GOLDEN QUEEN MINING CO LTD Form 10-Q August 13, 2012

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2012

[] TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE EXCHANGE ACT

For the transition period from ______to ____

000-21777

(Commission File Number)

GOLDEN OUEEN MINING CO. LTD.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

British Columbia, Canada

Not Applicable

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) No.)

(IRS Employer Identification)

6411 Imperial Avenue
West Vancouver, British Columbia
V7W 2J5 Canada

(Address of principal executive offices)

Issuer s telephone number, including area code: (604) 921-7570

Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report: N/A

Check whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by sections 13 or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes [X] No []

Check whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes [X] No []

Check whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller

reporting company. Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer [X] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company []

Check whether the registrant is a shell company, as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Yes [] No [X]

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common equity, as of the latest practicable date: As of August 9, 2012 the registrant s outstanding common stock consisted of 97,978,383 shares.

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

GOLDEN QUEEN MINING CO. LTD.

(An exploration stage company)
Interim Consolidated Financial Statements
June 30, 2012
(Unaudited - US dollars)

1

(an exploration stage company) Interim Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited US dollars)

| | | June 30, 2012 | Ι | December 31, 2011 |
|---|----------|------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 6,055,785 | \$ | 7,922,255 |
| Receivables | | 23,939 | | 21,516 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | 133,719 | | 144,465 |
| Total current assets | | 6,213,443 | | 8,088,236 |
| Property and equipment, net | | 303,458 | | 308,450 |
| Reclamation financial assurance | | 299,477 | | 296,180 |
| | | | | |
| Total Assets | \$ | 6,816,378 | \$ | 8,692,866 |
| Liabilities and Shareholders Equity | | | | |
| Comment II all III com | | | | |
| Current liabilities: Accounts payable (Note 5) | \$ | 189,057 | \$ | 183,934 |
| Accrued liabilities | ψ | 24,555 | Ψ | 83,579 |
| Advance minimum royalties | | 6,351 | | 13,723 |
| Travallee minimum regulates | | 0,551 | | 13,723 |
| Total current liabilities | | 219,963 | | 281,236 |
| | | | | |
| Asset retirement obligations | | 244,044 | | 227,212 |
| Derivative liability (Note 6) | | 2,969,328 | | 4,552,502 |
| Total Liabilities | | 3,433,335 | | 5,060,950 |
| Shareholders Equity: | | | | |
| Preferred shares, no par value, 3,000,000 shares authorized; no shares outstanding | | | | |
| Common shares, no par value, 150,000,000 shares authorized; June 30, 2012 97,978,383; (December 31, 2011 | | | | |
| 97,978,383) shares issued and outstanding (Note 3) | | 61,906,619 | | 61,906,619 |
| Additional paid-in capital | | 8,407,935 | | 8,407,935 |
| Deficit accumulated during the exploration stage | | (66,931,511) | | (66,682,638) |
| | | | | |
| Total Shareholders Equity | | 3,383,043 | | 3,631,916 |
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity Basis of presentation and ability to continue as a going concern (Note Commitments and contingencies (Note 4) | \$ 1) | 6,816,378 | \$ | 8,692,866 |

Approved by the Directors:

H. L. Klingmann H. Lutz Klingmann, Director

C. Shynkaryk ctor Chester Shynkaryk, Director See Notes to Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

2

(an exploration stage company) Interim Consolidated Statements of Loss and Comprehensive Loss (Unaudited US dollars)

| | | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Three Month Ended June 30 2011 | is I | S | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | ; | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Γ | Cumulative Amounts From Date of Inception (November 21, 1985) Through June 30, 2012 |
|--|----|----------------------------------|--|---------|----------|---|----------|--------------------------------|----|---|
| General and administrative | | | | | | | | | | |
| expenses (Note 5) | \$ | (991,332) | \$ (1,190,8 | 359) | \$ | (1,671,877) | \$ | (1,675,769) | \$ | (28,211,189) |
| Asset impairment loss | | (11,142) | (247,5 | | | (172,914) | | (618,555) | | (33,657,963) |
| Adjustment to asset retirement obligation changes in cash flow estimates | | _ | | | | _ | | _ | | 223,583 |
| Accretion expense | | (8,416) | (4.3 | 355) | | (16,832) | | (8,710) | | (121,861) |
| Change in fair value of derivative liability including change in foreign | 9 | (8,410) | (4,. | ,,,, | | (10,832) | | (6,710) | | (121,001) |
| exchange | | 1,180,898 | (328,6 | 501) | | 1,583,174 | | (201,822) | | (5,873,619) |
| Gain on settlement of debt | | - | () | - | | - | | - | | 136,627 |
| | | | | | | | | | | ŕ |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | 170,008 | (1,771,4) | 114) | | (278,449) | | (2,504,856) | | (67,504,422) |
| Interest expense | | - | | - | | - | | - | | (913,098) |
| Interest income | | 13,725 | 13,0 |)14 | | 29,576 | | 25,588 | | 1,709,855 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net and comprehensive income (loss) | | | | | | | | | | |
| for the period | \$ | 183,733 | \$ (1,758,4 | 100) | \$ | (248,873) | \$ | (2,479,268) | \$ | (66,707,665) |
| • | | | | ĺ | | , , , | | | | |
| Earnings (Loss) per share: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Deele on dellare d | ф | 0.00 | Φ (0 | 02) | φ | (0,00) | d. | (0.02) | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ | 0.00 | \$ (0 | .02) | Þ | (0.00) | Þ | (0.03) | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding See No | | 97,978,383 to Unaudited | 95,260,8 1 Interim C | | | 97,978,383 ated Financia | | 94,788,328 tatements. | | |

(an exploration stage company) Interim Consolidated Statement of Shareholders Equity (Unaudited US dollars)

| | | | | Deficit | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------------------|
| | | | | Accumulated | Total |
| | | | Additional | During the | Shareholders |
| | Common | | Paid-in | Exploration | Equity (Capital |
| | Shares | Amount | Capital | Stage | Deficit) |
| | | | 1 | C | , |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | 94,228,383 | \$ 56,339,823 | \$ 3,784,582 | \$ (63,451,997) | \$ (3,327,592) |
| Barance, December 31, 2010 | 74,220,303 | \$ 50,557,625 | Ψ 3,704,302 | Ψ (03,431,771) | $\psi \qquad (3,327,372)$ |
| Issuance of common shares | | | | | |
| through exercise of options | 1,250,000 | 972,559 | - | - | 972,559 |
| Issuance of common shares | | | | | |
| through exercise of warrants | 2,500,000 | 4,594,237 | - | - | 4,594,237 |
| Reclassification of derivative | | | | | |
| liability | | | | | |
| on exercise of warrants | - | - | 1,629,668 | - | 1,629,668 |
| Reclassification of derivative | | | | | |
| liability | | | | | |
| on the exercise of stock options | - | - | 2,993,685 | - | 2,993,685 |
| Net loss for the year | - | - | - | (3,230,641) | (3,230,641) |
| | | | | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 97,978,383 | 61,906,619 | 8,407,935 | (66,682,638) | 3,631,916 |
| | | | | | |
| Net loss for the period | - | - | - | (248,873) | (248,873) |
| | | | | | |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | 97,978,383 | \$ 61,906,619 | \$ 8,407,935 | \$ (66,931,511) | \$ 3,383,043 |
| See Notes | to Unaudited | Interim Consoli | dated Financia | l Statements. | |

(an exploration stage company) Interim Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited US dollars)

| Operating activities: | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 | Cumulative Amounts From Date of Inception (November 21, 1985) Through June 30, 2012 |
|---|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Net loss for the period | \$ (248,873) | \$ (2,479,268) | \$ (66,707,665) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to cash used in operating activities: | ψ (240,073) | ψ (2,47),200) | ψ (00,707,003) |
| Asset impairment loss | 172,914 | 618,555 | 33,657,963 |
| Amortization and depreciation | 4,992 | 4,938 | 484,788 |
| Amortization of debt discount | - | - | 375,000 |
| Adjustment to asset retirement obligation based on | | | , |
| changes in cash flow estimates | _ | - | (223,583) |
| Accretion expense | 16,832 | 8,710 | 121,861 |
| Change in fair value of derivative liability including | , | , | , |
| change in foreign exchange | (1,583,174) | 201,822 | 5,873,619 |
| Gain on disposition of property and equipment | - | - | (10,032) |
| Stock option compensation | - | - | 1,416,448 |
| Financing charges related to modification of warrants | - | - | 889,117 |
| Mineral property expenditures | - | - | (22,395,449) |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Receivables | (2,423) | (1,050) | (23,939) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 10,746 | 34,976 | (220,629) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (53,901) | 420,764 | 253,529 |
| Advance minimum royalties | (7,372) | 89,098 | 6,351 |
| | | | |
| Cash used in operating activities | (1,690,259) | (1,101,455) | (46,502,621) |
| | | | |
| Investing activities: | | | |
| Purchase of mineral properties | (172,914) | (618,555) | (9,048,476) |
| Deposits on mineral properties | - | - | (1,017,551) |
| Purchase of reclamation bonds | (3,297) | (9,527) | (299,477) |
| Purchase of property and equipment | - | - | (1,434,367) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | - | - | 47,153 |
| | | | |
| Cash used in investing activities | (176,211) | (628,082) | (11,752,718) |
| | | | |
| Financing activities: | | | _ |
| Borrowing under long-term debt | - | - | 3,918,187 |
| Payment of long-term debt | - | - | (2,105,905) |
| Proceeds from convertible debt | - | - | 440,000 |

Edgar Filing: GOLDEN QUEEN MINING CO LTD - Form 10-Q

| - | - | 28,871,618 |
|-----------------|---|--|
| - | - | (733,866) |
| - | - | 18,091,667 |
| - | 959,279 | 1,534,305 |
| - | - | 14,295,118 |
| | | |
| - | 959,279 | 64,311,124 |
| | | |
| (1,866,470) | (770,258) | 6,055,785 |
| | | |
| 7,922,255 | 6,967,465 | - |
| | | |
| \$ 6,055,785 \$ | 6,197,207 | \$ 6,055,785 |
| | | |
| | - - - (1,866,470) 7,922,255 | - 959,279 - 959,279 (1,866,470) (770,258) 7,922,255 6,967,465 |

See Notes to Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

GOLDEN OUEEN MINING CO. LTD.

(an exploration stage company)
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(Unaudited US dollars)

1. Basis of Presentation and Ability to Continue as a Going Concern

These interim consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial statements, and are stated in US dollars. They do not include all the note disclosures required for annual financial statements. It is suggested that these consolidated interim financial statements be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2011 and the notes thereto included in the Company s annual report for the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company follows the same accounting policies as those used for its annual consolidated financial statements in the preparation of this interim financial statement.

The Company has had no revenues from operations since inception and as at June 30, 2012 has a deficit accumulated during the exploration stage of \$66,931,511. Management plans to control current costs and does not anticipate requiring additional financing to fund Company activities over the next twelve months.

The Company has not as yet made a production decision. The Company is evaluating various financing options for the construction of the operating facility at Soledad and these include:

- a. An equity financing;
- b. A combination of equity and debt and
- c. A merger with an established mining company.

The ability of the Company to obtain financing for its ongoing activities and thus maintain solvency, or to fund construction of the operating facility at Soledad, is dependent on equity market conditions, the market for precious metals, the willingness of other parties to lend the Company money or the ability to find a merger partner. While the Company has been successful at certain of these efforts in the past, there can be no assurance that future efforts will be successful. This raises substantial doubt about the Company s ability to continue as a going concern.

These interim consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, which, in the opinion of management, are necessary for the fair presentation of the information contained therein. The results for the six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for any subsequent quarter or for the year ending December 31, 2012.

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

i) On July 27, 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2012-02, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other (Topic 350): Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment.* The amendments allow an organization the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. An organization electing to perform a qualitative assessment is no longer required to calculate the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset unless the organization determines, based on a qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that the asset is impaired. The amendments in this Update are effective for annual and interim

impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012. The Company is in the process of assessing the impact of this update on its consolidated financial statements.

(an exploration stage company)
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(Unaudited US dollars)

2. Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

- ii) In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) No. 2011-12 Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassifications of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in ASU No. 2011-05. Entities will not be required to comply with the presentation requirements in ASU No. 2011-05 that this ASU is deferring. For this reason, the transition guidance in paragraph 220-10-65-2 is consistent with that for ASU 2011-05. The amendment is effective for interim and annual periods commencing December 15, 2011. Effective January 1, 2012, the Company adopted this standard which did not have any impact on the Company s interim consolidated financial statements.
- The FASB has issued ASU No. 2011-05, Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income. This ASU amends the FASB Accounting Standards Codification TM (Codification) to allow an entity the option to present the total of comprehensive income, the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In both choices, an entity is required to present each component of net income along with total net income, each component of other comprehensive income along with a total for other comprehensive income and a total amount for comprehensive income. ASU 2011-05 eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in shareholders equity. The amendments to the Codification in the ASU do not change the items that must be reported in other comprehensive income or when an item of other comprehensive income must be reclassified to net income. ASU 2011-05 should be applied retrospectively. The amendment is effective for interim and annual periods commencing December 15, 2011. Effective January 1, 2012, the Company adopted this standard which did not have any impact on the Company s consolidated interim financial statements.
- The FASB has issued ASU No. 2011-04, Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). This ASU represents the converged guidance of the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) (the Boards) on fair value measurement. The collective efforts of the Boards and their staffs, reflected in ASU 2011-04, have resulted in common requirements for measuring fair value and for disclosing information about fair value measurements, including a consistent meaning of the term—fair value—. The Boards have concluded the common requirements will result in greater comparability of fair value measurements presented and disclosed in financial statements prepared in accordance with US GAAP and IFRS. The amendments to the Codification in this ASU are to be applied prospectively. The amendments are effective for interim and annual periods commencing December 15, 2011. Effective January 1, 2012, the Company adopted this standard which did not have any impact on the Company—s consolidated interim financial statements.

3. Share Capital

Common Shares - 2012

There were no stock option exercises or issuances of common shares during the six month period ended June 30, 2012.

GOLDEN QUEEN MINING CO. LTD.

(an exploration stage company)
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(Unaudited US dollars)

3. Share Capital (Continued)

Common Shares - 2011

In January 2011, 100,000 stock options were exercised and the Company issued 100,000 common shares at C\$0.77 per share for proceeds of \$77,426 (C\$77,000).

In March 2011, 50,000 stock options were exercised and the Company issued 50,000 common shares at C\$0.77 per share for proceeds of \$39,390 (C\$38,500).

In April 2011, 1,050,000 stock options were exercised and the Company issued 1,050,000 common shares at C\$0.77 per share for proceeds of \$842,463 (C\$808,500).

In August 2011, 50,000 stock options were exercised and the Company issued 50,000 common shares at \$C0.26 per share for proceeds of \$13,280 (C\$13,000).

In November 2011, 2,500,000 warrants were exercised and the Company issued 1,250,000 common shares at C\$1.75 per share and 1,250,000 common shares at C\$2.00 per share for total proceeds of \$4,594,237 (C\$4,687,500).

Stock options

The Company has elected to use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to determine the fair value of stock options granted. For employees, the compensation expense is amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, which approximates the vesting period. Compensation expense for stock options granted to non-employees is amortized over the contract services period or, if none exists, from the date of grant until the options vest. Compensation associated with unvested options granted to non-employees is re-measured on each balance sheet date using the Black-Scholes option pricing model.

As of June 30, 2012, the Company has the following outstanding and exercisable options:

| | Number | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------------------|
| Options outstanding and exercisable, | | |
| January 1, 2011 | 3,050,000 | C\$0.48 |
| Exercised | (1,250,000) | C\$0.75 |
| | | |
| Options outstanding and exercisable, | | |
| December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2012 | 1,800,000 | C\$0.29 |
| | 8 | |

(an exploration stage company)
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(Unaudited US dollars)

3. Share Capital (Continued)

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding at June 30, 2012:

| | | Weighted-Average | |
|-----------|------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| | | Remaining Contractual | |
| | Weighted-Average | Life | |
| Number | Exercise Price | (Years) | Expiry Date |
| 1,750,000 | C\$0.26 | 1.58 | January 28, 2014 |
| 50,000 | C\$1.24 | 2.80 | April 18, 2015 |
| | | | _ |
| 1,800,000 | C\$0.29 | 1.61 | |

As at June 30, 2012, the aggregate intrinsic value of the outstanding exercisable options was approximately \$2,904,365 (June 30, 2011 - \$4,898,000). No options were exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2012. The total intrinsic value of 1,200,000 options exercised during the six month period ended June 30, 2011 was \$2,870,000.

There is no unamortized compensation expense as at June 30, 2012 as all the outstanding options vested at the grant date.

Warrants

The following summarizes information about warrants status as at June 30, 2012:

| | Number | Weighted- Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Expiry Date |
|--|-------------|---|--|------------------|
| Outstanding and exercisable, January 1, 2011 | 2,500,000 | C\$1.88 | - | - |
| | | | | |
| Exercised | | | | |
| Class A | (1,250,000) | C\$1.75 | - | December 1, 2011 |
| Class B | (1,250,000) | C\$2.00 | - | December 1, 2011 |
| | | | | , |
| Outstanding and exercisable, December 31, 2011 and June 30, 2012 | - | - | - | |

4. Commitments and Contingencies

The Company has acquired a number of mineral properties outright and has acquired exclusive rights to explore, develop and mine the Property under various agreements with landowners.

GOLDEN OUEEN MINING CO. LTD.

(an exploration stage company)
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(Unaudited US dollars)

4. Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

The Company is required to make advance minimum royalty payments related to its mineral properties. The total advance minimum royalty for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$245,970 and the Company is expected to make approximately \$188,000 in 2012 to various landowners on the existing lease agreements.

A mining lease agreement with a group of landowners expired in 2004. Negotiations with the group were completed in 2011 and the agreements extended to 2041. Under the amended agreements, the Company paid advance minimum royalties of \$7,500 per year for eight years for a total amount of \$60,000 in August 2011 to bring the advance minimum royalties owing current as at June 30, 2012.

In addition to the leases noted above, there were a number of lease agreements with other landowners that had expired in 2010 and 2011. One set of the expired lease agreements is considered material by the Company as it comprises a 50% interest in a claim group. The Company continues to negotiate to renew the leases and maintains a good relationship with the landholders involved. As the amounts cannot be reasonably estimated, the Company has not accrued any amounts in connection with the expired leases until such time the agreements are in place and the amounts are reasonably estimated.

The Company has agreed to issue 100,000 common shares as a finder s fee upon commencement of commercial production on the Property in connection with certain property acquisitions. As of June 30, 2012, commercial production has not commenced and no shares have been issued.

In 2004, the Company entered into an agreement with the President of the Company to issue 300,000 bonus shares upon completion of certain milestones. Upon receipt by the Company of a bankable feasibility study and the decision to place the Property into commercial production, a bonus of 150,000 common shares would be issued. Upon commencement of commercial production on the Property, a further bonus of 150,000 common shares would be issued. In May 2010, the Company entered into an amendment to the agreement whereby the 300,000 bonus shares would alternatively be issuable upon a change of control transaction, or upon a sale of all or substantially all of the Company s assets, having a value at or above C\$1.00 per share of the Company, with a further 300,000 bonus shares being issuable in the event the change of control transaction or asset sale occurred at a value at or above C\$1.50 per share. This agreement is for a term of three years and shall automatically renew for two years.

As at June 30, 2012, none of the milestones had been reached and no commitment to issue the common shares has been recorded in connection with these arrangements.

5. Related Party Transactions

Except as noted elsewhere in these interim consolidated financial statements, related party transactions are disclosed as follows:

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, \$32,500 and \$68,000 (2011 - \$37,400 and \$73,500) was paid to Mr. H. L. Klingmann for services as President of the Company, of which \$nil is payable as at June 30, 2012; \$6,700 and \$13,400 (2011 - \$9,300 and \$13,900) was paid to Mr. Chester Shynkaryk for consulting services to

the Company, of which \$nil is payable as at June 30, 2012; and \$7,400 and \$14,800 (2011 - \$5,200 and \$5,200) was paid to Mr. Ross McDonald for consulting services of which \$2,800 is payable as at June 30, 2012 (2011 - \$2,900).

All of the above transactions and balances are recorded at amounts established and agreed to between the related parties.

10

(an exploration stage company)
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(Unaudited US dollars)

6. Derivative Liability

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, none of the stock options included in the derivative liability were exercised.

During the six months ended June 30, 2011, a total of 1,200,000 stock options included in the derivative liability were exercised. Upon exercise of these options, the portion of the derivative liability that pertained to these options was re-measured and recorded at its fair value of \$2,869,584. The Company measured the fair value of the derivative liability pertaining to the options exercised using the Black-Scholes pricing model with the following range of assumptions: expected volatility 43.70% to 70.46% expected life 0.01 to 0.04 years, risk-free discount rate 1.75% to 1.88%, dividend yield 0.00%.

As at June 30, 2012, the Company re-measured the remaining outstanding stock options and determined the fair value of the derivative liability to be \$2,969,328 (December 31, 2011 \$4,552,502) using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions:

| | June 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.03% - 1.08% | 0.99% - 1.27% |
| Expected life of derivative liability | 1.58 to 2.80 | 2.08 to 3.30 |
| | years | years |
| Expected volatility | 61.19% - 66.83% | 76.66% - |
| | | 115.11% |
| Dividend rate | 0.00% | 0.00% |

Since all of the warrants originally included as part of derivative liability were exercised as at December 31, 2011, no further adjustments to the derivative liability as at June 30, 2012, were necessary.

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the changes in derivative liability for options and warrants are as follows:

| | Ju | ine 30, 2012 | Decem | nber 31, 2011 |
|---|----|--------------|-------|---------------|
| Balance, beginning of the period | \$ | 4,552,502 | \$ | 10,389,768 |
| Fair value of options exercised | | - | | (2,993,685) |
| Fair value of warrants exercised | | - | | (1,629,668) |
| Change in fair value of options and warrants including foreign exchange | | (1,583,174) | | (1,213,913) |
| | | | | |
| Balance, end of the period 11 | \$ | 2,969,328 | \$ | 4,552,502 |

(an exploration stage company)
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
(Unaudited US dollars)

7. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

| | e | months ended 30, 2012 | J | Six months ended une 30, 2011 | Cumulative From Date of Inception (November 21, 1985) through June 30, 2012 |
|---|----|-----------------------|----|-------------------------------------|---|
| Cash paid during period for: | | | | | |
| Interest | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 1,192,911 |
| Income taxes | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Non-cash financing and investing activities: | | | | | |
| Reclassification of derivative liability for exercised stock options and warrants | \$ | _ | \$ | 2,869,584 | \$ 5,434,220 |
| Stock option compensation | \$ | _ | \$ | 2,000,501 | \$ 1,416,448 |
| Financing charges related to modification of | | | | | |
| warrant s term | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 889,117 |
| Exchange of notes for common shares | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 1,727,282 |
| Exchange of note for future royalty payments | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 150,000 |
| Common shares issued for mineral property | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 304,811 |
| Mineral property acquired through the issuance | | | | | |
| of long-term debt | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 1,084,833 |
| Common shares issued upon conversion of | | | | | |
| convertible debt | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ 414,917 |
| Asset retirement obligations | \$ | 16,832 | \$ | 8,710 | \$ 244,044 |

8. Subsequent Events

In July 2012, the Company received notice that it had met all remaining major conditions of the conditional use permits for the Company s Soledad Mountain Project. As a result, the Company now has the necessary approvals and permits required to proceed with the construction planning and implementation phase.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation

The following discussion of the operating results and financial condition of Golden Queen Mining Co. Ltd. (the Company) is as at August 9, 2012 and should be read in conjunction with the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of the Company for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 and the notes thereto.

The information in this Management Discussion and Analysis and Plan of Operations is prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and all amounts herein are in U.S. dollars unless otherwise noted.

The Soledad Mountain Project

Overview

The Company plans to develop a gold-silver, open pit, heap leach operation on its Soledad Mountain property (the Project), located just outside the town of Mojave in Kern County in southern California. The Project will use conventional open pit mining methods and the cyanide heap leach and Merrill-Crowe processes to recover gold and silver from crushed, agglomerated ore. The planned, average ore and waste mining rates are 4.9 million tons and 9.1 million tons per year with a waste to ore mining ratio of 1.85:1 for a combined mining rate of ore and waste of 14 million tons per year. Gold and silver production is projected to average 75,000 oz and 950,000 oz respectively per year although this is expected to fluctuate considerably from year to year depending upon the ore head grades. Gold and silver production is projected to be 936,300 oz of gold and 10,426,700 oz of silver over a period of approximately 13 years.

The Company presented the results of the updated feasibility study and economic analysis for its Project in a news release on April 6, 2011. The updated feasibility study and economic analysis was prepared by Norwest Corporation, Vancouver with input from independent consulting engineers and management. The above projections are subject to the assumptions and parameters provided in the feasibility study, a copy of which is available in our Form 8-K filed with the SEC on May 17, 2011.

Infill Drill Program

The Company announced that it had initiated an infill drill program in a news release on October 21, 2010. The drill program commenced in April 2011 and was completed in early May 2011.

An independent consulting engineering firm based in Sparks, Nevada has integrated the results from the infill drill program with a lower cutoff grade (the cutoff grade to date had been based upon gold and silver prices, operating cost estimates and gold and silver recoveries from the late 1990s). The consulting engineers have completed a detailed analysis of the geological model and the block model. The past mineral resource and mineral reserve estimates for the Project are being updated and will be released once available, in accordance with the provisions of Canadian National Instrument 43-101 (NI 43-101). It is expected that the work will be completed in the third quarter of 2012.

Permitting Update

A detailed review of approvals and permits required for the Project is provided in the Company s latest Form 10-K filing with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), dated March 29, 2012. The following is therefore only a brief update.

Land Use - Conditional Use Permits

The Kern County Planning Commission unanimously approved the Project at its regularly scheduled meeting in Bakersfield on April 8, 2010. All appeals that were subsequently filed against the Commission s decision were

withdrawn and the decision made by the Planning Commission is now final. The Planning Commission certified the Supplemental Environmental Impact Report, amended three Conditional Use Permits with a Mitigation Measures Monitoring Program and Conditions of Approval for the Project. The Mitigation Measures Monitoring Program and Conditions of Approval for the Project were amended by Kern County Planning Commission Resolution No. 171-10 adopted on October 28, 2010. There are 114 conditions of approval and mitigation measures in the Conditional Use Permits.

The Bureau of Land Management confirmed that its Record of Decision approving the Plan of Operations under NEPA in November 1997 remains valid.

The Kern County Planning Department granted the Company an extension of time of three years to April 21, 2014 during which the Company can proceed with the Project. The extension was granted at a meeting held in the offices of the Planning Department on April 21, 2011.

A non-summary vacation of New Eagle Road was approved by the Kern County Board of Supervisors at a general public meeting held in Bakersfield on March 20, 2012. This was a further condition in the Conditional Use Permits that has now been satisfied.

The State of California introduced backfilling requirements for open pit metal mines in December 2002. Norwest Corporation prepared a life-of-mine waste rock management plan and this plan incorporates sequential backfilling of mined-out phases of the open pit with limited double-handling of waste rock at the end of the mine life. This plan was incorporated in the Planning Commission s approvals for the Project.

Under Condition 107 of the Conditional Use Permits, the Company was required to submit, prior to the commencement of mining, additional information relating to closure and closing reclamation. The Company submitted the required information to Kern County on November 28, 2011 and June 8, 2012. In accordance with the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975, Kern County consulted the State Department of Conservation/Office of Mine Reclamation. The Office of Mine Reclamation confirmed in a letter to Kern County dated June 29, 2012 that the additional information provided by the Company adequately demonstrated compliance with Condition 107 and this was confirmed by Kern County in a letter dated July 10, 2012.

Kern County also reviewed Resolutions 169-10, 170-10 AND 171-10, (i.e. the Conditional Use Permits which were approved by the Kern County Planning Commission in April 2010), to determine if any conditions remain outstanding that would preclude the Company from initiating mining activities under the approved surface mining and reclamation plan. County staff determined that the remaining conditions relate to construction of an access to site and building permits. The Company will address these conditions as it proceeds with construction planning and implementation.

Site inspections are conducted annually to verify that the Company is in compliance with the conditions of approval.

Water Quality Waste Discharge Requirements

The Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board unanimously approved Waste Discharge Requirements and a Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Project at a public hearing held in South Lake Tahoe on July 14, 2010. The board order was subsequently signed by the Executive Officer of the Regional Board and is now in effect.

The Company s consulting engineers have prepared a Stage I, Surface Water, Sediment and Erosion Control Plan for the construction and early mining phases of the Project. Storm Water discharges will be regulated by the State Water Resources Control Board under the State s NPDES General Construction Storm Water Permit during the initial construction and early mining phases of the Project and under the NPDES General Industrial Storm Water Permit during mine operations. The Company s consulting engineers, ARCADIS-U.S., Inc., a Qualified SWPPP Developer in California, have therefore prepared the designs and the Company has filed Permit Registration Documents electronically through the Storm Water Multiple Application and Report Tracking System (SMARTS). The Documents include a Notice of Intent, Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP), Risk Assessment, a Site Map and a signed certification statement by the Legally Responsible Person. The Company has also paid the first annual fee. Note that the SWPPP alone is a 200-page document. Note further that the Documents filed through SMARTS meet applicable NPDES Storm Water Program requirements of the Kern County Engineering, Surveying & Permit Services Department. The Notice of Intent is now active.

Air Quality Authority to Construct and Permit to Operate

The Air Quality and Health Risk Assessment for the Project was completed and submitted to the Kern County Planning Department and the Eastern Kern Air Pollution Control District (EKAPCD) on July 21, 2009. This study was approved by Kern County Planning Commission on April 8, 2010, as part of the certification of the Supplemental Environmental Impact Report.

Ten applications for Authority to Construct permits were submitted to the EKAPCD in February 2011. The EKAPCD confirmed that the information required to support the applications was complete. The draft Authority to Construct permits were received in September 2011. The Company s consulting engineers and legal counsel completed their review of the draft Authority to Construct permits in January 2012. The Authority to Construct permits were issued by EKAPCD on February 8, 2012. The Authority to Construct permits will be converted to a Permit to Operate after construction has been completed and subject to inspection by EKAPCD.

Results of Operations

The following are the results of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2012, and the corresponding periods ended June 30, 2011.

The Company had no revenue from operations.

The Company incurred general and administrative expenses of \$991,332 and \$1,671,877 (2011 -\$1,190,859 and \$1,675,769) during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, and the corresponding period ended June 30, 2011. Costs were lower by \$199,527 for the three months ended June 30, 2012 when compared with the same period in 2011.

The following significant general and administrative expenses were incurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 with a comparison to costs incurred during the same quarter in 2011:

- \$428,962 (2011 \$135,023) for detailed engineering of the Phase 1, Stage 1 heap leach pad and the associated site drainage plans, detailed engineering of two site grading plans, detailed engineering of the sub-station and site power distribution, mine design and drafting support. The detailed engineering allows contractors to provide solid cost estimates for the construction of the facilities. Ongoing engineering was also required to support the effort to secure outstanding approvals and permits.
- \$55,800 (2011 \$30,300) for sampling and analysis of groundwater from monitoring and production wells to meet the requirements set in the Waste Discharge Requirements by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board. Work is also continuing on an evaluation of alternative methods of sampling groundwater and the proposed methods have now been approved by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board.
- \$28,405 (2011 \$43,621) for ongoing monitoring, maintenance and data recovery from the meteorological station on site. The Authority to Construct permit applications were prepared in the first and second quarters of 2011.

- \$67,405 (2011 \$64,790) for legal fees incurred in support of ongoing efforts to conclude the approvals process for the Project, in negotiations with landholders to extend mining lease agreements and for general corporate legal fees.
- \$36,138 (2011 \$23,070) for costs incurred by a contractor for ongoing cleanup on site, maintenance of houses owned by the Company and weekly safety inspections.
- \$77,815 (2011 \$41,897) for accounting and audit fees and fees incurred for the preparation of tax returns.

The Company recorded a change in fair value of a derivative liability including a change in foreign exchange of \$1,180,898 for the quarter compared to \$328,601 for the same quarter in 2011. This item is a non-cash item and was recorded in accordance with accounting pronouncement ASC 850-40-15. Refer to Note 6 Derivative Liability of the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for a detailed analysis of the changes in fair value of the derivative liability.

Interest income of \$13,725 (2011 - \$13,014) was marginally higher by \$711. Interest rates remained low during the quarter and are projected to remain low for the remainder of 2012 at least. There was no interest expense during the quarter.

The Company recorded net income of \$183,733 (or \$0.00 per share) during the quarter as compared to a net loss of \$1,758,400 (or \$0.02 per share) during the same quarter of 2011.

Summary of Quarterly Results

Results for the eight most recent quarters are set out in the table below:

| Results for the quarter ended on: | June 30, 2012 | March 31, 2012 | Dec. 31, 2011 | Sept. 30, 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| Item | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Revenue | Nil | Nil | Nil | Nil |
| Net loss for the quarter | +183,733 | -432,606 | -230,281 | -981,654 |
| Net loss per share | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | -0.01 |
| | | | | |
| Results for the quarter ended on: | June 30, 2012 | March 31, 2011 | Dec. 31, 2010 | Sept. 30, 2010 |
| - | June 30, 2012 \$ | March 31, 2011 \$ | Dec. 31, 2010 \$ | Sept. 30, 2010 \$ |
| ended on: | - | , | , | • , |
| ended on: Item | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

The results of operations can vary from quarter to quarter depending upon the nature, timing and cost of activities undertaken during the quarter and whether or not the Company incurs gains or losses on foreign exchange or grants stock options.

Reclamation Financial Assurance

The Company provided reclamation financial assurance in the form of a Payment Bond Certificate backed by a Certificate Of Deposit with Union Bank, N.A. in the amount of \$296,180 effective October 2011. The deposit with Union Bank, N.A. earns interest at 0.1% per annum and is not available for working capital purposes. The estimate for reclamation financial assurance is \$314,712 for 2012 and this estimate has been submitted to the Kern County Engineering, Surveying & Permit Services Department for review and approval.

Advance Minimum Royalties

The Company continues to pay advance minimum royalties to landholders and paid \$58,947 in the second quarter of 2012.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Stock Option Plan

The Company s current stock option plan (the Plan) was adopted by management of the Company in 2008 and approved by shareholders of the Company in 2009. The Plan provides a fixed number of 7,200,000 common shares of the Company that may be issued pursuant to the grant of stock options. The exercise price of stock options granted under the Plan shall be determined by the Company s Board of Directors, but shall not be less than the volume weighted average trading price of the Company s shares on the Toronto Stock Exchange for the five trading days immediately prior to the date of the grant. The expiry date of a stock option shall be the date so fixed by the Board subject to a maximum term of five years. The Plan provides that stock options will terminate on the earlier of the expiry of the term and (i) 12 months from the date an option holder dies, (ii) 90 days from the date from the date the option holder ceases to act as a director or officer of the Company, or (iii) 60 days from the date the option holder ceases to be employed, or engaged as a consultant, by the Company.

The Company granted 1,950,000 stock options to directors, officers and consultants of the Company pursuant to the Plan on January 28, 2009. The options are exercisable at a price of CAD\$0.26 per share for a period of 5 years from the date of grant. The Company also granted 50,000 stock options to a consultant of the Company pursuant to the Plan on April 19, 2010. The options are exercisable at a price of CAD\$1.24 per share for a period of 5 years from the date of grant.

Transactions with Related Parties

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, \$32,500 and \$68,000 (2011 - \$37,400 and \$73,500) was paid to Mr. H. L. Klingmann for services as President of the Company, of which \$nil is payable as at June 30, 2012; \$6,700 and \$13,400 (2011 - \$9,300 and \$13,900) was paid to Mr. Chester Shynkaryk for consulting services to the Company, of which \$nil is payable as at June 30, 2012; and \$7,400 and \$14,800 (2011 - \$5,200 and \$5,200) was paid to Mr. Ross McDonald for consulting services of which \$2,800 is payable as at June 30, 2012 (2011 - \$2,900).

The Company amended a consulting services agreement originally entered into in 2004 with Mr. H.L. Klingmann, the President of the Company, in May of 2010. Under the original agreement, upon receipt by the Company of a feasibility study and a production decision made by the Company, a bonus of 150,000 common shares would be issued and upon commencement of commercial production on the Property, a bonus of 150,000 common shares would be issued. Pursuant to the amended agreement, an alternative 300,000 bonus shares would be issuable upon a change of control transaction or upon a sale of all or substantially all of the Company s assets, having a value at or above CAD\$1.00 per share of the Company, with a further 300,000 bonus shares being issuable in the event the change of control transaction or asset sale occurred at a value at or above CAD\$1.50 per share. As at June 30, 2012, the milestones had not been reached and no accrual was made in connection with these arrangements.

There were no other transactions with related parties during the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amount reported in the balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents, receivables, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximates fair value because of the immediate or short-term maturity of these financial instruments. The Company does not hold any bank or non-bank asset-backed commercial paper. The fair value of the reclamation financial assurance approximates carrying value because the stated interest rate reflects recent market conditions. It is the opinion of management that the Company is not exposed to significant interest, currency or credit risk arising from the use of these financial instruments.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company held \$6,055,785 in cash and cash equivalents on June 30, 2012.

Cash used in Operating Activities:

Cash was used mainly for the ongoing development of the Project with major expenditures in four areas:

- Consulting engineering fees remained high due to the significant amount of ongoing, detailed engineering for Project facilities;
- Costs were incurred for ongoing sampling and analysis of groundwater from monitoring and production wells to meet the requirements set in the Waste Discharge Requirements by the Lahontan Regional Water Quality Control Board and work is continuing on an evaluation of alternative methods of sampling groundwater;
- Costs were incurred for accounting and audit fees and fees incurred for the preparation of tax returns; and
- Legal fees were incurred in support of ongoing efforts to conclude the approvals process for the Project, in negotiations with landholders to extend mining lease agreements and for corporate legal fees.

Cash from Financing Activities:

No cash was received from financing activities during the three months ended June 30, 2012 (2011 -\$842,463).

Cash used in Investing Activities:

The Company purchased fee land for \$11,142 (2011 - \$247,599) during the quarter. Cash used in investing activities will vary from quarter to quarter as willing sellers are found and acceptable prices can be negotiated during the quarter. Further purchases of fee land are being considered to provide room for a possible future expansion of heap leach pads and future expansion of the area required for the construction of facilities for the aggregate production component of the Project.

Working Capital:

As at June 30, 2012, the Company had current assets of \$6,213,443 and current liabilities of \$219,963 or working capital of \$5,993,480.

Management does not expect that additional cash will be required beyond cash currently on hand for ongoing work on approvals and permits for the Project, for paying advance minimum royalties, for detailed engineering of facilities for the Project, for ongoing work on site, for additional land purchases and for general corporate purposes to the end of 2012. Refer also to *Outlook* below.

Outstanding Share Data

The number of shares issued and outstanding and the fully diluted share position are set out in the table below:

| Item | No. of Shares | | |
|---|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| Shares issued and outstanding on December 31, 2010 | 94,228,383 | | |
| Shares issued pursuant to the exercise of stock options | 1,250,000 | | |
| AuRico Gold Inc. warrants | 2,500,000 | | |
| Shares issued and outstanding on June 30, 2012 | 97,978,383 | Exercise Price | Expiry Date |
| Director and consultants stock options | 1,800,000 | C\$0.26 & C\$1.24 | 28/01/14 & 19/04/15 |
| Shares to be issued as a finder s fee | 100,000 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Bonus shares to H.L. Klingmann | 600,000 | Not Applicable | Not Applicable |
| Fully diluted on June 30, 2012 | 100,478,383 | | |
| The company's authorized share capital is 150,000,000 cor | nmon shares with | no par value. | |

Outlook

The Company now has final approvals in place for the Project. Once a production decision is made, the Company will need significant additional financing to develop the Project into an operating mine. This is currently estimated at \$106 million and this includes working capital. The Company believes that financing for the Project can be secured if gold and silver prices remain at or near \$1,457.00/oz and \$39.63/oz respectively, the London a.m. fix for precious metals on April 6, 2011. Gold and silver prices averaged \$1,224.53/oz and \$20.19/oz in 2010, \$1,563.93 and \$36.61 in 2011 and the London p.m. fix for gold and silver was \$1,615.00/oz and \$28.02/oz respectively on August 9, 2012.

The Company is evaluating various financing options for the Project, as follows, which may be combined:

- a. An equity financing;
- b. A combination of equity and debt; and
- c. A merger with an established mining company.

It is not expected that the Company will hedge any of its gold or silver production.

The ability of the Company to develop a mine on the Property is subject to numerous risks, certain of which are disclosed in the Company s latest Form 10-K filing with the SEC, dated March 29, 2012. Readers should evaluate the Company s prospects in light of these and other risk factors.

Subsequent Event

In July 2012, the Company received notice that it had met all remaining major conditions of the conditional use permits for the Company s Soledad Mountain Project. As a result, the Company now has the necessary approvals and permits required to proceed with the construction planning and implementation phase.

Application of Critical Accounting Estimates

The financial statements of the Company have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. Because a precise determination of many assets and liabilities is dependent upon future events, the preparation of financial statements for a period necessarily involves the use of estimates which have been made using careful judgment.

The financial statements have, in management s opinion, been properly prepared within reasonable limits of materiality and within the framework of the significant accounting policies summarized below.

Mineral Property and Exploration Costs

Exploration costs are expensed as incurred. Development costs are expensed until it has been established that a mineral deposit is commercially mineable and a production decision has been made, at which point the costs subsequently incurred to develop the mine on the property before to the start of mining operations are capitalized.

The Company capitalizes the cost of acquiring mineral property interests, including undeveloped mineral property interests, until the viability of the mineral interest is determined. Capitalized acquisition costs are expensed if it is determined that the mineral property has no future economic value. Mineral property interests are interests in properties that are believed to potentially contain (i) measured, indicated or inferred resources with insufficient drill hole spacing to qualify as proven and probable mineral reserves or (ii) other mine-related or green field exploration potential that cannot be classified as a mineral resource.

Capitalized amounts (including capitalized development costs) are also written down if future cash flows, including potential sales proceeds, related to the mineral property are estimated to be less than the property s total carrying value. Management reviews the carrying value of each mineral property periodically, and, whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable makes the necessary adjustments. Reductions in the carrying value of a property would be recorded to the extent that the total carrying value of the mineral property exceeds its estimated fair value.

There was a \$11,142 asset impairment loss related to property interests recorded for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 (2011 - \$247,599).

Asset Retirement Obligations

The Company s liability for reclamation of the property is estimated each year by an independent civil engineer. This estimate, once approved by federal, state and county authorities, forms the basis for a cash deposit of reclamation financial assurance. This estimate is the current obligation of the Company to reclaim historical disturbances on land included within the Approved Project Boundary.

The asset retirement obligation recorded as a liability on the Interim Consolidated Balance Sheet is \$244,044 (December 31, 2011 - \$227,212). The actual obligation could differ materially from these estimates.

Derivative Liabilities

Our stock options and warrants are denominated in a currency other than our reporting currency and the instruments were required to be accounted for as separate derivative liabilities. These liabilities were required to be measured at fair value. These instruments were adjusted to reflect fair value at each period end. Any increase or decrease in the fair value was recorded in results of operations as change in fair value of derivative liabilities. In determining the appropriate fair value, we used the Black-Scholes pricing model.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

A summary of Recently Issued Accounting Standards is provided under the *Significant Accounting Policies* header of the Notes to the Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for the quarter ended June 30, 2012.

Qualified Person and Caution With Respect to Forward-looking Statements

Mr. H.L. Klingmann, P.Eng., the President of the Company, is a qualified person for the purposes of NI 43-101 and has reviewed and approved the technical information in this report.

This Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements, which relate to the intent, belief and current expectations of the Company s management, as well as assumptions and parameters used in the feasibility study referenced in this report. These forward-looking statements are based upon numerous assumptions that involve risks and uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from those indicated by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include among other things the receipt and compliance with the terms of required approvals and permits, the costs of and availability of sufficient capital to fund the projects to be undertaken by the Company and commodity prices. In addition, projected mining results, including quantity of ore, grade, production rates, and recovery rates, are subject to numerous risks normally associated with mining activity of the nature described in this report and in the feasibility study, and as a result actual results may differ substantially from projected results. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on the forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date the statements were made.

Caution to U.S. Investors

Management advises U.S. investors that while the terms measured resources, indicated resources and inferred resources are recognized and required by Canadian regulations, the SEC does not recognize these terms. U.S. investors are cautioned not to assume that any part or all of the material in the mineral resource categories will be converted into mineral reserves. References to such terms are contained in the Company s Form 10-K and other publicly available filings. We further advise U.S. investors that the mineral reserve estimates disclosed in this report have been prepared in accordance with Canadian regulations and may not qualify as reserves under the SEC Industry Guide 7. Accordingly, information concerning mineral resources and reserves set forth herein may not be comparable with information presented by companies using only U.S. standards in their public disclosure.

Additional Information

Further information on Golden Queen Mining Co. Ltd. is available on the SEDAR web site at www.sedar.com and on the Company s web site at www.goldenqueen.com.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Interest Rate Risk

We hold all of our cash in bank deposits with a single major Canadian financial institution. Based on the average cash balances during the three months ended June 30, 2012, a 1% decrease in interest rates would have reduced the interest income for the quarter ended June 30, 2012 to \$Nil.

Foreign Currency Exchange Risk

Currency exchange fluctuations may impact the costs of our operations. Specifically, the appreciation of the Canadian dollar against the U.S. dollar may result in an increase in our Canadian operating costs in U.S. dollar terms. We typically maintain approximately 98% of our cash balances in Canadian funds.

We currently do not engage in any currency hedging activities.

Commodity Price Risk

Our primary business activity is the development of a gold-silver, open pit, heap leach operation on the Soledad Mountain property. Decreases in the price of either gold or silver from current levels has the potential to negatively impact our ability to secure the significant additional financing required to develop the Project into an operating mine. We do not currently engage in hedging transactions and we have no hedged mineral resources.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Timely Disclosure

The term disclosure controls and procedures is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act. These rules refer to the controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the required time periods. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is accumulated and communicated to management, including our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. It is management a responsibility to establish and maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting for the Company.

As of December 31, 2011 our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and our external Sarbanes-Oxley consultants carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon this evaluation, and the material weaknesses outlined below, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms.

Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting. Under the supervision of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2011 using the criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. In its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012, the Company determined that there were deficiencies that constituted material weaknesses, as described below:

- 1. Cash management of the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary is conducted by one officer, which may result in misappropriation of funds.
- 2. General expenditures, Project-related expenditures, asset acquisitions and fund transfers to the Company s wholly-owned subsidiary are initiated by one officer, which may result in payments being made for fictitious or inappropriate transactions.
- 3. The Company is in the exploration stage with limited resources and limited monitoring of internal control is conducted.

Management is currently evaluating and implementing remediation plans for any control deficiencies.

In light of the existence of these control deficiencies, management concluded that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by the company s internal controls.

As a result, management has concluded that the Company did not maintain effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 30, 2012 based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by COSO.

Changes in Internal Control

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and Rule 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended June 30, 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

| Item 6. | Exhibits |
|-------------|--|
| 31.1 | Certification of the Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the U.S. |
| | Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 31.2 | Certification of the Principal Financial Officer Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15d-14(a) of the U.S. |
| | Securities Exchange Act of 1934. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 Certification of the Principal Executive Officer. |
| <u>32.2</u> | Section 1350 Certification of the Principal Financial Officer. |
| | • |

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 10, 2012

GOLDEN QUEEN MINING CO. LTD.

(Registrant)

By: /s/ H. Lutz Klingmann

H. Lutz Klingmann

Principal Executive Officer

By: /s/ G. Ross McDonald

G. Ross McDonald

Principal Financial Officer