

AEROCENTURY CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 13, 2009

---

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number: 001-13387

AeroCentury Corp.  
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware  
(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

94-3263974  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

1440 Chapin Avenue, Suite 310  
Burlingame, California 94010  
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(650) 340-1888  
(Issuer's Telephone Number Including Area Code)

None  
(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required

submit and post such files).

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date: As of August 13, 2009 the Issuer had 1,606,557 Shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share, issued, of which 63,300 are held as Treasury Stock.

PART I  
FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("the Exchange Act"). All statements in this Report other than statements of historical fact are "forward-looking statements" for purposes of these provisions, including any statements of plans and objectives for future operations and any statements of assumptions underlying any of the foregoing. Statements that include the use of terminology such as "may," "will," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "estimates," "potential," or "continue," or the negative thereof, or other comparable terminology are forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include: (i) in Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources," the Company's statements regarding its belief that it will remain in compliance with the covenants of its Credit Facility; that it will continue to be in compliance with all covenants of its Subordinated Notes agreement; that the Company will incur significant maintenance expense in order to prepare its off-lease aircraft for remarketing; that the Company will be successful in extending a majority of the aircraft leases that expire during the remainder of 2009; and that the Company will have adequate cash flow to meet its ongoing operational needs, including required repayments under its Credit Facility and Subordinated Notes financings; (ii) in Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Outlook," the Company's statements regarding its belief that the reduction in aircraft demand may have a significant impact on the Company's acquisition and remarketing business, and if it continues for a significant amount of time, on the Company's profitability; that there will be few acquisition opportunities for the remainder of 2009; that the Company's asset portfolio may grow at a slower rate than in previous years; that approximately \$1.7 million in maintenance expense will be incurred in future periods to prepare off-lease aircraft for re-lease; that the Company will be successful in extending the leases of a majority of the aircraft leases that expire during the remainder of 2009; and (iii) in Item 2 "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Factors that May Affect Future Results," the Company's statements regarding its belief that the Company will have sufficient cash funds to make any payment that arises due to borrowing base limitations caused by assets scheduled to come off lease in the near term; that the current banking crisis will not have an immediate effect on the Company; that the lenders in its Credit Facility will continue to be able to honor their commitment to provide loans as committed in the Credit Facility agreements and that the unused capacity under the Credit Facility provides sufficient capital to fund acquisitions through the end of 2009; that the Company will eventually need to seek additional capital once the Credit Facility is fully drawn; that the current lenders in the Credit Facility will renew and remain as participants in the amount for which they are currently committed when the Credit Facility expires in March 2010; that the Company intends to focus solely on regional aircraft and engines; that JMC personnel's overall industry experience and its technical resources should permit the Company to effectively manage new aircraft types and engines; that the Company intends to focus on the regional air carrier market; and that the Company is competitive because of JMC's experience and operational efficiency in identifying and obtaining financing for the transaction types desired by regional air carriers and that it benefits from JMC's reputation. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, and it is important to note that the Company's actual results could differ materially from those projected or assumed in such forward-looking statements. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the factors detailed under the heading "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations -- Factors That May Affect Future Results," including the continued availability of Credit Facility financing; the compliance of the Company's lessees with obligations under their respective leases, risks related to use of debt financing for acquisitions; a sudden worsening in demand for regional aircraft or severe reduction in regional airline capacity; general economic conditions, particularly those that affect the air travel industry; the Company's success in finding additional financing and appropriate assets to acquire with such financing; deviations from the assumption that future major maintenance expenses will be relatively evenly spaced over the entire portfolio; and future trends and

results which cannot be predicted with certainty. The cautionary statements made in this Report should be read as being applicable to all related forward-looking statements wherever they appear herein. All forward-looking statements and risk factors included in this document are made as of the date hereof, based on information available to the Company as of the date hereof, and the Company assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement or risk factor. You should consult the risk factors listed from time to time in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## Item 1. Financial Statements.

AeroCentury Corp.  
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets  
Unaudited

ASSETS		
	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$3,511,000	\$2,169,700
Accounts receivable, including deferred rent of \$174,600 and \$244,100 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	2,427,500	2,022,800
Aircraft and aircraft engine held for lease, net of accumulated depreciation of \$37,200,900 and \$33,385,300 at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively	121,098,000	124,913,600
Taxes receivable	1,500	1,626,800
Prepaid expenses and other	835,600	1,000,600
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$127,873,600</b>	<b>\$131,733,500</b>
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$380,300	\$503,000
Notes payable and accrued interest	63,406,600	72,411,300
Maintenance reserves and accrued costs	9,896,100	8,190,700
Security deposits	5,324,900	5,498,800
Prepaid rent	965,000	1,072,900
Deferred income taxes	10,459,400	9,169,400
Taxes payable	300	52,300
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>90,432,600</b>	<b>96,898,400</b>
Commitments and contingencies		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 2,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, 1,606,557 shares issued and outstanding	1,600	1,600
Paid-in capital	14,780,100	14,780,100
Retained earnings	23,163,400	20,557,500
Treasury stock at cost, 63,300 shares	(504,100 )	(504,100 )
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>37,441,000</b>	<b>34,835,100</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$127,873,600</b>	<b>\$131,733,500</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

- 3 -

---

AeroCentury Corp.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations  
Unaudited

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		For the Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
<b>Revenues and other income:</b>				
Operating lease revenue	\$12,910,300	\$11,653,100	\$6,441,000	\$5,759,200
Maintenance reserves income	3,110,800	3,650,100	1,542,500	1,900,600
Other income	264,000	204,800	257,900	25,300
	16,285,100	15,508,000	8,241,400	7,685,100
<b>Expenses:</b>				
Depreciation	3,815,600	3,449,700	1,907,800	1,742,900
Maintenance costs	3,215,500	3,634,800	1,710,200	1,253,400
Interest	2,791,100	3,230,300	1,381,400	1,101,000
Management fees	1,840,300	1,772,700	913,000	889,200
Professional fees and general and administrative	379,100	434,800	126,200	156,600
Insurance	199,000	171,300	102,800	93,200
Other taxes	79,600	(45,300 )	22,900	34,500
Bad debt expense	1,300	-	-	-
	12,321,500	12,648,300	6,164,300	5,270,800
Income before income tax provision	3,963,600	2,859,700	2,077,100	2,414,300
Income tax provision	1,357,700	978,500	709,400	828,800
Net income	\$2,605,900	\$1,881,200	\$1,367,700	\$1,585,500
<b>Earnings per share:</b>				
Basic	\$1.69	\$1.22	\$0.89	\$1.03
Diluted	\$1.69	\$1.17	\$0.89	\$0.99
<b>Weighted average shares used in earnings per share computations:</b>				
Basic	1,543,257	1,543,257	1,543,257	1,543,257
Diluted	1,543,257	1,610,969	1,543,257	1,594,410

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.





AeroCentury Corp.  
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows  
Unaudited

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$ 10,445,300	\$ 7,818,800
Investing activity -		
Purchases of aircraft	-	(13,982,800)
Financing activity -		
Borrowings under Credit Facility	-	12,500,000
Repayments of the Credit Facility, Subordinated Notes and notes payable	(9,104,000 )	(6,131,600 )
	(9,104,000 )	6,368,400
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	1,341,300	204,400
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	2,169,700	2,843,200
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 3,511,000	\$ 3,047,600

During the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, the Company paid interest totaling \$2,452,900 and \$3,003,900, respectively.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company paid income taxes totaling \$900 and received a federal tax refund of \$1,625,100. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, the Company paid income taxes totaling \$500 and received federal tax refunds totaling \$210,500.

At June 30, 2008, capital purchases included in accounts payable and accrued expenses were \$345,400.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.

AeroCentury Corp.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)  
June 30, 2009

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

(a) The Company and Basis of Presentation

AeroCentury Corp., a Delaware corporation incorporated in 1997 (the Company, as defined below) acquires used regional aircraft for lease to foreign and domestic regional carriers. Financial information for AeroCentury Corp. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, AeroCentury Investments V LLC (“AeroCentury V LLC”) which was dissolved on August 25, 2008, and AeroCentury Investments VI LLC (“AeroCentury VI LLC”) (collectively, the “Company”), is presented on a consolidated basis. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”) for interim financial information, the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the three-month and six-month periods ended June 30, 2009, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

(b) Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable for making judgments that are not readily apparent from other sources.

The most significant estimates with regard to these financial statements are the residual values of the aircraft, the useful lives of the aircraft, the estimated fair value of financial instruments, the amount and timing of cash flow associated with each aircraft that are used to evaluate impairment, if any, accrued maintenance costs, accounting for income taxes, and the amounts recorded as allowances for doubtful accounts.

(c) Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Accounting for Derivative Instrument

The Company’s financial instruments, other than cash, consist principally of cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, amounts borrowed under a credit facility, borrowings under notes payable and a derivative instrument. The fair value of cash, cash equivalents, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximates the carrying value of these financial instruments because of their short-term nature.

Borrowings under the Company’s revolving credit facility (the “Credit Facility”) and certain notes payable bear floating rates of interest that reset periodically to a market benchmark rate plus a credit margin. The Company believes the effective rates of its various debt agreements approximate current market rates for such indebtedness at the balance

sheet date. The Company believes the carrying amount of its floating and fixed rate debt approximates fair value at the balance sheet date. As discussed in Note 4, the fair value of the Company's interest rate swap derivative instrument is determined by reference to banker quotations, and is included on the balance sheet at fair value as a component of Notes payable and accrued interest.

AeroCentury Corp.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)  
June 30, 2009

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(d) Reclassifications

Certain of the prior period financial statement amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation. These reclassifications had no impact on previously reported net income or cash flows.

(e) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On March 19, 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued “Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities” (“SFAS 161”), which is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008 and which requires enhanced disclosure about derivatives and hedging transactions. SFAS 161 amended SFAS 133, “Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities,” and requires that objectives for using derivative instruments be disclosed in terms of the underlying risk and accounting designation, better conveying the purpose underlying the derivatives’ use in terms of the risk the reporting entity is intending to manage. It further requires disclosure of the fair value of derivative instruments and their gains or losses in tabular format, information about credit risk-related contingent features providing information on the potential effect on the reporting entity’s liquidity from using derivatives, and cross-referencing within footnotes. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS 161 effective January 1, 2009. Adoption of SFAS 161 did not impact the amount of gain or loss recognized or the financial position of the Company.

The Company adopted “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS 157”), which provides guidance on the use of fair value measurements in generally accepted accounting standards, on January 1, 2008, except with respect to non-financial assets and liabilities covered by FSP 157-2, discussed below. Information regarding the Company’s fair value measurements is presented in Note 4.

During February 2008, two FASB Staff Positions (“FSP”) related to implementation of SFAS 157 were issued. “Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13” (“FSP 157-1”), amended SFAS 157 to exclude SFAS 13, “Accounting for Leases” and other pronouncements that address fair value measurements for purposes of lease classification or amendment from the provisions of SFAS 157, except in the case of a business combination where such measures are governed by SFAS 141, “Business Combinations.” FSP 157-1 is effective upon adoption of SFAS 157. Implementation of FSP 157-1 had no material effect on the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements.

“Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157,” (“FSP 157-2”) delayed the effective date of SFAS 157 for non-financial assets and liabilities that are not recognized or disclosed at fair value, to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within such years. The Company’s adoption of SFAS 157 with respect to such assets and liabilities on January 1, 2009, did not have a material effect on the Company’s condensed consolidated financial statements.

AeroCentury Corp.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)  
June 30, 2009

1. Organization and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

(e) Recent Accounting Pronouncements (continued)

In June 2008, the FASB Emerging Issues Task Force ("EITF") finalized "Determining Whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock" ("EITF 07-5"). EITF 07-5 provides that an entity should use a two step approach to evaluate whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to its own stock, including evaluating the instrument's contingent exercise and settlement provisions. EITF 07-5 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Adoption of EITF 07-5 did not have any effect on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In April 2009, two new FASB staff positions became effective. "Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments" ("FSP 107-1") and "Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly" ("FSP 157-4") are effective for reporting periods after June 15, 2009, and were adopted by the Company in its second quarter of 2009. FSP 107-1 requires disclosure of the fair value of financial instruments for interim reporting periods, and FSP 157-4 provides guidance on determination of the fair value of assets and liabilities in circumstances in which the activity of trading has significantly declined and in identifying transactions in such assets and liabilities that are not orderly. FSP 107-1 requires additional footnote disclosures, but does not affect accounting. Adoption of these pronouncements did not have a material effect on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued "Subsequent Events" ("SFAS 165"), which is effective for periods ending after June 15, 2009. SFAS 165 bifurcates subsequent events into two categories – those which provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the balance sheet date of presented financial statements, and those that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at such balance sheet date. In the first case, additional evidence about conditions existing at the balance sheet date are recorded as appropriate in the presented financial statements; in the second case, appropriate disclosures about post-balance sheet events are made in the disclosures related to the financial statements, although the effects are not recorded in the financial statements themselves. The issuer of financial statements is required to disclose the date through which subsequent events have been evaluated and the date upon which the financial statements are issued. Adoption of this provision had no material effect on the Company's financial statements.

Pursuant to "The FASB Accounting Standards Codification" ("SFAS 168") (promulgated in June of 2009), commencing with financial statements for periods ending after September 15, 2009, GAAP will be entirely expressed in a new "codification" of accounting standards (plus applicable SEC rules), which will provide a single substantive source of GAAP rather than the hierarchal structure previously in place. Codification is not intended to change GAAP, but will require different disclosures in the Company's financial statements about application of GAAP to its financial condition and results of operations commencing in its third quarter of 2009. SFAS 168 replaced SFAS 162, "The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles," which never became effective.

AeroCentury Corp.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)  
June 30, 2009

## 2. Aircraft and Aircraft Engine Held for Lease

During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company did not purchase or sell any aircraft or its aircraft engine. In April, the Company extended the lease for one of its DHC-8-100 aircraft for 36 months. In May, the Company and the lessee of one of the Company's Fokker 50 aircraft with a lease expiring in mid-May agreed in principle, but not in a binding agreement, to a two-year re-lease at the same rent, with an option for a one-year extension. The Company and the lessee have since continued discussions regarding the lease extension while the lease has continued on a month-to-month basis. In June, the Company extended the lease for one of its Fokker 50 aircraft for two months, to mid-August, while a long-term extension is negotiated with the lessee.

At June 30, 2009, two Saab 340A aircraft, one Fokker 50 aircraft, one Saab 340-B aircraft and one turboprop engine were off lease. The Company has a signed term sheet and a deposit for the re-lease of the two Saab 340A aircraft. Delivery of both aircraft to the lessee is expected to occur in the second half of 2009. At December 31, 2008, the two Saab 340A aircraft, the Fokker 50 aircraft and the turboprop engine were off lease. The Fokker 50 and Saab 340A aircraft are undergoing maintenance to prepare them for re-lease.

Three of the Company's Fokker 100 aircraft are leased by a regional airline based in Mexico. In April 2009, the Company received a request from the lessee to defer certain future payments as a result of a decrease in passenger volume. In June, the Company and the lessee entered into an agreement which deferred rental payments totaling \$990,000 and allowed for the offset of a portion of the security deposits held by the Company to maintenance reserves owed to the Company. The deferred rent is to be paid in monthly installments from January 2010 through the expiration date of each lease. The Company is continuing to record operating lease revenue on a straight-line basis for this lessee.

In June 2009, the Company also agreed to defer payment of rent and reserves totaling approximately \$492,000 due from the lessee of two of the Company's DHC-8-100 and one DHC-8-300 aircraft. The agreement allows for the deferred amount to be paid in monthly installments beginning in August 2009. The Company is continuing to record operating lease revenue on a straight-line basis for this lessee.

In June 2009, the Company received the final deferral payment from a lessee with which the Company had agreed to defer approximately \$711,000 of rent and maintenance reserves in February 2009.

## 3. Maintenance Reserves and Accrued Costs

The accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets reflect liabilities for maintenance reserves and accrued costs, which include refundable maintenance payments received from lessees based on usage. At June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, the Company's maintenance reserves and accruals consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Refundable maintenance reserves	\$6,818,800	\$5,746,600
Accrued costs	3,077,300	2,444,100
	<b>\$9,896,100</b>	<b>\$8,190,700</b>



AeroCentury Corp.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)  
June 30, 2009

## 3.Maintenance Reserves and Accrued Costs (continued)

Additions to and deductions from the Company's accrued costs during the six months ended June 30, 2009, and 2008, for aircraft maintenance were as follows:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
Balance, beginning of period	\$2,444,100	\$1,591,300
Additions:		
Charged to expense	2,619,600	3,638,400
Reversals of previously accrued maintenance costs	(117,700 )	(3,500 )
Total maintenance expense	2,501,900	3,634,900
Other	132,700	70,600
Total additions	2,634,600	3,705,500
Deductions:		
Payments for previously accrued maintenance costs	1,888,100	2,660,300
Other	113,300	221,700
Total deductions	2,001,400	2,882,000
Net increase in accrued maintenance costs	633,200	823,500
Balance, end of period	\$3,077,300	\$2,414,800

## 4.Notes Payable and Accrued Interest

At June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, the Company's notes payable and accrued interest consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2009	December 31, 2008
Credit Facility principal	\$51,000,000	\$58,096,000
Credit Facility accrued interest	43,100	39,500
Subordinated Notes principal	12,740,000	14,000,000
Subordinated Notes discount	(808,700 )	(1,166,500 )
Special purpose financing principal	-	748,000
Special purpose financing accrued interest	-	700
Swap valuation	366,100	645,800
Swap accrued interest	66,100	47,800
	\$63,406,600	\$72,411,300





AeroCentury Corp.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)  
June 30, 2009

4. Notes Payable and Accrued Interest (continued)

(a) Credit Facility

The current amount available under the Credit Facility is \$80 million and may be increased to a maximum of \$110 million either with additional commitments from current Credit Facility participants or with new lenders approved by the Credit Facility agent bank. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company borrowed \$0 and repaid \$7,096,000 of the outstanding principal under the Credit Facility. As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the Credit Facility agreement. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there was \$29,000,000 and \$21,904,000, respectively, in borrowing capacity remaining. The weighted average interest rate on the Credit Facility at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was 3.10% and 3.42%, respectively.

(b) Derivative instrument

In December 2007, the Company entered into a two-year interest rate swap (the "Swap") with a notional amount of \$20 million, under which it committed to make or receive a net settlement for the difference in interest receivable computed monthly on the basis of 30-day LIBOR and interest payable monthly on the basis of a fixed rate of 4.04% per annum. The Company entered into the Swap with the objective of economically converting a portion of its floating rate debt into a fixed rate for the term of the Swap, thereby reducing the volatility of cash flow associated with its debt obligations.

At June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, the Company recorded the fair value of the Swap of \$366,100 and \$645,800, respectively, as a liability on its condensed consolidated balance sheet as a component of notes payable and accrued interest. The Company recorded a gain on the Swap of \$141,400 and \$355,900 for the three months ended June 30, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The Company recorded a gain on the Swap of \$279,700 and a loss on the Swap of \$114,700 for the six months ended June 30, 2009, and 2008, respectively, as a component of interest expense. The Company also recognized additional interest expense on the net settlement of the Swap of \$183,100 and \$70,500 in the three months ended June 30, 2009, and 2008, respectively, and \$362,500 and \$84,800 in the six months ended June 30, 2009, and 2008, respectively.

SFAS No. 157 discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow), and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). The statement utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs, other than quoted prices, that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions.

The Swap agreement effectively converts \$20 million of the Company's short-term variable rate debt to a fixed rate. Under this agreement, the Company pays a fixed rate and receives a variable rate of LIBOR. The fair value of this interest rate derivative is based on quoted prices for similar instruments from a commercial bank and, therefore,

the interest rate derivative is considered a Level 2 input.

- 11 -

---

AeroCentury Corp.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)  
June 30, 2009

## 4. Notes Payable and Accrued Interest (continued)

## (c) Senior unsecured subordinated debt

As of June 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under the securities purchase agreement pursuant to which the Company issued its currently outstanding subordinated notes (the "Subordinated Notes").

## (d) Special purpose financings

In March 2009, the Company repaid the outstanding principal of \$646,800 owed by AeroCentury VI LLC under its special purpose financing and paid a prepayment penalty of \$1,300. At the same time, the Company transferred ownership of the aircraft that served as collateral for the financing from AeroCentury VI LLC to AeroCentury Corp., whereupon the aircraft became eligible as collateral under the Credit Facility.

## 5. Computation of Earnings Per Share

Basic and diluted earnings per share are calculated as follows:

	For the Six Months Ended June 30,		For the Three Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income	\$2,605,900	\$1,881,200	\$1,367,700	\$1,585,500
Weighted average shares outstanding for the period	1,543,257	1,543,257	1,543,257	1,543,257
Dilutive effect of warrants	-	67,712	-	51,153
Weighted average diluted shares outstanding	1,543,257	1,610,969	1,543,257	1,594,410
Basic earnings per share	\$1.69	\$1.22	\$0.89	\$1.03
Diluted earnings per share	\$1.69	\$1.17	\$0.89	\$0.99

Basic earnings per common share is computed using net income and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per common share is computed using net income and the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, assuming dilution. Weighted average common shares outstanding, assuming dilution, includes potentially dilutive common shares outstanding during the period. Potentially dilutive common shares include the assumed exercise of warrants using the treasury stock method. Since the average market price of the Company's common stock was less than the exercise price of the Warrants, the warrants would be anti-dilutive for the 2009 periods, so conversion is assumed not to occur in the 2009 earnings per share calculations.

AeroCentury Corp.  
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)  
June 30, 2009

6. Related Party Transactions

The Company's portfolio of leased aircraft assets is managed and administered under the terms of a management agreement with JetFleet Management Corp. ("JMC"), which is an integrated aircraft management, marketing and financing business and a subsidiary of JetFleet Holding Corp. ("JHC"). Certain officers of the Company are also officers of JHC and JMC and hold significant ownership positions in both JHC and the Company. The Company recorded management fees of \$1,840,300 and \$1,772,700 during the six months ended June 30, 2009, and 2008, respectively. The Company paid acquisition fees totaling \$437,000 to JMC during the six months ended June 30, 2008, which are included in the cost basis of the aircraft purchased. No such fees were paid to JMC during the six months ended June 30, 2009. No remarketing fees were paid to JMC during the six months ended June 30, 2009, or 2008.

7. Subsequent Events

In July 2009, the Company extended the leases for two of its DHC-6 aircraft for five years, to July 31, 2014. Also in July, the Company extended the lease for one of its Fokker 50 aircraft for two months, to mid-October, while a long-term extension is negotiated with the lessee.

In August 2009, the Company purchased two regional jet engines, which are on lease to a U.S. regional carrier.

Subsequent events have been evaluated through August 13, 2009, the date on which these financial statements were issued.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008, and the unaudited financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this report.

Results of Operations for the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 and 2008

(a) Revenues

Operating lease revenue was \$681,800 and \$1,257,200 greater in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008, primarily because of increases of \$576,000 and \$1,236,000, respectively, in operating lease revenue from aircraft purchased during 2008, as well as increases related to re-leases of aircraft that were off lease for part of the 2008 periods, and re-leases of several of the Company's aircraft during 2008 at increased rental rates. The aggregate effect of these increases was partially offset by a decrease in revenue related to aircraft that were off lease for all or part of the three-month and six-month periods in 2009, respectively.

Maintenance reserves revenue is comprised of non-refundable reserves that are earned based on lessee aircraft usage. Such income was \$358,100 and \$539,200 lower in the three-month and six-month 2009 periods, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008 primarily as a result of lower average usage of aircraft by some the Company's lessees and because there were more aircraft off lease in the 2009 periods compared to the 2008 periods.

Other income was \$232,600 and \$59,200 higher in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008, principally because the 2009 periods included \$245,400 of interest income related to a federal tax refund received in June 2009. The six-month period of 2008 included \$150,000 for compensation paid by a lessee for canceling a potential re-lease transaction.

(b) Expense items

Interest expense was \$280,400 higher in the three months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the three months ended June 30, 2008, primarily because of the net effect of the following:

- an increase of \$264,100 in Subordinated Notes fee amortization as a result of the issuance of additional Subordinated Notes in July 2008;
- a gain in fair value of \$141,400 related to the Swap in 2009, compared to a \$355,900 gain in 2008 (which gains were reflected as reductions in interest expense for the two periods);
  - an increase of \$148,300 related to a higher outstanding principal balance of Subordinated Notes;
- an increase of \$112,500 in net settlement interest related to the Swap;
  - an increase of \$6,700 in Credit Facility unused commitment fees as a result of higher average unused available borrowings under the Credit Facility;
    - a decrease of \$371,100 in Credit Facility interest as a result of lower average interest rates;
    - a decrease of \$68,200 related to a lower outstanding balance under the Credit Facility;

- a decrease of \$22,800 in Subordinated Notes unused commitment fees as a result of lower average unused available borrowings under the Subordinated Notes; and
- a decrease of \$3,600 in special purpose financing loan fee amortization.

- 14 -

---

Interest expense was \$439,100 lower in the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2008, primarily because of the net effect of the following:

- a decrease of \$936,500 in Credit Facility interest as a result of lower average interest rates;
- a gain in fair value of \$279,700 related to the Swap in 2009, compared to a \$114,700 loss on the Swap in 2008 (which gain and loss were reflected as a reduction and an increase, respectively, in interest expense for the two periods);
- a decrease of \$104,300 related to a lower outstanding balance under the Credit Facility;
- a decrease of \$45,500 in Subordinated Notes unused commitment fees as a result of lower average unused available borrowings under the Subordinated Notes;
- a decrease of \$10,000 in special purpose financing loan fee amortization;
- an increase of \$461,700 in Subordinated Notes fee amortization as a result of the issuance of additional Subordinated Notes in July 2008;
- an increase of \$303,800 related to a higher outstanding principal balance of Subordinated Notes;
- an increase of \$277,700 in net settlement interest related to the Swap; and
- an increase of \$8,400 in Credit Facility unused commitment fees as a result of higher average unused available borrowings under the Credit Facility.

Depreciation was \$164,900 and \$365,800 greater in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008, primarily because of purchases of aircraft during 2008.

Management fees, which are calculated on the net book value of the aircraft, were \$23,800 and \$67,700 greater in the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, compared to the same periods in 2008 because of higher net book values as a result of aircraft acquisitions in 2008. The effects of these increases were partially offset by the effect of depreciation.

The Company's maintenance expense is dependent on the aggregate amount of maintenance incurred by lessees related to non-refundable reserves and expenses incurred in connection with off-lease aircraft, and, therefore, can vary greatly between periods.



In the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized \$456,800 more in maintenance expense than in the same period of 2008, due to the net effect of the following:

- an increase of \$985,500 in expense related to off-lease aircraft; and
- a decrease of \$528,700 in total lessee work incurred.

In the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company recognized \$419,300 less in maintenance expense than in the same period of 2008, due to the net effect of the following:

- a decrease of \$1,589,600 in total lessee work incurred; and
- an increase of \$1,170,300 in expense related to off-lease aircraft.

During the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, \$610,600 and \$1,393,700 respectively, of the Company's maintenance expense was funded by non-refundable maintenance reserves which were recorded as income when accrued, as compared to \$494,000 and \$1,432,600 for the same periods in 2008, respectively.

The Company records non-income based sales, use, value-added and franchise taxes as other tax expense.

The Company's insurance expense consists primarily of product liability insurance and insurance for off-lease aircraft and aircraft engines which varies depending on the type and length of time each off-lease asset is insured, as well as directors and officers liability insurance.

The Company's effective tax rates for all periods presented were approximately 34%.

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company is currently financing its assets primarily through debt borrowings and excess cash flows.

### (a)Credit Facility

The current amount available under the Credit Facility is \$80 million and may be increased to a maximum of \$110 million either with additional commitments from current Credit Facility participants or with new lenders approved by the Credit Facility agent bank. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company borrowed \$0 and repaid \$7,096,000 of the outstanding principal under the Credit Facility. The balance of the principal amount owed under the Credit Facility at June 30, 2009, was \$51,000,000 and interest of \$43,100 was accrued.

The Company was in compliance at June 30, 2009, and currently is in compliance with all covenants of the Credit Facility. Based on its current projections, the Company believes it will continue to be in compliance with all covenants of its Credit Facility, but there can be no assurance of such compliance in the future. See "Factors That May Affect Future Results – 'Risks of Debt Financing' and 'Credit Facility Obligations,'" below.

The Company's interest expense in connection with the Credit Facility generally increases and decreases with prevailing interest rates, although the Company did enter into the Swap in December 2007 that expires in December 2009, as discussed in Note 4 above and paragraph (b) below. Because aircraft owners seeking financing generally can obtain financing through either leasing transactions or traditional secured debt financings, prevailing interest rates are a significant factor in determining market lease rates, and market lease rates generally move up or down with prevailing interest rates, assuming supply and demand of the desired equipment remain constant. However, because lease rates for the Company's assets typically are fixed under existing leases, the Company normally does not experience any positive or negative impact in revenue from changes in market lease rates due to interest rate changes until existing leases have terminated and new lease rates are set as aircraft are re-leased.

### (b)Derivative instrument

In December 2007, the Company entered into the Swap, a two-year interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$20 million, under which it committed to make or receive a net settlement for the difference in interest receivable computed monthly on the basis of 30-day LIBOR and interest payable monthly on the basis of a fixed rate of 4.04% per annum. The Swap is designed to limit exposure to interest rate increases on \$20 million of the Company's Credit Facility debt by fixing the net interest payable over the term of the Swap.

The Company recognized net settlement expense related to the Swap of \$183,100 and \$362,500 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, as a component of interest expense. Short-term interest rates are currently below the fixed rate of the Swap. If short-term interest rates remain below the fixed rate of the Swap, the Company will incur additional interest expense as a result.

At June 30, 2009, the Company also recognized a \$366,100 liability for the Swap on its condensed consolidated balance sheet as a component of notes payable and accrued interest, which reflects market expectations concerning the "spread" between fixed and variable interest rates over the remaining term of the Swap. The Company also recognized gains on the Swap of \$141,400 and \$279,700 for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009, respectively, as a component of interest expense for the change in fair value of the Swap contract. Market expectations of increasing interest rates will tend to decrease the fair value of the Swap, and expectations of decreasing interest rates will tend to increase the fair value of the Swap. Over the remaining term of the Swap, so long as there is no default by either counterparty and the Company does not elect to terminate it early, the change in fair value of the Swap will be recognized as a reduction in interest expense.

(c)Senior unsecured subordinated debt

As of June 30, 2009, the carrying amount of the Subordinated Notes was \$11,931,300 (outstanding principal amount of \$12,740,000 less unamortized debt discount of \$808,700) and accrued interest payable was \$0. The Company is currently, and at June 30, 2009, was, in compliance with all covenants under the securities purchase agreement pursuant to which the Company issued the Subordinated Notes. Based on its current projections, the Company believes it will continue to be in compliance with all covenants of the securities purchase agreement pursuant to which the Company issued the Subordinated Notes, but there can be no assurance of such compliance in the future. See "Factors That May Affect Future Results – 'Risks of Debt Financing' and 'Credit Facility Obligations,'" below.

(d)Special purpose financings

In March 2009, the Company repaid the outstanding principal of \$646,800 owed by AeroCentury VI LLC under its special purpose financing and paid a prepayment penalty of \$1,300. At the same time, the Company transferred ownership of the aircraft that served as collateral for the financing from AeroCentury VI LLC to AeroCentury Corp., whereupon the aircraft became eligible as collateral under the Credit Facility.

(e)Cash flow

The Company's primary sources of cash are aircraft lease rentals and maintenance reserves billed monthly to lessees based on aircraft usage. Maintenance reserves collected by the Company are not required by the leases to be segregated and are included in cash and cash equivalents on the Company's condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Company is currently not receiving lease revenue for its off-lease assets, comprised of one Fokker 50 aircraft, two Saab 340A aircraft, one Saab 340B aircraft and one turboprop engine. The Company has and will continue to incur significant maintenance expense in order to prepare these aircraft for re-lease. Six of the Company's leases expire during the second half of 2009. The Company believes that it will be successful in extending the leases for a majority of these aircraft, given preliminary indications from current lessees.

The Company's primary uses of cash are for financing principal and interest payments due under the Company's debt obligations, maintenance expense, management fees, professional fees, and insurance. The debt repayment obligations increased in April 2009, when the Subordinated Notes debt repayment schedule began to require principal amortization in addition to interest. The amount of interest paid by the Company is dependent on the outstanding balance of its Credit Facility and Subordinated Notes debt. Although the Subordinated Notes debt bears a fixed interest rate, the amount of interest owed under the Credit Facility is dependent on changes in prevailing interest rates, since the Credit Facility debt carries a floating interest rate. The amount and timing of the Company's maintenance payments are dependent on the aggregate amount of the maintenance claims submitted by lessees for reimbursement from reserves and expenses incurred in connection with off-lease aircraft and preparation of such aircraft for re-lease.

Management believes that the Company will have adequate cash flow to meet its ongoing operational needs, including required repayments under its Credit Facility and Subordinated Notes, based upon its estimates of future revenues and expenditures. The Company's expectations concerning such cash flows are based on existing lease terms and rents, as well as numerous estimates, including (i) rents on assets to be re-leased, (ii) timely use of proceeds of unused debt capacity toward additional acquisitions of income producing assets, (iii) required debt payments, (iv) interest rate increases and decreases, and (v) the cost and anticipated timing of maintenance to be performed.

Although the Company believes that the assumptions it has made in forecasting its cash flow are reasonable in light of experience, actual results could deviate from such assumptions. Among the more significant external factors outside the Company's control that could have an impact on the accuracy of cash flow assumptions are (i) an increase in interest rates that negatively affects the Company's profitability and causes the Company to violate covenants of its Credit Facility or its Subordinated Notes, which may in turn require repayment of some or all of the amounts outstanding under the Credit Facility or the Subordinated Notes, (ii) lessee non-performance or non-compliance with lease obligations which may affect Credit Facility collateral limitations and Subordinated Notes covenants, as well as revenue and expenses, (iii) inability to locate and acquire a sufficient volume of additional aircraft assets at prices that will produce acceptable net returns, (iv) lessee performance of maintenance earlier than anticipated and (v) failure of the Credit Facility participants to fully fund their respective commitments.

(i) Operating activities

The Company's cash flow from operations increased by \$2,626,500 in the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to the same period in 2008. This was primarily due to the net effect of the following in the 2009 period:

- an increase of \$697,500 in rent collected;
- a decrease of \$809,700 in maintenance reserves income;
- an increase of \$358,000 in security deposits;
- a decrease of \$551,000 in interest paid;
- a decrease of \$583,700 in maintenance payments; and
- receipt of a \$1,870,500 federal tax refund, including interest income of \$245,400.

The change in cash flow from period to period is a result of changes in several cash flow items during the period, including principally the following:

Lease rents, maintenance reserves and security deposits

Payments received from lessees for rent were \$697,500 greater in the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the same period in 2008, due primarily to rent payments for aircraft acquired during 2008, and re-leases during 2008 at increased rental rates for several of the Company's aircraft. The aggregate effect of these increases was partially offset by a decrease in revenue related to aircraft that were off lease for all or part of the 2009 period.

Payments received for refundable and non-refundable maintenance reserves are based on usage of the Company's aircraft. Such payments were \$809,700 less in the six months ended June 30, 2009 than in the six months ended June 30, 2008 as a result of lower average usage of aircraft by some the Company's lessees.

The Company received security deposits in the amount of \$100,000 during the first six months of 2009. During the first six months of 2008, the Company returned a \$308,000 security deposit to a lessee upon return of an aircraft at lease end and received security deposits totaling \$50,000.

Payments for interest

Payments for interest decreased by \$551,000 in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period of 2008. The Company paid \$1,105,500 less interest related to the Company's Credit Facility and special purpose financing debt in the 2009 period compared to the same period in 2008 as a result of lower average outstanding balances and lower average index rates upon which the Credit Facility and special purpose financing interest rates were based. The Company also paid \$8,200 more in commitment fees related to the unused portion of its Credit Facility in the 2009 period. The Company paid \$45,500 less in commitment fees related to its Subordinated Notes debt in the 2009 period because no such fees were payable after the Company's issuance of Subordinated Notes in July 2008. The aggregate effect of these decreases was partially offset by an increase of \$303,900 in interest expense related to the Company's Subordinated Notes in the six months ended June 30, 2009, compared to the same period in 2008 as a result of a higher principal balance. During the first six months of 2009, the Company also recorded \$287,900 more of net settlement interest related to the Swap than during the 2008 period.



Payments for maintenance

Payments for maintenance were \$583,700 lower in the first six months of 2009 compared to the 2008 period, as a result of payments for lessee maintenance claims which were \$852,900 lower in 2009, offset partially by \$269,200 of higher payments for off-lease aircraft in 2009. The amount of payments for maintenance in future periods will be dependent on the amount and timing of maintenance paid as reimbursement to lessees from maintenance reserves, which are dependent upon utilization and required maintenance intervals, and maintenance paid for off-lease aircraft.

Income taxes

During the six months ended June 30, 2009 the Company received \$1,625,100 of Federal tax refunds and paid taxes of \$900. The Company received \$210,500 of Federal tax refunds and paid taxes of \$500 in the six months ended June 30, 2008.

(ii) Investing activities

During the first six months of 2009 and 2008, the Company used cash of \$0 and \$14,081,800 respectively, for aircraft purchases and capital equipment installed on aircraft.

(iii) Financing activities

The Company made borrowings of \$0 and \$12,500,000 during the first six months of 2009 and 2008, respectively. The Company repaid \$9,104,000 and \$6,131,600 of its outstanding debt in the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Such payments were funded by excess cash flow.

## Outlook

### (a) General

The current global downturn has resulted in a significant reduction in airline passenger volume and in reaction to that, a reduction in the number of aircraft needed for operation by large and small carriers in nearly all geographic areas. This reduction in aircraft demand may have a significant impact on both the acquisition and remarketing areas of the Company's business, and if it continues without improvement for a significant period of time, may have a material impact on the Company's profitability.

With respect to acquisitions, in contrast with previous years, demand for leased aircraft for start-up regional operations or fleet expansion by established carriers has significantly declined. The Company therefore anticipates that there will be few acquisition opportunities for the Company for the remainder of 2009. Furthermore, while this period of industry contraction continues, it is likely that the Company's asset portfolio will grow at a slower rate than in previously years.

The continued reduction in the demand for aircraft by air carriers may also increase the possibility that lessees will choose to return leased aircraft at lease expiration rather than renew the leases for such aircraft. It also increases the risk of a lease default by lessees of the Company, particularly less-established carriers. If the Company experiences an unanticipated increase in the number of its aircraft being returned at lease end or upon a lessee default, this combined with the lack of other carriers seeking aircraft in this environment of contraction may make finding replacement lessees more challenging for the Company, and require a larger proportion of the Company's focus than in years past. A significant increase in the number of aircraft off-lease, or a significant increase in the time that returned aircraft are off lease, will have an adverse impact on the Company's results.

In the short term, however, except for the lease termination and payment deferrals discussed in more detail below, the Company has not yet experienced significant changes in any of its customers' payment timeliness but has seen indications of a weakening in the financial condition and operating results of the majority of its customers. The Company is closely monitoring the performance of all of its lessees

To date in 2009, the Company has agreed to rent payment deferrals for three of its lessees, to assist them through a difficult financial situation related to each carrier's specific situation but in some cases exacerbated by the global economic situation.

In February 2009, the Company and the lessee of two of the Company's aircraft agreed to defer a portion of the rent and maintenance reserves due from the lessee. The deferral required payment in four, equal monthly installments beginning in March 2009; the Company has received all four payments.

In April 2009, the Company received a request from a Mexican lessee of three of the Company's Fokker 100 aircraft to defer certain future payments, primarily as a result of a decrease in passenger volume due to the H1N1 virus outbreak. In June, the Company and the lessee entered into an agreement which deferred payment of three months of rent for each aircraft and allowed for the application of a portion of the security deposits held by the Company to maintenance reserves owed to the Company. The deferred rent is to be paid in monthly installments from January 2010 through the expiration date of each lease.

In June 2009, the Company also agreed to defer payment of rent and reserves due from the lessee of two of the Company's DHC-8-100 and one DHC-8-300 aircraft. The agreement allows for the deferred amount to be paid in monthly installments beginning in August 2009.

### (b) Pending Transactions and Remarketing Efforts



The Company has a signed term sheet and received a deposit for the re-lease of its two off-lease Saab 340A aircraft and one spare engine. Delivery of both aircraft to the lessee is expected to occur in the second half of 2009. The Company is currently seeking to re-lease a Fokker 50 aircraft and a Saab 340B aircraft that were returned by their previous lessees in August 2008 and February 2009, respectively. The amount of maintenance expense that will be incurred in future periods for work necessary to prepare the four currently off lease aircraft and one off-lease engine for re-lease is estimated to total approximately \$1,700,000.

To date in 2009, the Company has extended the leases for five of its aircraft. The Company is currently negotiating the extension of four of the six other aircraft leases that expire in the remainder of 2009, and the Company believes that it will be successful in extending the leases for a majority of these aircraft, given preliminary indications from the current lessees. If the aircraft that are currently off lease remain off lease for an extended period of time and the Company is not successful in extending the leases for a majority of the eight aircraft with leases expiring in 2009, the Company may not be able to meet its operational needs or remain in compliance with the terms of its Credit Facility and Subordinated Notes.

- 21 -

---

## Factors that May Affect Future Results

**General Economic Conditions and Lowered Demand for Travel.** The Company's business is dependent upon general economic conditions and the strength of the travel and transportation industry. The industry is in a period of financial difficulty and contraction due to the global economic downturn. Passenger volume has fallen significantly for carriers in all parts of the globe, and the loss of revenue has affected many carriers' financial condition. This loss of revenue, combined with the continuing unavailability of additional debt financing relied on by many regional carriers caused by the current credit crisis, may increase the likelihood of failures. Events such as the spread of the H1N1 flu epidemic to other parts of the globe or a terrorist attack against aviation which could exacerbate an already weakened condition and lead to widespread failures in the air carrier industries. If lessees experience financial difficulties and are unable to meet lease obligations, this will, in turn, affect the Company's financial performance.

**Ownership Risks.** The Company's portfolio is leased under operating leases, where the terms of the leases are less than the entire anticipated useful life of an asset. The Company's ability to recover its purchase investment in an asset subject to an operating lease is dependent upon the Company's ability to profitably re-lease or sell the asset after the expiration of the initial lease term. Some of the factors that have an impact on the Company's ability to re-lease or sell include worldwide economic conditions, general aircraft market conditions, regulatory changes that may make an asset's use more expensive or preclude use unless the asset is modified, changes in the supply or cost of aircraft equipment and technological developments which cause the asset to become obsolete. If the Company is unable to remarket its aircraft equipment on favorable terms when the operating leases for such equipment expire, the Company's business, financial condition, cash flow, ability to service debt and results of operations could be adversely affected.

In addition, a successful investment in an asset subject to an operating lease depends in part upon having the asset returned by the lessee in the condition as required under the lease. Typically, a lessee has the obligation to return an aircraft to the Company in the condition required under a lease, which generally requires the aircraft be returned in equal or better condition than that at delivery to the lessee. If the lessee becomes insolvent during the term of its lease and the Company has to repossess the aircraft from the lessee, it is unlikely that the lessee will have the financial ability to meet these return obligations. Thus, upon repossession, the Company will be required to expend its own resources to return the aircraft to a remarketable condition, and maintenance reserves collected from the lessee during the term of the lease may be insufficient to fund the total expense of such repair and maintenance.

Furthermore, an asset impairment charge against the Company's earnings may result from the occurrence of unexpected adverse changes that impact the Company's estimates of expected cash flows generated from such asset. The Company periodically reviews long-term assets for impairment, in particular, when events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value of an asset may not be recoverable. An impairment loss is recognized when the carrying amount of an asset is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value. The Company may be required to recognize asset impairment charges in the future as a result of a prolonged weak economic environment, challenging market conditions in the airline industry or events related to particular lessees, assets or asset types.

In some cases, anticipated maintenance expenses may result in an asset impairment charge, and the Company may subsequently be required to recognize those same costs of maintenance as expense after the impairment charge, which may result in a required redundancy in recognition of maintenance costs and an asset having a recorded book value lower than its fair value.

Several of the Company's leases do not require payment of monthly maintenance reserves, and if a repossession due to lessee default occurs with that lessee, the Company will not have received payment for the costs of unperformed repair and maintenance under the applicable lease.



**Credit Facility Obligations.** The Company is obligated to make repayments of principal under the Credit Facility in order to maintain certain debt ratios with respect to its assets in the borrowing base. Assets that come off lease and remain off-lease for a period of time, as well as assets with lease payments more than 30 days past due, are excluded from the borrowing base. The Company believes it will have sufficient cash funds to make any payment that arises due to borrowing base limitations caused by assets scheduled to come off lease in the near term. The Company's belief is based on certain assumptions regarding renewal of existing leases, interest rates, profitability, lessee defaults or bankruptcies, and certain other matters that the Company deems reasonable in light of its experience in the industry. There can be no assurance that the Company's assumptions will prove to be correct. If the assumptions are incorrect (for example, if an asset in the collateral base unexpectedly goes off lease for an extended period of time) and the Company has not obtained an applicable waiver or amendment of applicable covenants from its lenders to mitigate the situation, the Company may have to sell a significant portion of its portfolio in order to avoid a default under the Credit Facility agreement.

During periods of economic contraction, carriers generally reduce capacity in response to lower passenger loads, and as a result, there is a reduced demand for aircraft and a corresponding decrease in market lease rental rates and aircraft values. This reduced market value for aircraft could affect the Company's results if the market value of an asset or assets in the Company's aircraft portfolio falls below carrying value, and the Company determines that a write-down of the value on the Company's balance sheet is appropriate. Furthermore, as older leases expire and are replaced by lease renewals or re-leases at decreasing lease rates, the lease revenue from the Company's existing portfolio is likely to decline, with the magnitude of the decline dependent on the length of the downturn and the depth of the decline in market rents.

Economic downturns can affect specific regions of the world exclusively. As the Company's portfolio is not entirely globally diversified, a localized downturn in one of the key regions in which the Company leases aircraft could have a significant adverse impact on the Company.

**Availability of Financing.** The Company's continued growth will depend on its ability to obtain capital, either through debt or equity financings. The financial markets have experienced certain setbacks related in many cases to certain financial institutions' investments in mortgage-backed securities. As a result, commercial lending origination has dramatically decreased, and asset-based debt financing is now more difficult to obtain. The Company believes that the current banking crisis will not have an immediate direct effect on the Company. The Company believes the lenders in its Credit Facility will continue to honor their commitment to provide loans as committed in the Credit Facility agreements and that the unused capacity under the Credit Facility will remain available and provide sufficient capital to fund acquisitions through the end of 2009. The Company, however, will eventually need to seek additional capital once its Credit Facility is fully drawn. The Company is currently seeking additional lenders for participation in its Credit Facility, and investigating other sources of debt financing. There is no assurance that the Company will succeed in finding such additional capital, and if such financing is found, it may not be on terms as favorable as its current debt financings. The current term of the Credit Facility expires in March 2010. There is no assurance that the current lenders participating in the facility will remain as participants in the amount for which they are currently committed. If one or more participants does not continue, then the Company will either need to pay off such participant by obtaining additional commitment amounts from the remaining lenders, finding new replacement lenders, or selling assets, or doing a combination of any of the foregoing.

**Risks of Debt Financing.** The Company's use of debt as the primary form of acquisition financing subjects the Company to increased risks of leveraging. Indebtedness owed under the Credit Facility is secured by the Company's existing assets as well as the specific assets acquired with each financing. In addition to payment obligations, the Credit Facility also requires the Company to comply with certain financial covenants, including a requirement of positive earnings, interest coverage and net worth ratios. Any default under the Credit Facility, if not waived by the lenders, could result in foreclosure upon any or all of the existing assets of the Company securing the loan. Any such default could also result in a cross default under the Subordinated Notes.

The addition of the Subordinated Notes, the second tranche of which were issued in July 2008, while providing additional resources for acquisitions of revenue generating assets, also had the effect of increasing the Company's overall cost of capital, as they bear an effective overall interest rate that is currently higher than the rate payable under the Credit Facility. The two tranches of Subordinated Notes financing started bearing interest immediately upon their issuance and, therefore, timely acquisition of income producing assets has become more critical to the Company's financial results. The agreement under which the Subordinated Notes were issued also contains financial and other covenants which, if violated, could cause a default.

- 23 -

---

**Investment in New Aircraft Types.** The Company has traditionally invested in a limited number of types of turboprop aircraft and engines. While the Company intends to continue to focus solely on regional aircraft and engines, beginning in 2007, the Company has acquired several Fokker 100 regional jet aircraft, and may continue to seek acquisition opportunities for new types and models of regional jet and turboprop aircraft and engines used in the Company's targeted customer base of regional air carriers. Acquisition of other aircraft types and engines not previously acquired by the Company entails greater ownership risk due to the Company's lack of experience managing those aircraft and engine types. The Company believes, however, that the overall industry experience of JMC's personnel and its technical resources should permit the Company to effectively manage such new aircraft types and engines. Further, the broadening of the asset types in the aircraft portfolio may have a benefit of diversifying the Company's portfolio (see "Factors That May Affect Future Results – Concentration of Lessees and Aircraft Type," below).

**Warrant Issuance.** As part of the Subordinated Notes financing, as revised upon the second and final closing in July 2008, the holders of Subordinated Notes hold warrants to purchase up to 81,224 shares of the Company's common stock, which represents 5% of the post-exercise fully diluted capitalization of the Company as of the initial closing of the Subordinated Notes financing. The exercise price under the warrants is \$8.75 per share. If the warrants to purchase shares are exercised at a time when the exercise price is less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock, there would be dilution to the existing holders of common stock. This dilution of the Company's common stock could depress its trading price.

**Concentration of Lessees and Aircraft Type.** For the month ended July 31, 2009, the Company's five largest customers were located in Mexico, Antigua, Norway, Netherlands Antilles and Germany and accounted for approximately 16%, 14%, 10%, 10% and 10%, respectively, of the Company's monthly lease revenue. A lease default by or collection problem with one or a combination of any of these significant customers could have a disproportionate negative impact on the Company's financial results, and, therefore, the Company's operating results are especially sensitive to any negative developments with respect to these customers in terms of lease compliance or collection. Such concentration of lessee credit risk decreases as the Company leases additional assets to new lessees.

As of July 31, 2009, the Company owned fourteen Fokker 50, eight DHC-8-300 and seven Fokker 100 aircraft, making these three aircraft types the dominant types in the portfolio and representing 22%, 26% and 34%, respectively, of net book value. As a result, a change in the desirability and availability of any of these types of aircraft, which would in turn affect valuations of such aircraft, would have a disproportionately significant impact on the Company's portfolio value. Such aircraft type concentration will diminish if the Company acquires additional assets of other types. Conversely, acquisition of these types of aircraft will increase the Company's risks related to its concentration of those aircraft types.

**Lessee Credit Risk.** If a customer defaults upon its lease obligations, the Company may be limited in its ability to enforce remedies. Most of the Company's lessees are small, regional passenger airlines, which may be even more sensitive to airline industry market conditions than the major airlines. As a result, the Company's inability to collect rent under a lease or to repossess equipment in the event of a lessee default could have a material adverse effect on the Company's revenue. Most of the Company's lessees are foreign and not subject to U.S. bankruptcy laws, although there may be debtor protection similar to U.S. bankruptcy laws available in some jurisdictions. If a lessee that is a certified U.S. airline is in default under the lease and seeks protection under Chapter 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code, Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code would automatically prevent the Company from exercising any remedies for a period of 60 days. After the 60-day period has passed, the lessee must agree to perform the obligations and cure any defaults, or the Company will have the right to repossess the equipment. This procedure under the Bankruptcy Code has been subject to significant litigation, however, and it is possible that the Company's enforcement rights may be further adversely affected by a declaration of bankruptcy by a defaulting lessee.

Leasing Risks. The Company's successful negotiation of lease extensions, re-leases and sales may be critical to its ability to achieve its financial objectives, and involves a number of risks. Demand for lease or purchase of the assets depends on the economic condition of the airline industry, which is, in turn, sensitive to general economic conditions. The ability to re-lease equipment at acceptable rates may depend on the demand and market values at the time of remarketing. The Company acquires used aircraft equipment. The market for used aircraft equipment is cyclical, and generally reflects economic conditions and the strength of the travel and transportation industry. The demand for and value of many types of used aircraft in the recent past has been depressed by such factors as airline financial difficulties, increased fuel costs, the number of new aircraft on order and the number of aircraft coming off-lease. Values may also increase for certain aircraft types that become desirable based on market conditions and changing airline capacity. If the Company were to purchase an aircraft during a period of increasing values, it would in turn need to lease such aircraft at a corresponding higher lease rate.

- 24 -

---

**Risks Related to Regional Air Carriers.** Because the Company's customer base is regional air carriers and the Company continues to focus on this market, it is subject to additional risks. Some of the lessees in the regional air carrier market are companies that are start-up, low-capital, and/or low-margin operations. Often, the success of such carriers is dependent upon contractual arrangements with major trunk carriers or franchises from governmental agencies that provide subsidies for operating essential air routes, both of which may be subject to termination or cancellation with short notice periods. Because of this exposure, the Company typically is able to obtain generally higher lease rates from these types of lessees. In the event of a business failure or bankruptcy of the lessee, the Company can generally regain possession of its aircraft, but the aircraft could be in substantially worse condition than would be the case if the aircraft were returned in accordance with the lease provisions at lease expiration.

The Company evaluates the credit risk of each lessee carefully, and attempts to obtain a third party guaranty, letters of credit or other credit enhancements, if it deems them necessary in addition to customary security deposits. There is no assurance, however, that such enhancements will be available or that, if obtained, they will fully protect the Company from losses resulting from a lessee default or bankruptcy. Also, most of the current and expected market growth is outside of the United States, where collection and enforcement are often more difficult and complicated than in the United States. If any of the Company's current or future lessees are unable to meet their lease obligations, the Company's future results could be materially impacted.

**Interest Rate Risk.** The Credit Facility carries a floating interest rate based upon short-term interest rate indices. Lease rates typically, but not always, move over time with interest rates, but market demand and numerous other factors for the asset also affect lease rates. Because the Company's typical lease rates are fixed at the origination of leases, interest rate changes during the term of a lease have no effect on existing lease payments. Therefore, if interest rates rise significantly, and there is relatively little lease origination by the Company following such rate increases, the Company could experience lower net income. Further, even if significant lease origination occurs following such rate increases, other contemporaneous aircraft market forces may result in lower or flat rental rates, and the Company could also experience lower net income.

In December 2007, the Company entered into the Swap, a two-year interest rate swap with a notional amount of \$20 million, under which it committed to make or receive a net settlement for the difference in interest receivable computed monthly on the basis of 30-day LIBOR and interest payable monthly on the basis of a fixed rate of 4.04% per annum. The Swap is designed to limit exposure to interest rate increases on \$20 million of the Company's Credit Facility debt by fixing the net interest payable over the term of the Swap. Nonetheless, if an interest rate increase were great enough, the Company might not be able to generate sufficient lease revenue to meet its interest payments and obligations on the Credit Facility balance that is not subject to the Swap and to comply with the other covenants of its Credit Facility. If the one-month LIBOR rate applicable for an interest period is below the fixed swap rate set in the Swap contract, as has been the case in recent months, the Company will be obligated to pay the swap counterparty the difference between the fixed swap rate of 4.04% and that one-month LIBOR rate, resulting in a negative impact on the Company's results. As of August 12, 2009, the one-month LIBOR rate was 0.3125%.



**International Risks.** The Company has focused on leases in overseas markets. Leases with foreign lessees, however, may present different risks than those with domestic lessees.

Foreign laws, regulations and judicial procedures may be more or less protective of lessor rights than those which apply in the United States. The Company could experience collection or repossession problems related to the enforcement of its lease agreements under foreign local laws and remedies in foreign jurisdictions. The protections potentially offered by Section 1110 of the Bankruptcy Code do not apply to non-U.S. carriers, and applicable local law may not offer similar protections. Certain countries do not have a central registration or recording system with which to locally establish the Company's interest in equipment and related leases. This could make it more difficult for the Company to recover an aircraft in the event of a default by a foreign lessee.

A lease with a foreign lessee is subject to risks related to the economy of the country or region in which such lessee is located, which may be weaker than the U.S. economy. A foreign economic downturn may impact a foreign lessee's ability to make lease payments, even though the U.S. and other economies remain stable.

In addition, foreign lessees are subject to risks related to currency conversion fluctuations. Although the Company's current leases are all payable in U.S. dollars, the Company may agree in the future to leases that permit payment in foreign currency, which would subject such lease revenue to monetary risk due to currency fluctuations. In addition, if the Company undertakes certain obligations under a lease to contribute to a repair or improvement and if the work is performed in a foreign jurisdiction and paid for in foreign currency, currency fluctuations causing a weaker dollar between the time such agreement is made and the time payment for the work is made may result in an unanticipated increase in dollar cost for the Company.

Even with U.S. dollar-denominated lease payment provisions, the Company could still be affected by a devaluation of the lessee's local currency that would make it more difficult for a lessee to meet its U.S. dollar-denominated lease payments, increasing the risk of default of that lessee, particularly if its revenue is primarily derived in the local currency.

Finally, ownership of a leased asset operating in a foreign country and/or by a foreign carrier may subject the Company to additional tax liabilities that are not present with domestically operated aircraft. Depending on the jurisdiction, laws governing such tax liabilities may be complex or not well formed or not uniformly enforced. In such jurisdictions, the Company may decide to take an uncertain tax position based on the best advice of the local tax experts it engages, which position may be challenged by the taxing authority. If the taxing authority later assesses a liability, the Company may be required to pay penalties and interest on the assessed amount, which penalties and interest would not give rise to a corresponding foreign tax credit on the Company's U. S. tax return.

**Reliance on JMC.** All management of the Company is performed by JMC under a Management Agreement between the Company and JMC, which is in the twelfth year of a 20-year term and provides for an asset-based management fee. JMC is not a fiduciary to the Company or its stockholders. The Company's Board of Directors (the "Board") has ultimate control and supervisory responsibility over all aspects of the Company and owes fiduciary duties to the Company and its stockholders. The Board has no control over the internal operations of JMC, but the Board does have the ability and responsibility to manage the Company's relationship with JMC and the performance of JMC's obligations to the Company under the Management Agreement, as it would have for any third party service provider to the Company. While JMC may not owe any fiduciary duties to the Company by virtue of the Management Agreement, all of the officers of JMC are also officers of the Company, and in that capacity owe fiduciary duties to the Company and its stockholders. In addition, certain officers of the Company hold significant ownership positions in the Company and JHC, the parent company of JMC.

The Management Agreement may be terminated if JMC defaults on its obligations to the Company. However, the agreement provides for liquidated damages in the event of its wrongful termination by the Company. All of the

officers of JMC are also officers of the Company, and certain directors of the Company are also directors of JMC. Consequently, the directors and officers of JMC may have a conflict of interest in the event of a dispute between the Company and JMC. Although the Company has taken steps to prevent conflicts of interest arising from such dual roles, such conflicts may still occur.

JMC has acted as the management company for two other aircraft portfolio owners, JetFleet III, which raised approximately \$13,000,000 in bond issuance proceeds, and AeroCentury IV, Inc. ("AeroCentury IV"), which raised approximately \$5,000,000 in bond issuance proceeds. In the first quarter of 2002, AeroCentury IV defaulted on certain bond obligations. In June 2002, the indenture trustee for AeroCentury IV's bondholders repossessed AeroCentury IV's assets and took over management of AeroCentury IV's remaining assets. JetFleet III defaulted on its bond obligation of \$11,076,400 in May 2004. The indenture trustee for JetFleet III bondholders repossessed JetFleet III's unsold assets in late May 2004.

- 26 -

---

**Government Regulation.** There are a number of areas in which government regulation may result in costs to the Company. These include aircraft registration, safety requirements, required equipment modifications, and aircraft noise requirements. Although it is contemplated that the burden and cost of complying with such requirements will fall primarily upon lessees of equipment, there can be no assurance that the cost will not fall on the Company. Furthermore, future government regulations could cause the value of any non-complying equipment owned by the Company to decline substantially.

**Competition.** The aircraft leasing industry is highly competitive. The Company competes with aircraft manufacturers, distributors, airlines and other operators, equipment managers, leasing companies, equipment leasing programs, financial institutions and other parties engaged in leasing, managing or remarketing aircraft, many of which have significantly greater financial resources. However, the Company believes that it is competitive because of JMC's experience and operational efficiency in identifying and obtaining financing for the transaction types desired by regional air carriers. This market segment, which is characterized by transaction sizes of less than \$10 million and in many cases privately held lessees, without well-established third party credit ratings, is not well served by the Company's larger competitors. JMC has developed a reputation as a global participant in this segment of the market, and the Company believes that JMC's reputation benefits the Company. There is, however, no assurance that competition from larger aircraft leasing companies will not increase significantly or that JMC's reputation will continue to be strong in this market segment.

**Casualties, Insurance Coverage.** The Company, as owner of transportation equipment, may be named in a suit claiming damages for injuries or damage to property caused by its assets. As a triple net lessor, the Company is generally protected against such claims, since the lessee would be responsible for, and insure against and indemnify the Company for such claims. Although some protection may be provided by the United States Aviation Act with respect to the Company's aircraft assets, it is unclear to what extent such statutory protection would be available to the Company with respect to most of the Company's aircraft, which are operated in foreign countries, where such provisions of the United States Aviation Act may not apply. Also, although the Company's leases generally require a lessee to insure against likely risks, there may be certain cases where the loss is not entirely covered by the lessee or its insurance. Though this is a remote possibility, an uninsured loss with respect to the equipment, or an insured loss for which insurance proceeds are inadequate, would result in a possible loss of invested capital in and any profits anticipated from, such equipment, as well as a potential claim directly against the Company.

**Possible Volatility of Stock Price.** The market price of the Company's common stock may be subject to fluctuations following developments relating to the Company's operating results, changes in general conditions in the economy, the financial markets, the airline industry, changes in accounting principles or tax laws applicable to the Company or its lessees, or other developments affecting the Company, its customers or its competitors, or arising from other investor sentiment unknown to the Company. Because the Company has a relatively small capitalization of approximately 1.5 million shares outstanding, there is a correspondingly limited amount of trading and float of the Company's shares. Consequently, the Company's stock price is more sensitive to a single large trade or a small number of simultaneous trades along the same trend than a company with larger capitalization and higher trading volume and float.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

This report does not include information described under Item 3 of Form 10-Q pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission that permit “smaller reporting companies” to omit such information.

Item 4T. Controls and Procedures.

Quarterly evaluation of the Company’s Disclosure Controls and Procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its “disclosure controls and procedures” (“Disclosure Controls”). This evaluation (the “Controls Evaluation”) was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Company’s Chief Executive Officer (“CEO”) and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”). Rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) require that in this section of the report, the Company present the conclusions of the CEO and the CFO about the effectiveness of the Company’s Disclosure Controls as of June 30, 2009.

Disclosure Controls. Disclosure Controls are procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in the Company’s reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), such as this report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms. Disclosure Controls are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company’s management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Disclosure Controls. The Company’s management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that its Disclosure Controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, control may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Scope of the Controls Evaluation. The CEO/CFO evaluation of the Company’s Disclosure Controls included a review of the controls objectives and design, the controls implementation by the Company and the effect of the controls on the information generated for use in this report. In the course of the Controls Evaluation, the CEO and CFO sought to identify data errors, controls problems or acts of fraud and to confirm that appropriate corrective action, including process improvements, were being undertaken. This type of evaluation is being done on a quarterly basis so that the conclusions concerning controls effectiveness can be reported in the Company’s quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and annual report on Form 10-K. The overall goals of these various evaluation activities are to monitor the Company’s Disclosure Controls and to make modifications as necessary; the Company’s intent in this regard is that the Disclosure Controls and the Internal Controls will be maintained as dynamic systems that change (reflecting improvements and corrections) as conditions warrant.

Conclusions. Based upon the Controls Evaluation, the Company's CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of June 30, 2009, the Company's Disclosure Controls are effective to ensure that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms and then accumulated and communicated to Company management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to make timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Changes in Internal Controls Over Financial Reporting. In accordance with SEC requirements, the CEO and CFO note that there has been no significant change in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter ended June 30, 2009 that has materially affected or is reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II  
OTHER INFORMATION

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

On April 30, 2009, the Company held its annual stockholders' meeting in San Carlos, California. At that meeting, Thomas W. Orr was re-elected to the Board of Directors for a three-year term expiring in 2012. The vote tally was 1,083,588 votes for election, and 147,504 votes withheld.

The stockholders also confirmed the appointment of BDO Seidman, LLP as auditors of the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2009. The vote tally was 1,197,202 in favor, 27,764 against, 6,066 abstaining and 3,128 broker non-votes.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
31.1	Certification of Neal D. Crispin, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Toni M. Perazzo, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1*	Certification of Neal D. Crispin, Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2*	Certification of Toni M. Perazzo, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

\* These certificates are furnished to, but shall not be deemed to be filed with, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

AEROCENTURY CORP

Date August 13, 2009

By: /s/ Toni M. Perazzo  
Name: Toni M. Perazzo  
Title: Senior Vice President-Finance  
and Chief Financial Officer