

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

Rockdale Resources Corp
Form 10-K
March 31, 2014

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

☒ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number: 000-52690

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

COLORADO
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

86-1061005
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

5114 Balcones Woods Dr., Suite 307-511
Austin, Texas
(Address of principal executive offices)

78759
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (512) 537-2257

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

Name of each exchange on which registered

None

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

Common Stock

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

(Title of class)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
☐

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such filing). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of Registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>
Non-accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act): Yes ☐ No ☒

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act): Yes ☐ No ☒

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant on June 30, 2013 was approximately \$3,439,251.

As of March 26, 2014, the Registrant had 16,569,738 outstanding shares of common stock.

Documents Incorporated by Reference: None

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	3
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	10
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	10
PART II	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	11
Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis Of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	11
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	13
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	13
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	13
PART III	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	15
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	16
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	17
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	18
Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u>	19
PART IV	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	20

Table of Contents

PART I

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains statements which, to the extent that they do not recite historical fact, constitute forward-looking statements. These statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and may include the words “may,” “will,” “could,” “should,” “would,” “believe,” “expect,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” words or expressions of similar meaning. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations about future events. The forward-looking statements include statements that reflect management’s beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations and intentions with respect to our financial condition, results of operations, future performance and business, including statements relating to our business strategy and our current and future development plans.

The potential risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual financial condition, results of operations and future performance to differ materially from those expressed or implied in this report include:

The sale prices of crude oil;

The amount of production from oil wells in which we have an interest;

Lease operating expenses;

International conflict or acts of terrorism;

General economic conditions; and

Other factors disclosed in this report.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, level of activity, performance or achievements. Many factors discussed in this report, some of which are beyond our control, will be important in determining our future performance. Consequently, actual results may differ materially from those that might be anticipated from the forward-looking statements. In light of these and other uncertainties, you should not regard the inclusion of a forward-looking statement in this report as a representation by us that our plans and objectives will be achieved, and you should not place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law.

ITEM 1. BUSINESS.

Background

We were incorporated in Colorado on January 16, 2002.

We planned to sell custom framed artwork, art accessories, and interior design consulting. However, we generated only limited revenue since inception and have been inactive since 2008.

In February 2012 we decided it would be in the best interests of our shareholders to no longer pursue our original business plan and, in April 2012 we became active in the exploration and development of oil and gas properties.

On May 4, 2012 we amended our articles of incorporation and changed our name to Rockdale Resources Corporation.

Table of Contents

Plan of Operation

We evaluate undeveloped oil and gas prospects and participate in drilling activities on those prospects which, in the opinion of management, are favorable for the production of oil or gas. If, through our review, a geographical area indicates geological and economic potential, we will attempt to acquire leases or other interests in the area. We may then attempt to sell portions of our leasehold interests in a prospect to third parties, thus sharing the risks and rewards of the exploration and development of the prospect with the other owners. One or more wells may be drilled on a prospect, and if the results indicate the presence of sufficient oil and gas reserves, additional wells may be drilled on the prospect.

Our strategy is to acquire other similar prospects in or adjacent to existing fields with further development potential and minimum risk in the same area.

We may also acquire a working interest in one or more prospects from others and participate with the other working interest owners in drilling, and if warranted, completing oil or gas wells on a prospect.

Our activities will primarily be dependent upon available financing.

Oil and gas leases are considered real property. Title to properties which we may acquire will be subject to landowner's royalties, overriding royalties, carried working and other similar interests and contractual arrangements customary in the oil and gas industry, to liens for current taxes not yet due, liens for amounts owing to persons operating wells, and to other encumbrances. As is customary in the industry, in the case of undeveloped properties little investigation of record title will be made at the time of acquisition (other than a preliminary review of local records). However, drilling title opinions may be obtained before commencement of drilling operations.

Minerva-Rockdale Field

The Minerva-Rockdale Field, which is located approximately 30 miles Northeast of Austin, was first discovered in 1921 and is approximately 50 square miles in size. The main producing formation for this field is the Upper Cretaceous Navarro Group of sands and shales. The Navarro is typically subdivided into several producing zones from the uppermost "A" and "B" sands to the lower "C" and "D" sands. The "B" sand is the primary producing zone. These sands commonly fine grained and poorly sorted and were deposited close to a shoreline during a cycle of marine regression.

In April 2012 we entered into an agreement with Kingman Operating Company, Inc., a related party. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement:

- we paid Kingman \$475,000 for the assignment of a 100% working interest (75% net revenue interest) in an oil and gas lease covering 200 acres in the Minerva-Rockdale field;

- we paid Kingman \$1,375,000 to drill and complete our first five wells; and

- we paid Kingman \$150,000 to drill and complete our sixth well. The price included the assignment of 100% working interest (75% net revenue interest) in an oil and gas lease covering 2.5 acres on a neighboring lease. We incurred an additional cost of \$41,000 from a third party to fracture and stimulate this well.

The cost to drill and complete the first five wells on the 200 acre lease was \$275,000 per well. The cost to drill and complete the sixth well on the 200 acre lease was \$191,000.

In December 2012 we paid Kingman \$106,000 to obtain 3D seismic on 623 acres in Minerva-Rockdale field, including the 200 acres we purchased from Kingman.

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

Michael D. Smith, a former officer and director of the Company, is an officer and director of Kingman Energy, LLC. Kingman Operating Company, Inc. is controlled by Kingman Energy, LLC. We terminated our agreement with Kingman Operating Company, Inc. on January 31, 2013.

In February, 2013, we entered into an agreement with RTO Operating, LLC, to operate our wells and supervise the drilling and completion of future wells.

In April 2013, the Company entered into a lease pertaining to a 423 acre tract in Milam County, Texas, which is adjacent to the Company's original 200 acre lease. The Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock as consideration for a 100% working interest (75% net revenue interest) in such lease.

In June 2013 we drilled two wells at approximately \$120,000 each.

Table of Contents

In August 2013, we became an oil and gas operator and took over the operating of 100% of our wells. As such, we will no longer use RTO Operating, LLC for the day to day monitoring of the wells. We will work independently with vendors to drill wells on our lease with the supervision of the Company's director, Matthew Ferguson.

In August 2013 we drilled one well at a cost of approximately \$120,000 and converted one existing well to an injection well.

On October 2, 2013, the Company executed a Paid Up Oil and Gas Lease (the "New Lease") between Noack Farms, LLC ("Noack"), as Lessor, and the Company, as Lessee. Under the New Lease, Noack leased to the Company 623.29 acres in Milam County, Texas, for the purpose of exploring for, developing, producing, and marketing oil and gas, along with all hydrocarbon and hydrocarbon substances produced in association therewith. The New Lease provides for royalties of 1/6th of the production from the leased premises to be paid to Noack.

The land described in the New Lease was subject to a pre-existing Paid Up Oil and Gas Lease dated June 20, 2011, from Noack, as Lessor, to Ardent 1, LLC, as Lessee (the "Prior Lease"). The New Lease provided that it was subordinate to the Prior Lease, and that it would not become effective until the termination or release of the Prior Lease.

By virtue of a series of assignments, the Prior Lease previously had been assigned to the Company as Lessee effective as of March 20, 2012. (The assignment to the Company is filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2013, and the Prior Lease is filed as Exhibit 10.8 to such Quarterly Report.) All of the Company's current wells are drilled on the 623.29 acres that constitute the leased premises under the New Lease and the Prior Lease.

On October 24, 2013, the Company filed a release of the Prior Lease.

In January 2014 the Company drilled one well at a cost of approximately \$120,000.

In March 2014 the Company began drilling three additional wells.

The cost to drill and complete any remaining wells on the 623 acre lease will be approximately \$120,000 per well.

The wells will be drilled to sufficient depths to test the shallow Navarro B formation (approximate depth of 1,800 feet). Each well will take approximately seven days to drill and complete.

If, in our sole discretion, the estimated future production from wells drilled on the leases in the Minerva-Rockdale Field does not warrant further drilling, we plan to drill wells in other areas.

As of March 15, 2014 we had drilled and completed ten oil wells. As of March 15, 2014, eight of these wells were still approximately producing collectively 23 bbls of oil and 30 bbls of water per day. In March 2014 we began drilling three additional wells which have not yet been completed as of March 15, 2014. We have identified drilling sites for fifteen additional wells on our lease in the Rockdale-Minerva Field.

During the period from our inception to December 31, 2011, we did not drill any oil or gas wells. During the year-ended December 31, 2012 we completed 6 oil wells.

The table below shows the type and number of wells we drilled during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Gross	Net
-------	-----

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

Development Wells:

Productive:

Oil	3	3
-----	---	---

Gas	0	0
-----	---	---

Nonproductive		
---------------	--	--

Exploratory Wells:

Productive	-	-
------------	---	---

Oil	-	-
-----	---	---

Gas		
-----	--	--

Nonproductive		
---------------	--	--

We completed one well in January 2014. As of March 15, 2014 we were in the process of completing three additional wells.

Table of Contents

The following table shows, as of March 15, 2014, our producing wells, developed acreage, and undeveloped acreage, excluding service (injection and disposal) wells:

State	Productive Wells		Developed Acreage		Undeveloped Acreage (1)	
	Gross	Net	Gross	Net	Gross	Net
Texas	8	8	27	27	596.3	596.3

(1) Undeveloped acreage includes leasehold interests on which wells have not been drilled or completed to the point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of natural gas and oil regardless of whether the leasehold interest is classified as containing proved undeveloped reserves.

The following table shows, as of March 15, 2014, the status of our gross acreage:

State	Held by Production	Not Held by Production
Texas	623	--

Leases on acres that are Held by Production remain in force so long as oil or gas is produced from one or more wells on the particular lease. Leased acres that are not held by Production require annual rental payments to maintain the lease until the first to occur of the following: the expiration of the lease or the time oil or gas is produced from one or more wells drilled on the leased acreage. At the time oil or gas is produced from wells drilled on the leased acreage, the lease is considered to be Held by Production.

Proved Reserves

Below are estimates of our net proved reserves as of December 31, 2013, net to our interest. All of our proved reserves are located in Texas.

Estimates of volumes of proved reserves at December 31, 2013 are presented in barrels (Bbls) for oil and, for natural gas, in millions of cubic feet (Mcf) at the official temperature and pressure bases of the areas in which the gas reserves are located.

	Oil (Bbls)	Gas (Mcf)
Proved Developed:		
Producing	29,900	-
Non-Producing	-	-
Proved Undeveloped	196,700	-

“Bbl” refers to one stock tank barrel, or 42 U.S. gallons liquid volume, in reference to crude oil or other liquid hydrocarbons. “Mcf” refers to one thousand cubic feet. A BOE (i.e., barrel of oil equivalent) combines Bbls of oil and Mcf of gas by converting each six Mcf of gas to one Bbl of oil. Below are estimates of our present value of estimated future net revenues from our proved reserves based upon the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and gas reserves in accordance with the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification Topic 932, Extractive Activities—Oil and Gas. The standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows is determined by using estimated quantities of proved reserves and the periods in which they are expected to be developed and produced based on period-end economic conditions. The estimated future production is based upon benchmark prices

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

that reflect the unweighted arithmetic average of the first-day-of-the-month price for oil and gas during the twelve months period ended December 31, 2013. The resulting estimated future cash inflows are then reduced by estimated future costs to develop and produce reserves based on period-end cost levels. No deduction has been made for depletion, depreciation or for indirect costs, such as general corporate overhead. Present values were computed by discounting future net revenues by 10% per year.

Future cash inflows	\$ 21,168,700
Deductions (including estimated taxes)	\$ (9,110,200)
Future net cash flow	\$ 12,058,500
Discounted future net cash flow	\$ 7,966,600

Table of Contents

Mire and Associates Inc. prepared the estimates of our proved reserves, future production and income attributable to our leasehold interests as of December 31, 2013. Mire and Associates is an independent petroleum engineering firm that provides petroleum consulting services to the oil and gas industry. The estimates of drilled reserves, future production and income attributable to certain leasehold and royalty interests are based on technical analysis conducted by engineers employed at Mire and Associates.

Kurt Mire, P.E. was the technical person primarily responsible for overseeing the preparation of the reserve report. Mr. Mire has more than 30 years of practical experience in the estimation and evaluation of petroleum reserves.

Marc Spezialy, CEO, and Matt Ferguson, Board Member and Petroleum Engineer, oversaw the preparation of the reserve estimates by Mire and Associates. We do not have a reserve committee and we do not have any specific internal controls regarding the estimates of our reserves.

Our proved reserves include only those amounts which we reasonably expect to recover in the future from known oil and gas reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions, at current prices and costs, under existing regulatory practices and with existing technology. Accordingly, any changes in prices, operating and development costs, regulations, technology or other factors could significantly increase or decrease estimates of proved reserves.

Proved reserves were estimated by performance methods, the volumetric method, analogy, or a combination of methods utilizing present economic conditions and limited to those proved reserves economically recoverable. The performance methods include decline curve analysis that utilize extrapolations of historical production and pressure data available through December 31, 2013 in those cases where such data were considered to be definitive.

Forecasts for future production rates are based on historical performance from wells currently on production in the region with an economic cut-off for production based upon the projected net revenue being equal to the projected operating expenses. No further reserves or valuation were given to any wells beyond their economic cut-off. Where no production decline trends have been established due to the limited historical production records from wells on the properties, surrounding wells historical production records were used and extrapolated to wells of the property. Where applicable, the actual calculated present decline rate of any well was used to determine future production volumes to be economically recovered. The calculated present rate of decline was then used to determine the present economic life of the production from the reservoir.

For wells currently on production, forecasts of future production rates were based on historical performance data. If no production decline trend has been established, future production rates were held constant, or adjusted for the effects of curtailment where appropriate, until a decline in ability to produce was anticipated. An estimated rate of decline was then applied to economic depletion of the reserves. If a decline trend has been established, this trend was used as the basis for estimating future production rates.

Proved developed non-producing and undeveloped reserves were estimated primarily by the performance and historical extrapolation methods. Test data and other related information were used to estimate the anticipated initial production rates from those wells or locations that are not currently producing. For reserves not yet on production, sales were estimated to commence at a date we determined to be reasonable.

In general, the volume of production from our oil and gas properties declines as reserves are depleted. Except to the extent we acquire additional properties containing proved reserves or conduct successful exploration and development activities, or both, our proved reserves will decline as reserves are produced. Accordingly, volumes generated from our future activities are highly dependent upon the level of success in acquiring or finding additional reserves and the costs incurred in doing so.

Government Regulation

Various state and federal agencies regulate the production and sale of oil and natural gas. All states in which we plan to operate impose restrictions on the drilling, production, transportation and sale of oil and natural gas.

The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (the "FERC") regulates the interstate transportation and the sale in interstate commerce for resale of natural gas. The FERC's jurisdiction over interstate natural gas sales has been substantially modified by the Natural Gas Policy Act under which the FERC continued to regulate the maximum selling prices of certain categories of gas sold in "first sales" in interstate and intrastate commerce.

Table of Contents

FERC has pursued policy initiatives that have affected natural gas marketing. Most notable are (1) the large-scale divestiture of interstate pipeline-owned gas gathering facilities to affiliated or non-affiliated companies; (2) further development of rules governing the relationship of the pipelines with their marketing affiliates; (3) the publication of standards relating to the use of electronic bulletin boards and electronic data exchange by the pipelines to make available transaction information on a timely basis and to enable transactions to occur on a purely electronic basis; (4) further review of the role of the secondary market for released pipeline capacity and its relationship to open access service in the primary market; and (5) development of policy and promulgation of orders pertaining to its authorization of market-based rates (rather than traditional cost-of-service based rates) for transportation or transportation-related services upon the pipeline's demonstration of lack of market control in the relevant service market. We do not know what effect the FERC's other activities will have on the access to markets, the fostering of competition and the cost of doing business.

Our sale of oil and natural gas liquids will not be regulated and will be at market prices. The price received from the sale of these products will be affected by the cost of transporting the products to market. Much of that transportation is through interstate common carrier pipelines.

Federal, state, and local agencies have promulgated extensive rules and regulations applicable to our oil and natural gas exploration, production and related operations. Most states require permits for drilling operations, drilling bonds and the filing of reports concerning operations and impose other requirements relating to the exploration of oil and natural gas. Many states also have statutes or regulations addressing conservation matters including provisions for the unitization or pooling of oil and natural gas properties, the establishment of maximum rates of production from oil and natural gas wells and the regulation of spacing, plugging and abandonment of such wells. The statutes and regulations of some states limit the rate at which oil and natural gas is produced from our properties. The federal and state regulatory burden on the oil and natural gas industry increases our cost of doing business and affects our profitability. Because these rules and regulations are amended or reinterpreted frequently, we are unable to predict the future cost or impact of complying with those laws.

Competition and Marketing

We will be faced with strong competition from many other companies and individuals engaged in the oil and gas business, many are very large, well established energy companies with substantial capabilities and established earnings records. We will be at a competitive disadvantage in acquiring oil and gas prospects since we must compete with these individuals and companies, many of which have greater financial resources and larger technical staffs. It is nearly impossible to estimate the number of competitors; however, it is known that there are a large number of companies and individuals in the oil and gas business.

Exploration for and production of oil and gas are affected by the availability of pipe, casing and other tubular goods and certain other oil field equipment including drilling rigs and tools. We will depend upon independent drilling contractors to furnish rigs, equipment and tools to drill our wells. Higher prices for oil and gas may result in competition among operators for drilling equipment, tubular goods and drilling crews which may affect our ability expeditiously to drill, complete, recompleat and work-over wells.

The market for oil and gas is dependent upon a number of factors beyond our control, which at times cannot be accurately predicted. These factors include the proximity of wells to, and the capacity of, natural gas pipelines, the extent of competitive domestic production and imports of oil and gas, the availability of other sources of energy, fluctuations in seasonal supply and demand, and governmental regulation. In addition, there is always the possibility that new legislation may be enacted which would impose price controls or additional excise taxes upon crude oil or natural gas, or both. Oversupplies of natural gas can be expected to recur from time to time and may result in the gas producing wells being shut-in. Imports of natural gas may adversely affect the market for domestic natural gas.

The market price for crude oil is significantly affected by policies adopted by the member nations of Organization of Petroleum Exporting Countries ("OPEC"). Members of OPEC establish prices and production quotas among themselves for petroleum products from time to time with the intent of controlling the current global supply and consequently price levels. We are unable to predict the effect, if any, that OPEC or other countries will have on the amount of, or the prices received for, crude oil and natural gas.

Gas prices, which were once effectively determined by government regulations, are now largely influenced by competition. Competitors in this market include producers, gas pipelines and their affiliated marketing companies, independent marketers, and providers of alternate energy supplies, such as residual fuel oil. Changes in government regulations relating to the production, transportation and marketing of natural gas have also resulted in significant changes in the historical marketing patterns of the industry. Generally, these changes have resulted in the abandonment by many pipelines of long-term contracts for the purchase of natural gas, the development by gas producers of their own marketing programs to take advantage of new regulations requiring pipelines to transport gas for regulated fees, and an increasing tendency to rely on short-term contracts priced at spot market prices.

Table of Contents

Glossary of Oil and Gas Terms

DEVELOPED ACREAGE. The number of acres that are allocated or assignable to productive wells or wells capable of production.

DISPOSAL WELL. A well employed for the reinjection of salt water produced with oil into an underground formation.

HELD BY PRODUCTION. A provision in an oil, gas and mineral lease that perpetuates an entity's right to operate a property or concession as long as the property or concession produces a minimum paying quantity of oil or gas.

INJECTION WELL. A well employed for the injection into an underground formation of water, gas or other fluid to maintain underground pressures which would otherwise be reduced by the production of oil or gas.

LANDOWNER'S ROYALTY. A percentage share of production, or the value derived from production, which is granted to the lessor or landowner in the oil and gas lease, and which is free of the costs of drilling, completing, and operating an oil or gas well.

LEASE. Full or partial interests in an oil and gas lease, authorizing the owner thereof to drill for, reduce to possession and produce oil and gas upon payment of rentals, bonuses and/or royalties. Oil and gas leases are generally acquired from private landowners and federal and state governments. The term of an oil and gas lease typically ranges from three to ten years and requires annual lease rental payments of \$1.00 to \$2.00 per acre. If a producing oil or gas well is drilled on the lease prior to the expiration of the lease, the lease will generally remain in effect until the oil or gas production from the well ends. The owner of the lease is required to pay the owner of the leased property a royalty which is usually between 12.5% and 25% of the gross amount received from the sale of the oil or gas produced from the well.

LEASE OPERATING EXPENSES. The expenses of producing oil or gas from a formation, consisting of the costs incurred to operate and maintain wells and related equipment and facilities, including labor costs, repair and maintenance, supplies, insurance, production, severance and other production excise taxes.

NET ACRES OR WELLS. A net well or acre is deemed to exist when the sum of fractional ownership working interests in gross wells or acres equals one. The number of net wells or acres is the sum of the fractional working interests owned in gross wells or acres expressed as whole numbers and fractions.

NET REVENUE INTEREST. A percentage share of production, or the value derived from production, from an oil or gas well and which is free of the costs of drilling, completing and operating the well.

OVERRIDING ROYALTY. A percentage share of production, or the value derived from production, which is free of all costs of drilling, completing and operating an oil or gas well, and is created by the lessee or working interest owner and paid by the lessee or working interest owner to the owner of the overriding royalty.

PRODUCING PROPERTY. A property (or interest therein) producing oil or gas in commercial quantities or that is shut-in but capable of producing oil or gas in commercial quantities. Interests in a property may include working interests, production payments, royalty interests and other non-working interests.

PROSPECT. An area in which a party owns or intends to acquire one or more oil and gas interests, which is geographically defined on the basis of geological data and which is reasonably anticipated to contain at least one reservoir of oil, gas or other hydrocarbons.

PROVED RESERVES. Proved oil and gas reserves are the estimated quantities of crude oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids which geological and engineering data demonstrate with reasonable certainty to be recoverable in future years from known reservoirs under existing economic and operating conditions (prices and costs held constant as of the date the estimate is made).

SHUT-IN WELL. A well which is capable of producing oil or gas but which is temporarily not producing due to mechanical problems or a lack of market for the well's oil or gas.

UNDEVELOPED ACREAGE. Lease acres on which wells have not been drilled or completed to a point that would permit the production of commercial quantities of oil and gas regardless of whether or not such acreage contains proved reserves. Undeveloped acreage should not be confused with undrilled acreage which is "Held by Production" under the terms of a lease.

WORKING INTEREST. A percentage of ownership in an oil and gas lease granting its owner the right to explore, drill and produce oil and gas from a tract of property. Working interest owners are obligated to pay a corresponding percentage of the cost of leasing, drilling, producing and operating a well. After royalties are paid, the working interest also entitles its owner to share in production revenues with other working interest owners, based on the percentage of the working interest owned.

Table of Contents

General

As of March 15, 2014 we had two full time employees and no part time employees.

Our principal office is located at 5114 Balcones Woods Drive, Suite 307-511, Austin, Texas 78759.

ITEM 2. PROPERTIES.

See Item 1 of this report. In addition, we lease our principal office space, consisting of approximately 2,803 square feet, at a rate which is currently \$5,723 per month. Our lease expires on June, 30, 2015.

ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

Aside from the following, we are not a party to any pending material legal proceeding. We are not aware of any pending legal proceeding to which any of our officers, directors, or any beneficial holders of 5% or more of our voting securities are adverse to us or have a material interest adverse to us.

On November 2, 2012, a lawsuit was filed by William D. Veasey IV against the Company and certain other defendants: William D. Veasey IV v. Kingman Energy, LLC, Kingman Operating Company, Inc., Kingman Energy Investors I, L.P., Kingman Energy I, LP, Kingman Energy II, LP, Rockdale Resources Corporation, and Michael D. Smith, Case No. DC-12-12976, filed in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas. The Plaintiff alleges that Michael D. Smith, on behalf of one or more of the Kingman entities named as Defendants (such entities, collectively, the “Kingman Defendants”), promised the Plaintiff a partnership interest and certain other financial rights in one or more of the Kingman Defendants, and that Mr. Smith failed to keep his promise. Mr. Smith formerly served as an officer and director of the Company; he resigned these positions on January 31, 2013. As part of this suit, the Plaintiff has alleged that the Company is vicariously liable for the actions of Mr. Smith. The Plaintiff is seeking unspecified amounts of actual damages, compensatory damages, punitive damages, consequential damages, and pecuniary damages, plus certain fees and costs, from the Defendants.

On December 3, 2012 (as supplemented on December 18, 2012), the Company filed an answer denying, and specially excepting to, the Plaintiff’s allegations. This case is currently set for trial in May 2014. The Company intends to vigorously defend against the propriety of its involvement in this case, as well as the merits of this matter. However, the final disposition of this case, and the impact of such final disposition, cannot be determined at this time.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.

Market Information

Our common stock is quoted under the symbol "BBLS" on the OTCBB operated by the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, Inc. ("FINRA") and the OTCQB operated by OTC Markets Group, Inc. Few market makers continue to participate in the OTCBB system because of high fees charged by FINRA. Consequently, market makers that once quoted our shares on the OTCBB system may no longer be posting a quotation for our shares. As of the date of this report, however, our shares are quoted by several market makers on the OTCQB. The criteria for listing on either the OTCBB or OTCQB are similar and include that we remain current in our SEC reporting. Our reporting is presently current and, since inception, we have filed our SEC reports on time.

Only a limited market exists for our securities. There is no assurance that a regular trading market will develop, or if developed, that it will be sustained. Therefore, a shareholder may be unable to resell his securities in our company.

The following tables set forth the range of high and low closing prices for our common stock for the periods indicated as reported by the OTCQB. The market quotations reflect inter-dealer prices, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commissions and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

Quarter Ended	High	Low
March 31, 2012	\$ 1.25	\$ 0.02
June 30, 2012	\$ 1.25	\$ 1.06
September 30, 2012	\$ 1.75	\$ 1.08
December 31, 2012	\$ 1.33	\$ 0.37
Quarter Ended	High	Low
March 31, 2013	\$ 0.80	\$ 0.30
June 30, 2013	\$ 0.79	\$ 0.21
September 30, 2013	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.16
December 31, 2013	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.25

On March 26, 2014 the closing price of our common stock was \$0.28.

Penny Stock

The SEC has adopted rules that regulate broker-dealer practices in connection with transactions in penny stocks. Penny stocks are generally equity securities with a market price of less than \$5.00, other than securities registered on certain national securities exchanges or quoted on the NASDAQ system, provided that current price and volume information with respect to transactions in such securities is provided by the exchange or system. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document prepared by the SEC, that: (a) contains a description of the nature and level of risk in the market for penny stocks in both public offerings and secondary trading; (b) contains a description of the broker's or dealer's duties to the customer and of the rights and remedies available to the customer with respect to a violation of such duties or other requirements of the securities laws; (c) contains a brief, clear, narrative description of a dealer market, including bid

and ask prices for penny stocks and the significance of the spread between the bid and ask price; (d) contains a toll-free telephone number for inquiries on disciplinary actions; (e) defines significant terms in the disclosure document or in the conduct of trading in penny stocks; and (f) contains such other information and is in such form, including language, type size and format, as the SEC shall require by rule or regulation.

The broker-dealer also must provide, prior to effecting any transaction in a penny stock, the customer with (a) bid and offer quotations for the penny stock; (b) the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction; (c) the number of shares to which such bid and ask prices apply, or other comparable information relating to the depth and liquidity of the market for such stock; and (d) a monthly account statement showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account.

Table of Contents

In addition, the penny stock rules require that prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from those rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written acknowledgment of the receipt of a risk disclosure statement, a written agreement as to transactions involving penny stocks, and a signed and dated copy of a written suitability statement.

These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the trading activity for our common stock. Therefore, stockholders may have difficulty selling our securities.

Holders of Our Common Stock

As of March 26, 2014 we had 16,569,738 outstanding shares of common stock and approximately 220 shareholders of record.

Dividends

Holders of our common stock are entitled to receive dividends as may be declared by our board of directors. Our directors are not restricted from paying any dividends but are not obligated to declare a dividend. No cash dividends have ever been declared and it is not anticipated that cash dividends will ever be paid.

Our Articles of Incorporation authorize our Board of Directors to issue up to 1,000,000 shares of preferred stock. The provisions in the Articles of Incorporation relating to the preferred stock allow our directors to issue preferred stock with multiple votes per share and dividend rights which would have priority over any dividends paid with respect to the holders of our common stock. The issuance of preferred stock with these rights may make the removal of management difficult even if the removal would be considered beneficial to shareholders generally, and will have the effect of limiting shareholder participation in certain transactions such as mergers or tender offers if these transactions are not favored by our management.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations

Revenues

Our total revenue reported for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$324,510, an increase of \$233,996 from the prior year.

Our increased revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared with the prior year is a result of drilling new wells and due to the fact that there were only five months of oil production during the year ended December 31, 2012.

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses decreased to \$1,186,113 for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$1,530,514 for the year ended December 31, 2012. Our major expenses for the year ended December 31, 2013 were salaries and wages of \$257,839, legal expenses of \$212,561 professional fees and contract labor of \$114,103. In comparison, our operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2012, were salaries and wages of \$257,839, legal expenses of \$107,313 and professional fees and contract labor of \$586,574.

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

During the year ended December 31, 2013, our oil and gas sales increased as we drilled more productive wells. Our lease operating expenses increased as we drilled additional wells and our general and administrative expenses decreased as a result of our efforts to operate more efficiently.

Other Income/Expenses

Other expenses were \$32,903 for the year ended December 31, 2013 an increase from other expenses of \$0 for the same period ended 2012. We had \$32,552 in interest expenses in 2013, which accounted for the difference.

Net Loss

Net loss for the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$894,506 compared to net loss of \$1,440,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of December 31, we had total current assets of \$234,314 and total assets in the amount of \$3,144,577. Our total current liabilities as of December 31, 2013 were \$72,541. We had working capital of \$161,773 as of December 31, 2013.

Operating activities used \$783,637 in cash for the year ended December 31, 2013. Our net loss of \$894,506 was the main component of our negative operating cash flow, offset mainly by amortization of debt discount of \$32,551, stock-based compensation of \$36,322 and depreciation and amortization of \$93,740. Accounts receivable increased \$48,862.

Cash flows used by investing activities during the year ended December 31, 2013 was \$373,195 as a result of the purchase of fixed assets and oil properties of \$397,695 offset by proceeds from the sale of property and equipment of \$24,500.

Cash flows used by financing activities during the year ended December 31, 2013 amounted to \$547,951 and consisted of \$550,000 in proceeds from related party convertible debt, offset by \$900 in purchases of treasury stock, and \$1,149 in payments on notes payable.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, we raised \$350,000 through a private convertible debt offering with warrants as reported on Form 8-K filed June 20, 2013. We also raised \$200,000 through a private convertible debt offering with warrants in two tranches, as reported on Form 8-K filed October 3, 2013 and on Form 8-K as filed January 3, 2014.

Our sources and (uses) of funds for the year ended December 31, 2013 were:

Cash used in operations	\$ (783,637)
Purchase of property and equipment	(20,700)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	24,500
Capital expenditures on oil and gas properties	(376,995)
Net proceeds (repayment) of advances from related parties	550,000
Net payments on notes payable	(1,149)
Purchase of treasury stock	(900)

See Item 1 of this report for more detailed information concerning our plan of operation.

The Company has suffered recurring losses from operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. We plan to generate profits by drilling productive oil or gas wells. However, we will need to raise additional funds to drill new wells through the sale of our securities, through loans from third parties or from third parties willing to pay our share of drilling and completing the wells. We do not have any commitments or arrangements from any person to provide us with any additional capital. If additional financing is not available when needed, we may need to cease operations. There can be no assurance that we will be

successful in raising the capital needed to drill oil or gas wells nor that any such additional financing will be available to us on acceptable terms or at all. Any wells which we may drill may not be productive of oil or gas. Management believes that actions presently being taken to obtain additional funding provide the opportunity for the Company to continue as a going concern. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern; no adjustments to the financial statements have been made to account for this uncertainty.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013:

	Total	2014	2015	2016 & thereafter
Office lease	\$ 173,085	\$ 69,608	\$ 35,271	\$ -

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, results of operations, liquidity or capital resources.

Trends Affecting Future Operations

The factors that will most significantly affect our results of operations will be (i) the sale prices of crude oil and natural gas, (ii) the amount of production from oil or gas wells in which we have an interest, and (iii) lease operating expenses. Our revenues will also be significantly impacted by our ability to maintain or increase oil or gas production through exploration and development activities.

It is expected that our principal source of cash flow will be from the production and sale of crude oil and natural gas reserves which are depleting assets. Cash flow from the sale of oil and gas production depends upon the quantity of production and the price obtained for the production. An increase in prices will permit us to finance our operations to a greater extent with internally generated funds, may allow us to obtain equity financing more easily or on better terms, and lessens the difficulty of obtaining financing. However, price increases heighten the competition for oil and gas prospects, increase the costs of exploration and development, and, because of potential price declines, increase the risks associated with the purchase of producing properties during times that prices are at higher levels.

A decline in oil and gas prices (i) will reduce the cash flow internally generated by the Company which in turn will reduce the funds available for exploring for and replacing oil and gas reserves, (ii) will increase the difficulty of obtaining equity and debt financing and worsen the terms on which such financing may be obtained, (iii) will reduce the number of oil and gas prospects which have reasonable economic terms, (iv) may cause us to permit leases to expire based upon the value of potential oil and gas reserves in relation to the costs of exploration, (v) may result in marginally productive oil and gas wells being abandoned as non-commercial, and (vi) may increase the difficulty of obtaining financing. However, price declines reduce the competition for oil and gas properties and correspondingly reduce the prices paid for leases and prospects.

Other than the foregoing, we do not know of any trends, events or uncertainties that will have, or are reasonably expected to have, a material impact on our sales, revenues or expenses.

Critical Accounting Policies

In December 2001, the SEC requested that all registrants list their most “critical accounting policies” in the Management Discussion and Analysis. The SEC indicated that a “critical accounting policy” is one which is both important to the portrayal of a company’s financial condition and results, and requires management’s most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Going concern – The accompanying financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis, which contemplates the realization of assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business. The Company has incurred cumulative net losses of \$2,560,694 since its inception and requires capital for its contemplated operational and marketing activities to take place. The Company’s ability to raise additional capital through the future issuances of common stock is unknown. The obtainment of additional financing, the successful development of the Company’s contemplated plan of operations, and its transition, ultimately, to the attainment of profitable operations are necessary for the Company to continue operations. The ability to successfully resolve these factors raise substantial doubt about the Company’s ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements of the Company do not include any adjustments that may result from the outcome of these aforementioned uncertainties.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

We do not expect the adoption of recently issued accounting pronouncements to have a significant impact on our results of operations, financial position or cash flow.

Table of Contents

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Index to Financial Statements Required by Article 8 of Regulation S-X:

Audited Financial Statements:

- F-1 Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
- F-2 Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012
- F-3 Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012
- F-4 Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Deficit for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012
- F-5 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012
- F-6 Notes to Financial Statements

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

No events occurred requiring disclosure under Item 304 of Regulation S-K during the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

An evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Principal Executive and Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report on Form 10-K. Disclosure controls and procedures are procedures designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, such as this Form 10-K, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time period specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and is communicated to our management, including our Principal Executive and Financial Officer, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on that evaluation, our management concluded that, as of December 31, 2013, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting and for the assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting. As defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission, internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of our Principal Executive and Financial Officer and implemented by our Board of Directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of our financial statements in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Marc Spezialy, our Principal Executive and Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission, or the COSO Framework of 1992. Management's assessment included an evaluation of the design of our internal control over financial reporting and testing of the operational effectiveness of those controls.

Based on this evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART III

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.

The following information sets forth the names, ages, and positions of our current directors and executive officers as of December 31, 2013.

Name	Age	Position
Marc Spezialy	31	Chief Executive, Financial and Accounting Officer and a Director
Matthew Ferguson	36	Director

Set forth below is a brief description of the background and business experience of each of our current executive officers and directors:

Marc Spezialy has been our Chief Executive Officer and a Director since January 31, 2013. Mr. Spezialy has also been our Chief Accounting and Financial Officer since April 2012. Between July 2011 and March 2012 Mr. Spezialy was a manager at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in their Austin, Texas office. Between December 2009 and July 2011 Mr. Spezialy was with the accounting firm of Maxwell Locke and Ritter in Austin, Texas. Between July 2004 and December 2009 Mr. Spezialy was with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in their San Francisco, California and Austin, Texas offices. Mr. Spezialy received a Bachelor of Science in Accounting and Finance from the University of San Francisco and is a licensed CPA in Texas and California.

Matthew Ferguson has been a director since August 5, 2013. Mr. Ferguson is currently the President of Viceroy Petroleum GP, LLC, the General Partner of Viceroy Petroleum, LP and a partner in Viceroy Petroleum, LP, in Rockdale, TX from 2006 to current. Prior to that, he was owner of Monarch Resources from 2002 to 2006, also in Rockdale, TX. Before starting Monarch resources, Mr. Ferguson was a reservoir and production engineer for ExxonMobil, starting in New Orleans with offshore shelf production and lastly in Houston, working on their Angola, West Africa development from 1999 to 2002. Mr. Ferguson has a Bachelor of Science in Petroleum Engineering from Texas A & M University in College Station, TX. Mr. Ferguson is a native of Rockdale, TX and grew up working in his family's oil business there.

Directors

Our bylaws authorize no less than three and not more than five members. We currently have two directors.

Term of Office

Our Directors are appointed for a one-year term to hold office until the next annual general meeting of our shareholders or until removed from office in accordance with our bylaws. Our officers are appointed by our board of directors and hold office until removed by the board.

Significant Employees

We have an employment agreement with Marc Spezialy. He has been our Chief Executive Officer and a Director since January 31, 2013. Mr. Spezialy has also been our Chief Accounting and Financial Officer since April

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

2012. The agreement provides that we will pay Mr. Spezialy an annual salary of \$120,000 during the term of the agreement and will continue in force until terminated by us or the employee.

During the employment term, our officers will be entitled to receive any benefits which are provided to our full time employees in accordance with our policies and practices and subject to the officer's satisfaction of any applicable conditions of eligibility.

The following shows the amount of time our officer expects to devote to our business:

Name	Percent
Marc Spezialy	90%

Table of Contents

Family Relationships

There are no family relationships between or among the directors, executive officers or persons nominated or chosen by us to become directors or executive officers.

Involvement in Certain Legal Proceedings

To the best of our knowledge, during the past ten years, none of the following occurred with respect to a present or former director, executive officer, or employee: (1) any bankruptcy petition filed by or against any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time; (2) any conviction in a criminal proceeding or being subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses); (3) being subject to any order, judgment or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction, permanently or temporarily enjoining, barring, suspending or otherwise limiting his or her involvement in any type of business, securities or banking activities; and (4) being found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the SEC or the Commodities Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended or vacated.

Audit Committee

We do not have a separately-designated standing audit committee. The entire board of directors performs the functions of an audit committee, but no written charter governs the actions of the board of directors when performing the functions of that would generally be performed by an audit committee. The board of directors approves the selection of our independent accountants and meets and interacts with the independent accountants to discuss issues related to financial reporting. In addition, the board of directors reviews the scope and results of the audit with the independent accountants, reviews with management and the independent accountants our annual operating results, considers the adequacy of our internal accounting procedures and considers other auditing and accounting matters including fees to be paid to the independent auditor and the performance of the independent auditor.

We do not have an audit committee financial expert because of the size of our company and our board of directors at this time. We believe that we do not require an audit committee financial expert at this time because we retain outside consultants who possess these attributes as needed.

For the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, the board of directors:

1. Reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management, and
2. Reviewed and discussed the written disclosures and the letter from our independent auditors on the matters relating to the auditor's independence.

Based upon the board of directors' review and discussion of the matters above, the board of directors authorized inclusion of the audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2013 to be included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires our directors and executive officers and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's equity securities to file with the SEC initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership of common stock and other equity securities of the Company. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent beneficial shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish us

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. To the best of our knowledge based solely on a review of Forms 3, 4, and 5 (and any amendments thereof) received by us during or with respect to the year ended December 31, 2013, the following persons have failed to file, on a timely basis, the identified reports required by Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act during fiscal year ended December 31, 2013:

Name and principal position	Number of late reports	Transactions not timely reported	Known failures to file a required form
David N. Baker	-	1	-

Table of Contents

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

We do not have a compensation committee. None of our directors is a financial expert as that term is defined by the Securities and Exchange Commission. None of our directors are independent as that term is defined in Rule 803 of the NYSE Amex.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, none of our officers were members of the compensation committee or a director of another entity, which other entity had one of its executive officers serving as one of our directors or as a member of our compensation committee.

The following table summarizes all compensation paid or accrued to our former or current executive officers during the years ended December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013.

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (1)	Bonus (2)	Stock Awards (3)	Option Awards (4)	All Other Compensation (5)	Total
Michael D. Smith Principal Executive Officer	2012	\$ 90,000	--	--	--	--	\$ 90,000
Marc Spezialy Principal Financial and Accounting Officer	2012	\$ 95,460	--	\$ 4,000	--	--	99,460
John Barton Vice President	2012	\$ 126,000	--	--	--	--	\$ 126,000

Name and Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (1)	Bonus (2)	Stock Awards (3)	Option Awards (4)	All Other Compensation (5)	Total
Marc Spezialy Principal Executive Officer and Financial and Accounting Officer	2013	\$ 120,000	--	--	--	--	\$ 120,000
Michael D. Smith (Former) Principal Executive Officer	2013	\$ 10,000	--	--	--	--	\$ 10,000
Patrick Merritt (Former) Senior Vice President of Exploration and Production	2013	\$ 30,458	--	--	--	--	\$ 30,458

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

John Barton (Former) Vice President	2013	\$	18,000	--	--	--	--	\$	18,000
---	------	----	--------	----	----	----	----	----	--------

- (1) The dollar value of base salary (cash and non-cash) earned.
- (2) The dollar value of bonus (cash and non-cash) earned.
- (3) The fair value of stock issued for services computed in accordance with ASC 718 on the date of grant.
- (4) The fair value of options granted computed in accordance with ASC 718 on the date of grant.
- (5) All other compensation received that we could not properly report in any other column of the table.

Table of Contents

See “Changes in Management” in Item 10 of this report.

We do not provide our officers or employees with pension, stock appreciation rights, long-term incentive, profit sharing, retirement or other plans, although we may adopt one or more of such plans in the future.

We do not maintain any life or disability insurance on any of our officers.

We do not have any outstanding options, warrants or other securities which provide for the issuance of additional shares of our common stock.

Director Compensation

The table below summarizes all compensation of our directors as of December 31, 2013.

Name	DIRECTOR COMPENSATION						Total (\$)
	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	
Marc Spezialy	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Matthew Ferguson	-	54,000	-	-	-	-	54,000

Narrative Disclosure to the Director Compensation Table

On August 5, 2013, the Company issued 500,000 shares of restricted stock to Matthew Ferguson, a newly appointed Company director, as consideration for Mr. Ferguson’s future services. 200,000 shares shall vest in equal quarterly increments over the course of twelve months beginning on November 5, 2013. The remaining 300,000 shares shall vest upon the Company’s attainment of certain production milestones, in increments of 100,000 shares per occurrence. Vesting of all shares is subject to Mr. Ferguson’s continued service as a director. These shares were valued at \$0.27 on the date of grant using the closing stock price, and vest quarterly over a one year period. Mr. Spezialy is not separately compensated for his service on our board.

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The following table shows, as of March 26, 2014, information with respect to those persons owning beneficially 5% or more of our common stock and the number and percentage of outstanding shares owned by each of our officers and directors and by all officers and directors as a group. Unless otherwise indicated, each owner has sole voting and investment powers over his shares of common stock.

Title of class	Name and address of beneficial owner (1)	Amount of beneficial ownership(2)	Percent of class(3)
Executive Officers & Directors:			
Common	Marc S. Spezialy(4)	220,000 shares	1.3%
Common	Matthew Ferguson(5)	100,000 shares	0.6%
Total of All Directors and Executive Officers:		320,000 shares	1.9%

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

More Than 5% Beneficial Owners:

David N. Baker(6)	1,736,080 shares	10.5%
Rick Wilber(7)	1,500,000 shares	9.1%

Table of Contents

- (1) Except as otherwise indicated, the address of each person named in this table is 5114 Balcones Woods Dr. Suite 307-511, Austin, Texas 78759.
- (2) As used in this table, "beneficial ownership" means the sole or shared power to vote, or to direct the voting of, a security, or the sole or shared investment power with respect to a security (i.e., the power to dispose of, or to direct the disposition of, a security). In addition, for purposes of this table, a person is deemed, as of any date, to have "beneficial ownership" of any security that such person has the right to acquire within 60 days after such date.
- (3) Except as otherwise indicated, all shares are owned directly and the percentage shown is based on 16,569,738 shares of common stock issued and outstanding on March 26, 2014.
- (4) Includes 220,000 shares held in his name as indicated on our shareholder list and derivative securities as stated in the reporting person's Form 4 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 7, 2013. Does not include 300,000 shares of restricted stock that shall vest upon the Company's attainment of certain production milestones, in increments of 100,000 shares per occurrence as stated in the reporting person's Form 4 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 7, 2013.
- (5) Includes 100,000 shares as stated in the reporting person's Form 4 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2013. Does not include 100,000 shares or restricted stock that vest in equal quarterly increments over the course of twelve months beginning on November 5, 2013 and does not include 300,000 shares of restricted stock that shall vest upon the Company's attainment of certain production milestones, in increments of 100,000 shares per occurrence as stated in the reporting person's Form 4 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2013.
- (6) As stated in the reporting person's Form 3 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 7, 2014.
- (7) As stated in the reporting person's Form 4/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 2, 2014. Does not include 1,833,333 shares from convertible secured promissory note as stated in the reporting person's Form 4/A filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 2, 2014 and Form 4 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 13, 2013

Table of Contents**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.**

Aside from that which follows, none of our directors or executive officers, nor any proposed nominee for election as a director, nor any person who beneficially owns, directly or indirectly, shares carrying more than 5% of the voting rights attached to all of our outstanding shares, nor any members of the immediate family (including spouse, parents, children, siblings, and in-laws) of any of the foregoing persons has any material interest, direct or indirect, in any transaction since the beginning of our last fiscal year on January 1, 2012 or in any presently proposed transaction which, in either case, has or will materially affect us.

During the year ended December 31, 2013 the Company entered into two related-party transactions with shareholder, Rick Wilber. Mr. Wilber loaned the Company an aggregate of \$550,000 of convertible debt with warrants. See Note 4 to our Financial Statements.

As reported on Form 8-K filed January 31, 2014, on January 27, 2014, the Company entered into a Consulting Agreement (the "Agreement") with Mercadyne Advisors LLC (the "Consultant"), an entity controlled by David N. Baker. The Company agreed to issue 800,000 shares of common stock in the name of David N. Baker as consideration for the Consultant's provision of the consulting services under the Agreement. The Consultant agreed to return 400,000 of these shares to the Company if (i) the Company terminates the Agreement on or before April 27, 2014, for the Consultant's failure to perform under the Agreement, or (ii) the Consultant fails to invest \$125,000 in the Company prior to April 27, 2014, on terms reasonably satisfactory to the Company.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.

MaloneBailey, LLP served as our independent registered public accounting firm for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011. The following table shows the aggregate fees billed to us for these years by MaloneBailey.

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2013	2012
Audit Fees	\$ 30,000	\$ 18,500
Audit-Related Fees	-	-
Tax Fees	-	-
All Other Fees	-	-

Audit fees represent amounts billed for professional services rendered for the audit of our annual financial statements and the reviews of the financial statements included in our Form 10-Q reports. Prior to contracting with MaloneBailey to render audit or non-audit services, each engagement was approved by our directors.

Table of Contents

PART IV

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

- 3.1 Articles of Incorporation (1)
- 3.2 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (1)
- 3.3 Amendment to Articles of Incorporation (2)
- 3.4 Bylaws (2)
- 10.1 Agreement with Kingman Operating Company (2)
- 10.2 Assignment of oil and gas lease (2)
- 10.3 Employment agreement with Patrick Merritt, as amended
- 10.4 Agreement with RTO Operating, LLC
- 10.5 Employment agreement with Marc Spezialy (2)
- 10.7 Amendment to agreement with Kingman Operating Company (2)
- 31 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Securities Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
- 99 Oil and gas reserve report
- 101.INS XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
- 101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
- 101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
- 101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
- 101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document

(1) Incorporated by reference to the same exhibits filed with the Company's registration statement on Form SB-2 (Commission File No. 333-136012) filed on July 25, 2006.

(2) Incorporated by reference to the same exhibits filed the Company's registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-184575) filed on October 24, 2012.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors
Rockdale Resources Corporation (formerly, Art Design, Inc.)
Austin, TX

We have audited the accompanying balance sheets of Rockdale Resources Corporation (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related statement of operations, changes in stockholders' equity (deficit) and cash flows for each of the years then ended. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatements. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the years then ended in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. The Company has incurred losses from operation since inception. This factor raises substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. Management's plans in regard to this matter are described in Note 3. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

/s/ MaloneBailey, LLP
www.malone-bailey.com
Houston, Texas
March 31, 2014

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash	\$ 122,162	\$ 731,043
Accounts receivable-related party, net	-	4,805
Accounts receivable	58,472	-
Other current assets	53,680	28,225
Total current assets	234,314	764,073
Property & equipment		
Oil and gas, on the basis of full cost accounting		
Evaluated properties	2,954,854	2,125,685
Unevaluated properties not subject to amortization	-	72,950
Furniture, equipment & software	82,051	47,876
Less accumulated depreciation	(126,642)	(36,057)
Net property and equipment	2,910,263	2,210,454
Other Assets	-	20,000
Total Assets	\$ 3,144,577	\$ 2,994,527
LIABILITIES & STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable	\$ 50,939	\$ 43,892
Accrued liabilities	9,916	22,279
Current maturities of installment notes payable	7,625	-
Deferred rent	4,061	2,788
Total current liabilities	72,541	68,959
Deferred rent	2,926	7,096
Asset retirement obligations	12,804	52,644
Convertible debt - related party, net of discount of 410,677 and \$0	139,323	-
Installment note payable	34,011	-
Total Liabilities	261,605	128,699
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, \$.10 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; No shares issued & outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$.001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 14,178,041 and 17,159,748 shares issued and outstanding	14,178	17,160
Additional paid in capital	5,429,488	4,519,856
Treasury stock: 0 and 20,000 shares respectively	-	(5,000)

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

Accumulated deficit	(2,560,694)	(1,666,188)
Total Stockholders' Equity	2,882,972	2,865,828
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 3,144,577	\$ 2,994,527

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these audited financial statements.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS

	Year ended December 31, 2013	Year ended December 31, 2012
Oil and Gas sales	\$ 324,510	\$ 90,514
Operating Expenses		
Lease Operating expense	164,710	35,088
Production Tax	14,956	4,172
General and administrative expenses	904,115	1,428,743
Bad debt - related party	-	24,800
Depreciation, depletion and amortization	93,740	36,162
Asset retirement obligation accretion	8,592	1,549
Total operating expenses	1,186,113	1,530,514
Loss from operations	(861,603)	(1,440,000)
Other income (expense)		
Interest (expense)	(32,903)	-
Net loss from continuing operations before taxes	(894,506)	(1,440,000)
Income tax provision (benefit)	-	-
Net loss	\$ (894,506)	\$ (1,440,000)
Loss per share		
(Basic and fully diluted)	\$ (0.06)	\$ (0.10)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding, basic and diluted	14,737,653	14,110,459

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these audited financial statements.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMELY ART DESIGN, INC.)
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012
Cash Flows from Operating Activities		
Net loss	\$ (894,506)	\$ (1,440,000)
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash provided by/(used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	93,740	36,162
Accretion expense	32,551	-
Loss on sale/disposal of assets	6,999	249
Asset retirement obligation accretion	8,592	1,549
Stock-based compensation expense - employees	36,322	4,200
Stock-based compensation expense - consultants		73,500
Bad debt expense	-	24,800
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Accounts receivable - related party	-	(4,805)
Accounts receivable	(53,667)	-
Other assets	(5,455)	(44,818)
Accounts payable	7,048	32,827
Accounts payable - related party	-	(2,350)
Accrued liabilities	(12,363)	24,930
Deferred rent	(2,898)	7,096
Net cash flows from operating activities	(783,637)	(1,286,660)
Cash Flows from Investing Activities		
Purchase property and equipment	(20,700)	(47,875)
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	24,500	-
Purchase of oil and gas properties	-	(475,000)
Capital expenditures on oil and gas properties	(376,995)	(1,672,540)
Cash flows from investing activities:	(373,195)	(2,195,415)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities		
Borrowings on convertible debt - related parties	550,000	-
Payments on related parties debt	-	(156,108)
Amounts borrowed from related parties	-	110,557
Purchase of treasury stock	(900)	(14,126)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	-	4,272,403
Net payments on notes payable	(1,149)	-
Cash flows from financing activities	547,951	4,212,726

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(608,881)	730,651
Cash and cash equivalents		
Beginning of period	731,043	392
End of period	\$ 122,162	\$ 731,043

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES

Interest paid	\$ -	\$ -
Income taxes paid	\$ -	\$ -

NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURES

Initial recognition of discount on related party debt	\$ 443,228	\$ -
Initial recognition of asset retirement obligations	26,345	51,095
Change in estimated cash flows from asset retirement obligation	(74,777)	
Fair value of stock issued for oil properties	433,000	
Note payable for vehicle	42,785	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these audited financial statements.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMELY ART DESIGN, INC.)
STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

	Common Stock		Treasury		Additional	Accumulated	Stockholders'
	Shares	Amount	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Deficit	Equity (Deficit)
Balance at December 31, 2011	10,820,600	10,821	-	-	185,218	(226,188)	(30,149)
Stock-based compensation —employees	210,000	210	-	-	3,990	-	4,200
Stock-based compensation – consultants	105,000	105	-	-	73,395	-	73,500
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	6,024,148	6,024	9,125,500	9,126	4,257,253	-	4,272,403
Purchase of treasury stock	-	-	(9,145,500)	(14,126)	-	-	(14,126)
Net loss	-	-	-	-	-	(1,440,000)	(1,440,000)
Balance at December 31, 2012	17,159,748	\$ 17,160	(20,000)	\$ (5,000)	\$ 4,519,856	\$ (1,666,188)	\$ 2,865,828
Purchase of treasury stock and cancellation of stock	(45,000)	(45)	20,000	5,000	(4,955)	-	-
Repurchase and cancellation of common shares	(3,631,707)	(3,632)	-	-	2,732	-	(900)
Shares issued for oil properties	600,000	600	-	-	432,400	-	433,000
Stock-based compensation - employees	95,000	95	-	-	36,227	-	36,322
Debt discount	-	-	-	-	443,228	-	443,228
Net Loss	-	-	-	-	-	(894,506)	(894,506)
Balance at December 31, 2013	14,178,041	\$ 14,178	-	\$ -	\$ 5,429,488	\$ (2,560,694)	\$ 2,882,972

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these audited financial statements.

F-5

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

NOTE 1. ORGANIZATION

Rockdale Resources Corporation (“we”, “us”, the “Company”) was formed for the purpose of oil and gas exploration, development, and production. The financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”).

NOTE 2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") and pursuant to the accounting and disclosure rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). A summary of the significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of the accompanying financial statements follows.

Management Estimates—The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Significant estimates made in preparing these financial statements include asset retirement obligations (Note 8), income taxes (Note 9) and the estimate of proved oil and gas reserves and related present value estimates of future net cash flows therefrom (Note 10).

Reclassifications – Certain amounts previously presented for prior periods have been reclassified to conform to the current presentation. The reclassifications had no effect on net loss, working capital or equity previously reported.

Cash and Cash Equivalents—The Company considers all highly liquid instruments purchased with an original maturity date of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Oil and Gas Properties—The Company follows the full cost accounting method to account for oil and natural gas properties, whereby costs incurred in the acquisition, exploration and development of oil and gas reserves are capitalized. Such costs include lease acquisition, geological and geophysical activities, rentals on nonproducing leases, drilling, completing and equipping of oil and gas wells and administrative costs directly attributable to those activities and asset retirement costs. Disposition of oil and gas properties are accounted for as a reduction of capitalized costs, with no gain or loss recognized unless such adjustment would significantly alter the relationship between capital costs and proved reserves of oil and gas, in which case the gain or loss is recognized to operations.

The capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, excluding unevaluated and unproved properties, are amortized as depreciation, depletion and amortization expense using the units-of-production method based on estimated proved recoverable oil and gas reserves.

The costs associated with unevaluated and unproved properties, initially excluded from the amortization base, relate to unproved leasehold acreage, wells and production facilities in progress and wells pending determination of the existence of proved reserves, together with capitalized interest costs for these projects. Unproved leasehold costs are

transferred to the amortization base with the costs of drilling the related well once a determination of the existence of proved reserves has been made or upon impairment of a lease. Costs associated with wells in progress and completed wells that have yet to be evaluated are transferred to the amortization base once a determination is made whether or not proved reserves can be assigned to the property. Costs of dry wells are transferred to the amortization base immediately upon determination that the well is unsuccessful.

All items classified as unproved property are assessed on a quarterly basis for possible impairment or reduction in value. Properties are assessed on an individual basis or as a group if properties are individually insignificant. The assessment includes consideration of various factors, including, but not limited to, the following: intent to drill; remaining lease term; geological and geophysical evaluations; drilling results and activity; assignment of proved reserves; and economic viability of development if proved reserves are assigned. During any period in which these factors indicate an impairment, the cumulative drilling costs incurred to date for such property and all or a portion of the associated leasehold costs are transferred to the full cost pool and become subject to amortization.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Under full cost accounting rules for each cost center, capitalized costs of evaluated oil and gas properties, including asset retirement costs, less accumulated amortization and related deferred income taxes, may not exceed an amount (the "cost ceiling") equal to the sum of (a) the present value of future net cash flows from estimated production of proved oil and gas reserves, based on current prices and operating conditions, discounted at ten percent (10%), plus (b) the cost of properties not being amortized, plus (c) the lower of cost or estimated fair value of any unproved properties included in the costs being amortized, less (d) any income tax effects related to differences between the book and tax basis of the properties involved. If capitalized costs exceed this limit, the excess is charged to operations. For purposes of the ceiling test calculation, current prices are defined as the unweighted arithmetic average of the first day of the month price for each month within the 12 month period prior to the end of the reporting period. Prices are adjusted for basis or location differentials. Unless sales contracts specify otherwise, prices are held constant for the productive life of each well. Similarly, current costs are assumed to remain constant over the entire calculation period. There was no impairment during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Given the volatility of oil and gas prices, it is reasonably possible that the estimate of discounted future net cash flows from proved oil and gas reserves could change in the near term. If oil and gas prices decline in the future, even if only for a short period of time, it is possible that impairments of oil and gas properties could occur. In addition, it is reasonably possible that impairments could occur if costs are incurred in excess of any increases in the present value of future net cash flows from proved oil and gas reserves, or if properties are sold for proceeds less than the discounted present value of the related proved oil and gas reserves.

Revenue Recognition—Revenues from the sale of crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids are recognized when the product is delivered at a fixed or determinable price, title has transferred, collectability is reasonably assured and evidenced by a contract. The Company follows the sales method of accounting for its oil and natural gas revenue, so it recognizes revenue on all crude oil, natural gas, and natural gas liquids sold to purchasers, regardless of whether the sales are proportionate to its ownership in the property. A receivable or liability is recognized only to the extent that the Company has an imbalance on a specific property greater than the expected remaining proved reserves. The Company had no imbalance positions at December 31, 2013 or 2012. Charges for gathering and transportation are included in production expenses.

Receivables and allowance for doubtful accounts—Oil revenues receivable do not bear any interest. We regularly review collectability and establish or adjust an allowance for uncollectible amounts as necessary using the specific identification method. Account balances are charged off against the allowance after all means of collection have been exhausted and the potential for recovery is considered remote. Management has determined that a reserve for uncollectible amounts was not required in the periods presented.

Asset Retirement Obligations—The Company records a liability for asset retirement obligations ("ARO") associated with its oil and gas wells when those assets are placed in service. The corresponding cost is capitalized as an asset and included in the carrying amount of oil and gas properties and is depleted over the useful life of the properties. Subsequently, the ARO liability is accreted to its then-present value.

Inherent in the fair value calculation of an ARO are numerous assumptions and judgments including the ultimate settlement amounts, inflation factors, credit adjusted discount rates, timing of settlement, and changes in the legal, regulatory, environmental and political environments. To the extent future revisions to these assumptions impact the fair value of the existing ARO liability, a corresponding adjustment is made to the oil and gas property balance.

Settlements greater than or less than amounts accrued as ARO are recorded as a gain or loss upon settlement.

Debt Issuance Costs—Costs incurred in connection with the issuance of long-term debt are capitalized and amortized over the term of the related debt.

Stock-Based Compensation— The Company accounts for stock-based compensation to employees in accordance with FASB ASC 718. Stock-based compensation to employees is measured at the grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the requisite employee service period. The Company accounts for stock-based compensation to other than employees in accordance with FASB ASC 505-50. Equity instruments issued to other than employees are valued at the earlier of a commitment date or upon completion of the services, based on the fair value of the equity instruments, and is recognized as expense over the service period. The Company estimates the fair value of stock-based payments using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model for common stock options and warrants and the closing price of the Company's common stock for common share issuances. The Company may grant stock to employees and contractors in exchange for services rendered.

Income Taxes— Income Taxes—Income taxes are accounted for pursuant to ASC 740, Income Taxes, which requires recognition of deferred income tax liabilities and assets for the expected future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. The Company provides for deferred taxes on temporary differences between the financial statements and tax basis of assets using the enacted tax rates that are expected to apply to taxable income when the temporary differences are expected to reverse. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred income tax assets to the amount expected to be realized.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Uncertain tax positions are recognized in the financial statements only if that position is more likely than not of being sustained upon examination by taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in the income tax provision. There are currently no unrecognized tax benefits that if recognized would affect the tax rate. There was no interest or penalties recognized for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.

The Company is required to file federal income tax returns in the United States and in various state and local jurisdictions. The Company's tax returns filed since the 2010 tax year are subject to examination by taxing authorities in the jurisdictions in which it operates in accordance with the normal statutes of limitations in the applicable jurisdiction.

Furniture, equipment, and software—Furniture, equipment, and software are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the related asset, generally three to five years. Fully depreciated assets are retained in property and accumulated depreciation accounts until they are removed from service. We perform ongoing evaluations of the estimated useful lives of the property and equipment for depreciation purposes. Maintenance and repairs are expensed as incurred. We periodically review our long-lived assets, other than oil and gas property, for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable. We recognize an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the asset's estimated fair value and its book value. We recorded no impairment on our non-oil and gas long-lived assets during the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Earnings (Loss) Per Share—Basic earnings (loss) per share have been calculated based upon the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. The weighted-average number of common shares outstanding used in the computations of earnings (loss) per share was 14,737,653 for 2013 and 14,110,459 for 2012. Diluted EPS amounts would include the effect of outstanding stock options, warrants, and other convertible securities if including such potential shares of common stock is dilutive. Basic and diluted earnings per share are the same in all periods presented because losses are anti-dilutive.

Concentration of Credit Risk—The Company is subject to credit risk resulting from the concentration of its oil receivables with significant purchasers. Two purchasers accounted for all of the Company's oil sales revenues for 2013, and one purchaser accounted for all oil revenues in 2012. The Company does not require collateral. While the Company believes its recorded receivable will be collected, in the event of default the Company would follow normal collection procedures. The Company does not believe the loss of a purchaser would materially impact its operating results as oil is a fungible product with a well-established market and numerous purchasers.

At times, the Company maintains deposits in federally insured financial institutions in excess of federally insured limits. Management monitors the credit ratings and concentration of risk with these financial institutions on a continuing basis to safeguard cash deposits.

Fair Value Measurements—The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, and accounts payable, as reflected in the balance sheets, approximate fair value because of the short-term maturity of these instruments.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

The Company has evaluated all the recent accounting pronouncements through the filing date and believes that none of them will have a material effect on the Company.

NOTE 3. GOING CONCERN

The Company has suffered recurring losses from operations. These conditions raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. We plan to generate profits by drilling productive oil or gas wells. However, we will need to raise the funds required to drill new wells through the sale of our securities, through loans from third parties or from third parties willing to pay our share of drilling and completing the wells. We do not have any commitments or arrangements from any person to provide us with any additional capital. If additional financing is not available when needed, we may need to cease operations. We may not be successful in raising the capital needed to drill oil or gas wells. Any wells which we may drill may not be productive of oil or gas. Management believes that actions presently being taken to obtain additional funding provide the opportunity for the Company to continue as a going concern. The accompanying financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern; no adjustments to the financial statements have been made to account for this uncertainty.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

NOTE 4. NOTES PAYABLE

Convertible Debt – Related Party

On June 17, 2013, the Company entered into a Convertible Secured Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”) with Rick Wilber. Pursuant to the Purchase Agreement, the Company agreed to sell, and Mr. Wilber agreed to buy, for aggregate consideration of \$350,000, a convertible secured promissory note in the principal amount of \$350,000 (the “Note”) convertible at \$0.30 per share, and a warrant to purchase 1,000,000 shares of the Company’s common stock (the “Warrant”) at an exercise price of \$0.80 per share. The Company analyzed the Note and the Warrant for derivative accounting consideration and determined that derivative accounting is not applicable for these debts. The Warrant vests immediately and has a term of 10 years. The relative fair value of the Warrant was measured using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and determined to be \$148,925, which was recorded as a debt discount. Variables used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model for the Warrant included: (1) discount rate of 2.19%, (2), expected life of ten years, (3) expected volatility of 196% and (4) zero expected dividends. The Note was then evaluated for a beneficial conversion feature and it was determined that a beneficial conversion feature existed. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature was determined to be \$102,259 and was recorded as a debt discount. The debt discounts are being amortized over the life of the Note using the effective interest method. The effective interest rate was 53.7%.

On September 30, 2013, the Company entered into a Convertible Secured Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the “September Purchase Agreement”) with Rick Wilber. Pursuant to the September Purchase Agreement, the Company agreed to sell, and Mr. Wilber agreed to buy, for aggregate consideration of \$100,000, a convertible secured promissory note in the principal amount of \$100,000 (the “September Note”) convertible at \$0.30 per share, and a warrant to purchase 285,000 shares of the Company’s common stock (the “September Warrant”) at an exercise price of \$0.80 per share. The Company analyzed the September Note and the September Warrant for derivative accounting consideration and determined that derivative accounting is not applicable for these debts. The September Warrant vests immediately and has a term of 10 years. The relative fair value of the September Warrant was measured using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and determined to be \$46,022 which was recorded as a debt discount. Variables used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model for the September Warrant included: (1) discount rate of 2.64%, (2), expected life of ten years, (3) expected volatility of 196.3% and (4) zero expected dividends. The September Note was then evaluated for a beneficial conversion feature and it was determined that a beneficial conversion feature existed. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature was determined to be \$46,022 and was recorded as a debt discount. The debt discounts are being amortized over the life of the September Note using the effective interest method. The effective interest rate was 119.7%.

On December 31, 2013, the Company entered into a Convertible Secured Note and Warrant Purchase Agreement (the “December Purchase Agreement”) with Rick Wilber. The September Note was consolidated into the December Purchase Agreement. Pursuant to the December Purchase Agreement, in addition to the proceeds of the September Note, the Company agreed to sell, and Mr. Wilber agreed to buy, for aggregate consideration of \$100,000, a convertible secured promissory note in the principal amount of \$100,000 (the “December Note”) convertible at \$0.30 per share, and a warrant to purchase 285,000 shares of the Company’s common stock (the “December Warrant”) at an exercise price of \$0.80 per share. The Company analyzed the December Note and the December Warrant for derivative accounting consideration and determined that derivative accounting is not applicable for these debts. The December Warrant vests immediately and has a term of 10 years. The relative fair value of the December Warrant

was measured using the Black-Scholes option pricing model and determined to be \$49,873 which was recorded as a debt discount. Variables used in the Black-Scholes option pricing model for the December Warrant included: (1) discount rate of 2.64%, (2), expected life of ten years, (3) expected volatility of 195.8% and (4) zero expected dividends. The December Note was then evaluated for a beneficial conversion feature and it was determined that a beneficial conversion feature existed. The intrinsic value of the beneficial conversion feature was determined to be \$50,127 and was recorded as a debt discount. The debt discounts are being amortized over the life of the December Note using the effective interest method. The effective interest rate was 132.2%.

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company amortized \$32,551 of the total discounts on the three transactions above to interest expense. The unamortized discount at December 31, 2013 was \$410,677, and the ending note payable balance was \$550,000; resulting in net convertible debt of \$139,323.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

Installment Notes

On October 31, 2013, the Company entered into an installment note with Ford Motor Credit in the amount of \$42,785 for a term of five years at 6.24% APR.

Five Year Maturity

As of December 31, 2013, future maturities on our notes payable, which include the \$550,000 convertible notes payable and the \$41,636 remaining balance of the installment note described above, were as follows:

Fiscal year ending:

2014	\$	7,625
2015		8,115
2016	\$	558,636
2017		9,191
2018	\$	8,069
Total	\$	591,636

Of the total future maturities, \$550,000 relates to the convertible debt with Mr. Wilber, which all comes due in 2016.

NOTE 5. EQUITY

Preferred Stock – 1,000,000 shares authorized, none issued or outstanding.

Common Stock – In April 2012 the Company purchased 9,125,500 of the Company's common stock from an unrelated third party for \$9,126.

In April 2012 the Company issued 210,000 shares of its common stock to an officer and employee of the Company in exchange for services rendered at fair value of \$0.02 per share.

In April, 2012 the Company sold 1,000,000 shares of our common stock to a group of private investors for \$51,250.

In April 2012 the Company sold 8,367,850 shares of our common stock to our officers, directors and private investors for \$173,902.

Between April 1, 2012 and August 31, 2012 the Company sold 5,781,798 shares of our common stock, at a price of 0.70 per share, to a group of private investors and one of our directors. A director in the Company purchased 250,000 of these shares.

From July through September 2012 the Company also issued 105,000 shares of our common stock in exchange for consulting services at a fair value of \$0.70 per share.

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

In March 2013, Michael Smith transferred 1,600,000 shares of the Company's common stock to the Company for consideration of \$100. These shares were returned to treasury and cancelled. In addition, in March 2013, John Barton, a former officer and director of the Company, and a number of other shareholders transferred an aggregate of 2,031,707 shares of the Company's common stock to the Company for aggregate consideration of \$900. All such shares were returned to treasury and cancelled.

In March 2013, an additional 45,000 outstanding shares of common stock were cancelled, including 20,000 of treasury stock.

In April 2013, the Company was assigned a 100% working interest (75% net revenue interest) in a 623-acre lease in Milam County, Texas. (The Company had previously been assigned an aggregate of 202.5 acres out of this 623-acre lease via an assignment in March 2012 of 200 acres and an assignment in October 2012 of 2.5 acres. See "The Subsequent Kingman Assignment" in Item 5 of the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2013.) The Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock valued at \$395,000 as consideration for the release of a security interest encumbering this 623-acre lease.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

In June 2013 the Company issued 20,000 shares of its common stock valued at \$5,400 as employee compensation.

On August 5, 2013, Matthew Ferguson was appointed to the Board of Directors of the Company. On the same date, the Company granted 500,000 shares of restricted stock to Matthew Ferguson, as consideration for Mr. Ferguson's future services. Of this amount, 200,000 shares shall vest in equal quarterly increments over the course of twelve months beginning on November 5, 2013. The remaining 300,000 shares shall vest upon the Company's attainment of certain production milestones, in increments of 100,000 shares per occurrence. Vesting of all shares is subject to Mr. Ferguson's continued service as a director. These shares were issued pursuant to a Stand-Alone Restricted Stock Award Agreement between the Company and Mr. Ferguson, and were valued at \$0.27 per share, or an aggregate of \$135,000.00, on the date of grant. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has incurred \$21,896 of stock based compensation expense related to these shares.

On August 5, 2013, the Company grant 300,000 shares of restricted stock to Marc Spezialy as consideration for Mr. Spezialy's continued service as an executive officer of the Company. These shares shall vest upon the Company's attainment of certain production milestones, in increments of 100,000 shares per occurrence. Vesting of all shares is subject to Mr. Spezialy's continued service as an executive officer. These shares were issued pursuant to a Stand-Alone Restricted Stock Award Agreement between the Company and Mr. Spezialy, and were valued at \$0.27 per share, or an aggregate of \$81,000, on the date of grant.

On August 5, 2013, the Company grant 100,000 shares of restricted stock to a Company employee as consideration for such employee's continued service. These shares shall vest in equal quarterly increments over the course of twelve months beginning on November 5, 2013. Vesting of all shares is subject to the employee's continued service. These shares were issued pursuant to a Stand-Alone Restricted Stock Award Agreement between the Company and the employee, and were valued at \$0.27 per share, or an aggregate of \$27,000, on the date of grant. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has incurred \$9,026 of stock based compensation expense related to these shares.

On October 2, 2013, the Company executed a Paid Up Oil and Gas Lease (the "New Lease") between Noack Farms, LLC ("Noack"), as Lessor, and the Company, as Lessee. Under the New Lease, Noack leased to the Company 623.29 acres in Milam County, Texas, for the purpose of exploring for, developing, producing, and marketing oil and gas, along with all hydrocarbon and hydrocarbon substances produced in association therewith. The New Lease provides for royalties of 1/6th of the production from the leased premises to be paid to Noack.

The land described in the New Lease was subject to a pre-existing Paid Up Oil and Gas Lease dated June 20, 2011, from Noack, as Lessor, to Ardent 1, LLC, as Lessee (the "Prior Lease"). The New Lease provided that it was subordinate to the Prior Lease, and that it would not become effective until the termination or release of the Prior Lease.

As consideration for the New Lease, concurrently with Noack's execution of the New Lease in June 2013, the Company paid Noack \$3,116.45. As further consideration for the New Lease, on October 24, 2013, the Company issued Noack 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock valued at \$0.38 per share based on closing stock prices on the date of grant.

Following the above issuances of common stock, the Company has 14,178,041 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2013. The company has granted 825,000 restricted shares to employees which were unvested on

December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2013, we had \$212,079 of total unrecognized compensation expense associated with restricted shares granted to employees. \$50,079 of that cost will be recognized over an eight month period. The remainder is subject to performance conditions and is not currently being amortized.

Summary information regarding common stock warrants issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2013, is as follows:

	Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate intrinsic value	Weighted average remaining contractual life (years)
Outstanding at year ended December 31, 2012	-	-	-	-
Granted	1,570,000	\$.80	-	
Exercised	-	-	-	
Expired	-	-	-	
Outstanding at year ended December 31, 2013	1,570,000	\$.80	\$-	9.6

F-11

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

NOTE 6. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Environmental Matters – The Company, as a lessee of oil and gas properties, is subject to various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to discharge of materials into, and protection of, the environment. These laws and regulations may, among other things, impose liability on the lessee under an oil and gas lease for the cost of pollution clean-up resulting from operations and subject the lessee to liability for pollution damages. In some instances, the Company may be directed to suspend or cease operations in the affected area. The Company is not aware of any environmental claims existing as of December 31, 2013, which have not been provided for, covered by insurance or otherwise have a material impact on its financial position or results of operations. There can be no assurance, however, that current regulatory requirements will not change, or past noncompliance with environmental laws will not be discovered on the Company's properties.

Office Lease – The Company has a non-cancelable lease for its office in Austin, Texas. Rent expense on this lease for the 12 months ended December 31, 2013 totaled \$54,137. In October 2013 the Company entered into an agreement with an unrelated party to sublease the office. The sublessor will be responsible for rent payments and maintenance fees from December 1, 2013 through the term of the lease. Deferred rent at December 31, 2013 was \$6,987. Future minimum payments on the office lease are shown below:

The following table summarizes the Company's future minimum payments as of December 31, 2012:

	Total	2014	2015	2016 & beyond
Office lease	\$ 104,879	\$ 69,608	\$ 35,271	\$ -

NOTE 7. OIL AND GAS ACQUISITIONS

In April 2012, the Company entered into a farmout agreement with a related party pertaining to a 200-acre lease in Milam County, Texas at a price of \$475,000. In October 2012, the Company entered into an additional farmout agreement with the related party for an additional 2.5 acres at a price of \$10. As of December 31, 2012, the Company had completed the drilling of six wells on the leased properties. The total amount incurred for the drilling of the six wells was \$2,125,685 as of December 31, 2012.

In April 2013, the Company entered into a lease pertaining to a 440 acre tract in Milam County, Texas, which is adjacent to the Company's original 200 acre lease. The Company issued 500,000 shares of its common stock as consideration for a 100% working interest (75% net revenue interest) in such lease.

On October 2, 2013, the Company executed a Paid Up Oil and Gas Lease (the "New Lease") between Noack Farms, LLC ("Noack"), as Lessor, and the Company, as Lessee. Under the New Lease, Noack leased to the Company 623.29 acres in Milam County, Texas, for the purpose of exploring for, developing, producing, and marketing oil and gas, along with all hydrocarbon and hydrocarbon substances produced in association therewith. The New Lease provides for royalties of 1/6th of the production from the leased premises to be paid to Noack.

The land described in the New Lease was subject to a pre-existing Paid Up Oil and Gas Lease dated June 20, 2011, from Noack, as Lessor, to Ardent 1, LLC, as Lessee (the "Prior Lease"). The New Lease provided that it was subordinate to the Prior Lease, and that it would not become effective until the termination or release of the Prior Lease.

By virtue of a series of assignments, the Prior Lease previously had been assigned to the Company as Lessee effective as of March 20, 2012. (The assignment to the Company is filed as Exhibit 10.7 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2013, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 14, 2013, and the Prior Lease is filed as Exhibit 10.8 to such Quarterly Report.) All of the Company's current wells are drilled on the 623.29 acres that constitute the leased premises under the New Lease and the Prior Lease.

On October 24, 2013, the Company filed a release of the Prior Lease.

As consideration for the New Lease, concurrently with Noack's execution of the New Lease in June 2013, the Company paid Noack \$3,116.45. As further consideration for the New Lease, on October 24, 2013, the Company issued Noack 100,000 shares of the Company's common stock. Under the New Lease, the Company must pay \$1,000.00 per acre for well sites (with payments for fractions of an acre to be prorated based on the fraction of the acre used) as compensation for surface damages. The Company is also obligated to pay for certain other damages actually caused to the leased premises.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

In conjunction with Noack's execution of the New Lease, on May 31, 2013, the Company entered into a Defense and Indemnity Agreement with Noack Farms, LLC (the "Defense Agreement"). Under the Defense Agreement, the Company agreed to defend and indemnify Noack and certain parties related to Noack against certain claims asserted by any third party relating to the negotiation, execution, recording, or existence of the New Lease.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company had completed the drilling of nine wells on the leased properties. Four of these nine wells have been pledged as collateral for the convertible notes payable more fully discussed in Note 4.

NOTE 8. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATIONS

During the calendar years presented, the Company brought a number of oil and gas wells into productive status and will have asset retirement obligations once the wells are permanently removed from service. The primary obligations involve the removal and disposal of surface equipment, plugging and abandoning the wells, and site restoration. For the purpose of determining the fair value of ARO incurred during the calendar years presented, the Company used the following assumptions:

	December 31, 2013
Inflation rate (avg.)	1.3%
Estimated asset life	35 years

The following table shows the change in the Company's ARO for 2013 and 2012:

Asset retirement obligations at December 31, 2011	\$-
Obligations assumed in acquisition	-
Additional retirement obligations incurred	51,095
Change in estimate	-
Accretion expense	1,549
Settlements	-
Asset retirement obligations at December 31, 2012	\$52,644
Obligations assumed in acquisition	-
Additional retirement obligations incurred	26,345
Change in estimate	(74,777)
Accretion expense	8,592
Settlements	-
Asset retirement obligations at December 31, 2013	\$12,804

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

NOTE 9. INCOME TAXES

There was no provision for income taxes for 2013 and 2012 due to a net operating losses and doubt as to the entity's ability to continue as a going concern resulting in a 100% valuation allowance. Years from 2010 forward are open to IRS examination.

The provision for income taxes differs from the amount computed by applying the federal statutory income tax rate (35%) on operations due primarily to permanent differences attributable to organizational expenses for the purchase of Art Design, Inc. (the shell company) in the amount of \$148,014.

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012
Income tax expense computed at statutory rates	\$ (304,646)	\$ (504,000)
Non-deductible items	24,823	55,732
Change in valuation allowance	279,823	448,268
Total	\$ -	\$ -

The components of the net deferred tax asset were as follows:

	December 31, 2012	
	Gross Values	Tax Effect
Deferred tax assets		
Book Impairment	\$ -	\$ -
Net operating loss carryforwards	2,303,816	806,336
Asset retirement obligation	-	-
Other	6,000	2,100
Total deferred tax assets	2,309,816	808,436
Deferred tax liabilities		
O&G Properties	(1,029,050)	(360,168)
Other	-	-
Total deferred tax liabilities	(1,029,050)	(360,168)
Less: Valuation allowance	(1,280,766)	(448,268)
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$ -	\$ -

December 31, 2013
Gross Values Tax Effect

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

Deferred tax assets			
Book Impairment	\$	-	\$ -
Net operating loss carryforwards		3,417,844	1,196,245
Asset retirement obligation		-	-
Other		6,000	2100
Total deferred tax assets		3,423,844	1,198,345
Deferred tax liabilities			
O&G Properties		(1,280,525)	(448,184)
Other		-	-
Total deferred tax liabilities		(1,280,525)	(448,184)
Less: Valuation allowance		(2,143,319)	(750,161)
Net deferred tax assets (liabilities)	\$	-	\$ -

F-14

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

A valuation allowance has been established to offset deferred tax assets. The Company's accumulated net operating losses were approximately \$3.4 million at December 31, 2013 and begin to expire if not utilized beginning in the year 2032.

The Company has determined that as a result of the acquisition of substantially all of the outstanding stock of Art Design, Inc., a change of control pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 occurred. As a result, the Company's ability to use any net operating losses attributable to Art Design, Inc. for federal income tax purposes has been forfeited.

NOTE 10. SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION RELATING TO OIL AND GAS PRODUCING ACTIVITIES (UNAUDITED)

Costs Incurred in Oil and Gas Property Acquisition, Exploration and Development. Amounts reported as costs incurred include both capitalized costs and costs charged to expense during the year for oil and gas property acquisition, exploration and development activities. Costs incurred also include new asset retirement obligations established in the current year, as well as increases or decreases to the asset retirement obligations resulting from changes to cost estimates during the year. Exploration costs presented below include the costs of drilling and equipping successful exploration wells, as well as dry hole costs, leasehold impairments, geological and geophysical expenses, and the costs of retaining undeveloped leaseholds. Development costs include the costs of drilling and equipping development wells, and construction of related production facilities.

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013	Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2012
Property acquisitions		
Unevaluated	\$ -	\$ -
Evaluated	-	475,000
Exploration	-	106,109
Development	756,219	1,617,526
Total Costs Incurred	\$ 756,219	\$ 2,198,635

Capitalized costs. Capitalized costs include the cost of properties, equipment and facilities for oil and natural-gas producing activities. Capitalized costs for proved properties include costs for oil and natural-gas leaseholds where proved reserves have been identified, development wells, and related equipment and facilities, including development wells in progress. Capitalized costs for unproved properties include costs for acquiring oil and gas leaseholds and geological and geophysical expenses where no proved reserves have been identified.

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Capitalized costs		
Unevaluated properties	\$ -	\$ 72,950
Evaluated properties	2,954,854	2,125,685
		2,198,635

	2,954,854	
Less: Accumulated DD&A	(102,091)	(28,291)
Net capitalized costs	\$ 2,852,763	\$ 2,170,344

Oil and Gas Reserve Information. Mire and Associates, Inc., an independent engineering firm, prepared the estimates of the proved reserves, future production, and income attributable to the leasehold interests as of December 31, 2013 and Nova Resource, Inc. prepared the 2012 reserves report. The estimated proved net recoverable reserves presented below include only those quantities that were expected to be commercially recoverable at prices and costs in effect at the balance sheet dates under the then existing regulatory practices and with conventional equipment and operating methods. Proved Developed Reserves represent only those reserves estimated to be recovered through existing wells. Proved Undeveloped Reserves include those reserves that may be recovered from new wells on undrilled acreage or from existing wells on which a relatively major expenditure for recompletion or secondary recovery operations is required. All of the Company's Proved Reserves are located onshore in the continental United States of America.

Discounted future cash flow estimates like those shown below are not intended to represent estimates of the fair value of oil and gas properties. Estimates of fair value should also consider unproved reserves, anticipated future oil and gas prices, interest rates, changes in development and production costs and risks associated with future production. Because of these and other considerations, any estimate of fair value is subjective and imprecise.

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

The following table sets forth estimates of the proved oil and gas reserves (net of royalty interests) for the Company and changes therein, for the periods indicated.

	Oil (Bbls)		
December 31, 2011	-		
Revisions of prior estimates	-		
Purchases of reserves in place	220,995		
Production	(1,381)		
December 31, 2012	219,614		
Revisions of prior estimates	(8,537)		
Purchases of reserves in place	18,991		
Production	(3,468)		
December 31, 2012	226,600		
		December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Estimated Quantities of Proved Developed Reserves – Oil (Bbls)	29,900	59,971	
Estimated Quantities of Proved Undeveloped Reserves – Oil (Bbls)	196,700	159,643	

Standardized Measure of Discounted Future Net Cash Flows. The Standardized Measure related to proved oil and gas reserves is summarized below. Future cash inflows were computed by applying a twelve month average of the first day of the month prices to estimated future production, less estimated future expenditures (based on year end costs) to be incurred in developing and producing the proved reserves, less estimated future income tax expense. Future income tax expenses are calculated by applying appropriate year-end tax rates to future pretax net cash flows, less the tax basis of properties involved. Future net cash flows are discounted at a rate of 10% annually to derive the standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows. This calculation procedure does not necessarily result in an estimate of the fair market value or the present value of the Company.

Standardized Measure of Oil and Gas

The following table sets forth the changes in standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows relating to proved oil and gas reserves for the periods indicated.

	December 31, 2012
Future cash inflows	\$ 20,863,274
Future production costs	(13,343,179)
Future development costs	(2,400,000)

Edgar Filing: Rockdale Resources Corp - Form 10-K

Future income taxes	-
Future net cash flows	5,120,095
Discount of future net cash flows at 10% per annum	(679,338)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	\$ 4,440,757

F-16

Table of Contents

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION
(FORMERLY ART DESIGN, INC.)
NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2013

	December 31, 2013	
Future cash inflows	\$	21,168,740
Future production costs		(7,050,170)
Future development costs		(2,060,100)
Future income taxes		-
Future net cash flows		12,058,470
Discount of future net cash flows at 10% per annum		(4,091,920)
Standardized measure of discounted future net cash flows	\$	7,966,550
Changes in standardized measure of discounted future cash flows		
	12/31/13	12/31/12
Beginning of year	4,440,757	-
Sales and transfers of oil & gas produced, net of production costs	(144,845)	(51,254)
Net changes in prices and production costs	808,717	-
Development costs incurred	829,168	-
Changes in estimated future development costs	316,612	-
Acquisitions of minerals in place, net of production costs	1,183,256	4,492,011
Revision of previous estimates	(180,497)	-
Change in discount	444,076	-
Change in production rate or other	269,306	-
End of year	7,966,550	4,440,757

NOTE 11. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On January 27, 2014, the Company entered into a one year Consulting Agreement (the “Agreement”) with Mercadyne Advisors LLC (the “Consultant”). The Company agreed to issue 800,000 shares of common stock in the name of David N. Baker as consideration for the Consultant’s provision of the consulting services under the Agreement. The foregoing summary of the Agreement does not purport to be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed on January 27th 2014.

On February 16, 2014, the Company entered into the first of several Subscription Agreements (the “Subscription Agreements”) with certain accredited investors (each, an “Investor” and collectively, the “Investors”) as part of a private placement offering of its common stock. The foregoing summary of the Subscription Agreement does not purport to

be complete and is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Subscription Agreement, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit 10.1 to our Form 8-K filed on February 14th 2014.

As of March 15, 2014 the Company sold 45.5 units in its private placement offering of its common stock to a group of private investors and a related party. Each "Unit" consists of (i) 33,334 shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.30 per share, and (ii) a warrant, exercisable until August 5, 2019, to purchase 33,334 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$0.75 per share. As a result, the Company collected \$455,004 and issued 1,516,697 shares of common stock.

In February 2014 75,000 of common stock granted to employees and directors vested.

In January 2014 the Company completed one oil well at an approximate cost of \$120,000. As of March 15, 2014 the Company is in the process of completing three additional oil wells.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the Registrant has caused this Report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on the 31st day of March, 2014.

ROCKDALE RESOURCES CORPORATION

By: /s/ Marc Spezialy
Marc Spezialy
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Marc Spezialy Marc Spezialy	Principal Executive, Financial and Accounting Officer and a Director	March 31, 2014
/s/ Matthew Ferguson Matthew Ferguson	Director	March 31, 2014

