COBIZ FINANCIAL INC Form 10-Q April 26, 2013 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-O

	FORM 10-Q
X	Quarterly Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
	For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013.
0	Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
	For the transitions period from to
	Commission File Number 001-15955

CoBiz Financial Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

COLORADO (State or other jurisdiction of

84-0826324 (I.R.S. Employer

incorporation or organization)

Identification No.)

821 17th Street
Denver, CO
(Address of principal executive offices)

80202 (Zip Code)

(303) 312-3400

(Registrant s telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer x

Non-accelerated filer o (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

There were 40,203,034 shares of the registrant s Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, outstanding at April 24, 2013.

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

<u>Item 1.</u> <u>Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)</u>

<u>Item 2.</u> <u>Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>

<u>Item 3.</u> <u>Ouantitative and Oualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>

<u>Item 4.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u>

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits

SIGNATURES

2

Table of Contents

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

CoBiz Financial Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012

(unaudited)

(in thousands, except share amounts)	March 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
Assets	2010	
Cash and due from banks	\$ 41,249	\$ 43,276
Interest-bearing deposits and federal funds sold	9,261	22,617
Total cash and cash equivalents	50,510	65,893
•		
Investment securities available for sale (cost of \$528,677 and \$541,322, respectively)	545,888	558,169
Investment securities held to maturity (fair value of \$5,472 and \$5,466, respectively)	5,464	5,459
Other investments	8,255	8,037
Total investments	559,607	571,665
Loans - net of allowance for loan losses of \$44,874 and \$46,866, respectively	1,880,932	1,879,566
Intangible assets - net of amortization of \$5,137 and \$4,937, respectively	3,373	3,573
Bank-owned life insurance	42,798	42,473
Premises and equipment - net of depreciation of \$33,991 and \$33,337, respectively	7,099	7,091
Accrued interest receivable	9,206	8,354
Deferred income taxes, net	26,574	31,561
Other real estate owned - net of valuation allowance of \$7,694 and \$8,055, respectively	8,420	10,577
Other assets	31,993	32,888
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 2,620,512	\$ 2,653,641
Liabilities		
Deposits		
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$ 834,337	\$ 859,395
Interest-bearing demand	118,085	118,433
NOW and money market	846,204	866,250
Savings	11,429	24,813
Certificates of deposits	255,049	260,369
Total deposits	2,065,104	2,129,260
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	124,882	127,887
Other short-term borrowings	39,000	
Accrued interest and other liabilities	34,859	46,293
Junior subordinated debentures	72,166	72,166
Subordinated notes payable	20,984	20,984
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2,356,995	2,396,590
Commitments and contingencies		

Shareholders Equity

Preferred, \$.01 par value; 2,000,000 shares authorized; 57,366 issued and outstanding		
(\$57,366 liquidation value)	1	1
Common, \$.01 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 40,197,477 and 39,789,759 issued		
and outstanding, respectively	394	391
Additional paid-in capital	237,717	236,384
Accumulated earnings	19,781	15,437
Accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI), net of income tax of \$3,448 and \$2,966,		
respectively	5,624	4,838
TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY	263,517	257,051
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND EQUITY	\$ 2,620,512	\$ 2,653,641

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CoBiz Financial Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

(unaudited)

tin thousands, except per share amounts) 2013 2012 INTEREST INCOME: Interest and fees on loans \$ 21,731 \$ 21,180 Interest and dividends on investment securities: Taxable securities 4,227 5,313 Nontaxable securities 4 4 4 4 Dividends on securities 6 7 2 Interest on federal funds sold and other 2 <td rowsp<="" th=""></td>	
Interest and fees on loans \$ 21,731 \$ 21,180 Interest and dividends on investment securities: Taxable securities \$ 4,227 \$ 5,313	
Interest and dividends on investment securities: Taxable securities 4,227 5,313 Nontaxable securities 4 Dividends on securities 63 71 Interest on federal funds sold and other 7 Total interest income 7 Total interest income 7 Total interest on deposits 1,289 1,646 Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Taxable securities 4,227 5,313 Nontaxable securities 4 4 Dividends on securities 63 71 Interest on federal funds sold and other 27 26 Total interest income 26,052 26,594 INTEREST EXPENSE: 1,289 1,646 Interest on deposits 1,289 1,486 Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase 94 143 Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Nontaxable securities 4 4 Dividends on securities 63 71 Interest on federal funds sold and other 27 26 Total interest income 26,052 26,594 INTEREST EXPENSE: Interest on deposits 1,289 1,646 Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase 94 143 Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Dividends on securities 63 71 Interest on federal funds sold and other 27 26 Total interest income 26,052 26,594 INTEREST EXPENSE: Interest on deposits 1,289 1,646 Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase 94 143 Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Interest on federal funds sold and other 27 26 Total interest income 26,052 26,594 INTEREST EXPENSE: Interest on deposits 1,289 1,646 Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase 94 143 Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Total interest income 26,052 26,594 INTEREST EXPENSE: Interest on deposits 1,289 1,646 Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase 94 143 Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
INTEREST EXPENSE: Interest on deposits 1,289 1,646 Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase 94 143 Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Interest on deposits 1,289 1,646 Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase 94 143 Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Interest on short-term borrowings and securities sold under agreements to repurchase 94 143 Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Interest on subordinated debentures (includes reclassifications for derivatives from AOCI of \$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
\$534 and \$497, respectively) 1,484 1,502	
Total interest expense 2 867 3 291	
2,007 3,271	
NET INTEREST INCOME BEFORE PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES 23,185 23,303	
Provision for loan losses (1,590) (70)	
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES 24,775 23,373	
NONINTEREST INCOME:	
Service charges 1,328 1,244	
Investment advisory income 1,112 967	
Insurance income 2,510 2,410	
Investment banking income 66 74	
Other income 1,460 2,222	
Total noninterest income 6,476 6,917	
NONINTEREST EXPENSE:	
Salaries and employee benefits 15,232 15,155	
Occupancy expenses, premises and equipment 3,304 3,436	
Amortization of intangibles 200 133	
FDIC and other assessments 438 491	
Other real estate owned and loan workout costs 191 576	
Net other than temporary impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings 255	
Net (gain) loss on securities, other assets and other real estate owned (includes	
reclassifications for available for sale securities from AOCI of (\$11) and \$34, respectively) (81)	
Other expense 3,297 3,167	
Total noninterest expense 22,581 23,411	
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES 8,670 6,879	
Provision for income taxes (includes provision from AOCI reclassification items of \$199	
and \$202, respectively) 2,380	
NET INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS 5,876 4,499	
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS:	
Income from discontinued operations 259 59	
Provision for income taxes 86 18	

Net income from discontinued operations	173	41
NET INCOME	\$ 6,049	\$ 4,540
NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS	\$ 5,535	\$ 3,823
EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE:		
Basic - from continuing operations	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.10
Diluted - from continuing operations	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.10

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CoBiz Financial Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

(unaudited)

		Three months ended March 31,		
(in thousands)	2013			2012
Net income	\$	6,049	\$	4,540
Other comprehensive income items:				
Unrealized gain on available for sale securities		374		4,297
Reclassification for (gain) loss to operations		(11)		34
Change in OTTI-related component of unrealized gain				411
Unrealized gain on derivatives		371		711
Reclassification for loss to operations		534		497
Total other comprehensive income items		1,268		5,950
Income tax provision (benefit):				
Unrealized gain on available for sale securities		142		1,633
Reclassification to operations		(4)		13
Change in OTTI-related component of unrealized gain				156
Unrealized gain on derivatives		141		270
Reclassification to operations		203		189
Total income tax provision		482		2,261
Other comprehensive income, net of tax		786		3,689
Comprehensive income	\$	6,835	\$	8,229

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

CoBiz Financial Inc. and Subsidiaries

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

For the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012

(unaudited)

	For the three months ended March 31.			ıded
(in thousands)	2013		,	2012
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:				
Net income	\$	6,049	\$	4,540
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:				
Net amortization on investment securities		951		797
Depreciation and amortization		953		1,020
Amortization of net loan fees		(257)		(209)
Provision for loan and credit losses		(1,590)		(70)
Stock-based compensation		748		489
Federal Home Loan Bank stock dividend		(6)		(15)
Deferred income taxes		3,338		761
Increase in cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance		(325)		(320)
Supplemental executive retirement plan				181
Net losses on asset valuations and disposals		(81)		453
Other operating activities, net		(595)		(1,609)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:				
Prepaid FDIC insurance		345		417
Accrued interest and other liabilities		(7,457)		(5,706)
Accrued interest receivable		(852)		51
Other assets		(3,760)		6,008
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities		(2,539)		6,788
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:				
Purchases of other investments		(211)		(2)
Proceeds from other investments		703		108
Purchases of investment securities available for sale		(20,454)		(45,787)
Maturities of investment securities available for sale		34,198		40,130
Maturities of investment securities held to maturity		8		7
Restricted cash				(2)
Net proceeds from sale of loans, OREO and repossessed assets		1,817		859
Loan originations and repayments, net		1,192		(43,623)
Purchase of premises and equipment		(762)		(513)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities		16,491		(48,823)
GARLEY ONG ED ON EDVANGING A GENTURED				
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		(50.000)		
Net increase (decrease) in demand, NOW, money market, and savings accounts		(58,836)		4,555
Net decrease in certificates of deposits		(5,320)		(18,997)
Net increase in short-term borrowings		39,000		47,670
Net decrease in securities sold under agreements to repurchase		(3,005)		(9,449)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net		553		11,953
Dividends paid on common stock		(1,191)		(371)
Dividends paid on preferred stock		(664)		(717)
Other financing activities, net		128		(25)

Edgar Filing: COBIZ FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(29,335)	34,619
NET DECREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	(15,383)	(7,416)
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD	65,893	59,210
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD	\$ 50,510	\$ 51,794

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of CoBiz Financial Inc. (Parent), and its subsidiaries: CoBiz Bank (Bank); CoBiz Insurance, Inc.; CoBiz Insurance - Employee Benefits Inc. (CEB); CoBiz GMB, Inc.; and CoBiz IM, Inc. (CoBiz IM); all collectively referred to as the Company or CoBiz, conform to accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and prevailing practices within the banking industry. The Bank operates in its Colorado market areas under the name Colorado Business Bank (CBB) and in its Arizona market areas under the name Arizona Business Bank (ABB).

The Bank is a commercial banking institution with nine locations in the Denver metropolitan area; one in Boulder; two near Vail; and six in the Phoenix metropolitan area. As a state chartered bank, deposits are insured by the Bank Insurance Fund of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (FDIC) and the Bank is subject to supervision, regulation and examination by the Federal Reserve, Colorado Division of Banking and the FDIC. Pursuant to such regulations, the Bank is subject to special restrictions, supervisory requirements and potential enforcement actions. CoBiz Insurance, Inc. provides commercial and personal property and casualty (P&C) insurance brokerage. CEB provides employee benefits consulting to small- and medium-sized business. CoBiz GMB, Inc. provides investment banking services to middle-market companies through its wholly-owned subsidiary, Green Manning & Bunch, Ltd. (GMB). CoBiz IM provides investment management services to institutions and individuals through its subsidiary, CoBiz Investment Management, LLC (CIM).

The following is a summary of certain of the Company s significant accounting and reporting policies.

Basis of Presentation These financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified in their entirety by, the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

The Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting only of normally recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three months ended March 31, 2013, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the full year ending December 31, 2013.

The consolidated financial statements include entities in which the Parent has a controlling financial interest. These entities include; the Bank; CoBiz Insurance, Inc.; CEB; CoBiz GMB, Inc.; and CoBiz IM. Intercompany balances and transactions are eliminated in consolidation. The Company determines whether it has a controlling financial interest in an entity by first evaluating whether the entity is a voting interest entity or a variable interest entity (VIE).

The voting interest model is used when the equity investment is sufficient to absorb the expected losses and the equity investment has all of the characteristics of a controlling financial interest. Under the voting interest model, the party with the controlling voting interest consolidates the legal entity. The VIE model is used when any of the following conditions exist: the equity investment at risk is not sufficient to finance the entity s activities without additional subordinated financial support; the holders of the equity investment do not have a controlling voting interest;

or the holders of the equity investment are not obligated to absorb the expected losses or residual returns of the legal entity. An enterprise is considered to have a controlling financial interest of a VIE if it has both the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact economic performance and the obligation to absorb losses, or receive benefits, that are significant to the VIE. An enterprise that has a controlling financial interest is considered the primary beneficiary and must consolidate the VIE.

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior years consolidated financial statements and related notes to conform to current year presentation including the effects of discontinued operations.

7

Table of Contents

Cash and Cash Equivalents The Company considers all liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents include amounts that the Company is required to maintain at the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City to meet certain regulatory reserve balance requirements. The following table shows supplemental disclosures of certain cash and noncash items:

	For the three months ended March 31,			
(in thousands)	2013		2012	
Cash paid (received) during the period for:				
Interest	\$ 2,686	\$	3,341	
Income taxes	5,398		(5,102)	
Other noncash activities:				
Trade date accounting for investment securities	2,053		43	
Loans transferred to held for sale	1,854			
Loans transferred to OREO and repossessed assets	86			
Financed sales of OREO	1,000			

Restricted Cash Restricted cash consists of cash deposits that are contractually restricted as collateral for outstanding letters of credit. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company had restricted cash of \$4.5 million recorded as assets under the caption Other assets in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Investments The Company classifies its investment securities as held to maturity, available for sale or trading, according to management s intent.

Available for sale securities consist of residential mortgage-backed securities (MBS), bonds, notes and debentures (including corporate debt and trust preferred securities (TPS)) not classified as held to maturity securities and are reported at fair value as determined by quoted market prices. Unrealized holding gains and losses, net of tax, are reported as a net amount in accumulated other comprehensive income until realized.

Investment securities held to maturity consist of MBS, bonds, notes and debentures for which the Company has the positive intent and ability to hold to maturity and are reported at cost, adjusted for amortization or accretion of premiums and discounts.

Premiums and discounts, adjusted for prepayments as applicable, are recognized in interest income. Other than temporary declines in the fair value of individual investment securities held to maturity and available for sale are charged against earnings. Gains and losses on disposal of investment securities are determined using the specific-identification method.

Other-than-temporary-impairment (OTTI) on debt securities is separated between the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and is the difference between a security s amortized cost basis and the discounted present value of expected future cash flows. The amount due to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income (OCI).

Bank Stocks Federal Home Loan Bank of Topeka (FHLB), Federal Reserve Bank and other correspondent bank stocks are accounted for under the cost method.

Loans held for investment Loans that the Company has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or pay-off are reported at their outstanding principal balance adjusted for any charge-offs, the allowance for loan losses, deferred fees and costs on originated loans, and unamortized premiums or discounts on purchased loans. Interest is accrued and credited to income daily based on the principal balance outstanding. The accrual of interest income is generally discontinued when a loan becomes 90 days past due as to principal and interest. When a loan is designated as nonaccrual, the current period s accrued interest receivable is charged against current earnings while any portions relating to prior periods are charged against the allowance for loan losses. Interest payments received on nonaccrual loans are generally applied to the principal balance of the loan. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured and there has been demonstrated performance in accordance with contractual terms. The Company may elect to continue the accrual of interest when the loan is in the process of collection and the realizable value of collateral is sufficient to cover the principal balance and accrued interest.

Table of Contents

Loans Held For Sale Loans held for sale include loans the Company has demonstrated the ability and intent to sell. Loans held for sale are primarily nonperforming loans. Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or fair value and are evaluated on a loan-by-loan basis.

Impaired loans Impaired loans, with the exception of groups of smaller-balance homogenous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment, are defined as loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Loans that experience insignificant payment delays of less than 90 days and monthly payment shortfalls of less than 10% of the contractual payment on a consumer loan generally are not classified as impaired if the Company ultimately expects to recover its full investment. The Company determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis by the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate, the loan s obtainable market price or the fair value of the collateral if the loan is collateral dependent. Loans that are deemed to be impaired are evaluated in accordance with ASC Topic 310-10-35, Receivables Subsequent Measurement (ASC 310) and ASC Topic 450-20, Loss Contingencies (ASC 450).

Included in impaired loans are troubled debt restructurings. A troubled debt restructuring is a formal restructure of a loan where the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower. The concessions may be granted in various forms, including but not limited to reduction in the stated interest rate, reduction in the loan balance or accrued interest, or extension of the maturity date. Troubled debt restructurings are evaluated in accordance with ASC Topic 310-10-40, *Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors*. Interest payments on impaired loans are typically applied to principal unless collectability of principal is reasonably assured. Loans that have been modified in a formal restructuring are typically returned to accrual status when there has been a sustained period of performance (generally six months) under the modified terms, the borrower has shown the ability and willingness to repay and the Company expects to collect all amounts due under the modified terms.

Loan Origination Fees and Costs Loan fees and certain costs of originating loans are deferred and the net amount is amortized over the contractual life of the related loans in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Topic 310-20, *Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs*.

Allowance for Loan Losses The allowance for loan losses (ALL) is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for loan losses charged against earnings. Loan losses are charged against the allowance when management believes the uncollectability of a loan balance is confirmed. Subsequent recoveries, if any, are credited to the allowance.

The ALL is evaluated on a regular basis by management and is based upon management s periodic review of the collectability of the loans in light of historical experience, the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, adverse situations that may affect the borrower s ability to repay, estimated value of any underlying collateral, and prevailing economic conditions. This evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires estimates that are susceptible to significant revision as new information becomes available.

Allowance for Credit Losses The allowance for credit losses is established as losses are estimated to have occurred through a provision for credit losses charged to earnings. The allowance for credit losses represents management s recognition of a separate reserve for off-balance sheet loan commitments and letters of credit. While the allowance for loan losses is recorded as a contra-asset to the loan portfolio on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets, the allowance for credit losses is recorded under the caption Accrued interest and other liabilities . Although the

allowances are presented separately on the balance sheets, any losses incurred from credit losses would be reported as a charge-off in the allowance for loan losses, as any loss would be recorded after the off-balance sheet commitment had been funded.

Derivative Instruments Derivative financial instruments are accounted for at fair value. The Company utilizes interest rate swaps to hedge a portion of its exposure to interest rate changes. These instruments are accounted for as cash flow hedges, as defined by ASC Topic 815, *Derivatives and Hedging* (ASC 815). The net cash flows from these hedges are classified in operating activities within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows with the hedged items. The Company also uses interest rate swaps to hedge against adverse changes in fair value on fixed-rate loans. These instruments are accounted for as fair value hedges in accordance with ASC 815. The Company also has a derivative program that offers interest-rate caps, floors, swaps and collars to customers of the Bank. The fair value amounts recognized for derivative instruments and the fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim or obligation to return cash collateral are offset when represented under a master netting arrangement.

Table of Contents

Fair Value Measurements The Company measures financial assets, financial liabilities, nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities pursuant to ASC Topic 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures* (ASC 820). ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

Income From Discontinued Operations, Net of Income Taxes Income from discontinued operations, net of income taxes for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 include the results of the wealth transfer business and trust. During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company made the decision to close its trust department and sell its wealth transfer business. Both of these divisions were within the Wealth Management segment.

During the fourth quarter of 2012, the Company entered into a transition agreement with another local bank to transfer the fiduciary and custodial accounts of its trust department. The Company will not receive any compensation for the transfer of its trust customers and the disposed assets and liabilities of the trust department were not material. On December 31, 2012, the Company completed the sale of certain assets and liabilities, including all rights to the customer lists of the wealth transfer business.

Operating results associated with the trust and wealth transfer divisions for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are shown in the following table.

	For t	For the three months ended March 31,			
(in thousands)	201	3		2012	
Noninterest income	\$	333	\$	1,294	
Noninterest expense		74		1,235	
Income before income taxes		259		59	
Provision for income taxes		86		18	
Net income from discontinued operations	\$	173	\$	41	

2. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Effective January 2013, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-11, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities (ASU 2011-11) and ASU No. 2013-01, Balance Sheet (Topic 210): Clarifying the scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities (ASU 2013-01). The amendments in ASU 2011-11 require the disclosure of information on offsetting and related arrangements for financial and derivative instruments to enable users of its financial statements to understand the effect of those arrangements on its financial position. ASU 2013-01 clarifies the scope of ASU 2011-11. ASU 2011-11 and ASU 2013-01 are required to be applied retrospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after January 1, 2013. The adoption of ASU Nos. 2011-11 and 2013-01 did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Effective January 2013, the Company adopted ASU No. 2013-02, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (ASU 2013-02). This guidance is the culmination of the FASB s deliberation on reporting reclassification adjustments from accumulated other comprehensive income (AOCI). The amendments in ASU 2013-02 do not change the current requirements for reporting net income or other comprehensive income. However, the amendments require disclosure of amounts reclassified out of AOCI in its entirety, by component, on the face of the statement of operations where net income is presented or in the notes

thereto. Amounts that are not required to be reclassified in their entirety to net income must be cross-referenced to other disclosures that provide additional detail. This standard is effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after January 1, 2013. The adoption of this update did not have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

3. Earnings per Common Share and Dividends Declared per Common Share

Earnings per common share is calculated based on the two-class method prescribed in ASC 260, *Earnings per Share*. The two-class method is an allocation of undistributed earnings to common stock and securities that participate in dividends with common stock. The Company s restricted stock awards are considered participating securities since the recipients receive non-forfeitable dividends on unvested awards. The impact of participating securities is included in common shareholder basic earnings per share for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Earnings per common share from discontinued operations were not material in the presented periods and have been excluded from the following table. Income allocated to common shares and weighted average shares outstanding used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

	Three months ended March 31,		
(in thousands, except share amounts)	2013		2012
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 5,876	\$	4,499
Net income from discontinued operations	173		41
Net income	6,049		4,540
Preferred stock dividends	(514)		(717)
Net income available to common shareholders	5,535		3,823
Dividends and undistributed earnings allocated to participating securities	(93)		(39)
Earnings allocated to common shares (1)	\$ 5,442	\$	3,784
Weighted average common shares - issued	39,912,247		37,526,796
Average unvested restricted share awards	(699,810)		(401,736)
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic	39,212,437		37,125,060
Effect of dilutive stock options and awards outstanding	149,722		28,457
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted	39,362,159		37,153,517
Weighted average antidilutive securities outstanding (2)	1,970,516		3,087,063
Basic earnings per common share - continuing operations	\$ 0.14	\$	0.10
Diluted earnings per common share - continuing operations	\$ 0.14	\$	0.10
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.03	\$	0.01

⁽¹⁾ Earnings allocated to common shareholders for basic EPS under the two-class method may differ from earnings allocated for diluted EPS when use of the treasury method results in greater dilution than the two-class method.

Dividends on the Senior Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C Preferred Stock began accruing at 5.0% when issued in conjunction with the Company s participation in the SBLF during 2011. The dividend rate can fluctuate between 1% and 5% depending on the change in the level of Qualified Small Business Lending (QSBL) as illustrated in following chart. The weighted average Series C Preferred Stock dividend rate applicable for the January 1 to March 31, 2013 payment period was 3.58% based on QSBL through September 30, 2012. Preferred stock dividends for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$0.5 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, as noted in the table above.

⁽²⁾ Antidilutive securities excluded from the diluted earnings per share computation.

Relative increase in QSBL to Baseline	Dividend Rate
Less than 2.5%	5.0%
Between 2.5% and 5.0%	4.0%
Between 5.0% and 7.5%	3.0%
Between 7.5% and 10.0%	2.0%
10.0% or more	1.0%

Table of Contents

4. Investments

The amortized cost and fair values of investment securities are summarized as follows:

(in thousands)	A	mortized	ur	At March Gross realized gains	un	2013 Gross realized losses		Fair value	A	Amortized	un	t Decembe Gross realized gains	uni	2012 Gross realized osses		Fair value
Available for sale		cost		gams		108868		value		cost		gams		USSES		value
securities (AFS):																
Mortgage-backed																
securities	\$	318,633	\$	10,939	\$	19	\$	329,553	\$	347,830	\$	11,372	\$		\$	359,202
U.S. government agencies	Ψ.	2,994	Ψ.	9			Ψ.	3,003	Ψ.	2,994	Ť	26	Ψ.		Ψ.	3,020
Trust preferred securities		94,720		2,899		403		97,216		87,953		2,298		266		89,985
Corporate debt securities		111,404		3,917		143		115,178		101,617		3,636		231		105,022
Municipal securities		926		12				938		928		12				940
Total AFS	\$	528,677	\$	17,776	\$	565	\$	545,888	\$	541,322	\$	17,344	\$	497	\$	558,169
Held to maturity																
securities (HTM):																
Mortgage-backed																
securities	\$	197	\$	8	\$		\$	205	\$	204	\$	7	\$		\$	211
Trust preferred securities		5,267						5,267		5,255						5,255
Total HTM	\$	5,464	\$	8	\$		\$	5,472	\$	5,459	\$	7	\$		\$	5,466

The gain (loss) recognized on securities sold or called are summarized as follows:

	For the t	For the three months ended March 31,							
(in thousands)	2013	2013							
Gains	\$	16	\$	2	228				
Losses		(5)			(7)				

The amortized cost and fair value of investments in debt securities at March 31, 2013, by contractual maturity are shown below. Expected maturities can differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without penalties.

		Availabl	e	Held to maturity				
	Ar	nortized		Fair		Amortized		Fair
(in thousands)		cost		value		cost		value
Due in one year or less	\$	5,036	\$	5,103	\$		\$	
Due after one year through five years		86,117		89,381				
Due after five years through ten years		24,171		24,634				
Due after ten years		94,720		97,217		5,267		5,267
Mortgage-backed securities		318,633		329,553		197		205
	\$	528,677	\$	545,888	\$	5,464	\$	5,472

Investment securities with an approximate fair value of \$131.5 million and \$141.1 million were pledged to secure public deposits of \$108.3 million and \$106.9 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase of \$124.9 million and \$127.9 million at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, consisted primarily of MBS with an estimated fair value of \$140.6 million and \$143.2 million, respectively.

Changes in interest rates and market liquidity may cause adverse fluctuations in the market price of securities resulting in temporary unrealized losses. In reviewing the realizable value of its securities in a loss position, the Company considered the following factors: (1) the length of time and extent to which the market had been less than cost; (2) the financial condition and near-term prospects of the issuer; (3) investment downgrades by rating agencies; and (4) whether it is more

Table of Contents

likely than not that the Company will have to sell the security before a recovery in value. When it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the security, and the fair value of the investment security is less than its amortized cost, an other-than-temporary impairment is recognized in earnings.

For debt securities that are considered other-than temporarily impaired and that the Company does not intend to sell and will not be required to sell prior to recovery of the amortized cost basis, an OTTI is recognized. OTTI is separated into the amount that is credit related (credit loss component) and the amount due to all other factors. The credit loss component is recognized in earnings and is the difference between a security s amortized cost basis and the discounted present value of expected future cash flows. The amount due to all other factors is recognized in other comprehensive income.

There were 13 and 19 securities in the tables below at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, in an unrealized loss position.

					March 3	1, 2013	3				
	Less than 12 months			12 months or greater				Total			
	Fair	U	nrealized		Fair	Un	realized		Fair	Uı	ırealized
(in thousands)	value		loss		value		loss		value		loss
Mortgage-backed securities	\$ 6,217	\$	19	\$		\$		\$	6,217	\$	19
Trust preferred securities	18,790		395		376		8		19,166		403
Corporate debt securities	4,976		62		4,919		81		9,895		143
Total	\$ 29,983	\$	476	\$	5,295	\$	89	\$	35,278	\$	565

				December	r 31, 20	12			
	Less than 1	12 mont	hs	12 months	or grea	ter	Tot	tal	
	Fair	Un	realized	Fair	Un	realized	Fair	Uı	ırealized
(in thousands)	value		loss	value		loss	value		loss
Trust preferred securities	\$ 21,167	\$	253	\$ 371	\$	13	\$ 21,538	\$	266
Corporate debt securities	3,243		28	4,797		203	8,040		231
Total	\$ 24,410	\$	281	\$ 5,168	\$	216	\$ 29,578	\$	497

The credit component of OTTI recognized in earnings is presented as an addition in two parts based upon whether the current period is the first time the debt security was credit impaired or if it is additional credit impairment. The credit loss component is reduced if the Company sells, intends to sell or believes it will be required to sell previously credit impaired debt securities. Additionally, the credit loss component is reduced if the Company receives cash flows in excess of what it expected to receive over the remaining life of the credit impaired debt security or when the security matures.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company did not have any credit impaired securities. The following table presents a roll-forward of the credit loss component of OTTI on debt securities recognized in earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2012.

(in thousands)	For the three months ended March	31, 2012
Beginning balance	\$	2,553
Additions		255
Ending balance	\$	2,808

Table of Contents

Other investments at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, consist of the following:

(in thousands)	N	1arch 31, 2013	mber 31, 2012
Bank stocks at cost	\$	6,083	\$ 5,865
Investment in statutory trusts equity method		2,172	2,172
	\$	8,255	\$ 8,037

Bank stocks consist primarily of stock in the FHLB which is part of the Federal Home Loan Bank System (FHLB System). The purpose of the FHLB investment relates to maintenance of a borrowing base with the FHLB. FHLB stock holdings are largely dependent upon the Company s liquidity position. To the extent the need for wholesale funding increases or decreases, the Company may purchase additional or sell excess FHLB stock, respectively. The Company evaluates impairment in this investment based on the ultimate recoverability of the par value and at March 31, 2013, did not consider the investment to be other-than-temporarily impaired.

5. Loans

The following disclosure reports the Company s loan portfolio segments and classes. Segments are groupings of similar loans at a level which the Company has adopted systematic methods of documentation for determining its allowance for loan and credit losses. Classes are a disaggregation of the portfolio segments. The Company s loan portfolio segments are:

- Commercial loans Commercial loans consist of loans to small and medium-sized businesses in a wide variety of industries. The Bank s areas of emphasis in commercial lending include, but are not limited to, loans to wholesalers, manufacturers, construction and business services companies. Commercial loans are generally collateralized by inventory, accounts receivable, equipment, real estate and other commercial assets, and may be supported by other credit enhancements such as personal guarantees. Risk arises primarily due to a difference between expected and actual cash flows of the borrowers. However, the recoverability of the Company s investment in these loans is also dependent on other factors primarily dictated by the type of collateral securing these loans. The fair value of the collateral securing these loans may fluctuate as market conditions change. In the case of loans secured by accounts receivable, the recovery of the Company s investment is dependent upon the borrowers ability to collect amounts due from its customers.
- Real estate mortgage loans Real estate mortgage loans include various types of loans for which the Company holds real property as collateral. Commercial real estate lending activity is typically restricted to owner-occupied properties or to investor properties that are owned by customers with a current banking relationship. The primary risks of real estate mortgage loans include the borrower s inability to pay, material decreases in the value of the real estate that is being held as collateral and significant increases in interest rates, which may make the real estate mortgage loan unprofitable. Real estate loans may be more adversely affected by conditions in the real estate markets or in the general economy.
- Land acquisition and development loans The Company has a portfolio of loans for the acquisition and future development of land for residential building projects, as well as finished lots prepared to enter the construction phase. Due to overall market illiquidity and the significant value declines on raw land, the Company has ceased new lending activities for the acquisition and future development of land. The primary risks include the borrower s inability to pay and the inability of the Company to recover its investment due to a decline in the fair value

of the underlying collateral.

• Real estate construction loans The Company originates loans to finance construction projects including one- to four-family residences, multifamily residences, senior housing, and industrial projects. Residential construction loans are due upon the sale of the completed project and are generally collateralized by first liens on the real estate and have floating interest rates. Construction loans are considered to have higher risks due to the ultimate repayment being sensitive to interest rate changes, governmental regulation of real property and the availability of long-term financing. Additionally, economic conditions may impact the Company s ability to recover its investment in construction loans. Adverse economic conditions may negatively impact the real estate market which could affect the borrowers ability to complete and sell the project. Additionally, the fair value of the underlying collateral may fluctuate as market conditions change.

14

Table of Contents

- Consumer loans The Company provides a broad range of consumer loans to customers, including personal lines of credit, home equity loans, jumbo mortgage loans and automobile loans. Repayment of these loans is dependent on the borrowers ability to pay and the fair value of the underlying collateral.
- Other loans Other loans include lending products, such as taxable and tax-exempt leasing, not defined as commercial, real estate, acquisition and development, construction loans or consumer.

The loan portfolio segments at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

(in thousands)	I	March 31, 2013	I	December 31, 2012
Commercial	\$	742,958	\$	730,213
Real estate - mortgage		889,846		881,155
Land acquisition & development		38,045		53,566
Real estate - construction		46,905		67,591
Consumer		158,025		149,707
Other		51,254		45,519
Loans held for investment		1,927,033		1,927,751
Allowance for loan losses		(44,874)		(46,866)
Unearned net loan fees		(1,227)		(1,319)
Total net loans	\$	1,880,932	\$	1,879,566

The Company uses qualifying loans as collateral for advances and a line of credit from the FHLB. The FHLB line of credit, which had a \$39.0 million balance outstanding at March 31, 2013, was collateralized by loans of \$761.3 million with a lending value of \$444.7 million.

The Company maintains a loan review program independent of the lending function that is designed to reduce and control risk in lending. It includes the continuous monitoring of lending activities with respect to underwriting and processing new loans, preventing insider abuse and timely follow-up and corrective action for loans showing signs of deterioration in quality. The Company also has a systematic process to evaluate individual loans and pools of loans within our loan portfolio. The Company maintains a loan grading system whereby each loan is assigned a grade between 1 and 8, with 1 representing the highest quality credit, 7 representing a nonaccrual loan where collection or liquidation in full is highly questionable and improbable, and 8 representing a loss that has been or will be charged-off. Grades are assigned based upon the degree of risk associated with repayment of a loan in the normal course of business pursuant to the original terms. Loans that are graded 5 or better are categorized as non-classified credits while loans graded 6 or worse are categorized as classified credits. Loan grade changes are evaluated on a monthly basis. Loans above a certain dollar amount that are adversely graded are reported to the Special Assets Group Manager and the Chief Credit Officer along with current financial information, a collateral analysis and an action plan.

Table of Contents

The loan portfolio showing total non-classified and classified balances by loan class at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is summarized below:

(in thousands) Commercial		Non-classified	At	March 31, 2013 Classified		Total
Manufacturing	\$	81,135	\$	9,771	\$	90,906
Finance and insurance	Ψ	75,246	Ψ	728	Ψ	75,974
Healthcare		99,082		699		99,781
Real estate services		86,265		2,171		88,436
Construction		48,661		3,646		52,307
Wholesale and retail trade		83,753		1,831		85,584
Other		246,276		3,694		249,970
		720,418		22,540		742,958
Real estate - mortgage						
Residential & commercial owner-occupied		414,081		28,378		442,459
Residential & commercial investor		438,302		9,085		447,387
		852,383		37,463		889,846
Land acquisition & development		32,298		5,747		38,045
Real estate - construction						
Residential & commercial owner-occupied		6,779				6,779
Residential & commercial investor		39,860		266		40,126
		46,639		266		46,905
Consumer		156,364		1,661		158,025
Other		51,254				51,254
Total loans held for investment	\$	1,859,356	\$	67,677	\$	1,927,033
Unearned net loan fees						(1,227)
Net loans held for investment					\$	1,925,806

Table of Contents

(in thousands)	N	on-classified	ember 31, 2012 Classified	Total
Commercial				
Manufacturing	\$	80,219	\$ 8,037	\$ 88,256
Finance and insurance		77,129	796	77,925
Healthcare		96,057	294	96,351
Real estate services		82,432	8,107	90,539
Construction		50,599	4,619	55,218
Wholesale and retail trade		81,823	1,368	83,191
Other		235,490	3,243	238,733
		703,749	26,464	730,213
Real estate - mortgage				
Residential & commercial owner-occupied		413,395	20,886	434,281
Residential & commercial investor		428,684	17,840	446,524
Other		350		350
		842,429	38,726	881,155
Land acquisition & development		39,416	14,150	53,566
Real estate - construction				
Residential & commercial owner-occupied		29,841		29,841
Residential & commercial investor		37,479	271	37,750
		67,320	271	67,591
Consumer		148,113	1,594	149,707
Other		45,519		45,519
Total loans held for investment	\$	1,846,546	\$ 81,205	\$ 1,927,751
Unearned net loan fees				(1,319)
Net loans held for investment				\$ 1,926,432

Table of Contents

Transactions in the allowance for loan losses by segment for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are summarized below:

(in thousands)	For 2	ns ended M	led March 31, 2012		
Allowance for loan losses, beginning of period					
Commercial	\$	13,448	\$	14,048	
Real estate - mortgage		17,832		19,889	
Land acquisition & development		9,075		11,013	
Real estate - construction		818		2,746	
Consumer		3,061		4,837	
Other		451		551	
Unallocated		2,181		2,545	
Total		46,866		55,629	
Provision					
Commercial	\$	(339)	\$	(1,042)	
Real estate - mortgage		1,477		412	
Land acquisition & development		(2,616)		1,855	
Real estate - construction		(275)		(133)	
Consumer		65		(1,240)	
Other		68		34	
Unallocated		30		44	
Total		(1,590)		(70)	
Charge-offs					
Commercial	\$	(47)	\$	(507)	
Real estate - mortgage		(147)		(15)	
Land acquisition & development		(620)		(2,159)	
Consumer		(8)		(621)	
Other		(022)		(10)	
Total		(822)		(3,312)	
Recoveries	•	4.50			
Commercial	\$	158	\$	66	
Real estate - mortgage		55		108	
Land acquisition & development		165 23		344	
Real estate - construction Consumer		19		13	
Total		420		531	
Allowers for long long, and of mailed					
Allowance for loan losses, end of period Commercial	\$	12 220	¢	12,565	
	\$	13,220 19,217	\$		
Real estate - mortgage Land acquisition & development		6,004		20,394 11,053	
Real estate - construction		566		2,613	
Consumer		3,137		2,989	
Other		519		2,989 575	
Unallocated		2,211		2,589	
Total	\$	44,874	\$	52,778	
10	Ψ	11,077	Ψ	32,110	

The Company estimates the ALL in accordance with ASC 310 for purposes of evaluating loan impairment on a loan-by-loan basis and ASC 450 for purposes of collectively evaluating loan impairment by grouping loans with common risk characteristics (i.e. risk classification, past-due status, type of loan, and collateral). The ALL is comprised of the following components:

Table of Contents

• Specific Reserves The Company continuously evaluates its reserve for loan losses to maintain an adequate level to absorb loan losses incurred in the loan portfolio. Reserves on loans identified as impaired, including troubled debt restructurings, are based on discounted expected cash flows using the loan s initial effective interest rate, the observable market value of the loan or the fair value of the collateral for certain collateral-dependent loans. The fair value of the collateral is determined in accordance with ASC 820. Loans are considered to be impaired in accordance with the provisions of ASC 310, when it is probable that all amounts due in accordance with the contractual terms will not be collected. Factors contributing to the determination of specific reserves include the financial condition of the borrower, changes in the value of pledged collateral and general economic conditions. Troubled debt restructurings meet the definition of an impaired loan under ASC 310 and therefore, troubled debt restructurings are subject to impairment evaluation on a loan-by-loan basis.

For collateral dependent loans that have been specifically identified as impaired, the Company measures fair value based on third-party appraisals, adjusted for estimated costs to sell the property. Upon impairment, the Company will obtain a new appraisal if one had not been previously obtained in the last 6-12 months. For credits over \$2.0 million, the Company engages an additional third-party appraiser to review the appraisal. For credits under \$2.0 million, the Company s internal appraisal department reviews the appraisal. All appraisals are reviewed for reasonableness based on recent sales transactions that may have occurred subsequent to or right at the time of the appraisal. Based on this analysis the appraised value may be adjusted downward if there is evidence that the appraised value may not be indicative of fair value. Each appraisal is updated on an annual basis, either through a new appraisal or through the Company s comprehensive internal review process.

Values are reviewed and monitored internally and fair value is re-assessed at least quarterly or more frequently when events or circumstances occur that indicate a change in fair value. It has been the Company s experience that appraisals quickly become outdated due to the volatile real estate environment. As such, fair value based on property appraisals may be adjusted to reflect estimated declines in the fair value of properties since the time the last appraisal was performed.

- General Reserves General reserves are considered part of the allocated portion of the allowance. The Company uses a comprehensive loan grading process for our loan portfolios. Based on this process, a loss factor is assigned to each pool of graded loans. A combination of loss experience and external loss data is used in determining the appropriate loss factor. This estimate represents the probable incurred losses within the portfolio. In evaluating the adequacy of the ALL, management considers historical losses (Migration), as well as other factors including changes in:
- Lending policies and procedures
- National and local economic and business conditions and developments
- Nature and volume of portfolio
- Trends of the volume and severity of past-due and classified loans
- Trends in the volume of nonaccrual loans, troubled debt restructurings, and other loan modifications
- Credit concentrations

Troubled debt restructurings have a direct impact on the allowance to the extent a loss has been recognized in relation to the loan modified. This is consistent with the Company s consideration of Migration in determining general reserves.

The aforementioned factors enable management to recognize environmental conditions contributing to incurred losses in the portfolio, which have not yet manifested in Migration. Due to current and recent adverse economic conditions resulting in increased loan loss levels for the Company, management relies more heavily on actual empirical charge-off history. Management believes Migration history adequately captures a great percentage of probable incurred losses within the portfolio.

In addition to the allocated reserve for graded loans, a portion of the allowance is determined by segmenting the portfolio into product groupings with similar risk characteristics. Part of the segmentation involves assigning increased reserve factors to those lending activities deemed higher-risk such as leverage-financings, unsecured loans, certain loans lacking personal guarantees, and land acquisition and development loans.

• **Unallocated Reserves** The unallocated reserve, which is judgmentally determined, is maintained to recognize the imprecision in estimating and measuring loss when evaluating reserves for individual loans or pools of loans. The unallocated reserve consists of a missed grade component that is intended to capture the inherent risk that certain loans may be assigned an incorrect loan grade.

Table of Contents

In assessing the reasonableness of management s assumptions, consideration is given to select peer ratios, industry standards and directional consistency of the ALL. Ratio analysis highlights divergent trends in the relationship of the ALL to nonaccrual loans, to total loans and to historical charge-offs. Although these comparisons can be helpful as a supplement to assess reasonableness of management assumptions, they are not, by themselves, sufficient basis for determining the adequacy of the ALL. While management utilizes its best judgment and information available, the ultimate adequacy of the allowance is dependent upon a variety of factors beyond the Company s control, including the performance of our loan portfolio, the economy, changes in interest rates and the view of the regulatory authorities toward loan classifications.

The following table summarizes loans held for investment and the allowance for loan and credit losses on the basis of the impairment method:

At March 31, 2013										At December 31, 2012								
	Individually evaluated for impairment					Collectively evaluated for impairment				Individually evaluated for impairment				Collectively evaluated for impairment				
	Loans held for		Allowance for loan		Loans held for		Allowance for loan		Loans held for		Allowance for loan		Loans held for		Allowance for loan			
(in thousands)	investment		losses		investment		losses		investment		losses		investment		losses			
Commercial	\$	20,125	\$	2,392	\$	722,114	\$	10,828	\$	21,329	\$	3,004	\$	708,113	\$	10,444		
Real estate -																		
mortgage		39,243		6,592		849,928		12,625		35,816		4,348		844,561		13,484		
Land acquisition &																		
development		8,417		2,182		29,637		3,822		13,682		3,809		39,880		5,266		
Real estate -																		
construction		2,180		84		44,166		482		2,695		117		64,327		701		
Consumer		1,527		785		156,446		2,352		1,472		711		148,166		2,350		
Other						52,023		519						46,391		451		
Unallocated								2,211								2,181		
Total	\$	71.492	\$	12.035	\$	1.854.314	\$	32.839	\$	74.994	\$	11.989	\$	1.851.438	\$	34.877		

Table of Contents

Information on impaired loans at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is reported in the following tables:

(in thousands)		Unpaid principal balance		Recorded investment in impaired loans(1)		March 31, 2013 Recorded vestment with a related allowance	Recorded investment with no related allowance			Related allowance		
Commercial												
Manufacturing	\$	5,301	\$	5,273	\$	5,162	\$	111	\$	520		
Finance and insurance		728		728		728				141		
Healthcare		280		280		280				32		
Real estate services		8,325		8,325		8,325				570		
Construction		2,937		2,905		2,454		451		673		
Wholesale and retail trade		1,439		452		60		392		60		
Other		2,162		2,162		1,146		1,016		396		
		21,172		20,125		18,155		1,970		2,392		
Real estate - mortgage												
Residential & commercial												
owner-occupied		16,862		16,848		12,225		4,623		3,279		
Residential & commercial investor		13,192		13,085		12,816		269		3,017		
		30,054		29,933		25,041		4,892		6,296		
Land acquisition & development		9,967		8,417		7,156		1,261		2,183		
1		ĺ		ĺ		,		,		,		
Real estate - construction												
Residential & commercial investor		352		266		266				10		
Consumer		1,527		1,527		1,527				785		
Total	\$	63,072	\$	60,268	\$	52,145	\$	8,123	\$	11,666		
		,		,		, -	•	, -		,		
				21								
				21								

Table of Contents

(in thousands) Commercial	Unpaid principal balance	Recorded investment in impaired loans(1)	ecember 31, 2012 Recorded investment with a related allowance	ii wit	Recorded nvestment h no related allowance	Related allowance
Manufacturing	\$ 5,457	\$ 5,429	\$ 5,304	\$	125	\$ 593
Finance and insurance	796	796	796			160
Healthcare	288	288	288			44
Real estate services	7,654	7,654	7,654			896
Construction	4,075	4,042	3,688		354	850
Wholesale and retail trade	1,618	426	46		380	33
Other	3,071	2,694	1,404		1,290	428
	22,959	21,329	19,180		2,149	3,004
Real estate - mortgage						
Residential & commercial						
owner-occupied	12,260	10,993	5,904		5,089	1,467
Residential & commercial investor	15,251	15,251	14,788		463	2,531
	27,511	26,244	20,692		5,552	3,998
Land acquisition & development	15,550	13,682	11,831		1,851	3,809
Real estate - construction						
Residential & commercial investor	358	271	271			15
Consumer	1,472	1,472	1,470		2	711
Total	\$ 67,850	\$ 62,998	\$ 53,444	\$	9,554	\$ 11,537

⁽¹⁾ Recorded investment in impaired loans in this table does not agree to loans individually evaluated for impairment disclosed in the previous table due to certain loans being excluded pursuant to ASC 310-40-50-2.

Table of Contents

	For the three months ended March 31,									
		20	013			2012				
(n.4h	Average recorded		1	Interest income		Average recorded	Interest income			
(in thousands) Commercial		investment		recognized		investment		recognized		
	\$	5 227	\$	73	\$	4,073	\$	48		
Manufacturing Finance and insurance	Ф	5,327 767	Ф	8	Ф	613	Ф	5		
Healthcare		281		3		013		3		
Real estate services						5 707		(0		
		7,862		73		5,707		60		
Construction		3,538		29		1,511		8		
Wholesale and retail trade		440		9		789		1.4		
Other		2,335		10		2,217		14		
		20,550		205		14,910		135		
Real estate - mortgage										
Residential & commercial owner-occupied		13,393		69		7,827		24		
Residential & commercial investor		14,702		87		9,081		57		
		28,095		156		16,908		81		
Land acquisition & development		11,432		70		9,385		31		
Real estate - construction										
Residential & commercial investor		268				7,605		11		
Consumer		1,488		10		1,395				
Total	\$	61,833	\$	441	\$	50,203	\$	258		

Interest income of \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million recognized on impaired loans during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, represents primarily interest earned on troubled debt restructurings that meet the definition of an impaired loan pursuant to ASU 310-10-35-16 and are subject to disclosure requirement under ASU 310-10-50-15.

The table below summarizes transactions as it relates to troubled debt restructurings during the three months ended March 31, 2013:

(in thousands)	Performing	Nonperforming	Total
Beginning balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 43,321	\$ 11,206	\$ 54,527
New restructurings (1)	3,791	1,995	5,786
Change in accrual status	(9,008)	9,008	
Paydowns	(6,485)	(3,771)	(10,256)
Charge-offs Charge-offs		(683)	(683)
Ending balance at March 31, 2013	\$ 31,619	\$ 17,755	\$ 49,374

⁽¹⁾ Includes certain loans that were restructured and no longer subject to disclosure requirements in prior years. However, these troubled debt restructurings were subject to disclosure requirements at March 31, 2013 due to noncompliance with modified terms.

The below table provides information regarding troubled debt restructurings that occurred during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012. Pre-modification outstanding recorded investment reflects the Company s recorded investment immediately before the modification. Post-modification outstanding recorded investment represents the Company s recorded investment at the end of the reporting period. The table below does not include loans restructured and paid-off during the periods presented.

Table of Contents

	For th	e three	months ended Pre-	March	For the three months ended March 31, 2012 Pre-						
(in thousands)	Number of contracts	01	odification ıtstanding recorded ıvestment		st-modification outstanding recorded investment	Number of contracts	01	odification utstanding recorded nvestment		st-modification outstanding recorded investment	
Commercial	5	\$	893	\$	892	16	\$	9,417	\$	8,457	
Real estate -											
mortgage	6		5,015		4,894	2		679		677	
Land acquisition &											
development						2		1,126		1,098	
Real estate -											
construction						1		1,078		1,070	
Consumer						2		161		160	
	11	\$	5,908	\$	5,786	23	\$	12,461	\$	11,462	

Troubled debt restructurings during the three months ended March 31, 2013 resulted primarily from the extension of repayment terms and interest rate reductions. The Company had no charge-offs in conjunction with loans restructured during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Loans modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous twelve months having a payment default during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 are included below:

	Marc	h 31, 201	3	March 31, 2012			
Troubled debt restructurings that subsequently defaulted	Number of		Recorded	Number of		Recorded	
(\$ in thousands)	contracts	j	investment	contracts		investment	
Commercial							
Real estate services	1	\$	395		\$		
Real estate - mortgage							
Residential & commercial owner-occupied				2		3,163	
Total	1	\$	395	2	\$	3,163	

At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 there were \$1.0 million and \$0.1 million in outstanding commitments on restructured loans, respectively.

Table of Contents

The Company s nonaccrual loans by class at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012 are reported in the following table:

137 76	\$	ecember 31, 2012
76	\$	121
76	\$	121
, -		131
		79
5		
1,029		892
452		426
1,584		1,796
3,283		3,324
14,663		10,028
6,275		751
20,938		10,779
3,450		4,655
266		271
713		648
1,770		
30,420	\$	19,677
	14,663 6,275 20,938 3,450 266 713 1,770	5 1,029 452 1,584 3,283 14,663 6,275 20,938 3,450 266 713 1,770

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the aging of the Company s loan portfolio at March 31, 2013. Recorded investment in loans 90 days or more past due and accruing was immaterial at December 31, 2012.

At	March	L 31.	2013

(in thousands)	30 - 59 Days past due	60 - 89 Days past due	90+ Days past due	Total past due	Current	Total loans	investment in loans 90 days or more past due and accruing
Commercial	440		Pust due		Current	10001	una ucci umg
Manufacturing	\$	\$	\$ 6	\$ 6	\$ 90,900	\$ 90,906	\$
Finance and	Ψ		<u> </u>	<u> </u>	Ψ ,,,,,,	φ ,,,,,,	Ψ
insurance	125			125	75,849	75,974	
Healthcare	343			343	99,438	99,781	
Real estate services					88,436	88,436	
Construction	459	150	395	1,004	51,303	52,307	
Wholesale and retail				-,,,,	2 2,2 22	,	
trade	67		64	131	85,453	85,584	
Other	1,570	63	656	2,289	247,681	249,970	168
- IIII	2,564	213	1,121	3,898	739,060	742,958	168
Real estate - mortgage	2,501	213	1,121	3,070	733,000	7 12,750	100
Residential & commercial							
owner-occupied			2,937	2,937	439,522	442,459	
Residential &							
commercial investor			6,514	6,514	440,873	447,387	239
			9,451	9,451	880,395	889,846	239
Land acquisition &							
development	1,227		1,275	2,502	35,543	38,045	
Real estate - construction Residential &							
commercial owner-occupied					6,779	6,779	
Residential &					0,779	0,779	
commercial investor			266	266	39,860	40,126	
commercial investor			266	266	46,639	46,905	
			200	200	40,037	+0,703	
Consumer	103	35	453	591	157,434	158,025	
Other	91	33	733	91	51,163	51,254	
Total loans held for	71			71	31,103	31,231	
investment	\$ 3,985	\$ 248	\$ 12,566	\$ 16,799	\$ 1,910,234	\$ 1,927,033	\$ 407
Unearned net loan fees	Ψ 3,703	Ψ 210	Ψ 12,300	Ψ 10,777	Ψ 1,510,231	(1,227)	Ψ 107
Net loans held for investment						\$ 1,925,806	

Recorded

m	. 1		c	\sim			
Tal	hl	e	Ωt	(:(าท	te	nts

6. Derivatives

ASC 815 contains the authoritative guidance on accounting and reporting standards for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts, and hedging activities. As required by ASC 815, the Company records all derivatives on the consolidated balance sheets at fair value.

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its assets and liabilities and the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company enters into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and unknown cash amounts, the value of which are determined by interest rates. The Company s derivative financial instruments are used to manage differences in the amount, timing, and duration of the Company s known or expected cash receipts and its known or expected cash payments principally related to certain variable-rate loan assets and variable-rate borrowings. The Company also enters into derivative financial instruments to protect against adverse changes in fair value on fixed-rate loans.

The Company s objective in using derivatives is to minimize the impact of interest rate fluctuations on the Company s interest expense. To accomplish this objective, the Company uses interest-rate swaps as part of its cash flow hedging strategy. The Company also offers an interest-rate hedge program that includes derivative products such as swaps, caps, floors and collars to assist its customers in managing their interest-rate risk profile. In order to eliminate the interest-rate risk associated with offering these products, the Company enters into derivative contracts with third parties to offset the customer contracts. These customer accommodation interest rate swap contracts are not designated as hedging instruments.

The Company has agreements with its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Company defaults on any of its indebtedness, including default where repayment of the indebtedness has not been accelerated by the lender, then the Company could also be declared in default on its derivative obligations. Also, the Company has agreements with certain of its derivative counterparties that contain a provision where if the Bank fails to maintain its status as a well or adequately capitalized institution, then the counterparty could terminate the derivative positions and the Company would be required to settle its obligations under the agreements.

At March 31, 2013, the fair value of derivatives in a net liability position, including accrued interest but excluding any adjustment for nonperformance risk, related to these agreements was \$16.8 million. The Company has minimum collateral posting thresholds with certain of its derivative counterparties and has posted collateral of \$25.2 million against its obligations under these agreements. At March 31, 2013, the Company was not in default with any of its debt or capitalization covenants.

The table below presents the fair value of the Company s derivative financial instruments as well as the classification within the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Asset derivatives Fair value at Liability derivatives
Fair value at

(in thousands)	Balance sheet classification	arch 31, 2013		ember 31, 2012	Balance sheet classification	March 31, 2013		December 31, 2012	
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:									
Cash flow hedge interest rate swap	Other assets	\$	\$		Accrued interest	\$	8,139	\$	9,044
Fair value hedge interest rate swap	Other assets	\$ 348	\$	131	and other liabilities	\$	63	\$	
Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815:					Accrued interest				
Interest rate swap	Other assets	\$ 7,882	\$	8,930	and other liabilities	\$	8,255	\$	9,352
			27						

Table of Contents

The tables below include information required by ASU No. 2011-11 *Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities*, about derivative instruments that are offset in accordance with ASC 815-10-45 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement.

(in thousands) Derivatives designated as	Gross amounts of recognized assets	At March 31, 2013 Gross amounts offset	Net amounts included in other assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	At I Gross amounts of recognized assets	Gross amounts offset	Net amounts included in other assets in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets
hedging instruments under ASC						
815: Fair value hedge - interest rate						
swap	\$ 348	\$ (348)	\$ \$	131	\$	131
Gross amounts of recognized (in thousands) liabilities	At March 3 Gross amounts offset	Net amounts included in accrued interest and other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance	Gross amounts of recognize ollateral liabilitie	; Gross d amounts	mber 31, 2012 Net amounts included in accrued interest and other liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets	Collateral
Derivatives designated as hedging instruments under ASC	offset	Sneets C	onaterai nadintie	s offset	Sneets	Collateral
815: \$ (8,202) Derivatives not designated as hedging instruments under ASC 815: (8,508)	\$ 348 \$	· · · · · ·	12,476 \$ (9,1 12,730 (9,5		\$ (9,044)	
815: (8,508) Total \$ (16,710)	\$ 348 \$	(8,508) (16,362) \$	12,730 (9,5 25,206 \$ (18,7	,	(9,595) \$ (18,639)	13,739 \$ 27,439

Cash Flow Hedges of Interest Rate Risk For hedges of the Company s variable-rate borrowings, interest-rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments. The Company has executed a series of interest-rate swap transactions in order to fix the effective interest rate for payments due on its junior subordinated debentures with the objective of reducing the Company s exposure to adverse changes in cash flows relating to payments on its LIBOR-based floating rate debt. The swaps have contractual lives ranging between five and 14 years. Select critical terms of the cash flow hedges are as follows:

(in thousands)	Notional	Fixed rate	Termination date
Hedged item - Junior subordinated debentures issued by:			
CoBiz Statutory Trust I	\$ 20,000	6.04%	March 17, 2015
CoBiz Capital Trust II	\$ 30,000	5.99%	April 23, 2020
CoBiz Capital Trust III	\$ 20,000	5.02%	March 30, 2024

Based on the Company s ongoing assessments (including at inception of the hedging relationship), it is probable that there will be sufficient variable interest payments through the maturity date of the swaps. The Company also monitors the risk of counterparty default on an ongoing basis. The Company uses the Hypothetical Derivative method described in Statement 133 Implementation Issue No. G7, *Cash Flow Hedges: Measuring the Ineffectiveness for a Cash Flow Hedge under Paragraph 30(b) When the Shortcut Method Is Not Applied*, for both prospective and retrospective assessments of

Table of Contents

hedge effectiveness on a quarterly basis. The Company also uses this methodology to measure hedge ineffectiveness each period. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. These derivatives are used to hedge the variable cash outflows associated with its junior subordinated debentures. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the derivatives is recognized directly in earnings. The Company s derivatives did not have any hedge ineffectiveness recognized in earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Amounts reported in accumulated other comprehensive income related to derivatives will be reclassified to interest expense as interest payments are received/made on the Company s variable-rate liabilities. During the next 12 months, the Company estimates that \$2.1 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense.

The following table reports the beginning and ending balance of AOCI relating to derivatives designated as hedging transactions and the associated periodic change, net of reclassifications to earnings and the effect of income taxes for the periods shown.

	Cash flow hedge component of AOCI Three months ended March 31,									
(in thousands)	20	13		2012						
Beginning of period balance	\$	(5,607)	\$		(5,275)					
Net change		561			749					
End of period balance	\$	(5,046)	\$		(4,526)					

Fair Value Hedges of Fixed-Rate Assets The Company is exposed to changes in the fair value of certain of its fixed-rate assets due to changes in benchmark interest rates based on LIBOR. The Company uses interest rate swaps to manage its exposure to changes in fair value on certain fixed rate loans. Interest rate swaps designated as fair value hedges involve the receipt of variable rate payments from a counterparty in exchange for the Company s fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without the exchange of the underlying notional amount. The Company performs ongoing retrospective and prospective effectiveness assessments (including at inception) using a regression analysis to compare periodic changes in fair value of the swaps to periodic changes in fair value of the fixed rate loans attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate. At March 31, 2013, the Company had three interest rate swaps with a notional amount of \$19.7 million used to hedge the change in the fair value of three commercial loans. For derivatives that are designated and qualify as fair value hedges, the gain or loss on the derivative as well as the gain or loss on the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. The net amount recognized in noninterest expense during the three months ended March 31, 2013, representing hedge ineffectiveness, was immaterial.

Non-designated Hedges Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and result from a service the Company provides to its customers. The Company executes interest-rate swaps with commercial banking customers to facilitate their respective risk management strategies. Those interest-rate swaps are simultaneously hedged by offsetting interest-rate swaps that the Company executes with a third party, such that the Company minimizes its net risk exposure resulting from such transactions. As the interest-rate swaps associated with this program do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements, changes in the fair value of both the customer swaps and the offsetting swaps are recognized directly in earnings. At March 31, 2013, the Company had 116 interest-rate swaps with an aggregate notional amount of \$296.4 million related to this program. Gains and losses arising from changes in the fair value of these swaps are included in Other income in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and were immaterial for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

7. Employee benefit and stock compensation plans

Stock Options and Awards - During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recognized compensation expense (net of estimated forfeitures) of \$0.8 million for share-based compensation awards for which the requisite service was rendered in the period compared to \$0.5 million in the prior year period. Estimated forfeitures are periodically evaluated based on historical and expected forfeiture behavior.

The following table summarizes changes in option awards during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

		Weighted average exercise
	Shares	price
Outstanding at December 31, 2012	1,795,928	\$ 12.42
Granted	2,000	7.57
Exercised	(73,118)	6.23
Forfeited	(153,684)	14.11
Outstanding at March 31, 2013	1,571,126	\$ 12.54
Exercisable at March 31, 2013	1,413,348	\$ 13.17

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2013 was \$3.10 per share.

The following table summarizes changes in stock awards for the three months ended March 31, 2013.

	Shares	Weighted average grant date fair value
Unvested at December 31, 2012		\$ 5.93
Granted	330,203	8.46
Vested	(209,098)	5.96
Forfeited	(8,750)	7.36
Unvested at March 31, 2013	787,709	\$ 6.97

At March 31, 2013, there was \$4.9 million of total unrecognized compensation expense related to unvested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the Company s equity incentive plans. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.6 years.

8. Segments

The Company s segments consist of Commercial Banking, Investment Banking, Wealth Management, Insurance and Corporate Support and Other.

The Wealth Management segment has historically included the operations of the trust department, CIM and CEB s wealth transfer business line. As discussed in Note 1, the Company exited the trust and wealth transfer business lines at December 31, 2012.

30

Table of Contents

The financial information for each business segment reflects that information which is specifically identifiable or which is allocated based on an internal allocation method. Results of operations by operating segment are as follows:

	Three months ended March 31, 2013										
Income Statement (in thousands)	 mmercial Banking		nvestment Banking		Wealth nagement		Insurance		Corporate apport and Other	Con	solidated
Total interest income	\$ 25,958	\$	1	\$	1	\$	1	\$	91	\$	26,052
Total interest expense	1,424				10		5		1,428		2,867
Provision for loan losses	(1,031)								(559)		(1,590)
Noninterest income	2,681		66		1,112		2,510		107		6,476
Noninterest expense	8,215		845		1,081		2,493		9,947		22,581
Management fees and											
allocations	5,477		40		105		116		(5,738)		
Provision (benefit) for income											
taxes	7,149		(314)		15		79		(4,135)		2,794
Net income (loss) from											
continuing operations	7,405		(504)		(98)		(182)		(745)		5,876
Net income from discontinued											
operations					173						173
Net income (loss)	\$ 7,405	\$	(504)	\$	75	\$	(182)	\$	(745)	\$	6,049

				Three mon	nths end	ed Maı	ch 31, 2012			
Income Statement (in thousands)	Commercial Banking]	Investment Banking	Weal Manage		In	surance	orporate pport and Other	Coi	nsolidated
Total interest income	\$ 26,476	\$	3	\$		\$		\$ 115	\$	26,594
Total interest expense	1,813	3			8			1,470		3,291
Provision for loan losses	637	,						(707)		(70)
Noninterest income	3,430)	74		967		2,410	36		6,917
Noninterest expense	9,648	3	871		1,149		2,328	9,415		23,411
Management fees and										
allocations	4,718	3	40		165		103	(5,026)		
Provision (benefit) for income										
taxes	6,523	}	(303)		(62)		36	(3,814)		2,380
Net income (loss) from										
continuing operations	6,567	7	(531)		(293)		(57)	(1,187)		4,499
Net income from discontinued										
operations					41					41
Net income (loss)	\$ 6,567	\$	(531)	\$	(252)	\$	(57)	\$ (1,187)	\$	4,540

9. Fair Value Measurements

ASC 820 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity sown assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

•	Level 1	l inputs utilize	e quoted pri	ices (unadjuste	d) in activ	e markets fo	r identical	assets or	· liabilities t	hat the	Company	nas the	ability	to
access at the	he measi	urement date.												

- Level 2 inputs are inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as inputs that are observable for the asset or liability (other than quoted prices), such as interest rates, foreign exchange rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals.
- Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which is typically based on an entity s own assumptions, as there is little, if any, related market activity.

Table of Contents

In instances where the determination of the fair value measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the entire fair value measurement falls is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company s assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the asset or liability. The Company evaluates fair value measurement inputs on an ongoing basis in order to determine if there is a change of sufficient significance to warrant a transfer between levels. For example, changes in market activity or the addition of new unobservable inputs could, in the Company s judgment, cause a transfer to either a higher or lower level. For the three months ended March 31, 2013 there were no significant transfers between levels.

A description of the valuation methodologies used for financial instruments measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

Available for sale securities — At March 31, 2013, the Company holds, as part of its investment portfolio, available for sale securities reported at fair value consisting of MBS, municipal securities, corporate debt securities and TPS. The fair value of the majority of MBS and municipal securities are determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including matrix pricing and broker-quote based applications. Inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, issuer spreads, prepayment speeds and other relevant items. As a result, the Company has determined that these valuations fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company s TPS are recorded at fair value based on unadjusted quoted market prices for identical securities in an active market. The majority of the TPS are actively traded in the market and as a result, the Company has determined that the valuation of these securities falls within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. The Company also holds certain TPS and corporate debt securities for which unadjusted market prices are not available or the markets are not active and are therefore classified as Level 2. For these securities, broker-dealer quotes, valuations based on similar but not identical securities or the most recent market trade (which may not be current), are used. The Company holds other single-issuer TPS for which the fair value is determined using broker-dealer quotes and is classified as a Level 3 due to the illiquid nature of this type of security.

Derivative financial instruments The Company uses interest-rate swaps as part of its cash flow strategy to manage its interest-rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques as discussed further below. The fair values of interest-rate swaps are determined using the market standard methodology of netting the discounted future fixed cash receipts (or payments) and the discounted expected variable cash payments (or receipts). The variable cash payments (or receipts) are based on an expectation of future interest rates (forward curves) derived from observable market interest rate curves.

Pursuant to guidance in ASC 820, credit valuation adjustments are incorporated into the valuation to appropriately reflect both the Company s own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. In adjusting the fair value of its derivative contracts for the effect of nonperformance risk, the Company has considered the impact of netting and any applicable credit enhancements, such as collateral postings and thresholds. The Company has made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of its derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio.

The Company uses Level 2 and Level 3 inputs to determine the valuation of its derivatives portfolio. The valuation of derivative instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. This analysis reflects the contractual terms of the derivatives, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs (Level 2 inputs), including interest rate curves and implied volatilities. The estimates of fair value are made using a standardized methodology that nets the discounted expected future cash receipts and cash payments (based on observable market inputs). Level 3 inputs include the credit valuation adjustments which use estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. At March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company assessed the impact of the Level 3 inputs on the overall derivative valuations in terms of the significance of the credit valuation adjustments in basis points and as a percentage of the overall derivative portfolio valuation and the overall notional value. The Company assessment determined that credit valuation adjustments were not significant to the overall valuation of

the portfolio. In addition, the significance of the credit value adjustments and overall derivative portfolio to the Company s financial statements was considered. As a result of the insignificance of the credit value adjustments to the derivative portfolio valuations and the Company s financial statements, the Company classified the derivative valuations in their entirety in Level 2.

Impaired Loans Certain collateral-dependent impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral. Impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral, which is typically derived from appraisals that take into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets and similar locations. Each appraisal is updated on an annual basis, either through a new appraisal or through the Company s comprehensive internal review process. Appraised values are reviewed and monitored internally and fair value is assessed at least quarterly or more frequently

Table of Contents

when circumstances occur that indicate a change in fair value has occurred. The Company classified impaired loans as Level 3.

The following tables present the Company s assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall.

(in thousands)	N	Balance at March 31, 2013	a	Quoted prices in ctive markets for identical assets (Level 1)	Sigr obse	nir value measurements usin Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)		Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
Assets								
Available for sale securities:								
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	329,553	\$		\$	329,553	\$	
U.S. government agencies		3,003				3,003		
Trust preferred securities		97,216		64,968		31,265		983
Corporate debt securities		115,178				115,178		
Municipal securities		938				938		
Total available for sale securities	\$	545,888	\$	64,968	\$	479,937	\$	983
Derivatives:								
Fair value hedge - interest rate swap	\$	348	\$		\$	348	\$	
Reverse interest rate swap		7,882				7,882		
Total derivative assets	\$	8,230	\$		\$	8,230	\$	
Liabilities								
Derivatives:								
Cash flow hedge - interest rate swap	\$	8,139	\$		\$	8,139	\$	
Fair value hedge - interest rate swap		63				63		
Reverse interest rate swap		8,255				8,255		
Total derivative liabilities	\$	16,457	\$		\$	16,457	\$	
		3	3					

Table of Contents

	٠	~				
,	ac	ctive markets for identical assets	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)		unobser inpu	vable ts
		(=0:00=)		(=1,1=1)	(====	
\$ 359,202	\$		\$	359,202 \$	3	
3,020				3,020		
89,985		66,004		23,001		980
105,022				105,022		
940				940		
\$ 558,169	\$	66,004	\$	491,185 \$	ò	980
\$ 131	\$		\$	131 \$	ò	
8,930				8,930		
\$ 9,061	\$		\$	9,061 \$	ò	
\$ 9,044	\$		\$	9,044 \$	ò	
9,352				9,352		
\$ 18,396	\$		\$	18,396 \$	ò	
\$ \$ \$ \$	\$ 359,202 3,020 89,985 105,022 940 \$ 558,169 \$ 131 8,930 \$ 9,061	Balance at December 31, 2012 \$ 359,202 \$ 3,020 \$ 9,985 105,022 940 \$ 558,169 \$ \$ 558,169 \$ \$ 9,061 \$ \$ 9,061 \$ \$	Balance at December 31, 2012	Balance at December 31, 2012	Balance at December 31, 2012 active markets for identical assets (Level 1) Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) \$ 359,202 \$ 359,202 \$ 359,202 \$ 3,020 3,020 3,020 \$ 89,985 66,004 23,001 \$ 105,022 105,022 940 \$ 558,169 \$ 66,004 \$ 491,185 \$ \$ 131 \$ 131 \$ 8,930 \$ 9,061 \$ 9,061 \$ 9,061 \$ \$ 9,044 \$ 9,352 9,352 9,352	Balance at December 31, 2012 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) \$ 359,202 \$ 359,202 \$ 3,020 \$ 89,985 66,004 23,001 \$ 105,022 105,022 \$ 940 940 \$ 558,169 \$ 66,004 \$ 491,185 \$ 9,061 \$ 9,061 \$ 9,061 \$ 9,044 \$ 9,044 \$ 9,352

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balances of assets measured at fair value, on a recurring basis, using Level 3 inputs follows:

(in thousands)	For the three months ended March 31, 2013	For the year ended December 31, 2012	For the three months ended March 31, 2012
Beginning balance	\$ 980	\$ 1,990	\$ 1,990
Purchases		980	
Realized loss on OTTI		(297)	(255)
Paydowns		(340)	(76)
Sales		(2,500)	
Unrealized gain included in OCI	3	1,147	411
Ending balance	\$ 983	\$ 980	\$ 2,070

Fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to evaluate certain financial assets and financial liabilities in specific circumstances. The following table presents the Company s assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at the dates specified in the following table, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall.

		F	air value measurements u	sing:	
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets	Significant other observable inputs		Significant mobservable inputs
(in thousands)	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)		(Level 3)
Impaired loans, net of specific reserve:					
At March 31, 2013	\$ 20,888	\$	\$	\$	20,888

At December 31, 2012 \$ 16,146 \$ \$ 16,146

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded provision for loan loss of \$3.7 million and net charge-offs of \$0.4 million on impaired loans.

Table of Contents

Fair value is also used on a nonrecurring basis for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities such as foreclosed assets, other real estate owned, intangible assets, nonfinancial assets and liabilities evaluated in a goodwill impairment analysis and other nonfinancial assets measured at fair value for purposes of assessing impairment. A description of the valuation methodologies used for nonfinancial assets measured at fair value, as well as the general classification of such instruments pursuant to the valuation hierarchy, is set forth below.

Other real estate owned (OREO) OREO represents real property taken by the Company either through foreclosure or through a deed in lieu thereof from the borrower. The fair value of OREO is based on property appraisals adjusted at management s discretion to reflect a further decline in the fair value of properties since the time the appraisal analysis was performed. It has been the Company s experience that appraisals quickly become outdated due to the volatile real estate environment. Therefore, the inputs used to determine the fair value of OREO fall within Level 3. The Company may include within OREO other repossessed assets received as partial satisfaction of a loan. Other repossessed assets are not material and do not typically have readily determinable market values and are considered Level 3 inputs.

The following tables present the Company s nonfinancial assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall.

	Fair value measurements using:											
		Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets	Significant other observable inputs	unc	gnificant observable inputs		Year to date					
(in thousands)	Total	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)		gain (loss)					
OREO:												
At March 31, 2013	\$ 8,588	\$	\$	\$	8,588	\$	490					
At December 31, 2012	\$ 10,914	\$	\$	\$	10,914	\$	(1,403)					

In accordance with ASC 310, the fair value of OREO recorded as an asset is reduced by estimated selling costs. The following table is a reconciliation of the fair value measurement of OREO disclosed pursuant to ASC 820 to the amount recorded on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets:

	At		At
(in thousands)	March 31, 2013	Decer	nber 31, 2012
OREO recorded at fair value	\$ 8,588	\$	10,914
Estimated selling costs	(168)		(337)
OREO	\$ 8,420	\$	10,577

Valuation adjustments on OREO and additional gains or losses at the time OREO is sold are recognized in current earnings under the caption Loss on securities, other assets and other real estate owned. Below is a summary of OREO transactions during the three months ended March 31, 2013:

(in thousands)		OREO
Beginning OREO balance	\$	10,577
Foreclosed loans	145	
Charge-offs	(59)	

Transferred in	86
OREO sales	(2,733)
Net gain on sale and valuation adjustments	490
Ending OREO balance	8,420
Estimated selling costs	168
OREO recorded at fair value	\$ 8,588

The following table provides information describing the valuation processes used to determine recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents

Category	Fair Value	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Weighted Average %	Range
Impaired loans:		•	•	Ü	Ü
Commercial	\$ 2,294	Property appraisals (1)	Management discount for property type and recent market volatility	47%	10% - 80%
Real estate - mortgage	15,598	Property appraisals (1)	Management discount for property type and recent market volatility	33%	10% - 55%
Land acquisition & development	2,739	Property appraisals (1)	Management discount for property type and recent market volatility	27%	20% - 50%
Real estate - construction	257	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Management discount for property type and recent	10%	10%
Total	\$ 20,888	Property appraisals (1)	market volatility	10%	10%
OREO:					
			Management discount for property type and recent		
Commercial	\$ 4,512	Property appraisals (1)	market volatility	21%	10% - 40%
Land acquisition & development	4,076	Property appraisals (1)	Management discount for property type and recent market volatility	25%	20% - 30%
Total	\$ 8,588		·		

The fair value of OREO and collateral-dependent impaired loans is based on third-party property appraisals. The majority of the appraisals utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including a market approach, where prices and other relevant information generated by market transactions involving identical or comparable properties are used to determine fair value. Appraisals may also utilize an income approach, such as the discounted cash flow method, to estimate future income and profits or cash flows. Appraisals may include an as is sales comparison approach and an upon completion valuation approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by third-party appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data. Adjustments also result from the consideration of relevant economic and demographic factors with the potential to affect property values. Also, prospective values are based on the market conditions which exist at the date of inspection combined with informed forecasts based on current trends in supply and demand for the property types under appraisal.

The following table includes the estimated fair value of the Company s financial instruments. The methodologies for estimating the fair value of financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis are discussed above. The methodologies for estimating the fair value for other financial assets and financial liabilities are discussed below. The estimated fair value amounts have been determined by the Company using available market information and appropriate valuation methodologies. However, considerable judgment is required to interpret market data in order to develop the estimates of fair value. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could realize in a current market exchange. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

	March 31, 2013			December		
	Carrying		Estimated fair	Carrying		Estimated fair
(in thousands)	value		value	value		value
Financial assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 50,510	\$	50,510	\$ 65,893	\$	65,893
Restricted cash	4,540		4,540	4,540		4,540
Investment securities available for sale	545,888		545,888	558,169		558,169
Investment securities held to maturity	5,464		5,472	5,459		5,466
Other investments	8,255		8,255	8,037		8,037
Loans net	1,880,932		1,883,590	1,879,566		1,885,648
Accrued interest receivable	9,206		9,206	8,354		8,354
Interest rate swaps	8,230		8,230	9,061		9,061
Financial liabilities:						
Deposits	\$ 2,065,104	\$	2,065,625	\$ 2,129,260	\$	2,129,835
Other short-term borrowings	39,000		39,000			
Securities sold under agreements to repurchase	124,882		121,141	127,887		138,113
Accrued interest payable	650		650	461		461
Junior subordinated debentures	72,166		72,166	72,166		72,166
Subordinated notes payable	20,984		21,439	20,984		21,592
Interest rate swaps	16,457		16,457	18,396		18,396

The fair value estimation methodologies utilized by the Company for financial instruments and the classification level within the fair value hierarchy that those instruments fall are summarized as follows:

Cash and cash equivalents The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents is a reasonable estimate of fair value which is classified as Level 2.

Restricted cash The carrying amount of restricted cash is a reasonable estimate of fair value which is classified as Level 2.

Other investments Included in this category are the Company s investment in the FHLB and other equity method investments. Due to restrictions on transferability, it is not practical to estimate fair value on the FHLB investment which is reported at carrying value. The fair value of other equity method investments approximates fair value and is classified as Level 2.

Loans The fair value of loans is estimated by discounting future contractual cash flows using estimated market rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar credit ratings and for the same remaining maturities. In computing the estimate of fair value for all loans, the estimated cash flows and/or carrying value have been reduced by specific and general reserves for loan losses. The fair value of loans is classified as Level 3 within the fair value hierarchy.

Accrued interest receivable/payable The fair value of accrued interest receivable/payable approximates the carrying amount due to the short-term nature of these amounts and is classified in the same hierarchy level as the underlying assets/liabilities.

Deposits The fair value of certificates of deposit is estimated by discounting the expected life using an index of the LIBOR swap curve. Non-maturity deposits are reflected at their carrying value for purposes of estimating fair value. The fair value of all deposits is classified as Level 2.

Short-term borrowings The estimated fair value of short-term borrowings approximates their carrying value, due to their short-term nature and is classified as Level 2.

Securities sold under agreements to repurchase Estimated fair value is based on discounting cash flows for comparable instruments and is classified as Level 2.

37

Table of Contents

Junior subordinated debentures The estimated fair value of junior subordinated debentures approximates their carrying value, due to the variable interest rate paid on the debentures and is classified as Level 2.

Subordinated notes payable The estimated fair value of subordinated notes payable is based on discounting cash flows for comparable instruments and is classified as Level 3.

Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit The Company s off-balance sheet commitments are funded at current market rates at the date they are drawn upon. It is management s opinion that the fair value of these commitments would approximate their carrying value, if drawn upon, and are classified as Level 3.

The fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to management at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. Although management is not aware of any factors that would significantly affect the estimated fair value amounts, such amounts have not been comprehensively revalued for purposes of these financial statements since that date and, therefore, current estimates of fair value may differ significantly from the amounts presented herein.

10. Regulatory Matters

The following table shows capital amounts, ratios and regulatory thresholds at March 31, 2013:

At March 31, 2013		
(in thousands)	Company	Bank
Shareholders equity	\$ 263,517 \$	281,602
Disallowed intangible assets	(3,373)	
Unrealized gain on available for sale securities	(10,670)	(10,670)
Unrealized loss on cash flow hedges	5,046	
Subordinated debentures	70,000	
Other deductions	(8)	
Tier I regulatory capital	\$ 324,512 \$	270,932
Subordinated notes payable	\$ 20,984 \$	
Allowance for loan losses	27,773	27,356
Total risk-based regulatory capital	\$ 373,269 \$	298,288

			Company					Bank	
At March 31, 2013	Risk-l	ased		Leverage		Risk-l	oased		Leverage
(in thousands)	Tier I	To	tal capital	Tier I		Tier I	To	tal capital	Tier I
Regulatory capital	\$ 324,512	\$	373,269	\$ 324,512	\$	270,932	\$	298,288	\$ 270,932
Well-capitalized requirement	132,283		220,472	129,817		130,307		217,178	128,104
Regulatory capital - excess	\$ 192,229	\$	152,797	\$ 194,695	\$	140,625	\$	81,110	\$ 142,828
Capital ratios	14.7%		16.9%	12.5%	6	12.5%		13.7%	10.6%
	4.0%		8.0%	4.0%	6	4.0%		8.0%	4.0%

Minimum capital						
requirement						
Well capitalized requirement						
(1)	6.0%	10.0%	5.0%	6.0%	10.0%	5.0%
•						

⁽¹⁾ The ratios for the well-capitalized requirement are only applicable to the Bank. However, the Company manages its capital position as if the requirement applies to the consolidated entity and has presented the ratios as if they also applied to the Company.

Table of Contents

11. Supplemental Financial Data

Other income and Other expense as shown in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations is detailed in the following schedules to the extent the components exceed one percent of the aggregate of total interest income and other income.

Other noninterest income (in thousands) Loan Fees Other customer service fees Private equity investment income		Three months ended March 31,						
	20)13	.11 51,	2012				
Loan Fees	\$	327	\$	226				
Other customer service fees		380		362				
Private equity investment income		277		1,403				
Other		476		231				
Total	\$	1,460	\$	2,222				

	Three mor	iths ende	ed
Other noninterest expense	Marc	h 31,	
(in thousands)	2013		2012
Marketing and business development	\$ 739	\$	714
Service contracts	754		847
Professional fees	621		572
Office supplies and delivery	376		408
Charitable donations	328		253
Other	479		373
Total	\$ 3,297	\$	3,167

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This discussion should be read in conjunction with our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements and notes thereto included in this Form 10-Q. Certain terms used in this discussion are defined in the notes to these financial statements. For a description of our accounting policies, see Note 1 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. For a discussion of the segments included in our principal activities, see Note 8 of the Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Executive Summary

CoBiz Financial Inc. is a \$2.6 billion financial holding company offering a broad array of financial service products to its target market of professionals, small and medium-sized businesses, and high-net-worth individuals primarily in Arizona and Colorado. Our operating segments include: Commercial Banking, Investment Banking, Wealth Management and Insurance.

Earnings are derived primarily from our net interest income, which is interest income less interest expense, and our noninterest income earned from fee-based business lines and banking service fees, offset by noninterest expense. As the majority of our assets are interest-earning and our

liabilities are interest-bearing, changes in interest rates impact our net interest margin, the largest component of our operating revenue (defined as net interest income plus noninterest income). We manage our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities to reduce the impact of interest rate changes on our operating results. We also have focused on reducing our dependency on the net interest margin by increasing our noninterest income from complementary financial service activities including investment banking, wealth management and insurance brokerage.

Industry Overview

At the March 2013 meeting, the Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) kept the target range for federal funds rate at 0-25 basis points noting that moderate economic growth had returned after slowing in the latter part of 2012. The FOMC noted that while the unemployment rate remains elevated, labor market conditions have improved. The FOMC currently anticipates that the low range of the target federal funds rate will remain appropriate for as long as the unemployment rate is above 6.5%. Inflation has been running below the Federal Reserve s 2% objective, while longer term inflation expectations have remained stable. The FOMC also announced plans to continue purchasing additional agency mortgage

Table of Contents

backed and longer-term Treasury securities at a combined pace of \$85 billion each month, which is intended to keep downward pressure on longer-term interest rates, support mortgage markets and help make broader financial conditions more accommodative. The FOMC intends to closely monitor new economic and financial developments and will continue to employ its policy tools as appropriate until the outlook for the labor market has improved substantially in a context of price stability. The FOMC expects that a highly accommodative stance of monetary policy will remain appropriate for a considerable time after the economic recovery strengthens.

Labor markets have improved in 2013 with the national unemployment rate decreasing to 7.6% in March, down from respective averages of 8.1% and 8.9% in 2012 and 2011 and the lowest level in since December 2008. In February 2013, nonfarm payroll employment increased in 42 states and decreased in 8 states and the District of Columbia. Between February 2012 and February 2013, Colorado and Arizona were two of the states noted as having statistically significant employment changes at 2.7% and 1.9%, respectively.

There have been only four bank failures through March 2013, significantly fewer than the 16 failures through the same period in 2012. Bank failures for the full year of 2012 were at the lowest level since 2008.

In the fourth quarter of 2012, FDIC insured commercial banks and savings institutions reported combined earnings of \$34.7 billion, 37% higher than the fourth quarter of 2011, which drove an average ROA of 1.0% for the first time in six years. Earnings improvement was due to a rebound in noninterest income, primarily higher gains on loans sales, trading revenue and reduced losses on OREO. The provision for loan losses continued to decline for the 13th consecutive quarter, with more than half of all institutions reporting lower loss provisions. The increase in noninterest income and lower loss provisions helped offset a 2.5% decline in net interest income. The average net interest margin has continued to erode as it fell to 3.32% for the fourth quarter of 2012, the lowest quarterly total since the fourth quarter of 2007. More than two-thirds of all banks reported a year-over-year decline in net interest margin.

Financial and Operational Highlights

As discussed in Note 1 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company sold its wealth transfer division that focused on high-end life insurance and closed its trust department during the fourth quarter of 2012. The results of operations related to these areas have been reported as discontinued operations. The prior period disclosures in the following section of this report have been adjusted to conform to the new presentation. Noted below are some of the Company significant financial performance measures and operational results for the first three months of 2013:

• Net income and earnings per share improved for the three months ended March 31, 2013 over the prior year.

INCOME STATEMENT		Three months ended March 31,				
(in thousands, except per share amounts)	2	2013 201				
Net interest income before provision	\$	23,185	\$	23,303		
Provision for loan losses		(1,590)		(70)		
Noninterest income		6,476		6,917		
Net income		6,049		4,540		

Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.14 \$	0.10
Net interest margin	3.94%	4.23%
Return on average assets	0.94%	0.75%
Return on average shareholders equity	9.43%	8.12%

- Credit quality improvements resulted in a net reversal of provision for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012 of \$1.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.
- The net interest margin decreased 29 basis points to 3.94% during the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to 4.23% during the same period in the prior year. The decreases were primarily due to the low rate environment that has accelerated investment prepayments and lowered the yield on new lending activity.

Table of Contents

BALANCE SHEET AND CREDIT QUALITY (in thousands)	At March 31, 2013	At December 31, 2012
Total assets	\$ 2,620,512	\$ 2,653,641
Total loans	1,925,806	1,926,432
Total deposits	2,065,104	2,129,260
Total shareholders equity	263,517	257,051
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 44,874	\$ 46,866
Nonperforming assets	39,247	30,289
Allowance for loan and credit losses to total loans	2.33%	6 2.43%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	1.50%	6 1.14%

- The loan portfolio at March 31, 2013 was relatively flat with the balance at December 31, 2012, primarily due to the significant loan growth in the fourth quarter of 2012.
- The allowance for loan and credit losses decreased to 2.33% of total loans at March 31, 2013, from 2.43% at December 31, 2012, driven by an improvement in credit quality. While total nonperforming loans increased \$11.1 million in the first quarter of 2013, the amount of classified loans decreased \$13.5 million during the same period.
- OREO and repossessed assets decreased 20.4% to \$8.4 million during the first quarter of 2013, the lowest level since its peak in February 2009. Over 64% of the OREO balance is comprised of one Colorado property of \$5.4 million.
- Noninterest-bearing demand deposits grew to \$834.3 million at March 31, 2013 from \$723.0 million at March 31, 2012 and comprise 40.4% of total deposits.
- In response to the expiration of the unlimited FDIC insurance, the Company began offering a new demand deposit product in 2013 similar to CDARS, discussed below. This new product will provide a way for customers to obtain full FDIC coverage on transaction accounts through a reciprocal deposit network. The Company had \$12.3 million in this deposit category at March 31, 2013. The Company does not consider these deposits as brokered deposits since customer transactions generated the activity.
- The Company s total risk-based capital ratio was 16.9% at March 31, 2013 compared to 16.5% at the end of 2012.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company s discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company s Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the instructions to Form 10-Q. The preparation of these financial statements requires the

Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. In making those critical accounting estimates, we are required to make assumptions about matters that may be highly uncertain at the time of the estimate. Different estimates we could reasonably have used, or changes in the assumptions that could occur, could have a material effect on our financial condition or results of operations. In addition to the discussion on fair value measurements and deferred taxes below, a description of our critical accounting policies was provided in the Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Fair Value Measurements. The Company measures or monitors certain assets and liabilities on a fair value basis in accordance with GAAP. ASC 820 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity s own assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy). Fair value may be used on a recurring basis for certain assets and liabilities such as available for sale securities and derivatives in which fair value is the primary basis of accounting. Similarly, fair value may be used on a nonrecurring basis to evaluate certain assets or liabilities such as impaired loans and other real estate owned (OREO). Depending on the nature of the asset or liability, the Company uses

Table of Contents

various valuation techniques and assumptions in accordance with ASC 820 to determine the instrument s fair value. At March 31, 2013 there were \$554.1 million or 21.1% of total assets recorded at fair value on a recurring basis consisting of \$545.9 million in available for sale securities and \$8.2 million in derivative instruments. At March 31, 2013, \$16.5 million or 0.7% of total liabilities represent liabilities recorded at fair value on a recurring basis, consisting of derivative liabilities. Assets recorded at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at March 31, 2013, consist of impaired loans totaling \$20.9 million or 0.8% of total assets.

At March 31, 2013, the Company holds, as part of its investment portfolio, available for sale securities reported at fair value consisting of MBS, government agencies, municipal securities, and corporate debt securities. The fair value of the majority of these securities is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques, including matrix pricing and broker-quote based applications, considered Level 2 inputs. The Company also holds TPS, the majority of which are recorded at fair value based on quoted market prices, considered by the Company Level 1 inputs. Certain TPS are valued using broker-dealer quotes, which are considered by the Company an unobservable input (Level 3), totaled \$1.0 million at March 31, 2013. Investments incorporating Level 3 inputs as part of their valuation represent less than 0.1% of total assets at the report date.

The Company uses interest-rate swaps as part of its cash flow strategy to manage its interest-rate risk. The valuation of these instruments is determined using widely accepted valuation techniques including discounted cash flow analysis on the expected cash flows of each derivative. To comply with the provisions of ASC 820, credit valuation adjustments are incorporated into the valuation to appropriately reflect both the Company s own nonperformance risk and the respective counterparty s nonperformance risk in the fair value measurements. Although the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value its derivatives fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy, the credit valuation adjustments associated with its derivatives utilize Level 3 inputs (i.e. estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by itself and its counterparties). However, at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company concluded that the impact of the credit valuation adjustments on the overall valuation of its derivative positions is not significant. Therefore, the Company has determined that its derivative valuations in their entirety are classified in Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Certain collateral-dependent impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral. Impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral, which is typically derived from appraisals taking into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets and similar locations, in accordance with GAAP.

OREO and repossessed assets represents real property taken by the Bank either through foreclosure or through a deed in lieu thereof from the borrower. At the time of foreclosure, OREO is measured at fair value, less selling costs, which becomes its new costs basis. Subsequent to acquisition, OREO is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, less selling costs. Fair values are based on property appraisals, generally considered a Level 2 input by the Company. However, where the Company has adjusted an appraisal valuation downward due to its expectation of market conditions, the adjusted value is considered a Level 3 input.

Deferred Tax Assets. At March 31, 2013, the Company has recorded net deferred tax assets of \$26.6 million which relate to expected future deductions arising in large part from the allowance for loan losses. Since there is no absolute assurance that these assets will be realized, the Company evaluates its ability to carryback losses, its tax planning strategies and forecasts of future earnings to determine the need for a valuation allowance on these assets. If current available information raises doubt as to the realization of the deferred tax assets, a valuation allowance may be established. The Company did not have a valuation allowance at March 31, 2013 or December 31, 2012.

Financial Condition

Total assets at March 31, 2013 were \$2.62 billion, decreasing \$33.1 million or 1.2% from \$2.65 billion at December 31, 2012. Assets are comprised primarily of loans net of allowance for losses and investment securities, collectively making up over 93% of total assets. Total liabilities at March 31, 2013, were \$2.36 billion, decreasing \$39.6 million or 1.7% from \$2.40 billion at December 31, 2012. Liabilities consist primarily of deposits and securities sold under agreements to repurchase, comprising 93% of total liabilities. Shareholder equity at March 31, 2013 was \$263.5 million, increasing \$6.5 million or 2.5% from \$257.1 million at December 31, 2012. The following paragraphs discuss changes in the relative mix of certain assets and liability classes and reasons for such changes.

Investments. The Company manages its investment portfolio to provide interest income and to meet the collateral requirements for public deposits, customer repurchases and wholesale borrowings. Investments account for 21.4% of total assets at March 31, 2013, compared to 21.5% at December 31, 2012.

The investment portfolio is primarily comprised of MBS explicitly (GNMA) and implicitly (FNMA and FHLMC) backed by the U.S. Government. The portfolio does not include any securities exposed to sub-prime mortgage loans. The investment

Table of Contents

portfolio also includes single-issuer TPS and corporate debt securities. The corporate debt securities portfolio is mainly comprised of six issuers in the Fortune 100. Over ninety percent of the corporate debt securities portfolio is investment grade. None of the issuing institutions are in default, nor have interest payments on the TPS been deferred.

The net unrealized gain on available for sale securities increased \$0.4 million to \$17.2 million at March 31, 2013 from \$16.8 million at December 31, 2012. The Company did not recognize any OTTI in earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

AVAILABLE FOR SALE SECURITIES		March	31, 2013	3	% of	Net unrealized	% of unrealized
(in thousands)	Amo	ortized Cost	Í	Fair Value	portfolio	gain	gain
Mortgage-backed securities	\$	318,633	\$	329,553	60.4% \$	10,920	63.4%
U.S. government agencies		2,994		3,003	0.6	9	0.1
Trust preferred securities		94,720		97,216	17.8	2,496	14.5
Corporate debt securities		111,404		115,178	21.1	3,774	21.9
Municipal securities		926		938	0.1	12	0.1
Total available for sale securities	\$	528,677	\$	545,888	100.0% \$	17,211	100.0%

Loans. Gross loans held for investment at March 31, 2013 of \$1.93 billion remained relatively consistent from December 31, 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company advanced \$78.6 million in new credit relationships and an additional \$72.5 million on existing lines. Credit extensions during this period were muted by paydowns, maturities and transfers to OREO totaling \$150.9 million and gross charge-offs of \$0.8 million.

	March 31, 2	2013	December 31	1, 2012	March 31,	2012
LOANS		% of		% of		% of
(in thousands)	Amount	portfolio	Amount	portfolio	Amount	portfolio
Commercial	\$ 742,239	39.5% \$	729,442	38.8% \$	594,812	36.6%
Owner-occupied real estate	438,118	23.3	434,384	23.1	429,666	26.5
Investor real estate	451,053	24.0	445,993	23.7	376,028	23.1
Land acquisition &						
development	38,054	2.0	53,562	2.8	58,218	3.5
Real estate - construction	46,346	2.5	67,022	3.6	57,422	3.5
Consumer	157,973	8.4	149,638	8.0	116,833	7.2
Other	52,023	2.8	46,391	2.5	45,468	2.8
Total loans	1,925,806	102.4	1,926,432	102.5	1,678,447	103.2
Allowance for loan losses	(44,874)	(2.4)	(46,866)	(2.5)	(52,778)	(3.2)
Total net loans	\$ 1,880,932	100.0% \$	1,879,566	100.0% \$	1,625,669	100.0%

The commercial and consumer loan segments grew \$12.8 million and \$8.3 million, respectively, during the first quarter of 2013. Growth in the consumer loan segment was driven by a \$12.2 million increase in jumbo mortgages during the first quarter of 2013. All other loan categories showed modest growth, except the land acquisition and development (Land A&D) and real estate construction loan categories each which decreased \$15.5 million and \$20.7 million, respectively. The land A&D segment continues to decrease as a result of ongoing efforts to reduce high-risk loan concentration levels.

The allowance for loan losses decreased \$2.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 as a result of net charge-offs of \$0.4 million and release of net excess reserves of \$1.6 million. Reduction in the allowance for loan losses is the result of continued credit quality

improvement across all loan segments. See the *Provision and Allowance for Loan and Credit Losses* section and Note 5 to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements for additional discussion.

Deferred Income Taxes. Net deferred income taxes decreased \$5.0 million to \$26.6 million at March 31, 2013, from \$31.6 million at December 31, 2012. The decrease was primarily related to the tax effect of the following events during the first quarter of 2013: payment of bonuses (\$1.7 million), vesting of restricted stock awards (\$0.7 million), supplemental executive compensation payments (\$0.9 million), and the decline in the allowance for loan and credit losses (\$0.8 million).

Other Real Estate Owned. OREO decreased \$2.2 million to \$8.4 million at March 31, 2013, from \$10.6 million at December 31, 2012. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company received proceeds of \$2.7 million on the sale of three Colorado properties. Gains recognized on OREO and repossessed assets were \$0.4 million during the first three months of 2013. At March 31, 2013, \$1.6 million of OREO was in Arizona and \$6.8 million was in Colorado.

Table of Contents

Deposits. Total deposits decreased \$64.2 million to \$2.07 billion at March 31, 2013 from \$2.13 billion at December 31, 2012. Now and money market, savings and noninterest-bearing demand deposits were the primary contributors to the deposit decrease in the first quarter of 2013. Deposit balances in the first quarter generally decrease as customers pay year-end distributions and taxes. Noninterest-bearing deposits have accounted for more than 40% of total deposits since the third quarter of 2012. At the end of 2012, Dodd-Frank unlimited insurance for noninterest-bearing transaction accounts expired and as a result funds deposited in noninterest-bearing deposit accounts will no longer receive unlimited deposit insurance coverage by the FDIC. All depositors accounts, including all non-interest bearing transaction accounts will be insured by the standard maximum deposit insurance amount of \$250,000. In response to the expiration of the unlimited FDIC insurance, the Company began offering a new deposit product in 2013 similar to CDARS, discussed below. This new product provides a way for customers to obtain full FDIC coverage on transaction accounts through a reciprocal deposit network. While the Company does not believe there will be a significant outflow of deposits due to the expiration of unlimited FDIC insurance, if this were to occur, the Company s liquidity and results of operations may be negatively impacted.

The Company views its reciprocal Certificate of Deposit Account Registry Service® (CDARS) accounts as customer-related accounts. The CDARS program is provided through a third party and designed to provide full FDIC insurance on deposit amounts larger than the stated maximum by exchanging or reciprocating larger depository relationships with other member banks. Depositor funds are broken into smaller amounts and placed with other banks that are members of the network. Each member bank issues CDs in amounts under \$250,000, so the entire deposit is eligible for FDIC insurance. CDARS are technically brokered deposits, however, the Company considers the reciprocal deposits placed through the CDARS program as core funding due to the customer relationship that generated the transaction and does not report the balances as brokered sources in its internal or external financial reports.

NOW and money market	\$ 846,204	38.6% \$	866,250	38.4% \$	768,620	38.0%
Interest-bearing demand	118,085	5.4	118,433	5.2	115,377	5.7
Savings	11,429	0.5	24,813	1.1	10,978	0.5
Certificates of deposits under \$100	29,889	1.4	30,058	1.3	32,231	1.6
Certificates of deposits \$100 and over	143,529	6.6	148,184	6.6	165,798	8.2
Reciprocal CDARS	81,631	3.7	82,127	3.6	87,978	4.4
Total interest-bearing deposits	1,230,767	56.2	1,269,865	56.2	1,180,982	58.4
Noninterest-bearing demand deposits	834,337	38.1	859,395	38.1	722,982	35.7
Customer repurchase agreements	124,882	5.7	127,887	5.7	118,499	5.9
Total deposits and customer repurchase						
agreements	\$ 2,189,986	100.0% \$	2,257,147	100.0% \$	2,022,463	100.0%

Securities Sold Under Agreements to Repurchase. Securities sold under agreement to repurchase are transacted with customers as a way to enhance our customers interest-earning ability. The Company does not consider customer repurchase agreements to be a wholesale funding source, but rather an additional treasury management service provided to our customer base. Our customer repurchase agreements are based on an overnight investment sweep that can fluctuate based on our customers operating account balances. Securities sold under agreements to repurchase remained stable during the first three months 2013.

Other Short-Term Borrowings. Other short-term borrowings normally consist of federal funds purchased and overnight and term borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB). Short-term borrowings are used as part of our liquidity management strategy and fluctuate based on the Company s cash position. The Company s wholesale funding needs are largely dependent on core deposit levels which can be volatile in uncertain economic conditions and sensitive to competitive pricing. A decline in deposits and growth in the loan portfolio increases the Company s need for wholesale borrowings. At March 31, 2013, the Company had \$39.0 million in short-term borrowings outstanding. At December 31, 2012, the Company had no short-term borrowings outstanding. If the Company is unable to retain deposits or maintain deposit

balances at a level sufficient to fund asset growth, the composition of interest-bearing liabilities may shift toward additional wholesale funds, which historically bear a higher interest cost than core deposits.

Accrued Interest and Other Liabilities. Accrued interest and other liabilities decreased \$11.4 million or 24.7% to \$34.9 million at March 31, 2013. The decline is attributed to bonus payouts net of current period accruals of \$4.4 million; supplemental executive compensation disbursements of \$2.4 million; tax payments of \$5.4 million; and decrease in the fair market value of derivative liabilities of \$1.9 million.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

Overview

The following table presents the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

INCOME STATEMENT	Three months e	nded M	arch 31.	2013 vs 2012 Increase (decrease)	
(in thousands)	2013		2012	Amount	%
Interest income	\$ 26,052	\$	26,594 \$	(542)	(2.0)%
Interest expense	2,867		3,291	(424)	(12.9)
NET INTEREST INCOME BEFORE					
PROVISION	23,185		23,303	(118)	(0.5)
Provision (benefit) for loan losses	(1,590)		(70)	(1,520)	nm
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER					
PROVISION	24,775		23,373	1,402	6.0
Noninterest income	6,476		6,917	(441)	(6.4)
Noninterest expense	22,581		23,411	(830)	(3.5)
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES	8,670		6,879	1,791	26.0
Provision for income taxes	2,794		2,380	414	17.4
NET INCOME FROM CONTINUING					
OPERATIONS	5,876		4,499	1,377	30.6
Net income from discontinued operations	173		41	132	322.0
NET INCOME	\$ 6,049	\$	4,540 \$	1,509	33.2%

Annualized return on average assets for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was 0.94% compared to 0.75% during the prior year period. Annualized return on average shareholders—equity for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was 9.43% compared to 8.12% for the prior year period. Improvement in both earnings metrics is attributable to the release of excess provision for loan losses. The Company—s efficiency ratio was 75.80% for the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to 76.77% in the prior year period as cost reductions outpaced revenue declines.

Net Interest Income. The largest component of our net income is normally our net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between interest income, principally from loans and investment securities, and interest expense, principally on customer deposits and borrowings. Changes in net interest income result from changes in volume, net interest spread and net interest margin. Volume refers to the average dollar levels of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest spread refers to the difference between the average yield on interest-earning assets and the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin refers to net interest income divided by average interest-earning assets and is influenced by the level and relative mix of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.

As the majority of our assets are interest-earning and our liabilities are interest-bearing, changes in interest rates may impact our net interest margin. The FOMC uses the federal funds rate, which is the interest rate used by banks to lend to each other, to influence interest rates and the national economy. Changes in the fed funds rate have a direct correlation to changes in the prime rate, the underlying index for most of the variable-rate loans issued by the Company. The FOMC has held the target federal funds rate at a range of 0-25 basis points since December 2008.

The following table sets forth the average amounts outstanding for each category of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the interest earned or paid on such amounts on a taxable equivalent basis, and the average rate earned or paid for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Table of Contents

(in thousands)		Average balance		013 Interest arned or paid	Three months en Average yield or cost(3)	ded	March 31, Average balance]	012 Interest arned or paid	Average yield or cost(3)
Assets										
Federal funds sold and other	\$	16,738	\$	27	0.65%	\$	21,276	\$	26	0.48%
Investment securities (1)		563,461		4,297	3.05%		634,960		5,399	3.40%
Loans (1)(2)		1,914,542		22,340	4.67%		1,647,846		21,536	5.17%
Allowance for loan losses		(46,874)					(55,454)			
Total interest-earning assets	\$	2,447,867	\$	26,664	4.27%	\$	2,248,628	\$	26,961	4.63%
Noninterest-earning assets		168,303					176,081			
Total assets	\$	2,616,170				\$	2,424,709			
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity										
Deposits										
NOW and money market	\$	846,187	\$	790	0.38%	\$	766,870	\$	988	0.52%
Interest-bearing demand	Ψ	118,934	Ψ	123	0.42%	Ψ	102,894	Ψ	156	0.61%
Savings		18,488		3	0.07%		10,882		3	0.11%
Certificates of deposit		10,100		3	0.07 70		10,002		3	0.1170
Reciprocal		81,957		94	0.47%		89,480		113	0.51%
Under \$100		29,764		40	0.55%		33,360		59	0.71%
\$ 100 and over		144,534		239	0.67%		167,463		327	0.79%
Total interest-bearing deposits	\$	1,239,864	\$	1,289	0.42%	\$	1,170,949	\$	1,646	0.57%
Other borrowings		,,		,		·	, , .		,	
Securities sold under										
agreements to repurchase		144,737		84	0.23%		131,072		107	0.32%
Other short-term borrowings		16,839		10	0.24%		49,478		36	0.29%
Long-term debt		93,150		1,484	6.37%		93,150		1,502	6.38%
Total interest-bearing										
liabilities	\$	1,494,590	\$	2,867	0.77%	\$	1,444,649	\$	3,291	0.91%
Noninterest-bearing demand										
account		820,410					715,758			
Total deposits and										
interest-bearing liabilities		2,315,000					2,160,407			
Other noninterest-bearing										
liabilities		41,140					39,403			
Total liabilities		2,356,140					2,199,810			
Total equity		260,030					224,899			
Total liabilities and equity	\$	2,616,170				\$	2,424,709			
Net interest income - taxable										
equivalent			\$	23,797				\$	23,670	
Net interest spread					3.50%					3.72%
Net interest margin					3.94%					4.23%
Ratio of average										
interest-earning assets to										
average interest-bearing										
liabilities		163.78%					155.65%			

⁽¹⁾ Interest earned has been adjusted to reflect tax exempt assets on a fully tax-equivalent basis.

⁽²⁾ Loan fees included in interest income are not material. Nonaccrual loans are included with average loans outstanding.

(3) Yields have been adjusted to reflect a tax-equivalent basis where applicable.

Table of Contents

Net interest income on a taxable equivalent basis for the three months ended March 31, 2013 of \$23.8 million was stable compared to the prior year period. Average interest-earning assets for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were \$2.45 billion, increasing \$199.2 million over the prior year on growth in net loans of \$275.3 million, offset by a decrease in the investment portfolio of \$71.5 million. The positive effect of interest-earning asset growth on the net interest margin was offset by lower yields due to the current low interest rate environment. The tax-equivalent net interest margin dropped to 3.94% in the current quarter, down 29 basis points from the prior period. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, average yields on interest-earning assets decreased 36 basis points over the comparable prior-year period.

Including noninterest-bearing deposits, the Company s overall deposit interest cost decreased to 25 basis points for the three months ended March 31, 2013, from 35 basis points in the prior year quarter. Average rates on total interest-bearing liabilities for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased 14 basis points to 0.77% from the prior year quarter, driven primarily by lower rates on NOW and money market deposits and CDs.

The following table presents noninterest income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

NONINTEREST INCOME	Three months e	ended Ma	arch 31,	2013 vs 2012 Increase (decrease)		
(in thousands)	2013		2012	Amount	%	
Service charges	\$ 1,328	\$	1,244	\$ 84	6.8%	
Investment advisory income	1,112		967	145	15.0	
Insurance income	2,510		2,410	100	4.1	
Investment banking income	66		74	(8)	(10.8)	
Other income	1,460		2,222	(762)	(34.3)	
Total noninterest income	\$ 6,476	\$	6,917	\$ (441)	(6.4)%	

Service Charges. Service charges primarily consist of fees earned from our treasury management services. Customers are given the option to pay for these services in cash or by offsetting the fees for these services against an earnings credit that is given for maintaining noninterest-bearing deposits. Service charges grew modestly in the current quarter compared to a year ago due to growth in the average balance of deposit accounts using our treasury management services.

Investment Advisory and Trust Income. Investment advisory and trust income increased \$0.1 million during the current quarter ended March 31, 2013 compared to the prior year period. Fees earned are generally based on a percentage of the assets under management (AUM) and market volatility has a direct impact on earnings. Average AUM for the first three months of 2013 grew approximately 5% compared to first quarter 2012 average and totaled \$792.4 million at March 31, 2013.

Insurance Income. Insurance income is derived from two main areas: benefits consulting and P&C. Revenue from benefits consulting and P&C are recurring revenue sources as policies and contracts generally renew or rewrite on an annual or more frequent basis. Revenue for these business lines for the three months ended March 31, 2013 increased slightly over the prior year period to \$2.5 million on higher income from to benefits consulting. Benefits consulting contributed 51% of total insurance income and P&C contributed 49% compared to 45% and 55%, respectively, in the same period in 2012.

Investment Banking Income. Investment banking income includes retainer fees which are recognized over the expected term of the engagement and success fees which are recognized when the transaction is completed and collectability of fees is reasonably assured. Investment banking income is transactional by nature and will fluctuate based on the number of clients engaged and transactions successfully closed. Investment banking revenues are typically lower following the end of a year as deal closings typically accelerate and then slow in the first quarter.

Other Income. Other income is comprised of increases in the cash surrender value of bank-owned life insurance, earnings on equity method investments, swap fees, merchant charges, bankcard fees, wire transfer fees, foreign exchange fees and safe deposit income. Other income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 decreased \$0.8 million from the prior year on lower income from the Company s equity method investments offset by slightly higher net fees from interest rate swaps transactions. Income from both sources can be volatile and is dependent on the volume and size of customers entering into interest rate swap transactions or activities in the underlying investment.

Table of Contents

Noninterest Expense

The following table presents noninterest expense for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

				2013 vs 2012	
NONINTEREST EXPENSE	Three months en	ided N	Aarch 31,	Increase (decrease)	
(in thousands)	2013		2012	Amount	%
Salaries and employee benefits	\$ 14,484	\$	14,675	\$ (191)	(1.3)%
Share-based compensation expense	748		480	268	55.8
Occupancy expenses, premises and equipment	3,304		3,436	(132)	(3.8)
Amortization of intangibles	200		133	67	50.4
FDIC and other assessments	438		491	(53)	(10.8)
Other real estate owned and loan workout costs	191		576	(385)	(66.8)
Net OTTI on securities recognized in earnings			255	(255)	(100.0)
(Gain) loss on securities, other assets and OREO	(81)		198	(279)	(140.9)
Other expense	3,297		3,167	130	4.1
Total noninterest expense	\$ 22,581	\$	23,411	\$ (830)	(3.5)%

Salaries and Employee Benefits. Salaries and employee benefit expense decreased 1.3% or \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The decrease was primarily due to lower bonus expense based on the Company s expectations of current year bonus payments. The Company had 500 full-time equivalent employees at March 31, 2013, down from 508 a year earlier.

Share-based Compensation. The Company uses share-based compensation to recruit new employees and reward and retain existing employees. Share-based compensation increased during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the prior year period due to the issuance of restricted stock during the first quarter of 2013. The Company recognizes compensation costs for the grant-date fair value of awards issued to employees and expects to continue using share-based compensation in the future.

Occupancy Costs. Occupancy costs consist primarily of rent, depreciation, utilities, property taxes and insurance. Occupancy costs were stable in the current quarter compared to a year earlier, decreasing \$0.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the same period in 2012 due to lower depreciation expense.

FDIC and Other Assessments. FDIC and other assessments consist of premiums paid by FDIC-insured institutions and by Colorado chartered banks. The assessments are based on statutory and risk classification factors. FDIC and other assessments decreased \$0.1 million or 11% for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the prior year period.

OREO and Loan Workout Costs. Carrying costs and workout expenses of nonperforming loans and OREO decreased \$0.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to the prior year period. These costs are directly correlated to levels of nonperforming assets during these periods and consistent with the continued declines in nonperforming assets. Nonperforming assets were \$39.2 million and \$45.2 million at March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, improving 13% year over year.

Net OTTI on Securities Recognized in Earnings. Net OTTI losses on securities represent credit losses recognized on available for sale securities. OTTI recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2012 related solely to private-label MBS that were credit impaired and were sold in 2012.

Loss on Securities, Other Assets, and OREO. Loss on securities, other assets and OREO recognized for the periods presented were comprised of the following:

	(((Gain) loss for the three months ended March 31,				2013 vs 2012 Increase (decrease)			
(in thousands)	20)13		2012	Amo	unt	%		
Available for sale securities	\$	(11)	\$	(221)	\$	210	(95.0)%		
OREO		(490)		419		(909)	(216.9)		
Other		420				420	100.0		
	\$	(81)	\$	198	\$	(279)	(140.9)%		
		48	;						

Table of Contents

Gains on OREO recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2013 are comprised of valuation adjustments of \$0.1 million on OREO currently held and net sales activity while losses in the year-earlier period were primarily the result of valuation charges. Gains on investment securities in both periods presented related to TPS and corporate debt calls.

Other Operating Expenses. Other operating expenses consist primarily of business development expenses (meals, entertainment and travel), charitable donations, professional services (auditing, legal, marketing and courier). Other operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 were not significantly changed from the year earlier period.

Provision and Allowance for Loan and Credit Losses

The following table presents the provision for loan and credit losses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012:

	Three months ended March 31,								
(in thousands)		2013		2012	Decrease				
Provision for loan losses	\$	(1,590)	\$	(70) \$	(1,520)				
Provision for credit losses (included in other expenses)									
Total provision for loan and credit losses	\$	(1,590)	\$	(70) \$	(1,520)				

The provision for loan and credit losses decreased \$1.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013, over the comparable prior year period. This is primarily attributable to an improvement in credit quality over the prior year as reflected by a decrease in classified loan balances and charge-offs recorded during the period.

All loans are continually monitored to identify potential problems with repayment and collateral deficiency. Classified loans decreased \$13.5 million to \$67.7 million at March 31, 2013 from December 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013, the allowance for loan and credit losses decreased to 2.33% of total loans from 2.43% at December 31, 2012, and 3.15% a year earlier primarily due to growth in the loan portfolio coupled with improved asset quality. The ratio of allowance for loan and credit losses to nonperforming loans decreased to 145.57% at March 31, 2013 from 237.75% at December 31, 2012 and 189.02% a year earlier. Though management believes the current allowance provides adequate coverage of potential problems in the loan portfolio as whole, negative economic trends could adversely affect future earnings and asset quality.

The allowance for loan losses represents management s recognition of the risks of extending credit and its evaluation of the quality of the loan portfolio. The allowance is maintained to provide for probable losses related to specifically identified loans and for losses inherent in the loan portfolio that have been incurred as of the balance sheet date. The allowance is based on various factors affecting the loan portfolio, including a review of problem loans, business conditions, historical loss experience, evaluation of the quality of the underlying collateral, and holding and disposal costs. The allowance is increased by additional charges to operating income and reduced by loans charged off, net of recoveries. During the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had \$0.4 million and \$2.8 million in net charge-offs, respectively.

The allowance for credit losses represents management s recognition of a separate reserve for off-balance sheet loan commitments and letters of credit. While the allowance for loan losses is recorded as a contra-asset to the loan portfolio on the consolidated balance sheet, the allowance for

credit losses is recorded in Accrued interest and other liabilities in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet. Although the allowances are presented separately on the balance sheet, any losses incurred from credit losses would be reported as a charge-off in the allowance for loan losses, since any loss would be recorded after the off-balance sheet commitment had been funded. Due to the relationship of these allowances as extensions of credit underwritten through a comprehensive risk analysis, information on both the allowance for loan and credit losses positions is presented in the following table.

Table of Contents

(in thousands)		Three months ended March 31, 2013	Year ended December 31, 2012			Three months ended March 31, 2012
Balance of allowance for loan losses at beginning of period	\$	46.866	\$	55,629	\$	55,629
Charge-offs:	-	,	-		7	
Commercial		(47)		(1,122)		(507)
Real estate - mortgage		(147)		(2,789)		(15)
Land acquisition & development		(620)		(3,135)		(2,159)
Real estate - construction				(867)		
Consumer		(8)		(653)		(621)
Other				(34)		(10)
Total charge-offs		(822)		(8,600)		(3,312)
Recoveries:						
Commercial		158		2,021		66
Real estate - mortgage		55		746		108
Land acquisition & development		165		1,757		344
Real estate - construction		23		3		
Consumer		19		43		13
Total recoveries		420		4,570		531
Net charge-offs		(402)		(4,030)		(2,781)
Provision for loan losses charged to operations		(1,590)		(4,733)		(70)
Balance of allowance for loan losses at end of period	\$	44,874	\$	46,866	\$	52,778
Balance of allowance for credit losses at beginning of						
period	\$		\$	35	\$	35
Provision for credit losses charged to operations				(35)		
Balance of allowance for credit losses at end of period	\$		\$		\$	35
Total provision for loan and credit losses charged to						
operations	\$	(1,590)	\$	(4,768)	\$	(70)
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans		0.02%	ó	0.23%		0.17%
Average loans outstanding during the period	\$	1,914,542	\$	1,743,473	\$	1,647,846
			_		_	
Allowance for loan and credit losses	\$	44,874	\$	46,866	\$	52,813

50

Table of Contents

Nonperforming Assets

Nonperforming assets consist of nonaccrual loans, past due loans, repossessed assets and OREO. The following table presents information regarding nonperforming assets as of the dates indicated:

(in thousands)	At March 31, 2013		At December 31, 2012		At March 31, 2012
Nonperforming loans:					
Loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing interest	\$ 407	\$	35	\$	
Nonaccrual loans:					
Commercial	3,283		3,324		3,365
Real estate - mortgage	20,938		10,779		12,883
Land acquisition & development	3,450		4,655		4,541
Real estate - construction	266		271		6,377
Consumer and other	2,483		648		775
Total nonaccrual loans	30,420		19,677		27,941
Total nonperforming loans	30,827		19,712		27,941
OREO and repossessed assets	8,420		10,577		17,224
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 39,247	\$	30,289	\$	45,165
Performing renegotiated loans	\$ 31,619	\$	43,321	\$	27,184
Classified loans	\$ 67,677	\$	81,205	\$	119,378
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 44,874	\$	46,866	\$	52,778
Allowance for credit losses					35
Allowance for loan and credit losses	\$ 44,874	\$	46,866	-	52,813
Nonperforming assets to total assets	1.50%	'n	1.14%	,	1.84%
Nonperforming loans to total loans	1.60%	,	1.02%		1.66%
Nonperforming loans and OREO to total loans and OREO	2.03%	,	1.56%	,	2.66%
Allowance for loan and credit losses to total loans (excluding loans					
held for sale)	2.33%		2.43%		3.15%
Allowance for loan and credit losses to nonperforming loans	145.57%	b	237.75%	,	189.02%

Nonperforming assets increased \$9.0 million or 30% at March 31, 2013, from December 31, 2012 and decreased \$5.9 million or 13% from the comparable prior year period. The increase in nonperforming assets from the end of 2012 is attributed to two Arizona real-estate mortgage credits transferred to nonaccrual status. Approximately 34% or \$13.3 million of nonperforming assets at March 31, 2013 were concentrated in Colorado, while the remaining 66% or \$25.9 million were in Arizona. Nonperforming loans represent 79% of total nonperforming assets with the remaining 21% comprised of OREO and repossessed assets. Nonperforming loans of \$30.8 million are concentrated primarily within the real estate mortgage (69%), land A&D (11%), and commercial (11%) loan segments. OREO decreased \$2.2 million and \$8.8 million from December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2012, respectively, primarily as a result of the overall improvement in asset quality and OREO sales during 2012 and 2013. The Company foreclosed on two properties in 2012 and one during the first quarter of 2013 but sold 18 properties in 2012 and three in 2013. The Company has dedicated significant resources to the workout and resolution of nonaccrual loans and OREO and continues to closely monitor the financial condition of its clients.

Table of Contents

Segment Results

Certain financial metrics and discussion of the results for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, of each operating segment, are presented below.

Commercial Banking

Income Statement	Three months ended March 31,					2013 vs 2012 Increase (decrease)			
(in thousands)		2013		2012		Amount	%		
Net interest income	\$	24,534	\$	24,663	\$	(129)	(0.5)%		
Provision for loan losses		(1,031)		637		(1,668)	(261.9)		
Noninterest income		2,681		3,430		(749)	(21.8)		
Noninterest expense		8,215		9,648		(1,433)	(14.9)		
Provision for income taxes		7,149		6,523		626	9.6		
Net income before management fees and									
overhead allocations		12,882		11,285		1,597	14.2		
Management fees and overhead allocations, net									
of tax		5,477		4,718		759	16.1		
Net income	\$	7,405	\$	6,567	\$	838	12.8%		

Earnings for the Commercial Banking segment during the three months ended March 31, 2013 reflected \$0.8 million or 12.8% improvement over the comparable prior year period.

Net interest income for the three months ended March 31, 2013 remained comparable to the prior year period. The effect on net interest income from loan volume increases in the current quarter compared to a year earlier was offset by declines in the investment securities portfolio coupled with a decrease in the average earning yield.

During the first quarter of 2013, the segment benefited from a \$1.7 million decline in provision for loan losses primarily resulting from overall improvement in asset quality metrics. The segment also benefited from lower loan workout expenses, professional fees, FDIC assessments, and occupancy expenses. Declines in noninterest income driven by lower earnings on equity investments as well as increases in the provision for income taxes muted the effect of lower noninterest expenses.

Investment Banking

Net interest income	\$ 1	\$ 3 \$	(2)	(66.7)%

Edgar Filing: COBIZ FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

Noninterest income	66	74	(8)	(10.8)
Noninterest expense	845	871	(26)	(3.0)
Benefit for income taxes	(314)	(303)	(11)	3.6
Net loss before management fees and overhead				
allocations	(464)	(491)	27	(5.5)
Management fees and overhead allocations, net				
of tax	40	40		
Net loss	\$ (504)	\$ (531) \$	27	(5.1)%

Net loss for the Investment Banking segment for the three months ended March 31, 2013 was comparable to prior year. The segment closed 2012 with a strong fourth quarter leaving few active engagements for the first quarter of 2013.

Table of Contents

Wealth Management

Income Statement	Three mon Marc	 led	2013 vs 2012 Increase (decrease)			
(in thousands)	2013	2012	Amount	%		
Net interest income	\$ (9)	\$ (8) \$	(1)	12.5%		
Noninterest income	1,112	967	145	15.0		
Noninterest expense	1,081	1,149	(68)	(5.9)		
Provision (benefit) for income taxes	15	(62)	77	(124.2)		
Net income (loss) before management fees and						
overhead allocations	7	(128)	135	(105.5)		
Net income from discontinued operations	173	41	132	322.0		
Management fees and overhead allocations, net						
of tax	105	165	(60)	(36.4)		
Net income (loss)	\$ 75	\$ (252) \$	327	(129.8)%		

At December 31, 2012, the Company had sold substantially all assets comprising the wealth transfer business, a component of Wealth Management. Additionally, the Company transitioned its trust and custodial services business to an unaffiliated third party, effectively exiting that business line at the end of the year. Together, the wealth transfer and trust businesses constitute discontinued operations and the results of those operations are reported separately from the continuing operations of the segment.

Net income for the Wealth Management segment improved \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2013, compared to the year earlier period loss.

Investment advisory revenues are generally a percentage of assets under management (AUM) and provide a revenue stream that can fluctuate with movement in the equity markets. Discretionary AUM at March 31, 2013 was \$792.4 million, an increase \$45.4 million or 6.1% from a year ago.

Insurance

Y C	Three mon		led	2013 vs 2012			
Income Statement	Marc	n 31,		Increase (de	,		
(in thousands)	2013		2012	Amount	%		
Net interest income	\$ (4)	\$	\$	(4)	(100.0)%		
Noninterest income	2,510		2,410	100	4.1		
Noninterest expense	2,493		2,328	165	7.1		
Provision for income taxes	79		36	43	119.4		
Net income (loss) before management fees and							
overhead allocations	(66)		46	(112)	(243.5)		
Management fees and overhead allocations, net							
of tax	116		103	13	12.6		
Net loss	\$ (182)	\$	(57) \$	(125)	219.3%		

Net loss in the Insurance segment for the three months ended March 31, 2013 increased \$0.1 million from the prior year comparable period. Revenue in the current quarter increased modestly compared to the prior-year period, driven primarily by an increase in employee benefits revenue partially offset by a decrease in P&C revenue.

Table of Contents

Corporate Support and Other

Income Statement	Three mon Marc	 led	2013 vs 2012 Increase (decrease)			
(in thousands)	2013	2012	Amount	%		
Net interest income	\$ (1,337)	\$ (1,355) \$	18	(1.3)%		
Provision for loan losses	(559)	(707)	148	(20.9)		
Noninterest income	107	36	71	197.2		
Noninterest expense	9,947	9,415	532	5.7		
Benefit for income taxes	(4,135)	(3,814)	(321)	8.4		
Net income before management fees and						
overhead allocations	(6,483)	(6,213)	(270)	4.3		
Management fees and overhead allocations, net						
of tax	(5,738)	(5,026)	(712)	14.2		
Net loss	\$ (745)	\$ (1,187) \$	442	(37.2)%		

The Corporate Support and Other segment is composed of activities of the parent company (Parent); non-production, back-office support operations; and eliminating transactions in consolidation. Non-production, back-office operations include human resources, accounting and finance, information technology, and loan and deposit operations. The Company has a process for allocating these support operations back to the production lines based on an internal allocation methodology that is updated annually.

Net loss for the three months ended March 31, 2013 for the Corporate Support and Other segment decreased \$0.4 million compared to the prior year period. The primary component of net interest expense for the segment is interest expense related to the Company s long-term.

The provision for loan losses relates to a non-performing loan portfolio the Parent owns. This portfolio has steadily decreased since the 2009 purchase due to loan repayments and collateral sales. In addition, asset quality improvement within the portfolio has contributed to the decline in the provision for loan losses.

Noninterest expense includes salaries and benefits of employees of the Parent and support functions as well as the nonemployee overhead operating costs not directly associated with another segment. Noninterest expense decreases during the three months ended March 31, 2013 compared to prior year period were primarily attributed to loan workout expenses and OREO losses.

Contractual Obligations and Commitments

Summarized below are the Company s contractual obligations (excluding deposit liabilities) to make future payments at March 31, 2013:

	After one	After three	
Within	but within	but within	After

Edgar Filing: COBIZ FINANCIAL INC - Form 10-Q

(in thousands)	one year	three years	five years	five years	Total
FHLB overnight funds purchased (1)	\$ 39,000	\$	\$	\$	\$ 39,000
Repurchase agreements (1)	124,882				124,882
Operating lease obligations	5,331	9,987	5,924	4,011	25,253
Long-term debt obligations (2)	25,826	7,654	7,108	109,756	150,344
Preferred Stock, Series C dividend (3)	574	58,370			58,944
Supplemental executive retirement plan	2,399				2,399
Uncertain tax position liabilities	925				925
Total contractual obligations	\$ 198,937	\$ 76,011	\$ 13,032	\$ 113,767	\$ 401,747

⁽¹⁾ Interest on these obligations has been excluded due to the short-term nature of the instruments.

Principal repayment of the junior subordinated debentures is assumed to be at the contractual maturity and interest has been estimated through the applicable dates. Principal repayment of the subordinated notes payable is assumed to be at the first available call date in August 2013. Interest on the junior subordinated debentures is calculated at the fixed rate associated with the applicable hedging instrument through the instrument maturity date then at the currently applicable variable rate through contractual maturity and is reported in the due within categories during which the interest expense is expected to be incurred. Included in long-term debt obligations are estimated interest payments related to subordinated debt (junior and unsecured) of \$4.1 million due Within one year , \$7.7 million due After one but within three years , \$7.1 million due After three but within five years and \$37.6 million due After five years. Variable rate interest payments on junior subordinated

Table of Contents

debentures after maturity of the related fixed interest rate swap hedge are estimates based on current rates and actual payments will differ.

(3) Series C preferred Stock issued to U.S. Department of Treasury in September 2011 includes dividends payable at 1.00% under the assumption the Company is able to maintain QSBL growth already achieved through the fixing date. The preferred shares are shown in the table as being due in the After three but within five years category which assumes the \$57.4 million in preferred stock will be redeemed in the year prior to the contractual dividend rate step up to 9% effective 4.5 years after issuance.

The contractual amount of the Company s financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk at March 31, 2013, is presented below, classified by the type of commitment and the term within which the commitment expires:

(in thousands)	Within one year	After one but within three years	After three but within five years	After five years	Total
Unfunded loan commitments	\$ 436,604	\$ 130,483	\$ 47,306	\$ 873	\$ 615,266
Standby letters of credit	36,474	6,085		1,250	43,809
Commercial letters of credit	788	15			803
Unfunded commitments for unconsolidated					
investments	6,847				6,847
Company guarantees	1,482				1,482
Total commitments	\$ 482,195	\$ 136,583	\$ 47,306	\$ 2,123	\$ 668,207

The Company is party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the liquidity, credit enhancement and financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include legally binding commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit and involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest-rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheet. Credit risk is the principal risk associated with these instruments. The contractual amounts of these instruments represent the amount of credit risk should the instruments be fully drawn upon and the customer defaults.

To control the credit risk associated with entering into commitments and issuing letters of credit, the Company uses the same credit quality, collateral policies, and monitoring controls in making commitments and letters of credit as it does with its lending activities. The Company evaluates each customer s credit worthiness on a case-by-case basis. The amount of collateral obtained, if deemed necessary by the Company upon extension of credit, is based on management s credit evaluation.

Legally binding commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments may expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Standby letters of credit obligate the Company to meet certain financial obligations of its customers if, under the contractual terms of the agreement, the customers are unable to do so. The financial standby letters of credit issued by the Company are irrevocable. Payment is only guaranteed under these letters of credit upon the borrower s failure to perform its obligations to the beneficiary.

Approximately \$59.0 million of total loan commitments at March 31, 2013 represent commitments to extend credit at fixed rates of interest, which exposes the Company to some degree of interest-rate risk.

The Company has also entered into interest-rate swap agreements under which it is required to either receive cash or pay cash to counterparty depending on changes in interest rates. The interest-rate swaps are carried at fair value on the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets with the fair value representing the net present value of expected future cash receipts or payments based on market interest rates as of the balance sheet date. The fair value of interest-rate swaps recorded on the balance sheet at March 31, 2013 do not represent the actual amounts that will ultimately be received or paid under the contracts since the fair value is based on estimated future interest rates and are therefore excluded from the table above.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity refers to the Company s ability to generate adequate amounts of cash to meet financial obligations to its customers and shareholders in order to fund loans, to respond to deposit outflows and to cover operating expenses. Maintaining a level of liquid funds through asset/liability management seeks to ensure that these needs are met at a reasonable cost. Liquidity is essential to compensate for fluctuations in the balance sheet and provide funds for

Table of Contents

growth and normal operating expenditures. Sources of funds include customer deposits, scheduled amortization of loans, loan prepayments, scheduled maturities of investments and cash flows from MBS. Liquidity needs may also be met by deposit growth, converting assets into cash, raising funds in the brokered CD market or borrowing using lines of credit with correspondent banks, the FHLB or the FRB. Longer-term liquidity needs may be met by selling securities available for sale or raising additional capital.

Liquidity management is the process by which the Company manages the continuing flow of funds necessary to meet its financial commitments on a timely basis and at a reasonable cost. The objective of liquidity management is to ensure the Company has the ability to satisfy the cash flow requirements of depositors and borrowers and to allow us to sustain our operations. These funding commitments include withdrawals by depositors, credit commitments to borrowers, shareholder dividends, debt payments, expenses of its operations and capital expenditures. Liquidity is monitored and closely managed by the Company s Asset and Liability Committee (ALCO), a group of senior officers from the lending, deposit gathering, finance and treasury areas. ALCO s primary responsibilities are to ensure the necessary level of funds are available for normal operations as well as maintain a contingency funding policy to ensure that liquidity stress events are quickly identified and management plans are in place to respond. This is accomplished through the use of policies which establish limits and require measurements to monitor liquidity trends, including management reporting that identifies the amounts and costs of all available funding sources.

The Company s current liquidity position is expected to be more than adequate to fund expected asset growth. Historically, our primary source of funds has been customer deposits. Scheduled loan repayments are a relatively stable source of funds, while deposit inflows and unscheduled loan prepayments—which are influenced by fluctuations in the general level of interest rates, returns available on other investments, competition, economic conditions, and other factors—are less predictable.

The Company s loan to core deposit ratio increased to 93.3% at March 31, 2013, from 90.5% at December 31, 2012. The low loan to deposit ratio has allowed the Company to maintain low levels of wholesale borrowings that historically bear a higher cost than core deposits. At March 31, 2013, the Company had \$39.0 million of outstanding wholesale borrowings and none at December 31, 2012. Average wholesale borrowings were \$16.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2013, an increase from the 2012 fiscal year average of \$39.4 million.

The Company uses various forms of short-term borrowings for cash management and liquidity purposes, regularly accessing its federal funds and FHLB lines to manage its daily cash position. At March 31, 2013, the Bank has approved federal funds purchase lines with seven correspondent banks with an aggregate credit line of \$150.0 million. The Bank also has a line of credit from the FHLB that is limited by the amount of eligible collateral available to secure it and the Company s investment in FHLB stock. Borrowings under the FHLB line are required to be secured by unpledged securities and qualifying loans. Borrowings may also be used on a longer-term basis to support expanded lending activities and to match the maturity or repricing intervals of assets.

Available funding through correspondent lines and the FHLB at March 31, 2013, totaled \$552.5 million. Available funding is comprised of \$150.0 million through the unsecured federal fund lines and \$402.5 million in secured FHLB borrowing capacity. The Company had \$17.0 million in securities available to be pledged as collateral for additional FHLB borrowings at March 31, 2013. Access to funding through correspondent lines is dependent upon the cash position of the correspondent banks and there may be times when certain lines are not available. In addition, certain lines require a one day rest period after a specified number of consecutive days of accessing the lines. The Company believes it has sufficient borrowing capacity and diversity in correspondent banks to meet its needs.

At the holding company level, our primary sources of funds are dividends paid from the Bank and fee-based subsidiaries, management fees assessed to the Bank and the fee-based business lines, proceeds from the issuance of common stock, and other capital markets activity. The main use of this liquidity is the quarterly payment of dividends on our common and preferred stock, quarterly interest payments on the

subordinated debentures and notes payable, payments for mergers and acquisitions activity, and payments for the salaries and benefits for the employees of the holding company. The Company expects to call the \$21.0 million of outstanding 9% coupon subordinated notes payable which become callable in the third quarter of 2013 and is evaluating its financing alternatives. The Company has \$57.4 million in preferred stock issued pursuant to the SBLF program that increases to a 9% dividend rate in 2016 which it also expects to redeem at or before the date the dividend rate increases. The Company is also evaluating the effect on its liquidity should proposed rules issued by the Federal Reserve Board of Governors phasing out over ten years the favorable regulatory treatment of TPS be enacted. The Company has \$70.0 million of callable junior subordinated debentures related to the issuance of TPS at March 31, 2013.

The approval of the Colorado State Banking Board is required prior to the declaration of any dividend by the Bank if the total of all dividends declared by the Bank in any calendar year exceeds the total of its net profits for that year combined with the retained net profits for the preceding two years. In addition, the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation

Table of Contents

Improvement Act of 1991 provides that the Bank cannot pay a dividend if it will cause the Bank to be undercapitalized. At March 31, 2013, the Bank was not otherwise restricted in its ability to pay dividends to the holding company. The Company s ability to pay dividends on its common stock depends upon the availability of dividends from the Bank, earnings from its fee-based businesses, and upon the Company s compliance with the capital adequacy guidelines of the Federal Reserve Board of Governors. The holding company has a liquidity policy that requires the maintenance of at least 18 months of liquidity on the balance sheet based on projected cash usages, exclusive of dividends from the Bank. At March 31, 2013, the holding company had a liquidity position that exceeds the policy limit and the Company believes it has the ability to continue paying dividends.

Net income from discontinued operations for the three months ending March 31, 2013, was \$0.2 million and reasonably approximates the cash flows of those operations which are not separately stated in the Company s Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Discontinued operations are expected to have no impact on the Company s ability to finance its continuing operations or meet its outstanding obligations. The Company believes overall liquidity will not be significantly impacted by discontinued operations.

At March 31, 2013, shareholders—equity totaled \$263.5 million, a \$6.5 million increase from December 31, 2012 primarily relating to current period earnings. Also contributing to the increase was a \$1.3 million increase in common surplus relating to stock-based compensation, sales of stock under the employee stock purchase plan and stock exercises as well as an increase of \$0.8 million in accumulated other comprehensive income associated with changes in the fair value of derivatives and available for sale securities. Offsetting these increases were common and preferred dividends of \$1.7 million.

We anticipate that our cash and cash equivalents, expected cash flows from operations together with alternative sources of funding are sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements for working capital, loan originations, capital expenditures and other obligations for at least the next 12 months. We continually monitor existing and alternative financing sources to support our capital and liquidity needs, including but not limited to, debt issuance, common stock issuance and deposit funding sources. Based on our current financial condition and our results of operations, we believe the Company will be able to sustain its ability to raise adequate capital through one or more of these financing sources.

We are subject to minimum risk-based capital limitations as set forth by federal banking regulations at both the consolidated Company level and the Bank level. Under the risk-based capital guidelines, different categories of assets, including certain off-balance sheet items, such as loan commitments in excess of one year and letters of credit, are assigned different risk weights, based generally on the perceived credit risk of the asset. These risk weights are multiplied by corresponding asset balances to determine a risk-weighted asset base. For purposes of the risk-based capital guidelines, total capital is defined as the sum of Tier 1 and Tier 2 capital elements, with Tier 2 capital being limited to 100% of Tier 1 capital. Tier 1 capital includes, with certain restrictions, common shareholders equity, perpetual preferred stock and minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries. Tier 2 capital includes, with certain limitations, perpetual preferred stock not included in Tier 1 capital, certain maturing capital instruments, and the allowance for loan and credit losses. At March 31, 2013, the Bank was well-capitalized with a Tier 1 Capital ratio of 12.5% and Total Capital ratio of 13.7%. The minimum ratios to be considered well-capitalized under the risk-based capital standards are 6% and 10%, respectively. At the holding company level, the Company s Tier 1 Capital ratio at March 31, 2013, was 14.7% and its Total Capital ratio 16.9%. In order to comply with the regulatory capital constraints, the Company and its Board of Directors constantly monitor the capital level and its anticipated needs based on the Company s growth. The Company has identified sources of additional capital that could be used if needed, and monitors the costs and benefits of these sources, which include both the public and private markets.

Effects of Inflation and Changing Prices

The primary impact of inflation on our operations is increased operating costs. Unlike most retail or manufacturing companies, virtually all of the assets and liabilities of a financial institution such as the Bank are monetary in nature. As a result, the impact of interest rates on a financial institution s performance is generally greater than the impact of inflation. Although interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction, or to the same extent, as the prices of goods and services, increases in inflation generally have resulted in increased interest rates. Over short periods of time, interest rates may not move in the same direction, or at the same magnitude, as inflation.

Forward Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that describe CoBiz s future plans, strategies and expectations. All forward-looking statements are based on assumptions and involve risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control and which may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from the results, performance or achievements contemplated by the forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements can be

Table of Contents

identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include words such as believe, expect, anticipate, intend, plan, estimate or words of similar meaning, or future or conditional verbs such as would, could or may. Forward-looking statement speak only as of the date they are made. Such risks and uncertainties include, among other things:

- Competitive pressures among depository and other financial institutions nationally and in our market areas may increase significantly.
- Adverse changes in the economy or business conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, could increase credit-related losses and expenses and/or limit growth.
- Increases in defaults by borrowers and other delinquencies could result in increases in our provision for losses on loans and related expenses.
- Our inability to manage growth effectively, including the successful expansion of our customer support, administrative infrastructure and internal management systems, could adversely affect our results of operations and prospects.
- Fluctuations in interest rates and market prices could reduce our net interest margin and asset valuations and increase our expenses.
- The consequences of continued bank acquisitions and mergers in our market areas, resulting in fewer but much larger and financially stronger competitors, could increase competition for financial services to our detriment.
- Our continued growth will depend in part on our ability to enter new markets successfully and capitalize on other growth opportunities.
- Changes in legislative or regulatory requirements applicable to us and our subsidiaries could increase costs, limit certain operations and adversely affect results of operations.
- Changes in tax requirements, including tax rate changes, new tax laws and revised tax law interpretations may increase our tax expense or adversely affect our customers businesses.
- The risks identified under Risk Factors in Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

In light of these risks, uncertainties and assumptions, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements in this report. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or otherwise revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

At March 31, 2013, there have been no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative information about market risk provided pursuant to Item 305 of Regulation S-K as presented in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the Company s Chief Executive Officer and the Company s Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures at March 31, 2013, the end of the period covered by this report (Evaluation Date), pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e). Based upon that evaluation, the Company s Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures are effective in timely alerting them to material information relating to the Company (including its consolidated subsidiaries) required to be included in the Company s periodic SEC filings.

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act) is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control. During the quarter that ended on the Evaluation Date, there were no changes in internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibits and Index of Exhibits.

31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of the Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer.
32.2	Section 1350 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

COBIZ FINANCIAL INC.

Date: April 26, 2013 By: /s/ Steven Bangert

Steven Bangert

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer

Date: April 26, 2013 By: /s/ Lyne B. Andrich

Lyne B. Andrich

Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

59