 11,404 | 28,509 | 13,871 | | |
| Other expenses (income), net | 692 | (178) | (286) | | |
| Income tax expense | 456 | 101 | 3,910 | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 623 | \$ (969) | \$ 7,863 | | |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Diluted | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.45 | | |

2007 Compared with 2006**Overview**

Our 2007 operating results were negatively affected by the continuation of the downturn in the housing industry in Florida. This decline began in the second half of 2006 and continued and intensified throughout all of 2007. Our 2006 operating results were negatively impacted by \$26.9 million of stock compensation expense resulting from amounts payable to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the dividend paid to shareholders in February 2006.

Net sales

Net sales for 2007 were \$278.4 million, a \$93.2 million, or 25.1%, decrease in sales from \$371.6 million in the prior year.

The following table shows net sales classified by major product category (in millions):

| Product category: | Year Ended | | Sales | % of sales | % change |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------|------------|----------|
| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 | | | |
| | Sales | % of sales | Sales | % of sales | % change |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|----------|--------|----------|--------|---------|
| WinGuard Windows and Doors | \$ 189.7 | 68.1% | \$ 241.1 | 64.9% | (21.3)% |
| Other Window and Door Products | 88.7 | 31.9% | 130.5 | 35.1% | (32.0)% |
| Total net sales | \$ 278.4 | 100.0% | \$ 371.6 | 100.0% | (25.1)% |

- 23 -

Table of Contents

Net sales of WinGuard Windows and Doors were \$189.7 million in 2007, a decrease of \$51.4 million, or 21.3%, from \$241.1 million in net sales for the prior year. The decrease was mainly due to the decline in new home construction. Demand for WinGuard branded products is driven by, among other things, increased enforcement of strict building codes mandating the use of impact-resistant products, increased consumer and homebuilder awareness of the advantages provided by impact-resistant windows and doors over active forms of hurricane protection, and our successful marketing efforts.

Net sales of Other Window and Door Products were \$88.7 million in 2007, a decrease of \$41.8 million, or 32.0%, from \$130.5 million for the prior year. The decrease was mainly due to the decline in new home construction. New housing demand has historically impacted sales of our Other Window and Door Products more than our WinGuard Window and Door Products.

As of December 29, 2007 backlog was \$13.1 million compared to \$18.4 million at December 30, 2006. Our backlog consists of orders that we have received from customers that have not yet shipped, and we expect that substantially all of our current backlog will be recognized as sales during the next three months. The decrease in our backlog resulted from improvements in our manufacturing lead-times and a softening of the housing market, which has had a negative impact on order intake. We expect this trend will continue and have a negative effect on future period to period comparisons.

Gross margin

Gross margin was \$91.0 million in 2007, a decrease of \$50.7 million, or 35.8%, from \$141.7 million in the prior year. The gross margin percentage was 32.7% in 2007 compared to 38.1% in the prior year. This decrease was largely due to lower sales volumes of all of our products, but most significantly of our WinGuard branded windows and doors, sales of which decreased 21.3% compared to the prior year. Cost of goods sold in 2007 also includes a \$0.7 million charge related to the restructuring actions taken in the fourth quarter.

Restructuring Charge

On October 25, 2007, we announced a restructuring of the Company as a result of an in-depth analysis of the Company's target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. As a result of the restructuring, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$2.4 million in the fourth quarter of 2007 of which \$0.7 million is classified within cost of goods sold. The charge related primarily to employee separation costs.

Impairment Charges

We own a 225,000 square foot facility in Lexington, North Carolina which was vacant and being marketed for sale as a result of the completion of our move to the larger Salisbury facility. In 2007 and 2006, we recorded impairment charges of \$0.8 million and \$1.2 million, respectively, to reduce the carrying value of the assets comprising the Lexington facility to its then estimated fair market value. In December 2007, we reclassified the real estate as held and used when we made the decision to utilize the facility to produce a special-order product to be used in large-scale commercial projects and resumed depreciation of the assets that comprise the Lexington real estate.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$75.3 million, a decrease of \$10.9 million, or 12.7% from \$86.2 million in the prior year. This decrease was mainly due to decreases of \$4.3 million in commissions, bonuses and other personnel related costs, \$3.7 million in distribution costs as the result of the lower volume, \$1.6 million in depreciation as assets from the 2004 acquisition become fully depreciated, \$1.4 million in management fees as those fees were eliminated with the 2006 IPO and a \$0.5 million warranty accrual adjustment related to a refinement of our warranty calculation to better reflect the decline in sales volumes. These decreases were partially offset by a \$1.4 million increase in public company costs, including our compliance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (SOX) and a \$1.2 million increase in stock-based compensation expense. The remaining overall decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses is volume

Table of Contents

related as the general level of selling, general and administrative expenses have declined with sales. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 27.1% in 2007 compared to 23.2% for the prior year. This increase was due to the fact that certain fixed expenses, such as support and administrative costs, did not decrease at a rate relative to the decrease in net sales.

Stock compensation expense

Stock compensation expense of \$26.9 million was recorded in 2006 relating to payments to option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the payment of a dividend to shareholders in February 2006 and September 2005, respectively.

Interest expense

Interest expense was \$11.4 million in 2007, a decrease of \$17.1 million from \$28.5 million in the prior year. In 2006, interest expense includes non-recurring charges of \$8.9 million and \$0.5 million related to termination penalties and the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs, respectively, in connection with prepayments of debt in the respective periods. In addition, there was an increase in our average debt levels to \$230.8 million for 2006 associated with our debt financing on February 14, 2006 as described under the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of this report. During 2007, we prepaid \$35.5 million of debt resulting in a lower average level of debt when compared to 2006 but which also resulted in the write-off of \$0.4 million of unamortized debt issuance costs.

Other expenses (income), net

There were other expenses of \$0.7 million in 2007 compared to other income of \$0.2 million in 2006. The amounts in both periods relate to the ineffective portions of interest and aluminum hedges.

Income tax expense

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was 42.3% and 11.6% for the years ended December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, respectively. The 11.6% effective tax rate resulted from a change in the recognition of state tax credits in North Carolina. These credits are now recognized in the year in which they are made available for deduction. Previously, we recognized these credits in the year in which they were generated. This change resulted in an unfavorable adjustment to our tax expense of \$422,000. Without this adjustment our tax rate would have been a benefit of 37.0% for 2006.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes*, on January 1, 2007. We did not recognize any material liability for unrecognized tax benefits in conjunction with our FIN 48 implementation and there were no changes to our unrecognized tax benefits during the current year. However, should we accrue for such liabilities when and if they arise in the future we will recognize interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of our income tax provision.

2006 Compared with 2005

Overview

Our 2006 operating results were primarily driven by strong sales growth largely resulting from increased demand for our WinGuard windows and doors and price increases across most of our product lines. Our operating results were negatively impacted by \$26.9 million of stock compensation expense resulting from amounts payable to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the dividend paid to shareholders in February 2006.

Net sales

Net sales for 2006 were \$371.6 million, a \$38.8 million, or 11.7%, increase over sales of \$332.8 million for the prior year.

Table of Contents

The following table shows net sales classified by major product category (in millions):

| Product category: | Year Ended | | Year Ended | | % change |
|--------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|----------|
| | December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 | December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 | |
| | Sales | % of sales | Sales | % of sales | |
| WinGuard Windows and Doors | \$ 241.1 | 64.9% | \$ 186.2 | 55.9% | 29.5% |
| Other Window and Door Products | 130.5 | 35.1% | 146.6 | 44.1% | (11.0)% |
| Total net sales | \$ 371.6 | 100.0% | \$ 332.8 | 100.0% | 11.7% |

Net sales of WinGuard Windows and Doors were \$241.1 million in 2006, an increase of \$54.9 million, or 29.5%, from \$186.2 million in net sales for the prior year. This growth was due to increased sales volume of our WinGuard branded products and the effect of a 9% price increase implemented during the first quarter of 2006. Demand for WinGuard branded products is driven by, among other things, increased enforcement of strict building codes mandating the use of impact-resistant products, increased consumer and homebuilder awareness of the advantages provided by impact-resistant windows and doors over active forms of hurricane protection, and our successful marketing efforts, including a television advertising campaign which began running in March of 2006.

Net sales of Other Window and Door Products were \$130.5 million in 2006, a decrease of \$16.1 million, or 11.0%, from \$146.6 million for the prior year. This decrease was primarily driven by a discontinuation of the NatureScape product line resulting in a reduction of net sales of \$17.1 million when compared to the prior year. We discontinued these products because they generated lower margins and had less attractive growth prospects as compared to our other product lines. The effect of this product line discontinuation was offset in part by growth in our Architectural Systems products and the net impact of year-over-year price increases.

As of December 30, 2006 backlog was \$18.4 million compared to \$57.5 million at December 31, 2005. Our backlog consists of orders that we have received from customers that have not yet shipped. The decrease in our backlog resulted from improvements in our manufacturing lead-times and a softening of the housing market, which has had a negative impact on order intake.

Gross margin

Gross margin was \$141.7 million in 2006, an increase of \$18.4 million, or 14.9%, from \$123.3 million in the prior year. The gross margin percentage was 38.1% in 2006 compared to 37.1% in the prior year. This growth was largely due to higher sales volume of our WinGuard branded windows and doors, which increased as a percentage of our total net sales to 64.9%, compared to 55.9% in the prior year, increased prices across most of our product lines and improved manufacturing efficiencies.

Impairment Charges

We own a 225,000 square foot facility in Lexington, North Carolina which was classified as held for sale in 2006. In 2006, we recorded impairment charges of \$1.2 million to reduce the carrying value of the assets comprising the Lexington facility to its estimated fair market value.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$86.2 million, an increase of \$2.6 million, or 3.1% from \$83.6 million in the prior year. This increase was mainly due to an increase of \$3.7 million in selling, marketing and distribution costs of which \$1.4 million related to increased targeted advertising. Administrative expenses include an increase of \$2.9 million for costs such as additional accounting, legal, insurance, compliance and other expenses to support our growth and the requirements of being a public company. Administrative expenses in

Table of Contents

2006 also included \$0.5 million of stock compensation expense related to our adoption of SFAS 123R. These increases in administrative expenses were offset by lower bad debt expense as a result of the improved aging profile of our accounts receivable and lower amortization of intangibles. As a percentage of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses decreased to 23.2% in 2006 compared to 25.1% for the prior year. This decrease was due to the fact that certain fixed expenses, such as support and administrative costs, grew at a slower rate relative to the increase in net sales.

Stock compensation expense

Stock compensation expense of \$26.9 million and \$7.1 million was recorded in 2006 and 2005, respectively, relating to payments to option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the payment of a dividend to shareholders in February 2006 and September 2005, respectively.

Write-off of trademark

In 2005, we wrote off our trademark in the amount of \$7.2 million related to our NatureScope business that we sold on February 20, 2006. No such write-off occurred in 2006.

Interest expense

Interest expense was \$28.5 million in 2006, an increase of \$14.6 million from \$13.9 million in the prior year. Interest expense includes non-recurring charges of \$8.9 million and \$0.5 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively, related to termination penalties and the write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs in connection with prepayments of debt in the respective periods. In addition, there was an increase in our average debt levels to \$230.8 million for 2006 associated with our debt financing on February 14, 2006 as described under the Liquidity and Capital Resources section of this report, as compared to \$173.5 million for the prior year, as well as higher LIBOR rates.

Other expenses (income), net

There was other income of \$0.2 million in 2006 compared to other income of \$0.3 million in 2005. The amounts in both periods relate to the ineffective portions interest and aluminum hedges.

Income tax expense

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was 11.6% and 33.2% for the years ended December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The 11.6% effective tax rate resulted from a change in the recognition of state tax credits in North Carolina. These credits are now recognized in the year in which they are made available for deduction. Previously, we recognized these credits in the year in which they were generated. This change resulted in an unfavorable adjustment to our tax expense of \$422,000 in 2006. Without this adjustment in 2006 and had we not recognized any benefit from state tax credits in 2005, our tax rates would have been a benefit of 37.0% for 2006 and an expense of 38.1% in 2005.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our principal source of liquidity is cash flow generated by operations, supplemented by borrowings under our credit facilities. This cash generating capability provides us with financial flexibility in meeting operating and investing needs. In addition, we completed our IPO in June 2006 and used the net proceeds, together with cash on hand, to repay a portion of our long term debt. Our primary capital requirements are to fund working capital needs, meet required debt payments, including debt service payments on our credit facilities and fund capital expenditures.

Consolidated Cash Flows

Operating activities. Cash flows provided by operating activities were \$24.8 million for 2007, compared to cash flows provided by operating activities of \$30.2 million for the prior year. This decrease was mainly due to lower operating profitability in 2007 than in 2006 after adjusting for the effect on 2006 by cash compensatory payments

Table of Contents

of \$26.9 million made to option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the payment of dividends to shareholders. Days sales outstanding (DSO), which we calculate as accounts receivable divided by recent average daily sales, was 37 days at December 29, 2007 compared to 46 days at December 30, 2006. However, this improvement in DSO was offset by the lower level of operating profitability in 2007. Cash flows provided by operating activities were \$30.2 million for 2006, compared to cash flows provided by operating activities of \$21.7 million for 2005. This increase was mainly due to improved operating profitability and to a lesser extent, lower working capital requirements in 2006. Operating cash flows were impacted by cash compensatory payments of \$26.9 million and \$7.1 million in 2006 and 2005, respectively, made to option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with the payment of dividends to shareholders in the respective periods. DSO was 46 days at December 30, 2006 compared to 50 days at December 31, 2005.

Investing activities. Cash flows used in investing activities were \$10.5 million for 2007, compared to \$26.6 million for the prior year. The decrease in cash flows used in investing activities was mainly due to the 2006 purchase of our 393,000 square foot facility in Salisbury, North Carolina plus related building improvements. We moved our main operations from Lexington, N.C. to our new facility in Salisbury, N.C. in 2006. Cash flows used in investing activities were \$26.6 million for 2006, compared to \$15.6 million for 2005. The increase in cash flows used in investing activities was mainly due to the 2006 purchase of the Salisbury, North Carolina facility described above.

Financing activities. Cash flows used in financing activities were \$31.8 million for 2007, compared to cash flows provided in financing activities of \$30.2 million for the prior year. In 2007, we made a total of \$35.5 million of debt payments including prepayments of \$20.0 million in February 2007, \$5.0 million in June 2007, \$4.5 million in July 2007 and \$6.0 million in September 2007. These financing cash uses were partially offset by proceeds from option exercises of \$1.9 million and the classification of \$1.8 million of related excess tax benefits within financing activities. In February 2006, we entered into a second amended and restated senior secured credit facility and a second lien term loan, and received \$320.0 million proceeds. The proceeds were used to refinance our Company's existing debt facility, pay a cash dividend to stockholders of \$83.5 million, make a cash compensatory payment of approximately \$26.9 million (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.5 million) to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with such dividend, and pay certain financing costs related to the amendment. In June 2006, we completed our IPO, and received net proceeds of \$129.5 million. We used the net proceeds from the IPO, including the underwriter overallocation, together with cash generated from operations to repay \$154.0 million of our long term debt, including full repayment of the second lien debt. In September 2005, we amended and restated our prior credit agreement with a bank. In connection with the amendment, our Company created a new tranche of term loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$190.0 million. The proceeds were used to refinance the existing Tranche A and B debt, fund a \$20.0 million dividend to our stockholders, make a cash payment of \$7.1 million to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with such dividend, and pay certain financing costs related to the amendment.

Capital Resources. On February 14, 2006, our Company entered into a second amended and restated \$235 million senior secured credit facility and a \$115 million second lien term loan due August 14, 2012, with a syndicate of banks. The senior secured credit facility is composed of a \$30 million revolving credit facility and, initially, a \$205 million first lien term loan.

The first lien term loan bears interest, at our option, at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 3.0% per annum or a base rate plus 2.0% per annum. The loans under the revolving credit facility bear interest initially, at our option (provided, that all swingline loans shall be base rate loans), at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 2.75% per annum or a base rate plus 1.75% per annum, and the margins above LIBOR and base rate may decline to 2.00% for LIBOR loans and 1.00% for base rate loans if certain leverage ratios are met. A commitment fee equal to 0.50% per annum accrues on the average daily unused amount of the commitment of each lender under the revolving credit facility and such fee is payable quarterly in arrears. We are also required to pay certain other fees with respect to the senior secured credit facility including (i) letter of credit fees on the

Table of Contents

aggregate undrawn amount of outstanding letters of credit plus the aggregate principal amount of all letter of credit reimbursement obligations, (ii) a fronting fee to the letter of credit issuing bank and (iii) administrative fees.

The first lien term loan is secured by a perfected first priority pledge of all of the equity interests of our subsidiary and perfected first priority security interests in and mortgages on substantially all of our tangible and intangible assets and those of the guarantors, except, in the case of the stock of a foreign subsidiary, to the extent such pledge would be prohibited by applicable law or would result in materially adverse tax consequences, and subject to such other exceptions as are agreed. The senior secured credit facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to (i) dispose of assets; (ii) change our business; (iii) engage in mergers or consolidations; (iv) make certain acquisitions; (v) pay dividends or repurchase or redeem stock; (vi) incur indebtedness or guarantee obligations and issue preferred and other disqualified stock; (vii) make investments and loans; (viii) incur liens; (ix) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (x) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (xi) issue stock or stock options of our subsidiary; (xii) amend or prepay subordinated indebtedness and loans under the second lien secured credit facility; (xiii) modify or waive material documents; or (xiv) change our fiscal year. In addition, under the first lien secured credit facility, we are required to comply with specified financial ratios and tests, including a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, and maximum capital expenditures.

Borrowings under the new senior secured credit facility and second lien secured credit facility on February 14, 2006, were used to refinance our Company's existing debt facility, pay a cash dividend to stockholders of \$83.5 million, and make a cash compensatory payment of approximately \$26.9 million (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.5 million) to stock option holders in lieu of adjusting exercise prices in connection with such dividend. In connection with the refinancing, our Company incurred fees and expenses aggregating \$4.5 million that are included as a component of other assets, net and are being amortized over the terms of the new senior secured credit facilities. In the first quarter of 2006, the total cash payment to option holders and unamortized deferred financing costs of \$4.6 million related to the prior credit facility were expensed and recorded as stock compensation expense and a component of interest expense, respectively.

Based on our ability to generate cash flows from operations and our borrowing capacity under the revolver under the senior secured credit facility, we believe we will have sufficient capital to meet our short-term and long-term needs, including our capital expenditures and our debt obligations in 2008.

Capital Expenditures. Capital expenditures vary depending on prevailing business factors, including current and anticipated market conditions. For the years ended December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, capital expenditures were \$10.6 million and \$26.8 million, respectively. We anticipate that cash flows from operations and liquidity from the revolving credit facility will be sufficient to execute our business plans.

On April 14, 2006, our Company entered into an interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$61.0 million that was designated as a cash flow hedge and effectively converted a portion of the floating rate debt to a fixed rate of 5.345% (plus a margin of 3.00%). Since all of the critical terms of the swap exactly matched those of the hedged debt, no ineffectiveness was identified in the hedging relationship. Consequently, all changes in fair value were recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement was \$0.1 million as of December 29, 2007 and is recorded in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. This swap expired in February 2008.

The fair value of this interest rate swap agreement was \$0.1 million as of December 30, 2006.

The weighted-average interest rate at December 29, 2007 for the floating rate notes was 8.38%.

Table of Contents

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | |
| Tranche A2 term note payable to a bank in quarterly installments of \$420,019 beginning November 14, 2007 through November 14, 2011. A lump sum payment of \$158.4 million is due on February 14, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at LIBOR or the prime rate plus an applicable margin. At December 30, 2006, the rate was 5.38% plus a margin of 3.00% | \$ | \$ 165,488 |
| Tranche A2 term note payable to a bank in quarterly installments of \$331,632 beginning November 14, 2008 through November 14, 2011. A lump sum payment of \$125.7 million is due on February 14, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at LIBOR or the prime rate plus an applicable margin. At December 29, 2007, the rate was 5.38% plus a margin of 3.00% | 130,000 | |
| | \$ 130,000 | \$ 165,488 |

DISCLOSURES OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

The following summarizes the contractual obligations of the Company as of December 29, 2007 (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations | Total | Current | Payments Due by Period | | | |
|---|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | | | 2-3 Years | 4 Years | 5 Years | Thereafter |
| Long-term debt (1) | \$ 174,992 | \$ 11,555 | \$ 24,400 | \$ 12,032 | \$ 127,005 | \$ |
| Operating leases | 4,781 | 2,212 | 1,902 | 349 | 126 | 192 |
| Supply agreements | 2,501 | 2,501 | | | | |
| Equipment purchase commitments | 508 | 508 | | | | |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 182,782 | \$ 16,776 | \$ 26,302 | \$ 12,381 | \$ 127,131 | \$ 192 |

(1) Includes estimated future interest expense assuming the weighted average interest rate of 8.38% on our long-term debt as of December 29, 2007 does not change.

The amounts reflected in the table above for operating leases represent future minimum lease payments under noncancelable operating leases with an initial or remaining term in excess of one year at December 29, 2007. Purchase orders entered into in the ordinary course of business are excluded from the above table. Amounts for which we are liable under purchase orders are reflected on our consolidated balance sheet as accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

Our Company is obligated to purchase certain raw materials used in the production of our products from certain suppliers pursuant to stocking programs. If these programs were cancelled by our Company, we would be required to pay \$2.5 million for various materials.

At December 29, 2007, our Company had \$4.7 million in standby letters of credit related to its workers compensation insurance coverage and commitments to purchase equipment of \$0.5 million.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

Our consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with GAAP. Critical accounting policies are those that are both important to the accurate portrayal of a company's financial condition and results and require subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in our

Table of Contents

financial statements and accompanying notes. Certain estimates are particularly sensitive due to their significance to the financial statements and the possibility that future events may be significantly different from our expectations. Management has discussed the development and disclosure of critical accounting policies and estimates with the audit committee of our board of directors.

We have identified the following accounting policies that require us to make the most subjective or complex judgments in order to fairly present our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Revenue recognition

We recognize sales when all of the following criteria have been met: a valid customer order with a fixed price has been received; the product has been delivered and accepted by the customer; and collectibility is reasonably assured. All sales recognized are net of allowances for discounts and estimated returns, which are estimated using historical experience.

Allowance for doubtful accounts and related reserves

We extend credit to dealers and distributors, generally on a non-collateralized basis. Accounts receivable are recorded at their gross receivable amount, reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts that results in the receivables being recorded at estimated net realizable value. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on management's assessment of the amount which may become uncollectible in the future and is determined based on our write-off history, aging of receivables, specific identification of uncollectible accounts, and consideration of prevailing economic and industry conditions. Uncollectible accounts are charged off after repeated attempts to collect from the customer have been unsuccessful. The difference between actual write-offs and estimated reserves has not been material.

Over the three-year period ending December 29, 2007, we recorded an expense averaging \$0.8 million per year for potential uncollectible accounts. During this period, allowance for doubtful accounts has ranged from \$0.4 million to \$2.8 million, and write-off of uncollectible accounts, net of recoveries, averaged approximately \$0.9 million.

Long-lived assets

We review long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of long-lived assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated, based on management estimates, in accordance with Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. Estimates made by management are subject to change and include such things as future growth assumptions, operating and capital expenditure requirements, asset useful lives and other factors, changes in which could materially impact the results of the impairment test. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, and depreciation is no longer recorded.

Goodwill

The impairment evaluation for goodwill is conducted at the end of each fiscal year, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The evaluation is performed using a two-step process. In the first step, which is used to screen for potential impairment, the fair value of the reporting unit is compared with the carrying amount of the reporting unit, including goodwill. The estimated fair value of the reporting unit is determined using the discounted future cash flows method, based on management estimates. If the estimated fair value of the reporting unit is less than the carrying amount of the reporting unit, then a second step, which determines the amount of the goodwill impairment to be recorded must be completed. In the

Table of Contents

second step, the implied fair value of the reporting unit's goodwill is determined by allocating the reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including any unrecognized intangible assets). The resulting implied fair value of the goodwill that results from the application of this second step is then compared to the carrying amount of the goodwill and an impairment charge is recorded for the difference. Estimation of fair value is dependent on a number of factors, including, but not limited to, interest rates, future growth assumptions, operations and capital expenditure requirements and other factors which are subject to change and could materially impact the results of the impairment tests. Unless our actual results differ significantly from those in our estimation of fair value, it would not result in an impairment of goodwill.

We performed our annual assessment of goodwill impairment as of December 29, 2007, which indicated that no impairment was present. The determination of fair value used in that assessment is highly sensitive to differences between estimated and actual cash flows and changes in the related discount rate used to evaluate the fair value of the Company. Estimated cash flows are sensitive to, among other things, changes in the housing market and the economy. Goodwill was \$169.6 million as of December 29, 2007.

Other intangibles

The impairment evaluation of the carrying amount of intangible assets with indefinite lives is conducted annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The evaluation is performed by comparing the carrying amount of these assets to their estimated fair value. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying amount of the intangible assets with indefinite lives, then an impairment charge is recorded to reduce the asset to its estimated fair value. The estimated fair value is generally determined on the basis of discounted projected cost savings attributable to ownership of the intangible assets with indefinite lives which, for the Company, are our trademarks.

The assumptions used in the estimate of fair value are generally consistent with past performance and are also consistent with the projections and assumptions that are used in current Company operating plans. Such assumptions are subject to change as a result of changing economic and competitive conditions. We performed our annual assessment of our trademarks, which are our only intangible assets not subject to amortization, as of December 29, 2007, which indicated that no impairment was present. The determination of fair value used in that assessment is highly sensitive to differences between estimated and actual cash flows and changes in the related discount rate used to evaluate the fair value of the Company. Estimated cash flows are sensitive to, among other things, changes in the housing market and the economy. Intangible assets not subject to amortization totaled \$62.5 million as of December 29, 2007.

Warranties

We have warranty obligations with respect to most of our manufactured products. Obligations vary by product components. The reserve for warranties is based on our assessment of the costs that will have to be incurred to satisfy warranty obligations on recorded net sales. The reserve is determined after assessing our warranty history and specific identification of our estimated future warranty obligations.

Over the three-year period ending December 29, 2007, we recorded a warranty expense averaging \$5.6 million per year for costs related to warranties on our products. During this period, the accrual for warranties as a percentage of net sales has ranged from 1.3% to 1.8%.

Derivative instruments

We account for derivative instruments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, as amended (SFAS No. 133). SFAS No. 133 requires us to recognize all of our derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship and further,

Table of Contents

on the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, we must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge, a cash flow hedge or a hedge of a net investment in a foreign operation.

All derivative instruments currently utilized by us are designated and accounted for as cash flow hedges (i.e., hedging the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows that is attributable to a particular risk). SFAS No. 133 provides that the effective portion of the gain or loss on a derivative instrument designated and qualifying as a cash flow hedging instrument be reported as a component of other comprehensive income and be reclassified into earnings in the same period or periods during which the transaction affects earnings. The remaining gain or loss on the derivative instrument, if any, must be recognized currently in earnings.

Stock Compensation

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R, *Share-Based Payment* (SFAS 123R), on January 1, 2006. This statement is a fair-value based approach for measuring stock-based compensation and requires us to recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for our company's equity instruments. Under SFAS 123R, we are required to record compensation expense over an award's vesting period based on the award's fair value at the date of grant. We have adopted SFAS 123R on a prospective basis; accordingly, our financial statements for periods prior to January 1, 2006, do not include compensation cost calculated under the fair value method. Our awards vest based only on service conditions and compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis for each separately vesting portion of an award.

Prior to January 1, 2006, our Company applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, *Accounting for Stock issued to Employees* (APB 25), and therefore recorded the intrinsic value of stock-based compensation as expense. Pursuant to APB 25, compensation cost was recorded only to the extent that the exercise price was less than the fair value of our Company's stock on the date of grant. No compensation expense was recognized in previous financial statements under APB 25. Additionally, our Company reported the pro forma impact of using a fair value based approach to valuing stock options under the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation* (SFAS 123).

Stock options granted prior to our Company's IPO were valued using the minimum value method in the pro-forma disclosures required by SFAS 123. The minimum value method excludes volatility in the calculation of fair value of stock based compensation. In accordance with SFAS No. 123R, options that were valued using the minimum value method, for purposes of pro forma disclosure under SFAS 123, must be transitioned to SFAS 123R using the prospective method. This means that these options will continue to be accounted for under the same accounting principles (recognition and measurement) originally applied to those awards in the income statement, which for our Company was APB 25. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS 123R did not result in any compensation cost being recognized for these options. Additionally, pro forma information previously required under SFAS 123 and SFAS 148 will no longer be presented for these options.

The compensation cost that was charged against income for stock compensation plans was \$1.5 million in 2007 and \$0.6 million for 2006 and is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The total income tax benefit recognized for share-based compensation arrangements was \$0.6 million in 2007 and \$0.2 million in 2006. We currently expect to satisfy share-based awards with registered shares available to be issued. As of December 29, 2007, there was \$0.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock option compensation arrangements granted which is expected to be recognized in earnings straight-line over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years. As of December 29, 2007, there was \$0.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted share awards. That cost is expected to be recognized in earnings straight-line over a weighted average period of 1.9 years.

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants under the 2006 Plan in 2006: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 44.3%, risk-free interest rate of 5.2%, and expected life of 7 years.

Table of Contents

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants under the 2006 Plan in 2007: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 36.0%, risk-free interest rate of 4.7%, and expected life of 5 years.

RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Statement of Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141R) was issued in December 2007. SFAS No. 141R establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. SFAS No. 141R also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R is effective for the Company in its fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. The Company will apply the provisions of SFAS No. 141R to future acquisitions, if any.

Statement of Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements – an amendment of ARB No. 51* (SFAS No. 160) was issued in December 31, 2007. SFAS No. 160 establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 is effective for the Company in fiscal years beginning January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 is not currently expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Emerging Issues Task Force issue 06-11, *Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Award*, (Issue 06-11) was issued in June 2007. Issue 06-11 applies to share-based payment arrangements with dividend protection features that entitle employees to receive (a) dividends on equity-classified nonvested shares, (b) dividend equivalents on equity-classified nonvested share units, or (c) payments equal to the dividends paid on the underlying shares while an equity-classified share option is outstanding, when those dividends or dividend equivalents are charged to retained earnings under Statement of Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payments* (SFAS No. 123R) and result in an income tax deduction for the employer. The Task Force reached a consensus that a realized income tax benefit from dividends or dividend equivalents that are charged to retained earnings and are paid to employees for equity classified nonvested equity shares, nonvested equity share units, and outstanding equity share options should be recognized as an increase to additional paid-in capital. The amount recognized in additional paid-in capital for the realized income tax benefit from dividends on those awards should be included in the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies on share-based payment awards (as described in Statement 123(R)). The consensus in Issue 06-11 is effective for the Company for income tax benefits that result from dividends on equity-classified employee share-based payment awards that are declared in fiscal years beginning January 1, 2008. The Company will apply the provisions of Issue 06-11 to future share-based payment awards, if any, should they contain dividend protection features.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS No. 159) was issued in February 2007 and will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. SFAS No. 159 permits entities the option to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses in respect of assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in earnings. Selection of the fair value option is irrevocable and can be applied on a partial basis, i.e., to some but not all similar financial assets or liabilities. The Company is still evaluating its election options under SFAS No. 159 for any of its financial assets and liabilities for which SFAS No. 159 allows such an election to be made.

Table of Contents

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157) was issued in September 2006 to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements and to expand their disclosures. The new standard includes a definition of fair value as well as a framework for measuring fair value. The provisions of this standard apply to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective with fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and should be applied prospectively, except for certain financial instruments where it must be applied retrospectively as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance of opening retained earnings in the year of adoption. In November 2007, the FASB agreed to a one-year deferral of SFAS No. 157's fair-value measurement requirements for nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The FASB also intends to clarify disclosure requirements about the fair-value measurements of pension plan assets by plan sponsors and will develop additional guidance on how SFAS No. 157 applies to measurements of liabilities. The Company is currently evaluating if the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have a material impact on its financial statements.

Item 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

We experience changes in interest expense when market interest rates change. Changes in our debt could also increase these risks. Although we utilize interest rate swap contracts to fix interest rates on a portion of our outstanding long-term debt balance, all such agreements expire by February 2008. Based on debt outstanding at December 29, 2007, a 1% increase in interest rates would result in approximately \$1.3 million of additional interest costs annually.

From time to time, we utilize derivative financial instruments to hedge price movements in our aluminum materials. As of December 29, 2007, we covered approximately 45% of our anticipated needs through August 2008. Short-term changes in the cost of aluminum, which can be significant, are sometimes passed on to our customers through price increases, however, there can be no guarantee that we will be able to continue to pass on such price increases to our customers or that price increases will not negatively impact sales volume, thereby adversely impacting operating margins. Based on 2007 purchases of aluminum, a 10% increase in the cost of aluminum would increase cost of sales by \$2.6 million, net of the change in the fair value of aluminum hedges.

Table of Contents

Item 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA
INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | 37 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u> | 38 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006</u> | 39 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u> | 40 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005</u> | 41 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 42 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of

PGT, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of PGT, Inc. and Subsidiary (the Company) as of December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of PGT, Inc. and Subsidiary at December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), PGT, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 29, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 7, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Tampa, Florida

March 7, 2008

Table of Contents**PGT, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS***(in thousands, except per share amounts)*

| | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Net sales | \$ 278,394 | \$ 371,598 | \$ 332,813 |
| Cost of sales | 187,389 | 229,867 | 209,475 |
| Gross margin | 91,005 | 141,731 | 123,338 |
| Restructuring charge | 1,696 | | |
| Impairment charges | 826 | 1,151 | |
| Stock compensation expense (includes expenses related to cost of sales and selling, general and administrative expenses of \$5,069 and \$21,829, respectively, during 2006 and \$1,292 and \$5,854, respectively, during 2005) | | 26,898 | 7,146 |
| Write-off of trademark | | | 7,200 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 75,308 | 86,219 | 83,634 |
| Income from operations | 13,175 | 27,463 | 25,358 |
| Interest expense, net | 11,404 | 28,509 | 13,871 |
| Other expenses (income), net | 692 | (178) | (286) |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | 1,079 | (868) | 11,773 |
| Income tax expense | 456 | 101 | 3,910 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 623 | \$ (969) | \$ 7,863 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.50 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.45 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: | | | |
| Basic | 27,294 | 21,204 | 15,723 |
| Diluted | 28,338 | 21,204 | 17,299 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PGT, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS***(in thousands, except per share amounts)*

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 19,479 | \$ 36,981 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 20,956 | 25,244 |
| Inventories, net | 9,223 | 11,161 |
| Deferred income taxes, net | 3,683 | 4,031 |
| Other current assets | 7,080 | 13,041 |
| Total current assets | 60,421 | 90,458 |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 80,184 | 78,802 |
| Other intangible assets, net | 96,348 | 101,918 |
| Goodwill | 169,648 | 169,648 |
| Other assets, net | 1,264 | 1,968 |
| Total assets | \$ 407,865 | \$ 442,794 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 3,730 | \$ 2,776 |
| Accrued liabilities | 11,505 | 15,031 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 332 | 420 |
| Total current liabilities | 15,567 | 18,227 |
| Long-term debt | 129,668 | 165,068 |
| Deferred income taxes | 48,927 | 51,217 |
| Other liabilities | 3,231 | 3,076 |
| Total liabilities | 197,393 | 237,588 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 12) | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock; par value \$.01 per share; 10,000 shares authorized; none outstanding | | |
| Common stock; par value \$.01 per share; 200,000 shares authorized; 27,732 and 27,078 shares issued and 27,620 and 26,999 shares outstanding at December 29, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively | 276 | 270 |
| Additional paid-in-capital | 210,964 | 205,799 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income | (422) | 106 |
| Accumulated deficit | (346) | (969) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 210,472 | 205,206 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 407,865 | \$ 442,794 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**PGT, INC. AND SUBSIDIARY****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS***(in thousands)*

| | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 623 | \$ (969) | \$ 7,863 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation | 10,418 | 9,871 | 7,503 |
| Amortization | 5,570 | 5,742 | 8,020 |
| Stock-based compensation | 1,479 | 592 | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans | (1,762) | (5,375) | |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 724 | 7,205 | 1,285 |
| Unrealized loss (gain) on derivative financial instruments | 692 | (176) | (221) |
| Deferred income taxes | (1,423) | 3,715 | (4,978) |
| Expense related to stock issuance | | | 334 |
| Impairment of Lexington facility | 826 | 1,151 | |
| Write-off of trademark | | | 7,200 |
| Loss on disposal of assets | 226 | 103 | 562 |
| Change in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 4,393 | 16,376 | (18,197) |
| Inventories | 1,874 | 2,820 | (2,530) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 4,243 | (748) | 893 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (3,063) | (10,128) | 13,964 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 24,820 | 30,179 | 21,698 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (10,569) | (26,753) | (15,864) |
| Proceeds from sales of equipment and intangibles | 43 | 109 | 261 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (10,526) | (26,644) | (15,603) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,930 | 1,311 | |
| Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation plans | 1,762 | 5,375 | |
| Proceeds from initial public offering | | 129,471 | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | | 320,000 | 190,000 |
| Net change in revolving line of credit | | | (2,000) |
| Payments of dividends | | (83,484) | (20,000) |
| Payments of financing costs | | (4,459) | (500) |
| Payments of long-term debt | (35,488) | (338,038) | (172,850) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (31,796) | 30,176 | (5,350) |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents | (17,502) | 33,711 | 745 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 36,981 | 3,270 | 2,525 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 19,479 | \$ 36,981 | \$ 3,270 |

Supplemental cash flow information:

| | | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Interest paid | \$ 12,034 | \$ 22,827 | \$ 11,643 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 2,798 | \$ 1,242 | \$ 10,780 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

- 40 -

Table of Contents**PGT, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY***(in thousands except share amounts)*

| | Common stock | | | Retained Earnings (Accumulated Deficit) | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Total |
|--|--------------|--------|----------------------------|---|---|----------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | | | |
| Balance at January 1, 2005 | 15,720,351 | \$ 157 | \$ 157,458 | \$ 6,992 | \$ 1,500 | \$ 166,107 |
| Issuance of stock as compensation | 29,132 | | 334 | | | 334 |
| Dividends paid | | | (5,145) | (14,855) | | (20,000) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Amortization of ineffective interest rate swap | | | | | (78) | (78) |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swaps, net of tax benefit of \$248 | | | | | 465 | 465 |
| Change in fair value of aluminum forward contracts, net of tax benefit of \$1,202 | | | | | 1,880 | 1,880 |
| Net income | | | | 7,863 | | 7,863 |
| Total comprehensive income | | | | | | 10,130 |
| Balance at December 31, 2005 | 15,749,483 | \$ 157 | \$ 152,647 | \$ | \$ 3,767 | \$ 156,571 |
| Dividends paid | | | (83,484) | | | (83,484) |
| Initial public offering, net of offering costs | 10,147,058 | 102 | 129,369 | | | 129,471 |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 592 | | | 592 |
| Exercise of stock options, including tax benefit of \$5,375 from the exercise of stock options | 1,102,510 | 11 | 6,675 | | | 6,686 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Amortization of ineffective interest rate swap, net of tax benefit of \$122 | | | | | (191) | (191) |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of tax benefit of \$34 | | | | | (53) | (53) |
| Change in fair value of aluminum forward contracts, net of tax benefit of \$2,185 | | | | | (3,417) | (3,417) |
| Net loss | | | | (969) | | (969) |
| Total comprehensive loss | | | | | | (4,630) |
| Balance at December 30, 2006 | 26,999,051 | \$ 270 | \$ 205,799 | \$ (969) | \$ 106 | \$ 205,206 |
| Exercise of stock options, including tax benefit of \$1,762 from the exercise of stock options | 609,837 | 6 | 3,686 | | | 3,692 |
| Vesting of restricted stock | 11,208 | | | | | |
| Stock-based compensation | | | 1,479 | | | 1,479 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | | | | |
| Amortization of ineffective interest rate swap, net of tax benefit of \$102 | | | | | (159) | (159) |
| Change in fair value of interest rate swap, net of tax expense of \$5 | | | | | 8 | 8 |
| Change in fair value of aluminum forward contracts, net of tax benefit of \$241 | | | | | (377) | (377) |
| Net income | | | | 623 | | 623 |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total comprehensive income

95

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|--------|------------|----------|----------|------------|
| Balance at December 29, 2007 | 27,620,096 | \$ 276 | \$ 210,964 | \$ (346) | \$ (422) | \$ 210,472 |
|------------------------------|------------|--------|------------|----------|----------|------------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

- 41 -

Table of Contents

PGT, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. Description of Business

PGT, Inc. (PGTI or the Company) is a leading manufacturer of impact-resistant aluminum and vinyl-framed windows and doors and offers a broad range of fully customizable window and door products. The majority of our Company's sales are to customers in the state of Florida; however, our Company also sells its products in over 40 states, the Caribbean and in South and Central America. Products are sold through an authorized dealer and distributor network, which our Company approves.

Our Company was incorporated in the state of Delaware on December 16, 2003, as JLL Window Holdings, Inc. On February 15, 2006, our Company was renamed PGT, Inc. On January 29, 2004, our Company acquired 100% of the outstanding stock of PGT Holding Company, based in North Venice, Florida. Our Company has one manufacturing operation and one glass tempering and laminating plant in North Venice, Florida with additional manufacturing operations located in Salisbury, North Carolina and Lexington, North Carolina.

All references to PGTI or our Company apply to the consolidated financial statements of both PGT, Inc. and PGT Holding Company, unless otherwise noted.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Fiscal period

Our Company's fiscal year consists of 52 or 53 weeks ending on the Saturday nearest December 31 of the related year. The periods ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 each consisted of 52 weeks.

Principles of consolidation

The consolidated financial statements present the results of the operations, financial position and cash flows of PGTI and its wholly owned subsidiary. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Segment information

Our Company operates in one operating segment, the manufacture and sale of windows and doors.

Use of estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Critical accounting estimates involved in applying our Company's accounting policies are those that require management to make assumptions about matters that are uncertain at the time the accounting estimate was made and those for which different estimates reasonably could have been used for the current period. Critical accounting estimates are also those which are reasonably likely to change from period to period and would have a material impact on the presentation of PGTI's financial condition, changes in financial condition or results of operations. Actual results could materially differ from those estimates.

Revenue recognition

PGTI recognizes revenue when we have a valid customer order with a fixed price has been received, the product has been delivered and accepted by the customer and collectibility is reasonably assured. Revenues are recognized net of allowances for discounts and estimated returns, which are estimated using historical experience.

Table of Contents**Cost of sales**

Cost of sales represents costs directly related to the production of our Company's products. Primary costs include raw materials, direct labor, and manufacturing overhead. Manufacturing overhead and related expenses primarily include salaries, wages, employee benefits, utilities, maintenance, engineering and property taxes.

Shipping and handling costs

Handling costs incurred in the manufacturing process are included in cost of sales. All other shipping and handling costs are included in selling, general and administrative expenses and total \$17.3 million, \$22.2 million and \$19.5 million for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Advertising

Our Company expenses advertising costs as incurred. Advertising expense included in selling, general and administrative expenses was \$1.8 million, \$3.9 million and \$2.5 million for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Research and development costs

Our Company expenses research and development costs as incurred. Research and development costs included in selling, general and administrative expenses were \$2.2 million, \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents consist of cash on hand and all highly liquid investments with an original maturity date of three months or less.

Accounts and notes receivable and allowance for doubtful accounts

Our Company extends credit to qualified dealers and distributors, generally on a non-collateralized basis. Accounts receivable are recorded at their gross receivable amount, reduced by an allowance for doubtful accounts that results in the receivable being recorded at its net realizable value. The allowance for doubtful accounts is based on management's assessment of the amount which may become uncollectible in the future and is determined through consideration of Company write-off history, specific identification of uncollectible accounts, and consideration of prevailing economic and industry conditions. Uncollectible accounts are written off after repeated attempts to collect from the customer have been unsuccessful.

Accounts receivable consist of the following:

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Accounts receivable | \$ 21,372 | \$ 26,187 |
| Less: Allowance for doubtful accounts | (416) | (943) |
| | \$ 20,956 | \$ 25,244 |

| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Costs and expenses | Deductions(1) | Balance at End of Period |
|---------------------------------|---|-----------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | | |
| Allowance for Doubtful Accounts | | | | |
| Year ended December 29, 2007 | \$ 943 | \$ (160) | \$ (367) | \$ 416 |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------------|----------|----------|------------|----------|
| Year ended December 30, 2006 | \$ 2,450 | \$ 373 | \$ (1,880) | \$ 943 |
| Year ended December 31, 2005 | \$ 559 | \$ 2,308 | \$ (417) | \$ 2,450 |

(1) Represents uncollectible accounts charged against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

- 43 -

Table of Contents

As of December 29, 2007 there was \$0.3 million and as of December 30, 2006 there were \$1.3 million of trade notes receivable for which there was an allowance of \$0.2 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, included in other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

Warranty expense

Our Company has warranty obligations with respect to most of our manufactured products. Warranty periods, which vary by product components, range from 1 to 10 years. However, the majority of the products sold have warranties on components which range from 1 to 3 years. The reserve for warranties is based on management's assessment of the cost per service call and the number of service calls expected to be incurred to satisfy warranty obligations on recorded net sales. The reserve is determined after assessing company history and specific identification. In 2007, we refined our warranty calculation by adding certain data inputs to better reflect the decrease in sales. This change resulted in a decrease in our estimated warranty obligations as of December 29, 2007 of \$0.5 million which had an approximate \$0.3 million effect on net income, or \$0.01 per diluted share. In 2005, the accrual for warranty increased over prior years as a result of a change in sales mix toward products that carry a higher replacement cost of materials and additional labor cost to service the product in the field. The following provides information with respect to our Company's warranty accrual.

| Accrued Warranty <i>(in thousands)</i> | Beginning of Period | Charged to Expense | Adjustments | Settlements | End of Period |
|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|
| Year ended December 29, 2007 | \$ 4,934 | \$ 5,568 | \$ (409) | \$ (5,107) | \$ 4,986 |
| Year ended December 30, 2006 | \$ 4,501 | \$ 5,581 | \$ 111 | \$ (5,259) | \$ 4,934 |
| Year ended December 31, 2005 | \$ 2,863 | \$ 5,658 | \$ 223 | \$ (4,243) | \$ 4,501 |

Inventories

Inventories consist principally of raw materials purchased for the manufacture of our products. PGTI has limited finished goods inventory as all products are custom, made-to-order products. Finished goods inventory costs include direct materials, direct labor, and overhead. All inventories are stated at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. The reserve for obsolescence is based on management's assessment of the amount of inventory that may become obsolete in the future and is determined through company history, specific identification and consideration of prevailing economic and industry conditions.

Inventories consist of the following:

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|--------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Finished goods | \$ 717 | \$ 1,109 |
| Work in progress | 654 | 880 |
| Raw materials | 8,595 | 10,297 |
| Less: Reserve for obsolescence | (743) | (1,125) |
| | \$ 9,223 | \$ 11,161 |

Reserve for Obsolescence

Deductions(1)

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | Balance at Beginning of Period | Costs and expenses | | Balance at End of Period |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|------------|---|
| | | <i>(In thousands)</i> | | |
| Year ended December 29, 2007 | \$ 1,125 | \$ 361 | \$ (743) | \$ 743 |
| Year ended December 30, 2006 | \$ 813 | \$ 534 | \$ (222) | \$ 1,125 |
| Year ended December 31, 2005 | \$ 131 | \$ 1,930 | \$ (1,248) | \$ 813 |

(1) Represents obsolete inventory charged against the reserve.

Table of Contents

Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Depreciable assets are assigned estimated lives as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------|---------------|
| Building and improvements | 5 to 40 years |
| Furniture and equipment | 3 to 10 years |
| Vehicles | 3 to 10 years |
| Computer Software | 3 years |

Maintenance and repair expenditures are charged to expense as incurred.

Long-lived assets

PGTI reviews long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of such assets may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of long-lived assets to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated, in accordance with Statements of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, and depreciation is no longer recorded.

During 2006, we completed the relocation of our Lexington, North Carolina plant. We recorded impairment charges of \$1.2 million in 2006 to adjust the carrying value of the Lexington real estate to its estimated fair value, less reasonable direct selling costs, which was included in selling, general and administrative expenses. At December 30, 2006, we classified the then carrying value of the real estate of \$2.3 million at December 30, 2006 as held for sale, included within other current assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as it was expected to be sold within the next fiscal year. In 2007, we recorded an additional impairment charge of \$0.8 million to reflect a further decline in the market value. In December 2007, we reclassified the real estate as held and used when we made the decision to utilize the facility in order to produce a special-order product to be used in large-scale commercial projects in this facility and began depreciating the assets that comprise the Lexington real estate, the effect of which was not significant to results of operations in 2007. Their cost basis for depreciation purposes is the current carrying value of the Lexington real estate of \$1.5 million, its fair value when reclassified which was lower than the initial carrying value less depreciation had it always been classified as held and used, and is classified within property, plant and equipment in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 29, 2007.

Computer software

Our Company capitalizes costs associated with software developed or obtained for internal use when both the preliminary project stage is completed and it is probable that computer software being developed will be completed and placed in service. Capitalized costs include:

- (i) external direct costs of materials and services consumed in developing or obtaining computer software,
- (ii) payroll and other related costs for employees who are directly associated with and who devote time to the software project, and
- (iii) interest costs incurred, when material, while developing internal-use software.

Capitalization of such costs ceases no later than the point at which the project is substantially complete and ready for its intended purpose.

Capitalized software as of December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006 was \$10.2 million and \$7.9 million, respectively. Accumulated amortization of capitalized software was \$7.9 million and \$6.9 million as of December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, respectively.

Table of Contents

Depreciation expense for capitalized software was \$1.0 million, \$2.5 million and \$2.4 million for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

Our Company reviews the carrying value of software and development costs for impairment in accordance with its policy pertaining to the impairment of long-lived assets.

Goodwill and other intangible assets

Our Company accounts for goodwill and other intangible assets in accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. Other intangible assets primarily consist of trademarks and customer-related intangible assets. The useful lives of trademarks were determined to be indefinite and, therefore, these assets are not being amortized. Customer-related intangible assets are being amortized over their estimated useful lives of ten years.

Goodwill

The impairment evaluation for goodwill is conducted annually, or more frequently, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The annual goodwill impairment test is a two-step process. First, we determine if the carrying value of our related reporting unit exceeds fair value determined using a discounted cash flow model, which would indicate that goodwill may be impaired. Second, if we determine that goodwill may be impaired, we compare the implied fair value of the goodwill determined by allocating our reporting unit's fair value to all of its assets and liabilities other than goodwill (including any unrecognized intangible assets) to its carrying amount to determine if there is an impairment loss. We have one reporting unit. Our Company performs its impairment test as of the end of each fiscal year.

We performed our annual assessment of goodwill impairment as of December 29, 2007, which indicated that no impairment was present. The determination of fair value used in that assessment is highly sensitive to differences between estimated and actual cash flows and changes in the related discount rate used to evaluate the fair value of the Company. Estimated cash flows are sensitive to changes in the Florida housing market and changes in the economy among other things. Goodwill was \$169.6 million as of December 29, 2007.

Other intangibles

The impairment evaluation of the carrying amount of intangible assets with indefinite lives is conducted annually or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that an asset might be impaired. The evaluation is performed by comparing the carrying amount of these assets to their estimated fair value. If the estimated fair value is less than the carrying amount of the intangible assets with indefinite lives, then an impairment charge is recorded to reduce the asset to its estimated fair value. The estimated fair value is generally determined on the basis of discounted future projected cost savings attributable to ownership of the intangible assets with indefinite lives which, for the Company, are our trademarks.

The assumptions used in the estimate of fair value are generally consistent with past performance and are also consistent with the projections and assumptions that are used in current PGTI operating plans. Such assumptions are subject to change as a result of changing economic and competitive conditions. We performed our annual assessment of our trademarks, which are our only intangible assets not subject to amortization, as of December 29, 2007, which indicated that no impairment was present. The determination of fair value used in that assessment is highly sensitive to differences between estimated and actual cash flows and changes in the related discount rate used to evaluate the fair value of the Company. Estimated cash flows are sensitive to changes in the Florida housing market and changes in the economy among other things. Intangible assets not subject to amortization totaled \$62.5 million as of December 29, 2007.

Deferred financing costs

Deferred financing costs are amortized using the effective interest method over the life of the debt instrument to which they relate. Unamortized deferred financing costs, included in other assets on the

Table of Contents

accompanying consolidated balance sheets, totaled \$1.2 million and \$2.0 million at December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, respectively. Amortization of deferred financing costs is included in interest expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. There was \$0.7 million, \$7.2 million and \$1.3 million of amortization for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Included in amortization expense for the year ended December 29, 2007 is \$0.4 million related to the prepayments of portions of our long-term debt during 2007 (Note 8). Included in amortization expense for the year ended December 30, 2006 is \$4.6 million related to our February 2006 refinancing and \$2.0 million related to the repayment of a portion of our long term debt in the third quarter of 2006 (Note 8). There was \$10.1 million and \$9.4 million in accumulated amortization related to these costs at December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, respectively.

Estimated amortization on deferred financing costs is as follows for future fiscal years:

| | (In thousands) |
|-------|-----------------------|
| 2008 | \$ 311 |
| 2009 | 303 |
| 2010 | 299 |
| 2011 | 296 |
| 2012 | 35 |
| Total | \$ 1,244 |

Derivative financial instruments

Our Company utilizes certain derivative instruments, from time to time, including interest rate swaps and forward contracts to manage variability in cash flow associated with interest rates and commodity market price risk exposure in the aluminum market. While our Company does not enter into derivatives for speculative purposes, upon termination of the hedging relationship, our Company may continue to hold such derivatives and record them at their fair value, with changes recorded in other expenses (income), net, in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

PGTI accounts for derivative instruments in accordance with SFAS No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended (SFAS No. 133). SFAS No. 133 requires our Company to recognize all of its derivative instruments as either assets or liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value (i.e., gains or losses) of a derivative instrument depends on whether it has been designated and qualifies as part of a hedging relationship based on its effectiveness in hedging against the exposure and further, on the type of hedging relationship. For those derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments, our Company must designate the hedging instrument, based upon the exposure being hedged, as a fair value hedge or a cash flow hedge.

Additional information with regard to accounting policies associated with derivative instruments is contained in Note 10, Derivative Financial Instruments.

Financial instruments

Our Company's financial instruments include cash, accounts receivable, and accounts payable, whose carrying amounts approximate their fair values due to their short-term nature. Additional financial instruments include the interest rate swaps, interest rate cap, and aluminum forward contracts, for which the carrying amount was determined using fair value estimates from third parties and long-term debt which approximates fair value due to its variable interest rate.

Concentrations of credit risk

Financial instruments, which potentially subject our Company to concentrations of credit risk, consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade accounts receivable. Accounts receivable are due primarily

Table of Contents

from companies in the construction industry located in Florida and the eastern half of the United States. Credit is extended based on an evaluation of the customer's financial condition and credit history, and generally collateral is not required.

PGTI maintains its cash with financial institutions. The balances, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. At December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, our Company's balances exceeded the insured limit by approximately \$20.0 million and \$37.8 million, respectively.

Comprehensive income (loss)

Comprehensive income (loss) is reported on the Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is reported on the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Gains and losses on cash flow hedging derivatives, to the extent effective, are included in other comprehensive income (loss). Reclassification adjustments reflecting such gains and losses are ratably recorded in income in the same period as the hedged items affect earnings. Additional information with regard to accounting policies associated with derivative instruments is contained in Note 10, Derivative Financial Instruments.

Stock compensation

We adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123(R), Share-Based Payment (SFAS No. 123(R)), on January 1, 2006. This statement is a fair-value based approach for measuring stock-based compensation and requires us to recognize the cost of employee and non-employee directors' services received in exchange for our Company's equity instruments. Under SFAS No. 123(R), we are required to record compensation expense over an award's vesting period based on the award's fair value at the date of grant. We have adopted SFAS No. 123(R) on a prospective basis; accordingly, our financial statements for periods prior to January 1, 2006, do not include compensation cost calculated under the fair value method. Our awards vest based only on service conditions and compensation expense is recognized on a straight-line basis for each separately vesting portion of an award. We recorded compensation expense for stock based awards of \$1.5 million before income tax, or \$0.03 per diluted share after tax effect, in the year ended December 29, 2007 and \$0.6 million before tax, or \$0.02 per diluted share after-tax effect, in the year ended December 30, 2006.

Prior to January 1, 2006, our Company applied Accounting Principles Board Opinion 25, Accounting for Stock issued to Employees (APB 25), and therefore recorded the intrinsic value of stock-based compensation as expense. Under APB 25, compensation cost was recorded only to the extent that the exercise price was less than the fair value of our Company's stock on the date of grant. No compensation expense was recognized in previous financial statements under APB 25. Additionally, our Company reported the pro forma impact of using a fair value based approach to valuing stock options under the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, *Accounting for Stock Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123)*.

Stock options granted prior to our Company's initial public offering (see Note 15) were valued using the minimum value method in the pro-forma disclosures required by SFAS No. 123. The minimum value method excludes volatility in the calculation of fair value of stock based compensation. In accordance with SFAS No. 123(R), options that were valued using the minimum value method, for purposes of pro forma disclosure under SFAS No. 123, must be transitioned to SFAS No. 123(R) using the prospective method. As a result, these options will continue to be accounted for under the same accounting principles (recognition and measurement) originally applied to those awards in the income statement, which for our Company was APB No. 25. Accordingly, the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R) did not result in any compensation cost being recognized for these options. Additionally, pro forma information previously required under SFAS No. 123 and SFAS No. 148 will no longer be presented for these options.

Income and other taxes

Our Company accounts for income taxes utilizing the liability method described in SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (SFAS No. 109). Under SFAS No. 109 deferred income taxes are recorded to

Table of Contents

reflect consequences on future years of differences between financial reporting and the tax basis of assets and liabilities measured using the enacted statutory tax rates and tax laws applicable to the periods in which differences are expected to affect taxable earnings. The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48 (FIN 48), *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes*, on January 1, 2007. We did not recognize any material liability for unrecognized tax benefits in conjunction with our FIN 48 implementation. However, should we accrue for such liabilities when and if they arise in the future we will recognize interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of our income tax provision.

Sales taxes collected from customers have been recorded on a net basis.

Net income (loss) per common share

Net income (loss) per common share (EPS) is calculated in accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*, which requires the presentation of basic and dilutive earnings per share. Basic earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period, plus the dilutive effect of common stock equivalents. Our Company's weighted average shares outstanding excludes underlying options of 2.0 million, 1.8 million and 0.2 million for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively, because their effects were anti-dilutive.

The Table below presents a reconciliation of weighted average common shares, in thousands, used in the calculation of basic and diluted EPS for our Company:

| <i>(in thousands, except per share amounts)</i> | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|------------------------------|---|------------------------------|
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 623 | \$ (969) | \$ 7,863 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares Basic | 27,294 | 21,204 | 15,723 |
| Add: Dilutive effect of stock compensation plans | 1,044 | | 1,576 |
| Weighted-average common shares Diluted | 28,338 | 21,204 | 17,299 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.50 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.02 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.45 |

Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

3. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Statement of Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141R) was issued in December 2007. SFAS No. 141R establishes principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. SFAS No. 141R also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. SFAS No. 141R is effective for the Company in its fiscal year beginning January 1, 2009. The Company will apply the provisions of SFAS No. 141R to future acquisitions, if any.

Statement of Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51* (SFAS No. 160) was issued in December 31, 2007. SFAS No. 160

Table of Contents

establishes accounting and reporting standards for the noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. It clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary is an ownership interest in the consolidated entity that should be reported as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 is effective for the Company in fiscal years beginning January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS No. 160 is not currently expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Emerging Issues Task Force issue 06-11, *Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Award*, (Issue 06-11) was issued in June 2007. Issue 06-11 applies to share-based payment arrangements with dividend protection features that entitle employees to receive (a) dividends on equity-classified nonvested shares, (b) dividend equivalents on equity-classified nonvested share units, or (c) payments equal to the dividends paid on the underlying shares while an equity-classified share option is outstanding, when those dividends or dividend equivalents are charged to retained earnings under Statement of Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payments* (SFAS No. 123R) and result in an income tax deduction for the employer. The Task Force reached a consensus that a realized income tax benefit from dividends or dividend equivalents that are charged to retained earnings and are paid to employees for equity classified nonvested equity shares, nonvested equity share units, and outstanding equity share options should be recognized as an increase to additional paid-in capital. The amount recognized in additional paid-in capital for the realized income tax benefit from dividends on those awards should be included in the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies on share-based payment awards (as described in Statement 123(R)). The consensus in Issue 06-11 is effective for the Company for income tax benefits that result from dividends on equity-classified employee share-based payment awards that are declared in fiscal years beginning January 1, 2008. The Company will apply the provisions of Issue 06-11 to future share-based payment awards, if any, should they contain dividend protection features.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (SFAS No. 159) was issued in February 2007 and will become effective for the Company on January 1, 2008. SFAS No. 159 permits entities the option to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses in respect of assets and liabilities for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in earnings. Selection of the fair value option is irrevocable and can be applied on a partial basis, i.e., to some but not all similar financial assets or liabilities. The Company is still evaluating its election options under SFAS No. 159 for any of its financial assets and liabilities for which SFAS No. 159 allows such an election to be made.

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (SFAS No. 157) was issued in September 2006 to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements and to expand their disclosures. The new standard includes a definition of fair value as well as a framework for measuring fair value. The provisions of this standard apply to other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 is effective with fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and should be applied prospectively, except for certain financial instruments where it must be applied retrospectively as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the balance of opening retained earnings in the year of adoption. In November 2007, the FASB agreed to a one-year deferral of SFAS No. 157's fair-value measurement requirements for nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are not required or permitted to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis. The FASB also intends to clarify disclosure requirements about the fair-value measurements of pension plan assets by plan sponsors and will develop additional guidance on how SFAS No. 157 applies to measurements of liabilities. The Company is currently evaluating if the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have a material impact on its financial statements.

4. Restructuring

On October 25, 2007, we announced a restructuring of the Company as a result of an in-depth analysis of the Company's target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in

Table of Contents

a decrease in indirect workforce (overhead) of approximately 17%, which equated to approximately 8% of the Company's then overall employee population, and included employees at both its Venice, Florida and Salisbury, North Carolina locations. The Company believes this restructuring is essential to streamline operations, as well as improve processes to drive new product development and sales. As a result of the restructuring, the Company recorded a restructuring charge of \$2.4 million in the fourth quarter of 2007 of which \$0.7 million is classified within cost of goods sold in the accompanying statement of operations for the year ended December 29, 2007. The charge related primarily to employee separation costs. Of the \$2.4 million charge, \$1.5 million of cash had been disbursed as of December 29, 2007. The remaining \$0.9 million is classified within accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 29, 2007 (Note 7) and is expected to be disbursed in 2008.

5. Property, Plant and Equipment

The following table presents the composition of property, plant and equipment as of:

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Land | \$ 4,029 | \$ 3,604 |
| Buildings and improvements | 48,132 | 44,136 |
| Machinery and equipment | 41,275 | 34,023 |
| Vehicles | 5,334 | 4,786 |
| Software | 10,205 | 7,942 |
| Construction in progress | 3,122 | 6,322 |
| | 112,097 | 100,813 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (31,913) | (22,011) |
| | \$ 80,184 | \$ 78,802 |

6. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill and other intangible assets are as follows as of:

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 | Useful Life (in years) |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>(in thousands)</i> | | |
| Goodwill | \$ 169,648 | \$ 169,648 | indefinite |
| Other intangible assets: | | | |
| Trademarks | \$ 62,500 | \$ 62,500 | indefinite |
| Customer relationships | 55,700 | 55,700 | 10 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | (21,852) | (16,282) | |
| Subtotal | 33,848 | 39,418 | |
| Other intangible assets, net | \$ 96,348 | \$ 101,918 | |

The trademarks purchased by our Company during the PGT Holding Company acquisition included PGT/*Visibly Better*, *WinGuard*, *Eze-Breeze* and *NatureScape*. As a result of declining margins and a shift in our manufacturing focus, our Company made the decision to sell the *NatureScape* product line during the fourth quarter of 2005. The sale, which closed on February 20, 2006, included the sale of the trademark.

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

Accordingly, the trademark, which was recorded at \$7.3 million at January 1, 2005, was written down to its net realizable value of \$100,000 at December 31, 2005.

- 51 -

Table of Contents

There were no changes in the net carrying amount of goodwill for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005. The amount of goodwill deductible for tax purposes is \$80.3 million.

Estimated amortization on intangible assets is as follows for future fiscal years:

| | (In thousands) |
|--------------|-----------------------|
| 2008 | \$ 5,570 |
| 2009 | 5,570 |
| 2010 | 5,570 |
| 2011 | 5,570 |
| 2012 | 5,570 |
| Thereafter | 5,998 |
| Total | \$ 33,848 |

7. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following:

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|--|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Accrued warranty | \$ 3,376 | \$ 3,571 |
| Accrued interest | 490 | 1,615 |
| Accrued payroll and benefits | 3,686 | 5,653 |
| Accrued restructuring costs | 850 | |
| Fair value of derivative financial instruments | 730 | 87 |
| Accrued health claims insurance payable | 995 | 1,669 |
| Other | 1,378 | 2,436 |
| | \$ 11,505 | \$ 15,031 |

Other accrued liabilities are comprised primarily of unearned revenue related to customer deposits and non-income tax accruals, primarily payroll and state sales tax.

Table of Contents**8. Long-Term Debt**

Long-term debt consists of the following:

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Tranche A2 term note payable to a bank in quarterly installments of \$420,019 beginning November 14, 2007 through November 14, 2011. A lump sum payment of \$158.3 million is due on February 14, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at LIBOR or the prime rate plus an applicable margin. At December 30, 2006, the rate was 5.38% plus a margin of 3.00%. | \$ | \$ 165,488 |
| Tranche A2 term note payable to a bank in quarterly installments of \$331,633 beginning November 14, 2008 through November 14, 2011. A lump sum payment of \$125.7 million is due on February 14, 2012. Interest is payable quarterly at LIBOR or the prime rate plus an applicable margin. At December 29, 2007, the average rate was 5.38% plus a margin of 3.00%. | 130,000 | |
| | 130,000 | 165,488 |
| Less current portion of long-term debt | (332) | (420) |
| | \$ 129,668 | \$ 165,068 |

On September 19, 2005, our Company amended and restated its prior credit agreement with a bank. In connection with the amendment, our Company created a new tranche of term loans with an aggregate principal amount of \$190.0 million. The proceeds were used to refinance the existing Tranche A and B debt, fund a \$20 million dividend to our stockholders, and pay certain financing costs related to the amendment. These term loans were paid off with the proceeds from the debt entered into on February 14, 2006, as further discussed below.

On February 14, 2006, our Company entered into a second amended and restated \$235 million senior secured credit facility and a \$115 million second lien term loan due August 14, 2012, with a syndicate of banks. The senior secured credit facility is composed of a \$30 million revolving credit facility and, initially, a \$205 million first lien term loan. As of December 29, 2007 there was \$25.3 million available under the revolving credit facility.

The first lien term loan bears interest at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 3.0% per annum or a base rate plus 2.0% per annum, at our option. The loans under the revolving credit facility bear interest initially, at our option (provided, that all swingline loans shall be base rate loans), at a rate equal to an adjusted LIBOR rate plus 2.75% per annum or a base rate plus 1.75% per annum, and the margins above LIBOR and base rate may decline to 2.00% for LIBOR loans and 1.00% for base rate loans if certain leverage ratios are met. A commitment fee equal to 0.50% per annum accrues on the average daily unused amount of the commitment of each lender under the revolving credit facility and such fee is payable quarterly in arrears. We are also required to pay certain other fees with respect to the senior secured credit facility including (i) letter of credit fees on the aggregate undrawn amount of outstanding letters of credit plus the aggregate principal amount of all letter of credit reimbursement obligations, (ii) a fronting fee to the letter of credit issuing bank and (iii) administrative fees.

The first lien term loan is secured by a perfected first priority pledge of all of the equity interests of our subsidiary and perfected first priority security interests in and mortgages on substantially all of our tangible and intangible assets and those of the guarantors, except, in the case of the stock of a foreign subsidiary, to the extent such pledge would be prohibited by applicable law or would result in materially adverse tax consequences, and

Table of Contents

subject to such other exceptions as are agreed. The senior secured credit facility contains a number of covenants that, among other things, restrict our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to (i) dispose of assets; (ii) change our business; (iii) engage in mergers or consolidations; (iv) make certain acquisitions; (v) pay dividends or repurchase or redeem stock; (vi) incur indebtedness or guarantee obligations and issue preferred and other disqualified stock; (vii) make investments and loans; (viii) incur liens; (ix) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (x) enter into sale and leaseback transactions; (xi) issue stock or stock options under certain conditions; (xii) amend or prepay subordinated indebtedness and loans under the second lien secured credit facility; (xiii) modify or waive material documents; or (xiv) change our fiscal year. In addition, under the senior secured credit facility, we are required to comply with specified financial ratios and tests, including a minimum interest coverage ratio, a maximum leverage ratio, and maximum capital expenditures.

Borrowings under the new senior secured credit facility and second lien secured credit facility were used to refinance our Company's existing debt facility, pay a cash dividend to stockholders of \$83.5 million, and make a cash payment of approximately \$26.9 million (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.5 million) to stock option holders in connection with such dividend. Approximately \$5.1 million of the cash payment to stock option holders was paid to employees whose other compensation is a component of cost of sales. In connection with the refinancing, our Company incurred fees and expenses aggregating \$4.5 million that are included as a component of other assets, net and amortized over the terms of the new senior secured credit facility. In the first quarter of 2006, the total cash payment to stock option holders and unamortized deferred financing costs of \$4.6 million related to the prior credit facility were expensed and recorded as stock compensation expense and a component of interest expense, respectively.

Contractual future maturities of long-term debt outstanding as of December 29, 2007 are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|-------|------------|
| 2008 | \$ 332 |
| 2009 | 1,327 |
| 2010 | 1,327 |
| 2011 | 1,327 |
| 2012 | 125,687 |
| Total | \$ 130,000 |

During the third quarter of 2006, we repaid \$154.0 million of long term debt, including full repayment of the \$115 million second lien term note, through the use of the proceeds generated from our IPO and cash on hand. In connection with this repayment, we incurred \$2.3 million in prepayment penalties and expensed \$2.0 million of unamortized deferred financing costs recorded in interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 30, 2006. The Company made additional prepayments during 2007 totaling \$35.5 million, including \$20.0 million in February 2007, \$5.0 million in June 2007, \$4.5 million in July 2007 and \$6.0 million in September 2007. In connection with these prepayments, we expensed a total of \$0.4 million of unamortized deferred financing costs recorded in interest expense in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 29, 2007. These prepayments had the effect of reducing our mandatory principal payments on our first lien term loan from \$1.7 million to \$0.3 million in 2008, from \$1.7 million to \$1.3 million in 2009 through 2011, and the final lump sum payment due in 2012 from \$158.3 million to \$125.7 million.

On an annual basis, our Company is required to compute excess cash flow, as defined in our credit and security agreement with the bank. In periods where there is excess cash flow, our Company is required to make prepayments in an aggregate principal amount determined through reference to a grid based on the leverage ratio. No such prepayments were required for the year ended December 29, 2007. The term note and line of credit require that our Company also maintain compliance with certain restrictive financial covenants, the most restrictive of which requires our Company to maintain a total leverage ratio, as defined in the debt agreement, of not greater than certain predetermined amounts. Failure of our Company to comply with any restrictive covenant

Table of Contents

gives the bank the right to accelerate the repayment of the term note and line of credit. Our Company believes that we are in compliance with all restrictive financial covenants.

9. Interest Expense

Interest expense, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Long-term debt | \$ 11,291 | \$ 22,141 | \$ 12,495 |
| Debt fees | 425 | 781 | 397 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 724 | 7,205 | 1,285 |
| Short-term debt | | | 120 |
| Interest income | (807) | (1,054) | (122) |
| Interest expense | 11,633 | 29,073 | 14,175 |
| Capitalized interest | (229) | (564) | (304) |
| Interest expense, net | \$ 11,404 | \$ 28,509 | \$ 13,871 |

10. Derivative Financial Instruments

On October 29, 2004, our Company entered into a three-year interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$33.5 million that was designated as a cash flow hedge and effectively converted a portion of the floating rate debt to a fixed rate of 3.53%. Since all of the critical terms of the swap exactly matched those of the hedged debt, no ineffectiveness was identified in the hedging relationship. Consequently, all changes in fair value were recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. Our Company periodically determined the effectiveness of the swap by determining that the critical terms still matched, determining that the future interest payments were still probable of occurrence, and evaluating the likelihood of the counterparty's compliance with the terms of the swap. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement of \$0.6 million as of December 30, 2006, recorded in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 30, 2006, was recognized as other expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2007.

Also on October 29, 2004, our Company entered into a three-year interest rate cap agreement with a notional amount of \$33.5 million that protected an additional portion of the variable rate debt from an increase in the floating rate to greater than 4.5%. Our Company designated the cap as a cash flow hedge since changes in the intrinsic value of the cap were expected to be highly effective in offsetting the changes in cash flow attributable to fluctuations in interest rates. The time value of the cap was considered inherently ineffective and changes in its value were recorded in other (income) expense, net, as they occurred. Changes in the intrinsic value of the cap were not significant in 2006 and 2005. The fair value of the interest rate cap agreement of \$0.3 million as of December 30, 2006, recorded in other assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 30, 2006, was recognized as other expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2007.

On September 19, 2005, the hedging relationships involving the interest rate swap and cap agreements were terminated as a result of changes made to the terms of the credit agreement. Accordingly, the changes in fair value of the swap and cap from that point are recorded in other (income) expense, net, and the accumulated balance for the interest rate swap agreement included in other comprehensive income at the time of ineffectiveness of \$0.7 million was being amortized into earnings over the remaining life of the agreement. At December 30, 2006, there was \$0.2 million remaining to be amortized, in accumulated other comprehensive income, which was recognized as other income in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations in 2007. The interest rate swap and cap agreements expired in October 2007.

Table of Contents

On April 14, 2006, our Company entered into a two-year interest rate swap agreement with a notional amount of \$61.0 million that was designated as a cash flow hedge and effectively converted a portion of the floating rate debt to a fixed rate of 5.345%. Since all of the critical terms of the swap exactly matched those of the hedged debt, no ineffectiveness was identified in the hedging relationship. Consequently, all changes in fair value are recorded as a component of other comprehensive income. Our Company periodically determines the effectiveness of the swap by determining that the critical terms still match, determining that the future interest payments are still probable of occurrence, and evaluating the likelihood of the counterparty's compliance with the terms of the swap. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement was \$0.1 million as of December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, and is recorded in accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of those dates.

Our Company enters into aluminum forward contracts to hedge the fluctuations in the purchase price of aluminum extrusion it uses in production. At December 29, 2007, we had 22 outstanding forward contracts for the purchase of 5.4 million pounds of aluminum at an average price of \$1.22 per pound with maturity dates of between one month and eight months through August 2008. These contracts are designated as cash flow hedges since they are highly effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows attributable to forecasted purchases of aluminum. These aluminum hedges had a fair value of \$0.7 million at December 29, 2007, classified within accrued liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet. Our overall aluminum hedge program qualifies as highly effective for reporting purposes. Effectiveness of aluminum forward contracts is determined by comparing the change in the fair value of the forward contract to the change in the expected cash to be paid for the hedged item. During the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 the ineffective portion of the hedging instruments was not significant. The ending accumulated balance for the aluminum forward contracts included in accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax, is \$0.4 million as of December 29, 2007, all of which is expected to be reclassified to earnings in 2008.

11. Income Taxes

Deferred income taxes reflect the net tax effects of temporary difference between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Significant components of our Company's net deferred tax liability are as follows as of:

| | December 29, 2007 | December 30, 2006 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | <i>(In thousands)</i> | |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Accrued warranty | \$ 1,944 | \$ 1,924 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 579 | 1,089 |
| State tax credits | 232 | 175 |
| Other accruals | 1,396 | 1,536 |
| Reserve for obsolescence | 829 | 834 |
| State net operating loss carryforwards | 423 | 449 |
| Derivative financial instruments | 285 | |
| Compensation expense | 745 | 231 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 6,433 | 6,238 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Intangible assets | (45,731) | (45,813) |
| Property, plant and equipment | (5,946) | (7,314) |
| Derivative financial instruments | | (297) |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | (51,677) | (53,424) |
| Net deferred tax liability | \$ (45,244) | \$ (47,186) |

Table of Contents

The components of income tax expense (benefit) are as follows (in thousands):

| | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Current: | | | |
| Federal | \$ 1,729 | \$ (3,604) | \$ 7,497 |
| State | 150 | (10) | 1,391 |
| | 1,879 | (3,614) | 8,888 |
| Deferred: | | | |
| Federal | (1,337) | 3,157 | (3,817) |
| State | (86) | 558 | (1,161) |
| | (1,423) | 3,715 | (4,978) |
| Income tax expense | \$ 456 | \$ 101 | \$ 3,910 |

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to our Company's effective rate is provided below:

| | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|---|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| Statutory federal income tax rate | 35.0% | (35.0)% | 35.0% |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | 4.0 | (4.0) | 4.0 |
| Non-deductible expenses | 7.1 | 7.6 | 0.4 |
| State tax credits | (4.9) | 48.7 | (4.8) |
| Manufacturing deduction | (1.5) | | (2.2) |
| Other | 2.6 | (5.7) | 0.8 |
| | 42.3% | 11.6% | 33.2% |

Our effective combined federal and state tax rate was 42.3%, 11.6% and 33.2% for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. The 11.6% effective tax rate on a loss of \$0.9 million in the year ended December 30, 2006 resulted from a change in the recognition of state tax credits in North Carolina. These credits are now recognized in the year in which they are made available for deduction. Previously, we recognized these credits in the year in which they were generated. This change resulted in an unfavorable adjustment to our tax expense of \$0.4 million. Without this adjustment our tax rate would have been a benefit of 37.0% for 2006.

Our Company has \$10.5 million of state operating loss carryforwards expiring at various dates through 2026.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, our Company considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during the periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Our Company considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. After consideration of all the evidence, both positive and negative, our Company has determined that a valuation allowance is not necessary.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. We did not recognize any material liability for unrecognized tax benefits in conjunction with our FIN 48 implementation and there were no changes to our unrecognized tax benefits during the current year. However, should we accrue for such liabilities when and if they arise in the future we will recognize interest and penalties associated with uncertain tax positions as part of our income tax provision.

Table of Contents**12. Commitments and Contingencies**

Our Company leases production equipment, vehicles, computer equipment, storage units and office equipment under operating leases expiring at various times through 2014. Lease expense was \$3.3 million, \$3.0 million and \$2.3 million for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. Future minimum lease commitments for non-cancelable operating leases are as follows at December 29, 2007 (in thousands):

| | |
|------------|----------|
| 2008 | \$ 2,212 |
| 2009 | 1,152 |
| 2010 | 750 |
| 2011 | 349 |
| Thereafter | 318 |
| Total | \$ 4,781 |

Our Company, through the terms of certain of its leases, has the option to purchase the leased equipment for cash in an amount equal to its then fair market value plus all applicable taxes.

Our Company is obligated to purchase certain raw materials used in the production of our products from certain suppliers pursuant to stocking programs. If these programs were cancelled by our Company, we would be required to pay \$2.5 million for various materials. During the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we made purchases under these programs totaling \$92.1 million, \$127.7 million and \$120.3 million, respectively.

At December 29, 2007, our Company had \$4.7 million in standby letters of credit related to its workers compensation insurance coverage and commitments to purchase equipment of \$0.5 million.

Our Company is a party to various legal proceedings in the ordinary course of business. Although the ultimate disposition of those proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, management believes the outcome of any claim that is pending or threatened, either individually or on a combined basis, will not have a materially adverse effect on the operations, financial position or cash flows of our Company.

13. Employee Benefit Plan

Our Company has a 401(k) plan covering substantially all employees 18 years of age or older who have at least three months of service. Employees may contribute up to 100% of their annual compensation subject to Internal Revenue Code maximum limitations. Through the end of 2007, our Company agreed to make matching contributions of 100% of the employee's contribution up to 3% of the employee's salary. Effective the first day of the Company's 2008 fiscal year, the Company suspended the matching contributions portion of the 401(k) plan. Company contributions and earnings thereon vest at the rate of 20% per year of service with our Company when at least 1,000 hours are worked within the Plan year. Our Company recognized expense of \$1.9 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.7 million in the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively.

14. Related Parties

Prior to our Initial Public Offering, the Company paid a management fee to JLL Partners, Inc., which is related to our Company's majority shareholder, JLL Partners Fund IV L.P., of approximately \$1.4 million and \$1.8 million for the years ended December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. These amounts are recorded in selling, general, and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. Effective on the date of the Initial Public Offering, the management fee was terminated.

Table of Contents

In the ordinary course of business, we sell windows to Builders FirstSource, Inc., a company controlled by affiliates of JLL Partners, Inc. One of our directors, Floyd F. Sherman, is the president, chief executive officer, and a director of Builders FirstSource, Inc. In addition, Ramsey A. Frank, Brett N. Milgrim, and Paul S. Levy are directors of Builders FirstSource, Inc. Total net sales to Builders FirstSource, Inc. were \$2.7 million, \$4.7 million and \$2.6 million in the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005, respectively. As of December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006 there was \$0.3 million due from Builders FirstSource, Inc. included in accounts receivable in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

15. Shareholders Equity

Initial Public Offering

On June 27, 2006, the SEC declared our Company's registration statement on Form S-1 effective, and our Company completed an initial public offering (IPO) of 8,823,529 shares of its common stock at a price of \$14.00 per share. Our Company's common stock began trading on The Nasdaq National Market under the symbol PGTI on June 28, 2006. After underwriting discounts of approximately \$8.6 million and estimated transaction costs of approximately \$2.5 million, net proceeds received by the Company on July 3, 2006, were \$112.3 million. Our Company used net IPO proceeds, together with cash on hand, to repay \$137.0 million of borrowings under our senior secured credit facilities.

Our Company granted the underwriters an option to purchase up to an additional 1,323,529 shares of common stock at the IPO price, which the underwriters exercised in full on July 27, 2006. After underwriting discounts of approximately \$1.3 million, aggregate net proceeds received by the Company on August 1, 2006 were \$17.2 million of which \$17.0 million was used to repay a portion of our outstanding debt.

In conjunction with the IPO, our Company's stockholders approved an amendment and restatement of the Company's certificate of incorporation which increased the number of authorized shares of preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share, from zero to 10.0 million and maintained the number of authorized shares of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of 200.0 million.

Stock Split

On June 5, 2006, our board of directors and our stockholders approved a 662.07889-for-1 stock split of our common stock and approved increasing the number of shares of common stock that the Company is authorized to issue to 200.0 million.

After the stock split, effective June 6, 2006, each holder of record held 662.07889 shares of common stock for every 1 share held immediately prior to the effective date. As a result of the stock split, the board of directors also exercised its discretion under the anti-dilution provisions of our 2004 Stock Incentive Plan to adjust the number of shares underlying stock options and the related exercise prices to reflect the change in the per share value and outstanding shares on the date of the stock split. The effect of fractional shares is not material.

Following the effective date of the stock split, the par value of the common stock remained at \$0.01 per share. As a result, we have increased the common stock in our consolidated balance sheets and statements of shareholders' equity included herein on a retroactive basis for all of our Company's periods presented, with a corresponding decrease to additional paid-in capital. All share and per share amounts and related disclosures have also been retroactively adjusted for all of our Company's periods presented to reflect the 662.07889-for-1 stock split.

Special Cash Dividends

In February 2006, our Company paid a special cash dividend to our stockholders of \$83.5 million, or \$5.30 per share. In connection with the payment of this dividend, our Company also made a compensatory cash

Table of Contents

payment of \$26.9 million to stock option holders (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.5 million) in-lieu of adjusting exercise prices that was recorded as stock compensation expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 30, 2006.

In September 2005, our Company paid a special cash dividend to our stockholders of \$20.0 million, or \$1.27 per share. In connection with the payment of this dividend, our Company also made a compensatory cash payment of \$6.6 million to stock option holders (including applicable payroll taxes of \$0.2 million) in-lieu of adjusting exercise prices that was recorded as stock compensation expense in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005.

16. Employee Stock Based Compensation

On January 29, 2004, our Company adopted the JLL Window Holdings, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2004 Plan), whereby stock-based awards may be granted by the Board of Directors (the Board) to officers, key employees, consultants and advisers of our Company.

In conjunction with the acquisition of PGT Holding Company, our Company rolled over 2.9 million option shares belonging to option holders of the acquired entity. These options have a ten year term and are fully vested. Of these options, 1.1 million have an exercise price of \$0.38 per share, and 1.8 million have an exercise price of \$1.51 per share.

Also in conjunction with the acquisition, our Company granted 1.6 million option shares to key employees. These options have a ten-year life, fully vest after five years and have an accelerated vesting based on achievement of certain financial targets over three years, with an exercise price of \$8.64 per share. On July 5, 2005, and November 30, 2005, our Company granted to key employees 0.5 million and 0.2 million option shares, respectively. These options have a ten-year life, fully vest after five years, and have accelerated vesting based on certain financial targets over three years, with an exercise price of \$8.64 and \$12.84 per share, respectively. There were 36,413 shares of restricted stock granted under the 2004 Plan during 2006. There were 137,095 shares available for grant under the 2004 Plan at December 30, 2006. No options or restricted share awards were granted under the 2004 Plan during 2007. There were 332,275 shares available for grant under the 2004 Plan at December 29, 2007.

On June 5, 2006, our Company adopted the 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan) whereby equity-based awards may be granted by the Board to eligible non-employee directors, selected officers and other employees, advisors and consultants of our Company. There were 172,138 options and 42,623 shares of restricted stock granted under the 2006 Plan during 2006. There were 2,785,239 shares available for grant under the 2006 Plan at December 30, 2006. There were 161,071 options and 46,503 restricted shares granted under the 2006 Plan during 2007. There were 2,622,125 shares available for grant under the 2006 Plan at December 29, 2007.

The compensation cost that was charged against income for stock compensation plans was \$1.5 million in 2007 and \$0.6 million for 2006 and is included in selling, general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations. The total income tax benefit recognized for share-based compensation arrangements was \$0.6 million in 2007 and \$0.2 million in 2006. We currently expect to satisfy share-based awards with registered shares available to be issued.

The fair value of each stock option grant was estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option-pricing model with the following weighted-average assumptions used for grants under the 2006 Plan in the following years:

2007: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 36.0%, risk-free interest rate of 4.7%, and expected life of 5 years.

2006: dividend yield of 0%, expected volatility of 44.3%, risk-free interest rate of 5.2%, and expected life of 7 years.

Table of Contents

The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding and was determined by calculating the midpoint between the date of full vesting and the contractual life. Volatility is based on the average historical volatility of a peer group of nine public companies over the past seven years, which were selected on the basis of operational and economic similarity with the principal business operations of our Company. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual term of the options is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve with a maturity equal to the life of the option in effect at the time of grant.

Stock Options

A summary of the status of our Company's stock options as of December 29, 2007, and the changes during 2007 and 2006 is presented below:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 31, 2005 | 4,982,283 | \$ 4.43 |
| Granted | 172,138 | \$ 14.00 |
| Exercised | (1,102,511) | \$ 1.19 |
| Forfeited/Expired | (43,746) | \$ 8.64 |
| Outstanding at December 30, 2006 | 4,008,164 | \$ 5.69 |
| Granted | 161,071 | \$ 12.65 |
| Exercised | (609,837) | \$ 3.16 |
| Forfeited/Expired | (237,257) | \$ 9.53 |
| Outstanding at December 29, 2007 | 3,322,141 | \$ 6.21 |
| Exercisable at December 29, 2007 | 2,319,449 | \$ 4.51 |
| Exercisable at December 30, 2006 | 2,481,947 | \$ 3.25 |

The following table summarizes information about employee stock options outstanding at December 29, 2007 (dollars in thousands, except per share amounts):

| Exercise Price | Remaining Contractual Life | Outstanding | Outstanding Intrinsic Value | Exercisable | Exercisable Intrinsic Value |
|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| \$ 0.38 | 6.1 Years | 530,013 | \$ 2,359 | 530,013 | \$ 2,359 |
| \$ 1.51 | 6.1 Years | 815,742 | 2,708 | 815,742 | 2,708 |
| \$ 8.64 | 6.4 Years | 1,501,198 | | 842,691 | |
| \$12.84 | 7.9 Years | 184,057 | | 73,622 | |
| \$14.00 | 8.5 Years | 141,242 | | 57,381 | |
| \$12.77 | 9.2 Years | 132,748 | | | |
| \$12.80 | 9.2 Years | 9,423 | | | |
| \$10.15 | 9.6 Years | 7,718 | | | |
| | | 3,322,141 | \$ 5,067 | 2,319,449 | \$ 5,067 |

The weighted-average fair value of options granted during the fiscal years ended December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006 was \$5.00 and \$6.61, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and of options exercisable as of December 29, 2007 was \$5.1 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of options outstanding and of options exercisable as of December 30, 2006 was \$27.8 million and \$23.3 million, respectively. The total fair value of options vested during the fiscal years ended December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006 was \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

For the fiscal year ended December 29, 2007, we received \$1.9 million in proceeds from the exercise of 609,837 stock options for which the tax benefit realized was \$1.8 million. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock

- 61 -

Table of Contents

options exercised during the fiscal years ended December 29, 2007 was \$4.5 million. For the fiscal year ended December 30, 2006, we received \$1.3 million in proceeds from the exercise of 1,102,510 stock options for which the tax benefit realized was \$5.4 million. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the fiscal years ended December 30, 2006 was \$12.6 million. There were no options exercised during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005.

As of December 29, 2007, there was \$0.5 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock option compensation arrangements granted which is expected to be recognized in earnings straight-line over a weighted-average period of 1.7 years.

The Repricing

On March 6, 2008, the board of directors of the Company approved, subject to the approval of the Company's stockholders, the cancellation and termination of the option agreements (collectively, the "Current Option Agreements") of certain employees of the Company, including Jeffrey T. Jackson, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer of the Company, and Mario Ferrucci III, Vice President, Corporate Counsel, and Secretary of the Company (collectively, the "Designated Employees"), and the grant of replacement options under the Company's 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, in each case to be entered into by the Company and each such Designated Employee pursuant to a PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Replacement Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement (the "Replacement Option Agreement").

The board of directors of the Company determined that, as a result of economic conditions that have adversely affected the Company and the industry in which the Company competes, the options held by the Designated Employees had exercise prices that were significantly above the current market price of the Company's common stock and that the grants of replacement options would help the Company to retain and provide additional incentive to such Designated Employees and align their interests with those of the Company's stockholders.

Pursuant to the terms of the Replacement Option Agreement executed on March 6, 2008, by each of the Designated Employees, the grant of replacement options is conditioned upon the approval of the Company's stockholders at a duly called annual or special meeting. If the grant of replacement options and the cancellation and termination of the Current Option Agreements fail to be approved by the Company's stockholders on or prior to September 30, 2008, the Replacement Option Agreements will automatically expire without further action of the parties and become null and void, and the Current Option Agreements will be reinstated and continue in full force and effect.

The replacement options have an exercise price of \$3.09 per share, which is the closing price on the NASDAQ Global Market of the Company's common stock on March 5, 2008, the day before the date on which the board of directors of the Company granted the replacement options and the Designated Employees executed the Replacement Option Agreements. The replacement options are exercisable with respect to one third of the shares (rounded to the nearest whole share) on each of the first, second, and third anniversaries of March 6, 2008. The replacement options expire on March 6, 2015.

Mr. Jackson was granted an option to purchase an aggregate of 152,675 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share. In connection therewith, Mr. Jackson's option to purchase 115,863 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.84 per share and his option to purchase 36,812 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.77 per share were cancelled and terminated, subject to approval of the Company's stockholders.

Mr. Ferrucci was granted an option to purchase an aggregate of 53,984 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$3.09 per share. In connection therewith, Mr. Ferrucci's option to purchase 36,414 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$14.00 per share and his option to purchase 17,570 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$12.77 per share were cancelled and terminated, subject to approval of the Company's stockholders.

Table of Contents**Non-Vested Restricted Share Awards**

On June 27, 2006, our Company granted non-vested restricted stock to three employees and three directors. The directors' awards vest in equal annual installments over three years and the employees' awards fully vest in three years, each assuming continued service to the Company. The fair market value of the award at the time of the grant is amortized as expense over the period of vesting. Recipients of restricted shares possess all incidents of ownership of such restricted shares, including the right to receive dividends with respect to such shares and the right to vote such shares. The fair value of restricted share awards is determined based on the market value of our Company's shares on the grant date. During the year ended December 30, 2006, we granted 79,036 share awards (of which 33,623 shares were granted to non-employee directors) at a weighted average fair value of \$14.01 per share. During the year ended December 29, 2007, we granted 46,503 restricted share awards (of which 11,823 shares were granted to a non-employee director) at a weighted average fair value of \$11.99 per share.

A summary of the status of non-vested restricted share awards as of December 29, 2007 and changes during the year then ended are presented below:

| | Number of Shares | Weighted Average Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Outstanding at December 30, 2006 | 79,036 | \$ 14.01 |
| Granted | 46,503 | \$ 11.99 |
| Vested | (11,208) | \$ 14.34 |
| Forfeited/Expired | (2,382) | \$ 12.77 |
| Outstanding at December 29, 2007 | 111,949 | \$ 13.16 |

As of December 29, 2007, there was \$0.8 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted share awards. That cost is expected to be recognized in earnings straight-line over a weighted average period of 1.9 years.

17. Sales by Product Group

Sales by product group are as follows:

| | December 29, 2007 | Year Ended December 30, 2006 | December 31, 2005 |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|
| <i>(dollars in millions)</i> | | | |
| Product category: | | | |
| WinGuard Windows and Doors | \$ 189.7 | \$ 241.1 | \$ 186.2 |
| Other Window and Door Products | 88.7 | 130.5 | 146.6 |
| Total net sales | \$ 278.4 | \$ 371.6 | \$ 332.8 |

Table of Contents**18. Unaudited Quarterly Financial Data**

The following tables summarize the consolidated quarterly results of operations for 2007 and 2006 (in thousands, except per share amounts):

| | 2007 | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| Net sales (as adjusted) | \$ 72,602 | \$ 79,403 | \$ 72,054 | \$ 54,335 |
| Net sales (previously reported) | 72,675 | 79,707 | 72,229 | N/A |
| Gross profit | 24,699 | 28,719 | 22,877 | 14,710 |
| Net income (loss) | 801 | 2,785 | 1,069 | (4,032) |
| Net income (loss) per share basic | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.04 | \$ (0.15) |
| Net income (loss) per share diluted | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.04 | \$ (0.15) |
| <u>Items included in the determination of net income (loss) that may affect comparability, before tax effect:</u> | | | | |
| Impairment charge | \$ | \$ (826) | \$ | \$ |
| Restructuring charge | | | | (2,375) |

| | 2006 | | | |
|---|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| Net sales | \$ 96,355 | \$ 108,689 | \$ 98,324 | \$ 68,230 |
| Gross profit | 35,721 | 47,110 | 39,235 | 19,665 |
| Net income (loss) | (14,076) | 10,024 | 5,074 | (1,991) |
| Net income (loss) per share basic | \$ (0.89) | \$ 0.62 | \$ 0.20 | \$ (0.07) |
| Net income (loss) per share diluted | \$ (0.89) | \$ 0.55 | \$ 0.18 | \$ (0.07) |
| <u>Items included in the determination of net income (loss) that may affect comparability, before tax effect:</u> | | | | |
| Stock compensation expense related to dividends paid | \$ (26,898) | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Write-off of unamortized debt issuance costs | (4,617) | | (2,009) | |
| Management fee | (461) | (973) | | |
| Debt prepayment penalty | | | (2,300) | |
| Impairment charge | | | | (1,151) |

Net sales for the first, second and third quarters of 2007, as adjusted, differ from previously reported amounts in the Company's Quarterly Reports in Form 10-Q due to the reclassification in the fourth quarter of 2007 of customer promotions to be a reduction of gross sales. Such amounts had been classified as a component of selling, general and administrative expenses. Customer promotions in 2006 were insignificant. In accordance with SFAS 128 earnings per share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented; therefore, the sum of the quarterly earnings per share may not equal the annual earnings per share. Each of our Company's fiscal quarters above consists of 13 weeks and ended on the last Saturday of the 13 week period.

19. Recent Development (Unaudited)

On March 4, 2008, we announced a restructuring of the Company as a result of continued analysis of the Company's target markets, internal structure, projected run-rate, and efficiency. The restructuring resulted in a decrease in the Company's workforce of approximately 17% and included employees at both its Venice, Florida and Salisbury, North Carolina locations. As a result of the restructuring, the Company expects to record an estimated restructuring charge of approximately \$1.9 million in the first quarter of 2008. No amounts related to this restructuring have been accrued in the accompanying consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 29, 2007.

Table of Contents

Item 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

Item 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Exchange Act) that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed by us under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow for timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, with the participation of other members of management, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and designed to ensure that the information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Although the management of our Company, including the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, believes that our disclosure controls and internal controls currently provide reasonable assurance that our desired control objectives have been met, management does not expect that our disclosure controls or internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is also based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of PGT, Inc. is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of its internal control over financial reporting as of

Table of Contents

December 29, 2007. The framework on which such evaluation was based is contained in the report entitled "Internal Control - Integrated Framework" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the "COSO Report"). Based on that evaluation and the criteria set forth in the COSO Report, management concluded that its internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 29, 2007.

During the three months ended December 29, 2007, there was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, who also audited our consolidated financial statements, audited the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting. Ernst & Young LLP has issued their attestation report, which follows:

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of

PGT, Inc.

We have audited PGT, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 29, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). PGT, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, PGT, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 29, 2007, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets as of December 29, 2007 and December 30, 2006, and the related consolidated statements of operations, shareholders' equity, and cash flows for the years ended December 29, 2007, December 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005 of PGT, Inc. and our report dated March 7, 2008 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

Certified Public Accountants

Tampa, Florida

March 7, 2008

Table of Contents

Item 9B. *OTHER INFORMATION*

None.

- 68 -

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the captions Proposal 1 Election of Directors, Information Regarding the Board and its Committees, Corporate Governance Director Nomination Process, Corporate Governance Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, and Executive Officers of the Registrant, which information is incorporated herein by reference to Item 4 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

PGT, Inc. and its subsidiary endeavor to do business according to the highest ethical and legal standards, complying with both the letter and spirit of the law. Our board of directors has approved a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to our directors, officers (including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and controller) and employees. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is administered by a Compliance Committee made up of representatives from our legal, human resources and accounting departments.

Our employees are encouraged to report any suspected violations of laws, regulations and the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and all unethical business practices. We provide continuously monitored hotlines for anonymous reporting by employees.

Our board of directors has also approved a Supplemental Code of Ethics for the chief executive officer, president, and senior financial officers of PGT, Inc., which is administered by our general counsel.

Both of these policies can be found on the governance section of our corporate website at: <http://pgtinc.com>.

Stockholders may request a free copy of these policies by contacting the Corporate Secretary, PGT, Inc., 1070 Technology Drive, North Venice, Florida, 34275, United States of America.

In addition, within five business days of:

Any amendment to a provision of our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or our Supplemental Code of Ethics that applies to our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer; or

The grant of any waiver, including an implicit waiver, from a provision of one of these policies to one of these officers that relates to one or more of the items set forth in Item 406(b) of Regulation S-K we will provide information regarding any such amendment or waiver (including the nature of any waiver, the name of the person to whom the waiver was granted and the date of the waiver) on our Web site at the Internet address above, and such information will be available on our Web site for at least a 12-month period. In addition, we will disclose any amendments and waivers to our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics or our Supplemental Code of Ethics as required by the listing standards of the NASDAQ Global Market.

Item 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the captions Executive Compensation, Retirement Plans, Employment Agreements and Change in Control Agreements, Information Regarding the Board and its Committees Information on the Compensation of Directors, Compensation Committee Report, and Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

Item 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the caption Ownership of Securities and Equity Compensation Plan Information, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the caption Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item appears in our definitive proxy statement for our annual meeting of stockholders under the caption Proposal 2 Ratification of Selection of Auditors Fees Paid to Ernst & Young LLP, which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(a) (1) See the index to consolidated financial statements and schedule provided in Item 8 for a list of the financial statements filed as part of this report.

(2) Financial statement schedules are omitted because they are either not applicable or not material.

(3) The following documents are filed, furnished or incorporated by reference as exhibits to this report as required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K.

Exhibit

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 3.1 | Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of PGT, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 3.2 | Form of Amended and Restated By-Laws of PGT, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2007, File No. 000-52059) |
| 4.1 | Form of Specimen Certificate (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 4.2 | Amended and Restated Security Holders Agreement, by and among PGT, Inc., JLL Partners Fund IV, L.P., and the stockholders named therein, dated as of June 27, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 11, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059) |
| 4.3 | PGT Savings Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 15, 2007, Registration No. 000-52059) |
| 10.1 | Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006 among PGT Industries, Inc., as Borrower, JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto, as Guarantors, the lenders party thereto, UBS Securities LLC, as Arranger, Bookmanager, Co-Documentation Agent and Syndication Agent, UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as Issuing Bank, Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, UBS Loan Finance LLC, as Swingline Lender and General Electric Capital Corporation, as Co-Documentation Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.3 | Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006, by PGT Industries, Inc., JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto in favor of UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as First Lien Collateral Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.5 | PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.6 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 10.7 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.8 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.9 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Rodney Hershberger (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.10 | Employment Agreement, dated November 1, 2005, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Herman Moore (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.11 | Employment Agreement, dated November 28, 2005, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Jeffrey T. Jackson (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.12 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Deborah L. LaPinska (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.13 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and B. Wayne Varnadore (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.14 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and David McCutcheon (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.15 | Employment Agreement, dated July 8, 2004, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Ken Hilliard (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.16 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Linda Gavit (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.17 | Form of Director Indemnification Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.18 | Form of PGT, Inc. Rollover Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |

Table of Contents

Exhibit

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 10.19 | Employment Agreement, dated April 10, 2006, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Mario Ferrucci III (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.20 | Supply Agreement between PGT Industries, Inc. and E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, dated January 1, 2006, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.21 | Supplier Agreement between Indalex, Inc. and PGT Industries, Inc., dated February 1, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to exhibit 10.21 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2007, File No. 000-52059) |
| 10.23 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Management Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.24 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.25 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.26 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Incentive Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement o f the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.27 | Employment Agreement, dated October 24, 2006, between PGT, Inc. and Mary J. Kotler (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 30, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059) |
| 10.28* | Employment Separation, General Release of Legal Rights and Consulting Agreement, dated December 31, 2007, between PGT, Inc. and Herman W. Moore III |
| 21.1* | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 23.1* | Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1* | Certification of chief executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2* | Certification of chief financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1** | Certification of chief executive officer and chief financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.

Table of Contents**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

PGT, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: March 7, 2008

/s/ RODNEY HERSHBERGER
Rodney Hershberger
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: March 7, 2008

/s/ JEFFREY T. JACKSON
Jeffrey T. Jackson
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer

The undersigned hereby constitute and appoint Mario Ferrucci, III and his substitutes our true and lawful attorneys-in-fact with full power to execute in our name and behalf in the capacities indicated below any and all amendments to this report and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and hereby ratify and confirm all that such attorney-in-fact or his substitutes shall lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue thereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|--|---------------|
| /s/ RODNEY HERSHBERGER Rodney Hershberger | President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Director) | March 7, 2008 |
| /s/ JEFFREY T. JACKSON Jeffrey T. Jackson | Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | March 7, 2008 |
| /s/ PAUL S. LEVY Paul S. Levy | Chairman and Director | March 7, 2008 |
| /s/ ALEXANDER R. CASTALDI Alexander R. Castaldi | Director | March 7, 2008 |
| /s/ RICHARD D. FEINTUCH Richard D. Feintuch | Director | March 7, 2008 |
| /s/ M. JOSEPH McHUGH M. Joseph McHugh | Director | March 7, 2008 |
| /s/ FLOYD F. SHERMAN Floyd F. Sherman | Director | March 7, 2008 |
| /s/ RANDY L. WHITE | Director | March 7, 2008 |

Edgar Filing: PGT, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|-----------------------|----------|---------------|
| Randy L. White | | |
| /s/ BRETT N. MILGRIM | Director | March 7, 2008 |
| Brett N. Milgrim | | |
| /s/ WILLIAM J. MORGAN | Director | March 7, 2008 |
| William J. Morgan | | |
| /s/ DANIEL AGROSKIN | Director | March 7, 2008 |
| Daniel Agroskin | | |

- 74 -

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX****Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 3.1 | Form of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of PGT, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 3.2 | Form of Amended and Restated By-Laws of PGT, Inc. (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Current Report on Form 8-K of the Company, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 6, 2007, File No. 000-52059) |
| 4.1 | Form of Specimen Certificate (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 4.2 | Amended and Restated Security Holders Agreement, by and among PGT, Inc., JLL Partners Fund IV, L.P., and the stockholders named therein, dated as of June 27, 2006 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 11, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059) |
| 4.3 | PGT Savings Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Company's Form S-8 Registration Statement, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 15, 2007, Registration No. 000-52059) |
| 10.1 | Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006 among PGT Industries, Inc., as Borrower, JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto, as Guarantors, the lenders party thereto, UBS Securities LLC, as Arranger, Bookmanager, Co-Documentation Agent and Syndication Agent, UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as Issuing Bank, Administrative Agent and Collateral Agent, UBS Loan Finance LLC, as Swingline Lender and General Electric Capital Corporation, as Co-Documentation Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.3 | Amended and Restated Pledge and Security Agreement dated as of February 14, 2006, by PGT Industries, Inc., JLL Window Holdings, Inc. and the other Guarantors party thereto in favor of UBS AG, Stamford Branch, as First Lien Collateral Agent (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.5 | PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.6 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2004 Stock Incentive Plan Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.7 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.8 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|--|
| 10.9 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Rodney Hershberger (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.10 | Employment Agreement, dated November 1, 2005, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Herman Moore (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.11 | Employment Agreement, dated November 28, 2005, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Jeffrey T. Jackson (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.12 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Deborah L. LaPinska (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.13 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and B. Wayne Varnadore (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.14 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and David McCutcheon (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.15 | Employment Agreement, dated July 8, 2004, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Ken Hilliard (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.16 | Employment Agreement, dated January 29, 2001, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Linda Gavit (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.17 | Form of Director Indemnification Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.18 | Form of PGT, Inc. Rollover Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 21, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.19 | Employment Agreement, dated April 10, 2006, between PGT Industries, Inc. and Mario Ferrucci III (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Amendment No. 2 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 26, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |

Table of Contents**Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 10.20 | Supply Agreement between PGT Industries, Inc. and E.I. du Pont de Nemours and Company, dated January 1, 2006, with portions omitted pursuant to a request for confidential treatment (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 27, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.21 | Supplier Agreement between Indalex, Inc. and PGT Industries, Inc., dated February 1, 2007 (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 21 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of the Company as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 21, 2007, File No. 000-52059) |
| 10.23 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Management Incentive Plan (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.24 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.25 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.26 | Form of PGT, Inc. 2006 Equity Incentive Plan Incentive Stock Option Agreement (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement of the Company on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 8, 2006, Registration No. 333-132365) |
| 10.27 | Employment Agreement, dated October 24, 2006, between PGT, Inc. and Mary J. Kotler (incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 10 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 30, 2006, Registration No. 000-52059) |
| 10.28* | Employment Separation, General Release of Legal Rights and Consulting Agreement, dated December 31, 2007, between PGT, Inc. and Herman W. Moore III |
| 21.1* | Subsidiaries of the Registrant |
| 23.1* | Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1* | Certification of chief executive officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of chief financial officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1** | Certification of chief executive officer and chief financial officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* Filed herewith.

** Furnished herewith.