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## PART I.

This Report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). You can find many (but not all) of these statements by looking for words such as "approximates," "believes," "expects," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "plans," "would," "may" or other similar expressions in this Report. Our forward-looking statements relate to future events or our future performance and include, but are not limited to, statements concerning our business strategy, future commercial revenues, market growth, capital requirements, new product introductions, expansion plans and the adequacy of our funding. Other statements contained in this Report that are not historical facts are also forward-looking statements.

We claim the protection of the safe harbor contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this Report, or that we may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on beliefs and assumptions made by us and information currently available to us. Such statements are based on assumptions, and the actual outcome will be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control or ability to predict. Although we believe that our assumptions are reasonable, they are not guarantees of future performance, and some will inevitably prove to be incorrect. As a result, our actual future results can be expected to differ from our expectations, and those differences may be material. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on forward-looking statements, which are based on known results and trends at the time they are made, to anticipate future results or trends.

For discussion of some of the factors that could affect our results, see Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and Item 1A. "Risk Factors."

This Report and all subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or any person acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to in this section. We do not undertake any obligation to release publicly any revisions to our forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Report.

Stamps.com, NetStamps, Stamps.com Internet Postage, PhotoStamps, Hidden Postage and the Stamps.com logo are our trademarks. This Report also references trademarks of other entities. References in this Report to "we" "us" "our" or "company" are references to Stamps.com, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

## ITEM 1.

## BUSINESS.

## Overview

Stamps.com® is the leading provider of Internet-based postage solutions. Our customers use our service to mail and ship a variety of mail pieces, including postcards, envelopes, flats and packages, using a wide range of United States Postal Service (the "USPS") mail classes, including First Class Mail®, Priority Mail®, Express Mail®, Media Mail®, Parcel Post®, and others. Our customers include individuals, small businesses, home offices, medium-size businesses and large enterprises, and within these segments we target both mailers and shippers. We were the first ever USPS-licensed vendor to offer PC Postage® in a software-only business model in 1999.

Services and Products

PC Postage Business

Our PC Postage solutions enable our customers to buy and print USPS approved postage and services with just a PC, printer and Internet connection, right from their home or office.

We offer the following PC Postage products and services to our customers:

- PC Postage Services. After completing the registration process, customers can purchase and print postage 24 hours a day, seven days a week through our software or web interface. When a customer purchases postage for use through our service, the customer pays the face value of the postage, and the funds are transferred directly from the customer's account to the USPS's account. The customer then draws down their prepaid account balance as they print postage and repurchases postage as necessary. Customers typically pay a monthly subscription fee for access to our service.
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Our USPS-approved PC Postage service enables users to print "electronic stamps" directly onto envelopes, plain paper, or labels using only a standard personal computer, printer and Internet connection. Our service currently supports a variety of USPS and international mail classes. Customers can also add USPS Special Services such as Delivery Confirmation TM, Signature Confirmation TM, Registered Mail, Certified Mail, Insured Mail, Return Receipt, Collect on Delivery and Restricted Delivery to their mail pieces. Our customers can print postage (1) on NetStamps ${ }^{\circledR}$ labels, which can be used just like regular stamps, (2) directly on envelopes, postcards or on other types of mail or labels, in a single-step process that saves time and provides a professional look, (3) on plain 8.5 " x 11 " paper or on special labels for packages, and (4) on integrated customs forms for international mail and packages.

For added convenience, our PC Postage services incorporate address verification technology that verifies each destination address for mail sent using our service against a database of all known addresses in the United States. Our PC Postage service is also integrated with common small business and productivity software applications such as word processing, contact and address management, and accounting and financial applications. We also offer several different versions of NetStamps, such as Themed NetStamps and Photo NetStamps that allow customers to add stock or full custom designs to their mail while still providing the same NetStamps convenience of printing and using postage whenever it is needed.

We offer multiple PC Postage service plans with different features and capabilities targeted to meet different customer needs. Our Pro Plan offers a basic set of Stamps.com mailing \& shipping features with single-user capability. Our Premiere plan typically targeted at larger small businesses adds multiple-user functionality, automated Certified Mail forms, additional reference codes and higher allowable postage balances as compared to our Pro Plan feature set. Our Professional Shipper plan is typically targeted at higher volume shippers such as fulfillment houses, retailers and e-commerce merchants and features direct integration into a customer's order databases, faster label printing speed, the ability to customize and save shipping profiles, and integrations with many of the industry's leading shipping management systems. We have launched shipping integrations with several of these e-commerce focused companies over the past two years. Our Enterprise plan is typically targeted at organizations with multiple geographic locations and features enhanced reporting that allows a central location such as a corporate headquarters greater visibility and control over postage expenditures across their network of locations.

Customers typically pay us a monthly service fee ranging from $\$ 15.99$ to $\$ 39.99$ depending on the service plan. In certain circumstances, customers may be on a plan where they do not owe us any monthly service fees. We have an arrangement with the USPS under which if a customer or integration partner prints a certain amount of Priority or Express Mail postage, they can qualify to have their service fees waived or refunded and the USPS compensates us directly. In addition, we also have plans for less than $\$ 15.99$ which offer more limited functionality targeted at retaining customers who print a lower volume of postage.

- PC Postage Integrations. As part of our PC Postage services, we offer back-end integration solutions where we provide the electronic postage for transactions to partners who manage the front-end process. Our software integrates directly into the most popular e-commerce platforms, allowing web store managers to completely automate their order fulfillment process by processing, managing, and shipping orders from virtually any e-commerce source through a single interface without manual data entry. Managers can retrieve order data and print complete shipping labels for all USPS mail classes, including First Class International®.

In July 2010 we launched a partnership with Amazon.com that makes our domestic and international shipping labels available to Amazon.com Marketplace users. The service allows customers to automatically pay for postage using their Marketplace Payments account, to set a default ship-from address so they do not have to type or write it for each shipment, and to automatically populate the ship-to address on the label. Domestic and international mail classes are supported, and Marketplace users may request carrier pickup from the USPS. A transaction fee of $\$ 0.07$ per label is charged to non-subscription customers for each label printed.

In February 2011 we were awarded a contract from the USPS to provide the electronic postage for shipping transactions generated by Click-N-Ship®, a web-based service available at USPS.com that allows USPS customers to purchase and print shipping labels for domestic and international Priority and Express packages at no additional mark-up over the cost of postage.
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- Mailing \& Shipping Supplies Store. Our Mailing \& Shipping Supplies Store (our "Supplies Store") is available to our customers from within our PC Postage software and sells NetStamps labels, shipping labels, other mailing labels, dedicated postage printers, scales, and other mailing and shipping-focused office supplies. Our Supplies Store features a store catalog, messaging regarding our free or discounted shipping promotions, cross-selling product recommendation during the checkout process, product search capabilities, and same day shipping of orders with expedited and rush shipping options.
- Branded Insurance. We offer Stamps.com branded insurance to our customers so that they may insure their mail or packages in a fully integrated, online process that eliminates any trips to the post office or the need to complete any special forms. Our branded insurance is provided in partnership with Parcel Insurance Plan and is underwritten by Fireman's Fund. We also offer official USPS package insurance alongside our branded insurance product.


## PhotoStamps

PhotoStamps is a patented form of postage that allows consumers to turn digital photos, designs or images into valid US postage. With this product, individuals or businesses can create customized US postage using pictures of their children, pets, vacations, celebrations, business logos and more. PhotoStamps can be used as regular postage to send letters, postcards or packages. The product is available via our separately-marketed website at www.photostamps.com. Customers upload a digital photograph or image file, customize the look and feel by choosing a border color to complement the photo, select the value of postage, and place the order online. Each sheet includes 20 individual PhotoStamps, and orders arrive via US Mail in a few business days.

When we refer to our PC Postage business, we are referring to our PC Postage Service and Integrations, Mailing \& Shipping Supplies Store and Branded Insurance offering. We do not include our PhotoStamps business when we refer to our PC Postage business.

## Customer Value Proposition for our PC Postage Business

Our PC Postage customers can save time in a number of ways including: (1) mailing or shipping from their home or office 24 hours a day and 7 days a week, avoiding the time that would ordinarily be spent in a trip to the post office; (2) generating mass mailings quickly and easily by printing the address and postage together in a single step process; (3) using our software as an integrated package with most small business productivity applications such as word processors, financial applications and address books; and (4) generating large volumes of shipping labels easily by integrating directly with the database or file system of eCommerce systems.

Our PC Postage customers can also save money in a number of ways including: (1) receiving a discount versus USPS retail post offices of up to $16 \%$ off Priority Mail, up to $62 \%$ off Express Mail, up to 5\% off Priority Mail International and up to $8 \%$ off Express Mail International. In addition, "Commercial Plus" customers who meet certain higher volume requirements receive additional discounts which can result in total discounts up to $31 \%$ off of Priority Mail, up to $62 \%$ off Express Mail, up to $10 \%$ off Priority Mail International and up to $15 \%$ off Express Mail International; (2) receiving a discount versus USPS retail post office of $\$ 0.66$ to $\$ 0.85$ per package on Delivery Confirmation service, including free electronic Delivery Confirmation for Priority Mail and First Class Package Services; (3) automatically checking destination addresses against the USPS address database, so postage is not wasted on undeliverable-as-addressed mail; (4) avoiding wasted postage by calculating the exact amount of postage that is needed depending on mail class, mail form, weight and distance to the destination; (5) tracking and controlling postage expenditures using cost codes built into our service; and (6) paying a monthly service fee that is up to $75 \%$ less than the total cost of an entry-level postage meter, where users typically pay monthly rental fees, maintenance fees, postage purchase surcharges, cleaning fees, and fees for proprietary ink cartridges.

The advanced reporting and administrative controls available with our PC Postage service delivers benefits including: (1) greater visibility into postage activity vs. traditional solutions; and (2) improved tracking and control of postage, which can help customers manage and reduce postage expenditures. The advanced reporting and controls capability is particularly relevant to our enterprise customers who are managing postage across multiple locations.

Our PC Postage customers can enhance their productivity and image by: (1) producing more professional looking mail vs. stamped mail, thereby helping a smaller business resemble a larger business; and (2) transforming a two-step process into a one-step process by printing addresses and postage together. The enhanced productivity and image gained through our software is particularly relevant to our home and small business customers.
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Our PC Postage customers can also optimize their shipping operations in a number of ways including: (1) sending USPS packages with Hidden Postage ${ }^{\mathrm{TM}}$, which hides the actual amount paid for postage (a useful feature for e-commerce companies that may not want the recipient to see actual shipping cost information); (2) reducing customer support costs by automatically generating and sending package delivery status e-mails to customers; (3) providing a complete record of all packages sent with the ability to retrieve delivery status information; (4) generating a single bar-coded form that represents multiple packages in a single shipment so that the USPS can scan the single form to accept all of the packages at once and the customer gets a record that all the packages were accepted by the USPS; (5) adding our integrated package insurance; and (6) processing large batch shipments via database integrations. The ability to optimize shipping operations is particularly relevant to our higher volume shipping customers.

## Marketing of PC Postage

We target our PC Postage marketing at small businesses, home offices, medium-size businesses and large enterprises, and within these segments we target both mailers and shippers. We market our PC Postage services through the following channels:

Affiliate Channels. We utilize the traffic and customers of smaller web sites and other businesses or individuals that are too small to qualify for a partnership directly with us by offering financial incentives for these small businesses and individuals to drive traffic to our web site through a third party affiliate management company.

Direct Mail. We send direct mail pieces to prospective customers with prospect lists purchased from third parties or obtained from partners.

Direct Sales. We utilize a direct sales force for higher-priced Enterprise and Professional Shipper versions of our PC Postage service.

Offline Marketing Programs. We utilize various other offline advertising and marketing programs including telemarketing, tradeshows, retail and other programs.

Partnerships. We work with strategic partners in order to leverage their web site traffic, marketing programs, and existing customer base to distribute our PC Postage software. For example, these partnerships may result in a link to our website from a partner's website, a copy of our software included along with a partner's software product, the distribution of our software at a retail location, or the bundling of our software with a hardware device. Our partnerships include Microsoft, Avery Dennison, Hewlett Packard and the USPS.

Remarketing. We remarket our services to former customers. Our remarketing efforts are generally focused on new features that may relate to the reasons former customers stopped using our service. We utilize e-mail and regular mail to communicate new features of our products to our former customers.

Shipping Integrations. We market our services through integrations with e-commerce platforms, multi-carrier shipping management software, shopping cart software and other order-entry management applications. Our shipping integration partnerships include Amazon, the USPS, QuickBooks and others.

Traditional Media. We utilize television commercials and a variety of traditional and internet-based radio endorsements to advertise our service.

Traditional Online Advertising. We work with companies to advertise our services online through paid searches, banner ads, permission-based emails, and other online advertising vehicles.

USPS Referrals. We utilize the nationwide USPS Account Manager network to market and sell our services to customers. We market to the account managers by attending regional and national meetings and forums, and participating in local vendor calls. We also receive referrals directly from the USPS website at www.USPS.com.

Enhanced Promotion Online Advertising. We work with various companies to advertise our service in various places across the Internet. This channel typically offers an additional promotion directly to the customer by the partner in order to get the customer to try our service. We reduced our investment in the enhanced promotional channel during recent years.
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When we refer to our "Core PC Postage" or "Non-Enhanced Promotion" business, revenue or marketing channels, we are referring to PC Postage customers acquired through all the marketing channels described above, excluding the Enhanced Promotion Online Advertising channel.

## Marketing of PhotoStamps

We target our PhotoStamps marketing at consumers and businesses. We market or have marketed our PhotoStamps product through the following channels:

Online advertising, including paid search, banner ads, permission-based emails, and other online advertising methods;
Partnerships including HP/Snapfish and others;
Retail distribution of a boxed PhotoStamps product;
Remarketing to our existing customers; and
Traditional offline methods of consumer advertising.
In recent years, we reduced our consumer-focused marketing spending in order to lower our customer acquisition costs and improve our expected returns and profitability in the PhotoStamps business.

## 2012 Business Strategy

## PC Postage Business

Our 2012 strategy for the PC Postage business includes the following major initiatives and plans. These initiatives and plans are subject to change without notice based on our analysis of market and business conditions (see our discussion of forward-looking statements at the beginning of Part I of this Report).

- Increase our Small Business Marketing Spending. Based on recent analysis and trends, we believe that improvements in the macro-economic environment are being reflected in our small business customer acquisition metrics and that the lifetime value of Core PC Postage customers continues to be more than twice the cost of acquiring those customers. Accordingly, we plan to increase our small business customer acquisition spending in our Core PC Postage marketing channels by an estimated $10 \%$ to $15 \%$ in 2012 versus 2011.

We plan to continue increasing our investment in direct mail, online advertising and traditional media as well as refining our customer acquisition process through affiliates, partners, telemarketing and other areas. Our goal is to continue to increase our small business customer acquisition spend while keeping our cost per customer acquired at a reasonable level and maintaining our customer economics resulting in an attractive expected return on investment.

- Optimize our Business Model and Improve our Customer Experience. We plan to continue optimizing our registration process and post-registration customer interactions to improve the initial experience a customer has with our service by shortening and streamlining the registration process and facilitating easier purchasing of postage by customers during registration. We plan to expand our usage of demo videos and online how to videos. We also plan to continue expanding our presence in social networking sites and continue expanding our customer web portal and content on our website.

We plan to launch new features in our software including continued enhancements to our batch shipping capabilities such as more automated rate selection and additional ecommerce integrations. We plan to improve our insurance capabilities such as the ability to insure packages up to $\$ 10,000$ in value. We also plan to broaden our support for USPS services such as the USPS flat rate legal envelopes, the new First Class Package Services with free delivery confirmation and support for the new Express Mail Flat Rate box.

- Enhance our Enterprise Solutions Marketing Efforts. Customers continue to be attracted to our enterprise solution versus a postage meter based on our dramatically lower cost of ownership and visibility into individual employee activity from our sophisticated centralized front-end reporting tool with real time data, improved web-based postage management tools, and enhanced web-based financial and administrative controls for central decision makers, which are typically not available with a postage meter.

In 2012, we plan to continue increasing, optimizing and refining our enterprise customer lead generation and sales and marketing efforts. We plan to increase our sales team size commensurate with the business prospects that are available and continue working on improving the efficiency of our sales team. We plan to increase the number of enterprise customers as well as increase the number of locations with existing customers.
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- Enhance our High Volume Shipper Solution and Marketing Efforts. We continue to attract high volume shippers such as warehouses, fulfillment houses, e-commerce shippers, larger retailers, and other types of high volume shippers to our service through our efforts in this area. In 2011 we continued to invest in our shipping technology and our sales and marketing efforts. As a result, we experienced record levels of postage printed by high volume shipping customers in 2011, which was up $96 \%$ compared to 2010.

In 2012 we plan to optimize our business in this area by (1) continuing to introduce improvements in the software and features to further improve the scalability of the product to the largest high-volume customers, (2) adding new shopping cart integrations for easier data export and import from the tools that customers like to use, and (3) continuing to scale our sales efforts using our national sales force.

In addition, while our online enhanced promotion channel tends to attract customers with lower lifetime values, we continue to see an acceptable return on our investment, and we plan to continue to run this channel until we no longer find the returns to be attractive. However, we currently expect to continue to reduce our investment in this area in 2012 compared to previous years.

## PhotoStamps

In 2012 we plan to continue marketing PhotoStamps, but with limited spending and expectations. We will continue our program of focused direct-to-website PhotoStamps marketing spending with a goal of keeping the overall cost per acquisition at a level that provides an attractive financial return. While we do not expect to invest heavily in the PhotoStamps business until the economy materially improves, we believe that there are potential opportunities to grow the business in a better economic environment.

## Competition

The market for our products is competitive. Some of our current direct competitors in the PC Postage and Customized Postage categories include:

Endicia.com/Dymo. Endicia is a group within Newell Rubbermaid's Office Products division that offers a software-based PC Postage service similar to our PC Postage service under the brand name Endicia, a custom postage offering similar to our PhotoStamps service under the brand name PictureItPostage, and a NetStamps-like service in conjunction with Dymo (an affiliated company also owned by Newell Rubbermaid) under the brand names Dymo Stamps and Dymo Printable Postage. All three of these services are directly competitive with our own services in these areas.

Dymo Stamps is different from our service in the approach it takes to the business model. Its service is offered without a monthly service fee, which is one of its primary marketing messages versus our service. To use the Dymo Stamps feature, however, customers must purchase Dymo Stamps labels through Endicia at a price that is significantly higher per label than the price for our NetStamps labels.

Pitney Bowes, Inc. Pitney Bowes is the current market leader in the U.S. traditional postage meter business, with revenues of $\$ 5.3$ billion in 2011. Pitney Bowes launched its initial software-based PC Postage product in 2000 and currently offers a PC Postage product under the name pbSmartPostage which was launched during 2010. Pitney Bowes has historically offered a PC Postage product under the name ShipStream Manager but it appears from their website that the ShipStream Manager product is being discontinued and those customers are being converted to the pbSmartPostage product. During 2004 Pitney Bowes also began offering an Internet-based service for printing a single label for use in shipping a package that does not require a monthly subscription fee, in partnership with eBay. Pitney Bowes offers a customized postage offering (branded ZazzleStamps) through a partnership with Zazzle.com,

Inc., a small, private U.S. company that specializes in custom products.
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We also compete with traditional postage meters offered by Pitney Bowes and others in the U.S. market. We believe that our customers choose our PC Postage service over traditional postage meters primarily to save money. We also believe that our PC Postage service can offer superior capabilities to postage meters in certain areas, such as the ability to integrate tightly with small business productivity applications and the ability to easily monitor and track USPS packages. We believe customers choose postage meters over our solutions because of the perceived ease of use of these products versus our current approach of software that runs on a PC.

We believe that our customers choose our PC Postage service over that of other PC Postage competitors because of our superior user interface and our larger breadth of features. For example, we are the only PC Postage service that is tightly integrated into the native capabilities of Microsoft Office for use with Office's mailing capabilities such as mail merge and envelope printing, we are the only PC Postage provider with an integration partnership with Amazon.com serving their Marketplace users, we support more address books than any other PC Postage software, and we are the only company that offers the additional customer choice of our Themed and Photo NetStamps labels. Based on USPS data and our own estimates, we believe that approximately $80 \%$ of all PC Postage subscription customers are our customers (excluding estimated customers paying for postage on a pure transaction-based, no-monthly-fee plan).

Based on USPS data and on our own estimates, we believe that PhotoStamps is a leading brand in the USPS's customized postage program. When compared to competitive offerings, we think PhotoStamps offers the best product and overall customer experience in the industry. PhotoStamps was also the first commercially available customized postage product, and we believe it has the best brand recognition among its competitors.

Other Competition. We also compete with traditional methods of accessing US postage, such as postage stamps, USPS retail locations, and USPS online services such as Click-N-Ship and those available through eBay/PayPal. All of these methods are typically available with no additional markup over the face value of postage. We believe that our customers choose our service over these methods of accessing postage because of convenience and the capabilities and features that are not available through these traditional methods. We believe customers choose traditional methods over our solutions as a way to obtain postage without paying additional fees above the face value of postage. In our high volume shipping solution we also compete with alternatives to the US Postal service for package services such as FedEx and UPS.

## Industry Overview

## Business Market Size

Our PC Postage mailing and shipping service is currently targeted primarily at U.S. small offices, home offices, small businesses, enterprises and high volume shippers, and within these segments we target both mailers and shippers. We believe the number of businesses that we can serve with our current products are as follows: (1) 21.3 million sole proprietorships; (2) 4.6 million small businesses with 1 to 9 employees (typically using our single user Pro service); (3) 1.2 million small businesses with 10 to 99 employees (typically using our Pro or our multi-user Premier service); (4) 109 thousand medium and large businesses with 100 or more employees, which represent 1.5 million separate locations (typically using our Enterprise service). In addition we believe there are approximately 24 million non-income generating home offices such as those used for corporate after-hours work or telecommuting, and our solution is also applicable to that segment. We also have consumers that use our service.

US Mail Volume
According to the USPS Fiscal 2011 Annual Report, the total US Postal Service revenue was $\$ 66$ billion during its fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. Of this amount approximately $\$ 43$ billion was represented by mail classes that are addressable using our current solution (First Class, Priority Mail, Express Mail, Media Mail, Parcel Post,
international mail, and special services). We believe that some portion of this $\$ 43$ billion is a potential market for purchasing and printing postage using PC Postage.

Based on the USPS Fiscal 2010 Household Diary study, consumer-to-consumer personal correspondence mail volume is approximately 5.0 billion pieces per year ( 0.9 billion personal letters, 2.1 billion holiday greeting cards, 1.3 billion non-holiday greeting cards, and 0.7 billion other). We also estimate that an additional 6.2 billion pieces per year are sent between businesses and consumers as first class primary business advertising mail, and an additional 5.1 billion pieces per year are sent from businesses to consumers as First Class correspondence mail. We believe that consumer-to-consumer and business-to-consumer advertising mail are two potential markets for use of PhotoStamps.
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The PC Postage Certification and Regulatory Approval Process
Our technology must meet strict U.S. government security standards. Our PC Postage products (including any follow-on technology) must complete extensive USPS testing and evaluation in the areas of operational reliability, financial integrity and security to become certified for commercial distribution, and each additional implementation of a particular product or function requires additional evaluation and approval by the USPS prior to commercial distribution. The USPS certification process to become an USPS approved PC Postage vendor is a standardized, ten-stage process that took the existing approved vendors years to complete. Each stage requires USPS review and authorization to proceed to the next stage of the certification process. The USPS has no published timeline or estimated time to complete each of the ten stages of the program. The most significant stage requires a vendor to complete three phases of beta testing. In 1999 we were approved and launched the first software-only PC Postage service. In May 2009 we successfully completed the market test of our PhotoStamps product.

## Our Technology

Our servers are located in high-security data centers and operate with proprietary security software. These servers create the data used to generate information-based indicia. They also process postage purchases using secure technology that meets USPS security requirements. Our service currently uses a Windows-based client application that supports a variety of label and envelope options and a wide range of printers. In addition, our application employs an internally-developed user authentication mechanism for additional security.

Our transaction processing servers are a combination of secure, commercially available and internally-developed technologies that are designed to provide secure and reliable transactions. Our implementation of system hardware meets government standards for security and data integrity. The performance and scalability of our PC Postage system is designed to allow many users to simultaneously process postage transactions through our system. Our database servers are designed and built with industry-leading database technologies and are scalable as needed.

We rely on a combination of patent, trade secret, copyright and trademark laws and contractual restrictions, such as confidentiality agreements and licenses, to establish and protect our rights in our products, services, know-how and information. We have a portfolio of issued and pending US and international patents. We also have a number of registered and unregistered trademarks. We plan to apply for more patents and trademarks in the future. Our issued and pending patents have a range of expiration dates from 2014 until 2031. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-- Research and Development" for the amount spent during each of the last three fiscal years on company-sponsored research and development activities.

Section 382 Update
We currently have federal and state net operating loss ("NOL") carry-forwards of approximately $\$ 230$ million and $\$ 125$ million, respectively, which when combined with our other tax credits and tax assets have a potential value of up to $\$ 85$ million in tax savings. Under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 rules, if a "change of ownership" is triggered, our NOL asset may be impaired. A change in ownership can occur whenever there is a shift in ownership by more than 50 percentage points by one or more " $5 \%$ shareholders" within a three-year period. We estimate that as of December 31, 2011 we were at approximately a $15 \%$ level compared with the $50 \%$ level that would trigger impairment of our NOL asset.

Under our certificate of incorporation, any person or entity, including any company or investment firm that wishes to become a " $5 \%$ shareholder" (as defined in our certificate of incorporation) must first obtain a waiver from our board of directors. In addition any person or entity, including any company or investment firm that is already a " $5 \%$ shareholder" of ours cannot make any additional purchases of our stock without a waiver from our board of directors. These NOL
protective measures contained in our certificate of incorporation (the "NOL Protective Measures") are more particularly discussed in our Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 2, 2008.
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On July 22, 2010, our Board of Directors suspended the NOL Protective Measures by approving a waiver from the NOL Protective Measures to all persons and entities, including companies and investment firms. As a result, as of the date of filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our stockholders are now allowed to become " $5 \%$ shareholders" and existing " $5 \%$ shareholders" are allowed to make additional purchases of our stock each without having to comply with the restrictions contained in the NOL Protective Measures. This waiver may be revoked by our Board of Directors at any time if the Board deems the revocation necessary to protect against a Section 382 "change of ownership" that would limit our ability to utilize future NOLs. For complete details about this waiver from the NOL Protective Measures, please see our Form 8-K filed on July 28, 2010.

As of February 29, 2012, we had $16,320,263$ shares outstanding, and therefore ownership of approximately 815,000 shares or more would currently constitute a " $5 \%$ shareholder". We strongly urge that any stockholder contemplating becoming a 5\% or more shareholder contact us before doing so.

## Employees

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately 226 employees not including temporary or contract workers. Our employees work in various departments including customer support, research and development, sales and marketing, information technology and general administration. None of our employees are represented by a labor union. We believe that we have a good relationship with our employees.

Segments, Geographical and Revenue Information
We operate in a single market segment, "Internet Mailing and Shipping Services" and therefore have only one reportable segment. All of our operations, revenue and assets are within the United States. During 2011, 2010 and 2009, we did not recognize revenue from any one customer that represented $10 \%$ or more of revenues. See Item 7. "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Results of Operations for years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010," and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations--Results of Operations for years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009," for the percentage of total revenue contributed by categories of similar products or services that accounted for 10 percent or more of consolidated revenue. Our product and insurance revenues are subject to seasonal variations with the fourth and first calendar quarters being typically seasonally stronger and the second and third calendar quarters being typically seasonally slower. Our service revenue does have some seasonal variation driven by typically seasonally stronger customer acquisition and usage in the fourth and first calendar quarters and typically seasonally slower customer acquisition and usage in the second and third calendar quarters. Our PhotoStamps revenue is typically seasonally stronger in the fourth calendar quarter due to the holidays.

## Company Information

We were founded in September 1996 and we were incorporated in Delaware in January 1998 as StampMaster, Inc., changing our name to Stamps.com Inc. in December 1998. We completed our initial public offering in June 1999. Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol "STMP."

Our principal executive offices are located at 12959 Coral Tree Place, Los Angeles, California, 90066, and our telephone number is (310) 482-5800.

Available Information
We make available on our website (www.stamps.com), free of charge, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to
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Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after such material is electronically filed or furnished to the SEC (information contained on our website is not part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K). Our Annual Report on Form 10-K may also be obtained free of charge by written request to Investor Relations, Stamps.com Inc., 12959 Coral Tree Place Los Angeles, California 90066.
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## ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS.

You should carefully consider the following risks and the other information in this Report and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") before you decide to invest in our company or to maintain or increase your investment. The risks included in this section are not exhaustive, and additional factors could adversely affect our business and financial performance. We operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time, and it is not possible for management to predict all such risk factors, nor can it assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. If any of the following risks actually occur, our business, results of operations or financial condition would likely suffer. In that case, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you may lose all or part of your investment.

This Report contains forward-looking statements based on the current expectations, assumptions, estimates and projections about us and the Internet. See the discussion of forward-looking statements on page 1 of Part I of this Report.

## Risks Related to Our Business

We may not successfully implement strategies to increase the adoption of our services and products, which would limit our growth, adversely affect our business and cause the price of our common stock to decline.

Our continuing profitability depends on our ability to successfully implement our strategy of increasing the adoption of our services and products. Factors that might cause our revenues, margins and operating results to fluctuate include the factors described below in this section as well as:

- The costs of our marketing programs to establish and promote our brands;
- The demand for our services and products;
- Our ability to develop and maintain strategic distribution relationships;
- The number, timing and significance of new products or services introduced by us and by our competitors;
- Our ability to develop, market and introduce new and enhanced products and services on a timely basis;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { The level of service and price competition; } \\
& \text { Our operating expenses; } \\
& \text { - } \quad \text { GSPS regulation and policies relating to PC Postage and PhotoStamps; and } \\
& \text { - } \quad \text { Genonomic factors. }
\end{aligned}
$$

We may implement pricing plans and promotions that may adversely affect our future revenues and margins.
Our ability to generate gross margins depends upon our ability to generate significant revenues from a large base of active customers. In order to attract customers in the future, we may run special promotions and offers, such as trial periods, discounts on fees, postage and supplies, and other promotions. In addition, we may offer new pricing plans
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for new and existing customers. We cannot be sure that customers will be receptive to future fee structures and special promotions that we may implement. Even though we have established a sizeable customer base, we still may not generate sufficient gross margins to remain profitable. In addition, our ability to generate revenues or sustain profitability could be adversely affected by the special promotions or additional changes to our pricing plans.
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If we do not successfully attract and retain skilled personnel for permanent management and other key personnel positions, we may not be able to effectively implement our business plan.

Our success depends largely on the skills, experience and performance of the members of our senior management and other key personnel. Any of these individuals can terminate his or her employment with us at any time. If we lose key employees and are unable to replace them with qualified individuals, our business and operating results could be seriously harmed. In addition, our future success will depend largely on our ability to continue attracting and retaining highly skilled personnel. We may be unable to successfully attract, assimilate or retain qualified personnel. Further, we may be unable to retain the employees we currently employ or attract additional qualified personnel to replace those key employees that may depart. The failure to attract and retain the necessary personnel could seriously harm our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The success of our business will depend upon the continued acceptance by customers of our service.
We must minimize the rate of loss of existing customers while adding new customers. Customers cancel their subscription to our service for many reasons, including a perception that they do not use the service sufficiently. Also customers may feel the costs for service are too high, they may be going out of business, or they may have other issues that are not satisfactorily resolved. We must continually add new customers both to replace customers who cancel and to continue to grow our business beyond our current customer base. If too many of our customers cancel our service, or if we are unable to attract new customers in numbers sufficient to grow our business, our operating results will be adversely affected. Further, if excessive numbers of customers cancel our service, we may be required to incur significantly higher marketing expenditures than we currently anticipate to replace these customers with new customers.

If we fail to effectively market and sell our services and products, our business will be substantially harmed and could fail.

In order to acquire customers and achieve widespread distribution and use of our services and products, we must develop and execute cost-effective marketing campaigns and sales programs. We currently rely on a combination of marketing techniques to attract new customers including direct mail, online marketing and business partnerships. We may be unable to continue marketing our services and products in a cost-effective manner. If we fail to acquire customers in a cost-effective manner, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

If we fail to meet the demands of our customers, our business will be substantially harmed and could fail.
Our services and products must meet the commercial demands of our customers, which include home businesses and offices, small and medium sized businesses, corporations and individuals. We cannot be sure that our services will appeal to or be adopted by an ever-growing range of customers. If we are unable to ship products such as items from our Supplies Store or PhotoStamps in a timely manner to our customers, our business may be harmed. Moreover, our ability to obtain and retain customers depends, in part, on our customer service capabilities. If we are unable at any time to address customer service issues adequately or to provide a satisfactory customer experience for current or potential customers, our business and reputation may be harmed. If we fail to meet the demands of our customers, our results of operations will be adversely affected.

A failure to further develop and upgrade our services and products could adversely affect our business.
Any delays or failures in developing our services and products, including upgrades of current services and products, may have a harmful impact on our results of operations. The need to extend our core technologies into new features and services and to anticipate or respond to technological changes could affect our ability to develop these services
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and features. Delays in features or upgrade introductions could cause a decline in our revenue, earnings or stock price. We cannot determine the ultimate effect these delays or the introduction of new features or upgrades will have on our revenue or results of operations.
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The termination of agreements with our integration partners could adversely affect our business.
We have partnership agreements with many integration partners in the high volume shipping area of our business. These partners integrate our PC Postage services into their offerings. The termination of any of these agreements by us or our partners could result in lost customers, reduced postage printed and lost revenue, and our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Increases in payment processing fees would increase our operating expenses and adversely affect our results of operations.

Our customers pay for our services predominately using credit cards and debit cards and, to a lesser extent, by use of automated clearing house payments. Our acceptance of these payment methods requires our payment of certain fees. From time to time, these fees may increase, either as a result of rate changes by the payment processing companies or as a result of a change in our business practices that increase the fees on a cost-per-transaction basis. If these fees for accepting payment methods increase in future periods, it may adversely affect our results of operations.

A decline in our ability to effectively bill our customers by credit card and debit card would adversely affect our results of operations.

Our ability to effectively charge our customers through credit cards and debit cards is subject to many variables, including our own billing technology and practices, the practices and rules of payment processing companies, and the practices and rules of issuing financial institutions. If we do not effectively charge and bill our customers in future periods through credit cards and debit cards, it would adversely affect our results of operations.

Third party assertions of violations of their intellectual property rights could adversely affect our business.
Substantial litigation regarding intellectual property rights exists in our industry. Third parties may currently have, or may eventually be issued, patents upon which our products or technology infringe. Any of these third parties might make a claim of infringement against us. We may become aware of, or we may increasingly receive correspondence claiming, potential infringement of other parties' intellectual property rights. We could incur significant costs and diversion of management time and resources to defend claims against us regardless of their validity. Any associated costs and distractions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, litigation in which we are accused of infringement might cause product development delays, require us to develop non-infringing technology or require us to enter into royalty or license agreements, which might not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. If a successful claim of infringement were made against us and we could not develop non-infringing technology or license the infringed or similar technology on a timely and cost-effective basis, our business could be significantly harmed or fail. Any loss resulting from intellectual property litigation could severely limit our operations, cause us to pay license fees, or prevent us from doing business.

A failure to protect our own intellectual property could harm our competitive position.
We rely on a combination of patent, trade secret, copyright and trademark laws and contractual restrictions, such as confidentiality agreements and licenses, to establish and protect our rights in our products, services, know-how and information. We have a portfolio of issued and pending US and international patents. We also have a number of registered and unregistered trademarks. We plan to apply for more patents in the future. We may not receive patents for any of our patent applications. Even if patents are issued to us, claims issued in these patents may not protect our technology. In addition, a court might hold any of our patents, trademarks or service marks invalid or unenforceable. Even if our patents are upheld or are not challenged, third parties may develop alternative technologies or products without infringing our patents. If our patents fail to protect our technology or our trademarks and service marks are
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successfully challenged, our competitive position could be harmed. We also generally enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants and other third parties to control and limit access and disclosure of our confidential information. These contractual arrangements or other steps taken to protect our intellectual property may not prove to be sufficient to prevent misappropriation of technology or deter independent third party development of similar technologies. Additionally, the laws of foreign countries may not protect our services or intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of the United States.
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System and online security failures could harm our business and operating results. We may experience disruptions when we move our corporate headquarters later in 2012.

Our services depend on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of our computer and communications hardware systems. In addition, we must provide a high level of security for the transactions we execute. We rely on internally-developed and third-party technology to provide secure transmission of postage and other confidential information. Any breach of these security measures would severely impact our business and reputation and would likely result in the loss of customers and revenues. Furthermore, if we fail to provide adequate security, the USPS could prohibit us from selling postage over the Internet.

Our systems and operations are vulnerable to damage or interruption from a number of sources, including fire, flood, power loss, telecommunications failure, break-ins, earthquakes and similar events. Our Internet host provider does not guarantee that our Internet access will be uninterrupted, error-free or secure. Our servers are also vulnerable to computer viruses, physical, electrical or electronic break-ins and similar disruptions. We have experienced minor system interruptions in the past and may experience them again in the future. Any substantial interruptions in the future could result in the loss of data and could completely impair our ability to generate revenues from our service. Our servers also periodically experience directed attacks intended to cause a disruption in service. Any attempts by hackers to disrupt our service or our internal systems, if successful, could harm our business, be expensive to remedy and damage our reputation. Our insurance may not be sufficient to cover expenses related to attacks on our Web site, servers or internal systems. We do not presently have a full disaster recovery plan in effect to cover the loss of all facilities and equipment. We do, however, have a secondary location that mirrors our core system infrastructure to allow us to operate from a second location. We have business interruption insurance; however, we cannot be certain that our coverage will be sufficient to compensate us for losses that may occur as a result of business interruptions. Later in 2012, we expect to move our corporate headquarters, and we cannot be sure our systems and operations will operate without error or interruption as a result of this move.

A significant barrier to electronic commerce and communications is the secure transmission of confidential information over public networks. Anyone who is able to circumvent our security measures could misappropriate confidential information or cause interruptions in our operations. We may be required to expend significant capital and other resources to protect against potential security breaches or to alleviate problems caused by any breach. We rely on specialized technology from within our own infrastructure to provide the security necessary for secure transmission of postage and other confidential information. Advances in computer capabilities, new discoveries in security technology, or other events or developments may result in a compromise or breach of the algorithms we use to protect customer transaction data. Should someone circumvent our security measures, our reputation, business, financial condition and results of operations could be seriously harmed. Security breaches could also expose us to a risk of loss or litigation and possible liability for failing to secure confidential customer information. As a result, we may be required to expend a significant amount of financial and other resources to protect against security breaches or to alleviate any problems that they may cause.

We are exposed to various risks associated with the credit and capital markets.
Our cash equivalents and investments are comprised of money market, U.S. government obligations, asset-backed securities and public corporate debt securities. The current global economic crisis has had an unprecedented negative impact on the global credit and capital markets. We have unrealized losses on certain securities in our investment portfolio. Further sustained declines in the fair value of these securities could lead to an increased risk that an other than temporary impairment exists. Uncertainties in the credit and capital markets or credit rating downgrades on any investments in our portfolio could cause impairment to our investment portfolio, which could negatively affect our financial condition, cash flow, and reported earnings.

Our results are impacted by the macroeconomic environment, which has experienced a severe global economic downturn during the past few years.

We believe the performance of our PC Postage and PhotoStamps businesses are influenced by macro-economic trends. The United States economy has been experiencing a financial downturn characterized by high unemployment, limited availability of credit, increased rates of default and bankruptcy and lower levels of consumer and business spending. A continuation of this economic downturn could negatively affect our business, operating results and financial condition in a number of ways. For example, customers may leave our service, and efforts to attract new customers may also be adversely impacted. In addition, customers may delay or decrease spending with us or may not pay us, or may delay paying us.

Risks Related to Our Industry

Postal Reform may negatively affect or cause disruptions to our services and business.
The USPS has recently proposed various ways to reduce costs, and Congress is expected to take some form of action regarding the large financial deficits being incurred by the USPS. The USPS has proposed, among other things, to (i) close approximately 4,000 retail Post Offices, (ii) close approximately half of their mail processing centers and eliminate overnight First Class Mail, and (iii) eliminate Saturday delivery. Any such changes actually approved and implemented may adversely affect the products and services we are able to offer our customers and could therefore seriously harm our business.

USPS regulations or fee assessments may cause disruptions or discontinuance of our business.
We are subject to continued USPS scrutiny and other government regulations. The availability of our services is dependent upon us continuing to meet USPS performance specifications and regulations. The USPS could change its certification requirements or specifications for PC Postage or revoke or suspend the approval of one or more of our services at any time. If at any time we fail to meet USPS requirements, we may be prohibited from offering our services, and our business would be severely and negatively impacted. In addition, the USPS could suspend or terminate our approval or offer services that compete against us, any of which could stop or negatively impact the commercial adoption of our services. Any changes in requirements or specifications for PC Postage could adversely affect our pricing, cost of revenues, operating results and margins by increasing the cost of providing our services.

The USPS could also decide that PC Postage should no longer be an approved postage service due to security concerns, financial difficulties within the USPS or other issues. Our business would suffer dramatically if we are unable to adapt our services to any new requirements or specifications or if the USPS were to discontinue PC Postage as an approved postage method. Alternatively, the USPS could introduce competitive programs or amend PC Postage requirements to make certification easier to obtain, which could lead to more competition from third parties or the USPS itself. If we are unable to compete successfully, particularly against large, traditional providers of postage products, such as Pitney Bowes, who enter the online postage market, our revenues and operating results will suffer.

The USPS could decide that PhotoStamps should no longer be an approved product for such reasons as the belief that PhotoStamps presents an unacceptable risk to USPS revenues, exposes the USPS or its customers to legal liability, or causes public or political embarrassment or harm to the USPS in any way. If the USPS were to discontinue PhotoStamps, our revenues and operating results will suffer.

In addition, USPS regulations may require that our personnel with access to postal information or resources receive security clearance prior to doing relevant work. We may experience delays or disruptions if our personnel cannot receive necessary security clearances in a timely manner, if at all. The regulations may limit our ability to hire qualified personnel. For example, sensitive clearance may only be provided to US citizens or aliens who are specifically approved to work on USPS projects.

Finally, any approved USPS market test or new service that benefits us could also ultimately be suspended or cancelled by the USPS, causing disruptions to our business.

The USPS could modify or terminate agreements and other financial compensation arrangements.
The USPS could decide to amend, renegotiate or terminate agreements or financial compensation arrangements that exist now or in the future. For instance, if the USPS decides to amend, renegotiate or terminate our credit card cost sharing agreement, which is a key agreement that governs the allocation of credit card fees paid by the USPS and us,
our revenues and operating results will likely suffer. In addition, if the USPS decides to amend, renegotiate or terminate our arrangement under which we are compensated directly by the USPS for customers or integration partners who print a certain amount of Priority or Express Mail postage, our revenue and operating results will likely suffer.
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If we are unable to compete successfully, particularly against large, traditional providers of postage products, such as Pitney Bowes, our revenues and operating results will suffer.

The PC Postage segment of the market for postage is relatively new and is competitive. At present, Pitney Bowes and Endicia.com (a wholly owned subsidiary of Newell Rubbermaid) are authorized PC Postage providers with commercially available software. If any more providers become authorized, or if Pitney Bowes or Endicia.com provide enhanced offerings, our operations could be adversely impacted. We also compete with other forms of postage, including traditional postage meters provided by companies such as Pitney Bowes, postage stamps and permit mail.

We may not be able to establish or maintain a competitive position against current or future competitors as they enter the market. Many of our competitors have longer operating histories, larger customer bases, greater brand recognition, greater financial, marketing, service, support, technical, intellectual property and other resources than us. As a result, our competitors may be able to devote greater resources to marketing and promotional campaigns, adopt more aggressive pricing policies and devote substantially more resources to web site and systems development. This increased competition may result in reduced operating margins, loss of market share and a diminished brand. We may from time to time make pricing, service or marketing decisions or acquisitions as a strategic response to changes in the competitive environment. These actions could result in reduced margins and seriously harm our business.

We could face competitive pressures from new technologies or the expansion of existing technologies approved for use by the USPS. We may also face competition from a number of indirect competitors that specialize in electronic commerce and other companies with substantial customer bases in the computer and other technical fields. Additionally, companies that control access to transactions through a network or Web browsers could also promote our competitors or charge us a substantial fee for inclusion. In addition, changes in postal regulations could adversely affect our service and significantly impact our competitive position. We may be unable to compete successfully against current and future competitors, and the competitive pressures we face could seriously harm our business.

If we do not respond effectively to technological change, our services and products could become obsolete and our business will suffer.

The development of our services, products and other technology entails significant technical and business risks. To remain competitive, we must continue to enhance and improve the responsiveness, functionality and features of our online operations. The Internet and the electronic commerce industry are characterized by rapid technological change, changes in user and customer requirements and preferences, frequent new product and service introductions embodying new technologies, and the emergence of new industry standards and practices.

The evolving nature of the Internet or the postage markets could render our existing technology and systems obsolete. Our success will depend, in part, on our ability to (i) license or acquire leading technologies useful in our business, (ii) enhance our existing services, (iii) develop new services or features and technology that address the increasingly sophisticated and varied needs of our current and prospective users, and (iv) respond to technological advances and emerging industry and regulatory standards and practices in a cost-effective and timely manner.

Future advances in technology may not be beneficial to, or compatible with, our business. Furthermore, we may not be successful in using new technologies effectively or adapting our technology and systems to user requirements or emerging industry standards on a timely basis. Our ability to remain technologically competitive may require substantial expenditures and lead time. If we are unable to adapt in a timely manner to changing market conditions or user requirements, our business, financial condition and results of operations could be seriously harmed.

Our operating results could be impaired if we or the Internet become subject to additional government regulation.

Changes in the laws and regulations applicable to the Internet or us, including those relating to user privacy, pricing, content, copyrights, distribution, characteristics and quality of products and services, and export controls, could seriously harm our business, financial condition and results of operations. Moreover, the applicability of existing laws to the Internet is uncertain with regard to many issues, including property ownership, export of specialized technology, sales tax, libel and personal privacy, and changes in their interpretation could similarly harm us. The application of laws and regulations from jurisdictions whose laws do not currently apply to our business, or the application of existing laws and regulations to the Internet and other online services could also harm our business.
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We have employees and offer our services in multiple states, and we may in the future expand internationally. These jurisdictions may claim that we are required to qualify to do business as a foreign corporation in each state or foreign country. Our failure to qualify as a foreign corporation in a jurisdiction where we are required to do so could subject us to taxes and penalties. Other states and foreign countries may also attempt to regulate our services or prosecute us for violations of their laws.

## Risks Related to Our Stock

The tax value of our net operating losses could be impaired if we trigger a change of control pursuant to Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code.

We currently have federal and state NOL carry-forwards of approximately $\$ 230$ million and $\$ 125$ million, respectively, which when combined with our other tax credits and tax assets has a potential value of up to $\$ 85$ million in tax savings over the next 13 years. Under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 rules, if a "change of ownership" is triggered, our NOL asset may be impaired. A change in ownership can occur whenever there is a shift in ownership by more than 50 percentage points by one or more " $5 \%$ shareholders" within a three-year period. We estimate that as of December 31, 2011 we were at approximately a $15 \%$ level compared with the $50 \%$ level that would trigger impairment of our NOL asset.

Under our certificate of incorporation, any person or entity, including company or investment firm, that wishes to become a " $5 \%$ shareholder" (as defined in our certificate of incorporation) must first obtain a waiver from our board of directors. In addition any person or entity, including any company or investment firm, that is already a " $5 \%$ shareholder" of ours cannot make any additional purchases of our stock without a waiver from our board of directors. These NOL Protective Measures are more particularly discussed in our Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on April 2, 2008.

On July 22, 2010, our Board of Directors suspended the NOL Protective Measures by approving a waiver from the NOL Protective Measures to all persons and entities, including companies and investment firms. As a result, as of the date of filing of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our stockholders are now allowed to become " $5 \%$ shareholders" and existing " $5 \%$ shareholders" are allowed to make additional purchases of our stock each without having to comply with the restrictions contained in the NOL Protective Measures. This waiver may be revoked by our Board of Directors at any time if the Board deems the revocation necessary to protect against a Section 382 "change of ownership" that would limit our ability to utilize future NOLs. For complete details about this waiver from the NOL Protective Measures, please see our Form 8-K filed on July 28, 2010. As of February 29, 2012, we had 16,320,263 shares outstanding, and therefore ownership of approximately 815,000 shares or more would currently constitute a " $5 \%$ shareholder". We strongly urge that any stockholder contemplating becoming a $5 \%$ or more shareholder contact us before doing so.

Even if we revoke the existing waiver to make the NOL Protective Measures operate again to prevent new " $5 \%$ shareholders", we cannot ensure that an "ownership change" will not occur.

Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code is an extremely complex provision with respect to which there are many uncertainties. Accordingly, if the existing waiver were revoked so that the measures were to operate again to prevent new " $5 \%$ shareholders", the NOL Protective Measures might not prevent all transfers that might result in an "ownership change." Alternatively, a court could find that some or all of the NOL Protective Measures are not enforceable, either in general or as to a particular fact situation. Even if the NOL Protective Measures are enforced by state courts, we have not requested a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") regarding the effectiveness of the NOL Protective Measures, and we cannot ensure that the IRS will agree that the NOL Protective Measures are effective for purposes of Section 382. Moreover, our board of directors could still permit a transfer or transfers that result in or contribute towards an "ownership change" if it were to determine that such a transfer is in our best interests. As a result of these
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and other factors, the NOL Protective Measures, if operative, would serve to reduce, but not eliminate, the risk that we could undergo an "ownership change." Accordingly, even in such event, we could not assure you that upon audit, the IRS would agree that all of our NOLs are allowable.
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Our charter documents could deter a takeover effort, which could inhibit your ability to receive an acquisition premium for your shares.

The provisions of our certificate of incorporation, bylaws and Delaware law could make it difficult for a third party to acquire us, even if it would be beneficial to our stockholders. In addition, we are subject to the provisions of Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law, which could prohibit or delay a merger or other takeover of our company, and discourage attempts to acquire us.

In addition, if the existing waiver of our NOL Protective Measures were revoked so that the measures operated again to prevent new " $5 \%$ shareholders", the NOL Protective Measures could be deemed to have an "anti-takeover" effect because, among other things, they would restrict the ability of a person, entity or group to accumulate more than 5\% of our common stock and the ability of persons, entities or groups now owning more than $5 \%$ of our common stock to acquire additional shares of our common stock without the approval of our board of directors. As a result, our board of directors might be able to prevent any future takeover attempt. Therefore, the NOL Protective Measures could discourage or prevent accumulations of substantial blocks of shares in which our stockholders might receive a substantial premium above market value and might tend to insulate management against the possibility of removal.

The USPS may object to a change of control of our common stock.
The USPS may raise national security or similar concerns to prevent foreign persons from acquiring significant ownership of our common stock or of our company. The USPS also has regulations regarding the change of control of approved PC Postage providers. These concerns may prohibit or delay a merger or other takeover of our company. Our competitors may also seek to have the USPS block the acquisition by a foreign person of our common stock or our company in order to prevent the combined company from becoming a more effective competitor in the market for PC Postage.

Our stock price is volatile.
The price at which our common stock has traded has fluctuated significantly. The price may continue to be volatile due to a number of factors, including the following, some of which are beyond our control:

- variations in our operating results,
- variations between our actual operating results and the expectations of securities analysts, investors and the financial community, sales by stockholders holding larger blocks of our stock,
- announcements of developments affecting our business, systems or expansion plans by us or others, and - market volatility in general.

As a result of these and other factors, investors in our common stock may not be able to resell their shares at or above their original purchase price. In the past, securities class action litigation often has been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the market price of their securities. This type of litigation, if directed at us, could result in substantial costs and a diversion of management's attention and resources.

## ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS.

None.
ITEM 2.
PROPERTIES.

Our corporate headquarters are located in Los Angeles, California where we have approximately 41,000 square feet under a lease expiring in May 2012.

On January 23, 2012, we completed the previously announced purchase of two adjacent buildings in El Segundo, California that will serve as the Company's future headquarters. We are currently engaged in a renovation and construction project on the property and expect to move in after substantial completion of the project in 2012. After completion of the renovation, the approximate total square footage of the new headquarters will increase from 82,000 to 100,000 . The Company will occupy a portion of the space, with the remaining portion of the space leased to the existing tenants. The purchase of the property and renovations are being funded out of the Company's existing cash and investments.

We believe that our existing facilities are suitable and adequate for our present purposes.
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## ITEM 3.

## LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

On October 22, 2004, Kara Technology Incorporated filed suit against us in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging, among other claims, that we infringed certain Kara Technology patents and that we misappropriated trade secrets owned by Kara Technology, most particularly with respect to our NetStamps feature. On October 6, 2010, we entered into the final settlement agreement with Kara Technology Incorporated and Mr. Salim Kara to resolve all outstanding litigation among the parties. Under the terms of the agreement, we made a $\$ 5.1$ million payment for settlement of all claims asserted in the litigation, purchased the patents asserted in the litigation for $\$ 0.4$ million, and granted Mr. Salim Kara options to purchase 35,000 shares of Stamps.com common stock. Mr. Kara also agreed to cooperate with us in the prosecution and enforcement of any patents on which he is named as an inventor, including the patents asserted in the Stamps.com vs. Endicia litigation matters.

On November 22, 2006, we filed a lawsuit against Endicia, Inc. and PSI Systems, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California for infringement of eleven of our patents covering, among other things, Internet postage technology. We sought an injunction, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. On November 10, 2008, we were required to select fifteen claims (from over six hundred claims available) to be the subject of the trial. On November 9, 2009, the Court granted the summary judgment motion of Endicia, Inc. and PSI Systems, Inc. that the fifteen claims we selected are invalid. On June 15, 2011, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed the summary judgment ruling. We do not anticipate any further appeals.

On August 8, 2008, PSI Systems, Inc. filed a lawsuit against us in the same court, alleging that we infringed three PSI Systems patents related to Internet postage technology. PSI Systems seeks an injunction, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. On September 16, 2008, we filed counterclaims for infringement of four more of our patents. In our counterclaim, we seek an injunction, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. The Court issued a "Markman order" to determine the meaning of the claims on May 14, 2010.

On March 6, 2012, we entered into binding terms with PSI Systems, Inc. to resolve all outstanding patent litigation among the parties. Under the terms of the agreement, the parties agreed to a patent cross-licensing arrangement for the patents in dispute in (i) the lawsuit filed by Stamps.com against Endicia, Inc. and PSI Systems, Inc. on November 22, 2006 and (ii) the lawsuit filed by PSI Systems, Inc. against Stamps.com Inc. on August 8, 2008, both in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In addition, the parties agreed to a five-year period where each will not sue the other for patent infringement. No payments were made to either party as part of the settlement.

On December 22, 2010, Patent Management Foundation filed suit against us in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging that we falsely marked certain of our products and advertisements with incorrect or inapplicable patents. On July 6, 2011, the Court granted our motion to dismiss the complaint with leave to amend. On July 20, 2011, the plaintiff voluntarily dismissed all claims in the lawsuit. In addition, on February 22, 2011, Union Properties LLC filed suit against us in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, with similar allegations. On August 24, 2011, the Court granted our motion to dismiss the complaint with leave to amend. On September 13, 2011, the plaintiff voluntarily dismissed all claims in the lawsuit.

In 2001, we were named, together with certain of our current and former board members and/or officers, as a defendant in several purported class-action lawsuits, filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The lawsuits alleged violations of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with our initial public offering and a secondary offering of our common stock. Plaintiffs sought damages and statutory compensation, including interest, costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees). In October 2009, the court approved a settlement of this action, which does not require us to make any payments. In January 2012, that settlement became final following the resolution of all appeals.

## Edgar Filing: STAMPS.COM INC - Form 10-K

We are subject to various other routine legal proceedings and claims incidental to our business, and we do not believe that these proceedings and claims would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.
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ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES.
Not applicable.
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#### Abstract

PART II. ITEM MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND 5. ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES.


## Market Information

Our common stock is traded on The NASDAQ Stock Market under the symbol "STMP". The following table sets forth the range of high and low dividend adjusted sales prices reported on The NASDAQ Stock Market for our common stock for the following periods (1):

|  | High |  | Low |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| First Quarter | $\$$ | 8.48 | $\$$ | 6.84 |
| Second Quarter | $\$$ | 8.89 | $\$$ | 8.00 |
| Third Quarter | $\$$ | 11.17 | $\$$ | 7.56 |
| Fourth Quarter |  |  | $\$$ | 10.91 |
| Fiscal Year 2011 | $\$$ | 13.83 | $\$$ | 10.97 |
| First Quarter | $\$$ | 13.56 | $\$$ | 11.86 |
| Second Quarter | $\$$ | 23.78 | $\$$ | 13.28 |
| Third Quarter | $\$$ | 32.73 | $\$$ | 19.36 |
| Fourth Quarter |  |  |  |  |

(1) The sales prices above are adjusted to take into account the effect of the $\$ 2.00$ dividend per share of common stock paid by us in the fourth quarter of 2010. See "Dividend Policy" below for additional information.

## Recent Share Prices

The following table sets forth the closing sales prices per share of our common stock on The NASDAQ Stock Market on (i) December 31, 2011 and (ii) February 29, 2012.

|  | Closing <br> Price |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| December 31, 2011 | $\$$ | 26.13 |
| February 29, 2012 | $\$$ | 25.85 |
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## Stock Performance Graph

The information contained in this section shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or "filed" with the SEC, or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C under the Exchange Act, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, and shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that we specifically request that such information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporate it by reference into such a filing.

The following line graph compares the cumulative total return to stockholders of our common stock from December 31, 2006 to December 31, 2011 to the cumulative total return over such period of (i) NASDAQ Market Index and (ii) Morgan Stanley Internet Index, an equal-dollar-weighted index composed of 23 leading companies involved in Internet commerce, service and software. The graph assumes that $\$ 100$ was invested on December 31, 2006 in our common stock and in each of the other two indices and the reinvestment of all dividends, if any.

The graph is presented in accordance with SEC requirements. Stockholders are cautioned against drawing any conclusions from this data, as past results are not necessarily indicative of future performance.


## Holders

As of February 29, 2012, there were approximately 410 stockholders of record and 16,320,263 shares of our common stock outstanding.
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Dividend Policy
We did not pay any dividend during 2011.
In the fourth quarter of 2010 we paid a special dividend of $\$ 2.00$ per share on our common stock. The total cash dividend amount paid to stockholders was $\$ 28.9$ million, based on 14.5 million shares outstanding as of the November 11, 2010 record date.

Future declaration and payment of dividends will be in the discretion of our Board of Directors and will be dependent upon our future earnings, financial condition and capital requirements.

Securities Authorized for Issuance under Equity Compensation Plans

## Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2011 with respect to shares of our common stock that may be issued under our existing stock incentive plans, all of which were approved by our stockholders:

| Number of shares of <br> common stock to be <br> issued upon exercise <br> of outstanding options, <br> warrants and rights <br> (a) | Number of shares of <br> (eice of outstanding options, <br> warrants and rights | common stock remaining <br> available for future <br> issuance under equity <br> compensation plans <br> (excluding shares |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 767,366 | $\$$ | 13.36 |
| reflected in column (a)) |  |  |

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities
We did not have any unregistered sales of common stock during 2011.

## Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock during the fourth quarter of 2011.
On February 2, 2012, the Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase plan effective upon the expiration of the current plan on February 17, 2012, authorizing the Company to repurchase up to 1.0 million shares of our stock during the following six months.

We will consider repurchasing stock in the future by evaluating such factors as the price of the stock, the daily trading volume and the availability of large blocks of stock and any additional constraints related to material inside information we may possess. Our repurchase of any of our shares will be subject to limitations that may be imposed on such repurchases by applicable securities laws and regulations and the rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market. Repurchases may be made in the open market, or in privately negotiated transactions from time to time at our discretion. We have no commitment to make any repurchases.

## Table of Contents

ITEM 6.

## SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

The following data should be read in conjunction with the "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" section and our financial statements, including the notes thereto, included elsewhere in this Report.

|  | Year ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 |
|  |  | (in thousands, except per share data) |  |  |  |
| Statement of Operations Data: |  |  |  |  |  |
| PC postage revenue | \$93,321 | \$78,355 | \$73,623 | \$73,036 | \$67,017 |
| PhotoStamps revenue | 8,258 | 7,162 | 8,485 | 11,876 | 17,887 |
| Other revenue | 6 | 27 | 16 | - | 907 |
| Total revenues | 101,585 | 85,544 | 82,124 | \$84,912 | 85,811 |
| Cost and expenses: 85,54 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of sales | 26,212 | 23,684 | 22,914 | 22,908 | 25,306 |
| Research and development | 9,395 | 9,420 | 8,699 | 8,425 | 8,260 |
| Sales and marketing | 34,569 | 31,174 | 31,735 | 33,538 | 33,115 |
| General and administrative | 14,181 | 14,590 | 12,961 | 15,581 | 12,538 |
| Legal settlements | - | 5,211 | - | - | - |
| Income from operations | 17,228 | 1,465 | 5,815 | 4,460 | 6,592 |
| Interest and other income, net | 562 | 756 | 916 | 2,918 | 4,461 |
| Non-operating asset write-off | - | 634 | - | - | - |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | (8,475 | (3,945 | ) 554 | (2,786 | 387 |
| Net income | \$26,265 | \$5,532 | \$6,177 | \$10,164 | \$ 10,666 |
| Basic net income per share | \$1.78 | \$0.38 | \$0.38 | \$0.53 | \$0.51 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$1.73 | \$0.38 | \$0.38 | \$0.53 | \$0.50 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding used in basic per-share calculation | 14,767 | 14,529 | 16,238 | 19,081 | 20,815 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding used in diluted per-share calculation | 15,168 | 14,685 | 16,369 | 19,345 | 21,194 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$0.00 | \$2.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Balance Sheet Data: | 2011 | 2010 | As of Decem 2009 (in thousa | $2008$ | 2007 |
| Cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments | \$69,363 | \$35,299 | \$71,745 | \$74,059 | \$90,823 |
| Working capital | 57,953 | 16,041 | 41,791 | 63,037 | 60,011 |
| Total assets | 107,980 | 57,442 | 89,258 | 93,258 | 104,953 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 94,007 | 44,238 | 75,605 | 78,341 | 92,442 |
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## ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with Item 6. "Selected Financial Data" of this Report and our financial statements and the related notes thereto included in this Report. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from historical results or anticipated results including those set forth in Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of this Report. See the discussion of forward-looking statements on page 1 of Part I of this Report.

## Overview

Stamps.com® is the leading provider of Internet-based postage solutions. Our customers use our service to mail and ship a variety of mail pieces, including postcards, envelopes, flats and packages, using a wide range of United States Postal Service (the "USPS") mail classes, including First Class Mail®, Priority Mail®, Express Mail®, Media Mail®, Parcel Post®, and others. Our customers include individuals, small businesses, home offices, medium-size businesses and large enterprises, and within these segments we target both mailers and shippers. We were the first ever USPS-licensed vendor to offer PC Postage ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a software-only business model in 1999.

## Section 382 Update

We currently have federal and state NOL carry-forwards of approximately $\$ 230$ million and $\$ 125$ million, respectively, which when combined with our other tax credits and tax assets have a potential value of up to $\$ 85$ million in tax savings. Under Internal Revenue Code Section 382 rules, if a "change of ownership" is triggered, our NOL asset may be impaired. A change in ownership can occur whenever there is a shift in ownership by more than 50 percentage points by one or more " $5 \%$ shareholders" within a three-year period. We estimate that as of December 31, 2011 we were at approximately a $15 \%$ level compared with the $50 \%$ level that would trigger impairment of our NOL asset.

Under our certificate of incorporation, any person or entity, including any company and investment firm, that wishes to become a " $5 \%$ shareholder" (as defined in our certificate of incorporation) must first obtain a waiver from our board of directors. In addition, any person, including any company and investment firm, that is already a " $5 \%$ shareholder" of ours cannot make any additional purchases of our stock without a waiver from our board of directors. The NOL Protective Measures contained in our certificate of incorporation are more specifically described in our Definitive Proxy Statement filed with the SEC on April 2, 2008.

On July 22, 2010, our Board of Directors suspended the NOL Protective Measures by approving a waiver from the NOL Protective Measures to all persons and entities, including companies and investment firms. As a result, as of the filing date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our stockholders are now allowed to become " $5 \%$ shareholders" and existing " $5 \%$ shareholders" are allowed to make additional purchases of our stock each without having to comply with the restrictions contained in the NOL Protective Measures. This waiver may be revoked by our Board of Directors at any time if the Board deems the revocation necessary to protect against a Section 382 "change of ownership" that would limit our ability to utilize future NOLs. For complete details about this waiver from the NOL Protective Measures, please see our Form 8-K filed on July 28, 2010.

As of February 29, 2012, we had 16,320,263 shares outstanding, and therefore ownership of approximately 815,000 shares or more would currently constitute a " $5 \%$ shareholder". We strongly urge that any stockholder contemplating becoming a $5 \%$ or more shareholder contact us before doing so.

Results of Operations
Years Ended December 31, 2011 and 2010
Total revenue in 2011 was $\$ 101.6$ million, an increase of $19 \%$ from $\$ 85.5$ million in 2010. PC Postage revenue, including service revenue, product revenue and insurance revenue, in 2011 was $\$ 93.3$ million, an increase of $19 \%$ compared to $\$ 78.4$ million in 2010. PhotoStamps revenue in 2011 was $\$ 8.3$ million, an increase of $15 \%$ compared to $\$ 7.2$ million in 2010. Other revenue in 2011 was $\$ 6,000$, a decrease of $78 \%$ compared to $\$ 27,000$ in 2010. The following table sets forth the breakdown of revenue for 2011 and 2010 and the resulting percent change (revenue in \$000s):
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| Total Revenue |  | 2011 |  | 2010 | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  | 75,535 | $\$$ | 64,607 | 17 |
| Service Revenue | $\$$ | 13,465 | $\$$ | 11,725 | 15 | $\%$ |
| Product Revenue | $\$$ | 4,321 | $\$$ | 2,023 | 114 | $\%$ |
| Insurance Revenue | $\$$ | 93,321 | $\$$ | 78,355 | 19 | $\%$ |
| PC Postage Revenue | $\$$ | 8,258 | $\$$ | 7,162 | 15 | $\%$ |
|  | $\$$ | 6 | $\$$ | 27 | $(78$ | $\%)$ |
| PhotoStamps Revenue | $\$$ | 101,585 | $\$$ | 85,544 | 19 | $\%$ |

We use several PC Postage marketing channels to acquire customers, including partnerships, online advertising, affiliate channel, direct mail, traditional media advertising and others. Beginning in 2007, we significantly increased our investment in our non-enhanced promotion marketing channels based on our estimated high return-on-investment in that area, and we continued to increase our investment in 2011 and 2010 as our estimated return-on-investment continued to be attractive. Primarily as a result of these decisions, PC Postage revenue for customers acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels was approximately $\$ 90.2$ million in 2011, an increase of $22 \%$ from approximately $\$ 73.8$ million in 2010.

In the enhanced promotion channel, we work with various companies to advertise our service in a variety of sites on the Internet. These companies typically offer an additional promotion (beyond what we typically offer) directly to the customer in order to get the customer to try our service. Over time we have seen a decrease of the return-on-investment from this channel, and as a result we have reduced our investment in this area in every fiscal year since 2007. As a result of these decisions, we experienced a reduction in revenue for customers acquired through this channel to approximately $\$ 3.2$ million in 2011, a decrease of $30 \%$ from approximately $\$ 4.5$ million in 2010.

The following table sets forth the breakdown of PC Postage revenue, which includes Service, Product and Insurance revenues, between customers acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels and customers acquired through our enhanced promotion channels for 2011 and 2010 and the resulting percent change (revenue in $\$ 000 \mathrm{~s}$ ):

| PC Postage Revenue | 2011 |  |  | 2010 | \% Change |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-Enhanced Promotion Revenue | $\$$ | 90,150 | $\$$ | 73,814 | 22 | $\%$ |
| Enhanced Promotion Revenue | $\$$ | 3,171 | $\$$ | 4,541 | $(30$ | $\%)$ |
| PC Postage Revenue |  | 93,321 | $\$$ | 78,355 | 19 | $\%$ |

The increase in revenue from customers acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels was driven by both an increase in paid customers and an increase in average revenue per paid customer. The increase in paid customers in 2011 was primarily attributable to (1) our increased customer acquisition spending in these channels leading to an in increase in the number of customers acquired and (2) reductions in our paid customer churn rates. We define paid customers for the quarter as ones from whom we successfully collected service fees at least once during that quarter, and we define average paid customers for the year as the average of the paid customers for each of the four quarters during the year.
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The following table sets forth the total number of paid customers in the period that were originally acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels (in thousands):

$$
\text { Paid Customers (in } 000 \text { 's) }
$$

| Year | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter | Annual <br> Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 360 | 368 |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 336 | 338 | 374 | 385 | 372 |

The following table sets forth the growth in paid customers and average annual revenue per paid customer for customers originally acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channel:

| Non-Enhanced Promotion Revenue | 2011 | 2010 | \% Change |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average paid customers for the year (in <br> thousands) | 372 |  | 337 | 10 | $\%$ |  |
| Average annual revenue per paid <br> customer | $\$$ | 242 | $\$$ | 219 | 11 | $\%$ |
| Non-Enhanced Promotion Revenue <br> $(\$ 000 s)$ | $\$$ | 90,150 | $\$$ | 73,814 | 22 | $\%$ |

For customers originally acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels, our average annual and monthly PC Postage revenue per paid customer in 2011 was $\$ 242$ and $\$ 20.20$ respectively, which increased by $11 \%$ compared to $\$ 219$ and $\$ 18.25$, respectively in 2010. The increase in average revenue per paid customer was primarily attributable to (1) higher monthly fees from our high volume shipping and enterprise customer segments, (2) an increase in the average store revenue per paid customer driven by the increased usage of our service and (3) an increase in insurance purchases driven by our focus on shipping and new insurance features.
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The following table sets forth our results of operations as a percentage of total revenue for the periods indicated:
Twelve months ended December 31,
20112010
Total Revenues:

| Service | 74.4 | $\%$ | 75.5 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Product | 13.3 | $\%$ | 13.7 | $\%$ |
| Insurance | 4.3 | $\%$ | 2.4 | $\%$ |
| PhotoStamps | 8.1 | $\%$ | 8.4 | $\%$ |
| Other | 0.0 | $\%$ | 0.0 | $\%$ |
| Total revenues | 100.0 | $\%$ | 100.0 | $\%$ |
| Cost of revenues: |  |  |  |  |
| Service | 14.5 | $\%$ | 15.5 | $\%$ |
| Product | 4.8 | $\%$ | 5.1 | $\%$ |
| Insurance | 1.5 | $\%$ | 0.7 | $\%$ |
| PhotoStamps | 5.0 | $\%$ | 6.3 | $\%$ |
| Total cost of revenues | 25.8 | $\%$ | 27.7 | $\%$ |
| Gross profit | 74.2 | $\%$ | 72.3 | $\%$ |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |  |
| Sales and marketing | 34.0 | $\%$ | 36.4 | $\%$ |
| Research and development | 9.2 | $\%$ | 11.0 | $\%$ |
| General and administrative | 14.0 | $\%$ | 17.1 | $\%$ |
| Legal settlements | 0.0 | $\%$ | 6.1 | $\%$ |
| Total operating expenses | 57.2 | $\%$ | 70.6 | $\%$ |
| Income from operations | 17.0 | $\%$ | 1.7 | $\%$ |
| Asset write-off | 0.0 | $\%$ | -0.7 | $\%$ |
| Interest and other income, net | 0.6 | $\%$ | 0.9 | $\%$ |
| Income before income taxes | 17.5 | $\%$ | 1.9 | $\%$ |
| Income tax benefit | -8.3 | $\%$ | -4.6 | $\%$ |
| Net income | 25.9 | $\%$ | 6.5 | $\%$ |

Revenue
Our revenue is derived primarily from five sources: (1) service and transaction fees related to our PC Postage service; (2) product revenue from the direct sale of consumables and supplies through our Supplies Store; (3) insurance revenue from our branded insurance offering; (4) PhotoStamps revenue from our PhotoStamps business; and (5) other revenue, consisting of advertising revenue derived from advertising programs with our existing customers.

Service revenue increased $17 \%$ to $\$ 75.5$ million in 2011 from $\$ 64.6$ million in 2010. The increase in service revenue consisted of a $20 \%$ increase in service revenue from customers acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels, which more than offset the $31 \%$ decrease in service revenue from customers acquired through our enhanced promotion channel. The $20 \%$ increase in service revenue from customers acquired through the non-enhanced promotion channels consisted of $10 \%$ increase in successfully billed customers and $9 \%$ increase in average service revenue per customer. As a percentage of total revenue, service revenue decreased to $74 \%$ in 2011 from $76 \%$ in 2010, primarily as a result of the increase in revenue from our insurance offering.
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Product revenue increased $15 \%$ to $\$ 13.5$ million in 2011 from $\$ 11.7$ million in 2010. The increase in product revenue was primarily attributable to the following: (1) growth in our paid customer base; (2) marketing our Supplies Store to our existing customer base; (3) additional SKUs we added to our Supplies Store; and (4) growth in postage printed, which helps drive sales of consumable supplies such as labels. Total postage printed by customers using our service during 2011 was $\$ 672$ million, a $50 \%$ increase from $\$ 447$ million in 2010. Store orders increased by $14 \%$ in 2011 compared to 2010, while the average revenue per store order increased by $1 \%$ in 2011 compared to 2010. As a percentage of total revenue, product revenue decreased slightly to $13 \%$ in 2011 from $14 \%$ in 2010 , primarily as a result of the increase in revenue from our insurance offering.

Insurance revenue increased $114 \%$ to $\$ 4.3$ million in 2011 from $\$ 2.0$ million in 2010. This increase was primarily attributable to (1) the expansion of our existing package insurance offering to cover packages being shipped to international destinations, (2) insurance purchases resulting from our new partnership with Amazon.com and (3) increased insurance purchases by high volume shippers. Total postage printed by our high volume shipping customers in 2011 increased by $96 \%$ compared to 2010 . As a percentage of total revenue, insurance revenue increased to $4 \%$ in 2011 from 2\% in 2010.

PhotoStamps revenue increased $15 \%$ to $\$ 8.3$ million in 2011 from $\$ 7.2$ million in 2010. The increase in PhotoStamps revenue was due to the recognition of PhotoStamps retail box breakage revenue. Beginning in the second quarter of 2011, we began recognizing breakage revenue related to our PhotoStamps retail boxes. During the second quarter of 2011, we recognized $\$ 2.2$ million of retail box breakage revenue of which $\$ 2.1$ million related to a cumulative catch-up for previously sold and unredeemed PhotoStamps retail boxes originally recorded as deferred revenue. PhotoStamps retail breakage was not material for the third and fourth quarters of 2011. Please see Note 2 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies-PhotoStamps Retail Boxes" in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion. The increase in PhotoStamps revenue from PhotoStamps retail box breakage was partially offset by lower sales of PhotoStamps through our website and other channels. Excluding the PhotoStamps retail box breakage revenue we recognized in the second quarter of 2011, total PhotoStamps revenue decreased $15 \%$ to $\$ 6.1$ million in 2011 from $\$ 7.2$ million in 2010. We continued to reduce our PhotoStamps sales and marketing spending in 2011 compared with 2010 and as a result, total PhotoStamps sheets shipped during 2011 was approximately 335,000 , an $18 \%$ decrease compared to 410,000 in 2010. Average revenue per sheet in 2011 excluding the PhotoStamps retail box breakage revenue was $\$ 18.1$, a $4 \%$ increase compared to $\$ 17.5$ in 2010. As a percentage of total revenue, PhotoStamps revenue was unchanged at $8 \%$ in each of 2011 and 2010.

Other revenue consisting of commissions from the advertising or sale of products by third party vendors to our customer base was approximately $\$ 6,000$ in 2011 compared to $\$ 27,000$ in 2010. Commission revenue is currently not material to our consolidated financial statements.

## Cost of Revenue

Cost of service revenue principally consists of the cost of customer service, certain promotional expenses, system operating costs, credit card processing fees and customer misprints that do not qualify for reimbursement from the USPS. Cost of product revenue principally consists of the cost of products sold through our Mailing \& Shipping Supplies Store and the related costs of shipping and handling. The cost of insurance revenue principally consists of parcel insurance offering costs. Cost of PhotoStamps revenue principally consists of the face value of postage, image review costs and printing and fulfillment costs. Total cost of revenue increased $11 \%$ to $\$ 26.2$ million in 2011 from $\$ 23.7$ million in 2010. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of revenue decreased to $26 \%$ in 2011 from $28 \%$ in 2010.

Cost of service revenue increased $11 \%$ to $\$ 14.7$ million in 2011 from $\$ 13.3$ million in 2010. The increase is primarily attributable to higher promotional expense as a result of the increase in customer acquisition and higher redemption rates of our free scale and free postage offers. Promotional expense, which represents a material portion of total cost
of service revenue, is expensed in the period in which a customer qualifies for the promotion, while the revenue associated with the acquired customer is earned over the customer's lifetime. As a result, promotional expense for newly acquired customers may exceed the revenue earned from those customers in that period. Promotional expenses were $\$ 3.6$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million during 2011 and 2010, respectively. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of service revenue decreased to $15 \%$ in 2011 as compared to $16 \%$ in 2010.

Cost of product revenue increased $13 \%$ to $\$ 4.9$ million in 2011 from $\$ 4.3$ million in 2010. The increase in these costs was consistent with the $15 \%$ increase in product revenue during the same period. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of product revenue was $5 \%$ in both 2011 and 2010.

Cost of insurance increased $135 \%$ to $\$ 1.5$ million in 2011 from $\$ 641,000$ in 2010, an increase which was higher than the $114 \%$ growth in insurance revenue during the same period. The faster growth in cost of insurance as compared to insurance revenue is primarily attributable to discounted pricing offered to customers on certain higher volume shipping plans. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of insurance revenue increased to $2 \%$ in 2011 as compared to $1 \%$ in 2010.

## Table of Contents

Cost of PhotoStamps revenue decreased $6 \%$ to $\$ 5.1$ million in 2011 from $\$ 5.4$ million in 2010. Excluding the PhotoStamps retail box breakage cost we recognized in the second quarter of 2011, total cost of PhotoStamps revenue decreased approximately $14 \%$ from 2010 to 2011, which is consistent with the $15 \%$ decrease in PhotoStamps revenue excluding the PhotoStamps retail box breakage revenue. The gross margin from PhotoStamps is significantly lower than that of our other sources of revenue because we include the stated value of USPS postage as part of our cost of PhotoStamps revenue. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of PhotoStamps revenue decreased to 5\% in 2011 from 6\% in 2010.

## Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expense principally consists of spending to acquire new customers and compensation and related expenses for personnel engaged in sales, marketing, and business development activities. Ongoing marketing programs include the following: traditional advertising, partnerships, customer referral programs, customer re-marketing efforts, telemarketing, direct sales, direct mail, and online advertising. Sales and marketing expense increased $11 \%$ to $\$ 34.6$ million in 2011 from $\$ 31.2$ million in 2010 . The increase is primarily due to increased marketing expenditures to acquire new small business, enterprise and shipping customers, which increased $15 \%$ in 2011 compared to 2010. This increase was partially offset by decreases in our PhotoStamps and enhanced promotion marketing expenditures, which decreased by $42 \%$ and $5 \%$, respectively, in 2011 compared to 2010 . As a percentage of total revenue, sales and marketing expense decreased to $34 \%$ in 2011 from $36 \%$ in 2010.

## Research and Development

Research and development expense principally consists of compensation for personnel involved in the development of our services, depreciation of equipment and software and expenditures for consulting services and third party software. Research and development expense was $\$ 9.4$ million in both 2011 and 2010. As a percentage of total revenue, research and development expense decreased to $9 \%$ in 2011 from $11 \%$ in 2010, as we were able to hold our research and development costs consistent as we grew revenue.

## General and Administrative

General and administrative expense principally consists of compensation and related costs for executive and administrative personnel, fees for legal and other professional services, depreciation of equipment and software used for general corporate purposes and amortization of intangible assets. General and administrative expense decreased $3 \%$ to $\$ 14.2$ million in 2011 from $\$ 14.6$ million in 2010. The decrease was primarily attributable to a decrease in legal expenses. As a percentage of total revenue, general and administrative expense decreased to $14 \%$ in 2011 from $17 \%$ in 2010 as we were able to realize a decrease in our general and administrative costs as we grew revenue.

Legal Settlement
Legal settlements were $\$ 5.2$ million in 2010. This expense was primarily due to our settlement agreement with Kara Technology Incorporated and Mr. Salim Kara to resolve all outstanding litigation among the parties. We did not incur a similar expense in 2011.

## Non-Operating Asset Write-Offs

The non-operating asset write-off was $\$ 634,000$ in 2010 . We incurred $\$ 634,000$ in capitalized fixed assets related to a project to launch a new third party billing system. During 2010, we made a decision to abandon this project before it was completed and placed in service. As a result, we wrote-off the $\$ 634,000$ fixed assets in the fourth quarter of 2010. We did not have any similar write-off in 2011.

Interest and Other Income, Net
Interest and other income, net primarily consists of interest income from cash equivalents, short-term and long-term investments and other income which is currently immaterial to our financial statements. Interest and other income, net decreased $26 \%$ to approximately $\$ 562,000$ in 2011 from $\$ 756,000$ in 2010. The decrease, both on an absolute basis and as a percentage of total revenue, is primarily due to lower average investment balances, as we sold certain investments and used the cash to pay a one-time $\$ 2.00$ per share special dividend in the fourth quarter of 2010 and repurchased shares of our common stock.
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Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes
In 2011 we had an income tax benefit of $\$ 8.5$ million compared to an income tax benefit of approximately $\$ 3.9$ million in 2010. The income tax benefit we realized in 2011 and 2010 was due to the release of a portion of our valuation allowance, which is recorded against our gross deferred tax asset. During the fourth quarter of 2011 we released a portion of our valuation allowance totaling approximately $\$ 8.5$ million as a result of an increase in our projected taxable income. During the second quarter of 2010, we recorded an income tax benefit of approximately $\$ 4.0$ million when we determined that a release of a portion of our valuation allowance was appropriate as a result of the following discrete events: (1) our attainment of over five consecutive years of taxable income, (2) the material decline of our Section 382 ownership under the Internal Revenue Code from approximately $34 \%$ as of March 31, 2010 to approximately $24 \%$ as of June 30, 2010 and (3) the settlement of our outstanding patent infringement litigation with Kara Technology, which improved our confidence in our short-term taxable income projection by eliminating the uncertainty of a potential large negative judgment against us and eliminating the related on-going third party litigation expenses. In making the determination to release a portion of our valuation allowance, we considered all available positive and negative evidence, including our recent earnings trend and expected continued future taxable income. As of December 31, 2011, the net deferred tax asset on the balance sheet represented the projected tax benefit we expect to realize over the future two fiscal years, and we continued to maintain a valuation allowance against the remainder of our gross deferred tax asset.

During 2010, the State of California passed legislation that extended the suspension of the use of NOLs to offset current state income tax expense to the years 2010 and 2011. The legislation also raised the limit on the use of tax credits to offset state income tax expense from $50 \%$ in 2009 to $100 \%$ for 2010 and 2011. As a result, we were able to utilize our tax credits to offset $100 \%$ of our state income tax expense in 2010. Since we were in a taxable loss position in 2011, we did not incur any state income tax expense and thus did not have to utilize any tax credits in 2011. As of March 14, 2012 the State of California has not passed legislation extending the suspension of the use of NOLs to offset current state income tax for 2012.

Expectations for 2012
We expect the following trends for 2012:
-We expect to continue to increase customer acquisition spending on our PC Postage non-enhanced promotion channels by $10-15 \%$ in 2012 compared to 2011. We will continue to monitor our customer metrics and the state of the economy throughout the year and adjust our level of spending accordingly.
-We expect to see $15-20 \%$ revenue growth in our Core PC Postage revenue excluding the enhanced promotion channel for 2012 compared to 2011. We expect this growth to be driven by both increases in the number of paying customers and increases in average revenue per customer.

- In terms of our outlook on our business relative to the economic environment, we believe that the challenging small business economic environment continues to negatively impact our business and metrics relative to historical levels. We believe there is upside potential for our PC Postage business if the small business economic environment can return to pre-recession levels.
- We expect that PC Postage revenue for customers acquired through the enhanced promotion channel will continue to decrease in 2012 compared to 2011 and consistent with the trends we saw in 2011.
- We expect PhotoStamps revenue to decrease in 2012 compared with 2011, as we expect a reduction in PhotoStamps breakage revenue in 2012 as compared with 2011. We believe macro-economic factors are still negatively impacting
our PhotoStamps revenue through reduced customer purchases of our product.
- We expect research and development expenses to be modestly higher in 2012 as compared to 2011, primarily related to expected increased headcount costs to support the growth in our products and services.
- We expect general and administrative expenses to be flat to modestly higher in 2012. We expect our legal expense to decrease for the full year 2012 resulting from our litigation settlement with Endicia on March 6, 2012 while we expect modest increases in the other general and administrative expense areas. We note that we incurred significant legal expenses in the first quarter of 2012 prior to the settlement in preparation for the trial which was scheduled for March 20, 2012. Therefore, we would expect legal expenses to be higher in the first quarter of 2012 compared to the fourth quarter of 2011.
- On January 23, 2012, we completed the previously announced purchase of two adjacent buildings in El Segundo, California for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 13.4$ million that will serve as the Company's future headquarters. We are currently engaged in a renovation and construction project on the property and we expect our cost to be approximately $\$ 10.0$ million, and expect to move in after substantial completion of the project in 2012.
- We expect fully diluted shares for 2012 to be approximately 17.5 million compared to 15.2 million fully diluted shares for 2011.
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As discussed above, our results are subject to macro economic factors and other factors which could cause these trends to be worse than our current expectation. See Item 1A. "Risk Factors" and the discussion of forward-looking statements on page 1 of Part I of this Report.

Years Ended December 31, 2010 and 2009
Total revenue in 2010 was $\$ 85.5$ million, an increase of $4 \%$ from $\$ 82.1$ million in 2009. PC Postage revenue, including service revenue, product revenue and insurance revenue, in 2010 was $\$ 78.4$ million, an increase of $6 \%$ compared to $\$ 73.6$ million in 2009. PhotoStamps revenue in 2010 was $\$ 7.2$ million, a decrease of $16 \%$ compared to $\$ 8.5$ million in 2009. Other revenue in 2010 was $\$ 27,000$, an increase of $69 \%$ compared to $\$ 16,000$ in 2009.

PC Postage revenue for customers acquired through our enhanced promotion channel for 2010 was approximately $\$ 4.5$ million, a decrease of $28 \%$ from approximately $\$ 6.3$ million in 2009. PC Postage revenue for customers acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels was approximately $\$ 73.8$ million in 2010, an increase of $10 \%$ from approximately $\$ 67.4$ million in 2009. The following table sets forth the total number of paid customers originally acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels on a quarterly basis (in thousands):

|  |  | Paid Customers (in 000’s) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 336 | 338 | 334 | 340 |
| 2009 | 321 | 317 | 315 | 320 |

We believe that the increase in paid customers in 2010 was attributable to our increased customer acquisition spending. For customers originally acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels, our average subscriber related monthly revenue per paid customer in 2010 was $\$ 18.25$ compared to $\$ 17.64$ in 2009.
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The following table sets forth our results of operations as a percentage of total revenue for the periods indicated:
Twelve months ended December 31,
20102009
Total Revenues:

| Service | 75.5 | $\%$ | 74.7 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Product | 13.7 | $\%$ | 13.0 | $\%$ |
| Insurance | 2.4 | $\%$ | 2.0 | $\%$ |
| PhotoStamps | 8.4 | $\%$ | 10.3 | $\%$ |
| Other | 0.0 | $\%$ | 0.0 | $\%$ |
| Total revenues | 100.0 | $\%$ | 100.0 | $\%$ |
| Cost of revenues: |  |  |  |  |
| Service | 15.5 | $\%$ | 14.4 | $\%$ |
| Product | 5.1 | $\%$ | 4.9 | $\%$ |
| Insurance | 0.7 | $\%$ | 0.6 | $\%$ |
| PhotoStamps | 6.3 | $\%$ | 8.0 | $\%$ |
| Other | 0.0 | $\%$ | 0.0 | $\%$ |
| Total cost of revenues | 27.7 | $\%$ | 27.9 | $\%$ |
| Gross profit | 72.3 | $\%$ | 72.1 | $\%$ |
| Operating expenses: | 36.4 | $\%$ | 38.6 | $\%$ |
| Sales and marketing | 11.0 | $\%$ | 10.6 | $\%$ |
| Research and development | 17.1 | $\%$ | 15.8 | $\%$ |
| General and administrative | 6.1 | $\%$ | 0.0 | $\%$ |
| Legal Settlements | 70.6 | $\%$ | 65.0 | $\%$ |
| Total operating expenses | 1.7 | $\%$ | 7.1 | $\%$ |
| Income from operations | -0.7 | $\%$ | 0.0 | $\%$ |
| Asset write-off | 0.9 | $\%$ | 1.1 | $\%$ |
| Interest and other income, net | 1.9 | $\%$ | 8.2 | $\%$ |
| Income before income taxes | -4.6 | $\%$ | 0.7 | $\%$ |
| Income taxes expense (benefit) | 6.5 | $\%$ | 7.5 | $\%$ |

## Revenue

Service revenue increased 5\% to $\$ 64.6$ million in 2010 from $\$ 61.4$ million in 2009. The increase in service revenue consisted of a $9 \%$ increase in service revenue from customers acquired through our non-enhanced promotion channels, which more than offset the $27 \%$ decrease in service revenue from customers acquired through our enhanced promotion channel. The $9 \%$ increase in service revenue from customers acquired through the non-enhanced promotion channels consisted of a $6 \%$ increase in successfully billed customers and a $3 \%$ increase in average service revenue per customer. As a percentage of total revenue, service revenue increased to $76 \%$ in 2010 from $75 \%$ in 2009, primarily as a result of the decrease in revenue from our PhotoStamps product.

Product revenue increased $10 \%$ to $\$ 11.7$ million in 2010 from $\$ 10.7$ million in 2009. The increase in product revenue was primarily attributable to the following: (1) growth in our paid customer base; (2) marketing our Supplies Store to our existing customer base; (3) additional SKUs we added to our Supplies Store; and (4) growth in postage printed, which helps drive sales of consumable supplies such as labels. Total postage printed by customers using our service during 2010 was $\$ 447$ million, a $26 \%$ increase from $\$ 354$ million in 2009. Store orders increased by $18 \%$ in 2010 compared to 2009, while the average revenue per store order decreased by $7 \%$ in 2010 compared to 2009. As a
percentage of total revenue, product revenue increased slightly to $14 \%$ in 2010 from $13 \%$ in 2009, primarily as a result of the decrease in revenue from our PhotoStamps product.

Insurance revenue increased $27 \%$ to $\$ 2.0$ million in 2010 from $\$ 1.6$ million in 2009. This increase was primarily attributable to (1) the expansion of our existing package insurance offering to cover packages being shipped to international destinations and (2) insurance purchases resulting from our new partnership with Amazon.com. As a percentage of total revenue, insurance revenue was unchanged at $2 \%$ in each of 2010 and 2009.
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PhotoStamps revenue decreased $16 \%$ to $\$ 7.2$ million in 2010 from $\$ 8.5$ million in 2009. The decrease in revenue was primarily attributable to a decrease in the number of sheets shipped, as a result of our reduction in PhotoStamps consumer sales and marketing spending as we focused on profitability. As a percentage of total revenue, PhotoStamps revenue decreased to $8 \%$ in 2010 from $10 \%$ in 2009.

## Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue was increased $3 \%$ to $\$ 23.7$ million in 2010 from $\$ 22.9$ million in 2009. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of revenue was unchanged at $28 \%$ in 2010 and 2009.

Cost of service revenue increased $12 \%$ to $\$ 13.3$ million in 2010 from $\$ 11.9$ million in 2009 . The increase is primarily attributable to an increase in promotional expenses, which include free postage and free digital scale offered to new customers. Promotional expenses were $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 1.7$ million during 2010 and 2009, respectively. The increase in promotional expense is attributable to increased acquisition of new customers and a new method of offering promotional items to customers, which increased promotional redemption rates. Promotional expense, which represents a material portion of total cost of service revenue, is expensed in the period in which a customer qualifies for the promotion, while the revenue associated with the acquired customer is earned over the customer's lifetime. As a result, promotional expense for newly acquired customers may exceed the revenue earned from those customers in that period. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of service revenue increased to $16 \%$ in 2010 as compared to $14 \%$ in 2009.

Cost of product revenue increased $9 \%$ to $\$ 4.3$ million in 2010 from $\$ 4.0$ million in 2009. The increase in these costs was consistent with the $10 \%$ increase in product revenue during the same period. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of product revenue was $5 \%$ in both 2010 and 2009.

Cost of insurance increased $30 \%$ to $\$ 641,000$ in 2010 from $\$ 500,000$ in 2009 , which was consistent with the $26 \%$ growth in insurance revenue during the same period. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of insurance revenue was $1 \%$ in both 2010 and 2009.

Cost of PhotoStamps revenue decreased $17 \%$ to $\$ 5.4$ million in 2010 from $\$ 6.6$ million in 2009 , corresponding to the decrease in PhotoStamps revenue. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of PhotoStamps revenue decreased to $6 \%$ in 2010 from $8 \%$ in 2009.

## Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expense decreased $2 \%$ to $\$ 31.2$ million in 2010 from $\$ 31.7$ million in 2009. As a percentage of total revenue, sales and marketing expense decreased to $36 \%$ in 2010 from $39 \%$ in 2009. The decrease, both on an absolute basis and as a percentage of total revenue, is primarily due to the decrease in our enhanced promotion marketing program expenditures by $44 \%$ in 2010 compared to 2009 , and the decrease in our marketing expenditures related to PhotoStamps by $63 \%$ in 2010 compared to 2009 . The decrease was partially offset by an increase in marketing program expenditures relating to the acquisition of customers outside the enhanced promotion channel for our PC Postage business, which increased by $2 \%$ in 2010 compared with 2009 and increase in compensation expense of $\$ 835,000$ in 2010 related to the special dividend we paid on our common stock as described further in Note 2 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies-Stock Based Compensation" of our accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Research and Development

## Edgar Filing: STAMPS.COM INC - Form 10-K

Research and development expense increased $8 \%$ to $\$ 9.4$ million in 2010 from $\$ 8.7$ million in 2009. As a percentage of total revenue, research and development expense was unchanged at $11 \%$ in both 2010 and 2009. The increase is mainly attributable to higher headcount related expenses as (1) we continued to invest in the development and enhancement of our PC postage solutions and (2) we incurred additional compensation expense of \$707,000 in 2010 related to the special dividend we paid on our common stock as described further in Note 2 - "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies-Stock Based Compensation" of our accompanying consolidated financial statements.
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General and Administrative
General and administrative expense increased $13 \%$ to $\$ 14.6$ million in 2010 from $\$ 13.0$ million in 2009. As a percentage of total revenue, general and administrative expense increased slightly to $17 \%$ in 2010 from $16 \%$ in 2009. The increase, both on an absolute basis and as a percentage of total revenue is primarily due to (1) an increase in legal expenses due to increased activity in our patent infringement litigations and (2) additional compensation expense of $\$ 1.6$ million in 2010 related to the special dividend we paid on our common stock as described further in Note 2 - "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies-Stock Based Compensation" of our accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Legal Settlement
Legal settlements were $\$ 5.2$ million in 2010. This expense was primarily due to our settlement agreement with Kara Technology Incorporated and Mr. Salim Kara to resolve all outstanding litigation among the parties. We did not incur this expense in 2009.

## Non-Operating Asset Write-Offs

The non-operating asset write-off was $\$ 634,000$ in 2010 . We incurred $\$ 634,000$ in capitalized fixed assets related to a project to launch a new third party billing system. During 2010, we made a decision to abandon this project before it was completed and placed in service. As a result, we wrote-off the $\$ 634,000$ fixed assets in the fourth quarter of 2010. We did not have any similar write-off in 2009.

Interest and Other Income, Net
Interest and other income, net decreased $17 \%$ to approximately $\$ 756,000$ in 2010 from $\$ 916,000$ in 2009. The decrease, both on an absolute basis and as a percentage of total revenue, is primarily due to lower interest rates and lower investment balances, as we sold certain investments and used the cash to pay a one-time special dividend of $\$ 2.00$ per share and repurchase shares of our common stock.

Provision (Benefit) for Income Taxes
In 2010 we had an income tax benefit of approximately $\$ 3.9$ million compared to an income tax expense of $\$ 554,000$ in 2009. The income tax benefit we realized in 2010 was primarily due to the release of a portion of our valuation allowance, which is recorded against our gross deferred tax asset. During the second quarter of 2010, we recorded an income tax benefit of approximately $\$ 4.0$ million when we determined that a release of a portion of our valuation allowance was appropriate as a result of the following discrete events: (1) our attainment of over five consecutive years of taxable income, (2) the material decline of our Section 382 ownership under the Internal Revenue Code from approximately $34 \%$ as of March 31, 2010 to approximately $24 \%$ as of June 30, 2010 and (3) the settlement of our outstanding patent infringement litigation with Kara Technology, which improved our confidence in our short-term taxable income projection by eliminating the uncertainty of a potential large negative judgment against us and eliminating the related on-going third party litigation expenses. In making this determination, we considered all available positive and negative evidence, including our recent earnings trend and expected continued future taxable income. As of December 31, 2010, the net deferred tax asset on the balance sheet represented the projected tax benefit we expect to realize over the future two fiscal years and we continued to maintain a valuation allowance against the remainder of our gross deferred tax asset.

During 2010, the State of California passed legislation that extended the suspension of the use of NOLs to offset current state income tax expense to the years 2010 and 2011. The legislation also raised the limit on the use of tax
credits to offset state income tax expense from $50 \%$ in 2009 to $100 \%$ for 2010 and 2011. As a result, we were able to utilize our tax credits to offset $100 \%$ of our state income tax expense in 2010 compared to 2009 where we utilized our tax credits to offset $50 \%$ of our state income tax expense.
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Liquidity and Capital Resources
As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, we had $\$ 69$ million and $\$ 35$ million in cash, restricted cash and short-term and long-term investments, respectively. We invest available funds in short-term and long-term money market funds, commercial paper, asset-backed securities, corporate notes and bonds and municipal securities and do not engage in hedging or speculative activities.

Our facility lease agreement as amended extends through May 2012. The total remaining aggregate lease payments as of December 31, 2011 under the amended lease agreement is approximately $\$ 419,000$.

The following table is a schedule of our significant contractual obligations and commercial commitments, which consists only of the future minimum lease payments under operating leases at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

> Operating
> Lease
> Obligations

Years ended:

| 2012 | 419 |
| :--- | :---: |
| Thereafter | - |
|  | $\$$ |

On January 23, 2012, we completed the previously announced purchase of two adjacent buildings in El Segundo, California for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 13.4$ million that will serve as the Company's future headquarters. We are currently engaged in a renovation and construction project on the property at a cost of approximately $\$ 10.0$ million and expect to move in after substantial completion of the project in 2012. The Company will occupy a portion of the space, with the remaining portion of the space leased to the existing tenants. The purchase of the property and renovations are being funded out of the Company's existing cash and investments.

During 2011, we repurchased 426,000 shares of our common stock for $\$ 5.3$ million. During 2012, subject to limitations that may be imposed by applicable securities laws and regulations and the rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market, we may consider repurchasing stock by evaluating such factors as the price of the stock, the daily trading volume and the availability of large blocks of stock and any additional constraints related to material inside information we may possess. We have no commitments to make any such purchases.

Net cash provided by operating activities was $\$ 15.3$ million and $\$ 4.8$ million for 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in net cash provided by operating activities was primarily attributable to increased net income.

Net cash provided by investing activities was $\$ 10.5$ million in 2011. Net cash used in investing activities was $\$ 1.4$ million in 2010. The increase in net cash provided by investing activities was due to the maturation of certain corporate bonds.

Net cash provided by financing activities was $\$ 20.2$ million in 2011. Net cash used in financing activities was $\$ 40.4$ million in 2010. The increase in net cash provided by financing activities is mainly due to an increase in proceeds in 2011 from the exercise of options under our stock option plan, offset by cash used for our stock repurchase program.

We believe our available cash and marketable securities, together with the cash flow from operations, will be sufficient to fund our business for at least the next twelve months.
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Critical Accounting Policies

## General

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to patents, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

## Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from product sales or services rendered, licensing the use of our software and intellectual property as well as commissions from advertising or sale of products by third party vendors to our customer base when the following four revenue recognition criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured.

Our service revenue is primarily based on monthly convenience fees and is recognized in the period that services are provided. Product sales, net of return allowances, are recorded when the products are shipped and title passes to customers. Sales of items, including PhotoStamps, to customers are made pursuant to a sales contract that provides for transfer of both title and risk of loss upon our delivery to the carrier. Return allowances for expected product returns, which reduce product revenue, are estimated using historical experience. We recognize licensing revenue ratably over the contract period. Commissions from the advertising or sale of products by a third party vendor to our customer base are recognized when the revenue is earned and collection is deemed probable. We recognize revenue on insurance purchases upon the ship date of the insured package.

## Intangibles

We make an assessment of the estimated useful lives of our patents and other amortizable intangibles. These estimates are made using various assumptions that are subjective in nature and could change as economic and competitive conditions change. If events were to occur that would cause our assumptions to change, the amounts recorded as amortization would be adjusted.

## Contingencies and Litigation

We are involved in various litigation matters as a claimant and a defendant. We record any amounts recovered in these matters when received. We record liabilities for claims against us when the loss is probable and estimable. Amounts recorded are based on reviews by outside counsel, in-house counsel and management. Actual results could differ from estimates.

Promotional Expense

New PC Postage customers are typically offered promotional items that are redeemed using coupons that are qualified for redemption after a customer is successfully billed beyond an initial trial period. We account for our promotional expense in accordance with Accounting Standard Codification ("ASC") 605-50-25, "Recognition - Vendor's Accounting for Consideration Given to a Customer", by recognizing a liability for promotional expense based on estimated amounts that will be claimed by customers unless the liability for promotional expense cannot be reasonable and reliably estimated. This includes free postage and a free digital scale and is expensed in the period in which a customer qualifies using estimated redemption rates based on historical data. Promotional expense, which is included in cost of service, is incurred as customers qualify and thereby may not correlate directly with changes in revenue, as the revenue associated with the acquired customer is earned over the customer's lifetime.
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Income Taxes
We account for income taxes in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ASC Topic No. 740, Income Taxes ("ASC 740 "), which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax basis of recorded assets and liabilities. ASC 740 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. We record a valuation allowance to reduce our gross deferred tax assets, which are primarily comprised of US Federal and State tax loss carryforwards, to the amount that is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50 percent) to be realized. In order for us to realize our deferred tax assets, we must be able to generate sufficient taxable income. We evaluate the appropriateness of our deferred tax assets and related valuation allowance in accordance with ASC 740 based on all available positive and negative evidence which includes our forecasted future taxable income which is a critical accounting estimate by management.

Based on our evaluation of these factors, we reduced our valuation allowances in 2011 and 2010. The portion credited to the income statement was approximately $\$ 8.5$ million and $\$ 4.0$ million, respectively. As of December 31, 2011, our recorded net deferred tax asset represents approximately two years of forecasted taxable income as we currently do not believe forecasted taxable income projections beyond two years can be supported at a more likely than not level. In the event we were to determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our net deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to the deferred tax assets valuation allowance would be charged to earnings in the period in which we make such a determination. Likewise, if we later determine that it is more likely than not that additional deferred tax assets would be realized, we would reverse the applicable portion of the previously provided valuation allowance.

## PhotoStamps Retail Boxes

We have been selling PhotoStamps retail boxes that are redeemable for PhotoStamps on our website. The PhotoStamps retail boxes are sold through various third party retail partners. Our PhotoStamps retail boxes are not subject to administrative fees on unredeemed boxes and have no expiration date. PhotoStamps retail boxes sales are recorded as deferred revenue. Prior to the second quarter of 2011, revenue was recognized only on boxes that were actually redeemed on our website.

During the second quarter of 2011, we concluded that sufficient company-specific historical evidence existed to determine the period of time after which the likelihood of the PhotoStamps retail boxes being redeemed was remote. Based on our analysis of the redemption data, we estimate that period of time to be 60 months after the sale of our PhotoStamps retail boxes.

Beginning in the second quarter of 2011, we began recognizing breakage revenue related to our PhotoStamps retail boxes utilizing the redemption recognition method. Under the redemption recognition method, we recognize breakage revenue from unredeemed retail boxes in proportion to the revenue recognized from the retail boxes that have been redeemed. During the second quarter of 2011, we recognized $\$ 2.2$ million, which is $\$ 0.15$ on a per share basis using fully diluted shares as of June 30, 2011, or $\$ 0.14$ on a per share basis using fully diluted share as of the year-ended December 31, 2011(revenue divided by fully diluted shares outstanding, exclusive of any current or prior period costs related to the retail programs), of retail box breakage revenue, of which $\$ 2.1$ million related to a cumulative catch-up for previously sold and unredeemed PhotoStamps retail boxes originally recorded as deferred revenue. The retail box breakage revenue recognized was recorded in PhotoStamps revenue.

We will continue to recognize retail box breakage revenue from PhotoStamps retail boxes in future periods using the redemption recognition method. Due to the cumulative catch-up and to a lesser extent variability of timing of sales and redemptions, retail box breakage revenue in the future will differ significantly from retail box breakage revenue
recorded in the second quarter of 2011.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 2 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies--Recent Accounting Pronouncements" of our accompanying consolidated financial statements regarding recent accounting pronouncements and our expectation of their impact on our consolidated financial statements.
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## ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

Our exposure to market rate risk for changes in interest rates relates primarily to our investment portfolio. We have not used derivative financial instruments in our investment portfolio. None of the instruments in our investment portfolio are held for trading purposes. Our cash equivalents and investments consist of money market, U.S. government obligations, asset-backed securities and public corporate debt securities with weighted average maturities of 687 days at December 31, 2011. Our cash equivalents and investments approximated $\$ 69$ million and had a weighted average interest rate of $0.40 \%$. Interest rate fluctuations impact the carrying value of the portfolio. The fair value of our portfolio of marketable securities would not be significantly affected by either a $10 \%$ increase or decrease in the rates of interest due primarily to the short-term nature of the portfolio. We do not believe that the future market risks related to the above securities will have a material adverse impact on our financial position, results of operations or liquidity.

## ITEM 8.

## FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA.

Our consolidated financial statements, schedules and supplementary data, as listed under Item 15, appear in a separate section of this Report beginning on page $\mathrm{F}-1$.

## ITEM CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND 9. FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE.

None.

## ITEM 9A.

## CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures
We maintain disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act).

As of the end of the period covered by this Report, our management evaluated, with the participation of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer have concluded, as of that time, that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting
Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). Our internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to our management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in Internal Control-Integrated Framework. Based on our assessment and those criteria, management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2011.

Ernst \& Young, LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm who also audited our consolidated financial statements, has issued an attestation report on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, which is included herein.
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Changes in internal controls
During the quarter ended December 31, 2011, there has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 9B.
OTHER INFORMATION.
None.
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Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
The Board of Directors and Stockholders of
Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary
We have audited Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control—Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the balance sheets of Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011 of Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary and our report dated March 14, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

## /s/ ERNST \& YOUNG LLP

Los Angeles, California
March 14, 2012
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ITEM 10.
DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE.
The information required under this item is incorporated by reference herein to our proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC by not later than 120 days after our fiscal year end.

ITEM 11.
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.
The information required under this item is incorporated by reference herein to our proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC by not later than 120 days after our fiscal year end.

## ITEM SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND 12. RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS.

The information required under this item is incorporated by reference herein to our proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC by not later than 120 days after our fiscal year end.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE.
The information required under this item is incorporated by reference herein to our proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC by not later than 120 days after our fiscal year end.

ITEM 14.
PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES.
The information required under this item is incorporated by reference herein to our proxy statement for our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, which will be filed with the SEC by not later than 120 days after our fiscal year end.
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## ITEM 15.

## PART IV.

## EXHIBITS, FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES.

(a) Documents filed as part of this report.

1. Financial Statements. Our following financial statements are included in a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on the pages referenced below:

Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary Financial Statements

|  | Page |
| :--- | :---: |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | F-1 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2011 and 2010 | F-2 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-3 |
| Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 | F-5 |
| Notes to Financial Statements | F-6 |

2. Financial Statement Schedules. All of our financial statement schedules have been omitted because they are not applicable, not required, or the information is included in the financial statements or notes thereto.
3. Exhibits. The following Exhibits are incorporated herein by reference or are filed with this report as indicated below:

Exhibit
Number

## Description

3.1 Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company.(11)
3.2 Bylaws of the Company.(3)
3.3 Resolution Amending Bylaws of Stamps.com Inc. (13)
4.1 Specimen common stock certificate.(4)
10.1 Patent Assignment from Mohan P. Ananda to the Company, dated January 20, 1998.(1)
10.2 Assignment and License Agreement between the Company and Mohan P. Ananda, dated January 20, 1998.(1)
10.3 1998 Stock Plan and Forms of Notice of Grant and Stock Option Agreement.(2)
10.4 1999 Stock Incentive Plan (as amended and restated on April 25, 2000).(7)
10.5 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (as amended and restated on February 9, 2000).(6)
10.6 Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Company and its directors and officers.(1)
10.7+ Patent License and Settlement Agreement dated December 19, 2003 by and between Stamps.com Inc. and Pitney Bowes Inc. (8)
10.8++ Agreement dated July 14, 2004 by and between Stamps.com Inc., eBay Inc. and PayPal, Inc. (9)
10.9 Form of Notice of Grant of Stock Option (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
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Exhibit
Number Description
10.10 Form of Stock Option Agreement (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
10.11 Form of Addendum to Stock Option Agreement—Involuntary Termination Following Corporate Transaction/Change in Control (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
10.12 Form of Addendum to Stock Option Agreement—Limited Stock Appreciation Right (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
10.13 Form of Stock Issuance Agreement (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
10.14 Form of Addendum to Stock Issuance Agreement-Involuntary Termination Following Corporate Transaction/Change in Control (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
10.15 Form Automatic Stock Option Agreement (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
10.16 Form Notice of Grant of Non-Employee Director-Automatic Stock Option (Initial) (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
10.17 Form Notice of Grant of Non-Employee Director-Automatic Stock Option (Annual) (1999 Stock Incentive Plan).(5)
10.18 Form of Enrollment/Change Form for Employee Stock Purchase Plan.(5)
10.19 Form of Stock Purchase Agreement for Employee Stock Purchase Plan.(5)
10.20 Stock Purchase Agreement (12)
10.21 2010 Equity Incentive Plan.(13)
10.22 Form of Stock Option Agreement.(14)
10.23 Settlement Agreement among the Company, Kara Technology Incorporated and
Salim Kara.(15)
10.24 Agreement of Purchase And Sale and Joint Escrow Instructions.(16)

14 Code of Ethics.(10)
21 List of Subsidiaries: PhotoStamps Inc., a California corporation
23.1 Consent of Ernst \& Young LLP.(16)
24.1 Power of Attorney by G. Bradford Jones.(16)
24.2 Power of Attorney by Mohan Ananda.(16)
24.3 Power of Attorney by Lloyd I. Miller.(16)
31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.(16)
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.(16)
32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Office pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(16)
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Office pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.(16)
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(1) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 26, 1999 (File No. 333-77025).
(2) Incorporated herein by reference to Amendment No. 1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 13, 1999 (File No. 333-77025).
(3) Incorporated herein by reference to Amendment No. 2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 7, 1999 (File No. 333-77025).
(4) Incorporated herein by reference to Amendment No. 4 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 22, 1999 (File No. 333-77025).
(5) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 28, 1999 (File No. 333-81733).
(6) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 30, 2000 (File No. 333-33648).
(7) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 1, 2000 (File No. 333-42764).
(8) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 22, 2003
(9) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 16, 2004.
(10) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 14, 2008.
(11)Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 8, 2008.
(12)Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 15, 2010.
(13) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 23, 2010.
(14) Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-8 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 28, 2010 (File No. 333-168360).
(15)Incorporated herein by reference to the Company's Form 10-Q filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 8, 2010.
(16) Filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission with this Annual Report on Form 10-K.
$+$
Confidential treatment requested and received as to certain portions.
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++ Confidential treatment has been requested for certain confidential portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 under the Exchange Act. In accordance with Rule 24b-2, these confidential portions have been omitted from this exhibit and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.
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## Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary at December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the consolidated results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 14, 2012 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ ERNST \& YOUNG LLP

Los Angeles, California
March 14, 2012
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except per share data)

> | December |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2011 | 2010 |

| Assets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current assets: |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$54,087 | \$8,071 |
| Restricted cash | 500 | - |
| Short-term investments | 1,397 | 12,291 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 10,466 | 4,868 |
| Other current assets | 5,476 | 4,015 |
| Total current assets | 71,926 | 29,245 |
|  |  |  |
| Property and equipment, net | 2,165 | 1,694 |
| Intangible assets, net | 837 | 885 |
| Long-term investments | 13,379 | 14,937 |
| Deferred income taxes | 16,125 | 7,650 |
| Other assets | 3,548 | 3,031 |
| Total assets | \$ 107,980 | \$57,442 |
|  |  |  |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |
| Current liabilities: |  |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$12,075 | \$9,011 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,898 | 4,193 |
| Total current liabilities | 13,973 | 13,204 |

Commitments and contingencies
Stockholders' equity:
Common stock, $\$ .001$ par value Authorized shares: 47,500 in 2011 and 2010Issued shares: 26,856 in 2011 and 24,757 in 2010Outstanding shares: 16,163 in 2011 and

| 14,490 in 2010 | 49 | 47 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Additional paid-in capital | 637,483 | 608,522 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 10,693 shares in 2011 and 10,267 shares in 2010 | $(123,472)$ | $(118,151)$ |
| Accumulated deficit | $(420,338)$ | $(446,603)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 285 | 423 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 94,007 | 44,238 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | $\$ 107,980$ | $\$ 57,442$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(in thousands, except per share data)

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues: |  |  |  |
| Service | \$75,535 | \$64,607 | \$61,372 |
| Product | 13,465 | 11,725 | 10,653 |
| Insurance | 4,321 | 2,023 | 1,598 |
| PhotoStamps | 8,258 | 7,162 | 8,485 |
| Other | 6 | 27 | 16 |
| Total revenues | 101,585 | 85,544 | 82,124 |
| Cost of revenues: |  |  |  |
| Service | 14,720 | 13,282 | 11,869 |
| Product | 4,910 | 4,337 | 3,989 |
| Insurance | 1,506 | 641 | 494 |
| PhotoStamps | 5,076 | 5,424 | 6,562 |
| Total cost of revenues | 26,212 | 23,684 | 22,914 |
| Gross profit | 75,373 | 61,860 | 59,210 |
| Operating expenses: |  |  |  |
| Sales and marketing | 34,569 | 31,174 | 31,735 |
| Research and development | 9,395 | 9,420 | 8,699 |
| General and administrative | 14,181 | 14,590 | 12,961 |
| Legal settlements | - | 5,211 | - |
| Total operating expenses | 58,145 | 60,395 | 53,395 |
| Income from operations | 17,228 | 1,465 | 5,815 |
| Non-operating asset write-off | - | (634 | ) - |
| Interest income and other income, net | 562 | 756 | 916 |
| Income before taxes | 17,790 | 1,587 | 6,731 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes | (8,475 | (3,945 | ) 554 |
| Net income | \$26,265 | \$5,532 | \$6,177 |
| Net income per share: |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$1.78 | \$0.38 | \$0.38 |
| Diluted | \$1.73 | \$0.38 | \$0.38 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding : |  |  |  |
| Basic | 14,767 | 14,529 | 16,238 |
| Diluted | 15,168 | 14,685 | 16,369 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (in thousands)

## Common Stock

|  | Shares |  | Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Treasury Stock at Cost |  | Accumulated Deficit |  | ccumulat <br> Other mprehen (Loss) Income |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 17,242 |  | \$47 | \$626,810 | \$ (90,613 | ) | \$ (456,391 ) | \$ | (1,512 |  | \$78,341 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | - |  | - | - | - |  | 6,177 |  | - |  | 6,177 |
| Unrealized gain on investments | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | 1,306 |  | 1,306 |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7,483 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | - |  | - | 3,097 | - |  | - |  | - |  | 3,097 |
| Exercise of stock options | 18 |  | - | 115 | - |  | - |  | - |  | 115 |
| Shares issued under the ESPP | 43 |  | - | 300 | - |  | - |  | - |  | 300 |
| Stock repurchase | (1,622 | ) | - | - | (13,731 | ) | - |  | - |  | (13,731 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 15,681 |  | \$47 | \$630,322 | \$ (104,344 | ) | \$ (450,214 ) | \$ | (206 | ) | \$75,605 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | - |  | - | - | - |  | 5,532 |  | - |  | 5,532 |
| Unrealized gain on investments | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  | 629 |  | 629 |
| Comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,161 |
| Stock-based compensation expense | - |  | - | 2,840 | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,840 |
| Return of capital | - |  | - | (27,023 ) | - |  | - |  | - |  | (27,023 |
| Dividend | - |  | - | - | - |  | (1,921 ) |  | - |  | (1,921 |
| Exercise of stock options | 280 |  | - | 2,024 | - |  | - |  | - |  | 2,024 |
| Shares issued under the ESPP | 48 |  | - | 359 | - |  | - |  | - |  | 359 |
| Stock repurchase | (1,519 | ) | - | - | (13,807 | ) | - |  | - |  | (13,807 |
|  | 14,490 |  | \$47 | \$608,522 | \$ (118,151 | ) | \$ (446,603 ) | \$ | 423 |  | \$44,238 |



The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (in thousands)

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$26,265 |  | \$5,532 |  | \$6,177 |  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 885 |  | 894 |  | 1,216 |  |
| Non-operating asset write-off | - |  | 634 |  | - |  |
| Stock-based compensation expense | 3,419 |  | 2,840 |  | 3,097 |  |
| Deferred income taxes | (8,475 | ) | (3,979 | ) | - |  |
| Other-than-temporary impairment | - |  | - |  | 83 |  |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | (5,598 | ) | (501 | ) | (204 | ) |
| Other current assets | (1,461 | ) | (727 | ) | 1,138 |  |
| Other assets | (517 | ) | 556 |  | (239 | ) |
| Deferred revenue | (2,295 | ) | 123 |  | 327 |  |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 3,064 |  | (572 | ) | (1,591 | ) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 15,287 |  | 4,800 |  | 10,004 |  |
| Investing activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sale of short-term investments | 10,831 |  | 4,835 |  | 16,462 |  |
| Purchase of short-term investments | (8) | ) | (14,776 | ) | (2,396 | ) |
| Sale of long-term investments | 3,473 |  | 19,506 |  | 4,146 |  |
| Purchase of long-term investments | (1,982 | ) | (9,984 | ) | (22,240 | ) |
| (Purchase) release of restricted cash | (500 | ) | 554 |  | - |  |
| Acquisition of property, equipment and intellectual property | (1,308 | ) | (1,507 | ) | (225 | ) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 10,506 |  | (1,372 | ) | (4,253 | ) |
| Financing activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dividend payment | - |  | (1,921 | ) | - |  |
| Return of capital | - |  | (27,023 | ) |  |  |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 25,038 |  | 2,024 |  | 115 |  |
| Issuance of common stock under ESPP | 506 |  | 359 |  | 300 |  |
| Repurchase of common stock | (5,321 | ) | (13,807 | ) | (13,731 | ) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 20,223 |  | (40,368 | ) | (13,316 | ) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 46,016 |  | (36,940 | ) | (7,565 | ) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 8,071 |  | 45,011 |  | 52,576 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$54,087 |  | \$8,071 |  | \$45,011 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplemental cash flow information: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Income taxes paid during the period | \$124 |  | \$199 |  | \$706 |  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. Description of Business

Stamps.com Inc. and Subsidiary ("the Company" or "we") are the leading provider of Internet-based postage solutions. Our customers use our service to mail and ship a variety of mail pieces, including postcards, envelopes, flats and packages, using a wide range of United States Postal Service (the "USPS") mail classes, including First Class Mail®, Priority Mail®, Express Mail®, Media Mail®, Parcel Post®, and others. Our customers include individuals, small businesses, home offices, medium-size businesses and large enterprises, and within these segments we target both mailers and shippers. We were the first ever USPS-licensed vendor to offer PC Postage ${ }^{\circledR}$ in a software-only business model in 1999.

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation
The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Stamps.com Inc. and PhotoStamps Inc. In October 2009, we formed PhotoStamps Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, for the purpose of managing our retail gift card operations. Because $100 \%$ of the voting control is held by us, we have consolidated PhotoStamps Inc. in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

## Use of Estimates and Risk Management

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates, and such differences may be material to the financial statements. Examples include estimates of loss contingencies, promotional coupon redemptions, the number of PhotoStamps retail boxes that will not be redeemed, deferred income taxes and estimates regarding the useful lives of patents and other amortizable intangible assets.

## Contingencies and Litigation

We are involved in various litigation matters as a claimant and a defendant. We record any amounts recovered in these matters when received. We record liabilities for claims against us when the loss is probable and estimable. Amounts recorded are based on reviews by outside counsel, in-house counsel and management. Actual results could differ from estimates.

## Cash Equivalents and Investments

We consider all highly liquid investments with an original or remaining maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents.

Our cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments consisted of money market funds, U.S. government obligations, asset-backed securities and public corporate debt securities at December 31, 2011 and 2010. All investments are classified as available for sale and are recorded at market value using the specific identification method. Realized gains and losses are reflected in interest and other income, net while unrealized gains and losses are included as a separate component of stockholders' equity.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Accounts Receivable

Our accounts receivable relate to PC Postage services, PhotoStamps sales, branded insurance provided to customers prior to billing and other receivables. Accounts receivable are recorded at the invoiced amount, net of allowances for uncollectible accounts of approximately $\$ 117,000$ and $\$ 108,000$ as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively, were $\$ 10.5$ million and $\$ 4.9$ million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

We evaluate the collectability of our accounts receivable based on a combination of factors. If we become aware of a customer's inability to meet its financial obligations, an allowance is recorded to reduce the net receivable to the amount reasonably believed to be collectible from the customer. For all other customers, we recognize allowances for doubtful accounts based on the length of time the receivables are past due, the current business environment and our historical experience. If the financial condition of our customers deteriorates, resulting in their inability to make payments, additional provisions are recorded in that period.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Carrying amounts of certain of our financial instruments, including cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable, approximate fair value due to their short maturities. The fair values of investments are determined using quoted market prices for those securities or similar financial instruments.

## Concentration of Risk

Our cash, restricted cash, cash equivalents and investments are subject to market risk, primarily interest rate and credit risk. Our investments are managed by a limited number of outside professional managers within investment guidelines set by us. Such guidelines include security type, credit quality and maturity and are intended to limit market risk by restricting our investments. From time to time, our investments held with financial institutions may exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation insurance limits. Interest rate fluctuations and changes in credit ratings impact the carrying value of our portfolio.

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, we did not recognize revenue from any one customer that represented $10 \%$ or more of revenues.

We have accounts receivable from one customer that represented approximately $42 \%$ and $21 \%$ of the total accounts receivable balance as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

## Inventories

Inventories consist of finished product sold through our supplies store and are accounted for using the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. Inventories reported as a component of other current assets in 2011 and 2010 were $\$ 2.2$ million and $\$ 2.7$ million, respectively.

Property and Equipment
Property and equipment are stated at cost. Depreciation is computed on a straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset, ranging from three to five years. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the term of the lease. We have a policy of capitalizing expenditures that materially increase assets' useful lives and charging ordinary
maintenance and repairs to operations as incurred. When property or equipment is disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation and amortization are removed from the accounts, and any gain or loss is included in operations.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Trademarks and Patents
Acquired trademarks, patents and other intangibles are included in intangible assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Cost associated with internally developed intangible assets is typically expensed as incurred as research and development costs.

Amortization is calculated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets, ranging from approximately 9 to 17 years. During 2011, 2010 and 2009, amortization expense, including the amortization of trademarks and patents, was approximately $\$ 47,000, \$ 13,000$ and $\$ 6,000$, respectively.

## Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and Intangible Assets

Long-lived assets including intangible assets with definitive useful lives are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment to be recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the fair value of the assets. Assets to be disposed of are reported at the lower of the carrying amount or fair value less costs to sell.

Intangible assets that have indefinite useful lives are not amortized but, instead, tested at least annually for impairment while intangible assets that have finite useful lives continue to be amortized over their respective useful lives.

Intangible assets are tested for impairment using a two-step process. The first step is to determine the fair value of the reporting unit, which may be calculated using a discounted cash flow methodology, and compare this value to its carrying value. If the fair value exceeds the carrying value, no further work is required, and no impairment loss would be recognized. The second step is an allocation of the fair value of the reporting unit to all of the reporting unit's assets and liabilities under a hypothetical purchase price allocation. Based on the annual evaluations performed by us, there was no impairment of intangible assets during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 or 2009.

## Deferred Revenue

The majority of our deferred revenue relates to PhotoStamps retail boxes. We sell our PhotoStamps retail boxes to our customers through our website and selected third parties. Proceeds from the sale of our PhotoStamps retail boxes are initially recorded as a liability when received. We record the liability for outstanding PhotoStamps retail boxes in deferred revenue.

## Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from product sales or services rendered, licensing the use of our software and intellectual property as well as commissions from advertising or sale of products by third party vendors to our customer base when the following four revenue recognition criteria are met: persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the selling price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured.

Service revenue is primarily derived from monthly subscription fees and is recognized in the period that services are provided. Product sales, net of return allowances, are recorded when the products are shipped and title passes to
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customers. Sales of items, including PhotoStamps, sold to customers are made pursuant to a sales contract that provides for transfer of both title and risk of loss upon our delivery to the carrier. Return allowances for expected product returns, which reduce product revenue, are estimated using historical experience. Commissions from the advertising or sale of products by a third party vendor to our customer base are recognized when the revenue is earned and collection is deemed probable.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Customers pay face value for postage purchased for use through our PC Postage software, and the funds are transferred directly from the customers to the United States Postal Service ("USPS"). We do not recognize revenue for this postage, as it is purchased by our customers directly from the USPS.

PhotoStamps revenue, which includes the face value of postage, from the sale of PhotoStamps sheets and rolls is made pursuant to a sales contract that provides for transfer of both title and risk of loss upon our delivery to the carrier (see further discussion below).

Sale of PhotoStamps retail boxes are initially recorded as deferred revenue. PhotoStamps revenue related to the sale of these PhotoStamps retail boxes is subsequently recognized when either: (i) the PhotoStamps retail box is redeemed, or (ii) the likelihood of the PhotoStamps retail box being redeemed is deemed remote ("breakage") and there is no legal obligation to remit the value of the unredeemed PhotoStamps retail boxes.

On a limited basis, we allow third parties to offer products and promotions to our customer base. These arrangements generally provide payment in the form of a flat fee or revenue sharing arrangements where we receive payment upon customers accessing third party products and services. Total revenue from such advertising arrangements was not significant in 2011, 2010 and 2009.

We provide our customers with the opportunity to purchase parcel insurance directly through our software. Insurance revenue represents the gross amount charged to the customer for purchasing insurance and the related cost represents the amount paid to the insurance broker, Parcel Insurance Plan. We recognize revenue on insurance purchases upon the ship date of the insured package.

PhotoStamps Retail Boxes
We have been selling PhotoStamps retail boxes that are redeemable for PhotoStamps on our website. The PhotoStamps retail boxes are sold through various third party retail partners. Our PhotoStamps retail boxes are not subject to administrative fees on unredeemed boxes and have no expiration date. PhotoStamps retail boxes sales are recorded as deferred revenue. Prior to the second quarter of 2011, revenue was recognized only on boxes that were actually redeemed on our website.

During the second quarter of 2011, we concluded that sufficient company-specific historical evidence existed to determine the period of time after which the likelihood of the PhotoStamps retail boxes being redeemed was remote. Based on our analysis of the redemption data, we estimate that period of time to be 60 months after the sale of our PhotoStamps retail boxes.

Beginning in the second quarter of 2011, we began recognizing breakage revenue related to our PhotoStamps retail boxes utilizing the redemption recognition method. Under the redemption recognition method, we recognize breakage revenue from unredeemed retail boxes in proportion to the revenue recognized from the retail boxes that have been redeemed. During the second quarter of 2011, we recognized $\$ 2.2$ million, which is $\$ 0.15$ on a per share basis using fully diluted shares as of June 30, 2011, or $\$ 0.14$ on a per shares basis using fully diluted shares as of the year-ended December 31, 2011 (revenue divided by fully diluted shares outstanding, exclusive of any current or prior period costs related to the retail programs), of retail box breakage revenue, of which $\$ 2.1$ million related to a cumulative catch-up for previously sold and unredeemed PhotoStamps retail boxes originally recorded as deferred revenue. The retail box breakage revenue recognized was recorded in PhotoStamps revenue.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

We will continue to recognize retail box breakage revenue from PhotoStamps retail boxes in future periods using the redemption recognition method. Due to the cumulative catch-up and to a lesser extent variability of timing of sales and redemptions, retail box breakage revenue in the future will differ significantly from retail box breakage revenue recorded in the second quarter of 2011.

## Cost of Service Revenue

Cost of service revenue principally consists of the cost of customer service, certain promotional expenses, system operating costs, credit card processing fees and customer misprints that do not qualify for reimbursement from the USPS. Cost of product revenue principally consists of the cost of products sold through our Mailing \& Shipping Supplies Store and the related costs of shipping and handling. The cost of insurance revenue principally consists of parcel insurance offering costs.

## Promotional Expense

New PC Postage customers are typically offered promotional items that are redeemed using coupons that are qualified for redemption after a customer is successfully billed beyond an initial trial period. We account for our promotional expense in accordance with Accounting Standard Codification ("ASC") 605-50-25, "Recognition - Vendor's Accounting for Consideration Given to a Customer", by recognizing a liability for promotional expense based on estimated amounts that will be claimed by customers unless the liability for promotional expense cannot be reasonable and reliably estimated. This includes free postage and a free digital scale and is expensed in the period in which a customer qualifies using estimated redemption rates based on historical data. Promotional expense, which is included in cost of service, is incurred as customers qualify and thereby may not correlate directly with changes in revenue, as the revenue associated with the acquired customer is earned over the customer's lifetime. Promotional expense was $\$ 3.6$ million, $\$ 2.7$ million and $\$ 1.7$ million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Research and Development Costs
Research and development costs are expensed as incurred. These costs principally consist of compensation for personnel involved in the development of our services, depreciation of equipment and software and expenditures for consulting services and third party software.

## Sales and Marketing

Sales and marketing expense principally consists of spending to acquire new customers and compensation and related expenses for personnel engaged in sales, marketing, and business development activities. Ongoing marketing programs include the following: traditional advertising, partnerships, customer referral programs, customer re-marketing efforts, telemarketing, direct sales, direct mail, and online advertising.

## Advertising Costs

We expense the costs of producing advertisements as incurred, and expense the costs of communicating and placing the advertising in the period in which the advertising space or airtime is used. For the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, advertising and tradeshow costs were $\$ 7.0$ million, $\$ 5.5$ million and $\$ 4.7$ million, respectively.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

Internet Advertising

We recognize Internet advertising expense based on the specifics of the individual agreements. Under partner and affiliate agreements, third parties refer prospects to our web site, and we pay the third parties when the customer completes the customer registration process, or in some cases, upon the first successful billing of a customer. We record these expenses on a monthly basis as prospects are successfully converted to customers. Under Internet search advertising, we record expenses based on actual "click activity" on our displayed advertisements following targeted key word searches.

## General and Administrative

General and administrative expense principally consists of compensation and related costs for executive and administrative personnel, fees for legal and other professional services, depreciation of equipment and software used for general corporate purposes and amortization of intangible assets.

Income Taxes
We account for income taxes in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") ASC Topic No. 740, Income Taxes ("ASC 740"), which requires that deferred tax assets and liabilities be recognized using enacted tax rates for the effect of temporary differences between the book and tax basis of recorded assets and liabilities. ASC 740 also requires that deferred tax assets be reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that some or all of the net deferred tax assets will not be realized. We record a valuation allowance to reduce our gross deferred tax assets, which are primarily comprised of US Federal and State tax loss carryforwards, to the amount that is more likely than not (a likelihood of more than 50 percent) to be realized. In order for us to realize our deferred tax assets, we must be able to generate sufficient taxable income. We evaluate the appropriateness of our deferred tax assets and related valuation allowance in accordance with ASC 740 based on all available positive and negative evidence.

Under the guidance related to uncertain tax positions, we are required to determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination based on the technical merits of the position. A tax position that meets the more likely than not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements.

## Net Income per Share

Net income per share represents net income attributable to common stockholders divided by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during a reported period. The diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock, including stock options, were exercised or converted into common stock. Diluted net income per share is calculated by dividing net income during a reported period by the sum of the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus common stock equivalents for the period. The following table reconciles share amounts utilized to calculate basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except per share data):
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 26,265 | \$ | 5,532 | \$ | 6,177 |
| Basic - weighted average common shares |  | 14,767 |  | 14,529 |  | 16,238 |
| Dilutive effect of common stock equivalents |  | 401 |  | 156 |  | 131 |
| Diluted - weighted average common shares |  | 15,168 |  | 14,685 |  | 16,369 |
| Net income per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 1.78 | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 0.38 |
| Diluted | \$ | 1.73 | \$ | 0.38 | \$ | 0.38 |

The calculation of dilutive shares excludes the effect of the following options that are considered anti-dilutive (in thousands):

|  | Year ended December 31, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Anti-dilutive stock option shares | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| 1,023 | 1,928 | 2,677 |  |

## Stock-Based Compensation

We estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model and recognize stock-based compensation expense during each period based on the value of that portion of share-based payment awards that is ultimately expected to vest during the period, reduced for estimated forfeitures. We estimate forfeitures at the time of grant based on historical data and revise, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. Compensation expense recognized for all employee stock options granted is recognized using the straight-line method over their respective vesting periods of three to five years.

During the fourth quarter of 2010, in connection with our special dividend of $\$ 2.00$ per share, in order to prevent that dividend from diluting or enlarging the rights of the holders of outstanding stock options and purchase rights under certain of our employee plans, including our equity plans, we reduced the exercise price of affected options and rights in a manner that is both value neutral and that did not result in the incurrence of any incremental stock-based compensation expense. Because the exercise price could not be adjusted in this manner without adverse tax consequences for certain option grants, we made value neutral cash payments with respect to those option grants, which compensated option holders for lost economic value in those option grants. These cash payments were expensed as compensation and did not affect our stock-based compensation expense. We did not recognize any similar cash payment in 2011.

The following table sets forth the stock-based compensation expense that we recognized for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Stock-based compensation expense relating to:

| Employee and director stock options | $\$ 3,112$ | $\$ 2,725$ | $\$ 3,044$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee stock purchases | 307 | 115 | 53 |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | $\$ 3,419$ | $\$ 2,840$ | $\$ 3,097$ |
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

| Stock-based compensation expense relating to: |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost of revenues | $\$ 278$ | $\$ 253$ | $\$ 275$ |
| Sales and marketing | 764 | 727 | 769 |
| Research and development | 750 | 575 | 651 |
| General and administrative | 1,627 | 1,285 | 1,402 |
| Total stock-based compensation expense | $\$ 3,419$ | $\$ 2,840$ | $\$ 3,097$ |

We use the Black-Scholes option valuation model to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant, which requires us to make a number of highly complex and subjective assumptions, including stock price volatility, expected term, risk-free interest rates and actual and projected employee stock option exercise behaviors. In the case of options we grant, our assumption of expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of our stock price over the term equal to the expected life. We base the risk-free interest rate on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equal to the expected life assumed at the date of grant. The estimated expected life represents the weighted-average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding determined based on an analysis of historical exercise behavior.

The following are the weighted average assumptions used in the Black-Scholes valuation model for the periods indicated:

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expected dividend yield | - |  | - |  | - |  |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.39 | $\%$ | 1.67 | $\%$ | 2.00 | $\%$ |
| Expected volatility | 48 | $\%$ | 49 | $\%$ | 53 | $\%$ |
| Expected life (in years) | 4.4 |  | 4.5 |  | 4.5 |  |
| Expected forfeiture rate | 9 | $\%$ | 20 | $\%$ | 20 | $\%$ |

We elected to utilize the alternative transition method for calculating the tax effects of stock-based compensation. The alternative transition method includes computational guidance to establish the beginning balance of the additional paid-in capital pool ("APIC Pool") related to the tax effects of employee stock-based compensation, and a simplified method to determine the subsequent impact on the APIC Pool for employee stock-based compensation awards that are vested and outstanding upon adoption of ASC 718. There has been no tax benefit recognized to date from the exercise of stock options. A tax benefit will be recorded in additional paid-in capital when these deductions reduce our future income taxes payable.

At December 31, 2011, we had approximately $\$ 8.1$ million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under our stock incentive plans, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

Treasury Stock
During 2011, 2010 and 2009, we repurchased 426,000 shares for $\$ 5.3$ million, 1.5 million shares for $\$ 13.8$ million and 1.6 million shares for $\$ 13.7$ million, respectively.

Segment Information

We operate in a single segment. We are a provider of Internet-based postage solutions located in a single geographic location from which substantially all of our revenue is generated. While components of revenue include both services and products associated with our postage solutions, our Chief Executive Officer, who is the chief operating decision maker, evaluates performance, makes operating decisions and allocates resources based on the financial data provided in our financial statements as a single operating segment. In addition, discrete financial information is not generated, prepared or reviewed at any level that allow for the creation of an additional operating segment.
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## Website Development Costs

We develop and maintain our website. Costs associated with the operation of our website consist primarily of software and hardware purchased from third parties and administrative cost relating to the maintenance and development of the website. Costs related to the purchase of software and hardware are capitalized based on our capitalization policy. These capitalized costs are amortized based on their estimated useful life. Administrative costs related to the maintenance and development of our website are expensed as incurred.

## Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820) - Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS, which amends ASC 820 providing consistent guidance on fair value measurement and disclosure requirements between U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. ASU 2011-04 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect the adoption of ASU 2011-04 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-08, Intangibles - Goodwill and Other (Topic 350) - Testing Goodwill for Impairment, which amends ASC 350 to first assess qualitative factors before performing the quantitative goodwill impairment testing. ASU 2011-08 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. We do not expect the adoption of ASU 2011-08 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

## 3. Intangible Assets

We have amortizable and non-amortizable intangible assets consisting of patents, trademarks and other intellectual property with a gross carrying value of $\$ 8.7$ million as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and accumulated amortization of $\$ 7.8$ million as of December 30, 2011 and 2010. During 2010 we purchased two patents for $\$ 400,000$ in connection with our settlement agreement with Kara Technology Incorporated and Mr. Salim Kara to resolve all outstanding litigation among the parties. The expected useful lives of our amortizable intangible assets range from approximately 9 to 17 years. The weighted average amortization period for our amortizable intangible assets is 7.4 years. During 2011, we assessed whether events or changes in circumstances occurred that could potentially indicate that the carrying amount of our intangible assets may not be recoverable. We concluded that there were no such events or changes in circumstances during 2011 and determined that the fair value of our intangible assets was in excess of their carrying value as of December 31, 2011. Aggregate amortization expense on patents and trademarks was approximately $\$ 47,000, \$ 13,000$ and $\$ 6,000$ for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Our expected yearly amortization expense for the next five years is approximately $\$ 47,000$.

## 4. Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

Our cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments consist of money market, U.S. government obligations, asset-backed securities and public corporate debt securities at December 31, 2011 and 2010. We consider all highly liquid investments with an original or remaining maturity of three months or less at the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. All investments are classified as available for sale and are recorded at market value using the specific identification method. Unrealized gains and losses are included as a separate component of stockholders' equity. We have ten securities with a total fair value of $\$ 2.6$ million that have unrealized losses of approximately $\$ 47,000$ as of December 31, 2011. We do not intend to sell investments with amortized cost basis exceeding fair value, and it is not
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likely that we will be required to sell the investments before recovery of their amortized cost basis. Realized gains and losses are reflected in interest income and other income, net using the specific identification method.
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The following table summarizes realized gains and losses for the period indicated (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended December 31, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |  |  |  |
| Realized gain | $\$$ | 11 | $\$$ | 62 | $\$$ | 37 |
| Realized loss |  | - |  | $(97$ | $)$ |  |
| Net realized gain (loss) | $\$$ | 11 | $\$$ | $(35$ | $)$ | $\$$ |

On at least a quarterly basis, we evaluate our available for sale securities and record an "other-than-temporary impairment" ("OTTI") if we believe their fair value is less than historical cost and it is probable that we will not collect all contractual cash flows. We did not record any OTTI during 2011 after evaluating a number of factors including, but not limited to:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { How much fair value has declined below amortized cost } \\
& \text { The financial condition of the issuers } \\
& \text { - Significant rating agency changes on the issuers }
\end{aligned}
$$

- Our intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient to allow for any anticipated recovery in fair value

The following table summarizes our cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

|  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses | Estimated Fair Value |
| Cash and cash equivalents: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$8,768 | - | - | \$8,768 |
| Money market | 45,319 | - | - | 45,319 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 54,087 | - | - | 54,087 |
| Restricted cash: |  |  |  |  |
| Money market | 500 | - | - | 500 |
| Restricted cash | 500 | - | - | 500 |
| Short-term investments: |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 1,400 | 6 | (9 | 1,397 |
| Short-term investments | 1,400 | 6 | (9 | 1,397 |
| Long-term investments: |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate bonds and asset backed securities | 12,084 | 309 | (38 | 12,355 |
| U.S. government and agency securities | 1,007 | 17 | - | 1,024 |
| Long-term investments | 13,091 | 326 | (38 | ) 13,379 |
| Cash and equivalents, restricted cash and investments | \$69,078 | 332 | (47 | ) \$69,363 |
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|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost or Amortized Cost | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses | Estimated <br> Fair Value |
| Cash and cash equivalents: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$7,633 | - | - | \$7,633 |
| Money market | 438 | - | - | 438 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 8,071 | - | - | 8,071 |
| Short-term investments: |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 12,162 | 136 | (7 | 12,291 |
| Short-term investments | 12,162 | 136 | (7) | 12,291 |
| Long-term investments: |  |  |  |  |
| Corporate bonds and asset backed securities | 13,634 | 309 | (33 ) | 13,910 |
| U.S. government and agency securities | 1,009 | 18 | - | 1,027 |
| Long-term investments | 14,643 | 327 | (33 | 14,937 |
| Cash and equivalents and investments | \$34,876 | 463 | (40 ) | \$35,299 |

Restricted cash of $\$ 500,000$ as of December 31, 2011 is related to the escrow for the purchase of our new corporate headquarters building. See Note 13 - Subsequent Events for further discussion.

The following table summarizes contractual maturities of our marketable fixed-income securities as of December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

|  | Amortized <br> Cost | Estimated <br> Fair Value |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Due within one year | $\$$ | 1,400 | $\$$ | 1,397 |
| Due after one year through five years |  | 13,091 |  | 13,379 |
| Due after five years through ten years | $\$$ | - | - |  |
| Total | 14,491 | $\$$ | 14,776 |  |

5. 

Fair Value Measurements

Financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis are classified in one of the three following categories, which are described below:

Level 1- Valuations based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in an active market.
Level 2 Valuations based on quoted prices in markets where trading occurs infrequently or whose values are based on - quoted prices of instruments with similar attributes in active markets.

Level 3 Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and involve management judgment and our own - assumptions about market participants and pricing.
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## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

The following table summarizes our financial assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

| Description | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Fair Valu Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1) | Measurement <br> Date Using <br> Significant Other <br> Observable Inputs (Level 2) | at Reporting <br> Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash, restricted cash and cash equivalents | \$ 54,587 | \$54,587 | \$- | \$ - |
| Available-for-sale debt securities | 14,776 | - | 14,776 | - |
| Total | \$ 69,363 | \$54,587 | \$14,776 | \$ - |

Fair Value Measurement at Reporting
Date Using
Quoted
Prices

|  |  | in Active | Significant |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Markets for | Other | Significant |  |
|  |  | Identical | Observable |
| Unobservable |  |  |  |
| Description | December 31, | Assets | Inputs | Inputs


| Cash and cash equivalents | $\$ 8,071$ | $\$ 8,071$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Available-for-sale debt securities | 27,228 | - | 27,228 | - |
| Total | $\$ 35,299$ | $\$ 8,071$ | $\$ 27,228$ | $\$-$ |

The fair value of our available-for-sale debt securities included in the Level 2 category is based on the market values obtained from an independent pricing service that were evaluated using pricing models that vary by asset class and may incorporate available trade, bid and other market information and price quotes from well established independent pricing vendors and broker-dealers.

There were no non-financial assets or liabilities that were required to be measured at fair value as of December 31, 2011 and 2010.
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## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

## 6. Accounts Payable and Accrued Expenses

The following table summarizes our accounts payable and accrued expenses as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 (in thousands):

$$
2011
$$

2010

| Payroll and related accrual | $\$ 3,252$ | $\$ 2,568$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Legal and related accrual | 598 | 609 |
| Sales and marketing related accrual | 2,529 | 1,772 |
| Other accruals | 5,696 | 4,062 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | $\$ 12,075$ | $\$ 9,011$ |

## 7. Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

As of December 31, 2011 and 2010, our allowance for doubtful accounts totaled approximately $\$ 117,000$ and $\$ 108,000$, respectively. Increases in our allowance for doubtful accounts totaled approximately $\$ 9,000$ and $\$ 12,000$ for 2011 and 2010, respectively. There were no material write-offs against the allowance for doubtful accounts during 2011 or 2010.

## 8. Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is summarized as follows (in thousands):

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Furniture and equipment | $\$ 2,178$ | $\$ 1,789$ |
| Computers and software | 14,494 | 13,575 |
| Leasehold improvements | 1,769 | 1,768 |
|  | 18,441 | 17,132 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | $(16,276$ | $(15,438)$ |
| Property and equipment, net | $\$ 2,165$ | $\$ 1,694$ |

During 2011, 2010 and 2009, depreciation expense was approximately $\$ 838,000, \$ 881,000$ and $\$ 1.2$ million, respectively. In prior years we incurred $\$ 634,000$ in capitalized fixed assets related to a project to launch a new third party billing system. During 2010, we made a decision to abandon this project before it was completed and went live. As a result, we wrote-off $\$ 634,000$ of fixed assets in the fourth quarter of 2010 . We did not have any similar write-off in 2011 or 2009.

## 9. Income Taxes

During 2011, our net income tax benefit consisted of a reduction of a portion of our valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset as described further below. Our effective income tax rate differs from the statutory income tax rate primarily as a result of the reduction of a portion of our valuation allowance. A full valuation allowance was originally recorded against our gross deferred tax assets as we determined the realization of these assets did not meet the more likely than not criteria. During 2008, we determined that a full valuation allowance against our gross deferred tax assets was not necessary and recorded a partial reversal of the deferred tax valuation allowance of $\$ 3.7$ million based
on the following discrete events: (1) our attainment of three consecutive years of taxable income and (2) indication from the USPS that the market test for our PhotoStamps business, which constituted $21 \%$ of total revenue in 2007, would be extended for another year into 2009.
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During 2009 no discrete event occurred to support an additional release of our valuation allowance. During the second quarter of 2010, we recorded an income tax benefit of approximately $\$ 4.0$ million when we determined that a release of a portion of our valuation allowance was appropriate as a result of the following discrete events: (1) the attainment of over five consecutive years of taxable income, (2) the material decline of our Section 382 ownership under the Internal Revenue Code from approximately $34 \%$ as of March 31, 2010 to approximately $24 \%$ as of June 30, 2010, and (3) the settlement of our outstanding patent infringement litigation with Kara Technology, which improved our confidence in our short-term taxable income projection by eliminating the uncertainty of a potential large negative judgment against us and eliminating the related on-going third party litigation expenses. During the fourth quarter of 2011 we released a portion of our valuation allowance totaling approximately $\$ 8.5$ million as a result of an increase in our projected taxable income.

In making these determinations, we considered the available positive and negative evidence, including our recent earnings trend and expected future taxable income. As of December 31, 2011, we continued to maintain a valuation allowance for the remainder of our gross deferred tax assets.

In September 2008, the State of California passed legislation temporarily suspending the use of NOLs to offset current state income tax expense for the tax years 2008 and 2009. In October 2010, the State of California passed legislation extending this suspension for tax years 2010 and 2011. As a result of not being able to use our state NOLs, we incurred approximately $\$ 370,000$ and $\$ 523,000$ of additional California state income tax expense during the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. We did not incur any additional California state income tax as a result of the state NOL suspension during the year ended December 31, 2010 as we were able to offset $100 \%$ of our state income tax liability through the use of our research and development tax credits. During 2011 we were in a taxable loss position for tax reporting purposes and as a result we did not use any tax credits. We recorded a current tax provision for corporate alternative minimum federal taxes and state taxes of approximately $\$ 0, \$ 34,000$ and $\$ 554,000$ during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively. Total tax (benefit) provision was approximately ( $\$ 8.5$ ) million, ( $\$ 3.9$ ) and $\$ 554,000$ during the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Under the guidance related to uncertain tax positions, we are required to determine whether it is more likely than not that a tax position will be sustained upon examination based on the technical merits of the position. A tax position that meets the more likely than not recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements.

In accordance with the guidance we have evaluated our research and development tax credits for uncertain tax positions. As of December 31, 2011 we have research and development tax credits totaling $\$ 4.8$ million for Federal and California purposes. In addition, we had $\$ 1.7$ million of unrecognized federal tax benefits which is subject to examination by the taxing authorities and upon further examination, we may increase or decrease our unrecognized tax benefit based on the results of the examination.
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The following is a tabular reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009 (in thousands):
$\left.\begin{array}{lcl} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Unrecognized } \\ \text { Tax Benefits }\end{array} \\ \text { Balance at December 31, 2008 } & - \\ \text { Additions for tax positions of prior years } & (1,557) \\ \text { Addition for tax position of the current year } & (153) & (1,710) \\ \text { Settlement } & \$ & (23\end{array}\right)$

Our policy is to recognize interest and penalties expense, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense. As of December 31, 2011, we have not recorded any interest and penalty expense.

We remain subject to examination by the relevant tax authorities. These include the 2008 through 2010 tax years for federal purposes and the 2009 through 2010 tax years for California purposes.

Our effective tax rate differs from the statutory federal income tax rate primarily as a result of the establishment of a valuation allowance for the future benefits to be received from the deferred tax assets including net operating loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards. The tax effect of temporary differences that give rise to a significant portion of the deferred tax assets and liabilities at December 31, 2011 and 2010 are presented below (in thousands):

|  | 2011 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Deferred tax assets (liabilities): |  |  |
| Net operating loss carryforward | 47,737 | $\$ 85,455$ |
| Tax credits | 4,161 | 4,260 |
| Depreciation | 875 | 240 |
| Amortization | 2,540 | 972 |
| Accruals | 85,297 | 94,380 |
| Total deferred tax assets | $(69,172$ | $(86,657$ |
| Valuation allowance | $\$ 16,125$ | $\$ 7,650$ |

We have an NOL carryforward of approximately $\$ 230$ million and $\$ 125$ million for federal and state income tax purposes, respectively, at December 31, 2011 which can be carried forward to offset future taxable income. We have available tax credit carryforward of approximately $\$ 4.6$ million and $\$ 2.0$ million for federal and state income tax purposes, respectively at December 31, 2011, which can be carried forward to offset future taxable liabilities. Our federal NOLs will begin to expire in 2020, and our state NOLs will begin to expire in 2012. The federal tax credits
begin to expire in 2018. Under California law, California tax credits do not have an expiration date.
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We recognize excess tax benefits associated with the exercise of stock options directly to stockholders' equity only when realized. Accordingly, deferred tax assets are not recognized for NOL resulting from excess tax benefits. As of December 31, 2011, deferred tax assets do not include approximately $\$ 8.0$ million of these excess tax benefits from employee stock option exercises that are a component of our NOL carryforwards. Accordingly, additional paid-in capital will increase up to an additional $\$ 8.0$ million if and when such excess tax benefits are realized.

The Federal Tax Reform Act of 1986 and similar state tax laws contain provisions that may limit the NOL carryforwards to be used in any given year upon the occurrence of certain events, including a significant change in ownership interests.

We maintain a study to understand the status of net operating losses. Based on that study, we believe that we have not undergone an Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 382 change of ownership that would trigger an impairment of the use of our NOLs since our secondary offering in December 1999. Under IRC Section 382 rules, a change in ownership can occur whenever there is a shift in ownership by more than 50 percentage points by one or more " $5 \%$ shareholders" within a three-year period. When a change of ownership is triggered, the NOLs may be impaired. We estimate that, as of December 31, 2011, we were at approximately $15 \%$ compared with the $50 \%$ level that would trigger impairment of our NOLs.

The provision for income taxes consists of (in thousands):

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current: | $\$-$ | $\$ 34$ | $\$ 165$ |
| Federal | - | - | 389 |
| State | - | 34 | 554 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Deferred: | $(7,245$ | $)$ | $(3,396$ |
| Federal | $(1,230$ | $)$ | - |
| State | $(8,475$ | $)$ | $(383$ |
|  | $\$(8,475$ | $)$ | $\$(3,945$ |
| Provision (benefit) for income taxes |  | $\$ 554$ |  |

Differences between the provision for income taxes and income taxes at the statutory federal income tax rate are as follows (in thousands):

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Income tax at statutory federal rate | \$6,057 | \$534 | \$2,288 |  |
| State income taxes, net of federal benefit | 1,044 | 36 | 393 |  |
| Effect of permanent differences | 12 | 467 | 757 |  |
| Change in valuation allowance - discrete release | (8,475 | ) $(3,979$ | ) - |  |
| Other changes in valuation allowance, net (1) | (7,225 | ) $(1,026$ | ) $(4,262$ | ) |
| Unrecognized tax benefits | 12 | 23 | 1,710 |  |
| Other | 100 | - | (332 | ) |
|  | \$(8,475 | ) \$(3,945 | ) \$554 |  |

(1) Other changes in valuation allowance, net includes the tax effect of utilization of net operating losses of $\$ 0$, $\$ 401,000$ and $\$ 3.0$ million for the years ended December 31, 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, which were provided for as part of the valuation allowance prior to utilization.
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## 10. Commitments and Contingencies

## Operating Leases

The following is a schedule of significant future minimum lease payments under operating leases at December 31, 2011 (in thousands):
$\left.\begin{array}{lc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Operating } \\ \text { Lease }\end{array} \\ \text { Obligations }\end{array}\right\}$

Total rent expense was approximately $\$ 1.0$ million, $\$ 959,000$ and $\$ 657,000$ in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
Our facility lease agreement as amended extends through May 2012. The total remaining aggregate lease payments as of December 31, 2011 under the amended lease agreement is approximately $\$ 419,000$.

## 11. Employee Stock Plans

## Stock Incentive Plans

Our 1999 Stock Incentive Plan (the "1999 Plan"), which became effective in June 1999, was the successor to the 1998 Stock Plan (the "1998 Plan"). Upon approval of the 1999 Plan, all outstanding options under the 1998 Plan were transferred to the 1999 Plan, and no further option grants were made under the 1998 Plan. All outstanding options under the 1998 Plan continue to be governed by the terms and conditions of the existing option agreements for those grants, unless our compensation committee decides to extend one or more features of the 1999 Plan to those options. In June 2009, our 1999 Plan expired and no further options grants were made under the 1999 Plan. Our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2010 Plan") was approved by our stockholders in June 2010. Under the 2010 Plan, we are authorized to issue $3,500,000$ shares of common stock and stock units, although "full value" awards (such as restricted stock and restricted stock units) will be counted against the 2010 Plan's overall limits as two shares (rather than one), while options and stock appreciation rights will be counted as one share. A summary of stock option activity is as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):
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|  | Options <br> Outstanding <br> Number of <br> Options | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise <br> Price |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Balance at December 31, 2008 | 3,286 | $\$ 16.77$ |
| Granted | 146 | 8.93 |
| Forfeited | $(238$ | 26.54 |
| Exercised | $(18$ | 6.57 |
| Balance at December 31, 2009 | 3,176 | $\$ 14.38$ |
| Granted | 207 | 11.33 |
| Forfeited | $(325$ | 21.69 |
| Exercised | $(280$ | 7.22 |
| Balance at December 31, 2010 | 2,778 | $\$ 12.58$ |
| Granted | 1,718 | 13.20 |
| Forfeited | $(136$ | 12.01 |
| Exercised | $(2,043$ | 12.25 |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 2,317 | $\$ 13.36$ |

The weighted-average fair value of stock grants for 2011, 2010 and 2009 using the Black-Scholes valuation method are as follows:

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted-average fair value of stock options with an exercise price equal <br> to the market price on the grant date | $\$ 5.05$ | $\$ 4.71$ | $\$ 4.04$ |
| Weighted-average fair value of stock options with an exercise price greater <br> than the market price on the grant date | - |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 5.05$ | $\$ 4.71$ | $\$ 4.04$ |

Weighted average exercise prices for stock options exercised in 2011 are as follows:
Weighted-average exercise price of stock options with an exercise price equal to the market price on the

grant date | \$12.06 |
| :--- |
| Weighted-average exercise price of stock options with an exercise price greater than the market price |
| on the grant date |
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The following tables summarize information concerning outstanding and exercisable options at December 31, 2011 (in thousands, except number of years and per share amounts):

|  | Options Outstanding |  |  | Options Exercisable |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Weighted | Weighted |  | Weighted |
|  |  | Average | Average |  | Average |
|  |  | Remaining | Exercise |  | Exercise |
|  | Number | Contractual | Price per | Number | Price per |
| Range of Exercise Prices | Outstanding | Life (in Years) | Share | Exercisable | Share |
| 0.00-\$9.99 | 122,006 | 6.3 | \$8.96 | 59,529 | \$8.75 |
| \$ 10.00-\$19.99 | 2,053,802 | 8.5 | \$ 12.80 | 621,391 | \$ 13.05 |
| \$ 20.00-\$29.99 | 135,900 | 6.5 | \$25.21 | 80,900 | \$23.56 |
| \$ 30.00-\$39.99 | 5,000 | 4.4 | \$31.64 | 5,000 | \$31.64 |
| \$ $0.00-\$ 39.99$ | 2,316,708 | 8.2 | \$13.36 | 766,820 | \$13.95 |

The following table summarizes stock option activity for 2011:

|  | Number of Stock Options (in thousands) | Weighted <br> Average <br> Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Outstanding at December 31, 2010 | 2,778 | \$12.58 |  |  |
| Granted | 1,718 | 13.20 |  |  |
| Exercised | (2,043 ) | 12.25 |  |  |
| Forfeited or expired | (136 ) | 12.01 |  |  |
| Balance at December 31, 2011 | 2,317 | 13.36 | 8.2 | \$ 29,687 |
| Exercisable at December 31, 2011 | 767 | \$13.95 | 6.2 | \$ 9,368 |

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value, based on our closing stock price of $\$ 26.13$ at December 31, 2011, the last trading day of 2011, which would have been received by award holders had all award holders exercised their awards that were in-the-money as of that date.

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 5.05, \$ 4.71$ and $\$ 4.04$, respectively. The weighted average grant date fair value of options vested during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was $\$ 4.78, \$ 6.12$ and $\$ 6.55$, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2011, 2010 and 2009 was approximately $\$ 25.1$ million, $\$ 1.9$ million and $\$ 48,000$, respectively.
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The following table summarizes the status of our non-vested stock options as of December 31, 2011:

|  | Non-vested <br> Number of <br> Stock Options <br> (in thousands) | Weighted <br> Average <br> Grant Date |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fair Value |  |  |

As of December 31, 2011, there was $\$ 8.1$ million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years.

## Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In June 1999, our Board of Directors adopted an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), which allows our eligible employees to purchase shares of common stock, at semi-annual intervals, with their accumulated payroll deductions.

Eligible participants may contribute up to $15 \%$ of cash earnings through payroll deductions, and the accumulated deductions will be applied to the purchase of shares on each semi-annual purchase date. The purchase price per share is equal to $85 \%$ of the fair market value per share on the participant's entry date into the offering period or, if lower, $85 \%$ of the fair market value per share on the semi-annual purchase date.

Upon adoption of the plan, 150,000 shares of common stock were reserved for issuance. This reserve automatically increases on the first trading day in January each year, by an amount equal to $1 \%$ of the total number of outstanding shares of our common stock on the last trading day in December in the prior year. In no event will any annual increase exceed 260,786 shares.

In July 2009, our board of directors amended our ESPP to extend it for a period of ten years beyond its original expiration date of July 31, 2009. Under this amendment, the total shares available for issuance may not increase. As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately 1.8 million shares available for issuance under our ESPP. Total shares of common stock issued pursuant to the ESPP during 2011, 2010 and 2009 were approximately $56,000,48,000$ and 43,000 , respectively.

Savings Plan
During 1999, we implemented a savings plan for all eligible employees, which qualifies under Section $401(\mathrm{k})$ of the Internal Revenue Code. Participating employees may contribute up to $20 \%$ of their pretax salary, but not more than statutory limits. We match $50 \%$ of the first $4 \%$ a participant contributes. We expensed approximately $\$ 276,000$, $\$ 228,000$ and $\$ 233,000$ in 2011, 2010 and 2009, respectively, related to this plan.

## STAMPS.COM INC. AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (continued)

## 12. Legal Proceedings

On October 22, 2004, Kara Technology Incorporated filed suit against us in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, alleging, among other claims, that we infringed certain Kara Technology patents and that we misappropriated trade secrets owned by Kara Technology, most particularly with respect to our NetStamps feature. On October 6, 2010, we entered into the final settlement agreement with Kara Technology Incorporated and Mr. Salim Kara to resolve all outstanding litigation among the parties. Under the terms of the agreement, we made a $\$ 5.1$ million payment for settlement of all claims asserted in the litigation, purchased the patents asserted in the litigation for $\$ 0.4$ million, and granted Mr. Salim Kara options to purchase 35,000 shares of Stamps.com common stock. Mr. Kara also agreed to cooperate with us in the prosecution and enforcement of any patents on which he is named as an inventor, including the patents asserted in the Stamps.com vs. Endicia litigation matters.

On November 22, 2006, we filed a lawsuit against Endicia, Inc. and PSI Systems, Inc. in the United States District Court for the Central District of California for infringement of eleven of our patents covering, among other things, Internet postage technology. We sought an injunction, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. On November 10, 2008, we were required to select fifteen claims (from over six hundred claims available) to be the subject of the trial. On November 9, 2009, the Court granted the summary judgment motion of Endicia, Inc. and PSI Systems, Inc. that the fifteen claims we selected are invalid. On June 15, 2011, the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit affirmed the summary judgment ruling. We do not anticipate any further appeals.

On August 8, 2008, PSI Systems, Inc. filed a lawsuit against us in the same court, alleging that we infringed three PSI Systems patents related to Internet postage technology. PSI Systems seeks an injunction, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. On September 16, 2008, we filed counterclaims for infringement of four more of our patents. In our counterclaim, we seek an injunction, unspecified damages, and attorneys' fees. The Court issued a "Markman order" to determine the meaning of the claims on May 14, 2010.

On March 6, 2012, we entered into binding terms with PSI Systems, Inc. to resolve all outstanding patent litigation among the parties. Under the terms of the agreement, the parties agreed to a patent cross-licensing arrangement for the patents in dispute in (i) the lawsuit filed by Stamps.com against Endicia, Inc. and PSI Systems, Inc. on November 22, 2006 and (ii) the lawsuit filed by PSI Systems, Inc. against Stamps.com Inc. on August 8, 2008, both in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In addition, the parties agreed to a five-year period where each will not sue the other for patent infringement. No payments were made to either party as part of the settlement.

On December 22, 2010, Patent Management Foundation filed suit against us in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California, alleging that we falsely marked certain of our products and advertisements with incorrect or inapplicable patents. On July 6, 2011, the Court granted our motion to dismiss the complaint with leave to amend. On July 20, 2011, the plaintiff voluntarily dismissed all claims in the lawsuit. In addition, on February 22, 2011, Union Properties LLC filed suit against us in the United States District Court for the Western District of Texas, with similar allegations. On August 24, 2011, the Court granted our motion to dismiss the complaint with leave to amend. On September 13, 2011, the plaintiff voluntarily dismissed all claims in the lawsuit.

In 2001, we were named, together with certain of our current and former board members and/or officers, as a defendant in several purported class-action lawsuits, filed in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. The lawsuits alleged violations of the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 in connection with our initial public offering and a secondary offering of our common stock. Plaintiffs sought damages and statutory compensation, including interest, costs and expenses (including attorneys' fees). In October 2009, the
court approved a settlement of this action, which does not require us to make any payments. In January 2012, that settlement became final following the resolution of all appeals.
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We are subject to various other routine legal proceedings and claims incidental to our business, and we do not believe that these proceedings and claims would reasonably be expected to have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

## 13. Subsequent Events

We are not aware of any material subsequent events or transactions that have occurred that would require recognition in the financial statements or disclosure in the notes to the financial statements other than described below.

On January 23, 2012, we completed the previously announced purchase of two adjacent buildings in El Segundo, California for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 13.4$ million that will serve as the Company's future headquarters. We are currently engaged in a renovation and construction project on the property at a cost of approximately $\$ 10.0$ million and expect to move in after substantial completion of the project in 2012 . The Company will occupy a portion of the space, with the remaining portion of the space leased to the existing tenants. The purchase of the property and renovations are being funded out of the Company's existing cash and investments.

On March 6, 2012, we entered into binding terms with PSI Systems, Inc. to resolve all outstanding patent litigation among the parties. Under the terms of the agreement, the parties agreed to a patent cross-licensing arrangement for the patents in dispute in (i) the lawsuit filed by Stamps.com against Endicia, Inc. and PSI Systems, Inc. on November 22, 2006 and (ii) the lawsuit filed by PSI Systems, Inc. against Stamps.com Inc. on August 8, 2008, both in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In addition, the parties agreed to a five-year period where each will not sue the other for patent infringement. No payments were made to either party as part of the settlement.
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## 14. Quarterly Information (Unaudited)

|  | Quarter Ended |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March (in thousa | June except per | share | September <br> data) | December |  |
| Fiscal Year 2011: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | \$22,817 | \$26,630 | (3) | \$24,918 | \$27,220 |  |
| Gross profit | 16,593 | 19,945 |  | 18,596 | 20,239 |  |
| Income from operations | 2,584 | 5,627 |  | 4,434 | 4,583 |  |
| Net income | 2,675 | 5,677 |  | 4,528 | 13,385 |  |
| Net income per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$0.18 | \$0.40 |  | \$0.31 | \$0.85 |  |
| Diluted | \$0.18 | \$0.39 |  | \$0.30 | \$0.81 |  |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 14,484 | 14,321 |  | 14,556 | 15,694 |  |
| Diluted | 14,613 | 14,450 |  | 15,059 | 16,551 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fiscal Year 2010: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Revenues | \$20,989 | \$21,189 |  | \$20,689 | \$22,677 |  |
| Gross profit | 15,175 | 15,365 |  | 15,185 | 16,135 |  |
| Income (loss) from operations | 2,054 | (3,211 | ) (1) | 2,592 | 30 | (2) |
| Net income (loss) | 2,145 | 941 |  | 2,729 | (283 | ) |
| Net income per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$0.14 | \$0.07 |  | \$0.19 | \$ (0.02 | ) |
| Diluted | \$0.14 | \$0.07 |  | \$0.19 | \$(0.02 | ) |
| Weighted average shares outstanding: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | 15,142 | 14,290 |  | 14,280 | 14,417 |  |
| Diluted | 15,272 | 14,450 |  | 14,452 | 14,417 |  |

Quarterly and year-to-date computations of per share amounts are made independently. Therefore, the sum of per share amounts for the quarters may not agree with per share amounts for the year shown elsewhere in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.
(1) In the second quarter of 2010 we incurred a legal settlement totaling $\$ 5.2$ million in connection with our settlement agreement with Kara Technology Incorporated and Mr. Salim Kara to resolve all outstanding litigation among the parties.
(2) During the fourth quarter of 2010 , we completed a $\$ 2.00$ per share special dividend to shareholders. The total amount of cash distributed in the dividend payment was $\$ 28.9$ million based on 14.5 million shares outstanding as of the November 11, 2010 record date. The Company incurred compensation expense of $\$ 3.4$ million in the fourth quarter related to the special dividend as described in Note 2 "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Stock Based Compensation".
(3) During the second quarter of 2011 we recognized $\$ 2.2$ million of retail box breakage revenue, of which $\$ 2.1$ million related to a cumulative catch-up for previously sold and unredeemed PhotoStamps retail boxes originally
recorded as deferred revenue. The retail box breakage revenue recognized was recorded in PhotoStamps revenue.
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Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this Annual Report on Form $10-\mathrm{K}$ to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Los Angeles, State of California, on the 14th day of March 2012.

STAMPS.COM INC.

## By: /s/ KENNETH MCBRIDE Kenneth McBride Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this Report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

| Signature | Title | Date |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| /s/ KENNETH MCBRIDE <br> Kenneth McBride | Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board <br> of Directors <br> (Principal Executive Officer) | March 14, 2012 |
| /s/ KYLE HUEBNER <br> Kyle Huebner | Chief Financial Officer and Co-President <br> (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | March 14, 2012 |
| * Mohan P. Ananda | Director | March 14, 2012 |
| * | Director | March 14, 2012 |
| G. Bradford Jones | Director | March 14, 2012 |
| * |  |  |

