JORGENSEN EARLE M CO /DE/ Form SC 13D/A March 10, 2006

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

## **SCHEDULE 13D**

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. 2)\*

## Earle M. Jorgensen Company

(Name of Issuer)

Common Stock, par value \$.001 per share

(Title of Class of Securities)

480829 10 0

(CUSIP Number)

James J. Connors, II, Esq.

**Vice President and General Counsel** 

Kelso & Company

320 Park Avenue, 24th Floor

New York, NY 10022

(212) 223-2379

(Name, Address and Telephone Number of Person Authorized to Receive Notices and Communications)

February 28, 2006

(Date of Event which Requires Filing of this Statement)

If the filing person has previously filed a statement on Schedule 13G to report the acquisition that is the subject of this Schedule 13D, and is filing this schedule because of §§240.13d-1(e), 240.13d-1(f) or 240.13d-1(g), check the following box. o

**Note**: Schedules filed in paper format shall include a signed original and five copies of the schedule, including all exhibits. See §240.13d-7 for other parties to whom copies are to be sent.

\* The remainder of this cover page shall be filled out for a reporting person's initial filing on this form with respect to the subject class of securities, and for any subsequent amendment containing information which would alter disclosures provided in a prior cover page.

The information required on the remainder of this cover page shall not be deemed to be "filed" for the purpose of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Act") or otherwise subject to the liabilities of that section of the Act but shall be subject to all other provisions of the Act (however, see the Notes).

#### CUSIP No. 480829 10 0 1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Kelso Investment Associates IV, L.P. 2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) (b) o 3. SEC Use Only 4. Source of Funds (See Instructions) 00 5. Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o 6. Citizenship or Place of Organization Delaware 7. Sole Voting Power Number of Shares 8. Shared Voting Power Beneficially 25,174,634\* Owned by Each 9. Sole Dispositive Power Reporting Person With 10. Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634\* 11. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 25,174,634\* 12. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o 13. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 50.1%\* 14. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions) PN

#### CUSIP No. 480829 10 0 1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) KIA III Earle M. Jorgensen, L.P. 2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) (b) o SEC Use Only 3. 4. Source of Funds (See Instructions) 00 5. Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o Citizenship or Place of Organization 6. Delaware 7. Sole Voting Power Number of Shares 8. Shared Voting Power Beneficially 25,174,634\* Owned by 9. Each Sole Dispositive Power Reporting Person With 10. Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634\* Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 11. 25,174,634\* 12. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o 13. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 50.1%\*

3

Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)

14.

PN

#### CUSIP No. 480829 10 0 1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Kelso Investment Associates, L.P. 2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) (b) o SEC Use Only 3. 4. Source of Funds (See Instructions) 00 5. Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o Citizenship or Place of Organization 6. Delaware 7. Sole Voting Power Number of Shares 8. Shared Voting Power Beneficially 25,174,634\* Owned by 9. Sole Dispositive Power Each Reporting Person With 10. Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634\* Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 11. 25,174,634\* 12. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o 13. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 50.1%\*

4

Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions)

14.

PN

#### 1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Kelso Equity Partners II, L.P. 2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) (b) o SEC Use Only 3. 4. Source of Funds (See Instructions) 00 5. Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o Citizenship or Place of Organization 6. Delaware 7. Sole Voting Power Number of Shares 8. Shared Voting Power

- 11. Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 25,174,634\*
- 12. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o

25,174,634\*

25,174,634\*

Sole Dispositive Power

Shared Dispositive Power

- 13. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 50.1%\*
- 14. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions) PN

9.

10.

CUSIP No. 480829 10 0

Beneficially

Owned by

Each Reporting Person With

## CUSIP No. 480829 10 0

- 1. Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Kelso Partners I, L.P.
- 2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
  - (b) o
- SEC Use Only 3.
- 4. Source of Funds (See Instructions) 00
- 5. Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o
- Citizenship or Place of Organization 6. Delaware
  - 7. Sole Voting Power

Number of Shares 8. Beneficially Owned by

Shared Voting Power

25,174,634\*

Each 9. Sole Dispositive Power Reporting

Person With

10. Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634\*

- Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 11. 25,174,634\*
- 12. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o
- 13. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 50.1%\*
- 14. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions) PN

## 1. Kelso Partners III, L.P.

CUSIP No. 480829 10 0

- Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only)
- 2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
  - (b) o
- SEC Use Only 3.
- 4. Source of Funds (See Instructions) 00
- 5. Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o
- Citizenship or Place of Organization 6. Delaware
  - 7. Sole Voting Power

Number of

Shares 8. Shared Voting Power Beneficially 25,174,634\*

Owned by 9. Sole Dispositive Power Each

Reporting

Person With 10. Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634\*

- Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 11. 25,174,634\*
- 12. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o
- 13. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 50.1%\*
- 14. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions) PN

# 1.

CUSIP No. 480829 10 0

- Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Kelso Partners IV, L.P.
- 2. Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)
  - (b) o
- SEC Use Only 3.
- 4. Source of Funds (See Instructions) 00
- 5. Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o
- Citizenship or Place of Organization 6. Delaware
  - 7. Sole Voting Power

Number of Shares Beneficially Owned by

Person With

8. Shared Voting Power

25,174,634\*

9. Sole Dispositive Power Each Reporting

- 10. Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634\*
- Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 11. 25,174,634\*
- 12. Check if the Aggregate Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o
- 13. Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 50.1%\*
- 14. Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions) PN

1.	Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Joseph S. Schuchert					
2.	Check the Appropriate B (a) (b)	sox if a Member of a Group (See Instructions)  o o				
3.	SEC Use Only					
4.	Source of Funds (See Ins	structions)				
5.	Check if Disclosure of L	egal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o				
6.	Citizenship or Place of C United States of America					
	7.	Sole Voting Power 0				
Number of Shares Beneficially Owned by	8.	Shared Voting Power 0				
Each Reporting	9.	Sole Dispositive Power 0				
Person With	10.	Shared Dispositive Power 0				
11.	Aggregate Amount Bene	eficially Owned by Each Reporting Person				
12.	Check if the Aggregate A	Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions)				
13.	Percent of Class Represe 0	ented by Amount in Row (11)				
14.	Type of Reporting Perso IN	n (See Instructions)				

1.	Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Frank T. Nickell							
2.	Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) (a) o (b) o							
3.	SEC Use Only							
4.	Source of Funds (See Instructions) OO							
5.	Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o							
6.	Citizenship or Place of Organization Delaware							
	7.	Sole Voting Power 20,499						
Number of Shares Beneficially Owned by	8.	Shared Voting Power 25,174,634*						
Each Reporting Person With	9. Sole Dispositive Power 20,499							
Terson With	10. Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634*							
11.	Aggregate Amount Benefic 25,195,133*	cially Owned by Each Reporting Person						
12.	Check if the Aggregate An	nount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o						
13.	Percent of Class Represented by Amount in Row (11) 50.2%*							
14.	Type of Reporting Person PN	(See Instructions)						
		10						

1.	Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Thomas R. Wall, IV								
2.	Check the Appropriate Bo (a) (b)								
3.	SEC Use Only								
4.	Source of Funds (See Instructions) OO								
5.	Check if Disclosure of Le	gal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o							
6.	Citizenship or Place of Organization Delaware								
	7.	Sole Voting Power 5,000							
Number of Shares Beneficially	8.	Shared Voting Power 25,174,634*							
Owned by Each Reporting Person With	9.	Sole Dispositive Power 5,000							
Person with	10.	Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634*							
11.	Aggregate Amount Benef 25,179,634*	icially Owned by Each Reporting Person							
12.	Check if the Aggregate A	mount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o							
13.	Percent of Class Represen 50.1%*	ted by Amount in Row (11)							
14.	Type of Reporting Person PN	(See Instructions)							

1.	Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) George E. Matelich									
2.	Check the Appropriate Bo (a) (b)									
3.	SEC Use Only									
4.	Source of Funds (See Instructions) OO									
5.	Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o									
6.	Citizenship or Place of Organization Delaware									
	7.	Sole Voting Power 5,000								
Number of Shares Beneficially	8.	Shared Voting Power 25,174,634*								
Owned by Each Reporting Person With	9.	Sole Dispositive Power 5,000								
Terson with	10.	Shared Dispositive Power 25,174,634*								
11.	Aggregate Amount Benef 25,179,634*	ficially Owned by Each Reporting Person								
12.	Check if the Aggregate A	mount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o								
13.	Percent of Class Represer 50.1%*	nted by Amount in Row (11)								
14.	Type of Reporting Person PN	n (See Instructions)								

1.	Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Michael B. Goldberg							
2.	Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) (a) o (b) o							
3.	SEC Use Only							
4.	Source of Funds (See Instructions) OO							
5.	Check if Disclosure of L	Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o						
6.	Citizenship or Place of Organization Delaware							
	7.	Sole Voting Power						
Number of Shares Beneficially	8.	0 Shared Voting Power 22,457,426*						
Owned by Each Reporting	9.	Sole Dispositive Power 0						
Person With	10.	Shared Dispositive Power 22,457,426*						
11.	Aggregate Amount Bene 22,457,426*	eficially Owned by Each Reporting Person						
12.	Check if the Aggregate	Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o						
13.	Percent of Class Represe	ented by Amount in Row (11)						
14.	Type of Reporting Perso PN	on (See Instructions)						
		10						

1.	Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) David I. Wahrhaftig							
2.	Check the Appropriate Box if a Member of a Group (See Instructions) (a) o (b) o							
3.	SEC Use Only							
4.	Source of Funds (See Instructions) OO							
5.	Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o							
6.	Citizenship or Place of Organization Delaware							
	7.	Sole Voting Power						
Number of Shares Beneficially	8.	0 Shared Voting Power 22,457,426*						
Owned by Each Reporting	9.	Sole Dispositive Power 0						
Person With	10.	Shared Dispositive Power 22,457,426*						
11.	Aggregate Amount Bene 22,457,426*	eficially Owned by Each Reporting Person						
12.	Check if the Aggregate A	Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o						
13.	Percent of Class Represe 44.7%*	ented by Amount in Row (11)						
14.	Type of Reporting Person PN	n (See Instructions)						
		14						

1.	Names of Reporting Persons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of above persons (entities only) Frank K. Bynum, Jr.								
2.	Check the Appropriate E (a) (b)								
3.	SEC Use Only								
4.	Source of Funds (See Ins	Source of Funds (See Instructions) OO							
5.	Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o								
6.	Citizenship or Place of Organization Delaware								
	7.	Sole Voting Power							
Number of Shares Beneficially	8.	Shared Voting Power 22,457,426*							
Owned by Each Reporting Person With	9.	Sole Dispositive Power 0							
Person with	10.	Shared Dispositive Power 22,457,426*							
11.	Aggregate Amount Bene 22,457,426*	Aggregate Amount Beneficially Owned by Each Reporting Person 22,457,426*							
12.	Check if the Aggregate	Amount in Row (11) Excludes Certain Shares (See Instructions) o							
13.	Percent of Class Represe 44.7%*	ented by Amount in Row (11)							
14.	Type of Reporting Person (See Instructions) PN								

CUSIP No. 4808	29 10 0										
	1.	Names of Repa above persons Philip E. Berno	(entities	rsons. I.R.S. Identification Nos. of only)							
	2.	Check the App Instructions) (a) (b)	propriate l	Box if a Member of a Group (See o o							
	3.	SEC Use Only	7	Ç							
	4.	Source of Fund OO		nstructions)							
	5.	Check if Disclosure of Legal Proceedings Is Required Pursuant to Items 2(d) or 2(e) o									
	6.	Citizenship or Delaware	Place of 0	Organization							
		7.		Sole Voting Power 0							
Number of Shares Beneficially		8.		Shared Voting Power 22,457,426*							
Owned by Each Reporting Person With		9.		Sole Dispositive Power 0							
Terson with		10.		Shared Dispositive Power 22,457,426*							
	11.	Aggregate Am Person 22,457,426*	nount Ben	eficially Owned by Each Reporting							
	12.	Loss			Dividends	Per Share	Stock Options	Per Share			
				(Dollars in thousands, exce	pt per share	e amounts)					
Net loss available to common shareholders: From											
Continuing Operations From		\$	(1,425	5)	\$ (1,004)	\$ (2,429)	\$ (683) \$	6 (3,112)			
Discontinued Operations			(27,123	3)		(27,123)		(27,123)			
Total Net Loss for All											
Operations		\$	(28,548	3)	\$ (1,004)	(29,552)	(683)	(30,235)			

			29,390	20	29,410
		9	\$ (0.08)	\$ (0.03)	\$ (0.11)
		\$	\$ (1.01)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (1.03)
Net Income	_		Basic		Diluted Earnings
(Loss)	I	Dividends l	Per Share		Per Share
(D	ollars in thousands, except	t per share	amounts)		
\$ 26,754 (29,948)		\$	\$ 26,754 (29,948)	\$ (219)	\$ 26,535
\$ (3,194)		\$	(3,194)	(219)	(3,413)
			29,448	183	29,631
		(	\$ 0.91	\$ (0.01)	\$ 0.90
	Income (Loss) (Do	Net Income Incom	Nine Months ended September 30, Net Income Preferred (Loss) Dividends I (Dollars in thousands, except per share)  \$ 26,754 \$ \$ (29,948)  \$ (3,194) \$	\$ (0.08)    Solution	\$ (0.08) \$ (0.03)

At September 30, 2007 and 2006, 2,252,292 and 1,902,917 shares, respectively, related to stock options were not included in the dilutive earnings per share calculation because they had exercise prices above the stock price as of the respective dates.

#### **Note 10 Industry Segment Information**

Operations

We have three principal business segments that provide a broad range of banking products and services, including commercial banking, commercial finance, and consumer mortgage products and services.

(0.11) \$ (0.01) \$ (0.12)

As described in Note 2, we have recently exited the conforming, conventional mortgage banking line of business. This segment is shown in the table below as Discontinued Operations. Our other segment primarily includes the parent company, unsold portions of businesses in which we no longer engage, and eliminations.

The accounting policies of each segment are the same as those described in Note 1 - Accounting Policies, Management Judgments and Accounting Estimates.

#### **Table of Contents**

Following is a summary of each segment s revenues, net income, and assets for the years indicated:

			**		Consolidated	d	
	Commercia Banking	Commercial Finance	Home Equity Lending	Other		Discontinued Operations	l Consolidated
			(Dol	lars in thous	sands)		
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2007							
Net interest income Intersegment interest	\$27,818 (929)	\$ 20,919 (10,521)	\$ 5,747 (4,875)	\$(17,831) 16,325	\$ 36,653	\$ (550)	\$ 36,103
Other revenues Intersegment revenues	4,099	3,480	2,150 1,058	(2,697) (1,058)	7,032	(4,577)	2,455
Total net revenues Other expense	30,988 22,650	13,878 7,199	4,080 16,968	(5,261) (472)	43,685 46,345	(5,127) 23,640	38,558 69,985
Intersegment expenses	932	400	670	(2,002)			.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Income (loss) before taxes	7,406	6,279	(13,558)	(2,787)	(2,660)	(28,767)	(31,427)
Income taxes	2,697	2,471	(5,420)	(1,605)	(1,857)	(11,540)	(13,397)
Net income (loss)	\$ 4,709	\$ 3,808	\$ (8,138)	\$ (1,182)	\$ (803)	\$(17,227)	\$ (18,030)
For the Three Months Ended September 30, 2006							
Net interest income	\$29,652 618	\$ 16,759	\$ 26,935	\$(17,184)	\$ 56,162	\$ 5,856	\$ 62,018
Intersegment interest Other revenue	4,691	(7,955) 2,536	(7,574) (820)	14,911 940	7,347	901	8,248
Total net revenues	34,961	11,340	18,541	(1,333)	63,509	6,757	70,266
Other expense Intersegment expenses	22,418 691	5,783 286	18,198 812	4,465 (1,789)	50,864	28,943	79,807
intersegment expenses							
Income (loss) before taxes	11,852	5,271	(469)	(4,009)	12,645	(22,186)	(9,541) (5,224)
Income taxes	3,594	1,997	(177)	(1,864)	3,550	(8,884)	(5,334)
Net income (loss)	\$ 8,258	\$ 3,274	\$ (292)	\$ (2,145)	\$ 9,095	\$(13,302)	\$ (4,207)
			17				

#### **Table of Contents**

								Consolidate	ed		
		mmercial Banking		ommercial Finance		Home Equity Lending	Other	,	Discontinue Operations		nsolidated
					(I	Oollars in t	thousands)				
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 Net interest income Intersegment interest Other revenue Intersegment revenues	\$	82,010 (1,084) 12,234	\$	57,695 (28,898) 9,218	\$	37,448 (18,228) (851) 2,024	\$ (51,191) 48,210 (4,802) (2,024)	15,799	\$ (1,766) (12,037)	\$	124,196 3,762
Total net revenues Other expense Intersegment expenses		93,160 68,097 2,700		38,015 21,458 1,209		20,393 52,121 1,966	(9,807) 4,017 (5,875)	145,693	(13,803) 31,570		127,958 177,263
Income (loss) before taxes Income taxes		22,363 8,149		15,348 6,013		(33,694) (13,456)	(7,949) (3,213)	,			(49,305) (20,757)
Net income (loss)	\$	14,214	\$	9,335	\$	(20,238)	\$ (4,736)	\$ (1,425)	\$(27,123)	\$	(28,548)
Assets at September 30, 2007	\$3	,131,124	\$1	,251,874	\$1	,561,817	\$217,033			\$6	5,161,848
For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006 Net interest income Intersegment interest	\$	82,916 5,814	\$	46,541 (20,886)	\$	83,374 (27,678)	\$ (47,239) 42,750	\$165,592	\$ 20,236	\$	185,828
Other revenue		13,553		6,684		10,149	3	30,389	16,035		46,424
Total net revenues Other expense Intersegment expenses		102,283 64,104 2,062		32,339 16,877 840		65,845 62,683 2,519	(4,486) 11,308 (5,421)	154,972	36,271 86,183		232,252 241,155
Income (loss) before taxes Income taxes		36,117 13,245		14,622 5,525		643 283	(10,373) (4,798)		(49,912) (19,964)		(8,903) (5,709)
Net income (loss)	\$	22,872	\$	9,097	\$	360	\$ (5,575)	\$ 26,754	\$(29,948)	\$	(3,194)
Assets at September 30, 2006	\$3	,058,334	\$1	,012,502	\$1	,536,519	\$389,140			\$5	5,996,495

#### Note 11 Commitments and Contingencies

Culpepper v. Inland Mortgage Corporation

On July 2, 2007, the Court of Appeals for the 11th Circuit affirmed the decision of the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama granting summary judgment in favor of our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation), and decertifying the plaintiffs class. On July 13, 2007, plaintiffs filed a petition for a rehearing en banc. This lawsuit was originally filed in April 1996 alleging that Irwin Mortgage s payment of broker fees to mortgage brokers violated the federal Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act. In its July 2, 2007, decision, and based on the test set forth in the Department of Housing and Urban Development s 2001 policy statement on lender payments to mortgage brokers, the court of appeals affirmed summary judgment for Irwin Mortgage because the plaintiffs failed to show that the total compensation Irwin Mortgage paid to the mortgage brokers was unreasonable in light of the services provided. The court of appeals also held that the district court did not abuse its discretion in decertifying the plaintiffs class because the individualized assessment required in this type of action made class certification inappropriate. We await a decision of the court of appeals on the plaintiffs petition. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Silke v. Irwin Mortgage Corporation

In April 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, was named as a defendant in a class action lawsuit filed in the Marion County, Indiana, Superior Court. The complaint alleged that Irwin Mortgage charged a document preparation fee in violation of Indiana law for services performed by clerical personnel in completing legal documents related to mortgage loans. On June 18, 2004, the court certified a plaintiff class and held oral argument on cross-motions for summary judgment on April 30, 2007. On May 2, 2007, the Indiana Supreme Court issued an opinion in another case, *Charter One Mortgage Corporation v. Condra*, which held that the preparation of mortgage documents by non-attorneys does not necessarily constitute the practice of law and that a lender s charging a fee for the preparation does not convert it into the unauthorized practice of law. Citing the *Charter One* decision, on August

10

#### **Table of Contents**

27, 2007, the *Silke* trial court ruled in favor of Irwin Mortgage on its Motion for Summary Judgment against plaintiff class members, thus concluding this litigation.

Cohens v. Inland Mortgage Corporation

In October 2003, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, was named as a defendant, along with others, in an action filed in the Supreme Court of New York, County of Kings. The plaintiffs, a mother and two children, allege they were injured from lead contamination while living in premises allegedly owned by the defendants. The suit seeks approximately \$41 million in damages and alleges negligence, breach of implied warranty of habitability and fitness for intended use, loss of services and the cost of medical treatment. On September 15, 2005, Irwin Mortgage filed an answer and cross-claims seeking dismissal of the complaint. On October 13, 2006, Irwin Mortgage filed a motion for summary judgment. In May 2007, the court disposed of this case due to lack of prosecution by the plaintiffs but restored the action based on a motion by plaintiffs on June 28, 2007. We filed an affirmation in support of our motion for summary judgment on July 10, 2007. We have not established any reserves for this case.

Litigation in Connection with Loans Purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia

Our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, is a defendant in several actions in connection with loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia (Community).

Hobson v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company was filed on July 30, 2004 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama. As amended on August 30, 2004, the Hobson complaint, seeks certification of both a plaintiffs and a defendants class, the plaintiffs class to consist of all persons who obtained loans from Community and whose loans were purchased by Irwin Union Bank. Hobson alleges that defendants violated the Truth-in-Lending Act (TILA), the Home Ownership and Equity Protection Act (HOEPA), the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA) and the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (RICO). On October 12, 2004, Irwin filed a motion to dismiss the Hobson claims as untimely filed and substantively defective.

Kossler v. Community Bank of Northern Virginia was originally filed in July 2002 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania. Irwin Union Bank and Trust was added as a defendant in December 2004. The Kossler complaint seeks certification of a plaintiffs—class and seeks to void the mortgage loans as illegal contracts. Plaintiffs also seek recovery against Irwin for alleged RESPA violations and for conversion. On September 9, 2005, the Kossler plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Class Action Complaint. On October 21, 2005, Irwin filed a renewed motion seeking to dismiss the Kossler action.

The plaintiffs in *Hobson* and *Kossler* claim that Community was allegedly engaged in a lending arrangement involving the use of its charter by certain third parties who charged high fees that were not representative of the services rendered and not properly disclosed as to the amount or recipient of the fees. The loans in question are allegedly high cost/high interest loans under Section 32 of HOEPA. Plaintiffs also allege illegal kickbacks and fee splitting. In *Hobson*, the plaintiffs allege that Irwin was aware of Community s alleged arrangement when Irwin purchased the loans and that Irwin participated in a RICO enterprise and conspiracy related to the loans. Because Irwin bought the loans from Community, the *Hobson* plaintiffs are alleging that Irwin has assignee liability under HOEPA.

If the *Hobson* and *Kossler* plaintiffs are successful in establishing a class and prevailing at trial, possible RESPA remedies could include treble damages for each service for which there was an unearned fee, kickback or overvalued service. Other possible damages in *Hobson* could include TILA remedies, such as rescission, actual damages, statutory damages not to exceed the lesser of \$500,000 or 1% of the net worth of the creditor, and attorneys fees and costs; possible HOEPA remedies could include the refunding of all closing costs, finance charges and fees paid by the borrower; RICO remedies could include treble plaintiffs actually proved damages. In addition, the *Hobson* plaintiffs are seeking unspecified punitive damages. Under TILA, HOEPA, RESPA and RICO, statutory remedies include recovery of attorneys fees and costs. Other possible damages in *Kossler* could include the refunding of all origination fees paid by the plaintiffs.

Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company is also a defendant, along with Community, in two individual actions (*Chatfield v. Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, et al.*) filed on September 9, 2004 in the Circuit Court of Frederick County, Maryland, involving mortgage loans Irwin

Union Bank purchased from Community. On July 16, 2004, both of these lawsuits were removed to the United States District Court for the District of Maryland. The complaints allege that the plaintiffs did not receive disclosures required under HOEPA and TILA. The lawsuits also allege violations of Maryland law

19

#### **Table of Contents**

because the plaintiffs were allegedly charged or contracted for a prepayment penalty fee. Irwin believes the plaintiffs received the required disclosures and that Community, a Virginia-chartered bank, was permitted to charge prepayment fees to Maryland borrowers.

Under the loan purchase agreements between Irwin and Community, Irwin has the right to demand repurchase of the mortgage loans and to seek indemnification from Community for the claims in these lawsuits. On September 17, 2004, Irwin made a demand for indemnification and a defense to *Hobson, Chatfield* and *Ransom*. Community denied this request as premature.

In response to a motion by Irwin, the Judicial Panel On Multidistrict Litigation consolidated *Hobson, Chatfield* and *Ransom* with *Kossler* in the Western District of Pennsylvania for all pretrial proceedings. The Pennsylvania District Court had been handling another case seeking class action status, *Kessler v. RFC, et al.*, also involving Community and with facts similar to those alleged in the Irwin consolidated cases. The *Kessler* case had been settled, but the settlement was appealed and set aside on procedural grounds. Subsequently, the parties in *Kessler* filed a motion for approval of a modified settlement, which would provide additional relief to the settlement class. Irwin is not a party to the *Kessler* action, but the resolution of issues in *Kessler* may have an impact on the Irwin cases. The Pennsylvania District Court has effectively stayed action on the Irwin cases until issues in the *Kessler* case are resolved. On July 5, 2007, the *Amicus Curiae* (Friend of the Court ) appointed by the Pennsylvania District Court to evaluate the fairness of the modified *Kessler* settlement issued his Advisory Opinion, which found the proposed modified *Kessler* settlement to be fair and reasonable. We have established a reserve for the Community litigation based upon SFAS 5 guidance and the advice of legal counsel.

Putkowski v. Irwin Home Equity Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company

On August 12, 2005, our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Home Equity Corporation, and our direct subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company (collectively, Irwin ), were named as defendants in litigation seeking class action status in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for alleged violations of the Fair Credit Reporting Act. In response to Irwin s motion to dismiss filed on October 18, 2005, the court dismissed the plaintiffs complaint with prejudice on March 23, 2006. Plaintiffs filed an appeal in the U.S. Court of Appeals for the 9th Circuit on April 13, 2006. We have not established any reserves for this case.

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time engaged in various matters of litigation, including the matters described above, other assertions of improper or fraudulent loan practices or lending violations, and other matters, and we have a number of unresolved claims pending. In addition, as part of the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are parties to litigation involving claims to the ownership of funds in particular accounts, the collection of delinquent accounts, challenges to security interests in collateral, and foreclosure interests, that is incidental to our regular business activities. While the ultimate liability with respect to these other litigation matters and claims cannot be determined at this time, we believe that damages, if any, and other amounts relating to pending matters are not likely to be material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations, except as described above. Reserves are established for these various matters of litigation, when appropriate under SFAS 5, based in part upon the advice of legal counsel.

## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. About Forward-looking Statements

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements, footnotes, and tables. This discussion and other sections of this report contain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We are including this statement for purposes of invoking these safe harbor provisions.

Forward-looking statements are based on management s expectations, estimates, projections, and assumptions. These statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict and are not guarantees of future performance. In addition, our past results of operations do not necessarily indicate our future results. Words that convey our beliefs, views, expectations, assumptions, estimates, forecasts, outlook and projections or similar language, or that indicate events we believe could, would, should, may or will occur (or might not occur) or are likely

(or unlikely) to occur, and similar expressions, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These may include, among other things, statements and assumptions about:

our projected revenues, earnings or earnings per share, as well as management s short-term and long-term performance goals;

20

#### **Table of Contents**

projected trends or potential changes in asset quality (particularly with regard to loans or other exposures including loan repurchase risk, in sectors in which we deal in real estate or residential mortgage lending), loan delinquencies, charge-offs, reserves, asset valuations, capital ratios or financial performance measures;

our plans and strategies, including the expected results or costs and impact of implementing or changing such plans and strategies;

potential litigation developments and the anticipated impact of potential outcomes of pending legal matters;

predictions about conditions in the mortgage markets or mortgage industry;

the anticipated effects on results of operations or financial condition from recent developments or events; and

any other projections or expressions that are not historical facts.

We qualify any forward-looking statements entirely by these cautionary factors.

Actual future results may differ materially from what is projected due to a variety of factors, including, but not limited to:

potential deterioration of general economic conditions, particularly in sectors relating to real estate and/or mortgage lending or small business-based manufacturing;

potential changes in direction, volatility and relative movement (basis risk) of interest rates, which may affect consumer demand for our products and the management and success of our interest rate risk management strategies;

competition from other financial service providers for experienced managers as well as for customers;

staffing fluctuations in response to product demand or the implementation of corporate strategies that affect our work force;

the relative profitability of our lending operations;

the valuation and management of our portfolios, including the use of external and internal modeling assumptions we embed in the valuation of those portfolios and short-term swings in the valuation of such portfolios;

borrowers refinancing opportunities, which may affect the prepayment assumptions used in our valuation estimates and which may affect loan demand;

unanticipated deterioration in the credit quality or collectibility of our loan and lease assets, including deterioration resulting from the effects of natural disasters;

difficulties in accurately estimating the future repurchase risk of residential mortgage loans due to alleged violations of representations and warranties we made when selling the loans to the secondary market;

unanticipated deterioration or changes in estimates of the carrying value of our other assets, including securities;

difficulties in delivering products to the secondary market as planned;

difficulties in expanding our businesses and obtaining funding sources as needed;

changes in the value of our lines of business, subsidiaries, or companies in which we invest;

changes in variable compensation plans related to the performance and valuation of lines of business where we tie compensation systems to line-of-business performance;

21

#### **Table of Contents**

unanticipated outcomes in litigation;

legislative or regulatory changes, including changes in laws, rules or regulations that affect tax, consumer or commercial lending, corporate governance and disclosure requirements, and other laws, rules or regulations affecting the rights and responsibilities of our Corporation, bank or thrift;

regulatory actions that impact our Corporation, bank or thrift, including the memorandum of understanding entered into as of March 1, 2007 between Irwin Union Bank and Trust and the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago;

changes in the interpretation of regulatory capital or other rules;

the availability of resources to address changes in laws, rules or regulations or to respond to regulatory actions;

changes in applicable accounting policies or principles or their application to our business or final audit adjustments, including additional guidance and interpretation on accounting issues and details of the implementation of new accounting methods;

the final disposition of our remaining assets and obligations of our discontinued mortgage banking segment; or

governmental changes in monetary or fiscal policies.

We undertake no obligation to update publicly any of these statements in light of future events, except as required in subsequent reports we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

#### Strategy

Our strategy is to create competitive advantage within the banking industry by serving niche markets of small businesses with lending, leasing, deposit and advisory services and consumers primarily with specialized mortgage products. Our strategic objective is to create value through well-controlled, profitable growth by: i) focusing on meeting customer needs rather than simply offering banking products or services, ii) being cost-efficient in our delivery, and iii) having strong risk management systems. We believe we must continually balance these three factors in order to deliver long-term value to all of our stakeholders.

We have developed several tactics to meet these goals:

- 1. *Identify market niches*.. Based on our assessment of long-term market, customer and competitive trends and opportunities, we focus on product or market niches in banking for small businesses and consumers where our understanding of customer needs and ability to meet them creates added value that permits us not to have to compete primarily on price. We do not believe it is necessary to be the largest or leading market share company in any of our product lines to earn an adequate risk-adjusted return, but we do believe it is important that we are viewed as a preferred provider in niche segments of those product offerings. We believe the most attractive opportunities at present are in providing small businesses with lending, leasing, deposit and advisory services and consumers with specialized mortgage products.
- 2. Attract, develop and retain exceptional management with niche expertise. We participate in lines of business only when we have attracted senior managers who have proven track records in the niche for which they are responsible. Our structure allows managers to focus their efforts on understanding their customers, meeting the needs of the markets they serve cost effectively, and identifying and controlling the risks inherent in their activities. This structure also promotes accountability among managers of each segment. We attempt to create a mix of short-term and long-term rewards that provide these managers with the incentive to achieve well-controlled, profitable growth over the long term.
- 3. *Diversify capital and earnings risk*. We diversify our revenues, credit risk, and application of capital across complementary lines of business and across different regions as a key part of our risk management. For example, the customers of our commercial

#### **Table of Contents**

bank have different growth and risk profiles in the Midwest and West. These markets perform differently due to differences in local economies, affecting both demand and credit quality of our products. Our home equity segment lends to consumers on a national basis, building a diversified portfolio where demand and credit quality fluctuate depending, in part, on local market conditions. Our customers—credit needs are cyclical, but when combined in an appropriate mix, we believe they provide sources of diversification and opportunities for growth in a variety of economic conditions.

- 4. *Focus on organic growth*. We primarily focus on growth through organic expansion of existing lines of business as we believe this approach often provides a better risk/return profile. Over the past ten years, we have made only a few acquisitions. Those have typically not been in competitive bidding situations.
- 5. Identify opportunities for coordination and efficiencies across the Bank. We have recently increased our attention to the identification of areas in which we can better coordinate and consolidate non-customer facing operations within our segments. Our objective is to improve risk management and operating efficiency without diminishing our ability to provide a high level of service to our customers. Our efforts to date have focused on the centralization of certain risk management functions, as well as improvements in information technologies and procurement through shared services.
- 6. Create and maintain risk management systems appropriate to our size, scale and scope. These systems are an integral part of a well-managed banking organization and are as important to our future success as hiring good people and offering products and services in attractive niches. We are engaged in a multiyear process of enhancing our management depth and systems for assuring that we operate our businesses within the risk appetite established by our board of directors. The system we are creating provides centralized guidance and support from staff with demonstrated risk management expertise, who provide an independent perspective assessing and assisting the risk management processes and systems that are an integral part of each of our managers responsibilities.

We believe long-term growth and profitability will result from our endeavors to serve attractive niches within commercial and consumer banking, our experienced management, our diverse products and geographic markets, and our focus on risk management systems.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Accounting estimates are an integral part of our financial statements and are based upon our current judgments. Certain accounting estimates are particularly sensitive because of their significance to the financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ from our current judgments or that our use of different assumptions could result in materially different estimates. Our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended 2006 provides a description of the critical accounting policies we apply to material financial statement items, all of which require the use of accounting estimates and/or judgment.

23

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Consolidated Overview

As discussed below, the financial statements, footnotes, schedules and discussion within this report have been reformatted to conform to the presentation required for discontinued operations pursuant to our sale of our mortgage banking line of business.

	For the Three M Septemb		For the Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2007	2006	
Net income (loss) from continuing operations					
(in thousands)	\$ (803)	\$ 9,095	\$ (1,425)	\$26,754	
Net loss including discontinued operations (in					
thousands)	(18,030)	(4,207)	(28,548)	(3,194)	
Basic earnings per share from continuing					
operations	(0.04)	0.31	(0.08)	0.91	
Basic earnings per share including					
discontinued operations	(0.63)	(0.14)	(1.01)	(0.11)	
Diluted earnings per share from continuing					
operations	(0.05)	0.30	(0.11)	0.90	
Diluted earnings per share including					
discontinued operations	(0.64)	(0.14)	(1.03)	(0.12)	
Return on average equity from continuing					
operations	(0.6)%	6.7%	(0.4)%	6.7%	
Return on average assets from continuing					
operations	(0.1)%	0.6%	0.0%	0.5%	
Net interest margin			4.53%	4.67%	

#### **Consolidated Income Statement Analysis**

Net Income from Continuing Operations

We recorded a net loss from continuing operations of \$0.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007, down from net income from continuing operations of \$9.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Net loss per share (diluted) was \$0.05 for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, down from \$0.30 per share for the second quarter of 2006. For the year to date, we recorded a net loss from continuing operations of \$1.4 million or \$0.11 per diluted share compared to net income of \$26.8 million or \$0.90 per share in 2006.

Our consolidated performance in the first nine months of 2007 was negatively affected by a significant deterioration of the mortgage markets. This has lead to a material loss in the home equity and discontinued mortgage operations segments as a result of increasing provision for loan losses. During the third quarter of 2007, we provided \$28 million in loan loss provision. This provision is based on significant revisions in our expectations of future losses that have not yet been incurred. We currently believe these reserves adequately reflect our risk of loss in the current and expected environment.

#### Net Interest Income from Continuing Operations

Net interest income from continuing operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$197 million, up 3% from net interest income of \$191 million in the same period in 2006. Net interest margin for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 was 4.53%, down slightly compared to 4.67% for the same period in 2006.

24

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table shows our daily average consolidated balance sheet, interest rates and yield at the dates indicated:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 2006					
	Average Balance	Interest	Annualized Yield/ Rate (Dollars in t	Average Balance chousands)	Interest	Annualized Yield/ Rate
Assets						
Interest-earning assets: Interest-bearing deposits with						
financial institutions	\$ 52,648	\$ 2,093	5.32%	\$ 73,841	\$ 2,089	3.78%
Federal funds sold	19,667	602	4.09%	4,600	137	3.98%
Residual interests	10,196	817	10.71%	14,408	1,409	13.07%
Investment securities	137,989	5,664	5.49%	112,582	4,040	4.80%
Loans held for sale	124,528	6,677	7.17%	1,059,537	67,610	8.53%
Loans and leases, net of unearned income (1)	5,426,759	371,502	9.15%	4,776,255	318,088	8.90%
Total interest earning						
assets Noninterest-earning assets:	5,771,787	\$ 387,355	8.97%	6,041,223	\$ 393,373	8.71%
Cash and due from banks Premises and equipment,	72,880			109,991		
net	39,092			33,293		
Other assets	296,246			518,659		
Less allowance for loan						
and lease losses	(87,384)			(65,865)		
Total assets	\$ 6,092,621			\$6,637,301		
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity Interest-bearing liabilities:						
Money market checking	\$ 283,746	\$ 4,890	2.30%	\$ 376,826	\$ 6,772	2.40%
Money market savings	1,160,416	38,954	4.49%	1,174,114	35,936	4.09%
Regular savings	124,113	2,064	2.22%	133,501	1,799	1.80%
Time deposits	1,497,477	57,270	5.11%	1,585,335	54,115	4.56%
Short-term borrowings	621,873	24,329	5.23%	619,367	29,564	6.38%
Collateralized debt	1,223,586	51,491	5.63%	941,966	37,013	5.25%
Other long-term debt	233,930	13,005	7.43%	250,866	17,164	9.15%
Total interest-bearing liabilities Noninterest-bearing liabilities:	\$ 5,145,141	\$ 192,003	4.99%	\$5,081,975	\$ 182,363	4.80%

Demand deposits Other liabilities Shareholders equity	334,639 98,946 513,895		767,614 256,579 531,133		
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 6,092,621		\$ 6,637,301		
Net interest income Net interest income to average interest earning		\$ 195,352		\$ 211,010	
assets			4.53%		4.67%
Less: Net interest income from discontinued operations		(1,765)		20,264	
Net interest income from continuing operations		\$ 197,117		\$ 190,746	
(1) For purposes of these computations, nonaccrual loans are included in daily average loan amounts outstanding.		25			

#### **Table of Contents**

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses from Continuing Operations

The consolidated provision for loan and lease losses for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was \$28 million, compared to \$9 million for the same period in 2006. Year to date, the provision for 2007 was \$71 million, compared to \$25 million for the same period in 2006. More information on this subject is contained in the section on credit risk.

Noninterest Income from Continuing Operations

Noninterest income during the three months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$7 million, unchanged from the same period of 2006. Noninterest income of \$16 million was recorded for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and \$30 million for the same period in 2006. The decrease in year-to-date 2007 versus 2006 related primarily to the home equity line of business where there were higher valuation adjustments on loans held for sale, lower servicing revenues, and lower derivative gains. Details related to these fluctuations are discussed later in the home equity lending section of this document.

Noninterest Expense from Continuing Operations

Noninterest expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$46 million and \$146 million, respectively, compared to \$51 million and \$155 million for the same periods in 2006. The decrease in consolidated noninterest expense in 2007 is primarily due to decreases in the home equity line of business variable compensation costs. Details related to these fluctuations are discussed later in the home equity lending section of this document.

Income Tax Provision from Continuing Operations

Income tax benefit for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$1.9 million and \$2.5 million, compared to tax provision of \$3.6 million and \$14.3 million during the same periods in 2006. The effective tax rate in 2007 is skewed by permanent favorable tax adjustments during a period of near break-even results. The third quarter 2007 results include a \$0.4 million reversal of tax reserves.

#### **Consolidated Balance Sheet Analysis**

Total assets at September 30, 2007 were \$6.2 billion, down 1% from December 31, 2006. Average assets for the first nine months of 2007 were \$6.1 billion, down 7% from the average assets for the year ended December 31, 2006. The decline in the consolidated average balance sheet reflects the sale of the majority of the mortgage banking line of business assets, offset only in part by growth in our commercial portfolios. At September 30, 2007, \$8 million of assets from our mortgage banking segment were classified as assets held for sale on our balance sheet pending the planned sale of these assets.

**Investment Securities** 

The following table shows the composition of our investment securities at the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2007	De	31, 2006	
	(Dollars i	(Dollars in thousands)		
U.S. Treasury and government obligations	\$ 13,549	\$	13,730	
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	3,436		3,545	
Mortgage-backed securities	47,398		45,187	
Other	77,407		65,968	
Total	\$ 141,790	\$	128,430	

Table of Contents 35

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Loans Held For Sale

Loans held for sale totaled \$3 million at September 30, 2007, a decrease from a balance of \$238 million at December 31, 2006. The reduction occurred primarily at our home equity line of business where we reclassified \$167 million of mortgage loans held for sale to held for investment during the first quarter reflecting our decision not to sell into weak secondary market conditions. New production of home equity product is now being classified as held for investment. Details related to this reclassification are discussed later in the home equity lending section of this document.

#### Loans and Leases

Our commercial loans and leases are originated throughout the United States and Canada. At September 30, 2007, 93% of our loan and lease portfolio was associated with our U.S. operations. We also extend credit to consumers throughout the United States through mortgages, installment loans and revolving credit arrangements. Loans by major category for the periods presented were as follows:

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
	(Dollars in	thousands)
Commercial, financial and agricultural	\$2,290,394	\$2,249,988
Residential real estate-construction	381,462	377,601
Residential real estate-mortgage	1,737,437	1,522,616
Consumer	33,085	31,581
Commercial financing	·	
Franchise financing	838,317	699,969
Domestic leasing	307,958	296,056
Canadian leasing	459,798	358,783
Unearned income		
Franchise financing	(270,814)	(211,480)
Domestic leasing	(43,693)	(42,782)
Canadian leasing	(57,254)	(44,139)
Total	\$5,676,690	\$5,238,193

#### Allowance for Loans and Lease Losses

#### **Deposits**

Year-to-date deposits for 2007 averaged \$3.4 billion compared to deposits for the year 2006 that averaged \$4.0 billion. Year-to-date demand deposits for 2007 averaged \$0.3 billion, a 56% decrease over the average balance for the year 2006. In 2006, demand deposits totaling \$0.4 billion in 2006 related to deposits at Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company (IUBT) which were associated with escrow accounts held on loans in the servicing portfolio at the discontinued mortgage banking line of business. These escrow accounts

27

#### **Table of Contents**

were transferred out of IUBT in early 2007 in connection with the transfer of mortgage servicing rights at the mortgage banking line of business.

Irwin Union Bank and Trust utilizes institutional broker-sourced deposits as funding to supplement deposits solicited through branches and other wholesale funding sources. At September 30, 2007, institutional broker-sourced deposits totaled \$0.6 billion, compared to \$0.5 billion at December 31, 2006. *Short-Term Borrowings* 

Year-to-date short-term borrowings for 2007 averaged \$622 million compared to an average of \$544 million for the year 2006. Short-term borrowings totaled \$539 million at September 30, 2007, compared to \$602 million at December 31, 2006. The increase in average short-term borrowings during 2007 compared to 2006 reflects declining deposit balances during 2007.

Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged \$489 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, with an average rate of 5.1%. At September 30, 2007 the balance was \$465 million at an interest rate of 5.1%. The maximum outstanding during any month end during the nine months of 2007 was \$565 million. Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings averaged \$322 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, with an average rate of 4.9%. The balance at December 31, 2006 was \$372 million at an interest rate of 5.0%. The maximum outstanding during any month end during 2006 was \$609 million.

Collateralized and Other Long-Term Debt

Collateralized debt totaled \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2007, compared to \$1.2 billion at December 31, 2006. The bulk of these borrowings resulted from securitization of portfolio loans at the home equity lending line of business that results in loans remaining as assets and debt being recorded on the balance sheet. The securitization debt represents match-term funding for these loans.

Other long-term debt totaled \$234 million at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006. We have obligations represented by subordinated debentures totaling \$204 million with our wholly-owned trusts that were created for the purpose of issuing these securities. The subordinated debentures were the sole assets of the trusts at September 30, 2007. In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46 (FIN 46), Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities (revised December 2004), we deconsolidate the wholly-owned trusts that issued the trust preferred securities. As a result, these securities are no longer consolidated on our balance sheet. Instead, the subordinated debentures held by the trusts are disclosed on the balance sheet as other long-term debt. *Capital* 

Year-to-date shareholders equity averaged \$514 million during 2007, down 2% compared to the average for the year 2006. Shareholders equity balance of \$489 million at September 30, 2007 represented \$16.25 per common share, compared to \$17.30 per common share at December 31, 2006. We paid \$3.5 million and \$10.5 million in dividends for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, reflecting an increase of \$0.01 and \$0.03 per share, respectively, compared to a year ago. We also paid \$0.3 million and \$1.0 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, respectively, in preferred dividends on our noncumulative perpetual preferred stock.

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth our capital and regulatory capital ratios at the dates indicated:

	September 30, 2007	1	December 31, 2006
	(Dollars i	n thou	sands)
Tier 1 capital	\$ 657,218	\$	712,403
Tier 2 capital	141,246		125,351
Total risk-based capital	\$ 798,464	\$	837,754
Risk-weighted assets	\$6,174,811	\$	6,258,927
Risk-based ratios:			
Tier 1 capital	10.6%		11.4%
Total capital	12.9		13.4
Tier 1 leverage ratio	10.8		11.5
Ending shareholders equity to assets	7.9		8.5
Average shareholders equity to assets	8.4		8.1

At September 30, 2007, our total risk-adjusted capital ratio was 12.9% exceeding our Policy internal minimum of 11.0% (we have a higher Policy minimum, 12.0%, at our principal subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust). At December 31, 2006, our total risk-adjusted capital ratio was 13.4%. Our ending equity to assets ratio at September 30, 2007 was 7.9% compared to 8.5% at December 31, 2006. Our Tier 1 capital totaled \$657 million as of September 30, 2007, or 10.6% of risk-weighted assets.

Retained earnings increased by \$1.7 million during 2007 as a result of our adoption of FAS 156 related to accounting for mortgage servicing rights. In accordance with this pronouncement, we recorded as an increase to retained earnings a \$1.7 million one time (tax-affected) cumulative adjustment on January 1, 2007.

### **Cash Flow Analysis**

Year-to-date, our cash and cash equivalents decreased \$60 million during 2007, compared to a decrease of \$24 million during the same period in 2006. Cash flows from operating activities provided \$0.2 billion in cash and cash equivalents for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 compared to the same period in 2006 when our operations provided \$1.1 billion in cash and cash equivalents. Year-to-date in 2007, accounts receivable decreased \$163 million which increased cash provided by operating activities during that period.

### **Earnings Outlook**

Our strategy is to seek opportunities for well-controlled, profitable growth by serving niche markets while attempting to mitigate the impact of changes in interest rates and economic conditions on our credit retained portfolios. Although at present we are not meeting our targets, we believe this strategy can, over time, provide above market growth rates in earnings per share and return on equity. Prior to 2005, a meaningful amount of our earnings, in many years, came from our conforming conventional first mortgage segment. We decided to exit this line of business in 2006 due to changes in the environment and competitive conditions. Opportunities in our remaining three segments continue to grow across the U.S. and, in our commercial finance segment, also in Canada. We believe this growth will contribute in a meaningful way to the Corporation s future success.

Our consolidated performance in 2007 has been negatively affected by a significant deterioration of the mortgage markets. This has lead to a material loss in the home equity and discontinued mortgage operations segments as a result of increasing provisions for loan losses. During the third quarter of 2007, we provided \$27 million in loan loss provision and \$17 million in additional reserves for potential loan repurchases. These provisions represent valuation adjustments due to current market conditions and our expectations of future losses that have not yet been incurred.

Home equity s performance continues to be challenged by the adverse conditions in the industry. The segment provides credit and geographic diversification for commercial portfolios. If we are able to return it to acceptable financial performance, we believe in the long run it can generate excess capital to grow the commercial segments.

Achieving this strategic intent is proving quite difficult in the short term as we are in the midst of making significant structural changes in this segment in the face of an external market environment undergoing extensive

29

#### **Table of Contents**

disruption resulting from increased losses in the mortgage industry. If our initiatives are successful and the external market environment normalizes, we believe that this segment can achieve both our financial goals of double digit earnings growth and a return in excess of the cost of capital.

We have taken substantial steps to reduce our home equity operating expenses. Since July 1, 2007 we have reduced the number of employees by approximately 130, as compared to staff of approximately 460 as of June 30, 2007. Management is actively reassessing the operating expense of the segment. During the third quarter of 2007, \$1.1 million of severance costs were incurred. Additional steps will be taken in the fourth quarter, including the reduction of production and related staffing. This includes the consolidation and reduction of the east coast mortgage operations center in Charlotte and certain additional positions in an effort to align costs with current market opportunities. The Corporation believes the bulk of these severance, lease termination, and fixed-asset disposal costs will be recognized in the fourth quarter, although certain actions are likely to carry over into early 2008.

In addition to the steps we are taking in the home equity segment, we are in the midst of taking action elsewhere in the Corporation where our results have been disappointing, to align staffing with the current environment. We have not completed our plans, but in combination with expected actions at the home equity segment, we expect future restructuring charges in the range of \$10 to \$15 million pre-tax, with the expectation that the bulk of this will be reflected in the fourth quarter

Our methodology for developing reserves for loan repurchase risk is described further in the section of this report on Discontinued Operations. While we currently believe these reserves adequately reflect our risk of loss in the current and expected environment, we are using these models to project losses during an environment with little precedent. As an example, from January through July of 2007, we had received an average of 17 repurchase requests per month, with modest month-to-month volatility. During August, the number of requests spiked to 33, only to slow to 12 in September (and at the time of writing of this filing, less than 15 in October). We will update the reserve models as more data is available.

In summary, the current environment is one in which accurate earnings forecasts are difficult to make. We believe our two commercial segments will continue to deliver profitable results over the near-term, with good quarterly improvement. The external environment is making it much more difficult, however, to assess near-term conditions in the mortgage markets. We believe we have adequately addressed loan loss and repurchase risk in our home equity segment and Discontinued Operation through the significant reserves we have taken in recent months. Assuming external conditions do not deteriorate further and prior to the anticipated restructuring charges noted above, we expect a return to modest Continuing Operations profitability during the fourth quarter and good earnings in 2008.

30

## **Table of Contents**

## **Earnings by Line of Business**

Irwin Financial Corporation is composed of three principal lines of business: Commercial Banking

Commercial Finance

## Home Equity Lending

The following table summarizes our net income (loss) by line of business for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Mont Septem	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
		(Dollars in	thousands)	
Net income (loss):				
Commercial Banking	\$ 4,709	\$ 8,258	\$ 14,214	\$ 22,872
Commercial Finance	3,808	3,274	9,335	9,097
Home Equity Lending	(8,138)	(292)	(20,238)	360
Other (including consolidating entries)	(1,182)	(2,145)	(4,736)	(5,575)
Net income (loss) from continuing operations	(803)	9,095	(1,425)	26,754
Discontinued operations	(17,227)	(13,302)	(27,123)	(29,948)
Net (loss) income	\$ (18,030)	\$ (4,207)	\$ (28,548)	\$ (3,194)
	31			

## **Commercial Banking**

The following table shows selected financial information for our commercial banking line of business:

		nths Ended aber 30,		nths Ended nber 30,
	2007	2006	2007	2006
		(Dollars	in thousands)	
<b>Selected Income Statement Data:</b>				
Interest income	\$ 58,729	\$ 58,445	\$ 175,984	\$ 170,513
Interest expense	(28,740)	(26,507)	(86,517)	(77,302)
Net interest income	29,989	31,938	89,467	93,211
Provision for loan and lease losses	(3,100)	(1,668)	(8,541)	(4,481)
Noninterest income	4,099	4,691	12,234	13,553
Total net revenue	30,988	34,961	93,160	102,283
Operating expense	(23,582)	(23,109)	(70,797)	(66,166)
Income before taxes	7,406	11,852	22,363	36,117
Income taxes	(2,697)	(3,594)	(8,149)	(13,245)
Net income	\$ 4,709	\$ 8,258	\$ 14,214	\$ 22,872
Performance Ratios:				
Return on Average Equity	8.05%	14.76%	8.14%	14.38%
			September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
			(Dollars in t	housands)
Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Pe	riod:			
Assets			\$3,131,124	\$3,103,547
Securities and short-term investments			63,696	55,116
Loans and leases			2,941,207	2,901,029
Allowance for loan and lease losses			(27,457)	(27,113)
Interest-bearing deposits			2,390,799	2,270,946
Non-interest bearing deposits			385,116	364,434
Shareholder s equity			234,331	241,556
Daily Averages:			<b>\$2.127.2</b> 52	<b>\$2.1.12.12</b> 0
Assets			\$3,137,263	\$3,143,439
Loans and leases			2,895,985	2,797,853
Allowance for loan and lease losses			(26,761)	(26,175)
Deposits			2,759,638	2,826,446
Shareholder s equity			233,439	218,076
Shareholder s equity to assets			0.00%	6.95%
Selected Operating Data:			0.4107	0.120
Delinquency ratio			0.41%	0.13%
Overview				

Our commercial banking line of business focuses on providing credit, cash management and personal banking products to small businesses and business owners. We offer commercial banking services through our banking subsidiaries, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, an Indiana state-chartered commercial bank, and Irwin Union Bank, F.S.B., a federal savings bank.

32

### **Table of Contents**

The following tables show the geographic composition of our commercial banking loans and our core deposits:

	<b>September 30, 2007</b>			Decer		
Markets	Loans Outstanding	Percent of Total	Weighted Average Coupon (Dollars in t	Loans Outstanding thousands)	Percent of Total	Weighted Average Coupon
Indianapolis Western and Central	\$ 536,525	18.2%	7.4%	\$ 561,343	19.3%	7.6%
Michigan	475,341	16.2	7.4	519,348	17.9	7.7
Phoenix	470,766	16.0	7.4	452,919	15.6	7.9
Southern Indiana	461,845	15.7	7.1	475,051	16.4	7.2
Las Vegas	186,587	6.3	7.9	154,218	5.3	8.1
Other	810,143	27.6	7.5	738,150	25.5	7.9
Total	\$2,941,207	100.0%	7.4%	\$2,901,029	100.0%	7.7%
			Weighted			Weighted
	Core Deposits	Percent of Total	Average Coupon	Core Deposits	Percent of Total	Average Coupon
Indianapolis Western and Central	\$ 283,969	11.7%	2.6%	\$ 259,835	10.8%	2.4%
Michigan	205,602	8.5	3.3	231,666	9.7	3.4
Phoenix	237,583	9.8	3.6	179,502	7.5	3.4
Southern Indiana	739,561	30.5	2.8	630,060	26.3	2.8
Las Vegas	443,733	18.3	4.1	467,708	19.5	4.1
Other	517,855	21.2	3.2	631,268	26.2	3.5
Total	\$2,428,303	100.0%	3.2%	\$2,400,039	100.0%	3.3%

### Net Income

Commercial banking net income totaled \$4.7 million during the third quarter of 2007 compared to \$8.3 million for the same period in 2006. Year-to-date net income totaled \$14.2 million in 2007 compared to net income of \$22.9 million in 2006.

## Net Interest Income

The following table shows information about net interest income for our commercial banking line of business:

	<b>Three Months E</b>	nded September	<b>Nine Months Ended September</b>				
	3	0,	30,				
	2007	2006	2007	2006			
	(Dollars in thousands)						
Net interest income	\$ 29,989	\$ 31,938	\$ 89,467	\$ 93,211			
Average interest earning assets	2,997,178	2,964,055	3,035,892	3,055,665			
Net interest margin	3.97%	4.27%	3.94%	4.08%			

Net interest income was \$30 million for the third quarter of 2007, a decrease of 6% over third quarter of 2006. Net interest income year to date in 2007 also decreased 4% over the same period in 2006. The 2007 decline in net interest

income resulted primarily from a change in mix of liabilities toward higher cost deposits as well as a shift in mix of our loan portfolio from higher rate adjustable loans to lower fixed rate loans resulting from the inverted yield curve that has been prevalent over the time periods compared. Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by average interest earning assets. Net interest margin for the three months ended September 30, 2007 was 3.97%, compared to 4.27% for the same period in 2006. Year-to-date net interest margin for 2007 was 3.94%, compared to 4.08% for 2006. The decrease in 2007 margin reflects competitive conditions and unfavorable repricing of loans and deposits.

33

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision in the third quarter of 2007 was \$3.1 million compared to \$1.7 million for the same period in 2006. The provision increased to \$8.5 million during the nine months ending September 30, 2007, compared to a provision of \$4.5 million during the same period in 2006. The increased year-to-date provision relates primarily to a loss identified in the first quarter related to a commercial credit in Michigan. With respect to this credit, we believe the borrower will be unable to repay the majority of the loan as we discovered what we believe were misrepresentations about collateral offered for the loan. As such, we took a charge-off of \$4.1 million related specifically to this loan during the first quarter. Credit quality at the commercial banking line of business has declined throughout the year, reflecting economic slow down in several of our markets, but is still consistent with our historic experiences.. See further discussion in the Credit Quality section below.

Noninterest Income

The following table shows the components of noninterest income for our commercial banking line of business:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,				
		2007		2006		2007		2006
			(1	Dollars in	thou	sands)		
Trust fees	\$	566	\$	478	\$	1,680	\$	1,456
Service charges on deposit accounts		1,019		1,086		2,814		3,146
Insurance commissions, fees and premiums		405		368		1,426		1,527
Gain from sales of loans		457		822		1,474		1,834
Loan servicing fees		351		377		1,100		1,141
Amortization & impairment of servicing assets		(268)		(303)		(836)		(838)
Brokerage fees		468		329		1,219		989
Other		1,101		1,534		3,357		4,298
Total noninterest income	\$	4,099	\$	4,691	\$	12,234	\$	13,553

Noninterest income during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 decreased 13% and 10%, respectively, over the same periods in 2006. This decline relates primarily to lower gains on sales of loans, lower service charges on deposit accounts and to a loss on sale of other real estate owned (OREO) during 2007.

### Operating Expenses

(1) On a full time equivalent basis.

Operating expenses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$24 million and \$71 million, respectively, an increase of 2% and 7% over the same periods in 2006. The increase in operating expenses primarily resulted from increased premises and equipment costs due to our recent office expansions.

34

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Balance Sheet

Total assets at September 30, 2007 were \$3.1 billion, unchanged from December 31, 2006. Earning assets for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 averaged \$3.0 billion, unchanged from the same period in 2006. Average core deposits for the third quarter of 2007 totaled \$2.4 billion, a decrease of 1% over average core deposits in the second quarter 2007.

## Credit Quality

The allowance for loan losses to total loans is 0.93% at September 30, 2007, unchanged from 0.93% at December 31, 2006. Total nonperforming assets were \$29 million at September 30, 2007, an increase of \$10 million versus year end 2006. Other real estate owned was \$8.5 million at September 30, an increase of \$4.1 million compared to the year-end 2006 balance. The increase in nonperforming loans is primarily attributable to a single credit in a western market which was placed on non-accrual at quarter-end, but which we believe has limited loss exposure. Nonperforming loans are not significantly concentrated in any industry category, although a greater than average amount of our nonperforming loans are located in our Michigan markets.

The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets in this line of business and our allowance for loan losses.

	September 30,	Do	ecember 31,
	2007		2006
	(Dollars in	n thou	sands)
Nonperforming loans	\$ 20,596	\$	14,455
Other real estate owned	8,512		4,423
Total nonperforming assets	\$ 29,108	\$	18,878
Nonperforming assets to total assets	0.93%		0.61%
Allowance for loan losses	\$ 27,457	\$	27,113
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	0.93%		0.93%

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2007	2006	2007	2006		
	(Dollars in thousands)					
Provision for loan losses	\$ 3,100	\$ 1,668	\$ 8,541	\$ 4,481		
Net charge-offs	2,118	1,315	8,197	2,621		
Net charge-offs to average loans	0.29%	0.19%	0.38%	0.13%		

The following table shows the ratio of nonperforming assets to total loans by market for the periods indicated:

	September 30,	December 31,
Markets	2007	2006
Indianapolis	0.55%	0.24%
Western and Central Michigan	2.85%	2.72%
Southern Indiana	0.16%	0.14%
Phoenix	0.44%	0.52%
Las Vegas	0.01%	0.00%
Other	1.21%	0.06%
Total	0.99%	0.65%

#### **Commercial Finance**

The following table shows selected financial information for our commercial finance line of business for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ende September 30,				
		2007		2006		2007		2006
				(Dollars	in thous	ands)		
<b>Selected Income Statement Data:</b>								
Net interest income	\$	13,258	\$	10,417	\$	38,189	\$	30,217
Provision for loan and lease losses		(2,860)		(1,613)		(9,392)		(4,562)
Noninterest income		3,480		2,536		9,218		6,684
Total net revenue		13,878		11,340		38,015		32,339
Operating expense		(7,599)		(6,069)	(	(22,667)		(17,717)
Income before taxes		6,279		5,271		15,348		14,622
Income taxes		(2,471)		(1,997)		(6,013)		(5,525)
Net income	\$	3,808	\$	3,274	\$	9,335	\$	9,097
<b>Selected Operating Data:</b>								
Net charge-offs	\$	1,651	\$	1,211	\$	5,686	\$	2,826
Net interest margin		4.44%		4.27%		4.59%		4.47%
Total funding of loans and leases	\$ 1	185,478	\$	147,056	\$ 4	188,831	\$ 4	131,537
Return on average equity		13.70%		18.02%		12.14%		17.75%
					-	aber 30,	Dec	ember 31,
						007		2006
					(	Dollars in t	thousa	nds)
Selected Balance Sheet Data at End of Pe	eriod:				Ф1.05	1.074	Φ.1	072.550
Total assets					\$1,25	•		,073,552
Loans and leases					-	4,312	1,	,056,406
Allowance for loan and lease losses					,	6,790)		(13,525)
Shareholders equity					11	5,728		88,587
Overview								

We established this line of business in 1999. We offer commercial finance products and services through a direct subsidiary of our banking subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust, an Indiana state-chartered commercial bank and its direct and indirect subsidiaries. In this segment, we provide small ticket lease financing on a variety of small business equipment in the United States and Canada as well as equipment and leasehold improvement financing for franchisees (mainly in the quick service restaurant sector) in the United States. In 2006, we expanded our product line to include professional practice financing and information technology leasing to middle and upper middle market companies throughout the United States and Canada.

We provide cost-competitive, service-oriented financing alternatives to small businesses generally and to franchisees. We utilize direct and indirect sales forces to distribute our products. In the small ticket lease channel, with an average lease size of approximately \$30 thousand in our portfolio, our sales efforts focus on providing lease solutions for vendors and manufacturers. The majority of our leases are full payout (no residual), small-ticket assets secured by commercial equipment. We finance a variety of commercial, light industrial and office equipment. Within the franchise channel, the financing of equipment and real estate is structured as loans. The loan amounts average

36

## **Table of Contents**

## Portfolio Characteristics

The following tables show the geographic composition of our commercial finance loans and leases:

	September 30, 2007			December 31, 2006
United States				
California		11.7%		12.4%
Texas		7.5		5.9
New York		4.1		5.0
New Jersey		4.1		3.5
All other states		40.0		43.3
Total United States		67.4%		70.1%
Canada (1)				
Ontario		8.2%		7.3%
British Columbia		7.5		7.0
Quebec		7.4		7.1
Alberta		6.6		5.8
All other provinces		2.9		2.6
Total Canada		32.6%		29.9%
Total		100.0%		100.0%
Total Portfolio	\$	1,234,312	\$	1,056,406

## (1) In U.S. dollars

The following table provides certain information about the loan and lease portfolio of our commercial finance line of business at the dates shown:

	September Decem 30, 31, 2007 200 (Dollars in thousan				
Domestic franchise loans	\$ 567,503	\$	488,489		
Weighted average coupon	9.34%		8.79%		
Delinquency ratio	0.30		0.16		
Domestic leases	\$ 264,265	\$	253,274		
Weighted average coupon	10.83%		10.32%		
Delinquency ratio	2.30		1.72		
Canadian leases (1)	\$ 402,544	\$	314,644		
Weighted average coupon	9.16%		9.13%		
Delinquency ratio	0.45		0.36		

### (1) In U.S. dollars.

Net Income

During the three months ended September 30, 2007, the commercial finance line of business recorded net income of \$3.8 million, a 15% increase over the \$3.3 million earned during the same period in the prior year. Year to date, the commercial finance line of business earned \$9.3 million compared to \$9.1 million for the same period in the prior year. The 2007 increase in earnings is attributable primarily to higher net interest income related to a larger portfolio as well as higher gains from sales of loans.

37

Net Interest Income

The following table shows information about net interest income for our commercial finance line of business:

	<b>Three Months</b>	<b>Nine Months September</b>					
	30,	30,					
	2007	2006	2007	2006			
Net interest income	(Dollars in thousands)						
	\$ 13,258	\$ 10,417	\$ 38,189	\$ 30,217			
Average interest earning assets	1,184,323	968,008	1,113,288	903,603			
Net interest margin	4.44%	4.27%	4.59%	4.47%			

Net interest income was \$13 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, an increase of 27% over 2006. Year-to-date net interest income was \$38 million compared to \$30 million in 2006. The improvement in net interest income resulted primarily from an increase in our portfolio. The total loan and lease portfolio has increased to \$1.2 billion at September 30, 2007, an increase of 17% over year-end 2006 and an increase of 24% over September 30, 2006. This line of business originated \$185 million and \$489 million in loans and leases during the third quarter and year-to-date 2007, respectively, compared to \$147 million and \$432 million during the same periods of 2006. The portfolio increased \$55 million as of September 30, 2007 relative to December 31, 2006 due solely to the increased value of the Canadian dollar relative to the U.S. dollar.

Net interest margin is computed by dividing net interest income by average interest earning assets. Net interest margin for the third quarter of 2007 was 4.44% compared to 4.27% in 2006 for the same period. Year-to-date margins improved to 4.59% in 2007 compared to 4.47% during the same period in 2006. The increase in 2007 margin is due primarily to higher loan fees.

Provision for Loan and Lease Losses

The provision for loan and lease losses increased to \$9.4 million during the first nine months in 2007 compared to \$4.6 million for the same period in 2006. The third quarter provision increased to \$2.9 million versus \$1.6 million during the same period in 2006. The increased provisioning levels relate primarily to losses identified in the domestic small ticket leasing component of the commercial finance portfolio.

Noninterest Income

The following table shows the components of noninterest income for our commercial finance line of business:

	Three Moi Septem	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2007	2006	2007	2006
		(Dollars in	thousands)	
Gain from sales of loans	\$ 1,768	\$ 939	\$ 4,805	\$ 2,191
Derivative (losses) gains, net	(51)	26	(325)	(192)
Other	1,763	1,571	4,738	4,685
Total noninterest income	\$ 3,480	\$ 2,536	\$ 9,218	\$ 6,684

Noninterest income during the three months ended September 30, 2007 increased 37% over the same period in 2006. Year-to-date noninterest income was \$9.2 million, compared to \$6.7 million in the same period of 2006. Included in noninterest income were gains that totaled \$1.8 million and \$4.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared to gains of \$0.9 million and \$2.2 million during the same periods in 2006. These 2007 gains were the result of whole loan sales of \$32 million and \$66 million, respectively, for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007. In addition to whole loan sales, we also sold \$2 million and \$39 million, respectively, in participations of our franchise loan portfolio during the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2007. We had no loan participation sales in 2006.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Operating Expenses**

The following table shows the components of operating expenses for our commercial finance line of business:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			led	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2007		2006		2007		2006
			(	Dollars in	thous	ands)		
Salaries and employee benefits	\$	4,629	\$	3,340	\$	14,112	\$	9,921
Other		2,970		2,729		8,555		7,796
Total operating expenses	\$	7,599	\$	6,069	\$	22,667	\$	17,717
Efficiency ratio Number of employees at period end <sup>(1)</sup>		45.40%		46.85%		47.81% 192		48.01% 195

# (1) On a full time

equivalent basis.

Operating expenses during the third quarter and year-to-date in 2007 totaled \$7.6 million and \$22.7 million, respectively, an increase of 25% and 28% over the same periods in 2006. The increased salaries and benefits expense relates to the continued growth in this business, including compensation costs related to higher production levels, infrastructure and staffing development, as well as incentive compensation costs related to profitability. *Credit Quality* 

The commercial finance line of business had nonperforming loans and leases at September 30, 2007 of \$6.5 million, compared to \$5.4 million as of December 31, 2006. The year-over-year increase in nonperforming loans was largely attributable to a decline in credit quality in our domestic lease portfolio. Net charge-offs recorded by this line of business totaled \$1.7 million for the third quarter of 2007, compared to \$1.2 million for the third quarter of 2006. Net charge-offs year to date were \$5.7 million, up from the \$2.8 million of net charge-offs recorded year to date in 2006. Our allowance for loan and lease losses at September 30, 2007 totaled \$16.8 million, representing 1.36% of loans and leases, compared to a balance at December 31, 2006 of \$13.5 million, or 1.28% of loans and leases.

The following table shows information about our nonperforming loans and leases in this line of business and our allowance for loan and lease losses:

	September	December 31,	
	30,		
	2007	2006	
	(Dollars in	thousands)	
Nonperforming loans	\$ 6,459	\$ 5,374	
Allowance for loan losses	16,790	13,525	
Allowance for loan losses to total loans	1.36%	1.28%	

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006
		(Dollars in	thousands)	
Provision for loan losses	\$ 2,860	\$ 1,613	\$ 9,392	\$ 4,562
Net charge-offs	1,651	1,211	5,686	2,826
Annualized net charge-offs to average loans	0.56%	0.50%	0.69%	0.42%
	39			

**Three Months Ended** 

September 30,

(Dollars in thousands)

2006

**Nine Months Ended** 

September 30,

(Dollars in thousands)

2006

2007

## **Table of Contents**

## **Home Equity Lending**

The following table shows selected financial information for the home equity lending line of business:

2007

<b>Selected Income Statement Data:</b>						
Net interest income	\$ 23,405	\$ 25,215	\$	72,442	\$	71,807
Provision for loan and lease losses	(22,533)	(5,854)		(53,222)		(16,111)
Noninterest income	3,208	(820)		1,173		10,149
Total net revenues	4,080	18,541		20,393		65,845
Operating expenses	(17,638)	(19,010)		(54,087)		(65,202)
Income (loss) before taxes	(13,558)	(469)		(33,694)		643
Income taxes	5,420	177		13,456		(283)
Net income (loss)	\$ (8,138)	\$ (292)	\$	(20,238)	\$	360
Selected Operating Data:						
Loan volume:						
Lines of credit	\$ 7,554	\$ 29,940	\$	27,909	\$	124,859
Loans	96,005	224,250		388,008		625,039
Net home equity charge-offs to average						
managed portfolio	3.10%	0.86%		2.77%		0.82%
Gain on sale of loans to loans sold	0.94%	0.65%		0.53%		1.16%
			Camba	h am 20	Dag	a la a 21
			_	mber 30,	Dec	ember 31,
			_	2007		2006
Selected Balance Sheet Data:			_			2006
Selected Balance Sheet Data: Total assets			2	2007 (Dollars in t	thousa	2006 nds)
Total assets			\$1,5	2007 (Dollars in t	chousar \$1,	2006 nds) ,617,219
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup>			\$1,5 1,5	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919	chousar \$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses			\$1,5 1,5	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981)	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614)
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale			\$1,5 1,5	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests			\$1,5 1,5 (	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets			\$1,5 1,5	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047	\$1, 1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests			\$1,5 1,5 (	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets Short-term borrowings Collateralized debt			\$1,5 1,5 (	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641 13,174	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163 948,939
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets Short-term borrowings Collateralized debt Shareholders equity			\$1,5 1,5 (	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets Short-term borrowings Collateralized debt			\$1,5 1,5 ( 2 1,0	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641 13,174	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163 948,939
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets Short-term borrowings Collateralized debt Shareholders equity Selected Operating Data:			\$1,5 1,5 ( 2 1,0	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641 13,174 84,007	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163 948,939 155,791
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets Short-term borrowings Collateralized debt Shareholders equity Selected Operating Data: Total managed portfolio balance			\$1,5 1,5 ( 2 1,0	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641 13,174 84,007 53,606	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163 948,939 155,791
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets Short-term borrowings Collateralized debt Shareholders equity Selected Operating Data: Total managed portfolio balance Delinquency ratio <sup>(2)</sup>			\$1,5 1,5 ( 2 1,0	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641 13,174 84,007 53,606	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163 948,939 155,791
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets Short-term borrowings Collateralized debt Shareholders equity Selected Operating Data: Total managed portfolio balance Delinquency ratio <sup>(2)</sup> Weighted average coupon rate:			\$1,5 1,5 ( 2 1,0	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641 13,174 84,007 53,606 4.7%	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163 948,939 155,791 .708,975 3.2%
Total assets Home equity loans and lines of credit <sup>(1)</sup> Allowance for loan losses Home equity loans held for sale Residual interests Mortgage servicing assets Short-term borrowings Collateralized debt Shareholders equity Selected Operating Data: Total managed portfolio balance Delinquency ratio <sup>(2)</sup> Weighted average coupon rate: Lines of credit			\$1,5 1,5 ( 2 1,0	2007 (Dollars in t 61,817 00,919 59,981) 3,732 3,250 22,047 81,641 13,174 84,007 53,606 4.7% 11.16%	\$1,	2006 nds) .617,219 .280,497 (33,614) 236,636 2,760 28,231 446,163 948,939 155,791 .708,975 3.2%

Includes \$1.2 billion and \$1.1 billion of collateralized loans at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006, respectively, pledged as part of securitized financings.

## (2) Nonaccrual

loans are included in the delinquency

ratio.

### Overview

Our home equity lending line of business originates, purchases, sells, and services a variety of mortgage loans nationwide. We offer mortgage products through our banking subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust, an Indiana state-chartered commercial bank and its direct subsidiary. We market our mortgage loans (generally using second mortgage liens, but also including first mortgage liens) principally through brokers and correspondents, and also through the Internet. We seek to serve creditworthy homeowners who are active credit users.

40

#### **Table of Contents**

We offer mortgage loans with combined loan-to-value (CLTV) ratios of up to 125% of their collateral value to borrowers we believe have prime credit-quality. Mortgage loans are priced using a proprietary model, taking into account, among other factors, the credit history of our customer and the relative loan-to-value (LTV) ratio of the loan at origination. For most of our home equity product offerings, we offer customers the choice to accept an early repayment fee in exchange for a lower interest rate. Generally and historically, we either sell loans through whole loan sales or we fund these loans on balance sheet through warehouse lines or secured, term financings. In recent months, the secured, term funding market has been disrupted and, therefore, we have begun funding the loans we retain in portfolio using deposit or other wholesale sources. In an effort to manage portfolio concentration risk and to comply with existing banking regulations, we have policies in place governing the size of our investment in loans secured by real estate where the LTV is greater than 90%.

Production and Portfolio Characteristics

The following table shows the geographic composition of our home equity managed portfolio:

State	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006
California	9.7%	10.2%
Michigan	7.9	7.8
Colorado	7.6	7.0
Ohio	6.7	6.2
Florida	6.5	7.8
All other states	61.6	61.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%

Total managed portfolio in thousands

\$ 1.653.606 \$ 1.708.975

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, loans with loan-to-value ratios greater than 100%, but less than 125% (high LTVs, or HLTVs) constituted 53% of our loan originations. HLTV s represented 54% of our managed portfolio for this line of business at September 30, 2007. In the current environment, there is not an active secondary market for these loans. As such, we have taken steps to limit production of these loans to the amount we can hold in our portfolio. HLTVs constituted 47% of our managed portfolio at December 31, 2006. Approximately 68%, or \$1.1 billion, of our home equity managed portfolio at September 30, 2007 was originated with early repayment provisions.

The following table provides a breakdown of our home equity lending managed portfolio by product type, outstanding principal balance and weighted average coupon for the following periods:

	<b>September 30, 2007</b>			<b>December 31,2006</b>			
			Weighted			Weighted	
			Average			Average	
		% of			% of		
	Amount	Total	Coupon	Amount	Total	Coupon	
			(Dollars i	n thousands)			
Loans ≤ 100% CLTV Lines of credit ≤ 100%	\$ 445,641	26.95%	9.06%	\$ 536,387	31.39%	9.10%	
CLTV	259,976	15.72	9.92	319,415	18.69	9.96	
	47,813	2.89	7.67	44,727	2.62	7.37	

First mortgages ≤	100%
CLTV	

Total ≤ 100% CLTV	753,430	45.56	9.27	900,529	52.70	9.32
Loans > 100% CLTV	781,372	47.25	12.49	677,119	39.62	12.36
Lines of credit $> 100\%$						
CLTV	89,660	5.42	14.57	101,683	5.95	14.55
First mortgages > 100%						
CLTV	23,771	1.44	8.48	22,916	1.34	8.48
Total > 100% CLTV	894,803	54.11	12.59	801,718	46.91	12.53
Other (including	694,603	34.11	12.39	801,718	40.91	12.33
discontinued products)	5,373	0.33	14.16	6,728	0.39	15.03
discontinued products)	5,575	0.55	14.10	0,720	0.57	13.03
Total managed						
portfolio <sup>(1)</sup>	\$ 1,653,606	100.00%	11.08%	\$ 1,708,975	100.00%	10.85%
_						

(1) We define our managed portfolio as the portfolio (\$1.7 billion) that we service and on which we carry credit risk. At September 30, 2007, we also serviced another \$0.8 billion of loans for which the credit risk is held by others.

41

## **Table of Contents**

The following table shows the composition of our loan volume by categories for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			
Product	2007	2006		
	(Funding amount			
First mortgage loans				
Funding Amount	\$ 23,416	\$ 15,716		
Weighted Average Disposable Income	5,526	4,707		
Weighted Average FICO score	703	686		
Weighted Average Coupon	8.35%	8.43%		
First mortgage loans up to 110%				
Funding Amount	\$	\$ 10,726		
Weighted Average Disposable Income		6,872		
Weighted Average FICO score		703		
Weighted Average Coupon	0.00%	8.53%		
Home equity loans up to 100% CLTV				
Funding Amount	\$ 15,405	\$ 131,859		
Weighted Average Disposable Income	5,436	6,788		
Weighted Average FICO score	707	705		
Weighted Average Coupon	10.68%	11.52%		
Home equity loans up to 125% CLTV				
Funding Amount	\$ 57,184	\$ 65,949		
Weighted Average Disposable Income	4,906	4,346		
Weighted Average FICO score	700	699		
Weighted Average Coupon	13.54%	12.84%		
Home equity lines of credit up to 100% CLTV				
Funding Amount	\$ 2,297	\$ 25,439		
Weighted Average Disposable Income	6,890	6,582		
Weighted Average FICO score	710	686		
Weighted Average Coupon	11.21%	10.49%		
Home equity lines of credit up to 125% CLTV				
Funding Amount	\$ 5,256	\$ 4,501		
Weighted Average Disposable Income	5,489	5,118		
Weighted Average FICO score	693	682		
Weighted Average Coupon	15.02%	15.72%		
All Products				
Funding Amount	\$ 103,559	\$ 254,190		
Weighted Average Disposable Income	5,199	5,817		
Weighted Average FICO score	701	700		
Weighted Average Coupon	11.97%	11.52%		

Table of Contents 60

42

#### **Table of Contents**

#### Net Income

Our home equity lending business recorded a net loss of \$8.1 million during the three months ended September 30, 2007, compared to a net loss for the same period in 2006 of \$0.3 million. A year-to-date loss of \$20.2 million was recorded through September 30, 2007, compared to net income of \$0.4 million during the same period a year earlier. *Net Revenue* 

Net revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 totaled \$4 million and \$20 million, respectively, compared to net revenue for the same periods in 2006 of \$19 million and \$66 million. The decrease in revenues is primarily a result of higher loan loss provision.

During the third quarter of 2007, our home equity lending business produced \$104 million of home equity loans, compared to \$254 million during the same period in 2006. The decrease in volume principally reflects unsettled conditions in the secondary market and frequent changes to underwriting guidelines to address changing market conditions. The table below shows our originations by channel for the periods shown:

	For the Three	Months Ended	For the Nine Months Ended		
	Septem	ber 30,	Septem	ber 30,	
	2007	2006	2007	2006	
		(Dollars	in thousands)		
Total originations	\$103,559	\$254,190	\$ 415,917	\$ 749,898	
Percent correspondent	31%	21%	37%	26%	
Percent retail loans	9	11	8	17	
Percent brokered	60	31	53	28	
Percent other		37	2	29	

Our home equity lending business had \$1.5 billion of net loans and loans held for sale at September 30, 2007 unchanged from December 31, 2006. Included in the loan balance at September 30, 2007 were \$1.2 billion of collateralized loans as part of secured financings.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding net revenue for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September					
				30,					
	2007	2006	2007			2006			
		(Dolla	rs in t						
Net interest income	\$ 23,405	\$ 25,215	\$	72,442	\$	71,807			
Provision for loan losses	(22,533)	(5,854)		(53,222)		(16,111)			
Gain on sales of whole loans	223	496		893		3,740			
Gain on intercompany transactions	985			2,087		(36)			
Valuation adjustment on loans held for sale	(86)	(404)		(8,158)		(6,149)			
Gain (loss) on sales of loans	1,122	92		(5,178)		(2,445)			
Loan servicing fees	4,065	5,830		14,343		24,024			
Amortization of servicing assets	(24)	(5,040)		(92)		(17,034)			
(Impairment) recovery of servicing assets	(2,395)			(8,995)		984			
Derivative (losses) gains	(39)	(2,788)		(321)		3,053			
Other	479	1,086		1,416		1,567			
Total net revenue	\$ 4,080	\$ 18,541	\$	20,393	\$	65,845			

Net interest income decreased to \$23 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007, compared to \$25 million for the same period in 2006. Year-to-date net interest income for 2007 was \$72 million, unchanged from 2006. Net interest margin for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was 5.96% and 6.16% compared to

6.86% and 6.21% for the same periods in 2006, respectively.

43

#### **Table of Contents**

Provision for loan losses increased to \$23 million in the third quarter of 2007, compared to \$6 million during the same period in 2006. The increased provision was required due to higher delinquencies and charge offs during the quarter. Year-to-date provision for loan losses was \$53 million in 2007 compared to \$16 million in 2006. The increase in provision reflects declining credit quality of home equity loans throughout 2007. During the third quarter, the actual and expected performance of portfolio loans continued to deteriorate, leading to the need to provide additional reserves for probable loan losses. We expect weaknesses in this portfolio to continue as long as challenging conditions in the mortgage market persist.

We completed whole loan sales during the third quarter of 2007 of \$24 million compared to \$76 million during the same period in 2006. During the third quarter of 2007 and 2006, we recognized a gain on sale of loans totaling \$0.2 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. In addition, net charge offs in our loans held for sale classification reduced the gain on sale during the quarter. As mentioned previously, \$167 million of loans were reclassified to held for investment during the first quarter.

Loan servicing fees totaled \$4 million during the third quarter of 2007 compared to \$6 million during the same period in 2006. Year-to-date loan servicing fees totaled \$14 million, compared to \$24 million during the same period in 2006. The servicing portfolio underlying the mortgage servicing asset totaled \$1.0 billion at September 30, 2007 compared to \$1.4 billion at September 30, 2006. Included in loan servicing fees are incentive servicing fees (ISFs). As part of certain whole loan sales, we have the right to an incentive servicing fee that will provide cash payments to us once a pre-established return for the certificate holders and certain structure-specific loan credit and servicing performance metrics are met. At September 30, 2007, we were receiving incentive fees for six transactions that met these performance metrics. During the third quarter of 2007, we earned \$1.4 million in ISF fees, compared to \$0.7 million during the same period in 2006. Year to date, we earned \$5.1 million in ISF fees in 2007, compared to \$6.3 million during 2006. The following table summarizes ISF revenue recognized by quarter:

	2	004	2005	2006	2007		
	(Dollars in thousands)						
First Quarter	\$	471	\$ 325	\$ 1,387	\$ 1,933		
Second Quarter		234	681	4,278	1,708		
Third Quarter		244	851	659	1,424		
Fourth Quarter			481	2,757	NA		
Year to date	\$	949	\$ 2,338	\$ 9,081	\$ 5,065		

Amortization and impairment of servicing assets includes amortization expenses and valuation adjustments relating to the carrying value of servicing assets. We determine fair value of the home equity lending servicing asset using discounted cash flows and assumptions as to estimated future servicing income and cost that we believe market participants would use to value similar assets. In addition, we periodically assess these modeled assumptions for reasonableness through independent third-party valuations. Year-to-date servicing asset amortization and impairment expense totaled \$9 million during 2007, compared to \$16 million during 2006. This decrease reflects a smaller portfolio and reduced prepayment speeds. During the first quarter of 2007, the home equity lending line of business adopted the fair value method of accounting for mortgage servicing rights in accordance with SFAS 156. As a result, we are no longer amortizing servicing rights underlying high loan-to-value first mortgages and second mortgages. Rather, we are adjusting the fair value each quarter and recognizing a fair value adjustment through impairment on the income statement.

We originate fixed rate loans that are susceptible to decreases in value in a period of increasing interest rates. To protect against such decreases, we enter into derivative contracts. Derivative losses were \$39 thousand for the third quarter of 2007 and we had derivative losses of \$0.3 million year to date. This compares with losses of \$2.8 million and gains \$3.1 million for the same periods in 2006. The decrease in derivative gains in 2007 versus 2006 is due to fewer derivative contracts outstanding.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Operating Expenses**

The following table shows operating expenses for our home equity lending line of business for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2007	•	2006		2007		2006
	(Dollars in	thousa	nds)		(Dollars in	thousa	nds)
Salaries and employee benefits Other	\$ 11,172 6,466	\$	11,247 7,763	\$	33,725 20,362	\$	39,975 25,227
Total operating expenses	\$ 17,638	\$	19,010	\$	54,087	\$	65,202
Number of employees at period end (1)					464		485

## (1) On a full time

equivalent basis.

Operating expenses were \$18 million and \$54 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared to \$19 million and \$65 million for the same periods in 2006. Operating expenses declined in 2007 primarily due to a restructuring and downsizing of the retail channel which took place in the second quarter of 2006 and other cost cutting initiatives.

During the third quarter of 2007, we reserved \$1.1 million in severance expenses related to our efforts to right size the organization in light of the current market and earnings environment.

Home Equity Servicing

Our home equity lending business continues to service certain of the loans it has securitized and sold. We earn a servicing fee of approximately 50 to 100 basis points of the outstanding principal balance of the loans securitized. The total servicing portfolio was \$2.5 billion at September 30, 2007, compared to \$2.9 billion at December 31, 2006. For whole loans sold with servicing retained totaling \$0.5 billion at September 30, 2007 and \$0.7 billion at December 31, 2006, we capitalize servicing fees including rights to future early repayment fees. During the first quarter of 2007, we adopted the fair value method under FAS 156. Adoption of this new standard resulted in a \$2.9 million increase in our servicing asset to adjust its value to fair market value. We recorded a one time (tax-affected) cumulative adjustment to retained earnings of \$1.7 million to reflect the adoption of this new standard. The servicing asset at September 30, 2007 was \$22 million, down from \$28 million at December 31, 2006 reflecting the decrease in underlying loan balances and changes in fair value due to changes in market conditions and interest rates.

Our managed portfolio, representing that portion of the servicing portfolio on which we have retained credit risk, is separated into two categories: \$1.5 billion of loans originated and held on balance sheet either as loans held for investment or loans held for sale, and \$0.2 billion of loans and lines of credit securitized for which we retained a residual interest. In both cases, we retain credit and interest rate risk.

Included below in the category Credit Risk Sold, Potential Incentive Servicing Fee Retained Portfolio are \$0.5 billion of loans at September 30, 2007 and \$0.6 billion of loans at December 31, 2006 for which we have the opportunity to earn an incentive servicing fee. Although the credit performance of these loans we have sold is one factor that can affect the value of the incentive servicing fee, we do not have direct credit risk in these pools.

45

#### **Table of Contents**

The following table sets forth certain information for these portfolios. The managed portfolio includes those loans we service with credit risk retained. Delinquency rates and losses on our managed portfolio result from a variety of factors, including loan seasoning, portfolio mix, and general economic conditions.

	<b>September 30, 2007</b>		December 31, 2006 Dollars in thousands		•	September 30, 2006		
Managed Portfolio		`						
Total Loans	\$1	,653,606	\$1,708,975			\$1,648,236		
30 days past due		4.72%		3.16%	3.07%			
90 days past due	2.06		1.19			1.18		
Annualized QTD Net Chargeoff Rate	3.10		1.50		0.86			
Quarterly Net Chargeoffs	\$	12,945	\$	6,383	\$	3,530		
Unsold Loans								
Total Loans (1)	\$1,498,239		\$1,515,881		\$ 3	\$1,438,952		
30 days past due	5.05%		3.54%			3.49%		
90 days past due		2.25		1.32		1.35		
Annualized QTD Net Chargeoff Rate		3.41		1.71		0.98		
Quarterly Net Chargeoffs	\$	12,884	\$	6,383	\$	3,468		
Loan Loss Reserve	\$	59,981	\$	33,614	\$	31,403		
Owned Residual								
Total Loans	\$	155,368	\$	193,094	\$	209,285		
30 days past due		1.45%		0.20%		0.12%		
90 days past due		0.33		0.18				
Annualized QTD Net Chargeoff Rate		0.15				0.11		
Quarterly Net Chargeoffs	\$	61	\$		\$	63		
Residual Undiscounted Losses	\$	800	\$	430	\$	380		
Credit Risk Sold, Potential Incentive Servicing Fee Retained Portfolio								
Total Loans	\$	491,551	\$	627,838	\$	694,973		
30 days past due		5.87%		5.40%		4.41%		
90 days past due		2.21		2.30		1.57		

(1) Excludes deferred fees and costs.

### **Discontinued Operations-Mortgage Banking**

In 2006, we sold to five separate purchasers the mortgage banking line of business—origination operation, including the majority of this segment—s loans held for sale, as well as the majority of this segment—s capitalized mortgage servicing rights. In January 2007, we transferred certain assets associated with this segment—s servicing platform and placed the bulk of our remaining staff with a sixth buyer. Staff continue to work at IMC through the wind-down of our remaining assets, managing repurchased loans and collecting outstanding documents required for the completion of servicing transfers.

As discussed in Note 2 to the Financial Statements, we are reporting the results of our mortgage banking business as discontinued operations. In addition, certain of the remaining assets for this segment have been reclassified as held for sale in the consolidated balance sheet.

Secondary market conditions negatively affected the continuation of the wind-down and disposition costs of the discontinued mortgage operations. During the third quarter, the discontinued segment lost \$17.2 million, compared to losses of \$5.9 million in the second quarter and \$4.0 million during the first quarter. The majority of our current losses relate to credit costs for alleged breaches of representations and warranties made when loans were sold to the secondary market. Our risk for repurchases extends through the life

46

#### **Table of Contents**

of the loans we originated prior to the sale of the segment in 2006. Historically, the emergence period for the majority of these repurchase requests has been within three to four years after origination.

During the third quarter, requests for these repurchases increased materially. Additionally, the principal cause of the repurchase requests changed meaningfully during the quarter, with now a majority of the requests being based on the appearance of misrepresentation by borrowers or third-parties involved in the loan origination. To account for this increase in frequency and likely severity of loss from repurchased loans, during the third quarter we modified the method by which we estimate future loss risk. We use a statistical model to estimate future repurchase obligations. The model is based on a quantitative methodology similar to a vintage loss forecast, which projects losses based upon the age of loans. Loss curves have been developed based on historical data of repurchased loans, including the most recent activity where losses have been elevated. This analysis of historic actual repurchases is used to calculate expected lifetime and annual estimates of repurchases. As with vintage loss forecasts, this methodology takes into account differences in the quality of loans that were originated each year, as well as the likelihood of an investor demanding repurchase as loans age. Future losses are then calculated based on the expected counts of repurchases, average loan amounts, and loss given default (LGD) percentages, which are also based on historical performance. Data are segmented by loan type (for example, government versus conventional), type of investor (e.g., agency or private), and repurchase demand types (make whole, indemnified and repurchase). Based upon these factors, LGD s were developed in a range of 7% to 52%. We have estimated losses from repurchases for 2007 through 2011, which is the expected end of the repurchase cycle loans originated in this segment. Application of this revised model resulted in an additional \$17 million being added to our future repurchase reserve during the quarter.

The reserve for repurchase risk does not include an estimate of amounts the Corporation may be able to recover from third parties on whom it relied in the origination of these loans it is now at risk of repurchasing. This recovery effort is expected to produce meaningful returns.

While we believe these reserves fully reflect our risk of loss in the current and expected environment, we are using these models to project losses during an environment with little precedent. These provisions and reserves, which represent valuation adjustments, are based on significant revisions due to current market conditions in our expectations of future losses that have not yet been incurred. As an example, from January through July of 2007, we had received an average of 17 repurchase requests per month, with modest month-to-month volatility. During August, the number of requests spiked to 33, only to slow to 12 in September (and at the time of writing of this filing, less than 15 in October). Given that the period for which data is available for some vintages is very short, the extrapolation in the model may not be reliable and could result in significant changes to reserves derived from those vintages in future periods. We will update the reserve models as more data is available.

In addition, the loss during the third quarter includes a \$3 million mark-to-market adjustment on the remaining assets that we are currently marketing for sale.

### **Parent and Other**

Results at the parent company and other businesses totaled a net loss of \$1.2 million and \$4.7 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, compared to a loss of \$2.1 million and \$5.6 million during the same periods in 2006. These losses at the parent company primarily relate to operating and interest expenses in excess of management fees charged to the lines of business and interest income earned on intercompany loans. Parent company operating results also include allocations to our subsidiaries of interest expense related to our interest-bearing capital obligations. During the nine month period ended September 30, 2007, we allocated \$13 million of these expenses to our subsidiaries, compared to \$10 million during the same period in 2006.

Each subsidiary pays taxes to us at the statutory rate. Subsidiaries also pay fees to us to cover direct and indirect services. In addition, certain services are provided from one subsidiary to another. Intercompany income and expenses are calculated on an arm s-length, external market basis and are eliminated in consolidation.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Risk Management**

We are engaged in businesses that involve the assumption of risks including:

Credit risk

Liquidity risk

Market risk (including interest rate and foreign exchange risk)

Operational risk

Compliance risk

The Board of Directors has primary responsibility for establishing the Corporation s risk appetite and overseeing its risk management system. Primary responsibility for management of risks within the risk appetite set by the Board of Directors rests with the managers of our business units, who are responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control systems and procedures that are appropriate for their operations. To provide an independent assessment of line management s risk mitigation procedures, we have established a centralized enterprise-wide risk management function. To maintain independence, this function is staffed with managers with substantial expertise and experience in various aspects of risk management who are not part of line management. They report to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO), who in turn reports to the Risk Management Committee of our Board of Directors. Our Internal Audit function independently audits both risk management activities in the lines of business and the work of the centralized enterprise-wide risk management function and reports directly to the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Given the changes in the scope of the Corporation, our efforts to date to improve our risk management systems, and heightened industry and regulatory focus around credit, market, liquidity, operational and compliance risks, the Board, having reviewed and evaluated results of reports from Internal Audit, Risk Management, and regulatory exams, embarked in 2006 on a comprehensive review of our risk management systems. These assessments were conducted at the Board's direction by a third-party to ensure independence and access to best-in-class practices. As a result of these assessments, management has developed a program of risk management improvement steps that it has begun implementing on an enterprise-wide basis.

The objective of formal processes to manage risk is to ensure that risk is contained within the risk appetite established by our Board of Directors and expressed through policy guidelines and limits. In addition, we attempt to take risks only when we are adequately compensated for the level of risk assumed.

Our CEO, Executive Vice President, CFO, Senior Vice President, and Chief Risk Officer meet on a regularly-scheduled basis (or more frequently as appropriate) as an Enterprise-wide Risk Management Committee (ERMC), reporting to the Board of Directors Risk Management Committee. Our Chief Risk Officer, who reports directly to the Risk Management Committee, chairs the ERMC. To ensure coordination between Risk Committee and the Audit Committee,, the Chair of each committee is a member of the other committee.

Each of our principal risks is managed directly at the line of business level, with oversight and, when appropriate, standardization provided by the ERMC and its subcommittees. The ERMC and its subcommittees oversee all aspects of our credit, market, operational and compliance risks. The ERMC provides senior-level review and enhancement of line of business risk management processes and oversight of our risk reporting and assessment and model parameter changes.

Credit Risk

The assumption of credit risk is a key source of our earnings. However, the credit risk in our loan portfolios has the most potential for a significant effect on our consolidated financial performance. Each of our segments has a Chief Credit Officer with expertise specific to the product line and manages credit risk through various combinations of the use of lending policies, credit analysis and approval procedures, periodic loan reviews, servicing activities, and/or personal contact with borrowers. Commercial loans over a certain size, depending on the loan type and structure, are reviewed by a loan committee prior to approval. We perform independent

## **Table of Contents**

loan review across the Corporation through a centralized function that reports directly to the head of Credit Risk Management who in turn reports to the Chief Risk Officer.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is an estimate based on our judgment applying the principles of SFAS 5, Accounting for Contingencies, SFAS 114, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan, and SFAS 118, Accounting by Creditors for Impairment of a Loan Income Recognition and Disclosures. The allowance is maintained at a level we believe is adequate to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan and lease portfolio. We perform an assessment of the adequacy of the allowance at the segment level no less frequently than on a quarterly basis and through review by a subcommittee of the ERMC.

Within the allowance, there are specific and expected loss components. The specific loss component is based on a regular analysis of all loans over a fixed-dollar amount where the internal credit rating is at or below a predetermined classification. From this analysis we determine the loans that we believe to be impaired in accordance with SFAS 114. Management has defined impaired as nonaccrual loans. For loans determined to be impaired, we measure the level of impairment by comparing the loan's carrying value using one of the following fair value measurement techniques: present value of expected future cash flows, observable market price, or fair value of the associated collateral. An allowance is established when the collateral value of the loan implies a value that is lower than carrying value. In addition to establishing allowance levels for specifically identified higher risk graded or high delinquency loans, management determines an allowance for all other loans in the portfolio for which historical or projected experience indicates that certain losses will occur. These loans are segregated by major product type, and in some instances, by aging, with an estimated loss ratio or migration pattern applied against each product type and aging category. For portfolios that are too new to have adequate historical experience on which to base a loss estimate, we use estimates derived from industry experience and management s judgment. The loss ratio or migration patterns are generally based upon historic loss experience or historic rate migration behaviors, respectively, for each loan type adjusted for certain environmental factors management believes to be relevant.

Net charge-offs for the three months ended September 30, 2007 in our held for investment portfolio were \$16 million, or 1.2% of average loans, compared to \$5 million, or 0.4% of average loans during the same period in 2006. Year-to-date net charge-offs were \$41 million, compared to \$14 million during the same period in 2006. The increase in charge-offs and allowance is principally due to the increase in charge-offs in the home equity segment, which have totaled \$27 million thus far in 2007 compared to \$8 million during the comparative period in 2006. At September 30, 2007, the allowance for loan and lease losses was 1.8% of outstanding loans and leases, compared to 1.4% at year-end 2006.

Total nonperforming loans and leases at September 30, 2007, were \$61 million compared to \$38 million at December 31, 2006. Nonperforming loans and leases as a percent of total loans and leases at September 30, 2007 were 1.1%, an increase from 0.7% at December 31, 2006. Other real estate we owned and other repossessed assets totaled \$22 million at September 30, 2007, up from \$15 million at December 31, 2006. Total nonperforming assets at September 30, 2007 were \$82 million, or 1.3% of total assets compared to nonperforming assets at December 31, 2006 of \$58 million, or 0.9% of total assets.

49

## **Table of Contents**

The following table shows information about our nonperforming assets at the dates shown:

	September 30, 2007 (Dollars i	2	ember 31, 2006 ands)
Accruing loans past due 90 days or more: Commercial, financial and agricultural loans Consumer loans Commercial financing Domestic leasing	\$ 104 78	\$	73 83
Foreign leasing	87 510		236 392
Nonaccrual loans and leases: Commercial, financial and agricultural loans Real estate mortgages Consumer loans Commercial financing Franchise financing Domestic leasing Foreign leasing	18,845 34,704 378 1,165 3,069 1,896 60,057		13,296 18,125 696 791 2,495 1,768 37,171
Total nonperforming loans and leases	60,567		37,563
Nonperforming Loans held for Sale not guaranteed Other real estate owned	2,367 19,273		5,564 15,170
Total nonperforming assets	\$82,207	\$	58,297
Nonperforming loans and leases to total loans and leases	1.1%		0.7%
Nonperforming assets to total assets	1.3%		0.9%

For the periods presented, the balances of any restructured loans are reflected in the table above either in the amounts shown for accruing loans past due 90 days or more or in the amounts shown for nonaccrual loans and leases. The nonperforming assets at September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were held at our lines of business as follows:

	September 30, 2007	December 31, 2006		
	(In m	(In millions)		
Commercial banking	\$ 29	\$ 19		
Commercial finance	8	5		

Home equity lending 39 23 Mortgage banking 6 11

The increase in nonperforming loans is primarily attributable to a single credit in a western market which was placed on non-accrual at quarter-end, but which we believe has limited loss exposure.

50

#### **Table of Contents**

Generally, the accrual of income is discontinued when the full collection of principal or interest is in doubt, or when the payment of principal or interest has become contractually 90 days past due unless the obligation is both well secured and in the process of collection. Loans are charged-off upon evidence of expected loss or 180 days past due, whichever comes first.

Liquidity Risk

Liquidity is the availability of funds to meet the daily requirements of our business. For financial institutions, demand for funds results principally from extensions of credit, withdrawal of deposits, and maturity of other funding liabilities. Liquidity is provided through deposits and short-term and long-term borrowings, by asset maturities or sales, and through equity capital.

The objectives of liquidity management are to ensure that funds will be available to meet current and future demands and that funds are available at a reasonable cost. Since loan assets are less marketable than securities and, therefore, need less volatile liability funding, the ratio of total loans to total deposits is a traditional measure of liquidity for banks and bank holding companies. At September 30, 2007, the ratio of loans (which excludes loans held for sale) to total deposits was 162%. We permanently fund a significant portion of our loans with secured financings, which effectively eliminates liquidity risk on these assets until we elect to exercise a cleanup call. The ratio of loans to total deposits after reducing loans for those funded with secured financings was 118%.

Our deposits consist of two primary types: non-maturity transaction account deposits and certificates of deposit (CDs). Core deposits exclude jumbo CDs, brokered CDs, and public fund CDs. Core deposits totaled \$2.5 billion at September 30, 2007, compared to \$2.4 billion at December 31, 2006.

Non-maturity transaction account deposits are generated by our commercial banking line of business and include deposits placed into checking, savings, money market and other types of deposit accounts by our customers. These types of deposits have no contractual maturity date and may be withdrawn at any time. While these balances fluctuate daily, a large percentage typically remains for much longer. At September 30, 2007, these deposit types totaled \$1.7 billion, unchanged from December 31, 2006. We monitor overall deposit balances daily with particular attention given to larger accounts that have the potential for larger daily fluctuations and which are at greater risk to be withdrawn should there be an industry-wide or bank-specific event that might cause uninsured depositors to be concerned about the safety of their deposits. On a monthly basis we model the expected impact on liquidity from moderate and severe liquidity stress scenarios as one of our tools to ensure that our liquidity is sufficient.

CDs differ from non-contractual maturity accounts in that they have contractual maturity dates. We issue CDs both directly to customers and through brokers. As of September 30, 2007, CDs issued directly to customers totaled \$0.6 billion, an increase of \$0.1 billion from December 31, 2006. Brokered CDs are typically considered to have higher liquidity (renewal) risk than CDs issued directly to customers, since brokered CDs are often done in large blocks and since a direct relationship does not exist with the depositor. In recognition of this, we manage the size and maturity structure of brokered CDs closely. For example, the maturities of brokered CDs are laddered to mitigate liquidity risk. CDs issued through brokers totaled \$0.6 billion at September 30, 2007, and had an average remaining life of 16 months, a \$0.1 billion increase and a 1 month decrease, respectively, from December 31, 2006.

Escrow account deposits are related to the servicing of our originated first mortgage loans. At September 30, 2007, these escrow balances totaled \$2 million, a \$322 million decrease from December 31, 2006. We sold the majority of our mortgage servicing rights in the third quarter of 2006 and transferred the servicing and related escrows in early January 2007. These deposits had been used primarily to fund mortgage loans prior to the sale of our first mortgage business.

Short-term borrowings consist of borrowings from several sources. Our largest borrowing source is the Federal Home Loan Bank of Indianapolis (FHLBI). We utilize their collateralized borrowing programs to help fund qualifying first mortgage, home equity and commercial real estate loans. As of September 30, 2007, FHLBI borrowings outstanding totaled \$0.5 billion, a \$0.1 billion increase from December 31, 2006. We had sufficient collateral pledged to FHLBI at September 30, 2007 to borrow an additional \$0.4 billion, if needed.

In addition to borrowings from the FHLBI, we use other lines of credit as needed. We have two lines of credit subject to compliance with certain financial covenants set forth in these facilities including, but not limited to, nonperforming loans. We are in compliance with all applicable covenants as of September 30, 2007.

#### **Table of Contents**

Table of Contents

At September 30, 2007, the amount of short-term borrowings outstanding on our major credit lines and the total amount of the borrowing lines were as follows:

Lines of credit with correspondent banks, including fed funds lines: none outstanding out of \$175 million available but not committed

Lines of credit with non-correspondent banks, including fed funds lines: \$74 million outstanding

Warehouse lines of credit and conduits to fund Canadian sourced small ticket leases: \$275 million outstanding on \$387 million of borrowing facilities

In order to further diversify our funding sources, we have recently developed an internet deposit platform. Element Financial (<a href="https://www.element-direct.com">https://www.element-direct.com</a>) offers CDs nationally in denominations of \$5 thousand and larger. This initiative was released late in the second quarter of 2007 and, as a result, we had raised \$59 million of deposits through this channel as of September 30, 2007.

Market Risk (including Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Risk)

Because all of our assets are not perfectly match-funded with like-term liabilities, our earnings are affected by interest rate changes. Interest rate risk is measured by the sensitivity of both net interest income and fair market value of net interest sensitive assets to changes in interest rates.

Our corporate-level asset-liability management committee (ALMC) oversees the interest rate risk profile of all of our lines of business. It is supported by ALMCs at each of our lines of business and monitors the repricing structure of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items. It uses a financial simulation model to measure the potential change in market value of all interest-sensitive assets and liabilities and also the potential change in earnings resulting from changes in interest rates. We incorporate many factors into the financial models, including prepayment speeds, prepayment fee income, deposit rate forecasts for non-maturity transaction accounts, caps and floors that exist on some variable rate instruments, embedded optionality and a comprehensive mark-to-market valuation process. We reevaluate risk measures and assumptions regularly, enhance modeling tools as needed, and, on an approximately annual schedule, have the model validated by internal audit or an out-sourced provider under internal audit s direction.

Our lines of business assume interest rate risk in the form of repricing structure mismatches between their loans and leases and funding sources. We manage this risk by adjusting the duration of their interest sensitive liabilities and through the use of hedging via financial derivatives.

Our discontinued mortgage banking segment held a material amount of mortgage servicing rights (MSRs) as part of its strategy and operations. Having sold the mortgage segment, we do not expect ownership or the related hedging of remaining MSRs to be a material item. As of September 30, 2007, the amount of interest rate risk at our discontinued mortgage banking segment is not material. Our commercial banking and home equity lines of business assume interest rate risk by holding MSRs (\$25 million at September 30, 2007). Among other items, a key determinant to the value of MSRs is the prevailing level of interest rates. The primary exposure to interest rates is the risk that rates will decline, possibly increasing prepayment speeds on loans and decreasing the value of MSRs. MSRs have traditionally been recorded at the lower of cost or fair market value. We adopted SFAS 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets on our high loan-to-value first lien and home equity segment second lien mortgages during the first quarter of 2007. This adoption requires full mark-to-market on the designated servicing assets, eliminating the lower-of-cost or market treatment. Our decisions on the degree to which we manage servicing right interest risk with derivative instruments to insulate against short-term price volatility depend on a variety of factors.

The following tables reflect our estimate of the present value of interest sensitive assets, liabilities, and off-balance sheet items at September 30, 2007. In addition to showing the estimated fair market value at current rates, they also provide estimates of the fair market values of interest sensitive items based upon a hypothetical instantaneous and permanent move both up and down 100 and 200 basis points in the entire yield curve.

77

#### **Table of Contents**

The first table is an economic analysis showing the present value impact of changes in interest rates, assuming a comprehensive mark-to-market environment. The second table is an accounting analysis showing the same net present value impact, adjusted for expected GAAP treatment. Neither analysis takes into account the book values of the noninterest sensitive assets and liabilities (such as cash, accounts receivable, and fixed assets), the values of which are not directly determined by interest rates.

The analyses are based on discounted cash flows over the remaining estimated lives of the financial instruments. The interest rate sensitivities apply only to transactions booked as of September 30, 2007, although certain accounts are normalized whereby the three- or six-month average balance is included rather than the period-end balance in order to avoid having the analysis skewed by a significant increase or decrease to an account balance at period end.

The tables that follow should be used with caution.

The net asset value sensitivities do not necessarily represent the changes in the lines of business net asset value that would actually occur under the given interest rate scenarios, as sensitivities do not reflect changes in value of the companies as a going concern, nor consider potential rebalancing or other management actions that might be taken in the future under asset/liability management as interest rates change.

The tables below show modeled changes in interest rates for individual asset and liability classes. Asset and liability classes in our portfolio have interest rate sensitivity tied to different underlying indices or instruments. While the rate sensitivity of individual classes presented below is our best estimate of changes in value due to interest rate changes, the total potential change figures are subject to basis risk if all yield curves do not move in parallel as the model assumes.

Few of the asset classes shown react to interest rate changes in a linear fashion. That is, the point estimates we have made at Current and +/-2% and +/-1% are appropriate estimates at those amounts of rate change, but it may not be accurate to interpolate linearly between those points. This is most evident in products that contain optionality in payment timing or pricing such as mortgage servicing or nonmaturity transaction deposits.

Present Value at Sentember 30, 2007

Finally, the tables show theoretical outcomes for dramatic changes in interest rates which do not consider potential rebalancing or repositioning of hedges and balance sheet mix.

## **Economic Value Change Method**

<b>%</b>
0%
/0
1,705
3,425
1,443
1,108
4,329
2,010
5,570)
3,871)
7,891)
3, 1, 1, 4, 2,

Total interest sensitive liabilities	(	(5,572,368) (5,517,420)		(5,461,962) (5,401,184)		(5,337,332)			
Net market value as of September 30, 2007	\$	577,938	\$	549,663	\$ 522,390	\$	501,319	\$	484,678
Change from current	\$	55,548	\$	27,273	\$	\$	(21,071)	\$	(37,712)
Net market value as of June 30, 2007		523,922	\$	499,632	\$ 475,978	\$	457,731	\$	449,135
Potential change	\$	47,944	\$	23,654	\$	\$	(18,247)	\$	(26,843)
(1) Includes certain debt which is categorized as collateralized borrowings in other sections of this document				53					

### **GAAP-Based Value Change Method**

	Present Value at September 30, 2007 Change in Interest Rates of:				
	-2%	-1%	Current	+1%	+2%
		(	In Thousands)		
<b>Interest Sensitive Assets</b>					
Loans and other assets (1)	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Loans held for sale	3,253	3,253	3,253	3,084	2,895
Mortgage servicing rights	20,871	23,028	25,324	27,866	29,122
Residual interests	11,128	11,055	11,005	11,013	11,108
Interest sensitive financial derivatives	(15,729)	(8,275)	(1,033)	6,379	14,329
Total interest sensitive assets	19,523	29,061	38,549	48,342	57,454
Interest Sensitive Liabilities Deposits (1) Short-term borrowings (1) Long-term debt (1)					
Total interest sensitive liabilities <sup>(1)</sup> Net market value as of September 30, 2007	\$ 19,523	\$ 29,061	\$ 38,549	\$ 48,342	\$ 57,454
	•		,		,
Potential change	\$ (19,026)	\$ (9,488)	\$	\$ 9,793	\$ 18,905
Net market value as of June 30, 2007	\$ 47,661	\$ 58,020	\$ 67,619	\$ 76,927	\$85,718
Potential change	\$ (19,958)	\$ (9,599)	\$	\$ 9,308	\$ 18,099

(1) Value does not change in GAAP presentation

Off-Balance Sheet Instruments

In the normal course of our business as a provider of financial services, we are party to certain financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk to meet the financial needs of our customers. These financial instruments include loan commitments and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amounts recognized on the consolidated balance sheet. We follow the same credit policies in making commitments and contractual obligations as we do for our on-balance sheet instruments.

Our exposure to credit loss, in the form of nonperformance by the counterparty on commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit, is represented by the contractual amount of those instruments. Collateral pledged for standby letters of credit and commitments varies but may include accounts receivable; inventory; property, plant, and equipment; and residential real estate. Total outstanding commitments to extend credit at both September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 were \$1.0 billion. We had \$18 million in irrevocable standby letters of credit outstanding at both September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Financial derivatives are used as part of the overall asset/liability risk management process. We use certain derivative instruments that qualify and certain derivative instruments that do not qualify for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. The derivatives that do not qualify for hedge treatment are classified as other assets and other liabilities and are marked to market on the income statement. While we do not seek Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) hedge accounting treatment for the assets and liabilities that these instruments are hedging, the economic purpose of these instruments is to manage the risk inherent in existing exposures to either interest rate risk or foreign currency risk.

We have interest rate swaps to hedge floating rate deposits that have a notional amount of \$40 million that qualify for SFAS 133 hedge accounting treatment and interest rate swaps that have a notional amount of \$35 million that no longer qualify for SFAS 133 hedge accounting treatment. Under the terms of these swap agreements, we pay a fixed rate of interest and receive a floating rate of interest based on the Federal Funds rate. The total amount of loss on these swaps recorded to other comprehensive income at September 30, 2007 was \$0.5 million. Ineffectiveness related to the SFAS 133 cash flow hedges in 2007 was \$0.2 million. We

54

#### **Table of Contents**

recognized a loss of \$1.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 related to the swaps no longer qualifying for SFAS 133 treatment.

We have one additional interest rate swap that qualifies for hedge accounting treatment under SFAS 133. It is a cash flow hedge in which we pay a fixed rate of interest and receive a floating rate. The purpose of this swap is to manage interest rate risk exposure created by Capital Trust XI which has variable rate interest payments. This hedge had a notional amount of \$15 million at September 30, 2007. The amount of loss on this swap recorded to other comprehensive income at September 30, 2007 was immaterial. Ineffectiveness related to these cash flow hedges in 2007 was immaterial.

In our home equity business, we have a \$10 million amortizing interest rate swap in which we pay a fixed rate of interest and receive a floating rate. The purpose of the swap is to manage interest rate risk exposure created by the 2005-1 securitization in which floating rate notes are funding fixed rate home equity loans. This swap is accounted for as a cash flow hedge in accordance with SFAS 133, with the changes in the fair value of the effective portion of the hedge reported as a component of equity and \$123 thousand and \$499 thousand reduced interest expense during the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Ineffectiveness related to this cash flow hedge in 2007 was immaterial.

Also in our home equity business we utilize interest rate caps to mitigate the interest rate exposure created by the 2006-1, 2006-2, 2006-3 and 2007-1 securitizations in which floating rate notes are funding fixed rate home equity loans. We have \$226 million in amortizing interest rate caps relating to these hedging activities. These contracts are marked-to-market with gains and losses included in derivative gains (losses) on the consolidated income statements. We do not receive SFAS 133 hedge accounting treatment for these transactions. The gain (loss) on these activities for the nine months ending September 30, 2007 and 2006, totaled a loss of (\$0.2) million and \$0.8 million gain, respectively.

We enter into commitments to originate home equity loans whereby the interest rate on the loan is determined prior to funding (rate lock commitments). Rate lock commitments on loans intended to be sold are considered to be derivatives. We record changes in the fair value of these commitments based upon the current secondary market value of securities with similar characteristics. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007, a gain of \$0.1 million was recorded in Gain from sale of loans. At September 30, 2007, we had rate lock commitments outstanding totaling \$22 million.

We deliver Canadian dollar fixed rate leases into a commercial paper conduit. To lessen the repricing mismatch between fixed rate CAD-denominated leases and floating rate CAD-denominated commercial paper, a series of amortizing CAD interest rate swaps have been executed. As of September 30, 2007, the commercial paper conduit was providing \$221 million of variable rate funding. In total, our interest rate swaps were effectively converting \$221 million of this funding to a fixed interest rate. The losses on these swaps for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 were \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

We own foreign currency forward contracts to protect the U.S. dollar value of intercompany loans made to Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation that are denominated in Canadian dollars. We had a contractual amount of \$70 million in forward contracts outstanding as of September 30, 2007. For the three months ending September 30, 2007, we recognized losses of \$11.6 million and for the nine months ending September 30, 2007 and 2006, we recognized losses of \$8.4 million and \$1.1 million, respectively. These contracts are marked-to-market with gains and losses included in derivative gains (losses) on the consolidated income statements. We do not receive SFAS 133 hedge accounting treatment for this transaction. We recognized a foreign currency transaction gain on the intercompany loans of \$9.1 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006.

Operational and Compliance Risk.

Operational risk is the risk of loss resulting from inadequate or failed internal processes, people and systems or from external events. Irwin Financial, like other financial services organizations, is exposed to a variety of operational risks. These risks include regulatory, reputational and legal risks, as well as the potential for processing errors, internal or external fraud, failure of computer systems, unauthorized access to information, and external events that are beyond the control of the Corporation, such as natural disasters.

55

#### **Table of Contents**

Compliance risk is the risk of loss resulting from failure to comply with laws and regulations. While Irwin Financial is exposed to a variety of compliance risks, the two most significant arise from our consumer lending activities and our status as a public company.

Our Board of Directors has ultimate accountability for the level of operational and compliance risk we assume. The Board guides management by approving our business strategy and significant policies. Our management and Board have also established (and continue to improve) a control environment that encourages a high degree of awareness of the need to alert senior management and the Board of potential control issues on a timely basis.

The Board has directed that primary responsibility for the management of operational and compliance risk rests with the managers of our business units, who are responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control procedures that are appropriate for their operations. Our enterprise-wide risk management function provides an independent assessment of line management s operational risk mitigation procedures. This function, which is managed in conjunction with enterprise-wide oversight of compliance, reports to the Chief Risk Officer (CRO), who in turn reports to the Risk Management Committee of our Board of Directors. We have developed risk and control summaries for our key business processes. Line of business and corporate-level managers use these summaries to assist in identifying operational and other risks for the purpose of monitoring and strengthening internal and disclosure controls. Our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Board of Directors, as well as the management committees of our subsidiaries, use the risk summaries to assist in overseeing and assessing the adequacy of our internal and disclosure controls, including the adequacy of our controls over financial reporting as required by section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act and Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation Improvement Act.

Given the changes in of the scope of the Corporation, our efforts to date to improve our risk management systems, and heightened industry and regulatory focus around risks, the Board, having reviewed and evaluated results of reports from Internal Audit, Risk Management, and regulatory exams, embarked in 2006 on a comprehensive review of our risk management systems, including operational and compliance risk management processes. These assessments were conducted at the Board's direction by a third-party to ensure independence and access to best-in-class practices. As a result of these assessments, management has developed a program of risk management improvement steps which it has begun implementing on an enterprise-wide basis. The costs of these resources are reflected in current period earnings.

# Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.

The quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk are reported in the Market Risk (including Interest Rate and Foreign Exchange Risk) section of Item 2, Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations found on pages 52 through 54.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Corporation carried out an evaluation as required by Rule 13a-15(b) or 15d-15(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ( Exchange Act ), under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ) and the Chief Financial Officer ( CFO ), of the effectiveness of the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e). Based on this evaluation, the CEO and the CFO have concluded that the Corporation s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2007.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting In connection with the evaluation performed by management with the participation of the CEO and the CFO as required by Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(d) or 15d-15(d), there were no changes in the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) that occurred during the quarter ended September 30, 2007 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation s internal control over financial reporting. In March 2007, we discovered what we believe were misrepresentations about the collateral offered for a commercial loan originated by a branch of our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, which caused us to charge off \$4 million. We have undertaken an investigation of the causes of the loss and have made certain changes in staff and operating procedures in the branch in which we had the loss. We have further ascertained that we believe the control procedures have been and continue to be in place and effective in our other branches and lending locations.

### **PART II. Other Information.**

### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

Since the time we filed our Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, we experienced developments as noted in the litigation described below. For a full description of the litigation, see Note 11, Commitments and Contingencies, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements, Part I, Item 1, of this Report.

*Silke v. Irwin Mortgage Corporation* (suit filed in April 2003 in the Marion County, Indiana, Superior Court seeking class action status and alleging our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation, charged a document preparation fee in violation of Indiana law).

Developments: Citing the Indiana Supreme Court s May 2, 2007 decision in *Charter One Mortgage Corporation v. Condra*, on August 27, 2007, the *Silke* trial court ruled in favor of Irwin Mortgage on its Motion for Summary Judgment against plaintiff class members, thus concluding this litigation.

*Cohens v. Inland Mortgage Corporation* (suit filed in October 2003 in the Supreme Court of New York, County of Kings, against our indirect subsidiary, Irwin Mortgage Corporation (formerly Inland Mortgage Corporation) and others, alleging lead contamination from premises allegedly owned by defendants).

Developments: After having disposed of this case due to lack of prosecution by the plaintiffs, the court restored the action to the judicial calendar based on a motion by plaintiffs.

Litigation in Connection with Loans Purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia (several actions in which our subsidiary, Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company, is a defendant in connection with loans Irwin Union Bank purchased from Community Bank of Northern Virginia, consolidated for pretrial proceedings in the United States District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania).

Developments: The District Court for the Western District of Pennsylvania had sought the opinion of an *Amicus Curiae* (Friend of the Court) to determine whether a proposed modified settlement in a similar case (not involving Irwin) was fair and reasonable, during which time the Irwin cases were effectively stayed. On July 5, 2007, the *Amicus Curiae* found the proposed settlement in the other case to be fair and reasonable.

We and our subsidiaries are from time to time engaged in various matters of litigation, including the matters described above, other assertions of improper or fraudulent loan practices or lending violations, and other matters, and we have a number of unresolved claims pending. In addition, as part of the ordinary course of business, we and our subsidiaries are parties to litigation involving claims to the ownership of funds in particular accounts, the collection of delinquent accounts, challenges to security interests in collateral, and foreclosure interests, that is incidental to our regular business activities. While the ultimate liability with respect to these other litigation matters and claims cannot be determined at this time, we believe that damages, if any, and other amounts relating to pending matters are not likely to be material to our consolidated financial position or results of operations, except as described above. Reserves are established for these various matters of litigation, when appropriate under SFAS 5, based in part upon the advice of legal counsel.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

(c) (Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities). In 2006, the Board of Directors of the Corporation approved the repurchase of up to two million shares or up to \$50 million of common stock of the Corporation. The repurchases will occur from time to time based on market conditions, parent company cash flow, and the Corporation s current and future projections of capital position. From time to time, we also repurchase shares in connection with our equity-based compensation plans. We did not have any repurchase activity in the last three months.

.

# Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit	
Number	Description of Exhibit
2.1	Asset Purchase Agreement by and among Irwin Financial Corporation, Irwin Mortgage Corporation and Freedom Mortgage Corporation dated as of August 7, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibits 2.1 and 2.2 of Form 8-K filed October 2, 2006, File No. 001-16691.)
3.1	Restated Articles of Incorporation of Irwin Financial Corporation, as amended December 20, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 of Form 10-K filed March 9, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
3.2	Code of By-laws of Irwin Financial Corporation, as amended, February 15, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 10-K filed March 9, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Form 10-K filed March 9, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
4.2	Certain instruments defining the rights of the holders of long-term debt of Irwin Financial Corporation and certain of its subsidiaries, none of which authorize a total amount of indebtedness in excess of 10% of the total assets of the Corporation and its subsidiaries on a consolidated basis, have not been filed as Exhibits. The Corporation hereby agrees to furnish a copy of any of these agreements to the Commission upon request.
4.3	Rights Agreement, dated as of March 1, 2001, between Irwin Financial Corporation and Irwin Union Bank and Trust. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-A filed March 2, 2001, File No. 000-06835.)
4.4	Appointment of Successor Rights Agent dated as of May 11, 2001 between Irwin Financial Corporation and National City Bank. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to Form S-8 filed on September 7, 2001, File No. 333-69156.)
10.1	*Irwin Financial Corporation 1992 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(h) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1992, File No. 000-06835.)
10.2	*Irwin Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended September 30, 1997, File No. 000-06835.)
10.3	*Amendment to Irwin Financial Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(i) to Form 10-Q Report for period ended September 30, 1997, File No. 000-06835.)
10.4	*Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated 2001 Stock Plan, as amended and restated May 10, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Form 8-K filed May 16, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.5	*Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Stock Option Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of the Corporation s 8-K Current Report, dated May 9, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.6	*Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Restricted Stock Agreement (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of the Corporation s 8-K Current Report, dated May 9, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.7	*Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Stock Option Agreement (Canada) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 of the Corporation s 10-Q Report for period ended September 30, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.8	*Irwin Financial Corporation 2001 Stock Plan Form of Restricted Stock Agreement, with Performance Criteria, and Notice. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of Form 8-K filed May 16, 2007, File No. 001-16691).
10.9	*Irwin Financial Corporation 1999 Outside Director Restricted Stock Compensation Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2 to the Corporation s proxy statement for its 2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.10	2.222.26, 2.223 W.M. M.C. Commission on M.M.C. 13, 200 1, 1 110 1 (01 00 1 100 11)

	*Employee Stock Purchase Plan III. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q
	Report for period ended September 30, 1999, File No. 000-06835.)
10.11	*Long-Term Management Performance Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to
	Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 000-06835.)
10.12	*Long-Term Incentive Plan-Summary of Terms. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to
	Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 000-06835.)
10.13	*Inland Mortgage Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to
	Exhibit 10(j) to Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1995, File No. 000-06835.)
10.14	*Amended and Restated Management Bonus Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to
	Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 1986, File No. 000-06835.)
10.15	*Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures LLC. (Incorporated by reference to
	Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q/A Report for period ended March 31, 2001, File No. 000-06835.)
10.16	*Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures Co-Investment Fund LLC, effective as
	of April 20, 2001. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Form S-1/A filed February 14,
	2002, File No. 333-69586.)
10.17	*Promissory Note dated January 30, 2002 from Elena Delgado to Irwin Financial Corporation.
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Form S-1/A filed February 14, 2002, File
	No. 333-69586.)
	58

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
10.18	*Consumer Pledge Agreement dated January 30, 2002 between Elena Delgado and Irwin Financial
	Corporation. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to Form S-1/A filed February 14, 2002, File No. 333-69586.)
10.19	*Redemption and Loan Repayment Agreement dated December 22, 2004 between Irwin Financial Corporation, Irwin Home Equity Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to
10.20	Exhibit 10.15 of Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 001-16691.) *Irwin Home Equity Corporation Amendment and Restatement of Shareholder Agreement dated December 22, 2004 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 of Form 10-K Report for year ended
10.21	December 31, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)  *Deferred Compensation Agreement dated December 22, 2004 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 of Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.22	*Tax Gross-up Agreement dated December 22, 2004 between Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado as Shareholder. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 of Form 10-K Report for year ended December 31, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.23	*Amendment No. 1 to Irwin Home Equity Corporation Amendment and Restatement of Shareholder Agreement dated April 7, 2005 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.24	*Amendment No. 1 to the Deferred Compensation Agreement dated April 7, 2005 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended March 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.25	*Amendment No. 2 to the Deferred Compensation Agreement dated November 15, 2005 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 of Form 8-K Current Report dated November 18, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.26	*Election to Terminate the Deferred Compensation Agreement dated November 15, 2005 between Irwin Home Equity Corporation, Irwin Financial Corporation and Elena Delgado. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.2 of Form 8-K Current Report dated November 18, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.27	*Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended September 30 2006, File No. 001-16691.)
10.28	*First Amendment to the Irwin Financial Corporation Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.29	*Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2006, File No. 001-16691.)
10.30	*First Amendment to the Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.31	*Irwin Home Equity Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective January 1, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 of Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30,

	2006, File No. 001-16691.)
10.32	*First Amendment to the Irwin Home Equity Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan.
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 30,
	2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.33	*Irwin Mortgage Corporation Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective
	January 1, 2002. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 6 of the Corporation s proxy statement for its
	2004 Annual Meeting, filed with the Commission on March 18, 2004, File No. 001-16691.)
10.34	*Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company Amended and Restated Short Term Incentive Plan effective
	January 1, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter
	ended September 30, 2006, File No. 001-16691.)
10.35	*First Amendment to the Irwin Union Bank and Trust Company Amended and Restated Short Term
	Incentive Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter
	ended June 30, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.36	*Onset Capital Corporation Employment Agreement. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to
	Form 10-Q Report for period ended September 30, 2002, File No. 000-06835.)
10.37	*Irwin Financial Corporation Restated Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Named
	Executives. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended
	September 30, 2002, File No. 000-06835.)
10.38	*Irwin Financial Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan for Named Executives.
	(Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to Form 10-Q Report for period ended September 30,
	2002, File No. 000-06835.)
10.39	*Stock Purchase Agreement by and between Onset Holdings Inc. and Irwin International
	Corporation dated December 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 of Form 10-K
	Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.).
	59

Exhibit	
Number	Description of Exhibit
10.40	*Shareholder Agreement Termination Agreement by and between Irwin Commercial Finance Canada Corporation and Irwin International Corporation dated December 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.37 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.41	*Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation First Amended and Restated Shareholder Agreement dated May 15, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 of Form 10-Q Report for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.42	*Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation 2005 Stock Option Agreement Grant of Option to Joseph LaLeggia dated December 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.43	*Irwin Commercial Finance Corporation 2005 Notice of Stock Option Grant to Joseph LaLeggia dated December 23, 2005. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.44	*Irwin Union Bank Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.45	*Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.46	*First Amendment to the Irwin Commercial Finance Amended and Restated Performance Unit Plan, dated October 31, 2006. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 of Form 10-K filed March 9, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.47	*Irwin Home Equity Corporation Performance Unit Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.48	*First Amendment to Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.49	*Second Amendment to Limited Liability Company Agreement of Irwin Ventures Co-Investment Fund LLC. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 of Form 10-K Report for period ended December 31, 2005, File No. 001-16691.)
10.50	*Supplemental Performance Unit Grant-Jocelyn Martin-Leano, dated February 6, 2007. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 of Form 10-K filed March 9, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
10.51	*Irwin Financial Corporation 2007 Performance Unit Plan. (Incorporated by reference to Appendix B of the Corporation s Proxy Statement for its 2007 Annual Meeting, filed April 16, 2007, File No. 001-16691.)
11.1	Computation of Earnings Per Share is included in the footnotes to the financial statements.
31.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Chief Executive Officer.
31.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 by the Chief Financial Officer.
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

<sup>\*</sup> Indicates management contract or

compensatory plan or arrangement.

60

## **Table of Contents**

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**DATE:** October 31, 2007

# IRWIN FINANCIAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ Gregory F. Ehlinger
GREGORY F. EHLINGER
CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

By: /s/ Jody A. Littrell
 JODY A. LITTRELL
 CORPORATE CONTROLLER
 (Chief Accounting Officer)

61